File No.	220184	Committee Item No	3
		Board Item No. 17	

### **COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

	MOENDAN MONET CONTENTS	3 213 1
Committee:	Rules Committee	Date April 4, 2022
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Date April 12, 2022
Cmte Boar		
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analyst Report Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Letter and Memorandum of Understanding (MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 - Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Form 700 Information/Vacancies (Boards/Comm Public Correspondence	/or Report J)
OTHER	(Use back side if additional space is r	needed)
Completed b	by: Victor Young	Date Mar 31, 2022

# PREPARED IN COMMITTEE 4/4/2022 M

FILE NO. 220184

MOTION NO.

1	[Appointments, Local Homeless Coordinating Board Mary Kate Bacalao and James Loyce Jr.]
2	
3	Motion appointing Mary Kate Bacalao, term ending October 21, 2022, and James Loyce
4	Jr., term ending October 21, 2023, to the Local Homeless Coordinating Board.
5	
6	MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does
7	hereby appoint the hereinafter designated persons to serve as members of the Local
8	Homeless Coordinating Board, pursuant to the provisions of Resolution Nos. 827-97 and 926-
9	99, for the terms specified:
10	Mary Kate Bacalao, seat 8, succeeding Erick Brown, term expired, must represent one
11	or more of the following homeless subpopulations: families with children; single adults;
12	veterans; the chronically homeless; unaccompanied youth; persons with HIV/AIDS; persons
13	with substance use disorders; the seriously mentally ill; and victims of domestic violence,
14	dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking, for the unexpired portion of a four-year term
15	ending October 21, 2022;
16	James Loyce Jr., seat 9, succeeding themself, term expired, must be appointed by the
17	City Controller, confirmed by the Board of Supervisors, and represent one or more of the
18	following homeless subpopulations: families with children; single adults; veterans; the
19	chronically homeless; unaccompanied youth; persons with HIV/AIDS; persons with substance
20	use disorders; the seriously mentally ill; and victims of domestic violence, dating violence,
21	sexual assault, and stalking, for the unexpired portion of a four-year term ending October 21,
22	2023.
23	
24	
25	



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

Name of Board/Commission/Committee/Task Force:	Local Homeless Coordinating Board			
Seat # (Required - see Vacancy Notice for qualificati	One). 8			
Full Name: Mary Kate Bacalao	ons)			
Full Name:	94131			
	Zip Code: 94131			
145 044 0504	Occupation: Policy Director			
Work Phone: 415-644-0504	<sub>Employer:</sub> Compass Family Services			
Work Phone: 415-644-0504 Business Address: 37 Grove Street	Zip Code: 94102			
Business Email: mkbacalao@compass-sf.org	Home Email			
	Commissions established by the Charter must consist of are 18 years of age or older (unless otherwise stated in the code pervisors may waive the residency requirement.			
Resident of San Francisco: Yes ■ No □ If N	o, place of residence:			
18 Years of Age or Older: Yes ■ No □				
Pursuant to Mayoral Order, members of boards/commperson meetings.	nissions are required to be Covid-19 vaccinated and attend in-			
Covid-19 Vaccinated: Yes ■ No □				
neighborhoods, and the diversity in ethnicity, race, ag				
neighborhoods, and the diversity in ethnicity, race, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, types of disabilities, and any other relevant demographic qualities of the City and County of San Francisco:  At Compass Family Services, we understand family homelessness as a racial equity issue and a gender equity issue. 94% of the at-risk and unhoused families we serve are families of color, and 62% of our families are headed by single women (43% by single women of color). As the policy director at Compass, I lead policy and budget advocacy on behalf of our families, representing them before key constituencies, including the Board of Supervisors and Committees, the Mayor's Office, City departments, and the LHCB.				
A 1 1777 11 11 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
Association), I lead policy and budget advo- and the unhoused people, youth, and famili	Homeless Emergency Service Providers cacy on behalf of 30 homeless service providers es they serve citywide. HESPA advocates for their ystem-wide improvements and investments.			

### Business and/or Professional Experience:

As the policy director at Compass Family Services, I develop and lead external affairs and policy strategies; I lead budget advocacy and policy efforts to prevent and end family homelessness; and I build and maintain strategic relationships and partnerships, including with a number of local coalitions. I co-chair HESPA (the Homeless Emergency Service Providers Association), a coalition of 30 agencies with deep roots in unhoused communities.

Previously, I served for three-and-a-half years as the Director of Public Funding at Larkin Street Youth Services, where I raised and maintained public funding (including Continuum of Care Program dollars) representing more than 60% of the agency's total operating budget. I also worked as a Staff Attorney at HomeBase, where I delivered technical assistance workshops and helped San Francisco and other counties with their COC Program applications.

I have been an active, vocal, and consistent participant in LHCB meetings since 2016, and I know I can add a lot of value in a leadership role, as a board member.

l started my career as a corporate attorney. I hold a J.D. from Columbia Law School and a B.A. from Columbia College, where I was elected to Phi Beta Kappa.

#### Civic Activities:

My career as leader in the movement to end homelessness runs together with my civic activities. I left my career in corporate law about eight years ago to rebuild it in the nonprofit sector, and I have spent the past six years in senior management roles at both Larkin Street Youth Services and Compass Family Services, where I have advocated for improved systems and increased resources for people experiencing homelessness and frontline nonprofit workers, as well as sustainable funding levels and better contracting policies for nonprofit organizations providing homeless services. I devote professional as well as personal time to my work, and I rarely miss opportunities for public comment before the Board of Supervisors and Committees, especially during the City budget process. I also devote professional as well as personal time in my capacity as co-chair of HESPA (the Homeless Emergency Service Providers Association).

my professional and personal time. Lalso write articles and op-ads to change the public conversation about

homelessness. My writing has a	•	ation, the San Francisco Chronicle, the San ached, for details).
Have you attended any meeting	s of the body to which you are a	applying? Yes ■ No □
	* *	duled public hearing, prior to the Board of Supervisors ceived ten (10) days prior to the scheduled public
Date: 3/9/22	_ Applicant's Signature (required	(Manually sign or type your complete name. NOTE. By typing your complete name, you are hereby consenting to use of electronic signature.)
<u>Please Note</u> : Your application will public record.	be retained for one year. Once com	pleted, this form, including all attachments, become
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:		
Appointed to Seat #:	_ Term Expires:	Date Vacated:

(3/2/2022) Page 2 of 2

### **MARY KATE BACALAO**

#### EXPERIENCE

#### Compass Family Services

September 2019-Present

Director of External Affairs and Policy

Develop and implement external affairs and policy strategies; lead budget advocacy and policy efforts to prevent and end family homelessness; and build and maintain strategic relationships and partnerships.

### **Larkin Street Youth Services**

April 2016-September 2019

Director of Public Funding

Raised and maintained public funding representing more than 60% of the agency's total budget; led budget advocacy and policy efforts to prevent and end youth homelessness; developed strategic relationships and partnerships.

#### HomeBase, The Center for Common Concerns

March 2015-April 2016

Staff Attorney, State and Local Programs

Facilitated county-level strategic planning to end homelessness; delivered technical assistance workshops to nonprofit service providers; and advised county governments on consolidated submissions for federal homeless assistance.

#### The Center for Justice & Accountability

August 2014-January 2015

Volunteer, Communications & Development

Revised and updated online content and case histories; produced outreach materials; and coordinated events.

### **Pangea Legal Services**

August 2014-December 2014

Volunteer, Legal & Policy

Provided direct legal services with Spanish-language proficiency; produced bilingual know-your-rights materials.

### Goodwin Procter LLP, Menlo Park, CA

June 2012-September 2013

Associate, Technology Companies Group

Drafted and negotiated transaction documents for financings, mergers, and acquisitions; counseled startup companies.

#### Sidley Austin LLP, New York, NY

Associate, Mergers & Acquisitions Group

January 2011-June 2012

Drafted and negotiated transaction documents; managed due diligence processes; and chaired the women's book group.

### BOARD ROLES, CERTIFICATES, MEMBERSHIPS, AND VOLUNTEER

Homeless Emergency Service Providers Association, Co-Chair, September 2018-Present State Bar of California, December 2012-Present; State Bar of New York, March 2011-Present

#### **SELECTED PUBLICATIONS**

"The Hunger Games of Homeless Services," Shelterforce, June 2021.

"The High Cost of Underspending on Homelessness," San Francisco Chronicle, August 2020.

"We Take Homelessness for Granted. The Pandemic Should Change That," The Nation, July 2020.

"Nonprofits Can't Help Homeless People When Cities Pay Them Late," CityLab, March 2019.

"The Hidden Costs of Public Contracting," Stanford Social Innovation Review, Winter 2019.

"Nonprofit Contracting: Breaking the Cycle of Public Underinvestment," Nonprofit Quarterly, October 2018.

### **EDUCATION**

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW, New York, NY

Juris Doctor, received May 2010

INSTITUT D'ÉTUDES POLITIQUES AND PARIS I (Sorbonne), Paris, FR

Certificate in Global Business Law, received May 2010; Honors: Harlan Fiske Stone Scholar

COLUMBIA COLLEGE, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, New York, NY

B.A., Philosophy, *summa cum laude*, received February 2007; Honors: Phi Beta Kappa; Dean's List, All Semesters; Dean Hawkes Prize for Outstanding Junior in the Humanities



City Hall

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

. 14.110 57 204147 001	mmission/Committee/Task Force:	Homeless Coordinating Board
		ns):
Full Name: Jenn	rey Bourne, MD	San Francisco CA 9/120
		San Francisco, CA Zip Code: 94129
221	me	Occupation: Physician
Work Phone: Sal	1801 Wedemeyer St. Ant #6	Employer: Seri
		22, San Francisco, CA Zip Code: 94129
Business Email:		Home Email:
residents of the City	and County of San Francisco who	ommissions established by the Charter must consist of are 18 years of age or older (unless otherwise stated in the code ervisors may waive the residency requirement.
	ancisco: Yes ■ No □   If No Older: Yes ■ No □	, place of residence:
Pursuant to Mayora person meetings.	al Order, members of boards/comm	issions are required to be Covid-19 vaccinated and attend in-
person meetings. Covid-19 Vaccinate	ed: Yes ■ No □	
Pursuant to Charteneighborhoods, an	ed: Yes ■ No □ er, Section 4.101(a)(1), please state	now your qualifications represent the communities of interest, e, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, types of disabilities,
Pursuant to Charteneighborhoods, an and any other relevant Volunteered at Served on CAS Volunteered in the contract of the con	ed: Yes No Correction 4.101(a)(1), please state of the diversity in ethnicity, race, against demographic qualities of the Correction Ashbury Free Medica Native American Health Clin	now your qualifications represent the communities of interest, e, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, types of disabilities, ity and County of San Francisco:  I Clinic, San Francisco c, San Francisco Advocate) Board, San Francisco eat the poor, underserved

During and the Professional Empirical
Business and/or Professional Experience:
worked as a pediatrician at an HMO in New Brubswick, NJ 1977-80
owned/ partner in Bay Area Pediatrics Medical Group 1980-95
Medical Director at JFK Hospital, NJ 1995-97
CEO of an MSO (management service organization) at JFK Hospital, NJ 1997-99
Chairman of Pediatric Dept, Queens Medical Center, Queens, NYC 1999-2000
A medical director at Blue Cross HMO, Parsippany, NJ 2000-2003
Owned Bourne Pediatrics, a four office practice in NYC, NJ
Sold practice and retired in November 2020 Began working again part-time as a pediatrician in Sept 2021
began working again part-time as a pediatrician in Sept 2021
Education:
Princeton University - BA 1968
St Louis University School of Medicine 1974
Masters in Public Administration at University of San Francisco 1993
Civic Activities:
Have you attended any meetings of the body to which you are applying? Yes □ No ■
Trave you attended any meetings of the body to which you are applying: Tes 11 No 11
An appearance before the Rules Committee may be required at a scheduled public hearing, prior to the Board of Supervisors
considering the recommended appointment. Applications should be received ten (10) days prior to the scheduled public
hearing.
Date: March 9, 2022 Applicant's Signature (required): Why Bowne MD
(Mahually sign of type your complete name.
NOTE: By typing your complete name, you are
hereby consenting to use of electronic signature.)
<u>Please Note</u> : Your application will be retained for one year. Once completed, this form, including all attachments, become
public record.
FÖR ÖFFICE USE ONLY:
Appointed to Seat #: Term Expires: Date Vacated:



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 544-5227

Name of Board/Commission/Committee/Task Force: Local Homeless Coordinating Board
Seat # (see Vacancy Notice for qualifications): Seat 7
Full Name: Raymond Gary McCoy
Zip Code: 94114
Director of Policy & Public Affairs
Work Phone: 415-525-2203 Employer: HealthRIGHT 360
Work Phone:415-525-2203Employer:HealthRIGHT 360Business Address:1563 Mission StreetZip Code:9463
Business Email: gmccoy@healthright360.org Home Email
Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(2), Boards and Commissions established by the Charter must consist of residents of the City and County of San Francisco who are 18 years of age or older (unless otherwise stated in the code authority). For certain appointments, the Board of Supervisors may waive the residency requirement.
Check All That Apply:
Resident of San Francisco: Yes ■ No □ If No, place of residence:
18 Years of Age or Older: Yes ■ No □
Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(1), please state how your qualifications represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods, and the diversity in ethnicity, race, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, types of disabilities, and any other relevant demographic qualities of the City and County of San Francisco:
I represent an organization (HealthRIGHT 360) that serves the following populations of people experiencing homelessness: Families with children; single adults; veterans; the chronically homeless; persons with HIV/AIDS; persons with substance use disorders; and victims of domestic violence.
Personally, I am a member of the LGBTQ community; a person in recovery from substance use disorder; a person living with HIV with a previous AIDS diagnosis, a person formerly experiencing homelessness, and formely incarcerated.

Business and/or Professional Experience:
I have worked in policy around people experiencing homelessness with the San Francisco Board of Supervisors (Legislative Aide to 3 former Supervisors), with the San Francisco Recreation and Park Department, with the Office of Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi, and currently with HealthRIGHT 360 as Director of Policy & Public Affairs, headquartered out of San Francisco and overseeing 12 other counties throughout California.
Civic Activities:
I have served on the following committees and councils:
California Homeless Coordinating & Financing Council - June 2017 to January 2022 Councilmember serving in Persons with Lived Experience seat
SF Human Rights Commission LGBT Advisory Committee - January 2017 to July 2018
San Francisco Shelter Monitoring Committee - December 2015 to July 2018 Vice Chair, and Chair of the Policy Subcommiteee
Have you attended any meetings of the body to which you are applying? Yes ■ No □
An appearance before the Rules Committee may be required at a scheduled public hearing, prior to the Board of Supervisors considering the recommended appointment. Applications should be received ten (10) days prior to the scheduled public hearing.
Date: 02/08/2022  Applicant's Signature (required):
<u>Please Note</u> : Your application will be retained for one year. Once completed, this form, including all attachments, become public record.  FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:
Appointed to Seat #: Term Expires: Date Vacated:

(7/9/2021) Page 2 of 2



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 544-5227

					Zip Coo	de: <u>94123</u>
				Occupation:	Homelessnes	s Advocate
Work Phone:	415 613	4728		Employer: _	RoscuoSF	
Business Add	ress:				Zip Cod	de:
Business Em	ail: mark, r	ragal Dr	escuest.or	1 Home Email	l:	
		The second secon				
Check All That Resident of S 8 Years of A Pursuant to neighborhoo	r certain appoint at Apply: an Francisco: ge or Older: Yo Charter, Section ds, and the dive	Yes No Des No Des No Des 14.101(a)(1), rsity in ethni	lif No.	place of resider ow your qualific , sex, sexual orier	nce:ations represent the ontation, gender ident	herwise stated in the confirment.  communities of interestity, types of disabilities
check All That Resident of S 8 Years of A Pursuant to neighborhood and any other	r certain appoint at Apply: an Francisco: ge or Older: Yo Charter, Section ds, and the dive	Yes No Des No De	lif No.	place of resider ow your qualific , sex, sexual ories ty and County of	nce:ations represent the ontation, gender ident	communities of intere
check All That Resident of S 8 Years of A Pursuant to neighborhood and any other	r certain appoint at Apply: an Francisco: ge or Older: You Charter, Section ds, and the diver	Yes No Des No De	lif No.	place of resider ow your qualific , sex, sexual ories ty and County of	nce:ations represent the ontation, gender ident	communities of interes

Business and/or I	Professional Experience:	<u> </u>	
Plasso !	sac the attacha	l supplement.	
12			
Civic Activities:			
Plasse S	pe The attache	ed supplement,	
ave you attended	d any meetings of the b	ody to which you are ap	pplying? Yes ☒ No □
			STOCK TO STOCK THE STOCK T
			uled public hearing, prior to the Board of Superviso eived ten (10) days prior to the scheduled public
Date:	Obb Applicar	nt's Signature (required)	: My Ms /
			(Mánually sign of týpe your complete name. NOTE: By typing your complete name, you are hereby consenting to use of electronic signature.)
ease Note: Your a	pplication will be retained	d for one year. Once comp	oleted, this form, including all attachments, become
OR OFFICE USE	ONLY:		
nnointed to Seat	#· Term Fr	vniroe:	Date Vacated:

Mark Nagel LHCB Application Supplement

Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(1), please state how your qualifications represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods, and the diversity in ethnicity, race, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, types of disabilities, and any other relevant demographic qualities of the City and County of San Francisco:

I am a co-founder of RescueSF, a citywide coalition of residents advocating for compassionate and effective solutions to homelessness in San Francisco. We believe that if San Francisco's residents can unite their voices, we can break through the barriers that are unnecessarily prolonging the suffering of unhoused people on our streets.

We are currently engaged with more than forty-five neighborhood associations and community benefit districts across all eleven electoral districts. Based on our collective experience in community activism over the past few decades, we believe that RescueSF has built a grassroots, resident coalition of unparalleled breadth and diversity. Our members live in the Bayview, the Castro, Cow Hollow, Dolores Heights, Excelsior, Hayes Valley, the Marina, the Richmond, the Sunset, and the Tenderloin, to name just a sample.

### Business and/or Professional Experience:

Since 2020, I have been a co-founder of RescueSF:

- · Built and maintained citywide coalition of residents and community benefit districts
- Advocated for the City to use interim shelter cabins as a cost-effective tool to help unhoused people leave the streets. As a result of our advocacy, the City will this month open a pilot for shelter cabins at 33 Gough
- Submitted to the City a list of potential locations for additional interim shelter sites in San Francisco
- Advocated for improved data management practices regarding homelessness and affordable housing. Currently preparing a series of resident working groups to develop recommendations for the City
- Sponsored a speakers series to educate residents about homelessness and affordable housing

Previous professional career in the financial sector:

- As an investment banker in London and San Francisco, advised clients on evaluating and executing mergers and acquisitions in the technology industry
- While working in venture capital in London, executed and managed early-stage investments in technology companies

### Additional experience:

- Co-taught a course at the Stanford Graduate School of Business on strategic performance management in the non-profit, government, and education sectors
- As a financial consultant to the Ministry of Finance of the Russian Federation, submitted policy recommendations on reforming the intergovernmental fiscal system

My educational credentials, which include a Ph.D. in political science and a J.D., will allow me to contribute social science and legal skills to the LHCB.

### Civic Activities:

- Co-founded RescueSF to educate San Francisco residents on homelessness and affordable housing and to mobilize public support for urgent action to address these crises
- Joined other community leaders in San Francisco to review development plans in the Presidio and advocate for complying with historic preservation requirements
- Served as a board member of the Marina Community Association for two years
- · Spent two years as a reading tutor at Third Baptist Church in San Francisco



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 544-5227

Name of Board/Commission/Committee/Task Force:	ocal Homeless Coordinating Board
Seat # (see Vacancy Notice for qualifications): 7	
Full Name: Ancel Romero	
	Zip Code: 94066
Home Phone: N/A	Occupation: Business Owner
Work Phone: 415-797-9086	The Ancel Romero Managment & Consulting Group Employer:
Business Address: 1580 Bryant St. (Suite A)	Daly City, CA Zip Code: 94066
Business Address: 1580 Bryant St. (Suite A)  Business Email: ancel@ancelgroup.com	Home Email: N/A
Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(2), Boards and Con	mmissions established by the Charter must consist of re 18 years of age or older (unless otherwise stated in the code
Check All That Apply:	O - 12 D - 12 O A
Resident of San Francisco: Yes □ No ■ If No,	place of residence: San Bruno, CA
18 Years of Age or Older: Yes ■ No □	
	w your qualifications represent the communities of interest, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, types of disabilities, y and County of San Francisco:
I have been serving low-income seniors, persons with for over 20 years. I recently relocated to nearby San B	disabilties and those who have experienced homelessness Bruno after residing in San Francisco for 16 years.
Addition. These were the successful closing of construassumption of management oversight at El Bethel Arn to have a leadership role in the provision of housing an	ed three affordable housing communities in the Western
Today, under the banner of my own firm, I continue to of advocacy, development and property management of	serve affordable housing stakeholders in the critical areas consulting and strategic planning.

Executive with over 20 year executive experience leading organizations that developed and managed over 20,000 affordable housing units. Extensive track record in real estate development, housing advocacy, finance and operations. Experienced mentor to over 100 non-profit industry professionals. Noted resource speaker, advocate and leader.
January 2021- present Founder and President, The Ancel Romero Management & Consulting Group -Founded the organization to focus on assisting non-profit affordable organizations with a mission for growing affordable housing
-Selected by the California Development of Aging to complete an extensive continuum of housing for older adults & adults with disabilities project
-Providing affordable housing development support and strategic planning to three established housing providers as they expand their missions toward serving low-income residents in their communities.
January 2015- January 2021 President, HumanGood Affordable Housing -Led a dedicated team of over 500 professionals in providing real estate development, operations and supportive services under the auspices of an established enterprise with over \$1B in assets generating \$80M in annual revenue.
-Initiated the redevelopment of FD Haynes Gardens in the Western Addition; worked with a team to secure City planning approval and tax credit financing, final selection of architect, general contractor and investor, implementation of significant relocation process to ensure safety and security of over 150 tenants
-Secured management contracts with El Bethel Arms and El Bethel Terrace to provide oversight of safe, sanitary and secure housing to over 350 elderly residents in the Western Addition.
-Oversaw the successful standardization and implementation of systems and practices in two massive mergers: the merger of American Baptist Homes (ABHOW) and Southern California Presbyterian Homes (DBA the be.group) into what became HumanGood Affordable Housing and then the merger between HumanGood Affordable Housing and Pennsylvania-based Presby's Inspired Life.
Civic Activities:
Board of Directors: Real Property Support Corporation
Archdiocese of San Francisco
Finance Council Member:
Archidocese of San Francisco
Public Policy Committee Member: Leading Age California (Awardee: Leading Age California 2019 Grassroots Advocate of the
Year)
Have you attended any meetings of the body to which you are applying? Yes □ No ■
An appearance before the Rules Committee may be required at a scheduled public hearing, prior to the Board of Supervisors considering the recommended appointment. Applications should be received ten (10) days prior to the scheduled public hearing.
Date: Applicant's Signature (required):
(Manually sign or type your complete name.  NOTE: By typing your complete name, you are hereby consenting to use of electronic signature.)
<u>Please Note</u> : Your application will be retained for one year. Once completed, this form, including all attachments, become public record.
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:
Appointed to Seat #: Term Expires: Date Vacated:

**Business and/or Professional Experience:** 

(7/9/2021) Page 2 of 2



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 544-5227

Name of Board/Commission/Committee/Task Force:	ocal Homeless Cordinating Board
Seat # (see Vacancy Notice for qualifications):	5, Seat 6, Seat 7, Seat 8.
Full Name: Nicholas Darnell Staton	
	Zip Code: 94102
	Occupation: Marine-Veteran / Student
Work Phone: 571-242-4979	Department of Defense / US Treasury
Business Address: Academy of Art Univ	versity Zip Code: 94102
Business Email: Nstaton225@gmail.com	
authority). For certain appointments, the Board of Super-	e 18 years of age or older (unless otherwise stated in the code
Check All That Apply:	
Resident of San Francisco: Yes ■ No □ If No, p	place of residence:
18 Years of Age or Older: Yes ■ No □	
	w your qualifications represent the communities of interest, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, types of disabilities, y and County of San Francisco:
	re not asking for gratuity but instead, pleading seen men and women of all ages plead infront
	ur day to day live's and that should not go ess, they view tose that are as helpless. In my ss are those who should be seen as the hopless.
It is within our own individual duties to provide	such hope for those in despair.
Through me, i will propose such a method tha Francisco, but also each and every single indinon-homeless.	

