File	No.	250889

Committee Item	No.	9	
Board Item No.	9		

# **COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Board of Supervisors Meeting: Date: October 27, 2025  Date: October 27, 2025  Date: November 4, 2025			
Date. November 4, 2025			
Cmte Board			
☐ Motion			
Resolution			
Legislative Digest			
Budget and Legislative Ana	lyst Report		
Youth Commission Report			
<ul><li>Introduction Form</li><li>Department/Agency Cover</li></ul>	l attar and/or Papart		
MOU	Letter and/or Neport		
Grant Information Form			
Grant Budget			
☐ Subcontract Budget			
Contract / DRAFT Mills Act			
Form 126 – Ethics Commis	sion		
Award Letter			
<ul><li>Application</li><li>Public Correspondence</li></ul>			
I ubile correspondence			
OTHER			
PC Transmittal – October 24			
CEQA Determination – Octo			
	│		
Drawayad huu Jaha Carrall Bata Oatahar 04 0005			
Prepared by: John Carroll Prepared by: John Carroll	<b>Date:</b> October 24, 2025 <b>Date:</b> October 30, 2025		
Prepared by:	Date:		
- p	Date		

1	[Business and Tax Regulations, Planning Codes - Appeal Timelines for Zoning Administrator Actions]	
2	•	
3	Ordinance amending the Business and Tax Regulations Code and the Planning Code to	
4	clarify time periods for appeals of decisions or determinations by the Zoning	
5	Administrator; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California	
6	Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and	
7	the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and making findings of	
8	public necessity, convenience, and welfare pursuant to Planning Code, Section 302.	
9	NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font.	
10	Additions to Codes are in single-underline italics Times New Roman font.  Deletions to Codes are in strikethrough italics Times New Roman font.	
11	Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font.  Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font.	
12	<b>Asterisks (* * * *)</b> indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.	
13		
14	Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:	
15		
16	Section 1. Environmental and General Plan Findings.	
17	(a) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this	
18	ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources	
19	Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of	
20	Supervisors in File No. 250889 and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms	
21	this determination.	
22	(b) On October 24, 2025, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. 21849, adopted	
23	findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance, with the	
24	City's General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The Board	

adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the

25

1	Board of Supervisors in File No. 250889, and is incorporated herein by reference.
2	(c) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, the Board finds that the Planning Code
3	amendments in this ordinance will serve the public necessity, convenience, and welfare for
4	the reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 21849, and the Board
5	incorporates such reasons herein by reference. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the
6	Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 250889 and is incorporated herein by reference.
7	
8	Section 2. Article 1 of the Business and Tax Regulations Code is hereby amended by
9	revising Section 8, to read as follows:
10	
11	SEC. 8. METHOD OF APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF APPEALS.
12	(a) Except for variance decisions and permits issued by the Entertainment
13	Commission or its Director, and as otherwise specified in this Section 8, Planning Code Section
14	1006.7, Planning Code Section 308.2, or as specifically provided in other sections of the Municipal
15	<u>Code,</u> appeals to the Board of Appeals shall be taken within 15 days from the making or entry
16	of the order or decision from which the appeal is taken. Appeals of variance decisions shall
17	be taken within 10 days after the date of the written variance decision.
18	* * * *
19	
20	Section 3. Article 3 of the Planning Code is hereby amended by revising Section
21	308.2, to read as follows:
22	<i>II</i>
23	<i>II</i>
24	<i>II</i>
25	SEC. 308.2. APPEALS: VARIANCES AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS.

