RESOLUTION NO.

| 1 | [Resolution urging Governor Schwarzenegger to submit amicus brief to New York Supreme Court of Appeals in support of the right of same-sex civil marriage.] |
|----------|---|
| 3 | Resolution urging Governor Schwarzenegger to submit an amicus brief to the New |
| 4 5 | York Court of Appeals in support of the right of same-sex civil marriage. |
| 6 | WHEREAS, The issue of same-sex civil marriage will soon be before New York State's |
| 7 | highest court, the Court of Appeals; and |
| 8 | WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors urges you to write an amicus brief to the New |
| 9 | York Court of Appeals in support of the proposition that civil marriage is a right granted in the |
| 10 | equal protection clause of the New York Constitution, and, as such, the courts can and must |
| 11 12 | interpret whether or not marriage applies to same-sex couples; and |
| 13 | WHEREAS, Civil marriage for same-sex couples should not merely be granted as a |
| 14 | statutory right via the New York State Legislature; and |
| 15 | WHEREAS, In February, 2006, trial court judge Doris Ling-Cohen ruled in favor of |
| 16 | civil marriage for same-sex couples in the City of New York based on the equal protection |
| 17 | clause of the New York State Constitution; and |
| 18 | WHEREAS, A New York City (First Department) appellate court struck down this |
| 19 20 | ruling, claiming that the equal protection clause did not confer the right of same-sex civil |
| 21 | marriage nor did the State Legislature ever intend for civil marriage to be between same- |
| 22 | sex couples; and |
| 23 | WHEREAS, An Albany appellate court (Third Department) made a similar ruling earlier |
| 24 | |
| 25 | in March, 2006; and |

| 1 | WHEREAS, These cases will now be combined and the right of same-sex civil |
|----------|--|
| 2 | marriage will be heard in the New York Court of Appeals; and |
| 3 | WHEREAS, The trend in New York courts is to claim that the New York equal |
| 4 | protection clause was never envisioned to encompass civil marriage for same-sex couples |
| 5 | and only the State Legislature can create this right; and |
| 6 | WHEREAS, The situation in California is reversed since the California State |
| 7 | Legislature enacted legislation which would allow same-sex couples the right of civil |
| 8 9 | marriage; and |
| 10 | WHEREAS, Governor Schwarzenegger vetoed that legislation basing his veto on the |
| 11 | Fact: "that the ultimate issue regarding the constitutionality of (California Family Code) |
| 12 | Section 308.5 and its prohibition against same-sex marriage is currently before the Court of |
| 13 | Appeal in San Francisco and will likely be decided by the Supreme Court"; and |
| 14 | WHEREAS, Governor Schwarzenegger went on to argue that "The bill simply adds |
| 15 | confusion to a constitutional issue, and if the ban of same-sex marriage is unconstitutional, |
| 16 | this bill is not necessary, and if the ban is constitutional, the bill is ineffective"; and |
| 17 18 | WHEREAS, While the constitutional argument is different than New York's, the |
| 19 | bottom line is that Governor Schwarzenegger believed that the courts should determine the |
| 20 | constitutionality of civil marriage and any action by the Legislature is premature, and possible |
| 21 | not even necessary; and |
| 22 | |
| 23 | WHEREAS, It is not consistent that New York is claiming the Legislature must act |
| 24 | first, while California claims the courts must act first, and the end result is that same-sex |

couples are denied the right of civil marriage in both states; and

25

| 1 | WHEREAS, Same-sex marriage opponents cannot have it both ways; and |
|----------|---|
| 2 | WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors takes Governor Schwarzenegger at his word |
| 3 | when he states in his veto message that he believes lesbian and gay couples are entitled to |
| 4 5 | full protection under the law and should not be discriminated against based upon their |
| 6 | relationships, and that is why his help is now needed in New York; and |
| 7 | WHEREAS, An amicus brief by Governor Schwarzenegger to the New York Court of |
| 8 | Appeals urging them to decide the constitutional issues of civil marriage, and that the equal |
| 9 | protection clause of the New York State Constitution confers the right of same-sex civil |
| 10 | marriage, will go a long way in helping countless gay and lesbian New Yorkers; now, |
| 11 12 | therefore, be it |
| 13 | RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San |
| 14 | Francisco urges Governor Schwarzenegger to submit an amicus brief to the New York |
| 15 | Court of Appeals in support of the right of same-sex civil marriage. |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |