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# PROPOSED INTERIM BUDGET AND APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE 

AS OF JUNE 1, 2021
FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2022
and
FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2023

The Proposed Interim Budget and Appropriation Ordinance as of June 1, 2021 and its accompanying schedules are produced by the Controller's Budget Office. Upon approval, this is the document that is the legal authority for the City to spend funds during the fiscal year.

This document contains information on the sources and uses of City funds and selected departments, detailed by department and program. Additional schedules summarize City revenues and expenditures by service area, department, and fund. Please see the table of contents for a complete list of the information contained in this document.

Copies of this document are distributed to City libraries and posted on the City Controller's website (http://www.sfcontroller.org). They may also be viewed at the following City Hall offices:

Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 288
Controller's Office
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 316

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

If you would like additional copies or need further information, please call the Controller's Budget Office at (415) 554-7500.
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FILE NO. ORDINANCE NO. $\qquad$

# AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING ALL ESTIMATED RECEIPTS 

AND ALL ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2022
and
THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2023

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

SECTION 1. The amounts of estimated receipts, income, prior-year fund balance, prior-year reserves, de-appropriations, and revenue enumerated herein are hereby appropriated to the funds and departments indicated in this ordinance for the purpose of meeting appropriations herein provided.

SECTION 2. The amounts of proposed expenditures are hereby appropriated to the funds and departments as enumerated herein. Each department for which an expenditure appropriation is herein made is hereby authorized to use, in the manner provided by the law, the amounts so appropriated for the purposes specified in this appropriation ordinance.

## CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF SOURCES AND USES

Consolidated Schedule of Sources and Uses

| Sources of Funds | 2021-2022 |  |  | 2022-2023 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General Fund | Self Supporting | Total | General Fund | Self Supporting | Total |
| Prior Year Fund Balance | 470,098,738 | 360,833,325 | 830,932,063 | 312,408,671 | 129,163,623 | 441,572,294 |
| Prior Year Reserves | 253,501,373 | 33,342,647 | 286,844,020 | 13,998,902 | 29,343,545 | 43,342,447 |
| Regular Revenues | 5,427,567,734 | 6,536,399,523 | 11,963,967,257 | 5,817,637,829 | 6,455,740,417 | 12,273,378,246 |
| Transfers Into the General Fund | 158,329,019 | $(158,329,019)$ | 0 | 162,941,430 | $(162,941,430)$ | 0 |
| Sources of Funds Total | 6,309,496,864 | 6,772,246,476 | 13,081,743,340 | 6,306,986,832 | 6,451,306,155 | 12,758,292,987 |
| Uses of Funds | General Fund | Self Supporting | Total | General Fund | Self Supporting | Total |
| Gross Expenditures | 5,555,281,905 | 7,993,660,660 | 13,548,942,565 | 5,495,262,874 | 7,955,925,100 | 13,451,187,974 |
| Less Interdepartmental Recoveries | $(594,890,833)$ | (593,904,360) | (1,188,795,193) | $(611,603,242)$ | $(605,575,338)$ | (1,217,178,580) |
| Capital Projects | 114,754,315 | 271,841,108 | 386,595,423 | 36,323,976 | 174,900,358 | 211,224,334 |
| Facilities Maintenance | 2,982,114 | 60,352,149 | 63,334,263 | 2,657,969 | 61,417,219 | 64,075,188 |
| Reserves | 58,903,938 | 212,762,344 | 271,666,282 | 62,794,939 | 186,189,132 | 248,984,071 |
| Transfers From the General Fund | 1,172,465,425 | (1,172,465,425) | 0 | 1,321,550,316 | (1,321,550,316) | 0 |
| Uses of Funds Total | 6,309,496,864 | 6,772,246,476 | 13,081,743,340 | 6,306,986,832 | 6,451,306,155 | 12,758,292,987 |

## SOURCES OF FUNDS BY MAJOR SERVICE AREA

## AND DEPARTMENT

Sources of Funds by Service Area and Department

|  | Fiscal Year 2021-2022 |  |  | Fiscal Year 2022-2023 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department | Departmental Revenues and Recoveries | Allocated General Fund Support | Total Departmental Sources | Departmental Revenues and Recoveries | Allocated General Fund Support | Total Departmental Sources |
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| Department | Departmental Revenues and Recoveries | Allocated General Fund Support | Total Departmental Sources | Departmental Revenues and Recoveries | Allocated General Fund Support | Total Departmental Sources |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL Community Health | 1,982,290,054 | 839,104,479 | 2,821,394,533 | 1,786,639,339 | 971,228,606 | 2,757,867,945 |
| 05: Culture \& Recreation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AAM ASIAN ART MUSEUM | 453,210 | 10,188,567 | 10,641,777 | 461,971 | 10,711,089 | 11,173,060 |
| ART ARTS COMMISSION | 17,200,314 | 33,835,960 | 51,036,274 | 18,625,464 | 10,640,101 | 29,265,565 |
| FAM FINE ARTS MUSEUM | 1,217,770 | 19,767,986 | 20,985,756 | 1,243,066 | 19,753,768 | 20,996,834 |
| LIB PUBLIC LIBRARY | 75,252,006 | 95,940,000 | 171,192,006 | 72,771,356 | 99,550,000 | 172,321,356 |
| LLB LAW LIBRARY |  | 2,033,206 | 2,033,206 |  | 2,130,773 | 2,130,773 |
| REC RECREATION \& PARK COMMSN | 151,369,910 | 93,473,550 | 244,843,460 | 143,666,714 | 85,915,612 | 229,582,326 |
| SCI ACADEMY OF SCIENCES |  | 5,572,739 | 5,572,739 |  | 5,686,913 | 5,686,913 |
| WAR WAR MEMORIAL | 19,797,772 | 9,502,809 | 29,300,581 | 18,994,448 | 9,557,306 | 28,551,754 |
| TOTAL Culture \& Recreation | 265,290,982 | 270,314,817 | 535,605,799 | 255,763,019 | 243,945,562 | 499,708,581 |
| 06: General Administration \& Finance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ADM GEN SVCS AGENCY-CITY ADMIN | 476,480,774 | 75,875,755 | 552,356,529 | 463,934,874 | 84,872,216 | 548,807,090 |
| ASR ASSESSOR / RECORDER | 7,884,110 | 27,992,379 | 35,876,489 | 8,086,573 | 27,724,739 | 35,811,312 |
| BOS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS | 542,071 | 19,029,650 | 19,571,721 | 443,136 | 19,489,414 | 19,932,550 |
| CAT CITY ATTORNEY | 71,909,561 | 27,237,236 | 99,146,797 | 70,596,461 | 30,963,983 | 101,560,444 |
| CON CONTROLLER | 65,079,174 | 12,335,796 | 77,414,970 | 64,047,636 | 12,175,564 | 76,223,200 |
| CPC CITY PLANNING | 54,718,899 | 7,700,560 | 62,419,459 | 48,974,694 | 9,086,927 | 58,061,621 |
| CSC CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION | 360,839 | 1,027,473 | 1,388,312 | 360,839 | 1,057,857 | 1,418,696 |
| ETH ETHICS COMMISSION | 157,200 | 6,393,878 | 6,551,078 | 157,200 | 7,301,001 | 7,458,201 |
| HRD HUMAN RESOURCES | 90,720,609 | 27,140,142 | 117,860,751 | 94,177,991 | 20,806,306 | 114,984,297 |
| HSS HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM | 12,570,769 | 0 | 12,570,769 | 12,908,805 | 0 | 12,908,805 |
| MYR MAYOR | 56,753,727 | 171,687,402 | 228,441,129 | 41,705,834 | 118,519,198 | 160,225,032 |
| REG ELECTIONS | 4,755,472 | 26,001,525 | 30,756,997 | 519,291 | 22,405,709 | 22,925,000 |
| RET RETIREMENT SYSTEM | 42,205,113 | $(470,239)$ | 41,734,874 | 42,405,113 | $(425,074)$ | 41,980,039 |
| TIS GSA - TECHNOLOGY | 136,520,206 | 16,601,325 | 153,121,531 | 137,677,897 | 7,167,155 | 144,845,052 |
| TTX TREASURER/TAX COLLECTOR | 19,034,898 | 26,071,417 | 45,106,315 | 19,018,572 | 26,644,718 | 45,663,290 |
| TOTAL General Administration \& Finance | 1,039,693,422 | 444,624,299 | 1,484,317,721 | 1,005,014,916 | 387,789,713 | 1,392,804,629 |
| 07: General City Responsibilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GEN GENERAL CITY / UNALLOCATED | 5,896,081,809 | (4,282,530,798) | 1,613,551,011 | 5,794,004,242 | $(4,337,647,754)$ | 1,456,356,488 |
| TOTAL General City Responsibilities | 5,896,081,809 | (4,282,530,798) | 1,613,551,011 | 5,794,004,242 | (4,337,647,754) | 1,456,356,488 |
| Less Citywide Transfer Adjustments | $(1,127,980,331)$ |  | $(1,127,980,331)$ | $(966,902,117)$ |  | $(966,902,117)$ |
| Less Interdepartmental Recoveries | $(1,188,795,193)$ |  | $(1,188,795,193)$ | $(1,217,178,580)$ |  | $(1,217,178,580)$ |
| Net Total Sources of Funds | 13,081,743,340 | 0 | 13,081,743,340 | 12,758,292,987 | 0 | 12,758,292,987 |

## SOURCES OF FUNDS

## Sources of Funds - FY 2021-2022

General Fund


Property Taxes, 33.5\%
GENERAL FUND Sorted by Size

| Sources of Funds | FY 2021-2022 | \% of Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Property Taxes | $2,115,600,000$ | $33.5 \%$ |
| Business Taxes | $957,140,000$ | $15.2 \%$ |
| Intergovernmental - State | $836,482,018$ | $13.3 \%$ |
| Other Local Taxes | $777,750,000$ | $12.3 \%$ |
| Intergovernmental - Federal | $378,512,244$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| Charges for Services | $255,110,935$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| Interest \& Investment Income | $36,247,329$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Licenses, Permits \& Franchises | $27,944,010$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| Other Revenues | $24,237,535$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| Rents \& Concessions | $11,728,090$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Fines and Forfeitures | $4,034,532$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| Intergovernmental - Other | $2,781,041$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Regular Revenues | $\mathbf{5 , 4 2 7 , 5 6 7 , 7 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 . 0 \%}$ |
| Prior Year Fund Balance | $470,098,738$ | $\mathbf{7 . 5 \%}$ |
| Prior Year Designated Reserve | $\mathbf{2 5 3 , 5 0 1 , 3 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 0 \%}$ |
| Transfers into General Fund | $\mathbf{1 5 8 , 3 2 9 , 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 \%}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{8 8 1 , 9 2 9 , 1 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 0 \%}$ |
| Total Sources | $\mathbf{6 , 3 0 9 , 4 9 6 , 8 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

## Sources of Funds - FY 2022-2023 General Fund



GENERAL FUND
Sorted by Size

| Sources of Funds | FY 2022-2023 | \% of Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Property Taxes | $2,211,700,000$ | $35.1 \%$ |
| Other Local Taxes | $1,076,092,000$ | $17.1 \%$ |
| Business Taxes | $1,065,350,000$ | $16.9 \%$ |
| Intergovernmental - State | $787,011,491$ | $12.5 \%$ |
| Intergovernmental - Federal | $312,186,942$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| Charges for Services | $256,048,067$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| Interest \& Investment Income | $38,307,329$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Licenses, Permits \& Franchises | $27,996,656$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| Other Revenues | $24,256,463$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| Rents \& Concessions | $13,120,090$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Fines and Forfeitures | $3,087,750$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Intergovernmental - Other | $2,481,041$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Regular Revenues | $\mathbf{5 , 8 1 7 , 6 3 7 , 8 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 . 2 \%}$ |
| Prior Year Fund Balance | $312,408,671$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| Transfers into General Fund | $\mathbf{1 6 2 , 9 4 1 , 4 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6 \%}$ |
| Prior Year Designated Reserve | $\mathbf{1 3 , 9 9 8 , 9 0 2}$ | $0.2 \%$ |
|  | $\mathbf{4 8 9 , 3 4 9 , 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 8 \%}$ |
| Total Sources | $\mathbf{6 , 3 0 6 , 9 8 6 , 8 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

[^0]
## Sources of Funds - FY 2021-2022 All Funds



Prior Year Fund Balance, 6.4\%
ALL FUNDS Sorted by Size

| Sources of Funds | FY 2021-2022 | \% of Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Charges for Services | $3,820,680,132$ | $29.2 \%$ |
| Property Taxes | $2,632,691,462$ | $20.1 \%$ |
| Business Taxes | $1,513,810,000$ | $11.6 \%$ |
| Intergovernmental - State | $1,146,367,399$ | $8.8 \%$ |
| Intergovernmental - Federal | $818,734,435$ | $6.3 \%$ |
| Other Local Taxes | $796,331,031$ | $6.1 \%$ |
| Rents \& Concessions | $455,403,146$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| Other Revenues | $299,194,683$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| Fines and Forfeitures | $147,026,119$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| Intergovernmental - Other | $134,201,514$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| Interest \& Investment Income | $75,436,731$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Other Financing Sources | $67,499,999$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| Licenses, Permits \& Franchises | $56,590,606$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| Regular Revenues | $\mathbf{1 1 , 9 6 3 , 9 6 7 , 2 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 . 5 \%}$ |
| Prior Year Fund Balance | $830,932,063$ | $6.4 \%$ |
| Prior Year Designated Reserve | $\mathbf{2 8 6 , 8 4 4 , 0 2 0}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 , 1 1 7 , 7 7 6 , 0 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 5 \%}$ |
| Total Sources | $\mathbf{1 3 , 0 8 1 , 7 4 3 , 3 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

## Sources of Funds - FY 2022-2023

 All Funds

Prior Year Fund Balance, 3.5\%

## ALL FUNDS

Sorted by Size

| Sources of Funds | FY 2022-2023 | \% of Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Charges for Services | $3,827,068,706$ | $30.0 \%$ |
| Property Taxes | $2,739,581,462$ | $21.5 \%$ |
| Business Taxes | $1,616,655,693$ | $12.7 \%$ |
| Other Local Taxes | $1,121,252,865$ | $8.8 \%$ |
| Intergovernmental - State | $1,071,469,741$ | $8.4 \%$ |
| Intergovernmental - Federal | $710,026,268$ | $5.6 \%$ |
| Rents \& Concessions | $525,792,115$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| Other Revenues | $248,399,741$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| Fines and Forfeitures | $144,600,396$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| Intergovernmental - Other | $131,888,621$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| Interest \& Investment Income | $80,133,830$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Licenses, Permits \& Franchises | $56,508,808$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| Other Financing Sources | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Regular Revenues | $\mathbf{1 2 , 2 7 3 , 3 7 8 , 2 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 . 2 \%}$ |
| Prior Year Fund Balance | $441,572,294$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| Prior Year Designated Reserve | $43,342,447$ | $0.3 \%$ |
|  | $\mathbf{4 8 4 , 9 1 4 , 7 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8 \%}$ |
| Total Sources | $\mathbf{1 2 , 7 5 8 , 2 9 2 , 9 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

[^1]
## USES OF FUNDS

## Uses of Funds - FY 2021-2022 <br> General Fund



Non-Personnel Operating Costs, 14.2\%

|  | Gross |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Types of Use | FY 2021-2022 | \% of Total |
| Personnel - Salaries \& Wages | $1,955,723,729$ | $31.0 \%$ |
| Personnel - Fringe Benefits | $855,041,507$ | $13.6 \%$ |
| Personnel - Sub Total | $\mathbf{2 , 8 1 0 , 7 6 5 , 2 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 5 \%}$ |
| Non-Personnel Operating Costs | $911,470,183$ | $14.4 \%$ |
| Debt Service | $27,443,587$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| Capital \& Equipment | $328,441,512$ | $5.2 \%$ |
| Aid Assistance | $487,577,663$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| Grants | $580,135,599$ | $9.2 \%$ |
| Reserves | $55,774,938$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| Unappropriated Reserves | $3,129,000$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Facilities Maintenance | $2,982,114$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Transfer from General Fund | $\mathbf{1 , 1 7 2 , 4 6 5 , 4 2 5}$ | $18.6 \%$ |
| Services of Other Depts, Recoveries \& Ov | $\mathbf{7 0 , 6 8 8 , 3 9 3 )}$ | $-1.1 \%$ |
| Non - Personnel - Sub Total | $\mathbf{3 , 4 9 8 , 7 3 1 , 6 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 . 5 \%}$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{6 , 3 0 9 , 4 9 6 , 8 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |


| Net Recoveries |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| FY 2021-2022 | \% of Total |
| $1,918,582,884$ | $30.4 \%$ |
| $838,803,547$ | $13.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 , 7 5 7 , 3 8 6 , 4 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 7} \%$ |
| $894,160,595$ | $14.2 \%$ |
| $27,443,587$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| $328,441,512$ | $5.2 \%$ |
| $487,577,663$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| $580,135,599$ | $9.2 \%$ |
| $55,774,938$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| $3,129,000$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $2,982,114$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 , 1 7 2 , 4 6 5 , 4 2 5}$ | $18.6 \%$ |
| 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 , 5 5 2 , 1 1 0 , 4 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 3} \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 , 3 0 9 , 4 9 6 , 8 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

## Uses of Funds - FY 2022-2023

General Fund


Non-Personnel Operating Costs, 13.9\%
Fund Balance, 0.1\%

|  | Gross |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Types of Use | FY 2022-2023 | \% of Total |
| Personnel - Salaries \& Wages | $2,019,434,077$ | $32.0 \%$ |
| Personnel - Fringe Benefits | $869,595,230$ | $13.8 \%$ |
| Personnel - Sub Total | $\mathbf{2 , 8 8 9 , 0 2 9 , 3 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 8 \%}$ |
| Non-Personnel Operating Costs | $893,595,879$ | $14.2 \%$ |
| Debt Service | $42,409,520$ | $0.7 \%$ |
| Capital \& Equipment | $178,532,965$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| Aid Assistance | $457,782,014$ | $7.3 \%$ |
| Grants | $531,268,758$ | $8.4 \%$ |
| Reserves | $56,950,939$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| Unappropriated Reserves | $5,844,000$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| Facilities Maintenance | $2,657,969$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Transfer from General Fund | $\mathbf{1 , 3 2 1 , 5 5 0 , 3 1 6}$ | $21.0 \%$ |
| Services of Other Depts, Recoveries \& Ov | $\mathbf{7 2 , 6 3 4 , 8 3 5 )}$ | $-1.2 \%$ |
| Non - Personnel - Sub Total | $\mathbf{3 , 4 1 7 , 9 5 7 , 5 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 . 2 \%}$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{6 , 3 0 6 , 9 8 6 , 8 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |


| Net Recoveries |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| FY 2022-2023 | \% of Total |
| $1,980,656,441$ | $31.4 \%$ |
| $852,897,063$ | $13.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 , 8 3 3 , 5 5 3 , 5 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 9 \%}$ |
| $876,436,846$ | $13.9 \%$ |
| $42,409,520$ | $0.7 \%$ |
| $178,532,965$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| $457,782,014$ | $7.3 \%$ |
| $531,268,758$ | $8.4 \%$ |
| $56,950,939$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| $5,844,000$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| $2,657,969$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| $1,321,550,316$ | $21.0 \%$ |
| 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 , 4 7 3 , 4 3 3 , 3 2 7}$ | $55.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 , 3 0 6 , 9 8 6}, 832$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

* The table above reflects preliminary Fiscal Year 2022-23 appropriations for the Airport Commission, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, Municipal Transportation Agency, and the Port Commission.


## Uses of Funds - FY 2021-2022 <br> All Funds



Facilities Maintenance, 0.5\%

|  | Gross |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Types of Use | FY 2021-2022 | \% of Total |
| Personnel - Salaries \& Wages | $4,171,081,422$ | $31.9 \%$ |
| Personnel - Fringe Benefits | $1,859,849,431$ | $14.2 \%$ |
| Personnel - Sub Total | $\mathbf{6 , 0 3 0 , 9 3 0 , 8 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 1 \%}$ |
| Non-Personnel Operating Costs | $2,829,977,869$ | $21.6 \%$ |
| Debt Service | $1,342,251,900$ | $10.3 \%$ |
| Grants | $1,180,042,415$ | $9.0 \%$ |
| Capital \& Equipment | $1,061,663,248$ | $8.1 \%$ |
| Aid Assistance | $539,476,970$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| Reserves | $260,911,383$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| Facilities Maintenance | $63,334,263$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| Unappropriated Reserves | $10,754,899$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| Services of Other Depts, Recoveries \& Ov | $(237,600,460)$ | $-1.8 \%$ |
| Non - Personnel - Sub Total | $\mathbf{7 , 0 5 0 , 8 1 2 , 4 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 . 9 \%}$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{1 3 , 0 8 1 , 7 4 3 , 3 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Average Per Employee (FTE) |  |  |
| Personnel - Salaries \& Wages | 129,468 | $69.2 \%$ |
| Personnel - Fringe Benefits | 57,729 | $30.8 \%$ |
| Personnel - Sub Total | $\mathbf{1 8 7 , 1 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

## Uses of Funds - FY 2022-2023 <br> All Funds



Facilities Maintenance, 0.5\%

|  | Gross |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Types of Use | FY 2022-2023 | \% of Total |
| Personnel - Salaries \& Wages | $4,309,932,677$ | $33.8 \%$ |
| Personnel - Fringe Benefits | $1,896,099,820$ | $14.9 \%$ |
| Personnel - Sub Total | $\mathbf{6 , 2 0 6 , 0 3 2 , 4 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 . 6 \%}$ |
| Non-Personnel Operating Costs | $2,817,918,174$ | $22.1 \%$ |
| Debt Service | $1,365,680,935$ | $10.7 \%$ |
| Grants | $1,114,155,522$ | $8.7 \%$ |
| Capital \& Equipment | $700,947,526$ | $5.5 \%$ |
| Aid Assistance | $494,016,451$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| Reserves | $227,927,414$ | $1.8 \%$ |
| Facilities Maintenance | $64,075,188$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| Unappropriated Reserves | $21,056,657$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Services of Other Depts, Recoveries \& Ov | $-253,517,377$ | $-2.0 \%$ |
| Non - Personnel - Sub Total | $\mathbf{6 , 5 5 2 , 2 6 0 , 4 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 . 4 \%}$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{1 2 , 7 5 8 , 2 9 2 , 9 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Average Per Employee (FTE) |  |  |
| Personnel - Salaries \& Wages | 133,948 | $69.4 \%$ |
| Personnel - Fringe Benefits | 58,929 | $30.6 \%$ |
| Personnel - Sub Total | $\mathbf{1 9 2 , 8 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |


| Net Recoveries |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| FY 2022-2023 | \% of Total |
| $4,188,850,142$ | $32.8 \%$ |
| $1,842,831,105$ | $14.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 , 0 3 1 , 6 8 1 , 2 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 3} \%$ |
| $2,738,752,047$ | $21.5 \%$ |
| $1,365,680,935$ | $10.7 \%$ |
| $700,947,526$ | $5.5 \%$ |
| $494,016,451$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| $1,114,155,522$ | $8.7 \%$ |
| $227,927,414$ | $1.8 \%$ |
| $21,056,657$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| $64,075,188$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 , 7 2 6}, \mathbf{6 1 1 , 7 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 7} \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2 , 7 5 8 , 2 9 2 , 9 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

[^2]
## POSITIONS BY MAJOR SERVICE AREA

## AND DEPARTMENT

## Funded Positions by Major Service Area and Department

Service Area: A, Public Protection

|  | $2020-2021$ <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> $2020-2021$ | $2022-2023$ <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> $2021-2022$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ADULT PROBATION | 147.38 | 154.55 | 7.17 | 153.07 | $(1.48)$ |
| DISTRICT ATTORNEY | 266.83 | 278.15 | 11.32 | 278.10 | $(0.05)$ |
| EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT | 296.79 | 300.21 | 3.42 | 275.60 | $(24.61)$ |
| FIRE DEPARTMENT | $1,641.24$ | $1,669.74$ | 28.50 | $1,665.65$ | $(4.09)$ |
| JUVENILE PROBATION | 183.61 | 178.45 | $(5.16)$ | 179.31 | 0.86 |
| POLICE | $3,048.45$ | $2,897.46$ | $(150.99)$ | $2,952.97$ | 55.51 |
| POLICE ACCOUNTABILTY | 47.94 | 42.51 | $(5.43)$ | 42.51 | 0.00 |
| PUBLIC DEFENDER | 188.44 | 186.88 | $(1.56)$ | 186.87 | $(0.01)$ |
| SHERIFF | $1,007.63$ | $1,001.01$ | $(6.62)$ | $1,001.10$ | 0.09 |
| SHERIFF ACCOUNTABILITY OIG | 0.00 | 7.70 | 7.70 | 13.00 | 5.30 |
| Service Area: A, Public Protection Total | $\mathbf{6 , 8 2 8 . 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 7 1 6 . 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 1 1 . 6 5 )}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 7 4 8 . 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 5 2}$ |

Service Area: B, Public Works, Transportation \& Commerce

|  | $2020-2021$ <br> Original <br> Budget | $2021-2022$ <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> $2021-2022$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| AIRPORT COMMISSION | $1,609.69$ | $1,601.49$ | $(8.20)$ | $1,604.08$ | 2.59 |
| BOARD OF APPEALS | 5.02 | 4.20 | $(0.82)$ | 4.20 | 0.00 |
| BUILDING INSPECTION | 265.49 | 270.08 | 4.59 | 269.91 | $(0.17)$ |
| DEPT OF SANITATION \& STS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ECONOMIC AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT | 104.69 | 109.25 | 4.56 | 106.52 | $(2.73)$ |
| GENERAL SERVICES AGENCY - PUBLIC WORKS | $1,063.07$ | $1,052.51$ | $(10.56)$ | $1,048.70$ | $(3.81)$ |
| MUNICIPAL TRANSPRTN AGNCY | $5,519.75$ | $5,583.61$ | 63.86 | $5,586.06$ | 2.45 |
| PORT | 231.81 | 222.72 | $(9.09)$ | 222.53 | $(0.19)$ |
| PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSN | $1,666.85$ | $1,708.67$ | 41.82 | $\mathbf{1 , 7 1 5 . 2 2}$ | 6.55 |
| Service Area: B, Public Works, Transportation \& | $\mathbf{1 0 , 4 6 6 . 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 5 5 2 . 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 . 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 5 5 7 . 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6 9}$ |
| Commerce Total |  |  |  |  |  |

Service Area: C, Human Welfare \& Neighborhood Development

|  | $2020-2021$ <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES | 66.22 | 66.06 | $(0.16)$ | 65.73 | $(0.33)$ |
| CHILDREN AND FAMILIES COMMISSION | 14.19 | 16.00 | 1.81 | 0.00 | $(16.00)$ |
| CHILDREN; YOUTH \& THEIR FAMILIES | 54.92 | 55.15 | 0.23 | 55.07 | $(0.08)$ |
| DEPT OF EARLY CHILDHOOD | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 61.74 | 61.74 |
| ENVIRONMENT | 70.70 | 68.39 | $(2.31)$ | 67.97 | $(0.42)$ |
| HOMELESSNESS AND SUPPORTIVE HOUSING | 156.97 | 221.57 | 64.60 | 192.12 | $(29.45)$ |
| HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION | 22.91 | 22.57 | $(0.34)$ | 23.02 | 0.45 |
| HUMAN SERVICES | $2,159.97$ | $2,209.85$ | 49.88 | $2,158.98$ | $(50.87)$ |
| RENT ARBITRATION BOARD | 35.15 | 46.74 | 11.59 | 49.61 | 2.87 |
| STATUS OF WOMEN | 5.38 | 6.92 | 1.54 | 6.88 | $(0.04)$ |
| Service Area: C, Human Welfare \& Neighborhood | $\mathbf{2 , 5 8 6 . 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 1 3 . 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6 . 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 8 1 . 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 2 . 1 3 )}$ |
| Development Total |  |  |  |  |  |

Service Area: D, Community Health

|  | $2020-2021$ <br> Original <br> Budget | $2021-2022$ <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> $2020-2021$ | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> $2021-2022$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PUBLIC HEALTH | $7,161.30$ | $7,379.76$ | 218.46 | $7,316.06$ | (63.70) |
| Service Area: D, Community Health Total | $\mathbf{7 , 1 6 1 . 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 3 7 9 . 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 8 . 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 3 1 6 . 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 3 . 7 0})$ |

Service Area: E, Culture \& Recreation

|  | Original Budget | Proposed Budget | 2020-2021 | Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACADEMY OF SCIENCES | 11.04 | 11.11 | 0.07 | 11.08 | (0.03) |
| ARTS COMMISSION | 28.42 | 28.52 | 0.10 | 28.50 | (0.02) |
| ASIAN ART MUSEUM | 53.29 | 52.29 | (1.00) | 52.23 | (0.06) |
| FINE ARTS MUSEUM | 104.60 | 104.30 | (0.30) | 105.13 | 0.83 |
| LAW LIBRARY | 2.30 | 2.32 | 0.02 | 2.32 | 0.00 |
| PUBLIC LIBRARY | 700.17 | 702.65 | 2.48 | 702.60 | (0.05) |
| RECREATION AND PARK COMMISSION | 911.71 | 928.12 | 16.41 | 945.43 | 17.31 |
| WAR MEMORIAL | 61.81 | 67.90 | 6.09 | 68.80 | 0.90 |
| Service Area: E, Culture \& Recreation Total | 1,873.34 | 1,897.21 | 23.87 | 1,916.09 | 18.88 |

## Service Area: F, General Administration \& Finance

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSESSOR / RECORDER | 161.69 | 164.37 | 2.68 | 167.29 | 2.92 |
| BOARD OF SUPERVISORS | 85.95 | 86.70 | 0.75 | 86.90 | 0.20 |
| CITY ATTORNEY | 309.80 | 312.91 | 3.11 | 313.08 | 0.17 |
| CITY PLANNING | 217.29 | 209.41 | (7.88) | 210.51 | 1.10 |
| CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION | 5.79 | 6.00 | 0.21 | 6.00 | 0.00 |
| CONTROLLER | 248.19 | 252.33 | 4.14 | 256.20 | 3.87 |
| ELECTIONS | 61.20 | 80.29 | 19.09 | 59.22 | (21.07) |
| ETHICS COMMISSION | 22.78 | 30.50 | 7.72 | 32.33 | 1.83 |
| GENERAL SERVICES AGENCY-CITY ADMIN | 913.06 | 941.43 | 28.37 | 955.86 | 14.43 |
| HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM | 47.12 | 47.17 | 0.05 | 47.17 | 0.00 |
| HUMAN RESOURCES | 177.28 | 201.12 | 23.84 | 191.39 | (9.73) |
| MAYOR | 76.06 | 82.81 | 6.75 | 84.24 | 1.43 |
| RETIREMENT SYSTEM | 106.83 | 113.01 | 6.18 | 117.75 | 4.74 |
| GENERAL SERVICES AGENCY-TECHNOLOGY | 223.75 | 230.29 | 6.54 | 230.29 | 0.00 |
| TREASURER/TAX COLLECTOR | 205.44 | 199.30 | (6.14) | 199.25 | (0.05) |
| Service Area: F, General Administration \& Finance Total | 2,862.23 | 2,957.64 | 95.41 | 2,957.48 | (0.16) |

Service Area: G, General City Responsibilities

|  | $2020-2021$ <br> Original <br> Budget | $2021-2022$ <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> $2020-2021$ | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> $2021-2022$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| GENERAL CITY / UNALLOCATED | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Service Area: G, General City Responsibilities Total | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{3 1 , 7 7 7 . 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 , 2 1 7 . 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 9 . 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 , 1 7 6 . 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 0 . 9 0 )}$ |

[^3]
## MAJOR FUND BUDGETARY RECAP

Enterprise Internal Service Other Total All Funds Agency／Trust | 830,932 |
| ---: |
|  |
| 286,844 |
| $\mathbf{1 , 1 1 7 , 7 7 6}$ |
| $2,632,691$ |
| 796,331 | 796,331

$1,513,810$ 455,403
147,026 147,026
75,437
 $1,146,367$
818,734


 | L96＇E96＇LL |
| :--- |
| 00 S＇$^{\prime} \angle 9$ |

 469，599 $\begin{array}{r}1,642,064 \\ \hline 14,723,808\end{array}$
（2，764，961）

 | $\infty$ |
| :---: |
| $\stackrel{\infty}{N}$ |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{s}$ |
|  | $(530,392)$ $(2,367,178)$ $(1,699,664)$ $(4,386,217)$ $(65,253) \quad(13,070,988)$

 $\left(\begin{array}{r}(286,132) \\ (1,642,064)\end{array}\right.$
 （とS0＇をしく＇ゅし） （253）
1,976

## General Fund Special Revenue Capital Projects Debt Service


3，287 －
391，890 113，250 32,395
19,413 126,028
212,420 212，420 126,727
$3,446,861$ $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$

41
$\square \quad 633$ － 1，202
 City and County of San Francisco
Major Fund Budgetary Recap
Budget Year 2021－2022
Sources
Prior Year Fund Balance－
6／30／21（est．）
Prior Year Reserves
Prop Year Sources Total
Property Taxes Business Taxes Rents \＆Concessions Fines and Forfeitures
Fines and Forfeitures
Interest \＆Investment Income
Interest \＆Investment Income
Licenses，Permits \＆Franchises Intergovernmental－State Intergovernmental－Federal Intergovernmental－Other Charges for Services Other Revenues Other Financing Sources

| Current Year Sources Total | $\mathbf{5 , 4 2 7 , 5 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 5 5 , \mathbf { 2 2 8 }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Contribution Transfers In | - | 449,714 |
| Operating Transfer In | 158,329 | 159 |


| Current Year Sources Total | $\mathbf{5 , 4 2 7 , 5 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 5 5 , \mathbf { 2 2 8 }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Contribution Transfers In | - | 449,714 |
| Operating Transfer In | 158,329 | 159 |

Transfers In Total Available Sources Total
Uses
Community Health $\begin{array}{lr}\text { Community Health } & (1,056,434) \\ \text { Culture \＆Recreation } & (221,888) \\ \text { General Administration and } & (475,678)\end{array}$ General City Responsibilities $(1,417,298)$
（1，511，140） $(221,712)$
$(5,133,902)$
$(1,132,692)$
$\begin{array}{r}(39,773) \\ \hline(1,172,465) \\ \hline(6,306,368)\end{array}$
City and County of San Francisco Major Fund Budgetary Recap
Budget Year 2022-2023

## APPROPRIATION DETAIL BY DEPARTMENT

Department Appropriations (2 year)


41,404
6,842

165,928


114,174
$\varepsilon Z L^{\prime} \angle 8 G^{\prime} G$
$\varepsilon Z L^{\prime} \angle 8 G^{\prime} G$

Sources of Funds Detail by Account
1,346,947 577,885
 603,398 GZO‘09s'เ 5,587,723 CZL'L8G's
Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation
Department: SCI
Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023


| Department: ADP Adult Probation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| Community Health Services Fund | 223,295 | 236,894 | 13,599 |  | $(236,894)$ |
| General Fund | 37,580,970 | 44,189,685 | 6,608,715 | 45,108,255 | 918,570 |
| Public Protection Fund | 4,013,844 | 3,591,734 | $(422,110)$ | 3,576,144 | $(15,590)$ |
| Total Uses by Funds | 41,818,109 | 48,018,313 | 6,200,204 | 48,684,399 | 666,086 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| ADP Adult Probation | 41,818,109 | 48,018,313 | 6,200,204 | 48,684,399 | 666,086 |
| Total Uses by Division | 41,818,109 | 48,018,313 | 6,200,204 | 48,684,399 | 666,086 |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 16,119,633 | 18,215,609 | 2,095,976 | 18,662,329 | 446,720 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 8,672,462 | 9,926,159 | 1,253,697 | 10,049,370 | 123,211 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 8,106,067 | 7,270,582 | $(835,485)$ | 7,366,849 | 96,267 |
| City Grant Program | 4,730,309 | 9,005,146 | 4,274,837 | 8,958,160 | $(46,986)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 438,579 | 211,783 | $(226,796)$ | 211,783 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | 3,751,059 | 3,389,034 | $(362,025)$ | 3,435,908 | 46,874 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 41,818,109 | 48,018,313 | 6,200,204 | 48,684,399 | 666,086 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 444931 Fed Grants Pass-Thru State-Oth | 777,254 | 402,946 | $(374,308)$ | 387,356 | $(15,590)$ |
| 444939 Federal Direct Grant | 300,000 |  | $(300,000)$ |  |  |
| 448411 Realignment Backfill | 780,891 |  | $(780,891)$ |  |  |
| 448920 Local Community Correctn-Ab109 | 14,807,530 | 18,717,052 | 3,909,522 | 18,717,052 |  |
| 448999 Other State Grants \& Subventns | 283,355 | 371,630 | 88,275 | 134,736 | $(236,894)$ |




* The table above reflects preliminary Fiscal Year 2022-23 appropriations for the Airport Commission.

| Salaries |  | 177,646,718 | 188,635,499 | 10,988,781 | 195,058,344 | 6,422,845 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits |  | 92,840,267 | 94,328,615 | 1,488,348 | 96,046,190 | 1,717,575 |
| Non-Personnel Services |  | 176,923,940 | 164,641,264 | $(12,282,676)$ | 155,318,147 | $(9,323,117)$ |
| Capital Outlay |  | 78,978,982 | 59,417,976 | $(19,561,006)$ | 55,064,473 | $(4,353,503)$ |
| Debt Service |  | 531,312,000 | 521,435,725 | $(9,876,275)$ | 531,625,783 | 10,190,058 |
| Facilities Maintenance |  | 13,000,000 | 15,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 15,000,000 |  |
| Intrafund Transfers Out |  | 440,482,686 | 259,336,402 | $(181,146,284)$ | 257,410,716 | $(1,925,686)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies |  | 19,971,753 | 17,373,352 | $(2,598,401)$ | 16,360,852 | $(1,012,500)$ |
| Overhead and Allocations |  | $(5,914,351)$ | $(5,266,357)$ | 647,994 | $(5,382,966)$ | $(116,609)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts |  | 85,262,466 | 83,992,915 | $(1,269,551)$ | 84,830,304 | 837,389 |
| Transfers Out |  | 25,173,863 | 23,031,713 | $(2,142,150)$ | 35,031,713 | 12,000,000 |
| Unappropriated Rev-Designated |  | 270,387,824 |  | $(270,387,824)$ |  |  |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses |  | (440,482,686) | (259,336,402) | 181,146,284 | (257,410,716) | 1,925,686 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account |  | 1,465,583,462 | 1,162,590,702 | $(302,992,760)$ | 1,178,952,840 | 16,362,138 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 425150 | Airport Traffic Fines | 136,000 | 34,000 | $(102,000)$ | 34,000 |  |
| 425920 | Penalties | 1,222,000 | 463,000 | $(759,000)$ | 463,000 |  |
| 430120 | Interest Earned-FisclAgentAcct | 1,930,000 | 5,282,000 | 3,352,000 | 9,453,000 | 4,171,000 |
| 430150 | Interest Earned - Pooled Cash | 68,000 | 399,000 | 331,000 | 1,399,000 | 1,000,000 |
| 435271 | SFO-PrkingGarge,Lots\&Permits | 69,224,000 | 49,331,000 | $(19,893,000)$ | 60,034,000 | 10,703,000 |
| 437213 | Rentl-North Term T3 (Non-Air) | 1,153,000 | 878,000 | $(275,000)$ | 1,183,000 | 305,000 |
| 437214 | Rentl-South Term T1 (Non-Air) | 504,000 | 379,000 | $(125,000)$ | 513,000 | 134,000 |
| 437215 | Rental -T2 (Non Airline) | 754,000 | 566,000 | $(188,000)$ | 762,000 | 196,000 |
| 437216 | Rental-ITB (Non-Airline) | 1,382,000 | 1,036,000 | $(346,000)$ | 1,397,000 | 361,000 |
| 437217 | Rental-BART | 3,244,000 | 3,384,000 | 140,000 | 3,402,000 | 18,000 |
| 437219 | Rental-Other BdlgsNon-Airline | 14,000 | 14,000 |  | 15,000 | 1,000 |
| 437311 | Rental-UnimprvdAreaNon-Airline | 4,201,000 | 4,285,000 | 84,000 | 4,370,000 | 85,000 |
| 437321 | Rental Car Facility Fee | 17,630,000 | 17,983,000 | 353,000 | 18,342,000 | 359,000 |
| 437411 | Concession-Groundside | 73,000 | 10,000 | $(63,000)$ | 13,000 | 3,000 |
| 437421 | Concession-Telephone | 2,975,000 | 2,975,000 |  | 3,075,000 | 100,000 |
| 437425 | Telecommunication Fees | 4,347,000 | 4,867,000 | 520,000 | 5,062,000 | 195,000 |
| 437441 | Concession-Advertising | 12,116,000 | 12,790,000 | 674,000 | 13,039,000 | 249,000 |
| 437499 | Concession-Others | 4,899,000 | 3,283,000 | $(1,616,000)$ | 3,366,000 | 83,000 |
| 437501 | Concession-Others-ITB | 4,056,000 | 121,000 | $(3,935,000)$ | 130,000 | 9,000 |
| 437512 | Concess Rev-DutyFreelnBond-ITB | 25,890,000 | 11,120,000 | $(14,770,000)$ | 16,845,000 | 5,725,000 |
| 437521 | Concession-Gifts \& Merchandise | 5,380,000 | 4,200,000 | $(1,180,000)$ | 6,375,000 | 2,175,000 |





| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19540 | AIR CAP PROJ FUND FED | 10004436 | AC Utilities Unallocated-Ordin | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 |  | 10,000,000 |  |
|  |  | 10030891 | AC Terminal 3 Unallocated-Ordi | 20,000,000 | $(20,000,000)$ |  |  | 0 |
| 19540 Total |  |  |  | 64,000,000 | 44,000,000 | $(20,000,000)$ | 44,000,000 |  |
| 19550 | AIR CAP PROJ FUND STA | 10004055 | AC Air Support Unallocated-Ord | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |  |  |
|  |  | 10004134 | AC Groundside Unallocated-Ordi | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |  |  |
|  |  | 10004436 | AC Utilities Unallocated-Ordin | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |  |  |
| 19550 Total |  |  |  | 6,000,000 | 6,000,000 | 6,000,000 |  | 0 |
| 19950 | AIR K9 EXPLOSIVES SRF K9F | 10022278 | K9 Explosives Detection Progrm | 1,010,000 |  | $\begin{array}{r} (1,010,000) \\ 1,010,000 \end{array}$ | 1,010,000 |  |
|  |  | 10037040 | AC TSA K9 2020-2024 |  | 1,010,000 |  |  |  |
| 19950 Total |  |  |  | $1,010,000$$72,010,000$ | 1,010,000 | 0 | 1,010,000 | 0 |
| Grants Projects Total |  |  |  |  | 51,010,000 | $(21,000,000)$ | 51,010,000 |  |
| Work Orders/Overhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 18020 | AIR Operating GASB 45 PEB | 228994 | AIR General | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 |  |  |
| 18020 Total |  |  |  | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 | $0 \quad 2,500,000$ |  | 0 |
| 18000 | AIR Overhead OHF | 109711 | AIR Design \& Construction | 5,269,659 |  | $(5,269,659)$$4,656,840$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,773,449 \\ (4,773,449) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 116,609 \\ (116,609) \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  | AIR Chief Development Office |  | 4,656,840 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(5,269,659)$ | $(4,656,840)$ | 612,819 |  |  |
| 18000 Total |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | - 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18040 | AIR Paid Time Off PTO | 228994 | AIR General <br> Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $\begin{array}{r} 5,743,767 \\ (5,743,767) \end{array}$ | $5,919,114$ | 175,347 | $\begin{array}{r} 5,919,114 \\ (5,919,114) \end{array}$ |  |
| 18040 Total |  |  | Transfer Adjustment-Uses | (5,743,767) | 0 | (175) |  |  |
| Work Orders/Overhead Total |  |  |  | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 | 0 | 2,500,000 | 0 0 |
| Total Use | s of Funds |  |  | 1,465,583,462 | 1,162,590,702 | (302,992,760) | 1,178,952,840 | 16,362,138 |



| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 412210 |  | Hotel Room Ta |  |  | 10,287,000 | 3,946,600 | $(6,340,400)$ | 11,405,000 | 7,458,400 |
| 420360 |  | Street Artist Ce |  |  | 117,872 |  | $(117,872)$ |  |  |
| 448999 |  | Other State Gra | ntns |  | 50,000 | 50,000 |  |  | $(50,000)$ |
| 449997 |  | City Depts Reve | OCII |  |  | 1,123,884 | 1,123,884 |  | $(1,123,884)$ |
| 460127 |  | Civic Design Fe | mssn |  | 180,503 | 188,427 | 7,924 | 195,250 | 6,823 |
| 460155 |  | City Hall Tours |  |  | 3,500 | 3,500 |  | 3,500 |  |
| 462841 |  | Art Comm Sym | erts |  | 1,100,683 | 1,405,699 | 305,016 | 1,419,728 | 14,029 |
| 462849 |  | Art Comm Othe | ces |  | 4,000 | 30,000 | 26,000 | 30,000 |  |
| 466501 |  | Transit Advertis |  |  | 242,603 | 242,603 |  | 242,603 |  |
| 475415 |  | Community Imp | pactFe |  | 50,000 |  | $(50,000)$ |  |  |
| 478201 |  | Private Grants |  |  | 77,000 | 77,000 |  |  | $(77,000)$ |
| 486020 |  | Exp Rec Fr Airp |  |  | 31,025 | 50,025 | 19,000 | 50,025 |  |
| 486030 |  | Exp Rec Fr Adm |  |  | 2,200,000 | 680,025 | $(1,519,975)$ | 2,200,000 | 1,519,975 |
| 486150 |  | Exp Rec Fr Adm |  |  | 475,000 | 475,000 |  | 475,000 |  |
| 486190 |  | Exp Rec Fr Chil | m AAO |  | 343,362 | 346,937 | 3,575 | 346,937 |  |
| 486430 |  | Exp Rec Fr Pub | AO |  | 199,430 | 840,927 | 641,497 | 840,927 |  |
| 486450 |  | Exp Rec From |  |  | 92,168 |  | $(92,168)$ |  |  |
| 486560 |  | Exp Rec Fr Pub | (AO) |  | 150,000 | 150,000 |  | 150,000 |  |
| 486630 |  | Exp Rec Fr Rec |  |  | 13,000 | 13,000 |  | 13,000 |  |
| 493001 |  | OTI Fr 1G-Gen |  |  | 57,317 | 7,574,016 | 7,516,699 | 1,250,823 | $(6,323,193)$ |
| 495001 |  | ITI Fr 1G-Gene |  |  |  | 2,671 | 2,671 | 2,671 |  |
| General Fund Support |  |  |  |  | 8,087,552 | 33,835,960 | 25,748,408 | 10,640,101 | $(23,195,859)$ |
| Total Sour | rces by Fun |  |  |  | 23,762,015 | 51,036,274 | 27,274,259 | 29,265,565 | $(21,770,709)$ |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title |  | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Change From <br> $2020-2021$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 <br> Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| 10000 | GF Annual Account Ctrl |  |  | Salaries | 1,109,389 | 1,228,354 | 118,965 | 1,269,915 | 41,561 |
|  |  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 649,275 | 643,974 | $(5,301)$ | 655,828 | 11,854 |
|  |  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 215,073 | 215,073 |  | 215,073 |  |




| Department: AAM Asian Art Museum |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| Culture and Recreation Fund | 536,379 | 453,210 | $(83,169)$ | 461,971 | 8,761 |
| General Fund | 9,699,937 | 10,188,567 | 488,630 | 10,711,089 | 522,522 |
| Total Uses by Funds | 10,236,316 | 10,641,777 | 405,461 | 11,173,060 | 531,283 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| AAM Asian Art Museum | 10,236,316 | 10,641,777 | 405,461 | 11,173,060 | 531,283 |
| Total Uses by Division | 10,236,316 | 10,641,777 | 405,461 | 11,173,060 | 531,283 |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 4,821,075 | 5,089,511 | 268,436 | 5,254,612 | 165,101 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 2,281,083 | 2,283,847 | 2,764 | 2,316,168 | 32,321 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 1,711,224 | 1,248,380 | $(462,844)$ | 1,248,380 |  |
| Capital Outlay | 299,939 | 325,000 | 25,061 | 575,000 | 250,000 |
| Facilities Maintenance |  | 306,495 | 306,495 | 321,820 | 15,325 |
| Overhead and Allocations | 32,840 | 24,902 | $(7,938)$ | 24,902 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | 1,090,155 | 1,363,642 | 273,487 | 1,432,178 | 68,536 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 10,236,316 | 10,641,777 | 405,461 | 11,173,060 | 531,283 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 462851 Museum Exhibition Admission | 517,530 | 435,405 | $(82,125)$ | 461,971 | 26,566 |
| 499999 Beg Fund Balance - Budget Only | 18,849 | 17,805 | $(1,044)$ |  | $(17,805)$ |
| General Fund Support | 9,699,937 | 10,188,567 | 488,630 | 10,711,089 | 522,522 |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Total Sources by Fund |  |  |  | 10,236,316 | 10,641,777 | 405,461 | 11,173,060 | 531,283 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Change From } \\ 2020-2021 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl |  | Salaries |  | 4,570,834 | 4,885,013 | 314,179 | 5,043,681 | 158,668 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 2,113,176 | 2,145,428 | 32,252 | 2,175,421 | 29,993 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 1,625,833 | 1,162,989 | $(462,844)$ | 1,162,989 |  |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 1,090,155 | 1,363,642 | 273,487 | 1,432,178 | 68,536 |
| 10000 Total |  |  |  | 9,399,998 | 9,557,072 | 157,074 | 9,814,269 | 257,197 |
| Operating Total |  |  |  | 9,399,998 | 9,557,072 | 157,074 | 9,814,269 | 257,197 |
| Annual Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10010 | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 10324 | Exterior Building Maintenance |  | 325,000 | 296,574 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
|  |  | 10325 | Museum Repair Projects | 28,426 |  |  | 525,000 | 200,000 |
|  |  | 15741 | Aam - Facility Maintenance | 271,513 | 306,495 | 34,982 | 321,820 | 15,325 |
| 10010 Total |  |  |  |  | 631,495 | 331,556 | 896,820 | 265,325 |
| Annual Proj | ects - Authority Control |  |  | 299,939 | 631,495 | 331,556 | 896,820 | 265,325 |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 11940 SR Museums Admission |  | 16472 | AA Asian Arts Operating Rev-ex | 536,379 | 453,210 | $(83,169)$ | 461,971 | 8,761 |
| 11940 Total <br> Continuing Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 536,379 \\ & 536,379 \end{aligned}$ | 453,210453,210 | $(83,169)$ | 461,971 | 8,761 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $(83,169)$ | 461,971 | 8,761 |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  | 10,236,316 | 10,641,777 | 405,461 | 11,173,060 | 531,283 |


| Fund Title | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Fund | 37,139,680 | 33,829,785 | $(3,309,895)$ | 33,632,174 | $(197,611)$ |
| General Services Fund | 1,965,751 | 2,046,704 | 80,953 | 2,179,138 | 132,434 |
| Total Uses by Funds | 39,105,431 | 35,876,489 | $(3,228,942)$ | 35,811,312 | $(65,177)$ |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| ASR Administration | 6,167,665 | 6,100,219 | $(67,446)$ | 6,298,806 | 198,587 |
| ASR Exemptions | 512,638 | 639,170 | 126,532 | 670,482 | 31,312 |
| ASR Personal Property | 3,984,696 | 4,209,005 | 224,309 | 4,369,014 | 160,009 |
| ASR Public Service | 1,354,311 | 1,059,997 | $(294,314)$ | 1,131,363 | 71,366 |
| ASR Real Property | 22,761,326 | 19,466,649 | $(3,294,677)$ | 18,716,729 | $(749,920)$ |
| ASR Recorder | 3,052,947 | 3,042,335 | $(10,612)$ | 3,203,501 | 161,166 |
| ASR Transactions | 1,271,848 | 1,359,114 | 87,266 | 1,421,417 | 62,303 |
| Total Uses by Division | 39,105,431 | 35,876,489 | $(3,228,942)$ | 35,811,312 | $(65,177)$ |


Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023


Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl |  |  | Salaries | 504,142 | 458,393 | $(45,749)$ | 473,670 | 15,277 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 314,576 | 250,248 | $(64,328)$ | 255,532 | 5,284 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 80,692 | 61,700 | $(18,992)$ | 61,700 |  |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 9,398 | 9,398 |  | 9,398 |  |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 268,644 | 316,175 | 47,531 | 320,210 | 4,035 |
| 10000 Total Operating Total |  |  |  | 1,177,452 | 1,095,914 | $(81,538)$ | 1,120,510 | 24,596 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,177,452 | 1,095,914 | $(81,538)$ | 1,120,510 | 24,596 |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  | 1,177,452 | 1,095,914 | $(81,538)$ | 1,120,510 | 24,596 |

## Department: BOS Board of Supervisors

Division Summary



 BOS Assessment Appeals Board Fund Title

| 460199 | Other General Government Chrge |  |  | 159,795 | 197,310 | 37,515 | 241,140 | 43,830 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 486530 | Exp Rec Fr Port Commission AAO |  |  | 3,609 | 3,609 |  | 3,609 |  |
| 486550 | Exp Rec Fr Public TransprtnAAO |  |  | 35,318 | 35,318 |  | 35,318 |  |
| 486610 | Exp Rec Fr Regstar Of Votr AAO |  |  |  | 100,000 | 100,000 |  | $(100,000)$ |
| 486740 | Exp Rec Fr PUC (AAO) |  |  | 123,069 | 123,069 |  | 123,069 |  |
| 499999 | Beg Fund Balance - Budget Only |  |  |  | 42,765 | 42,765 |  | $(42,765)$ |
| General Fund Support |  |  |  | 18,244,527 | 19,029,650 | 785,123 | 19,489,414 | 459,764 |
| Total Sources by Fund |  |  |  | 18,606,318 | 19,571,721 | 965,403 | 19,932,550 | 360,829 |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Change From } \\ 2021-2022 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 10000 | GF Annual Account Ctrl |  | Salaries | 9,857,326 10,621,418 |  | 764,092 | 10,980,117 | 358,699 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 4,447,437 | 4,499,557 | 52,120 | 4,553,256 | 53,699 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 3,475,062 | 3,583,584 | 108,522 | 3,563,584 | $(20,000)$ |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 96,416 | 96,916 | 500 | 96,916 |  |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 370,837 | 368,241 | $(2,596)$ | 379,009 | 10,768 |
| 10000 Total |  |  |  | 18,247,078 | 19,169,716 | 922,638 | 19,572,882 | 403,166 |
| 12600 | SR Outreach Fund - Prop J |  | Non-Personnel Services | 18,000 | 60,765 | 42,765 | 18,000 | $(42,765)$ |
| 12600 Total |  |  |  | 18,000 | 60,765 | 42,765 | 18,000 | $(42,765)$ |
| Operating Total |  |  |  | 18,265,078 | 19,230,481 | 965,403 | 19,590,882 | 360,401 |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl 16641 |  | BD Bd Of Supervisors Lafco Pro | 341,240 | 341,240 |  | 341,668 | 428 |
| 10020 Total <br> Continuing Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 341,240 | 341,240 | 0 | 341,668 | 428 |
|  |  |  | Continuing Projects - Authority Control Total | 341,240 | 341,240 0 |  | 341,668 | 428 |
| Total Use | s of Funds |  |  | 18,606,318 | 19,571,721 | 965,403 | 19,932,550 | 360,829 | 25,006,260 24,200,366 $\quad(805,894) \quad 24,536,045 \quad 335,679$ 8
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Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10210 | SR Building Standards Comssn | 16665 | BI Building Standards Commission | 30,000 | 30,000 |  | 30,000 |  |
| 10210 Total |  |  |  | 30,000 | 30,000 | 0 | 30,000 | 0 |
| 10230 | SR BIF-Continuing Projects | 10000 | Operating | 1,100,000 | 1,100,000 |  | 1,100,000 |  |
|  |  | 16670 | BI Illegal In-law Units | 11,455 | 12,182 | 727 | 13,144 | 962 |
| 10230 Total |  |  |  | 1,111,455 | 1,112,182 | 727 | 1,113,144 | 962 |
| 10250 | SR PW-Strong Motion Admin | 16680 | BI Strong Motion Administratio | 554,410 |  | $(554,410)$ |  |  |
| 10250 Total <br> Continuing Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 554,410 | 0 | $(554,410)$ | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,695,865 | 1,142,182 | $(553,683)$ | 1,143,144 | 962 |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  | 89,501,462 | 89,993,382 | 491,920 | 91,391,585 | 1,398,203 |


| Department: CSS Child Support Services |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| Children and Families Fund | 13,409,069 | 13,271,043 | $(138,026)$ | 13,537,016 | 265,973 |
| Total Uses by Funds | 13,409,069 | 13,271,043 | $(138,026)$ | 13,537,016 | 265,973 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| CSS Child Support Services | 13,409,069 | 13,271,043 | $(138,026)$ | 13,537,016 | 265,973 |
| Total Uses by Division | 13,409,069 | 13,271,043 | $(138,026)$ | 13,537,016 | 265,973 |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 6,897,163 | 7,332,135 | 434,972 | 7,549,846 | 217,711 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 3,969,517 | 4,022,593 | 53,076 | 4,058,301 | 35,708 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 350,094 | 212,348 | $(137,746)$ | 162,348 | $(50,000)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 152,165 | 51,531 | $(100,634)$ | 99,451 | 47,920 |
| Services Of Other Depts | 2,040,130 | 1,652,436 | $(387,694)$ | 1,667,070 | 14,634 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 13,409,069 | 13,271,043 | $(138,026)$ | 13,537,016 | 265,973 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 440199 Other Fed-PublicAssistnceAdmin | 8,433,006 | 8,365,471 | $(67,535)$ | 8,519,877 | 154,406 |
| 445299 Other State-Publc Asstnce Prog | 4,117,472 | 4,309,484 | 192,012 | 4,389,027 | 79,543 |
| 479995 Child Support Offsetting Aid | 691,928 | 453,000 | $(238,928)$ | 480,000 | 27,000 |
| 486370 Exp Rec Fr Comm Health Svc AAO | 1,368 |  | $(1,368)$ |  |  |
| 486690 Exp Rec Fr Human Services AAO | 165,295 | 143,088 | $(22,207)$ | 148,112 | 5,024 |
| General Fund Support |  |  |  |  |  |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Total Sources by Fund |  |  |  | 13,409,069 | 13,271,043 | $(138,026)$ | 13,537,016 | 265,973 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 11300 SR Child Support-Operating |  |  | Salaries | 6,897,163 | 7,332,135 | 434,972 | 7,549,846 | 217,711 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 3,969,517 | 4,022,593 | 53,076 | 4,058,301 | 35,708 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 350,094 | 212,348 | $(137,746)$ | 162,348 | $(50,000)$ |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 152,165 | 51,531 | $(100,634)$ | 99,451 | 47,920 |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 2,040,130 | 1,652,436 | $(387,694)$ | 1,667,070 | 14,634 |
| 11300 Total Operating Total |  |  |  | 13,409,069 | 13,271,043 | $(138,026)$ | 13,537,016 | 265,973 |
|  |  |  |  | 13,409,069 | 13,271,043 | $(138,026)$ | 13,537,016 | 265,973 |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  | 13,409,069 | 13,271,043 | $(138,026)$ | 13,537,016 | 265,973 |


| Department: CFC Children \& Families Commsn |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Change From } \\ \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed Budget | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Change From } \end{gathered}$ 2021-2022 |
| Children and Families Fund | 31,194,003 | 30,194,536 | $(999,467)$ |  | $(30,194,536)$ |
| Total Uses by Funds | 31,194,003 | 30,194,536 | $(999,467)$ |  | 0 (30,194,536) |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| CFC Children \& Families Commsn | 31,194,003 | 30,194,536 | $(999,467)$ |  | $(30,194,536)$ |
| Total Uses by Division | 31,194,003 | 30,194,536 | $(999,467)$ |  | 0 (30,194,536) |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 1,682,929 | 2,010,363 | 327,434 |  | $(2,010,361)$ |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 816,611 | 888,831 | 72,220 |  | $(888,833)$ |
| Non-Personnel Services | 1,105,271 | 874,910 | (230,361) |  | (874,910) |
| City Grant Program | 25,729,177 | 24,313,152 | $(1,416,025)$ |  | $(24,313,152)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 91,950 | 80,075 | $(11,875)$ |  | $(80,075)$ |
| Programmatic Projects | 154,230 | 158,370 | 4,140 |  | $(158,370)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | 1,613,835 | 1,868,835 | 255,000 |  | $(1,868,835)$ |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 31,194,003 | 30,194,536 | $(999,467)$ |  | 0 (30,194,536) |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 430150 Interest Earned - Pooled Cash | 150,000 | 100,000 | $(50,000)$ |  | $(100,000)$ |
| 444931 Fed Grants Pass-Thru State-Oth | 418,456 | 592,985 | 174,529 |  | $(592,985)$ |
| 445417 Prop 10 Tobacco Tax Funding | 8,107,295 | 7,784,339 | $(322,956)$ |  | $(7,784,339)$ |
| 448999 Other State Grants \& Subventns | 1,405,183 | 1,205,183 | $(200,000)$ |  | $(1,205,183)$ |
| 486190 Exp Rec Fr Child; Youth\&Fam AAO | 6,026,001 | 6,026,001 |  |  | $(6,026,001)$ |
| 486370 Exp Rec Fr Comm Health Svc AAO |  | 500,000 | 500,000 |  | $(500,000)$ |


Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grants Projects Total |  |  |  | 4,620,636 4,009,869 |  | $(610,767)$ |  | 0 (4,009,869) |
| Total Us | of Funds |  |  | 31,194,003 | 30,194,536 | $(999,467)$ |  | (30,194,536) |


| Department: CHF Children; Youth \& Families |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| Children and Families Fund | 207,435,791 | 214,805,802 | 7,370,011 | 219,715,655 | 4,909,853 |
| Community / Neighborhood Dev | 1,000,222 |  | (1,000,222) |  |  |
| General Fund | 73,423,062 | 87,907,718 | 14,484,656 | 72,271,634 | $(15,636,084)$ |
| Public Protection Fund | 3,496,424 | 11,314,001 | 7,817,577 | 5,286,418 | $(6,027,583)$ |
| Total Uses by Funds | 285,355,499 | 314,027,521 | 28,672,022 | 297,273,707 | $(16,753,814)$ |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHF Children;Youth \& Families | 285,355,499 | 314,027,521 | 28,672,022 | 297,273,707 | $(16,753,814)$ |
| Total Uses by Division | 285,355,499 | 314,027,521 | 28,672,022 | 297,273,707 | $(16,753,814)$ |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 6,547,757 | 7,116,310 | 568,553 | 7,359,600 | 243,290 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 3,157,084 | 3,281,836 | 124,752 | 3,314,715 | 32,879 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 8,585,115 | 7,845,502 | $(739,613)$ | 6,120,843 | $(1,724,659)$ |
| City Grant Program | 229,058,280 | 240,283,216 | 11,224,936 | 241,037,438 | 754,222 |
| Intrafund Transfers Out | 5,060,000 | 5,580,000 | 520,000 | 6,310,000 | 730,000 |
| Materials \& Supplies | 297,649 | 352,649 | 55,000 | 352,649 |  |
| Programmatic Projects |  | 16,089,909 | 16,089,909 | 55,370 | $(16,034,539)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | 37,709,614 | 39,058,099 | 1,348,485 | 39,033,092 | $(25,007)$ |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(5,060,000)$ | $(5,580,000)$ | $(520,000)$ | $(6,310,000)$ |  |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 285,355,499 | 314,027,521 | 28,672,022 | 297,273,707 | $(16,753,814)$ |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 410110 Prop Tax Curr Yr-Secured | 96,990,000 | 100,565,000 | 3,575,000 | 104,307,000 | 3,742,000 |






| Department Appropriations (2 year) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 486710 | Exp Rec From Isd (AAO) |  |  | 10,500 | 10,500 |  | 10,500 |  |
| 486720 | Exp Rec Fr Treas-Tax Coll AAO |  |  | 10,368 | 68,368 | 58,000 | 68,368 |  |
| 486740 | Exp Rec Fr PUC (AAO) |  |  | 240,000 | 240,000 |  | 240,000 |  |
| 493001 | OTI Fr 1G-General Fund |  |  | 94,425,000 | 83,990,000 | $(10,435,000)$ | 97,045,000 | 13,055,000 |
| 495001 | ITI Fr 1G-General Fund |  |  |  | 478,329 | 478,329 | 478,329 |  |
| 495004 | ITI Fr 2S/CHF-Children's Fund |  |  | 5,060,000 | 5,580,000 | 520,000 | 6,310,000 | 730,000 |
| 499999 | Beg Fund Balance - Budget Only |  |  | 3,385,862 | 18,705,603 | 15,319,741 | 5,760,456 | $(12,945,147)$ |
| 999989 | ELIMSD TRANSFER ADJ-SOURCES |  |  | $(5,060,000)$ | $(5,580,000)$ | $(520,000)$ | $(6,310,000)$ | $(730,000)$ |
| General Fund Support |  |  |  | 70,085,958 | 83,752,835 | 13,666,877 | 68,116,751 | $(15,636,084)$ |
| Total Sources by Fund |  |  |  | 285,355,499 | 314,027,521 | 28,672,022 | 297,273,707 | $(16,753,814)$ |
| Reserved Appropriations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Controller Reserves: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10036743 | JUV Placement Alternative Fund |  |  |  | 3,200,000 | 3,200,000 |  | $(3,200,000)$ |
| Controller Reserves: Total |  |  |  |  | 3,200,000 | 3,200,000 | 0 | $(3,200,000)$ |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10000 | GF Annual Account Ctrl |  | Salaries | 224,463 | 253,857 | 29,394 | 262,819 | 8,962 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 90,518 | 93,154 | 2,636 | 93,608 | 454 |
|  |  |  | City Grant Program | 619,747 | 1,388,492 | 768,745 | 1,388,492 |  |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 843,095 | 843,095 |  | 843,095 |  |
| 10000 Total |  |  |  | 1,777,823 | 2,578,598 | 800,775 | 2,588,014 | 9,416 |
| 11190 | SR Children and Youth |  | Salaries | 5,149,485 | 5,678,879 | 529,394 | 5,876,923 | 198,044 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 2,602,594 | 2,715,895 | 113,301 | 2,743,183 | 27,288 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 7,059,970 | 6,237,718 | $(822,252)$ | 4,513,052 | $(1,724,666)$ |
|  |  |  | City Grant Program | 68,289,825 | 68,965,729 | 675,904 | 77,309,462 | 8,343,733 |
|  |  |  | Intrafund Transfers Out | 5,060,000 | 5,580,000 | 520,000 | 6,310,000 | 730,000 |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 280,320 | 335,320 | 55,000 | 335,320 |  |
|  |  |  | Programmatic Projects |  | 1,089,909 | 1,089,909 | 55,370 | $(1,034,539)$ |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 23,133,668 | 24,132,153 | 998,485 | 24,107,146 | $(25,007)$ |
|  |  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(5,060,000)$ | $(5,580,000)$ | $(520,000)$ |  | $(730,000)$ |


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11190 Total |  |  |  | 106,515,862 | 109,155,603 | 2,639,741 | 114,940,456 | 5,784,853 |
| Operating Total |  |  |  | 108,293,685 | 111,734,201 | 3,440,516 | 117,528,470 | 5,794,269 |


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10010 | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 17230 | Community Based Agencies | 1,160,700 | 1,160,700 |  | 1,160,700 |  |
|  |  | 20111 | CH Family Empowerment | 3,242,786 | 3,242,786 |  | 3,242,786 |  |
|  |  | 20112 | CH Justices Services | 1,446,116 | 1,446,116 |  | 1,446,116 |  |
|  |  | 20115 | CH Outreach and Access | 1,518,203 | 1,518,203 |  | 1,518,203 |  |
|  |  | 20118 | CH Early Care and Education | 4,647,925 | 4,647,925 |  | 4,647,925 |  |
|  |  | 20119 | CH Educational Supports | 5,310,140 |  | $(5,310,140)$ | 991,279 | 991,279 |
|  |  | 20120 | CH Enrichment Leadership Skill | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |  | 1,000,000 |  |
| 10010 Total |  |  |  | 18,325,870 | 13,015,730 | $(5,310,140)$ | 14,007,009 | 991,279 |
| Annual Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 18,325,870 | 13,015,730 | $(5,310,140)$ | 14,007,009 | 991,279 |


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 10000 | Operating |  | 15,000,000 | 15,000,000 |  | $(15,000,000)$ |
|  |  | 16916 | CH Bos Allocations | 6,555,724 | 205,000 | $(6,350,724)$ | 205,000 |  |
|  |  | 16918 | CH Dcyf Nutrition Project | 642,462 | 662,683 | 20,221 | 674,465 | 11,782 |
|  |  | 16919 | CH Our Children; Our Families | 903,789 | 1,031,302 | 127,513 | 1,048,101 | 16,799 |
|  |  | 17230 | Community Based Agencies | 8,461,024 | 9,568,585 | 1,107,561 | 7,368,585 | $(2,200,000)$ |
|  |  | 19805 | City College Enroll Asst Fund | 15,700,000 | 16,400,000 | 700,000 | 16,934,640 | 534,640 |
|  |  | 20110 | CH Emotional Well-Bring | 186,000 | 186,000 |  | 186,000 |  |
|  |  | 20111 | CH Family Empowerment | 283,250 | 283,250 |  | 283,250 |  |
|  |  | 20112 | CH Justices Services | 450,000 | 450,000 |  | 450,000 |  |
|  |  | 20113 | CH Mentorship Service Area | 250,000 | 250,000 |  | 250,000 |  |
|  |  | 20114 | CH Out of School Time | 5,179,609 | 5,179,609 |  | 5,179,609 |  |
|  |  | 20115 | CH Outreach and Access | 5,030,705 | 5,030,705 |  | 5,030,705 |  |
|  |  | 20117 | CH Youth Workforce Development | 1,215,677 | 1,215,677 |  | 1,215,677 |  |
|  |  | 20118 | CH Early Care and Education | 1,819,025 | 1,819,025 |  | 1,819,025 |  |
|  |  | 20119 | CH Educational Supports | 125,000 | 125,000 |  | 125,000 |  |
|  |  | 20120 | CH Enrichment Leadership Skill | 1,155,000 | 1,105,000 | $(50,000)$ | 1,105,000 |  |


Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13720 | SR Public Protection-Grant Sta | 10037204 | CH FY 22-23 SFCOPS Program |  |  |  | 578,621 | 578,621 |
|  |  | 10037207 | CH FY 22-23 JJCPA Grant |  |  |  | 2,416,996 | 2,416,996 |
| 13720 Total |  |  |  | 2,939,178 | 11,222,901 | 8,283,723 | 5,195,617 | $(6,027,284)$ |
| Grants Projects Total |  |  |  | 4,531,353 | 12,334,200 | 7,802,847 | 6,306,617 | $(6,027,583)$ |
| Continuing Projects - Project Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Proposed Budget | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Change From } \\ 2020-2021 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 11150 | SR Child Youth\&Fam-Grants Oth | 10033045 | CH 2020 CFE Fund | 400,000 | $(400,000)$ |  |  | $(400,000)$ |
|  |  | 10036182 | CH 2021 CFE Fund |  | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 |  |
|  |  | 10037464 | CH 2022 CFE Fund |  |  |  |  | 400,000 |
| 11150 Total <br> Continuing Projects - Project Control Total |  |  |  | 400,000400,000 | 400,000400,000 | 0 | 400,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 400,000 | 0 |
| Work Orders/Overhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10060 GF Work Order |  |  |  | 3,337,104 | 3,676,554 | 339,450 | 3,676,554 |  |
| 10060 Total |  |  |  | 3,337,104 | 3,676,554 | 339,450 |  | 0 |
| Work Orders/Overhead Total |  |  |  | 3,337,104 | 3,676,554 | 339,450 | $3,676,554$ $3,676,554$ |  |
| Total Use | s of Funds |  |  | 285,355,499 | 314,027,521 | 28,672,022 | 297,273,707 | $(16,753,814)$ |


| Department: CAT City Attorney |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| General Fund | 88,672,808 | 93,858,045 | 5,185,237 | 96,149,640 | 2,291,595 |
| Public Protection Fund | 5,141,717 | 5,288,752 | 147,035 | 5,410,804 | 122,052 |
| Total Uses by Funds | 93,814,525 | 99,146,797 | 5,332,272 | 101,560,444 | 2,413,647 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAT City Attorney | 93,814,525 | 99,146,797 | 5,332,272 | 101,560,444 | 2,413,647 |
| Total Uses by Division | 93,814,525 | 99,146,797 | 5,332,272 | 101,560,444 | 2,413,647 |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 52,633,050 | 56,983,959 | 4,350,909 | 58,996,635 | 2,012,676 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 22,517,321 | 22,704,764 | 187,443 | 22,918,062 | 213,298 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 14,204,637 | 14,981,753 | 777,116 | 15,126,916 | 145,163 |
| Materials \& Supplies | 155,000 | 139,500 | $(15,500)$ | 155,000 | 15,500 |
| Services Of Other Depts | 4,304,517 | 4,336,821 | 32,304 | 4,363,831 | 27,010 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 93,814,525 | 99,146,797 | 5,332,272 | 101,560,444 | 2,413,647 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 425310 Consumer Protection Fines | 5,141,717 | 5,288,752 | 147,035 | 5,410,804 | 122,052 |
| 449997 City Depts Revenue From OCII | 400,000 | 400,000 |  | 400,000 |  |
| 486020 Exp Rec Fr Airport (AAO) | 4,465,000 | 4,965,000 | 500,000 | 4,490,000 | $(475,000)$ |
| 486050 Exp Rec Fr Adult Probation AAO | 380,000 | 200,000 | $(180,000)$ | 200,000 |  |
| 486070 Exp Rec Fr Assessor (AAO) | 900,000 | 900,000 |  | 900,000 |  |
| 486100 Exp Rec Fr Bus \& Enc Dev (AAO) | 792,360 | 1,292,360 | 500,000 | 1,292,360 |  |
| 486110 Exp Rec Fr Bldg Inspection AAO | 3,501,592 | 3,000,000 | $(501,592)$ | 3,000,000 |  |



Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13490 Total <br> Continuing Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 5,141,717 | 5,288,752 | 147,035 | 5,410,804 | 122,052 |
|  |  |  |  | 7,376,717 | 7,523,752 | 147,035 | 7,645,804 | 122,052 |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  | 93,814,525 | 99,146,797 | 5,332,272 | 101,560,444 | 2,413,647 |
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Total Sources by Fund

Reserved Appropriations

| Controller Reserves: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10023235 | Eastern Neighbrhd Infrastructu |  |  |  | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |  |
| 10023242 | Market Octavia Community Impro |  |  |  | 1,639,000 | 1,639,000 | 503,000 | $(1,136,000)$ |
| 10023250 | Transit Center District |  |  |  | 750,000 | 750,000 |  | $(750,000)$ |
| 10023252 | Visitation Valley Infrastructu |  |  |  | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 |  |
| Controller Reserves: Total |  |  |  |  | 3,414,000 | 3,414,000 | 1,528,000 | $(1,886,000)$ |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10000 | GF Annual Account Ctrl |  | Salaries | 23,772,068 | 24,821,424 | 1,049,356 | 25,867,981 | 1,046,557 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 11,578,968 | 11,381,883 | $(197,085)$ | 11,638,977 | 257,094 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 3,379,299 | 3,994,873 | 615,574 | 2,648,093 | $(1,346,780)$ |
|  |  |  | Capital Outlay | 10,405 |  | $(10,405)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 693,770 | 484,295 | $(209,475)$ | 484,295 |  |
|  |  |  | Overhead and Allocations | 965,663 | 689,271 | $(276,392)$ | 689,271 |  |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 7,211,257 | 7,826,621 | 615,364 | 7,998,736 | 172,115 |
| 10000 Total |  |  |  | 47,611,430 | 49,198,367 | 1,586,937 | 49,327,353 | 128,986 |
| Operating Total |  |  |  | 47,611,430 | 49,198,367 | 1,586,937 | 49,327,353 | 128,986 |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Change From } \\ 2021-2022 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 10950 | CP Integrated Permit Tracking | 678,083 | 755,664 | 77,581 | 762,557 | 6,893 |
|  |  | 11479 | PC Neighborhood Profiles Proje | 746,695 | 553,405 | $(193,290)$ | 567,469 | 14,064 |
|  |  | 16950 | CP Plan Implementation - Gener | 337,434 | 360,225 | 22,791 | 369,982 | 9,757 |
|  |  | 16954 | CP Backlog Reduction (supp App | 444,566 | 444,566 |  | 444,566 |  |
|  |  | 16957 | CP Electronic Document Review | 57,800 | 57,800 |  | 57,800 |  |
|  |  | 16958 | CP Records Digitization | 225,000 | 150,000 | $(75,000)$ | 150,000 |  |
|  |  | 16962 | CP Development Agreements | 377,606 | 377,606 |  | 377,606 |  |
|  |  | 19503 | CP Pavement To Parks Program | 25,000 | 25,000 |  | 25,000 |  |
|  |  | 21034 | CPC Historic Presv Survey | 250,001 | 249,608 | (393) | 249,608 |  |
| 10020 Total |  |  |  | 3,142,185 | 2,973,874 | $(168,311)$ | 3,004,588 | 30,714 |
| 10670 | SR Eastern Neighborhood CI | 17063 | GE Eastern Neighbrhd Infrastru | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |  | 1,000,000 |  |
| 10670 Total |  |  |  | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 0 | 1,000,000 | 0 |


Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14020 Total Grants Projects Total |  |  |  | 0 200,000 |  | 200,000 | 0 | $(200,000)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 2,396,000 | 3,420,000 | 1,024,000 | 820,000 | $(2,600,000)$ |
| Total Use | s of Funds |  |  | 60,446,114 | 62,419,459 | 1,973,345 | 58,061,621 | $(4,357,838)$ |


Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl |  |  | Salaries | 684,949 | 766,580 | 81,631 | 793,422 | 26,842 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 309,025 | 322,821 | 13,796 | 325,516 | 2,695 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 32,795 | 28,795 | $(4,000)$ | 28,795 |  |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 3,395 | 3,395 |  | 3,395 |  |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 255,869 | 266,721 | 10,852 | 267,568 | 847 |
| 10000 Total Operating Total |  |  |  | 1,286,033 | 1,388,312 | 102,279 | 1,418,696 | 30,384 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,286,033 | 1,388,312 | 102,279 | 1,418,696 | 30,384 |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  | 1,286,033 | 1,388,312 | 102,279 | 1,418,696 | 30,384 |




| 486750 | Exp Rec Fr Hetch Hetchy (AAO) |  |  | 79,743 | 86,270 | 6,527 | 88,828 | 2,558 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 486760 | Exp Rec Fr Water Dept (AAO) |  |  | 422,561 | 438,943 | 16,382 | 451,960 | 13,017 |
| 486800 | Exp Rec Fr Cleanwater (AAO) |  |  | 211,392 | 237,000 | 25,608 | 244,028 | 7,028 |
| 486990 | Exp Rec-General Unallocated |  |  | 22,636,017 | 23,441,420 | 805,403 | 22,270,063 | $(1,171,357)$ |
| 487910 | Exp Rec-Bond Issuance Costs |  |  | 135,000 | 135,000 |  | 135,000 |  |
| 487990 | Exp Rec-Unallocated Non-AAO Fd |  |  | 495,272 | 605,219 | 109,947 | 616,264 | 11,045 |
| General Fund Support |  |  |  | 11,676,614 | 12,335,796 | 659,182 | 12,175,564 | $(160,232)$ |
| Total Sources by Fund |  |  |  | 75,093,898 | 77,414,970 | 2,321,072 | 76,223,200 | (1,191,770) |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Change From <br>  | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10000 | GF Annual Account Ctrl |  | Salaries | 13,565,100 | 14,842,086 | 1,276,986 | 15,444,184 | 602,098 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 6,248,547 | 6,367,983 | 119,436 | 6,491,880 | 123,897 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 1,348,472 | 2,069,473 | 721,001 | 2,055,722 | $(13,751)$ |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 84,853 | 402,341 | 317,488 | 315,857 | $(86,484)$ |
|  |  |  | Overhead and Allocations | $(3,495,908)$ | $(3,701,701)$ | $(205,793)$ | $(3,747,380)$ | $(45,679)$ |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 1,227,447 | 1,244,531 | 17,084 | 1,283,574 | 39,043 |
| 10000 Total |  |  |  | $18,978,511$ | $21,224,713$ | $2,246,202$ | $21,843,837$ | 619,124 |
| Operating Total |  |  |  | $18,978,511$ | $21,224,713$ | $2,246,202$ | $21,843,837$ | $619,124$ |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 16935 | CO Economic Analysis | 582,671 | 618,233 | 35,562 | 632,050 | 13,817 |
|  |  | 16937 | CO Coit-approved Projects | 2,617,650 | 1,115,575 | (1,502,075) |  | $(1,115,575)$ |
|  |  | 16940 | CO Office Of Public Finance | 495,272 | 535,219 | 39,947 | 546,264 | 11,045 |
|  |  | 20300 | CO Systems Enhancement | 820,383 |  | $(820,383)$ |  |  |
| 10020 Total |  |  |  | 4,515,976 | 2,269,027 | $(2,246,949)$ | 1,178,314 | $(1,090,713)$ |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 4,515,976 | 2,269,027 | $(2,246,949)$ | 1,178,314 | $(1,090,713)$ |
| Work Orders/Overhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10060 | GF Work Order | 229228 | CON Citywide Systems | 28,960,394 | 30,479,810 | 1,519,416 | 30,930,986 | 451,176 |
|  |  | 275641 | CON City Services Auditor | 22,639,017 | 23,441,420 | 802,403 | 22,270,063 | $(1,171,357)$ |
| 10060 Total |  |  |  | 51,599,411 | 53,921,230 | 2,321,819 | 53,201,049 | $(720,181)$ |
| Work Orders/Overhead Total |  |  |  | 51,599,411 | 53,921,230 | 2,321,819 | 53,201,049 | $(720,181)$ |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  | 75,093,898 | 77,414,970 | 2,321,072 | 76,223,200 | $(1,191,770)$ |



Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023



| Department: DPA Police Accountabilty |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| General Fund | 10,415,143 | 9,398,996 | $(1,016,147)$ | 9,620,863 | 221,867 |
| Total Uses by Funds | 10,415,143 | 9,398,996 | $(1,016,147)$ | 9,620,863 | 221,867 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| DPA Police Accountabilty | 10,415,143 | 9,398,996 | $(1,016,147)$ | 9,620,863 | 221,867 |
| Total Uses by Division | 10,415,143 | 9,398,996 | $(1,016,147)$ | 9,620,863 | 221,867 |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 6,173,633 | 5,630,236 | $(543,397)$ | 5,827,887 | 197,651 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 2,638,221 | 2,258,999 | $(379,222)$ | 2,277,407 | 18,408 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 444,336 | 344,336 | $(100,000)$ | 344,336 |  |
| Materials \& Supplies | 34,918 | 34,918 |  | 34,918 |  |
| Programmatic Projects | 160,000 | 100,000 | $(60,000)$ | 100,000 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | 964,035 | 1,030,507 | 66,472 | 1,036,315 | 5,808 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 10,415,143 | 9,398,996 | $(1,016,147)$ | 9,620,863 | 221,867 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 486020 Exp Rec Fr Airport (AAO) | 8,000 | 8,000 |  | 8,000 |  |
| 486370 Exp Rec Fr Comm Health Svc AAO | 100,000 | 20,000 | $(80,000)$ | 20,000 |  |
| 486500 Exp Rec Fr Police Comssn AAO | 100,000 | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  |
| General Fund Support | 10,207,143 | 9,270,996 | $(936,147)$ | 9,492,863 | 221,867 |
| Total Sources by Fund | 10,415,143 | 9,398,996 | $(1,016,147)$ | 9,620,863 | 221,867 |

Department Appropriations (2 year)

| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl |  |  | Salaries | 6,173,633 5,630,236 |  | $(543,397)$ | 5,827,887 | 197,651 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 2,638,221 | 2,258,999 | $(379,222)$ | 2,277,407 | 18,408 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 224,336 | 224,336 |  | 224,336 |  |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 34,918 | 34,918 | 34,918 |  |  |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 964,035 | 1,030,507 | 66,472 | 1,036,315 | 5,808 |
| 10000 Total |  |  |  | 10,035,143 | 9,178,996 | $(856,147)$ | 9,400,863 | 221,867 |
| Operating Total |  |  |  | 10,035,143 | 9,178,996 | $(856,147)$ | 9,400,863 | 221,867 |
| Annual Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Change From } \\ 2020-2021 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10010 GF Annual Authority Ctrl |  | 20327 | DP Charter Mandate | 380,000 | 220,000 | $(160,000)$ | 220,000 |  |
| 10010 Total |  |  |  | 380,000 | 220,000 | $(160,000)$ | 220,000 | 0 |
| Annual Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 380,000 | 220,000 | $(160,000)$ | 220,000 |  |
| Total Use | of Funds |  |  | 10,415,143 | 9,398,996 | $(1,016,147)$ | 9,620,863 | 221,867 |


| Department: DAT District Attorney |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| General Fund | 65,400,723 | 71,815,881 | 6,415,158 | 73,800,794 | 1,984,913 |
| General Services Fund | 310,000 | 310,000 |  | 310,000 |  |
| Public Protection Fund | 8,011,083 | 7,938,498 | $(72,585)$ | 7,925,379 | $(13,119)$ |
| Total Uses by Funds | 73,721,806 | 80,064,379 | 6,342,573 | 82,036,173 | 1,971,794 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| DAT District Attorney | 73,721,806 | 80,064,379 | 6,342,573 | 82,036,173 | 1,971,794 |
| Total Uses by Division | 73,721,806 | 80,064,379 | 6,342,573 | 82,036,173 | 1,971,794 |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 39,256,498 | 44,472,861 | 5,216,363 | 46,020,183 | 1,547,322 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 16,173,121 | 17,070,668 | 897,547 | 17,091,609 | 20,941 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 3,998,873 | 3,695,009 | $(303,864)$ | 3,724,981 | 29,972 |
| City Grant Program | 1,143,707 | 1,164,077 | 20,370 | 1,149,493 | $(14,584)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 359,557 | 227,326 | $(132,231)$ | 227,326 |  |
| Overhead and Allocations | $(190,350)$ | $(196,114)$ | $(5,764)$ | $(194,843)$ | 1,271 |
| Programmatic Projects | 2,708,666 | 2,908,666 | 200,000 | 2,908,666 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | 10,271,734 | 10,721,886 | 450,152 | 11,108,758 | 386,872 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 73,721,806 | 80,064,379 | 6,342,573 | 82,036,173 | 1,971,794 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 444931 Fed Grants Pass-Thru State-Oth | 2,914,160 | 2,917,076 | 2,916 | 2,917,076 |  |
| 444932 Fed-Narc Forfeitures \& Seizure | 25,000 | 25,000 |  | 25,000 |  |
| 444939 Federal Direct Grant | 175,000 |  | $(175,000)$ |  |  |


Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

|  |  |  |  | Original Budget | Proposed Budget | Change From 2020-2021 | Proposed Budget | Change From |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10010 | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 17300 | Ois OversightDA Victim Services Initiatives | 2,708,666 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,708,666 \\ 200,000 \end{array}$ | 2,708,666 |  |  |
|  |  | 21825 |  |  |  | 200,000 | 200,000 |  |
| 10010 Total |  |  |  | 2,708,666 | 2,908,666 | 200,000 | 2,908,666 | 0 |
| Annual Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 2,708,666 | 2,908,666 | 200,000 | 2,908,666 | 0 |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 16969 | DA Peace Officer Std \& Testing | 30,000 | 30,000 |  | 30,000 |  |
|  |  | 16970 | DA Child Abduction | 1,273,713 | 1,350,134 | 76,421 | 1,387,060 | 36,926 |
|  |  | 16971 | DA Career Criminal | 1,313,524 | 1,396,889 | 83,365 | 1,434,585 | 37,696 |
|  |  | 16973 | DA Victim Services | 2,348,899 | 2,360,491 | 11,592 | 2,420,443 | 59,952 |
|  |  | 17406 | AS Dist Atty 54\% Alloc Real Es | 263,885 | 289,653 | 25,768 | 298,337 | 8,684 |
| 10020 Total |  |  |  | 5,230,021 | 5,427,167 | 197,146 | 5,570,425 | 143,258 |
| 12470 | SR Court Dispute Resolution | 10929 | CS Community Court Dispute Res | 30,000 | 30,000 |  | 30,000 |  |
| 12470 Total |  |  |  | 30,000 | 30,000 | 0 | 30,000 | 0 |
| 12510 | SR Dispute Resolution Program | 17225 | MY Dispute Resolution | 280,000 | 280,000 |  | 280,000 |  |
| 12510 Total |  |  |  | 280,000 | 280,000 | 0 | 280,000 | 0 |
| 13500 | SR Da-Special Revenue | 16975 | DA First Offender Prostitution | 104,188 | 104,188 |  | 104,188 |  |
|  |  | 16976 | DA Civil Litigation Fund | 80,000 | 80,000 |  | 80,000 |  |
|  |  | 16977 | DA Da Consumer Protection Enfo | 1,809,612 | 1,842,490 | 32,878 | 1,866,162 | 23,672 |
| 13500 Total |  |  |  | 1,993,800 | 2,026,678 | 32,878 | 2,050,350 | 23,672 |
| 13510 | SR DA-Narc Forf\&Asset Seizure | 16980 | DA Narcotic Forfeiture \& Asset | 80,000 | 80,000 |  | 80,000 |  |
|  |  | 16981 | DA Treasury Asset Forfeiture | 25,000 | 25,000 |  | 25,000 |  |
| 13510 Total |  |  |  | 105,000 | 105,000 | 0 | 105,000 | 0 |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 7,638,821 | 7,868,845 | 230,024 | 8,035,775 | 166,930 |
| Grants Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 13550 | SR Public Protection-Grant | 10034496 | CH FY20-21 Federal JAG Grant | 137,528 | $(137,528)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10035607 | DA HA Human Traffick FY20-21 | 150,000 | $(150,000)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10035608 | DA VV VAWV Prosec Prog FY20-21 | 202,545 | $(202,545)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10035609 | DA VW Victim/Witness FY20-21 | 1,591,707 | $(1,591,707)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10035610 | DA KI Innovative RTMV FY20-21 | 163,145 | $(163,145)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10035611 | DA XC Mass Casualty FY20-21 | 451,544 | $(451,544)$ |  |  |  |



Division Summary


Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation

Controller Reserves:
10037562 EW Small Biz Support Fund
Controller Reserves: Total 000‘009'乙 000‘009'己 $000 ‘ 00 s^{\prime}$ 000‘00s'z







Continuing Projects - Authority Control

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 19507 | EM Public Safety Radio Syst \& | 4,883,164 | 6,336,015 | 1,452,851 | 6,345,866 | 9,851 |
|  |  | 19511 | EM Dem - Operation Floor Expan | 1,800,000 |  | $(1,800,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 21207 | Lighting Rods Replacement |  | 161,588 | 161,588 |  | $(161,588)$ |
|  |  | 21208 | Condenser Coil Replacement |  | 148,252 | 148,252 |  | $(148,252)$ |
|  |  | 21209 | Balcony Galvanized Panels Repl |  |  |  | 385,133 | 385,133 |
|  |  | 80044 | DEM CAD Replacement- Scoping | 750,000 | 2,500,000 | 1,750,000 | 12,505,330 | 10,005,330 |
|  |  | 88888 | CoVid Incident Response | 15,453,724 | 7,888,839 | $(7,564,885)$ |  | $(7,888,839)$ |
| 10020 Total ${ }_{\text {Continuing Projects - Authority Control Total }}$ |  |  |  | 22,886,888 | 17,034,694 | $(5,852,194)$ | 19,236,329 | 2,201,635 |
|  |  |  |  | 22,886,888 | 17,034,694 | $(5,852,194)$ | 19,236,329 | 2,201,635 |


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13560 | SR Homeland Security | 10032783 | FY20 UASI Grant | 32,000,000 |  | $(32,000,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10032895 | FY20 Emergency Mgmt Performanc | 303,380 |  | $(303,380)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10032897 | FY20 SHSGP | 951,927 |  | $(951,927)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10034275 | FY21 Emergency Mgmt Performanc |  | 304,259 | 304,259 | (53) | $(304,312)$ |
|  |  | 10034276 | FY21 SHSGP |  | 953,035 | 953,035 | 31,903 | $(921,132)$ |
|  |  | 10035515 | FY21 UASI Grant |  | 33,944,005 | 33,944,005 | 259,773 | $(33,684,232)$ |
|  |  | 10035820 | FY20 STC Grant | 2,000,000 |  | $(2,000,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035821 | FY21 STC Grant |  | 4,002,648 | 4,002,648 |  | $(4,002,648)$ |
|  |  | 10035863 | FY19 RCPGP Grant | 52,672 | 71,959 | 19,287 | 246,475 | 174,516 |
|  |  | 10036427 | FY20 RCPGP Grant | 1,000,000 |  | $(1,000,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10036543 | FY20 Emergency Mgmt Performanc | 142,461 |  | $(142,461)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10036933 | FY22 UASI Grant |  |  |  | 33,715,330 | 33,715,330 |
|  |  | 10036935 | FY22 STC Grant |  |  |  | 3,996,943 | 3,996,943 |
|  |  | 10036936 | FY21 RCPGP Grant |  | 1,501,394 | 1,501,394 |  | $(1,501,394)$ |
|  |  | 10037031 | FY22 Emergency Mgmt Performanc |  |  |  | 302,264 | 302,264 |
|  |  | 10037035 | FY22 SHSGP |  | 49,764 | 49,764 | 951,627 | 901,863 |
|  |  | 10037206 | FY21 TVTP Grant |  | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |  |







| Department: ETH Ethics Commission |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| General Fund | 4,724,515 | 6,551,078 | 1,826,563 | 7,458,201 | 907,123 |
| Total Uses by Funds | 4,724,515 | 6,551,078 | 1,826,563 | 7,458,201 | 907,123 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| ETH Ethics Commission | 4,724,515 | 6,551,078 | 1,826,563 | 7,458,201 | 907,123 |
| Total Uses by Division | 4,724,515 | 6,551,078 | 1,826,563 | 7,458,201 | 907,123 |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 2,840,721 | 4,043,216 | 1,202,495 | 4,432,197 | 388,981 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 1,217,115 | 1,631,980 | 414,865 | 1,745,926 | 113,946 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 223,918 | 272,433 | 48,515 | 263,433 | $(9,000)$ |
| City Grant Program |  |  |  | 446,860 | 446,860 |
| Materials \& Supplies | 23,508 | 59,508 | 36,000 | 23,508 | $(36,000)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | 419,253 | 543,941 | 124,688 | 546,277 | 2,336 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 4,724,515 | 6,551,078 | 1,826,563 | 7,458,201 | 907,123 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 420710 Lobbyist Registration Fee | 85,000 | 85,000 |  | 85,000 |  |
| 420711 Campagn Consltnt Registrtn Fee | 7,000 | 7,000 |  | 7,000 |  |
| 425510 Campaign Disclosure Fines | 50,000 | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |  |
| 425520 Lobby Fines | 2,000 | 2,000 |  | 2,000 |  |
| 425521 Campaign Consultant Fines | 2,000 | 2,000 |  | 2,000 |  |
| 425530 Economic Interest Fines | 1,250 | 1,250 |  | 1,250 |  |
| 425590 Other Ethics Fines | 7,500 | 7,500 |  | 7,500 |  |

Department Appropriations (2 year)
Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| 460199 | Other General Government Chrge |  |  | 2,450 | 2,450 | 2,450 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Fund Support |  |  |  | 4,567,315 | 6,393,878 | 1,826,563 | 7,301,001 | 907,123 |
| Total Sources by Fund |  |  |  | 4,724,515 | 6,551,078 | 1,826,563 | 7,458,201 | 907,123 |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl |  |  | Salaries | 2,840,721 | 4,043,216 | 1,202,495 | 4,432,197 | 388,981 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 1,217,115 | 1,631,980 | 414,865 | 1,745,926 | 113,946 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 223,918 | 272,433 | 48,515 | 263,433 | $(9,000)$ |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 23,508 | 59,508 | 36,000 | 23,508 | $(36,000)$ |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 419,253 | 543,941 | 124,688 | 546,277 | 2,336 |
| 10000 Tota |  |  |  | 4,724,515 | 6,551,078 | 1,826,563 | 7,011,341 | 460,263 |
| Operating | otal |  |  | 4,724,515 | 6,551,078 | 1,826,563 | 7,011,341 | 460,263 |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 10000 | Operating |  |  |  | 446,860 | 446,860 |
| 10020 Total <br> Continuing Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 446,860 | 446,860 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 446,860 | 446,860 |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  | 4,724,515 | 6,551,078 | 1,826,563 | 7,458,201 | 907,123 |


| Department: FAM Fine Arts Museum |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| Culture and Recreation Fund | 1,123,614 | 1,038,770 | $(84,844)$ | 1,064,066 | 25,296 |
| General Fund | 17,346,489 | 19,946,986 | 2,600,497 | 19,932,768 | $(14,218)$ |
| Total Uses by Funds | 18,470,103 | 20,985,756 | 2,515,653 | 20,996,834 | 11,078 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| FAM Fine Arts Museum | 18,470,103 | 20,985,756 | 2,515,653 | 20,996,834 | 11,078 |
| Total Uses by Division | 18,470,103 | 20,985,756 | 2,515,653 | 20,996,834 | 11,078 |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 8,954,502 | 9,800,763 | 846,261 | 10,202,031 | 401,268 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 4,391,425 | 4,475,646 | 84,221 | 4,580,059 | 104,413 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 617,481 | 617,481 |  | 617,481 |  |
| Capital Outlay | 422,456 | 1,399,579 | 977,123 | 1,020,258 | $(379,321)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 40,900 | 158,130 | 117,230 | 36,500 | $(121,630)$ |
| Overhead and Allocations | 186,513 | 64,593 | $(121,920)$ | 64,593 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | 3,856,826 | 4,469,564 | 612,738 | 4,475,912 | 6,348 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 18,470,103 | 20,985,756 | 2,515,653 | 20,996,834 | 11,078 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 462851 Museum Exhibition Admission | 750,000 | 771,934 | 21,934 | 1,064,066 | 292,132 |
| 486020 Exp Rec Fr Airport (AAO) | 154,000 | 179,000 | 25,000 | 179,000 |  |
| 487020 Exp Rec Fr Airport (Non-AAO) | 25,000 |  | $(25,000)$ |  |  |
| 493001 OTI Fr 1G-General Fund | 373,614 | 266,836 | $(106,778)$ |  | $(266,836)$ |


| General Fund Support |  |  |  | 17,167,489 | 19,767,986 | 2,600,497 | 19,753,768 | $(14,218)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Sources by Fund |  |  |  | 18,470,103 | 20,985,756 | 2,515,653 | 20,996,834 | 11,078 |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl |  | Salaries |  | 8,421,302 | 9,232,711 | 811,409 | 9,614,845 | 382,134 |
|  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits |  | 3,989,024 | 4,071,021 | 81,997 | 4,169,272 | 98,251 |
|  |  | Non-Personnel Services |  | 617,481 | 617,481 |  | 617,481 |  |
|  |  | Materials \& Supplies |  | 39,400 | 156,630 | 117,230 | 35,000 | $(121,630)$ |
|  |  | Services Of Other Depts |  | 3,856,826 | 4,469,564 | 612,738 | 4,475,912 | 6,348 |
| 10000 Total |  |  |  | 16,924,033 | 18,547,407 | 1,623,374 | 18,912,510 | 365,103 |
| Operating Total |  |  |  | 16,924,033 | 18,547,407 | 1,623,374 | 18,912,510 | 365,103 |
| Annual Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10010 | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 15774 | Fam Facility Maintenance | 222,456 | 233,579225,000 | 11,123 | 245,258 | 11,679 |
|  |  | 20818 | Replace membrane and coating |  |  | 225,000 |  | $(225,000)$ |
|  |  | 20839 | Evaluate and replace tower fan |  | 225,000 66,000 | 66,000 | $(66,000)$ |  |
|  |  | 21249 | Legion Laylight Shades de Young Server Room AC |  | $\begin{aligned} & 175,000 \\ & 160,000 \end{aligned}$ | 175,000 | 175,000 | $(160,000)$ |
|  |  | 21764 |  |  |  | 160,000 |  |  |
| 10010 Total |  |  |  | 222,456 | $\begin{aligned} & 859,579 \\ & 859,579 \end{aligned}$ | 637,123 | 420,258 | $(439,321)$ |
| Annual Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 222,456 |  | 637,123 | 420,258 | $(439,321)$ |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | 2020-2021 <br> Origina Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 11117 | FA Legion Of Honor - Masonry |  | 500,000 |  | 100,000 | 100,000 |
|  |  | 11123 | FA Dey - Tower Exterior Repair de Young VESDA System | 200,000 |  | 300,000 | 500,000 | $(40,000)$ |
|  |  | 20329 |  |  | 40,000 | 40,000 |  |  |
| 10020 Total |  |  | FA Fine Arts Operating Rev-exp | 200,000 | 540,000 | 340,000 | 600,000 | 60,000 |
| 11940 | SR Museums Admission | 17041 |  | 1,123,614 | 1,038,770 | $(84,844)$ | 1,064,066 | 25,296 |

Department Appropriations (2 year)
Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11940 Total <br> Continuing Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 1,123,614 | 1,038,770 | $(84,844)$ | 1,064,066 | 25,296 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,323,614 | 1,578,770 | 255,156 | 1,664,066 | 85,296 |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  | 18,470,103 | 20,985,756 | 2,515,653 | 20,996,834 | 11,078 |

Division Summary

| FIR Administration | 24,664,888 | 26,749,819 | 2,084,931 | 27,046,363 | 296,544 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FIR Airport | 31,023,056 | 31,395,342 | 372,286 | 32,793,492 | 1,398,150 |
| FIR Capital Project \& Grants | 1,697,864 | 1,369,108 | $(328,756)$ | 1,437,564 | 68,456 |
| FIR Fireboat | 3,633,576 | 3,705,342 | 71,766 | 3,848,131 | 142,789 |
| FIR Investigation | 2,549,173 | 2,986,882 | 437,709 | 3,098,620 | 111,738 |
| FIR Nert | 329,646 | 332,913 | 3,267 | 339,908 | 6,995 |
| FIR Operations | 299,952,518 | 317,056,550 | 17,104,032 | 322,383,517 | 5,326,967 |
| FIR Prevention | 19,094,559 | 18,212,822 | $(881,737)$ | 18,928,702 | 715,880 |
| FIR Support Services | 25,409,021 | 26,745,278 | 1,336,257 | 27,450,331 | 705,053 |
| FIR Training | 3,936,403 | 10,089,131 | 6,152,728 | 4,404,927 | $(5,684,204)$ |
| Total Uses by Division | 412,290,704 | 438,643,187 | 26,352,483 | 441,731,555 | 3,088,368 |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 279,134,740 | 294,197,815 | 15,063,075 | 306,283,984 | 12,086,169 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 90,598,839 | 89,821,010 | $(777,829)$ | 89,971,334 | 150,324 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 2,842,142 | 3,099,814 | 257,672 | 3,101,959 | 2,145 |
| Capital Outlay | 2,920,957 | 8,842,410 | 5,921,453 | 4,937,672 | (3,904,738) |
| Intrafund Transfers Out | 1,801,498 | 1,801,498 |  | 1,801,498 |  |
| Materials \& Supplies | 5,905,713 | 6,189,566 | 283,853 | 5,974,922 | $(214,644)$ |
| Overhead and Allocations | 143,593 | 184,389 | 40,796 | 184,389 |  |



Fund Summary FIR Capital Project \& Grants

FIR Fireboat
FIR Operations
FIR Support
Salaries N

M

| Programmatic Projects |  | 3,650,000 | 8,050,000 | 4,400,000 | 2,475,000 | $(5,575,000)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Services Of Other Depts |  | 27,094,720 | 28,258,183 | 1,163,463 | 28,802,295 | 544,112 |
| Transfers Out |  | 1,267,894 | 1,290,721 | 22,827 | 1,290,721 |  |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses |  | $(3,069,392)$ | (3,092,219) | $(22,827)$ | (3,092,219) |  |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account |  | 412,290,704 | 438,643,187 | 26,352,483 | 441,731,555 | 3,088,368 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 420150 | MedCannbisDispnsryApplicatnFee | 45,500 | 45,500 |  | 45,500 |  |
| 439899 | Other City Property Rentals | 370,000 | 370,000 |  | 370,000 |  |
| 444939 | Federal Direct Grant | 869,894 | 892,721 | 22,827 | 892,721 |  |
| 444940 | US Navy Cooperative Agreement | 398,000 | 398,000 |  | 398,000 |  |
| 447611 | CA OES Disaster - State Share | 500,000 | 1,500,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,500,000 |  |
| 448311 | Public Safety Sales Tax Alloc | 48,530,000 | 40,994,000 | $(7,536,000)$ | 40,191,000 | $(803,000)$ |
| 460199 | Other General Government Chrge | 1,500 | 1,500 |  | 1,500 |  |
| 460629 | False Alarm Response Fee | 220,500 | 220,500 |  | 220,500 |  |
| 460663 | Fire Pre-Applic Plan ReviewFee | 221,000 | 224,801 | 3,801 | 224,801 |  |
| 460664 | Fire Water Flow Request Fee | 214,500 | 191,744 | $(22,756)$ | 191,744 |  |
| 460667 | Fire Plan Checking | 6,165,000 | 6,165,000 |  | 6,165,000 |  |
| 460668 | Fire Inspection Fees | 1,678,888 | 1,652,950 | $(25,938)$ | 1,652,950 |  |
| 460670 | High Rise Fire Inspection Fee | 1,957,500 | 1,950,481 | $(7,019)$ | 1,950,481 |  |
| 460671 | SFFD Tx Coll Renewal Fee | 2,118,800 | 2,108,724 | $(10,076)$ | 2,108,724 |  |
| 460672 | SFFD Orig Filing-Posting Fee | 1,065,000 | 680,000 | $(385,000)$ | 680,000 |  |
| 460673 | Fire Code Reinspection Fee | 182,780 | 174,200 | $(8,580)$ | 174,200 |  |
| 460674 | Fire Referral Inspection Fee | 188,500 | 158,683 | $(29,817)$ | 158,683 |  |
| 460678 | Fire Overtime Service Fees | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 |  | 1,500,000 |  |
| 460679 | Fire Residential Inspectn Fee | 627,041 | 627,041 |  | 627,041 |  |
| 460685 | Other Fire Dept Charges | 4,862,988 | 4,990,552 | 127,564 | 4,990,552 |  |
| 460699 | Other Public Safety Charges | 310,000 | 310,000 |  | 310,000 |  |
| 465905 | Insurance Net Revenue | 326,000 | 326,000 |  | 326,000 |  |
| 465916 | Ambulance Billings | 137,405,311 | 137,149,927 | $(255,384)$ | 137,149,927 |  |
| 465917 | AmbulnceContractualAdjst\&Allow | (110,271,759) | (113,313,223) | $(3,041,464)$ | (113,313,223) |  |
| 465999 | Misc Hospital Service Revenue | 20,000 | 20,000 |  | 20,000 |  |
| 486030 | Exp Rec Fr Admin Svcs (AAO) | 10,000 | 10,000 |  | 10,000 |  |
| 486100 | Exp Rec Fr Bus \& Enc Dev (AAO) | 360,448 | 253,283 | $(107,165)$ | 263,272 | 9,989 |
| 486110 | Exp Rec Fr Bldg Inspection AAO | 1,103,031 | 1,103,031 |  | 1,103,031 |  |
| 486310 | Exp Rec Fr EmergcyComcationAAO | 194,624 | 207,852 | 13,228 | 209,997 | 2,145 |
| 486400 | Exp Rec Fr CommMental HIth AAO |  | 6,382,407 | 6,382,407 | 6,404,781 | 22,374 |


Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10010 | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 17054 | Firefighter Uniforms \& Turnout | 1,079,646 | 1,079,646 |  | 1,079,646 |  |
| 10010 Total |  |  |  | 1,079,646 | 1,079,646 | 0 | 1,079,646 | 0 |
| Annual Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 1,079,646 | 1,079,646 | 0 | 1,079,646 | 0 |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 10000 | Operating | 2,000,000 5,800,000 |  | 3,800,000 |  | $(5,800,000)$ |
|  |  | 11137 | FD Fire Prevention Facillity R |  | 5,800,000 | 225,000 |  | 225,000 |
|  |  | 15777 | Underground Storage Tank Monit |  | 426,351 | 426,351 | 447,669 | 21,318 |
|  |  | 15781 | Various Facility Maintenance P | 897,864 | 942,757 | 44,893 | 989,895 | 47,138 |
|  |  | 17056 | FD Ems Equipment Replacement | 324,090 | 324,090 |  | (100,000) 324,090 |  |  |
|  |  | 20626 | FD FF\&E and Moving Costs ADF | 100,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 20725 | FD City College ISA | 300,000 | 300,000 | 300,000 |  |  |
|  |  | 20726 | FD FF\&E and Moving Costs FS 35 | 700,000 |  | $(700,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 20907 | FD OES Response \& Mutual Aid | 500,000 | 1,500,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,500,000 |  |
|  |  | 21269 | Prevention Community Developmt | 50,000 | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |  |
|  |  | 21748 | Reinvestment Initiatives |  | 558,993 | 558,993 | 560,153 | 1,160 |
| 10020 Total |  |  |  | 4,871,954 | 9,902,191 | 5,030,237 | 4,396,807 | $(5,505,384)$ |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 4,871,954 | 9,902,191 | 5,030,237 | 4,396,807 | $(5,505,384)$ |
| Work Orders/Overhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10060 | GF Work Order | 130647 | FIR Fireboat | 3,633,576 | 3,705,342 | 71,766 | 3,848,131 | 142,789 |
|  |  | 130651 | FIR Prevention | 1,708,975 | 1,381,385 | $(327,590)$ | 1,414,051 | 32,666 |
|  |  | 130650 | FIR Operations |  | 6,623,711 | 6,623,711 | 6,480,619 | $(143,092)$ |
|  |  | 130644 | FIR Administration | $(18,911)$ | 108,295 | 127,206 | 108,295 |  |
| 10060 Total |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 5,323,640 \\ 5,323,640 \end{array}$ | 11,818,733 | 6,495,093 | 11,851,096 | 32,363 |
| Work Orders/Overhead Total |  |  |  |  | 11,818,733 | 6,495,093 | 11,851,096 | 32,363 |
| Total Use | s of Funds |  |  | 412,290,704 | 438,643,187 | 26,352,483 | 441,731,555 | 3,088,368 |



## Sources of Funds Detail by Account

| $1,347,383,000$ | $1,396,983,000$ | $49,600,000$ | $1,448,983,000$ | $52,000,000$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $90,600,000$ | $71,700,000$ | $(18,900,000)$ | $74,000,000$ | $2,300,000$ |
| 400,000 | 400,000 |  | 400,000 |  |
| $7,100,000$ | $7,300,000$ | 200,000 | $11,200,000$ | $3,900,000$ |
| $15,300,000$ | $16,500,000$ | $1,200,000$ | $24,100,000$ | $7,600,000$ |
| $319,300,000$ | $320,300,000$ | $1,000,000$ | $333,400,000$ | $13,100,000$ |
| $187,000,000$ | $245,000,000$ | $58,000,000$ | $260,100,000$ | $15,100,000$ |
| $36,500,000$ | $39,200,000$ | $2,700,000$ | $40,000,000$ | 800,000 |
| $2,500,000$ | $2,700,000$ | 200,000 | $4,000,000$ | $1,300,000$ |
| $13,000,000$ | $15,000,000$ | $2,000,000$ | $15,000,000$ |  |
| $356,934,881$ | $268,957,462$ | $(87,977,419)$ | $268,957,462$ |  |
| $220,700,000$ | $7,500,000$ | $(213,200,000)$ | $5,500,000$ | $(2,000,000)$ |
| $512,200,000$ | $889,180,000$ | $376,980,000$ | $1,008,600,000$ | $119,420,000$ |
| $106,800,000$ | $32,790,000$ | $(74,010,000)$ | $28,760,000$ | $(4,030,000)$ |
| $196,000,000$ | $2,500,000$ | $(193,500,000)$ | $2,500,000$ |  |
| $13,000,000$ | $12,260,000$ | $(740,000)$ | $14,250,000$ | $1,990,000$ |
| $80,150,000$ | $47,850,000$ | $(32,300,000)$ | $36,650,000$ | $(11,200,000)$ |
| $183,670,000$ | $145,740,000$ | $(37,930,000)$ | $174,880,000$ | $29,140,000$ |
| $126,230,000$ | $78,480,000$ | $(47,750,000)$ | $235,342,000$ | $156,862,000$ |
| $39,830,000$ | $45,240,000$ | $5,410,000$ | $49,800,000$ | $4,560,000$ |
| $37,430,000$ | $28,280,000$ | $(9,150,000)$ | $29,200,000$ | 920,000 |
| $3,830,000$ | $4,130,000$ | 300,000 | $4,700,000$ | 570,000 |
| $59,350,000$ | $55,900,000$ | $(3,450,000)$ | $68,800,000$ | $12,900,000$ |
| $138,000,000$ | $350,110,000$ | $212,110,000$ | $373,910,000$ | $23,800,000$ |
| $2,500,000$ | $3,600,000$ | $1,100,000$ | $5,400,000$ | $1,800,000$ |
| $4,250,000$ | $4,400,000$ | 150,000 | $8,800,000$ | $4,400,000$ |
|  |  |  | $60,000,000$ | $60,000,000$ |
| $14,000,000$ | $12,230,000$ | $(1,770,000)$ | $14,000,000$ | $1,770,000$ |
| $15,000,000$ | $8,637,231$ | $(6,362,769)$ | $13,922,865$ | $5,285,634$ |
| $48,900,000$ | $49,640,000$ | 740,000 | $51,260,000$ | $1,620,000$ |
| $3,660,000$ | $5,100,000$ | $1,440,000$ | $5,200,000$ | 100,000 |
| $2,130,000$ | $2,700,000$ | 570,000 | $2,800,000$ | 100,000 |
| $9,670,000$ | $6,300,000$ | $(3,370,000)$ | $5,800,000$ | $(500,000)$ |
| 180,000 | 150,000 | $(30,000)$ | 150,000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |



| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10000 | GF Annual Account Ctrl |  | Services Of Other Depts | 33,632,806 | 38,679,620 | 5,046,814 | 39,459,713 | 780,093 |
|  |  |  | Transfers Out | 204,124,451 | 233,323,287 | 29,198,836 | 227,196,164 | $(6,127,123)$ |
|  |  |  | Unappropriated Rev Retained | 34,070,019 | 53,274,938 | 19,204,919 | 56,950,939 | 3,676,001 |
|  |  |  | Unappropriated Rev-Designated | 149,000,000 |  | $(149,000,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(7,350,000)$ | (7,610,000) | $(260,000)$ | $(8,800,000)$ | $(1,190,000)$ |
| 10000 Total |  |  |  | 1,361,897,894 | 1,281,841,419 | $(80,056,475)$ | 1,131,227,224 | $(150,614,195)$ |
| 17380 | DSCOP HOUSING TRUST FUND |  | Debt Service | 2,250,000 | 2,250,000 |  | 2,250,000 |  |
| 17380 Total |  |  |  | 2,250,000 | 2,250,000 | 0 | 2,250,000 | 0 |
| 17620 | DSGOB GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND |  | Debt Service | 361,278,103 | 273,044,345 | $(88,233,758)$ | 273,044,345 |  |
| 17620 Total |  |  |  | 361,278,103 | 273,044,345 | $(88,233,758)$ | 273,044,345 | 0 |
| 17640 | DSGOB TSR FOR LHH GOB |  | Debt Service | 15,822,650 | 18,406,400 | 2,583,750 | 18,407,900 | 1,500 |
| 17640 Total |  |  |  | 15,822,650 | 18,406,400 | 2,583,750 | 18,407,900 | 1,500 |
| 17750 | DSODS GENERAL CITY LOANS |  | Debt Service | 10,000 |  | $(10,000)$ |  |  |
| 17750 Total Operating Total |  |  |  | 10,000 | 0 | $(10,000)$ | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,741,258,647 | 1,575,542,164 | $(165,716,483)$ | 1,424,929,469 | $(150,612,695)$ |
| Annual Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10010 | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 10000 | Operating | 36,900,000 |  | $(36,900,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 17065 | Indigent Defense Special Circu | 800,000 | 800,000 |  | 800,000 |  |
| 10010 Total |  |  |  | 37,700,000 | 800,000 | $(36,900,000)$ | 800,000 | 0 |
| Annual Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 37,700,000 | 800,000 | $(36,900,000)$ | 800,000 | 0 |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 17058 | GE Board District Projects | 650,000 | 650,000 |  | 650,000 |  |
|  |  | 17064 | GE General Reserve Admin Code |  | 3,129,000 | 3,129,000 | 5,844,000 | 2,715,000 |
|  |  | 17066 | Mission Bay Transportation Imp | 6,440,559 | 5,867,616 | $(572,943)$ | 6,785,154 | 917,538 |
|  |  | 17073 | GE Tech \& Infr Maint-replaceme | 900,000 | 1,925,000 | 1,025,000 | 925,000 | $(1,000,000)$ |
|  |  | 21761 | Res-Hotel Tax Loss Art Alloctn |  | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 |  | $(2,500,000)$ |
|  |  | 21818 | Cultural Museums |  | 12,000,000 | 12,000,000 |  | $(12,000,000)$ |
| 10020 Total |  |  |  | 7,990,559 | 26,071,616 | 18,081,057 | 14,204,154 | $(11,867,462)$ |




| Mandatory Fringe Benefits |  | 45,871,352 | 48,051,765 | 2,180,413 | 49,462,442 | 1,410,677 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Personnel Services |  | 156,699,448 | 176,451,984 | 19,752,536 | 189,546,135 | 13,094,151 |
| City Grant Program |  | 27,248,940 | 27,141,873 | $(107,067)$ | 26,634,115 | $(507,758)$ |
| Capital Outlay |  | 7,962,525 | 30,256,713 | 22,294,188 | 4,392,183 | (25,864,530) |
| Debt Service |  | 39,058,476 | 63,911,479 | 24,853,003 | 62,866,287 | $(1,045,192)$ |
| Intrafund Transfers Out |  | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |  | 1,000,000 |  |
| Materials \& Supplies |  | 14,981,122 | 15,557,689 | 576,567 | 15,561,448 | 3,759 |
| Overhead and Allocations |  | 6,624,998 | 7,073,277 | 448,279 | 7,073,277 |  |
| Programmatic Projects |  | 6,649,698 | 512,195 | $(6,137,503)$ | 533,285 | 21,090 |
| Services Of Other Depts |  | 66,791,547 | 73,642,463 | 6,850,916 | 77,616,369 | 3,973,906 |
| Transfers Out |  | 250,000 |  | $(250,000)$ |  |  |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses |  | $(1,000,000)$ | $(1,000,000)$ |  | $(1,000,000)$ |  |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account |  | 471,084,939 | 552,356,529 | 81,271,590 | 548,807,090 | $(3,549,439)$ |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 410981 | Commty Facilts Distrct Cfd Tax | 1,855,000 |  | $(1,855,000)$ |  |  |
| 411110 | Payroll Tax | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 |  | 2,500,000 |  |
| 412210 | Hotel Room Tax | 13,203,000 | 5,065,200 | $(8,137,800)$ | 14,639,000 | 9,573,800 |
| 420299 | Sundry Business Licenses | 620,000 | 1,087,445 | 467,445 | 1,196,276 | 108,831 |
| 420911 | Dog License | 410,000 | 410,000 |  | 410,000 |  |
| 420921 | Marriage License | 892,000 | 892,000 |  | 892,000 |  |
| 425940 | OffceOfLabor StdEnforcmntPenal | 425,000 | 425,000 |  | 425,000 |  |
| 425941 | Prevailing Wage Penalties | 100,000 | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  |
| 425990 | Settlements | 1,200,000 |  | $(1,200,000)$ |  |  |
| 435701 | Convention Facilities - RentI | 5,097,370 | 10,044,964 | 4,947,594 | 12,351,737 | 2,306,773 |
| 435702 | Convention Facilities-Concess | 5,152,628 | 10,843,436 | 5,690,808 | 18,825,659 | 7,982,223 |
| 439531 | Residential Property Rentals | 2,500,000 | 1,500,000 | $(1,000,000)$ | 1,000,000 | $(500,000)$ |
| 439532 | Tidelands Property Rentals | 5,800,000 | 6,600,000 | 800,000 | 6,600,000 |  |
| 439535 | Common Areas Maintenance Fees | 1,577,000 | 1,350,000 | $(227,000)$ | 1,350,000 |  |
| 439899 | Other City Property Rentals | 9,267,184 | 9,158,032 | $(109,152)$ | 10,013,164 | 855,132 |
| 448999 | Other State Grants \& Subventns | 625,799 |  | $(625,799)$ |  |  |
| 449997 | City Depts Revenue From OCII | 826,076 | 901,266 | 75,190 | 902,047 | 781 |
| 460130 | County Clerk Fees | 1,221,222 | 1,196,222 | $(25,000)$ | 1,196,222 |  |
| 460199 | Other General Government Chrge | 10,000 |  | $(10,000)$ |  |  |
| 460202 | Fuel Sales \& Maintenance Svcs | 449,849 | 632,609 | 182,760 | 641,872 | 9,263 |
| 460501 | Public Pound Fee | 243,000 | 209,000 | $(34,000)$ | 209,000 |  |
| 460502 | Public Pound Sale Of Animals | 22,000 | 22,000 |  | 22,000 |  |





| 10037698 | 1SVN CR COPs |  |  |  | 2,100,000 | 2,100,000 |  | $(2,100,000)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10037699 | CH HVAC CR COPs |  |  |  | 6,275,000 | 6,275,000 |  | $(6,275,000)$ |
| 10037700 | CH Elevators CR COPs |  |  |  | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |  | $(2,000,000)$ |
| 10037701 | HOJ HVAC CR COPs |  |  |  | 4,800,000 | 4,800,000 |  | $(4,800,000)$ |
| 10037704 | COPs Contingency |  |  |  | 5,000,000 | 5,000,000 |  | $(5,000,000)$ |
| 10037709 | Produce Mkt Reinvestment RS |  |  |  | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 |  | $(3,000,000)$ |
| Controller Reserves: Total |  |  |  |  | 34,143,000 | 34,143,000 | 200,000 | $(33,943,000)$ |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10000 | GF Annual Account Ctrl |  | Salaries | 42,014,186 | 48,161,623 | 6,147,437 | 50,579,280 | 2,417,657 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 18,124,892 | 19,493,570 | 1,368,678 | 19,995,257 | 501,687 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 3,856,676 | 4,504,972 | 648,296 | 4,257,987 | $(246,985)$ |
|  |  |  | City Grant Program | 4,135,437 | 4,381,621 | 246,184 | 4,281,621 | $(100,000)$ |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 1,123,720 | 1,029,293 | $(94,427)$ | 1,029,293 |  |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 7,484,299 | 8,894,472 | 1,410,173 | 9,068,978 | 174,506 |
| 10000 Total |  |  |  | 76,739,210 | 86,465,551 | 9,726,341 | 89,212,416 | 2,746,865 |
| 11430 | SR Conv Fac Fd-Operating |  | Salaries | 567,075 | 605,999 | 38,924 | 627,392 | 21,393 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 240,678 | 240,429 | (249) | 242,283 | 1,854 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 40,249,043 | 49,572,322 | 9,323,279 | 57,518,595 | 7,946,273 |
|  |  |  | Debt Service | 506,231 | 506,231 |  | 506,231 |  |
|  |  |  | Intrafund Transfers Out | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |  | 1,000,000 |  |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 1,530 | 1,530 |  | 1,530 |  |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 7,809,151 | 8,794,003 | 984,852 | 9,126,866 | 332,863 |
|  |  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(1,000,000)$ | $(1,000,000)$ |  | $(1,000,000)$ |  |
| 11430 Total |  |  |  | 49,373,708 | 59,720,514 | 10,346,806 | 68,022,897 | 8,302,383 |
| 12620 | SR Surety Bond Self-Insurance |  | Non-Personnel Services | 158,563 | 158,563 |  | 158,563 |  |
| 12620 Total |  |  |  | 158,563 | 158,563 | 0 | 158,563 | 0 |
| 27500 | ISCSF CENTRAL SHOPS FUND |  | Salaries | 10,363,229 | 10,972,637 | 609,408 | 11,367,341 | 394,704 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 5,764,395 | 5,802,481 | 38,086 | 5,941,603 | 139,122 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 5,044,321 | 4,701,468 | $(342,853)$ | 4,821,141 | 119,673 |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 10,296,377 | 10,962,621 | 666,244 | 10,962,621 |  |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 1,901,698 | 2,041,836 | 140,138 | 2,090,364 | 48,528 |
| 27500 Total |  |  |  | 33,370,020 | 34,481,043 | 1,111,023 | 35,183,070 | 702,027 |
| 28310 | ISOIS REPRODUCTION FUND |  | Salaries | 1,670,788 | 1,809,042 | 138,254 | 1,868,353 | 59,311 |


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28310 | ISOIS REPRODUCTION FUND |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 960,968 | 991,389 | 30,421 | 1,014,971 | 23,582 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 5,564,578 | 5,473,501 | $(91,077)$ | 5,314,182 | $(159,319)$ |
|  |  |  | Capital Outlay | 173,741 |  | $(173,741)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 367,220 | 367,220 |  | 367,220 |  |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 662,296 | 682,799 | 20,503 | 802,573 | 119,774 |
| 28310 Total Operating Total |  |  |  | 9,399,591 | 9,323,951 | $(75,640)$ | 9,367,299 | 43,348 |
|  |  |  |  | 169,041,092 | 190,149,622 | 21,108,530 | 201,944,245 | 11,794,623 |
| Annual Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From $2021-2022$ |
| 10010 | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 15754 | AD Red Facilities Maintenance | 260,000 | 273,000 | 13,000 | 286,650 | 13,650 |
|  |  | 15756 | City Admin Svcs Other Faciliti | 367,830 | 386,222 | 18,392 | 405,533 | 19,311 |
|  |  | 16518 | City Vehicle Pool | 43,963 | 39,296 | $(4,667)$ | 40,097 | 801 |
|  |  | 16519 | Entertainment Commission Fund | 1,206,978 | 1,324,923 | 117,945 | 1,360,609 | 35,686 |
|  |  | 16902 | Community Ambassador Program | 1,355,192 | 1,121,896 | $(233,296)$ | 1,130,745 | 8,849 |
|  |  | 19666 | AD Office Of Cannabis | 908,051 | 1,096,319 | 188,268 | 1,101,626 | 5,307 |
|  |  | 20930 | ADAD Relocation Projects | 2,176,000 |  | $(2,176,000)$ |  |  |
| 10010 Total <br> Annual Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 6,318,014 | 4,241,656 | $(2,076,358)$ | 4,325,260 | 83,604 |
|  |  |  |  | 6,318,014 | 4,241,656 | $(2,076,358)$ | 4,325,260 | 83,604 |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 10794 | AD Fleet Management Capital Im |  | 90,000 | 90,000 |  | $(90,000)$ |
|  |  | 15749 | AD 25 Van Ness Facilities Main | 200,000 | 300,000 | 100,000 |  | $(300,000)$ |
|  |  | 15753 | AD Disasbility Access Maintena |  | 650,000 | 650,000 |  | $(650,000)$ |
|  |  | 15754 | AD Red Facilities Maintenance |  | 500,000 | 500,000 |  | $(500,000)$ |
|  |  | 16522 | AD E-procurement | 101,650 | 101,650 |  | 101,650 |  |
|  |  | 16530 | AD Comm. Challenge Grants Spec | 135,000 | 80,000 | $(55,000)$ | 80,000 |  |
|  |  | 16537 | AD Digital Services Program | 10,171,907 | 10,451,694 | 279,787 | 10,713,672 | 261,978 |
|  |  | 16540 | AD Coit Program Planning | 654,605 | 679,985 | 25,380 | 695,538 | 15,553 |
|  |  | 19255 | PW City Capital Imprv Planning | 1,937,935 | 1,547,828 | $(390,107)$ | 1,365,635 | $(182,193)$ |
|  |  | 19476 | AD Animal Shelter Facility Pla | 200,000 |  | $(200,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 19483 | AD City Admin Projects-disable |  | 675,750 | 675,750 |  | $(675,750)$ |


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 19486 | AD Red-capital Improvements | 4,866,553 | 2,800,000 | $(2,066,553)$ | 2,500,000 | $(300,000)$ |
|  |  | 20886 | ADRE HOJ Relocation | 2,000,000 | 3,262,449 | 1,262,449 | 12,148,782 | 8,886,333 |
|  |  | 20896 | AD 49SVN Project (Non-COP) | 1,949,401 |  | $(1,949,401)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 20925 | ADRE Permit Center Operating | 6,023,940 | 6,661,487 | 637,547 | 6,816,440 | 154,953 |
|  |  | 21652 | ADCP Critical Repairs |  | 5,648,750 | 5,648,750 | 5,648,750 |  |
|  |  | 21691 | AD Contractor Development |  | 300,000 | 300,000 | 200,000 | $(100,000)$ |
| 10020 Total |  |  |  | 28,240,991 | 33,749,593 | 5,508,602 | 40,270,467 | 6,520,874 |
| 10493 | SR Union Sq Prk, Rec, OS fee | 21146 | Union Sq Prk, Rec, OS fee | 600,000 |  | $(600,000)$ |  |  |
| 10493 Total |  |  |  | 600,000 | 0 | $(600,000)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 10600 | SR Neighborhood Beautifcation | 16531 | AD Ccg-puc Watershed Stwd Gran | 100,000 | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  |
|  |  | 19598 | AD Neighborhood Beautification | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 |  | 2,500,000 |  |
| 10600 Total |  |  |  | 2,600,000 | 2,600,000 | 0 | 2,600,000 | 0 |
| 10670 | SR Eastern Neighborhood CI | 10804 | AD Adm - Interagency Planning | 200,000 | 200,000 |  | 200,000 |  |
| 10670 Total |  |  |  | 200,000 | 200,000 | 0 | 200,000 | 0 |
| 11440 | SR Conv Fac Fd-Continuing | 19491 | AD Moscone Conv Fac Capital Pr | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |  | 1,000,000 |  |
| 11440 Total |  |  |  | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 0 | 1,000,000 | 0 |
| 11445 | SR Conv Fac Fd-Moscone Expan D | 19804 | Moscone Expansion District | 27,729,516 | 27,827,277 | 97,761 | 27,896,285 | 69,008 |
| 11445 Total |  |  |  | 27,729,516 | 27,827,277 | 97,761 | 27,896,285 | 69,008 |
| 11802 | SR Culture \& Rec Hotel Tax | 20451 | Grants For the Arts | 13,203,000 | 14,523,300 | 1,320,300 | 15,976,000 | 1,452,700 |
| 11802 Total |  |  |  | 13,203,000 | 14,523,300 | 1,320,300 | 15,976,000 | 1,452,700 |
| 12650 | SR Vital \& HIth Stat Fees | 17083 | HC Vital \& Health Stats Fd | 60,000 | 54,000 | $(6,000)$ | 76,000 | 22,000 |
| 12650 Total |  |  |  | 60,000 | 54,000 | $(6,000)$ | 76,000 | 22,000 |
| 14300 | SR Real Property | 17375 | Real Estate Div Facilities Inv | 1,104,280 | 1,137,408 | 33,128 | 1,137,408 |  |
|  |  | 17377 | Real Estate Projects | 95,000 | 306,115 | 211,115 | 311,829 | 5,714 |
|  |  | 17378 | Real Estate Real Property Fund | 141,963,012 | 158,051,656 | 16,088,644 | 163,247,140 | 5,195,484 |
| 14300 Total |  |  |  | 143,162,292 | 159,495,179 | 16,332,887 | 164,696,377 | 5,201,198 |
| 14400 | SR Yerba Buena Gardens | 17379 | Yerba Buena Gardens Project | 3,304,226 | 3,001,903 | $(302,323)$ | 1,201,635 | $(1,800,268)$ |
|  |  | 20307 | Yerba Buena Gardens Operations | 7,042,517 | 5,251,159 | $(1,791,358)$ | 5,251,159 |  |
| 14400 Total |  |  |  | 10,346,743 | 8,253,062 | $(2,093,681)$ | 6,452,794 | $(1,800,268)$ |
| 15384 | CPXCF COP Crit Reprs/Rcv Stmls | 21652 | ADCP Critical Repairs |  | 10,768,000 | 10,768,000 |  | $(10,768,000)$ |
|  |  | 21790 | AD 1SVN CR COPs |  | 2,100,000 | 2,100,000 |  | $(2,100,000)$ |
|  |  | 21791 | AD CH HVAC CR COPs |  | 6,275,000 | 6,275,000 |  | $(6,275,000)$ |
|  |  | 21792 | AD CH Elevators CR COPs |  | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |  | $(2,000,000)$ |
|  |  | 21793 | AD HOJ HVAC CR COPs |  | 4,800,000 | 4,800,000 |  | $(4,800,000)$ |
|  |  | 21796 | AD CR RS COPs Contingency |  | 5,000,000 | 5,000,000 |  | $(5,000,000)$ |
|  |  | 21801 | AD Produce Mkt Reinvestment RS |  | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 |  | $(3,000,000)$ |
| 15384 Total |  |  |  | 0 | 33,943,000 | 33,943,000 | 0 | $(33,943,000)$ |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023


Division Summary


Chart of Account Summary

Department: DPW

| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City Facilities Improvement Fd | (9,000,000) | 9,000,000 | 18,000,000 |  | $(9,000,000)$ |
| Community / Neighborhood Dev | 2,400,000 | 2,524,372 | 124,372 | 6,311,000 | 3,786,628 |
| Gasoline Tax Fund | 56,784,693 | 60,037,574 | 3,252,881 | 60,941,577 | 904,003 |
| General Fund | 255,703,317 | 243,266,196 | $(12,437,121)$ | 251,638,117 | 8,371,921 |
| Public Wks Trans and Commerce | 44,443,851 | 37,807,888 | $(6,635,963)$ | 38,454,235 | 646,347 |
| Street Improvement Fund | 1,581,145 |  | $(1,581,145)$ |  |  |
| Total Uses by Funds | 351,913,006 | 352,636,030 | 723,024 | 357,344,929 | 4,708,899 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| DPW Administration | $(17,007,794)$ | (19,332,715) | (2,324,921) | (20,434,681) | (1,101,966) |
| DPW Buildings | 44,697,054 | 27,677,454 | $(17,019,600)$ | 37,997,008 | 10,319,554 |
| DPW Infrastructure | 109,730,974 | 141,265,663 | 31,534,689 | 131,199,652 | $(10,066,011)$ |
| DPW Operations | 214,492,772 | 203,025,628 | $(11,467,144)$ | 208,582,950 | 5,557,322 |
| Total Uses by Division | 351,913,006 | 352,636,030 | 723,024 | 357,344,929 | 4,708,899 |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 130,518,767 | 137,825,077 | 7,306,310 | 142,024,294 | 4,199,217 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 52,723,171 | 52,567,449 | $(155,722)$ | 53,743,715 | 1,176,266 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 19,426,490 | 19,649,530 | 223,040 | 23,547,115 | 3,897,585 |
| City Grant Program | 25,229,902 | 8,388,660 | $(16,841,242)$ | 8,388,660 |  |
| Capital Outlay | 48,930,488 | 63,063,450 | 14,132,962 | 56,532,665 | $(6,530,785)$ |
| Facilities Maintenance | 617,110 | 790,466 | 173,356 | 514,989 | $(275,477)$ |
| Intrafund Transfers Out | 922,081 | 2,471,714 | 1,549,633 | 2,902,304 | 430,590 |
| Materials \& Supplies | 6,930,555 | 5,732,858 | $(1,197,697)$ | 5,748,058 | 15,200 |
| Overhead and Allocations | 117,819 | 7 | $(117,812)$ | 3 | (4) |
| Programmatic Projects | 12,196,118 | 9,260,183 | (2,935,935) | 9,342,732 | 82,549 |


| Services Of Other Depts |  | 46,060,206 | 48,373,890 | 2,313,684 | 49,673,385 | 1,299,495 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transfers Out |  | 3,098,525 | 3,098,525 |  | 3,098,525 |  |
| Unappropriated Rev-Designated |  | 6,063,855 | 3,885,935 | $(2,177,920)$ | 4,730,788 | 844,853 |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses |  | $(922,081)$ | $(2,471,714)$ | $(1,549,633)$ | $(2,902,304)$ | $(430,590)$ |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account |  | 351,913,006 | 352,636,030 | 723,024 | 357,344,929 | 4,708,899 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 420320 | Cafe Tables And Chairs | 187,500 | 75,000 | $(112,500)$ | 375,000 | 300,000 |
| 420340 | Sidewalk Display | 75,000 | 30,000 | $(45,000)$ | 120,000 | 90,000 |
| 420350 | Sidewalk Flower Markets | 3,000 | 3,000 |  | 3,000 |  |
| 420370 | Newsrack Fees | 30,000 | 25,000 | $(5,000)$ | 25,000 |  |
| 430150 | Interest Earned - Pooled Cash | 1,622,806 | 41,661 | $(1,581,145)$ | 41,661 |  |
| 443111 | FEMA - Federal Share | 8,000,000 |  | $(8,000,000)$ |  |  |
| 446211 | Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax | 5,056,289 | 5,056,289 |  | 5,056,289 |  |
| 446212 | Gas Tax Apportionment 725 | 6,431,909 | 6,431,909 |  | 6,431,909 |  |
| 446213 | Gas Tax Apportionment City | 23,489,035 | 25,222,272 | 1,733,237 | 26,212,272 | 990,000 |
| 446214 | Gas Tax Apportionment County | 11,446,837 | 11,943,600 | 496,763 | 12,453,600 | 510,000 |
| 448912 | Gas Tax Prop-111 Sec2105 Conty | 2,501,790 | 2,501,790 |  | 2,501,790 |  |
| 448914 | Gas Tax Prop-111 Sec 2105 City | 4,909,282 | 4,909,282 |  | 4,909,282 |  |
| 460148 | Solid Waste Impound Acct Fee | 8,760,285 | 9,508,301 | 748,016 | 9,508,301 |  |
| 460199 | Other General Government Chrge | 1,200,000 | 200,000 | $(1,000,000)$ | 200,000 |  |
| 460500 | 'Parklets' Permit Fee | 16,330 | 4,000 | $(12,330)$ | 12,500 | 8,500 |
| 460600 | Mobile Food Facilities Permit | 16,747 | 10,000 | $(6,747)$ | 8,000 | $(2,000)$ |
| 460627 | Curb Reconfiguration Charge | 26,518 | 20,000 | $(6,518)$ | 20,000 |  |
| 460631 | Excavation Inspection | 196,000 |  | $(196,000)$ |  |  |
| 460637 | Street Space | 11,043,695 | 10,572,011 | $(471,684)$ | 10,552,230 | $(19,781)$ |
| 460639 | Misc Service Charges-DPW | 1,010,000 | 2,365,000 | 1,355,000 | 2,365,000 |  |
| 460641 | Debris Boxes | 584,250 | 570,000 | $(14,250)$ | 624,307 | 54,307 |
| 460642 | Sidewalk Permit | 43,568 | 30,000 | $(13,568)$ | 40,000 | 10,000 |
| 460647 | Right-Of-Way Assessment | 170,424 | 600,000 | 429,576 | 600,000 |  |
| 460675 | Encroachment Assessment Fee | 1,568,773 | 1,750,000 | 181,227 | 1,800,000 | 50,000 |
| 460699 | Other Public Safety Charges | 2,591,843 | 1,500,000 | $(1,091,843)$ | 1,575,000 | 75,000 |
| 460801 | Street Cleaning State Highway | 630,000 | 502,768 | $(127,232)$ | 502,768 |  |
| 460802 | Street Repair State Highway | 170,000 | 163,700 | $(6,300)$ | 163,700 |  |
| 461122 | Parking Plan Admin Fees | 201,467 | 250,000 | 48,533 | 250,000 |  |
| 461123 | Parking Plan Inspection Fees | 566,498 | 665,000 | 98,502 | 665,000 |  |
| 475415 | Community ImprovementImpactFee | 2,400,000 | 2,524,372 | 124,372 | 6,311,000 | 3,786,628 |


| （9，000，000） |
| ---: |
| 388 |
| 47,376 |
| 361,482 |
| 830 |
|  |
|  |
| 5,202 |
|  |
| 175 |
|  |
| 6,556 |
| 5,398 |
| 14,434 |
| 16,645 |
| 52,028 |
| 93,143 |
| 45,043 |
| 53,604 |
| 1,631 |
| 10,973 |
| 9,232 |
| 1,940 |
| 10,023 |
| 302,062$)$ |
| 7,842 |
| , 590 |
|  |


|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |





| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12790 Total |  |  |  | 0 |  | $0 \quad 0$ |  | 0 |
| Operating Total |  |  |  | 73,306,196 | 78,623,739 | 5,317,543 | 80,472,008 | 1,848,269 |
| Annual Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 12769 | SR Gas Tax Annual Authority | 20680 | PW SES - Street Env Services | 8,076,566 | 8,879,948 | 803,382 | 9,079,448 | 199,500 |
|  |  | 20681 | PW BUF - Urban Forestry | 8,816,953 | 9,862,620 | 1,045,667 | 9,011,323 | $(851,297)$ |
|  |  | 21412 | IT Systems and Equipment | 5,500 | 5,500 |  | 5,500 |  |
| 12769 Total |  |  |  | 16,899,019 | 18,748,068 | 1,849,049 | 18,096,271 | $(651,797)$ |
| 12789 | SR Road Annual Authority | 20679 | PW SSR - Street \& Sewer Repair | 7,015,674 | 6,189,506 | $(826,168)$ | 6,245,306 | 55,800 |
| 12789 Total |  |  |  | 7,015,674 | 6,189,506 | $(826,168)$ | 6,245,306 | 55,800 |
| Annual Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 23,914,693 | 24,937,574 | 1,022,881 | 24,341,577 | $(595,997)$ |


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 17066 | Mission Bay Transportation Imp | 259,441 | 527,899 | 268,458 | 610,448 | 82,549 |
|  |  | 18883 | PW Complete Streets | 100,000 | 400,000 | 300,000 |  | $(400,000)$ |
|  |  | 19038 | PW Sidewalks (Public Property) | 1,076,458 | 1,547,038 | 470,580 | 1,624,390 | 77,352 |
|  |  | 19145 | PW Facilities Maintenance | 467,110 | 490,466 | 23,356 | 514,989 | 24,523 |
|  |  | 19329 | WI Infrastructure Debt Service | 5,225,538 | 5,414,722 | 189,184 | 6,936,434 | 1,521,712 |
|  |  | 19374 | PW Addbacks | 500,000 | 500,000 |  | 500,000 |  |
|  |  | 19375 | PW Addbacks Prog (BOS) | 19,000 | 19,000 |  | 19,000 |  |
|  |  | 19441 | PW Potholes | 2,112,852 | 1,303,115 | $(809,737)$ | 1,368,270 | 65,155 |
|  |  | 19454 | PW Landscape-Median Maint | 139,619 | 147,298 | 7,679 | 154,663 | 7,365 |
|  |  | 20683 | PW Citywide Projects | 365,000 | 365,000 |  | 365,000 |  |
|  |  | 20685 | PW District 2 Projects | 330,000 | 330,000 |  | 330,000 |  |
|  |  | 20686 | PW District 3 Projects | 500,000 | 200,000 | $(300,000)$ | 200,000 |  |
|  |  | 20687 | PW District 4 Projects | 154,000 | 124,000 | $(30,000)$ | 124,000 |  |
|  |  | 20688 | PW District 5 Projects | 144,000 |  | $(144,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 20689 | PW District 6 Projects | 166,000 | 130,000 | $(36,000)$ | 130,000 |  |
|  |  | 20690 | PW District 7 Projects | 115,776 | 50,000 | $(65,776)$ | 50,000 |  |
|  |  | 20691 | PW District 8 Projects | 110,000 |  | $(110,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 20692 | PW District 9 Projects | 56,000 | 56,000 |  | 56,000 |  |


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 20693 | PW District 10 Projects | 60,000 |  | $(60,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 20694 | PW District 11 Projects | 38,000 | 38,000 | 38,000 |  |  |
|  |  | 21819 | Stockton St Widening |  | 2,700,000 | 2,700,000 | $(2,700,000)$ |  |
|  |  | 21822 | Carnaval Capital Work |  | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 | $(2,000,000)$ |  |
|  |  | 80142 | PW City Facility Projects | 150,000 | 300,000 | 150,000 | $(300,000)$ |  |
|  |  | 80143 | PW Curb Ramp Program | 3,000,948 | 450,312 | $(2,550,636)$ | 4,061,028 | 3,610,716 |
|  |  | 80145 | PW Plaza Improvements |  | 300,000 | 300,000 |  | $(300,000)$ |
|  |  | 80146 | PW Plaza Inspect \& Repair Pgm | 116,894 | 123,323 | 6,429 | 129,489 | 6,166 |
|  |  | 80147 | PW Street Bridge Program |  |  |  | 2,120,000 | 2,120,000 |
|  |  | 80148 | PW Street Resurfacing Program | 5,928,024 | $(5,928,024)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 80149 | PW Street Tree Establishment | 572,500 | 3,000,000 | 2,427,500 | 525,000 | $(2,475,000)$ |
|  |  | 80150 | PW Struct Inspect \& Rpr Pgm | 1,173,360 | 898,720 | $(274,640)$ | 525,000 | $(373,720)$ |
|  |  | 80151 | PW Urgent Repairs | 401,250 | 425,000 | 23,750 | 593,024 | 168,024 |
|  |  | 88888 | CoVid Incident Response | 16,000,000 |  | $(16,000,000)$ |  |  |
| 10020 Total |  |  |  | 39,281,770 | 21,839,893 | $(17,441,877)$ | 20,974,735 | $(865,158)$ |
| 10670 | SR Eastern Neighborhood CI | 80144 | PW IPIC Program | 300,000 |  | $(300,000)$ | 2,241,000 | 2,241,000 |
| 10670 Total |  |  |  | 300,000 | 0 | $(300,000)$ | 2,241,000 | 2,241,000 |
| 10820 | SR Market \& Octavia CI | 80144 | PW IPIC Program | 2,100,000 | 12,568,372 | 10,468,372 | 4,070,000 | $(8,498,372)$ |
| 10820 Total |  |  |  | 2,100,000 | 12,568,372 | 10,468,372 | 4,070,000 | $(8,498,372)$ |
| 10880 | SR Transit Center District | 17072 | GE Transit Center District |  | $(10,044,000)$ | $(10,044,000)$ | 10,044,000 |  |
| 10880 Total |  |  |  | 0 | $(10,044,000)$ | $(10,044,000)$ | 0 | 10,044,000 |
| 12760 | SR Special Gas Tax St Impvt | 80148 | PW Street Resurfacing Program | 5,751,049 | 7,128,000 | 1,376,951 | 7,788,000 | 660,000 |
| 12760 Total |  |  |  | 5,751,049 | 7,128,000 | 1,376,951 | 7,788,000 | 660,000 |
| 12775 | SR RMRA City Capital Funding | 80148 | PW Street Resurfacing Program | 15,681,714 | 16,038,000 | 356,286 | 16,368,000 | 330,000 |
| 12775 Total |  |  |  | 15,681,714 | 16,038,000 | 356,286 | 16,368,000 | 330,000 |
| 12780 | SR Road | 80148 | PW Street Resurfacing Program | 3,068,951 | 3,672,000 | 603,049 | 4,012,000 | 340,000 |
| 12780 Total |  |  |  | 3,068,951 | 3,672,000 | 603,049 | 4,012,000 | 340,000 |
| 12785 | SR RMRA County Capital Funding | 80148 | PW Street Resurfacing Program | 8,368,286 | 8,262,000 | $(106,286)$ | 8,432,000 | 170,000 |
| 12785 Total |  |  |  | 8,368,286 | 8,262,000 | $(106,286)$ | 8,432,000 | 170,000 |
| 13970 | SR Services to Outside Agncy | 19377 | PW Development Review Services | 1,000,000 | $(1,000,000)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 21656 | SoMa 5th\&Brannan St Develop |  | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 0 |
| 13970 Total | SR Other Special Revenue |  |  | 1,000,000 | 200,000 | $(800,000)$ | 200,000 |  |
| 13980 |  | 19404 | PW Sidewalks (Priv Property) | 2,591,843 | 1,500,000 | $(1,091,843)$ | 1,575,000 | 75,000 |
|  |  | 19408 | WU Excav.fund City Conduit\&com | 196,000 |  | $(196,000)$ |  |  |
| 13980 Total |  |  |  | 2,787,843 | 1,500,000 | $(1,287,843)$ | 1,575,000 | $75,000$ |
| 13985 | SR 2016 Prop E StreetTreeMaint | 20681 | PW BUF - Urban Forestry | 17,740,000 | 19,600,000 | 1,860,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 22,220,000 \\ 230,000 \end{array}$ | 2,620,000 |
|  |  | 21412 | IT Systems and Equipment | 230,000 | 230,000 |  |  |  |




Department: DT GSA - Technology


| DT Administration | 49,772,234 | 58,159,224 | 8,386,990 | 59,731,967 | 1,572,743 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DT Capital And Equipment | 1,100,000 | 12,700,000 | 11,600,000 |  | $(12,700,000)$ |
| DT Chief Technology Officer | $(51,501)$ |  | 51,501 |  |  |
| DT Communications | 6,821,709 | 6,975,504 | 153,795 | 7,191,343 | 215,839 |
| DT Cybersecurity | 7,930,995 | 8,748,444 | 817,449 | 8,923,091 | 174,647 |
| DT Enterprise Applications | 6,947,964 | 6,342,254 | $(605,710)$ | 6,499,027 | 156,773 |
| DT Infrastructure \& Operations | 29,587,612 | 24,300,393 | $(5,287,219)$ | 26,014,484 | 1,714,091 |
| DT Innovation | 1,000,501 | 1,057,379 | 56,878 | 1,069,576 | 12,197 |
| DT JUSTIS | 2,691,679 | 3,001,199 | 309,520 | 3,053,968 | 52,769 |
| DT PMO |  | 3,244,328 | 3,244,328 | 3,319,168 | 74,840 |
| DT Public Safety | 12,958,236 | 13,991,023 | 1,032,787 | 14,269,212 | 278,189 |
| DT Rate Model DataSF | 1,346,852 |  | $(1,346,852)$ |  |  |
| DT Rate Model Usage |  | 4,902,544 | 4,902,544 | 4,938,821 | 36,277 |
| DT Support Services | 11,366,364 | 9,699,239 | (1,667,125) | 9,834,395 | 135,156 |
| Total Uses by Division | 131,472,645 | 153,121,531 | 21,648,886 | 144,845,052 | $(8,276,479)$ |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 30,880,149 | 34,788,312 | 3,908,163 | 35,981,569 | 1,193,257 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 14,383,162 | 15,032,347 | 649,185 | 15,236,648 | 204,301 |


| Non-Personnel Services |  | 63,892,765 | 71,790,512 | 7,897,747 | 72,317,231 | 526,719 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital Outlay |  | 1,723,160 | 14,221,991 | 12,498,831 | 1,800,000 | $(12,421,991)$ |
| Intrafund Transfers Out |  | 5,610,000 | 1,200,000 | $(4,410,000)$ | 3,030,000 | 1,830,000 |
| Materials \& Supplies |  | 3,606,324 | 3,402,978 | $(203,346)$ | 3,402,978 |  |
| Overhead and Allocations |  | 787,075 | 918,286 | 131,211 | 918,286 |  |
| Programmatic Projects |  | 5,521,500 | 1,711,500 | $(3,810,000)$ | 3,780,000 | 2,068,500 |
| Services Of Other Depts |  | 10,611,511 | 11,255,605 | 644,094 | 11,408,340 | 152,735 |
| Unappropriated Rev-Designated |  | 66,999 |  | $(66,999)$ |  |  |
| Transfer Adjustment-Uses |  | $(5,610,000)$ | $(1,200,000)$ | 4,410,000 | $(3,030,000)$ | $(1,830,000)$ |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account |  | 131,472,645 | 153,121,531 | 21,648,886 | 144,845,052 | $(8,276,479)$ |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 420630 | Cable Tv Franchise | 2,390,000 | 1,701,000 | $(689,000)$ | 1,566,000 | $(135,000)$ |
| 439814 | Fiber Lease Revenues |  | 350,000 | 350,000 | 350,000 |  |
| 439899 | Other City Property Rentals | 177,449 | 178,265 | 816 | 179,104 | 839 |
| 439909 | Other Concessions - Misc | 648,000 |  | $(648,000)$ |  |  |
| 449997 | City Depts Revenue From OCII | 82,716 | 75,783 | $(6,933)$ | 76,201 | 418 |
| 480141 | Proceeds FromCertOfParticipatn |  | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 |  | $(2,500,000)$ |
| 486010 | Exp Rec Fr Asian Arts Musm AAO | 172,045 | 163,758 | $(8,287)$ | 168,200 | 4,442 |
| 486020 | Exp Rec Fr Airport (AAO) | 1,459,318 | 1,618,516 | 159,198 | 1,720,870 | 102,354 |
| 486030 | Exp Rec Fr Admin Svcs (AAO) | 3,777,912 | 3,665,873 | $(112,039)$ | 3,788,932 | 123,059 |
| 486050 | Exp Rec Fr Adult Probation AAO | 550,619 | 632,965 | 82,346 | 667,413 | 34,448 |
| 486060 | Exp Rec Fr Art Commission AAO | 137,523 | 153,994 | 16,471 | 159,078 | 5,084 |
| 486070 | Exp Rec Fr Assessor (AAO) | 756,341 | 685,358 | $(70,983)$ | 707,731 | 22,373 |
| 486090 | Exp Rec Fr Board Of Supv (AAO) | 309,069 | 305,438 | $(3,631)$ | 315,062 | 9,624 |
| 486100 | Exp Rec Fr Bus \& Enc Dev (AAO) | 326,662 | 355,206 | 28,544 | 368,253 | 13,047 |
| 486110 | Exp Rec Fr Bldg Inspection AAO | 1,939,309 | 1,986,982 | 47,673 | 2,059,998 | 73,016 |
| 486170 | Exp Rec Fr Chld Supprt SvcsAAO | 176,207 | 208,959 | 32,752 | 212,612 | 3,653 |
| 486190 | Exp Rec Fr Child;Youth\&Fam AAO | 463,319 | 722,281 | 258,962 | 730,715 | 8,434 |
| 486191 | Exp Rec Fr Early Childhood |  |  |  | 37,212 | 37,212 |
| 486195 | EXP REC Fr HomelessnessSvcsAAO | 376,615 | 494,888 | 118,273 | 514,879 | 19,991 |
| 486200 | Exp Rec Fr Children \& Fam AAO | 35,101 | 35,943 | 842 |  | $(35,943)$ |
| 486220 | Exp Rec Fr Controller (AAO) | 4,451,641 | 3,911,019 | $(540,622)$ | 3,852,070 | $(58,949)$ |
| 486230 | Exp Rec Fr City Planning (AAO) | 1,182,465 | 1,035,297 | $(147,168)$ | 1,072,813 | 37,516 |
| 486240 | Exp Rec Fr Civil Service (AAO) | 17,837 | 18,906 | 1,069 | 19,658 | 752 |
| 486250 | Exp Rec Fr City Attorney (AAO) | 782,939 | 833,986 | 51,047 | 860,630 | 26,644 |
| 486270 | Exp Rec Fr Distrct Attorny AAO | 871,166 | 976,614 | 105,448 | 1,006,841 | 30,227 |








| Annual Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 28070 | ISTIF Annual Authority Ctrl | 17582 | DT Dt Operating Master Project | 77,751,849 | 83,813,240 | 6,061,391 | 85,049,813 | 1,236,573 |
|  |  | 17608 | Dt Work Order Projects | 15,052,862 | 20,094,991 | 5,042,129 | 20,825,901 | 730,910 |
| 28070 Total |  |  |  | 92,804,711 | 103,908,231 | 11,103,520 | 105,875,714 | 1,967,483 |
| Annual Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 92,804,711 | 103,908,231 | 11,103,520 | 105,875,714 | 1,967,483 |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 16524 | AD Justis Project - City Adm. | 2,691,679 | 3,001,199 | 309,520 | 3,053,968 | 52,769 |
|  |  | 20315 | Mainframe Retirement Plan | 511,500 | 511,500 |  | 750,000 | 238,500 |
|  |  | 20355 | DT Fiber to Public Housing | 1,100,000 | 10,000,000 | 8,900,000 |  | $(10,000,000)$ |
|  |  | 20356 | DT VOIP Facilities Remediation |  | 600,000 | 600,000 | 1,000,000 | 400,000 |
|  |  | 21814 | DT City Hall WiFi Improvements |  | 200,000 | 200,000 |  | $(200,000)$ |
| 10020 Total |  |  |  | 4,303,179 | 14,312,699 | 10,009,520 | 4,803,968 | $(9,508,731)$ |
| 15384 | CPXCF COP Crit Reprs/Rcv Stmls | 21800 | DT Fiber Backbone CR |  | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 |  | $(2,500,000)$ |
| 15384 Total |  |  |  | 0 | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 | 0 | $(2,500,000)$ |
| 28080 | ISTIF ContinuingAuthorityCtrl | 17594 | DT Wan Fix The Network | 3,000,000 |  | $(3,000,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 17610 | DT Telecom - Voip Project | 1,010,000 | 500,000 | $(510,000)$ | 1,230,000 | 730,000 |
|  |  | 19672 | TI City Cloud Enhancement | 1,000,000 | 500,000 | $(500,000)$ | 1,600,000 | 1,100,000 |
|  |  | 21487 | DT Projects | 600,000 | 200,000 | $(400,000)$ | 200,000 |  |
| 28080 Total <br> Continuing Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 5,610,000 | 1,200,000 | $(4,410,000)$ | 3,030,000 | 1,830,000 |
|  |  |  |  | 9,913,179 | 18,012,699 | 8,099,520 | 7,833,968 | $(10,178,731)$ |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  | 131,472,645 | 153,121,531 | 21,648,886 | 144,845,052 | $(8,276,479)$ |


| Department: HSS Health Service System |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| General Fund | 12,102,328 | 12,570,769 | 468,441 | 12,908,805 | 338,036 |
| Total Uses by Funds | 12,102,328 | 12,570,769 | 468,441 | 12,908,805 | 338,036 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| HSS Health Service System | 12,102,328 | 12,570,769 | 468,441 | 12,908,805 | 338,036 |
| Total Uses by Division | 12,102,328 | 12,570,769 | 468,441 | 12,908,805 | 338,036 |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 5,203,105 | 5,635,247 | 432,142 | 5,833,784 | 198,537 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 2,680,495 | 2,724,533 | 44,038 | 2,771,618 | 47,085 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 2,299,146 | 2,291,057 | $(8,089)$ | 2,305,275 | 14,218 |
| Materials \& Supplies | 49,085 | 47,717 | $(1,368)$ | 71,362 | 23,645 |
| Services Of Other Depts | 1,870,497 | 1,872,215 | 1,718 | 1,926,766 | 54,551 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 12,102,328 | 12,570,769 | 468,441 | 12,908,805 | 338,036 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 469999 Other Operating Revenue | 9,131 | 9,131 |  | 9,131 |  |
| 479999 Other Non-Operating Revenue | 625,297 | 625,958 | 661 | 625,958 |  |
| 486020 Exp Rec Fr Airport (AAO) | 471,074 | 475,168 | 4,094 | 484,209 | 9,041 |
| 486110 Exp Rec Fr Bldg Inspection AAO | 79,554 | 75,785 | $(3,769)$ | 77,227 | 1,442 |
| 486170 Exp Rec Fr Chld Supprt SvcsAAO |  | 19,595 | 19,595 | 19,967 | 372 |
| 486191 Exp Rec Fr Early Childhood |  |  |  | 3,524 | 3,524 |
| 486200 Exp Rec Fr Children \& Fam AAO | 4,420 | 3,458 | (962) |  | $(3,458)$ |
| 486230 Exp Rec Fr City Planning (AAO) | 47,463 | 62,530 | 15,067 | 63,719 | 1,189 |


Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023
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| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 11346 | HO 440 Turk Building | 291,158 | 266,411 | $(24,747)$ | 269,358 | 2,947 |
|  |  | 17129 | HO Shelter And Navigation Cent | 19,929,207 | 30,085,092 | 10,155,885 | 27,098,659 | $(2,986,433)$ |
|  |  | 17702 | HN Whole Person Care Pilot | 20,600,431 | 10,713,576 | $(9,886,855)$ |  | $(10,713,576)$ |
|  |  | 20938 | Housing for Homeless | 23,200,000 | 4,101,204 | $(19,098,796)$ | 4,101,204 |  |
|  |  | 21292 | HO 1064-68 Mission | 238,030 | 284,438 | 46,408 |  | $(284,438)$ |
|  |  | 21802 | HOM MSC South Repairs CR |  | 8,468,000 | 8,468,000 |  | $(8,468,000)$ |
|  |  | 21803 | HOM Next Door Repairs CR |  | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |  | $(2,000,000)$ |
|  |  | 88888 | CoVid Incident Response | 150,707,382 | 27,212,025 | $(123,495,357)$ |  | $(27,212,025)$ |
| 10020 Total |  |  |  | 214,966,208 | 83,130,746 | $(131,835,462)$ | 31,469,221 | $(51,661,525)$ |
| 10030 | GF Human Services Care | 17560 | HS Human Services Care | 19,672,654 | 18,839,095 | $(833,559)$ | 20,481,226 | 1,642,131 |
| 10030 Total |  |  |  | 19,672,654 | 18,839,095 | $(833,559)$ | 20,481,226 | 1,642,131 |
| 10582 | SR OCOH Nov18 PropCHomelessSvc | 21528 | HOM AffordHousing-GenHomeless | 108,227,212 | 118,437,138 | 10,209,926 | 97,513,421 | $(20,923,717)$ |
|  |  | 21529 | HOM AffordHousing-Under Age 30 | 39,355,350 | 43,068,050 | 3,712,700 | 35,459,426 | $(7,608,624)$ |
|  |  | 21530 | HOM AffordHousing-Families | 49,194,188 | 53,835,063 | 4,640,875 | 44,324,282 | $(9,510,781)$ |
|  |  | 21532 | HOM Homelessness Prevention | 59,033,025 | 50,372,075 | $(8,660,950)$ | 53,189,138 | 2,817,063 |
|  |  | 21533 | HOM Shelter and Hygiene | 39,355,350 | 33,306,600 | $(6,048,750)$ | 35,459,426 | 2,152,826 |
| 10582 Total |  |  |  | 295,165,125 | 299,018,926 | 3,853,801 | 265,945,693 | $(33,073,233)$ |
| 15680 | CP SF Capital Planning | 21815 | 260 Golden Gate Seismic |  | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 |  | $(1,500,000)$ |
|  |  | 21816 | 525 5th St. \& 1001 Polk Seismic |  | 500,000 | 500,000 |  | $(500,000)$ |
| 15680 Total <br> Continuing Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 0 | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 0 | $(2,000,000)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 529,803,987 | 402,988,767 | $(126,815,220)$ | 317,896,140 | $(85,092,627)$ |
| Grants Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 11580 SR Community Health-Grants |  | 10035541 | HOM FY21 SB Mckinney PATH | 609,494 | 609,494 |  | 609,494 |  |
| 11580 Total |  |  |  | 609,494 | 609,494 | 0 | 609,494 | 0 |
| 12960 | SR Human Welfare-Grants | 10035542 | HOM FY21 250 Kearny VASH | 2,453,118 | 2,453,118 |  | 2,453,118 |  |
|  |  | 10035543 | HOM FY21 250 Kearny VA SuppSer | 500,000 | 500,000 |  | 500,000 |  |
|  |  | 10036608 | LGBT Center Host Home Program | 368,177 | 368,177 |  | 368,177 |  |
|  |  | 10036609 | 3rd Strt Hmless Youth RRH Prgm | 543,144 | 543,144 |  | 543,144 |  |
|  |  | 10036610 | Youth Coordinated Entry | 225,000 | 225,000 |  | 225,000 |  |
|  |  | 10036611 | Larkin Strt YAC Collaborative | 410,000 | 410,000 |  | 410,000 |  |
|  |  | 10036612 | Canon Kip | 1,796,872 | 1,796,872 |  | 1,796,872 |  |
|  |  | 10036613 | Hope House (Consolidated) | 1,865,707 | 1,865,707 |  | 1,865,707 |  |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12960 | SR Human Welfare-Grants | 10036614 | THC-Baldwin House | 3,015,516 | 3,015,516 |  | 3,015,516 |  |
|  |  | 10036615 | Hamilton Famly Rapid Rehousing | 1,092,272 | 1,092,272 |  | 1,092,272 |  |
|  |  | 10036616 | El Dorado/Midori | 347,630 | 347,630 |  | 347,630 |  |
|  |  | 10036617 | TNDC Scattered Sites | 937,074 | 937,074 |  | 937,074 |  |
|  |  | 10036618 | Canon Barcus Community House | 695,202 | 695,202 |  | 695,202 |  |
|  |  | 10036619 | Hope House for Veterans | 914,848 | 914,848 |  | 914,848 |  |
|  |  | 10036620 | Henry Hotel | 1,067,485 | 1,067,485 |  | 1,067,485 |  |
|  |  | 10036621 | 1036 Mission | 974,035 | 974,035 |  | 974,035 |  |
|  |  | 10036622 | Glide Cecil William Comm House | 566,415 | 566,415 |  | 566,415 |  |
|  |  | 10036623 | 95 Laguna Senior Housing | 487,504 | 487,504 |  | 487,504 |  |
|  |  | 10036624 | Hotel Isabel | 193,128 | 193,128 |  | 193,128 |  |
|  |  | 10036625 | Richardson Hall/ 55 Laguna | 257,265 | 257,265 |  | 257,265 |  |
|  |  | 10036626 | TNDC Franciscan Towers 2 | 1,018,557 | 1,018,557 |  | 1,018,557 |  |
|  |  | 10036627 | TNDC Ambassador Hotel | 965,640 | 965,640 |  | 965,640 |  |
|  |  | 10036628 | CHP Scattered Sites | 924,534 | 924,534 |  | 924,534 |  |
|  |  | 10036629 | Knox | 347,630 | 347,630 |  | 347,630 |  |
|  |  | 10036630 | Treasure Island Consolidated | 2,553,680 | 2,553,680 |  | 2,553,680 |  |
|  |  | 10036631 | AWS Rapid Rehousing | 1,354,014 | 1,354,014 |  | 1,354,014 |  |
|  |  | 10036632 | SF HMIS Expansion | 320,712 | 320,712 |  | 320,712 |  |
|  |  | 10036633 | Rita da Cascia Positive Match | 187,040 | 187,040 |  | 187,040 |  |
|  |  | 10036634 | Rapid Re-Housing for TAY | 269,160 | 269,160 |  | 269,160 |  |
|  |  | 10036635 | Cadillac/William Penn | 1,545,024 | 1,545,024 |  | 1,545,024 |  |
|  |  | 10036636 | Hazel Betsey | 250,259 | 250,259 |  | 250,259 |  |
|  |  | 10036637 | Dir Accss Hsng Chrnic Alchlics | 1,411,135 | 1,411,135 |  | 1,411,135 |  |
|  |  | 10036638 | Compass Rapid Rehousing | 889,165 | 889,165 |  | 889,165 |  |
|  |  | 10036639 | San Fran Coordin Entry Expan | 997,570 | 997,570 |  | 997,570 |  |
|  |  | 10036640 | Lyric | 1,120,142 | 1,120,142 |  | 1,120,142 |  |
|  |  | 10036641 | Franciscan Towers | 1,018,557 | 1,018,557 |  | 1,018,557 |  |
|  |  | 10036642 | CCCYO Scattered Sites | 1,573,268 | 1,573,268 |  | 1,573,268 |  |
|  |  | 10036643 | 1296 Shotwell | 377,314 | 377,314 |  | 377,314 |  |
|  |  | 10036644 | Dir Acc Hsng Empress/Folsm Dor | 1,159,951 | 1,159,951 |  | 1,159,951 |  |
|  |  | 10036645 | Bayview Hill Gardens | 449,002 | 449,002 |  | 449,002 |  |
|  |  | 10036646 | HPP Housing Plus | 534,204 | 534,204 |  | 534,204 |  |
|  |  | 10036647 | Mission Housing Sth Prk Residn | 289,692 | 289,692 |  | 289,692 |  |
|  |  | 10036648 | Veterans Commons | 390,211 | 390,211 |  | 390,211 |  |
|  |  | 10036649 | Juan Pifarre Plaza | 143,316 | 143,316 |  | 143,316 |  |
|  |  | 10036650 | San Francisco HMIS 2015 | 396,000 | 396,000 |  | 396,000 |  |


Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

7,847
7,847
$852,119,737 \quad 671,849,800 \quad(180,269,937) \quad 596,061,560 \quad(75,788,240)$
Department Appropriations (2 year)
Work Orders/Overhead Total
Total Uses of Funds



| 486560 Exp |  | Exp Rec Fr Public Works (AAO) |  |  | 3,924,771 | 3,977,502 | 52,731 | 3,977,502 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 486565 Exp |  | Exp Rec Fr Police AcctbiltyAAO |  |  | 95,620 | 98,429 | 2,809 | 98,429 |  |
| 486570 Exp |  | Exp Rec Fr Rent ArbtrtonBd AAO |  |  | 62,336 | 63,782 | 1,446 | 63,782 |  |
| 486580 Exp |  | Exp Rec Fr Human Rights (AAO) |  |  | 35,000 | 36,050 | 1,050 | 36,050 |  |
| 486590 Exp |  | Exp Rec Fr Human Resources AAO |  |  | 1,004,278 | 931,406 | $(72,872)$ | 931,406 |  |
| 486610 Exp |  | Exp Rec Fr Regstar Of Votr AAO |  |  | 210,437 | 110,437 | $(100,000)$ | 210,437 | 100,000 |
| 486630 Exp |  | Exp Rec Fr Rec \& Park (AAO) |  |  | 3,171,130 | 3,420,466 | 249,336 | 3,420,466 |  |
| 486640 Exp |  | Exp Rec Fr Retirement Sys AAO |  |  | 84,319 | 86,682 | 2,363 | 86,682 |  |
| 486670 Exp |  | Exp Rec Fr Sheriff (AAO) |  |  | 6,435,249 | 6,243,755 | $(191,494)$ | 6,243,755 |  |
| 486690 Exp |  | Exp Rec Fr Human Services AAO |  |  | 3,403,942 | 3,142,976 | $(260,966)$ | 3,142,976 |  |
| 486710 Exp |  | Exp Rec From Isd (AAO) |  |  | 202,541 | 218,559 | 16,018 | 218,559 |  |
| 486720 Exp |  | Exp Rec Fr Treas-Tax Coll AAO |  |  | 212,613 | 187,986 | $(24,627)$ | 187,986 |  |
| 486740 Exp |  | Exp Rec Fr PUC (AAO) |  |  | 1,062,678 | 1,091,240 | 28,562 | 980,240 | $(111,000)$ |
| 486750 Exp |  | Exp Rec Fr Hetch Hetchy (AAO) |  |  | 599,481 | 411,263 | $(188,218)$ | 411,263 |  |
| 486760 Exp |  | Exp Rec Fr Water Dept (AAO) |  |  | 2,251,796 | 2,215,870 | $(35,926)$ | 2,215,870 |  |
| 486770 Exp |  | Exp Rec Fr Hrd-Wc (AAO) |  |  | 62,241 | 62,241 |  | 62,241 |  |
| 486780 Exp |  | Exp Rec Fr War Memorial (AAO) |  |  | 60,474 | 150,302 | 89,828 | 150,302 |  |
| 486790 Exp |  | Exp Rec Fr Status Of Women AAO |  |  | 7,892 | 8,129 | 237 | 8,129 |  |
| 486800 Exp |  | Exp Rec Fr Cleanwater (AAO) |  |  | 1,852,826 | 2,217,002 | 364,176 | 2,217,002 |  |
| 486990 Exp |  | Exp Rec-General Unallocated |  |  | 542,043 | 467,976 | $(74,067)$ | 5,493,087 | 5,025,111 |
| 487990 Exp |  | Exp Rec-Unallocated Non-AAO Fd |  |  | 1,593,421 | 1,164,952 | $(428,469)$ | 1,285,587 | 120,635 |
| General Fund Support |  |  |  |  | 19,490,821 | 27,140,142 | 7,649,321 | 20,806,306 | $(6,333,836)$ |
| Total Sou | rces by Fun |  |  |  | 108,030,770 | 117,860,751 | 9,829,981 | 114,984,297 | $(2,876,454)$ |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title |  | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10000 | GF Annual Account Ctrl |  |  | Salaries | 12,619,669 | 15,204,901 | 2,585,232 | 16,195,321 | 990,420 |
|  |  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 5,211,551 | 5,859,302 | 647,751 | 6,092,497 | 233,195 |
|  |  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 1,444,172 | 1,625,259 | 181,087 | 1,394,255 | $(231,004)$ |
|  |  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 219,937 | 142,960 | $(76,977)$ | 142,960 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Overhead and Allocations | $(1,502,692)$ | $(1,502,692)$ |  | $(1,502,692)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Programmatic Projects |  | 1,165,818 | 1,165,818 | 1,053,400 | $(112,418)$ |


Annual Projects - Authority Control


Grants Projects


| Department: HRC Human Rights Commission |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| General Fund | 11,205,068 | 13,618,732 | 2,413,664 | 13,825,105 | 206,373 |
| Total Uses by Funds | 11,205,068 | 13,618,732 | 2,413,664 | 13,825,105 | 206,373 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| HRC Human Rights Commission | 11,205,068 | 13,618,732 | 2,413,664 | 13,825,105 | 206,373 |
| Total Uses by Division | 11,205,068 | 13,618,732 | 2,413,664 | 13,825,105 | 206,373 |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 2,608,909 | 2,896,182 | 287,273 | 3,062,600 | 166,418 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 1,161,967 | 1,192,603 | 30,636 | 1,229,889 | 37,286 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 205,616 | 325,616 | 120,000 | 325,616 |  |
| City Grant Program | 1,403,080 | 1,403,484 | 404 | 1,403,484 |  |
| Materials \& Supplies | 29,437 | 29,437 |  | 29,437 |  |
| Programmatic Projects | 5,275,000 | 7,375,000 | 2,100,000 | 7,375,000 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | 521,059 | 396,410 | $(124,649)$ | 399,079 | 2,669 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 11,205,068 | 13,618,732 | 2,413,664 | 13,825,105 | 206,373 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 486100 Exp Rec Fr Bus \& Enc Dev (AAO) | 75,000 | 75,000 |  | 75,000 |  |
| 486570 Exp Rec Fr Rent ArbtrtonBd AAO | 9,600 | 9,600 |  | 9,600 |  |
| 486630 Exp Rec Fr Rec \& Park (AAO) | 15,000 | 15,000 |  | 15,000 |  |
| General Fund Support | 11,105,468 | 13,519,132 | 2,413,664 | 13,725,505 | 206,373 |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10060 Total |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Work Orders/Overhead Total |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Total Uses | of Funds |  |  | 11,205,068 | 13,618,732 | 2,413,664 | 13,825,105 | 206,373 |

Division Summary

mary
219,4251
Kdeumis pung

| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children and Families Fund | 312,179,848 | 225,644,736 | $(86,535,112)$ |  | (225,644,736) |
| Community / Neighborhood Dev | 5,736,600 | 8,523,000 | 2,786,400 |  | $(8,523,000)$ |
| General Fund | 1,027,008,018 | 1,065,177,333 | 38,169,315 | 987,932,985 | $(77,244,348)$ |
| Gift and Other Exp Trust Fund | 96,000 | 95,838 | (162) | 95,838 |  |
| Human Welfare Fund | 59,407,346 | 62,535,393 | 3,128,047 | 65,008,746 | 2,473,353 |
| Senior Citizens Program Fund | 7,769,660 | 6,512,066 | $(1,257,594)$ | 6,512,066 |  |
| Total Uses by Funds | 1,412,197,472 | 1,368,488,366 | $(43,709,106)$ | 1,059,549,635 | $(308,938,731)$ |

HSA Human Services (DHS)
Total Uses by Division

| $219,425,405$ | $239,598,950$ | $20,173,545$ | $240,771,099$ | $1,172,149$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $114,461,102$ | $117,118,535$ | $2,657,433$ | $117,144,718$ | 26,183 |
| $47,185,430$ | $46,779,893$ | $(405,537)$ | $52,368,025$ | $5,588,132$ |
| $501,388,653$ | $415,092,289$ | $(86,296,364)$ | $117,243,652$ | $(297,848,637)$ |
|  | $1,305,000$ | $1,305,000$ | 720,000 | $(585,000)$ |
| $38,831,019$ | $26,255,400$ | $(12,575,619)$ | $26,531,508$ | 276,108 |
| $382,794,565$ | $396,220,585$ | $13,426,020$ | $418,941,060$ | $22,720,475$ |
| $18,850,571$ | $18,208,567$ | $(642,004)$ | $19,850,698$ | $1,642,131$ |
| $18,841,267$ | $15,441,267$ | $(3,400,000)$ | $3,782,664$ | $(11,658,603)$ |
| 260,000 | $1,015,000$ | 755,000 | 260,000 | $(755,000)$ |


| Programmatic Projects |  | 680,000 | 19,797,661 | 19,117,661 | 680,000 | $(19,117,661)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Services Of Other Depts |  | 69,479,460 | 70,629,264 | 1,149,804 | 61,233,389 | $(9,395,875)$ |
| Unappropriated Rev-Designated |  |  | 1,025,955 | 1,025,955 | 22,822 | $(1,003,133)$ |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account |  | 1,412,197,472 | 1,368,488,366 | $(43,709,106)$ | 1,059,549,635 | $(308,938,731)$ |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 411223 | Com Rnt GR Tx-Child Care_Ed | 273,045,000 | 185,810,000 | $(87,235,000)$ |  | $(185,810,000)$ |
| 430150 | Interest Earned - Pooled Cash | 914,736 | 914,736 |  | 300,000 | $(614,736)$ |
| 430490 | Other Investment Income | 160,000 |  | $(160,000)$ |  |  |
| 435232 | Employee Parking | 194,000 | 194,000 |  | 194,000 |  |
| 440101 | Stage 1 Childcare (Fed-Aid) | 13,098,867 | 10,674,299 | $(2,424,568)$ | 10,674,299 |  |
| 440102 | FosterFamHomeLicsing Fed-Admin | 42,440 | 22,663 | $(19,777)$ | 22,663 |  |
| 440103 | Adoption Services(Fed-Admin) | 1,534,992 | 1,482,424 | $(52,568)$ | 1,482,424 |  |
| 440105 | Aps-Csbg Health-RelatdTitleXix | 23,535,308 | 25,833,086 | 2,297,778 | 26,924,795 | 1,091,709 |
| 440106 | Independnt Livng ProgFed-Admin | 389,378 | 389,378 |  | 389,378 |  |
| 440107 | Calwin (Fed Admin) | 2,712,176 | 2,210,008 | $(502,168)$ | 2,210,008 |  |
| 440108 | Clf Csbg-Hr - Spmp Revenue | 1,096,321 | 1,096,321 |  | 1,096,321 |  |
| 440110 | Kin-Gap Adm Fed Share | 275,268 | 305,219 | 29,951 | 305,219 |  |
| 440121 | Welfare To Work | 39,755,276 | 41,354,581 | 1,599,305 | 41,354,581 |  |
| 440124 | Food StampEmp\&TrainngFed-Admin | 6,894,911 | 6,290,406 | $(604,505)$ | 6,290,406 |  |
| 440131 | CalWorks Eligibility | 13,911,767 | 14,318,618 | 406,851 | 14,318,618 |  |
| 440134 | Food Stamps(Fed-Admin) | 36,662,276 | 40,072,679 | 3,410,403 | 40,072,679 |  |
| 440137 | Rrp-Rca(Fed-Admin) | 245,083 | 245,153 | 70 | 245,153 |  |
| 440138 | Foster Care (Fed-Admin) | 2,022,772 | 2,362,110 | 339,338 | 2,362,110 |  |
| 440139 | Childrens Services (Fed-Admin) | 24,788,646 | 20,839,334 | $(3,949,312)$ | 25,463,032 | 4,623,698 |
| 440140 | Emergency Assistance - Federal | 1,885,128 | 1,885,128 |  | 1,885,128 |  |
| 440145 | IHSS Admin HIth-RelatdTitleXix | 21,531,498 | 23,256,330 | 1,724,832 | 24,007,863 | 751,533 |
| 440148 | Title Iv-B Child Welfare Svcs | 1,171,359 | 423,444 | $(747,915)$ | 423,444 |  |
| 440150 | CalStateDeptEd-Stage2ChildCare | 320,054 | 319,610 | (444) |  | $(319,610)$ |
| 440153 | CalWorks Fraud Incentive-Fed | 386,554 |  | $(386,554)$ |  |  |
| 440154 | Promoting Safe\&Stable Families | 448,113 | 566,106 | 117,993 | 566,106 |  |
| 440166 | Cws Health-Related Title Xix | 7,113,721 | 5,191,605 | $(1,922,116)$ | 5,191,605 |  |
| 440167 | CbfrsChldAbsePreventnCfda93590 | 25,455 | 25,455 |  | 25,455 |  |
| 440168 | Cws Title Xx Cfda 93.667 | 1,223,521 | 1,223,521 |  | 1,223,521 |  |
| 440201 | CalWorks (Fed-Aid) |  | 13,242,908 | 13,242,908 | 14,893,989 | 1,651,081 |
| 440202 | Foster Care(Fed-Aid) | 10,096,800 | 8,411,615 | $(1,685,185)$ | 8,500,342 | 88,727 |
| 440203 | Adoptions(Fed-Aid) | 8,015,832 | 8,432,707 | 416,875 | 8,704,191 | 271,484 |



| $\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{\widehat{N}} \\ & \underset{N}{\hat{n}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{\widetilde{\circ}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



 FostrCareAdmin-Ab 118-Abx1 16
FostrCareAsstnce-Ab118-Abx1 16
CA OES Disaster - State Share
Realignment Backfill
Prior Year State Revenue Adj
Other State Grants \& Subventns
Admin Fee-Public Guardian
Atty Fees-Public Guardian
Bond Fee-Public Guardian
Admin Fee-Public Administrator
Atty Fees-Public Administrator
Bond Fee-Public Administrator
Imd Fee - Rep Payee
Rep-Payee Fees - Revenue
Birth Certificate Fee
Childcare Requirement Fee
Community ImprovementlmpactFee
Gifts And Bequests
Child Support Offsetting Aid
Food Stamp Overpymt Collectns
Exp Rec Fr Admin Svcs (AAO)
Exp Rec Fr Adult Probation AAO
Exp Rec Fr Chld Supprt SvcsAAO
Exp Rec Fr Child;Youth\&Fam AAO
EXP REC Fr HomelessnessSvcsAAO
Exp Rec Fr Children \& Fam AAO
Exp Rec Fr Distrct Attorny AAO
Exp Rec Fr Comm Health Svc AAO
Exp Rec Fr Sf Gen Hospital AAO
Exp Rec Fr Laguna Honda AAO
Exp Rec Fr CommMental HIth AAO
Exp Rec Fr Juvenile Court AAO
Exp Rec Fr Police Comssn AAO
Exp Rec Fr Public TransprtnAAO
Exp Rec Fr Rec \& Park (AAO)
Exp Rec Fr PUC (AAO)
OTI Fr 1G-General Fund
Beg Fund Balance - Budget Only 445730
445735
447611
448411
448998
448999
460128
460129
460131
460133
460134
460135
460138
460146
463509
475413
475415
478101
479995
479997
486030
486050
486170
486190
486195
486200
486270
486370
486380
486390
486400
486420
486500
486550
486630
486740
493001
499999

| General Fund Support |  |  |  | 376,108,979 | 393,172,341 | 17,063,362 | 309,904,225 | $(83,268,116)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Sources by Fund |  |  |  | 1,412,197,472 | 1,368,488,366 | $(43,709,106)$ | 1,059,549,635 | $(308,938,731)$ |
| Reserved Appropriations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Controller Reserves: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10022889 HS CH Childcare |  | funds |  |  | 3,523,000 | 3,523,000 |  | $(3,523,000)$ |
| Controller Reserves: Total |  |  |  |  | 3,523,000 | 3,523,000 | 0 | $(3,523,000)$ |
| Mayor Reserves: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10036593 HS CH ECE for All | 3 HS CH ECE for All |  |  |  | 129,698,316 | 129,698,316 |  | $(129,698,316)$ |
| Mayor Reserves: Total |  |  |  |  | 129,698,316 | 129,698,316 | 0 | $(129,698,316)$ |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10000 | GF Annual Account Ctrl |  | Salaries | 205,638,563 | 222,284,541 | 16,645,978 | 227,555,235 | 5,270,694 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 111,987,844 | 113,424,981 | 1,437,137 | 114,910,375 | 1,485,394 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 37,966,074 | 39,599,907 | 1,633,833 | 46,521,462 | 6,921,555 |
|  |  |  | City Grant Program | 93,846,616 | 92,250,642 | $(1,595,974)$ | 46,160,170 | $(46,090,472)$ |
|  |  |  | Aid Assistance | 31,514,590 | 21,550,072 | (9,964,518) | 19,790,008 | $(1,760,064)$ |
|  |  |  | Aid Payments | 382,794,565 | 396,220,585 | 13,426,020 | 418,941,060 | 22,720,475 |
|  |  |  | Intrafund Transfers Out | 18,850,571 | 18,208,567 | $(642,004)$ | 19,850,698 | 1,642,131 |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 3,770,312 | 3,770,312 |  | 3,756,099 | $(14,213)$ |
|  |  |  | Other Support/Care of Persons | 10,000 | 10,000 |  | 10,000 |  |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 59,459,464 | 60,901,420 | 1,441,956 | 60,023,040 | $(878,380)$ |
| 10000 Total |  |  |  | 945,838,599 | 968,221,027 | 22,382,428 | 957,518,147 | $(10,702,880)$ |
| Operating Total |  |  |  | 945,838,599 | 968,221,027 | 22,382,428 | 957,518,147 | $(10,702,880)$ |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 17554 | SF Connected Prg | 326,024 | 341,464 | 15,440 | 350,905 | 9,441 |
|  |  | 17555 | State Childcare Reserve | 3,324,586 | 3,324,586 |  |  | $(3,324,586)$ |


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 17556 | Community Living Fund | 8,838,557 | 8,870,151 | 31,594 | 8,888,756 | 18,605 |
|  |  | 17558 | HS Infant\&toddler Early Learn | 1,750,000 | 1,750,000 |  |  | $(1,750,000)$ |
|  |  | 17559 | HS Fire Victim Assistance Fund | 1,823,000 | 2,073,000 | 250,000 | 2,073,000 |  |
|  |  | 17561 | IPO | 850,907 | 1,049,658 | 198,751 | 1,049,658 |  |
|  |  | 17562 | HS Jobs Now Programs | 11,739,460 | 9,695,854 | $(2,043,606)$ | 12,004,354 | 2,308,500 |
|  |  | 17565 | HS Working Families Credit | 250,000 | 1,005,000 | 755,000 | 250,000 | $(755,000)$ |
|  |  | 17566 | CalWIN | 4,822,183 | 4,378,729 | $(443,454)$ | 4,398,165 | 19,436 |
|  |  | 21014 | HS Benefits Connectors | 680,000 | 680,000 |  | 680,000 |  |
|  |  | 21748 | Reinvestment Initiatives |  | 525,000 | 525,000 |  | $(525,000)$ |
|  |  | 21784 | HS 1235 Mission |  | 1,305,000 | 1,305,000 | 720,000 | $(585,000)$ |
|  |  | 88888 | CoVid Incident Response | 46,764,702 | 61,957,864 | 15,193,162 |  | $(61,957,864)$ |
| 10020 Total |  |  |  | 81,169,419 | 96,956,306 | 15,786,887 | 30,414,838 | $(66,541,468)$ |
| 10570 | SR Child Care Capital | 16913 | Childcare Capital Funds | 5,500,000 | 5,000,000 | $(500,000)$ |  | $(5,000,000)$ |
| 10570 Total |  |  |  | 5,500,000 | 5,000,000 | $(500,000)$ | 0 | $(5,000,000)$ |
| 10670 | SR Eastern Neighborhood CI | 16913 | Childcare Capital Funds | 236,600 | 965,000 | 728,400 |  | $(965,000)$ |
| 10670 Total |  |  |  | 236,600 | 965,000 | 728,400 | 0 | $(965,000)$ |
| 10820 | SR Market \& Octavia CI | 16913 | Childcare Capital Funds |  | 2,558,000 | 2,558,000 |  | $(2,558,000)$ |
| 10820 Total |  |  |  | 0 | 2,558,000 | 2,558,000 | 0 | $(2,558,000)$ |
| 11140 | SR PEEF Annual Contr-EarlyCare | 16923 | PEEF | 39,134,848 | 39,834,736 | 699,888 |  | $(39,834,736)$ |
| 11140 Total |  |  |  | 39,134,848 | 39,834,736 | 699,888 | 0 | $(39,834,736)$ |
| 11201 | SR Comm Rnt GR Tx for OECE | 20473 | CommRntGRTx-OECE | 273,045,000 | 185,810,000 | $(87,235,000)$ |  | $(185,810,000)$ |
| 11201 Total |  |  |  | 273,045,000 | 185,810,000 | $(87,235,000)$ | 0 | $(185,810,000)$ |
| 12890 | SR Community Living | 17552 | Child Svcs Fund-W\&I Art5 | 168,811 | 168,811 |  | 168,811 |  |
| 12890 Total |  |  |  | 168,811 | 168,811 | 0 | 168,811 | 0 |
| 12965 | SR Nov 2016 Prop I Dignity | 20354 | Nov 16 Prop I dignity Fund | 57,253,384 | 61,413,027 | 4,159,643 | 64,413,027 | 3,000,000 |
| 12965 Total |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 57,253,384 \\ 456,508,062 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61,413,027 \\ 392,705,880 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,159,643 \\ (63,802,182) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64,413,027 \\ & 94,996,676 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,000,000 \\ (297,709,204) \end{array}$ |
| Grants Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 12960 | SR Human Welfare-Grants | 10035666 | HS PA Refugee ORSA FFYs21-22 | 6,597 |  | $(6,597)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035668 | HS PA Refugee TAFO FFYs21-22 | 8,452 |  | $(8,452)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035669 | HS CH QCC Workforce Pathways | 418,592 |  | $(418,592)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035670 | HS CH CLPC Plan Cnl FY21 | 56,708 |  | $(56,708)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035671 | HS PA Refugee RESS FFYs21-22 | 162,178 | $(162,178)$ |  |  |  |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021－2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021－2022 Change From 2020－2021 | 2022－2023 <br> Proposed Budget | 2022－2023 Change From 2021－2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 121，929（121，929） |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 32，098，954 | 32，344，862 | 245，908 | 32，924，178 | 579，316 |
|  | 9，063，342 | 11，340，318 | 2，276，976 | 11，369，519 | 29，201 |
|  | 41，284，225 | 43，685，180 | 2，400，955 | 44，293，697 | 608，517 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
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Sources of Funds Detail by Account

## Salaries

Mandatory Fringe Benefits Non－Personnel Services

City Grant Program
Capital Outlay
Materials \＆Supplies
Programmatic Projects
Services Of Other Depts
Total Uses by Chart of Account

$$
\begin{array}{r}
19,706,014 \\
11,065,151 \\
4,881,382 \\
235,000 \\
879,346 \\
485,800 \\
2,883,192 \\
4,157,812 \\
\hline 44,293,697
\end{array}
$$


Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023


Continuing Projects - Authority Control

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 15785 | JP Juv - Facilities Maintenanc | 171,000 500,000 |  | 329,000 |  | $(500,000)$ |
| 10020 Total ${ }^{\text {Continuing Projects - Authority Control Total }}$ |  |  |  | 171,000 | 500,000 | 329,000 |  | $(500,000)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 171,000 | 500,000 | 329,000 |  | $(500,000)$ |


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13720 | SR Public Protection-Grant Sta | 10023405 | Juvenile Probation Activities | 4,683,895 | 4,363,224 | $(320,671)$ | 4,320,854 | $(42,370)$ |
|  |  | 10023406 | Juvenile Probation Camps Funds | 221,323 |  | $(221,323)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10033433 | JUV STC Core Training | 105,000 | 81,900 | $(23,100)$ | 81,900 |  |
|  |  | 10036257 | Re-entry 21 | 120,041 |  | $(120,041)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10036258 | YOBG 21 | 2,472,157 |  | $(2,472,157)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10036743 | JUV Placement Alternative Fund | 1,450,926 | 1,450,925 | (1) |  | $(1,450,925)$ |
|  |  | 10037106 | JUV Juvenile Re-entry FY21-22 |  | 192,448 | 192,448 | 192,448 |  |
|  |  | 10037107 | JUV YOBG FY21-22 |  | 4,447,223 | 4,447,223 | 4,410,517 | $(36,706)$ |
|  |  | 10037316 | FY21-22 DJJ Realignment |  | 794,598 | 794,598 | 2,353,800 | 1,559,202 |
| 13720 Total |  |  |  | 9,053,342 | 11,330,318 | 2,276,976 | 11,359,519 | 29,201 |
| 13730 | SR Public Protection-Grant Oth | 10001710 | JUV Day-to-Day Operations | 10,000 | 10,000 |  | 10,000 |  |
| 13730 Total |  |  |  | 10,000 | 10,000 | 0 | 10,000 | 0 |
| Grants Proj | ects Total |  |  | 9,063,342 | 11,340,318 | 2,276,976 | 11,369,519 | 29,201 |

Continuing Projects - Project Control

| Fund Code | Fund Title |
| :--- | :--- | 11160 Total

Continuing Projects - Project Control Total
Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Department Appropriations (2 year)
Department: LLB Law Library

| Department: LLB Law Library |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Change From } \\ \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed Budget | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Change From } \\ 2021-2022 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| General Fund | 1,937,106 | 2,033,206 | 96,100 | 2,130,773 | 97,567 |
| Total Uses by Funds | 1,937,106 | 2,033,206 | 96,100 | 2,130,773 | 97,567 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| LLB Law Library | 1,937,106 | 2,033,206 | 96,100 | 2,130,773 | 97,567 |
| Total Uses by Division | 1,937,106 | 2,033,206 | 96,100 | 2,130,773 | 97,567 |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 346,739 | 372,487 | 25,748 | 385,637 | 13,150 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 171,917 | 176,133 | 4,216 | 178,207 | 2,074 |
| Materials \& Supplies | 6,000 | 6,000 |  | 6,000 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | 1,412,450 | 1,478,586 | 66,136 | 1,560,929 | 82,343 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 1,937,106 | 2,033,206 | 96,100 | 2,130,773 | 97,567 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Fund Support | 1,937,106 | 2,033,206 | 96,100 | 2,130,773 | 97,567 |
| Total Sources by Fund | 1,937,106 | 2,033,206 | 96,100 | 2,130,773 | 97,567 |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |

$\begin{array}{lllll}1,937,106 & 2,033,206 & 96,100 & 2,130,773 & 97,567\end{array}$

2,130,773
96,100
Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023
Department: LLB
Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10000 | GF Annual Account Ctrl |  | Salaries | 346,739 | 372,487 | 25,748 | 385,637 | 13,150 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 171,917 | 176,133 | 4,216 | 178,207 | 2,074 |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 6,000 | 6,000 |  | 6,000 |  |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 1,412,450 | 1,478,586 | 66,136 | 1,560,929 | 82,343 |
| 10000 Total Operating Total |  |  |  | 1,937,106 | 2,033,206 | 96,100 | 2,130,773 | 97,567 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,937,106 | 2,033,206 | 96,100 | 2,130,773 | 97,567 |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  | 1,937,106 | 2,033,206 | 96,100 | 2,130,773 | 97,567 |



Division Summary

## Chart of Account Summary

MYR Housing \& Community Dev MYR Office Of The Mayor Total Uses by Division

Mandatory Fringe Benefits Non-Personnel Services City Grant Program Capital Outlay Aid Assistance Debt Service Materials \& Supplies Other Support/Care of Persons Overhead and Allocations Programmatic Projects Services Of Other Depts Transfers Out

| Unappropriated Rev Retained Transfer Adjustment - Uses |  | $\begin{aligned} & (100,131) \\ & (200,000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (291,769) \\ (17,600,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (191,638) \\ (17,400,000) \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 291,769 \\ 17,600,000 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account |  | 206,307,156 | 228,441,129 | 22,133,973 | 160,225,032 | $(68,216,097)$ |
|  |  | Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |
| 412210 | Hotel Room Tax | 2,430,000 | 932,000 | $(1,498,000)$ | 2,694,000 | 1,762,000 |
| 430130 | Interest Earned - Loans-Leases | 104,816 |  | $(104,816)$ |  |  |
| 439899 | Other City Property Rentals | 5,030,000 | 5,030,000 |  | 5,030,000 |  |
| 444939 | Federal Direct Grant |  | 18,707,742 | 18,707,742 |  | $(18,707,742)$ |
| 449997 | City Depts Revenue From OCII | 3,168,673 | 2,977,909 | $(190,764)$ | 2,917,701 | $(60,208)$ |
| 449999 | Other Local-Regional Grants |  | 300,000 | 300,000 |  | $(300,000)$ |
| 478201 | Private Grants | 150,000 | 150,000 |  | 150,000 |  |
| 479940 | Loan Rev-Principal Repmt | 20,000,000 |  | $(20,000,000)$ |  |  |
| 479945 | Loan Rev-Fees | 102,517 | 205,034 | 102,517 | 205,034 |  |
| 479994 | Developer Exactions | 57,050,000 |  | $(57,050,000)$ |  |  |
| 479999 | Other Non-Operating Revenue | 1,045,000 | 1,090,000 | 45,000 | 1,090,000 |  |
| 486190 | Exp Rec Fr Child; Youth\&Fam AAO | 595,567 | 1,206,519 |  | 1,206,519 |  |
| 486195 | EXP REC Fr HomelessnessSvcsAAO | 12,504,476 | 18,298,309 | 5,793,833 | 22,793,915 | 4,495,606 |
| 486290 | Exp Rec Fr Emergency Comm Dept | 58,640 | 58,640 |  | 58,640 |  |
| 486370 | Exp Rec Fr Comm Health Svc AAO | 519,320 | 569,320 | 50,000 | 569,320 |  |
| 486500 | Exp Rec Fr Police Comssn AAO | 68,929 | 68,929 |  | 68,929 |  |
| 486530 | Exp Rec Fr Port Commission AAO | 33,646 | 33,646 |  | 33,646 |  |
| 486550 | Exp Rec Fr Public TransprtnAAO | 288,159 | 288,159 |  | 288,159 |  |
| 486570 | Exp Rec Fr Rent ArbtrtonBd AAO | 120,000 | 120,000 |  | 120,000 |  |
| 486630 | Exp Rec Fr Rec \& Park (AAO) | 20,948 | 20,948 |  | 20,948 |  |
| 486690 | Exp Rec Fr Human Services AAO | 265,299 | 265,299 |  | 265,299 |  |
| 486740 | Exp Rec Fr PUC (AAO) | 389,270 | 389,270 |  | 389,270 |  |
| 493001 | OTI Fr 1G-General Fund | 200,000 | 19,341,000 | 19,141,000 | 246,000 | $(19,095,000)$ |
| 495001 | ITI Fr 1G-General Fund |  | 58,454 | 58,454 | 58,454 |  |
| 499999 | Beg Fund Balance - Budget Only | 3,747,412 | 4,242,550 | 495,138 | 3,500,000 | $(742,550)$ |
| 999989 | ELIMSD TRANSFER ADJ-SOURCES | $(200,000)$ | $(17,600,000)$ | $(17,400,000)$ |  | 17,600,000 |
| General Fund Support |  | 98,614,484 | 171,687,402 | 73,072,918 | 118,519,198 | $(53,168,204)$ |
| Total Sources by Fund |  | 206,307,156 | 228,441,129 | 22,133,973 | 160,225,032 | $(68,216,097)$ |


| Reserved Appropriations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mayor Reserves: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10023900 | MO Housing Trust Fund - Moh |  |  |  | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 |  | $(10,000,000)$ |
| Mayor Reserves: Total |  |  |  |  | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 0 | $(10,000,000)$ |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10000 | GF Annual Account Ctrl |  | Salaries | 4,115,771 | 4,459,916 | 344,145 | 4,605,869 | 145,953 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 1,939,136 | 1,984,961 | 45,825 | 2,013,268 | 28,307 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 208,597 | 208,597 |  | 208,597 |  |
|  |  |  | City Grant Program | 34,631 | 34,631 |  | 34,631 |  |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 30,000 | 30,000 |  | 30,000 |  |
|  |  |  | Overhead and Allocations | 309,366 | 304,547 | $(4,819)$ | 304,547 |  |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 1,962,636 | 2,103,784 | 141,148 | 2,122,205 | 18,421 |
| 10000 Total |  |  |  | 8,600,137 | 9,126,436 | 526,299 | 9,319,117 | 192,681 |
| Operating Total |  |  |  | 8,600,137 | 9,126,436 | 526,299 | 9,319,117 | 192,681 |
| Annual Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10010 | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 17165 | Board Enhancements | 6,033,261 |  | $(6,033,261)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 17168 | Mohcd Children's Baseline | 1,209,559 | 1,596,448 | 386,889 | 1,596,448 |  |
|  |  | 17172 | Ellis Act | 142,294 | 149,323 | 7,029 | 153,513 | 4,190 |
|  |  | 17184 | MY Hope Sf Initiative | 1,553,089 | 1,308,089 | $(245,000)$ | 1,308,089 |  |
|  |  | 17187 | Gf Cdbg And Hopwa | 2,383,713 | 2,383,713 |  | 2,383,713 |  |
|  |  | 17195 | Moh-Low Income Capacity Bldg | 2,000,513 | 1,997,362 | $(3,151)$ | 1,997,362 |  |
|  |  | 17198 | MO CBO Grant Pool | 33,406,889 | 40,491,446 | 7,084,557 | 40,410,872 | $(80,574)$ |
|  |  | 17216 | Mohcd Transitional Age Youth B | 194,382 | 194,382 |  | 194,382 |  |
|  |  | 17229 | MY Mayor's Special-protocol | 25,000 | 25,000 |  | 25,000 |  |
|  |  | 20742 | 735 Davis ELI Senior Subsidy | 125,000 |  | $(125,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 21672 | Midtown Operating Subsidy |  | 1,200,000 | 1,200,000 | 1,200,000 |  |
|  |  | 88888 | CoVid Incident Response | 220,000 | 1,600,000 | 1,380,000 |  | $(1,600,000)$ |
| 10010 Total |  |  |  | 47,293,700 | 50,945,763 | 3,652,063 | 49,269,379 | $(1,676,384)$ |


Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Change From | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11802 | SR Culture \& Rec Hotel Tax | 20290 | Cultural Districts | 2,430,000 | 2,673,000 | 243,000 | 2,940,000 | 267,000 |
| 11802 Total |  |  |  | 2,430,000 | 2,673,000 | 243,000 | 2,940,000 | 267,000 |
| 14190 | SR Low-mod Inc Housing NonBond | 17177 | MY Low-mod Housing Assets | 6,712,400 | 6,832,816 | 120,416 | 6,772,608 | $(60,208)$ |
| 14190 Total <br> Continuing Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 6,712,400 | 6,832,816 | 120,416 | 6,772,608 | $(60,208)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 133,837,976 | 145,754,798 | 11,916,822 | 74,526,798 | $(71,228,000)$ |
| Grants Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10770 | SR Neighborhood Dev-Grants | 10036027 | CNI-Choice Neighborhoods Imple | 104,816 |  | $(104,816)$ |  |  |
| 10770 Total |  |  |  | 104,816 | 0 | $(104,816)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 12550 | SR Grants; GSF Continuing | 10035672 | MYR Specials/Strategic Grants | 150,000 | $\begin{array}{rr} \\ 150,000 & (150,000) \\ 150,000\end{array}$ |  | 150,000 |  |
|  |  | 10037108 | MYR Strategic Grant FY22 |  |  |  |  |
| 12550 Total |  |  |  | 150,000 | 150,000 | 0 |  | 150,000150,000 | 0 |
| Grants Projects Total |  |  |  | 254,816 | 150,000 | $(104,816)$ | 0 |  |
| Work Orders/Overhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10060 | GF Work Order | 232065 | MYR Housing \& Community Dev MYR Office Of The Mayor | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 14,143,763 \\ 2,176,764 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 20,322,367 \\ 2,141,765 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 6,178,604 \\ (34,999) \end{array}$ | 24,817,973 | 4,495,606 |
|  |  | 232055 |  |  |  |  | 2,141,765 |  |
| 10060 Total |  |  |  | 16,320,527 | 22,464,132 | 6,143,605 | 26,959,738 | 4,495,606 |
| Work Orders/Overhead Total |  |  |  | 16,320,527 | 22,464,132 | 6,143,605 | 26,959,738 | 4,495,606 |
| Total Use | s of Funds |  |  | 206,307,156 | 228,441,129 | 22,133,973 | 160,225,032 | $(68,216,097)$ |

* The table above reflects preliminary Fiscal Year 2022-23 appropriations for the Municipal Transportation Agency.

