



May 29, 2026

Ms. Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
Honorable Supervisor Mandelman
Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Via email only: angela.calvillo@sfgov.org

Re: **Transmittal of Planning Department Case Numbers**

2026-001889DES, 1450 Masonic Avenue /Alexander Adams Home, BOS File No. 260065
2026-001897DES, 740 Castro Street/Charles L. Hinkel Home, BOS File No. 260046
2026-001899DES, 99 Divisadero Street/Born Home, BOS File No. 260047
2026-001901DES, 11 Piedmont Street/Early Haight Ashbury Farmhouse, BOS File No. 260048
2026-001903DES, 1200 Dolores Street/Charles Katz Home, BOS File No. 260049
2026-001905DES, 2173 15th Street/Duboce Triangle Greek Revival Home, BOS File No. 260050
2026-001909DES, 1335 Guerrero Street/Elliott M. Wilson Home, BOS File No. 260051
2026-001911DES, 3816 22nd Street/Engine Company No. 44-Adams Van Hoesen House, BOS File No. 260053
2026-001915DES, 737 Buena Vista Avenue West/Richard Spreckels Mansion-Buena Vista Studios, BOS File No. 260054
2026-001917DES, 3689 19th Street/Mission Congregational Church, BOS File No. 260055
2026-001919DES, 1415-1417 Guerrero Street/Guerrero Street Double Stick Eastlake House, BOS File No. 260056
2026-001921DES, 191-197 Henry Street/Henry Street Rowhouses, BOS File No. 260057
2026-002388DES, 455 Fair Oaks Street/Holy Innocents Church, BOS File No. 260058
2026-002392DES, 181 Buena Vista Avenue East/De Urioste Home-James C. Hormel Mansion, BOS File No. 260059
2026-002394DES, 210 Douglass Street/John J. Clark House, BOS File No. 260060
2026-002396DES, 552 Noe Street/Phoenix Brewery, BOS File No. 260061
2026-002404DES, 192 Laidley Street/Poole-Bell House, BOS File No. 260066
2026-002406DES, 1526 Masonic Avenue/Power House, BOS File No. 260067
2026-002408DES, 651 Dolores Street/Second Church Of Christ Scientist, BOS File No. 260068
2026-002410DES, 394 Fair Oaks Street/William Shaughnessy Home, BOS File No. 260069
2026-002412DES, 657 Chenery Street/Tietz-Beneke House, BOS File No. 260071

Dear Ms. Calvillo and Supervisor Mandelman,

On May 20, 2026, the San Francisco Historic Preservation Commission (hereinafter “HPC”) conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider Supervisor Mandelman’s ordinances (Board File Nos. 260065, 260046, 260047, 260048, 260049, 260050, 260051, 260053, 260054, 260055, 260056, 260057, 260058, 260059, 260060, 260061, 260066, 260067, 260068, 260069, 260071) to landmark: 1450 Masonic Avenue /Alexander Adams Home, 740 Castro Street/Charles L. Hinkel Home, 99 Divisadero Street/Born Home, 11 Piedmont Street/Early Haight Ashbury Farmhouse, 1200 Dolores Street/Charles Katz Home, 2173 15th Street/Duboce Triangle Greek Revival Home, 1335 Guerrero Street/ Elliott M. Wilson Home, 3816 22nd Street /Engine Company No. 44-Adams Van Hoesen House, 737 Buena Vista Avenue West/Richard Spreckels Mansion-Buena Vista Studios, 3689 19th Street/Mission Congregational Church, 1415-1417 Guerrero Street/Guerrero Street Double Stick Eastlake House, 191-197 Henry Street/Henry Street Rowhouses, 455 Fair Oaks Street/Holy Innocents Church, 181 Buena Vista Avenue East/De Urioste Home-James C. Hormel Mansion, 210 Douglass Street/John J. Clark House, 552 Noe Street/Phoenix Brewery, 192 Laidley Street/Poole-Bell House, 1526 Masonic Avenue/Power House, 651 Dolores Street/Second Church Of Christ Scientist, 394 Fair Oaks Street/William Shaughnessy Home, 657 Chenery Street/ Tietz-Beneke House (respectively).

At the hearing, the HPC voted to **approve** the resolutions to recommend landmark designation pursuant to Article 10 of the Planning Code. Modifications were made to the draft ordinances for 737 Buena Vista Avenue West/Richard Spreckels Mansion-Buena Vista Studios, 192 Laidley Street/Poole-Bell House, and 1526 Masonic Avenue/Power House.

The proposed landmark designations are exempt from environmental review, pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15308 (Class Eight – Categorical).

Please find attached documents related to the HPC’s action. Also attached is an electronic copy of the proposed ordinances, drafted by Deputy City Attorney Peter Milijanich. If you have any questions or require more information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,



Veronica Flores, Acting Manager of Legislative Affairs

Cc: Vicki Wong, City Attorney’s Office
Jen Low, Legislative Aide
Erica Major, Office of the Clerk of the Board
Renil Bejoy, Legislative Aide (Supervisor Mandelman)
Rich Sucre, Planning Department, P-IV Landmarks
Alex Westhoff, Planning Department
Bos.legislation@sfgov.org
Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Attachments:

Attachment 1 – Planning Department Executive Summary dated May 20, 2026

Attachment 2 - 1450 Masonic Avenue /Alexander Adams Home

- (A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
- (B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1566 (Recommendation)
- (C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
- (D) Categorical Exemption Checklist

Attachment 3 - 740 Castro Street/Charles L. Hinkel Home

- (A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
- (B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1567 (Recommendation)
- (C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
- (D) Categorical Exemption Checklist

Attachment 4 - 99 Divisadero Street/Born Home

- (A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
- (B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1568 (Recommendation)
- (C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
- (D) Categorical Exemption Checklist

Attachment 5 - 11 Piedmont Street/Early Haight Ashbury Farmhouse

- (A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
- (B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1569 (Recommendation)
- (C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
- (D) Categorical Exemption Checklist

Attachment 6 - 1200 Dolores Street/Charles Katz Home

- (A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
- (B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1570 (Recommendation)
- (C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
- (D) Categorical Exemption Checklist

Attachment 7 - 2173 15th Street/Duboce Triangle Greek Revival Home

- (A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
- (B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1571 (Recommendation)
- (C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
- (D) Categorical Exemption Checklist

Attachment 8 - 1335 Guerrero Street/Elliott M. Wilson Home

- (A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
- (B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1572 (Recommendation)
- (C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
- (D) Categorical Exemption Checklist

- Attachment 9 - 3816 22nd Street/Engine Company No. 44-Adams Van Hoesen House
(A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
(B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1573 (Recommendation)
(C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
(D) Categorical Exemption Checklist
- Attachment 10 - 737 Buena Vista Avenue West/Richard Spreckels Mansion-Buena Vista Studios
(A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
(B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1574 (Recommendation)
(C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
(D) Categorical Exemption Checklist
- Attachment 11 - 3689 19th Street/Mission Congregational Church
(A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
(B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1575 (Recommendation)
(C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
(D) Categorical Exemption Checklist
- Attachment 12 - 1415-1417 Guerrero Street/Guerrero Street Double Stick Eastlake House
(A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
(B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1576 (Recommendation)
(C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
(D) Categorical Exemption Checklist
- Attachment 13 - 191-197 Henry Street/Henry Street Rowhouses
(A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
(B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1577 (Recommendation)
(C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
(D) Categorical Exemption Checklist
- Attachment 14 - 455 Fair Oaks Street/Holy Innocents Church
(A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
(B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1578 (Recommendation)
(C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
(D) Categorical Exemption Checklist
- Attachment 15 - 181 Buena Vista Avenue East/De Urioste Home-James C. Hormel Mansion
(A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
(B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1579 (Recommendation)
(C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
(D) Categorical Exemption Checklist
- Attachment 16 - 210 Douglass Street/John J. Clark House
(A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance

- (B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1580 (Recommendation)
- (C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
- (D) Categorical Exemption Checklist

Attachment 17 - 552 Noe Street/Phoenix Brewery

- (A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
- (B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1581 (Recommendation)
- (C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
- (D) Categorical Exemption Checklist

Attachment 18 - 192 Laidley Street/Poole-Bell House

- (A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
- (B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1582 (Recommendation)
- (C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
- (D) Categorical Exemption Checklist

Attachment 19 - 1526 Masonic Avenue/Power House

- (A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
- (B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1583 (Recommendation)
- (C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
- (D) Categorical Exemption Checklist

Attachment 20 - 651 Dolores Street/Second Church Of Christ Scientist

- (A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
- (B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1584 (Recommendation)
- (C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
- (D) Categorical Exemption Checklist

Attachment 21 - 394 Fair Oaks Street/William Shaughnessy Home

- (A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
- (B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1585 (Recommendation)
- (C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
- (D) Categorical Exemption Checklist

Attachment 22 - 657 Chenery Street/Tietz-Beneke House

- (A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
- (B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1586 (Recommendation)
- (C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
- (D) Categorical Exemption Checklist

Attachments:

Attachment 1 – Planning Department Executive Summary dated May 20, 2026

Attachment 2 - 1450 Masonic Avenue /Alexander Adams Home

- (A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
- (B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1566 (Recommendation)
- (C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
- (D) Categorical Exemption Checklist

Attachment 3 - 740 Castro Street/Charles L. Hinkel Home

- (A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
- (B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1567 (Recommendation)
- (C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
- (D) Categorical Exemption Checklist

Attachment 4 - 99 Divisadero Street/Born Home

- (A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
- (B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1568 (Recommendation)
- (C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
- (D) Categorical Exemption Checklist

Attachment 5 - 11 Piedmont Street/Early Haight Ashbury Farmhouse

- (A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
- (B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1569 (Recommendation)
- (C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
- (D) Categorical Exemption Checklist

Attachment 6 - 1200 Dolores Street/Charles Katz Home

- (A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
- (B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1570 (Recommendation)
- (C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
- (D) Categorical Exemption Checklist

Attachment 7 - 2173 15th Street/Duboce Triangle Greek Revival Home

- (A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
- (B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1571 (Recommendation)
- (C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
- (D) Categorical Exemption Checklist

Attachment 8 - 1335 Guerrero Street/Elliott M. Wilson Home

- (A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
- (B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1572 (Recommendation)
- (C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
- (D) Categorical Exemption Checklist

- Attachment 9 - 3816 22nd Street/Engine Company No. 44-Adams Van Hoesen House
(A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
(B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1573 (Recommendation)
(C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
(D) Categorical Exemption Checklist
- Attachment 10 - 737 Buena Vista Avenue West/Richard Spreckels Mansion-Buena Vista Studios
(A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
(B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1574 (Recommendation)
(C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
(D) Categorical Exemption Checklist
- Attachment 11 - 3689 19th Street/Mission Congregational Church
(A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
(B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1575 (Recommendation)
(C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
(D) Categorical Exemption Checklist
- Attachment 12 - 1415-1417 Guerrero Street/Guerrero Street Double Stick Eastlake House
(A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
(B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1576 (Recommendation)
(C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
(D) Categorical Exemption Checklist
- Attachment 13 - 191-197 Henry Street/Henry Street Rowhouses
(A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
(B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1577 (Recommendation)
(C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
(D) Categorical Exemption Checklist
- Attachment 14 - 455 Fair Oaks Street/Holy Innocents Church
(A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
(B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1578 (Recommendation)
(C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
(D) Categorical Exemption Checklist
- Attachment 15 - 181 Buena Vista Avenue East/De Urioste Home-James C. Hormel Mansion
(A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
(B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1579 (Recommendation)
(C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
(D) Categorical Exemption Checklist
- Attachment 16 - 210 Douglass Street/John J. Clark House
(A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance

- (B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1580 (Recommendation)
- (C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
- (D) Categorical Exemption Checklist

Attachment 17 - 552 Noe Street/Phoenix Brewery

- (A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
- (B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1581 (Recommendation)
- (C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
- (D) Categorical Exemption Checklist

