1	[Affirm adoption of the mitigated negative declaration for the 300 Grant Avenue project.]
2	
3	Motion affirming Planning Commission adoption of the final mitigated negative
4	declaration for the 300 Grant Avenue project.
5	
6	WHEREAS, On December 2, 2006, in accordance with the California Environmental
7	Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines and San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter
8	31, the Environmental Review Officer of the Planning Department issued a preliminary
9	mitigated negative declaration for the 300 Grant Avenue Project, which is a proposal to
10	demolish two commercial buildings and construct a new, 111,000 gross square-feet, 10-story,
11	mixed-use building containing approximately 45 dwelling units, below-grade parking and
12	ground-floor and second-floor retail on two lots in the C-3-R district within the Kearney-
13	Market-Mason-Sutter Conservation District; and
14	WHEREAS, On February 15, 2007 and February 16, 2007, Pamela S. Duffy, on behalf
15	of Waverly Grant Partnership, and Sue Hestor, on behalf of certain 333 Grant Avenue
16	residents, respectively, filed appeals of the Preliminary Negative Declaration to the Planning
17	Commission in accordance with Administrative Code Section 31.11(c); and
18	WHEREAS, On June 12, 2008, following a noticed public hearing, the Planning
19	Commission by Motion No. 17614 affirmed the conclusions of the Final Mitigated Negative
20	Declaration for the 300 Grant Street Project ("Mitigated Negative Declaration") that the project
21	would not have a significant effect on the environment in accordance with Administrative Code
22	Section 31.11(g). A copy of said document is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
23	Supervisors in File No. 080927 and is incorporated by reference herein; and,
24	WHEREAS, on the same day, the Planning Commission approved various exceptions
25	to the Planning Code for the project under Section 309 by Motion No. 17615 and adopted the

1	Final Mitigated Negative Declaration in accordance with Administrative Code Section
2	31.11(h); and
3	WHEREAS, The Clerk of the Board received an appeal of the Mitigated Negative
4	Declaration from Sue C. Hestor on July 2, 2008, on behalf of Al and Paola Habegger, David
5	Anders and Kenneth and Anna Zankel, residents of 333 Grant Avenue ("Appellants"); and
6	WHEREAS, This Board of Supervisor's held a duly noticed public hearing on August
7	12, 2008, to consider the Mitigated Negative Declaration appeal filed by Appellants; and
8	WHEREAS, This Board has reviewed and considered the Mitigated Negative
9	Declaration and heard testimony, the appeal letter, a responses to concerns document
10	prepared by the Planning Department and received public comment regarding the adequacy
11	of this document; and
12	WHEREAS, The Mitigated Negative Declaration files and all correspondence and other
13	documents have been made available for review by this Board and the public. These files are
14	available for public review by appointment at the Planning Department offices at 1660 Mission
15	Street, and are part of the record before this Board by reference herein; and
16	WHEREAS, Since the Planning Commission adoption of the Mitigated Negative
17	Declaration, there is no new information of significance that would require a substantial
18	revision to the Mitigated Negative Declaration and necessitate recirculation of said document
19	pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15073.5; now, therefore, be it
20	MOVED, That this Board of Supervisors finds that the contents of the Mitigated
21	Negative Declaration and the procedures through which it was prepared, publicized, and
22	reviewed complied with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (Cal. Pub.
23	Res. Code Sections 21000 et seq., hereinafter "CEQA"), the State CEQA Guidelines (Cal.
24	Code Regs., Title 14, Section 15000 et seq., ("CEQA Guidelines") and Chapter 31 of the San
25	Francisco Administrative Code ("Chapter 31"); and, be it

1	FURTHER MOVED, That based on substantial evidence in light of the whole record,
2	this Board of Supervisors finds the Mitigated Negative Declaration reflects its independent
3	judgment and analysis and is adequate, accurate, and objective, and hereby affirms the
4	decision of the Planning Commission to adopt the Mitigated Negative Declaration for the 300
5	Grant Street Project in compliance with CEQA, the State CEQA Guidelines, and Chapter 31;
6	and, be it
7	FURTHER MOVED, that this Board of Supervisors adopts the findings of Planning
8	Commission Motion No. 17614 that it previously incorporated by reference into this motion.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	