| File | No. | 250003 |
|------|-----|--------|
| | | |

| Committee Item N | lo | 2 | |
|------------------|----|---|--|
| Board Item No. | | | |

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

| Committee: Land Use and Transportation Date: March 3, 2025 | | | |
|--|---|------------|----------------|
| Board of Supervisors Meeting: Date: | | | |
| Cmte Board | Cmte Board | | |
| | Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analyst Re Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Letter MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract / DRAFT Mills Act Agree Form 126 – Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Public Correspondence | and/or Rep | ort |
| OTHER | OTHER | | |
| | Planning Memo – December 30, 20 | | |
| | Planning Commission Reso No. 21 | | |
| | Planning Commission Reso No. 21 CEQA Determination – February 3. | | ember 19, 2024 |
| | Hearing Notice – February 21, 202 | | |
| | Trodning Notice Trobladily 21, 202 | <u> </u> | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Prepared by: | Da | ate: | ıary 27, 2025 |
| Prepared by: | Da | ate: | |

| 1 | [General Plan - Central SoMa and Transit Center District Commercial Development Requirements] |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Ordinance amending the General Plan to reduce commercial development |
| 4 | requirements in the Central SoMa Area Plan and the Transit Center District SubArea |
| 5 | Plan areas; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California |
| 6 | Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and |
| 7 | the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and adopting findings of |
| 8 | public necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 340. |
| 9 | NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font. |
| 10 | Additions to Codes are in <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman font</u> . Deletions to Codes are in <u>strikethrough italics Times New Roman font</u> . |
| 11 | Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font. Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font. Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code |
| 12 | subsections or parts of tables. |
| 13 | |
| 14 | Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco: |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Section 1. Environmental and Planning Code Findings. |
| 17 | (a) Section 4.105 of the Charter provides that the Planning Commission shall |
| 18 | periodically recommend to the Board of Supervisors, for approval or rejection, proposed |
| 19 | amendments to the General Plan. Section 4.105 further provides that if the Board of |
| 20 | Supervisors fails to act within 90 days of receipt of the proposed General Plan amendments, |
| 21 | then the proposed amendments shall be deemed approved. |
| 22 | (b) Planning Code Section 340 provides that the Planning Commission may initiate an |
| 23 | amendment to the General Plan by a resolution of intention, which refers to, and incorporates |
| 24 | by reference, the proposed General Plan amendments. Section 340 further provides that the |
| 25 | Planning Commission shall adopt the proposed General Plan amendments after a public |

- hearing if it finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience, and general
 welfare require the proposed amendment or any part thereof. If adopted by the Commission
 in whole or in part, the proposed amendments shall be presented to the Board of Supervisors,
 which may approve or reject the amendments by a majority vote.
 - (c) After a duly noticed public hearing on September 19, 2024, by Resolution No. 21612, the Planning Commission initiated amendments to the proposed General Plan. Said resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in Board File No. 250003 and incorporated herein by reference.
 - (d) On November 7, 2024, the Planning Commission then adopted the proposed General Plan amendments by Resolution No. 21640, finding in accordance with Planning Code Section 340 that the public necessity, convenience, and general welfare required the proposed amendments.
 - (e) On December 30, 2024, the Board of Supervisors received from the Planning Department the proposed General Plan amendments, including the amendments to the Central SoMa Area Plan and the Transit Center District SubArea Plan. These amendments are on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 250003 and are incorporated herein by reference.
 - (f) The Board of Supervisors finds, pursuant to Planning Code Section 340, that the proposed General Plan amendments will serve the public necessity, convenience, and general welfare for the reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 21640 and incorporates those reasons herein by reference.
 - (g) The Board of Supervisors finds that the proposed General Plan amendments are, on balance, in conformity with the General Plan, as amended by this Ordinance, and the priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1 for the reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 21640, and the Board hereby adopts those findings as its own.

(h) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 250003 and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms this determination.

Section 2. The General Plan is hereby amended by revising the Central SoMa (South of Market) Area Plan, to read as follows:

Central SoMa Area Plan

POLICY 3.1.1

Require Encourage non-residential uses in new development on large parcels.

Many of the parcels of land in Central SoMa are quite large – reflecting its industrial heritage. And like industrial development of the past, modern companies seek buildings with large floors, which facilitate flexibility and intra-company communication. Given the limited availability of such large parcels in the eCity near excellent local and regional transit, and the need to identify appropriate transit-served space for job growth, the City should promote non-residential development at these locations. Even if circumstances, such as market or broader regulatory factors, require forgoing near-term development on these major parcels, ensuring that these parcels are "land-banked" for significant jobs-oriented development is a necessary long-term strategy for the economic and environmental health of the city and region. These large parcels need not be exclusively non-residential, but they should feature a significant percentage (e.g. at least half) of non-residential and job space.

