From:	Lily Achatz
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Major, Erica (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); ChanStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; DorseyStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); FielderStaff; Waltonstaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Sewlal, Alyssa (CON); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Board of Supervisors Budget and Approp. Cttee / Budget Hearing June 9, 1:30 p.m. Agenda 2/ Golf Cost Recovery and Fee Legislation
Date:	Tuesday, July 8, 2025 11:00:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Budget and Approp. Cttee / Budget Hearing June 9, 1:30 p.m. Agenda 2/ Golf Cost Recovery and Fee Legislation

Dear Budget Committee and Supervisors,

Please see my attached letter for the Committee's June 25 Hearing on the Rec-Park Budget. Please include it in the Committee's Public Correspondence file and distribute to Committee members for Committee's July 9th hearing.

Thank you, Lily Achatz

Good Afternoon Supervisors. My name is Lily Achatz and I work with the San Francisco Public Golf Alliance. I am also the President of the Harding Park Women's Golf Club (which we restored 4 years ago after 25 year dormancy) and The SF Women's Golf Alliance. We collaborate and partner with all the SF municipal women's and men's clubs to create affordable golf events and opportunities for public golfers. We also support Junior organizations like First Tee, Youth on Course and US Kids that rely on public golf. I am here on behalf of my community at Harding Park/Fleming, Sharp Park, Lincoln, Gleneagles and Golden Gate golf courses. We oppose the Recreation & Parks Department proposed and unfair budget cut of 6M, 1/3 of the golf budget which would put our golf courses at risk and/or make golf unaffordable. This would greatly affect minorities, women, veterans, low-income families/individuals, and juniors.

I have witnessed, first-hand, the mental and physical health benefits golf provides especially to those in our clubs who suffered greatly during Covid and also our friends of PGA Hope, *the non profit that introduces golf to veterans in order to enhance their mental, social, physical, and emotional well-being*. Golf has kept some of our veterans off the streets. Golf is a physically and mentally healthful outdoor activity, and is unusual among sports because it can be played together by people of all kinds -- all abilities, ages, genders, persuasions, incomes and backgrounds. And it is a great place to meet and be with people. Our community is more diverse culturally and spans across all age groups, more than any sport in San Francisco. In light of what is happening today with ICE, we must protect our diversity. As a bi-racial Samoan-German female born in San Francisco, I feel more comfortable playing on our public courses. Our public golf community can't afford to pay thousands of dollars to join a country club and it would be a disservice to keep us out of this social sport that brings people together and in many cases creates a future, a dream. Five years ago, I met 10 year old Hazel who was playing at Sharp Park, her home course. Her whole family was there and she became a regular at almost all of the SF municipal courses and still is. But now, she is part of the PGA Junior League, won the US Kids World Championship at Pinehurst and has her name on the Peter Hay Junior Trophy at Pebble Beach. She is on track to get a golf scholarship for college and one day become a professional golfer. It would have been impossible for her to achieve what she has without our public golf courses.

Please help us in preserving what John McLaren, Godfather of Recreation & Parks, believed in. He built the City's first courses -- Lincoln, Harding and Sharp Park -- in the early 1900's – personally hiring the architects, planting the trees, and overseeing construction. Protect his Scottish tradition of golf as public recreation – not hidden behind private walls. And protect the dreams of generations to come.

LILY ACHATZ
2070 Pacific Avenue #303
San Francisco, CA 94109
415-905-0050
lilyachatz@gmail.com

GREGG JOHNSON
459 TURK STREET #330 – SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-7607
W: 415-312-5164 | W: 415-685-1592 | F: 510-545-8522 | E: SFTLADVOCATE@GMAIL.COM

June 3, 2025

Daniel Lurie, Mayor (via daniel.lurie@sfgov.org)
Board of Supervisors (via angela.calvillo@sfgov.org)
City-County of San Francisco
San Francisco City Hall
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

In Re: Decision of Elected Officials to NOT fund Non-Profits
Subj: Budget of the City-County of San Francisco

Dear Sirs and Madam:

Probably first and foremost, this is an open letter submitted to the mayor and member of the Board of Supervisors under the Sunshine Ordinance. The purpose of this document is to provide those members of this elected government who may be unaware of the level of work that non-profit organizations provide to this city and the residents thereof only to be "lined out" in the currently proposed budget of the City/County of San Francisco. I would like to state that while I have a very specific role within the Central City SRO Collaborative, (CCSROC) I would like to make clear that said position is not by any means nothing more than a volunteer role for which a stipend of $270 per month is paid while I have averaged 58.5 hours of volunteer work each month for the past 3 years.

I am the Disaster Preparedness / Fire Safety-Prevention coordinator for CCSROC. The main purpose of my role is to work with residents of SRO in developing a disaster preparedness survival bag ("Go Bag") for a natural disaster and building a network of individuals who can assist others and getting them to safety with a focus on individuals with mobility issues with the goal of nobody being left behind. Likewise, I show the importance of responding to fire alarms which are activated with an emphasis on "not ignoring" an alarm.

I hold workshops for SRO residents with active participation and wrapping up each workshop with a series of questions and a challenge to build a (better) network that is inclusive of all residents of their building. I am FEMA certified, and attend follow up courses after a disaster that makes finds that a new information is available for "best practices". The current list of SRO Buildings are close to 100 years old and the residents of those properties are the most vulnerable and already underserved.

We realize that in the event of a natural disaster is very unlikely that those residents, including myself, could count of any immediate assistance for the City but that does not mean we lose our right to live or that we are any less concerned about the lives of others. We are likely to

From: Jose Rivas
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Urgent Plea: Prioritize Children and Families - No Funding Cuts to Vital Community Organizations
Date: Wednesday, June 25, 2025 3:07:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Parents for Public Schools of San Francisco (PPS-SF) condemns all forms of oppression. I believe that decisions affecting children must be made with careful consideration, respect for their voices, and a commitment to equity. As adults, **WE** have a responsibility to provide timely and direct answers to our students while ensuring their diverse needs are at the forefront of our discussions.

With that in mind, I am writing to express my deep concern regarding potential funding cuts to critical community organizations ***(Parents for Public Schools, Latino Task Force, and Free City College)*** and implore the Board to prioritize the well-being of San Francisco's children and families in the upcoming budget decisions.

San Francisco is a city built by working-class individuals and immigrants. Our vibrant and diverse community thrives when its most vulnerable members are supported. **Disinvesting in community programs contradicts the city's long-held values of equity, safety, democracy, and liberty.**

For 25 years, Parents for Public Schools of San Francisco has served as a vital bridge within our community, **connecting over 60,000 residents across city government, school districts, for-profit, and non-profit sectors**. We provide peer-to-peer mentoring, share essential knowledge, demystify complex educational policies, and empower families to navigate the

school system effectively.

Maintaining funding for organizations like PPS-SF ensures the continuation of direct services that are crucial for the well-being of our community:

- Workshops that empower parents and caregivers.
- One-on-one support to navigate challenges.
- Group classes that foster community.
- Informative learning sessions for community leaders.
- Collaborations with community leaders to amplify resources and promote engagement.

We know that this Board also shares a deep commitment to democracy and recognizes the invaluable role non-profit organizations like Parents for Public Schools play in fostering a thriving city. Supporting parents and caregivers is not just about their individual well-being; it is an investment in the future of our children. When parents/caregivers are not well-supported, children cannot thrive and reach their full potential. How can we expect our children to build the brightest future without supporting those that nurture them?

I urge the Board to uphold the highest standards of community engagement and to avoid policies that disproportionately harm already vulnerable populations by disinvesting in the critical programs they depend on.

I appreciate the new city administration's commitment to maintaining San Francisco's Sanctuary City status. As we transition to the new administration, we must not waver to shut down militant force to deport immigrants. It is of utmost urgency, and PPS is a safe place for our community. It is important that I emphasize this matter must be taken with upmost urgency. How will the Board protect our children when services are dwindled?

While I recognize the difficult and complex choices involved in balancing the city's budget across many departments, it is unclear how the proposed cuts ensure the infrastructure of supports for the most vulnerable and impacted families.

Now is the time for all of us to work together, in partnership with the community, to demonstrate our unwavering commitment to serving San Francisco's diverse communities.

Now is the time for a laser focus in bringing diverse communities closer to bridging the gaps

and promoting educational outcomes.

The people are most impacted are our children. When their parents/caregivers are not well supported how can their children thrive for the brightest future. The Board needs to practice the standard around community engagement and not oppress its people by disinvesting in the funding of critical programs.

We stand ready to partner with the Board in achieving these goals and ensuring a brighter future for all of San Francisco's children.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jose Rivas,
Board Member, PPS-SF

From:	DPH-jwilson
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS)
Cc:	Burch, Percy (BOS); Hsieh, Frances (BOS); Ho, Calvin (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Support Nonprofit Partners and Nonprofit Workers - FULLY FUND the Cost of Doing Business
Date:	Wednesday, June 25, 2025 1:53:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors Chan, Walton and Mandelman,

Joe Wilson from Hospitality House urging you to support the City's nonprofit partners and nonprofit workers by fully funding the Cost of Doing Business at 4%.

We all know these are difficult times, but nonprofit partners can't be held accountable for results, without resources. Insurance costs are rising. Worker's Comp costs are rising. Medical benefit costs are rising. The Minimum Compensation Ordinance will increase twice in six months - we fully support that. At Hospitality House alone, Workers' Comp premiums are the equivalent of nearly THREE FTE positions!

We urge you to include the Cost of Doing Business to your package of Final Budget Recommendations.

Thank you.

Joe Wilson

Joseph T. Wilson
Executive Director
415.749.2111
jwilson@hospitalityhouse.org
Pronoun: He/Him/His

"Fighting for the Soul of the City Since 1967"
HOSPITALITY HOUSE
290 Turk Street, San Francisco, CA 94102 |
(415) 749-2100 | fax: (415) 749-2136
www.hospitalityhouse.org | ***Facebook*** | ***Instagram*** | | ***Youtube*** / ***Linkedin***

Legal Notice: This message and any attachments are solely for the intended recipient and may contain confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, use or distribution of this message and any attachments is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and immediately and permanently delete this message and any attachments

From: Ashley Hirschbek
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Wednesday, June 25, 2025 12:08:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Ashley Hirschbek
ashley.hirschbek@gmail.com

174 Ralston St
San Francisco, California 94132

From: Kathy Phermpoonpanich
To: ChanStaff (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Proposed Reallocation of Prop C Funds - Please Vote No
Date: Wednesday, June 25, 2025 10:17:37 AM
Attachments: image.png

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Chan and Clerk Jalipa,

I hope this message finds you well. As a staff member of Larkin Street Youth Services and a person who grew up in San Francisco, I am deeply alarmed by the proposed reallocation of Prop C funds. This modification threatens to significantly disrupt the critical lifelines that our youth currently rely upon. The inspiring work of Larkin Street and similar organizations underscores the pivotal role of early intervention in breaking the cycle of homelessness. Every dollar diverted is a life-changing opportunity lost.

Remember, nearly half of San Francisco's homeless population first encountered homelessness before they turned 25. Cutting funds aimed at our youth not only puts our vulnerable population at immediate risk but also sets a worrying precedent for the rise in chronic adult homelessness.

Should Proposition C funding that was supposed to go to young people be eliminated, “we’re just going to get full, and we’re going to bottleneck,” said Sherilyn Adams, the Chief Executive Officer of Larkin Street Youth Services.

WHAT IS LARKIN STREET ADVOCATING FOR?

- ***[[Clinical Support]]***
  - Because a young person can experience a mental health crisis at any time of day.
- ***[[Direct Cash Transfer Program]]***
  - Because young people experiencing homelessness need a financial boost to promote economic stability.
- ***[[Emergency Hotel Vouchers]]***
  - Because every young person who is fleeing unsafe conditions deserves quick access to a safe haven to get off the streets.
- ***[[Food Security]]***
  - Because young people should never have to worry about when and where their next meal is coming from.
- ***[[Housing Ladder Subsidies for Youth Exiting PSH]]***
  - Because young people deserve to have an abundance of stable housing options to thrive.

- ***[[Paid Career-Focused Training Programs]]***
    - Because young people experiencing homelessness deserve meaningful work in their chosen fields at a living wage.

In light of these stark realities, I earnestly implore you to vote NO on this proposed reallocation. Together, we can protect the dignity and well-being of our city's youth and families. This is more than just a budget issue—it's about securing a more hopeful and stable future for the lifeline of our city: our youth and families.

Your roles in this matter are critical, and I believe in your commitment to our city's well-being. Thank you for considering my plea. The fight for a more secure San Francisco is a collective effort, and your decision can make a world of difference.

Best Regards,
Kathy Phermpoonpanich
Pronouns: She/Her/Hers
Senior Coordinator of Public Funding
Larkin Street Youth Services
https://larkinstreetyouth.org/

LARKIN STREET
YOUTH SERVICES

Larkin Street's mission is to create a continuum of services that inspires youth to move beyond the street. We will nurture potential, promote dignity, and support bold steps by all.

The information in this email and in any attachments is confidential and may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy this message, delete any copies held on your systems and notify the sender immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you should not retain, copy, or use this email for any purpose, nor disclose all or any part of its content to any other person. Thank you.

GREGG JOHNSON
459 TURK STREET #330 – SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-7607
W: 415-312-5164 | W: 415-685-1592 | F: 510-545-8522 | E: SFTLADVOCATE@GMAIL.COM

June 3, 2025

Daniel Lurie, Mayor (via daniel.lurie@sfgov.org)
Board of Supervisors (via angela.calvillo@sfgov.org)
City-County of San Francisco
San Francisco City Hall
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

In Re: Decision of Elected Officials to NOT fund Non-Profits
Subj: Budget of the City-County of San Francisco

Dear Sirs and Madam:

Probably first and foremost, this is an open letter submitted to the mayor and member of the Board of Supervisors under the Sunshine Ordinance. The purpose of this document is to provide those members of this elected government who may be unaware of the level of work that non-profit organizations provide to this city and the residents thereof only to be "lined out" in the currently proposed budget of the City/County of San Francisco. I would like to state that while I have a very specific role within the Central City SRO Collaborative, (CCSROC) I would like to make clear that said position is not by any means nothing more than a volunteer role for which a stipend of $270 per month is paid while I have averaged 58.5 hours of volunteer work each month for the past 3 years.

I am the Disaster Preparedness / Fire Safety-Prevention coordinator for CCSROC. The main purpose of my role is to work with residents of SRO in developing a disaster preparedness survival bag ("Go Bag") for a natural disaster and building a network of individuals who can assist others and getting them to safety with a focus on individuals with mobility issues with the goal of nobody being left behind. Likewise, I show the importance of responding to fire alarms which are activated with an emphasis on "not ignoring" an alarm.

I hold workshops for SRO residents with active participation and wrapping up each workshop with a series of questions and a challenge to build a (better) network that is inclusive of all residents of their building. I am FEMA certified, and attend follow up courses after a disaster that makes finds that a new information is available for "best practices". The current list of SRO Buildings are close to 100 years old and the residents of those properties are the most vulnerable and already underserved.

We realize that in the event of a natural disaster is very unlikely that those residents, including myself, could count of any immediate assistance for the City but that does not mean we lose our right to live or that we are any less concerned about the lives of others. We are likely to

be overlooked based solely on the fact that we house many of those undesired cases (e.g. mental health, older residents). Who is going to pick up the slack created with the funding of my position is taken? Who is going to provide updated information and workshops to ensure that those at greatest risk in a disaster manage to safely get out of their buildings?

Here is a simple task you can look into. SF311, if called today (right now) and the caller asked, "*where is the reunification point for people living in 94102 in a disaster*" the operator will respond "*we do not have that information*." I take my responsibility very seriously! And though I was not living in 1906 – I can only imagine what that scenario (replayed) would look like.

When I am not conducting a workshop, I am providing resources and information to residents of this city (including unhoused) at Take Back The Block events conducted by CCSROC which trains residents how to safely activate their block to keep undesired traffic moving but also giving other residents much needed resources for services. I have been part of a movement which has shown a positive impact upon my neighborhood. And when I not doing this – changes are I am engaged in some training which will help me de-escalate a situation with confidence or help someone experiencing a mental health crisis. If this is what I am destined to do in retirement, I am committed to it. But the stipend I receive is used to clean, repair or replace those pieces of clothing which is torn, ripped or destroyed in the process of helping my community,

Over the past five (5) years I have watched this city throw good money chasing bad and then want to find the solution in lining out the non-profits. Many actually provide value services to the city and others have been given the keys to the entire store. CCSROC will celebrate its 25th anniversary in 2026 doing the same work we are doing today.

Here are some of the campaigns of CCSROC: Tenant Conventions, SRO Mailboxes and Mail Delivery, 950 Market campaign, 308 Turk Street, Bedbug Awareness and Eradication, Black Men's Group (BLADE), Tenderloin/North of Market Community Benefits District, Transit Justice and much more. Their slogan "*Organizing SRO Tenants to Build a Better Community*" it start with just knowing what rights they do have. Inappropriately housing individuals create greater concern for the residents of SRO buildings.

Rental and utility assistance. Who's going to assist in keeping individuals housed? Food bank? We've have seen first-hand what happens when pressure is relieved. It comes back upon us with greater resistance. And the cost to remove it next time is greater than before. I get that San Francisco is basically broke. We also know 'why'. This answer cannot be found be eliminating non-profits which render meaning services for which the city benefits from. Especially when you are working tirelessly to find shelter beds, get people into housing or treatment, etc., because if the city does not have a partnership with an agency such as CCSROC, you are (again) throwing good money chasing bad. I keep saying this, but it appears to be falling on deaf ears.

1) End the arbitrary lining out of non-profits and stop awarding contracts for services which are not proven.
2) Permanantly find funding sources for those who are performing a service for which the City benefits from; and
3) When you make campaign promises, honor them. Don't get us to vote for you only to use it against us months later.

I leave you with the reminder that there was an article published which looked at the twenty-seven (27) non-profits conducting business with the City-County of San Francisco. Rating the Executive Director of each the Executive Director of Tenderloin Housing Clinic (THC) and parent of CCSROC ranks #24 when it comes his pay. That means there are 23 non-profits who pay their Executive Director more. That says a great deal to me.

Submitted as a matter of record for your disposition.

Gregg Johnson

GREGG JOHNSON
Registered Voter
Tenderloin Resident
Organizer
Disaster Preparedness Coordinator

Cc: As needed

SAN FRANCISCO
JAPANTOWN®
MERCHANTS ASSOCIATION

June 3, 2025

Budget and Appropriations Committee
Supervisors Connie Chan
Matt Dorsey
Joel Engardio
Shamann Walton
Rafael Mandelman

Dear Budget and Appropriations Committee:

It has come to my attention that the Legacy Business program is in jeopardy of losing its Program Manager position. From the very beginning, Richard Kurylo has exemplified his role in the program and has identified over 400 legacy businesses in San Francisco.

Due to Rick's advocacy, there are currently 13 legacy businesses in Japantown, and we are presently working on identifying additional businesses. Rick has been very instrumental in supporting our legacy small businesses and we could not have accomplished this arduous task without his dedicated support.

We understand that the current budget crisis is staggering but we urge you to please consider retaining this important position that will help keep San Francisco one of the top tourist destinations in the country especially as we rebuild the city's economic future.

Sincerely,

Richard Hashimoto
President

Cc: Supervisor Bilal Mahmood

1759 SUTTER STREET • SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94115

From:	Jon T
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Public comment on Mayor"s FY26 Proposed budget
Date:	Thursday, June 5, 2025 2:50:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am Jonathan Tso, currently working at City Planning in the finance and administration division as the Financial Operations Manager and my 1825 Principal Administrative Analyst II position and five others from my department are slated to be laid off in the Mayor's proposed FY26 budget.

This is my 19th year working for the city and county of San Francisco. I got my first start by participating in the accounting internship program hosted by the Controller's Office in 2006. Through the years I've taken many civil service exams and been placed on multiple hiring lists which has guided me to five different departments and five different promotive classifications.

The team I manage is small with only four members; it was 30% larger when I started four years ago, but it is mighty. Whenever a team member goes on any type of extended leave, we are forced to shift priorities and job roles.

In January we were slated to fill a vacated 1654 supervisory accounting III position but that was put on hold with the hiring freeze announced by the Mayor. Since then, another team member has gone on leave, and they will not be back until 2026.

With uncertainty around hiring next fiscal year from the mayor’s office, we are asking the Controller’s office to help us to hire one accountant intern instead.

In this current scenario, We would be laying off the person managing the finance operations for the department and replacing them with an accounting intern. The same position that I was hired for 19 years ago.

Please take some action so that we won't have to risk our fiscal operations.

Thank you for your time,

Jonathan Tso

tso318@gmail.com

From: Diana Kaytun
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 12:06:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Diana Kaytun

Email corex123@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,
Diana

From: Ruth Levy
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 12:19:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Ruth Levy

Email: rjlevy50@yahoo.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

Ruth J. Levy

From: Firas Bukhari
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 12:27:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Firas Bukhari

Email: firasbukhari@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,
Firas Bukhari

From: David Wise
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 12:52:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent David Wise

Email namaste@sonic.net

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: James Earhart
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: jwearhart17@gmail.com
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 1:20:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	James Earhart
Email	jwearhart17@gmail.com

jwearhart17@gmail.com

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: JEFFREY NIGH
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 1:55:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent JEFFREY NIGH

Email JANIGH@COMCAST.NET

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Sona Sondhi
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 2:25:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Sona Sondhi

Email sonya@sondhi.ca

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Lara Witter
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 2:46:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Lara Witter

Email: larawitter@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Peter Fortune
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 3:06:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Peter Fortune

Email pt4tune@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Neville Morcom
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 3:22:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Neville Morcom

Email: nmorcom@comcast.net

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Denise Foley
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 3:27:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Denise Foley

Email: denisemrf79@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Barry Reder
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 3:27:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Barry Reder

Email: unclbar@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Gianmatteo Costanza
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 3:45:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Gianmatteo Costanza

Email gianmatteo.costanza@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Geoff Motlow
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 4:18:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Geoff Motlow

Email geoff.motlow@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Hatun Noguera
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 4:26:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Hatun Noguera

Email noguera@changes.world

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: JeNeal Granieri
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 6:29:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent JeNeal Granieri

Email jenealann@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Devon Johnson
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 7:17:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Devon Johnson

Email dpj@FangJohnson.net

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Jim Connelly
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 8:20:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Jim Connelly

Email: jim-connelly@comcast.net

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Amir Talebi
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 9:23:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Amir Talebi

Email: AmirTalebi123@Gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: John Riley
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 9:33:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent John Riley

Email johnjriley@mindspring.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

John Riley

From: Ronald Albucher
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 10:29:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Ronald Albucher

Email ronalbucher@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Vera Poon
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Mayor Lurie"s Budget Goals. It is time to right-size SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 10:42:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Vera Poon

Email: vjpoon@gmail.com

I Support Mayor Lurie's Budget Goals. It is time to right-size SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget! It is time to end San Francisco's structural deficit.

Thank you Mayor Lurie for taking on structural budget reform.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M in inflation-adjusted dollars since 2012 (the last time we had the same population). We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that collaborate with city agencies and then lobby those agencies.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery. Public safety underpins everything else in a healthy city.

Sincerely,

From: James Bertana
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 10:43:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent James Bertana

Email jimbertana@icloud.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,
Jim Bertana

From:	Peter Philipp
To:	Mayor, MYR (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Support for Mayor Lurie's Budget Proposal
Date:	Friday, May 30, 2025 6:10:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisor Mandelman, and Members of the Board,

I'm writing as a resident of the Mission Dolores neighborhood to express my strong support for Mayor Lurie's proposal to reduce the city workforce by approximately 1,400 positions to help close San Francisco's budget deficit.

It's clear that the city is facing serious fiscal challenges, and difficult decisions are necessary to restore financial discipline and ensure long-term sustainability. I appreciate the Mayor's willingness to take responsible, proactive steps—even when they're not politically easy.

I hope the Board will work constructively with the Mayor to implement this proposal and take further measures to focus the city's resources on core services and measurable outcomes. As a constituent, I would like to see city government operate more efficiently, with greater accountability and a renewed focus on outcomes that improve quality of life for residents.

Thank you for your leadership during this critical time.

All the best,
Peter Philipp
350 Cumberland St
San Francisco CA 94114

From: Marion Novasic
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Friday, May 30, 2025 7:45:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Marion Novasic

Email: mn20001@hotmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: David Nolley
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Friday, May 30, 2025 9:01:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: David Nolley

Email: danolley@aol.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

My wife and I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now instead of delaying this very much-needed process any longer.

It is clear to residents like us, having lived here for over 40 years, that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would surely support a $2B reduction in the SF budget as you "call the shots".

All fraud should be rooted out. For examples,

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated Immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery while also protecting us elders from adversity.

From: Dianne Brock
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Friday, May 30, 2025 11:52:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Dianne Brock

Email diannebrock@mac.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Nancy Zajac
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Friday, May 30, 2025 1:15:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Nancy Zajac

Email: njzajac@aol.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Michelle Cody
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Friday, May 30, 2025 1:35:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Michelle Cody

Email: wise8689@yahoo.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Donna Brown
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Friday, May 30, 2025 3:41:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Donna Brown

Email donna.brown05@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Tobias H.Dodge
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: San Francisco Civic Wealth Strategy: A Path to Fiscal Self-Reliance
Date: Friday, May 30, 2025 10:56:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Title: San Francisco Civic Wealth Strategy: A Path to Fiscal Self-Reliance

Prepared for: [Insert Name of Mayor, Department, or Foundation]
Prepared by: Tobias Hafenecker-Dodge
Humboldt Growers Network
tobiasdodge@mac.com
415-583-3555
Date: [Insert Date]

For review and discussion only. Not for redistribution without permission.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

San Francisco is facing a $1 billion annual budget shortfall. Rather than rely on austerity, federal subsidies, or ever-increasing property taxes, this proposal outlines a Civic Wealth Strategy: a citywide public ownership model that allows San Francisco to earn what it needs to invest in its people, restore public trust, and create long-term economic resilience.

This strategy identifies nine key revenue-generating assets—ranging from public broadband and storefront leasing to bathhouses and solar leases—and outlines how the City can scale public ownership to reach $1.5 billion in annual recurring revenue. With an emphasis on equity, fiscal autonomy, and collaborative governance, the Civic Wealth Strategy is a blueprint for rebuilding civic infrastructure from the ground up.

REVENUE STREAM STRATEGY

The Civic Wealth Strategy is built on the following nine revenue streams:

1. **Value Capture Districts** – Generate public revenue by capturing the rise in land value from public investments in transit, parks, and zoning.

2. **Storefront Activation** – Acquire and lease ground-floor retail space to local businesses and community institutions.

3. **Municipal Broadband Utility** – Establish a city-owned internet service to provide affordable, high-speed access and recurring revenue.

4. **Civic REIT** – Consolidate income-generating assets into a public real estate investment trust.

5. **Waterfront Redevelopment** – Transform underused shoreline into a public destination and commercial corridor.
6. **The Wash (Urban Bathhouses)** – Convert city buildings into civic wellness centers with equity-based pricing.
7. **Parking Infrastructure** – Build and operate garages with dynamic pricing to support city centers.
8. **Green Energy Leases** – Lease rooftops and land for solar development and civic resilience.
9. **Lifeline Hubs** – Repurpose civic buildings into community infrastructure for mentorship, jobs, and public care.

Together, these streams can replace regressive funding models, reduce reliance on enforcement systems, and stabilize neighborhood economies.

IMPLEMENTATION FRAMEWORK

Phase 1: Foundational (Year 1–2)

- Establish Civic Wealth Office and fund pilot staff positions
- Identify and acquire initial sites for storefronts, bathhouses, broadband, and Lifeline
- Launch bond initiative or REIT structuring study

Phase 2: Expansion (Year 3–7)

- Implement full-scale broadband and storefront leasing models
- Activate Value Capture Districts in tandem with major public works
- Expand Lifeline across key neighborhoods
- Launch Civic REIT and publish annual public dividend model

Phase 3: Institutionalization (Year 8–20)

- Reach 25% city ownership of SF built environment
- Integrate revenue into general fund strategy
- Sustain Lifeline and REIT as core city platforms

GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE

- **Civic Wealth Office** – Oversees interagency coordination, investment, and revenue

targets

- **REIT Governance Board** – Manages civic real estate and reports to public
- **Lifeline Local Councils** – Neighborhood boards to run Lifeline hubs and manage care systems
- **Public Accountability Reports** – Annual fiscal reporting and citizen oversight dashboards

---

FINANCIAL SUMMARY

Revenue Stream	Annual Net Revenue	Startup Investment
Value Capture Districts	$200M	$1B
Storefront Activation	$200M	$4.2B
Municipal Broadband	$150M	$250M
Civic REIT	$150M	$2B
Waterfront Redevelopment	$100M	$1B
The Wash (Urban Bathhouses)	$75M	$300M
Parking Infrastructure	$75M	$500M
Green Energy Leases	$50M	$200M
Lifeline Hubs	High SROI (2–3x)	$150K–$300K per site

Total Target Revenue: $1.5B annually
Investment Strategy: Bond issuance + phased asset acquisition

---

NEXT STEPS

- Secure pilot funding and legislative support
- Begin interagency coordination for Civic Wealth Office
- Identify initial acquisition targets and properties
- Launch public campaign around Civic Ownership and Lifeline

CLOSING STATEMENT

This proposal is intended as a foundation for dialogue, policy coordination, and civic innovation. We welcome questions, modifications, and collaboration with departments, foundations, and community stakeholders interested in realizing a fiscally self-reliant, equity-driven future for San Francisco.

Attachments available upon request:

- Appendix A: Sample Pilot Concepts
- Appendix B: Letters of Support / Use Cases
- Appendix C: Financial Modeling

From: Robb Fleischer
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Saturday, May 31, 2025 11:19:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Robb Fleischer

Email: rfleischer@amsiemail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From:	jtorres1950
To:	Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	joefitz@sfstandard.com; ggreschler@sfstandard.com; nbaustin@sfstandard.com
Subject:	SF Budget Decision
Date:	Saturday, May 31, 2025 5:18:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor and Board of Supervisors,

After reading the piece from SF Standard on the budget, it's obvious we need people fiscally responsible making decisions concerning a $16B budget.

We cannot allow city employees to dictate financial decisions if their primary concern is protecting their own interests over the well-being of San Francisco. Those making budgetary choices should be free of personal debt, have a proven track record of managing finances responsibly, and understand the importance of saving and spending within their means. These reasons are why some of you may not qualifications to balance our budget.

We do not need individuals engaging in personal attacks, whether in private or public discourse. Nor should budgetary decisions be left to those living paycheck to paycheck when they are responsible for the financial stability of an entire city.

As we work toward a sound budget, financial literacy must be a focus—ensuring city employees learn to spend responsibly within their means. Employee salaries should be tied to the financial health of San Francisco, meaning cost-of-living adjustments should reflect both economic highs and lows. Furthermore, a policy should be implemented to prevent city employees—particularly police—from publicly disparaging the city they serve.

For years, the police have voiced concerns about low morale, rising crime, and a liberal district attorney. Yet, despite these claims, officers have consistently padded their base salaries through excessive overtime—often earning double to quadruple their standard pay—while statistics indicate that San Francisco is safer than ever. It’s time to educate both city employees and the public to prevent taxpayers from being misled by self-serving narratives.

We’ve seen troubling instances, such as an unhoused individual recording an SFPD officer stating his intent to clear RVs simply to satisfy the mayor. The union leader and officers openly defy the public, tactlessly giving the middle finger while knowingly getting recorded and undermining elected officials by saying they are only doing a job. These same individuals —who prioritize self-interest over civic duty—are contributing to the city’s fiscal crisis. The fox is guarding the hen house.

We also have other City agencies under scrutiny for corruption and misallocation of funds. These agencies should have no say in the budget.

I long for the days when public employees were underpaid because a lifetime pension balanced the lower salaries. Now we have public employees with salaries that compete with the private sector and we are on a fiscal cliff.

Balancing the budget is a challenging task. I hope you all recognize that some of you may not

be the right people for the job and should defer to those with the proven capability to handle it effectively.

Sent with Proton Mail secure email.

From: Eugene Galvin
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Saturday, May 31, 2025 10:12:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Eugene Galvin

Email: eggalvin@hotmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

Why is San Francisco's number of city employees so very much larger per population than any other city in the state even taking into consideration that it also a county?

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Carmen Woo
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Sunday, June 1, 2025 7:12:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Carmen Woo

Email: carmenwoo68@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,
Carmen Woo

From: Jane Ma
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Sunday, June 1, 2025 9:51:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Jane Ma

Email mischama13@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: cynthia brown
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Sunday, June 1, 2025 2:19:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: cynthia brown

Email: cymphany@hotmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Leland Faust
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 12:23:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Leland Faust

Email: lfaust@taylorfaust.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Naeemah Charles
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 2:32:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Naeemah Charles
ncharles@glide.org
899 pine street, apartment 1902
San Francisco, California 94108

From: Erick Arguello
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 2:41:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Erick Arguello
eriq94110@aol.com
1065 Hampshire St.
San Francisco, California 94110

From: Joyce Sabel
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 3:00:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Joyce Sabel
voicejoy@hotmail.com
2508 Lake St
San Francisco, California 94121

From: Cheryl Sinclair
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 3:54:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Cheryl Sinclair
cheryl_sinclair@comcast.net
332 Genevieve Avenue
Pacifica , California 94044

From: Byron Gordon
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 3:56:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Byron Gordon
Marketing Manager
GLIDE

Byron Gordon
bgordon@glide.org
579 Texas Street
San Francisco, California 94102

From: Kirsten Smith
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 3:58:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Kirsten Smith
kirstensmith.lcsw@gmail.com
1600 Walnut Street
San Carlos, California 94070

From: louise patterson
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 4:39:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: louise patterson

Email: lmuhlfeld@aol.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Justin Truong
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 6:29:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Justin Truong

Email: justintruong56@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Jordan Santana
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Save Glide Social Justice Academy- Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 8:04:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

Hello Supervisor Chan,
I am a D1 resident and I writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy.

This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Thank you,
Jordan Santana

Jordan Santana
jordan@guitarsushi.com
2304 Clement Street
San Francisco, California 94121

From: George Sutton
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 8:47:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

George Sutton
georgethefree77@gmail.com
1760 Bush Street Apt 203
San Francisco, California 94109

From: Leroy Parkinson
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 10:09:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Leroy Parkinson
lman650@yahoo.com
140 Juanita Ave
Pacifica, 9404

From: Vanessa S. Cline-Davis
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Urgent: Restore Funding for GLIDE"s Social Justice Academy
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:21:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my deep concern about the proposed elimination of funding for GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This vital program empowers marginalized communities, providing advocacy and leadership opportunities for individuals facing food insecurity, homelessness, and substance use issues.

Defunding the Academy would be a disservice to the very people San Francisco strives to uplift. Our city must prioritize programs that strengthen communities, foster equity, and offer tangible solutions to systemic challenges. I urge you to reconsider the budget proposal and restore funding to this essential initiative.

I ask that you stand with the residents who rely on the Academy and ensure it continues its transformative work. Thank you for your time and commitment to our city.

Sincerely, Vanessa

Vanessa S. Cline-Davis
vclinedavis@gmail.com
400 McAlister
Not Hispanic or Latino, California 94102

From: Shiba Bandeeba
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:25:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Shiba Bandeeba
shibabandeeba@gmail.com
1968 Great Highway Apt. A
San Francisco and 94103, California 94116

From: Juliana
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Against tennis court fee
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:48:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi there,

I am a tennis player in the city and will be attending the meeting later today virtually. I heard we cannot make comments virtually so wanted to express my thoughts prior.

“I am an avid tennis player in the city and feel it’s very important that the courts are accessible to all. Over the past year, I have reignited my love for tennis, play multiple times a week, and it has genuinely changed my life. Imposing a $5 individual fee and $20 nonprofit fee would constrict the amount of tennis I can play. There are many other ways to prevent no-shows, including having QR codes at the courts and requiring people to check in. Please do not pass this legislation.”

Thanks,
Juliana Gensheimer

From: Charlotte Ashlock
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:48:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Charlotte Ashlock
charlotte.ashlock@protonmail.com
758 Kingston Ave 3209
Oakland, California 94611

From: Joshua Siebalt
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:54:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Joshua Siebalt
jsiebalt@yahoo.com
1186 Eddy St, A
San Francisco , California 94109

From: Anna Sojourner
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Glide Social Justice Prgram Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 11:20:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

Please continue the essential funding GLIDE to continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Anna Sojourner
bear.smokey@gmail.com
601 Van Ness Ave., Apt 852
San Francisco, California 94102-3260

From: Adrienne Hoyer
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 12:32:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Adrienne Hoyer

Email amhoyet@sbcglobal.net

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Donna Stewart
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Vote No on Fiscally Irresponsible Ordinance to Mandate Homeless Shelters in Every District
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 12:42:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent: Donna Stewart

Email: donnasever@sbcglobal.net

Subject: Vote No on Fiscally Irresponsible Ordinance to Mandate Homeless Shelters in Every District

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

San Francisco needs more shelter beds, but it is financially irresponsible, especially now with a looming deficit, to mandate placing shelter facilities in every district. Implementing shelters and behavioral health centers throughout our city will only create more problems and safety issues for everyday residents of San Francisco.

It risks disrupting stable communities without meaningfully addressing the root causes of homelessness concentrated in areas like the Tenderloin and SOMA. And I agree, SOMA, Tenderloin, Bayview should not bear the sole burden,

San Francisco officials would be wise to consider looking at land outside the city to provide shelter facilities, or at

I urge you to oppose District 5 Supervisor Bilal Mahmood's proposed legislation that would require the city to approve at least one shelter or behavioral health center in each district in the next 18 months.

Rather I encourage you to expand the search and implementation of shelters and behavioral health centers to pockets of underutilized or lightly used

light industrial areas of San Francisco or outside of San Francisco. For example: Log Cabin Ranch (a 600 acre property the city of San Francisco owns in Santa Cruz County.

Sincerely,

From: Cornell Lee
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 12:47:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Cornell Lee

Email: corny1215@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Alejandra Navarro
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 1:03:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Alejandra Navarro
navarro.ala@gmail.com
128 Eureka St
SF, California 94114

From: Carmel Passanisi
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 1:18:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Carmel Passanisi

Email carmel2710@comcast.net

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Patrick Cannon
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:21:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Patrick Cannon

Email pecannon1@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: fjvelez73@gmail.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:53:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

fjvelez73@gmail.com
824 Palmer Road
Yonkers, New York 10708

From: Tiffany Meyers
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:55:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Tiffany Meyers
tiffariel@gmail.com
21 Thomas Ave, Apt. 10
Brisbane, California 94005-1701

From: Jeffrey Hurwitz
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:55:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Jeffrey Hurwitz
jahurwitzhome@cs.com
584 42nd Ave
San Francisco, California 94121

From: Justin Philipps
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:56:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Justin Philipps
jphilipps1259@gmail.com
1385 independence Court
Newark, Ohio 43055

From: Martin Horwitz
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:56:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Martin Horwitz
martin7ahorwitz@yahoo.com
1326 23rd Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: James Phelps
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:04:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

James Phelps
chezphelps@juno.com
7511 Teasdale Ave.
St. Louis, Missouri 63130

From: Kicab Castaneda-Mendez
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:07:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Kicab Castaneda-Mendez
kicabcm@yahoo.com
878 Fearrington Post
Pittsboro, North Carolina 27312

From: Sharon Longyear
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:09:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Sharon Longyear
sharonmlongyear@gmail.com
21 Rondout Harbor
Port Ewen, New York 12466

From: Richard Stern
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:11:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Richard Stern
1nycgator@gmail.com
11 Riverside Dr, 1NW
New York, New York 10023-2504

From: Stephan Donovan
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:20:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Stephan Donovan
stephandonovan@aol.com
11900 N. Labyrinth Drive
Oro Valley, Arizona 85737

From: Phillip Mixon
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:27:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Phillip Mixon
phillipmixon1970@gmail.com
1468 Briarwood Rd NE Unit 1902
Atlanta, Georgia 30319

From: Vinodkumar Gadley
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:28:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Vinodkumar Gadley
vgadley2003@yahoo.com
2451 N Rainbow Blvd unit #2135
Las Vegas, Nevada 89108

From: Aj Cho
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:28:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Aj Cho
amenoartemis@gmail.com
159 Santa Teresa
San Leandro, California 94579

From: Dudley and Candace Campbell
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:50:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Dudley and Candace Campbell
cdcampbl@roadrunner.com
13167 Ortley Pl
Valley Glen, California 91401

From: Birgit Hermann
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:54:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Birgit Hermann
bhermannsf@aol.com
627 Page St
San Francisco , California 94117

From: Steven Vogel
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 4:18:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Steven Vogel
steven.j.vogel@earthlink.net
449 Hampton Court
Falls Church, Virginia 22046-4121

From: Michael Palmieri
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 4:18:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Michael Palmieri
mpalmierigrancia@gmail.com
9431 SW 1st Pl # 3
Boca Raton, FL 33428-4420

From: Robb Fleischer
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 4:43:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Robb Fleischer

Email: rfleischer@amsiemail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: TYREE LESLIE
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Center for social justice Academy
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 4:50:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

TYREE LESLIE
leslietyree0@gmail.com
376 ELLIS APT 507
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94102

From: melvin taylor
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 5:03:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

melvin taylor
melvin-taylor@usa.net
6585 CalvineRoad
Sacramento, California 95823

From: Giselle Flores
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 5:19:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

Dear Supervisor Bilal Mahmood ,

I'm writing to urge you to restore Soda Tax Funding for GLIDE's Social Justice Academy in the final version of the city budget. Eliminating this program would not only silence some of our most impacted community members—it would dismantle a crucial platform for people like me, who have turned lived experience into leadership, and survival into purpose.

As someone in recovery, the Social Justice Academy gave me something I didn't know I was missing: a reason to keep going that was bigger than myself. For years, I battled substance use, feeling like my story had no value beyond pain. But through this program, I found my voice—and with it, a renewed sense of purpose.

The Academy helped me understand that my experiences weren't just trauma to carry—they were tools for change. Sitting across the table from decision-makers, I spoke not just for myself, but for those still in the struggle, those without homes, and those who feel invisible in a system that often overlooks them. I learned how to turn my recovery into advocacy, and to use my voice in spaces that rarely include people like me.

That kind of transformation cannot be measured by a budget line alone, but its impact ripples far beyond the classroom. The Social Justice Academy equips people not only to survive, but to lead. It's a rare space where people with lived experience of homelessness, addiction, and poverty are seen as experts—and treated as such.

If the current draft of the city budget moves forward without funding this program for the next three years, it will erase a vital pathway for community-led solutions. We talk so often about wanting equity, justice, and inclusion—this is what it looks like in practice.

Please, stand with us. Restore the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Protect the spaces that uplift the voices of those most impacted and most ready to lead.Thank you.

Giselle Flores
giselle.05f@gmail.com
535 Minna Street
San Francisco , California 94103

From: Jeffrey Allen
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 5:29:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Jeffrey Allen
jallen@tenderloinmuseum.org
398 Eddy Street
San Francisco , California 94102

From: Giselle Flores
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 5:29:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

Dear Supervisor Bilal Mahmood ,

I'm writing to urge you to restore Soda Tax Funding for GLIDE's Social Justice Academy in the final version of the city budget. Eliminating this program would not only silence some of our most impacted community members—it would dismantle a crucial platform for people like me, who have turned lived experience into leadership, and survival into purpose.

As someone in recovery, the Social Justice Academy gave me something I didn't know I was missing: a reason to keep going that was bigger than myself. For years, I battled substance use, feeling like my story had no value beyond pain. But through this program, I found my voice—and with it, a renewed sense of purpose.

The Academy helped me understand that my experiences weren't just trauma to carry—they were tools for change. Sitting across the table from decision-makers, I spoke not just for myself, but for those still in the struggle, those without homes, and those who feel invisible in a system that often overlooks them. I learned how to turn my recovery into advocacy, and to use my voice in spaces that rarely include people like me.

That kind of transformation cannot be measured by a budget line alone, but its impact ripples far beyond the classroom. The Social Justice Academy equips people not only to survive, but to lead. It's a rare space where people with lived experience of homelessness, addiction, and poverty are seen as experts—and treated as such.

If the current draft of the city budget moves forward without funding this program for the next three years, it will erase a vital pathway for community-led solutions. We talk so often about wanting equity, justice, and inclusion—this is what it looks like in practice.

Please, stand with us. Restore the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Protect the spaces that uplift the voices of those most impacted and most ready to lead.Thank you.

With deep sincerity,
Giselle Flores
A fellow in Social Justice Academy
giselle.05f@gmail.com

Giselle Flores
giselle.05f@gmail.com
535 Minna Street
San Francisco , California 94103

From: JL Angell
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 5:39:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

JL Angell
jangell@earthlink.net
2391 Ponderosa Rd
Rescue, California 95672

From: Robert Strelke
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:08:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Robert Strelke
rstrelke@comcast.net
7 Douglas Dr.
N. Easton, Massachusetts 02356

From: Tom Flint
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:30:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Tom Flint

Email thomasflint1@yahoo.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Susan Abby
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:33:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Susan Abby
mssueabby@aol.com
2117 Judah Street
San Francisco, CA 94122

From: Tiziana Perinotti
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:39:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Tiziana Perinotti
tgp_2001@hotmail.com
1111 Jones St.
San Francisco, California 94109

From: Mary Anne .Paul
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:46:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Mary Anne .Paul
maryannepaul@hotmail.com
186 Crestview Court
Watsonville, California 95076

From: Melissa Morales
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:47:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Melissa Morales
melissamoralesm@gmail.com
7224 Dolores St
San Francisco, California 94114

From: iambasque@gmail.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:51:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

iambasque@gmail.com
2775 SW 107th Avenue
Portland, Oregon 97225

From: Tony Segura
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 7:26:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Tony Segura
segura2112@yahoo.com
1700 Alta Dr
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

From: Lacey Hicks
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 8:14:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Lacey Hicks
laceyhicks@hotmail.com
4463 Hyde
Fremont, California 94538

From: Angela Griffin
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:13:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Angela Griffin
angelagriffin3535@gmail.com
260 30th street
Oakland, 96611

From: Lauren Murdock
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:28:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Lauren Murdock
murdock_ls@hotmail.com
3940 Via Lucero, Apt #16
Santa Barbara, California 93110-1650

From: Ashley Ouellette
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:32:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Ashley Ouellette
agirl1018@gmail.com
311 Granite Street
Biddeford, Maine 04005

From: (MR.,) CLAIRENCE PICKENS
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:36:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

(MR.,) CLAIRENCE PICKENS
pickensclairence159@gmail.com
62MontvilleSt, B
Hartford, Connecticut 06120

From: Janet Maker
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 7:49:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Janet Maker
janet29018@gmail.com
925 Malcolm Av.
Los Angeles, California 90024

From: Lena Fine
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 9:18:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Lena Fine
lenafine7@gmail.com
265 Union
Campbell , California 95008

From:	Elizabeth
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MahmoodStaff
Subject:	Zoo audit problem!!!
Date:	Wednesday, June 4, 2025 10:04:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a voter and tax payer in San Francisco, I urge you to **stop paying $4 million a year to an organization (the Zoo)** which does NOT comply with an audit! It is critical for San Francisco to take care of its finances -- especially in a year where the Mayor and Supervisors have to approve a lot of cuts to the budget! The **Zoo is NOT** a priority for San Francisco taxpayers!

We want you to cut their funding until they comply with the audit!!! Or to cut funding completely. Thank you.

Elizabeth Stahl
San Francisco

From: thenumber1murf@aol.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 10:18:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

thenumber1murf@aol.com
4400 W. riiverside Dr. Ste 110-309
Burbank, California 91505

From: Melanie Rogers
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 10:45:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Melanie Rogers
mrogers@glide.org
330 Ellis Street
San Francisco, California 94102

From: Sonny Lee
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 9:31:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent: Sonny Lee

Email: hobosf2@gmail.com

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received $311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received $2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is $425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA’s contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From: Anne Hoyer
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 12:02:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Anne Hoyer

Email: amhoyer2@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Anant Handa
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 12:17:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent: Anant Handa

Email: ananthanda@gmail.com

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received $311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received $2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is $425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA’s contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From: Jay Rice
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 12:59:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Jay Rice
jaysrice@comcast.net
72 Holstrom Cir
Novato, California 94947-2075

From: Joyce Sabel
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 1:10:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Joyce Sabel
voicejoy@hotmail.com
2508 Lake St
San Francisco, California 94121

From: Regina Islas
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Budget Proposal 2025/6
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 1:44:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk and Supervisors,

1. NO OT THIS YEAR for the SFPD, not One Dime. Make it YOUR MANTRA The 10B program must be eviscerated FULL STOP it is a cesspool of corruption as we learned by the Asst Chief's presentation here in APR. ALL THE NON-PROFs SERVING SF VULNERABLE citizens COULD'VE BEEN FULLY FUNDED WITH the wasted OT MONEY! THERE MUST BE CONSEQUENCES FOR OT VIOLATORS -
2. Spend the 500M FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING that has amassed FROM to Prop I THAT WE APPROVED BY OUR VOTES, NOW, TODAY, WITHOUT FURTHER DELAY OR FOOT DRAGGING BY THE MAYOR OR ANYONE ELSE. THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING NEED IS URGENT AS YOU KNOW.
3. NO FURTHER CUTS TO MUNI PERSONNEL OR CURTAILING LINES WE SHOULD BE EXPANDING AND IMPROVING THIS VITAL & CRITICAL SERVICE TO OUR CITY USE THE GENERAL FUNDS THIS IS THE RAINY DAY! I JUST RETURNED FROM A TRIP TO CHICAGO WHERE I COULD TAKE TRAINS AND BUSES

THAT ARRIVED IN LESS THAN 20-30 MINS TO GET EVERYWHERE-WE EXPECT NO LESS OF OUR TRANSIT HERE!

Onward together,

Regina Islas
regina.islas@gmail.com
650.484.7706

From: jtorres1950
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS); FireAdministration, FIR (FIR)
Cc: maliya.ellis@hearst.com; maxhc@sfstandard.com
Subject: Rethinking Firefighting in San Francisco
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 2:50:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor, Board of Supervisors, SFFD Chief,

The **San Francisco Fire Department (SFFD) absorbs over $280 million** in the two-year budget—an enormous allocation for a city where large-scale fires are increasingly rare. With **over 150,000 emergency calls annually**, mostly for medical issues rather than fires, the time has come to reassess how resources are allocated and whether taxpayers are funding an outdated model.

Optimizing Emergency Response

Despite their primary training for firefighting, **over 70% of calls responded to by SFFD are medical emergencies** rather than fires. **Paramedics—who receive superior medical training at a lower cost—are better suited for these cases**. San Francisco has **over 1,500 firefighters**, yet its fire department continues to prioritize traditional staffing models instead of adapting to modern emergency needs. By scaling back firefighter staffing and reinvesting in paramedics, the city could **ensure faster, more efficient medical responses**. Seeing a firefighter, who makes $200K/year hose a firetruck or broom a site is painful when someone performs the same function for less.

Moving Away from Outdated Models

Large-scale fires are far less common in modern cities. In **Tokyo (population: 14 million), annual fire incidents average just 4,000**, while **San Francisco (population: 800,000) reports under 1,500 fires annually**—proof that massive fire departments are largely unnecessary today. Some cities rely on **volunteer firefighters**, a model that could help San Francisco **reduce payroll expenses while maintaining flexibility** in emergency response.

Restructuring Responsibilities

Building inspections should be conducted by professional inspectors rather than firefighters. **Currently, over 40% of SFFD personnel perform non-fire-related duties**, inflating costs without significantly improving safety. Specialized building inspectors would provide **a more effective and cost-efficient system** for fire prevention. I understand the need to justify the high pay with additional responsibilities, but it comes at the cost of taxpayers.

Addressing Overtime and Pay Reform

SFFD firefighters **work three-day weeks**, and many earn substantial overtime—often exceeding their base salaries. In **2022, the average SFFD firefighter earned over $150,000**,

with some topping **$250,000 after overtime**. On the list of highest paid employees, firefighters are up there with police, sometimes making $500K/year. With **mandatory overtime costs skyrocketing**, San Francisco should reassess whether taxpayers should continue to fund excessive labor costs when restructuring could lead to **more equitable pay distribution**. For a group that proclaims repeatedly they care, we need to eliminate overtime. We have prisoners fighting wild-land fires, which are inarguable more dangerous, hotter, and more destructive, and they are happy to do it with less pay.

Scaling Back Costs

San Francisco continues to **purchase large fire trucks costing over $1.5 million each**, despite declining fire incidents. **More than 60% of fire engines** and **90% of fire trucks and ambulances** in the city exceed their recommended service life, with some emergency vehicles still in use after **50 years**. Many modern fire trucks are over-engineered, serving more as status symbols than practical tools. Cities must have fire trucks, or it won't "look right". **Smaller, specialized rapid-response vehicles—already used in European cities—could reduce staffing needs and maintenance costs** while improving response times. Even with the private funding proposal, the money would be better spent on more effective equipment.

Reforming Disability Payouts

Firefighter disability claims have ballooned, with **nearly 35% of retired firefighters receiving full disability benefits**, many under questionable circumstances. **Restructuring the profession to limit unnecessary physical risks** can protect workers while **reducing abuse of public funds**.

Family Connections

Many fire departments have a tradition of hiring family members. In some cities, **over 30% of firefighters come from families with prior firefighting experience.** Anecdotal reports suggest that in certain regions, **firefighter candidates with family connections have a significantly higher chance of being hired** compared to those without. We get it: Sell the story that the job is dangerous, but keep the job within the family. Nepotism exists everywhere. Now that we know, we can do something about it and reform the gig.

A Smarter Path Forward

San Francisco has moved far beyond the era of devastating urban fires, yet **its budget continues to support outdated firefighting models**. With **medical emergencies now the dominant service need**, the city should **modernize emergency response, restructure firefighter roles, and reinvest in smarter solutions**—ensuring resources go where they’re needed most.

Sent with Proton Mail secure email.

From: Gloria Fooks
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 3:09:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Gloria Fooks
gloriafooks@att.net
450 Park Dr
Saint Clair, Missouri 63077

From: Jackie Fletcher
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 5:14:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Jackie Fletcher

Email jfletch02@me.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Jackie Fletcher
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 5:19:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent: Jackie Fletcher

Email: jfletch02@me.com

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received $311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received $2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is $425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA’s contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From: Denise Selleck
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 6:56:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent: Denise Selleck

Email: deniselleck@sbcglobal.net

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received $311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received $2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is $425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA’s contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From: Mitchell Smith
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 7:16:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent: Mitchell Smith

Email: htimsm1@gmail.com

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received $311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received $2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is $425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA’s contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From: Barbara Dwyer
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 7:41:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent: Barbara Dwyer

Email: montereydivingwoman@gmail.com

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations which then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received $311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received $2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is $425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA’s contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

Barbara J Dwyer
District 8

From: Tom Flint
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:33:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent: Tom Flint

Email: thomasflint1@yahoo.com

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received $311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received $2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is $425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA’s contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From: Judi Gorski
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 7:35:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent: Judi Gorski

Email: judigorski@gmail.com

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received $311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received $2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is $425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

Judi Gorski,
SF Resident 45+ years

From: michael ryan
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 7:41:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent: michael ryan

Email: mmryan2@hotmail.com

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received $311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received $2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is $425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA’s contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From: Jasmine Madatian
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 4:40:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent: Jasmine Madatian

Email: madatian.j@gmail.com

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies. Their agendas often work AGAINST the needs of seniors and the disabled.

Walk SF received $311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received $2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is $425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA’s contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From: ROBERT GEASE
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 12:42:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent: ROBERT GEASE

Email: robgease@yahoo.com

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received $311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received $2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is $425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA’s contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From: Cornell Lee
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 12:51:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent: Cornell Lee

Email: corny1215@gmail.com

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received $311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received $2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is $425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA’s contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From:	Dorka Keehn
To:	ChenStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS)
Cc:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	6/12/25 Budget Hearing: Erasure of DEPT on STATUS ON WOMEN & Merger with Human Rights Agency
Date:	Tuesday, June 10, 2025 3:17:46 PM
Attachments:	COSW budget letter.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dorka Keehn
KEEHN ON ART
keehnonart.com

June 10,2025

Supervisor Connie Chan
Supervisor Joel Engardio
Supervisor Shamann Walton
Supervisor Rafael Mandelman
San Francisco City Hall
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Supervisors:

As a former Commission on the Status of Women (COSW) Commissioner, It is deeply disappointing—and frankly shocking—to see a proposal that disregards over 30 years of vital work and accomplishments by COSW and the Department on the Status of Women (DOSW). I am alarmed and strongly object to the Mayor's proposal to erase the Department in this budget and subjugate the Commission under this newly named Agency of Human Rights. At a time when misogyny is resurging in national and federal policy, San Francisco should be strengthening—not dismantling—our institutional commitment to gender equity.

In 1994, San Francisco voters approved the inclusion of COSW in our City Charter with overwhelming support—70%. Legally and ethically, how can a chartered commission be subsumed under a new department without violating the will of the voters and undermining its purpose?

For three decades, COSW has been a national model. It has:

- Led initiatives that significantly reduced domestic violence and homicides.
- Protected the City from costly lawsuits through work on sexual harassment policies.
- Coordinated efforts to combat human trafficking.
- Served as a critical advocate for gender equity across all city departments.

Why would our City leaders erase this progress? Why now, when the need for equity-driven leadership is as urgent as ever?

This proposal sends the wrong message to women and families in San Francisco—that their safety, dignity, and representation are expendable. I urge you to protect the integrity of COSW. Uphold the Charter. Preserve the leadership infrastructure that generations of women fought to build. In advance, thank you for your commitment to justice and equity.

Sincerely,



Dorka Keehn
President, COSW (1999-2010)
Co-Founder, Emerge America, Ignite National, Women Count.

From:	Chanel Blackwell
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS)
Cc:	Alan Wong
Subject:	Free City College
Date:	Tuesday, June 10, 2025 7:31:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Budget & Appropriation Committee, I hope you're doing well.

In 2016, San Francisco citizens voted for free City College under Proposition W. It became a great success, and taxpayers at the ballot box extended this initiative for ten years in 2019.

We have been aware that the budget proposal from the Mayor's administration was delivered last week. I have cc City College board member Alan Wong to add a comment if you would like Alan. It would be greatly appreciated if some of the remaining half of the 212 million budget for 2024-20-25 issued by the previous administration could be used to keep the institution free, including 7.2 million, which is as promised for this fall, 2025-2026.

It’s only been five years since this became legislated. Every S.F. citizen has the right to this free education, given so graciously by voters. Knowing that money is allocated to this is comforting; we are responsible for making San Francisco a remarkable city educationally, which in turn increases economic success, prevents homelessness, improves mental health, and reduces crime. A mind is a terrible waste when the sources are not there.

With great pride, our city is the first to provide free City College tuition nationwide, and it would be wonderful if we could keep this historic opportunity, setting a tone for others. It's part of what makes San Francisco great.

Please consider voting to approve keeping SF City College free in the Mayor's budget proposal.

Thank you for serving the citizens of SF, and have a good one.

2/08/san-francisco-to-be-the-first-us-city-to-offer-free-college.html

Chanel Blackwell
ENDC BOE, Director of Higher Education
1(415)3414936

From:	Tina Baburyan
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Public comment - opposing privatization of golf courses (file # 250589)
Date:	Sunday, June 8, 2025 7:48:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good evening,

My name is Tina Baburyan and I am a resident of San Francisco. I am writing to respectfully request that you reject the portion of the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget that would pave the way for privatizing our city's public golf courses.

Public courses such as Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles are more than just recreational facilities—they are essential civic assets. These open spaces provide low-cost access to outdoor activity, promote physical and mental wellness, and serve as inclusive gathering spaces for residents from all walks of life. Moreover, they play a vital role in preserving green space, supporting biodiversity, and offering ecological benefits in a densely populated city.
Transferring management of these courses to private entities undermines these public values. A private operator is fundamentally motivated by profit, not by equity, access, or stewardship of public land.

Privatization threatens to increase costs, restrict access for vulnerable populations, and erode the public's voice in how these spaces are managed and maintained. Such changes would disproportionately impact children, seniors, and working-class San Franciscans who rely on these courses for affordable, accessible recreation. While I recognize the fiscal pressures facing the city, turning over management of public lands to private hands is a short-sighted response to a temporary challenge. Once lost, public control is rarely restored. We should be looking toward creative, sustainable revenue solutions that do not compromise the public character or accessibility of our green spaces.

I urge the Committee to stand in defense of public land and reject any budgetary measures that would enable the privatization of San Francisco's public golf courses.

Thank you,
Tina Baburyan

From: Hovhanes Hovhanessian
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: PUBLIC COMMENT OPPOSING GOLF COURSE PRIVATIZATION FILE # 250589
Date: Sunday, June 8, 2025 7:27:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Public comment opposing golf course privatization (file no. 250589)

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is Hovhanes Hovhanessian, and I live in San Francisco. I'm reaching out today to strongly urge you to reject the proposed budget from the Recreation and Parks Department, specifically the part about privatizing our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public courses—Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are essential to our city. These spaces belong to the people of San Francisco. They provide affordable recreation, preserve green space in an urban environment, and offer a welcoming space for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. And these courses aren't just for golfers—they serve as parks that provide wildlife habitats and offer significant health benefits to our community. The city has a responsibility to maintain them in a way that's accessible to everyone.

A private company simply won't have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatizing these courses could lead to higher fees, restricted access, and less community involvement in how they're managed. We shouldn't risk making these spaces unaffordable or exclusive, especially when they're a resource for children, seniors, and low-income families. These golf courses are public assets, and they should be managed by the city to serve all San Franciscans—not by private companies looking to turn a profit. Privatization is a quick fix to a temporary issue, and once it's done, it's hard to undo.

I believe we can find other ways to generate revenue without sacrificing the public nature and accessibility of these vital spaces.

I ask this Committee to protect our public land and keep access to these recreational spaces fair and equitable for all. Please reject any proposals that would privatize San Francisco's public golf courses.

Thank you.

From:	Darius Sadeghi
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date:	Sunday, June 8, 2025 7:22:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

My name is Darius Sadeghi, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you

From: Craig Browning
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 4:10:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Craig Browning
youboob135@gmail.com
PO Box 2186
Alameda , California 94501

From: Lisa Rofel
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 3:21:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them. We in San Francisco have offered far too little support in recent years for people living on the edge.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Lisa Rofel
lrofel@ucsc.edu
51 Bradford Street
San Francisco, California 94110

From: Daniel Cunningham
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 3:22:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Daniel Cunningham
danielcnyc@gmail.com
30 Terra Teresa
Lafayette, California 94549

From: Elizabeth Ramirez
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 3:23:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Elizabeth Ramirez
cyclonliz53@gmail.com
2741 Winter St
Los Angeles, California 90033

From: Dudley and Candace Campbell
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 3:24:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Dudley and Candace Campbell
cdcampbl@roadrunner.com
13167 Ortley Pl
Valley Glen, California 91401

From: Sofia Weiss Goitiandia
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 3:28:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Sofia Weiss Goitiandia
sofia.weissgoitiandia@ucsf.edu
43 Lapidge Street
San Francisco, California 94110

From: Archna Eniasivam
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 3:41:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Archna Eniasivam
archna.eniasivam@gmail.com
428 Hugo Street
San Francisco, California 94122

From: jennifer.jesse88@gmail.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 3:43:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

jennifer.jesse88@gmail.com
22406 Fairlawn Circle, Apt 2
Fairview Park, Ohio 44126

From: Andrew Bouskos
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 3:45:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Andrew Bouskos
andrewbouskos@gmail.com
155 Mayfair Dr
San Francisco, California 94118-2763

From: heiser@sfsu.edu
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 3:52:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

heiser@sfsu.edu
1600 Holloway Ave
San Francisco, California 94132

From: AJ cho
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 4:04:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

AJ cho
amenoartemis@gmail.com
159 Santa Teresa
San Leandro, California 94579

From: Angela Griffin
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 4:28:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Angela Griffin
angelagriffin3535@gmail.com
260 30th apt 717
Oakland, California 94611

From: Jean Wiant
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 4:29:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Jean Wiant
wiant84@gmail.com
117 E Oak Ln
Glenolden, Pennsylvania 19036

From: Tom Anderson
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 4:32:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Tom Anderson
tom@clutterboy.com
1032 west Remington Drive 6-14
Sunnyvale , California 94087

From: Alexander RedHat
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 4:37:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Alexander RedHat
aredhat@glide.org
1633 Newcomb ave
San Francisco, California 94124

From: Lauren Murdock
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 4:50:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Lauren Murdock
murdock_ls@hotmail.com
3940 Via Lucero, Apt #16
Santa Barbara, California 93110-1650

From: Jon Singleton
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 5:19:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Jon Singleton
photoniqueer@gmail.com
45 Rockefeller Plz
New York, New York 10118

From: MS Wise LCSW
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 5:43:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

MS Wise LCSW
msw317@nyu.edu
PO Box 590977
San Francisco , California 94159

From: Monte Poole
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 5:44:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Monte Poole
montepoole0902@gmail.com
21973 Nugget Canyon Drive
Castro Valley, California 94552

From: Saaleha Bey
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 6:47:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Saaleha Bey
sbey@redf.org
2378 Sheldon Drive
Fairfield, California 94533

From: Ron Nieberding
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 7:09:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Ron Nieberding
nieberding2@gmail.com
18 10th St Apt 640
San Francisco, California 94103

From: Wendy Hafkenschiel
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 7:28:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

This is a vital program that helps the people in training and the community they will serve. Please, do not cut this program.

Wendy Hafkenschiel
wendyhhh17@gmail.com
1100 Westridge Drive
Portola Valley , California 94028

From: Amy Zink
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 7:37:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Amy Zink
adzink29@hotmail.com
400 Wayne Ave
Oakland, California 94606

From: Angie Baker
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 7:43:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Angie Baker
angbak@yahoo.com
8387 Old Charlotte Pike
Pegram, Tennessee 37143

From: Richard Watson
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 7:44:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Richard Watson
rwatson35@hotmail.com
4709 Falcon Ave
Long Beach , California 90807

From: Ron Parsons
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 7:46:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Ron Parsons
flowershots@hotmail.com
104 Claremont Ave
South San Francisco, California 94080

From: Lois Jordan
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 8:01:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Lois Jordan
lmjor@aol.com
9161 E Walnut Tree Dr
Tucson, Arizona 85749

From: Lisa Marvin
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 8:16:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Lisa Marvin
Lisam94598@yahoo.com
5455 kirkwood drive
Concord , California 94521

From: Chris Busby
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 8:20:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Chris Busby
witchywomanhor@yahoo.com
4562 Sherrilltown Road
Watertown, Tennessee 37184

From: Michael Solis
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 8:24:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Michael Solis
solismichael705@yahoo.comi
2150 Santa Cruz Ln
League City, Texas 77573

From: casee maxfield
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 8:27:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

casee maxfield
storyspice@yahoo.com
1737 N Sycamore Ave Apt 213
Los Angeles, CA 90028-8647

From: Kelly Larkin
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 8:29:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Kelly Larkin
mhmcmwb@aol.com
303 Main Street
Hempstead , New York 11550

From: james acierno
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 8:31:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

james acierno
aciernojames@yahoo.com
4630 border village rd. #283
san ysidro, California 92173

From: Phillip Hope
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 8:37:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Phillip Hope
phillip.hope@gmail.com
319 Avenue C
New York, New York 10009

From: Bobak Esfandiari
Cc: Emma Hare
Subject: SF Democratic Party"s Resolution Urging Funding For Behavioral Health Treatment Beds In Upcoming San Francisco Budget Cycle
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 8:47:58 PM
Attachments: 2025-05-Resolution_Encouraging_Funding_For_Behavioral_health_Treatment_Beds_In_Upcoming_San_Francisco_Budget_Cycle_Combined.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To all interested parties,

On May 28th, 2025 the San Francisco Democratic Party passed a resolution pertaining to the funding of behavioral health treatment beds in the upcoming budget cycle.

The resolution was passed with 24 aye votes, and 5 abstentions by the members present at the meeting and was introduced by Vice Chair Emma Hare.

A certificate detailing the vote count is included on the last page of the PDF. This resolution, and all of our published resolutions can also be found here.

If you have further questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to reach out to myself or the author, who is copied on this email.

Best,
Bobak Esfandiari

--
Bobak Esfandiari
Executive Director, San Francisco Democratic Party
bobak@sfdems.org | *+1.925.899.2409* *he/him*

RESOLUTION URGING FUNDING FOR BEHAVIORAL HEALTH TREATMENT BEDS IN UPCOMING SAN FRANCISCO BUDGET CYCLE

Principal Author: Emma Hare
Co-Sponsors: Mike Chen, Lanier Coles, Michael Lai

WHEREAS, San Francisco's current behavioral health treatment capacity does not meet the needs of individuals who suffer from behavioral health issues on our streets.[1] An estimated 135 residential treatment beds are needed to address this shortage and provide adequate support to those who need it in our community; and.[2]

WHEREAS, Even though the City currently budgets a significant amount toward treatment beds, the types of clients needing support have become more complex and require more intensive services than external contractors are able to provide.[3] This issue is especially relevant given its impact on SF's most marginalized communities – namely its queer population, Black and Brown residents, and people with limited access to healthcare; and.

WHEREAS, The passage of California Proposition 1 in last year's primary guarantees a portion of funding to increasing the treatment bed capacity across the state.[4] Furthermore, Mayor Daniel Lurie has publicized a need to balance San Francisco's city budget and seek creative solutions and funding sources to the City's issues; and.[5]

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, The San Francisco Democratic Party urges City Supervisors and Mayor Daniel Lurie to use California Proposition 1 funding and the General Fund to provide a minimum of 135 additional behavioral health treatment beds to enable the City to provide for San Franciscans with the most acute needs; and

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this resolution shall be transmitted to Mayor Daniel Lurie, the the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, the San Francisco Controller, the San Francisco Budget Director, and the San Francisco Department of Public Health.

---

[1]https://www.sf.gov/news--city-workgroup-calls-urgent-leveraging-state-funding-expand-behavioral-health-placements
[2]https://www.sfexaminer.com/news/health/sf-report-finds-city-needs-up-to-135-more-treatment-beds/article_6f3017fa-cfb0-11ef-95ff-131f9b396223.html
[3] https://sfstandard.com/2024/10/24/homelessness-mental-health-beds/
[4] https://lao.ca.gov/BallotAnalysis/Proposition?number=1&year=2024
[5] https://sfstandard.com/2024/10/24/homelessness-mental-health-beds/

PROPOUNDED BY: Hare
ADOPTED: 5-28-2025
RESOLUTION NO. 2025-05-Health Beds

SF DEMS
SAN FRANCISCO DEMOCRATIC PARTY

Date Passed: May 28, 2025

Resolution Number: 2025-05-Health Beds

Aye: 24 – Akbar, Alioto-Pier, Arce, Calamuci, Chen, Coles, Dorsey, Gupta, Hare, Ho, Lai, Mahmood, Kingsbury, Mar, Nguyen, Philhour, Sangirardi, Tu, Tung, Pelosi, Ma, Wiener, Haney, Stefani

Nay: 0 – None

Abstain: 5 – Avalos, Chan, Kim, Kounalakis, Cohen

Absent: 3 – Chandler, Gallotta, Mullin

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED on May 28, 2025 by the San Francisco Democratic Party.

Bobak Esfandiari

Bobak Esfandiari
Executive Director

SAN FRANCISCO DEMOCRATIC COUNTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

OFFICERS
Nancy Tung, Chair
Dan Calamuci, First Vice Chair
Cedric Akbar, Third Vice Chair
Emma Hare, Fourth Vice Chair
Michael Lai, Finance Director
Mike Chen, Internal Operations Director
Lanier Coles, External Operations Director

MEMBERS
Michela Alioto-Pier
Joshua Arce
John Avalos
Connie Chan
Trevor Chandler
Matt Dorsey
Peter Gallotta
Parag Gupta
Lily Ho
Jane Kim
Eric Kingsbury
Bilal Mahmood
Gordon Mar
Michael Nguyen
Marjan Philhour
Joe Sangirardi
Jade Tu

EX OFFICIO MEMBERS
U.S. Speaker Emerita Nancy Pelosi
U.S. Congressmember Kevin Mullin
Lieutenant Governor Eleni Kounalakis
State Treasurer Fiona Ma
State Controller Malia Cohen
State Senator Scott Wiener
State Assemblymember Matt Haney
State Assemblymember Catherine Stefani

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
Bobak Esfandiari

San Francisco Democratic Party
1390 Market Street, Suite 200
San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 226-9771
info@sfdems.org
www.sfdems.org

From: Bruce Ross
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 8:51:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Bruce Ross
brucetwin62@yahoo.com
3707 Landon Park Dr
Katy, Texas 77449-6146

From: Shawn Jones
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 8:56:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Shawn Jones
jonsha338@aol.com
633 Hanford Street
Pismo Beach, California 93449

From: Milo Matthews
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 8:56:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Milo Matthews
milomatthews@aol.com
1424 36th Avenue
San Francisco, California 94122

From: Susan Ford
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 9:14:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent: Susan Ford

Email: susan.ford103@gmail.com

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received $311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received $2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is $425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA’s contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From: Mark Giordani
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 9:23:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Mark Giordani
magiordani@aol.com
21200 Kittridge Street Apt 3200
Woodland Hills , California 91303

From: Michael Palmieri
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 9:43:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Michael Palmieri

Michael Palmieri
mpalmierigrancia@gmail.com
9431 SW 1st Pl # 3
Boca Raton, FL 33428-4420

From: Jessica Rosenberg
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Use our tax dollars for our community
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 9:43:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

The soda tax revenue should be used as intended, to improve health disparities in the communities least able to access food alternatives. The grants funded by the tax were being called a huge win for the city just a few years ago. Cutting such programs (such as those for oral health in underserved communities!) goes against the wishes of voters and will have long-term deleterious effects on the city, particularly its communities of color.

Jessica Rosenberg
rosenj@duck.com
286 Monterey Blvd Apt B
San Francisco, California 94131

From: Maryann LaNew
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 10:03:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Maryann LaNew
maryann.lanew48@gmail.com
12 Corte Loarre
San Clemente, California 92673

From: gloria boyd
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 10:10:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

gloria boyd
gboyd805@charter.net
301 Buena Fortuna Circle
Atascadero, California 93422

From: Matthew Boguske
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 10:17:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities, is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Matthew Boguske
mboguske@yahoo.com
7678 Park Ave
Lowville, New York 13367

From: Scott Korman
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 10:18:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Scott Korman
skorman06@gmail.com
27110 Grand Central Pkwy Apt 28K
Floral Park, New York 11005

From: Aimee Zawitz
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 10:47:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Aimee Zawitz
friscofolklore415@gmail.com
1620 Castro Street
San Francisco, California 94114

From: Tem Narvios
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 11:17:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Tem Narvios
winevitable@sbcglobal.net
1425 Visitacion Ave, San Francisco, CA, USA
San Francisco, California 94134

From: caephren@gmail.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 12:31:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

caephren@gmail.com
392 44th Street
Oakland, California 94609

From: Jeffrey Hurwitz
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 12:32:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Jeffrey Hurwitz
jahurwitzhome@cs.com
584 42nd Ave
San Francisco, California 94121

From: Johnny Pujols
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 12:52:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Johnny Pujols
CHRYSOAR@HOTMAIL.COM
440 S. BERENDO
Los Angeles, California 90020

From: Jan-Paul Alon
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 2:37:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Jan-Paul Alon
jpalon@yahoo.com
5 Pebble Lane
Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08002

From: Patricia DeLuca
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 3:23:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Patricia DeLuca
rickscustompainting@comcast.net
45 Inlets Blvd, Nokomis, FL 34275, USA
Nokomis, Florida 34275

From: Kicab Castaneda-Mendez
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 3:37:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Kicab Castaneda-Mendez
kicabcm@yahoo.com
878 Fearrington Post
Pittsboro, North Carolina 27312

From: mjhoward1982@rocketmail.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 4:16:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

mjhoward1982@rocketmail.com
711D Seagirt Av Apt 4-J
Far Rockaway, New York 11691

From: Jessica Oppenheimer
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 6:33:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Jessica Oppenheimer
jessieoppi@gmail.com
557 Anderson Street
San Francisco, California 94110-6004

From: ramekon oarwisters
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 6:51:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

ramekon oarwisters
ramekon@gmail.com
1320 stevenson street
san francisco , California 94103

From: Ann Bailey
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 7:14:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

I live in Texas and we need innovative programs that are effectively improving the quality of life and our communities here as well. Please fund the Social Justice Academy that is a model for the rest of the country!

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Ann Bailey
a.bailey@tcu.edu
5700 Wonder Drive
Fort Worth, Texas 76133

From: Robert Strelke
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 7:53:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Robert Strelke
rstrelke@comcast.net
7 Douglas Dr.
N. Easton, Massachusetts 02356

From: Nelou Nazifi
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 7:57:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Nelou Nazifi
neloun@comcast.net
8420 Lakehaven court
Fair Oaks, California 95628

From: elprefon@rprefontaine.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 7:57:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

elprefon@rprefontaine.com
19 Maple St.
Hopkinton, Massachusetts 01748

From: Todd Snyder
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 8:09:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Todd Snyder
novicedetective@gmail.com
1941 Turk st
San Francisco, California 94115

From: magick@sonic.net
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 8:16:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

magick@sonic.net
2060 Sutter St #408
San Francisco, California 94115

From: TIA TRIPLETT
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 8:21:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

TIA TRIPLETT
tia@anlf.com
3959 Berryman Avenue
Los Angeles, California 90066

From: blackwomannpower@gmail.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 8:32:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

blackwomannpower@gmail.com
2246 EAST 32ND STREET
OAKLAND, California 94602

From: Mary Anne Paul
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 8:54:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Mary Anne Paul
maryannepaul@hotmail.com
186 Crestview Court
Watsonville, California 95076

From: Cheryl Sinclair
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 9:11:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Cheryl Sinclair
cheryl_sinclair@comcast.net
332 Genevieve Avenue
Pacifica, California 94044

From: David Hall
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 10:36:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

David Hall
dlhall0001@yahoo.com
7870 Ormond Rd
Davisburg, Michigan 48350

From: PETER ring-revotskie
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 11:26:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

PETER ring-revotskie
anillopedro@gmail.com
14 Bedford Cove
San Rafael, California 94901

From: Jeanette Driskell
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 1:45:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Jeanette Driskell
nette201968@yahoo.com
201 E 12th St Apt. 303
Oakland, California 94606-2208

From: Gabriela Perez Aguilar
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 1:48:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Gabriela Perez Aguilar
siboneyaguilar@icloud.com
900 Oak Street
San Francisco, California 94117

From: Nikki Dove
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 1:49:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Nikki Dove
ndove@glide.org
330 Ellis St
San Francisco, California 94102

From: Viet Chevez
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 1:49:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Viet Chevez
vietjo@hotmail.com
245 Leavenworth St. Apt. 2
San Francisco, California 94102

From: Shapreece Glenn
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 1:50:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Shapreece Glenn
smartinez@glide.org
330 Ellis street
San Francisco , California 94102

From: Samaria Pineda
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 1:50:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Samaria Pineda
samariapineda12@gmail.com
160 highland ave
San Francisco, California 94110

From: Orlanza Tom
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 1:54:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Orlanza Tom
orlanzatim9@gmail.com
88 6th street
San Francisco , California 94102

From: Nia Naval
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: City Budget Plea - Fund Nutrition Advocacy
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 1:55:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I live in Japantown and work in the Tenderloin, and I've seen firsthand the incredible impact that GLIDE's Social Justice Academy has had on our city. I am writing to ask you not to cut funding to their program, which is Soda Tax-funded. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue their Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Nia Naval
niamnaval@gmail.com
1750 Sutter St. Apt 304
San Francisco, California 94115

From: Anthony Genovea
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 1:56:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Anthony Genovea
agenovea@glide.org
330 Ellis st
San francisco ca, California 94102

From: Victoria Lee
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 1:57:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Victoria Lee
vlee@glide.org
330 Ellis st
SanFrancisco, California 94102

From: Zoe Ellis
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 2:00:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Zoe Ellis
zlesings@gmail.com
2431 Woolsey St
Berkeley, California 94705

From: Regina Wells Rashida Oji
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 2:02:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

Hello! I hope you are well. Though, I work in San Francisco and I am housed in Richmond, my heart longs for some of the beautiful times when I, Black woman could walk the streets of San Francisco in justice and freedom as a Russian Hill resident and SF voter. Now there's a new "massa" in town and the people must school him.

I am writing to ask you to RESTORE FUNDING TO Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Regina Wells Rashida Oji
ireginawells@gmail.com
2638 Clinton
Richmond, California 94804

From: Makyl Haggerty
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 3:03:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Makyl Haggerty
mhaggerty@glide.org
330 Ellis St
San Francisco, California 94104

From: Cheryl Sinclair
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 3:13:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Cheryl Sinclair
cheryl_sinclair@comcast.net
332 Genevieve Avenue
Pacifica, California 94044

From: Katerina Maza
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 3:15:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Katerina Maza
2003nanabanana@gmail.com
3051 treat blvd
Concord , California 94518

From: Thomas Harrington
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 3:15:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Thomas Harrington
tharrington@glide.org
1300 Mariposa St
Richmond, California 94804

From: Evelyn Davison
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 3:17:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Evelyn Davison
evelyn1031@comcast.net
2394 40th Ave.
San Francisco, California 94116

From: Erin Nolan
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 3:21:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Erin Nolan
erin.nolan812@gmail.com
4812 Balboa Way
Fremont, California 94536

From: Liz Oates
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 3:24:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Liz Oates
loates@glide.org
2916 Martin Luther King Jr. Way
Oakland, California 94609

From: Tino Calenda
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 3:25:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

I addition to being a community centered and directed initiative thisbw9upd provide a public safety function by promoting community memebers into roles that directly serve the community.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Tino Calenda
tcalenda@glide.org
330 Ellis Street 6th Floor
San Francisco , California 94102

From: Michael Discepola
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 3:26:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Michael Discepola
vincentsfca@gmail.com
82 Carr Street
SF , California 94124

From: Janet Ector
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 3:26:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Janet Ector
jector58@gmail.com
20 Persia Ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: Brigid Behrens
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 4:44:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

I have seen the incredible impact of GLIDE's Social Justice Academy, the members of the academy are empowered and educated so that they can be advocates for themselves and others. It is a perfect example of what transformational learning can do for someone's view of themselves and how that ripples through their community. Both the Tenderloin neighborhood and the larger San Francisco community are better off for the existence of the program.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Brigid Behrens
bbehrens@glide.org
728 Taylor Street
San Francisco, California 94108

From: Martha Gorzycki
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Restore Soda Tax Funding that Supports Critical City Programs
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 4:50:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you to please restore funding to San Francisco's Soda Tax-funded programs. These monies are critical for the transformative work of GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. GLIDE's program is an essential Tenderloin space that ensures marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE empowers Black communities in the Tenderloin through research and advocacy for improved nutrition. This is critical social justice work for a neighborhood with significant housing and food insecurity. This funding supports community leaders who collaborate with members of our marginalized communities to develop transforming and sustainable solutions to the daily inequity crisis in San Francisco.

Chronic health disparities persist among communities of color. GLIDE's Social Justice Academy is a lifeline for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

PLEASE continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue the Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. When one person in our community starves, we all starve. Thank you.
Martha Gorzycki, San Francisco

Martha Gorzycki
mgorzycki@yahoo.com
70 Crestline Drive Apt 9
San Francisco, California 94131

From: Joel Yates
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 5:31:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Joel Yates
joeloyates@gmail.com
220 Golden Gate Ave, Apt.417
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94102

From: Sophie Aissen
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 6:18:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

Dear Representatives:
I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

I see how peer to peer environments foster trust and make challenging topics approachable. Witnessing the sincerity and grace of the leaders that have come through the Social Justice Academy is evidence to me that the effort and investment pays off.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Sophie Aissen

Sophie Aissen
sophaissen@gmail.com
PO Box 23921
Oakland, California 94623

From: Hadley Salz
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 6:18:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.
Hadley Salz
Glide Employee

Hadley Salz
hsalz@glide.org
7901 Winthrope St
Oakland, California 94605

From: Scott Grinthal
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Friday, June 6, 2025 7:51:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Scott Grinthal
sgrinthal@yahoo.com
2721 55th Ave
Oakland, California 94605

From: Rose Lacy
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Friday, June 6, 2025 10:48:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Rose Lacy
rlacy853@gmail.com
2374 25th Avenue
San Francisco, California 94116

From: Mikayla Brown
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Friday, June 6, 2025 11:37:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

Hello, I am a recent college graduate working in San Francisco and apart of the vibrant community in the Tenderloin. I am writing to ask you to not cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

If we really want to see an improved city for all, we will invest in our training grounds, like GLIDE's Center for Social Justice programs. If we really want to see an improved city for ALL, we will pay attention to the children, elderly, and people with disabilities who depend on what little the city can spare for us. Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Mikayla Brown
mikaylabrown09@gmail.com
6525 Shattuck Ave.
Oakland, California 94609

From: Ann Robertson
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Friday, June 6, 2025 2:19:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Ann Robertson
annrobertson624@gmail.com
624 Dwight Street
San Francisco, California 94134

From: Karl Kramer
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Friday, June 6, 2025 7:29:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Karl Kramer
asej.net@gmail.com
2973 16th Streer 3rd floor
San Francisco, California 94103

From: jtorres1950
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS); FireAdministration, FIR (FIR)
Cc: maliya.ellis@hearst.com; maxhc@sfstandard.com; ida.mojadad@sfchronicle.com; tori.gaines@fox.com
Subject: Re: Rethinking Firefighting in San Francisco
Date: Friday, June 6, 2025 10:10:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

A four-alarm fire displaced dozens and claimed the life of a cat. That's the headline from multiple news outlets. By now, the firefighters will have held press conferences, applauding their own efforts and heroism—reminding us of their importance. We know this because government employees do this after anything they do.

But let's not forget what really happened. The residents saved themselves. Reports centered on the fact that half the city's daily firefighting force responded to battle a blaze that damaged multiple buildings.

This city is burdened with an expensive and bloated staff. If containing a single fire required 140 employees, how would we manage a true catastrophe—where 5%, 10%, or even half the city is burning? Instead of concentrating firefighting expertise among a select few, we must empower more citizens with these life-saving skills and the equipment to take care of ourselves. Doing so will reduce costs and better prepare us for future emergencies. Remember the scene of the aftermath of Loma Prieta when regular citizens outnumbered city employees and did most of the emergency work.

Sent with Proton Mail secure email.

On Wednesday, June 4th, 2025 at 2:49 PM, jtorres1950 <jtorres1950@proton.me> wrote:

> Mayor, Board of Supervisors, SFFD Chief,
>
> The **San Francisco Fire Department (SFFD) absorbs over $280 million** in the two-year budget—an enormous allocation for a city where large-scale fires are increasingly rare. With **over 150,000 emergency calls annually**, mostly for medical issues rather than fires, the time has come to reassess how resources are allocated and whether taxpayers are funding an outdated model.
>
> ## Optimizing Emergency Response
>
> Despite their primary training for firefighting, **over 70% of calls responded to by SFFD are medical emergencies** rather than fires. **Paramedics—who receive superior medical training at a lower cost—are better suited for these cases**. San Francisco has **over 1,500 firefighters**, yet its fire department continues to prioritize traditional staffing models instead of adapting to modern emergency needs. By scaling back firefighter staffing and reinvesting in paramedics, the city could **ensure faster, more efficient medical responses**. Seeing a firefighter, who makes $200K/year hose a firetruck or broom a site is painful when someone performs the same function for less.

Moving Away from Outdated Models

Large-scale fires are far less common in modern cities. In **Tokyo (population: 14 million), annual fire incidents average just 4,000**, while **San Francisco (population: 800,000) reports under 1,500 fires annually**—proof that massive fire departments are largely unnecessary today. Some cities rely on **volunteer firefighters**, a model that could help San Francisco **reduce payroll expenses while maintaining flexibility** in emergency response.

Restructuring Responsibilities

Building inspections should be conducted by professional inspectors rather than firefighters. **Currently, over 40% of SFFD personnel perform non-fire-related duties**, inflating costs without significantly improving safety. Specialized building inspectors would provide **a more effective and cost-efficient system** for fire prevention. I understand the need to justify the high pay with additional responsibilities, but it comes at the cost of taxpayers.

Addressing Overtime and Pay Reform

SFFD firefighters **work three-day weeks**, and many earn substantial overtime—often exceeding their base salaries. In **2022, the average SFFD firefighter earned over $150,000**, with some topping **$250,000 after overtime**. On the list of highest paid employees, firefighters are up there with police, sometimes making $500K/year. With **mandatory overtime costs skyrocketing**, San Francisco should reassess whether taxpayers should continue to fund excessive labor costs when restructuring could lead to **more equitable pay distribution**. For a group that proclaims repeatedly they care, we need to eliminate overtime. We have prisoners fighting wild-land fires, which are inarguable more dangerous, hotter, and more destructive, and they are happy to do it with less pay.

Scaling Back Costs

San Francisco continues to **purchase large fire trucks costing over $1.5 million each**, despite declining fire incidents. **More than 60% of fire engines** and **90% of fire trucks and ambulances** in the city exceed their recommended service life, with some emergency vehicles still in use after **50 years**. Many modern fire trucks are over-engineered, serving more as status symbols than practical tools. Cities must have fire trucks, or it won't "look right". **Smaller, specialized rapid-response vehicles—already used in European cities—could reduce staffing needs and maintenance costs** while improving response times. Even with the private funding proposal, the money would be better spent on more effective equipment.

Reforming Disability Payouts

Firefighter disability claims have ballooned, with **nearly 35% of retired firefighters receiving full disability benefits**, many under questionable

circumstances. **Restructuring the profession to limit unnecessary physical risks** can protect workers while **reducing abuse of public funds**.

Family Connections

Many fire departments have a tradition of hiring family members. In some cities, **over 30% of firefighters come from families with prior firefighting experience.** Anecdotal reports suggest that in certain regions, **firefighter candidates with family connections have a significantly higher chance of being hired** compared to those without. We get it: Sell the story that the job is dangerous, but keep the job within the family. Nepotism exists everywhere. Now that we know, we can do something about it and reform the gig.

A Smarter Path Forward

San Francisco has moved far beyond the era of devastating urban fires, yet **its budget continues to support outdated firefighting models**. With **medical emergencies now the dominant service need**, the city should **modernize emergency response, restructure firefighter roles, and reinvest in smarter solutions**—ensuring resources go where they're needed most.

Sent with Proton Mail secure email.

From: Leanna Lewis
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Saturday, June 7, 2025 9:27:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

As an East resident and San Francisco native that now works in San Francisco, I have witness the impacts of the Social Justice Academy for San Francisco residents. Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Leanna Lewis
lwsf23@gmail.com
PO box 2542
Berkeley, California 94702

From: LaWanna Bracy
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Saturday, June 7, 2025 2:24:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

LaWanna Bracy
blawanna75@gmail.com
1109 Elm St #3
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94115

From: Linda Gonzalez
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Sunday, June 8, 2025 11:40:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Linda Gonzalez
lindag604@yahoo.com
427 Burk strret
Oakland , California 94610

From: Mario Lemos
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 10:35:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Mario Lemos
hanu66@gmail.com
1235 Washington Street
San Francisco, California 94108

From: infospec@gmail.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:24:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

infospec@gmail.com
1675 44th Ave
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94122

From: Alex Siegel
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:24:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Alex Siegel
a.siegel0595@gmail.com
1405 Oak Grove Avenue
Burlingame, California 94110

From: Justin Philipps
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:25:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Justin Philipps
jphilipps1259@gmail.com
1385 independence Court
Newark, Ohio 43055

From: sarah@skywatcherssf.org
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:27:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

sarah@skywatcherssf.org
420 Lake Street
San Francisco, California 94118

From: Dominic Molinari
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:28:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Dominic Molinari
imgointhedistance@gmail.com
560 Mission
San Francisco, California 94105

From: Michael Halloran
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:28:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Michael Halloran
mshalloran2605@gmail.com
2062 Scotsman Ln NE
Salem, Oregon 97305

From: Brian Still
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:29:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Brian Still
brianmstill@gmail.com
4077 3rd Ave
San Diego, California 92103

From: Chris A
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:29:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Chris A
c.artherholt@gmail.com
1027 wood st
Oakland, California 94607

From: Rajeev Yerneni
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:33:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Rajeev Yerneni
ryerneni@glide.org
995 Honister ln
Concord, California 94518

From: Paul Niewiadomski
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:35:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I urge you to maintain funding for Soda Tax-supported initiatives, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy—an essential program rooted in the heart of the Tenderloin.

In a neighborhood grappling with high rates of hunger and food insecurity, this work is not only relevant—it is urgent. The Academy equips residents with tools to identify health challenges, design culturally responsive solutions, and advocate for lasting change, all within the languages and lived experiences of their communities.

Soda Tax revenue was established to fund efforts that reduce the consumption of sugary beverages and improve overall community health. Programs like the Social Justice Academy are precisely the kind of innovative, locally driven interventions envisioned in the policy's intent—initiatives that link nutritional equity, chronic disease prevention, and empowerment of historically marginalized populations.

Beyond health promotion, the Social Justice Academy offers a space for healing from the impacts of systemic inequities, while fostering leadership and civic engagement. Continued support will ensure this momentum carries forward into fiscal year 2025–2026.

Thank you for your consideration and for prioritizing the well-being of our city's most vulnerable communities.

Paul Niewiadomski
norcal_esquire@msn.com
3157 Baker Street
San Francisco, California 94123

From: JL Angell
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:40:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

JL Angell
jangell@earthlink.net
2391 Ponderosa Rd
Rescue, California 95672

From: kitgirl91@gmail.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:42:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

kitgirl91@gmail.com
7442 Forrest Rader Drive
Mint Hill , North Carolina 28227

From: Verda Bursal
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:46:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Verda Bursal
verda.bursal@gmail.com
1739 Pine St. #17
San Francisco, California 94109

From: Patrice Clark
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:49:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Patrice Clark
pclark@glide.org
434 Ellis
San Francisco, California 94611-1928

From: Karl Robillard
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:55:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Karl Robillard
krobillard@glide.org
832 56th Street
Oakland, California 94608

From: Lauren Etchingham
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:58:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Lauren Etchingham
laurenetch514@gmail.com
1185 pine st #11
San Francisco, California 94109

From: Gloria Fooks
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 3:01:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Gloria Fooks
gloriafooks@att.net
450 Park Dr
Saint Clair, Missouri 63077

From: Michael Zuckerman
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 3:13:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Michael Zuckerman
mzuckerm@upenn.edu
1919 Chestnut St Apt 1120
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103

From: terrill maguire
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 3:19:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

terrill maguire
terrill@yorku.ca
3326 17th st.
Eureka, 95501

From:	rharrisjr1@gmail.com
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Ginsburg, Phil (REC); Madland, Sarah (REC); Ketcham, Dana (REC)
Subject:	Board of Supervisors Budget and Appropriations Committee / Budget Hearing June 12, 10 a.m. / Rec & Park Dept / SF Public Golf Alliance Letter, Petition
Date:	Wednesday, June 11, 2025 11:53:20 AM
Attachments:	Open.Letter.Petition.Save.SF.Public.Golf.Courses.Names.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Budget and Appropriations Committee / Budget Hearing June 12, 10 a.m. / Rec & Park Dept / SF Public Golf Alliance Letter, Petition

Dear Mayor Lurie and Mr. Jalipa –
Enclosed above please find the Letter and Petition from the non-profit San Francisco Public Golf Alliance, for filing w/ Mayor's Budget Office and the Supervisors' Budget Committee in connection with its June 12 public hearing on the Recreation and Parks Department Budget for FY 2026-27. Please circulate to the Committee and Board Members and appropriate City Offices regarding the Budget. And please confirm receipt.
Thank you for your service and courtesy.

Richard Harris, President
San Francisco Public Golf Alliance
826 Stanyan St.
San Francisco, CA. 94117
415-290-5718

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC GOLF ALLIANCE

826 Stanyan St., San Francisco, CA. 94117 415-290-5718 info@sfpublicgolf.org

OPEN LETTER: SAVE SAN FRANCISCO'S PUBLIC GOLF COURSES

Mayor Daniel Lurie and San Francisco Supervisors

We are San Francisco public golfers, diverse in every way – of all ages. genders, persuasions, races, neighborhoods, jobs, and economic strata. We love our beautiful public golf courses – Harding, Sharp, Lincoln, Fleming, Gleneagles and Golden Gate -- where we recreate and socialize with old friends and meet new ones. As a big and diverse community, we are dismayed that Rec & Park has proposed a Budget that would eliminate the Department's subsidy for the municipal golf courses in Fiscal Year 2026-27—effectively a *1/3 CUT in the Golf Budget. This is extreme and unfair* to golfers and unwise for Rec & Park and the City. And we urge that you do not defund the golf subsidy in the Fiscal Year 26-27 Budget. Golf is physically and mentally healthful outdoor activity, a Rec-Park core service and historically popular in the City since John McLaren hired the architects, planted the trees, and oversaw construction of Lincoln, Harding and Sharp in the first decades of the 20th Century. San Francisco, the Peninsula and Greater Bay Area are very high-profile golf areas and frequent hosts of major U.S. and international golf events -- including in 2025, when San Francisco will host the United States Amateur Golf Championship in August, and the Monterey Peninsula will this Fall host both the international men's Walker Cup competition and the United States Women's Mid-Amateur Championship. We know these are times of budget crunch at Rec-Park and the City. And we will carry a fair share. But this is NOT FAIR and not a reason or excuse to single-out public golf as Rec -Park's only recreational service to be completely cut off from its General Fund subsidy. We respectfully object. Rec-Park and San Francisco can – and must – do better.

Very Truly Yours,

Richard Harris March 5, 2025

President, San Francisco Public Golf Alliance

The undersigned have read and agree with this Open Letter

Supervisorial District 1

Michelle Codyu, Guy Davidoff, Steven Garboden, Corbin Johnson, Aaron Katz, Mark Kelly, Paul Miller, Charles Oppenheimer, Jeremy Sasson, Margo Sims, Jeff Decker, Robert Elejorde, Eric Gordon, William Hill, Reuben Johnson, Erica Lee, Sylvia Lee, Paul Lord, Max Ortiz, Alex Roberts, Tom Snow, Michael Cookson, John Bird, Robert Kovash, Henry Lyford, Maureen Theberge, Tracie Grufman, M.A. Leroma, Brooks Marino, David Omega, Jonathan Bridges, Geoffrey Comfort, Christina Dean, Farshad Mashayekhi, Diego Ovalle, Joshua Smith, Michelle Williams, Albert Wong, Irene Woo, Chris Kaltreider, Catherine Boyd, Juan Hurtado, Randa Talbott, Brian Burgess, Weston Carew, Cameron Smith, Frank Bodadilla

District 2

Melanie Raymundo, Richard Smith, Sai-Ling Cahn-Sew, Raphael Chan-Sew, B Lee, Camila Leon Perez, Jeronimo Leon, Connie Wu, Aaron Uh, Mitchell Algert, Harris Bernstein, David Tepper, John Kanellitsas, Lily Achatz, Harry Johnson, Kate Wineroth, Barbara Janney, Donald Gallaspie, Zachary Haitkin, Viet Nguyen, Joe Papadakis, Mark Schultz, John Crowther III, Justin Bates, Clay Carson, Griffin Chinn, Danny Diekrooger, Brenden Howard, Kendell Jenga, Julian Oelsner, Eshan Jain, Sean Vincent, Wilhelm Willie, Edric De Guzman, Robert Feyer, Rahul Kataria, Jett Uribe, Max Martinez, Sam Amrams, Nicholas Geraci, Mark Gonzales, Luis Reina, Graeme Black, Kyle Bell, Bart Klerkx, Dominic Guercio, Janny Munson, Robert O'Grady, Benjamin Berman, Daniel Caveney, Chris Johnson, Blair Lewis, Ryder Morford, Juna Reisacher, Jim Nguyen, Matt Preston, Naots DeSilva, Mike Franzago, Cole Hedges, Aditya Mehta, Charles Normandin, JoAnn Wong, Ryan Kelleher, Kathy Noordeh, Ryland Bauer, William Engel, Natalie Tatum, Wilhelm Willie, Philip Winter, Bill Wong, Emily Tatum, Stefaun Avakian, Aaron Boyd, Aubrey Gavello, Jake Hoffrman, Aidan Macaluso, Marcus Milazzo, John Murphy, Elliot Schaffer, Nicholas Shuster, Leo Tuchman, Michael Rogers, Peter Erickson, Jonathan Biermann, Cory McGee, Bilna Hu, Robb Crow, Stephen Brandy, Akshay Jetti, Steven Taunk, Sayoko Caproni, Pierson Souza, Jack Valinoti, Darius Collins, Will Dean, Dave Eriero, Doug Hopkins, Caitlyn McWilliams, Mark Schlifske, Victor Segure, Jason Case, Kristy Case, Oliver Dormoduy, John Moore, Evan Braicks, Zara Butte, Wilvin Chew, Kayla Choy, John Ward, Cameron Drake, Josh Stark, Taylor Culp, Nora O'Neill, MacKenzie Purcell, Alec Sanchez, John DeMoully, Noah Gold, Nathan Guskiewicz, Brewster Nolan

District 3

Ali Salahi, Joe Bisson, Nicky Black, James Burke, Sam Gervolino, Rich Koury, Andrew Winter, Bryan Rawlinson, John Chisholm, Shane O'Connor, Tony Alsop, Jason Fukuyama, Vicente Llopis, Lauren Bennett, Alex Robles, Keith McWilliams, Dan Li, Ryan McWilliams, Ricardo Munguia Alba, Sean Pepper, John Wolff, Cameron Lee, Mike Wallach, Patrick Whelly, Mertay Deyanc, J. Yeo, Lex Perillat, Alexa Mironov

District 4

Laura Boaz, Michael Ippolito, Esther Ippolito, Rudy Asercion, WR Hickox, Michelle M. Viguie-Hickox, Trim Wellbeloved, James Sterk, William Conaway, Randy Shirbroun, Anna Szefo, Peter Dale, Kevin Twibill, Ambra Wellbeloved, Sean Miller, Paul Normanly, Meyer Steckenberg, Dan Steckenberg, Ken Jiang, Thomas Shilosaka, Albert Vong, Roxanne Worthington, Ali Jamalocur, Rhonda Short, Airudh Bokka, Daniel Orozco, Claire Devaney, Oscar Geronimo, Seung-Hwan Kim, Brendan Knapp, Vadim Kitsis, Maureen Bowler,

District 4, cont.

Rita M. Bray, Mary Brigid Ide, Patricia Magee, Bill Magee, Sheila McCarthy, Noreen McNomara, Carl J. Slattery, Alex Armstrong, Dave McCarthy, Noreen McEllistrim, Martina Walsh, Jamie Lewin, Sahin Olut, Jordan Westover, Ian Choy, Sam Lee, Geoff Moore, Lawrence Neyman, Nigel Satenstein, Eric Hamer, Jacob A. Meyers, Joseph O'Brien, Nigel Borromeo, Andre Wilkins, Donald Louie, Myonghui Yang, Richard Giller, Melana Jimenez, Khoi Nguyen, Kevin Sinclair, Kathleen N. Grogan, John Noenickx, Marie O'Connor, Daniel Petersen, Daniel Zhu, Gerard Knightley, George Ramirez, Allen Yu, Mary Bautista, Lennie Jabago, Jerry Simotas, Carolyn Cayabyab, Pao Chiu, Will Cody, Ryan Driesbach, Ryan McNabb, Joe Moriarty, Travis Payne, Emily Petersen, Jean-Francois Roy, James Baker, Alex Blanchard, Dan Carr, Albert Filice, Matt Gee, Gary Groff, Ana Martinez, Max Ramsay, Matt Rozen, Alo Scott, Karen Hipp, Galen Wong, Gary Salvatore Cimino, Brian McLain, San Miller, Michelle Pente, Winslow Perry, Kimberly Chitra, Edric Chitra, Scott Decker, Doug Dietz, Orla Petirs, Jackson Kerrigan, Chris Pratt, John Roberts, Yoshifumi Shimizu, Terrence Whitson, Ed Keenan, Bella Keenan, Tina Villacruz, Colin Daly, Nara Han, Mary Shanley, Al Hom, David Rabbitt, Sean Rugan, Carolina Lavelle, Wirt Lewis, Matt Rozen, Marc Bargary, Michael Clancy

District 5

Wendy Wan, Ari Horwitz, Nakul Bhatnagar, Kieran allahan, Anna Donnelly, Paul Duatschek, Tom Howard, Lindsey Pollack, David Pollack, Chris Woodley, Richard Woodruff, Marios Leon, Kyle Cookson, Matthew Searing, Pamela Hofsass, Richard Woodruff

District 6

Lam Nguyen, Gonzalo Vergara, Robert Bernie, Shawn Whalen, Michael Johnson, Tyler Alexander, Hem Singh, Hemzi Wood, Jeff Devoto, Ken Watson, Brian Ross

District 7

Joseph Camacho, Trevor Geller, Paul Boyer, Katherine Clements, Gabriel Donohoe, John Formosa, Alexander Gibbs, Joe Hayes, Mark Hazelwood, Holland Ja, KC Murphy, Eoin O'Connor, John O'Connor, Don Papa, Alex Wong, Dave Mana, Geoege Bacigaluipi, Nessa Connor, Philip A. Parker, Jason Yip, Cathy Yip, David Cesare, Joseph Cremen, David Fowler, Gareth Fracchia, JK Hunt, Raymond Lee, Elizabeth Wong, Brian Franceschi, Sue Ballard, Cody Enger, Joe Healey, Mike Milstein, Richard Gyde, Brian Tsung, Michael Sgroi, Mike Reaka, Raula Reaka, Owen McKee, Lana Zumbrov, Tom Stephenson, Erin Byrne, Jim Enright, Owen Harrington, Brendan Heather, Julio Ramos, Gary Giubbini, Bernard P. Michela, Charles Pratt, Claire Pratt, Catharine Ryan, Tristan Handeland, Mike Lamson, Dan Howard, Tim Murphy, Julian Villareal, Dan Mackowski, David Cesare, James Clark, Andyu Kapelevich, Owen McKee, Lana Zumerov, Jay Pettigrew, Bryan Sakamoto, Tom Scott, Robert Cappa, Dominic Magri, Jimmy Hoag, Steven Lee, Mark Anderson, Matt Kearney, Larry McAuliff

District 8

Helen Duffy, Robert Apfel, Dan James, Don Czerkies, Chris Czerkies, Ami Icanberry, Nathaniel Ma, Robert Mulder, Lydia Byres, Dominic Caccione, Carol Harris, Chad Ackerman, Howard DeNike, Richard Harris, Brad Eisenberg, Derek Lum, John Mahoney, Frances Osullivan, Nicole Ellis, Matt Snyder, Robert Stover, Marcelino Varona, James Allee, Kevin Cline, Robert Go, Emmett Berg, Kaushal Parikh, Scott Robertson, Wyatt Beserra, Freddie Hebert, Eli Smoot, George Murray, Loukas Stelyn, Drew Cattermole, Matthew Farwell, Michael Michela, Eileen Ridley, Lisa Wally, David Corner, Bagna Braestrup, Sandy Thomson, Jennifer Hwang, David Liang, George McCarthy, Matt Krawczyk, Scott Marousek, Chris Sater

District 9

Arlo Furst, John McCauley, Jeff Phillips, Norberto Joya, Robert Vranizan, Grant Taillefer, Paul Ashby, Brittney Freed, Jessica Brkwoldt, Scott Gee, David Higgins, Mike Weingart, Matt Carlson, Pilan Chenhansa, Kim Smith, Sang Yun, Shannon Fields, T. Kevin Coker, Pete Manning, John Monson, Jak Yeadaker, Kevin Alonzo, Daniel Feldman, Ian Lordemann, Jenna Rousseau, Sal Hoffman, Enilda Rodriguez, Robert Reisenburger

District 10

Virginia Nicholas Yee, Conor Falvey, Ethan Litmons, Colton Sankey, Nicholas Kinoshita, Thomas Prosek, Joaquin Borromeo, Graham Greene, Matt Strube, Cameron Coulter, Troy Davis, Adam Herrera, Lisa Rasmussen, Mark Olson, Dennis Ngo

District 11

Anthony Brignetti, Steve Johnston, Natasha Parks, James Quirke, Kenneth Dawson, Tony Kitz, Belisa Amaro, Chris Ennis, Felipe Goggin, Ricardo Herrera, William L. Olinger, William D. Penrod, Michael Swoffer, Paul Tonelli, Patrick Goggin, Brendan Jenkins, Kipp Kennedy, Shane Kitchen, Andrew Perez, Rajan Edwards, Tim Albrecht, TK Thien, Matt Castagnola, Washington Chua, Lisa Ising, Reann Bialini, Nate Finny, Andy Hu

From: Michael Lezak
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 12:13:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Michael Lezak
mlezak@me.com
2621 Sacramento Street
San Francisco, California 94115

From: Olivia Onek
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 12:25:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I work at GLIDE and I've seen firsthand how special and impactful this community is. It's so important that the Social Justice Academy continues to receive funding.

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Olivia Onek
oliviaonek@gmail.com
218 Bocana Street
San Francisco , California 94110

From: Richard Stern
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 12:34:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Richard Stern
rsisyh@yahoo.com
11 Riverside Dr
New York, New York 10023

From: Johnny Pujols
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 1:22:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Johnny Pujols
CHRYSOAR@HOTMAIL.COM
440 S. BERENDO
Los Angeles, California 90020

From: Lois Jordan
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 2:46:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Lois Jordan
lmjor@aol.com
9161 E Walnut Tree Dr
Tucson, Arizona 85749

From: DeMarco McCall
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 5:43:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

DeMarco McCall
mynamesdemarco@gmail.com
780 Guerrero
San Francisco , California 94110

From: Barbara Cromarty
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 6:57:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Barbara Cromarty
bcromarty@yahoo.com
266 Alto Drive
Boulder Creek, California 95006

From: Heather Bornfeld
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 6:57:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Heather Bornfeld
pizzas_gaudy_0u@icloud.com
1603 Church St
San Francisco, California 94131

From: Lily Achatz
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Budget & Appropriations
Date: Thursday, June 12, 2025 12:13:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good Afternoon Supervisors. My name is Lily Achatz and I work with the San Francisco Public Golf Alliance, a non-proft dedicated to educating, improving, and preserving our local public golf courses for the future. I am also the President of the Harding Park Women's Golf Club (which we restored 4 years ago after 25 year dormancy) and The SF Women's Golf Alliance. We collaborate and partner with all the SF municipal women's and men's clubs to create affordable golf events and opportunities for public golfers. We also support Junior organizations like First Tee, Youth on Course and US Kids that rely on public golf. I am here on behalf of my community at Harding Park/Fleming, Sharp Park, Lincoln, Gleneagles and Golden Gate golf courses. We oppose the Recreation & Parks Department proposed and unfair budget cut of 6M, 1/3 of the golf budget which would put our golf courses at risk and/or make golf unaffordable. This would greatly affect minorities, women, veterans, low-income families/individuals, and juniors.

I have witnessed, first-hand, the mental and physical health benefits golf provides especially to those in our clubs who suffered greatly during Covid and also our friends of PGA Hope, *the non profit that introduces golf to veterans in order to enhance their mental, social, physical, and emotional well-being*. Golf has kept some of our veterans off the streets. Golf is a physically and mentally healthful outdoor activity, and is unusual among sports because it can be played together by people of all kinds -- all abilities, ages, genders, persuasions, incomes and backgrounds. And it is a great place to meet and be with people. Our community is more diverse culturally and spans across all age groups, more than any sport in San Francisco. In light of what is happening today, we must protect our diversity. As a bi-racial Samoan-German female born in San Francisco, I feel more comfortable playing on our public courses. Our public golf community can't afford to pay thousands of dollars to join a country club and it would be a disservice to keep us out of this social sport that brings people together and in many cases creates a future, a dream. Five years ago, I met 10 year old Hazel who was playing at Sharp Park, her home course. Her whole family was there and she became a regular at almost all of the SF municipal courses and still is. But now, she is part of the PGA Junior League, won the US Kids World Championship at Pinehurst and has her name on the Peter Hay Junior Trophy at Pebble Beach. She is on track to get a golf scholarship for college and one day become a professional golfer. It would have been impossible for her to achieve what

she has without our public golf courses.

Please help us in preserving what John McLaren, Godfather of Recreation & Parks, believed in. He built the City's first courses -- Lincoln, Harding and Sharp Park -- in the early 1900's – personally hiring the architects, planting the trees, and overseeing construction. Protect his Scottish tradition of golf as public recreation – not hidden behind private walls. And protect the dreams of generations to come.

LILY ACHATZ
2070 Pacific Avenue #303
San Francisco, CA 94109
415-905-0050
lilyachatz@gmail.com

From: michellemarkarian@hotmail.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 12, 2025 1:27:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

michellemarkarian@hotmail.com
15555 Huntington Village LnApt 230
Huntington Beach, California 92647

From: Elizabeth Dzeng
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 12, 2025 3:28:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Elizabeth Dzeng
liz.dzeng@ucsf.edu
3 Timberpark Court
Timonium, Maryland 21093

From: Scott Grinthal
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 12, 2025 8:07:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Scott Grinthal
sgrinthal@yahoo.com
2721 55th Ave
Oakland, California 94605

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC GOLF ALLIANCE

826 Stanyan St., San Francisco, CA. 94117 415-290-5718 info@sfpublicgolf.org

OPEN LETTER: SAVE SAN FRANCISCO'S PUBLIC GOLF COURSES

Mayor Daniel Lurie and San Francisco Supervisors

We are San Francisco public golfers, diverse in every way – of all ages. genders, persuasions, races, neighborhoods, jobs, and economic strata. We love our beautiful public golf courses – Harding, Sharp, Lincoln, Fleming, Gleneagles and Golden Gate -- where we recreate and socialize with old friends and meet new ones. As a big and diverse community, we are dismayed that Rec & Park has proposed a Budget that would eliminate the Department's subsidy for the municipal golf courses in Fiscal Year 2026-27—effectively a *1/3 CUT in the Golf Budget. This is extreme and unfair* to golfers and unwise for Rec & Park and the City. And we urge that you do not defund the golf subsidy in the Fiscal Year 26-27 Budget. Golf is physically and mentally healthful outdoor activity, a Rec-Park core service and historically popular in the City since John McLaren hired the architects, planted the trees, and oversaw construction of Lincoln, Harding and Sharp in the first decades of the 20th Century. San Francisco, the Peninsula and Greater Bay Area are very high-profile golf areas and frequent hosts of major U.S. and international golf events -- including in 2025, when San Francisco will host the United States Amateur Golf Championship in August, and the Monterey Peninsula will this Fall host both the international men's Walker Cup competition and the United States Women's Mid-Amateur Championship. We know these are times of budget crunch at Rec-Park and the City. And we will carry a fair share. But this is NOT FAIR and not a reason or excuse to single-out public golf as Rec -Park's only recreational service to be completely cut off from its General Fund subsidy. We respectfully object. Rec-Park and San Francisco can – and must – do better.

