File No	180235	Committee Item No	5
		Board Item No	

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST					
Committee:	Budget & Finance Committee	Date_	May 10, 2018.		
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Date			
Cmte Boar	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analyst Re Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Letter a MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Public Correspondence		oort		
OTHER	(Use back side if additional space	is needed	J)		
	by: <u>Linda Wong</u> Date by: <u>Linda Wong</u> Date		ay 4,2018		

[California Constitution Appropriations Limit - FY2017-2018 - \$3,489,975,077]

Resolution establishing the appropriations limit of \$3,489,975,077 for FY2017-2018, pursuant to California Constitution, Article XIII B.

WHEREAS, Article XIII B of the California Constitution provides that the annual appropriations of the City and County of San Francisco which are subject to said Article may not exceed the Appropriations Limit for the prior year, with adjustments as provided in said Article XIII B; and

WHEREAS, The California Government Code, Section 7901, defines the terms, and Section 7902(b) sets forth the equations to be used to determine the City and County of San Francisco's annual Appropriations Limit, according to the following formula:

(b) "...the appropriations limit of the state and each local jurisdiction shall equal the appropriations limit for the prior fiscal year multiplied by the product of the change in cost of living, as defined in paragraph (2) of subdivision (e) of Section 8 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution, and the change in population of the local jurisdiction for the calendar year preceding the beginning of the fiscal year for which the appropriations limit is to be determined, and adjusted for other changes required or permitted by Article XIII B of the California Constitution;" and

WHEREAS, Article XIII B, Section 8(e)(2) of the California Constitution authorizes the calculation of the cost of living, either the use of the percentage change in California percapita personal income from the preceding year, or the use of the percentage change in the local assessment roll from the preceding year for the jurisdiction due to the addition of local non-residential new construction; and

WHEREAS, The change in California per-capita personal income in FY2016-2017 was 3.69%, while the percentage change in the local assessment roll from 2016 due to the addition of local non-residential new construction was 0.89%; and

WHEREAS, The percentage change in population during calendar year 2016 for the City and County of San Francisco according to the California Department of Finance was 1.08%; and

WHEREAS, Article XIII B, Section 4 of the California Constitution authorizes voters to approve an increase in the Appropriations Limit for up to four years; and

WHEREAS, Voters approved two measures, the Soda and Sugary Sweetened
Beverages Tax (Proposition V-November 2016) and the increase in the Real Estate Transfer
Tax (Proposition W-November 2016), that increased the Appropriations Limit by the
aggregate sum collected by the levy of these taxes; and

WHEREAS, The aggregate sum collected by the levy of these taxes through FY2017-2018 is projected to be \$67,588,340; and

WHEREAS, Article XIII B, Sections 8(h) and 10.5 of the California Constitution establish that the Base Appropriations Limit for a local government is equal to the appropriations subject to limitation in FY1986-1987; and

WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco improperly excluded health and dental fringe benefits in its calculation of the Base Appropriations Limit; and

WHEREAS, The Appropriations Limit for FY2016-2017 would have been \$3,265,329,234 with the correct Base Appropriations Limit; and

WHEREAS, The resulting calculation establishing the City and County of San Francisco's FY2017-2018 Appropriations Limit is:

 $3,265,329,234 \times 1.0369 \times 1.0108 + 67,588,340 = 3,489,975,077$; and

WHEREAS, This matter has been considered at a regularly scheduled meeting of the Board of Supervisors for the City and County of San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, The documentation used to determine the Appropriations Limit for the City and County of San Francisco for FY2017-2018 was available for public inspection in the Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors for at least 15 days prior to said regularly scheduled meeting; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the City and County of San Francisco elects to use the percentage change in California per capita personal income and elects to use the percent change in population within its jurisdiction from the previous year for the purpose of computation of its Appropriations Limit pursuant to Article XIII B of the California Constitution for FY2017-2018; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the net appropriations limit for FY 2017-2018 is established at \$3,489,975,077.

Recommended:

Ben Rosenfield

Controller

Item 5	Department: Controller's Office (Controller)
File 18-0235	bepartment: controller's office (controller)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Legislative Objectives

• The proposed resolution would establish the City's FY 2017-18 appropriations limit at \$3,489,975,077, as calculated by the Controller.