| 1 | * * * * |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Section 3. The General Plan is hereby amended by revising the Transit Center District |
| 4 | Sub Area Plan, to read as follows: |
| 5 | |
| 6 | Transit Center District SubArea Plan |
| 7 | * * * * |
| 8 | POLICY 1.3 |
| 9 | Reserve the bulk of remaining space in the core Transit Center District for job growth, by limiting |
| 10 | the amount of non-commercial uses on major opportunity sites. Encourage and permit non- |
| 11 | residential uses on major opportunity sites. |
| 12 | |
| 13 | In view of the limited number of sizable development sites in the District, which represent the |
| 14 | bulk of the remaining office capacity in the downtown core, it is essential <i>forto allow</i> major |
| 15 | development sites to include a sizable commercial component and not wholly developed with |
| 16 | non-commercial uses. At least a few recently constructed large residential projects occupy some of the |
| 17 | few major development sites remaining in the downtown core; however, they do not contain any |
| 18 | commercial space, thus substantially reducing the capacity of the downtown for future job growth. |
| 19 | |
| 20 | Preserving office and job growth capacity is a major consideration, but so too is |
| 21 | ensuring a mix of uses to help the area achieve a more 24-hour character. A mix of uses is |
| 22 | generally desirable for very large projects, such as those with square footage greater than 500,000 |

gross square feet. Additionally, the Plan recognizes that small lots are often not large enough to

be developed with efficient office buildings, and some very large buildings contemplated in the

23

24

25

| 1 | Plan (i.e. taller than 600 feet) may be too large from a risk and market absorption standpoint |
|----|---|
| 2 | to be devoted to a single use. |
| 3 | * * * * |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Section 4. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after |
| 6 | enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the |
| 7 | ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board |
| 8 | of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance. |
| 9 | |
| 10 | Section 5. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors |
| 11 | intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, |
| 12 | numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the General |
| 13 | Plan that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment |
| 14 | additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under |
| 15 | the official title of the ordinance. |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | APPROVED AS TO FORM: |
| 19 | DAVID CHIU, City Attorney |
| 20 | By: /s/ Peter R. Miljanich |
| 21 | PETER R. MILJANICH Deputy City Attorney |
| 22 | n:\legana\as2024\2500016\01785641.docx |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

[General Plan - Central SoMa and Transit Center District Commercial Development Requirements]

Ordinance amending the General Plan to reduce commercial development requirements in the Central SoMa Area Plan and the Transit Center District SubArea Plan areas; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and adopting findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 340.

Existing Law

State law requires cities and counties to prepare and adopt a comprehensive, long-term General Plan for development. The General Plan may address any subjects that, in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors, relate to the physical development of the City. The City's General Plan contains various elements, including Housing, Recreation and Open Space, and Transportation Elements. It also contains several area plans, including the Central SoMa Area Plan and Transit Center District SubArea Plan, which provide land use controls and proposed community improvements in those areas of the City. The Board of Supervisors amends these elements and plans from time to time to reflect changed circumstances. Under Charter Section 4.105 and Planning Code Section 340, any amendments to the General Plan shall first be considered by the Planning Commission and recommended for approval or rejection by the Board of Supervisors.

The Central SoMa Area Plan requires new development on large parcels in the Plan area to include at least half commercial or other non-residential space. The Transit Center District SubArea Plan directs the City to reserve the bulk of remaining space in the core Transit Center District for job growth by limiting the amount of non-commercial uses on major opportunity sites.

Amendments to Current Law

This ordinance would remove the requirement that new development on large parcels in the Central SoMa Plan area must include at least half commercial or other non-residential space. This ordinance would also remove the Transit Center District SubArea Plan's direction to reserve the bulk of remaining space in the core Transit Center District for job growth by limiting the amount of non-commercial uses on major opportunity sites. The ordinance would amend the Transit Center District SubArea Plan to instead direct the City to encourage and permit non-residential uses on major opportunity sites in this Plan area.

1

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Page 1

Background Information

This General Plan Amendments ordinance is a companion to a Planning Code amendments ordinance that would remove commercial development requirements contained in the Central SoMa Special Use District and Transit Center C-3-O(SD) Commercial Special Use District.

n:\legana\as2024\2500016\01810420.docx

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Page 2





December 30, 2024

Ms. Angela Calvillo, Clerk Honorable Mayor Breed **Board of Supervisors** City and County of San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Transmittal of Planning Department Case Number 2024-007906GPA: Re:

General Plan Amendments to Central SoMa and Transit Center Area Plans

Planning Commission Recommendation: Approval

Dear Ms. Calvillo and Mayor Breed,

On November 7, 2024, the Planning Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider the proposed Ordinance, initiated by the Planning Commission. The proposed ordinance would amend the General Plan to reduce the commercial development requirements in the Central SoMa Area Plan and the Transit Center District Subarea Plan. At the hearing the Planning Commission adopted a recommendation for approval. We are requesting this Ordinance be introduced at the Board of Supervisors.

The proposed Ordinance has been determined to be environmentally cleared under California Environmental Quality Act under Case File Nos. 2011.1356E (Central SoMa Plan EIR) certified on May 24, 2012 by the Planning Commission, and 2007.0558E and 2008.0789E (Transit Center District Plan and Transit Tower EIR) certified on May 10, 2018, by the Planning Commission.