Very Truly Yours,

Richard Harris March 5, 2025

President, San Francisco Public Golf Alliance

The undersigned have read and agree with this Open Letter

Supervisorial District 1

Michelle Codyu, Guy Davidoff, Steven Garboden, Corbin Johnson, Aaron Katz, Mark Kelly, Paul Miller, Charles Oppenheimer, Jeremy Sasson, Margo Sims, Jeff Decker, Robert Elejorde, Eric Gordon, William Hill, Reuben Johnson, Erica Lee, Sylvia Lee, Paul Lord, Max Ortiz, Alex Roberts, Tom Snow, Michael Cookson, John Bird, Robert Kovash, Henry Lyford, Maureen Theberge, Tracie Grufman, M.A. Leroma, Brooks Marino, David Omega, Jonathan Bridges, Geoffrey Comfort, Christina Dean, Farshad Mashayekhi, Diego Ovalle, Joshua Smith, Michelle Williams, Albert Wong, Irene Woo, Chris Kaltreider, Catherine Boyd, Juan Hurtado, Randa Talbott, Brian Burgess, Weston Carew, Cameron Smith, Frank Bodadilla

District 2

Melanie Raymundo, Richard Smith, Sai-Ling Cahn-Sew, Raphael Chan-Sew, B Lee, Camila Leon Perez, Jeronimo Leon, Connie Wu, Aaron Uh, Mitchell Algert, Harris Bernstein, David Tepper, John Kanellitsas, Lily Achatz, Harry Johnson, Kate Wineroth, Barbara Janney, Donald Gallaspie, Zachary Haitkin, Viet Nguyen, Joe Papadakis, Mark Schultz, John Crowther III, Justin Bates, Clay Carson, Griffin Chinn, Danny Diekrooger, Brenden Howard, Kendell Jenga, Julian Oelsner, Eshan Jain, Sean Vincent, Wilhelm Willie, Edric De Guzman, Robert Feyer, Rahul Kataria, Jett Uribe, Max Martinez, Sam Amrams, Nicholas Geraci, Mark Gonzales, Luis Reina, Graeme Black, Kyle Bell, Bart Klerkx, Dominic Guercio, Janny Munson, Robert O'Grady, Benjamin Berman, Daniel Caveney, Chris Johnson, Blair Lewis, Ryder Morford, Juna Reisacher, Jim Nguyen, Matt Preston, Naots DeSilva, Mike Franzago, Cole Hedges, Aditya Mehta, Charles Normandin, JoAnn Wong, Ryan Kelleher, Kathy Noordeh, Ryland Bauer, William Engel, Natalie Tatum, Wilhelm Willie, Philip Winter, Bill Wong, Emily Tatum, Stefaun Avakian, Aaron Boyd, Aubrey Gavello, Jake Hoffrman, Aidan Macaluso, Marcus Milazzo, John Murphy, Elliot Schaffer, Nicholas Shuster, Leo Tuchman, Michael Rogers, Peter Erickson, Jonathan Biermann, Cory McGee, Bilna Hu, Robb Crow, Stephen Brandy, Akshay Jetti, Steven Taunk, Sayoko Caproni, Pierson Souza, Jack Valinoti, Darius Collins, Will Dean, Dave Eriero, Doug Hopkins, Caitlyn McWilliams, Mark Schlifske, Victor Segure, Jason Case, Kristy Case, Oliver Dormoduy, John Moore, Evan Braicks, Zara Butte, Wilvin Chew, Kayla Choy, John Ward, Cameron Drake, Josh Stark, Taylor Culp, Nora O'Neill, MacKenzie Purcell, Alec Sanchez, John DeMoully, Noah Gold, Nathan Guskiewicz, Brewster Nolan

District 3

Ali Salahi, Joe Bisson, Nicky Black, James Burke, Sam Gervolino, Rich Koury, Andrew Winter, Bryan Rawlinson, John Chisholm, Shane O'Connor, Tony Alsop, Jason Fukuyama, Vicente Llopis, Lauren Bennett, Alex Robles, Keith McWilliams, Dan Li, Ryan McWilliams, Ricardo Munguia Alba, Sean Pepper, John Wolff, Cameron Lee, Mike Wallach, Patrick Whelly, Mertay Deyanc, J. Yeo, Lex Perillat, Alexa Mironov

District 4

Laura Boaz, Michael Ippolito, Esther Ippolito, Rudy Asercion, WR Hickox, Michelle M. Viguie-Hickox, Trim Wellbeloved, James Sterk, William Conaway, Randy Shirbroun, Anna Szefo, Peter Dale, Kevin Twibill, Ambra Wellbeloved, Sean Miller, Paul Normanly, Meyer Steckenberg, Dan Steckenberg, Ken Jiang, Thomas Shilosaka, Albert Vong, Roxanne Worthington, Ali Jamalocur, Rhonda Short, Airudh Bokka, Daniel Orozco, Claire Devaney, Oscar Geronimo, Seung-Hwan Kim, Brendan Knapp, Vadim Kitsis, Maureen Bowler,

District 4, cont.

Rita M. Bray, Mary Brigid Ide, Patricia Magee, Bill Magee, Sheila McCarthy, Noreen McNomara, Carl J. Slattery, Alex Armstrong, Dave McCarthy, Noreen McEllistrim, Martina Walsh, Jamie Lewin, Sahin Olut, Jordan Westover, Ian Choy, Sam Lee, Geoff Moore, Lawrence Neyman, Nigel Satenstein, Eric Hamer, Jacob A. Meyers, Joseph O'Brien, Nigel Borromeo, Andre Wilkins, Donald Louie, Myonghui Yang, Richard Giller, Melana Jimenez, Khoi Nguyen, Kevin Sinclair, Kathleen N. Grogan, John Noenickx, Marie O'Connor, Daniel Petersen, Daniel Zhu, Gerard Knightley, George Ramirez, Allen Yu, Mary Bautista, Lennie Jabago, Jerry Simotas, Carolyn Cayabyab, Pao Chiu, Will Cody, Ryan Driesbach, Ryan McNabb, Joe Moriarty, Travis Payne, Emily Petersen, Jean-Francois Roy, James Baker, Alex Blanchard, Dan Carr, Albert Filice, Matt Gee, Gary Groff, Ana Martinez, Max Ramsay, Matt Rozen, Alo Scott, Karen Hipp, Galen Wong, Gary Salvatore Cimino, Brian McLain, San Miller, Michelle Pente, Winslow Perry, Kimberly Chitra, Edric Chitra, Scott Decker, Doug Dietz, Orla Petirs, Jackson Kerrigan, Chris Pratt, John Roberts, Yoshifumi Shimizu, Terrence Whitson, Ed Keenan, Bella Keenan, Tina Villacruz, Colin Daly, Nara Han, Mary Shanley, Al Hom, David Rabbitt, Sean Rugan, Carolina Lavelle, Wirt Lewis, Matt Rozen, Marc Bargary, Michael Clancy

District 5

Wendy Wan, Ari Horwitz, Nakul Bhatnagar, Kieran allahan, Anna Donnelly, Paul Duatschek, Tom Howard, Lindsey Pollack, David Pollack, Chris Woodley, Richard Woodruff, Marios Leon, Kyle Cookson, Matthew Searing, Pamela Hofsass, Richard Woodruff

District 6

Lam Nguyen, Gonzalo Vergara, Robert Bernie, Shawn Whalen, Michael Johnson, Tyler Alexander, Hem Singh, Hemzi Wood, Jeff Devoto, Ken Watson, Brian Ross

District 7

Joseph Camacho, Trevor Geller, Paul Boyer, Katherine Clements, Gabriel Donohoe, John Formosa, Alexander Gibbs, Joe Hayes, Mark Hazelwood, Holland Ja, KC Murphy, Eoin O'Connor, John O'Connor, Don Papa, Alex Wong, Dave Mana, Geoege Bacigaluipi, Nessa Connor, Philip A. Parker, Jason Yip, Cathy Yip, David Cesare, Joseph Cremen, David Fowler, Gareth Fracchia, JK Hunt, Raymond Lee, Elizabeth Wong, Brian Franceschi, Sue Ballard, Cody Enger, Joe Healey, Mike Milstein, Richard Gyde, Brian Tsung, Michael Sgroi, Mike Reaka, Raula Reaka, Owen McKee, Lana Zumbrov, Tom Stephenson, Erin Byrne, Jim Enright, Owen Harrington, Brendan Heather, Julio Ramos, Gary Giubbini, Bernard P. Michela, Charles Pratt, Claire Pratt, Catharine Ryan, Tristan Handeland, Mike Lamson, Dan Howard, Tim Murphy, Julian Villareal, Dan Mackowski, David Cesare, James Clark, Andyu Kapelevich, Owen McKee, Lana Zumerov, Jay Pettigrew, Bryan Sakamoto, Tom Scott, Robert Cappa, Dominic Magri, Jimmy Hoag, Steven Lee, Mark Anderson, Matt Kearney, Larry McAuliff

District 8

Helen Duffy, Robert Apfel, Dan James, Don Czerkies, Chris Czerkies, Ami Icanberry, Nathaniel Ma, Robert Mulder, Lydia Byres, Dominic Caccione, Carol Harris, Chad Ackerman, Howard DeNike, Richard Harris, Brad Eisenberg, Derek Lum, John Mahoney, Frances Osullivan, Nicole Ellis, Matt Snyder, Robert Stover, Marcelino Varona, James Allee, Kevin Cline, Robert Go, Emmett Berg, Kaushal Parikh, Scott Robertson, Wyatt Beserra, Freddie Hebert, Eli Smoot, George Murray, Loukas Stelyn, Drew Cattermole, Matthew Farwell, Michael Michela, Eileen Ridley, Lisa Wally, David Corner, Bagna Braestrup, Sandy Thomson, Jennifer Hwang, David Liang, George McCarthy, Matt Krawczyk, Scott Marousek, Chris Sater

District 9

Arlo Furst, John McCauley, Jeff Phillips, Norberto Joya, Robert Vranizan, Grant Taillefer, Paul Ashby, Brittney Freed, Jessica Brkwoldt, Scott Gee, David Higgins, Mike Weingart, Matt Carlson, Pilan Chenhansa, Kim Smith, Sang Yun, Shannon Fields, T. Kevin Coker, Pete Manning, John Monson, Jak Yeadaker, Kevin Alonzo, Daniel Feldman, Ian Lordemann, Jenna Rousseau, Sal Hoffman, Enilda Rodriguez, Robert Reisenburger

District 10

Virginia Nicholas Yee, Conor Falvey, Ethan Litmons, Colton Sankey, Nicholas Kinoshita, Thomas Prosek, Joaquin Borromeo, Graham Greene, Matt Strube, Cameron Coulter, Troy Davis, Adam Herrera, Lisa Rasmussen, Mark Olson, Dennis Ngo

District 11

Anthony Brignetti, Steve Johnston, Natasha Parks, James Quirke, Kenneth Dawson, Tony Kitz, Belisa Amaro, Chris Ennis, Felipe Goggin, Ricardo Herrera, William L. Olinger, William D. Penrod, Michael Swoffer, Paul Tonelli, Patrick Goggin, Brendan Jenkins, Kipp Kennedy, Shane Kitchen, Andrew Perez, Rajan Edwards, Tim Albrecht, TK Thien, Matt Castagnola, Washington Chua, Lisa Ising, Reann Bialini, Nate Finny, Andy Hu

From: k.k. Kirschling
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 12:39:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

k.k. Kirschling
kumasong@icloud.com
633 Oak
SF, California 94117

From: Salvador Gasca
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 12:41:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Salvador Gasca
415sal@gmail.com
222 Taylor St, apt 410
San Francisco, California 94102

From: Curtis Bradford
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 1:51:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including TNDCs Food Policy Council. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

TNDC is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Tenderloin communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like TNDCs and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Curtis Bradford
curtisbradford5@gmail.com
1390 Market Street, Apt. #2206
San Francisco, California 94102

From: Jean Wiant
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 4:39:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Jean Wiant
wiant84@gmail.com
117 E Oak Ln
Glenolden, Pennsylvania 19036

From: Brian Still
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 4:41:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Brian Still
brianmstill@gmail.com
4077 3rd Ave
San Diego, California 92103

From: Chris Busby
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 4:49:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Chris Busby
witchywomanhor@yahoo.com
4562 Sherrilltown Road
Watertown, Tennessee 37184

From: Vinodkumar Gadley
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 4:56:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Vinodkumar Gadley
vgadley2003@yahoo.com
2451 N Rainbow Blvd unit #2135
Las Vegas, Nevada 89108

From: AJ cho
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 4:59:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

AJ cho
amenoartemis@gmail.com
159 Santa Teresa
San Leandro, California 94579

From: Eileen Prefontaine
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 5:01:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Eileen Prefontaine
elprefon@rprefontaine.com
19 Maple St.
Hopkinton, Massachusetts 01748

From: Lisa Marvin
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 5:02:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Lisa Marvin
Lisam94598@yahoo.com
5455 kirkwood drive
Concord , California 94521

From: Lois Jordan
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 5:12:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Lois Jordan
lmjor@aol.com
9161 E Walnut Tree Dr
Tucson, Arizona 85749

From: Joyce Sabel
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 6:00:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Joyce Sabel
voicejoy@hotmail.com
2508 Lake St.
San Francisco, California 94121

From: shawn.bunn2@gmail.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 8:05:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

shawn.bunn2@gmail.com
633 Hanford Street
Pismo Beach, California 93449

From: Tiziana Perinotti
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 8:38:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Tiziana Perinotti
tgp_2001@hotmail.com
1111 Jones St
San Francisco, California 94109

From: Margaret Wilson
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 8:40:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.
The social justice Academy showed me a lot of things to advocate for those that are formally incarcerated as well as advocacy in regards to women and families that are homeless, as I am a homeless person with my children, as well as coming from the incarcerated life that you can get back on your feet, and if it wasn't for this Social justice Academy through Glide, I wouldn't be where I'm at today with a job through Fivekeys as well as still continuing my education and reunited with my family reconnecting with everyone that has been supporting me, including Glide, so please put back the money for the social justice Academy to continue to reach others The only way we're gonna heal our country is by putting back not taking away.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Margaret Wilson
momnicoya82@gmail.com
424 Guerrero St
San Francisco, California 94110-1015

From: Scott Korman
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 10:26:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Scott Korman
skorman06@gmail.com
27110 Grand Central Pkwy Apt 28K
Floral Park, New York 11005

From: Utkarsh Nath
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 11:21:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Utkarsh Nath
utkarsh.nath@yahoo.com
34462 Alberta Ter
Fremont, California 94555

From: Tem Narvios
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 11:56:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Tem Narvios
winevitable@sbcglobal.net
1425 Visitacion Ave, San Francisco, CA, USA
San Francisco, California 94134

From: Eduardo Bettencourt
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 6:14:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Eduardo Bettencourt
islandhoppin@hotmail.com
PO Box 1131
Sausalito, 94966

From: Stephan Donovan
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 6:35:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Stephan Donovan
stephandonnovan@aol.com
11900 N. Labyrinth Drive
Oro Valley , Arizona 85737

From: TASHAANTONETTE GRIFFIN
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 9:17:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

TASHAANTONETTE GRIFFIN
tgriffin.oakland94806@gmail.com
Geary Street
San Francisco, California 94109

From: Joyce Sabel
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 9:33:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Joyce Sabel
voicejoy@hotmail.com
2508 Lake St
San Francisco, California 94121

From: Janet Maker
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 9:45:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Janet Maker
janet29018@gmail.com
925 Malcolm Av.
Los Angeles, California 90024

From: Caephren McKenna
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 10:00:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Caephren McKenna
caephren@gmail.com
392 44th Street
Oakland, California 94609

From: nateca@pm.me
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 10:25:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

nateca@pm.me
601 William St
Oakland, California 94612

From: Brittnay Tobin
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 10:49:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Brittnay Tobin
bteventproduction@gmail.com
4125 26th Street, Apt 1
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94131

From: sherry archer
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, June 12, 2025 11:15:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	sherry archer
Email	sherry.archer88@gmail.com

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie and the Board of Supervisors,

With a much reduced city population, it is critical that you right-size the budget. I have learned a lot about the process via ConnectedSF. Your actions are the path to a fiscally responsible city government.

Also, it is clear that clear accountability for outcomes from city grants is lagging far behind the increases in city spending. Now is the time to assign clear responsibility to monitor the spending and outcomes.

It is a tough assignment but you have the authority to get the city on the road to financial health. Please do not waste this opportunity.

Sincerely,
Sherry Archer

From: sebra leaves
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, June 12, 2025 11:25:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: sebra leaves

Email: sebraleaves@gmail.com

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Remi Tan
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, June 12, 2025 11:29:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Remi Tan

Email remitan@sbcglobal.net

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

Given loss post pandemic of 50,000 residents and 160,000 daily commuters, the city should be making commensurate reduction of 4,000 actual employees to bring the employee/ resident ratio back down to the years pre pandemic and closer to that of similar city/counties. This is doable though retirements, attrition as well as careful reduction of duplicative, redundant, and unnecessary positions

At $210,000 average annual salary the city is overpaying employees. Therefore a compressive review of all salary levels is needed to make sure that the city is paying commensurate to private industry for equivalent roles, and city should consider salary reductions based on the review. Note that Oakland is considering salary reductions to balance

their budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

Remi Tan
property owner D5

From: ALEXI PAPALEXOPOULOS
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 11:09:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee:

My name is Alexi Papalexopoulos and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Best,

Alexi
www.apdirector.com
INSTAGRAM

From: V. Eristavi
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 9:46:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Public Comment - Opposing Privatization of Public Golf Courses (File No. 250589)
Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee, My name is Vadim Victor Eristavi, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses. San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate. A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control. I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces. I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses. Thank you

Vadim Victor Eristavi

From: laura bottero
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Re: Privatization of our SF public golf courses
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 4:32:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

My name is Laura Bottero Lewers, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you, and best, Laura

From: Karen Tarantola
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment – Opposing Privatization of Public Golf Courses (File No. 250589)
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 2:16:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

My name is Karen Tarantola, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you,

Karen Tarantola

From:	rharrisjr1@gmail.com
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Ginsburg, Phil (REC); Madland, Sarah (REC); Ketcham, Dana (REC)
Subject:	Board of Supervisors Budget and Appropriations Committee / Budget Hearing June 12, 10 a.m. / Rec & Park Dept / SF Public Golf Alliance Letter, Petition
Date:	Wednesday, June 11, 2025 11:53:20 AM
Attachments:	Open.Letter.Petition.Save.SF.Public.Golf.Courses.Names.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Budget and Appropriations Committee / Budget Hearing June 12, 10 a.m. / Rec & Park Dept / SF Public Golf Alliance Letter, Petition

Dear Mayor Lurie and Mr. Jalipa –
Enclosed above please find the Letter and Petition from the non-profit San Francisco Public Golf Alliance, for filing w/ Mayor's Budget Office and the Supervisors' Budget Committee in connection with its June 12 public hearing on the Recreation and Parks Department Budget for FY 2026-27.
Please circulate to the Committee and Board Members and appropriate City Offices regarding the Budget. And please confirm receipt.
Thank you for your service and courtesy.

Richard Harris, President
San Francisco Public Golf Alliance
826 Stanyan St.
San Francisco, CA. 94117
415-290-5718

From: Isabella Frost
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Opposing Privatization of Public Golf Courses (File No. 250589)
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 10:00:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Public Comment – Opposing Privatization of Public Golf Courses (File No. 250589)
Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is Isabella Frost, and I'm a San Francisco resident of 28 years reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you,

Isabella Frost

From:	Jake
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589 Message:
Date:	Wednesday, June 11, 2025 8:15:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is Jennifer Jake Ibarra and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you,

Jennifer Jake Ibarra

--
Sent from Gmail Mobile

--
Sent from Gmail Mobile

From:	Jake
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	:Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589 Message:
Date:	Wednesday, June 11, 2025 8:12:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is [Your Name], and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you,

Jennifer Jake Ibarra

--
Sent from Gmail Mobile

From:	Brendan Frost
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Subject line (optional): Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date:	Tuesday, June 10, 2025 8:23:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message:

Public Comment – Opposing Privatization of Public Golf Courses (File No. 250589)
Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is [Your Name], and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

As a Transit Operator for SFMTA, I am very opposed to the taking of public lands and amenities and handing them to a for profit company which will restrict access to city residents with costs increases.

Thank you

From:	Santrecheel Graham
To:	ChanStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Cc:	Chanelle Brown
Subject:	My Public Comment on Early Childhood Education in San Francisco
Date:	Thursday, June 12, 2025 8:26:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning Members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee:

My name is Santrecheel Graham writing you on behalf of the Black Early Educator Policy Council, a group that advocates on behalf of Black early educators and children in San Francisco, convened by Children's Council of San Francisco.

We thank the members of the Board of Supervisors and Mayor Lurie for honoring the commitment to using Baby C funds as voters intended. We ask that the balance number in section 2 of the proposed ordinance regarding Baby C be set to $300 million.

We strongly urge raising the reserve cap in the proposed ordinance to protect Baby Prop C and DEC funding for HeadStart, pathways for Black early educators entering the field, and a leadership pipeline to support Black early educators' retention. Mental health support, housing, health insurance and economic resources are critical to support their sustainability.

Again, thank you to the Board and Mayor Lurie for prioritizing Baby C funds. We look forward to working in partnership with you and DEC to support our Black babies and children.

In community,

Santrecheel Graham
Campus Supervisor 1
MNC 18TH ST Campus

From:	Kristina W
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	I greatly appreciate my position at TIS and would like to continue working here.
Date:	Thursday, June 12, 2025 12:17:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Kristina, and I am part of the Trauma Informed Systems team as a Community Health Worker 2.

I have held various positions within the City of San Francisco Department of Public Health, but this role resonates deeply with me. Having worked as a counselor in multiple programs for sixteen years, I have encountered numerous traumas and have been profoundly impacted by them.

Upon my initial employment, I completed the mandatory TIS 101 training, which provided me with invaluable tools for both my professional and personal life. This training illuminated the importance of self-care and being trauma-informed, marking a significant epiphany in my approach to client care.

Working with the TIS team over the subsequent years has been an extraordinary experience, allowing me to delve deeper into strategies for managing stress and transforming trauma. This knowledge enabled me to educate staff, clients, friends, and family, positively affecting those around me through my resilience.

My supervisor, Lisa, exemplifies the principles of a Trauma-Informed Leader, and I greatly appreciate her dedication to this work. Our team has spent the past year studying the curriculum and working towards certification as TIS trainers. Throughout my six years at TIS, I have participated in over a hundred training sessions and continue to learn new insights from each session.

As a Community Health Worker 2 and Technical Support specialist, I possess comprehensive behind-the-scenes knowledge of all aspects of this training. Restructuring this training to another department without our involvement would overlook a wealth of knowledge, experience, and tailored motivation.

Our team is passionate about this work, and we are committed to its success.

Thank you for taking the time to read my message and for considering the importance of our team's contributions.

From: Stacey Blankenbaker
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: In Support of DPH Trauma-Informed Systems (TIS)
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 11:16:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am writing in strong support of the San Francisco Department of Public Health's Trauma-Informed Systems (TIS) initiative. TIS acknowledges that both individual and systemic trauma shape staff well-being, workplace relationships, and organizational culture. By embedding trauma-informed principles into how staff lead, communicate, and care for one another, TIS helps build work environments that are safer, more resilient, and better equipped to serve the public.

I am deeply concerned that SFDPH is considering eliminating this low-cost initiative with such a far-reaching impact within DPH and the larger San Francisco community. At a time when healthcare workers are experiencing unprecedented levels of stress, burnout, and moral injury, TIS provides essential tools—not just for coping, but for healing and transformation. TIS reinforces the principle that staff well-being is inseparable from the quality of care we provide. Cutting this work would be a step backward for the health of our workforce and the communities we serve.

Sincerely,
Stacey Blankenbaker

From: Yianni Gogonas
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Cc: ChanStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment- Regarding File No. 250589: Proposed Privatization of San Francisco Public Golf Courses
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 10:13:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Budget and Finance Committee,

My name is Yianni. I am a maintenance worker at Lincoln Park Golf Course, but I am writing today not in my professional capacity, but as a lifelong golfer and a member of the public who deeply values what our public courses represent.

I grew up playing on San Francisco's public golf courses. For me, they were not just places to hit balls. They were classrooms, sanctuaries, and bridges. These courses taught me discipline, patience, courage, and confidence. They gave me the chance to meet and interact with people of all ages, races, and backgrounds. Most importantly, they gave me time with my father, real time, uninterrupted by distractions. Some of the best and most formative days of my life were spent on those fairways with him.

That experience was only possible because the courses were public and affordable. We did not belong to a private club. We did not have deep pockets. But we had access, and that access changed the course of my life.

Privatizing these public spaces threatens to take that opportunity away from the next generation. It would inevitably raise costs, limit access, and create new barriers for families who rely on public facilities to participate in the game. Golf already struggles with issues of inclusion and diversity. Removing or reducing public access will only deepen that divide.

These courses are not just green spaces. They are places where community is built, where relationships are nurtured, and where young people learn life lessons that do not come easily in a classroom. They are spaces where parents bond with children, where friends come together, and where people find joy, peace, and connection.

I urge the committee to consider what is really at stake. If these courses are privatized, the loss will not just be about who gets to play golf. It will be about who gets to belong. We risk turning away the very people who have helped build the character and soul of these courses over generations.

Please keep San Francisco's public golf courses public, for the sake of the game and for the people it continues to shape, just like it shaped me.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Yianni

From: T Flandrich
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Cc: MelgarStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; ChanStaff (BOS)
Subject: Opposition to the reallocation of Prop C funds
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 6:22:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

11. June 2025-Public Comment for 6/12/2025 Hearing

Dear Members of the Budget & Appropriation Committee,

I am very alarmed to read of Mayor Lurie's proposal to reallocate Prop C funds for housing to shelters and eliminate the funding categories for three years.
I, along with more than 61% of San Franciscans voted for Prop C, Our City Our Home Funds. I had also participated in many discussions in fleshing out this measure over a period of many months as a representative for seniors & people with disabilities, a population of homelessness that was rising in large numbers at that time. As a former community nurse, a long time advocate for truly affordable housing and as an anti-displacement tenant counselor I urge you to oppose this proposal. Shelters are not homes.

We fought the legal challenge and won in 2020 and it is our democratic right and your moral duty

to follow through with what was promised to us as voters in 2018. Please do not allow any further delay in funding permanent housing for the health and well-being of our houseless residents.

Thank you for your consideration,
Theresa Flandrich
North Beach Tenants Committee
D3 resident

From: Melissa Chan
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment for 6/11 Budget & Appropriation Committee
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 5:36:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon Supervisors,

My name is Melissa Chan and I grew up in D10 Visitacion Valley. While I should be celebrating 10 years of service with the City, I am deeply concerned and upset about the devastating budget cuts to the Community Ambassador Program proposed by the Mayor.

My family has been in Visitacion Valley since 1986 and witnessed the birth of CAP there in 2010 in response to a lot of neighborhood tensions that could not be addressed by law enforcement. CAP is a critical and longstanding safety presence in the community.

Last year, we fought to preserve this critical program and the Board of Supervisors even passed a resolution of support! It's simply abhorrent that CAP is being targeted once again in the name of "public safety." Despite the fact that these ambassadors are some of the lowest paid City employees, they are the epitome of true community care. Any time they see others in need, they are the first to jump right in., often before first responders can arrive.

This program is MORE THAN the 30 positions that were mentioned. It includes additonal ambassadors from the JobsNow program and the operations team dedicated to supporting and coordinating the entire team of ambassadors. These are the front line workers that we're trying to save from layoffs. It's the best example of true community care that I've ever seen and I implore you all to restore the funding and DON'T CUT CAP!

From: Lynn Dolce
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Save SFDPH Trauma Informed Systems Workforce
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 5:08:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am opposed to the elimination of the SFDPH TIS staff/program from the budget. Prioritizing a trauma-informed workforce that administers healing services and programs has been a cornerstone of SFDPH for over a decade. When I was initially asked to create a curriculum to train over 9000 SFDPH employees across multiple systems of care, employees who had varying levels of expertise, professional experiences, lived experiences, disciplines and areas of focus, I was thrilled and honored to take the challenge. Together with SFDPH leaders, we created a SAMHSA nationally recognized model of organizational change. I had the opportunity to work with the talented and dedicated staff who would later carry out the mission to create a trauma-informed health care system; one that takes care of their staff so that access to patient care and outcomes could improve..

Trauma-Informed Systems (TIS), centering health equity, is designed to address the impact of structural, historical, and ongoing inequities in healthcare by promoting systemic organizational change. There are clear indications that the healthcare industry is at its breaking point. Healthcare organizations like SFDPH, struggle to meet the interpersonal, health, and professional challenges of the staff and to provide support, quality care and leadership to those in need, especially individuals from historically marginalized groups. Healthcare organizations, like SFDPH, have had to confront issues such as economic factors (e.g., job insecurity, unemployment, food insecurity, unstable housing), psychological factors (e.g., mental health and well-being), impacts on physical health (stress related health disorders), emerging changes in work practices (e.g., working remotely, virtual teaming), and differential experiences of staff, patients, and community members based on race, age, ethnicity, family status, and cultural differences (Adams & Walls, 2020). As a result, disparities in health outcomes continue to widen in many areas relative to race and income level, highlighting a dire need for systemic change (Himmelstein & Woolhandler, 2021).

The TIS team focuses on the health and wellbeing of our Workforce. Providers, staff, patients, partners etc. need the important TIS work to be at the center of what DPH does; eliminating this work is likely to have a negative impact on both service providers and patients.
The TIS team—small yet mighty, makes a difference. Their work helps build community, strengthens collaboration and provider responsiveness which is integral to client and patient engagement. All really important in our new fee for service revenue model of reimbursement.

My understanding is that there are 9000 positions in DPH- and 25 positions were eliminated. Five (5) of those positions are TIS. The other twenty (20) positions are colleagues at HOPE SF. Most of these teams are people of color, many with lived experiences.
With a budget as large as SFDPH, I simply do not understand how these positions were distinguished and chosen from the thousands of other ones.

My understanding is that the Mayor and Board of Supervisors have the money to protect TIS. Please do the right thing and preserve the current TIS positions and programming. By doing so, you will demonstrate that the leadership of SF City and County genuinely cares about our workforce and understand how their wellbeing is central to the wellbeing of the clients and patients SFDPH is mandated to serve.

Thank you very much,

Lynn Dolce, MFT
Edgewood CEO

From:	Pacific & Asian American Women Bay Area Coalition
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Cc:	ChanStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS)
Subject:	Budget & Appropiations Hearing - June 12, 2025 Department and Commission on the Status of Women
Date:	Wednesday, June 11, 2025 4:32:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chairperson Chan and Committee Members Dorsey, Engardio, President Mandelman, and Supervisor Walton::

The Pacific Asian American Women Bay Area Coalition (PAAWBAC) is a non-profit organization formed in 1980 to promote the personal, professional, and political interests of Asian Pacific Islander women in the San Francisco Bay Area. Our organization is gravely concerned about and strongly objects to Mayor Lurie's budget proposal to eliminate the Department on the Status of Women (DOSW) and subjugate it under a new Agency on Human Rights.

The S.F. Commission on the Status of Women has a rich history dating from its establishment by the Board of Supervisors 50 years ago, addressing issues that included employment equity, domestic violence, sexual assault, and affordable childcare. Two of PAAWBAC's founders, Carole Jan Lee and Caryl Ito, are former Commission members.

The DOSW, charged with carrying out the Commission's work, was established in 1994 by a charter amendment approved by 70% of the voters. The DOSW has been instrumental in reducing domestic violence and homicides, decreasing lawsuits against the City for sexual harassment, and aiding survivors of human trafficking. In many cases the DOSW's work in developing policy initiatives and collaborating with community partners and law enforcement on these issues became a model for other jurisdictions to follow.

The DOSW has a track record of over 30 years in making real strides to advance gender equity. Recent controversy over the former Executive Director should not erase decades of dedicated work and accomplishments by the DOSW. We fear that a merger with the Human Rights Commission will dilute the effectiveness of the DOSW. And, in light of the current Federal administration's outright misogyny and attacks on the mere idea of equity, it is critical that San Francisco continue its efforts on behalf of its women and families by maintaining the DOSW and the Commission in their current forms.

Thank you for considering these points as you take up this budget proposal.

Sincerely,

Katherine Lee

PAAWBAC Board Member

From: Nicholas Gee
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Written Public Comment for Wednesday, June 11, 2025 City Administrator & OCEIA Departmental Hearing
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 3:31:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello. My name is Nick Gee from Chinese for Affirmative Action and member of the Language Access Network of San Francisco. The Language Access Network serves thousands of residents each year and ensures that language access laws and the quality of in-language services meet the needs of non-English speaking communities and that people know their rights.

This particular leadership team is very familiar with our work and was most recently given special recognition on Monday, June 9th by the SF Immigrant Rights Commission at the Immigrant Leadership Awards – to that we are incredibly grateful and honored.

However, I am here to continue to re-emphasize the significance of the work of language access through the Network and urge I am here today to urge you to invest in language access to protect immigrant communities and their access to city services.

Language access is a civil right, and funding language access services demonstrates the city's commitment to all of its residents, regardless of the language they speak.

We know that non-English native speakers and monolingual communities have a RIGHT to meaningful participation, and yet many continue to be shut out of critical public resources and denied the ability to express their opinions and needs to their elected representatives.

Language access is fundamentally about safety, dignity, and belonging. Providing community safety, health services, and public safety net programs in the languages that community members understand is essential for non-English residents and monolingual families to live safely in San Francisco.

Through the city budget, San Francisco has an opportunity to demonstrate its commitment to all residents, regardless of what language you speak. We respectfully ask the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to secure continued funding for the Language Access Network at $900,000 over two years to ensure we are able to provide the necessary resources to LEP residents.

These investments not only achieve the City's strategic goals of public safety, but they also leverage the most efficient use of public dollars through collaborative efforts and expanding the safety net of local services available to the City's residents. Thank you for your time and

consideration.

Nicholas Gee

Chinese for Affirmative Action

Advocacy Manager

he/him | (415) 738.3363

ngee@caasf.org

A founding partner of

From: MB Salem
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: 6/11 hearing public comment
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 2:44:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Public comment for a community member supporting the SF workers rights community collaborative:

Hi, my name is Jenny, and I'm sending this message today as one of the workers who have experienced exploitation, wage theft, and unfair treatment in the workplace. In those moments, we didn't know where to turn. But the Filipino Community Center (FCC) and the Asian Law Caucus (ALC) were there for us—100% of the way.

They didn't just offer legal help or advice. They stood beside us, guided us, and most importantly, they believed in us. When we were scared, they gave us strength. When we were silenced, they helped us find our voices. When we felt powerless, they reminded us of our rights and our dignity.

For so many in the Filipino community, and in other immigrant and working-class communities, these organizations are truly a lifeline. They fill a huge gap in services that the government has long failed to provide. Filipino community center and Asian Caucus Law (organizations of SF workers rights community collaborative) don't just support individuals—they build movements, they bring people together, and they push for real, lasting change.

That's why we are deeply concerned about the threat of budget cuts to these essential organizations. Cutting their funding is not just a financial decision—it's a betrayal of workers like us, of immigrants, of families, and of entire communities who rely on them to survive and to fight back.

We need to be clear: FCC and ALC are not just helping people—they are changing lives. They are part of the backbone of this city's fight for justice. Their work must not only be protected—it must be fully funded and expanded.

Thank you to the FCC and ALC for everything you've done. And to those in power: please do not take this lifeline away from the people.

From: DPH-jonathanw
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: PLEASE preserve TIS funding in the budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 1:47:02 PM
Attachments: Outlook-A blue and.png
Outlook-A group of.png
Outlook-A blue and.png
Outlook-A logo of .png
Outlook-wrbqhjln.png
Outlook-xciy0ugq.png
Outlook-bv0jpikn.png

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to express my strong opposition to eliminating the SFDPH TIS staff/program from the budget. Especially now, in these incredibly stressful and traumatic times, prioritizing creating trauma-informed and healing programs and agencies is essential!

Staff, clients, teams, programs, agencies, etc. need the important TIS work to be at the center of what DPH does, and I'm very concerned that eliminating this initiative now not only sends a really bad message to all involved, it is also likely to have a negative impact on both service providers and recipients.
My personal experience with TIS-- having been involved with this as a trainer and in other ways for the past 9 years-- is that it does make a difference. This work helps build community, strengthen internal and external resources to foster well-being, and is integral to individual and collective healing and ongoing wellness in the face of an abundance of challenges.

My understanding is that the Mayor and Board of Supervisors have the money to protect TIS.
Please do the right thing and preserve the current TIS positions and programming!

Thanks very much,
Jonathan

edgewood™
We provide the people, place, and path for exceptional youth mental healthcare.

Jonathan Weinstock (*he/him*)
Training/Facilitation/School-Based Program



Manager/Mindfulness

OFFICE 415.682.3277

CELL 415.595.0222

edgewood.org

Follow Us

24/7 Crisis Team	Questions or Referrals
415.682.3278	415.682.3160

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic mail transmission may contain privileged and/or confidential information only for use by the intended recipients. Any usage, distribution, copying or disclosure by any other person, other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited and may be subject to civil action and/or criminal penalties. If you received this e-mail transmission in error, please notify the sender by telephone and delete the transmission.

From: DPH-phepfer
To: connie.chan@sfgov.or; Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Cc: Alberto Cortes; Kimberly Kollwitz
Subject: Devastating Funding Cuts to Senior Nutrition
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 11:04:42 AM
Attachments: Outlook-signature_.png
Outlook-signature_.png
Outlook-signature_.png
Outlook-signature_.png
Outlook-signature_.png
Outlook-tfuixhbt.png
25.06.04_ProjectOpenHand_LetterToMayorLurie_CNPFunding_FinalVersion_Signed.pdf

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Chan,

I am forwarding the attached letter that I submitted to Mayor Lurie concerning the proposed budget and the decision to withhold $3 million in Dignity Fund allocations from the Department of Aging Services.

The letter details the substantial consequences this reduction would have on Project Open Hand's capacity to serve San Francisco's older adults and adults living with disabilities. In particular, the funding shortfall would necessitate the elimination of weekend meal services across all sites, resulting in the loss of approximately 100,000 meals annually.

This reduction comes at a time of increasing demand, rising operational costs, and heightened need for medically tailored nutrition services.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. Please do not hesitate to reach out should you wish to discuss the contents of the letter further.

Sincerely,

Paul Hepfer

Paul Hepfer, Chief Executive Officer
Project Open Hand | 730 Polk Street | San Francisco, CA 94109
Cell: 408.537.3559 | Main: 415.447.2300 | www.openhand.org

Follow us on



This email message is for the intended recipient(s) only.
Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

Project Open Hand
meals with love

June 4, 2025

Mayor Daniel Lurie
Office of the Mayor
City Hall, Room 200
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Mayor Lurie,

Nonprofit organizations in San Francisco are bracing for some unprecedented and challenging times. As we continue to respond to community needs amid the financial realities we all face, we are mindful of the need for sustainable financial solutions that keep the essential safety net that tens of thousands of San Francisco residents depend upon for survival. Older adults and adults living with disabilities are among these.

The City's $16 billion budget released on May 29, 2025 attempts to address the budget deficit of over $780 million–all while addressing your priorities of cleaner and safer streets, an enormous challenge to be sure. However, within this budget, you are withholding $3 million of "Dignity Fund dollars" from the Department of Aging Services (DAS), intended to support nutrition services for older adults and people living with disabilities.

Project Open Hand (POH) is now faced with a reduction in DAS funding that will impact services to our Community Nutrition Program. Specifically, for the contract period starting July 1, 2025, this funding reduction will mean providing 100,000 fewer meals. As a result, weekend meal service will be eliminated at all our meal sites, leaving thousands of older adults and adults living with disabilities without access to breakfast and lunch services on weekends.

We know that older adults and adults living with disabilities who have a consistent and dependable source of nutritious meals experience improved health, overall physical wellbeing, and improved quality of life. The absence of nutritious meals translates to a negative spiral of declining health and social well-being. Specifically, these individuals will be at higher risk of experiencing physical decline, cognitive impairment, social isolation, increased healthcare costs, homelessness, financial strain, and frailty.

Thousands of San Francisco residents who otherwise have little to no access to appropriate nutrition depend on POH's researched-informed, medically tailored

nutrition interventions. In the fiscal year ending June 30, 2024, POH provided over 350,000 meals to over 10,000 older adults and adults living with disabilities in San Francisco.

Our ability to care for the over 10,000 individuals who depend on the diverse nutrition programs offered by POH is being challenged from many angles:

- An unpredictable economy, including the possibility of a recession, challenges our fundraising efforts. Philanthropic giving is shifting, with a notable decline in donor retention, in part due to an outsized decline in overall dollars.
- Rising food costs mean that providing the same number of meals is more expensive. Did you know that food costs have increased an estimated 20.8% since 2021?
- A decline in the economy, paired with a decline in social services (due to cuts in federal funding), translates to a significant increase in the number of City residents utilizing POH nutrition services.

I fully realize that residents of San Francisco want, and deserve, cleaner, safer streets. That was evident when you received 57% of the votes. At the same time, the City also prioritized essential, lifesaving services like nutrition when the Dignity Fund (Proposition I) was passed by 66% of the voters in 2016. Thousands of the older adults that will be impacted by these cuts have been San Francisco residents for decades and decades; they quite literally built this City.

Mayor Lurie, I want to both thank you for your leadership as we navigate these uncharted waters, and reiterate my appreciation for the challenge before you. I hope that, in the weeks leading into the new fiscal year, you and the Board of Supervisors will see that reallocating or withholding these Dignity Fund dollars from the Department of Aging Services will impede your stated priorities for San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Paul Hepfer
CEO, Project Open Hand

CC: Staci Slaughter, Chief of Staff to Mayor Daniel Lurie
Kunal Modi, Chief of Health, Homelessness, and Family Services to Mayor Daniel Lurie
Aly Bonde, Policy Advisor to Mayor Daniel Lurie
Kelly Dearman, Executive Director, Department of Disability and Aging Services
Supervisor Bilal Mahmood, District 5
Supervisor Connie Chan, Budget & Appropriations Committee
Supervisor Rafael Mandelman, President of the Board of Supervisors, Budget & Appropriations Committee

From:	CARYL ITO
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Cc:	ChanStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS)
Subject:	Budget Comm Hearing 6/12/25 DOSW-COSW
Date:	Monday, June 9, 2025 1:08:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair Chan, and members Dorsey, Engardio, Pres Mandelman & Walton of this Committee:

COSW was originally legislated under Mayor Alioto in 1975. During similar litigation with former Director of this agency in the late 80s, this COSW was dismantled for a short period and reinstated in 1989 by Mayor Agnos and the BOS. I served at this time to establish the Commission and hire the ED and formulate our mission & vision. We at the time were separated from HRC to improve accountability for S.F. women.

Here we are 36 yrs later, with Mayor Lurie erasing this Dept and subjugating the COSW to the Human Rights Agency. Similarily at a time when an ED had mismanaged the Dept and released from duty.
I question how can this be done to a Chartered Commission approved by 70% of our voters in 1994?
How can you as our City leaders ignore, disregard prior 30 yrs of dedicated work & accomplishments by this Dept and Commission?
In light of current natl attacks on policies, programs to protect women & families and LGBTQ communities , WHY abandon the decades of work and support for DOSW now?
Ideally with the mismanagement of DOSW transfer of 90% of their budget last fall to MOCHD to oversee domestic violence funds, these funds need to be returned to DOSW for proper accountability & oversight. Better coordination with Mayors Office of Victims Services and a small project regarding sexual harrassment complaints under HRC need to be all under DOSW.
Thank you for your consideration and respect for women. Maintain DOSW as a separate Dept under COSW.

Caryl Ito
Former COSW ,1989- 1998
Former Airport Commission, 1998 - 2010

Sent from my iPhone
Caryl Ito
415-987-2172 fax 415:334-3048

From:	Dorka Keehn
To:	ChenStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS)
Cc:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	6/12/25 Budget Hearing: Erasure of DEPT on STATUS ON WOMEN & Merger with Human Rights Agency
Date:	Tuesday, June 10, 2025 3:17:46 PM
Attachments:	COSW budget letter.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dorka Keehn
KEEHN ON ART
keehnonart.com

June 10,2025

Supervisor Connie Chan
Supervisor Joel Engardio
Supervisor Shamann Walton
Supervisor Rafael Mandelman
San Francisco City Hall
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Supervisors:

As a former Commission on the Status of Women (COSW) Commissioner, It is deeply disappointing—and frankly shocking—to see a proposal that disregards over 30 years of vital work and accomplishments by COSW and the Department on the Status of Women (DOSW). I am alarmed and strongly object to the Mayor's proposal to erase the Department in this budget and subjugate the Commission under this newly named Agency of Human Rights. At a time when misogyny is resurging in national and federal policy, San Francisco should be strengthening—not dismantling—our institutional commitment to gender equity.

In 1994, San Francisco voters approved the inclusion of COSW in our City Charter with overwhelming support—70%. Legally and ethically, how can a chartered commission be subsumed under a new department without violating the will of the voters and undermining its purpose?

For three decades, COSW has been a national model. It has:

- Led initiatives that significantly reduced domestic violence and homicides.
- Protected the City from costly lawsuits through work on sexual harassment policies.
- Coordinated efforts to combat human trafficking.
- Served as a critical advocate for gender equity across all city departments.

Why would our City leaders erase this progress? Why now, when the need for equity-driven leadership is as urgent as ever?

This proposal sends the wrong message to women and families in San Francisco—that their safety, dignity, and representation are expendable. I urge you to protect the integrity of COSW. Uphold the Charter. Preserve the leadership infrastructure that generations of women fought to build. In advance, thank you for your commitment to justice and equity.

Sincerely,



Dorka Keehn
President, COSW (1999-2010)
Co-Founder, Emerge America, Ignite National, Women Count.

From:	Chanel Blackwell
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS)
Cc:	Alan Wong
Subject:	Free City College
Date:	Tuesday, June 10, 2025 7:31:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Budget & Appropriation Committee, I hope you're doing well.

In 2016, San Francisco citizens voted for free City College under Proposition W. It became a great success, and taxpayers at the ballot box extended this initiative for ten years in 2019.

We have been aware that the budget proposal from the Mayor's administration was delivered last week. I have cc City College board member Alan Wong to add a comment if you would like Alan. It would be greatly appreciated if some of the remaining half of the 212 million budget for 2024-20-25 issued by the previous administration could be used to keep the institution free, including 7.2 million, which is as promised for this fall, 2025-2026.

It’s only been five years since this became legislated. Every S.F. citizen has the right to this free education, given so graciously by voters. Knowing that money is allocated to this is comforting; we are responsible for making San Francisco a remarkable city educationally, which in turn increases economic success, prevents homelessness, improves mental health, and reduces crime. A mind is a terrible waste when the sources are not there.

With great pride, our city is the first to provide free City College tuition nationwide, and it would be wonderful if we could keep this historic opportunity, setting a tone for others. It's part of what makes San Francisco great.

Please consider voting to approve keeping SF City College free in the Mayor's budget proposal.

Thank you for serving the citizens of SF, and have a good one.

2/08/san-francisco-to-be-the-first-us-city-to-offer-free-college.html

Chanel Blackwell
ENDC BOE, Director of Higher Education
1(415)3414936

From: Lisa Biasotti
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 4:46:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee.
My name is Lisa Biasotti, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you

From: Monica Maresca
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 4:32:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is Monica Maresca and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you in advance.

Best regards,

Monica Maresca
SF resident
415.786.0006

From: Kevin Reynolds
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Privatization of Golf Courses File No. 250589
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 3:34:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

DO NOT ALLOW THIS TO HAPPEN!

THESE ARE PUBLIC AMENITIES TO BE KEPT FOR THE ETERNITY OF TIME. DO NOT SQUANDER THEM FOR IMMEDIATE REWARDS!

Kevin Reynolds
70 Aquavista Way
SF, 94131

From: Jessica Johnson
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: File No. 250589- Opposing Privatization of public spaces
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 3:11:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Jessica Johnson and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thanks!
Jessica

Jessica Jung Johnson
Realtor
DRE# 02084004
Jessica@vanguardsf.com
Direct: 415-828-6224
Jessicasellssf.com
Vanguard Properties



Ranked Top 1% of TIC Sales in San Francisco

From: Robin Hubinsky
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 3:02:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message:

Public Comment – Opposing Privatization of Public Golf Courses (File No. 250589)
Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is [Your Name], and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you

Robin Hubinsky
San Francisco, Ca. 94110
415.939.4028 (cell)
rhubinsky@hotmail.com

From: Rodney Wertz
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 2:59:01 PM
Attachments: image001[11].png
image002.png

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Public Comment – Opposing Privatization of Public Golf Courses (File No. 250589)
Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is [Your Name], and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you

Contributing to your Success!

RODNEY WERTZ
Agent Success Manager
Office Manager
415.655.5600
rodney@vanguardsf.com
VANGUARDPROPERTIES.COM

VANGUARD PROPERTIES
Leading REAL ESTATE COMPANIES OF THE WORLD
LUXURY PORTFOLIO INTERNATIONAL

From: Emilie Biasotti-Nystrom
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Stop the Privatization of SF"s Public Golf Course! File No.250589
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 1:04:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon,

Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is Emilie Biasotti-Nystrom, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you,

Emilie Biasotti-Nystrom

(510) 703-7032

From: Stephen Gorski
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Opposing Privatization of Public Golf Courses, File#: 250589
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 12:36:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Public Comment – Opposing Privatization of Public Golf Courses (File No. 250589)

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

My name is Stephen J Gorski and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses. Further, I have held a resident card for golf for about 20 years and often play the referenced golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by

rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city’s public golf courses.

Thank you for considering my comment.

Stephen J. Gorski, D4 Resident and voter for over 45 years.

Sent from my iPad

From: Aidan Downes
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 11:16:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Public Comment – Opposing Privatization of Public Golf Courses (File No. 250589)

Good morning, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is Aidan, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you

From:	Kevin Cassidy
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date:	Tuesday, June 10, 2025 10:50:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Brent,
Public Comment – Opposing Privatization of Public Golf Courses (File No. 250589)
Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is [Your Name], and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you
Kevin Cassidy

Sent from my iPhone

From: Valentina Osorio
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: File no. 250589
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 9:17:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee, My name is Valentina Osorio, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses. San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate. A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control. I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces. I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses. Thank you

From: Jack Calonico
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: File no. 250589
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 9:15:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee, My name is Jack Calonico, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses. San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate. A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control. I support exploring alternative revenue solutions —ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces. I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses. Thank you

From: John Calonico
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: 250589
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 8:59:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

HELP STOP THE PRIVATIZATION

: Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Message:

Public Comment – Opposing Privatization of Public Golf Courses (File No. 250589)
Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is John Calonico, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you
John Calonico
Sent from my iPhone

4153075131

From: Gabriel Porter
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 7:02:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is Gabriel, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

I grew up in a low-income household in the Sunset district. The City's public courses allowed me affordable, accessible places to learn the game of golf. But moreover those courses became places to learn life lessons, meet people I otherwise never would have, and grow as a person. And all this was accessible to me (via a MUNI ride) because of affordable green fees and the City's youth golf programs. These experiences were invaluable to myself and my brothers and only existed because of the City's Public Courses.

Golf remains an important part of my life and I'm extremely impressed with the recent improvements at the City's public courses, GGPGC and Lincoln Park GC especially. Whenever I play any of the public courses in the city with visitors, they always remark about how cool it is to have quality PUBLIC courses within the city limits. I agree.

Residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you,

Gabriel

From:	Tina Baburyan
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Public comment - opposing privatization of golf courses (file # 250589)
Date:	Sunday, June 8, 2025 7:48:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good evening,

My name is Tina Baburyan and I am a resident of San Francisco. I am writing to respectfully request that you reject the portion of the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget that would pave the way for privatizing our city's public golf courses.

Public courses such as Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles are more than just recreational facilities—they are essential civic assets. These open spaces provide low-cost access to outdoor activity, promote physical and mental wellness, and serve as inclusive gathering spaces for residents from all walks of life. Moreover, they play a vital role in preserving green space, supporting biodiversity, and offering ecological benefits in a densely populated city.
Transferring management of these courses to private entities undermines these public values. A private operator is fundamentally motivated by profit, not by equity, access, or stewardship of public land.

Privatization threatens to increase costs, restrict access for vulnerable populations, and erode the public's voice in how these spaces are managed and maintained. Such changes would disproportionately impact children, seniors, and working-class San Franciscans who rely on these courses for affordable, accessible recreation. While I recognize the fiscal pressures facing the city, turning over management of public lands to private hands is a short-sighted response to a temporary challenge. Once lost, public control is rarely restored. We should be looking toward creative, sustainable revenue solutions that do not compromise the public character or accessibility of our green spaces.

I urge the Committee to stand in defense of public land and reject any budgetary measures that would enable the privatization of San Francisco's public golf courses.

Thank you,
Tina Baburyan

From: Hovhanes Hovhanessian
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: PUBLIC COMMENT OPPOSING GOLF COURSE PRIVATIZATION FILE # 250589
Date: Sunday, June 8, 2025 7:27:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Public comment opposing golf course privatization (file no. 250589)

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is Hovhanes Hovhanessian, and I live in San Francisco. I'm reaching out today to strongly urge you to reject the proposed budget from the Recreation and Parks Department, specifically the part about privatizing our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public courses—Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are essential to our city. These spaces belong to the people of San Francisco. They provide affordable recreation, preserve green space in an urban environment, and offer a welcoming space for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. And these courses aren't just for golfers—they serve as parks that provide wildlife habitats and offer significant health benefits to our community. The city has a responsibility to maintain them in a way that's accessible to everyone.

A private company simply won't have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatizing these courses could lead to higher fees, restricted access, and less community involvement in how they're managed. We shouldn't risk making these spaces unaffordable or exclusive, especially when they're a resource for children, seniors, and low-income families. These golf courses are public assets, and they should be managed by the city to serve all San Franciscans—not by private companies looking to turn a profit. Privatization is a quick fix to a temporary issue, and once it's done, it's hard to undo.

I believe we can find other ways to generate revenue without sacrificing the public nature and accessibility of these vital spaces.

I ask this Committee to protect our public land and keep access to these recreational spaces fair and equitable for all. Please reject any proposals that would privatize San Francisco's public golf courses.

Thank you.

From:	Darius Sadeghi
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date:	Sunday, June 8, 2025 7:22:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

My name is Darius Sadeghi, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you

From: Max Rothstein
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 5:17:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

My name is Max Rothstein, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you,

Max

From:	Deirdre Connor
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date:	Monday, June 9, 2025 5:04:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Mr. Jalipa,

I'm writing to express concern about the proposed city budget that could lead to the privatization of San Francisco's public golf courses, including Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles.

As someone who actively uses and values these public courses, I've seen how vital they are to our city. They provide affordable access to green space, recreation, and a sense of community for people from all walks of life.

I also host a monthly golf group with local professionals, and many of our outings are held at these public courses. If they were to become private, we would likely be priced out or lose access altogether. These spaces are more than places to play—they're part of San Francisco's inclusive fabric.

Public golf courses are just as important as our parks. They offer open space, promote wellness, and bring people together. Programs like First Tee are doing incredible work to make golf more inclusive for underserved youth, and I believe the city should focus on expanding access through initiatives like that—not privatization.

Please consider the long-term consequences on accessibility, equity, and community life. I respectfully urge you and the Budget & Appropriations Committee to oppose any budget measures that would lead to the privatization of our public golf courses (File No. 250589).

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Deirdre Connor

From: Nikolas Eristavi
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 3:16:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

My name is Nikolas Eristavi, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you
Regards,

Nikolas Eristavi

From:	Teka Eristavi
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date:	Monday, June 9, 2025 11:39:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Public Comment – Opposing Privatization of Public Golf Courses (File No. 250589)

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is Téa Eristavi, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you,

Téa Eristavi
SF resident

From:	Christina Haight
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date:	Monday, June 9, 2025 10:31:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is Christina Haight and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles— are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you

RECEIVED IN COMMITTEE
6/4/2025
FILE NO. 250527

Good afternoon Supervisors

Moses Corrette, here on my own time. I am an employee of the Planning Department. I am also a member of the OCII Oversight Board, a delegate to the San Francisco Labor Council and past EVP and Treasurer of Local 21. I have been living and working in San Francisco for a quarter of a century, and a resident of District 8. I work on the Planning desk at the Permit Center as well as historic surveys in support of the Housing Element and designations such as the Rainbow Flag which was co-sponsored by EVERY supervisor. During the depth of the pandemic, while others were sheltering at home, I was one of a handful of planners that walked to work alone in a conference room to approve building permits as an essential function of the City.

This month will mark 25 years of my permeant civil service with the City. I have been told to expect a layoff notice, that my name was given to DHR by my department.

The Planning Department is a General Fund Department, yet receives only a fraction of the department's revenue from the General Fund. Having a department with a long-range vision that directly affects every resident, worker and visitor to San Francisco largely dependent of fee revenue is nothing less than short-sighted budgeting. Since the Brown administration Planning has become overly reliant on fluctuating revenue.

The 9-month report is largely good news, more income than expected is always nice. I urge you to use some of that money to supplement the Planning Department's budget.

What isn't nice is the prospect of being 54 years old and unemployed. I wouldn't be able to stay in the City, a planned comfortable retirement would be compromised, and the Oversight Board would be reduced to the absolute minimum required for a quorum risking failure to adopt the State required ROPS.

Hi Supervisors,

I am Jonathan Tso, and I'm currently the finance operations manager at City Planning. I've been working for the City for the last 19 years all in accounting in finance. I am here today to speak for myself and seven other employees that the City Planning is planning to lay off in the Mayor's FY26 proposed budget.

Planning's Budget includes deleting 16 positions, of which 8 are filled. It is expected to save around $3M but the personnel budget is increasing $100k and the overall budget is increasing $3 million to $58 million.

So where is our actual saving? One place is our GFS is reduced 15 % which is $1.15M to 6.4M total.

Last Wednesday, Michella Allersma, from the Controller's Office, presented the 9-month budget status report. In between talks about the overspending by our public protection departments and the chance that 97% of our FEMA reimbursement might be disallowed. She mentioned that Planning is expecting a 6 million operating deficit. If that holds true at year end, the general fund reserves will need to absorb this balance. This $6 million is only $400k less than the General Fund Support (GFS) that is expected for FY26. In FY25, our GFS is $7.5M and if you add $6M, the total is $13.5M. To dive more into $6 million operating deficits. It is due to $10.1M in revenue shortfalls and is offset by $4.1 expenditure savings. Of this saving, 1.2M in personnel which could be attributed to hiring freeze. Planning doesn't have a spending issue. They are dealing with uncertainty about permit revenue and reduced general fund support. Which the Controller is still going to have to fund as with general fund reserves at year end.

Laying off employees and cutting vacant positions, will reduce the Planning's revenues. The layoffs are mainly to the planners in the current planning division. They are revenue generating positions.

This commission can restore the general fund support of $1.15M to avoid the layoffs at the Planning Department.

Thank you for your time,

Jonathan Tso

Tso318@gmail.com

Members of the Board of Supervisors

We, the undersigned, are the dedicated and hard-working men and woman of various classifications employed at the 3 San Francisco golf courses, Harding Park GC, Lincoln GC and Sharp Park GC.

We reject the RPD's budget proposal in its current form as it pertains to the proposal to outsource operations and maintenance of City golf courses.

We do not believe the proposal for privatization makes sense on multiple levels, including, but not limited to, fiscal responsibility and our obligation as stewards of public assets.

We invite you to join us in respectfully rejecting RPD's proposal to outsource the operations and maintenance of the City's public golf courses.

Name	Craft	Signature
MARQUES L. JONES	Gardener	
ALMAR VALENZUELA	Gardener	
GEOFFREY PLOVANICH	MANAGER	
JOHN NAVAL	mechanic	
Elmer Dela Cruz	Mechanic	
Jose Navarrete	Gardener	
Eoghan Hurley	Gardener	
Lio Banister	Gardener	
Sid DellaRocca	Gardener	
Alvaro Mendoza	Gardener	

Name	Craft	Signature
Jorge Manzo	Gardener	
Kevin Phong	Gardener	
Juan Cabrera	Gardener	
Chris Vnuk	Gardener	
Kevin Carlomagno	Plumber	
Kevin Teahan	Manager	
John Haslow	Gardener	
Carlos Molina	Gardener	
BRIAN BRADFORD	Gardener	
Carlos Miranda	Gardener	
Sasha Gonzalez	Gardener	
Bryan Jacobs	Gardener	
Juan Cisneros	Gardener	
Kenna Pilgrim	Gardener	
ZENAIDA BALTERO	Gardener	
Elias Hishmeh	Gardener Supervisor	

Members of the Board of Supervisors

We, the undersigned, are the dedicated and hard-working men and woman of various classifications employed at the 3 San Francisco golf courses, Harding Park GC, Lincoln GC and Sharp Park GC.

We reject the RPD's budget proposal in its current form as it pertains to the proposal to outsource operations and maintenance of City golf courses.

We do not believe that the proposal for privatization makes sense on multiple levels, including, but not limited to, fiscally and as stewards of public assets.

Please join us in our rejection of RPD's proposal to outsource the operations and maintenance of City golf courses.

Name	Craft	Signature
DAVID MARROQUIN	TEAMSTER	
MICHAELA TANZARO	PARK SECTION SUPERVISOR	M. TANZARO
CRAIG STOVER	3417	
JEFF SCHIMMEL	3417	
Gregory P	3417	
Javier Del Rio	3417	
Michael Cronin	3417	
Gary P. Blos II	7347 PLUMBER	
Tom McGee	7355 Truck driver	

NAME	CRAFT	SIGNATURE
YIANNI GOGONAS	GARDENER	
Ryan Connolly	Gardener	
Chris welch	TEAMSTER	
Peter Nelson	Gardener	
EDWIN Quintan	GARDENER	
JOE McNamara	Operator	
JOHN Dan	OPERATOR	
Batt Gon	TEAMSTER	
JOE SULLIVAN	TEAMSTER	
Robert Dhm	3434	
Raul G Venegas	3436	
steven Eastwood	3424	
Ricardo Saldivar	3434	
Robert Sales	3436	
Carlos Lavios	3434	
Johnnie Fifita	3434	
Wolfgang Liku	3434	
DEXTER BRUCE	3417	

From:	Christine Mauia
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Crayton, Monique (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Philip, Susan (DPH); Goette, Christina (DPH); Tugbenyoh, Mawuli (HRC); Tsai, Daniel (DPH); daniel.tsai@sfgov.org
Subject:	File Number 250624: Harmful Cuts to Pacific Islander and Marginalized Communities
Date:	Thursday, June 12, 2025 2:32:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Talofa Lava (Hello) Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, Legislative and Committee Members,

My name is Christine Mauia, and I am the Executive Director of All My Usos (AMU), reaching out as a concerned community member. I respectfully urge you to reconsider the proposed budget cuts that would significantly impact Pacific Islander and marginalized communities in our city.

AMU provides essential services to underserved populations, focusing on mental health, food insecurity, and chronic disease prevention. We are the only Pacific Islander-led organization addressing health and nutrition education, ensuring that everyone who walks through our doors has access to culturally relevant resources.

In 2016, Pacific Islanders had the highest rates of emergency room visits due to hypertension in San Francisco. Additionally, more than one-third of Pacific Islanders in California aged 18-39 are estimated to have prediabetes, according to the UCLA Center for Health Policy and Research. Furthermore, Pacific Islanders have the lowest life expectancy in San Francisco, alongside Black and African American residents, as indicated by the SF City and County Health Disparities Dashboard.

The proposed cuts would mean that community members would lose direct access to healthy food, nutrition education, and essential services. This will particularly affect families of color and eliminate resources in neighborhoods that already struggle with food deserts and health disparities. This is a concerning step backward for our community.

I appreciate Mayor Lurie's commitment to exceptional city services. However, cutting $3.5 million contradicts this vision. The Sugary Drinks Distributors Tax (SDDT), supported by San Francisco voters, was intended to fund programs addressing health issues related to sugary beverages. Eliminating this funding would leave many residents without essential services, and once again, we would be left to suffer.

I urge you to preserve the funding from the **SDDT grant and reinstate the Healthy Communities and Policy Environment and System grants through the Department of Public Health (DPH).** Simply distributing food

through other agencies does not address the chronic health issues in our community. Without the programming and education provided by organizations like ours, these funds will not reach those who need them most.

Moreover, I want to emphasize that AMU is the only Pacific Islander focused organization that has received funding from DPH to address health issues in our community. **Without this support, our community will gravely suffer, as there are no other nonprofit organizations giving Pacific Islander health the attention it desperately needs.**

Thank you for considering my perspective. I appreciate your time and dedication to serving our community

With respect,

Christine Mauia,
Concerned Community Member
“Tautua nei mo se taeao manuia.”
“Serve now for a better tomorrow."

From:	Nackie Fiso-Moli
To:	bos@sf.gov.org; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); chan@sfgov.org; Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); shammon.walton@sfgov.org; Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Crayton, Monique (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); susan.philip@sfdpg.org; Goette, Christina (DPH); Tugbenyoh, Mawuli (HRC); daniel.tsai@sfgov.org
Subject:	File Number 250624 Harmful Cuts to Pacific Islander and Marginalized Communities
Date:	Friday, June 13, 2025 9:03:49 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Talofa Lava (Hello) Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, Legislative and Committee Members,

My name is Nackie Fiso-Moli and I am the Executive Director of PasifikaByDesign a nonprofit organization working throughout Northern California and San Francisco, and I am a concerned community member. I urge you to reconsider the proposed budget cuts that harm Pacific Islander and other marginalized communities.

All My Usos (AMU) offers essential services and resources to underserved communities in San Francisco. AMU also addresses critical issues such as mental health, food insecurity, and healing for families *and communities. By breaking down barriers, AMU commits themselves to ensure that anyone who walks through their doors has access to free resources specifically catered to meet their needs while fostering support that thrives on culturally relevant practices.

AMU and Fa'atasi Youth Services are the only Pacific Islander organizations that address the chronic health disparities that exist within the Pacific Islander community. Access to free health and nutrition education and physical activities are vital in creating a healthier and thriving San Francisco.

Mayor Lurie states that he is here to provide exceptional core city services so that every San Franciscans can live safe, healthier, and productive lives. Cutting **$3.5 Million** to Food Security, Oral Health, and Physical Activity IS NOT how you make this statement come true. **San Francisco Voters** passed the Sugary Drinks Distributors Tax (SDDT) so it can go directly to funding programs that address illnesses related to the consumption of sugary beverages. This is not how you create a healthier San Francisco amongst residents who continue to be left out of conversations that impact their livelihood. The money that was allocated for grant programs is being cut completely. That means community members have no direct access to healthy food, oral health care (especially for children of color), and neighborhoods that have food deserts will continue to be denied access to accessible, affordable, and basic health resources.

Please help stop the cuts to the **Sugary Drinks Tax** that Mayor Lurie is proposing should be cut completely. Wiping out access to these services will do more harm than good. If being data driven means increasing funding for police, then that's a statement

of war for families who will no longer have access to services that have been impacting their lives positively.

Defunding these services will do more harm than good. Stand with our communities and STOP THE CUTS!

With respect,

Nackie M. Fiso-Moli
Concerned Community Member
“*Tautua nei mo se taeao manuia.”*
“*Serve now for a better tomorrow.”*

Nackie M. Fiso-Moli
Executive Director
PasifikaByDesign

From:	Annette Wong
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Nicholas Gee; Shuangyan Li; Amos Lim
Subject:	Public Comment: OEWD
Date:	Thursday, June 12, 2025 9:35:18 PM
Attachments:	Chinese for Affirmative Action - OEWD SJC Budget Reduction 2025.pdf Letter of Spport - UCSF.pdf Letter of Support for CAA- STEP.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair Chan, Supervisors of the Budget and Appropriations Committee, and the clerk of the board,

This comment is respectfully submitted in support of Chinese for Affirmative Action's OEWD funded Specialized Job Center (SJC) supporting limited-English proficient immigrants with job readiness services and job placement. This OEWD SJC was recommended for funding for 2025-2026 at a reduced rate of $100,000, from our initial grant of $284,000. This 65% reduction is significantly impacting our community, who face multiple barriers to employment.

CAA's SJC places people in high road jobs with a living wage and benefits. We're proud of the work we do for the LEP community that enables them to contribute to the economic vitality of San Francisco, and to public safety, when families are economically stable.

Please find attached:

- A one-pager with additional details regarding our budget request
- Two letters of support from employers/parters who CAA has worked with to strengthen the workforce development pipeline.

Thank you for your consideration.

-- Annette

--

Annette Wong | 王浩儀

Chinese for Affirmative Action

Managing Director of Programs

华人权益促进会 | 項目主任

(415) 274-6750x301

AWong@caasf.org

华促会现在有多个岗位开放招聘，欢迎申请！

CHINESE FOR AFFIRMATIVE ACTION

OFFICE OF ECONOMIC AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT (OEWD)
SPECIALIZED JOB CENTER (SJC) SERVING LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT IMMIGRANTS

HISTORY

For decades, CAA has had an employment services program to provide job readiness services, including placement in employment, and referrals to secondary education and vocational training to working class, limited-English proficient San Franciscans. Our program also includes access to financial and digital literacy.

In the 2024-25 fiscal year (FY), CAA has successfully completed all deliverable goals. As the City recovers economically from the pandemic, CAA's specialized job center has seen a significant growth in opportunities for limited English proficient (LEP) clients to access jobs, with the support of CAA.

BUDGET OVERVIEW

For the 2023-24 FY, CAA received $284,000 annually from OEWD to provide these services which include:

- Enrollment of 211 clients (50% over deliverables)
- Job Placement of 134 clients
- Education Placement of 12 clients
- Subcontract with Chinese Progressive Association to conduct outreach and referrals to CAA's job center

For the 2024-25 FY, CAA received $100,000 this year from OEWD and successfully provided the following services:

- Enrollment of 63 clients (12% over deliverables)
- Job Placement of 35 / 28 clients (92% of deliverables)
- Education Placement of 5 clients (100%, 2 clients were able to both Education and Employment Placement
- We have met our deliverables in March and have been referring walk-in clients (average 10-15 a month to other agencies). We do not log callers to our voicemail, but will respond to them and refer them to other agencies for services.
- Subcontract with Chinese Progressive Association to conduct outreach and referrals to CAA's job center

www.caasf.org

17 Walter U. Lum Place, San Francisco, CA 94108
T: 415.274.6750 F: 415.397.8770 info@caasf.org

At the beginning of our multi-year contract in 2023, OEWD had established that for the 2024-25 FY, we would be reduced to $234,000. However, during the 24-25 program year, we learned that CAA was further reduced to $100,000 total for 2024-25 FY, and recommended to remain at $100,000 for the 2025-26 and 2026-27 fiscal years.

OUR REQUEST

We are requesting a backfill of $184,000 in order for us to continue providing critical services for immigrant community members at the level needed to support working class community members in accessing jobs, specialized training, and ensuring an equitable economic recovery in our City.

WHY IS THIS IMPORTANT?

- CAA's Specialized Job Center serving LEP immigrants is the only OEWD specialized job center focused on this population, in a city that has such a robust LEP population, reducing CAA's job center by 65% has devastating impacts on immigrant and directly impacted community members at a time when immigrant rights is under attack.

- The City of San Francisco is still recovering economically from the COVID-19 pandemic. Having a job center focused on LEP immigrants ensures that this segment of San Francisco's population is able to support that economic recovery, and not be left behind.

- One of the factors in addressing community safety issues is ensuring that residents are gainfully employed, and economically secure. CAA's specialized job center supports this goal by providing access to jobs for LEP immigrants.

CONTACT

Annette Wong
CAA Managing Director of Programs
(415) 738-3351, awong@caasf.org

UCSF Health
Redefining possible.

Administration
1800 Owens Street
San Francisco, CA 94158
tel: 415-353-2733
fax: 415-514-3523
www.ucsfhealth.org/possible

June 10, 2025

Sarah Denise Phillips, Executive Director
Office of Economic and Workforce Development
1 Dr Carlton B. Goodlett Place
Room 448
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Letter of Support for Chinese for Affirmative Action's Specialized Job Center

Dear Ms. Phillips,

The University of California, San Francisco (UCSF), is pleased to write a letter of support for the restoration of full funding of $284,000 to Chinese for Affirmative Action's (CAA) Office of Economic and Workforce Development (OEWD) funded Specialized Job Center serving limited-English proficient San Franciscans.

CAA has been working with UCSF for years helping clients who face significant barriers to employment with employment assistance towards successful hiring at the university.

In addition to helping the Chinese limited English proficient (LEP) San Franciscans apply for the positions with a resume as well as supporting documents to support their applications, CAA ensures applicants meet the minimum qualifications. CAA also prepares them for our orientation and interviews as well as supports them through the onboarding process - completing training and providing necessary documentation - to start work on time.

Our partnership for the past few years has strengthened and we have successfully hired clients referred to us by CAA promptly with minimal delays. CAA is very proactive in updating us during the recruitment and onboarding processes in order to address challenges quickly so that employees can start on time.

CAA also consistently provides crucial information about upcoming workshops and events that are relevant to our community and parents.

We appreciate the great working partnership between our organizations and as a result have hired many clients referred to us by CAA to fill roles that support the overall vitality of the university.

It is for these reasons that we write in support of full funding of $284,000 for CAA's OEWD Specialized Job Center, which was reduced by 65% and will continue at the reduced level for 2025-2026, without your support. In this time of political and economic uncertainty, CAA's work is more important than ever in helping Chinese LEP folks access employment.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

DocuSigned by:
Jeffrey Chiu
1B2D96355F9642B

Jeffrey Chiu
Vice President/Assistant Vice Chancellor, Talent Management, UCSF/UCSF Health
Interim Vice President of Human Resources, Benioff Children's Hospital Oakland

STEP Substitute Teacher Empowerment & Placement

415-960-1351
info@ecestep.org www.eceSTEP.org
935 Howard Street, San Francisco, CA 94103

April 29, 2025

RE: Letter of Support for Chinese for Affirmative Action's Specialized Job Center

To whom it may concern,

ECE STEP is pleased to write a letter of support for the restoration of full funding of $284,000 to Chinese for Affirmative Action's (CAA) Office of Economic and Workforce Development (OEWD) funded Specialized Job Center serving limited-English proficient San Franciscans.

CAA has been working with ECE STEP for the past few years helping clients who face significant barriers to employment with employment assistance towards successful hiring at ECE STEP to become an early childhood educator.

In addition to helping the Chinese limited English proficient (LEP) San Franciscans apply for the positions with a resume as well as supporting documents to support their applications, CAA ensures applicants meet the minimum qualifications to be onboarded as soon as possible at ECE STEP, which includes obtaining pre-onboarding required documents.

Our partnership for the past few years has strengthened and we have successfully hired clients referred to us by CAA promptly with minimal delays. CAA is very proactive in updating us during the recruitment and onboarding processes to address challenges quickly so that employees can start on time.

We appreciate the great working partnership between our organizations and as a result have hired many clients referred to us by CAA to fill the high-demand early childhood educator positions.

It is for these reasons that we write in support of full funding of $284,000 for CAA's OEWD Specialized Job Center, which was reduced by 65% and will continue at the reduced level for 2025-2026, without your support. In this time of political and economic uncertainty, CAA's work is more important than ever in helping Chinese LEP folks access employment.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sabrina Dong

Sabrina Dong, Program Director
sabrina.dong@ecestep.org
415-594-7400

From:	Tina Sataraka
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Crayton, Monique (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Philip, Susan (DPH); Goette, Christina (DPH); Tugbenyoh, Mawuli (HRC); Tsai, Daniel (DPH); daniel.tsai@sfgov.org
Subject:	File Number 250624: Harmful Cuts to Pacific Islander and Marginalized Communities
Date:	Friday, June 13, 2025 9:28:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Talofa Lava (Hello) Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and Committee Members,

My name is Tina Sataraka-Faitala and I am an Associate Mental Health Therapist, Program Coordinator with All My Usos, and I am a concerned community member. I urge you to reconsider the proposed budget cuts that harm Pacific Islander and other marginalized communities.

All My Usos (AMU) and Fa'atasi Youth Services are the only Pacific Islander-led organizations in San Francisco with a dedicated focus on chronic disease prevention—one of the most urgent health disparities facing Pacific Islanders. Like other Pacific Islander organizations, they also offer essential services in mental health, food access, and community healing. What sets AMU and Fa'atasi apart is their culturally grounded approach to chronic disease prevention, delivered through free health education, nutrition support, and physical activity programming. Together they contribute to a healthier, more equitable San Francisco.

Cutting $3.5 million from Food Security, Oral Health, and Physical Activity contradicts Mayor Lurie's commitment to health and safety for all San Franciscans. Voters approved the Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax (SDDT) to fund these programs—not to be erased. These cuts eliminate access to essential health resources, especially in underserved communities.

Defunding these services will do more harm than good.
Stand with our communities and STOP THE CUTS!

With love and respect,
Concerned Community Member
"Tautua nei mo se taeao manuia."
"Serve now for a better tomorrow."

From:	Mahogany Mamas
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comments for Maternal Health Hearing on Thurs., June 12
Date:	Friday, June 13, 2025 5:51:14 AM
Attachments:	Public Comments for SF Hearing on Black Maternal Health - Google Docs.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Brent,

This message is addressed to the Committee Clerk for San Francisco Board of Supervisors Hearing on Black Maternal Health that was originally scheduled on Wednesday, June 4th (10:30am), but was rescheduled to Thursday, June 12th (1pm).

I am the founder of Mahogany Mamas, a community for Black mothers to prioritize their wellbeing and wellness needs, connect with each other online and in-person and share resources to help us navigate the joys and challenges that modern motherhood brings. The majority of our members are based in San Francisco and Oakland. Also, I gave birth at UCSF and plan to give birth in SF again.

Although I originally planned to attend the heading on June 4th and was planning to attend once the date was moved, I was not able to pull away from my work and also feeling under the weather. Since I am currently pregnant I was not willing to risk getting others sick. Attached please find the remarks I planned to share at the hearing.

Please accept the attached document and if possible include it in the record of public comments on the topic of Black Maternal Health and what support is needed for this community.

Thank you,

June
June Williams Glenn
Founder, Mahogany Mamas
www.themahoganymamas.com

Public comments tailored specifically for the **June 12, 2025 San Francisco Board of Supervisors Hearing on Black Maternal Health**

Good afternoon, my name is June Williams Glenn. I'm the founder of **Mahogany Mamas**, a community of Black mothers in San Francisco and Oakland, and I also delivered my first child at UCSF. I plan to deliver my second in San Francisco later this year.

The Mahogany Mamas community exists to create a safe, affirming space for modern Black women to prioritize their wellness, share resources, and find joy and ease in the transformative experience of motherhood. We connect, we heal—and we advocate.

We're showing up today because **Black maternal health outcomes in San Francisco are unacceptable.**
And we can't improve what we refuse to measure.

As you review the **Department of Public Health's $3.2 billion budget**, we are asking the Board of Supervisors to demand transparency:
👉 **We need an audit of DPH spending on Black maternal health.**

What is the strategy?
Who is receiving funding?
What outcomes are we measuring—and why are they still declining?

San Francisco has the highest preterm birth rate for Black people in the entire state.
And worse—**suicide is the leading cause of death for mothers with children under one.**

This is not just about data. It's about survival.

Black mothers in this city deserve more than a check-the-box program. We need **systemic postpartum care**, **affordable, high-quality childcare**, and mental health resources that meet our lived reality.

When Black mothers are supported, **we raise healthier babies, families, and communities.**
We deserve to put our oxygen masks on first—without apology.

Thank you.

June Williams Glenn

Founder, Mahogany Mamas

www.themahoganymamas.com

ACLU
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION
Northern California

June 12, 2025

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place

City Hall

San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Public Safety Budget Priorities

Dear Members of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The ACLU of Northern California writes in strong opposition to Mayor Lurie's proposed budget that maintains the allocations to the San Francisco Police Department, San Francisco Sheriff's Department, and the San Francisco District Attorney's Office while making deep cuts to programs and services that provide food, housing, civil legal services, education, and other critical programs that serve working class and poor San Franciscans, immigrants and people of color, including the Dreamkeeper's initiative. **We urge you to reject a budget that balances the deficit on the backs of the most vulnerable San Franciscans and instead make the appropriate reductions to the budgets of the San Francisco Police Department, Sheriff Department, and District Attorney's Office.**

Public safety is best achieved through a balanced approach that invests in communities. We understand that the Mayor and Supervisors have to make difficult decisions to pass a balanced budget. We write to offer these tangible recommendations where you can reduce spending while upholding public safety.

The ACLU of Northern California is an enduring guardian of justice, fairness, equality, and freedom working to protect and advance civil liberties for all Californians, with 11,000 members in San Francisco. The genesis of the ACLU of Northern California was the 1934 San Francisco

General Strike, during which lawyers and organizers were called upon to protect the rights of Bay Area maritime workers against vicious police attacks, and two trade unionists were shot and killed. Since our founding, we have worked continuously to hold the institution of policing and police departments across the state, including SFPD, accountable to the communities they serve and to the rights of people enumerated in the Constitution.

I. The San Francisco Police Department's proposed $850 million budget remains unjustified, wasteful, and ripe for continued overtime abuse

San Francisco has invested $270,000,000 into the police department in the past two years in the form of wage increases, retention bonuses, and departmental budget increases. In April 2023, the Board of Supervisors approved a wage increase and retention bonus of $166,000,000 for police officers over 3 years. By 2025, officers with 25 years of experience will also receive a retention premium of 20% of their base and overtime pay. All of this has contributed to the extreme salary gap between police officers and other city public safety workers

The San Francisco Budget and Legislative analyst's office found that "SFPD sworn staff regularly exceeded established overtime limits each year between FY 2018-19 to FY 2022-23.... and that 12 percent of sworn staff who worked overtime, accounted for 32 percent of SFPD's total overtime hours."[1] The same audit found that SFPD lacked both internal and external accountability for overtime limit violations and that "this poor internal control environment increases the risk of **overtime fraud or abuse, as well as the risk that not all of the overtime used by the Department is needed or justified.**" Despite these findings, the Board of Supervisors recently approved $61 million overtime allocation for the department. The audit was clear that understaffing is not the primary reason for excess overtime. To reduce police overtime, the city must implement strict control measures and require accountability.

Additionally, SFPD has engaged in racist policing practices for decades. SFPD's quarterly and data report in Quarter 2 of 2022 showed that ***Black San Franciscans make up less than 5% of San Francisco's population, but account for 26% of stops and 36% of searches.***[2] The same SFPD report for Quarter 2 of 2023 demonstrates, yet again, indisputable evidence of systemic racial disparities in policing practices:

---

[1] https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/121224_Performance_Audit_of_Police_Dept_Overtime.pdf

[2] Quarterly Activity and Data Report: Quarter 2, 2023 at 17, San Francisco Police Department, https://www.sanfranciscopolice.org/sites/default/files/2023-09/SFPDQADReport_2023Q2_20230920.pdf.

- SFPD officers stopped Black individuals at 6x the rate of white individuals[3]
- SFPD officers searched Black individuals at more than 10x the rate of white individuals
- SFPD officers used force on Black individuals at more than 21x the rate of white individuals.

Despite the implementation of many reforms, SFPD continues to struggle with persistent racial disparities in use of force, excessive overtime expenditures, and multi-million-dollar settlements for police misconduct.

Furthermore, SFPD continues to waste significant time and public resources through increased arrest for low-level drug and theft related offenses. Compared to 2022, there has been a 920% increase in low level drug arrests and 152% increase in low level petty theft arrests[4]. Allocating more of the city's limited resources to prosecuting and locking up residents who desperately need substance use treatment and housing is an ineffective, wasteful, and shortsighted approach to community safety. The major social upheavals around COVID from 2020 through 2022 are behind us now and we must return to a more balanced approach. Additionally, crime continues its 50-year downward trend across most categories of crime in San Francisco and nationwide.

San Francisco should freeze vacant positions and eliminate – or at least reduce – academies for SFPD and end the practice of approving additional funds for overtime given the department's shameful record of overtime abuse and lack of oversight. SFPD has nearly $850 million budget despite extensive overtime abuse, racially disparate enforcement that has exposed the City to further litigation and settlement expenses ***and*** **has hundreds of funded vacancies.** The current arrest and incarcerate first policies are a repeat of the failed War on Drugs and funding should be allocated to programs and services that work.

II. The District Attorney's proposed $96 million budget remains wasteful while the office's charging practices are creating a massive burden on the legal system and forcing the City to spend hundreds of millions of dollars

a. Prosecutions for low-level offenses have skyrocketed under DA Jenkins

---

[3] [1] Quarterly Activity and Data Report: Quarter 2, 2023 at 17, San Francisco Police Department, https://www.sanfranciscopolice.org/sites/default/files/2023-09/SFPDQADReport_2023Q2_20230920.pdf.

[4] https://www.sfchronicle.com/crime/article/sf-public-defender-caseload-20329216.php?utm_source=marketing&utm_medium=copy-url-link&utm_campaign=article-share&hash=aHR0cHM6Ly93d3cuc2ZjaHJvbmljbGUuY29tL2NyaW1lL2FydGljbGUvc2YtcHVibGljLWRlZmVuZGVyLWNhc2Vsb2FkLTIwMzI5MjE2LnBocA%3D%3D&time=MTc0NzQzMTM4NjE5MA%3D%3D&rid=NmJhZmU3MDEtNWVlMC00YjRiLTg3NzgtNjYxYjEwYjE0ZDEw&sharecount=MA%3D%3D

The District Attorney's office under Brooke Jenkins, and the San Francisco Police Department have been ramping up their arrest and prosecute strategy, a repeat of the tried and failed War on Drugs that devastated communities of color, helped created California's mass incarceration crisis that ultimately required intervention by the U.S Supreme Court, and wasted billions of dollars. In 2024, the D.A's office prosecuted more misdemeanors than in any year since 2011[5]. According to the D.A's data dashboard, between 2023 and 2024, misdemeanor drug prosecutions increased by 709%, selected traffic prosecutions were up 300%, trespassing prosecutions increased by 238%, and petty theft prosecutions rose by 156%[6]. As a result, more people who need substance use treatment, mental health care, and housing have instead cycled in and out of the city's overcrowded jail.

b. The D.A's office routinely underutilizes effective diversion programs

Simultaneously, the D.A's office has **decreased the use of effective diversion programs**. In 2024, 60% of misdemeanor cases were diverted (compared to 70% under D.A Boudin), and so far in 2025, that number has decreased to 40% of misdemeanor cases being diverted[7]. As a result of D.A. Jenkins' unwillingness to refer people to restorative justice programs, the D.A.'s office lost $3 million in philanthropic dollars that was meant to support such programs. Restorative justice programs have been found to be effective and reduce re-arrest by double digit numbers across many jurisdictions. San Francisco's Make It Right program for instance, has shown that its participants were 44% less likely to be re-arrested compared to those undergoing traditional felony prosecution[8]. The Neighborhood Courts diversion program has reported that 90 percent of its 24,000 cases between 2011 and 2021 successfully completed without charges from the DA, according to a 2022 DA's office memo[9]. According to the City's Budget & Legislative Analyst, "Clients in diversion and supervision programs had recidivism rates of 1 - 9 percent," translating into a 91% to 99% public safety success rate.[10]

It is worth noting that while DA Jenkins has been told to participate in a diversion program for her own ethical transgressions, her office continues to deny many San Franciscans that same opportunity, unless they plead guilty. However, pleading guilty to participate in a diversion program has many negative consequences including *adverse immigration consequences* for

---

[5] https://www.sfchronicle.com/crime/article/sf-boudin-jenkins-prosecution-20190170.php
[6] https://sfdistrictattorney.org/policy/data-dashboards/#cases-prosecuted
[7] https://www.sfchronicle.com/crime/article/sf-boudin-jenkins-prosecution-20190170.php
[8] https://www.capolicylab.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/01/Can-Restorative-Justice-Conferencing-Reduce-Recidivism-Evidence-from-the-Make-it-Right-Program-1.pdf
[9] https://missionlocal.org/2024/10/s-f-das-office-lost-3m-in-foundation-grant-for-restorative-justice-program/
[10] https://files.constantcontact.com/444163c1801/f5f1851f-b976-4a5d-a2e8-9a543856cd79.pdf

people who are not citizens, at a time of heightened attacks and militarized raids on our immigrant communities.

c. The D.A's discretionary charging practices are jamming up the courts, overcrowding jails, and saddling the city's public safety system with millions of dollars in additional expenses

The combination of increased arrests, increased prosecutions and significantly decreased use of various existing diversion programs has created a crisis in the workload of the courts, the Public Defender's office, and jail overcrowding. During a presentation to the Budget and Appropriations on May 7th, 2025, D.A. Jenkins claimed that a reduction of $5.4 million in her budget (5.6% from the proposed budget of $96 million) would lead to a reduction of 25 prosecutors. At the same hearing, D.A. Jenkins stated that "we are not a city bogged down in violent crimes, we are a city bogged down in low level crimes"[11]. However, the D.A's practice of charging as many misdemeanors as the office possibly can, severe underutilization of the various effective diversion programs, and unreasonable plea offers that are making more people take their cases to trial is jamming up the courts, creating excessive workloads for everyone in the public safety system, overcrowding the jails, and costing the City hundreds of millions of dollars across the entire criminal legal system. **The D.A's budget should be cut significantly (up to 15% or $14 million) both because of the massive deficit the city faces, and to push prosecutors to make deliberate and appropriate charging decisions that do not drain the City's budget. There must be funding parity between the District Attorney's Office and the Public Defender's Office.**

The San Francisco Public Defender's office represents about 75-80% of the people the DA prosecutes. The office also defends San Francisco's immigrant community from deportations by providing legal services. Unlike other departments, the Public Defender's Office fulfills a constitutional mandate to provide legal representation to people who cannot afford it to ensure due process and the constitutional right to have effective assistance of counsel when facing criminal charges. However, despite this important mandate, the Public Defender's budget is approximately 60% of the District Attorney's budget, less than 17% of the Sheriff's budget, and less than 7% of the police department's budget. The ACLU of Northern California has long advocated for parity in funding between the Public Defender's office and the District Attorney's office. **In other words, the Public Defender's budget should be about 75% of the District Attorney's budget since they represent about 75% of the people the D.A prosecutes. If the D.A's budget for FY 25/26 is about $96 million, the Public Defender's budget should be**

---

[11] https://sanfrancisco.granicus.com/player/clip/49773?view_id=10&meta_id=1153005&redirect=true

about $72 million, an increase of $14 million from their current proposed budget of $58 million.

It is worth noting that the Public Defender's Office represents some of the most vulnerable and marginalized in our communities. Their clients are largely Black (43%) or people of color (76%), often unhoused (40% of the jail population), and/or struggling with addressing substance use disorder and/or mental health conditions (over 75% of people in jail have serious mental illness and/or a history of substance use). Ensuring parity in funding for the Public Defender's Office is a critical component of finding durable and permanent solutions to the problems substance use disorder, mental illness, houselessness and poverty.

III. The Sheriff Department's proposed $345 million budget remains wasteful

The average daily population in the San Francisco jail has reached pre-pandemic levels, reaching nearly 1,300 people in January 2025. With over 75% of the people in jail suffering from serious mental illness and/or a history of substance abuse, the jail has effectively become the largest provider of care for people struggling with substance use disorder or mental illness. Research shows that jails are not only inappropriate settings to provide treatment for mental illness and substance use disorder, but they also actually make people's health conditions worse and put people in a higher risk of overdosing. Community based treatment options are both the best places for people to receive the appropriate treatment they need and are generally more cost effective than incarceration. The Board should look to reallocate funds from the Sheriff's budget towards community-based treatment alternatives to address the public health crises of mental illness and substance use disorder in San Francisco. Meanwhile, the investment in pre-trial services is a valuable investment that provides accountability and for people to arrive to their court dates, connects people to services that reduce recidivism, and reduce the pressure on the jail population pre-trial.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not hesitate to contact us with any further questions at yhaile@aclunc.org.

Yours sincerely,

Abdi Soltani	Yoel Haile	Tanisha Humphrey
Executive Director	Director, Criminal Law and Immigration Project	Organizing Manager

From:	Jessica Ponce
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Crayton, Monique (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Philip, Susan (DPH); Goette, Christina (DPH); Tugbenyoh, Mawuli (HRC); info@allmyusos.org
Subject:	File Number 250624: Harmful Cuts to Pacific Islander and Marginalized Communities
Date:	Friday, June 13, 2025 4:49:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Talofa Lava (Hello) Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and Committee Members,

My name is Jessica Ponce, I am a concerned community member and resident of District 10. I urge you to reconsider the proposed budget cuts that harm Pacific Islander and other marginalized communities.

All My Usos (AMU) and Fa'atasi Youth Services are the only Pacific Islander-led organizations in San Francisco with a dedicated focus on chronic disease prevention—one of the most urgent health disparities facing Pacific Islanders. Like other Pacific Islander organizations, they also offer essential services in mental health, food access, and community healing. What sets AMU and Fa'atasi apart is their culturally grounded approach to chronic disease prevention, delivered through free health education, nutrition support, and physical activity programming. Together they contribute to a healthier, more equitable San Francisco.

Cutting $3.5 million from Food Security, Oral Health, and Physical Activity contradicts Mayor Lurie's commitment to health and safety for all San Franciscans. Voters approved the Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax (SDDT) to fund these programs—not to be erased. These cuts eliminate access to essential health resources, especially in underserved communities.

Defunding these services will do more harm than good. Stand with our communities and STOP THE CUTS!

With love and respect,
Concerned Community Member
"Tautua nei mo se taeao manuia."
"Serve now for a better tomorrow."

From:	Marquis Hunkin
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Crayton, Monique (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Philip, Susan (DPH); Goette, Christina (DPH)
Subject:	File Number 250624 Harmful Cuts to Pacific Islander and Marginalized Communities
Date:	Sunday, June 15, 2025 4:23:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Talofa Lava (Hello) Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and Committee Members,

My name is Marquis Hunkin, and I am a concerned community member. I urge you to reconsider the proposed budget cuts that harm Pacific Islander and other marginalized communities.

All My Usos (AMU) and Fa'atasi Youth Services are the only Pacific Islander-led organizations in San Francisco with a dedicated focus on chronic disease prevention—one of the most urgent health disparities facing Pacific Islanders. Like other Pacific Islander organizations, they also offer essential services in mental health, food access, and community healing. What sets AMU and Fa'atasi apart is their culturally grounded approach to chronic disease prevention, delivered through free health education, nutrition support, and physical activity programming. Together they contribute to a healthier, more equitable San Francisco.

These services offered by AMU and Fa'atasi Youth benefit not just us people of Polynesia but countless other ethnicities too. They keep the younger people engaged in activities and programs instead of being homeless, committing crimes and being in danger. As well as keeping the older people busy and involved after retirement. My nieces and nephews have been helped through their various programs and continue to be helped as of today.

Cutting $3.5 million from Food Security, Oral Health, and Physical Activity contradicts Mayor Lurie's commitment to health and safety for all San Franciscans. Voters approved the Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax (SDDT) to fund these programs—not to be erased. These cuts eliminate access to essential health resources, especially in underserved communities.

Defunding these services will do more harm than good. Stand with our communities and STOP THE CUTS!

With love and respect, Concerned Community Member

"Tautua nei mo se taeao manuia."

“Serve now for a better tomorrow.”

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Meri Veavea
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Crayton, Monique (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Philip, Susan (DPH); Goette, Christina (DPH); Tugbenyoh, Mawuli (HRC); info@allmyusos.org
Subject:	File Number 250624: Harmful Cuts to Pacific Islander and Marginalized Communities
Date:	Friday, June 13, 2025 6:30:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Talofa Lava (Hello) Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and Committee Members,

My name is Meri Veavea, and I am a concerned community member. I urge you to reconsider the proposed budget cuts that harm Pacific Islander and other marginalized communities.

All My Usos (AMU) and Fa'atasi Youth Services are the only Pacific Islander-led organizations in San Francisco with a dedicated focus on chronic disease prevention—one of the most urgent health disparities facing Pacific Islanders. Like other Pacific Islander organizations, they also offer essential services in mental health, food access, and community healing. What sets AMU and Fa'atasi apart is their culturally grounded approach to chronic disease prevention, delivered through free health education, nutrition support, and physical activity programming. Together they contribute to a healthier, more equitable San Francisco.

Cutting $3.5 million from Food Security, Oral Health, and Physical Activity contradicts Mayor Lurie's commitment to health and safety for all San Franciscans. Voters approved the Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax (SDDT) to fund these programs—not to be erased. These cuts eliminate access to essential health resources, especially in underserved communities.

Defunding these services will do more harm than good. Stand with our communities and STOP THE CUTS!

With love and respect,
Concerned Community Member
"Tautua nei mo se taeao manuia."
"Serve now for a better tomorrow."

From:	Epi Aumavae
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Crayton, Monique (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Philip, Susan (DPH); Goette, Christina (DPH); Tugbenyoh, Mawuli (HRC); Tsai, Daniel (DPH)
Subject:	File Number 250624: Harmful Cuts to Pacific Islander and Marginalized Communities
Date:	Friday, June 13, 2025 1:47:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Talofa Lava / Greetings Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and Committee Members,

My name is Epi Aumavae, and I am a concerned community member. I urge you to reconsider the proposed budget cuts that harm Pacific Islander and other marginalized communities.

All My Usos (AMU) and Fa'atasi Youth Services are the only Pacific Islander-led organizations in San Francisco with a dedicated focus on chronic disease prevention—one of the most urgent health disparities facing Pacific Islanders. Like other Pacific Islander organizations, they also offer essential services in mental health, food access, and community healing. What sets AMU and Fa'atasi apart is their culturally grounded approach to chronic disease prevention, delivered through free health education, nutrition support, and physical activity programming. Together they contribute to a healthier, more equitable San Francisco.

Over the years we've been able to witness first hand just how vital and impactful the services and systems of care offered by All My Usos & Fa'atasi Youth Services are to our Pacific Islander community and to our community at large. They have been investing in the betterment of our people in ways that are rooted in our values of Tautua - Service, Fa'atasi - Unity, Aiga - family. From large scale community events like the All My Uso's Family Day, to health support groups, to workforce development in the wellness sector. They know how to serve our people and are responsive to our needs and are addressing major disparities in our Pasifika community. They deserve the proper funding to continue the great work they do.

Cutting $3.5 million from Food Security, Oral Health, and Physical Activity contradicts Mayor Lurie's commitment to health and safety for all San Franciscans. Voters approved the Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax (SDDT) to fund these programs—not to be erased. These cuts eliminate access to essential health resources, especially in underserved communities.

Defunding these services will do more harm than good. Stand with our communities and STOP THE CUTS!

With love and respect,
Concerned Community Member
"Tautua nei mo se taeao manuia."
"Serve now for a better tomorrow."

--





GREGG JOHNSON
459 TURK STREET #330 – SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-7607
W: 415-312-5164 | W: 415-685-1592 | F: 510-545-8522 | E: SFTLADVOCATE@GMAIL.COM

June 3, 2025

Daniel Lurie, Mayor (via daniel.lurie@sfgov.org)
Board of Supervisors (via angela.calvillo@sfgov.org)
City-County of San Francisco
San Francisco City Hall
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

In Re: Decision of Elected Officials to NOT fund Non-Profits
Subj: Budget of the City-County of San Francisco

Dear Sirs and Madam:

Probably first and foremost, this is an open letter submitted to the mayor and member of the Board of Supervisors under the Sunshine Ordinance. The purpose of this document is to provide those members of this elected government who may be unaware of the level of work that non-profit organizations provide to this city and the residents thereof only to be "lined out" in the currently proposed budget of the City/County of San Francisco. I would like to state that while I have a very specific role within the Central City SRO Collaborative, (CCSROC) I would like to make clear that said position is not by any means nothing more than a volunteer role for which a stipend of $270 per month is paid while I have averaged 58.5 hours of volunteer work each month for the past 3 years.

I am the Disaster Preparedness / Fire Safety-Prevention coordinator for CCSROC. The main purpose of my role is to work with residents of SRO in developing a disaster preparedness survival bag ("Go Bag") for a natural disaster and building a network of individuals who can assist others and getting them to safety with a focus on individuals with mobility issues with the goal of nobody being left behind. Likewise, I show the importance of responding to fire alarms which are activated with an emphasis on "not ignoring" an alarm.

I hold workshops for SRO residents with active participation and wrapping up each workshop with a series of questions and a challenge to build a (better) network that is inclusive of all residents of their building. I am FEMA certified, and attend follow up courses after a disaster that makes finds that a new information is available for "best practices". The current list of SRO Buildings are close to 100 years old and the residents of those properties are the most vulnerable and already underserved.

We realize that in the event of a natural disaster is very unlikely that those residents, including myself, could count of any immediate assistance for the City but that does not mean we lose our right to live or that we are any less concerned about the lives of others. We are likely to

be overlooked based solely on the fact that we house many of those undesired cases (e.g. mental health, older residents). Who is going to pick up the slack created with the funding of my position is taken? Who is going to provide updated information and workshops to ensure that those at greatest risk in a disaster manage to safely get out of their buildings?

Here is a simple task you can look into. SF311, if called today (right now) and the caller asked, "*where is the reunification point for people living in 94102 in a disaster*" the operator will respond "*we do not have that information*." I take my responsibility very seriously! And though I was not living in 1906 – I can only imagine what that scenario (replayed) would look like.

When I am not conducting a workshop, I am providing resources and information to residents of this city (including unhoused) at Take Back The Block events conducted by CCSROC which trains residents how to safely activate their block to keep undesired traffic moving but also giving other residents much needed resources for services. I have been part of a movement which has shown a positive impact upon my neighborhood. And when I not doing this – changes are I am engaged in some training which will help me de-escalate a situation with confidence or help someone experiencing a mental health crisis. If this is what I am destined to do in retirement, I am committed to it. But the stipend I receive is used to clean, repair or replace those pieces of clothing which is torn, ripped or destroyed in the process of helping my community,

Over the past five (5) years I have watched this city throw good money chasing bad and then want to find the solution in lining out the non-profits. Many actually provide value services to the city and others have been given the keys to the entire store. CCSROC will celebrate its 25th anniversary in 2026 doing the same work we are doing today.

Here are some of the campaigns of CCSROC: Tenant Conventions, SRO Mailboxes and Mail Delivery, 950 Market campaign, 308 Turk Street, Bedbug Awareness and Eradication, Black Men's Group (BLADE), Tenderloin/North of Market Community Benefits District, Transit Justice and much more. Their slogan "*Organizing SRO Tenants to Build a Better Community*" it start with just knowing what rights they do have. Inappropriately housing individuals create greater concern for the residents of SRO buildings.

Rental and utility assistance. Who's going to assist in keeping individuals housed? Food bank? We've have seen first-hand what happens when pressure is relieved. It comes back upon us with greater resistance. And the cost to remove it next time is greater than before. I get that San Francisco is basically broke. We also know 'why'. This answer cannot be found be eliminating non-profits which render meaning services for which the city benefits from. Especially when you are working tirelessly to find shelter beds, get people into housing or treatment, etc., because if the city does not have a partnership with an agency such as CCSROC, you are (again) throwing good money chasing bad. I keep saying this, but it appears to be falling on deaf ears.

1) End the arbitrary lining out of non-profits and stop awarding contracts for services which are not proven.
2) Permanantly find funding sources for those who are performing a service for which the City benefits from; and
3) When you make campaign promises, honor them. Don't get us to vote for you only to use it against us months later.

I leave you with the reminder that there was an article published which looked at the twenty-seven (27) non-profits conducting business with the City-County of San Francisco. Rating the Executive Director of each the Executive Director of Tenderloin Housing Clinic (THC) and parent of CCSROC ranks #24 when it comes his pay. That means there are 23 non-profits who pay their Executive Director more. That says a great deal to me.

Submitted as a matter of record for your disposition.

Gregg Johnson

GREGG JOHNSON
Registered Voter
Tenderloin Resident
Organizer
Disaster Preparedness Coordinator

Cc: As needed

SAN FRANCISCO
JAPANTOWN®
MERCHANTS ASSOCIATION

June 3, 2025

Budget and Appropriations Committee
Supervisors Connie Chan
Matt Dorsey
Joel Engardio
Shamann Walton
Rafael Mandelman

Dear Budget and Appropriations Committee:

It has come to my attention that the Legacy Business program is in jeopardy of losing its Program Manager position. From the very beginning, Richard Kurylo has exemplified his role in the program and has identified over 400 legacy businesses in San Francisco.

Due to Rick's advocacy, there are currently 13 legacy businesses in Japantown, and we are presently working on identifying additional businesses. Rick has been very instrumental in supporting our legacy small businesses and we could not have accomplished this arduous task without his dedicated support.

We understand that the current budget crisis is staggering but we urge you to please consider retaining this important position that will help keep San Francisco one of the top tourist destinations in the country especially as we rebuild the city's economic future.

Sincerely,

Richard Hashimoto
President

Cc: Supervisor Bilal Mahmood

1759 SUTTER STREET • SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94115

From:	Jon T
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Public comment on Mayor"s FY26 Proposed budget
Date:	Thursday, June 5, 2025 2:50:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am Jonathan Tso, currently working at City Planning in the finance and administration division as the Financial Operations Manager and my 1825 Principal Administrative Analyst II position and five others from my department are slated to be laid off in the Mayor's proposed FY26 budget.

This is my 19th year working for the city and county of San Francisco. I got my first start by participating in the accounting internship program hosted by the Controller's Office in 2006. Through the years I've taken many civil service exams and been placed on multiple hiring lists which has guided me to five different departments and five different promotive classifications.

The team I manage is small with only four members; it was 30% larger when I started four years ago, but it is mighty. Whenever a team member goes on any type of extended leave, we are forced to shift priorities and job roles.

In January we were slated to fill a vacated 1654 supervisory accounting III position but that was put on hold with the hiring freeze announced by the Mayor. Since then, another team member has gone on leave, and they will not be back until 2026.

With uncertainty around hiring next fiscal year from the mayor’s office, we are asking the Controller’s office to help us to hire one accountant intern instead.

In this current scenario, We would be laying off the person managing the finance operations for the department and replacing them with an accounting intern. The same position that I was hired for 19 years ago.

Please take some action so that we won't have to risk our fiscal operations.

Thank you for your time,

Jonathan Tso

tso318@gmail.com

From: Diana Kaytun
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 12:06:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Diana Kaytun

Email corex123@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,
Diana

From: Ruth Levy
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 12:19:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Ruth Levy

Email: rjlevy50@yahoo.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

Ruth J. Levy

From: Firas Bukhari
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 12:27:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Firas Bukhari

Email: firasbukhari@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,
Firas Bukhari

From: David Wise
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 12:52:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent David Wise

Email namaste@sonic.net

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: James Earhart
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: jwearhart17@gmail.com
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 1:20:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	James Earhart
Email	jwearhart17@gmail.com

jwearhart17@gmail.com

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: JEFFREY NIGH
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 1:55:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent JEFFREY NIGH

Email JANIGH@COMCAST.NET

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Sona Sondhi
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 2:25:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Sona Sondhi

Email sonya@sondhi.ca

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Lara Witter
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 2:46:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Lara Witter

Email: larawitter@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Peter Fortune
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 3:06:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Peter Fortune

Email pt4tune@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Neville Morcom
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 3:22:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Neville Morcom

Email: nmorcom@comcast.net

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Denise Foley
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 3:27:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Denise Foley

Email: denisemrf79@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Barry Reder
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 3:27:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Barry Reder

Email: unclbar@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Gianmatteo Costanza
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 3:45:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Gianmatteo Costanza

Email gianmatteo.costanza@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Geoff Motlow
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 4:18:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Geoff Motlow

Email geoff.motlow@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Hatun Noguera
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 4:26:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Hatun Noguera

Email noguera@changes.world

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: JeNeal Granieri
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 6:29:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent JeNeal Granieri

Email jenealann@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Devon Johnson
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 7:17:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Devon Johnson

Email dpj@FangJohnson.net

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Jim Connelly
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 8:20:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Jim Connelly

Email: jim-connelly@comcast.net

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Amir Talebi
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 9:23:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Amir Talebi

Email: AmirTalebi123@Gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: John Riley
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 9:33:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent John Riley

Email johnjriley@mindspring.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

John Riley

From: Ronald Albucher
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 10:29:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Ronald Albucher

Email ronalbucher@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Vera Poon
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Mayor Lurie"s Budget Goals. It is time to right-size SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 10:42:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Vera Poon

Email: vjpoon@gmail.com

I Support Mayor Lurie's Budget Goals. It is time to right-size SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget! It is time to end San Francisco's structural deficit.

Thank you Mayor Lurie for taking on structural budget reform.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M in inflation-adjusted dollars since 2012 (the last time we had the same population). We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that collaborate with city agencies and then lobby those agencies.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery. Public safety underpins everything else in a healthy city.

Sincerely,

From: James Bertana
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2025 10:43:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent James Bertana

Email jimbertana@icloud.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,
Jim Bertana

From:	Peter Philipp
To:	Mayor, MYR (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Support for Mayor Lurie's Budget Proposal
Date:	Friday, May 30, 2025 6:10:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisor Mandelman, and Members of the Board,

I'm writing as a resident of the Mission Dolores neighborhood to express my strong support for Mayor Lurie's proposal to reduce the city workforce by approximately 1,400 positions to help close San Francisco's budget deficit.

It's clear that the city is facing serious fiscal challenges, and difficult decisions are necessary to restore financial discipline and ensure long-term sustainability. I appreciate the Mayor's willingness to take responsible, proactive steps—even when they're not politically easy.

I hope the Board will work constructively with the Mayor to implement this proposal and take further measures to focus the city's resources on core services and measurable outcomes. As a constituent, I would like to see city government operate more efficiently, with greater accountability and a renewed focus on outcomes that improve quality of life for residents.

Thank you for your leadership during this critical time.

All the best,
Peter Philipp
350 Cumberland St
San Francisco CA 94114

From: Marion Novasic
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Friday, May 30, 2025 7:45:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Marion Novasic

Email: mn20001@hotmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: David Nolley
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Friday, May 30, 2025 9:01:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: David Nolley

Email: danolley@aol.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

My wife and I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now instead of delaying this very much-needed process any longer.

It is clear to residents like us, having lived here for over 40 years, that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would surely support a $2B reduction in the SF budget as you "call the shots".

All fraud should be rooted out. For examples,

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated Immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery while also protecting us elders from adversity.

From: Dianne Brock
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Friday, May 30, 2025 11:52:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Dianne Brock

Email diannebrock@mac.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Nancy Zajac
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Friday, May 30, 2025 1:15:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Nancy Zajac

Email: njzajac@aol.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Michelle Cody
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Friday, May 30, 2025 1:35:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Michelle Cody

Email: wise8689@yahoo.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Donna Brown
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Friday, May 30, 2025 3:41:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Donna Brown

Email donna.brown05@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Tobias H.Dodge
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: San Francisco Civic Wealth Strategy: A Path to Fiscal Self-Reliance
Date: Friday, May 30, 2025 10:56:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Title: San Francisco Civic Wealth Strategy: A Path to Fiscal Self-Reliance

Prepared for: [Insert Name of Mayor, Department, or Foundation]
Prepared by: Tobias Hafenecker-Dodge
Humboldt Growers Network
tobiasdodge@mac.com
415-583-3555
Date: [Insert Date]

For review and discussion only. Not for redistribution without permission.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

San Francisco is facing a $1 billion annual budget shortfall. Rather than rely on austerity, federal subsidies, or ever-increasing property taxes, this proposal outlines a Civic Wealth Strategy: a citywide public ownership model that allows San Francisco to earn what it needs to invest in its people, restore public trust, and create long-term economic resilience.

This strategy identifies nine key revenue-generating assets—ranging from public broadband and storefront leasing to bathhouses and solar leases—and outlines how the City can scale public ownership to reach $1.5 billion in annual recurring revenue. With an emphasis on equity, fiscal autonomy, and collaborative governance, the Civic Wealth Strategy is a blueprint for rebuilding civic infrastructure from the ground up.

REVENUE STREAM STRATEGY

The Civic Wealth Strategy is built on the following nine revenue streams:

1. **Value Capture Districts** – Generate public revenue by capturing the rise in land value from public investments in transit, parks, and zoning.

2. **Storefront Activation** – Acquire and lease ground-floor retail space to local businesses and community institutions.

3. **Municipal Broadband Utility** – Establish a city-owned internet service to provide affordable, high-speed access and recurring revenue.

4. **Civic REIT** – Consolidate income-generating assets into a public real estate investment trust.

5. **Waterfront Redevelopment** – Transform underused shoreline into a public destination and commercial corridor.
6. **The Wash (Urban Bathhouses)** – Convert city buildings into civic wellness centers with equity-based pricing.
7. **Parking Infrastructure** – Build and operate garages with dynamic pricing to support city centers.
8. **Green Energy Leases** – Lease rooftops and land for solar development and civic resilience.
9. **Lifeline Hubs** – Repurpose civic buildings into community infrastructure for mentorship, jobs, and public care.

Together, these streams can replace regressive funding models, reduce reliance on enforcement systems, and stabilize neighborhood economies.