Attachment 18 - 192 Laidley Street/Poole-Bell House

- (A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
- (B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1582 (Recommendation)
- (C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
- (D) Categorical Exemption Checklist

Attachment 19 - 1526 Masonic Avenue/Power House

- (A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
- (B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1583 (Recommendation)
- (C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
- (D) Categorical Exemption Checklist

Attachment 20 - 651 Dolores Street/Second Church Of Christ Scientist

- (A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
- (B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1584 (Recommendation)
- (C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
- (D) Categorical Exemption Checklist

Attachment 21 - 394 Fair Oaks Street/William Shaughnessy Home

- (A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
- (B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1585 (Recommendation)
- (C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
- (D) Categorical Exemption Checklist

Attachment 22 - 657 Chenery Street/Tietz-Beneke House

- (A) Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance
- (B) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1586 (Recommendation)
- (C) Article 10 Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
- (D) Categorical Exemption Checklist

Attachment 1
Planning Department Executive Summary



LANDMARK DESIGNATIONS RECOMMENDATION EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

HEARING DATE: May 20, 2026

Record Nos. / Names /
Addresses / APNs:

- 2026-001889DES - Alexander Adams Home (1450 Masonic Avenue, 2603/009)
- 2026-001897DES - Charles L. Hinkel Home (740 Castro Street, 2752/014)
- 2026-001899DES - Born Home (99 Divisadero Street, 2610/001)
- 2026-001901DES - Early Haight Ashbury Farmhouse (11 Piedmont Street, 2617A/026)
- 2026-001903DES - Charles Katz Home (1200 Dolores Street, 6550/043)
- 2026-001905DES - Duboce Triangle Greek Revival Home (2173 15th Street, 3560/022)
- 2026-001909DES - Elliott M. Wilson Home (1335 Guerrero Street, 6532/026)
- 2026-001911DES - Engine Company No. 44 / Adams Van Hoesen House
(3816 22nd Street, 3622/018)
- 2026-001913DES - Fernando Nelson Home (701 Castro Street, 3603/075)
- 2026-001915DES - Richard Spreckels Mansion / Buena Vista Studios
(737 Buena Vista Avenue West, 1256/078)
- 2026-001917DES - Mission Congregational Church (3689 19th Street, 3598/060)
- 2026-001919DES - Guerrero Street Double Stick Eastlake House
(1415-1417 Guerrero Street, 6568/011B)
- 2026-001921DES - Henry Street Rowhouses (191-197 Henry Street, 3540/092)
- 2026-002388DES - Holy Innocents Church (455 Fair Oaks Street, 6533/027)
- 2026-002392DES - De Urioste Home - James C. Hormel Mansion
(181 Buena Vista Avenue East, 1258/026)
- 2026-002394DES - John J. Clark House (210 Douglass Street, 2691/002)
- 2026-002396DES - Phoenix Brewery (552 Noe Street, 3583/011)
- 2026-002398DES - Lange House (199 Carl Street, 1273/034)
- 2026-002404DES - Poole-Bell House
(192-196 Laidley Street/97 Miguel Street, 6665/107-110)
- 2026-002406DES - Power House (1526 Masonic Avenue, 2616/039)
- 2026-002408DES - Second Church of Christ Scientist
(651-655 Dolores Street/93 Cumberland Street, 3598/172-175)
- 2026-002410DES - William Shaughnessy Home (394 Fair Oaks Street, 6511/042-044)
- 2026-002412DES - Tietz-Beneke House (657 Chenery Street, 6742/030)

Zoning Districts: RH-1 (Residential-House, One Family) Zoning District / 40//40-R-4 Height and Bulk District
RH-2 (Residential-House, Two Family) Zoning District / 40//40-R-4 Height and Bulk District
RH-3 (Residential-House, Three Family) Zoning District / 40//40-R-4 Height and Bulk District
RH-1 (D) (Residential-House, One-Family-Detached) / 40//40-R-4 Height and Bulk District
RTO-C (Residential Transit Oriented District) / 40//65-R-4 Height and Bulk District
NCT (Glen Park Neighborhood Commercial Transit) / 50//85-R-4 Height and Bulk District

Cultural District: Castro LGBTQ Cultural District (various properties)

Project Sponsor: San Francisco Planning Department
49 South Van Ness, Suite 1400
San Francisco, CA 94103

Staff Contact: Alex Westhoff, (628-652-7314), alex.westhoff@sfgov.org

Environmental
Review: Categorical Exemption

RECOMMENDATION: Recommend Landmark Designations to Board of Supervisors

Background

The Family Zoning Plan (FZP) Landmark Designation Program (Program) is being undertaken in conjunction with the implementation of FZP, with the objective of allowing for more housing, while still preserving San Francisco's most cherished architectural, cultural, and/or historical resources. This Program is being overseen by the Planning Department alongside District Supervisors and project partners. The Program includes designating properties which are currently identified as Category A-rated historical resources through past Surveys and Historic Resource Evaluations, as Planning Code Article 10 Landmarks. Phase 1 of this effort in District 8 focused on properties outside of Public (P) and Residential, House (RH) Zoning Districts, with 0-1 dwelling units, as well as properties with strong underrepresented community associations as identified in Cultural Historic Context Statements. This phase resulted in the designation of fourteen(14) individual Article 10 Landmarks, whose ordinances were passed by the Board of Supervisors on April 21, 2026 and signed by Mayor Daniel Lurie on April 30, 2026.

Phase 2 of this effort in District 8 focuses on properties within RH Zoning District, as outlined in this case report that were identified by Planning Department Preservation Staff as having exemplary architectural, historical and/or cultural significance. This includes twenty-three (23) properties initiated by District 8 Supervisor Rafael Mandelman on January 13, 2026. Subsequent to initiation, the landmark designation initiation resolutions were recommended by the Land Use and Transportation Committee on February 23, 2026, adopted by the Board of Supervisors on March 3, 2026, and signed by Mayor Daniel Lurie on March 6, 2026. Planning Department staff have concurrently been working with other San Francisco District Supervisors on identifying potential Article 10 Landmarks in their respective districts as part of the Program.

Later Program phases will recommend additional Article 10 Landmarks that are identified through the San Francisco Citywide Cultural Resources Survey, and/or are identified through future Cultural Historic Context Statements.

Property Descriptions

The following twenty-three properties are recommended as the Program's District 8 Phase 2 Article 10 Landmarks:

1450 Masonic Avenue (Alexander Adams Home) is a three-story-over-garage single-family residence in the Ashbury Heights neighborhood near Buena Vista Park, constructed in 1891. The property includes shingle cladding, wood windows, a complex roof shape, and an octagonal tower with wood shingled dome. Alterations have included the garage door and 1983 rear cupola. The builder was the original owner, Alexander P. Adams, of coffee roasting company, A.P. Adams & Company.

740 Castro Street (Charles L. Hinkel Home) is a three-story-over-garage single-family residence in the Castro neighborhood. The home is the northernmost in a row of Stick-Eastlake properties constructed by prolific home builder Charles L. Hinkel circa 1892. The home uniquely served as Hinkel's residence from 1892 until his death in 1908. The wood-framed property includes a gabled roof, wood windows and decorative wood balustrades, spindlework, wood columns, wagon wheel spokes, and jigsaw corner hoods.

99 Divisadero Street (Born Home) is a three-story property in the Castro/Upper Market Neighborhood. Constructed in 1905, the home was designed by architect Frank W. Dankin. Brick contractors George and Stephen Born were the original residents who built the detached garage in 1910. The brick home is an exuberant example of Georgian Revival architecture, which is rare for the neighborhood it lies in. Features include quoins, keystones, second story colonnettes, a denticulated cornice with modillions, and an elaborate entrance portico with two story composite pillars.

11 Piedmont Street (Early Haight Ashbury Farmhouse) is a two-story single-family dwelling in the Ashbury Heights neighborhood near Buena Vista Park, constructed circa 1860. It was originally part of a prosperous dairy farm, and was moved to its current location, though accounts vary on where it was originally located. The property is one of the neighborhood's oldest residences, and an intact example of early Italianate architecture with rustic channel siding, and double hung wood windows with pedimented surrounds.

1200 Dolores Street (Charles Katz Home) is a two-story-over-basement home in Noe Valley near the western boundary of the Mission. The property was constructed in 1909 for real estate developer Charles Katz, whose family continued to reside at the property through the 1980s. Originally a smaller residence existed on the parcel which was incorporated into the design of the home. The property is an exemplary corner Queen Anne home with features including a polygonal corner tower with shingled witch's hat, stained glass windows, denticulated cornice, crown molding, modillions, and fish scaled shingles.

2173 15th Street (Duboce Triangle Greek Revival Home) is a two-story single-family residence in the Duboce Triangle neighborhood. 1875 is estimated as the construction date based on architectural style and

neighborhood context. The home is one of the earliest extant properties in the neighborhood and Carpenter Norman Churchill was the earliest known residence. The Greek Revival home is a rare example of a pre-Victorian style property in San Francisco and retains a sufficient integrity with characteristic features including its forward-facing gabled roof and full-length front porch with square posts.

1335 Guerrero Street (Elliott M. Wilson Home) is a two-story property original constructed as a residence for Elliott M. Wilson, president of the Pacific Coast Steel company. The home was built in 1918, in the Mission neighborhood, with steel support and reinforced concrete walls. The home was designed by architect Arthur S. Bugbee, who was the last in the line of line of four Bugbee architects, well-known designers of institutional buildings and fine residences, including four of the Nob Hill mansions. The property is a rare example of a Second Empire home in San Francisco.

3816 22nd Street (Engine Company No. 44 / Adams-Van Hoesen House) is now a four-story residential property, originally constructed as a firehouse, in the Noe Valley neighborhood. Built in 1909 in the days of horse-drawn fire engines, the building served as a firehouse for nearly fifty years. In 1959 the property was purchased by renowned San Francisco based artists Mark Adams and Beth Van Hoesen, who used the space as their home and studios for nearly fifty years. The building remains an intact example of a Mission Revival firehouse with features including a rear square tower, arched entranceway with curved metal clad transoms, double copper clad doors, oval medallions with “SF” and “FD” motifs, and intact lettering (“Chemical”, “Engine No 44”).

701 Castro Street (Fernando Nelson Home) is a two-story-over-garage single family home in the Castro/Upper Market neighborhood. The home was constructed in 1897 by builder of merit Fernando Nelson was responsible for more than 4,000 San Francisco homes over his seventy-year career. Nelson resided at the property from 1897-1903, during a prolific time in his career. The home was relocated from a site just uphill, and has been subject to some ground level alterations, though retains exemplary Queen Anne features.

737 Buena Vista Avenue West (Richard Spreckels Mansion / Buena Vista Studios) is a four-story property, originally constructed as a single-family home in the Ashbury Heights neighborhood near Buena Vista Park. Built in 1897, the original owner was Richard Spreckels, manager of the Western Sugar Refinery, and member of the Spreckels family who accumulated great wealth from the sugar industry. In the late 1960s the upstairs ballroom was converted to a recording studio for bands which exemplified the San Francisco sound, including the Grateful Dead who recorded some of their first studio demos out of the property. The property remains an intact Classical Revival home.

3689 19th Street (Mission Congregational Church) was constructed in 1910 as part of the Inner Mission North reconstruction period following the Great 1906 Earthquake and Fire. Originally constructed as the Mission Congregational Church, though has since underwent name and ownership changes. The property was designed by architect Reverend Francis W. Reid in the Gothic Revival style, with exemplary features including its brick walls, red pressed brick facing, and red sandstone trimmings, exterior brick buttresses, tall square crenulated tower, lancet and arched openings, and stained glass windows.

1415-1417 Guerrero Street (Guerrero Street Double Stick Eastlake House) is a double house in the Southern Mission neighborhood, constructed in the Stick Eastlake style, with each of the two houses built as multi-family three story flats. The property was constructed by builder of merit Fernando Nelson and represents an early example of a Nelson home when he worked as a carpenter, prior to transitioning to real estate development. The

property includes exemplary features including the full height squared box bay windows with dentils, and elongated brackets capped by false-gabled roof and front pedimented long entry portico with decorative wooden columns.