Key Points

- The California Constitution places annual limits on the appropriations of tax proceeds made by the State, school districts, and local governments in California. The annual appropriations limit is based on the appropriations limit for the preceding fiscal year and adjusted for (1) the change in population, and (2) the change in the cost of living. There are two definitions that local governments may use to calculate the cost of living adjustment.
- In FY 2003-04, the City, in conjunction with an audit, stopped excluding health and dental benefits from the appropriations limit for current and future appropriations calculations. At that time, the base year appropriation limit was not recalculated to reflect the treatment of health and dental benefits. The Controller has now recalculated the base year appropriation limit (FY 1986-87) to include health and dental benefits. The Controller found that the adjustment was equal to \$67,588,340.

Fiscal Impact

- The appropriations limit does not apply to tax proceeds appropriated for: (a) debt service, (b) federal mandates for Social Security and Medicare, (c) qualified capital outlays, and (d) other federal mandates. Consequently, the Controller excluded \$578,498,670 from the City's total FY 2017-18 tax proceeds of \$4,059,193,444, resulting in net tax proceeds subject to the appropriations limit of \$3,480,694,774.
- The City's FY 2017-18 appropriation limit, as calculated by the Controller, is \$3,489,975,077. The FY 2017-18 net tax proceeds of \$3,480,694,774 are \$9,280,304 less than the FY 2017-18 appropriation limit of \$3,489,975,077.

Policy Consideration

• For the FY 2017-18 appropriations limit, the Controller elected to use the percentage change in per-capita personal income from the preceding year to calculate the cost of living adjustment. Had the Controller elected to use the percentage change in the local assessment roll from the preceding year, the appropriations limit would have been calculated at \$3,397,558,423.

Recommendation

Approve the proposed resolution.

MANDATE STATEMENT

California Constitution Article XIIIB states that each local government must set an annual appropriations limit as calculated using the preceding year's appropriations limit adjusted for (1) the change in population, and (2) the change in the cost of living.

BACKGROUND

On November 6, 1979, California voters approved Proposition 4, known as the Gann Initiative, which added Article XIIIB to the California Constitution. Article XIIIB (later amended by State Proposition 111, as approved by the voters in June of 1990) places annual limits on the appropriations of tax proceeds made by the State, school districts, and local governments in California. The annual appropriations limit is based on the appropriations limit for the preceding fiscal year and adjusted for (1) the change in population, and (2) the change in the cost of living.

Per Article XIIIB Section 9 and California Government Code Section 7901, the appropriations limit does not apply to any tax proceeds appropriated for (a) debt service, (b) federal mandates for Social Security and Medicare, (c) qualified capital outlays, and (d) other federal mandates.

California Government Code Section 7901(b) defines the change in population as the population growth for the calendar year preceding the beginning of the fiscal year for which the appropriations limit is to be determined. According to the California Department of Finance, in calendar year 2016, San Francisco's population growth was 1.08 percent.

California Constitution Article XIIIB Section 8(e)2 allows the local government to use one of the two following definitions to calculate the cost of living adjustment:

Definition 1: The percentage change in California per-capita personal income from the preceding year, estimated to be 3.69 percent in FY 2016-17, or

Definition 2: The percentage change for the local jurisdiction in the assessment roll from the preceding year due to non-residential new construction, estimated to be 0.89 percent in FY 2016-17.

DETAILS OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION

The proposed resolution would establish the City's FY 2017-18 appropriations limit at \$3,489,975,077, as calculated by the Controller. The appropriations limit for FY 2017-18 is based on the amount of the FY 2016-17 appropriations limit and adjusted to reflect increases in (1) the population and (2) cost of living (calculated using the increase in per-capita personal income).

FISCAL IMPACT

Readjustment of Appropriations Limit for FY 2016-17

In FY 2003-04, the City, in conjunction with an audit, stopped excluding health and dental benefits from the appropriations limit for current and future appropriations calculations. However, at that time, the base year appropriation limit was not recalculated to reflect the treatment of health and dental benefits. The Controller has now recalculated the base year appropriation limit (FY 1986-87¹) to include health and dental benefits. According to the Controller's office, a recalculation has precedent in a 1992 court case that found that the City had to include retirement in appropriation limit calculations. The new FY 2016-17 appropriations limit used for calculations is \$3,265,329,234, which is \$79,861,019 more than the legally binding limit of \$3,185,468,215 approved by the Board of Supervisors in April 2017.