1	(a) Right of Appeal. The action of the Zoning Administrator, in granting or denying a
2	variance application as described in Section 305 and Sections 306 through 306.5, or in
3	making any order, requirement, decision, or other determination, other than a variance, shall
4	be subject to appeal to the Board of Appeals in accordance with this Section 308.2 and Section
5	8 of the Business and Tax Regulations Code. Such an appeal may be taken by any person
6	aggrieved or by an officer, board, or commission of the City and County. An appeal shall stay
7	all proceedings in furtherance of the action appealed from.
8	(b) <b>Notice of Appeal.</b> Any appeal under this Section <u>308.2</u> shall be taken by filing
9	written notice of appeal with the Board of Appeals within: 10 days after the date of the written
10	variance, rear yard modification permitted by Section 134, reasonable modification, or elevator height
11	exemption decision of the Zoning Administrator; or within 30 days of a Notice of Violation, Notice
12	of Violation and Penalty Decision, or Notice of Additional Compliance Action and Accrued Penalties
13	issued by the Zoning Administrator; or within 15 days of any other written determination of the
14	Zoning Administrator.
15	* * * *
16	
17	Section 4. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after
18	enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the
19	ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board
20	of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.
21	//
22	//
23	//

//

24

25

1	Section 5. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors		
2	intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles,		
3	numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal		
4	Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment		
5	additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under		
6	the official title of the ordinance.		
7			
8	APPROVED AS TO FORM: DAVID CHIU, City Attorney		
9			
10	By: /s/ KRISTEN A. JENSEN		
11	Deputy City Attorney		
12	n:\legana\as2025\2600025\01864440.docx		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

#### **LEGISLATIVE DIGEST**

[Business and Tax Regulations, Planning Codes - Appeal Timelines for Zoning Administrator Actions]

Ordinance amending the Business and Tax Regulations Code and the Planning Code to clarify time periods for appeals of decisions or determinations by the Zoning Administrator; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and making findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare pursuant to Planning Code, Section 302.

#### **Existing Law**

Business and Tax Regulations Code, Section 8 and Planning Code, Section 308.2, provide a variety of time limits to file appeals of specified Zoning Administrator actions to the Board of Appeals, but do not include the timelines for appeal of certain enforcement actions.

#### Amendments to Current Law

The Ordinance would clarify the timelines for actions of the Zoning Administrator. Under the terms of the Ordinance, appeals of a written variance decision, rear yard modification, reasonable modification, or elevator height exemption decision of the Zoning Administrator shall be made within 10 days; appeals of a Notice of Violation, Notice of Violation and Penalty Decision, or Notice of Additional Compliance Action and Accrued Penalties issued by the Zoning Administrator shall be made within 30 days; except as otherwise specified in Planning Code Section 1006.7, or as specifically provided in other sections of the Municipal Code, any other written determination of the Zoning Administrator shall be appealed within 15 days of such action.

#### **Background Information**

The purpose of this ordinance is to clarify and conform the deadlines for various decisions and determinations of the Zoning Administrator to the Board of Appeals.

n:\legana\as2025\2600025\01864696.docx

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Page 1



October 24, 2025

Ms. Angela Calvillo, Clerk Honorable Mayor Lurie **Board of Supervisors** City and County of San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Transmittal of Planning Department Case Number 2025-008757PCA:

Appeal Timelines for Zoning Administrator Actions

Board File No. 250889

Planning Commission Action: Adopted a Recommendation for Approval

Dear Ms. Calvillo and Mayor Lurie

On October 23, 2025, the Planning Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider a proposed Ordinance, introduced by Mayor Lurie. The proposed ordinance would amend Business and Tax Regulations Code and the Planning Code to clarify time periods for appeals of decisions or determinations by the Zoning Administrator. At the hearing the Planning Commission adopted a recommendation for approval.

The proposed amendments are not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Section 15060(c) and 15378 because they do not result in a physical change in the environment.