Please find attached documents relating to the actions of the Commission. If you have any questions or require further information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Aaron D. Starr

Manager of Legislative Affairs

cc: Peter Miljanich, Deputy City Attorney

Lisa Gluckstein, Office of Mayor London N. Breed John Carroll, Office of the Clerk of the Board Anne Taupier, Director of Development, OEWD

ATTACHMENTS:

Planning Commission Resolution
Planning Department Executive Summary





PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION NO. 21640

HEARING DATE: NOVEMBER 7, 2024

Project Name: General Plan - Central SoMa and Transit Center District Commercial Development

Requirements

Case Number: 2024-007906GPA Initiated by: Planning Commission

Staff Contact: Audrey Merlone, Legislative Affairs

Audrey.Merlone@sfgov.org, 628-652-7534

Reviewed by: Aaron D Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs

aaron.starr@sfgov.org, 628-652-7533

RESOLUTION RECOMMENDING THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS APPROVE A PROPOSED ORDINANCE THAT WOULD AMEND THE GENERAL PLAN TO REDUCE THE COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS IN THE CENTRAL SOMA AREA PLAN AND THE TRANSIT CENTER DISTRICT SUBAREA PLAN; AFFIRMING THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT'S DETERMINATION UNDER THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; MAKING FINDINGS OF CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN AND THE EIGHT PRIORITY POLICIES OF PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1, AND ADOPTING FINDINGS OF PUBLIC NECESSITY, CONVENIENCE, AND WELFARE UNDER PLANNING CODE, SECTION 340.

WHEREAS, Section 4.105 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco provides that the Planning Commission periodically recommend General Plan Amendments to the Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, on September 19, 2024, the Commission passed Resolution No. 216612, which demonstrated the Commission's intent to amend the General Plan, and included by reference, the proposed General Plan Amendments Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the proposed Ordinance would amend the General Plan to reduce commercial development requirements in the Central SoMa Area Plan and the Transit Center District SubArea Plan; and

WHEREAS, on November 7, 2024, the Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting on the proposed General Plan Amendments and has considered the information included in the File for these Amendments, the staff reports and presentations, public testimony and written comments, as well as the information provided about the Project from other City departments; and

WHEREAS, the proposed Ordinance has been determined to be environmentally cleared under California Environmental Quality Act under Case File Nos. 2011.1356E (Central SoMa Plan EIR) certified on May 24, 2012 by the Planning Commission, and 2007.0558E and 2008.0789E (Transit Center District Plan and Transit Tower EIR) certified on May 10, 2018 by the Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of Department staff and other interested parties; and

WHEREAS, all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Department, as the Custodian of Records, at 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has reviewed the proposed Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience, and general welfare require the proposed amendment; and

MOVED, that the Planning Commission hereby adopts a resolution to recommend to the Board of Supervisors to approve the General Plan Amendments.

Findings

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

The world's work model has shifted since the COVID-19 pandemic. Office space that was highly coveted in Downtown pre-pandemic now faces a nearly 45% vacancy rate in SoMa. Unlike typical market trends, hybrid and work-from-home models seem to be a lasting change. At the same time, the city's housing shortage drives out families, forces workers into long commutes, puts seniors at risk, and is a significant contributor to the top challenges we need to tackle, including homelessness, climate change, and our economic recovery. The proposed Ordinance ensures that the city is increasing zoning capacity to provide sufficient housing for the San Franciscans of today and tomorrow. By removing barriers, it improves the feasibility of large projects while still allowing office development if market demand returns. It is the city's responsibility to create clear, feasible paths for projects that will collectively deliver thousands of housing units, and the proposed Ordinance will serve that purpose. Together, with the mayor's other downtown recovery efforts, the proposed Ordinance will jumpstart development projects that have sat entitled but not built. The construction of these projects will assist in regenerating the Downtown as a "24-hour neighborhood" that serves both residents and San Francisco's workforce.

General Plan Compliance

The proposed Ordinance and the Commission's recommended modifications are consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:



CENTRAL SOMA AREA PLAN

GOAL 1
ACCOMMODATE A SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT OF JOBS AND HOUSING.



Map from page 21 of the Central SoMa Area Plan showing the previous zoning (left), and the zoning ideal for jobs and housing growth (right).

The plan identifies nearly the entire district as a "Growth Oriented Area". These are areas the Department has identified as ripe for increased jobs and housing capacity. The proposed Ordinance accommodates increased housing growth by removing the commercial development allocation requirement, while still allowing for job growth by continuing to permit Commercial uses.

HOUSING ELEMENT

IMPLEMENTATION MEASURE 7

EXPAND HOUSING CHOICES.



Objective 7 of the Housing Element is to expand housing choices, which, among other objectives, encourages actions to support additional housing near major transit nodes and jobs centers. Removing mandatory office allocations is a key step toward making such projects financially viable, potentially resulting in thousands of new housing units Downtown.

