

**From:** [Shadd Newman](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); ["info@midmarketcbd.org"](#)  
**Cc:** [Amy Hull](#)  
**Subject:** Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 9:45:22 AM  
**Attachments:** [image048973.png](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please support the legislation for allowing San Francisco Police Department to access live video footage of private (non-City owned) security cameras to deter and prosecute criminal activity, such as: mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries.

With guardrails in place for protecting First Amendment Rights, and training for officers accessing the cameras, the cameras will allow police to deter and prosecute the organized crimes plaguing our City: be it mass looting in Union Square, drug dealing rings in the Tenderloin, retail theft in Chinatown or brazen home burglaries on the Westside.

Cameras such as those currently available through the Mid Market Community Benefit District, and many other CBDs, are an invaluable tool, available now to help make San Francisco safer.

Residents and prospective tenants at Trinity Place in mid-Market lament the crime and lack of safety in the neighborhood, expressing concern about open air drug dealing. Providing SFPD access to already existing tools to help them do their job is an important step in addressing these concerns and making our streets safer for all members of the community.

Thank you for approving the Surveillance Technology Policy ordinance.

Sincerely,

Shadd Newman

Shadd Newman  
Vice President  
(415) 575-3356



The premier source of furnished and unfurnished apartments.

[trinitysf.com](http://trinitysf.com)

Email Disclaimer

**From:** [Helene Sautou](#)  
**To:** [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Info Info](#)  
**Subject:** Support for Police Department of non-City entity surveillance cameras  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 9:47:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [Camera Support for Police Department use of non-City entity surveillance cameras .pdf](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please find attached the Mid Market CBD letter of support for use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Best regards,



**Helene Sautou**

Project Director

Mid Market Community Benefit District

[\(415\) 957-5985](tel:(415)957-5985)

[hsautou@midmarketcbd.org](mailto:hsautou@midmarketcbd.org)

[midmarketcbd.org](http://midmarketcbd.org)

**From:** [Tracy Everwine](#)  
**To:** [Helene Sautou](#)  
**Cc:** [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Info Info](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Support for Police Department of non-City entity surveillance cameras  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 9:58:22 AM  
**Attachments:** [Screen Shot 2022-07-15 at 9.56.14 AM.png](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Just FYI heading was incomplete. Please fix if you forward anywhere else.

Support for Police Department of non-City entity surveillance cameras



**Helene Sautou**

to Matt.Dorsey, Rafael, Myrna.Melgar, Brent.Jalipa, Hillary.Ronen, Shamann.Walton, Ahsha.Safai, Gordon.Mar, Connie.Chan, A

On Fri, Jul 15, 2022 at 9:46 AM Helene Sautou <[hsautou@midmarketcbd.org](mailto:hsautou@midmarketcbd.org)> wrote:

Dear Supervisors,

Please find attached the Mid Market CBD letter of support for use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Best regards,



**Helene Sautou**

Project Director

Mid Market Community Benefit District

[\(415\) 957-5985](tel:(415)957-5985)

[hsautou@midmarketcbd.org](mailto:hsautou@midmarketcbd.org)

[midmarketcbd.org](http://midmarketcbd.org)

--



**Tracy Everwine**

Executive Director

Mid Market Community Benefit District

[\(415\) 957-5985](tel:(415)957-5985)

[teverwine@midmarketcbd.org](mailto:teverwine@midmarketcbd.org)

[midmarketcbd.org](http://midmarketcbd.org)

**From:** [Dennis Crowe](#)  
**To:** [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [info@midmarketcbd.org](mailto:info@midmarketcbd.org)  
**Subject:** Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 10:25:17 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I support the legislation allowing the San Francisco Police Department to access live video footage of private (non-City owned) security cameras and I hope you will too.

I have owned my building and run my business at 6th and Clementina for 18 years now and have given the police access to my cameras when asked. I have seen first hand that these cameras are a critical tool to make our streets safer.

Please support this legislation.

Thank you

Sincerely,  
Dennis Crowe

Dennis Crowe  
Founder / Creative Director  
VehicleSF  
275 Sixth Street, SF CA 94103  
[www.vehiclesf.com](http://www.vehiclesf.com)  
main: 415-777-5560  
direct: 415-882-3455  
cell: 415-531-6358

**From:** [Harrison, David](#)  
**To:** [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [info@midmarketcbd.org](mailto:info@midmarketcbd.org)  
**Subject:** Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 10:25:53 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I hope you will support the legislation allowing the San Francisco Police Department to access live video footage of private (non-City owned) security cameras to deter and prosecute criminal activity, such as: mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries.

With guardrails in place for protecting First Amendment Rights, and training for officers accessing the cameras, the cameras will allow police to deter and prosecute the organized crimes plaguing our City.

Cameras such as those currently available through the Mid Market Community Benefit District, and many other CBDs, are an invaluable tool, available now to help make San Francisco safer.

Thank you for approving the Surveillance Technology Policy ordinance.

Sincerely,

David Harrison

**From:** [Tina Lauchengco](#)  
**To:** [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Info Info](#)  
**Subject:** Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 10:37:32 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please support the legislation allowing the San Francisco Police Department to access live video footage of private (non-City owned) security cameras to deter and prosecute criminal activity, such as: mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries.

With guardrails in place for protecting First Amendment Rights, and training for officers accessing the cameras, the cameras will allow police to deter and prosecute the organized crimes plaguing our City: be it mass looting in Union Square, drug dealing rings in the Tenderloin, retail theft in Chinatown or brazen home burglaries on the Westside.

Cameras such as those currently available through the Mid Market Community Benefit District, and many other CBDs, are an invaluable tool, available now to help make San Francisco safer.

[Please add any personal anecdotes]

Thank you for approving the Surveillance Technology Policy ordinance.

Sincerely,  
Tina Lauchengco

**From:** [Joe Ching](#)  
**To:** [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [info@midmarketcbd.org](mailto:info@midmarketcbd.org)  
**Subject:** Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 10:40:42 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please support the legislation allowing the San Francisco Police Department to access live video footage of private (non-City owned) security cameras to deter and prosecute criminal activity, such as: mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries.

With guardrails in place for protecting First Amendment Rights, and training for officers accessing the cameras, the cameras will allow police to deter and prosecute the organized crimes plaguing our City: be it mass looting in Union Square, drug dealing rings in the Tenderloin, retail theft in Chinatown or brazen home burglaries on the Westside.

Cameras such as those currently available through the Mid Market Community Benefit District, and many other CBDs, are an invaluable tool, available now to help make San Francisco safer.

As a property owner who has recently suffered extensive damage to my property due to someone firing illegal fireworks from outside our building, access to camera footage would have certainly helped to possibly ascertain the perpetrators. Vandalism is a constant issue as well in the Mid-Market area and providing the SFPD with the proper tools to help curb these and other illegal activities is a long overdue action.

Thank you for approving the Surveillance Technology Policy ordinance.

Sincerely,  
Joe Ching



**From:** [Nate Haas](#)  
**To:** [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [info@midmarketcbd.org](mailto:info@midmarketcbd.org)  
**Subject:** Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 10:51:08 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please support the legislation allowing the San Francisco Police Department to access live video footage of private (non-City owned) security cameras to deter and prosecute criminal activity, such as: mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries.