Business and/or Professional Experience:			
5 years in our United States Marine Corps. Serving as an Enlisted Aviation Mechanic for the MV-22B Osperey VTOL aircraft, attached to Southern California.			
1 tour of duty. Completed military service honrably as a Non-Commissioned Officer.			
Currently admitted into the -Academy of Art University- Studying Industrial Design Engineering			
With aims towards helping society, domestic and international.			
Civic Activities:			
Voting Helping others to vote			
Lending gratuity support to those in need. Buying in bulk from food vendors and dispersing it to those in need			
Admitting into clean energy Solar Power			
Food Deliveries			
Have you attended any meetings of the body to which you are applying? Yes □ No ■			
An appearance before the Rules Committee may be required at a scheduled public hearing, prior to the Board of Supervisors considering the recommended appointment. Applications should be received ten (10) days prior to the scheduled public hearing.			
Date: 20211102 Applicant's Signature (required):			
(Manually sign or type your complete name.  NOTE: By typing your complete name, you are			
hereby consenting to use of electronic signature.)			
<u>Please Note</u> : Your application will be retained for one year. Once completed, this form, including all attachments, become public record.			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:			
Appointed to Seat #: Term Expires: Date Vacated:			
Appointed to Godt //:Toffit Expired:Bate vacated:			

(7/9/2021) Page 2 of 2



# STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS COVER PAGE

Date Initial Filing Received
Filing Official Use Only

A PUBLIC DOCUMENT

Please type or print in ink.		
NAME OF FILER (LAST)	(FIRST)	(MIDDLE)
NICHOLAS	STATON	DARNELL
1. Office, Agency, or Court		
Agency Name (Do not use acronyms)		
Division, Board, Department, District, if a	pplicable	Your Position
LOCAL HOMELESS COORDIN	NATING BOARD	BOARD MEMBER SEAT 6.
► If filing for multiple positions, list below	w or on an attachment. (Do not	t use acronyms)
Agency:		Position:
2. Jurisdiction of Office (Check a	nt least one box)	
State		<ul> <li>Judge, Retired Judge, Pro Tem Judge, or Court Commissioner (Statewide Jurisdiction)</li> </ul>
Multi-County		County of
City of SAN FRANCISCO		Other
3. Type of Statement (Check at le	ast one box)	
Annual: The period covered is Jan December 31, 2020.	uary 1, <b>2020,</b> through	Leaving Office: Date Left//
-or- The period covered is December 31, <b>2020</b> .	, throug	h The period covered is January 1, <b>2020</b> , through the date of leaving office.
Assuming Office: Date assumed		<ul> <li>The period covered is/</li></ul>
Candidate: Date of Election	and office sou	ght, if different than Part 1:
4. Schedule Summary (must co	omplete) <b>&gt;</b> Total numb	per of pages including this cover page:
Schedules attached		
Schedule A-1 - Investments – so		■ Schedule C - Income, Loans, & Business Positions – schedule attached  ■ Schedule D - Income – Gifts – schedule attached
Schedule A-2 - Investments – so Schedule B - Real Property – so		Schedule E - Income - Gifts - Travel Payments - schedule attached
Schedule B Real Property Sc	medule dilached	,
-or- ☐ <i>None</i> - <i>No reportable inte</i>	erests on any schedule	
5. Verification		
MAILING ADDRESS STREET (Business or Agency Address Recommended - Pub	CITY lic Document)	STATE ZIP CODE
I have used all reasonable diligence in pr herein and in any attached schedules is		eviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained dge this is a public document.
I certify under penalty of perjury under	er the laws of the State of Cali	fornia that the foregoing is true and correct.
Date Signed 20211102		Signature
(month, day, yea	r)	(File the originally signed paper statement with your filing official.)

### SCHEDULE C Income, Loans, & Business Positions

(Other than Gifts and Travel Payments)

CALIFORNIA FORM 700 FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION
Name
NICHOLAS D STATON

1. INCOME RECEIVED	► 1. INCOME RECEIVED
NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME	NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE/ U.S TREASURY	
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)	ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)
ACADEMY OF ART UNIVERSITY	
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE	BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE
EDUCATION	
YOUR BUSINESS POSITION	YOUR BUSINESS POSITION
STUDENT	
GROSS INCOME RECEIVED No Income - Business Position Only	GROSS INCOME RECEIVED No Income - Business Position Only
\$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000	\$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
\$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000	☐ \$10,001 - \$100,000 ☐ OVER \$100,000
CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED	CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED
Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)	Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)
Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.)	Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.)
Sale of	Sale of
(Real property, car, boat, etc.)	(Real property, car, boat, etc.)
Loan repayment	Loan repayment
Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more	Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more
(Describe)	(Describe)
Other(Describe)	Other(Describe)
▶ 2. LOANS RECEIVED OR OUTSTANDING DURING THE REPORTING I	PERIOD
a retail installment or credit card transaction, made in the	l lending institution, or any indebtedness created as part of the lender's regular course of business on terms available status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's s:  INTEREST RATE  TERM (Months/Years)
ADDRESS (Puninger Address Acceptable)	%
ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)	SECURITY FOR LOAN
DUCINESS ACTIVITY IS ANY OF LENDED	None Personal residence
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER	
	Real Property
HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD	
\$500 - \$1,000	City
\$1,001 - \$10,000	Guarantor
\$10,001 - \$100,000	Guarantoi
OVER \$100,000	Other
	(Describe)
Commento	
Comments:	



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 544-5227

<sub>e:</sub> Local Homeless Coordinating Board
6, 7, 8, 9
Zip Code: 94109
Occupation: Program Director
Employer: East Oakland Community Project
Employer: Employer:
I Blvd. Zip Code: 94621
Home Email: S
Commissions established by the Charter must consist of the are 18 years of age or older (unless otherwise stated in the code upervisors may waive the residency requirement.
No, place of residence:
te how your qualifications represent the communities of interest, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, types of disabilities, e City and County of San Francisco:
from UC Santa Cruz, where my primary focus was abnormal psychology. I have a master's degree in ty of San Francisco, where I completed my thesis, necting Unconditional Cash Transfers and ices provider at Episcopal Community Services, at Oakland Community Project, I spent the last eight neless adults who have mental health challenges, and chronic health conditions, including HIV. My within San Francisco's Continuum of Care, where I and families across the racial, gender, and sexuality neless clients who represent our metropolitan region, I homeless service and administrative employees with verty.

### **Business and/or Professional Experience:**

I am currently the Program Director for East Oakland Community Project (EOCP). I primarily oversee the Crossroads shelter, which has 150 single adult beds and a family shelter with five units. There are seven departments under my supervision at EOCP: Shelter Resident Services, Case Management Services, Medical Respite, Alameda County Coordinated Entry, Supportive Services for Veterans Families (SSVF), Post Release Community Supervision (PRCS), and a Family Services rapid rehousing program. Before working at EOCP, I was the Manager of Problem Solving Services at Episcopal Community Services (ECS). Problem Solving Services at ECS is a Coordinated Entry program that provides housing resources through the Adult Access Points. At ECS, I coordinated with HSOC and attended LHCB meetings. I was also employed with Community Housing Partnership (CHP) for three years in several roles, most notably as the Senior Case Manager at San Francisco's second Navigation Center on Market and 12th Street, and I was the first support services employee to be stationed at the site. My other roles at CHP were Resident Engagement Coordinator and Portfolio Projects Associate.

#### Civic Activities:

I am a San Francisco native from Bernal Heights. I went to high school at the Jewish Community High School of the Bay in Western Addition. I am active in local and regional politics. In 2018 I served as the Deputy Field Director for the Mark Leno for Mayor campaign, and I was an intern for Supervisor Mandelman's campaign and later his administrative office. I continue to regularly volunteer and contribute to local, regional, and statewide candidate campaigns and ballot measures. Before the COVID-19 pandemic, I was involved with the Harvey Milk Club, the Alice B. Toklas Club, and the D11 Democratic Club. In 2019 I attempted to create a chartered Democratic Club in San Francisco for homeless service providers and advocates. Although the attempt was delayed, I am still passionate about promoting political activism for people who work and advocate for homeless issues in San Francisco. I also participate in local sports clubs, social events, and festivals. I am an avid cyclist, pedestrian, and public transportation advocate. I patron local businesses, and I speak passionately about political, philanthropic, and civic engagement when I have the opportunity.

and public transportation advocate. I patron local businesses, and I speak passionately about political, philanthropic, and civic engagement when I have the opportunity.

Have you attended any meetings of the body to which you are applying? Yes No 

An appearance before the Rules Committee may be required at a scheduled public hearing, prior to the Board of Supervisors considering the recommended appointment. Applications should be received ten (10) days prior to the scheduled public hearing.

Date:

10.20.2021

Applicant's Signature (required):

(Manually sign or type your complete name, you are pereby consenting to use of electronic signature.)

Please Note: Your application will be retained for one year. Once completed, this form, including all attachments, become public record.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Appointed to Seat #: \_\_\_\_\_ Term Expires: \_\_\_\_\_ Date Vacated: \_

To the Board of Supervisors Rules Committee,

Thank you for allowing me the opportunity to submit a written statement in my absence. I am deeply grateful for your consideration to fill Seat 8 on Local Homeless Coordinating Board (LHCB). I believe I will provide a unique perspective for the LHCB and the Board of Supervisors. I am embedded in the network of direct homeless services in San Francisco, having worked as a Case Manager in the Navigation Centers, and as a tenant organizer for public housing residents in the Tenderloin. I know how policies that are implemented by the Board of Supervisors and the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing directly influence nonprofit organizations, service practitioners, and our vulnerable homeless population. I have seen firsthand how theoretical approaches to combatting homelessness play out in practical settings. In the theoretical space, there is a wide difference of opinions among advocates and policymakers on how to best combat homelessness. My work has eclipsed all sides of this debate and has left me with a balanced and nuanced outlook. I support experimental and dramatic strategies to combat homelessness, and I strictly demand empathetic and person-centered policies. My goal is to create unity and a clear strategy that is ambitious and realistic. I am fighting for an approach that makes an immediate and lasting impact for practitioners and recipients of county resources. If I am appointed to the LHCB, I will serve as a bridgebuilder, placing a premium on accountability and transparency. Again, I thank you for your flexibility and generosity with allowing my application to proceed despite my inability to present in person. I wish you the best of luck in making your decision.

