

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Josephine Zhao <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Monday, June 2, 2025 6:06 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Josephine Zhao
josephine_zhao@yahoo.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Judith Parks <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Monday, June 2, 2025 6:07 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Judith Parks
jayho1208@gmail.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Megan Adams <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 10:25 PM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Megan Adams
megoadams@gmail.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Susan Cieutat <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 10:22 PM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Susan Cieutat
Susan@sfdonors.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Megan Ray <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 10:16 PM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From
Megan Ray

meg@miette.com
Miette

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Ben Ospital <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 10:10 PM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From
Ben Ospital

ospitalb@mac.com
MAC

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Marisa Johnson <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 8:52 PM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Marisa Johnson
where.is.the.cat@gmail.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Victor Critchfield <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 8:43 PM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Victor Critchfield
vcritchfield@gmail.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Christopher Miller <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 8:20 PM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Christopher Miller
chrisdavidmiller@gmail.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Heather Davies <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 8:20 PM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Heather Davies
daviesva@gmail.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Carmen Patino <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 8:16 PM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Carmen Patino
maryflor97@yahoo.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Marc Roper <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 8:13 PM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Marc Roper
roversf@gmail.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Lisa Arjes <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 8:13 PM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Lisa Arjes
lisa.arjes@gmail.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Dave Nicholson <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 8:08 PM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Dave Nicholson
darksydedave@att.net

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Raffi Kondy <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 7:49 PM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Raffi Kondy
raffi.kondy@sbcglobal.net

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Larry Quantz <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 7:48 PM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Larry Quantz
jkj2000@yahoo.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Ananth Subramaniam <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 6:34 PM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Ananth Subramaniam
ananth.subramaniam@gmail.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Mark Won <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 3:58 PM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Mark Won
mwon101@hotmail.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Matthew Steinbock <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 3:27 PM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Matthew Steinbock
msteinbock@me.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Ignacio Orellana-Garcia <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 3:20 PM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From
Ignacio Orellana-Garcia

Volare232@hotmail.com
Overland Lighting

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Marie Hurabiell <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 3:07 PM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

Having sat through many SFMTA meetings regarding the ill-concieved weekly Hayes Street closures I am concerned about the inclusion of Hayes Valley as an entertainment zone because I am not clear on the impact that designation will have on the irresponsible weekly closures. It is also concerning that this designation might happen without any outreach to the community. It seems premature to consider this.

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

Marie

From
Marie Hurabiell
mhurabie@yahoo.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Oleg Hansen <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 12:22 PM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Oleg Hansen
oleg.hansen@fisglobal.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Kevin Farrell <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 11:23 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Kevin Farrell
kevinfarrell@comcast.net

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Sandy Lipsett <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 10:52 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Sandy Lipsett
sandycj@prodigy.net

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Karen Kinahan <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 10:34 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Karen Kinahan
sfkinahan@gmail.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Marc Roper <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 10:28 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Marc Roper
roversf@gmail.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: David Driver <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 9:41 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

David Driver
davidrandolphdriver@gmail.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Stephanie Sgro <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 9:35 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Stephanie Sgro
stephsgro@sbcglobal.net

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Jackson Kim <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 9:32 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Jackson Kim
sanddollarsadie@gmail.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Alyse Ceirante <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 9:12 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Alyse Ceirante
honorlabor@hotmail.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Michael Winder <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 9:00 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Michael Winder
radamacue5@hotmail.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Robert Schaezlein <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 8:51 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Robert Schaezlein
rschaezlein@msn.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Louise Whitlock <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 8:01 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Louise Whitlock
lcwhitlock@ymail.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: PATIENCE HUTCHINSON <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 7:26 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

PATIENCE HUTCHINSON
knit1purl1@sbcglobal.net

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Patricia LaCava <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 7:06 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Patricia LaCava
pattylacava@yahoo.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Angela Tickler <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2025 6:43 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Angela Tickler
tickl1home@yahoo.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: John Kim <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2025 6:33 PM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