Fiscal Impact

As mentioned above, the appropriations limit does not apply to tax proceeds appropriated for: (a) debt service, (b) federal mandates for Social Security and Medicare, (c) qualified capital outlays, and (d) other federal mandates. Consequently, the Controller excluded \$578,498,670 from the City's total FY 2017-18 tax proceeds of \$4,059,193,444, as shown in Table 1 below, resulting in net tax proceeds subject to the appropriations limit of \$3,480,694,774.

Table 1: Estimated Tax Proceeds Subject to the Proposed Appropriations Limit

FY 2017-18 Net Tax Proceeds Subject to Appropriations Limit	\$3,480,694,774
Subtotal Exclusions	(578,498,670)
(d) Other Federal Mandates	(37,362,599)
(c) Qualified Capital Outlays	(152,995,820)
(b) Federal Mandate for Social Security/Medicare	(84,954,939)
(a) Debt Service	(303,185,312)
Exclusions	
FY 2017-18 Estimated Total Tax Proceeds*	\$4,059,193,444

^{*}Includes property taxes, business taxes, other local taxes, rents & concessions, interest, and state grants.

Section 4 of Article XIIIB provides that the appropriations limit imposed may be changed by the voters for up to four years. In November 2016, the voters approved two measures, the Soda and Sugary Sweetened Beverages Tax (Proposition V) and the Real Estate Transfer Tax (Proposition W) that increased the appropriations limit by the aggregate sum collected from these taxes. The controller found that the adjustment was equal to \$67,588,340. The temporary increase in the appropriations limit will be in effect through FY 2019-20, after which the limit will return to what it would have been absent the temporary increase.

¹ In June 1990, the voters amended Article XIIIB beginning for fiscal years on or after July 1, 1990, to state that the appropriations limit of each entity of government shall be the appropriations limit for the FY 1986–87.

As shown on Table 2 below, the City's FY 2017-18 appropriation limit, as calculated by the Controller, is \$3,489,975,077. The FY 2017-18 net tax proceeds of \$3,480,694,774 are \$9,280,304 less than the FY 2017-18 appropriation limit of \$3,489,975,077.

Table 2: Proposed FY 2017-18 Appropriations Limit

FY 2016-17 Appropriations Limit ^a	\$3,265,329,234		
Adjustment Factors ^b			
Increase in Population	1.08%		
Increase in Per-Capita Personal Income	<u>3.69%</u>		
Subtotal	\$3,422,386,737		
Voter approved limit changes	67,588,340		
FY 2017-18 Appropriations Limit ^a Source: Controller's Office	\$3,489,975,077		

^a This is the readjusted appropriations limit based on a recalculation of the base year FY 1986-87 to include health and dental benefits.

POLICY CONSIDERATION

As noted above, the Controller has discretion to calculate the cost of living adjustment factor using one of two following definitions:

Definition 1: The percentage change in California per-capita personal income from the preceding year, estimated to be 3.96 percent in FY 2016-17, or

Definition 2: The percentage change for the local jurisdiction in the assessment roll from the preceding year due to local non-residential new construction, estimated to be 0.89 percent in FY 2016-17.

Table 3 below shows the FY 2017-18 appropriations limit using both definitions.

^b The annual appropriations limit is a formula set by the California Constitution. The Controller calculated the FY 2017-18 appropriations limit based on the increase in the City's population and the increase in per-capita personal income as follows: \$3,265,329,234 x 1.0108 x 1.0369 equals \$3,422,386,737. This added to the voter approved limit changes of \$67,588,340 equals \$3,489,975,077.