With guardrails in place for protecting First Amendment Rights, and training for officers accessing the cameras, the cameras will allow police to deter and prosecute the organized crimes plaguing our City: be it mass looting in Union Square, drug dealing rings in the Tenderloin, retail theft in Chinatown or brazen home burglaries on the Westside.

Cameras such as those currently available through the Mid Market Community Benefit District, and many other CBDs, are an invaluable tool, available now to help make San Francisco safer.

Thank you for approving the Surveillance Technology Policy ordinance.

Sincerely,  
Nate Haas

**From:** [Jack Sinow](#)  
**To:** [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [info@midmarketcbd.org](mailto:info@midmarketcbd.org)  
**Subject:** Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 11:41:31 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please support the legislation allowing the San Francisco Police Department to access live video footage of private (non-City owned) security cameras to deter and prosecute criminal activity, such as: mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries.

With guardrails in place for protecting First Amendment Rights, and training for officers accessing the cameras, the cameras will allow police to deter and prosecute the organized crimes plaguing our City: be it mass looting in Union Square, drug dealing rings in the Tenderloin, retail theft in Chinatown or brazen home burglaries on the Westside.

Cameras such as those currently available through the Mid Market Community Benefit District, and many other CBDs, are an invaluable tool, available now to help make San Francisco safer.

This was an invaluable tool to help us discover who broke our large glass window and how it was done. Anything that helps deter crime on 6<sup>th</sup> Street and deter violence upon my patient base, which occurs almost daily, would be of immense help.

Thank you for approving the Surveillance Technology Policy ordinance.

Sincerely,  
Jack H Sinow, OD  
71 6<sup>th</sup> Street

**From:** [Jane Weil](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [info@midmarketcbd.org](mailto:info@midmarketcbd.org)  
**Subject:** Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 12:04:30 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please support the legislation for allowing San Francisco Police Department to access live video footage of private (non-City owned) security cameras to deter and prosecute criminal activity, such as: mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries.

With guardrails in place for protecting First Amendment Rights, and training for officers accessing the cameras, the cameras will allow police to deter and prosecute the organized crimes plaguing our City: be it mass looting in Union Square, drug dealing rings in the Tenderloin, retail theft in Chinatown or brazen home burglaries on the Westside.

Cameras such as those currently available through the Mid Market Community Benefit District, and many other CBDs, are an invaluable tool, available now to help make San Francisco safer.

As a homeowner overlooking the troubled corner of Mission & 18th, I want SFPD to have all tools available to keep us safe from the open drug dealing and criminals who hang out all around us. Help us PLEASE!

Thank you for approving the Surveillance Technology Policy ordinance.

Sincerely,  
Jane Weil  
Board President SOMAGrand HOA  
1160 Mission St #2108  
San Francisco CA 94103

**From:** [Scott Walton](#)  
**To:** [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [info@midmarketcbd.org](mailto:info@midmarketcbd.org)  
**Subject:** Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 1:43:17 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

The Ambassador Theatre Group, the owners of the Orpheum, Golden Gate and Curran Theatres, brings more than 1,000,000 people into the Tenderloin neighborhood each year. We support the legislation for allowing San Francisco Police Department to access live video footage of private (non-City owned) security cameras to deter and prosecute criminal activity, such as: mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries.

With guardrails in place for protecting First Amendment Rights, and training for officers accessing the cameras, the cameras will allow police to deter and prosecute the organized crimes plaguing our City: be it mass looting in Union Square, drug dealing rings in the Tenderloin, retail theft in Chinatown or brazen home burglaries on the Westside.

Cameras such as those currently available through the Mid Market Community Benefit District, and many other CBDs, are an invaluable tool, available now to help make San Francisco safer.

Thank you for approving the Surveillance Technology Policy ordinance.

Sincerely,  
Rainier Koeners, Managing Director

Ambassador Theatre Group

Sensitivity: Internal Use

**From:** [Paulina Fayer](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 5:19:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Paulina Fayer

Email [plfayer@gmail.com](mailto:plfayer@gmail.com)

I am a resident of [District 8](#)

### Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Robert Chan](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 5:32:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Robert Chan

Email      RobertYChan@aol.com

I am a resident of      [District 2](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.





**From:** [Dorothy Chan](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 5:33:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Dorothy Chan

Email      dorothywaichan@aol.com

I am a resident of      [District 2](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Marina Roche](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 5:34:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Marina Roche

Email [marinaroche@icloud.com](mailto:marinaroche@icloud.com)

I am a resident of [District 4](#)

### Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Amy Chan](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 5:34:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Amy Chan

Email      amyrchan@gmail.com

I am a resident of      [District 1](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Ryan Chan](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 5:36:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Ryan Chan

Email      ryanjchan@gmail.com

I am a resident of      [District 9](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.





**From:** [Stephanie Lehman](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 5:57:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Stephanie Lehman

Email      slehman21@yahoo.com

I am a resident of      [District 1](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.  
Stephanie Lehman  
Elected delegate AD 19



**From:** [Kevin Roche](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 6:07:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Kevin Roche

Email      krochemusic@aol.com

I am a resident of      District 4

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [KEVIN OSHEA](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 6:17:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent KEVIN OSHEA

Email [osheakw@gmail.com](mailto:osheakw@gmail.com)

I am a resident of [District 8](#)

### Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [William Palladino](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 6:31:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent William Palladino  
Email [wetwilly17@hotmail.com](mailto:wetwilly17@hotmail.com)  
I am a resident of [District 4](#)

### Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.





**From:** [Fiona Montgomery](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 6:34:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Fiona Montgomery

Email      fiona.thomas@gmail.com

I am a resident of      District 8

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you, Fiona



**From:** [Jerry Connolly](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 7:17:14 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Jerry Connolly

Email                              jerconsf@yahoo.com

I am a resident of              District 4

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Mike Jones](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 7:24:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Mike Jones

Email      [mj357@comcast.net](mailto:mj357@comcast.net)

I am a resident of      [District 2](#)

### Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Monthanus Ratanapakdee](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 7:31:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Monthanus Ratanapakdee

Email      monthanusr@yahoo.com

I am a resident of      [District 2](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.





**From:** [Charna Ball](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 7:48:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Charna Ball

Email      genteel-slots.0j@icloud.com

I am a resident of      [District 2](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Ebert Kan](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 8:47:47 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Ebert Kan

Email      [Nomad627@juno.com](mailto:Nomad627@juno.com)

I am a resident of      [District 1](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Karen Wong](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 9:21:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Karen Wong

Email cloudsrest789@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 3

### Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Arthur Hubbard](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 9:49:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Arthur Hubbard

Email      amhsf@att.net

I am a resident of      [District 1](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.





**From:** [Al Sargent](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 9:51:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Al Sargent

Email      al.sargent@gmail.com

I am a resident of      [District 1](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Holland Ja](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 10:12:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Holland Ja

Email      [hollandyja@comcast.net](mailto:hollandyja@comcast.net)

I am a resident of      [District 7](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Michael Coll](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 10:23:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Michael Coll

Email      kellsconstructioninc@gmail.com

I am a resident of      [District 4](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Cynthia Kass](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 10:41:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Cynthia Kass

Email      cindikass3@gmail.com

I am a resident of      [District 3](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.