Please find attached documents relating to the actions of the Commission. If you have any questions or require further information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Aaron D. Starr

Manager of Legislative Affairs

cc: Kristen Jensen, Deputy City Attorney Adam Thongsavat, Office of Mayor Lurie John Carroll, Office of the Clerk of the Board

#### **ATTACHMENTS:**

Planning Commission Resolution
Planning Department Executive Summary





# PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION NO. 21849

**HEARING DATE: October 23, 2025** 

Project Name: Appeal Timelines for Zoning Administrator Actions

Case Number: 2025-008757PCA [Board File No. 250889] *Initiated by:* Mayor Lurie / Introduced September 2, 2025 Staff Contact: Aaron Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs aaron.starr@sfgov.org, 628-652-7533

RESOLUTION ADOPTING A RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL OF A PROPOSED ORDINANCE THAT WOULD AMEND THE BUSINESS AND TAX REGULATIONS CODE AND THE PLANNING CODE TO CLARIFY TIME PERIODS FOR APPEALS OF DECISIONS OR DETERMINATIONS BY THE ZONING ADMINISTRATOR; AFFIRMING THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT'S DETERMINATION UNDER THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL **OUALITY ACT; MAKING FINDINGS OF CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN, AND THE EIGHT PRIORITY** POLICIES OF PLANNING CODE, SECTION 101.1; AND MAKING FINDINGS OF PUBLIC NECESSITY, CONVENIENCE, AND WELFARE PURSUANT TO PLANNING CODE, SECTION 302.

WHEREAS, on September 2, 2025 Mayor Daniel Lurie introduced a proposed Ordinance under Board of Supervisors (hereinafter "Board") File Number 250889, which would amend the Business and Tax Regulations Code and the Planning Code to clarify time periods for appeals of decisions or determinations by the Zoning Administrator;

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission (hereinafter "Commission") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider the proposed Ordinance on October 23, 2025; and,

WHEREAS, the proposed Ordinance has been determined to be categorically exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act Section 15378 and 15060(c); and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of Department staff and other interested parties; and

WHEREAS, all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Department, as the Custodian of Records, at 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has reviewed the proposed Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience, and general welfare require the proposed amendment; and

MOVED, that the Planning Commission hereby adopts a **recommendation for approval** of the proposed ordinance.

## **Findings**

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

The Commission recommends approval of the proposed ordinance because it restores clarity, consistency, and fairness to the appeal timelines for Zoning Administrator (ZA) actions. The amendment corrects an unintended consequence of a prior ordinance that expanded the 30-day appeal period beyond its intended scope, creating confusion and inconsistency in the Planning Code. By reinstating the 15-day appeal period for non-enforcement ZA actions and maintaining the 30-day period for enforcement-related actions, the ordinance realigns the Code with its original policy intent. It also clearly defines the 10-day appeal period for specific streamlined ZA decisions, such as variances and related modifications. These changes enhance procedural transparency, improve predictability for applicants and the public, and support the City's broader goals of simplifying the Planning Code and promoting equitable access to the land use process.

#### **General Plan Compliance**

The proposed Ordinance is consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

#### **HOUSING ELEMENT**

#### **OBJECTIVE 4.A**

SUBSTANTIALLY EXPAND THE AMOUNT OF PERMANENTLY AFFORDABLE HOUSING FOR EXTREMELY LOW- TO MODERATE-INCOME HOUSEHOLDS.

#### **OBJECTIVE 4.B**

EXPAND SMALL AND MID-RISE MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING PRODUCTION TO SERVE OUR WORKFORCE, PRIORITIZING MIDDLE-INCOME HOUSEHOLDS.

#### Policy 26

Streamline and simplify permit processes to provide more equitable access to the application process, improve certainty of outcomes, and ensure meeting State- and local-required timelines, especially for 100% affordable housing and shelter projects.

#### Implementation Program 8.4.19

Whenever Planning Code amendments or revisions are proposed, advocate for ensure and promote simpler or an overall reduction of rules that affect housing approvals to reduce the specific or



institutional knowledge needed by City staff, applicants, and members of the public to increase accessibility.

The proposed ordinance corrects an error in a previous amendment to bring greater clarity and consistency to the Planning Code. While no specific General Plan Objectives or Policies directly apply, the ordinance aligns with the overall goals of the General Plan—particularly its emphasis on simplifying and clarifying planning processes. It supports Policy 26 of the Housing Element, which calls for streamlining the permitting process to improve equity and predictability. Additionally, the ordinance advances Implementation Program 8.4.19, which encourages reducing complexity in planning rules to make them more accessible to staff, applicants, and the public.