IMPLEMENTATION FRAMEWORK

Phase 1: Foundational (Year 1–2)

- Establish Civic Wealth Office and fund pilot staff positions
- Identify and acquire initial sites for storefronts, bathhouses, broadband, and Lifeline
- Launch bond initiative or REIT structuring study

Phase 2: Expansion (Year 3–7)

- Implement full-scale broadband and storefront leasing models
- Activate Value Capture Districts in tandem with major public works
- Expand Lifeline across key neighborhoods
- Launch Civic REIT and publish annual public dividend model

Phase 3: Institutionalization (Year 8–20)

- Reach 25% city ownership of SF built environment
- Integrate revenue into general fund strategy
- Sustain Lifeline and REIT as core city platforms

GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE

- **Civic Wealth Office** – Oversees interagency coordination, investment, and revenue

targets

- **REIT Governance Board** – Manages civic real estate and reports to public
- **Lifeline Local Councils** – Neighborhood boards to run Lifeline hubs and manage care systems
- **Public Accountability Reports** – Annual fiscal reporting and citizen oversight dashboards

---

FINANCIAL SUMMARY

Revenue Stream	Annual Net Revenue	Startup Investment
Value Capture Districts	$200M	$1B
Storefront Activation	$200M	$4.2B
Municipal Broadband	$150M	$250M
Civic REIT	$150M	$2B
Waterfront Redevelopment	$100M	$1B
The Wash (Urban Bathhouses)	$75M	$300M
Parking Infrastructure	$75M	$500M
Green Energy Leases	$50M	$200M
Lifeline Hubs	High SROI (2–3x)	$150K–$300K per site

Total Target Revenue: $1.5B annually
Investment Strategy: Bond issuance + phased asset acquisition

---

NEXT STEPS

- Secure pilot funding and legislative support
- Begin interagency coordination for Civic Wealth Office
- Identify initial acquisition targets and properties
- Launch public campaign around Civic Ownership and Lifeline

CLOSING STATEMENT

This proposal is intended as a foundation for dialogue, policy coordination, and civic innovation. We welcome questions, modifications, and collaboration with departments, foundations, and community stakeholders interested in realizing a fiscally self-reliant, equity-driven future for San Francisco.

Attachments available upon request:

- Appendix A: Sample Pilot Concepts
- Appendix B: Letters of Support / Use Cases
- Appendix C: Financial Modeling

From: Robb Fleischer
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Saturday, May 31, 2025 11:19:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Robb Fleischer

Email: rfleischer@amsiemail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From:	jtorres1950
To:	Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	joefitz@sfstandard.com; ggreschler@sfstandard.com; nbaustin@sfstandard.com
Subject:	SF Budget Decision
Date:	Saturday, May 31, 2025 5:18:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor and Board of Supervisors,

After reading the piece from SF Standard on the budget, it's obvious we need people fiscally responsible making decisions concerning a $16B budget.

We cannot allow city employees to dictate financial decisions if their primary concern is protecting their own interests over the well-being of San Francisco. Those making budgetary choices should be free of personal debt, have a proven track record of managing finances responsibly, and understand the importance of saving and spending within their means. These reasons are why some of you may not qualifications to balance our budget.

We do not need individuals engaging in personal attacks, whether in private or public discourse. Nor should budgetary decisions be left to those living paycheck to paycheck when they are responsible for the financial stability of an entire city.

As we work toward a sound budget, financial literacy must be a focus—ensuring city employees learn to spend responsibly within their means. Employee salaries should be tied to the financial health of San Francisco, meaning cost-of-living adjustments should reflect both economic highs and lows. Furthermore, a policy should be implemented to prevent city employees—particularly police—from publicly disparaging the city they serve.

For years, the police have voiced concerns about low morale, rising crime, and a liberal district attorney. Yet, despite these claims, officers have consistently padded their base salaries through excessive overtime—often earning double to quadruple their standard pay—while statistics indicate that San Francisco is safer than ever. It’s time to educate both city employees and the public to prevent taxpayers from being misled by self-serving narratives.

We’ve seen troubling instances, such as an unhoused individual recording an SFPD officer stating his intent to clear RVs simply to satisfy the mayor. The union leader and officers openly defy the public, tactlessly giving the middle finger while knowingly getting recorded and undermining elected officials by saying they are only doing a job. These same individuals —who prioritize self-interest over civic duty—are contributing to the city’s fiscal crisis. The fox is guarding the hen house.

We also have other City agencies under scrutiny for corruption and misallocation of funds. These agencies should have no say in the budget.

I long for the days when public employees were underpaid because a lifetime pension balanced the lower salaries. Now we have public employees with salaries that compete with the private sector and we are on a fiscal cliff.

Balancing the budget is a challenging task. I hope you all recognize that some of you may not

be the right people for the job and should defer to those with the proven capability to handle it effectively.

Sent with Proton Mail secure email.

From: Eugene Galvin
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Saturday, May 31, 2025 10:12:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Eugene Galvin

Email: eggalvin@hotmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

Why is San Francisco's number of city employees so very much larger per population than any other city in the state even taking into consideration that it also a county?

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Carmen Woo
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Sunday, June 1, 2025 7:12:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Carmen Woo

Email: carmenwoo68@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,
Carmen Woo

From: Jane Ma
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Sunday, June 1, 2025 9:51:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Jane Ma

Email mischama13@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: cynthia brown
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Sunday, June 1, 2025 2:19:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: cynthia brown

Email: cymphany@hotmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Leland Faust
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 12:23:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Leland Faust

Email: lfaust@taylorfaust.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Naeemah Charles
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 2:32:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Naeemah Charles
ncharles@glide.org
899 pine street, apartment 1902
San Francisco, California 94108

From: Erick Arguello
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 2:41:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Erick Arguello
eriq94110@aol.com
1065 Hampshire St.
San Francisco, California 94110

From: Joyce Sabel
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 3:00:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Joyce Sabel
voicejoy@hotmail.com
2508 Lake St
San Francisco, California 94121

From: Cheryl Sinclair
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 3:54:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Cheryl Sinclair
cheryl_sinclair@comcast.net
332 Genevieve Avenue
Pacifica , California 94044

From: Byron Gordon
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 3:56:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Byron Gordon
Marketing Manager
GLIDE

Byron Gordon
bgordon@glide.org
579 Texas Street
San Francisco, California 94102

From: Kirsten Smith
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 3:58:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Kirsten Smith
kirstensmith.lcsw@gmail.com
1600 Walnut Street
San Carlos, California 94070

From: louise patterson
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 4:39:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: louise patterson

Email: lmuhlfeld@aol.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Justin Truong
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 6:29:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Justin Truong

Email: justintruong56@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Jordan Santana
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Save Glide Social Justice Academy- Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 8:04:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

Hello Supervisor Chan,
I am a D1 resident and I writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy.

This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Thank you,
Jordan Santana

Jordan Santana
jordan@guitarsushi.com
2304 Clement Street
San Francisco, California 94121

From: George Sutton
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 8:47:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

George Sutton
georgethefree77@gmail.com
1760 Bush Street Apt 203
San Francisco, California 94109

From: Leroy Parkinson
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 2, 2025 10:09:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Leroy Parkinson
lman650@yahoo.com
140 Juanita Ave
Pacifica, 9404

From: Vanessa S. Cline-Davis
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Urgent: Restore Funding for GLIDE"s Social Justice Academy
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:21:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my deep concern about the proposed elimination of funding for GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This vital program empowers marginalized communities, providing advocacy and leadership opportunities for individuals facing food insecurity, homelessness, and substance use issues.

Defunding the Academy would be a disservice to the very people San Francisco strives to uplift. Our city must prioritize programs that strengthen communities, foster equity, and offer tangible solutions to systemic challenges. I urge you to reconsider the budget proposal and restore funding to this essential initiative.

I ask that you stand with the residents who rely on the Academy and ensure it continues its transformative work. Thank you for your time and commitment to our city.

Sincerely, Vanessa

Vanessa S. Cline-Davis
vclinedavis@gmail.com
400 McAlister
Not Hispanic or Latino, California 94102

From: Shiba Bandeeba
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:25:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Shiba Bandeeba
shibabandeeba@gmail.com
1968 Great Highway Apt. A
San Francisco and 94103, California 94116

From: Juliana
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Against tennis court fee
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:48:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi there,

I am a tennis player in the city and will be attending the meeting later today virtually. I heard we cannot make comments virtually so wanted to express my thoughts prior.

“I am an avid tennis player in the city and feel it’s very important that the courts are accessible to all. Over the past year, I have reignited my love for tennis, play multiple times a week, and it has genuinely changed my life. Imposing a $5 individual fee and $20 nonprofit fee would constrict the amount of tennis I can play. There are many other ways to prevent no-shows, including having QR codes at the courts and requiring people to check in. Please do not pass this legislation.”

Thanks,
Juliana Gensheimer

From: Charlotte Ashlock
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:48:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Charlotte Ashlock
charlotte.ashlock@protonmail.com
758 Kingston Ave 3209
Oakland, California 94611

From: Joshua Siebalt
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:54:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Joshua Siebalt
jsiebalt@yahoo.com
1186 Eddy St, A
San Francisco , California 94109

From: Anna Sojourner
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Glide Social Justice Prgram Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 11:20:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

Please continue the essential funding GLIDE to continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Anna Sojourner
bear.smokey@gmail.com
601 Van Ness Ave., Apt 852
San Francisco, California 94102-3260

From: Adrienne Hoyer
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 12:32:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Adrienne Hoyer

Email amhoyet@sbcglobal.net

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Donna Stewart
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Vote No on Fiscally Irresponsible Ordinance to Mandate Homeless Shelters in Every District
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 12:42:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent: Donna Stewart

Email: donnasever@sbcglobal.net

Subject: Vote No on Fiscally Irresponsible Ordinance to Mandate Homeless Shelters in Every District

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

San Francisco needs more shelter beds, but it is financially irresponsible, especially now with a looming deficit, to mandate placing shelter facilities in every district. Implementing shelters and behavioral health centers throughout our city will only create more problems and safety issues for everyday residents of San Francisco.

It risks disrupting stable communities without meaningfully addressing the root causes of homelessness concentrated in areas like the Tenderloin and SOMA. And I agree, SOMA, Tenderloin, Bayview should not bear the sole burden,

San Francisco officials would be wise to consider looking at land outside the city to provide shelter facilities, or at

I urge you to oppose District 5 Supervisor Bilal Mahmood's proposed legislation that would require the city to approve at least one shelter or behavioral health center in each district in the next 18 months.

Rather I encourage you to expand the search and implementation of shelters and behavioral health centers to pockets of underutilized or lightly used

light industrial areas of San Francisco or outside of San Francisco. For example: Log Cabin Ranch (a 600 acre property the city of San Francisco owns in Santa Cruz County.

Sincerely,

From: Cornell Lee
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 12:47:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Cornell Lee

Email: corny1215@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Alejandra Navarro
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 1:03:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Alejandra Navarro
navarro.ala@gmail.com
128 Eureka St
SF, California 94114

From: Carmel Passanisi
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 1:18:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Carmel Passanisi

Email carmel2710@comcast.net

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Patrick Cannon
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:21:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Patrick Cannon

Email pecannon1@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: fjvelez73@gmail.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:53:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

fjvelez73@gmail.com
824 Palmer Road
Yonkers, New York 10708

From: Tiffany Meyers
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:55:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Tiffany Meyers
tiffariel@gmail.com
21 Thomas Ave, Apt. 10
Brisbane, California 94005-1701

From: Jeffrey Hurwitz
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:55:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Jeffrey Hurwitz
jahurwitzhome@cs.com
584 42nd Ave
San Francisco, California 94121

From: Justin Philipps
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:56:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Justin Philipps
jphilipps1259@gmail.com
1385 independence Court
Newark, Ohio 43055

From: Martin Horwitz
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:56:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Martin Horwitz
martin7ahorwitz@yahoo.com
1326 23rd Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: James Phelps
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:04:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

James Phelps
chezphelps@juno.com
7511 Teasdale Ave.
St. Louis, Missouri 63130

From: Kicab Castaneda-Mendez
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:07:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Kicab Castaneda-Mendez
kicabcm@yahoo.com
878 Fearrington Post
Pittsboro, North Carolina 27312

From: Sharon Longyear
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:09:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Sharon Longyear
sharonmlongyear@gmail.com
21 Rondout Harbor
Port Ewen, New York 12466

From: Richard Stern
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:11:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Richard Stern
1nycgator@gmail.com
11 Riverside Dr, 1NW
New York, New York 10023-2504

From: Stephan Donovan
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:20:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Stephan Donovan
stephandonovan@aol.com
11900 N. Labyrinth Drive
Oro Valley, Arizona 85737

From: Phillip Mixon
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:27:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Phillip Mixon
phillipmixon1970@gmail.com
1468 Briarwood Rd NE Unit 1902
Atlanta, Georgia 30319

From: Vinodkumar Gadley
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:28:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Vinodkumar Gadley
vgadley2003@yahoo.com
2451 N Rainbow Blvd unit #2135
Las Vegas, Nevada 89108

From: Aj Cho
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:28:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Aj Cho
amenoartemis@gmail.com
159 Santa Teresa
San Leandro, California 94579

From: Dudley and Candace Campbell
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:50:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Dudley and Candace Campbell
cdcampbl@roadrunner.com
13167 Ortley Pl
Valley Glen, California 91401

From: Birgit Hermann
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:54:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Birgit Hermann
bhermannsf@aol.com
627 Page St
San Francisco , California 94117

From: Steven Vogel
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 4:18:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Steven Vogel
steven.j.vogel@earthlink.net
449 Hampton Court
Falls Church, Virginia 22046-4121

From: Michael Palmieri
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 4:18:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Michael Palmieri
mpalmierigrancia@gmail.com
9431 SW 1st Pl # 3
Boca Raton, FL 33428-4420

From: Robb Fleischer
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 4:43:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Robb Fleischer

Email: rfleischer@amsiemail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: TYREE LESLIE
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Center for social justice Academy
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 4:50:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

TYREE LESLIE
leslietyree0@gmail.com
376 ELLIS APT 507
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94102

From: melvin taylor
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 5:03:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

melvin taylor
melvin-taylor@usa.net
6585 CalvineRoad
Sacramento, California 95823

From: Giselle Flores
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 5:19:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

Dear Supervisor Bilal Mahmood ,

I'm writing to urge you to restore Soda Tax Funding for GLIDE's Social Justice Academy in the final version of the city budget. Eliminating this program would not only silence some of our most impacted community members—it would dismantle a crucial platform for people like me, who have turned lived experience into leadership, and survival into purpose.

As someone in recovery, the Social Justice Academy gave me something I didn't know I was missing: a reason to keep going that was bigger than myself. For years, I battled substance use, feeling like my story had no value beyond pain. But through this program, I found my voice—and with it, a renewed sense of purpose.

The Academy helped me understand that my experiences weren't just trauma to carry—they were tools for change. Sitting across the table from decision-makers, I spoke not just for myself, but for those still in the struggle, those without homes, and those who feel invisible in a system that often overlooks them. I learned how to turn my recovery into advocacy, and to use my voice in spaces that rarely include people like me.

That kind of transformation cannot be measured by a budget line alone, but its impact ripples far beyond the classroom. The Social Justice Academy equips people not only to survive, but to lead. It's a rare space where people with lived experience of homelessness, addiction, and poverty are seen as experts—and treated as such.

If the current draft of the city budget moves forward without funding this program for the next three years, it will erase a vital pathway for community-led solutions. We talk so often about wanting equity, justice, and inclusion—this is what it looks like in practice.

Please, stand with us. Restore the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Protect the spaces that uplift the voices of those most impacted and most ready to lead.Thank you.

Giselle Flores
giselle.05f@gmail.com
535 Minna Street
San Francisco , California 94103

From: Jeffrey Allen
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 5:29:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Jeffrey Allen
jallen@tenderloinmuseum.org
398 Eddy Street
San Francisco , California 94102

From: Giselle Flores
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 5:29:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

Dear Supervisor Bilal Mahmood ,

I'm writing to urge you to restore Soda Tax Funding for GLIDE's Social Justice Academy in the final version of the city budget. Eliminating this program would not only silence some of our most impacted community members—it would dismantle a crucial platform for people like me, who have turned lived experience into leadership, and survival into purpose.

As someone in recovery, the Social Justice Academy gave me something I didn't know I was missing: a reason to keep going that was bigger than myself. For years, I battled substance use, feeling like my story had no value beyond pain. But through this program, I found my voice—and with it, a renewed sense of purpose.

The Academy helped me understand that my experiences weren't just trauma to carry—they were tools for change. Sitting across the table from decision-makers, I spoke not just for myself, but for those still in the struggle, those without homes, and those who feel invisible in a system that often overlooks them. I learned how to turn my recovery into advocacy, and to use my voice in spaces that rarely include people like me.

That kind of transformation cannot be measured by a budget line alone, but its impact ripples far beyond the classroom. The Social Justice Academy equips people not only to survive, but to lead. It's a rare space where people with lived experience of homelessness, addiction, and poverty are seen as experts—and treated as such.

If the current draft of the city budget moves forward without funding this program for the next three years, it will erase a vital pathway for community-led solutions. We talk so often about wanting equity, justice, and inclusion—this is what it looks like in practice.

Please, stand with us. Restore the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Protect the spaces that uplift the voices of those most impacted and most ready to lead.Thank you.

With deep sincerity,
Giselle Flores
A fellow in Social Justice Academy
giselle.05f@gmail.com

Giselle Flores
giselle.05f@gmail.com
535 Minna Street
San Francisco , California 94103

From: JL Angell
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 5:39:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

JL Angell
jangell@earthlink.net
2391 Ponderosa Rd
Rescue, California 95672

From: Robert Strelke
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:08:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Robert Strelke
rstrelke@comcast.net
7 Douglas Dr.
N. Easton, Massachusetts 02356

From: Tom Flint
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:30:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Tom Flint

Email thomasflint1@yahoo.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Susan Abby
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:33:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Susan Abby
mssueabby@aol.com
2117 Judah Street
San Francisco, CA 94122

From: Tiziana Perinotti
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:39:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Tiziana Perinotti
tgp_2001@hotmail.com
1111 Jones St.
San Francisco, California 94109

From: Mary Anne .Paul
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:46:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Mary Anne .Paul
maryannepaul@hotmail.com
186 Crestview Court
Watsonville, California 95076

From: Melissa Morales
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:47:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Melissa Morales
melissamoralesm@gmail.com
7224 Dolores St
San Francisco, California 94114

From: iambasque@gmail.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:51:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

iambasque@gmail.com
2775 SW 107th Avenue
Portland, Oregon 97225

From: Tony Segura
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 7:26:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Tony Segura
segura2112@yahoo.com
1700 Alta Dr
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

From: Lacey Hicks
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 8:14:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Lacey Hicks
laceyhicks@hotmail.com
4463 Hyde
Fremont, California 94538

From: Angela Griffin
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:13:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Angela Griffin
angelagriffin3535@gmail.com
260 30th street
Oakland, 96611

From: Lauren Murdock
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:28:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Lauren Murdock
murdock_ls@hotmail.com
3940 Via Lucero, Apt #16
Santa Barbara, California 93110-1650

From: Ashley Ouellette
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:32:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Ashley Ouellette
agirl1018@gmail.com
311 Granite Street
Biddeford, Maine 04005

From: (MR.,) CLAIRENCE PICKENS
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:36:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

(MR.,) CLAIRENCE PICKENS
pickensclairence159@gmail.com
62MontvilleSt, B
Hartford, Connecticut 06120

From: Janet Maker
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 7:49:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Janet Maker
janet29018@gmail.com
925 Malcolm Av.
Los Angeles, California 90024

From: Lena Fine
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 9:18:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Lena Fine
lenafine7@gmail.com
265 Union
Campbell , California 95008

From:	Elizabeth
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MahmoodStaff
Subject:	Zoo audit problem!!!
Date:	Wednesday, June 4, 2025 10:04:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a voter and tax payer in San Francisco, I urge you to **stop paying $4 million a year to an organization (the Zoo)** which does NOT comply with an audit! It is critical for San Francisco to take care of its finances -- especially in a year where the Mayor and Supervisors have to approve a lot of cuts to the budget! The **Zoo is NOT** a priority for San Francisco taxpayers!

We want you to cut their funding until they comply with the audit!!! Or to cut funding completely. Thank you.

Elizabeth Stahl
San Francisco

From: thenumber1murf@aol.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 10:18:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

thenumber1murf@aol.com
4400 W. riiverside Dr. Ste 110-309
Burbank, California 91505

From: Melanie Rogers
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 10:45:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Melanie Rogers
mrogers@glide.org
330 Ellis Street
San Francisco, California 94102

From: Sonny Lee
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 9:31:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent: Sonny Lee

Email: hobosf2@gmail.com

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received $311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received $2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is $425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA’s contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From: Anne Hoyer
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 12:02:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Anne Hoyer

Email: amhoyer2@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Anant Handa
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 12:17:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent: Anant Handa

Email: ananthanda@gmail.com

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received $311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received $2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is $425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA’s contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From: Jay Rice
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 12:59:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Jay Rice
jaysrice@comcast.net
72 Holstrom Cir
Novato, California 94947-2075

From: Joyce Sabel
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 1:10:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Joyce Sabel
voicejoy@hotmail.com
2508 Lake St
San Francisco, California 94121

From: Regina Islas
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Budget Proposal 2025/6
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 1:44:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk and Supervisors,

1. NO OT THIS YEAR for the SFPD, not One Dime. Make it YOUR MANTRA The 10B program must be eviscerated FULL STOP it is a cesspool of corruption as we learned by the Asst Chief's presentation here in APR. ALL THE NON-PROFs SERVING SF VULNERABLE citizens COULD'VE BEEN FULLY FUNDED WITH the wasted OT MONEY! THERE MUST BE CONSEQUENCES FOR OT VIOLATORS -
2. Spend the 500M FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING that has amassed FROM to Prop I THAT WE APPROVED BY OUR VOTES, NOW, TODAY, WITHOUT FURTHER DELAY OR FOOT DRAGGING BY THE MAYOR OR ANYONE ELSE. THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING NEED IS URGENT AS YOU KNOW.
3. NO FURTHER CUTS TO MUNI PERSONNEL OR CURTAILING LINES WE SHOULD BE EXPANDING AND IMPROVING THIS VITAL & CRITICAL SERVICE TO OUR CITY USE THE GENERAL FUNDS THIS IS THE RAINY DAY! I JUST RETURNED FROM A TRIP TO CHICAGO WHERE I COULD TAKE TRAINS AND BUSES

THAT ARRIVED IN LESS THAN 20-30 MINS TO GET EVERYWHERE-WE EXPECT NO LESS OF OUR TRANSIT HERE!

Onward together,

Regina Islas
regina.islas@gmail.com
650.484.7706

From: jtorres1950
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS); FireAdministration, FIR (FIR)
Cc: maliya.ellis@hearst.com; maxhc@sfstandard.com
Subject: Rethinking Firefighting in San Francisco
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 2:50:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor, Board of Supervisors, SFFD Chief,

The **San Francisco Fire Department (SFFD) absorbs over $280 million** in the two-year budget—an enormous allocation for a city where large-scale fires are increasingly rare. With **over 150,000 emergency calls annually**, mostly for medical issues rather than fires, the time has come to reassess how resources are allocated and whether taxpayers are funding an outdated model.

Optimizing Emergency Response

Despite their primary training for firefighting, **over 70% of calls responded to by SFFD are medical emergencies** rather than fires. **Paramedics—who receive superior medical training at a lower cost—are better suited for these cases**. San Francisco has **over 1,500 firefighters**, yet its fire department continues to prioritize traditional staffing models instead of adapting to modern emergency needs. By scaling back firefighter staffing and reinvesting in paramedics, the city could **ensure faster, more efficient medical responses**. Seeing a firefighter, who makes $200K/year hose a firetruck or broom a site is painful when someone performs the same function for less.

Moving Away from Outdated Models

Large-scale fires are far less common in modern cities. In **Tokyo (population: 14 million), annual fire incidents average just 4,000**, while **San Francisco (population: 800,000) reports under 1,500 fires annually**—proof that massive fire departments are largely unnecessary today. Some cities rely on **volunteer firefighters**, a model that could help San Francisco **reduce payroll expenses while maintaining flexibility** in emergency response.

Restructuring Responsibilities

Building inspections should be conducted by professional inspectors rather than firefighters. **Currently, over 40% of SFFD personnel perform non-fire-related duties**, inflating costs without significantly improving safety. Specialized building inspectors would provide **a more effective and cost-efficient system** for fire prevention. I understand the need to justify the high pay with additional responsibilities, but it comes at the cost of taxpayers.

Addressing Overtime and Pay Reform

SFFD firefighters **work three-day weeks**, and many earn substantial overtime—often exceeding their base salaries. In **2022, the average SFFD firefighter earned over $150,000**,

with some topping **$250,000 after overtime**. On the list of highest paid employees, firefighters are up there with police, sometimes making $500K/year. With **mandatory overtime costs skyrocketing**, San Francisco should reassess whether taxpayers should continue to fund excessive labor costs when restructuring could lead to **more equitable pay distribution**. For a group that proclaims repeatedly they care, we need to eliminate overtime. We have prisoners fighting wild-land fires, which are inarguable more dangerous, hotter, and more destructive, and they are happy to do it with less pay.

Scaling Back Costs

San Francisco continues to **purchase large fire trucks costing over $1.5 million each**, despite declining fire incidents. **More than 60% of fire engines** and **90% of fire trucks and ambulances** in the city exceed their recommended service life, with some emergency vehicles still in use after **50 years**. Many modern fire trucks are over-engineered, serving more as status symbols than practical tools. Cities must have fire trucks, or it won't "look right". **Smaller, specialized rapid-response vehicles—already used in European cities—could reduce staffing needs and maintenance costs** while improving response times. Even with the private funding proposal, the money would be better spent on more effective equipment.

Reforming Disability Payouts

Firefighter disability claims have ballooned, with **nearly 35% of retired firefighters receiving full disability benefits**, many under questionable circumstances. **Restructuring the profession to limit unnecessary physical risks** can protect workers while **reducing abuse of public funds**.

Family Connections

Many fire departments have a tradition of hiring family members. In some cities, **over 30% of firefighters come from families with prior firefighting experience.** Anecdotal reports suggest that in certain regions, **firefighter candidates with family connections have a significantly higher chance of being hired** compared to those without. We get it: Sell the story that the job is dangerous, but keep the job within the family. Nepotism exists everywhere. Now that we know, we can do something about it and reform the gig.

A Smarter Path Forward

San Francisco has moved far beyond the era of devastating urban fires, yet **its budget continues to support outdated firefighting models**. With **medical emergencies now the dominant service need**, the city should **modernize emergency response, restructure firefighter roles, and reinvest in smarter solutions**—ensuring resources go where they’re needed most.

Sent with Proton Mail secure email.

From: Gloria Fooks
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 3:09:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Gloria Fooks
gloriafooks@att.net
450 Park Dr
Saint Clair, Missouri 63077

From: Jackie Fletcher
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 5:14:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Jackie Fletcher

Email jfletch02@me.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Jackie Fletcher
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 5:19:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent: Jackie Fletcher

Email: jfletch02@me.com

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received $311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received $2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is $425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA’s contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From: Denise Selleck
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 6:56:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent: Denise Selleck

Email: deniselleck@sbcglobal.net

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received $311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received $2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is $425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA’s contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From: Mitchell Smith
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 7:16:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent: Mitchell Smith

Email: htimsm1@gmail.com

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received $311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received $2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is $425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA’s contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From: Barbara Dwyer
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 7:41:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent: Barbara Dwyer

Email: montereydivingwoman@gmail.com

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations which then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received $311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received $2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is $425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA’s contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

Barbara J Dwyer
District 8

From: Tom Flint
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 6:33:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent: Tom Flint

Email: thomasflint1@yahoo.com

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received $311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received $2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is $425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA’s contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From: Judi Gorski
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 7:35:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent: Judi Gorski

Email: judigorski@gmail.com

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received $311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received $2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is $425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

Judi Gorski,
SF Resident 45+ years

From: michael ryan
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 7:41:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent: michael ryan

Email: mmryan2@hotmail.com

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received $311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received $2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is $425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA’s contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From: Jasmine Madatian
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 4:40:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent: Jasmine Madatian

Email: madatian.j@gmail.com

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies. Their agendas often work AGAINST the needs of seniors and the disabled.

Walk SF received $311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received $2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is $425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA’s contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From: ROBERT GEASE
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 12:42:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent: ROBERT GEASE

Email: robgease@yahoo.com

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received $311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received $2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is $425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA’s contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From: Cornell Lee
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 12:51:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent: Cornell Lee

Email: corny1215@gmail.com

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received $311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received $2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is $425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA’s contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From:	Dorka Keehn
To:	ChenStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS)
Cc:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	6/12/25 Budget Hearing: Erasure of DEPT on STATUS ON WOMEN & Merger with Human Rights Agency
Date:	Tuesday, June 10, 2025 3:17:46 PM
Attachments:	COSW budget letter.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dorka Keehn
KEEHN ON ART
keehnonart.com

June 10,2025

Supervisor Connie Chan
Supervisor Joel Engardio
Supervisor Shamann Walton
Supervisor Rafael Mandelman
San Francisco City Hall
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Supervisors:

As a former Commission on the Status of Women (COSW) Commissioner, It is deeply disappointing—and frankly shocking—to see a proposal that disregards over 30 years of vital work and accomplishments by COSW and the Department on the Status of Women (DOSW). I am alarmed and strongly object to the Mayor's proposal to erase the Department in this budget and subjugate the Commission under this newly named Agency of Human Rights. At a time when misogyny is resurging in national and federal policy, San Francisco should be strengthening—not dismantling—our institutional commitment to gender equity.

In 1994, San Francisco voters approved the inclusion of COSW in our City Charter with overwhelming support—70%. Legally and ethically, how can a chartered commission be subsumed under a new department without violating the will of the voters and undermining its purpose?

For three decades, COSW has been a national model. It has:

- Led initiatives that significantly reduced domestic violence and homicides.
- Protected the City from costly lawsuits through work on sexual harassment policies.
- Coordinated efforts to combat human trafficking.
- Served as a critical advocate for gender equity across all city departments.

Why would our City leaders erase this progress? Why now, when the need for equity-driven leadership is as urgent as ever?

This proposal sends the wrong message to women and families in San Francisco—that their safety, dignity, and representation are expendable. I urge you to protect the integrity of COSW. Uphold the Charter. Preserve the leadership infrastructure that generations of women fought to build. In advance, thank you for your commitment to justice and equity.

Sincerely,



Dorka Keehn
President, COSW (1999-2010)
Co-Founder, Emerge America, Ignite National, Women Count.

From:	Chanel Blackwell
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS)
Cc:	Alan Wong
Subject:	Free City College
Date:	Tuesday, June 10, 2025 7:31:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Budget & Appropriation Committee, I hope you're doing well.

In 2016, San Francisco citizens voted for free City College under Proposition W. It became a great success, and taxpayers at the ballot box extended this initiative for ten years in 2019.

We have been aware that the budget proposal from the Mayor's administration was delivered last week. I have cc City College board member Alan Wong to add a comment if you would like Alan. It would be greatly appreciated if some of the remaining half of the 212 million budget for 2024-20-25 issued by the previous administration could be used to keep the institution free, including 7.2 million, which is as promised for this fall, 2025-2026.

It’s only been five years since this became legislated. Every S.F. citizen has the right to this free education, given so graciously by voters. Knowing that money is allocated to this is comforting; we are responsible for making San Francisco a remarkable city educationally, which in turn increases economic success, prevents homelessness, improves mental health, and reduces crime. A mind is a terrible waste when the sources are not there.

With great pride, our city is the first to provide free City College tuition nationwide, and it would be wonderful if we could keep this historic opportunity, setting a tone for others. It's part of what makes San Francisco great.

Please consider voting to approve keeping SF City College free in the Mayor's budget proposal.

Thank you for serving the citizens of SF, and have a good one.

2/08/san-francisco-to-be-the-first-us-city-to-offer-free-college.html

Chanel Blackwell
ENDC BOE, Director of Higher Education
1(415)3414936

From:	Tina Baburyan
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Public comment - opposing privatization of golf courses (file # 250589)
Date:	Sunday, June 8, 2025 7:48:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good evening,

My name is Tina Baburyan and I am a resident of San Francisco. I am writing to respectfully request that you reject the portion of the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget that would pave the way for privatizing our city's public golf courses.

Public courses such as Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles are more than just recreational facilities—they are essential civic assets. These open spaces provide low-cost access to outdoor activity, promote physical and mental wellness, and serve as inclusive gathering spaces for residents from all walks of life. Moreover, they play a vital role in preserving green space, supporting biodiversity, and offering ecological benefits in a densely populated city.
Transferring management of these courses to private entities undermines these public values. A private operator is fundamentally motivated by profit, not by equity, access, or stewardship of public land.

Privatization threatens to increase costs, restrict access for vulnerable populations, and erode the public's voice in how these spaces are managed and maintained. Such changes would disproportionately impact children, seniors, and working-class San Franciscans who rely on these courses for affordable, accessible recreation. While I recognize the fiscal pressures facing the city, turning over management of public lands to private hands is a short-sighted response to a temporary challenge. Once lost, public control is rarely restored. We should be looking toward creative, sustainable revenue solutions that do not compromise the public character or accessibility of our green spaces.

I urge the Committee to stand in defense of public land and reject any budgetary measures that would enable the privatization of San Francisco's public golf courses.

Thank you,
Tina Baburyan

From: Hovhanes Hovhanessian
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: PUBLIC COMMENT OPPOSING GOLF COURSE PRIVATIZATION FILE # 250589
Date: Sunday, June 8, 2025 7:27:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Public comment opposing golf course privatization (file no. 250589)

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is Hovhanes Hovhanessian, and I live in San Francisco. I'm reaching out today to strongly urge you to reject the proposed budget from the Recreation and Parks Department, specifically the part about privatizing our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public courses—Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are essential to our city. These spaces belong to the people of San Francisco. They provide affordable recreation, preserve green space in an urban environment, and offer a welcoming space for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. And these courses aren't just for golfers—they serve as parks that provide wildlife habitats and offer significant health benefits to our community. The city has a responsibility to maintain them in a way that's accessible to everyone.

A private company simply won't have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatizing these courses could lead to higher fees, restricted access, and less community involvement in how they're managed. We shouldn't risk making these spaces unaffordable or exclusive, especially when they're a resource for children, seniors, and low-income families. These golf courses are public assets, and they should be managed by the city to serve all San Franciscans—not by private companies looking to turn a profit. Privatization is a quick fix to a temporary issue, and once it's done, it's hard to undo.

I believe we can find other ways to generate revenue without sacrificing the public nature and accessibility of these vital spaces.

I ask this Committee to protect our public land and keep access to these recreational spaces fair and equitable for all. Please reject any proposals that would privatize San Francisco's public golf courses.

Thank you.

From:	Darius Sadeghi
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date:	Sunday, June 8, 2025 7:22:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

My name is Darius Sadeghi, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you

From: Craig Browning
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 4:10:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Craig Browning
youboob135@gmail.com
PO Box 2186
Alameda , California 94501

From: Lisa Rofel
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 3:21:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them. We in San Francisco have offered far too little support in recent years for people living on the edge.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Lisa Rofel
lrofel@ucsc.edu
51 Bradford Street
San Francisco, California 94110

From: Daniel Cunningham
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 3:22:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Daniel Cunningham
danielcnyc@gmail.com
30 Terra Teresa
Lafayette, California 94549

From: Elizabeth Ramirez
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 3:23:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Elizabeth Ramirez
cyclonliz53@gmail.com
2741 Winter St
Los Angeles, California 90033

From: Dudley and Candace Campbell
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 3:24:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Dudley and Candace Campbell
cdcampbl@roadrunner.com
13167 Ortley Pl
Valley Glen, California 91401

From: Sofia Weiss Goitiandia
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 3:28:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Sofia Weiss Goitiandia
sofia.weissgoitiandia@ucsf.edu
43 Lapidge Street
San Francisco, California 94110

From: Archna Eniasivam
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 3:41:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Archna Eniasivam
archna.eniasivam@gmail.com
428 Hugo Street
San Francisco, California 94122

From: jennifer.jesse88@gmail.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 3:43:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

jennifer.jesse88@gmail.com
22406 Fairlawn Circle, Apt 2
Fairview Park, Ohio 44126

From: Andrew Bouskos
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 3:45:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Andrew Bouskos
andrewbouskos@gmail.com
155 Mayfair Dr
San Francisco, California 94118-2763

From: heiser@sfsu.edu
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 3:52:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

heiser@sfsu.edu
1600 Holloway Ave
San Francisco, California 94132

From: AJ cho
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 4:04:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

AJ cho
amenoartemis@gmail.com
159 Santa Teresa
San Leandro, California 94579

From: Angela Griffin
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 4:28:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Angela Griffin
angelagriffin3535@gmail.com
260 30th apt 717
Oakland, California 94611

From: Jean Wiant
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 4:29:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Jean Wiant
wiant84@gmail.com
117 E Oak Ln
Glenolden, Pennsylvania 19036

From: Tom Anderson
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 4:32:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Tom Anderson
tom@clutterboy.com
1032 west Remington Drive 6-14
Sunnyvale , California 94087

From: Alexander RedHat
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 4:37:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Alexander RedHat
aredhat@glide.org
1633 Newcomb ave
San Francisco, California 94124

From: Lauren Murdock
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 4:50:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Lauren Murdock
murdock_ls@hotmail.com
3940 Via Lucero, Apt #16
Santa Barbara, California 93110-1650

From: Jon Singleton
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 5:19:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Jon Singleton
photoniqueer@gmail.com
45 Rockefeller Plz
New York, New York 10118

From: MS Wise LCSW
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 5:43:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

MS Wise LCSW
msw317@nyu.edu
PO Box 590977
San Francisco , California 94159

From: Monte Poole
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 5:44:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Monte Poole
montepoole0902@gmail.com
21973 Nugget Canyon Drive
Castro Valley, California 94552

From: Saaleha Bey
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 6:47:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Saaleha Bey
sbey@redf.org
2378 Sheldon Drive
Fairfield, California 94533

From: Ron Nieberding
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 7:09:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Ron Nieberding
nieberding2@gmail.com
18 10th St Apt 640
San Francisco, California 94103

From: Wendy Hafkenschiel
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 7:28:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

This is a vital program that helps the people in training and the community they will serve. Please, do not cut this program.

Wendy Hafkenschiel
wendyhhh17@gmail.com
1100 Westridge Drive
Portola Valley , California 94028

From: Amy Zink
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 7:37:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Amy Zink
adzink29@hotmail.com
400 Wayne Ave
Oakland, California 94606

From: Angie Baker
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 7:43:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Angie Baker
angbak@yahoo.com
8387 Old Charlotte Pike
Pegram, Tennessee 37143

From: Richard Watson
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 7:44:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Richard Watson
rwatson35@hotmail.com
4709 Falcon Ave
Long Beach , California 90807

From: Ron Parsons
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 7:46:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Ron Parsons
flowershots@hotmail.com
104 Claremont Ave
South San Francisco, California 94080

From: Lois Jordan
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 8:01:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Lois Jordan
lmjor@aol.com
9161 E Walnut Tree Dr
Tucson, Arizona 85749

From: Lisa Marvin
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 8:16:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Lisa Marvin
Lisam94598@yahoo.com
5455 kirkwood drive
Concord , California 94521

From: Chris Busby
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 8:20:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Chris Busby
witchywomanhor@yahoo.com
4562 Sherrilltown Road
Watertown, Tennessee 37184

From: Michael Solis
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 8:24:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Michael Solis
solismichael705@yahoo.comi
2150 Santa Cruz Ln
League City, Texas 77573

From: casee maxfield
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 8:27:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

casee maxfield
storyspice@yahoo.com
1737 N Sycamore Ave Apt 213
Los Angeles, CA 90028-8647

From: Kelly Larkin
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 8:29:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Kelly Larkin
mhmcmwb@aol.com
303 Main Street
Hempstead , New York 11550

From: james acierno
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 8:31:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

james acierno
aciernojames@yahoo.com
4630 border village rd. #283
san ysidro, California 92173

From: Phillip Hope
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 8:37:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Phillip Hope
phillip.hope@gmail.com
319 Avenue C
New York, New York 10009

From: Bobak Esfandiari
Cc: Emma Hare
Subject: SF Democratic Party"s Resolution Urging Funding For Behavioral Health Treatment Beds In Upcoming San Francisco Budget Cycle
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 8:47:58 PM
Attachments: 2025-05-Resolution_Encouraging_Funding_For_Behavioral_health_Treatment_Beds_In_Upcoming_San_Francisco_Budget_Cycle_Combined.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To all interested parties,

On May 28th, 2025 the San Francisco Democratic Party passed a resolution pertaining to the funding of behavioral health treatment beds in the upcoming budget cycle.

The resolution was passed with 24 aye votes, and 5 abstentions by the members present at the meeting and was introduced by Vice Chair Emma Hare.

A certificate detailing the vote count is included on the last page of the PDF. This resolution, and all of our published resolutions can also be found here.

If you have further questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to reach out to myself or the author, who is copied on this email.

Best,
Bobak Esfandiari

--
Bobak Esfandiari
Executive Director, San Francisco Democratic Party
bobak@sfdems.org | *+1.925.899.2409* *he/him*

RESOLUTION URGING FUNDING FOR BEHAVIORAL HEALTH TREATMENT BEDS IN UPCOMING SAN FRANCISCO BUDGET CYCLE

Principal Author: Emma Hare
Co-Sponsors: Mike Chen, Lanier Coles, Michael Lai

WHEREAS, San Francisco's current behavioral health treatment capacity does not meet the needs of individuals who suffer from behavioral health issues on our streets.[1] An estimated 135 residential treatment beds are needed to address this shortage and provide adequate support to those who need it in our community; and.[2]

WHEREAS, Even though the City currently budgets a significant amount toward treatment beds, the types of clients needing support have become more complex and require more intensive services than external contractors are able to provide.[3] This issue is especially relevant given its impact on SF's most marginalized communities – namely its queer population, Black and Brown residents, and people with limited access to healthcare; and.

WHEREAS, The passage of California Proposition 1 in last year's primary guarantees a portion of funding to increasing the treatment bed capacity across the state.[4] Furthermore, Mayor Daniel Lurie has publicized a need to balance San Francisco's city budget and seek creative solutions and funding sources to the City's issues; and.[5]

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, The San Francisco Democratic Party urges City Supervisors and Mayor Daniel Lurie to use California Proposition 1 funding and the General Fund to provide a minimum of 135 additional behavioral health treatment beds to enable the City to provide for San Franciscans with the most acute needs; and

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this resolution shall be transmitted to Mayor Daniel Lurie, the the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, the San Francisco Controller, the San Francisco Budget Director, and the San Francisco Department of Public Health.

---

[1]https://www.sf.gov/news--city-workgroup-calls-urgent-leveraging-state-funding-expand-behavioral-health-placements
[2]https://www.sfexaminer.com/news/health/sf-report-finds-city-needs-up-to-135-more-treatment-beds/article_6f3017fa-cfb0-11ef-95ff-131f9b396223.html
[3] https://sfstandard.com/2024/10/24/homelessness-mental-health-beds/
[4] https://lao.ca.gov/BallotAnalysis/Proposition?number=1&year=2024
[5] https://sfstandard.com/2024/10/24/homelessness-mental-health-beds/

PROPOUNDED BY: Hare
ADOPTED: 5-28-2025
RESOLUTION NO. 2025-05-Health Beds

SF DEMS
SAN FRANCISCO DEMOCRATIC PARTY

Date Passed: May 28, 2025

Resolution Number: 2025-05-Health Beds

Aye: 24 – Akbar, Alioto-Pier, Arce, Calamuci, Chen, Coles, Dorsey, Gupta, Hare, Ho, Lai, Mahmood, Kingsbury, Mar, Nguyen, Philhour, Sangirardi, Tu, Tung, Pelosi, Ma, Wiener, Haney, Stefani

Nay: 0 – None

Abstain: 5 – Avalos, Chan, Kim, Kounalakis, Cohen

Absent: 3 – Chandler, Gallotta, Mullin

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED on May 28, 2025 by the San Francisco Democratic Party.

Bobak Esfandiari

Bobak Esfandiari
Executive Director

SAN FRANCISCO DEMOCRATIC COUNTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE

OFFICERS
Nancy Tung, Chair
Dan Calamuci, First Vice Chair
Cedric Akbar, Third Vice Chair
Emma Hare, Fourth Vice Chair
Michael Lai, Finance Director
Mike Chen, Internal Operations Director
Lanier Coles, External Operations Director

MEMBERS
Michela Alioto-Pier
Joshua Arce
John Avalos
Connie Chan
Trevor Chandler
Matt Dorsey
Peter Gallotta
Parag Gupta
Lily Ho
Jane Kim
Eric Kingsbury
Bilal Mahmood
Gordon Mar
Michael Nguyen
Marjan Philhour
Joe Sangirardi
Jade Tu

EX OFFICIO MEMBERS
U.S. Speaker Emerita Nancy Pelosi
U.S. Congressmember Kevin Mullin
Lieutenant Governor Eleni Kounalakis
State Treasurer Fiona Ma
State Controller Malia Cohen
State Senator Scott Wiener
State Assemblymember Matt Haney
State Assemblymember Catherine Stefani

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
Bobak Esfandiari

San Francisco Democratic Party
1390 Market Street, Suite 200
San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 226-9771
info@sfdems.org
www.sfdems.org

From: Bruce Ross
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 8:51:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Bruce Ross
brucetwin62@yahoo.com
3707 Landon Park Dr
Katy, Texas 77449-6146

From: Shawn Jones
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 8:56:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Shawn Jones
jonsha338@aol.com
633 Hanford Street
Pismo Beach, California 93449

From: Milo Matthews
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 8:56:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Milo Matthews
milomatthews@aol.com
1424 36th Avenue
San Francisco, California 94122

From: Susan Ford
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 9:14:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent: Susan Ford

Email: susan.ford103@gmail.com

Subject: No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received $311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received $2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is $425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA’s contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

From: Mark Giordani
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 9:23:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Mark Giordani
magiordani@aol.com
21200 Kittridge Street Apt 3200
Woodland Hills , California 91303

From: Michael Palmieri
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 9:43:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Michael Palmieri

Michael Palmieri
mpalmierigrancia@gmail.com
9431 SW 1st Pl # 3
Boca Raton, FL 33428-4420

From: Jessica Rosenberg
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Use our tax dollars for our community
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 9:43:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

The soda tax revenue should be used as intended, to improve health disparities in the communities least able to access food alternatives. The grants funded by the tax were being called a huge win for the city just a few years ago. Cutting such programs (such as those for oral health in underserved communities!) goes against the wishes of voters and will have long-term deleterious effects on the city, particularly its communities of color.

Jessica Rosenberg
rosenj@duck.com
286 Monterey Blvd Apt B
San Francisco, California 94131

From: Maryann LaNew
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 10:03:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Maryann LaNew
maryann.lanew48@gmail.com
12 Corte Loarre
San Clemente, California 92673

From: gloria boyd
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 10:10:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

gloria boyd
gboyd805@charter.net
301 Buena Fortuna Circle
Atascadero, California 93422

From: Matthew Boguske
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 10:17:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities, is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Matthew Boguske
mboguske@yahoo.com
7678 Park Ave
Lowville, New York 13367

From: Scott Korman
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 10:18:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Scott Korman
skorman06@gmail.com
27110 Grand Central Pkwy Apt 28K
Floral Park, New York 11005

From: Aimee Zawitz
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 10:47:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Aimee Zawitz
friscofolklore415@gmail.com
1620 Castro Street
San Francisco, California 94114

From: Tem Narvios
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 11:17:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Tem Narvios
winevitable@sbcglobal.net
1425 Visitacion Ave, San Francisco, CA, USA
San Francisco, California 94134

From: caephren@gmail.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 12:31:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

caephren@gmail.com
392 44th Street
Oakland, California 94609

From: Jeffrey Hurwitz
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 12:32:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Jeffrey Hurwitz
jahurwitzhome@cs.com
584 42nd Ave
San Francisco, California 94121

From: Johnny Pujols
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 12:52:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Johnny Pujols
CHRYSOAR@HOTMAIL.COM
440 S. BERENDO
Los Angeles, California 90020

From: Jan-Paul Alon
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 2:37:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Jan-Paul Alon
jpalon@yahoo.com
5 Pebble Lane
Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08002

From: Patricia DeLuca
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 3:23:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Patricia DeLuca
rickscustompainting@comcast.net
45 Inlets Blvd, Nokomis, FL 34275, USA
Nokomis, Florida 34275

From: Kicab Castaneda-Mendez
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 3:37:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Kicab Castaneda-Mendez
kicabcm@yahoo.com
878 Fearrington Post
Pittsboro, North Carolina 27312

From: mjhoward1982@rocketmail.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 4:16:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

mjhoward1982@rocketmail.com
711D Seagirt Av Apt 4-J
Far Rockaway, New York 11691

From: Jessica Oppenheimer
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 6:33:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Jessica Oppenheimer
jessieoppi@gmail.com
557 Anderson Street
San Francisco, California 94110-6004

From: ramekon oarwisters
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 6:51:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

ramekon oarwisters
ramekon@gmail.com
1320 stevenson street
san francisco , California 94103

From: Ann Bailey
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 7:14:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

I live in Texas and we need innovative programs that are effectively improving the quality of life and our communities here as well. Please fund the Social Justice Academy that is a model for the rest of the country!

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Ann Bailey
a.bailey@tcu.edu
5700 Wonder Drive
Fort Worth, Texas 76133

From: Robert Strelke
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 7:53:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Robert Strelke
rstrelke@comcast.net
7 Douglas Dr.
N. Easton, Massachusetts 02356

From: Nelou Nazifi
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 7:57:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Nelou Nazifi
neloun@comcast.net
8420 Lakehaven court
Fair Oaks, California 95628

From: elprefon@rprefontaine.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 7:57:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

elprefon@rprefontaine.com
19 Maple St.
Hopkinton, Massachusetts 01748

From: Todd Snyder
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 8:09:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Todd Snyder
novicedetective@gmail.com
1941 Turk st
San Francisco, California 94115

From: magick@sonic.net
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 8:16:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

magick@sonic.net
2060 Sutter St #408
San Francisco, California 94115

From: TIA TRIPLETT
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 8:21:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

TIA TRIPLETT
tia@anlf.com
3959 Berryman Avenue
Los Angeles, California 90066

From: blackwomannpower@gmail.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 8:32:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

blackwomannpower@gmail.com
2246 EAST 32ND STREET
OAKLAND, California 94602

From: Mary Anne Paul
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 8:54:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Mary Anne Paul
maryannepaul@hotmail.com
186 Crestview Court
Watsonville, California 95076

From: Cheryl Sinclair
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 9:11:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Cheryl Sinclair
cheryl_sinclair@comcast.net
332 Genevieve Avenue
Pacifica, California 94044

From: David Hall
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 10:36:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

David Hall
dlhall0001@yahoo.com
7870 Ormond Rd
Davisburg, Michigan 48350

From: PETER ring-revotskie
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 11:26:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

PETER ring-revotskie
anillopedro@gmail.com
14 Bedford Cove
San Rafael, California 94901

From: Jeanette Driskell
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 1:45:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Jeanette Driskell
nette201968@yahoo.com
201 E 12th St Apt. 303
Oakland, California 94606-2208

From: Gabriela Perez Aguilar
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 1:48:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Gabriela Perez Aguilar
siboneyaguilar@icloud.com
900 Oak Street
San Francisco, California 94117

From: Nikki Dove
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 1:49:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Nikki Dove
ndove@glide.org
330 Ellis St
San Francisco, California 94102

From: Viet Chevez
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 1:49:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Viet Chevez
vietjo@hotmail.com
245 Leavenworth St. Apt. 2
San Francisco, California 94102

From: Shapreece Glenn
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 1:50:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Shapreece Glenn
smartinez@glide.org
330 Ellis street
San Francisco , California 94102

From: Samaria Pineda
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 1:50:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Samaria Pineda
samariapineda12@gmail.com
160 highland ave
San Francisco, California 94110

From: Orlanza Tom
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 1:54:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Orlanza Tom
orlanzatim9@gmail.com
88 6th street
San Francisco , California 94102

From: Nia Naval
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: City Budget Plea - Fund Nutrition Advocacy
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 1:55:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I live in Japantown and work in the Tenderloin, and I've seen firsthand the incredible impact that GLIDE's Social Justice Academy has had on our city. I am writing to ask you not to cut funding to their program, which is Soda Tax-funded. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue their Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Nia Naval
niamnaval@gmail.com
1750 Sutter St. Apt 304
San Francisco, California 94115

From: Anthony Genovea
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 1:56:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Anthony Genovea
agenovea@glide.org
330 Ellis st
San francisco ca, California 94102

From: Victoria Lee
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 1:57:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Victoria Lee
vlee@glide.org
330 Ellis st
SanFrancisco, California 94102

From: Zoe Ellis
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 2:00:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Zoe Ellis
zlesings@gmail.com
2431 Woolsey St
Berkeley, California 94705

From: Regina Wells Rashida Oji
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 2:02:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

Hello! I hope you are well. Though, I work in San Francisco and I am housed in Richmond, my heart longs for some of the beautiful times when I, Black woman could walk the streets of San Francisco in justice and freedom as a Russian Hill resident and SF voter. Now there's a new "massa" in town and the people must school him.

I am writing to ask you to RESTORE FUNDING TO Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Regina Wells Rashida Oji
ireginawells@gmail.com
2638 Clinton
Richmond, California 94804

From: Makyl Haggerty
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 3:03:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Makyl Haggerty
mhaggerty@glide.org
330 Ellis St
San Francisco, California 94104

From: Cheryl Sinclair
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 3:13:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Cheryl Sinclair
cheryl_sinclair@comcast.net
332 Genevieve Avenue
Pacifica, California 94044

From: Katerina Maza
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 3:15:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Katerina Maza
2003nanabanana@gmail.com
3051 treat blvd
Concord , California 94518

From: Thomas Harrington
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 3:15:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Thomas Harrington
tharrington@glide.org
1300 Mariposa St
Richmond, California 94804

From: Evelyn Davison
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 3:17:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Evelyn Davison
evelyn1031@comcast.net
2394 40th Ave.
San Francisco, California 94116

From: Erin Nolan
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 3:21:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Erin Nolan
erin.nolan812@gmail.com
4812 Balboa Way
Fremont, California 94536

From: Liz Oates
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 3:24:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Liz Oates
loates@glide.org
2916 Martin Luther King Jr. Way
Oakland, California 94609

From: Tino Calenda
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 3:25:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

I addition to being a community centered and directed initiative thisbw9upd provide a public safety function by promoting community memebers into roles that directly serve the community.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Tino Calenda
tcalenda@glide.org
330 Ellis Street 6th Floor
San Francisco , California 94102

From: Michael Discepola
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 3:26:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Michael Discepola
vincentsfca@gmail.com
82 Carr Street
SF , California 94124

From: Janet Ector
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 3:26:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Janet Ector
jector58@gmail.com
20 Persia Ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: Brigid Behrens
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 4:44:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

I have seen the incredible impact of GLIDE's Social Justice Academy, the members of the academy are empowered and educated so that they can be advocates for themselves and others. It is a perfect example of what transformational learning can do for someone's view of themselves and how that ripples through their community. Both the Tenderloin neighborhood and the larger San Francisco community are better off for the existence of the program.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Brigid Behrens
bbehrens@glide.org
728 Taylor Street
San Francisco, California 94108

From: Martha Gorzycki
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Please Restore Soda Tax Funding that Supports Critical City Programs
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 4:50:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you to please restore funding to San Francisco's Soda Tax-funded programs. These monies are critical for the transformative work of GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. GLIDE's program is an essential Tenderloin space that ensures marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE empowers Black communities in the Tenderloin through research and advocacy for improved nutrition. This is critical social justice work for a neighborhood with significant housing and food insecurity. This funding supports community leaders who collaborate with members of our marginalized communities to develop transforming and sustainable solutions to the daily inequity crisis in San Francisco.

Chronic health disparities persist among communities of color. GLIDE's Social Justice Academy is a lifeline for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

PLEASE continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue the Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. When one person in our community starves, we all starve. Thank you.
Martha Gorzycki, San Francisco

Martha Gorzycki
mgorzycki@yahoo.com
70 Crestline Drive Apt 9
San Francisco, California 94131

From: Joel Yates
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 5:31:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Joel Yates
joeloyates@gmail.com
220 Golden Gate Ave, Apt.417
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94102

From: Sophie Aissen
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 6:18:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

Dear Representatives:
I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

I see how peer to peer environments foster trust and make challenging topics approachable. Witnessing the sincerity and grace of the leaders that have come through the Social Justice Academy is evidence to me that the effort and investment pays off.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Sophie Aissen

Sophie Aissen
sophaissen@gmail.com
PO Box 23921
Oakland, California 94623

From: Hadley Salz
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 5, 2025 6:18:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.
Hadley Salz
Glide Employee

Hadley Salz
hsalz@glide.org
7901 Winthrope St
Oakland, California 94605

From: Scott Grinthal
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Friday, June 6, 2025 7:51:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Scott Grinthal
sgrinthal@yahoo.com
2721 55th Ave
Oakland, California 94605

From: Rose Lacy
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Friday, June 6, 2025 10:48:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Rose Lacy
rlacy853@gmail.com
2374 25th Avenue
San Francisco, California 94116

From: Mikayla Brown
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Friday, June 6, 2025 11:37:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

Hello, I am a recent college graduate working in San Francisco and apart of the vibrant community in the Tenderloin. I am writing to ask you to not cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

If we really want to see an improved city for all, we will invest in our training grounds, like GLIDE's Center for Social Justice programs. If we really want to see an improved city for ALL, we will pay attention to the children, elderly, and people with disabilities who depend on what little the city can spare for us. Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Mikayla Brown
mikaylabrown09@gmail.com
6525 Shattuck Ave.
Oakland, California 94609

From: Ann Robertson
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Friday, June 6, 2025 2:19:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Ann Robertson
annrobertson624@gmail.com
624 Dwight Street
San Francisco, California 94134

From: Karl Kramer
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Friday, June 6, 2025 7:29:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Karl Kramer
asej.net@gmail.com
2973 16th Streer 3rd floor
San Francisco, California 94103

From: jtorres1950
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS); FireAdministration, FIR (FIR)
Cc: maliya.ellis@hearst.com; maxhc@sfstandard.com; ida.mojadad@sfchronicle.com; tori.gaines@fox.com
Subject: Re: Rethinking Firefighting in San Francisco
Date: Friday, June 6, 2025 10:10:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

A four-alarm fire displaced dozens and claimed the life of a cat. That's the headline from multiple news outlets. By now, the firefighters will have held press conferences, applauding their own efforts and heroism—reminding us of their importance. We know this because government employees do this after anything they do.

But let's not forget what really happened. The residents saved themselves. Reports centered on the fact that half the city's daily firefighting force responded to battle a blaze that damaged multiple buildings.

This city is burdened with an expensive and bloated staff. If containing a single fire required 140 employees, how would we manage a true catastrophe—where 5%, 10%, or even half the city is burning? Instead of concentrating firefighting expertise among a select few, we must empower more citizens with these life-saving skills and the equipment to take care of ourselves. Doing so will reduce costs and better prepare us for future emergencies. Remember the scene of the aftermath of Loma Prieta when regular citizens outnumbered city employees and did most of the emergency work.

Sent with Proton Mail secure email.

On Wednesday, June 4th, 2025 at 2:49 PM, jtorres1950 <jtorres1950@proton.me> wrote:

> Mayor, Board of Supervisors, SFFD Chief,
>
> The **San Francisco Fire Department (SFFD) absorbs over $280 million** in the two-year budget—an enormous allocation for a city where large-scale fires are increasingly rare. With **over 150,000 emergency calls annually**, mostly for medical issues rather than fires, the time has come to reassess how resources are allocated and whether taxpayers are funding an outdated model.
>
> ## Optimizing Emergency Response
>
> Despite their primary training for firefighting, **over 70% of calls responded to by SFFD are medical emergencies** rather than fires. **Paramedics—who receive superior medical training at a lower cost—are better suited for these cases**. San Francisco has **over 1,500 firefighters**, yet its fire department continues to prioritize traditional staffing models instead of adapting to modern emergency needs. By scaling back firefighter staffing and reinvesting in paramedics, the city could **ensure faster, more efficient medical responses**. Seeing a firefighter, who makes $200K/year hose a firetruck or broom a site is painful when someone performs the same function for less.

Moving Away from Outdated Models

Large-scale fires are far less common in modern cities. In **Tokyo (population: 14 million), annual fire incidents average just 4,000**, while **San Francisco (population: 800,000) reports under 1,500 fires annually**—proof that massive fire departments are largely unnecessary today. Some cities rely on **volunteer firefighters**, a model that could help San Francisco **reduce payroll expenses while maintaining flexibility** in emergency response.

Restructuring Responsibilities

Building inspections should be conducted by professional inspectors rather than firefighters. **Currently, over 40% of SFFD personnel perform non-fire-related duties**, inflating costs without significantly improving safety. Specialized building inspectors would provide **a more effective and cost-efficient system** for fire prevention. I understand the need to justify the high pay with additional responsibilities, but it comes at the cost of taxpayers.

Addressing Overtime and Pay Reform

SFFD firefighters **work three-day weeks**, and many earn substantial overtime—often exceeding their base salaries. In **2022, the average SFFD firefighter earned over $150,000**, with some topping **$250,000 after overtime**. On the list of highest paid employees, firefighters are up there with police, sometimes making $500K/year. With **mandatory overtime costs skyrocketing**, San Francisco should reassess whether taxpayers should continue to fund excessive labor costs when restructuring could lead to **more equitable pay distribution**. For a group that proclaims repeatedly they care, we need to eliminate overtime. We have prisoners fighting wild-land fires, which are inarguable more dangerous, hotter, and more destructive, and they are happy to do it with less pay.

Scaling Back Costs

San Francisco continues to **purchase large fire trucks costing over $1.5 million each**, despite declining fire incidents. **More than 60% of fire engines** and **90% of fire trucks and ambulances** in the city exceed their recommended service life, with some emergency vehicles still in use after **50 years**. Many modern fire trucks are over-engineered, serving more as status symbols than practical tools. Cities must have fire trucks, or it won't "look right". **Smaller, specialized rapid-response vehicles—already used in European cities—could reduce staffing needs and maintenance costs** while improving response times. Even with the private funding proposal, the money would be better spent on more effective equipment.

Reforming Disability Payouts

Firefighter disability claims have ballooned, with **nearly 35% of retired firefighters receiving full disability benefits**, many under questionable

circumstances. **Restructuring the profession to limit unnecessary physical risks** can protect workers while **reducing abuse of public funds**.

Family Connections

Many fire departments have a tradition of hiring family members. In some cities, **over 30% of firefighters come from families with prior firefighting experience.** Anecdotal reports suggest that in certain regions, **firefighter candidates with family connections have a significantly higher chance of being hired** compared to those without. We get it: Sell the story that the job is dangerous, but keep the job within the family. Nepotism exists everywhere. Now that we know, we can do something about it and reform the gig.

A Smarter Path Forward

San Francisco has moved far beyond the era of devastating urban fires, yet **its budget continues to support outdated firefighting models**. With **medical emergencies now the dominant service need**, the city should **modernize emergency response, restructure firefighter roles, and reinvest in smarter solutions**—ensuring resources go where they're needed most.

Sent with Proton Mail secure email.

From: Leanna Lewis
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Saturday, June 7, 2025 9:27:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

As an East resident and San Francisco native that now works in San Francisco, I have witness the impacts of the Social Justice Academy for San Francisco residents. Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Leanna Lewis
lwsf23@gmail.com
PO box 2542
Berkeley, California 94702

From: LaWanna Bracy
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Saturday, June 7, 2025 2:24:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

LaWanna Bracy
blawanna75@gmail.com
1109 Elm St #3
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94115

From: Linda Gonzalez
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Sunday, June 8, 2025 11:40:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Linda Gonzalez
lindag604@yahoo.com
427 Burk strret
Oakland , California 94610

From: Mario Lemos
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 10:35:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Mario Lemos
hanu66@gmail.com
1235 Washington Street
San Francisco, California 94108

From: infospec@gmail.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:24:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

infospec@gmail.com
1675 44th Ave
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94122

From: Alex Siegel
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:24:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Alex Siegel
a.siegel0595@gmail.com
1405 Oak Grove Avenue
Burlingame, California 94110

From: Justin Philipps
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:25:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Justin Philipps
jphilipps1259@gmail.com
1385 independence Court
Newark, Ohio 43055

From: sarah@skywatcherssf.org
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:27:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

sarah@skywatcherssf.org
420 Lake Street
San Francisco, California 94118

From: Dominic Molinari
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:28:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Dominic Molinari
imgointhedistance@gmail.com
560 Mission
San Francisco, California 94105

From: Michael Halloran
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:28:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Michael Halloran
mshalloran2605@gmail.com
2062 Scotsman Ln NE
Salem, Oregon 97305

From: Brian Still
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:29:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Brian Still
brianmstill@gmail.com
4077 3rd Ave
San Diego, California 92103

From: Chris A
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:29:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Chris A
c.artherholt@gmail.com
1027 wood st
Oakland, California 94607

From: Rajeev Yerneni
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:33:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Rajeev Yerneni
ryerneni@glide.org
995 Honister ln
Concord, California 94518

From: Paul Niewiadomski
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:35:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I urge you to maintain funding for Soda Tax-supported initiatives, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy—an essential program rooted in the heart of the Tenderloin.

In a neighborhood grappling with high rates of hunger and food insecurity, this work is not only relevant—it is urgent. The Academy equips residents with tools to identify health challenges, design culturally responsive solutions, and advocate for lasting change, all within the languages and lived experiences of their communities.

Soda Tax revenue was established to fund efforts that reduce the consumption of sugary beverages and improve overall community health. Programs like the Social Justice Academy are precisely the kind of innovative, locally driven interventions envisioned in the policy's intent—initiatives that link nutritional equity, chronic disease prevention, and empowerment of historically marginalized populations.

Beyond health promotion, the Social Justice Academy offers a space for healing from the impacts of systemic inequities, while fostering leadership and civic engagement. Continued support will ensure this momentum carries forward into fiscal year 2025–2026.

Thank you for your consideration and for prioritizing the well-being of our city's most vulnerable communities.

Paul Niewiadomski
norcal_esquire@msn.com
3157 Baker Street
San Francisco, California 94123

From: JL Angell
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:40:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

JL Angell
jangell@earthlink.net
2391 Ponderosa Rd
Rescue, California 95672

From: kitgirl91@gmail.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:42:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

kitgirl91@gmail.com
7442 Forrest Rader Drive
Mint Hill , North Carolina 28227

From: Verda Bursal
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:46:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Verda Bursal
verda.bursal@gmail.com
1739 Pine St. #17
San Francisco, California 94109

From: Patrice Clark
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:49:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Patrice Clark
pclark@glide.org
434 Ellis
San Francisco, California 94611-1928

From: Karl Robillard
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:55:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Karl Robillard
krobillard@glide.org
832 56th Street
Oakland, California 94608

From: Lauren Etchingham
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 2:58:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Lauren Etchingham
laurenetch514@gmail.com
1185 pine st #11
San Francisco, California 94109

From: Gloria Fooks
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 3:01:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Gloria Fooks
gloriafooks@att.net
450 Park Dr
Saint Clair, Missouri 63077

From: Michael Zuckerman
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 3:13:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Michael Zuckerman
mzuckerm@upenn.edu
1919 Chestnut St Apt 1120
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103

From: terrill maguire
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 3:19:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

terrill maguire
terrill@yorku.ca
3326 17th st.
Eureka, 95501

From:	rharrisjr1@gmail.com
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Ginsburg, Phil (REC); Madland, Sarah (REC); Ketcham, Dana (REC)
Subject:	Board of Supervisors Budget and Appropriations Committee / Budget Hearing June 12, 10 a.m. / Rec & Park Dept / SF Public Golf Alliance Letter, Petition
Date:	Wednesday, June 11, 2025 11:53:20 AM
Attachments:	Open.Letter.Petition.Save.SF.Public.Golf.Courses.Names.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Budget and Appropriations Committee / Budget Hearing June 12, 10 a.m. / Rec & Park Dept / SF Public Golf Alliance Letter, Petition

Dear Mayor Lurie and Mr. Jalipa –
Enclosed above please find the Letter and Petition from the non-profit San Francisco Public Golf Alliance, for filing w/ Mayor's Budget Office and the Supervisors' Budget Committee in connection with its June 12 public hearing on the Recreation and Parks Department Budget for FY 2026-27. Please circulate to the Committee and Board Members and appropriate City Offices regarding the Budget. And please confirm receipt.
Thank you for your service and courtesy.

Richard Harris, President
San Francisco Public Golf Alliance
826 Stanyan St.
San Francisco, CA. 94117
415-290-5718

From: Michael Lezak
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 12:13:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Michael Lezak
mlezak@me.com
2621 Sacramento Street
San Francisco, California 94115

From: Olivia Onek
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 12:25:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I work at GLIDE and I've seen firsthand how special and impactful this community is. It's so important that the Social Justice Academy continues to receive funding.

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Olivia Onek
oliviaonek@gmail.com
218 Bocana Street
San Francisco , California 94110

From: Richard Stern
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 12:34:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Richard Stern
rsisyh@yahoo.com
11 Riverside Dr
New York, New York 10023

From: Johnny Pujols
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 1:22:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Johnny Pujols
CHRYSOAR@HOTMAIL.COM
440 S. BERENDO
Los Angeles, California 90020

From: Lois Jordan
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 2:46:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Lois Jordan
lmjor@aol.com
9161 E Walnut Tree Dr
Tucson, Arizona 85749

From: DeMarco McCall
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 5:43:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

DeMarco McCall
mynamesdemarco@gmail.com
780 Guerrero
San Francisco , California 94110

From: Barbara Cromarty
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 6:57:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Barbara Cromarty
bcromarty@yahoo.com
266 Alto Drive
Boulder Creek, California 95006

From: Heather Bornfeld
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 6:57:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Heather Bornfeld
pizzas_gaudy_0u@icloud.com
1603 Church St
San Francisco, California 94131

From: Lily Achatz
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Budget & Appropriations
Date: Thursday, June 12, 2025 12:13:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good Afternoon Supervisors. My name is Lily Achatz and I work with the San Francisco Public Golf Alliance, a non-proft dedicated to educating, improving, and preserving our local public golf courses for the future. I am also the President of the Harding Park Women's Golf Club (which we restored 4 years ago after 25 year dormancy) and The SF Women's Golf Alliance. We collaborate and partner with all the SF municipal women's and men's clubs to create affordable golf events and opportunities for public golfers. We also support Junior organizations like First Tee, Youth on Course and US Kids that rely on public golf. I am here on behalf of my community at Harding Park/Fleming, Sharp Park, Lincoln, Gleneagles and Golden Gate golf courses. We oppose the Recreation & Parks Department proposed and unfair budget cut of 6M, 1/3 of the golf budget which would put our golf courses at risk and/or make golf unaffordable. This would greatly affect minorities, women, veterans, low-income families/individuals, and juniors.

I have witnessed, first-hand, the mental and physical health benefits golf provides especially to those in our clubs who suffered greatly during Covid and also our friends of PGA Hope, *the non profit that introduces golf to veterans in order to enhance their mental, social, physical, and emotional well-being*. Golf has kept some of our veterans off the streets. Golf is a physically and mentally healthful outdoor activity, and is unusual among sports because it can be played together by people of all kinds -- all abilities, ages, genders, persuasions, incomes and backgrounds. And it is a great place to meet and be with people. Our community is more diverse culturally and spans across all age groups, more than any sport in San Francisco. In light of what is happening today, we must protect our diversity. As a bi-racial Samoan-German female born in San Francisco, I feel more comfortable playing on our public courses. Our public golf community can't afford to pay thousands of dollars to join a country club and it would be a disservice to keep us out of this social sport that brings people together and in many cases creates a future, a dream. Five years ago, I met 10 year old Hazel who was playing at Sharp Park, her home course. Her whole family was there and she became a regular at almost all of the SF municipal courses and still is. But now, she is part of the PGA Junior League, won the US Kids World Championship at Pinehurst and has her name on the Peter Hay Junior Trophy at Pebble Beach. She is on track to get a golf scholarship for college and one day become a professional golfer. It would have been impossible for her to achieve what

she has without our public golf courses.

Please help us in preserving what John McLaren, Godfather of Recreation & Parks, believed in. He built the City's first courses -- Lincoln, Harding and Sharp Park -- in the early 1900's – personally hiring the architects, planting the trees, and overseeing construction. Protect his Scottish tradition of golf as public recreation – not hidden behind private walls. And protect the dreams of generations to come.

LILY ACHATZ
2070 Pacific Avenue #303
San Francisco, CA 94109
415-905-0050
lilyachatz@gmail.com

From: michellemarkarian@hotmail.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 12, 2025 1:27:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

michellemarkarian@hotmail.com
15555 Huntington Village LnApt 230
Huntington Beach, California 92647

From: Elizabeth Dzeng
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 12, 2025 3:28:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Elizabeth Dzeng
liz.dzeng@ucsf.edu
3 Timberpark Court
Timonium, Maryland 21093

From: Scott Grinthal
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 12, 2025 8:07:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Scott Grinthal
sgrinthal@yahoo.com
2721 55th Ave
Oakland, California 94605

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC GOLF ALLIANCE

826 Stanyan St., San Francisco, CA. 94117 415-290-5718 info@sfpublicgolf.org

OPEN LETTER: SAVE SAN FRANCISCO'S PUBLIC GOLF COURSES

Mayor Daniel Lurie and San Francisco Supervisors

We are San Francisco public golfers, diverse in every way – of all ages. genders, persuasions, races, neighborhoods, jobs, and economic strata. We love our beautiful public golf courses – Harding, Sharp, Lincoln, Fleming, Gleneagles and Golden Gate -- where we recreate and socialize with old friends and meet new ones. As a big and diverse community, we are dismayed that Rec & Park has proposed a Budget that would eliminate the Department's subsidy for the municipal golf courses in Fiscal Year 2026-27—effectively a *1/3 CUT in the Golf Budget. This is extreme and unfair* to golfers and unwise for Rec & Park and the City. And we urge that you do not defund the golf subsidy in the Fiscal Year 26-27 Budget. Golf is physically and mentally healthful outdoor activity, a Rec-Park core service and historically popular in the City since John McLaren hired the architects, planted the trees, and oversaw construction of Lincoln, Harding and Sharp in the first decades of the 20th Century. San Francisco, the Peninsula and Greater Bay Area are very high-profile golf areas and frequent hosts of major U.S. and international golf events -- including in 2025, when San Francisco will host the United States Amateur Golf Championship in August, and the Monterey Peninsula will this Fall host both the international men's Walker Cup competition and the United States Women's Mid-Amateur Championship. We know these are times of budget crunch at Rec-Park and the City. And we will carry a fair share. But this is NOT FAIR and not a reason or excuse to single-out public golf as Rec -Park's only recreational service to be completely cut off from its General Fund subsidy. We respectfully object. Rec-Park and San Francisco can – and must – do better.

Very Truly Yours,

Richard Harris March 5, 2025

President, San Francisco Public Golf Alliance

The undersigned have read and agree with this Open Letter

Supervisorial District 1

Michelle Codyu, Guy Davidoff, Steven Garboden, Corbin Johnson, Aaron Katz, Mark Kelly, Paul Miller, Charles Oppenheimer, Jeremy Sasson, Margo Sims, Jeff Decker, Robert Elejorde, Eric Gordon, William Hill, Reuben Johnson, Erica Lee, Sylvia Lee, Paul Lord, Max Ortiz, Alex Roberts, Tom Snow, Michael Cookson, John Bird, Robert Kovash, Henry Lyford, Maureen Theberge, Tracie Grufman, M.A. Leroma, Brooks Marino, David Omega, Jonathan Bridges, Geoffrey Comfort, Christina Dean, Farshad Mashayekhi, Diego Ovalle, Joshua Smith, Michelle Williams, Albert Wong, Irene Woo, Chris Kaltreider, Catherine Boyd, Juan Hurtado, Randa Talbott, Brian Burgess, Weston Carew, Cameron Smith, Frank Bodadilla

District 2

Melanie Raymundo, Richard Smith, Sai-Ling Cahn-Sew, Raphael Chan-Sew, B Lee, Camila Leon Perez, Jeronimo Leon, Connie Wu, Aaron Uh, Mitchell Algert, Harris Bernstein, David Tepper, John Kanellitsas, Lily Achatz, Harry Johnson, Kate Wineroth, Barbara Janney, Donald Gallaspie, Zachary Haitkin, Viet Nguyen, Joe Papadakis, Mark Schultz, John Crowther III, Justin Bates, Clay Carson, Griffin Chinn, Danny Diekrooger, Brenden Howard, Kendell Jenga, Julian Oelsner, Eshan Jain, Sean Vincent, Wilhelm Willie, Edric De Guzman, Robert Feyer, Rahul Kataria, Jett Uribe, Max Martinez, Sam Amrams, Nicholas Geraci, Mark Gonzales, Luis Reina, Graeme Black, Kyle Bell, Bart Klerkx, Dominic Guercio, Janny Munson, Robert O'Grady, Benjamin Berman, Daniel Caveney, Chris Johnson, Blair Lewis, Ryder Morford, Juna Reisacher, Jim Nguyen, Matt Preston, Naots DeSilva, Mike Franzago, Cole Hedges, Aditya Mehta, Charles Normandin, JoAnn Wong, Ryan Kelleher, Kathy Noordeh, Ryland Bauer, William Engel, Natalie Tatum, Wilhelm Willie, Philip Winter, Bill Wong, Emily Tatum, Stefaun Avakian, Aaron Boyd, Aubrey Gavello, Jake Hoffrman, Aidan Macaluso, Marcus Milazzo, John Murphy, Elliot Schaffer, Nicholas Shuster, Leo Tuchman, Michael Rogers, Peter Erickson, Jonathan Biermann, Cory McGee, Bilna Hu, Robb Crow, Stephen Brandy, Akshay Jetti, Steven Taunk, Sayoko Caproni, Pierson Souza, Jack Valinoti, Darius Collins, Will Dean, Dave Eriero, Doug Hopkins, Caitlyn McWilliams, Mark Schlifske, Victor Segure, Jason Case, Kristy Case, Oliver Dormoduy, John Moore, Evan Braicks, Zara Butte, Wilvin Chew, Kayla Choy, John Ward, Cameron Drake, Josh Stark, Taylor Culp, Nora O'Neill, MacKenzie Purcell, Alec Sanchez, John DeMoully, Noah Gold, Nathan Guskiewicz, Brewster Nolan

District 3

Ali Salahi, Joe Bisson, Nicky Black, James Burke, Sam Gervolino, Rich Koury, Andrew Winter, Bryan Rawlinson, John Chisholm, Shane O'Connor, Tony Alsop, Jason Fukuyama, Vicente Llopis, Lauren Bennett, Alex Robles, Keith McWilliams, Dan Li, Ryan McWilliams, Ricardo Munguia Alba, Sean Pepper, John Wolff, Cameron Lee, Mike Wallach, Patrick Whelly, Mertay Deyanc, J. Yeo, Lex Perillat, Alexa Mironov

District 4

Laura Boaz, Michael Ippolito, Esther Ippolito, Rudy Asercion, WR Hickox, Michelle M. Viguie-Hickox, Trim Wellbeloved, James Sterk, William Conaway, Randy Shirbroun, Anna Szefo, Peter Dale, Kevin Twibill, Ambra Wellbeloved, Sean Miller, Paul Normanly, Meyer Steckenberg, Dan Steckenberg, Ken Jiang, Thomas Shilosaka, Albert Vong, Roxanne Worthington, Ali Jamalocur, Rhonda Short, Airudh Bokka, Daniel Orozco, Claire Devaney, Oscar Geronimo, Seung-Hwan Kim, Brendan Knapp, Vadim Kitsis, Maureen Bowler,

District 4, cont.

Rita M. Bray, Mary Brigid Ide, Patricia Magee, Bill Magee, Sheila McCarthy, Noreen McNomara, Carl J. Slattery, Alex Armstrong, Dave McCarthy, Noreen McEllistrim, Martina Walsh, Jamie Lewin, Sahin Olut, Jordan Westover, Ian Choy, Sam Lee, Geoff Moore, Lawrence Neyman, Nigel Satenstein, Eric Hamer, Jacob A. Meyers, Joseph O'Brien, Nigel Borromeo, Andre Wilkins, Donald Louie, Myonghui Yang, Richard Giller, Melana Jimenez, Khoi Nguyen, Kevin Sinclair, Kathleen N. Grogan, John Noenickx, Marie O'Connor, Daniel Petersen, Daniel Zhu, Gerard Knightley, George Ramirez, Allen Yu, Mary Bautista, Lennie Jabago, Jerry Simotas, Carolyn Cayabyab, Pao Chiu, Will Cody, Ryan Driesbach, Ryan McNabb, Joe Moriarty, Travis Payne, Emily Petersen, Jean-Francois Roy, James Baker, Alex Blanchard, Dan Carr, Albert Filice, Matt Gee, Gary Groff, Ana Martinez, Max Ramsay, Matt Rozen, Alo Scott, Karen Hipp, Galen Wong, Gary Salvatore Cimino, Brian McLain, San Miller, Michelle Pente, Winslow Perry, Kimberly Chitra, Edric Chitra, Scott Decker, Doug Dietz, Orla Petirs, Jackson Kerrigan, Chris Pratt, John Roberts, Yoshifumi Shimizu, Terrence Whitson, Ed Keenan, Bella Keenan, Tina Villacruz, Colin Daly, Nara Han, Mary Shanley, Al Hom, David Rabbitt, Sean Rugan, Carolina Lavelle, Wirt Lewis, Matt Rozen, Marc Bargary, Michael Clancy

District 5

Wendy Wan, Ari Horwitz, Nakul Bhatnagar, Kieran allahan, Anna Donnelly, Paul Duatschek, Tom Howard, Lindsey Pollack, David Pollack, Chris Woodley, Richard Woodruff, Marios Leon, Kyle Cookson, Matthew Searing, Pamela Hofsass, Richard Woodruff

District 6

Lam Nguyen, Gonzalo Vergara, Robert Bernie, Shawn Whalen, Michael Johnson, Tyler Alexander, Hem Singh, Hemzi Wood, Jeff Devoto, Ken Watson, Brian Ross

District 7

Joseph Camacho, Trevor Geller, Paul Boyer, Katherine Clements, Gabriel Donohoe, John Formosa, Alexander Gibbs, Joe Hayes, Mark Hazelwood, Holland Ja, KC Murphy, Eoin O'Connor, John O'Connor, Don Papa, Alex Wong, Dave Mana, Geoege Bacigaluipi, Nessa Connor, Philip A. Parker, Jason Yip, Cathy Yip, David Cesare, Joseph Cremen, David Fowler, Gareth Fracchia, JK Hunt, Raymond Lee, Elizabeth Wong, Brian Franceschi, Sue Ballard, Cody Enger, Joe Healey, Mike Milstein, Richard Gyde, Brian Tsung, Michael Sgroi, Mike Reaka, Raula Reaka, Owen McKee, Lana Zumbrov, Tom Stephenson, Erin Byrne, Jim Enright, Owen Harrington, Brendan Heather, Julio Ramos, Gary Giubbini, Bernard P. Michela, Charles Pratt, Claire Pratt, Catharine Ryan, Tristan Handeland, Mike Lamson, Dan Howard, Tim Murphy, Julian Villareal, Dan Mackowski, David Cesare, James Clark, Andyu Kapelevich, Owen McKee, Lana Zumerov, Jay Pettigrew, Bryan Sakamoto, Tom Scott, Robert Cappa, Dominic Magri, Jimmy Hoag, Steven Lee, Mark Anderson, Matt Kearney, Larry McAuliff

District 8

Helen Duffy, Robert Apfel, Dan James, Don Czerkies, Chris Czerkies, Ami Icanberry, Nathaniel Ma, Robert Mulder, Lydia Byres, Dominic Caccione, Carol Harris, Chad Ackerman, Howard DeNike, Richard Harris, Brad Eisenberg, Derek Lum, John Mahoney, Frances Osullivan, Nicole Ellis, Matt Snyder, Robert Stover, Marcelino Varona, James Allee, Kevin Cline, Robert Go, Emmett Berg, Kaushal Parikh, Scott Robertson, Wyatt Beserra, Freddie Hebert, Eli Smoot, George Murray, Loukas Stelyn, Drew Cattermole, Matthew Farwell, Michael Michela, Eileen Ridley, Lisa Wally, David Corner, Bagna Braestrup, Sandy Thomson, Jennifer Hwang, David Liang, George McCarthy, Matt Krawczyk, Scott Marousek, Chris Sater

District 9

Arlo Furst, John McCauley, Jeff Phillips, Norberto Joya, Robert Vranizan, Grant Taillefer, Paul Ashby, Brittney Freed, Jessica Brkwoldt, Scott Gee, David Higgins, Mike Weingart, Matt Carlson, Pilan Chenhansa, Kim Smith, Sang Yun, Shannon Fields, T. Kevin Coker, Pete Manning, John Monson, Jak Yeadaker, Kevin Alonzo, Daniel Feldman, Ian Lordemann, Jenna Rousseau, Sal Hoffman, Enilda Rodriguez, Robert Reisenburger

District 10

Virginia Nicholas Yee, Conor Falvey, Ethan Litmons, Colton Sankey, Nicholas Kinoshita, Thomas Prosek, Joaquin Borromeo, Graham Greene, Matt Strube, Cameron Coulter, Troy Davis, Adam Herrera, Lisa Rasmussen, Mark Olson, Dennis Ngo

District 11

Anthony Brignetti, Steve Johnston, Natasha Parks, James Quirke, Kenneth Dawson, Tony Kitz, Belisa Amaro, Chris Ennis, Felipe Goggin, Ricardo Herrera, William L. Olinger, William D. Penrod, Michael Swoffer, Paul Tonelli, Patrick Goggin, Brendan Jenkins, Kipp Kennedy, Shane Kitchen, Andrew Perez, Rajan Edwards, Tim Albrecht, TK Thien, Matt Castagnola, Washington Chua, Lisa Ising, Reann Bialini, Nate Finny, Andy Hu

From: k.k. Kirschling
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 12:39:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

k.k. Kirschling
kumasong@icloud.com
633 Oak
SF, California 94117

From: Salvador Gasca
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 12:41:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Salvador Gasca
415sal@gmail.com
222 Taylor St, apt 410
San Francisco, California 94102

From: Curtis Bradford
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 1:51:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including TNDCs Food Policy Council. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

TNDC is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Tenderloin communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like TNDCs and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Curtis Bradford
curtisbradford5@gmail.com
1390 Market Street, Apt. #2206
San Francisco, California 94102

From: Jean Wiant
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 4:39:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Jean Wiant
wiant84@gmail.com
117 E Oak Ln
Glenolden, Pennsylvania 19036

From: Brian Still
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 4:41:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Brian Still
brianmstill@gmail.com
4077 3rd Ave
San Diego, California 92103

From: Chris Busby
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 4:49:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Chris Busby
witchywomanhor@yahoo.com
4562 Sherrilltown Road
Watertown, Tennessee 37184

From: Vinodkumar Gadley
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 4:56:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Vinodkumar Gadley
vgadley2003@yahoo.com
2451 N Rainbow Blvd unit #2135
Las Vegas, Nevada 89108

From: AJ cho
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 4:59:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

AJ cho
amenoartemis@gmail.com
159 Santa Teresa
San Leandro, California 94579

From: Eileen Prefontaine
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 5:01:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Eileen Prefontaine
elprefon@rprefontaine.com
19 Maple St.
Hopkinton, Massachusetts 01748

From: Lisa Marvin
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 5:02:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Lisa Marvin
Lisam94598@yahoo.com
5455 kirkwood drive
Concord , California 94521

From: Lois Jordan
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 5:12:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Lois Jordan
lmjor@aol.com
9161 E Walnut Tree Dr
Tucson, Arizona 85749

From: Joyce Sabel
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 6:00:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Joyce Sabel
voicejoy@hotmail.com
2508 Lake St.
San Francisco, California 94121

From: shawn.bunn2@gmail.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 8:05:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

shawn.bunn2@gmail.com
633 Hanford Street
Pismo Beach, California 93449

From: Tiziana Perinotti
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 8:38:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Tiziana Perinotti
tgp_2001@hotmail.com
1111 Jones St
San Francisco, California 94109

From: Margaret Wilson
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 8:40:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.
The social justice Academy showed me a lot of things to advocate for those that are formally incarcerated as well as advocacy in regards to women and families that are homeless, as I am a homeless person with my children, as well as coming from the incarcerated life that you can get back on your feet, and if it wasn't for this Social justice Academy through Glide, I wouldn't be where I'm at today with a job through Fivekeys as well as still continuing my education and reunited with my family reconnecting with everyone that has been supporting me, including Glide, so please put back the money for the social justice Academy to continue to reach others The only way we're gonna heal our country is by putting back not taking away.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Margaret Wilson
momnicoya82@gmail.com
424 Guerrero St
San Francisco, California 94110-1015

From: Scott Korman
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 10:26:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Scott Korman
skorman06@gmail.com
27110 Grand Central Pkwy Apt 28K
Floral Park, New York 11005

From: Utkarsh Nath
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 11:21:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Utkarsh Nath
utkarsh.nath@yahoo.com
34462 Alberta Ter
Fremont, California 94555

From: Tem Narvios
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 11:56:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Tem Narvios
winevitable@sbcglobal.net
1425 Visitacion Ave, San Francisco, CA, USA
San Francisco, California 94134

From: Eduardo Bettencourt
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 6:14:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Eduardo Bettencourt
islandhoppin@hotmail.com
PO Box 1131
Sausalito, 94966

From: Stephan Donovan
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 6:35:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Stephan Donovan
stephandonnovan@aol.com
11900 N. Labyrinth Drive
Oro Valley , Arizona 85737

From: TASHAANTONETTE GRIFFIN
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 9:17:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

TASHAANTONETTE GRIFFIN
tgriffin.oakland94806@gmail.com
Geary Street
San Francisco, California 94109

From: Joyce Sabel
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 9:33:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Joyce Sabel
voicejoy@hotmail.com
2508 Lake St
San Francisco, California 94121

From: Janet Maker
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 9:45:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Janet Maker
janet29018@gmail.com
925 Malcolm Av.
Los Angeles, California 90024

From: Caephren McKenna
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 10:00:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Caephren McKenna
caephren@gmail.com
392 44th Street
Oakland, California 94609

From: nateca@pm.me
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 10:25:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

nateca@pm.me
601 William St
Oakland, California 94612

From: Brittnay Tobin
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 10:49:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Brittnay Tobin
bteventproduction@gmail.com
4125 26th Street, Apt 1
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94131

From: sherry archer
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, June 12, 2025 11:15:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	sherry archer
Email	sherry.archer88@gmail.com

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie and the Board of Supervisors,

With a much reduced city population, it is critical that you right-size the budget. I have learned a lot about the process via ConnectedSF. Your actions are the path to a fiscally responsible city government.

Also, it is clear that clear accountability for outcomes from city grants is lagging far behind the increases in city spending. Now is the time to assign clear responsibility to monitor the spending and outcomes.

It is a tough assignment but you have the authority to get the city on the road to financial health. Please do not waste this opportunity.

Sincerely,
Sherry Archer

From: sebra leaves
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, June 12, 2025 11:25:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: sebra leaves

Email: sebraleaves@gmail.com

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Remi Tan
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, June 12, 2025 11:29:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Remi Tan

Email remitan@sbcglobal.net

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

Given loss post pandemic of 50,000 residents and 160,000 daily commuters, the city should be making commensurate reduction of 4,000 actual employees to bring the employee/ resident ratio back down to the years pre pandemic and closer to that of similar city/counties. This is doable though retirements, attrition as well as careful reduction of duplicative, redundant, and unnecessary positions

At $210,000 average annual salary the city is overpaying employees. Therefore a compressive review of all salary levels is needed to make sure that the city is paying commensurate to private industry for equivalent roles, and city should consider salary reductions based on the review. Note that Oakland is considering salary reductions to balance

their budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

Remi Tan
property owner D5

From: ALEXI PAPALEXOPOULOS
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 11:09:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee:

My name is Alexi Papalexopoulos and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Best,

Alexi
www.apdirector.com
INSTAGRAM

From: V. Eristavi
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 9:46:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Public Comment - Opposing Privatization of Public Golf Courses (File No. 250589)
Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee, My name is Vadim Victor Eristavi, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses. San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate. A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control. I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces. I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses. Thank you

Vadim Victor Eristavi

From: laura bottero
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Re: Privatization of our SF public golf courses
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 4:32:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

My name is Laura Bottero Lewers, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you, and best, Laura

From: Karen Tarantola
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment – Opposing Privatization of Public Golf Courses (File No. 250589)
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 2:16:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

My name is Karen Tarantola, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you,

Karen Tarantola

From:	rharrisjr1@gmail.com
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Ginsburg, Phil (REC); Madland, Sarah (REC); Ketcham, Dana (REC)
Subject:	Board of Supervisors Budget and Appropriations Committee / Budget Hearing June 12, 10 a.m. / Rec & Park Dept / SF Public Golf Alliance Letter, Petition
Date:	Wednesday, June 11, 2025 11:53:20 AM
Attachments:	Open.Letter.Petition.Save.SF.Public.Golf.Courses.Names.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Budget and Appropriations Committee / Budget Hearing June 12, 10 a.m. / Rec & Park Dept / SF Public Golf Alliance Letter, Petition

Dear Mayor Lurie and Mr. Jalipa –
Enclosed above please find the Letter and Petition from the non-profit San Francisco Public Golf Alliance, for filing w/ Mayor's Budget Office and the Supervisors' Budget Committee in connection with its June 12 public hearing on the Recreation and Parks Department Budget for FY 2026-27.
Please circulate to the Committee and Board Members and appropriate City Offices regarding the Budget. And please confirm receipt.
Thank you for your service and courtesy.

Richard Harris, President
San Francisco Public Golf Alliance
826 Stanyan St.
San Francisco, CA. 94117
415-290-5718

From: Isabella Frost
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Opposing Privatization of Public Golf Courses (File No. 250589)
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 10:00:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Public Comment – Opposing Privatization of Public Golf Courses (File No. 250589)
Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is Isabella Frost, and I'm a San Francisco resident of 28 years reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you,

Isabella Frost

From:	Jake
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589 Message:
Date:	Wednesday, June 11, 2025 8:15:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is Jennifer Jake Ibarra and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you,

Jennifer Jake Ibarra

--
Sent from Gmail Mobile

--
Sent from Gmail Mobile

From:	Jake
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	:Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589 Message:
Date:	Wednesday, June 11, 2025 8:12:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is [Your Name], and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you,

Jennifer Jake Ibarra

--
Sent from Gmail Mobile

From:	Brendan Frost
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Subject line (optional): Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date:	Tuesday, June 10, 2025 8:23:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message:

Public Comment – Opposing Privatization of Public Golf Courses (File No. 250589)
Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is [Your Name], and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

As a Transit Operator for SFMTA, I am very opposed to the taking of public lands and amenities and handing them to a for profit company which will restrict access to city residents with costs increases.

Thank you

From:	Santrecheel Graham
To:	ChanStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Cc:	Chanelle Brown
Subject:	My Public Comment on Early Childhood Education in San Francisco
Date:	Thursday, June 12, 2025 8:26:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning Members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee:

My name is Santrecheel Graham writing you on behalf of the Black Early Educator Policy Council, a group that advocates on behalf of Black early educators and children in San Francisco, convened by Children's Council of San Francisco.

We thank the members of the Board of Supervisors and Mayor Lurie for honoring the commitment to using Baby C funds as voters intended. We ask that the balance number in section 2 of the proposed ordinance regarding Baby C be set to $300 million.

We strongly urge raising the reserve cap in the proposed ordinance to protect Baby Prop C and DEC funding for HeadStart, pathways for Black early educators entering the field, and a leadership pipeline to support Black early educators' retention. Mental health support, housing, health insurance and economic resources are critical to support their sustainability.

Again, thank you to the Board and Mayor Lurie for prioritizing Baby C funds. We look forward to working in partnership with you and DEC to support our Black babies and children.

In community,

Santrecheel Graham
Campus Supervisor 1
MNC 18TH ST Campus

From:	Kristina W
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	I greatly appreciate my position at TIS and would like to continue working here.
Date:	Thursday, June 12, 2025 12:17:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Kristina, and I am part of the Trauma Informed Systems team as a Community Health Worker 2.

I have held various positions within the City of San Francisco Department of Public Health, but this role resonates deeply with me. Having worked as a counselor in multiple programs for sixteen years, I have encountered numerous traumas and have been profoundly impacted by them.

Upon my initial employment, I completed the mandatory TIS 101 training, which provided me with invaluable tools for both my professional and personal life. This training illuminated the importance of self-care and being trauma-informed, marking a significant epiphany in my approach to client care.

Working with the TIS team over the subsequent years has been an extraordinary experience, allowing me to delve deeper into strategies for managing stress and transforming trauma. This knowledge enabled me to educate staff, clients, friends, and family, positively affecting those around me through my resilience.

My supervisor, Lisa, exemplifies the principles of a Trauma-Informed Leader, and I greatly appreciate her dedication to this work. Our team has spent the past year studying the curriculum and working towards certification as TIS trainers. Throughout my six years at TIS, I have participated in over a hundred training sessions and continue to learn new insights from each session.

As a Community Health Worker 2 and Technical Support specialist, I possess comprehensive behind-the-scenes knowledge of all aspects of this training. Restructuring this training to another department without our involvement would overlook a wealth of knowledge, experience, and tailored motivation.

Our team is passionate about this work, and we are committed to its success.

Thank you for taking the time to read my message and for considering the importance of our team's contributions.

From: Stacey Blankenbaker
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: In Support of DPH Trauma-Informed Systems (TIS)
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 11:16:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am writing in strong support of the San Francisco Department of Public Health's Trauma-Informed Systems (TIS) initiative. TIS acknowledges that both individual and systemic trauma shape staff well-being, workplace relationships, and organizational culture. By embedding trauma-informed principles into how staff lead, communicate, and care for one another, TIS helps build work environments that are safer, more resilient, and better equipped to serve the public.

I am deeply concerned that SFDPH is considering eliminating this low-cost initiative with such a far-reaching impact within DPH and the larger San Francisco community. At a time when healthcare workers are experiencing unprecedented levels of stress, burnout, and moral injury, TIS provides essential tools—not just for coping, but for healing and transformation. TIS reinforces the principle that staff well-being is inseparable from the quality of care we provide. Cutting this work would be a step backward for the health of our workforce and the communities we serve.

Sincerely,
Stacey Blankenbaker

From: Yianni Gogonas
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Cc: ChanStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment- Regarding File No. 250589: Proposed Privatization of San Francisco Public Golf Courses
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 10:13:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Budget and Finance Committee,

My name is Yianni. I am a maintenance worker at Lincoln Park Golf Course, but I am writing today not in my professional capacity, but as a lifelong golfer and a member of the public who deeply values what our public courses represent.

I grew up playing on San Francisco's public golf courses. For me, they were not just places to hit balls. They were classrooms, sanctuaries, and bridges. These courses taught me discipline, patience, courage, and confidence. They gave me the chance to meet and interact with people of all ages, races, and backgrounds. Most importantly, they gave me time with my father, real time, uninterrupted by distractions. Some of the best and most formative days of my life were spent on those fairways with him.

That experience was only possible because the courses were public and affordable. We did not belong to a private club. We did not have deep pockets. But we had access, and that access changed the course of my life.

Privatizing these public spaces threatens to take that opportunity away from the next generation. It would inevitably raise costs, limit access, and create new barriers for families who rely on public facilities to participate in the game. Golf already struggles with issues of inclusion and diversity. Removing or reducing public access will only deepen that divide.

These courses are not just green spaces. They are places where community is built, where relationships are nurtured, and where young people learn life lessons that do not come easily in a classroom. They are spaces where parents bond with children, where friends come together, and where people find joy, peace, and connection.

I urge the committee to consider what is really at stake. If these courses are privatized, the loss will not just be about who gets to play golf. It will be about who gets to belong. We risk turning away the very people who have helped build the character and soul of these courses over generations.

Please keep San Francisco's public golf courses public, for the sake of the game and for the people it continues to shape, just like it shaped me.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Yianni

From: T Flandrich
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chan, Connie (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Cc: MelgarStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; ChanStaff (BOS)
Subject: Opposition to the reallocation of Prop C funds
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 6:22:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

11. June 2025-Public Comment for 6/12/2025 Hearing

Dear Members of the Budget & Appropriation Committee,

I am very alarmed to read of Mayor Lurie's proposal to reallocate Prop C funds for housing to shelters and eliminate the funding categories for three years.
I, along with more than 61% of San Franciscans voted for Prop C, Our City Our Home Funds. I had also participated in many discussions in fleshing out this measure over a period of many months as a representative for seniors & people with disabilities, a population of homelessness that was rising in large numbers at that time. As a former community nurse, a long time advocate for truly affordable housing and as an anti-displacement tenant counselor I urge you to oppose this proposal. Shelters are not homes.

We fought the legal challenge and won in 2020 and it is our democratic right and your moral duty

to follow through with what was promised to us as voters in 2018. Please do not allow any further delay in funding permanent housing for the health and well-being of our houseless residents.

Thank you for your consideration,
Theresa Flandrich
North Beach Tenants Committee
D3 resident

From: Melissa Chan
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment for 6/11 Budget & Appropriation Committee
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 5:36:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon Supervisors,

My name is Melissa Chan and I grew up in D10 Visitacion Valley. While I should be celebrating 10 years of service with the City, I am deeply concerned and upset about the devastating budget cuts to the Community Ambassador Program proposed by the Mayor.

My family has been in Visitacion Valley since 1986 and witnessed the birth of CAP there in 2010 in response to a lot of neighborhood tensions that could not be addressed by law enforcement. CAP is a critical and longstanding safety presence in the community.

Last year, we fought to preserve this critical program and the Board of Supervisors even passed a resolution of support! It's simply abhorrent that CAP is being targeted once again in the name of "public safety." Despite the fact that these ambassadors are some of the lowest paid City employees, they are the epitome of true community care. Any time they see others in need, they are the first to jump right in., often before first responders can arrive.

This program is MORE THAN the 30 positions that were mentioned. It includes additonal ambassadors from the JobsNow program and the operations team dedicated to supporting and coordinating the entire team of ambassadors. These are the front line workers that we're trying to save from layoffs. It's the best example of true community care that I've ever seen and I implore you all to restore the funding and DON'T CUT CAP!

From: Lynn Dolce
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Save SFDPH Trauma Informed Systems Workforce
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 5:08:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am opposed to the elimination of the SFDPH TIS staff/program from the budget. Prioritizing a trauma-informed workforce that administers healing services and programs has been a cornerstone of SFDPH for over a decade. When I was initially asked to create a curriculum to train over 9000 SFDPH employees across multiple systems of care, employees who had varying levels of expertise, professional experiences, lived experiences, disciplines and areas of focus, I was thrilled and honored to take the challenge. Together with SFDPH leaders, we created a SAMHSA nationally recognized model of organizational change. I had the opportunity to work with the talented and dedicated staff who would later carry out the mission to create a trauma-informed health care system; one that takes care of their staff so that access to patient care and outcomes could improve..

Trauma-Informed Systems (TIS), centering health equity, is designed to address the impact of structural, historical, and ongoing inequities in healthcare by promoting systemic organizational change. There are clear indications that the healthcare industry is at its breaking point. Healthcare organizations like SFDPH, struggle to meet the interpersonal, health, and professional challenges of the staff and to provide support, quality care and leadership to those in need, especially individuals from historically marginalized groups. Healthcare organizations, like SFDPH, have had to confront issues such as economic factors (e.g., job insecurity, unemployment, food insecurity, unstable housing), psychological factors (e.g., mental health and well-being), impacts on physical health (stress related health disorders), emerging changes in work practices (e.g., working remotely, virtual teaming), and differential experiences of staff, patients, and community members based on race, age, ethnicity, family status, and cultural differences (Adams & Walls, 2020). As a result, disparities in health outcomes continue to widen in many areas relative to race and income level, highlighting a dire need for systemic change (Himmelstein & Woolhandler, 2021).

The TIS team focuses on the health and wellbeing of our Workforce. Providers, staff, patients, partners etc. need the important TIS work to be at the center of what DPH does; eliminating this work is likely to have a negative impact on both service providers and patients.
The TIS team—small yet mighty, makes a difference. Their work helps build community, strengthens collaboration and provider responsiveness which is integral to client and patient engagement. All really important in our new fee for service revenue model of reimbursement.

My understanding is that there are 9000 positions in DPH- and 25 positions were eliminated. Five (5) of those positions are TIS. The other twenty (20) positions are colleagues at HOPE SF. Most of these teams are people of color, many with lived experiences.
With a budget as large as SFDPH, I simply do not understand how these positions were distinguished and chosen from the thousands of other ones.

My understanding is that the Mayor and Board of Supervisors have the money to protect TIS. Please do the right thing and preserve the current TIS positions and programming. By doing so, you will demonstrate that the leadership of SF City and County genuinely cares about our workforce and understand how their wellbeing is central to the wellbeing of the clients and patients SFDPH is mandated to serve.

Thank you very much,

Lynn Dolce, MFT
Edgewood CEO

From:	Pacific & Asian American Women Bay Area Coalition
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Cc:	ChanStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS)
Subject:	Budget & Appropiations Hearing - June 12, 2025 Department and Commission on the Status of Women
Date:	Wednesday, June 11, 2025 4:32:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chairperson Chan and Committee Members Dorsey, Engardio, President Mandelman, and Supervisor Walton::

The Pacific Asian American Women Bay Area Coalition (PAAWBAC) is a non-profit organization formed in 1980 to promote the personal, professional, and political interests of Asian Pacific Islander women in the San Francisco Bay Area. Our organization is gravely concerned about and strongly objects to Mayor Lurie's budget proposal to eliminate the Department on the Status of Women (DOSW) and subjugate it under a new Agency on Human Rights.

The S.F. Commission on the Status of Women has a rich history dating from its establishment by the Board of Supervisors 50 years ago, addressing issues that included employment equity, domestic violence, sexual assault, and affordable childcare. Two of PAAWBAC's founders, Carole Jan Lee and Caryl Ito, are former Commission members.

The DOSW, charged with carrying out the Commission's work, was established in 1994 by a charter amendment approved by 70% of the voters. The DOSW has been instrumental in reducing domestic violence and homicides, decreasing lawsuits against the City for sexual harassment, and aiding survivors of human trafficking. In many cases the DOSW's work in developing policy initiatives and collaborating with community partners and law enforcement on these issues became a model for other jurisdictions to follow.

The DOSW has a track record of over 30 years in making real strides to advance gender equity. Recent controversy over the former Executive Director should not erase decades of dedicated work and accomplishments by the DOSW. We fear that a merger with the Human Rights Commission will dilute the effectiveness of the DOSW. And, in light of the current Federal administration's outright misogyny and attacks on the mere idea of equity, it is critical that San Francisco continue its efforts on behalf of its women and families by maintaining the DOSW and the Commission in their current forms.

Thank you for considering these points as you take up this budget proposal.

Sincerely,

Katherine Lee

PAAWBAC Board Member

From: Nicholas Gee
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Written Public Comment for Wednesday, June 11, 2025 City Administrator & OCEIA Departmental Hearing
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 3:31:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello. My name is Nick Gee from Chinese for Affirmative Action and member of the Language Access Network of San Francisco. The Language Access Network serves thousands of residents each year and ensures that language access laws and the quality of in-language services meet the needs of non-English speaking communities and that people know their rights.

This particular leadership team is very familiar with our work and was most recently given special recognition on Monday, June 9th by the SF Immigrant Rights Commission at the Immigrant Leadership Awards – to that we are incredibly grateful and honored.

However, I am here to continue to re-emphasize the significance of the work of language access through the Network and urge I am here today to urge you to invest in language access to protect immigrant communities and their access to city services.

Language access is a civil right, and funding language access services demonstrates the city's commitment to all of its residents, regardless of the language they speak.

We know that non-English native speakers and monolingual communities have a RIGHT to meaningful participation, and yet many continue to be shut out of critical public resources and denied the ability to express their opinions and needs to their elected representatives.

Language access is fundamentally about safety, dignity, and belonging. Providing community safety, health services, and public safety net programs in the languages that community members understand is essential for non-English residents and monolingual families to live safely in San Francisco.

Through the city budget, San Francisco has an opportunity to demonstrate its commitment to all residents, regardless of what language you speak. We respectfully ask the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to secure continued funding for the Language Access Network at $900,000 over two years to ensure we are able to provide the necessary resources to LEP residents.

These investments not only achieve the City's strategic goals of public safety, but they also leverage the most efficient use of public dollars through collaborative efforts and expanding the safety net of local services available to the City's residents. Thank you for your time and

consideration.

Nicholas Gee

Chinese for Affirmative Action

Advocacy Manager

he/him | (415) 738.3363

ngee@caasf.org

A founding partner of

From: MB Salem
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: 6/11 hearing public comment
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 2:44:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Public comment for a community member supporting the SF workers rights community collaborative:

Hi, my name is Jenny, and I'm sending this message today as one of the workers who have experienced exploitation, wage theft, and unfair treatment in the workplace. In those moments, we didn't know where to turn. But the Filipino Community Center (FCC) and the Asian Law Caucus (ALC) were there for us—100% of the way.

They didn't just offer legal help or advice. They stood beside us, guided us, and most importantly, they believed in us. When we were scared, they gave us strength. When we were silenced, they helped us find our voices. When we felt powerless, they reminded us of our rights and our dignity.

For so many in the Filipino community, and in other immigrant and working-class communities, these organizations are truly a lifeline. They fill a huge gap in services that the government has long failed to provide. Filipino community center and Asian Caucus Law (organizations of SF workers rights community collaborative) don't just support individuals—they build movements, they bring people together, and they push for real, lasting change.

That's why we are deeply concerned about the threat of budget cuts to these essential organizations. Cutting their funding is not just a financial decision—it's a betrayal of workers like us, of immigrants, of families, and of entire communities who rely on them to survive and to fight back.

We need to be clear: FCC and ALC are not just helping people—they are changing lives. They are part of the backbone of this city's fight for justice. Their work must not only be protected—it must be fully funded and expanded.

Thank you to the FCC and ALC for everything you've done. And to those in power: please do not take this lifeline away from the people.

From: DPH-jonathanw
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: PLEASE preserve TIS funding in the budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 1:47:02 PM
Attachments: Outlook-A blue and.png
Outlook-A group of.png
Outlook-A blue and.png
Outlook-A logo of .png
Outlook-wrbqhjln.png
Outlook-xciy0ugq.png
Outlook-bv0jpikn.png

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to express my strong opposition to eliminating the SFDPH TIS staff/program from the budget. Especially now, in these incredibly stressful and traumatic times, prioritizing creating trauma-informed and healing programs and agencies is essential!

Staff, clients, teams, programs, agencies, etc. need the important TIS work to be at the center of what DPH does, and I'm very concerned that eliminating this initiative now not only sends a really bad message to all involved, it is also likely to have a negative impact on both service providers and recipients.
My personal experience with TIS-- having been involved with this as a trainer and in other ways for the past 9 years-- is that it does make a difference. This work helps build community, strengthen internal and external resources to foster well-being, and is integral to individual and collective healing and ongoing wellness in the face of an abundance of challenges.

My understanding is that the Mayor and Board of Supervisors have the money to protect TIS.
Please do the right thing and preserve the current TIS positions and programming!

Thanks very much,
Jonathan

edgewood™
We provide the people, place, and path for exceptional youth mental healthcare.

Jonathan Weinstock (*he/him*)
Training/Facilitation/School-Based Program



Manager/Mindfulness

OFFICE 415.682.3277

CELL 415.595.0222

edgewood.org

Follow Us

24/7 Crisis Team	Questions or Referrals
415.682.3278	415.682.3160

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic mail transmission may contain privileged and/or confidential information only for use by the intended recipients. Any usage, distribution, copying or disclosure by any other person, other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited and may be subject to civil action and/or criminal penalties. If you received this e-mail transmission in error, please notify the sender by telephone and delete the transmission.

From: DPH-phepfer
To: connie.chan@sfgov.or; Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Cc: Alberto Cortes; Kimberly Kollwitz
Subject: Devastating Funding Cuts to Senior Nutrition
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 11:04:42 AM
Attachments: Outlook-signature_.png
Outlook-signature_.png
Outlook-signature_.png
Outlook-signature_.png
Outlook-signature_.png
Outlook-tfuixhbt.png
25.06.04_ProjectOpenHand_LetterToMayorLurie_CNPFunding_FinalVersion_Signed.pdf

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Chan,

I am forwarding the attached letter that I submitted to Mayor Lurie concerning the proposed budget and the decision to withhold $3 million in Dignity Fund allocations from the Department of Aging Services.

The letter details the substantial consequences this reduction would have on Project Open Hand's capacity to serve San Francisco's older adults and adults living with disabilities. In particular, the funding shortfall would necessitate the elimination of weekend meal services across all sites, resulting in the loss of approximately 100,000 meals annually.

This reduction comes at a time of increasing demand, rising operational costs, and heightened need for medically tailored nutrition services.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. Please do not hesitate to reach out should you wish to discuss the contents of the letter further.

Sincerely,

Paul Hepfer

Paul Hepfer, Chief Executive Officer
Project Open Hand | 730 Polk Street | San Francisco, CA 94109
Cell: 408.537.3559 | Main: 415.447.2300 | www.openhand.org

Follow us on



This email message is for the intended recipient(s) only.
Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

Project Open Hand
meals with love

June 4, 2025

Mayor Daniel Lurie
Office of the Mayor
City Hall, Room 200
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Mayor Lurie,

Nonprofit organizations in San Francisco are bracing for some unprecedented and challenging times. As we continue to respond to community needs amid the financial realities we all face, we are mindful of the need for sustainable financial solutions that keep the essential safety net that tens of thousands of San Francisco residents depend upon for survival. Older adults and adults living with disabilities are among these.

The City's $16 billion budget released on May 29, 2025 attempts to address the budget deficit of over $780 million–all while addressing your priorities of cleaner and safer streets, an enormous challenge to be sure. However, within this budget, you are withholding $3 million of "Dignity Fund dollars" from the Department of Aging Services (DAS), intended to support nutrition services for older adults and people living with disabilities.

Project Open Hand (POH) is now faced with a reduction in DAS funding that will impact services to our Community Nutrition Program. Specifically, for the contract period starting July 1, 2025, this funding reduction will mean providing 100,000 fewer meals. As a result, weekend meal service will be eliminated at all our meal sites, leaving thousands of older adults and adults living with disabilities without access to breakfast and lunch services on weekends.