**From:** [Laurie Dolly](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Friday, July 15, 2022 11:57:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Laurie Dolly

Email      lauriedolly@gmail.com

I am a resident of      [District 11](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [jennifer.yan](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 12:45:34 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      jennifer.yan

Email      jennifer.yan@gmail.com

I am a resident of      [District 2](#)

### Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [dirk probstel](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 12:46:56 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      dirk probstel

Email      dirkprobstel@gmail.com

I am a resident of      [District 2](#)

### Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Jeff Ricker](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 5:41:10 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Jeff Ricker

Email      the\_dreadnought@yahoo.com

I am a resident of      [District 2](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.





**From:** [Steven Wong](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 6:18:08 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Steven Wong

Email      [steven4274@yahoo.com](mailto:steven4274@yahoo.com)

I am a resident of      [District 3](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Dena Aslanian-Williams](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 7:26:41 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Dena Aslanian-Williams

Email      [denawilliams@msn.com](mailto:denawilliams@msn.com)

I am a resident of      [District 7](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Yasmin Staton](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 7:46:17 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Yasmin Staton

Email      ydmello@yahoo.com

I am a resident of      District 4

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [kaaren alvarado](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 8:02:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent kaaren alvarado

Email [kaaren25@att.net](mailto:kaaren25@att.net)

I am a resident of [District 4](#)

### Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.





**From:** [Matthew Faliano](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 8:06:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Matthew Faliano

Email      Faliano3342@gmail.com

I am a resident of      [District 4](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Jamie O'Keefe](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 8:24:44 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Jamie O'Keefe

Email      [jokeefe415@gmail.com](mailto:jokeefe415@gmail.com)

I am a resident of      [District 1](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Howard Lee](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 9:01:54 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Howard Lee

Email      howard.lee90@gmail.com

I am a resident of      District 7

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan, Mandelman, and Melgar:

With the ever-increasing in property theft, we need to give another tool to the police to fight crime. I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Diane Sargent](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 9:05:43 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Diane Sargent

Email      [diane.sargent@gmail.com](mailto:diane.sargent@gmail.com)

I am a resident of      [District 1](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.





**From:** [Tad Sky](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 9:23:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Tad Sky

Email [tadsky7@gmail.com](mailto:tadsky7@gmail.com)

I am a resident of [District 7](#)

### Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Meredith Serra](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 9:51:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Meredith Serra

Email      meredithserra@outlook.com

I am a resident of      [District 7](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Marjorie Tang](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 10:00:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Marjorie Tang

Email      shibapaws@gmail.com

I am a resident of      [District 4](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Una Werner](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 10:46:05 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Una Werner

Email                              unahlp@aol.com

I am a resident of      District 7

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.





**From:** [Daniel Langford](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 11:09:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Daniel Langford

Email [langford987@hotmail.com](mailto:langford987@hotmail.com)

I am a resident of [District 3](#)

### Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [John Cowan](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 11:30:56 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      John Cowan

Email                              apollofix.24@gmail.com

I am a resident of      [District 1](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

As you know, crime is out of control in San Francisco. Our building was burglarized at least five times. Thanks for listening.

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Teresa Shaw](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 12:21:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Teresa Shaw

Email      [terishaw@pacbell.net](mailto:terishaw@pacbell.net)

I am a resident of      [District 2](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Keith Kandarian](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 12:23:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Keith Kandarian

Email [kkandarian@gmail.com](mailto:kkandarian@gmail.com)

I am a resident of [District 2](#)

### Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.





**From:** [Aisling Ferguson](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 12:50:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Aisling Ferguson

Email      [aferguson@gmwest.com](mailto:aferguson@gmwest.com)

I am a resident of      [District 8](#)

### Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Stephanie McKnight](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 12:51:12 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Stephanie McKnight

Email      tatummq@yahoo.com

I am a resident of      District 8

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Robin Adler](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 1:12:23 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Robin Adler

Email      [robin@robinadler.me](mailto:robin@robinadler.me)

I am a resident of      [District 6](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Norah Uyeda](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 4:28:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Norah Uyeda

Email      yuenuyeda@hotmail.com

I am a resident of      [District 1](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.





**From:** [Susan OHara](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 5:02:43 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Susan OHara

Email [sj\\_ohara@yahoo.com](mailto:sj_ohara@yahoo.com)

I am a resident of District 5

### Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

Please support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras. This is a reasonable tool for safety and fair treatment.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

There are too few tools to allow innocent citizens to protect themselves, and the balance of protections favors criminals and those with mental health issues who harm neighbors and families. Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

**From:** [Frank Noto](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 6:19:49 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Frank Noto

Email      [frank@fnstrategy.com](mailto:frank@fnstrategy.com)

I am a resident of      [District 7](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors:

I urge you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras. This corrects the original ordinance in a way we advocated for years ago.

The revised ordinance balances our rights and public safety. Homeowners, nonprofits or business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Samuel Hom](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 6:42:57 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Samuel Hom

Email      samhom1958@gmail.com

I am a resident of      [District 1](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Youn Lee](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 7:33:38 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Youn Lee

Email      collin@kobuksan.com

I am a resident of      [District 1](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.





**From:** [Assunta Young](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 8:18:28 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Assunta Young

Email      [assuntayoung46@gmail.com](mailto:assuntayoung46@gmail.com)

I am a resident of      [District 7](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [John Ng](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 8:54:59 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      John Ng

Email                              JohnNgSF@aol.com

I am a resident of              [District 1](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Erin O'Connor](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 8:55:23 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Erin O'Connor

Email      [oconnorstl@yahoo.com](mailto:oconnorstl@yahoo.com)

I am a resident of      [District 7](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Nathan Bass](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Saturday, July 16, 2022 10:14:49 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Nathan Bass

Email                              nathan.bass@ucsf.edu

I am a resident of      [District 8](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.





**From:** [Dona Crowder](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Sunday, July 17, 2022 7:30:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Dona Crowder

Email [dona@donacrowder.com](mailto:dona@donacrowder.com)

I am a resident of [District 8](#)

### Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Amy Chen](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Sunday, July 17, 2022 8:21:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Amy Chen

Email [amy080chen@gmail.com](mailto:amy080chen@gmail.com)

I am a resident of [District 4](#)

### Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Teresa Silva](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Sunday, July 17, 2022 8:22:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Teresa Silva

Email      [teri.esalon@gmail.com](mailto:teri.esalon@gmail.com)

I am a resident of      [District 8](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Josephine Zhao](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Sunday, July 17, 2022 8:23:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Josephine Zhao

Email      josephine\_zhao@yahoo.com

I am a resident of      [District 4](#)

### Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.





**From:** [kelvin yip](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Sunday, July 17, 2022 8:31:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      kelvin yip

Email      [kelvinkyip@gmail.com](mailto:kelvinkyip@gmail.com)

I am a resident of      [District 5](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [dennis dunne](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Sunday, July 17, 2022 8:39:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent dennis dunne

Email [dunnedf@gmail.com](mailto:dunnedf@gmail.com)

I am a resident of [District 4](#)

### Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Oleg Tomillo](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Sunday, July 17, 2022 8:59:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Oleg Tomillo

Email      [otomillo@gmail.com](mailto:otomillo@gmail.com)

I am a resident of      [District 11](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.  
Oleg



**From:** [Mark Clark](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Sunday, July 17, 2022 11:57:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Mark Clark

Email [mpclark704@icloud.com](mailto:mpclark704@icloud.com)

I am a resident of [District 7](#)

### Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.





