ΗI	e Number:(Provided by Clerk of Board of Supervisors)	•			
	<u>Grant</u>	Resolution Information Fo (Effective July 2011)	<u>orm</u>		
	rpose: Accompanies proposed Board of pend grant funds.	Supervisors resolutions auth	norizing a Department to accept and		
Th	e following describes the grant referred to	in the accompanying resol	ution:		
1.	Grant Title: Probation Specialized Supervision Program – Violence Against Women Act				
2.	Department: San Francisco Adult Probation Department				
3.	Contact Person: Wendy S. Still	Telephone: (415) 5	53-1687		
4.	Grant Approval Status (check one):				
	[x] Approved by funding agency	[] Not yet a	pproved		
5.	Amount of Grant Funding Approved or A	pplied for: \$100,000			
	Matching Funds Required: \$33,000 Source(s) of matching funds (if applicab	e):			
	Grant Source Agency: California Emerge Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicab		Cal EMA)		
to e bas	Proposed Grant Project Summary: The senhance the Domestic Violence Unit by used practices to supervise probationers we Bayview/Hunters Point district represen	tilizing a victim centered app ho reside in the Bayview/Hu	proach that is guided by evidence unters Point district of San Francisco.		
9.	9. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:				
	Start-Date: 10/01/13	End-Date: 09/30	/14		
10a	a. Amount budgeted for contractual service	es: \$0			
b	o. Will contractual services be put out to b	id? n/a			
c	c. If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the Department's Local Business Enterprise (LBE requirements? n/a				
d	i. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoin	g request for contracting ou	t? n/a		
11a	a. Does the budget include indirect costs?	[] Yes	[x] No		
	o1. If yes, how much? \$ o2. How was the amount calculated?	•			

c1. If no, why are indirect costs not included?
[] Not allowed by granting agency

ded?

[x] To maximize use of grant funds on direct services

c2. If no indirect costs are included, what would have been the indirect costs? \$24,750					
12. Any other significant g	rant requirements or comments:				
Disability Access Checklist*(Department must forward a copy of all completed Grant Information Forms to the Mayor's Office of Disability)					
13. This Grant is intended	3. This Grant is intended for activities at (check all that apply):				
[] Existing Site(s) [] Rehabilitated Site(s) [] New Site(s)	[] Existing Structure(s) [] Rehabilitated Structure(s) [] New Structure(s)	[] Existing Program(s) or Service(s) [] New Program(s) or Service(s)			
14. The Departmental ADA Coordinator or the Mayor's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal and concluded that the project as proposed will be in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all other Federal, State and local disability rights laws and regulations and will allow the full inclusion of persons with disabilities. These requirements include, but are not limited to:					
1. Having staff trained in	1. Having staff trained in how to provide reasonable modifications in policies, practices and procedures;				
2. Having auxiliary aids	2. Having auxiliary aids and services available in a timely manner in order to ensure communication access				
3. Ensuring that any service areas and related facilities open to the public are architecturally accessible and have been inspected and approved by the DPW Access Compliance Officer or the Mayor's Office on Disability Compliance Officers.					
If such access would be technically infeasible, this is described in the comments section below:					
Comments:					
Departmental ADA Coordinator or Mayor's Office of Disability Reviewer:					
Kristin Kogure					
(Name)					
Senior Personnel Analyst					
(Title)	1 ,	// -/ W /			
Date Reviewed: $\frac{2}{11}$	14	Just Logure			
		(Signature Required)			
Department Head or Designee Approval of Grant Information Form:					
Wendy S. Still					
(Name)					
Chief Adult Probation	on Officer	10 1/h			
(Title) Data Pavioused: Allel 14					
Date Reviewed:	1111	(Signature Required)			
		~			

[] Other (please explain):