191-197 Henry Street (Henry Street Rowhouses) is a multi-family residential property in the Casto/Upper Market neighborhood. The rowhouses were constructed in 1892 at a time when Duboce Triangle was transitioning from an exurban neighborhood of large-lot Victorian Villas to a moderately populated, middle-class neighborhood. The property is a unique example of a Stick Eastlake style rowhouse with features including gabled entry porticos with wooden ornamentation, and pediments with grille work and a sunburst.

455 Fair Oaks Street (Holy Innocents Church) is a church in the Mission neighborhood. Constructed in 1890 as the Holy Innocents, which it remains to-date, the church is San Francisco's oldest Episcopal congregation and a unique example of a Gothic Revival church. The church is the first extant commission in San Francisco of the firm Coxhead and Coxhead, formed by brothers Earnest and Almeric Coxhead. Earnest Coxhead is considered an architect of merit, known for pioneering the Bay Area Shingle Style.

181 Buena Vista Avenue East (De Urioste Home - James C. Hormel Mansion) is a three-story single-family home in the Haight Ashbury neighborhood across the street from Buena Vista Park. Constructed in 1899 the property is an exuberant example of a Queen Anne Home. Original residents were a Guatemalan family, the De Uriostes, including George de Urioste who served as the Consul of the Argentine Republic from 1901 to circa 1913. Additionally, from 1986-2012 the home was owned by LGBTQ philanthropist, activist, and diplomat James C. Hormel who served as the ambassador to Luxembourg in 1999 during the Clinton administration, becoming the first openly gay American ambassador to a foreign country.

210 Douglass Street (John J. Clark House) is a two-story single-family home in the Corbett Heights neighborhood. Constructed in 1885, the home is one of the neighborhood's earliest extant properties, built prior to early transportation and infrastructure improvements. The home was designed by architect John J. Clark who also designed the All Hallows Catholic Church in Bayview. The home is an example of a Folk Victorian property with Gothic and/or Italianate features.

552 Noe Street (Phoenix Brewery) is a two-story mixed-use building with a ground floor art studio, and residential units above. The property was originally a one-story building, which served as the Phoenix Brewery, a small-scale commercial brewing operation, established by Irish immigrant Thomas Kirby. The property was later improved with the second story residential unit where Kirby resided with his family. The property remains a vestige of Eureka Valley's early history, reflecting a time period when industrial facilities were common in the neighborhood prior to streetcar suburbanization.

199 Carl Street (Lange House) is a two-story residential home in the Cole Valley neighborhood. Constructed in 1900, the home was designed by architect of merit August Nordin. The original owner was German immigrant F.W.M. Lange, and the home was sited on the northwest corner of nine acres of land which he purchased as a ranch and dairy. The home is an exemplary example of a Queen Anne home with features including the rounded corner tower with witches cap, decorative wooden brackets, dentils, cornice molding, colonnettes and plaster moldings.

192 Laidley Street (Poole-Bell House) is a residential property in the Glen Park neighborhood, constructed in 1887 in what was then known as the Fairmount District. Built by attorney John Pascoe Poole, the home was sold to Teresa Bell in 1906. Bell was widow to wealthy financier Thomas Bell, and their family was known for their close relationship with abolitionist Mary Ellen Pleasant whom they lived with. While Pleasant passed away before Bell purchased the property the home has long been mired in urban legends surrounding her relationship with the Bell family. The home was constructed in the Italianate style, though Bell added the additional story, giving it a Second Empire appearance.

1526 Masonic Avenue (Power House) was constructed as a two-story single-family home in the Ashbury Heights neighborhood near Buena Vista Park. The design was commissioned in 1910 by original owner U.S. Attorney Mr. Erastus Barnum Powers, and designed by architect of merit Bernard Maybeck. At the time Maybeck was relatively unknown, but only a few years later designed the Palace of Fine Arts which is his best known work. The home has been subject to few exterior alterations, and is one of the few Maybeck properties in San Francisco, given more of his work was in the East Bay.

651 Dolores Street (Second Church of Christ Scientist) was built in 1917 as the Second Church of Christ Scientist in the Mission neighborhood across the street from Dolores Park. Constructing the church was part of the neighborhood's post-1906 Great Earthquake and Fires reconstruction efforts, given the disaster devastated much of the neighborhood's building stock. In the Beaux-Arts style, the church was designed by architect of merit William Crim. The building served as a church for nearly 90 years until it was converted to a residential property which it remains today.

394 Fair Oaks Street (William Shaughnessy Home) was built circa 1893 in the Mission neighborhood. William Shaughnessy served as the builder, as well as the original resident. Shaughnessy was a prolific contractor, and by 1904 was the president of the California Mill Co. The property is an intact example of a Queen Anne home with exemplary features including a polygonal corner tower capped by a cupola with finial, stained glass windows and applied wooden ornamentation.

657 Chenery Street (Tietz-Beneke House) is a residential property constructed in 1872 in Glen Park, at a time when the neighborhood was dominated by ranching and agriculture. In 1900 the property was sold to dairyman Curt (Cord) Heinrich Beneke, great-grandfather of the current Tietz family owner. The modest house was originally served by its own well water, and predated the neighborhood's current street grids. Considered Glen Park's oldest extant property, the home is a rare example of Folk Victorian architecture in San Francisco.

Compliance With Planning Code

Article 10 of the Planning Code

The executive summary and analysis under review was prepared by Department preservation staff, who meet the Secretary of the Interior's professional qualifications. The Department has determined that the subject properties meet the requirements for eligibility as individual landmarks pursuant to Article 10 of the Planning Code. The justification for its inclusion is explained in detail in the attached Landmark Designation Fact Sheets, and briefly in this Executive Summary.

Significance:

Underrepresented Landmark Types: Several of the proposed landmark designations address priorities established by the Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) for new landmarks, as follows:

- **Underrepresented Communities** – One of the properties has an association with social and ethnic groups underrepresented in Article 10 landmark designations. Specifically, 181 Buena Vista Avenue East, the De Urioste Home – James C. Hormel Mansion has both a Pan Latino and LGBTQ association due to past owners. The original owners of the 1899 property were a Guatemalan American family, the De Uriostes, who were heralded as a “pioneering San Francisco family actively involved in local politics, business, the development of the Park Hill Tract and philanthropic endeavors.” George de Urioste was appointed Consul of the Argentine Republic in November 1901, a post he retained through at least 1913. He was approached routinely by the city’s newspapers as an authority on political matters in Central and South America, quoted regularly for analysis in response to revolutions and developments in Guatemala and Argentina. In 1986 they sold the property to LGBTQ philanthropist, activist, and diplomat James C. Hormel, who resided there until 2012. President Clinton nominated Hormel to be the Ambassador to Luxembourg in 1999 amidst a great deal of controversy, becoming the first openly gay American ambassador to a foreign country. Over the course of his lifetime, Hormel donated more than \$15 Million to LGBTQ causes, including funding the San Francisco Public Library’s James C. Hormel Gay and Lesbian Center.
- **Underrepresented Property Types** – One of the proposed landmarks is a property type not well represented in existing Article 10 landmark designations, as a small-scale modest residence. Specifically, the Tietz-Beneke House at 657 Chenery Street is a single-family dwelling unit with a building area of only 808 square feet, pursuant to the Assessor’s Report. The home is significant as Glen Park’s earliest extant building, having been constructed in 1872 at a time when the neighborhood was dominated by agriculture and ranching.
- **Underrepresented Geographies** – Some of District 8’s neighborhoods have fewer Article 10 designated landmarks than others. These include Noe Valley which only has four existing Article 10 landmarks, and Glen Park which has zero existing Article 10 landmarks. However, through this phase of the Program, one Noe Valley, and two Glen Park properties are proposed as Article 10 landmarks. Specifically the Engine Company No. 44 /Adams-Van Hoesen House (3816 22nd Street) which falls in in Noe Valley, and the Tietz-Beneke House (657 Chenery Street) and Poole-Bell House (192 Laidley Street), which fall in Glen Park.
- **Underrepresented Architectural Styles** – A few of the proposed landmarks are significant for their associations with early neighborhood history, and predate Victorian era San Francisco, with associated styles not well represented amongst existing Article 10 Landmark designations. This includes the Duboce Triangle Greek Revival Home (2173 15th Street), constructed circa 1870, which is a Greek Revival property. Additionally, the Tietz-Beneke (657 Chenery Street), constructed in 1872, and the John J. Clark, constructed in 1885, are Folk Victorian properties. Furthermore, the Buena Vista Farmhouse (11 Piedmont Way) constructed circa 1860 is a very early example of an Italianate property.

Public/Neighborhood Input

Planning Department staff conducted outreach and engagement for property owners, occupants and other interested community members, as follows:

- **Community Forums** – In conjunction with District 8 Supervisor Rafael Mandelman’s office, the Planning Department hosted an in-person and virtual community forum (10/22/2025 and 10/27/2025, respectively) on the proposed FZP District 8 Phase 2 Landmarks. Property owners, occupants, and registered neighborhood groups were invited to attend. The forums provided an opportunity for attendees to learn more about the Program, as well as benefits and requirements associated with landmarked properties.
- **Property Owner Conversations** - Since the October 2025 Community Forums, Planning Department staff have offered subsequent meetings and/or phone conversations with property owners on a case-by-case basis, including the property owner of 181 Buena Vista East. Additionally, Department received an email in support of landmark designation from the property owners of 11 Piedmont Way which is attached to this case report.
- **Property Owner Notification** – Consistent with Article 10 of the Planning Code, property owners of all proposed landmarks were provided with a written 20 day notice of this HPC hearing.
- **Media** - Additionally, the Program has been featured by the press including articles in the Bay Area Reporter (1/13/2026), and the SF Examiner (3/27/2026).

Issues & Other Considerations

- **Past/Ongoing Historic Resource Surveys** – To identify the Program’s proposed landmarks, properties which were already identified as Category A historic resources, through past historic resource surveys, were reviewed. Relevant past historic resource surveys include:
 - Here Today, San Francisco’s Architectural Heritage. Text by Roger Olmstead and T.H. Watkins, San Francisco, CA, Chronicle Books, 1968 – This 300+ book includes the Junior League of San Francisco Inc.’s survey information of over 2,500 buildings. The findings of this survey were adopted by the Board of Supervisors on May 11, 1970; Resolution No. 268-70. Additionally, the Junior League of San Francisco "Here Today" building research files, 1964-1978, are archived at the San Francisco Public Library History Center and were reviewed for additional background on relevant properties.
 - Inner Mission North Historic Resource Survey – Completed in 2011, this multi-phased survey built upon a 2004 Historic Context Statement, and evaluated buildings for historical significance and integrity. The Survey area was roughly bound by Duboce Avenue to the north, Shotwell Street to the east, 20th Street to the South, and Dolores Street to the west.
 - Market and Octavia Plan Historic Resource Survey – Adopted in 2009, the Market and Octavia Plan Historic Resource Survey was completed by the community in partnership with the Planning Department, with consultants Page & Turnbull, following the adoption of the Market &

Octavia Plan.

