

1 [Affirm adoption of the negative declaration for 2026 Lombard Street]

2

3 **Motion affirming the Planning Commission adoption of the negative declaration for**

4 **2026 Lombard Street.**

5

6 WHEREAS, The project sponsor for a development at 2026 Lombard Street (the

7 "Project") submitted its application for environmental review of the Project on May 9, 2002

8 (City Planning File No. 2002.0497E); and

9 WHEREAS, The San Francisco Planning Department (the "Department") issued a

10 preliminary mitigated negative declaration for this Project on March 29, 2003 (the "negative

11 declaration"). A copy of said document is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in

12 File No. 031265 and is incorporated by reference herein; and

13 WHEREAS, On April 21, 2003, the negative declaration was timely appealed to the

14 San Francisco Planning Commission (the "Commission"); and

15 WHEREAS, On June 12, 2003, the Commission held a duly noticed public hearing on

16 the negative declaration appeal; and

17 WHEREAS, On said date, the Commission reviewed and considered the preliminary

18 mitigated negative declaration, as amended, the appeal letter, a responses to concerns

19 document that the Department prepared, and public testimony. By Motion No. 16595, the

20 Commission found that the contents of the negative declaration and the procedures through

21 which it was prepared, publicized, and reviewed complied with the provisions of the California

22 Environmental Quality Act (Cal. Pub. Res. Code sections 21000 et seq., hereinafter "CEQA"),

23 the State CEQA Guidelines (Cal. Code Regs. Title 14, sections 15000 et seq., ("CEQA

24 Guidelines") and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code ("Chapter 31"); and

25

1        WHEREAS, By said Motion No. 16595, the Commission found the negative declaration  
2 reflected its independent judgment and analysis and was adequate, accurate, and objective,  
3 and adopted the negative declaration in compliance with CEQA, the State CEQA Guidelines,  
4 and Chapter 31. Said motion is on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No. 031265 and is  
5 incorporated by reference herein; and

6        WHEREAS, By letter to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors dated July 14, 2003,  
7 Stephen M. Williams filed an appeal of the negative declaration to the Board of Supervisors;  
8 and

9        WHEREAS, The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors received this appeal letter on or  
10 around July 15, 2003; and

11       WHEREAS, On August 12, 2003, this Board held a duly notice public hearing to  
12 consider the appeal of the negative declaration; and

13       WHEREAS, This Board has reviewed and considered the negative declaration and  
14 heard testimony and received public comment regarding the adequacy of this document; and

15       WHEREAS, The negative declaration files and all correspondence and other  
16 documents have been made available for review by this Board, the Commission and the  
17 public. These files are available for public review by appointment at the Planning Department  
18 offices at 1660 Mission Street, and are part of the record before this Board by reference  
19 herein; and

20       WHEREAS, Since the Planning Commission action on the negative declaration, there  
21 is no new information of significance that would require a substantial revision to the negative  
22 declaration and necessitate recirculation of said document pursuant to CEQA Guideline  
23 section 15073.5; now, therefore, be it

24       MOVED, That based on substantial evidence in light of the whole record, this Board of  
25 Supervisors finds the negative declaration reflects its independent judgment and analysis and

1 is adequate, accurate, and objective, and hereby affirms the decision of the Planning  
2 Commission in its Motion No. 16595 to adopt the negative declaration in compliance with  
3 CEQA, the State CEQA Guidelines, and Chapter 31.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25