#### **Planning Code Section 101 Findings**

The proposed amendments to the Planning Code are consistent with the eight Priority Policies set forth in Section 101.1(b) of the Planning Code in that:

- 1. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses enhanced;
  - The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on neighborhood serving retail uses and will not have a negative effect on opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of neighborhood-serving retail.
- 2. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods;
  - The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on housing or neighborhood character.
- 3. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced;
  - The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's supply of affordable housing.
- 4. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking;
  - The proposed Ordinance would not result in commuter traffic impeding MUNI transit service or overburdening the streets or neighborhood parking.
- 5. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced;
  - The proposed Ordinance would not cause displacement of the industrial or service sectors due to office development, and future opportunities for resident employment or ownership in these sectors



would not be impaired.

6. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on City's preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

7. That the landmarks and historic buildings be preserved;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's Landmarks and historic buildings.

8. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas.

#### Planning Code Section 302 Findings.

The Planning Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience and general welfare require the proposed amendments to the Planning Code as set forth in Section 302.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Commission hereby ADOPTS A RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL the proposed Ordinance as described in this Resolution.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Commission at its meeting on October 23, 2025.

Jonas P Ionin Digitally signed by Jonas P Ionin Date: 2025.10.23 16:29:32 -07'00'

Jonas P. Ionin

Commission Secretary

AYES: Campbell, McGarry, Williams, Braun, Imperial, Moore, So

NOES: None

ABSENT:

ADOPTED: October 23, 2025

None





# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**PLANNING CODE TEXT AMENDMENT

**HEARING DATE: October 23, 2025** 

90-Day Deadline: December 15, 2025

Project Name: Appeal Timelines for Zoning Administrator Actions

Case Number: 2025-008757PCA [Board File No. 250889]

Initiated by: Mayor Daniel Lurie/Introduced September 2, 2025

Staff Contact: Aaron Starr, Manger of Legislative Affairs

aaron.starr@sfgov.org, 628-652-7533

**Environmental** 

Review: Not a Project Under CEQA

**RECOMMENDATION:** Adopt a Recommendation for Approval

# **Planning Code Amendment**

Ordinance amending the Business and Tax Regulations Code and the Planning Code to clarify time periods for appeals of decisions or determinations by the Zoning Administrator.

#### The Way It Is Now:

- 1. Appeals must be filed within 10 days of a Variance Decision Letter.
- 2. Appeals must be filed within 30 of any other written determination of the Zoning Administrator.

#### The Way It Would Be:

1. Appeals must be filed within 10 days of variance, rear yard modification permitted by Section 134,

中文詢問請電 | Para información en Español llamar al | Para sa impormasyon sa Filipino tumawag sa | Để biết thông tin bằng tiếng Việt, vui lòng gọi số

reasonable modification, or elevator height exemption decision of the Zoning Administrator.

- Appeals must be filed within 30 days of a Notice of Violation, Notice of Violation and Penalty
   Decision, or Notice of Additional Compliance Action and Accrued Penalties issued by the Zoning
   Administrator
- 3. Appeals mut be filed within 15 days of any other written determination of the Zoning Administrator

## **Background**

In Spring 2023, Ordinance No. 40-23 amended Planning Code Section 176 with the intent of extending the appeal period for Notices of Violation (NoVs) issued by the Zoning Administrator (ZA) from 15 to 30 days. However, the ordinance inadvertently applied the 30-day appeal period to all non-variance ZA determinations, including Letters of Determination and code interpretations, while leaving the Board of Appeals' corresponding provisions unchanged. This created an inconsistency in the appeal timelines and expanded the longer appeal period beyond its intended scope. The current ordinance addresses this issue by restoring the 15-day appeal period for all non-variance ZA actions, while maintaining the 30-day appeal period exclusively for enforcement-related actions such as NoVs. It also clarifies that the 10-appeal period is for variance as well as rear yard modification permitted by Section 134, reasonable modification, and elevator height exemption decision, which are also types of Variances with a more streamlined approval process. This correction realigns the Planning Code with its original policy intent and ensures consistency across appeal procedures.