Sincerely,

Josh Steinberger (he, him, his)

Program Director – East Oakland Community Project



City Hall

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/ITY No. (415) 554-5227

### Application for Boards, Commissions, Committees, & Task Forces

Name of Board/Commission/Committee/Task Force:	Local Homeless Coordinating Board
Seat # (Required - see Vacancy Notice for qualification	Controllers designee
Full Name: James E. Loyce Jr.	
ruii Name.	<sub>Zip Code:</sub> 94112
	Employer: retired
cama as abovo	Employer: 10th Cd
Business Address: same as above	Zip Code:
Business Email: jamesloyce1@gmail.com	Home Email:
Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.101(a)(2), Boards and C residents of the City and County of San Francisco who authority). For certain appointments, the Board of Sup	are 18 years of age or older (unless otherwise stated in the code
Resident of San Francisco: Yes No □ If No 18 Years of Age or Older: Yes No □	o, place of residence:
person meetings.	issions are required to be Covid-19 vaccinated and attend in-
Covid-19 Vaccinated: Yes ☐ No ☐	how your qualifications represent the communities of interest,
neighborhoods, and the diversity in ethnicity, race, ag and any other relevant demographic qualities of the C	e, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, types of disabilities,
Outer Mission District. During my 50+ years	

(Applications must be submitted to BOS-Appointments@sfgov.org or to the mailing address listed above.)

Business and/or Professional Experience:
I was employed by the City and County San Francisco for over 23 years, retiring in 2007 as Deputy Director of Health. My duties included Federal and State representation, Substance Abuse, Mental Health and HIV/AIDS. After the 1989 earthquake I was appointed as the Mayor's point person on Shelters and Homelessness. During my employment at DPH, I also maintained a private practice as licensed Marriage, Family Therapist. My clients were multi-cultural families as there were limited professionals for this population. During the mid-90s I served as Executive Director/CEO of APLA, the largest non profit AIDS organization in California. I have also served as the Interim Exec Director of Black Coalition on AIDS post retriement from CCSF.
Cut. A. duit.
Civic Activities:  My civic activities include appointments to both the Juvenile Justice and Juvenile Probation Commissions, the Health Commission and the Local Homeless Coordinating Board of which I am co-chair. I currently serve on the Metta Fund Board of Directors, the San Francisco Pretrial Diversion Program Board of Directors, and the Hunter's Point Family Board of Directors. I am a past member of the Borad of Directors of Hospitatlity House, Henry Ohloff House, Black Coation on AIDS, CATS (Chemical Addiction and Treatment Services), and the SF AIDS Foundation. I was a founding member of the Black Coalition on AIDS.  I am currently a member of the Black Leadership Council of SF as well as the Brotherhood of Elders, a Black men'xs social justice organziation.
⊣ave you attended any meetings of the body to which you are applying? Yes 屬 No □
An appearance before the Rules Committee may be required at a scheduled public hearing, prior to the Board of Supervisors considering the recommended appointment. Applications should be received ten (10) days prior to the scheduled public hearing.
Date: March 17, 2022 Applicant's Signature (required): James E. Loyce Jr.
Date:Applicant's Signature (required):
Please Note: Your application will be retained for one year. Once completed, this form, including all attachments, become public record.
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:
Appointed to Seat #: Term Expires: Date Vacated:

(3/2/2022)



### CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

### OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

Ben Rosenfield Controller

**Todd Rydstrom Deputy Controller** 

March 24th, 2022

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA. 94102-4689

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Pursuant to Administration Code Article XXXI, Sections 5.31-1 et seq, I hereby appoint James E. Loyce, Jr. to Seat 9 on the Local Homeless Coordinating Board.

Sincerely,

Ben Rosenfield, Controller

### LOCAL HOMELESS COORDINATING BOARD

The below listed summary of seats, term expirations and membership information shall serve as notice of **vacancies**, **upcoming term expirations** and information on currently held seats, appointed by the Board of Supervisors. Appointments by other bodies are listed, if available. Seat numbers listed in **bold** are open for immediate appointment. However, you are able to submit applications for all seats and your application will be maintained for one year, in the event that an unexpected vacancy or opening occurs.

### **Membership and Seat Qualifications**

Seat #	Appointing Authority	Seat Holder	Term Ending	Qualification
1	Mayor	Andrea Evans	10/21/19	Must be a homeless or formerly homeless person
2	Mayor	Kim Mai-Cutler	10/21/19	Must represent organizations or projects serving one or more of the following homeless subpopulations in San Francisco: families with children; single adults; veterans;
3	Mayor	Brenda Jewett	10/21/19	the chronically homeless; unaccompanied youth; persons with HIV/AIDS; persons with substance use disorders; the seriously mentally ill; and victims of domestic violence, dating violence,
4	Mayor	Ralph Payton	10/21/19	sexual assault, and stalking. One Board member may represent the interests of more than one homeless subpopulation for a four- year term
5	BOS	Kelley Cutler	10/21/23	Must represent one or more of the following homeless subpopulations: families with children; single adults; veterans;
6	BOS	Deleano Seymour	10/21/22	the chronically homeless; unaccompanied youth; persons with HIV/AIDS; persons with
7	BOS	Nikon Jeanell Guffey	10/21/22	substance use disorders; the seriously mentally ill; and victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking, for a

8	BOS	Erick Brown	10/21/18	four-year term. One Board
				member may represent the
				interests of more than one
				homeless subpopulation
9	Controller	James Loyce	10/21/19	Must be appointed by the City
				Controller, confirmed by the Board
				of Supervisors, and represent one
				or more of the following homeless
				subpopulations: families with
				children; single adults; veterans;
				the chronically homeless;
				unaccompanied youth; persons
				with HIV/AIDS; persons with
				substance use disorders; the
				seriously mentally ill; and victims of
				domestic violence, dating violence,
				sexual assault, and stalking,

### **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS (BOS) APPLICATION FORMS AVAILABLE HERE**

- English https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/vacancy\_application.pdf
- 中文 https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/vacancy\_application\_CHI.pdf
- Español https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/vacancy\_application\_SPA.pdf
- Filipino https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/vacancy\_application\_FIL.pdf

(For seats appointed by other Authorities please contact the Board / Commission / Committee / Task Force (see below) or the appointing authority directly.)

Pursuant to Board of Supervisors Rules of Order 2.19 (Motion No. 05-92) all applicants applying for this body must complete and submit, with their application, a copy (**not original**) of Form 700, Statement of Economic Interests. Applications will not be considered if a copy of Form 700 is not received.

### **FORM 700 AVAILABLE HERE (Required)**

https://www.fppc.ca.gov/Form700.html

Please Note: Depending upon the posting date, a vacancy may have already been filled. To determine if a vacancy for this Commission is still available, or if you require additional information, please call the Rules Committee Clerk at (415) 554-5184.

Applications and other documents may be submitted to BOS-Appointments@sfgov.org

<u>Next Steps</u>: Applicants who meet minimum qualifications will be contacted by the Rules Committee Clerk once the Rules Committee Chair determines the date of the

hearing. Members of the Rules Committee will consider the appointment(s) at the meeting and applicant(s) may be asked to state their qualifications. The appointment of the individual(s) who is recommended by the Rules Committee will be forwarded to the Board of Supervisors for final approval.

The Local Homeless Coordinating Board shall serve as the Continuum of Care governing body in accordance with applicable U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") rules and regulations, including but not limited to 24 CFR Part 578 et seq., as amended. The Board shall adopt, and all members shall abide by, a written conflict of interest policy that complies with 24 CFR Part 578.95(b), as amended. The Board shall also advise the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing on homeless policy and budget allocations.

The Coordinating Board shall hold a meeting not less than once every month. The Board shall elect officers and shall establish rules or bylaws for its organization and procedures.

The Board shall consist of nine (9) members, as follows:

- Seat 1 shall be appointed by the Mayor and shall be a homeless or formerly homeless person.
- Seats 2 through 4 shall be appointed by the Mayor. The appointees shall represent
  organizations or projects serving one or more of the following homeless subpopulations
  in San Francisco: families with children; single adults; veterans; the chronically
  homeless; unaccompanied youth; persons with HIV/AIDS; persons with substance use
  disorders; the seriously mentally ill; and victims of domestic violence, dating violence,
  sexual assault, and stalking. One Board member may represent the interests of more
  than one homeless subpopulation.
- Seats 5 through 8 shall be appointed by the Board of Supervisors. The appointees shall
  represent one or more of the following homeless subpopulations in San Francisco:
  families with children; single adults; veterans; the chronically homeless; unaccompanied
  youth; persons with HIV/AIDS; persons with substance use disorders; the seriously
  mentally ill; and victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and
  stalking. One Board member may represent the interests of more than one homeless
  subpopulation.
- Seat 9 shall be appointed by the Controller and shall represent one or more of the
  following homeless subpopulations in San Francisco: families with children; single
  adults; veterans; the chronically homeless; unaccompanied youth; persons with
  HIV/AIDS; persons with substance use disorders; the seriously mentally ill; and victims of
  domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. One Board member may
  represent the interests of more than one homeless subpopulation.

All of the homeless subpopulations must be represented on the Coordinating Board, to the extent that someone is available and willing to represent that subpopulation on the Board. At

the time of appointment, the appointing authority shall identify the homeless subpopulation(s) represented by the appointee.

Each member of the Coordinating Board shall serve at the pleasure of the member's appointing authority for a term of four years.

Authority: Administrative Code, Article XXXI, Sections 5.31-1 et seq. (Resolution Nos. 827-

97; 926-99; 720-01; and 208-05. Ordinance No. 116-16)

Sunset Date: None

Contact: Charles Minor

Dept of Homelessness and Supportive Housing

P. O. Box 7988

San Francisco, CA 94120

(415) 355-5209

charles.minor@sfgov.org

Last Updated: March 2, 2022

# GENDER ANALYSIS OF COMMISSIONS AND BOARDS





City and County of San Francisco London N. Breed Mayor

Department on the Status of Women Emily M. Murase, PhD Director



### Acknowledgements

The data collection and analysis for this report was conducted by Public Policy Fellow Diana McCaffrey with support from Policy and Projects Director Elizabeth Newman, Associate Director Carol Sacco, and Director Emily Murase, PhD, at the San Francisco Department on the Status of Women.

The San Francisco Department on the Status of Women would like to thank the various policy body members, Commission secretaries, and department staff who graciously assisted in collecting demographic data and providing information about their respective policy bodies.

#### San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women

President Debbie Mesloh Vice President Breanna Zwart Commissioner Shokooh Miry Commissioner Carrie Schwab-Pomerantz Commissioner Andrea Shorter Commissioner Julie D. Soo

Emily M. Murase, PhD, Director Department on the Status of Women

This report is available at the San Francisco Department on the Status of Women website, <a href="https://sfgov.org/dosw/gender-analysis-reports">https://sfgov.org/dosw/gender-analysis-reports</a>.