John Kim
gocaljohn@somasf.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Ginger pepper <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2025 6:06 PM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Ginger pepper
gingerpepper@hotmail.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Kay J <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2025 6:05 PM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Kay J
kayjensen080@gmail.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Elizabeth Shaw <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2025 4:43 PM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Elizabeth Shaw
littliz@aol.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Kristina Runske <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2025 3:52 PM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From
Kristina Runske

krunske@gmail.com
Minimal

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Stephen Albair <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2025 3:33 PM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From
Stephen Albair

stephenalbair@yahoo.com
Combinations

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Hatun Noguera <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2025 2:15 PM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Hatun Noguera
noguera@changes.world

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Yolaida Duran <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2025 11:21 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From
Yolaida Duran

allaprimahayes@att.net
Alla Prima

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Kevin Rambke <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2025 11:21 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Kevin Rambke
kevinrambke@yahoo.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Magie Crystal <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2025 11:20 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From
Magie Crystal

magie@allaprimalingerie.com
Alla Prima

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Kerry Jo Rizzo <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2025 11:18 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Kerry Jo Rizzo
kerry.jo.rizzo1@gmail.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Anna Bockris <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2025 11:18 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Anna Bockris
abockris@gmail.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Marija Maldonado <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2025 11:18 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Marija Maldonado
marijamaldonado@yahoo.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Mary Patino <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2025 11:13 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Mary Patino
maryflor97@yahoo.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Mei Ho <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2025 11:13 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Zumei Fu <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2025 11:13 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Zumei Fu
fuzumei8121@hotmail.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Doug McKirahan <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2025 11:13 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Doug McKirahan
ratt57@pacbell.net

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Ramiz Y <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2025 11:12 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Ramiz Y
ramizsf@gmail.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: Peggy Baslow <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2025 11:12 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Peggy Baslow
bad@kittywantstoshred.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: barbara savitz <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2025 11:12 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

barbara savitz
barbaranomi@hotmail.com

Young, Victor (BOS)

From: John Hurabiell <team@hvsafe.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 31, 2025 11:11 AM
To: Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject: Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent “Entertainment Zone.”

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday’s staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco’s new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley’s long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

How about just a little transparency and some honesty.

From
John Hurabiell
Lotusman@pacbell.net

Young, Victor (BOS)

From:
Sent:
To:

Richard Johnson <team@hvsafe.com>
Saturday, May 31, 2025 11:10 AM
Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Young,
Victor (BOS)
Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone

Subject:

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

As a concerned San Franciscan, I am writing to express strong opposition to the proposal to designate Hayes Street as part of a permanent "Entertainment Zone."

This policy is being fast-tracked with no clear plan, no public input, and no transparency. Supervisor Mahmood announced at last Friday's staged media event on the closure his plan to promote the Hayes Valley Entertainment Zone and a study to permanently close down Hayes Street—yet no legislation has been shared, even as the Supervisor continues to pursue the designation without offering the community any formal opportunity to respond or weigh in. HVSafe has received confirmation that this item will be heard at the Rules Committee on Monday as part of San Francisco's new wave of Entertainment Zones. Yet Hayes Valley is not listed on the Rules Committee agenda—a breach of the Sunshine Ordinance. This is not how legislation that materially affects a neighborhood should be introduced.

Residents and merchants in Hayes Valley have long raised alarms about the weekend street closure and its harmful effects. Instead of responding to those concerns, Supervisor Mahmood—who inherited an already mismanaged and divisive arrangement—is now attempting to formalize the closure through new legislation, with even less transparency. Over the past couple of months, neighbors have pressed for clarity and raised questions about mounting rumors of new legislation. Rather than respond, the Supervisor has doubled down on pursuing this outcome—while keeping our coalition—including Hayes Valley's long-term small business operators and residents—in the dark. The impacts have been clear: financial harm to small businesses, unfair permitting practices, and a public space dominated by private interests—at the luxury of the permit holder. This includes competing vendor events and non-commerce programming that siphon attention from neighborhood-serving retail. Not to mention: the existing permit has failed to meet basic compliance standards and should have been revoked long ago. Now, instead of ending this failed experiment, the Supervisor is moving to make it permanent under a new label.

I urge the Rules Committee to exclude Hayes Valley from this legislation and to demand a proper public process before any neighborhood is added to a citywide program. If the City insists on moving forward, it must give all communities a chance to opt out through a resident-led process.

From

Richard Johnson
rlj415@sbcglobal.net