Planning Code Section 101 Findings

The proposed amendments to the Planning Code are consistent with the eight Priority Policies set forth in Section 101.1(b) of the Planning Code in that:

- 1. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses enhanced;
 - The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on neighborhood serving retail uses and will not have a negative effect on opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of neighborhood-serving retail.
- 2. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods;
 - The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on housing or neighborhood character.
- 3. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced;
 - The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's supply of affordable housing.
- 4. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking;
 - The proposed Ordinance would not result in commuter traffic impeding MUNI transit service or overburdening the streets or neighborhood parking.
- 5. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced;
 - The proposed Ordinance would not cause displacement of the industrial or service sectors due to office development, and future opportunities for resident employment or ownership in these sectors would not be impaired.
- 6. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake;
 - The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on City's preparedness against injury and



loss of life in an earthquake.

7. That the landmarks and historic buildings be preserved;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's Landmarks and historic buildings.

8. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas.

Planning Code Section 302 Findings.

The Planning Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience and general welfare require the proposed amendments to the Planning Code as set forth in Section 302.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Commission hereby ADOPTS A RESOLUTION TO RECOMMEND TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO APPROVE THE GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENTS.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Commission at its meeting on November 7, 2024.

Jonas P. Ionin

Commission Secretary

AYES: Campbell, McGarry, Williams, Braun, Imperial, Moore, So

Jonas P Ionin Digitally signed by Jonas P Ionin Date: 2024.11.13 12:29:28 -08'00'

NOES: None

ABSENT: None

ADOPTED: November 7, 2024





PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION NO. 21612

HEARING DATE: SEPTEMBER 19, 2024

Project Name: General Plan - Central SoMa and Transit Center District Commercial Development

Requirements

Case Number: 2024-007906GPA [Board File No. TBD]

Initiated by: **Planning Commission**

Staff Contact: Audrey Merlone, Legislative Affairs

Audrey.Merlone@sfgov.org, 628-652-7534

Reviewed by: Aaron D Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs

aaron.starr@sfgov.org, (628) 652-7533

INITIATING AMENDMENTS TO THE GENERAL PLAN TO REDUCE THE COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS IN THE CENTRAL SOMA AREA PLAN AND THE TRANSIT CENTER DISTRICT SUBAREA PLAN; AFFIRMING THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT'S DETERMINATION UNDER THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; MAKING FINDINGS OF CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN AND THE EIGHT PRIORITY POLICIES OF PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1, AND ADOPTING FINDINGS OF PUBLIC NECESSITY, CONVENIENCE, AND WELFARE UNDER PLANNING CODE, SECTION 340.

WHEREAS, The Planning Commission (hereinafter "Commission") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider initiation of the proposed Ordinance on September 19, 2024, and,

WHEREAS, the proposed ordinance would amend the General Plan to reduce commercial development requirements in the Central SoMa Area Plan and the Transit Center District SubArea Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Environmental Review will be completed prior to the Commission taking action on this Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of Department staff and other interested parties; and

WHEREAS, all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Department, as the custodian of records, at 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, the Commission has reviewed the proposed Ordinance; and

MOVED, that pursuant to Planning Code Section 302(b), the Commission adopts a Resolution to initiate amendments to the General Plan;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that pursuant to Planning Code Section 306.3, the Commission authorizes the Department to provide appropriate notice for a public hearing to consider the above referenced General Plan amendments contained in the draft ordinance, approved as to form by the City Attorney in the attached exhibit, to be considered at a publicly noticed hearing on or after **October 9, 2024.**

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Commission at its meeting on September 19, 2024.

Jonas P. Ionin

Commission Secretary

AYES: Campbell, McGarry, Williams, Braun, Imperial, Moore, So

NOES: None
ABSENT: None

ADOPTED: September 19, 2024



BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

| | Date: | January 22, 2025 |
|----------|--|---|
| | То: | Planning Department/Planning Commission |
| | From: | John Carroll, Assistant Clerk, Land Use and Transportation Committee |
| | Subject: | Board of Supervisors Legislation Referral - File No. 250003 General Plan - Central SoMa and Transit Center District Commercial Development Requirements |
| \times | (Californ ⊠ | ia Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Determination ia Public Resources Code, Sections 21000 et seq.) Ordinance / Resolution Ballot Measure Not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Sections 15378 and 15060(c)(2) because it would not result in a direct or indirect physical change in the environment. 2/3/2025 |
| | (Planning | ment to the Planning Code, including the following Findings: g Code, Section 302(b): 90 days for Planning Commission review) meral Plan Planning Code, Section 101.1 Planning Code, Section 302 |
| | | ment to the Administrative Code, involving Land Use/Planning sule 3.23: 30 days for possible Planning Department review) |
| | (Charter, (Require property removal structur develop program | Plan Referral for Non-Planning Code Amendments Section 4.105, and Administrative Code, Section 2A.53) ed for legislation concerning the acquisition, vacation, sale, or change in use of City r; subdivision of land; construction, improvement, extension, widening, narrowing, r, or relocation of public ways, transportation routes, ground, open space, buildings, or res; plans for public housing and publicly-assisted private housing; redevelopment plans; ment agreements; the annual capital expenditure plan and six-year capital improvement r; and any capital improvement project or long-term financing proposal such as general on or revenue bonds.) |
| | | Preservation Commission Landmark (Planning Code, Section 1004.3) Cultural Districts (Charter, Section 4.135 & Board Rule 3.23) Mills Act Contract (Government Code, Section 50280) Designation for Significant/Contributory Buildings (Planning Code, Article 11) |