**From:** [Victor Belfor](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Sunday, July 17, 2022 12:04:11 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Victor Belfor

Email                              vbelfor@gmail.com

I am a resident of      District 9

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Selena Chu](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Sunday, July 17, 2022 12:51:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Selena Chu

Email [selenachu10@gmail.com](mailto:selenachu10@gmail.com)

I am a resident of [District 4](#)

### Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Raymond Wong](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Sunday, July 17, 2022 12:52:24 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Raymond Wong

Email      raymondwong4u@yahoo.com

I am a resident of      [District 2](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Mei Chen](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Sunday, July 17, 2022 12:52:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Mei Chen

Email      meichensf@icloud.com

I am a resident of      [District 4](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.





**From:** [Jon Chu](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Sunday, July 17, 2022 12:53:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Jon Chu

Email                              zdaiying1@gmail.com

I am a resident of      District 4

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [MARIA Ditico](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Sunday, July 17, 2022 12:55:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent MARIA Ditico

Email [match94107@yahoo.com](mailto:match94107@yahoo.com)

I am a resident of [District 6](#)

### Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Gina Tse-Louie](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Sunday, July 17, 2022 1:06:34 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Gina Tse-Louie

Email      Informed168@gmail.com

I am a resident of      [District 4](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I find people don't want to be caught on camera doing bad things... the more cameras the more conscientious people will be which is not a bad thing!

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Kaihua Kong](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Sunday, July 17, 2022 1:32:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Kaihua Kong

Email      khzy1997@yahoo.com

I am a resident of      [District 1](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.





**From:** [Wen Zhu](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Sunday, July 17, 2022 1:38:15 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Wen Zhu

Email      wuminghua@yahoo.com

I am a resident of      District 6

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Kent Tran](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Sunday, July 17, 2022 2:02:18 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Kent Tran

Email                              kayjaig@hotmail.com

I am a resident of      [District10](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Kelly Powers](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Kevin Carroll](#)  
**Subject:** Surveillance Cameras  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 13, 2022 11:32:10 AM  
**Attachments:** [Surveillance Cameras.docx](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

Please see our letter of support for Legislation supporting responsible policies related to access of Surveillance Camera usage as a tool to keep Residents and Visitors safe.

Sincerely,

Kelly Powers

**From:** [Fullmore, Nany](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Camera Surveillance Legislation  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 13, 2022 2:24:50 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to ask you to please allow SFPD access or the ability to monitor even temporarily, live video that is owned or operated by third parties. Blinding of law enforcement does not make sense and it does not make us safer.

Several weeks ago, gunshot took place in the alley way of Clementine street late at night. The hotel's camera was able to capture the evidence and called the police to view however they were not able to view it as it is owned by third party. This street is hotel's only employees entrance. My employees having witnessed or hearing about what happened and how the police were not able to follow lead to preventing it from happening again made me and my employees feel very unsafe.

Law enforcement needs every resource available to them to solve crime and deter possible future crime. Organized crime, whether it's mass looting in Union Square or drug dealing rings in the Tenderloin, or retail theft in Chinatown or brazen home burglaries on the Westside -- these are all criminal activities that law enforcement can address more effectively if they have their eyes open. This legislation will allow police to use non-City cameras and camera networks during large scale events with public safety concerns; for investigations of active misdemeanor and felony violations; and investigations into officer misconduct. Video footage can be used as evidence as well to exonerate individuals who may be wrongly accused and help police and prosecutors ensure that they are holding the correct persons responsible.

Thank you for your support. Please contact me if you have any questions.

Best,

Nany Fullmore

General Manager

*Bring your best to the moment*

O: 415-486-6401

**The Clancy, Autograph Collection**

299 2<sup>ND</sup> St.

San Francisco, CA 94105

[www.marriott.com/sfoaw](http://www.marriott.com/sfoaw) | [Instagram](#)

*The Clancy, a member of Marriott International's extraordinary Autograph Collection.*



*This communication contains information from Marriott International, Inc. that may be confidential. Except for personal use by the intended recipient, or as expressly authorized by the sender, any person who receives this information is prohibited from disclosing, copying, distributing, and/or using it. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately delete it and all copies, and promptly notify the sender. Nothing in this communication is intended to operate as an electronic signature under applicable law.*

**From:** [Richard Leider](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Support of Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD use of Non City Entity Surveillance Cameras  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 13, 2022 5:56:23 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing in support of the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department Use of Non-City Entity Surveillance Cameras. This legislation is a critical step towards improving safety for small business owners, employees, and all San Franciscans. It strategically uses technological advances to strengthen City services and provide the necessary tools for SFPD to solve our most violent, harmful, and persistent crimes. I support improving and clarifying local laws governing the use of cameras during situations with serious public safety impacts.

Our businesses community has suffered incredible financial loss due to organized retail theft, on top of the pandemic economic conditions, and this legislation provides a desperately needed tool for SFPD to better support our merchant corridors and neighborhoods facing critical public safety challenges. San Francisco businesses have storefronts, employees, and customers here and are deeply invested in creating a safer San Francisco.

Please pass this critical public safety legislation.

Sincerely,

Richard J. Leider

1523 Baker Street

San Francisco, CA 94115

Richard J. Leider

D) 415-947-7230

O) 415-285-5000

C) 415-672-2160

[RLeider@Leidergroup.com](mailto:RLeider@Leidergroup.com)

**From:** [Tracy Thompson](#)  
**To:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Sweet, Alexandra C. \(MYR\)](#); [Power, Andres \(MYR\)](#); [Assemblymember.Haney@assembly.ca.gov](#); [Assemblymember.Ting@assembly.ca.gov](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Parks, Jamie \(MTA\)](#); [Tumlin, Jeffrey \(MTA\)](#); [LakeStreet@sfmta.com](#); [MTABoard@sfmta.com](#); [Elsbernd, Sean \(MYR\)](#); [Senator.Wiener@senate.ca.gov](#); [SlowLakeStreet@gmail.com](#); [SlowStreets@sfmta.com](#); [Maquire, Tom \(MTA\)](#)  
**Subject:** Disappointment in your decision regarding Slow Lake Street  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 13, 2022 6:15:49 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor Breed,

Your decision to abandon Slow Lake and its countless benefits to our city is extremely disappointing and suggests a lack of forward and visionary thinking on your part. Slow Lake has been an amazing benefit to SF residents, and one of the few bright spots over the last two and a half demoralizing years of the Covid era. Your seemingly cavalier decision to reject the concept of Slow Lake and presumably return the street to its preexisting traffic flow, despite the wishes of the thousands of city residents who provided input, is baffling to say the least, and represents a genuine loss for the city as a whole.

You say you care about Vision Zero, Climate Action, sustainability, building positive community, and keeping families in our city—all of which Slow Lake does—but your decision to reject Slow Lake is in conflict with those goals.

I respectfully request that you reconsider your decision and that you take appropriate and prompt action to move our city towards the Vision Zero and Climate Action goals you claim to uphold by supporting Slow Lake.

Thank you for your consideration.