- South Mission Historic Resource Survey – Adopted in 2010, the South Mission Historic Resource Survey documented and assessed approximately 3,800 individual buildings, including nearly 1,000 individual properties and contributors to 13 historic districts.
- San Francisco Citywide Cultural Resources Survey – The San Francisco Citywide Cultural Resources Survey (SF Survey) is a multi-year effort to identify and document places of historical, architectural and/or cultural significant. One of the properties recommended as a landmark through SF Survey was the Tietz-Beneke House at 657 Chenery Street. This recommendation was made to the HPC through findings which were adopted on December 17, 2025. This property had also previously been identified as a Category A historic resource through the 2011 Glen Park Community Plan Historic Resource Survey.
- **Eureka Valley Historic Context Statement** - In 2017, the HPC adopted the Eureka Valley Historic Statement, authored by Elaine B. Stiles. The document overviews the neighborhood’s history from Native California settlement and presence through the 1970s. The document identifies three properties as potential Article 10 Landmarks, all of which are being recommended as landmarks through this Program, including the Fernando Nelson House (701 Castro Street), the Phoenix Brewery (552 Noe Street), and the Charles Hinkel House (740 Castro Street). It is worth noting that the Phoenix Brewery was recommended as a landmark alongside its southerly neighboring property at 560 Noe Street, referred to as the Kirby House. However, Planning Department staff research did not confirm that Thomas Kirby resided at 560 Noe Street as stated in the Historic Context Statement, but rather at 552 Noe Street. Thus Staff do not recommend landmark designation of 560 Noe Street, unless a case can be made for historical significance through future efforts.
- **Corbett Heights Historic Context Statement** - In 2017 the HPC adopted the Corbett Heights Historic Context Statement, authored by Michael R. Corbett. The documents overviews the neighborhood’s history from 1860s early development through 1970s infill and gentrification. Recommendations on potentially significant resources include the John J. Clark House (210 Douglass Street), which is recommended as an Article 10 Landmark through Phase 2 of this effort.
- **Historic Resource Evaluations** - One of the properties was identified as Category A historic resource through a Historic Resource Evaluations (HRE) required under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) due to a proposed project. Specifically, the De Urioste Home- James C. Hormel Mansion (181 Buena Vista Avenue) with an HRE completed in 2013.

Basis for Recommendation

The Department recommends that the HPC recommend to the Board of Supervisors landmark designation of the twenty-three Family Zoning Plan District 8 Phase 2 Landmark Designations as outlined in this case report as they meet the provisions of Article 10 of the Planning Code regarding Landmark Designation.

Attachments

- Exhibit 1 – Alexander Adams Home (1450 Masonic Avenue)
- A) HPC Resolution
 - B) Fact Sheet
 - C) Background Report/Documentation
 - D) Map and Context Images
 - E) Draft Ordinance
 - F) Board of Supervisor Initiation Resolution
- Exhibit 2 - Charles L. Hinkel Home (740 Castro Street)
- A) HPC Resolution
 - B) Fact Sheet
 - C) Background Report/Documentation
 - D) Map and Context Images
 - E) Draft Ordinance
 - F) Board of Supervisor Initiation Resolution
- Exhibit 3 - Born Home (99 Divisadero Street)
- A) HPC Resolution
 - B) Fact Sheet
 - C) Background Report/Documentation
 - D) Map and Context Images
 - E) Draft Ordinance
 - F) Board of Supervisor Initiation Resolution
- Exhibit 4 - Early Haight Ashbury Farmhouse (11 Piedmont Street)
- A) HPC Resolution
 - B) Fact Sheet
 - C) Background Report/Documentation
 - D) Map and Context Images
 - E) Draft Ordinance
 - F) Board of Supervisor Initiation Resolution
- Exhibit 5 - Charles Katz Home (1200 Dolores Street)
- A) HPC Resolution
 - B) Fact Sheet
 - C) Background Report/Documentation
 - D) Map and Context Images
 - E) Draft Ordinance
 - F) Board of Supervisor Initiation Resolution
- Exhibit 6 – Duboce Triangle Greek Revival Home (2173 15th Street)
- A) HPC Resolution
 - B) Fact Sheet

- C) Background Report/Documentation
- D) Map and Context Images
- E) Draft Ordinance
- F) Board of Supervisor Initiation Resolution

Exhibit 7 – Elliott M. Wilson Home (1335 Guerrero Street)

- A) HPC Resolution
- B) Fact Sheet
- C) Background Report/Documentation
- D) Map and Context Images
- E) Draft Ordinance
- F) Board of Supervisor Initiation Resolution

Exhibit 8 - Engine Company No. 44 / Adams Van Hoesen House (3816 22nd Street)

- A) HPC Resolution
- B) Fact Sheet
- C) Background Report/Documentation
- D) Map and Context Images
- E) Draft Ordinance
- F) Board of Supervisor Initiation Resolution

Exhibit 9 - Fernando Nelson Home (701 Castro Street)

- A) HPC Resolution
- B) Fact Sheet
- C) Background Report/Documentation
- D) Map and Context Images
- E) Draft Ordinance
- F) Board of Supervisor Initiation Resolution

Exhibit 10 - Richard Spreckels Mansion / Buena Vista Studios (737 Buena Vista Avenue West)

- A) HPC Resolution
- B) Fact Sheet
- C) Background Report/Documentation
- D) Map and Context Images
- E) Draft Ordinance
- F) Board of Supervisor Initiation Resolution

Exhibit 11 - Mission Congregational Church (3689 19th Street)

- A) HPC Resolution
- B) Fact Sheet
- C) Background Report/Documentation
- D) Map and Context Images
- E) Draft Ordinance
- F) Board of Supervisor Initiation Resolution

Exhibit 12 - Guerrero Street Double Stick Eastlake House (1415-1417 Guerrero Street)

- A) HPC Resolution
- B) Fact Sheet
- C) Background Report/Documentation
- D) Map and Context Images
- E) Draft Ordinance
- F) Board of Supervisor Initiation Resolution

Exhibit 13 - Henry Street Rowhouses (191-197 Henry Street)

- A) HPC Resolution
- B) Fact Sheet
- C) Background Report/Documentation
- D) Map and Context Images
- E) Draft Ordinance
- F) Board of Supervisor Initiation Resolution

Exhibit 14 - Holy Innocents Church (455 Fair Oaks Street)

- A) HPC Resolution
- B) Fact Sheet
- C) Background Report/Documentation
- D) Map and Context Images
- E) Draft Ordinance
- F) Board of Supervisor Initiation Resolution

Exhibit 15 - De Urioste Home - James C. Hormel Mansion (181 Buena Vista Avenue East)

- A) HPC Resolution
- B) Fact Sheet
- C) Background Report/Documentation
- D) Map and Context Images
- E) Draft Ordinance
- F) Board of Supervisor Initiation Resolution

Exhibit 16 - John J. Clark House (210 Douglass Street)

- A) HPC Resolution
- B) Fact Sheet
- C) Background Report/Documentation
- D) Map and Context Images
- E) Draft Ordinance
- F) Board of Supervisor Initiation Resolution

Exhibit 17 - Phoenix Brewery (552 Noe Street)

- A) HPC Resolution
- B) Fact Sheet
- C) Background Report/Documentation

- D) Map and Context Images
- E) Draft Ordinance
- F) Board of Supervisor Initiation Resolution

Exhibit 18 – Lange House (199 Carl Street)

- A) HPC Resolution
- B) Fact Sheet
- C) Background Report/Documentation
- D) Map and Context Images
- E) Draft Ordinance
- F) Board of Supervisor Initiation Resolution

Exhibit 19 – Poole-Bell House (192 Laidley Street)

- A) HPC Resolution
- B) Fact Sheet
- C) Background Report/Documentation
- D) Map and Context Images
- E) Draft Ordinance
- F) Board of Supervisor Initiation Resolution

Exhibit 20 – Power House (1526 Masonic Avenue)

- A) HPC Resolution
- B) Fact Sheet
- C) Background Report/Documentation
- D) Map and Context Images
- E) Draft Ordinance
- F) Board of Supervisor Initiation Resolution

Exhibit 21 – Second Church of Christ Scientist (651 Dolores Street)

- A) HPC Resolution
- B) Fact Sheet
- C) Background Report/Documentation
- D) Map and Context Images
- E) Draft Ordinance
- F) Board of Supervisor Initiation Resolution

Exhibit 22 – William Shaughnessy Home (394 Fair Oaks Street)

- A) HPC Resolution
- B) Fact Sheet
- C) Background Report/Documentation
- D) Map and Context Images
- E) Draft Ordinance
- F) Board of Supervisor Initiation Resolution

Exhibit 23 – Tietz-Beneke House (657 Chenery Street)

- A) HPC Resolution
- B) Fact Sheet
- C) Background Report/Documentation
- D) Map and Context Images
- E) Draft Ordinance
- F) Board of Supervisor Initiation Resolution

**Attachment 20 /
651 Dolores St /
Second Church of
Christ Scientist**

1 [Planning Code - Landmark Designation - Second Church of Christ Scientist]

2 **Ordinance amending the Planning Code to designate the Second Church of Christ**
 3 **Scientist, located at 651 Dolores Street, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 3598, Lot Nos.**
 4 **172-175, on the east side of Dolores Street between Cumberland Street and 20th Street,**
 5 **as a landmark consistent with the standards set forth in Article 10 of the Planning**
 6 **Code; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California**
 7 **Environmental Quality Act; and making public necessity, convenience, and welfare**
 8 **findings under Planning Code, Section 302, and findings of consistency with the**
 9 **General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.**

10 NOTE: **Unchanged Code text and uncodified text** are in plain Arial font.
 11 **Additions to Codes** are in *single-underline italics Times New Roman font*.
 12 **Deletions to Codes** are in *strikethrough italics Times New Roman font*.
 13 **Board amendment additions** are in double-underlined Arial font.
 14 **Board amendment deletions** are in ~~strikethrough Arial font~~.
 15 **Asterisks (* * * *)** indicate the omission of unchanged Code
 16 subsections or parts of tables.

17 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

18 Section 1. Findings.

19 (a) Environmental and Land Use Findings.

20 (1) The Planning Department has determined that the Planning Code
 21 amendment proposed in this ordinance is subject to a Categorical Exemption from the
 22 California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et
 23 seq., hereinafter "CEQA") pursuant to Section 15308 of California Code of Regulations, Title
 24 14, Sections 15000 et seq., the Guidelines for implementation of the statute, for actions by
 25 regulatory agencies for protection of the environment (in this case, landmark designation).
 Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No.

1 _____ and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board of Supervisors affirms
2 this determination.

3 (2) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, the Board of Supervisors finds that
4 the proposed landmark designation of the Second Church of Christ Scientist will serve the
5 public necessity, convenience, and welfare for the reasons set forth in Historic Preservation
6 Commission Resolution No. _____, recommending approval of the proposed
7 designation, which is incorporated herein by reference.

8 (3) The Board of Supervisors finds that the proposed landmark designation of
9 the Second Church of Christ Scientist is consistent with the General Plan and with Planning
10 Code Section 101.1(b) for the reasons set forth in Historic Preservation Commission
11 Resolution No. _____, which is incorporated herein by reference.

12 (b) General Findings.

13 (1) On March 3, 2026, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. 114-
14 26, initiating landmark designation of the Second Church of Christ Scientist as a San
15 Francisco Landmark pursuant to Section 1004.1 of the Planning Code. On March 6, 2026, the
16 Mayor approved the resolution. Said resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
17 Supervisors in File No. 260068.

18 (2) Pursuant to Charter Section 4.135, the Historic Preservation Commission
19 has authority “to recommend approval, disapproval, or modification of landmark designations
20 and historic district designations under the Planning Code to the Board of Supervisors.”

21 (3) The Landmark Designation Fact Sheet dated May 20, 2026 was prepared
22 by Planning Department Preservation staff. All preparers meet the Secretary of the Interior’s
23 Professional Qualification Standards for historic preservation program staff, as set forth in
24 Code of Federal Regulations Title 36, Part 61, Appendix A. The report was reviewed for
25 accuracy and conformance with the purposes and standards of Article 10 of the Planning

1 Code. A copy of the Landmark Designation Fact Sheet is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
2 Supervisors in File No. _____ and is incorporated herein by reference.

3 (4) The Historic Preservation Commission, at its regular meeting of May 20,
4 2026, reviewed Planning Department staff’s analysis of the historical significance of the
5 Second Church of Christ Scientist set forth in the Landmark Designation Fact Sheet.

