[Board of Supervisors response to the 2007-2008 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "San Francisco Kindergarten Admissions: Back to the Drawing Board"]

Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in the 2007-2008 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "San Francisco Kindergarten Admissions: Back to the Drawing Board."

WHEREAS, Under California Penal Code Section 933 et seq., the Board of Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in Civil Grand Jury Reports; and

WHEREAS, In accordance with Penal Code Section 933.05(c), if a finding or recommendation of the Civil Grand Jury addresses budgetary or personnel matters of a county agency or a department headed by an elected officer, the agency or department head and the Board of Supervisors shall respond if requested by the Civil Grand Jury, but the response of the Board of Supervisors shall address only budgetary or personnel matters over which it has some decision making authority; and

WHEREAS, The 2007-2008 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "San Francisco Kindergarten Admissions: Back to the Drawing Board" is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 080926, which is hereby declared to be a part of this resolution as if set forth fully herein; and

WHEREAS, The Civil Grand Jury has requested that the Board of Supervisors respond to both Findings and Recommendations Nos. 9 and 10 contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report; and

WHEREAS, Finding No. 9 states: "Some members of the Board of Education appear to have student diversity as their first priority for the District;" and

Supervisor Mirkarimi

**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS** 

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 9 states: "During the Grand Jury's inquiry the recurring theme from parents, teachers, principals, leaders of support groups and school officials alike was the need to create good schools system wide. This would provide the equity that all students deserve and these leaders seek. The Jury heard that student diversity is desirable, but not as important as quality schools for all, whatever the setting. With a new Superintendent and two new Board of Education members to be elected in the fall joining three recently elected members, now is the time to refocus on creating quality schools throughout the District;" and

WHEREAS, Finding No. 10 states: "The District needs to make crucial decisions about surplus school property, updating 30 year old and now obsolete school attendance zones, and establishing policy to guide decisions to shut failed schools. Voters faced with future requests for funding from the District will react favorably to the District's efforts to streamline their property management activities and to convert these non-performing assets into resources that support District educational activities;" and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 10 states: "Practice better resource management as an example of prudent stewardship, particularly now in the facing of funding cuts. By year-end 2008 the City should devise a plan for reducing the number of under utilized properties and failing schools;" and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Penal Code Section 933.05(c), the Board of Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on both Findings and Recommendations Nos. 9 and 10 contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it disagrees wholly or partially with both Finding and Recommendation No. 9. That is, except for the assertion of one elementary school principal, the subject Civil Grand

Jury report provides no factual support for Finding and Recommendation No. 9. Indeed, on May 27, 2008, the San Francisco Board of Education adopted a new Strategic Plan entitled "Beyond the Talk: Taking Action to Educate Every Child Now" that outlines the District's priorities. This plan is organized into three initiatives: (1) Performance Management Initiative (to increase the personal and professional capacity of all District employees); (2) Equity Centered-Professional Learning Initiative (to create and sustain professional learning communities); and (3) 21<sup>st</sup> Century Curriculum Initiative (to provide classroom instruction that is personalized, relevant, meaningful and engaging for each student). The Strategic Plan does not identify diversity as the first priority of the District; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that regarding both Finding and Recommendation No. 10, the San Francisco Unified School District ("SFUSD") is a state agency that is governed by the San Francisco Board of Education. The City and County of San Francisco does not have jurisdiction over SFUSD facilities or programs. In addition, it is important to note that the Board of Education has already taken steps to determine the best uses of surplus property and to guide school closures. On May 22, 2005, the Board adopted Resolution 53-22SM1 "School Consolidation Policy;" on August 23, 2005, it adopted Resolution 56-14A9 "Feasibility of Selling, Leasing or Subleasing Surplus Real Property;" and, on May 13, 2007, it adopted Resolution 71-23Sp2 "Establishing a District Policy for General Public Notification Regarding Changes in Uses of District-Owned Properties;" and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, Pursuant to Penal Code Section 933.05(c), the Board of Supervisors responds to the findings and recommendations to which it agrees by hereby urging the SFUSD to cause the implementation of accepted findings and recommendations.



## City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

## Resolution

	•	•	
File Number:	081093	Da	te Passed:
Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in the 2007-2008 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "San Francisco Kindergarten Admissions: Back to the Drawing Board."			
April 7, 2009	Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED Ayes: 11 - Alioto-Pier, Avalos, Campos, Chiu, Chu, Daly, Dufty, Elsbernd, Mar, Maxwell, Mirkarimi		
F	File No. 081093		I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED on April 7, 2009 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.
			Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board

Date: April 17, 2009

UNSIGNED

Mayor Gavin Newsom

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution, not being signed by the Mayor within the time limit as set forth in Section 3.103 of the Charter, became effective without his approval in accordance with the provision of said Section 3.103 of the Charter.

Clerk of the Board

4/17/09

**Date Approved**