We know that older adults and adults living with disabilities who have a consistent and dependable source of nutritious meals experience improved health, overall physical wellbeing, and improved quality of life. The absence of nutritious meals translates to a negative spiral of declining health and social well-being. Specifically, these individuals will be at higher risk of experiencing physical decline, cognitive impairment, social isolation, increased healthcare costs, homelessness, financial strain, and frailty.

Thousands of San Francisco residents who otherwise have little to no access to appropriate nutrition depend on POH's researched-informed, medically tailored

nutrition interventions. In the fiscal year ending June 30, 2024, POH provided over 350,000 meals to over 10,000 older adults and adults living with disabilities in San Francisco.

Our ability to care for the over 10,000 individuals who depend on the diverse nutrition programs offered by POH is being challenged from many angles:

- An unpredictable economy, including the possibility of a recession, challenges our fundraising efforts. Philanthropic giving is shifting, with a notable decline in donor retention, in part due to an outsized decline in overall dollars.
- Rising food costs mean that providing the same number of meals is more expensive. Did you know that food costs have increased an estimated 20.8% since 2021?
- A decline in the economy, paired with a decline in social services (due to cuts in federal funding), translates to a significant increase in the number of City residents utilizing POH nutrition services.

I fully realize that residents of San Francisco want, and deserve, cleaner, safer streets. That was evident when you received 57% of the votes. At the same time, the City also prioritized essential, lifesaving services like nutrition when the Dignity Fund (Proposition I) was passed by 66% of the voters in 2016. Thousands of the older adults that will be impacted by these cuts have been San Francisco residents for decades and decades; they quite literally built this City.

Mayor Lurie, I want to both thank you for your leadership as we navigate these uncharted waters, and reiterate my appreciation for the challenge before you. I hope that, in the weeks leading into the new fiscal year, you and the Board of Supervisors will see that reallocating or withholding these Dignity Fund dollars from the Department of Aging Services will impede your stated priorities for San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Paul Hepfer
CEO, Project Open Hand

CC: Staci Slaughter, Chief of Staff to Mayor Daniel Lurie
Kunal Modi, Chief of Health, Homelessness, and Family Services to Mayor Daniel Lurie
Aly Bonde, Policy Advisor to Mayor Daniel Lurie
Kelly Dearman, Executive Director, Department of Disability and Aging Services
Supervisor Bilal Mahmood, District 5
Supervisor Connie Chan, Budget & Appropriations Committee
Supervisor Rafael Mandelman, President of the Board of Supervisors, Budget & Appropriations Committee

From:	CARYL ITO
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Cc:	ChanStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS)
Subject:	Budget Comm Hearing 6/12/25 DOSW-COSW
Date:	Monday, June 9, 2025 1:08:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair Chan, and members Dorsey, Engardio, Pres Mandelman & Walton of this Committee:

COSW was originally legislated under Mayor Alioto in 1975. During similar litigation with former Director of this agency in the late 80s, this COSW was dismantled for a short period and reinstated in 1989 by Mayor Agnos and the BOS. I served at this time to establish the Commission and hire the ED and formulate our mission & vision. We at the time were separated from HRC to improve accountability for S.F. women.

Here we are 36 yrs later, with Mayor Lurie erasing this Dept and subjugating the COSW to the Human Rights Agency. Similarily at a time when an ED had mismanaged the Dept and released from duty.
I question how can this be done to a Chartered Commission approved by 70% of our voters in 1994?
How can you as our City leaders ignore, disregard prior 30 yrs of dedicated work & accomplishments by this Dept and Commission?
In light of current natl attacks on policies, programs to protect women & families and LGBTQ communities , WHY abandon the decades of work and support for DOSW now?
Ideally with the mismanagement of DOSW transfer of 90% of their budget last fall to MOCHD to oversee domestic violence funds, these funds need to be returned to DOSW for proper accountability & oversight. Better coordination with Mayors Office of Victims Services and a small project regarding sexual harrassment complaints under HRC need to be all under DOSW.
Thank you for your consideration and respect for women. Maintain DOSW as a separate Dept under COSW.

Caryl Ito
Former COSW ,1989- 1998
Former Airport Commission, 1998 - 2010

Sent from my iPhone
Caryl Ito
415-987-2172 fax 415:334-3048

From:	Dorka Keehn
To:	ChenStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS)
Cc:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	6/12/25 Budget Hearing: Erasure of DEPT on STATUS ON WOMEN & Merger with Human Rights Agency
Date:	Tuesday, June 10, 2025 3:17:46 PM
Attachments:	COSW budget letter.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dorka Keehn
KEEHN ON ART
keehnonart.com

June 10,2025

Supervisor Connie Chan
Supervisor Joel Engardio
Supervisor Shamann Walton
Supervisor Rafael Mandelman
San Francisco City Hall
1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Supervisors:

As a former Commission on the Status of Women (COSW) Commissioner, It is deeply disappointing—and frankly shocking—to see a proposal that disregards over 30 years of vital work and accomplishments by COSW and the Department on the Status of Women (DOSW). I am alarmed and strongly object to the Mayor's proposal to erase the Department in this budget and subjugate the Commission under this newly named Agency of Human Rights. At a time when misogyny is resurging in national and federal policy, San Francisco should be strengthening—not dismantling—our institutional commitment to gender equity.

In 1994, San Francisco voters approved the inclusion of COSW in our City Charter with overwhelming support—70%. Legally and ethically, how can a chartered commission be subsumed under a new department without violating the will of the voters and undermining its purpose?

For three decades, COSW has been a national model. It has:

- Led initiatives that significantly reduced domestic violence and homicides.
- Protected the City from costly lawsuits through work on sexual harassment policies.
- Coordinated efforts to combat human trafficking.
- Served as a critical advocate for gender equity across all city departments.

Why would our City leaders erase this progress? Why now, when the need for equity-driven leadership is as urgent as ever?

This proposal sends the wrong message to women and families in San Francisco—that their safety, dignity, and representation are expendable. I urge you to protect the integrity of COSW. Uphold the Charter. Preserve the leadership infrastructure that generations of women fought to build. In advance, thank you for your commitment to justice and equity.

Sincerely,



Dorka Keehn
President, COSW (1999-2010)
Co-Founder, Emerge America, Ignite National, Women Count.

From:	Chanel Blackwell
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS)
Cc:	Alan Wong
Subject:	Free City College
Date:	Tuesday, June 10, 2025 7:31:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Budget & Appropriation Committee, I hope you're doing well.

In 2016, San Francisco citizens voted for free City College under Proposition W. It became a great success, and taxpayers at the ballot box extended this initiative for ten years in 2019.

We have been aware that the budget proposal from the Mayor's administration was delivered last week. I have cc City College board member Alan Wong to add a comment if you would like Alan. It would be greatly appreciated if some of the remaining half of the 212 million budget for 2024-20-25 issued by the previous administration could be used to keep the institution free, including 7.2 million, which is as promised for this fall, 2025-2026.

It’s only been five years since this became legislated. Every S.F. citizen has the right to this free education, given so graciously by voters. Knowing that money is allocated to this is comforting; we are responsible for making San Francisco a remarkable city educationally, which in turn increases economic success, prevents homelessness, improves mental health, and reduces crime. A mind is a terrible waste when the sources are not there.

With great pride, our city is the first to provide free City College tuition nationwide, and it would be wonderful if we could keep this historic opportunity, setting a tone for others. It's part of what makes San Francisco great.

Please consider voting to approve keeping SF City College free in the Mayor's budget proposal.

Thank you for serving the citizens of SF, and have a good one.

2/08/san-francisco-to-be-the-first-us-city-to-offer-free-college.html

Chanel Blackwell
ENDC BOE, Director of Higher Education
1(415)3414936

From: Lisa Biasotti
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 4:46:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee.
My name is Lisa Biasotti, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you

From: Monica Maresca
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 4:32:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is Monica Maresca and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you in advance.

Best regards,

Monica Maresca
SF resident
415.786.0006

From: Kevin Reynolds
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Privatization of Golf Courses File No. 250589
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 3:34:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

DO NOT ALLOW THIS TO HAPPEN!

THESE ARE PUBLIC AMENITIES TO BE KEPT FOR THE ETERNITY OF TIME. DO NOT SQUANDER THEM FOR IMMEDIATE REWARDS!

Kevin Reynolds
70 Aquavista Way
SF, 94131

From: Jessica Johnson
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: File No. 250589- Opposing Privatization of public spaces
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 3:11:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Jessica Johnson and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thanks!
Jessica

Jessica Jung Johnson
Realtor
DRE# 02084004
Jessica@vanguardsf.com
Direct: 415-828-6224
Jessicasellssf.com
Vanguard Properties



Ranked Top 1% of TIC Sales in San Francisco

From: Robin Hubinsky
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 3:02:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message:

Public Comment – Opposing Privatization of Public Golf Courses (File No. 250589)
Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is [Your Name], and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you

Robin Hubinsky
San Francisco, Ca. 94110
415.939.4028 (cell)
rhubinsky@hotmail.com

From: Rodney Wertz
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 2:59:01 PM
Attachments: image001[11].png
image002.png

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Public Comment – Opposing Privatization of Public Golf Courses (File No. 250589)
Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is [Your Name], and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you

Contributing to your Success!

RODNEY WERTZ
Agent Success Manager
Office Manager
415.655.5600
rodney@vanguardsf.com
VANGUARDPROPERTIES.COM

VANGUARD PROPERTIES
Leading REAL ESTATE COMPANIES OF THE WORLD
LUXURY PORTFOLIO INTERNATIONAL

From: Emilie Biasotti-Nystrom
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Stop the Privatization of SF"s Public Golf Course! File No.250589
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 1:04:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon,

Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is Emilie Biasotti-Nystrom, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you,

Emilie Biasotti-Nystrom

(510) 703-7032

From: Stephen Gorski
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Opposing Privatization of Public Golf Courses, File#: 250589
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 12:36:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Public Comment – Opposing Privatization of Public Golf Courses (File No. 250589)

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

My name is Stephen J Gorski and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses. Further, I have held a resident card for golf for about 20 years and often play the referenced golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by

rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city’s public golf courses.

Thank you for considering my comment.

Stephen J. Gorski, D4 Resident and voter for over 45 years.

Sent from my iPad

From: Aidan Downes
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 11:16:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Public Comment – Opposing Privatization of Public Golf Courses (File No. 250589)

Good morning, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is Aidan, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you

From:	Kevin Cassidy
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date:	Tuesday, June 10, 2025 10:50:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Brent,
Public Comment – Opposing Privatization of Public Golf Courses (File No. 250589)
Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is [Your Name], and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you
Kevin Cassidy

Sent from my iPhone

From: Valentina Osorio
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: File no. 250589
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 9:17:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee, My name is Valentina Osorio, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses. San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate. A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control. I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces. I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses. Thank you

From: Jack Calonico
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: File no. 250589
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 9:15:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee, My name is Jack Calonico, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses. San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate. A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control. I support exploring alternative revenue solutions —ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces. I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses. Thank you

From: John Calonico
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: 250589
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 8:59:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

HELP STOP THE PRIVATIZATION

: Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Message:

Public Comment – Opposing Privatization of Public Golf Courses (File No. 250589)
Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is John Calonico, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you
John Calonico
Sent from my iPhone

4153075131

From: Gabriel Porter
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 7:02:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is Gabriel, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

I grew up in a low-income household in the Sunset district. The City's public courses allowed me affordable, accessible places to learn the game of golf. But moreover those courses became places to learn life lessons, meet people I otherwise never would have, and grow as a person. And all this was accessible to me (via a MUNI ride) because of affordable green fees and the City's youth golf programs. These experiences were invaluable to myself and my brothers and only existed because of the City's Public Courses.

Golf remains an important part of my life and I'm extremely impressed with the recent improvements at the City's public courses, GGPGC and Lincoln Park GC especially. Whenever I play any of the public courses in the city with visitors, they always remark about how cool it is to have quality PUBLIC courses within the city limits. I agree.

Residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you,

Gabriel

From:	Tina Baburyan
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Public comment - opposing privatization of golf courses (file # 250589)
Date:	Sunday, June 8, 2025 7:48:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good evening,

My name is Tina Baburyan and I am a resident of San Francisco. I am writing to respectfully request that you reject the portion of the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget that would pave the way for privatizing our city's public golf courses.

Public courses such as Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles are more than just recreational facilities—they are essential civic assets. These open spaces provide low-cost access to outdoor activity, promote physical and mental wellness, and serve as inclusive gathering spaces for residents from all walks of life. Moreover, they play a vital role in preserving green space, supporting biodiversity, and offering ecological benefits in a densely populated city.
Transferring management of these courses to private entities undermines these public values. A private operator is fundamentally motivated by profit, not by equity, access, or stewardship of public land.

Privatization threatens to increase costs, restrict access for vulnerable populations, and erode the public's voice in how these spaces are managed and maintained. Such changes would disproportionately impact children, seniors, and working-class San Franciscans who rely on these courses for affordable, accessible recreation. While I recognize the fiscal pressures facing the city, turning over management of public lands to private hands is a short-sighted response to a temporary challenge. Once lost, public control is rarely restored. We should be looking toward creative, sustainable revenue solutions that do not compromise the public character or accessibility of our green spaces.

I urge the Committee to stand in defense of public land and reject any budgetary measures that would enable the privatization of San Francisco's public golf courses.

Thank you,
Tina Baburyan

From: Hovhanes Hovhanessian
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: PUBLIC COMMENT OPPOSING GOLF COURSE PRIVATIZATION FILE # 250589
Date: Sunday, June 8, 2025 7:27:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Public comment opposing golf course privatization (file no. 250589)

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is Hovhanes Hovhanessian, and I live in San Francisco. I'm reaching out today to strongly urge you to reject the proposed budget from the Recreation and Parks Department, specifically the part about privatizing our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public courses—Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are essential to our city. These spaces belong to the people of San Francisco. They provide affordable recreation, preserve green space in an urban environment, and offer a welcoming space for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. And these courses aren't just for golfers—they serve as parks that provide wildlife habitats and offer significant health benefits to our community. The city has a responsibility to maintain them in a way that's accessible to everyone.

A private company simply won't have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatizing these courses could lead to higher fees, restricted access, and less community involvement in how they're managed. We shouldn't risk making these spaces unaffordable or exclusive, especially when they're a resource for children, seniors, and low-income families. These golf courses are public assets, and they should be managed by the city to serve all San Franciscans—not by private companies looking to turn a profit. Privatization is a quick fix to a temporary issue, and once it's done, it's hard to undo.

I believe we can find other ways to generate revenue without sacrificing the public nature and accessibility of these vital spaces.

I ask this Committee to protect our public land and keep access to these recreational spaces fair and equitable for all. Please reject any proposals that would privatize San Francisco's public golf courses.

Thank you.

From:	Darius Sadeghi
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date:	Sunday, June 8, 2025 7:22:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

My name is Darius Sadeghi, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you

From: Max Rothstein
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 5:17:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

My name is Max Rothstein, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you,

Max

From:	Deirdre Connor
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date:	Monday, June 9, 2025 5:04:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Mr. Jalipa,

I'm writing to express concern about the proposed city budget that could lead to the privatization of San Francisco's public golf courses, including Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles.

As someone who actively uses and values these public courses, I've seen how vital they are to our city. They provide affordable access to green space, recreation, and a sense of community for people from all walks of life.

I also host a monthly golf group with local professionals, and many of our outings are held at these public courses. If they were to become private, we would likely be priced out or lose access altogether. These spaces are more than places to play—they're part of San Francisco's inclusive fabric.

Public golf courses are just as important as our parks. They offer open space, promote wellness, and bring people together. Programs like First Tee are doing incredible work to make golf more inclusive for underserved youth, and I believe the city should focus on expanding access through initiatives like that—not privatization.

Please consider the long-term consequences on accessibility, equity, and community life. I respectfully urge you and the Budget & Appropriations Committee to oppose any budget measures that would lead to the privatization of our public golf courses (File No. 250589).

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Deirdre Connor

From: Nikolas Eristavi
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 3:16:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

My name is Nikolas Eristavi, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you
Regards,

Nikolas Eristavi

From:	Teka Eristavi
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date:	Monday, June 9, 2025 11:39:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Public Comment – Opposing Privatization of Public Golf Courses (File No. 250589)

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is Téa Eristavi, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you,

Téa Eristavi
SF resident

From:	Christina Haight
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comment Opposing Golf Course Privatization – File No. 250589
Date:	Monday, June 9, 2025 10:31:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,
My name is Christina Haight and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses.

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles— are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you

RECEIVED IN COMMITTEE
6/4/2025
FILE NO. 250527

Good afternoon Supervisors

Moses Corrette, here on my own time. I am an employee of the Planning Department. I am also a member of the OCII Oversight Board, a delegate to the San Francisco Labor Council and past EVP and Treasurer of Local 21. I have been living and working in San Francisco for a quarter of a century, and a resident of District 8. I work on the Planning desk at the Permit Center as well as historic surveys in support of the Housing Element and designations such as the Rainbow Flag which was co-sponsored by EVERY supervisor. During the depth of the pandemic, while others were sheltering at home, I was one of a handful of planners that walked to work alone in a conference room to approve building permits as an essential function of the City.

This month will mark 25 years of my permeant civil service with the City. I have been told to expect a layoff notice, that my name was given to DHR by my department.

The Planning Department is a General Fund Department, yet receives only a fraction of the department's revenue from the General Fund. Having a department with a long-range vision that directly affects every resident, worker and visitor to San Francisco largely dependent of fee revenue is nothing less than short-sighted budgeting. Since the Brown administration Planning has become overly reliant on fluctuating revenue.

The 9-month report is largely good news, more income than expected is always nice. I urge you to use some of that money to supplement the Planning Department's budget.

What isn't nice is the prospect of being 54 years old and unemployed. I wouldn't be able to stay in the City, a planned comfortable retirement would be compromised, and the Oversight Board would be reduced to the absolute minimum required for a quorum risking failure to adopt the State required ROPS.

Hi Supervisors,

I am Jonathan Tso, and I'm currently the finance operations manager at City Planning. I've been working for the City for the last 19 years all in accounting in finance. I am here today to speak for myself and seven other employees that the City Planning is planning to lay off in the Mayor's FY26 proposed budget.

Planning's Budget includes deleting 16 positions, of which 8 are filled. It is expected to save around $3M but the personnel budget is increasing $100k and the overall budget is increasing $3 million to $58 million.

So where is our actual saving? One place is our GFS is reduced 15 % which is $1.15M to 6.4M total.

Last Wednesday, Michella Allersma, from the Controller's Office, presented the 9-month budget status report. In between talks about the overspending by our public protection departments and the chance that 97% of our FEMA reimbursement might be disallowed. She mentioned that Planning is expecting a 6 million operating deficit. If that holds true at year end, the general fund reserves will need to absorb this balance. This $6 million is only $400k less than the General Fund Support (GFS) that is expected for FY26. In FY25, our GFS is $7.5M and if you add $6M, the total is $13.5M. To dive more into $6 million operating deficits. It is due to $10.1M in revenue shortfalls and is offset by $4.1 expenditure savings. Of this saving, 1.2M in personnel which could be attributed to hiring freeze. Planning doesn't have a spending issue. They are dealing with uncertainty about permit revenue and reduced general fund support. Which the Controller is still going to have to fund as with general fund reserves at year end.

Laying off employees and cutting vacant positions, will reduce the Planning's revenues. The layoffs are mainly to the planners in the current planning division. They are revenue generating positions.

This commission can restore the general fund support of $1.15M to avoid the layoffs at the Planning Department.

Thank you for your time,

Jonathan Tso

Tso318@gmail.com

Members of the Board of Supervisors

We, the undersigned, are the dedicated and hard-working men and woman of various classifications employed at the 3 San Francisco golf courses, Harding Park GC, Lincoln GC and Sharp Park GC.

We reject the RPD's budget proposal in its current form as it pertains to the proposal to outsource operations and maintenance of City golf courses.

We do not believe the proposal for privatization makes sense on multiple levels, including, but not limited to, fiscal responsibility and our obligation as stewards of public assets.

We invite you to join us in respectfully rejecting RPD's proposal to outsource the operations and maintenance of the City's public golf courses.

Name	Craft	Signature
MARQUES L. JONES	Gardener	
ALMAR VALENZUELA	Gardener	
GEOFFREY PLOVANICH	MANAGER	
JOHN NAVAL	mechanic	
Elmer Dela Cruz	Mechanic	
Jose Navarrete	Gardener	
Eoghan Hurley	Gardener	
Lio Banister	Gardener	
Sid DellaRocca	Gardener	
Alvaro Mendoza	Gardener	

Name	Craft	Signature
Jorge Manzo	Gardener	
Kevin Phong	Gardener	
Juan Cabrera	Gardener	
Chris Vnuk	Gardener	
Kevin Carlomagno	Plumber	
Kevin Teahan	Manager	
John Haslow	Gardener	
Carlos Molina	Gardener	
BRIAN BRADFORD	Gardener	
Carlos Miranda	Gardener	
Sasha Gonzalez	Gardener	
Bryan Jacobs	Gardener	
Juan Cisneros	Gardener	
Kenna Pilgrim	Gardener	
ZENAIDA BALTERO	Gardener	
Elias Hishmeh	Gardener Supervisor	

Members of the Board of Supervisors

We, the undersigned, are the dedicated and hard-working men and woman of various classifications employed at the 3 San Francisco golf courses, Harding Park GC, Lincoln GC and Sharp Park GC.

We reject the RPD's budget proposal in its current form as it pertains to the proposal to outsource operations and maintenance of City golf courses.

We do not believe that the proposal for privatization makes sense on multiple levels, including, but not limited to, fiscally and as stewards of public assets.

Please join us in our rejection of RPD's proposal to outsource the operations and maintenance of City golf courses.

Name	Craft	Signature
DAVID MARROQUIN	TEAMSTER	
MICHAELA TANZARO	PARK SECTION SUPERVISOR	M. TANZARO
CRAIG STOVER	3417	
JEFF SCHIMMEL	3417	
Gregory P	3417	
Javier Del Rio	3417	
Michael Cronin	3417	
Gary P. Blos II	7347 PLUMBER	
Tom McGee	7355 Truck driver	

NAME	CRAFT	SIGNATURE
YIANNI GOGONAS	GARDENER	
Ryan Connolly	Gardener	
Chris welch	TEAMSTER	
Peter Nelson	Gardener	
EDWIN Quintan	GARDENER	
JOE McNamara	Operator	
JOHN Dan	OPERATOR	
Batt Gon	TEAMSTER	
JOE SULLIVAN	TEAMSTER	
Robert Dhm	3434	
Raul G Venegas	3436	
steven Eastwood	3424	
Ricardo Saldivar	3434	
Robert Sales	3436	
Carlos Lavios	3434	
Johnnie Fifita	3434	
Wolfgang Liku	3434	
DEXTER BRUCE	3417	

From:	Christine Mauia
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Crayton, Monique (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Philip, Susan (DPH); Goette, Christina (DPH); Tugbenyoh, Mawuli (HRC); Tsai, Daniel (DPH); daniel.tsai@sfgov.org
Subject:	File Number 250624: Harmful Cuts to Pacific Islander and Marginalized Communities
Date:	Thursday, June 12, 2025 2:32:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Talofa Lava (Hello) Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, Legislative and Committee Members,

My name is Christine Mauia, and I am the Executive Director of All My Usos (AMU), reaching out as a concerned community member. I respectfully urge you to reconsider the proposed budget cuts that would significantly impact Pacific Islander and marginalized communities in our city.

AMU provides essential services to underserved populations, focusing on mental health, food insecurity, and chronic disease prevention. We are the only Pacific Islander-led organization addressing health and nutrition education, ensuring that everyone who walks through our doors has access to culturally relevant resources.

In 2016, Pacific Islanders had the highest rates of emergency room visits due to hypertension in San Francisco. Additionally, more than one-third of Pacific Islanders in California aged 18-39 are estimated to have prediabetes, according to the UCLA Center for Health Policy and Research. Furthermore, Pacific Islanders have the lowest life expectancy in San Francisco, alongside Black and African American residents, as indicated by the SF City and County Health Disparities Dashboard.

The proposed cuts would mean that community members would lose direct access to healthy food, nutrition education, and essential services. This will particularly affect families of color and eliminate resources in neighborhoods that already struggle with food deserts and health disparities. This is a concerning step backward for our community.

I appreciate Mayor Lurie's commitment to exceptional city services. However, cutting $3.5 million contradicts this vision. The Sugary Drinks Distributors Tax (SDDT), supported by San Francisco voters, was intended to fund programs addressing health issues related to sugary beverages. Eliminating this funding would leave many residents without essential services, and once again, we would be left to suffer.

I urge you to preserve the funding from the **SDDT grant and reinstate the Healthy Communities and Policy Environment and System grants through the Department of Public Health (DPH).** Simply distributing food

through other agencies does not address the chronic health issues in our community. Without the programming and education provided by organizations like ours, these funds will not reach those who need them most.

Moreover, I want to emphasize that AMU is the only Pacific Islander focused organization that has received funding from DPH to address health issues in our community. **Without this support, our community will gravely suffer, as there are no other nonprofit organizations giving Pacific Islander health the attention it desperately needs.**

Thank you for considering my perspective. I appreciate your time and dedication to serving our community

With respect,

Christine Mauia,
Concerned Community Member
“Tautua nei mo se taeao manuia.”
“Serve now for a better tomorrow."

From:	Nackie Fiso-Moli
To:	bos@sf.gov.org; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); chan@sfgov.org; Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); shammon.walton@sfgov.org; Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Crayton, Monique (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); susan.philip@sfdpg.org; Goette, Christina (DPH); Tugbenyoh, Mawuli (HRC); daniel.tsai@sfgov.org
Subject:	File Number 250624 Harmful Cuts to Pacific Islander and Marginalized Communities
Date:	Friday, June 13, 2025 9:03:49 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Talofa Lava (Hello) Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, Legislative and Committee Members,

My name is Nackie Fiso-Moli and I am the Executive Director of PasifikaByDesign a nonprofit organization working throughout Northern California and San Francisco, and I am a concerned community member. I urge you to reconsider the proposed budget cuts that harm Pacific Islander and other marginalized communities.

All My Usos (AMU) offers essential services and resources to underserved communities in San Francisco. AMU also addresses critical issues such as mental health, food insecurity, and healing for families *and communities. By breaking down barriers, AMU commits themselves to ensure that anyone who walks through their doors has access to free resources specifically catered to meet their needs while fostering support that thrives on culturally relevant practices.

AMU and Fa'atasi Youth Services are the only Pacific Islander organizations that address the chronic health disparities that exist within the Pacific Islander community. Access to free health and nutrition education and physical activities are vital in creating a healthier and thriving San Francisco.

Mayor Lurie states that he is here to provide exceptional core city services so that every San Franciscans can live safe, healthier, and productive lives. Cutting **$3.5 Million** to Food Security, Oral Health, and Physical Activity IS NOT how you make this statement come true. **San Francisco Voters** passed the Sugary Drinks Distributors Tax (SDDT) so it can go directly to funding programs that address illnesses related to the consumption of sugary beverages. This is not how you create a healthier San Francisco amongst residents who continue to be left out of conversations that impact their livelihood. The money that was allocated for grant programs is being cut completely. That means community members have no direct access to healthy food, oral health care (especially for children of color), and neighborhoods that have food deserts will continue to be denied access to accessible, affordable, and basic health resources.

Please help stop the cuts to the **Sugary Drinks Tax** that Mayor Lurie is proposing should be cut completely. Wiping out access to these services will do more harm than good. If being data driven means increasing funding for police, then that's a statement

of war for families who will no longer have access to services that have been impacting their lives positively.

Defunding these services will do more harm than good. Stand with our communities and STOP THE CUTS!

With respect,

Nackie M. Fiso-Moli
Concerned Community Member
“*Tautua nei mo se taeao manuia.”*
“*Serve now for a better tomorrow.”*

Nackie M. Fiso-Moli
Executive Director
PasifikaByDesign

From:	Annette Wong
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Nicholas Gee; Shuangyan Li; Amos Lim
Subject:	Public Comment: OEWD
Date:	Thursday, June 12, 2025 9:35:18 PM
Attachments:	Chinese for Affirmative Action - OEWD SJC Budget Reduction 2025.pdf Letter of Spport - UCSF.pdf Letter of Support for CAA- STEP.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair Chan, Supervisors of the Budget and Appropriations Committee, and the clerk of the board,

This comment is respectfully submitted in support of Chinese for Affirmative Action's OEWD funded Specialized Job Center (SJC) supporting limited-English proficient immigrants with job readiness services and job placement. This OEWD SJC was recommended for funding for 2025-2026 at a reduced rate of $100,000, from our initial grant of $284,000. This 65% reduction is significantly impacting our community, who face multiple barriers to employment.

CAA's SJC places people in high road jobs with a living wage and benefits. We're proud of the work we do for the LEP community that enables them to contribute to the economic vitality of San Francisco, and to public safety, when families are economically stable.

Please find attached:

- A one-pager with additional details regarding our budget request
- Two letters of support from employers/parters who CAA has worked with to strengthen the workforce development pipeline.

Thank you for your consideration.

-- Annette

--

Annette Wong | 王浩儀

Chinese for Affirmative Action

Managing Director of Programs

华人权益促进会 | 項目主任

(415) 274-6750x301

AWong@caasf.org

华促会现在有多个岗位开放招聘，欢迎申请！

CHINESE FOR AFFIRMATIVE ACTION

OFFICE OF ECONOMIC AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT (OEWD)
SPECIALIZED JOB CENTER (SJC) SERVING LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT IMMIGRANTS

HISTORY

For decades, CAA has had an employment services program to provide job readiness services, including placement in employment, and referrals to secondary education and vocational training to working class, limited-English proficient San Franciscans. Our program also includes access to financial and digital literacy.

In the 2024-25 fiscal year (FY), CAA has successfully completed all deliverable goals. As the City recovers economically from the pandemic, CAA's specialized job center has seen a significant growth in opportunities for limited English proficient (LEP) clients to access jobs, with the support of CAA.

BUDGET OVERVIEW

For the 2023-24 FY, CAA received $284,000 annually from OEWD to provide these services which include:

- Enrollment of 211 clients (50% over deliverables)
- Job Placement of 134 clients
- Education Placement of 12 clients
- Subcontract with Chinese Progressive Association to conduct outreach and referrals to CAA's job center

For the 2024-25 FY, CAA received $100,000 this year from OEWD and successfully provided the following services:

- Enrollment of 63 clients (12% over deliverables)
- Job Placement of 35 / 28 clients (92% of deliverables)
- Education Placement of 5 clients (100%, 2 clients were able to both Education and Employment Placement
- We have met our deliverables in March and have been referring walk-in clients (average 10-15 a month to other agencies). We do not log callers to our voicemail, but will respond to them and refer them to other agencies for services.
- Subcontract with Chinese Progressive Association to conduct outreach and referrals to CAA's job center

www.caasf.org

17 Walter U. Lum Place, San Francisco, CA 94108
T: 415.274.6750 F: 415.397.8770 info@caasf.org

At the beginning of our multi-year contract in 2023, OEWD had established that for the 2024-25 FY, we would be reduced to $234,000. However, during the 24-25 program year, we learned that CAA was further reduced to $100,000 total for 2024-25 FY, and recommended to remain at $100,000 for the 2025-26 and 2026-27 fiscal years.

OUR REQUEST

We are requesting a backfill of $184,000 in order for us to continue providing critical services for immigrant community members at the level needed to support working class community members in accessing jobs, specialized training, and ensuring an equitable economic recovery in our City.

WHY IS THIS IMPORTANT?

- CAA's Specialized Job Center serving LEP immigrants is the only OEWD specialized job center focused on this population, in a city that has such a robust LEP population, reducing CAA's job center by 65% has devastating impacts on immigrant and directly impacted community members at a time when immigrant rights is under attack.

- The City of San Francisco is still recovering economically from the COVID-19 pandemic. Having a job center focused on LEP immigrants ensures that this segment of San Francisco's population is able to support that economic recovery, and not be left behind.

- One of the factors in addressing community safety issues is ensuring that residents are gainfully employed, and economically secure. CAA's specialized job center supports this goal by providing access to jobs for LEP immigrants.

CONTACT

Annette Wong
CAA Managing Director of Programs
(415) 738-3351, awong@caasf.org

UCSF Health
Redefining possible.

Administration
1800 Owens Street
San Francisco, CA 94158
tel: 415-353-2733
fax: 415-514-3523
www.ucsfhealth.org/possible

June 10, 2025

Sarah Denise Phillips, Executive Director
Office of Economic and Workforce Development
1 Dr Carlton B. Goodlett Place
Room 448
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Letter of Support for Chinese for Affirmative Action's Specialized Job Center

Dear Ms. Phillips,

The University of California, San Francisco (UCSF), is pleased to write a letter of support for the restoration of full funding of $284,000 to Chinese for Affirmative Action's (CAA) Office of Economic and Workforce Development (OEWD) funded Specialized Job Center serving limited-English proficient San Franciscans.

CAA has been working with UCSF for years helping clients who face significant barriers to employment with employment assistance towards successful hiring at the university.

In addition to helping the Chinese limited English proficient (LEP) San Franciscans apply for the positions with a resume as well as supporting documents to support their applications, CAA ensures applicants meet the minimum qualifications. CAA also prepares them for our orientation and interviews as well as supports them through the onboarding process - completing training and providing necessary documentation - to start work on time.

Our partnership for the past few years has strengthened and we have successfully hired clients referred to us by CAA promptly with minimal delays. CAA is very proactive in updating us during the recruitment and onboarding processes in order to address challenges quickly so that employees can start on time.

CAA also consistently provides crucial information about upcoming workshops and events that are relevant to our community and parents.

We appreciate the great working partnership between our organizations and as a result have hired many clients referred to us by CAA to fill roles that support the overall vitality of the university.

It is for these reasons that we write in support of full funding of $284,000 for CAA's OEWD Specialized Job Center, which was reduced by 65% and will continue at the reduced level for 2025-2026, without your support. In this time of political and economic uncertainty, CAA's work is more important than ever in helping Chinese LEP folks access employment.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

DocuSigned by:
Jeffrey Chiu
1B2D96355F9642B

Jeffrey Chiu
Vice President/Assistant Vice Chancellor, Talent Management, UCSF/UCSF Health
Interim Vice President of Human Resources, Benioff Children's Hospital Oakland

STEP Substitute Teacher Empowerment & Placement

415-960-1351
info@ecestep.org www.eceSTEP.org
935 Howard Street, San Francisco, CA 94103

April 29, 2025

RE: Letter of Support for Chinese for Affirmative Action's Specialized Job Center

To whom it may concern,

ECE STEP is pleased to write a letter of support for the restoration of full funding of $284,000 to Chinese for Affirmative Action's (CAA) Office of Economic and Workforce Development (OEWD) funded Specialized Job Center serving limited-English proficient San Franciscans.

CAA has been working with ECE STEP for the past few years helping clients who face significant barriers to employment with employment assistance towards successful hiring at ECE STEP to become an early childhood educator.

In addition to helping the Chinese limited English proficient (LEP) San Franciscans apply for the positions with a resume as well as supporting documents to support their applications, CAA ensures applicants meet the minimum qualifications to be onboarded as soon as possible at ECE STEP, which includes obtaining pre-onboarding required documents.

Our partnership for the past few years has strengthened and we have successfully hired clients referred to us by CAA promptly with minimal delays. CAA is very proactive in updating us during the recruitment and onboarding processes to address challenges quickly so that employees can start on time.

We appreciate the great working partnership between our organizations and as a result have hired many clients referred to us by CAA to fill the high-demand early childhood educator positions.

It is for these reasons that we write in support of full funding of $284,000 for CAA's OEWD Specialized Job Center, which was reduced by 65% and will continue at the reduced level for 2025-2026, without your support. In this time of political and economic uncertainty, CAA's work is more important than ever in helping Chinese LEP folks access employment.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sabrina Dong

Sabrina Dong, Program Director
sabrina.dong@ecestep.org
415-594-7400

From:	Tina Sataraka
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Crayton, Monique (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Philip, Susan (DPH); Goette, Christina (DPH); Tugbenyoh, Mawuli (HRC); Tsai, Daniel (DPH); daniel.tsai@sfgov.org
Subject:	File Number 250624: Harmful Cuts to Pacific Islander and Marginalized Communities
Date:	Friday, June 13, 2025 9:28:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Talofa Lava (Hello) Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and Committee Members,

My name is Tina Sataraka-Faitala and I am an Associate Mental Health Therapist, Program Coordinator with All My Usos, and I am a concerned community member. I urge you to reconsider the proposed budget cuts that harm Pacific Islander and other marginalized communities.

All My Usos (AMU) and Fa'atasi Youth Services are the only Pacific Islander-led organizations in San Francisco with a dedicated focus on chronic disease prevention—one of the most urgent health disparities facing Pacific Islanders. Like other Pacific Islander organizations, they also offer essential services in mental health, food access, and community healing. What sets AMU and Fa'atasi apart is their culturally grounded approach to chronic disease prevention, delivered through free health education, nutrition support, and physical activity programming. Together they contribute to a healthier, more equitable San Francisco.

Cutting $3.5 million from Food Security, Oral Health, and Physical Activity contradicts Mayor Lurie's commitment to health and safety for all San Franciscans. Voters approved the Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax (SDDT) to fund these programs—not to be erased. These cuts eliminate access to essential health resources, especially in underserved communities.

Defunding these services will do more harm than good.
Stand with our communities and STOP THE CUTS!

With love and respect,
Concerned Community Member
"Tautua nei mo se taeao manuia."
"Serve now for a better tomorrow."

From:	Mahogany Mamas
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comments for Maternal Health Hearing on Thurs., June 12
Date:	Friday, June 13, 2025 5:51:14 AM
Attachments:	Public Comments for SF Hearing on Black Maternal Health - Google Docs.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Brent,

This message is addressed to the Committee Clerk for San Francisco Board of Supervisors Hearing on Black Maternal Health that was originally scheduled on Wednesday, June 4th (10:30am), but was rescheduled to Thursday, June 12th (1pm).

I am the founder of Mahogany Mamas, a community for Black mothers to prioritize their wellbeing and wellness needs, connect with each other online and in-person and share resources to help us navigate the joys and challenges that modern motherhood brings. The majority of our members are based in San Francisco and Oakland. Also, I gave birth at UCSF and plan to give birth in SF again.

Although I originally planned to attend the heading on June 4th and was planning to attend once the date was moved, I was not able to pull away from my work and also feeling under the weather. Since I am currently pregnant I was not willing to risk getting others sick. Attached please find the remarks I planned to share at the hearing.

Please accept the attached document and if possible include it in the record of public comments on the topic of Black Maternal Health and what support is needed for this community.

Thank you,

June
June Williams Glenn
Founder, Mahogany Mamas
www.themahoganymamas.com

Public comments tailored specifically for the **June 12, 2025 San Francisco Board of Supervisors Hearing on Black Maternal Health**

Good afternoon, my name is June Williams Glenn. I'm the founder of **Mahogany Mamas**, a community of Black mothers in San Francisco and Oakland, and I also delivered my first child at UCSF. I plan to deliver my second in San Francisco later this year.

The Mahogany Mamas community exists to create a safe, affirming space for modern Black women to prioritize their wellness, share resources, and find joy and ease in the transformative experience of motherhood. We connect, we heal—and we advocate.

We're showing up today because **Black maternal health outcomes in San Francisco are unacceptable.**
And we can't improve what we refuse to measure.

As you review the **Department of Public Health's $3.2 billion budget**, we are asking the Board of Supervisors to demand transparency:
👉 **We need an audit of DPH spending on Black maternal health.**

What is the strategy?
Who is receiving funding?
What outcomes are we measuring—and why are they still declining?

San Francisco has the highest preterm birth rate for Black people in the entire state.
And worse—**suicide is the leading cause of death for mothers with children under one.**

This is not just about data. It's about survival.

Black mothers in this city deserve more than a check-the-box program. We need **systemic postpartum care**, **affordable, high-quality childcare**, and mental health resources that meet our lived reality.

When Black mothers are supported, **we raise healthier babies, families, and communities.**
We deserve to put our oxygen masks on first—without apology.

Thank you.

June Williams Glenn

Founder, Mahogany Mamas

www.themahoganymamas.com

ACLU
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION
Northern California

June 12, 2025

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place

City Hall

San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Public Safety Budget Priorities

Dear Members of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The ACLU of Northern California writes in strong opposition to Mayor Lurie's proposed budget that maintains the allocations to the San Francisco Police Department, San Francisco Sheriff's Department, and the San Francisco District Attorney's Office while making deep cuts to programs and services that provide food, housing, civil legal services, education, and other critical programs that serve working class and poor San Franciscans, immigrants and people of color, including the Dreamkeeper's initiative. **We urge you to reject a budget that balances the deficit on the backs of the most vulnerable San Franciscans and instead make the appropriate reductions to the budgets of the San Francisco Police Department, Sheriff Department, and District Attorney's Office.**

Public safety is best achieved through a balanced approach that invests in communities. We understand that the Mayor and Supervisors have to make difficult decisions to pass a balanced budget. We write to offer these tangible recommendations where you can reduce spending while upholding public safety.

The ACLU of Northern California is an enduring guardian of justice, fairness, equality, and freedom working to protect and advance civil liberties for all Californians, with 11,000 members in San Francisco. The genesis of the ACLU of Northern California was the 1934 San Francisco

General Strike, during which lawyers and organizers were called upon to protect the rights of Bay Area maritime workers against vicious police attacks, and two trade unionists were shot and killed. Since our founding, we have worked continuously to hold the institution of policing and police departments across the state, including SFPD, accountable to the communities they serve and to the rights of people enumerated in the Constitution.

I. The San Francisco Police Department's proposed $850 million budget remains unjustified, wasteful, and ripe for continued overtime abuse

San Francisco has invested $270,000,000 into the police department in the past two years in the form of wage increases, retention bonuses, and departmental budget increases. In April 2023, the Board of Supervisors approved a wage increase and retention bonus of $166,000,000 for police officers over 3 years. By 2025, officers with 25 years of experience will also receive a retention premium of 20% of their base and overtime pay. All of this has contributed to the extreme salary gap between police officers and other city public safety workers

The San Francisco Budget and Legislative analyst's office found that "SFPD sworn staff regularly exceeded established overtime limits each year between FY 2018-19 to FY 2022-23.... and that 12 percent of sworn staff who worked overtime, accounted for 32 percent of SFPD's total overtime hours."[1] The same audit found that SFPD lacked both internal and external accountability for overtime limit violations and that "this poor internal control environment increases the risk of **overtime fraud or abuse, as well as the risk that not all of the overtime used by the Department is needed or justified.**" Despite these findings, the Board of Supervisors recently approved $61 million overtime allocation for the department. The audit was clear that understaffing is not the primary reason for excess overtime. To reduce police overtime, the city must implement strict control measures and require accountability.

Additionally, SFPD has engaged in racist policing practices for decades. SFPD's quarterly and data report in Quarter 2 of 2022 showed that ***Black San Franciscans make up less than 5% of San Francisco's population, but account for 26% of stops and 36% of searches.***[2] The same SFPD report for Quarter 2 of 2023 demonstrates, yet again, indisputable evidence of systemic racial disparities in policing practices:

---

[1] https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/121224_Performance_Audit_of_Police_Dept_Overtime.pdf

[2] Quarterly Activity and Data Report: Quarter 2, 2023 at 17, San Francisco Police Department, https://www.sanfranciscopolice.org/sites/default/files/2023-09/SFPDQADReport_2023Q2_20230920.pdf.

- SFPD officers stopped Black individuals at 6x the rate of white individuals[3]
- SFPD officers searched Black individuals at more than 10x the rate of white individuals
- SFPD officers used force on Black individuals at more than 21x the rate of white individuals.

Despite the implementation of many reforms, SFPD continues to struggle with persistent racial disparities in use of force, excessive overtime expenditures, and multi-million-dollar settlements for police misconduct.

Furthermore, SFPD continues to waste significant time and public resources through increased arrest for low-level drug and theft related offenses. Compared to 2022, there has been a 920% increase in low level drug arrests and 152% increase in low level petty theft arrests[4]. Allocating more of the city's limited resources to prosecuting and locking up residents who desperately need substance use treatment and housing is an ineffective, wasteful, and shortsighted approach to community safety. The major social upheavals around COVID from 2020 through 2022 are behind us now and we must return to a more balanced approach. Additionally, crime continues its 50-year downward trend across most categories of crime in San Francisco and nationwide.

San Francisco should freeze vacant positions and eliminate – or at least reduce – academies for SFPD and end the practice of approving additional funds for overtime given the department's shameful record of overtime abuse and lack of oversight. SFPD has nearly $850 million budget despite extensive overtime abuse, racially disparate enforcement that has exposed the City to further litigation and settlement expenses ***and*** **has hundreds of funded vacancies.** The current arrest and incarcerate first policies are a repeat of the failed War on Drugs and funding should be allocated to programs and services that work.

II. The District Attorney's proposed $96 million budget remains wasteful while the office's charging practices are creating a massive burden on the legal system and forcing the City to spend hundreds of millions of dollars

a. Prosecutions for low-level offenses have skyrocketed under DA Jenkins

---

[3] [1] Quarterly Activity and Data Report: Quarter 2, 2023 at 17, San Francisco Police Department, https://www.sanfranciscopolice.org/sites/default/files/2023-09/SFPDQADReport_2023Q2_20230920.pdf.

[4] https://www.sfchronicle.com/crime/article/sf-public-defender-caseload-20329216.php?utm_source=marketing&utm_medium=copy-url-link&utm_campaign=article-share&hash=aHR0cHM6Ly93d3cuc2ZjaHJvbmljbGUuY29tL2NyaW1lL2FydGljbGUvc2YtcHVibGljLWRlZmVuZGVyLWNhc2Vsb2FkLTIwMzI5MjE2LnBocA%3D%3D&time=MTc0NzQzMTM4NjE5MA%3D%3D&rid=NmJhZmU3MDEtNWVlMC00YjRiLTg3NzgtNjYxYjEwYjE0ZDEw&sharecount=MA%3D%3D

The District Attorney's office under Brooke Jenkins, and the San Francisco Police Department have been ramping up their arrest and prosecute strategy, a repeat of the tried and failed War on Drugs that devastated communities of color, helped created California's mass incarceration crisis that ultimately required intervention by the U.S Supreme Court, and wasted billions of dollars. In 2024, the D.A's office prosecuted more misdemeanors than in any year since 2011[5]. According to the D.A's data dashboard, between 2023 and 2024, misdemeanor drug prosecutions increased by 709%, selected traffic prosecutions were up 300%, trespassing prosecutions increased by 238%, and petty theft prosecutions rose by 156%[6]. As a result, more people who need substance use treatment, mental health care, and housing have instead cycled in and out of the city's overcrowded jail.

b. The D.A's office routinely underutilizes effective diversion programs

Simultaneously, the D.A's office has **decreased the use of effective diversion programs**. In 2024, 60% of misdemeanor cases were diverted (compared to 70% under D.A Boudin), and so far in 2025, that number has decreased to 40% of misdemeanor cases being diverted[7]. As a result of D.A. Jenkins' unwillingness to refer people to restorative justice programs, the D.A.'s office lost $3 million in philanthropic dollars that was meant to support such programs. Restorative justice programs have been found to be effective and reduce re-arrest by double digit numbers across many jurisdictions. San Francisco's Make It Right program for instance, has shown that its participants were 44% less likely to be re-arrested compared to those undergoing traditional felony prosecution[8]. The Neighborhood Courts diversion program has reported that 90 percent of its 24,000 cases between 2011 and 2021 successfully completed without charges from the DA, according to a 2022 DA's office memo[9]. According to the City's Budget & Legislative Analyst, "Clients in diversion and supervision programs had recidivism rates of 1 - 9 percent," translating into a 91% to 99% public safety success rate.[10]

It is worth noting that while DA Jenkins has been told to participate in a diversion program for her own ethical transgressions, her office continues to deny many San Franciscans that same opportunity, unless they plead guilty. However, pleading guilty to participate in a diversion program has many negative consequences including *adverse immigration consequences* for

---

[5] https://www.sfchronicle.com/crime/article/sf-boudin-jenkins-prosecution-20190170.php
[6] https://sfdistrictattorney.org/policy/data-dashboards/#cases-prosecuted
[7] https://www.sfchronicle.com/crime/article/sf-boudin-jenkins-prosecution-20190170.php
[8] https://www.capolicylab.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/01/Can-Restorative-Justice-Conferencing-Reduce-Recidivism-Evidence-from-the-Make-it-Right-Program-1.pdf
[9] https://missionlocal.org/2024/10/s-f-das-office-lost-3m-in-foundation-grant-for-restorative-justice-program/
[10] https://files.constantcontact.com/444163c1801/f5f1851f-b976-4a5d-a2e8-9a543856cd79.pdf

people who are not citizens, at a time of heightened attacks and militarized raids on our immigrant communities.

c. The D.A's discretionary charging practices are jamming up the courts, overcrowding jails, and saddling the city's public safety system with millions of dollars in additional expenses

The combination of increased arrests, increased prosecutions and significantly decreased use of various existing diversion programs has created a crisis in the workload of the courts, the Public Defender's office, and jail overcrowding. During a presentation to the Budget and Appropriations on May 7th, 2025, D.A. Jenkins claimed that a reduction of $5.4 million in her budget (5.6% from the proposed budget of $96 million) would lead to a reduction of 25 prosecutors. At the same hearing, D.A. Jenkins stated that "we are not a city bogged down in violent crimes, we are a city bogged down in low level crimes"[11]. However, the D.A's practice of charging as many misdemeanors as the office possibly can, severe underutilization of the various effective diversion programs, and unreasonable plea offers that are making more people take their cases to trial is jamming up the courts, creating excessive workloads for everyone in the public safety system, overcrowding the jails, and costing the City hundreds of millions of dollars across the entire criminal legal system. **The D.A's budget should be cut significantly (up to 15% or $14 million) both because of the massive deficit the city faces, and to push prosecutors to make deliberate and appropriate charging decisions that do not drain the City's budget. There must be funding parity between the District Attorney's Office and the Public Defender's Office.**

The San Francisco Public Defender's office represents about 75-80% of the people the DA prosecutes. The office also defends San Francisco's immigrant community from deportations by providing legal services. Unlike other departments, the Public Defender's Office fulfills a constitutional mandate to provide legal representation to people who cannot afford it to ensure due process and the constitutional right to have effective assistance of counsel when facing criminal charges. However, despite this important mandate, the Public Defender's budget is approximately 60% of the District Attorney's budget, less than 17% of the Sheriff's budget, and less than 7% of the police department's budget. The ACLU of Northern California has long advocated for parity in funding between the Public Defender's office and the District Attorney's office. **In other words, the Public Defender's budget should be about 75% of the District Attorney's budget since they represent about 75% of the people the D.A prosecutes. If the D.A's budget for FY 25/26 is about $96 million, the Public Defender's budget should be**

---

[11] https://sanfrancisco.granicus.com/player/clip/49773?view_id=10&meta_id=1153005&redirect=true

about $72 million, an increase of $14 million from their current proposed budget of $58 million.

It is worth noting that the Public Defender's Office represents some of the most vulnerable and marginalized in our communities. Their clients are largely Black (43%) or people of color (76%), often unhoused (40% of the jail population), and/or struggling with addressing substance use disorder and/or mental health conditions (over 75% of people in jail have serious mental illness and/or a history of substance use). Ensuring parity in funding for the Public Defender's Office is a critical component of finding durable and permanent solutions to the problems substance use disorder, mental illness, houselessness and poverty.

III. The Sheriff Department's proposed $345 million budget remains wasteful

The average daily population in the San Francisco jail has reached pre-pandemic levels, reaching nearly 1,300 people in January 2025. With over 75% of the people in jail suffering from serious mental illness and/or a history of substance abuse, the jail has effectively become the largest provider of care for people struggling with substance use disorder or mental illness. Research shows that jails are not only inappropriate settings to provide treatment for mental illness and substance use disorder, but they also actually make people's health conditions worse and put people in a higher risk of overdosing. Community based treatment options are both the best places for people to receive the appropriate treatment they need and are generally more cost effective than incarceration. The Board should look to reallocate funds from the Sheriff's budget towards community-based treatment alternatives to address the public health crises of mental illness and substance use disorder in San Francisco. Meanwhile, the investment in pre-trial services is a valuable investment that provides accountability and for people to arrive to their court dates, connects people to services that reduce recidivism, and reduce the pressure on the jail population pre-trial.

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not hesitate to contact us with any further questions at yhaile@aclunc.org.

Yours sincerely,

Abdi Soltani	Yoel Haile	Tanisha Humphrey
Executive Director	Director, Criminal Law and Immigration Project	Organizing Manager

From: Marina Riddle
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 10:44:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Marina Riddle
mcriddle01@gmail.com

3055 Scott street
San Francisco, California 94123

From: Joyce Calagos
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 9:09:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a member of the California Chapter of the Laudato Si Movement, who lives Pope Francis' plea to everyone to "Hear the Cry of the Earth and the Cry of the Poor," I plead with you to also live Pope Francis' plea. please take measures to protect our earth, for our benefit and for the benefit of future generations.

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Joyce Calagos
joycecalagos1@gmail.com
1636 Geneva Ave.
San Francisco, California 94134

From: Todd Snyder
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 7:46:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Todd Snyder
novicedetective@gmail.com

1941 Turk st
San Francisco, California 94115

From: Melissa MacDonald
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 6:24:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Melissa MacDonald
yogatoad@gmail.com

400 China Basin St
San Francisco, California 94158

From: Mahin Charles
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 5:51:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Mahin Charles
ferdousi68.mh@gmail.com

577 Dolores street
San Feancisco, California 94110

From: Rachel Jepsen
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Preserve San Francisco's Climate Leadership. Your Budget Did Not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 3:46:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Mr. Lurie,

I am writing to express concern over the proposed budget cuts to the San Francisco Environment Department (SFE), and to urge a reassessment of how the City aligns its climate goals with its investment strategy.

The proposed cuts illustrate a structural misalignment that SFE has faced for years. While buildings and transportation are responsible for 89% of San Francisco's greenhouse gas emissions, only 16% of SFE's budget is allowed to address those sectors. The new budget would reduce that even further, making it more difficult for the department to effectively support the City's climate priorities.

Despite this constraint, SFE has delivered exceptional value. Since 2022, the department has brought in $84 million in outside grants for climate action—a success rate six times the national average. In addition, they've helped other City departments secure more than $10 million and supported community organizations in applying for over $27 million in grant funding.

SFE also provides essential, often behind-the-scenes support, offering climate expertise to other departments and community-based organizations. This work may not always be visible, but it is foundational to the City's broader environmental efforts.

If San Francisco is serious about meeting its climate goals, we need a long-term solution that aligns budget priorities with the sectors responsible for the majority of our emissions. That means investing in the departments best positioned to drive results—SFE foremost among them.

Thank you for your attention and ongoing service to the City.

Sincerely,
Rachel Jepsen

Rachel Jepsen
racheldeanjepsen@gmail.com
1539 Clay St, Apt 3
San Francisco, California 94109

From: Atessa Anoshiravani
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 3:35:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a college student and lifelong San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Atessa Anoshiravani

atessa.anoshiravani@gmail.com
566 Rhode Island Street
San Francisco, California 94107

From: Stewart Wilber
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 1:34:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Stewart Wilber
s.wilber@mindspring.com

1923A 15th St
San Francisco, California 94114

From: Kathy Keller
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: SF climate crisis vs Mayor"s budget
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 12:12:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Kathy Keller
kathy.keller44@gmail.com

180 Laidley
SF, California 94131

From: Helen Liu
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 12:11:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Helen Liu
liuhelen10@gmail.com

765 Arguello Blvd
San Francisco, California 94118

From: stephanie ellis
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 11:57:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident (94114), I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

stephanie ellis
stephanie_ellis@me.com

870 church st #1
San Francisco, California 94114

From: Jose Cruz
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: TIS Disbanding
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 11:42:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I recently became a certified TIS trainer.

It is horrid to hear that SFDPH is not putting in the effort to be able to continue to do the amazing work that is spreading the information and experiences that is Trauma.
We may not have a great budget this year, but I assure you, as a mental health rehabilitation specialist that has worked with youth in SF for the past 8 years, is that this education is important for the work we do in mental health and other professions.
Funds should be taken out from the SFPD in order for us to continue doing this fantastic work.

At the end of the day we get to build the society we want to live in. If it is the direction of this city's governance that we want to cut vital educational and training services, than I fear we are going to slowly destroy the amazing culture and supportive environments that a lot of youth and families seek. I know that our community organizations are at the forefront of mental health and it would be a disadvantage to us and our communities to destroy the DPH commitment and support.

Thanks for your time.

Jose A Cruz
Pronouns: He/Him/His
PHP/IOP, Milieu Manager
Edgewood Center for Children and Families
1801 Vicente Street, San Francisco, CA 94116
Mobile: (415) 410-3094 josec@edgewood.org
Visit our website: edgewood.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic mail transmission may contain privileged and/or confidential information only for use by the intended recipients. Any usage, distribution, copying or disclosure by any other person, other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited and may be subject to civil action and/or criminal penalties. If you received this e-mail transmission in error, please notify the sender by telephone and delete the transmission.

From: Sophie Constantinou
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 11:40:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sophie Constantinou
sophie@citizenfilm.org

22 Richland Ave
San Francisco, California 94110

From: Barbara Jue
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 11:35:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis. The negative impacts of climate change are accelerating and are compounding such that our communities will have little chance to recover if we don't commit to bold action now.

Thank you for your consideration.

Barbara Jue
sfbar48@gmail.com
81 Lansing St, Apt 411
San Francisco, California 94105

From: Karen Kirschling
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 11:16:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Karen Kirschling
kumasong@icloud.com

633 Oak
SF, California 94117

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Urgent Request: Increase Funding for the San Francisco Public Defender's Office
Date:	Tuesday, June 17, 2025 11:03:25 AM
Attachments:	Outlook-signature_.png image001.png

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the below communication regarding the City's Budget.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org| www.sfbos.org

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of*

Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Vu, Jonathan <jonathanvu@uclawsf.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 9:21 AM
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR) <daniel.lurie@sfgov.org>; Slaughter, Staci (MYR) <staci.slaughter@sfgov.org>; Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <sophia.kittler@sfgov.org>; Betz, Steven (MYR) <Steven.Betz.MYR@sfgov.org>
Cc: BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>; BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Sherrill, Stephen (BOS) <Stephen.Sherrill@sfgov.org>; Sauter, Danny (BOS) <Danny.Sauter@sfgov.org>; Engardio, Joel (BOS) <joel.engardio@sfgov.org>; Mahmood, Bilal (BOS) <bilal.mahmood@sfgov.org>; Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Fielder, Jackie (BOS) <Jackie.Fielder@sfgov.org>; Chen, Chyanne (BOS) <Chyanne.Chen@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>
Subject: Urgent Request: Increase Funding for the San Francisco Public Defender's Office

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As a San Francisco resident, student, and worker, I am reaching out to urge you to increase funding for the San Francisco Public Defender's Office in this year's budget. The Public Defender's Office is essential to ensuring justice and due process for some of the city's most vulnerable residents—primarily Black, brown, immigrant, and low-income individuals who are disproportionately impacted by the criminal legal system. With significantly higher caseloads and no access to overtime unlike other public safety departments, public defenders are being stretched beyond their limits, leaving people to wait for trials in overcrowded and inhumane jail conditions.

The Public Defender's Office is not only a constitutional mandate—it is a moral imperative. Their work supports families, protects immigrant communities from deportation, and provides legal defense to individuals with serious mental health and substance use challenges. Despite the scope and significance of this work, the office remains severely underfunded compared to other justice agencies. In a time of increasing arrests and prosecutions, we must ensure this office has the staffing and resources necessary to meet the moment. I respectfully ask that you prioritize equitable

funding for the Public Defender's Office to support true justice and safety in San Francisco.

Best regards,

Jonathan Vu (He/Him)

J.D. Candidate, Class of 2027

UC Law, San Francisco (Hastings)

jonathanvu@uclawsf.edu

From: Andrew Chou-Belden
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 10:49:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Andrew Chou-Belden
andrewchoubelden@gmail.com

519 Vermont Street
San Francisco, California 94107

From: Martin Horwitz
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 10:44:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Of course there are other

Thank you for your consideration.

Martin Horwitz

martin7ahorwitz@yahoo.com
1326 23rd Avenue
San Francisco, California 94122

From: NANCY HABER
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 10:37:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

NANCY HABER
NancyHaber38@gmail.com

73 HAZELWOOD AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94112

From: Evangeline Lourdes Norrell
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS)
Subject: Public Comments for Dept of Public Health Budget and Appropriation Ordinance
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 10:03:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair Chan, Supervisor Walton, and Committee Clerk Jalipa,

Although I could not attend to provide these public comments in person, I am submitting them here via email:

My name is Evangeline (Eva) Norrell. I am a San Francisco native, recent UC Berkeley Public Health & Psychology graduate, and social welfare researcher UC Berkeley.

I understand that centering Black women right now might feel like a bold move, but I want to highlight the real and urgent risks Black mothers face when doing something as essential as giving birth. In San Francisco, Black women are 2.3 times more likely than white women to have premature births, 2.4 times more likely to have babies born underweight, and 5.7 times more likely to experience stillbirths.

This means San Francisco isn't just producing some of the worst birth outcomes for Black women in California, it's doing so alongside some of the best outcomes for white women.

These stark disparities aren't the result of individual behaviors. Research consistently shows they are driven by differences in exposure to racism. With fewer than 300 Black births in San Francisco each year, these numbers are both deeply troubling and profoundly heartbreaking. Black mothers in this city deserve far better.

I request an audit of the Dept of Public Health's planning, spending, staffing, and contracting practices. The public deserves clarity on what's going wrong with the city's work to protect Black women.

Sincerely,
Evangeline Norrell

--
Evangeline (Eva) Norrell (*she/they*)
Research Assistant | California Abundant Birth Project Evaluation Study
Sexual Health and Reproductive Equity (SHARE) Program
School of Social Welfare | University of California Berkeley
evangelinenorrell@berkeley.edu

From: David Kaskowitz
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 9:59:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

David Kaskowitz
dkasko@gmail.com

306 PARK ST
San Francisco, California 94110

From: Barbara Handler
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: The mayor"s budget shows no acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 9:32:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

However, the climate crisis is real and will continue to worsen if we de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Barbara Handler
barbara.barbhand@gmail.com
177 Coleridge Street

San Francisco, California 94110

From: Connor Kelley
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 8:48:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Connor Kelley
ckelley876@gmail.com

415 Mississippi St
San Francisco, California 94107

From: Richard Girling
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 7:15:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Richard Girling
rzgirling@gmail.com

182 Banks Street
San Francisco, California 94110

From: Kathryn Hyde
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Climate crisis: The Mayor"s budget
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 6:59:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a former employee in the field of Environmental Sustainability in SF, and a long time San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces a severe budget process with difficult choices for our city leaders.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Kathryn Hyde

rekathryn@gmail.com
130 Frederick Street
San Francisco, California 94117

From: Caroline Ayres
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please fund SF's efforts to fight the climate crisis.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 6:39:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

We are already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, and the impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate action.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Caroline Ayres
carolineayres6@gmail.com
205 28th St, Apt 2
San Francisco, California 94131-2301

From: Zack Deutsch-Gross
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 6:07:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Zack Deutsch-Gross
zackdeutschgross@gmail.com

549 grafton ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: Sharon F Piansay
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 6:03:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sharon F Piansay
sfpiansay@gmail.com

351 Ney St
San Francisco, California 94112

From: Martha Gorak
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 5:15:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Martha Gorak
martha2503@gmail.com

22502 Downdale Cir.
Katy, Texas 77450

From: Aaron Goodman
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 1:21:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Aaron Goodman
amgodman@yahoo.com

50 Aptos Ave
San Francisco, Bayern 94127

From: Peter Belden
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Monday, June 16, 2025 11:28:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Peter Belden
pbelden@gmail.com

519 VERMONT ST
San Francisco, California 94107

From: Jesse turner
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Monday, June 16, 2025 11:27:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Jesse turner
jessehturner@gmail.com

123 Lyon st
San Francisco , California 94117

From: Brandon Kayes
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Monday, June 16, 2025 11:25:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Brandon Kayes
brandonkayes@gmail.com

1342 McAllister St
San Francisco, California 94115

From: Brian Luenow
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: The Mayor"s budget is a huge mistake and against climate goals!
Date: Monday, June 16, 2025 11:16:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Brian Luenow
bluenow85@gmail.com

2134 35th ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: Michael Olexo
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Monday, June 16, 2025 10:31:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Michael Olexo
molexo1@gmail.com

2854A 22nd Street
San Francisco, California 94110

From: Janet Fortuna
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Monday, June 16, 2025 10:19:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Janet Fortuna
janetfortuna319@gmail.com

564 Anderson St
San Francisco, California 94110

From: K. Stryker
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Monday, June 16, 2025 10:15:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

K. Stryker
kstrykerdesign@gmail.com

202 Fair Oaks Street
San Francisco, California 94110

From: Susan Green
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Monday, June 16, 2025 10:11:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Susan Green
green.susan.s@gmail.com

920 Diamond Street
San Francisco, California 94114

From: Betty Kissilove
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Monday, June 16, 2025 10:01:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you're serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Betty Kissilove
cacaogal@gmail.com

1401 10th Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: Sarah Boudreau
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Monday, June 16, 2025 9:59:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sarah Boudreau
boudreau.sarah.m@gmail.com

455 25th Ave, Apt 2
San Francisco, California 94121-1984

From: Corey Monteith
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Monday, June 16, 2025 9:42:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Corey Monteith
corey.monteith@gmail.com

71 Margaret Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: Nishanga Bliss
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Monday, June 16, 2025 9:41:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a Bay Area resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Nishanga Bliss
nishangabliss@gmail.com

1210 Carleton St.`
Berkeley, California 94702

From:	David Harrison
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Cc:	DorseyStaff (BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Thongsavat, Adam (MYR)
Subject:	Coalition Letter Supporting Mayor"s Public Safety Budget Investments
Date:	Monday, June 16, 2025 4:30:18 PM
Attachments:	Outlook-A black an.png 2025.06.16_Public_Safety_Budget_Letter.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

Please find the attached coalition letter supporting the proposed investments in Mayor Lurie's budget for public safety services. Thank you!

All the best,

David Harrison *(He/Him)*
Director of Public Policy
(O) 415-352-8803 (C) 202-262-5860
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
235 Montgomery Street, Suite 760
San Francisco, CA 94104

From:	Jessica Ponce
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Crayton, Monique (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Philip, Susan (DPH); Goette, Christina (DPH); Tugbenyoh, Mawuli (HRC); info@allmyusos.org
Subject:	File Number 250624: Harmful Cuts to Pacific Islander and Marginalized Communities
Date:	Friday, June 13, 2025 4:49:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Talofa Lava (Hello) Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and Committee Members,

My name is Jessica Ponce, I am a concerned community member and resident of District 10. I urge you to reconsider the proposed budget cuts that harm Pacific Islander and other marginalized communities.

All My Usos (AMU) and Fa'atasi Youth Services are the only Pacific Islander-led organizations in San Francisco with a dedicated focus on chronic disease prevention—one of the most urgent health disparities facing Pacific Islanders. Like other Pacific Islander organizations, they also offer essential services in mental health, food access, and community healing. What sets AMU and Fa'atasi apart is their culturally grounded approach to chronic disease prevention, delivered through free health education, nutrition support, and physical activity programming. Together they contribute to a healthier, more equitable San Francisco.

Cutting $3.5 million from Food Security, Oral Health, and Physical Activity contradicts Mayor Lurie's commitment to health and safety for all San Franciscans. Voters approved the Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax (SDDT) to fund these programs—not to be erased. These cuts eliminate access to essential health resources, especially in underserved communities.

Defunding these services will do more harm than good. Stand with our communities and STOP THE CUTS!

With love and respect,
Concerned Community Member
"Tautua nei mo se taeao manuia."
"Serve now for a better tomorrow."

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Maintain the Department on the Status of Women as an Independent and Fully Funded Agency
Date:	Monday, June 16, 2025 4:03:56 PM
Attachments:	Letter Re DOSW to Budget & Appropriations 061025.pdf

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the below communication from Julie Soo regarding the budget for the Department on the Status of Women.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org| www.sfbos.org

From: Soo, Julie (SDA) <Julie.Soo@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 12:49 AM
To: Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>; Engardio, Joel (BOS) <joel.engardio@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff (BOS) <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>
Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Julie Soo <jdssfdem@yahoo.com>
Subject: Maintain the Department on the Status of Women as an Independent and Fully Funded Agency

Dear Board of Supervisors Budget & Appropriations Committee --
Chair Chan, President Mandelman, and Supervisors Dorsey, Engardio, and Walton:

Please see the attached letter.

For your ease of reference the text is included below:

June 10, 2025

SUBJECT: Maintain the Department on the Status of Women as an Independent and Fully Funded Agency

VIA E-MAIL

Board of Supervisors Budget & Appropriations Committee –
Chair Chan, President Mandelman, and Supervisors Dorsey, Engardio, and Walton:

I write as a former Commissioner on the Status of Women (2009 – 2021) and a former Co-Chair of the San Francisco Collaboration Against Human Trafficking (2013 – 2018). Since December 2021, I have been seated to the Sheriff's Department Oversight Board, now serving a second term as its president.

I urge you to maintain the Department on the Status of Women (DOSW) as an independent and fully funded agency. The violence prevention work that has been one of the traditional hallmarks of DOSW's work has saved lives and financial resources. Domestic violence calls are some of the most dangerous calls for our first responders.

For more than 50 years, women of San Francisco have fought to have an agency that addresses the specific needs of women and their children. In 1975, the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women (COSW) was formed to "monitor complaints about unlawful and unequal treatment of women, to investigate inequalities, and propose remedies." In 1980, Mayor Dianne Feinstein and the Board of Supervisors created a Domestic Violence Program Fund to be administered by the Commission. This funding has continued to be allocated to San Francisco community-based non-profit organizations to support survivors of domestic violence and their families and include La Casa de las Madres, California's first domestic violence shelter, and the Asian Women's shelter, the nation's first shelter for Asian and Pacific Islander survivors. These agencies continue to provide trauma-informed and culturally and linguistically appropriate services.

At the end of the Feinstein Administration, COSW was consolidated with the Human Rights Commission. However, women leaders saw that independence for COSW was necessary to address issues and services specific to women and worked with Supervisor Roberta Achtenburg and Mayor Art Agnos to achieve that end. Moreover, in 1994, San Francisco voters approved Proposition E, a charter amendment that established a permanent Department on the Status of Women (DOSW) to implement work initiated by COSW and ongoing issues identified and prioritized by COSW as it migrated to a policy and oversight body.

Pursuant to San Francisco Charter section 4.119, COSW's mandate is to "develop and recommend policies and practices for the City and County to reduce the particular impacts on women and girls of problems such as domestic violence, sexual harassment, employment and health care inequity, and homelessness, as well as advocate on behalf of women and girls in such areas."

During my tenure on COSW, the Justice & Courage Oversight Panel led by DOSW, continued to meet to implement some one hundred recommendations for policy reforms to San Francisco's poor response to domestic violence and disbanded after a

successful decade. COSW pushed for a full investigation after the 2000 Claire Joyce Tempongko murder at the hands of her ex-boyfriend and its review and recommendations were detailed in *Justice & Courage Report: A Blueprint for San Francisco's Response to Domestic Violence* (2002). Her murder followed several other domestic violence-related homicides that same year. Though Claire Joyce had a restraining order in place and did everything right to protect herself and her two young children, her life was taken in front of her children.

DOSW formed a public-private partnership and created a Domestic Violence Response Cross-Training Institute that brought together 911 dispatch, police, probation officers, and other responders. From 2010 to 2014, San Francisco saw zero domestic violence homicides. Previously, San Francisco saw between 12 to 16 domestic violence homicides annually.

DOSW and the San Francisco Domestic Violence Consortium (DV Consortium) worked to have a special code for domestic calls to 911 dispatch. This provided a measure of extra safety for first responders – whether police officers, sheriff's deputies, or emergency services – to alert them to an especially dangerous call. The special code also allowed for data collection and detailed the unmet need for hotlines, shelters, and other supportive services. COSW and DOSW have put forth prevention education, including programs at SFUSD and family support organizations.

In 2010, COSW and DOSW undertook the first Family Violence Council Report, underscoring that success comes with a targeted, multi-pronged approach with stakeholders and responsible agencies at the table. The 28 members of the Council include the COSW president or designee and representatives from the City's agencies and partner agencies, along with law enforcement, probation, and the courts. The report also included work to prevent child abuse and elder abuse. At the time, partner agencies identified that San Francisco was not in compliance with California law in its child abuse prevention programs and response; this was quickly remedied. Until the 2020 departure of Dr. Emily Murase as DOSW's executive director, data collection soon became more routine and available to secure grants to expand issues addressed by COSW and DOSW.

Also in 2010, Mayor Gavin Newsom, District Attorney Kamala Harris, and Board of Supervisors President David Chiu joined COSW and DOSW to launch the San Francisco Collaborative Against Human Trafficking (SFCAHT). Human trafficking has been a growing problem, particularly in major city hubs and with large events. DOSW worked to train Super Bowl 50 volunteers to identify signs of human trafficking with the agreement of Daniel Lurie as chair of the Super Bowl 50 Host Committee. Since then, DOSW has trained scores of personnel in the hospitality industry and DOSW's model has been replicated at other host cities. The City heeded COSW's and DOSW's call for increased funding needed to properly respond to domestic violence, sexual assault, and human trafficking. Indeed, funding grew from $1 million in 2004 to $8 million with an additional $9 million state grant for anti-human trafficking programs by the end of Dr. Murase's tenure in 2020.

The turmoil surrounding DOSW post-2020 should not determine the outcome of

DOSW as an independent agency with the necessary funding. COSW and DOSW must return to the charter mandate of a working to eliminate gender-based violence under proper leadership. Only then, will our City see some semblance of gender equity.

Your support of DOSW and COSW will save lives and dollars. Vulnerable women and their children depend on DOSW's and COSW's years of effective advocacy with longtime trusted partner organizations.

Very truly yours,
/s/

cc: Angela Calvillo, Clerk, Board of Supervisors – Angela.Calvillo@sfgov.org

All best,
JULIE
蘇榮麗
(415) 260-5886 (cell)

Julie D. Soo, Esq.
Member, San Francisco Sheriff's Oversight Board (2021 - present) / President (2023 - present)
Former Co-Chair, California Democratic Party Platform Committee (2005 - 2023)
Former Commissioner, San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women (2009 - 2021)

JULIE D. SOO
(415) 260-5886 (cell)
Julie.Soo@sfgov.org

June 10, 2025

SUBJECT: Maintain the Department on the Status of Women as an Independent and Fully Funded Agency

VIA E-MAIL

Board of Supervisors Budget & Appropriations Committee –
Chair Chan, President Mandelman, and Supervisors Dorsey, Engardio, and Walton:

I write as a former Commissioner on the Status of Women (2009 – 2021) and a former Co-Chair of the San Francisco Collaboration Against Human Trafficking (2013 – 2018). Since December 2021, I have been seated to the Sheriff's Department Oversight Board, now serving a second term as its president.

I urge you to maintain the Department on the Status of Women (DOSW) as an independent and fully funded agency. The violence prevention work that has been one of the traditional hallmarks of DOSW's work has saved lives and financial resources. Domestic violence calls are some of the most dangerous calls for our first responders.

For more than 50 years, women of San Francisco have fought to have an agency that addresses the specific needs of women and their children. In 1975, the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women (COSW) was formed to "monitor complaints about unlawful and unequal treatment of women, to investigate inequalities, and propose remedies." In 1980, Mayor Dianne Feinstein and the Board of Supervisors created a Domestic Violence Program Fund to be administered by the Commission. This funding has continued to be allocated to San Francisco community-based non-profit organizations to support survivors of domestic violence and their families and include La Casa de las Madres, California's first domestic violence shelter, and the Asian Women's shelter, the nation's first shelter for Asian and Pacific Islander survivors. These agencies continue to provide trauma-informed and culturally and linguistically appropriate services.

At the end of the Feinstein Administration, COSW was consolidated with the Human Rights Commission. However, women leaders saw that independence for COSW was necessary to address issues and services specific to women and worked with Supervisor Roberta Achtenburg and Mayor Art Agnos to achieve that end. Moreover, in 1994, San Francisco voters approved Proposition E, a charter amendment that established a permanent Department on the Status of Women (DOSW) to implement work initiated by COSW and ongoing issues identified and prioritized by COSW as it migrated to a policy and oversight body.

Pursuant to San Francisco Charter section 4.119, COSW's mandate is to "develop and recommend policies and practices for the City and County to reduce the particular impacts on women and girls of problems such as domestic violence, sexual harassment, employment and health care inequity, and homelessness, as well as advocate on behalf of women and girls in such areas."

During my tenure on COSW, the Justice & Courage Oversight Panel led by DOSW, continued to meet to implement some one hundred recommendations for policy reforms to San Francisco's poor response to domestic violence and disbanded after a successful decade. COSW pushed for a full investigation after the 2000 Claire Joyce Tempongko murder at the hands of her ex-boyfriend and its review and recommendations were detailed in *Justice & Courage Report: A Blueprint for San Francisco's Response to Domestic Violence* (2002). Her murder followed several other domestic violence-related homicides that same year. Though Claire Joyce had a restraining order in place and did everything right to protect herself and her two young children, her life was taken in front of her children.

DOSW formed a public-private partnership and created a Domestic Violence Response Cross-Training Institute that brought together 911 dispatch, police, probation officers, and other responders. From 2010 to 2014, San Francisco saw zero domestic violence homicides. Previously, San Francisco saw between 12 to 16 domestic violence homicides annually.

DOSW and the San Francisco Domestic Violence Consortium (DV Consortium) worked to have a special code for domestic calls to 911 dispatch. This provided a measure of extra safety for first responders – whether police officers, sheriff's deputies, or emergency services – to alert them to an especially dangerous call. The special code also allowed for data collection and detailed the unmet need for hotlines, shelters, and other supportive services. COSW and DOSW have put forth prevention education, including programs at SFUSD and family support organizations.

In 2010, COSW and DOSW undertook the first Family Violence Council Report, underscoring that success comes with a targeted, multi-pronged approach with stakeholders and responsible agencies at the table. The 28 members of the Council include the COSW president or designee and representatives from the City's agencies and partner agencies, along with law enforcement, probation, and the courts. The report also included work to prevent child abuse and elder abuse. At the time, partner agencies identified that San Francisco was not in compliance with California law in its child abuse prevention programs and response; this was quickly remedied. Until the 2020 departure of Dr. Emily Murase as DOSW's executive director, data collection soon became more routine and available to secure grants to expand issues addressed by COSW and DOSW.

Also in 2010, Mayor Gavin Newsom, District Attorney Kamala Harris, and Board of Supervisors President David Chiu joined COSW and DOSW to launch the San Francisco Collaborative Against Human Trafficking (SFCAHT). Human trafficking has

been a growing problem, particularly in major city hubs and with large events. DOSW worked to train Super Bowl 50 volunteers to identify signs of human trafficking with the agreement of Daniel Lurie as chair of the Super Bowl 50 Host Committee. Since then, DOSW has trained scores of personnel in the hospitality industry and DOSW's model has been replicated at other host cities. The City heeded COSW's and DOSW's call for increased funding needed to properly respond to domestic violence, sexual assault, and human trafficking. Indeed, funding grew from $1 million in 2004 to $8 million with an additional $9 million state grant for anti-human trafficking programs by the end of Dr. Murase's tenure in 2020.

The turmoil surrounding DOSW post-2020 should not determine the outcome of DOSW as an independent agency with the necessary funding. COSW and DOSW must return to the charter mandate of a working to eliminate gender-based violence under proper leadership. Only then, will our City see some semblance of gender equity.

Your support of DOSW and COSW will save lives and dollars. Vulnerable women and their children depend on DOSW's and COSW's years of effective advocacy with longtime trusted partner organizations.

Very truly yours,



cc: Angela Calvillo, Clerk, Board of Supervisors – Angela.Calvillo@sfgov.org

From:	Jenna Gaarde
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comments for Dept of Public Health Budget and Appropriation Ordinance
Date:	Monday, June 16, 2025 11:10:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair Chan, Supervisor Walton, and Committee Clerk Jalipa,

I was unable to provide public comment at the Thursday, June 12th Budget and Appropriations Committee meeting so I am submitting them via email here.

My name is Jenna Gaarde and I am the Senior Program Manager of the CA Abundant Birth Project. I am also a former Senior Program Planner with SFDPH Maternal, Child & Adolescent Health and have several years of experience working in the birth equity space in San Francisco and beyond.

I am frankly confused, disappointed, and shocked at the state of Black maternal health in San Francisco today. We see the disparities in birth outcomes among Black mothers and birthing people continue to persist in SF, while I have witnessed a lack of fiscal responsiveness or proper allocation of resources to this issue. Specifically,
I personally navigated a two year delay in the implementation of the Abundant Birth Project being re-invested in San Francisco, despite the former Mayor's public commitment to the program in 2022. This delay resulted in San Francisco not being included in the statewide expansion of the program. Black women in San Francisco deserve better, especially due to the fact that the poor birth outcomes among Black people are due to racism alone.

I request the following:

- An audit of the Dept of Public Health's planning, spending, staffing, and contracting practices;
- A transparent share-out of the findings from the audit; and
- A plan to reach equitable birth outcomes in the next 5 years with community engagement and feedback.

It is not too late to right these wrongs that have been committed against the Black community in San Francisco. The next generation depends on it.

Sincerely,
Jenna Gaarde



Want to connect? Sign up for a meeting with me here.

From:	Michael Halby
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); SauterStaff; Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChenStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); SherrillStaff
Cc:	Burch, Percy (BOS); Lopez-Weaver, Lindsey (BOS)
Subject:	For All BOS Members re Budget and Appropriations June 18, 2025 Agenda Item #10, #250518
Date:	Monday, June 16, 2025 10:51:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

For consideration on the above-referenced File (#250518), I would like to share again the following statement from the Market Zone Working Group, which was shared with the group before last week's hearing. In addition to our statement below, we also wish to clarify comments made during the June 12th Budget and Appropriations hearing on this item.

- While the Market Zone Working Group has met with HSH staff for over a year and more recently also with the Mayor's staff to discuss plans for The Commons, we were not informed that the build-out was to be a phased-in process with shelter beds added over time until we read about plans to add the shelter on social media and asked city staff about it. We question whether the 82-bed shelter, the second phase of the build-out, will be the final phase, or if, as President Mandelman asked, there will be more phases and shelter beds added later. This has not been raised with the Market Zone Working Group, and we would not support that expansion, at least not without proactive and meaningful dialogue with, and input from, our community members.

- A statement was made that community members do not want the unhoused living in RVs to move out of their vehicles. To be clear, Market Zone Working Group members requested dedicated parking spots for RVs on-site in order to move people living in vehicles off the street. However, we understand from HSH staff that people living in vehicles are not likely to give up their RV for a dorm-style shelter (which also does not accommodate families), and therefore we have concerns that removing the parking spaces for RVs, and adding a dorm-style shelter is not likely to help many unhoused people living in the Market Zone.

- We appreciate the comment made during the June 12th hearing that improving community conditions around these facilities must be part of the process. In meetings with HSH and Mayoral staff to discuss The Commons, we also discuss ways to improve community conditions around the site. We wish to point out that upgrades to public streetlights in the Market Zone were a result of the Market Zone Working Group's efforts over several years, long before we learned about The Commons, but we do appreciate the help of the Mayor's staff to finally get that over the finish line in May. Regarding street safety and traffic calming improvements, we have also been asking for those

from the SFMTA for several years, and we discuss it during The Commons meetings with city staff, and while some curbs at intersections have been daylighted, few improvements have been made.

The Market Zone Working Group is a coalition of property owners, businesses, and non-profits in the Market Zone area of the Bayview Hunters Point community. The Market Zone is one of San Francisco's remaining PDR districts; our production, distribution and repair businesses and organizations employ thousands of workers who come to their jobs 24/7. Since its inception in 2021 in the midst of the pandemic, our Working Group has partnered with the City to improve street and sidewalk conditions in this historically underserved part of District 10.

When we learned The Commons was coming to the Market Zone last year without our prior knowledge, we nevertheless saw it — alongside existing Navigation Centers we were proud to support at Bayshore/Jerrold and Evans Avenue (each less than one half-mile from The Commons) — as an opportunity to again assist our unhoused neighbors while improving neighborhood conditions. Over the past year, we collaborated with City staff in monthly meetings to help shape The Commons into a state-of-the-art facility. We jointly envisioned a hybrid model of 60 small cabins and 20 parking spaces to serve people living in vehicles, especially RVs, which currently limit vital parking access for local businesses and workers.

We were therefore surprised and disappointed to learn by chance via a social media post by Mission Local the evening of March 3, four days before our next meeting with city staff on March 7 and just four weeks before the facility was set to open on April 1, that major changes had been made that would significantly expand the site with a sleeping shelter while removing dedicated RV parking spaces without any community notice or input. At our subsequent in-person meeting on the 7th, during our tour of The Commons on March 28, as well as in ongoing monthly meetings with its operators, city staff, and the Mayor's Office, we were assured we would be partners in planning the future of this site. However, a resolution was introduced on May 13th at the Board of Supervisors to amend the lease and allow significant changes to the site, i.e., adding an 82-bed dorm-style sleeping shelter. We were not aware of nor offered the opportunity to weigh in on this legislation. We were then told the legislation would be heard at the Board of Supervisors' Budget and Finance Committee on June 4th (changed to Budget and Appropriations on June 12).

The rapid pace of this process gives no time to allow other legislation in the pipeline that may impact The Commons and homeless facilities across the city to play out, for example legislation co-sponsored by Supervisors Walton and Mahmood to require shelters for the unhoused be opened in all supervisorial districts, which we applaud as it would equitably lead to the Mayor's goal of achieving additional shelter beds while prohibiting new facilities within 1000 feet of an existing shelter. Nor does it enable all of us to learn and capture best practices as The Commons fills with guests in its cabins.

We are eager to return to a transparent, collaborative process to ensure The Commons is the best facility of its kind — for the unhoused it serves, the staff it

employs, and the businesses, workers and residents who make up the Market Zone. Our goal remains the same: a modern, well-managed facility that reflects best practices, supports vulnerable populations, and meets the needs of unhoused people living in the Market Zone while also fitting within our community at the appropriate scale we all planned together from the beginning.

Michael Halby
Chair - Market Zone Working Group
W +1.415.766.3454 |M+1.415.815.7715

From: Mark Gonzales
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Cc: Mark Gonzales
Subject: Reference file number 250589. Keep SF golf Courses Public
Date: Sunday, June 15, 2025 9:32:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Brent,

Could you pass this along to wherever it would matter most.

The privatization of San Francisco's public golf courses—including Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Golden Gate Park, Harding Park, and Gleneagles is not good for the City, the residents and visitors that golf at these courses, nor for the employees that work so hard to keep these courses as beautiful as they are.

My name is Mark Gonzales,

I am a retired City employee, I retired as a Deputy Chief from the SFFD over 6 years ago. I play all of the public courses regularly.

Privatizing these courses would mean higher fees, less community access, and a loss of good union jobs. These courses are more than places to play golf—they are open parkland, wildlife habitat, and affordable, accessible public golf courses.

The kids of the City and the Retired residents of the City use and love these courses as is. Please keep them public!!!

San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate.

A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be

a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control.

I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces.

I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses.

Thank you!
Mark Gonzales
Sent from my iPhone

From:	Marquis Hunkin
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Crayton, Monique (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Philip, Susan (DPH); Goette, Christina (DPH)
Subject:	File Number 250624 Harmful Cuts to Pacific Islander and Marginalized Communities
Date:	Sunday, June 15, 2025 4:23:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Talofa Lava (Hello) Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and Committee Members,

My name is Marquis Hunkin, and I am a concerned community member. I urge you to reconsider the proposed budget cuts that harm Pacific Islander and other marginalized communities.

All My Usos (AMU) and Fa'atasi Youth Services are the only Pacific Islander-led organizations in San Francisco with a dedicated focus on chronic disease prevention—one of the most urgent health disparities facing Pacific Islanders. Like other Pacific Islander organizations, they also offer essential services in mental health, food access, and community healing. What sets AMU and Fa'atasi apart is their culturally grounded approach to chronic disease prevention, delivered through free health education, nutrition support, and physical activity programming. Together they contribute to a healthier, more equitable San Francisco.

These services offered by AMU and Fa'atasi Youth benefit not just us people of Polynesia but countless other ethnicities too. They keep the younger people engaged in activities and programs instead of being homeless, committing crimes and being in danger. As well as keeping the older people busy and involved after retirement. My nieces and nephews have been helped through their various programs and continue to be helped as of today.

Cutting $3.5 million from Food Security, Oral Health, and Physical Activity contradicts Mayor Lurie's commitment to health and safety for all San Franciscans. Voters approved the Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax (SDDT) to fund these programs—not to be erased. These cuts eliminate access to essential health resources, especially in underserved communities.

Defunding these services will do more harm than good. Stand with our communities and STOP THE CUTS!

With love and respect, Concerned Community Member

"Tautua nei mo se taeao manuia."

“Serve now for a better tomorrow.”

Sent from my iPhone

From: Patrick Shanahan
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: File No. 250589
Date: Sunday, June 15, 2025 1:54:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Patrick Shanahan and I live in the city of San Francisco. I oppose the idea of privatizing our public golf courses. We utilize these public resources on a regular basis.

Thank you.
Patrick Shanahan
229 Wawona St
San Francisco

From:	Meri Veavea
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Crayton, Monique (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Philip, Susan (DPH); Goette, Christina (DPH); Tugbenyoh, Mawuli (HRC); info@allmyusos.org
Subject:	File Number 250624: Harmful Cuts to Pacific Islander and Marginalized Communities
Date:	Friday, June 13, 2025 6:30:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Talofa Lava (Hello) Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and Committee Members,

My name is Meri Veavea, and I am a concerned community member. I urge you to reconsider the proposed budget cuts that harm Pacific Islander and other marginalized communities.

All My Usos (AMU) and Fa'atasi Youth Services are the only Pacific Islander-led organizations in San Francisco with a dedicated focus on chronic disease prevention—one of the most urgent health disparities facing Pacific Islanders. Like other Pacific Islander organizations, they also offer essential services in mental health, food access, and community healing. What sets AMU and Fa'atasi apart is their culturally grounded approach to chronic disease prevention, delivered through free health education, nutrition support, and physical activity programming. Together they contribute to a healthier, more equitable San Francisco.

Cutting $3.5 million from Food Security, Oral Health, and Physical Activity contradicts Mayor Lurie's commitment to health and safety for all San Franciscans. Voters approved the Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax (SDDT) to fund these programs—not to be erased. These cuts eliminate access to essential health resources, especially in underserved communities.

Defunding these services will do more harm than good. Stand with our communities and STOP THE CUTS!

With love and respect,
Concerned Community Member
"Tautua nei mo se taeao manuia."
"Serve now for a better tomorrow."

From:	Epi Aumavae
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Crayton, Monique (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Philip, Susan (DPH); Goette, Christina (DPH); Tugbenyoh, Mawuli (HRC); Tsai, Daniel (DPH)
Subject:	File Number 250624: Harmful Cuts to Pacific Islander and Marginalized Communities
Date:	Friday, June 13, 2025 1:47:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Talofa Lava / Greetings Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and Committee Members,

My name is Epi Aumavae, and I am a concerned community member. I urge you to reconsider the proposed budget cuts that harm Pacific Islander and other marginalized communities.

All My Usos (AMU) and Fa'atasi Youth Services are the only Pacific Islander-led organizations in San Francisco with a dedicated focus on chronic disease prevention—one of the most urgent health disparities facing Pacific Islanders. Like other Pacific Islander organizations, they also offer essential services in mental health, food access, and community healing. What sets AMU and Fa'atasi apart is their culturally grounded approach to chronic disease prevention, delivered through free health education, nutrition support, and physical activity programming. Together they contribute to a healthier, more equitable San Francisco.

Over the years we've been able to witness first hand just how vital and impactful the services and systems of care offered by All My Usos & Fa'atasi Youth Services are to our Pacific Islander community and to our community at large. They have been investing in the betterment of our people in ways that are rooted in our values of Tautua - Service, Fa'atasi - Unity, Aiga - family. From large scale community events like the All My Uso's Family Day, to health support groups, to workforce development in the wellness sector. They know how to serve our people and are responsive to our needs and are addressing major disparities in our Pasifika community. They deserve the proper funding to continue the great work they do.

Cutting $3.5 million from Food Security, Oral Health, and Physical Activity contradicts Mayor Lurie's commitment to health and safety for all San Franciscans. Voters approved the Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax (SDDT) to fund these programs—not to be erased. These cuts eliminate access to essential health resources, especially in underserved communities.

Defunding these services will do more harm than good. Stand with our communities and STOP THE CUTS!

With love and respect,
Concerned Community Member
"Tautua nei mo se taeao manuia."
"Serve now for a better tomorrow."

--





From:	Amos Lim
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Annette Wong; Nicholas Gee; Shuangyan Li; Anthony Huang
Subject:	My public comment for Today"s Budget Appropriation Meeting Item re OEWD
Date:	Thursday, June 12, 2025 7:44:55 PM
Attachments:	Public Comment- CAA - OEWD Funding 2025.pdf Chinese for Affirmative Action - OEWD SJC Budget Reduction 2025.pdf Letter of Spport - UCSF.pdf Letter of Support for CAA- STEP.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors and Clerk of the board,

Please find attached my public comment testimony for today's meeting plus the letter of support that we have received from STEP (Substitute Teacher Empowerment & Placement) and UCSF for your public records.

Thank you!

Regards,
Amos Lim

Amos Lim | 林明利
Chinese for Affirmative Action
Economic Justice Program Manager
华人权益促进会 | 经济正义项目经理
he/him/他 | (415) 738-3348 |
hotline/热线号码 | (415) 598-8508
alim@caasf.org

CAA has multiple open positions. Apply now!

Good Afternoon. My name is Amos Lim. I'm the Economic Justice Program Manager at Chinese for Affirmative Action, a 56 year old civil rights organization in SF Chinatown. Our work is funded by the OEWD as a Specialized Job Center supporting limited English proficient immigrants.

For FY 23-25, our Job Center has not only successfully met all of our deliverables, we've been able to secure livable wage employment for community members of up to $33 an hour and some with up to $7,000 in bonuses annually. We help clients find quality jobs and strengthen the workforce pipeline for limited-English speakers by partnering with employers to remove barriers and create opportunities.

Yet, despite these successes, CAA's two year funding was reduced by 65% last year and for FY 25-26, we're recommended to receive the same reduced funding level. We've seen fewer community members, who already face multiple barriers to employment, to benefit from CAA's job readiness services.

When immigrant families have economic stability in San Francisco, it not only contributes to a stronger, more robust, local economy, but it also contributes to a safer city overall, when more people have living wage jobs.

Please restore funding to CAA's OEWD funded Specialized Job Center to the original annual amount, $284,000 to meet the demands and needs of our community so they can call San Francisco home. Thank you.

CHINESE FOR AFFIRMATIVE ACTION

OFFICE OF ECONOMIC AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT (OEWD)
SPECIALIZED JOB CENTER (SJC) SERVING LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT IMMIGRANTS

HISTORY

For decades, CAA has had an employment services program to provide job readiness services, including placement in employment, and referrals to secondary education and vocational training to working class, limited-English proficient San Franciscans. Our program also includes access to financial and digital literacy.

In the 2024-25 fiscal year (FY), CAA has successfully completed all deliverable goals. As the City recovers economically from the pandemic, CAA's specialized job center has seen a significant growth in opportunities for limited English proficient (LEP) clients to access jobs, with the support of CAA.

BUDGET OVERVIEW

For the 2023-24 FY, CAA received $284,000 annually from OEWD to provide these services which include:

- Enrollment of 211 clients (50% over deliverables)
- Job Placement of 134 clients
- Education Placement of 12 clients
- Subcontract with Chinese Progressive Association to conduct outreach and referrals to CAA's job center

For the 2024-25 FY, CAA received $100,000 this year from OEWD and successfully provided the following services:

- Enrollment of 63 clients (12% over deliverables)
- Job Placement of 35 / 28 clients (92% of deliverables)
- Education Placement of 5 clients (100%, 2 clients were able to both Education and Employment Placement
- We have met our deliverables in March and have been referring walk-in clients (average 10-15 a month to other agencies). We do not log callers to our voicemail, but will respond to them and refer them to other agencies for services.
- Subcontract with Chinese Progressive Association to conduct outreach and referrals to CAA's job center

www.caasf.org

17 Walter U. Lum Place, San Francisco, CA 94108
T: 415.274.6750 F: 415.397.8770 info@caasf.org

At the beginning of our multi-year contract in 2023, OEWD had established that for the 2024-25 FY, we would be reduced to $234,000. However, during the 24-25 program year, we learned that CAA was further reduced to $100,000 total for 2024-25 FY, and recommended to remain at $100,000 for the 2025-26 and 2026-27 fiscal years.

OUR REQUEST

We are requesting a backfill of $184,000 in order for us to continue providing critical services for immigrant community members at the level needed to support working class community members in accessing jobs, specialized training, and ensuring an equitable economic recovery in our City.

WHY IS THIS IMPORTANT?

- CAA's Specialized Job Center serving LEP immigrants is the only OEWD specialized job center focused on this population, in a city that has such a robust LEP population, reducing CAA's job center by 65% has devastating impacts on immigrant and directly impacted community members at a time when immigrant rights is under attack.

- The City of San Francisco is still recovering economically from the COVID-19 pandemic. Having a job center focused on LEP immigrants ensures that this segment of San Francisco's population is able to support that economic recovery, and not be left behind.

- One of the factors in addressing community safety issues is ensuring that residents are gainfully employed, and economically secure. CAA's specialized job center supports this goal by providing access to jobs for LEP immigrants.

CONTACT

Annette Wong
CAA Managing Director of Programs
(415) 738-3351, awong@caasf.org

UCSF Health
Redefining possible.™

Administration
1800 Owens Street
San Francisco, CA 94158
tel: 415-353-2733
fax: 415-514-3523
www.ucsfheatlh.org/possible

June 10, 2025

Sarah Denise Phillips, Executive Director
Office of Economic and Workforce Development
1 Dr Carlton B. Goodlett Place
Room 448
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Letter of Support for Chinese for Affirmative Action's Specialized Job Center

Dear Ms. Phillips,

The University of California, San Francisco (UCSF), is pleased to write a letter of support for the restoration of full funding of $284,000 to Chinese for Affirmative Action's (CAA) Office of Economic and Workforce Development (OEWD) funded Specialized Job Center serving limited-English proficient San Franciscans.

CAA has been working with UCSF for years helping clients who face significant barriers to employment with employment assistance towards successful hiring at the university.

In addition to helping the Chinese limited English proficient (LEP) San Franciscans apply for the positions with a resume as well as supporting documents to support their applications, CAA ensures applicants meet the minimum qualifications. CAA also prepares them for our orientation and interviews as well as supports them through the onboarding process - completing training and providing necessary documentation - to start work on time.

Our partnership for the past few years has strengthened and we have successfully hired clients referred to us by CAA promptly with minimal delays. CAA is very proactive in updating us during the recruitment and onboarding processes in order to address challenges quickly so that employees can start on time.

CAA also consistently provides crucial information about upcoming workshops and events that are relevant to our community and parents.

We appreciate the great working partnership between our organizations and as a result have hired many clients referred to us by CAA to fill roles that support the overall vitality of the university.

It is for these reasons that we write in support of full funding of $284,000 for CAA's OEWD Specialized Job Center, which was reduced by 65% and will continue at the reduced level for 2025-2026, without your support. In this time of political and economic uncertainty, CAA's work is more important than ever in helping Chinese LEP folks access employment.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

DocuSigned by:
Jeffrey Chiu
1B2D96365F9642B...

Jeffrey Chiu
Vice President/Assistant Vice Chancellor, Talent Management, UCSF/UCSF Health
Interim Vice President of Human Resources, Benioff Children's Hospital Oakland

STEP Substitute Teacher Empowerment & Placement

415-960-1351
info@ecestep.org www.eceSTEP.org
935 Howard Street, San Francisco, CA 94103

April 29, 2025

RE: Letter of Support for Chinese for Affirmative Action's Specialized Job Center

To whom it may concern,

ECE STEP is pleased to write a letter of support for the restoration of full funding of $284,000 to Chinese for Affirmative Action's (CAA) Office of Economic and Workforce Development (OEWD) funded Specialized Job Center serving limited-English proficient San Franciscans.

CAA has been working with ECE STEP for the past few years helping clients who face significant barriers to employment with employment assistance towards successful hiring at ECE STEP to become an early childhood educator.

In addition to helping the Chinese limited English proficient (LEP) San Franciscans apply for the positions with a resume as well as supporting documents to support their applications, CAA ensures applicants meet the minimum qualifications to be onboarded as soon as possible at ECE STEP, which includes obtaining pre-onboarding required documents.

Our partnership for the past few years has strengthened and we have successfully hired clients referred to us by CAA promptly with minimal delays. CAA is very proactive in updating us during the recruitment and onboarding processes to address challenges quickly so that employees can start on time.

We appreciate the great working partnership between our organizations and as a result have hired many clients referred to us by CAA to fill the high-demand early childhood educator positions.

It is for these reasons that we write in support of full funding of $284,000 for CAA's OEWD Specialized Job Center, which was reduced by 65% and will continue at the reduced level for 2025-2026, without your support. In this time of political and economic uncertainty, CAA's work is more important than ever in helping Chinese LEP folks access employment.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sabrina Dong

Sabrina Dong, Program Director
sabrina.dong@ecestep.org
415-594-7400

From:	Shuangyan Li
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Subject:	Submitting Public Comment for Annual Budget on the hearing June 12, 2025
Date:	Thursday, June 12, 2025 7:35:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Brent and the Board of Supervisors,

I would like to submit a public comment in regards to the item #250589 at hearing on today, June 12, 2025:

Good evening Board of Supervisors. My name is Shuangyan Li and I'm an advocate with Chinese for Affirmative Action. I'm here to request for your support to continue funding the employment services CAA provides as a Specialized Job Center, with a focus on Chinese-speaking San Franciscans with limited English proficiency, and support them with job search, job applications, resumes, interview prep, and job onboarding, which provides full guidance to secure a stable job in the City.

As Supervisor Chan shared at the beginning of yesterday's hearing, the Budget and Appropriation Committee is working to guarantee San Francisco continues to invest in offering free employment resources for our city residents.

In fiscal year 2025 to 2026 the funding was reduced from $284 thousands down to $100 thousands. With the current situation and seeing the effort the city is leading to restore the support for working class San Franciscans, I urge the committee to restore funding to CAA to our original two year contract amount of $284,000. This will ensure the service center enough time to conduct effective placement and conduct support to guarantee our clients are successful in their positions.

Thank you for your time and consideration, we appreciate the Board of Supervisors for your leadership and effort in this difficult budget year.

Best,
Shuangyan Li
--



Shuangyan Li

Chinese for Affirmative Action

Advocacy Coordinator

华人权益促进会 | 倡导协调员

she/her/她 | (415) 274-6750

shuangyan@caasf.org

A founding partner of: 

From:	Anthony Huang
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Subject:	Submitting Written Public Comment for File No. 250589, OEWD Funding FY25-26
Date:	Thursday, June 12, 2025 6:30:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Brent and Committee Members,

This public comment is for File No. 250589, specifically for the Office of Economic and Workforce Development (OEWD).

My name is Anthony Huang, and I'm an advocate with Chinese for Affirmative Action. I urge OEWD to continue funding the Specialized Job Center for all Limited English Proficient San Franciscans, which CAA proudly leads.

At a time when immigrant rights are under attack, it is more important than ever to uphold our commitment to equity and economic inclusion. Our job center focuses on serving immigrants with limited English proficiency in San Francisco. Due to previous funding cuts, we've had to turn away too many clients—individuals who are actively seeking stable employment to support their families and contribute to San Francisco's economic recovery. On top of that, our clients often seek jobs that are essential to the functioning of our city, including critical positions within city departments.

Each year, we provide workforce development services to approximately 135 LEP clients, with a 75% success rate in placing them into high-road employment or adult education opportunities. We urge the committee and OEWD to recognize the value and impact of this work and to restore $184,000 and bring the contract from its recommended $100,000 back to its original funding level of $284,000 for FY 2025–2026. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Anthony
--

Anthony Huang | 黄硕韬
Chinese for Affirmative Action
Civic Engagement
Community Advocate
华人权益促进会 | 社区参与项目-社区倡议者

he/him/他 | (415) 558-0171

hotline/热线号码 | (415) 598-8508

ahuang@caasf.org

From: Zea Malawa
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS)
Subject: Public Comments for Dept of Public Health Budget and Appropriation Ordinance
Date: Thursday, June 12, 2025 5:59:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair Chan, Supervisor Walton, and Committee Clerk Jalipa,

Although I attended today's hearing in person, I could not stay late enough to provide these public comments so I am submitting them here via email:

My name is Dr. Zea Malawa. I am a pediatrician, public health professional, and a nationally recognized birth equity leader.

Until late last year, I was also the perinatal equity medical director for the department of public health. My position was unexpectedly eliminated last year, which was quite surprising because San Francisco has some of the poorest birth equity in the state.

I know that focusing on Black women right now might seem "risky," but I want to remind you all about the very real risks Black mothers have to face when they are doing something as fundamental as giving birth. In San Francisco, black women have a premature birth 2.3x more often than white women, they have babies that are too small 2.4x as often as white women, and they have stillbirths 5.7x as often as white women.

This means that not only is SF producing some of the worst black birth outcomes in California, it is doing so while producing some of the best *white* birth outcomes.

These disparities cannot be explained by differences in behavior. Research indicates they are due to differences in exposure to racism. Given that there are fewer than 300 Black births a year in SF, I find this data pretty embarrassing and extraordinarily tragic. Black mothers in the City deserve better.

I request an audit of the Dept of Public Health's planning, spending, staffing, and contracting practices. The public deserves clarity on what's going wrong with the city's work to protect Black women.

Sincerely,

Zea Malawa, MD, MPH

--



From:	Soo, Julie (SDA)
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Cc:	Julie Soo
Subject:	Fw: Maintain the Department on the Status of Women as an Independent and Fully Funded Agency
Date:	Thursday, June 12, 2025 5:36:49 PM
Attachments:	Letter Re DOSW to Budget & Appropriations 061025.pdf

Brent,

I am forwarding this correspondence to you as clerk for the budget committees. I had sent my comment directly to the members of the Budget & Appropriations Committee and copy to the clerk of the Board of Supervisors but I should have included you. Thank you for your work.

All best,
JULIE
蘇榮麗
(415) 260-5886 (cell)

Julie D. Soo, Esq.
Member, San Francisco Sheriff's Oversight Board (2021 - present) / President (2023 - present)
Former Co-Chair, California Democratic Party Platform Committee (2005 - 2023)
Former Commissioner, San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women (2009 - 2021)

From: Soo, Julie (SDA)
Sent: Wednesday, June 11, 2025 12:48 AM
To: Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>; Engardio, Joel (BOS) <joel.engardio@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff (BOS) <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>
Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Julie Soo <jdssfdem@yahoo.com>
Subject: Maintain the Department on the Status of Women as an Independent and Fully Funded Agency

Dear Board of Supervisors Budget & Appropriations Committee --
Chair Chan, President Mandelman, and Supervisors Dorsey, Engardio, and Walton:

Please see the attached letter.

For your ease of reference the text is included below:

June 10, 2025

SUBJECT: Maintain the Department on the Status of Women as an

Independent and Fully Funded Agency

VIA E-MAIL

Board of Supervisors Budget & Appropriations Committee –
Chair Chan, President Mandelman, and Supervisors Dorsey, Engardio, and Walton:

I write as a former Commissioner on the Status of Women (2009 – 2021) and a former Co-Chair of the San Francisco Collaboration Against Human Trafficking (2013 – 2018). Since December 2021, I have been seated to the Sheriff's Department Oversight Board, now serving a second term as its president.

I urge you to maintain the Department on the Status of Women (DOSW) as an independent and fully funded agency. The violence prevention work that has been one of the traditional hallmarks of DOSW's work has saved lives and financial resources. Domestic violence calls are some of the most dangerous calls for our first responders.

For more than 50 years, women of San Francisco have fought to have an agency that addresses the specific needs of women and their children. In 1975, the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women (COSW) was formed to "monitor complaints about unlawful and unequal treatment of women, to investigate inequalities, and propose remedies." In 1980, Mayor Dianne Feinstein and the Board of Supervisors created a Domestic Violence Program Fund to be administered by the Commission. This funding has continued to be allocated to San Francisco community-based non-profit organizations to support survivors of domestic violence and their families and include La Casa de las Madres, California's first domestic violence shelter, and the Asian Women's shelter, the nation's first shelter for Asian and Pacific Islander survivors. These agencies continue to provide trauma-informed and culturally and linguistically appropriate services.

At the end of the Feinstein Administration, COSW was consolidated with the Human Rights Commission. However, women leaders saw that independence for COSW was necessary to address issues and services specific to women and worked with Supervisor Roberta Achtenburg and Mayor Art Agnos to achieve that end. Moreover, in 1994, San Francisco voters approved Proposition E, a charter amendment that established a permanent Department on the Status of Women (DOSW) to implement work initiated by COSW and ongoing issues identified and prioritized by COSW as it migrated to a policy and oversight body.

Pursuant to San Francisco Charter section 4.119, COSW's mandate is to "develop and recommend policies and practices for the City and County to reduce the particular impacts on women and girls of problems such as domestic violence, sexual harassment, employment and health care inequity, and homelessness, as well as advocate on behalf of women and girls in such areas."

During my tenure on COSW, the Justice & Courage Oversight Panel led by DOSW,

continued to meet to implement some one hundred recommendations for policy reforms to San Francisco's poor response to domestic violence and disbanded after a successful decade. COSW pushed for a full investigation after the 2000 Claire Joyce Tempongko murder at the hands of her ex-boyfriend and its review and recommendations were detailed in *Justice & Courage Report: A Blueprint for San Francisco's Response to Domestic Violence* (2002). Her murder followed several other domestic violence-related homicides that same year. Though Claire Joyce had a restraining order in place and did everything right to protect herself and her two young children, her life was taken in front of her children.

DOSW formed a public-private partnership and created a Domestic Violence Response Cross-Training Institute that brought together 911 dispatch, police, probation officers, and other responders. From 2010 to 2014, San Francisco saw zero domestic violence homicides. Previously, San Francisco saw between 12 to 16 domestic violence homicides annually.

DOSW and the San Francisco Domestic Violence Consortium (DV Consortium) worked to have a special code for domestic calls to 911 dispatch. This provided a measure of extra safety for first responders – whether police officers, sheriff's deputies, or emergency services – to alert them to an especially dangerous call. The special code also allowed for data collection and detailed the unmet need for hotlines, shelters, and other supportive services. COSW and DOSW have put forth prevention education, including programs at SFUSD and family support organizations.

In 2010, COSW and DOSW undertook the first Family Violence Council Report, underscoring that success comes with a targeted, multi-pronged approach with stakeholders and responsible agencies at the table. The 28 members of the Council include the COSW president or designee and representatives from the City's agencies and partner agencies, along with law enforcement, probation, and the courts. The report also included work to prevent child abuse and elder abuse. At the time, partner agencies identified that San Francisco was not in compliance with California law in its child abuse prevention programs and response; this was quickly remedied. Until the 2020 departure of Dr. Emily Murase as DOSW's executive director, data collection soon became more routine and available to secure grants to expand issues addressed by COSW and DOSW.

Also in 2010, Mayor Gavin Newsom, District Attorney Kamala Harris, and Board of Supervisors President David Chiu joined COSW and DOSW to launch the San Francisco Collaborative Against Human Trafficking (SFCAHT). Human trafficking has been a growing problem, particularly in major city hubs and with large events. DOSW worked to train Super Bowl 50 volunteers to identify signs of human trafficking with the agreement of Daniel Lurie as chair of the Super Bowl 50 Host Committee. Since then, DOSW has trained scores of personnel in the hospitality industry and DOSW's model has been replicated at other host cities. The City heeded COSW's and DOSW's call for increased funding needed to properly respond to domestic violence, sexual assault, and human trafficking. Indeed, funding grew from $1 million in 2004 to $8 million with an additional $9 million state grant for anti-human trafficking programs by the end of Dr. Murase's tenure in 2020.

The turmoil surrounding DOSW post-2020 should not determine the outcome of DOSW as an independent agency with the necessary funding. COSW and DOSW must return to the charter mandate of a working to eliminate gender-based violence under proper leadership. Only then, will our City see some semblance of gender equity.

Your support of DOSW and COSW will save lives and dollars. Vulnerable women and their children depend on DOSW's and COSW's years of effective advocacy with longtime trusted partner organizations.

Very truly yours,
/s/

cc: Angela Calvillo, Clerk, Board of Supervisors – Angela.Calvillo@sfgov.org

All best,
JULIE
蘇榮麗
(415) 260-5886 (cell)

Julie D. Soo, Esq.
Member, San Francisco Sheriff's Oversight Board (2021 - present) / President (2023 - present)
Former Co-Chair, California Democratic Party Platform Committee (2005 - 2023)
Former Commissioner, San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women (2009 - 2021)

From: Maya Rodgers
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS)
Subject: Comment: Black Maternal Health
Date: Thursday, June 12, 2025 4:36:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This message was sent securely using Zix®

Greetings, I am writing in support of my name is Maya Rodgers, I am a mother, Bayview Hunters Point native and the Program Director serving Black Birthing People. I have heard dozens of birth stories that overwhelmingly highlight the necessity for guaranteed income and housing support as well as up to 5 years of economic resources and support for families. The Bay Area is expensive and parents should not have to choose between livelihood and family, it is an unfair circumstance. San Francisco should prioritize the children and parents that live and work here.
Thank you for your time and consideration.

--
Maya Rodgers, MSW
Director, Black Birthing Health Initiative
Pronouns: She/Her
Homeless Children's Network
"He who is not courageous enough to take risks will accomplish nothing in life."
—*Muhammad Ali*

NOTE: Confidential Information

This message and any attached files contain proprietary, confidential and/or legally privileged information of HOMELESS CHILDREN'S NETWORK. The contents are for the use of the intended recipient only. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or any action taken in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately call (415) 437-3990 x304 to arrange for the destruction or return of the message and any attached files.