Please send the Planning Department/Commission recommendation/determination to John Carroll at john.carroll@sfgov.org.

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Land Use and Transportation Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard:

Date: Monday, March 3, 2025

Time: 1:30 p.m.

Location: Legislative Chamber, Room 250, located at City Hall

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco. CA 94102

Subjects: File No. 250003. Ordinance amending the General Plan to reduce commercial

development requirements in the Central SoMa Area Plan and the Transit Center District SubArea Plan areas; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and adopting findings of public necessity, convenience,

and welfare under Planning Code, Section 340.

File No. 240787. Ordinance amending the Planning Code and Zoning Map to reduce commercial development requirements in the Central SoMa Special Use District and remove the Transit Center C-3-0(SD) Commercial Special Use District; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and adopting findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under

Planning Code, Section 302.

In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments. These comments will be added to the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email (bos@sfgov.org). Information relating to this matter is available with the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-lrc). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, February 28, 2025.

Hearing Date: March 3, 2025

For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Land Use and Transportation Committee:

John Carroll (john.carroll@sfgov.org ~ (415) 554-4445)

Angela Calvillo

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco

jec:bjj:ams

SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER • DAIY CITY INDEPENDENT • SAN MATEO WEEKLY • REDWOOD CITY TRIBLINE • FNOLIBER - BULLETIN • FOSTER CITY PROCRESS • MULRRAF - SAN BRING SUN • ROLLIGER • FXAMINER - SO, SAN FRANCISCO • EXAMINER - SAN BRING

HERLAR LLC, V. KOLLECTIVE INFLUENCE INC AKA CHARLIE GU (XIAOFENG GU)
Let this publication act as notice that the below semplicit has been filed in

notice that the below complaint has been filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California 1/17, 1/24, 1/31, 2/7/25 CNS-3885984#

SAN FRANCISCO EXAM-INER



GOVERNMENT

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTE MONDAY MARCH 3, 2025 - 1:30 PM Legislative Chamber, Room E. Goodiet Place, San State of the County of San Francisco. A 94 March 3, 2025 - 1:30 PM Legislative Chamber, Room B. Goodiet Place, San County of San Francisco Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco Land Use and Transportation Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard.

and be heard:

File No. 250003. Ordinance
amending the General
Plan to reduce commercial elopment requirements Central SoMa Area Pl and the Transit Center District
SubArea Plan areas; affirming
the Planning Department's
determination under the
California Environmenta the carming bepartment's under the California Environmental Quality Act, making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and adopting findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 340.

340. File No. 240787. Ordinance amending the Planning Code and Zoning Map to reduce commercial development requirements in the Central SoMa Special Use District and remove the Transit Center C-3-0(SD) Commercial Special Use District Affirming Center C-3-0(S) Commercial Special Use District; affirming the Planning Department's determination and the california Consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and adopting findings of public necessity, convenience, and wellare under Planning Code, Section 302.

In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments. These comments will be added to the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. the Board of Supervisors, Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email toose \$150vor91. Information relating to this matter is available with the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors*. Legislative Research Center (https://sfbso.org/legislative-research-center-lic/). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, February 28, 2025. For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Land Use and Transportation Committees. John. Carroll. (5) 50-acrolle sigov.org. (415) 50-acrolle sigov.org. (415) 55-acrolle sigov.org. (415) 55-acrolle sigov.org. (415) 54-3898825#. EXM-3898825#

City and County of San Francisco Human Services Agency (HSA) Funding Opportunity Request for Proposals (RFP) #1174 Health Promotion Programs

1. Older Americans Act Title
III D - Disease Prevention

1. Older Americans Act Title

III D - Disease Prevention
and Health Promotion
Program for Older Adults
2. Health Promotion
Program Older Adults
3. Health Promotion
Program Older Adults
4. Health Promotion
Program Older Adults
Adults and Remover (SFHSA)
and the Department of
Disability and Aging Services
(DAS) announces their
intent to seek proposals
from nonprofit organizations
to provide health promotion
programs for older adults
and adults with disabilities.
These programs are designed
to promote physical health
prevent illness, and manage
chronic health conditions.
SFHSA and DAS are seeking
proposals for two types of
health promotion programs.
1. Older Americans Act Title
III-D Disease Prevention and
Health Promotion Program
for Older Adults: The Older
American Act Title III-D health
promotion programs are
evidence-based and have
been demonstrated
have been demonstrated
have been demonstrated
health origonous evaluation to
be effective in improving the
health origonous evaluation to
be effective in improving the
health or programs include those related to the

disease, diabetes, obesity, arthritis, and osteoprosis. Title III-D health promotion programs can also focus on chronic pain management, stress management, tall prevention, physical activity, and support for caregivers. Health promotion programs are provided in a variety of settings including senior centers, community denters, and community denters, and community dining sites. Some programs are offered remotely through virtual platforms, as well as in-person. 2. Health Promotion Program

platforms, as well as in-person.