#### **Issues and Considerations**

#### **Appeal of Zoning Administrator Determinations**

Documents signed by the Zoning Administrator (ZA) are subject to appeal before the Board of Appeals. Each signed document includes a specific appeal period during which the public or the applicant may challenge the ZA's decision. This process ensures both transparency and accountability, while also providing applicants with a degree of certainty to proceed with their projects once the appeal period has passed.

ZA letters include Variance Decision Letters, which are issued following a decision on a variance request. The ZA also issues Letters of Determination, which respond to inquiries about how zoning regulations apply to specific development proposals. These letters help clarify whether a proposed project—such as a new building, an addition, or a change of use—complies with the Planning Code.

The ZA also issues Zoning Verification Letters (ZVLs), which provide written confirmation of the current zoning and land use status of a property. ZVLs may include information on variances, special permits or exceptions, applicable ordinances or conditions, and any known violations. Compared to Letters of Determination, ZVLs are generally more straightforward and may include documents such as Rebuild Letters.



Additionally, the ZA signs enforcement-related documents, including Notices of Violation, Notices of Violation and Penalty Decisions, and Notices of Additional Compliance Action and Accrued Penalties. These documents correspond to various stages of the enforcement process.

#### **General Plan Compliance**

The proposed ordinance corrects an error in a previous amendment to bring greater clarity and consistency to the Planning Code. While no specific General Plan Objectives or Policies directly apply, the ordinance aligns with the overall goals of the General Plan—particularly its emphasis on simplifying and clarifying planning processes. It supports Policy 26 of the Housing Element, which calls for streamlining the permitting process to improve equity and predictability. Additionally, the ordinance advances Implementation Program 8.4.19, which encourages reducing complexity in planning rules to make them more accessible to staff, applicants, and the public.

#### **Racial and Social Equity Analysis**

This ordinance restores the 15-day appeal period for non-enforcement Zoning Administrator (ZA) actions, such as Letters of Determination, while maintaining a 30-day appeal period for enforcement actions like Notices of Violation. This correction supports equity by ensuring timely and consistent procedures for all, while preserving extended timelines for enforcement cases that may disproportionately impact vulnerable communities. By aligning appeal periods with the nature of the action, the ordinance improves accessibility and fairness in the land use process.

#### **Implementation**

The Department has determined that this ordinance will not impact our current implementation procedures; however, it will clear up confusion for staff as well as members of the public.

#### Recommendation

The Department recommends that the Commission adopt a recommendation for approval of the proposed Ordinance and adopt the attached Draft Resolution to that effect.

#### **Basis for Recommendation**

The Planning Department recommends approval of the proposed ordinance because it restores clarity, consistency, and fairness to the appeal timelines for Zoning Administrator (ZA) actions. The amendment corrects an unintended consequence of a prior ordinance that expanded the 30-day appeal period beyond its intended scope, creating confusion and inconsistency in the Planning Code. By reinstating the 15-day appeal period for non-enforcement ZA actions and maintaining the 30-day period for enforcement-related actions, the ordinance realigns the Code with its original policy intent. It also clearly defines the 10-day appeal period for specific streamlined ZA decisions, such as variances and related modifications. These changes enhance procedural transparency, improve predictability for applicants and the public, and support the City's broader goals of simplifying the Planning Code and promoting equitable access to the land use process.



## **Required Commission Action**

The proposed Ordinance is before the Commission so that it may adopt a recommendation of approval, disapproval, or approval with modifications.

#### **Environmental Review**

The proposed amendments are not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Section 15060(c)(2) and 15378 because they do not result in a physical change in the environment.

#### **Public Comment**

As of the date of this report, the Planning Department has not received any public comment regarding the proposed Ordinance.