Chart of Account Summary

| 5,520,219 | 589,720,582 | 36,200,363 | 609,033,823 | 19,313,241 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 288,320,400 | 292,528,739 | 4,208,339 | 300,330,002 | ,801,263 |
| 250,632,275 | 248,468,731 | $(2,163,544)$ | 258,048,731 | 9,580,000 |
| 196,048,408 | 96,042,948 | (100,005,460) | 34,440,000 | (61,602,948) |
| 23,380,098 | 14,778,250 | (8,601,848) | 22,980,227 | 8,201,97 |
| 4,412,350 | 29,189,50 | (15,22 | 38,98 | 997 |

## alaries

Mandatory Fringe Benefits Non-Personnel Services Capital Outlay

Debt Service
Intrafund Transfers Ou

## Division Summary

MTAAW Agency-wide

## Municipal Transportation Agcy Total Uses by Funds

## Fund Summary


 80,541

 | $\infty$ |
| :--- |
| N |
| o |
| ' |

 9,116
121,236 $(5,509,617)$
$17,110,492$
 $(33,330,809)$ 40,064,267 6,563,628 옹 $140,131,398$
$1,778,350$ 23,001,905 354,424
$7,621,612$ 198,693,736

 1,354,189,348 (158,510,505) 1,354,189,348 | $35,697,364$ | $39,301,692$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 , 5 4 6 , 0 3 0 , 6 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 8 7 , 5 2 0 , 1 5 7}$ |

## $\circ$ 0 0 0 0 0 204,203,353 

 623,736$131,171,758$ 6,129,018 2,477,752 133,890,666 $1,706,572$
$22,305,315$ N $7,195,305$
$222,705,868$ 222,705,868

## 1,54,030,662

| Materials \& Supplies |  | 74,050,846 | 74,041,918 | $(8,928)$ | 74,041,918 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overhead and Allocations |  | $(35,836,939)$ | $(36,366,475)$ | $(529,536)$ | $(36,302,399)$ | 64,076 |
| Programmatic Projects |  | 164,000 |  | $(164,000)$ |  |  |
| Services Of Other Depts |  | 85,308,972 | 90,499,989 | 5,191,017 | 91,617,046 | 1,117,057 |
| Transfers Out |  | 204,298,040 | 255,014,598 | 50,716,558 | 256,058,526 | 1,043,928 |
| Unappropriated Rev Retained |  | 139,964,383 | 17,805,475 | $(122,158,908)$ |  | $(17,805,475)$ |
| Unappropriated Rev-Designated |  | $(29,522,000)$ |  | 29,522,000 |  |  |
| Transfer Adjustment-Uses |  | (388,710,390) | (284,204,098) | 104,506,292 | $(295,045,340)$ | $(10,841,242)$ |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account |  | 1,546,030,662 | 1,387,520,157 | $(158,510,505)$ | 1,354,189,348 | $(33,330,809)$ |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 420311 | Truck Permits | 49,571 | 57,089 | 7,518 | 57,089 |  |
| 420330 | Neighborhood Parking Permits | 16,244,057 | 16,932,968 | 688,911 | 16,932,968 |  |
| 420331 | Special Traffic Permit | 2,156,000 | 2,422,787 | 266,787 | 2,422,787 |  |
| 425110 | Traffic Fines - Moving | 1,275,052 | 1,360,103 | 85,051 | 1,360,103 |  |
| 425120 | Traffic Fines - Parking | 86,820,040 | 104,550,936 | 17,730,896 | 104,550,936 |  |
| 425130 | Traffic Fines - Boot Program | 894,248 | 1,038,954 | 144,706 | 1,038,954 |  |
| 425160 | Safe Path Of Travel | 72,765 | 80,478 | 7,713 | 80,478 |  |
| 425305 | Proof Of Payment Fees | 2,169,656 | 2,633,510 | 463,854 | 2,633,510 |  |
| 425306 | Taxi Enforcmnt-PermitHoldrFine | 200,000 | 200,000 |  | 200,000 |  |
| 425920 | Penalties | 877,349 | 1,020,302 | 142,953 | 1,020,302 |  |
| 430150 | Interest Earned - Pooled Cash | 15,199,156 | 9,700,560 | $(5,498,596)$ | 9,700,560 |  |
| 435110 | Parking Meter Collections | 8,765,327 | 9,986,408 | 1,221,081 | 9,986,408 |  |
| 435111 | Parking Meter Card | 2,027,745 | 2,337,987 | 310,242 | 2,337,987 |  |
| 435113 | Construction Parking Meter Fee | 2,241,586 | 2,928,642 | 687,056 | 2,928,642 |  |
| 435114 | Parking Meter Pay By Phone | 13,652,372 | 16,580,736 | 2,928,364 | 16,580,736 |  |
| 435115 | Parking Meter Credit Card | 39,579,970 | 43,383,400 | 3,803,430 | 43,383,400 |  |
| 435211 | Golden Gateway Garage | 5,869,552 | 8,096,200 | 2,226,648 | 8,096,200 |  |
| 435212 | Lombard Garage | 693,146 | 775,711 | 82,565 | 775,711 |  |
| 435213 | Mission Bartlett Garage | 2,341,710 | 2,623,669 | 281,959 | 2,623,669 |  |
| 435214 | Moscone Center Garage | 3,278,394 | 3,677,159 | 398,765 | 3,677,159 |  |
| 435215 | Performing Arts Garage | 4,004,324 | 4,493,218 | 488,894 | 4,493,218 |  |
| 435216 | Polk-Bush Garage | 796,181 | 891,365 | 95,184 | 891,365 |  |
| 435217 | Seventh \& Harrison Lot | 435,600 | 480,353 | 44,753 | 480,353 |  |
| 435218 | St. Mary's Garage | 2,351,077 | 2,639,637 | 288,560 | 2,639,637 |  |
| 435220 | Vallejo St. Garage | 796,181 | 890,857 | 94,676 | 890,857 |  |
| 435221 | North Beach Garage | 1,400,343 | 1,569,434 | 169,091 | 1,569,434 |  |



0
0
$N_{0}$
N-



Department: MTA

|  |  |  | Original Budget | Proposed Budget | Change From 2020-2021 | Proposed Budget Budget | Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22260 | MTA TS Op Annual Account Ctrl | Salaries | 461,327,049 | 487,284,813 | 25,957,764 | 502,892,351 | 15,607,538 |
|  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 209,171,829 | 210,625,177 | 1,453,348 | 215,216,500 | 4,591,323 |
|  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 143,403,982 | 137,691,519 | $(5,712,463)$ | 147,271,519 | 9,580,000 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay | 2,107,600 | 2,236,598 | 128,998 |  | $(2,236,598)$ |
|  |  | Intrafund Transfers Out | 119,549,335 | 14,256,286 | $(105,293,049)$ | 21,414,335 | 7,158,049 |
|  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 67,374,511 | 67,262,628 | $(111,883)$ | 67,262,628 |  |
|  |  | Overhead and Allocations | $(101,547,628)$ | 25,440,557 | 126,988,185 | 39,871,183 | 14,430,626 |
|  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 16,472,870 | 18,425,726 | 1,952,856 | 18,197,807 | $(227,919)$ |
|  |  | Transfers Out | 5,844,417 | 521,964 | $(5,322,453)$ | 1,565,892 | 1,043,928 |
|  |  | Unappropriated Rev Retained | 15,524,383 | 17,805,475 | 2,281,092 |  | $(17,805,475)$ |
|  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(125,393,752)$ | $(14,778,250)$ | 110,615,502 | $(22,980,227)$ | $(8,201,977)$ |
| 22260 Total |  |  | 813,834,596 | 966,772,493 | 152,937,897 | 990,711,988 | 23,939,495 |
| 22261 | MTA TS DSF REVBD S2017 (NEW) | Debt Service | 9,998,454 | 9,998,121 | (333) | 9,994,204 | $(3,917)$ |
| 22261 Total |  |  | 9,998,454 | 9,998,121 | (333) | 9,994,204 | $(3,917)$ |
| 22262 | MTA TS DSF REVBD S2014A (NEW) | Debt Service | 1,794,625 |  | $(1,794,625)$ |  |  |
| 22262 Total |  |  | 1,794,625 | 0 | $(1,794,625)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 22264 | MTA TS DSF REVBD 2012B (NEW) | Debt Service | 994,734 |  | $(994,734)$ |  |  |
| 22264 Total |  |  | 994,734 | 0 | $(994,734)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 22266 | MTA TS DSF REVBD 2013A (NEW) | Debt Service | 4,747,868 |  | $(4,747,868)$ |  |  |
| 22266 Total |  |  | 4,747,868 | 0 | $(4,747,868)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 22267 | MTA TS DSF REVBND S2021A | Debt Service |  | 3,067,568 | 3,067,568 | 8,210,722 | 5,143,154 |
| 22267 Total |  |  | 0 | 3,067,568 | 3,067,568 | 8,210,722 | 5,143,154 |
| 22268 | MTA TS DSF REVBND S2021B | Debt Service |  | 180,527 | 180,527 | 179,200 | $(1,327)$ |
| 22268 Total |  |  | 0 | 180,527 | 180,527 | 179,200 | $(1,327)$ |
| 22269 | MTA TS DSF REVBND S2021C | Debt Service |  | 1,010,070 | 1,010,070 | 3,030,209 | 2,020,139 |
| 22269 Total |  |  | 0 | 1,010,070 | 1,010,070 | 3,030,209 | 2,020,139 |
| 22870 | MTA SS Op Annual Account Ctrl | Salaries | 59,940,189 | 66,641,224 | 6,701,035 | 69,162,198 | 2,520,974 |
|  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 33,204,872 | 33,288,180 | 83,308 | 34,399,384 | 1,111,204 |
|  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 93,255,191 | 95,044,345 | 1,789,154 | 95,044,345 |  |
|  |  | Capital Outlay | 1,464,684 | 1,389,553 | $(75,131)$ |  | $(1,389,553)$ |
|  |  | Intrafund Transfers Out | 22,000,000 |  | $(22,000,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 5,037,553 | 5,122,553 | 85,000 | 5,122,553 |  |
|  |  | Overhead and Allocations | 21,375,232 | 23,319,058 | 1,943,826 | 24,067,213 | 748,155 |
|  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 10,252,059 | 10,737,391 | 485,332 | 10,964,917 | 227,526 |
|  |  | Transfers Out | 198,453,623 | 254,492,634 | 56,039,011 | 254,492,634 |  |
|  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses | (220,453,623) | (254,492,634) | (34,039,011) | (254,492,634) |  |


| $\begin{array}{c}2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { 2021－2022 } \\ \text { Change From } \\ 2020-2021\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { 2022－2023 } \\ \text { Change From } \\ \text { 2021－2022 }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

29，440，000

8
0
8
8
8
0
0
0
0
0
$(29,440,000)$
$(125,490,654)$
$(4,507,581)$
$(4,507,581)$
$(4,507,581)$
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Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22540 | MTA TS SPE REV TIDF | 138672 | MTACC CV-Captl Progr \& Constr | 54,553,308 | $(54,553,308)$ |  |  | $(23,541,007)$ |
|  |  | 139648 | MTAAW Agency-wide |  | 23,541,007 | 23,541,007 |  |  |
| 22540 Total |  |  |  | 54,553,308 | 23,541,007 | $(31,012,301)$ | 0 | $(23,541,007)$ |
| 22265 | MTA OH OPR AGENCYWIDE NEW | 139648 | MTAAW Agency-wide | 76,894,846 | 81,701,001 | 4,806,155 | 84,558,028 | 2,857,027 |
|  |  | 103773 | MTAFA Fit Finance \& Info Tech | 42,865,627 | 46,721,547 | 3,855,920 | 47,059,086 | 337,539 |
|  |  | 103758 | MTAHR Human Resources | 13,919,255 | 14,643,950 | 724,695 | 15,135,675 | 491,725 |
|  |  | 175644 | MTACO Communications | 6,129,018 | 6,431,201 | 302,183 | 6,563,628 | 132,427 |
|  |  | 103776 | MTAED Executive Director | 2,477,752 | 3,282,718 | 804,966 | 3,363,259 | 80,541 |
|  |  | 175649 | MTAGA Government Affairs | 1,706,572 | 1,738,052 | 31,480 | 1,778,350 | 40,298 |
|  |  | 103788 | MTABD Board Of Directors | 623,736 | 651,092 | 27,356 | 667,720 | 16,628 |
|  |  | 210685 | MTAPA Policy \& Administration | 329,802 | 345,308 | 15,506 | 354,424 | 9,116 |
|  |  | 103745 | MTASM Street Management | 153,253 | 162,293 | 9,040 | 166,112 | 3,819 |
|  |  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses | (145,099,861) | $(155,677,162)$ | $(10,577,301)$ | $(159,646,282)$ | $(3,969,120)$ |
| 22265 Total |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22305 | MTA TS OPR PROJ SUP-PSF NEW | 138672 | MTACC CV-Captl Progr \& Constr | 3,098,089 | 3,319,367 | 221,278 | 3,350,688 | 31,321 |
|  |  | 103773 | MTAFA Fit Finance \& Info Tech | 1,936,404 | 1,941,535 | 5,131 | 1,951,053 | 9,518 |
|  |  | 103745 | MTASM Street Management | 1,469,872 | 1,535,703 | 65,831 | 1,548,036 | 12,333 |
|  |  | 138753 | MTATS Transit Svc Division | 1,038,381 | 1,037,636 | (745) | 1,041,259 | 3,623 |
|  |  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(7,542,746)$ | (7,834,241) | $(291,495)$ | $(7,891,036)$ | $(56,795)$ |
| 22305 Total |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Work Orders/Overhead Total |  |  |  | 54,553,308 | 23,541,007 | $(31,012,301)$ | 0 | $(23,541,007)$ |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  | 1,546,030,662 | 1,387,520,157 | $(158,510,505)$ | 1,354,189,348 | $(33,330,809)$ |



| $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim} \stackrel{\infty}{\sim} \stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ | 8 8 8 8 $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{O} \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{\infty}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{8} \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \infty \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |





| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 17066 | Mission Bay Transportation Imp | 650,000 | 1,214,485 | 564,485 | 1,404,398 | 189,913 |
|  |  | 17260 | PC Body Camera Initiative | 2,477,973 | 2,777,973 | 300,000 | 2,777,973 |  |
|  |  | 17262 | PC Lab Info Management System | 18,000 | 18,000 |  | 18,000 |  |
|  |  | 17296 | PC Public Safety Building Ff\&e | 6,500,000 |  | $(6,500,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 17407 | AS Police 36\% Alloc Real Estat | 100,000 | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  |
|  |  | 19563 | PC Pol Facility Renewal | 250,000 | 885,000 | 635,000 | 720,000 | $(165,000)$ |
| 10020 Total |  |  |  | 10,170,188 | 5,178,384 | $(4,991,804)$ | 5,212,443 | 34,059 |
| 13570 | SR SFPD-Criminalistics Lab | 17257 | PC Sfpd Crime Lab | 2,000 | 2,000 |  | 2,000 |  |
| 13570 Total |  |  |  | 2,000 | 2,000 | 0 | 2,000 | 0 |
| 13580 | SR Dvros Reimbursement | 17295 | PC Dvros Development \& Mainten | 25,000 | 25,000 |  | 25,000 |  |
| 13580 Total |  |  |  | 25,000 | 25,000 | 0 | 25,000 | 0 |
| 13590 | SR SFPD-Auto Fingerprint Id | 17297 | PC Automated Fingerprint Id | 1,357,959 | 1,323,312 | $(34,647)$ | 1,341,949 | 18,637 |
| 13590 Total |  |  |  | 1,357,959 | 1,323,312 | $(34,647)$ | 1,341,949 | 18,637 |
| 13600 | SR SFPD-NarcForf\&AssetSeizure | 17299 | PC Narc Forfeiture \& Asset Seizure | 222,435 | 199,000 | $(23,435)$ | 199,000 |  |
| 13600 Total |  |  |  | 222,435 | 199,000 | $(23,435)$ | 199,000 | 0 |
| 13610 | SR Traffic Offender | 17256 | PC S F Traffic Offender Program | 200,000 | 200,000 |  | 200,000 |  |
| 13610 Total |  |  |  | 200,000 | 200,000 | 0 | 200,000 | 0 |
| 13630 | SR Police Law Enforcement Svcs | 19739 | Transit Center Police Security | 2,513,272 | 2,588,670 | 75,398 | 2,666,330 | 77,660 |
| 13630 Total |  |  |  | 2,513,272 | 2,588,670 | 75,398 | 2,666,330 | 77,660 |
| 13640 | SR SFPD-Vehicle Theft Crimes | 17253 | PC Vehicle Theft Crimes | 762,778 | 489,327 | $(273,451)$ | 489,605 | 278 |
| 13640 Total |  | Continuing Projects - Authority Control Total |  | $\begin{array}{r} 762,778 \\ 15,253,632 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 489,327 \\ 10,005,693 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (273,451) \\ (5,247,939) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 489,605 \\ 10,136,327 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 278 \\ 130,634 \end{array}$ |
| Grants Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 13550 | SR Public Protection-Grant | 10034443 | PC 2020 Coverdell | 66,286 |  | $(66,286)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10034444 | PC 2020 DNA Backlog Reduction | 336,432 |  | $(336,432)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10034445 | PC 2020 STEP Program - DUI | 120,000 |  | $(120,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10034446 | PC 2020 STEP - Traffic Safety | 80,000 |  | $(80,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10034496 | CH FY20-21 Federal JAG Grant | 53,516 |  | $(53,516)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035800 | PC 2021 Coverdell |  | 61,437 | 61,437 |  | $(61,437)$ |
|  |  | 10035801 | PC 2021 Forensic DNA Backlog R |  | 336,432 | 336,432 |  | $(336,432)$ |
|  |  | 10035802 | PC 2021 STEP Program - DUI |  | 120,000 | 120,000 |  | $(120,000)$ |
|  |  | 10035803 | PC 2021 STEP Program - Ped \& B |  | 120,000 | 120,000 |  | $(120,000)$ |
|  |  | 10035806 | CH FY21-22 Federal JAG Grant |  | 53,516 | 53,516 |  | $(53,516)$ |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13550 | SR Public Protection-Grant | 10037203 | CH FY22-23 Federal JAG Grant |  |  |  | 53,516 | 53,516 |
|  |  | 10037288 | PC Bulletproof Vest Partnershi |  | 27,988 | 27,988 |  | $(27,988)$ |
|  |  | 10037291 | PC FY21-22 B\&W Safety Equipment |  | 101,859 | 101,859 |  | $(101,859)$ |
|  |  | 10037293 | PC FY22-23 B\&W Safety Equipment |  |  |  | 101,859 | 101,859 |
|  |  | 10037294 | PC Bulletproof Vest Partnership |  |  |  | 27,988 | 27,988 |
|  |  | 10037296 | PC 2022 Coverdell |  |  |  | 61,437 | 61,437 |
|  |  | 10037297 | PC 2022 Forensic DNA Backlog R |  |  |  | 336,432 | 336,432 |
|  |  | 10037298 | PC 2022 STEP Program - DUI |  |  |  | 120,000 | 120,000 |
|  |  | 10037299 | PC 2022 STEP Program - Ped \& B |  |  |  | 120,000 | 120,000 |
|  |  | 10037620 | PC FY21 BCJI Program |  | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |  | $(1,000,000)$ |
| 13550 Total |  |  |  | 656,234 | 1,821,232 | 1,164,998 | 821,232 | $(1,000,000)$ |
| 13551 | SR Public Prot-COVID Stim-FED | 10036442 | CH CESF FY2020 Grant | 175,000 |  | $(175,000)$ |  |  |
| 13551 Total |  |  |  | 175,000 | 0 | $(175,000)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 13720 | SR Public Protection-Grant Sta | 10032945 | CH FY 19-20 SFCOPS Program | 121,698 |  | $(121,698)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10034441 | PC ABC 20-21 Grant Assistance | 100,000 |  | $(100,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10034442 | PC 2020 CalMMET | 195,161 |  | $(195,161)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10034594 | CH FY 20-21 SFCOPS Program |  | 83,175 | 83,175 |  | $(83,175)$ |
|  |  | 10035798 | PC ABC 21-22 Grant Assistance |  | 100,000 | 100,000 |  | $(100,000)$ |
|  |  | 10035799 | PC 2021 CaIMMET |  | 195,161 | 195,161 |  | $(195,161)$ |
|  |  | 10035815 | PC 2020 CaIVIP Grant | 1,500,000 |  | $(1,500,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035818 | CH FY 21-22 SFCOPS Program |  | 83,175 | 83,175 |  | $(83,175)$ |
|  |  | 10037204 | CH FY 22-23 SFCOPS Program |  |  |  | 83,175 | 83,175 |
|  |  | 10037292 | PC ABC 22-23 Grant Assistance |  |  |  | 100,000 | 100,000 |
|  |  | 10037295 | PC 2022 CaIMMET |  |  |  | 195,161 | 195,161 |
| 13720 Total |  |  |  | 1,916,859 | 461,511 | $(1,455,348)$ | 378,336 | $(83,175)$ |
| 13730 | SR Public Protection-Grant Oth | 10035796 | PC 2020 Target Law Enforcement | 10,000 |  | $(10,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035797 | PC 2020 Target Heroes \& Helper | 7,000 |  | $(7,000)$ |  |  |
| 13730 Total <br> Grants Projects Total |  |  |  | 17,000 | 0 | $(17,000)$ | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | 2,765,093 | 2,282,743 | $(482,350)$ | 1,199,568 | $(1,083,175)$ |
| Work Orders/Overhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10060 | GF Work Order | 207909 | POL - SOB - Special Operations | 5,253,664 | 5,718,639 | 464,975 | 5,868,588 | 149,949 |
|  |  | 232091 | POL - FOB - Field Operations | 613,310 | 276,382 | $(336,928)$ | 340,655 | 64,273 |
| 10060 Total |  |  |  | 5,866,974 | 5,995,021 | 128,047 | 6,209,243 | 214,222 |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Work Orders/Overhead Total |  |  |  | 5,866,974 | 5,995,021 | 128,047 | 6,209,243 | 214,222 |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  | 667,891,102 | 661,656,289 | $(6,234,813)$ | 689,077,957 | 27,421,668 |

Division Summary


| Department Appropriations (2 year) |  |  |  |  |  | Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 23680 PRT-OP Annual Account Ctrl | Salaries |  | 27,051,523 | 27,594,516 | 542,993 | 28,527,680 | 933,164 |
|  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits |  | 14,111,965 | 13,607,266 | $(504,699)$ | 13,805,964 | 198,698 |
|  | Non-Personnel Services |  | 11,266,191 | 14,481,260 | 3,215,069 | 14,481,260 |  |
|  | Capital Outlay |  | 69,007 |  | $(69,007)$ |  |  |
|  | Debt Service |  | 6,343,603 | 6,343,603 |  | 6,343,603 |  |
|  | Intrafund Transfers Out |  | 25,767,540 | 2,969,027 | $(22,798,513)$ | 6,713,962 | 3,744,935 |
|  | Materials \& Supplies |  | 931,924 | 866,924 | $(65,000)$ | 866,924 |  |
|  | Overhead and Allocations |  | 1,725,559 | 930,936 | $(794,623)$ | 930,936 |  |
|  | Services Of Other Depts |  | 24,753,033 | 28,301,884 | 3,548,851 | 29,693,242 | 1,391,358 |
|  | Transfers Out |  | 31,713 | 31,713 |  | 31,713 |  |
|  | Unappropriated Rev Retained |  |  | 12,776,320 | 12,776,320 |  | $(12,776,320)$ |
|  | Unappropriated Rev-Designated |  |  |  |  | 4,281,270 | 4,281,270 |
|  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses |  | $(25,767,540)$ | $(2,969,027)$ | 22,798,513 | $(6,713,962)$ | $(3,744,935)$ |
| 23680 Total |  |  | 86,284,518 | 104,934,422 | 18,649,904 | 98,962,592 | $(5,971,830)$ |
| Operating Total |  |  | 86,284,518 | 104,934,422 | 18,649,904 | 98,962,592 | $(5,971,830)$ |
| Annual Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 23690 PRT-OP Annual Authority Ctrl | 16294 | Stormwater Pollution Control | 190,000 | 190,000 | 190,000 |  |  |
|  | 16296 | Public Access Improvements | 40,000 | 40,000 |  | 40,000 |  |
|  | 16297 | Miscellaneous Tenant Faciltiy | 92,500 | 500,000 | 407,500 | 500,000 |  |
|  | 16303 | PO Facility Maint Repair P1 | 100,000 | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  |
|  | 16308 | Abondoned Mat-Illegal Dumpin C | 200,000 | 200,000 |  | 200,000 |  |
|  | 16310 | Hazardous Waste Assessment \& R | 5,000 | 5,000 |  | 5,000 |  |
|  | 16311 | A-E Cnsltng Prjt Plnning; Dsg | 400,000 | 400,000 |  | 400,000 |  |
|  | 16316 | Utility Annual Maintenance | 80,000 | 80,000 |  | 80,000 |  |
|  | 16317 | Oil Spill Response Training \& | 90,000 | 90,000 |  | 90,000 |  |
|  | 16338 | PO Cargo Fac Repair | 109,000 | 109,000 |  | 109,000 |  |
|  | 16339 | Heron'S Head Park (Pier 98) | 159,000 | 159,000 |  | 159,000 |  |
|  | 17726 | GE Youth Employment \& Environm | 665,000 | 565,000 | $(100,000)$ | 565,000 |  |
|  | 21275 | PO Racial Equity Econ Impact P | 387,500 | 112,500 | $(275,000)$ | 112,500 |  |
|  | 21276 | PO Facility Maint Repair P50 | 100,000 |  | $(100,000)$ |  |  |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23690 | PRT-OP Annual Authority Ctrl | 21277 | PO Env Cleanup Pier 39-45 | 680,000 | 50,000 | $(630,000)$ | 50,000 |  |
|  |  | 21279 | PO Crane Cove Park | 375,000 | 368,527 | $(6,473)$ | 368,527 |  |
| 23690 Total |  |  |  | 3,673,000 | 2,969,027 | $(703,973)$ | 2,969,027 | 0 |
| 24530 | PRT-SBH Annual Authority Ctrl | 17321 | South Beach Harbor Project | 3,522,348 | 3,790,768 | 268,420 | 3,709,782 | $(80,986)$ |
| 24530 Total |  |  |  | 3,522,348 | 3,790,768 | 268,420 | 3,709,782 | $(80,986)$ |
| Annual Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 7,195,348 | 6,759,795 | $(435,553)$ | 6,678,809 | $(80,986)$ |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 23700 | PRT-OP ContinuingAuthorityCtrl | 12620 | PO Port Property Dev Feasibili |  | $(7,219)$ | $(7,219)$ |  | 7,219 |
|  |  | 12629 | PO Port Ada Transition Plan |  | $(272,846)$ | $(272,846)$ |  | 272,846 |
|  |  | 12649 | PO Pier 80-92-96 Track Mainten |  | $(213,279)$ | $(213,279)$ |  | 213,279 |
|  |  | 12663 | PO Pier Repair |  | $(15,831)$ | $(15,831)$ |  | 15,831 |
|  |  | 12672 | PO Waterfront Resilience Progm | 1,763,929 | $(10,894,778)$ | $(12,658,707)$ | 605,222 | 11,500,000 |
|  |  | 12675 | PO Roof Repair Project |  | $(670,384)$ | $(670,384)$ |  | 670,384 |
|  |  | 12676 | PO Env'tal Assessment \& Remedi |  | $(7,146)$ | $(7,146)$ |  | 7,146 |
|  |  | 12688 | PO Southern Waterfront Beautif | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |  | 2,000,000 |  |
|  |  | 12698 | PO Homeland Security Enhanceme | 317,495 | 182,405 | $(135,090)$ | 182,505 | 100 |
|  |  | 12705 | PO Crane Painting \& Upgrade Pr |  | $(9,633)$ | $(9,633)$ |  | 9,633 |
|  |  | 12715 | PO Piers 33-35 Repairs \& Impro |  | $(2,965,364)$ | $(2,965,364)$ |  | 2,965,364 |
|  |  | 12722 | PO Ac34 Improvements |  | $(1,694)$ | $(1,694)$ |  | 1,694 |
|  |  | 12736 | PO Ferry Building Plaza Improv |  | $(150,000)$ | $(150,000)$ |  | 150,000 |
|  |  | 12740 | PO Waterfront Development Proj | 8,000,000 | 8,000,000 |  | 8,000,000 |  |
|  |  | 19567 | PO Cargo Maint Dredging |  | $(3,111,651)$ | $(3,111,651)$ |  | 3,111,651 |
|  |  | 19570 | PO Amador St Forced Sewer Main |  | $(2,195,073)$ | $(2,195,073)$ |  | 2,195,073 |
|  |  | 19571 | PO Utilities Project | 637,183 | $(450,741)$ | $(1,087,924)$ |  | 450,741 |
|  |  | 19572 | PO Leasing Capital Improvement | 138,836 | $(1,253,923)$ | $(1,392,759)$ |  | 1,253,923 |
|  |  | 19573 | PO Pier Structure Rpr Prjt Ph |  | $(7,128,403)$ | $(7,128,403)$ |  | 7,128,403 |
|  |  | 19575 | PO N Waterfront Historic Pier |  | $(6,206)$ | $(6,206)$ |  | 6,206 |
|  |  | 19577 | PO Sf Bay Fill Removal |  | $(1,951,000)$ | $(1,951,000)$ |  | 1,951,000 |
|  |  | 20087 | Pier 70 Shipyard Operations |  | $(1,417,903)$ | $(1,417,903)$ |  | 1,417,903 |
|  |  | 20088 | Enterprise Technology Projects | 450,000 |  | $(450,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 20124 | Amador St. Improvement project |  | $(3,800,000)$ | $(3,800,000)$ |  | 3,800,000 |
|  |  | 20125 | Capital Proj Implement Team | 140,097 | 857,208 | 717,111 | 857,208 |  |
|  |  | 20126 | P90 Grain Silo demolition proj |  | $(1,150,000)$ | $(1,150,000)$ |  | 1,150,000 |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

Department Appropriations（2 year）

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021－2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021－2022 Change From 2020－2021 | 2022－2023 <br> Proposed Budget | 2022－2023 Change From 2021－2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 42，015，913 | 44，089，429 | 2，073，516 | 45，188，699 | 1，099，270 |
|  | 240，790 | 43，122 | $(197,668)$ | 43，122 |  |
|  | 42，256，703 | 44，132，551 | 1，875，848 | 45，231，821 | 1，099，270 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |


42，256，703 44，132，551

1，875，848 45，231，821



1，910，946 30，434，387 $\begin{array}{lr}(39,781) & 11,219,336 \\ (58,056) & 1,192,672\end{array}$ 608＇9عト L19＇8ちて＇て $\mathbf{1 , 8 7 5 , 8 4 8} \quad \mathbf{4 5 , 2 3 1 , 8 2 1}$ 23,526
$\mathbf{1 , 0 9 9 , 2 7 0}$ 1，099，270

|  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 43,122 | $(22,668)$ | 43,122 |  |
|  | $(175,000)$ |  |  |
|  | $(19,450)$ |  |  |
| 417,000 | 88,000 | 417,000 |  |
| 7,944 | 7,944 |  | $(7,944)$ |
| 92,000 |  | 92,000 |  |

27，495，210 29，406，156 099＇E0て＇レレ 1，258，672 $\begin{array}{r}245,809 \\ 2,053,352 \\ \hline\end{array}$ 42，256，703

Sources of Funds Detail by Account
8
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Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023


## Division Summary




| Department Appropriations (2 year) |  |  |  |  | Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 445621 | Motor Veh Lic Fee-RealignmntFd | 58,650,000 | 66,625,000 | 7,975,000 | 66,594,000 | $(31,000)$ |
| 447011 | Weights And Inspection Fees | 710,000 | 710,000 |  | 710,000 |  |
| 448411 | Realignment Backfill | 12,756,073 |  | $(12,756,073)$ |  |  |
| 448928 | California Children'sSvcsAdmin | 2,728,601 | 2,728,601 |  | 2,728,601 |  |
| 448930 | California Children Services | 1,661,921 | 1,661,921 |  | 1,661,921 |  |
| 448999 | Other State Grants \& Subventns | 11,583,516 | 13,348,151 | 1,764,635 | 9,889,348 | $(3,458,803)$ |
| 449997 | City Depts Revenue From OCII | 64,575 | 64,575 |  | 64,575 |  |
| 460681 | Agricultural Inspection Fee | 250,000 | 250,000 |  | 250,000 |  |
| 460699 | Other Public Safety Charges | 200,000 | 200,000 |  | 200,000 |  |
| 460702 | Board Prisoners Other Counties | 25,000 | 25,000 |  | 25,000 |  |
| 460901 | Emt Certificate-AccreditatnFee | 36,576 |  | $(36,576)$ |  |  |
| 460902 | Ambulance Permit Fee | 257,532 |  | $(257,532)$ |  |  |
| 460903 | Ambulnce CertificatnOperatnFee | 108,999 |  | $(108,999)$ |  |  |
| 460906 | Emsa Receiving Hospital Fee | 130,690 |  | $(130,690)$ |  |  |
| 460907 | Emsa Stemi Fee | 81,140 |  | $(81,140)$ |  |  |
| 463503 | Laundry Renewals | 141,683 | 141,683 |  | 141,683 |  |
| 463509 | Birth Certificate Fee | 244,135 | 244,135 |  | 244,135 |  |
| 463510 | Death Certificate Fee | 336,350 | 480,000 | 143,650 | 480,000 |  |
| 463511 | Removal Permit Fee | 16,000 | 16,000 |  | 16,000 |  |
| 463512 | Crippled Children Care | 6,500 | 6,500 |  | 6,500 |  |
| 463515 | Laboratory Fees | 119,000 | 119,000 |  | 119,000 |  |
| 463519 | Garbage Truck Inspection Fees | 863,800 | 863,800 |  | 863,800 |  |
| 463520 | Hazard Matl Storage Permit Fee | 3,306,303 | 3,306,303 |  | 3,306,303 |  |
| 463525 | Hazard Materials Permit Fees | 335,508 | 335,508 |  | 335,508 |  |
| 463526 | Soil Testing Fees | 309,960 | 309,960 |  | 309,960 |  |
| 463539 | Solid Waste Transfer Station | 108,189 | 108,189 |  | 108,189 |  |
| 463540 | Plan Checking Fees-Beh | 1,450,552 | 1,450,552 |  | 1,450,552 |  |
| 463541 | Complaint Investigations Fees | 21,200 | 21,200 |  | 21,200 |  |
| 463542 | CFC \& Motor Vehicle A-C Permit | 3,290 | 3,290 |  | 3,290 |  |
| 463550 | Medical Waste-Acute CareHosptl | 196,328 | 196,328 |  | 196,328 |  |
| 463571 | Healthy Housing Program-Hotels | 653,981 | 653,981 |  | 653,981 |  |
| 463572 | Healthy Housing Prog-Apartmnts | 2,859,340 | 2,859,340 |  | 2,859,340 |  |
| 463573 | Env HIth Re-Inspection Fee | 105,576 | 105,576 |  | 105,576 |  |
| 463575 | Env Hlth Training | 75,458 | 75,458 |  | 75,458 |  |
| 463576 | Env Hith Temporary Events | 290,500 | 290,500 |  | 290,500 |  |
| 463599 | Misc Public Health Revenue | 10,800,790 | 18,709,131 | 7,908,341 | 10,269,131 | (8,440,000) |
| 465101 | Patient Payments | 617,600 | 617,600 |  | 617,600 |  |
| 465102 | Medi-Cal | 5,257,469 | 5,257,469 |  | 5,257,469 |  |
| 465103 | Medicare | 1,648,139 | 2,479,798 | 831,659 | 2,479,798 |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \text { N } \\
0 & \text { N } \\
0 & \text { N } \\
& \text { N } \\
& \text { N }
\end{array}
$$





| $10,107,124$ | $10,548,037$ | 440,913 | $10,548,037$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | $2,790,268$ | $2,790,268$ |
| $8,876,687$ | $15,504,170$ | $6,627,483$ | $15,197,489$ | $(306,681)$ |
| 502,740 | 502,740 |  |  | $(502,740)$ |
| 34,944 | 35,215 | 271 | 35,215 |  |
| 6,471 | 8,607 | 2,136 | 8,874 | 267 |
| 6,127 | 6,127 |  | 6,127 |  |
|  | 290,695 | 290,695 | 290,695 |  |
| 16,000 | 2,500 | $(13,500)$ | 2,500 |  |
| 8,340 | 8,340 |  | 8,340 |  |
| 281,447 | 302,426 | 20,979 | 311,934 | 9,508 |
| 112,180 | 112,348 | 168 | 112,348 |  |
| 146,656 | 152,567 | 5,911 | 156,613 | 4,046 |
| 779,731 | 748,718 | $(31,013)$ | 757,105 | 8,387 |
| 249,684 | 249,684 |  | 262,921 | 13,237 |
| 38,104 | 39,891 | 1,787 | 39,891 |  |
| $1,334,930$ | $1,334,930$ |  | $1,334,930$ |  |
| 615,667 | 615,667 |  | 615,667 |  |
| 380,841 | 383,128 | 2,287 | 386,442 | 3,314 |
| 24,370 | 24,370 |  | 24,370 |  |
| 192,530 | 192,530 |  | 192,530 |  |
| 581,693 | 731,272 | 149,579 | 747,376 | 16,104 |
| $18,132,144$ | $18,873,726$ | 741,582 | $16,586,198$ | $(2,287,528)$ |
| 27,651 | 27,651 |  | 27,651 |  |
| 258,735 | 258,735 |  | 258,735 |  |
| 65,455 | 50,957 | $(14,498)$ | 50,957 |  |
| 742,190 | 760,930 | 18,740 | 760,930 |  |
| 28,836 | 36,838 | 8,002 | 37,756 | 918 |
| 353,398 | 353,398 |  | 353,398 |  |
| 584,821 | 645,734 | 60,913 | 633,734 | $(12,000)$ |
|  | 43,426 | 43,426 | 43,426 |  |
| 471,132 | 180,146 | $(290,986)$ | 187,206 | 7,060 |
|  | 18,545 | 18,545 | 18,545 |  |
| 20,000 | 80,000 | 60,000 | 80,000 |  |
| $1,698,533$ | $1,000,000$ | $(698,533)$ | $1,000,000$ |  |
| 109,068 | 190,386 | 81,318 | 197,824 | 7,438 |
| $2,227,883$ | $1,952,984$ | $(274,899)$ | $2,005,884$ | 52,900 |
| $108,453,671$ | $89,401,155$ | $(19,052,516)$ | $92,557,601$ | $3,156,446$ |
| $2,000,000$ |  | $(2,000,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |



| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21080 | SFGH-Op Annual Account Ctrl |  | Materials \& Supplies | 90,382,824 | 102,934,569 | 12,551,745 | 107,788,258 | 4,853,689 |
|  |  |  | Overhead and Allocations | $(25,000,000)$ |  | 25,000,000 |  |  |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 62,044,779 | 64,664,010 | 2,619,231 | 63,157,361 | $(1,506,649)$ |
|  |  |  | Transfers Out | 108,453,671 | 89,401,155 | $(19,052,516)$ | 92,557,601 | 3,156,446 |
|  |  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses | (122,727,810) | $(99,257,975)$ | 23,469,835 | $(101,349,761)$ | $(2,091,786)$ |
| 21080 Total |  |  |  | 962,330,693 | 1,054,222,886 | 91,892,193 | 1,087,074,840 | 32,851,954 |
| 21490 | LHH-Op Annual Account Ctrl |  | Salaries | 152,405,576 | 164,087,387 | 11,681,811 | 169,259,369 | 5,171,982 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 73,209,849 | 74,454,988 | 1,245,139 | 75,705,395 | 1,250,407 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 10,397,718 | 10,525,613 | 127,895 | 10,521,329 | $(4,284)$ |
|  |  |  | Capital Outlay | 1,723,655 | 67,186 | $(1,656,469)$ |  | $(67,186)$ |
|  |  |  | Intrafund Transfers Out | 6,066,300 | 3,224,640 | $(2,841,660)$ | 4,023,370 | 798,730 |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 24,358,940 | 26,132,326 | 1,773,386 | 27,930,140 | 1,797,814 |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 17,830,249 | 17,923,213 | 92,964 | 18,086,558 | 163,345 |
|  |  |  | Transfers Out | 2,000,000 |  | $(2,000,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(8,066,300)$ | $(3,224,640)$ | 4,841,660 | $(4,023,370)$ | $(798,730)$ |
| 21490 Total |  |  |  | 279,925,987 | 293,190,713 | 13,264,726 | 301,502,791 | 8,312,078 |
| 21941 | LHH-Refunding COP-DSF |  | Debt Service | 9,877,263 | 9,603,191 | $(274,072)$ | 9,657,332 | 54,141 |
|  |  |  | Unappropriated Rev Retained | 6,583,372 | 7,250,350 | 666,978 | 3,380,406 | $(3,869,944)$ |
| 21941 Total |  |  |  | 16,460,635 | 16,853,541 | 392,906 | 13,037,738 | $(3,815,803)$ |
| Operating Total |  |  |  | 2,064,227,723 | 2,222,470,722 | 158,242,999 | 2,289,444,209 | 66,973,487 |

Annual Projects - Authority Control

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10010 | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 10000 | Operating | 36,000,000 | $(36,000,000)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 16185 | Var Loc-Misc Fac Maint Projs | 685,859 | 720,153 | 34,294 | 756,160 | 36,007 |
|  |  | 17077 | HC Centralized It | 69,653,353 | 76,249,304 | 6,595,951 | 78,130,417 | 1,881,113 |
|  |  | 20324 | Sugar-Sweetened Beverages Tax | 4,295,212 | 7,453,727 | 3,158,515 | 5,631,962 | $(1,821,765)$ |
|  |  | 80000 | HC DPH IT EHR Project | 31,748,394 | 34,204,107 | 2,455,713 | 34,582,849 | 378,742 |
| 10010 Total |  |  |  | 142,382,818 | 118,627,291 | $(23,755,527)$ | 119,101,388 | 474,097 |
| 21110 | SFGH-Annual Authority Ctrl | 15783 | HG Misc Fac Maint Proj | 1,625,540 | 1,706,820 | 81,280 | 1,792,160 | 85,340 |
| 21110 Total |  |  |  | 1,625,540 | 1,706,820 | 81,280 | 1,792,160 | 85,340 |
| 21500 | LHH-Annual Authority Ctrl | 15784 | HL Dph - Facilities Maintenanc | 1,404,420 | 1,474,640 | 70,220 | 1,548,370 | 73,730 |
| 21500 Total |  |  |  | 1,404,420 | 1,474,640 | 70,220 | 1,548,370 | 73,730 |
| Annual Pro | jects - Authority Control Total |  |  | 145,412,778 | 121,808,751 | $(23,604,027)$ | 122,441,918 | 633,167 |


| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 11159 | HC Dph Civic Center Relocation | 2,300,000 |  | $(2,300,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 11181 | HC Local Dental Pilot Project | 529,414 | 29,414 | $(500,000)$ | 29,414 |  |
|  |  | 11183 | HC Practice Improvement Progra | 2,490,424 | 2,500,000 | 9,576 | 2,500,000 |  |
|  |  | 17077 | HC Centralized It | 6,237,414 | 8,330,076 | 2,092,662 | 8,330,076 |  |
|  |  | 17078 | HC Deemed Approved Off-sale AI | 211,871 | 211,871 |  | 211,871 |  |
|  |  | 17128 | HB Managed Care | 4,775,053 | 4,775,053 |  | 4,775,053 |  |
|  |  | 17702 | HN Whole Person Care Pilot |  | 20,087,810 | 20,087,810 |  | $(20,087,810)$ |
|  |  | 19611 | HC Dph System Wide Security Im |  | 150,000 | 150,000 | 300,000 | 150,000 |
|  |  | 20262 | DPH F\$P Stabilization | 500,000 |  | $(500,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 20288 | HC Window Replacement CM SA SE |  |  |  | 250,000 | 250,000 |
|  |  | 20739 | EnvHIth 49SVN Move | 597,147 | 1,847,692 | 1,250,545 | 1,928,403 | 80,711 |
|  |  | 20752 | HD TB Civil Detention | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |  | 1,000,000 |  |
|  |  | 20826 | HB Mental Health Reform | 1,420,184 | 920,184 | $(500,000)$ | 920,184 |  |
|  |  | 21748 | Reinvestment Initiatives |  | 15,585,000 | 15,585,000 | 15,585,000 |  |
|  |  | 80000 | HC DPH IT EHR Project | 6,796,640 | 5,666,352 | $(1,130,288)$ | 5,665,668 | (684) |
|  |  | 88888 | CoVid Incident Response | 177,523,145 | 18,500,000 | $(159,023,145)$ | 13,998,901 | $(4,501,099)$ |
| 10020 Total |  |  |  | 204,381,292 | 79,603,452 | (124,777,840) | 55,494,570 | $(24,108,882)$ |
| 10582 | SR OCOH Nov18 PropCHomelessSvc | 21531 | Mental Health SF | 98,400,000 | 142,577,761 | 44,177,761 | 93,118,906 | $(49,458,855)$ |
| 10582 Total |  |  |  | 98,400,000 | 142,577,761 | 44,177,761 | 93,118,906 | $(49,458,855)$ |
| 11630 | SR Public Health | 17083 | HC Vital \& Health Stats Fd | 130,000 | 130,000 |  | 130,000 |  |
|  |  | 17084 | HC Sb 1773 Emergency Medical S | 314,000 | 314,000 |  | 314,000 |  |
|  |  | 17095 | HC Emergency Med Svc Fund | 314,000 | 314,000 |  | 314,000 |  |
|  |  | 17099 | HC Tobacco Settlement Project | 1,267,744 | 999,966 | $(267,778)$ | 1,000,000 | 34 |
|  |  | 17122 | HB Dui Program | 1,000 | 1,000 |  | 1,000 |  |
|  |  | 17123 | HB Alcohol Rehab Program | 40,000 | 40,000 |  | 40,000 |  |
|  |  | 17156 | HB Prop 63 Mental Health Servi | 45,805,541 | 63,642,022 | 17,836,481 | 48,647,454 | $(14,994,568)$ |
|  |  | 19522 | HC Southeast Heatlh Ctr-integr | 750,000 |  | $(750,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 21177 | HC Lead Paint Settlement | 6,751,430 | 3,375,715 | $(3,375,715)$ | 3,375,715 |  |
| 11630 Total |  |  |  | 55,373,715 | 68,816,703 | 13,442,988 | 53,822,169 | $(14,994,534)$ |
| 15384 | CPXCF COP Crit Reprs/Rav Stmls | 21797 | DPH LHH Emergency Power CR |  | 7,600,000 | 7,600,000 |  | $(7,600,000)$ |
|  |  | 21798 | DPH ZSFG Childcare Ctr RS |  | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 |  | $(1,500,000)$ |
| 15384 Total |  |  |  | 0 | 9,100,000 | 9,100,000 | 0 | $(9,100,000)$ |
| 15680 | CP SF Capital Planning | 20936 | DPH G.O. Bond Planning | 1,000,000 |  | $(1,000,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 21465 | DPH GO Bond Planning | 6,000,000 | 1,000,000 | $(5,000,000)$ |  | $(1,000,000)$ |
| 15680 Total |  |  |  | 7,000,000 | 1,000,000 | $(6,000,000)$ | 0 | $(1,000,000)$ |





| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11580 | SR Community Health-Grants | 10036938 | HD STD PD16 2122 Std Preventio |  | 1,227,714 | 1,227,714 | 1,227,714 |  |
|  |  | 10036941 | HB HM M005 2122 Hrsa Title Iv |  | 97,531 | 97,531 | 97,531 |  |
|  |  | 10036943 | HD STD CD142 2122, Core Mgmt L |  | 268,666 | 268,666 | 635,689 | 367,023 |
|  |  | 10036950 | HB MH HM108 Implementation of |  | 98,652 | 98,652 |  | $(98,652)$ |
|  |  | 10036951 | HD TB PD17 2122 TB/HIV Control |  | 909,088 | 909,088 | 909,088 |  |
|  |  | 10036952 | HN HIV AO16 2122 HCP SAM |  | 3,248,921 | 3,248,921 | 3,248,921 |  |
|  |  | 10036953 | HD HED PH01 2122 Tobacco Free |  | 749,409 | 749,409 | 1,996,752 | 1,247,343 |
|  |  | 10036954 | HB MH AD04 2122 State Vocation |  | 90,400 | 90,400 | 90,400 |  |
|  |  | 10036955 | HB MH HM101 2122 SB 82 Triage |  | 610,958 | 610,958 |  | $(610,958)$ |
|  |  | 10036956 | HB MH HM102 2122 BEAM UP |  | 200,000 | 200,000 |  | $(200,000)$ |
|  |  | 10036957 | HB MH HM105 2122 Pre-Trial Fel |  | 781,504 | 781,504 |  | $(781,504)$ |
|  |  | 10036958 | HD HIV PD141 2122 SHINE |  | 20,415 | 20,415 | 20,415 |  |
|  |  | 10036959 | HD HIV AO73 2122 SFDPH High Im |  | 981,613 | 981,613 | 981,613 |  |
|  |  | 10036960 | HB MH HM107 2122 Early Psychos |  | 540,541 | 540,541 | 533,342 | $(7,199)$ |
|  |  | 10036961 | HD HIV PD90 2122 SFDPH High Im |  | 7,008,377 | 7,008,377 | 7,008,377 |  |
|  |  | 10036963 | HN MCH 2122 HCMC02 |  | 1,364,784 | 1,364,784 | 1,364,784 |  |
|  |  | 10036964 | HB MH 2122 M007 Samhsa-MHBG |  | 4,072,182 | 4,072,182 | 4,072,182 |  |
|  |  | 10036965 | HN MCH PM02 2021 Black Infant |  | 1,129,591 | 1,129,591 | 1,129,591 |  |
|  |  | 10036966 | HD EHS EH15 Beach Water Quali |  | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 |  |
|  |  | 10036967 | HD HIV IV24 2122 UCSF 10409sc |  | 12,216 | 12,216 | 12,216 |  |
|  |  | 10036969 | HD HIV 1V18 2122 The UNC/Emory |  | 47,685 | 47,685 | 47,685 |  |
|  |  | 10036970 | HD EHS PB02 2122 State CLPPP |  | 685,016 | 685,016 | 685,016 |  |
|  |  | 10036971 | HD HIV PD128 2122 UCSF PTBi |  | 83,000 | 83,000 | 83,000 |  |
|  |  | 10036972 | HN HIV AO60 2122 RWPC |  | 325,801 | 325,801 | 325,801 |  |
|  |  | 10036973 | HN HIV PD13 2122 RWPA |  | 16,196,290 | 16,196,290 | 16,196,290 |  |
|  |  | 10036975 | HD HIV PD130 2122 PPrEPmate 21 |  | 32,061 | 32,061 | 32,061 |  |
|  |  | 10036976 | HN HIV PD134 2122 RWPC COVID19 |  | 74,725 | 74,725 | 74,725 |  |
|  |  | 10036977 | HN HIV PD135 2122 RWPA COVID19 |  | 488,125 | 488,125 | 488,125 |  |
|  |  | 10036978 | HN HIV PD140 2122 RWPA \& B |  | 1,667,000 | 1,667,000 | 1,667,000 |  |
|  |  | 10036979 | HD HIV AO86 2122 Leadershp LOC |  | 83,719 | 83,719 | 83,719 |  |
|  |  | 10036980 | HD HIV D128 2122 HVTN Scientif |  | 123,058 | 123,058 | 123,058 |  |
|  |  | 10036982 | HD HIV D119 2122 SF Bay CTU |  | 124,943 | 124,943 | 124,943 |  |
|  |  | 10036983 | HD HIV AO67 2122 HPTN Leadersh |  | 16,505 | 16,505 | 16,505 |  |
|  |  | 10036984 | HD HIV IV08 2122 Mid-Career |  | 158,239 | 158,239 | 158,239 |  |
|  |  | 10036985 | HD HIV AO98 2122 Western State |  | 38,735 | 38,735 | 38,735 |  |
|  |  | 10036986 | HD HIV AO78 2223 REBOOT |  | 95,206 | 95,206 | 95,206 |  |
|  |  | 10036987 | HD HIV PD117 2122 TORO-SRO |  | 350,000 | 350,000 | 350,000 |  |


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11580 | SR Community Health-Grants | 10036989 | HD HIV PD139 2223 Western Stat |  | 26,262 | 26,262 | 26,262 |  |
|  |  | 10036990 | HD HIV PD151 2122 PS20-2010: I |  | 2,740,288 | 2,740,288 | 2,740,288 |  |
|  |  | 10036992 | HD HIV AO54 2122 UCSF TA 8940 |  | 40,504 | 40,504 | 40,504 |  |
|  |  | 10036993 | HD HIV AO99 2122 Transnational |  | 44,495 | 44,495 | 44,495 |  |
|  |  | 10036994 | HD HIV PD113 2122 UCSF 11580sc |  | 43,173 | 43,173 | 43,173 |  |
|  |  | 10036995 | HD HIV PD121 2122 UCSF 11644sc |  | 21,404 | 21,404 | 21,404 |  |
|  |  | 10036996 | HD HIV PD123 2122 UCSF 11626sc |  | 70,132 | 70,132 | 70,132 |  |
|  |  | 10036997 | HD HIV PD142 2122 Biomedical |  | 21,587 | 21,587 | 21,587 |  |
|  |  | 10037000 | HN MCH 2122 HCPM03 |  | 5,994,750 | 5,994,750 | 5,994,750 |  |
|  |  | 10037001 | HD EHS EH16 2122 Pedestrian an |  | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 |  |
|  |  | 10037002 | HN MCH PM05 2122 CHDP |  | 1,706,091 | 1,706,091 | 1,706,091 |  |
|  |  | 10037003 | HN MCH PM08 2122 WIC |  | 3,004,965 | 3,004,965 | 3,004,965 |  |
|  |  | 10037004 | HN MCH PM13 2122 NUTRITION |  | 901,741 | 901,741 | 901,741 |  |
|  |  | 10037005 | HN MCH PM14 2122 Foster Care |  | 799,634 | 799,634 | 799,634 |  |
|  |  | 10037032 | HN MCH PM101 2122 Oral Health |  | 308,879 | 308,879 | 308,879 |  |
|  |  | 10037034 | HD EPR CD113 2122 Pan Flu |  | 96,466 | 96,466 | 96,466 |  |
|  |  | 10037038 | HC Health Preparedness \& Respo |  | 673,051 | 673,051 | 673,051 |  |
|  |  | 10037045 | HC San Francisco Cities Readin |  | 195,332 | 195,332 | 195,332 |  |
|  |  | 10037049 | HD HIV PD14 2122 State HIV Sur |  | 715,084 | 715,084 | 715,084 |  |
|  |  | 10037050 | HD STD PD148 2122 CDC-ELC CARE |  | 242,472 | 242,472 |  | $(242,472)$ |
|  |  | 10037051 | HD HIV AO05 2122 Medical Monit |  | 523,517 | 523,517 | 523,517 |  |
|  |  | 10037054 | HD EPR AC11 2122 HPP |  | 311,000 | 311,000 | 311,000 |  |
|  |  | 10037055 | HD EHS PD116 2122 Tobacco Grnt |  | 350,000 | 350,000 | 350,000 |  |
|  |  | 10037056 | HD EPI PD29 2122 Immunization |  | 6,951,167 | 6,951,167 | 292,627 | $(6,658,540)$ |
|  |  | 10037057 | HB SA SA17 2122 STARR Prop 47) |  | 2,857,724 | 2,857,724 | 42,000 | $(2,815,724)$ |
|  |  | 10037058 | HC ADM GLSC 2122 RWPC LSYC |  | 75,700 | 75,700 | 75,700 |  |
|  |  | 10037059 | HD STD PD146 2122 STD UCSF/CPT |  | 163,275 | 163,275 | 163,275 |  |
|  |  | 10037060 | HC ADM GMCK 2122 Mckinney Home |  | 1,255,850 | 1,255,850 | 1,255,850 |  |
|  |  | 10037061 | HC ADM GTWC 2122 RWPC TWC |  | 88,800 | 88,800 | 88,800 |  |
|  |  | 10037062 | HD STD PD145 2122 UCSF TB Suba |  | 120,000 | 120,000 | 120,000 |  |
|  |  | 10037064 | HC 2122 CALCRG |  | 174,306 | 174,306 | 174,306 |  |
|  |  | 10037066 | HN MCH PM102 2122 CA Perinatal |  | 459,560 | 459,560 | 459,560 |  |
|  |  | 10037067 | HD ADM AC13 2122 Enhancing Hea |  | 213,713 | 213,713 | 213,713 |  |
|  |  | 10037070 | HD EHS PD108 2122 CDPH Prop 56 |  | 434,750 | 434,750 | 434,750 |  |
|  |  | 10037086 | HD HIV IV14 2122 Mandel |  | 29,169 | 29,169 | 29,169 |  |


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11580 | SR Community Health-Grants | 10037090 | HN MCH PM103 2122 CHVP SGF Inn |  | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |  |
|  |  | 10037119 | HD HIV D123 2122 NHBS |  | 830,936 | 830,936 | 830,936 |  |
|  |  | 10037287 | HD ENV 2122 PD150 SFDPH DOJ PR |  | 487,060 | 487,060 | 487,060 |  |
|  |  | 10037369 | HD HIV PD152 2122 PRIME |  | 93,490 | 93,490 | 93,490 |  |
|  |  | 10037371 | HD HIV PD153 2122 PCORI |  | 99,883 | 99,883 | 99,883 |  |
|  |  | 10037403 | HD ENV 2122 PD143-22 |  | 38,766 | 38,766 | 38,766 |  |
|  |  | 10037404 | HD ENV2122 PD136 Noxious Weed |  | 20,190 | 20,190 | 20,190 |  |
|  |  | 10037443 | HD HIV PD154 2122 UCSF 12518sc |  | 18,779 | 18,779 | 18,779 |  |
|  |  | 10037453 | HD HIV PD155 2122 AMBER SOW |  | 32,451 | 32,451 | 32,451 |  |
|  |  | 10037463 | HC PC105 2122 RISE |  | 141,488 | 141,488 | 141,488 |  |
|  |  | 10037568 | HD EPR PD157 |  | 17,652,663 | 17,652,663 | 11,300,271 | $(6,352,392)$ |
|  |  | 10037617 | HD HIV PD158 2122PS21-2103 Int |  | 315,000 | 315,000 | 315,000 |  |
|  |  | 10037658 | Advancing Health Literacy to E |  | 2,652,351 | 2,652,351 | 1,347,649 | $(1,304,702)$ |
|  |  | 10037677 | HD HIV PD160 2122 OT21-2103 Na |  | 4,669,859 | 4,669,859 |  | $(4,669,859)$ |
|  |  | 10037682 | State Bay Area Assistance Vax |  | 750,000 | 750,000 |  | $(750,000)$ |
| 11580 Total |  |  |  | 69,077,053 | 107,529,952 | 38,452,899 | 84,635,742 | $(22,894,210)$ |
| 11621 | SR Comm Health-COVID Stim-FED | 10036507 | DPHDR-COVID 19-DPH Provider Re | 19,871,000 |  | $(19,871,000)$ |  |  |
| 11621 Total |  |  |  | 19,871,000 | 0 | $(19,871,000)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 14820 | SR ETF-Gift | 10036449 | 2020 Epic for FQHCs Program | 288,485 | 503,818 | 215,333 |  | $(503,818)$ |
| 14820 Total |  |  |  | 288,485 | 503,818 | 215,333 | 0 | $(503,818)$ |
| 21133 | SFGH COVID STIMULUS FUNDFED | 10036507 | DPHDR-COVID 19-DPH Provider Re | 25,000,000 |  | $(25,000,000)$ |  |  |
| 21133 Total |  |  |  | 25,000,000 | 0 | $(25,000,000)$ | 0 | 0 |
| Grants Projects Total |  |  |  | 114,236,538 | 108,033,770 | $(6,202,768)$ | 84,635,742 | $(23,398,028)$ |
| Continuing Projects - Project Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 21131 | SFGH-OPERATING GRANTSSTATE | 10037639 | ZSFG - DHCS BH Pilot Project |  | 100,000 | 100,000 |  | $(100,000)$ |
| 21131 Total |  |  |  | 0 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 0 | $(100,000)$ |
| 21132 | SFGH-OPERATING GRANTSPRIVATE | 10035599 | HG FY 20 Palliative Care Grant | 49,554 |  | $(49,554)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10036347 | Addiction Med. T Expan -ZSFG | 675,794 |  | $(675,794)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10036350 | Addiction Med. T Expan -ZSFG |  | 963,286 | 963,286 |  | $(963,286)$ |
|  |  | 10036351 | Addiction Med. T Expan -ZSFG |  |  |  | 1,088,193 | 1,088,193 |
|  |  | 10036366 | ZSFG Patient Care Qual R2 FY21 | 5,534,934 |  | (5,534,934) |  |  |

Department Appropriations (2 year)

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21132 | SFGH-OPERATING GRANTSPRIVATE | 10036367 | ZSFG Patient Care Qual R2 FY22 |  | 4,689,763 | 4,689,763 |  | $(4,689,763)$ |
|  |  | 10037473 | ZSFG Patient Care Qual FY19_20 |  | 654,871 | 654,871 |  | $(654,871)$ |
|  |  | 10037612 | ZSFG Patient Care Qual R2 FY23 |  |  |  | 102,000 | 102,000 |
|  |  | 10037663 | Geriatric Emeg Dept Planning |  | 375,408 | 375,408 |  | $(375,408)$ |
|  |  | 10037664 | Resilient Beginning Network |  | 109,545 | 109,545 |  | $(109,545)$ |
|  |  | 10037668 | Battery Social Medecine Progr |  | 315,000 | 315,000 |  | $(315,000)$ |
|  |  | 10037670 | Kaiser Social Medecine Progr |  | 818,182 | 818,182 |  | $(818,182)$ |
|  |  | 10037679 | Telehealth ZSFG COVID19 fund |  | 1,938,850 | 1,938,850 |  | $(1,938,850)$ |
|  |  | 10037680 | Equity Seeds ZSFG COVID19 fund |  | 235,684 | 235,684 |  | $(235,684)$ |
| 21132 Total <br> Continuing Projects - Project Control Total |  |  |  | 6,260,282 | 10,100,589 | 3,840,307 | 1,190,193 | $(8,910,396)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 6,260,282 | 10,200,589 | 3,940,307 | 1,190,193 | $(9,010,396)$ |
| Work Orders/Overhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10060 | GF Work Order | 207703 | HBH Behavioral Health | 27,440,532 | 24,753,427 | $(2,687,105)$ | 24,798,077 | 44,650 |
|  |  | 240661 | HPH Population Health Division | 6,653,234 | 7,253,894 | 600,660 | 7,281,830 | 27,936 |
|  |  | 207705 | HNS Health Network Services | 6,614,702 | 12,859,070 | 6,244,368 | 13,087,356 | 228,286 |
|  |  | 240642 | HPC Primary Care | 3,814,356 | 1,822,383 | $(1,991,973)$ | 1,822,383 |  |
|  |  | 251961 | HAD Public Health Admin | 774,367 | 922,061 | 147,694 | 983,642 | 61,581 |
| 10060 Total |  |  |  | 45,297,191 | 47,610,835 | 2,313,644 | 47,973,288 | 362,453 |
| 21550 | LHH-Work Order Fund | 240649 | HLH Laguna Honda Hospital | 229,950 | 229,950 |  | 229,950 |  |
| 21550 Tota |  |  |  | 229,950 | 229,950 | 0 | 229,950 | 0 |
| Work Orders/Overhead Total |  |  |  | 45,527,141 | 47,840,785 | 2,313,644 | 48,203,238 | 362,453 |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  | 2,775,782,429 | 2,821,394,533 | 45,612,104 | 2,757,867,945 | $(63,526,588)$ |

Sources of Funds Detail by Account


| $151,700,834$ | $171,192,006$ | $19,491,172$ | $172,321,356$ | $1,129,350$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 5 1 , 7 0 0 , 8 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 1 , 1 9 2 , 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 , 4 9 1 , 1 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2 , 3 2 1 , 3 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 2 9 , 3 5 0}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |

## $2,316,705$ 668,235

 \begin{tabular}{l}$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6}$ <br>
$\stackrel{8}{8}$ <br>
\hline-
\end{tabular} $(6,892,417)$

$1,933,583$
571,000 $(247,141)$
 69，067，309 $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\sim}{N}}}$ $9,415,945$
500,000 11，024，438 $\infty$
$\stackrel{N}{N}$
$\underset{N}{N}$
$\underset{\sim}{n}$ 25，275，724 13，258，705 4，606，354

4，714，321
826,692
269,626
10，358，955会 1，764，897 1，556，703
66，750，604
 17，916，855 ૬ร6‘と6て＇8 ${ }^{\infty}$ 13，505，846 \＆8て‘9と0‘て9 $1 \angle 9^{\prime} \angle L 9^{\circ} \angle \varepsilon$ 9，039，705 006 $\angle \mathrm{LG} \mathrm{S}^{\circ} \angle$ 000＇098＇9 ロ
$(6,850,000)$
$\mathbf{1 5 1 , 7 0 0 , 8 3 4}$



Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

Division Summary

## Chart of Account Summary

* The table above reflects preliminary Fiscal Year 2022-23 appropriations for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission

| Services Of Other Depts |  | 104,333,465 | 101,813,901 | $(2,519,564)$ | 102,102,659 | 288,758 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transfers Out |  | 47,251,137 | 47,145,137 | $(106,000)$ | 46,645,137 | $(500,000)$ |
| Unappropriated Rev Retained |  | 156,063,500 | 167,596,069 | 11,532,569 | 167,596,069 |  |
| Unappropriated Rev-Designated |  | 18,017,179 |  | $(18,017,179)$ |  |  |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses |  | $(282,633,489)$ | $(292,285,866)$ | $(9,652,377)$ | $(292,199,875)$ | 85,991 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account |  | 1,433,954,907 | 1,504,102,985 | 70,148,078 | 1,512,539,917 | 8,436,932 |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 430150 | Interest Earned - Pooled Cash | 16,275,000 | 15,999,000 | $(276,000)$ | 15,999,000 |  |
| 439899 | Other City Property Rentals | 13,471,900 | 13,934,400 | 462,500 | 13,934,400 |  |
| 463102 | Sewer Service Chrg-Comml-Resid | 336,155,600 | 369,350,129 | 33,194,529 | 369,350,129 |  |
| 463104 | Sewer Service Chrg-Spcl Dstrct | 9,515,000 | 10,532,000 | 1,017,000 | 10,532,000 |  |
| 468100 | Treasure Island Utilities Rev | 3,482,034 | 4,718,820 | 1,236,786 | 4,235,822 | $(482,998)$ |
| 468111 | Sale Of Water-SF Consumers | 278,454,000 | 297,774,000 | 19,320,000 | 297,774,000 |  |
| 468121 | Sale Of Water-Muni Paying | 4,366,900 | 3,318,157 | $(1,048,743)$ | 3,318,157 |  |
| 468131 | Sale Of Water-Sub Non Resale | 10,862,000 | 12,238,000 | 1,376,000 | 12,238,000 |  |
| 468181 | Sale Of Water-Suburban Resale | 262,385,000 | 270,642,000 | 8,257,000 | 270,642,000 |  |
| 468611 | Sale Of Electricity-Municipal | 2,713,103 | 19,261,466 | 16,548,363 | 19,261,466 |  |
| 468612 | Sale Of Electricity-Non-City | 20,825,892 |  | $(20,825,892)$ |  |  |
| 468614 | Sale Of Electricity-Retail | 19,111,490 | 10,332,660 | $(8,778,830)$ | 10,332,660 |  |
| 468615 | Electricity Sale-CCA | 225,610,735 | 224,126,365 | $(1,484,370)$ | 224,126,365 |  |
| 468618 | Electricity Sale-Wholesale |  | 17,509,875 | 17,509,875 | 17,509,875 |  |
| 468711 | Sale Of Water | 194,000 | 208,000 | 14,000 | 208,000 |  |
| 478001 | Water Service InstallationChrg | 6,288,000 | 6,304,000 | 16,000 | 6,304,000 |  |
| 478990 | Enterprise Fed BondlntSubsidy | 25,786,043 | 25,553,069 | $(232,974)$ | 25,553,069 |  |
| 479987 | LCFS Credits Revenue | 2,507,000 | 735,000 | $(1,772,000)$ | 735,000 |  |
| 479999 | Other Non-Operating Revenue | 15,733,253 | 7,096,305 | $(8,636,948)$ | 7,096,305 |  |
| 486010 | Exp Rec Fr Asian Arts Musm AAO | 359,524 | 462,786 | 103,262 | 462,786 |  |
| 486020 | Exp Rec Fr Airport (AAO) | 44,019,825 | 49,479,298 | 5,459,473 | 49,479,298 |  |
| 486030 | Exp Rec Fr Admin Svcs (AAO) | 9,092,874 | 9,464,113 | 371,239 | 9,947,111 | 482,998 |
| 486040 | Exp Rec Fr Animal Cre\&Ctrl AAO | 106,633 | 138,714 | 32,081 | 138,714 |  |
| 486050 | Exp Rec Fr Adult Probation AAO | 15,500 | 27,703 | 12,203 | 27,703 |  |
| 486100 | Exp Rec Fr Bus \& Enc Dev (AAO) | 2,451,006 | 2,451,006 |  | 2,451,006 |  |
| 486110 | Exp Rec Fr Bldg Inspection AAO | 50,000 | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |  |
| 486170 | Exp Rec Fr Chld Supprt SvcsAAO | 40,071 | 38,529 | $(1,542)$ | 38,529 |  |
| 486180 | Exp Rec Fr ConvFaciltsMgmt AAO | 4,982,755 | 5,698,211 | 715,456 | 5,698,211 |  |
| 486185 | Exp Rec Fr CleanpowerSF AAO | 1,144,425 | 1,500,000 | 355,575 | 1,500,000 |  |




| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24970 | HHWP Op Annual Account Ctrl |  | Salaries | 33,056,803 | 35,161,465 | 2,104,662 | 36,292,097 | 1,130,632 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 15,960,085 | 16,207,382 | 247,297 | 16,483,969 | 276,587 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 97,872,128 | 121,210,062 | 23,337,934 | 121,210,062 |  |
|  |  |  | Capital Outlay | 1,771,824 | 1,219,510 | $(552,314)$ |  | $(1,219,510)$ |
|  |  |  | Debt Service | 5,691,708 | 3,940,606 | $(1,751,102)$ | 3,940,606 |  |
|  |  |  | Intrafund Transfers Out | 16,443,687 | 15,818,774 | $(624,913)$ | 16,153,774 | 335,000 |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 3,361,083 | 3,498,442 | 137,359 | 3,498,442 |  |
|  |  |  | Overhead and Allocations | 18,499,145 | 21,794,394 | 3,295,249 | 22,362,493 | 568,099 |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 8,511,134 | 9,170,943 | 659,809 | 9,221,170 | 50,227 |
|  |  |  | Transfers Out | 531,712 | 531,712 |  | 31,712 | $(500,000)$ |
|  |  |  | Unappropriated Rev-Designated | 1,510,382 |  | $(1,510,382)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(16,443,687)$ | $(15,818,774)$ | 624,913 | $(16,153,774)$ | $(335,000)$ |
| 24970 Total |  |  |  | 186,766,004 | 212,734,516 | 25,968,512 | 213,040,551 | 306,035 |
| 25940 | WTR Op Annual Account Ctrl |  | Salaries | 68,356,751 | 75,065,246 | 6,708,495 | 77,818,051 | 2,752,805 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 33,927,663 | 34,976,139 | 1,048,476 | 35,666,124 | 689,985 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 19,184,277 | 21,973,538 | 2,789,261 | 21,973,538 |  |
|  |  |  | City Grant Program | 2,650,012 | 2,581,524 | $(68,488)$ | 2,581,524 |  |
|  |  |  | Capital Outlay | 4,459,339 | 3,093,539 | $(1,365,800)$ | 3,093,539 |  |
|  |  |  | Debt Service | 313,411,377 | 307,721,129 | $(5,690,248)$ | 307,721,129 |  |
|  |  |  | Intrafund Transfers Out | 79,982,198 | 83,850,219 | 3,868,021 | 83,850,219 |  |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 15,283,545 | 15,990,958 | 707,413 | 15,990,958 |  |
|  |  |  | Overhead and Allocations | 45,215,190 | 59,841,046 | 14,625,856 | 61,382,940 | 1,541,894 |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 24,666,919 | 25,095,963 | 429,044 | 25,201,080 | 105,117 |
|  |  |  | Transfers Out | 44,180,712 | 45,846,712 | 1,666,000 | 45,846,712 |  |
|  |  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(124,131,198)$ | $(129,665,219)$ | $(5,534,021)$ | $(129,665,219)$ |  |
| 25940 Total |  |  |  | 527,186,785 | 546,370,794 | 19,184,009 | 551,460,595 | 5,089,801 |
| 27180 | PUC Operating Fund |  | Salaries | 47,251,899 | 53,809,559 | 6,557,660 | 56,119,936 | 2,310,377 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 24,738,953 | 26,158,550 | 1,419,597 | 26,932,209 | 773,659 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 19,057,536 | 21,956,848 | 2,899,312 | 21,956,848 |  |
|  |  |  | Capital Outlay | 1,656,136 | 1,638,707 | $(17,429)$ | 1,638,707 |  |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 2,435,226 | 3,412,269 | 977,043 | 3,412,269 |  |
|  |  |  | Overhead and Allocations | $(123,538,237)$ | $(131,259,933)$ | $(7,721,696)$ | $(134,646,140)$ | $(3,386,207)$ |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 28,374,964 | 24,845,138 | $(3,529,826)$ | 24,882,309 | 37,171 |
|  |  |  | Unappropriated Rev-Designated | 580,123 |  | $(580,123)$ |  |  |
| 27180 Total Operating Total |  |  |  | 556,600 | 561,138 | 4,538 | 296,138 | $(265,000)$ |
|  |  |  |  | 1,010,172,178 | 1,079,393,735 | 69,221,557 | 1,088,510,667 | 9,116,932 |


| Annual Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 20170 | WWE Annual Authority Ctrl | 17726 | GE Youth Employment \& Environm | 697,000 | 697,000 |  | 697,000 |  |
|  |  | 19459 | UW Treasure Island - Maintena | 1,432,000 | 2,250,000 | 818,000 | 2,250,000 |  |
|  |  | 19460 | UW 525 Golden Gate - O \& M | 2,124,165 | 936,000 | $(1,188,165)$ | 936,000 |  |
|  |  | 19461 | UW 525 Golden Gate - Lease Pay | 2,423,943 | 2,424,344 | 401 | 2,424,344 |  |
|  |  | 19466 | WW Low Impact Development | 681,000 | 1,194,808 | 513,808 | 1,194,808 |  |
|  |  | 80068 | WWE Neighborhood Steward Progs | 1,335,000 | 1,095,000 | $(240,000)$ | 1,095,000 |  |
| 20170 Total |  |  |  | 8,693,108 | 8,597,152 | $(95,956)$ | 8,597,152 | 0 |
| 24765 | Clean Pw Annual Authority Ctrl | 20993 | CPSF Neighborhood Steward Prog | 725,000 | 1,015,000 | 290,000 |  | $(1,015,000)$ |
| 24765 Total |  |  |  | 725,000 | 1,015,000 | 290,000 | 0 | $(1,015,000)$ |
| 24980 | HHWP Annual Authority Ctrl | 15812 | Hetchy Water - Facilities Main | 5,960,000 | 6,334,000 | 374,000 | 6,334,000 |  |
|  |  | 17661 | Wecc-Nerc Compliance | 3,069,402 | 1,559,557 | $(1,509,845)$ | 2,459,557 | 900,000 |
|  |  | 17726 | GE Youth Employment \& Environm | 150,000 | 150,000 |  | 150,000 |  |
|  |  | 19459 | UW Treasure Island - Maintena | 3,825,000 | 4,016,000 | 191,000 | 4,016,000 |  |
|  |  | 19460 | UW 525 Golden Gate - O \& M | 1,277,275 | 584,000 | $(693,275)$ | 584,000 |  |
|  |  | 19461 | UW 525 Golden Gate - Lease Pay | 1,248,010 | 1,248,217 | 207 | 1,248,217 |  |
|  |  | 80066 | HHW Neighborhood Steward Progs | 500,000 | 125,000 | $(375,000)$ |  | $(125,000)$ |
|  |  | 80067 | HHP Neighborhood Steward Progs | 167,000 | 440,000 | 273,000 |  | $(440,000)$ |
| 24980 Total |  |  |  | 16,196,687 | 14,456,774 | $(1,739,913)$ | 14,791,774 | 335,000 |
| 25950 | WTR Annual Authority Ctrl | 17726 | GE Youth Employment \& Environm | 1,290,000 | 1,290,000 |  | 1,290,000 |  |
|  |  | 19158 | UW Awss Maintenance - Cdd | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 |  | 1,500,000 |  |
|  |  | 19159 | UW Water Enterprise-watershed | 3,486,000 | 3,486,000 |  | 3,486,000 |  |
|  |  | 19458 | UW Water Resources Planning An | 500,000 | 500,000 |  | 500,000 |  |
|  |  | 19459 | UW Treasure Island - Maintena | 1,350,000 | 2,109,500 | 759,500 | 2,109,500 |  |
|  |  | 19460 | UW 525 Golden Gate - O \& M | 6,874,055 | 2,838,000 | $(4,036,055)$ | 2,863,358 | 25,358 |
|  |  | 19461 | UW 525 Golden Gate - Lease Pay | 9,167,143 | 9,832,719 | 665,576 | 9,832,719 |  |
|  |  | 80065 | WTR Neighborhood Steward Progr | 998,000 | 1,225,000 | 227,000 | 1,199,642 | $(25,358)$ |
| 25950 Total <br> Annual Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 25,165,198 | 22,781,219 | $(2,383,979)$ | 22,781,219 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | 50,779,993 | 46,850,145 | $(3,929,848)$ | 46,170,145 | $(680,000)$ |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 20550 | WWE CPF Repair \& Replace | 19135 | WW Cwp_revenue Transfer-sub Fund | 107,894,500 | 110,252,390 | 2,357,890 | 110,252,390 |  |
| 20550 Total |  |  |  | 107,894,500 | 110,252,390 | 2,357,890 | 110,252,390 | 0 |
| 24761 | CleanPowerSF Cap Revenue Fund | 80233 | CleanPowerSF Capital | 1,898,497 | 2,432,829 | 534,332 | 2,432,829 |  |


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24761 Total |  |  |  | 1,898,497 | 2,432,829 | 534,332 | 2,432,829 | 0 |
| 24870 | HH CleanPowerSF Cust Trust Fd | 20543 | CleanPowerSF Customer Trst Fnd | 197,464,739 | 197,857,886 | 393,147 | 197,857,886 |  |
| 24870 Total |  |  |  | 197,464,739 | 197,857,886 | 393,147 | 197,857,886 | 0 |
| 24990 | HHWP ContinuingAuthorityCtrl | 15405 | UH Hhp_revenue Transfer-sub Fund | 569,000 | 1,686,000 | 1,117,000 | 1,686,000 |  |
| 24990 Total |  |  |  | 569,000 | 1,686,000 | 1,117,000 | 1,686,000 | 0 |
| 25430 | HHP CPF Transbay Cable | 15375 | UH Sf Electrical Reliability-t | 3,100,000 |  | $(3,100,000)$ |  |  |
| 25430 Total |  |  |  | 3,100,000 | 0 | $(3,100,000)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 25455 | HHP LCFS Credits Sales Fund | 21284 | UH LCFS Credits | 2,507,000 | 735,000 | $(1,772,000)$ | 735,000 |  |
| 25455 Total |  |  |  | 2,507,000 | 735,000 | $(1,772,000)$ | 735,000 | 0 |
| 25960 | WTR ContinuingAuthorityCtrI | 19047 | UW Watershed Protection | 1,050,000 | 996,490 | $(53,510)$ | 996,490 |  |
|  |  | 19052 | UW Landscape Conservation Prog | 1,000,000 |  | $(1,000,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 19055 | UW Long Term Monitoring \& Perm | 9,219,000 | 7,758,969 | $(1,460,031)$ | 7,758,969 |  |
|  |  | 19133 | UW Wtr_revenue Transfer-sub Fund | 27,600,000 | 35,698,998 | 8,098,998 | 35,698,998 |  |
|  |  | 19463 | UW Retrofit Grant Program | 700,000 | 481,862 | $(218,138)$ | 481,862 |  |
| 25960 Total |  |  |  | 39,569,000 | 44,936,319 | 5,367,319 | 44,936,319 | 0 |
| 26600 | WTR CPF Wholesale Customer | 19133 | UW Wtr_revenue Transfer-sub Fund | 13,000,000 | 12,973,142 | $(26,858)$ | 12,973,142 |  |
| 26600 Total <br> Continuing Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | $13,000,000$ | $12,973,142$ | $(26,858)$ | $12,973,142$ | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Continuing Projects - Project Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 26570 | WTR CPF Other Fund | 10015493 | UW Wtr:Revenue Transfer-Sub Fund | 7,000,000 | 6,985,539 | $(14,461)$ | 6,985,539 |  |
| 26570 Total <br> Continuing Projects - Project Control Total |  |  |  | 7,000,000 | 6,985,539 | $(14,461)$ | 6,985,539 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | 7,000,000 | 6,985,539 | $(14,461)$ | 6,985,539 | 0 |
| Work Orders/Overhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 20205 | WWE Paid Time Off | 229309 | WWE Wastewater Enterprise <br> Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $\begin{array}{r} 2,700,000 \\ (2,700,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,700,000 \\ (2,700,000) \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,700,000 \\ (2,700,000) \end{array}$ |  |
| 20205 Total |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25025 | HHWP HetchyPower Paid Time Off | 231637 | HHP Hetch Hetchy Water \& Power Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $\begin{array}{r} 1,300,000 \\ (1,300,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,300,000 \\ (1,300,000) \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,300,000 \\ (1,300,000) \end{array}$ |  |
| 25025 Total |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



| $79,677,705$ | $87,515,730$ | $7,838,025$ | $92,585,171$ | $5,069,441$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $38,730,973$ | $40,185,383$ | $1,454,410$ | $41,011,411$ | 826,028 |
| $22,848,912$ | $24,176,846$ | $1,327,934$ | $24,479,749$ | 302,903 |
| 850,031 | 853,973 | 3,942 | 853,973 |  |
| $52,294,954$ | $42,288,442$ | $(10,006,512)$ | $22,709,429$ | $(19,579,013)$ |
| $1,740,135$ | $1,807,135$ | 67,000 | $1,807,135$ |  |
| $1,347,000$ | $1,603,000$ | 256,000 | $1,609,000$ | 6,000 |
| $8,297,889$ | $9,591,918$ | $1,294,029$ | $10,506,753$ | 914,835 |

REC Admin Services REC Capital Division REC Operations REC Zoo Total Uses by Division

Salaries

Mandatory Fringe Benefits Non-Personnel Services City Grant Program Capital Outlay

Debt Service
Facilities Maintenance Intrafund Transfers Out

| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City Facilities Improvement Fund | 9,450,000 | 900,000 | $(8,550,000)$ |  | $(900,000)$ |
| Community / Neighborhood Dev | 23,970,094 | 9,788,287 | $(14,181,807)$ | 3,588,287 | $(6,200,000)$ |
| Culture and Recreation Fund | 6,760,747 | 6,979,715 | 218,968 | 5,211,378 | $(1,768,337)$ |
| General Fund | 109,158,958 | 135,186,590 | 26,027,632 | 130,608,891 | $(4,577,699)$ |
| Gift and Other Exp Trust Fund | 443,968 | 726,818 | 282,850 | 743,693 | 16,875 |
| Golf Fund | 18,704,005 | 20,053,256 | 1,349,251 | 19,659,455 | $(393,801)$ |
| Open Space and Park Fund | 62,702,325 | 68,078,816 | 5,376,491 | 69,770,622 | 1,691,806 |
| Recreation and Park Projects | 395,932 | 3,129,978 | 2,734,046 |  | $(3,129,978)$ |
| Total Uses by Funds | 231,586,029 | 244,843,460 | 13,257,431 | 229,582,326 | $(15,261,134)$ |

Division Summary

| Materials \& Supplies |  | 5,988,507 | 5,943,507 | $(45,000)$ | 5,943,507 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overhead and Allocations |  | $(4,444,375)$ | 282,679 | 4,727,054 | 687,960 | 405,281 |
| Programmatic Projects |  | 5,596,467 | 9,231,728 | 3,635,261 | 7,291,974 | $(1,939,754)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts |  | 26,955,720 | 30,329,523 | 3,373,803 | 30,603,017 | 273,494 |
| Transfers Out |  | 15,806,533 | 14,341,500 | $(1,465,033)$ | 10,811,555 | $(3,529,945)$ |
| Unappropriated Rev-Designated |  |  | 625,514 | 625,514 |  | $(625,514)$ |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses |  | $(24,104,422)$ | $(23,933,418)$ | 171,004 | $(21,318,308)$ | 2,615,110 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account |  | 231,586,029 | 244,843,460 | 13,257,431 | 229,582,326 | $(15,261,134)$ |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 410110 | Prop Tax Curr Yr-Secured | 60,618,000 | 62,853,000 | 2,235,000 | 65,192,000 | 2,339,000 |
| 410120 | Prop Tax Curr Yr-Unsecured | 4,076,000 | 3,224,000 | $(852,000)$ | 3,329,000 | 105,000 |
| 410230 | Unsecured Instl 5-8 Yr Plan | 17,000 | 16,000 | $(1,000)$ | 16,000 |  |
| 410310 | Supp Asst SB813-Cy Secured | 312,000 | 331,800 | 19,800 | 491,900 | 160,100 |
| 410410 | Supp Asst SB813-Py Secured | 694,000 | 738,200 | 44,200 | 1,094,100 | 355,900 |
| 410920 | Prop Tax Ab 1290 Rda Passthrgh | 1,643,000 | 1,764,000 | 121,000 | 1,799,000 | 35,000 |
| 430150 | Interest Earned - Pooled Cash | 590,932 | 2,292,978 | 1,702,046 |  | $(2,292,978)$ |
| 435210 | Civic Center Garage | 2,050,000 | 2,800,000 | 750,000 | 3,000,000 | 200,000 |
| 435218 | St. Mary's Garage | 800,000 | 700,000 | $(100,000)$ | 750,000 | 50,000 |
| 435219 | Union Square Garage | 1,750,000 | 1,500,000 | $(250,000)$ | 2,000,000 | 500,000 |
| 435222 | Portsmouth Garage | 300,000 | 200,000 | $(100,000)$ | 600,000 | 400,000 |
| 435225 | Prking Fees-VarRec-PrkFacilt | 300,000 | 100,000 | $(200,000)$ | 150,000 | 50,000 |
| 435226 | Music Concourse-Parking | 100,000 | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  |
| 435311 | Rentals-Balboa Stadium | 20,000 | 30,000 | 10,000 | 55,000 | 25,000 |
| 435341 | Rentals-Kezar Pavilion | 60,000 | 140,000 | 80,000 | 130,000 | $(10,000)$ |
| 435342 | Rentals-Kezar Stadium | 20,000 | 50,000 | 30,000 | 55,000 | 5,000 |
| 435351 | Rentals-Recreation Facilities | 1,325,000 | 1,140,000 | $(185,000)$ | 1,380,000 | 240,000 |
| 435490 | Golf Resident Card Fees | 500,000 | 500,000 |  | 550,000 | 50,000 |
| 435499 | Concession-Miscellaneous | 6,966,527 | 8,230,000 | 1,263,473 | 8,272,000 | 42,000 |
| 448111 | Homeowners Prop Tax Relief | 170,000 | 170,000 |  | 170,000 |  |
| 448999 | Other State Grants \& Subventns | 200,500 | 207,000 | 6,500 |  | $(207,000)$ |
| 449999 | Other Local-Regional Grants |  | 630,000 | 630,000 |  | $(630,000)$ |
| 460181 | City Planning Commission Fees | 328,094 |  | $(328,094)$ |  |  |
| 462611 | Admission-Recreation Facilts | 5,750,785 | 4,182,383 | $(1,568,402)$ | 6,252,828 | 2,070,445 |
| 462621 | Camp Mather Fees |  | 1,072,435 | 1,072,435 | 2,359,357 | 1,286,922 |
| 462631 | Golf Fees | 8,605,000 | 10,200,000 | 1,595,000 | 10,363,354 | 163,354 |
| 462641 | Tennis Fees | 150,000 |  | $(150,000)$ |  |  |



| Department Appropriations (2 year) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Fund Support |  |  |  | 83,426,830 | 93,473,550 | 10,046,720 | 85,915,612 | $(7,557,938)$ |
| Total Sources by Fund |  |  |  | 231,586,029 | 244,843,460 | 13,257,431 | 229,582,326 | $(15,261,134)$ |
| Reserved Appropriations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Controller Reserves: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10013127 RP Buchanan Street M |  |  |  |  | 3,100,000 | 3,100,000 |  | $(3,100,000)$ |
| 10031217 RP 11th Street And Natoma Par |  |  |  |  | 3,100,000 | 3,100,000 |  | $(3,100,000)$ |
| 10033273 RP 2020 Bond Planning |  |  |  |  | 3,588,287 | 3,588,287 | 3,588,287 |  |
| 10037713 Stow Lake ADA Repair CR |  |  |  |  | 900,000 | 900,000 |  | $(900,000)$ |
| Controller Reserves: Total |  |  |  |  | 10,688,287 | 10,688,287 | 3,588,287 | $(7,100,000)$ |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10000 | GF Annual Account Ctrl |  | Salaries | 38,736,201 | 43,158,099 | 4,421,898 | 46,765,507 | 3,607,408 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 17,660,775 | 18,154,039 | 493,264 | 18,608,188 | 454,149 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 250,000 | 592,000 | 342,000 | 592,000 |  |
|  |  |  | City Grant Program | 755,538 | 755,538 |  | 755,538 |  |
|  |  |  | Intrafund Transfers Out | 330,069 | 330,069 |  | 330,069 |  |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 75,000 | 75,000 |  | 75,000 |  |
|  |  |  | Overhead and Allocations | 22,883,951 | 30,960,173 | 8,076,222 | 32,125,628 | 1,165,455 |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 144,000 | 357,701 | 213,701 | 367,036 | 9,335 |
|  |  |  | Transfers Out | 7,234,005 | 1,787,232 | $(5,446,773)$ | 3,721,585 | 1,934,353 |
|  |  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(7,564,074)$ | (2,117,301) | 5,446,773 | $(4,051,654)$ | $(1,934,353)$ |
| 10000 Total |  |  |  | 80,505,465 | 94,052,550 | 13,547,085 | 99,288,897 | 5,236,347 |
| 11902 | SR R\&P-Marina -Annual |  | Salaries | 1,038,162 | 1,108,386 | 70,224 | 1,139,977 | 31,591 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 530,754 | 544,221 | 13,467 | 556,359 | 12,138 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 224,097 | 224,097 |  | 224,097 |  |
|  |  |  | Debt Service | 1,740,135 | 1,807,135 | 67,000 | 1,807,135 |  |
|  |  |  | Intrafund Transfers Out | 470,676 | 621,822 | 151,146 | 630,822 | 9,000 |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 112,000 | 112,000 |  | 112,000 |  |
|  |  |  | Overhead and Allocations | 501,460 | 607,127 | 105,667 | 604,045 | $(3,082)$ |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 125,373 | 137,337 | 11,964 | 136,943 | (394) |
|  |  |  | Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(470,676)$ | $(621,822)$ | $(151,146)$ | $(630,822)$ | $(9,000)$ |



| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10010 | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 20144 | Leisure Services Operating | 492,194 | 492,194 |  | 492,194 |  |
|  |  | 20146 | Park Patrol Operating | 172,988 | 172,988 |  | 172,988 |  |
|  |  | 20147 | Park Service Area 1 Operating | 242,157 | 242,157 |  | 242,157 |  |
|  |  | 20148 | Park Service Area 2 Operating | 288,934 | 288,934 |  | 288,934 |  |
|  |  | 20149 | Park Service Area 3 Operating | 120,428 | 120,428 |  | 120,428 |  |
|  |  | 20150 | Park Service Area 4 Operating | 104,158 | 104,158 |  | 104,158 |  |
|  |  | 20151 | Park Service Area 5 Operating | 113,212 | 113,212 |  | 113,212 |  |
|  |  | 20152 | Park Service Area 6 Operating | 133,463 | 133,463 |  | 133,463 |  |
|  |  | 20154 | Permit \& Reservation Operating | 66,150 | 67,050 | 900 | 67,050 |  |
|  |  | 20156 | Structural Maint Operating | 1,024,600 | 1,129,600 | 105,000 | 1,129,600 |  |
|  |  | 20158 | Support Services Operating | 502,013 | 452,013 | $(50,000)$ | 452,013 |  |
|  |  | 20159 | Turf Operating | 146,464 | 146,464 |  | 146,464 |  |
|  |  | 20192 | RP SM Operating Work Orders | 457,876 | 457,876 |  | 466,696 | 8,820 |
|  |  | 20193 | RP Capital Budget Baseline | 694,000 | 750,000 | 56,000 | 750,000 |  |
|  |  | 20324 | Sugar-Sweetened Beverages Tax | 1,988,719 |  | $(1,988,719)$ |  |  |
| 10010 Total |  |  |  | 11,835,105 | 10,108,286 | $(1,726,819)$ | 10,117,106 | 8,820 |
| 13350 | Open Space \& Park-Annual Proj | 20133 | Apprentice Operating | 61,500 | 61,500 |  | 61,500 |  |
|  |  | 20145 | Natural Areas Operating | 110,400 | 110,400 |  | 110,400 |  |
|  |  | 20155 | Planning Operating | 40,000 | 40,000 |  | 40,000 |  |
|  |  | 20157 | Sports \& Athletics Operating | 634,768 | 634,768 |  | 634,768 |  |
|  |  | 20160 | Urban Forestry Operating | 101,475 | 101,475 |  | 101,475 |  |
|  |  | 20161 | Volunteer Operating | 310,102 | 390,102 | 80,000 | 640,902 | 250,800 |
| 13350 Total <br> Annual Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 1,258,245 | 1,338,245 | 80,000 | 1,589,045 | 250,800 |
|  |  |  |  | 13,093,350 | 11,446,531 | $(1,646,819)$ | 11,706,151 | 259,620 |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 10000 | Operating | 595,024 | 1,000,000 | 404,976 |  | $(1,000,000)$ |
|  |  | 19387 | RP Botanical Garden Improvement | 330,069 | 330,069 |  | 330,069 |  |
|  |  | 19701 | RP BOS District Projects | 298,648 | 95,864 | $(202,784)$ | 98,624 | 2,760 |
|  |  | 20191 | RP PUC Garage CO Repayment | 104,781 | 104,781 |  | 104,781 |  |
|  |  | 20193 | RP Capital Budget Baseline | 11,606,000 | 12,250,000 | 644,000 | 12,250,000 |  |
|  |  | 20324 | Sugar-Sweetened Beverages Tax |  | 2,673,784 | 2,673,784 | 2,667,599 | $(6,185)$ |
|  |  | 20361 | RP Equipment | 398,252 | 1,064,321 | 666,069 | 1,049,156 | $(15,165)$ |
|  |  | 21673 | Park Health and Safety |  | 1,582,024 | 1,582,024 | 1,082,024 | $(500,000)$ |


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 <br> Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 21820 | 9th Lincoln GGP Entrance Imprv |  | 2,800,000 | 2,800,000 |  | $(2,800,000)$ |
|  |  | 21823 | Jackson Park Playground |  | 5,000,000 | 5,000,000 |  | $(5,000,000)$ |
|  |  | 80337 | Park Support |  | 13,000 | 13,000 | 13,000 |  |
|  |  | 88888 | CoVid Incident Response |  | 500,000 | 500,000 |  | $(500,000)$ |
| 10020 Total |  |  |  | 13,332,774 | 27,413,843 | 14,081,069 | 17,595,253 | $(9,818,590)$ |
| 10660 | SR Downtown Park | 18934 | RP Downtown Park Fund | 328,094 |  | $(328,094)$ |  |  |
| 10660 Total |  |  |  | 328,094 | 0 | $(328,094)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 10670 | SR Eastern Neighborhood CI | 18937 | RP Eastern Neighborhood Develo | 23,642,000 | 3,588,287 | $(20,053,713)$ | 3,588,287 |  |
| 10670 Total |  |  |  | 23,642,000 | 3,588,287 | $(20,053,713)$ | 3,588,287 | 0 |
| 10820 | SR Market \& Octavia CI | 18975 | RP Market Octavia Community Im |  | 6,200,000 | 6,200,000 |  | $(6,200,000)$ |
| 10820 Total |  |  |  | 0 | 6,200,000 | 6,200,000 | 0 | $(6,200,000)$ |
| 11900 | SR R\&P-Marina Yacht Harbor | 10000 | Operating | 6,644 | (587) | $(7,231)$ | (262) | 325 |
|  |  | 18931 | RP Marina Dbw Loan Reserve | 121,000 | 79,100 | $(41,900)$ | 82,100 | 3,000 |
|  |  | 18936 | RP East Harbor Sediment Remedi | 1,817,590 | 1,817,590 |  |  | $(1,817,590)$ |
|  |  | 19034 | RP Yacht Harbor-dredging |  | 200,000 | 200,000 | 206,000 | 6,000 |
|  |  | 19035 | RP Marina Yacht Renovation Pro | 5,032 | 5,309 | 277 | 4,984 | (325) |
|  |  | 19156 | RP Yacht Harbor Facilities Mai | 338,000 | 338,000 |  | 338,000 |  |
| 11900 Total |  |  |  | 2,288,266 | 2,439,412 | 151,146 | 630,822 | $(1,808,590)$ |
| 12350 | SR Golf Fund -Continuing | 18953 | RP Golf Program | 374,486 | 374,486 |  | 374,486 |  |
|  |  | 20643 | RP Golf Maintenance Fund | 320,000 | 1,325,514 | 1,005,514 | 750,000 | $(575,514)$ |
| 12350 Total |  |  |  | 694,486 | 1,700,000 | 1,005,514 | 1,124,486 | $(575,514)$ |
| 13360 | SR Open Space-Continuing | 18905 | RP Open Space Acquisition | 3,716,000 | 3,374,800 | $(341,200)$ | 3,550,250 | 175,450 |
|  |  | 18925 | RP Open Space Audit Services | 13,968 | 14,740 | 772 | 13,837 | (903) |
|  |  | 18927 | RP Open Space Contingency | 485,600 | 2,024,880 | 1,539,280 | 2,130,150 | 105,270 |
|  |  | 18928 | RP Open Space Capital Program | 1,542,404 | 1,540,921 | $(1,483)$ | 1,541,653 | 732 |
|  |  | 18944 | RP Open Space Community Garden |  | 275,000 | 275,000 | 275,000 |  |
|  |  | 20193 | RP Capital Budget Baseline | 275,000 |  | $(275,000)$ |  |  |
| 13360 Total |  |  |  | 6,032,972 | 7,230,341 | 1,197,369 | 7,510,890 | 280,549 |
| 15384 | CPXCF COP Crit Reprs/Rcv Stmls | 21805 | REC Stow Lake ADA Repair CR |  | 900,000 | 900,000 |  | $(900,000)$ |
| 15384 Total |  |  |  | 0 | 900,000 | 900,000 | 0 | $(900,000)$ |
| 15680 | CP SF Capital Planning | 20291 | RP CPC 2020 GO Bond Planning | 450,000 |  | $(450,000)$ |  |  |
| 15680 Total |  |  |  | 450,000 | 0 | $(450,000)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 15789 | CPXCF CFD Spcl Tax Bd S19ATTC | 19021 | RP Transit Center Community Im | 9,000,000 |  | $(9,000,000)$ |  |  |
| 15789 Total |  |  |  | 9,000,000 | 0 | $(9,000,000)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 16800 | CPRPF 08 CLN\&SF NEIG PK S2008B | 18930 | RP 2008 Clean \& Safe Nbhd Park | 2,790 |  | $(2,790)$ |  |  |




| $2020-2021$ <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021－2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | 2021－2022 <br> Change From <br> 2020－2021 | 2022－2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | 2022－2023 <br> Change From <br> 2021－2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $13,381,302$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $13,982,121$ | $4,600,819$ | $14,411,996$ | 429,875 |

Division Summary

## Chart of Account Summary

579,187
174,016
$(448,000)$
$(34,000)$ 06く＇8 8 を＇$\angle$ 3，050，846 2，069，558 37,749
83,330 83,330
$1,841,723$
4，411，996 158,672
429,875 $4,600,819 \quad 14,411,996$

| ccount |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $8,947,302$ | $13,978,121$ | $5,030,819$ | $14,407,996$ | 429,875 |
| 4,000 | 4,000 |  | 4,000 |  |

## Fund Summary


Chart of Account Summary 1，786，888 ®
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Sources of Funds Detail by Account 4,000
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Department Appropriations (2 year)
Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10850 SR Rent Arbitration Board |  |  | Salaries | 4,962,715 | 6,749,603 | 1,786,888 | 7,328,790 | 579,187 |
|  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 2,372,847 | 2,876,830 | 503,983 | 3,050,846 | 174,016 |
|  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 466,558 | 2,517,558 | 2,051,000 | 2,069,558 | $(448,000)$ |
|  |  |  | Materials \& Supplies | 37,749 | 71,749 | 34,000 | 37,749 | $(34,000)$ |
|  |  |  | Overhead and Allocations | 203,180 | 83,330 | $(119,850)$ | 83,330 |  |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 1,338,253 | 1,683,051 | 344,798 | 1,841,723 | 158,672 |
| 10850 Total Operating Total |  |  |  | 9,381,302 | 13,982,121 | 4,600,819 | 14,411,996 | 429,875 |
|  |  |  |  | 9,381,302 | 13,982,121 | 4,600,819 | 14,411,996 | 429,875 |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  | 9,381,302 | 13,982,121 | 4,600,819 | 14,411,996 | 429,875 |



Department Appropriations (2 year)
Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10020 Total <br> Continuing Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 1,558,156 | 1,326,900 | $(231,256)$ | 1,372,065 | 45,165 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,558,156 | 1,326,900 | $(231,256)$ | 1,372,065 | 45,165 |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  | 39,716,333 | 41,734,874 | 2,018,541 | 41,980,039 | 245,165 |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023


Department: SAS
Department Appropriations (2 year)

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10010 Total <br> Annual Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 6,000,000 | 6,000,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 6,000,000 | 6,000,000 |
| Total Use | of Funds |  |  |  |  |  | 6,000,000 | 6,000,000 |





Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

Department Appropriations (2 year)
Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10010 | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 21789 | SDA Operations |  | 1,973,878 | 1,973,878 | 2,920,861 | 946,983 |
| 10010 Total <br> Annual Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  |  | 1,973,878 | 1,973,878 | 2,920,861 | 946,983 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1,973,878 | 1,973,878 | 2,920,861 | 946,983 |
| Total Uses of Funds |  |  |  |  | 1,973,878 | 1,973,878 | 2,920,861 | 946,983 |


| Department: WOM Status of Women |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| General Fund | 9,870,300 | 10,748,322 | 878,022 | 10,780,578 | 32,256 |
| Gift and Other Exp Trust Fund | 46,000 | 100,000 | 54,000 | 100,000 |  |
| Human Welfare Fund | 363,126 | 273,126 | $(90,000)$ | 220,000 | $(53,126)$ |
| Total Uses by Funds | 10,279,426 | 11,121,448 | 842,022 | 11,100,578 | $(20,870)$ |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| WOM Status Of Women | 10,279,426 | 11,121,448 | 842,022 | 11,100,578 | $(20,870)$ |
| Total Uses by Division | 10,279,426 | 11,121,448 | 842,022 | 11,100,578 | $(20,870)$ |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 639,404 | 886,450 | 247,046 | 914,000 | 27,550 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 284,757 | 333,855 | 49,098 | 337,596 | 3,741 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 144,736 | 105,736 | $(39,000)$ | 105,736 |  |
| City Grant Program | 8,754,814 | 9,588,144 | 833,330 | 9,535,018 | $(53,126)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 17,428 | 10,728 | $(6,700)$ | 10,728 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | 438,287 | 196,535 | $(241,752)$ | 197,500 | 965 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 10,279,426 | 11,121,448 | 842,022 | 11,100,578 | $(20,870)$ |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 420921 Marriage License | 310,000 | 220,000 | $(90,000)$ | 220,000 |  |
| 478101 Gifts And Bequests | 46,000 | 100,000 | 54,000 | 100,000 |  |
| 499999 Beg Fund Balance - Budget Only | 53,126 | 53,126 |  |  | $(53,126)$ |
| General Fund Support | 9,870,300 | 10,748,322 | 878,022 | 10,780,578 | 32,256 |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023


Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10000 | GF Annual Account Ctrl |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits Non-Personnel Services Services Of Other Depts | 665,000 $32,777,253$ 21,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 665,000 \\ 32,827,253 \\ 21,000 \end{array}$ | 50,000 | 665,000 $32,827,253$ 21,000 |  |
| 10000 Total |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 33,463,253 \\ & 33,463,253 \end{aligned}$ | 33,513,253 | $50,000$ | $33,513,253$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Annual Projects - Authority Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 12050 SR Courts' Spec Rev Fd-Annual |  | $\begin{aligned} & 11462 \\ & 11466 \end{aligned}$ | SC Courtroom Temp Construction SC Courthouse Debt Service | $\begin{array}{r} 353,940 \\ 2,448,604 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (353,940) \\ (2,448,604) \end{array}$ |  |  |
| 12050 Total <br> Annual Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,802,544 \\ 2,802,544 \end{array}$ | 0 | $(2,802,544)$ | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | 0 | $(2,802,544)$ | 0 | 0 |
| Total Uses | of Funds |  |  |  | 36,265,797 | 33,513,253 | (2,752,544) | 33,513,253 |  |

Department: TTX Treasurer/Tax Collector

| Fund Title | 2020-2021 <br> Original Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Fund | 40,866,143 | 43,423,357 | 2,557,214 | 44,783,766 | 1,360,409 |
| General Services Fund | 1,805,730 | 1,682,958 | $(122,772)$ | 879,524 | $(803,434)$ |
| Total Uses by Funds | 42,671,873 | 45,106,315 | 2,434,442 | 45,663,290 | 556,975 |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| TTX Collection | 24,291,456 | 26,005,668 | 1,714,212 | 27,188,427 | 1,182,759 |
| TTX Impact | 3,652,899 | 3,708,121 | 55,222 | 2,925,827 | $(782,294)$ |
| TTX Management | 6,295,891 | 7,030,015 | 734,124 | 6,952,329 | $(77,686)$ |
| TTX Treasury | 8,431,627 | 8,362,511 | $(69,116)$ | 8,596,707 | 234,196 |
| Total Uses by Division | 42,671,873 | 45,106,315 | 2,434,442 | 45,663,290 | 556,975 |

Chart of Account Summary
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## Salaries

Mandatory Fringe Benefits Non-Personnel Services

City Grant Program
Materials \& Supplies
Overhead and Allocations
Programmatic Projects
Services Of Other Depts
Total Uses by Chart of Account


| 22-2023 <br> nge From <br> $21-2022$ |
| :---: |
| 300,534 |
| 5,456 |
|  |
|  |
| $(40,851)$ |
| 195,163 |
| $\mathbf{4 6 0 , 3 0 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{4 6 0 , 3 0 2}$ |


| Code | Title | $2020-2021$ <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | 2022-2023 <br> Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 17617 | Bank On San Francisco Project | 569,135 | 567,611 | $(1,524)$ | 581,955 | 14,344 |
| 17622 | Kinder2College Annual Project | $1,121,564$ | $1,153,485$ | 31,921 | $\mathbf{1 , 1 7 2 , 4 5 0}$ | 18,965 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 , 6 9 0 , 6 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 2 1 , 0 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 , 3 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 5 4 , 4 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 , 3 0 9}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 , 6 9 0 , 6 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 2 1 , 0 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 , 3 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 5 4 , 4 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 , 3 0 9}$ |


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10020 | GF Continuing Authority Crrl | 17621 | TX Gross Receipts Tax Implemen | 5,143,311 | 5,319,533 | 176,222 | 5,399,223 | 79,690 |
| 10020 Total |  |  |  | 5,143,311 | 5,319,533 | 176,222 | 5,399,223 | 79,690 |
| Continuing Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 5,143,311 | 5,319,533 | 176,222 | 5,399,223 | 79,690 |


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12550 | SR Grants; GSF Continuing | 10035745 | TX-OFE K2C Every K | 459,702 |  | $(459,702)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035747 | TX-OFE Mott K2C | 179,931 |  | $(179,931)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035748 | TX-OFE Earn | 394,482 |  | $(394,482)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035749 | TX-FJP Earn | 396,615 |  | $(396,615)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10035991 | TX-FJP PolicyLink | 125,000 |  | $(125,000)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10036421 | TX FJP Arnold Ventures | 250,000 | 250,000 |  | 250,000 |  |



| Department: WAR War Memorial |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| City Facilities Improvement Fd |  | 2,200,000 | 2,200,000 |  | $(2,200,000)$ |
| General Fund | 9,253,577 | 9,502,809 | 249,232 | 9,557,306 | 54,497 |
| War Memorial Fund | 15,476,717 | 17,597,772 | 2,121,055 | 18,994,448 | 1,396,676 |
| Total Uses by Funds | 24,730,294 | 29,300,581 | 4,570,287 | 28,551,754 | $(748,827)$ |
| Division Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| WAR War Memorial | 24,730,294 | 29,300,581 | 4,570,287 | 28,551,754 | $(748,827)$ |
| Total Uses by Division | 24,730,294 | 29,300,581 | 4,570,287 | 28,551,754 | $(748,827)$ |
| Chart of Account Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 6,175,352 | 7,348,347 | 1,172,995 | 7,821,719 | 473,372 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 3,370,681 | 3,528,779 | 158,098 | 3,603,130 | 74,351 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 2,053,893 | 1,341,552 | $(712,341)$ | 1,390,967 | 49,415 |
| Capital Outlay | 252,631 | 2,780,263 | 2,527,632 | 609,276 | $(2,170,987)$ |
| Debt Service | 9,253,577 | 9,502,809 | 249,232 | 9,557,306 | 54,497 |
| Materials \& Supplies | 298,657 | 278,657 | $(20,000)$ | 302,500 | 23,843 |
| Services Of Other Depts | 3,325,503 | 4,520,174 | 1,194,671 | 5,266,856 | 746,682 |
| Total Uses by Chart of Account | 24,730,294 | 29,300,581 | 4,570,287 | 28,551,754 | $(748,827)$ |
| Sources of Funds Detail by Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| 435232 Employee Parking |  | 45,191 | 45,191 | 46,518 | 1,327 |
| 435511 Opera House Rental |  | 314,243 | 314,243 | 641,113 | 326,870 |
| 435512 Green Room Rental | 16,684 | 156,249 | 139,565 | 295,602 | 139,353 |
| 435519 OH Office Rental - Opera |  | 212,061 | 212,061 | 222,876 | 10,815 |


| Department Appropriations (2 year) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 435519 |  | Opera House-Office |  |  | 170,137 |  | $(170,137)$ |  |  |
| 435521 |  | Herbst Theater Rent |  |  |  | 135,507 | 135,507 | 353,275 | 217,768 |
| 435531 |  | Davies Symphony H |  |  |  | 264,035 | 264,035 | 708,693 | 444,658 |
| 435539 |  | Davies Symphony H | Rent |  | 146,054 | 182,040 | 35,986 | 191,324 | 9,284 |
| 435540 |  | Veterans Building-O |  |  | 420,838 | 487,828 | 66,990 | 517,098 | 29,270 |
| 435542 |  | Veterans Building E |  |  |  | 60,107 | 60,107 | 144,586 | 84,479 |
| 435611 |  | Opera House Conce |  |  |  | 115,549 | 115,549 | 436,588 | 321,039 |
| 435612 |  | Opera House Progra | cession |  |  | 2,556 | 2,556 | 6,636 | 4,080 |
| 435631 |  | Davies Symphony H | cesson |  |  | 88,148 | 88,148 | 251,374 | 163,226 |
| 435632 |  | Davies Symphony H | Conces |  |  | 503 | 503 | 5,098 | 4,595 |
| 462891 |  | Zellerbach Rehears |  |  |  | 90,982 | 90,982 | 293,162 | 202,180 |
| 462899 |  | Misc Exhibit\&Perform | hrgs |  |  | 108,039 | 108,039 | 252,446 | 144,407 |
| 480141 |  | Proceeds FromCert | patn |  |  | 2,200,000 | 2,200,000 |  | $(2,200,000)$ |
| 486030 |  | Exp Rec Fr Admin S |  |  | 20,184 | 20,091 | (93) | 21,298 | 1,207 |
| 486060 |  | Exp Rec Fr Art Com | AAO |  | 238,375 | 238,375 |  | 252,689 | 14,314 |
| 493001 |  | OTI Fr 1G-General |  |  | 13,714,445 | 14,326,268 | 611,823 | 14,354,072 | 27,804 |
| 499999 |  | Beg Fund Balance - | Only |  | 750,000 | 750,000 |  |  | $(750,000)$ |
| General Fund Support |  |  |  |  | 9,253,577 | 9,502,809 | 249,232 | 9,557,306 | 54,497 |
| Total Sources by Fund |  |  |  |  | 24,730,294 | 29,300,581 | 4,570,287 | 28,551,754 | $(748,827)$ |
| Reserved Appropriations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Controller Reserves: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10037717 |  | Davies Hall Elevato |  |  |  | 2,200,000 | 2,200,000 |  | $(2,200,000)$ |
| Controller Reserves: Total |  |  |  |  |  | 2,200,000 | 2,200,000 | 0 | $(2,200,000)$ |
| Uses of Funds Detail Appropriation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund Code | Fund Title |  | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| 10000 GF Annual Account Ctrl |  |  |  | Debt Service | 9,253,577 | 9,502,809 | 249,232 | 9,557,306 | 54,497 |
| 10000 Total |  |  |  |  | 9,253,577 | 9,502,809 | 249,232 | 9,557,306 | 54,497 |
| 14670 | SR War Memorial-Operating |  |  | Salaries | 6,175,352 | 7,348,347 | 1,172,995 | 7,821,719 | 473,372 |
|  |  |  |  | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 3,370,681 | 3,528,779 | 158,098 | 3,603,130 | 74,351 |
|  |  |  |  | Non-Personnel Services | 2,053,893 | 1,341,552 | $(712,341)$ | 1,390,967 | 49,415 |

Budget Year 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed Budget | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14670 | SR War Memorial-Operating |  | Materials \& Supplies | 298,657 | 278,657 | $(20,000)$ | 302,500 | 23,843 |
|  |  |  | Services Of Other Depts | 3,325,503 | 4,520,174 | 1,194,671 | 5,266,856 | 746,682 |
| 14670 Total |  |  |  | 15,224,086 | 17,017,509 | 1,793,423 | 18,385,172 | 1,367,663 |
| Operating Total |  |  |  | 24,477,663 | 26,520,318 | 2,042,655 | 27,942,478 | 1,422,160 |


| Fund Code | Fund Title | Code | Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2022-2023 Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14680 | SR WAR - Annual Authority Ctrl | 15835 | War - Facility Maintenance | 252,631 | 580,263 | 327,632 | 609,276 | 29,013 |
| 14680 Total |  |  |  | 252,631 | 580,263 | 327,632 | 609,276 | 29,013 |
| Annual Projects - Authority Control Total |  |  |  | 252,631 | 580,263 | 327,632 | 609,276 | 29,013 |



## DETAIL OF RESERVES

Unappropriated Designated Reserves - (require subsequent Board appropriation to spend) CITY PLANNING - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE

CITY PLANNING - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RE
EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT TRUST - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE GENERAL CITY RESPONSIBILITY - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE human services agency - designated for general reserve PORT - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE

PUBLIC LIBRARY - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE
PUBLIC WORKS - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE
PUBLIC WORKS - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE PUBLIC WORKS - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE PUBLIC WORKS - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE PUBLIC WORKS - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE PUBLIC WORKS - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE PUBLIC WORKS - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERV

PUBLIC WORKS - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE
RECREATION AND PARK - DESIGNATED FOR GENERAL RESERVE
Subtotal - Unappropriated Designated Reserves
GENERAL CITY RESPONSIBILITY - RESERVE FOR HOTEL TAX LOSS ART GENERAL CITY RESPONSIBILITY - RESERVE FOR LITIGATION GENERAL CITY RESPONSIBILITY - SALARIES AND BENEFITS

MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY - GENERAL RESERVE PORT - GENERAL RESERVE

PUBLIC HEALTH - RESERVE FOR DEBT SERVICES
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION - RESERVE FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION - RESERVE FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION - RESERVE FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION - RESERVE FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT

Subtotal - Designated Reserves
Total Budgetary Reserves

## APPROPRIATION RESERVES



## ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

## SECTION 3. General Authority.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up appropriate accounts for the items of receipts and expenditures appropriated herein.

## SECTION 3.1 Two-Year Budget.

For departments for which the Board of Supervisors has authorized, or the Charter requires, a fixed two-year budget, appropriations in this ordinance shall be available for allotment by the Controller on July 1st of the fiscal year in which appropriations have been approved. The Controller is authorized to adjust the two-year budget to reflect transfers and substitutions consistent with City's policies and restrictions for such transfers. The Controller is further authorized to make adjustments to the second year budgets consistent with Citywide estimates for salaries, fringe benefits, and work orders.

## SECTION 4. Interim Budget Provisions.

All funds for equipment and new capital improvements shall be held in reserve until final action by the Board of Supervisors. No new equipment or capital improvements shall be authorized during the interim period other than equipment or capital improvements that, in the discretion of the Controller, is reasonably required for the continued operation of existing programs or projects previously approved by the Board of Supervisors. Authorization for the purchase of such equipment may be approved by the Board of Supervisors.

During the period of the interim annual appropriation ordinance and interim annual salary ordinance, no transfer of funds within a department shall be permitted without approval of the Controller, Mayor's Budget Director and the Chair of the Budget and Finance Committee.

When the Budget and Finance Committee or Budget and Appropriations Committee reserves selected expenditure items pending receipt of additional information from departments, upon receipt of the required information to the satisfaction of that committee, the Controller may release the previously reserved funds with no further action required by the Board of Supervisors.

If the Budget and Finance Committee or Budget and Appropriations Committee recommends a budget that increases funding that was deleted in the Mayor's Budget, the Controller shall have the authority to continue to pay these expenses until final passage of the budget by the Board of Supervisors, and approval of the budget by the Mayor.

## SECTION 4.1 Interim Budget - Positions.

No new position may be filled in the interim period with the exception of those positions which in the discretion of the Controller are critical for the operation of existing programs or for projects previously approved by the Board of Supervisors or are required for emergency operations or where such positions would result in a net increase in revenues or where such positions are required to comply with law. New positions shall be defined as those positions that are enumerated in the Mayor's budget for the current fiscal year but were not enumerated in the appropriation and salary ordinances for the prior fiscal year, as amended, through June 30 of the prior fiscal year. In the event the Mayor has
approved the reclassification of a position in the department's budget for the current fiscal year, the Controller shall process a temporary or "tx" requisition at the request of the department and subject to approval of the Human Resources Director. Such action will allow for the continued employment of the incumbent in his or her former position pending action by the Board of Supervisors on the proposed reclassifications.

If the Budget and Finance Committee or Budget and Appropriations Committee of the Board of Supervisors recommends a budget that reinstates positions that were deleted in the Mayor's Budget, the Controller and the Human Resources Director shall have the authority to continue to employ and pay the salaries of the reinstated positions until final passage of the budget by the Board of Supervisors, and approval of the budget by the Mayor.

## SECTION 5. Transfers of Functions and Duties.

Where revenues for any fund or department are herein provided by transfer from any other fund or department, or where a duty or a performance has been transferred from one department to another, the Controller is authorized and directed to make the related transfer of funds, provided further, that where revenues for any fund or department are herein provided by transfer from any other fund or department in consideration of departmental services to be rendered, in no event shall such transfer of revenue be made in excess of the actual cost of such service.

Where a duty or performance has been transferred from one department to another or departmental reorganization is effected as provided in the Charter, in addition to any required transfer of funds, the Controller and Human Resources Director are authorized to make any personnel transfers or reassignments between the affected departments and appointing officers at a mutually convenient time, not to exceed 100 days from the effective date of the ordinance or Mayoral memorandum transferring the duty or function. The Controller, the Human Resources Director and Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, with assistance of the City Attorney, are hereby authorized and directed to make such changes as may be necessary to conform all applicable ordinances to reflect said reorganization, transfer of duty or performance between departments.

## SECTION 5.1 Agencies Organized under One Department.

Where one or more offices or agencies are organized under a single appointing officer or department head, the component units may continue to be shown as separate agencies for budgeting and accounting purposes to facilitate reporting. However, the entity shall be considered a single department for purposes of employee assignment and seniority, position transfers, and transfers of monies among funds within the department, and reappropriation of funds.

## SECTION 5.2 Continuing Funds Appropriated.

In addition to the amount provided from taxes, the Controller shall make available for expenditure the amount of actual receipts from special funds whose receipts are continuously appropriated as provided in the Municipal Codes.

## SECTION 5.3 Multi-Year Revenues.

In connection with money received in one fiscal year for departmental services to be performed in a subsequent year, the Controller is authorized to establish an account for depositing revenues which are applicable to the ensuing fiscal year, said revenue shall be carried forward and become a part of the funds available for appropriation in said ensuing fiscal year.