This message was secured by **Zix®**.

From:	Hundon, Deundra (UCSF)
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Cc:	Waltonstaff (BOS)
Subject:	My Public Comment on Black Maternal Health (DPH)
Date:	Thursday, June 12, 2025 4:31:02 PM
Attachments:	Outlook-e54olrjy.png Outlook-wtiaj0vz.png

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Brent Jalipa,

Thank you for your support. I'm sending you my public comment today during the budget meeting. Unfortunately, I like many others where not able to stay (after being here for over 5 hours) for the 5:00p public comment section.

I ask that you include my comments in the public record/file.

Thank you.

My name is Deundra Hundon live, and I work in D10 . Thank you Supv Walton for your support. I work to improve Black maternal health as Birth/Labor/PP Doula and well as Director, SF Pregnancy Family Village Program" The Village provides diverse types of pregnant/PP support (free doula support, mental health support, clinical services via agencies like HPP, DPH, Black clinicians, SisterWeb and DEC and many, many more ...every 2nd Saturday of the month in the community at the Bayview YMCA.

When I was pregnant, I faced barriers to care not having resources and not being listened to
After giving birth, I faced barriers that birthing agencies sees lack of postpartum support and PP depression mental health support
In my work, I help people in oversee the PFV with my team in collaboration with OVER 23 city and CBO core partners that provide pregnancy/PP services the Black community.

We request an audit of DPH's spending on Black maternal health programs. The public deserves clarity on what the strategy for intervention is, staffing, contracting practices, who has received funding and what the outcomes have been in order to understand why Black maternal and infant outcomes are declining. SF has the worst preterm birth rate for Black people in the state of CA and this issue demands your urgent attention!

Deundra C. Hundon, (she/her)
Director, SF Pregnancy Family Village Program
In Office: Mon - Thur & Out of Office: Fri

The SF Respect Initiative
Transforming Pregnancy Care

The SF Pregnancy Family Village is the group of health & family-focused organizations whose brought the *Family & Pregnancy Pop-Up Village* events to SF since 2021…

See our website, socials & event details here: https://linktr.ee/SFPFV

We show up **together** in community, providing a **one-stop-shop of valuable services and offerings** in **uplifting and celebratory spaces,** with the goal of **improving pregnancy experience and outcomes** in SF and **earning trust** by "doing business differently."

Questions? Contact us: info@sfpregnancyfamilyvillage.org

From: Lisa Reyes
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: for 6/12/25 Public Comment- SAVE TIS!!!!
Date: Thursday, June 12, 2025 4:04:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Trauma Informed Systems is a low-cost DPH program- with a profound impact. We have Five (5) staff, and a total budget of $146k. We have 16 trainers across our system, and over 15 programs (DPH, Civil Service, and CBO's) that are involved in our work.

We are mandated by DPH, and all new staff are enrolled in our sessions. Most importantly, when teams are affected by trauma, we are the ones that they call for support or connection to support services. Basically, we are the 911 for our workforce.

Eliminating our team is a sign that our City does not care about our first responders. 5 staff is not going to save the budget, neither is $146k. However, eliminating our program severely impacts the mental health of our workforce. And, if our workforce is unhealthy, you can rest assured that our City is unhealthy as well.

As we know, DPH is a critical responder when health crises effect our City. The toll of the collective trauma that impacts our community is profound. Research shows that trauma interferes with our health, our ability to relate to others and our performance. Our intention is to amplify healing and resilience for our DPH workforce. Our framework serves to grow our communal capacity to be well, manage the complexity of change, stay resilient, and become a mindful culture that leads and serves with compassion.

We were told that all of our work would now be done by HR staff at DPH. As you know, DPH HR staff are already under staffed and over-worked, to then burden them with a whole other new job, shows how little this City cares for its most critical staff.

Shame on you all, if this basic, cost-effective service is CUT!!! However, saving this program sends a strong message that you support the basic needs of your most critical workforce of SF!!!

Lisa Reyes, MPH
2593 TIS Director
29 years veteran of DPH

--
Lisa Reyes

From:	DPH-faatasiyouthservices
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Crayton, Monique (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Philip, Susan (DPH); Goette, Christina (DPH); Tugbenyoh, Mawuli (HRC); Tsai, Daniel (DPH); info@allmyusos.org
Subject:	File Number 250624: Harmful Cuts to Pacific Islander and Marginalized Communities
Date:	Thursday, June 12, 2025 2:12:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Talofa Lava (Hello) Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and Committee Members,

My name is Sylvia Selinger and I am a concerned community member. I urge you to reconsider the proposed budget cuts that harm Pacific Islander and other marginalized communities.

All My Usos (AMU) and Fa'atasi Youth Services are the only Pacific Islander-led organizations in San Francisco with a dedicated focus on chronic disease prevention—one of the most urgent health disparities facing Pacific Islanders. Like other Pacific Islander organizations, they also offer essential services in mental health, food access, and community healing. What sets AMU and Fa'atasi apart is their culturally grounded approach to chronic disease prevention, delivered through free health education, nutrition support, and physical activity programming. Together they contribute to a healthier, more equitable San Francisco.

Cutting $3.5 million from Food Security, Oral Health, and Physical Activity contradicts Mayor Lurie's commitment to health and safety for all San Franciscans. Voters approved the Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax (SDDT) to fund these programs—not to be erased. These cuts eliminate access to essential health resources, especially in underserved communities.

Defunding these services will do more harm than good. Stand with our communities and STOP THE CUTS!

With love and respect,
Concerned Community Member
"Tautua nei mo se taeao manuia."
"Serve now for a better tomorrow."

From: Avalon London
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: File no. 250589
Date: Thursday, June 12, 2025 1:52:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee, My name is Avalon Wegener, and I'm a San Francisco resident reaching out today to urge you to reject the Recreation and Parks Department's proposed budget, as it pertains to the privatization of our public golf courses. San Francisco's public golf courses— Sharp Park, Lincoln Park, Harding Park, Golden Gate Park, and Gleneagles—are vital public assets. The residents and the city together find those lands to be important, as they offer affordable recreational opportunities, preserve green space in our dense urban environment, and serve as inclusive community hubs for people of all ages, backgrounds, and skill levels. These courses are not just for golfers; they are open parklands that support wildlife habitats and provide mental and physical health benefits to the public. It's the duty of the city to maintain those lands at an affordable rate. A private company does not have the same mission as the Recreation and Parks Department. Privatization of management risks raising fees, limiting access, and reducing community oversight. We should not be willing to risk compromising an affordable rate for children, seniors, and low-income individuals or families. The public golf courses are here for the residents of San Francisco, taken care of by the city as an amenity to San Franciscans of any background. Turning over their management to private companies in response to what may be a temporary budget challenge is shortsighted. Once these spaces are privatized, it is extremely difficult to restore them to full public control. I support exploring alternative revenue solutions—ones that do not compromise the public character and accessibility of these treasured spaces. I urge this Committee to protect public land and equitable access to recreation by rejecting any budget proposal that includes or enables the privatization of our city's public golf courses. Thank you

From: Dr. Olabisi Adesuyi-Fasuyi
Subject: My Public Comment on Early Childhood Education in San Francisco
Date: Thursday, June 12, 2025 12:59:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This message was sent securely using Zix®

Good morning Members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee:

My name is Olabisi Adesuyi-Fasuyi writing you on behalf of the Black Early Educator Policy Council, a group that advocates on behalf of Black early educators and children in San Francisco, convened by Children's Council of San Francisco. We thank the members of the Board of Supervisors and Mayor Lurie for honoring the commitment to using Baby C funds as voters intended. We ask that the balance number in section 2 of the proposed ordinance regarding Baby C be set to $300 million.

We strongly urge raising the reserve cap in the proposed ordinance to protect Baby Prop C and DEC funding for HeadStart, pathways for Black early educators entering the field, and a leadership pipeline to support Black early educators' retention. Mental health support, housing, health insurance and economic resources are critical to support their sustainability.

Again, thank you to the Board and Mayor Lurie for prioritizing Baby C funds. We look forward to working in partnership with you and DEC to support our Black babies and children.

In community,
Dr. Olabisi (Dr. O)

"Literacy is the foundation of all learning. When we nurture early literacy, we empower children to explore, imagine, and unlock their full potential." – Unknown

Olabisi Adesuyi-Fasuyi, Ed.D.
Pronouns: she/her/hers. Why pronouns?
Senior Director of Early Care & Education
Felton Institute
Children, Youth, Family & Transitional Age Youth Services
2730 Bryant Street, 1st Floor, Room 127, San Francisco, CA 94110

Office: (415) 474-7310 - 722
Cell: (415) 699-8940
oadesuyifasuyi@felton.org
www.felton.org

Transforming lives since 1889!

Learn more about our Inclusive Programming!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZhbsCmYCHLY

Felton is hiring! Check out our job openings; http://felton.org/about-us/who-we-are/careers/

Confidentiality Notice: This electronic message transmission, including any attachments, contains information from Felton Institute which may be confidential or privileged. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, any unauthorized review, disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately & destroy all electronic & hard copies, including attachments.

This message was secured by **Zix®**.

From:	Joelle Kenyatta
To:	ChanStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chanelle Brown
Subject:	My Public Comment on Early Childhood Education in San Francisco
Date:	Thursday, June 12, 2025 10:58:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning Members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee:

My name is Joelle Kenyatta writing you on behalf of the Black Early Educator Policy Council, a group that advocates on behalf of Black early educators and children in San Francisco, convened by Children's Council of San Francisco. I'm a constituent in soma district.

We thank the members of the Board of Supervisors and Mayor Lurie for honoring the commitment to using Baby C funds as voters intended. We ask that the balance number in section 2 of the proposed ordinance regarding Baby C be set to $300 million.

We strongly urge raising the reserve cap in the proposed ordinance to protect Baby Prop C and DEC funding for HeadStart, pathways for Black early educators entering the field, and a leadership pipeline to support Black early educators' retention. Mental health support, housing, health insurance and economic resources are critical to support their sustainability.

Again, thank you to the Board and Mayor Lurie for prioritizing Baby C funds. We look forward to working in partnership with you and DEC to support our Black babies and children.

In community,

Joelle Kenyatta
Owner of Jojo's Playhouse

From: Kamael Burch
To: ChanStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chanelle Brown
Subject: My Public Comment on Early Childhood Education in San Francisco
Date: Thursday, June 12, 2025 10:26:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning, Members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee:

My name is Kamael Burch, writing you on behalf of the Black Early Educator Policy Council, a group that advocates on behalf of Black early educators and children in San Francisco, convened by Children's Council of San Francisco. I'm a constituent in San Francisco

We thank the members of the Board of Supervisors and Mayor Lurie for honoring the commitment to using Baby C funds as voters intended. We ask that the balance number in section 2 of the proposed ordinance regarding Baby C be set to $300 million.

We strongly urge raising the reserve cap in the proposed ordinance to protect Baby Prop C and DEC funding for HeadStart, pathways for Black early educators entering the field, and a leadership pipeline to support Black early educators' retention. Mental health support, housing, health insurance and economic resources are critical to support their sustainability.

Again, thank you to the Board and Mayor Lurie for prioritizing Baby C funds. We look forward to working in partnership with you and DEC to support our Black babies and children.

In community,

Kamael Burch
Principal, Leola Havard Early Education Center

From:	Barbara Walden
To:	ChanStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Cc:	Chanelle Brown
Subject:	My Public Comment on Early Childhood Education in San Francisco
Date:	Thursday, June 12, 2025 10:00:52 AM
Attachments:	Outlook-A close-up

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning Members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee:

My name is Barbara Walden writing you on behalf of the Black Early Educator Policy Council, a group that advocates on behalf of Black early educators and children in San Francisco, convened by Children's Council of San Francisco. I work for a ECE provider and Head Start agency with campuses in the Mission, Mission Bay, Bayview, and Excelsior districts.

We thank the members of the Board of Supervisors and Mayor Lurie for honoring the commitment to using Baby C funds as voters intended. We ask that the balance number in section 2 of the proposed ordinance regarding Baby C be set to $300 million.

We strongly urge raising the reserve cap in the proposed ordinance to protect Baby Prop C and DEC funding for HeadStart, pathways for Black early educators entering the field, and a leadership pipeline to support Black early educators' retention. Mental health support, housing, health insurance and economic resources are critical to support their sustainability.

Again, thank you to the Board and Mayor Lurie for prioritizing Baby C funds. We look forward to working in partnership with you and DEC to support our Black babies and children.

In community,

Barbara E. Walden

MNC
INSPIRING SUCCESS
INSPIRANDO ÉXITO

Barbara Walden
Early Education Program Director
415.206-7752 x 2450
362 Capp St. San Francisco, CA 94110 | www.mncsf.org

MNC's mission is to serve as the bridge to hope and uplifting opportunities



From:	BreAnn Weaver
To:	ChanStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	My Public Comment on Early Childhood Education in San Francisco
Date:	Thursday, June 12, 2025 9:33:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning Members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee:

My name is BreAnn Weaver writing to you on behalf of the Black Early Educator Policy Council, a group that advocates on behalf of Black early educators and children in San Francisco, convened by Children's Council of San Francisco. I'm a constituent in Shamann Walton's district; district 10.

We thank the members of the Board of Supervisors and Mayor Lurie for honoring the commitment to using Baby C funds as voters intended. We ask that the balance number in section 2 of the proposed ordinance regarding Baby C be set to $300 million.

We strongly urge raising the reserve cap in the proposed ordinance to protect Baby Prop C and DEC funding for HeadStart, pathways for Black early educators entering the field, and a leadership pipeline to support Black early educators' retention. Mental health support, housing, health insurance and economic resources are critical to support their sustainability.

Again, thank you to the Board and Mayor Lurie for prioritizing Baby C funds. We look forward to working in partnership with you and DEC to support our Black babies and children.

In community,

BreAnn Weaver

From: Marina Riddle
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 10:44:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Marina Riddle
mcriddle01@gmail.com

3055 Scott street
San Francisco, California 94123

From: Joyce Calagos
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 9:09:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a member of the California Chapter of the Laudato Si Movement, who lives Pope Francis' plea to everyone to "Hear the Cry of the Earth and the Cry of the Poor," I plead with you to also live Pope Francis' plea. please take measures to protect our earth, for our benefit and for the benefit of future generations.

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Joyce Calagos
joycecalagos1@gmail.com
1636 Geneva Ave.
San Francisco, California 94134

From: Todd Snyder
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 7:46:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Todd Snyder
novicedetective@gmail.com

1941 Turk st
San Francisco, California 94115

From: Melissa MacDonald
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 6:24:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Melissa MacDonald
yogatoad@gmail.com

400 China Basin St
San Francisco, California 94158

From: Mahin Charles
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 5:51:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Mahin Charles
ferdousi68.mh@gmail.com

577 Dolores street
San Feancisco, California 94110

From: Rachel Jepsen
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Preserve San Francisco's Climate Leadership. Your Budget Did Not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 3:46:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Mr. Lurie,

I am writing to express concern over the proposed budget cuts to the San Francisco Environment Department (SFE), and to urge a reassessment of how the City aligns its climate goals with its investment strategy.

The proposed cuts illustrate a structural misalignment that SFE has faced for years. While buildings and transportation are responsible for 89% of San Francisco's greenhouse gas emissions, only 16% of SFE's budget is allowed to address those sectors. The new budget would reduce that even further, making it more difficult for the department to effectively support the City's climate priorities.

Despite this constraint, SFE has delivered exceptional value. Since 2022, the department has brought in $84 million in outside grants for climate action—a success rate six times the national average. In addition, they've helped other City departments secure more than $10 million and supported community organizations in applying for over $27 million in grant funding.

SFE also provides essential, often behind-the-scenes support, offering climate expertise to other departments and community-based organizations. This work may not always be visible, but it is foundational to the City's broader environmental efforts.

If San Francisco is serious about meeting its climate goals, we need a long-term solution that aligns budget priorities with the sectors responsible for the majority of our emissions. That means investing in the departments best positioned to drive results—SFE foremost among them.

Thank you for your attention and ongoing service to the City.

Sincerely,
Rachel Jepsen

Rachel Jepsen
racheldeanjepsen@gmail.com
1539 Clay St, Apt 3
San Francisco, California 94109

From: Atessa Anoshiravani
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 3:35:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a college student and lifelong San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Atessa Anoshiravani

atessa.anoshiravani@gmail.com
566 Rhode Island Street
San Francisco, California 94107

From: Stewart Wilber
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 1:34:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Stewart Wilber
s.wilber@mindspring.com

1923A 15th St
San Francisco, California 94114

From: Kathy Keller
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: SF climate crisis vs Mayor"s budget
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 12:12:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Kathy Keller
kathy.keller44@gmail.com

180 Laidley
SF, California 94131

From: Helen Liu
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 12:11:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Helen Liu
liuhelen10@gmail.com

765 Arguello Blvd
San Francisco, California 94118

From: stephanie ellis
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 11:57:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident (94114), I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

stephanie ellis
stephanie_ellis@me.com

870 church st #1
San Francisco, California 94114

From: Jose Cruz
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: TIS Disbanding
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 11:42:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I recently became a certified TIS trainer.

It is horrid to hear that SFDPH is not putting in the effort to be able to continue to do the amazing work that is spreading the information and experiences that is Trauma.
We may not have a great budget this year, but I assure you, as a mental health rehabilitation specialist that has worked with youth in SF for the past 8 years, is that this education is important for the work we do in mental health and other professions.
Funds should be taken out from the SFPD in order for us to continue doing this fantastic work.

At the end of the day we get to build the society we want to live in. If it is the direction of this city's governance that we want to cut vital educational and training services, than I fear we are going to slowly destroy the amazing culture and supportive environments that a lot of youth and families seek. I know that our community organizations are at the forefront of mental health and it would be a disadvantage to us and our communities to destroy the DPH commitment and support.

Thanks for your time.

Jose A Cruz
Pronouns: He/Him/His
PHP/IOP, Milieu Manager
Edgewood Center for Children and Families
1801 Vicente Street, San Francisco, CA 94116
Mobile: (415) 410-3094 josec@edgewood.org
Visit our website: edgewood.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic mail transmission may contain privileged and/or confidential information only for use by the intended recipients. Any usage, distribution, copying or disclosure by any other person, other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited and may be subject to civil action and/or criminal penalties. If you received this e-mail transmission in error, please notify the sender by telephone and delete the transmission.

From: Sophie Constantinou
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 11:40:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sophie Constantinou
sophie@citizenfilm.org

22 Richland Ave
San Francisco, California 94110

From: Barbara Jue
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 11:35:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis. The negative impacts of climate change are accelerating and are compounding such that our communities will have little chance to recover if we don't commit to bold action now.

Thank you for your consideration.

Barbara Jue
sfbar48@gmail.com
81 Lansing St, Apt 411
San Francisco, California 94105

From: Karen Kirschling
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 11:16:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Karen Kirschling
kumasong@icloud.com

633 Oak
SF, California 94117

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Urgent Request: Increase Funding for the San Francisco Public Defender's Office
Date:	Tuesday, June 17, 2025 11:03:25 AM
Attachments:	Outlook-signature_.png image001.png

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the below communication regarding the City's Budget.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org| www.sfbos.org

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of*

Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Vu, Jonathan <jonathanvu@uclawsf.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 9:21 AM
To: Lurie, Daniel (MYR) <daniel.lurie@sfgov.org>; Slaughter, Staci (MYR) <staci.slaughter@sfgov.org>; Kittler, Sophia (MYR) <sophia.kittler@sfgov.org>; Betz, Steven (MYR) <Steven.Betz.MYR@sfgov.org>
Cc: BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>; BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Sherrill, Stephen (BOS) <Stephen.Sherrill@sfgov.org>; Sauter, Danny (BOS) <Danny.Sauter@sfgov.org>; Engardio, Joel (BOS) <joel.engardio@sfgov.org>; Mahmood, Bilal (BOS) <bilal.mahmood@sfgov.org>; Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Fielder, Jackie (BOS) <Jackie.Fielder@sfgov.org>; Chen, Chyanne (BOS) <Chyanne.Chen@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>
Subject: Urgent Request: Increase Funding for the San Francisco Public Defender's Office

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie and Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As a San Francisco resident, student, and worker, I am reaching out to urge you to increase funding for the San Francisco Public Defender's Office in this year's budget. The Public Defender's Office is essential to ensuring justice and due process for some of the city's most vulnerable residents—primarily Black, brown, immigrant, and low-income individuals who are disproportionately impacted by the criminal legal system. With significantly higher caseloads and no access to overtime unlike other public safety departments, public defenders are being stretched beyond their limits, leaving people to wait for trials in overcrowded and inhumane jail conditions.

The Public Defender's Office is not only a constitutional mandate—it is a moral imperative. Their work supports families, protects immigrant communities from deportation, and provides legal defense to individuals with serious mental health and substance use challenges. Despite the scope and significance of this work, the office remains severely underfunded compared to other justice agencies. In a time of increasing arrests and prosecutions, we must ensure this office has the staffing and resources necessary to meet the moment. I respectfully ask that you prioritize equitable

funding for the Public Defender's Office to support true justice and safety in San Francisco.

Best regards,

Jonathan Vu (He/Him)

J.D. Candidate, Class of 2027

UC Law, San Francisco (Hastings)

jonathanvu@uclawsf.edu

From:	Tina Sataraka
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Crayton, Monique (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Philip, Susan (DPH); Goette, Christina (DPH); Tugbenyoh, Mawuli (HRC); info@allmyusos.org
Subject:	File Number 250624: Harmful Cuts to Pacific Islander and Marginalized Communities
Date:	Wednesday, June 18, 2025 10:00:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Talofa Lava (Hello) Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and Committee Members,

My name is Tina Sataraka-Faitala, and I am a concerned community member. I urge you to reconsider the proposed budget cuts that harm Pacific Islander and other marginalized communities.

All My Usos (AMU) and Fa'atasi Youth Services are the only Pacific Islander-led organizations in San Francisco with a dedicated focus on chronic disease prevention—one of the most urgent health disparities facing Pacific Islanders. Like other Pacific Islander organizations, they also offer essential services in mental health, food access, and community healing. What sets AMU and Fa'atasi apart is their culturally grounded approach to chronic disease prevention, delivered through free health education, nutrition support, and physical activity programming. Together they contribute to a healthier, more equitable San Francisco.

Cutting $3.5 million from Food Security, Oral Health, and Physical Activity contradicts Mayor Lurie's commitment to health and safety for all San Franciscans. Voters approved the Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax (SDDT) to fund these programs—not to be erased. These cuts eliminate access to essential health resources, especially in underserved communities.

Defunding these services will do more harm than good. Stand with our communities and STOP THE CUTS!

With love and respect,
Concerned Community Member
"Tautua nei mo se taeao manuia."
"Serve now for a better tomorrow."

From: Eric Yopes
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 11:39:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Eric Yopes
Email	eyopes@ecp-llc.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget. It is essential to reduce public employee headcount, and to implement structural and process reforms that would make dealing the the City more efficient and require less in the way of personnel. SF has more employees per capita than any other major US city, which is a distinction nobody wants. Also, in the past public employee unions have garnered huge pension and other concessions that are a ticking time bomb. Those should be addressed over time so that our children are not saddled with unsustainable costs in the future.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would

support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,
Eric Yopes

From: David Nolley
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 10:42:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	David Nolley
Email	danolley@aol.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

My wife and I Fully Support right-sizing the San Francisco budget! We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget. It is clear to residents that:
1. Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.
2. All fraud should be rooted out.
3. There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report for a "right on" assessment for guidance).
4. There should be No city funding of Any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of Any special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately. And,
5. Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Judi Hurabiell
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 8:53:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Judi Hurabiell
Email	jmhurabiell1@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: richard brandi
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 8:45:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	richard brandi
Email	rbrandi@earthlink.net
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: mattia pascolini
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 8:25:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	mattia pascolini
Email	mattia.pascolini@me.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: JOSE CAPO
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 8:22:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	JOSE CAPO
Email	jose@josecapo.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Hoping to join.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

JOSE CAPO

From: Arthur Hubbard
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 7:26:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Arthur Hubbard
Email	amhsf@att.net
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Ken Vanos
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 7:09:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Ken Vanos
Email	kenvanos@yahoo.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Mike Kuldanek
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 6:45:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Mike Kuldanek
Email	kuldanek.mike@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

Mike Kuldanek
Pacific Heights

From: cynthia brown
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 5:04:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	cynthia brown
Email	cymphany@hotmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Lisa Huang
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 2:28:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Lisa Huang
Email	Renonv86@yahoo.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Michael Murano
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 1:46:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Michael Murano
Email	mmurano@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Evelyn Armstrong
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 1:33:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Evelyn Armstrong
Email	earmstrongmarks@yahoo.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,
E. Armstrong

From: James Wall
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 12:30:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	James Wall
Email	jimwallsf@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Mable Kum
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 12:11:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Mable Kum
Email	mabsuz@yahoo.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Hoping to join.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Bring back cars to GHW. No need for more housing!! Use what's existing! Must consider resources like water, sewer, utilities. Unable to support population if overwhelmed with housing and no resources.
Sincerely,

From: Brian Key
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 12:09:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Brian Key
Email	Brian@BrianKey.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Roberta Economidis
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 10:22:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Roberta Economidis
Email	reconomidis@yahoo.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: barbara spalding
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 10:18:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	barbara spalding
Email	barbaramail07@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Mark Dutko
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 10:04:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Mark Dutko
Email	mark@dwelldesigns.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,
Mark Dutko

From: Newton Butler
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 9:53:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Newton Butler
Email	Louissf@yahoo.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Martin Murphy
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 9:33:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Martin Murphy
Email	martymurphy04@comcast.net
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,
Martin Murphy

From: Cornell Lee
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 9:29:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Cornell Lee
Email	corny1215@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Yves Chu
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 9:20:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Yves Chu
yveschu22@gmail.com
75 Gough St, Apt 22
San Francisco , California 94102

From: Carol Sainz
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 9:08:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Carol Sainz
Email	carolsainz@live.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: William Dymek
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 8:30:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	William Dymek
Email	bdymekster@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Hoping to join.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out, full audits for city contractors/non-profits, and ideally a total reset with a zero based budget.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special

interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

WJD

From: Marion Novasic
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 8:11:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Marion Novasic
Email	mn20001@hotmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Anastasia Fink
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 7:32:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Anastasia Fink
Email	sfink1420@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.
When
All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Firas Bukhari
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 7:19:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Firas Bukhari
Email	firasbukhari@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,
Firas Bukhari

From: Lorenzo DiCarlo
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 7:10:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Lorenzo DiCarlo
Email	ladicarlo@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Scott Quinn
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 6:47:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Scott Quinn
Email	scottmscott@yahoo.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: William Moore
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 6:30:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	William Moore
Email	BillGMoore@Yahoo.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Heck yeah! I want to be heard!

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,
Stop wasting outr tax dollars to pay for your fantasies that benefit nobody other than your friends getting the exclusive contracts. We are not your personal piggy banks and are sick and tired of paying higher and higher taxes and getting BUPKUS all while you clowns laugh all the way to the bank and we the residents deal with conditions worse than some 3rd world countries. You are all subject to recall and need to realize you work for us, not the other way around. Do your jobs abnd stop wasting time and our tax dollars. if this was a private business all of you would have been fired years ago for dereliction of duty and incompetence.

From: T Noguera
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 6:30:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	T Noguera
Email	noguera@changes.world
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Judi Gorski
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 6:29:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Judi Gorski
Email	judigorski@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,
Judi Gorski
SF Resident District 4

From: William Dean
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 6:28:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

William Dean
williamalbertdean@gmail.com
1099 Fillmore Street #6A
San Francisco , California 94115

From: John Nulty
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 6:27:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	John Nulty
Email	john.nulty@yahoo.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Mari Murayama
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 6:15:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Mari Murayama
Email	mdmurayama@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

Mari Murayama
District 1

From: Tris Thomson
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 6:08:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Tris Thomson
Email	tris.thomson@comcast.net
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Erica Sandberg
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 5:56:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Erica Sandberg
Email	esandberg_2000@yahoo.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Heck yeah! I want to be heard!

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Justin Truong
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 5:54:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Justin Truong

Email justintruong56@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Barklee Sanders
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 5:30:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Barklee Sanders
Email	barkleesanders@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.
Optional: Provide your phone number if you want us to send you a text reminder/ updates.	6075979843

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Derrick Mapp
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 5:17:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Derrick Mapp
dredscott1@mac.com
1165 Treat Avenue
San Francisco , California 94110

From: Ryan Coate
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 5:02:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Ryan Coate
coate.ryan@gmail.com
615 Cole st apt 17
San Francisco, California 94117

From: MICHAEL TORRES
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 4:59:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	MICHAEL TORRES
Email	mtorres253@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Hoping to join.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Jennifer Raub
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 4:38:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Jennifer Raub
Email	jenneraub@hotmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Trevor Olazabal
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 4:29:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Trevor Olazabal
trevor20800@live.com
16006 e 14th street #209
San leandro, California 94578

From: PATIENCE HUTCHINSON
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 4:17:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	PATIENCE HUTCHINSON
Email	knit1purl1@sbcglobal.net
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Hoping to join.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Darla Bratton
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 3:56:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Darla Bratton
dbratton@sfaf.org
1362 Funston Ave Apt 4
San Francisco, California 94122

From: Kirstin Barnett
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 3:49:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Kirstin Barnett
kirstinbarnettj@gmail.com
1229 Campbell St
Oakland, California 94607

From: T. J. Lee-Miyaki
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 3:39:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

T. J. Lee-Miyaki
tjleeinsfca@gmail.com
3140 21st Street
San Francisco, California 94110

From: David Driver
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 3:39:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	David Driver
Email	davidrandolphdriver@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Barb T
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 3:33:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Barb T
Email	btassa@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

The City needs to enforce laws, particularly in D10 where many things are overlooked and where city budgets rarely improve the quality of life for all residents.

Sincerely,

From: Peter Sablan
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 3:27:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Peter Sablan
figity8@comcast.net
1125 Fell Street. #3
San Francisco , California 94117

From: Susan Wolff
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 3:23:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Susan Wolff
Email	SunRose7818@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery. Vetern's Alley is grafitti Absolutely no needed for any reason.The$ fuels drugs+ alcohol for those involved+ does not make SF a better place.Defund the bike coalition. Their main goal is to make everyone else's life more difficult. The Absolutely unnecessary + poorly placed bike lanes+ the blanket disregard of traffic laws does not benefit people who need to drive their kids to school.Ginsburg must be fired.The lake just renovated on chain of lakes does not have 1 water fountain.The inappropriate plants chosen a student in gardening 101 would know not to plant.The wasteful renovations such as the horseshoe area near Sloat/22 does not look finished.No benches to sit upon,no water fountain.Rented fencing fallen down for months.

Sincerely,
Susan Wolff

From: twelvechi@gmail.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 3:22:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

twelvechi@gmail.com
1125 fell street, Apt 3
San Francisco, California 94117

From: Tony Fox
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 3:15:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Tony Fox
Email	sftonyfox@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Hoping to join.
Optional: Provide your phone number if you want us to send you a text reminder/ updates.	4156996428

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Spencer Sherwin
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 3:13:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Spencer Sherwin
Email	spencer.sherwin@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Stephanie Lehman
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 3:10:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Stephanie Lehman
Email	slehman21@yahoo.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: hermanto notodihardjo
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 3:10:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	hermanto notodihardjo
Email	hnotodihardjo@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Mitchell Smith
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 3:07:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Mitchell Smith
Email	htimsm1@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Tyler Cooper
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 3:07:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Tyler Cooper
Email	tylerjudsoncooper@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Hoping to join.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Mark Felix
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 3:04:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Mark Felix
Email	mafelix86@yahoo.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Xander Briere
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 2:57:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Xander Briere
decriminalization@codionysus.org
1051 Post St. Apt 27
San Francisco, CA, California 94109

From: Ande Stone
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 2:57:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Ande Stone
astone@sfaf.org
31 Collingwood St
San Francisco, California 94114

From: Rahul Reddy
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 2:35:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Rahul Reddy
Email	rahulbr87@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Hoping to join.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Tony Walsh
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 2:34:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Tony Walsh
Email	tvc3@duck.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Todd Davis
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 2:34:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Todd Davis
Email	td@hoyablue.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Hoping to join.
Optional: Provide your phone number if you want us to send you a text reminder/ updates.	4153409041

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From:	Albert Chow
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	connie.chan@sfgov.com; daniel.lurie@sfgov.com
Subject:	Advocating for OCEIA Ambassadors
Date:	Tuesday, June 17, 2025 1:25:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I would like to lend my voice of support keeping an essential service to our city, the OCEIA Ambassadors! They have been helping our Parkside/Taraval neighborhood in countless ways taking care of our seniors, escorting small children in preschool, checking in on persons in need and unable to leave their homes, assisting in keeping our streets maintained and just listening to to neighbors that want a kind ear to hear their problems. This is the soft side of safety that we have not seen before and it reflects well on our city that we value a program like this. Don't cut CAP!!

Sincerely,

Albert Chow
Owner, Great Wall Hardware
President, People of Parkside Sunset

From: Alan Frame
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 12:30:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Alan Frame
Email	alan@pluon.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Hoping to join.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

Compared to other cities, we spend way to much for the same services.

We need budget reform right now. Special interests treat their funding as sacrosanct are always clamoring for more, more, more. Supporting them in these efforts betrays the vast majority of San Franciscans who'll be left holdin the bag for tax and fee increases to support these interests.

It is clear to residents that:
* Spending should not exceed comparable city-county organizations nationwide
* Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.
* All fraud should be rooted out. There is not nearly enough auditing of expenditures or evaluation of program effectiveness.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,
Alan Frame

From: Frank Dal Santo
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 11:17:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Frank Dal Santo
Email	frank@thedalsantos.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interest groups over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

Dr. Frank B.Dal Santo

From: Spencer guthrie
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 8:58:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Spencer guthrie
Email	spencer.guthrie@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From:	Alana
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	jnuti@ifpte21.org; ewallace@ifpte21.org; union21@ifpte21.org
Subject:	Save CityBuild - Board of Supervisors
Date:	Tuesday, June 17, 2025 8:24:09 AM
Attachments:	Save CityBuild! BOS 6_17_25.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

Please provide the attached letter to all members of the Board of Supervisors prior to today's BOS meeting. This concerns proposed layoffs for this budget cycle.

Thank you,

Alana Toliver

From: Charlie Hernandez
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: City Build Program
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 7:51:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

I am writing to express my strong support for the CityBuild program and to urge you to continue investing in this vital resource for the San Francisco community.

CityBuild provides opportunities that many individuals in our city would never otherwise have. It opens doors to stable careers, personal growth, and long-term success—especially for those who may face barriers to entering the workforce. These programs change lives, and I know this because I'm living proof of it.

45 years ago, I was a participant in a similar city program. That opportunity set the foundation for my entire career. Today, I proudly serve as the Business Manager of Ironworkers Local 377. Like myself, there are countless others who have built fulfilling careers thanks to programs like CityBuild.

Eliminating CityBuild would not only close off a critical pipeline to good union jobs, it would also undermine the city's commitment to equity, inclusion, and economic mobility. We need more programs like this, not fewer.

I respectfully urge you to keep CityBuild fully funded and supported. Let's continue to give future generations the same opportunity I had—to build not just structures, but better lives for themselves and their communities.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

--
Thank You,

Charlie Hernandez
Business Manager
Ironworkers Local 377

From: naomi.l.white44@gmail.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: City Build
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 7:49:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

No cuts, San Francisco needs fair hiring on city jobs!
Sent from my iPhone

From: Molly OShea
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: CityBuildProgram
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 7:12:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please do not take down our CityBuild program. We have raised our children in The City and have watched several of the neighborhood kids find their lives straightened out due to this program. They receive training employment they stay in for years making enough money to stay in San Francisco and raise a family. This opportunity would not have been available had it not been for this program. Our kids are now grown up with families of their own. Their success came from the opportunities that came from CityBuild. Please give those same opportunities to others.

Thank you,
320 Virginia Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94110
mollyaoshea@sbcglobal.net

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE
This email and any files or previous email messages transmitted with it may contain confidential information that is privileged or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended addressee, you are hereby notified that you may not use, copy, disclose or distribute to anyone the information contained in or attached to this message. If you receive this message in error, please immediately advise mollyaoshea@sbcglobal.net by reply email and delete this message, its attachments and any copies. Thank you.

From: Jason Hargraves
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Important of city build to residents
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 4:58:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning
To whom it may concern
My name is Jason Hargraves
I am a graduate of City Build cycle 2
If I were not for City Build Program and Staffing, I would not be in the construction trades to this day. All my begins start with the interview process, class experiences, Mayor/ Governor Gavin Newsom and City Build Staff lead graduation to job placement along the years. I have built both professional and personal relationships with both staff and students members of City Build that are strong today. They are courageous, empathetic and generous. " Help me help you" a model saying from staff member Zelda.
City Build is an essential part of the city's well being and preparedness for workforce present future and past.

SAVE our Future Today
When you look around at the city buildings
Asked yourself how many of City Build staff workers/ students have participated in the construction of structures that are still standing today.

Best regards
Peace, blessings and greetings

Sent from my iPhone

From: Monika Kobylka
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Keep City Build
Date: Monday, June 16, 2025 9:40:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please oppose dismantling of City Build!
In the city where disadvantaged often fall through the cracks of the system, City Build provides programs that help to lift them up!
The people that run the programs are passionate about helping people in their communities, and should not fall victims to proposed budget cuts!

Sincerely,

San Francisco resident
Monika Perez

Sent from my iPhone

From: Jane Aguirre
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 16, 2025 11:59:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Jane Aguirre

Email jaguirre0422@gmail.com

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Laura Higbie
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 16, 2025 11:20:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Laura Higbie

Email: lhigbie@hotmail.com

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Gary Egan
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 16, 2025 10:47:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Gary Egan

Email: egan.w.gary@gmail.com

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Michael Larsen
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 16, 2025 9:30:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Michael Larsen

Email: epml@aol.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Helen McClure
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 16, 2025 9:23:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Helen McClure

Email: helenzmc@aol.com

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Senta Tsantilis
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 16, 2025 8:59:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Senta Tsantilis

Email: sptsantilis@gmail.com

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: mary walsh gorski
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 16, 2025 8:34:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: mary walsh gorski

Email: mcwgorski@gmail.com

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Judy Chu
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 16, 2025 8:04:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Judy Chu

Email judychu72@gmail.com

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Carolyn Selig
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 16, 2025 7:27:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Carolyn Selig

Email: carolyn.selig@yahoo.com

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget! I am a fifth generation San Franciscan, a business woman in downtown San Francisco and raising my family in this city. I want to see the city thrive and recover from the pandemic which means hard choices are in front of us.

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget including a hard look at numerous departments including SFMTA.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Selig

From: Courtney Klinge
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 16, 2025 4:42:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Courtney Klinge

Email: Cklinge@yahoo.com

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Michael Eisler
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 16, 2025 1:24:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Michael Eisler

Email mbeis@hotmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Justin Truong
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Sunday, June 15, 2025 11:32:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Justin Truong

Email: justintruong56@gmail.com

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Esfir Shrayber
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Sunday, June 15, 2025 11:26:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Esfir Shrayber

Email to_fira@yahoo.com

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Utkarsh Nath
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Sunday, June 15, 2025 10:59:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Utkarsh Nath
utkarsh.nath@yahoo.com
34462 Alberta Terrace
Fremont, California 94555

From: Maryann Hrichak
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Sunday, June 15, 2025 10:20:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Maryann Hrichak

Email Mhrichak@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery. I know public safety is Mayor Lurie's prime concern.

Thank you for your consideration. Keep up the great work!

From: Caroline Matthews
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Sunday, June 15, 2025 10:07:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Caroline Matthews

Email carolinem_matthews@yahoo.com

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,
Caroline Matthews

From: Yvonne Lin
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Sunday, June 15, 2025 9:53:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Yvonne Lin

Email: yglin@alum.mit.edu

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Karen Schwartz
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Sunday, June 15, 2025 9:04:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Karen Schwartz

Email kielygomes@yahoo.com

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Amir Talebi
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Sunday, June 15, 2025 8:33:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Amir Talebi

Email: AmirTalebi123@Gmail.com

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Edward Sullivan
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Sunday, June 15, 2025 8:24:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Edward Sullivan

Email efsullyjr@aol.com

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: MARGARET O"DRISCOLL
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Sunday, June 15, 2025 7:59:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent MARGARET O'DRISCOLL

Email margaret@mission-properties.com

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Eric Yopes
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Sunday, June 15, 2025 7:58:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Eric Yopes

Email: eyopes@ecp-llc.com

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget. In particular, it is essential to reduce headcount. San Francisco has more public employees per capita of population than any other major city in the U.S. This is not a distinction we want to have. In addition to reducing employee headcount, we need to regain control of the public employee pension system that is burdening tomorrow's taxpayers because today's political establishment is afraid to take a rational approach to pension contributions. It is shameful that we are contributing to a ticking fiscal time bomb in this manner.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: ANDREW NADELL
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Sunday, June 15, 2025 7:34:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent ANDREW NADELL

Email caius@caius.com

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Kevin Wallace
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Sunday, June 15, 2025 7:24:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Kevin Wallace

Email: kevinwallace415@gmail.com

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,
Kevin Wallace

400 Day Street
San Francisco

From: Julien DeFrance
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Sunday, June 15, 2025 7:11:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Julien DeFrance

Email julien.defrance@gmail.com

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Rohit Goel
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: I Support Right-Sizing SF"s Budget!
Date: Sunday, June 15, 2025 7:11:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Rohit Goel

Email rgoel441@gmail.com

I Support Right-Sizing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors and Controller,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Thank you Mayor Lurie for understanding that we need structural budget reform right now.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: ROBERT GEASE
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Sunday, June 15, 2025 7:06:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent ROBERT GEASE

Email robgease@yahoo.com

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Kathryn Duryea
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Sunday, June 15, 2025 5:30:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Kathryn Duryea

Email: kathryn.duryea@gmail.com

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Donna Crowder
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Sunday, June 15, 2025 5:03:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Donna Crowder

Email: dona@donacrowder.com

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

-Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

-All fraud should be rooted out.

-There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

-There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

-Public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely, Donna Crowder

From: Tom Lee
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Sunday, June 15, 2025 12:04:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Tom Lee

Email: thl001@gmail.com

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Tony Walsh
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Sunday, June 15, 2025 11:55:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Tony Walsh

Email tvc3@duck.com

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: ebrockavich.sf@gmail.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Saturday, June 14, 2025 10:44:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

ebrockavich.sf@gmail.com
5235 Diamond Heights Blvd as
San Francisco, California 94131

From: Eli Fisher
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Saturday, June 14, 2025 7:31:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Eli Fisher
ejfish235@gmail.com
1117 Joel Ct
Richmond, California 94805

From: Julia Axelrod
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Friday, June 13, 2025 4:03:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

As a UCSF researcher, I bear witness to the challenges of caring for patients from our Tenderloin community, who are frequently batting addiction, homelessness, and countless forms of systematic marginalization and discrimination. I have also been privileged to witness the profound impact GLIDE's Social Justice Academy has on empowering members of the Tenderloin community to combat these systemic disadvantages and take ownership of their care. However, this essential program is under threat due to the latest proposed city budget.

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, especially GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Julia Axelrod
juliakaxel+AN@gmail.com
1947 15th St
San Francisco, California 94114

From:	Carl Russo
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	vpicton1@gmail.com
Subject:	Pass a people-friendly budget!
Date:	Friday, June 13, 2025 3:12:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear members of the SF Board of Supervisors:

We urge you to pass a people-friendly budget that fully funds services for seniors, women, children, and families; the Human Rights Commission, the Health Department; the Environment Department; the Public Defender; police accountability; and the arts.

Sincerely,

Carl Russo and Vanessa Picton
1965 Page Street, Apt, 303
San Francisco, CA 94117

From: Laura Vollmer
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Written comment for budget hearing
Date: Friday, June 13, 2025 11:58:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I spoke during public comment last night and am submitting the transcript below for the written record.

Best,
Laura

Hello, my name is Laura Vollmer and I am the co-chair of Shape Up San Francisco, a coalition that has been working to advance health equity in San Francisco since 2006, with an emphasis on healthy eating and active living.
We urge you to **restore full funding for the community grants, oral health task forces, and rec and park programming, as the voter-approved soda tax advisory committee has recommended.** We are grateful to the board for supporting addbacks of soda tax recommended funding last year.

According to the 2024 Community Health Assessment, seven of the top ten leading causes of death for San Francisco residents are nutrition-sensitive chronic diseases. This underscores the urgent need for direct services and population-level interventions that promote equitable access to healthy eating and active living opportunities and that is just what the soda tax aims to fund.

These recommendations were designed to invest in **the very communities most impacted by chronic diseases and aggressively targeted by the sugary drink industry** — low-income communities, Black, Latinx, Asian, and Pacific Islander residents. The community grants, for example, trust these communities to lead and they help to fund work that's grounded in culture, language, and lived experience — and it's making a real difference. Soda tax funding for Rec and Park resulted in residents feeling safer in their communities and fostered a greater sense of trust with public safety officers. The Oral Health Taskforces reached more than 7,000 participants last year, increasing access to dental and oral healthcare and providing culturally and linguistically responsive oral health education.

Cutting this funding sets back progress on health equity. Shape Up is calling on you to **honor the intent of the soda tax**, listen to the community voices who shaped it, and restore full funding for the Healthy Communities and Policy, Systems, and Environment grants, Oral Health task forces, and Rec

and Park programming.

Laura Vollmer, on behalf of the Shape Up San Francisco coalition

--
Laura Vollmer, MPH, RD
Community Nutrition & Health Advisor
University of California Cooperative Extension
serving San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara counties
lvollmer@ucanr.edu | 650.922.1083

From: Luse Tutoe
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc: Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Crayton, Monique (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Philip, Susan (DPH); Goette, Christina (DPH); Tugbenyoh, Mawuli (HRC); Tsai, Daniel (DPH)
Date: Thursday, June 12, 2025 10:02:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Talofa Lava (Hello) Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and Committee Members,

My name is Luseane Tutoe and I am a concerned community member. I urge you to reconsider the proposed budget cuts that harm Pacific Islander and other marginalized communities.

All My Usos (AMU) and Fa'atasi Youth Services are the only Pacific Islander-led organizations in San Francisco with a dedicated focus on chronic disease prevention—one of the most urgent health disparities facing Pacific Islanders. Like other Pacific Islander organizations, they also offer essential services in mental health, food access, and community healing. What sets AMU and Fa'atasi apart is their culturally grounded approach to chronic disease prevention, delivered through free health education, nutrition support, and physical activity programming. Together they contribute to a healthier, more equitable San Francisco.

As a recent MA Ethnic Studies graduate from San Francisco State University, I was in awe of the community spaces and transformative efforts done by our local Pacific Islander community organizations. It allowed me for a space I felt welcomed and nurtured. I leave workshops, community events, and campaigns feeling inspired, healed, and

encouraged to put my best foot forward in the work I personally put out. It would be a sincere loss for San Francisco to allow budget cuts to take place in an avenue that has resiliently served marginalized communities, giving voice to the voiceless, and with an already troubling budget.

Cutting $3.5 million from Food Security, Oral Health, and Physical Activity contradicts Mayor Lurie's commitment to health and safety for all San Franciscans. Voters approved the Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax (SDDT) to fund these programs—not to be erased. These cuts eliminate access to essential health resources, especially in underserved communities.

Defunding these services will do more harm than good. Stand with our communities and STOP THE CUTS!

With love and respect, Concerned Community Member

"*Tautua nei mo se taeao manuia.*"

"*Serve now for a better tomorrow*."

From:	Amos Lim
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Annette Wong; Nicholas Gee; Shuangyan Li; Anthony Huang
Subject:	My public comment for Today"s Budget Appropriation Meeting Item re OEWD
Date:	Thursday, June 12, 2025 7:44:55 PM
Attachments:	Public Comment- CAA - OEWD Funding 2025.pdf Chinese for Affirmative Action - OEWD SJC Budget Reduction 2025.pdf Letter of Spport - UCSF.pdf Letter of Support for CAA- STEP.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors and Clerk of the board,

Please find attached my public comment testimony for today's meeting plus the letter of support that we have received from STEP (Substitute Teacher Empowerment & Placement) and UCSF for your public records.

Thank you!

Regards,
Amos Lim

Amos Lim | 林明利
Chinese for Affirmative Action
Economic Justice Program Manager
华人权益促进会 | 经济正义项目经理
he/him/他 | (415) 738-3348 |
hotline/热线号码 | (415) 598-8508
alim@caasf.org

CAA has multiple open positions. Apply now!

From:	Shuangyan Li
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Subject:	Submitting Public Comment for Annual Budget on the hearing June 12, 2025
Date:	Thursday, June 12, 2025 7:35:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Brent and the Board of Supervisors,

I would like to submit a public comment in regards to the item #250589 at hearing on today, June 12, 2025:

Good evening Board of Supervisors. My name is Shuangyan Li and I'm an advocate with Chinese for Affirmative Action. I'm here to request for your support to continue funding the employment services CAA provides as a Specialized Job Center, with a focus on Chinese-speaking San Franciscans with limited English proficiency, and support them with job search, job applications, resumes, interview prep, and job onboarding, which provides full guidance to secure a stable job in the City.

As Supervisor Chan shared at the beginning of yesterday's hearing, the Budget and Appropriation Committee is working to guarantee San Francisco continues to invest in offering free employment resources for our city residents.

In fiscal year 2025 to 2026 the funding was reduced from $284 thousands down to $100 thousands. With the current situation and seeing the effort the city is leading to restore the support for working class San Franciscans, I urge the committee to restore funding to CAA to our original two year contract amount of $284,000. This will ensure the service center enough time to conduct effective placement and conduct support to guarantee our clients are successful in their positions.

Thank you for your time and consideration, we appreciate the Board of Supervisors for your leadership and effort in this difficult budget year.

Best,
Shuangyan Li
--



Shuangyan Li

Chinese for Affirmative Action

Advocacy Coordinator

华人权益促进会 | 倡导协调员

she/her/她 | (415) 274-6750

shuangyan@caasf.org

A founding partner of: 

From:	Anthony Huang
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Subject:	Submitting Written Public Comment for File No. 250589, OEWD Funding FY25-26
Date:	Thursday, June 12, 2025 6:30:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Brent and Committee Members,

This public comment is for File No. 250589, specifically for the Office of Economic and Workforce Development (OEWD).

My name is Anthony Huang, and I'm an advocate with Chinese for Affirmative Action. I urge OEWD to continue funding the Specialized Job Center for all Limited English Proficient San Franciscans, which CAA proudly leads.

At a time when immigrant rights are under attack, it is more important than ever to uphold our commitment to equity and economic inclusion. Our job center focuses on serving immigrants with limited English proficiency in San Francisco. Due to previous funding cuts, we've had to turn away too many clients—individuals who are actively seeking stable employment to support their families and contribute to San Francisco's economic recovery. On top of that, our clients often seek jobs that are essential to the functioning of our city, including critical positions within city departments.

Each year, we provide workforce development services to approximately 135 LEP clients, with a 75% success rate in placing them into high-road employment or adult education opportunities. We urge the committee and OEWD to recognize the value and impact of this work and to restore $184,000 and bring the contract from its recommended $100,000 back to its original funding level of $284,000 for FY 2025–2026. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Anthony
--

Anthony Huang | 黄硕韬
Chinese for Affirmative Action
Civic Engagement
Community Advocate
华人权益促进会 | 社区参与项目-社区倡议者

he/him/他 | (415) 558-0171

hotline/热线号码 | (415) 598-8508

ahuang@caasf.org

From: Solange Cuba
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Thursday, June 12, 2025 2:57:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Solange Cuba
cubasolange23@gmail.com
3536 Victor Ave
Oakland, California 94619

From: Francesca Gonzalez
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment for 6/12 B & A Committee
Date: Thursday, June 12, 2025 2:29:57 PM
Attachments: HYPE Center_HRC_Letter of Support_signed_250423.pdf
Hype letter_000132.pdf
HYPE Statement of Support.pdf
Letter of Support -HYPE Center.pdf
HYPE Support Letter AYP.pdf
Letter of Support Tech@Hand.pdf
Letter of Support-HYPE Center.pdf
Ltr re HYPE Center 2025-05-18.pdf
NewDoor LOS.docx
Letter of Support HYPE.pdf
SF SafeHouse Letter of Support - Freedom Forward.pdf
PPNorCal_HYPE Center LoS_2025a.pdf
WCC LOS HYPE 5.23.25.pdf
HYPE Center LoS.pdf
Hype Center 2025 Letter of Support.pdf
HYPE Center Letter of Support.pdf
HYPE Center Support Letter - LYRIC.pdf
Hype Center Support Letter.docx.pdf
HYPE Center support letter.pdf
The HYPE Center_s Impact.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Brent and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I wanted to once again elevate the urgency around sustaining investments for MOHCD, DYCF and HRC for our TAY community, Gender-Based Violence organizations and the Dream Keepers Initiative.

As most of you know I am a survivor leader as the ED of Freedom Forward and we run the HYPE Center, San Francisco's only multi-service drop-in center dedicated to supporting youth impacted by commercial sexual exploitation.

Attached are **Letters of Support** from partners across the city and region who know how critical this work is and what is at stake for these young people.

We know this budget season is incredibly challenging. But the young people we serve youth who have already experienced immense systemic failure should not be the ones asked to bear these cuts.

We urge you to protect this prevention work in the final budget. Prevention IS a pathway to the priority outcomes in the Mayor's budget!

We remain prepared to partner, collaborate, and continue demonstrating outcomes. But we cannot do this without the City's continued investment.

Thank you so much for your leadership and consideration.

Best,
FG

In Community,

Francesca Gonzalez (she/her)
Executive Director
Meet | Connect | Give

From: Kasey Rios Asberry
To: ChanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; DorseyStaff (BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); MahmoodStaff; MandelmanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject: SF Budget Resolution: TL Blueprint, Consider this please
Date: Thursday, June 12, 2025 1:52:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

This letter urges you to continue funding for resilience and capacity building in the Tenderloin & SoMa neighborhoods in the new budget cycle.

While it is tempting to contract and retrench in times of uncertainty we know from lessons learned in building the technology capital of the world that only investment in the outcomes we want to see, especially in downtimes, lead to their expansion.

We also have experienced again and again though seemingly not learned from it
[DPW Director Nuhru culture-> Community Challenge Grants->corruption, replicated in SF R&PD-Parks Alliance, for example]
that top-down externally- imposed solutions at a minimum do not work, do not build the resilience we need at the neighborhood level. And at the extreme this model can lead to massive waste, corruption and illegal activities.

Every budget is a chance to articulate our values.
As we value a vibrant culture nourished by inclusivity we must invest in support for our residents the most at risk for displacement and ill-health. By stabilizing “the least of these” in Franciscan terms we raise the entire City to a new level of stability. We restore San Francisco as a welcoming, exciting place everyone wants to be a part of: whether as a visitor, resident, business owner small and large, and the cultural workers that give the City its character and sense of possibility.

As you execute your sacred duty in directing the flow of resources in our City for the next two years please consider these principles:
- Broad-base up not top down: provide substantial support for initiatives authentically lead by residents and the smaller non profits who serve them
-Resilience comes from hyperlocal capacity: Invest in capacity building for front line cultural organizations who demonstrate this ability already, Such as

Tenderloin Peoples Congress
Faithful Fools
Code Tenderloin
Hospitality House
CounterPulse
TurkxTaylor Initiative
Senior Disability Action
Glide Social Justice Academy
Association of the Ramaytush Ohlone

Please refer to the original Tenderloin Peoples Plan 2020 to get a recommended blueprint of resident-lead research & planning.
https://sfplanning.org/sites/default/files/documents/citywide/tenderloin-community-action-plan/tenderloin_community_action_plan_tpc_vision_2020.pdf

A final important thought - world class cities have world class public transit. We know that this cannot be funded by ridership.
We look to you to prioritize everyone's ability to get where we're going in a timely, affordable fashion benefiting all of our major engines of resilience and growth.

Holding he image of your success in these matters, sincerely,

Kasey Rios
>>>><><<<<
Demonstration Gardens
kasberry@humanorigins.org
415-283-8570
>>>><><<<<

From:	Tina Sataraka
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Crayton, Monique (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Philip, Susan (DPH); Goette, Christina (DPH); Tugbenyoh, Mawuli (HRC); info@allmyusos.org
Subject:	File Number 250624: Harmful Cuts to Pacific Islander and Marginalized Communities
Date:	Wednesday, June 18, 2025 10:00:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Talofa Lava (Hello) Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and Committee Members,

My name is Tina Sataraka-Faitala, and I am a concerned community member. I urge you to reconsider the proposed budget cuts that harm Pacific Islander and other marginalized communities.

All My Usos (AMU) and Fa'atasi Youth Services are the only Pacific Islander-led organizations in San Francisco with a dedicated focus on chronic disease prevention—one of the most urgent health disparities facing Pacific Islanders. Like other Pacific Islander organizations, they also offer essential services in mental health, food access, and community healing. What sets AMU and Fa'atasi apart is their culturally grounded approach to chronic disease prevention, delivered through free health education, nutrition support, and physical activity programming. Together they contribute to a healthier, more equitable San Francisco.

Cutting $3.5 million from Food Security, Oral Health, and Physical Activity contradicts Mayor Lurie's commitment to health and safety for all San Franciscans. Voters approved the Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax (SDDT) to fund these programs—not to be erased. These cuts eliminate access to essential health resources, especially in underserved communities.

Defunding these services will do more harm than good. Stand with our communities and STOP THE CUTS!

With love and respect,
Concerned Community Member
"Tautua nei mo se taeao manuia."
"Serve now for a better tomorrow."

From:	Michelle Brezeale
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Crayton, Monique (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Philip, Susan (DPH); Goette, Christina (DPH); Tugbenyoh, Mawuli (HRC); Tsai, Daniel (DPH); DPH-Christine-at-allmyusos.org
Subject:	File Number 250624 Harmful Cuts to Pacific Islander and Marginalized Communities
Date:	Monday, June 16, 2025 9:22:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Talofa Lava (Hello) Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, Legislative and Committee Members,

My name is Michelle Brezeale, a community health worker with All My Usos, and I am a concerned community member. I urge you to reconsider the proposed budget cuts that harm Pacific Islander and other marginalized communities.

All My Usos (AMU) offers essential services and resources to underserved communities in San Francisco. AMU also addresses critical issues such as mental health, food insecurity, and healing for families *and communities. By breaking down barriers, AMU commits themselves to ensure that anyone who walks through their doors has access to free resources specifically catered to meet their needs while fostering support that thrives on culturally relevant practices.

AMU and Fa'atasi Youth Services are the only Pacific Islander organizations that address the chronic health disparities that exist within the Pacific Islander community. Access to free health and nutrition education and physical activities are vital in creating a healthier and thriving San Francisco.

Mayor Lurie states that he is here to provide exceptional core city services so that every San Franciscans can live safe, healthier, and productive lives. Cutting **$3.5 Million** to Food Security, Oral Health, and Physical Activity IS NOT how you make this statement come true. **San Francisco Voters** passed the Sugary Drinks Distributors Tax (SDDT) so it can go directly to funding programs that address illnesses related to the consumption of sugary beverages. This is not how you create a healthier San Francisco amongst residents who continue to be left out of conversations that impact their livelihood. The money that was allocated for grant programs is being cut completely. That means community members have no direct access to healthy food, oral health care (especially for children of color), and neighborhoods that have food deserts will continue to be denied access to accessible,

affordable, and basic health resources.

<u>Please help stop the cuts</u> to the **Sugary Drinks Tax** that Mayor Lurie is proposing should be cut completely. Wiping out access to these services will do more harm than good. If being data driven means increasing funding for police, then that's a statement of war for families who will no longer have access to services that have been impacting their lives positively.

With love and respect,

Concerned Community Member
"Tautua nei mo se taeao manuia."
"Serve now for a better tomorrow."

--

View my contact details here: https://blinq.me/y6QwoMagpHmu

From:	Marquis Hunkin
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Crayton, Monique (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Philip, Susan (DPH); Goette, Christina (DPH)
Subject:	File Number 250624 Harmful Cuts to Pacific Islander and Marginalized Communities
Date:	Sunday, June 15, 2025 4:23:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Talofa Lava (Hello) Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and Committee Members,

My name is Marquis Hunkin, and I am a concerned community member. I urge you to reconsider the proposed budget cuts that harm Pacific Islander and other marginalized communities.

All My Usos (AMU) and Fa'atasi Youth Services are the only Pacific Islander-led organizations in San Francisco with a dedicated focus on chronic disease prevention—one of the most urgent health disparities facing Pacific Islanders. Like other Pacific Islander organizations, they also offer essential services in mental health, food access, and community healing. What sets AMU and Fa'atasi apart is their culturally grounded approach to chronic disease prevention, delivered through free health education, nutrition support, and physical activity programming. Together they contribute to a healthier, more equitable San Francisco.

These services offered by AMU and Fa'atasi Youth benefit not just us people of Polynesia but countless other ethnicities too. They keep the younger people engaged in activities and programs instead of being homeless, committing crimes and being in danger. As well as keeping the older people busy and involved after retirement. My nieces and nephews have been helped through their various programs and continue to be helped as of today.

Cutting $3.5 million from Food Security, Oral Health, and Physical Activity contradicts Mayor Lurie's commitment to health and safety for all San Franciscans. Voters approved the Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax (SDDT) to fund these programs—not to be erased. These cuts eliminate access to essential health resources, especially in underserved communities.

Defunding these services will do more harm than good. Stand with our communities and STOP THE CUTS!

With love and respect, Concerned Community Member

"Tautua nei mo se taeao manuia."

“Serve now for a better tomorrow.”

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Meri Veavea
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Crayton, Monique (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Philip, Susan (DPH); Goette, Christina (DPH); Tugbenyoh, Mawuli (HRC); info@allmyusos.org
Subject:	File Number 250624: Harmful Cuts to Pacific Islander and Marginalized Communities
Date:	Friday, June 13, 2025 6:30:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Talofa Lava (Hello) Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and Committee Members,

My name is Meri Veavea, and I am a concerned community member. I urge you to reconsider the proposed budget cuts that harm Pacific Islander and other marginalized communities.

All My Usos (AMU) and Fa'atasi Youth Services are the only Pacific Islander-led organizations in San Francisco with a dedicated focus on chronic disease prevention—one of the most urgent health disparities facing Pacific Islanders. Like other Pacific Islander organizations, they also offer essential services in mental health, food access, and community healing. What sets AMU and Fa'atasi apart is their culturally grounded approach to chronic disease prevention, delivered through free health education, nutrition support, and physical activity programming. Together they contribute to a healthier, more equitable San Francisco.

Cutting $3.5 million from Food Security, Oral Health, and Physical Activity contradicts Mayor Lurie's commitment to health and safety for all San Franciscans. Voters approved the Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax (SDDT) to fund these programs—not to be erased. These cuts eliminate access to essential health resources, especially in underserved communities.

Defunding these services will do more harm than good. Stand with our communities and STOP THE CUTS!

With love and respect,
Concerned Community Member
"Tautua nei mo se taeao manuia."
"Serve now for a better tomorrow."

From:	Jessica Ponce
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Crayton, Monique (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Philip, Susan (DPH); Goette, Christina (DPH); Tugbenyoh, Mawuli (HRC); info@allmyusos.org
Subject:	File Number 250624: Harmful Cuts to Pacific Islander and Marginalized Communities
Date:	Friday, June 13, 2025 4:49:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Talofa Lava (Hello) Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and Committee Members,

My name is Jessica Ponce, I am a concerned community member and resident of District 10. I urge you to reconsider the proposed budget cuts that harm Pacific Islander and other marginalized communities.

All My Usos (AMU) and Fa'atasi Youth Services are the only Pacific Islander-led organizations in San Francisco with a dedicated focus on chronic disease prevention—one of the most urgent health disparities facing Pacific Islanders. Like other Pacific Islander organizations, they also offer essential services in mental health, food access, and community healing. What sets AMU and Fa'atasi apart is their culturally grounded approach to chronic disease prevention, delivered through free health education, nutrition support, and physical activity programming. Together they contribute to a healthier, more equitable San Francisco.

Cutting $3.5 million from Food Security, Oral Health, and Physical Activity contradicts Mayor Lurie's commitment to health and safety for all San Franciscans. Voters approved the Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax (SDDT) to fund these programs—not to be erased. These cuts eliminate access to essential health resources, especially in underserved communities.

Defunding these services will do more harm than good. Stand with our communities and STOP THE CUTS!

With love and respect,
Concerned Community Member
"Tautua nei mo se taeao manuia."
"Serve now for a better tomorrow."

From: Sonya Iosia
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Crayton, Monique (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Philip, Susan (DPH); Goette, Christina (DPH); Tugbenyoh, Mawuli (HRC); Tsai, Daniel (DPH)
Cc: Khoo, Arthur (BOS); DPH-Christine-at-allmyusos.org
Subject: File Number 250624 Harmful Cuts to Pacific Islander and Marginalized Communities
Date: Friday, June 13, 2025 4:27:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Talofa Lava (Hello) Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, Legislative and Committee Members,

My name is Sonya Iosia and I am a Community Health Worker, and I am a concerned community member. I urge you to reconsider the proposed budget cuts that harm Pacific Islander and other marginalized communities.

All My Usos (AMU) offers essential services and resources to underserved communities in San Francisco. AMU also addresses critical issues such as mental health, food insecurity, and healing for families *and communities. By breaking down barriers, AMU commits themselves to ensure that anyone who walks through their doors has access to free resources specifically catered to meet their needs while fostering support that thrives on culturally relevant practices.

AMU and Fa'atasi Youth Services are the only Pacific Islander organizations that address the chronic health disparities that exist within the Pacific Islander community. Access to free health and nutrition education and physical activities are vital in creating a healthier and thriving San Francisco.

PLEASE look into your hearts and understand that you are taking away services that are essential to this community. We should not have to beg. This is not a charity case; these are services that should be a guaranteed right for life. Our community deserves better.

Mayor Lurie states that he is here to provide exceptional core city services so that every San Franciscans can live safe, healthier, and productive lives. Cutting **$3.5 Million** to Food Security, Oral Health, and Physical Activity IS NOT how you make this statement come true. **San Francisco Voters** passed the Sugary Drinks Distributors Tax (SDDT) so it can go directly to funding programs that address

illnesses related to the consumption of sugary beverages. This is not how you create a healthier San Francisco amongst residents who continue to be left out of conversations that impact their livelihood. The money that was allocated for grant programs is being cut completely. That means community members have no direct access to healthy food, oral health care (especially for children of color), and neighborhoods that have food deserts will continue to be denied access to accessible, affordable, and basic health resources.

Please help stop the cuts to the **Sugary Drinks Tax** that Mayor Lurie is proposing should be cut completely. Wiping out access to these services will do more harm than good. If being data driven means increasing funding for police, then that's a statement of war for families who will no longer have access to services that have been impacting their lives positively.

With love and respect,

Concerned Community Member
"Tautua nei mo se taeao manuia."
"Serve now for a better tomorrow."

Sonya Iosia
Community Health Worker
sonyai@allmyusos.org

From:	Epi Aumavae
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Crayton, Monique (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Philip, Susan (DPH); Goette, Christina (DPH); Tugbenyoh, Mawuli (HRC); Tsai, Daniel (DPH)
Subject:	File Number 250624: Harmful Cuts to Pacific Islander and Marginalized Communities
Date:	Friday, June 13, 2025 1:47:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Talofa Lava / Greetings Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and Committee Members,

My name is Epi Aumavae, and I am a concerned community member. I urge you to reconsider the proposed budget cuts that harm Pacific Islander and other marginalized communities.

All My Usos (AMU) and Fa'atasi Youth Services are the only Pacific Islander-led organizations in San Francisco with a dedicated focus on chronic disease prevention—one of the most urgent health disparities facing Pacific Islanders. Like other Pacific Islander organizations, they also offer essential services in mental health, food access, and community healing. What sets AMU and Fa'atasi apart is their culturally grounded approach to chronic disease prevention, delivered through free health education, nutrition support, and physical activity programming. Together they contribute to a healthier, more equitable San Francisco.

Over the years we've been able to witness first hand just how vital and impactful the services and systems of care offered by All My Usos & Fa'atasi Youth Services are to our Pacific Islander community and to our community at large. They have been investing in the betterment of our people in ways that are rooted in our values of Tautua - Service, Fa'atasi - Unity, Aiga - family. From large scale community events like the All My Uso's Family Day, to health support groups, to workforce development in the wellness sector. They know how to serve our people and are responsive to our needs and are addressing major disparities in our Pasifika community. They deserve the proper funding to continue the great work they do.

Cutting $3.5 million from Food Security, Oral Health, and Physical Activity contradicts Mayor Lurie's commitment to health and safety for all San Franciscans. Voters approved the Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax (SDDT) to fund these programs—not to be erased. These cuts eliminate access to essential health resources, especially in underserved communities.

Defunding these services will do more harm than good. Stand with our communities and STOP THE CUTS!

With love and respect,
Concerned Community Member
"Tautua nei mo se taeao manuia."
"Serve now for a better tomorrow."

--





From:	Tina Sataraka
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Crayton, Monique (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Philip, Susan (DPH); Goette, Christina (DPH); Tugbenyoh, Mawuli (HRC); Tsai, Daniel (DPH); daniel.tsai@sfgov.org
Subject:	File Number 250624: Harmful Cuts to Pacific Islander and Marginalized Communities
Date:	Friday, June 13, 2025 9:28:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Talofa Lava (Hello) Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and Committee Members,

My name is Tina Sataraka-Faitala and I am an Associate Mental Health Therapist, Program Coordinator with All My Usos, and I am a concerned community member. I urge you to reconsider the proposed budget cuts that harm Pacific Islander and other marginalized communities.

All My Usos (AMU) and Fa'atasi Youth Services are the only Pacific Islander-led organizations in San Francisco with a dedicated focus on chronic disease prevention—one of the most urgent health disparities facing Pacific Islanders. Like other Pacific Islander organizations, they also offer essential services in mental health, food access, and community healing. What sets AMU and Fa'atasi apart is their culturally grounded approach to chronic disease prevention, delivered through free health education, nutrition support, and physical activity programming. Together they contribute to a healthier, more equitable San Francisco.

Cutting $3.5 million from Food Security, Oral Health, and Physical Activity contradicts Mayor Lurie's commitment to health and safety for all San Franciscans. Voters approved the Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax (SDDT) to fund these programs—not to be erased. These cuts eliminate access to essential health resources, especially in underserved communities.

Defunding these services will do more harm than good.
Stand with our communities and STOP THE CUTS!

With love and respect,
Concerned Community Member
"Tautua nei mo se taeao manuia."
"Serve now for a better tomorrow."

From:	Marianne Siulangapo
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Crayton, Monique (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Philip, Susan (DPH); Goette, Christina (DPH); Tugbenyoh, Mawuli (HRC); Tsai, Daniel (DPH)
Subject:	File Number 250624 Harmful Cuts to Pacific Islander and Marginalized Communities
Date:	Thursday, June 12, 2025 10:19:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Talofa Lava (Hello) Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and Committee Members,

My name is Marianne Siulangapo, and I am a concerned community member. I urge you to reconsider the proposed budget cuts that harm Pacific Islander and other marginalized communities.

All My Usos (AMU) and Fa'atasi Youth Services are the only Pacific Islander-led organizations in San Francisco with a dedicated focus on chronic disease prevention—one of the most urgent health disparities facing Pacific Islanders. Like other Pacific Islander organizations, they also offer essential services in mental health, food access, and community healing. What sets AMU and Fa'atasi apart is their culturally grounded approach to chronic disease prevention, delivered through free health education, nutrition support, and physical activity programming. Together they contribute to a healthier, more equitable San Francisco.

Cutting $3.5 million from Food Security, Oral Health, and Physical Activity contradicts Mayor Lurie's commitment to health and safety for all San Franciscans. Voters approved the Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax (SDDT) to fund these programs—not to be erased. These cuts eliminate access to essential health resources, especially in underserved communities.

Defunding these services will do more harm than good. Stand with our communities and STOP THE CUTS!

With love and respect,

Marianne Siulangapo

"Tautua nei mo se taeao manuia."

"Serve now for a better tomorrow."

From:	Christine Mauia
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Crayton, Monique (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Philip, Susan (DPH); Goette, Christina (DPH); Tugbenyoh, Mawuli (HRC); Tsai, Daniel (DPH); daniel.tsai@sfgov.org
Subject:	File Number 250624: Harmful Cuts to Pacific Islander and Marginalized Communities
Date:	Thursday, June 12, 2025 2:32:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Talofa Lava (Hello) Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, Legislative and Committee Members,

My name is Christine Mauia, and I am the Executive Director of All My Usos (AMU), reaching out as a concerned community member. I respectfully urge you to reconsider the proposed budget cuts that would significantly impact Pacific Islander and marginalized communities in our city.

AMU provides essential services to underserved populations, focusing on mental health, food insecurity, and chronic disease prevention. We are the only Pacific Islander-led organization addressing health and nutrition education, ensuring that everyone who walks through our doors has access to culturally relevant resources.

In 2016, Pacific Islanders had the highest rates of emergency room visits due to hypertension in San Francisco. Additionally, more than one-third of Pacific Islanders in California aged 18-39 are estimated to have prediabetes, according to the UCLA Center for Health Policy and Research. Furthermore, Pacific Islanders have the lowest life expectancy in San Francisco, alongside Black and African American residents, as indicated by the SF City and County Health Disparities Dashboard.

The proposed cuts would mean that community members would lose direct access to healthy food, nutrition education, and essential services. This will particularly affect families of color and eliminate resources in neighborhoods that already struggle with food deserts and health disparities. This is a concerning step backward for our community.

I appreciate Mayor Lurie's commitment to exceptional city services. However, cutting $3.5 million contradicts this vision. The Sugary Drinks Distributors Tax (SDDT), supported by San Francisco voters, was intended to fund programs addressing health issues related to sugary beverages. Eliminating this funding would leave many residents without essential services, and once again, we would be left to suffer.

I urge you to preserve the funding from the **SDDT grant and reinstate the Healthy Communities and Policy Environment and System grants through the Department of Public Health (DPH).** Simply distributing food

through other agencies does not address the chronic health issues in our community. Without the programming and education provided by organizations like ours, these funds will not reach those who need them most.

Moreover, I want to emphasize that AMU is the only Pacific Islander focused organization that has received funding from DPH to address health issues in our community. **Without this support, our community will gravely suffer, as there are no other nonprofit organizations giving Pacific Islander health the attention it desperately needs.**

Thank you for considering my perspective. I appreciate your time and dedication to serving our community

With respect,

Christine Mauia,
Concerned Community Member
“Tautua nei mo se taeao manuia.”
“Serve now for a better tomorrow."

View my contact details here: https://blinq.me/05TJSoTXfAYr

From:	Sylvia Selinger
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Khoo, Arthur (BOS); Lew, Lisa (BOS); Wong, Jocelyn (BOS); Crayton, Monique (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Philip, Susan (DPH); Goette, Christina (DPH); Tugbenyoh, Mawuli (HRC); Tsai, Daniel (DPH); info@allmyusos.org
Subject:	File Number 250624: Harmful Cuts to Pacific Islander and Marginalized Communities
Date:	Thursday, June 12, 2025 2:12:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Talofa Lava (Hello) Mayor Lurie, Board of Supervisors, and Committee Members,

My name is Sylvia Selinger and I am a concerned community member. I urge you to reconsider the proposed budget cuts that harm Pacific Islander and other marginalized communities.

All My Usos (AMU) and Fa'atasi Youth Services are the only Pacific Islander-led organizations in San Francisco with a dedicated focus on chronic disease prevention—one of the most urgent health disparities facing Pacific Islanders. Like other Pacific Islander organizations, they also offer essential services in mental health, food access, and community healing. What sets AMU and Fa'atasi apart is their culturally grounded approach to chronic disease prevention, delivered through free health education, nutrition support, and physical activity programming. Together they contribute to a healthier, more equitable San Francisco.

Cutting $3.5 million from Food Security, Oral Health, and Physical Activity contradicts Mayor Lurie's commitment to health and safety for all San Franciscans. Voters approved the Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax (SDDT) to fund these programs—not to be erased. These cuts eliminate access to essential health resources, especially in underserved communities.

Defunding these services will do more harm than good. Stand with our communities and STOP THE CUTS!

With love and respect,
Concerned Community Member
"Tautua nei mo se taeao manuia."
"Serve now for a better tomorrow."

From: David T
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: This Is *NOT* How a Responsible Government Addresses The Climate Crisis
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 3:09:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

I'm a San Francisco resident, and I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

However, if you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Please do the right thing and fund SFE. One suggestion is to get the money from the SFPD budget, which seems to have no bounds. SFPD won't even know it's missing because its budget is so huge.

We're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet, his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

Thank you in advance for doing the right thing.

David T
thompsondaviddt415@gmail.com
920 Diamond Street
San Francisco, California CA

From:	Kimberly Kollwitz
To:	Hsieh, Frances (BOS)
Cc:	DPH-phepfer; Alberto Cortes; DPH - aayala; Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS)
Subject:	Meeting Request: Project Open Hand Community Nutrition Program
Date:	Wednesday, June 18, 2025 4:21:57 PM
Attachments:	image029.png image030.png image031.png image032.png image034.png image035.png image036.png image037.png image038.png image039.png image040.png image041.png image042.png image043.png image044.png image045.png 25.06.04_ProjectOpenHand_LetterToMayorLurie_CNPFunding_FinalVersion_Signed (1).pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon Frances,

Emailing you today on behalf of Project Open Hand CEO Paul Hepfer, who I've cc'ed here. We'd like to coordinate a meeting with Supervisor Chan or staff either this or next week to discuss our Community Nutrition Program, which served over 10,500 people city-wide last year.

With the Mayor's proposed budget, we're anticipating cuts to our Community Nutrition Program that will directly impact SF residents. Currently, we're looking at a $1M reduction in funding in FY26 due in part to the withholding of the scheduled Dignity Fund increase, which will mean the end of weekend meal services at locations throughout the city. We sent an open letter out to the Mayor and his team regarding this on June 5.

This was brought up during the Budget meeting last Wednesday but wasn't fully addressed due to time constraints and some of its complexities. We'd like to meet with your team to discuss this further and explore the impact of the cuts when you have time.

Our team has availability at the following times:
Monday, 6/23 – 9-11 AM, 4-5 PM
Tuesday, 6/24 – Any time
Wednesday, 6/25 – Any time

Thank you, and looking forward to connecting.

Best,
Kim

-

Kim Kollwitz (she/hers) | Manager of Marketing and Communications
Project Open Hand | www.openhand.org
Serving San Francisco, Alameda, and Contra Costa Counties
C: (415) 447-2417

Follow us on



From: Paul Hepfer <phepfer@openhand.org>
Sent: Monday, June 9, 2025 11:06 AM
To: connie.chan@sfgov.org
Cc: Kimberly Kollwitz <kkollwitz@openhand.org>
Subject: Fw: Devastating Funding Cuts to Senior Nutrition

Forwarding again, incorrect email address.

Paul Hepfer, Chief Executive Officer
Project Open Hand I 730 Polk Street I San Francisco, CA 94109
Cell: 408.537.3559 I Main: 415.447.2300 I www.openhand.org

Follow us on



This email message is for the intended recipient(s) only.
Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

From: Paul Hepfer

Sent: Monday, June 9, 2025 11:04 AM
To: connie.chan@sfgov.or <connie.chan@sfgov.or>; brent.jalipa@sfgov.org <brent.jalipa@sfgov.org>
Cc: Alberto Cortes <acortes@openhand.org>; Kimberly Kollwitz <kkollwitz@openhand.org>
Subject: Devastating Funding Cuts to Senior Nutrition

Dear Supervisor Chan,

I am forwarding the attached letter that I submitted to Mayor Lurie concerning the proposed budget and the decision to withhold $3 million in Dignity Fund allocations from the Department of Aging Services.

The letter details the substantial consequences this reduction would have on Project Open Hand's capacity to serve San Francisco's older adults and adults living with disabilities. In particular, the funding shortfall would necessitate the elimination of weekend meal services across all sites, resulting in the loss of approximately 100,000 meals annually.

This reduction comes at a time of increasing demand, rising operational costs, and heightened need for medically tailored nutrition services.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. Please do not hesitate to reach out should you wish to discuss the contents of the letter further.

Sincerely,

Paul Hepfer

Paul Hepfer, Chief Executive Officer
Project Open Hand I 730 Polk Street I San Francisco, CA 94109
Cell: 408.537.3559 I Main: 415.447.2300 I www.openhand.org

Follow us on



This email message is for the intended recipient(s) only.
Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

From:	Lucas Sangmin Lee
To:	MelgarStaff (BOS)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Budget, MYR (MYR); Youthcom, (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Public comment
Date:	Wednesday, June 18, 2025 6:31:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie, President Mandelman, and Supervisor Melgar,

My name is Lucas Lee, and I am a resident of District 7. I am 16 years old, and I'm currently a student attending Sacred Heart Cathedral Preparatory. I'm submitting this public comment to the record to uplift the needs and priorities of youth in our district and across the City and County of San Francisco.

As one of your constituents, I strongly encourage all of you and your colleagues on the Board of Supervisors to approve a budget that meets the needs of young people by **supporting the Youth Commission's Budget and Policy Priorities for Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27**. The YC's highest priorities are:

- Expand Reliability and Access to Transit & Keep Free Muni for All Youth (pg. 6-7)
- Protect Undocumented Families from Deportation and Maintain Access to Education (pg. 10)
- Commit to Nutritious and Culturally Relevant Meals at SFUSD (pg. 15)
- Continue and Increase Support for In-School Youth Development Programs (pg. 20)
- Access to Workforce and Youth Employment Programs (pg. 27)
- Accelerate Efforts to Combat and Prepare for the Impacts of Climate Change (pg. 30)
- Improving Police Relations with Youth (pg. 38)
- Address Sexual Assault & Harassment in Schools (pg. 44)
- Address Affordable Housing Crisis & Build Education Workforce Housing (pg. 64, 68)
- Address Living Conditions in SROs & Equitable Housing Assistance for Youth/TAY (pg. 70, 74)

Additionally, based on the proposed budget from the Mayor's Office and via listening sessions with various community organizations across the City, we are asking you to continue supporting funding for these initiatives:

- General Civil Legal Aid
- Food Security Program Funding
- Youth Workforce Programs (e.g. Opportunities for All)
- Department of Early Childhood, "Baby [Prop] C", Funding

Supporting these priorities is important because youth are the future. Supporting the youth is synonymous with supporting the future of SF. The San Francisco Youth Commission is key to ensuring the development and well-being of the youth. More specifically, youths' access to in-school recreational programs is important. Sometimes, it is hard for the underprivileged youth to access recreational programs such as sports outside of school. Therefore, it is important to provide the opportunity for these kids to access these programs. These programs help keep kids busy and out of crime and drugs. I know this firsthand. I have friends who ended up vaping as soon as they quit a sport. One of my friends almost got caught stealing alcohol from a store. Sports and other recreational activities solve this by giving kids reasons to stay away from alcohol and crime, other than the usual "it's not good for you". In essence, these recreational programs give kids entertainment, but they also have the benefit of keeping them away from crime and drugs. I know that the budget is tight, but funding recreational programs in schools has a myriad of benefits that can easily be achieved without spending tons of money.

Thank you for listening to the voices of San Francisco's young people. As the future voters, workforce, and leaders of the City that we love, we encourage you to do the right thing and vote for a budget that works for youth!

Sincerely,
Lucas Lee

From: Natalie Liu
To: ChenStaff
Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Budget, MYR (MYR); Youthcom, (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 6:42:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie, President Mandelman, and Supervisor Chyanne Chen,

My name is Natalie Liu, and I am a resident of District 11. I am 14 years old, and I'm a student going to attend The Drew School. I'm submitting this public comment to the record to uplift the needs and priorities of youth in our district and across the City and County of San Francisco.

As one of your constituents, I strongly encourage all of you and your colleagues on the Board of Supervisors to approve a budget that meets the needs of young people by **supporting the Youth Commission's Budget and Policy Priorities for Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27**. The YC's highest priorities are:

- Expand Reliability and Access to Transit & Keep Free Muni for All Youth (pg. 6-7)
- Protect Undocumented Families from Deportation and Maintain Access to Education (pg. 10)
- Commit to Nutritious and Culturally Relevant Meals at SFUSD (pg. 15)
- Continue and Increase Support for In-School Youth Development Programs (pg. 20)
- Access to Workforce and Youth Employment Programs (pg. 27)
- Accelerate Efforts to Combat and Prepare for the Impacts of Climate Change (pg. 30)
- Improving Police Relations with Youth (pg. 38)
- Address Sexual Assault & Harassment in Schools (pg. 44)
- Address Affordable Housing Crisis & Build Education Workforce Housing (pg. 64, 68)
- Address Living Conditions in SROs & Equitable Housing Assistance for Youth/TAY (pg. 70, 74)

Additionally, based on the proposed budget from the Mayor's Office and via listening sessions with various community organizations across the City, we are asking you to continue supporting funding for these initiatives:

- General Civil Legal Aid
- Food Security Program Funding
- Youth Workforce Programs (e.g. Opportunities for All)
- Department of Early Childhood, "Baby [Prop] C", Funding

Supporting these priorities is important to me because I know a large number of people, including me, who can't go home right after school. My parents work late hours and aren't always able to pick me up on time after school. So for me, after-school programs are a vital part of my school life. These programs help to create a safe environment for me at times when I'm not supervised. At the same time, they make sure that other students and I are feeling comfortable and safe. They also provide academic support. The staff there is dedicated to tutoring and providing one-on-one support for students. Ensuring that they understand the materials taught. After-school programs help in so many unreal ways. If we continue cutting the budget for Youth Programs, it could not only harm staff but also the students who rely on the support of after-school programs.

Thank you for listening to the voices of San Francisco's young people. As the future voters, workforce, and leaders of the City that we love, we encourage you to do the right thing and vote for a budget that works for youth!

Sincerely,
Natalie Liu

From:	Katherine Worster
To:	ChanStaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Budget, MYR (MYR); Youthcom, (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comment
Date:	Wednesday, June 18, 2025 6:42:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie, President Mandelman, and Supervisor Chan,

My name is Katherine Worster and I am a resident of District 1. I am 17 years old, and I'm currently a student attending Sacred Heart Cathedral Preparatory. I'm submitting this public comment into the record to uplift the needs and priorities of youth in our district and across the City and County of San Francisco.

As one of your constituents, I strongly encourage all of you and your colleagues on the Board of Supervisors to approve a budget that meets the needs of young people by **supporting the Youth Commission's Budget and Policy Priorities for Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27**. The YC's highest priorities are:

- Expand Reliability and Access to Transit & Keep Free Muni for All Youth (pg. 6-7)
- Protect Undocumented Families from Deportation and Maintain Access to Education (pg. 10)
- Commit to Nutritious and Culturally Relevant Meals at SFUSD (pg. 15)
- Continue and Increase Support for In-School Youth Development Programs (pg. 20)
- Access to Workforce and Youth Employment Programs (pg. 27)
- Accelerate Efforts to Combat and Prepare for the Impacts of Climate Change (pg. 30)
- Improving Police Relations with Youth (pg. 38)
- Address Sexual Assault & Harassment in Schools (pg. 44)
- Address Affordable Housing Crisis & Build Education Workforce Housing (pg. 64, 68)
- Address Living Conditions in SROs & Equitable Housing Assistance for Youth/TAY (pg. 70, 74)

Additionally, based on the proposed budget from the Mayor's Office and via listening sessions with various community organizations across the City, we are asking you to continue supporting funding for these initiatives:

- General Civil Legal Aid
- Food Security Program Funding
- Youth Workforce Programs (e.g. Opportunities for All)
- Department of Early Childhood, "Baby [Prop] C", Funding

Supporting these priorities is important because the youth of San Francisco rely on the city to take care of us. In an economy where we are expected to pursue college and yet know that college debts are becoming increasingly more challenging to pay off, every single dollar helps. These dollars could come from workforce programs such as Opportunities for All, or even from money saved when a youth can rely on food security programs to provide essentials to survive. Personally, I have saved hundreds of dollars because of the Youth Commission's efforts to keep the Muni free. I wouldn't be able to count the number of times I was able to take the bus home from school, attend an interesting workshop across the city, or commute to internships and jobs. I took the bus just 45 minutes ago to City Hall to write this. I know that I am just one youth, but the 175,200+ youth who may not use the public comment system deserve to see their families protected from deportation, their homes affordable and safe, and their city prepared to combat climate change. Every single youth deserves to be raised in an environment that can best prepare us for the daunting economy and uncertain future that we will face.

Thank you for listening to the voices of San Francisco's young people. As the future voters, workforce, and leaders of the City that we love, we encourage you to do the right thing and vote for a budget that works for youth!

Sincerely,
Katherine Worster

From:	lgpetty
To:	Lurie, Daniel (MYR)
Cc:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Segal, Ned (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Restore Full Funding to Housing and Human Service Nonprofits
Date:	Wednesday, June 18, 2025 9:30:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie,

I write to urge you to restore full budget funding to non-profit organizations, particularly those involved in preventing tenant displacement and homelessness...and providing human services (eg. the Dignity Fund). I am certain the City can cover its deficits by other means -- if it so chooses.

It is not fair, nor does it make any sense, to order City Departments to cut budgets by 15 per cent, while dictating reductions to commmunity-based housing counseling and senior and disability service non-profits by up to 100 per cent.

That is, it doesn't make sense unless it is your intention to get rid of non-profits altogether. That would be unwise, as these are the very non-profits that keep people healthy and housed. And, these are the non-profits performing necessary, vital public services which City Government is incapable of providing.

Further, you publicly declare that your budget will eliminate 1400 job positons, only 100 of which are presently filled. You keep hidden, however, the harsher reality that your cuts to all public-serving non-profits will cost an estimated 1000 people their jobs.

It appears your Mayoral Administration methods are not so different from the Trump Administration's.
There are obvious parallels. Both use the same immoral and illegal strategy of hyper-exaggerating crises and deficits to justify disobeying legislative- and electorally-mandated laws. (Eg., here in the City, ignoring provisions of the SF Housing Element, Prop C and other laws.) The aim being elimination of the public services, people, and agencies the Administration doesn't like, and elevation of Administration-approved individuals.

In our City, to use the budget shortfall and economic recovery as reasons to cripple or shut down an entire private sector of public service is a colossal distortion of the facts and a most cruel and disastrous deception.

Defunding essential non-profit housing and human service organizations will result in the opposite of your stated goals. It will create more displacement, evictions, homelessness, and drug addition/mental and physical health suffering.

San Franciscans want; indeed cannot do without, full services performed tirelessly, at great personal sacrifice with small City expenditure, by its dedicated non-profit staffers.

I urge you to work closely and forthrightly with Budget Chair Connie Chan, members of her

Committee, and other Supervisors to make alternative cuts (bloated and overpaid management staffing levels; a new permanent jail; overblown lawsuit set-asides, and more) and endeavor to find more funding sources.

I urge you to collaborate, not dictate.
Partner with the Board of Supervisors to develop new, innovative ways to generate money for the City Treasury. Please do not let adversarial thinking or fear of ruffling certain feathers prevent you from providing what is right and indispensible for San Franciscans.

Sincerely,

Lorraine Petty
D2/5

From: Elena Engel
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 9:45:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Elena Engel
elenajengel@gmail.com

2289 Bryant St
San Francisco, California 94110

From:	Yue Yi Peng
To:	MelgarStaff (BOS)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Budget, MYR (MYR); youthcom@sfcom.org
Subject:	Public Comment
Date:	Wednesday, June 18, 2025 10:07:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie, President Mandelman, and Supervisor Myrna Melgar,

My name is Yue Yi Peng and I am a resident of District 7. I am 17 years old, and I'm currently a student attending Lowell High School. I'm submitting this public comment into the record to uplift the needs and priorities of youth in our district and across the City and County of San Francisco.

As one of your constituents, I strongly encourage all of you and your colleagues on the Board of Supervisors to approve a budget that meets the needs of young people by **supporting the Youth Commission's Budget and Policy Priorities for Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27**. The YC's highest priorities are:

- Expand Reliability and Access to Transit & Keep Free Muni for All Youth (pg. 6-7)
- Protect Undocumented Families from Deportation and Maintain Access to Education (pg. 10)
- Commit to Nutritious and Culturally Relevant Meals at SFUSD (pg. 15)
- Continue and Increase Support for In-School Youth Development Programs (pg. 20)
- Access to Workforce and Youth Employment Programs (pg. 27)
- Accelerate Efforts to Combat and Prepare for the Impacts of Climate Change (pg. 30)
- Improving Police Relations with Youth (pg. 38)
- Address Sexual Assault & Harassment in Schools (pg. 44)
- Address Affordable Housing Crisis & Build Education Workforce Housing (pg. 64, 68)
-

Address Living Conditions in SROs & Equitable Housing Assistance for Youth/TAY (pg. 70, 74)

Additionally, based on the proposed budget from the Mayor's Office and via listening sessions with various community organizations across the City, we are asking you to continue supporting funding for these initiatives:

- General Civil Legal Aid
- Food Security Program Funding
- Youth Workforce Programs (e.g. Opportunities for All)
- Department of Early Childhood, "Baby [Prop] C", Funding

Supporting these priorities is important because school safety is an important part of my high school experience. As I grew up from middle school to high school, there was an instilled fear in the back of my mind from the culmination of school fights that occurred in my middle school to gun incidents happening throughout the school district. Not only am I worried about violence, but also my peers and I share a common fear of sexual harassment. Sexual harassment is a huge problem that isn't talked about as it's considered taboo but is one of the most important issues students face. It disrupts the relationship between the student and teacher's learning experience. A few years back at Lowell, we had a sexual assault protest that recounted the students' experience with sexual assault. It is an unfortunate state of the world that justice was not met for these students as some of their perpetrators got away with their acts. In my personal experiences, my friends have been sexually assaulted by our classmate and told the administration about it. However, the administration said that they didn't have sufficient evidence to perform any actions against the perpetrator. Actions need to be taken to ensure that sexual assault victims get the justice they need. School safety should have a bigger emphasis in schools, and enforcement should be followed through with the correct procedures.

Thank you for listening to the voices of San Francisco's young people. As the future voters, workforce, and leaders of the City that we love, we encourage you to do the right thing and vote for a budget that works for youth!

Sincerely,
Yue Yi Peng

From: Jed Holtzman
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please don"t defund SFE!
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 11:05:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Jed Holtzman
jed.holtzman@gmail.com

847 Scott St.
San Francisco, California 94117

From: roger underhill
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 11:07:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

roger underhill
rogrund@hotmail.com

520 Shields St
San Francisco , California 94132

From: Christopher Yao
To: MahmoodStaff
Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Budget, MYR (MYR); Youthcom, (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 11:32:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie, President Mandelman, and Supervisor Mahmood,

My name is Christopher Yao and I am a resident of District 5. I am 15 years old, and I'm currently a student attending Nativity High School. I'm submitting this public comment into the record to uplift the needs and priorities of youth in our district and across the City and County of San Francisco.

As one of your constituents, I strongly encourage all of you and your colleagues on the Board of Supervisors to approve a budget that meets the needs of young people by **supporting the Youth Commission's Budget and Policy Priorities for Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27**. The YC's highest priorities are:

- Expand Reliability and Access to Transit & Keep Free Muni for All Youth (pg. 6-7)
- Protect Undocumented Families from Deportation and Maintain Access to Education (pg. 10)
- Commit to Nutritious and Culturally Relevant Meals at SFUSD (pg. 15)
- Continue and Increase Support for In-School Youth Development Programs (pg. 20)
- Access to Workforce and Youth Employment Programs (pg. 27)
- Accelerate Efforts to Combat and Prepare for the Impacts of Climate Change

(pg. 30)

- Improving Police Relations with Youth (pg. 38)
- Address Sexual Assault & Harassment in Schools (pg. 44)
- Address Affordable Housing Crisis & Build Education Workforce Housing (pg. 64, 68)
- Address Living Conditions in SROs & Equitable Housing Assistance for Youth/TAY (pg. 70, 74)

Additionally, based on the proposed budget from the Mayor's Office and via listening sessions with various community organizations across the City, we are asking you to continue supporting funding for these initiatives:

- General Civil Legal Aid
- Food Security Program Funding
- Youth Workforce Programs (e.g. Opportunities for All)
- Department of Early Childhood, "Baby [Prop] C", Funding

Supporting these priorities is important because when I was younger, I always attended youth development programs for educational enrichment. I went to Glide's FYCC program. Then, I attended Breakthrough. Now that I think about it, it's a fantastic opportunity to attend these programs. I can learn things that I never learned in school. I also acquired new and valuable skills and hobbies that will benefit me in the future. I've gained the support I need to succeed in school and life.
But most importantly, I have another community to depend on with other youth the same age as me. I've also grown and matured from many fruitful experiences at


these programs. Without these programs, I wouldn't be where I am today. Without sufficient funding for programs like these and DCYF, future San Franciscans are unable to attend quality youth programs. Due to the decided budget deficits, many essential and long-running youth programs are at risk of layoffs for beloved staff members or shutting down entirely. These programs are crucial to the youth of San Francisco, especially those from low-income backgrounds like mine. Without funding, our programs fade, and our futures dim. But with funding and commitment, we thrive and flourish, and San Francisco prospers.

Thank you for listening to the voices of San Francisco's young people. As the future voters, workforce, and leaders of the City that we love, we encourage you to do the right thing and vote for a budget that works for youth!

Sincerely,
Christopher Yao

--

Christopher Yao



Christopher Yao | **Nativity** High School 10th Grade Class of '28 | He/Him/His

Founder and President of the **M² Club** *@Nativity HS*

Go Camels! ⋆

A member of the **District 3 Youth Council**

From: elliot helman
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Thursday, June 19, 2025 9:26:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

elliot helman
muzungu_x@yahoo.com

mission bay blvd N
san francisco, California 94158-2497

From: Kim Ries
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Thursday, June 19, 2025 9:26:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

The programs that aim to make our city and residents more resiliant to the unfolding climate crisis are an investment in our future. Fund them. They are worth more than you can imagine during your tenure in office.

Thank you for your consideration.

Kim Ries
kim_ries@hotmail.com
664 Connecticut St.
San Francisco, California 94107

From: Roni Diamant-Wilson
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Thursday, June 19, 2025 10:45:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Roni Diamant-Wilson
roniandjessie@gmail.com

82 Mirabel Avenue
San Francisco, California 94110

From: Susan Freinkel
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Thursday, June 19, 2025 1:06:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Susan Freinkel
susanfreinkel@gmail.com

1291 29th Ave.
San Francisco, California 94122

From: ABRA GREENSPAN
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Thursday, June 19, 2025 1:07:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

ABRA GREENSPAN
abralgreenspan@gmail.com

456 34th Avenue
San Francisco, California 94121

From: Gayle Zahler
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Thursday, June 19, 2025 1:39:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Gayle Zahler
gaylezahler@gmail.com

1490 16th Avenue
San Francisco, California 94122-3510

From: Rachael Grossman
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: There's a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Thursday, June 19, 2025 2:09:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Rachael Grossman
rachaelgrossman@sbcglobal.net

232 Ripley Street
SF, California 94110

From: Joan Anyon
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Thursday, June 19, 2025 2:20:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Joan Anyon

Joan Anyon

sfrentsan@gmail.com
368 Sanchez
San Francisco, California 94114

From: Susan Weisberg
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: The budger must address the climate crisis.
Date: Thursday, June 19, 2025 2:22:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

When he was running for office, Mayor Lurie said he considered the climate emergency an existential threat and he would "prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. Over two years it cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work.

I recognize that San Francisco faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

At the same time, we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Yes, Mayor Lurie and Supervisors, the climate crisis is an existential threat. Without a sustainable climate, nothing else really matters.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Susan Weisberg
swhys42@gmail.com
544 Greenwich St.
San Francisco, California 94133

From: Todd Snyder
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Thursday, June 19, 2025 6:10:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Todd Snyder
toddclarksnyder@gmail.com

1941 Turk st
San Francisco, California 94115

From: Aelicia Lee
To: ChanStaff (BOS)
Cc: Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Budget, MYR (MYR); Youthcom, (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment from Aelicia Lee
Date: Thursday, June 19, 2025 7:02:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie, President Mandelman, and Supervisor Chan,

My name is Aelicia Lee and I am a resident of District 1. I am 12 years old, and currently a student attending Katherine Delmar Burke School. I'm submitting this public comment into the record to uplift the needs and priorities of youth in our district and across the City and County of San Francisco.

As one of your constituents, I strongly encourage all of you and your colleagues on the Board of Supervisors to approve a budget that meets the needs of young people by **supporting the Youth Commission's Budget and Policy Priorities for Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27**. The YC's highest priorities are:

- Expand Reliability and Access to Transit & Keep Free Muni for All Youth (pg. 6-7)
- Protect Undocumented Families from Deportation and Maintain Access to Education (pg. 10)
- Commit to Nutritious and Culturally Relevant Meals at SFUSD (pg. 15)
- Continue and Increase Support for In-School Youth Development Programs (pg. 20)
- Access to Workforce and Youth Employment Programs (pg. 27)
- Accelerate Efforts to Combat and Prepare for the Impacts of Climate Change (pg. 30)
- Improving Police Relations with Youth (pg. 38)
- Address Sexual Assault & Harassment in Schools (pg. 44)
- Address Affordable Housing Crisis & Build Education Workforce Housing (pg. 64, 68)
- Address Living Conditions in SROs & Equitable Housing Assistance for Youth/TAY (pg. 70, 74)

Additionally, based on the proposed budget from the Mayor's Office and via listening sessions with various community organizations across the City, we are asking you to continue supporting funding for these initiatives:

- General Civil Legal Aid
- Food Security Program Funding
- Youth Workforce Programs (e.g. Opportunities for All)
- Department of Early Childhood, "Baby [Prop] C", Funding

Supporting these priorities is important because I've personally benefited from city programs that helped me feel safe, confident, and more engaged in my community. When I was little, the public library's storytime encouraged socializing around my community. Now, I use the library to learn and study. But I've also experienced unsafe moments walking on the city streets. No young person should have to feel unsafe doing normal youth things. That's why I care about youth development, access to public spaces, and improving safety in our city. I want to be part of the generation that helps shape a better future for all youth in San Francisco, and I believe supporting these budget priorities is how we get there.

In addition, I know San Francisco is a very expensive place to live. I believe it's important to make housing affordable for teachers and people working in education. It is important we have enough teachers in our classrooms to support our youths. Otherwise some students might have a harder time understanding things in class. Finally, there are many families that struggle financially to live in San Francisco. It should be a priority to keep nutritious meals for students that cannot afford to bring their lunch to school, or to buy their lunch. If a student doesn't eat enough, it will be hard for them to focus on important topics in class.

Thank you for listening to the voices of San Francisco's young people. As the future voters, workforce, and leaders of the City that we love, we encourage you to do the right thing and vote for a budget that works for youth!

Sincerely,
Aelicia Lee

From: Kathie Piccagli
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Thursday, June 19, 2025 9:13:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Kathie Piccagli
kpiccagli@gmail.com

1450 Post St
San Francisco, California 94109

From:	Arianna Koo
To:	SauterStaff
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Budget, MYR (MYR); Youthcom, (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comment
Date:	Thursday, June 19, 2025 9:44:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie, President Mandelman, and Supervisor Sauter,

My name is Arianna Koo, and I am a resident of District 3. I am 14 years old, and currently attending Lowell High School. I'm submitting this public comment to the record to uplift the needs and priorities of youth in our district and across the City and County of San Francisco.

As one of your constituents, I strongly encourage all of you and your colleagues on the Board of Supervisors to approve a budget that meets the needs of young people by supporting the Youth Commission's Budget and Policy Priorities for Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27. In this email, I want to highlight access to the Workforce and Youth Employment Programs (pg. 27).

Supporting youth employment is important because, as our society evolves, so do our occupations and the skills needed to fulfill those jobs. So, to ensure that future occupations are filled by individuals who know what they're doing, we should provide youth with the chance to gain experience in their interests so they can excel in their futures. However, opportunities for youth in San Francisco are decreasing due to current budget cuts, limiting youth from expanding their experiences. This especially affects young people with fewer resources, as it may be harder to fulfill employment requirements. So I ask you, the Board of Supervisors, to strengthen funding for youth employment programs to provide us youth with the right preparations for our futures.

As the future voters, workforce, and leaders of the City that we love, we encourage you to do the right thing and vote for a budget that works for youth!

Sincerely,
Arianna Koo

From: Lucy Hilmer
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Friday, June 20, 2025 7:25:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Lucy Hilmer
lucyhilmer@gmail.com

692 17th Avenue
San Francisco, California 94121

From: Calvin
To: MahmoodStaff; Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: budget cuts
Date: Friday, June 20, 2025 12:02:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Bilal and Brent,

I am concerned about the SF city budget. Although it seems that SF has a broad budget deficit, that needs to be addressed, I think the areas of cuts should be carefully considered and balanced as to the short and long term impacts.

- On the issue of budget cuts for departments that have had numerous allegations of fraud, I support more oversight or consolidation of those functions under a department that is capable of appropriately using city funds. However, I hope that funds that originally were seen to be essential to supporting those functions are still marked for use in a similar area (just used correctly)
- On the issue of layoffs for city positions, I urge the city to consider the long term impacts of positions that while seemingly not critical to short term city function, may cause SF issues in the long term (for one example, roles that mostly involve planning future roadmaps, etc). This applies to both currently filled positions and vacant ones.
- On the issue of Airbnb suing the city to recover taxes, I support the city being tough on large corporations and not giving them preferential treatment
- On the issue of non-governmental agencies, such as SF Parks Alliance, that were revealed to be mismanaging funds, what safeguards will be put in place to prevent this in the future? That will help preserve the funds needed for the city without as much budget cuts.

How can I learn more about what the board of supervisors is doing to address these issues?

Thanks
Calvin Chang

From: Robert Bakewell
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: SF Budget ...Committee Hearing Room 250 June 20 Public Comment
Date: Friday, June 20, 2025 12:51:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

With respect,

Below is my comment as the chief administrator of our Community Partner ' Friends of Oak Woodlands GG Park ' , until very recently fiscally sponsored by SF Parks Alliance .
We have a 30 year long record of close collaboration with SFRPD - NRD in the stewardship and restoration of the NE section of GG Park … an SF special Natural Area .

Our position and comment for today's hearing is this :

" What with the damage done to Community Partners by SFPA collapse , the need to cost effectively maintain SFRPD infrastructure (deferred maintenance is more costly), the social necessity of athletic and educational programs , AND the fact that smaller SFRPD Divisions like Natural Resources Division that already operate on a shoestring string budget, but nevertheless contribute far more effectively to our urban environment and quality of life than their budget would indicate … I strongly suggest that any SFRPD cuts NOT be across the board.
Granular NOT sweeping !
In my view SFRPD budget should be increased !!!!

Robert Bakewell
Friends of Oak Woodlands GG Park .
June 20
415-710-9617

Sent from my iPhone

From: Melissa Hurley
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Friday, June 20, 2025 4:08:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Melissa Hurley
melissahurleysf@gmail.com

72 Richland Avenue
San Francisco, California 94110

From: Susan Mallon
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Friday, June 20, 2025 4:13:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Susan Mallon
sfmallon@gmail.com

909 PAGE ST APT 2
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94117

From:	Cathy Sakimura
To:	Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject:	Public comment on SF City Budget
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 2:14:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed City budget. I am the Executive Director of Legal Services for Children. We provide legal and social services to San Francisco's children and youth in legal matters related to immigration, foster care, education and guardianship. We are also a founding member of the SF Immigrant Legal Defense Collaborative, and we receive funds for vital services for other immigrant children and youth from MOHCD, DCYF, and OCEIA.

We urge the Board of Supervisors to continue funding legal and social services for immigrant SF residents during this very difficult budget year. LSC provides free representation to children and youth to defend from deportation as well as in affirmative applications for legal immigration status, most of whom have been separated from their parents. We urge the Supervisors to avoid cutting services and consider a CODB increase, which helps nonprofits cover annual increases in costs such as rent and health benefits. In particular for SFILDC, we urge the Supervisors to maintain the one-time increase provided to the collaborative for January-June 2025. With the current climate of fear, attacks, and increased immigration enforcement, the need for urgent and rapid services to SF immigrant residents has grown.

Our young clients, who are already survivors of violence and trauma that led them to the U.S., are experiencing not only fear for their own safety but also watching their family members being violently taken into custody by ICE. Immigrant children and families in San Francisco need our support more than ever. Young people we represent go on to graduate from high school, go to college and vocational training, and start careers. An investment in their futures benefits all of us in San Francisco.

Thank you,
Cathy Sakimura

LSC 50 Years of Youth Advocacy
Legal Services for Children
870 Market St, Suite 356
San Francisco, CA 94102

Catherine Sakimura, Esq.
Executive Director
(Pronouns: she/her/hers)
Direct Line: (415) 780-6333
Fax: (415) 863-7708
www.lsc-sf.org

From: Matthew Dumanig
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: 6/23 Public Comment
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 1:51:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Matthew Dumanig, I work at the Filipino Community Center for our highschool youth program. For many of our youth AND their families, they come from working-class families and are recent migrants from the PHILIPPINES.

Families who are vulnerable to food insecurity, displacement, wage theft, and exploitation. Families who rely on the FCC' workers rights program and direct services. The SAME ones being cut — $164K to our TULAY program, $62.3K to Workers Rights Community Collaborative!

These cuts are minuscule in comparison to the city budget of $15.9billion, and will HEAVILY impact not just our capacity to serve the Filipino community, but it is a DIRECT ATTACK to migrant families and their livelihood!

To the Board of Supervisors, restore and fully fund the TULAY program and the WORKERS RIGHTS COMMUNITY COLLABORATIVE!

Matthew Dumanig
408-838-7312 || matthew@filipinocc.org

Filipino Community Center
4681 Mission Street, San Francisco, CA, 94112
415-333-6267 || info@filipinocc.org

From: MB Salem
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: 6/23 Public Comment
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 1:43:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi, my name is Jenny, and I'm sending this message today as one of the workers who have experienced exploitation, wage theft, and unfair treatment in the workplace. In those moments, we didn't know where to turn. The Filipino Community Center (FCC) and the Asian Law Caucus (ALC) were there for us—100% of the way.

They didn't just offer legal help or advice. They stood beside us, guided us, and most importantly, they believed in us. When we were scared, they gave us strength. When we were silenced, they helped us find our voices. When we felt powerless, they reminded us of our rights and our dignity.

For so many in the Filipino community, and in other immigrant and working-class communities, these organizations are truly a lifeline. They fill a huge gap in services that the government has long failed to provide. FCC and ALC don't just support individuals—they build movements, they bring people together, and they push for real, lasting change.

That's why we are deeply concerned about the threat of budget cuts to these essential organizations. Cutting their funding is not just a financial decision—it's a betrayal of workers like us, of immigrants, of families, and of entire communities who rely on them to survive and to fight back.

We need to be clear: FCC and ALC are not just helping people—they are changing lives. They are part of the backbone of this city's fight for justice. Their work must not only be protected—it must be fully funded and expanded. Please restore the full funding for the Workers Rights Community Collaborative.

Thank you to the FCC and ALC for everything you've done. And to those in power: please do not take this lifeline away from the people.

From: Perez, Audrey
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment Day
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 1:41:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, my name is Audrey. I'm part of the Kabataan Youth Leadership Program, a program of the Filipino Community Center.

I'm speaking today because I'm deeply concerned about the 37% cut to the TULAY program. This is the core program that helps Filipino immigrant workers and families all over the city — including right here in District 11, which has the largest Filipino population in San Francisco.

I've seen firsthand how important these services are. They connect people to housing, food, case managers, and legal help — especially those experiencing abuse at work or at home. These are things no one should go without.

As an immigrant myself, I know how overwhelming and isolating it can feel. Programs like TULAY help people feel seen and supported when they need it the most.

TULAY means "bridge" in Tagalog - and without it, so many in our community will lose that connection to safety, support, and justice.

I urge you: please do not cut the TULAY program. We need more support for immigrant families, not less. Thank you.

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: Perez, Aubrey
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment Day
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 1:41:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, my name is Aubrey Perez. I'm a student at Mission High School, and I'm a part of the Filipino Community Center.

I'm here today to speak out against the 37% budget cut (over $164,000) to the TULAY program.

I'm not someone who's directly in the TULAY program, but I am part of the FCC community, and I've seen how much these kinds of services matter, especially to immigrants like me and my family. Moving to the U.S. wasn't easy. We had to figure out school, systems, and language, and it often felt like we had to do it all on our own.

Programs like TULAY support people who are dealing with even harder situations, like migrant workers living in unsafe homes, or being treated unfairly at work. I've heard about caregivers sleeping in storage closets or working without rest. FCC staff visit them, educate them on their rights, and help them find better conditions.

As a young immigrant, I know how isolating it can feel here. FCC has been one of the few places where I've felt like I belonged. Taking away programs like TULAY means taking away the kind of support that makes people feel safe, respected, and human.

With a $15.9 billion city budget, there is no excuse for these cuts. We cannot afford to lose this now. I urge the Board to fully fund the TULAY Program and not allow this cut to go through. Thank you.

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: MB Salem
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: 6/23 Public Comment
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 1:39:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi supervisors,

Please restore the full funding of the WRCC which includes the Filipino Community Center (FCC)

Working with the FCC on our hotel workers campaign has been a powerful experience. Before connecting with them, I didn't fully realize how many workers were going through the same issues—retaliation, understaffing, and unfair labor practices. It felt isolating at first, but FCC helped bring us together.

They created a space where I felt safe sharing my story. Through their support, I was able to speak up not just for myself, but also for my coworkers who were too afraid to come forward. FCC didn't just listen—they helped us take action.

The campaign reminded me that workers have power when we organize. It's not easy to challenge a company, but with a community behind you, it's possible. FCC helped us turn our frustrations into demands, and our experiences into something that could lead to real change.

I'm grateful for their guidance and solidarity. This work reminded me that our voices matter—and that no one should be mistreated at work without accountability.

From: MB Salem
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: 6/23 Public comment
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 1:33:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi, I'm Grace a Bay Area resident of 8 years and a volunteer at the Filipino Community Center. I'm concerned about the city's cutting of the FCC's Workers Rights Program by 61%. This cut will greatly reduce FCC's capacity to serve community members experiencing, or are at risk of experiencing, labor law violations. FCC's Workers Rights Program provides community co-enforcement of labor laws, which has proven to be an effective strategy. It helps workers and it saves the city money. By educating people of their workers rights and resolving issues between employees and employers directly, many workplace violations are prevented or settled outside of court and other administrative offices. The Board MUST restore funding to the Filipino Community Center's Workers Rights Program.