### Contents

Table of Figures	3
Executive Summary	4
I. Introduction	7
II. Gender Analysis Findings	8
A. Gender	8
B. Race and Ethnicity	11
C. Race and Ethnicity by Gender	14
D. LGBTQ Identity	16
E. Disability Status	16
F. Veteran Status	17
G. Policy Bodies by Budget	18
H. Comparison of Advisory Body and Commission and Board Demographics	19
I. Demographics of Mayoral, Supervisorial, and Total Appointees	20
III. Conclusion	21
IV. Methodology and Limitations	23
Appendix	24

## Table of Figures

Figure 1: Summary Data of Policy Body Demographics, 2019	8
Figure 2: 10-Year Comparison of Representation of Women on Policy Bodies	8
Figure 3: Commissions and Boards with Highest Percentages of Women, 2019 Compared to 2017,	, 2015
	9
Figure 4: Commissions and Boards with Lowest Percentage of Women, 2019 Compared to 2017, 2	
	10
Figure 5: Advisory Bodies with the Highest and Lowest Percentage of Women, 2019	10
Figure 6: 10-Year Comparison of People of Color's Representation of Policy Bodies	11
Figure 7: Race and Ethnicity of Appointees Compared to San Francisco Population, 2019	12
Figure 8: Commissions and Boards with Highest Percentage of People of Color, 2019 Compared to	2017,
2015	12
Figure 9: Commissions and Boards with Lowest Percentage of People of Color, 2019 Compared to	2017,
2015	13
Figure 10: Advisory Bodies with the Highest and Lowest Percentage of People of Color, 2019	14
Figure 11: 10-Year Comparison of Representation of Women of Color on Policy Bodies	14
Figure 12: Appointees by Race/Ethnicity and Gender, 2019	15
Figure 13: San Francisco Population by Race/Ethnicity, 2019	15
Figure 14: LGBTQ Identity of Appointees, 2019	16
Figure 15: LGBTQ Population of Appointees, 2019	16
Figure 16: San Francisco Adult Population with a Disability by Gender, 2017	17
Figure 17: Appointees with One or More Disabilities by Gender, 2019	17
Figure 18: San Francisco Adult Population with Military Service by Gender, 2017	17
Figure 19: Appointees with Military Service, 2019	17
Figure 20: Percent of Women, Women of Color, and People of Color on Commissions and Boards	with
Largest and Smallest Budgets in Fiscal Year 2018-2019	18
Figure 21: Demographics of Commissions and Boards with Largest Budgets, 2019	19
Figure 22: Demographics of Commissions and Boards with Smallest Budgets, 2019	19
Figure 23: Demographics of Appointees on Commission and Boards and Advisory Bodies, 2019	20
Figure 24: Demographics of Mayoral, Supervisorial, and Total Appointees, 2019	20
Figure 25: Policy Body Demographics, 2019	24
Figure 26: San Francisco Population Estimates by Race/Ethnicity, 2017	26
Figure 27: San Francisco Population Estimates by Race/Ethnicity and Gender, 2017	

### **Executive Summary**

In 2008, San Francisco voters overwhelmingly approved a City Charter Amendment (section 4.101) establishing as City policy for the membership of Commissions and Boards to reflect the diversity of San Francisco's population, and that appointing officials be urged to support the nomination, appointment, and confirmation of these candidates. Additionally, it requires the San Francisco Department on the Status of Women to conduct and publish a gender analysis of Commissions and Boards every two years.

The 2019 Gender Analysis of Commissions and Boards includes more policy bodies such as task forces, committees, and advisory bodies, than previous analyses, which were limited to Commissions and Boards. Data was collected from 84 policy bodies and from a total of 741 members mostly appointed by the Mayor and Board of Supervisors. These policy bodies fall under two categories designated by the San Francisco Office of the City Attorney. The first category, referred to as "Commissions and Boards," are policy bodies with decision-making authority and whose members are required to submit financial disclosures to the Ethics Commission. The second category, referred to as "Advisory Bodies," are policy bodies with advisory function whose members do not submit financial disclosures to the Ethics Commission. This report examines policy bodies and appointees both comprehensively as a whole and separately by the two categories.


The 2019 Gender Analysis evaluates the representation of women; people of color; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, and questioning (LGBTQ) individuals; people with disabilities; and veterans on San Francisco policy bodies.

### **Key Findings**

#### Gender

- Women's representation on policy bodies is 51%, slightly above parity with the San Francisco female population of 49%.
- Since 2009, there has been a small but steady increase in the representation of women on San Francisco policy bodies.

# **10-Year Comparison of Representation of Women on Policy Bodies**




Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "List of City Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies Created by Charter, Ordinance, or Statute," Office of the City Attorney, https://www.sfcityattorney.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/Commission-List-08252017.pdf, (August 25, 2017).

### Race and Ethnicity

- People of color are underrepresented on policy bodies compared to the population. Although people of color comprise 62% of San Francisco's population, just 50% of appointees identify as a race other than white.
- While the overall representation of people of color has increased between 2009 and 2019, as the Department collected data on more appointees, the representation of people of color has decreased over the last few years. The percentage of appointees of color decreased from 53% in 2017 to 49% in 2019.

# 10-Year Comparison of Representation of People of Color on Policy Bodies




Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

As found in previous reports, Latinx and Asian groups are underrepresented on San Francisco policy bodies compared to the population. Latinx individuals are 14% of the population but make up only 8% of appointees. Asian individuals are 31% of the population but make up only 18% of appointees.

### Race and Ethnicity by Gender

- On the whole, women of color are 32% of the San Francisco population, and 28% of appointees. Although still below parity, 28% is a slight increase compared to 2017, which showed 27% women of color appointees.
- Meanwhile, men of color are underrepresented at 21% of appointees compared to 31% of the San Francisco population.

## 10-Year Comparison of Representation of Women of Color on Policy Bodies



- ➤ Both White women and men are overrepresented on San Francisco policy bodies. White women are 23% of appointees compared to 17% of the San Francisco population. White men are 26% of appointees compared to 20% of the population.
- ▶ Black and African American women and men are well-represented on San Francisco policy bodies. Black women are 9% of appointees compared to 2.4% of the population, and Black men are 5% of appointees compared to 2.5% of the population.
- Latinx women are 7% of the San Francisco population but 3% of appointees, and Latinx men are 7% of the population but 5% of appointees.
- Asian women are 17% of the San Francisco population but 11% of appointees, and Asian men are 15% of the population but just 7% of appointees.

### **Additional Demographics**

- Out of the 74% of appointees who responded to the survey question on LGBTQ identity, 19% identify as lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, nonbinary, queer, or questioning, and 81% of appointees identify as straight/heterosexual.
- Out of the 70% of appointees who responded to the question on disability, 11% identify as having one or more disabilities, which is just below the 12% of the adult population with a disability in San Francisco.
- Out of the 67% of appointees who responded to the question on veteran status, 7% have served in the military compared to 3% of the San Francisco population.

### Proxies for Influence: Budget & Authority

- Although women are half of all appointees, those Commissions and Boards with the largest budgets have fewer women and especially fewer women of color. Meanwhile, women exceed representation on Boards and Commissions with the smallest budgets and women of color reach parity with the population on the smallest budgeted Commissions and Boards.
- Although still underrepresented relative to the San Francisco population, there is a larger percentage of people of color on Commissions and Boards with both the largest and smallest budgets compared to overall appointees.
- The percentage of total women is greater on Advisory Bodies than Commissions and Boards. Women are 54% of appointees on Advisory Bodies and 48% of appointees on Commissions and Boards. However, the percentages of people of color and women of color on Commissions and Boards exceed the percentages of people of color and women of color on Advisory Bodies.

### **Appointing Authorities**

Mayoral appointments include 55% women, 52% people of color, and 30% women of color, which is more diverse by gender and race compared to both Supervisorial appointments and total appointments.

### **Demographics of Appointees Compared to the San Francisco Population**

	Women	People of Color	Women of Color	LGBTQ	Disability Status	Veteran Status
San Francisco Population	49%	62%	32%	6%-15%*	12%	3%
Total Appointees	51%	50%	28%	19%	11%	7%
10 Largest Budgeted Commissions & Boards	41%	55%	23%			
10 Smallest Budgeted Commissions & Boards	52%	54%	32%			
Commissions and Boards	48%	52%	30%			
Advisory Bodies	54%	49%	28%			

Sources: 2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis, 2019, \*Note: Estimates vary by source. See page 16 for a detailed breakdown.

## I. Introduction

Inspired by the 4th UN World Conference on Women in Beijing, San Francisco became the first city in the world to adopt a local ordinance reflecting the principles of the U.N. Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination (CEDAW), an international bill of rights for women. The CEDAW Ordinance was passed unanimously by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors and signed into law by Mayor Willie L. Brown, Jr. on April 13, 1998.<sup>2</sup> In 2002, the CEDAW Ordinance was revised to address the intersection of race and gender and incorporate reference to the UN Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Race Discrimination. The Ordinance requires City Government to take proactive steps to ensure gender equity and specifies "gender analysis" as a preventive tool to identify and address discrimination. Since 1998, the Department on the Status of Women has employed this tool to analyze the operations of 10 City Departments using a gender lens.

In 2007, the Department on the Status of Women conducted the first gender analysis to evaluate the number of women appointed to City Commissions and Boards. The findings of this analysis informed a City Charter Amendment developed by the Board of Supervisors for the June 2008 Election. This City Charter Amendment (Section 4.101) was overwhelmingly approved by voters and made it city policy that:

- The membership of Commissions and Boards are to reflect the diversity of San Francisco's population,
- Appointing officials are to be urged to support the nomination, appointment, and confirmation
  of these candidates, and
- The Department on the Status of Women is required to conduct and publish a gender analysis of Commissions and Boards every 2 years.

The 2019 Gender Analysis examines the representation of women; people of color; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, and questioning (LGBTQ) individuals; people with disabilities; and veterans on San Francisco policy bodies primarily appointed by the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors. This year's analysis included more outreach to policy bodies as compared to previous analyses that were limited to Commissions and Boards. As a result, more appointees were included in the data collection and analysis than even before. These policy bodies fall under two categories designated by the San Francisco Office of the City Attorney. The first category, referred to as "Commissions and Boards," are policy bodies with decision-making authority and whose members are required to submit financial disclosures to the Ethics Commission, and the second category, referred to as "Advisory Bodies," are policy bodies with advisory function whose members do not submit financial disclosures to the Ethics Commission. A detailed description of methodology and limitations can be found at the end of this report on page 23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 33.A.

http://library.amlegal.com/nxt/gateway.dll/California/administrative/chapter33alocalimplementationoftheunited? f=templates\$fn=default.htm\$3.0\$vid=amlegal:sanfrancisco\_ca\$anc=JD\_Chapter33A.

## II. Gender Analysis Findings

Many aspects of San Francisco's diversity are reflected in the overall population of appointees on San Francisco policy bodies. The analysis includes 84 policy bodies, of which 823 of the 887 seats are filled leaving 7% vacant. As outlined below in the summary chart, slightly more than half of appointees are women, half of appointees are people of color, 28% are women of color, 19% are LGBTQ, 11% have a disability, and 7% are veterans.

Figure 1: Summary Data of Policy Body Demographics, 2019

Appointee Demographics	Percentage of Appointees
Women (n=741)	51%
People of Color (n=706)	50%
Women of Color (n=706)	28%
LGBTQ Identified (n=548)	19%
People with Disabilities (n=516)	11%
Veteran Status (n=494)	7%


Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

However, further analysis reveals underrepresentation of particular groups. Subsequent sections present comprehensive data analysis providing comparison to previous years, detailing the variables of gender, race/ethnicity, LGBTQ identity, disability, veteran status, and policy body characteristics of budget size, decision-making authority, and appointment authority.

#### A. Gender

On San Francisco policy bodies, 51% of appointees identify as women, which is slightly above parity compared to the San Francisco female population of 49%. The representation of women remained stable at 49% from 2013 until 2017. This year, the representation of women increased by 2 percentage points, which could be partly due to the larger sample size used in this year's analysis compared to previous years. A 10-year comparison shows that the representation of women appointees has gradually increased since 2009 by a total of six percentage points.

Figure 2: 10-Year Comparison of Representation of Women on Policy Bodies



Figures 3 and 4 analyze Commissions and Boards. Figure 3 showcases the five Commissions and Boards with the highest representation of women appointees as compared to 2015 and 2013. The Children and Families (First Five) Commission and the Commission on the Status of Women are currently comprised of all women appointees. This finding has been consistent for the Commission on the Status of Women in 2015 and 2017. While the Ethics Commission has 100% women appointees, much more than 2015 and 2017, its small size of five appointees means that minimal changes in its demographic composition greatly impacts percentages. This is also the case for other policy bodies with a small number of members. The Library Commission and the Commission on the Environment are fourth and fifth on the list at 71% and 67% women, respectively, with long standing female majorities on each.