## SECTION 5.4 Contracting Funds.

All money received in connection with contracts under which a portion of the moneys received is to be paid to the contractors and the remainder of the moneys received inures to the City and County shall be deposited in the Treasury.
(a) That portion of the money received that under the terms of the contract inures to the City and County shall be deposited to the credit of the appropriate fund.
(b) That portion of the money received that under the terms of the contracts is to be paid to the contractor shall be deposited in special accounts and is hereby appropriated for said purposes.

## SECTION 5.5 Real Estate Services.

Rents received from properties acquired or held in trust for specific purposes are hereby appropriated to the extent necessary for maintenance of said properties, including services of the General Services Agency.

Moneys received from lessees, tenants or operators of City-owned property for the specific purpose of real estate services relative to such leases or operating agreements are hereby appropriated to the extent necessary to provide such services.

## SECTION 5.6 Collection Services.

In any contracts for the collection of unpaid bills for services rendered to clients, patients or both by the Department of Public Health in which said unpaid bills have not become delinquent pursuant to Article V of Chapter 10 of the Administrative Code, the Controller is hereby authorized to adjust the estimated revenues and expenditures of the various divisions and institutions of the Department of Public Health to record such recoveries. Any percentage of the amounts, not to exceed 25 percent, recovered from such unpaid bills by a contractor is hereby appropriated to pay the costs of said contract. The Controller is authorized and is hereby directed to establish appropriate accounts to record total collections and contract payments relating to such unpaid bills.

## SECTION 5.7 Contract Amounts Based on Savings.

When the terms of a contract provide for payment amounts to be determined by a percentage of cost savings or previously unrecognized revenues, such amounts as are actually realized from either said cost savings or unrecognized revenues are hereby appropriated to the extent necessary to pay contract amounts due. The Controller is authorized and is hereby directed to establish appropriate accounts to record such transactions.

## SECTION 5.8 Collection and Legal Services.

In any contracts between the City Attorney's Office and outside counsel for legal services in connection with the prosecution of actions filed on behalf of the City or for assistance in the prosecution of actions that the City Attorney files in the name of the People, where the fee to outside counsel is contingent on the recovery of a judgment or other monies by the City through such action, the Controller is hereby authorized to adjust the estimated revenues and expenditures of the City Attorney's Office to record such recoveries. A percentage of such recoveries, not to exceed 25 percent plus the amount of any out-ofpocket costs the Controller determines were actually incurred to prosecute such action, is hereby appropriated from the amount of such recoveries to pay the contingent fee due to such outside counsel under said contract and any costs incurred by the City or outside counsel in prosecuting the action. The Controller is authorized and hereby directed to establish appropriate accounts to record total collections and contingent fee and cost payments relating to such actions. The City Attorney as verified by the Controller shall report to the Board of Supervisors annually on the collections and costs incurred under this provision, including the case name, amount of judgment, the fund which the judgment was deposited, and the total cost of and funding source for the legal action.

## SECTION 6. Bond Interest and Redemption.

In the event that estimated receipts from other than utility revenues, but including amounts from ad-valorem taxes, shall exceed the actual requirements for bond interest and redemption, said excess shall be transferred to a General Bond Interest and Redemption Reserve account. The Bond Interest and Redemption Reserve is hereby appropriated to meet debt service requirements including printing of bonds, cost of bond rating services and the legal opinions approving the validity of bonds authorized to be sold not otherwise provided for herein.

Issuance, legal and financial advisory service costs, including the reimbursement of departmental services in connection therewith, for debt instruments issued by the City and County, to the extent approved by the Board of Supervisors in authorizing the debt, may be paid from the proceeds of such debt and are hereby appropriated for said purposes.

## SECTION 7. Allotment Controls.

Since several items of expenditures herein appropriated are based on estimated receipts, income or revenues which may not be fully realized, it shall be incumbent upon the Controller to establish a schedule of allotments, of such duration as the Controller may determine, under which the sums appropriated to the several departments shall be expended. The Controller shall revise such revenue estimates periodically. If such revised estimates indicate a shortage, the Controller shall hold in reserve an equivalent amount of the corresponding expenditure appropriations set forth herein until the collection of the amounts as originally estimated is assured, and in all cases where it is provided by the Charter that a specified or minimum tax shall be levied for any department the amount of appropriation herein provided derived from taxes shall not exceed the amount actually produced by the levy made for such department.

The Controller in issuing payments or in certifying contracts, purchase orders or other encumbrances pursuant to Section 3.105 of the Charter, shall consider only the allotted portions of appropriation items to be available for encumbrance or expenditure and shall not approve the incurring of liability under any allotment in excess of the amount of such allotment. In case of emergency or unusual circumstances which could not be anticipated at the time of allotment, an additional allotment for a period may be made on the recommendation of the department head and the approval of the Controller. After the allotment schedule has been established or fixed, as heretofore provided, it shall be unlawful for any department or officer to expend or cause to be expended a sum greater than the amount set forth for the particular activity in the allotment schedule so established, unless an additional allotment is made, as herein provided.

Allotments, liabilities incurred and expenditures made under expenditure appropriations herein enumerated shall in no case exceed the amount of each such appropriation, unless the same shall have been increased by transfers or supplemental appropriations made in the manner provided by Section 9.105 of the Charter.

## SECTION 7.1 Prior Year Encumbrances.

The Controller is hereby authorized to establish reserves for the purpose of providing funds for adjustments in connection with liquidation of encumbrances and other obligations of prior years.

## SECTION 7.2 Equipment Purchases.

Funds for the purchase of items of equipment having a significant value of over \$5,000 and a useful life of three years and over shall only be purchased from appropriations specifically provided for equipment or lease-purchased equipment, including equipment from capital projects. Departments may purchase additional or replacement equipment from previous equipment or lease-purchase appropriations, or from citywide equipment and other non-salary appropriations, with approval of the Mayor's Office and the Controller.

Where appropriations are made herein for the purpose of replacing automotive and other equipment, the equipment replaced shall be surrendered to the General Services Agency and shall be withdrawn from service on or before delivery to departments of the new automotive equipment. When the replaced equipment is sold, in lieu of being traded-in, the proceeds shall be deposited to a revenue account of the related fund. Provided, however, that so much of said proceeds as may be required to affect the purchase of the new equipment is hereby appropriated for the purpose. Funds herein appropriated for automotive equipment shall not be used to buy a replacement of any automobile superior in class to the one being replaced unless it has been specifically authorized by the Board of Supervisors in the making of the original appropriation.

Appropriations of equipment from current funds shall be construed to be annual appropriations and unencumbered balances shall lapse at the close of the fiscal year.

## SECTION 7.3 Enterprise Deficits.

Funds appropriated herein to meet estimated enterprise deficits shall be made available to each such enterprise only to the extent that an actual deficit shall exist and not to exceed the amount herein provided. Any amount not required for the purpose of meeting an enterprise fund deficit shall be transferred back to the General Fund at the end of each fiscal year unless otherwise appropriated by ordinance.

## SECTION 8. Expenditure Estimates.

Where appropriations are made for specific projects or purposes which may involve the payment of salaries or wages, the head of the department to which such appropriations are made, or the head of the department authorized by contract or interdepartmental order to make expenditures from each such appropriation, shall file with the Controller, when requested, an estimate of the amount of any such expenditures to be made during the ensuing period.

## SECTION 8.1 State and Federal Funds.

The Controller is authorized to increase Federal and State funds that may be claimed due to new General Fund expenditures appropriated by the Board of Supervisors. The Human Resources Director is authorized to add civil service positions required to implement the programs authorized by these funds. The Controller and the Human Resources Director shall report to the Board of Supervisors any actions taken under this authorization before the Board acts on the Annual Appropriation and Annual Salary Ordinances.

## SECTION 8.2 State and Federal Funding Restorations.

If additional State or Federal funds are allocated to the City and County of San Francisco to backfill State reductions, the Controller shall backfill any funds appropriated to any program to the General Reserve.

## SECTION 8.3 Process for Addressing General Fund Revenue Shortfalls

Upon receiving Controller estimates of revenue shortfalls that exceed the value of the General Reserve and any other allowances for revenue shortfalls in the adopted City budget, the Mayor shall inform the Board of Supervisors of actions to address this shortfall. The Board of Supervisors may adopt an ordinance to reflect the Mayor's proposal or alternative proposals in order to balance the budget.

## SECTION 9. Interdepartmental Services.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to prescribe the method to be used in making payments for interdepartmental services in accordance with the provisions of Section 3.105 of the Charter, and to provide for the establishment of interdepartmental reserves which may be required to pay for future obligations which result from current performances. Whenever in the judgment of the Controller, the amounts which have been set aside for such purposes are no longer required or are in excess of the amount which is then currently estimated to be required, the Controller shall transfer the amount no longer required to the fund balance of the particular fund of which the reserve is a part. Provided further that no expenditure shall be made for personnel services, rent, equipment and capital outlay purposes from any interdepartmental reserve or work order fund without specific appropriation by the Board of Supervisors.

The amount detailed in departmental budgets for services of other City departments cannot be transferred to other spending categories without prior agreement from both the requesting and performing departments.

The Controller, pursuant to the provisions of Charter Section 3.105, shall review and may adjust charges or fees for services that may be authorized by the Board of Supervisors for the administration of the Technology Marketplace. Such fees are hereby appropriated for that purpose.

## SECTION 10. Positions in the City Service.

Department heads shall not make appointments to any office or position until the Controller shall certify that funds are available.

Funds provided herein for salaries or wages may, with the approval of the Controller, be used to provide for temporary employment when it becomes necessary to replace the occupant of a position while on extended leave without pay, or for the temporary filling of a vacancy in a budgeted position. The Controller is authorized to approve the use of existing salary appropriations within departments to fund permanent appointments of up to six months to backfill anticipated vacancies to ensure implementation of successful succession plans and to facilitate the transfer of mission critical knowledge. The Controller shall provide a report to the Board of Supervisors every six months enumerating permanent positions created under this authority.

Appointments to seasonal or temporary positions shall not exceed the term for which the Controller has certified the availability of funds.

The Controller shall be immediately notified of a vacancy occurring in any position.

## SECTION 10.1 Positions, Funds, and Transfers for Specific Purposes.

Funds for personnel services may be transferred from any legally available source on the recommendation of the department head and approval by the City Administrator, Board or Commission, for departments under their respective jurisdiction, and on authorization of the Controller with the prior approval of the Human Resources Director for:
(a) Lump sum payments to officers, employees, police officers and fire fighters other than elective officers and members of boards and commissions upon death or retirement or separation caused by industrial accident for accumulated sick leave benefits in accordance with Civil Service Commission rules.
(b) Payment of the supervisory differential adjustment, out of class pay or other negotiated premium to employees who qualify for such adjustment provided that the transfer of funds must be made from funds currently available in departmental personnel service appropriations.
(c) Payment of any legal salary or fringe benefit obligations of the City and County including amounts required to fund arbitration awards.
(d) The Controller is hereby authorized to adjust salary appropriations for positions administratively reclassified or temporarily exchanged by the Human Resources Director provided that the reclassified position and the former position are in the same functional area.
(e) Positions may be substituted or exchanged between the various salary appropriations or position classifications when approved by the Human Resources Director as long as said transfers do not increase total departmental personnel service appropriations.
(f) The Controller is hereby authorized and directed upon the request of a department head and the approval by the Mayor's Office to transfer from any legally available funds amounts needed to fund legally mandated salaries, fringe benefits and other costs of City employees. Such funds are hereby appropriated for the purpose set forth herein.
(g) The Controller is hereby authorized to transfer any legally available funds to adjust salary and fringe benefit appropriations as required under reclassifications recommended by the Human Resources Director and approved by the Board of Supervisors in implementing the Management Compensation and Classification Plan.

Amounts transferred shall not exceed the actual amount required including the cost to the City and County of mandatory fringe benefits.
(h) Pursuant to California Labor Code Section 4850.4, the Controller is authorized to make advance payments from departments' salary accounts to employees participating in CaIPERS who apply for disability retirement. Repayment of these advanced disability retirement payments from CalPERS and from employees are hereby appropriated to the departments' salary account.
(i) For purposes of defining terms in Administrative Code Section 3.18, the Controller is authorized to process transfers where such transfers are required to administer the budget through the following certification process: In cases where expenditures are reduced at the level of appropriation control during the Board of Supervisors phase of the budget process, the Chair of the Budget and Finance Committee, on recommendation of the Controller, may certify that such a reduction does not reflect a deliberate policy reduction adopted by the Board. The Mayor's Budget Director may similarly provide such a certification regarding reductions during the Mayor's phase of the budget process.
(j) Department travel budgets shall be considered as line-item appropriations for the purposes of administration for Administrative Code Section 3.18 and Charter Section 9.113(c) governing limitations on transfer of appropriated funds.

## SECTION 10.2 Professional Services Contracts.

Funds appropriated for professional service contracts may be transferred to the account for salaries on the recommendation of the department head for the specific purpose of using City personnel in lieu of private contractors with the approval of the Human

Resources Director and the Mayor and the certification by the Controller that such transfer of funds would not increase the cost of government.

## SECTION 10.3 Surety Bond Fund Administration.

The Controller is hereby authorized to allocate funds from capital project appropriations to the San Francisco Self-Insurance Surety Bond Fund, as governed by Administrative Code Section 10.100-317 and in accordance with amounts determined pursuant to Administrative Code Section 14B. 16.

## SECTION 10.4 Salary Adjustments, Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs).

The Controller is authorized and directed to transfer from the Salary and Benefits Reserve, or any legally available funds, amounts necessary to adjust appropriations for salaries and related mandatory fringe benefits of employees whose compensation is pursuant to Charter Sections A8.403 (Registered Nurses), A8.404 (Transit Operators), A8.409 (Miscellaneous Employees), A8.405 and A8.590-1 through A8.590-5 (Police and Firefighters), revisions to State Law, and/or collective bargaining agreements adopted pursuant to the Charter or arbitration award. The Controller and Human Resources Director are further authorized and directed to adjust the rates of compensation to reflect current pay rates for any positions affected by the foregoing provisions.

Adjustments made pursuant to this section shall reflect only the percentage increase required to adjust appropriations to reflect revised salary and other pay requirements above the funding level established in the base and adopted budget of the respective departments.

The Controller is authorized and directed to transfer from reserves or any legally available funds amounts necessary to provide costs of non-salary benefits in ratified Memoranda of Understanding or arbitration awards. The Controller's Office shall report to the Budget and Finance Committee or Budget and Appropriations Committee on the status of the Salary and Benefits Reserve, including amounts transferred to individual City departments and remaining Reserve balances, as part of the Controller's Six and Nine Month Budget Status Reports.

## SECTION 10.5 MOUs to be Reflected in Department Budgets.

Should the City and County adopt an MOU with a recognized employee bargaining organization during the fiscal year which has fiscal effects, the Controller is authorized and directed to reflect the budgetary impact of said MOU in departmental appropriations by transferring amounts to or from the Salary and Benefits Reserve, or, for self-supporting or restricted funds, to or from the respective unappropriated fund balance account. All amounts transferred pursuant to this section are hereby appropriated for the purpose.

## SECTION 10.6 Funding Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs).

Whenever the Board of Supervisors has ratified by ordinance or resolution Memoranda of Understanding with recognized employee organizations or an arbitration award has become effective, and said memoranda or award contains provisions requiring the expenditure of funds, the Controller, on the recommendation of the Human Resources Director, shall reserve sufficient funds to comply with such provisions and such funds are
hereby appropriated for such purposes. The Controller is hereby authorized to make such transfers from funds hereby reserved or legally available as may be required to make funds available to departments to carry out the purposes required by the Memoranda of Understanding or arbitration award.

## SECTION 10.7 Fringe Benefit Rate Adjustments.

Appropriations herein made for fringe benefits may be adjusted by the Controller to reflect revised amounts required to support adopted or required contribution rates. The Controller is authorized and is hereby directed to transfer between departmental appropriations and the General Reserve or other unappropriated balance of funds any amounts resulting from adopted or required contribution rates and such amounts are hereby appropriated to said accounts.

When the Controller determines that prepayment of the employer share of pension contributions is likely to be fiscally advantageous, the Controller is authorized to adjust appropriations and transfers in order to make and reconcile such prepayments.

## SECTION 10.8 Police Department Uniformed Positions.

Positions in the Police Department for each of the various ranks that are filled based on the educational attainment of individual officers may be filled interchangeably at any level within the rank (e.g., Patrol Officer Q2, Q3 or Q4, Sergeant Q50, Q51, Q52). The Controller and Human Resources Director are hereby authorized to adjust payrolls, salary ordinances and other documents, where necessary, to reflect the current status of individual employees; provided however, that nothing in this section shall authorize an increase in the total number of positions allocated to any one rank or to the Police Department.

## SECTION 10.9 Holidays, Special Provisions.

Whenever any day is declared to be a holiday by proclamation of the Mayor after such day has heretofore been declared a holiday by the Governor of the State of California or the President of the United States, the Controller, with the approval of the Mayor's Office, is hereby authorized to make such transfer of funds not to exceed the actual cost of said holiday from any legally available funds.

## SECTION 10.10 Litigation Reserve, Payments.

The Controller is authorized and directed to transfer from the Reserve for Litigation Account for General Fund supported departments or from any other legally available funds for other funds, amounts required to make payments required to settle litigation against the City and County of San Francisco that has been recommended by the City Attorney and approved by the Board of Supervisors in the manner provided in the Charter. Such funds are hereby appropriated for the purposes set forth herein.

Amounts required to pay settlements of claims or litigation involving the Public Utilities Commission are hereby appropriated from the Public Utilities Commission Wastewater Enterprise fund balance or the Public Utilities Commission Water Enterprise fund balance, as appropriate, for the purpose of paying such settlements following final approval of those settlements by resolution or ordinance.

## SECTION 10.11 Changes in Health Services Eligibility.

Should the Board of Supervisors amend Administrative Code Section 16.700 to change the eligibility in the City's Health Service System, the Controller is authorized and directed to transfer from any legally available funds or the Salary and Fringe Reserve for the amount necessary to provide health benefit coverage not already reflected in the departmental budgets.

Section 10.12 Workers' Compensation Alternative Dispute Resolution Program Resolutions 85-19 and 86-19 authorized the Department of Human Resources to enter Workers' Compensation Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Labor-Management Agreements with the San Francisco Firefighters' Association, Local 798, and San Francisco Police Officers Association, respectively. These Agreements require the City to allocate an amount equal to $50 \%$ of the ADR program estimated net savings, as determined by actuarial report, for the benefit of active employees. The Controller is authorized and directed to transfer from any legally available funds the amount necessary to make the required allocations. This provision will terminate if the parties agree to terminate the Agreements.

## SECTION 11. Funds Received for Special Purposes, Trust Funds.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to continue the existing special and trust funds, revolving funds, and reserves and the receipts in and expenditures from each such fund are hereby appropriated in accordance with law and the conditions under which each such fund was established.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up additional special and trust funds and reserves as may be created either by additional grants and bequests or under other conditions, and the receipts in each fund are hereby appropriated in accordance with law for the purposes and subject to the conditions under which each such fund was established.

## SECTION 11.1 Special and Trust Funds Appropriated.

Whenever the City and County of San Francisco shall receive for a special purpose from the United States of America, the State of California, or from any public or semi-public agency, or from any private person, firm or corporation, any moneys, or property to be converted into money, the Controller shall establish a special fund or account evidencing the said moneys so received and specifying the special purposes for which they have been received and for which they are held, which said account or fund shall be maintained by the Controller as long as any portion of said moneys or property remains.

Recurring grant funds which are detailed in departmental budget submissions and approved by the Mayor and Board of Supervisors in the annual budget shall be deemed to have met the requirements of Administrative Code Section 10.170 for the approval to apply for, receive and expend said funds and shall be construed to be funds received for a specific purpose as set forth in this section. Positions specifically approved by granting agencies in said grant awards may be filled as though said positions were included in the annual budget and Annual Salary Ordinance, provided however that the tenure of such
positions shall be contingent on the continued receipt of said grant funds. Individual grants may be adjusted by the Controller to reflect actual awards made if granting agencies increase or decrease the grant award amounts estimated in budget submissions.

The expenditures necessary from said funds or said accounts as created herein, in order to carry out the purpose for which said moneys or orders have been received or for which said accounts are being maintained, shall be approved by the Controller and said expenditures are hereby appropriated in accordance with the terms and conditions under which said moneys or orders have been received by the City and County of San Francisco, and in accordance with the conditions under which said funds are maintained.

The Controller is authorized to adjust transfers to the San Francisco Capital Planning Fund, established by Administrative Code Section 10.100-286, to account for final capital project planning expenditures reimbursed from approved sale of bonds and other long term financing instruments.

## SECTION 11.2 Insurance Recoveries.

Any moneys received by the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to the terms and conditions of any insurance policy are hereby appropriated and made available to the general city or specific departments for associated costs or claims.

## SECTION 11.3 Bond Premiums.

Premiums received from the sale of bonds are hereby appropriated for bond interest and redemption purposes of the issue upon which it was received.

## SECTION 11.4 Ballot Arguments.

Receipts in and expenditures for payment for the printing of ballot arguments, are hereby appropriated in accordance with law and the conditions under which this appropriation is established.

## SECTION 11.5 Tenant Overtime.

Whenever employees of departments are required to work overtime on account of services required by renters, lessees or tenants of City-owned or occupied properties, or recipients of services from City departments, the cost of such overtime employment shall be collected by the departments from the requesters of said services and shall be deposited with the Treasurer to the credit of departmental appropriations. All moneys deposited therein are hereby appropriated for such purpose.

## SECTION 11.6 Refunds.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up appropriations for refunding amounts deposited in the Treasury in excess of amounts due, and the receipts and expenditures from each are hereby appropriated in accordance with law. Whereby State statute, local ordinance or court order, interest is payable on amounts to be refunded, in the absence of appropriation therefore, such interest is herewith appropriated from the unappropriated interest fund or interest earnings of the fund involved. The Controller is authorized, and funds are hereby appropriated, to refund overpayments and any
mandated interest or penalties from State, Federal and local agencies when audits or other financial analyses determine that the City has received payments in excess of amounts due.

## SECTION 11.7 Arbitrage.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to refund excess interest earnings on bond proceeds (arbitrage) when such amounts have been determined to be due and payable under applicable Internal Revenue Service regulations. Such arbitrage refunds shall be charged in the various bond funds in which the arbitrage earnings were recorded and such funds are hereby appropriated for the purpose.

## SECTION 11.8 Damage Recoveries.

Moneys received as payment for damage to City-owned property and equipment are hereby appropriated to the department concerned to pay the cost of repairing such equipment or property. Moneys received as payment for liquidated damages in a Cityfunded project are appropriated to the department incurring costs of repairing or abating the damages. Any excess funds, and any amount received for damaged property or equipment which is not to be repaired shall be credited to a related fund.

## SECTION 11.9 Purchasing Damage Recoveries.

That portion of funds received pursuant to the provisions of Administrative Code Section 21.33 - failure to deliver article contracted for - as may be needed to affect the required procurement are hereby appropriated for that purpose and the balance, if any, shall be credited the related fund.

## SECTION 11.10 Off-Street Parking Guarantees.

Whenever the Board of Supervisors has authorized the execution of agreements with corporations for the construction of off-street parking and other facilities under which the City and County of San Francisco guarantees the payment of the corporations' debt service or other payments for operation of the facility, it shall be incumbent upon the Controller to reserve from parking meter or other designated revenues sufficient funds to provide for such guarantees. The Controller is hereby authorized to make payments as previously guaranteed to the extent necessary and the reserves approved in each Annual Appropriation Ordinance are hereby appropriated for the purpose. The Controller shall notify the Board of Supervisors annually of any payments made pursuant to this Section.

## SECTION 11.11 Hotel Tax - Special Situations.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to make such interfund transfers or other adjustments as may be necessary to conform budget allocations to the requirements of the agreements and indentures of the 1994 Lease Revenue and/or San Francisco Redevelopment Agency Hotel Tax Revenue Bond issues.

## SECTION 11.12 Local Transportation Agency Fund.

Local transportation funds are hereby appropriated pursuant to the Government Code.

## SECTION 11.13 Insurance.

The Controller is hereby authorized to transfer to the Risk Manager any amounts indicated in the budget estimate and appropriated hereby for the purchase of insurance or the payment of insurance premiums.

## SECTION 11.14 Grants to Departments of Aging and Adult Services, Child Support Services, and Homelessness and Supportive Housing

The Department of Disability and Aging Services and the Department of Child Support Services are authorized to receive and expend available federal and state contributions and grant awards for their target populations. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to make the appropriate entries to reflect the receipt and expenditure of said grant award funds and contributions. The Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing is authorized to apply surpluses among subgrants within master HUD grants to shortfalls in other subgrants.

## SECTION 11.15 FEMA, OES, Other Reimbursements.

Whenever the City and County recovers funds from any federal or state agency as reimbursement for the cost of damages resulting from earthquakes and other disasters for which the Mayor has declared a state of emergency, such funds are hereby appropriated for the purpose. The Controller is authorized to transfer such funds to the credit of the departmental appropriation which initially incurred the cost, or, if the fiscal year in which the expenses were charged has ended, to the credit of the fund which incurred the expenses. Revenues received from other governments as reimbursement for mutual aid provided by City departments are hereby appropriated for services provided.

## SECTION 11.16 Interest on Grant Funds.

Whenever the City and County earns interest on funds received from the State of California or the federal government and said interest is specifically required to be expended for the purpose for which the funds have been received, said interest is hereby appropriated in accordance with the terms under which the principal is received and appropriated.

## SECTION 11.17 Treasurer - Banking Agreements.

Whenever the Treasurer finds that it is in the best interest of the City and County to use either a compensating balance or fee for service agreement to secure banking services that benefit all participants of the pool, any funds necessary to be paid for such agreement are to be charged against interest earnings and such funds are hereby appropriated for the purpose.

The Treasurer may offset banking charges that benefit all participants of the investment pool against interest earned by the pool. The Treasurer shall allocate other bank charges and credit card processing to departments or pool participants that benefit from those services. The Controller may transfer funds appropriated in the budget to General Fund departments as necessary to support allocated charges.

SECTION 11.18 City Buildings-Acquisition with Certificates of Participation (COPs). Receipts in and expenditures from accounts set up for the acquisition and operation of City-owned buildings including, but not limited to 25 Van Ness Avenue and 1660 Mission Street, are hereby appropriated for the purposes set forth in the various bond indentures through which said properties were acquired.

SECTION 11.19 Generally Accepted Principles of Financial Statement Presentation. The Controller is hereby authorized to make adjustments to departmental budgets as part of the year-end closing process to conform amounts to the Charter provisions and generally accepted principles of financial statement presentation, and to implement new accounting standards issued by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board and other changes in generally accepted accounting principles.

## SECTION 11.20 Fund Balance Reporting and Government Fund Type Definitions.

The Controller is authorized to establish or adjust fund type definitions for restricted, committed or assigned revenues and expenditures, in accordance with the requirements of Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement 54. These changes will be designed to enhance the usefulness of fund balance information by providing clearer fund balance classifications that can be more consistently applied and by clarifying the existing governmental fund type definitions. Reclassification of funds shall be reviewed by the City's outside auditors during their audit of the City's financial statements.

## SECTION 11.21 State Local Public Safety Fund.

Amounts received from the State Local Public Safety Fund (Sales Taxes) for deposit to the Public Safety Augmentation Fund shall be transferred to the General Fund for use in meeting eligible costs of public safety as provided by State law and said funds are appropriated for said purposes.

Said funds shall be allocated to support public safety department budgets, but not specific appropriation accounts, and shall be deemed to be expended at a rate of $75 \%$ of eligible departmental expenditures up to the full amount received. The Controller is hereby directed to establish procedures to comply with state reporting requirements.

SECTION 11.23 Affordable Housing Loan Repayments and Interest Earnings.
Loan repayments, proceeds of property sales in cases of defaulted loans, and interest earnings in special revenue funds designated for affordable housing are hereby appropriated for affordable housing program expenditures, including payments from loans made by the former San Francisco Redevelopment Agency and transferred to the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, the designated the housing successor agency. Expenditures shall be subject to the conditions under which each such fund was established.

## SECTION 11.24 Development Agreement Implementation Costs.

The Controller is hereby authorized to appropriate reimbursements of City costs incurred to implement development agreements approved by the Board of Supervisors, including but not limited to City staff time, consultant services and associated overhead costs to conduct plan review, inspection, and contract monitoring, and to draft, negotiate, and
administer such agreements. This provision does not apply to development impact fees or other payments approved in a development agreement, which shall be appropriated by the Board of Supervisors.

## SECTION 11.25 Housing Trust Fund.

The Controller is hereby authorized to adjust appropriations as necessary to implement the movement of Housing Trust Fund revenues and expenditures from the General Fund to a special revenue fund.

The Controller shall account for appropriation of $\$ 17,600,000$ for eligible affordable housing projects in fiscal year 2021-22 as an advance of future year Housing Trust Fund allocations, and shall credit such advance against required appropriations to that fund for a period of five years, beginning in fiscal year 2023-24, in an annual amount of \$3,520,000.

## SECTION 12. Special Situations.

## SECTION 12.1 Revolving Funds.

Surplus funds remaining in departmental appropriations may be transferred to fund increases in revolving funds up to the amount authorized by the Board of Supervisors if said Board, by ordinance, has authorized an increase in said revolving fund amounts.

## SECTION 12.2 Interest Allocations.

Interest shall not be allocated to any special, enterprise, or trust fund or account unless said allocation is required by Charter, state law or specific provision in the legislation that created said fund. Any interest earnings not allocated to special, enterprise or trust funds or accounts shall be credited, by the Controller, to General Fund Unallocated Revenues.

## SECTION 12.3 Property Tax.

Consistent with the State Teeter Plan requirements, the Board of Supervisors elects to continue the alternative method of distribution of tax levies and collections in accordance with Revenue and Taxation Code Section 4701. The Board of Supervisors directs the Controller to maintain the Teeter Tax Losses Reserve Fund at an amount not less than $1 \%$ of the total of all taxes and assessments levied on the secured roll for that year for participating entities in the county as provided by Revenue and Taxation Code Section 4703. The Board of Supervisors authorizes the Controller to make timely property tax distributions to the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure, the Treasure Island Development Authority, and City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure Financing Districts as approved by the Board of Supervisors through the budget, through development pass-through contracts, through tax increment allocation pledge agreements and ordinances, and as mandated by State law.

The Controller is authorized to adjust the budget to conform to assumptions in final approved property tax rates and to make debt service payments for approved general obligation bonds accordingly.

The Controller is authorized and directed to recover costs from the levy, collection and administration of property taxes. The tax rate for the City's General Obligation Bond Fund, approved annually by resolution of the Board of Supervisors, includes a collection fee of $0.25 \%$ of the fund collected for the purpose of the General Obligation Bond debt service. An amount sufficient to pay this fee is hereby appropriated within the General Obligation Bond Fund and the Controller is hereby authorized to pay this fee into the General Fund from the General Obligation Bond Fund.

## SECTION 12.4 New Project Reserves.

Where this Board has set aside a portion of the General Reserve for a new project or program approved by a supplemental appropriation, any funds not required for the approved supplemental appropriation shall be returned to the General Fund General Reserve by the Controller.

## SECTION 12.5 Aid Payments.

Aid paid from funds herein provided and refunded during the fiscal year hereof shall be credited to, and made available in, the appropriation from which said aid was provided.

SECTION 12.6 Department of Public Health Transfer Payments, Indigent Health Revenues, and Realignment Funding to Offset for Low Income Health Programs.
To more accurately reflect the total net budget of the Department of Public Health, this ordinance shows net revenues received from certain State and Federal health programs. Funds necessary to participate in such programs that require transfer payments are hereby appropriated. The Controller is authorized to defer surplus transfer payments, indigent health revenues, and Realignment funding to offset future reductions or audit adjustments associated with funding allocations for health services for low income individuals.

## SECTION 12.7 Municipal Transportation Agency.

Consistent with the provisions of Proposition E and Proposition A creating the Municipal Transportation Agency and including the Parking and Traffic function as a part of the Municipal Transportation Agency, the Controller is authorized to make such transfers and reclassification of accounts necessary to properly reflect the provision of central services to the Municipal Transportation Agency in the books and accounts of the City. No change can increase or decrease the overall level of the City's budget.

## SECTION 12.8 Treasure Island Authority.

Should the Treasure Island property be conveyed and deed transferred from the Federal Government, the Controller is hereby authorized to make budgetary adjustments necessary to ensure that there is no General Fund impact from this conveyance, and that expenditures of special assessment revenues conform to governmental accounting standards and requirements of the special assessment as adopted by voters and approved by the Board of Supervisors.

## SECTION 12.9 Hetch Hetchy Power Stabilization Fund.

Hetch Hetchy has entered into a long-term agreement to purchase a fixed amount of power. Any excess power from this contract will be sold back to the power market.

To limit Hetch Hetchy's risk from adverse market conditions in the future years of the contract, the Controller is authorized to establish a power stabilization account that reserves any excess revenues from power sales in the early years of the contract. These funds may be used to offset potential losses in the later years of the contract. The balance in this fund may be reviewed and adjusted annually.

The power purchase amount reflected in the Public Utility Commission's expenditure budget is the net amount of the cost of power purchased for Hetch Hetchy use. Power purchase appropriations may be increased by the Controller to reflect the pass through costs of power purchased for resale under long-term fixed contracts previously approved by the Board of Supervisors.

## SECTION 12.10 Closure of Special Funds, Projects, and Accounts

In accordance with Administrative Code Section 10.100-1(d), if there has been no expenditure activity for the past two fiscal years, a special fund or project can be closed and repealed. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to reconcile and balance funds, projects and accounts. The Controller is directed to create a clearing account for the purpose of balancing surpluses and deficits in such funds, projects and accounts, and funding administrative costs incurred to perform such reconciliations.

## SECTION 12.11 Charter-Mandated Baseline Appropriations.

The Controller is authorized to increase or reduce budgetary appropriations as required by the Charter for baseline allocations to align allocations to the amounts required by formula based on actual revenues received during the fiscal year. Departments must obtain Board of Supervisors' approval prior to any expenditure supported by increasing baseline allocations as required under the Charter and the Municipal Code.

## SECTION 12.12 Parking Tax Allocation.

The Controller is authorized to increase or decrease final budgetary allocation of parking tax in-lieu transfers to reflect actual collections to the Municipal Transportation Agency. The Municipal Transportation Agency must obtain Board of Supervisors' approval prior to any expenditure supported by allocations that accrue to the Agency that are greater than those already appropriated in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

## SECTION 12.13 Former Redevelopment Agency Funds.

Pursuant to Board of Supervisors Ordinance 215-12, the Successor Agency to the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency (also known as the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure, or OCII) is a separate legal entity from the City and its budget is subject to separate approval by resolution of the Board of Supervisors. The Controller is authorized to transfer funds and appropriation authority between and within accounts related to former San Francisco Redevelopment Agency (SFRA) fund balances to serve the accounting requirements of the OCII, the Port, the Mayor's Office of Housing and the City Administrator's office and to comply with State requirements and applicable bond covenants.

The Purchaser is authorized to allow the OCII and departments to follow applicable contracting and purchasing procedures of the former SFRA and waive inconsistent provisions of the San Francisco Administrative Code when managing contracts and purchasing transactions related to programs formerly administered by the SFRA.

If during the course of the budget period, the OCII requests departments to provide additional services beyond budgeted amounts and the Controller determines that the Successor Agency has sufficient additional funds available to reimburse departments for such additional services, the departmental expenditure authority to provide such services is hereby appropriated.

When 100\% of property tax increment revenues for a redevelopment project area are pledged based on an agreement that constitutes an enforceable obligation, the Controller will increase or decrease appropriations to match actual revenues realized for the project area.

The Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development is authorized to act as the fiscal agent for the Public Initiatives Development Corporation (PIDC) and receive and disburse PIDC funds as authorized by the PIDC bylaws and the PIDC Board of Directors.

## SECTION 12.14 CleanPowerSF.

CleanPowerSF customer payments and all other associated revenues deposited in the CleanPowerSF special revenue fund are hereby appropriated in the amounts actually received by the City and County in each fiscal year. The Controller is authorized to disburse the revenues appropriated by this section as well as those appropriated yet unspent from prior fiscal years to pay power purchase obligations and other operating costs as provided in the program plans and annual budgets, as approved by the Board of Supervisors for the purposes authorized therein.

SECTION 12.15 Unclaimed Funds Escheatment Noticing \& Accounting Procedures Pursuant to Government Code Sections 50055 and 50057, the Board of Supervisors authorizes the Treasurer to transfer to the General Fund without publication of a notice in a newspaper the following amounts that remain unclaimed in the treasury of the City and County of San Francisco or in the official custody of an officer of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least one year: (1) any individual items of less than \$15; and (2) any individual items of $\$ 5,000$ or less if the depositor's name is unknown. The Treasurer shall notify the Controller of transfers performed using this authorization.

## SECTION 14. Departments.

The term department as used in this ordinance shall mean department, bureau, office, utility, agency, board or commission, as the case may be. The term department head as used herein shall be the chief executive duly appointed and acting as provided in the Charter. When one or more departments are reorganized or consolidated, the former entities may be displayed as separate units, if, in the opinion of the Controller, this will facilitate accounting or reporting.
(a) The Public Utilities Commission shall be considered one entity for budget purposes and for disbursement of funds within each of the enterprises. The entity shall retain its enterprises, including Water, Hetch Hetchy, Wastewater, and the Public Utilities Commission, as separate utility fund enterprises under the jurisdiction of the Public Utilities Commission and with the authority provided by the Charter. This section shall not be construed as a merger or completion of the Hetch Hetchy Project, which shall not be deemed completed until a specific finding of completion has been made by the Public Utilities Commission. The consolidated agency will be recognized for purposes of determining employee seniority, position transfers, budgetary authority and transfers or reappropriation of funds.
(b) There shall be a General Services Agency, headed by the City Administrator, including the Department of Public Works, the Department of Telecommunication and Information Services, and the Department of Administrative Services. The City Administrator shall be considered one entity for budget purposes and for disbursement of funds.
(c) There shall be a Human Services Agency, which shall be considered one entity for budget purposes and for disbursement of funds. Within the Human Services Agency shall be two departments: (1) the Department of Human Services, under the Human Services Commission, and (2) the Department of Disability and Aging Services ("DAAS"), under the Disability and Aging Services Commission, includes Adult Protective Services, the Public Administrator/Public Guardian, the Mental Health Conservator, the Department of Disability and Aging Services, the County Veterans' Service Officer, and the In-Home Supportive Services Program. This budgetary structure does not affect the legal status or structure of the two departments. The Human Resources Director and the Controller are authorized to transfer employees, positions, and funding in order to effectuate the transfer of the program from one department to the other. The consolidated agency will be recognized for purposes of determining employee seniority, position transfers, budgetary authority and transfers or reappropriation of funds.

The departments within the Human Services Agency shall coordinate with each other and with the Disability and Aging Services Commission to improve delivery of services, increase administrative efficiencies and eliminate duplication of efforts. To this end, they may share staff and facilities. This coordination is not intended to diminish the authority of the Disability and Aging Services Commission over matters under the jurisdiction of the Commission.

The Director of the Aging and Adult Services Commission also may serve as the department head for DAAS, and/or as a deputy director for the Department of Human Services, but shall receive no additional compensation by virtue of an additional appointment. If an additional appointment is made, it shall not diminish the authority of the Aging and Adult Services Commission over matters under the jurisdiction of the Commission.

## SECTION 15. Travel Reimbursement and Cell Phone Stipends.

The Controller shall establish rules for the payment of all amounts payable for travel for officers and employees, and for the presentation of such vouchers as the Controller shall deem proper in connection with expenditures made pursuant to said Section. No allowance shall be made for traveling expenses provided for in this ordinance unless funds have been appropriated or set aside for such expenses in accordance with the provisions of the Charter.

The Controller may advance the sums necessary for traveling expenses, but proper account and return must be made of said sums so advanced by the person receiving the same within ten days after said person returns to duty in the City and County of San Francisco, and failure on the part of the person involved to make such accounting shall be sufficient cause for the Controller to withhold from such persons pay check or checks in a sum equivalent to the amount to be accounted.

In consultation with the Human Resources Director, the Controller shall establish rules and parameters for the payment of monthly stipends to officers and employees who use their own cells phones to maintain continuous communication with their workplace, and who participate in a Citywide program that reduces costs of City-owned cell phones.

## SECTION 16. Contributed Revenue Reserve and Audit and Adjustment Reserve.

The Controller is hereby authorized to establish a Contributed Revenue and Adjustment Reserve to accumulate receipts in excess of those estimated revenues or unexpended appropriations stated herein. Said reserve is established for the purpose of funding the budget of the subsequent year, and the receipts in this reserve are hereby appropriated for said purpose. The Controller is authorized to maintain an Audit and Adjustment Reserve to offset audit adjustments, and to balance expenditure accounts to conform to year-end balancing and year-end close requirements.

## SECTION 17. Airport Service Payment.

The moneys received from the Airport's revenue fund as the Annual Service Payment provided in the Airline-Airport Lease and Use Agreement are in satisfaction of all obligations of the Airport Commission for indirect services provided by the City and County of San Francisco to the Commission and San Francisco International Airport and constitute the total transfer to the City's General Fund.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to transfer to the City's General Fund from the Airport revenue fund with the approval of the Airport Commission funds that constitute the annual service payment provided in the Airline - Airport Lease and Use Agreement in addition to the amount stated in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

On the last business day of the fiscal year, unless otherwise directed by the Airport Commission, the Controller is hereby authorized and directed to transfer all moneys remaining in the Airport's Contingency Account to the Airport's Revenue Fund. The Controller is further authorized and directed to return such amounts as were transferred from the Contingency Account, back to the Contingency Account from the Revenue Fund

Unappropriated Surplus on the first business day of the succeeding fiscal year, unless otherwise directed by the Airport Commission.

## SECTION 18. Pooled Cash, Investments.

The Treasurer and Controller are hereby authorized to transfer available fund balances within pooled cash accounts to meet the cash management of the City, provided that special and non-subsidized enterprise funds shall be credited interest earnings on any funds temporarily borrowed therefrom at the rate of interest earned on the City Pooled Cash Fund. No such cash transfers shall be allowed where the investment of said funds in investments such as the pooled funds of the City and County is restricted by law.

## SECTION 19. Matching Funds for Federal or State Programs.

Funds contributed to meet operating deficits and/or to provide matching funds for federal or State aid (e.g. Medicaid under SB 855 or similar legislation for Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital) are specifically deemed to be made exclusively from local property and business tax sources.

## SECTION 20. Advance Funding of Bond Projects - City Departments.

Whenever the City and County has authorized appropriations for the advance funding of projects which may at a future time be funded from the proceeds of general obligation, revenue, or lease revenue bond issues or other legal obligations of the City and County, the Controller shall recover from bond proceeds or other available sources, when they become available, the amount of any interest earnings foregone by the General Fund as a result of such cash advance to disbursements made pursuant to said appropriations. The Controller shall use the monthly rate of return earned by the Treasurer on City Pooled Cash Fund during the period or periods covered by the advance as the basis for computing the amount of interest foregone which is to be credited to the General Fund.

## SECTION 21. Advance Funding of Projects - Transportation Authority.

Whenever the San Francisco County Transportation Authority requests advance funding of the costs of administration or the costs of projects specified in the City and County of San Francisco Transportation Expenditure Plan which will be funded from proceeds of the transactions and use tax as set forth in Article 14 of the Business and Tax Regulations Code of the City and County of San Francisco, the Controller is hereby authorized to make such advance. The Controller shall recover from the proceeds of the transactions and use tax when they become available, the amount of the advance and any interest earnings foregone by the City and County General Fund as a result of such cash advance funding. The Controller shall use the monthly rate of return earned by the Treasurer on General City Pooled Cash funds during the period or periods covered by the advance as the basis for computing the amount of interest foregone which is to be credited to the General Fund.

## SECTION 22. Controller to Make Adjustments, Correct Clerical Errors.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to adjust interdepartmental appropriations, make transfers to correct objects of expenditures classifications and to correct clerical or computational errors as may be ascertained by the Controller to exist in
this ordinance. The Controller shall file with the Clerk of the Board a list of such adjustments, transfers and corrections made pursuant to this Section.

The Controller is hereby authorized to make the necessary transfers to correct objects of expenditure classifications, and corrections in classifications made necessary by changes in the proposed method of expenditure.

SECTION 22.1 Controller to Implement New Financial and Interfacing Subsystems. In order to further the implementation and adoption of the Financial and Procurement System's modules, the Controller shall have the authority to reclassify departments' appropriations to conform to the accounting and project costing structures established in the new system, as well as reclassify contract authority utilized (expended) balances and unutilized (available) balances to reflect actual spending.

## SECTION 23. Transfer of State Revenues.

The Controller is authorized to transfer revenues among City departments to comply with provisions in the State budget.

## SECTION 24. Use of Permit Revenues from the Department of Building Inspection.

Permit revenue funds from the Department of Building Inspection that are transferred to other departments as shown in this budget shall be used only to fund the planning, regulatory, enforcement and building design activities that have a demonstrated nexus with the projects that produce the fee revenues.

## SECTION 25. Board of Supervisors Official Advertising Charges.

The Board of Supervisors is authorized to collect funds from enterprise departments to place official advertising. The funds collected are automatically appropriated in the budget of the Board of Supervisors as they are received.

## SECTION 26. Work Order Appropriations.

The Board of Supervisors directs the Controller to establish work orders pursuant to Board-approved appropriations, including positions needed to perform work order services, and corresponding recoveries for services that are fully cost covered, including but not limited to services provided by one City department to another City department, as well as services provided by City departments to external agencies, including but not limited to the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure, the Treasure Island Development Authority, the School District, and the Community College. Revenues for services from external agencies shall be appropriated by the Controller in accordance with the terms and conditions established to perform the service.

It is the policy of the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to allocate costs associated with the replacement of the City's financial and purchasing system to all City Departments proportional to the departments' costs and financial requirements. In order to minimize new General Fund appropriations to complete the project, the Controller is authorized and directed to work with departments to identify efficiencies and savings in their financial and administrative operations to be applied to offset their share of the costs of this project, and is authorized to apply said savings to the project.

## SECTION 26.1 Property Tax System

In order to minimize new appropriations to the property tax system replacement project, the Controller is authorized and directed to apply operational savings from the offices of the Tax Collector, Assessor, and Controller to the project. No later than June 1, 2018 the Controller shall report to the Budget and Legislative Analyst's Office and Budget and Finance Committee on the specific amount of operational savings, including details on the source of such savings, in the budgets of Tax Collector, Assessor, and Controller that are re-allocated to the Property Tax System Replacement Project

## SECTION 27. Revenue Reserves and Deferrals.

The Controller is authorized to establish fee reserve allocations for a given program to the extent that the cost of service exceeds the revenue received in a given fiscal year, including establishment of deferred revenue or reserve accounts. In order to maintain balance between budgeted revenues and expenditures, revenues realized in the fiscal year preceding the year in which they are appropriated shall be considered reserved for the purposes for which they are appropriated.

## SECTION 28. Close-Out of Reserved Appropriations.

On an annual basis, the Controller shall report the status of all reserves, their remaining balances, and departments' explanations of why funding has not been requested for release. Continuation of reserves will be subject to consideration and action by the Budget and Finance Committee or Budget and Appropriations Committee. The Controller shall close out reserved appropriations that are no longer required by the department for the purposes for which they were appropriated.

## SECTION 28.1. Reserves Placed on Expenditures by Controller.

Consistent with Charter Section 3.105(d), the Controller is authorized to reserve expenditures in the City's budget equal to uncertain revenues, as deemed appropriate by the Controller. The Controller is authorized to remove, transfer, and update reserves to expenditures in the budget as revenue estimates are updated and received in order to maintain City operations.

SECTION 29. Appropriation Control of Capital Improvement Projects and Equipment. Unless otherwise exempted in another section of the Administrative Code or Annual Appropriation Ordinance, and in accordance with Administrative Code Section 3.18, departments may transfer funds from one Board-approved capital project to another Board-approved capital project. The Controller shall approve transfers only if they do not materially change the size or scope of the original project. Annually, the Controller shall report to the Board of Supervisors on transfers of funds that exceed $10 \%$ of the original appropriation to which the transfer is made.

The Controller is authorized to approve substitutions within equipment items purchased to equip capital facilities providing that the total cost is within the Board-approved capital project appropriation.

The Controller is authorized to transfer approved appropriations between departments to correctly account for capitalization of fixed assets.

## SECTION 30. Business Improvement Districts.

Proceeds from all special assessments levied on real property included in the propertybased business improvement districts in the City and County of San Francisco are hereby appropriated in the respective amounts actually received by the City and County in such fiscal year for each such district.

The Controller is authorized to disburse the assessment revenues appropriated by this section to the respective Owners' Associations (as defined in Section 36614.5 of the Streets and Highways Code) for such districts as provided in the management district plans, resolutions establishing the districts, annual budgets and management agreements, as approved by the Board of Supervisors for each such district, for the purposes authorized therein. The Tourism Improvement District and Moscone Expansion Business Improvement District assessments are levied on gross hotel room revenue and are collected and distributed by the Tax Collector's Office.

## SECTION 31. Infrastructure Financing and Infrastructure Revitalization Financing Districts.

Pursuant to California Government Code Section 53395 et seq. (IFD Law), the Board of Supervisors has formed Infrastructure Financing (IFD) and Infrastructure Revitalization Financing (IRFD) Districts within the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes the Controller to transfer funds and appropriation authority between and within accounts related to City and County of San Francisco IFDs and IRFDs to serve accounting and State requirements, the latest approved Infrastructure Financing Plan for a District, and applicable bond covenants.

When $100 \%$ of the portion of property tax increment normally appropriated to the City and County of San Francisco's General Fund or Special Revenue Fund or to the County's Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF) is instead pledged, based on Board of Supervisors Ordinance, the Controller may increase or decrease appropriations to match actual revenues realized for the IFD or IRFD. Any increases to appropriations would be consistent with the Financing Plan previously approved by the Board of Supervisors.

| IFD/IRFD No / Title | Ordinance | Estimated Tax Increment |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FY 2021-22 |  | FY 2022-23 |  |
| IFD 2 Port Infrastructure Financing District Subproject Area Pier 70 G-1 Historic Core | 27-16 | \$ | 749,000 | \$ | 762,000 |
| IFD 2 Port Infrastructure Financing District |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subproject Areas Pier 70 G-2, G-3, and G-4 | 220-18 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| IFD 2 Port Infrastructure Financing District |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subproject Area I (Mission Rock) | 34-18 | \$ | 360,000 | \$ | 368,000 |
| IRFD 1 Treasure Island Infrastructure and |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revitalization Financing District | 21-17 | \$ | 4,475,000 | \$ | 6,552,000 |
| IRFD 2 Hoedown Yard Infrastructure and |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revitalization Financing District | 348-18 | \$ | - | \$ | - |

## SECTION 32. Federal and State Emergency Grant Disallowance Reserve.

One hundred million dollars $(\$ 100,000,000)$ of unassigned fund balance from fiscal year 2020-21 is hereby assigned to a budget contingency reserve for the purpose of managing revenue shortfalls related to reimbursement disallowances from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and other state and federal agencies. This reserve is comprised of a portion of the remaining balance of the COVID-19 Response and Economic Loss Contingency Reserve. This assignment shall not be included in the calculations of deposits to the Budget Stabilization Reserve described in Administrative Code Section 10.60 (c).

## SECTION 32.1 Fiscal Cliff Reserve.

Two hundred ninety-three million and nine hundred thousand dollars ( $\$ 293,900,000$ ) of unassigned fund balance from fiscal year 2020-21 is hereby assigned to a budget contingency reserve for the purpose of managing projected budget shortfalls following the spend down of federal and state stimulus funds and other one-time sources used to balance the fiscal year 2021-22 and fiscal year 2022-23 budget. This reserve is comprised of the balance of the COVID-19 Response and Economic Loss Contingency Reserve (COVID Reserve) remaining after funding the Federal and State Emergency Grant Disallowance Reserve in Section 32 and accounting for the use of the COVID Reserve in the fiscal year 2021-22 and fiscal year 2022-23 budget. This assignment shall not be included in the calculations of deposits to the Budget Stabilization Reserve described in Administrative Code Section 10.60 (c).

## SECTION 32.2. Federal and State Emergency Revenue Revisions.

The Controller is authorized to revise approved revenue budgets for federal and state emergency-related revenues to manage timing differences and cash flow needs driven by changing granting agencies' guidance and approvals, provided that such adjustments shall not change cumulative total revenue budgets in a given fund for the period from fiscal years 2020-21 through 2022-23. Such revisions shall not change approved expenditure authority. The Controller shall report any such revisions to the Mayor and Board within 30 days of their enactment.

## SECTION 33. Federal, State and Local Match Sources \& Uses Accounting for COVID-19 Emergency.

The Controller is authorized to adjust federal and state sources appropriations to reflect eligible costs by authorized spending category, to ensure cost reimbursement recovery revenues are maximized, and to align eligible costs to the appropriate federal or state fund, provided there is no net increase or decrease to COVID-19 emergency response revenues or expenditures. Adjustments may be made across fiscal years, and any balances available on June 30, 2021 are assigned for COVID-19 emergency response uses in fiscal year 2021-22.

## SECTION 33.1 Emergency Transfers of Funds.

The Controller shall each month report any transfer of appropriated or unappropriated funds adopted pursuant to the Mayor's COVID-19 Emergency Order.

## SECTION 34. Transbay Joint Powers Authority Financing.

Sources received for purposes of payment of debt service for the approved and issued Transbay Community Facilities District special tax bonds and the approved and drawn City bridge loan to the Transbay Joint Powers Authority are hereby appropriated.

## STATEMENT OF BOND REDEMPTION

 AND INTERESTFY 2021-2022


GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
SAN FRANCISCO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT
SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT
SUB-TOTAL GENERAL OBLIGATIONS -
FROM AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES OTHER DEBTS - GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES ADD FISCAL CHARGES SUB-TOTAL OTHER DEBTS - GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES

PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISE-REV BONDS, CERTICATES OF PARTICIPATION AND LOAN

TOTAL DEBT PAYMENTS
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Statement of Bond Redemption and Interest
General Obligation
Fiscal Years 2021-2022 and

| FY 2021-2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  | FY 2022-2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal | Interest |  | Adm Expense |  | Total |  | Principal |  | Interest |  | Adm Expense |  | Total |  |
| \$ 1,658,401 | \$ | 752,353 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,410,754 | \$ | 1,740,251 | \$ | 670,503 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,410,754 |
| 940,000 |  | 2,807,120 |  | - |  | 3,747,120 |  | 965,000 |  | 2,782,463 |  | - |  | 3,747,463 |
| 1,655,000 |  | 2,481,494 |  | - |  | 4,136,494 |  | 1,660,000 |  | 2,476,215 |  | - |  | 4,136,215 |
| 2,905,000 |  | 1,698,353 |  | - |  | 4,603,353 |  | 2,995,000 |  | 1,554,555 |  | - |  | 4,549,555 |
| 375,000 |  | 202,000 |  | - |  | 577,000 |  | 390,000 |  | 183,250 |  | - |  | 573,250 |
| 1,210,000 |  | 655,650 |  | - |  | 1,865,650 |  | 1,270,000 |  | 595,150 |  | - |  | 1,865,150 |
| 1,885,000 |  | 1,487,213 |  | - |  | 3,372,213 |  | 1,980,000 |  | 1,392,963 |  | - |  | 3,372,963 |
| 14,160,000 |  | 8,281,557 |  | - |  | 22,441,557 |  | 14,615,000 |  | 7,580,637 |  | - |  | 22,195,637 |
| 9,320,000 |  | 5,732,500 |  | - |  | 15,052,500 |  | 9,790,000 |  | 5,266,500 |  | - |  | 15,056,500 |
| 8,905,000 |  | 5,801,863 |  | - |  | 14,706,863 |  | 9,350,000 |  | 5,356,613 |  | - |  | 14,706,613 |
| 1,790,000 |  | 1,100,950 |  | - |  | 2,890,950 |  | 1,880,000 |  | 1,011,450 |  | - |  | 2,891,450 |
| 2,115,000 |  | 1,483,313 |  | - |  | 3,598,313 |  | 2,220,000 |  | 1,377,563 |  | - |  | 3,597,563 |
| 1,085,000 |  | 640,575 |  | - |  | 1,725,575 |  | 1,140,000 |  | 586,325 |  | - |  | 1,726,325 |
| 3,875,000 |  | 2,716,813 |  | - |  | 6,591,813 |  | 4,070,000 |  | 2,523,063 |  | - |  | 6,593,063 |
| 3,660,000 |  | 2,161,013 |  | - |  | 5,821,013 |  | 3,840,000 |  | 1,978,013 |  | - |  | 5,818,013 |
| 5,355,000 |  | 4,796,900 |  | - |  | 10,151,900 |  | 5,620,000 |  | 4,529,150 |  | - |  | 10,149,150 |
| 3,290,000 |  | 2,930,900 |  | - |  | 6,220,900 |  | 1,590,000 |  | 2,766,400 |  | - |  | 4,356,400 |
| 10,000,000 |  | 5,007,780 |  | - |  | 15,007,780 |  | 2,290,000 |  | 4,640,700 |  | - |  | 6,930,700 |
| 1,900,000 |  | 1,121,400 |  | - |  | 3,021,400 |  | 1,995,000 |  | 1,026,400 |  | - |  | 3,021,400 |
| 2,080,000 |  | 1,372,131 |  | - |  | 3,452,131 |  | 2,185,000 |  | 1,268,131 |  | - |  | 3,453,131 |
| 4,290,000 |  | 3,382,338 |  | - |  | 7,672,338 |  | 4,505,000 |  | 3,167,838 |  | - |  | 7,672,838 |
| 7,030,000 |  | 2,265,300 |  | - |  | 9,295,300 |  | 5,785,000 |  | 2,124,700 |  | - |  | 7,909,700 |
| 15,000,000 |  | 7,039,673 |  | - |  | 22,039,673 |  | 3,195,000 |  | 6,467,100 |  | - |  | 9,662,100 |
| 2,450,000 |  | 1,186,180 |  | - |  | 3,636,180 |  | 2,500,000 |  | 1,137,180 |  | - |  | 3,637,180 |
| 4,110,000 |  | 3,566,943 |  | - |  | 7,676,943 |  | 4,220,000 |  | 3,423,093 |  | - |  | 7,643,093 |
| 1,130,000 |  | 535,555 |  | - |  | 1,665,555 |  | 1,145,000 |  | 518,040 |  | - |  | 1,663,040 |
| 5,980,000 |  | 3,784,415 |  | - |  | 9,764,415 |  | 5,985,000 |  | 3,775,864 |  | - |  | 9,760,864 |
| 5,240,000 |  | 4,283,050 |  | - |  | 9,523,050 |  | 5,500,000 |  | 4,021,050 |  | - |  | 9,521,050 |
| 1,380,000 |  | 1,238,688 |  | - |  | 2,618,688 |  | 1,490,000 |  | 1,169,688 |  | - |  | 2,659,688 |
| 2,095,000 |  | 3,498,850 |  | - |  | 5,593,850 |  | 2,180,000 |  | 3,394,100 |  | - |  | 5,574,100 |
| 10,000,000 |  | 4,586,667 |  | - |  | 14,586,667 |  | 2,075,000 |  | 4,200,000 |  | - |  | 6,275,000 |
| 36,000,000 |  | 24,155,107 |  | - |  | 60,155,107 |  | 11,415,000 |  | 23,118,600 |  | - |  | 34,533,600 |
| 21,365,000 |  | 4,502,150 |  | - |  | 25,867,150 |  | 20,485,000 |  | 3,433,900 |  | - |  | 23,918,900 |
| 21,760,000 |  | 9,799,750 |  | - |  | 31,559,750 |  | 22,850,000 |  | 8,711,750 |  | - |  | 31,561,750 |
| 13,175,000 |  | 8,837,450 |  | 500 |  | 22,012,950 |  | 13,830,000 |  | 8,178,700 |  | 500 |  | 22,009,200 |
| 7,195,000 |  | 4,964,558 |  | - |  | 12,159,558 |  | 8,045,000 |  | 4,119,550 |  | - |  | 12,164,550 |


| \$236,363,401 | \$140,858,552 | \$ | 500 | \$ | 377,222,453 | \$186,790,251 | \$ 130,527,197 | \$ | 500 | \$317,317,948 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | $(1,865,104)$ |  | - |  | $(1,865,104)$ | - | - |  | - | - |
| - | $(2,265,300)$ |  | - |  | $(2,265,300)$ | - | $(879,527)$ |  | - | $(879,527)$ |
| - | $(2,946,467)$ |  | - |  | $(2,946,467)$ | - | $(2,946,467)$ |  | - | $(2,946,467)$ |
| - | $(604,286)$ |  | - |  | $(604,286)$ | - | $(604,286)$ |  | - | $(604,286)$ |
| $(12,270,000)$ | $(6,136,400)$ |  | - |  | $(18,406,400)$ | $(12,885,000)$ | $(3,101,148)$ |  | - | $(15,986,148)$ |
| - | - |  | - |  | - | - | $(2,421,752)$ |  | - | $(2,421,752)$ |
| - | $(236,208)$ |  | - |  | $(236,208)$ | - | - |  | - | - |
| \$ 224,093,401 | \$ 126,804,787 | \$ | 500 | \$ | 350,898,688 | \$ 173,905,251 | \$ 120,574,017 | \$ | 500 | \$294,479,768 | | GENERAL CITY |
| :--- |
| 1992 UMB Program - Seismic Safety, Series 2007A - Drawdown 1-7 |
| 2016 Preservation and Seismic Safety, Series 2019A |
| 2016 Preservation and Seismic Safety, Series 2020C |
| 2008 Clean and Safe Neighborhood Parks, BAB Series 2010D ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| 2008 Clean and Safe Neighborhood Parks, Series 2016A |
| 2012 Clean and Safe Neighborhood Parks, Series 2016B |
| 2012 Clean and Safe Neighborhood Parks, Series 2018A |
| 2008 San Francisco General Hospital Improvement, BAB Series 2010C ${ }^{\text {(1) }}$ |
| 2008 San Francisco General Hospital Improvement, Series 2012D |
| 2008 San Francisco General Hospital Improvement, Series 2014A |
| 2010 Earthquake Safety \& Emergency Response Series 2012E |
| 2010 Earthquake Safety \& Emergency Response Series 2014C |
| 2010 Earthquake Safety \& Emergency Response Series 2016C |
| 2014 Earthquake Safety \& Emergency Response Series 2014D |
| 2014 Earthquake Safety \& Emergency Response Series 2016D |
| 2014 Earthquake Safety \& Emergency Response Series 2018C |
| 2020 Earthquake Safety \& Emergency Response Series 2021-B1 |
| 2020 Earthquake Safety \& Emergency Response, Series 2021E -\$87.3M (estimated) |
| 2011 Road Repaving and Street Safety, Series 2016E |
| 2014 Transportation \& Road Improvements Series 2015B |
| 2014 Transportation \& Road Improvements Series 2018B |
| 2014 Transportation \& Road Improvements Series 2020B |
| 2014 Transportation \& Road Improvements Series 2021C-\$122.8M (estimated) |
| 2015 Affordable Housing Series 2016F |
| 2015 Affordable Housing Series 2018D |
| 2015 Affordable Housing, Series 2019C |
| 2019 Affordable Housing, Series 2021A |
| 2016 Public Health and Safety, Series 2017A |
| 2016 Public Health and Safety, Series 2018E |
| 2016 Public Health and Safety, Series 2020D-1 |
| 2018 Embarcadero Seawall Earthquake Safety, Series 2022A- \$80M (estimated) |
| 2020 Health and Recovery, Series 2021D- \$421.3M (estimated) |
| General Obligation Bond Refunding, Series 2011 R1 ${ }^{(3)}$ |
| General Obligation Bond Refunding, Series 2015 R1 ${ }^{(3)}$ |
| General Obligation Bond Refunding, Series 2020 R1 |
| General Obligation Bond Refunding, Series 2021 R1 | TOTAL BEFORE OFFSET FOR FEDERAL SUBSIDY, NET BID PREMIUM,

TOBACCO SETTTLEMENT REVENUE \& SB 1128 SINKING FUND

## FEDERAL SUBSIDY, NET BID PREMIUM, TSR \& SB 1128 REIMBURSEMENT

2020 Earthquake Safety and Emergency Response Series 2021B-1
2008 San Francisco General Hospital Improvement, BAB Series 2010C ${ }^{(1)}$
2008 San Francisco General Hospital Improvement, BAB Series 2010C
2008 Clean and Safe Neighborhood Parks, BAB Series 2010D ${ }^{(1)}$
SB 1128 Reimbursement
GENERAL CITY, NET OF FEDERAL SUBSIDY, BID PREMIUM, TSR \& SB
1128 SINKING FUND

| FY 2021-2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  | FY 2022-2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal |  | Interest | Adm Expense |  | Total |  | Principal |  | Interest |  | Adm Expense |  | Total |  |
| \$ 17,325,000 | \$ | 7,496,500 | \$ | - | \$ | 24,821,500 | \$ | 18,810,000 | \$ | 6,630,250 | \$ | - | \$ | 25,440,250 |
| 1,235,000 |  | 1,048,950 |  | - |  | 2,283,950 |  | 1,295,000 |  | 987,200 |  | - |  | 2,282,200 |
| 3,340,000 |  | 4,100,450 |  | - |  | 7,440,450 |  | 19,080,000 |  | 3,933,450 |  | - |  | 23,013,450 |
| 16,045,000 |  | 5,114,427 |  | - |  | 21,159,427 |  | - |  | 4,633,077 |  | - |  | 4,633,077 |
| \$ 37,945,000 | \$ | 17,760,327 | \$ | - | \$ | 55,705,327 | \$ | 39,185,000 | \$ | 16,183,977 | \$ | - | \$ | 55,368,977 |


 \$ 36,886,041




$\qquad$ $\infty$ | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | ---: |
|  | - |
|  | 640,000 |
| $9,330,000$ |  |
| $9,010,000$ |  |
| $8,850,000$ |  |
| $5,815,000$ |  |
| $10,590,000$ |  |
| $16,050,000$ |  |
| $23,740,000$ |  | | - |
| :---: |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
|  |
|  |
|  | \$ 84,025,000

## 解

$$
\begin{aligned}
&
\end{aligned}
$$

$\begin{array}{r}(662,138) \\ (1,369,846) \\ \hline\end{array}$ $(662,138)$

$(1,369,846)$ $\begin{array}{r}(1,369,846) \\ \hline\end{array}$ | - |
| :---: |
| - |







 | LOE' $\downarrow$ O' $^{\prime} 60 Z \$$ |
| :--- |
| $68 Z^{\prime} 666^{\prime} \angle \varepsilon \$$ |




## OUTSIDE CITY BUDGET

SAN FRANCISCO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT (SFCCD), PROP 39
2015 Community College District Refunding Bonds 2020 Community College District Refunding Bonds
TOTAL BEFORE OFFSET FOR NET BID PREMIUM

## NET BID PREMIUM

2020 Community College District, 2020 Series A
SF COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT, NET OF BID PREMIUM

TOTAL BEFORE OFFSET FOR FEDERAL SUBSIDY FEDERAL SUBSIDY
2006 Unified School District, 2010 Series C (QSCBs) ${ }^{(1)}$
SF UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, NET OF FEDERAL SUBSIDY
BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT (BART)
2004 BART Earthquake Safety Bonds 2013 Series C
2004 BART Gen Obligation Bonds Refunding 2015 Series D
2004 BART Gen Obligation Bonds Refunding 2017 Series E
2004 BART Gen Obligation Bonds 2019 Series F-1
2004 BART Gen Obligation Bonds Refunding 2019 Series G
2016 BART General Obligation Bonds 2017 Series A
2016 BART General Obligation Bonds 2019 Series B-1
2016 BART General Obligation Bonds 2020 Series C TOTAL BART

(2) Debt service will be offset by available tobacco settlement revenues and SB 1128 sinking fund. The offset assumes that SB 1128 reimbursement will be available to cover GOBs debt service with respect to Laguna Honda Hospital Series 2008-R3 and Laguna Honda Hospital Series 2005A \& 2005I. Series 2005A \& 2005I were refunded by GOB Refunding Series 2011-R1 and Series 2008-R3 by GOB Refunding Series 2015-R1
(3) For AAO purpose, the totals in the summary are gross of net bid premium, federal subsidies, tobacco settlement revenue and SB 1128 reimbursements.
Fiscal Years 2021-2022 and 2022-2023

| FY 2022-2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal | Interest |  | Total Debt Service |  | Other Fiscal Charges |  | Total ${ }^{(4)}$ |  |
| \$ 2,500,000 | \$ | 289,500 | \$ | 2,789,500 | \$ | 576,608 | \$ | 3,366,108 |
| 1,650,000 |  | 1,193,125 |  | 2,843,125 |  | 258,748 |  | 3,101,873 |
| 1,620,000 |  | 772,663 |  | 2,392,663 |  | 84,992 |  | 2,477,655 |
| 2,945,000 |  | 4,834,050 |  | 7,779,050 |  | 471,526 |  | 8,250,576 |
| 3,605,000 |  | 4,416,625 |  | 8,021,625 |  | 169,277 |  | 8,190,902 |
| 950,000 |  | 346,230 |  | 1,296,230 |  | 10,500 |  | 1,306,730 |
| 640,000 |  | 953,643 |  | 1,593,643 |  | 65,513 |  | 1,659,156 |
| 11,920,000 |  | 15,275,713 |  | 27,195,713 |  | 700,572 |  | 27,896,285 |
| $(11,920,000)$ |  | (5,490,795) |  | $(17,410,795)$ |  | - |  | (17,410,795) |
| - |  | 9,784,918 |  | 9,784,918 |  | 700,572 |  | 10,485,490 |
| 1,370,000 |  | 803,663 |  | 2,173,663 |  | 197,749 |  | 2,371,412 |
| 4,620,000 |  | 2,603,200 |  | 7,223,200 |  | 235,652 |  | 7,458,852 |
| 2,940,000 |  | 9,908,950 |  | 12,848,950 |  | 203,180 |  | 13,052,130 |
| 1,580,000 |  | 1,910,100 |  | 3,490,100 |  | 124,009 |  | 3,614,109 |
| 2,580,000 |  | 3,287,150 |  | 5,867,150 |  | 400,582 |  | 6,267,732 |
| 2,055,000 |  | 3,626,050 |  | 5,681,050 |  | 200,000 |  | 5,881,050 |
| - |  | 7,800,000 |  | 7,800,000 |  | 200,000 |  | 8,000,000 |
| 1,325,000 |  | 3,350,700 |  | 4,675,700 |  | - |  | 4,675,700 |
| 275,000 |  | 698,050 |  | 973,050 |  | - |  | 973,050 |
| - |  | 1,463,150 |  | 1,463,150 |  | - |  | 1,463,150 |


$\bar{y}$
$\underset{\sim}{0}$
$\stackrel{0}{2}$
$\dot{\omega}$
$\infty$
$\infty$

$\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$



| FY 2021-2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal | Interest |  | Total Debt Service |  | Other Fiscal Charges |  | Total ${ }^{(4)}$ |  |
| \$ 2,380,000 | \$ | 411,500 | \$ | 2,791,500 | \$ | 502,703 | \$ | 3,294,203 |
| 1,590,000 |  | 1,256,725 |  | 2,846,725 |  | 226,303 |  | 3,073,028 |
| 1,545,000 |  | 849,913 |  | 2,394,913 |  | 75,145 |  | 2,470,058 |
| 2,835,000 |  | 4,947,450 |  | 7,782,450 |  | 411,458 |  | 8,193,908 |
| 3,435,000 |  | 4,592,625 |  | 8,027,625 |  | 148,567 |  | 8,176,192 |
| 930,000 |  | 368,401 |  | 1,298,401 |  | 10,500 |  | 1,308,901 |
| 615,000 |  | 975,168 |  | 1,590,168 |  | 58,337 |  | 1,648,505 |
| 11,355,000 |  | 15,843,463 |  | 27,198,463 |  | 628,814 |  | 27,827,277 |
| $(7,208,019)$ |  | - |  | $(7,208,019)$ |  | - |  | $(7,208,019)$ |
| 4,146,981 |  | 15,843,463 |  | 19,990,444 |  | 628,814 |  | 20,619,258 |
| 1,300,000 |  | 868,663 |  | 2,168,663 |  | 173,031 |  | 2,341,694 |
| 3,235,000 |  | 3,983,760 |  | 7,218,760 |  | 206,349 |  | 7,425,109 |
| 2,215,000 |  | 10,019,700 |  | 12,234,700 |  | 178,015 |  | 12,412,715 |
| 1,505,000 |  | 1,985,350 |  | 3,490,350 |  | 109,171 |  | 3,599,521 |
| - |  | 2,912,780 |  | 2,912,780 |  | 349,669 |  | 3,262,449 |
| - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| - |  | 2,000,000 |  | 2,000,000 |  | - |  | 2,000,000 |
| 1,245,000 |  | 3,430,700 |  | 4,675,700 |  | - |  | 4,675,700 |
| 260,000 |  | 713,050 |  | 973,050 |  | - |  | 973,050 |
| - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |



(4) A portion or all debt service payment is payable from non-general fund revenue.

## CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION

Moscone Center South Refunding Project, Series 2011A
Multiple Capital Improvement Projects, Series 2012A
Refunding Certificate of Participation Series 2014-R2 (Juvenile Hall Proj)
War Memorial Veterans Building Improvement Series 2015A, B Refunding Certificate of Participation Series 2015-R1 (City office Bldgs.) War Memorial Veterans Building Improvement Series 2016A Hope SF Series 2017A

Moscone Expansion Project Series 2017B
Less: MED Assessments
Less: MED Assessments
Net City Contribution:
Refunding Certificate of Participation Series 2019-R1
Refunding Certificate of Participation Series 2020-R1 (Multpl Capital Impr.) 49 South Van Ness Project, Series 2019A

Multiple Capital Improvement Projects, Series 2021A
Proposed HOJ Relocation Projects
Proposed Department of Public Health Facilities
Proposed Critical Repairs
Proposed Critical Repairs
Proposed Economic Recove
Proposed Economic Recovery Stimulus
Proposed Street Repaving Program
TOTAL CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION
LOANS AND LEASES
$\quad$ San Francisco Marina-West Harbor Loan
Citywide Emergency Radio Replacement Project
TOTAL LOANS AND LEASES
SAN FRANCISCO FINANCE CORP LEASE REVENUE BONDS
LRB Refinancing Series 2010-R1
OPEN SPACE FUND (VARIOUS PARK PROJECTS)
LRB Refunding Open Space Fund Series 2018A
MOSCONE CENTER EXPANSION PROJECT
LR Refunding Bonds Series 2008-1,2
LIBRARY PRESERVATION FUND
LIBRARY PRESERVATION FUND
LRB Refunding Series 2018B
total other debt service

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Statement of Bond Redemption and Interest
Public Service Enterprise Revenue Bonds, Certificates of Participation and Loans
Fiscal Years 2021-2022 and 2022-2023


8
0
0
0
$\infty$
in
$\infty$




$\Theta$



\$ $\quad 3,174,000$









## LAGUNA HONDA HOSPITAL ${ }^{(5)}$

COP Refunding Series 2019-R1
TOTAL BEFORE REIMBURSEMENT OFFSET
SB 1128 Reimbursement Offset
TOTAL LAGUNA HONDA HOSPITAL
SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY
SFMTA 2017 Series Revenue Bonds
SFMTA 2021 Series A Refunding Revenue Bonds
SFMTA 2021 Series B Revenue Bonds
SFMTA 2021 Series C Revenue Bonds
TOTAL SF MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY
PORT OF SAN FRANCISCO
Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 2020A/B
Revenue Bonds, Series 2014A/B
COP Port Facilities Project Series 2013 B \& C
SBH - CalBoating Loan $-\$ 400 \mathrm{~K}$
SBH - CalBoating Loan $-\$ 3.1 \mathrm{M}$
SBH - CalBoating Loan $-\$ 3.1 \mathrm{M}$
Other Fiscal Charges
Other Fiscal Charges
TOTAL PORT OF SAN FRANCISCO

| FY 2021-2022 |  |  |  |  |  | F 2022-2023 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal |  | Interest |  | Total |  | Principal |  | Interest |  | Total |  |
| \$ | 1,852,722 | \$ | 578,550 | \$ | 2,431,272 | \$ | 1,956,974 | \$ | 474,298 | \$ | 2,431,272 |
|  | 2,597,768 |  | 883,317 |  | 3,481,085 |  | 2,676,781 |  | 804,304 |  | 3,481,085 |
|  | - |  | 52,229 |  | 52,229 |  | - |  | 59,388 |  | 59,388 |
| \$ | 4,450,490 | \$ | 1,514,096 | \$ | 5,964,586 | \$ | 4,633,755 | \$ | 1,337,990 | \$ | 5,971,745 |
| \$ | - | \$ | 1,594,083 | \$ | 1,594,083 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,739,000 | \$ | 1,739,000 |
|  | 6,131,667 |  | 4,403,777 |  | 10,535,444 |  | 7,914,167 |  | 4,192,632 |  | 12,106,799 |
|  | 2,165,369 |  | - |  | 2,165,369 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | 14,413,896 |  | 14,413,896 |  | 775,833 |  | 15,724,250 |  | 16,500,083 |
|  | 7,165,000 |  | 20,290,058 |  | 27,455,058 |  | 6,908,333 |  | 20,297,892 |  | 27,206,225 |
|  | - |  | 21,706,667 |  | 21,706,667 |  | - |  | 23,680,000 |  | 23,680,000 |
|  | 31,271,667 |  | 9,360,833 |  | 40,632,500 |  | 30,649,167 |  | 7,829,333 |  | 38,478,500 |
|  | - |  | 33,922,396 |  | 33,922,396 |  | - |  | 37,006,250 |  | 37,006,250 |
|  | 17,950,833 |  | 6,719,042 |  | 24,669,875 |  | 20,561,667 |  | 5,821,500 |  | 26,383,167 |
|  | - |  | 15,701,858 |  | 15,701,858 |  | - |  | 17,129,300 |  | 17,129,300 |
|  | - |  | 10,632,646 |  | 10,632,646 |  | - |  | 11,599,250 |  | 11,599,250 |
|  | 23,187,500 |  | 5,767,417 |  | 28,954,917 |  | 24,385,833 |  | 4,608,042 |  | 28,993,875 |
|  | 26,705,000 |  | 2,504,208 |  | 29,209,208 |  | 23,379,167 |  | 1,168,958 |  | 24,548,125 |
|  | - |  | 9,727,168 |  | 9,727,168 |  | - |  | 9,727,168 |  | 9,727,168 |
|  | - |  | 33,586,667 |  | 33,586,667 |  | - |  | 36,640,000 |  | 36,640,000 |
|  | - |  | 5,329,271 |  | 5,329,271 |  | - |  | 5,813,750 |  | 5,813,750 |
|  | - |  | 266,950 |  | 266,950 |  | - |  | 266,950 |  | 266,950 |
|  | - |  | 1,783,000 |  | 1,783,000 |  | - |  | 1,783,000 |  | 1,783,000 |
|  | - |  | 41,615,986 |  | 41,615,986 |  | - |  | 57,560,750 |  | 57,560,750 |
|  | - |  | 4,547,760 |  | 4,547,760 |  | - |  | 4,564,000 |  | 4,564,000 |
|  | 25,565,833 |  | 1,414,931 |  | 26,980,764 |  | 11,204,167 |  | 633,954 |  | 11,838,121 |
|  | 867,500 |  | 20,366,000 |  | 21,233,500 |  | 9,140,833 |  | 20,322,625 |  | 29,463,458 |
|  | - |  | 31,319,253 |  | 31,319,253 |  | - |  | 36,779,438 |  | 36,779,438 |
|  | - |  | 5,207,782 |  | 5,207,782 |  | - |  | 5,346,250 |  | 5,346,250 |
|  | 8,751,667 |  | 540,963 |  | 9,292,630 |  | 7,040,833 |  | 393,197 |  | 7,434,030 |
|  | 18,357,500 |  | 10,670,208 |  | 29,027,708 |  | 21,364,167 |  | 9,752,333 |  | 31,116,500 |
|  | - |  | 5,224,350 |  | 5,224,350 |  | - |  | 5,224,350 |  | 5,224,350 |
|  | - |  | 2,063,000 |  | 2,063,000 |  | - |  | 2,063,000 |  | 2,063,000 |
|  | - |  | 3,850,724 |  | 3,850,724 |  | - |  | 3,850,724 |  | 3,850,724 |
|  | - |  | 10,051,244 |  | 10,051,244 |  | - |  | 9,762,000 |  | 9,762,000 |
|  | 819,398 |  | - |  | 819,398 |  | 780,143 |  | - |  | 780,143 |
|  | 9,519,944 |  | - |  | 9,519,944 |  | 1,283,354 |  | - |  | 1,283,354 |
|  | 1,459,697 |  | - |  | 1,459,697 |  | 4,561,650 |  | - |  | 4,561,650 |
|  | 6,532,603 |  | - |  | 6,532,603 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 402,410 |  | - |  | 402,410 |  | 396,572 |  | - |  | 396,572 |
| \$ 186,853,588 |  | \$ | 334,582,138 | \$ | 521,435,726 | \$ | 170,345,886 | \$ | 361,279,896 | \$ | 531,625,782 |

SAN FRANCISCO GENERAL HOSPITAL

[^4]
## TOTAL SAN FRANCISCO GENERAL HOSPITAL <br> SAN FRANCISCO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT

2010 Airport 2nd Series Variable Rate Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2010A 2011 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2011F/G/H
2012 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2012A/B
2012 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2012A/B 2014 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Bonds Series 2014 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Refunding Bends Series 2016A 2016 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Bonds Series 2016B/C 2016 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2016D
2017 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Bonds Series 2017A
2017 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Bonds Series 2017B
2017 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2017D
2018 Airport 2nd Series Variable Rate Revenue Bonds Series 2018B/C 2018 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Bonds Series 2018D
2018 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Bonds Series 2018E
2018 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2018G
2019 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Bonds Series 2019A
2019 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Bonds Series 2019A
2019 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Bonds Series 2019C
2019 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2019D
2019 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Bonds Series 2019E
2019 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Bonds Series 2019G
2020 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2020A 2020 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2020B 2020 Airport 2nd Series Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2020 C Swap Payments
Commercial Paper Interest
LOC Fees for VRDBs
LOC Fees for Commercial Paper
Remarketing Fees
TOTAL SAN FRANCISCO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT

## FY 2021-2022

| FY 2022-2023 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal |  | Interest |  | Total |  |
| \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
|  | 7,280,000 |  | 10,516,166 |  | 17,796,166 |
|  | 13,090,000 |  | 405,800 |  | 13,495,800 |
|  | - |  | 14,428,000 |  | 14,428,000 |
|  | - |  | 10,645,750 |  | 10,645,750 |
|  | - |  | 3,001,050 |  | 3,001,050 |
|  | 7,715,000 |  | 10,550,975 |  | 18,265,975 |
|  | 6,260,000 |  | 9,141,000 |  | 15,401,000 |
|  | - |  | 3,806,831 |  | 3,806,831 |
|  | - |  | 4,254,275 |  | 4,254,275 |
|  | 479,169 |  | 282,789 |  | 761,958 |
|  | 794,472 |  | 468,869 |  | 1,263,341 |
|  | 1,053,391 |  | 682,520 |  | 1,735,911 |
|  | 198,154 |  | 115,839 |  | 313,993 |
|  | 1,021,489 |  | 322,826 |  | 1,344,315 |
|  | - |  | 27,050 |  | 27,050 |
|  | - |  | 27,050 |  | 27,050 |
|  | 825,973 |  | 20,649 |  | 846,622 |
|  | - |  | 1,578,028 |  | 1,578,028 |
|  | - |  | 661 |  | 661 |
|  | - |  | 24,100 |  | 24,100 |

## 






 |  | 761,957 |
| ---: | ---: |
|  | $1,263,341$ |
|  | $1,735,911$ |
|  | 313,994 |
|  | - |
|  | 27,050 |
|  | 27,050 |
|  | 846,316 |
|  | $1,578,028$ |
|  | 661 |
|  | 31,100 |
| $\$$ | $97,583,140$ |



|  | 31,100 |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ \quad 71,436,523$ |  |


|  | - |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | - |
| $\$ \quad 26,146,617$ |  |

\$ 8,820,000 \$ 220,500 \$ 9,040,500
\$ $\begin{array}{cccr}8,820,000 & \$ & 220,500 \\ & - & & 10,685,426 \\ & 14,060,000 & & 1,034,550\end{array}$
$\stackrel{n}{\infty}$管

 $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\text { N }}$




WASTEWATER ENTERPRISE
2010 Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series A
2010 Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series B BABs
2013 Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series A
2013 Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series B
2016 Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series A
2016 Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series B
2018 Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series A
2018 Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series B
2018 Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series C
Proposed Wastewater BANs (Notes)
SRF Loans:
North Point Facility Outfall Rehabilitation
SEP Primary/Secondary Clarifier Upgrades
SEP $521 / 522$ and Disinfection Upgrades
Lake Merced Green Infrastructure OSP Gas Utilization
OSP Gas Utilization
WIFIA Construction Period Loan Servicing Fee (BDFP)
WIFIA Construction Period Loan Servicing Fee (SEP Headworks)
COP 525 Golden Gate Office Space, Series 2009 C
COP 525 Golden Gate Office Space, Series 2009 D
Trustee and Arbitrage Computation Fee (COPs 18.88\%)
Trustee and Arbitrage Computation Fee (Wastewater Revenue Bonds)
TOTAL WASTEWATER ENTERPRISE BEFORE OFFSET
Federal Offsets
2010 Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series B BABs Federal Offset
COP 525 Golden Gate Office Space, Series 2009
TOTAL WASTEWATER ENTERPRISE
2015 Water Revenue Bonds, Series A
WATER ENTERPRISE
2010 Water Revenue Bonds, Series B BABs
2010 Water Revenue Bonds, Series D
2010 Water Revenue Bonds, Series E BABs
2010 Water Revenue Bonds, Series G BABs
2011 Water Revenue Bonds, Series B
2011 Water Revenue Bonds, Series C
2015

## FY 2021-2022



$$
\begin{aligned}
& (6,922,739) \\
& (6,607,090) \\
& (8,045,087) \\
& (1,965,550)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\xlongequal{\$ 116,453,314} \xlongequal{\$ 176,896,009}
$$


2016 Water Revenue Bonds, Series A 2016 Water Revenue Bonds, Series B 2016 Water Revenue Bonds, Series C 2017 Water Revenue Bonds, Series A 2017 Water Revenue Bonds, Series B 2017 Water Revenue Bonds, Series C 2017 Water Revenue Bonds, Series D 2017 Water Revenue Bonds, Series E 2017 Water Revenue Bonds, Series F 2017 Water Revenue Bonds, Series G 2019 Water Revenue Bonds, Seres A 2019 Water Revenue Bonds, Series B 2020 Water Revenue Bonds, Series A 2020 Water Revenue Bonds, Series B 2020 Water Revenue Bonds, Series C 2020 Water Revenue Bonds, Series D 2020 Water Revenue Bonds, Series E 2020 Water Revenue Bonds, Series F 2020 Water Revenue Bonds, Series H SRF Loan:
Westside Recycled Water Project
COP 525 Golden Gate Office Space, Se COP 525 Golden Gate Office Space, Series 2009 D BAB Trustee and Arbitrage Computation Fee (Water Revenue Bonds) TOTAL WATER ENTERPRISE BEFORE OFFSET
Federal 2010 Water Revenue Bonds, Series B BABs Federal Offset 2010 Water Revenue Bonds, Series E BABs Federal Offset 2010 Water Revenue Bonds, Series Saries 2009 Federal Offset TOTAL WATER ENTERPRISE
total public service enterprises
(5) Debt service related to construction cost of Laguna Honda Hospital is offset by SB 1128 sinking fund. The information assumes that SB 1128 reimbursement will be available (6) Other Fiscal Charges include insurance, trustees and arbitrage rebate computation fees.
(7) The Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Enterprise, San Francisco International Airport, Wastewater Enterprise and Water Enterprise are on a fixed two-year budget (FY 2020-2021 and FY 2021-2022). The debt service schedules for FY 2021-2022 and FY 2022-2023 for these departments were updated in this AAO.

Note: $\quad$ Additions are single-underline italics Times New Roman; deletions are strikethrough italics Times New Roman. Board amendment additions are double underlined.
Board amendment deletions are strikethrough normat.

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

## SECTION 3. General Authority.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up appropriate accounts for the items of receipts and expenditures appropriated herein.

## SECTION 3.1 Two-Year Budget.

For departments for which the Board of Supervisors has authorized, or the Charter requires, a fixed two-year budget, appropriations in this ordinance shall be available for allotment by the Controller on July 1st of the fiscal year in which appropriations have been approved. The Controller is authorized to adjust the two-year budget to reflect transfers and substitutions consistent with City's policies and restrictions for such transfers. The Controller is further authorized to make adjustments to the second year budgets consistent with Citywide estimates for salaries, fringe benefits, and work orders.

## SECTION 4. Interim Budget Provisions.

All funds for equipment and new capital improvements shall be held in reserve until final action by the Board of Supervisors. No new equipment or capital improvements shall be authorized during the interim period other than equipment or capital improvements that, in the discretion of the Controller, is reasonably required for the continued operation of existing programs or projects previously approved by the Board of Supervisors. Authorization for the purchase of such equipment may be approved by the Board of Supervisors.

During the period of the interim annual appropriation ordinance and interim annual salary ordinance, no transfer of funds within a department shall be permitted without approval of the Controller, Mayor's Budget Director and the Chair of the Budget and Finance Committee.

When the Budget and Finance Committee or Budget and Appropriations Committee reserves selected expenditure items pending receipt of additional information from departments, upon receipt of the required information to the satisfaction of that committee, the Controller may release the previously reserved funds with no further action required by the Board of Supervisors.

If the Budget and Finance Committee or Budget and Appropriations Committee recommends a budget that increases funding that was deleted in the Mayor's Budget, the Controller shall have the authority to continue to pay these expenses until final passage of the budget by the Board of Supervisors, and approval of the budget by the Mayor.

## SECTION 4.1 Interim Budget - Positions.

No new position may be filled in the interim period with the exception of those positions which in the discretion of the Controller are critical for the operation of existing programs or for projects previously approved by the Board of Supervisors or are required for emergency operations or where such positions would result in a net increase in revenues or where such positions are required to comply with law. New positions shall be defined as those positions that are enumerated in the Mayor's budget for the current fiscal year but were not enumerated in the appropriation and salary ordinances for the prior fiscal year, as amended, through June 30 of the prior fiscal year. In the event the Mayor has approved the
reclassification of a position in the department's budget for the current fiscal year, the Controller shall process a temporary or "tx" requisition at the request of the department and subject to approval of the Human Resources Director. Such action will allow for the continued employment of the incumbent in his or her former position pending action by the Board of Supervisors on the proposed reclassifications.

If the Budget and Finance Committee or Budget and Appropriations Committee of the Board of Supervisors recommends a budget that reinstates positions that were deleted in the Mayor's Budget, the Controller and the Human Resources Director shall have the authority to continue to employ and pay the salaries of the reinstated positions until final passage of the budget by the Board of Supervisors, and approval of the budget by the Mayor.

## SECTION 5. Transfers of Functions and Duties.

Where revenues for any fund or department are herein provided by transfer from any other fund or department, or where a duty or a performance has been transferred from one department to another, the Controller is authorized and directed to make the related transfer of funds, provided further, that where revenues for any fund or department are herein provided by transfer from any other fund or department in consideration of departmental services to be rendered, in no event shall such transfer of revenue be made in excess of the actual cost of such service.

Where a duty or performance has been transferred from one department to another or departmental reorganization is effected as provided in the Charter, in addition to any required transfer of funds, the Controller and Human Resources Director are authorized to make any personnel transfers or reassignments between the affected departments and
appointing officers at a mutually convenient time, not to exceed 100 days from the effective date of the ordinance or Mayoral memorandum transferring the duty or function. The Controller, the Human Resources Director and Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, with assistance of the City Attorney, are hereby authorized and directed to make such changes as may be necessary to conform all applicable ordinances to reflect said reorganization, transfer of duty or performance between departments.

## SECTION 5.1 Agencies Organized under One Department.

Where one or more offices or agencies are organized under a single appointing officer or department head, the component units can-may continue to be shown as separate agencies for budgeting and accounting purposes to facilitate reporting. However, the entity shall be considered a single department for purposes of employee assignment and seniority, position transfers, and transfers of monies among funds within the department, and reappropriation of funds.

## SECTION 5.2 Continuing Funds Appropriated.

In addition to the amount provided from taxes, the Controller shall make available for expenditure the amount of actual receipts from special funds whose receipts are continuously appropriated as provided in the Municipal Codes.

## SECTION 5.3 Multi-Year Revenues.

In connection with money received in one fiscal year for departmental services to be performed in a subsequent year, the Controller is authorized to establish an account for depositing revenues which are applicable to the ensuing fiscal year, said revenue shall be
carried forward and become a part of the funds available for appropriation in said ensuing fiscal year.

## SECTION 5.4 Contracting Funds.

All money received in connection with contracts under which a portion of the moneys received is to be paid to the contractors and the remainder of the moneys received inures to the City and County shall be deposited in the Treasury.
(a) That portion of the money received that under the terms of the contract inures to the City and County shall be deposited to the credit of the appropriate fund.
(b) That portion of the money received that under the terms of the contracts is to be paid to the contractor shall be deposited in special accounts and is hereby appropriated for said purposes.

## SECTION 5.5 Real Estate Services.

Rents received from properties acquired or held in trust for specific purposes are hereby appropriated to the extent necessary for maintenance of said properties, including services of the General Services Agency.

Moneys received from lessees, tenants or operators of City-owned property for the specific purpose of real estate services relative to such leases or operating agreements are hereby appropriated to the extent necessary to provide such services.

## SECTION 5.6 Collection Services.

In any contracts for the collection of unpaid bills for services rendered to clients, patients or both by the Department of Public Health in which said unpaid bills have not become delinquent pursuant to the provisions of Article V of Chapter 10 of the Administrative Code Section 10.37 and 10.38, the Controller is hereby authorized to adjust the estimated revenues and expenditures of the various divisions and institutions of the Department of Public Health to record such recoveries. Any percentage of the amounts, not to exceed 25 percent, recovered from such unpaid bills by a contractor is hereby appropriated to pay the costs of said contract. The Controller is authorized and is hereby directed to establish appropriate accounts to record total collections and contract payments relating to such unpaid bills.

## SECTION 5.7 Contract Amounts Based on Savings.

When the terms of a contract provide for payment amounts to be determined by a percentage of cost savings or previously unrecognized revenues, such amounts as are actually realized from either said cost savings or unrecognized revenues are hereby appropriated to the extent necessary to pay contract amounts due. The Controller is authorized and is hereby directed to establish appropriate accounts to record such transactions.

## SECTION 5.8 Collection and Legal Services.

In any contracts between the City Attorney's Office and outside counsel for legal services in connection with the prosecution of actions filed on behalf of the City or for assistance in the prosecution of actions that the City Attorney files in the name of the People, where the fee to outside counsel is contingent on the recovery of a judgment or other monies by the City
through such action, the Controller is hereby authorized to adjust the estimated revenues and expenditures of the City Attorney's Office to record such recoveries. A percentage of such recoveries, not to exceed 25 percent plus the amount of any out-of-pocket costs the Controller determines were actually incurred to prosecute such action, is hereby appropriated from the amount of such recoveries to pay the contingent fee due to such outside counsel under said contract and any costs incurred by the City or outside counsel in prosecuting the action. The Controller is authorized and hereby directed to establish appropriate accounts to record total collections and contingent fee and cost payments relating to such actions. The City Attorney as verified by the Controller shall report to the Board of Supervisors annually on the collections and costs incurred under this provision, including the case name, amount of judgment, the fund which the judgment was deposited, and the total cost of and funding source for the legal action.

## SECTION 6. Bond Interest and Redemption.

In the event that estimated receipts from other than utility revenues, but including amounts from ad-valorem taxes, shall exceed the actual requirements for bond interest and redemption, said excess shall be transferred to a General Bond Interest and Redemption Reserve account. The Bond Interest and Redemption Reserve is hereby appropriated to meet debt service requirements including printing of bonds, cost of bond rating services and the legal opinions approving the validity of bonds authorized to be sold not otherwise provided for herein.

Issuance, legal and financial advisory service costs, including the reimbursement of departmental services in connection therewith, for debt instruments issued by the City and County, to the extent approved by the Board of Supervisors in authorizing the debt, may be
paid from the proceeds of such debt and are hereby appropriated for said purposes.

## SECTION 7. Allotment Controls.

Since several items of expenditures herein appropriated are based on estimated receipts, income or revenues which may not be fully realized, it shall be incumbent upon the Controller to establish a schedule of allotments, of such duration as the Controller may determine, under which the sums appropriated to the several departments shall be expended. The Controller shall revise such revenue estimates periodically. If such revised estimates indicate a shortage, the Controller shall hold in reserve an equivalent amount of the corresponding expenditure appropriations set forth herein until the collection of the amounts as originally estimated is assured, and in all cases where it is provided by the Charter that a specified or minimum tax shall be levied for any department the amount of appropriation herein provided derived from taxes shall not exceed the amount actually produced by the levy made for such department.

The Controller in issuing payments or in certifying contracts, purchase orders or other encumbrances pursuant to Section 3.105 of the Charter, shall consider only the allotted portions of appropriation items to be available for encumbrance or expenditure and shall not approve the incurring of liability under any allotment in excess of the amount of such allotment. In case of emergency or unusual circumstances which could not be anticipated at the time of allotment, an additional allotment for a period may be made on the recommendation of the department head and the approval of the Controller. After the allotment schedule has been established or fixed, as heretofore provided, it shall be unlawful for any department or officer to expend or cause to be expended a sum greater than the amount set forth for the particular activity in the allotment schedule so established,
unless an additional allotment is made, as herein provided.

Allotments, liabilities incurred and expenditures made under expenditure appropriations herein enumerated shall in no case exceed the amount of each such appropriation, unless the same shall have been increased by transfers or supplemental appropriations made in the manner provided by Section 9.105 of the Charter.

## SECTION 7.1 Prior Year Encumbrances.

The Controller is hereby authorized to establish reserves for the purpose of providing funds for adjustments in connection with liquidation of encumbrances and other obligations of prior years.

## SECTION 7.2 Equipment Purchases.

Funds for the purchase of items of equipment having a significant value of over $\$ 5,000$ and a useful life of three years and over shall only be purchased from appropriations specifically provided for equipment or lease-purchased equipment, including equipment from capital projects. Departments may purchase additional or replacement equipment from previous equipment or lease-purchase appropriations, or from citywide equipment and other nonsalary appropriations, with approval of the Mayor's Office and the Controller.

Where appropriations are made herein for the purpose of replacing automotive and other equipment, the equipment replaced shall be surrendered to the General Services Agency and shall be withdrawn from service on or before delivery to departments of the new automotive equipment. When the replaced equipment is sold, in lieu of being traded-in, the proceeds shall be deposited to a revenue account of the related fund. Provided, however,
that so much of said proceeds as may be required to affect the purchase of the new equipment is hereby appropriated for the purpose. Funds herein appropriated for automotive equipment shall not be used to buy a replacement of any automobile superior in class to the one being replaced unless it has been specifically authorized by the Board of Supervisors in the making of the original appropriation.

Appropriations of equipment from current funds shall be construed to be annual appropriations and unencumbered balances shall lapse at the close of the fiscal year.

## SECTION 7.3 Enterprise Deficits.

Funds appropriated herein to meet estimated enterprise deficits shall be made available to each such enterprise only to the extent that an actual deficit shall exist and not to exceed the amount herein provided. Any amount not required for the purpose of meeting an enterprise fund deficit shall be transferred back to the General Fund at the end of each fiscal year unless otherwise appropriated by ordinance.

## SECTION 8. Expenditure Estimates.

Where appropriations are made for specific projects or purposes which may involve the payment of salaries or wages, the head of the department to which such appropriations are made, or the head of the department authorized by contract or interdepartmental order to make expenditures from each such appropriation, shall file with the Controller, when requested, an estimate of the amount of any such expenditures to be made during the ensuing period.

## SECTION 8.1 State and Federal Funds.

The Controller is authorized to increase Federal and State funds that may be claimed due to new General Fund expenditures appropriated by the Board of Supervisors. The Human Resources Director is authorized to add civil service positions required to implement the programs authorized by these funds. The Controller and the Human Resources Director shall report to the Board of Supervisors any actions taken under this authorization before the Board acts on the Annual Appropriation and Annual Salary Ordinances.

## SECTION 8.2 State and Federal Funding Restorations.

If additional State or Federal funds are allocated to the City and County of San Francisco to backfill State reductions, the Controller shall backfill any funds appropriated to any program to the General Reserve.

## SECTION 8.3 Process for Addressing General Fund Revenue Shortfalls

Upon receiving Controller estimates of revenue shortfalls that exceed the value of the General Reserve and any other allowances for revenue shortfalls in the adopted City budget, the Mayor shall inform the Board of Supervisors of actions to address this shortfall. The Board of Supervisors may adopt an ordinance to reflect the Mayor's proposal or alternative proposals in order to balance the budget.

## SECTION 9. Interdepartmental Services.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to prescribe the method to be used in making payments for interdepartmental services in accordance with the provisions of Section 3.105 of the Charter, and to provide for the establishment of interdepartmental reserves which may be required to pay for future obligations which result from current
performances. Whenever in the judgment of the Controller, the amounts which have been set aside for such purposes are no longer required or are in excess of the amount which is then currently estimated to be required, the Controller shall transfer the amount no longer required to the fund balance of the particular fund of which the reserve is a part. Provided further that no expenditure shall be made for personnel services, rent, equipment and capital outlay purposes from any interdepartmental reserve or work order fund without specific appropriation by the Board of Supervisors.

The amount detailed in departmental budgets for services of other City departments cannot be transferred to other spending categories without prior agreement from both the requesting and performing departments.

The Controller, pursuant to the provisions of Charter Section 3.105, shall review and may adjust charges or fees for services that may be authorized by the Board of Supervisors for the administration of the Technology Marketplace. Such fees are hereby appropriated for that purpose.

## SECTION 10. Positions in the City Service.

Department heads shall not make appointments to any office or position until the Controller shall certify that funds are available.

Funds provided herein for salaries or wages may, with the approval of the Controller, be used to provide for temporary employment when it becomes necessary to replace the occupant of a position while on extended leave without pay, or for the temporary filling of a vacancy in a budgeted position. The Controller is authorized to approve the use of existing
salary appropriations within departments to fund permanent appointments of up to six months to backfill anticipated vacancies to ensure implementation of successful succession plans and to facilitate the transfer of mission critical knowledge. The Controller shall provide a report to the Board of Supervisors every six months enumerating permanent positions created under this authority.

Appointments to seasonal or temporary positions shall not exceed the term for which the Controller has certified the availability of funds.

The Controller shall be immediately notified of a vacancy occurring in any position.

## SECTION 10.1 Positions, Funds, and Transfers for Specific Purposes.

Funds for personnel services may be transferred from any legally available source on the recommendation of the department head and approval by the City Administrator, Board or Commission, for departments under their respective jurisdiction, and on authorization of the Controller with the prior approval of the Human Resources Director for:
(a) Lump sum payments to officers, employees, police officers and fire fighters other than elective officers and members of boards and commissions upon death or retirement or separation caused by industrial accident for accumulated sick leave benefits in accordance with Civil Service Commission rules.
(b) Payment of the supervisory differential adjustment, out of class pay or other negotiated premium to employees who qualify for such adjustment provided that the transfer of funds must be made from funds currently available in departmental personnel service
appropriations.
(c) Payment of any legal salary or fringe benefit obligations of the City and County including amounts required to fund arbitration awards.
(d) The Controller is hereby authorized to adjust salary appropriations for positions administratively reclassified or temporarily exchanged by the Human Resources Director provided that the reclassified position and the former position are in the same functional area.
(e) Positions may be substituted or exchanged between the various salary appropriations or position classifications when approved by the Human Resources Director as long as said transfers do not increase total departmental personnel service appropriations.
(f) The Controller is hereby authorized and directed upon the request of a department head and the approval by the Mayor's Office to transfer from any legally available funds amounts needed to fund legally mandated salaries, fringe benefits and other costs of City employees. Such funds are hereby appropriated for the purpose set forth herein.
(g) The Controller is hereby authorized to transfer any legally available funds to adjust salary and fringe benefit appropriations as required under reclassifications recommended by the Human Resources Director and approved by the Board of Supervisors in implementing the Management Compensation and Classification Plan.

Amounts transferred shall not exceed the actual amount required including the cost to the City and County of mandatory fringe benefits.
(h) Pursuant to California Labor Code Section 4850.4, the Controller is authorized to make advance payments from departments' salary accounts to employees participating in CaIPERS who apply for disability retirement. Repayment of these advanced disability retirement payments from CalPERS and from employees are hereby appropriated to the departments' salary account.
(i) For purposes of defining terms in Administrative Code Section 3.18, the Controller is authorized to process transfers where such transfers are required to administer the budget through the following certification process: In cases where expenditures are reduced at the level of appropriation control during the Board of Supervisors phase of the budget process, the Chair of the Budget and Finance Committee, on recommendation of the Controller, may certify that such a reduction does not reflect a deliberate policy reduction adopted by the Board. The Mayor's Budget Director may similarly provide such a certification regarding reductions during the Mayor's phase of the budget process.
(j) Department travel budgets shall be considered as line-item appropriations for the purposes of administration for Administrative Code Section 3.18 and Charter Section 9.113(c) governing limitations on transfer of appropriated funds.

## SECTION 10.2 Professional Services Contracts.

Funds appropriated for professional service contracts may be transferred to the account for salaries on the recommendation of the department head for the specific purpose of using

City personnel in lieu of private contractors with the approval of the Human Resources Director and the Mayor and the certification by the Controller that such transfer of funds would not increase the cost of government.

## SECTION 10.3 Surety Bond Fund Administration.

The Controller is hereby authorized to allocate funds from capital project appropriations to the San Francisco Self-Insurance Surety Bond Fund, as governed by Administrative Code Section 10.100-317 and in accordance with amounts determined pursuant to Administrative Code Section 14B. 16.

## SECTION 10.4 Salary Adjustments, Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs).

The Controller is authorized and directed to transfer from the Salary and Benefits Reserve, or any legally available funds, amounts necessary to adjust appropriations for salaries and related mandatory fringe benefits of employees whose compensation is pursuant to Charter Sections A8.403 (Registered Nurses), A8.404 (Transit Operators), A8.409 (Miscellaneous Employees), A8.405 and A8.590-1 through A8.590-5 (Police and Firefighters), revisions to State Law, and/or collective bargaining agreements adopted pursuant to the Charter or arbitration award. The Controller and Human Resources Director are further authorized and directed to adjust the rates of compensation to reflect current pay rates for any positions affected by the foregoing provisions.

Adjustments made pursuant to this section shall reflect only the percentage increase required to adjust appropriations to reflect revised salary and promium other pay requirements above the funding level established in the base and adopted budget of the respective departments.

The Controller is authorized and directed to transfer from reserves or any legally available funds amounts necessary to provide costs of non-salary benefits in ratified Memoranda of Understanding or arbitration awards. The Controller's Office shall report to the Budget and Finance Committee or Budget and Appropriations Committee on the status of the Salary and Benefits Reserve, including amounts transferred to individual City departments and remaining Reserve balances, as part of the Controller's Six and Nine Month Budget Status Reports.

## SECTION 10.5 MOUs to be Reflected in Department Budgets.

Should the City and County adopt an MOU with a recognized employee bargaining organization during the fiscal year which has fiscal effects, the Controller is authorized and directed to reflect the budgetary impact of said MOU in departmental appropriations by transferring amounts to or from the Salary and Benefits Reserve, or, for self-supporting or restricted funds, to or from the respective unappropriated fund balance account. All amounts transferred pursuant to this section are hereby appropriated for the purpose.

## SECTION 10.6 Funding Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs).

Whenever the Board of Supervisors has ratified by ordinance or resolution Memoranda of Understanding with recognized employee organizations or an arbitration award has become effective, and said memoranda or award contains provisions requiring the expenditure of funds, the Controller, on the recommendation of the Human Resources Director, shall reserve sufficient funds to comply with such provisions and such funds are hereby appropriated for such purposes. The Controller is hereby authorized to make such transfers from funds hereby reserved or legally available as may be required to make funds available to departments to carry out the purposes required by the Memoranda of Understanding or
arbitration award.

## SECTION 10.7 Fringe Benefit Rate Adjustments.

Appropriations herein made for fringe benefits may be adjusted by the Controller to reflect revised amounts required to support adopted or required contribution rates. The Controller is authorized and is hereby directed to transfer between departmental appropriations and the General Reserve or other unappropriated balance of funds any amounts resulting from adopted or required contribution rates and such amounts are hereby appropriated to said accounts.

When the Controller determines that prepayment of the employer share of pension contributions is likely to be fiscally advantageous, the Controller is authorized to adjust appropriations and transfers in order to make and reconcile such prepayments.