Tracy Thompson



**From:** [Nancy Jodaitis](#)  
**To:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Sweet, Alexandra C. \(MYR\)](#); [Power, Andres \(MYR\)](#); [Elsbernd, Sean \(MYR\)](#); [MTABoard@sfmta.com](mailto:MTABoard@sfmta.com); [Tumlin, Jeffrey \(MTA\)](#); [Maquire, Tom \(MTA\)](#); [Parks, Jamie \(MTA\)](#); [SlowStreets@sfmta.com](mailto:SlowStreets@sfmta.com); [LakeStreet@sfmta.com](mailto:LakeStreet@sfmta.com); [Senator.Wiener@senate.ca.gov](mailto:Senator.Wiener@senate.ca.gov); [Assemblymember.Ting@assembly.ca.gov](mailto:Assemblymember.Ting@assembly.ca.gov); [Assemblymember.Haney@assembly.ca.gov](mailto:Assemblymember.Haney@assembly.ca.gov); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Slow Lake](#)  
**Subject:** Importance of keeping Lake Street SLOW  
**Date:** Thursday, July 14, 2022 8:40:53 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor Breed,

I was really disappointed to hear of your pressure and influence on the SFMTA board to reverse the community's consensus to keep Lake Street slow.

Thousands of people who love Slow Lake and have participated in all of the community meetings, surveys, and letter-writing campaigns were shocked and really disappointed by this reversal. It is frustrating to have gone through this process and heard from the SFMTA community engagement employees that the decision was made to move forward with a slow Street, only to have that abandoned at the last hour. This sudden change is disappointing and demoralizing for all the engaged residents of Lake Street and surrounding communities who participated in good faith.

This sends a clear message of whom and what you value in our city -- and how the process really works. I don't believe this is what you intended to do. I have been told that you stated that slowly "smacks of privilege". However, this was one of the streets that had the highest density during the pandemic and built community in a way that the enrichment has never seen.

You have stated that you care about Vision Zero, Climate Action, sustainability, building positive community, and keeping families in our city—all of which Slow Lake does—but your decision to destroy Slow Lake as we know it contradicts your claimed priorities. We need bold vision and fearless leadership to reach our goals, and you are the person who must lead us.

Please take immediate action to reverse your decision to eradicate slow Lake. And move our city towards the Vision Zero and Climate Action goals you claim to uphold.

ACT QUICKLY to demonstrate you DO VALUE the OPINIONS of the MAJORITY of SF residents-- not just the few off-the-bridge drivers and car-committed SF residents who wish to speed through our neighborhood, destroying the community bonds we have built.

Sincerely,  
Nancy Jodaitis  
720 Lake St., #5  
San Francisco, CA 94118

**From:** [Wes Tyler](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** Camera Surveillance Legislation  
**Date:** Thursday, July 14, 2022 8:59:00 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Attention Rules Committee**

**Please approve the legislation that will allow law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.**

**Crime and safety are key to our recovery and San Francisco's rebound from the pandemic. This moves us toward that goal.**

**Thank you.**

Wes Tyler, CHA  
General Manager  
Chancellor Hotel on Union Square  
*"Where the Cable Cars stop at the doorstep"*  
433 Powell Street  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Ph. 415.362.2004 Fax 415.395.9476  
[www.chancellorhotel.com](http://www.chancellorhotel.com)





July 12, 2022

Rules Committee  
Supervisor Peskin  
Supervisor Mandelman  
Supervisor Chan  
SF Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
City Hall Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

**Re: Hotel Council Support for File # 220606 Surveillance Camera Policy for SFPD**

Dear Supervisors, Peskin, Mandelman and Chan,

On behalf of the Hotel Council of San Francisco, I am writing to express our support for the prescribed use of video surveillance access when crimes are in progress.

Currently, The San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have happened in the city over the past several years.

We acknowledge the valid and appropriate concerns around the issue of privacy and potential misuse of this video information. However, there are protective measures and safeguards that address this concern, and we believe the benefits derived from protecting citizens and residents in the “real time” involvement of a crime, and the opportunity to reduce the risk of further escalation of criminal activity is paramount. Many cities across the U.S. have seen a rise in gun violence and it allows communities the opportunity to examine the tools they have in place if such a crisis were to occur in their cities. We believe this tool is needed to prevent the escalation of certain threats and dangers when criminal activity is happening in San Francisco.

Again, on behalf of our 22,000 Hotel Employees in San Francisco, our visitors, and guests, we ask you to support this policy proposal to further safeguard our residents and visitors from potential acceleration of criminal activity or violence that might occur because this vital tool was not available to our officers.

Sincerely,

Kelly Powers  
Director  
Hotel Council of San Francisco

**From:** [Robert G Brown](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Policy allowing Police Authority to use Private Cameras for Policing  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 12, 2022 10:32:17 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors, per the article in 48 hills you are coming back next week with a proposed amended policy to provide authority for the sfpd to use private cameras for policing.

No, No, No, No, NO!

NOT EVER in our lifetime should we give the SFPD any more authority to spy on San Franciscans.

There would NEVER be enough safeguards that any human could think of and impose that make this policy viable.

San Francisco would literally be a "Police State."

Please abolish this policy from our public discussion while enacting policies that make it impossible for it to ever be considered again.

Thank you

Robert G Brown  
1656 Leavenworth St, San Francisco, CA 94109  
415.806.0561

**From:** [churbert@outlook.com](mailto:churbert@outlook.com)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** NO to SF becoming a Police State!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 12, 2022 1:35:47 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Connie and BoS,

This is an obscene police overreach and frankly a crass violation of citizen's civil liberty. Anything but a resounding, 'HELL, NO!' is akin to your tacit support of a dystopian police state. This is NOT who we are.

<https://missionlocal.org/2022/07/surveillance-critics-blast-police-private-cameras/>

Meanwhile the SFPD literally abdicated their responsibilities and duties while attempting to enact retribution against a DA who for once actually sought to hold "bad apples" accountable. Not surprisingly, the Mayor who remained silent on the recall put in Budin's place an inexperienced, uncouth, hack with her sights on regressive, broken window policies and no accountability for the police. Really???

The Board needs to reign in this out of control Mayor and her SFPD pals or there will be consequences at the ballot box next election.

Again, this is NOT who we are.

Sincerely,

Charles Hurbert, D1

**From:** [Judith Beck](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** No SFPD access to private cameras!!!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 12, 2022 11:01:38 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Do not allow SF police access to private cameras on homes and businesses. They have no right to our personal doings in this sweeping, indiscriminate, unwarranted (as in "search warrant") way. Our police have earned no such privilege and should be reined in rather than let loose with surveillance.

Sincerely,

Judy Beck - D5

**From:** [Kelly Powers](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Kevin Carroll](#)  
**Subject:** Surveillance Cameras  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 13, 2022 11:32:10 AM  
**Attachments:** [Surveillance Cameras.docx](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

Please see our letter of support for Legislation supporting responsible policies related to access of Surveillance Camera usage as a tool to keep Residents and Visitors safe.

Sincerely,

Kelly Powers

**From:** [Fullmore, Nany](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Camera Surveillance Legislation  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 13, 2022 2:24:50 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to ask you to please allow SFPD access or the ability to monitor even temporarily, live video that is owned or operated by third parties. Blinding of law enforcement does not make sense and it does not make us safer.

Several weeks ago, gunshot took place in the alley way of Clementine street late at night. The hotel's camera was able to capture the evidence and called the police to view however they were not able to view it as it is owned by third party. This street is hotel's only employees entrance. My employees having witnessed or hearing about what happened and how the police were not able to follow lead to preventing it from happening again made me and my employees feel very unsafe.