From: Dr. Treajané Brown
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: PC: Budget and Appropriations Mtg - 6/23/25
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 1:02:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This message was sent securely using Zix®

Dear Members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to ask for your strong support of Black centered programs and services in San Francisco. Our communities have long been impacted by displacement and disinvestment, and this budget is an opportunity to uplift, restore, and sustain spaces and programs that foster belonging, equity, and resilience.

As the Amani Program Coordinator at The Homeless Children's Network, I'm deeply honored to carry forward a vision shaped by our elders and ancestors. Through this OEWD-supported initiative, we're creating space for job readiness, clinical training, and mental health strategies that nurture resilience and support the healing of generations to come. It's a privilege to steward this work and witness its impact within our communities every day.

I encourage you to fully fund these efforts for the well-being of Black San Franciscans, and for the strength and future of the city as a whole.

Thank you for your consideration,

Treajané T. R. Brown, Ph.D.
She / They

Program Coordinator (Amani Program)
Homeless Children's Network
Office: (415)-437-3990
Mobile: (415)-237-3044

NOTE: Confidential Information

This message and any attached files contain proprietary, confidential and/or legally privileged information of HOMELESS CHILDREN'S NETWORK. The contents are for the use of the intended recipient only. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or any action taken in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately call (415) 437-3990 x304 to arrange for the destruction or return of the message and any attached files.

This message was secured by **Zix®**.

From: Tatiana Villagomez Montalvo
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: Public Statement on Budget Cuts Impacting Communities of Color 2025
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 1:01:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm deeply disappointed and frustrated by the budget cuts made by Mayor Daniel Lurie, especially those that disproportionately impact communities of color. Among these cuts is one that directly affects my role and the work I care deeply about: the **DPH Sugary Drinks funding**, which supported critical health equity programs.

One of those programs was **Mariposa Poderosa**, a youth leadership initiative I created specifically for **Latinx young women and their allies**. This program focused on health equity and social justice, with a special emphasis on sugary drink marketing and its impact on our communities. It provided a culturally relevant space where youth could connect, heal, and organize around issues that directly affect them.

Our curriculum was complete. Our funders had already approved the work. We were ready to launch. But because of these budget cuts, the program was pulled—leaving young Latinx women without a space that was built for them, by them, and with their wellbeing in mind.

When I first heard about the cut from my program manager, I wasn't sure I would even have a job. Thankfully, the organization I work for did everything they could to keep me on. I'm now still employed, but stretched across two different programs in two different counties. I'm grateful to still be doing this work, but the emotional and professional toll of having our efforts disregarded like this is real.

It's painful to see our communities lose opportunities for healing, learning, and empowerment especially when the funding has already been secured and the need is greater than ever.

I share this because I believe it's important to speak up. These decisions have real consequences. They erase the spaces our youth need to thrive. And they silence the voices of those fighting for equity, justice, and change in San Francisco.



Tatiana Villagomez Montalvo

Youth Leadership Institute
Project Coordinator
Pronouns: she/her
yli.org | instagram | facebook | twitter

From: Sean Hale
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: Budget and Appropriations
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 12:59:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to ask for your strong support of Black centered programs and services in San Francisco. Our communities have long been impacted by displacement and disinvestment, and this budget is an opportunity to uplift, restore, and sustain spaces and programs that foster belonging, equity, and resilience.

I have benefited greatly from my participation in the Homeless Children's Network's Amani Program, a workforce development program supported by OEWD. I was able to foster job readiness skills, clinical training, and mental health strategies that can support the healing of generations to come.

I encourage you to fully fund these efforts for the well-being of Black San Franciscans, and for the strength and future of the city as a whole.

Thank you for your consideration.
- Sean Jhale

From: Natasha Zastko
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: 6/23 Public Comment on Budget
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 12:55:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, here is my public comment for today's meeting at City Hall:

Hello I represent Youth Leadership Institute and we are devoted to youth power through civic engagement, community advocacy, and cultural empowerment.

Our SDDT program that was cut focuses on educating young people of the Latinx community and their allies on the lethal impact of sugary drinks that plague our bodies and deteriorate our health.

The budget cut does not only further burden our health care system but puts the health and wellness of our youth in grave danger. Not only does the budget cut jeopardize long term sustainable positive change for our communities of color but it raids the people's ability to serve and support each other.

It is easy for you, decision makers, to pretend to care today and then go home and think your family won't be directly impacted by your complacency. But remember that by cutting our budgets you are choosing to ignore the children you claim to serve, the communities who voted for you, and the same people who trusted you to represent them. Choose wisely!

Thank you!

Warmly,
Natasha

Sent from my iPhone

From: Bill Hing
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: SFILDC funding
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 11:36:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica

I just want you to know how important funding for removal defense is at this time in our history. Ice removals and arrests are proceeding at unprecedented levels. I urge you to continue funding with the San Francisco, immigrant, legal defense collaborative.

Bill Hing
Professor of Law

From: Mary Travis-Allen
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: Budget
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 10:45:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good Morning:

The American Indian community in San Francisco has endured major disparities under decades of government failure to recognize the needs of this community.

Cuts in funding that directly further the impact to support the unique needs of our community, increases the impact.

American Indians were lured to several Urban areas beginning in the mid 1950's, under the Relocation Act, with the promise to train people and provide housing and education.

Our people learned trades and labored to build this City but this City never kept its promise.

We have the highest homeless rate, highest drop out rate, the highest suicide rate, lowest home ownership, etc. This data reflects this City's neglect of our community.

We have organizations like the American Indian Cultural District, American Indian Cultural Center, Friendship House, BAAITS, NAHC that provide for the unique cultural and physical needs of our community. The budget cuts will severely negatively impact the work of these organizations.

How can this City fund and support Entertainment Zones to attract outsiders when communities are struggling to survive and protect the health & well being of the families that live here?

Please do not cut funding to the community organizations that represent the people that live here and support the true vitality of this City.

Mary Travis-Allen
AICD, Equity Councils-SFMTA/Planning
Sent from my iPhone

From:	Jeremy Rosenberg
To:	Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comment on the Budget - on behalf of SFILDC
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 9:52:08 AM
Attachments:	image001.png image002.png image003.png

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning, Erica:

My name is Jeremy Rosenberg, and I'm a Supervising Attorney at the Immigration Center for Women and Children, a proud member of the SFILDC for the past decade. I also am a resident of the Castro District (District 8) and I work in our Mid-Market office, just a few blocks from City Hall.


San Francisco has long been a sanctuary city for our immigrant families—but right now, immigrant communities are under intense attack, and we must back our values with action. The **current RFP left out $878,000 in SFILDC funding—an effective cut to immigration legal services**—at a time when the need is greater than ever. ***Meanwhile, neighboring counties like Santa Clara and Alameda have significantly increased funding for these same services.***

At ICWC, and across SFILDC, we're seeing more SF residents detained—many with no criminal history, simply trying to comply with ICE appointments. This climate of fear is keeping people from work, school, and public life. Our services are working. We are stabilizing families, preventing homelessness, and connecting survivors to safety and healing. Additionally, a huge number of our clients (and members of immigrant communities in general in San Francisco) work in critical sectors of our economy. During the COVID-19 pandemic, we applauded and cheered for our essential workers. I am asking you to consider matching that appreciation where it counts: **the city's budget.**

We ask you to stand with us—and urge the Mayor to **restore this critical funding to the SFILDC and our partners at SFILEN** and protect our immigrant neighbors.

Thank you for your time,

Jeremy Rosenberg (he/him/el)
Supervising Attorney
Immigration Center for Women and Children
1254 Market Street, 3rd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102
Tel: 415-861-1449 ext. 2317
Fax: 415-861-1681
jeremy@icwclaw.org
www.icwclaw.org





Licensed in New York - practice limited to federal immigration law. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review or distribution by others is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this message.

Licenciado en Nueva York. practica limitada al ley de inmigracion federal. Este mensaje de correo electronico destinado solo para el uso personal del destinario(s) nombrado(s) arriba. Este mensaje puede ser una comunicacion entre abogado y cliente, y como tal privilegiada y confidencial. Si usted no es el destinario, no puede revisar, copiar, o distribuir este mensaje. Si ha recibido este mensaje por error, por favor notifiquenos inmediatamente por email y borre el mensaje original.

Dear Mayor Laurie and Board of Supervisors:

I am writing to you representing three sectors, first as the Coordinator of a Food Pantry at St. Agnes Church in Haight Ashbury and second as a proud San Francisco resident and property owner for over 45 years and third, a retired, CCSF employee.

Our food pantry serves 230 food insecure clients each week. Our volunteer staff is mostly comprised of seniors who are dependable but have physical limitations. Each week when the Community Ambassadors show up we all breathe a sigh of relief.

Our Ambassadors are young, strong, multi-lingual and ready to unload the food from the truck, serve our clients and be part of our team. Their presence brings a sense of community safety, builds trust with our clients, and calms any tension .

I have witnessed the Ambassadors help calm our unhoused clients and provide them with helpful resources. They carry our clients bags to the bus stop and offer a friendly greeting in the client's native language.

It would be a great hardship to lose their help at our food pantry. I would have to find 4 volunteers to replace 2 of the Ambassadors.

Now I will speak to you as a long term resident of San Francisco and a retired,CCSF employee who worked in the "Jobs Now," Program.

The presence of the Ambassadors in any neighborhood makes me feel safer. They are not the police, they are easily identified people who are available to help in a non-judgmental way. I see them in different neighborhoods talking to the unhoused, helping our seniors and our children navigate the neighborhoods or maintain order at an event. I have seen them picking up trash and talking to the small business owners. They provide an

invaluable service to the residents of San Francisco. They also talk to our tourists, give them directions or just a welcoming hello, welcome to San Francisco. The Ambassadors can help to change our current image to those outside of the Bay Area, and promote tourism.

Finally, having worked with the "Jobs Now" clients I know how this program can make difference in their lives. This program provides them with valuable skills and gives them a consistent work history. It opens up doors for future job opportunities.

The services the Community Ambassadors provide goes directly towards solving one of the biggest problems facing San Francisco, The unhoused living and hanging out on our streets.

PLEASE DO NOT END THIS PROGRAM.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Devine

Kathleen Devine

kdevine88@yahoo.com

From: Cathy Sakimura
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: Public comment on SF City Budget
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 2:14:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed City budget. I am the Executive Director of Legal Services for Children. We provide legal and social services to San Francisco's children and youth in legal matters related to immigration, foster care, education and guardianship. We are also a founding member of the SF Immigrant Legal Defense Collaborative, and we receive funds for vital services for other immigrant children and youth from MOHCD, DCYF, and OCEIA.

We urge the Board of Supervisors to continue funding legal and social services for immigrant SF residents during this very difficult budget year. LSC provides free representation to children and youth to defend from deportation as well as in affirmative applications for legal immigration status, most of whom have been separated from their parents. We urge the Supervisors to avoid cutting services and consider a CODB increase, which helps nonprofits cover annual increases in costs such as rent and health benefits. In particular for SFILDC, we urge the Supervisors to maintain the one-time increase provided to the collaborative for January-June 2025. With the current climate of fear, attacks, and increased immigration enforcement, the need for urgent and rapid services to SF immigrant residents has grown.

Our young clients, who are already survivors of violence and trauma that led them to the U.S., are experiencing not only fear for their own safety but also watching their family members being violently taken into custody by ICE. Immigrant children and families in San Francisco need our support more than ever. Young people we represent go on to graduate from high school, go to college and vocational training, and start careers. An investment in their futures benefits all of us in San Francisco.

Thank you,
Cathy Sakimura

LSC 50 Years of Youth Advocacy
Legal Services for Children
870 Market St, Suite 356
San Francisco, CA 94102

Catherine Sakimura, Esq.
Executive Director
(Pronouns: she/her/hers)
Direct Line: (415) 780-6333
Fax: (415) 863-7708
www.lsc-sf.org

From: Matthew Dumanig
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: 6/23 Public Comment
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 1:51:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Matthew Dumanig, I work at the Filipino Community Center for our highschool youth program. For many of our youth AND their families, they come from working-class families and are recent migrants from the PHILIPPINES.

Families who are vulnerable to food insecurity, displacement, wage theft, and exploitation. Families who rely on the FCC' workers rights program and direct services. The SAME ones being cut — $164K to our TULAY program, $62.3K to Workers Rights Community Collaborative!

These cuts are minuscule in comparison to the city budget of $15.9billion, and will HEAVILY impact not just our capacity to serve the Filipino community, but it is a DIRECT ATTACK to migrant families and their livelihood!

To the Board of Supervisors, restore and fully fund the TULAY program and the WORKERS RIGHTS COMMUNITY COLLABORATIVE!

Matthew Dumanig
408-838-7312 || matthew@filipinocc.org

Filipino Community Center
4681 Mission Street, San Francisco, CA, 94112
415-333-6267 || info@filipinocc.org

From: MB Salem
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: 6/23 Public Comment
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 1:43:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi, my name is Jenny, and I'm sending this message today as one of the workers who have experienced exploitation, wage theft, and unfair treatment in the workplace. In those moments, we didn't know where to turn. The Filipino Community Center (FCC) and the Asian Law Caucus (ALC) were there for us—100% of the way.

They didn't just offer legal help or advice. They stood beside us, guided us, and most importantly, they believed in us. When we were scared, they gave us strength. When we were silenced, they helped us find our voices. When we felt powerless, they reminded us of our rights and our dignity.

For so many in the Filipino community, and in other immigrant and working-class communities, these organizations are truly a lifeline. They fill a huge gap in services that the government has long failed to provide. FCC and ALC don't just support individuals—they build movements, they bring people together, and they push for real, lasting change.

That's why we are deeply concerned about the threat of budget cuts to these essential organizations. Cutting their funding is not just a financial decision—it's a betrayal of workers like us, of immigrants, of families, and of entire communities who rely on them to survive and to fight back.

We need to be clear: FCC and ALC are not just helping people—they are changing lives. They are part of the backbone of this city's fight for justice. Their work must not only be protected—it must be fully funded and expanded. Please restore the full funding for the Workers Rights Community Collaborative.

Thank you to the FCC and ALC for everything you've done. And to those in power: please do not take this lifeline away from the people.

From: Perez, Audrey
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment Day
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 1:41:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, my name is Audrey. I'm part of the Kabataan Youth Leadership Program, a program of the Filipino Community Center.

I'm speaking today because I'm deeply concerned about the 37% cut to the TULAY program. This is the core program that helps Filipino immigrant workers and families all over the city — including right here in District 11, which has the largest Filipino population in San Francisco.

I've seen firsthand how important these services are. They connect people to housing, food, case managers, and legal help — especially those experiencing abuse at work or at home. These are things no one should go without.

As an immigrant myself, I know how overwhelming and isolating it can feel. Programs like TULAY help people feel seen and supported when they need it the most.

TULAY means "bridge" in Tagalog - and without it, so many in our community will lose that connection to safety, support, and justice.

I urge you: please do not cut the TULAY program. We need more support for immigrant families, not less. Thank you.

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: Perez, Aubrey
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment Day
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 1:41:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, my name is Aubrey Perez. I'm a student at Mission High School, and I'm a part of the Filipino Community Center.

I'm here today to speak out against the 37% budget cut (over $164,000) to the TULAY program.

I'm not someone who's directly in the TULAY program, but I am part of the FCC community, and I've seen how much these kinds of services matter, especially to immigrants like me and my family. Moving to the U.S. wasn't easy. We had to figure out school, systems, and language, and it often felt like we had to do it all on our own.

Programs like TULAY support people who are dealing with even harder situations, like migrant workers living in unsafe homes, or being treated unfairly at work. I've heard about caregivers sleeping in storage closets or working without rest. FCC staff visit them, educate them on their rights, and help them find better conditions.

As a young immigrant, I know how isolating it can feel here. FCC has been one of the few places where I've felt like I belonged. Taking away programs like TULAY means taking away the kind of support that makes people feel safe, respected, and human.

With a $15.9 billion city budget, there is no excuse for these cuts. We cannot afford to lose this now. I urge the Board to fully fund the TULAY Program and not allow this cut to go through. Thank you.

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: MB Salem
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: 6/23 Public Comment
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 1:39:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi supervisors,

Please restore the full funding of the WRCC which includes the Filipino Community Center (FCC)

Working with the FCC on our hotel workers campaign has been a powerful experience. Before connecting with them, I didn't fully realize how many workers were going through the same issues—retaliation, understaffing, and unfair labor practices. It felt isolating at first, but FCC helped bring us together.

They created a space where I felt safe sharing my story. Through their support, I was able to speak up not just for myself, but also for my coworkers who were too afraid to come forward. FCC didn't just listen—they helped us take action.

The campaign reminded me that workers have power when we organize. It's not easy to challenge a company, but with a community behind you, it's possible. FCC helped us turn our frustrations into demands, and our experiences into something that could lead to real change.

I'm grateful for their guidance and solidarity. This work reminded me that our voices matter—and that no one should be mistreated at work without accountability.

From: MB Salem
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: 6/23 Public comment
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 1:33:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi, I'm Grace a Bay Area resident of 8 years and a volunteer at the Filipino Community Center. I'm concerned about the city's cutting of the FCC's Workers Rights Program by 61%. This cut will greatly reduce FCC's capacity to serve community members experiencing, or are at risk of experiencing, labor law violations. FCC's Workers Rights Program provides community co-enforcement of labor laws, which has proven to be an effective strategy. It helps workers and it saves the city money. By educating people of their workers rights and resolving issues between employees and employers directly, many workplace violations are prevented or settled outside of court and other administrative offices. The Board MUST restore funding to the Filipino Community Center's Workers Rights Program.

From: Dr. Treajané Brown
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: PC: Budget and Appropriations Mtg - 6/23/25
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 1:02:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This message was sent securely using Zix®

Dear Members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to ask for your strong support of Black centered programs and services in San Francisco. Our communities have long been impacted by displacement and disinvestment, and this budget is an opportunity to uplift, restore, and sustain spaces and programs that foster belonging, equity, and resilience.

As the Amani Program Coordinator at The Homeless Children's Network, I'm deeply honored to carry forward a vision shaped by our elders and ancestors. Through this OEWD-supported initiative, we're creating space for job readiness, clinical training, and mental health strategies that nurture resilience and support the healing of generations to come. It's a privilege to steward this work and witness its impact within our communities every day.

I encourage you to fully fund these efforts for the well-being of Black San Franciscans, and for the strength and future of the city as a whole.

Thank you for your consideration,

Treajané T. R. Brown, Ph.D.
She / They

Program Coordinator (Amani Program)
Homeless Children's Network
Office: (415)-437-3990
Mobile: (415)-237-3044

NOTE: Confidential Information

This message and any attached files contain proprietary, confidential and/or legally privileged information of HOMELESS CHILDREN'S NETWORK. The contents are for the use of the intended recipient only. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or any action taken in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately call (415) 437-3990 x304 to arrange for the destruction or return of the message and any attached files.

This message was secured by **Zix®**.

From: Tatiana Villagomez Montalvo
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: Public Statement on Budget Cuts Impacting Communities of Color 2025
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 1:01:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm deeply disappointed and frustrated by the budget cuts made by Mayor Daniel Lurie, especially those that disproportionately impact communities of color. Among these cuts is one that directly affects my role and the work I care deeply about: the **DPH Sugary Drinks funding**, which supported critical health equity programs.

One of those programs was **Mariposa Poderosa**, a youth leadership initiative I created specifically for **Latinx young women and their allies**. This program focused on health equity and social justice, with a special emphasis on sugary drink marketing and its impact on our communities. It provided a culturally relevant space where youth could connect, heal, and organize around issues that directly affect them.

Our curriculum was complete. Our funders had already approved the work. We were ready to launch. But because of these budget cuts, the program was pulled—leaving young Latinx women without a space that was built for them, by them, and with their wellbeing in mind.

When I first heard about the cut from my program manager, I wasn't sure I would even have a job. Thankfully, the organization I work for did everything they could to keep me on. I'm now still employed, but stretched across two different programs in two different counties. I'm grateful to still be doing this work, but the emotional and professional toll of having our efforts disregarded like this is real.

It's painful to see our communities lose opportunities for healing, learning, and empowerment especially when the funding has already been secured and the need is greater than ever.

I share this because I believe it's important to speak up. These decisions have real consequences. They erase the spaces our youth need to thrive. And they silence the voices of those fighting for equity, justice, and change in San Francisco.



Tatiana Villagomez Montalvo

Youth Leadership Institute
Project Coordinator
Pronouns: she/her
yli.org | instagram | facebook | twitter

From: Sean Hale
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: Budget and Appropriations
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 12:59:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to ask for your strong support of Black centered programs and services in San Francisco. Our communities have long been impacted by displacement and disinvestment, and this budget is an opportunity to uplift, restore, and sustain spaces and programs that foster belonging, equity, and resilience.

I have benefited greatly from my participation in the Homeless Children's Network's Amani Program, a workforce development program supported by OEWD. I was able to foster job readiness skills, clinical training, and mental health strategies that can support the healing of generations to come.

I encourage you to fully fund these efforts for the well-being of Black San Franciscans, and for the strength and future of the city as a whole.

Thank you for your consideration.
- Sean Jhale

From: Natasha Zastko
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: 6/23 Public Comment on Budget
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 12:55:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, here is my public comment for today's meeting at City Hall:

Hello I represent Youth Leadership Institute and we are devoted to youth power through civic engagement, community advocacy, and cultural empowerment.

Our SDDT program that was cut focuses on educating young people of the Latinx community and their allies on the lethal impact of sugary drinks that plague our bodies and deteriorate our health.

The budget cut does not only further burden our health care system but puts the health and wellness of our youth in grave danger. Not only does the budget cut jeopardize long term sustainable positive change for our communities of color but it raids the people's ability to serve and support each other.

It is easy for you, decision makers, to pretend to care today and then go home and think your family won't be directly impacted by your complacency. But remember that by cutting our budgets you are choosing to ignore the children you claim to serve, the communities who voted for you, and the same people who trusted you to represent them. Choose wisely!

Thank you!

Warmly,
Natasha

Sent from my iPhone

From: Bill Hing
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: SFILDC funding
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 11:36:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica

I just want you to know how important funding for removal defense is at this time in our history. Ice removals and arrests are proceeding at unprecedented levels. I urge you to continue funding with the San Francisco, immigrant, legal defense collaborative.

Bill Hing
Professor of Law

From: Mary Travis-Allen
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: Budget
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 10:45:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good Morning:

The American Indian community in San Francisco has endured major disparities under decades of government failure to recognize the needs of this community.

Cuts in funding that directly further the impact to support the unique needs of our community, increases the impact.

American Indians were lured to several Urban areas beginning in the mid 1950's, under the Relocation Act, with the promise to train people and provide housing and education.

Our people learned trades and labored to build this City but this City never kept its promise.

We have the highest homeless rate, highest drop out rate, the highest suicide rate, lowest home ownership, etc. This data reflects this City's neglect of our community.

We have organizations like the American Indian Cultural District, American Indian Cultural Center, Friendship House, BAAITS, NAHC that provide for the unique cultural and physical needs of our community. The budget cuts will severely negatively impact the work of these organizations.

How can this City fund and support Entertainment Zones to attract outsiders when communities are struggling to survive and protect the health & well being of the families that live here?

Please do not cut funding to the community organizations that represent the people that live here and support the true vitality of this City.

Mary Travis-Allen
AICD, Equity Councils-SFMTA/Planning
Sent from my iPhone

From:	Jeremy Rosenberg
To:	Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comment on the Budget - on behalf of SFILDC
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 9:52:08 AM
Attachments:	image001.png image002.png image003.png

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning, Erica:

My name is Jeremy Rosenberg, and I'm a Supervising Attorney at the Immigration Center for Women and Children, a proud member of the SFILDC for the past decade. I also am a resident of the Castro District (District 8) and I work in our Mid-Market office, just a few blocks from City Hall.

San Francisco has long been a sanctuary city for our immigrant families—but right now, immigrant communities are under intense attack, and we must back our values with action. The **current RFP left out $878,000 in SFILDC funding—an effective cut to immigration legal services**—at a time when the need is greater than ever. ***Meanwhile, neighboring counties like Santa Clara and Alameda have significantly increased funding for these same services.***

At ICWC, and across SFILDC, we're seeing more SF residents detained—many with no criminal history, simply trying to comply with ICE appointments. This climate of fear is keeping people from work, school, and public life. Our services are working. We are stabilizing families, preventing homelessness, and connecting survivors to safety and healing. Additionally, a huge number of our clients (and members of immigrant communities in general in San Francisco) work in critical sectors of our economy. During the COVID-19 pandemic, we applauded and cheered for our essential workers. I am asking you to consider matching that appreciation where it counts: **the city's budget.**

We ask you to stand with us—and urge the Mayor to **restore this critical funding to the SFILDC and our partners at SFILEN** and protect our immigrant neighbors.

Thank you for your time,

Jeremy Rosenberg (he/him/el)
Supervising Attorney
Immigration Center for Women and Children
1254 Market Street, 3rd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102
Tel: 415-861-1449 ext. 2317
Fax: 415-861-1681
jeremy@icwclaw.org
www.icwclaw.org





Licensed in New York - practice limited to federal immigration law. This communication may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review or distribution by others is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this message.

Licenciado en Nueva York. practica limitada al ley de inmigracion federal. Este mensaje de correo electronico destinado solo para el uso personal del destinario(s) nombrado(s) arriba. Este mensaje puede ser una comunicacion entre abogado y cliente, y como tal privilegiada y confidencial. Si usted no es el destinario, no puede revisar, copiar, o distribuir este mensaje. Si ha recibido este mensaje por error, por favor notifiquenos inmediatamente por email y borre el mensaje original.

From: Jess Farb
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment on the Budget - on behalf of SFILDC
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 9:41:36 AM
Attachments: image001.png
image002.png
image003.png

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning,

My name is Jessica Farb, and I'm the Deputy Director and an attorney at the Immigration Center for Women and Children, a proud member of the SFILDC for the past decade.

San Francisco has long been a sanctuary city—but right now, immigrant communities are under intense attack, and we must back our values with action. The **current RFP left out $878,000 in SFILDC funding—an effective cut to immigration legal services**—at a time when the need is greater than ever. Meanwhile, neighboring counties like Santa Clara and Alameda have significantly increased funding for these same services.

At ICWC, and across SFILDC, we're seeing more SF residents detained—many with no criminal history, simply trying to comply with ICE appointments. This climate of fear is keeping people from work, school, and public life. Our services are working. We are stabilizing families, preventing homelessness, and connecting survivors to safety and healing.

We ask you to stand with us—and urge the Mayor to **restore this critical funding to the SFILDC and our partners at SFILEN** and protect our immigrant neighbors.

Jess

Jessica Farb, Esq., Deputy Director
Immigration Center for Women and Children
1254 Market Street, 3rd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102
Tel: 415-861-1449, ext. 2305
Fax: 415-861-1681
jess@icwclaw.org
www.icwclaw.org





From:	Monica Lee
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 7:57:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Monica

From:	Angela Caltagirone
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 7:14:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Best,

Angela
650-759-7073

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Cyuaka Vu
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 6:13:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Cyuaka

From:	Billy Volkmann
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 6:11:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Billy Volkmann
1 Locust.
SF CA 94118

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Deborah Dacumos
To:	Major, Erica (BOS)
Cc:	Dacumos, Deborah (VAC)
Subject:	Public Comment Day on Annual Budget File Nos. 250589, 250590 HSA/DAS
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 12:20:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chairwoman Chan and BOS members:

Over the past two weeks the BOS Budget and Appropriations Committee has been reviewing the Mayor's proposed budgets for ALL city departments. Due to the city's extreme fiscal challenges, all departments had cuts.

The committee held several public hearings where all departments presented the Mayor"s proposed budget for their department. In the Mayor's proposed budget for HSA/DAS, the CVSO position stayed as a 0922 Manager. Like it has been.

The next phase - for all departments - was a further review of the Mayor's proposed budget by the Budget and Legislative Analysts (BLA). The BLA sent their recommendations to the BOS Budget and Appropriations Committee. The committee then called several departments back to another hearing to review BLA's recommendations for each department budget.

For the HSA/DAS budget, BLA recommended several changes and one - of many - was to reduce CVSO position requirements and classification from a 0922 Manager to a 4233 Claims Representative Supervisor.

A 4233 supervisor classification would essentially eliminate CVSO from engaging with other veteran groups - including the San Francisco Veterans Affairs Commission (SFVAC) attending state conferences, various events.

The CVSO Manager (Director) attends and provides monthly reports to the SFVAC on services provided to veterans and their families; engages with other organizations and agencies providing services to veterans. Information shared by CVSO Manager/Director to SFVAC has benefited veterans obtain educational benefits for their children and benefits to spouses and children of veterans who were affected by smoke pit.

I personally was able to share information about the PACT Act with the wife of an Iraq veteran who had been exposed to smoke pit. The veteran's initial claim for disability benefits was denied but subsequently he and his family received benefits. He died of spinal cancer just over a year after his diagnosis. The CVSO manager is invaluable to veterans and their families.

The lower classification would limit position's function to supervising other claims representatives. Community outreach and engagement would not fit in to lower classification. But, outreach and engagement does align with functions of a 0922 Manager classification. Therefore, I recommend that the BOS Budget and Appropriations Committee reject BLA's recommendation to reduce CVSO position from 0922 to 4233.

Very Respectfully,
Deborah Dacumos, COL(ret.) US Army
San Francisco Veterans Affairs Commissioner
deborah.dacumos.vac@sfgov.org
415-342-2086

Peace and blessings

From:	Luisa Riccardi
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 11:53:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From:	Dave Hollenberg
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 10:06:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, June 20, 2025, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between maintaining employment and fees (specifically, court fees for tennis and pickleball). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

The presentation by RPD was made after public comment, and there was no opportunity for the public to respond. We are not against all the fees. We are against RPD unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) compared to the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in public comments, an adjustment of just **thirty (30)** cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke on Friday, including golf course employees, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

I continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations] Better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented to the BOS.

Thank you,

Dave Hollenberg
District 7 Resident
david.g.hollenberg@gmail.com | (203) 984-9764

From:	Ltw Jones
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Follow-up to Friday's discussion of RPD at the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, agenda items 13-16
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 9:11:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

I am writing to request that you vote against RPD's proposal to charge feed for use of public tennis and pickleball courts. The proposed fee is prejudiced against one of many activities managed by RPD.

Charging for only one activity is unfair. Why not charge for use of basketball courts, the polo field, the track at Kezar, the grassy areas used for baseball and soccer (these require a lot of maintenance) and playgrounds?

Consider charging usage fees at facilities that have working bathrooms because a working bathroom requires staff to check for supplies and cleanliness.

Currently RPD provides no maintenance at standalone public tennis and pickleball courts. That is evidenced by users of these courts bringing their own brooms to sweep the courts. A net at the Stern Grove tennis/pickleball courts has been broken for two months despite frequent requests that it be fixed. There are not enough nets for the pickleball courts at Rossi or Buena Vista. Will money raised from court fees be used solely to maintain tennis and pickleball courts, or will the money go into the general fund and be eligible for all RPD services?

Please take the time to consider the inequity of RPD's request.

I urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations] because better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thank you.

From:	Ltw Jones
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Follow-up to Friday's discussion of RPD at the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, agenda items 13-16
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 9:11:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

I am writing to request that you vote against RPD's proposal to charge feed for use of public tennis and pickleball courts. The proposed fee is prejudiced against one of many activities managed by RPD.

Charging for only one activity is unfair. Why not charge for use of basketball courts, the polo field, the track at Kezar, the grassy areas used for baseball and soccer (these require a lot of maintenance) and playgrounds?

Consider charging usage fees at facilities that have working bathrooms because a working bathroom requires staff to check for supplies and cleanliness.

Currently RPD provides no maintenance at standalone public tennis and pickleball courts. That is evidenced by users of these courts bringing their own brooms to sweep the courts. A net at the Stern Grove tennis/pickleball courts has been broken for two months despite frequent requests that it be fixed. There are not enough nets for the pickleball courts at Rossi or Buena Vista. Will money raised from court fees be used solely to maintain tennis and pickleball courts, or will the money go into the general fund and be eligible for all RPD services?

Please take the time to consider the inequity of RPD's request.

I urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations] because better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thank you.

From: Erica Wang
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment for Monday, June 23rd Budget and Appropriations Committee
Date: Friday, June 20, 2025 4:08:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Supervisors,

Hi, my name is Erica Wang your neighbor from Ingleside (D11). I am strongly opposed to a budget that allocates additional funds to the Police Department, Sheriff's Department, and the District Attorney's Office while making deep cuts to programs and services that provide food, housing, legal services, and other critical assistance to the most vulnerable and marginalized members of our community.

As supervisors, you can prevent cuts to our social safety net and layoffs by decreasing the law enforcement budget.

I am particularly concerned about keeping our children from living on the streets, something we are already poor at doing with the last budget year. This severe budget cut will increase the unhoused population, the trauma they experience, and increase the number of jailed citizens since the SFPD is criminalizing the poor.

And to that end, I am also concerned by the increase in the DA's budget but the lack of parity for the Public Defenders office, which has an essential, constitutionally mandated duty to provide legal representation to people who cannot afford it. This office ensures that people receive fair and equal representation under the law. Public defenders are critical protectors of civil rights.

The P.D.'s Office represents some of the most vulnerable and marginalized members of our community. Most of their clients are people of color, often unhoused, and often struggle with substance use disorder and/or mental health conditions. These vulnerable residents are disproportionately stopped, arrested, charged, and face harsher sentences.

The P.D.'s office also provides critical legal services to immigrant communities, keeping families together by defending against deportations. And yet, they are severely underfunded, especially compared to law enforcement.

The P.D.'s budget is approximately 60% of the District Attorney's budget, less than 17% of the Sheriff's budget, and less than 7% of the police department's budget.

The additional $60M that SFPD received in April to cover overtime is more than the P.D.'s entire budget!

The P.D.'s Office is facing significantly more cases. Because they are being stretched

thin, people are left to await trial in overcrowded and inhumane jails.

I urge you to prioritize funding the Public Defender's Office to support true safety and justice in San Francisco.

Do not balance the deficit on the backs of the most vulnerable San Franciscans.

Instead, you should reduce the budgets of the San Francisco Police Department, the Sheriff Department, and the District Attorney's Office.

Thank you.



Erica Wang
She/Her/Hers
408-307-7538
LinkedIn Profile

From: Sonya Dreizler
To: Major, Erica (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Cc: ChenStaff
Subject: Budget Public Comment
Date: Friday, June 20, 2025 3:07:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Budget Committee, and CCing Supervisor Chen (my supervisor),

I came to the budget committee meeting today to voice my support for Rec & Park. I arrived at 10am and had to leave before 2pm so did not get to provide comment in person. Below is a copy of my 1 minute of remarks I prepared. Thank you for including them in your consideration.
Kindly,
Sonya
—

My name is Sonya Dreizler and I'm here to urge full financial support for Rec & Park programs. I have lived in The City for 23 years and raised a family here for the last 15 of those. When my kids were little we saw lots of families leave for the suburbs because they wanted a backyard, or more community, or they wanted their kids to join a swim team.

Like many other families, my family stayed. And Rec & Park has offered all of those amenities - and more - to our kids.

— The parks offer a **collective backyard** for all city families.

— The programs - from art classes to rock climbing, summer camps to sports teams (even a **swim team**!) - are amazing for both kids and adults.

— And the sense of **community** - though hard to articulate - may be the most valuable thing Rec & Park provides. All over the city, my kids see people they know - from Rec & Park baseball teams, art camp, swim lessons, or Camp Mather. And the instructors and park staff know and look out for all the kids.

In a busy and increasingly tech focused city, Rec & Park programs and people foster *in real life* community and a deep sense of belonging. Please fully fund these people, programs, and places that make The City a great place to live.

———

Sonya Dreizler

she/ her
sonyadreizler.com

From:	Michael O"Beirne
To:	Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject:	Written Comments on 20 June 2025 Agenda Item 2 [Budget and Appropriation Ordinance for Departments - FYs 2025-2026 and 2026-2027]
Date:	Friday, June 20, 2025 12:35:22 PM
Attachments:	OBEIRNE Comments to Agenda Item 250589.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Ms. Major,

Please see the attached written comments related to today's agenda item 2. Please let me know if you need anything additional.

Thank you,

Michael O'Beirne

From: Sandra Kwak
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: Protect rec & park comment
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 1:51:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I would like to submit the following comment:

Our family would like to express our support for Rec & Park services, specifically the proposal for paid parking in GG park to generate $4.9M in the next six months, helping to bridge their projected $17.5M budget deficit in the next two years. Paid parking to support Rec & Park is an extremely sensible policy to help us keep our wonderful swimming, playground, after school care, and other programs sustainable. It was first proposed in 2009 and makes sense for our city today.

Thank you,
Sandra Kwak

From:	Jess Farb
To:	Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comment on the Budget - on behalf of SFILDC
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 9:41:36 AM
Attachments:	image001.png image002.png image003.png

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning,

My name is Jessica Farb, and I'm the Deputy Director and an attorney at the Immigration Center for Women and Children, a proud member of the SFILDC for the past decade.

San Francisco has long been a sanctuary city—but right now, immigrant communities are under intense attack, and we must back our values with action. The **current RFP left out $878,000 in SFILDC funding—an effective cut to immigration legal services**—at a time when the need is greater than ever. Meanwhile, neighboring counties like Santa Clara and Alameda have significantly increased funding for these same services.

At ICWC, and across SFILDC, we're seeing more SF residents detained—many with no criminal history, simply trying to comply with ICE appointments. This climate of fear is keeping people from work, school, and public life. Our services are working. We are stabilizing families, preventing homelessness, and connecting survivors to safety and healing.

We ask you to stand with us—and urge the Mayor to **restore this critical funding to the SFILDC and our partners at SFILEN** and protect our immigrant neighbors.

Jess

Jessica Farb, Esq., Deputy Director
Immigration Center for Women and Children
1254 Market Street, 3rd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102
Tel: 415-861-1449, ext. 2305
Fax: 415-861-1681
jess@icwclaw.org
www.icwclaw.org





From:	Monica Lee
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 7:57:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Monica

From:	Angela Caltagirone
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 7:14:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Best,

Angela
650-759-7073

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Cyuaka Vu
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 6:13:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Cyuaka

From:	Billy Volkmann
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 6:11:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Billy Volkmann
1 Locust.
SF CA 94118

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Deborah Dacumos
To:	Major, Erica (BOS)
Cc:	Dacumos, Deborah (VAC)
Subject:	Public Comment Day on Annual Budget File Nos. 250589, 250590 HSA/DAS
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 12:20:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chairwoman Chan and BOS members:

Over the past two weeks the BOS Budget and Appropriations Committee has been reviewing the Mayor's proposed budgets for ALL city departments. Due to the city's extreme fiscal challenges, all departments had cuts.

The committee held several public hearings where all departments presented the Mayor"s proposed budget for their department. In the Mayor's proposed budget for HSA/DAS, the CVSO position stayed as a 0922 Manager. Like it has been.

The next phase - for all departments - was a further review of the Mayor's proposed budget by the Budget and Legislative Analysts (BLA). The BLA sent their recommendations to the BOS Budget and Appropriations Committee. The committee then called several departments back to another hearing to review BLA's recommendations for each department budget.

For the HSA/DAS budget, BLA recommended several changes and one - of many - was to reduce CVSO position requirements and classification from a 0922 Manager to a 4233 Claims Representative Supervisor.

A 4233 supervisor classification would essentially eliminate CVSO from engaging with other veteran groups - including the San Francisco Veterans Affairs Commission (SFVAC) attending state conferences, various events.

The CVSO Manager (Director) attends and provides monthly reports to the SFVAC on services provided to veterans and their families; engages with other organizations and agencies providing services to veterans. Information shared by CVSO Manager/Director to SFVAC has benefited veterans obtain educational benefits for their children and benefits to spouses and children of veterans who were affected by smoke pit.

I personally was able to share information about the PACT Act with the wife of an Iraq veteran who had been exposed to smoke pit. The veteran's initial claim for disability benefits was denied but subsequently he and his family received benefits. He died of spinal cancer just over a year after his diagnosis. The CVSO manager is invaluable to veterans and their families.

The lower classification would limit position's function to supervising other claims representatives. Community outreach and engagement would not fit in to lower classification. But, outreach and engagement does align with functions of a 0922 Manager classification. Therefore, I recommend that the BOS Budget and Appropriations Committee reject BLA's recommendation to reduce CVSO position from 0922 to 4233.

Very Respectfully,
Deborah Dacumos, COL(ret.) US Army
San Francisco Veterans Affairs Commissioner
deborah.dacumos.vac@sfgov.org
415-342-2086

Peace and blessings

From:	Luisa Riccardi
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 11:53:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From:	Dave Hollenberg
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 10:06:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, June 20, 2025, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between maintaining employment and fees (specifically, court fees for tennis and pickleball). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

The presentation by RPD was made after public comment, and there was no opportunity for the public to respond. We are not against all the fees. We are against RPD unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) compared to the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in public comments, an adjustment of just **thirty (30)** cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke on Friday, including golf course employees, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

I continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations] Better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented to the BOS.

Thank you,

Dave Hollenberg
District 7 Resident
david.g.hollenberg@gmail.com | (203) 984-9764

From:	Ltw Jones
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Follow-up to Friday's discussion of RPD at the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, agenda items 13-16
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 9:11:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

I am writing to request that you vote against RPD's proposal to charge feed for use of public tennis and pickleball courts. The proposed fee is prejudiced against one of many activities managed by RPD.

Charging for only one activity is unfair. Why not charge for use of basketball courts, the polo field, the track at Kezar, the grassy areas used for baseball and soccer (these require a lot of maintenance) and playgrounds?

Consider charging usage fees at facilities that have working bathrooms because a working bathroom requires staff to check for supplies and cleanliness.

Currently RPD provides no maintenance at standalone public tennis and pickleball courts. That is evidenced by users of these courts bringing their own brooms to sweep the courts. A net at the Stern Grove tennis/pickleball courts has been broken for two months despite frequent requests that it be fixed. There are not enough nets for the pickleball courts at Rossi or Buena Vista. Will money raised from court fees be used solely to maintain tennis and pickleball courts, or will the money go into the general fund and be eligible for all RPD services?

Please take the time to consider the inequity of RPD's request.

I urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations] because better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thank you.

From:	Ltw Jones
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Follow-up to Friday's discussion of RPD at the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, agenda items 13-16
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 9:11:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

I am writing to request that you vote against RPD's proposal to charge feed for use of public tennis and pickleball courts. The proposed fee is prejudiced against one of many activities managed by RPD.

Charging for only one activity is unfair. Why not charge for use of basketball courts, the polo field, the track at Kezar, the grassy areas used for baseball and soccer (these require a lot of maintenance) and playgrounds?

Consider charging usage fees at facilities that have working bathrooms because a working bathroom requires staff to check for supplies and cleanliness.

Currently RPD provides no maintenance at standalone public tennis and pickleball courts. That is evidenced by users of these courts bringing their own brooms to sweep the courts. A net at the Stern Grove tennis/pickleball courts has been broken for two months despite frequent requests that it be fixed. There are not enough nets for the pickleball courts at Rossi or Buena Vista. Will money raised from court fees be used solely to maintain tennis and pickleball courts, or will the money go into the general fund and be eligible for all RPD services?

Please take the time to consider the inequity of RPD's request.

I urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations] because better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thank you.

From: Erica Wang
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment for Monday, June 23rd Budget and Appropriations Committee
Date: Friday, June 20, 2025 4:08:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Supervisors,

Hi, my name is Erica Wang your neighbor from Ingleside (D11). I am strongly opposed to a budget that allocates additional funds to the Police Department, Sheriff's Department, and the District Attorney's Office while making deep cuts to programs and services that provide food, housing, legal services, and other critical assistance to the most vulnerable and marginalized members of our community.

As supervisors, you can prevent cuts to our social safety net and layoffs by decreasing the law enforcement budget.

I am particularly concerned about keeping our children from living on the streets, something we are already poor at doing with the last budget year. This severe budget cut will increase the unhoused population, the trauma they experience, and increase the number of jailed citizens since the SFPD is criminalizing the poor.

And to that end, I am also concerned by the increase in the DA's budget but the lack of parity for the Public Defenders office, which has an essential, constitutionally mandated duty to provide legal representation to people who cannot afford it. This office ensures that people receive fair and equal representation under the law. Public defenders are critical protectors of civil rights.

The P.D.'s Office represents some of the most vulnerable and marginalized members of our community. Most of their clients are people of color, often unhoused, and often struggle with substance use disorder and/or mental health conditions. These vulnerable residents are disproportionately stopped, arrested, charged, and face harsher sentences.

The P.D.'s office also provides critical legal services to immigrant communities, keeping families together by defending against deportations. And yet, they are severely underfunded, especially compared to law enforcement.

The P.D.'s budget is approximately 60% of the District Attorney's budget, less than 17% of the Sheriff's budget, and less than 7% of the police department's budget.

The additional $60M that SFPD received in April to cover overtime is more than the P.D.'s entire budget!

The P.D.'s Office is facing significantly more cases. Because they are being stretched

thin, people are left to await trial in overcrowded and inhumane jails.

I urge you to prioritize funding the Public Defender's Office to support true safety and justice in San Francisco.

Do not balance the deficit on the backs of the most vulnerable San Franciscans.

Instead, you should reduce the budgets of the San Francisco Police Department, the Sheriff Department, and the District Attorney's Office.

Thank you.



Erica Wang
She/Her/Hers
408-307-7538
LinkedIn Profile

From: Sonya Dreizler
To: Major, Erica (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Cc: ChenStaff
Subject: Budget Public Comment
Date: Friday, June 20, 2025 3:07:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Budget Committee, and CCing Supervisor Chen (my supervisor),

I came to the budget committee meeting today to voice my support for Rec & Park. I arrived at 10am and had to leave before 2pm so did not get to provide comment in person. Below is a copy of my 1 minute of remarks I prepared. Thank you for including them in your consideration.
Kindly,
Sonya
—

My name is Sonya Dreizler and I'm here to urge full financial support for Rec & Park programs. I have lived in The City for 23 years and raised a family here for the last 15 of those. When my kids were little we saw lots of families leave for the suburbs because they wanted a backyard, or more community, or they wanted their kids to join a swim team.

Like many other families, my family stayed. And Rec & Park has offered all of those amenities - and more - to our kids.

— The parks offer a **collective backyard** for all city families.

— The programs - from art classes to rock climbing, summer camps to sports teams (even a **swim team**!) - are amazing for both kids and adults.

— And the sense of **community** - though hard to articulate - may be the most valuable thing Rec & Park provides. All over the city, my kids see people they know - from Rec & Park baseball teams, art camp, swim lessons, or Camp Mather. And the instructors and park staff know and look out for all the kids.

In a busy and increasingly tech focused city, Rec & Park programs and people foster *in real life* community and a deep sense of belonging. Please fully fund these people, programs, and places that make The City a great place to live.

——

Sonya Dreizler

she/ her
sonyadreizler.com

From:	Michael O"Beirne
To:	Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject:	Written Comments on 20 June 2025 Agenda Item 2 [Budget and Appropriation Ordinance for Departments - FYs 2025-2026 and 2026-2027]
Date:	Friday, June 20, 2025 12:35:22 PM
Attachments:	OBEIRNE Comments to Agenda Item 250589.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Ms. Major,

Please see the attached written comments related to today’s agenda item 2. Please let me know if you need anything additional.

Thank you,

Michael O’Beirne

From: Sandra Kwak
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: Protect rec & park comment
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 1:51:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I would like to submit the following comment:

Our family would like to express our support for Rec & Park services, specifically the proposal for paid parking in GG park to generate $4.9M in the next six months, helping to bridge their projected $17.5M budget deficit in the next two years. Paid parking to support Rec & Park is an extremely sensible policy to help us keep our wonderful swimming, playground, after school care, and other programs sustainable. It was first proposed in 2009 and makes sense for our city today.

Thank you,
Sandra Kwak

From: Taylor Blackburn
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 12:35:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Taylor Blackburn
Email	blackburnt@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Hoping to join.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Brandon Lee
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 1:34:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Brandon Lee
Email	blews10@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Hoping to join.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Dave Lehr
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 2:27:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Dave Lehr
Email	lehr.david@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From:	Lucas Sangmin Lee
To:	MelgarStaff (BOS)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Budget, MYR (MYR); Youthcom, (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Public comment
Date:	Wednesday, June 18, 2025 6:29:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie, President Mandelman, and Supervisor Melgar,

My name is Lucas Lee, and I am a resident of District 7. I am 16 years old, and I'm currently a student attending Sacred Heart Cathedral Preparatory. I'm submitting this public comment to the record to uplift the needs and priorities of youth in our district and across the City and County of San Francisco.

As one of your constituents, I strongly encourage all of you and your colleagues on the Board of Supervisors to approve a budget that meets the needs of young people by **supporting the Youth Commission's Budget and Policy Priorities for Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27**. The YC's highest priorities are:

- Expand Reliability and Access to Transit & Keep Free Muni for All Youth (pg. 6-7)
- Protect Undocumented Families from Deportation and Maintain Access to Education (pg. 10)
- Commit to Nutritious and Culturally Relevant Meals at SFUSD (pg. 15)
- Continue and Increase Support for In-School Youth Development Programs (pg. 20)
- Access to Workforce and Youth Employment Programs (pg. 27)
- Accelerate Efforts to Combat and Prepare for the Impacts of Climate Change (pg. 30)
- Improving Police Relations with Youth (pg. 38)
- Address Sexual Assault & Harassment in Schools (pg. 44)
- Address Affordable Housing Crisis & Build Education Workforce Housing (pg. 64, 68)
- Address Living Conditions in SROs & Equitable Housing Assistance for Youth/TAY (pg. 70, 74)

Additionally, based on the proposed budget from the Mayor's Office and via listening sessions with various community organizations across the City, we are asking you to continue supporting funding for these initiatives:

- General Civil Legal Aid
- Food Security Program Funding
- Youth Workforce Programs (e.g. Opportunities for All)
- Department of Early Childhood, "Baby [Prop] C", Funding

Supporting these priorities is important because youth are the future. Supporting the youth is synonymous with supporting the future of SF. The San Francisco Youth Commission is key to ensuring the development and well-being of the youth. More specifically, youths' access to in-school recreational programs is important. Sometimes, it is hard for the underprivileged youth to access recreational programs such as sports outside of school. Therefore, it is important to provide the opportunity for these kids to access these programs. These programs help keep kids busy and out of crime and drugs. I know this firsthand. I have friends who ended up vaping as soon as they quit a sport. One of my friends almost got caught stealing alcohol from a store. Sports and other recreational activities solve this by giving kids reasons to stay away from alcohol and crime, other than the usual "it's not good for you". In essence, these recreational programs give kids entertainment, but they also have the benefit of keeping them away from crime and drugs. I know that the budget is tight, but funding recreational programs in schools has a myriad of benefits that can easily be achieved without spending tons of money.

Thank you for listening to the voices of San Francisco's young people. As the future voters, workforce, and leaders of the City that we love, we encourage you to do the right thing and vote for a budget that works for youth!

Sincerely,
Lucas Lee

From:	Abby Zheng
To:	SuutecStar@siaov.acg
Cc:	Budget, MYR (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Brent.Jalina@sigov.org; MandelmanStañ@sfgov.ora; youthcom@sigov.org
Subject:	Advocacy Public Comment
Date:	Wednesday, June 18, 2025 6:31:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie, President Mandelman, and Supervisor Danny Sauter,

My name is Abby Zheng. I'm 17 years old and currently a student attending Lowell High School. I'm submitting this public comment into the record to uplift the needs and priorities of youth in our district and across the City and County of San Francisco.

As one of your constituents, I strongly encourage all of you and your colleagues on the Board of Supervisors to approve a budget that meets the needs of young people by **supporting the Youth Commission's Budget and Policy Priorities for Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27**. The YC's highest priorities are:

- Expand Reliability and Access to Transit & Keep Free Muni for All Youth (pg. 6-7)
- Protect Undocumented Families from Deportation and Maintain Access to Education (pg. 10)
- Commit to Nutritious and Culturally Relevant Meals at SFUSD (pg. 15)
- Continue and Increase Support for In-School Youth Development Programs (pg. 20)
- Access to Workforce and Youth Employment Programs (pg. 27)
- Accelerate Efforts to Combat and Prepare for the Impacts of Climate Change (pg. 30)
- Improving Police Relations with Youth (pg. 38)
- Address Sexual Assault & Harassment in Schools (pg. 44)
- Address Affordable Housing Crisis & Build Education Workforce Housing (pg. 64, 68)
- Address Living Conditions in SROs & Equitable Housing Assistance for Youth/TAY (pg. 70, 74)

Additionally, based on the proposed budget from the Mayor's Office and via listening sessions with various community organizations across the City, we are asking you to continue supporting funding for these initiatives:

- General Civil Legal Aid
- Food Security Program Funding
- Youth Workforce Programs (e.g. Opportunities for All)
- Department of Early Childhood, "Baby [Prop] C", Funding

Supporting these priorities is important because many other youth may have had similar experiences and feel unsafe in many different parts of the city. We are aware that there are changes being made and it takes time to see significant changes but we are not clearly seeing enough. My parents work as food delivery services that travel around the city delivering meals to different people and there are many instances where they feel unsafe in the city. Many of their customers also feel unsafe and aren't comfortable with even coming down their buildings to pick up their meals. Events like these happen daily and it's an issue many others have to face. News reports of different violent cases happen daily including many robberies or theft.

Aside from safety problems, many youth and seniors living in communities district three in Chinatown are also noticing that there aren't enough dumpsters for them to throw away their trash. In a pact community like Chinatown with families living in Single Room Occupancies, there is a lot of trash that is generated, but Chinatown doesn't have enough public trash dumps that are available and many of these trash ends up in the streets. Not only does it affect the quality of the community, it also damages the overall appearance for attracted Tourists of Chinatown.

Thank you for listening to the voices of San Francisco's young people. As the future voters, workforce, and leaders of the City that we love, we encourage you to do the right thing and vote for a budget that works for youth!

Sincerely,
Abby Zheng

From: Justin Truong
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 6:32:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Justin Truong
Email	justintruong56@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Peter Christodoulo
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 6:40:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Peter Christodoulo
Email	christodoulo@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Natalie Liu
To: ChenStaff
Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Budget, MYR (MYR); Youthcom, (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 6:42:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie, President Mandelman, and Supervisor Chyanne Chen,

My name is Natalie Liu, and I am a resident of District 11. I am 14 years old, and I'm a student going to attend The Drew School. I'm submitting this public comment to the record to uplift the needs and priorities of youth in our district and across the City and County of San Francisco.

As one of your constituents, I strongly encourage all of you and your colleagues on the Board of Supervisors to approve a budget that meets the needs of young people by **supporting the Youth Commission's Budget and Policy Priorities for Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27**. The YC's highest priorities are:

- Expand Reliability and Access to Transit & Keep Free Muni for All Youth (pg. 6-7)
- Protect Undocumented Families from Deportation and Maintain Access to Education (pg. 10)
- Commit to Nutritious and Culturally Relevant Meals at SFUSD (pg. 15)
- Continue and Increase Support for In-School Youth Development Programs (pg. 20)
- Access to Workforce and Youth Employment Programs (pg. 27)
- Accelerate Efforts to Combat and Prepare for the Impacts of Climate Change (pg. 30)
- Improving Police Relations with Youth (pg. 38)
- Address Sexual Assault & Harassment in Schools (pg. 44)
- Address Affordable Housing Crisis & Build Education Workforce Housing (pg. 64, 68)
- Address Living Conditions in SROs & Equitable Housing Assistance for Youth/TAY (pg. 70, 74)

Additionally, based on the proposed budget from the Mayor's Office and via listening sessions with various community organizations across the City, we are asking you to continue supporting funding for these initiatives:

- General Civil Legal Aid
- Food Security Program Funding
- Youth Workforce Programs (e.g. Opportunities for All)
- Department of Early Childhood, "Baby [Prop] C", Funding

Supporting these priorities is important to me because I know a large number of people, including me, who can't go home right after school. My parents work late hours and aren't always able to pick me up on time after school. So for me, after-school programs are a vital part of my school life. These programs help to create a safe environment for me at times when I'm not supervised. At the same time, they make sure that other students and I are feeling comfortable and safe. They also provide academic support. The staff there is dedicated to tutoring and providing one-on-one support for students. Ensuring that they understand the materials taught. After-school programs help in so many unreal ways. If we continue cutting the budget for Youth Programs, it could not only harm staff but also the students who rely on the support of after-school programs.

Thank you for listening to the voices of San Francisco's young people. As the future voters, workforce, and leaders of the City that we love, we encourage you to do the right thing and vote for a budget that works for youth!

Sincerely,
Natalie Liu

From:	Katherine Worster
To:	ChanStaff (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Budget, MYR (MYR); Youthcom, (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comment
Date:	Wednesday, June 18, 2025 6:42:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie, President Mandelman, and Supervisor Chan,

My name is Katherine Worster and I am a resident of District 1. I am 17 years old, and I'm currently a student attending Sacred Heart Cathedral Preparatory. I'm submitting this public comment into the record to uplift the needs and priorities of youth in our district and across the City and County of San Francisco.

As one of your constituents, I strongly encourage all of you and your colleagues on the Board of Supervisors to approve a budget that meets the needs of young people by **supporting the Youth Commission's Budget and Policy Priorities for Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27**. The YC's highest priorities are:

- Expand Reliability and Access to Transit & Keep Free Muni for All Youth (pg. 6-7)
- Protect Undocumented Families from Deportation and Maintain Access to Education (pg. 10)
- Commit to Nutritious and Culturally Relevant Meals at SFUSD (pg. 15)
- Continue and Increase Support for In-School Youth Development Programs (pg. 20)
- Access to Workforce and Youth Employment Programs (pg. 27)
- Accelerate Efforts to Combat and Prepare for the Impacts of Climate Change (pg. 30)
- Improving Police Relations with Youth (pg. 38)
- Address Sexual Assault & Harassment in Schools (pg. 44)
- Address Affordable Housing Crisis & Build Education Workforce Housing (pg. 64, 68)
- Address Living Conditions in SROs & Equitable Housing Assistance for Youth/TAY (pg. 70, 74)

Additionally, based on the proposed budget from the Mayor's Office and via listening sessions with various community organizations across the City, we are asking you to continue supporting funding for these initiatives:

- General Civil Legal Aid
- Food Security Program Funding
- Youth Workforce Programs (e.g. Opportunities for All)
- Department of Early Childhood, "Baby [Prop] C", Funding

Supporting these priorities is important because the youth of San Francisco rely on the city to take care of us. In an economy where we are expected to pursue college and yet know that college debts are becoming increasingly more challenging to pay off, every single dollar helps. These dollars could come from workforce programs such as Opportunities for All, or even from money saved when a youth can rely on food security programs to provide essentials to survive. Personally, I have saved hundreds of dollars because of the Youth Commission's efforts to keep the Muni free. I wouldn't be able to count the number of times I was able to take the bus home from school, attend an interesting workshop across the city, or commute to internships and jobs. I took the bus just 45 minutes ago to City Hall to write this. I know that I am just one youth, but the 175,200+ youth who may not use the public comment system deserve to see their families protected from deportation, their homes affordable and safe, and their city prepared to combat climate change. Every single youth deserves to be raised in an environment that can best prepare us for the daunting economy and uncertain future that we will face.

Thank you for listening to the voices of San Francisco's young people. As the future voters, workforce, and leaders of the City that we love, we encourage you to do the right thing and vote for a budget that works for youth!

Sincerely,
Katherine Worster

From:	Kaleda Walling
To:	Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Nick Lederer
Subject:	Support Fair and Sustainable funding with a 4% Cost of Doing Business increase
Date:	Wednesday, June 18, 2025 8:42:42 PM
Importance:	High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

We urge you to close the gap in the Human Services Network's request by funding a full **4% Cost of Doing Business increase** (an additional $25.2M) and to **protect the $3 million promised to the Dignity Fund**.

As a member of the Dignity Fund Coalition, we and our nonprofit partners serve the growing population of older adults and adults with disabilities—**projected to be 30% of SF residents by 2030**. But current budget proposals put at risk the services and jobs that provide food, computer training, emergency preparedness, and connection for thousands.

Without sufficient investment, programs will shrink, sites will close, and workers—who already face widening pay gaps with City staff—will be laid off. The Dignity Fund Coalition stands with CASE and the Human Services Network in calling for fair and sustainable funding that reflects the true cost of keeping our communities safe, connected, and cared for.

San Francisco has a legacy of leadership in human services. We ask you to uphold that commitment now.

Sincerely,

Kaleda Walling - Districts 1 & 8

Kaleda Walling | Executive Director
Pronouns: they/them
Golden Gate Senior Services
6221 Geary Blvd, 3rd Fl | San Francisco, CA 94121
Desk (415) 405-4660 | Cell (415) 424-7982
EIN: 94-2190781 www.ggsenior.org

From:	lgpetty
To:	Lurie, Daniel (MYR)
Cc:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Segal, Ned (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Restore Full Funding to Housing and Human Service Nonprofits
Date:	Wednesday, June 18, 2025 9:30:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie,

I write to urge you to restore full budget funding to non-profit organizations, particularly those involved in preventing tenant displacement and homelessness...and providing human services (eg. the Dignity Fund). I am certain the City can cover its deficits by other means -- if it so chooses.

It is not fair, nor does it make any sense, to order City Departments to cut budgets by 15 per cent, while dictating reductions to commmunity-based housing counseling and senior and disability service non-profits by up to 100 per cent.

That is, it doesn't make sense unless it is your intention to get rid of non-profits altogether. That would be unwise, as these are the very non-profits that keep people healthy and housed. And, these are the non-profits performing necessary, vital public services which City Government is incapable of providing.

Further, you publicly declare that your budget will eliminate 1400 job positons, only 100 of which are presently filled. You keep hidden, however, the harsher reality that your cuts to all public-serving non-profits will cost an estimated 1000 people their jobs.

It appears your Mayoral Administration methods are not so different from the Trump Administration's.
There are obvious parallels. Both use the same immoral and illegal strategy of hyper-exaggerating crises and deficits to justify disobeying legislative- and electorally-mandated laws. (Eg., here in the City, ignoring provisions of the SF Housing Element, Prop C and other laws.) The aim being elimination of the public services, people, and agencies the Administration doesn't like, and elevation of Administration-approved individuals.

In our City, to use the budget shortfall and economic recovery as reasons to cripple or shut down an entire private sector of public service is a colossal distortion of the facts and a most cruel and disastrous deception.

Defunding essential non-profit housing and human service organizations will result in the opposite of your stated goals. It will create more displacement, evictions, homelessness, and drug addition/mental and physical health suffering.

San Franciscans want; indeed cannot do without, full services performed tirelessly, at great personal sacrifice with small City expenditure, by its dedicated non-profit staffers.

I urge you to work closely and forthrightly with Budget Chair Connie Chan, members of her

Committee, and other Supervisors to make alternative cuts (bloated and overpaid management staffing levels; a new permanent jail; overblown lawsuit set-asides, and more) and endeavor to find more funding sources.

I urge you to collaborate, not dictate.
Partner with the Board of Supervisors to develop new, innovative ways to generate money for the City Treasury. Please do not let adversarial thinking or fear of ruffling certain feathers prevent you from providing what is right and indispensible for San Franciscans.

Sincerely,

Lorraine Petty
D2/5

From: Barbara J Dwyer
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 9:45:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Barbara J Dwyer
Email	montereydivingwoman@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support cutting the San Francisco budget.

Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over residents' need for relief.

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From:	Yue Yi Peng
To:	MelgarStaff (BOS)
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Budget, MYR (MYR); youthcom@sfcom.org
Subject:	Public Comment
Date:	Wednesday, June 18, 2025 10:07:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie, President Mandelman, and Supervisor Myrna Melgar,

My name is Yue Yi Peng and I am a resident of District 7. I am 17 years old, and I'm currently a student attending Lowell High School. I'm submitting this public comment into the record to uplift the needs and priorities of youth in our district and across the City and County of San Francisco.

As one of your constituents, I strongly encourage all of you and your colleagues on the Board of Supervisors to approve a budget that meets the needs of young people by **supporting the Youth Commission's Budget and Policy Priorities for Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27**. The YC's highest priorities are:

- Expand Reliability and Access to Transit & Keep Free Muni for All Youth (pg. 6-7)
- Protect Undocumented Families from Deportation and Maintain Access to Education (pg. 10)
- Commit to Nutritious and Culturally Relevant Meals at SFUSD (pg. 15)
- Continue and Increase Support for In-School Youth Development Programs (pg. 20)
- Access to Workforce and Youth Employment Programs (pg. 27)
- Accelerate Efforts to Combat and Prepare for the Impacts of Climate Change (pg. 30)
- Improving Police Relations with Youth (pg. 38)
- Address Sexual Assault & Harassment in Schools (pg. 44)
- Address Affordable Housing Crisis & Build Education Workforce Housing (pg. 64, 68)
-

Address Living Conditions in SROs & Equitable Housing Assistance for Youth/TAY (pg. 70, 74)

Additionally, based on the proposed budget from the Mayor's Office and via listening sessions with various community organizations across the City, we are asking you to continue supporting funding for these initiatives:

- General Civil Legal Aid
- Food Security Program Funding
- Youth Workforce Programs (e.g. Opportunities for All)
- Department of Early Childhood, "Baby [Prop] C", Funding

Supporting these priorities is important because school safety is an important part of my high school experience. As I grew up from middle school to high school, there was an instilled fear in the back of my mind from the culmination of school fights that occurred in my middle school to gun incidents happening throughout the school district. Not only am I worried about violence, but also my peers and I share a common fear of sexual harassment. Sexual harassment is a huge problem that isn't talked about as it's considered taboo but is one of the most important issues students face. It disrupts the relationship between the student and teacher's learning experience. A few years back at Lowell, we had a sexual assault protest that recounted the students' experience with sexual assault. It is an unfortunate state of the world that justice was not met for these students as some of their perpetrators got away with their acts. In my personal experiences, my friends have been sexually assaulted by our classmate and told the administration about it. However, the administration said that they didn't have sufficient evidence to perform any actions against the perpetrator. Actions need to be taken to ensure that sexual assault victims get the justice they need. School safety should have a bigger emphasis in schools, and enforcement should be followed through with the correct procedures.

Thank you for listening to the voices of San Francisco's young people. As the future voters, workforce, and leaders of the City that we love, we encourage you to do the right thing and vote for a budget that works for youth!

Sincerely,
Yue Yi Peng

From: Iris Keenan
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Soda Tax Funding
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 10:49:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,
My friend works at Carecen SF, an NGO that relies on funds from the soda tax to provide oral health care and education to the community, especially the Latinx community

Unfortunately, Mayor Lurie is using the Soda Tax dollars to backfill other departments. Using the money that voters approved would be used for chronic illness prevention. All community grants were gutted. This will be devastating to not only the Latinx community but ALL communities of color. We urge mayor Lurie to commit to the spirit of the tax and follow the SDDT Advisory Committee's recommendations. This is the only money earmarked for chronic diet-sensitive health conditions.
Decades of work toward health equality will be undone, and hundreds will lose their jobs.
It is a travesty that this is going on all while the federal government is attacking vulnerable communities, please reconsider.

-Iris Keenan

From: Christopher Yao
To: MahmoodStaff
Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Budget, MYR (MYR); Youthcom, (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 11:32:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie, President Mandelman, and Supervisor Mahmood,

My name is Christopher Yao and I am a resident of District 5. I am 15 years old, and I'm currently a student attending Nativity High School. I'm submitting this public comment into the record to uplift the needs and priorities of youth in our district and across the City and County of San Francisco.

As one of your constituents, I strongly encourage all of you and your colleagues on the Board of Supervisors to approve a budget that meets the needs of young people by **supporting the Youth Commission's Budget and Policy Priorities for Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27**. The YC's highest priorities are:

- Expand Reliability and Access to Transit & Keep Free Muni for All Youth (pg. 6-7)
- Protect Undocumented Families from Deportation and Maintain Access to Education (pg. 10)
- Commit to Nutritious and Culturally Relevant Meals at SFUSD (pg. 15)
- Continue and Increase Support for In-School Youth Development Programs (pg. 20)
- Access to Workforce and Youth Employment Programs (pg. 27)
- Accelerate Efforts to Combat and Prepare for the Impacts of Climate Change

(pg. 30)

- Improving Police Relations with Youth (pg. 38)
- Address Sexual Assault & Harassment in Schools (pg. 44)
- Address Affordable Housing Crisis & Build Education Workforce Housing (pg. 64, 68)
- Address Living Conditions in SROs & Equitable Housing Assistance for Youth/TAY (pg. 70, 74)

Additionally, based on the proposed budget from the Mayor's Office and via listening sessions with various community organizations across the City, we are asking you to continue supporting funding for these initiatives:

- General Civil Legal Aid
- Food Security Program Funding
- Youth Workforce Programs (e.g. Opportunities for All)
- Department of Early Childhood, "Baby [Prop] C", Funding

Supporting these priorities is important because when I was younger, I always attended youth development programs for educational enrichment. I went to Glide's FYCC program. Then, I attended Breakthrough. Now that I think about it, it's a fantastic opportunity to attend these programs. I can learn things that I never learned in school. I also acquired new and valuable skills and hobbies that will benefit me in the future. I've gained the support I need to succeed in school and life.
But most importantly, I have another community to depend on with other youth the same age as me. I've also grown and matured from many fruitful experiences at


these programs. Without these programs, I wouldn't be where I am today. Without sufficient funding for programs like these and DCYF, future San Franciscans are unable to attend quality youth programs. Due to the decided budget deficits, many essential and long-running youth programs are at risk of layoffs for beloved staff members or shutting down entirely. These programs are crucial to the youth of San Francisco, especially those from low-income backgrounds like mine. Without funding, our programs fade, and our futures dim. But with funding and commitment, we thrive and flourish, and San Francisco prospers.

Thank you for listening to the voices of San Francisco's young people. As the future voters, workforce, and leaders of the City that we love, we encourage you to do the right thing and vote for a budget that works for youth!

Sincerely,
Christopher Yao

--

Christopher Yao



Christopher Yao | **Nativity** High School 10th Grade Class of '28 | He/Him/His

Founder and President of the **M² Club** *@Nativity HS*

Go Camels! ⋆

A member of the **District 3 Youth Council**

From:	Jessica DaSilva
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Avi Rose; ChanStaff (BOS)
Subject:	Dignity Fund
Date:	Thursday, June 19, 2025 6:39:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

We urge you to close the gap in the Human Services Network's request by funding a full **4% Cost of Doing Business increase** (an additional $25.2M) and to **protect the $3 million promised to the Dignity Fund**.

As a member of the Dignity Fund Coalition, we and our nonprofit partners serve the growing population of older adults and adults with disabilities—projected to be 30% of SF residents by 2030. But current budget proposals put at risk the services and jobs that provide food, computer training, emergency preparedness, and connection for thousands.

Without sufficient investment, programs will shrink, sites will close, and workers—who already face widening pay gaps with City staff—will be laid off. The Dignity Fund Coalition stands with CASE and the Human Services Network in calling for fair and sustainable funding that reflects the true cost of keeping our communities safe, connected, and cared for.

San Francisco has a legacy of leadership in human services. We ask you to uphold that commitment now.

Sincerely,

San Francisco Village

Jessica Da Silva
Communications and Fund Development Director
(pronouns: She/Her)

3220 Fulton St. San Francisco, CA 94118 | 415-387-1375 ext: 6 | www.sfvillage.org
Your donation may be eligible for a matching gift from your employer. Find out at: https://www.sfvillage.org/matching_gifts/
Follow us on Facebook and Instagram

Please help us celebrate the spirit of generosity by **donating here**. Your contribution helps us

continue building a caring community of multigenerational San Franciscans.

From: Lynne Sloan
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Thursday, June 19, 2025 9:02:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Lynne Sloan
Email	lynnesloan@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Marie and Christina
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Letter from the Dignity Fund Coalition
Date: Thursday, June 19, 2025 9:25:39 AM
Attachments: Budget Letter to Supervisors from Dignity Fund Coalition .pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

June 20, 2025

Dear Supervisor,

The Dignity Fund Coalition (DFC) continues the work of advocacy on issues that affect **Seniors and People with Disabilities** living in San Francisco and takes action to improve their lives. At the heart of this is the preservation and expansion of Dignity Fund dollars to provide critically needed services and support for older adults and people with disabilities and to support the work of our non-profit members and partners.

We urge you to close the gap in the Human Services Network's request by funding a full 4% Cost of Doing Business increase (an additional $25.2M) and to protect the $3 million promised to the Dignity Fund.

As a member of the Dignity Fund Coalition, we and our nonprofit partners serve the growing population of older adults and adults with disabilities—projected to be 30% of SF residents by 2030. But current budget proposals put at risk the services and jobs that provide food, computer training, emergency preparedness, and connection for thousands that keep seniors and people with disabilities living in their homes and active in the community. Without sufficient investment, programs will shrink, sites will close, and workers —who already face widening pay gaps with City staff—will be laid off.

The Dignity Fund Coalition stands with CASE and the Human Services Network in calling for fair and sustainable funding that reflects the true cost of keeping our communities safe, connected, and cared for. San Francisco has a legacy of leadership in human services. We ask you to uphold that commitment now.

Sincerely,

Christina Irving and Marie Jobling, Co-chairs

1663 Mission St, #525, San Francisco, CA 94103 | info@sfdignityfund.org | sfdignityfund.org

June 20, 2025

Dear Supervisor,


The Dignity Fund Coalition (DFC) continues the work of advocacy on issues that affect **Seniors and People with Disabilities** living in San Francisco and takes action to improve their lives. At the heart of this is the preservation and expansion of Dignity Fund dollars to provide critically needed services and support for older adults and people with disabilities and to support the work of our non-profit members and partners.

We urge you to close the gap in the Human Services Network's request by funding a full 4% Cost of Doing Business increase (an additional $25.2M) and to protect the $3 million promised to the Dignity Fund.

As a member of the Dignity Fund Coalition, we and our nonprofit partners serve the growing population of older adults and adults with disabilities—projected to be 30% of SF residents by 2030. But current budget proposals put at risk the services and jobs that provide food, computer training, emergency preparedness, and connection for thousands that keep seniors and people with disabilities living in their homes and active in the community. Without sufficient investment, programs will shrink, sites will close, and workers —who already face widening pay gaps with City staff—will be laid off.

The Dignity Fund Coalition stands with CASE and the Human Services Network in calling for fair and sustainable funding that reflects the true cost of keeping our communities safe, connected, and cared for. San Francisco has a legacy of leadership in human services. We ask you to uphold that commitment now.

Sincerely,



Christina Irving and Marie Jobling, Co-chairs

1663 Mission St, #525, San Francisco, CA 94103 | info@sfdignityfund.org | sfdignityfund.org

June 20, 2025

Dear Supervisor,

The Dignity Fund Coalition (DFC) continues the work of advocacy on issues that affect **Seniors and People with Disabilities** living in San Francisco and takes action to improve their lives. At the heart of this is the preservation and expansion of Dignity Fund dollars to provide critically needed services and support for older adults and people with disabilities and to support the work of our non-profit members and partners.

We urge you to close the gap in the Human Services Network's request by funding a full 4% Cost of Doing Business increase (an additional $25.2M) and to protect the $3 million promised to the Dignity Fund.

As a member of the Dignity Fund Coalition, we and our nonprofit partners serve the growing population of older adults and adults with disabilities—projected to be 30% of SF residents by 2030. But current budget proposals put at risk the services and jobs that provide food, computer training, emergency preparedness, and connection for thousands that keep seniors and people with disabilities living in their homes and active in the community. Without sufficient investment, programs will shrink, sites will close, and workers —who already face widening pay gaps with City staff—will be laid off.

The Dignity Fund Coalition stands with CASE and the Human Services Network in calling for fair and sustainable funding that reflects the true cost of keeping our communities safe, connected, and cared for. San Francisco has a legacy of leadership in human services. We ask you to uphold that commitment now.

Sincerely,

Christina Irving and Marie Jobling, Co-chairs

1663 Mission St, #525, San Francisco, CA 94103 | info@sfdignityfund.org | sfdignityfund.org

From:	Steffen Franz
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Rob Erlichman; Maher, Abigail (REC); Bishop, Lamonte" (REC)
Subject:	Public Comment for Budget and Appropriation Ordinance for Departments - FY 2025-2026 and 2026-2027
Date:	Thursday, June 19, 2025 9:58:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings Supervisors-

On behalf of the Friends of Lafayette Park (FOLP), we'd like to affirm our desire to see the Recreation and Parks Department Partnerships Division's funding be maintained or even strengthened.

As a local community group committed to the enjoyment and enhancement of Lafayette Park and engagement with the community, the Partnerships Division of Rec-Park has been instrumental in helping direct community donations to the park over the past 15 or more years.

For example, in late 2023, we initiated a fundraising drive to replace over a dozen trees felled by winter storms and raised over $60,000 from the community to support the effort. The Partnerships Division team was adroit in ensuring the funds were transferred from our then fiscal sponsor, directed properly, and truly acted as our partners in the endeavor.

A City-funded team that helps magnify private investment in public facilities yields a tremendous return on investment, not the kind of activity the City should be cutting, and we urge their continued support.

Respectfully,

Steffen Franz, President, Friends of Lafayette Park Board and Past Chairman of PROSAC (D2)

Rob Erlichman, Treasurer, Friends of Lafayette Park Board

From: Daniel Gallagher
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: FY26 budget
Date: Thursday, June 19, 2025 2:28:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

*We urge you to close the gap in the Human Services Network's request by funding a full **4% Cost of Doing Business increase** (an additional $25.2M) and to **protect the $3 million promised to the Dignity Fund**.*

As a member of the Dignity Fund Coalition, we and our nonprofit partners serve the growing population of older adults and adults with disabilities—projected to be 30% of SF residents by 2030. But current budget proposals put at risk the services and jobs that provide food, computer training, emergency preparedness, and connection for thousands.

Without sufficient investment, programs will shrink, sites will close, and workers—who already face widening pay gaps with City staff—will be laid off. The Dignity Fund Coalition stands with CASE and the Human Services Network in calling for fair and sustainable funding that reflects the true cost of keeping our communities safe, connected, and cared for.

San Francisco has a legacy of leadership in human services. We ask you to uphold that commitment now.

Sincerely,

Daniel Gallagher, M.Ed.
Chief Executive Officer
SteppingStone Health
832 Folsom Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94107
Phone: 415-799-1929
Fax: 415-655-9329
Website: www.SteppingStoneHealth.org

Licensed as North & South Market Adult Day Health, Corporation
This communication, including all attachments, is intended for the addressee only and may contain information that is confidential and prohibited from disclosure. Please do not copy it or use it for any purposes, or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so could violate state and Federal privacy laws. If you have received this message in error, please advise the

sender by reply e-mail to DanielGallagher@steppingstonehealth.org and delete the message and any attachments. Thank you for your cooperation

From: Aelicia Lee
To: ChanStaff (BOS)
Cc: Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Budget, MYR (MYR); Youthcom, (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment from Aelicia Lee
Date: Thursday, June 19, 2025 7:02:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie, President Mandelman, and Supervisor Chan,

My name is Aelicia Lee and I am a resident of District 1. I am 12 years old, and currently a student attending Katherine Delmar Burke School. I'm submitting this public comment into the record to uplift the needs and priorities of youth in our district and across the City and County of San Francisco.

As one of your constituents, I strongly encourage all of you and your colleagues on the Board of Supervisors to approve a budget that meets the needs of young people by **supporting the Youth Commission's Budget and Policy Priorities for Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27**. The YC's highest priorities are:

- Expand Reliability and Access to Transit & Keep Free Muni for All Youth (pg. 6-7)
- Protect Undocumented Families from Deportation and Maintain Access to Education (pg. 10)
- Commit to Nutritious and Culturally Relevant Meals at SFUSD (pg. 15)
- Continue and Increase Support for In-School Youth Development Programs (pg. 20)
- Access to Workforce and Youth Employment Programs (pg. 27)
- Accelerate Efforts to Combat and Prepare for the Impacts of Climate Change (pg. 30)
- Improving Police Relations with Youth (pg. 38)
- Address Sexual Assault & Harassment in Schools (pg. 44)
- Address Affordable Housing Crisis & Build Education Workforce Housing (pg. 64, 68)
- Address Living Conditions in SROs & Equitable Housing Assistance for Youth/TAY (pg. 70, 74)

Additionally, based on the proposed budget from the Mayor's Office and via listening sessions with various community organizations across the City, we are asking you to continue supporting funding for these initiatives:

- General Civil Legal Aid
- Food Security Program Funding
- Youth Workforce Programs (e.g. Opportunities for All)
- Department of Early Childhood, "Baby [Prop] C", Funding

Supporting these priorities is important because I've personally benefited from city programs that helped me feel safe, confident, and more engaged in my community. When I was little, the public library's storytime encouraged socializing around my community. Now, I use the library to learn and study. But I've also experienced unsafe moments walking on the city streets. No young person should have to feel unsafe doing normal youth things. That's why I care about youth development, access to public spaces, and improving safety in our city. I want to be part of the generation that helps shape a better future for all youth in San Francisco, and I believe supporting these budget priorities is how we get there.

In addition, I know San Francisco is a very expensive place to live. I believe it's important to make housing affordable for teachers and people working in education. It is important we have enough teachers in our classrooms to support our youths. Otherwise some students might have a harder time understanding things in class. Finally, there are many families that struggle financially to live in San Francisco. It should be a priority to keep nutritious meals for students that cannot afford to bring their lunch to school, or to buy their lunch. If a student doesn't eat enough, it will be hard for them to focus on important topics in class.

Thank you for listening to the voices of San Francisco's young people. As the future voters, workforce, and leaders of the City that we love, we encourage you to do the right thing and vote for a budget that works for youth!

Sincerely,
Aelicia Lee

From:	Arianna Koo
To:	SauterStaff
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Budget, MYR (MYR); Youthcom, (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comment
Date:	Thursday, June 19, 2025 9:44:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie, President Mandelman, and Supervisor Sauter,

My name is Arianna Koo, and I am a resident of District 3. I am 14 years old, and currently attending Lowell High School. I'm submitting this public comment to the record to uplift the needs and priorities of youth in our district and across the City and County of San Francisco.

As one of your constituents, I strongly encourage all of you and your colleagues on the Board of Supervisors to approve a budget that meets the needs of young people by supporting the Youth Commission's Budget and Policy Priorities for Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27. In this email, I want to highlight access to the Workforce and Youth Employment Programs (pg. 27).

Supporting youth employment is important because, as our society evolves, so do our occupations and the skills needed to fulfill those jobs. So, to ensure that future occupations are filled by individuals who know what they're doing, we should provide youth with the chance to gain experience in their interests so they can excel in their futures. However, opportunities for youth in San Francisco are decreasing due to current budget cuts, limiting youth from expanding their experiences. This especially affects young people with fewer resources, as it may be harder to fulfill employment requirements. So I ask you, the Board of Supervisors, to strengthen funding for youth employment programs to provide us youth with the right preparations for our futures.

As the future voters, workforce, and leaders of the City that we love, we encourage you to do the right thing and vote for a budget that works for youth!

Sincerely,
Arianna Koo

From: Natalie
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Budget Cuts to SF Parks and Rec
Date: Friday, June 20, 2025 10:47:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom It May Concern,

I'm writing in regards to the proposed budget cuts to our Parks and Rec. I'm Natalie, an SF resident, and my son Strazh is 22 and has grown up through the SF Parks and Rec program since elementary school. Strazh has autism and always struggled to make friends in school. Every group he has joined, every friend he has made, and this is with no ounce of exaggeration, has been through SF Parks and Rec.

It was the adaptive rec program, Everybody Plays, where Strazh met his lifelong friend who later become his roommate. It was this program that gave me respite when I was a struggling single mom raising a special needs kid. Fast forward 12 years, now our families are working together to buy permanent housing for the disabled in SF. This would have never happened had we not met through Everybody Plays.

It was through the adaptive req swimming program in North Beach where Strazh met the families who run a life skills group for autistic children in the Bay Area. Strazh was only in middle school then and to this day, Strazh is still in this life skills group learning how to navigate transit, shop, cook, and make friends.

When I was a young mom with little to no resources, it was the summer camps, the swimming classes, the adaptive req that were often my only support for him outside of school.

I'm proud to say Strazh still attends parkour through SF Parks and Rec every single Saturday.

When I brag about San Francisco, the first thing I brag about is our parks program. Without this program, my son would not have the life he has now, we would not be flourishing in this city, honestly, we might not even still live here after all of these years.

When you take away these programs, you rob people like Strazh of a support network that extends beyond swimming or archery in Golden Gate Park. My family is proof that community is built upon the foundation of these programs. These programs allows us to create bonds and bridges to other programs like a life skills group, like housing for disabled, or providing something as meaningful as someone's one and only friend when they have no others.

On another note, I now work a high paying job in tech. If it weren't for SF Parks and Rec, I wouldn't have made it to a place where I could pay what I pay now in taxes. SF Parks and Rec provided me with the resources and support to help my son flourish in San Francisco despite his disability and our socioeconomic status at the time. I pay a considerable amount in taxes because these programs afforded families like mine the space to thrive.

Best,

Natalie Dunnege
ndunnege@gmail.com
linkedin.com/in/nataliedunnege
themapplescanvas.com

From: Justice Dumlao
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Friday, June 20, 2025 11:26:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Justice Dumlao
jdumlao@sfaf.org
940 Howard Street
San Francisco, California 94103

From: Kevin Liu
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Friday, June 20, 2025 11:29:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Kevin Liu
kevinliu@umich.edu
1480 Larkin St, Apt 10
San Francisco, California 94109

From: Wesley Saver
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget - SUPPORT
Date: Friday, June 20, 2025 11:33:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

On behalf of HealthRIGHT 360, I write to respectfully urge you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

As a nonprofit provider of substance use disorder treatment, mental health services, and primary care to over 45,000 Californians annually, HealthRIGHT 360 understands the critical role that trauma-informed, community-based programs play in advancing health equity. Our work regularly brings us into contact with individuals navigating the compounding impacts of poverty, violence, trauma, and chronic illness — challenges that are often concentrated in neighborhoods like the Tenderloin. Programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy are essential to addressing these realities by creating safe, healing-centered spaces where community members can build power, advocate for healthier food environments, and drive systemic change from within. Sustained investment in this kind of prevention and empowerment work is vital, and far more cost-effective than addressing the consequences of inaction.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. For questions about HealthRIGHT 360's position, please write to me at wsaver@healthRIGHT360.org.

Wesley Saver
wsaver@healthright360.org
986 Mission Street
San Francisco, California 94103

From:	Ann Cooper
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Supporting elderly and disabled
Date:	Friday, June 20, 2025 1:45:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mr. Mahmoud,
I am writing as a member of the Dignity Fund Coalition, serving
elders and people with disabilities.
I would like a full 4% Cost of Doing Business increase (add 25.2 M), as well as the 3 million already promised to the Dignity Fund Coalition, to be provided for essential services.
This money is needed to preserve sites, jobs for city workers, and many programs that provide help for vulnerable and valuable populations.
I am a 76 year old Tenderloin resident, and I am so grateful for the human services that San Francisco offers. Please stand by this tradition of respect and support.
Warm regards,
Ann Cooper
Sent from my iPhone

From:	Heather Keenan
To:	MahmoodStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Funding for Careen SF
Date:	Friday, June 20, 2025 2:07:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

My friend works at Carecen SF, an NGO that relies on funds from the soda tax to provide oral health care and education to the community, especially the Latinx community

Unfortunately, Mayor Lurie is using the Soda Tax dollars to backfill other departments. Using the money that voters approved would be used for chronic illness prevention. All community grants were gutted. This will be devastating to not only the Latinx community but ALL communities of color. We urge mayor Lurie to commit to the spirit of the tax and follow the SDDT Advisory Committee's recommendations. This is the only money earmarked for chronic diet-sensitive health conditions.
Decades of work toward health equality will be undone, and hundreds will lose their jobs.
It is a travesty that this is going on all while the federal government is attacking vulnerable communities, please reconsider.

Thank you

Heather Keenan
415 225 1141

From:	LBFE-Cathy Michalec
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Save the Dignity Fund
Date:	Friday, June 20, 2025 2:43:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

On behalf of *Little Brothers – Friends of the Elderly (LBFE)*, we join our nonprofit peers in urging you to fully close the funding gap in the Human Services Network's request by approving a 4% Cost of Doing Business (CODB) increase—an additional $25.2 million—and protecting the $3 million commitment to the Dignity Fund.

As a proud member of the Dignity Fund Coalition, LBFE works alongside many community-based organizations to serve San Francisco's growing population of older adults and adults with disabilities, a group expected to make up 30% of the city's residents by 2030. Current budget proposals jeopardize the essential services that keep our most vulnerable neighbors nourished, digitally connected, socially engaged, and prepared for emergencies.

Without adequate investment, programs will be forced to scale back, service sites may close, and dedicated workers—already underpaid compared to their City counterparts—will face layoffs. These are not just numbers; they represent real lives at risk of deeper isolation and hardship.

LBFE stands with the Dignity Fund Coalition, CASE, and the Human Services Network in calling for a fair and sustainable budget that honors San Francisco's long-standing leadership in human services. Now is the time to reaffirm that legacy by investing in the people and programs that keep our communities connected, supported, and cared for.

Sincerely,

Cathy Michalec

Cathy Michalec

Executive Director

she/her WHY?

Little Brothers-Friends of the Elderly-San Francisco

909 Hyde Street, Ste. 628, San Francisco, CA 94109

Office: 415-771-7957

https://www.littlebrotherssf.org

Cell: 206-218-2946

Relieving isolation and loneliness among the elderly

From: David Goldman
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Include an extension of the delay in implementing Prop D's tax increase
Date: Friday, June 20, 2025 3:22:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors:

On behalf of the Membership of the Brownie Mary Democratic Club of San Francisco, I am writing to you today to urge you to incorporate a delay in the implementation of proposition D in the upcoming budget. The Board of Supervisors has had the wisdom on several occasions to delay the implementation of this tax increase on gross receipts of cannabis retail businesses from 0.1% to 5%—a 50 fold increase.

I also serve on the Board of Directors of The Green Cross, a medical cannabis dispensary in the Excelsior neighborhood of San Francisco. I also serve on the Board of Directors of California NORML, a statewide cannabis advocacy organization.

Sadly, Proposition M, which the voters approved last November specifically excluded cannabis retail entities from the gross receipts tax exclusion, noting that most, if not all cannabis businesses have earned less than $5 million in gross receipts.

If it is also possible to include cannabis businesses under the auspices of Proposition M, that would help our industry even more. Research shows that lower taxes on cannabis retail entities is correlated with less reliance on the illicit cannabis market, leading to more sales in the legal market, and consequently higher tax revenues.

If not possible, then maintaining the gross receipts tax rate at 0.1% will be a wise recognition of the need of sensible tax policy for the legal cannabis businesses in San Francisco.

Sincerely,

David Goldman
President, San Francisco Chapter
Brownie Mary Democratic Club
Brownie.MarySF@gmail.com
https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___www.browniemarydemclub.com___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpjZWM4MWVmNDY2ZDc0ZmMxNjQ0Nji1OWU4MDM1MmY5OTo3OiA4YWI6ZmFlYWM1MmFmMzUwODUxYiZiMmE3MTU5NGRhM2U2OTY5ZDAwMWNiZjBjOGYwOWE3YTc4ZTI5NDdlNTAyMDY2ZTpwOlQ6Tg
Instagram: @bmsf415
m: 415-728-7631

From:	Damara Lopez-Nelson
To:	Lurie, Daniel (MYR); ChanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; DorseyStaff (BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); MahmoodStaff; MandelmanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Protect Our Services for San Francisco Seniors, People with Disabilities
Date:	Friday, June 20, 2025 3:57:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

We at Senior and Disability Action urge you to preserve the services most critically needed by San Francisco's community of seniors and people with disabilities. We support the community's requests for the Cost of Doing Business increase of 4% and the Dignity Fund Coalition's request to continue funding for Fiscal Year 26-27.

San Francisco has felt the impact of inflation and rent costs rising far above 4%, as well as costs incurred recovering from the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. A CODB increase of 4% is the bare minimum needed to continue serving our communities and providing the same level of programs and services that provide for our communities, as well as increase the wages of people who facilitate these services. Housing support programs like Code Enforcement Outreach Programs (CEOP) are essential to keep disabled people and seniors in our homes. We know that without these programs, many tenants would be living in uninhabitable homes and/or struggle to preserve their housing.

We are also deeply concerned about Food Justice and Security programs, such as Meals on Wheels and the SF Marin Food Bank, and we have heard that over 10,000 meals for seniors will be cut for this next fiscal year. This will have immediate and severe impacts on the recipients of these programs. With the current levels of inflation and an unsteady political and economic environment, we cannot afford to gut programs that sustain life and support a community's independence and health.

Additionally, continued funding for the Dignity Fund Coalition will allow it to further advocate for implementation of the recommendations from the most recent SF County Aging and Disability Housing Needs Assessment. It is our hope that the Dignity Fund can continue to support programs and services that enable seniors and people with disabilities to live independently and with dignity.

As a member of the Dignity Fund Coalition, SDA and our nonprofit partners serve the growing population of older adults and adults with disabilities—projected to be 30% of SF residents by 2030. But current budget proposals put at risk the services and jobs that provide food, computer training, emergency preparedness, and connection for thousands.

Without sufficient investment, these programs will shrink, sites will close, and workers —who already face widening pay gaps with City staff—will be laid off. The Dignity

Fund Coalition stands with CASE and the Human Services Network in calling for fair and sustainable funding that reflects the true cost of keeping our communities safe, connected, and cared for.

San Francisco has a legacy of leadership in human services. We ask you to uphold that commitment now.

Sincerely,

Damara Lopez
Senior and Disability Action

--
Damara Lopez (they/them)
Peer Advocate Community Resource Program
Senior and Disability Action
415-340-0944
sdaction.org



From:	Jacqueline Jones
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Please fund The Dignity Fund and CODB for all non profits.
Date:	Friday, June 20, 2025 5:51:27 PM
Attachments:	PastedGraphic-3.tiff

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

NEXT Village operates a highly successful program (District 2 University) with funding from The Dignity Fund. This program provides essential social programming for older adults in northeast San Francisco, and was created in response to research by DAS that demonstrated that social isolation was extremely problematic for seniors in District 2 (and beyond). Currently, The Dignity Fund's planned increase has been stalled leading to reduced services for seniors. ($3,000,000).

Without continued dedicated funds to The Dignity Fund, a program that has created 500+ social, cultural, health, and educational programming each year will disappear. Since isolation is the new smoking, not funding The Dignity Fund, as required by a vote of the people, is counter productive.

Please fund The Dignity Fund, as well as Cost of Living adjustments for all non-profits. Thank you.

Jacqueline

Jacqueline Zimmer Jones
Executive Director
NEXT Village SF
jjones@nextvillagesf.org
www.nextvillagesf.org
(503) 551-2328 (M)
(415) 888-2868 (O)

Like us on Facebook

Read / Sign up for our newsletter here

Watch our video!

Listen to our Podcast, Not Born Yesterday

FOR NEXT VILLAGE EVENT DETAILS OR TO REGISTER FOR AN EVENT
• Click HERE to go to our monthly event calendar -- click event/s of interest for details & to

register.



From:	Abby Zheng
To:	SauterStaff
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); brent.Jalina@sfgov.org; MandelmanStaff (BOS); Budget, MYR (MYR); Youthcom, (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comment Advocacy
Date:	Friday, June 20, 2025 10:41:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie, President Mandelman, and Supervisor Danny Sauter,

My name is Abby Zheng. I'm 17 years old and currently a student attending Lowell High School. I'm submitting this public comment into the record to uplift the needs and priorities of youth in our district and across the City and County of San Francisco.

As one of your constituents, I strongly encourage all of you and your colleagues on the Board of Supervisors to approve a budget that meets the needs of young people by **supporting the Youth Commission's Budget and Policy Priorities for Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27**. The YC's highest priorities are:

- Expand Reliability and Access to Transit & Keep Free Muni for All Youth (pg. 6-7)
- Protect Undocumented Families from Deportation and Maintain Access to Education (pg. 10)
- Commit to Nutritious and Culturally Relevant Meals at SFUSD (pg. 15)
- Continue and Increase Support for In-School Youth Development Programs (pg. 20)
- Access to Workforce and Youth Employment Programs (pg. 27)
- Accelerate Efforts to Combat and Prepare for the Impacts of Climate Change (pg. 30)
- Improving Police Relations with Youth (pg. 38)
- Address Sexual Assault & Harassment in Schools (pg. 44)
- Address Affordable Housing Crisis & Build Education Workforce Housing (pg. 64, 68)
-

Address Living Conditions in SROs & Equitable Housing Assistance for Youth/TAY (pg. 70, 74)

Additionally, based on the proposed budget from the Mayor's Office and via listening sessions with various community organizations across the City, we are asking you to continue supporting funding for these initiatives:

- General Civil Legal Aid
- Food Security Program Funding
- Youth Workforce Programs (e.g. Opportunities for All)
- Department of Early Childhood, "Baby [Prop] C", Funding

Supporting these priorities is important because many other youth may have had similar experiences and feel unsafe in many different parts of the city. We are aware that there are changes being made and it takes time to see significant changes but we are not clearly seeing enough. My parents work as food delivery services that travel around the city delivering meals to different people and there are many instances where they feel unsafe in the city. Many of their customers also feel unsafe and aren't comfortable with even coming down their buildings to pick up their meals. Events like these happen daily and it's an issue many others have to face. News reports of different violent cases happen daily including many robberies or theft.

Aside from safety problems, many youth and seniors living in communities district three in Chinatown are also noticing that there aren't enough dumpsters for them to throw away their trash. In a pact community like Chinatown with families living in Single Room Occupancies, there is a lot of trash that is generated, but Chinatown doesn't have enough public trash dumps that are available and many of these trash ends up in the streets. Not only does it affect the quality of the community, it also damages the overall appearance for attracted Tourists of Chinatown.

Thank you for listening to the voices of San Francisco's young people. As the future voters, workforce, and leaders of the City that we love, we encourage you to do the right thing and vote for a budget that works for youth!

Sincerely,
Abby Zheng

From: Beth Clarke
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 10:04:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Beth Clarke
beth.a.clarke@gmail.com
411 Teresita Blvd
San Francisco, California 94127

From:	Arjun Rao
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 10:26:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, **the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's** items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, **an adiustment of iust 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.**

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke yesterday, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Arjun Rao

From:	Arianna Aldebot
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:08:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, **the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's** items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, **an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.**

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Arianna Aldebot, District 11

From:	Nakul Chakrapani
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:11:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Nakul

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Eric Jackson
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:16:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From:	Daniel Dang
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:16:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Billy Kurniawan
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:17:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive. Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community. When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees. This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs. We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented. Thanks,

From:	Sanjay Prasad
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:17:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Sanuja Das
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:17:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Sunny

From:	Christian Rhally
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:18:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Christian

From:	Bianca Alexis Villegas
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:18:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Bianca

From:	Anna Abrams
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:19:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Anna Abrams
Inner Sunset

From:	Sharon Wong
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); DorseyStaff (BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); Major, Erica (BOS); FielderStaff; Engardio, Joel (BOS); MahmoodStaff; MandelmanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; Walton, Shamann (BOS); SherrillStaff; Waltonstaff (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:20:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From:	Collin Smith
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:23:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive. Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond.

We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community. When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting.

As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees. This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Collin Smith

From:	Victor Levin
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:28:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just the tennis and pickleball court fees.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance-250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Victor Levin

From:	Christi Warren
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:29:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Christi Warren
Noe Valley

From:	Christi Warren
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:29:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Christi Warren
Noe Valley

From:	Michael O"Reilly
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:34:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday,

RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive. Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community. When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees. This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs. We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thank you,

Michael OReilly

From:	Christine Mai
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:36:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From:	westleyc30@gmail.com
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:37:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Westley Cho

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Matthew Protacio
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:43:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Matthew Protacio
Protac7@gmail.com

From:	Danny
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:44:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From:	Jake Whinnery
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:48:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Jake

From:	Magen Krage
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 12:01:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Magen Krage

From:	judy chow
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 12:03:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Lindsey Murphy
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 12:03:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Indra Rucker
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 12:05:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Indra Rucker

From:	Andre Natal
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 12:18:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Best,

André Natal
andrenatal.com

From:	Czero100
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 12:19:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Margot

From:	Karthik Kribakaran
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 12:21:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Karthik

From:	Julie Calnero
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 12:36:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From:	Morgan Scofield
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 1:05:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Morgan

From:	Dina lisha
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	older adults and adults with disabilities
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 1:09:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie and Members of the Board of Supervisors,
We urge you to close the gap in the Human Services Network's request by funding a full 4% Cost of Doing Business increase (an additional $25.2M)and to protect the $3 million promised to the Dignity Fund.

As a member of the Dignity Fund Coalition, we and our nonprofit partners serve the growing population of older adults and adults with disabilities—projected to be 30% of SF residents by 2030. But current budget proposals put at risk the services and jobs that provide food, computer training, emergency preparedness, and connection for thousands.

Without sufficient investment, programs will shrink, sites will close, and workers —who already face widening pay gaps with City staff—will be laid off. The Dignity Fund Coalition stands with CASE and the Human Services Network in calling for fair and sustainable funding that reflects the true cost of keeping our communities safe, connected, and cared for.

San Francisco has a legacy of leadership in human services. We ask you to uphold that commitment now.

Sincerely,
Dina Lisha

From:	Vanessa C
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 1:14:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From:	Anthony Bagnulo
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 1:19:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

-Anthony

From:	Kavya Ravikanti
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 1:21:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Kavya

From:	harris nash
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 2:17:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

harris nash

From: [redacted]
To: [redacted], [redacted]
Cc: [redacted], [redacted]
Subject: Sunset Dunes Park - Wasteful Construction Efforts - [redacted]@sfgov.org
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 1:10:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: daniel.lurie@sfgov.org
[redacted]@sfgov.org
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
phillip.gawdoog@sfgov.org

Dear Mayor Lurie,

I question the reality of San Francisco's budget crisis as I am observing work being done by City workers on weekends at overtime rates that could clearly be accomplished during normal business hours and save money. How is it there is excess in the budget for overtime if as you claim every agency is tightening its belt and employee layoffs are being considered?

Today, Saturday, 6/21/25, sand removal on Sunset Dunes Park is happening. There are probably DPW was/is deployed at the request of MTO. Why was this not done last Friday or on this upcoming Monday?

When the Great Highway was open to vehicles and had a sand problem there was no way anyone came out on a weekend to take care of it. Now they work weekends often.

The removal of the traffic lights on the Great Highway immediately after it permanently closed was done by SFMTA Traffic Signal Division on a Sunday at overtime rates. Even though the Judge in the lawsuit that alleges the highway was closed illegally warned of the financial risk of doing work that will be costly to reverse if the plaintiffs prevail, the City broke up concrete, installed rebar, and continues to erect more structures.

Just letting you know. I and a number of my neighbors will be opposing any attempt to send our tax money to fund these City agencies if a request for a tax increase appears on the next ballot.

I sincerely hope you will investigate the authorization of this obvious wasteful misuse of funds on the new "park."

Thank you for your anticipated attention to this. Photos below.

Judi Gonzki
Resident/Voter: D2

Photos:



6/21/25, Saturday, Sand Removal

4/19/25 Sunday, Traffic Lights removal

Meanwhile, contrary to what you may hear from our D2 Supervisor about how his efforts to mitigate traffic have succeeded, with the Great Highway closed, here's the type of vehicles kneeling down our residential streets in front of our homes. The traffic is a mess.

From:	Mimi Dang
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 2:21:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Mimi

From:	Benjamin Malone
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 2:47:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Ben

From:	Danielle Fang
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 3:15:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Danielle Fang

From:	Flávia Oliveira
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 3:27:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Flávia Oliveira
Sent from my iPhone

From:	Chris Wilson
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 3:31:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, **the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's** items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, **an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.**

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Chris Wilson

From:	Elizabeth Silvers
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 3:42:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

All my best,
Elizabeth

From:	Toby Sachs-Quintana
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 3:56:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Esteemed Board Of Supervisors

I noticed that in the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). The revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting.

The framing is misleading; these points are not mutually exclusive. The RPD presentation occurred after public comment, with no chance for response. This denied the public a fair opportunity to address or rebut their statements.

As noted in public comment, raising Golden Gate parking meter rates by just 30 cents would replace all lost court fee revenue. This solution would protect jobs and preserve recreational programs. Please reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations] and consider alternatives that support community recreation.

Thanks,
Toby

From:	PC
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 4:38:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Patrick Colville
3565 Market St, San Francisco 94131

From:	Eva Sinha
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 5:02:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Yajun Gao
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 5:05:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Yajun

From:	Juliana
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); DorseyStaff (BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); Major, Erica (BOS); FielderStaff; Engardio, Joel (BOS); MahmoodStaff; MandelmanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; Walton, Shamann (BOS); SherrillStaff; Waltonstaff (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 5:24:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From:	Stephen Chang
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 5:24:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Stephen Chang

From:	Sophia Mola
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 5:41:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Sean Lee
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 5:51:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Sean Lee

From:	Jina Zhu
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 6:23:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Jina

From:	Peter Su
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 6:34:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Peter Su

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Riss D
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 7:04:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From:	Mary Ratcliff
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Adrian Tirtanadi
Subject:	Fully fund civil legal services
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 7:17:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

When Open Door Legal was founded -- as Bayview Legal initially -- my husband, Dr. Willie Ratcliff, known lovingly in the Black community as "the jobs man," whose Black construction crews outpaced everybody, as well as the publisher of the SF Bay View newspaper, was on the board. With a staff of gutsy young lawyers just out of law school, they designed a defense force for everyday people being trampled by the powerful.

Today when the Bay View's phone rings, it's likely to be someone about to be evicted, desperate for help, and where do I send them? To Open Door Legal. Most of them call back, joyfully reporting they're still in their home and expect to stay. Most of them would have been homeless without legal help.

INVESTING IN CIVIL LEGAL SERVICES PREVENTS THE TERRIBLE COSTS OF HOMELESSNESS! Please do the right thing, fiscally and morally!

Mary Ratcliff
SF Bay View
https://sfbayview.com
Office: 415-671-0789
Cell: 415-216-9432

From:	Clara Aguiar Benedett
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 7:22:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis community.

When compared with the other items, **the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's** items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, **an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.**

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Clara Aguiar Benedett
415 515 3878
claraabenedett@gmail.com

From:	Vince Wong
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:06:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Miranda Chen
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:07:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From:	Jatin Bhatia
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:10:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From:	Ted Wint
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:11:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am a resident in the Marina and I'm writing to oppose the proposed fees on public tennis and pickleball courts.

Public courts provide affordable, accessible recreation and should not be the source of balancing the budget. I respectfully request that you vote against these fees at the upcoming meeting this Wednesday.

Thank you for your time and for keeping these public spaces free and open to everyone.

Sincerely,

Ted Wint

Ted Wint
hello@tedwint.com
510.493.1767 | tedwint.com

Sent via Superhuman iOS

From:	Adriana Angelini
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:12:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Hazel Sun
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:14:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From:	Arthur Lai
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:28:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From:	Christina Bronsky
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:33:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Christina

From:	Olivia Xiaodan Meng
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:33:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From:	Raaghavv Devgon
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:46:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Michelle Yang
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:49:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From:	Akshay Jha
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:52:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Akshay Jha

From:	devin.r.liu@gmail.com
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 9:15:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Devin

From:	Andrés Barraza
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 9:36:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Andrés Barraza

From:	Brandon Martinez
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 9:41:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Brandon Martinez, District 8 citizen

From:	Lance Zhou
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 9:45:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Lance Zhou

Lance Zhou
Email: lance.j.zhou@gmail.com | Phone: +1 857-210-6925 |

From:	Jacob Anderson
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 9:47:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From:	Erica Santos
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 9:48:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Stacy Suen
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 10:06:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Best,
Stacy

From:	Beth Bedel
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 10:08:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Beth

From:	Calvin Fong
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 10:12:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Thejas Prasad
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 10:22:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Thejas

From:	Amadeia Rector
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 10:52:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Amadeia Rector
Resident of Potrero Hill
Frequenter of the Jackson Park tennis courts and Potrero Hill Recreation Center tennis courts

From:	Vivienne Chow
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 12:52:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From: linda radler
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 6:22:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	linda radler
Email	lindaradler@yahoo.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From:	Allyson Caravaca
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 6:29:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Allyson

From:	Jawwad Zakaria
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 8:02:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Jawwad

From:	Marshall Lambertson
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 9:34:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Marshall lambertson

From:	Amy Xu
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 9:37:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Farah Shirzadi.
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 9:41:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

--
Farah Shirzadi
LinkedIn

From:	Celina Fine
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Cc:	sa207332@atsu.edu
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 10:31:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

-Celina Fine PA-S
303-912-4580
Sa207332@atsu.edu or Celinafine@gmail.com
Central Coast Physician Assistant Program
A.T. Still University School of Health Sciences Class of 2023

From:	Sophia Luna
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 10:40:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Sophia

Envoyé de mon iPhone

From:	Stacie Johnson
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 11:43:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Stacie

Sent from my iPhone

From: Anya Kinkhabwala
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 12:00:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Anya Kinkhabwala
anya.kinkhabwala@icloud.com
126 Julia Loop
Danville, California 94506

From:	Carlos Casellas g
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 12:43:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Carlos Casellas Garza

From:	Suzette Safdie
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 2:55:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Louis Topper
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 3:10:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Louis

From:	maywcbb@gmail.com
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 3:57:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
May Chong

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Carlo Wong
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 4:01:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Carlo

From:	jennifer Lavins
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 5:26:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Jenn

From:	jennifer Lavins
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 5:28:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Jennifer Lavins
1926 47th Avenue, SF, CA 94116
415-753-1140

From:	Kim Fleming
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 5:28:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From:	shwang34@mail.ccsf.edu
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 5:32:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I do not feel it is fair to charge fees for use of the public courts. Technically the courts at GGP are public, and they already charge fees albeit with a nicely maintained facility. Paying for court fees I think would only be anywhere remotely fair IF all of the public courts for reservations are in good condition; some would need to be resurfaced. Having to pay the same amount for older courts and recently resurfaced courts makes no sense, there would be such an enormous discrepancy. Those are my two cents. Growing up as a kid I played on free public courts, it's just normal to me. But I digress. I don't want to be charged any court fees, and would only consider it marginally justifiable if older courts are resurfaced and all maintained to a high standard across the board.

~ Sara

BOS Members In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive. Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community. When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees. This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs. We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented. Thanks,

From:	Susie Chew
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 5:41:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From: Phyllis Levine
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Proposed cuts to SF budget
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 6:14:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

- This cut will have a big impact on my community.
- I participate in the Booker T. Washington Community Service Center's food justice programs
- Booker T. 's food programs make a difference in my life because I am old and disabled and can't do much shopping for my basic food needs. Cooking every night is also hard for me. The food programs enable us seniors to live independently.
- When you take away resources and cause food insecurity you're putting the community at risk of more chronic illness
- Food costs are rising, there's a citywide budget deficit, government-funded programs like CalFresh are getting cut, federal assistance programs like WIC are on the chopping block — these cuts would be nothing short of catastrophic to the overall wellbeing and health of my community
- This is an issue of public safety, food insecurity is linked to higher crime rates and social unrest, as people may resort to theft or other crimes when basic needs are unmet. Sincerely; Phyllis Levine

From:	ANN CAPITAN
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 6:24:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members:

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks & please don’t charge us for the use of public courts; it will cost you more to keep track of the small amount of fees you’ll collect.

Sincerely,

Ann V. Capitan
Native San Franciscan
& Tennis Player

From:	Walter Postell
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 6:26:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From:	Mein En Lee
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 6:58:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Tony Oliver
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 7:45:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From:	Springer Teich
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 8:28:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Nancy Jones
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 8:29:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Members of the Board of Supervisors

During the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on linking employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

RPD's presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Please take a moment to really consider this request to not charge fees for one type of recreation facility—tennis/pickleball courts.

Thank you.
Nancy Jones

From:	Jimmy Lin
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 8:32:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Jimmy

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Jimmy Lin
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 8:33:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Jimmy

Sent from my iPhone

From: Junebug Kealoha
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 9:05:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Junebug Kealoha
jkealoha1976@gmail.com
191 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco , California 94102

From:	Ltw Jones
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Follow-up to Friday's discussion of RPD at the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, agenda items 13-16
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 9:11:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors:

I am writing to request that you vote against RPD's proposal to charge feed for use of public tennis and pickleball courts. The proposed fee is prejudiced against one of many activities managed by RPD.

Charging for only one activity is unfair. Why not charge for use of basketball courts, the polo field, the track at Kezar, the grassy areas used for baseball and soccer (these require a lot of maintenance) and playgrounds?

Consider charging usage fees at facilities that have working bathrooms because a working bathroom requires staff to check for supplies and cleanliness.

Currently RPD provides no maintenance at standalone public tennis and pickleball courts. That is evidenced by users of these courts bringing their own brooms to sweep the courts. A net at the Stern Grove tennis/pickleball courts has been broken for two months despite frequent requests that it be fixed. There are not enough nets for the pickleball courts at Rossi or Buena Vista. Will money raised from court fees be used solely to maintain tennis and pickleball courts, or will the money go into the general fund and be eligible for all RPD services?

Please take the time to consider the inequity of RPD's request.

I urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations] because better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thank you.

From:	Dave Hollenberg
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 10:06:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, June 20, 2025, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between maintaining employment and fees (specifically, court fees for tennis and pickleball). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

The presentation by RPD was made after public comment, and there was no opportunity for the public to respond. We are not against all the fees. We are against RPD unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) compared to the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in public comments, an adjustment of just **thirty (30)** cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke on Friday, including golf course employees, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

I continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations] Better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented to the BOS.

Thank you,

Dave Hollenberg
District 7 Resident
david.g.hollenberg@gmail.com | (203) 984-9764

From:	Lauren Valles
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comment on Files 250609 and 250613 — 6/23 Budget and Appropriations Committee
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 11:05:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk Jalipa and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

My name is Lauren Valles, and I write to you today as a member of the San Francisco Young Democrats to express my strong support for the proposals introduced this budget cycle to fully fund behavioral health treatment beds for San Franciscans with the most acute needs. The San Francisco Democratic County Central Committee (DCCC) has already passed a resolution in support of this critical investment.