Figure 3: Commissions and Boards with Highest Percentages of Women, 2019 Compared to 2017, 2015

Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

Out of the Commissions and Boards in this section, 23 have 40% or less women. The five Commissions and Boards with the lowest representation of women are displayed in Figure 4. The lowest percentage is found on the Board of Examiners where currently *none* of the 13 appointees are women. Unfortunately, demographic data is unavailable for the Board of Examiners for 2017 and 2015. Next is the Building Inspection Commission at 14%, which is a decrease of female representation compared to 2017 and 2015. The Oversight Board of Community Investment and Infrastructure, Fire Commission, and Sunshine Ordinance Task Force also have some of the lowest percentages of women at 17%, 20%, and 27%, respectively. Unfortunately, the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force did not participate in previous analyses and therefore demographics data is unavailable for 2017 and 2015.


Figure 4: Commissions and Boards with Lowest Percentage of Women, 2019 Compared to 2017, 2015



Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

In addition to Commissions and Boards, Advisory Bodies were examined for the highest and lowest percentages of women. This is the first year such bodies have been included, thus comparison to previous years is unavailable. Figure 9 below displays the five Advisory Bodies with the highest and the five with the lowest representations of women. The Workforce Community Advisory Committees has the greatest representation of women at 100%, followed by the Office of Early Care and Education Citizen's Advisory Committee at 89%. The Advisory Bodies with the lowest percentage of women are the Urban Forestry Council at 8% of the 13-member body and the Abatement Appeals Board at 14% of the 7-member body.

Figure 5: Advisory Bodies with the Highest and Lowest Percentage of Women, 2019



## B. Race and Ethnicity

Data on racial and ethnic identity was collected for 706, or 95%, of the 741 surveyed appointees. Although half of appointees identify as a race or ethnicity other than white or Caucasian, people of color are still underrepresented compared to the San Francisco population of 62%. The representation of people of color has increased since 2009 but has decreased following 2015. The number of appointees analyzed increased substantially in 2017 and 2019 compared to 2015, and these larger data samples have coincided with smaller percentages of people of color. The percentage decrease following 2017 could be partially due to the inclusion of more policy and advisory bodies, as the representation of people of color on Commissions and Boards dropped only slightly from 53% in 2017 to 52% in 2019.




Figure 6: 10-Year Comparison of Representation of People of Color on Policy Bodies

Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

The racial and ethnic breakdown of policy body members compared to the San Francisco population is shown in Figure 7. This analysis reveals underrepresentation and overrepresentation in San Francisco policy bodies for certain racial and ethnic groups. Half of all appointees are white, an overrepresentation by more than 10 percentage points. The Black and African American community is well represented on appointed policy bodies at 14% compared to 5% of the population of San Francisco. Characterizing this as an overrepresentation is inaccurate given the representation of Black or African American people on policy bodies has been consistent over the years while the San Francisco population has declined over the same period.<sup>3</sup> Furthermore, the most recent nationwide estimate for the Black or African American population is 13%, which is nearly equal to the 14% of Black or African American appointees present on San Francisco policy bodies.<sup>4</sup>

Considerably underrepresented racial and ethnic groups on San Francisco policy bodies compared to the San Francisco population are individuals who identify as Asian or Latinx. While Asians are 31% of the San Francisco population, they only make up 18% of appointees. While the Latinx population of San Francisco is 14%, only 8% of appointees are Latinx. Although there is a small population of Native

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Samir Gambhir and Stephen Menendian, "Racial Segregation in the Bay Area, Part 2," *Haas Institute for a Fair and Inclusive Society* (2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> US Census Bureau, 2018, Retrieved from https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/US/PST045218.

Americans and Alaska Natives in San Francisco of 0.4%, none of the surveyed appointees identified themselves as such.

60% 50% ■ Appointees (N=706) 50% Population (N=864,263) 38% 40% 31% 30% 18% 20% 14% 14% 8% 10% 5% 3% 1% 0% 0.4% 0.3% 0% White, Not Asian Hispanic or Black or Native Native Two or More Other Race Hispanic or Latinx African Hawaiian and American Races Latinx American Pacific and Alaska Islander Native

Figure 7: Race and Ethnicity of Appointees Compared to San Francisco Population, 2019

Sources: 2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

The next two graphs illustrate Commissions and Boards, and Advisory Bodies with the highest and lowest percentages of people of color. As shown in Figure 8, the Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure remained at 100% from 2017, while the Juvenile Probation Commission has returned to 100% this year after a dip in 2017. Next is the Health Commission, Immigrant Rights Commission, and Housing Authority Commission at 86%, 85%, and 83%, respectively. Percentages of people of color on both the Health Commission and the Housing Authority Commission increased following 2015, and have remained consistent since 2017.




Figure 8: Commissions and Boards with Highest Percentage of People of Color, 2019 Compared to 2017, 2015

Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

**■** 2019 **■** 2017 **■** 2015

There are 23 policy bodies that have 40% or less appointees who identified a racial and ethnic category other than white. Although the Public Utilities Commission has two vacancies, *none* of the current appointees identify as people of color. The Historic Preservation Commission and Building Inspection Commission are both at 14% representation for people of color. The Building Inspection Commission had a large drop from 43% in 2015, with the percentage of people of color decreasing to 14% in 2017 and remaining at this percent for 2019. Lastly, the War Memorial Board of Trustees and City Hall Preservation Advisory Commission have 18% and 20%, respectively.


Public Utilities Commission (n=3) 33% 20% 14% Historic Preservation Commission (n=7) 17% 14% 14% Building Inspection Commission (n=7) 14% 43% 18% War Memorial Board of Trustees (n=11) 18% 18% 20% City Hall Preservation Advisory Commission (n=5) 20% 20% 0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% **2019 2017 2015** 

Figure 9: Commissions and Boards with Lowest Percentage of People of Color, 2019 Compared to 2017, 2015

Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

In addition to Commissions and Boards, Advisory Bodies were examined for the highest and lowest percentages of people of color. This is the first year such bodies have been included, thus comparison to previous years is unavailable. All members of the Workforce Community Advisory Committee are people of color. People of color comprise 80% of the Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax Advisory Committee, and 75% of appointees on the Children, Youth and Their Families Oversight and Advisory Committee, the Golden Gate Park Concourse Authority, and the Local Homeless Coordinating Board. Out of the five Advisory Bodies with the lowest representation of people of color, the Ballot Simplification Committee and the Mayor's Disability Council have 25% appointees of color, and the Abatement Appeals Board has 14% appointees of color. The Urban Forestry and the Pedestrian Safety Advisory Committee have no people of color currently serving.

Figure 10: Advisory Bodies with the Highest and Lowest Percentage of People of Color, 2019




Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

## C. Race and Ethnicity by Gender

White men and women are overrepresented on San Francisco policy bodies, while Asian and Latinx men and women are underrepresented. While women of color continue to be underrepresented at 28% compared to the San Francisco population of 32%, this is a slight increase from 2017 which showed 27% women of color. Meanwhile, men of color are 21% of appointees compared to 31% of the San Francisco population.

Figure 11: 10-Year Comparison of Representation of Women of Color on Policy Bodies



The following figures present the breakdown for appointees and the San Francisco population by race and ethnicity and gender. White men and women are overrepresented, holding 27% and 23% of appointments, respectively, compared to 20% and 17% of the population, respectively. Asian men and women are both greatly underrepresented with Asian women making up 11% of appointees compared to 17% of the population while Asian men comprise 7% of appointees and 15% of the population. Latinx men and women are also underrepresented, particularly Latinx women, who are 3% of appointees and 7% of the population, while Latinx men are 5% of appointees and 7% of the population. Black or African American men and women are well-represented with Black women comprising 9% of appointees and Black men comprising 5% of appointees. Pacific Islander men and women, and multiethnic women also exceed parity with the population. Although Native American men and women make up only 0.4% of San Francisco's population, none of the surveyed appointees identified themselves as such.




Figure 12: Appointees by Race/Ethnicity and Gender, 2019

Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.




Figure 13: San Francisco Population by Race/Ethnicity, 2019

Source: 2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.


## D. LGBTQ Identity

Lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, and questioning (LGBTQ) identity data was collected from 548, or 75%, of the 741 surveyed appointees, which is much more data on LGBTQ identity compared to previous reports. Due to limited and outdated information on the population of the LGBTQ community in San Francisco, it is difficult to adequately assess the representation of the LGBTQ community. However, compared to available San Francisco, larger Bay Area, and national data, the LGBTQ community is well represented on San Francisco policy bodies. Recent research estimates the national LGBT population is 4.5%. The LGBT population of the San Francisco and greater Bay Area is estimated to rank the highest of U.S. cities at 6.2%, while a 2006 survey found that 15.4% of adults in San Francisco identify as LGBT.

Of the appointees who responded to this question, 19% identify as LGBTQ and 81% identify as straight or heterosexual. Of the LGBTQ appointees, 48% identify as gay, 23% as lesbian, 17% as bisexual, 7% as queer, 5% as transgender, and 1% as questioning. Data on LGBTQ identity by race was not captured. Efforts to capture data on LGBTQ identity by race for future reports would enable more intersectional analysis.

Figure 14: LGBTQ Identity of Appointees, 2019

Figure 15: LGBTQ Population of Appointees, 2019



## E. Disability Status

Overall, 12% of adults in San Francisco have one or more disabilities, and when broken down by gender, 6.2% are women and 5.7% are men. Disability data for transgender and gender non-conforming individuals in San Francisco is currently unavailable. Data on disability was obtained from 516, or 70%, of the 714 appointees who participated in the survey. Of the 516 appointees, 11.2% reported to have one


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Frank Newport, "In U.S., Estimate of LGBT Population Rises to 4.5%," *GALLUP* (May 22, 2018) https://news.gallup.com/poll/234863/estimate-lgbt-population-rises.aspx.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Gary J. Gates and Frank Newport, "San Francisco Metro Area Ranks Highest in LBGT Percentage," *GALLUP* (March 20, 2015) https://news.gallup.com/poll/182051/san-francisco-metro-area-ranks-highest-lgbt-percentage.aspx?utm source=Social%20Issues&utm medium=newsfeed&utm campaign=tiles.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Gary J. Gates, "Same Sex Couples and the Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual Population: New Estimates from the American Community Survey," *The Williams Institute on Sexual Orientation Law and Public Policy, UCLA School of Law* (2006).


or more disabilities, which is near parity with the San Francisco population. Of the 11.2% appointees with one or more disabilities, 6.8% are women, 3.9% are men, 0.4% are trans women, and 0.2% are trans men.

Figure 16: San Francisco Adult Population with a Disability by Gender, 2017



Source: 2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.

Figure 17: Appointees with One or More Disabilities by Gender, 2019



Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

#### F. Veteran Status

Overall, 3.2% of the adult population in San Francisco has served in the military. There is a considerable difference by gender, as male veterans are 3% and female veterans are 0.2% of the population. Data on veteran status was obtained from 494, or 67%, of appointees who participated in the survey. Of the 494 appointees who responded to this question, 7.1% have served in the military. Like the San Francisco population, there is a large difference by gender, as men comprise 5.7% and women make up only 1.2% of the total number of veteran appointees. Of participating appointees, 0.2% of veterans are trans women. Veteran status data on transgender and gender non-conforming individuals in San Francisco is currently unavailable.

Figure 18: San Francisco Adult Population with Military Service by Gender, 2017


(N=747,896)

3.2%

Non-Veteran Women Men

Source: 2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.