Law enforcement need every resource available to them to solve crime and deter possible future crime. Organized crime, whether it's mass looting in Union Square or drug dealing rings in the Tenderloin, or retail theft in Chinatown or brazen home burglaries on the Westside -- these are all criminal activities that law enforcement can address more effectively if they have their eyes open. This legislation will allow police to use non-City cameras and camera networks during large scale events with public safety concerns; for investigations of active misdemeanor and felony violations; and investigations into officer misconduct. Video footage can be used as evidence as well to exonerate individuals who may be wrongly accused and help police and prosecutors ensure that they are holding the correct persons responsible.

Thank you for your support. Please contact me if you have any questions.

Best,

Nany Fullmore

General Manager

*Bring your best to the moment*

O: 415-486-6401

**The Clancy, Autograph Collection**

299 2<sup>ND</sup> St.

San Francisco, CA 94105

[www.marriott.com/sfoaw](http://www.marriott.com/sfoaw) | [Instagram](#)

*The Clancy, a member of Marriott International's extraordinary Autograph Collection.*



*This communication contains information from Marriott International, Inc. that may be confidential. Except for personal use by the intended recipient, or as expressly authorized by the sender, any person who receives this information is prohibited from disclosing, copying, distributing, and/or using it. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately delete it and all copies, and promptly notify the sender. Nothing in this communication is intended to operate as an electronic signature under applicable law.*



**From:** [Richard Leider](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Support of Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD use of Non City Entity Surveillance Cameras  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 13, 2022 5:56:23 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing in support of the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department Use of Non-City Entity Surveillance Cameras. This legislation is a critical step towards improving safety for small business owners, employees, and all San Franciscans. It strategically uses technological advances to strengthen City services and provide the necessary tools for SFPD to solve our most violent, harmful, and persistent crimes. I support improving and clarifying local laws governing the use of cameras during situations with serious public safety impacts.

Our businesses community has suffered incredible financial loss due to organized retail theft, on top of the pandemic economic conditions, and this legislation provides a desperately needed tool for SFPD to better support our merchant corridors and neighborhoods facing critical public safety challenges. San Francisco businesses have storefronts, employees, and customers here and are deeply invested in creating a safer San Francisco.

Please pass this critical public safety legislation.

Sincerely,

Richard J. Leider

1523 Baker Street

San Francisco, CA 94115

Richard J. Leider  
D) 415-947-7230  
O) 415-285-5000  
C) 415-672-2160  
[RLeider@Leidergroup.com](mailto:RLeider@Leidergroup.com)

**From:** [Tracy Thompson](#)  
**To:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Sweet, Alexandra C. \(MYR\)](#); [Power, Andres \(MYR\)](#); [Assemblymember.Haney@assembly.ca.gov](#); [Assemblymember.Ting@assembly.ca.gov](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Parks, Jamie \(MTA\)](#); [Tumlin, Jeffrey \(MTA\)](#); [LakeStreet@sfmta.com](#); [MTABoard@sfmta.com](#); [Elsbernd, Sean \(MYR\)](#); [Senator.Wiener@senate.ca.gov](#); [SlowLakeStreet@gmail.com](#); [SlowStreets@sfmta.com](#); [Maquire, Tom \(MTA\)](#)  
**Subject:** Disappointment in your decision regarding Slow Lake Street  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 13, 2022 6:15:49 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor Breed,

Your decision to abandon Slow Lake and its countless benefits to our city is extremely disappointing and suggests a lack of forward and visionary thinking on your part. Slow Lake has been an amazing benefit to SF residents, and one of the few bright spots over the last two and a half demoralizing years of the Covid era. Your seemingly cavalier decision to reject the concept of Slow Lake and presumably return the street to its preexisting traffic flow, despite the wishes of the thousands of city residents who provided input, is baffling to say the least, and represents a genuine loss for the city as a whole.

You say you care about Vision Zero, Climate Action, sustainability, building positive community, and keeping families in our city—all of which Slow Lake does—but your decision to reject Slow Lake is in conflict with those goals.

I respectfully request that you reconsider your decision and that you take appropriate and prompt action to move our city towards the Vision Zero and Climate Action goals you claim to uphold by supporting Slow Lake.

Thank you for your consideration.

Tracy Thompson

**From:** [Nancy Jodaitis](#)  
**To:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Sweet, Alexandra C. \(MYR\)](#); [Power, Andres \(MYR\)](#); [Elsbernd, Sean \(MYR\)](#); [MTABoard@sfmta.com](mailto:MTABoard@sfmta.com); [Tumlin, Jeffrey \(MTA\)](#); [Maquire, Tom \(MTA\)](#); [Parks, Jamie \(MTA\)](#); [SlowStreets@sfmta.com](mailto:SlowStreets@sfmta.com); [LakeStreet@sfmta.com](mailto:LakeStreet@sfmta.com); [Senator.Wiener@senate.ca.gov](mailto:Senator.Wiener@senate.ca.gov); [Assemblymember.Ting@assembly.ca.gov](mailto:Assemblymember.Ting@assembly.ca.gov); [Assemblymember.Haney@assembly.ca.gov](mailto:Assemblymember.Haney@assembly.ca.gov); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Slow Lake](#)  
**Subject:** Importance of keeping Lake Street SLOW  
**Date:** Thursday, July 14, 2022 8:40:53 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor Breed,

I was really disappointed to hear of your pressure and influence on the SFMTA board to reverse the community's consensus to keep Lake Street slow.

Thousands of people who love Slow Lake and have participated in all of the community meetings, surveys, and letter-writing campaigns were shocked and really disappointed by this reversal. It is frustrating to have gone through this process and heard from the SFMTA community engagement employees that the decision was made to move forward with a slow Street, only to have that abandoned at the last hour. This sudden change is disappointing and demoralizing for all the engaged residents of Lake Street and surrounding communities who participated in good faith.

This sends a clear message of whom and what you value in our city -- and how the process really works. I don't believe this is what you intended to do. I have been told that you stated that slowly "smacks of privilege". However, this was one of the streets that had the highest density during the pandemic and built community in a way that the enrichment has never seen.

You have stated that you care about Vision Zero, Climate Action, sustainability, building positive community, and keeping families in our city—all of which Slow Lake does—but your decision to destroy Slow Lake as we know it contradicts your claimed priorities. We need bold vision and fearless leadership to reach our goals, and you are the person who must lead us.

Please take immediate action to reverse your decision to eradicate slow Lake. And move our city towards the Vision Zero and Climate Action goals you claim to uphold.

ACT QUICKLY to demonstrate you DO VALUE the OPINIONS of the MAJORITY of SF residents-- not just the few off-the-bridge drivers and car-committed SF residents who wish to speed through our neighborhood, destroying the community bonds we have built.