## SECTION 10.8 Police Department Uniformed Positions.

Positions in the Police Department for each of the various ranks that are filled based on the educational attainment of individual officers may be filled interchangeably at any level within the rank (e.g., Patrol Officer Q2, Q3 or Q4, Sergeant Q50, Q51, Q52). The Controller and Human Resources Director are hereby authorized to adjust payrolls, salary ordinances and other documents, where necessary, to reflect the current status of individual employees; provided however, that nothing in this section shall authorize an increase in the total number of positions allocated to any one rank or to the Police Department.

## SECTION 10.9 Holidays, Special Provisions.

Whenever any day is declared to be a holiday by proclamation of the Mayor after such day
has heretofore been declared a holiday by the Governor of the State of California or the President of the United States, the Controller, with the approval of the Mayor's Office, is hereby authorized to make such transfer of funds not to exceed the actual cost of said holiday from any legally available funds.

## SECTION 10.10 Litigation Reserve, Payments.

The Controller is authorized and directed to transfer from the Reserve for Litigation Account for General Fund supported departments or from any other legally available funds for other funds, amounts required to make payments required to settle litigation against the City and County of San Francisco that has been recommended by the City Attorney and approved by the Board of Supervisors in the manner provided in the Charter. Such funds are hereby appropriated for the purposes set forth herein.

Amounts required to pay settlements of claims or litigation involving the Public Utilities Commission are hereby appropriated from the Public Utilities Commission Wastewater Enterprise fund balance or the Public Utilities Commission Water Enterprise fund balance, as appropriate, for the purpose of paying such settlements following final approval of those settlements by resolution or ordinance.

## SECTION 10.11 Changes in Health Services Eligibility.

Should the Board of Supervisors amend Administrative Code Section 16.700 to change the eligibility in the City's Health Service System, the Controller is authorized and directed to transfer from any legally available funds or the Salary and Fringe Reserve for the amount necessary to provide health benefit coverage not already reflected in the departmental budgets.

## Section 10.12 Workers' Compensation Alternative Dispute Resolution Program

 Resolutions 85-19 and 86-19 authorized the Department of Human Resources to enter Workers' Compensation Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Labor-Management Agreements with the San Francisco Firefighters' Association, Local 798, and San Francisco Police Officers Association, respectively. These Agreements require the City to allocate an amount equal to $50 \%$ of the ADR program estimated net savings, as determined by actuarial report, for the benefit of active employees. The Controller is authorized and directed to transfer from any legally available funds the amount necessary to make the required allocations. This provision will terminate if the parties agree to terminate the Agreements.
## SECTION 11. Funds Received for Special Purposes, Trust Funds.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to continue the existing special and trust funds, revolving funds, and reserves and the receipts in and expenditures from each such fund are hereby appropriated in accordance with law and the conditions under which each such fund was established.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up additional special and trust funds and reserves as may be created either by additional grants and bequests or under other conditions, and the receipts in each fund are hereby appropriated in accordance with law for the purposes and subject to the conditions under which each such fund was established.

## SECTION 11.1 Special and Trust Funds Appropriated.

Whenever the City and County of San Francisco shall receive for a special purpose from the United States of America, the State of California, or from any public or semi-public agency,
or from any private person, firm or corporation, any moneys, or property to be converted into money, the Controller shall establish a special fund or account evidencing the said moneys so received and specifying the special purposes for which they have been received and for which they are held, which said account or fund shall be maintained by the Controller as long as any portion of said moneys or property remains.

Recurring grant funds which are detailed in departmental budget submissions and approved by the Mayor and Board of Supervisors in the annual budget shall be deemed to have met the requirements of Administrative Code Section 10.170 for the approval to apply for, receive and expend said funds and shall be construed to be funds received for a specific purpose as set forth in this section. Positions specifically approved by granting agencies in said grant awards may be filled as though said positions were included in the annual budget and Annual Salary Ordinance, provided however that the tenure of such positions shall be contingent on the continued receipt of said grant funds. Individual grants may be adjusted by the Controller to reflect actual awards made if granting agencies increase or decrease the grant award amounts estimated in budget submissions.

The expenditures necessary from said funds or said accounts as created herein, in order to carry out the purpose for which said moneys or orders have been received or for which said accounts are being maintained, shall be approved by the Controller and said expenditures are hereby appropriated in accordance with the terms and conditions under which said moneys or orders have been received by the City and County of San Francisco, and in accordance with the conditions under which said funds are maintained.

The Controller is authorized to adjust transfers to the San Francisco Capital Planning Fund,
established by Administrative Code Section 10.100-286, to account for final capital project planning expenditures reimbursed from approved sale of bonds and other long term financing instruments.

## SECTION 11.2 Insurance Recoveries.

Any moneys received by the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to the terms and conditions of any insurance policy are hereby appropriated and made available to the general city or specific departments for associated costs or claims.

## SECTION 11.3 Bond Premiums.

Premiums received from the sale of bonds are hereby appropriated for bond interest and redemption purposes of the issue upon which it was received.

## SECTION 11.4 Ballot Arguments.

Receipts in and expenditures for payment for the printing of ballot arguments, are hereby appropriated in accordance with law and the conditions under which this appropriation is established.

## SECTION 11.5 Tenant Overtime.

Whenever employees of departments are required to work overtime on account of services required by renters, lessees or tenants of City-owned or occupied properties, or recipients of services from City departments, the cost of such overtime employment shall be collected by the departments from the requesters of said services and shall be deposited with the Treasurer to the credit of departmental appropriations. All moneys deposited therein are hereby appropriated for such purpose.

## SECTION 11.6 Refunds.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up appropriations for refunding amounts deposited in the Treasury in excess of amounts due, and the receipts and expenditures from each are hereby appropriated in accordance with law. Where-by State statute, local ordinance or court order, interest is payable on amounts to be refunded, in the absence of appropriation therefore, such interest is herewith appropriated from the unappropriated interest fund or interest earnings of the fund involved. The Controller is authorized, and funds are hereby appropriated, to refund overpayments and any mandated interest or penalties from State, Federal and local agencies when audits or other financial analyses determine that the City has received payments in excess of amounts due.

## SECTION 11.7 Arbitrage.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to refund excess interest earnings on bond proceeds (arbitrage) when such amounts have been determined to be due and payable under applicable Internal Revenue Service regulations. Such arbitrage refunds shall be charged in the various bond funds in which the arbitrage earnings were recorded and such funds are hereby appropriated for the purpose.

## SECTION 11.8 Damage Recoveries.

Moneys received as payment for damage to City-owned property and equipment are hereby appropriated to the department concerned to pay the cost of repairing such equipment or property. Moneys received as payment for liquidated damages in a City-funded project are appropriated to the department incurring costs of repairing or abating the damages. Any excess funds, and any amount received for damaged property or equipment which is not to be repaired shall be credited to a related fund.

## SECTION 11.9 Purchasing Damage Recoveries.

That portion of funds received pursuant to the provisions of Administrative Code Section 21.33 - failure to deliver article contracted for - as may be needed to affect the required procurement are hereby appropriated for that purpose and the balance, if any, shall be credited the related fund.

## SECTION 11.10 Off-Street Parking Guarantees.

Whenever the Board of Supervisors has authorized the execution of agreements with corporations for the construction of off-street parking and other facilities under which the City and County of San Francisco guarantees the payment of the corporations' debt service or other payments for operation of the facility, it shall be incumbent upon the Controller to reserve from parking meter or other designated revenues sufficient funds to provide for such guarantees. The Controller is hereby authorized to make payments as previously guaranteed to the extent necessary and the reserves approved in each Annual Appropriation Ordinance are hereby appropriated for the purpose. The Controller shall notify the Board of Supervisors annually of any payments made pursuant to this Section.

## SECTION 11.11 Hotel Tax - Special Situations.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to make such interfund transfers or other adjustments as may be necessary to conform budget allocations to the requirements of the agreements and indentures of the 1994 Lease Revenue and/or San Francisco Redevelopment Agency Hotel Tax Revenue Bond issues.

## SECTION 11.12 Local Transportation Agency Fund.

Local transportation funds are hereby appropriated pursuant to the Government Code.

## SECTION 11.13 Insurance.

The Controller is hereby authorized to transfer to the Risk Manager any amounts indicated in the budget estimate and appropriated hereby for the purchase of insurance or the payment of insurance premiums.

## SECTION 11.14 Grants to Departments of Aging and Adult Services, Child Support

 Services, and Homelessness and Supportive HousingThe Department of Disability and Aging and Adult-Services and the Department of Child Support Services are authorized to receive and expend available federal and state contributions and grant awards for their target populations. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to make the appropriate entries to reflect the receipt and expenditure of said grant award funds and contributions. The Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing is authorized to apply surpluses among subgrants within master HUD grants to shortfalls in other subgrants.

## SECTION 11.15 FEMA, OES, Other Reimbursements.

Whenever the City and County recovers funds from any federal or state agency as reimbursement for the cost of damages resulting from earthquakes and other disasters for which the Mayor has declared a state of emergency, such funds are hereby appropriated for the purpose. The Controller is authorized to transfer such funds to the credit of the departmental appropriation which initially incurred the cost, or, if the fiscal year in which the expenses were charged has ended, to the credit of the fund which incurred the expenses. Revenues received from other governments as reimbursement for mutual aid provided by City departments are hereby appropriated for services provided.

## SECTION 11.16 Interest on Grant Funds.

Whenever the City and County earns interest on funds received from the State of California or the federal government and said interest is specifically required to be expended for the purpose for which the funds have been received, said interest is hereby appropriated in accordance with the terms under which the principal is received and appropriated.

## SECTION 11.17 Treasurer - Banking Agreements.

Whenever the Treasurer finds that it is in the best interest of the City and County to use either a compensating balance or fee for service agreement to secure banking services that benefit all participants of the pool, any funds necessary to be paid for such agreement are to be charged against interest earnings and such funds are hereby appropriated for the purpose.

The Treasurer may offset banking charges that benefit all participants of the investment pool against interest earned by the pool. The Treasurer shall allocate other bank charges and credit card processing to departments or pool participants that benefit from those services. The Controller may transfer funds appropriated in the budget to General Fund departments as necessary to support allocated charges.

## SECTION 11.18 City Buildings-Acquisition with Certificates of Participation (COPs).

Receipts in and expenditures from accounts set up for the acquisition and operation of Cityowned buildings including, but not limited to 25 Van Ness Avenue and 1660 Mission Street, are hereby appropriated for the purposes set forth in the various bond indentures through which said properties were acquired.

## SECTION 11.19 Generally Accepted Principles of Financial Statement Presentation.

The Controller is hereby authorized to make adjustments to departmental budgets as part of the year-end closing process to conform amounts to the Charter provisions and generally accepted principles of financial statement presentation, and to implement new accounting standards issued by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board and other changes in generally accepted accounting principles.

## SECTION 11.20 Fund Balance Reporting and Government Fund Type Definitions.

The Controller is authorized to establish or adjust fund type definitions for restricted, committed or assigned revenues and expenditures, in accordance with the requirements of Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement 54. These changes will be designed to enhance the usefulness of fund balance information by providing clearer fund balance classifications that can be more consistently applied and by clarifying the existing governmental fund type definitions. Reclassification of funds shall be reviewed by the City's outside auditors during their audit of the City's financial statements.

## SECTION 11.21 State Local Public Safety Fund.

Amounts received from the State Local Public Safety Fund (Sales Taxes) for deposit to the Public Safety Augmentation Fund shall be transferred to the General Fund for use in meeting eligible costs of public safety as provided by State law and said funds are appropriated for said purposes.

Said funds shall be allocated to support public safety department budgets, but not specific appropriation accounts, and shall be deemed to be expended at a rate of $75 \%$ of eligible departmental expenditures up to the full amount received. The Controller is hereby directed
to establish procedures to comply with state reporting requirements.

## SECTION 11.23 Affordable Housing Loan Repayments and Interest Earnings.

Loan repayments, proceeds of property sales in cases of defaulted loans, and interest earnings in special revenue funds designated for affordable housing are hereby appropriated for affordable housing program expenditures, including payments from loans made by the former San Francisco Redevelopment Agency and transferred to the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, the designated the housing successor agency. Expenditures shall be subject to the conditions under which each such fund was established.

## SECTION 11.24 Development Agreement Implementation Costs.

The Controller is hereby authorized to appropriate reimbursements of City costs incurred to implement development agreements approved by the Board of Supervisors, including but not limited to City staff time, consultant services and associated overhead costs to conduct plan review, inspection, and contract monitoring, and to draft, negotiate, and administer such agreements. This provision does not apply to development impact fees or other payments approved in a development agreement, which shall be appropriated by the Board of Supervisors.

## SECTION 11.25 Housing Trust Fund.

The Controller is hereby authorized to adjust appropriations as necessary to implement the movement of Housing Trust Fund revenues and expenditures from the General Fund to a special revenue fund.

The Controller shall account for appropriation of $\$ 17,600,000$ for eligible affordable housing projects in fiscal year 2021-22 as an advance of future year Housing Trust Fund allocations, and shall credit such advance against required appropriations to that fund for a period of five years, beginning in fiscal year 2023-24, in an annual amount of $\$ 3,520,000$.

## SECTION 12. Special Situations.

## SECTION 12.1 Revolving Funds.

Surplus funds remaining in departmental appropriations may be transferred to fund increases in revolving funds up to the amount authorized by the Board of Supervisors if said Board, by ordinance, has authorized an increase in said revolving fund amounts.

## SECTION 12.2 Interest Allocations.

Interest shall not be allocated to any special, enterprise, or trust fund or account unless said allocation is required by Charter, state law or specific provision in the legislation that created said fund. Any interest earnings not allocated to special, enterprise or trust funds or accounts shall be credited, by the Controller, to General Fund Unallocated Revenues.

## SECTION 12.3 Property Tax.

Consistent with the State Teeter Plan requirements, the Board of Supervisors elects to continue the alternative method of distribution of tax levies and collections in accordance with Revenue and Taxation Code Section 4701. The Board of Supervisors directs the Controller to maintain the Teeter Tax Losses Reserve Fund at an amount not less than 1\% of the total of all taxes and assessments levied on the secured roll for that year for participating entities in the county as provided by Revenue and Taxation Code Section
4703. The Board of Supervisors authorizes the Controller to make timely property tax distributions to the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure, the Treasure Island Development Authority, and City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure Financing Districts as approved by the Board of Supervisors through the budget, through development pass-through contracts, through tax increment allocation pledge agreements and ordinances, and as mandated by State law.

The Controller is authorized to adjust the budget to conform to assumptions in final approved property tax rates and to make debt service payments for approved general obligation bonds accordingly.

The Controller is authorized and directed to recover costs from the levy, collection and administration of property taxes. The tax rate for the City's General Obligation Bond Fund, approved annually by resolution of the Board of Supervisors, includes a collection fee of $0.25 \%$ of the fund collected for the purpose of the General Obligation Bond debt service. An amount sufficient to pay this fee is hereby appropriated within the General Obligation Bond Fund and the Controller is hereby authorized to pay this fee into the General Fund from the General Obligation Bond Fund.

## SECTION 12.4 New Project Reserves.

Where this Board has set aside a portion of the General Reserve for a new project or program approved by a supplemental appropriation, any funds not required for the approved supplemental appropriation shall be returned to the General Fund General Reserve by the Controller.

## SECTION 12.5 Aid Payments.

Aid paid from funds herein provided and refunded during the fiscal year hereof shall be credited to, and made available in, the appropriation from which said aid was provided.

## SECTION 12.6 Department of Public Health Transfer Payments, Indigent Health Revenues, and Realignment Funding to Offset for Low Income Health Programs. <br> To more accurately reflect the total net budget of the Department of Public Health, this ordinance shows net revenues received from certain State and Federal health programs. Funds necessary to participate in such programs that require transfer payments are hereby appropriated. The Controller is authorized to defer surplus transfer payments, indigent health revenues, and Realignment funding to offset future reductions or audit adjustments associated with funding allocations for health services for low income individuals.

## SECTION 12.7 Municipal Transportation Agency.

Consistent with the provisions of Proposition E and Proposition A creating the Municipal Transportation Agency and including the Parking and Traffic function as a part of the Municipal Transportation Agency, the Controller is authorized to make such transfers and reclassification of accounts necessary to properly reflect the provision of central services to the Municipal Transportation Agency in the books and accounts of the City. No change can increase or decrease the overall level of the City's budget.

## SECTION 12.8 Treasure Island Authority.

Should the Treasure Island property be conveyed and deed transferred from the Federal Government, the Controller is hereby authorized to make budgetary adjustments necessary to ensure that there is no General Fund impact from this conveyance, and that expenditures
of special assessment revenues conform to governmental accounting standards and requirements of the special assessment as adopted by voters and approved by the Board of Supervisors.

## SECTION 12.9 Hetch Hetchy Power Stabilization Fund.

Hetch Hetchy has entered into a long-term agreement to purchase a fixed amount of power. Any excess power from this contract will be sold back to the power market.

To limit Hetch Hetchy's risk from adverse market conditions in the future years of the contract, the Controller is authorized to establish a power stabilization account that reserves any excess revenues from power sales in the early years of the contract. These funds may be used to offset potential losses in the later years of the contract. The balance in this fund may be reviewed and adjusted annually.

The power purchase amount reflected in the Public Utility Commission's expenditure budget is the net amount of the cost of power purchased for Hetch Hetchy use. Power purchase appropriations may be increased by the Controller to reflect the pass through costs of power purchased for resale under long-term fixed contracts previously approved by the Board of Supervisors.

## SECTION 12.10 Closure of Special Funds, Projects, and Accounts

In accordance with Administrative Code Section 10.100-1(d), if there has been no expenditure activity for the past two fiscal years, a special fund or project can be closed and repealed. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to reconcile and balance funds, projects and accounts. The Controller is directed to create a clearing account for the
purpose of balancing surpluses and deficits in such funds, projects and accounts, and funding administrative costs incurred to perform such reconciliations.

## SECTION 12.11 Charter-Mandated Baseline Appropriations.

The Controller is authorized to increase or reduce budgetary appropriations as required by the Charter for baseline allocations to align allocations to the amounts required by formula based on actual revenues received during the fiscal year. Departments must obtain Board of Supervisors' approval prior to any expenditure supported by increasing baseline allocations as required under the Charter and the Municipal Code.

## SECTION 12.12 Parking Tax Allocation.

The Controller is authorized to increase or decrease final budgetary allocation of parking tax in-lieu transfers to reflect actual collections to the Municipal Transportation Agency. The Municipal Transportation Agency must obtain Board of Supervisors' approval prior to any expenditure supported by allocations that accrue to the Agency that are greater than those already appropriated in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

## SECTION 12.13 Former Redevelopment Agency Funds.

Pursuant to Board of Supervisors Ordinance 215-12, the Successor Agency to the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency (also known as the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure, or OCII) is a separate legal entity from the City and its budget is subject to separate approval by resolution of the Board of Supervisors. The Controller is authorized to transfer funds and appropriation authority between and within accounts related to former San Francisco Redevelopment Agency (SFRA) fund balances to serve the accounting requirements of the OCII, the Port, the Mayor's Office of Housing and the City

Administrator's office and to comply with State requirements and applicable bond covenants.

The Purchaser is authorized to allow the OCII and departments to follow applicable contracting and purchasing procedures of the former SFRA and waive inconsistent provisions of the San Francisco Administrative Code when managing contracts and purchasing transactions related to programs formerly administered by the SFRA.

If during the course of the budget period, the OCII requests departments to provide additional services beyond budgeted amounts and the Controller determines that the Successor Agency has sufficient additional funds available to reimburse departments for such additional services, the departmental expenditure authority to provide such services is hereby appropriated.

When $100 \%$ of property tax increment revenues for a redevelopment project area are pledged based on an agreement that constitutes an enforceable obligation, the Controller will increase or decrease appropriations to match actual revenues realized for the project area.

The Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development is authorized to act as the fiscal agent for the Public Initiatives Development Corporation (PIDC) and receive and disburse PIDC funds as authorized by the PIDC bylaws and the PIDC Board of Directors.

## SECTION 12.14 CleanPowerSF.

CleanPowerSF customer payments and all other associated revenues deposited in the

CleanPowerSF special revenue fund are hereby appropriated in the amounts actually received by the City and County in each fiscal year. The Controller is authorized to disburse the revenues appropriated by this section as well as those appropriated yet unspent from prior fiscal years to pay power purchase obligations and other operating costs as provided in the program plans and annual budgets, as approved by the Board of Supervisors for the purposes authorized therein.

## SECTION 12.15 Unclaimed Funds Escheatment Noticing \& Accounting Procedures

Pursuant to Government Code Sections 50055 and 50057, the Board of Supervisors authorizes the Treasurer to transfer to the General Fund without publication of a notice in a newspaper the following amounts that remain unclaimed in the treasury of the City and County of San Francisco or in the official custody of an officer of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of at least one year: (1) any individual items of less than \$15; and (2) any individual items of $\$ 5,000$ or less if the depositor's name is unknown. The Treasurer shall notify the Controller of transfers performed using this authorization.

## SECTION 14. Departments.

The term department as used in this ordinance shall mean department, bureau, office, utility, agency, board or commission, as the case may be. The term department head as used herein shall be the chief executive duly appointed and acting as provided in the Charter. When one or more departments are reorganized or consolidated, the former entities may be displayed as separate units, if, in the opinion of the Controller, this will facilitate accounting or reporting.
(a) The Public Utilities Commission shall be considered one entity for budget purposes
and for disbursement of funds within each of the enterprises. The entity shall retain its enterprises, including Water, Hetch Hetchy, Wastewater, and the Public Utilities Commission, as separate utility fund enterprises under the jurisdiction of the Public Utilities Commission and with the authority provided by the Charter. This section shall not be construed as a merger or completion of the Hetch Hetchy Project, which shall not be deemed completed until a specific finding of completion has been made by the Public Utilities Commission. The consolidated agency will be recognized for purposes of determining employee seniority, position transfers, budgetary authority and transfers or reappropriation of funds.
(b) There shall be a General Services Agency, headed by the City Administrator, including the Department of Public Works, the Department of Telecommunication and Information Services, and the Department of Administrative Services.

The City Administrator shall be considered one entity for budget purposes and for disbursement of funds. This budgetary structure does not affect the separate legal status of the departments placed within the entity: Administrative Services, Medical Examiner, Convention and Facilities Management, and Animal Care and Control. Each of these departmonts shall retain the duties and responsibilities of departments as provided in the Charter and the Administrative Code, including but not limited to appointing and contracting authority.
(c) There shall be a Human Services Agency, which shall be considered one entity for budget purposes and for disbursement of funds. Within the Human Services Agency shall be two departments: (1) the Department of Human Services, under the Human Services

Commission, and (2) the Department of Disability and Aging-and Adull Services ("DAAS"), under the Disability and Aging and Adult-Services Commission, includes Adult Protective Services, the Public Administrator/Public Guardian, the Mental Health Conservator, the Department of Disability and Aging and Adult-Services, the County Veterans' Service Officer, and the In-Home Supportive Services Program. This budgetary structure does not affect the legal status or structure of the two departments, unless reorganized under Charter Section 4.132. The Human Resources Director and the Controller are authorized to transfer employees, positions, and funding in order to effectuate the transfer of the program from one department to the other. The consolidated agency will be recognized for purposes of determining employee seniority, position transfers, budgetary authority and transfers or reappropriation of funds.

The departments within the Human Services Agency shall coordinate with each other and with the Disability and Aging and Adult-Services Commission to improve delivery of services, increase administrative efficiencies and eliminate duplication of efforts. To this end, they may share staff and facilities. The Aging and Adult Services Commission shall remain the Area Agency on Aging-This coordination is not intended to diminish the authority of the Disability and Aging and Adult-Services Commission over matters under the jurisdiction of the Commission.

The Director of the Aging and Adult Services Commission also may serve as the department head for DAAS, and/or as a deputy director for the Department of Human Services, but shall receive no additional compensation by virtue of an additional appointment. If an additional appointment is made, it shall not diminish the authority of the Aging and Adult Services Commission over matters under the jurisdiction of the Commission.

## SECTION 15. Travel Reimbursement and Cell Phone Stipends.

The Controller shall establish rules for the payment of all amounts payable for travel for officers and employees, and for the presentation of such vouchers as the Controller shall deem proper in connection with expenditures made pursuant to said Section. No allowance shall be made for traveling expenses provided for in this ordinance unless funds have been appropriated or set aside for such expenses in accordance with the provisions of the Charter.

The Controller may advance the sums necessary for traveling expenses, but proper account and return must be made of said sums so advanced by the person receiving the same within ten days after said person returns to duty in the City and County of San Francisco, and failure on the part of the person involved to make such accounting shall be sufficient cause for the Controller to withhold from such persons pay check or checks in a sum equivalent to the amount to be accounted.

In consultation with the Human Resources Director, the Controller shall establish rules and parameters for the payment of monthly stipends to officers and employees who use their own cells phones to maintain continuous communication with their workplace, and who participate in a Citywide program that reduces costs of City-owned cell phones.

## SECTION 16. Contributed Revenue Reserve and Audit and Adjustment Reserve.

The Controller is hereby authorized to establish a Contributed Revenue and Adjustment Reserve to accumulate receipts in excess of those estimated revenues or unexpended appropriations stated herein. Said reserve is established for the purpose of funding the budget of the subsequent year, and the receipts in this reserve are hereby appropriated for
said purpose. The Controller is authorized to maintain an Audit and Adjustment Reserve to offset audit adjustments, and to balance expenditure accounts to conform to year-end balancing and year-end close requirements.

## SECTION 17. Airport Service Payment.

The moneys received from the Airport's revenue fund as the Annual Service Payment provided in the Airline-Airport Lease and Use Agreement are in satisfaction of all obligations of the Airport Commission for indirect services provided by the City and County of San Francisco to the Commission and San Francisco International Airport and constitute the total transfer to the City's General Fund.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to transfer to the City's General Fund from the Airport revenue fund with the approval of the Airport Commission funds that constitute the annual service payment provided in the Airline - Airport Lease and Use Agreement in addition to the amount stated in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

On the last business day of the fiscal year, unless otherwise directed by the Airport Commission, the Controller is hereby authorized and directed to transfer all moneys remaining in the Airport's Contingency Account to the Airport's Revenue Fund. The Controller is further authorized and directed to return such amounts as were transferred from the Contingency Account, back to the Contingency Account from the Revenue Fund Unappropriated Surplus on the first business day of the succeeding fiscal year, unless otherwise directed by the Airport Commission.

## SECTION 18. Pooled Cash, Investments.

The Treasurer and Controller are hereby authorized to transfer available fund balances within pooled cash accounts to meet the cash management of the City, provided that special and non-subsidized enterprise funds shall be credited interest earnings on any funds temporarily borrowed therefrom at the rate of interest earned on the City Pooled Cash Fund. No such cash transfers shall be allowed where the investment of said funds in investments such as the pooled funds of the City and County is restricted by law.

## SECTION 19. Matching Funds for Federal or State Programs.

Funds contributed to meet operating deficits and/or to provide matching funds for federal or State aid (e.g. Medicaid under SB 855 or similar legislation for Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital) are specifically deemed to be made exclusively from local property and business tax sources.

## SECTION 20. Advance Funding of Bond Projects - City Departments.

Whenever the City and County has authorized appropriations for the advance funding of projects which may at a future time be funded from the proceeds of general obligation, revenue, or lease revenue bond issues or other legal obligations of the City and County, the Controller shall recover from bond proceeds or other available sources, when they become available, the amount of any interest earnings foregone by the General Fund as a result of such cash advance to disbursements made pursuant to said appropriations. The Controller shall use the monthly rate of return earned by the Treasurer on City Pooled Cash Fund during the period or periods covered by the advance as the basis for computing the amount of interest foregone which is to be credited to the General Fund.

## SECTION 21. Advance Funding of Projects - Transportation Authority.

Whenever the San Francisco County Transportation Authority requests advance funding of the costs of administration or the costs of projects specified in the City and County of San Francisco Transportation Expenditure Plan which will be funded from proceeds of the transactions and use tax as set forth in Article 14 of the Business and Tax Regulations Code of the City and County of San Francisco, the Controller is hereby authorized to make such advance. The Controller shall recover from the proceeds of the transactions and use tax when they become available, the amount of the advance and any interest earnings foregone by the City and County General Fund as a result of such cash advance funding. The Controller shall use the monthly rate of return earned by the Treasurer on General City Pooled Cash funds during the period or periods covered by the advance as the basis for computing the amount of interest foregone which is to be credited to the General Fund.

## SECTION 22. Controller to Make Adjustments, Correct Clerical Errors.

The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to adjust interdepartmental appropriations, make transfers to correct objects of expenditures classifications and to correct clerical or computational errors as may be ascertained by the Controller to exist in this ordinance. The Controller shall file with the Clerk of the Board a list of such adjustments, transfers and corrections made pursuant to this Section.

The Controller is hereby authorized to make the necessary transfers to correct objects of expenditure classifications, and corrections in classifications made necessary by changes in the proposed method of expenditure.

SECTION 22.1 Controller to Implement New Financial and Interfacing Subsystems. In order to further the implementation and adoption of the Financial and Procurement System's modules, the Controller shall have the authority to reclassify departments' appropriations to conform to the accounting and project costing structures established in the new system, as well as reclassify contract authority utilized (expended) balances and unutilized (available) balances to reflect actual spending.

## SECTION 23. Transfer of State Revenues.

The Controller is authorized to transfer revenues among City departments to comply with provisions in the State budget.

## SECTION 24. Use of Permit Revenues from the Department of Building Inspection.

Permit revenue funds from the Department of Building Inspection that are transferred to other departments as shown in this budget shall be used only to fund the planning, regulatory, enforcement and building design activities that have a demonstrated nexus with the projects that produce the fee revenues.

## SECTION 25. Board of Supervisors Official Advertising Charges.

The Board of Supervisors is authorized to collect funds from enterprise departments to place official advertising. The funds collected are automatically appropriated in the budget of the Board of Supervisors as they are received.

## SECTION 26. Work Order Appropriations.

The Board of Supervisors directs the Controller to establish work orders pursuant to Boardapproved appropriations, including positions needed to perform work order services, and
corresponding recoveries for services that are fully cost covered, including but not limited to services provided by one City department to another City department, as well as services provided by City departments to external agencies, including but not limited to the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure, the Treasure Island Development Authority, the School District, and the Community College. Revenues for services from external agencies shall be appropriated by the Controller in accordance with the terms and conditions established to perform the service.

It is the policy of the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors to allocate costs associated with the replacement of the City's financial and purchasing system to all City Departments proportional to the departments' costs and financial requirements. In order to minimize new General Fund appropriations to complete the project, the Controller is authorized and directed to work with departments to identify efficiencies and savings in their financial and administrative operations to be applied to offset their share of the costs of this project, and is authorized to apply said savings to the project.

## SECTION 26.1 Property Tax System

In order to minimize new appropriations to the property tax system replacement project, the Controller is authorized and directed to apply operational savings from the offices of the Tax Collector, Assessor, and Controller to the project. No later than June 1, 2018 the Controller shall report to the Budget and Legislative Analyst's Office and Budget and Finance Committee on the specific amount of operational savings, including details on the source of such savings, in the budgets of Tax Collector, Assessor, and Controller that are re-allocated to the Property Tax System Replacement Project

## SECTION 27. Revenue Reserves and Deferrals.

The Controller is authorized to establish fee reserve allocations for a given program to the extent that the cost of service exceeds the revenue received in a given fiscal year, including establishment of deferred revenue or reserve accounts. In order to maintain balance between budgeted revenues and expenditures, revenues realized in the fiscal year preceding the year in which they are appropriated shall be considered reserved for the purposes for which they are appropriated.

## SECTION 28. Close-Out of Reserved Appropriations.

On an annual basis, the Controller shall report the status of all reserves, their remaining balances, and departments' explanations of why funding has not been requested for release. Continuation of reserves will be subject to consideration and action by the Budget and Finance Committee or Budget and Appropriations Committee. The Controller shall close out reserved appropriations that are no longer required by the department for the purposes for which they were appropriated.

## SECTION 28.1. Reserves Placed on Expenditures by Controller.

Consistent with Charter Section 3.105 (d), the Controller is authorized to reserve expenditures in the City's budget equal to uncertain revenues, as deemed appropriate by the Controller. The Controller is authorized to remove, transfer, and update reserves to expenditures in the budget as revenue estimates are updated and received in order to maintain City operations.

## SECTION 29. Appropriation Control of Capital Improvement Projects and Equipment.

 Unless otherwise exempted in another section of the Administrative Code or AnnualAppropriation Ordinance, and in accordance with Administrative Code Section 3.18, departments may transfer funds from one Board-approved capital project to another Boardapproved capital project. The Controller shall approve transfers only if they do not materially change the size or scope of the original project. Annually, the Controller shall report to the Board of Supervisors on transfers of funds that exceed $10 \%$ of the original appropriation to which the transfer is made.

The Controller is authorized to approve substitutions within equipment items purchased to equip capital facilities providing that the total cost is within the Board-approved capital project appropriation.

The Controller is authorized to transfer approved appropriations between departments to correctly account for capitalization of fixed assets.

## SECTION 30. Business Improvement Districts.

Proceeds from all special assessments levied on real property included in the propertybased business improvement districts in the City and County of San Francisco are hereby appropriated in the respective amounts actually received by the City and County in such fiscal year for each such district.

The Controller is authorized to disburse the assessment revenues appropriated by this section to the respective Owners' Associations (as defined in Section 36614.5 of the Streets and Highways Code) for such districts as provided in the management district plans, resolutions establishing the districts, annual budgets and management agreements, as approved by the Board of Supervisors for each such district, for the purposes authorized
therein. The Tourism Improvement District and Moscone Expansion Business Improvement District assessments are levied on gross hotel room revenue and are collected and distributed by the Tax Collector's Office.

## SECTION 31. Infrastructure Financing and Infrastructure Revitalization Financing

 Districts.Pursuant to California Government Code Section 53395 et seq. (IFD Law), the Board of Supervisors has formed Infrastructure Financing (IFD) and Infrastructure Revitalization Financing (IRFD) Districts within the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes the Controller to transfer funds and appropriation authority between and within accounts related to City and County of San Francisco IFDs and IRFDs to serve accounting and State requirements, the latest approved Infrastructure Financing Plan for a District, and applicable bond covenants.

When $100 \%$ of the portion of property tax increment normally appropriated to the City and County of San Francisco's General Fund or Special Revenue Fund or to the County's Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF) is instead pledged, based on Board of Supervisors Ordinance, the Controller may increase or decrease appropriations to match actual revenues realized for the IFD or IRFD. Any increases to appropriations would be consistent with the Financing Plan previously approved by the Board of Supervisors.

| IFD/IRFD No / Title | Ordinance | Estimated Tax Increment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IFD 2 Port Infrastructure Financing District Subproject Area Pier 70 G-1 Historic Core | 27-16 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { FY 2020-21 } \\ \$ \quad 733,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { FY 2021-22 } \\ \$ \quad 749,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| IFD 2 Port Infrastructure Financing District Subproject Areas Pier 70 G-2, G-3, and G-4 | 220-18 | \$ | \$ |
| IFD 2 Port Infrastructure Financing District Subproject Area I (Mission Rock) | 34-18 | \$ | \$ 360,000 |
| IRFD 1 Treasure Island Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District | 21-17 | \$ 2,931,000 | \$ 4,475,000 |
| IRFD 2 Hoedown Yard Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District | 348-18 | \$ | \$ |


| IFD/IRFD No / Title | Ordinance | Estimated Tax Increment |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FY 2021-22 |  | FY 2022-23 |  |
| IFD 2 Port Infrastructure Financing District Subproject Area Pier 70 G-1 Historic Core | 27-16 | \$ | 749,000 | \$ | 762,000 |
| IFD 2 Port Infrastructure Financing District Subproject Areas Pier 70 G-2, G-3, and G-4 | 220-18 | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| IFD 2 Port Infrastructure Financing District Subproject Area I (Mission Rock) | 34-18 | \$ | 360,000 | \$ | 368,000 |
| IRFD 1 Treasure Island Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District | 21-17 | \$ | 4,475,000 | \$ | 6,552,000 |
| IRFD 2 Hoedown Yard Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District | 348-18 | \$ | - | \$ | - |

## SECTION 32. COVID-19 Response and Economic Loss Contingency Reserve

 Five hundred and seven million dollars $(\$ 507,000,000)$ of unassigned fund balance from fiscal year 2019-20 is hereby assigned to a budget contingeney reserve for the purpose of managing costs related to the coronavirus public health emergency and revenue shortfalls caused by the effect of the pandemic on the state-and local tax bases, as well as mitigating uncertainty around future funding from the Fedoral Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and voter approval of November 2020 business tax measures assumed in the fiscal year 2020-21 and 2021-22 proposed budget. This consolidated reserve is comprised of unassigned fund balance reserved for other contingencies of three hundred and eight million $(\$ 308,000,000)$ and the available balances of the Rainy Day One Time Spending1 Account, the Budget Stabilization-Reserve-One Time Spending-Account, and the Budget 2 Savings Incentive Fund. This assignment shall not be included in the calculations of deposits to the Budget Stabilization Reserve described in Administrative Gode Section 10.60 (c).

## SECTION 32. Federal and State Emergency Grant Disallowance Reserve.

One hundred million dollars $(\$ 100,000,000)$ of unassigned fund balance from fiscal year 2020-21 is hereby assigned to a budget contingency reserve for the purpose of managing revenue shortfalls related to reimbursement disallowances from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and other state and federal agencies. This reserve is comprised of a portion of the remaining balance of the COVID-19 Response and Economic Loss Contingency Reserve. This assignment shall not be included in the calculations of deposits to the Budget Stabilization Reserve described in Administrative Code Section 10.60 (c).

## SECTION 32.1 Fiscal Cliff Reserve.

Two hundred ninety-three million and nine hundred thousand dollars $(\$ 293,900,000)$ of unassigned fund balance from fiscal year 2020-21 is hereby assigned to a budget contingency reserve for the purpose of managing projected budget shortfalls following the spend down of federal and state stimulus funds and other one-time sources used to balance the fiscal year 2021-22 and fiscal year 2022-23 budget. This reserve is comprised of the balance of the COVID-19 Response and Economic Loss Contingency Reserve (COVID Reserve) remaining after funding the Federal and State Emergency Grant Disallowance Reserve in Section 32 and accounting for the use of the COVID Reserve in the fiscal year 2021-22 and fiscal year 2022-23 budget. This assignment shall not be included in the
calculations of deposits to the Budget Stabilization Reserve described in Administrative Code Section 10.60 (c).

## SECTION 32.2. Federal and State Emergency Revenue Revisions.

The Controller is authorized to revise approved revenue budgets for federal and state emergency-related revenues to manage timing differences and cash flow needs driven by changing granting agencies' guidance and approvals, provided that such adjustments shall not change cumulative total revenue budgets in a given fund for the period from fiscal years 2020-21 through 2022-23. Such revisions shall not change approved expenditure authority. The Controller shall report any such revisions to the Mayor and Board within 30 days of their enactment.

## SECTION 33. Federal, State and Local Match Sources \& Uses Accounting for COVID19 Emergency.

The Controller is authorized to adjust federal and state sources appropriations to reflect eligible costs by authorized spending category, to ensure cost reimbursement recovery revenues are maximized, and to align eligible costs to the appropriate federal or state fund, provided there is no net increase or decrease to COVID-19 emergency response revenues or expenditures. Adjustments may be made across fiscal years-2019-20 and 2020-21, and any balances available on June 30, 20201 are assigned for COVID-19 emergency response uses in fiscal year 20201-212.

## SECTION 33.1 Emergency Transfers of Funds.

The Controller shall each month report any transfer of appropriated or unappropriated funds adopted pursuant to the Mayor's COVID-19 Emergency Order.

## SECTION 34. Transbay Joint Powers Authority Financing.

Sources received for purposes of payment of debt service for the approved and issued Transbay Community Facilities District special tax bonds and the approved and drawn City bridge loan to the Transbay Joint Powers Authority are hereby appropriated.

## SECTION 35. Administration of Appropriation Advances to Contested Taxes.

Rovenue collected pursuant to three contested tazes approved by voters in 2018 (June 2018 Prop C Early Care and Education Commercial Rents Tax ordinance, June 2018 Prop G Living Wage for Educators Parcel Tax, and November 2018 Prop C Homelessness Gross Rocoipts Tax ordinance) will not be available for appropriation until the conclusion of litigation. General Fund appropriations in the budget for legally eligible expenditures for each of these measures shall be treated as advances to address the policy goals of these measures pending the outcome of this litigation. Should the City provail in litigation, the General Fund will be reimbursed for these advances. The Controller is authorized to recategorize appropriations to facilitate the administration of this section.

Measures proposed for the November 2020 ballot would amend the Business and Tax Regulations Code to increase the gross receipts tax on certain taxpayers and impose a new general tax on the gross recoipts from the lease of cortain commercial space if the contested 2018 tax measures are struck down. Should voters approve these measures, the Controller is authorized to recategorize appropriations to facilitate the administration of this section, and is also directed to establish a Business Tax Stabilization Account for the purpose of equalizing the benefit of the advance repayment assumed in the budget year over future fiscal years.

## SECTION 36. Equity Investment Report.

The Human Rights Commission shall submit a report to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors detailing the final investment plan for various appropriated equity investments following the completion of a community-driven planning process.
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## Mayor's Letter

June 1, 2021

Dear Residents of San Francisco,

I am honored to present the proposed balanced budget for the City and County of San Francisco for Fiscal Years (FY) 2021-2O22 and 2O22-2O23.

Over the last two years our City has weathered significant challenges. At the beginning of 2020 we were experiencing historic low unemployment and strong economic activity. That changed rapidly with the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic and continues to change as we begin re-opening our economy.

Just a few months ago this upcoming budget process was poised to be painful and challenging. After closing an unprecedented $\$ 1.5$ billion shortfall the prior year, without layoffs or major cuts to public services, we were projecting a new $\$ 653.2$ million shortfall for the coming two years. Since then, the relief the City received from the American Rescue Plan has helped ensure we will be able to balance our budget without making deep cuts. Essentially, it will allow us to focus on helping our City and residents recover from the impacts of the pandemic and ensure our financial resiliency.

Our response to COVID-19 has been hailed as a national model. We have the lowest death rate of any major city in the United States and nearly 80 percent of our eligible residents are vaccinated. But in order to ensure all of our vulnerable communities have the opportunity to recover, this budget includes funding to sustain key COVID-19 responses including support for food security, shelter, vaccine distribution, testing, and the winding down of emergency operations.

Our small businesses, neighborhood commercial corridors, downtown core, and workers have suffered tremendously in the last year. San Francisco cannot recover without investing in our small businesses and our workforce. My budget includes significant funding to enhance job opportunities, provide financial assistance to small businesses, and direct economic relief to residents. We know that our recovery must have a focus on equity, so our recovery funding will have a focus on

an equitable recovery for those communities that were hit harder by this pandemic, and who frankly have suffered from disparate impacts long before COVID-19.

This budget also advances critical efforts to make our city a safer, cleaner, and more welcoming place for visitors and residents. This includes adding community ambassadors, who will greet and welcome visitors back to sections of the downtown corridor, the Tenderloin, Mid-Market, and UN Plaza areas. It includes funding for two police academy classes each year to ensure the department maintains its staffing levels as officers retire. We are also making significant investments to support victims' services and non-police focused responses to public safety issues, such as our street outreach teams who respond to individuals experiencing behavioral health crises and substance use disorder on our streets. The Community Guardians, who work throughout our city, will continue to build racial solidarity between our African American and Asian American communities.

We will make bold and meaningful investments in addressing homelessness and behavioral health needs of unhoused people in our City. Between the plans we announced last year in our Homelessness Recovery plan and the new investments we will make in this budget, this includes funding for 10,000 placements for people on the street, 2,500 new units of permanent supportive housing, and over 640 treatment beds. Preventing homelessness in the first place is also a key strategy. My budget will support over 7,000 households who are at risk of becoming homelessness and distribute $\$ 90$ million in rental assistance to tenants who are behind on their rent.

This budget also maintains our ongoing funding commitment to the Dream Keeper Initiative which aims to improve outcomes for San Francisco's African American residents through investments in economic and workforce development, accountability and systems change, health and wellbeing, housing and homeownership, education, and the arts.

Our kids and families have had a difficult year. My budget focuses additional resources on helping our young people recover from a year of learning loss by expanding our Community Hubs to
provide in-person programming for over 20,000 kids this summer, enhance educational support, tutoring, student mental health, and support to reopen our schools.

In addition to all of these critical new investments, we must also prioritize the long term economic stability of the City so we are prepared to weather the next emergency. My budget responsibly utilizes one-time funding, reduces our structural deficit, and creates reserves to ensure we have future financial security.

These are just some key highlights of this budget, but the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic reverberated through our entire City. We are also addressing other priorities, including the arts, transportation, infrastructure, affordable housing, and a wide range of other critical issues that are essential for San Francisco's success. I look forward to continuing to work with residents, stakeholders, and the Board of Supervisors to pass a budget that reflects our shared values.


London N. Breed
Mayor

# Executive Summary SAN FRANCISCO'S BUDGET 

The budget for the City and County of San Francisco (the City) for Fiscal Years (FY) 2021-22 and FY 2022-23 is $\$ 13.1$ billion and $\$ 12.8$ billion, respectively. Just over half of the budget consists of selfsupporting activities, primarily at the City's Enterprise departments, which focus on City-related business operations and include the Port, the Municipal Transportation Agency, the Airport, and the Public Utilities Commission. General Fund monies comprise the remaining half, which support public services such as public health, housing, support for those experiencing homelessness, safety and fire services, parks management, and others.

The City receives funds into its General Fund from a combination of local tax revenues, such as property,
transfer, sales, hotel, and business taxes, as well as state and federal resources, and fees for service.

Each year, the City makes decisions on how to allocate the City's budget based on the resources that are available and the priorities and needs of the City and its residents. The table below summarizes total spending in each of the next two years in the City's Major Service Areas.

The City and County of San Francisco is also a major employer. The proposed budget for FY 2O21-22 includes salaries and benefits for 32,217 employees. This represents a 1.4 percent growth in the labor force compared to the FY 2020-21 budget.

| Total Department Uses by <br> Major Service Area | FY 2021-22 <br> (\$ millions) | FY 2022-23 <br> $(\$$ millions $)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Community Health | $2,821.4$ | $2,757.9$ |
| Culture and Recreation | 535.6 | 499.7 |
| General Administration and Finance | $1,484.3$ | $1,392.8$ |
| General City Responsibilities | $1,613.6$ | $1,456.4$ |
| Human Welfare and Neighborhood Development | $2,458.2$ | $2,325.9$ |
| Public Protection | $1,753.6$ | $1,784.1$ |
| Public Works, Transportation and Commerce | $4,731.9$ | $4,725.6$ |
| Less Transfer Adjustments | $(2,316.8)$ | $(2,184.1)$ |
| Total Budget | $\mathbf{1 3 , 0 8 1 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 7 5 8 . 3}$ |

## BUDGET PROCESS

The City's budget process begins in September with preliminary revenue projections for the upcoming budget years. In December, the Mayor's Office and the Controller's Office issue budget instructions to departments, which contain detailed guidance on the preparation of departments' budget requests. Departments then prepare their budget requests and submit them to the Controller by mid-February. The Controller consolidates, verifies, and refines the departments' proposed budgets, and turns the proposals over to the Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance. From March through May, the Mayor's Office analyzes each budget proposal, examining policy and service implications, in order to meet
citywide needs and reflect the Mayor's goals and priorities for the upcoming year.

Concurrently, the Mayor conducts budget outreach with community members to obtain feedback on budget priorities. From February through May, the Mayor and the Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance staff conduct a comprehensive outreach process to understand the budget priorities of San Francisco's communities. For the proposed budget for FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23, the Mayor and her office hosted a public meeting to obtain input on budget priorities and two town hall meetings. The Mayor also met with dozens of community groups
and stakeholders to understand community members' neighborhood and citywide priorities for the upcoming budget. All San Franciscans had the opportunity to share their budget feedback and priorities by emailing the Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance. Feedback from these various forums was used to make decisions about the upcoming budget.

The Mayor presents a balanced two-year budget proposal for departments by the first business day in June of each year. The Board of Supervisors' Budget and Appropriations Committee holds public hearings on the budget in June, makes recommendations for approval, and makes changes to the budget before it goes to the full Board. The entire budget is heard and must be voted on and approved by the full Board of Supervisors by August 1st. Finally, the budget returns to the Mayor for signature and final adoption.

In November of 2009, voters passed Proposition A, which amended the City Charter to require the City to transition to a two-year budget cycle for all departments beginning in FY 2012-13. Four departments have closed fixed two-year budgets (the Municipal Transportation Agency, the Public Utilities Commission, the Airport, and the Port). These four departments' budgets were open last year and are closed this year. However, due to
changes in revenue projections and operation expenditure needs, the Airport, Port, and Public Utilities Commission will be amending their existing FY 2021-22 budgets. All other departments retain a rolling two-year budget.

## The Five-Year Financial Plan and Budget Instructions for Fiscal Years 2021-22 and 2022-23

In January 2021, the Mayor's Office, along with the Controller's Office and the Board of Supervisors' Budget and Legislative Analyst, released the City's Five-Year Financial Plan, which projected a General Fund deficit of $\$ 411.1$ million in FY 2021-22 and $\$ 242.1$ million in FY 2022-23, for a cumulative shortfall of $\$ 653.2$ million.

The January 2021 projected shortfall was meaningfully higher than deficits the City had faced in the most recent budget cycles, but was much less than the $\$ 1.5$ billion shortfall the City faced in the previous budget cycle. The projected shortfall was largely driven by slower-than-expected revenue recovery, future costs to respond to COVID-19, and unbudgeted labor costs. In order to close the projected shortfall, the Mayor issued budget instructions to departments requesting reduction proposals of 7.5 percent of ongoing adjusted General Fund support, with a 2.5 percent contingency should fiscal conditions worsen.

| Five-Year General Fund Shortfall: January 2021 (\$ Millions) | FY 2021-22 | FY 2022-23 | FY 2022-24 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sources Increase / (Decrease) | $(117.6)$ | 268.5 | 487.2 |
| Uses (Increase) / Decrease | $(293.6)$ | $(510.6)$ | $(810.9)$ |
| Projected Cumulative Surplus / (Shortfall) | $(411.1)$ | $(242.1)$ | $(323.7)$ |
| Two-Year Deficit | $(653.2)$ |  |  |

## Update to Prior Projections

In March 2021, the three offices released an update to the Five-Year Financial Plan, known as the March Update. For the upcoming two fiscal years, the projected two-year shortfall was reduced to $\$ 22.9$ million, an improvement of $\$ 630.3$ million from the $\$ 653.2$ million deficit projected in the January report.

This large shift in projections was driven by the anticipated federal aid from the Coronavirus Local Fiscal Recovery Fund of the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 (ARPA), a modest increase in local tax revenue projections, altered reserve assumptions,
reduced projected cost to respond to COVID-19 in the upcoming fiscal year, and savings in projected salary and benefits costs. President Biden signed ARPA on March 11, 2021, which among many other things, provides direct and indirect funding to states and local governments. San Francisco's direct allocation, initially estimated at $\$ 636.0$ million, but confirmed to be $\$ 624.8$ million, will be received in two equal tranches, one in the current year (FY 2020-21) and one in FY 2021-22. The budget assumes the first half of the funds will be spent in FY 2021-22 and second half in FY 2022-23.

While the two-year projected deficit declined significantly from the January projection, it is largely due to one-time federal stimulus revenue. Even with assumed recovery of local revenues, the City continues to face a persistent structural deficit in
later years of the forecast, due in part to rising employee costs, increasing voter mandated baselines and set-asides, increased local support of existing entitlement programs, and growing citywide operating costs.

| Five-Year General Fund Shortfall: March Update (\$ Millions) | FY 2021-22 | FY 2022-23 | FY 2023-24 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sources Increase / (Decrease) | 255.8 | 466.0 | 577.5 |
| Uses (Increase) / Decrease | $(240.0)$ | $(504.7)$ | $(928.3)$ |
| Projected Cumulative Surplus / (Shortfall) | $\mathbf{1 5 . 8}$ | $(38.7)$ | $(350.7)$ |
| Two-Year Deficit | $(22.9)$ |  |  |

## BALANCING THE BUDGET

The projected two-year General Fund deficit of $\$ 22.9$ million was a dramatic improvement from last year's budget shortfall and from the initial projected deficit from January 2021, largely due to significant one-time sources that temporarily make up for the loss of local tax revenues as a result of COVID-19. However, while these one-time sources have allowed the City to avoid the painful cuts it otherwise would have needed to make in order to balance the budget, the non-recurring nature of the revenue requires the City to be measured in incurring new,
ongoing expenditures that would exacerbate the projected structural deficit as these one-time sources expire. Therefore, the Mayor's proposed budget seeks to be responsive to the City's most urgent needs as it exits the pandemic, while preserving long term financial sustainability.

The Mayor's proposed budget was balanced through a combination of revenue and expenditure solutions, while investing in key priorities such as COVID-19 recovery, behavioral health and

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY 2021-22 } \\ & \text { (\$ millions) } \end{aligned}$ | FY 2022-23 <br> (\$ millions) | FY 2023-24 (\$ millions) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Projected Surplus/(Shortfall) | 15.8 | (38.7) | (350.4) |
| Balancing Solutions |  |  |  |
| Starting Fund Balance | 157.6 | - | - |
| General Fund Revenue Improvement, net of baselines and reserves | 84.8 | 32.2 | 14.4 |
| Departmental Revenue Improvement | 97.8 | 25.1 | 24.1 |
| Savings in Projected COVID Response Costs | 26.3 | (14.0) | - |
| Use of COVID Reserve | 99.5 | 14.0 | - |
| Employee Pension Improvement | (6.0) | (4.1) | 38.4 |
| Misc. Citywide \& Departmental Savings | 32.3 | 88.9 | 65.8 |
| Total Solutions | 492.3 | 142.1 | 142.7 |
| General Fund Investments |  |  |  |
| Revised Use of Economic Stabilization Reserve | (187.9) | - | - |
| COVID Response \& Recovery Initiatives | (101.6) | (15.5) | (3.6) |
| Capital \& One-Time Projects | (89.7) | (3.3) | (3.3) |
| Affordable Housing | (50.6) | - | - |
| Nonprofit Sustainability | (38.2) | (38.2) | (38.2) |
| Public Safety, Justice Innovations, \& Victims' Services | (28.1) | (37.2) | (37.4) |
| Behavioral Health \& Homelessness (non-Prop C) | (10.4) | (7.5) | (7.5) |
| Citywide Equity Investments | (1.6) | (1.7) | (1.8) |
| Total General Fund Investments | (508.1) | (103.4) | (91.8) |
| Adjusted Surplus/Shortfall | - | - | (299.5) |

homelessness, public safety and justice reforms, and racial equity.

General Fund balancing solutions include:

- Revenue \& Fund Balance - The Mayor's proposed budget includes several notable revenue and fund balance assumptions:
- Fund Balance: This budget appropriates projected FY 2020-21 surplus of $\$ 157.6$ million as starting fund balance in FY 2021-22. Additionally, the two-year budget assumes $\$ 624.8$ million of American Recovery Plan Act (ARPA) funding as fund balance, spread evenly over two years. This final allocation to San Francisco is slightly lower than estimated in the March Update.
- General Fund Revenue Improvement: Revenue increases are driven largely by: improvements in excess ERAF property tax revenue per updated state guidance; growth in real property transfer tax due anticipated increases in large commercial real estate transfers and rates increases from November 2020 Proposition I; and growth in business tax as the City continues its economic recovery. Other local tax revenues, particularly hotel, sales, and parking taxes, are projected to remain below pre-pandemic levels in the budget years, but experience growth as the City regains visitors, commuters, and residents.
- Departmental Revenue Improvement: In the Five-Year Financial Report, several departments, including the Department of Recreation and Parks, the Fire Department, and City Planning, projected continued revenue weakness due to ongoing impacts of COVID-19. Since the time of that report, departments have reported improved revised revenue projections, due to the acceleration of recovery and reopening efforts in San Francisco in recent months.
- Savings in Projected COVID-19 Costs and Use of COVID-19 Reserve - The March Update assumed a higher local cost to respond to COVID-19 in the upcoming fiscal year. Lower expected costs and use of the City's COVID-19 reserve to fund those expenditures in the proposed two-year budget result in savings compared to prior projections.
- Employee Pension Improvement - Better-than-expected pension returns in FY 2020-21 result in near-term increased costs, but significant savings in FY 2023-24.
- Miscellaneous Departmental and Citywide Savings - The Mayor's proposed budget includes a number of savings across citywide and departmental cost centers, including savings in debt payment costs due to project timeline changes, and savings from departments absorbing some non-personnel inflationary costs.

The Mayor's proposed budget focuses discretionary General Fund spending in targeted priority areas:

- Maintains Balance of Economic Stabilization Reserve - The March Update to the Five-Year Financial assumed $\$ 187.9$ million use of the City's Economic Stabilization Reserve. The Mayor's Proposed Budget does not include that previously projected use of reserves, which represents a cost of $\$ 187.9$ million compared to reserve use assumptions in the Five-Year Financial Plan.
- COVID-19 Response \& Recovery Initiatives
- The proposed budget provides approximately $\$ 384$ million in funding over the two-years for COVID-19 response and recovery initiatives. These initiatives include additional support for emergency response programs in FY 2021-22, as well as additional community-based recovery supports for food security, vaccines, testing, mental health, workforce and small business relief, community hubs, arts, culture, and recreation.
- Capital \& One-time Projects - The proposed budget includes $\$ 208$ million for projects from the City's Capital Plan, including street and parks infrastructure improvements, an expansion of fiber to affordable housing sites, and community facility improvements. The proposed budget also includes funding to replace aging equipment in the Fire and Police departments, as well as funding to purchase a site for the LGBT Cultural Museum.
- Affordable Housing - The proposed budget provides $\$ 10$ million in new General Fund funding in FY 2021-22 to support new, innovative housing acquisitions through the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development. The twoyear budget also includes significant investments for infrastructure costs at the Hunters View HOPE SF site, and funding to support gap financing for an affordable housing project in SOMA.
- Nonprofit Sustainability - The proposed budget includes $\$ 76.4$ million over the two years for Cost of Doing Business (CODB), annualizing the one-time FY 2020-21 3 percent CODB, and funding an additional 3 percent ongoing increase in FY 2021-22 for General Fund-supported nonprofit contracts.
- Public Safety, Justice Innovations, and Victims' Services - The proposed budget includes $\$ 65$ million over the two years to support public safety, alternatives to law enforcement response, and enhanced victims' services.
- Behavioral Health \& Homelessness (non-Prop C) - The Mayor's proposed budget leverages federal, state, and local dollars to invest over \$1.3 billion in homelessness and behavioral health. This historic investment includes additional General Fund support for safe parking sites and treatment programs.
- Citywide Equity Investments - The Mayor's proposed budget includes new funding for increased citywide equity initiative coordination, a citywide employee climate survey, and a new ongoing racial equity training for City employees.

Together, these solutions and expenditure increases result in a balanced two-year budget. Additional details can be found in the next section entitled "Highlights from the Fiscal Years 2021-22 and 202223 Budget.

## HIGHLIGHTS FROM THE FISCAL YEARS 2021-22 AND 2022-23 BUDGET

The Mayor's proposed FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23 budget closes the projected $\$ 22.9$ million two-year shortfall, and utilizes additional resources to make critical investments in:

- COVID-19 response and recovery;
- Public safety, justice innovations, and victims' services;
- Homelessness and behavioral health;
- Nonprofit sustainability and citywide equity initiatives;
- Capital and affordable housing; and
- Children and youth


## COVID-19 Response and Recovery

While COVID-19 case rates remain low and vaccination rates are on the rise, the City will require an ongoing, yet reduced, COVID-19 response and recovery program lasting into the coming fiscal year and beyond. Starting in FY 2021-22, emergency operations functions will be integrated into normal departmental operations, making them more administratively efficient and operationally sustainable. The Mayor's proposed budget also includes significant levels of one-time
funding for enhanced economic recovery and community support programs, specifically targeted at populations most disproportionately impacted by the pandemic. Integral to all current and continuing COVID-19 response efforts are the communitybased organizations who provide critical services including culturally and linguistically competent outreach and education for contact tracing and case investigation, outbreak management, community engagement, food access, and shelter support.

The Mayor's proposed budget invests approximately $\$ 384.2$ million over the next two years for these COVID-19 response and recovery efforts, with approximately $\$ 50$ million of reimbursement expected from the Federal Emergency Management Administration (FEMA). Various state and federal grants, unexpended funds from FY 2020-21, and an appropriation of $\$ 113.5$ million from the City's COVID reserve supports the remainder of the City's COVID-19 response and recovery efforts.

Major response and recovery initiatives funded in the Mayor's proposed budget include:

## Food Security

Even as San Francisco moves towards reopening, the City expects to see increased levels of food insecurity throughout FY 2021-22. This budget invests $\$ 41.4$ million for food security programs, largely administered through community-based partners. These providers distribute food through both walk-up and drive-up pantries, as well as home-delivered groceries. Additionally, the Mayor's proposed budget provides a significant investment in the Department of Disability and Aging Services Nutrition and Great Plates Delivered programs, which ensure that at-risk seniors and individuals with disabilities in San Francisco have access to daily meals.

## Workforce, Small Business, and Economic Relief

As the City emerges from this unexpected moment of economic crisis, San Francisco's small businesses, workforce, and vulnerable residents will require continued supports to recover from the stark impacts of the pandemic. The Mayor's proposed budget invests $\$ 19.8$ million to enhance workforce, small business, and economic relief investments for impacted communities. The Mayor's proposed budget also includes $\$ 6$ million to maintain support for the Community Resource Hubs, which provide a variety of support and referral services targeted to
low-income and vulnerable communities, through light-touch services to address residents' immediate needs and directly connect them with essential services. The Mayor's proposed budget also includes $\$ 6$ million to support various arts, cultural, and recreational programming that will be essential to revitalizing the City and its most impacted communities as the City emerges from COVID-19.

## COVID-19 Shelter Response

Throughout FY 2020-21, the City and County of San Francisco sheltered approximately 2,500 unhoused COVID-19 vulnerable individuals in the Shelter-in-Place (SIP) hotels, allowing individuals experiencing homelessness to safely shelter during the pandemic. As the City continues to recover from the public health emergency, the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HSH) is initiating an exit plan to demobilize the SIP hotels. Through this demobilization process, HSH is beginning one of the largest rehousing initiatives in San Francisco history; in order to make this possible, the Mayor's proposed budget invests in the expansion of Permanent Support Housing (PSH) and scattered site housing. The Mayor's proposed budget also continues funding for Safe Sleep, which provides unhoused individuals a safe space to camp and maintain social distancing during the COVID-19 pandemic, at or near its current capacity of over 250 tents in FY 2021-22, and assumes a ramp-down of the program in FY 2022-23. Together, the Mayor's proposed twoyear budget invests $\$ 146.9$ million in these various COVID-19 shelter response programs.

## Public Health Response and Operations

The Mayor's proposed budget invests $\$ 153.2$ million to sustain various COVID-19 health operations and initiatives. The Department of Public Health will continue to focus on vaccination efforts in FY 2021-22, with an emphasis on project management, strategic outreach, active engagement with community and health system collaborative partners, and coordinated targeted events to reach "hard-to-reach" individuals as well as children 2-11 years old. This budget investment also continues medical, behavioral, and wraparound services in Shelter-in-Place locations, as well as to support COVID-19 data intelligence efforts such as the maintenance of all current dashboard and reports.

The Mayor's proposed budget includes $\$ 5.8$ million to support the City's COVID-19 Command Center,
which houses and supports the following major functions: providing a consistent voice for health protocols through the Joint Information Center (JIC), storing and distributing personal protective equipment (PPE), and managing the high-volume vaccination sites (HVV) at City College, Moscone Convention Center, and the SF Market. These operations are expected to continue through the first half of the fiscal year.

At the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020, San Francisco initiated a comprehensive, datadriven, and public health-focused response to the ongoing health threats and economic challenges facing the City and its residents. The most up-todate information regarding the City's response to COVID-19, as well as details about how to access City services, can be found at www.sf.gov/topics/ coronavirus-covid-19.

In addition to the approximately $\$ 384$ million of COVID-19 response investments described above, the Mayor's proposed budget allocates additional funding for the following economic recovery investments:

## Downtown Ambassadors and Activation

It is essential for the City's long-term economic vitality that San Francisco welcome back office workers, tourists, and conventions. The Mayor's proposed two-year budget makes targeted investments centered around activating downtown spaces, and making the City a safer, more welcoming place for visitors and residents. Over the next two years, the Mayor's proposed budget will invest $\$ 25.6$ million in various programs aimed at safety, beautification, and activation of key downtown areas. This investment will support additional community ambassadors, who will welcome visitors and office workers back to sections of the downtown corridor, the Tenderloin, MidMarket, and UN Plaza.

The Mayor's proposed budget also includes \$4.6 million over the two years to provide convention rent incentives at the Moscone Convention Center. This investment will help to attract convention business back to San Francisco by reducing the cost for events, making the City a more attractive place for returning convention business.

## Hotel Tax for the Arts

Proposition E, passed by the voters in 2018, allocates a portion of the City's hotel tax revenue to various
arts and culture programs. Significant reductions in hotel tax revenue as a result of COVID-19 has led to projected funding shortfalls for these arts programs and organizations. The Mayor's proposed budget includes $\$ 16.2$ million to fully backfill the projected two-year shortfall, ensuring that arts programs and organizations can continue to operate and recover, and providing sufficient funding to allow programs funded through Proposition E to grow by 10 percent in FY 2021-22 and another 10 percent in FY 202223 to a total of $\$ 28.5$ million and $\$ 31.4$ million, respectively.

## Support for Addressing Student Learning Loss \& Education Recovery

Throughout the current fiscal year, the City invested over $\$ 60$ million for the Community Hubs Initiative (CHI) to support San Franciscans' most marginalized students and families impacted by school closures due to COVID-19, limited access to technology, inequitable access to healthy meals, and other factors that interrupted learning and emotional wellness due to COVID-19. During the school year, the CHIs provided in-person support to over 2,000 students. To expand its reach during the summer, the City will collaborate with San Francisco Unified School District, community organizations, and philanthropy to expand the CHI program into the 'Summer Together' Initiative, providing full-day, in-person programming for over 20,000 kids for throughout summer 2021.

The proposed budget also recognizes the continued work needed even as schools re-open to help lift these students and their families out of the profound impacts of the pandemic. The Mayor's proposed budget invests $\$ 15$ million of one-time funding to address learning loss through enhancing and expanding educational supports, out-of-schooltime programming, and targeted high dose tutoring interventions.

## Women and Families First Initiative

The Mayor's proposed budget includes $\$ 6.0$ million for the Women and Families First Initiative, intended to create opportunities for women and their children who are disproportionately impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic.

The Women and Families First Initiative, a partnership with the Office of Economic and Workforce Development (OEWD), the Human Rights Commission (HRC), the Department on the

Status of Women (DOSW), and non-profit service providers, will offer training programs that lead to career opportunities for up to 300 women in the fields of healthcare, technology, construction and emerging industries, with additional support for women who were laid off in the hospitality industry. In addition, the Office of Early Care and Education will support women with children as they return to work by offering a local childcare tuition credit to moderate-income families who struggle to afford the cost of childcare, offering support for up to 800 children and their families.

## Trans Basic Income Pilot

The Mayor's Proposed Budget includes $\$ 2$ million over the two years to support a guaranteed income pilot for the City's trans community. This program will provide up to 130 trans or gender nonconforming San Franciscans with \$1,000 a month for either 6 months or a full year. This pilot will also include financial literacy education and wrap-around services to help participants improve their longterm economic status.

## Jobs Now Workforce Program

Additionally, the Mayor's proposed budget includes over $\$ 22.0$ million in FY 2021-22 and FY 2O22-23 for the JobsNow program in the Human Services Agency (HSA), which offers a broad array of employment services to clients who receive public benefits and are seeking opportunities towards self-sufficiency. Clients receive employment services tailored to their current level of jobreadiness. The program ranges from soft skills training, Public Service Trainee (PST) internships with City departments, to job placements with local employers through the Wage Subsidy program. In addition to helping clients obtain work experience, the Wage Subsidy program financially assists local businesses by subsidizing the labor costs of the JobsNow program participant who work for them. The JobsNow program will serve over 2,500 individuals each year.

## HSA Working Families Credit

In FY 2021-22, HSA expects to administer \$1.0 million in Working Families Credit (WFC) to around 4,000 recipients, as part of an effort to invest in the economic recovery of San Franciscans emerging from the COVID-19 pandemic. The WFC program will administer up to $\$ 250$ a year to families who qualify for the federal Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC) or California Earned Income Tax Credit
(CalEITC), and also to immigrants without social security numbers who qualify for CaIEITC with their individual taxpayer identification number (ITIN).

## Public Safety, Justice Innovations, and Victims' Services

As part of the FY 2020-21 budget, the Mayor introduced a roadmap for public safety system reform aimed at fundamentally changing the nature of public safety in San Francisco, and addressing structural inequities within law enforcement and crisis response. The Mayor's proposed FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23 budget deepens this commitment to public safety with new initiatives aimed at ending the use of police in response to non-criminal activity, including expanding the existing Street Crisis Response Team, and funding the new Street Overdose Response Team and Wellness Response Team. The Mayor's proposed budget also adds new funding for programs that support victims of crimes, including specific programs to serve San Francisco's Asian and Pacific Islander (API) communities.

## Non-Law Enforcement Response Teams

The Mayor's proposed budget supports a number of initiatives aimed at providing a non-law enforcement response to non-criminal calls for services. These investments include:

Street Wellness Response Team: The Mayor's proposed budget includes $\$ 9.6$ million over two years for five new units of a Street Wellness Response Team to improve outcomes for people in need on San Francisco's streets. The Street Wellness Response Team will provide an appropriate medical and social service response for people who require assistance, but do not have emergent behavioral health care needs. The Street Wellness Response Team will consist of community paramedics and emergency medical technicians (EMTs) from the San Francisco Fire Department (SFFD) and Homeless Outreach Team members, working with the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing. The teams will be dispatched to focus on well-being checks and situations that require immediate attention, but do not meet the threshold of an acute behavioral health crisis. This includes situations such as, but not limited to, people who appear to need wound care on the street, are lying down or appear unconscious in a doorway, or someone inappropriately clothed for the weather.

SFFD community paramedics, which also support the Street Crisis Response Team, will perform medical, behavioral, and social needs assessments, render immediate aid if needed, and along with the homeless outreach worker, will be able to offer meaningful connections to services and housing. The new team will be deployed on 12-hour shifts in an SFFD vehicle and have the ability to provide transportation services to individuals who might need that as part of the engagement.

This new Street Wellness Response Team will be able to respond directly to 911 and 311 calls for service, increasing the City's ability to respond to an increased spectrum of situations that armed police were previously answering. The team will analyze 911 and 311 calls for service to strategically assign teams to be in areas where there is high need and proactively respond to people in distress on the street who are not in an acute behavioral health crisis. Integrating these teams with 911 and 311 dispatch will also help with tracking data and outcomes to ensure efficacy of the program.

Street Overdose Response Team: The Mayor's proposed budget includes $\$ 11.4$ million over the next two fiscal years to fund a new Street Overdose Response Team (SORT). SORT is an immediate, street-based response for people experiencing homelessness with recent non-fatal overdose through engagement, care coordination, and low barrier treatment, including medication-assisted treatment (MAT). Team members will include peer specialists, substance abuse counselors, health workers, clinical behavioral health specialists, registered nurses, nurse practitioners, physicians and psychiatric NP's and psychiatrist. Individuals will be offered treatment as soon as possible after surviving an overdose, including low threshold starts for buprenorphine, referrals to local methadone clinics, and assistance to get into residential treatment programs. Care may be offered at initial contact, but most importantly follow up care is offered to individuals whether they initially accepted MAT treatment or not.

Street Crisis Response Teams: Launched in FY 2020-21, this team provides appropriate interventions and connections for people who experience behavioral health crises on the streets of San Francisco, in partnership with SFFD, the Department of Public Health, and members of the community. There are six crews that comprise
the Street Crisis Response Team, and each crew includes a community paramedic, a behavioral health clinician, and a peer behavioral health worker to address calls for service by both the 911 and 311 call centers. Through this team-based model, the Street Crisis Response Team responds to situations that involve adults who are suffering a behavioral health crisis and appear to need emergency assistance. These are calls that would have been previously answered by armed police officers. The Mayor's proposed budget includes an additional $\$ 1.8$ million annually in addition to the annual $\$ 10.6$ million approved in the prior year budget, increasing the total number of crews from six to seven.

Behavioral Health Response Team: In an effort to reduce the presence of sworn officers at public health sites, the Department of Public Health's (DPH) budget includes resources to replace Sheriff's deputies at Laguna Honda, Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital (ZSFGH), and other patient care sites with trained health care professionals and community members. Specific sites, such as ZSFGH's Emergency Department and Psychiatric Emergency Services will continue to have a Deputy Sheriff present, given history of staff and patient safety issues that cannot be fully prevented with clinical intervention or by the new health care security staffing. However, at most ZSFGH locations, psychiatry nurses will function as a Behavioral Emergency Response Team (BERT) to prevent crises. At Laguna Honda, Sheriff's deputies will be replaced by trained cadets, who are unarmed, unsworn civilian staff. Additionally, training on de-escalation, crisis management strategies, and trauma-informed care will be provided to all safety staff at public health sites.

## Call Diversion Initiative

To support the successful coordination of these specialized response teams and ensure an effective transition to a non-law enforcement response, the Mayor's proposed budget includes resources to expand staffing at the 311-call center, and also adds resources to support a project manager to oversee the development and implementation of new calltaking and dispatching pathways.

## Police Classes and Police Reform

While this budget makes significant investments in call diversion efforts, it will take a number of years for new programs to be fully ramped up. To support the level of public safety and police staffing that is
still needed in San Francisco, especially as the city reopens, the Mayor's proposed budget includes funding to support two police academy classes in each fiscal year to maintain the current staffing levels for the San Francisco Police Department. The proposed budget also includes increased funding for overtime in order to right-size the department's budget as events and tourism return to the City. Lastly, the Mayor's proposed budget continues funding for positions in the department's budget in order to support the requirements of California's SB 1421, which increases public access to police officer records, including use-of-force incidents.

## Violence Prevention Expansion

To advance public safety and provide targeted support to members of San Francisco's API communities, the Mayor's proposed budget includes funding to support the expansion of community safety teams that will serve as a proactive presence providing outreach, support, and engagement in key neighborhood corridors throughout the City. This effort will be accomplished through an expansion of the Street Violence Intervention Program (SVIP) and partnership with community organizations rooted in San Francisco's API communities. This expansion will be coupled with funding to provide victim wraparound services and multi-racial bridgebuilding that is led by the API community, as well as legal support and advocacy.

The proposed budget also includes $\$ 0.6$ million in each fiscal year to provide escorts to older and disabled adults to ensure their safety on the streets of San Francisco. Companions can be scheduled to accompany eligible residents on a wide variety of daily activities, such as doctor's appointments, bank visits, and grocery shopping. These services will be delivered through a longtime community-based partner in the Department of Disability and Aging Services (DAS) network and will be available seven days a week.

## Enhanced Support for Victims of Crime

The Mayor's proposed budget makes a significant investment in victim services. This includes a new Victims Rights Advocate, whose citywide position includes coordinating needed community-based wraparound services for victims, advocating for victims' rights in the criminal legal process, identifying systemic flaws that result in retraumatization, and developing solutions to address these flaws.

## Homelessness \& Behavioral Health

The Mayor's proposed budget advances an historic expansion of homelessness and behavioral health investments initiated in the 2020 Homelessness Recovery Plan to address the housing and behavioral health needs of unhoused people in San Francisco, especially as the City and its residents recover from the COVID-19 pandemic. These efforts are in large part made possible by funding from the Our City Our Home ( OCOH ) measure, with appropriated revenues totaling $\$ 805.7$ million over FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23. This funding is supplemented by significant levels of federal and state funds, the 2020 Health and Recovery General Obligation (G.O.) Bond, and local General Fund, in order to act big and bold to make meaningful progress on homelessness in San Francisco.

## Advancing Record Expansion Announced in the 2020 Homelessness Recovery Plan

In July 2020, the Mayor announced a significant expansion of San Francisco's Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) and commitment to rehouse people experiencing homelessness who were affected by the COVID-19 pandemic and sheltering in the City's Shelter-in-Place hotels. As part of that plan, the City is delivering on its commitments and opened new housing and low-barrier shelter sites, including:

- Expanding 1,500 new PSH units for adults and older adults, including two new hotel acquisitions partially funded through California's Project Homekey initiative;
- Continuing to fill 4,500 total PSH placements over two years, including leveraging the City's Local Subsidy Operating Program (LOSP) pipeline;
- Funding 225 new medium-term housing subsidies and workforce assistance, for adults and Transitional Age Youth;
- Continuing alternative housing and emergency shelter as part of the COVID-19 response, including a 120-RV shelter site, multiple Safe Sleeping sites, and emergency hotel rooms.
- Opening two new Navigation Centers serving adults and families in the Bayview, and Transitional Age Youth citywide;
- Providing problem-solving assistance for rapid intervention to prevent long-term homelessness;
- Planning for the reopening of 1,000 emergency shelter beds to a total of more than 2,100 emergency beds systemwide based on public health guidance;


## Additional New Homelessness Investments

Over the next two years, the City will leverage over $\$ 1$ billion to continue and expand beyond those initiatives in the Homelessness Recovery Plan, adding up to another 4,000 housing placements, and significantly increasing homelessness prevention and shelter services in San Francisco.

This record housing expansion includes:

- Acquiring and operating 800 to 1,000 new units of Permanent Supportive Housing
- Adding another 330 additional PSH placements through new flex pool rental subsidy slots, 265335 additional adult and TAY medium-term rental subsidy slots, and additional short-term rental assistance supports for 1,000-1,500 people experiencing homelessness
- Providing 887 new rental subsidies through Emergency Housing Choice Vouchers, allocated by the federal government as part of the 2021 American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA), in partnership with the Public Housing Authority

In addition, homelessness prevention and housing stabilization interventions will support up to 7,300 households impacted by COVID-19 and most at-risk of becoming homeless. Of this funding, $\$ 6.0$ million per year will be allocated to cap all PSH rents in the City's PSH portfolio at 30 percent of tenant income starting in FY 2021-22, which is expected to support 2,800 clients. Another $\$ 6.0$ million per year will be allocated to fully fund the City's Right to Counsel program, with specific attention to people at the highest risk of becoming homeless or being displaced.

The Mayor's proposed budget funds the continuation of a new 40-bed emergency shelter for families, as well as the establishment and ongoing operation of two new Safe Parking Sites, each designed to provide up to 100 spaces for people experiencing homelessness and living in their vehicles.

Finally, the Mayor's proposed budget invests in the City's commitment to rehouse people experiencing homelessness who are most vulnerable to COVID-19 and placed into Shelter-in-Place hotels in 2020. The budget assumes FEMA support ending as of September 30, 2021, and the alternative housing system becoming a fully locally-supported cost. To mitigate this cost and prioritize ongoing solutions to homelessness, including a record expansion in PSH, medium-term subsidies, problem solving funds and
re-opening emergency shelter beds, the Mayor's proposed budget assumes a gradual ramp-down of Shelter-in-Place hotels with the final hotel closing in the spring 2022. To implement this work as well as all the investments above, the proposed budget also includes a significant increase in staffing capacity for the department.

## Behavioral Health and Overdose Prevention Efforts

The Mayor's proposed budget demonstrates substantial growth in the behavioral health capacity for the City. Not only will the City expand treatment bed options across the continuum of care, it will also enhance services and programming designed to serve the most vulnerable San Franciscans - people experiencing homelessness, and those transitioning into PSH with behavioral health challenges. Much of this expansion is attributable to the approximately $\$ 300$ million in OCOH funds allocated to mental health services over the two-year budget.

Key highlights of behavioral health initiatives funded in the budget include:

Expansion of treatment beds: In addition to the approximately 147 beds that were funded and announced in last year's budget, approximately 196 beds will be added across the continuum of care over the next two years. Bed types include Board and Care, Residential Step Down, Transitional Aged Youth (TAY) residential treatment, Managed Alcohol Program (MAP), and co-op housing beds. The twoyear budget also includes $\$ 122.3$ million of funding for the acquisition and rehabilitation of new facilities.

## Expanded services at the Behavioral Health

 Access Center: The Mayor's proposed budget includes funding to expand services at the Behavioral Health Access Center to 24 hours per day, 7 day per week, a centralized drop-in mental health service center for people in need of immediate behavioral health care.Increased services on the streets: The Mayor's proposed budget includes funding to create an additional Street Crisis Response Team, for a total of seven teams to provide appropriate interventions and connections for people who experience behavioral health crises on the streets of San Francisco. The two-year budget also expands DPH's capacity for its street medicine team that supports clients in the street, shelters, and at the new Heath Resource Center, a dedicated outpatient clinic for people experiencing homelessness.

Expanded staffing for the Office of Care Coordination: Additional resources within the Office of Care Coordination will be specifically focused on people exiting homelessness or transitioning from the carceral system.

New services for TAY and transgender clients: The two-year budget includes new funding for TAY mental health, care coordination, and case management services, as well as for specialized mental health services for transgender people experiencing homelessness.

Overdose prevention efforts: Exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic, the number of accidental overdose fatalities in San Francisco in 2020 reached an historic high of 699. Synthetic opioids, such as illicitly manufactured fentanyl, are a primary driver in overdose deaths both nationally and locally. To address the significant increase in drug overdose deaths in San Francisco, the Mayor's budget invests $\$ 13.5$ million annually for several programs, including:

- Expanding Medication Assisted Treatment (MAT) and Contingency Management - This funding will increase opportunities for people who use drugs to engage in medications for addiction treatment, such as buprenorphine, and contingency management.
- Street Overdose Response Team (SORT) As described above, SORT is an immediate, street-based response for people experiencing homelessness with recent non-fatal overdose through engagement, care coordination, and low barrier treatment, including MAT.
- Establishing a Culture of Harm Reduction \& Supportive Care into High-Risk Settings -
The two-year budget includes funding to expand low-threshold buprenorphine and contingency management to high-risk sites, including streets, Shelter-in-Place hotels, and PSH sites. Funding is also included to expand access to safe consumption supplies at medical and behavioral health treatment sites.
- Increasing services for clients in shelters and PSH - In partnership with the Department of Homelessness \& Supportive Housing, DPH will expand its presence at and collaboration with PSH sites to provide additional support to shelters and SIP hotels. Roving behavioral health and physical health staff will provide onsite services and training and consultation to help build the capacity of on-site PSH staff.


## Citywide Equity Investments and Nonprofit Sustainability

The Mayor's proposed budget seeks to prioritize equity, investing in resources to better serve City employees and ensure nonprofit sustainability. The below initiatives will support systemic change and progress, as well as meeting immediate equity needs for our City workforce and community.

## The Dream Keeper Initiative

As part of the FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22 budget, the Mayor announced a $\$ 120$ million investment over two years into the Black and African American community in San Francisco. The goal of the Dream Keeper Initiative is to improve outcomes for San Francisco's Black and African-American youth and their families, and to provide family-based navigation supports to ensure that the needs of all family members are addressed cohesively and comprehensively. With this coordinated approach, the Dream Keeper Initiative aims to break the cycle of poverty and involvement in the criminal justice system for the families in its programs, and ensure that new investments, including in youth development, economic opportunity, communityled change, arts and culture, workforce, and homeownership are accessible to San Francisco's families who are most in need. Throughout the past year, the Human Rights Commission has facilitated an extensive community-led process to allocate these funds to six focus areas - economic and workforce development, accountability and systems change, health and wellbeing, housing and homeownership, education, and the arts. The Mayor's proposed budget maintains the commitment to this community by continuing funding at $\$ 60$ million in each year.

## Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion in the City's Workforce

This past year, Office of Racial Equity (ORE) worked with all City departments to develop racial equity action plans. These plans outline the City's vision, goals, and overarching strategies to address structural racism and racial disparities, and advance racial equity. In response to these plans, departments have re-prioritized resources to accommodate equity-focused staff, trainings, and other initiatives.

In order to maintain a consistent strategy and progress toward racial equity goals, the Mayor's
proposed budget includes centralized investments in the Office of Racial Equity and Department of Human Resources (DHR) to develop and implement a citywide equity approach and work directly with department-based equity staff. Additionally, in order to better understand the experiences of City employees, funding is included to implement a citywide equity climate survey. This survey will support a deeper understanding of what employees need to thrive and feel supported in their work. The survey results will help guide the DHR and ORE coordination of citywide equity governance. Additionally, funding is included for ORE to develop and implement a racial equity training. This training is expected to reach 5,000 City employees each year, and will be digitized in order to reach more employees. This coordinated approach will ensure that all employees are receiving consistent guidance, resources, and support in order to make our workplace more equitable. With a more coordinated, educated, and equitable approach, our City workforce will be able to better serve the San Francisco community.

## Nonprofit Cost of Doing Business

Nonprofit organizations are important partners in providing essential services to San Francisco communities, including services in healthcare, homelessness services, child care, and workforce development, among many others. The proposed budget includes $\$ 76.4$ million over the two years for a cost of doing business (CODB) increase for nonprofits, annualizing the one-time 3 percent CODB included in the current year budget, and funding an additional 3 percent ongoing increase in FY 2021-22 for General Fund supported nonprofit contracts. This funding will help organizations address inflationary cost pressures, and will help ensure the sustainability of the City's nonprofit partners.

## Fees \& Fines Reform and the Financial Justice Project

Each year, the Treasurer's Office Financial Justice Project, in coordination with the Mayor's Office, reviews fees and fines paid to the City by San Francisco residents. This year, the fees associated with a variety of Medical Examiner services, obtaining a City ID Card, owner surrender of animal, and dog license late fees have been eliminated, and the costs once paid for by residents are now assumed by the City's General Fund. Additionally,
the Street Artist License Fee assessed by the Arts Commission is being reduced to provide relief to individual artists.

## Capital, Affordable Housing, and OneTime Projects

With significant one-time resources available, the Mayor's proposed budget makes strategic investments in various one-time projects, including support for the City's Capital Plan and Affordable Housing.

## Capital and Transportation Investments

The Mayor's proposed budget demonstrates a commitment to making responsible investments in the City's infrastructure including updates to roads, parks, and other City facilities. The Mayor's proposed budget includes $\$ 97.2$ million over the two years to support the annual Pay-As-YouGo program. These funds help to maintain basic infrastructure and invest in city assets including facility renovations, critical enhancements, and regular maintenance. The budget also includes $\$ 110.8$ million for Critical Repairs and Recovery Stimulus capital programs in FY 2021-22. Major capital projects include: fiber to affordable housing, retrofits to African American Art and Cultural Complex and Mission Cultural Center for Latino Arts, Chinatown Branch Library, and other essential streets and parks infrastructure improvements. The proposed budget makes smart investments that improve infrastructure, enhance service delivery, and reduce long-term liabilities.

The Mayor's proposed budget also increases General Fund support for voter-mandated transportation investments by $\$ 36.1$ million in FY 2021-22 and by $\$ 64.9$ million in FY 2022-23, which expands funding for improvements such as MUNI service restoration, state of good repair projects, and Vision Zero investments.

## Affordable Housing

The Mayor's proposed budget makes a number of investments in affordable housing. Most notably, the budget includes $\$ 10$ million to support innovative housing preservation and production strategies. This investment will allow the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development to support projects otherwise limited by restrictions related to various financing streams and to provide creative preservation tools to assist homeowners. In addition to this
investment, the Mayor's proposed budget includes $\$ 17.6$ million in gap financing to support an affordable housing site in SOMA, and $\$ 23$ million to support infrastructure at a HOPE SF site in Hunters View.

## Equipment and one-time telecommute support

The Mayor's proposed budget includes $\$ 22.3$ million to fund various equipment replacements for public safety departments, the Department of Public Health, the Department of Emergency Management, and the Department of Public Works. These investments help to reduce ongoing maintenance costs by replacing equipment that have exceeded its useful life. Additionally, recognizing the City workforce's evolving needs as it returns to work, the proposed budget includes an investment to support telecommute and other in-person needs.

## Children \& Youth

In addition to the significant $\$ 15$ million investment to support learning loss recovery, the Mayor's proposed budget makes a number of critical investments in children and youth in San Francisco.

## Early Care and Education

The Mayor's proposed budget includes funding for early childhood and education that responds to immediate needs, strengthens the early learning system, and increases access to early learning programs. These efforts are funded by the June 2018 Proposition C that created the Babies and Families Fund, with revenues totaling $\$ 348.8$ million over FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23. The proposed budget utilizes funds generated by Proposition C and other sources to support $\$ 35.0$ million each year for local child enrollment supports to increase access to early learning programs for low-moderate income families, $\$ 25.0$ million each year to provide financial support through existing teacher stipends to sustain the viability of early learning, $\$ 5.0$ million in FY 2022-23 for child health and well-being to proactively plan for the social emotional well-being of very young children, their families, and educators who care for them, and $\$ 5.0$ million in each year to support Family Resource Centers. The Office of Early Care and Education (OECE) developed a funding framework with community engagement, and will work closely with community stakeholders on a comprehensive plan for full spending of the funds, which became fully available in May 2021 with the end of litigation around Proposition C.

In FY 2022-23, the First Five San Francisco Children and Families Commission (CFC) and the OECE will unite under a new Department of Early Childhood (DEC), dedicated to early education, health, and well-being. DEC will be charged with developing and implementing an integrated early childhood funding plan and will work on issues and challenges faced by new parents and their children in San Francisco, ranging from the prenatal period through the early childhood years. To ensure that there is adequate staffing and organizational capacity, the proposed budget includes new positions to support administration and operations, grant administration, policy and community engagement, and data and evaluation.

## Opportunities for All

The Mayor's proposed budget continues to invest $\$ 4.8$ million per year to extend the Opportunities for All (OFA) pilot, a youth internship program. Every OFA participant receives mentorship, a paid internship, and support to achieve employment, including job readiness and career training, and apprenticeships. OFA prioritizes equitable access to these opportunities through workforce connection, support, and job resources for jobseekers and employers.

## SFUSD Student and Mental Health Services

The Mayor's proposed Budget continues $\$ 1.8$ million of funding annually to the San Francisco Unified School District for mental health services, including a Mobile Crisis Response Team that provides a nonpolice, age-appropriate response for children and youth experiencing behavioral health crises or in need of preventative care.

## Financial Resiliency

The Mayor's proposed budget is able to make significant investments in a number of critical areas - COVID-19 response and recovery, public safety and violence prevention, homelessness and behavioral health, equity, and many others - in a way that is financially responsible. Onetime sources, largely from the American Rescue Plan and prior year savings, enables the City to not rely upon the Rainy Day Reserve as originally projected. This allows to City to preserve reserves for future uncertainty and risk. Additionally, modest ongoing revenue growth and limited new ongoing expenditures result in a reduced structural deficit projection for FY 2023-24, reducing the projected deficit from $\$ 350$ million to $\$ 299$ million. The City will still need to carefully monitor ongoing revenue and expenditure trends, but the Mayor's proposed budget seeks to not compromise the City's financial position as it emerges from the pandemic and as one-time resources expire.

To hedge against future risk and uncertainty, the Mayor's proposed budget re-allocates unappropriated funds previously set aside to guard against unforeseen COVID-19 costs and revenue losses to create two new reserves - the Federal and State Emergency Grant Disallowance Reserve and the Fiscal Cliff Reserve. The Federal and State Emergency Grant Disallowance Reserve will help to manage against reimbursement disallowances from FEMA and other state and federal agencies. The Fiscal Cliff Reserve will help the City to manage future budget shortfalls following the spend-down of federal and state stimulus funds and other onetime sources used to balance the budget.

# How to Use This Book MAYOR'S PROPOSED TWO-YEAR BUDGET 

The Mayor's proposed Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 and 2022-23 budget for the City and County of San Francisco (the City) contains citywide budgetary and fiscal policy information as well as detailed departmental budgets for General Fund and Enterprise Departments. The proposed budget is organized into the following sections:

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY includes the Mayor's Letter and the Executive Summary of the proposed budget, and provides a high-level overview of the City's budget, the changes from the prior budget year, an update on how the budget was balanced, and other high-level details on specific policy areas that are changing in the proposed budget.

## SAN FRANCISCO: AN OVERVIEW provides a

 high-level overview of economic, demographic, and financial trends in San Francisco.COVID-19 RESPONSE provides data and reporting of San Francisco's response to the COVID-19 pandemic. San Francisco's response to the coronavirus emergency is grounded in data, science, and facts. Since the onset of the pandemic, the City established key health indicators as an important tool to monitor the level of COVID-19 in the community, to assess the ability of our health care system to respond to the pandemic, and to inform the safe and gradual re-opening of San Francisco.

BUDGET PROCESS describes the various financial planning and budgeting processes and reports that inform the budget process.

## BUDGET INFORMATION and SUMMARY TABLES

provides technical information on the structure, policies, and processes that govern the City's budget development and implementation as well as highlevel financial data summarizing the Mayor's proposed budget. Tables detail changes over a three-year period: FY 2020-21 budgeted, and the proposed FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23 budgets. The variance column measures the dollar and position differences between fiscal years. Summary data is provided on a citywide basis and organized in a variety of ways, including by department, major service area, revenue or expenditure type, and by fund type.

DEPARTMENT BUDGETS provides budgetary information and operational priorities for each of the City's departments. Department information is organized alphabetically by department name and includes the following information:

- Services includes key services or divisions and functions.
- Budget Data Summary shows a summary of total expenditures and funded positions over time.
- Performance Measures illustrates the department's progress in meeting strategic goals.
- Budget Issues and Details explains any significant service level changes in Fiscal Year 2021-22 and 2022-23, and highlights key areas of focus.
- Organizational Chart depicts the department's organizational structure.
- Total Budget - Historical Comparison Chart illustrates the department's total revenue sources, expenditures, and funded positions over time


## BONDED DEBT AND LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS

provides technical information as well as current data on the City's debt portfolio and other longterm obligations.

CAPITAL PROJECTS provides information on capital projects funded in the proposed budget. It provides an overview of the City's capital planning process and budget development. Capital projects generally include major construction of new or existing buildings, roads, and other investments in the City's physical infrastructure. Specific projects are detailed in this section.

## INFORMATION and COMMUNICATION

 TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS provides a summary of information technology (IT) projects funded in the proposed budget. It provides an overview of the City's IT planning process and budget development. IT projects generally refer to new investments and replacement of the City's technology infrastructure. Specific projects are detailed in this section.ADDITIONAL RESOURCES provides additional information related to the City's budget and finances as well as a glossary of commonly-used terms.

*The Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada (GFOA) presented a Distinguished Budget Presentation Award to the City and County of San Francisco, California for its annual budget for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2019. In order to receive this award, a governmental unit must publish a budget document that meets program criteria as a policy document, as an operations guide, as a financial plan, and as a communications device.

The Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance submitted an application to the GFOA Budget Award for the FY 2O20-21 and FY 2021-22 Proposed Budget Book. As of June 1, 2021, we have not received notice of the outcome of the application.

This award is valid for a period of one year only. We believe our current budget continues to conform to program requirements, and we are submitting it to GFOA to determine its eligibility for another award.
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## San Francisco:

## An Overview

## CITY GOVERNANCE AND STRUCTURE

The City and County of San Francisco (the City) was established by Charter in 1850 and is a legal subdivision of the State of California. It is the only consolidated city and county in the State, exercising the governmental powers of both a city and a county under California law. The City’s governance structure, codified in the City Charter of 1996, is similar in form to the federal government. The Mayor's Office comprises the Executive branch, while the Board of Supervisors and Superior Court act as the Legislative and Judicial branches, respectively.

The Mayor and all 11 members of the Board of Supervisors serve four-year terms. Mayoral elections are held during odd-numbered years, while Board of Supervisors elections are held in even-numbered years. Elections for the Board of Supervisors are staggered, with five or six seats being open each
election. Supervisors serve four-year terms and any vacancies are filled by mayoral appointment. Both the Mayor and members of the Board of Supervisors are limited to two terms.

Each of the City's 11 districts is represented by a member of the Board of Supervisors. Beginning in November 2000, the Board of Supervisors was elected by district for the first time since the 1970s. The Mayor appoints the heads of most city departments. Many departments are also advised by commissions or boards whose members are citizens appointed by the Mayor or, in some cases, by a combination of the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, and other elected officials. Elected officials include the Assessor-Recorder, City Attorney, District Attorney, Public Defender, Sheriff, Superior Court Judges, and Treasurer.

## SAN FRANCISCO: AN OVERVIEW



## ELECTED OFFICIALS

Mayor London N. Breed

## Board of Supervisors

| Supervisor, District 1 | Connie Chan |
| :---: | :---: |
| Supervisor, District 2 | Catherine Stefani |
| Supervisor, District 3 | Aaron Peskin |
| Supervisor, District 4 | Gordon Mar |
| Supervisor, District 5 | Dean Preston |
| Supervisor, District 6 | Matt Haney |
| President, District 7 | Myrna Melgar |
| Supervisor, District 8 | Rafael Mandelman |
| Supervisor, District 9 | Hillary Ronen |
| Supervisor, District 10 | Shamann Walton |
| Supervisor, District 11 | Ahsha Safaí |
| Assessor-Recorder | Joaquín Torres |
| City Attorney | Dennis J. Herrera |
| District Attorney | Chesa Boudin |
| Public Defender | Mano Raju |
| Sheriff | Paul Miyamoto |
| Superior Courts Presiding Judge | Garrett L. Wong |
| Treasurer | José Cisneros |

## Appointed Officials

City Administrator
Controller

Carmen Chu
Ben Rosenfield

## Department Directors and Administrators

Academy of Sciences (SCl)
Adult Probation (ADP)
Airport (AIR/SFO)
Animal Care and Control (ACC)
Arts Commission (ART)
Asian Arts Museum (AAM)
Assessor-Recorder (ASR)
Board of Appeals (BOA/PAB)
Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Building Inspection (DBI)
Child Support Services (CSS)
Children and Families Commission (CFC/First 5)
Children, Youth and Their Families (DCYF)
City Administrator (ADM)
City Attorney (CAT)
City Planning (CPC)
Civil Service Commission (CSC)
Controller (CON)
Convention Facilities Management
County Transportation Authority (SFCTA)
Disability and Aging Services (DAS)
District Attorney (DAT)
Economic and Workforce Development (ECN/OEWD)
Elections (REG)
Emergency Management (ECD/DEM)
Entertainment Commission
Environment (ENV)
Ethics (ETH)
Fine Arts Museums (FAM)
Fire (FIR)
Health Service System (HSS)
Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HOM)

Scott Sampson, Ph.D.
Karen Fletcher
Ivar Satero
Virginia Donohue
Ralph Remington
Jay Xu
Joaquín Torres
Julie Rosenberg
Angela Calvillo
Patrick O'Riordan
Karen M. Roye
Theresa Zighera
Maria Su
Carmen Chu
Dennis J. Herrera
Rich Hillis
Sandra Eng
Ben Rosenfield
John Noguchi
Tilly Chang
Kelly Dearman
Chesa Boudin
Kate Sofis
John Arntz
Mary Ellen Carroll
Maggie Weiland
Deborah Raphael
LeeAnn Pelham
Thomas Campbell
Jeanine Nicholson
Abbie Yant
Shireen McSpadden

Human Resources (HRD/DHR)
Human Rights Commission (HRC)
Human Services Agency (HSA)
Juvenile Probation (JPD)
Law Library (LLB)
Library (LIB)
Medical Examiner
Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA)
Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure (OCII)
Office of Early Care and Education (OECE)
Police Accountability (DPA)
Police (POL)
Port (PRT)
Public Defender (PDR)
Public Health (DPH)
Public Utilities Commission (PUC)
Public Works (DPW)
Recreation and Parks (REC)
Rent Board (RNT)
Retirement System (RET)
Sheriff (SHF)
Sheriff's Department of Accountability (SDA)
Status of Women (WOM)
Superior Court (CRT)
Technology (TIS/DT)
Treasure Island Development Authority (TIDA)
Treasurer/Tax Collector (TTX)
War Memorial (WAR)

## County Education Institutions

San Francisco Unified School District

San Francisco Community College District

Carol Isen
Sheryl Davis
Trent Rhorer
Katherine Miller
Marcia R. Bell
Michael Lambert
Christopher Liverman
Jeffrey Tumlin
Sally Oerth
Ingrid Mezquita
Paul Henderson
William Scott
Elaine Forbes
Mano Raju
Dr. Grant Colfax
Michael Carlin
Alaric Degrafinried
Phil Ginsburg
Robert Collins
Jay Huish
Paul Miyamoto
Vacant
Kimberly Ellis
T. Michael Yuen

Linda Gerull
Robert P. Beck
José Cisneros
John Caldon

Vincent Matthews
Rajen Vurdien

## DEMOGRAPHIC AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS

Incorporated on April 15th, 1850, San Francisco is the fourth largest city in the state of California and geographically the smallest county. Occupying just 49 square miles of land, the city is located on a peninsula bounded by the Pacific Ocean to the west, San Francisco Bay to the east, the entrance to the Bay and the Golden Gate to the north, and San Mateo County to the south.

While the government has played a key role in San Francisco's development, the true wealth of the city resides in the creative and entrepreneurial spirit of its pioneering citizens. According to the latest estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau, the city's population on July 1, 2020 was 866,606 in 2020, a 1.4 percent decline from 2019. Between 2010 and its peak in 2018, the city added 74,157 new residents before losing 13,070 over the subsequent two years.

International immigration has been and continues to be a major driver of San Francisco's cultural
diversity. About 36 percent of the city's population over the age of five was born outside of the United States, and about 43 percent speak a language other than English at home. Immigration and its legacy contribute to a sense of diversity in San Francisco and positions the city's future labor force for success in the global economy.

According to the U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey 2013-2017 5-Year Data, 40.5 percent of the San Francisco population identifies as white, 34.1 percent identifies as Asian, 15.2 percent identifies as Hispanic or Latino, 5.0 percent identifies as Black or African American, 4.2 percent identifies with two or more races, 0.3 percent identifies as Native Hawaiian and other Pacific Islander, and 0.2 percent identifies as American Indian and Alaska Native. Although the census data indicates San Francisco's Native American population is 0.2 percent, raw census data estimates the population to be 1.1 percent.
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Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2015-2019 American Community Survey 5-years Estimate.

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT.

Source: U.S. Census
Bureau, 2015-2019
American Community Survey 5 -years Estimate.
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Source: U.S. Census
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American Community Survey 5-years Estimate




## LOCAL ECONOMY

San Francisco is at the center of a dynamic and innovative region with a long track record of creating jobs and opportunities, lasting social and cultural impacts, and tools and ideas that are used worldwide. While the COVID-19 emergency has led to a severe recession in the city, like elsewhere, the long-term fundamentals of the city's economy remain strong. The strength of San Francisco's economy comes from a diverse portfolio of well-established industries and businesses, as well as emerging and growing sectors. In addition to being an economic center for advanced, knowledge-based services such as professional and financial services, information technology and digital media, and healthcare, San Francisco is pushing to develop new and innovative fields in civic-based and green technologies to help grow its manufacturing sector. In 2019, there were over 763,000 jobs in San Francisco-the most at any point in the city's history.

However, amid the COVID-19 pandemic, the city lost tens of thousands of jobs, with tourism and servicerelated sectors faring the worst. According to the most recent available data from the Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, the city lost more than 115,000 jobs at the height of the crisis in April 2020. At that time, the city's unemployment rate rose to 13 percent from 2.2 percent in two months. By March 2021, the San Francisco Metropolitan Division, which includes San Mateo County, had gained back 41,000, or 23 percent, of the 182,000 jobs lost from February to April 2020.

Before the COVID-19 pandemic, San Francisco had led economic growth in the Bay Area and California, and been at full employment for over three years. Although San Francisco represents only 2.2 percent of the State's population, it accounted for 9.5 percent of Gross Domestic Product growth in California from 2010 to 2019. During the same period, the city created 1 in 4 new jobs in the nine-county Bay Area.

## COVID-19 Response and Recovery

Every one of San Francisco's residents, businesses, and workers has been deeply impacted by the pandemic, with communities and entrepreneurs of color experiencing more severe outcomes. Supporting neighborhood businesses, vulnerable workers, and community-serving nonprofits have been a central goal of San Francisco's COVID-19 response that will continue to shape the City's investments to advance economic recovery.

Since the beginning of the pandemic, San Francisco has provided immediate and ongoing relief for small businesses, including directing more than $\$ 50$ million in grants and loans to support more than 3,500 businesses. The grants and loans have complimented tens of millions of dollars in tax and fee deferrals and waivers as well as technical assistance programs to drive access to state and federal resources such as the Paycheck Protection Program. Additionally, to provide critical relief to impacted workers, especially those who cannot access state and federal aid, the City has delivered nearly $\$ 25$ million in funding to support 27,700 workers and families through programs like Right to Recover and the Family Relief Fund.

In the coming months and years, San Francisco will work in partnership with leaders in the public, private and nonprofit sectors to build a more robust, more resilient, and more equitable economy than before the pandemic. Though San Francisco experienced a historically strong period of economic expansion after the Great Recession, many San Franciscans did not see the benefits of that growth. To better support San Francisco's most impacted populations and expand the middle class during the next expansion, the City is making significant investments into small business programs, neighborhood economic development, affordable housing, nonprofit sustainability, and workforce development. Each new initiative furthers the goal of building an inclusive, equitable economy where every San Franciscan has the opportunity to live, work, and thrive in the city.

## Economic Development Initiatives

San Francisco's economy has rebounded from the most severe impacts of the pandemic, with unemployment reaching 5.4 percent in March 2021, down from the peak of 12.6 percent in May 2020. However, the local economy is far from its previous levels - there are 67,000 fewer employed San Franciscans than at the start of 2020, with industries like hospitality, restaurants and arts, entertainment and recreation seeing the steepest employment declines. To catalyze economic recovery, bring back jobs and create new, sustainable career pathways accessible to all residents, the City will make targeted investments in key sectors with strong growth potential, including technology, professional services, manufacturing, nightlife and entertainment, tourism and hospitality, nonprofit, and retail.

Additionally, through investments in the public spaces and business support programs, San Francisco will build on the momentum of initiatives like the "Save Our Small Businesses" ballot measure and the Shared Spaces program to make it easier to start and grow a business in the city. Starting a small business is a key driver of economic mobility and a critical pathway to the middle class for many families. The City's investments and policies seek to foster a more inclusive environment for entrepreneurs and lower the financial and legal barriers to success for neighborhood businesses.

## Workforce Development

San Francisco's investment in workforce development programs will be critical in supporting working residents and those looking to enter or re-enter the labor force. The City will focus on sectors experiencing or expecting job growth to support new and long-term unemployed residents.

With record-high unemployment, the City will provide programs for those needing minor assistance in securing employment as well as for those needing full retraining and wraparound workforce services, including intensive barrier removal services and community outreach to connect vulnerable groups to career pathways better. New service delivery methods will also be developed to account for public health needs requiring social distancing and allowing for more remote work.

The City funds job-driven training programs in key sectors such as construction, healthcare, and technology. There are also investments to support dislocated hospitality workers and train job seekers in industries that emerge during recovery. These sector-driven academies combine vocational training in growing fields with supportive services, job placement, and post-placement support.


Each academy's curriculum is developed in concert with industry, labor, and educational partners to ensure that training is aligned to meet today's job needs and that program graduates are ready to work immediately. In addition to job training programs, the City invests in community-based Job Centers to provide local residents with a seamless array of workforce services designed to assist job seekers with securing employment opportunities that will lead to self-sufficiency.

Job Center services include career planning, job search assistance, interview preparation, training workshops, unemployment information, access to computers, and supportive services such as childcare and transportation. Moving forward, the City will continue to invest in its successful workforce development programming while developing a comprehensive citywide strategy to align jobseeker education and training resources better. San Francisco remains committed to improving outcomes for job seekers, particularly for those facing multiple barriers to employment.

## Positioned for Recovery

Fundamental strength across key sectors, combined with the implementation of long-term development projects and workforce development programs, has prepared San Francisco for a strong recovery after the COVID-19 emergency. Additionally, investments in equity initiatives are making the economy more inclusive, with the goal of ensuring historically underserved communities can share in the City's success. Despite the current recession brought about by COVID-19, San Francisco's long-term economic fundamentals-the quality of its workforce, business environment, technological base, and general quality of life-remain among the strongest of any city in the United States.


## SAN FRANCISCO'S RESPONSE TO THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

The COVID-19 pandemic is having a profound impact on San Francisco's community. More than a year after the first case emerged in March 2020, San Franciscans are reminded each day of the threat this virus poses to everyone's livelihoods.

The City and County of San Francisco has had a robust performance measurement program for over 15 years. City departments track and report on performance measures, set performance targets in annual budgets, and update key performance indicators on the San Francisco Scorecards website throughout the year. When COVID-19 emerged in San Francisco, the City leveraged this expertise and repurposed systems and processes to support
decision-making, and ensure the City's strategies were based on science, data, and facts. This approach saved lives and also prepared the City for a stronger recovery.

Since early in the pandemic, the case rate in San Francisco (per 100,000 residents) has been consistently lower than the case rate in California and the United States as a whole. While COVID-19's effect on San Francisco is tragic, the hard work and dedication of front-line workers, City employees, partnerships with businesses and non-profits, and sustained efforts by San Franciscans to follow health guidelines, has protected communities from even greater losses.