Table 3: The FY 2016-17 Appropriations Limit by Definition

•	Definition 1	Definition 2
	Per-Capita Personal Income	Local Assessment Roll from Non- Residential New Construction
FY 2016-17 Appropriations Limit	\$3,265,329,234	\$3,265,329,234
Adjustment Factors		
Increase in Population	1.08%	1.08%
Increase in Per-Capita Personal Income	3.69%	
Increase in Local Assessment Roll	<u>-</u> .	0.89%
Subtotal	\$3,422,386,737	\$3,329,970,083
Voter Approved	67,588,340	67,588,340
FY 2016-17 Appropriations Limit	\$3,489,975,077	\$3,397,558,423

For the FY 2017-18 appropriations limit, the Controller elected to use the percentage change in per-capita personal income from the preceding year to calculate the cost of living adjustment, consequently calculating the appropriations limit at \$3,489,975,077, as shown in Table 3 above. Had the Controller elected to use the percentage change in the local assessment roll from the preceding year, the appropriations limit, as shown in Table 3 above, would have been calculated at \$3,397,558,423, which is (a) \$92,416,654 less than the proposed appropriations limit of \$3,489,975,077 and (b) \$83,136,351 more than the Controller's estimate of net tax proceeds subject to the appropriations limit of \$3,480,694,774, as shown in Table 1 above.

RECOMMENDATION

Approve the proposed resolution.



OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Ben Rosenfield Controller Todd Rydstrom Deputy Controller

March 6, 2018

Mayor Mark E. Farrell City and County of San Francisco City Hall, Room 200 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Appropriations Limit for Fiscal Year 2017-18

Dear Mayor Farrell and Board Members:

In accordance with Article XIII B of the State Constitution, attached is the resolution establishing the City and County's annual appropriation limit for fiscal year 2017-18. We estimate City and County appropriations are approximately \$10 million below the state-mandated appropriation limit.

Background

Article XIII B of the State Constitution provides that annual appropriations of the City and County of San Francisco that are funded from "Proceeds of Taxes" may not exceed the City and County's appropriation limit. This limit is equal to the prior year's limit adjusted for changes in population and cost of living.

Our computation of proceeds of taxes is in accordance with California Government Code Section 7900, and conforms to the "Proposition 4, Article XIII B, California Constitution, Procedural Guidelines" prepared by the County Accounting Standards and Procedures Committee of the State Controller's Office (See Exhibit A).

Annual Appropriations Limit Adjustments

Each year the City and County of San Francisco adjusts its appropriation limit based upon two factors: population growth and the cost of living as determined by California Government Code. Population growth is determined by using the change in San Francisco City and County population. According to the California Department of Finance, between January 1, 2016 and January 1, 2017,

San Francisco City and County population growth was 1.06%. This growth factor is being used in the calculation. Cost of living is determined by using either the change in California per capita personal income or the increase in the local assessment roll due to the addition of non-residential new construction. The change in per capita income for fiscal year 2016-17 is 3.69%, while the local assessment growth in 2016 due to non-residential new construction is 0.89%. The fiscal year 2016-17 increase in California per capita personal income is used in the calculation (See Exhibit B).

Adjustments to Proceeds of Taxes

There are certain appropriations that are excluded from proceeds of taxes, as allowed by Article XIII B. The following exclusions are factored into our calculation of Net Proceeds of Taxes:

- (1) \$303.2 million is excluded as bonded indebtedness (Article XIIIB, Section 9(a));
- (2) \$85.0 million is excluded as the federal mandate for Social Security and Medicare payroll taxes (Article XIIIB, Section 9(b)); and,
- (3) \$153.0 million is excluded under the determination of "qualified capital outlay" (Article XIIIB Section 9(e))
- (4) \$37.4 million is excluded as other federal mandates (Article XIIIB, Section 9(b)).

Adjustments to the Appropriation Limit

Article XIII B allows voters to approve an increase to the appropriations limit for up to four years. In November 2016, voters approved two measures, the Soda and Sugary Sweetened Beverages Tax and the increase in the Real Estate Transfer Tax, that increased the appropriations limit by the aggregate sum collected by the levy of these taxes. This adjustment raises the 2017-18 appropriations limit by \$67.6 million.