2. Health Promotion Program for Older Adults and Adults with Disabilities: These programs are designed to enhance the overall health and well-being of older adults and adults with disabilities by focusing on reducing disease and injury. There is a strong emphasis on physical fitness and fall prevention strategies, which aim to improve mobility, balance, and strength, utilimately reducing the risk of falls and promoting better physical health outcomes. Programs may include

EXM-39983922

NOTICE OF REGULAR
MEETING
SAN FRANCISCO BOARD
OF SUPERVISORS
RULES COMMITTEE
CITY HALL, LEGISLATIVE
CHAMBER, ROOM 250
1 DR. CARLTON B.
GOODLETT PLACE, SAN
FRANCISCO, CA 94102
February 24, 2025 – health promotion programs include those related to the prevention and mitigation of the effects of chronic health conditions such as hypertension, arthritis, heart disease, diabetes, obesity,

FHANCISCU, CA 941U2 February 24, 2025 – 10:00 AM

The agenda packet and legislative files are available for review at https://sfbos.org/ legislative-research-center-lrc, in Room 244 at City Hall, or by calling (415) 554-5184.

EXM-3898271#

NOTICE OF REGULAR
MEETING SAN
FRANCISCO BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS LAND US
AND TRANSPORTATION
COMMITTEE CITY HALL, LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER ROOM 250 1 DR. CARLTO B. GOODLETT PLACE, SAN

evidence-based models or those that have demonstrated effectiveness in physical health improvement and fall prevention. While physical titness and fall prevention are priorities, other programs aimed at supporting health and well-being, such as chronic disease management or mental wellness, will also be considered.

RFP packets are available

or mental wellness, will also be considered are available on the Internet on or after Wednesday, February 19, 2025 at https://sfct/pyartner.sfgov.org/pages/Eventssys.and type RFP 1174 in the Fevent Name* and select "See Attachments" in the Bid Package. For further information, contact gary.om@sfgov.org and HSARFP@sfgov.org. Initial due date for responses is Friday, March 21, 2025 by 3:00pm.

Friday , merchi 3:00pm. The Pre-Proposal Conference will be held via teleconference. Proposers are encouraged to call in on Monday, February 24, 2025 at 11:00am. The Microsoft Teams number is teland hellow: listed below: Microsoft TeamsNeed help?

Join the meeting now Meeting ID: 290 341 398 896 Passcode: Vt3MB764 Dial in by phone

+1 415-906-4659,890135794# United States, San Francisco Find a local number Phone conference ID: 890 135

794#
We encourage all interested organizations to apply. If you know of other agencies that might be interested in this opportunity, feel free to forward this announcement Also, we encourage you to see what other opportunities are available through the city's online system. https://srcitypartner.sfgov.org/pages/Events-BS3/event-search.aspx

EXM-3898382#

B. GOODLETT PLACE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 MONDAY, FEBRUARY 24, 2025 - 1:30 PM The agenda packet and legislative files are available for review at https://sfbos.org/ legislative-research-center.ci in Room 244 at City Hall, or by calling (415) 554-5184. EXM-3897884#

CITATION
SUPERIOR COURT FOR
THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE CITY AND
COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO
UNITED FAMILY COURT
Case Number: JD24-3124

In the Matter of: BBC, DOB: July 15,2024, A Minor T. Stormm A. Read, mother, Jason A. Challenger, alleged father; and any other persons(s) claiming to be the Parent(s) of said minor. You are hereby notified that the San Francisco Juvenile Dependency Court has ordered a hearing pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code Section 366.26, to determine whether your parental rights

whether your parental rights should be terminated and your child(ren) be freed from your custody and control for the purpose of having him adopted. adopted. BY ORDER OF THIS COURT.

SYMPORT OF THIS COURT.

YOU are hereby cited and required to appear before this Court on the day of April 23, 2025, at 9:00 A.M. at the Juvenile Dependency Court, 400 McAllister Street, Room 425, San Francisco, California, then and there to show cause, if any you have, why said minor(s) should not be declared free from the custody and control of his parent(s). This proceeding is for the purpose of developing a permanent plan for the child(ren), which could include adoption.

child(rem), which could include adoption.

