



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

MEMO

DATE: October 24, 2017

TO: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

FROM: Devyani Jain, Acting Deputy Environmental Review Officer *DJ*

RE: Appeal Timeliness Determination –
SFMTA - Hairball Intersection Improvement Project
Planning Department Case No. 2017-001775ENV

1650 Mission St.
Suite 400
San Francisco,
CA 94103-2479

Reception:
415.558.6378

Fax:
415.558.6409

Planning
Information:
415.558.6377

An appeal of the categorical exemption for the proposed SFMTA Hairball Intersection Improvement Project on Jerrold Avenue from Barneveld Avenue to Bayshore Boulevard and on northbound Bayshore Boulevard from Jerrold Avenue to Marin Street (Planning Department Case No. 2017-001775ENV), was filed with the Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on October 19, 2017, by Mary Miles (Appellant). As explained below, the Planning Department finds the appeal to be timely filed.

Date of Approval Action	30 Days after Approval Action	Appeal Deadline (Must Be Day Clerk of Board's Office Is Open)	Date of Appeal Filing	Timely?
September 19, 2017	Thursday, October 19, 2017	Thursday, October 19, 2017	October 19, 2017	Yes

Approval Action: On May 26, 2017, the Planning Department issued a categorical exemption for the proposed project. The Approval Action for the project was the duly noticed hearing by the SFMTA Board of Directors, which occurred on September 19, 2017 (Date of the Approval Action).

Appeal Deadline: Section 31.16(a) and (e) of the San Francisco Administrative Code states that any person or entity may appeal an exemption determination to the Board of Supervisors during the time period beginning with the date of the exemption determination and ending 30 days after the Date of the Approval Action. Thus, the 30th day after the Date of the Approval Action was Thursday, October 19, 2017 (Appeal Deadline).

Appeal Filing and Timeliness: The Appellant filed the appeal of the exemption determination on October 19, 2017, prior to the end of the Appeal Deadline. Therefore, the appeal is considered timely.