The City reached an important milestone on April 6, 2021-50 percent of San Franciscans over the age of 16 received at least one dose of the vaccine. This is an enormous achievement. While the City celebrate this success, the City also know that the virus and its impacts will stay with communities for years to come. The strategies, tools, services, and partnerships developed during San Francisco's initial response to COVID-19 equip the City to manage these new challenges. The following examples highlight some of these efforts to protect the city and support communities.

## Mobilizing City Resources to Plan and Implement a Comprehensive Response

| Number of City employees <br> who have contributed directly <br> to the COVID-19 response | Total staff hours spent on <br> the COVID-19 response |
| :---: | :---: |
| 16,016 | $3,687,940$ |

*As of April 8, 2021
The way a community initially responds to disaster can determine how well it fares and how quickly it recovers. San Francisco was among the first counties in the Unites States to make an emergency declaration because of the pandemic. That declaration allowed the City to commit greater resources and staff to its response, and coordinate through a new organization focused on the emergency - the COVID-19 Command Center (CCC). Since March 2020, more than 16,000 City employees have spent 3.7 million hours responding to the COVID-19 pandemic through the CCC or their original departments.

## Protecting Vulnerable People

COVID-19 impacted the City's network of congregate shelter sites when clients needed to spread out to avoid exposure from each other. At the same time, many residents and workers diagnosed with COVID-19 could not safely isolate at home.


SIP: PRIOR LIVING SITUATION
Data as of May 17, 2021

The City created temporary emergency shelter for San Francisco's most vulnerable residents to help them safely isolate, quarantine, or shelter -in place. This unprecedented response combined traditional shelters with private hotels, tent sites managed by the City, mobile trailers, and a variety of other services. Shelter-in-Place (SIP) facilities provided shelter to more than 10,000 people since March 2020.

Prior to the pandemic, one in four San Franciscans lacked reliable access to healthy food. The spread of COVID-19 made it even more difficult for many people to afford and safely purchase nutritious meals. The City partnered with dozens of private and community-run organizations to provide food to San Francisco communities by offering funding, supplies, transportation, and staffing.


## ヘ ACTIVE INDIVIDUALS ENROLLED IN

CALFRESH. As part of the federal food assistance program, CalFresh provides monthly support to low-income San Franciscans. Following the City's Shelter-in-Place order, applications for CalFresh assistance increased. Data through March 2021


GREAT PLATES SAN FRANCISCO. As
part of the federal food assistance program, CalFresh provides monthly support to low-income San Franciscans. Following the City's Shelter-in-Place order, applications for CalFresh assistance increased.
Data through March 2021

## Limiting the Spread of COVID-19 through Testing \& Response



## A ROLLING 7-DAY AVERAGE OF COVID-19 TESTS FOR SAN FRANCISCANS.

Includes all tests to San Franciscans from all providers. Data through April 5, 2021

Testing is an important strategy for containing COVID-19 as it allows the City and other healthcare providers to identify infections quickly and help San Franciscans safely isolate to reduce the spread of the virus. The Department of Public Health (DPH) provided nearly half of all COVID-19 tests for San Franciscans during 2020, quickly expanding access

^ CUMULATIVE COVID-19 CASES PER 10,000 RESIDENTS. The map shows the rate of COVID-19 cases calculated as the total number of cases per 10,000 residents since testing began on March 2, 2020.
Data as of May 19, 2021
as the disease emerged and reaching a peak of more than 4,500 daily tests in December. The case investigation and contact tracing programs helped health experts to understand transmission within communities and identify people who were exposed.

Despite this success, COVID-19 has disproportionately affected communities of color because of a long history of institutionalized racism and structural inequities. Systemic racism and structural barriers to education, jobs, home ownership, and health care impact current housing conditions, job opportunities, and many other social determinants of health that are closely tied with COVID-19 risk factors.

DPH began monitoring test data for these types of disparities early in the City's response so that the City could address them head-on. The City's COVID-19 testing program has worked with public health experts and community advocates across San Francisco to remove barriers to testing and create culturally sensitive outreach strategies. One year later, the City continues to partner with community organizations to co-design and host testing events for the communities most impacted by COVID-19.

Learn more about how COVID-19 disproportionately impacts communities of color by visiting datasf.org/ covid-19.


[^5]
## Keeping the Community Informed

The CCC Joint Information Center (JIC) includes a diverse team of communications and public health professionals who develop actionable and accessible information and disseminate it throughout the community. The Joint Information Center has created and distributed more than 3 million posters, flyers, and door hangers in multiple languages across San Francisco. Their iconic blue and yellow signs are available as templates and have been used by counties throughout the Bay Area and beyond.

The Information \& Guidance unit (I\&G) develops guidance, FAQs, tip sheets and other documents to help employers, schools, healthcare facilities, and other service providers understand and implement safer practices. I\&G has created 453 total documents as of April 2021, including 125 unique documents and 328 translations.

San Francisco's COVID-19 Data Tracker publishes dashboards, open data, and information about the City's response to help the public understand and participate in the City's work. Nearly 1 million people have viewed the Data Tracker more than 4.2 million times since its launch in April 2020, using dozens of dashboards on the City's key public health indicators, cases, vaccinations, alternative shelter programs, food support programs, and support for school reopening. These reports are frequently cited by local and national media, as well as governments across the US and even in other countries.

| Number of public health <br> guidance documents created | Total page views of SF <br> coviD-19 Data Tracker |
| :---: | :---: |
| 453 | $4,215,000$ |

*As of April 8, 2021

## Immunizing Communities

San Franciscans access vaccines through many providers. The federal government manages national vaccine distribution to states. California state government then allocates vaccines to large healthcare organizations that serve multiple counties, and to local agencies like San Francisco's Department of Public Health.

San Francisco is following the State's plan to prioritize vulnerable groups, beginning with seniors, healthcare workers, and people in long-term care facilities. The City is partnering with healthcare providers to operate vaccine sites for the general public.

As of April, more than 50 percent of San Francisco adults had received at least one dose of vaccine.

DPH is committed to using its limited supply of vaccines to support the most vulnerable communities. That includes expanding access in neighborhoods that have been most impacted by COVID-19 and historically underserved by healthcare systems. The City has been working with community leaders to remove barriers to accessing vaccines, and ensure that the City's strategies are sensitive to the traumas and history of mistreatment by medical systems.

San Francisco's vaccination program monitors key metrics about supply, distribution, and access every day to manage and improve its performance. In partnership with DataSF - the City's open data portal - it also publishes data that anyone can access online. Visit the San Francisco COVID-19
Data Tracker at datasf.org/covid-19 to see the latest results, or download a copy of the City's vaccination plan from DPH.

## Supporting Safer School Reopening

Schools are vital to San Francisco community as they provide safe and supportive learning environments for students, they serve many social and cultural functions, they enable parents and guardians to participate in the workforce, and they directly employ many people. While the lack of in-person learning may disadvantage any student, it is particularly detrimental to households that do not have access to technologies that facilitate virtual learning or that rely on school services like meal programs, special education, counseling, recreational opportunities, and after-school programs. For all of these reasons, the CCC has supported schools in re-opening their doors to students and teachers by reviewing each school's health and safety plan and by conducting on-site assessments of their facilities.

SCHOOL SITE ASSESSMENTS

| STATUS | SFUSD <br> SCHOOLS | PRIVATE <br> SCHOOLS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Letters of interest sent to SFDPH | 102 | 127 |
| Applications completed | 102 | 124 |
| Site assessments requested | 102 | 123 |
| Site assessments completed | 102 | 123 |
| Approvals | 102 | 123 |

*Data through May 17, 2021

## Supporting Local Businesses

San Francisco's Black, Latino, API, and Indigenous communities have disproportionately suffered the pandemic's health and economic impacts. As the City builds the foundation for economic recovery, there is an opportunity to build a stronger and more equitable economy-one centering on racial equity and economic justice.

Through the Office of Economic and Workforce Development, the City has worked to create programs targeted to communities of color and vulnerable populations who do not have equitable safety nets, and who have been historically excluded from wealth building opportunities in the city's economy. Over $\$ 52.5$ million has gone to loan and grant programs to support more than 1,200 small businesses and their employees, and an additional $\$ 17.7$ million has gone to aid for workers.

San Francisco's Economic Recovery Task Force (ERTF) has also guided the City's efforts to sustain and revive local businesses, mitigate the impacts of COVID-19 on the most vulnerable residents, and build an equitable future. The Task Force's Shared Spaces program promotes safer operations by allowing merchants and community groups to use sidewalks, streets, and other public spaces for a

TOTAL LOAN AND GRANTS FOR SMALL BUSINESSES AND WORKERS

Support for Small Businesses

| Hardship Emergency Loan Program | $\$ 12 \mathrm{M}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| African-American Revolving Loan Fund | $\$ 6.3 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Latino Small Business Fund | $\$ 3.2 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Small Business Resiliency Fund | $\$ 2.5 \mathrm{M}$ |
| SF Shines for Reopening | $\$ 2.9 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Neighborhood Mini-Grants and Women's Mini-Grants | $\$ 1.1 \mathrm{M}$ |
| SF Relief Grants and Supplemental Relief Grants | $\$ 17.2 \mathrm{M}$ |
| SF Community Investment Loans | $\$ 7.3 \mathrm{M}$ |


| Support for Workers |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Right to Recover | $\$ 10.9 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Family Relief Fund | $\$ 4.9 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Workers and Families First | $\$ 1.6 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Immigrant Workers Fund | $\$ 0.6 \mathrm{M}$ |

*These amounts do not include funding from the proposed budget.
variety of restaurant and retail activities. As of April 2021, the City has issued more than 1,900 shared space permits.

## SHARED SPACES > SITES.

Shared Spaces has been a critical part of the City's crisis response strategy to sustain the locallyowned small business sector in San Francisco. This program balance commercial activities with public spaces and transportation demands of the recovering economy.
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## Budget Process



## LONG-TERM FINANCIAL PLANNING PROCESS

The Constitution of the State of California requires all cities to adopt a balanced budget wherein revenues match expenditures. To ensure that San Francisco can meet its immediate needs while planning for long-term growth, the City has adopted a process that develops annual budgets alongside multi-year financial plans. This process assumed its current form with the passage of Proposition A on November 3, 2009, which replaced annual budgeting with two-year budgeting, and required additional long-term financial planning.

The sections below provide an understanding of the processes that guide San Francisco's multi-year and annual financial plans.

## Multi-Year Financial Planning Process

The City operates on a two-year budget, which is guided by two fundamental components. The first consists of the City's revenue and expenditure projections, which are developed via four analytical assessments. The second consists of the City's financial and reserve policies, which assume unexpected fiscal pressures that may not be accounted for in the revenue and expenditure projections. In addition, the City's long-term information technology and capital needs are assessed through citywide processes. The components and processes that guide San Francisco's multi-year plans are described in more detail below.

## Two-Year Budget Cycle

On November 3, 2009, voters approved Proposition A, amending the City Charter to stabilize spending by requiring two-year budgeting for all city departments and multi-year financial planning.

In Fiscal Year (FY) 2010-11, the City adopted twoyear budgets for the following four departments: Airport, Port, Public Utilities Commission, and Municipal Transportation Agency. These four departments proposed fixed two-year budgets for FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22. Due to changes in revenue projections and operating expenditure needs for FY 2021-22, the Airport, Port, and Public Utilities Commission will be amending their existing FY 2021-22 budgets. The rest of the City's departments will submit rolling two-year budgets over the same period. Two-year budgeting has been in effect for all departments since FY 2012-13.

## Long-Term Operating Revenue and Expenditure Projections

The City's budget process is guided by operating revenue and expenditure projections. The Controller's Office, Mayor's Office, and the Board of Supervisors are responsible for the City's long-term financial planning. These three offices cooperate to produce four reports, including a Five-Year Financial Plan each odd calendar year, the Joint Report each even calendar year, and the Six- and Nine-Month Reports each February and May. Together, these reports provide the basis for developing the City's budget. The reports are described below and can be accessed online at sfcontroller.org.

The Controller's Six-Month Budget Status Report, published annually in early February, projects the year-end status of the City's General Fund and key special revenue and enterprise funds based on financial activity from July through December. Issues identified within this report can then be incorporated into mid-year budgetary adjustments as necessary.

The Four-Year Budget Projection ("Joint Report"), published each even calendar year, reports on projected citywide revenues and expenditures for the following four fiscal years. First required by voters in 1994, this analysis captures significant one-time budgetary items in addition to forecasting revenue and expenditure trends into the future. Beginning in FY 2011-12, the Joint Report was extended to forecast four years into the future (prior to FY 2011-12, the report projected three years into the future.) This change was required by Proposition A, which also required adoption of a biennial Five- Year Financial Plan. The Joint Report now serves as an "off-year" update to the Five-Year Financial Plan and projects out the remaining four years of the prior year's plan. This report was last published on March 31, 2021, as an update to the City's Five-Year Financial Plan for FY 2021-22 through FY 2025-26.

The Five-Year Financial Plan, published first in December of each odd calendar year and then updated in March, forecasts expenditures and revenues over a five-year period, proposes actions to balance revenues and expenditures during each year of the plan, and discusses strategic goals and corresponding resources for city departments. On January 15, 2021, the Mayor proposed the City's sixth Five-Year Financial Plan for Fiscal Years 2021-22
through 2025-26, which was updated on March 31, 2021 and adopted by the Board of Supervisors on April 21, 2021.

The Controller's Nine-Month Budget Status Report, published annually in early May, reports financial activity from July through March and includes the projected year-end status of the City's General Fund and key special revenue and enterprise funds. A comprehensive review of revenue and spending to date and discussions with financial officers at major city departments drive the report's year-end projections.

Taken as a whole, these reports are used by the Mayor's Office to prepare a balanced budget to propose to the Board of Supervisors each year and to plan for long-term financial needs. The reports provide information on the financial resources available to fund the City's programs and projections of future costs.

## Capital and Information Technology Projections

As noted above, the City also engages in longterm planning for the City's infrastructure and information technology (IT) needs. Managed by the City Administrator, the City has completed comprehensive assessments of the City's near- and long-term capital and IT needs through the creation of the Ten-Year Capital Plan and the Information and Communications Technology (ICT) Plan, each of which is issued biennially in odd calendar years in conjunction with the City's Five-Year Financial Plan.

The Capital Plan is prepared under the guidance of the Capital Planning Committee (CPC), while the Committee on Information Technology (COIT) oversees the ICT Plan. Both committees work to identify, assess, and prioritize needs for the City as they relate to capital and IT investments. They also present departments with the opportunity to share information about the impact to operating costs that projects generate.

Funding for capital and technology is appropriated through the City's budget process. While the creation of the Capital and ICT Plans does not change their basic funding mechanisms, the priorities in the capital and IT budgets do reflect the policies and objectives identified in each respective plan.

Further information about capital and IT planning and expenditures can be found in the Capital

Planning and Information Technology sections of this book.

## Financial Policies and Enhanced Reserves

The City's budget is further guided by financial policies that plan for unforeseen financial circumstances that cannot be factored into revenue and expenditure projections. Proposition A charges the Controller's Office with proposing to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors financial policies addressing reserves, use of volatile revenues, debt, and financial measures in the case of disaster recovery, and requires the City to adopt budgets consistent with these policies once approved.

In May 2010, legislation was adopted to codify the City's practice of maintaining an annual General Reserve for fiscal pressures not anticipated in the budget and to help the City mitigate the impact of multi-year downturns. This included augmentation of the existing Rainy Day Reserve and the creation of a new Budget Stabilization Reserve funded by excess receipts from volatile revenue streams.

Finally, independent auditors who certify the City's annual financial statements and credit ratings from the national bond rating agencies provide additional external oversight of the City's financial matters.

Mission-driven budgeting, as described by the City Charter, requires department budget requests to include goals, programs, targeted clients, and strategic plans. The requested budget must tie program funding proposals directly to specific goals. In addition, legislation passed by the Board of Supervisors requires performance standards to increase accountability. The City and County of San Francisco operates under a budget that balances all operating expenditures with available revenue sources and prior-year fund balance.

Governmental financial information statements are reported using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they are measurable and available, and are considered available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. Expenditures are generally recorded when a liability is incurred as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures and expenditures related to vacation, sick leave, and claims and judgments are recorded only when payment is due.

## ANNUAL BUDGET PROCESS

The City adopts annual budgets for all government funds on a substantially modified accrual basis of accounting, except for capital project funds and certain debt service funds that generally adopt project-length budgets.

The budget of the City is a detailed operating plan that identifies estimated costs and results in relation to estimated revenues.

The budget includes: the programs, projects, services, and activities to be provided during the fiscal year; the estimated resources (inflows) available for appropriation; and the estimated changes to appropriations. The budget represents a process through which policy decisions are deliberated, implemented, and controlled. The City Charter prohibits expending funds for which there is no legal appropriation.

## Two-Year Budget Cycle

As described in the previous section, in November 2009, voters passed Proposition A, which amended the City Charter to require the City to transition to a two-year budget cycle for all departments by FY 2012-13. In FY 2010-11, the City adopted two-year budgets covering FY 2010-11 and FY 2011-12 for four early implementation departments: the Airport, Municipal Transportation Agency, Public Utilities Commission, and Port Commission. FY 2012-13 was the first year that all city departments submitted a two-year budget for FY 2012-13 and 2013-14. Also for the first time that year, the four early-implementation departments had a "fixed" two-year budget. This means that in the second year, these departments could only amend their budget if proposed revenues or expenditures were 5.0 percent above or below projections. All other departments retained a variable two-year budget and thus are open to changes and must be rebalanced as part of the next two-year budget. Moving to a fixed two-year budget for all departments would require the passage of legislation by the Board of Supervisors. The two-year budget is developed, approved, and implemented pursuant to the process described below.

## Key Participants

- Citizens provide direction for and commentary on budget priorities throughout the annual budget process. Input from citizens at community policy meetings, stakeholder working groups convened by the Mayor's Office, public budget hearings,
and communication with elected officials are all carefully considered in formulating the Mayor's proposed budget.
- City departments prioritize needs and present balanced budgets for review and analysis by the Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance.
- The multi-year budget projections described in the previous section as well as the Capital Planning Committee (CPC) and Committee on Information Technology (COIT) provide guidance to the Mayor's Office on both long-term fiscal trends as well as citywide priorities for capital and IT investments.
- The Mayor, with the assistance of the Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance, prepares and submits a balanced budget to the Board of Supervisors on an annual basis.
- The Board of Supervisors is the City's legislative body and is responsible for amending and approving the Mayor's proposed budget. The Board's Budget and Legislative Analyst also participates in reviews of city spending and financial projections and makes recommendations to the Board on budget modifications.
- The Controller is the City's Chief Financial Officer and is responsible for projecting available revenue to fund city operations and investments in both the near- and long-term. In addition, the City Services Auditor Division of the Controller's Office is responsible for working with departments to develop, improve, and evaluate their performance standards.


## Calendar and Process

Beginning in September and concluding in July, the annual budget cycle can be divided into three major stages (see calendar at the end of this section):

- Budget Preparation: budget development and submission to the Board of Supervisors
- Approval: budget review and enactment by the Board of Supervisors and budget signing by the Mayor.
- Implementation: department execution and budget adjustments.


## Budget Preparation

Preliminary projections of Enterprise and General Fund revenues for the next fiscal year by the Controller's Office and Mayor's Office staff begin in September. Around this time, many departments begin budget planning to allow adequate input from
oversight commissions and the public. In December, budget instructions are issued by the Mayor's Office and the Controller's Office with detailed guidance on the preparation of department budget requests. The instructions contain a financial outlook, policy goals, and guidelines as well as technical instructions.

Three categories of budgets are prepared:

- General Fund department budgets: General Fund departments rely in whole or in part on discretionary revenue comprised primarily of local taxes such as property, sales, payroll, and other taxes. The Mayor introduces the proposed General Fund budget to the Board of Supervisors on June 1.
- Enterprise department budgets: Enterprise departments generate non-discretionary revenue primarily from charges for services that are used to support operations. The Mayor introduces the proposed Enterprise budgets to the Board of Supervisors on May 1.
- Capital and IT budgets: Capital and IT budget requests are submitted to the CPC and COIT for review. The recommendations for each committee are taken into account during the budget preparation process. The City's Ten-Year Capital Plan is brought before the Board of Supervisors and Mayor for approval concurrently with the General Fund and Enterprise department budgets.

Between December and early February, departments prepare their budget requests and submit them to the Controller by mid-February. The Controller consolidates and verifies all of the information that departments have submitted. The Controller submits departments' proposed budget requests to the Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance for review in early March.

From March through June, the Mayor and the Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance analyze each budget proposal, examining policy and service implications in order to meet citywide needs and reflect the Mayor's goals and priorities for the upcoming year. Concurrently, the Controller's Office certifies all revenue estimates.

From February through May, the Mayor and Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance staff conduct a comprehensive outreach process to understand the budget priorities of San Francisco's communities and address these priorities in the budget. For the proposed budget for FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23, the Mayor held two town halls open to all members of the public. Additionally, the

Mayor and the Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance met with dozens of community groups to understand community members' neighborhood and citywide priorities for the upcoming budget. All San Franciscans also had the opportunity to email their budget priorities and feedback to the Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance. All feedback was used to make decisions about the upcoming budget that reflect the needs and priorities of San Francisco community members.

Total budget requests must be brought into balance with estimated total revenues, which requires the Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance to prioritize funding requests that typically exceed projected available revenues. Before the Mayor's proposed budget is introduced to the Board of Supervisors, the Controller ensures that the finalized budget is balanced and accurate.

## Approval

Upon receiving the Mayor's proposed budget, the Budget and Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors holds public hearings during the months of May and June to review departmental requests and solicit public input. The Budget and Finance Committee makes recommendations to the full Board for budget approval along with their proposed changes. Since budget review lapses into the new fiscal year, the Interim Budget-usually the Mayor's proposed budget-is passed by the Board as a continuing resolution and serves as the operating budget until the budget is finalized in late July. The Mayor typically signs the budget ordinance into law by August.

The Budget and Finance Committee works closely with the Board of Supervisor's Budget and Legislative Analyst (BLA), which develops recommendations on departmental budgets. Informed by departmental discussions that center on justifications for proposed expenses and comparison with prior year spending, the BLA forwards a report with recommended reductions. The Budget and Finance Committee reviews the Budget Analyst's recommended expenditure reductions, along with department and public input, before making final budget recommendations to the full Board of Supervisors.

Because the budget must be balanced, expenditure reductions that are made to General Fund departments represent unallocated monies that the Board of Supervisors can apply to new public
services or to offset proposed budget cuts. The Board of Supervisors generates a list of budget policy priorities that the Budget and Finance Committee uses to guide funding decisions on the unallocated pool of money. The Budget Committee then votes to approve the amended budget and forwards it to the full Board by June 30th.

As required by the City Charter, the Board of Supervisors must vote on the budget twice between July 15 and August 1. At the first reading, which occurs the first Tuesday after July 15, amendments may be proposed and, if passed by a simple majority, added to the budget. These amendments may be proposed by any member of the Board of Supervisors and can reflect further public input and/or Board policy priorities. At the second reading, the Board votes on the amended budget again, and, if passed, the budget is forwarded to the Mayor for final signature. If additional amendments are proposed during the second reading, the budget must go through another reading a week later. Final passage by the Board must occur before the August 1 deadline.

The Mayor has 10 days to approve the final budget, now called the Budget and Appropriation Ordinance. The Mayor may sign the budget as approved by the Board, making it effective immediately, or may veto any portion, whereupon the budget returns to the Board of Supervisors. The Board has ten-days to override any or all of the Mayor's vetoes with a twothirds majority vote. In this case, upon Board vote, the
budget is immediately enacted, thus completing the budget process for the fiscal year.

Should the Mayor opt not to sign the budget within the ten-day period, the budget is automatically enacted but without the Mayor's signature of approval. Once the Budget and Appropriation Ordinance is passed, it supersedes the Interim Budget.

## Implementation

Responsibility for execution of the budget rests largely with departments. The Mayor's Office and the Controller's Office monitor department spending throughout the year and take measures to mitigate overspending or revenue shortfalls. Both offices, as well as the Board of Supervisors, also evaluate departments' achievement of performance measures on a periodic basis.

Budget adjustments during the fiscal year take place in two ways: through supplemental appropriation requests, and through grant appropriation legislation. Supplemental appropriation requests are made when a department finds that it has inadequate resources to support operations through the end of the year. Grant appropriations occur when an outside entity awards funding to a department. Both supplemental and grant appropriation requests require approval by the Board of Supervisors before going to the Mayor for final signature.
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## General Fund Revenue \& Expenditure Trends OVERVIEW

Each year, the City prepares a budgetary forecast of General Fund supported operating expenditures and revenues, and projects either a surplus or shortfall between the two. In odd-numbered years, the Mayor's Office works with the Controller's Office and the Board of Supervisors' Budget and Legislative Analyst to forecast revenue (based on the most current economic data) and expenditures (assuming no change to existing policies and services levels) in order to prepare the City's Five-Year Financial Plan. The most recent Five-Year Financial Plan was released in January 2021 and updated in March 2021. The March update projected surplus of $\$ 15.8$ million in FY 2021-22 and shortfalls of $\$ 38.7$ million, $\$ 350.7$
million, $\$ 390.3$ million, and $\$ 499.3$ million for $F Y$ 2022-23 through FY 2025-26, respectively.

The City is legally required to balance its budget each year. The Mayor's Proposed Two-Year Budget for FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23 balances the two-year $\$ 22.9$ million shortfall with a combination of revenue and expenditure strategies, detailed in the Executive Summary above. The proposed FY 2021-22 budget totals $\$ 13.1$ billion, a $\$ 532.9$ million, or 3.9 percent, decrease over the FY 2020-21 budget. The proposed FY 2022-23 budget totals $\$ 12.8$ billion, a $\$ 323.4$ million, or 2.5 percent, decrease over the FY 2021-22 proposed budget.

## TRENDS IN GENERAL FUND SOURCES

Total General Fund resources - which includes revenue, transfers, fund balance, and use of reserves are projected to increase by $\$ 108.3$ million in FY 2021-22, or 1.7 percent above the FY 2020-21 original budget, and slightly decrease by $\$ 2.5$ million, or 0.0 percent, in FY 2022-23.

Regular Revenues. General Fund revenues make up 47.9 and 50.0 percent of total General Fund resources in FY 2021-22 and FY2O22-23, respectively. General Fund tax revenues have been profoundly affected by the pandemic. The revenue outlook for the City is closely tied to the recovery in the sectors most impacted or transformed in the past year: tourism, office, and small business. The extent to which changes in these sectors (prevalence of telecommuting, patterns of out-migration, resumption of conventions and international travel) are temporary or permanent will be critically important to the City's tax base. The budget broadly assumes General Fund revenue recovers to pre-pandemic levels by FY 202324 , with a rapid growth during the two budget years. However, the impact of the pandemic on specific revenue line items such as hotel, sales, and parking tax are assumed to linger until FY 2025-26.

Regular revenues are budgeted to increase by $\$ 200.4$ million in FY 2021-22, 3.8 percent more than the FY

2020-21 original budget; and increase by $\$ 390.1$ million in FY 2022-23, 7.2 percent higher than the FY 2021-22 proposed budget. In FY 2021-22, the largest increases in General Fund revenues are in property, business, and transfer taxes, with increases of $\$ 96.0$ million, $\$ 130.7$ million, and \$212.1 million respectively from FY 2020-21 budget, partially offset by significant reductions in sales tax, hotel tax and federal subventions. In FY 2022-23, General Fund revenue continues to grow from FY 202122, with strength in property and transfer taxes, a new tax on executive pay, and rapid growth in business and hotel tax, as the city continues its recovery.

Use of Fund Balance and Use of Reserves. The proposed budget allocates $\$ 782.5$ million in General Fund year-end fund balance as a source in the two budget years, of which $\$ 624.8$ million is from the Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds (CSLFRF) of the American Recovery Plan Act (ARPA) of March 2021. Due to timing and revenue recognition issues, this source is budgeted as "prior year fund balance" rather than as "federal subvention." The budget also withdraws $\$ 267.5$ million from reserves in the two budget years, including $\$ 113.5$ million from the COVID-19 Response and Economic Loss Reserve, \$149.0 million from the Business Tax Stabilization Reserve, and $\$ 5.0$ million from the Hotel Tax Contingency Reserve.

## Detail about General Fund Revenues

## Property Tax Revenue

The FY 2021-22 General Fund share of property tax revenue is budgeted at $\$ 2,115.6$ million, which is $\$ 96.0$ million ( 4.8 percent) more than the FY 202021 budget. The FY 2022-23 General Fund share of property tax revenue is budgeted at $\$ 2,211.7$ million, which is $\$ 96.1$ million ( 4.5 percent) more than the proposed FY 2021-22 budget. The largest driver of these year-to-year variances is related to excess Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF) assumptions. Excess ERAF is budgeted at $\$ 245.0$ million in FY 2021-22, which is $\$ 58.0$ million (31.0 percent) more than the original FY 2020-21 budget, and $\$ 260.1$ million in FY 2022-23, which is $\$ 15.1$ million ( 6.2 percent) more than the FY 2O21-22 proposed budget. In accordance with State Controller's Office guidance on the calculation of excess ERAF, the budget assumes ERAF is allocated to the School District for charter schools in the "in lieu" amount, and that historical "residual tax increment" in San Francisco is recognized in ERAF calculations, consistent with fiscal year 2020-21 Nine-Month Report projections.

Changes in property tax revenues will lag other COVID-19-induced revenue changes due to statutory deadlines related to the January 1 property lien date. FY 2020-21 revenues were largely based on values as of the January 1, 2020 lien date, which predated the pandemic in the United States. FY 2021-22 revenues are largely based on values as of January 1, 2021, and we anticipate significant growth in both the number and value of assessment appeals by the September 15 filing date. The FY 2021-22 locally assessed secured property roll growth budget assumption is essentially flat, as 3.2 growth in the working roll is offset by just under $\$ 10$ billion in assumed reductions in hotel, retail, office and multifamily residential assessed values, reflecting declines of 20 percent, 20 percent, 5 percent, and 3 percent, respectively. A 20 percent reduction in unsecured business property tax assessments is included in the projection, reflecting the possibility of business closures.

## Business Tax Revenue

FY 2021-22 business tax revenue is budgeted at $\$ 957.1$ million, which is $\$ 130.7$ million ( 15.8 percent) more than what was budgeted in FY 2020-21. FY 2022-23 business tax revenue is budgeted at $\$ 1,065.4$ million, which is an additional $\$ 108.3$ million ( 11.3 percent) higher than the proposed $F Y$ 2021-22 budget. Business tax revenue is comprised
of business registration fees, administrative office tax, and gross receipts tax. The projected growth in this source is driven by our expectation of strong economic growth of 6 percent in tax year 2021 and 4 percent in tax year 2022 as businesses reopen to full capacity and recover from the COVID-19 public health emergency. In addition, we expect workers in office-using sectors to transition from near full-time telecommuting at the end of FY 2020-21 to an average of 25 percent telecommuting by the end of FY 202223, which could result in increased apportionments of gross receipts to the City.

Economic Growth. Revenues from business tax and registration fees have generally followed economic conditions in the City, primarily employment and wage growth. The response to the COVID-19 emergency has significantly affected them, and the City's economic condition is still in distress relative to prepandemic levels. The unemployment rate peaked at 12.6 percent in April 2020 and declined steadily since then, reaching 5.4 percent in March 2021, higher than at any point pre-pandemic since 2013. In January and February 2020, before shelter-in-place was first instituted, weekly initial claims for unemployment were less than 1,000. As of May 2021, weekly initial claims remained just over 4,000, a number which has remained level since October 2021. With low COVID-19 case rates and high vaccination rates, the City's economic condition is projected to continue improving.

Return to Office. Remote work occurring outside the City creates fiscal risk, because for certain categories of businesses, the gross receipts tax is dependent on their San Francisco payroll, and firms only need to calculate their San Francisco payroll expense for employees that physically work within the City's geographic boundaries. Approximately half of the workers in major tax-paying sectors such as Professional Services, Financial Services, and Information live outside of San Francisco. Some of the City's largest employers in these sectors have indicated that employees may be able to work from home permanently or with a hybrid schedule after COVID-19 restrictions have been lifted. For example, Twitter plans to let employees work from home indefinitely and Google expects most employees to work in the office a few days per week. Although some San Francisco residents who previously commuted out of the City are now telecommuting from within the City, many of these residents work for employers who do not have a nexus in the City, and thus are not subject to business taxes. In the long term, we expect
the number of days worked at home for employees in office-centric sectors to remain at 25 percent above pre-pandemic levels.

## Sales Tax Revenue

In FY 2021-22, local sales tax revenue is budgeted at $\$ 145.7$ million, which is $\$ 37.9$ million (20.7 percent) less than what was budgeted in FY 2020-21. FY 2022-23 local sales tax revenue is budgeted at $\$ 174.9$ million, which is $\$ 29.1$ million (20.0 percent) higher than the proposed FY 2021-22 budget. The decline in FY 2021-22 is due to poor performance in in FY 2020-21, resulting in a much lower sales tax level from which the City must recover. Besides lower daytime population from the lack of travelers and in-commuters who shop and dine out, San Francisco also experienced significant out-migration of its residents during the COVID-19 public health emergency. Based on the change of address request data from the United States Postal Service, the City's population decreased by almost 10 percent from 2019 to 2020. As a result, sales tax collected from online retailers did not offset losses at brick-and-mortar stores in San Francisco, unlike nearly every other California county. Additionally, many businesses were closed or operating at reduced capacity during FY 2020-21, with restrictions slowly loosening up in Spring 2021. As businesses reopen to full capacity over FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23, the budget assumes rapid growth in sales tax revenue from restaurant, hospitality and non-essential retail activity, but that it will not reach pre-pandemic levels until FY 2025-26.

## Transient Occupancy (Hotel) Tax Revenue

The FY 2021-22 General Fund share of hotel tax revenue is budgeted at $\$ 78.5$ million, which is $\$ 47.8$ million (37.8 percent) less than what was budgeted in FY 2020-21. FY 2021-22 revenue is budgeted at $\$ 235.3$ million, an increase of $\$ 156.9$ million (199.9 percent) from the proposed FY 2020-21 budget. The decline in FY 2021-22 is due to the unprecedented drop off in hotel occupancy and rates in FY 2020-21, resulting in a much lower hotel tax level from which the City must recover. Global travel restrictions, the cancellation of conventions, and overall shape of the pandemic were among the factors which led to closure of a large portion of the City's hotels, and hotel tax was significantly depressed in FY 202021. The City's five-year forecast anticipates hotel tax returns to pre-pandemic levels by FY 202526 , with pent-up demand for leisure travel initially driving growth in FY 2021-22 and the resumption of
large group events at full capacity contributing to additional rapid growth in FY 2O22-23.

San Francisco's hotel tax is derived from hotel stays from individual business travelers, group events such as conferences and meetings, and leisure tourists. These visitors primarily travel to the City by air. In April 2020, at the height of the first peak of the COVID-19 pandemic, enplanements at SFO decreased by 97 percent compared to prior year. While air travel has grown since April 2020, the recovery in San Francisco has lagged other metropolitan areas, with FY 2020-21 average enplanements 78.9 percent less than FY 2019-20 enplanements. San Francisco International Airport's (SFO) geographic location lends itself to being the "gateway to Asia," and a hub for international travel, which is anticipated to lag domestic travel. The budgeted hotel tax amount assumes the recovery in hotel tax begins with visits to the City from domestic leisure tourists, with the return of business travelers, group events, and international visitors following, given the time needed to plan large gathering and reestablish policies for in-person business meetings. Conventions drive up hotel room rates through compression pricing, which is important to the full recovery of the City's hotel tax base.

## Access Line and Utility User Tax Revenues

Fiscal Year FY 2021-22 access line tax revenue is budgeted at $\$ 49.6$ million, which is $\$ 0.7$ million ( 1.5 percent) more than what was budgeted in FY 202021. FY 2022-23 revenue is budgeted at $\$ 51.3$ million, or $\$ 1.6$ million ( 3.3 percent) higher than the proposed FY 2021-22 budget. Budgets reflect anticipated proposed inflationary increases to the access line tax rate as described in Business and Tax Regulations Code Section 784.

Fiscal Year FY 2021-22 utility user tax revenue is budgeted at $\$ 77.7$ million, which is $\$ 3.4$ million ( 4.2 percent) less than what was budgeted in FY 202021. FY 2022-23 revenue is budgeted at $\$ 83.7$ million, which is $\$ 6.1$ million ( 7.8 percent) higher than the proposed FY 2021-22 budget. The budget reflects increased commercial utility usage (primarily for gas and electric utilities) as COVID-19 restrictions are lifted and more workers return to the office, but lower than pre-pandemic levels due to continued telecommuting.

## Parking Tax Revenues

Parking tax revenue is budgeted at $\$ 55.9$ million in FY 2021-22, which is $\$ 3.5$ million ( 5.8 percent) less
than what was budgeted in FY 2020-21. FY 2022-23 revenue is budgeted at $\$ 68.8$ million, which is $\$ 12.9$ million (23.1 percent) higher than the proposed FY 2021-22 budget. As the COVID-19 pandemic eases and businesses continue to reopen, parking tax revenues will increase, but they are not expected to reach pre-pandemic levels in the next two years due to an increase in employees working from home rather than commuting into the City.

## Real Property Transfer Tax Revenue

Real property transfer tax (RPTT) revenue is budgeted at $\$ 350.1$ million in FY 2021-22, representing an increase of $\$ 212.2$ million ( 153.7 percent) above what was budgeted in FY 2020-21. In FY 2022-23, revenue is budgeted at $\$ 373.9$ million, an increase of $\$ 23.8$ million ( 6.8 percent) above the proposed FY 2021-22 budget. The budget includes the effects of November 2020 Proposition I, which doubled transfer tax rates on transactions greater than $\$ 10.0$ million. Transfer tax is anticipated to increase each year toward its rate-adjusted longterm average by FY 2023-24. Considering the highly volatile nature of this revenue source, the Controller's Office monitors collection rates throughout the fiscal year and provides regular updates to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors.

## State and Federal Revenue

General Fund federal grants and subventions are budgeted at $\$ 378.5$ million in FY 2021-22, which is $\$ 238.2$ million ( 38.6 percent) less than what was budgeted in FY 2020-21. In FY 2022-23, federal grants and subventions are budgeted at $\$ 312.7$ million, which is $\$ 66.3$ million ( 17.5 percent) less than the proposed FY 2021-22 budget. The FY 2021-22 decrease is driven by a reduction of Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) reimbursements and the expiration of Coronavirus Relief Funds (authorized by the CARES Act of March 2020) for COVID-19-related expenditures. In FY 2020-21, the budget assumed that a full year
of COVID-19 relief efforts were eligible for FEMA reimbursement, whereas in FY 2021-22, the budget assumes only expenditures made in the first quarter of FY 2021-22 will be eligible for reimbursement. The General Fund portion of the budget includes $\$ 624.8$ million of Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds (CSLFRF) from the American Recovery Plan Act of March 2021. Due to timing and revenue recognition issues, those funds are budgeted as "Prior Year Fund Balance" instead of federal grants and subvention.

General Fund state grants and subventions are budgeted at $\$ 836.5$ million in FY 2021-22, which is $\$ 75.4$ million ( 9.9 percent) more than what was budgeted in FY 2020-21. In FY 2022-23, General Fund state grants and subventions are budgeted at $\$ 787.0$ million, which is $\$ 49.5$ million ( 5.9 percent) less than the proposed FY 2021-22 budget. The increase in FY 2021-22 is driven by growth in social service subventions, health and welfare realignment, health and mental health subvention and AB109, partially offset by reductions in health and welfare realignment in CalWORKs, public safety sales tax and other grants and subventions.

## Operating Transfers-In

Transfers-in to the General Fund are budgeted at $\$ 158.3$ million in FY 2021-22, which is $\$ 288.8$ million (64.6 percent) less than what was budgeted in FY 2020-21. In FY 2022-23, transfers-in are budgeted at $\$ 162.9$ million, which is $\$ 4.6$ million ( 2.9 percent) more than the proposed FY 2022-23 budget. The large reduction in transfers in is due to the loss of one-time advance repayments associated with two recent voter approved taxes, the commercial rent tax (June 2018 Proposition C) and the homeless gross receipts tax (November 2018 Proposition C). The transfers in include a portion of Airport concessions revenue and 15 percent of commercial rent tax collections as authorized by June 2018 Proposition C.
million (6.8 percent) in FY 2O22-22 and an additional increase of $\$ 76.2$ million ( 2.8 percent) in $F Y$ 2022-23. The change in FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23 is driven by: cost of living adjustment increases for all City employees, increases in benefit costs that are partially offset by savings due to better-than-expected FY

2020-21 pension returns, and new positions to rightsize City capacity for new programming.

## Non-Personnel Expenses

General Fund non-personnel expenses-including professional services, materials and supplies, aid assistance, grants, capital projects and equipment, debt service, and contributions to reserves-will decrease by $\$ 194.2$ million ( 7.5 percent) to $\$ 2.4$ billion in FY 2021-22 and will decrease by $\$ 227.8$ million ( 9.6 percent) to $\$ 2.2$ billion in FY 2022-23. The decrease in FY 2021-22 is driven by lower deposits
into reserves, due to the FY 2020-21 one-time deposit to the Business Tax Stabilization Reserve.

## Transfers Out

Transfers Out of the General Fund are budgeted at $\$ 1,172.5$ million and $\$ 1,321.6$ million in Fiscal Years 2021-22 and 2022-23, respectively, representing an increase of $\$ 126.3$ million in FY 2021-22 from the previous year and an increase of $\$ 149.1$ million in $F Y$ 2022-23. Changes are due to increases in mandatory baseline funded requirements, as well as increases in other General transfers, such as the transfer to the Hotel Tax for Arts Fund.

## SPENDING MANDATES AND DISCRETIONARY SOURCES

In Fiscal Years 2021-22 and 2022-23, the General Fund will represent 48.2 and 49.4 percent of the City's total budget, respectively. General Fund discretionary spending capacity, however, is about 40 percent of the City's total budget due to voter-approved minimum spending requirements. San Francisco voters have passed ballot measures that require minimum spending levels for certain operations, including the Children's Baseline, the Transitional Youth Baseline, Our City Our Homes Baseline, Early Care and Education Baseline, the

Public Library Baseline, the Public Transportation Baseline, the City Services Auditor operations, the Municipal Symphony Baseline, the Homelessness and Supportive Housing Fund, Housing Trust Fund, Dignity Fund, Street Tree Maintenance Fund, Mission Bay Transportation Improvement Fund, and required reserve deposits. These requirements are discussed in detail in the Controller's discussion of the Mayor's Budget, also known as the Revenue Letter, published the second week of June 2021.

## Fund Structure

The fund structure chart below maps out how the City's revenue and expenditures are organized within the budget. At its most basic, a budget is divided into revenues (sources) and expenses (uses). Budgets like San Francisco's (a consolidated city and county), contain a detailed organizational scheme to group, categorize, and identify revenues and expenses. The fund structure organizes both revenues and expenses into a system of layers, each offering a different level and type of detail. These layers can be queried in a number of different ways, depending on what type of information is sought. The tables displayed in the following pages summarize proposed revenues and expenditures by funding sources and uses at multiple levels,
by service area, and by full time equivalent (FTE) employee counts.

A fund is a high-level classification unit that is organized according to its purpose.

An account is a unique six digit code that identifies the general nature of a source or use, at its lowest level of detail. A value between 400000 and 499999 denotes a source, while a value between 500000 and 599999 denotes a use.

Accounts can be summarized at different levels. For the reports contained in this book, accounts are summarized at Level 5, into a 4 digit code. For example, accounts 501010 - Permanent Salaries and 501070 - Holiday Pay roll up to an Account Level 5 of 5010 - Salaries.
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## Budget Summary Tables

## CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF SOURCES AND USES



## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO MAJOR FUND BUDGETARY RECAP, FY 2021-22 (nтнousanosofooulams)

| Sources | General Fund | Special Revenue | Capital Projects | Debt Service | Enterprise | Internal Service | Other Agency/Trust | Total All Funds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prior Year Fund Balance 6/30/21 (est.) | 470,099 | 172,600 | - | - | 184,790 | 3,381 | 63 | 830,932 |
| Prior Year Reserves | 253,501 | 30,343 | 3,000 | - | - | - | - | 286,844 |
| Prior Year Sources Total | 723,600 | 202,943 | 3,000 | 0 | 184,790 | 3,381 | 63 | 1,117,776 |
| Property Taxes | 2,115,600 | 248,134 | - | 268,957 | - | - | - | 2,632,691 |
| Other Local Taxes | 777,750 | 18,581 | - | - | - | - | - | 796,331 |
| Business Taxes | 957,140 | 556,670 | - | - | - | - | - | 1,513,810 |
| Rents \& Concessions | 11,728 | 39,984 | - | - | 391,890 | 528 | 11,273 | 455,403 |
| Fines and Forfeitures | 4,035 | 11,335 | - | 18,406 | 113,250 | - | - | 147,026 |
| Interest \& Investment Income | 36,247 | 4,087 | 2,293 | - | 32,395 | - | 415 | 75,437 |
| Licenses, Permits \& Franchises | 27,944 | 9,234 | - | - | 19,413 | - | - | 56,591 |
| Intergovernmental - State | 836,482 | 182,850 | 207 | 800 | 126,028 | - | - | 1,146,367 |
| Intergovernmental - Federal | 378,512 | 227,802 | - | - | 212,420 | - | - | 818,734 |
| Intergovernmental - Other | 2,781 | 4,022 | 630 | - | 126,727 | 41 | - | 134,202 |
| Charges for Services | 255,111 | 117,765 | - | - | 3,446,861 | 633 | 311 | 3,820,680 |
| Other Revenues | 24,238 | 34,764 | - | 3,287 | 181,739 | - | 55,167 | 299,195 |
| Other Financing Sources | - | (0) | 67,500 | - | - | - | - | 67,500 |
| Current Year Sources Total | 5,427,568 | 1,455,228 | 70,630 | 291,451 | 4,650,723 | 1,202 | 67,166 | 11,963,967 |
| Contribution Transfers In | - | 449,714 | - | - | 722,751 | - |  | 1,172,465 |
| Operating Transfer In | 158,329 | 159 | - | 2,250 | 308,861 | - | - | 469,599 |
| Transfers In Total | 158,329 | 449,873 | 0 | 2,250 | 1,031,613 | 0 |  | 1,642,064 |
| Available Sources Total | 6,309,497 | 2,108,044 | 73,630 | 293,701 | 5,867,125 | 4,583 | 67,228 | 14,723,808 |
| Uses |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| Community Health | $(1,056,434)$ | $(314,360)$ | $(10,100)$ | - | $(1,384,066)$ | - | - | $(2,764,961)$ |
| Culture \& Recreation | $(221,888)$ | $(300,067)$ | $(6,230)$ | - | - | - | (115) | $(528,299)$ |
| General Administration and Finance | $(475,678)$ | $(212,437)$ | $(36,443)$ | - | - | $(4,583)$ | $(65,138)$ | $(794,278)$ |
| General City Responsibilities | $(229,753)$ | $(6,939)$ | - | $(293,701)$ | - | - | - | $(530,392)$ |
|  <br> Neighborhood Development | $(1,417,298)$ | $(947,880)$ | $(2,000)$ | - | - | - | - | $(2,367,178)$ |
| Public Protection | $(1,511,140)$ | $(75,921)$ | $(9,857)$ | - | $(102,746)$ | - | - | $(1,699,664)$ |
| Public Works, Transportation \& Commerce | $(221,712)$ | $(189,816)$ | $(9,000)$ | - | $(3,965,689)$ | - | - | $(4,386,217)$ |
| Current Year Uses Total | $(5,133,902)$ | $(2,047,419)$ | $(73,630)$ | $(293,701)$ | $(5,452,501)$ | $(4,583)$ | $(65,253)$ | $(13,070,988)$ |
| Contribution Transfers Out | $(1,132,692)$ | $(4,198)$ | - | - | $(219,042)$ | - | - | $(1,355,932)$ |
| Operating Transfer Out | $(39,773)$ | $(50,776)$ | - | - | $(195,582)$ | - | - | $(286,132)$ |
| Transfers Out Total | $(1,172,465)$ | $(54,975)$ | 0 |  | $(414,624)$ | 0 |  | $(1,642,064)$ |
| Proposed Uses Total | $(6,306,368)$ | $(2,102,394)$ | $(73,630)$ | $(293,701)$ | $(5,867,125)$ | $(4,583)$ | $(65,253)$ | $(14,713,053)$ |
| Fund Balance - 6/30/22 (est.) | 3,129 | 5,650 | - | - | - | - | 1,976 | 10,755 |

## CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO MAJOR FUND BUDGETARY RECAP, FY 2022-23 <br> (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

| Sources | General Fund | Special Revenue | Capital Projects | Debt Service | Enterprise | Internal Service | Other Agency/Trust | Total All Funds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prior Year Fund Balance 6/30/22 (est.) | 312,409 | 37,660 | - | 2,422 | 86,990 | 2,029 | 63 | 441,572 |
| Prior Year Reserves | 13,999 | 29,344 | - | - | - | - | - | 43,342 |
| Prior Year Sources Total | 326,408 | 67,004 | 0 | 2,422 | 86,990 | 2,029 | 63 | 484,915 |
| Property Taxes | 2,211,700 | 258,924 | - | 268,957 | - | - | - | 2,739,581 |
| Other Local Taxes | 1,076,092 | 45,161 | - | - | - | - | - | 1,121,253 |
| Business Taxes | 1,065,350 | 551,306 | - | - | - | - | - | 1,616,656 |
| Rents \& Concessions | 13,120 | 53,045 | - | - | 448,325 | 529 | 10,773 | 525,792 |
| Fines and Forfeitures | 3,088 | 11,475 | - | 15,986 | 114,051 | - | - | 144,600 |
| Interest \& Investment Income | 38,307 | 4,087 | - | - | 37,325 | - | 415 | 80,134 |
| Licenses, Permits \& Franchises | 27,997 | 9,099 | - | - | 19,413 | - | - | 56,509 |
| Intergovernmental - State | 787,011 | 157,730 | - | 800 | 125,928 | - | - | 1,071,470 |
| Intergovernmental - Federal | 312,187 | 204,380 | - | - | 193,460 | - | - | 710,026 |
| Intergovernmental - Other | 2,481 | 2,639 | - | - | 126,727 | 42 | - | 131,889 |
| Charges for Services | 256,048 | 130,529 | - | - | 3,439,539 | 642 | 311 | 3,827,069 |
| Other Revenues | 24,256 | 25,839 | - | 3,287 | 137,145 | - | 57,872 | 248,400 |
| Other Financing Sources | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Current Year Sources Total | 5,817,638 | 1,454,213 | 0 | 289,030 | 4,641,914 | 1,213 | 69,370 | 12,273,378 |
| Contribution Transfers In | - | 442,638 | - | - | 878,913 | - | - | 1,321,550 |
| Operating Transfer In | 162,941 | 809 | - | 2,250 | 312,545 | - | - | 478,545 |
| Transfers In Total | 162,941 | 443,447 | 0 | 2,250 | 1,191,457 | 0 |  | 1,800,095 |
| Available Sources Total | 6,306,987 | 1,964,664 | 0 | 293,702 | 5,920,361 | 3,242 | 69,433 | 14,558,388 |
| Uses | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Community Health | $(1,062,425)$ | $(227,108)$ | - | - | $(1,412,150)$ | - | - | $(2,701,683)$ |
| Culture \& Recreation | $(186,907)$ | $(299,865)$ | - | - | - | - | (115) | $(486,887)$ |
| General Administration and Finance | $(409,821)$ | $(200,630)$ | - | - | - | $(3,242)$ | $(68,990)$ | $(682,682)$ |
| General City Responsibilities | $(241,416)$ | $(9,585)$ | - | $(293,702)$ | - | - | - | $(544,703)$ |
|  <br> Neighborhood Development | $(1,335,917)$ | $(897,104)$ | - | - | - | - | - | $(2,233,021)$ |
| Public Protection | $(1,551,743)$ | $(74,524)$ | - | - | $(106,461)$ | - | - | $(1,732,728)$ |
| Public Works, Transportation \& Commerce | $(191,364)$ | $(197,023)$ | - | - | $(3,967,144)$ | - | - | $(4,355,532)$ |
| Current Year Uses Total | $(4,979,593)$ | $(1,905,840)$ | 0 | $(293,702)$ | $(5,485,755)$ | $(3,242)$ | $(69,105)$ | $(12,737,236)$ |
| Contribution Transfers Out | $(1,298,379)$ | $(6,837)$ | - | - | $(219,042)$ | - | - | $(1,524,258)$ |
| Operating Transfer Out | $(23,171)$ | $(41,383)$ | - | - | $(211,283)$ | - | - | $(275,837)$ |
| Transfers Out Total | $(1,321,550)$ | $(48,220)$ | 0 |  | $(430,325)$ | 0 |  | $(1,800,095)$ |
| Proposed Uses Total | $(6,301,143)$ | (1,954,061) | 0 | $(293,702)$ | $(5,916,080)$ | $(3,242)$ | $(69,105)$ | $(14,537,332)$ |

## SOURCES AND USES OF FUNDS EXCLUDING FUND TRANSFERS

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Change from 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 Proposed | Change from 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sources of Funds |  |  |  |  |  |
| Local Taxes | 5,118,110,006 | 4,942,832,493 | $(175,277,513)$ | 5,477,490,020 | 534,657,527 |
| Licenses \& Fines | 177,341,918 | 203,616,725 | 26,274,807 | 201,109,204 | $(2,507,521)$ |
| Use of Money or Property | 571,508,719 | 530,839,877 | $(40,668,842)$ | 605,925,945 | 75,086,068 |
| Intergovernmental Revenue - Federal | 1,359,412,768 | 818,734,435 | $(540,678,333)$ | 710,026,268 | $(108,708,167)$ |
| Intergovernmental Revenue - State | 1,122,893,303 | 1,146,367,399 | 23,474,096 | 1,071,469,741 | $(74,897,658)$ |
| Intergovernmental Revenue - Other | 108,308,660 | 134,201,514 | 25,892,854 | 131,888,621 | $(2,312,893)$ |
| Charges for Services | 3,689,948,069 | 3,820,680,132 | 130,732,063 | 3,827,068,706 | 6,388,574 |
| Other Revenues | 461,559,653 | 366,694,682 | (94,864,971) | 248,399,741 | $(118,294,941)$ |
| Use of / (Deposit to) Fund Balance | 1,005,549,153 | 1,117,776,083 | 112,226,930 | 484,914,741 | $(632,861,342)$ |
| Sources of Funds Subtotals | 13,614,632,249 | 13,081,743,340 | $(532,888,909)$ | 12,758,292,987 | $(323,450,353)$ |
| Uses of Funds |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries \& Wages | 3,859,618,846 | 4,171,081,422 | 311,462,576 | 4,309,932,677 | 138,851,255 |
| Fringe Benefits | 1,818,997,554 | 1,859,849,431 | 40,851,877 | 1,896,099,820 | 36,250,389 |
| Overhead | $(70,500,485)$ | $(66,371,144)$ | 4,129,341 | $(69,114,101)$ | $(2,742,957)$ |
| Professional \& Contractual Services | 3,141,609,620 | 3,035,179,740 | $(106,429,880)$ | 2,871,283,282 | $(163,896,458)$ |
| Aid Assistance / Grants | 1,930,147,756 | 1,719,519,385 | $(210,628,371)$ | 1,608,171,973 | $(111,347,412)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 370,790,439 | 385,788,822 | 14,998,383 | 380,657,417 | $(5,131,405)$ |
| Equipment | 32,048,884 | 47,482,948 | 15,434,064 | 19,049,689 | $(28,433,259)$ |
| Debt Service | 1,416,493,356 | 1,342,251,900 | $(74,241,456)$ | 1,365,680,935 | 23,429,035 |
| Services of Other Departments | 991,818,537 | 1,054,160,061 | 62,341,524 | 1,069,426,282 | 15,266,221 |
| Expenditure Recovery | $(1,122,248,656)$ | $(1,188,795,193)$ | $(66,546,537)$ | $(1,217,178,580)$ | $(28,383,387)$ |
| Budgetary Reserves | 755,129,460 | 271,666,282 | $(483,463,178)$ | 248,984,071 | (22,682,211) |
| Facilities Maintenance | 65,748,927 | 63,334,263 | $(2,414,664)$ | 64,075,188 | 740,925 |
| Capital Renewal | 21,652,923 | 61,013,270 | 39,360,347 | 23,040,150 | $(37,973,120)$ |
| Capital Projects | 403,325,088 | 325,582,153 | $(77,742,935)$ | 188,184,184 | $(137,397,969)$ |
| Uses of Funds Subtotals | 13,614,632,249 | 13,081,743,340 | $(532,888,909)$ | 12,758,292,987 | $(323,450,353)$ |

## USES BY SERVICE AREA AND DEPARTMENT

Service Area: COMMUNITY HEALTH

| Department | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed | Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \end{gathered}$ | Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public Health | 2,775,782,429 | 2,821,394,533 | 45,612,104 | 2,757,867,945 | $(63,526,588)$ |
| COMMUNITY HEALTH Total | 2,775,782,429 | 2,821,394,533 | 45,612,104 | 2,757,867,945 | $(63,526,588)$ |
| Service Area: CULTURE \& RECREATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Department | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed | Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \end{gathered}$ | Change From 2021-2022 |
| Academy Of Sciences | 5,587,723 | 5,572,739 | $(14,984)$ | 5,686,913 | 114,174 |
| Arts Commission | 23,762,015 | 51,036,274 | 27,274,259 | 29,265,565 | $(21,770,709)$ |
| Asian Art Museum | 10,236,316 | 10,641,777 | 405,461 | 11,173,060 | 531,283 |
| Fine Arts Museum | 18,470,103 | 20,985,756 | 2,515,653 | 20,996,834 | 11,078 |
| Law Library | 1,937,106 | 2,033,206 | 96,100 | 2,130,773 | 97,567 |
| Public Library | 151,700,834 | 171,192,006 | 19,491,172 | 172,321,356 | 1,129,350 |
| Recreation \& Park Commsn | 231,586,029 | 244,843,460 | 13,257,431 | 229,582,326 | $(15,261,134)$ |
| War Memorial | 24,730,294 | 29,300,581 | 4,570,287 | 28,551,754 | $(748,827)$ |
| CULTURE \& RECREATION Total | 468,010,420 | 535,605,799 | 67,595,379 | 499,708,581 | $(35,897,218)$ |

Service Area: GENERAL ADMINISTRATION \& FINANCE


Service Area: GENERAL CITY RESPONSIBILITIES

| Department | 2020-2021 <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General City / Unallocated | $\mathbf{1 , 8 0 1 , 9 4 9 , 2 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 1 3 , 5 5 1 , 0 1 1}$ | $(188,398,195)$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 5 6 , 3 5 6 , 4 8 8}$ | $(\mathbf{1 5 7 , 1 9 4 , 5 2 3 )}$ |
| GENERAL CITY RESPONSIBILITIES Total | $\mathbf{1 , 8 0 1 , 9 4 9 , 2 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 1 3 , 5 5 1 , 0 1 1}$ | $(\mathbf{1 8 8 , 3 9 8 , 1 9 5 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 5 6 , 3 5 6 , 4 8 8}$ | $(\mathbf{1 5 7 , 1 9 4 , 5 2 3 )}$ |

Service Area: HUMAN WELFARE \& NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT

| Department | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed | Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed | Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Child Support Services | 13,409,069 | 13,271,043 | $(138,026)$ | 13,537,016 | 265,973 |
| Children \& Families Commsn | 31,194,003 | 30,194,536 | $(999,467)$ | 0 | $(30,194,536)$ |
| Children;Youth \& Families | 285,355,499 | 314,027,521 | 28,672,022 | 297,273,707 | $(16,753,814)$ |
| Dept of Early Childhood | 0 | 0 | 0 | 297,991,938 | 297,991,938 |
| Environment | 41,287,133 | 21,625,762 | $(19,661,371)$ | 22,156,872 | 531,110 |
| Homelessness Services | 852,119,737 | 671,849,800 | $(180,269,937)$ | 596,061,560 | $(75,788,240)$ |
| Human Rights Commission | 11,205,068 | 13,618,732 | 2,413,664 | 13,825,105 | 206,373 |
| Human Services Agency | 1,412,197,472 | 1,368,488,366 | $(43,709,106)$ | 1,059,549,635 | $(308,938,731)$ |
| Rent Arbitration Board | 9,381,302 | 13,982,121 | 4,600,819 | 14,411,996 | 429,875 |
| Status of Women | 10,279,426 | 11,121,448 | 842,022 | 11,100,578 | $(20,870)$ |
| HUMAN WELFARE \& NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT Total | 2,666,428,709 | 2,458,179,329 | $(208,249,380)$ | 2,325,908,407 | $(132,270,922)$ |

## USES BY SERVICE AREA AND DEPARTMENT, continued

Service Area: PUBLIC PROTECTION

| Department | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 Proposed | Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2022-2023 } \\ & \text { Proposed } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change From } \\ & 2021-2022 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adult Probation | 41,818,109 | 48,018,313 | 6,200,204 | 48,684,399 | 666,086 |
| District Attorney | 73,721,806 | 80,064,379 | 6,342,573 | 82,036,173 | 1,971,794 |
| Emergency Management | 117,088,290 | 122,439,115 | 5,350,825 | 126,117,005 | 3,677,890 |
| Fire Department | 412,290,704 | 438,643,187 | 26,352,483 | 441,731,555 | 3,088,368 |
| Juvenile Probation | 41,284,225 | 43,685,180 | 2,400,955 | 44,293,697 | 608,517 |
| Police | 667,891,102 | 661,656,289 | $(6,234,813)$ | 689,077,957 | 27,421,668 |
| Police Accountabilty | 10,415,143 | 9,398,996 | $(1,016,147)$ | 9,620,863 | 221,867 |
| Public Defender | 42,256,703 | 44,132,551 | 1,875,848 | 45,231,821 | 1,099,270 |
| Sheriff | 245,012,613 | 270,075,610 | 25,062,997 | 260,906,671 | $(9,168,939)$ |
| Sheriff Accountability OIG | 0 | 1,973,878 | 1,973,878 | 2,920,861 | 946,983 |
| Superior Court | 36,265,797 | 33,513,253 | $(2,752,544)$ | 33,513,253 | 0 |
| PUBLIC PROTECTION Total | 1,688,044,492 | 1,753,600,751 | 65,556,259 | 1,784,134,255 | 30,533,504 |

Service Area: PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION \& COMMERCE

| Department | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed | Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed | Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Airport Commission | 1,465,583,462 | 1,162,590,702 | $(302,992,760)$ | 1,178,952,840 | 16,362,138 |
| Board Of Appeals - PAB | 1,177,452 | 1,095,914 | $(81,538)$ | 1,120,510 | 24,596 |
| Building Inspection | 89,501,462 | 89,993,382 | 491,920 | 91,391,585 | 1,398,203 |
| Dept of Sanitation \& Sts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,000,000 | 6,000,000 |
| Economic \& Wrkfrce Dvipmnt | 96,149,625 | 141,443,455 | 45,293,830 | 105,627,696 | $(35,815,759)$ |
| GSA Public Works | 351,913,006 | 352,636,030 | 723,024 | 357,344,929 | 4,708,899 |
| Municipal Transprtn Agncy | 1,546,030,662 | 1,387,520,157 | $(158,510,505)$ | 1,354,189,348 | $(33,330,809)$ |
| Port | 124,802,058 | 92,487,095 | $(32,314,963)$ | 118,426,554 | 25,939,459 |
| Public Utilities Commissn | 1,433,954,907 | 1,504,102,985 | 70,148,078 | 1,512,539,917 | 8,436,932 |
| PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION \& COMMERCE Total | 5,109,112,634 | 4,731,869,720 | $(377,242,914)$ | 4,725,593,379 | $(6,276,341)$ |
| Expenditure Subtotals | 15,838,179,097 | 15,398,518,864 | $(439,660,233)$ | 14,942,373,684 | $(456,145,180)$ |
| Less Interdepartmental Recoveries And Transfers | $(2,223,546,848)$ | $(2,316,775,524)$ | $(93,228,676)$ | $(2,184,080,697)$ | ) 132,694,827 |
| Net Uses | 13,614,632,249 | 13,081,743,340 | $(532,888,909)$ | 12,758,292,987 | $(323,450,353)$ |

## USES BY SERVICE AREA, DEPARTMENT AND DIVISION

Service Area: COMMUNITY HEALTH

| Department | Division Description | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2020-2021 } \\ & \text { Budget } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Proposed } \end{gathered}$ | Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2022-2023 } \\ & \text { Proposed } \end{aligned}$ | Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public Health | HAD Public Health Admin | 180,600,117 | 157,598,101 | $(23,002,016)$ | 168,532,049 | 10,933,948 |
|  | HBH Behavioral Health | 535,517,649 | 606,751,833 | 71,234,184 | 543,801,723 | $(62,950,110)$ |
|  | HGH Zuckerberg SF General | 996,821,628 | 1,050,225,190 | 53,403,562 | 1,070,800,393 | 20,575,203 |
|  | HHH Health At Home | 8,236,557 | 8,769,180 | 532,623 | 9,000,654 | 231,474 |
|  | HJH Jail Health | 37,890,187 | 38,439,952 | 549,765 | 39,766,391 | 1,326,439 |
|  | HLH Laguna Honda Hospital | 307,386,752 | 321,140,844 | 13,754,092 | 318,835,849 | $(2,304,995)$ |
|  | HNS Health Network Services | 296,655,415 | 335,242,214 | 38,586,799 | 324,300,385 | $(10,941,829)$ |
|  | HPC Primary Care | 114,978,667 | 111,546,439 | $(3,432,228)$ | 114,492,021 | 2,945,582 |
|  | HPH Population Health Division | 297,695,457 | 191,680,780 | $(106,014,677)$ | 168,338,480 | $(23,342,300)$ |
| Public Health Total |  | 2,775,782,429 | 2,821,394,533 | 45,612,104 | 2,757,867,945 | $(63,526,588)$ |
| COMMUNITY HEALTH Total |  | 2,775,782,429 | 2,821,394,533 | 45,612,104 | 2,757,867,945 | $(63,526,588)$ |

Service Area: CULTURE \& RECREATION

| Department | Division Description | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2020-2021 } \\ & \text { Budget } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Proposed } \end{gathered}$ | Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2022-2023 } \\ & \text { Proposed } \end{aligned}$ | Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academy Of Sciences |  | 5,587,723 | 5,572,739 | $(14,984)$ | 5,686,913 | 114,174 |
| Academy Of Sciences Total |  | 5,587,723 | 5,572,739 | $(14,984)$ | 5,686,913 | 114,174 |
| Arts Commission | ART Administration | 6,983,956 | 30,854,653 | 23,870,697 | 7,136,413 | $(23,718,240)$ |
|  | ART Civic Design | 180,503 | 189,465 | 8,962 | 194,968 | 5,503 |
|  | ART Community Investments | 12,566,699 | 16,047,974 | 3,481,275 | 17,174,274 | 1,126,300 |
|  | ART Municipal Galleries | 748,295 | 779,135 | 30,840 | 797,542 | 18,407 |
|  | ART Public Art \& Collections | 3,107,373 | 2,960,131 | $(147,242)$ | 3,753,545 | 793,414 |
|  | ART Street Artist Program | 175,189 | 204,916 | 29,727 | 208,823 | 3,907 |
| Arts Commission Total |  | 23,762,015 | 51,036,274 | 27,274,259 | 29,265,565 | $(21,770,709)$ |
| Asian Art Museum |  | 10,236,316 | 10,641,777 | 405,461 | 11,173,060 | 531,283 |
| Asian Art Museum Total |  | 10,236,316 | 10,641,777 | 405,461 | 11,173,060 | 531,283 |
| Fine Arts Museum |  | 18,470,103 | 20,985,756 | 2,515,653 | 20,996,834 | 11,078 |
| Fine Arts Museum Total |  | 18,470,103 | 20,985,756 | 2,515,653 | 20,996,834 | 11,078 |
| Law Library |  | 1,937,106 | 2,033,206 | 96,100 | 2,130,773 | 97,567 |
| Law Library Total |  | 1,937,106 | 2,033,206 | 96,100 | 2,130,773 | 97,567 |
| Public Library |  | 151,700,834 | 171,192,006 | 19,491,172 | 172,321,356 | 1,129,350 |
| Public Library Total |  | 151,700,834 | 171,192,006 | 19,491,172 | 172,321,356 | 1,129,350 |
| Recreation \& Park Commsn | REC Admin Services | $(2,116,977)$ | $(3,503,330)$ | $(1,386,353)$ | $(3,627,023)$ | $(123,693)$ |
|  | REC Capital Division | 50,335,034 | 38,712,235 | $(11,622,799)$ | 19,220,931 | $(19,491,304)$ |
|  | REC Operations | 179,367,972 | 205,634,555 | 26,266,583 | 209,988,418 | 4,353,863 |
|  | REC Zoo | 4,000,000 | 4,000,000 | 0 | 4,000,000 | 0 |
| Recreation \& Park Commsn Total |  | 231,586,029 | 244,843,460 | 13,257,431 | 229,582,326 | $(15,261,134)$ |
| War Memorial |  | 24,730,294 | 29,300,581 | 4,570,287 | 28,551,754 | $(748,827)$ |
| War Memorial Total |  | 24,730,294 | 29,300,581 | 4,570,287 | 28,551,754 | $(748,827)$ |
| CULTURE \& RECREATION Total |  | 468,010,420 | 535,605,799 | 67,595,379 | 499,708,581 | $(35,897,218)$ |

## USES BY SERVICE AREA, DEPARTMENT AND DIVISION, continued

Service Area: GENERAL ADMINISTRATION \& FINANCE

| Department | Division Description | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Budget } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2021-2022 } \\ & \text { Proposed } \end{aligned}$ | Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{array}{r} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \end{array}$ | Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assessor / Recorder | ASR Administration | 6,167,665 | 6,100,219 | $(67,446)$ | 6,298,806 | 198,587 |
|  | ASR Exemptions | 512,638 | 639,170 | 126,532 | 670,482 | 31,312 |
|  | ASR Personal Property | 3,984,696 | 4,209,005 | 224,309 | 4,369,014 | 160,009 |
|  | ASR Public Service | 1,354,311 | 1,059,997 | $(294,314)$ | 1,131,363 | 71,366 |
|  | ASR Real Property | 22,761,326 | 19,466,649 | $(3,294,677)$ | 18,716,729 | $(749,920)$ |
|  | ASR Recorder | 3,052,947 | 3,042,335 | $(10,612)$ | 3,203,501 | 161,166 |
|  | ASR Transactions | 1,271,848 | 1,359,114 | 87,266 | 1,421,417 | 62,303 |
| Assessor / Recorder Total |  | 39,105,431 | 35,876,489 | $(3,228,942)$ | 35,811,312 | $(65,177)$ |
| Board of Supervisors | BOS Assessment Appeals Board | 701,348 | 782,972 | 81,624 | 798,287 | 15,315 |
|  | BOS Budget \& Legis Analysis | 2,363,745 | 2,440,567 | 76,822 | 2,440,567 | 0 |
|  | BOS Clerk Of The Board | 4,289,305 | 4,611,585 | 322,280 | 4,664,396 | 52,811 |
|  | BOS Local Agncy Formation Comm | 341,240 | 341,240 | 0 | 341,668 | 428 |
|  | BOS Sunshine Ord Task Force | 172,373 | 186,329 | 13,956 | 191,235 | 4,906 |
|  | BOS Supervisors | 10,364,652 | 10,811,163 | 446,511 | 11,087,757 | 276,594 |
|  | BOS Youth Commission | 373,655 | 397,865 | 24,210 | 408,640 | 10,775 |
| Board of Supervisors Total |  | 18,606,318 | 19,571,721 | 965,403 | 19,932,550 | 360,829 |
| City Attorney |  | 93,814,525 | 99,146,797 | 5,332,272 | 101,560,444 | 2,413,647 |
| City Attorney Total |  | 93,814,525 | 99,146,797 | 5,332,272 | 101,560,444 | 2,413,647 |
| City Planning | CPC Administration | 20,599,990 | 17,573,322 | $(3,026,668)$ | 18,282,911 | 709,589 |
|  | CPC Citywide Planning | 14,618,486 | 11,772,541 | $(2,845,945)$ | 7,834,953 | $(3,937,588)$ |
|  | CPC Community Equity | 0 | 3,066,305 | 3,066,305 | 2,845,283 | $(221,022)$ |
|  | CPC Current Planning | 13,557,304 | 12,307,053 | (1,250,251) | 12,694,476 | 387,423 |
|  | CPC Environmental Planning | 8,654,601 | 9,413,078 | 758,477 | 7,962,678 | $(1,450,400)$ |
|  | CPC Executive Office | 0 | 3,845,937 | 3,845,937 | 3,950,782 | 104,845 |
|  | CPC Zoning Admin \& Compliance | 3,015,733 | 4,441,223 | 1,425,490 | 4,490,538 | 49,315 |
| City Planning Total |  | 60,446,114 | 62,419,459 | 1,973,345 | 58,061,621 | $(4,357,838)$ |
| Civil Service Commission |  | 1,286,033 | 1,388,312 | 102,279 | 1,418,696 | 30,384 |
| Civil Service Commission Total |  | 1,286,033 | 1,388,312 | 102,279 | 1,418,696 | 30,384 |
| Controller | CON Accounting | 12,029,596 | 13,044,091 | 1,014,495 | 13,354,480 | 310,389 |
|  | CON Administration | 1,233,676 | 1,587,322 | 353,646 | 1,592,645 | 5,323 |
|  | CON Budget \& Analysis | 2,357,704 | 3,006,151 | 648,447 | 3,158,304 | 152,153 |
|  | CON City Services Auditor | 22,639,017 | 23,441,420 | 802,403 | 22,270,063 | $(1,171,357)$ |
|  | CON Citywide Systems | 32,398,427 | 31,595,385 | $(803,042)$ | 30,930,986 | $(664,399)$ |
|  | CON Economic Analysis | 582,671 | 618,233 | 35,562 | 632,050 | 13,817 |
|  | CON Payroll | 3,357,535 | 3,587,149 | 229,614 | 3,738,408 | 151,259 |
|  | CON Public Finance | 495,272 | 535,219 | 39,947 | 546,264 | 11,045 |
| Controller Total |  | 75,093,898 | 77,414,970 | 2,321,072 | 76,223,200 | $(1,191,770)$ |
| Elections | REG Elections Services | 24,294,798 | 30,687,909 | 6,393,111 | 22,853,989 | $(7,833,920)$ |
|  | REG Elections-Commission | 93,531 | 69,088 | $(24,443)$ | 71,011 | 1,923 |
| Elections Total |  | 24,388,329 | 30,756,997 | 6,368,668 | 22,925,000 | $(7,831,997)$ |
| Ethics Commission |  | 4,724,515 | 6,551,078 | 1,826,563 | 7,458,201 | 907,123 |
| Ethics Commission Total |  | 4,724,515 | 6,551,078 | 1,826,563 | 7,458,201 | 907,123 |
| Gen Svcs Agency-City Admin | ADM Administration | 19,122,187 | 19,387,853 | 265,666 | 19,831,959 | 444,106 |
|  | ADM Animal Care And Control | 8,484,264 | 9,528,984 | 1,044,720 | 9,712,599 | 183,615 |
|  | ADM City Administrator Prog | 93,246,299 | 119,069,168 | 25,822,869 | 106,484,960 | $(12,584,208)$ |
|  | ADM Community Invest-Infrastr | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | (10) |
|  | ADM Convention Facilities Mgmt | 78,103,224 | 88,547,791 | 10,444,567 | 96,919,182 | 8,371,391 |
|  | ADM Entertainment Commission | 1,206,978 | 1,324,923 | 117,945 | 1,360,609 | 35,686 |
|  | ADM Internal Services | 259,893,530 | 302,281,555 | 42,388,025 | 301,603,616 | $(677,939)$ |
|  | ADM Medical Examiner | 11,028,457 | 12,216,245 | 1,187,788 | 12,894,165 | 677,920 |
| Gen Svcs Agency-City Admin Total |  | 471,084,939 | 552,356,529 | 81,271,590 | 548,807,090 | $(3,549,439)$ |
| Health Service System |  | 12,102,328 | 12,570,769 | 468,441 | 12,908,805 | 338,036 |
| Health Service System Total |  | 12,102,328 | 12,570,769 | 468,441 | 12,908,805 | 338,036 |
| Human Resources | HRD Administration | 4,408,871 | 5,904,300 | 1,495,429 | 4,768,770 | $(1,135,530)$ |
|  | HRD Employee Relations | 4,790,304 | 7,886,644 | 3,096,340 | 5,665,097 | $(2,221,547)$ |
|  | HRD Equal Emplymt Opportunity | 5,023,165 | 7,560,202 | 2,537,037 | 7,921,342 | 361,140 |
|  | HRD Recruit-Assess-Client Svc | 11,661,501 | 12,192,480 | 530,979 | 12,552,373 | 359,893 |

## USES BY SERVICE AREA, DEPARTMENT AND DIVISION, continued

| Department | Division Description | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Budget } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2021-2022 \\ & \text { Proposed } \end{aligned}$ | Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{aligned} & 2022-2023 \\ & \text { Proposed } \end{aligned}$ | Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Human Resources | HRD Workers Compensation | 78,874,317 | 79,953,818 | 1,079,501 | 80,074,453 | 120,635 |
|  | HRD Workforce Development | 3,272,612 | 4,363,307 | 1,090,695 | 4,002,262 | $(361,045)$ |
| Human Resources Total |  | 108,030,770 | 117,860,751 | 9,829,981 | 114,984,297 | $(2,876,454)$ |
| Mayor | MYR Housing \& Community Dev | 197,016,642 | 218,753,365 | 21,736,723 | 150,345,614 | $(68,407,751)$ |
|  | MYR Office Of The Mayor | 9,290,514 | 9,687,764 | 397,250 | 9,879,418 | 191,654 |
| Mayor Total |  | 206,307,156 | 228,441,129 | 22,133,973 | 160,225,032 | $(68,216,097)$ |
| Retirement System | RET Administration | 12,478,438 | 10,878,086 | $(1,600,352)$ | 9,354,476 | $(1,523,610)$ |
|  | RET Health Care Trust | 2,117,865 | 2,396,354 | 278,489 | 2,396,354 | 0 |
|  | RET Investment | 9,212,239 | 10,544,023 | 1,331,784 | 12,036,024 | 1,492,001 |
|  | RET Retirement Services | 14,349,635 | 16,589,511 | 2,239,876 | 16,821,120 | 231,609 |
|  | RET SF Deferred Comp Program | 1,558,156 | 1,326,900 | $(231,256)$ | 1,372,065 | 45,165 |
| Retirement System Total |  | 39,716,333 | 41,734,874 | 2,018,541 | 41,980,039 | 245,165 |
| Treasurer/Tax Collector | TTX Collection | 24,291,456 | 26,005,668 | 1,714,212 | 27,188,427 | 1,182,759 |
|  | TTX Impact | 3,652,899 | 3,708,121 | 55,222 | 2,925,827 | $(782,294)$ |
|  | TTX Management | 6,295,891 | 7,030,015 | 734,124 | 6,952,329 | $(77,686)$ |
|  | TTX Treasury | 8,431,627 | 8,362,511 | $(69,116)$ | 8,596,707 | 234,196 |
| Treasurer/Tax Collector Total |  | 42,671,873 | 45,106,315 | 2,434,442 | 45,663,290 | 556,975 |
| GSA - Technology | DT Administration | 49,772,234 | 58,159,224 | 8,386,990 | 59,731,967 | 1,572,743 |
|  | DT Capital And Equipment | 1,100,000 | 12,700,000 | 11,600,000 | 0 | $(12,700,000)$ |
|  | DT Chief Technology Officer | $(51,501)$ | 0 | 51,501 | 0 | 0 |
|  | DT Communications | 6,821,709 | 6,975,504 | 153,795 | 7,191,343 | 215,839 |
|  | DT Cybersecurity | 7,930,995 | 8,748,444 | 817,449 | 8,923,091 | 174,647 |
|  | DT Enterprise Applications | 6,947,964 | 6,342,254 | $(605,710)$ | 6,499,027 | 156,773 |
|  | DT Infrastructure \& Operations | 29,587,612 | 24,300,393 | $(5,287,219)$ | 26,014,484 | 1,714,091 |
|  | DT Innovation | 1,000,501 | 1,057,379 | 56,878 | 1,069,576 | 12,197 |
|  | DT JUSTIS | 2,691,679 | 3,001,199 | 309,520 | 3,053,968 | 52,769 |
|  | DT PMO | 0 | 3,244,328 | 3,244,328 | 3,319,168 | 74,840 |
|  | DT Public Safety | 12,958,236 | 13,991,023 | 1,032,787 | 14,269,212 | 278,189 |
|  | DT Rate Model DataSF | 1,346,852 | 0 | $(1,346,852)$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | DT Rate Model Usage | 0 | 4,902,544 | 4,902,544 | 4,938,821 | 36,277 |
|  | DT Support Services | 11,366,364 | 9,699,239 | $(1,667,125)$ | 9,834,395 | 135,156 |
| GSA - Technology Total |  | 131,472,645 | 153,121,531 | 21,648,886 | 144,845,052 | $(8,276,479)$ |
| GENERAL ADMINISTRATION | CE Total | 1,328,851,207 | 1,484,317,721 | 155,466,514 | 1,392,804,629 | $(91,513,092)$ |

Service Area: GENERAL CITY RESPONSIBILITIES

| Department Division Description | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Budget } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2021-2022 } \\ & \text { Proposed } \end{aligned}$ | Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2022-2023 } \\ & \text { Proposed } \end{aligned}$ | Change From $2021-2022$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General City / Unallocated | 1,801,949,206 | 1,613,551,011 | $(188,398,195)$ | 1,456,356,488 | $(157,194,523)$ |
| General City / Unallocated Total | 1,801,949,206 | 1,613,551,011 | $(188,398,195)$ | 1,456,356,488 | $(157,194,523)$ |
| GENERAL CITY RESPONSIBILITIES Total | 1,801,949,206 | 1,613,551,011 | $(188,398,195)$ | 1,456,356,488 | $(157,194,523)$ |

Service Area: HUMAN WELFARE \& NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT

| Department | Division Description | $\begin{array}{r} 2020-2021 \\ \text { Budget } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2021-2022 } \\ & \text { Proposed } \end{aligned}$ | Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \end{gathered}$ | Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Child Support Services |  | 13,409,069 | 13,271,043 | $(138,026)$ | 13,537,016 | 265,973 |
| Child Support Services Total |  | 13,409,069 | 13,271,043 | $(138,026)$ | 13,537,016 | 265,973 |
| Children \& Families Commsn |  | 31,194,003 | 30,194,536 | $(999,467)$ | 0 | $(30,194,536)$ |
| Children \& Families Commsn Total |  | 31,194,003 | 30,194,536 | $(999,467)$ | 0 | $(30,194,536)$ |
| Children;Youth \& Families |  | 285,355,499 | 314,027,521 | 28,672,022 | 297,273,707 | $(16,753,814)$ |
| Children;Youth \& Families Total |  | 285,355,499 | 314,027,521 | 28,672,022 | 297,273,707 | $(16,753,814)$ |
| Dept of Early Childhood |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 297,991,938 | 297,991,938 |
| Dept of Early Childhood Total |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 297,991,938 | 297,991,938 |
| Environment |  | 41,287,133 | 21,625,762 | $(19,661,371)$ | 22,156,872 | 531,110 |
| Environment Total |  | 41,287,133 | 21,625,762 | $(19,661,371)$ | 22,156,872 | 531,110 |
| Homelessness Services | HOM ADMINISTRATION | 11,354,750 | 13,140,540 | 1,785,790 | 13,702,388 | 561,848 |
|  | HOM PROGRAMS | 840,764,987 | 658,709,260 | $(182,055,727)$ | 582,359,172 | $(76,350,088)$ |
| Homelessness Services Total |  | 852,119,737 | 671,849,800 | $(180,269,937)$ | 596,061,560 | $(75,788,240)$ |
| Human Rights Commission |  | 11,205,068 | 13,618,732 | 2,413,664 | 13,825,105 | 206,373 |
| Human Rights Commission Total |  | 11,205,068 | 13,618,732 | 2,413,664 | 13,825,105 | 206,373 |
| Human Services Agency | HSA Admin Support (HSA) | 131,229,004 | 159,135,114 | 27,906,110 | 148,699,782 | $(10,435,332)$ |
|  | HSA Aging \& Adult Svc (DAAS) | 435,011,663 | 453,050,213 | 18,038,550 | 433,452,806 | $(19,597,407)$ |
|  | HSA Early Care \& Education | 372,767,178 | 288,364,115 | $(84,403,063)$ | 0 | (288,364,115) |
|  | HSA Human Services (DHS) | 473,189,627 | 467,938,924 | $(5,250,703)$ | 477,397,047 | 9,458,123 |
| Human Services Agency Total |  | 1,412,197,472 | 1,368,488,366 | $(43,709,106)$ | 1,059,549,635 | $(308,938,731)$ |
| Rent Arbitration Board |  | 9,381,302 | 13,982,121 | 4,600,819 | 14,411,996 | 429,875 |
| Rent Arbitration Board Total |  | 9,381,302 | 13,982,121 | 4,600,819 | 14,411,996 | 429,875 |
| Status of Women |  | 10,279,426 | 11,121,448 | 842,022 | 11,100,578 | $(20,870)$ |
| Status of Women Total |  | 10,279,426 | 11,121,448 | 842,022 | 11,100,578 | $(20,870)$ |
| HUMAN WELFARE \& NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT Total |  | 2,666,428,709 | 2,458,179,329 | $(208,249,380)$ | 2,325,908,407 | $(132,270,922)$ |

USES BY SERVICE AREA, DEPARTMENT AND DIVISION, continued
Service Area: PUBLIC PROTECTION

| Department | Division Description | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Budget } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Proposed } \end{gathered}$ | Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \end{array}$ | Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adult Probation |  | 41,818,109 | 48,018,313 | 6,200,204 | 48,684,399 | 666,086 |
| Adult Probation Total |  | 41,818,109 | 48,018,313 | 6,200,204 | 48,684,399 | 666,086 |
| District Attorney |  | 73,721,806 | 80,064,379 | 6,342,573 | 82,036,173 | 1,971,794 |
| District Attorney Total |  | 73,721,806 | 80,064,379 | 6,342,573 | 82,036,173 | 1,971,794 |
| Emergency Management | DEM Administration | 38,811,524 | 34,358,099 | $(4,453,425)$ | 36,711,101 | 2,353,002 |
|  | DEM Emergency Communications | 38,017,600 | 39,124,692 | 1,107,092 | 40,030,925 | 906,233 |
|  | DEM Emergency Services | 3,808,726 | 7,129,260 | 3,320,534 | 7,372,302 | 243,042 |
|  | DEM Homeland Security Grants | 36,450,440 | 41,827,064 | 5,376,624 | 42,002,677 | 175,613 |
| Emergency Management Total |  | 117,088,290 | 122,439,115 | 5,350,825 | 126,117,005 | 3,677,890 |
| Fire Department | FIR Administration | 24,664,888 | 26,749,819 | 2,084,931 | 27,046,363 | 296,544 |
|  | FIR Airport | 31,023,056 | 31,395,342 | 372,286 | 32,793,492 | 1,398,150 |
|  | FIR Capital Project \& Grants | 1,697,864 | 1,369,108 | $(328,756)$ | 1,437,564 | 68,456 |
|  | FIR Fireboat | 3,633,576 | 3,705,342 | 71,766 | 3,848,131 | 142,789 |
|  | FIR Investigation | 2,549,173 | 2,986,882 | 437,709 | 3,098,620 | 111,738 |
|  | FIR Nert | 329,646 | 332,913 | 3,267 | 339,908 | 6,995 |
|  | FIR Operations | 299,952,518 | 317,056,550 | 17,104,032 | 322,383,517 | 5,326,967 |
|  | FIR Prevention | 19,094,559 | 18,212,822 | $(881,737)$ | 18,928,702 | 715,880 |
|  | FIR Support Services | 25,409,021 | 26,745,278 | 1,336,257 | 27,450,331 | 705,053 |
|  | FIR Training | 3,936,403 | 10,089,131 | 6,152,728 | 4,404,927 | $(5,684,204)$ |
| Fire Department Total |  | 412,290,704 | 438,643,187 | 26,352,483 | 441,731,555 | 3,088,368 |
| Juvenile Probation | JUV Children'S Baseline | 2,596,845 | 0 | $(2,596,845)$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | JUV General | 10,353,510 | 11,357,815 | 1,004,305 | 11,347,271 | $(10,544)$ |
|  | JUV Juvenile Hall | 15,944,303 | 16,877,279 | 932,976 | 18,805,300 | 1,928,021 |
|  | JUV Log Cabin Ranch | 0 | 2,664,157 | 2,664,157 | 2,676,755 | 12,598 |
|  | JUV Probation Services | 12,389,567 | 12,785,929 | 396,362 | 11,464,371 | $(1,321,558)$ |
| Juvenile Probation Total |  | 41,284,225 | 43,685,180 | 2,400,955 | 44,293,697 | 608,517 |
| Police | POL - Airport | 80,386,750 | 71,350,984 | $(9,035,766)$ | 73,667,469 | 2,316,485 |
|  | POL - FOB - Field Operations | 422,474,594 | 424,096,432 | 1,621,838 | 437,255,159 | 13,158,727 |
|  | POL - SOB - Special Operations | 44,637,302 | 45,653,608 | 1,016,306 | 47,292,050 | 1,638,442 |
|  | POL Admin | 120,392,456 | 120,555,265 | 162,809 | 130,863,279 | 10,308,014 |
| Police Total |  | 667,891,102 | 661,656,289 | $(6,234,813)$ | 689,077,957 | 27,421,668 |
| Police Accountabilty |  | 10,415,143 | 9,398,996 | $(1,016,147)$ | 9,620,863 | 221,867 |
| Police Accountabilty Total |  | 10,415,143 | 9,398,996 | $(1,016,147)$ | 9,620,863 | 221,867 |
| Public Defender |  | 42,256,703 | 44,132,551 | 1,875,848 | 45,231,821 | 1,099,270 |
| Public Defender Total |  | 42,256,703 | 44,132,551 | 1,875,848 | 45,231,821 | 1,099,270 |
| Sheriff |  | 245,012,613 | 270,075,610 | 25,062,997 | 260,906,671 | $(9,168,939)$ |
| Sheriff Total |  | 245,012,613 | 270,075,610 | 25,062,997 | 260,906,671 | $(9,168,939)$ |
| Sheriff Accountability OIG | SDA Inspector General | 0 | 1,616,247 | 1,616,247 | 2,480,012 | 863,765 |
|  | SDA Sheriff Oversight | 0 | 357,631 | 357,631 | 440,849 | 83,218 |
| Sheriff Accountability OIG Total |  | 0 | 1,973,878 | 1,973,878 | 2,920,861 | 946,983 |
| Superior Court |  | 36,265,797 | 33,513,253 | $(2,752,544)$ | 33,513,253 | 0 |
| Superior Court Total |  | 36,265,797 | 33,513,253 | $(2,752,544)$ | 33,513,253 | 0 |
| PUBLIC PROTECTION Total |  | 1,688,044,492 | 1,753,600,751 | 65,556,259 | 1,784,134,255 | 30,533,504 |

## Service Area: PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION \& COMMERCE

| Department | Division Description | $\begin{array}{r} 2020-2021 \\ \text { Budget } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2021-2022 } \\ & \text { Proposed } \end{aligned}$ | Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \end{gathered}$ | Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Airport Commission | AIR Airport Director | 9,979,460 | 8,875,156 | $(1,104,304)$ | 8,472,734 | $(402,422)$ |
|  | AIR Bureau Of Admin \& Policy | 38,042,007 | 34,206,596 | $(3,835,411)$ | 35,235,137 | 1,028,541 |
|  | AIR Business \& Finance | 614,515,754 | 0 | $(614,515,754)$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | AIR Capital Projects | 75,996,373 | 56,024,330 | $(19,972,043)$ | 56,074,473 | 50,143 |
|  | AIR Chief Development Office | 0 | 13,218,066 | 13,218,066 | 13,310,112 | 92,046 |
|  | AIR Chief Information Office | 0 | 38,076,419 | 38,076,419 | 38,170,765 | 94,346 |
|  | AIR Chief Operating Office | 0 | 17,190,633 | 17,190,633 | 17,376,365 | 185,732 |
|  | AIR Chief Operating Officer | 47,073,693 | 0 | $(47,073,693)$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | AIR Commercial Office | 0 | 35,483,462 | 35,483,462 | 35,300,005 | $(183,457)$ |
|  | AIR Communications \& Mrktng | 20,722,052 | 0 | $(20,722,052)$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | AIR Design \& Construction | 14,130,504 | 0 | $(14,130,504)$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | AIR External Affairs | 0 | 11,579,306 | 11,579,306 | 11,933,330 | 354,024 |
|  | AIR Facilities | 207,540,479 | 217,477,046 | 9,936,567 | 216,980,180 | $(496,866)$ |
|  | AIR Facilities; Maintenance | 13,000,000 | 15,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 15,000,000 | 0 |
|  | AIR Financial Office | 0 | 566,427,081 | 566,427,081 | 578,226,503 | 11,799,422 |
|  | AIR Fire Bureau | 1,000,839 | 991,081 | $(9,758)$ | 860,383 | $(130,698)$ |
|  | AIR General | 299,061,687 | 25,531,713 | (273,529,974) | 28,308,596 | 2,776,883 |
|  | AIR Operations \& Security | 105,050,083 | 106,754,425 | 1,704,342 | 107,986,580 | 1,232,155 |
|  | AIR Planning Division | 7,409,253 | 12,195,018 | 4,785,765 | 12,276,058 | 81,040 |
|  | AIR Police Bureau | 12,061,278 | 3,560,370 | $(8,500,908)$ | 3,441,619 | $(118,751)$ |
| Airport Commission Total |  | 1,465,583,462 | 1,162,590,702 | $(302,992,760)$ | 1,178,952,840 | 16,362,138 |
| Board Of Appeals - PAB |  | 1,177,452 | 1,095,914 | $(81,538)$ | 1,120,510 | 24,596 |
| Board Of Appeals - PAB Total |  | 1,177,452 | 1,095,914 | $(81,538)$ | 1,120,510 | 24,596 |

## USES BY SERVICE AREA, DEPARTMENT AND DIVISION, continued

| Building Inspection | DBI Adminlstration | 25,006,260 | 24,200,366 | $(805,894)$ | 24,536,045 | 335,679 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DBI Inspection Services | 45,385,749 | 46,657,600 | 1,271,851 | 47,586,334 | 928,734 |
|  | DBI Permit Services | 19,109,453 | 19,135,416 | 25,963 | 19,269,206 | 133,790 |
| Building Inspection Total |  | 89,501,462 | 89,993,382 | 491,920 | 91,391,585 | 1,398,203 |
| Dept of Sanitation \& Sts |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,000,000 | 6,000,000 |
| Dept of Sanitation \& Sts Total |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,000,000 | 6,000,000 |
| Economic \& Wrkfrce Dvipmnt | ECN Economic Development | 23,784,318 | 61,332,106 | 37,547,788 | 41,480,334 | $(19,851,772)$ |
|  | ECN Economic and Workforce Dev | $(161,816)$ | 137,458 | 299,274 | 26,963 | $(110,495)$ |
|  | ECN Film Commission | 1,452,390 | 1,200,000 | $(252,390)$ | 1,225,000 | 25,000 |
|  | ECN Office of Small Business | 2,770,352 | 2,883,624 | 113,272 | 2,996,200 | 112,576 |
|  | ECN Real Estate Development | 17,371,716 | 17,488,283 | 116,567 | 17,557,674 | 69,391 |
|  | ECN Workforce Development | 50,932,665 | 58,401,984 | 7,469,319 | 42,341,525 | $(16,060,459)$ |
| Economic \& Wrkfrce Dvipmnt Total |  | 96,149,625 | 141,443,455 | 45,293,830 | 105,627,696 | $(35,815,759)$ |
| GSA Public Works | DPW Administration | $(17,007,794)$ | (19,332,715) | (2,324,921) | (20,434,681) | $(1,101,966)$ |
|  | DPW Buildings | 44,697,054 | 27,677,454 | $(17,019,600)$ | 37,997,008 | 10,319,554 |
|  | DPW Infrastructure | 109,730,974 | 141,265,663 | 31,534,689 | 131,199,652 | (10,066,011) |
|  | DPW Operations | 214,492,772 | 203,025,628 | $(11,467,144)$ | 208,582,950 | 5,557,322 |
| GSA Public Works Total |  | 351,913,006 | 352,636,030 | 723,024 | 357,344,929 | 4,708,899 |
| Municipal Transprtn Agncy | MTAAW Agency-wide | 275,235,831 | 161,898,543 | (113,337,288) | 140,656,850 | $(21,241,693)$ |
|  | MTABD Board Of Directors | 623,736 | 651,092 | 27,356 | 667,720 | 16,628 |
|  | MTACC CV-Captl Progr \& Constr | 131,171,758 | 64,967,195 | $(66,204,563)$ | 40,064,267 | (24,902,928) |
|  | MTACO Communications | 6,129,018 | 6,431,201 | 302,183 | 6,563,628 | 132,427 |
|  | MTAED Executive Director | 2,477,752 | 3,282,718 | 804,966 | 3,363,259 | 80,541 |
|  | MTAFA Fit Finance \& Info Tech | 133,890,666 | 140,002,724 | 6,112,058 | 140,131,398 | 128,674 |
|  | MTAGA Government Affairs | 1,706,572 | 1,738,052 | 31,480 | 1,778,350 | 40,298 |
|  | MTAHR Human Resources | 22,305,315 | 22,465,768 | 160,453 | 23,001,905 | 536,137 |
|  | MTAPA Policy \& Administration | 329,802 | 345,308 | 15,506 | 354,424 | 9,116 |
|  | MTASA Safety | 7,195,305 | 7,500,376 | 305,071 | 7,621,612 | 121,236 |
|  | MTASM Street Management | 222,705,868 | 204,203,353 | (18,502,515) | 198,693,736 | $(5,509,617)$ |
|  | MTATS Transit Svc Division | 706,561,675 | 734,732,135 | 28,170,460 | 751,842,627 | 17,110,492 |
|  | MTATZ Taxi \& Accessible Svc | 35,697,364 | 39,301,692 | 3,604,328 | 39,449,572 | 147,880 |
| Municipal Transprtn Agncy Total |  | 1,546,030,662 | 1,387,520,157 | $(158,510,505)$ | 1,354,189,348 | $(33,330,809)$ |
| Port | PRT Engineering | 6,011,637 | 5,337,121 | $(674,516)$ | 5,449,932 | 112,811 |
|  | PRT Executive | 7,758,267 | 8,109,807 | 351,540 | 8,232,787 | 122,980 |
|  | PRT Finance And Administration | 29,092,076 | 22,488,904 | $(6,603,172)$ | 32,408,284 | 9,919,380 |
|  | PRT Maintenance | 18,993,635 | 18,764,170 | $(229,465)$ | 23,485,789 | 4,721,619 |
|  | PRT Maritime | 11,770,785 | 11,835,151 | 64,366 | 11,822,198 | $(12,953)$ |
|  | PRT Planning \& Environment | 2,929,465 | 3,093,810 | 164,345 | 3,140,464 | 46,654 |
|  | PRT Port Commission (Portwide) | 34,913,192 | 4,780,666 | $(30,132,526)$ | 15,672,180 | 10,891,514 |
|  | PRT Real Estate \& Development | 13,333,001 | 18,077,466 | 4,744,465 | 18,214,920 | 137,454 |
| Port Total |  | 124,802,058 | 92,487,095 | $(32,314,963)$ | 118,426,554 | 25,939,459 |
| Public Utilities Commissn | HHP CleanPowerSF | 226,493,735 | 227,935,217 | 1,441,482 | 227,514,226 | $(420,991)$ |
|  | HHP Hetch Hetchy Water \& Power | 209,138,691 | 229,612,290 | 20,473,599 | 230,253,325 | 641,035 |
|  | PUB Public Utilities Bureaus | 556,600 | 561,138 | 4,538 | 296,138 | $(265,000)$ |
|  | WTR Water Enterprise | 611,920,983 | 634,047,013 | 22,126,030 | 639,136,814 | 5,089,801 |
|  | WWE Wastewater Enterprise | 385,844,898 | 411,947,327 | 26,102,429 | 415,339,414 | 3,392,087 |
| Public Utilities Commissn Total |  | 1,433,954,907 | 1,504,102,985 | 70,148,078 | 1,512,539,917 | 8,436,932 |
| PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION \& COMMERCE Total |  | 5,109,112,634 | 4,731,869,720 | (377,242,914) | 4,725,593,379 | $(6,276,341)$ |
| Expenditure Subtotals |  | 15,838,179,097 | 15,398,518,864 | $(439,660,233)$ | 14,942,373,684 | $(456,145,180)$ |
| Less Interdepartmental Recoveries And Transfers |  | $(2,223,546,848)$ | (2,316,775,524) | $(93,228,676)$ | $(2,184,080,697)$ | 132,694,827 |
| Net Uses |  | 13,614,632,249 | 13,081,743,340 | $(532,888,909)$ | 12,758,292,987 | $(323,450,353)$ |

## AUTHORIZED POSITIONS, GRAND RECAP DETAIL

|  | Position Detail | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Proposed Budget } \end{gathered}$ | Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed Budget } \end{gathered}$ | Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating | Permanent | 34,507.76 | 34,653.58 | 145.82 | 34,808.71 | 155.13 |
|  | Temporary | 979.98 | 1,027.87 | 47.89 | 804.78 | (223.09) |
| Non-Operating | Capital/Other | 2,440.50 | 2,503.88 | 63.38 | 2,501.97 | (1.91) |
|  | Grant | 326.32 | 325.96 | (0.36) | 327.62 | 1.66 |
| Authorized Positions | Total | 38,254.56 | 38,511.29 | 256.73 | 38,443.08 | (68.21) |
| Unfunded Positions | Attrition Savings | $(3,656.10)$ | $(3,410.36)$ | 245.74 | $(3,384.96)$ | 25.40 |
|  | Capital/Other | $(2,820.50)$ | $(2,883.88)$ | (63.38) | $(2,881.97)$ | 1.91 |
| Unfunded Positions Total |  | $(6,476.60)$ | $(6,294.24)$ | 182.36 | $(6,266.93)$ | 27.31 |
|  |  | 31,777.96 | 32,217.05 | 439.09 | 32,176.15 | (40.90) |

## FUNDED POSITIONS, GRAND RECAP BY MAJOR SERVICE AREA AND DEPARTMENT TITLE

Service Area: A Public Protection

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADULT PROBATION | 147.38 | 154.55 | 7.17 | 153.07 | (1.48) |
| DISTRICT ATTORNEY | 266.83 | 278.15 | 11.32 | 278.10 | (0.05) |
| EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT | 296.79 | 300.21 | 3.42 | 275.60 | (24.61) |
| FIRE DEPARTMENT | 1,641.24 | 1,669.74 | 28.50 | 1,665.65 | (4.09) |
| JUVENILE PROBATION | 183.61 | 178.45 | (5.16) | 179.31 | 0.86 |
| POLICE | 3,048.45 | 2,897.46 | (150.99) | 2,952.97 | 55.51 |
| POLICE ACCOUNTABILTY | 47.94 | 42.51 | (5.43) | 42.51 | 0.00 |
| PUBLIC DEFENDER | 188.44 | 186.88 | (1.56) | 186.87 | (0.01) |
| SHERIFF | 1,007.63 | 1,001.01 | (6.62) | 1,001.10 | 0.09 |
| SHERIFF ACCOUNTABILITY OIG | 0.00 | 7.70 | 7.70 | 13.00 | 5.30 |
| Service Area: A Total | 6,828.31 | 6,716.66 | (111.65) | 6,748.18 | 31.52 |

Service Area: B Public Works, Transportation \& Commerce

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed Budget | Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AIRPORT COMMISSION | 1,609.69 | 1,601.49 | (8.20) | 1,604.08 | 2.59 |
| BOARD OF APPEALS | 5.02 | 4.20 | (0.82) | 4.20 | 0.00 |
| BUILDING INSPECTION | 265.49 | 270.08 | 4.59 | 269.91 | (0.17) |
| DEPT OF SANITATION \& STS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ECONOMIC AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT | 104.69 | 109.25 | 4.56 | 106.52 | (2.73) |
| GENERAL SERVICES AGENCY ? PUBLIC WORKS | 1,063.07 | 1,052.51 | (10.56) | 1,048.70 | (3.81) |
| MUNICIPAL TRANSPRTN AGNCY | 5,519.75 | 5,583.61 | 63.86 | 5,586.06 | 2.45 |
| PORT | 231.81 | 222.72 | (9.09) | 222.53 | (0.19) |
| PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSN | 1,666.85 | 1,708.67 | 41.82 | 1,715.22 | 6.55 |
| Service Area: B Total | 10,466.37 | 10,552.53 | 86.16 | 10,557.22 | 4.69 |

Service Area: C Human Welfare \& Neighborhood Development

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed Budget | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Change From } \\ & \text { 2021-2022 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES | 66.22 | 66.06 | (0.16) | 65.73 | (0.33) |
| CHILDREN AND FAMILIES COMMISSION | 14.19 | 16.00 | 1.81 | 0.00 | (16.00) |
| CHILDREN; YOUTH \& THEIR FAMILIES | 54.92 | 55.15 | 0.23 | 55.07 | (0.08) |
| DEPT OF EARLY CHILDHOOD | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 61.74 | 61.74 |
| ENVIRONMENT | 70.70 | 68.39 | (2.31) | 67.97 | (0.42) |
| HOMELESSNESS AND SUPPORTIVE HOUSING | 156.97 | 221.57 | 64.60 | 192.12 | (29.45) |
| HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION | 22.91 | 22.57 | (0.34) | 23.02 | 0.45 |
| HUMAN SERVICES | 2,159.97 | 2,209.85 | 49.88 | 2,158.98 | (50.87) |
| RENT ARBITRATION BOARD | 35.15 | 46.74 | 11.59 | 49.61 | 2.87 |
| STATUS OF WOMEN | 5.38 | 6.92 | 1.54 | 6.88 | (0.04) |
| Service Area: C Total | 2,586.41 | 2,713.25 | 126.84 | 2,681.12 | (32.13) |

## Service Area: D Community Health

|  | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PUBLIC HEALTH | $7,161.30$ | $7,379.76$ | 218.46 | $7,316.06$ |  |
| Service Area: D Total | $7,161.30$ | $7,379.76$ | $\mathbf{2 1 8 . 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 3 1 6 . 0 6}$ | $(63.70)$ |

## FUNDED POSITIONS, GRAND RECAP BY MAJOR SERVICE AREA AND DEPARTMENT TITLE, continued

Service Area: E Culture \& Recreation
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrr}\text { Change From } \\ \text { 2021-2022 }\end{array}\right)$

Service Area: F General Administration \& Finance

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-2021 } \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSESSOR / RECORDER | 161.69 | 164.37 | 2.68 | 167.29 | 2.92 |
| BOARD OF SUPERVISORS | 85.95 | 86.70 | 0.75 | 86.90 | 0.20 |
| CITY ATTORNEY | 309.80 | 312.91 | 3.11 | 313.08 | 0.17 |
| CITY PLANNING | 217.29 | 209.41 | (7.88) | 210.51 | 1.10 |
| CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION | 5.79 | 6.00 | 0.21 | 6.00 | 0.00 |
| CONTROLLER | 248.19 | 252.33 | 4.14 | 256.20 | 3.87 |
| ELECTIONS | 61.20 | 80.29 | 19.09 | 59.22 | (21.07) |
| ETHICS COMMISSION | 22.78 | 30.50 | 7.72 | 32.33 | 1.83 |
| GENERAL SERVICES AGENCY-CITY ADMIN | 913.06 | 941.43 | 28.37 | 955.86 | 14.43 |
| HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM | 47.12 | 47.17 | 0.05 | 47.17 | 0.00 |
| HUMAN RESOURCES | 177.28 | 201.12 | 23.84 | 191.39 | (9.73) |
| MAYOR | 76.06 | 82.81 | 6.75 | 84.24 | 1.43 |
| RETIREMENT SYSTEM | 106.83 | 113.01 | 6.18 | 117.75 | 4.74 |
| GENERAL SERVICES AGENCY-TECHNOLOGY | 223.75 | 230.29 | 6.54 | 230.29 | 0.00 |
| TREASURER/TAX COLLECTOR | 205.44 | 199.30 | (6.14) | 199.25 | (0.05) |
| Service Area: F Total | 2,862.23 | 2,957.64 | 95.41 | 2,957.48 | (0.16) |

Service Area: G General City Responsibilities

|  | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| GENERAL CITY / UNALLOCATED | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Service Area: G Total | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |
| Report Grand Total: | $31,777.96$ | $\mathbf{3 2 , 2 1 7 . 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 9 . 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 , 1 7 6 . 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 0 . 9 0 )}$ |
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## MISSION

The Academy of Sciences is an aquarium, planetarium, rainforest, and natural history museum in the heart of San Francisco's Golden Gate Park. It is a leading institution for biodiversity research and exploration, environmental education, and sustainability across the globe.