Figure 19: Appointees with Military Service, 2019



### G. Policy Bodies by Budget

This report also examines whether policy bodies with the largest and smallest budget sizes and other characteristics are demographically representative of the San Francisco population. In this section, budget size is used as a proxy for influence. Although this report has expanded the scope of analysis to include more policy bodies compared to previous reports, this section of analysis was limited to Commissions and Boards with decision-making authority and whose members file financial disclosures with the Ethics Commission. The purpose of this analysis is to evaluate the demographics for the spectrum of budgetary influence of policy bodies with decision-making authority in San Francisco.

Overall, appointees from the 10 largest budgeted Commissions and Boards are 55% people of color, 41% women, and 23% women of color. Appointees from the 10 smallest budgeted Commissions and Boards are 54% people of color, 52% women, and 32% women of color. Although still below parity with the San Francisco population, the representation of people of color on both the largest and smallest budgeted policy bodies is greater than the percentage of people of color for all appointees combined (50%). For women and women of color, their representation meets or exceeds parity with the population on the 10 smallest budgeted bodies. However, it falls far below parity for the 10 largest budgeted bodies. The representation of total women and women of color is greater on smaller budgeted policy bodies by 27%, and 39%, respectively.

Figure 20: Percent of Women, Women of Color, and People of Color on Commissions and Boards with Largest and Smallest Budgets in Fiscal Year 2018-2019




Figure 21: Demographics of Commissions and Boards with Largest Budgets, 2019

Body	FY18-19 Budget	Total Seats	Filled seats	Women	Women of Color	People of Color
Health Commission	\$2,200,000,000	7	7	29%	14%	86%
Public Utilities Commission	\$1,296,600,000	5	3	67%	0%	0%
MTA Board of Directors and Parking Authority Commission	\$1,200,000,000	7	7	57%	14%	43%
Airport Commission	\$1,000,000,000	5	5	40%	20%	40%
Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure	\$745,000,000	5	5	60%	60%	100%
Police Commission	\$687,139,793	7	7	43%	43%	71%
Health Authority (Plan Governing Board)	\$666,000,000	19	15	33%	27%	47%
Human Services Commission	\$529,900,000	5	5	40%	0%	40%
Fire Commission	\$400,721,970	5	5	20%	20%	40%
Aging and Adult Services Commission	\$334,700,000	7	7	43%	14%	57%
Total	\$9,060,061,763	72	66	41%	23%	55%

Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

Figure 22: Demographics of Commissions and Boards with Smallest Budgets, 2019

Body	FY18-19 Budget	Total Seats	Filled Seats	Women	Women of color	People of Color
Rent Board Commission	\$8,543,912	10	9	44%	11%	33%
Commission on the Status of Women	\$8,048,712	7	7	100%	71%	71%
Ethics Commission	\$6,458,045	5	4	100%	50%	50%
Human Rights Commission	\$4,299,600	12	10	50%	50%	70%
Small Business Commission	\$2,242,007	7	7	43%	29%	43%
Civil Service Commission	\$1,262,072	5	4	50%	0%	25%
Board of Appeals	\$1,072,300	5	5	40%	20%	40%
Entertainment Commission	\$1,003,898	7	7	29%	14%	57%
Assessment Appeals Board No.1, 2, & 3	\$663,423	24	18	39%	22%	44%
Youth Commission	\$305,711	17	16	56%	44%	75%
Total	\$33,899,680	99	87	52%	32%	54%

Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

## H. Comparison of Advisory Body and Commission and Board Demographics

The comparison of the two policy body categories in this section provides another proxy for influence, as Commissions and Boards whose members file disclosures of economic interest have greater decision-making authority in San Francisco than Advisory Bodies whose members do not file economic interest disclosures. The percentages of total women, LGBTQ people, people with disabilities, and veterans are larger for total appointees on Advisory Bodies. However, the percentages of women of color and people of color on Commissions and Boards slightly exceeds the percentages of women of color and people of color on Advisory Bodies.

60% 54% 52% Commissions and Boards (N=380) 49% 48% 50% Advisory Bodies (N=389) 40% 30% 28% 30% 20% 18% 20% 15% 8% 8% 6% 10% 0% Women of Color **LGBTQ** People with Women People of Color Veterans Disabilities

Figure 23: Demographics of Appointees on Commission and Boards and Advisory Bodies, 2019

Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis.

## I. Demographics of Mayoral, Supervisorial, and Total Appointees

Figure 24 compares the representation of women, women of color, and people of color for appointments made by the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and by the total of all approving authorities combined. Mayoral appointments are more diverse, and consist of more women, women of color, and people of color compared to Supervisorial appointments. Mayoral appointments include 55% women, 30% women of color, and 52% people of color, while Supervisorial appointments are 48% women, 24% women of color, and 48% people of color. The total of all approving authorities combined average out at 51% women, 28% women of color, and 50% people of color. This disparity in diversity between Mayoral and Supervisorial appointments may be due in part to the appointment section process for each authority. The 11-member Board of Supervisors only sees applicants for specific bodies through the 3-member Rules Committee or by designees, stipulated in legislation (e.g. "renter," "landlord," "consumer advocate"), whereas the Mayor typically has the ability to take total appointments into account during selections, and can therefore better address gaps in diversity.




Figure 24: Demographics of Mayoral, Supervisorial, and Total Appointees, 2019

## III. Conclusion

Since the first gender analysis of Commissions and Boards in 2007, the representation of women appointees on San Francisco policy bodies has gradually increased. The *2019 Gender Analysis* finds the percentage of women appointees is 51%, which slightly exceeds the population of women in San Francisco.

When appointee demographics are analyzed by gender and race, women of color continue to be underrepresented on San Francisco policy bodies compared to the San Francisco population. Most notably underrepresented are Asian women who make up 17% of the population but only 11% of appointees, and Latinx women who make up 7% of the population but only 3% of appointees. Additionally, men of color are underrepresented relative to their San Francisco population, primarily Asian and Latinx men.

Furthermore, when analyzing the demographic composition of larger and smaller budgeted Commissions and Boards, women are underrepresented on those with the largest budgets, and overrepresented or reach parity with the population on smaller budgeted Commissions and Boards. These two trends are amplified for women of color appointees. Women comprise 41% of total appointees on the largest budgeted policy bodies, which is 8 percentage points below the population, and women of color comprise 23% of total appointees on the largest budgeted policy bodies, 9 percentage points below their San Francisco population. Comparatively, women are 52% of total appointees on the smallest budgeted policy bodies, and women of color are 32% of appointees, which is equal to the San Francisco population. However, the issue of largest and smallest budgeted policy bodies does not seem to impact the representation of people of color. People of color make up 55% of appointees on the largest budgeted policy bodies and 54% of appointees on the smallest budgeted policy bodies compared to 50% of total appointees. Nonetheless, these percentages still fall below the San Francisco population of people of color at 62%.

In addition to using budget size as a proxy for influence, this report analyzed demographic characteristics of appointees on Commissions and Boards who file disclosures of economic interest and have decision-making authority, and appointees on Advisory Bodies who do not file economic interest disclosures. Over half (54%) of appointees on Advisory Bodies are women, while 48% of appointees on Commissions and Boards are women. Although 48% is only slightly below the San Francisco population of women, women comprise a decently higher percentage of appointees on Advisory Bodies compared to Commissions and Boards.

This year's report features more data on LGBTQ identity, veteran status, and disability than previous gender analyses. The 2019 Gender Analysis found a relatively high representation of LGBTQ individuals on San Francisco policy bodies. For the appointees that provided LGBTQ identity information, 19% identify as LGBTQ with the largest subset being gay men at 48%. It is recommended for future gender analyses to collect LGBTQ data by race and gender to provide additional intersectional analysis. The representation of appointees with disabilities is 11%, just below the 12% population. Veterans are highly represented on San Francisco policy bodies at 7% compared to the veteran population of 3%.

Additionally, this report evaluates and compares the representation of women, women of color, and people of color appointees by the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and by the total of all approving authorities combined. Mayoral appointees include 55% women, 30% women of color, and 52% people

of color, which overall is more diverse by gender and race compared to both Supervisorial appointees and total appointees.

This report is intended to advise the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and other appointing authorities, as they select appointments for policy bodies of the City and County of San Francisco. In spirit of the 2008 City Charter Amendment that establishes this biennial Gender Analysis report requirement and the importance of diversity on San Francisco policy bodies, efforts to address gaps in diversity and inclusion should remain at the forefront when making appointments in order to accurately reflect the population of San Francisco.

# IV. Methodology and Limitations

This report focuses on City and County of San Francisco Commissions, Boards, Task Forces, Councils, and Committees that have the majority of members appointed by the Mayor and Board of Supervisors and that have jurisdiction limited to the City. The gender analysis reflects data from the policy bodies that provided information to the Department on the Status of Women through digital and paper survey.

Data was requested from 90 policy bodies and acquired from 84 different policy bodies and a total of 741 appointees. A Commissioner or Board member's gender identity, race/ethnicity, sexual orientation, disability status, and veteran status were among data elements collected on a voluntary basis. Data on lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, or questioning (LGBTQ) identity, disability, and veteran status of appointees were incomplete or unavailable for some appointees but are included to the extent possible. As the fundamental objective of this report is to surface patterns of underrepresentation, every attempt has been made to reflect accurate and complete information in this report. Data for some policy bodies was incomplete, and all appointees who responded were included in the total demographic categories. Only policy bodies with full data on gender and race for all appointees were included in sections comparing demographics of individual bodies. It should be noted that for policy bodies with a small number of members, the change of a single individual greatly impacts the percentages of demographic categories. As such, these percentages should be interpreted with this in mind.

The surveyed policy bodies fall under two categories designated by the San Francisco Office of the City Attorney document entitled *List of City Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies Created by Charter, Ordinance, or Statute.*<sup>8</sup> This document separates San Francisco policy bodies into two different categories. The first category includes Commissions and Boards with decision-making authority and whose members are required to submit financial disclosures with the Ethics Commission, and the second category encompasses Advisory Bodies whose members do not submit financial disclosures with the Ethics Commission. Depending on the analysis criteria in each section of this report, the surveyed policy bodies and appointees are either examined comprehensively as a whole or examined separately in the two categories designated by the Office of the City Attorney.

Data from the U.S. Census 2013-2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates provides a comparison to the San Francisco population. Figures 26 and 27 in the Appendix display these population estimates by race/ethnicity and gender.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> "List of City Boards, Commissions, and Advisory Bodies Created by Charter, Ordinance, or Statute," Office of the City Attorney, https://www.sfcityattorney.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/Commission-List-08252017.pdf, (August 25, 2017).