Sincerely,  
Nancy Jodaitis  
720 Lake St., #5  
San Francisco, CA 94118

**From:** [Wes Tyler](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** Camera Surveillance Legislation  
**Date:** Thursday, July 14, 2022 8:59:00 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Attention Rules Committee**

**Please approve the legislation that will allow law enforcement limited, temporary authority to use video footage to help deter, investigate, and solve crime.**

**Crime and safety are key to our recovery and San Francisco's rebound from the pandemic. This moves us toward that goal.**

**Thank you.**

Wes Tyler, CHA  
General Manager  
Chancellor Hotel on Union Square  
*"Where the Cable Cars stop at the doorstep"*  
433 Powell Street  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Ph. 415.362.2004 Fax 415.395.9476  
[www.chancellorhotel.com](http://www.chancellorhotel.com)





July 12, 2022

Rules Committee  
Supervisor Peskin  
Supervisor Mandelman  
Supervisor Chan  
SF Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
City Hall Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

**Re: Hotel Council Support for File # 220606 Surveillance Camera Policy for SFPD**

Dear Supervisors, Peskin, Mandelman and Chan,

On behalf of the Hotel Council of San Francisco, I am writing to express our support for the prescribed use of video surveillance access when crimes are in progress.

Currently, The San Francisco Police Department is barred from accessing any live video to solve or prevent crime except if there is imminent danger of serious injury or death. This leaves neighborhoods across the city vulnerable to organized criminal activity, such as the mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries that have happened in the city over the past several years.

We acknowledge the valid and appropriate concerns around the issue of privacy and potential misuse of this video information. However, there are protective measures and safeguards that address this concern, and we believe the benefits derived from protecting citizens and residents in the “real time” involvement of a crime, and the opportunity to reduce the risk of further escalation of criminal activity is paramount. Many cities across the U.S. have seen a rise in gun violence and it allows communities the opportunity to examine the tools they have in place if such a crisis were to occur in their cities. We believe this tool is needed to prevent the escalation of certain threats and dangers when criminal activity is happening in San Francisco.

Again, on behalf of our 22,000 Hotel Employees in San Francisco, our visitors, and guests, we ask you to support this policy proposal to further safeguard our residents and visitors from potential acceleration of criminal activity or violence that might occur because this vital tool was not available to our officers.

Sincerely,

Kelly Powers  
Director  
Hotel Council of San Francisco

**From:** [Robert G Brown](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Policy allowing Police Authority to use Private Cameras for Policing  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 12, 2022 10:32:17 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors, per the article in 48 hills you are coming back next week with a proposed amended policy to provide authority for the sfpd to use private cameras for policing.

No, No, No, No, NO!

NOT EVER in our lifetime should we give the SFPD any more authority to spy on San Franciscans.

There would NEVER be enough safeguards that any human could think of and impose that make this policy viable.

San Francisco would literally be a "Police State."

Please abolish this policy from our public discussion while enacting policies that make it impossible for it to ever be considered again.

Thank you

Robert G Brown  
1656 Leavenworth St, San Francisco, CA 94109  
415.806.0561

**From:** [churbert@outlook.com](mailto:churbert@outlook.com)  
**To:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** NO to SF becoming a Police State!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 12, 2022 1:35:47 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Connie and BoS,

This is an obscene police overreach and frankly a crass violation of citizen's civil liberty. Anything but a resounding, 'HELL, NO!' is akin to your tacit support of a dystopian police state. This is NOT who we are.

<https://missionlocal.org/2022/07/surveillance-critics-blast-police-private-cameras/>

Meanwhile the SFPD literally abdicated their responsibilities and duties while attempting to enact retribution against a DA who for once actually sought to hold "bad apples" accountable. Not surprisingly, the Mayor who remained silent on the recall put in Budin's place an inexperienced, uncouth, hack with her sights on regressive, broken window policies and no accountability for the police. Really???

The Board needs to reign in this out of control Mayor and her SFPD pals or there will be consequences at the ballot box next election.

Again, this is NOT who we are.

Sincerely,

Charles Hurbert, D1

**From:** [Judith Beck](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** No SFPD access to private cameras!!!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 12, 2022 11:01:38 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Do not allow SF police access to private cameras on homes and businesses. They have no right to our personal doings in this sweeping, indiscriminate, unwarranted (as in "search warrant") way. Our police have earned no such privilege and should be reined in rather than let loose with surveillance.

Sincerely,

Judy Beck - D5



**From:** [Lily Ho](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Sunday, July 17, 2022 4:35:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Lily Ho

Email                              lily.ho@gmail.com

I am a resident of              [District 2](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Iris Biblowitz](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Oppose the Mayor's proposal to increase SFPD's access to surveillance technology from private cameras  
**Date:** Sunday, July 17, 2022 6:34:37 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors on the Rules Committee - I'm a nurse who's more and more alarmed by San Francisco's proposals giving SFPD more power without more accountability. Please strongly oppose the proposal to give SFPD the right to access private surveillance cameras in certain neighborhoods if they determine there's a "possibility of a shooting." This is outrageous and frightening. The current law (from 2019) gives SFPD access to private security cameras only if there's serious risk of physical injury or death. I'm asking you to fight any expansion of the current law and practise. We already have the statistics that show that Black and Latinx communities are targeted much more than white and Asian communities in the city. In today's Chronicle, the numbers are revealing of teh great inequities here: In 2020, stop rates by SFPD show 2,313 for Black, 552 for Latinx, 389 for Whites, and 131 for Asians. UCSF's recent study in JAMA revealed that San Francisco had the highest injury rate for Black residents (SF Chronicle 7/3/22). To address these damning inequities, we need to go in the opposite direction of this proposed surveillance policy, and focus on holding SFPD accountable for their actions and violence against communities of color. Since Nov. 2021 (unless I'm forgetting a victim), SFPD has killed 4 peole , Ajmal Amani, Nelson Szeto, Rafael Mendoza, and Michael MacFhiongham.

As the city give lip service to treatment for people using drugs (while waiting lists make this very difficult for most people), the possibility of increasing surveillance from private cameras (which would include drug dealing as well as drug use) are terrifying and certainly a violation of First Amendment rights. At a time when San Francisco is supposed to be working on reforming police tactics and policies, we're going in the opposite direction.

As a nurse who cares about the well-being of all San Franciscans, and who's cared for people in their homes as well as people living in the streets as well as people in the hospital and clinics, I'm shocked at this hypocritical and dangerous proposal. Those of us who truly believe in racial and social justice will be out in the streets and at City Hall, fighting.

Sincerely - Iris Biblowitz, RN

**From:** [Jan Diamond](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Monday, July 18, 2022 9:24:31 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Jan Diamond

Email      [janmdiamond@pacbell.net](mailto:janmdiamond@pacbell.net)

I am a resident of      [District 2](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras  
**Date:** Monday, July 18, 2022 11:36:26 AM  
**Attachments:** [image064799.png](#)

---

For 220606

---

**From:** Amy Hull <Amy.Hull@trinitysf.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 17, 2022 7:05 AM  
**To:** Shadd Newman <Shadd.Newman@trinitysf.com>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Jalipa, Brent (BOS) <brent.jalipa@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; 'info@midmarketcbd.org' <info@midmarketcbd.org>  
**Subject:** Re: Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I also support making this provision for access to SFPD.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone  
Get [Outlook for Android](#)

Amy Hull  
Chief Operating Officer  
(415) 433-3333



The premier source of furnished and unfurnished apartments.  
[trinitysf.com](http://trinitysf.com)  
[Email Disclaimer](#)