6 (5) On May 20, 2026, after holding a public hearing on the proposed
7 designation and having considered the specialized analyses prepared by Planning
8 Department staff and the Landmark Designation Fact Sheet, the Historic Preservation
9 Commission recommended designation of the Second Church of Christ Scientist as a
10 landmark consistent with the standards set forth in Section 1004 of the Planning Code, by
11 Resolution No. _____. Said resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
12 in File No. _____.

13 (6) The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that the Second Church of Christ
14 Scientist has a special character and special historical, cultural, architectural, and aesthetic
15 interest and value, and that its designation as a landmark will further the purposes of and
16 conform to the standards set forth in Article 10 of the Planning Code. In doing so, the Board of
17 Supervisors hereby incorporates by reference the findings of the Landmark Designation Fact
18 Sheet.

19
20 Section 2. Designation.

21 Pursuant to Section 1004.3 of the Planning Code, the Second Church of Christ
22 Scientist, located at 651 Dolores Street, Assessor’s Parcel Block No. 3598, Lot Nos. 172-175,
23 is hereby designated as a San Francisco landmark consistent with the standards set forth in
24 Section 1004. Appendix A to Article 10 of the Planning Code is hereby amended to include
25 this property.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Section 3. Required Data.

(a) The description, location, and boundary of the landmark site consists of the footprint of the Second Church of Christ Scientist, located on Assessor’s Parcel Block No. 3598, Lot Nos. 172-175, on the east side of Dolores Street between Cumberland Street and 20th Street in San Francisco’s Mission neighborhood, as shown in the Landmark Designation Fact Sheet.

(b) The characteristics of the landmark that justify its designation are described and shown in the Landmark Designation Fact Sheet and other supporting materials contained in Planning Department Record Docket No. 2026-002408DES. In brief, the Second Church of Christ Scientist, located at 651 Dolores Street, is eligible for local designation as an exemplary example of a Beaux-Arts Church in San Francisco, and for its association with post-1906 earthquake and fire reconstruction of the Mission Neighborhood. Completed in 1917, the steel-framed concrete and brick church was designed by Architect of Merit William Crim, who was one of the leading architects of the Pacific Coast from 1910-1930. The church was built as the Second Church of Christ Scientist and was used as a church for nearly 90 years until it was converted to residential use. The church includes three ornamented facades, temple like portico, and massive hemispherical dome. The parcel lies in an area heavily impacted by the 1906 Fires, known as the burn zone. Along with several other nearby houses of worship, the church was associated with the neighborhood’s post disaster recovery efforts, constructed in the Mission neighborhood to be closer to congregants. Sited across the street from Dolores Park (then Mission Park), the church’s location was chosen in part because of its scenic location.

(c) The particular features that should be preserved, or replaced in kind as determined necessary, are those generally shown in photographs and described in the Landmark

1 Designation Fact Sheet, which can be found in Planning Department Record Docket No.
2 2026-002408DES, and which are incorporated in this designation by reference as though fully
3 set forth herein. This designation does not identify any interior character-defining features.
4 Specifically, the features that are character-defining and shall be preserved or replaced in kind
5 are the exterior elevations, form, massing, structure, rooflines, architectural ornament, and
6 materials of the property, identified as:

- 7 (1) Corner location of the building on the property;
- 8 (2) Rectangular form with side pop-outs;
- 9 (3) One-story height;
- 10 (4) Concrete and brick facades with neoclassical ornamentation, including columns;
- 11 (5) Three decorative facades (west/primary, north/secondary, and south/tertiary)
12 organized in tripartite composition with a rusticated base, smooth vertical shaft,
13 and a bold capital with denticulated cornice and raised attic frieze;
- 14 (6) Flat roof capped by octagonal drum surmounted by a slate-clad, hemispherical
15 dome;
- 16 (7) Entranceway with monumental stone stairs, arched portico supported by four
17 Tuscan columns and three sets of wooden doors;
- 18 (8) Front vestibule with original marble floor, wood wainscoting, and barrel-vaulted
19 plaster ceiling and hanging bronze light fixtures; and
- 20 (9) Large arched, segmented windows symmetrically placed throughout the
21 structure.

22
23 Section 4. Effective Date.

24 This ordinance shall become effective on the 31st day after enactment. Enactment
25 occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or

1 does not sign the ordinance within 10 days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors
2 overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

3

4 APPROVED AS TO FORM:
5 DAVID CHIU, City Attorney

6

7 By: /s/ Peter Miljanich

8

9 PETER MILJANICH
10 Deputy City Attorney

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



LANDMARK RESOLUTION RECOMMENDATION RESOLUTION NO. 1584

HEARING DATE: May 20, 2026

Record No.: 2026-002408DES
Project Address: 651 Dolores Street (Second Church of Christ Scientist)
Zoning: RH-3 RESIDENTIAL- HOUSE, THREE FAMILY
40-X Height and Bulk District
Block/Lot: 3598/172
Project Sponsor: Planning Department
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400
San Francisco, CA 94103
Property Owner: Edgecliff House LLC
314 Lytton Ave. Ste 200
San Francisco, CA 94301
Staff Contact: Alex Westhoff 628-652-7314
Alex.Westhoff@sfgov.org

RESOLUTION RECOMMENDING TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS LANDMARK DESIGNATION OF THE SECOND CHURCH OF CHRIST SCIENTIST (651 DOLORES STREET), ASSESSOR’S PARCEL BLOCK NO. 3598, LOT NO. 172, AS LANDMARK NO. XXX CONSISTENT WITH THE PURPOSES AND STANDARDS OF ARTICLE 10.

Preamble


1. WHEREAS, at a public hearing on March 3, 2026, the Board of Supervisors voted to adopt Resolution No. 114-26 to initiate Landmark Designation of the Second Church of Christ Scientist (651 Dolores Street), Assessor’s Parcel Block No. 3598, Lot No. 172; and
2. WHEREAS, Mayor Lurie signed the Resolution on March 6, 2026 and the Clerk of the Board transmitted it to the Planning Department on March 16, 2026; and
3. WHEREAS, Department staff, who meet the Secretary of Interior’s Professional Qualification Standards prepared the Landmark Designation Fact Sheet for the Second Church of Christ Scientist which was reviewed for accuracy and conformance with the purposes and standards of Article 10; and
4. WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission, at its regular meeting of May 20, 2026 reviewed Department staff’s analysis of the Second Church of Christ Scientist’s historical significance pursuant to

Article 10 and recommended Landmark designation through this Resolution; and

5. WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission finds that the Landmark designation for the Second Church of Christ Scientist is in the form prescribed by the Historic Preservation Commission and contains supporting historic, architectural, and/or cultural documentation; and
6. WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission finds that the Landmark designation of 651 Dolores Street is proper as an exemplary example of a Beaux-Arts church; and
7. WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission finds that the Landmark designation of 651 Dolores Street is proper as it was constructed in 1917 and thus associated with post-1906 Great Earthquake and Fire reconstruction of the Inner Mission North neighborhood; and
8. WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission finds that the Landmark designation of 651 Dolores Street is proper as it was designed by architect of merit William H. Crim; and
9. WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission finds that the Landmark designation of 651 Dolores Street is proper as it retains full integrity on the exterior; and
10. WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission finds 651 Dolores Street meets the eligibility requirements of Section 1004 of the Planning Code and warrants consideration for Article 10 landmark designation; and
11. WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission finds that the boundaries and the list of character-defining features, as identified in the Landmark Designation Fact Sheet, should be considered for preservation under the proposed landmark designation as they relate to 651 Dolores Street's historical significance and retain historical integrity; and
12. WHEREAS, the proposed designation is consistent with the General Plan priority policies pursuant to Planning Code, Section 101.1 and furthers Priority Policy No. 7, which states that landmarks and historic buildings be preserved, and will serve the public necessity, convenience and welfare pursuant to Planning Code, Section 302; and
13. WHEREAS, the Department has determined that landmark designation is exempt from environmental review, pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15308 (Class Eight - Categorical); and now therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Historic Preservation Commission hereby recommends to the Board of Supervisors approval of landmark designation of 651 Dolores Street (aka Second Church of Christ Scientist), Assessor's Parcel Block No. 3598, Lot No. 172, as a Landmark pursuant to Article 10 of the Planning Code.

I hereby certify that the Historic Preservation Commission **ADOPTED** the foregoing Resolution on May 20, 2026.



Jonas P. Ionin
Commission Secretary

AYES: Cox, Baroni, Foley, Matsuda

NAYS: Baldauf, Vergara

ABSENT: Tsern-Strang

ADOPTED: May 20, 2026

Second Church of Christ Scientist

Period of Significance: 1917

Significance Criteria: 1 (Events), 3 (Architecture)

Statement of Significance: The Second Church of Christ Scientist is significant as an exemplary example of a Beaux-Arts church, and for its association with post-1906 earthquake and fire reconstruction of the neighborhood. Along with flats, apartments and commercial buildings, the church was one of several houses of worship, erected in the Inner Mission North neighborhood as the entire neighborhood was reconstructed after the 1906 disaster. Sited across the street from Dolores Park (then Mission Park), the church's location was chosen in part because of its scenic location. Completed in 1917 the steel-framed concrete and brick church was designed by Architect of Merit William Crim who was one of the leading architects of the Pacific Coast from 1910-1930.¹ The church was built as the Second Church of Christ Scientist, San Francisco's second Christian Scientist congregation, and was used as a church for nearly 90 years until it was converted to residential use. The Beaux Arts style in America derives from the Chicago World's Columbian Exposition of 1893, and was a popular style in San Francisco from 1890 to 1925 for major public institutions such as banks, libraries, churches, government buildings, and more.²

Integrity: The property retains full integrity. Exterior alterations include a ground level north façade window being replaced with a garage door, and the "Second Church of Christ Scientist" lettering being replaced with "The Light House" lettering on the west façade. The interior has been retrofitted.

Character-Defining Features: All those exterior elevations, form, massing, structure, rooflines, architectural ornament, and materials of the property identified as:

- Corner location of the building on the property
- Rectangular form with side pop-outs
- One story height
- Concrete and brick facades with neoclassical ornamentation including columns
- Three decorative facades (west/primary, north/secondary and south/tertiary) organized in tripartite composition with a rusticated base, smooth vertical shaft, and a bold capital with denticulated cornice and raised attic frieze
- Flat roof capped by octagonal drum surmounted by a slate-clad, hemispherical dome
- Entranceway with monumental stone stairs, arched portico supported by four Tuscan columns and three sets of wooden doors
- Front vestibule with original marble floor, wood wainscoting, and barrel-vaulted plaster ceiling and hanging bronze light fixtures
- Large arched, segmented windows symmetrically placed throughout the structure

Past Survey(s)/Historic Context Statement(s): Here Today, San Francisco's Architectural Heritage. Text by Roger Olmsted and T. H. Watkins, San Francisco, CA, Chronicle Books, 1968; Inner Mission North Survey (2011)

Address: 651 Dolores Street



Block/Lot(s): 3598/172

Parcel Area: 14,820 square feet

Zoning: RH-3

Year Built: 1917

Architect: William H. Crim



¹ San Francisco Planning Department, Architecture, Planning, & Preservation Professionals: A Collection of Biographies, 2023

² San Francisco Planning Department, Progressive Era & Early Revival Styles (1890-1930) Historic Context Statement, 2023


single dwelling and apartments.

1934 (1884) The bold Eastlake hood over the door of this Stick Style cottage relates nicely to the cornice brackets.

2101 (1877) Similar in form and situation to 2900 Clay, this house displays delicate Italianate details.

2110 and 2116 (1891) The exceptionally large bays culminate in turreted independent roofs that contain false dormers on these mirror twins.

2131 (1883) The juxtaposition of turret gabled bay windows and exaggerated pediments distinguish this delightful one-story residence.



2131 Divisadero Street

2195 (1877) On this Italianate house the lower cornice extends full width across the facade; in fact, it dominates all other features.

2197 (1877) This well-preserved Italianate house is certainly closely related to 2195, yet here the portico is allowed to be an independent entity.

2203 (1877) Another disciplined interpretation of Italianate, this house has details that deviate only slightly from those of 2197.