Article XIII B specifies that the current appropriations limit is equal to the appropriations subject to limitation in a base fiscal year (1986-87), increased annually by the population and cost of living factors. In its calculation of appropriations subject to limitation in the base year, the City excluded health benefits, but these benefits should have been included as appropriations subject to limitation. When the base year is corrected and then inflated by the population and cost of living factors, the 2017-18 appropriations limit is \$85.4 million higher than it would have been absent the correction.

City and County Appropriations are under the Limit

Our appropriation limit for FY 2017-18 is \$3,489,975,077. We estimate that our appropriations subject to limitation will be \$3,480,694,774. Thus, the Controller projects that the City and County will be \$9,280,304 below its limit in the current fiscal year.

It is the Controller's responsibility to monitor this appropriation limit each year for compliance. If the sum of adjusted appropriations for two consecutive fiscal years exceeds the sum of the

appropriation limits for those two fiscal years, the excess must be returned to the taxpayers in the two subsequent fiscal years.

Sincerely

Ben Rosenfield Controller

Attachments

cc:

Melissa Whitehouse, Mayor's Budget Office

Dennis Herrerra, City Attorney

Buck Delventhal, Deputy City Attorney Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board Severin Campbell, Budget Analyst

California Constitution Article XIIIB Appropriation Limit Fiscal Year 2017-18 Final Budget Exhibit A - Appropriations Funded by Proceeds of Taxes Subject to Limit

•	GENERAL FUND	OTHER GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS	MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATIO N FUND	TOTAL
Dranarty Taylor	1 557 000 000	490 722 044		2 027 722 044
Property Taxes Business Taxes	1,557,000,000	480,723,914	-	2,037,723,914 752,720,000
Other Local Taxes	750,820,000 1,112,570,000	1,900,000	-	1,112,570,000
Interest (1)	13,612,746	418,974	-	14,031,721
Rents & Concessions (1)	158,620	410,974	- 35,219,189	35,377,809
Grants - State (2) (3) (4)	105,430,000	1,340,000	55,219,109	106,770,000
TOTAL PROCEEDS OF TAXES	3,539,591,366	\$484,382,888	\$35,219,189	4,059,193,444
LESS: Indebtedness funded from procee (Article XIIIB Section 9(a) exclu Federal Mandate for Social Secur (Article XIIIB Section 9(b) exclu	sion) ity/Medicare			(303,185,312) (84,954,939)
Qualified Capital Outlay (Article XIIIB Section 9(d) exclu	sion)			(152,995,820)
Other Federal Mandates (Article XIIIB Section 9(b) exclu	sion)			(37,362,599)
NET PROCEEDS OF TAXES				3,480,694,774
Adjusted Appropriations Limit 2017	-18 - Exhibit B	<u>Base Limit</u> 3,422,386,737	Voter Adjustments 67,588,340	3,489,975,077
Less: Estimated Appropriations from			(3,480,694,774)	
FY 2017-18 Appropriations Under (\$9,280,304			

Notes:

- (1) Prorated allocation based upon breakdown of proceeds of tax to non-proceeds of tax.
- (2) Excludes motor vehicle fuel and weight fees (Article XIIIB Section 9(e)).
- (3) Excludes funds from California Children and Families First Act of 1998 (Article XIIIB Sections 13).
- (4) Excludes federal and state tobacco taxes (Article XIIIB Sections 12).

California Constitution Article XIIIB Appropriation Limit Fiscal Year 2017-18 Final Budget Exhibit B - Calculation

Fiscal Year 2017-18: CA Per-Capita Personal Income change (1)				
Percentage change in the local assessment roll from the preceding year for the jurisdiction due to the addition of local nonresidential new construction. (2)				
Cost of Living Change			3.69%	
County of San Francisco (1)			1.08%	
CCSF and Surrounding Counties (1)			0.86%	
Population Percentage Change			1.08%	
Cost of Living Change converted to ratio	3.69 + 100 100	_ =	1.0369	
Population Change converted to ratio	1.08 + 100 100	_ =	1.0108	
Calculation of Appropriations Limit:				
Ratio Change	1.0369 x 1.0108	, ,	1.0481	
Appropriations Limit FY 2016-17 X Ratio Change			3,265,329,234 1.0481	
Unadjusted Limit	,		3,422,386,737	
Voter approved Limit changes:		67,588,340		
Appropriations Limit FY 2017-18			\$3,489,975,077	

⁽¹⁾ Per Capita Personal Income and Population Percentage Changes provided by the California Department of Finance.