I commend Mayor Lurie for securing a grant to fund 73 new behavioral health treatment beds —an important step forward. However, the Residential Care and Treatment Bed Workgroup has clearly identified a need for at least 135 additional beds to address the crisis adequately. Stopping short of that full need would mean leaving vulnerable residents without the care they deserve.

During my time as a community organizer in last year's local election, I met a neighbor named Jesus. He shared the story of a friend who sought help at one of San Francisco's existing behavioral health sites. Due to limited capacity, mismanagement, and lack of oversight, his friend tragically passed away. We cannot let this become a recurring story in our city.

This is a pivotal moment for the Board to lead with both compassion and urgency. I urge you to follow the SF DCCC's lead and fully fund the treatment beds our city so desperately needs.

Thank you for your time, your service, and your commitment to the wellbeing and dignity of all San Franciscans.

Sincerely,

Lauren Valles

San Francisco Young Democrats

laurenvalles10@gmail.com

From:	Luisa Riccardi
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 11:53:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From:	Emily Yang
To:	ChenStaff
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Budget, MYR (MYR); Youthcom, (BOS)
Subject:	24-25 SF City Budget Public Comment - Youth Commission Budget Advocacy
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 6:08:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie, President Mandelman, and Supervisor Chen,

My name is Emily Yang and I am honored to serve as a Mayoral Appointed Youth Commissioner representing San Francisco youth citywide. I am both a resident and student in District 11, living in the Excelsior and attending Balboa High School. I'm submitting this public comment into the record to uplift the needs and priorities of youth in our district and across the City and County of San Francisco.

As one of your constituents, I strongly encourage all of you and your colleagues on the Board of Supervisors to approve a budget that meets the needs of young people by **supporting the Youth Commission's Budget and Policy Priorities for Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27**. The YC's highest priorities are:

- Expand Reliability and Access to Transit & Keep Free Muni for All Youth (pg. 6-7)
- Protect Undocumented Families from Deportation and Maintain Access to Education (pg. 10)
- Commit to Nutritious and Culturally Relevant Meals at SFUSD (pg. 15)
- Continue and Increase Support for In-School Youth Development Programs (pg. 20)
- Access to Workforce and Youth Employment Programs (pg. 27)
- Accelerate Efforts to Combat and Prepare for the Impacts of Climate Change (pg. 30)
- Improving Police Relations with Youth (pg. 38)
-

Address Sexual Assault & Harassment in Schools (pg. 44)

- Address Affordable Housing Crisis & Build Education Workforce Housing (pg. 64, 68)
- Address Living Conditions in SROs & Equitable Housing Assistance for Youth/TAY (pg. 70, 74)

Additionally, based on the proposed budget from the Mayor's Office and via listening sessions with various community organizations across the City, we are asking you to continue supporting funding for these initiatives:

- General Civil Legal Aid
- Food Security Program Funding
- Youth Workforce Programs (e.g. Opportunities for All)
- Department of Early Childhood, "Baby [Prop] C", Funding

Supporting these priorities is important because San Francisco's success is built up by youth. As leaders of the future, the issues youth see are unique to their lived experiences. As a youth living in a single-parent, newcomer household, I know what it's like to worry about dinner on the table, stable employment, secure housing, and overcoming language barriers. Living in constant anxiety about basic needs was the reality of my childhood and is the daily reality of many youth lives. Current budget cuts will hinder critical food, nutrition, and oral health services provided by community based organizations serving AAPI and BIPOC communities. Without adequate food security, youth are unable to meet basic physiological needs outlined in Maslow's Hierarchy and can't thrive in their day-to-day lives from school and academics to fulfilling household responsibilities, or maintaining their mental health. In my life, skipping meals because of food insecurity has negatively affected the way I can show up for others -- whether in class, at home, with friends, or any other community. I become tired and am unable to concentrate and be present. However, community based organizations who gave my family and I food resources and language assistance to acquire this has helped me overcome this struggle. Therefore, I urge you to **preserve funding for community based organizations that provide services and resources to alleviate nutritional food and oral health insecurity.**

Next, **protecting Free Muni For All Youth is pivotal to the success of San Francisco**

youth. This program enables youth to effectively mobilize through the City including having greater access to green spaces, job opportunities, school, and more. In 2024, Muni ridership rose by 16% compared to 2023. This increase demonstrates a meaningful rebound from pandemic low ridership. Additionally, this program has numerous environmental benefits and alleviates financial burdens for low-income families who can't afford to purchase private vehicles or other modes of transportation. This program is one of the reasons why I love San Francisco. This past weekend, I visited Sacramento for the American Legion Boys and Girls State Program, and I connected with youth throughout California and learned about the transportation landscape from nearly all 58 counties in California, and I was surprised to learn that very few have Free Muni For Youth Programs. Instantly, I was reminded of the vitality of this program from ensuring that I can get to school, work, my Youth Commission meetings, and back home safely and without worry of the cost. This program is what makes San Francisco one of the greatest cities in the nation.

Workforce programs are also instrumental to youth lives. As a young person who has participated in internships from City workforce initiatives from Opportunities for All (OFA)and the Japanese Community Youth Center (JCYC) like Youthworks, I've benefited from gaining practical job readiness skills across various career sectors, ultimately leading me to pursue law and government and stand here before all of you today as a Youth Commissioner. Working also allowed me to support my family, and reduced financial burdens for my family in affording necessities from weekly groceries to monthly utility bills. The skills I learn are not traditionally taught in schools, and prepared me for the practical world beyond high school such as financial literacy and managing credit. Additionally, OFA not only provides critical workforce development services, but also essential support for our vulnerable newcomer communities. Especially in this time, we must stand with our newcomer youth and families, and in addition to funding legal aid services, OFA directly provides services for newcomers.

Mayor Lurie, you recommitted to OFA and Black2SF in February 2025 - Black History month, recognizing these program's instrumental impact and investment towards San Francisco's Black community. Therefore, I urge you and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors to **preserve funding and support for workforce community based organizations, especially Opportunities for All.**

As for Climate Change, this threat will continue to hinder the safety of young people. By 2100, the San Francisco Bay is expected to rise up to 7ft. Vulnerable neighborhoods that will be directly affected by coastal flooding are the Chinatown, Mission Bay, Bayview, Marina, and Treasure Island. Without protective actions, 77 billion dollars of property value is at risk, with 37 billion dollars being public property. Youth must be equipped with the skills and resources to face climate challenges in the near future, and this starts with education -- expanding the San Francisco Environment Department's education to develop a curriculum

that covers a wider scope of climate topics and continue their work in climate resilience and in the long term, supporting a SFUSD district-wide Climate Action Day. In addition, we must install more Electric Vehicle (EV) charging to meet the growing demand for EVs in San Francisco. For every 10 EVs, there should be at least 1 charger. To address San Francisco's heat waves and urban heat islands, we must implement respite centers -- safe, cooling spaces for youth and families to regulate their body temperature and physical and mental well-being. Climate justice is youth justice.

Thank you for listening to the voices of San Francisco’s young people. As the future voters, workforce, and leaders of the City that we love, we encourage you to do the right thing and vote for a budget that works for youth!

Sincerely,
Emily Yang

From:	Billy Volkmann
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 6:11:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Billy Volkmann
1 Locust.
SF CA 94118

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Cyuaka Vu
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 6:13:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Cyuaka

From:	Angela Caltagirone
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 7:14:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Best,

Angela
650-759-7073

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Monica Lee
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 7:57:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Monica

From:	Justin Henderer
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 8:13:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thank you,

Justin Henderer

From:	Vanessa Bohm
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comment for BOS June 23rd Meeting
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 8:37:43 AM
Attachments:	Latine Community Public Comment for June 23 Board of Supervisor Meeting.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.


Dear Clerk's Office,


I am submitting public comments from three spanish speaking community members who could not attend today's public comment but wanted to submit their comments in written form to the Board of Supervisors. I am attaching their written comments in this email. Please confirm if possible the receipt and their inclusion in the official record.

Many thanks,
Vanessa Bohm

--

Vanessa Bohm
Family Wellness and Health Promotions Programs Director
vanessa@carecensf.org
main: 415-872-7465 | direct: (415) 872-7460
3143 Mission Street, San Francisco, CA 94110
carecensf.org





CENTRAL AMERICAN RESOURCE CENTER
CENTRO DE RECURSOS CENTROAMERICANOS

Support CARECEN SF: Donate today






San Francisco ca,junio 17 2025

Hola buenos días ho tardes. Soy participante de Carecen,

desde antes de la la pandemia,

Tengo una hija que antes de que diera sus primeros pasos,con los consejos aprendímos la una para la otra, también con mi familia aprendimos muchas cosas,como a seguir preparandonos ,para salir adelante, nos dan talleres e informacion de cómo comer saludable y tomar bebidas saludables, no azucaradas no sodas aprendimos , como la diabetis nos afecta , el cuidado de los dientes, (salud oral)cuidar la naturaleza, cómo salir adelante en este país,sin tanto estrés por q tenemos un espacio para nosotros , que uno viene con los ojos vendados a no tener miedo de nada,muchas veces

Uno se siente solo, deprimido, pero en Carecen nos dan muchos ánimos y consejos aprendí que salgamos
adelante con la comunidad por eso les pido de favor que

Nos sigan apollando

que no quiten el programa de Carecen

Es la única organización que nos ampolla, an que ya tengamos hijos, pequeños , nos permiten seguir llevando a nuestros nietos,hacer comunidad en espacio seguro y en mi idioma respetando, mis valores, mi cultura, mi familia.

Por eso debe seguir adelante el programa de salud y bienestar de CARECEN

gracias

Participante desde hace 9 años

Mi Nombre es: Saira Castro
yo Participo en el Programa de promocion de Salud "Carecen" me e beneficiado de las Clases que ellos dan Para niños y tambien las clases Para Padres Estos Servicios impactaron mi vida a Comer mas Saludable incluir mas verduras tambien un gran Cambio que ise desde que estuve en los talleres de Carecen fue dejar las bebidas azucaradas mi familia y yo isimos ese Cambio en tomar mas agua y tambien en lugar de sadas hacer bebidas de frutas tambien en Carecen encuentro un Lugar Seguro y me Siento escuchada tanto Para mi como Para mis niños que a ellos Les gusta ir a su escuelita Con sus maestras dicen ellos Pero si ustedes quitan el Programa a donde iremos mas que aqui estamos en una ciudad donde la mayoria de las veces se Pasa encerrada Como aremos Comunidad como sabran que estamos haciendo un buen trabajo si no hay una organizaaion que nos respalda mis hijas an sido mas sociables aprendido bastante en los Programas tambien emos recibido botellas de agua cepillos Pastas de dientes jabones tarjetas Para Comprar Comida Quiero Pedir ala Junta de Supervisores que restablescan los fondos del impuesto de bebidas azucaradas Para los Programas de salud oral de CARECEN Por favor que mis palabras escritas aqui no queden Sin Ser tomadas en Cuenta

06-18-2025.

San francisco California.

señor alcalde,

Me dirijo a si ajusted con el proposito de expresar mi Profunda preocupacion por lo Recientes recortes de presupuesto que usted esta haciendo a los Programas donde nos as de Mucha ajuda a la Comonidad latina los programan de Carecen, NO a brindado Mucho apollo, yo participo en el Programa de promocion de salud de Carecen, donde me he beneficiado de servicios o Educacion que a Recibo carecen

Quiero pedir ala Junta de supervisores de san Francisco Restablesca los fondos del inpuesto de las bebidas Azucaradas para los programas salud, oral de Carecen, gracias

From:	Demetrie Rivera
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 9:05:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Demetrie ~ SF Citizen

From: ali cengiz
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Strong Support to Maintain $1 Million in HSA Funding for Food Programs
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 9:18:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The San Francisco Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
Legislative Chambers, Room 250
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong and urgent concern about the plan to cut $1 million of funding from citywide grocery and hot meal services, which would devastate community based food programs in San Francisco, specifically the Fillmore.

I'm asking you not to balance the city's budget off the bellies and backs of poor people. Stop bleeding Fillmore and pitting communities of color against each other. I am asking you to make a long-term investment in San Francisco's holistic wellbeing and health, by establishing a plan to make these cuts from other areas of the budget.

Our communities are already facing access deficits when it comes to nutritious, fresh food. Earlier this year, the Fillmore Safeway abruptly closed — leaving the neighborhood without access to a full-service grocery store, a bank, and pharmacy. Our neighbors are also facing federal WIC and SNAP social benefit cuts. If the city piles cuts on top of these issues, communities will be without access to dignified food services and we will have a public health and safety crisis.

These cuts would radiate across SF, impacting community based organizations fighting to feed some of our most vulnerable neighbors. Without access to these vital food resources, our community's food security is at risk, which leads to negative health outcomes, millions of dollars in healthcare costs, and public safety concerns. The cuts also represent a divestment in small businesses, who will undoubtedly lose steady contracts from community based organizations forced to slash their programs.

Our community center is a prime example of what would crumble if these cuts take effect. The Booker T. Washington Community Service Center feeds 1,500 community members — families, youth, and seniors receive free farm fresh groceries, and fresh meals made by chefs in our district. Since the Safeway closure, we have met community need by expanding our programs to provide an additional 1000 individuals, with fresh, seasonal produce boxes and pantry items. If these cuts to HSA go into effect, Booker T. would have to cut 40% of our food programs beginning on July 1. We will also have to cut contracts with small businesses and local farmers — pulling much-needed economic support from our community.

Historically known for its vibrant culture, small businesses, fierce activists, and strong community pride, the Fillmore's Black community has historically been undercut by economic disempowerment and racially discriminatory policies. From redlining, restrictive covenants and redevelopment, to the ruthless gentrification and the devastating divestment we see today. The Fillmore deserves better.

The Fillmore is an area with a high concentration of public housing and senior housing, a large community of color and families with small children, older adults, and adults with disabilities. The lack of a nearby full-service grocery store, pharmacy and bank within walking distance leaves residents with only cornerstores, which don't stock fresh food or accept EBT/SNAP.

It's clear: the Fillmore's Black community is not being supported with the quality resources they deserve

and need to thrive. And as food costs rise, the citywide budget cuts continue, and government funded programs like CalFresh are getting cut as well as federal assistance programs like WIC, these budget cuts would be nothing short of catastrophic to the overall wellbeing and health of the Fillmore community and the web it supports.

Sincerely,
Ali Cengiz
Self employed (Restaurants Owner in Bay Area)
415 405 6195

From:	Margie Rogerson
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 9:51:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Margie Rogerson
(415) 734-7305 cel
(415) 921-4389

From:	Elena Hodges
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Fewer, Sandra (BOS); Rafael.Mandleman@sfgov.org; Ronen, Hillary (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Yee, Norman (BOS)
Subject:	Public Comment Day: No New Jails! Restore Funding to Essential Services!
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 9:57:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Budget Committee:

My name is Elena Hodges and I am a nonprofit immigration attorney based in SF. I am writing to urge you to fulfill your public service mandate of keeping our communities safe and supported, not abandoned and incarcerated. **Do not pour millions into keeping Jail Annex 3 open! Instead, fund key social and legal services programs that the most vulnerable San Franciscans depend on!** This is your chance to do the right thing.

Sincerely,
Elena

--
Elena Hodges (pronouns: she/her)
Immigration Attorney | Co-Director
Pangea Legal Services

391 Sutter St, Suite 500, San Francisco, CA 94108
855 Lenzen Avenue, San José, CA 95126
Office: (415) 254-0475 Cell: (415) 757-9917 Fax: (415) 593-5335

Learn more, **follow along**, **and make change possible**

*Licensed to practice law in New York. Practice in CA limited to federal immigration law.

Please Note: The information in this e-mail message may contain legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you may not further disseminate, distribute, read, copy or forward this message. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender and promptly delete the original message. Thank you.

From: carolyn gage
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Loss of Funding to Dignity Fund
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 10:01:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings to those who hold the key. I won't go through a spill of gibberish. I am a native San Franciscan and I never imagined as I matured I would be advocating for my communities. I am 65 years young, disabled, live in a marginalized community. The services of support for these communities throughout the city are being cut or not funded. The loss is cumulative.The aging population is growing including yourself, friends and family. You may not be affected personally however have compassion for others. Please allocate to DAS.
THANK YOU
Carolyn Gage

From:	Ellen Dai
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 10:35:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Ellen

From: Jennifer Tobiason
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 10:51:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Jennifer Tobiason
Email	jentobiason@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Spencer Camp
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 11:16:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Spencer Camp
Email	hello@spencercamp.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,
I wholeheartedly support the effort to right-size the San Francisco budget!

We urgently need budget reform. I implore you to focus on the critical needs of residents rather than yielding to special interest groups (like non profits), thereby easing the heavy burden of an overinflated city budget.

Key concerns that are clear to the community include:
• Substantial budget cuts are necessary, especially in departments that have increased by over $100M since 2012. I propose a reduction of $2B in the SF budget.
• All forms of fraud must be identified and eradicated.
• Funding should not be provided to non-existent or ineffective non-profits, as noted in the 2023 Grand Jury Report.
• The city must cease funding any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF officials on behalf of special interests. Such allocations should be terminated immediately.

Finally, full funding for our public safety agencies—SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, and others—is essential. These services form the backbone of San Francisco's recovery and must be adequately supported.

Sincerely,
Spencer Camp

From: Larry Quantz
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 11:30:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Larry Quantz
Email	jkj2000@yahoo.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Yves-Langston Barthaud
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 11:31:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Yves-Langston Barthaud
ybarthaud@sfsafehouse.org
1369 Versailles Ave
Alameda , California 94591

From: Lukas Illa
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 11:36:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Lukas Illa
lilla@cohsf.org
280 Turk St
San Francisco, California 94102

From: Meg Heisler
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 11:41:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Meg Heisler
meg.heisler@gmail.com
1565 9th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94122-3608

From: David Downs
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 11:49:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	David Downs
Email	david.downs@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Sammie Rayner
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 11:53:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Sammie Rayner
sammie.rayner@communityforwardsf.org
1171 Mission Street, 2nd Floor
San Francisco, California 94103

From: Ruth Sappelt
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Save CityBuild
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 11:54:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear members of the Board of Supervisors,

Please save CityBuild. This program keeps our taxpayer dollars circulating locally. It trains residents and launches sustainable careers that allow families to stay in San Francisco over generations. It supports these tradespeople in securing employment in major local projects, ensuring that our city, and our residents, benefit from these investments in lasting, meaningful and multifaceted ways.

I worked alongside many of the staff whose positions are slated to be cut. They are big-hearted people who care deeply about our communities and they work that they do. They are making a difference.

Please save CityBuild so that this program can continue to be a champion for local hiring and an asset to our entire community.

Sincerely,

Ruth Sappelt
D11 resident, born and raised in San Francisco

From: Richard Manso
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 11:55:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Richard Manso
Email	rmanso2016@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From:	Helen Vasquez
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 12:15:04 PM
Attachments:	PastedGraphic-1.tiff

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members,

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Best,
Helen Vasquez, RA, NCARB
Associate | Project Manager

2325 3rd st. studio 426
san francisco, ca 94107
415.977.0194 x102
matthollis.com

From: Maria Lozado
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 12:22:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Maria Lozado
Email	maria100565@ahoo.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

Maria Lozado D9

From: Mitchell Smith
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 12:29:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Mitchell Smith
Email	htimsm1@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Matthew Popovich
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 12:32:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Matthew Popovich
Email	mattpopovich@outlook.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,


From: Vanessa Bohm
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Pubic Comment
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 12:38:19 PM


This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please document my public comment below for June 23rd Bos meeting.

Vanessa

Vanessa Bohm
Family Wellness and Health Promotions Programs Director
vanessa@carecensf.org
main: 415-872-7465 | direct: (415) 872-7460
3143 Mission Street, San Francisco, CA 94110
carecensf.org





CENTRAL AMERICAN RESOURCE CENTER
CENTRO DE RECURSOS CENTROAMERICANOS

Support CARECEN SF: Donate today



Good Morning,

My name is Vanessa Bohm, and I am the Director of Health Promotions and Family Wellness Programs at the Central American Resource Center. I am asking that the Mayor's Office and The Board of Supervisors restore soda tax funding to community-based organizations and in particular to the three oral health task forces that have been helping to reduce early childhood cavities in the Latinx, African American and Chinese communities, who face significant oral health disparities. Without this funding, the oral health of these children will suffer. It will lead to more pain, emergency room visits and missed days of school. These task forces help navigate and connect vulnerable families with dental care, provide oral health supplies such as toothbrushes, toothpaste and floss, and most importantly critical oral health education to stop and prevent the development of more tooth decay. Please prioritize the recommendations of the soda tax advisory committee and immigrant services, including the Language Access Network of San Francisco and Immigrant Parent Voting Collaborative.

From: Michael Murano
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 12:38:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Michael Murano
Email	mmurano@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Rebecca Jackson
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 12:40:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Rebecca Jackson
rebecca.jackson@communityfrwardsf.org
1171 Mission St.
San Francisco, California 94103

From:	May Fence
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 12:47:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
May Fence

Sent from my iPhone

From: Caitlin Fuller
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 12:56:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Caitlin Fuller
caitlin.h.fuller@gmail.com
6 Locksley Ave, Apt 7C
San Francisco, California 94122

From: Mark Macy
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 1:09:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Mark Macy

Email: markm@macyarchitecture.com

Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."

Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Colton Weeks
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 1:15:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Colton Weeks
Email	stoker.planar_40@icloud.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Parker Pruett
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 1:19:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Parker Pruett

Email: ppruett@gmail.com

Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."

Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

Let’s audit the city budget for dollars spent vs outcome!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $5B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations

or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Forrest Liu
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 1:33:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Forrest Liu
Email	forrest.liu@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Hoping to join.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From:	Michael Nulty
To:	ChanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; DorseyStaff (BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); MahmoodStaff; MandelmanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR)
Subject:	Fund 4% Cost of Doing Business Increase
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 1:37:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

We urge you to close the gap in the Human Services Network's request by funding a full 4% Cost of Doing Business increase (an additional $25.2M) and to protect the $3 million promised to the Dignity Fund, which is crucial for maintaining essential services for our most vulnerable populations.

As a community member of the Dignity Fund Coalition, we and our nonprofit partners work and advocate tirelessly to serve the growing population of older adults and adults with disabilities—this demographic is projected to constitute a significant 30% of San Francisco residents by the year 2030. However, the current budget proposals, if implemented as they stand, put at risk the vital services and meaningful jobs that provide food, computer training, emergency preparedness, and crucial social connections for thousands within our community.

Without sufficient investment in these services, we face the grim reality that programs will inevitably shrink, vital service sites will close their doors, and dedicated workers—who already endure widening pay gaps compared to City staff—will face layoffs that not only impact their livelihoods but also the support systems that countless individuals rely upon. The Dignity Fund Coalition stands united with CASE and the Human Services Network in a collective call for fair and sustainable funding that accurately reflects the true cost of keeping our communities safe, connected, and cared for.

San Francisco has a proud legacy in human services, marked by a deep commitment to fostering resilience and providing support for the diverse populations that call this vibrant city home. We ask you to uphold that longstanding commitment now, ensuring that the necessary resources are allocated adequately to maintain the integrity of our vital human services infrastructure. This commitment is essential not only to protect the dignity of those we serve but also to enhance the quality of life for all residents. It is imperative that we prioritize the needs of our community, recognizing the unique challenges faced by various groups, and reinforcing our collective responsibility to ensure that no one is left behind as we move forward together. By doing so, we can create a more inclusive and supportive environment where every individual can thrive and contribute to the richness of our city.

Sincerely,

Michael Nulty

P.O. Box 420782
San Francisco, CA 94142-0782
(415) 339-8327 - Direct
(415) 339-8779 - Alliance for a Better District 6
(415) 339-8683 - Central City Democrats
(415) 937-1289 - North of Market Business Association
(415) 820-1412 - Tenderloin Futures Collaborative
http://abd6.cfsites.org/

From: Charlotte Worcester
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 1:48:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Charlotte Worcester
Email	beaubarlotte@yahoo.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.
Optional: Provide your phone number if you want us to send you a text reminder/ updates.	4152790389

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,
Charlotte Worcester

From: Marisa Johnson
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 1:55:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Marisa Johnson
Email	where.is.the.cat@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.
Optional: Provide your phone number if you want us to send you a text reminder/ updates.	4152549378

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

In my own words: i support DEEP CUTS. Every dept. ALL top compensation pkgs MUST B CUT. Cut Non profits, NGOs.

Cut Cut Cut Cut.

Thank you and I DO VOTE

MARISA JOHNSON, 94115

San Francisco native.

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From:	Shane Sleeper LLC
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Sauter, Danny (BOS); SauterStaff; SFPD, Chief (POL); mayor@sanjoseca.gov; Press Office, Mayor (MYR); Nagano, Tomio (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS); BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides; erm71@who.eop.gov; cc: Most Fundable Companies; Sweet, Alexandra C. (MYR); carol.leonnig@washpost.com; Donald J. Trump; GovernorRon.Desantis@eog.myflorida.com; e@x.com; editorial@sfstandard.com; escobarj@sfarch.org; family@westsidefamily.church; foxnewstips@foxnews.com; Fanexperience@chiefs.com; Harry Forbes; foxreport@foxnews.com; gfarmer@kcstar.com; haney.press@asm.ca.go; fam-gwl@frankrimerman.com; info@maringop.org; info@tpusa.com; info@comedymothership.com; info@iamsecond.com; info@colonialkc.org; letters@time.com; Kana Prod SFC Feedback; info@tcnetwork.com; bobbytrendy@aol.com; ytcomedymothership@gmail.com; jeff@amazon.com; ktvu2Investigates@fox.com; Katlyn.Alo@sfchronicle.com; press@twitter.com; News@chron.com; ontherecord@foxnews.com; opinion@nytimes.com; James.Torrez@fox.com; Team Rally; ICELEOSA@ice.dhs.gov; ChanStaff (BOS); SherrillStaff; MahmoodStaff; DorseyStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); FielderStaff; Waltonstaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Severiano.Christian@sen.ca.gov; Radhika.Gawde@sen.ca.gov; senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov
Subject:	Budget Adjustments and Revenue Generation
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 2:03:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

While no less than 300 individuals bitched about not cutting their funding, not a single one proposed any ideas for adjustments, or how they might be able to contribute to generating funding. That's the difference between, us, Mr. Sleeper's startup and the rest.

Please review "#TheSecondTape" which documents Anheuser Busch and their marketing agency Mosaic North America refusing counseling following a work place sexual exploitation and assault by manager William Raul Diaz. It was a spring break marketing program for Bud Light. Please note the creation of this tape is from several years ago.

While Anheuser Busch/BudLight regularly portray themselves as an American brew, Red, White, and Blue, they are actually owned by Belgium and Brazil, with previous ownership being China. AbinBev has an annual revenue of nearly $60 billion dollars, $15+ billion of that being generated by the United States, yet they refused to provide counselling services until more than 3 years after the reported incident while pursuing Mr. Sleeper's incarceration, with the one taking part in the exploitation coming to court to victimize himself while further abuses in custody continued. Others believe they boycotted budlight to some degree during the recent trans marketing by switching to modelo failing to realize it's owned by the same company. It should also be noted that Mosaic North America is a marketing agency with corporate ownership in Canada.

While changing the beverages we consume is not a complete fix, it would at least provide some additional revenue to our own economy while not allowing foreign companies to weaponize our citizens through illegal work place practices. Molson Coors is the second leading alternative, but it too still has a large portion of its ownership in Canada.

A quick solve to at least part of our budget would be to increase the taxes on foreign owned beverage companies, not only to the consumer, but higher fees for restaurants supporting foreign brews that give no fucks to how they effect our own citizens...for what they could have caused....and are very deliberately causing others. Brewing does not require billions of dollars to produce mass quantities, and we are indirectly giving away billions of dollars to foreign parties that could be invested in our own if we were to change what we drink. A lot of restaurants not wanting to pay their due wages happen to carry their products also, and we

encourage you to be on the lookout for further communications regarding missing wages and media accountability outside of gutting the entire proposed budget.

Additionally please do not be alarmed by what is stated in the video...Mr. Sleeper was actually directed to take part in self mutilation by officers while in custody in order to receive a blanket in below freezing temperatures. After committing the act he was then told "you're gonna have to try harder than that". This was grieved and mailed to J.B. Pritzker. We have been advised by previous communications to the white house that all communications are saved, and we are wondering if the same applies with regards to communications to governors. The reality experienced unfortunately is that J.B. Pritzker could give two fucks less what happened, and Mr. Sleeper got preyed on again at another campus where the Pritzkers were working. Still waiting on the accountability that never comes, while there remains no consequence for crimes committed by those earning a salary.

A copy of what Mr. Sleeper shared at today's public comment here.

Finally with an $840 million dollar budget you think we could get regular patrols in one of the highest drug trafficked neighborhoods in the country so those of us not surviving off of free checks can get more than an hour of sleep at night.

Make it make sense.

#OnBehalfOfMrSleeper

From: Stephanie Adraktas
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 2:29:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Stephanie Adraktas
Email	stephanieadraktas@yahoo.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Christian Averill
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 2:40:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Christian Averill
Email	christianaverill@hotmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.
Optional: Provide your phone number if you want us to send you a text reminder/ updates.	9176798818

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

We need the CoH in particular to shut down.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or

wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

Christian Averill

From: William Heaton
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 2:44:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	William Heaton
Email	leobilly69@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From:	Socrates Li
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Cc:	Amos Lim; Shuangyan Li; Nicholas Gee; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Subject:	Budget Public Comment from Chinese for Affirmative Action Clients
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 2:54:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below the comments in Chinese and English from Chinese for Affirmative Action (CAA) clients Chunmei Cao, Qiuting Zhao, Peiying Guan, and Yanqi Zhang, who could not attend in-person today.

Socrates Li
Community Advocate, Economic Justice at CAA

Qiuting Zhao's Comment

大家好，我是Qiuting 我是华人权益促进会的客户，三藩市第5区的居民 作为英语能力有限的中国移民，华促会教了我很多重要的就业能力 他们帮我写了简历，也跟我做了模拟面试，教我回答常见面试问题 这些都是找工作需要的技能，但是没有很多双语机构能免费的为我们提供这类训练 他们也帮助我学会怎样用手机填写就业表格，并帮助我申请了很多工作 我认为这是三藩市华人移民非常需要的服务 为了继续为移民提供经济资源和就业机会，我希望三藩市会恢复华促会的服务

Hello, I'm Qiuting. I am a client of Chinese for Affirmative Action and a resident of District 5. As an immigrant with low English proficiency, CAA helped me learn important job search skills. Their staff prepared my resume and provided job interview training to teach me about common interview questions. These are necessary skills for finding a job yet there are not many bilingual organizations who can provide us this training free of charge. They also taught me to use my phone to apply for jobs online, and directly helped me apply to many positions. I believe this is a very important service for San Francisco residents. To ensure continued economic resources and job opportunities for immigrants, I hope the City will restore CAA's services.

Chunmei Cao's Comment

大家好，我是Chunmei，我是华人权益促进会的客户，三藩市第3区的居民 我今天在此是为了表达我对华促会的支持 华人权益促进会在过去几年帮我提供了很多就业协助 在2020年，疫情开始时，他们介绍给我清洁和酒店职业训练，并帮助我练习面试 我之前从来没有面试过，所以这个训练让我了解了美国找工作的过程 华促会也教了我填写申请表格和跟雇主沟通，还帮我准备了简历 除了就业以外，当我有需求时，华促会一贯能介绍给我中文的资源，例如租户权益信息和英语班 作为移民，作为家长，我们非常需要华促会的服务，他们对三藩市华人是必要的资源 我强烈希望三藩

市会恢复给华促会的资金，让他们恢复服务

Hi everyone, I'm Chunmei. I'm one of Chinese for Affirmative Action's clients and a resident of District 3. I am here today to express my support for CAA. CAA has provided me with a lot of employment services in the last few years. In 2020, at the start of the pandemic, they helped me with hospitality and custodial training, and taught me how to handle job interviews. I had never interviewed before, so this helped me learn the process for finding a job in the US. CAA also prepared my resume, helped me apply for jobs, and communicate with employers. Even when I needed help that wasn't employment-related, CAA could always refer me to what I needed, such as ESL classes and tenants' rights resources. As an immigrant, and a parent, I feel we all need CAA's services. They are a vital resource for San Francisco residents. I sincerely hope that the City will resume CAA's funding so they can resume their services.

Peiying Guan's Comment

大家好，我是Peiying 我是三藩市第10区的居民 我今天跟华人权益促进会来，表达对他们的支持并要求市政府恢复华促会的资金和服务 在疫情期间，华促会一直为我提供就业支持，帮助我写简历 申请工作 准备面试 填写各种上岗要求表格 在2020年，他们为我进行了训练，教我清洁和酒店业的职业技能 在接下来三年，他们继续帮我申请清洁工作，帮助我找到了市政府的清洁职位 对英语能力有限的移民，华促会的服务是一项非常重要的经济资源 我认为三藩市需要为华人市民继续提供这种服务 我希望市政府会让华促会恢复服务

Hi everyone, my name is Peiying. I'm a resident of District 10. I am here today with Chinese for Affirmative Action to express my support and request that the City restore CAA's funding and services. During the pandemic, CAA provided ongoing job support to me, helping me prepare a resume, practice job interviews, apply for various jobs, and even fill out onboarding paperwork. In 2020, they provided custodial and hospitality vocational training to me. In the next few years, they kept helping me apply until eventually I was able to get a custodial position with the City. For limited English proficiency immigrants like myself, CAA's services are a vital economic resource. San Francisco needs to continue providing these services to Chinese residents. I hope the City will restore CAA's services.

Yanqi Zhang's Comment

大家好，我是Yanqi，是三藩市第3区的居民 我第一次接触华人权益促进会的就业服务是大概2013年 华促会帮助我了解美国的工作申请过程并帮我找到了餐厅职位 后来我想去酒店工作时，华促会也帮我了解酒店行业并帮助我找到了酒店职位 在疫情期间，我跟很多人一样失业了，华促会的员工当时还帮助我申请失业金福利，并在疫情后又帮助我找到了酒店的职位 华促会的服务是所有三藩市华人的一条重要的经济生命线 他们帮助社团解决语言障碍，确保移民也有机会发展职业 我强烈希望市政府会恢复华促会的就业服务资金，让他们继续为三藩市提供服务

Hi everyone, I'm Yanqi, I live in District 3. My first contact with Chinese for Affirmative Action was around 2013, when CAA taught me about the job search process in the US and helped me find a restaurant job. When I wanted to switch to the hospitality industry, CAA provided information about hotel work and helped me find a position in a hotel. During the pandemic, like a lot of people, I lost my job. CAA staff stepped in and helped me with

unemployment applications, and even helped me find another hotel job after the pandemic. CAA's services are an important lifeline for Chinese residents of San Francisco. They help the community overcome the language barrier, ensuring that immigrants can also have opportunities for economic growth. I hope the City will restore CAA's employment services funding, so they can keep serving San Francisco.

--

Socrates Li | 李牧童

Chinese for Affirmative Action | Community Advocate, Economic Justice

华人权益促进会 | 经济正义项目-社区倡议者

he/him/他 | (415) 738-3385 | (415) 274-6750 ext 307

hotline/热线号码 | (415) 598-8508

sli@caasf.org

From:	Jennifer Leong
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 3:04:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Jennifer Leong

From: Kenneth Ward
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 3:55:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Kenneth Ward
kenwardjr8592@gmail.com
2621 Forty Niner Way
Antioch, California 94531

From: Gary Beauregard
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 4:17:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Gary Beauregard
Email	gary@sfwave.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,
Gary B

From: Virginia Cheung
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Urgent Plea: Prioritize Children and Families - No Funding Cuts to Vital Community Organizations
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 4:26:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

My name is Virginia Cheung. I am a public school parent and resident of District 11. I serve on the board of Parents for Public Schools of San Francisco.

Parents for Public Schools of San Francisco (PPS-SF) condemns all forms of oppression. We believe that decisions affecting children must be made with careful consideration, respect for their voices, and a commitment to equity. As adults, we have a responsibility to provide timely and direct answers to our students while ensuring their diverse needs are at the forefront of our discussions.
With that in mind, we are writing to express our deep concern regarding potential funding cuts to critical community organizations (Parents for Public Schools, Latino Task Force and Free City College) and implore the Board to prioritize the well-being of San Francisco's children and families in the upcoming budget decisions.

San Francisco is a city built by working-class individuals and immigrants. Our vibrant and diverse community thrives when its most vulnerable members are supported. Disinvesting in community programs contradicts the city's long-held values of equity, safety, democracy, and liberty.

For 25 years, Parents for Public Schools of San Francisco has served as a vital bridge within our community, connecting over 60,000 residents across city government, school districts, for-profit, and non-profit sectors. We provide peer-to-peer mentoring, share essential knowledge, demystify complex educational policies, and empower families to navigate the school system effectively.

Maintaining funding for organizations like PPS-SF ensures the continuation of direct services that are crucial for the well-being of our community:

- Workshops that empower parents and caregivers
- One-on-one support to navigate challenges
- Group classes that foster community
- Informative learning sessions for community leaders
- Collaborations with community leaders to amplify resources and promote engagement

We know that this Board also shares a deep commitment to democracy and recognizes the invaluable role non-profit organizations like Parents for Public Schools play in fostering a thriving city. Supporting parents and caregivers is not just about their individual well-being; it is an investment in the future of our children. When parents/caregivers are not well-supported, children cannot thrive and reach their full potential. How can we expect our children to build the brightest future without supporting those that nurture them?

We urge the Board to uphold the highest standards of community engagement and to avoid policies that disproportionately harm already vulnerable populations by disinvesting in the critical programs they depend on.

We appreciate the new city administration's commitment to maintaining San Francisco's Sanctuary City status. As we transition to the new administration, we must not waver to shut down militant force to deport immigrants. It is of utmost urgency, and PPS is a safe place for our community. It is important that I emphasize this matter must be taken with upmost urgency. How will the Board protect our children when services are dwindled?

While we recognize the difficult and complex choices involved in balancing the city's budget across many departments, it is unclear how the proposed cuts ensure the infrastructure of supports for the most vulnerable and impacted families.

Now is the time for all of us to work together, in partnership with the community, to demonstrate our unwavering commitment to serving San Francisco's diverse communities.

Now is the time for a laser focus in bringing diverse communities closer to bridging the gaps and promoting educational outcomes.
The people are most impacted are our children.

When their parents/caregivers are not well supported, how can their children thrive for the brightest future? The Board needs to practice the standard around community engagement and not oppress its people by disinvesting in the funding of critical programs.

We stand ready to partner with the Board in achieving these goals and ensuring a brighter future for all of San Francisco's children.

Sincerely,

Virginia Cheung
Mother
SF Resident
Board Member

From: Gianmatteo Costanza
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 4:28:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Gianmatteo Costanza
Email	gianmatteo.costanza@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From:	debbie you
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 4:46:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Debbie

From: Luz Huallanca
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Urgent Plea: Prioritize Children and Families - No Funding Cuts to Vital Community Organizations
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 5:16:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Parents for Public Schools of San Francisco (PPS-SF) condemns all forms of oppression. We believe that decisions affecting children must be made with careful consideration, respect for their voices, and a commitment to equity. As adults, we have a responsibility to provide timely and direct answers to our students while ensuring their diverse needs are at the forefront of our discussions.

With that in mind, we are writing to express our deep concern regarding potential funding cuts to critical community organizations (Parents for Public Schools, Latino Task Force and Free City College) and implore the Board to prioritize the well-being of San Francisco's children and families in the upcoming budget decisions.

San Francisco is a city built by working-class individuals and immigrants. Our vibrant and diverse community thrives when its most vulnerable members are supported. **Disinvesting in community programs contradicts the city's long-held values of equity, safety, democracy, and liberty.**

For 25 years, Parents for Public Schools of San Francisco has served as a vital bridge within our community, **connecting over 60,000 residents across city government, school districts, for-profit, and non-profit sectors**. We provide peer-to-peer mentoring, share essential knowledge, demystify complex educational policies, and empower families to navigate the school system effectively.

Maintaining funding for organizations like PPS-SF ensures the continuation of direct services that are crucial for the well-being of our community:

- Workshops that empower parents and caregivers.

- One-on-one support to navigate challenges.
- Group classes that foster community.
- Informative learning sessions for community leaders.
- Collaborations with community leaders to amplify resources and promote engagement.

We know that this Board also shares a deep commitment to democracy and recognizes the invaluable role non-profit organizations like Parents for Public Schools play in fostering a thriving city.
Supporting parents and caregivers is not just about their individual well-being; it is an investment in the future of our children. When parents/caregivers are not well-supported, children cannot thrive and reach their full potential. How can we expect our children to build the brightest future without supporting those that nurture them?

We urge the Board to uphold the highest standards of community engagement and to avoid policies that disproportionately harm already vulnerable populations by disinvesting in the critical programs they depend on.

We appreciate the new city administration's commitment to maintaining San Francisco's Sanctuary City status. As we transition to the new administration, we must not waver to shut down militant force to deport immigrants. It is of utmost urgency, and PPS is a safe place for our community. It is important that I emphasize this matter must be taken with upmost urgency. How will the Board protect our children when services are dwindled?

While we recognize the difficult and complex choices involved in balancing the city's budget across many departments, it is unclear how the proposed cuts ensure the infrastructure of supports for the most vulnerable and impacted families. Now is the time for all of us to work together, in partnership with the community, to demonstrate our unwavering commitment to serving San Francisco's diverse communities.
Now is the time for a laser focus in bringing diverse communities closer to bridging the gaps and promoting educational outcomes.

The people are most impacted are our children. When their parents/caregivers are not well supported how can their children thrive for the brightest future. The Board needs to practice the standard around

community engagement and not oppress its people by disinvesting in the funding of critical programs.
We stand ready to partner with the Board in achieving these goals and ensuring a brighter future for all of San Francisco's children.

Sincerely,

Luz Huallanca
Public school parent, community member, D11 voter

From:	noah sloss
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Urgent Plea: Prioritize Children and Families - No Funding Cuts to Vital Community Organizations
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 5:24:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Parents for Public Schools of San Francisco (PPS-SF) condemns all forms of oppression. We believe that decisions affecting children must be made with careful consideration, respect for their voices, and a commitment to equity. As adults, we have a responsibility to provide timely and direct answers to our students while ensuring their diverse needs are at the forefront of our discussions.

With that in mind, we are writing to express our deep concern regarding potential funding cuts to critical community organizations (Parents for Public Schools, Latino Task Force and Free City College) and implore the Board to prioritize the well-being of San Francisco's children and families in the upcoming budget decisions.

San Francisco is a city built by working-class individuals and immigrants. Our vibrant and diverse community thrives when its most vulnerable members are supported. **Disinvesting in community programs contradicts the city's long-held values of equity, safety, democracy, and liberty.**

For 25 years, Parents for Public Schools of San Francisco has served as a vital bridge within our community, **connecting over 60,000 residents across city government, school districts, for-profit, and non-profit sectors**. We provide peer-to-peer mentoring, share essential knowledge, demystify complex educational policies, and empower families to navigate the school system effectively.

Maintaining funding for organizations like PPS-SF ensures the continuation of direct services that are crucial for the well-being of our community:

- Workshops that empower parents and caregivers.
- One-on-one support to navigate challenges.
- Group classes that foster community.
- Informative learning sessions for community leaders.
- Collaborations with community leaders to amplify resources and promote engagement.

We know that this Board also shares a deep commitment to democracy and recognizes the invaluable role non-profit organizations like Parents for Public Schools play in fostering a thriving city. Supporting parents and caregivers is not just about their individual well-being; it is an investment in the future of our children. When parents/caregivers are not well-supported, children cannot thrive and reach their full potential. How can we expect our children to build the brightest future without supporting those that nurture them?

We urge the Board to uphold the highest standards of community engagement and to avoid policies that disproportionately harm already vulnerable populations by disinvesting in the critical programs they depend on.

We appreciate the new city administration's commitment to maintaining San Francisco's Sanctuary City status. As we transition to the new administration, we must not waver to shut down militant force to deport immigrants. It is of utmost urgency, and PPS is a safe place for our community. It is important that I emphasize this matter must be taken with upmost urgency. How will the Board protect our children when services are dwindled?

While we recognize the difficult and complex choices involved in balancing the city's budget across many departments, it is unclear how the proposed cuts ensure the infrastructure of supports for the most vulnerable and impacted families.

Now is the time for all of us to work together, in partnership with the community, to demonstrate our unwavering commitment to serving San Francisco's diverse communities.

Now is the time for a laser focus in bringing diverse communities closer to bridging the gaps and promoting educational outcomes.

The people are most impacted are our children. When their parents/caregivers are not well supported how can their children thrive for the brightest future. The Board needs to practice the standard around community engagement and not oppress its people by disinvesting in the funding of critical programs.

We stand ready to partner with the Board in achieving these goals and ensuring a brighter future for all of San Francisco's children.

Sincerely,

Noah Sloss Acting President of the Board Parents for Public Schools of San Francisco

From: Cheryl Thornton
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Public comment for Budget & finance committee special hearing
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 6:17:57 PM
Attachments: Untitled document 73.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon board of the clerk

I would like to add my public comment into the written record for the special hearing June 23, 2025 for budget and Finance.

Thank you

Cheryl Thornton
Community Member

June 23, 2025

Public Comment to the San Francisco Budget and Finance Committee

Supervisors Engardio, Mandelman, Dorsey, Chan, and Walton:

My name is Cheryl Thornton CoChair of the Harriet Tubman African-American democratic club.and I work for the Department of Public Health. I'm speaking today because the proposed budget cuts to nonprofits will have a devastating impact—not just on the organizations themselves, but on the patients and communities we serve every day.

At DPH, we rely on community-based organizations to help address the social determinants of health—housing, food, legal assistance, mental health services, and youth support. These nonprofits are not peripheral to our work—they are essential to the wellbeing and stability of our patients.

These cuts threaten to dismantle the very safety nets that make recovery, healing, and survival possible for vulnerable San Franciscans. If these services disappear, our ability to connect patients to the help they need is deeply compromised.

Balancing the budget by cutting life-saving community resources while protecting law enforcement funding does not reflect a holistic or equitable vision of public safety. Public health and housing are public safety.

I urge each of you to reconsider these cuts and fight for a budget that protects our nonprofit partners—because without them, our system cannot meet the needs of the people who depend on us most.

Thank you.

Cheryl Thornton

Cochair of the Harriet Tubman African-American Democratic club of San Francisco.

A member of SEiU 1021 COPE

From: Holly Dungan
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 7:06:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Holly Dungan
Email	hollydungan@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

Holly Dungan

From: Patrick Bedwell
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 7:13:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Patrick Bedwell
Email	pmbedwell@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget to reduce spending.

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

Patrick Bedwell

From: serena clark
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Urgent Plea: Prioritize Children and Families - No Funding Cuts to Vital Community Organizations
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 7:34:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Parents for Public Schools of San Francisco (PPS-SF) condemns all forms of oppression. We believe that decisions affecting children must be made with careful consideration, respect for their voices, and a commitment to equity. As adults, we have a responsibility to provide timely and direct answers to our students while ensuring their diverse needs are at the forefront of our discussions.

With that in mind, we are writing to express our deep concern regarding potential funding cuts to critical community organizations (Parents for Public Schools, Latino Task Force and Free City College) and implore the Board to prioritize the well-being of San Francisco's children and families in the upcoming budget decisions.

San Francisco is a city built by working-class individuals and immigrants. Our vibrant and diverse community thrives when its most vulnerable members are supported. Disinvesting in community programs contradicts the city's long-held values of equity, safety, democracy, and liberty.

For 25 years, Parents for Public Schools of San Francisco has served as a vital bridge within our community, connecting over 60,000 residents across city government, school districts, for-profit, and non-profit sectors. We provide peer-to-peer mentoring, share essential knowledge, demystify complex educational policies, and empower families to navigate the school system effectively.

Maintaining funding for organizations like PPS-SF ensures the continuation of direct services that are crucial for the well-being of our community:
Workshops that empower parents and caregivers.
One-on-one support to navigate challenges.
Group classes that foster community.
Informative learning sessions for community leaders.
Collaborations with community leaders to amplify resources and promote engagement.

We know that this Board also shares a deep commitment to democracy and recognizes the invaluable role non-profit organizations like Parents for Public Schools play in fostering a thriving city. Supporting parents and caregivers is not just about their individual well-being; it is an investment in the future of our children.
When parents/caregivers are not well-supported, children cannot thrive and reach their full potential. How can we expect our children to build the brightest future without supporting

those that nurture them?

We urge the Board to uphold the highest standards of community engagement and to avoid policies that disproportionately harm already vulnerable populations by disinvesting in the critical programs they depend on.

We appreciate the new city administration's commitment to maintaining San Francisco's Sanctuary City status. As we transition to the new administration, we must not waver to shut down militant force to deport immigrants. It is of utmost urgency, and PPS is a safe place for our community. It is important that I emphasize this matter must be taken with upmost urgency. How will the Board protect our children when services are dwindled?

While we recognize the difficult and complex choices involved in balancing the city's budget across many departments, it is unclear how the proposed cuts ensure the infrastructure of supports for the most vulnerable and impacted families.

Now is the time for all of us to work together, in partnership with the community, to demonstrate our unwavering commitment to serving San Francisco's diverse communities.

Now is the time for a laser focus in bringing diverse communities closer to bridging the gaps and promoting educational outcomes.
The people are most impacted are our children. When their parents/caregivers are not well supported how can their children thrive for the brightest future. The Board needs to practice the standard around community engagement and not oppress its people by disinvesting in the funding of critical programs.

We stand ready to partner with the Board in achieving these goals and ensuring a brighter future for all of San Francisco's children.

Sincerely,
Dorothy Clark Stankalis,
Parent of Public Schools, educator, and City College Alumni

From: Daniel Cunningham
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 8:01:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Daniel Cunningham
danielcnyc@gmail.com
30 Terra Teresa
Lafayette, California 94549

From:	Greg Cohn
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 8:08:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Jarmee T
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 8:58:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

~Jarmee

From: Blaine Browning
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 9:38:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Blaine Browning
Email	blaine.browning@sfskier.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

You need to cut the SFMTA $1,600,000,000 budget.

In 1999, SFMTA was created when the voters approved merging MUNI and DPT. Now, SFMTA is operating way outside its voter approved boundary and holding MUNI hostage on a regular basis. The MUNI budget is $750M, only half of the SFMTA budget, begging for an audit to see where $800,000,000 a year is being dumped. DPT is revenue generating. They are net positive. Voters only approved MUNI and DPT, so where is SFMTA spending half their budget?!

You need to audit SFMTA budget and cut it immediately.
Immediately issue and approve a new City Charterto forbid SFMTA to spend beyond what the voters approved in 1999 by merging MUNI and DPT.

From: Brittany Zendejas
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Urgent Plea: Prioritize Children and Families - No Funding Cuts to Vital Community Organizations
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 9:42:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Subject: Urgent Plea: Prioritize Children and Families - No Funding Cuts to Vital Community Organizations

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Parents for Public Schools of San Francisco (PPS-SF) condemns all forms of oppression. We believe that decisions affecting children must be made with careful consideration, respect for their voices, and a commitment to equity. As adults, we have a responsibility to provide timely and direct answers to our students while ensuring their diverse needs are at the forefront of our discussions.

With that in mind, we are writing to express our deep concern regarding potential funding cuts to critical community organizations (Parents for Public Schools, Latino Task Force and Free City College) and implore the Board to prioritize the well-being of San Francisco's children and families in the upcoming budget decisions.

San Francisco is a city built by working-class individuals and immigrants. Our vibrant and diverse community thrives when its most vulnerable members are supported. **Disinvesting in community programs contradicts the city's long-held values of equity, safety, democracy, and liberty.**

For 25 years, Parents for Public Schools of San Francisco has served as a vital bridge within our community, **connecting over 60,000 residents across city government, school districts, for-profit, and non-profit sectors**. We provide peer-to-peer mentoring, share essential knowledge, demystify complex educational policies, and empower families to navigate the school system effectively.

Maintaining funding for organizations like PPS-SF ensures the continuation of direct services that are crucial for the well-being of our community:

- Workshops that empower parents and caregivers.
- One-on-one support to navigate challenges.
- Group classes that foster community.
- Informative learning sessions for community leaders.
- Collaborations with community leaders to amplify resources and promote

engagement.

We know that this Board also shares a deep commitment to democracy and recognizes the invaluable role non-profit organizations like Parents for Public Schools play in fostering a thriving city. Supporting parents and caregivers is not just about their individual well-being; it is an investment in the future of our children. When parents/caregivers are not well-supported, children cannot thrive and reach their full potential. How can we expect our children to build the brightest future without supporting those that nurture them?

We urge the Board to uphold the highest standards of community engagement and to avoid policies that disproportionately harm already vulnerable populations by disinvesting in the critical programs they depend on.

We appreciate the new city administration's commitment to maintaining San Francisco's Sanctuary City status. As we transition to the new administration, we must not waver to shut down militant force to deport immigrants. It is of utmost urgency, and PPS is a safe place for our community. It is important that I emphasize this matter must be taken with upmost urgency. How will the Board protect our children when services are dwindled?

While we recognize the difficult and complex choices involved in balancing the city's budget across many departments, it is unclear how the proposed cuts ensure the infrastructure of supports for the most vulnerable and impacted families.

Now is the time for all of us to work together, in partnership with the community, to demonstrate our unwavering commitment to serving San Francisco's diverse communities.

Now is the time for a laser focus in bringing diverse communities closer to bridging the gaps and promoting educational outcomes.

The people are most impacted are our children. When their parents/caregivers are not well supported how can their children thrive for the brightest future. The Board needs to practice the standard around community engagement and not oppress its people by disinvesting in the funding of critical programs.

We stand ready to partner with the Board in achieving these goals and ensuring a brighter future for all of San Francisco's children.

Sincerely,

From: Leslie Huang
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 10:28:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Leslie Huang
Email	leslie94117@yahoo.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From:	Kelsey
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 10:30:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks.

From:	Mary DeVries
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 10:51:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Mary

Mary DeVries
415.307.6122

From:	Peter Mueller
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Tuesday, June 24, 2025 12:20:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Peter Mueller

Sent from my iPad

From: Victor Mezhvinsky
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; SauterStaff
Subject: Please Continue Reducing SF"s Budget!
Date: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 3:33:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Victor Mezhvinsky
Email	vmezhvinsky@gmail.com
Can you join to give public comment on June 23, 10am, City Hall Rm. 250? Public Comment will go on for a few hours, so you do not need to be "on time."	Can't make it.

Please Continue Reducing SF's Budget!

Message:

Dear Supervisors,

I fully support right-sizing the San Francisco budget!

We need budget reform right now. Please resist the pressure to favor special interests over the deep need of residents for relief from the onerous pressure of the bloated SF budget.

It is clear to residents that:

Deep cuts are needed, especially in the departments that have grown over $100M since 2012. We would support a $2B reduction in the SF budget.

All fraud should be rooted out.

There should be no funding going to non-existent or wasteful non-profits. (See 2023 Grand Jury Report).

There should be no city funding of any organizations or non-profits that lobby SF on behalf of special interests. Anything going to organizations that lobby SF officials should be terminated immediately.

Finally, our public safety systems, SFPD, SFFD, DA, Sheriff, etc should be FULLY funded - these are foundational for San Francisco's recovery.

Sincerely,

From: Jennifer Jupiter
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 7:19:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Jennifer Jupiter
jenniferbenorden@gmail.com
6103 Plymouth Ave
Richmond, California 94805

From:	Mary Kane
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Tuesday, June 24, 2025 7:39:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Mary

From:	angie.glielmi
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Tuesday, June 24, 2025 7:54:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,
Angie Glielmi

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Mary DeVries
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Tuesday, June 24, 2025 8:54:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Mary

Mary DeVries
415.307.6122

From:	Randolph Badler
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Tuesday, June 24, 2025 9:01:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

R. Badler, Ph. D.
Clinical Psychologist
2211 Post Street
Suite 300; Room 314
San Francisco, CA 94115
(415) 567-2292
rbadlerphd@icloud.com
drbadler.com

From:	ken garcia
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Tuesday, June 24, 2025 9:10:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From:	Allison Stratton
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Tuesday, June 24, 2025 9:48:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

Allison Stratton

From:	Jordan Wasilewski
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); SherrillStaff; Sauter, Danny (BOS); SauterStaff; Engardio, Joel (BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); MahmoodStaff; Dorsey, Matt (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); FielderStaff; Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Fwd: Protect CEOP, Except For The SRO Collaboratives
Date:	Tuesday, June 24, 2025 11:29:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am forwarding you this message I sent the budget chair. If you wish to talk more about why the SRO Collaboratives are a conflict of interest (I am someone who lives in a city-funded SRO) or wants more receipts, my number is 415-499-2563

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Jordan Wasilewski** <jodav1026@gmail.com>
Date: Fri, Jun 20, 2025, 1:57 PM
Subject: Protect CEOP, Except For The SRO Collaboratives
To: Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>, ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>, <daniel.lurie@sfgov.org>

Supervisor Chan, Mayor Lurie

As it stands right now, I am supporting the vast majority of BJC's asks, and I do think that CEOP should continue in some form. However, the SRO Collaboratives have to go. Here are some articles in Street Sheet about the various conflict of interest and efficacy issues (there are things both moderates and progressives can hate about the collaboratives):

https://www.streetsheet.org/sro-collaboratives-the-city-and-the-nonprofits-in-between/

https://www.streetsheet.org/op-ed-budget-advocates-missed-an-opportunity-to-root-out-sro-collaboratives-conflict-of-interest/

https://www.streetsheet.org/grab-bars-in-sro-showers-a-brief-history/

I think that we can have a code enforcement outreach program that delivers more for less and does not give lucrative contracts to PSH landlords to create these gargantuan and expensive conflicts of interests. It has harmed real unencumbered tenant organizing in PSH over the years.

Best
-J

From:	judith wing
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Tuesday, June 24, 2025 11:42:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thank you,

Judy Wing
District 2 resident
159 Parker Ave
94118

From:	Deborah Elam
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChenStaff; Lurie, Daniel (MYR)
Subject:	Letter of Support to Reinstate Project Homeless Connect Funding
Date:	Tuesday, June 24, 2025 1:48:44 PM
Attachments:	image001.png image002.png image003.png image004.png image006.png image008.png LOS-PHC-20250623.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SAN FRANCISCO
DENTAL SOCIETY

June 23, 2025

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

The Honorable Daniel Lurie, Mayor
Mayor's Office
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors and the Honorable Mayor Lurie,

The San Francisco Dental Society is writing to express concern regarding the recent defunding of Project Homeless Connect, and to urge you to restore funding for this vital program.

Project Homeless Connect has been a lifeline for hundreds of individuals experiencing homelessness in our city. By providing access to critical healthcare services, housing assistance, mental health support and employment resources, this program has played a pivotal role in addressing the multifaceted needs of our most vulnerable residents.

The current budget cuts and de-funding of PHC threaten to undermine these vital services at a time when the need for them is greater than ever. Funding this program is a sound investment in the health, safety, and well-being of our entire community.

We respectfully urge you to consider the impact of Project Homeless Connect and to

take immediate action to restore its funding. Our community's strength is measured by how we care for one another, particularly those most in need.

Thank you for your attention to this urgent matter.

Sincerely,

Irene Hilton, DDS, MPH
President

Deborah Elam, MS, CAE
Executive Director

C: Pamela Grayson Holmon, MBA CPO FHC
Executive Director, PHC

/file

Deborah Elam, MS, CAE.

Deborah Elam, MS, CAE | **Executive Director** | **San Francisco Dental Society** | 2143 Lombard Street | San Francisco, CA 94123 | Phone: (415) 928-7337 | exec@sfds.org | www.sfds.org | Business Hours M-F, 8:30 AM – 5:00 PM;
2013 2014E x cutive of the Year, California Society of Association Ex cutives

Connecting Dentists, Elevating Dentistry

CAE CERTIFIED ASSOCIATION EXECUTIVE

SAN FRANCISCO DENTAL SOCIETY

SAN FRANCISCO
DENTAL SOCIETY

June 23, 2025

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Mayor's Office
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors and the Honorable Mayor Lurie,

The San Francisco Dental Society is writing to express concern regarding the recent defunding of Project Homeless Connect, and to urge you to restore funding for this vital program.

Project Homeless Connect has been a lifeline for hundreds of individuals experiencing homelessness in our city. By providing access to critical healthcare services, housing assistance, mental health support and employment resources, this program has played a pivotal role in addressing the multifaceted needs of our most vulnerable residents.

The current budget cuts and de-funding of PHC threaten to undermine these vital services at a time when the need for them is greater than ever. Funding this program is a sound investment in the health, safety, and well-being of our entire community.

We respectfully urge you to consider the impact of Project Homeless Connect and to take immediate action to restore its funding. Our community's strength is measured by how we care for one another, particularly those most in need.

Thank you for your attention to this urgent matter.

Sincerely,

Irene Hilton, DDS, MPH
President

Deborah Elam, MS, CAE
Executive Director

C: Pamela Grayson Holmon, MBA CPO FHC
Executive Director, PHC

/file

2143 Lombard Street • San Francisco, CA 94123
Phone: (415) 928-7337 • Fax: (415) 928-5297 • www.sfds.org • info@sfds.org

From: Jessica Beard
To: boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Re: Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 2:51:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

On Tue, Jun 24, 2025, 2:03 PM Jessica Beard <jettib77@gmail.com> wrote:

> Don't take the deficit out on the people who make the city run and the most vulnerable among us, especially the children. They don't deserve it. Also, it is extremely important to save City Build, which is a program model for the country. Jessica Beatd, SanFrancisco native and registered voter

From: Jessica Beard
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 5:22:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

P0º .

From:	john m
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Tuesday, June 24, 2025 5:52:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks, John McClain.

From: Linci Comy
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 6:04:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

Linci Comy
lincicomy826@gmail.com
826 Arlington Ave
Emeryville, California 94608

From: Jessica Beard
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Re: Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 8:26:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

On Tue, Jun 24, 2025, 2:03 PM Jessica Beard <jettib77@gmail.com> wrote:

> Don't take the deficit out on the people who make the city run and the most vulnerable among us, especially the children. They don't deserve it. Also, it is extremely important to save City Build, which is a program model for the country. Jessica Beatd, SanFrancisco native and registered voter

From:	frantz glasz
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); FielderStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff
Subject:	Public Comment and Rebuttal to RPD Presentation
Date:	Tuesday, June 24, 2025 9:02:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Members

In the budget and appropriations meeting on Friday, RPD presented items 13-16 on sources of funding as a binary between keeping the employment and fees (specifically, court fees). This framing is misleading, and they are not mutually exclusive.

Their presentation was made after the public comment, and there was no opportunity to respond. We are not against all the fees, just against unfairly targeting the tennis and pickleball community.

When compared with the other items, the revenue from courts is minimal (~5%) of the revenue on RPD's items 13-16 of the Budget and Appropriations meeting. As mentioned in the public comment, an adjustment of just 30 cents to the Golden Gate parking meters would cover the entire revenue generated from court fees.

This would satisfy all the parties that spoke today, including the employees at the golf course, RPD employees at risk of layoff, and those interested in the continuation of other recreational programs.

We continue to urge you to reject Ordinance -250603 [Park Code - Court Reservations], when better solutions that do not undermine community recreation exist and have been presented.

Thanks,

From: xinke wu
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Date: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 10:16:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, dear supervisors
This is xinke wu, one student from city build academy.
Do not cut the city build program Please

From: Pollinate Farm & Garden Supply
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: HSA BUDGET CUTS
Date: Wednesday, June 25, 2025 12:11:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong concerns regarding the proposed $1 million cuts to HSA funding. These cuts would directly impact Pollinate Farm's ability to provide nutritious, fresh, and locally grown produce to BTWCSC's food justice programming, which in turn serves our community.

We provide essential nutrient-dense produce to the community, and these cuts would reduce our capacity to do so. Furthermore, the proposed voucher cuts would negatively affect over 200 low-income, high-risk pregnant individuals in San Francisco County.

It's important to recognize that food insecurity is a critical public safety issue, often linked to higher crime rates and social unrest when basic needs are unmet.

We strongly recommend that you consider making budget cuts from other areas, such as police overtime, the police record-keeping system, or the golf course, rather than creating a situation where soda tax grantees are pitted against community-based food providers.

Respectfully,

Yolanda Burrell, QWEL
Pollinate Farm Oakland
Urban Farmer
Edible Garden Consulting
510.686 DIYFOOD (3493)
pollinatefarm.com

Yolanda Burrell, QWEL
Pollinate Farm Oakland
Urban Farmer
Edible Garden Consulting
510.686 DIYFOOD (3493)
pollinatefarm.com

From: andyye@tutamail.com
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Hope to protect public services
Date: Wednesday, June 25, 2025 11:28:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I read the article by Adam Shanks about the city's budget in the San Francisco Examiner newspaper.

Please do not lay off workers. It is very important to have no lay offs, even when the accountant said that we can not afford to pay the workers. Please support the unions by not laying off city workers.

We can satisfy everyone, in the city, if everything that we pay for includes a percentage of tax that is reasonable. The city accountant would agree we need more money to pay for public services, and that would mean we need to increase taxes.

No one likes to pay more taxes, but to protect public services, we need to pay tax.

I can not control what a person does, but I am exercising my freedom of speech by speaking my mind to tell you to not lay off city workers.

As a voter, I would vote no to laying off city workers. As a taxpayer, I would pay taxes to support those city workers.

Thank you for reading this message.

From:	Jose Rivas
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Urgent Plea: Prioritize Children and Families - No Funding Cuts to Vital Community Organizations
Date:	Wednesday, June 25, 2025 3:07:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Parents for Public Schools of San Francisco (PPS-SF) condemns all forms of oppression. I believe that decisions affecting children must be made with careful consideration, respect for their voices, and a commitment to equity. As adults, **WE** have a responsibility to provide timely and direct answers to our students while ensuring their diverse needs are at the forefront of our discussions.

With that in mind, I am writing to express my deep concern regarding potential funding cuts to critical community organizations ***(Parents for Public Schools, Latino Task Force, and Free City College)*** and implore the Board to prioritize the well-being of San Francisco's children and families in the upcoming budget decisions.

San Francisco is a city built by working-class individuals and immigrants. Our vibrant and diverse community thrives when its most vulnerable members are supported. **Disinvesting in community programs contradicts the city's long-held values of equity, safety, democracy, and liberty.**

For 25 years, Parents for Public Schools of San Francisco has served as a vital bridge within our community, **connecting over 60,000 residents across city government, school districts, for-profit, and non-profit sectors**. We provide peer-to-peer mentoring, share essential knowledge, demystify complex educational policies, and empower families to navigate the

school system effectively.

Maintaining funding for organizations like PPS-SF ensures the continuation of direct services that are crucial for the well-being of our community:

- Workshops that empower parents and caregivers.
- One-on-one support to navigate challenges.
- Group classes that foster community.
- Informative learning sessions for community leaders.
- Collaborations with community leaders to amplify resources and promote engagement.

We know that this Board also shares a deep commitment to democracy and recognizes the invaluable role non-profit organizations like Parents for Public Schools play in fostering a thriving city. Supporting parents and caregivers is not just about their individual well-being; it is an investment in the future of our children. When parents/caregivers are not well-supported, children cannot thrive and reach their full potential. How can we expect our children to build the brightest future without supporting those that nurture them?

I urge the Board to uphold the highest standards of community engagement and to avoid policies that disproportionately harm already vulnerable populations by disinvesting in the critical programs they depend on.

I appreciate the new city administration's commitment to maintaining San Francisco's Sanctuary City status. As we transition to the new administration, we must not waver to shut down militant force to deport immigrants. It is of utmost urgency, and PPS is a safe place for our community. It is important that I emphasize this matter must be taken with upmost urgency. How will the Board protect our children when services are dwindled?

While I recognize the difficult and complex choices involved in balancing the city's budget across many departments, it is unclear how the proposed cuts ensure the infrastructure of supports for the most vulnerable and impacted families.

Now is the time for all of us to work together, in partnership with the community, to demonstrate our unwavering commitment to serving San Francisco's diverse communities.

Now is the time for a laser focus in bringing diverse communities closer to bridging the gaps

and promoting educational outcomes.

The people are most impacted are our children. When their parents/caregivers are not well supported how can their children thrive for the brightest future. The Board needs to practice the standard around community engagement and not oppress its people by disinvesting in the funding of critical programs.

We stand ready to partner with the Board in achieving these goals and ensuring a brighter future for all of San Francisco's children.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jose Rivas,
Board Member, PPS-SF

From: klingonempire@sbcglobal.net
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Restore Soda Tax Funding to City Budget
Date: Wednesday, June 25, 2025 3:26:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

I am writing to ask you not to cut funding for Soda Tax-funded programs, including GLIDE's Social Justice Academy. This program is an essential Tenderloin space for ensuring that marginalized communities have a voice in resolving the health issues that impact them.

GLIDE is planning to use soda tax funding to empower Black communities to engage in research and advocacy for improved nutrition, which is a key issue in the Tenderloin, a neighborhood with significant hunger and food insecurity. Supporting community leaders in developing solutions to health inequities in their own languages and their own communities, and then advocating for and sharing their findings with their communities is a crucial part of addressing food insecurity needs.

Revenue from the soda tax is supposed to support community-driven programs like GLIDE's Social Justice Academy and other innovative, community-led work to decrease the consumption of sugary beverages and support healthy eating and active living. Promotion of healthy eating leads to better quality of life outcomes by reducing chronic health disparities among communities of color. And the Social Justice Academy is a supportive environment for community members to process and heal from the impacts of systemic racism and health inequities.

Please continue the essential funding for soda tax grants so GLIDE can continue our Social Justice Academy in fiscal year 2025/2026. Thank you.

klingonempire@sbcglobal.net
1458 Rowan Ave
Saint Louis, Missouri 63112

From:	Jose Rivas
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Urgent Plea: Prioritize Children and Families - No Funding Cuts to Vital Community Organizations
Date:	Wednesday, June 25, 2025 3:07:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Parents for Public Schools of San Francisco (PPS-SF) condemns all forms of oppression. I believe that decisions affecting children must be made with careful consideration, respect for their voices, and a commitment to equity. As adults, **WE** have a responsibility to provide timely and direct answers to our students while ensuring their diverse needs are at the forefront of our discussions.

With that in mind, I am writing to express my deep concern regarding potential funding cuts to critical community organizations ***(Parents for Public Schools, Latino Task Force, and Free City College)*** and implore the Board to prioritize the well-being of San Francisco's children and families in the upcoming budget decisions.

San Francisco is a city built by working-class individuals and immigrants. Our vibrant and diverse community thrives when its most vulnerable members are supported. **Disinvesting in community programs contradicts the city's long-held values of equity, safety, democracy, and liberty.**

For 25 years, Parents for Public Schools of San Francisco has served as a vital bridge within our community, **connecting over 60,000 residents across city government, school districts, for-profit, and non-profit sectors**. We provide peer-to-peer mentoring, share essential knowledge, demystify complex educational policies, and empower families to navigate the

school system effectively.

Maintaining funding for organizations like PPS-SF ensures the continuation of direct services that are crucial for the well-being of our community:

- Workshops that empower parents and caregivers.
- One-on-one support to navigate challenges.
- Group classes that foster community.
- Informative learning sessions for community leaders.
- Collaborations with community leaders to amplify resources and promote engagement.

We know that this Board also shares a deep commitment to democracy and recognizes the invaluable role non-profit organizations like Parents for Public Schools play in fostering a thriving city. Supporting parents and caregivers is not just about their individual well-being; it is an investment in the future of our children. When parents/caregivers are not well-supported, children cannot thrive and reach their full potential. How can we expect our children to build the brightest future without supporting those that nurture them?

I urge the Board to uphold the highest standards of community engagement and to avoid policies that disproportionately harm already vulnerable populations by disinvesting in the critical programs they depend on.

I appreciate the new city administration's commitment to maintaining San Francisco's Sanctuary City status. As we transition to the new administration, we must not waver to shut down militant force to deport immigrants. It is of utmost urgency, and PPS is a safe place for our community. It is important that I emphasize this matter must be taken with upmost urgency. How will the Board protect our children when services are dwindled?

While I recognize the difficult and complex choices involved in balancing the city's budget across many departments, it is unclear how the proposed cuts ensure the infrastructure of supports for the most vulnerable and impacted families.

Now is the time for all of us to work together, in partnership with the community, to demonstrate our unwavering commitment to serving San Francisco's diverse communities.

Now is the time for a laser focus in bringing diverse communities closer to bridging the gaps

and promoting educational outcomes.

The people are most impacted are our children. When their parents/caregivers are not well supported how can their children thrive for the brightest future. The Board needs to practice the standard around community engagement and not oppress its people by disinvesting in the funding of critical programs.

We stand ready to partner with the Board in achieving these goals and ensuring a brighter future for all of San Francisco's children.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jose Rivas,
Board Member, PPS-SF

From:	Jose Rivas
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Urgent Plea: Prioritize Children and Families - No Funding Cuts to Vital Community Organizations
Date:	Wednesday, June 25, 2025 3:07:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Parents for Public Schools of San Francisco (PPS-SF) condemns all forms of oppression. I believe that decisions affecting children must be made with careful consideration, respect for their voices, and a commitment to equity. As adults, **WE** have a responsibility to provide timely and direct answers to our students while ensuring their diverse needs are at the forefront of our discussions.

With that in mind, I am writing to express my deep concern regarding potential funding cuts to critical community organizations ***(Parents for Public Schools, Latino Task Force, and Free City College)*** and implore the Board to prioritize the well-being of San Francisco's children and families in the upcoming budget decisions.

San Francisco is a city built by working-class individuals and immigrants. Our vibrant and diverse community thrives when its most vulnerable members are supported. **Disinvesting in community programs contradicts the city's long-held values of equity, safety, democracy, and liberty.**

For 25 years, Parents for Public Schools of San Francisco has served as a vital bridge within our community, **connecting over 60,000 residents across city government, school districts, for-profit, and non-profit sectors**. We provide peer-to-peer mentoring, share essential knowledge, demystify complex educational policies, and empower families to navigate the

school system effectively.

Maintaining funding for organizations like PPS-SF ensures the continuation of direct services that are crucial for the well-being of our community:

- Workshops that empower parents and caregivers.
- One-on-one support to navigate challenges.
- Group classes that foster community.
- Informative learning sessions for community leaders.
- Collaborations with community leaders to amplify resources and promote engagement.

We know that this Board also shares a deep commitment to democracy and recognizes the invaluable role non-profit organizations like Parents for Public Schools play in fostering a thriving city. Supporting parents and caregivers is not just about their individual well-being; it is an investment in the future of our children. When parents/caregivers are not well-supported, children cannot thrive and reach their full potential. How can we expect our children to build the brightest future without supporting those that nurture them?

I urge the Board to uphold the highest standards of community engagement and to avoid policies that disproportionately harm already vulnerable populations by disinvesting in the critical programs they depend on.

I appreciate the new city administration's commitment to maintaining San Francisco's Sanctuary City status. As we transition to the new administration, we must not waver to shut down militant force to deport immigrants. It is of utmost urgency, and PPS is a safe place for our community. It is important that I emphasize this matter must be taken with upmost urgency. How will the Board protect our children when services are dwindled?

While I recognize the difficult and complex choices involved in balancing the city's budget across many departments, it is unclear how the proposed cuts ensure the infrastructure of supports for the most vulnerable and impacted families.

Now is the time for all of us to work together, in partnership with the community, to demonstrate our unwavering commitment to serving San Francisco's diverse communities.

Now is the time for a laser focus in bringing diverse communities closer to bridging the gaps

and promoting educational outcomes.

The people are most impacted are our children. When their parents/caregivers are not well supported how can their children thrive for the brightest future. The Board needs to practice the standard around community engagement and not oppress its people by disinvesting in the funding of critical programs.

We stand ready to partner with the Board in achieving these goals and ensuring a brighter future for all of San Francisco's children.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jose Rivas,
Board Member, PPS-SF

From: Caroline Matthews
To: ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; MahmoodStaff
Subject: Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts
Date: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 10:12:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Caroline Matthews
Email	carolinem_matthews@yahoo.com

Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed $5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments from the consequences of their own fiscal irresponsibility

Please reject the fee.

Thank You

Acquisition Method	Mobilization Method

From:	rharrisjr1@gmail.com
To:	Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject:	For Public Corresp. File: Please include SF Public Golf Alliance letter submitted Jn. 24, 1:50 p.m. re Appropns & Salary Ordinances AAO & ASO Ordinances
Date:	Tuesday, June 24, 2025 8:11:09 PM
Attachments:	SFPGA.Ltr.Comment.Mayor"s.AAO.SO.Jn.23.25.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Erica Major – Please include the above-attached June 24 letter from SF Public Golf Alliance in the Budget & Appropriations Committee's Public Correspondence File for the Budget & Appropriations Ordinance / Departments, File 250589. I submitted the letter June 24, 1:50 p.m. to the Committee Clerk, with copies to all Committee Members and Supervisors, the Mayor, etc, with copy to you, per instructions on the Committee's website. See copy of the submitting e-mail, below. And please acknowledge receipt.
Thanks, and Best Regards

Richard Harris
San Francisco Public Golf Alliance
826 Stanyan Street
San Francisco, CA 94117-2726
Phone: (415) 290-5718

From: rharrisjr1@gmail.com <rharrisjr1@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 1:50 PM
To: 'Jalipa, Brent (BOS' <brent.jalipa@sfgov.org>; Daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; chanstaff@sfgov.org; sauterstaff@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; engardiostaff@sfgov.org; mahmoodstaff@sfgov.org; dorseystaff@sfgov.org; melgarstaff@sfgov.org; mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org; fielderstaff@sfgov.org; waltonstaff@sfgov.org; chenstaff@sfgov.org; Alyssa.Sewlal@sfgov.org; phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org; sarah.madland@sfgov.org; dana.ketcham@sfgov.org; erica.major@sfgov.org
Cc: phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org; sarah.madland@sfgov.org; dana.ketcham@sfgov.org; 'Summers, Ashley (REC)' <ashley.summers@sfgov.org>; 'Tom Smith' <TomSmith@pgatourtpc.com>; 'Dan Burke' <dan.burke@tftsf.org>; 'Mark Duane' <markduane65@yahoo.com>; 'Lance Wong' <dapro@sbcglobal.net>; twohsieh@gmail.com
Subject: Bd.of Supes Budget and Appropns Cttee / Hearing Jn. 23 re Appropns & Salary Ordinances / Rec & Park / SFPGA Comment re: AAO & ASO Ordinances

Bd.of Supes Budget and Appropns Cttee / Hearing Jn. 23 re Appropns & Salary Ordinances / Rec & Park / SFPGA Comment re: AAO & ASO Ordinances

Mayor Lurie, Supervisors Budget Committee, Controller's Office, and Supervisors –
Enclosed above please find Public Comment Letter from the non-profit San Francisco Public Golf Alliance, for filing w/ Mayor's Budget Office and the Supervisors' Budget Committee in connection

with its June 23 public hearing on the Recreation and Parks Department Budget for FY 2026-27. Please circulate to the Committee and Board Members and appropriate City Offices, including the Controller's Office regarding the Budget. And please confirm receipt.
Thank you for your service and courtesy.

Richard Harris, President
San Francisco Public Golf Alliance
826 Stanyan St.
San Francisco, CA. 94117
415-290-5718

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC GOLF ALLIANCE

826 Stanyan St., San Francisco, CA. 94117 * 415-290-5718 • info@sfpublicgolf.org

June 23, 2025

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Budget & Appropriations Committee
Supervisor Connie Chan, Chair
City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA. 94102

Supervisors' Budget & Appropriations Subcommittee, June 23, 2025, Public Comment

MAYOR'S APPROPRIATIONS AND SALARY ORDINANCES ARE INCONSISTENT ABOUT REC & PARK ORIGINAL PROPOSAL TO ELIMINATE "GOLF SUBSIDY" FROM 2026-27 BUDGET. THE ISSUE IS NOT ANALYZED IN THE CONTROLLER'S REVENUE LETTER. BUT MAY HAVE BEEN MOOTED.

Dear Chair Chan and Honorable Supervisors,

San Francisco Public Golf Alliance respectfully submits the following comments on the Mayor's Interim Budget Appropriations Ordinance (File No. 250589) and the Mayor's Interim Budget Salary Ordinance (File No. 250590). Our comment focuses narrowly on the Recreation and Parks Department's Budget Submission for Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27.

1. The Recreation and Parks Department's Budget Submission to the Mayor's Office for FY 2025-26 and 2026-27 assumes a golf revenue increase of $.5 Million -- from $14.9 Million in 2025-26 to $15.4 Million in FY 2026-27. That same Rec-Park Budget Submission projects a 33% reduction in golf expenses from $20.68 Million in FY 2025-26 to $13.68 Million in FY 2026-27.[1] **SEE CHART AT EXHIBIT 1, BELOW.**

2. This raises the revenue question: what is the basis of Rec-Park's assumed golf revenue increase in the same year that it assumes substantially decreased golf expenses? The **Controller's Revenue Letter** does not analyze Rec-Park's 2026-27 golf revenue assumption, and nowhere mentions the words "golf" or "Golf Fund". [2]

[1] **Rec and Park Department Budget Submission,** FY 2025-26, 26-27, to Mayor's Office, Feb. 21, 2025, Form 2C, "Fee Cost Recovery," at page 92 of 148 (copy attached as **EXHIBIT 1**):
https://media.api.sf.gov/documents/REC_Budget_Submission_FY26_and_FY27.pdf

[2] **Controller's FY 2025-26 and 2026-27 Revenue Letter, June 10, 2025**
https://media.api.sf.gov/documents/FY25-26_and_FY26-27_Revenue_Letter_FINAL.pdf

3. **The Mayor's Budget Salary Ordinance and the Mayor's Budget Appropriations Ordinance appear to be inconsistent in their golf analysis.**

4. On the one hand, the Appropriations Ordinance,[3] at pages 201-202, shows at page 201 a $7.1 Million cut in the Rec-Park Golf Fund (from $22.1 Million in 2025-26 to $14.9 Million in 2026-27), and at page 202 a 40% cut in Golf Fund combined Salaries and Fringe Benefits (presumably reflecting expected labor cuts at the courses) from a combined $6.64 Million in 2025-26 to $4.45 Million in 2026-27. **SEE CHARTS AT EXHIBIT 2.1 AND 2.2, BELOW.**
5. But the Salary Ordinance[4] shows no change in Rec-Park Golf Fund staffing from FY 2025-26 to 2026-27; the job descriptions and numbers of employees at each of the golf jobs appears on the Salary Ordinance's Golf Fund chart (Salary Ordinance, at p. 166) to be the same from year-to-year: Gardeners (36), Park Section Supervisors (3), Integrated Pest Management Specialists (1), Arborist Technicians (2.01), Operating Engineers, Universal (1), Plumbers (3), Truck Drivers (5), and General Laborers (1). **SEE CHART, EXHIBIT 3, BELOW.**

6. The confusion may have been mooted by Rec & Park's June 20 Presentation to the Budget & Appropriations Committee, which at pages 9-10 withdraws the Department's "Original Proposal" to "Eliminate $7.5 M General Fund subsidy in FY 2026-27" (by cutting golf staff).[5] **SEE EXHIBIT 4, BELOW.** But because some uncertainty remains at this point, we respectfully submit this note for the record and for the Mayor's and Supervisors' attention.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard Harris

President, San Francisco Public Golf Alliance

cc: Mayor David Lurie, Mayor's Budget Office, Board of Supervisors, Controller's Office, General Manager Phil Ginsburg, Dana Ketcham, Sarah Madland, Rec & Park Commission

---

[3] **Mayor's Proposed Interim Budget and Appropriations Ordinance, as of May 30, 2025, p. 201-202**
https://media.api.sf.gov/documents/FY2026__FY2027_-_MAYORS_PROPOSED_INTERIM_AAO_-_6.1.25.pdf

[4] **Mayor's Proposed Interim Budget and Salary Ordinance. as of May 30, 2025, at p. 166**
https://media.api.sf.gov/documents/FY2026__FY2027_-_MAYORS_PROPOSED_INTERIM_ASO.pdf

[5] **SF Rec & Park Presentation to Budget and Appropriations Committee, Jn. 20, 2025, at p. 9**
https://sfgov.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=14312821&GUID=DDBE23FE-754A-474F-912F-A790055A1336

EXHIBIT 1 Excerpt: Rec and Parks Dept. Budget Submission, FY 2025-26, 2026-27, to Mayor's Office, Feb . 21, 2025, Form 2C, "Fee Cost Recovery," at page 92 of 148:
https://media.api.sf.gov/documents/REC_Budget_Submission_FY26_and_FY27.pdf

Budget Form 2C: Fee Cost Recovery

DEPARTMENT NAME: Recreation and Park

Fund Title	Project Title	AAO Title	Account Type	Activity Title	FY25-26 (Expenditures) / Revenues	FY26-27 (Expenditures) / Revenues
GF Annual Authority Ctrl	RP Zoo Operations Project	Gross Expenditures			(4,000,000)	(4,000,000)
	RP Zoo Operations Project Total				(4,000,000)	(4,000,000)
	RP Music Concourse Garage Acq	Gross Expenditures			(1,400,000)	(1,400,000)
	RP Music Concourse Garage Acq Total				(1,400,000)	(1,400,000)
GF Annual Authority Ctrl Total					(11,138,760)	(10,718,760)
SR Golf Fund Annual	RP Parks & Open Spaces	Gross Expenditures			(20,681,100)	(13,682,407)
		Regular Revenues	Charges for Services	Fleming Golf Fees	1,500,000	1,545,000
				Golden Gate Golf Fees	465,750	479,723
				Golf Tournament	-	-
				Harding Golf Fees	5,650,065	5,819,567
				Lincoln Golf Fees	1,150,000	1,184,500
				Sharp Golf Fees	1,150,000	1,184,500
			Rents & Concessions	Golden Gate Concession	-	-
				Golf Card	630,000	648,900
				Harding Concessions	4,283,490	4,411,995
				Lincoln Concessions	82,800	85,284
				Sharp Concessions	70,000	72,100
	RP Parks & Open Spaces Total				(5,698,995)	1,749,162
SR Golf Fund Annual Total					(5,698,995)	1,749,162
SR Golf Fund -Continuing	RP - Golf Program	Gross Expenditures			(795,675)	(819,545)
		Regular Revenues	Charges for Services	Golf special maintenance fund	795,675	819,545
	RP - Golf Program Total				-	-
	RP Golf Capital	Capital Projects	Capital and Equipment	Golf Program	-	-
	RP Golf Capital Total				-	-
SR Golf Fund -Continuing Total					-	-
SR R&P-Marina -Annual	RP Marina Greens Operation	Gross Expenditures			(350,226)	(364,667)
		Regular Revenues	Rents & Concessions	Marina Greens Operation	139,000	145,000
	RP Marina Greens Operation Total				(211,226)	(219,667)
	RP Parks & Open Spaces	Gross Expenditures			(4,363,727)	(4,445,079)
		Regular Revenues	Charges for Services	Marina - Park Events W	200,000	200,000
				Marina Fees East	625,000	-
				Marina Fees West	3,460,000	3,830,000
			Rents & Concessions	Gas House Cove	25,000	25,875
				Golden Gate Yacht Club	82,400	85,284
				St. Francis Club House	350,000	362,250
	RP Parks & Open Spaces Total				378,673	58,330
SR R&P-Marina -Annual Total					167,447	(161,337)
SR R&P-Marina Yacht Harbor	RP Marina DBW Loan Reserve	Facilities Maintenance	Facility Maintenance	Marina Dbw Loan Reserve	-	-
	RP Marina DBW Loan Reserve Total				-	-
	RP Marina Yacht Harbor Renov	Gross Expenditures			(5,554)	(5,554)
	RP Marina Yacht Harbor Renov Total				(5,554)	(5,554)
	RP Marina YH Facilities Maint	Facilities Maintenance	Facility Maintenance	Myh-Facilities Maintenance-Bud	-	-
	RP Marina YH Facilities Maint Total				-	-
	RP Yacht Harbor-dredging	Facilities Maintenance	Facility Maintenance	Marina Dredging	-	-
		Regular Revenues	Charges for Services	Marina Dredging	585,000	600,000
	RP Yacht Harbor-dredging Total				585,000	600,000
SR R&P-Marina Yacht Harbor Total					579,446	594,446
Grand Total (Deficit) / Surplus					(42,667,262)	(34,292,901)

EXHIBIT 2.1 Excerpt: Mayor's Proposed Interim Budget and Approp'ns Ord. as of May 30, 2025, p. 201
https://media.api.sf.gov/documents/FY2026__FY2027_-_MAYORS_PROPOSED_INTERIM_AAO_-_6.1.25.pdf

Department: REC Recreation And Park Commission

Fund Summary

	2024-25 Original Budget	2025-26 Proposed Budget	2025-26 Change From 2024-25	2026-27 Proposed Budget	2026-27 Change From 2025-26
General Fund Support	87,235,609	91,049,744	3,814,135	96,126,704	5,076,960
Total Sources by Chart of Accounts	**254,873,111**	**263,862,131**	**8,989,020**	**341,898,256**	**78,036,125**
City Facilities Improvement Fd		375,000	375,000		(375,000)
Culture and Recreation Fund	15,921,185	14,329,278	(1,591,907)	82,276,585	67,947,307
Community / Neighborhood Dev	1,005,250		(1,005,250)		
General Fund	132,297,518	148,220,069	15,922,551	165,579,965	17,359,896
Gift and Other Exp Trust Fund	781,310	794,033	12,723	808,652	14,619
Golf Fund	21,748,542	22,094,371	345,829	14,971,114	(7,123,257)
Open Space and Park Fund	82,422,256	78,049,380	(4,372,876)	78,261,940	212,560
Recreation and Park Projects	697,050		(697,050)		
Total Uses by Funds	**254,873,111**	**263,862,131**	**8,989,020**	**341,898,256**	**78,036,125**

Division Summary

	2024-25 Original Budget	2025-26 Proposed Budget	2025-26 Change From 2024-25	2026-27 Proposed Budget	2026-27 Change From 2025-26
REC Operations	231,727,882	221,882,561	(9,845,321)	227,764,769	5,882,208
REC Admin Services	2,826,929	21,044,700	18,217,771	23,253,686	2,208,986
REC Zoo	4,000,000	4,000,000		4,000,000	
REC Capital Division	16,318,320	16,934,870	616,550	86,879,801	69,944,931
Total Uses by Division	**254,873,111**	**263,862,131**	**8,989,020**	**341,898,256**	**78,036,125**

Reserved Appropriations

Controller Reserves

		2025-26 Proposed Budget
10042682	RP Embarcadero Plaza Project	375,000
Controller Reserves: Total		**375,000**

		2024-25 Original Budget	2025-26 Proposed Budget	2025-26 Change From 2024-25	2026-27 Proposed Budget	2026-27 Change From 2025-26
Total Uses by Division		**254,873,111**	**263,862,131**	**8,989,020**	**341,898,256**	**78,036,125**

Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation

Fund Code	Fund Title	Code	Title	2024-25 Original Budget	2025-26 Proposed Budget	2025-26 Change From 2024-25	2026-27 Proposed Budget	2026-27 Change From 2025-26
Operating								
10000	GF Annual Account Ctrl		Salaries	46,237,702	45,117,276	(1,120,426)	52,415,569	7,298,293
			Mandatory Fringe Benefits	19,066,064	18,889,883	(176,181)	22,056,077	3,166,194

EXHIBIT 2.2 Excerpt: Mayor's Proposed Interim Budget and Approp'ns Ord. as of May 30, 2025, p. 202
https://media.api.sf.gov/documents/FY2026__FY2027_-_MAYORS_PROPOSED_INTERIM_AAO_-_6.1.25.pdf

Department: REC Recreation And Park Commission

Fund Code	Fund Title	Code	Title	2024-25 Original Budget	2025-26 Proposed Budget	2025-26 Change From 2024-25	2026-27 Proposed Budget	2026-27 Change From 2025-26
Operating								
			Non-Personnel Services		3,327,041	3,327,041	3,208,297	(118,744)
			City Grant Program	2,293,884	2,500,921	207,037	2,535,934	35,013
			Materials & Supplies	17,500	17,500		17,500	
			Services Of Other Depts	382,178	19,701,216	19,319,038	22,177,623	2,476,407
			Overhead and Allocations	30,248,304	21,366,742	(8,881,562)	23,288,555	1,921,813
			Transfers Out	6,790,704	4,666,591	(2,094,113)		(4,666,591)
			Transfer Adjustment - Uses	(6,790,704)	(4,666,591)	2,094,113		4,666,591
10000 Total				30,941,866	10,920,579	12,874,847	125,699,555	14,778,976
11902	SR R&P-Marina -Annual		Salaries	1,220,914	1,258,968	38,054	1,309,384	50,416
			Mandatory Fringe Benefits	627,217	664,101	36,884	703,149	39,048
			Non-Personnel Services	224,007	410,433	186,336	385,743	(24,690)
			Debt Service	1,458,020	1,458,020		1,458,020	
			Materials & Supplies	112,000	112,000		112,000	
			Services Of Other Depts	303,685	155,669	(148,016)	175,781	20,112
			Overhead and Allocations	654,599	648,330	(6,269)	656,677	8,347
			Intrafund Transfers Out	174,868	2,640,597	2,465,729	322,328	(2,318,269)
			Transfer Adjustment - Uses	(174,868)	(2,640,597)	(2,465,729)	(322,328)	2,318,269
11902 Total				4,600,432	4,707,521	106,989	4,800,754	93,233
12360	SR Golf Fund Annual		Salaries	4,882,734	4,706,098	(174,636)	2,781,849	(1,924,249)
			Mandatory Fringe Benefits	1,976,738	1,933,499	(43,239)	1,167,041	(766,458)
			Non-Personnel Services	6,934,955	6,938,804	3,849	2,767,156	(4,171,648)
			Materials & Supplies	656,101	656,101		656,101	
			Services Of Other Depts	3,334,904	3,918,466	583,562	4,310,643	392,177
			Overhead and Allocations	2,664,119	2,501,141	(62,977)	1,899,615	(601,526)
			Transfers Out	1,180,000	1,180,000		2,380,000	1,200,000
			Intrafund Transfers Out	536,492	552,587	16,095	569,164	16,577
			Transfer Adjustment - Uses	(1,716,492)	(1,732,587)	(16,095)	(2,949,164)	(1,216,577)
12360 Total				20,439,550	20,746,159	306,559	13,582,405	(7,163,754)
13370	SR Open Space&Park-Annual		Salaries	31,116,175	31,294,591	178,416	30,625,506	(669,085)
			Mandatory Fringe Benefits	13,736,155	14,165,690	429,535	14,235,576	69,886

EXHIBIT 3 Excerpt: Mayor's Proposed Interim Budget and Salary Ord. as of May 30, 2025, p. 166
https://media.api.sf.gov/documents/FY2026__FY2027_-_MAYORS_PROPOSED_INTERIM_ASO.pdf

Annual Salary Ordinance (2 year) — Budget Year 2025-2026 and 2026-2027

Fund	HCM Job Class	Job Class Title	Low	Type	High	2025-2026 FTE	2026-2027 FTE
12360 SR Golf Fund Annual	0922_C	Manager I	5,176	B	6,609	0.00	0.00
	3417_C	Gardener	3,034	B	3,692	36.00	36.00
	3422_C	Park Section Supervisor	3,765	B	4,574	3.00	3.00
	3424_C	Integrated Pest Management Specialist	3,692	B	4,486	1.00	1.00
	3434_C	Arborist Technician	3,349	B	4,586	2.01	2.01
	3436_C	Arborist Technician Supervisor I	4,213	B	5,119	0.00	0.00
	7328_C	Operating Engineer, Universal	4,336	B	5,270	1.00	1.00
	7347_C	Plumber	4,720	B	5,739	3.00	3.00
	7355_C	Truck Driver	3,595	B	4,576	5.00	5.00
	7514_C	General Laborer	2,933	B	3,566	1.00	1.00

166

EXHIBIT 4. SF Rec & Park Presentation to Budget and Appropriations Committee, Jn. 20, 2025, at 9 (of 12)
https://sfgov.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=14312821&GUID=DDBE23FE-754A-474F-912F-A790055A1336

Golf

Original proposal
Eliminate $7.5M General Fund subsidy in FY 2026-27 through the potential leasing of golf courses to private operators.

With approval of trailing budget legislation, and revised golf fees, (pending introduction), RPD will no longer pursue lower cost private sector maintenance agreements.

This solution (a new Utility Cost Recovery Surcharge and revised Golf Fees) will preserve excellent public sector work, generate an additional $4M over the next two years, and result in up to a 60% reduction of the General Fund subsidy.



From:	rharrisjr1@gmail.com
To:	Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject:	For Public Corresp. File: Please include SF Public Golf Alliance letter submitted Jn. 23 2:14 p.m.
Date:	Tuesday, June 24, 2025 7:57:16 PM
Attachments:	SFPGA.Ltr.Budget.Cttee.Rec.Park.Golf.Budget.Jn.23.25.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Erica Major – Please include the above-attached June 23 letter from SF Public Golf Alliance in the Budget & Appropriations Committee's Public Correspondence File for the Budget & Appropriations Ordinance / Departments, File 250589. I submitted the letter June 23, 2:14 p.m. to the Committee Clerk, with copies to all Committee Members and Supervisors, the Mayor, etc, with copy to you, per instructions on the Committee's website. See copy of the submitting e-mail, below. And please acknowledge receipt.
Thanks, and Best Regards

Richard Harris
San Francisco Public Golf Alliance
826 Stanyan Street
San Francisco, CA 94117-2726
Phone: (415) 290-5718

From: rharrisjr1@gmail.com <rharrisjr1@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2025 2:14 PM
To: 'Jalipa, Brent (BOS' <brent.jalipa@sfgov.org>; Daniel.lurie@sfgov.org; chanstaff@sfgov.org; sauterstaff@sfgov.org; sherrillstaff@sfgov.org; engardiostaff@sfgov.org; mahmoodstaff@sfgov.org; dorseystaff@sfgov.org; melgarstaff@sfgov.org; mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org; fielderstaff@sfgov.org; waltonstaff@sfgov.org; chenstaff@sfgov.org; Alyssa.Sewlal@sfgov.org; phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org; sarah.madland@sfgov.org; dana.ketcham@sfgov.org; erica.major@sfgov.org
Cc: phil.ginsburg@sfgov.org; sarah.madland@sfgov.org; dana.ketcham@sfgov.org; 'Summers, Ashley (REC)' <ashley.summers@sfgov.org>; Tom Smith <TomSmith@pgatourtpc.com>; Dan Burke <dan.burke@tftsf.org>; Mark Duane <markduane65@yahoo.com>; 'Lance Wong' <dapro@sbcglobal.net>; twohsieh@gmail.com
Subject: Board of Supervisors Budget and Appropriations Committee / Budget Hearing June 12, 10 a.m. / Rec & Park Dept / SF Public Golf Alliance Letter, Petition

---

Board of Supervisors Budget and Appropriations Committee / Budget Public Comment / June 23 / Rec & Park Dept / Golf / SF Public Golf Alliance Comment Letter

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors Budget Committee, Controller's Office, and Supervisors –
Enclosed above please find June 23 Public Comment Letter from the non-profit San Francisco Public Golf Alliance, for filing w/ Mayor's Budget Office and the Supervisors' Budget Committee in connection with its June 23 public hearing on the Recreation and Parks Department Budget for FY

2026-27.
Please circulate to the Committee and Board Members and appropriate City Offices, including the Controller's Office regarding the Budget. And please confirm receipt.
Thank you for your service and courtesy.

Richard Harris, President
San Francisco Public Golf Alliance
826 Stanyan St.
San Francisco, CA. 94117
415-290-5718

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC GOLF ALLIANCE

826 Stanyan St., San Francisco, CA. 94117 * 415-290-5718 • info@sfpublicgolf.org

June 23, 2025

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Budget & Appropriations Committee
Supervisor Connie Chan, Chair
City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA. 94102

Supervisors' Budget & Appropriations Subcommittee / June 23, 2025 Public Comment / Rec-Park

Dear Chair Chan and Honorable Supervisors,

The San Francisco Public Golf Alliance (SFPGA) is a San Francisco-based 501(c)(3) public benefit organization representing a diverse and growing community of 7,000-plus public golfers, dedicated to the promotion and preservation of affordable, environmentally friendly public golf for all.

Restoring Rec & Park General Fund Support for Public Golf in FY 2026–27

We applaud the Recreation and Park Department's June 20 revision to its budget proposal, which (1) formally withdrew the Department's original plan to eliminate what it called a $7.5 million General Fund "subsidy" to its Golf Fund, and (2) reaffirmed the Department's commitment to "maintaining affordable access to municipal golf for all."[1] This is a crucial step, and we support it.

Transparency, Collaboration, and Long-Term Strategy

The current budget process highlights a broader issue: the absence of a long-term, strategic plan for San Francisco's municipal golf courses. A clear and transparent roadmap is essential to address the following persistent challenges:

- Need a sustainable funding model
- Ensuring affordability and equitable access for all
- Chronic deferred maintenance
- Lack of investment in renovating golf infrastructure and clubhouses.

[1] **SF Rec & Park Supplemental Report to Budget and Appropriations Committee, Jn. 20, 2025, at pp. 9-10**
https://sfgov.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=14312821&GUID=DDBE23FE-754A-474F-912F-A790055A1336

For example, a key concern is the longstanding lease structure at several of the municipal golf courses, which have remained on month-to-month holdover status — at Lincoln and Sharp for more than 20 years. These indefinite holdover arrangements reduce stability and discourage capital investment, accelerating deterioration of clubhouses, infrastructure, and course conditions.

We respectfully urge the Mayor's Office, Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Park Department to collaborate with key stakeholders — including the City's workforce and the public golf community — on a comprehensive strategy, based on transparent information, that protects and improves San Francisco's world-class municipal golf assets.

Philanthropic support was key for the renovations of the Harding Park and Fleming courses (2002–04) and the Golden Gate Park 9-hole course (2023–24). A meaningful public-private partnership can and should be part of the solution now.

Public golf is important in San Francisco

Public golf is deeply rooted in San Francisco's civic and recreational legacy. From John McLaren's early 20th-century vision for inclusive, affordable golf—when he personally oversaw the construction of Lincoln, Harding, and Sharp Park—to today's programs for youth, seniors, women, and veterans, the City has been a pioneer and leader in public golf access and equity through initiatives like:

- Discount cards for residents
- Free or reduced-rate greens fees for children, school teams, and seniors
- The First Tee youth program
- Free lessons for women and wounded veterans
- Racial integration of golf in the mid-1950s.

In the post-COVID era, golf has seen a nationwide surge, with particularly strong growth in San Francisco. Golf fee and resident card revenues at the City's municipal courses have averaged **$11.1 million annually** over the past four fiscal years (FY 2020–21 through 2023–24)—a **54% increase** over the pre-pandemic annual average of $7.2 million (FY 2016–17 through 2019–20).

In FY 2023–24, golf operations generated **$16.1 million** in total revenue, including fees and concession income—making it the single largest source of earned revenue for Rec & Park, far outpacing income from garages, athletic fields, concerts, and aquatic facilities.[2]

Since the 1940s, San Francisco's public and private golf courses have also served as distinguished venues for national and international championships, boosting tourism and elevating the City's profile in the sporting world.

[2] **Recreation & Park Department Year-End Financial Report, Fiscal Year 2023-24,** at pp. 2, 4-5 https://sfrecpark.org/DocumentCenter/View/24764/Item-3a-Financial-Report---Expenditure-and-Revenue-FY24-Year-End-Report-Final-101724?bidId=

We are optimistic about the future of public golf in San Francisco and remain committed to working in collaboration with Civic leaders, labor, Rec & Park, and the broader community to sustain and grow this vital recreational tradition. With thoughtful planning, transparency, and collaboration, public golf can continue to thrive as an inclusive, accessible, and sustainable civic asset.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard Harris

President, San Francisco Public Golf Alliance

cc: Mayor David Lurie, Mayor's Budget Office, Board of Supervisors, Controller's Office, Rec & Park Commission, Gen. Mgr. Phil Ginsburg, Dana Ketcham, Sarah Madland

From: Kamryn Ruiz
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment – Prioritizing TAY in the City Budget
Date: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 4:48:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

My name is Kamryn Ruiz, and I am a Wellness Clinician at Larkin Street Youth Services, a nonprofit that supports transitional-aged youth (TAY) who are at risk of or currently experiencing homelessness here in San Francisco.

In my role, I see every day how deeply our young people are impacted by the lack of stable housing, food security, mental health services, and meaningful career opportunities. These are not abstract needs — they are basic necessities that our youth require in order to survive, stabilize, and thrive.

I am asking the Board to prioritize funding in the city budget for:

- **24/7 clinical support and mental health services**, because mental health crises don't just happen during business hours.
- **Emergency hotel vouchers**, because young people fleeing unsafe conditions need immediate, safe shelter.
- **Food security**, because no young person should ever have to worry about when their next meal will be.
- **Housing ladder subsidies**, because youth deserve stable, long-term housing options beyond temporary supportive housing.
- **Direct cash transfer programs**, because youth know their needs best, and a financial boost can be the difference between stability and crisis.
- **Paid career-focused training programs**, because economic mobility is essential to ending cycles of homelessness.

These are tangible, proven solutions. When young people are supported with stable housing, mental health care, and economic opportunity, they succeed. I've seen it firsthand with the youth I serve — with the right resources, they not only stabilize but begin to dream again, pursue education, careers, and futures they once thought were out of reach.

I urge you to invest in them. Our youth are resilient, capable, and deserving of a city that shows up for them.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Kamryn Ruiz, AMFT
AMFT#149780
Pronouns: She/Her
Clinician
Larkin Street Youth Services
134 Golden Gate Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone 415.930.4183 Ext. 197 | Fax 415.749.3838
www.larkinstreetyouth.org

The mission of Larkin Street Youth Services is to create a continuum of services that inspires youth to move beyond the street. We will nurture potential, promote dignity, and support bold steps by all.

We acknowledge that we live and work on the unceded ancestral homeland of the Ramaytush Ohlone peoples, who are the original inhabitants of the San Francisco Peninsula.

The information in this email and in any attachments is confidential and may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy this message, delete any copies held on your systems and notify the sender immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you should not retain, copy, or use this email for any purpose, nor disclose all or any part of its content to any other person. Thank you.

From: Manali Desai
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Request to Prioritize Funding for Project Homeless Connect
Date: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 3:43:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Budget Committee,

I hope this message finds you well.

I'm writing to respectfully urge you to prioritize funding for *Project Homeless Connect* in this year's budget. This organization continues to make a tangible and deeply meaningful impact in the lives of people experiencing homelessness, as well as in the broader community.

Through their compassionate, comprehensive services—including access to housing support, health care, hygiene, and job resources—Project Homeless Connect is not only meeting urgent needs but also helping individuals regain stability and dignity. Their work reduces strain on emergency services and fosters a healthier, safer, and more connected community for all of us.

In a time when the homelessness crisis demands not just attention but action, continued support for initiatives like this is critical. I strongly believe that sustained investment in Project Homeless Connect will deliver long-term benefits for both individuals and our city as a whole.

Thank you for your consideration and for the important work you do in shaping a more equitable future.

Warm regards,

Manali Desai

From:	rharrisjr1@gmail.com
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); ChanStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; DorseyStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); FielderStaff; Waltonstaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Sewlal, Alyssa (CON); Ginsburg, Phil (REC); Madland, Sarah (REC); Ketcham, Dana (REC); Major, Erica (BOS)
Cc:	Ginsburg, Phil (REC); Madland, Sarah (REC); Ketcham, Dana (REC); Summers, Ashley (REC); "Tom Smith"; "Dan Burke"; "Mark Duane"; "Lance Wong"; twohsieh@gmail.com
Subject:	Bd.of Supes Budget and Appropns Cttee / Hearing Jn. 23 re Appropns & Salary Ordinances / Rec & Park / SFPGA Comment re: AAO & ASO Ordinances
Date:	Tuesday, June 24, 2025 1:52:46 PM
Attachments:	SFPGA.Ltr.Comment.Mayor"s.AAO.SO.Jn.23.25.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Bd.of Supes Budget and Appropns Cttee / Hearing Jn. 23 re Appropns & Salary Ordinances / Rec & Park / SFPGA Comment re: AAO & ASO Ordinances

Mayor Lurie, Supervisors Budget Committee, Controller's Office, and Supervisors –
Enclosed above please find Public Comment Letter from the non-profit San Francisco Public Golf Alliance, for filing w/ Mayor's Budget Office and the Supervisors' Budget Committee in connection with its June 23 public hearing on the Recreation and Parks Department Budget for FY 2026-27. Please circulate to the Committee and Board Members and appropriate City Offices, including the Controller's Office regarding the Budget. And please confirm receipt.
Thank you for your service and courtesy.

Richard Harris, President
San Francisco Public Golf Alliance
826 Stanyan St.
San Francisco, CA. 94117
415-290-5718

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC GOLF ALLIANCE

826 Stanyan St., San Francisco, CA. 94117 * 415-290-5718 • info@sfpublicgolf.org

June 23, 2025

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Budget & Appropriations Committee
Supervisor Connie Chan, Chair
City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA. 94102

Supervisors' Budget & Appropriations Subcommittee, June 23, 2025, Public Comment

MAYOR'S APPROPRIATIONS AND SALARY ORDINANCES ARE INCONSISTENT ABOUT REC & PARK ORIGINAL PROPOSAL TO ELIMINATE "GOLF SUBSIDY" FROM 2026-27 BUDGET. THE ISSUE IS NOT ANALYZED IN THE CONTROLLER'S REVENUE LETTER. BUT MAY HAVE BEEN MOOTED.

Dear Chair Chan and Honorable Supervisors,

San Francisco Public Golf Alliance respectfully submits the following comments on the Mayor's Interim Budget Appropriations Ordinance (File No. 250589) and the Mayor's Interim Budget Salary Ordinance (File No. 250590). Our comment focuses narrowly on the Recreation and Parks Department's Budget Submission for Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27.