#### **ATTACHMENTS:**

Exhibit A: Draft Planning Commission Resolution Exhibit B: Board of Supervisors File No. 250889

Exhibit C: Letters of Support/Opposition or other supporting documentation, etc.

Portions of this report were drafted and/or edited with the assistance of Microsoft Copilot, in accordance with the City and County of San Francisco's policy on the use of generative AI tools.



#### **BOARD of SUPERVISORS**

September 15, 2025

Date:



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

## **MEMORANDUM**

0:	Planning Department/Planning Commission			
rom:	John Carroll, Assistant Clerk, Land Use and Transportation Committee			
ubject:				
		Not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Sections 15378 and 15060(c)(2) because it would not result in a direct or indirect physical change in the environment. 10/3/2025 Joy Wavarrate		
Amendment to the Planning Code, including the following Findings:  (Planning Code, Section 302(b): 90 days for Planning Commission review)   □ General Plan □ Planning Code, Section 101.1 □ Planning Code, Section 302				
Amendment to the Administrative Code, involving Land Use/Planning (Board Rule 3.23: 30 days for possible Planning Department review)				
General Plan Referral for Non-Planning Code Amendments (Charter, Section 4.105, and Administrative Code, Section 2A.53) (Required for legislation concerning the acquisition, vacation, sale, or change in use of City property; subdivision of land; construction, improvement, extension, widening, narrowing, removal, or relocation of public ways, transportation routes, ground, open space, buildings, or structures; plans for public housing and publicly-assisted private housing; redevelopment plans; development agreements; the annual capital expenditure plan and six-year capital improvement program; and any capital improvement project or long-term financing proposal such as general obligation or revenue bonds.)				
Historio	Landmark (Planning Code, Section 1004.3) Cultural Districts (Charter, Section 4.135 & Board Rule 3 Mills Act Contract (Government Code, Section 50280)			
,	Californ (Californ (Californ (Californ Amend (Plannin) (Marter (Requir subdivis relocation public bethe ann improve Historic	Trom:  John Carroll, Assistant Clerk, Land Use and Transportubject:  Board of Supervisors Legislation Referral - File No. 2 Business and Tax Regulations, Planning Codes - App Actions  California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Determination (California Public Resources Code, Sections 21000 et seq.)  □ Ordinance / Resolution □ Ballot Measure  Amendment to the Planning Code, including the following Fine (Planning Code, Section 302(b): 90 days for Planning Commission review □ General Plan □ Planning Code, Section 101.1 □ Pla  Amendment to the Administrative Code, involving Land Use/1 (Board Rule 3.23: 30 days for possible Planning Department review)  General Plan Referral for Non-Planning Code Amendments (Charter, Section 4.105, and Administrative Code, Section 2A.53) (Required for legislation concerning the acquisition, vacation, s subdivision of land; construction, improvement, extension relocation of public ways, transportation routes, ground, open spublic housing and publicly-assisted private housing; redevelop the annual capital expenditure plan and six-year capital impimprovement project or long-term financing proposal such as general Preservation Commission  □ Landmark (Planning Code, Section 1004.3) □ Cultural Districts (Charter, Section 4.135 ₺ Board Rule 3  Mills Act Contract (Government Code, Section 50280)		

Please send the Planning Department/Commission recommendation/determination to John Carroll at john.carroll@sfgov.org.

# Office of the Mayor San Francisco



# DANIEL LURIE MAYOR

TO: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors FROM: Adam Thongsavat, Liaison to the Board of Supervisors

RE: Business and Tax Regulations, Planning Codes - Appeal Timelines for Zoning Administrator

Actions

DATE: September 2, 2025

Ordinance amending the Business and Tax Regulations Code and the Planning Code to clarify time periods for appeals of decisions or determinations by the Zoning Administrator; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and making findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare pursuant to Planning Code, Section 302.

Should you have any questions, please contact Adam Thongsavat at adam.thongsavat@sfgov.org