If you appear on the above-mentioned date in the above-mentioned date in the above-mentioned davies you of the nature of the proceedings, the procedures, and possible consequences of the entitled action. The parent(s) of the minor(s) have the right to have an attorney present and, if an attorney present and, if the parent(s) cannot afford an attorney, the Court will appoint an attorney for the parent(s). Dated: February 7, 2025 Beverly Tovio, Paralegal for Petitioner, Department of Human Services (415) 554-3846

3846 By: Gabriel Domingo, Deputy Clerk

EXM-3896344#

CIVIL

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME
Case No. 25-CIV-00548
Superior Court of California,
County of SAN MATEO
Petition of: William Wei Nan
Oin for Change of Name
TO ALL INTERESTED
PERSONS:
Petitioner William Wei Nan Oin
filed a petition with this court
for a decree changing names
as follows:
William Wei Nan Oin to
William Wei Nan Oin to
William Wei Nan Oin to
William Wei Nan Chin
The Court orders that all
persons interested in this
court at the hearing indicated
below to show cause, if any,
why the petition for change of
name should not be grantee A
person of the court of the court of the court
and the court of the court of the court
and the court of the court of the court
and the court of the court of the court
and the court of the court of the court
and the court of the court of the court
and the court of the court of the court
and the court of the court of the court
and the court of the court of the court of the court
and the court of t above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

grant the petition without a hearing.
Notice of Hearing:
Date: 04/02/2025, Time: 0900, Dept.: M/C
The address of the court is 400 COUNTY CENTER REDWOOD CITY, CA-94063

A copy of this Order to Show Cause shall be published at least once each week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing on the petition in the following newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county: The Examiner Date: 1/27/25 Hon. Stephanie Garratt Judge of the Superior Court 2/21, 2/28, 3/7, 3/14/25 SPEN-3898417#

EXAMINER - REDWOOD CITY TRIBUNE*10080

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAMES

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT
File No. M-299754
The following person(s) is (are) doing business as:
ALADDIN HAULING, 1534 PLAZA LN #136, BURLINGAME, CA 94010.
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO
MARCOS DA SILVA, 1534 PLAZA LN #136, BURLINGAME, CA 94010
This business is conducted by AN INDIVIDUAL
The registrant(s) commenced

AN INDIVIDUAL
The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under the flicitious business name or names listed above on 12/23/2019
I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.) S' MARCOS DA SILVA
This statement was filed S/MAHCOS DA SILVA This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on 02/10/2025 Mark Church, County Clerk 2/21, 2/28, 3/7, 3/14/25 NPEN-3898370#

EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS

NAME STATEMENT File No. M-299788 following person(s) is The following person(s) is (are) doing business as: IPHONE REPAIRING, 318 WESTLAKE CTR STE 213, DALY CITY, CA 94015, County of SAN MATEO KHAN COMPANY LLC, 318 WESTLAKE CENTER SUITE 213, DALY CITY, CA 94015 This business is conducted by A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY The registrant(s) commenced

COMPANY
The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 09/10/2019

09/10/2019
I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is quilty of a crime.)

which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.)
S/ MUHAMMAD IMTIAZ AHMAD KHAN IMTIAZ AHMAD KHAN This statement was filled with the County Clerk of San Mateo County Olerk 19 San Mateo County Clerk 22/1, 2/28, 3/7, 3/14/25
NPEN-3898368F
EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE &

NFEN-3898368# EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS
NAME STATEMENT
File No. M-299777
The following person(s) is
(are) doing business as:
WELLINGS WEALTH CARE,
695 OAK GROVE AVE STE
100,

MENLO PARK, CA 94025, County of SAN MATEO BRUCE WELLINGS, 695 OAK GROVE AVE STE 100, MENLO PARK, CA 94025

MENLO PARK, CA 94025
This business is conducted by
A MARRIED COUPLE
The registrant(s) commenced
to transact business under
the fictitious business name
or names listed above on
09/24/2019

09/24/2019

I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information

declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.) S/ BRUČE WELLINGS
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on 02/11/2025 Mark Church, County Clerk 22/1, 2/28, 3/7, 3/14/25
NPEN-3898359#

EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS
NAME STATEMENT
File No. M-299757
The following person(s) is (are) doing business as:
LIBBY RAAB
ARCHTECTURE, 410
LA MESA DR, PORTOLA
VALLEY, CA 94028, County
of SAN MATEO
ELIZABETH RAAB, 410
LA MESA DR, PORTOLA
VALLEY, CA 94028
This business is conducted by

which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.) S/ ELIZABETH RAAB
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on 02/10/2025 Mark Church, County Clerk 2271, 2/28, 3/7, 3/14/25
NPEN-3898357#
EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS
NAME STATEMENT
File No. M-299725
ne following person(s) is The following person(s) is (are) doing business as:
THE CHANDLER GROUP,
1420 ROCKY RIDGE DR.,
STE. 200, ROSEVILLE,
CA DRESS 707 ND AVE S.,
MINNEAPOLIS, MIN 55474,
COUNTY OF PLACER
AMERIPRSE FINANCIAL
SERVICES, LLC, 707 2ND
AVE S., MINNEAPOLIS, MN
55474
This business is conducted
by A LIMITED LIABILITY
COMPANY
STATE OF ORGANIZATION:
DELWARE
The registrant(s) commenced