1. The Recreation and Parks Department's Budget Submission to the Mayor's Office for FY 2025-26 and 2026-27 assumes a golf revenue increase of $.5 Million -- from $14.9 Million in 2025-26 to $15.4 Million in FY 2026-27. That same Rec-Park Budget Submission projects a 33% reduction in golf expenses from $20.68 Million in FY 2025-26 to $13.68 Million in FY 2026-27.[1] **SEE CHART AT EXHIBIT 1, BELOW.**

2. This raises the revenue question: what is the basis of Rec-Park's assumed golf revenue increase in the same year that it assumes substantially decreased golf expenses? The **Controller's Revenue Letter** does not analyze Rec-Park's 2026-27 golf revenue assumption, and nowhere mentions the words "golf" or "Golf Fund". [2]

[1] **Rec and Park Department Budget Submission,** FY 2025-26, 26-27, to Mayor's Office, Feb. 21, 2025, Form 2C, "Fee Cost Recovery," at page 92 of 148 (copy attached as **EXHIBIT 1**):
https://media.api.sf.gov/documents/REC_Budget_Submission_FY26_and_FY27.pdf

[2] **Controller's FY 2025-26 and 2026-27 Revenue Letter, June 10, 2025**
https://media.api.sf.gov/documents/FY25-26_and_FY26-27_Revenue_Letter_FINAL.pdf

3. **The Mayor's Budget Salary Ordinance and the Mayor's Budget Appropriations Ordinance appear to be inconsistent in their golf analysis.**

4. On the one hand, the Appropriations Ordinance,[3] at pages 201-202, shows at page 201 a $7.1 Million cut in the Rec-Park Golf Fund (from $22.1 Million in 2025-26 to $14.9 Million in 2026-27), and at page 202 a 40% cut in Golf Fund combined Salaries and Fringe Benefits (presumably reflecting expected labor cuts at the courses) from a combined $6.64 Million in 2025-26 to $4.45 Million in 2026-27. **SEE CHARTS AT EXHIBIT 2.1 AND 2.2, BELOW.**
5. But the Salary Ordinance[4] shows no change in Rec-Park Golf Fund staffing from FY 2025-26 to 2026-27; the job descriptions and numbers of employees at each of the golf jobs appears on the Salary Ordinance's Golf Fund chart (Salary Ordinance, at p. 166) to be the same from year-to-year: Gardeners (36), Park Section Supervisors (3), Integrated Pest Management Specialists (1), Arborist Technicians (2.01), Operating Engineers, Universal (1), Plumbers (3), Truck Drivers (5), and General Laborers (1). **SEE CHART, EXHIBIT 3, BELOW.**

6. The confusion may have been mooted by Rec & Park's June 20 Presentation to the Budget & Appropriations Committee, which at pages 9-10 withdraws the Department's "Original Proposal" to "Eliminate $7.5 M General Fund subsidy in FY 2026-27" (by cutting golf staff).[5] **SEE EXHIBIT 4, BELOW.** But because some uncertainty remains at this point, we respectfully submit this note for the record and for the Mayor's and Supervisors' attention.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard Harris

President, San Francisco Public Golf Alliance

cc: Mayor David Lurie, Mayor's Budget Office, Board of Supervisors, Controller's Office, General Manager Phil Ginsburg, Dana Ketcham, Sarah Madland, Rec & Park Commission

---

[3] **Mayor's Proposed Interim Budget and Appropriations Ordinance, as of May 30, 2025, p. 201-202**
https://media.api.sf.gov/documents/FY2026__FY2027_-_MAYORS_PROPOSED_INTERIM_AAO_-_6.1.25.pdf

[4] **Mayor's Proposed Interim Budget and Salary Ordinance. as of May 30, 2025, at p. 166**
https://media.api.sf.gov/documents/FY2026__FY2027_-_MAYORS_PROPOSED_INTERIM_ASO.pdf

[5] **SF Rec & Park Presentation to Budget and Appropriations Committee, Jn. 20, 2025, at p. 9**
https://sfgov.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=14312821&GUID=DDBE23FE-754A-474F-912F-A790055A1336

EXHIBIT 1 Excerpt: Rec and Parks Dept. Budget Submission, FY 2025-26, 2026-27, to Mayor's Office, Feb . 21, 2025, Form 2C, "Fee Cost Recovery," at page 92 of 148:
https://media.api.sf.gov/documents/REC_Budget_Submission_FY26_and_FY27.pdf

Budget Form 2C: Fee Cost Recovery

DEPARTMENT NAME: Recreation and Park

Fund Title	Project Title	AAO Title	Account Type	Activity Title	FY25-26 (Expenditures) / Revenues	FY26-27 (Expenditures) / Revenues
GF Annual Authority Ctrl	RP Zoo Operations Project	Gross Expenditures			(4,000,000)	(4,000,000)
	RP Zoo Operations Project Total				(4,000,000)	(4,000,000)
	RP Music Concourse Garage Acq	Gross Expenditures			(1,400,000)	(1,400,000)
	RP Music Concourse Garage Acq Total				(1,400,000)	(1,400,000)
GF Annual Authority Ctrl Total					(11,138,760)	(10,718,760)
SR Golf Fund Annual	RP Parks & Open Spaces	Gross Expenditures			(20,681,100)	(13,682,407)
		Regular Revenues	Charges for Services	Fleming Golf Fees	1,500,000	1,545,000
				Golden Gate Golf Fees	465,750	479,723
				Golf Tournament	-	-
				Harding Golf Fees	5,650,065	5,819,567
				Lincoln Golf Fees	1,150,000	1,184,500
				Sharp Golf Fees	1,150,000	1,184,500
			Rents & Concessions	Golden Gate Concession	-	-
				Golf Card	630,000	648,900
				Harding Concessions	4,283,490	4,411,995
				Lincoln Concessions	82,800	85,284
				Sharp Concessions	70,000	72,100
	RP Parks & Open Spaces Total				(5,698,995)	1,749,162
SR Golf Fund Annual Total					(5,698,995)	1,749,162
SR Golf Fund -Continuing	RP - Golf Program	Gross Expenditures			(795,675)	(819,545)
		Regular Revenues	Charges for Services	Golf special maintenance fund	795,675	819,545
	RP - Golf Program Total				-	-
	RP Golf Capital	Capital Projects	Capital and Equipment	Golf Program	-	-
	RP Golf Capital Total				-	-
SR Golf Fund -Continuing Total					-	-
SR R&P-Marina -Annual	RP Marina Greens Operation	Gross Expenditures			(350,226)	(364,667)
		Regular Revenues	Rents & Concessions	Marina Greens Operation	139,000	145,000
	RP Marina Greens Operation Total				(211,226)	(219,667)
	RP Parks & Open Spaces	Gross Expenditures			(4,363,727)	(4,445,079)
		Regular Revenues	Charges for Services	Marina - Park Events W	200,000	200,000
				Marina Fees East	625,000	-
				Marina Fees West	3,460,000	3,830,000
			Rents & Concessions	Gas House Cove	25,000	25,875
				Golden Gate Yacht Club	82,400	85,284
				St. Francis Club House	350,000	362,250
	RP Parks & Open Spaces Total				378,673	58,330
SR R&P-Marina -Annual Total					167,447	(161,337)
SR R&P-Marina Yacht Harbor	RP Marina DBW Loan Reserve	Facilities Maintenance	Facility Maintenance	Marina Dbw Loan Reserve	-	-
	RP Marina DBW Loan Reserve Total				-	-
	RP Marina Yacht Harbor Renov	Gross Expenditures			(5,554)	(5,554)
	RP Marina Yacht Harbor Renov Total				(5,554)	(5,554)
	RP Marina YH Facilities Maint	Facilities Maintenance	Facility Maintenance	Myh-Facilities Maintenance-Bud	-	-
	RP Marina YH Facilities Maint Total				-	-
	RP Yacht Harbor-dredging	Facilities Maintenance	Facility Maintenance	Marina Dredging	-	-
		Regular Revenues	Charges for Services	Marina Dredging	585,000	600,000
	RP Yacht Harbor-dredging Total				585,000	600,000
SR R&P-Marina Yacht Harbor Total					579,446	594,446
Grand Total (Deficit) / Surplus					(42,667,262)	(34,292,901)

EXHIBIT 2.1 Excerpt: Mayor's Proposed Interim Budget and Approp'ns Ord. as of May 30, 2025, p. 201
https://media.api.sf.gov/documents/FY2026__FY2027_-_MAYORS_PROPOSED_INTERIM_AAO_-_6.1.25.pdf

Department: REC Recreation And Park Commission

Fund Summary

	2024-25 Original Budget	2025-26 Proposed Budget	2025-26 Change From 2024-25	2026-27 Proposed Budget	2026-27 Change From 2025-26
General Fund Support	87,235,609	91,049,744	3,814,135	96,126,704	5,076,960
Total Sources by Chart of Accounts	**254,873,111**	**263,862,131**	**8,989,020**	**341,898,256**	**78,036,125**
City Facilities Improvement Fd		375,000	375,000		(375,000)
Culture and Recreation Fund	15,921,185	14,329,278	(1,591,907)	82,276,585	67,947,307
Community / Neighborhood Dev	1,005,250		(1,005,250)		
General Fund	132,297,518	148,220,069	15,922,551	165,579,965	17,359,896
Gift and Other Exp Trust Fund	781,310	794,033	12,723	808,652	14,619
Golf Fund	21,748,542	22,094,371	345,829	14,971,114	(7,123,257)
Open Space and Park Fund	82,422,256	78,049,380	(4,372,876)	78,261,940	212,560
Recreation and Park Projects	697,050		(697,050)		
Total Uses by Funds	**254,873,111**	**263,862,131**	**8,989,020**	**341,898,256**	**78,036,125**

Division Summary

	2024-25 Original Budget	2025-26 Proposed Budget	2025-26 Change From 2024-25	2026-27 Proposed Budget	2026-27 Change From 2025-26
REC Operations	231,727,882	221,882,561	(9,845,321)	227,764,769	5,882,208
REC Admin Services	2,826,929	21,044,700	18,217,771	23,253,686	2,208,986
REC Zoo	4,000,000	4,000,000		4,000,000	
REC Capital Division	16,318,320	16,934,870	616,550	86,879,801	69,944,931
Total Uses by Division	**254,873,111**	**263,862,131**	**8,989,020**	**341,898,256**	**78,036,125**

Reserved Appropriations

Controller Reserves

		2025-26 Proposed Budget
10042682	RP Embarcadero Plaza Project	375,000
Controller Reserves: Total		**375,000**

		2024-25 Original Budget	2025-26 Proposed Budget	2025-26 Change From 2024-25	2026-27 Proposed Budget	2026-27 Change From 2025-26
Total Uses by Division		**254,873,111**	**263,862,131**	**8,989,020**	**341,898,256**	**78,036,125**

Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation

Fund Code	Fund Title	Code	Title	2024-25 Original Budget	2025-26 Proposed Budget	2025-26 Change From 2024-25	2026-27 Proposed Budget	2026-27 Change From 2025-26
Operating								
10000	GF Annual Account Ctrl		Salaries	46,237,702	45,117,276	(1,120,426)	52,415,569	7,298,293
			Mandatory Fringe Benefits	19,066,064	18,889,883	(176,181)	22,056,077	3,166,194

EXHIBIT 2.2 Excerpt: Mayor's Proposed Interim Budget and Approp'ns Ord. as of May 30, 2025, p. 202
https://media.api.sf.gov/documents/FY2026__FY2027_-_MAYORS_PROPOSED_INTERIM_AAO_-_6.1.25.pdf

Department: REC Recreation And Park Commission

Fund Code	Fund Title	Code	Title	2024-25 Original Budget	2025-26 Proposed Budget	2025-26 Change From 2024-25	2026-27 Proposed Budget	2026-27 Change From 2025-26
Operating								
			Non-Personnel Services		3,327,041	3,327,041	3,208,297	(118,744)
			City Grant Program	2,293,884	2,500,921	207,037	2,535,934	35,013
			Materials & Supplies	17,500	17,500		17,500	
			Services Of Other Depts	382,178	19,701,216	19,319,038	22,177,623	2,476,407
			Overhead and Allocations	30,248,304	21,366,742	(8,881,562)	23,288,555	1,921,813
			Transfers Out	6,790,704	4,666,591	(2,094,113)		(4,666,591)
			Transfer Adjustment - Uses	(6,790,704)	(4,666,591)	2,094,113		4,666,591
10000 Total				30,941,866	10,920,579	12,874,847	125,699,555	14,778,976
11902	SR R&P-Marina -Annual		Salaries	1,220,914	1,258,968	38,054	1,309,384	50,416
			Mandatory Fringe Benefits	627,217	664,101	36,884	703,149	39,048
			Non-Personnel Services	224,007	410,433	186,336	385,743	(24,690)
			Debt Service	1,458,020	1,458,020		1,458,020	
			Materials & Supplies	112,000	112,000		112,000	
			Services Of Other Depts	303,685	155,669	(148,016)	175,781	20,112
			Overhead and Allocations	654,599	648,330	(6,269)	656,677	8,347
			Intrafund Transfers Out	174,868	2,640,597	2,465,729	322,328	(2,318,269)
			Transfer Adjustment - Uses	(174,868)	(2,640,597)	(2,465,729)	(322,328)	2,318,269
11902 Total				4,600,432	4,707,521	106,989	4,800,754	93,233
12360	SR Golf Fund Annual		Salaries	4,882,734	4,706,098	(174,636)	2,781,849	(1,924,249)
			Mandatory Fringe Benefits	1,976,738	1,933,499	(43,239)	1,167,041	(766,458)
			Non-Personnel Services	6,934,955	6,938,804	3,849	2,767,156	(4,171,648)
			Materials & Supplies	656,101	656,101		656,101	
			Services Of Other Depts	3,334,904	3,918,466	583,562	4,310,643	392,177
			Overhead and Allocations	2,664,119	2,501,141	(62,977)	1,899,615	(601,526)
			Transfers Out	1,180,000	1,180,000		2,380,000	1,200,000
			Intrafund Transfers Out	536,492	552,587	16,095	569,164	16,577
			Transfer Adjustment - Uses	(1,716,492)	(1,732,587)	(16,095)	(2,949,164)	(1,216,577)
12360 Total				20,439,550	20,746,159	306,559	13,582,405	(7,163,754)
13370	SR Open Space&Park-Annual		Salaries	31,116,175	31,294,591	178,416	30,625,506	(669,085)
			Mandatory Fringe Benefits	13,736,155	14,165,690	429,535	14,235,576	69,886

EXHIBIT 3 Excerpt: Mayor's Proposed Interim Budget and Salary Ord. as of May 30, 2025, p. 166
https://media.api.sf.gov/documents/FY2026__FY2027_-_MAYORS_PROPOSED_INTERIM_ASO.pdf

Annual Salary Ordinance (2 year) — Budget Year 2025-2026 and 2026-2027

Fund	HCM Job Class	Job Class Title	Low	Type	High	2025-2026 FTE	2026-2027 FTE
12360 SR Golf Fund Annual	0922_C	Manager I	5,176	B	6,609	0.00	0.00
	3417_C	Gardener	3,034	B	3,692	36.00	36.00
	3422_C	Park Section Supervisor	3,765	B	4,574	3.00	3.00
	3424_C	Integrated Pest Management Specialist	3,692	B	4,486	1.00	1.00
	3434_C	Arborist Technician	3,349	B	4,586	2.01	2.01
	3436_C	Arborist Technician Supervisor I	4,213	B	5,119	0.00	0.00
	7328_C	Operating Engineer, Universal	4,336	B	5,270	1.00	1.00
	7347_C	Plumber	4,720	B	5,739	3.00	3.00
	7355_C	Truck Driver	3,595	B	4,576	5.00	5.00
	7514_C	General Laborer	2,933	B	3,566	1.00	1.00

166

EXHIBIT 4. SF Rec & Park Presentation to Budget and Appropriations Committee, Jn. 20, 2025, at 9 (of 12)
https://sfgov.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=14312821&GUID=DDBE23FE-754A-474F-912F-A790055A1336

Golf

Original proposal
Eliminate $7.5M General Fund subsidy in FY 2026-27 through the potential leasing of golf courses to private operators.

With approval of trailing budget legislation, and revised golf fees, (pending introduction), RPD will no longer pursue lower cost private sector maintenance agreements.

This solution (a new Utility Cost Recovery Surcharge and revised Golf Fees) will preserve excellent public sector work, generate an additional $4M over the next two years, and result in up to a 60% reduction of the General Fund subsidy.



From: Vincent Vila
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: SF Department of Police Accountability - NO LAYOFFS!
Date: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 1:35:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

My name is Investigator Vince Vila. I am an SEIU 1021 shop steward for the DPA 8124 Investigators.

I urge you to reject the proposed layoffs in our investigations unit.

The positions on the chopping block—a filled Senior Investigator and another vacant Investigator—are not administrative or peripheral. They are the backbone of our agency. We conduct the independent, timely investigations that the public relies on to ensure police accountability.

The 8126 Senior Investigator who is being noticed for layoff is a colleague, mentor, and friend. He is a hardworker and an integral member of our department. He does not deserve the projected fate of being dismissed from his job.

Currently, no 8124 Investigators have been officially noticed for layoff for this budget cycle. However, we are aware that the aforementioned Senior Investigator has the right "bump" an 8124 Investigator for their job if he chooses to. Because I have the least seniority, that investigator unfortunately could be me.

I am the lone 8124 Investigator that handles the incarcerated person complaints, outlined in the SDA letter agreement, for San Francisco Sheriff's Department sworn members. I enjoy investigating the nuanced SFSO cases and take pride in my work. I do not believe that I should be layed off.

No one from the DPA investigative unit should lose their job.

Our unit is down to just 12 investigators handling SFPD and SFSO complaints. Further cuts will jeopardize our ability to meet legally mandated timelines under the Peace Officer Bill of Rights—and ultimately, our mission. The people of San Francisco voted for our existance and deserve to have a fully staffed department to investigate on their behalf.

The ratio of police officers to investigators has not increased beyond the mandated amount. However, that ratio does not take into account the fact that officers are working more overtime, having more contacts and interactions, and face increased risk of mistakes from overwork and fatigue.

Last year, our Chief Managing Attorney (8182) left the department, leaving a high-salaried position vacant. That job title is no longer reflected on the most recently submitted DPA organizational chart, but the classification appears to still be funded instead of being cut. The DPA's submitted budget materials show that upper management and non-front line staff were not proposed for reduction.

The city needs to remain invested in frontline investigative staff, as they are core to the mission. This is not just a staffing issue. It’s a question of priorities. Civilian oversight cannot function without investigators. Cutting our unit further undermines public trust, transparency, and accountability.

On a national scale, we are faced with a growing sentiment aimed at dismantling the oversight and accountability apparatus. However, this is San

Francisco. If we want to remain the standard bearer in ensuring justice and decency by holding positions of authority accountable, I urge you to protect the integrity of our work.

Reject these layoffs, please stand with us, and preserve the Department of Police Accountability.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Vince Vila
DPA Investigator

From: Janet Lohr
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Let"s get to work on Climate!
Date: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 10:32:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Mayor Lurie's budget is cutting the very funds we need to keep working on reducing emissions and addressing climate change. Sea level rise is a real threat to San Francisco. Please keep the funding for climate action.

Thank you.

Janet Lohr
jlohr@ccsf.edu
416 Holladay Ave.
San Francisco, California 94110

From: Briana Vargas
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Cc: Trust Youth Initiative
Subject: Trust Youth Initiative Program
Date: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 10:06:57 AM
Attachments: Outlook-xhidgw3v.png
Outlook-3v1tnqi5.png

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Mr. Jalipa,

I am reaching out to you in the hopes that the city of San Francisco continues supporting our program, the *Trust Youth Initiative* (TYI), a first-of-its-kind direct cash transfer (DCT) program for homeless youth in the Bay Area.

Over the past two years, TYI has provided young people with more than just financial support, it's given them a path toward stability, safety, and self-determination. The program emerged from direct advocacy by youth in San Francisco who urged the city to invest in solutions like DCT. Today, it stands on both powerful lived experience and a strong foundation of research showing that direct financial support can disrupt cycles of poverty and inequity.

But TYI is more than cash. Alongside financial support, youth receive wraparound services provided by our team. This support includes access to employment pathways, mental health resources, and ongoing guidance from community-based providers. We've seen young people use this support to leave unsafe living situations and move into their first apartments, begin their careers, leave unhealthy relationships, enroll in college, and rebuild their confidence. They've come to believe — and trust — that they have a future, and that their city believes in them too.

San Francisco, along with private funders, has already made a significant investment in Trust Youth Initiative. Though the final results of our pilot will be released in early 2026, preliminary findings are incredibly promising. Not only are participants experiencing life-changing impacts, but the program is also relieving pressure on the city's homelessness response system, reducing both financial costs and logistical barriers.

As the city continues with budget planning, we strongly encourage you to include TYI in your funding priorities. With the insights gained from our pilot and continued investment, we believe TYI has the potential to become a cost-effective, scalable model for addressing youth homelessness — one that empowers an entire generation of young San Franciscans.

To learn more, here are a few pieces that highlight the program and its impact:

- SF Examiner Article

  SF-Google cash-assistance partnership relishes progress | The City | sfexaminer.com
  City agencies teamed up with Google to offer cash assistance to at-risk young adults through the nonprofit Larkin Street Youth Services. Courtesy Larkin Street Youth Services
  https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___www.sfexaminer.com___.YXAzOnNimZHQyOmE6bzo3MTJiYzA2NjVhOTFhMzk5NjM3YTk1OTUxNDJmNTNhZjo3Ojg4NGM6NTc2NGRmNjcxZmVhZjhiOTgxNGQzMDNkOTAwMjEzMTIyNjQ2NjllMzllNmE1ODRjOTJlNzY2NDMxYTcyZmRkNzp0OlQ6Tg

- Chapin Hall Research Brief

  The Pathways Study & Evaluation of the Trust Youth Initiative
  Chapin Hall.org | 3 The "plus" component includes optional youth-directed housing navigation support, supportive programming such as benefits counseling and legal assistance, and links to other services.19,26 Supportive programming aims to promote socio-emotional support, affirming care, and other needed resources to increase the efficiency of DCTs compared to
  https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___www.chapinhall.org___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo3MTJiYzA2NjVhOTFhMzk5NjM3YTk1OTUxNDJmNTNhZjo3OmJmMGM6YTE5MmRkZDllY2JmOGI0MzBkNzYzMzUxOTQ5ZjcxZjc2NWNhMGIlYTRlMmNkNjQzYWU4Mzk5MWRjMWFmNGYyMDp0OlQ6Tg

I'd love the opportunity to speak with you further about the program and the incredible resilience of the youth we work with at Larkin Street Youth Services. Please don't hesitate to reach out to me directly at **trustyouth@larkinstreetyouth.org** if you have any questions.

Thank you so much for your time and for your continued commitment to San Francisco's youth.

Sincerely,

Briana Vargas (she/her)
Trust Youth Initiative
Program Coordinator
Larkin Street Youth Services
(415) 673-0911 ext.383

LARKIN STREET YOUTH SERVICES
The mission of Larkin Street Youth Services is to create a continuum of services that inspires youth to move beyond the street. We will nurture potential, promote dignity, and support bold steps by all.
We acknowledge that we live and work on the unceded ancestral homeland of the Ramaytush Ohlone peoples, who are the original inhabitants of the San Francisco Peninsula.

Book time to meet with me

The information in this email and in any attachments is confidential and may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy this message, delete any copies held on your systems and notify the sender immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you should not retain, copy, or use this email for any purpose, nor disclose all or any part of its content to any other person. Thank you.

From: Tiffanhy Waugh
To: ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; MahmoodStaff
Subject: Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts
Date: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 10:06:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Tiffanhy Waugh

Email: tiffany@connectedsf.com

Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed $5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments from the consequences of their own fiscal irresponsibility

Please reject the fee.

Thank You

Acquisition Method: Mobilization Method

From: Lavander Sesody
Subject: Continuation of Trust Youth Initiative
Date: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 9:10:04 AM
Attachments: Outlook-2wes1ptk.png

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello!

I'm reaching out to you in the hopes that the city of San Francisco continues supporting our program, the *Trust Youth Initiative* (TYI), a first-of-its-kind direct cash transfer (DCT) program for homeless youth in the Bay Area.

Over the past two years, TYI has provided young people with more than just financial support, it's given them a path toward stability, safety, and self-determination. The program emerged from direct advocacy by youth in San Francisco who urged the city to invest in solutions like DCT. Today, it stands on both powerful lived experience and a strong foundation of research showing that direct financial support can disrupt cycles of poverty and inequity.

But TYI is more than cash. Alongside financial support, youth receive wraparound services provided by our team. This support includes access to employment pathways, mental health resources, and ongoing guidance from community-based providers. We've seen young people use this support to leave unsafe living situations and move into their first apartments, begin their careers, leave unhealth relationships, enroll in college, and rebuild their confidence. They've come to believe — and trust — that they have a future, and that their city believes in them too.

San Francisco, along with private funders, has already made a significant investment in Trust Youth Initative. Though the final results of our pilot will be released in early 2026, preliminary findings are incredibly promising. Not only are participants experiencing life-changing impacts, but the program is also relieving pressure on the city's homelessness response system, reducing both financial costs and logistical barriers.

As the city continues with budget planning, we strongly encourage you to include TYI in your funding priorities. With the insights gained from our pilot and continued investment, we believe TYI has the potential to become a cost-effective, scalable model for addressing youth homelessness — one that empowers an entire generation of young San Franciscans.

To learn more, here are a few pieces that highlight our program and its impact:

- SF Examiner Article

  SF-Google cash-assistance partnership relishes progress | The City | sfexaminer.com

  City agencies teamed up with Google to offer cash assistance to at-risk young adults through the nonprofit Larkin Street Youth Services. Courtesy Larkin Street Youth Services

  https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___www.sfexaminer.com___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpjNWM2Mjc0MTViODgwNGEwZjYxMjhmZjVjZmVkMGZhNjo3OjlhMWM6MGEyMDg5NTY2OWUyMDU3OTdmYzUyZWQ3ZDM0OGViZGI3NDYzZGQ3ZDQwNzYzNjEyYTEyZWJlNmY1NGI2NDE3ODp0OkY6Tg

- Chapin Hall Research Brief

  The Pathways Study & Evaluation of the Trust Youth Initiative

  Chapin Hall.org | 3 The "plus" component includes optional youth-directed housing navigation support, supportive programming such as benefits counseling and legal assistance, and links to other services.19,26 Supportive programming aims to promote socio-emotional support, affirming care, and other needed resources to increase the efficiency of DCTs compared to

  https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___www.chapinhall.org___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpjNWM2Mjc0MTViODgwNGEwZjYxMjhmZjVjZmVkMGZhNjo3OjhjZTc6MjRmMDQyNTE1NTk2N2MxZmI2NjU1MDQ3YTQ3ZGY1OTY0NTY5MWFlYTA3YTI1NmY0NWFlODE4MTNjYjI1NTNkYTp0OkY6Tg

I'd love the opportunity to speak with you further about the program and the incredible resilience of the youth we work with at Larkin Street Youth Services. Please don't hesitate to reach out to me directly at T**rustYouth@larkinstreetyouth.org** if you have any questions.

Thank you so much for your time and for your continued commitment to San Francisco's youth.

In community,
Lavander Sesody (she/her)
Trust Youth Initiative
Program Manager
Larkin Street Youth Services
(415) 673-0911 ext. 397

LARKIN STREET YOUTH SERVICES

The mission of Larkin Street Youth Services is to create a continuum of services that inspires youth to move beyond the street. We will nurture potential, promote dignity, and support bold steps by all.
We acknowledge that we live and work on the unceded ancestral homeland of the Ramaytush Ohlone peoples, who are the original inhabitants of the San Francisco Peninsula.

The information in this email and in any attachments is confidential and may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy this message, delete any copies held on your systems and notify the sender immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you should not retain, copy, or use this email for any purpose, nor disclose all or any part of its content to any other person. Thank you.

From:	Elizabeth Winograd
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Cc:	Maher, Abigail (REC); Durana, Maria (REC); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Please Don"t Cut Funding from Rec & Park
Date:	Tuesday, June 24, 2025 7:26:59 AM
Importance:	High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

As you make critical decisions in finalizing the City's budget, I urge you not to cut funding for San Francisco Recreation and Parks.

Our parks are more than green spaces—they are vital community hubs that support physical and mental health, provide safe spaces for children to play, and offer accessible programming for people of all ages. The staff, programs, and maintenance that keep our parks vibrant are essential to our city's wellbeing, especially for families and individuals who rely on them most.

In times of uncertainty and recovery, parks remain one of the few public services that unite us, heal us, and keep us connected to our city and each other. Please protect this vital resource and the programs that make it come alive.

Thank you for your continued leadership and service to San Francisco.

Best,
Liz Winograd

Elizabeth Winograd
ECE Deputy Director, San Francisco
ewinograd@liifund.org | 415.489.6127 Ext 327

Low Income Investment Fund (LIIF)
49 Stevenson St., Suite 300, San Francisco, California, 94105
www.liifund.org | Facebook | Twitter | YouTube | LinkedIn

From: Brittany Zendejas
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Urgent Plea: Prioritize Children and Families - No Funding Cuts to Vital Community Organizations
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 9:42:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Subject: Urgent Plea: Prioritize Children and Families - No Funding Cuts to Vital Community Organizations

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Parents for Public Schools of San Francisco (PPS-SF) condemns all forms of oppression. We believe that decisions affecting children must be made with careful consideration, respect for their voices, and a commitment to equity. As adults, we have a responsibility to provide timely and direct answers to our students while ensuring their diverse needs are at the forefront of our discussions.

With that in mind, we are writing to express our deep concern regarding potential funding cuts to critical community organizations (Parents for Public Schools, Latino Task Force and Free City College) and implore the Board to prioritize the well-being of San Francisco's children and families in the upcoming budget decisions.

San Francisco is a city built by working-class individuals and immigrants. Our vibrant and diverse community thrives when its most vulnerable members are supported. **Disinvesting in community programs contradicts the city's long-held values of equity, safety, democracy, and liberty.**

For 25 years, Parents for Public Schools of San Francisco has served as a vital bridge within our community, **connecting over 60,000 residents across city government, school districts, for-profit, and non-profit sectors**. We provide peer-to-peer mentoring, share essential knowledge, demystify complex educational policies, and empower families to navigate the school system effectively.

Maintaining funding for organizations like PPS-SF ensures the continuation of direct services that are crucial for the well-being of our community:

- Workshops that empower parents and caregivers.
- One-on-one support to navigate challenges.
- Group classes that foster community.
- Informative learning sessions for community leaders.
- Collaborations with community leaders to amplify resources and promote engagement.

We know that this Board also shares a deep commitment to democracy and recognizes the invaluable role non-profit organizations like Parents for Public Schools play in fostering a thriving city. Supporting parents and caregivers is not just about their individual well-being; it is an investment in the future of our children. When parents/caregivers are not well-supported, children cannot thrive and reach their full potential. How can we expect our children to build the brightest future without supporting those that nurture them?

We urge the Board to uphold the highest standards of community engagement and to avoid policies that disproportionately harm already vulnerable populations by disinvesting in the critical programs they depend on.

We appreciate the new city administration's commitment to maintaining San Francisco's Sanctuary City status. As we transition to the new administration, we must not waver to shut down militant force to deport immigrants. It is of utmost urgency, and PPS is a safe place for our community. It is important that I emphasize this matter must be taken with upmost urgency. How will the Board protect our children when services are dwindled?

While we recognize the difficult and complex choices involved in balancing the city's budget across many departments, it is unclear how the proposed cuts ensure the infrastructure of supports for the most vulnerable and impacted families.

Now is the time for all of us to work together, in partnership with the community, to demonstrate our unwavering commitment to serving San Francisco's diverse communities.

Now is the time for a laser focus in bringing diverse communities closer to bridging the gaps and promoting educational outcomes.

The people are most impacted are our children. When their parents/caregivers are not well supported how can their children thrive for the brightest future. The Board needs to practice the standard around community engagement and not oppress its people by disinvesting in the funding of critical programs.

We stand ready to partner with the Board in achieving these goals and ensuring a brighter future for all of San Francisco's children.

Sincerely,

From: Annaliese Rosenthal
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: We are in a Climate Crisis! Please reflect that in the budget.
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 8:13:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I understand that leaders must make difficult choices when they make budgeting decisions.

I also see the negative impacts that the climate crisis is having in San Francisco and more broadly. It seems incredibly unwise to have a budget that cuts funding for climate solutions.

It's far too late to be under-investing in climate solutions. In fact, we should be investing more in them so that communities across San Francisco will be equipped for our changing climate. I am also a parent and one of my greatest fears is the climate my children are inheriting.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Annaliese Rosenthal
annaliese.rosenthal@gmail.com
851A MacArthur Avenue
San Francisco, California 94129

From: serena clark
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Urgent Plea: Prioritize Children and Families - No Funding Cuts to Vital Community Organizations
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 7:34:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Parents for Public Schools of San Francisco (PPS-SF) condemns all forms of oppression. We believe that decisions affecting children must be made with careful consideration, respect for their voices, and a commitment to equity. As adults, we have a responsibility to provide timely and direct answers to our students while ensuring their diverse needs are at the forefront of our discussions.

With that in mind, we are writing to express our deep concern regarding potential funding cuts to critical community organizations (Parents for Public Schools, Latino Task Force and Free City College) and implore the Board to prioritize the well-being of San Francisco's children and families in the upcoming budget decisions.

San Francisco is a city built by working-class individuals and immigrants. Our vibrant and diverse community thrives when its most vulnerable members are supported. Disinvesting in community programs contradicts the city's long-held values of equity, safety, democracy, and liberty.

For 25 years, Parents for Public Schools of San Francisco has served as a vital bridge within our community, connecting over 60,000 residents across city government, school districts, for-profit, and non-profit sectors. We provide peer-to-peer mentoring, share essential knowledge, demystify complex educational policies, and empower families to navigate the school system effectively.

Maintaining funding for organizations like PPS-SF ensures the continuation of direct services that are crucial for the well-being of our community:
Workshops that empower parents and caregivers.
One-on-one support to navigate challenges.
Group classes that foster community.
Informative learning sessions for community leaders.
Collaborations with community leaders to amplify resources and promote engagement.

We know that this Board also shares a deep commitment to democracy and recognizes the invaluable role non-profit organizations like Parents for Public Schools play in fostering a thriving city. Supporting parents and caregivers is not just about their individual well-being; it is an investment in the future of our children.
When parents/caregivers are not well-supported, children cannot thrive and reach their full potential. How can we expect our children to build the brightest future without supporting

those that nurture them?

We urge the Board to uphold the highest standards of community engagement and to avoid policies that disproportionately harm already vulnerable populations by disinvesting in the critical programs they depend on.

We appreciate the new city administration's commitment to maintaining San Francisco's Sanctuary City status. As we transition to the new administration, we must not waver to shut down militant force to deport immigrants. It is of utmost urgency, and PPS is a safe place for our community. It is important that I emphasize this matter must be taken with upmost urgency. How will the Board protect our children when services are dwindled?

While we recognize the difficult and complex choices involved in balancing the city's budget across many departments, it is unclear how the proposed cuts ensure the infrastructure of supports for the most vulnerable and impacted families.

Now is the time for all of us to work together, in partnership with the community, to demonstrate our unwavering commitment to serving San Francisco's diverse communities.

Now is the time for a laser focus in bringing diverse communities closer to bridging the gaps and promoting educational outcomes.
The people are most impacted are our children. When their parents/caregivers are not well supported how can their children thrive for the brightest future. The Board needs to practice the standard around community engagement and not oppress its people by disinvesting in the funding of critical programs.

We stand ready to partner with the Board in achieving these goals and ensuring a brighter future for all of San Francisco's children.

Sincerely,
Dorothy Clark Stankalis,
Parent of Public Schools, educator, and City College Alumni

From: Angela Wang
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: June 23 - Hearing Mayor"s Proposed Budget: Public Comment
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 6:37:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

My name is Angela. I'm a SF native and I live in District 4. I am writing to urge you to not cut OCEIA's Community Ambassadors Program (CAP). CAP is a job training program that provides professional development opportunities for low-income residents and immigrants. In bright safety yellow uniforms, Community Ambassadors engage, inform and connect community members who may be experiencing homelessness, immigrants, and have limited English proficiency to services and keep the community safe. They are the sunshine of our community. Please keep San Francisco safe and connected. Please don't cut CAP.

Thank you for your time.

-Angela Wang

From: Crystal Van
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: CAA - 2025 Annual Budget Public Comment Submission
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 5:57:06 PM
Attachments: CAA"s Public Comment on LAO_LANSF.docx

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Erica,

I hope you're well!


Two of Chinese for Affirmative Action's community members provided public comment on investing in language access services in San Francisco, but the interpreter incorrectly translated our Chinese organization name to "Chinese Progressive Association" instead.

Please find attached below the public comment from Christina and Anny.

Thank you!

Warmest,
Crystal

--



Crystal Van | 文思

Chinese for Affirmative Action

Civic Engagement Program Manager

华人权益促进会 | 社区参与项目经理

she/her/她 | (415) 738-3354

cvan@caasf.org

CAA has multiple open positions. Apply now!

各位市参事早晨，我是华促会的外展员叫 Anny,也是“三藩市语言服务”网络的成员。

对于新移民来讲，英语唔系自己的第一语言，系相当之困难同苦恼的。过去某些政府部门并不完全执行提供语言服务，真係對我哋有需要的人好不安。感谢市参事陳诗敏同华颂善推动（语言服务条例）修正案，越南语即将成为认证语言。

我同先生曾经發生交通意外，醫院完全冇中文服務，唔知道受傷情況，當時又驚又擔心。第二日有一位識少少中文的 ABC 醫生同我交谈，之後轉院返三藩市，救護車上都冇人識講我的語言提供服务。

高质量的语言服务保障非英语母语移民居民获得尊严和尊重。

我们呼吁市长和市参事委员会增加对语言服务的投资，总额达到 2290 万美元，以确保所有市政府部门拥有必要的资源，为我们的社区提供完善的语言权利。谢谢!

Hello Board of Supervisors, my name is Anny, an outreach specialist with Chinese for Affirmative Action, and I am also a member of the San Francisco Language Access Network.

For many new immigrants, English is not their native language, which becomes a frustrating and challenging barrier. In the past, government departments did not fully implement language access services, which is unsettling for those of us in need. We are grateful to Supervisor Chen and Walton for advocating to amend the San Francisco Language Access Ordinance, which will soon officially recognized Vietnamese as an official language.

My husband and I were once involved in a traffic accident, and the hospital had no Chinese language services at all. I didn’t know the extent of his injuries, and I was both scared and worried. The next day, an ABC (American-born Chinese) doctor who knew a little Chinese spoke with me, and later we were transferred to a hospital in San Francisco. Even the paramedics in the ambulance didn’t speak my language or provide any language assistance.

High-quality language services ensure dignity and respect for non-native English-speaking immigrant residents.

We are calling on the mayor and the Board of Supervisor to increase investment in language services, totaling $22.9 million, to ensure that all city departments have the necessary resources to provide our communities with perfect language rights. Thank you!

大家好：我叫 Christina，是华促会的外展员。现居住在三藩市第 9 区。

我曾在华埠 Vallejo 警察局报案，这关乎于我的私人财产及人身安全问题；由于不懂英文，只好＂等待＂。前后花了 3 个多小时，也没有好好解决我的问题。

而懂英文的街坊来报案，马上得到帮助并给予解决。我们感到很不安，因为语言障碍受到歧视；警察局也得不到安全感和应有的帮助。我已好几次用手机翻译说：即使没有说中文的工作人员在场；也得提供电话翻译服务。

特别是在紧急和生死的徘徊中，没有寻找到适合自己语言的服务和帮助，那种无耐与糟糕的情绪，旁人是无法体会。

我呼吁政府部门加强多语言化的双语工作人员、必须要提供有效的电话翻译及全面服务、并设有可直接投诉的相关部门；提升社区的语言服务是十分重要的!

非常感谢三藩市市政府部门继续拨款支持语言服务，谢谢!

Hello everyone: My name is Christina and I am an outreach specialist with Chinese for Affirmative Action. I live in District 10 of San Francisco.

I once went to the Vallejo Police Department in Chinatown to file a report regarding issues related to my personal property and physical safety. Because I don’t speak English, I had no choice but to just “wait.” The whole process took about 3 hours, and my issue was still not properly addressed.

Meanwhile, English-speaking neighbors who came to report incidents receive immediate help. This made me feel dismissed — it felt like we were being discriminated against because of the language barrier. The police station also failed to provide a sense of security and assistance to the community. I also used a translation app on my phone several times to communicate: even if there are no Chinese-speaking staff present, they must provide telephone interpretation services.

Especially in moments of emergency or life-and-death situations, the helplessness and distress caused by the lack of language-accessible services and support is something that English speakers cannot truly understand.

I urge city agencies to increase the number of bilingual staff, ensure effective telephonic interpretation services for all non-English languages, and fund departments where people can directly file complaints. Enhancing language services in the community is critically important!

Many thanks to the San Francisco city government for your continued support.

From:	noah sloss
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Urgent Plea: Prioritize Children and Families - No Funding Cuts to Vital Community Organizations
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 5:24:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Parents for Public Schools of San Francisco (PPS-SF) condemns all forms of oppression. We believe that decisions affecting children must be made with careful consideration, respect for their voices, and a commitment to equity. As adults, we have a responsibility to provide timely and direct answers to our students while ensuring their diverse needs are at the forefront of our discussions.

With that in mind, we are writing to express our deep concern regarding potential funding cuts to critical community organizations (Parents for Public Schools, Latino Task Force and Free City College) and implore the Board to prioritize the well-being of San Francisco's children and families in the upcoming budget decisions.

San Francisco is a city built by working-class individuals and immigrants. Our vibrant and diverse community thrives when its most vulnerable members are supported. **Disinvesting in community programs contradicts the city's long-held values of equity, safety, democracy, and liberty.**

For 25 years, Parents for Public Schools of San Francisco has served as a vital bridge within our community, **connecting over 60,000 residents across city government, school districts, for-profit, and non-profit sectors**. We provide peer-to-peer mentoring, share essential knowledge, demystify complex educational policies, and empower families to navigate the school system effectively.

Maintaining funding for organizations like PPS-SF ensures the continuation of direct services that are crucial for the well-being of our community:

- Workshops that empower parents and caregivers.
- One-on-one support to navigate challenges.
- Group classes that foster community.
- Informative learning sessions for community leaders.
- Collaborations with community leaders to amplify resources and promote engagement.

We know that this Board also shares a deep commitment to democracy and recognizes the invaluable role non-profit organizations like Parents for Public Schools play in fostering a thriving city. Supporting parents and caregivers is not just about their individual well-being; it is an investment in the future of our children. When parents/caregivers are not well-supported, children cannot thrive and reach their full potential. How can we expect our children to build the brightest future without supporting those that nurture them?

We urge the Board to uphold the highest standards of community engagement and to avoid policies that disproportionately harm already vulnerable populations by disinvesting in the critical programs they depend on.

We appreciate the new city administration's commitment to maintaining San Francisco's Sanctuary City status. As we transition to the new administration, we must not waver to shut down militant force to deport immigrants. It is of utmost urgency, and PPS is a safe place for our community. It is important that I emphasize this matter must be taken with upmost urgency. How will the Board protect our children when services are dwindled?

While we recognize the difficult and complex choices involved in balancing the city's budget across many departments, it is unclear how the proposed cuts ensure the infrastructure of supports for the most vulnerable and impacted families.

Now is the time for all of us to work together, in partnership with the community, to demonstrate our unwavering commitment to serving San Francisco's diverse communities.

Now is the time for a laser focus in bringing diverse communities closer to bridging the gaps and promoting educational outcomes.

The people are most impacted are our children. When their parents/caregivers are not well supported how can their children thrive for the brightest future. The Board needs to practice the standard around community engagement and not oppress its people by disinvesting in the funding of critical programs.

We stand ready to partner with the Board in achieving these goals and ensuring a brighter future for all of San Francisco's children.

Sincerely,

Noah Sloss Acting President of the Board Parents for Public Schools of San Francisco

From: Luz Huallanca
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Urgent Plea: Prioritize Children and Families - No Funding Cuts to Vital Community Organizations
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 5:16:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Parents for Public Schools of San Francisco (PPS-SF) condemns all forms of oppression. We believe that decisions affecting children must be made with careful consideration, respect for their voices, and a commitment to equity. As adults, we have a responsibility to provide timely and direct answers to our students while ensuring their diverse needs are at the forefront of our discussions.

With that in mind, we are writing to express our deep concern regarding potential funding cuts to critical community organizations (Parents for Public Schools, Latino Task Force and Free City College) and implore the Board to prioritize the well-being of San Francisco's children and families in the upcoming budget decisions.

San Francisco is a city built by working-class individuals and immigrants. Our vibrant and diverse community thrives when its most vulnerable members are supported. **Disinvesting in community programs contradicts the city's long-held values of equity, safety, democracy, and liberty.**

For 25 years, Parents for Public Schools of San Francisco has served as a vital bridge within our community, **connecting over 60,000 residents across city government, school districts, for-profit, and non-profit sectors**. We provide peer-to-peer mentoring, share essential knowledge, demystify complex educational policies, and empower families to navigate the school system effectively.

Maintaining funding for organizations like PPS-SF ensures the continuation of direct services that are crucial for the well-being of our community:

- Workshops that empower parents and caregivers.

- One-on-one support to navigate challenges.
- Group classes that foster community.
- Informative learning sessions for community leaders.
- Collaborations with community leaders to amplify resources and promote engagement.

We know that this Board also shares a deep commitment to democracy and recognizes the invaluable role non-profit organizations like Parents for Public Schools play in fostering a thriving city.
Supporting parents and caregivers is not just about their individual well-being; it is an investment in the future of our children. When parents/caregivers are not well-supported, children cannot thrive and reach their full potential. How can we expect our children to build the brightest future without supporting those that nurture them?

We urge the Board to uphold the highest standards of community engagement and to avoid policies that disproportionately harm already vulnerable populations by disinvesting in the critical programs they depend on.

We appreciate the new city administration's commitment to maintaining San Francisco's Sanctuary City status. As we transition to the new administration, we must not waver to shut down militant force to deport immigrants. It is of utmost urgency, and PPS is a safe place for our community. It is important that I emphasize this matter must be taken with upmost urgency. How will the Board protect our children when services are dwindled?

While we recognize the difficult and complex choices involved in balancing the city's budget across many departments, it is unclear how the proposed cuts ensure the infrastructure of supports for the most vulnerable and impacted families. Now is the time for all of us to work together, in partnership with the community, to demonstrate our unwavering commitment to serving San Francisco's diverse communities.
Now is the time for a laser focus in bringing diverse communities closer to bridging the gaps and promoting educational outcomes.

The people are most impacted are our children. When their parents/caregivers are not well supported how can their children thrive for the brightest future. The Board needs to practice the standard around

community engagement and not oppress its people by disinvesting in the funding of critical programs.
We stand ready to partner with the Board in achieving these goals and ensuring a brighter future for all of San Francisco's children.

Sincerely,

Luz Huallanca
Public school parent, community member, D11 voter

From:	Hayden Rodarte
To:	Major, Erica (BOS)
Cc:	Amanda Maya; Rachel Sheridan
Subject:	Public Comment to SF Board of Supervisors
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 5:16:03 PM
Attachments:	2025.06.23 LCCRSF Comment to SF Board of Supervisors.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please find attached our office's public comment as part of the San Francisco Immigrant Legal Defense Collaborative (SFILDC) in support of increased funding for immigration legal defense services. Thank you for your prompt attention.

Sincerely,

Hayden Rodarte (he/él)
Senior Staff Attorney, Immigrant Justice
131 Steuart Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94105
Direct/WhatsApp: 650.636.7046
Fax: 415.543.0296
Email: Hrodarte@Lccrsf.org
www.lccrsf.org

Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights of the San Francisco Bay Area, founded in 1968, works to advance, protect and promote the legal rights of communities of color, low-income persons, immigrants, and refugees. Assisted by hundreds of pro bono attorneys, LCCRSF provides free legal assistance and representation to individuals on civil legal matters through direct services, impact litigation and policy advocacy.

LAWYERS' COMMITTEE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS OF THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA

June 23, 2025

Dear Budget and Appropriations Committee of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As a member of the San Francisco Immigration Legal Defense Collaborative ("SFILDC"), the Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights of the San Francisco Bay Area ("LCCRSF") submits this comment for San Francisco Board of Supervisors ("the Board") Public Comment Day 2025. LCCRSF is one of the oldest civil rights organizations on the West Coast. For over 42 years, LCCRSF has provided direct legal services to asylum-seekers, refugees, and other survivors of violence. As a member of SFILDC, LCCRSF represents San Francisco residents at risk of deportation and/or detention by U.S. immigration authorities. LCCRSF serves on the Attorney of the Day ("AOD") Program at the San Francisco Immigration Court to assist noncitizen community members who do not have legal representation in their removal proceedings. In addition, LCCRSF forms part of the San Francisco Rapid Response Network to provide 24/7 real-time assistance to individuals experiencing immigration law enforcement actions (e.g. ICE arrests).

LCCRSF urges the Budget and Appropriations Committee to increase funding for the SFILDC Request for Proposal ("RFP") by at least $878,000 as requested in the current SFILDC RFP. Without this critical funding, SFILDC suffers an effective cut to its services exactly at an unprecedented time of emergent need and crisis of safety among San Francisco's immigrant communities. ICE continues to intensify its arrests and removal efforts against San Francisco residents in plain view of the public and city law enforcement. Now more than ever, SFILDC requires this minimum of additional funding to not only maintain current levels of services but increase them to address the skyrocketing local need for immigration legal defense. Without this funding, San Francisco falls sharply behind its neighboring counties who have widely increased funding for immigration services for 2025: Santa Clara County has approved $5,000,000 in new funding; Alameda County has approved an additional $3,000,000; and San Mateo County has approved additional funds for rapid response services.

SFILDC's services are life-saving and necessary to ensure the safety, civil and human rights, and dignity of San Francisco residents. San Francisco must uphold its promise as a Sanctuary City and continue to lead the nation in the defense of immigrant communities. LCCRSF client

“Armando” who was recently granted asylum by the San Francisco Immigration Court shares that SFILDC’s legal services “have given him back his sense of joy”, “ability to breathe again,” and “motivation to live.” Sitting San Francisco Immigration Court Judges have expressed gratitude to LCCRSF for its defense of asylum-seekers, praising the quality of our legal representation.

LCCRSF would be unable to adequately represent our communities’ most vulnerable members in their immigration cases without the San Francisco Board of Supervisors continued and increased support. Thank you for your prompt attention.

Sincerely,

Lawyers’ Committee for Civil Rights of the San Francisco Bay Area
Member of the San Francisco Immigrant Legal Defensive Collaborative (SFILDC)

From: Natalie Durgin
To: Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject: Advocacy For Amani
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 3:13:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee

when I first was introduced to the amani program I was in a place in my life where I felt lost and had no idea where my life was headed. I am a single mother of three children so I felt discouraged and alone But then amani became apart of my life and now I am employed, housed and I am going back to school. It is important to have programs like amani to help serve the black community make us feel important and seen and provide us with resources and education that black communities don't have access to. Amani is the the solution to helping the black community. Taking there funding will detrimental to the black community. Amani has helped me in ways I didn't no it was possible. And for that they will always and forever be apart of me. Please keep their funding and continue to change lives. Thank you

From:	Socrates Li
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Cc:	Amos Lim; Shuangyan Li; Nicholas Gee; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Subject:	Budget Public Comment from Chinese for Affirmative Action Clients
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 2:55:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below the comments in Chinese and English from Chinese for Affirmative Action (CAA) clients Chunmei Cao, Qiuting Zhao, Peiying Guan, and Yanqi Zhang, who could not attend in-person today.

Socrates Li
Community Advocate, Economic Justice at CAA

Qiuting Zhao's Comment

大家好，我是Qiuting 我是华人权益促进会的客户，三藩市第5区的居民 作为英语能力有限的中国移民，华促会教了我很多重要的就业能力 他们帮我写了简历，也跟我做了模拟面试，教我回答常见面试问题 这些都是找工作需要的技能，但是没有很多双语机构能免费的为我们提供这类训练 他们也帮助我学会怎样用手机填写就业表格，并帮助我申请了很多工作 我认为这是三藩市华人移民非常需要的服务 为了继续为移民提供经济资源和就业机会，我希望三藩市会恢复华促会的服务

Hello, I'm Qiuting. I am a client of Chinese for Affirmative Action and a resident of District 5. As an immigrant with low English proficiency, CAA helped me learn important job search skills. Their staff prepared my resume and provided job interview training to teach me about common interview questions. These are necessary skills for finding a job yet there are not many bilingual organizations who can provide us this training free of charge. They also taught me to use my phone to apply for jobs online, and directly helped me apply to many positions. I believe this is a very important service for San Francisco residents. To ensure continued economic resources and job opportunities for immigrants, I hope the City will restore CAA's services.

Chunmei Cao's Comment

大家好，我是Chunmei，我是华人权益促进会的客户，三藩市第3区的居民 我今天在此是为了表达我对华促会的支持 华人权益促进会在过去几年帮我提供了很多就业协助 在2020年，疫情开始时，他们介绍给我清洁和酒店职业训练，并帮助我练习面试 我之前从来没有面试过，所以这个训练让我了解了美国找工作的过程 华促会也教了我填写申请表格和跟雇主沟通，还帮我准备了简历 除了就业以外，当我有需求时，华促会一贯能介绍给我中文的资源，例如租户权益信息和英语班 作为移民，作为家长，我们非常需要华促会的服务，他们对三藩市华人是必要的资源 我强烈希望三藩

市会恢复给华促会的资金，让他们恢复服务

Hi everyone, I'm Chunmei. I'm one of Chinese for Affirmative Action's clients and a resident of District 3. I am here today to express my support for CAA. CAA has provided me with a lot of employment services in the last few years. In 2020, at the start of the pandemic, they helped me with hospitality and custodial training, and taught me how to handle job interviews. I had never interviewed before, so this helped me learn the process for finding a job in the US. CAA also prepared my resume, helped me apply for jobs, and communicate with employers. Even when I needed help that wasn't employment-related, CAA could always refer me to what I needed, such as ESL classes and tenants' rights resources. As an immigrant, and a parent, I feel we all need CAA's services. They are a vital resource for San Francisco residents. I sincerely hope that the City will resume CAA's funding so they can resume their services.

Peiying Guan's Comment

大家好，我是Peiying 我是三藩市第10区的居民 我今天跟华人权益促进会来，表达对他们的支持并要求市政府恢复华促会的资金和服务 在疫情期间，华促会一直为我提供就业支持，帮助我写简历 申请工作 准备面试 填写各种上岗要求表格 在2020年，他们为我进行了训练，教我清洁和酒店业的职业技能 在接下来三年，他们继续帮我申请清洁工作，帮助我找到了市政府的清洁职位 对英语能力有限的移民，华促会的服务是一项非常重要的经济资源 我认为三藩市需要为华人市民继续提供这种服务 我希望市政府会让华促会恢复服务

Hi everyone, my name is Peiying. I'm a resident of District 10. I am here today with Chinese for Affirmative Action to express my support and request that the City restore CAA's funding and services. During the pandemic, CAA provided ongoing job support to me, helping me prepare a resume, practice job interviews, apply for various jobs, and even fill out onboarding paperwork. In 2020, they provided custodial and hospitality vocational training to me. In the next few years, they kept helping me apply until eventually I was able to get a custodial position with the City. For limited English proficiency immigrants like myself, CAA's services are a vital economic resource. San Francisco needs to continue providing these services to Chinese residents. I hope the City will restore CAA's services.

Yanqi Zhang's Comment

大家好，我是Yanqi，是三藩市第3区的居民 我第一次接触华人权益促进会的就业服务是大概2013年 华促会帮助我了解美国的工作申请过程并帮我找到了餐厅职位 后来我想去酒店工作时，华促会也帮我了解酒店行业并帮助我找到了酒店职位 在疫情期间，我跟很多人一样失业了，华促会的员工当时还帮助我申请失业金福利，并在疫情后又帮助我找到了酒店的职位 华促会的服务是所有三藩市华人的一条重要的经济生命线 他们帮助社团解决语言障碍，确保移民也有机会发展职业 我强烈希望市政府会恢复华促会的就业服务资金，让他们继续为三藩市提供服务

Hi everyone, I'm Yanqi, I live in District 3. My first contact with Chinese for Affirmative Action was around 2013, when CAA taught me about the job search process in the US and helped me find a restaurant job. When I wanted to switch to the hospitality industry, CAA provided information about hotel work and helped me find a position in a hotel. During the pandemic, like a lot of people, I lost my job. CAA staff stepped in and helped me with

unemployment applications, and even helped me find another hotel job after the pandemic. CAA's services are an important lifeline for Chinese residents of San Francisco. They help the community overcome the language barrier, ensuring that immigrants can also have opportunities for economic growth. I hope the City will restore CAA's employment services funding, so they can keep serving San Francisco.

--

Socrates Li | 李牧童

Chinese for Affirmative Action | Community Advocate, Economic Justice

华人权益促进会 | 经济正义项目-社区倡议者

he/him/他 | (415) 738-3385 | (415) 274-6750 ext 307

hotline/热线号码 | (415) 598-8508

sli@caasf.org

From:	rharrisjr1@gmail.com
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); ChanStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff; EngardioStaff (BOS); MahmoodStaff; DorseyStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); FielderStaff; Waltonstaff (BOS); ChenStaff; Sewlal, Alyssa (CON); Ginsburg, Phil (REC); Madland, Sarah (REC); Ketcham, Dana (REC); Major, Erica (BOS)
Cc:	Ginsburg, Phil (REC); Madland, Sarah (REC); Ketcham, Dana (REC); Summers, Ashley (REC); Tom Smith; Dan Burke; Mark Duane; "Lance Wong"; twohsieh@gmail.com
Subject:	Board of Supervisors Budget and Appropriations Committee / Budget Hearing June 12, 10 a.m. / Rec & Park Dept / SF Public Golf Alliance Letter, Petition
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 2:14:11 PM
Attachments:	SFPGA.Ltr.Budget.Cttee.Rec.Park.Golf.Budget.Jn.23.25.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Budget and Appropriations Committee / Budget Public Comment / June 23 / Rec & Park Dept / Golf / SF Public Golf Alliance Comment Letter

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisors Budget Committee, Controller's Office, and Supervisors –
Enclosed above please find June 23 Public Comment Letter from the non-profit San Francisco Public Golf Alliance, for filing w/ Mayor's Budget Office and the Supervisors' Budget Committee in connection with its June 23 public hearing on the Recreation and Parks Department Budget for FY 2026-27.
Please circulate to the Committee and Board Members and appropriate City Offices, including the Controller's Office regarding the Budget. And please confirm receipt.
Thank you for your service and courtesy.

Richard Harris, President
San Francisco Public Golf Alliance
826 Stanyan St.
San Francisco, CA. 94117
415-290-5718

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC GOLF ALLIANCE

826 Stanyan St., San Francisco, CA. 94117 * 415-290-5718 • info@sfpublicgolf.org

June 23, 2025

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Budget & Appropriations Committee
Supervisor Connie Chan, Chair
City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA. 94102

Supervisors' Budget & Appropriations Subcommittee / June 23, 2025 Public Comment / Rec-Park

Dear Chair Chan and Honorable Supervisors,

The San Francisco Public Golf Alliance (SFPGA) is a San Francisco-based 501(c)(3) public benefit organization representing a diverse and growing community of 7,000-plus public golfers, dedicated to the promotion and preservation of affordable, environmentally friendly public golf for all.

Restoring Rec & Park General Fund Support for Public Golf in FY 2026–27

We applaud the Recreation and Park Department's June 20 revision to its budget proposal, which (1) formally withdrew the Department's original plan to eliminate what it called a $7.5 million General Fund "subsidy" to its Golf Fund, and (2) reaffirmed the Department's commitment to "maintaining affordable access to municipal golf for all."[1] This is a crucial step, and we support it.

Transparency, Collaboration, and Long-Term Strategy

The current budget process highlights a broader issue: the absence of a long-term, strategic plan for San Francisco's municipal golf courses. A clear and transparent roadmap is essential to address the following persistent challenges:

- Need a sustainable funding model
- Ensuring affordability and equitable access for all
- Chronic deferred maintenance
- Lack of investment in renovating golf infrastructure and clubhouses.

[1] **SF Rec & Park Supplemental Report to Budget and Appropriations Committee, Jn. 20, 2025, at pp. 9-10**
https://sfgov.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=14312821&GUID=DDBE23FE-754A-474F-912F-A790055A1336

For example, a key concern is the longstanding lease structure at several of the municipal golf courses, which have remained on month-to-month holdover status — at Lincoln and Sharp for more than 20 years. These indefinite holdover arrangements reduce stability and discourage capital investment, accelerating deterioration of clubhouses, infrastructure, and course conditions.

We respectfully urge the Mayor's Office, Board of Supervisors, and the Recreation and Park Department to collaborate with key stakeholders — including the City's workforce and the public golf community — on a comprehensive strategy, based on transparent information, that protects and improves San Francisco's world-class municipal golf assets.

Philanthropic support was key for the renovations of the Harding Park and Fleming courses (2002–04) and the Golden Gate Park 9-hole course (2023–24). A meaningful public-private partnership can and should be part of the solution now.

Public golf is important in San Francisco

Public golf is deeply rooted in San Francisco's civic and recreational legacy. From John McLaren's early 20th-century vision for inclusive, affordable golf—when he personally oversaw the construction of Lincoln, Harding, and Sharp Park—to today's programs for youth, seniors, women, and veterans, the City has been a pioneer and leader in public golf access and equity through initiatives like:

- Discount cards for residents
- Free or reduced-rate greens fees for children, school teams, and seniors
- The First Tee youth program
- Free lessons for women and wounded veterans
- Racial integration of golf in the mid-1950s.

In the post-COVID era, golf has seen a nationwide surge, with particularly strong growth in San Francisco. Golf fee and resident card revenues at the City's municipal courses have averaged **$11.1 million annually** over the past four fiscal years (FY 2020–21 through 2023–24)—a **54% increase** over the pre-pandemic annual average of $7.2 million (FY 2016–17 through 2019–20).

In FY 2023–24, golf operations generated **$16.1 million** in total revenue, including fees and concession income—making it the single largest source of earned revenue for Rec & Park, far outpacing income from garages, athletic fields, concerts, and aquatic facilities.[2]

Since the 1940s, San Francisco's public and private golf courses have also served as distinguished venues for national and international championships, boosting tourism and elevating the City's profile in the sporting world.

[2] **Recreation & Park Department Year-End Financial Report, Fiscal Year 2023-24,** at pp. 2, 4-5 https://sfrecpark.org/DocumentCenter/View/24764/Item-3a-Financial-Report---Expenditure-and-Revenue-FY24-Year-End-Report-Final-101724?bidId=

We are optimistic about the future of public golf in San Francisco and remain committed to working in collaboration with Civic leaders, labor, Rec & Park, and the broader community to sustain and grow this vital recreational tradition. With thoughtful planning, transparency, and collaboration, public golf can continue to thrive as an inclusive, accessible, and sustainable civic asset.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard Harris

President, San Francisco Public Golf Alliance

cc: Mayor David Lurie, Mayor's Budget Office, Board of Supervisors, Controller's Office, Rec & Park Commission, Gen. Mgr. Phil Ginsburg, Dana Ketcham, Sarah Madland

From:	Michael Nulty
To:	ChanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; DorseyStaff (BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); MahmoodStaff; MandelmanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Lurie, Daniel (MYR)
Subject:	Fund 4% Cost of Doing Business Increase
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 1:37:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

We urge you to close the gap in the Human Services Network's request by funding a full 4% Cost of Doing Business increase (an additional $25.2M) and to protect the $3 million promised to the Dignity Fund, which is crucial for maintaining essential services for our most vulnerable populations.

As a community member of the Dignity Fund Coalition, we and our nonprofit partners work and advocate tirelessly to serve the growing population of older adults and adults with disabilities—this demographic is projected to constitute a significant 30% of San Francisco residents by the year 2030. However, the current budget proposals, if implemented as they stand, put at risk the vital services and meaningful jobs that provide food, computer training, emergency preparedness, and crucial social connections for thousands within our community.

Without sufficient investment in these services, we face the grim reality that programs will inevitably shrink, vital service sites will close their doors, and dedicated workers—who already endure widening pay gaps compared to City staff—will face layoffs that not only impact their livelihoods but also the support systems that countless individuals rely upon. The Dignity Fund Coalition stands united with CASE and the Human Services Network in a collective call for fair and sustainable funding that accurately reflects the true cost of keeping our communities safe, connected, and cared for.

San Francisco has a proud legacy in human services, marked by a deep commitment to fostering resilience and providing support for the diverse populations that call this vibrant city home. We ask you to uphold that longstanding commitment now, ensuring that the necessary resources are allocated adequately to maintain the integrity of our vital human services infrastructure. This commitment is essential not only to protect the dignity of those we serve but also to enhance the quality of life for all residents. It is imperative that we prioritize the needs of our community, recognizing the unique challenges faced by various groups, and reinforcing our collective responsibility to ensure that no one is left behind as we move forward together. By doing so, we can create a more inclusive and supportive environment where every individual can thrive and contribute to the richness of our city.

Sincerely,

Michael Nulty

P.O. Box 420782
San Francisco, CA 94142-0782
(415) 339-8327 - Direct
(415) 339-8779 - Alliance for a Better District 6
(415) 339-8683 - Central City Democrats
(415) 937-1289 - North of Market Business Association
(415) 820-1412 - Tenderloin Futures Collaborative
http://abd6.cfsites.org/

From: Ian Novosel
To: ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; MahmoodStaff
Subject: Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 1:32:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Ian Novosel
Email	thembeast25@gmail.com

Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed $5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments from the consequences of their own fiscal irresponsibility

Please reject the fee.

Thank You

Acquisition Method	Mobilization Method

From:	Somera, Alisa (BOS)
To:	Major, Erica (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS)
Subject:	RE: 2025 Annual Budget Public Comment Submission
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 12:37:42 PM
Attachments:	CAA - Annual Budget Public Comment.docx CAA - Annual Budget Public Comment.pdf

---

Erica… I'm looping in Wilson, but he may not respond until later.

Wilson… do we have Chinese public comment translated for the file?

Alisa Somera
Legislative Deputy Director
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
415.554.7711 direct | 415.554.5163 fax
alisa.somera@sfgov.org

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a "virtual" meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Click **HERE** to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2025 10:44 AM
To: Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; De Asis, Edward (BOS) <edward.deasis@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: 2025 Annual Budget Public Comment Submission

Hello Alisa,

Here are the comment submitted from the Chinese for Affirmative Action group. Are we using OCEIA or is there a separate contact for translation?

ERICA MAJOR
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
Erica.Major@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a "virtual" meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Click **HERE** to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Crystal Van <cvan@caasf.org>
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2025 8:00 AM
To: Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: 2025 Annual Budget Public Comment Submission

Thank you for your response, Erica!

Please find attached the pdf and word files of 9 public comments from community members in Chinese about the Annual Budget.
Let me know if you have any questions or need the public comments in another format.

On Wed, Jun 18, 2025 at 2:42 PM Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org> wrote:

> Hello Crystal,

All is well, thanks for reaching out. We are pleased to inform you that this year we are happy to receive and process your written public comments in Chinese. We have allocated the necessary resources for this submittal.

Please note that this is not one of our usual services. As such, our turnaround time will depend on the timeframe of the contractor that is sourced. When submitting public comment combined PDF is preferred but for this instance please submit in PDF and Word.

Regards,

ERICA MAJOR
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
Erica.Major@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a "virtual" meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Click **HERE** to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Crystal Van <cvan@caasf.org>
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 1:22 PM
To: Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>
Subject: 2025 Annual Budget Public Comment Submission

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Erica,

I hope you're well!
Chinese for Affirmative Action is looking to submit a couple of written public comment related to this year's annual budget.
Are we able to submit them in written Chinese?
If so, shall we send them over email, separated or combined, or have them in a pdf/word file, separated or combined?

Thank you for your response!

Warmest,
Crystal

--

Crystal Van | 文思
Chinese for Affirmative Action
Civic Engagement Program Manager
华人权益促进会 | 社区参与项目经理
she/her/**她** | (415) 738-3354
cvan@caasf.org

CAA has multiple open positions. Apply now!

--

Warmest,

Crystal

各位市参事好，

我叫周彩娟。我住第3区，邮区94108。我在三藩市住20年。

我曾经在三藩市总医院看医生，但没有提供中文翻译服务。因此担误了看医生的时间，而且去拿药的时候也没有提供任何语言服务。所以我希望能争取我熟悉的语言服务。谢谢!

我请求您全力支持和资助语言访问网络（LANSF)，并确保公民参与和移民事务办公室（OCEIA）和所有城市部门拥有必要的资源，更好地为英语能力有限的三藩市居民提供服务。

Hello Supervisors,

My name is Caiquan Zhou. I live in District 3, zip code 94108. I have lived in San Francisco for 20 years.

I once sought medical care at San Francisco General Hospital, but no Chinese interpretation services were provided. As a result, my appointment was delayed, and when I went to pick up my medication, there were also no language services available. That is why I hope to have access to interpretation services in a language I am familiar with. Thank you!

I urge you to fully support and fund the Language Access Network of San Francisco (LANSF), and to ensure that the Office of Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs (OCEIA) and all City departments have the necessary resources to better serve San Francisco residents with limited English proficiency.

各位市参事好，

我叫周彩页。我们是第5区、邮区94109。我住三藩市超25年。

我今年5月30日在CPMC 1101 Van Ness Ave 沒有提供任何中文翻译服务。由于我老公在这间急诊里，等待许久都没有得到帮助。当时我感到好无助，我希望在医院那么重要的地方，必须提供我熟悉的语言服务，谢谢!

我请求您全力支持和资助语言访问网络（LANSF)，并确保公民参与和移民事务办公室（OCEIA）和所有城市部门拥有必要的资源，更好地为英语能力有限的三藩市居民提供服务。

Hello Supervisors,

My name is Caiye Zhou. I live in District 5, zip code 94109. I have been living in San Francisco for over 25 years.

On May 30 of this year, at CPMC on 1101 Van Ness Avenue, no Chinese interpretation services were provided. My husband was in the emergency room, and we waited a long time without receiving any assistance. I felt extremely helpless at the time. I believe that in such a critical place like a hospital, it is essential to provide language services in the languages we are familiar with. Thank you!

I urge you to fully support and fund the Language Access Network of San Francisco (LANSF), and to ensure that the Office of Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs (OCEIA) and all City departments have the necessary resources to better serve San Francisco residents with limited English proficiency.

各位市参事好，

我叫 Yue Ying。我住在第三区，邮区号码 94108。我住在三藩市已二十年了，我希望政府部门保持现在的语言服务。

去医院有唐人医生，去白卡公司有唐人翻译帮手。如果有翻译，我就有办法完成。唔识，没办法处理事务。

我请求您全力支持和资助语言访问网络（LANSF），并确保公民参与和移民事务办公室（OCEIA）和所有城市部门拥有必要的资源，更好地为英语能力有限的三藩市居民提供服务。

Hello Supervisors,

My name is Yue Ying. I live in District 3, zip code 94108. I have been living in San Francisco for 20 years.

When I go to the hospital, there are Chinese-speaking doctors. At the Medi-Cal office, there are Chinese interpreters to assist me. With interpretation, I’m able to get things done. Without it, I don’t know what to do and can’t get things done.

I urge you to fully support and fund the Language Access Network of San Francisco (LANSF), and to ensure that the Office of Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs (OCEIA) and all City departments have the necessary resources to better serve San Francisco residents with limited English proficiency.

各位市参事好，

我叫 Yanny。我住在第三区，邮区号码94108。今天我在这表达对语言服务条例预算削减的担忧。

因为语言不通，我在儿童医院，因为英文不好，无法跟前台沟通而无法登记，在那里等了很久也没有翻译的服务。所以语言服务对于新移民来讲很重要的。

我请求您全力支持和资助语言访问网络（LANSF），并确保公民参与和移民事务办公室（OCEIA）和所有城市部门拥有必要的资源，更好地为英语能力有限的三藩市居民提供服务。

Hello Supervisors,

My name is Yanny. I live in District 3, zip code 94108.

Because of the language barrier and my limited English, I wasn’t able to communicate with the front desk and couldn’t complete the registration at the children’s hospital. I waited there for a long time, but no interpretation services were available. That’s why language access is so important for new immigrants.

I urge you to fully support and fund the Language Access Network of San Francisco (LANSF), and to ensure that the Office of Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs (OCEIA) and all City departments have the necessary resources to better serve San Francisco residents with limited English proficiency.

各位市参事好，

我姓余（Yu），是住在第三区，94133。 我在三藩市居住 11 年。

语言不通，我需要语言服務。

我请求您全力支持和资助语言访问网络（LANSF），并确保公民参与和移民事务办公室（OCEIA）和所有城市部门拥有必要的资源，更好地为英语能力有限的三藩市居民提供服务。

Hello Supervisors,

My surname is Yu. I live in District 3, zip code 94133. I have been living in San Francisco for 11 years.

Because of the language barrier, I need language services.

I urge you to fully support and fund the Language Access Network of San Francisco (LANSF), and to ensure that the Office of Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs (OCEIA) and all City departments have the necessary resources to better serve San Francisco residents with limited English proficiency.

各位市参事好，

我叫 Miaofen Guan。我住在第三区，邮区号码是94133（我在三藩市住36年）。今日我在此表达对语言服务条例预算削减的担忧。

我的小儿子是在美国出生的，还记得在我小儿子出世之前我很担心去医院生孩子的时候因语言不通而不知道怎么办。但很幸运的在我生孩子那天碰到一个会讲国语的护士，我儿子因早产要住进 ICU，护士她们不会讲中文，但她们会递我用机器找翻译。

我请求您全力支持和资助语言访问网络（LANSF），并确保公民参与和移民事务办公室（OCEIA）和所有城市部门拥有必要的资源，更好地为英语能力有限的三藩市居民提供服务。

Hello Supervisors,

My name is Miaofen Guan. I live in District 3, zip code 94133 (I have been living in San Francisco for 36 years.)

My youngest son was born in the United States. I still remember that before he was born, I was very worried about giving birth at the hospital because I didn’t know what to do due to the language barrier. Fortunately, on the day I gave birth, there was a nurse who spoke Mandarin. My son was born prematurely and had to stay in the ICU. Although the nurses there didn’t speak Chinese, they provided a device to help with interpretation.

I urge you to fully support and fund the Language Access Network of San Francisco (LANSF), and to ensure that the Office of Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs (OCEIA) and all City departments have the necessary resources to better serve San Francisco residents with limited English proficiency.

各位市参事好，

我叫 Sally。我住在第六区，邮区94102。我是代表华促会。我希望市参事支持继续語言服务网络。語言服务好重要，因為新移民人士英语有限，有咗言語服务溝通上不会有障碍，可以得到解决问题所在。

我请求您全力支持和资助语言访问网络（LANSF)，并确保公民参与和移民事务办公室（OCEIA）和所有城市部门拥有必要的资源，更好地为英语能力有限的三藩市居民提供服务。

Hello Supervisors,

My name is Sally. I live in District 6, zip code 94102.

My name is Sally. I live in District 6, zip code 94102. I am a representative of Chinese for Affirmative Action. I hope the Board of Supervisors will continue to support the Language Access Network. Language access is very important because many new immigrants have limited English proficiency. With in-language services, there are no communication barriers, and problems can be properly addressed.

I urge you to fully support and fund the Language Access Network of San Francisco (LANSF), and to ensure that the Office of Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs (OCEIA) and all City departments have the necessary resources to better serve San Francisco residents with limited English proficiency.

各位市参事好，

我是社区人士，叫 Wing，邮区号码94133，居住在三藩市六年多。

曾经打电话去医院要求中文翻译，都有中文翻译，态度都很好。 三藩市还有很多人英文有限，特别是专业的英文，所以很需要有语言服务。

我请求您全力支持和资助语言访问网络（LANSF)，并确保公民参与和移民事务办公室（OCEIA）和所有城市部门拥有必要的资源，更好地为英语能力有限的三藩市居民提供服务。

Hello Supervisors,

I am a community member, my name is Wing. I live within the zip code 94133. I have been living in San Francisco over 6 years.

I once called the hospital to request Chinese interpretation, and they provided it. The interpreters were all very professional and kind. There are still many people in San Francisco with limited English proficiency, especially when it comes to understanding technical or medical terms. That’s why language services are so important.

I urge you to fully support and fund the Language Access Network of San Francisco (LANSF), and to ensure that the Office of Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs (OCEIA) and all City departments have the necessary resources to better serve San Francisco residents with limited English proficiency.

各位市参事好，

我叫司徒，邮区94108，在三藩市居住28年，第三区。
上月我的车卡免其，卡内有钱、打卡时取我卡 4元，但打电话去，我不知英语，沒有回话。现在的钱取不到，所以要用语言服务。

我请求您全力支持和资助语言访问网络（LANSF），并确保公民参与和移民事务办公室（OCEIA）和所有城市部门拥有必要的资源，更好地为英语能力有限的三藩市居民提供服务。

Hello Supervisors,

My name is Situ, zip code 94108, I have been living in San Francisco for 28 years in District 3.

Last month, my transit card expired, but there was still money in it. When I tapped the card, $4 was deducted. I called to ask about it, but I couldn’t communicate because I don’t speak English. Now I can’t get my money back. That’s why language services are necessary.

I urge you to fully support and fund the Language Access Network of San Francisco (LANSF), and to ensure that the Office of Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs (OCEIA) and all City departments have the necessary

resources to better serve San Francisco residents with limited English proficiency.

各位市参事好，

我叫周彩娟。我住第3区，邮区94108。我在三藩市住20年。

我曾经在三藩市总医院看医生，但没有提供中文翻译服务。因此担误了看医生的时间，而且去拿药的时候也没有提供任何语言服务。所以我希望能争取我熟悉的语言服务。谢谢!

我请求您全力支持和资助语言访问网络（LANSF)，并确保公民参与和移民事务办公室（OCEIA) 和所有城市部门拥有必要的资源，更好地为英语能力有限的三藩市居民提供服务。

各位市参事好，

我叫周彩页。我们是第5区、邮区94109。我住三藩市超25年。

我今年5月30日在CPMC 1101 Van Ness Ave 沒有提供任何中文翻译服务。由于我老公在这间急诊里，等待许久都没有得到帮助。当时我感到好无助，我希望在医院那么重要的地方，必须提供我熟悉的语言服务，谢谢!

我请求您全力支持和资助语言访问网络（LANSF)，并确保公民参与和移民事务办公室（OCEIA) 和所有城市部门拥有必要的资源，更好地为英语能力有限的三藩市居民提供服务。

各位市参事好，

我叫 Yue Ying。我住在第三区，邮区号码 94108。我住在三藩市已二十年了，我希望政府部门保持现在的语言服务。

去医院有唐人医生，去白卡公司有唐人翻译帮手。如果有翻译，我就有办法完成。唔识，没办法处理事务。

我请求您全力支持和资助语言访问网络（LANSF)，并确保公民参与和移民事务办公室（OCEIA) 和所有城市部门拥有必要的资源，更好地为英语能力有限的三藩市居民提供服务。

各位市参事好，

我叫 Yanny。我住在第三区，邮区号码94108。今天我在这表达对语言服务条例预算削减的担忧。

因为语言不通，我在儿童医院，因为英文不好，无法跟前台沟通而无法登记，在那里等了很久也没有翻译的服务。所以语言服务对于新移民来讲很重要的。

我请求您全力支持和资助语言访问网络（LANSF)，并确保公民参与和移民事务办公室（OCEIA) 和所有城市部门拥有必要的资源，更好地为英语能力有限的三藩市居民提供服务。

各位市参事好，

我姓余（Yu），是住在第三区，94133。 我在三藩市居住 11 年。

语言不通，我需要语言服務。

我请求您全力支持和资助语言访问网络（LANSF)，并确保公民参与和移民事务办公室（OCEIA) 和所有城市部门拥有必要的资源，更好地为英语能力有限的三藩市居民提供服务。

各位市参事好，

我叫 Miaofen Guan。我住在第三区，邮区号码是94133（我在三藩市住36年）。今日我在此表达对语言服务条例预算削减的担忧。

我的小儿子是在美国出生的，还记得在我小儿子出世之前我很担心去医院生孩子的时候因语言不通而不知道怎么办。但很幸运的在我生孩子那天碰到一个会讲国语的护士，我儿子因早产要住进 ICU，护士她们不会讲中文，但她们会递我用机器找翻译。

我请求您全力支持和资助语言访问网络（LANSF)，并确保公民参与和移民事务办公室（OCEIA) 和所有城市部门拥有必要的资源，更好地为英语能力有限的三藩市居民提供服务。

各位市参事好，

我叫 Sally。我住在第六区，邮区94102。我是代表华促会。我希望市参事支持继续語言服务网络。語言服务好重要，因為新移民人士英语有限，有咗言語服务溝通上不会有障碍，可以得到解决问题所在。

我请求您全力支持和资助语言访问网络（LANSF)，并确保公民参与和移民事务办公室（OCEIA) 和所有城市部门拥有必要的资源，更好地为英语能力有限的三藩市居民提供服务。

各位市参事好，

我是社区人士，叫 Wing，邮区号码94133，居住在三藩市六年多。

曾经打电话去医院要求中文翻译，都有中文翻译，态度都很好。 三藩市还有很多人英文有限，特别是专业的英文，所以很需要有语言服务。

我请求您全力支持和资助语言访问网络（LANSF)，并确保公民参与和移民事务办公室（OCEIA) 和所有城市部门拥有必要的资源，更好地为英语能力有限的三藩市居民提供服务。

各位市参事好，

我叫司徒，邮区94108，在三藩市居住28年，第三区。

上月我的车卡免其，卡内有钱、打卡时取我卡 4元，但打电话去，我不知英语，沒有回话。现在的钱取不到，所以要用语言服务。

我请求您全力支持和资助语言访问网络（LANSF)，并确保公民参与和移民事务办公室（OCEIA) 和所有城市部门拥有必要的资源，更好地为英语能力有限的三藩市居民提供服务。

From:	Giannina Murphy
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	Invest in Youth: Support Critical Services for Young People Experiencing Homelessness
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 12:25:45 PM
Attachments:	Outlook-signature1

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mr. Jalipa,

I'm writing to urge your continued support and investment in programs that ensure young people experiencing homelessness in our community have access to the services and opportunities they need not just to survive, but ***to thrive***.

As an employee at a non profit in SF, I want to advocate for critical resources that meet the real, immediate, and long-term needs of young people:

- **Clinical Support** – Because a mental health crisis doesn't wait for business hours. Young people need access to therapeutic support whenever they need it.
- **Direct Case Transfer Program** – Because young people deserve a financial foundation as they transition from crisis to stability.
- **Emergency Hotel Vouchers** – Because no young person should be forced to choose between unsafe conditions and sleeping on the street.
- **Food Security** – Because no young person should go hungry while trying to rebuild their life.
- **Housing Ladder Subsidies** – Because long-term success depends on stable, flexible housing options for youth exiting permanent supportive housing.
- **Paid, Career-Focused Training** – Because all young people, regardless of their housing status, deserve access to meaningful, living-wage work in fields they care about.

These programs aren't optional. **They are essential.** I strongly urge you to support full funding and expansion of these initiatives so that every young person in our city has the chance to reach their full potential.

Thank you for your leadership and partnership in making San Francisco a place where all youth can thrive!

Sincerely,

Giannina Murphy
Pronouns: she/her
Director of Recruiting
Phone 415.504.3051 ext. 335

Linkedin

Join the movement to #endyouthhomlessness
We're Hiring! Apply today!

LARKIN STREET
YOUTH SERVICES

The mission of Larkin Street Youth Services is to create a continuum of services that inspires youth to move beyond the street. We will nurture potential, promote dignity, and support bold steps by all.

We acknowledge that we live and work on the unceded ancestral homeland of the Ramaytush Ohlone peoples, who are the original inhabitants of the San Francisco Peninsula.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally protected from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient of this message or their agent, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply email and then delete this message and any attachments. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, copying, or storage of this message or its attachments is strictly prohibited.

The information in this email and in any attachments is confidential and may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy this message, delete any copies held on your systems and notify the sender immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you should not retain, copy, or use this email for any purpose, nor disclose all or any part of its content to any other person. Thank you.

From: Michael Luna
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS)
Subject: [Public Comment] Larkin Street Youth Services
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 12:04:38 PM
Attachments: Outlook-1467927012.png

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Supervisors,

My name is Michael Luna, a bilingual clinician, representing Larkin Street Youth Servies and I'm here to advocate for urgent investments in our city's transitional-aged youth—specifically through 24/7 wrap-around clinical services at the TAY Health & Wellness Center.

A young person can experience a mental health crisis at any time, day or night. Right now, we don't have enough clinical staff or safe spaces available for youth to stabilize, especially overnight. We're asking for a DPH clinician and Peer Support Specialist to ensure young people can always access care, get assessed, and be connected to a bed at the TAY Navigation Center.

But clinical support alone isn't enough. We also need a **Direct Case Transfer Program**, because our youth need a financial boost to build stability. We need **Emergency Hotel Vouchers**, because every young person fleeing unsafe conditions deserves quick access to safety. We need **Food Security**, because no youth should go hungry. We need **Housing Ladder Subsidies**, so youth exiting supportive housing can continue to grow, not fall through the cracks. And we need **Paid Career-Focused Training**, because every young person deserves meaningful work and a living wage.

This is how we break cycles and build futures. I urge you to fund these critical supports.

Thank you,

Michael Luna, AMFT
Pronouns: He/Him/El
Bilingual Clinician
Larkin Street Youth Services
134 Golden Gate Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone (415) 527-0562
www.larkinstreetyouth.org



The mission of Larkin Street Youth Services is to create a continuum of services that inspires youth to move beyond the street. We will nurture potential, promote dignity, and support bold steps by all.

We acknowledge that we live and work on the unceded ancestral homeland of the Ramaytush Ohlone peoples, who are the original inhabitants of the San Francisco Peninsula.

The information in this email and in any attachments is confidential and may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy this message, delete any copies held on your systems and notify the sender immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you should not retain, copy, or use this email for any purpose, nor disclose all or any part of its content to any other person. Thank you.

From: Rusanoff, Nicholas (VAC)
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment for Budget and Appropriations Committee – Monday, June 23, 2025
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 11:26:28 AM

Dear Clerk Jalipa,

I am submitting this written public comment for the record in connection with today's Budget and Appropriations Committee Meeting on Monday, June 23, 2025.

My name is Nicholas Rusanoff, Vice President of the San Francisco Veterans Affairs Commission. I am writing to express strong opposition to the recommendation to reclassify the County Veterans Service Officer (CVSO) position from its current 0922 Manager level to a lower 4233 Claims Representative Supervisor classification.

This recommendation misunderstands the complexity and scope of the CVSO's role. The current Manager-level position is not merely administrative—it is integrative and strategic. The CVSO must coordinate across multiple sectors: local nonprofits, city departments, the criminal justice system, federal agencies, and even the Department of Defense. This requires leadership, diplomacy, and operational oversight that far exceed a supervisory role.

Moreover, the CVSO directly facilitates veterans' access to healthcare, housing, pension benefits, and critical discharge upgrades. Their work gets veterans off the streets and into federal systems—reducing the burden on city-funded services while channeling federal resources into our community. This is not only a moral imperative but a smart fiscal investment.

Downgrading the role risks unraveling these efforts. In a time when our nation's veterans are being stripped of federal protections, San Francisco must lead by example and invest in the infrastructure that supports those who have served.

I respectfully urge the Committee to retain the CVSO position at the 0922 Manager level to ensure our city continues to serve veterans with the effectiveness, dignity, and leadership they deserve.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Rusanoff

Vice President

San Francisco Veterans Affairs Commission

From: DPH-nqin
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 10:25:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mr. Brent,

My name is Nathalie Qin. I am a resident of the Mission district and have worked at Larkin Street Youth Services' Clinical department here in the tenderloin for the last 6 years. This year, I am asking you to please make transition-aged youth experiencing homelessness a budget priority!

I am advocating for 24/7 wrap-around clinical services at the TAY Health & Wellness Center that supports unhoused youth.

Youth often find themselves at greatest risk of exposure to triggers to mental health crises such as loneliness, isolation, exposure to physical harm, lack of access to safe people/adults, proximity to abusers, after regular business hours due to closures of otherwise safer spaces.

The only 24/7 mental health supports that are available to our youth are only accessible if they are in an acute suicidal crisis. Our youth deserve to receive compassionate and qualified care before they become a danger to themselves or others. We need mental health crisis prevention services at all times of the day and night to reduce our reliance on already stressed crisis-services such as hospitals and DORE urgent care.

Providing early intervention for mental health concerns vastly improves outcomes and will support youth in exiting homelessness in a lasting way.

Best,

Nathalie Qin, LCSW
LCSW #107544
Pronouns: She/Her
Manager of Harm Reduction
Larkin Street Youth Services
134 Golden Gate Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone 415.244.4374 | Fax 415.749.3838

www.larkinstreetyouth.org



The mission of Larkin Street Youth Services is to create a continuum of services that inspires youth to move beyond the street. We will nurture potential, promote dignity, and support bold steps by all.

We acknowledge that we live and work on the unceded ancestral homeland of the Ramaytush Ohlone peoples, who are the original inhabitants of the San Francisco Peninsula.

The information in this email and in any attachments is confidential and may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy this message, delete any copies held on your systems and notify the sender immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you should not retain, copy, or use this email for any purpose, nor disclose all or any part of its content to any other person. Thank you.

From: Nick Podell
To: ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; MahmoodStaff
Subject: Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 8:00:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent	Nick Podell
Email	nick@podell.com

Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed $5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments from the consequences of their own fiscal irresponsibility

Please reject the fee.

Thank You

From: Leslie Podell
To: ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; MelgarStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; MahmoodStaff
Subject: Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 7:59:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent: Leslie Podell

Email: lusher_heckle_0s@icloud.com

Vote Against Charging for Use of Public Tennis Courts

Message:

Dear San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department and the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I'm writing to voice my opposition to the proposed $5/hour reservation fee for public tennis and pickleball courts. This policy undermines equitable access to public space and places a financial burden on the communities that San Francisco claims to prioritize. These courts are essential civic infrastructure, paid for with taxpayer dollars.

It should not be the public's burden to bail out city departments from the consequences of their own fiscal irresponsibility

Please reject the fee.

Thank You

From:	Emily Yang
To:	ChenStaff
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Budget, MYR (MYR); Youthcom, (BOS)
Subject:	24-25 SF City Budget Public Comment - Youth Commission Budget Advocacy
Date:	Monday, June 23, 2025 6:08:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Lurie, President Mandelman, and Supervisor Chen,

My name is Emily Yang and I am honored to serve as a Mayoral Appointed Youth Commissioner representing San Francisco youth citywide. I am both a resident and student in District 11, living in the Excelsior and attending Balboa High School. I'm submitting this public comment into the record to uplift the needs and priorities of youth in our district and across the City and County of San Francisco.

As one of your constituents, I strongly encourage all of you and your colleagues on the Board of Supervisors to approve a budget that meets the needs of young people by **supporting the Youth Commission's Budget and Policy Priorities for Fiscal Years 2025-26 and 2026-27**. The YC's highest priorities are:

- Expand Reliability and Access to Transit & Keep Free Muni for All Youth (pg. 6-7)
- Protect Undocumented Families from Deportation and Maintain Access to Education (pg. 10)
- Commit to Nutritious and Culturally Relevant Meals at SFUSD (pg. 15)
- Continue and Increase Support for In-School Youth Development Programs (pg. 20)
- Access to Workforce and Youth Employment Programs (pg. 27)
- Accelerate Efforts to Combat and Prepare for the Impacts of Climate Change (pg. 30)
- Improving Police Relations with Youth (pg. 38)
-

Address Sexual Assault & Harassment in Schools (pg. 44)

- Address Affordable Housing Crisis & Build Education Workforce Housing (pg. 64, 68)
- Address Living Conditions in SROs & Equitable Housing Assistance for Youth/TAY (pg. 70, 74)

Additionally, based on the proposed budget from the Mayor's Office and via listening sessions with various community organizations across the City, we are asking you to continue supporting funding for these initiatives:

- General Civil Legal Aid
- Food Security Program Funding
- Youth Workforce Programs (e.g. Opportunities for All)
- Department of Early Childhood, "Baby [Prop] C", Funding

Supporting these priorities is important because San Francisco's success is built up by youth. As leaders of the future, the issues youth see are unique to their lived experiences. As a youth living in a single-parent, newcomer household, I know what it's like to worry about dinner on the table, stable employment, secure housing, and overcoming language barriers. Living in constant anxiety about basic needs was the reality of my childhood and is the daily reality of many youth lives. Current budget cuts will hinder critical food, nutrition, and oral health services provided by community based organizations serving AAPI and BIPOC communities. Without adequate food security, youth are unable to meet basic physiological needs outlined in Maslow's Hierarchy and can't thrive in their day-to-day lives from school and academics to fulfilling household responsibilities, or maintaining their mental health. In my life, skipping meals because of food insecurity has negatively affected the way I can show up for others -- whether in class, at home, with friends, or any other community. I become tired and am unable to concentrate and be present. However, community based organizations who gave my family and I food resources and language assistance to acquire this has helped me overcome this struggle. Therefore, I urge you to **preserve funding for community based organizations that provide services and resources to alleviate nutritional food and oral health insecurity.**

Next, **protecting Free Muni For All Youth is pivotal to the success of San Francisco**

youth. This program enables youth to effectively mobilize through the City including having greater access to green spaces, job opportunities, school, and more. In 2024, Muni ridership rose by 16% compared to 2023. This increase demonstrates a meaningful rebound from pandemic low ridership. Additionally, this program has numerous environmental benefits and alleviates financial burdens for low-income families who can't afford to purchase private vehicles or other modes of transportation. This program is one of the reasons why I love San Francisco. This past weekend, I visited Sacramento for the American Legion Boys and Girls State Program, and I connected with youth throughout California and learned about the transportation landscape from nearly all 58 counties in California, and I was surprised to learn that very few have Free Muni For Youth Programs. Instantly, I was reminded of the vitality of this program from ensuring that I can get to school, work, my Youth Commission meetings, and back home safely and without worry of the cost. This program is what makes San Francisco one of the greatest cities in the nation.

Workforce programs are also instrumental to youth lives. As a young person who has participated in internships from City workforce initiatives from Opportunities for All (OFA)and the Japanese Community Youth Center (JCYC) like Youthworks, I've benefited from gaining practical job readiness skills across various career sectors, ultimately leading me to pursue law and government and stand here before all of you today as a Youth Commissioner. Working also allowed me to support my family, and reduced financial burdens for my family in affording necessities from weekly groceries to monthly utility bills. The skills I learn are not traditionally taught in schools, and prepared me for the practical world beyond high school such as financial literacy and managing credit. Additionally, OFA not only provides critical workforce development services, but also essential support for our vulnerable newcomer communities. Especially in this time, we must stand with our newcomer youth and families, and in addition to funding legal aid services, OFA directly provides services for newcomers.

Mayor Lurie, you recommitted to OFA and Black2SF in February 2025 - Black History month, recognizing these program's instrumental impact and investment towards San Francisco's Black community. Therefore, I urge you and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors to **preserve funding and support for workforce community based organizations, especially Opportunities for All.**

As for Climate Change, this threat will continue to hinder the safety of young people. By 2100, the San Francisco Bay is expected to rise up to 7ft. Vulnerable neighborhoods that will be directly affected by coastal flooding are the Chinatown, Mission Bay, Bayview, Marina, and Treasure Island. Without protective actions, 77 billion dollars of property value is at risk, with 37 billion dollars being public property. Youth must be equipped with the skills and resources to face climate challenges in the near future, and this starts with education -- expanding the San Francisco Environment Department's education to develop a curriculum

that covers a wider scope of climate topics and continue their work in climate resilience and in the long term, supporting a SFUSD district-wide Climate Action Day. In addition, we must install more Electric Vehicle (EV) charging to meet the growing demand for EVs in San Francisco. For every 10 EVs, there should be at least 1 charger. To address San Francisco's heat waves and urban heat islands, we must implement respite centers -- safe, cooling spaces for youth and families to regulate their body temperature and physical and mental well-being. Climate justice is youth justice.

Thank you for listening to the voices of San Francisco’s young people. As the future voters, workforce, and leaders of the City that we love, we encourage you to do the right thing and vote for a budget that works for youth!

Sincerely,
Emily Yang

From: Josephine Coffey
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 5:42:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Josephine Coffey
coffey.jo@gmail.com

248 Dublin Street
San Francisco, California 94112

From: Joyce Bennett
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Monday"s city hall meeting
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 8:06:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom It Concerns:

The Booker T food programs allow us who participate the needed feeling of food security. The Fillmore Safeway has closed compounding a difficult time. Affordable food resources, or even availability has been decreased, cutting any Booker T food program could cause catastrophic hardships.

Please consider other options rather than cutting essentials from needy communities.

Thank you.

Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer

From: DPH-btraynor
To: ChanStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS)
Cc: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Budget Cuts - Not
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 6:50:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Chan, Chair, and members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I am writing to you at this crucial time for our City Budget—knowing we are down to the wire to advocate for critical programs for our most vulnerable San Franciscans: our homeless, our seniors and people with disabilities, people needing food on a daily basis, those needing legal services, people living in SRO hotels, ,…

Please support funding for the following programs at minimum (and many more of course that you will hear about at public comment 6/23):

- Project Homeless Connect: $1.469M cut (Crucial Homeless Services – HSH) – A unique program in the City, PHC provides drop-in services for 7,000 homeless San Franciscans a year and provides access to food, healthcare, and essential needs;
Affects our homeless San Franciscans throughout the City.

- **Dignity Fund**: $3M cut (HSA – DAS) - Committed annual support for seniors and people with disabilities voted for by the people of S.F. Supports food, technology, emergency preparedness, and connection programs.
Affects senior sites that may close, front-line staff for many programs that provide caregiver support, community connections, housing, nutrition and wellness, and self-care and safety support for our seniors and people with disabilities.

- **Food Security Programs**: $3.45M cut (DPH and DCYF) - The grant program supports direct access to healthy food, task forces to reduce vast oral health disparities in children of color, and efforts to undo the harm of food deserts in communities long denied basic health resources.
Affects many non-profits providing food programs to low income children, adults and seniors such as Farming Hope, GLIDE, NICOS Chinese Health Coalition, SOMCAN, Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation.

- **General Legal Civil Services**: $4.2 M cut (MOHCD) - Low-barrier, accessible, free legal help for low income communities.
Affects many non-profit legal services agencies including the AIDS Legal Referral Panel, Asian Law Caucus, Bay Area Legal Aid, Instituto Laboral de la Raza, Justice & Diversity Center of the Bar, LegalLink, Mujeres Unidas y Activas, Open Door Legal…

- **Code Enforcement Outreach Program**: $4.8 M cut (Safe and Healthy Homes – DBI) - Crucial in-language outreach program that informs people—especially immigrants and

residents of public and affordable housing—about their housing rights and how to take action to maintain safe, stable homes;
Affects Chinatown Community Development Center, Housing Rights Committee of San Francisco, Mission Action, SOMCAN, Chinese Progressive Association, San Francisco Anti-Displacement Coalition, SRO Collaboratives, Tenderloin Housing Clinic.

- **DreamKeepers Initiative:** $13M cut (HRC) – Special program for Black-led organizations in particular, these cuts directly reverse the promise of reinvestment in our Black community.
Affects many organizations serving people of color in S.F. including Bayview Senior Services: $700,000, Booker T. Washington Community Service Center: $1,700,000, Chinese Culture Foundation: $200,000, Transgender District: $250,000, Queer Women of Color Media Arts: $500,000, and more.

Thank you very much for your support of these crucial programs for our most vulnerable San Franciscans.

Betty Traynor
Board President, Senior and Disability Action (SDA)
Board Member, Older Women's League (OWL)
Connector for seniors, Community Living Campaign (CLC)
District 5 resident

From:	Robert Hall
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Commission, Recpark (REC); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); crocker@sfgiants.com
Subject:	Budget Alert: Halt Costly Artificial Turf Expansion at Crocker Amazon
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 6:48:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Budget & Appropriations Committee Supervisors:

As you review this year's budget, I urge you to take a closer look at the San Francisco Recreation and Park Department's aggressive expansion of artificial turf fields — particularly the proposed renovation at **Crocker Amazon Park**.

If approved, this project would dramatically expand Rec and Park's artificial turf maintenance responsibilities to **at least 61 acres** of plastic fields — not including the plastic and rubber dog parks already under their care, or the new synthetic field they will soon inherit at **Mission Rock near Park Lab**.

Artificial turf may seem low-maintenance on the surface, but the long-term financial costs are high — especially when you factor in **required replacement every 8 to 10 years**, landfill disposal of unrecyclable material, and infrastructure repairs to the base layers.

Nearby cities are already grappling with these financial realities. As Santa Clara's Director of Parks & Recreation, Damon Sparacino, recently explained at a budget meeting:

> *"I can tell you right now that synthetic fees on the market are far and away above the cost for grass… it's important to educate both council and the community on, you know, grass grows. We can add some seeds, some dirt, some sun, some water, rest them. But synthetic turf doesn't regenerate. And every 8 to 10 years, you have to replace synthetic turf. That's just the turf part. There's the mat, which is usually a 20 to 25 year lifespan, and then there's the substrate that needs to be looked at every time you take the mat off."*

This is not a one-time investment — it's a commitment to ongoing, **unsustainable replacement and maintenance costs**. Meanwhile, **natural turf remains cheaper to maintain over time** and provides stormwater retention, cooling benefits, no microplastic concerns and habitat for birds and pollinators.

In a tight budget year, it makes no fiscal or environmental sense to expand a costly, plastic-dependent infrastructure system.

Please halt funding for the Crocker Amazon artificial turf expansion and request a full financial analysis of Rec and Park's synthetic turf footprint — including future replacement and disposal costs.

Sincerely,

Bob Hall

District 5, SF 94117

From: Dave Massen
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 6:43:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also know that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does the opposite. It cuts to the bone the already small General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may be able to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will lose any claim to be a climate champion.

I had no idea that SFE and the Climate Action Plan were in any budget trouble. Please support meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change. Please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level that meets the threat we face. Please work to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your help with this big issue.

Dave Massen

massen@pacbell.net
700 Church St Apt 313
San Francisco, California 94114-3066

From: Phyllis Levine
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Proposed cuts to SF budget
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 6:14:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

- This cut will have a big impact on my community.
- I participate in the Booker T. Washington Community Service Center's food justice programs
- Booker T. 's food programs make a difference in my life because I am old and disabled and can't do much shopping for my basic food needs. Cooking every night is also hard for me. The food programs enable us seniors to live independently.
- When you take away resources and cause food insecurity you're putting the community at risk of more chronic illness
- Food costs are rising, there's a citywide budget deficit, government-funded programs like CalFresh are getting cut, federal assistance programs like WIC are on the chopping block — these cuts would be nothing short of catastrophic to the overall wellbeing and health of my community
- This is an issue of public safety, food insecurity is linked to higher crime rates and social unrest, as people may resort to theft or other crimes when basic needs are unmet. Sincerely; Phyllis Levine

From: sfkh2025@sonic.net
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: We are in a climate crisis! The Mayor wants to ignore it, because nature can"t vote.
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 4:58:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

But we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

sfkh2025@sonic.net
1243 42nd avenue
san francisco, California 94122

From: Jay Wilson
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please either fund the Climate Action Plan or amend it.
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 3:50:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Greetings,

As a resident of District 3 and environmental activist for the last 10 years, I begin this communication with a quote from Ty in his June 13th, 2025, appearance before you.

"In 26, as I said before, there will need to be layoffs, we will stop work on our Climate Action Plan, we will stop work on all of our building electrification work and we will stop work on all of our electric vehicle transitions at a time when we desperately need it." - Tyrone Jue
https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___https://youtu.be/EJLI8A62KeI___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo3NWY5Nzk2NjhkMjhiMTRmOGJmYzY0NWIwZjRiZWNhNzo3OjU5NmM6OTNiNTNlZGJlZTNhYzA4YWQxYjZhZThjYWEzZDI4ZDI5MzQ3YTMyMGFlZWEwYzhjMDY0MTM4MzIxYTllNmNjYzp0OlQ6Tg

Next, pushing the button on the way-back-time-machine, I quote the final paragraph of the text from The Board's Climate Declaration adopted on April 2nd 2019.
https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___https://youtu.be/Z8Evpm2ioZM___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo3NWY5Nzk2NjhkMjhiMTRmOGJmYzY0NWIwZjRiZWNhNzo3OmYwODA6ZjljMmE5ZmVhMTVmNDk5NDdlZTE4MzEyY2ZhZDg4MTFkOTBiYjRkMzJhZjA4ZWUwMTAxYmMxYjUxYmRlNThlZDp0OlQ6Tg

"BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the San Francisco Board of Supervisors will work
with the Mayor's office to develop a budget that supports action to address the climate
emergency, avoid further investment of public dollars in fossil-fuel reliant infrastructure,
and ensure a climate resilient future for all San Franciscans."

Also pertinent are comments made by Aaron Peskin on July 20th, 2021, in discussions regarding the Board's updating the goals of the Climate Action Plan. For context there had been some back and forth on actually increasing the ambitions of the Climate Action Plan that SFE resisted. To paraphrase Aaron as he accepted this push back, "We don't want to set them up for failure... so I accept their sticking to certain original percentages. I also welcome their agreement to bring forward 'net zero' to 2040 from 2045."
https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___https://youtu.be/83fpstgc1_s___.YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo3NWY5Nzk2NjhkMjhiMTRmOGJmYzY0NWIwZjRiZWNhNzo3OmE2NWE6M2Q3MmM3MjJjOTRhYWIxOWM0MmU0ZGQwZTRhNGI5Nzg5Mjc1Y2NhMTIwODA4MDhiOWEyNGZjY2MwZmM3ZmU4MTp0OlQ6Tg

To conclude, there is no need for me to harangue you on the perils of the climate consequences we now face, nor is it necessary for me to remind you of the fiscal imperatives of balancing a budget. My 'ask' is simply do the right thing... either sharpen your pencils and in constructive collaboration with the Mayor's office adequately fund the Climate Action Plan or, alternatively, do the right thing and amend the Climate Action Plan so as not to set Ty, Cyndy and their dedicated staff up to fail.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Jay Wilson
strewthmate@gmail.com
3 Phoenix Ter
San Francisco, California 94133

From:	Ashley Raveche
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	June 23rd Budget and Appropriations Committee Hearing - Letter in Support
Date:	Sunday, June 22, 2025 3:30:51 PM
Attachments:	LOS Human Trafficking SF Budget 6.23.25.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.


Dear Clerk of the Board,

Please share the attached letter with Supervisors Chan, Dorsey, Engardio, Walton, and Mandelman in support of Funding to Operate the Mayor's Hotline to Report Human Trafficking.

.

Thank you

Ashley Raveche
President
United Nations Association, USA, San Francisco
president@una-sf.org



UNITED NATIONS ASSOCIATION
OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
San Francisco Chapter

June 23, 2025

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Budget and Appropriations Committee
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Via email to the Clerk of the Board, Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org

Re: June 23, 2025 Budget Hearing - Support Dept of Status of Women Funding to Operate Mayor's Hotline to Report Human Trafficking

Dear Supervisors Chan, Dorsey, Engardio, Walton, and Mandelman:

On behalf of the United Nations Association of San Francisco I am writing to **urge your support and continued commitment** to the vital work being done in San Francisco to end gender-based violence including domestic violence, sexual assault and human trafficking.

The San Francisco Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development has spearheaded services for survivors of human trafficking to report abuse in a 24-hour hotline, to ensure they have access to shelter, health services, and legal services to safely report. This program has served more than **15,000 survivors** of gender-based violence annually.

We cannot abandon these survivors in the transition of this work out of the Mayor's office and into the Department on the Status of Women.

Funding for this critical work must continue, including the 24-hour hotline which is the first line of defense to report abuse for vulnerable men and women, who are often too afraid to engage law enforcement. On average, there are 8 attempts to reach out for

UNITED NATIONS ASSOCIATION
OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
San Francisco Chapter

help before law enforcement is engaged in a report of violence. We urge San Francisco to invest in supportive resources for survivors to safely report human trafficking incidents to law enforcement and address an end to violence in our community.

San Francisco sits as a gateway to human trafficking in the Bay Area with California being the largest site of human trafficking in the United States. Additionally, the United Nations Association of San Francisco is seeing an unprecedented increase in child trafficking and cyber exploitation, as well as an increase to gender based violence and human trafficking at both a national and global level. Moreover, the National Victim Center hotline lost $3 million in federal funding cuts requiring drastic cut backs in supportive services and shifting the financial burden to local municipal support for critical services.

This is not a time for cutting back critical services without alternatives to these resources immediately available to replace them. **In fact, with the growing trend of juvenile exploitation in our community, an increase in San Francisco's investments must be made to adequately address forced labor, commercial sex trafficking, and advancements in coercive technologies targeting our youth.**

Thank you for your continued support and coordination of this critical work to address reporting human trafficking in our community and creating a pathway out of violence for survivors.

Thank you.

Ashley Raveche, President
United Nations Association of San Francisco
870 Market Street, #969
San Francisco, CA 94102
president@una-sf.org

From: Bruce Colville
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Public Comment
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 11:30:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Brent,
Can you confirm if there will be public comment prior to Wednesday's Budget Finance mtg?
What time is mtg scheduled?
Dr. Bruce Colville
Excelsior District

Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer

From: Sandra Stewart
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please increase funding for climate action
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 10:39:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

I understand that many worthy programs are competing for city money, but the mayor's budget reduce already limited funding for climate action, and as a San Francisco resident and voter, I am asking you to do better.

Negative impacts of the climate crisis--the challenge of our time, outlasting any political administration--are already with us. Underfunding climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years, is only making the problem worse.

San Franciscans believe our city is a climate leader and we want to to be. It seemed that Mayor Lurie had heard us when he said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. The newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sandra Stewart

sandra.thinkshift@gmail.com
1325 3RD AVE APT 5
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94122

From: Allyson Browne
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Don"t let Mayor Lurie derail our climate progress and our childrens" futures!
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 9:32:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a longtime San Francisco resident and mother of two young children, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

These budget cuts would derail our progress and put our children and the city in harm's way for generations to come. Please make sure this program has the funding it needs to do its job.

Allyson Browne
allysonbrowne11@gmail.com
1730 Clement Street
San Francisco, California 94121

From: Janet McGarry
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Sunday, June 22, 2025 6:17:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Janet McGarry
jmcgarry633@gmail.com

8045 Geary Blvd. #302
San Francisco , California 94121

From:	Allegra Mautner
To:	Chan, Connie (BOS); Bishop, Lamonte" (REC); Jalipa, Brent (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Dorsey, Matt (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS)
Subject:	Bring on the Paid Parking in GGP
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:47:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Supervisors,

I read a recent article about RPD considering instituting paid parking in Golden Gate Park. As an SF resident, avid cyclist, and regular user of the park, I can't wait to see drivers pay their fair share to use the park. We give so much of our public space to car storage and it is so unnecessary in our city. There are plenty of other options so if we could recuperate some of those costs, I'm all for it!

Our family is all for paid parking in Golden Gate Park. Please seriously consider instituting paid parking in GGP to help with budget shortfalls!

Allegra Mautner (she/her)
360 Coleridge St, San Francisco, CA 94110
District 9 resident
Cell: (858)361-6606

From: sarayu Madhiyazhagan
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 10:14:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

sarayu Madhiyazhagan
sarayumadhi@gmail.com

3057, Sacramento St,
San Francisco, California 94115

From: libbyingalls@igc.org
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:47:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Please prioritize and fun climate solutions as our City budgets have done for years. Otherwise the negative impacts of the climate crisis will be far more expensive.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts the General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

libbyingalls@igc.org
2565 Washington Street, Apt 3
San Francisco, California 94115

From:	Joey Lazzareschi
To:	Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Cc:	Michelle Wright
Subject:	DPA Budget Cuts
Date:	Saturday, June 21, 2025 7:55:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Budget and Appropriations Committee,

I am an Investigator with the Department of Police Accountability and have proudly served San Francisco for nearly six years. I urge you to reject the proposed layoffs in our department, and most specifically the Investigations Unit.

The positions targeted—a filled Senior Investigator and a vacant Investigator role—are not expendable. They are critical to our mission of conducting independent, timely investigations into police misconduct. The cuts will cripple our ability to complete investigations on time and meet our obligations.

The Senior Investigator slated for layoff is not only a key contributor, but a leader in our department. He is dedicated, effective, and deeply knowledgeable. Losing him would seriously damage our unit's stability, efficiency, and effectiveness.

DPA complaints have been on the rise, especially with recent protests and other large events taking place in the city. Officers are busy working overtime. More hours patrolling and being on duty provides more potential for police contacts with the public. This can lead to additional complaints being made to our office, and ultimately more investigations required.

The cuts the DPA is facing are entirely disproportionate given the small size of our department. It appears the DPA is facing the loss of 11 positions—including three layoffs. We are already stretched to the limit. This level of reduction is unsustainable and directly undermines effective civilian oversight. I would also note that the positions slated for reduction and removal are investigators and other front line type staff. Not upper management.

This is more than a staffing issue—it's a question of priorities. Without investigators, oversight becomes impossible. Further cuts will erode transparency, accountability, and public confidence in the City's commitment to justice.

I urge you: protect the integrity of our work. Reject these layoffs and stand up for meaningful police oversight in San Francisco.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Respectfully,

Joey Lazzareschi

From: Sara Greenwald
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 6:24:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I am concerned that the ongoing urgent need for local climate action, especially now that federal plans are haywire, may be pushed aside by other urgent needs including that City budget deficits and housing crisis. i appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sara Greenwald
saragreenwald2@gmail.com
1323A Lyon St
San Francisco, California 94115

From: Joyce Calagos
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 6:00:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a member of the California Chapter of the global Laudato Si Movement, who lives Pope Francis' call to everyone to hear the Cry of the Earth and the Cry of the Poor," and as a San Francisco resident, I urge you to also live Pope Francis' plea and I recognize that there is a climate crisis.

Please do everything you can to heal, restore, support and sustain our environment and all the creatures on Earth.

The City faces significant budget deficits. I appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid

SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Joyce Calagos
joycecalagos1@gmail.com
1636 Geneva Ave.
San Francisco, California 94134

From: Martha Goldin
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 5:42:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Martha Goldin
honmgret@gmail.com

701 4th Ave
San Francisco , California 94118

From: Jean Bogiages
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 5:07:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Jean Bogiages
jeanmbogiages@gmail.com

550 Utah
San Francisco, California 94110

From: Martha Gorak
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 4:43:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Martha Gorak
martha2503@gmail.com

22502 Downdale Cir.
Katy, Texas 77450

From: Renee Anderson
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 4:18:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Renee Anderson
reneesf2020@gmail.com

322 Rome Street
San Francisco, California 94112

From: Kristin Tieche
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 3:54:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Kristin Tieche
ktieche@gmail.com

2277 Fulton Street, Apt 304
San Francisco, California 94117

From: Zachary Frial
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:33:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Zachary Frial
zackryfrial@gmail.com

1531 Fulton St
San Francisco, California 94117

From: Lisa Imai
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there's a climate crisis. The Mayor's budget did not.
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:12:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident and UCLA Environmental Science graduate working in sustainability for California's community college system, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already experiencing the negative impacts of the climate crisis—impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years. In my professional work across California's community colleges, I've seen how inadequate climate funding creates cascading effects that ultimately cost more than proactive investment.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 … The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification… I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub—a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training—receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

From my sustainability work, I understand how critical programs like the Climate Equity Hub are for building community capacity and advancing equitable climate solutions. Shutting down successful models that serve our most vulnerable residents contradicts both our climate goals and our equity commitments.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

My environmental science training taught me that delayed action exponentially increases both costs and risks. What seems like budget prudence today becomes fiscal irresponsibility

tomorrow when we face the mounting costs of climate impacts and missed opportunities for federal and state climate funding.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Lisa Imai
pandas4lisa@gmail.com
1627 18th St
San Francisco, California 94107

From: Robyn Fish
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:00:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Robyn Fish
robynn334@gmail.com

1949 Chestnut St, 203
San francisco, California 94123

From: ergilbs@gmail.com
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:00:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

ergilbs@gmail.com
3632 17th St

San Francisco, California 94114

From: Michael Quiroz
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 11:00:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Michael Quiroz
qman250@gmail.com

756 8th Ave
San Francisco, California 94118

From: Suzanne O"Meara
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there is a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 10:59:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Suzanne O'Meara
sbomeara@gmail.com

201 Duncan St, Apt 5
San Francisco, California 94131

From: Chris Chidsey
To: Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject: Please acknowledge that there"s a climate crisis. The Mayor"s budget did not.
Date: Saturday, June 21, 2025 8:25:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk Brent Jalipa,

As a San Francisco resident, I recognize that the City faces significant budget deficits and appreciate the difficult choices city leaders must make during this budget cycle.

I also recognize that we're already seeing the negative impacts of the climate crisis, impacts that will only worsen if we continue to de-prioritize and underfund climate solutions, as our City budgets have done for years.

Mayor Lurie has said, "I wholeheartedly support the goal of getting to net-zero GHG emissions by 2040 ... The climate emergency is an existential threat. I believe we need large scale public sector investments in climate infrastructure and electrification... I will prioritize climate action not just in words, but in dollars and policy."

Yet his proposed budget does just the opposite. It cuts to the bone the (already tiny!) General Fund support for SF Environment Department's (SFE's) climate action work. In Year One of the budget, SFE may find a way to limp forward with much of its climate work. But the newly launched Climate Equity Hub – a successful, community-run model assisting low-income communities with home electrification while also providing technical advice and job training - receives $0 and thus will be threatened with closure.

In Year Two, SFE will have to lay off staff, losing crucial expertise and the capacity to go after substantial grant funding. Much of SFE's Climate Action Plan work, including building and transportation electrification initiatives, will end. San Francisco will certainly lose any claim to be a climate champion.

If you are serious about meeting our Climate Action Plan goals and preventing the worst of the damage we face from climate change, please add back to SFE's two-year budget sufficient General Fund money to ensure the Climate Equity Hub's continuing operations and to avoid SFE layoffs in Year Two. Then, before the next budget cycle, help the Mayor find a permanent solution that truly prioritizes the Climate Action Plan by funding it at a level commensurate with the threat we face. Now is the time to solve SFE's perpetual budget crisis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Chris Chidsey
chidseychris@gmail.com

1833 24th Ave
San Francisco , California 94122