## SERVICES

Although the Academy consists of divisions that run its operations, programs, and research departments, the only portion of the Academy that receives funding from the City and County of San Francisco through the annual budget is the Steinhart Aquarium.

THE STEINHART AQUARIUM is home to 40,000 live animals, representing more than 900 separate species from around the world. Established through a gift to the City, the Aquarium educates the public about aquatic species. The Aquarium has one of the most important fish collections in the world and the largest collection of Pacific invertebrates in the United States. Together, these two collections make the Academy a major center for ocean life. Its collections of reptiles, plants, and insects are also among the best in the world.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Revitalize human connections with the natural world, and be a powerful voice for biodiversity and environmental learning across the globe;
- Facilitate collaborative engagement, including community convenings, collective impact alliances, and partnering with BIPOC communities in pursuit of social justice;
- Provide science, technology, engineering, art, and museum (STEAM) education opportunities to all, especially currently underrepresented communities;
- Be a leader in workforce inclusivity, and enhance racial equity practices to ensure that opportunities reach and serve diverse communities; and
- Maintain viability as a public attraction through sustainable fiscal operations.


## BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $5,587,723$ | $5,572,739$ | $(14,984)$ | $5,686,913$ | 114,174 |
| Total FTE | 11 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 0 |


|  |  |  | FY21 |  | FY22 | FY23 <br> Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target |  |  |
| Provide STEM education opportunities to all members of the community |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of school-aged children participating in an Academy educational program | 134,105 | 76,579 | 444,330 | 155,000 | 241,780 | 305,030 |
| Number of hours worked by Careers in Science interns | 7,181 | 5766 | 4,000 | 12,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| Number of Careers in Science Program interns | 54 | 31 | 33 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Promote workforce inclusivity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of management positions held by women | 54\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% |
| \# of employees for whom scheduled performance appraisals were completed (SCI) | 12 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 11 |
| \# of employees for whom performance appraisals were scheduled (SCI) | 12 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 11 |
| Maintain the Steinhart aquarium as a world class leading aquarium |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of randomly surveyed visitors rating the quality of the Aquarium as good or better | 95.5\% | 96\% | 96\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% |
| Number of public floor visitor engagements with education staff | 3,153,650 | 2,193,518 | 110,000 | 3,100,000 | 500,000 | 1,000,000 |
| Ensure unencumbered access to science learning experiences |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of visitors attending on San Francisco Neighborhood Free Days and Quarterly Free Days | 33,835 | 17,414 | 0 | 26,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| City cost per visitor (SCl) | \$4.26 | \$7.49 | \$23.40 | \$5.07 | \$8.30 | \$4.75 |
| Educate and inspire the world |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recycling rate of Academy waste | 80\% | 78\% | 71\% | 81\% | 78\% | 81\% |
| Percentage of staff who commute sustainably to the Academy | 30\% | 63\% | 60\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% |
| Number of volunteer hours | 65,488 | 44,792.12 | 5,000 | 65,000 | 14,000 | 20,000 |
| Number of visitors | 1,332,080 | 815,703 | 238,762 | 1,125,000 | 631,500 | 1,050,000 |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Academy of Sciences' proposed budget of \$5.6 million for Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 does not significantly differ from their FY 2020-21 budget.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 5.7$ million is $\$ 0.1$ million or 2.0 percent slightly higher than the FY 2021-22 proposed budget. This increase is primarily due to capital funding.

## Philanthropic Endeavors

The The Academy of Sciences' (Academy) fundraising efforts fundraising efforts are focused on large initiatives such as Coral Reefs, Tropical Rainforests, California Biodiversity, and Museum Accessibility for all. The initiatives align with the Academy's mission and leverage exhibits within the museum to inspire better understanding and conservation of the natural world.

## Exhibits

The Academy exhibits and seasonal festivals bring science and nature to life for visitors of all ages. Exhibits often require years of planning, production, and execution. To more deeply connect guests with science concepts and ideas, the Academy public engagement specialists orchestrate more than 30 interactive presentations and activities throughout the Academy every day during operating hours. Due to COVID-19 restrictions, the Academy's
team has pivoted to increase the number of online experiences to aid in distance learning and informal science education.

## Capital Investment

During FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23, the Academy's proposed budget includes investment in facilities maintenance, building projects, and stationary engineers intended to keep Steinhart Aquarium in working order and ensure a safe environment for staff, visitors, and live animals. This investment in preventative maintenance will decrease future facilities maintenance costs and represents an investment of City resources.

## Accessibility

The "Academy for All" initiative currently serves children and their families annually through free and low-cost programs, including free admission days, free field trips, and special programs targeting youth traditionally underrepresented in science fields. The Academy is a proud participant in the Mayor's Museums for All initiative as well as Opportunities for All internship program. From toddlers to teenagers, the Academy provide pathways for discovery, learning, and workforce development prioritizing youth from backgrounds historically underrepresented in STEM fields.

STUDENTS > ADMITTED FREE.

Due to restrictions put into place due to COVID-19, the Academy experienced a low rate of students admitted for free. In future years, the Academy expects to return to past rates of admittance.


NUMBER OF VISITORS.
Due to COVID-19, the Academy experienced full musem
closure for many months, resulting in the reduction of
annual visitorship. In future years, the Academy expects to
return to the usual rate of 1.3
million visitors per year.


ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: ACADEMY OF SCIENCES


TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | $\begin{gathered} 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | 11.04 | 11.11 | 0.07 | 11.08 | (0.03) |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Operating Positions | 11.04 | 11.11 | 0.07 | 11.08 | (0.03) |
| General Fund Support | 5,587,723 | 5,572,739 | $(14,984)$ | 5,686,913 | 114,174 |
| Sources Total | 5,587,723 | 5,572,739 | $(14,984)$ | 5,686,913 | 114,174 |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $1,346,947$ | $1,449,182$ | 102,235 | $1,490,586$ | 41,404 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 577,885 | 594,046 | 16,161 | 600,888 | 6,842 |
| Non-Personnel Services | $1,499,468$ | $1,499,468$ |  | $1,499,468$ |  |
| Capital Outlay | 603,398 | 318,568 | $(284,830)$ | 484,496 |  |
| Facilities Maintenance |  | 100,000 | 100,000 |  |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | $1,560,025$ | $1,611,475$ | 51,450 | $1,611,475$ |  |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{5 , 5 8 7 , 7 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 5 7 2 , 7 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 4 , 9 8 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 6 8 6 , 9 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 4 , 1 7 4}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| SCI Academy Of Sciences | $5,587,723$ | $5,572,739$ | $(14,984)$ | $5,686,913$ | $\mathbf{1 1 4 , 1 7 4}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{5 , 5 8 7 , 7 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 5 7 2 , 7 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 4 , 9 8 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 6 8 6 , 9 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 4 , 1 7 4}$ |

## Adult Probation

## MISSION

The San Francisco Adult Probation Department (ADP) is an integral partner in the City's criminal justice system that serves and protects the community, furthers justice, and inspires change. ADP prioritizes racial equity, collaborates with the courts and numerous partners and community-based organizations, and provides evidence-based supervision and holistic and client-centered reentry services. ADP serves approximately 6,200 adult clients who are on court-ordered formal probation supervision, post release community supervision, mandatory supervision, and diversion programs.

## SERVICES

The Adult Probation Department (ADP) provides services through the following divisions:
INVESTIGATIONS AND COURT SERVICES prepares presentence investigations, supplemental, and other reports for the Superior Court for a variety of scenarios including when individuals are charged with felony offenses, when clients have violated the conditions of their supervision, updates on client progress, reductions to misdemeanors, and recommendations for early termination of supervision. The reports include information from an evidence-based risk and needs assessment to aid the courts in sentencing decisions that are based on both needs and risk. Court Unit staff represent ADP at court hearings.

COMMUNITY SUPERVISION SERVICES provides supervision services, wraparound care, and referrals to treatment services to promote clients' success and ensure compliance with the terms and conditions of their supervision.

SPECIALIZED SERVICES closely monitors clients who have committed domestic violence related offenses and clients aged 18 to 25 years, who are assigned to the Department's Transitional Age Youth Units. Staff support both the Young Adult Court and the Interrupt, Predict, and Organize (IPO) Program.

INTENSIVE SUPERVISION SERVICES provides intensive supervision of clients released from state prison who are on post release community supervision, clients sentenced to mandatory supervision, and clients convicted of sex offenses. The Pre-Release Team coordinates the releases of individuals from county jail and state prison to community supervision by ADP.

TRAINING AND SPECIAL PROGRAMS oversees compliance with Proposition 63, ensuring that clients with a legal designation as a "prohibited person" do not own or possess firearms or ammunition. Staff also ensure that all mandatory training standards are met for both sworn and non-sworn staff.

Services (continued on next page)

## BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2 - 2 3}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $41,818,109$ | $48,018,313$ | $6,200,204$ | $48,684,399$ | 666,086 |
| Total FTE | 147 | 155 | 8 | 153 | $(2)$ |

REENTRY oversees the operation of ADP's Community Assessment and Services Center (CASC), a onestop, multi-service reentry center that specializes in working with individuals aged 18 and older who are justice-involved. Through the coordination of a seamless continuum of care and support, the Department aims to address complex individual needs, increase client well-being and success, and reduce recidivism.
ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES provides victim restitution services, policy and applied research, fiscal management, personnel and payroll services, grants and contract administration, operational and performance analysis, capital improvements, and management information services.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Provide a continuum of integrated services designed to address the needs of clients and help them permanently exit the criminal justice system;
- Support high-risk clients in the community through legal and evidence-based supervision strategies designed to reduce recidivism and improve outcomes;
- Strengthen collaboration across agencies and community-based organizations to better address client and community needs;
- Support victims of crime recover from financial and emotional hardships;
- Prioritize racial equity to ensure a diverse and inclusive department that is well-positioned to identify and address the needs of the community and the vulnerable populations the Department serve;
- Develop and implement the Racial Equity Action Plan, which includes goals and objectives designed to advance the needs of people of color; and
- Focus on data quality and transparency efforts to improve the public reporting of client, staff, and services data.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  | FY19 | FY20 |  | Y21 | FY22 | FY23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Provide services that break the cycle of crime |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of COMPAS risk/needs assessments and reassessments conducted | 1,385 | 1,166 | 730 | 1,300 | 1,150 | 1,150 |
| Number of visits to the department by clients under community supervision | 22,123 | 16,449 | 3,300 | 22,000 | 22,000 | 22,000 |
| Percentage of individuals who successfully completed (terminated) probation | 67\% | 79\% | 80\% | 80\% | 80\% | 80\% |
| Percentage of individuals who successfully completed a term of Mandatory Supervision | 78\% | 76\% | 80\% | 80\% | 80\% | 80\% |
| Percentage of individuals who successfully completed Post Release Community Supervision after being on PRCS for at least 12 months | 67\% | 80\% | 75\% | 80\% | 75\% | 75\% |
| Percentage of reports submitted to the Court prior to sentencing | 99\% | 98\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Total Active Probationers | 2,954 | 2,972 | 2,700 |  | N/A | N/A |
| Total Supervised Population | 6,630 | 6,611 | 6,300 |  | N/A | N/A |
| Support victims of crimes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of identifiable victims for whom notification was attempted prior to the sentencing of the | 99\% | 98\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | defendant

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 48.0$ million for the Adult Probation Department is $\$ 6.2$ million, or 15 percent higher, than the FY 202021 budget of $\$ 41.8$ million. This increase is largely due the funding of a $\$ 3.6$ million Treatment Prevention Recovery program, as well as increases in salaries and benefits.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 48.7$ million is $\$ 0.7$ million, or 1.4 percent, higher than the FY 2021-
22 proposed budget of $\$ 48.0$ million. This increase is due to expenditures related to salaries and benefits.

## Supervision of Vulnerable Individuals

The majority of ADP clients have moderate to high needs for therapeutic services and a moderate to high risk of re-offense. ADP supports the success of clients with complex needs using evidence-based community supervision services and high-quality, trauma-informed, culturally competent, reentry services that address critical destabilizers such as substance use, homelessness, unemployment, and mental health issues. The department's advanced supervision services and its enhanced service delivery model provided through the Community Assessment and Services Center (CASC) and more than 20 other community-based partnerships support the courts, ADP clients, and the City by providing a unique blend of justice, community support, and treatment that is equitable for all.

## Treatment, Recovery, and Prevention

The Mayor's proposed budget invests $\$ 3.6$ million in each of the next two years to support a new treatment program for individuals exiting the justice system. This pilot model, Treatment, Recovery, and

Prevention (TRP), is a peer led, abstinence based, therapeutic teaching community and transitional housing program offering 105 beds with private baths. In addition to housing, the program colocates services designed to offer a continuum of care, including detox, peer-led services, and cognitive behavioral interventions. The TRP also extends the hours of ADP's Community Assessment and Services Center (CASC) to 24/7 to ensure that residents have the help they need at any hour.

## Comprehensive Reentry Services

ADP serves vulnerable populations by offering holistic and client-centered reentry services designed to promote autonomy and sustainable life changes. The CASC is the department's centralized hub for integrated reentry services. Here, individuals receive essential support services including meals, housing services, clinical and reentry case management, medication management, peer coaching, cognitive behavioral interventions, substance dependency and recovery services, education and employment services, barrier removal, and benefits enrollment assistance.

In response to COVID-19, and in an effort to continue to support vulnerable individuals, ADP quickly pivoted to launch its CASC services on a virtual platform and distributed tablets within the Department's housing programs to keep clients connected to essential services. To support the City's emergency ordinance addressing safe shelter alternatives for vulnerable persons experiencing homelessness, ADP led and funded an emergency housing program, in partnership with Recovery Survival Network and the San Francisco Pretrial

> ADDRESSING BEHAVIORAL HEALTH \& HOUSING NEEDS OF VULNERABLE CLIENTS (FY19-20).

> Through the CASC and other community partnerships, clients can access an array of additional services including therapy, employment, educational, and supportive services.


Diversion Project, which was designed to provide safe supportive housing with critical support services to unhoused individuals exiting jail.

## Racial Equity

ADP remains committed to advancing racial equity. Some of the Department's current racial equity priorities include:

- Currently revising ADP's mission, vision, and values to ensure these statements address racial equity and reflect the goal of fostering an organizational culture committed to inclusion and belonging.
- Reviewing demographic characteristics of clients, staff, and funded service providers to assess whether ADP's staff and service providers are reflective of the individuals and community the Department serves.
- ADP was awarded two Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration technical assistance opportunities, which focus on: Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion in Reentry and Engaging Clients for successful reentry. These opportunities are furthering access to and engagement in services with a focus on vulnerable populations.



## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: ADULT PROBATION



TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | 147.38 |  | 154.55 | 7.17 | 153.07 |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) |  |  |  | (1.48) |  |
| Net Operating Positions |  | 147.38 | 154.55 | $\mathbf{7 . 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 3 . 0 7}$ |

## Sources

| Intergovernmental: Federal | 1,077,254 | 402,946 | $(674,308)$ | 387,356 | $(15,590)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intergovernmental: State | 15,871,776 | 19,088,682 | 3,216,906 | 18,851,788 | $(236,894)$ |
| Charges for Services | 2,500 | 2,500 |  | 2,500 |  |
| Expenditure Recovery |  | 1,389 | 1,389 | 1,389 |  |
| General Fund Support | 24,866,579 | 28,522,796 | 3,656,217 | 29,441,366 | 918,570 |
| Sources Total | 41,818,109 | 48,018,313 | 6,200,204 | 48,684,399 | 666,086 |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $16,119,633$ | $18,215,609$ | $2,095,976$ | $18,662,329$ | 446,720 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $8,672,462$ | $9,926,159$ | $1,253,697$ | $10,049,370$ | 123,211 |
| Non-Personnel Services | $8,106,067$ | $7,270,582$ | $(835,485)$ | $7,366,849$ | 96,267 |
| City Grant Program | $4,730,309$ | $9,005,146$ | $4,274,837$ | $8,958,160$ | $(46,986)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 438,579 | 211,783 | $(226,796)$ | 211,783 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | $3,751,059$ | $3,389,034$ | $(362,025)$ | $3,435,908$ | 46,874 |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{4 1 , 8 1 8 , 1 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 , 0 1 8 , 3 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 2 0 0 , 2 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 , 6 8 4 , 3 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 6 , 0 8 6}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| ADP Adult Probation | $41,818,109$ | $48,018,313$ | $6,200,204$ | $48,684,399$ | 666,086 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Uses by Division Total | $41,818,109$ | $48,018,313$ | $\mathbf{6 , 2 0 0 , 2 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 , 6 8 4 , 3 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 6 , 0 8 6}$ |

82 adult probation

## Airport

MISSION
The San Francisco International Airport (SFO or the Airport) strives to be an exceptional airport in service to its communities. SFO is the Bay Area's largest airport by passenger volume.

## SERVICES

The Airport provides services through the following divisions:
STRATEGY AND SOCIAL IMPACT creates and enhances partnerships within the City and with the Airport's neighboring communities; recruits and maintains a competent workforce; oversees internship programs for workforce development; and promotes diversity, equity and inclusion initiatives.
COMMERCIAL develops and manages the Airport's concessions program, public parking program and onairport hotel, all of which generate non-airline revenue for the Airport, and provides the proper environment for existing and new businesses.

FINANCE ensures that Airport property and facilities achieve cost-efficiency; develops and implements innovative fiscal policies and solutions; manages the Airport's financial performance; and oversees medical services at the Airport.

PLANNING, DESIGN, AND CONSTRUCTION (PDC) plans and implements capital improvement projects and programs. The Planning team prepares long-range facility development studies and analyzes projects to support the development of the Airport's capital improvement program. The PDC team also oversee new construction projects, as well as improvements to buildings, utilities, and other airport systems.
FACILITIES MAINTENANCE keeps the airport facilities clean, safe, and running efficiently.
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS is a telecom, network, internet and hosting service provider to all entities operating at the Airport, including airlines, concession tenants and government agencies. It is also a corporate technology provider to the Airport Commission.

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS provides timely and accurate information regarding the Airport to the public, media, airlines, and neighboring communities; markets opportunities for new or expanded airline services; and develops SFO's federal and state policy agenda.
MUSEUMS provide a broad range of attractions for the traveling public and display exhibitions that reflect the cultural diversity of San Francisco.

## BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $1,465,583,462$ | $1,162,590,702$ | $(302,992,760)$ | $1,178,952,840$ | $16,362,138$ |
| Total FTE | 1,610 | 1,601 | $(9)$ | 1,604 | 3 |

OPERATIONS AND SECURITY manages the airfield, public transportation, terminals, airport security program, and emergency procedures to provide the public with a safe, secure, efficient, and customerfriendly airport.
ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY develops environmental sustainability plans and coordinates sustainability efforts throughout the Airport.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Improve passenger confidence in traveling through SFO;
- Enhance the safety, health, and well-being of Airport Commission employees;
- Ensure the financial recovery, stability, and vitality of SFO \& continued support of its business partners;
- Care for and support SFO's community and local workforce;
- Build resilience and future-proof the Airport by implementing new tools and technologies to address future pandemics; and
- Apply a racial equity lens in planning internal practices and systems, services to the traveling public, and community access to the Airport's economic opportunities.

PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  | FY19 <br> Full Year | FY20 <br> Full Year | FY21 |  | FY22 | FY23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Achieve net zero energy and zero waste by 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Campus wide water savings per passenger relative to 2013 baseline | 2.05 | 0 | 0 | 3.00 | 0 | 2.5 |
| Percent of campus wide electricity use generated from Airport-owned renewable energy sources per Fiscal Year | 0.2\% | 0.70\% | 0.90\% | 2.00\% | 1.20\% | 1.50\% |
| Percent of campus wide waste, by mass, diverted from landfill (including ADC) | 36.43\% | 46.00\% | 25.00\% | 80.00\% | 30.00\% | 50.00\% |
| Reduction in terminal electricity usage per square foot as a percentage of 2013 baseline | 0.56\% | 12.00\% | 15.00\% | 7.50\% | 5.00\% |  |
| Reduction in terminal natural gas usage per square foot as a percentage of 2013 baseline | -4.79\% | 7.00\% | 7.00\% | 7.50\% | 5.00\% | 5\% |
| Be the industry leader in safety and security |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual percent of the Airport tenants' ground support equipment inventory that has had safety inspections conducted through its Ground Support Equipment Safety Inspection Program. | 16\% | 7.25\% | 6.37\% | 15\% | 10\% | 15\% |
| Number of Airport-controlled runway incursions | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of Annual Access Control Events (ACE) classified as "Security Breach" | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Care for and protect our airport communities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Title 21 requirements met ( 1 equals yes) California Code of Regulations Title 21 Chapter 6 Noise Standards | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Annual recordable injury rate per 100 employees | 6.8 | 5.6 | 5.2 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 6.5 |
| Deliver exceptional business performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amount of annual service payment to the City's General Fund, in millions | 49.11 | 33.74 | \$13.23 | \$49.51 | \$20.15 | \$28.79 |
| Annual percent of Non-Airline Revenue (as \% of Total Operating Revenue) | 50.9\% | 46.84\% | 36.07\% | 46.14\% | 34.16\% | 37.79\% |
| Percent of small business participation in Concession Sector | 35\% | 23\% | 25\% | 30\% | 25\% | 25\% |
| Percent of small business participation in Construction Sector | 20\% | 21\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% |
| Percent of tenant businesses with active Green Business certifications | 24\% | 21\% | 60\% | 30\% | 80.00\% | 90\% |
| Total Annual Non-Airline Revenue | \$531,569,228 | \$433,505,085 | \$245,795,254 | \$534,347,000 | \$303,081,222 | \$372,693,222 |
| Total concession revenue per enplaned passenger | \$11.18 | \$11.57 | \$12.05 | \$10.97 | \$11.14 | \$10.96 |
| Nurture a competitive air service market |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Airline cost per enplaned passenger in nominal dollars | \$17.90 | \$24.33 | \$63.94 | \$21.49 | \$51.17 | \$36.59 |
| Annual percent of total international passengers market share (as \% of total SFO passenger traffic) | 25.6\% | 25.50\% | 15.40\% | 27.10\% | 21.10\% |  |
| Average passport processing times in SFO's customs area (in minutes) compared to other US airports of comparable passenger traffic. | 7.8 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 8.0 | 6.8 | 7.0 |
| Percent change in domestic air passenger volume | -3.0\% | -29.30\% | -61.70\% | 0.60\% | 56.40\% | 46.00\% |
| Revolutionize the passenger experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rating of the airport (measured by passenger survey where 5 is outstanding and 1 is unacceptable) | 4.06 | 4.15 | 4.25 | 4.15 | 4.20 | 4.20 |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The FY 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 1.16$ billion for the Airport is $\$ 303$ million, or 20.7 percent, lower than the FY 2020-21 approved budget of $\$ 1.47$ billion. This decrease is largely due to lower than projected passenger activity.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 1.18$ billion is $\$ 16.4$ million, or 1.4 percent, higher than the FY 202122 proposed budget. This is largely driven by a slow projected return to passengers at the Airport.

## COVID-19 Impact on Passenger Levels

The Airport's revenues are primarily driven by passenger levels. As the graphic on passenger levels indicates, through the first half of FY 2020-21, the COVID-19 pandemic continues to have a dramatic impact on SFO's passenger traffic. While there are encouraging signs that travel demand is beginning to recover, the Airport anticipates that the full recovery in air travel to pre-pandemic levels could take several years, as indicated in the graphics that show passenger enplacement scenarios. Expense control during the recovery period will remain essential in order to keep enterprise revenues and expenses in balance.

## Enhancing Health, Safety and Security

Passengers, employees, airlines, and tenants depend on Airport systems and processes to provide a safe and secure travel environment. The need to create and maintain a safe environment for passengers and employees at the Airport has been reinforced during the response to COVID-19. The Airport is committed to exceeding all aviation safety and security regulations, and has implemented measures to facilitate social distancing. Through the use of advanced technology, implementation of best practices, and industry expert assessments, the Airport continues to advance its safety and security profile.

## Revolutionizing the Guest Experience

The Airport strives to revolutionize its guest experience by offering services and amenities that provide a seamless "door-to-door" passenger journey. The COVID-19 pandemic has only reinforced the Airport's focus on its strategic goal of earning the highest satisfaction ratings from guests among peer airports, as measured by the Airport Council International's "Airport Service Quality" (ASQ) survey and benchmarking program.

## REDUCTION IN PASSENGER LEVELS DUE TO COVID-19.

COVID-19 had a dramatic impact on the number of passengers traveling through SFO every day. Passenger traffic remains less than 20 percent of pre-pandemic levels.


An enhanced guest experience benefits all travelers and supports SFO concessionaires - of which nearly 70 percent are locally-owned. Continued investment in hospitality has resulted in SFO being one of the nation's top performing airports for retail, food, and beverage concessions. The Airport's pop-up retail program facilitates small local business participation by providing opportunities for short-term permits with minimal start-up costs and ready-to-move-in facilities.

## Investing In Capital

This year's budget continues to support the implementation of the Airport's Capital Improvement Plan (CIP). Due to the reduction in travel demand, the active portion of the Airport's CIP has been scaled back from $\$ 7.8$ billion as of June 2020 to $\$ 5.7$ billion as of March 2021, a reduction of $\$ 2.1$ billion, which primarily reflects the suspension of capital projects or portions of ongoing projects. Approximately $\$ 5.4$ billion of the active CIP project costs have been financed to date, and many CIP projects have been completed, such as the new on-airport four-star Grand Hyatt hotel and second long-term parking garage. CIP highlights include the renovation of Harvey Milk Terminal 1, the addition of a secure connector between Terminal 2 and Terminal 3, an extension of the AirTrain System, major airfield improvements including the rehabilitation of Runway 10L-28R, and a sustainability focus on Net Zero energy projects.

## Increasing Sustainability

The Airport strives to be a leader in sustainability by developing guidelines and implementing initiatives to achieve long-term goals, including Airport-wide zero-waste generation, carbonneutrality for Airport Commission-controlled operations, a 15 percent reduction in water usage per passenger, and net-zero energy buildings, among others.

## Social Responsibility and Community Sustainability

The Airport has a longstanding commitment to youth employment and maintain robust internship programs. SFO's Summer High School Internship program focuses on airport operations and career pathways. The main goal of the program is to provide youth the opportunity to gain essential work skills, earn income, and assist the Airport in completing critical tasks. In the wake of COVID-19, interns will continue to support the Airport's continued response to COVID-19 by identifying ways to keep vulnerable airport passengers and employees safe amid the global pandemic. Interns will be hired through the Mayor's Opportunities for All (OFA) program, an initiative aimed at connecting young people of all backgrounds to paid employment, job training, and mentorship opportunities.

> PASSENGER > ENPLANEMENT SCENARIOS.

Moderate and slower growth passenger scenarios show SFO returning to FY 2018-19 passenger level between FY 2024-25 and FY 2026-27.


ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: AIRPORT


## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Authorized | $1,855.39$ | $1,848.26$ | $(7.13)$ | $1,851.08$ |  |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | $(245.70)$ | $(246.77)$ | $(1.07)$ | $(247.00)$ | $(0.23)$ |
| Net Operating Positions | $\mathbf{1 , 6 0 9 . 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 0 1 . 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{( 8 . 2 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 0 4 . 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 9}$ |

## Sources

| Fines, Forfeiture, \& Penalties | $1,358,000$ | 497,000 | $(861,000)$ | 497,000 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Interest \& Investment Income | $1,998,000$ | $5,681,000$ | $3,683,000$ | $10,852,000$ | $5,171,000$ |
| Rents \& Concessions | $259,366,000$ | $161,330,000$ | $(98,036,000)$ | $208,458,000$ | $47,128,000$ |
| Intergovernmental: Federal | $320,790,499$ | $148,074,748$ | $(172,715,751)$ | $141,945,252$ | $(6,129,496)$ |
| Intergovernmental: State | $6,001,000$ | $6,000,000$ | $(1,000)$ | $6,000,000$ |  |
| Charges for Services | $861,410,000$ | $814,136,000$ | $(47,274,000)$ | $855,269,000$ | $41,133,000$ |
| Other Revenues | $48,752,000$ | $44,804,000$ | $(3,948,000)$ | $49,768,000$ | $4,964,000$ |
| Expenditure Recovery | 166,195 | 187,195 | 21,000 | 187,195 |  |
| IntraFund Transfers In | $440,482,686$ | $259,336,402$ | $(181,146,284)$ | $257,410,716$ | $(1,925,686)$ |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | $77,151,574$ | $84,627,085$ | $7,475,511$ | $12,437,354$ | $(72,189,731)$ |
| Transfer Adjustment-Source | $(551,892,492)$ | $(362,082,728)$ | $189,809,764$ | $(363,871,677)$ | $(1,788,949)$ |

General Fund Support

| Sources Total | $\mathbf{1 , 4 6 5 , 5 8 3 , 4 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 6 2 , 5 9 0 , 7 0 2}$ | $(302,992,760)$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 7 8 , 9 5 2 , 8 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 3 6 2 , 1 3 8}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $177,646,718$ | $188,635,499$ | $10,988,781$ | $195,058,344$ | $6,422,845$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $92,840,267$ | $94,328,615$ | $1,488,348$ | $96,046,190$ | $1,717,575$ |
| Non-Personnel Services | $176,923,940$ | $164,641,264$ | $(12,282,676)$ | $155,318,147$ | $(9,323,117)$ |
| Capital Outlay | $78,978,982$ | $59,417,976$ | $(19,561,006)$ | $55,064,473$ | $(4,353,503)$ |
| Debt Service | $531,312,000$ | $521,435,725$ | $(9,876,275)$ | $531,625,783$ | $10,190,058$ |
| Facilities Maintenance | $13,000,000$ | $15,000,000$ | $2,000,000$ | $15,000,000$ |  |
| Intrafund Transfers Out | $440,482,686$ | $259,336,402$ | $(181,146,284)$ | $257,410,716$ | $(1,925,686)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | $19,971,753$ | $17,373,352$ | $(2,598,401)$ | $16,360,852$ | $(1,012,500)$ |
| Overhead and Allocations | $(5,914,351)$ | $(5,266,357)$ | 647,994 | $(5,382,966)$ | $(116,609)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | $85,262,466$ | $83,992,915$ | $(1,269,551)$ | $84,830,304$ | 837,389 |
| Transfers Out | $25,173,863$ | $23,031,713$ | $(2,142,150)$ | $35,031,713$ | $12,000,000$ |
| Unappropriated Rev-Designated | $270,387,824$ |  | $(270,387,824)$ |  |  |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(440,482,686)$ | $(259,336,402)$ | $181,146,284$ | $(257,410,716)$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 2 5 , 6 8 6}$ |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{1 , 4 6 5 , 5 8 3 , 4 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 6 2 , 5 9 0 , 7 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 0 2 , 9 9 2 , 7 6 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 7 8 , 9 5 2 , 8 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 3 6 2 , 1 3 8}$ |

## Uses - Division Description

| AIR Airport Director | 9,979,460 | 8,875,156 | $(1,104,304)$ | 8,472,734 | $(402,422)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AIR Bureau Of Admin \& Policy | 38,042,007 | 34,206,596 | $(3,835,411)$ | 35,235,137 | 1,028,541 |
| AIR Business \& Finance | 614,515,754 |  | $(614,515,754)$ |  |  |
| AIR Capital Projects | 75,996,373 | 56,024,330 | $(19,972,043)$ | 56,074,473 | 50,143 |
| AIR Chief Development Office |  | 13,218,066 | 13,218,066 | 13,310,112 | 92,046 |
| AIR Chief Information Office |  | 38,076,419 | 38,076,419 | 38,170,765 | 94,346 |
| AIR Chief Operating Office |  | 17,190,633 | 17,190,633 | 17,376,365 | 185,732 |
| AIR Chief Operating Officer | 47,073,693 |  | $(47,073,693)$ |  |  |
| AIR Commercial Office |  | 35,483,462 | 35,483,462 | 35,300,005 | $(183,457)$ |
| AIR Communications \& Mrktng | 20,722,052 |  | $(20,722,052)$ |  |  |
| AIR Design \& Construction | 14,130,504 |  | $(14,130,504)$ |  |  |
| AIR External Affairs |  | 11,579,306 | 11,579,306 | 11,933,330 | 354,024 |
| AIR Facilities | 207,540,479 | 217,477,046 | 9,936,567 | 216,980,180 | $(496,866)$ |
| AIR Facilities; Maintenance | 13,000,000 | 15,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 15,000,000 |  |
| AIR Financial Office |  | 566,427,081 | 566,427,081 | 578,226,503 | 11,799,422 |
| AIR Fire Bureau | 1,000,839 | 991,081 | $(9,758)$ | 860,383 | $(130,698)$ |
| AIR General | 299,061,687 | 25,531,713 | $(273,529,974)$ | 28,308,596 | 2,776,883 |
| AIR Operations \& Security | 105,050,083 | 106,754,425 | 1,704,342 | 107,986,580 | 1,232,155 |
| AIR Planning Division | 7,409,253 | 12,195,018 | 4,785,765 | 12,276,058 | 81,040 |
| AIR Police Bureau | 12,061,278 | 3,560,370 | (8,500,908) | 3,441,619 | $(118,751)$ |
| Uses by Division Total | 1,465,583,462 | 1,162,590,702 | $(302,992,760)$ | 1,178,952,840 | 16,362,138 |

Arts Commission

## MISSION

The San Francisco Arts Commission (ART) champions the arts as essential to daily life by investing in a vibrant arts community, enlivening the urban environment, and shaping innovative cultural policy. The Department values the transformative power of art as critical to strengthening neighborhoods, building infrastructure, and fostering positive social change. Additionally, the Department strives to ensure a vibrant San Francisco where creativity, prosperity, and progress go hand in hand.

## SERVICES

The Arts Commission provides services through the following program areas:

## COMMUNITY INVESTMENT

COMMUNITY ARTS AND EDUCATION promotes community revitalization through the arts in economically disadvantaged and underserved areas via six community cultural centers and the Arts Education Program.

CULTURAL EQUITY GRANTS awards project-based grants to San Francisco arts organizations and individual artists to nurture the continued growth of a vibrant arts scene that celebrates the City's diversity and its variety of cultural traditions.

STREET ARTISTS PROGRAM administers licenses to hundreds of local crafts people who sell handmade products in legal vending spaces, providing the City with a colorful marketplace year-round.

## URBAN ENVIRONMENT

PUBLIC ART PROGRAM commissions new art for the City and is funded with two percent of the gross construction cost of city capital improvement projects, as mandated by the City's Art Enrichment Ordinance.

CIVIC ART COLLECTION oversees the care and maintenance of 4,000 objects in all media that comprise the City's $\$ 90.0$ million collection, which includes over 100 historic monuments.

CIVIC DESIGN REVIEW fulfills the Arts Commission's original charter mandate to review the design of all structures placed on city property to ensure the quality of the built environment in San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO ART COMMISSION GALLERIES present year-round curated exhibitions that both reflect regional diversity and position the Bay Area within an international art landscape.

## BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |  | 2022-23 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $23,762,015$ | $51,036,274$ | $27,274,259$ | $29,265,565$ | $(21,770,709)$ |
| Total FTE | 28 | 29 | 1 | 29 | 0 |

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Invest in a vibrant and equitable arts community to ensure affordability, sustainability, and recovery for individual artists and non-profit organizations;
- Enrich the urban environment by commissioning high-quality and diverse public artworks, ensuring the quality of the built environment, and preserving the City's cultural assets;
- Raise the visibility of San Francisco's arts community by collaborating with city partners to shape innovative cultural policy and recovery policy for the arts and culture sector;
- Utilize racial equity and accessibility as a key lens to assess agency-wide grant applications and guidelines, RFPs and RFQs, and artist agreements to ensure parity of artists receiving financial and exhibition opportunities; and
- Ensure consistent racial equity training for staff and Commission to advance the agency's racial equity goals and action plan.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  | FY19 <br> Full Year |  | FY21 |  | FY22 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Number of arts and culture events funded by the Arts Commission in a year | 752 | 422 | 450 | 730 | 720 | 720 |
| Enliven the urban environment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of permanently-sited artworks accessible to the public during the fiscal year | 555 | 609 | 609 | 620 | 612 | 615 |
| Invest in a vibrant arts community |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of artists and organizations attending technical assistance and capacity building workshops/year | 504 | 385 | 350 | 350 | 375 | 400 |
| Number of payments to individual artists by the Arts Commission | 333 | 215 | 250 | 345 | 325 | 350 |
| Total amount of direct investment in artists and arts organizations in San Francisco in a year | \$16,586,761 | \$17,739,951 | \$17,000,000 | \$16,000,000 | 20,000,000 | 21,000,000 |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 51.0$ million for the Arts Commission is $\$ 27.3$ million, or 114.8 percent, higher than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 23.8$ million. The significant increase in funding is largely related to a one-time capital project for the African American Art and Culture Complex.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 29.3$ million is $\$ 21.8$ million, or 42.7 percent, decrease than the FY 2021-22 proposed budget. The primary driver for this decrease is due to the one-time capital funding in FY 2021-22.

## COVID-19 Impact on Hotel Tax Arts Allocation

Hotel tax revenue allocated to ART supports San Francisco artists and arts organizations representing historically underserved communities through grants, technical assistance and capacity building, economic development, arts education initiatives, and community-based Cultural Centers. The Arts Commission (ART) recognizes that these funding opportunities were created through decades of community activism, arts advocacy, and
neighborhood cultural engagement. For FY 202122, the department will continue to strive toward providing equitable access to cultural resources and creating more sustainable impact across the arts ecosystem. In FY 2019-20, the Cultural Equity Endowment allocation awarded grants to 71 individual artists and 83 organizations. Of the grants awarded to individual artists, 79 percent identified as Black, Indigenous, and/or people of color (BIPOC). Of the organizations that received grants, 86 percent identified as BIPOC-serving.

The proposed budget for FY 2021-22 includes $\$ 4.4$ million General Fund subsidy to fill the gap in arts funding that has resulted from lower than expected hotel tax revenues as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. in March 2021, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors passed a mid-year budget supplemental that included $\$ 24.0$ million to backfill hotel tax losses in FY 2020-21. The ART received $\$ 5.8$ million from the supplemental to support programming in the Arts Impact Endowment Fund, Cultural Centers, and Cultural Equity Endowment.

## COVID-19 Response and Economic Recovery

## Arts Relief Fund

In FY 2019-20, $\$ 1.5$ million in Hotel Room Tax funds from the Arts Impact Endowment allocation were directed to the Center for Cultural Innovation to be re-granted for COVID-19 relief. This resulted in emergency grants to 65 organizations and 699 individual artists in the span of 10 -weeks. Of the individual artist recipients, 66 percent identified as BIPOC. Of the organization recipients, 91 percent identified as BIPOC-serving.

## Mayor's Economic Recovery Task Force

ART worked closely with members of the Arts, Culture, Hospitality, and Entertainment policy group, a sub-committee of the Mayor's Economic Recovery Task Force, to understand the creative ways in which arts and culture workers can contribute to the City's reopening and future sustainability. ART aligned recommendations from the Mayor's Economic Recovery Taskforce with its five-year (2019-2024) Cultural Services Allocation Plan that outlines community-developed funding priorities for the Arts Impact Endowment fund. Through those recommendations, ART developed grant programs in FY 2020-21 to support artists and arts organizations for Re-opening Safely, Universal Basic Income and an Arts Hub. Local artists and arts organizations will be integral from FY 2021-22 and beyond in the City's economic recovery efforts.

## Arts and Recovery

ART staff working in the COVID-19 Command Center (CCC) as Disaster Service Workers (DSWs) engaged artists to drive recovery efforts. ART staff in collaboration with the Public Library created an Artist in Residence program at the CCC to document the City's COVID-19 prevention, response and recovery operation for future generations. Other artist-led community projects developed from the CCC include artist-designed face masks and murals addressing the COVID-19 pandemic. The ART Galleries program developed a COVID-19 poster series titled HEROES: San Francisco Thanks Frontline Healthcare Workers. The initiative commissioned 10 artists to create posters, trumpeting public health messages, which appeared in kiosks downtown and were distributed to community clinics and emergency hotels.

## Civic Art Collection

The Monuments and Memorials Advisory Committee (MMAC) is a body that will host hearings with community members and establish the criteria and guidelines by which to determine the future of historic monuments in the City's art collection. This initiative is a partnership between ART, the Human Rights Commission and the Recreation and Park Department. The MMAC's charge is to examine the history of monuments in the public realm in San Francisco, the individuals, events and ideals they venerate, and how the narratives associated with these monuments align-or do not-with San Francisco's values today. This advisory body will focus their research on the monuments and memorials within the Civic Art Collection.

## CULTURAL EQUITY ENDOWMENT ALLOCATIONS.

Of the organizations that received grants funded by the Arts Equity Endowment program, 86 percent identified as BIPOC-serving.


## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: ARTS COMMISSION



## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Authorized | 37.32 | 38.19 | 0.87 | 38.40 | 0.21 |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | $(8.90)$ | $(9.67)$ | $(0.77)$ | $(9.90)$ |  |
| Net Operating Positions | 28.42 | 28.52 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 5 0}$ | $(0.23)$ |

## Sources

| Other Local Taxes | 10,287,000 | 3,946,600 | $(6,340,400)$ | 11,405,000 | 7,458,400 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Licenses, Permits, \& Franchises | 117,872 |  | $(117,872)$ |  |  |
| Intergovernmental: State | 50,000 | 50,000 |  |  | $(50,000)$ |
| Intergovernmental: Other |  | 1,123,884 | 1,123,884 |  | $(1,123,884)$ |
| Charges for Services | 1,531,289 | 1,870,229 | 338,940 | 1,891,081 | 20,852 |
| Other Revenues | 127,000 | 77,000 | $(50,000)$ |  | $(77,000)$ |
| Expenditure Recovery | 3,503,985 | 2,555,914 | $(948,071)$ | 4,075,889 | 1,519,975 |
| Transfers In | 57,317 | 7,574,016 | 7,516,699 | 1,250,823 | $(6,323,193)$ |
| IntraFund Transfers In |  | 2,671 | 2,671 | 2,671 |  |
| General Fund Support | 8,087,552 | 33,835,960 | 25,748,408 | 10,640,101 | $(23,195,859)$ |
| Sources Total | 23,762,015 | 51,036,274 | 27,274,259 | 29,265,565 | $(21,770,709)$ |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $2,943,832$ | $3,197,862$ | 254,030 | $3,308,956$ | $\mathbf{1 1 1 , 0 9 4}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $1,507,862$ | $1,511,820$ | 3,958 | $\mathbf{1 , 5 3 4 , 0 0 2}$ | 22,182 |
| Non-Personnel Services | $7,042,388$ | $6,773,589$ | $(268,799)$ | $7,199,520$ | 425,931 |
| City Grant Program | $7,684,053$ | $10,053,809$ | $2,369,756$ | $12,535,656$ | $2,481,847$ |
| Capital Outlay | 50,000 | $24,347,976$ | $24,297,976$ | 911,625 | $(23,436,351)$ |
| Facilities Maintenance | 259,977 |  | $(259,977)$ |  |  |
| Materials \& Supplies | 25,229 | 25,229 |  | 25,229 |  |
| Overhead and Allocations | 429,064 | 329,382 | $(99,682)$ | 329,382 |  |
| Programmatic Projects | $3,140,228$ | $4,129,688$ | 989,460 | $2,725,365$ | $(1,404,323)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | 679,382 | 666,919 | $(12,463)$ | $\mathbf{6 9 5 , 8 3 0}$ |  |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{2 3 , 7 6 2 , 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 , 0 3 6 , 2 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 2 7 4 , 2 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 , 2 6 5 , 5 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 1 , 7 7 0 , 7 0 9 )}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| ART Administration | $6,983,956$ | $30,854,653$ | $23,870,697$ | $\mathbf{7 , 1 3 6 , 4 1 3}$ | $(23,718,240)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ART Civic Design | 180,503 | 189,465 | 8,962 | $\mathbf{1 9 4 , 9 6 8}$ | 5,503 |
| ART Community Investments | $12,566,699$ | $16,047,974$ | $3,481,275$ | $17,174,274$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 2 6 , 3 0 0}$ |
| ART Municipal Galleries | 748,295 | 779,135 | 30,840 | 797,542 | 18,407 |
| ART Public Art \& Collections | $3,107,373$ | $2,960,131$ | $(147,242)$ | $3,753,545$ | 793,414 |
| ART Street Artist Program | 175,189 | 204,916 | 29,727 | 208,823 | $\mathbf{3 , 9 0 7}$ |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{2 3 , 7 6 2 , 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 , 0 3 6 , 2 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 2 7 4 , 2 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 , 2 6 5 , 5 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 1 , 7 7 0 , 7 0 9 )}$ |

The Asian Art Museum (AAM) makes Asian art and culture accessible to everyone by connecting art to life. The Museum's mission is to inspire new ways of thinking by connecting diverse communities to historical and contemporary Asian art and culture through worldclass collections, exhibitions, and programs.

## SERVICES

The Asian Art Museum provides services through the following program areas:
COLLECTIONS and SPECIAL EXHIBITIONS contribute to the vitality of the Civic Center neighborhood and the wider San Francisco community by serving as an accessible source of cultural enrichment and a touchstone for visitors of all ages and experiences-from lifelong supporters to first-time museum visitors, visitors looking to connect with their heritage, kindergarten children listening to stories, and young artists creating their own new stories as they engage with the history, power, and beauty of Asian art.

EDUCATIONAL and OUTREACH PROGRAMS guide global and local audiences, online and on-site, in the understanding and appreciation of Asian art. Programs are designed to reach diverse audiences. The Museum features award-winning digital installations, knowledgeable docents, passionate storytelling volunteers, and informative in-gallery interpretations. Evening series with local thought-leaders in art, architecture, cuisine, and craft complement day programs for all ages. Family programs allow parents and children to explore exhibitions and collections together.

AAM's world-class library is open to the public for study. Through presentations and lecture workshops, volunteers work with curators to bring the Museum out of the galleries and into libraries, nursing homes, classrooms, and community centers across the City and around the Bay Area. The high school intern program allows dedicated teens to share their passion for the Museum and art. Twice a month, the Museum offers Family Fun Day featuring programs developed by these interns. For a fifth year, AAM has proudly served as the venue for the annual San Francisco Unified School District's (SFUSD) Art Festival. Over the course of the nine-day festival, the Museum displays student artwork, hosts performances, and invites SFUSD students and their families to visit AAM for free.

BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0} \mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |  | 2022-23 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $10,236,316$ | $10,641,777$ | 405,461 | $11,173,060$ | 531,283 |
| Total FTE | 53 | 52 | $(1)$ | 52 | 0 |

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Provide a diversified portfolio of programs and exhibitions relevant to both local and global audiences;
- Reach and engage diverse audiences through integrated marketing and communications efforts that optimize the use of all platforms, with significantly increased emphasis on the Museum's digital engagement channels;
- Encourage creativity and collaboration through interdisciplinary team engagement, community outreach, community advisory engagement, and education programs for SFUSD and other local schools;
- Provide a sense of belonging to all current and potential audiences through the artwork the Museum choose to exhibit and the public and education programs the Museum develop;
- Maintain financial and programmatic sustainability; and
- Advance diversity and racial equity by training staff and implementing measures that ensure diversity and racial equity in volunteer, staff, and board member recruitment.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  |  |  | FY21 |  | FY22 | FY23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Reach and engage expanded audiences |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of public program participants | 23,000 | 20,107 | 13,041 | 40,000 | 20,850 | 29,250 |
| Number of education program participants | 27,244 | 15,235 | 14,993 | 37,000 | 16,700 | 22,400 |
| Number of digital visits and social media followers | 4,552,681 | 3,095,908 | 3,139,568 | 5,000,500 | 3,200,000 | 3,500,000 |
| Illuminate Asian art and culture for a global audience |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of museum visitors | 192,063 | 93,599 | 19,000 | 350,000 | 103,000 | 130,000 |
| Foster and maintain a museum culture that promotes creativity and collaboration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of museum members | 12,733 | 7,548 | 4,108 | 15,500 | 9,000 | 10,500 |
| \# of employees for whom scheduled performance appraisals were completed (AAM) | 52 | 49 | 50 | 53 | 50 | 50 |
| \# of employees for whom performance appraisals were scheduled (AAM) | 52 | 50 | 50 | 53 | 50 | 50 |
| Achieve financial sustainability by 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City cost per visitor (AAM) | \$49.54 | \$87 | \$215 | \$28.57 | \$72 | \$56 |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Asian Art Museum's proposed budget of $\$ 10.6$ million for Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 is a $\$ 0.4$ million or 4 percent increase from their FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 10.2$ million. The increase is primarily due to facilities maintenance funding and interdepartmental services.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 11.2$ million is $\$ 0.5$ million or 5 percent higher than the FY 202122 proposed budget. The primary driver for this increase is due to capital funding.

## Ongoing Priorities

The Asian Art Museum (AAM) continues to be committed to making Asian art accessible to all. The museum's institutional priorities are focused on:

1. Diversity, equity, accessibility, inclusion and community voice,
2. Rebuilding, retaining, and growing its audience,
3. Achieving a strong foundation, and
4. Paving the path forward with the development of a strategic plan and digital technology plan.
AAM strives to ensure stakeholders reflect the ethnic and cultural diversity of the Bay Area. AAM offers free admission to museum members, children 12 and under, SFUSD students, essential workers, active-duty military, and college students visiting as part of their coursework. General admission is free the first Sunday of every month through a privately sponsored program as well as during a variety of heritage celebration days throughout the year.

The AAM's exhibitions and programs focus on different time periods, from historical to contemporary, and different geographical areas of Asia. The Museum celebrates world-famous artists and emerging artists, including students participating in the annual San Francisco Unified School District's (SFUSD) Art Festival.

AAM actively supports local artists, and currently has on exhibition works by Zheng Chongbin, Channel Miller, Jennifer Wofford, and Jas Charanjiva. The museum has also partnered with a small local restaurant group to manage and operate the museum's café.

## COVID-19 Safety Protocols

AAM is open to the public five days a week, Thursday through Sunday, closed on Tuesdays and Wednesdays.

Due to health guidelines, the museum was closed for most of 2020 and recently re-opened in March 2021. Currently, the museum must operate at reduced capacity, with enhanced safety, cleaning, and social distancing protocols in place. As a result, the number of visitors to the museum has been significantly reduced.

To ensure the safety of AAM staff and visitors, the museum put in place new measures to ensure the public's health and safety, including frequent cleaning of high-touch areas, plexiglass shields at the admissions desk, hand sanitizer stations throughout the museum, and reduced capacity to enable physical distancing. Visitors are required to


ヘ VISITOR ORIGIN. In FY 2019-20, majority of the Asian Art Museum visitors are from the Bay Area and Other CA. Less attendance from International and Other US, due to travel restrictions resulting from the pandemic.
book their tickets online in advance with the new timed ticketing system.

The Museum's programs will continue to be offered in a mixture of virtual and limited on-site formats. AAM anticipates being able to welcome SFUSD classes back into the museum beginning in the fall of 2021 with gradually increasing capacity. The reduction in open weekdays will reduce maximum school tour capacity by 25 percent.

## Capital or IT projects

The AAM opened in its Civic Center location in 2003. Protection and effective display of the City's priceless collection of Asian art requires diligent maintenance of the building. The building received LEED EBOM certification in December 2018 and capital investments are focused on maintaining efficient operations of aging equipment and ensuring the accessibility of the building to all visitors.

A new rooftop art terrace and exhibition pavilion are expected to open in May and July 2021, respectively. The transformed space will allow the Museum to increase its contribution to the vitality of the Civic Center neighborhood.
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## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: ASIAN ART MUSEUM



## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | Change From $2020-2021$ | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed Budget | Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | 53.29 52.29 |  | (1.00) | 52.23 | (0.06) |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Operating Positions | 53.29 | 52.29 | (1.00) | 52.23 | (0.06) |

## Sources

| Charges for Services | 517,530 | 435,405 | $(82,125)$ | 461,971 | 26,566 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | 18,849 | 17,805 | $(1,044)$ |  |  |
| General Fund Support |  |  |  |  |  |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $4,821,075$ | $5,089,511$ | 268,436 | $5,254,612$ | 165,101 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $2,281,083$ | $2,283,847$ | 2,764 | $2,316,168$ | 32,321 |
| Non-Personnel Services | $1,711,224$ | $1,248,380$ | $(462,844)$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 4 8 , 3 8 0}$ |  |
| Capital Outlay | 299,939 | 325,000 | 25,061 | 575,000 | 250,000 |
| Facilities Maintenance |  | 306,495 | 306,495 | 321,820 | 15,325 |
| Overhead and Allocations | 32,840 | 24,902 | $(7,938)$ | 24,902 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | $\mathbf{1 , 0 9 0 , 1 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 6 3 , 6 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 3 , 4 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 3 2 , 1 7 8}$ |  |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{1 0 , 2 3 6 , 3 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 6 4 1 , 7 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 5 , 4 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 1 7 3 , 0 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 1 , 2 8 3}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| AAM Asian Art Museum | $10,236,316$ | $10,641,777$ | 405,461 | $11,173,060$ | 531,283 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{1 0 , 2 3 6 , 3 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 6 4 1 , 7 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 5 , 4 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 1 7 3 , 0 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 1 , 2 8 3}$ |

# Assessor-Recorder 

## MISSION

The Department of the Assessor-Recorder (ASR) carries out the property tax-related functions governed by the State Constitution and local laws. The Department's core responsibility is to identify and assess the value of all taxable property in the City and County of San Francisco and apply all legal exemptions. Property tax funds public education and is the single largest revenue source supporting the City's general operations. The Department also records and maintains official records of the City, and collects transfer tax from changes in property ownership.

## SERVICES

The Department of the Assessor-Recorder provides services through the following divisions:
REAL PROPERTY locates taxable property, identifies ownership, establishes taxable value, and reassesses property after a change in ownership and/or new construction.

BUSINESS PERSONAL PROPERTY manages and conducts routine audits of the business property filings of city businesses that pay property tax. In addition to real property, the equipment, supplies, and machinery owned by businesses to conduct their work is assessed annually.

TRANSACTIONS reviews and evaluates all recorded real estate transactions to determine their suitability for re-assessment.

EXEMPTIONS processes exemption requests and applies all legal exemptions to assessed property. Common exemptions include homeowner's exemptions, welfare exemptions, and exemptions for religious and educational institutions.

RECORDER provides the underlying framework for the City's real estate transactions and is responsible for recording legal documents that determine ownership of real property. The Recorder Division assesses and collects transfer taxes, and also maintains, indexes, and issues official copies of all recorded documents, such as public marriage certificates.

PUBLIC SERVICE provides information to the public for all Assessor-Recorder functions. The Public Service Division also provides translation services to customers and assists the public in accessing official city records.

BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $39,105,431$ | $35,876,489$ | $(3,228,942)$ | $35,811,312$ | $(65,177)$ |
| Total FTE | 162 | 164 | 2 | 167 | 3 |

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Overhaul and modernize the City's legacy property tax and recorder IT systems;
- Strengthen and standardize data collection and assessment practices to ensure fairness in taxation, including adjustments to policies and procedures with state law changes;
- Prioritize activities that drive the City's economic recovery such as streamlining mapping, parcel management, and assessment of parcels that impact construction, including affordable housing construction;
- Implement the Department's Racial Equity Action Plan, which includes providing ongoing racial equity training and development for leadership and staff; and
- Commit to ensuring all communities, especially immigrant and low-income communities, have access to financial resources and education.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  |  |  | FY21 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Assess all taxable property within the City and County of San Francisco |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Board of Equalization (BOE)-required business property audits completed in the fiscal year for businesses within the City and County of San Francisco | 334 | 328 | 213 | 303 | 250 | 303 |
| In-progress new construction value added to secured working assessment roll in the fiscal year | \$7,220,000,000 | \$4,844,212,108 | \$3,769,000,000 | \$3,500,000,000 | \$3,500,000,000 | \$3,580,000,000 |
| Number of Real Property Supplemental and Escape Assessments | 27,455 | 20,107 | 15,000 | 8160 | 5,150 | 6,360 |
| Value (in billions) of secured working assessment roll (excluding State Board of Equalization (SBE) roll) | \$260.40 | \$295.07 billion | \$301.67 billion | \$301.652 billion | \$299.56 billion | \$311.55 billion |
| Value of Real Property Supplemental and Escape Assessments | \$221,684,386 | \$182,351,133 | \$97,600,000 | 68400000 | \$33,500,000 | \$41,400,000 |
| Collect documentary transfer tax due |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value of transfer tax from non-recorded documents and under-reported transactions | \$3,287,357 | \$4,805,485 | \$26,825,700 | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | \$1,000,000 |
| Value of transfer tax from recorded documents | \$368,145,659 | \$334,535,198 | \$248,700,000 | 278400000 | \$265,900,000 | \$331,800,000 |
| Effectively defend and resolve assessment appeals |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of appeals resolved in the fiscal year | 1,612 | 994 | 1,135 | 1250 | 2500 | 2,500 |
| Percentage of appeals resolved in the fiscal year where ASR's value determination was upheld | 81\% | 91\% | 92\% | 85\% | 50\% | 50\% |
| Provide outstanding customer service |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of customers with a good or excellent experience when visiting ASR in the fiscal year | 97\% | 99\% | N/A | 99\% | 99\% | 99\% |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 35.9$ million for the Assessor-Recorder is $\$ 3.2$ million, or 8.3 percent, lower than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 39.1$ million. This decrease is primarily driven by reduced costs related to the Property Assessment and Tax System (PATS) project.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 35.8$ million for the Assessor-Recorder is $\$ 0.1$ million, or 0.2 percent, lower than the FY 2021-22 proposed budget. This decrease is caused by reductions in costs related to the PATS project and is partially offset by salary and benefits changes.

## Goal-to-Roll

In 2020, the Assessor's Office closed the roll ontime for the second year in a row. This effort was the continuation of a multi-year strategy to reverse a decades-old backlog of assessments. This backlog significantly impacted property taxpayers who
would sometimes receive tax bills containing multiple years of assessments, doubling or even tripling their required tax payment. Through technology improvements, standardization of work processes and performance expectations, the Office continues to meet performance targets ensuring continued fiscal health and supporting the City's economic recovery through COVID-19.

## Assessment and Revenue Growth

The 2020 assessed roll continued its growth trajectory increasing 7 percent over the prior year to $\$ 302$ billion. These assessments equate to $\$ 3.6$ billion in revenue for use on critical City programs.

## Real Property Transfer Tax

The Assessor's Office collects Real Property Transfer Tax (RPTT) upon changes in ownership. Over the last five years, RPTT has generated an average of $\$ 338$ million annually to support the
general fund and free community college. RPTT revenue has grown due to recent laws that increased tax rates on properties selling for more than \$5 million, as well as the Office's efforts to review and validate the information that ASR receive from taxpayers. The Recorder Division maintains a robust RPTT Audit Program that focuses on high value transactions to ensure that entities are not underreporting their transfer tax obligations. Since 2015, the Assessor's Office has recovered \$69 million due to this this program.

## Modernizing Technology Systems

Through the City's Committee on Information Technology, the Assessor's office has invested millions of dollars in modernizing systems and improving technology. Two of the Department's major projects are highlighted below.

Property Assessment and Tax System - As one of the major IT initiatives in the City's Information \& Communication Technology Plan for FY 2018-19 to FY 2021-22, the Mayor's Proposed Budget includes funding for the Offices of the Assessor-Recorder, Treasurer-Tax Collector and Controller to coordinate


[^7]and integrate the replacement of the Assessor's property assessment system and Treasurer-Tax Collector/Controller property tax system. Together, the two systems enable the assessment, collection and legally required apportioning of approximately $\$ 3.6$ billion in annual revenues. In 2020, the Tax Collector's new tax billing and collection system went live. The property assessment system will go-live in multiple phases and has completed implementation of phase I which went live in January 2021. Together, the two systems will improve efficiency, aid in the delivery of customer service, reduce revenue at risk by allowing for more efficient collection and processing of property tax assessments, provide added transparency and build an infrastructure that is secure and resilient.

Recorder System Replacement Project - In 2020, the office focused on the design and implementation of a new system to replace the obsolete Recorder Information System. The new system began operation in August 2020 and has already improved Recorder division functionality including document recording, cashiering, imaging, and indexing of public records.
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TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Authorized | 182.69 | 185.37 | 2.68 | 188.29 |  |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | $(21.00)$ | $(21.00)$ | 2.92 |  |  |
| Net Operating Positions | 161.69 | 164.37 | 2.68 | $\mathbf{1 6 7 . 2 9}$ | 2.92 |

## Sources

| Charges for Services | $3,537,719$ | $3,805,285$ | 267,566 | $3,880,129$ | 74,844 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Expenditure Recovery | $3,473,306$ | $3,531,406$ | 58,100 | $3,531,435$ | 29 |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | 634,032 | 547,419 | $(86,613)$ | 675,009 | 127,590 |
| General Fund Support |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $31,460,374$ | $27,992,379$ | $(3,467,995)$ | $27,724,739$ | $(267,640)$ |
| Sources Total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3 9 , 1 0 5 , 4 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 , 8 7 6 , 4 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 , 2 2 8 , 9 4 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 , 8 1 1 , 3 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 5 , 1 7 7 )}$ |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $16,763,976$ | $18,389,557$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 2 5 , 5 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 , 4 2 9 , 6 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 4 0 , 0 6 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $7,726,796$ | $8,091,373$ | 364,577 | $8,374,775$ | 283,402 |
| Non-Personnel Services | $1,531,516$ | $1,640,334$ | 108,818 | $1,439,663$ | $(200,671)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 142,855 | 148,000 | 5,145 | 235,000 | 87,000 |
| Overhead and Allocations | 261,831 | 99,502 | $(162,329)$ | 99,502 |  |
| Programmatic Projects | $9,693,815$ | $4,736,633$ | $(4,957,182)$ | $3,386,274$ | $(1,350,359)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | $2,984,642$ | $2,771,090$ | $(213,552)$ | $2,846,479$ | $\mathbf{7 5 , 3 8 9}$ |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{3 9 , 1 0 5 , 4 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 , 8 7 6 , 4 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 , 2 2 8 , 9 4 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 , 8 1 1 , 3 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 5 , 1 7 7 )}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| ASR Administration | $6,167,665$ | $6,100,219$ | $(67,446)$ | $6,298,806$ | 198,587 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ASR Exemptions | 512,638 | 639,170 | 126,532 | 670,482 | 31,312 |
| ASR Personal Property | $3,984,696$ | $4,209,005$ | 224,309 | $4,369,014$ | 160,009 |
| ASR Public Service | $1,354,311$ | $1,059,997$ | $(294,314)$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 3 1 , 3 6 3}$ | 71,366 |
| ASR Real Property | $22,761,326$ | $19,466,649$ | $(3,294,677)$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 7 1 6 , 7 2 9}$ | $(749,920)$ |
| ASR Recorder | $3,052,947$ | $3,042,335$ | $(10,612)$ | $3,203,501$ | 161,166 |
| ASR Transactions | $\mathbf{1 , 2 7 1 , 8 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 5 9 , 1 1 4}$ | 87,266 | $\mathbf{1 , 4 2 1 , 4 1 7}$ |  |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{3 9 , 1 0 5 , 4 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 , 8 7 6 , 4 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 , 2 2 8 , 9 4 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 , 8 1 1 , 3 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 5 , 1 7 5 )}$ |

## Board of Appeals <br> MISSION

The Board of Appeals (BOA or PAB) provides the public with a final administrative review process for the issuance, denial, suspension, revocation, and modification of city permits as well as for certain decisions of the Zoning Administrator, Planning Commission, and Historic Preservation Commission.

## SERVICES

The Board of Appeals (BOA) provides services through the following program areas:
Appeal Processing assists members of the public who want to file or respond to an appeal and those who want to learn more about the appeal process. BOA staff ensure that appeals are processed in conformance with the requirements of the City Charter and relevant codes, that appeals are decided at duty-noticed public hearings, and that the BOA issues timely decisions to uphold, overrule, or modify departmental decisions.

Public Notifications make it easier for the people of San Francisco to engage in city decisions that may affect the rights and livelihoods of individuals or the character of neighborhoods. The Department provides notification of and information regarding public hearings on appeals of city determinations. Information about the appeal process is available through a variety of means, including the Department's website, its office, and meetings at City Hall. Written materials are available in English, Spanish, Chinese and Tagalog. Staff members speak Spanish, Mandarin and Cantonese and utilize third-party Interpreter Services for other languages. The Department also offers a telephone bridge line upon request so that members of the public with disabilities can participate during the public comment portion of a hearing without physically attending. Additionally, American Sign Language interpretation is provided as needed and all hearings are broadcast live with real-time captioning.

Government Accountability The benchmarks used to assess the quality of customer service and delivery of departmental services include clearly articulated timelines for assigning hearing dates, established briefing schedules, and hearing protocols that create a fair and accessible process which affords all parties an equal opportunity to present their case. To ensure the appeals process is carried out in a timely manner, the BOA also benchmarks the timeliness of its determinations and issuance of written decisions and regularly tracks all appeals.

## BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $1,177,452$ | $1,095,914$ | $(81,538)$ | $1,120,510$ | 24,596 |
| Total FTE | 5 | 4 | $(1)$ | 4 | 0 |

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Enhance the appeal process for all participants (the public, Board members, and staff) through the increased use of technology;
- Foster workplace development through cross-training employees to ensure coverage and service provisions at all times;
- Analyze and amend the Board's rules of procedure and governing legislation to modernize appeal processing, enhance the public's understanding of appeal rights and the appeal process, and eliminate inconsistencies;
- Develop a Racial Equity Action Plan to advance equity in all aspects of the Department's work; and
- Collaborate with the Human Rights Commission's "Engineering through Equity Program" to train all staff and commissioners.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES



## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Board of Appeals' proposed budgets of $\$ 1.1$ million for Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 represents a $\$ 0.1$ million or 6.9 percent reduction from FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 1.2$ million. The slight decrease is due to salaries and benefits savings.

The proposed FY 2022-23 budget of $\$ 1.1$ million does not significantly differ from their FY 2021-22 proposed budget.

## Response to COVID-19

The Board of Appeals (BOA) is committed to helping the City respond to the COVID-19 pandemic. To that end, a Board staff member has been deployed full-time at the Emergency

Operations Center since October 2020 and will continue in this capacity as needed.

## Commitment to Racial Equity and Inclusion

The Department increased the training budget so that BOA Commissioners and staff could avail themselves of training opportunities which focus on racial equity and inclusion.

## Reducing Expenditures

The BOA has experienced a reduction in the volume of appeals related to the reduced volume of permit issuance. This has resulted in revenue shortfalls. Consequently, the department must reduce expenditures to balance the operating budget. The primary means of reducing expenditures in personnel through attrition savings.

## APPEAL DISTRIBUTION.

The distribution of appeals heard based on the Department issuing the determination being appealed in FY 2019-20.


APPEAL VOLUME.
The volume of appeals filed has dropped from its highest levels in FY 2015-16.


## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: BOARD OF APPEALS



## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | 5.02 | 4.20 | $(0.82)$ | 4.20 |  |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) |  |  |  | 4.20 | 0.00 |
| Net Operating Positions | 5.02 | 4.20 | $(0.82)$ | 4.2 |  |

Sources

| Charges for Services | $\mathbf{1 , 1 7 7 , 4 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 9 5 , 9 1 4}$ | $(81,538)$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 2 0 , 5 1 0}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| General Fund Support |  | 0 |  |  |  |

106 board of appeals

# Board of Supervisors 

## MISSION

The Board of Supervisors (BOS or "Board") is the legislative branch of government in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors responds to the needs of the people of San Francisco by adopting legislation, establishing policies, and assisting constituents. As stated in the City Charter, the Board of Supervisors provides for the public's access to government meetings, documents, and records.

## SERVICES

The Board of Supervisors provides services through the following divisions:
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS is comprised of 11 elected members who are responsible for adopting the City's budget, appropriating funds, approving City laws, and establishing policies and procedures to improve the overall quality of life in San Francisco.

THE CLERK OF THE BOARD is the steward of the legislative record and manages the business and staff of the Department.
ASSESSMENT APPEALS BOARD adjudicates disputes between the Office of the Assessor-Recorder and property owners. It equalizes the valuation of the taxable property within the City for the purpose of taxation.
BUDGET AND LEGISLATIVE ANALYST provides fiscal and policy analyses, special studies, and management audit reports of City departments and programs for the Board.

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION (LAFCO) reviews and approves jurisdictional boundary changes. LAFCo plays an advisory role for the Community Choice Aggregation energy program.
SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE advises the Board, and provides information to other city departments on appropriate ways to implement the Sunshine Ordinance in order to ensure that deliberations of commissions, boards, councils, and other agencies of the City are conducted before the people, and that City operations are open to the public's review.
YOUTH COMMISSION is a body of 17 San Franciscans between the ages of 12 and 23 responsible for advising the Board and the Mayor on policies and laws related to young people.

## BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $18,606,318$ | $19,571,721$ | 965,403 | $19,932,550$ | 360,829 |
| Total FTE | 86 | 87 | 1 | 87 | 0 |

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Provide public information and accommodations for equitable access to legislative matters that impact marginalized communities, as introduced by the Board of Supervisors;
- Conduct legislative processing, targeted neighborhood outreach, and civic engagement to resource marginalized communities;
- Provide timely website updates and public noticing, ensuring equitable accessibility for public participation;
- Provide referrals to City resources; and
- Promote diversity in the Department's workforce by recruiting highly qualified candidates and fostering meaningful collaborative partnerships with City departments and community stakeholders.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  |  |  |  | FY21 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Align resources to allow the Board to achieve its mission and duties to support open and participatory government |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of hits on BOS website | 1,431,769 | 2,336,117 | 2,174,524 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |
| Percentage of vacancy notices posted within 30 days of expiration | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Ensure accurate and comprehensive public access to information |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average response time (in days) to Assessment Appeals Board public information requests | 1.25 | 1.51 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Percentage of Sunshine Ordinance Task Force complaints processed and scheduled in accordance with established timeframes | 87.7\% | 65\% | 85\% | 100\% | 90\% | 100\% |
| Percentage of Sunshine Ordinance Task Force meeting agendas continued due to improper notice and/or missed publication within required timeframes | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Percentage of Youth Commission adopted resolutions and motions posted on the website within 48 hours after a meeting | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Ensure equal opportunity to engage with the Board |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of Board meeting agendas posted on website at least 72 hours prior to meeting | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Percentage of Youth Commission or Committee meeting notices, agendas and packets posted on the website at least 72 hours prior to the meeting. | 99.5\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Manage the Board effectively |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of Board or Committee meeting agendas continued due to improper notice and/or missed publication within required timeframes | 0\% | 96\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Percentage of Board or Committee meeting minutes posted within 2 business days of meeting adjournment. | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Strengthen the Board's accountability to City residents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of Board, Committee, Commission and Task Force legislative or policy related documents posted on the web site within the mandated timeframes for public access | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Percentage of identified Assessment Appeals Board filers notified of filing obligations for the Statement of Economic Interests (SEI) Form 700 and related forms within established time frames | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 19.6$ million for the Board of Supervisors is $\$ 1.0$ million, or 5.2 percent, higher than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 18.6$ million. This increase is primarily due to salary and benefits changes.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 19.9$ million for the Board of Supervisors is $\$ 0.4$ million, or 1.8 percent, higher than the FY 2021-22 proposed budget. This increase is primarily caused by salary and benefits changes.

## Focus on Equity

The Office of the Clerk of the Board (the Clerk's Office), designated by the City's Charter to oversee
the affairs and records of the Board of Supervisors, has developed proactive strategies to ensure that the Department accounts for racial and socioeconomic disparities in the consideration of access to the legislative process. The Clerk's Office waives fees for service for those who are unable to pay and continues to expand ways to facilitate public engagement, such as improving public comment processes, expanding language access, and providing information through virtual office hours, a COVID-19 Service Map, and newsletters.

## Community Outreach

Pursuant to the Admin Code Sec. 2.80-2.81, the Board designates outreach periodicals to reflect
the diversity in race and sexual orientation of San Francisco's residents. The Clerk's Office advertises City programs and services, vacancies for Boards and Commissions, and other public announcements in the designated newspapers that serve African American, Chinese, Hispanic, and LGBTQ communities as well as underserved neighborhoods. The Clerk's Office plans to expand its reach in the FY 2021-22 and FY 202223 budget as the COVID-19 health emergency and its continued impact have made community outreach even more relevant and critical.

## Projected Increase in Assessment Appeals

For FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23, the Assessment Appeals Board (AAB) projects a significant increase in appeals applications due to the economic downturn created by the COVID-19 pandemic. During the 2008 downturn, the AAB experienced a large backlog of appeals for several years. The Mayor's proposed budget includes funding for seasonal, temporary staff to help


PROPOSED BUDGET BY DIVISION. The
Board of Supervisors is made up of multiple divisions, the largest of which includes the elected Supervisors and their staff.
the $A A B$ process applications, hold hearings, and resolve disputes between taxpayers and the Assessor-Recorder in a timely manner.

## Redistricting Task Force

Every ten years, the Federal Government conducts a census to determine the number of individuals living in the United States. After the census is completed, the Charter requires the Director of Elections to determine whether the existing supervisorial districts meet the legal requirements established by federal, state, and local laws. If the existing supervisorial districts no longer comply with these legal requirements, the Charter requires the Board of Supervisors to convene a Redistricting Task Force to redraw the supervisorial district lines. The Redistricting Task Force will convene in early FY 2021-22, hold multiple community hearings to receive input from the people of San Francisco, and present a final plan outlining the new supervisorial district lines to the Board of Supervisors by April 2022.


へ ASSESSMENT APPEALS APPLICATIONS.
The Assessment Appeals Board projects an increase in appeals applications in the upcoming budget. Applications previously increased after the 2008 economic downturn.

## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS



## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | 85.95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 86.70 | 0.75 | 86.90 | 0.20 |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) |  |  |  | $\mathbf{8 6 . 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 85.95 | $\mathbf{8 6 . 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sources

| Charges for Services | 199,795 | 237,310 | 37,515 | 281,140 | 43,830 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expenditure Recovery | 161,996 | 261,996 | 100,000 | 161,996 | $(100,000)$ |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance |  | 42,765 | 42,765 |  | $(42,765)$ |
| General Fund Support | 18,244,527 | 19,029,650 | 785,123 | 19,489,414 | 459,764 |
| Sources Total | 18,606,318 | 19,571,721 | 965,403 | 19,932,550 | 360,829 |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $9,888,436$ | $10,731,337$ | 842,901 | $11,127,910$ | 396,573 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $4,460,225$ | $4,542,421$ | 82,196 | $4,609,263$ | 66,842 |
| Non-Personnel Services | $3,790,404$ | $3,832,806$ | 42,402 | $3,719,452$ | $(113,354)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 96,416 | 96,916 | 500 | 96,916 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | 370,837 | 368,241 | $(2,596)$ | $\mathbf{3 7 9 , 0 0 9}$ | 10,768 |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{1 8 , 6 0 6 , 3 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 , 5 7 1 , 7 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 5 , 4 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 , 9 3 2 , 5 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 0 , 8 2 9}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| BOS Assessment Appeals Board | 701,348 | 782,972 | 81,624 | 798,287 | 15,315 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BOS Budget \& Legis Analysis | $2,363,745$ | $2,440,567$ | 76,822 | $2,440,567$ |  |
| BOS Clerk Of The Board | $4,289,305$ | $4,611,585$ | 322,280 | $4,664,396$ | 52,811 |
| BOS Local Agncy Formation Comm | 341,240 | 341,240 | 341,668 | 428 |  |
| BOS Sunshine Ord Task Force | 172,373 | 186,329 | 13,956 | 191,235 | 4,906 |
| BOS Supervisors | $10,364,652$ | $10,811,163$ | 446,511 | $\mathbf{1 1 , 0 8 7 , 7 5 7}$ | 276,594 |
| BOS Youth Commission | 373,655 | 397,865 | 24,210 | 408,640 | 10,775 |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{1 8 , 6 0 6 , 3 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 , 5 7 1 , 7 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 5 , 4 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 , 9 3 2 , 5 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 0 , 8 2 9}$ |

## Building Inspection <br> MISSION

The Department of Building Inspection (DBI) ensures that life and property within the City and County of San Francisco are safeguarded and provides a public forum for community involvement in that process. DBI oversees the effective, efficient, fair, and safe enforcement of Building, Housing, Plumbing, Electrical, and Mechanical Codes, along with Disability Access Regulations.

## SERVICES

Permit Services is responsible for all permit processes from permit application submittal to permit issuance. The functions include screening, routing permits and plans for review, coordinating of building permit review, approving and issuing of construction permits including electrical, plumbing, and street space permits for public and private buildings within the City and County of San Francisco. Permit Services also assesses and collects fees for all structures, building enlargements, and changes of use. Additionally, it provides technical support for the Department in the areas of code development and information.

Inspection Services is responsible for inspecting buildings, structures, and sites within the City for compliance with applicable laws regulating construction, quality of materials, use of occupancy, location, and maintenance. Inspection Services also responds to complaints and is responsible for code enforcement. Inspection Services includes Building, Electrical, Plumbing, Housing, and Code Enforcement.

Administrative Services is responsible for fiscal management, purchasing, payroll and personnel, business analysis, records management, and information technology. Administrative services also includes the Development Impact Fee Collection Unit.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Review plans and issues building permits safeguarding life and property in compliance with city and state regulations;
- Perform inspections to enforce codes and standards to ensure safety and quality of life;
- Deliver the highest level of customer service;
- Utilize efficient and effective administrative practices;
- Engage and educate customers, contractors, and stakeholders on DBl's services, functions, and legislated programs;
- Advance racial equity by continuing to increase recruitment efforts to include a diverse applicant pool; and
- Expand and strengthen outreach efforts with diverse communities to ensure building safety throughout San Francisco.


## BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $89,501,462$ | $89,993,382$ | 491,920 | $91,391,585$ | $1,398,203$ |
| Total FTE | 265 | 270 | 5 | 270 | 0 |

PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  | FY19 | FY20 |  |  |  | FY22 | FY23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Mid Year | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Utilize efficient and effective administrative practices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of Reports of Residential Building Records (3R reports) Produced Within Seven Business Days | 97.7\% | 99\% | 99\% | 93\% | 93\% | 93\% | N/A |
| Percentage of Records Requests Processed Within 20 Business Days | 97.9\% | 95\% | 100\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% | N/A |
| Review plans and issue permits safeguarding life and property in compliance with city and state regulations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of Submitted Projects Audited for Quality Assurance by Supervisors | 95\% | 78\% | 90\% | 92\% | 92\% | 92\% | N/A |
| Percentage of Site Permit Applications reviewed with construction valuation less than \$3,999,999 reviewed within 30 calendar days. | 95\% | 74\% | 28\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% | N/A |
| Percentage of Site Permit Applications reviewed with construction valuation greater than \$4,000,000 reviewed within 42 calendar days. | 92\% | 88\% | 48\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% | N/A |
| Percentage of Pre-Application Meetings Conducted by DBI Within 14 Calendar Days | 100\% | 91\% | 92\% | 92\% | 92\% | 92\% | N/A |
| Percentage of Permit Applications for Other Buildings Reviewed Within 42 Calendar Days | 98\% | 93\% | 90\% | 92\% | 92\% | 92\% | N/A |
| Percentage of Permit Applications for One and Two Family Dwellings Reviewed Within 28 Calendar Days | 96\% | 97\% | 93\% | 92\% | 92\% | 92\% | N/A |
| Percentage of Permit Applications for Office and/or Commercial Buildings Reviewed Within 42 Calendar Days | 99\% | 98\% | 94\% | 95\% | 92\% | 92\% | N/A |
| Percentage of Permit Applications for Multi-Family Residential and/or Mixed-Use Buildings Reviewed Within 42 Calendar Days | 98\% | 96\% | 93\% | 92\% | 92\% | 92\% | N/A |
| Proactively engage and educate customers, contractors, and stakeholders on DBI services, functions, and legislated programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of property owners under the Mandatory Soft Story Seismic Retrofit Program that have complied with requirements | 93.3\% | 80\% | 80\% | N/A | 100\% |  |  |
| Number of Seismic Safety Outreach Program (SSOP) ambassadors graduated from training | 3,250 | 3,121 | 3,121 |  | 3,025 |  |  |
| Perform inspections to enforce codes and standards to ensure safety and quality of life |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of Non-Hazard Housing Inspection Complaints Responded to Within Three Business Days. | 94.1\% | 89\% | 67\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% | N/A |
| Percentage of Non-Hazard Complaints Responded to Within Three Business Days | 96.8\% | 98\% | 98\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% | N/A |
| Percentage of Life Hazards or Lack of Heat Complaints Responded to Within One Business Day | 89.6\% | 85\% | 74\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | N/A |
| Inspections per inspector/day (plumbing) | 11.1 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | N/A |
| Inspections per inspector/day (electrical) | 12.1 | 11 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 11 | N/A |
| Inspections per inspector/day (building) | 11.8 | 11 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 11 | N/A |
| Deliver the highest level of customer service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of Records Requests Processed Over-The-Counter | 92.6\% | 90\% | 63\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% | N/A |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 90.0$ million for the Department of Building Inspection (DBI) is $\$ 0.5$ million, or 0.5 percent, higher than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 89.5$ million. This increase is primarily caused by salary and benefits changes and is partially offset by reduced costs for services performed by other City departments, supplies, and capital expenses.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 91.4$ million for the Department of Building Inspection is $\$ 1.4$ million, or 1.6 percent, higher than the FY 2021-22 proposed budget. This increase is primarily caused by salary and benefits changes..

## Technology Improvements

Over the past year, the Department has worked to increase online capabilities to respond to COVID-19. Customers can obtain electrical, plumbing and re-roofing permits online as well as make payments for all permits. The proposed budget includes funding to expand online services, update the department website, enhance reporting capabilities, and improve forms. These technology projects will improve customer access to services and streamline service delivery. Streamlined permitting services will support small businesses and economic recovery and assist the department in continued COVID-19 response.

## Housing

The Department plays a role in preserving current housing stock as well as increasing housing production. The inspection divisions verify construction safety, responds to complaints and enforces codes to ensure housing habitability. The
department provides priority permitting processing for affordable housing developments to expedite housing production. The budget maintains funding for staff to conduct timely permit application intake, review and approval.

## Equity

In FY 2020-21, the Department completed its Internal Racial Equity Plan. The plan's major focus areas are staff diversity, organizational culture improvement, and training program development. The budget includes staffing, training and membership funds to support a well-trained, diverse staff in delivering equitable permit and inspection services to all customers.

The Department of Building Inspection provides services to San Francisco's diverse communities. Through partnerships with community-based organizations, the Department targets code enforcement services to improve tenants' living conditions and preserve much needed affordable housing for San Francisco's neediest residents. The proposed budget includes funding for outreach and language translation.

## Construction Activity

The pandemic heavily impacted construction activity. Construction decline is reflected in decreases to issued permits and inspections. FY 2019-20 permit and inspection levels declined to FY 2011-12 levels. Construction is a major driver of economic recovery. Over the next two years, the Department will work with construction industry and other departments to assist in construction recovery through the provision of enhanced and equitable services.

TOTAL INSPECTIONS > PERFORMED.

The total number of inspections performed in FY 2019-20 decreased by 20,511 from FY 2018-19 because of the impact of the COVID-19 emergency.



へ TOTAL NUMBER OF PERMITS ISSUED.
The COVID-19 emergency reduced the rate of new construction, bringing down the number of permits issued by DBI in FY 2019-20.

© TOTAL CONSTRUCTION VALUATIONS.
After rising steadily since the 2009 financial crisis, FY 2019-20 saw the value of construction projects currently in progress negatively impacted by the COVID-19 emergency.

## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: BUILDING INSPECTION



## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | 286.49 | 291.08 | 4.59 | 290.91 | $(0.17)$ |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | $(21.00)$ | $(21.00)$ |  | $(21.00)$ |  |
| Net Operating Positions | 265.49 | 270.08 | 4.59 | $\mathbf{2 6 9 . 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{( 0 . 1 7 )}$ |

## Sources

| Licenses, Permits,\& Franchises | $6,334,098$ | $6,334,098$ | $6,334,098$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Interest \& Investment Income | $2,500,000$ | $2,500,000$ | $2,500,000$ |  |
| Charges for Services | $38,437,569$ | $42,128,097$ | $3,690,528$ | $42,128,097$ |
| Expenditure Recovery | 92,949 | 96,535 | 3,586 | 96,535 |
| IntraFund Transfers In | $14,090,562$ | $27,096,755$ | $13,006,193$ | $27,096,819$ |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | $28,479,493$ | $11,592,005$ | $(16,887,488)$ | $12,989,310$ |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | $13,657,353$ | $27,342,647$ | $13,685,294$ | $27,343,545$ |
| Transfer Adjustment-Source | $(14,090,562)$ | $(27,096,755)$ | $(13,006,193)$ | $(27,096,819)$ |

General Fund Support

| Sources Total | $89,501,462$ | $89,993,382$ | 491,920 | $91,391,585$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 9 8 , 2 0 3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $32,439,066$ | $35,417,525$ | $2,978,459$ | $36,614,014$ | $1,196,489$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $16,244,569$ | $16,340,818$ | 96,249 | $16,580,395$ | 239,577 |
| Non-Personnel Services | $5,513,557$ | $5,537,960$ | 24,403 | $5,537,960$ |  |
| City Grant Program | $5,230,314$ | $5,230,314$ |  | $5,230,314$ |  |
| Capital Outlay | 624,000 |  | $(624,000)$ |  |  |
| Intrafund Transfers Out | $14,090,562$ | $27,096,755$ | $13,006,193$ | $27,096,819$ |  |
| Materials \& Supplies | $1,249,751$ | 530,438 | $(719,313)$ | 530,438 |  |
| Overhead and Allocations | $1,759,138$ | $1,426,525$ | $(332,613)$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 2 6 , 5 2 5}$ |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | $26,441,067$ | $25,509,802$ | $(931,265)$ | $25,471,939$ |  |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(14,090,562)$ | $(27,096,755)$ | $(13,006,193)$ | $(27,096,819)$ |  |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{8 9 , 5 0 1 , 4 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 , 9 9 3 , 3 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 1 , 9 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 , 3 9 1 , 5 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 9 8 , 2 0 3}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| DBI AdminIstration | $25,006,260$ | $24,200,366$ | $(805,894)$ | $24,536,045$ | 335,679 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DBI Inspection Services | $45,385,749$ | $46,657,600$ | $1,271,851$ | $47,586,334$ | 928,734 |
| DBI Permit Services | $19,109,453$ | $19,135,416$ | 25,963 | $19,269,206$ | 133,790 |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{8 9 , 5 0 1 , 4 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 , 9 9 3 , 3 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 1 , 9 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 , 3 9 1 , 5 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 9 8 , 2 0 3}$ |
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## Child Support Services MISSION

The Department of Child Support Services (CSS) works to empower parents to provide economic support for their children, thereby contributing to the well-being of families and children.

## SERVICES

The Department of Child Support Services provides services through the following divisions:
CASE MANAGEMENT manages child support caseload and works with families to ensure that children are financially, medically, and emotionally supported. The Department delivers direct services to clients through the provision of a variety of programs focused on the economic security of the family and the safety of the child(ren).

LEGAL SERVICES provides initial and ongoing support to parents in the areas of paternity establishment, locating parents, requests for child and medical support orders from the court, enforcement and modification of support orders, and the collection and distribution of child support.

ADMINISTRATION provides policy direction and acts as the conduit to all federal, state, and local government agencies. Administration ensures compliance with personnel management regulations and all related memoranda of understanding and labor contracts, and assures the fiscal integrity of the Department as it relates to reporting, record-keeping, and procurement.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Increase child support collections and payment reliability;
- Improve caseworker processes and communication to understand customer satisfaction and whether customer needs are met;
- Aggregate and analyze performance data by race and ethnicity to identify any disparities in treatment or inequitable practices towards people of color and other traditionally marginalized groups; and
- Develop and implement new options for communicating with customers and enhance program outreach to deliver a clear and accurate image of programming to the public.

BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $13,409,069$ | $13,271,043$ | $(138,026)$ | $13,537,016$ | 265,973 |
| Total FTE | 66 | 66 | 0 | 66 | 0 |

## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  | FY19 |  | FY21 |  | FY22 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Increase support for California children |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| San Francisco current collections as a percentage of current support owed | 87.7\% | 86\% | 87\% | 84\% | 87\% | 87\% |
| San Francisco cases with collections on arrears during the fiscal year as a percentage of all cases in San Francisco | 72.57\% | 78\% | 70\% | 70\% | 70\% | 70\% |
| Enhance program performance and sustainability |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Statewide current collections as a percentage of current support owed | 66.5\% | 66\% | 66\% | 58\% | 66\% | 66\% |
| Statewide cases with collections on arrears during fiscal year as a percentage of cases with arrears owed | 63.9\% | 75\% | 60\% | 58\% | 60\% | 60\% |
| Develop and strengthen collaborative partnerships |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amount of child support collected by SF DCSS annually, in millions | \$26.1 | \$27 | \$26 | \$26 | \$26 | \$26 |
| Deliver excellent and consistent customer services statewide |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| San Francisco orders established as a percentage of cases needing an order | 93.07\% | 93\% | 93\% | 93\% | 93\% | 93\% |
| Percentage of IV-D cases in San Francisco with paternity established for children in caseload born out of wedlock | 103.92\% | 102.67\% | 101\% | 99\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Be innovative in meeting the needs of families |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of unemancipated children in San Francisco caseload | 8,565 | 8,148 | 7,745 | 8,135 | 7,745 | 7,745 |
| Number of unemancipated children in CSE counties caseloads | 1,144,140 | 1,098,331 | 1,073,783 | 1,200,000 | 1,073,783 | 1,073,783 |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 13.3$ million for the Department of Child Support Services is $\$ 0.1$ million, or 1 percent, lower than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 13.4$ million. The decrease is due to salary and benefits savings.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 13.5$ million is $\$ 0.3$ million, or 2 percent, higher than the proposed FY 2021-22 budget of $\$ 13.3$ million. This is because of salary and benefits changes.

## Simplifying Access and Expanding Eligibility

Child Support Services is proud to partner with the State of California to pilot two initiatives that aim to simplify processes and expand access to the Department's program. The new online case opening application pilot will test changes to the current process in order to reduce the time and effort that parents must spend to request program services. Intuitive navigation, simplified wording, and enhanced privacy protections are some of the features that we look forward to testing.

## Debt Reduction Program

Current California Iaw requires that low-income families that receive public benefits only receive the first $\$ 50$ of their monthly child support payment; the rest is redirected to pay back the cost of public benefits, such as CalWORKs. More than 70 percent of outstanding child support debt in California is
owed to the government, not to children. In San Francisco, 98 percent of parents paying support are fathers who are impoverished, lacking permanent housing, unemployed or underemployed. Child Support Services will partner with the State of California to test program and policy changes that will allow more parents to apply for debt relief through a simplified process with the goal of qualifying more parents for debt relief.


Federal Fiscal Year

[^9]
## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES



## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | 66.22 | 66.06 | (0.16) | 65.73 | (0.33) |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Operating Positions | 66.22 | 66.06 | (0.16) | 65.73 | (0.33) |

Sources

| Intergovernmental: Federal | $8,433,006$ | $8,365,471$ | $(67,535)$ | $8,519,877$ | 154,406 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intergovernmental: State | $4,117,472$ | $4,309,484$ | 192,012 | $4,389,027$ | 79,543 |
| Other Revenues | 691,928 | 453,000 | $(238,928)$ | 480,000 | 27,000 |
| Expenditure Recovery | 166,663 | 143,088 | $(23,575)$ | 148,112 | 5,024 |

General Fund Support

| Sources Total | $\mathbf{1 3 , 4 0 9 , 0 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 2 7 1 , 0 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 3 8 , 0 2 6 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 5 3 7 , 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 5 , 9 7 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Uses - Operating Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | $6,897,163$ | $7,332,135$ | 434,972 | $\mathbf{7 , 5 4 9 , 8 4 6}$ | 217,711 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $3,969,517$ | $4,022,593$ | 53,076 | $4,058,301$ | 35,708 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 350,094 | 212,348 | $(137,746)$ | 162,348 | $(50,000)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 152,165 | 51,531 | $(100,634)$ | 99,451 | 47,920 |
| Services Of Other Depts | $2,040,130$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 5 2 , 4 3 6}$ | $(387,694)$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 6 7 , 0 7 0}$ |  |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{1 3 , 4 0 9 , 0 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 2 7 1 , 0 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 3 8 , 0 2 6 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 5 3 7 , 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 5 , 9 7 3}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| CSS Child Support Services | $13,409,069$ | $13,271,043$ | $(138,026)$ | $13,537,016$ | 265,973 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{1 3 , 4 0 9 , 0 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 2 7 1 , 0 4 3}$ | $(\mathbf{1 3 8 , 0 2 6})$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 5 3 7 , 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 5 , 9 7 3}$ |

120 child support services

# Children and Families Commission 

## MISSION

The mission of the San Francisco Children and Families Commission (First 5) is to advance a shared commitment to support young children, young children, from birth to age five, and their families, and their families with abundant opportunities to be healthy, to learn, and to grow.

## SERVICES

First 5 provides services through the following areas:
SYSTEMS CHANGE serves as an overarching frame that supports and extends to the other three impact areas (Child Development/Early Care and Education, Family Support, and Child Health). In this work, First 5 partners closely with organizations, communities, individuals, and public agencies to align and coordinate efforts around clearly defined objectives that advance the well-being of all children from birth. As part of this collaboration, First 5 also seeks innovative approaches to the complex challenges facing young children and families in the City.
CHILD DEVELOPMENT/EARLY CARE AND EDUCATION supports young children's healthy development and readiness for school. First 5 focuses primarily on quality assessment and improvement of early care and education programs through its Quality Rating and Improvement System (QRIS) to ensure optimal child development and improved outcomes for all children. This work also involves leveraging opportunities for common approaches to quality early care and education at the regional, state, and national levels.
FAMILY SUPPORT strategies deepen and sustain the work of the San Francisco Family Resource Center (FRC) Initiative as a strong, effective foundation for family support services throughout the City. FRCs were established, in partnership with other city departments, to provide parents/caregivers and their families with opportunities to connect with each other, build parenting skills and knowledge of child development, and receive support in times of need or crisis.

CHILD HEALTH efforts include health and mental health consultation, inclusive educational practices, developmental screening, and centralized referral and tracking processes so that more children can be identified and linked to supportive services earlier in life. First 5 strives to help improve and integrate the child health care system by working with partners and stakeholders to articulate gaps, gather and communicate data, and build upon models of success to increase families' access to and utilization of services.

BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $31,194,003$ | $30,194,536$ | $(999,467)$ | 0 | $(30,194,536)$ |
| Total FTE | 14 | 16 | 2 | 0 | $(16)$ |

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Facilitate innovative work between organizations, communities, individuals, and public agencies to advance the well-being of children from birth to age eight and their families;
- Ensure all city-funded early care and education sites participate in the Quality Rating and Improvement System and meet quality standards;
- Provide family support programs and systems to improve families' ability to support children's life-long success;
- Establish a system of universal early identification and intervention for children birth to age five;
- Confront and disrupt structural inequities within the early childhood system of care that are a driving force behind opportunity gaps, adverse childhood experiences, and disproportionate outcomes for children and families; and
- Examine how racism and inequity affect internal and external policies, procedures, investments, and accountability metrics, in order to fundamentally shift practices, and model the expectation that San Francisco is a place where everyone's rights are protected.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  | FY19 |  | FY21 |  | FY22 | FY23 <br> Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target |  |  |
| San Francisco establishes a system of universal early identification and intervention for children birth to five. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of children screened for special needs | 5,990 | 4,141 | 5,000 | 5,800 | 5,500 | 6,000 |

Organizations, communities, individuals and public agencies work together in new ways to advance the well-being of all children birth to eight and their families.

| Number of community grants and programs funded annually | 53 | 51 | 55 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family support programs and systems improve families' ability to support children's life-long success. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of parents demonstrating skill improvement following Family Resource Center parent education class | 78\% | 91\% | 70\% | 70\% | 70\% | 70\% |
| Number of parents served in Family Resource Centers (FRC) | 8,441 | 6,818 | 5,000 | 9,000 | 9,000 | 9,000 |
| Number of children 0-5 served in Family Resource Centers | 3,478 | 2,697 | 1,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 |

Early Care and Education (ECE) programs in San Francisco meet the highest standards of quality to ensure optimal child development and improved outcomes for all children.

| Percent of funded classrooms with an environment rating of 5 or above | 94\% | 0\% | 0 | 90\% | 0 | 0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of funded classrooms achieving cut-off score on adult/child interactions | 93\% | 0\% | 0 | 90\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Number of children 0-5 in Quality Connections Classrooms | 7,725 | 7,836 | 7,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 30.2$ million for First 5 is $\$ 1.0$ million, or 3.2 percent, lower than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 31.2$ million. This decrease is due to reductions in state funding.

In FY 2022-23, First 5 will join the new Department of Early Childhood (DEC).

## Supporting Young Children and Their Families

For FY 2021-2022, the Children and Families Commission (CFC) remains committed to prioritizing programs that provide direct services to the children and families of San Francisco, especially those adversely impacted by COVID-19 and the economic downturn. CFC plans to maintain funding for the City's Family Resource Centers (FRCs) and support community-based organizations that
offer critical services and resources to families, both virtually and remotely. CFC will also continue providing targeted support to childcare sites as they re-open under vastly different health and safety guidelines, with a specific focus on Family Child Care homes. Finally, CFC is focused on strengthening its internal staffing resources by filling vacant positions to better address future on-going administrative needs.

In FY 2022-23, CFC and the San Francisco Office of Early Care and Education (OECE) are planning to re-organize into a new City department dedicated to the education, health, and well-being of young children and their families. This will create a unified early childhood strategy for San Francisco. More information about the Department of Early Childhood can be found on page 181.

TOTAL PROPOSED SPENDING BY PROGRAM AREA, FY 2021-22.

In FY 2021-22, a majority of First 5's budget will be spent
on Family Support, Child
Development, and Child Health
programs.

## FAMILY RESOURCE CENTER > PARTICIPANT REPORT.

From FY 2017-18 to FY 2019-20,
parents who participated in the
Family Resource Center reported that they received valuable support, increased their parenting skills, and
improved their general well-being.



ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: CHILDREN AND FAMILIES COMMISSION


## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | 15.19 | 17.00 | 1.81 |  | $(17.00)$ |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | $(1.00)$ | $(1.00)$ |  | 1.00 |  |
| Net Operating Positions | $\mathbf{1 4 . 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 6 . 0 0 )}$ |

## Sources

| Interest \& Investment Income | 150,000 | 100,000 | $(50,000)$ | $(100,000)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intergovernmental: Federal | 418,456 | 592,985 | 174,529 | $(592,985)$ |
| Intergovernmental: State | $9,512,478$ | $8,989,522$ | $(522,956)$ | $(17,959,522)$ |
| Expenditure Recovery | $17,704,292$ | $17,954,292$ | 250,000 | $(282,496)$ |
| Transfers In | 175,000 | 282,496 | 107,496 | $(2,275,241)$ |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | $3,233,777$ | $2,275,241$ | $(958,536)$ |  |

General Fund Support

|  | Sources Total | $\mathbf{3 1 , 1 9 4 , 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 , 1 9 4 , 5 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{( 9 9 9 , 4 6 7 )}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{( 3 0 , 1 9 4 , 5 3 6 )}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $1,682,929$ | $2,010,363$ | 327,434 | $(2,010,361)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 816,611 | 888,831 | 72,220 | $(888,833)$ |
| Non-Personnel Services | $1,105,271$ | 874,910 | $(230,361)$ | $(874,910)$ |
| City Grant Program | $25,729,177$ | $24,313,152$ | $(1,416,025)$ | $(24,313,152)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 91,950 | 80,075 | $(11,875)$ | $(80,075)$ |
| Programmatic Projects | 154,230 | 158,370 | 4,140 | $(158,370)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | $1,613,835$ | $1,868,835$ | 255,000 | $(1,868,835)$ |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{3 1 , 1 9 4 , 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 , 1 9 4 , 5 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{( 9 9 9 , 4 6 7 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 0 , 1 9 4 , 5 3 6 )}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| CFC Children \& Families Commsn | $31,194,003$ | $30,194,536$ | $(999,467)$ | $(30,194,536)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{3 1 , 1 9 4 , 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 , 1 9 4 , 5 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{( 9 9 9 , 4 6 7 )}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 0 , 1 9 4 , 5 3 6 )}$ |

# Children, Youth and Their Families <br> MISSION 

The Department of Children, Youth and Their Families (DCYF) has administered San Francisco's powerful investments in children, youth, transitional age youth, and their families through the Children and Youth Fund since 1991. With a deep commitment to advancing equity and healing trauma, DCYF brings together government agencies, schools, community-based organizations to strengthen San Francisco communities to lead full lives of opportunity and happiness. The Department strives to make San Francisco a great place to grow up.

## SERVICES

The Department of Children, Youth and Their Families (DCYF) provides services through the following divisions:
FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATIVE OPERATIONS oversees the budgeting, fiscal management, intergovernmental fiscal relations and negotiations, and internal operations of human resources, facilities, and information technology. The team plays a key role in ensuring the efficient and compliant allocation of resources; developing and implementing compliance and strategic policies; promoting internal organizational development with an equity lens; and leveraging cross-functional opportunities to support a dynamic and evolving working environment that strives to remain agile and responsive to staffing and community needs.
CITY \& COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIPS develop and fosters strategic coordination and collaboration with partners across the community to bridge and strengthen the City's commitment to advancing education outcomes for TK-12, the secondary education system through the Free City College Program and enhancing access to career pathways for teens and transitional age youth across workforce readiness opportunities. The Students and Families Recovery with Inclusive and Successful Enrichment (SF RISE) commission coordinates with City leaders and philanthropic partners to resource and enhance educational, career pathways and mental health programs serving children, youth, and their families through the COVID-19 recovery efforts, including but not limited to the HealSF collaboration.
STRATEGIC INITIATIVES \& POLICY includes the Data \& Evaluation team managing data collection and analysis to inform equitable strategy development and the Department's five-year funding cycle; leads evaluation and data collection efforts through the Contract Management System, surveys, and program evaluations. Develops strategies and metrics to track progress in implementing the Department's Equity Action Plan in coordination with the City's Office of Racial Equity. Delivers high-quality outreach through various communication mediums, providing key coordination between the community, the media, internal and external stakeholders.

Services (continued on next page)
BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2 - 2 3}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $285,355,499$ | $314,027,521$ | $28,672,022$ | $297,273,707$ | $(16,753,814)$ |
| Total FTE | 55 | 55 | 0 | 55 | 0 |

PROGRAMS \& PLANNING DIVISION manages department grants for approximately 400 programs by providing contracting and performance monitoring; provides technical assistance to grantees; evaluates non-profit services; plans, researches, develops, and implements the Department's five-year strategic plan including Community Needs Assessment (CNA), Services Allocation Plan (SAP), and Request for Proposals (RFP); and convenes stakeholder and advisory bodies to promote systems alignment, support strategic development, and ensure partnership with community-based organizations to deliver rapid and sustained response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Promote high-quality youth development programming that is innovative and effective in meeting the needs of San Francisco's children, youth, and families;
- Support the development of stable, high-quality community-based organizations and programs through training, professional development, and capacity building;
- Provide leadership in developing collaborative solutions with other city agencies that effectively address disparities, inequities, and structural deficiencies;
- Prioritize children, youth, Transitional Age Youth, and families' voices in informing funding approaches, best practices and areas of focus;
- Collaborate with city stakeholders to help set funding priorities, practices, and policies that are based on an equity framework;
- Promote youth and family-focused programming that specifically addresses racial disparities, especially those impacting African American, Latinx, Pacific Islander, and Low-Income Asian children, youth and families; and
- Prioritize engagement with communities of color to ensure that approaches are informed by the voices of those most likely to benefit.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  | FY19 |  |  |  | 22 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Work collaboratively with other city stakeholders to ensure efficient use of resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of SFUSD high school students who receive services at High School Wellness Centers | 51.9\% | 51.9\% | N/A | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% |
| Number of participants in the DCYF-funded Young Adult Court Case Management program who successfully complete the requirements of the program | 34 | 8 | 8 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Provide leadership in developing high quality programs and strong community-based organizations in the interest of promoting positive outcomes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of DCYF-funded organizations that report satisfaction with the services and support they receive from DCYF | 85\% | N/A | N/A | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% |
| Percent of DCYF University participants who attain a Certificate of Achievement for their Specialization | 54.5\% | N/A | N/A | 65\% | 65\% | 65\% |
| Number of technical assistance and capacity building workshops offered by DCYF-funded providers | 105 | 153 | 150 | 140 | 150 | 150 |
| Number of DCYF-funded agencies that participate in DCYF technical assistance and capacity building offerings | 132 | 119 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 |
| Promote practice- and research-informed programs, seed innovation, and seek to address inequities in access and opportunity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of surveyed participants in DCYF-funded programs who report that there is an adult at the program who really cares about them | 65.8\% | 62.8\% | N/A | 70\% | 70\% | 70\% |
| Number of youth who participate in job training, job shadows, internships, and paid or subsidized employment opportunities offered by DCYF-funded programs (all ages) | 4,894 | 6,324 | N/A | 4,500 | 4,500 | 4,500 |
| Number of snacks served by DCYF to children and youth in afterschool and summer programs | 178,831 | 150,729 | 150,000 | 175,000 | 175,000 | 175,000 |
| Number of participants in DCYF-funded Sports and Physical Activity programs | 3,352 | 4,703 | N/A | 3,500 | 3,500 |  |
| Number of participants in DCYF-funded Service Learning; Youth Leadership, Engagement and Organizing; and Youth-Led Philanthropy programs | 1,673 | 1,842 | N/A | 1,600 | 1,600 | 1,600 |
| Number of participants in DCYF-funded Mentorship programs | 233 | 846 | N/A | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Number of participants in DCYF-funded Identity Formation programs | 1,083 | 940 | N/A | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Number of participants in DCYF-funded Educational Supports programs | 4,450 | 3,872 | N/A | 4,500 | 4,500 | 4,500 |
| Number of participants in DCYF-funded Arts \& Creative Expression and Science, Technology, Engineering and Math (STEM) programs | 6,263 | 6,642 | N/A | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 |
| Number of meals served by DCYF to children and youth in afterschool and summer programs | 256,179 | 264,376 | 290,000 | 252,000 | 252,000 | 252,000 |
| Average daily attendance of DCYF-funded summer programs for youth in grades K-8 | 5,512 | 6,935 | N/A | 9,000 | 9,000 | 9,000 |
| Average daily attendance of DCYF-funded comprehensive afterschool programs for youth in grades K-8 | 11,098 | 9,793 | N/A | 11,000 | 11,000 | 11,000 |
| Prioritize children, youth, transitional age youth, and families' voices in setting funding priorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of student participants at Youth Advocacy Day | 159 | N/A | 100 | 150 |  |  |
| Number of community events at which DCYF provides outreach | 64 | 75 | 70 | 60 |  |  |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 314.0$ million for the Department of Children, Youth and their Families is $\$ 28.7$ million, or 10 percent, higher than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 285.4$ million. This increase is driven by one-time funding to address COVID-19-related learning loss.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 297.3$ million is $\$ 16.7$ million, or 5.3 percent, lower than the FY 202122 proposed budget. This reduction is driven by the loss of one-time funding mentioned above.

## Partnerships with San Francisco Unified School District

In the Mayor's proposed budget, DCYF continues to fund a series of programs that partner with SFUSD to address learning losses due to COVID-19-related school closures and adapted school settings. For example, the two-year budget continues funding for Mental Health Supports for SFUSD students, at \$1.8 million per year. These Mental Health supports include a Mobile Crisis Response Team and training, implemented through a partnership with the Department of Public Health, which support community partners in providing same-day crisis intervention to children and youth experiencing anxiety and depression.

## Community Hubs Initiative and Addressing Learning Loss

Throughout FY 2020-21, the City invested over \$60.0 million for the Community Hubs Initiative (CHI) to support San Franciscans' most marginalized students and families impacted by school closures due to COVID-19, limited access to technology, unequal access to healthy meals and other factors that interrupted learning and emotional wellness. During the school year, the CHIs provided in-person support to over 2,000 students. According to mid-year CHI feedback reports, 90 percent of parents/caregivers indicated that the CHI program supported their child in keeping up with their schoolwork and 95 percent of parents/caregivers indicated that their child feels safe at their CHI Program.

To expand reach during the Summer and grow the number of in-person programming to over 20,000 slots, a coalition of City Leadership, City Departments, SFUSD, community organizations and philanthropists are making historical contributions to the 'Summer Together' Initiative. Specifically, the
initiative provides full-day, in-person programming for prioritized SFUSD population. The priority population uses an equity lens and serves children and youth from low-income households; residents of HOPE SF, public housing, and single room occupancy hotels; youth experiencing homelessness; foster youth; and English Language Learners. The CHIs are comprised of over 80 locations and, with the support of Department of Technology (DT), are digitally connected and equipped spaces that are within walking distance from children's and youth's homes.