STATE OF ORGANIZATION: DELWARE
The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 01/01/2025
I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.)
S/ MICHELLE MILLER, MANAGER
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on 02/05/2025
Mark Church, County Clerk 221, 1228, 317, 3141/25
NPEN-3898251#
EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS
NAME STATEMENT
File No. M-297155
The following person(s) is
(are) doing business as:
SACUANJOCHE BUILDING
MAINTENANCE. 3655
colegrove St. APT 8, SAM
MATEO, CA 94403 County of
SAN MATEO,

MATEO CA 94403 County of SAN MATEO JUAN R BARRERA, 3655 colegrove St. APT 8, SAN MATEO CA 94403 fersthel Isabel Gomez, 3655 Colegrove St. #8, San Mateo, CA 94403 This business is conducted by a the property of the proper

to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on N/A. I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be

S/ JOAN PAWOR STATE STAT

false is guilty of a crime.) S/ JUAN RAMON BARRERA

Mark Church, County Clerk [Deputy], Deputy Original 2/21, 2/28, 3/7, 3/14/25 NPEN-3897698# EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS
NAME STATEMENT
File No. M-299724
The following person(s) is
(are) doing business as:
MJM BUILD GROUP, 136
SAN FERNANDO WAY, DALY
CITY CA 94015 Courb, of SAN FERNANDO WAY, DALY CITY, CA 94015, County of SAN MATEO MJM BUILD GROUP LLC, 136 SAN FERNANDO WAY, DALY CITY, CA 94015 This business is conducted by A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY STATE

This business is conducted by A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. STATE OF ORGANIZATION: CALIFORNIA The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 1/1/2025

1/1/2025
I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.)
S/ MILES MUSTARI, LLC MANAGING MEMBER MANAGING MEMBER
This statement was filed
with the County Clerk of San
Mateo County Clerk of San
Mateo County Clerk of San
SALGADO
Mark Church, County Clerk
214, 2/21, 2/28, 3/7/25
NPEN-3895785#
EXAMINER - BOLITONIC O

EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS
NAME STATEMENT
File No. M-299744
The file No. M-29974
The registrant(s), commenced

The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 03/19/2024 clare that all information

in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information

which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.) S/ THOMAS L. WEINBERG, PRESIDENT PRESIDENT
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on FEB 07,

2025 Mark Church, County Clerk 2/14, 2/21, 2/28, 3/7/25 NPEN-3895566# EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE &

VILLAGER

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS
NAME STATEMENT
FIE No. 2025-0405562
Fictitious Business Name(s)/
Trade Name (DBA):
T221
CONCEPT. 1221
DIVISADERO STREET, SAN
FRANCISCO, CA 94115
County of SAN FRANCISCO
Registered Owner(s):

FRANCISCO, CA 94115
County of SAN FRANCISCO
Registered Owner(s):
ANNA ISAEVA, 1221
DIVISADERO STREET, SAN
FRANCISCO, CA 94115
This business is conducted by:
an Individual
The registrant commenced to
transact business under the
fictitious business name or
names listed above on N/A.
I declare that all information
in this statement is true and
correct. (A registrant who
declares as true any material
matter pursuant to Section
17913 of the Business and
Professions code that the
registrant knows to be false
is guilty of a misdemeanor
punishable by a fine not to
exceed one thousand dollars
(\$1.000.)
S/ ANNAI SAEVA,
This statement was filed

Š. ÁNNÁ ISAEVA, This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Francisco County on 02/05/2025. NOTICE-In accordance with Subdivision (a) of Section 17920, a Ficitious Name Statement generally expires at the end of five years from the date on which if was filed in the office of the County Clerk, except, as provided the date on which it was filed in the office of the County Clerk, except, as provided in Subdivision (b) of Section 17920, where it expires 40 days after any change in the facts set forth in the statement pursuant to Section 17913 other than a change in the residence address of a registered owner. A new Fictitious Business Name Statement must be filed before the expiration. The filling of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state authorize the use in this state of a Fictitious Business Name of a Fictilious Business Namin in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (See Section 14411 et seq., Business and Professions Code). 2114, 2/21, 2/28, 3/7/25 CNS-3895300#

SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT

NAME STATMENT
File No. m299383
The following person(s) is
(are) doing business as:
1. Northern California Practice
Sales, 2. DDSMatch Bay Area,
3. DDSMatch San Francisco
Bay Area, 330 Primrose Road,
Suite 218, Burlingame, CA
94010 Country of SAN MATCO
Mailing Address: 330 Primrose
Road, Suite 218, Burlingame,
CA 94010
Stephen Andrew Mollinelli. 330

CA 94010 Stephen Andrew Molinelli, 330 Primrose Road, Suite 218, Burlingame, CA 94010

Burlingame, CA 94010
This business is conducted by an Individual
The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 08/01/1999.

I declare that all information in this statement is true and