# **Appendix**

Figure 25: Policy Body Demographics, 2019<sup>9</sup>

Figure 25: Policy Body Demographics, 2019	Total Filled				Women	People
Policy Body	Seats	Seats	FY18-19 Budget	Women	of Color	of Color
Abatement Appeals Board	7	7	\$76,500,000	14%	0%	14%
Aging and Adult Services Commission	7	7	\$334,700,000	57%	33%	57%
Airport Commission	5	5	\$1,000,000,000	40%	50%	40%
Arts Commission	15	15	\$37,000,000	67%	50%	60%
Asian Art Commission	27	27	\$30,000,000	63%	71%	59%
Assessment Appeals Board No.1	8	5	\$663,423	20%	0%	20%
Assessment Appeals Board No.2	8	8	-	50%	75%	63%
Assessment Appeals Board No.3	8	4	-	50%	50%	50%
Ballot Simplification Committee	5	4	\$0	75%	33%	25%
Bayview Hunters Point Citizens Advisory Committee	12	9	\$0	33%	100%	67%
Board of Appeals	5	5	\$1,072,300	40%	50%	40%
Board of Examiners	13	13	\$0	0%	0%	46%
Building Inspection Commission	7	7	\$76,500,000	14%	0%	14%
Child Care Planning and Advisory Council	25	19	\$26,841	84%	50%	50%
Children and Families Commission (First 5)	9	8	\$28,002,978	100%	75%	75%
Children, Youth, and Their Families Oversight and Advisory Committee	11	10	\$155,224,346	50%	80%	75%
Citizen's Committee on Community Development	9	8	\$39,696,467	75%	67%	63%
City Hall Preservation Advisory Commission	5	5	\$0	60%	33%	20%
Civil Service Commission	5	4	\$1,262,072	50%	0%	25%
Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure	5	5	\$745,000,000	60%	100%	100%
Commission on the Aging Advisory Council	22	15	\$0	80%	33%	31%
Commission on the Environment	7	6	\$27,280,925	67%	50%	50%
Commission on the Status of Women	7	7	\$8,048,712	100%	71%	71%
Dignity Fund Oversight and Advisory Committee	11	11	\$3,000,000	82%	33%	45%
Eastern Neighborhoods Citizens Advisory Committee	19	13	\$0	38%	40%	44%
Elections Commission	7	7	\$15,238,360	57%	25%	29%
Entertainment Commission	7	7	\$1,003,898	29%	50%	57%
Ethics Commission	5	4	\$6,458,045	100%	50%	50%
Film Commission	11	11	\$0	55%	67%	50%
Fire Commission	5	5	\$400,721,970	20%	100%	40%
Golden Gate Park Concourse Authority	7	6	\$0	50%	67%	75%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Figure 25 only includes policy bodies with complete data on gender for all appointees. Some bodies had incomplete data on race/ethnicity of appointees. For these, percentages for people of color are calculated out of known race/ethnicity.

Policy Body	Total Seats	Filled Seats	FY18-19 Budget	Women	Women of Color	People of Color
Health Authority (Plan Governing Board)	19	15	\$666,000,000	33%	80%	50%
Health Commission	7	7	\$2,200,000,000	43%	50%	86%
Health Service Board	7	6	\$11,632,022	33%	0%	50%
Historic Preservation Commission	7	7	\$53,832,000	43%	33%	14%
Housing Authority Commission	7	6	\$60,894,150	50%	100%	83%
Human Rights Commission	12	10	\$4,299,600	60%	100%	70%
Human Services Commission	5	5	\$529,900,000	40%	0%	40%
Immigrant Rights Commission	15	13	\$0	54%	86%	85%
In-Home Supportive Services Public Authority	13	9	\$70,729,667	44%	50%	56%
Juvenile Probation Commission	7	6	\$48,824,199	33%	100%	100%
Library Commission	7	7	\$160,000,000	71%	40%	57%
Local Homeless Coordinating Board	9	9	\$40,000,000	56%	60%	75%
Mayor's Disability Council	11	8	\$0	75%	17%	25%
Mental Health Board	17	15	\$184,962	73%	64%	73%
MTA Board of Directors and Parking Authority Commission	7	7	\$1,200,000,000	57%	25%	43%
Office of Early Care and Education Citizens' Advisory Committee	9	9	\$0	89%	50%	56%
Oversight Board (COII)	7	6	\$745,000,000	17%	100%	67%
Pedestrian Safety Advisory Committee	17	13	\$0	46%	17%	8%
Planning Commission	7	6	\$53,832,000	50%	67%	33%
Police Commission	7	7	\$687,139,793	43%	100%	71%
Port Commission	5	5	\$192,600,000	60%	67%	60%
Public Utilities Citizen's Advisory Committee	17	13	\$0	54%	14%	31%
Public Utilities Commission	5	3	\$1,296,600,000	67%	0%	0%
Public Utilities Rate Fairness Board	7	6	\$0	33%	100%	67%
Public Utilities Revenue Bond Oversight Committee	7	5	\$0	40%	50%	40%
Recreation and Park Commission	7	7	\$230,900,000	29%	50%	43%
Reentry Council	24	23	\$0	43%	70%	70%
Rent Board Commission	10	9	\$8,543,912	44%	25%	33%
Residential Users Appeal Board	3	2	\$0	0%	0%	50%
Retirement System Board	7	7	\$95,000,000	43%	67%	29%
Sentencing Commission	13	13	\$0	31%	25%	67%
Small Business Commission	7	7	\$2,242,007	43%	67%	43%
SRO Task Force	12	12	\$0	42%	25%	55%
Sugary Drinks Distributor Tax Advisory Committee	16	15	\$0	67%	70%	80%
Sunshine Ordinance Task Force	11	11	\$0	27%	67%	36%
Sweatfree Procurement Advisory Group	11	7	\$0	43%	67%	43%
Treasure Island Development Authority	7	6	\$18,484,130	50%	N/A	N/A

Policy Body	Total Seats	Filled Seats	FY18-19 Budget	Women	Women of Color	People of Color
Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Citizens Advisory	17	13	\$0	54%	N/A	N/A
Board						
Urban Forestry Council	15	13	\$153,626	8%	0%	0%
Veterans Affairs Commission	17	11	\$0	36%	50%	55%
War Memorial Board of Trustees	11	11	\$18,185,686	55%	33%	18%
Workforce Community Advisory Committee	8	4	\$0	100%	100%	100%
Youth Commission	17	16	\$305,711	56%	78%	75%

Source: SF DOSW Data Collection & Analysis, 2019.

Figure 26: San Francisco Population Estimates by Race/Ethnicity, 2017

Race/Ethnicity	Total		
	Estimate	Percent	
San Francisco County California	864,263	-	
White, Not Hispanic or Latino	353,000	38%	
Asian	295,347	31%	
Hispanic or Latinx	131,949	14%	
Some other Race	64,800	7%	
Black or African American	45,654	5%	
Two or More Races	43,664	5%	
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	3,226	0.3%	
Native American and Alaska Native	3,306	0.4%	

Source: 2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.

Figure 27: San Francisco Population Estimates by Race/Ethnicity and Gender, 2017

Race/Ethnicity	Total		Fen	nale	Male		
	Estimate	Percent	Estimate	Percent	Estimate	Percent	
San Francisco County California	864,263	-	423,630	49%	440,633	51%	
White, Not Hispanic or Latino	353,000	38%	161,381	17%	191,619	20%	
Asian	295,347	31%	158,762	17%	136,585	15%	
Hispanic or Latinx	131,949	14%	62,646	7%	69,303	7%	
Some Other Race	64,800	7%	30,174	3%	34,626	4%	
Black or African American	45,654	5%	22,311	2.4%	23,343	2.5%	
Two or More Races	43,664	5%	21,110	2.2%	22,554	2.4%	
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	3,226	0.3%	1,576	0.2%	1,650	0.2%	
Native American and Alaska Native	3,306	0.4%	1,589	0.2%	1,717	0.2%	

Source: 2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.

City and County of San Francisco
Department on the Status of Women
25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 240
San Francisco, California 94102
sfgov.org/dosw
dosw@sfgov.org
415.252.2570

From: <u>Ilsa Lund</u>

To: <u>Young, Victor (BOS)</u>

Subject: Support for Mary Kate Bacalao/Local Homeless Coordinating Board candidate

**Date:** Monday, April 4, 2022 10:29:32 AM

Attachments: image001.png

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm writing to offer my unconditional support of Mary Kate Bacalao to sit on the Local Homeless Coordinating Board. She is a fierce advocate for people experiencing homelessness, a champion for equity, and a tireless leader in the homeless response system. She also has a depth of knowledge about HUD and the CoC process. The LHCB will be well-served by her.

Sincerely,

Ilsa Lund
Chief of Strategy
Larkin Street Youth Services
134 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94109
Cell – 347-267-1321
Pronouns: she/her



Larkin Street's mission is to create a continuum of services that inspires youth to move beyond the street. We will nurture potential, promote dignity, and support bold steps by all.

We acknowledge that we live and work on the unceded ancestral homeland of the <u>Ramaytush</u> <u>Ohlone</u> peoples, who are the original inhabitants of the San Francisco Peninsula.

The information in this email and in any attachments is confidential and may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy this message, delete any copies held on your systems and notify the sender immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you should not retain, copy, or use this email for any purpose, nor disclose all or any part of its content to any other person. Thank you.

April 4, 2022

The Honorable Aaron Peskin Chair, Rules Committee 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102



Submitted via electronic mail

Re: File #220184 — Appointment to the Local Homeless Coordinating Board — Letter of Support for Mary Kate Bacalao

Dear Supervisor Peskin:

On behalf of GLIDE, we proudly and enthusiastically offer our support for the appointment of Mary Kate Bacalao to the Local Homeless Coordinating Board (LHCB). Mary Kate's career has been founded in improving opportunities for people experiencing homelessness, and we have the utmost respect for and faith in her as an advocate for the people of San Francisco.

Mary Kate is the director of external affairs and policy at Compass Family Services, where she leads policy and advocacy efforts to improve systems and increase resources to meet the needs of people experiencing homelessness. She has been an instrumental force as the co-chair of the Homeless Emergency Service Providers Association (HESPA), a coalition of over 30 community-based agencies with deep roots in communities impacted by homelessness and poverty. Previously, she served as the director of public funding at Larkin Street Youth Services, where she raised and maintained the agency's portfolio of federal, state, and local public contracts for youth housing and services. She also advised HUD-funded Continuums of Care and facilitated county-level strategic planning to end homelessness as a staff attorney at HomeBase.

Mary Kate's skills and accomplishments as an organizer, advocate, attorney, and policy professional, her exceptional dedication and compassion — especially for those living at the extreme edge of poverty and marginalization — all make her an ideal member of the LHCB. Her qualifications are exemplary and we are confident her inclusion will help the LHCB fulfill its obligation to the community. For questions about the organization's position, please write me at <a href="wsaver@glide.org">wsaver@glide.org</a>.

T: 415-674-6070

F: 415-771-8420

www.glide.org

Sincerely,

Wesley Saver

Senior Policy Manager, GLIDE

Cc: Victor Young, <u>victor.young@sfgov.org</u>

April 4, 2022

The Honorable Aaron Peskin Chair, Rules Committee 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102



Submitted via electronic mail

Re: File #220184 — Appointment to the Local Homeless Coordinating Board — Letter of Support for Gary McCoy

Dear Supervisor Peskin:

On behalf of GLIDE, we proudly and enthusiastically offer our support for the appointment of Gary McCoy to Seat 8 on the Local Homeless Coordinating Board (LHCB). Gary's career has been founded in improving opportunities for people experiencing homelessness, and we have the utmost respect for and faith in him as an advocate for the people of San Francisco.

Gary's expertise is keen and lived; he experienced homelessness as a young adult, and lived on the streets of San Francisco throughout his twenties. He knows what it is like to be where many of our neighbors and loved ones currently are, and he also knows just as intimately wider community concerns.

An instrumental force in his various roles at the San Francisco Shelter Monitoring Committee and the California Homeless Coordinating and Financing Council, Gary has been heavily involved in advocating for policies and spearheading public health responses that directly relate to people experiencing homelessness, people in recovery from substance use disorder, and life-saving harm-reduction approaches for people who use drugs. He is currently Vice President of Policy & Public Affairs for HealthRIGHT 360, a non-profit provider of substance use disorder treatment, mental health services, and primary care to over 49,000 Californians annually.

Gary's skills and accomplishments as a community organizer, advocate, and policy professional, his exceptional dedication and compassion— especially for those living at the extreme edge of poverty and social isolation — and his lived experience, all recommend him as an ideal member of the LHCB. We simply cannot imagine a more qualified or promising member than Gary McCoy, and are confident his inclusion will help the LHCB fulfill its obligation to the community. For questions about the organization's position, please write me at <a href="wsaver@glide.org">wsaver@glide.org</a>.

T: 415-674-6070

F: 415-771-8420

www.glide.org

Sincerely,

Wesley Saver

Senior Policy Manager, GLIDE

Cc: Victor Young, <u>victor.young@sfgov.org</u>