2221 (1877) Although the interior of this Italianate has been extensively remodeled, the exterior has been changed only by the addition of a brick wall, a garage and

single-pane glass windows.

2229 and 2231 (1874) Julia Morgan bought these two Italianate houses both of which she remodeled—she even went so far as to remove the second story of 2229. Miss Morgan moved into the one-story house herself and turned over the two-story residence to her staff. Eventually though she moved into the lower floor of 2231.



2229 Divisadero Street

2300 (c. 1900) Two-story slanted bays and pedimented dormers imposed on a gambrel-roofed shingled apartment distinguish this house.

2310-12 (1908) These nicely-detailed shingle flats—especially the carved door frame and brackets—are harmonious with the corner apartments.

2505 (1899) This forthright red brick block includes elements of Georgian Revival. It is part of a brick group that moves around the corner to Pacific.

2710 (1893) Many old trees and shrubs nearly obscure this free translation of Queen Anne-Eastlake. Unusual features are the entrance porch, with its Gothic arches and tracery, and the intersecting gable ends and chimneys.

Dolores Street

263-65 (1892) Most notable in this Period residence are the two large decorative shells, containing lovely sculpted cameo heads. Unusual too is the modified bay with a minimal square window.

655 (1916)

Second Church of Christ Scientist. During construction of this Classic Revival stucco church, designed by W. H. Crim, Jr., a local steel strike halted all work, but the steel plants reopened and the church was completed as scheduled, a fact which the congregation attributes to their prayers.

760-62 (1889)

The amazing vertical strips on this Stick Style house are painted white in brilliant contrast to the brown facade. They give a powerful vertical expression to the house.

770 (1905)

This remarkable house rises from a cement and brick retaining wall. The entrance portico and Palladian window above it certainly are Colonial Revival, while the corner tower culminating in a metal cupola (now rain-washed and rusted), is assuredly Queen Anne.

846-48 (c. 1892)

Richly carved with medallions and covered with sawtooth and ship-lap shingles, this house has a unique decorative device (resembling a cylinder), which protrudes beneath the cornice.

890 (c. 1876)

This Italianate cottage, wedged between two newer, taller structures, features a concentration of detailing at the portico, where heavy split pilasters support a hood mold above a ribbed archway.

1010 (1875)

Once nearly covered by ivy, sheltered by palms, and part of a group of three, this Italianate now stands in solitary splendor. A graceful old iron fence and gate on a stone wall still set off the property.

1037-39 (c. 1887)

Built as a private residence but converted to two flats by 1910, this ornate Stick-Eastlake house is trimmed with strips, flowers, and a sunburst-filled gable end.

1074-76 (1885)

This Stick-Eastlake house (with elaborate portico and chalet gable) still has the original carriage house in the rear. Second-story additions were made later.

1080-82 (c. 1880)

Wooden stairs lead to a simple double entrance on this Italianate, originally located on Van Ness Avenue but moved here

For #20

| | |
|--|---|
| State of California — The Resources Agency DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION | Primary # _____ HRI # _____ |
| PRIMARY RECORD | Trinomial _____ NRHP Status Code _____ |
| Other Listings _____ | Reviewer _____ Date _____ |
| Review Code _____ | |

Page 1 of 7 *Resource name(s) or number: 655 Dolores Street

P1. Other Identifier: Second Church of Christ Scientist

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County San Francisco
and (P2b and P2C or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5' Quad San Francisco North, CA Date: 1995 T ___; R ___; 1/4 of ___ 1/4 of Sec ___; ___ B.M.

c. Address: 655 Dolores Street City: San Francisco Zip 94114

d. UTM: (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources.) Zone ___; ___ mE/ ___ mN

e. Other Locational Data: Assessor's Parcel Number: Block: 3598 Lot: 28

***P3a. Description:**

This monumental three story Beaux Arts structure contained a major domed roof with clerestory windows. The classically designed façade visible on three elevations. The principal façade reveals a two story portico with three sets of wooden doors symmetrically arranged leading into the church lobby, The marble staircases conform to the downsloping hillside parcel. The tripartite arrangement of pilasters with bases and capitals. The cornice line contains egg and dart molding with the name of the church encised on the principal facade. A raised, rusticated base is surmounted by large arched, segmented windows which are symmetrically placed throughout the structure. Stucco wall surfaces, the symmetrical arrangement of fenestration, wood paneled doors, a monumental dome which is highly visible all contribute to the architectural significance of this religious property which is largely intact. As such, the building displays a high degree of integrity. The subject property is listed as Unreinforced Masonry Building, (although the Here Today citation indicates that the building contains a steel frame). A dwindling congregation and a proposed reuse of the site currently under consideration has resulted in a threatened cultural resource. The surrounding area is characterized by two and three-story multi-family residential units and Dolores Park is located to the east of the church. The Beaux Arts style in America derives from the Chicago World's Columbian Exposition of 1893. Major public institutions, such as banks, libraries, churches, early skyscrapers, governmental buildings, railroad stations and large-scaled mansions and churches dating from approximately 1890 to 1930 were constructed in the Beaux Arts style. The style is divertive of the Greek and Roman structures and refers to the aesthetic principles enunciated and perpetuated by the Ecole des Beaux-Arts in France.

*P3b. Resource Attributes: HP16:Religious Building Style or Period: Beaux Arts

*P4. Resources Present: Building Structure Object Site District Element of District



P5b. Photo: (view and date)
View from Dolores Park, northwest corner at Cumberland Street, 08/06

*P6. Date Constructed/Age and Source:
1916, prehistoric, historic

*P7. Owner and Address:
Second Church Christ Scientist
655 Dolores Street
San Francisco, CA 94110

*P8. Recorded by:
Vincent Marsh
Preservation Consultant
2134 Green Street, Apt. No. 3
San Francisco, CA 94123

*P9. Date Recorded:
September 20, 2006

*P10. Survey Type: Reconnaissance

*P11. Report Citation: H.T. pg. 262 & A.S. bldg. Victorian Alliance/Mission Dolores Survey

RECEIVED AT OPC HEARING 11-6-08
2006.0144E
651 DOLORES ST.
(BOLLINGER)

*Attachments: None Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (list)

State of California —The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
BUILDING, STRUCTURE AND OBJECT RECORD

Primary # _____
HRI # _____

Page 2 of 7

*NRHP Status Code 3S

*Resource Name or # 655 Dolores Street

- B1. Historic name: Second Church Christ Scientist
- B2. Common name: Second Church Christ Scientist
- B3. Original Use: religious building
- B4. Present use: religious building
- *B5. Architectural Style: Beaux Arts
- *B6. Construction History:

"The Church of Christ, Scientist was founded in 1879 when fifteen students of Mary Baker Eddy voted to "organize a church designed to commemorate the word and works of our Master, which should reinstate primitive Christianity and its lost element of healing." The church comprises the Mother Church in Boston together with branch churches and societies all over the world. The optimistic faith holds that sickness, sin, and death, as aspects of falsehood, can be overcome by understanding spiritual truth. Members are expected to study the Scriptures and the writings of the founder, Science and Health, with Key to the Scriptures, and to live by these teachings. There are no ministers as such in the church; each congregation elects a first and second reader for a specified term of office; the weekly lesson-sermon is the same in Christian Science churches everywhere."¹

The Second Church Christ Scientist, is one of a handful of Christ Scientist Churches extant in the City. The First Church of Christ, Scientist at 1700 Franklin Street, dating from 1913, designed by Edgar A. Matthews, in the Romanesque style is also a Unreinforced Masonry Building (UMB) and has also been considered to be a threatened cultural resource. During the 1940's and 1950's, there were up to twelve Christ Scientist churches in the City, many of these have ceased to exist due to a lack of congregations.

B7. Moved? No Yes Unknown Date: _____ Original Location: _____
*B8. Related Features:


B9a. Architect: William H. Crim
*B10. Significance: Theme: Religious Architecture
Period of Significance 1916 Property Type: religious building Applicable Criteria C
b. Builder: _____ Area: San Francisco

B11. Additional Resource Attributes: HP-16
*B12. References: See Continuation Sheet

B13. Remarks: See Continuation Sheet

*B14. Evaluator: Vincent Marsh

*Date of Evaluation: September 20, 2006



¹ Hendricks, Ruth Willard and Carol Green Wilson, Sacred Places of San Francisco, Novato, CA. Presidio Press, 1985, pg. 152.

State of California — The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
CONTINUATION SHEET

Primary # _____
HRI _____
Trinomial _____

Page 3 of 7

Resource Name or # 655 Dolores Street

*Recorded by: Vincent Marsh, Preservation Consultant *Date 09/20/06 Continuation Update

William H. Crim, Architect

Master Architect, was born in San Francisco in 1879, he was a member of the first graduating class of the Lick High School of San Francisco. His professional training was at the CA School of Mechanical Arts. For eight years he practiced in the offices of Percy and Hamilton, architects. "Later he was employed by Willis Polk, with whom he remained until 1906. In 1910, Mr. Crim took over his partner's interest and continued to carry on his profession alone until his death on July 12, 1930, at which time he was one of the leading architects of the Pacific Coast. Among the many handsome buildings for which he drew the plans are the Second Christian Science Church in the Mission, San Francisco; the Sixth Christian Science Church, at Clay and Divisadero Streets...Mr. Crim was a member of the leading organizations of his profession and belonged also to a number of fraternal and civic bodies. He was always a public-spirited citizen and was identified with a number of movements for the betterment and beautification of his native city"² Mr. Crim was a member of the Commercial and Bohemian and Architectural Clubs of San Francisco and belonged to the S.F. Chapter of the A.I.A.. Other extant works by William Crim include the Pacific Coast Envelope Building located at the southwest corner of Second and Harrison Streets and the Tadich Grill at 240-242 California Street under the firm of Crim and Scott (Earl B.). Other building on William Crim's job list include the Mission Savings Bank Building, the Temple Building in Los Angeles, and a number of residential commissions throughout the City.

Second Church Christ Scientist contains significant and intact interior spaces. Interior features and finishes include a centralized, monumental dome with clerestory windows, oak pews which are arranged in a semi-circular fashion and oak paneling (painted over), marble flooring in a spacious lobby, original lighting fixtures, sixteen windows symmetrically arranged and a significant organ which was moved to the church from the Panama Pacific Exposition of 1915. All of these elements contribute greatly to the elegant, classical design of the interior.

This building retains a high degree integrity of location, design, materials, workmanship, feeling and association. This building appears to be eligible for the National Register under Criterion C, for its design. It is an excellent example of the Beaux Arts style in San Francisco that conforms to a steeply sloping Dolores Street site. The symmetrical massing, window treatments, use of marble, a majestic dome, stucco finishes, monumental wooden doors, the siting and location all contribute to it's architectural significance. The period of significance is 1916, the date of construction. In addition to possessing individual significance under Criterion C, this building also appears to be a contributor to a potential local, state or national Mission Dolores Historic District. By virtue of its apparent National Register eligibility, this property also appears to be a historical resource for the purposes of CEQA, per CEQA guidelines 15064.5, as it meet the California Register criteria as outlined in PRC 5024.1.

Context for the building

"The Mission location was wisely chosen by the founding padres from their church [Mission Dolores] and settlement as the sunniest and most sheltered area of the northern peninsula. It was the recreation area of the early city, gradually becoming built up in the 1870's and 80's, with very substantial houses, most of which have either disappeared or been divided into apartments. Mission Street is the commercial spine of very self-contained and heavily Spanish speaking area. The handsomest part of this area is along the palm-lined Dolores, with the Mission itself, Dolores Park, and a fair number of surviving Victorian mansions. The hills to the west were built up around the turn of the century with smaller working class speculative houses, which now form the most interesting supply of older middle-income housing in the city, and so have become something of a melting pot, combining older blue-collar and younger middle-class families of all races."³

² Hunt, Rockwell D. California and Californians, [Architects], Chicago, Illinois, Lewis Publishing Company, 1932, pg 355.

³ Gebhard, David, et. al. The Guide to Architecture in San Francisco and Northern California, Salt Lake City: Gibbs-Smith, Publishers, 1985, Revised Edition, pgs. 104-105.

State of California — The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
CONTINUATION SHEET

Primary # _____
HRI _____
Trinomial _____

Page 4 of 7

Resource Name or # 655 Dolores Street

*Recorded by: Vincent Marsh, Preservation Consultant *Date 09/20/06 Continuation Update

B14: References:

Assessor's Records and Building Permit History
Crocker-Langlely, San Francisco City Directories, 1914-15 forward
Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, 1915 forward
San Francisco Block Books, 1915 forward
Here Today, page 262.
Unreinforced Masonry Building Survey, October 17, 1989.

Alexander, James Beach and James Lee Heig, San Francisco, Building the Dream City. San Francisco, Scottwell Associates, Publishers, 2002.

City and County of San Francisco, Planning Department. San Francisco Preservation Bulletin No. 18. Residential and Commercial Architectural Periods and Styles in San Francisco.

Cole, Thomas. A Short History of San Francisco. San Francisco, CA. Don't Call it Frisco Press, 1981 Cole, Thomas. A Short History of San Francisco. San Francisco: Don't Call It Frisco Press, 1981.

Davis, Ellis A., The Commercial Encyclopedia of the Pacific Southwest, California, Nevada, Utah and Arizona, Berkeley, CA: Davis Company, Editor and Publisher, 1911.

Delehanty, Randolph. In the Victorian Style. San Francisco. Chronicle Books, 1991.

Examiner Newclippings, "Scientist Church has its First Service in New Building", August 19, 1917.

Gebhard, David, et. al., The Guide to Architecture in San Francisco and Northern California. Salt Lake City: Gibbs-Smith Publisher, 1985 Revised Edition.

Hanson, Gladys. San Francisco Almanac, Everything You Always Wanted to Know About Everyone's Favorite City. San Francisco: Chronicle Books, 1995.

Hendricks, Ruth and Carol Green Wilson, Sacred Places of San Francisco, Presidio Press, Novato, CA, 1985.

Heritage News, "Seismic Requirements Threatening More Churches," Spring, 2006, Volume XXXIV, No. 2.

Hunt, Rockwell D., California and Californians, "William Henry Crim, Jr." Architect, Chicago: Lewis Publishing Company, 1932. pg. 355.

Jennings, Frederick. "Some Recent Work of William H. Crim, Jr." The Architect and Engineer, January, 1919. pgs. 57-66.

Mc Alester, Virginia and Lee. A Field Guide to America's Historic Neighborhoods and Museum Houses, the Western States. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1998.

Mc Alester, Virginia and Lee. A Field Guide to America's Houses. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1986.

Mission Housing Development Corporation. A Plan for the Inner Mission, Book 1, March, 1974

Olmsted, Roger and T.H. Watkins, Here Today, San Francisco: Chronicle Books, 1968.

State of California — The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
CONTINUATION SHEET

Primary # _____
HRI _____
Trinomial _____

Page 5 of 7

Resource Name or # 655 Dolores Street

*Recorded by: Vincent Marsh, Preservation Consultant *Date 09/20/06 Continuation Update

Richards, Rand. Historic San Francisco, A Concise History and Guide. San Francisco, CA. Heritage House Publishers, 1991.

Shepard, Susan. In the Neighborhoods, A Guide to the Joys and Discoveries of San Francisco's Neighborhoods. San Francisco: Chronicle Books, 1981.

United States Department of the Interior. National Register of Historic Places. National Register Bulletin No. 15: How to Apply the National Register Criteria for Evaluation.

United States Department of the Interior. National Register of Historic Places. National Register Bulletin No. 16A: How to Complete the National Register Form, 1991.

United States Department of the Interior. National Register of Historic Places. National Register Bulletin No. 39: Researching a Historic Property. 1991.

Woodbridge, Sally B. and John M. Woodbidge, FAIA. Architecture, San Francisco, the Guide. San Francisco: 101 Productions, 1982.


State of California — The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
CONTINUATION SHEET

Primary # _____
HRI _____
Trinomial _____


Page 6 of 7

Resource Name or # 655 Dolores Street

*Recorded by: Vincent Marsh, Preservation Consultant *Date 09/20/06 Continuation Update



Second of Church Christ, Scientist, 655 Dolores Street, S.F. History Room Collection, AAB-1110, 09/27/30.



SECOND CHURCH OF CHRIST, SCIENTIST, SAN FRANCISCO
WM. H. CRIM, JR., ARCHITECT

Rendering of the Second of Church Christ, Scientist, 655 Dolores Street, William H. Crim, Jr., Architect.,
The Architect and Engineer, Circa 1916.

PRIMARY RECORD

Page 1 of 7

*Resource name(s) or number: 655 Dolores Street

P1. Other Identifier: Second Church of Christ Scientist

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County San Francisco
and (P2b and P2C or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5' Quad San Francisco North, CA Date: 1995 T ___; R ___; 1/4 of ___ 1/4 of Sec ___; ___ B.M.

c. Address: 655 Dolores Street City: San Francisco Zip 94114

d. UTM: (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources.) Zone ___; ___ mE/ ___ mN

e. Other Locational Data: Assessor's Parcel Number: Block: 3598 Lot: 28

***P3a. Description:**

This monumental three story Beaux Arts structure contained a major domed roof with clerestory windows. The classically designed façade visible on three elevations. The principal façade reveals a two story portico with three sets of wooden doors symmetrically arranged leading into the church lobby, The marble staircases conform to the downsloping hillside parcel. The tripartite arrangement of pilasters with bases and capitals. The cornice line contains egg and dart molding with the name of the church encised on the principal facade. A raised, rusticated base is surmounted by large arched, segmented windows which are symmetrically placed throughout the structure. Stucco wall surfaces, the symmetrical arrangement of fenestration, wood paneled doors, a monumental dome which is highly visible all contribute to the architectural significance of this religious property which is largely intact. As such, the building displays a high degree of integrity. The subject property is listed as Unreinforced Masonry Building, (although the Here Today citation indicates that the building contains a steel frame). A dwindling congregation and a proposed reuse of the site currently under consideration has resulted in a threatened cultural resource. The surrounding area is characterized by two and three-story multi-family residential units and Dolores Park is located to the east of the church. The Beaux Arts style in America derives from the Chicago World's Columbian Exposition of 1893. Major public institutions, such as banks, libraries, churches, early skyscrapers, governmental buildings, railroad stations and large-scaled mansions and churches dating from approximately 1890 to 1930 were constructed in the Beaux Arts style. The style is derivative of the Greek and Roman structures and refers to the aesthetic principles enunciated and perpetuated by the Ecole des Beaux-Arts in France.

*P3b. Resource Attributes: HP16:Religious Building Style or Period: Beaux Arts

*P4. Resources Present: Building Structure Object Site District Element of District

P5b. Photo: (view and date)

View from Dolores Park,
northwest corner at Cumberland Street, 08/06

*P6. Date Constructed/Age and Source:

1916, prehistoric, historic

*P7. Owner and Address:

Second Church Christ Scientist
655 Dolores Street
San Francisco, CA 94110

*P8. Recorded by:

Vincent Marsh
Preservation Consultant
2134 Green Street, Apt. No. 3
San Francisco, CA 94123

*P9. Date Recorded:

September 13, 2006

*P10. Survey Type: Reconnaissance

*P11. Report Citation: H.T. pg. 262 & A.S. bldg.
Victorian Alliance/Mission Dolores Survey



*Attachments: None Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure,
and Object Record Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station
Record Rock Art Record Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (list)

State of California —The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
BUILDING, STRUCTURE AND OBJECT RECORD

Primary # _____
HRI # _____

Page 2 of 7

*NRHP Status Code 3S

*Resource Name or # 655 Dolores Street

- B1. Historic name: Second Church Christ Scientist
- B2. Common name: Second Church Christ Scientist
- B3. Original Use: religious building
- B4. Present use: religious building
- *B5. Architectural Style: Beaux Arts
- *B6. Construction History:

"The Church of Christ, Scientist was founded in 1879 when fifteen students of Mary Baker Eddy voted to "organize a church designed to commemorate the word and works of our Master, which should reinstate primitive Christianity and its lost element of healing." The church comprises the Mother Church in Boston together with branch churches and societies all over the world. The optimistic faith holds that sickness, sin, and death, as aspects of falsehood, can be overcome by understanding spiritual truth. Members are expected to study the Scriptures and the writings of the founder, Science and Health, with Key to the Scriptures, and to live by these teachings. There are no ministers as such in the church; each congregation elects a first and second reader for a specified term of office; the weekly lesson-sermon is the same in Christian Science churches everywhere."¹

The Second Church Christ Scientist, is one of a handful of Christ Scientist Churches extant in the City. The First Church of Christ, Scientist at 1700 Franklin Street, dating from 1913, designed by Edgar A. Matthews, in the Romanesque style is also a Unreinforced Masonry Building (UMB) and has also been considered to be a threatened cultural resource. During the 1940's and 1950's, there were up to twelve Christ Scientist churches in the City, many of these have ceased to exist due to a lack of congetations.

B7. Moved? No Yes Unknown Date: _____ Original Location: _____

*B8. Related Features:

B9a. Architect: William H. Crim

b. Builder:

*B10. Significance: Theme: Religious Architecture

Area: San Francisco

Period of Significance 1916 Property Type: religious building Applicable Criteria C


B11. Additional Resource Attributes: HP-16

*B12. References: See Continuation Sheet

B13. Remarks: See Continuation Sheet

*B14. Evaluator: Vincent Marsh

*Date of Evaluation: September 13, 2006



¹ Hendricks, Ruth Willard and Carol Green Wilson, Sacred Places of San Francisco, Novato, CA. Presidio Press, 1985, pg. 152.

William H. Crim, Architect

Master Architect, was born in San Francisco in 1879, he was a member of the first graduating class of the Lick High School of San Francisco. His professional training was at the CA School of Mechanical Arts. For eight years he practiced in the offices of Percy and Hamilton, architects. "Later he was employed by Willis Polk, with whom he remained until 1906. In 1910, Mr. Crim took over his partner's interest and continued to carry on his profession alone until his death on July 12, 1930, at which time he was one of the leading architects of the Pacific Coast. Among the many handsome buildings for which he drew the plans are the Second Christian Science Church in the Mission, San Francisco; the Sixth Christain Science Church, at Clay and Divisadero Streets... Mr. Crim was a member of the leading organizations of his profession and belonged also to a number of fraternal and civic bodies. He was always a public-spirited citizen and was identified with a number of movements for the betterment and beautification of his native city"² Mr. Crim was a member of the Commercial and Bohemian and Architectural Clubs of San Francisco and belonged to the S.F. Chapter of the A.I.A.. Other extant works by William Crim include the Pacific Coast Envelope Building located at the southwest corner of Second and Harrison Streets and the Tadich Grill at 240-242 California Street under the firm of Crim and Scott (Earl B.). Other building on William Crim's job list include the Mission Savings Bank Building, the Temple Building in Los Angeles, and a number of residential commissions throughout the City.

Second Church Christ Scientist contains significant and intact interior spaces. Interior features and finishes include a centralized, monumental dome with celestory windows, oak pews which are arranged in a sem-circular fashion and oak paneling (painted over), marble flooring in a spacious lobby, original lighting fixtures, sixteen windows symmetrically arranged and a significant organ which was moved to the church from the Panama Pacific Exposition of 1915. All of these elements contribute greatly to the elegant, classical design of the the interior.

This building retains a high degree integrity of location, design, materials, workmanship, feeling and association. This building appears to be eligible for the National Register under Criterion C, for its design. It is an excellent example of the Beaux Arts style in San Francisco that conforms to a steeply sloping Dolores Street site. The symmetrical massing, window treatments, use of marble, a majestic dome, stucco finishes, monumental wooden doors, the siting and location all contribute to it's architectural significance. The period of significance is 1916, the date of construction. In addition to possessing individual significance under Criterion C, this building also appears to be a contributor to a potential local, state or national Mission Dolores Historic District. By virtue of its apparent National Register eligibility, this property also appears to be a historical resource for the purposes of CEQA, per CEQA guidelines 15064.5, as it meet the California Register criteria as outlined in PRC 5024.1.

Context for the building

"The Mission location was wisely chosen by the founding padres from their church [Mission Dolores] and settlement as the sunniest and most sheltered area of the northern peninsula. It was the recreation area of the early city, gradually becoming built up in the 1870's and 80's, with very substantial houses, most of which have either disappeared or been divided into apartments. Mission Street is the commercial spine of very self-contained and heavily Spanish speaking area. The handsomest part of this area is along the palm-lined Dolores, with the Mission itself, Dolores Park, and a fair number of surviving Victorian mansions. The hills to the west were built up around the turn of the century with smaller working class speculative houses, which now form the most interesting supply of older middle-income housing in the city, and so have become something of a melting pot, combining older blue-collar and younger middle-class families of all races."³

² Hunt, Rockwell D. California and Californians, [Architects], Chicago, Illinois, Lewis Publishing Company, 1932, pg 355.

³ Gebhard, David, et. al. The Guide to Architecture in San Francisco and Northern California, Salt Lake City: Gibbs-Smith, Publishers, 1985, Revised Edition, pgs. 104-105.

**State of California — The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
CONTINUATION SHEET**

Primary # _____
HRI _____
Trinomial _____

Page 4 of 7

Resource Name or # 655 Dolores Street

*Recorded by: Vincent Marsh, Preservation Consultant *Date 09/13/06 Continuation Update

B14: References:

Assessor's Records and Building Permit History

Crocker-Langley, San Francisco City Directories, 1914-15 forward

Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, 1915 forward

San Francisco Block Books, 1915 forward

Here Today, page 262.

Unreinforced Masonry Building Survey, October 17, 1989.

Alexander, James Beach and James Lee Heig, San Francisco, Building the Dream City. San Francisco, Scottwell Associates, Publishers, 2002.

City and County of San Francisco, Planning Department. San Francisco Preservation Bulletin No. 18. Residential and Commercial Architectural Periods and Styles in San Francisco.

Cole, Thomas. A Short History of San Francisco. San Francisco, CA. Don't Call it Frisco Press, 1981 Cole, Thomas. A Short History of San Francisco. San Francisco: Don't Call It Frisco Press, 1981.

Davis, Ellis A., The Commercial Encyclopedia of the Pacific Southwest, California, Nevada, Utah and Arizona, Berkeley, CA: Davis Company, Editor and Publisher, 1911.

Delehanty, Randolph. In the Victorian Style. San Francisco. Chronicle Books, 1991.

Examiner Newclippings, "Scientist Church has its First Service in New Building", August 19, 1917.

Gebhard, David, et. al., The Guide to Architecture in San Francisco and Northern California. Salt Lake City: Gibbs-Smith Publisher, 1985 Revised Edition.

Hanson, Gladys. San Francisco Almanac, Everything You Always Wanted to Know About Everyone's Favorite City. San Francisco: Chronicle Books, 1995.

Hendricks, Ruth and Carol Green Wilson, Sacred Places of San Francisco, Presidio Press, Novato, CA, 1985.

Heritage News, "Seismic Requirements Threatening More Churches," Spring, 2006, Volume XXXIV, No. 2.

Hunt, Rockwell D., California and Californians, "William Henry Crim, Jr." Architect, Chicago: Lewis Publishing Company, 1932. pg. 355.

Jennings, Frederick. "Some Recent Work of William H. Crim, Jr." The Architect and Engineer, January, 1919. pgs. 57-66.

Mc Alester, Virginia and Lee. A Field Guide to America's Historic Neighborhoods and Museum Houses, the Western States. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1998.

Mc Alester, Virginia and Lee. A Field Guide to America's Houses. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1986.

Mission Housing Development Corporation. A Plan for the Inner Mission, Book 1, March, 1974

Olmsted, Roger and T.H. Watkins, Here Today, San Francisco: Chronicle Books, 1968.

Richards, Rand. Historic San Francisco, A Concise History and Guide. San Francisco, CA. Heritage House Publishers, 1991.

Shepard, Susan. In the Neighborhoods, A Guide to the Joys and Discoveries of San Francisco's Neighborhoods. San Francisco: Chronicle Books, 1981.

United States Department of the Interior. National Register of Historic Places. National Register Bulletin No. 15: How to Apply the National Register Criteria for Evaluation.

United States Department of the Interior. National Register of Historic Places. National Register Bulletin No. 16A: How to Complete the National Register Form, 1991.

United States Department of the Interior. National Register of Historic Places. National Register Bulletin No. 39: Researching a Historic Property. 1991.

Woodbridge, Sally B. and John M. Woodbidge, FAIA. Architecture, San Francisco, the Guide. San Francisco: 101 Productions, 1982.


State of California — The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
CONTINUATION SHEET

Primary # _____
HRI _____
Trinomial _____


Page 6 of 7

Resource Name or # 655 Dolores Street

*Recorded by: Vincent Marsh, Preservation Consultant *Date 09/11/06 Continuation Update



Second of Church Christ, Scientist, 655 Dolores Street, S.F. History Room Collection, AAB-1110, 09/27/30.



SECOND CHURCH OF CHRIST, SCIENTIST, SAN FRANCISCO
WM. H. CRIM, JR., ARCHITECT

Rendering of the Second of Church Christ, Scientist, 655 Dolores Street, William H. Crim, Jr., Architect.,
The Architect and Engineer, Circa 1916.

**San Francisco Planning Department Historic Resource Survey (Mission District)
Property Summary Report**

Street Address: 651 DOLORES ST
Assessor Block/Lot: 3598/028
Resource Attribute (Primary): HP16. Religious building
Resource Attribute (Secondary):
Year Built: 1916-1917
Source(s) for Year Built: Historic Resource Evaluation (HRE) report
Architectural Style/Type: Beax Arts

Photographic Image:



California Historical Resource Status Code: 3CB
Resource Type: Individual historic resource & contributor to a historic district
Resource Eligibility: Appears eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources
Historic District: Inner Mission North Boulevards and Alleys Reconstruction

Integrity Analysis:

Retains all or most aspects of historic design, materials, and workmanship.

Statement of Significance:

This building is a contributor to the "Inner Mission North Boulevards and Alleys Reconstruction" historic district, which is significant under California Register of Historical Resources Criterion 1 (Events), because it is representative of the broad patterns of physical rebuilding and neighborhood "reconstruction" in the Inner Mission North during the period that followed the 1906 Earthquake and Fire; and Criterion 3 (Architecture/Design), because it exhibits physical designs, features, materials, and craftsmanship that embody the distinctive characteristics and high artistic expressions of architectural styles such as Classical Revival, Mission Revival, Queen Anne, Craftsman, Edwardian, and vernacular types of the period. This building is also individually significant under Criterion 3 (Architecture/Design).

Notes:

Second Church of Christ Scientist. Architect William H. Crim.



CEQA Exemption Determination

PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| Project Address | | Block/Lot(s) |
| 651 Dolores Street | | 3598172 |
| Case No. | | Permit No. |
| 2026-002408PRJ | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Addition/ Alteration | <input type="checkbox"/> Demolition (requires HRE for Category B Building) | <input type="checkbox"/> New Construction |
| Project description for Planning Department approval. Historic Landmark Designation (DES) | | |

EXEMPTION TYPE

| | |
|--|--|
| The project has been determined to be exempt under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Class 1 - Existing Facilities. (CEQA Guidelines section 15301) Interior and exterior alterations; additions under 10,000 sq. ft. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Class 3 - New Construction. (CEQA Guidelines section 15303) Up to three new single-family residences or six dwelling units in one building; commercial/office structures; utility extensions; change of use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally permitted or with a CU. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Class 32 - In-Fill Development. (CEQA Guidelines section 15332) New Construction of seven or more units or additions greater than 10,000 sq. ft. and meets the conditions described below: (a) The project is consistent with the applicable general plan designation and all applicable general plan policies as well as with applicable zoning designation and regulations. (b) The proposed development occurs within city limits on a project site of no more than 5 acres substantially surrounded by urban uses. (c) The project site has no value as habitat for endangered rare or threatened species. (d) Approval of the project would not result in any significant effects relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality. (e) The site can be adequately served by all required utilities and public services. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Other _____ Class 8 - Actions by Regulatory Agencies for Protection of the Environment (CEQA Guidelines section 15308) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Common Sense Exemption (CEQA Guidelines section 15061(b)(3)). It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility of a significant effect on the environment. |

ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING ASSESSMENT

Comments:

Planner Signature: Don Lewis

PROPERTY STATUS - HISTORIC RESOURCE

PROPERTY IS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Category A: Known Historical Resource. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Category B: Potential Historical Resource (over 45 years of age). |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Category C: Not a Historical Resource or Not Age Eligible (under 45 years of age). |

PROPOSED WORK CHECKLIST

Check all that apply to the project.

| | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Change of use and new construction. Tenant improvements not included. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Regular maintenance or repair to correct or repair deterioration, decay, or damage to building. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Garage work. A new opening that meets the <i>Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts</i> , or replacement of a garage door in an existing opening that meets the Residential Design Guidelines. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Deck, terrace construction, or fences not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Mechanical equipment installation that is not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Dormer installation that meets the requirements for exemption from public notification under <i>Zoning Administrator Bulletin No. 3: Dormer Windows</i> . |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Addition(s) not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way for 150 feet in each direction; or does not extend vertically beyond the floor level of the top story of the structure, or does not cause the removal of architectural significant roofing features. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Façade or storefront alterations that do not remove, alter, or obscure character -defining features. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Restoration based upon documented evidence of a building's historic condition, such as historic photographs, plans, physical evidence, or similar buildings. |
| Note: Project Planner must check box below before proceeding. | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Project is not listed. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Project involves scope of work listed above. |

ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW

Check all that apply to the project.

| | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <p>Reclassification of property status. (<i>Attach HRRER Part I relevant analysis; requires Principal Preservation Planner approval</i>)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Reclassify to Category A</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> Reclassify to Category C</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> Lacks Historic Integrity</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> Lacks Historic Significance</p> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Project involves a known historical resource (CEQA Category A) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Project does not substantially impact character-defining features of a historic resource (see Comments) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Project is compatible, yet differentiated, with a historic resource. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Project consistent with the Secretary of the Interior Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties |
| Note: If ANY box above is checked, a Preservation Planner MUST sign below. | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Project can proceed with EXEMPTION REVIEW. The project has been reviewed by the Preservation Planner and can proceed with exemption review. |
| <p>Comments by Preservation Planner: landmark designation, no physical changes</p> | |
| <p>Preservation Planner Signature: Alex Westhoff</p> | |

EXEMPTION DETERMINATION

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <p>No further environmental review is required. The project is exempt under CEQA. There are no unusual circumstances that would result in a reasonable possibility of a significant effect.</p> | |
| | <p>Project Approval Action: Board of Supervisors approval of landmark designation</p> | <p>Signature: Alex Westhoff 05/01/2026</p> |
| | <p>Supporting documents are available for review on the San Francisco Property Information Map, which can be accessed at https://sfplanninggis.org/pim/. Individual files can be viewed by clicking on the Planning Applications link, clicking the "More Details" link under the project's environmental record number (ENV) and then clicking on the "Related Documents" link.</p> <p>Once signed and dated, this document constitutes an exemption pursuant to CEQA Guidelines and chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code. Per chapter 31, an appeal of an exemption determination to the Board of Supervisors shall be filed within 30 days after the approval action occurs at a noticed public hearing, or within 30 days after posting on the planning department's website (https://sfplanning.org/resource/ceqa-exemptions) a written decision or written notice of the approval action, if the approval is not made at a noticed public hearing.</p> | |