⁽²⁾ Assessment data provided by SF Assessor's Office

California Constitution Article XIIIB Appropriation Limit Fiscal Year 2017-18 Final Budget Exhibit C - Annual Changes FY 1986-87 to FY 2017-18

Base Year Limit

Less:	s: Base Year Federal Mandate Social Security						(22,022,400)
	Social Securi	ty .					(22,922,100)
Revise	ed Base Year L	imit					778,404,715
				•			Limit (without Temporary Voter Adjustments) Use for Annual
Sched	ule of Annual (•					Adjustments
	1986-87	Base Year	·X		1.000000	=	778,404,715
	1987-88	778,404,715	Х		1.047430	=	815,324,451
	1988-89	815,324,451	Х		1.062090	=	865,947,946
	1989-90	865,947,946	X		1.068520	=	925,282,699
	1990-91	925,282,699	Х		1.060650	=	981,401,095
	1991-92	981,401,095	X		1.060350	=	1,040,628,651
	1992-93	1,040,628,651	X		1.010590	=	1,051,648,908
	1993-94	1,051,648,908	X		1.044560	=	1,098,510,047
	1994-95	1,098,510,047	X		1.018782	=	1,119,142,658
	1995-96	1,119,142,658	X		1.061651	=	1,188,139,325
	1996-97	1,188,139,325	Х		1.051410	=	1,249,221,746
	1997-98	1,249,221,746	Х		1.076426	=	1,344,694,767
	1998-99	1,344,694,767	Х		1.062538	=	1,428,789,692
	1999-2000	1,428,789,692	Х		1.060248	=	1,514,871,113
	2000-01	1,514,871,113	Х		1.062319	=	1,609,275,851
	2001-02	1,609,275,851	Х		1.095236	=	1,762,536,138
	2002-03	1,762,536,138	Х		1.020090	=	1,797,945,066
	2003-04	1,797,945,066	Х		1.031899	=	1,855,297,104
	2004-05	1,855,297,104	Х		1.041062	=	1,931,480,056
	2005-06	1,931,480,056	Х		1.061968	=	2,051,170,283
	2006-07	2,051,170,283	Х		1.048541	=	2,150,735,237
•	2007-08	2,150,735,237	х		1.056313	=	2,271,848,988
	2008-09	2,271,848,988	х		1.058648	=	2,405,087,910
	2009-10	2,405,087,910	х		1.022929	=	2,460,233,570
	2010-11	2,460,233,570	х		1.018682	=	2,506,195,850
	2011-12	2,506,195,850	х		1.035043	=	2,594,021,649
	2012-13	2,594,021,649	х		1.042577	=	2,704,467,802
	2013-14	2,704,467,802	. X		1.062553	=	2,873,640,268
	2014-15	2,873,640,268	Х		1.015432	=	2,917,987,003
	2015-16	2,917,987,003	Х	•	1.050866	=	3,066,413,447
	2016-17	3,066,413,447	Х		1.064869	=	3,265,329,234
	2017-18	3,265,329,234	Х		1.048099	=	3,422,386,737

801,326,815



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

Ben Rosenfield Controller Todd Rydstrom **Deputy Controller**

2019 MAR -8 AM 10: 4:8

March 6, 2018

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

RE:

Legislation to Establish the Appropriation Limit for Fiscal Year 2017-18,

JEHR.

Pursuant to California Constitution Article XIIIB.

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Enclosed is the above referenced resolution to set the City and County's appropriation limit for Fiscal Year 2017-18, as required by Government Code Section 7910. The necessary supporting documentation prepared by the Controller's Office is also enclosed.

This information must be posted and available for public inspection for fifteen days prior to a public hearing. Our working papers are available upon request at the Controller's Office, Room 316.

Please contact Michelle Allersma at (415) 554-4792 if you have any further questions regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

Ben Rosenfiel

Controller

Enclosures

- 1) California Spending Limit Resolution
- 2) Transmittal to Mayor and Board of Supervisors
- 3) Supporting Documents Exhibits