---

**From:** Shadd Newman <[Shadd.Newman@trinitysf.com](mailto:Shadd.Newman@trinitysf.com)>

**Sent:** Friday, July 15, 2022 12:44:39 PM

**To:** 'Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org' <[Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org)>; 'Matt.Dorsey@sfgov.org' <[Matt.Dorsey@sfgov.org](mailto:Matt.Dorsey@sfgov.org)>; 'Rafael.Mandelman@sfgov.org' <[Rafael.Mandelman@sfgov.org](mailto:Rafael.Mandelman@sfgov.org)>; 'Myrna.Melgar@sfgov.org' <[Myrna.Melgar@sfgov.org](mailto:Myrna.Melgar@sfgov.org)>; 'Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org' <[Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org](mailto:Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org)>; 'Hillary.Ronen@sfgov.org' <[Hillary.Ronen@sfgov.org](mailto:Hillary.Ronen@sfgov.org)>; 'Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org' <[Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org](mailto:Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org)>; 'Ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org' <[Ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org](mailto:Ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org)>; 'Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org' <[Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org](mailto:Gordon.Mar@sfgov.org)>; 'Connie.Chan@sfgov.org' <[Connie.Chan@sfgov.org](mailto:Connie.Chan@sfgov.org)>; 'Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org' <[Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org)>; 'Dean.Preston@sfgov.org' <[Dean.Preston@sfgov.org](mailto:Dean.Preston@sfgov.org)>; 'Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org' <[Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org](mailto:Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org)>; 'info@midmarketcbd.org' <[info@midmarketcbd.org](mailto:info@midmarketcbd.org)>

**Cc:** Amy Hull <[Amy.Hull@trinitysf.com](mailto:Amy.Hull@trinitysf.com)>

**Subject:** Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras

Dear Supervisors,

Please support the legislation for allowing San Francisco Police Department to access live video footage of private (non-City owned) security cameras to deter and prosecute criminal activity, such as: mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries.

With guardrails in place for protecting First Amendment Rights, and training for officers accessing the cameras, the cameras will allow police to deter and prosecute the organized crimes plaguing our City: be it mass looting in Union Square, drug dealing rings in the Tenderloin, retail theft in Chinatown or brazen home burglaries on the Westside.

Cameras such as those currently available through the Mid Market Community Benefit District, and many other CBDs, are an invaluable tool, available now to help make San Francisco safer.

Residents and prospective tenants at Trinity Place in mid-Market lament the crime and lack of safety in the neighborhood, expressing concern about open air drug dealing. Providing SFPD access to already existing tools to help them do their job is an important step in addressing these concerns and making our streets safer for all members of the community.

Thank you for approving the Surveillance Technology Policy ordinance.

Sincerely,

Shadd Newman

Shadd Newman  
Vice President

(415) 575-3356



The premier source of furnished and unfurnished apartments.

[trinitysf.com](http://trinitysf.com)

[Email Disclaimer](#)



**From:** [Roger Wong](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Monday, July 18, 2022 12:08:52 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Roger Wong

Email      [outersunsetresi@gmail.com](mailto:outersunsetresi@gmail.com)

I am a resident of      [District 4](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** More 220606 PC  
**Date:** Monday, July 18, 2022 2:11:18 PM  
**Attachments:** [Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras.msg](#)  
[Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras.msg](#)  
[Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras.msg](#)  
[Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras.msg](#)

---

Attached

**Brent Jalipa**

***Assistant Clerk***

Board of Supervisors - Clerk's Office  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-7712 | Fax: (415) 554-5163  
[brent.jalipa@sfgov.org](mailto:brent.jalipa@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

**From:** [Mary Liang](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Monday, July 18, 2022 6:02:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Mary Liang

Email      mkenny1357@gmail.com

I am a resident of      [District 7](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 19, 2022 9:44:36 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)  
[image002.png](#)  
[image004.png](#)  
[image005.png](#)  
[image006.png](#)

---

---

**From:** Mark Meier <mark@starboardnet.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 18, 2022 4:00 PM  
**To:** Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Jalipa, Brent (BOS) <brent.jalipa@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; info@midmarketcbd.org  
**Subject:** Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please support the legislation allowing the San Francisco Police Department to access live video footage of private (non-City owned) security cameras to deter and prosecute criminal activity, such as: mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries.

With guardrails in place for protecting First Amendment Rights, and training for officers accessing the cameras, the cameras will allow police to deter and prosecute the organized crimes plaguing our City: be it mass looting in Union Square, drug dealing rings in the Tenderloin, retail theft in Chinatown or brazen home burglaries on the Westside.

Cameras such as those currently available through the Mid Market Community Benefit District, and many other CBDs, are an invaluable tool, available now to help make San Francisco safer.

Thank you for approving the Surveillance Technology Policy ordinance.

Sincerely,

Mark Meier



Mark Meier  
Senior Sales & Leasing Associate

Office 415.765.6900  
Direct 415.299.7042  
Email [mark@starboardnet.com](mailto:mark@starboardnet.com)

CA BRE# 02047169

Starboard CRE  
49 Powell Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94102

[starboardcre.com](http://starboardcre.com)



**From:** [Mimi Wu](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 19, 2022 10:08:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Mimi Wu

Email      ms\_mimi@hotmail.com

I am a resident of      District 4

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.





**From:** [Barbara Pletz](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 20, 2022 7:14:29 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent      Barbara Pletz

Email      [barbarapletz4@gmail.com](mailto:barbarapletz4@gmail.com)

I am a resident of      [District 8](#)

### **Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!**

Message to the Rules  
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.



**From:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [Allen Jones](#)  
**Cc:** [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Enhanced use of surveillance cameras  
**Date:** Thursday, July 14, 2022 3:27:29 PM

---

Looping in the clerk, Victor Young, so he can distribute.  
Aaron

---

**From:** Allen Jones <jones-allen@att.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 14, 2022 2:03:51 PM  
**To:** Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Enhanced use of surveillance cameras

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Peskin,  
Many years ago, I was outraged by something, then former Supervisor Scott Wiener did, when former Police Chief Greg Suhr tried enhance use of surveillance cameras.

Chief Suhr wanted to require all new bars to have surveillance cameras. Wiener objected based on what I thought was a ridiculous excuse: some men still in the closet might be exposed. HONEST. This killed that plan in committee or full board. I can't remember which one.

To think someone would place privacy over solving a crime is a tough call in my opinion. Why? I can't always trust SFPD to do the right thing. Personally, I would gladly give up might right to privacy if it will solve a crime against me or anyone.

I also recall, you being opposed to facial recognition based on in part, not being reliable or mis-identifying Black people I think. And I am aware of the breach of agreement for use of the cameras around the BLM protest at Union Square.

Fast forward to today:

I just read of the plan being pushed by Breed and Jenkins to allow SFPD use surveillance cameras from private property (RING etc.) And "share" with the feds.

This to me is a laughable, give them an inch they will take a mile approach to policing in San Francisco.

Pardon my language, but I said all that to suggest another idea: Get your own damn cameras SFPD.

I am not dumb enough to believe San Francisco Police Department will be so willing to share any of the video that captures its own officers going rogue, despite the claims made by our new DA.

Defunding the police, in my opinion, was dead on arrival. But I sure do believe that a \$700+ million police budget could include a video surveillance program to help solve/prevent crime here.

To be clear, I am not opposed to using cameras on businesses for police purposes. But to not get permission from private residents and sharing with the feds is good intentions run amok.

Please share my views with your committee.

Allen Jones  
(415) 756-7733  
jones-allen@att.net  
Californiaclemency.org

*The Only thing I love more than justice is the freedom to fight for it.*