The proposed budget recognizes the continued work needed to help lift these students and their families out of the profound impacts of the pandemic by investing $\$ 15.0$ million of one-time funding, to be spent over the next three years. This funding will be used to address learning loss through enhancing and expanding Educational Supports, Out of School Time Programming, and targeted High Dose Tutoring interventions.

The Students and Families Recovery with Inclusive and Successful Enrichment (SF RISE) commission will continue to engage City leaders and philanthropic partners to identify enhance educational resources, career pathways and mental health programs serving children, youth, and their families through the COVID-19 recovery efforts.


[^10]Additionally, DCYF and SFUSD will continue to partner and navigate any new one-time Federal and State funding sources to maximize resources available to address learning loss and strengthen programming capacity.

## Free City College

The proposed budget continues to invest in the Free City College Program at City College of San Francisco (CCSF). This includes $\$ 16.4$ million in $F Y$ 2022-21 and $\$ 16.9$ million in FY 2022-23, which includes Consumer Price Index increase. Free City College covers the cost of tuition and associated expenses for all San Francisco residents, and offers an avenue to higher education for low-income communities with traditionally lower post-secondary education matriculation rates. Additionally, the City and DCYF continue to work with CCSF to leverage state and financial aid and expand program reporting requirements.

## 5-Year Grant Cycle

Every five years, DCYF embarks on a three-step grant-making cycle to implement the Children and Youth Fund, the last step of which is a Request for Proposals/Qualifications. The department uses data, research and the expertise of proposal readers in the community to fund programs that provide quality and equitable services to the most vulnerable young people and their families. DCYF's charter compels the process to distribute the Children and Youth Fund to children and youth with the highest needs receive maximum benefit from the Fund and that equity is a guiding principle of the funding process.

Due to pandemic-induced restrictions, DCYF sought Board of Supervisor Approval and received a one-year extension to continue the Community Needs Assessment (CNA) work - this ensures DCYF will leverage reopening opportunities for outreach and connect with children, youth and their families so that their needs and disparities are better understood, recognizing there is an opportunity to address the exacerbated inequities of this pandemic. DCYF will coordinate with various partners to

> ^ INVESTMENTS BY SERVICE AREA (FY 2020-21). DCYF invests a third of its funding in Out of School Time programs, followed by Youth Workforce Development and Enrichment, Leadership and Skill Building programs.

complement and enhance one another's resources, including the evaluation and learned lessons from the CHIs.

## Measuring Program Outcomes

DCYF is committed to improving program quality and driving better outcomes for program participants. DCYF offers technical assistance and capacity building training workshops, cohorts, and other support to all its grantees. FY 2020-21 was a unique year of supporting a newly pivoted and expanded portfolio, bringing in expert providers to offer virtual courses to support the Chis and its aim to support the most vulnerable children and youth in distance learning with a nurturing environment. In FY 2021-22, DCYF will continue to respond to COVID-19, innovate and leverage academic and mental health supports to grantees running the CHIs. DCYF will engage with evaluation consultants to document and capture learned lessons, achievements, gaps, and other outcomes to inform the upcoming 5-Year Grant Cycle.

## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: CHILDREN, YOUTH AND THEIR FAMILIES



TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Authorized | 60.92 | 61.92 | 1.00 | 62.07 | 0.15 |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | $(6.00)$ | $(6.77)$ | $(0.77)$ | $(7.00)$ | $(0.23)$ |
| Net Operating Positions | 54.92 | 55.15 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 . 0 7}$ | $(0.08)$ |

## Sources

| Property Taxes | $107,780,000$ | $110,280,000$ | $2,500,000$ | $115,080,000$ | $4,800,000$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Interest \& Investment Income | 80,000 | 80,000 |  | 80,000 |  |
| Intergovernmental: Federal | $1,592,175$ | $1,111,299$ | $(480,876)$ | $1,111,000$ | $(299)$ |
| Intergovernmental: State | $3,139,178$ | $11,422,901$ | $8,283,723$ | $5,395,617$ | $(6,027,284)$ |
| Other Revenues | $1,400,222$ | 400,000 | $(1,000,222)$ | 400,000 |  |
| Expenditure Recovery | $3,467,104$ | $3,806,554$ | 339,450 | $3,806,554$ |  |
| Transfers In | $94,425,000$ | $83,990,000$ | $(10,435,000)$ | $97,045,000$ | $13,055,000$ |
| IntraFund Transfers In | $5,060,000$ | $6,058,329$ | 998,329 | $6,788,329$ | 730,000 |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | $3,385,862$ | $18,705,603$ | $15,319,741$ | $5,760,456$ | $(12,945,147)$ |
| Transfer Adjustment-Source | $(5,060,000)$ | $(5,580,000)$ | $(520,000)$ | $(6,310,000)$ | $(730,000)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Fund Support | $\mathbf{7 0 , 0 8 5 , 9 5 8}$ | $83,752,835$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 6 6 6 , 8 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 , 1 1 6 , 7 5 1}$ | $(15,636,084)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sources Total |  |  |  |  |  |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | 6,547,757 | 7,116,310 | 568,553 | 7,359,600 | 243,290 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 3,157,084 | 3,281,836 | 124,752 | 3,314,715 | 32,879 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 8,585,115 | 7,845,502 | $(739,613)$ | 6,120,843 | $(1,724,659)$ |
| City Grant Program | 229,058,280 | 240,283,216 | 11,224,936 | 241,037,438 | 754,222 |
| Intrafund Transfers Out | 5,060,000 | 5,580,000 | 520,000 | 6,310,000 | 730,000 |
| Materials \& Supplies | 297,649 | 352,649 | 55,000 | 352,649 |  |
| Programmatic Projects |  | 16,089,909 | 16,089,909 | 55,370 | $(16,034,539)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | 37,709,614 | 39,058,099 | 1,348,485 | 39,033,092 | $(25,007)$ |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses | (5,060,000) | (5,580,000) | $(520,000)$ | $(6,310,000)$ | $(730,000)$ |
| Uses Total | 285,355,499 | 314,027,521 | 28,672,022 | 297,273,707 | $(16,753,814)$ |
| Uses - Division Description |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHF Children; Youth \& Families | 285,355,499 | 314,027,521 | 28,672,022 | 297,273,707 | $(16,753,814)$ |
| Uses by Division Total | 285,355,499 | 314,027,521 | 28,672,022 | 297,273,707 | $(16,753,814)$ |

## City Administrator's Office

## MISSION

City Administrator's Office (ADM) comprises over 25 departments and programs that provide a broad range of services to other City departments and the public. Examples of the Agency's functions include public safety, internal services, civic engagement, capital planning, asset management, code enforcement, disaster mitigation, tourism promotion, and economic development.

## SERVICES

City Administrator's Office provides services through the following divisions:
311 CUSTOMER SERVICE CENTER (311) provides general information and creates trackable service requests over the phone, via mobile app, and online 24 hours a day to San Francisco residents, visitors, and businesses.

ANIMAL CARE AND CONTROL is responsible for the City's stray, injured, abandoned, and mistreated animals.

OFFICE OF CANNABIS coordinates with other city departments to review cannabis business license applications and ensure compliance with all applicable laws and regulations.

CIVIC ENGAGEMENT AND IMMIGRANT AFFAIRS (OCEIA) promotes civic participation and inclusive policies that improve the lives of San Francisco's residents, especially underserved and vulnerable immigrant communities

COMMUNITY CHALLENGE GRANT PROGRAM provides matching grants to local residents, businesses, nonprofits, and other community groups to make physical improvements to their neighborhoods.
COMMITTEE ON INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY is the City's governing body for technology, advising the Mayor and Board of Supervisors, and guiding the City's technology policy.

Services (continued on next page)
BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $471,084,939$ | $552,356,529$ | $81,271,590$ | $548,807,090$ | $(3,549,439)$ |
| Total FTE | 913 | 941 | 28 | 956 | 15 |

CONTRACT MONITORING DIVSION ensures all city contracts comply with the Equal Benefits Ordinance and Local Business Enterprise and Non-Discrimination in Contracting Ordinance.
CONVENTION FACILITIES operates the Moscone Center and coordinates with the San Francisco Travel Association to promote San Francisco as a tourist and convention destination.
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE issues marriage licenses and municipal identification cards, performs civil ceremonies, and registers, certifies, and maintains records for domestic partnerships, notary publics, vital records, and other forms.

DIGITAL SERVICES PROGRAM implements the Digital Services Strategy, which helps streamline city services to be more consumer-focused, seamless, and intuitive for residents and visitors.
ENTERTAINMENT COMMISSION promotes entertainment and nightlife as part of the City's culture and economy, provides permits to the entertainment industry, and helps coordinate city services for major events.
FLEET MANAGEMENT provides procurement, maintenance, and fueling services for city vehicles.
GRANTS FOR THE ARTS provides funding for the arts in San Francisco, supporting the full spectrum of arts and cultural nonprofit organizations in the City.

OFFICE OF LABOR STANDARDS ENFORCEMENT (OLSE) enforces labor laws adopted by local voters and the Board of Supervisors.

MAYOR'S OFFICE ON DISABILITY (MOD) ensures that all programs, activities, services, and benefits operated or funded by the City are fully accessible to people with disabilities.
MEDICAL EXAMINER is mandated by state law to investigate sudden, unexpected, suspicious, and violent deaths in the City. The office also conducts drug and poison analysis.

PURCHASING (OFFICE OF CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION) supports the procurement of the material, equipment, and services essential to government operations.

REAL ESTATE DIVISION provides facilities maintenance, property management, consulting, and transaction services for the City's real estate portfolio.
REPROMAIL provides design, print, and mail services for all city departments.
RISK MANAGEMENT protects the City's financial interests through strategic risk analysis, facilitation of risk transfer, and creation and maintenance of collaborative risk management culture within all city departments.

OFFICE OF RESILIENCE AND CAPITAL PLANNING authors the biennially updated 10-year capital expenditure plan, develops annual capital budgets, staffs the Capital Planning Committee and Lifelines Council, and implements disaster mitigation programs, especially related to earthquake safety and sea-level rise.

TREASURE ISLAND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (TIDA) manages the redevelopment of former Naval Station Treasure Island, and provides municipal services during the interim reuse of the Island.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Complete a multi-year effort to open new facilities that will improve the resilience and responsiveness of core City services, including seismically safe replacements for the Hall of Justice and Animal Care and Control;
- Provide support for the City's COVID-19 response and recovery efforts, including the coordination of Community Education and Response Teams and the development and management of the reopening plan for government services;
- Strengthen the City's resilience and recovery planning, including disaster mitigation through research, establishing technical standards, and earthquake retrofitting;
- Continue development of Treasure Island, ultimately providing up to 8,000 housing units, new commercial and retail space, and over 300 acres of parklands, wetlands, recreational sites, trails, and native habitat on the island by 2030; and
- Continue to deepen support of vulnerable populations through direct financial support, policy enforcement, process change, and prioritize equity considerations when providing public dollars or services.

PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  |  |  |  | FY21 |  | FY23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Citywide Planning |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average occupancy rate in City-owned buildings managed by Real Estate | 100\% | 99.1\% | 99\% | 100\% | 99\% | 97\% |
| Average per sq ft cost of City-operated buildings compared to listing rates in Civic Center | 39\% | 42.6\% | 50\% | 70\% | 50.0\% | 50\% |
| Average per sq ft cost of office space lease portfolio compared to market rates | 54\% | 47.2\% | 55.0\% | 85\% | 60.0\% | 60\% |
| Percentage of non-patrol, light duty fleet that uses green technologies | 37.5\% | 38.1\% | 39\% | 40\% | 40.0\% | 40.0\% |
| Economic and Community Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of attendees at programs and events supported by Grants For The Arts funding | 10,860,341 | 25,490,300 | 10.100.000 | 11,000,000 | 11,000,000 | 11,000,000 |
| Percentage of client post-convention survey ratings in the above average or higher category | 83\% | 83\% | N/A | 80\% | 80\% | 80.0\% |
| Equity and Inclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Minimum Dollars Awarded to Local Business Enterprise and Non-Discrimination in Contracting Certified Firms (LBE, PUC-LBE, NPE, and SBA) | \$298,931,136 | \$246,549,275 | \$90,000,000 | \$200,000,000 | \$200,000,000 | 200,000,000 |
| Total Number of Local Business Enterprise and Non-Discrimination in Contracting Certified Firms (LBE, PUC-LBE, NPE, and SBA) | 1,367 | 1,409 | 1,300 | 1,400 | 1,400 | 1,400 |
| Total number of awarded active CCSF contracts monitored by Contract Monitoring Division | 1,400 | 1,347 | 1450 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| Total Number of Equal Benefits Ordinance (12B) Compliant CCSF Vendors | 22,000 | 22,417 | 23,000 | 23,000 | 23,000 | 23,000 |
| Excellent Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average annual maintenance cost per non-patrol, light duty vehicle | \$1,435 | \$1,591.09 | \$1,650 | \$1,700 | \$1,750 | \$1,850.00 |
| Percentage of 311 calls answered in 60 seconds | 85.38\% | 87.6\% | 85\% | 70\% | 70.0\% | 70.0\% |
| Percentage of 311 calls handled without a transfer | 91.79\% | 91.8\% | 85\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90.0\% |
| Percentage of Automated 311 Service Requests | 65.8\% | 70.4\% | 72\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60.0\% |
| Percentage of County Clerk customers assisted within ten minutes from the time they are ready to be served | 87.1\% | 67.0\% | N/A | 90\% | 88\% | 88.0\% |
| Percentage of live cat and dog releases | 92\% | 93.0\% | 90\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% |
| Percentage of repairs of non-patrol, light duty passenger vehicles performed in less than 3 days | 76\% | 81.0\% | 78.0\% | 79\% | 80\% | 80.0\% |
| Percentage of requests for plan reviews fulfilled within twenty business days by disability access | 80.2\% | 84.0\% | 85.0\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85.0\% |
| Percentage of requests for site reviews fulfilled within seven business days by disability access | 92.9\% | 99.0\% | 100.0\% | 95\% | 95\% | 95.0\% |
| Quality assurance percentage score for 311 Customer Service Center | 96.1\% | 96.0\% | 92.0\% | 92\% | 92\% | 92.0\% |
| Safety and Resilience |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Animal Welfare field service emergency response time (in minutes) | 20.41 | $19.85$ <br> minutes | 20 | 23 | 23 | 23 |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year FY 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 552.4$ million for the City Administrator's Office is $\$ 81.3$ million, or 17.3 percent, higher than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 471.1$ million. This increase is largely due to capital projects appropriations and new personnel cost for the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner, Office of Contract Administration, and other programs in City Administrator's Office.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 548.8$ million for the City Administrator's Office is relatively flat compared to the FY 2021-22 proposed budget of \$552.4 million.

## Aiding the City's COVID-19 Response

The City Administrator's Office (ADM) will continue to support COVID-19 response efforts through logistics, procurement, outreach, and recovery stimulus programs, including:

- Providing facilities management for the mass vaccination site at the Moscone Convention Center;
- Centralizing the purchase of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) for City employees and vulnerable residents through the Office of Contract Administration;
- Tracking and reporting of citywide COVID-19 transmission and vaccination data through DataSF;
- Maintaining SF.GOV platforms for services and information, including CityTestSF appointments and vaccine eligibility notifications through Digital Services;
- Providing informational resources via 311's phone, mobile app, and online tools;
- Staffing the Economic Recovery Task Force's efforts to revive local businesses and employment, mitigate the economic hardships already affecting the most vulnerable San Franciscans, and build a resilient and equitable future.

As the City reopens, ADM will continue to play a role in economic recovery. For example, the Office of Resiliency and Capital Planning will be
overseeing $\$ 349.2$ million in capital investments over the next two years. Including projects like road repair, maintenance, roads, parks, and life safety facilities. In addition, $\$ 4.6$ million in rental incentives will make San Francisco competitive in retaining and attracting conventions at the Moscone Convention Center.

## Meeting Performance Goals

The Office of the Chief Medical Examiner (OCME) has experienced a significant increase in cases, due mainly to the opioid epidemic. Over the last two fiscal years, cases increased 33 percent, and are expected to increase by another 15 percent in the current fiscal year. Medical, toxicology, and investigative staffing are being enhanced in the Mayor's proposed budget to meet National Association of Medical Examiners' industry standards on workload, responsiveness, and quality control.

Similarly, in order to adequately address the increasing and increasingly complex workload of the Office of Contract Administration, additional positions are being funded to aid citywide purchasing and reporting. These positions will help promote transparency and adherence to the City's purchasing rules as part of a wider effort to increase public integrity.


[^11]To support the investments to divert non-emergency calls away from law enforcement, the Mayor's proposed budget includes new resources to augment staff at the 311 Customer Service Center. This additional staffing will provide the additional capacity needed to handle a higher call volume and dispatch appropriate response teams.

Finally, in order to implement November 2020's Proposition B, which amended the City Charter to reorganize the Department of Public Works into two commission-led departments, ADM will be adding resources to convene and facilitate the new commissions, study and recommend a new Department structure, and ensure an efficient and effective transition.

## Addressing Inequity

A number of ADM programs will aid small businesses and workers struggling to make ends meet while also addressing racial equity. Grants for the Arts will provide increased funding to artists and art organizations despite a loss of Hotel Tax revenue. The Office of Cannabis will award $\$ 8.3$ million in grants to over 60 small businesses in communities hit hardest by the war on drugs and the Contract Monitoring Division will encourage local small business development through the Contractor Development Program.

- San Francisco COVID-19 Data and Reports
- COVID-19Vaccinations
- Key Health Indicators on Containing COVID-19
- Maps of COVID-19 Cases


[^12]

## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: CITY ADMINISTRATOR'S OFFICE



TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 0 2 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | 939.60 | 969.97 | 30.37 | 985.86 | 15.89 |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | $(26.54)$ | $(28.54)$ | $(2.00)$ | $(30.00)$ | $(1.46)$ |
| Net Operating Positions | 913.06 | 941.43 | 28.37 | $\mathbf{9 5 5 . 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 4 3}$ |

## Sources

| Property Taxes | 1,855,000 |  | $(1,855,000)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Business Taxes | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 |  | 2,500,000 |  |
| Other Local Taxes | 13,203,000 | 5,065,200 | $(8,137,800)$ | 14,639,000 | 9,573,800 |
| Licenses, Permits, \& Franchises | 1,922,000 | 2,389,445 | 467,445 | 2,498,276 | 108,831 |
| Fines, Forfeiture, \& Penalties | 1,725,000 | 525,000 | $(1,200,000)$ | 525,000 |  |
| Rents \& Concessions | 29,394,182 | 39,496,432 | 10,102,250 | 50,140,560 | 10,644,128 |
| Intergovernmental: State | 625,799 |  | $(625,799)$ |  |  |
| Intergovernmental: Other | 826,076 | 901,266 | 75,190 | 902,047 | 781 |
| Charges for Services | 11,220,355 | 10,687,291 | $(533,064)$ | 22,598,733 | 11,911,442 |
| Other Revenues | 20,525,885 | 17,767,779 | $(2,758,106)$ | 20,272,125 | 2,504,346 |
| Other Financing Sources |  | 33,943,000 | 33,943,000 |  | $(33,943,000)$ |
| Expenditure Recovery | 262,199,199 | 290,525,963 | 28,326,764 | 301,383,896 | 10,857,933 |
| Transfers In | 36,256,653 | 69,973,035 | 33,716,382 | 48,475,237 | $(21,497,798)$ |
| IntraFund Transfers In | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |  | 1,000,000 |  |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | 25,739,055 | 2,706,363 | $(23,032,692)$ |  | $(2,706,363)$ |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | 1,050,000 |  | $(1,050,000)$ |  |  |
| Transfer Adjustment-Source | $(1,000,000)$ | $(1,000,000)$ |  | $(1,000,000)$ |  |
| General Fund Support | 62,042,735 | 75,875,755 | 13,833,020 | 84,872,216 | 8,996,461 |
| Sources Total | 471,084,939 | 552,356,529 | 81,271,590 | 548,807,090 | $(3,549,439)$ |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | 98,946,833 | 109,757,091 | 10,810,258 | 115,121,549 | 5,364,458 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 45,871,352 | 48,051,765 | 2,180,413 | 49,462,442 | 1,410,677 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 156,699,448 | 176,451,984 | 19,752,536 | 189,546,135 | 13,094,151 |
| City Grant Program | 27,248,940 | 27,141,873 | $(107,067)$ | 26,634,115 | $(507,758)$ |
| Capital Outlay | 7,962,525 | 30,256,713 | 22,294,188 | 4,392,183 | $(25,864,530)$ |
| Debt Service | 39,058,476 | 63,911,479 | 24,853,003 | 62,866,287 | $(1,045,192)$ |
| Intrafund Transfers Out | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |  | 1,000,000 |  |
| Materials \& Supplies | 14,981,122 | 15,557,689 | 576,567 | 15,561,448 | 3,759 |
| Overhead and Allocations | 6,624,998 | 7,073,277 | 448,279 | 7,073,277 |  |
| Programmatic Projects | 6,649,698 | 512,195 | $(6,137,503)$ | 533,285 | 21,090 |
| Services Of Other Depts | 66,791,547 | 73,642,463 | 6,850,916 | 77,616,369 | 3,973,906 |
| Transfers Out | 250,000 |  | $(250,000)$ |  |  |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(1,000,000)$ | $(1,000,000)$ |  | $(1,000,000)$ |  |
| Uses Total | 471,084,939 | 552,356,529 | 81,271,590 | 548,807,090 | $(3,549,439)$ |

Uses - Division Description

| ADM Administration | $19,122,187$ | $19,387,853$ | 265,666 | $19,831,959$ | 444,106 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ADM Animal Care And Control | $8,484,264$ | $9,528,984$ | $1,044,720$ | $9,712,599$ | 183,615 |
| ADM City Administrator Prog | $93,246,299$ | $119,069,168$ | $25,822,869$ | $\mathbf{1 0 6 , 4 8 4 , 9 6 0}$ | $(12,584,208)$ |
| ADM Community Invest-Infrastr |  | 10 | 10 | $(10)$ |  |
| ADM Convention Facilities Mgmt | $78,103,224$ | $88,547,791$ | $10,444,567$ | $96,919,182$ | $8,371,391$ |
| ADM Entertainment Commission | $1,206,978$ | $1,324,923$ | 117,945 | $1,360,609$ | 35,686 |
| ADM Internal Services | $259,893,530$ | $302,281,555$ | $42,388,025$ | $301,603,616$ | $(677,939)$ |
| ADM Medical Examiner | $11,028,457$ | $12,216,245$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 8 7 , 7 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 8 9 4 , 1 6 5}$ | 677,920 |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{4 7 1 , 0 8 4 , 9 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 2 , 3 5 6 , 5 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 , 2 7 1 , 5 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 8 , 8 0 7 , 0 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 , 5 4 9 , 4 3 9 )}$ |

## City Administrator's Office-Public Works <br> MISSION

The City Administrator's Office-San Francisco Public Works (DPW) cares for and builds the City's assets for the people of San Francisco.

## SERVICES

San Francisco Public Works provides services through the following program areas:
Building Design and Construction: Provides planning, architecture, building management, project management, and other related services for the development of new and the modernization of existing buildings, facilities, and public landscapes.

Infrastructure Design and Construction: Provides engineering and construction management services through planning, design, and project management to produce new infrastructure that is sustainable and highly functional.
Street-Use and Mapping: Ensures a safe, accessible, and aesthetically pleasing public right-of-way, maintains the official City maps, issues public right-of way-permits, and inspects City streets.

Building Repair: Provides construction, repair, remodeling, and management services for City-owned facilities and provides emergency-repair services to ensure public safety operations are always functional.

Urban Forestry: Provides landscaping and maintenance of medians, tree planting and establishment, maintenance of City-owned trees, and operation of the City's cement shop.

Street Environmental Services: Keeps the City's streets, sidewalks, and other public spaces clean with mechanical and manual sweeping, graffiti abatement, power washing, and encampment cleanups.

Street and Sewer Repair: Oversees paving and street repair work, sewer repair, patch-paving, and pothole fixes. Repairs sidewalks and accessible curb ramps.

General Administration: Provides finance, accounting and budget preparation, capital planning and grant applications, contract administration, performance management, and information technology services.

BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |  | 2022-23 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $351,913,006$ | $352,636,030$ | 723,024 | $357,344,929$ | $4,708,899$ |
| Total FTE | 1,063 | 1,053 | $(10)$ | 1,049 | $(4)$ |

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Reduce time to hire, strengthen recruitment recruitment and on-boarding processes for employees, and engage employees by improving low scoring areas from the employee engagement survey;
- Create a continuous improvement program, so employees can suggest and make changes to the Department's key services and work processes;
- Improve project delivery by adopting key metrics, gather, and incorporate feedback from customers and clients;
- Ensure long term maintenance, safety, design, and activation of public spaces;
- Add Government Alliance on Race and Equity trainings, continue the internal Racial Equity Working Group, and expand internal communication with staff to understand how historical and structural racism has impacted City government;
- Develop a Racial Equity Action Plan and focus on internal issues, such as hiring, promotional opportunities, discipline, and fostering a culture of inclusion and belonging; and
- Expand grant programs for entry-level positions and workforce development training.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  | FY19 | FY2O |  |  |  | FY23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Be the Best Place to Work |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lost Workday Rate (due to injury or illness) | 2.3 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.4 |
| Preventable motor vehicle accident rate per 100 vehicles in Public Works fleet | 8.9 | 8.4 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 7.7 | 7.3 |
| Drive Innovation \& Exceptional Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of all approvals for property subdivisions and condominium conversions issued within 50 days | 76\% | 85\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% |
| Percentage of construction contracts advertised wherein the lowest bid received is within a range of $80 \%$ to $110 \%$ of the Architect-Engineer cost estimate | 57.6\% | 51.9\% | 80\% | 80\% | 80.0\% | 80\% |
| Percentage of decisions rendered on street use permit requests within established time frames | 92\% | 90\% | 95\% | 95\% | 95.0\% | 95\% |
| Percentage of graffiti requests abated within 48 hours (public property) | 94.833\% | 86\% | 95\% | 95\% | 95.0\% | 95\% |
| Percentage of graffiti requests on private property inspected within three days | 99.5\% | 100\% | 95\% | 95\% | 95.0\% | 95\% |
| Percentage of pothole service requests responded to within 72 hours | 94.667\% | 93\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90.0\% | 90\% |
| Percentage of street cleaning requests abated within 48 hours | 80.333\% | 91\% | 95\% | 95\% | 95.0\% | 95\% |
| Percentage of street use complaints responded to within service level agreement time frames | 97\% | 92\% | 95\% | 95\% | 95.0\% | 95\% |
| Improve \& Inspire Stewardship of Public Spaces |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of blocks of City streets paved or preserved | 664 | 438 | 400 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Number of curb miles mechanically swept | 163,790 | 163,902 | 163902 | 163902 | 163902 | 163,902 |
| Number of pothole service orders received | 2504 | 1782 | 1550 | 2325 | 1630 | 1720 |
| Number of street trees planted by Public Works | 1327 | 1213 | 1200 | 6000 | 6000 | 2900 |
| Pavement Condition Index (PCl) | 74 | 74 | 75 | 75 | TBD | TBD |
| Percent of San Franciscans who rate the cleanliness of their neighborhood streets and sidewalks as good or very good (Biennial City Survey) | 43.8\% | N/A | N/A | 51\% | N/A | N/A |
| Percent of San Franciscans who rate the condition of their neighborhood sidewalk pavement and curb ramps as good or very good (Biennial City Survey) | 57.8\% | N/A | N/A | 56\% | N/A | N/A |
| Percentage of buildable locations with curb ramps in good condition |  | 69.7\% | 70.9\% | 68.5\% | 71.0\% | 71\% |
| Volume of graffiti service orders received (private) | 14,443 | 11460 | 15000 | 15,000 | 15000 | 15000 |
| Volume of graffiti service orders received (public) | 17,129 | 24681 | 23955 | 39928 | 25498 | 27040 |
| Volume of street cleaning requests | 136,392 | 139340 | 147288 | 157188 | 155803 | 164811 |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 352.6$ million for the Department of Public Works is $\$ 0.7$ million, or 0.2 percent, higher than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 351.9$ million. This increase is primarily due to additional street cleaning funding and one-time capital project appropriations.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 357.3$ million is $\$ 4.7$ million, or 1.3 percent higher than the FY 2021-22 proposed budget. The increase is primarily related to the cost of living adjustments for salaries and benefits.

## Supporting Small Business and Economic Recovery

Street and sidewalk cleanliness are critical to the environmental quality, health, safety, and livability of San Francisco. Public Works dispatches litter patrols for small items of trash, packer trucks for large items, and limited targeted steam cleaning services. The Mayor's proposed budget also includes resources to ensure that key commercial corridors, including Civic Center/Tenderloin, Chinatown, Castro, Mission, and Bayview would be serviced weekly (four times a month).

In addition, as part of San Francisco's COVID-19 Economic Recovery Task Force's Shared Spaces program, the Bureau of Street-use and Mapping has
enabled businesses to conditionally use sidewalk and parking lane spaces within the public right-ofway to resume business when indoor dining and personal services were temporarily prohibited.

## Prioritizing Programs with Demonstrated Outcomes and Centered around Equity

DPW programs, including curb ramps, tree planting and street resurfacing, have developed prioritization criteria that incorporate geographic equity to extend improvements to all of San Francisco's neighborhoods. The curb ramp program, in coordination with the Mayor's Office on Disability, prioritizes locations most requested by people with disabilities; the tree planting program focuses on areas where there is lower tree canopy cover, which usually corresponds with low-income and disadvantaged communities; and the street resurfacing program is prioritized based on a specific street's regionally tracked Pavement Condition Index score.

## Implementing Homelessness and Mental Health Programming

In partnership with the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, DPW has designed and developed nine Navigation Centers to house San Francisco's vulnerable unhoused

> STREET CLEANING SERVICE REQUESTS BY SUBCATEGORY. Street cleaning service request volume has increased every year since FY 2013-14. There was a 6.5 percent increase in street cleaning serivce requests from FY 2018-19 to FY 2019-20, with requests for litter patrol being the most common.The data provided intentionally excludes encampment service orders as a method for normalizing the annual comparison trends.

residents that provide access to safe shelter, while on-site case managers work to connect clients to public benefits, health services and permanent housing. Navigation Centers and existing temporary shelters have significantly expanded the Mayor's priority to bring unhoused residents indoors and on path to a safer, healthier and more stable living situation.

## Continuing to Respond to COVID-19

Over the course of the COVID-19 pandemic, DPW has continued to be a service provider of choice for partner City departments, while expanding services to meet the changing needs of the City
during this unprecedented moment in history. The Building Design and Construction division scouted locations and drew up plans for safe places to temporarily house unsheltered residents; the Bureau of Street and Sewer Repair graded parking lots to be used as COVID-19 testing and vaccine sites; staff from the Bureau of Street-use and Mapping were instrumental in the Shared Spaces program to help small businesses operate safely in the public right of way; and Central Operations greatly expanded the Pit Stop program that provides clean and safe public toilets, sinks, used needle receptacles and dog waste stations in San Francisco's most impacted neighborhoods.

## BLOCKS RESURFACED ANNUALLY. >

San Francisco's streets are critical infrastructure, since 2014, over 600 blocks have been resurfaced on average each year.


## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: CITY ADMINISTRATOR'S OFFICE - PUBLIC WORKS



## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 0 2 1}$ | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 0 2 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | $1,688.07$ | $1,678.51$ | $(9.56)$ | $1,674.70$ |  |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | $(625.00)$ | $(626.00)$ | $(1.00)$ | $(626.00)$ |  |
| Net Operating Positions | $1,063.07$ | $1,052.51$ | $(10.56)$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 4 8 . 7 0}$ | $(3.81)$ |

## Sources

| Licenses, Permits, \& Franchises | 295,500 | 133,000 | $(162,500)$ | 523,000 | 390,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest \& Investment Income | 1,622,806 | 41,661 | $(1,581,145)$ | 41,661 |  |
| Intergovernmental: Federal | 8,000,000 |  | $(8,000,000)$ |  |  |
| Intergovernmental: State | 53,835,142 | 56,065,142 | 2,230,000 | 57,565,142 | 1,500,000 |
| Charges for Services | 28,796,398 | 28,710,780 | $(85,618)$ | 28,886,806 | 176,026 |
| Other Revenues | $(6,600,000)$ | 2,524,372 | 9,124,372 | 6,311,000 | 3,786,628 |
| Other Financing Sources |  | 9,000,000 | 9,000,000 |  | (9,000,000) |
| Expenditure Recovery | 154,609,742 | 152,619,048 | $(1,990,694)$ | 160,230,751 | 7,611,703 |
| IntraFund Transfers In | 3,115,697 | 2,471,714 | $(643,983)$ | 2,902,304 | 430,590 |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | 13,639,997 | 9,833,890 | $(3,806,107)$ | 7,189,240 | $(2,644,650)$ |
| Transfer Adjustment-Source | $(922,081)$ | $(2,471,714)$ | $(1,549,633)$ | (2,902,304) | $(430,590)$ |
| General Fund Support | 95,519,805 | 93,708,137 | $(1,811,668)$ | 96,597,329 | 2,889,192 |
| Sources Total | 351,913,006 | 352,636,030 | 723,024 | 357,344,929 | 4,708,899 |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | 130,518,767 | 137,825,077 | 7,306,310 | 142,024,294 | 4,199,217 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 52,723,171 | 52,567,449 | $(155,722)$ | 53,743,715 | 1,176,266 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 19,426,490 | 19,649,530 | 223,040 | 23,547,115 | 3,897,585 |
| City Grant Program | 25,229,902 | 8,388,660 | $(16,841,242)$ | 8,388,660 |  |
| Capital Outlay | 48,930,488 | 63,063,450 | 14,132,962 | 56,532,665 | $(6,530,785)$ |
| Facilities Maintenance | 617,110 | 790,466 | 173,356 | 514,989 | $(275,477)$ |
| Intrafund Transfers Out | 922,081 | 2,471,714 | 1,549,633 | 2,902,304 | 430,590 |
| Materials \& Supplies | 6,930,555 | 5,732,858 | $(1,197,697)$ | 5,748,058 | 15,200 |
| Overhead and Allocations | 117,819 | 7 | $(117,812)$ | 3 | (4) |
| Programmatic Projects | 12,196,118 | 9,260,183 | $(2,935,935)$ | 9,342,732 | 82,549 |
| Services Of Other Depts | 46,060,206 | 48,373,890 | 2,313,684 | 49,673,385 | 1,299,495 |
| Transfers Out | 3,098,525 | 3,098,525 |  | 3,098,525 |  |
| Unappropriated Rev-Designated | 6,063,855 | 3,885,935 | (2,177,920) | 4,730,788 | 844,853 |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses | (922,081) | $(2,471,714)$ | $(1,549,633)$ | $(2,902,304)$ | $(430,590)$ |
| Uses Total | 351,913,006 | 352,636,030 | 723,024 | 357,344,929 | 4,708,899 |

Uses - Division Description

| DPW Administration | $(17,007,794)$ | $(19,332,715)$ | $(2,324,921)$ | $(20,434,681)$ | $(1,101,966)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DPW Buildings | $44,697,054$ | $27,677,454$ | $(17,019,600)$ | $37,997,008$ | $10,319,554$ |
| DPW Infrastructure | $109,730,974$ | $141,265,663$ | $31,534,689$ | $131,199,652$ | $(10,066,011)$ |
| DPW Operations | $214,492,772$ | $203,025,628$ | $(11,467,144)$ | $208,582,950$ | $5,557,322$ |
|  | $351,913,006$ | $\mathbf{3 5 2 , 6 3 6 , 0 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 3 , 0 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 7 , 3 4 4 , 9 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 7 0 8 , 8 9 9}$ |

## City Administrator's Office-Technology <br> MISSION

The Department of Technology is transforming City technology infrastructure, platforms and applications through the delivery of secure, human-centric solutions that foster innovation and promote public safety, digital equity and new government business services.

## SERVICES

The Department of Technology provides comprehensive IT services under the following divisions:
ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE delivers cost-effective IT Investment through strategic procurement, enterprise contract management, accounting and budgeting, and workforce planning.

BUSINESS PRODUCTIVITY AND PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS provides fully operational digital workplaces and support services that enable employees to work collaboratively and securely across virtual and in-office environments. Robust community engagement is delivered with award-winning programming and virtual meeting services from SFGovTV.
CYBERSECURITY protects technology and data with security applications, monitoring, centralized incident and risk management, disaster preparedness, and cyber awareness education for City business systems and infrastructure.
DATA ARCHITECTURE AND MANAGEMENT integrates business data and systems, offers a comprehensive portfolio of enterprise applications to automate paper-based processes, and promotes transparent governance and data-driven government.
INFRASTRUCTURE AND OPERATIONS builds, operates, and maintains modern wired and wireless networks across the City and ensures data center and mission critical communications (data, voice, video) infrastructure continue to be resilient, high-performance, reliable, and secure. City infrastructure additionally delivers municipal broadband and Internet services to underserved communities in the City.

INNOVATION AND TECHNOLOGY PARTNERSHIPS drives a culture of curiosity and innovation, convening City agencies and technology industry partners to collaboratively improve City services and solve pressing challenges facing San Francisco residents.

BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $131,472,645$ | $153,121,531$ | $21,648,886$ | $144,845,052$ | $(8,276,479)$ |
| Total FTE | 224 | 230 | 6 | 230 | 0 |

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Deliver a digital workplace to enable the future of work through enterprise applications, modern IT infrastructure, smart office enablement, and internal and external collaboration technologies for on-premise and remote city workers;
- Enhance cybersecurity efforts to secure networks and data, and remain vigilant against cyber threats;
- Leverage enterprise business systems to accelerate the transition and transformation to paperless, digital business processes and deliver quick system development, data-sharing capabilities, and enterprise analytics;
- Expand fiber connectivity to support community access to the internet, student distance learning, telemedicine, and municipal operations; and
- Develop a Racial Equity Action Plan that aligns with the Department's Strategic Plan and implement insightful metrics to create racial equity within the workplace.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  | FY19 |  | FY21 |  | FY22 | FY23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Increase organizational performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of projects completed on time, on budget and to specification within Fiscal Year | N/A | 70.00\% | 70.00\% | 85\% | 70.00\% | 85\% |
| Invest in IT infrastructure and communications |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of Data Center Uptime | N/A | 99.99\% | 99.97\% | 99.99\% | 99.90\% | 99.90\% |
| Percent of E-mail System Uptime | N/A | 99.97\% | 99.97 | 99.99\% | 99.99\% | 99.99\% |
| Percent of Fiber Infrastructure Uptime | N/A | 99.99\% | 99.99\% | 99.5\% | 99.95\% | 99.95\% |
| Percent of Network Services Uptime | N/A | 99.95\% | 99.95\% | 99.99\% | 99.99\% | 99.99\% |
| Strengthen shared services delivery |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of SFGOVTV Uptime | N/A | 99.98\% | 99.00\% | 99\% | 99.00\% | 99.00\% |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 153.1$ million for the Department of Technology is $\$ 21.7$ million, or 16.5 percent, higher than the FY 202021 budget of 131.5 million. The increase is primarily related to the increase in software contract costs.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of 144.9 million for the Department of Technology is $\$ 8.3$ million, or 5.4 percent, lower than the FY 2021-22 proposed budget. This is driven by cost savings from retiring the City's old mainframe as well as right sizing contracts in the first budget year.

## Accelerating a Digital City

The Mayor's proposed budget includes resources to ensure that the Department can continue to modernize the City network, which will improve security, performance, reliability, and will significantly lower on-going operational costs while enabling new service such as Voice Over IP.

Additionally, the Mayor's proposed budget includes funding that will allow the Department to accelerate digital City initiatives by delivering enterprise-level business applications that can be shared which
speeds modernization of City services as well as scalable infrastructure capacity and multiple secure cloud services.

## Investing in JUSTIS

The Department's modernization efforts to decommission the legacy Justice Tracking Information System (JUSTIS) mainframe will be finalized this year, propelling the Data Center of Excellence for JUSTIS reports, analytics, dashboards, and predictive analysis for data driven decision making to the next stage.

## Protecting the City Against Cyber Attacks

The Mayor's proposed budget invests in cybersecurity applications, system monitoring, employee training and risk management practices to protect from and respond to a cyber-attack that could compromise data and/or disrupt city essential services.

## Consolidating Data Center Services Delivers Savings

The Department consolidated City data center services and saved $\$ 2.0$ million per year by moving to
a City-owned location that was designed with high speed connectivity, redundant operations, multilevel security. This on-premise, hybrid infrastructure connects to multiple cloud environments and capacity can be increased in multiple cost-effective ways to service City business needs into the future.

## Ready for the Unexpected: Public Safety Systems

The Department ensures mission critical systems for police, fire, ambulance and public works radios, fiber, and wiring systems are always at the ready, including radio towers, radios, municipal fiber, network infrastructure, inside wiring for phones and network tools for new buildings and relocations.

## Mayor's Racial Equity Initiative

The Department continues its work to close gaps in equitable community access to Internet by installing, operating and maintaining municipal broadband fiber. This work supported test sites, vaccination sites, distance and learning during the COVID-19 pandemic.


[^13]The Department is committed to strengthening the City and its workforce with technology solutions that make access to services more equitable. Captured in its Racial Equity Plan, Department efforts are consistent with and informed by the Mayor's priorities and the Office of Racial Equity's initiatives to catalyze long-term, meaningful change.

## COVID-19 Recovery \& Response

In addition to serving in the COVID-19 Command Center, Department staff support recovery projects, including emergency connectivity, technology distribution, and virtual coverage for City leadership meetings. The Department also delivered connectivity during the COVID-19 emergency to support telemedicine, homeless residents, shelters, seniors and low-income residents, including to Community Learning Hubs supporting student distance learning. The Office of Innovation's spring cohort is focused on equitable economic recovery and SFGovTV continues to produce original content to highlight local, minority-owned business.


[^14]
## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: CITY ADMINISTRATOR'S OFFICE - TECHNOLOGY



## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2021-2022 \\ & \text { Proposed } \\ & \text { Budget } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | 261.75 | 263.52 | 1.77 | 263.29 | (0.23) |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | (38.00) | (33.23) | 4.77 | (33.00) | 0.23 |
| Net Operating Positions | 223.75 | 230.29 | 6.54 | 230.29 | 0.00 |
| Sources |  |  |  |  |  |
| Licenses, Permits, \& Franchises | 2,390,000 | 1,701,000 | $(689,000)$ | 1,566,000 | $(135,000)$ |
| Rents \& Concessions | 825,449 | 528,265 | $(297,184)$ | 529,104 | 839 |
| Intergovernmental: Other | 82,716 | 75,783 | $(6,933)$ | 76,201 | 418 |
| Other Financing Sources |  | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 |  | (2,500,000) |
| Expenditure Recovery | 121,268,330 | 127,637,970 | 6,369,640 | 132,527,173 | 4,889,203 |
| IntraFund Transfers In | 5,610,000 | 1,200,000 | (4,410,000) | 3,030,000 | 1,830,000 |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance |  | 4,077,188 | 4,077,188 | 2,979,419 | $(1,097,769)$ |
| Transfer Adjustment-Source | (5,610,000) | $(1,200,000)$ | 4,410,000 | $(3,030,000)$ | $(1,830,000)$ |
| General Fund Support | 6,906,150 | 16,601,325 | 9,695,175 | 7,167,155 | (9,434,170) |
| Sources Total | 131,472,645 | 153,121,531 | 21,648,886 | 144,845,052 | $(8,276,479)$ |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | 30,880,149 | 34,788,312 | 3,908,163 | 35,981,569 | 1,193,257 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 14,383,162 | 15,032,347 | 649,185 | 15,236,648 | 204,301 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 63,892,765 | 71,790,512 | 7,897,747 | 72,317,231 | 526,719 |
| Capital Outlay | 1,723,160 | 14,221,991 | 12,498,831 | 1,800,000 | $(12,421,991)$ |
| Intrafund Transfers Out | 5,610,000 | 1,200,000 | $(4,410,000)$ | 3,030,000 | 1,830,000 |
| Materials \& Supplies | 3,606,324 | 3,402,978 | $(203,346)$ | 3,402,978 |  |
| Overhead and Allocations | 787,075 | 918,286 | 131,211 | 918,286 |  |
| Programmatic Projects | 5,521,500 | 1,711,500 | (3,810,000) | 3,780,000 | 2,068,500 |
| Services Of Other Depts | 10,611,511 | 11,255,605 | 644,094 | 11,408,340 | 152,735 |
| Unappropriated Rev-Designated | 66,999 |  | $(66,999)$ |  |  |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(5,610,000)$ | $(1,200,000)$ | 4,410,000 | (3,030,000) | $(1,830,000)$ |
| Uses Total | 131,472,645 | 153,121,531 | 21,648,886 | 144,845,052 | $(8,276,479)$ |


| DT Administration | 49,772,234 | 58,159,224 | 8,386,990 | 59,731,967 | 1,572,743 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DT Capital And Equipment | 1,100,000 | 12,700,000 | 11,600,000 |  | (12,700,000) |
| DT Chief Technology Officer | $(51,501)$ |  | 51,501 |  |  |
| DT Communications | 6,821,709 | 6,975,504 | 153,795 | 7,191,343 | 215,839 |
| DT Cybersecurity | 7,930,995 | 8,748,444 | 817,449 | 8,923,091 | 174,647 |
| DT Enterprise Applications | 6,947,964 | 6,342,254 | (605,710) | 6,499,027 | 156,773 |
| DT Infrastructure \& Operations | 29,587,612 | 24,300,393 | $(5,287,219)$ | 26,014,484 | 1,714,091 |
| DT Innovation | 1,000,501 | 1,057,379 | 56,878 | 1,069,576 | 12,197 |
| DT JUSTIS | 2,691,679 | 3,001,199 | 309,520 | 3,053,968 | 52,769 |
| DT PMO |  | 3,244,328 | 3,244,328 | 3,319,168 | 74,840 |
| DT Public Safety | 12,958,236 | 13,991,023 | 1,032,787 | 14,269,212 | 278,189 |
| DT Rate Model DataSF | 1,346,852 |  | $(1,346,852)$ |  |  |
| DT Rate Model Usage |  | 4,902,544 | 4,902,544 | 4,938,821 | 36,277 |
| DT Support Services | 11,366,364 | 9,699,239 | $(1,667,125)$ | 9,834,395 | 135,156 |
| Uses by Division Total | 131,472,645 | 153,121,531 | 21,648,886 | 144,845,052 | $(8,276,479)$ |

## City Attorney <br> MISSION

The City Attorney's Office (CAT) provides legal services to the Mayor's Office, the Board of Supervisors, other elected City officials, and all the departments, boards, and commissions that comprise the government of the City and County of San Francisco.

## SERVICES

The City Attorney's Office provides services through the following divisions:
LITIGATION handles all claims and litigation filed against the City and prosecutes all civil actions in which the City is a plaintiff. Trial attorneys handle matters ranging from enforcement of the City's building codes to the civil prosecution of fraud, consumer protection, and affirmative litigation cases. The Office's litigators represent the City in approximately 7,500 actions annually, including labor, personal injury, property damage, child custody, welfare fraud, breach of contract, and workers' compensation matters.

GOVERNMENT acts as the City's general counsel. Attorneys in this division advise city officials and departments on a wide range of legal issues and draft all municipal ordinances and contracts. Their expertise covers every aspect of municipal government. Areas of specialization include: appellate advocacy; construction; contracts and intellectual property; environmental protection; finance and real estate; government ethics; health, education, and social services; labor relations; public utilities; taxation; telecommunications; and transportation.

NEIGHBORHOOD AND COMMUNITY SERVICES protects city residents, businesses, and neighborhoods by enforcing San Francisco's building, health, and public safety codes; prepares annual reviews; and makes available to the public a codification of city ordinances.

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES has responsibility over all operations of the department, including public policy, communications, finance, human resources, facilities, strategic relations, and technology infrastructure.

BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $93,814,525$ | $99,146,797$ | $5,332,272$ | $101,560,444$ | $2,413,647$ |
| Total FTE | 310 | 313 | 3 | 313 | 0 |

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Provide advice and counsel to city departments on legal issues related to the administration of local government, and draft legislation expressing the desired policies of the City;
- Represent the City in civil litigation of critical importance to the welfare of the citizens of San Francisco;
- Retain and recruit quality employees by developing strategies for succession planning, as well as professional development and leadership training;
- Improve outreach, recruiting, and hiring to increase the racial diversity of the Office's workforce by leveraging the internship program, partnerships with law schools and municipal organizations, and relationships with professional organizations to get a more diverse applicant pool, as well as encourage diverse City Attorney's Office staff to serve as ambassadors to their communities to increase interest in joining the Office; and
- Create a Racial Equity Taskforce to help further enhance office culture and retain a diverse workforce so that everyone's professional development is fulfilling and rewarding.

PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  | FY19 | FY20 |  | Y21 | 22 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Research and/or draft legislation, for all departments including Board of Supervisors, which expresses the desired policies of the City and County of San Francisco. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of pieces of legislation researched and/or drafted for all departments, including the Board of Supervisors | 480 | 387 | 385 | 480 | 390 | 450 |
| Represent the City and County of San Francisco in civil litigation of critical importance to the welfare of the citizens of San Francisco, and the administration of local government |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of tort litigation cases opened | 482 | 425 | 425 | 400 | 425 | 425 |
| Provide advice and counsel to the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and City departments and commissions, on legal issues of importance to the administration of local government |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of hours required to respond to requests for advice and counsel. | 179,000 | 201,508 | 200,000 | 160,000 | 200,000 | 180,000 |
| Maintain and increase specialized skills of staff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of staff members participating in training programs produced for staff | 283 | 133 | 135 | 200 | 150 | 200 |
| Limit the financial liability of the City and County of San Francisco through the efficient management of personal injury and property damage claims |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of claims settled | 34\% | 35\% | 35\% | 38\% | 38\% | 38\% |
| Number of claims opened | 2,990 | 2,613 | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,700 | 2,800 |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 99.1$ million for the City Attorney's Office is $\$ 5.3$ million, or 5.7 percent, higher than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 93.8$ million. This increase is largely due to increased need for attorney services.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 101.6$ million for the City Attorney is $\$ 2.4$ million, or 2.4 percent, higher than the FY 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 99.1$ million. This increase is largely due to increased need for attorney services.

The City Attorney's Office has four main budget priorities in the upcoming fiscal year.

## Supporting Small Businesses and Economic Recovery

The Office is deeply involved in economic recovery for the City, providing expertise and advice on
tenant relief, rent forgiveness, fee waivers, grants and other programs. More legislative assignments are anticipated in the upcoming fiscal year as the City works to provide additional flexibility for retail and other businesses. This work will continue and grow into the next fiscal year as everyone pulls together to jumpstart the City's economy as vaccines becomes more widely available.

## COVID-19 related Work

The City Attorney's Office has been involved in nearly every aspect of pandemic response. The need for COVID-19 related work is expected to remain through the next budget year, including an increase in vaccine-related matters. Already the office's work includes permits to set up testing systems; securing emergency protective equipment for frontline workers; procuring hotel rooms for
homeless residents and others; drafting emergency legislation; contact tracing; drafting all health orders and directives; analyzing and providing guidance on unprecedented legal questions; and securing federal reimbursement for pandemic expenses.

## Homelessness/Housing

A core focus of the City Attorney's Office is creating more affordable housing while simultaneously addressing the homeless crisis on San Francisco's streets. This focus will remain in the next budget cycle. Simultaneously, the Office is implementing near-term responses and long-term solutions. These include securing legal settlements that fund new housing, finding more housing opportunities for homeless residents through conversion of tourist hotels and other methods to use properties for housing, both temporarily and long-term. The office's work with the Planning Department and the Mayor's Office of Housing


[^15]and Community Development is intensifying on a myriad of housing issues - affordable and inclusionary housing, development of housing projects, and implementation of State housing laws as the City works to increase housing supply.

## Emphasis on Equity

CAT approach to the law, both inside the courtroom and out, is done with an eye towards equity. The Office advise City departments on racial equity, including regarding ordinances and ballot initiatives. The Office also have been deeply involved in sanctuary issues around immigration and fighting to ensure that the 2020 U.S. Census is fair and accurate, both of which disproportionally affect communities of color. As general counsel to the Human Rights Commission, the Office advises the Office of Racial Equity, including on concrete steps to increase equity in the work of every City agency to be implemented in the coming year.


ヘ REVENUE SOURCES. The majority of the City Attorney Office's revenue stems from department work order recoveries.

## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: CITY ATTORNEY



## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2021-2022 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | 314.80 | 317.91 | 3.11 | 315.08 | (2.83) |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | (5.00) | (5.00) |  | (2.00) | 3.00 |
| Net Operating Positions | 309.80 | 312.91 | 3.11 | 313.08 | 0.17 |

## Sources

| Fines, Forfeiture, \& Penalties | $5,141,717$ | $5,288,752$ | 147,035 | $5,410,804$ | 122,052 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intergovernmental: Other | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 |  |  |
| Expenditure Recovery | $62,967,693$ | $66,220,809$ | $3,253,116$ | $64,785,657$ | $(1,435,152)$ |
| General Fund Support |  |  |  |  |  |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $52,633,050$ | $56,983,959$ | $4,350,909$ | $58,996,635$ | $2,012,676$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $22,517,321$ | $22,704,764$ | 187,443 | $22,918,062$ | 213,298 |
| Non-Personnel Services | $14,204,637$ | $14,981,753$ | 777,116 | $15,126,916$ | 145,163 |
| Materials \& Supplies | 155,000 | 139,500 | $(15,500)$ | 155,000 | 15,500 |
| Services Of Other Depts | $4,304,517$ | $4,336,821$ | 32,304 | $4,363,831$ | 27,010 |
|  | $\mathbf{9 3 , 8 1 4 , 5 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 , 1 4 6 , 7 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 3 3 2 , 2 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 1 , 5 6 0 , 4 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 1 3 , 6 4 7}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| CAT City Attorney | $93,814,525$ | $99,146,797$ | $5,332,272$ | $101,560,444$ | $2,413,647$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{9 3 , 8 1 4 , 5 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 , 1 4 6 , 7 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 3 3 2 , 2 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 1 , 5 6 0 , 4 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 1 3 , 6 4 7}$ |

## City Planning

MISSION
The Planning Department works to make San Francisco the world's most livable urban placeenvironmentally, economically, socially, and culturally.

## SERVICES

The City Planning Department provides services through the following divisions:
CITYWIDE PLANNING maintains the City's General Plan, prepares neighborhood plans, and develops planning code controls and other regulations related to implementation of the General Plan.
CURRENT PLANNING reviews project applications, provides public information, and implements historic preservation programs.
ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING prepares State and federally mandated environmental review documents for the City.
ZONING ADMINISTRATION AND COMPLIANCE administers, interprets, and enforces the City's Planning Code.
ADMINISTRATION includes the Director's Office, Commission functions, and the Director of Administration functions; this division provides Department-wide support in the areas of information technology, finance, legislative affairs, communications, personnel and training, and special projects such as the permit and project tracking system.
COMMUNITY EQUITY is charged with centering the Department's work on social and racial equity. Previously, this work was contained within the Citywide Division. This change elevates the Department's focus on community equity throughout the work and infuses it into the work of all the Department's Divisions with greater consistency and efficacy.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Create opportunities for new housing at all income levels throughout the City;
- Support existing and new small businesses;
- Foster excellent building and civic spaces design;
- Enhance customer service and organizational efficiency as a partner with the new Permit Center;
- Manage growth while planning for resilience and sustainability;
- Elevate racial and social equity and environmental justice in all aspects of the Department's work; and
- Advance opportunities for vulnerable households and communities of color while preserving cultural resources and stemming displacement.


## BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $60,446,114$ | $62,419,459$ | $1,973,345$ | $58,061,621$ | $(4,357,838)$ |
| Total FTE | 217 | 209 | $(8)$ | 211 | 2 |

## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY19 } \\ & \text { Full Year } \end{aligned}$ | FY20 <br> Full Year | FY21 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Streamline Project Approval Processes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Volume: Total volume of new planning cases \& building permits requiring departmental review | 11,665 | 12624 | 12000 | 12000 | 12000 | 12000 |
| Total Caseload: Total active caseload of planning cases and building permits | 10,431 | 12912 | 12000 | 12600 | 12000 | 12000 |
| Small Residential Addition Projects Not Requiring a Hearing: The average number of days from application being accepted by the Department to Action Date | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Records Requests: Percentage of records requests responded to within 20 days | 93\% | 95\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% |
| Public Projects: The average number of days from the application being accepted by the Department to final CEQA determination | 29 | 48 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Property Information Map : Average unique visitors per month | 93,525 | 95032 | 85000 | 85,000 | 85000 | 85000 |
| Pending Volume: Total planning cases \& building permits awaiting initial departmental review | 1,937 | 574 | 1800 | 600 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Over-the-Counter Building Permits | 5,671 | 4479 | 5500 | 5000 | 5500 | 5500 |
| Monitoring Reports: Percent completion of all required planning, housing, and monitoring reports according to mandated or established publication schedules | 90\% | 80\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Large, New Residential Construction Projects Requiring a Hearing: The average number of days from application being accepted by the Department to first Commission Hearing | 586 | 533 | 540 | 540 | 540 | 540 |
| Immediate Disclosure Requests: Percentage of immediate disclosure requests responded to within 11 days | 83\% | 100\% | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% |
| Change of Use with No Additional Construction Requiring a Hearing: The average number of days from application being accepted by the Department to first Commission Hearing | 177 | 200 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Change of Use with No Additional Construction Not Requiring a Hearing: The average number of days from application being accepted by the Department to Action Date | 76 | 606 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Caseload per Planner: Average active caseload per planner of planning cases \& building permits | 21 | 170 | 150 | 250 | 150 | 150 |
| Affordable Housing Projects: The average number of days from the application being accepted by the Department to first Commission Hearing | 186 | 37 | 270 | 270 | 250 | 250 |
| Build Neighborhoods \& Public Spaces that Welcome All |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legislation: Percentage of ordinances initiated by an elected official that are reviewed by the Commission within 90 days or continued at the request of the elected official | 100\% | 100\% | 80\% | 80\% | 80\% | 80\% |
| Historical Resource Evaluation Responses: Average number of days to complete Part I HRERs | 74 | 86 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Enforcement: Average number of days to escalate a valid complaint | 64 | 102 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 62.4$ million is $\$ 2.0$ million, or 3.3 percent, higher than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 60.4$ million. This increase is primarily caused by costs associated with mandatory planning reviews and salary and benefits changes.

The FY 2022-23 budget of $\$ 58.1$ million is $\$ 4.4$ million, or 7.0 percent, lower than the FY 2021-22 proposed budget. This decrease is driven by reduced expenditure on mandatory planning reviews and contracts and is partially offset by salary and benefits changes.

## Supporting Small Businesses through the Shared Spaces Program

Coordinated by Planning, the interagency Shared Spaces Program has helped over 2,800 locallyowned small businesses in San Francisco safely transition retail, dining, personal services and other activities outside during the COVID-19 pandemic. Shared Spaces has become critical way to address
economic recovery, social, and psychological wellbeing. Work to make the program permanent is ongoing, with an emphasis on maintaining and enhancing an equity focus. Planning is the City's lead Agency for Shared Spaces, collaborating with the Municipal Transportation Agency, SF Public Works, Entertainment Commission, Recreation and Parks, the Port, and the Fire Department.

## Strategy and Housing Projects

Keeping San Franciscans in their homes and providing new homes at all income levels remains a major effort of Planning. Additional resources have been dedicated to develop housing and planning strategies, particularly for westside neighborhoods, and to increase housing for all in areas of high housing opportunity. This effort seeks to address a legacy of exclusionary single-family zoning, historical down-zonings, and other regulatory barriers and to respond to the housing needs of those within these neighborhoods and across the

City. In the southeast neighborhoods, the Caltrain Stations Study will evaluate possible locations for relocated and new Caltrain stations in the Dogpatch and Bayview neighborhoods.

The Department is also working on the Islais Creek Adaptation Strategy and the Bayview Resilience Strategy. The former will develop a strategy to ensure the Islais Creek community is resilient to sea level rise and other flood hazards, while bolstering blue-collar jobs and land use and transportation amenities. The latter aims to build similar climate resiliency in the Bayview and Hunters Point neighborhoods while improving land use,
mobility, and cultural heritage through an inclusive community process.

Planning also continues to enhance the Department's development review function, particularly as it relates to housing projects. Significant pieces of state and local legislation have reshaped approval the CEQA, entitlement, and permitting requirements for density-bonus projects, affordable housing, and ADU projects. The Department has addressed this additional complexity and urgency by bolstering a specialist team that has partnered with MOHCD, OEWD, the City Attorney's Office, and the Mayor's Housing Coordinator Task Force to bring more housing with deeper affordability on-line, faster.

^ APPROVED ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS .
There have been 181 approved Accessory Dwelling Units across 104 sites in San Francisco.

## SHARED > SPACES SITES.

The map shows the
location of Shared
Spaces across San
Francisco, including
sites whose Shared
Spaces applications
are still in progress.


## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: CITY PLANNING



## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | 235.71 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 228.83 | $(6.88)$ | 229.93 | 1.10 |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | $(18.42)$ | $(19.42)$ | $(1.00)$ | $(19.42)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Net Operating Positions | 217.29 | 209.41 | $(7.88)$ | $\mathbf{2 1 0 . 5 1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sources

| Intergovernmental: Federal | 95,000 | 1,945,000 | 1,850,000 | 45,000 | $(1,900,000)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intergovernmental: State | 1,531,000 | 1,200,000 | $(331,000)$ | 700,000 | $(500,000)$ |
| Intergovernmental: Other | 390,000 | 454,797 | 64,797 | 254,797 | $(200,000)$ |
| Charges for Services | 45,418,270 | 44,619,578 | $(798,692)$ | 43,716,402 | $(903,176)$ |
| Other Revenues | 4,815,150 | 3,489,000 | $(1,326,150)$ | 1,603,000 | $(1,886,000)$ |
| Expenditure Recovery | 2,224,990 | 3,010,524 | 785,534 | 2,655,495 | $(355,029)$ |
| General Fund Support | 5,971,704 | 7,700,560 | 1,728,856 | 9,086,927 | 1,386,367 |
| Sources Total | 60,446,114 | 62,419,459 | 1,973,345 | 58,061,621 | $(4,357,838)$ |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $26,525,778$ | $27,674,502$ | $1,148,724$ | $28,813,600$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 3 9 , 0 9 8}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $12,715,781$ | $12,474,916$ | $(240,865)$ | $12,741,416$ | 266,500 |
| Non-Personnel Services | $3,516,899$ | $4,132,473$ | 615,574 | $2,785,693$ | $(1,346,780)$ |
| Capital Outlay | 10,405 |  | $(10,405)$ |  |  |
| Materials \& Supplies | 699,970 | 490,495 | $(209,475)$ | 490,495 |  |
| Overhead and Allocations | 965,663 | 689,271 | $(276,392)$ | 689,271 |  |
| Programmatic Projects | $8,048,654$ | $8,337,980$ | 289,326 | $3,847,887$ | $(4,490,093)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | $7,888,174$ | $8,507,062$ | 618,888 | $8,683,270$ | 176,208 |
| Unappropriated Rev-Designated | 74,790 | 112,760 | 37,970 | 9,989 | $(102,771)$ |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{6 0 , 4 4 6 , 1 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 , 4 1 9 , 4 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 7 3 , 3 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 , 0 6 1 , 6 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 , 3 5 7 , 8 3 8 )}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| CPC Administration | $20,599,990$ | $17,573,322$ | $(3,026,668)$ | $18,282,911$ | 709,589 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CPC Citywide Planning | $14,618,486$ | $11,772,541$ | $(2,845,945)$ | $7,834,953$ | $(3,937,588)$ |
| CPC Community Equity |  | $3,066,305$ | $3,066,305$ | $2,845,283$ | $(221,022)$ |
| CPC Current Planning | $13,557,304$ | $12,307,053$ | $(1,250,251)$ | $12,694,476$ | 387,423 |
| CPC Environmental Planning | $8,654,601$ | $9,413,078$ | 758,477 | $7,962,678$ | $(1,450,400)$ |
| CPC Executive Office |  | $3,845,937$ | $3,845,937$ | $3,950,782$ | 104,845 |
| CPC Zoning Admin \& Compliance | $3,015,733$ | $4,441,223$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 2 5 , 4 9 0}$ | $4,490,538$ | 49,315 |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{6 0 , 4 4 6 , 1 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 , 4 1 9 , 4 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 7 3 , 3 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 , 0 6 1 , 6 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 , 3 5 7 , 8 3 8 )}$ |

## Civil Service Commission

## MISSION

The Civil Service Commission (CSC) establishes, ensures, and maintains an equitable and credible merit system for public service employment for the citizens of San Francisco, and strives to consistently provide the best-qualified candidates for public service in a timely and cost-effective manner.

## SERVICES

The Civil Service Commission provides services through the following divisions:
GENERAL DIVISION establishes rules, policies, and procedures to carry out the civil service merit system for public service employment; administers appeals and requests for hearings on the decisions of the Human Resources Director and the Municipal Transportation Agency's Director of Transportation; monitors the operation of the merit system through inspection services and audits; conducts surveys; sets salaries for elected officials; and administers the City's Employee Relations Ordinance.

TRAINING, EDUCATION, AND OUTREACH DIVISION educates the public on the Civil Service Commission's functions and services through publications and expanding information on its website; provides outreach, information, and notification of the Catastrophic Illness Program; and provides training and education about the merit system.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Expand employment opportunities by reviewing job classifications and minimum qualifications to determine if requirements are restrictive or applicable to the employee's ability to perform the work on the first day of employment;
- Ensure the timely resolution of appeals;
- Create training programs and management programs in partnerships with other departments and unions; and
- Review rules, policies, and procedures to determine if amendments are necessary to enforce changes and increase transparency.


## BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $1,286,033$ | $1,388,312$ | 102,279 | $1,418,696$ | 30,384 |
| Total FTE | 6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 |

## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  | FY19 | FY20 |  | Y21 | FY22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target | Target |
| Strenghten the Commission's ability to meet its Charter mandates and oversee the operation of the merit system |  |  |  |  |  |
| The percentage of completed responses to Inspection Service requests within 60 days | 73\% | 67\% | 60\% | 80\% | 80\% |
| The number of merit system audits conducted and completed in the fiscal year | 9 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Ensure the timely resolution of appeals |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of appeals forwarded and resolved by the Commission in the fiscal year | 70\% | 49 | 60\% | 70\% | 70\% |
| Percentage of appeals and requests for hearings processed within seven days | 100\% | 95\% | 98\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Create greater transparency and efficiencies in the Commission's procedures and communications |  |  |  |  |  |
| The percentage of completed Inspection Service Requests | 80\% | 67\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

- FY 2022-23 target performance measures unavailable.


## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 1.4$ million for the Civil Service Commission is $\$ 0.1$ million, or 8 percent, higher than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 1.3$ million. This slight increase is due to standard salary and benefits increases.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 1.4$ million is unchanged from the FY 2021-22 budget.

## Overseeing the City's Merit System

The Civil Service Commission (CSC) continues to work with City departments to uphold its

Charter-mandated responsibilities and oversee the efficacy of the City's merit system. CSC is also partnering with the Office of Racial Equity and the Department of Human Resources to improve racial equity in hiring, promotions, and development opportunities. Due to this year's Shelter-in-Place policy, CSC expanded its digital accessibility and work functions. As in-person business begins to resume this year, CSC will continue providing these new digital services where possible and beneficial to City employees.

APPEALS.
Most appeals in FY 2019-20 were examination, followed by future employment restructions.


INSPECTION SERVICE > REQUESTS.

Majority of the inspection service requests in FY 2019-20
were appointments.


## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION



TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | 5.79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.00 | 0.21 | 6.00 |  |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) |  |  |  | $\mathbf{6 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Operating Positions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sources

| Expenditure Recovery | 360,839 | 360,839 | 360,839 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Fund Support | 925,194 | 1,027,473 | 102,279 | 1,057,857 | 30,384 |
| Sources Total | 1,286,033 | 1,388,312 | 102,279 | 1,418,696 | 30,384 |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | 684,949 | 766,580 | 81,631 | 793,422 | 26,842 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 309,025 | 322,821 | 13,796 | 325,516 | 2,695 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 32,795 | 28,795 | $(4,000)$ | 28,795 |  |
| Materials \& Supplies | 3,395 | 3,395 |  | 3,395 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | 255,869 | 266,721 | 10,852 | $\mathbf{2 6 7 , 5 6 8}$ |  |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{1 , 2 8 6 , 0 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 8 8 , 3 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2 , 2 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 1 8 , 6 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 , 3 8 4}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| CSC Civil Service Commission | $\mathbf{1 , 2 8 6 , 0 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 8 8 , 3 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2 , 2 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 1 8 , 6 9 6}$ | 30,384 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{1 , 2 8 6 , 0 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 8 8 , 3 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2 , 2 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 1 8 , 6 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 , 3 8 4}$ |

# Community Investment and Infrastructure 

## MISSION

The Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure (OCII) is the Successor Agency to the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency (SFRA), which was dissolved under State law. OCII is responsible for development in Mission Bay, Transbay, and the Hunters Point Shipyard/Candlestick Point neighborhoods which collectively provide almost 22,000 new housing units and almost 13 million square feet of new commercial space; the management of significant assets in the City; and the development of over 7,000 affordable housing units and over 375 acres of parks.

## SERVICES

OCII provides services through the following divisions:
MAJOR APPROVED DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS were previously approved by San Francisco Redevelopment Agency (SFRA). These development projects are located at Mission Bay North and South, Transbay, and Hunters Point Shipyard/Candlestick Point.
AFFORDABLE HOUSING ensures the development of affordable housing in the Major Approved Development Projects, as well as other housing obligations.
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT \& WORKFORCE SERVICES promotes equal opportunity in contracts for professional design and construction services and in the workforce of contractors performing work on OCIIadministered contracts.
ASSET MANAGEMENT \& DEVELOPMENT SERVICES provides technical support to the Major Approved Development Projects, manages existing developer agreements, and manages SFRA assets and other real property that must be transferred from OCII to other entities pursuant to the State approved long-range property management plan.

FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION provides financial and accounting oversight and reporting, debt management, records, and IT management for the Office's entire portfolio.

BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |  | 2022-23 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $527,300,000$ | $565,800,000$ | $38,500,000$ | N/A | N/A |
| Total FTE | 55 | 55 | 0 | N/A | N/A |

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Continue the wind-down of redevelopment activities, and the completion of existing enforceable obligations in the Major Approved Development Project Areas;
- Accelerate the production of new housing and the creation of new public infrastructure and open spaces;
- Invest in disadvantaged and at-risk communities while prioritizing connectivity, sustainability, and resilience;
- Maximize opportunities for local business and workers;
- Use low-cost public financing; and
- Invest in and value employees.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  |  |  | FY21 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Maximize Opportunities for Local Businesses \& Workers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of Contract Dollars Awarded to Small Business Enterprises for OCII Sponsored Projects | 30.6\% | 45\% | 31\% | 21\% | 23\% | 22\% |
| Invest in Disadvantaged Communities by Accelerating Delivery of New Housing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number of new housing units completed by OCII | 1072 | 798 | 468 | 152 | 218 |  |
| Number of new housing units completed by OCII Project Area--Transbay | 548 | 613 | 316 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Number of new housing units completed by OCII Project Area--Mission Bay | 493 | 119 | 152 | 152 | 141 |  |
| Number of new housing units completed by OCII Project Area--Candlestick Pt/Hunters Pt Shipyard Ph. 2 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Number of new housing units completed by OCII Project Area--Hunters Pt Shipyard Ph. 1 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 77 |  |
| Create New Public Infrastructure \& Open Spaces |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number of new parks open to the public by OCII | 2 | 3 | 7 | 10 | 1 | 4 |
| Number of new parks open to the public by OCII Project Area--Transbay | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of new parks open to the public by OCII Project Area--Mission Bay | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| Number of new parks open to the public by OCII Project Area--Candlestick Pt/Hunters Pt Shipyard Ph. 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of new parks open to the public by OCII Project Area--Hunters Pt Shipyard Ph. 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

OCII is a separate legal entity from the City and County of San Francisco, while remaining under the legislative authority of the Board of Supervisors. Accordingly, the OCII budget is considered separately from the City and County budget. OCII operates with an annual budget, so only the FY 2021-22 proposed budget is presented here.

The FY 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 565.8$ million for OCII is $\$ 38.5$ million, or 7.3 percent, higher than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 527.3$ million. The increase is primarily due to new bonds issued to support affordable housing projects.

OCII participates in redevelopment activities carried out by the former SFRA and related to enforceable obligations, including implementation of major development projects, creation of affordable housing, and property and asset management.

OCII funds its affordable housing and infrastructure programs primarily with bond proceeds generated by the issuance of tax allocation bonds. As of July 1, 2020, OCII will have long-term debt outstanding of $\$ 758.6$ million principal outstanding in tax allocation bonds. In FY 2021-22, OCII anticipates expending $\$ 112.9$ million on its debt program.

With respect to its current operations, OCII anticipates expending $\$ 269.3$ million for affordable housing, $\$ 126.5$ million for infrastructure, $\$ 4.3$ million for community development and workforce, $\$ 3.2$ million for property and asset management, and $\$ 49.7$ million for project management and administration.

## Housing Obligations

One of OCII's most important missions is to ensure the completion of housing units. OCII's total
housing production obligation includes 21,834 units, of which over 7,000 will be affordable. Over 60 percent of these affordable units will be funded by OCII, and these OCII-funded units are known as OCII's Retained Affordable Housing Production Obligation, shown in the bar chart below.

In FY 2021-22, OCII will complete 218 housing units, which are comprised of OCII-funded affordable units in Mission Bay South and market rate with inclusionary units in Hunters Point Shipyard Phase 1. There are no completions scheduled in OCII-funded affordable, inclusionary affordable, or market rate units in Mission Bay North, Transbay, Hunters Point Shipyard Phase 1, and Hunters Point Shipyard Phase2/Candlestick Point.

## Land Use and Infrastructure

OCII contributes to livability through its delivery of public infrastructure, including parks, in mixed-income communities. At completion, Hunters Pont Shipyard/ Candlestick Point will include over 330 acres of parks, Mission Bay will include 41 acres of parks, and Transbay will include 3.5 acres of parks built by OCII.

In FY 2021-22, OCII will complete three parks, construction of a new street segment and a storm water pump station in Mission Bay.

## Community and Workforce Development

OCII's contributes to diversity, equity, and inclusivity through the implementation of a robust equal opportunity program for contracting and workforce. OCII monitors contracting and hiring for all phases of design and construction, including payment of prevailing wages. OCII works closely with private contractors, CityBuild, and communitybased organizations to foster job creation for local workers and to improve the opportunities for small, local, minority and women-owned businesses to participate on OCII projects.

To date, OCII has worked with developers and contractors to award over $\$ 5.6$ billion in contracts with nearly $\$ 1.7$ billion or 30 percent credited to small business enterprises. Of this amount, $\$ 898$ million has been awarded to San Francisco-based small businesses. In addition, over 47,350 workers, of which 7,000 are San Francisco residents, have performed over 18 million construction hours on OCII-administered projects since 2012. To date, local residents have performed over 3.4 million hours, garnering $\$ 151$ million in wages.


## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: COMMUNITY INVESTMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE



## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

FY 20-21 FY 21-22 YOY
Budgeted Proposed Difference

| Sources |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property Tax Increment - TAB Debt Service | \$ | 63.2 | \$ | 75.3 | \$ | 12.0 |
| Property Tax Increment - Debt Portfolio | \$ | 2.3 | \$ | 2.0 | \$ | (0.3) |
| Property Tax Increment - Mission Bay | \$ | 49.4 | \$ | 27.1 | \$ | (22.2) |
| Property Tax Increment - HPS2/CP | \$ | 1.0 | \$ | 1.1 | \$ | 0.2 |
| Property Tax Increment - State Owned TBY | \$ | 21.7 | \$ | 30.2 | \$ | 8.5 |
| Property Tax Increment - Other | \$ | 7.9 | \$ | 8.3 | \$ | 0.4 |
| Property Tax Increment - ACA | \$ | 4.5 | \$ | 4.3 | \$ | (0.2) |
| Subtotal CY Property Tax Increment | \$ | 149.9 | \$ | 148.3 | \$ | (1.6) |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$ | - |
| New Bonds - Housing | \$ | - | \$ | 119.8 | \$ | 119.8 |
| New Bonds - Infra | \$ | 15.5 |  |  | \$ | (15.5) |
| Subtotal CY New Bonds | \$ | 15.5 | \$ | 119.8 | \$ | 104.3 |
| Developer Payments | \$ | 16.5 | \$ | 62.8 | \$ | 46.3 |
| Subtotal CY Developer Payments | \$ | 16.5 | \$ | 62.8 | \$ | 46.3 |
| Rent \& Lease Revenue | \$ | 2.3 | \$ | 0.4 | \$ | (1.9) |
| Payments from Other Gov Entities | \$ | 4.0 | \$ | 3.3 | \$ | (0.7) |
| Hotel Tax | \$ | 4.5 | \$ | 4.5 | \$ | 0.0 |
| Subtotal CY Other | \$ | 10.8 | \$ | 8.2 | \$ | (2.6) |
| Fund Balance - Housing <br> Fund Balance - Non-Housing | \$ | 97.3 | \$ | 77.4 | \$ | (19.9) |
|  | \$ | 11.4 | \$ | 52.9 | \$ | 41.5 |
| Subtotal CY Fund Balance | \$ | 108.7 | \$ | 130.3 | \$ | 21.6 |
| Prior Period Authority - Housing | \$ | 24.9 | \$ | 29.1 | \$ | 4.2 |
| Prior Period Authority - Non-Housing | \$ | 201.0 | \$ | 67.3 | \$ | (133.6) |
| Subtotal CY Prior Period Authority | \$ | 225.9 | \$ | 96.4 | \$ | (129.4) |
| Total CY Sources | \$ | 527.3 | \$ | 565.8 | \$ | 38.6 |

## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON, continued <br> FY 20-21 FY 21-22 YOY <br> Budgeted Proposed Difference

## Uses <br> Uses - Operations

| Operational Salaries and Benefits | $\$$ | 10.4 | $\$$ | 9.2 | $\$$ | $(1.2)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Affordable Housing Services | $\$$ | 1.4 | $\$$ | 1.1 | $\$$ | $(0.3)$ |
| Rent | $\$$ | 1.1 | $\$$ | 0.9 | $\$$ | $(0.2)$ |
| Retiree Health and Pension Costs | $\$$ | 4.2 | $\$$ | 4.6 | $\$$ | 0.4 |
| Auditing \& Accounting Services | $\$$ | 0.3 | $\$$ | 0.3 | $\$$ | - |
| Legal Services | $\$$ | 1.4 | $\$$ | 1.4 | $\$$ | 0.0 |
| Planning \& Infrastructure Rvw | $\$$ | 6.7 | $\$$ | 6.7 | $\$$ | $(0.0)$ |
| Real Estate Development Services | $\$$ | 0.1 | $\$$ | 0.0 | $\$$ | $(0.1)$ |
| Workforce Development Services | $\$$ | 0.4 | $\$$ | 0.1 | $\$$ | $(0.2)$ |
| Other Professional Services | $\$$ | 4.6 | $\$$ | 10.9 | $\$$ | 6.3 |
| Grants to Community-Based Organizations | $\$$ | 2.4 | $\$$ | 4.1 | $\$$ | 1.7 |
| Payments to Other Public Agencies | $\$$ | 0.4 | $\$$ | 0.4 | $\$$ | - |
| Other Current Expenses | $\$$ | 1.2 | $\$$ | 1.5 | $\$$ | 0.3 |
| Subtotal CY Uses - Operations | $\$$ | 34.6 | $\$$ | 41.2 | $\$$ | 6.6 |


| Uses - Non-Operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Affordable Housing Loans | $\$$ | 96.8 | $\$$ | 239.7 | $\$$ | 142.9 |
| Development Infrastructure | $\$$ | 196.8 | $\$$ | 98.7 | $\$$ | $(98.1)$ |
| Pass-through to TJPA | $\$$ | 21.1 | $\$$ | 30.2 | $\$$ | 9.1 |
| Debt Service - OCII TAB Bonds | $\$$ | 97.3 | $\$$ | 103.6 | $\$$ | 6.3 |
| Public Art | $\$$ | 1.1 | $\$$ | 1.1 | $\$$ | 0.0 |
| Other Debt | $\$$ | 19.9 | $\$$ | 6.3 | $\$$ | $(13.7)$ |
| Subtotal CY Uses - Non-Operations | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 9 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 5}$ |
| Prior Period Authority - Housing | $\mathbf{\$}$ | 24.9 | $\mathbf{\$}$ | 29.1 | $\$$ | 4.2 |
| Prior Period Authority - Non-Housing | $\$$ | 34.7 | $\mathbf{\$}$ | 16.0 | $\$$ | $(18.8)$ |
| Subtotal CY Prior Period Authority | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 4 . 6 )}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total CY Uses | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 7 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 5 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 6}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sources vs. Uses | $\mathbf{\$}$ | - | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | - |

*Dollar amounts will be slightly off due to rounding.
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## Controller

## MISSION

The Department of the Controller's Office works to ensure the City's financial integrity and to promote efficient, effective, and accountable government. The Controller's Office strives to be a model for good government and to make the City a better place to live and work.

## SERVICES

The Department of the Controller's Office provides services through the following divisions:
ACCOUNTING controls the financial activities of the City, which include the certification of funds for contracts, vendor payments, personnel requisitions, and the oversight of departmental expenditures to assess the overall fiscal condition of the City.

ADMINISTRATION manages the department's internal financial, human resources, technology, and operational support services.

BUDGET AND ANALYSIS provides fiscal management and oversight, budgetary planning, and public policy analysis to support the development and management of the City's budget. The division implements and controls budgetary changes, balances revenues with expenditures, and regularly projects and reports on financial, operational, and economic issues for a wide range of customers. A number of property tax functions, including calculation of the tax rate and allocation of revenues, are also housed in the division. CITY SERVICES AUDITOR conducts financial and performance audits of city departments, agencies, concessions, and contracts. The division also runs the City's Whistleblower Program.

CITY PERFORMANCE provides technical assistance, analysis and training for city departments to improve public service delivery. The division has broad authority for benchmarking, performance management, and best practices.

ECONOMIC ANALYSIS reports on pending city legislation that has potentially substantial economic impacts on the City, monitors the local economy, and produces research and special reports at the request of City officials.

PAYROLL provides payroll services for city employees and ensures compliance with city, state, and federal tax, wage and timekeeping regulations.

PUBLIC FINANCE administers the City's General Fund debt obligations and generally oversees the City's broader debt portfolio. The division provides low-cost debt financing of large-scale, long-term capital projects while managing market and credit risks.

Services (continued on next page)
BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $75,093,898$ | $77,414,970$ | $2,321,072$ | $76,223,200$ | $(1,191,770)$ |
| Total FTE | 248 | 252 | 4 | 256 | 4 |

SYSTEMS manages major citywide enterprise systems that city departments use to support financial, payroll, procurement, human resources, learning management, employee and retiree benefits, budgeting, and reporting needs.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Ensure government is accountable to city residents;
- Support informed policy decisions;
- Safeguard the City's long-term financial health;
- Provide high-quality financial services;
- Increase access to useful and timely information; and
- Invest in and value employees.

PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  | FY19 |  | FY21 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Ensure Government is Accountable to City Residents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of audit recommendations implemented within 2 years after report issuance. | 90\% | 92\% | 96\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% |
| Percent of auditee ratings that are good or excellent | 83\% | 97\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% |
| Percent of client ratings for technical assistance projects that are good or excellent | 100\% | N/A | N/A | 95\% | 95\% | 95\% |
| Increase Access to Useful \& Timely Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of days to complete the City's comprehensive financial report (CAFR) for the previous fiscal year | 267 | 183 | 241 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Provide High-Quality Financial Services \& Systems |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City receives certificate of achievement for excellence in financial reporting from Government Finance Officers Association (1 equals yes) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Number of audit findings with questioned costs in annual Single Audit of federal grants | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of findings of material weakness in annual City audit | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent of payroll transactions not requiring correction | 98.8\% | 99.25\% | 99.50\% | 99\% | 99\% | 99\% |
| Percent of scheduled time that financial systems are available for departmental use | 100\% | 100\% | 99.90\% | 99.9\% | 99.90\% | 99.90 |
| Percent of scheduled time that human capital systems are available for departmental use | 100\% | 100\% | 99.90\% | 99.9\% | 99.90\% | 99.90 |
| Safeguard the City's Long-Term Financial Health |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of 16 major departments that have been trained this year on cost recovery policies and procedures and related topics | 81.25\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Percentage by which actual General Fund revenues vary from prior year revised budget estimates | 5\% | -4.35\% | 2.00\% | 2\% | 2.00\% | 2.00\% |
| Percentage by which actual revenues vary from mid-year estimates | 4.18\% | -3.58\% | 1.50\% | 1.5\% | 1.50\% | 1.50\% |
| Ratings of the City's General Obligation Bonds from Moody's | 1 | AAA | AAA | 1 | AAA | AAA |
| Stabilization reserve balance as a percentage of General Fund revenues | 8.8\% | 10\% | 6.90\% | 10\% | 3.5\% | 3.4\% |
| Support Informed Policy Decisions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Completion rate of ballot analysis by hearing date | 100\% | 100\% | N/A | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Number of Data Academy Training Participants | 1436 | 1,269 | 0 | 1,100 | N/A | N/A |
| Percentage of OEA economic impact reports completed by the hearing date | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Controller's Office Fiscal Year (FY) 2O21-22 proposed budget of $\$ 77.4$ million is $\$ 2.3$ million, or 3.1 percent, higher than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 75.1$ million. This increase is primarily due to salary and benefits changes and required spending on the City Services Auditor, and is partially offset by reduced costs for information technology projects.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 76.2$ million for the Controller represents a $\$ 1.2$ million, or 1.5 percent, decrease from the FY 2021-22 proposed budget. This decrease is primarily due to reduced costs for information technology projects.

## Public Integrity, Transparency \& Accountability

The Controller's Office promotes accountability and transparency through its performance audits - which include audits of compliance with laws, regulations, policies, and contracts - and its Whistleblower Program's investigative functions, both of which are the responsibility of the Audits Division. In FY 2021-22, the Audits Division continues this work and plans to:

- Publish two remaining (of eight total planned) public integrity assessment preliminary reports, plus a final report summarizing the status of recommendations and implementation of mitigating controls
- Administer the City's whistleblower hotline and continue to coordinate timely investigative referrals to the City Attorney, District Attorney Ethics Commission, Department of Human Resources, and other departments with jurisdictional oversight, as needed.
- Develop relevant audit recommendations and ensure they get implemented.
- Work with other city departments to develop and implement plans to improve the City's financial system to better meet departments' contracting, reporting, and other business needs.


## Equity

In the past year, the Controller's Office City Performance Division has worked with the Human Rights Commission (HRC) and its Office of Racial Equity (ORE) to plan, analyze and support the development of the Citywide Racial Equity Framework and the other tools needed to advance the City's goals in addressing racism and structural inequity. The Department's staff performed a citywide equity program inventory and analysis for HRC, conducted benchmarking research on their


Fiscal Year

## へ GROWTH OF FINANCIAL STABILIZATION RESERVES. The strength of the City's economy during the past decade, combined with financial management reforms, have driven improvement in the City's overall financial condition, which includes fully funding the City's economic stabilization reserves. Due to continued, projected deteriorating revenue, the City is eligible to withdraw from these reserves in FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23 to balance the budget.

behalf, and supported the HRC with developing metrics, process analysis and planning as they worked to implement the City's ordinances and policies in this area.

With the citywide framework set and work initiated, Racial Equity is a key focus area for the Controller's Office. The department intends to take impactful citywide and departmental actions and continue to provide support to the ORE and the City in attaining its equity, inclusion and diversity goals. The Controller's Office Racial Equity Action Plan was implemented and published on December 31, 2020 which covers the next three-year period. The Plan includes and ensures: 1) the assessment of current conditions in seven key focus areas for all employees, including Workforce ethnicity, race and gender reporting (aggregated and to the extent employee privacy rights are ensured), for Black, Indigenous, and People of Color and others; 2) the identification of necessary staffing and resources to carry out the plan; 3) the process and metrics to timely set measurable goals and commitments; and 4) the planned actions to achieve racial equity within the department.

In FY 2021-22, the Controller's Office commits to accomplishing the following key actions:

- Implement an annual mentorship program for staff.
- Expand internship and fellowship opportunities.
- Review job announcement and examination plans, both citywide and departmental, to make them more inclusive and remove potential barriers to employment.
- Expand and track recruitment efforts and develop new recruitment pipelines.
- Provide training and resources on matters of equity to leadership and staff.
- Administer department-wide survey on equity, inclusion and diversity.


## COVID-19 Support

The Controller's Office has been an integral part of the City's COVID-19 emergency response since February 2020. Over the course of the past two fiscal years, 184 Controller's Office staff, representing over 60 percent of the department, have participated in the City's COVID-19 response. The Controller's Office staff have been deployed to the Emergency Operations Center (EOC), the COVID-19 Command Center (CCC), and the Controller's Department Operations Center (DOC). In FY 2021-22, while most of the City's efforts and its employees will be able to focus on the transition to a new post-COVID-19 reality, the Controller's Office will continue to be heavily engaged in the financial aftermath of the pandemic. The City's Cost Recovery efforts are led and managed by the Controller's Office and will continue on for years after the incident has been declared over. Similarly, the budget and financial repercussions of the COVID-19 pandemic will command the Controller's Office attention for FY 2O21-22 and beyond.

Major areas of support:

- CCC support. In addition to leading the EOC/ CCC Finance \& Admin Section, staff from the Controller's Office has also held pivotal roles in the following sections and branches: Policy Group, Reopening \& Recovery, Logistics, Housing Group, Schools Hub, Testing Group, Advanced Planning, and Data Management. As the CCC transitions back to an EOC/DOC structure in FY 2021-22, the Controller's Office will continue to be the lead of the EOC's Finance \& Admin Section, including the coordination of all FEMA cost recovery efforts.
- Data management, reporting and dashboards. The City has stood up a variety of data gathering, analysis and reporting functions in critical areas including medical (COVID-19 case rates,
hospitalizations, hospital capacity, testing, vaccination), human services (housing, shelter, feeding, in-home support services) and other areas (mobility, essential workers, vulnerable populations). City Performance project managers and analysts work with DataSF and departments to build and maintain these tools and are continually responsive to requests from the emergency managers and stakeholders for new or changed information. Internal reporting is used for the business decisions made by the emergency operation and public reporting informs residents, stakeholders, leadership and other governments about the City's management of the COVID-19 disaster. Additionally, The Systems Division of the Controller's Office has created 28 Emergency Dashboards in the SF Reports \& Analytics module within PeopleSoft and these dashboards are invaluable tools for employees citywide.
- Finance and Administration Section of the CCC and the EOC. Emergency payroll, contracts, procurement, cost recovery and Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) claiming are the primary functions of the Finance \& Admin Section. In the course of this work, the Controller's Office has automated many emergency management activities that were formerly manual. CON created an online ICS 214 form that is vital to the FEMA submission process and is used to track COVID-19 work activity citywide. The Department also created an online ICS 213 Healthcare Scarce Resource Request form that is integrated with the City's PeopleSoft Financial \& Procurement System. The Payroll Division has run the City's payroll largely remotely - a capacity that was developed in anticipation of a major earthquake. The Cost Recovery Team has trained hundreds of city employees in complex tracking and claiming, provided coaching and expertise to other cities, and has met critical FEMA deadlines, insuring that San Francisco will receive the maximum reimbursement from the Federal government for the over one billion dollars spent to respond to COVID-19.


## Systems Modernization

The SF Financials, SF Learning, SF People \& Pay, SF Procurement and SF Reports \& Analytics are citywide enterprise systems. The Controller's Office implemented and maintains these systems to provide standardization, transparency, and efficiency for the City's crucial business processes in the areas of finance, procurement, human resources, learning, benefits, payroll, and management reporting. The Department's proposed budget allocates staff and resources to maintain the systems and increase their
utility through annual upgrades and implementation of system enhancements. The FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23 planned initiatives include the deployment of a new citywide budget system, new reporting tools to support and enhance Citywide equity and integrity initiatives, continued support of COVID-19 response through automation of business processes and enhanced inventory and cost recovery tracking and reporting, and upgrading the SF People \& Pay, SF Learning and SF Reports \& Analytics systems. Other projects include partnering with the Department of Human Resources to deploy the new Applicant Tracking system, expanding the functionality of the SF City Partner Supplier/Bidder Portal and SF Procurement systems to add inclusion, equity, and impacts tracking, and implementing additional business units in SF Financials and SF Procurement for key departments.

## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: CONTROLLER



## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | 297.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 300.10 | 3.10 | 300.20 | 0.10 |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | $(48.81)$ | $(47.77)$ | 1.04 | $(44.00)$ | 3.77 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Net Operating Positions | 248.19 | 252.33 | 4.14 | $\mathbf{2 5 6 . 2 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sources

| Property Taxes | 67,000 | 67,000 | 67,000 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intergovernmental: Other | 150,000 | 254,800 | 104,800 | 254,800 |
| Charges for Services | 440,000 | 440,000 | 440,000 |  |
| Other Revenues | $1,000,000$ | $1,000,000$ | $1,000,000$ |  |
| Expenditure Recovery | $61,760,284$ | $63,317,374$ | $1,557,090$ | $62,285,836$ |
| General Fund Support |  |  | $(1,031,538)$ |  |
|  | $11,676,614$ | $12,335,796$ | 659,182 | $12,175,564$ |
| Sources Total |  |  |  | $(160,232)$ |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $32,824,243$ | $36,595,055$ | $3,770,812$ | $38,447,627$ | $1,852,572$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $15,014,693$ | $15,442,569$ | 427,876 | $15,854,038$ | 411,469 |
| Non-Personnel Services | $14,535,240$ | $14,858,350$ | 323,110 | $13,812,264$ | $(1,046,086)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 338,348 | 643,833 | 305,485 | 557,349 | $(86,484)$ |
| Overhead and Allocations |  |  |  |  |  |
| Programmatic Projects | $6,104,760$ | $4,079,473$ | $(2,025,287)$ | $1,919,763$ | $(2,159,710)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | $6,276,614$ | $5,795,690$ | $(480,924)$ | $5,632,159$ | $(163,531)$ |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{7 5 , 0 9 3 , 8 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 , 4 1 4 , 9 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 2 1 , 0 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 , 2 2 3 , 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 , 1 9 1 , 7 7 0 )}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| CON Accounting | $12,029,596$ | $13,044,091$ | $1,014,495$ | $13,354,480$ | 310,389 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CON Administration | $1,233,676$ | $1,587,322$ | 353,646 | $1,592,645$ | 5,323 |
| CON Budget \& Analysis | $2,357,704$ | $3,006,151$ | 648,447 | $3,158,304$ | 152,153 |
| CON City Services Auditor | $22,639,017$ | $23,441,420$ | 802,403 | $22,270,063$ | $(1,171,357)$ |
| CON Citywide Systems | $32,398,427$ | $31,595,385$ | $(803,042)$ | $30,930,986$ | $(664,399)$ |
| CON Economic Analysis | 582,671 | 618,233 | 35,562 | 632,050 | 13,817 |
| CON Payroll | $3,357,535$ | $3,587,149$ | 229,614 | $3,738,408$ | 151,259 |
| CON Public Finance | 495,272 | 535,219 | 39,947 | 546,264 | 11,045 |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{7 5 , 0 9 3 , 8 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 , 4 1 4 , 9 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 2 1 , 0 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 , 2 2 3 , 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 , 1 9 1 , 7 7 0 )}$ |

## County Education <br> MISSION

Funding for support staff at the San Francisco Unified School District's (SFUSD) County Education Office is legally required of San Francisco under the California Constitution.

## SUMMARY

In Fiscal Year (FY) 2002-03, funding for programs and services at the County Education Office was diverted to the Department of Children, Youth and Their Families (DCYF), which administers funds in conjunction with the San Francisco Unified School District (SFUSD).

In March 2004, voters approved Proposition H, creating the Public Education Enrichment Fund (PEEF) and requiring that the City allocate General Fund revenue each year to support the Preschool for All program and programs at SFUSD. More information about the Preschool for All program, overseen by the Office of Early Care and Education in the Human Services Agency, can be found in that department's section.

In November 2014, with the passage of Proposition C (Prop C), voters reauthorized PEEF for another 26 years. The total provisional PEEF allocation to SFUSD in FY 2021-22 is $\$ 89.6$ million, a 10.3 percent increase from the FY 2020-21 contribution. Another $\$ 101.4$ million in total PEEF allocation to SFUSD is projected in FY 2022-23.

Notable prior changes to PEEF under Prop C include the removal of the emergency "trigger" option which allowed the City to defer a portion of the allocation during years of financial hardship, the ability to count in-kind services as a component of the allocation, and the restructuring of the reserve funds. Prop Crevised the structure of the Rainy Day reserve, dissolving the single reserve structure and creating two new, separate reserves-a City Reserve and School Reserve. Withdrawal from the School Reserve is now allowed by a majority vote of the School Board.
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## District Attorney

## MISSION

To promote justice by fostering accountability and repairing harms; to address root causes of crime and prevent recidivism; to center and support crime victims and survivors; to reduce mass incarceration and develop effective alternatives to incarceration; to eliminate racial and class inequities in the criminal legal system, and to promote public safety by using innovative, evidence-based approaches.

## SERVICES

The District Attorney provides services through the following divisions:
FELONY CRIMINAL prosecutes serious and violent felony offenses through the following units: Homicide, Gang, Child and Sexual Assault, and Domestic Violence.

GENERAL CRIMINAL prosecutes felony and misdemeanor crimes through Intake, Misdemeanors, and General Felonies units.

WHITE COLLAR DIVISION investigates and prosecutes a variety of specialized crimes to include major fraud, public corruption, environmental, and consumer cases. This division consists of two units: Special Prosecutions and Economic Crimes.

DATA-DRIVEN AND COMMUNITY INFORMED STRATEGIES is a multi-disciplinary division, skilled in identifying and prosecuting individuals and criminal organizations that have an outsized impact on crime in the community.

INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATIONS BUREAU is tasked with the investigation of all incidents of officerinvolved shootings, use of excessive force, in-custody deaths, and conviction review of cases involving officer misconduct.

INVESTIGATIONS is the sworn investigative branch of the Department.
TRAINING, CULTURE, DIVERSITY AND INCLUSION is a newly formed division that will oversee the Department's equity initiatives in relation to staff training, recruitment, hiring, and retention. The staff training curriculum will be redesigned and will take a holistic approach that gives all staff the tools, technology, data, and incentives to more effectively achieve the mission of the District Attorney's office.

## BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $73,721,806$ | $80,064,379$ | $6,342,573$ | $82,036,173$ | $1,971,794$ |
| Total FTE | 267 | 278 | 11 | 278 | 0 |

ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS AND INITIATIVES includes all alternative courts, neighborhood court, and the juvenile unit.

VICTIM SERVICES provide support services, including crisis intervention and court accompaniment, to over 8,000 victims of crime annually.

Additional departmental service and support divisions include Executive Support, the Sentencing Program, Community Engagement, Communications, Policy and Planning, Information Technology, Finance and Administration, Legal Support, and Human Resources.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Expand supports for all crime victims, regardless of system involvement;
- Increase transparency and accountability by modernizing data systems and technology;
- Build organizational capacity to conduct research, analysis, and evaluation of innovative programs that prevent crime and promote public safety;
- Implement programs and policies that focus on restoration and healing rather than just punishment;
- Reduce racial disparities and overreliance on incarceration by expanding diversionary programs, minimizing the use of status-based sentencing enhancements, and resentencing individuals who have received excessive sentences;
- Enhance recruitment and training efforts to create a more diverse and inclusive workplace; and
- Build community trust in law enforcement through enhanced transparency, accountability, and equal enforcement of the law.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY20 } \\ & \text { Full Year } \end{aligned}$ | FY21 |  |  | FY23 <br> Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Projected | Target | Target |  |
| Promote the Fair Administration of Justice |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median number of days (age) of Pending Officer Involved Shooting \& In Custody Incidents | 484 | 582 | 180 | 180 | 180 |  |
| Hold Offenders Accountable |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Rate of Action Taken for Felony Incidents | 68\% | 62\% | 65\% | 65\% | 65\% |  |
| Misdemeanor Trial Conviction Rate | 78\% | 85\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Felony Trial Conviction Rate | 87\% | 80\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |  |
| Charging Rate for Felony Incidents | 60\% | 51\% | 55\% | 55\% | 55\% |  |
| Effectively Prosecute Homicide Cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median number of days (age) of Pending Homicide Cases | 1,043 | 1,126 | 700 | 700 | 700 |  |
| Homicide Unit Trial Conviction Rate | 86\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Effectively Prosecute Child Abuse \& Sexual Assault Cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median number of days (age) of Pending Child Abuse \& Sexual Assault Unit Cases | 513 | 648 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| Child Abuse \& Sexual Assault Trial Conviction Rate | 86\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Assist Victims to Recover in the Aftermath of Crime |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of victims receiving an orientation to the criminal justice system (Services) | 8,037 | 6,854 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 |  |
| Number of victims provided with crisis intervention services (Services) | 5,630 | 5,529 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 80.1$ million for the District Attorney is $\$ 6.3$ million, or 8.6 percent, higher than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 73.7$ million. The increase is primarily due to increased costs associated with salaries and benefits.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 82.0$ million is $\$ 2$ million, or 2.5 percent, higher than the proposed FY 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 80.1$ million. This is largely driven by growth in staffing costs.

## COVID-19 Response

After a year of suspended trials, the Court is poised to reopen safely by gradually reopening trial courtrooms. This will require the Office of the District Attorney to move from 30 percent in office work to larger but phased numbers of staff coming back into the office. The use of technology to conduct as many hearings and calendars will
continue to play a big part in the administration of justice within the Hall of Justice. Because the criminal justice system will begin to work through the backlog of cases, the Mayor's proposed budget supports a $\$ 2.6$ million increase in the District Attorney's budget in order to return staffing to pre-COVID-19 levels.

## Independent Investigations Bureau

In its fifth year of funding, the Independent Investigations Bureau (IIB) is firmly in place as the entity investigating Officer Involved Shootings and other peace officer misconduct. The District Attorney's Office has signed an MOU with the Police Department to be the lead agency responding to and investigating these matters. Since its inception, the IIB has an annual funding level of $\$ 2.7$ million.


ヘ VICTIMS SERVED BY THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. From 2010-2016, the number of victims the District Attorney's Office saw steadily increased. Over the past five years, this number has remained steady.

## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: DISTRICT ATTORNEY



## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | 284.83 | 302.15 | 17.32 | 302.10 | $(0.05)$ |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | $(18.00)$ | $(24.00)$ | $(6.00)$ | $(24.00)$ |  |
| Net Operating Positions | 266.83 | 278.15 | $\mathbf{1 1 . 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 8 . 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{( 0 . 0 5 )}$ |

## Sources

| Intergovernmental: Federal | 3,114,160 | 2,942,076 | $(172,084)$ | 2,942,076 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intergovernmental: State | 3,281,573 | 3,416,744 | 135,171 | 3,379,953 | $(36,791)$ |
| Charges for Services | 749,568 | 749,568 |  | 749,568 |  |
| Expenditure Recovery | 807,160 | 679,853 | $(127,307)$ | 679,853 |  |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | 1,809,612 | 1,842,490 | 32,878 | 1,866,162 | 23,672 |
| General Fund Support | 63,959,733 | 70,433,648 | 6,473,915 | 72,418,561 | 1,984,913 |
| Sources Total | 73,721,806 | 80,064,379 | 6,342,573 | 82,036,173 | 1,971,794 |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | 39,256,498 | 44,472,861 | 5,216,363 | 46,020,183 | 1,547,322 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 16,173,121 | 17,070,668 | 897,547 | 17,091,609 | 20,941 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 3,998,873 | 3,695,009 | $(303,864)$ | 3,724,981 | 29,972 |
| City Grant Program | 1,143,707 | 1,164,077 | 20,370 | 1,149,493 | $(14,584)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 359,557 | 227,326 | $(132,231)$ | 227,326 |  |
| Overhead and Allocations | $(190,350)$ | $(196,114)$ | $(5,764)$ | $(194,843)$ | 1,271 |
| Programmatic Projects | 2,708,666 | 2,908,666 | 200,000 | 2,908,666 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | 10,271,734 | 10,721,886 | 450,152 | 11,108,758 | 386,872 |
| Uses Total | 73,721,806 | 80,064,379 | 6,342,573 | 82,036,173 | 1,971,794 |
| Uses - Division Description |  |  |  |  |  |
| DAT District Attorney | 73,721,806 | 80,064,379 | 6,342,573 | 82,036,173 | 1,971,794 |
| Uses by Division Total | 73,721,806 | 80,064,379 | 6,342,573 | 82,036,173 | 1,971,794 |

180 district attorney

## Early Childhood

## MISSION

In FY 2022-23, First 5 San Francisco Children and Families Commission (CFC) and the Office of Early Care and Education (OECE) will unite under a new Department of Early Childhood, dedicated to early education, health, and well-being. Accordingly, the new Department of Early Childhood is charged with developing and implementing an integrated early childhood funding portfolio on behalf of young children and their families in San Francisco. The overarching aim and purpose of this work is to elevate public attention to the wide-ranging issues and challenges faced by new parents and their children in San Francisco from the prenatal period through the early childhood years. The new department will partner with public agencies and community organizations on developing equity-focused solutions that make San Francisco the best place in the nation for young children and their families. The new department will also seek out innovative science and research for better ways to support children's achievement and success. Joint strategic planning activities for the new department launched early in 2021 and will continue throughout FY 2021-22 in order to finalize the vision, mission, strategies and measurable outcomes by which progress will be gauged over time.

## SERVICES

Investment strategies and services will be outlined in a comprehensive joint Strategic Plan for the newly formed Department of Early Childhood. The planning process, ongoing throughout 2021, will engage as many as 1,400 parents of young children, especially those furthest from opportunity, to listen to their hopes and needs. It will draw on the collective wisdom and experience of educators and other dedicated professionals who work every day to care for, educate, support, and meet the many needs of young children and their families in the communities.
While specific initiatives, investments, and funding allocations will follow the release of the Strategic Plan later in 2021, it is anticipated that the broad array of services will reflect a holistic approach to child development encompassing the multiple community and service provider touchpoints in a child's life from birth to age five, including: child care enrollment; child health, mental health, and early interventions for

Services (continued on next page)

## BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | 0 | 0 | 0 | $297,991,938$ | $297,991,938$ |
| Total FTE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 62 |

identified special needs; family support; quality and capacity-building; system infrastructure supports; workforce development; and policy. By spring 2022, First 5 and OECE will issue new policies and funding solicitations aligned to the strategic plan for grantees and other community partners to begin implementing effective by FY 2022-23.

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Department of Early Childhood (DEC) will be an official City department in Fiscal Year (FY) 2022-23, combining the Children and Families Commission (CFC or First 5) and the Office of Early Care and Education (OECE) dedicated to early education, health, and well-being. The proposed budget for FY 2022-23 is $\$ 298.0$ million.

## Strategic Planning for a New Department

In FY 2022-23, the Department of Early Childhood (DEC) will officially begin operating as a fully functioning department made up of both the San Francisco Children and Families Commission (CFC) and the San Francisco Office of Early Care and Education (OECE).

As outlined above, the Strategic Planning process, which will form the basis of the investments and budget for the new department, will include extensive community and grantee engagement with key stakeholders to review what has worked and has
not worked within San Francisco's current portfolio of programs and services, examine gaps that have led to stagnant or declining outcomes for young children, and consider innovations and strategies that up to now were not feasible for lack of revenue to support them. It will also have an intentional and central focus on equity and the disruption of racist practices and structures embedded within the service system that perpetuate disparities and racialized outcomes for young children and families.

Alongside the community engagement process, First 5 and OECE will work together throughout FY 2021-22 to create an administrative infrastructure and system for the DEC in order for it to function effectively starting in FY 2022-23. The agencies are committed to providing a seamless transition and will continue to operate in the ways the community has grown familiar with over the years, while bringing on new staff with indepth knowledge and expertise.

## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: EARLY CHILDHOOD



## Economic \& Workforce Development <br> MISSION

The Office of Economic and Workforce Development (OEWD) advances equitable and shared prosperity for San Franciscans by growing sustainable jobs, supporting businesses of all sizes, creating great places to live and work, and helping everyone achieve economic self-sufficiency.

## SERVICES

The Office of Economic and Workforce Development provides services through the following divisions: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT DIVISION attracts, supports, and retains businesses, with an emphasis on targeted industries.
BUSINESS SOLUTIONS leads interdepartmental permitting coordination to support a diversity of applicants navigating city processes.
FILM SF promotes San Francisco as a film destination by attracting and facilitating film, television, and other media productions.

INVEST IN NEIGHBORHOODS DIVISION provides customized programs and services to support businesses and community partners in commercial districts and neighborhoods.

JOINT DEVELOPMENT DIVISION manages major public-private real estate development projects in order to maximize public benefits, including the development of affordable housing, economic activity, jobs, and open space.

OFFICE OF SMALL BUSINESS and its Small Business Assistance Center are the primary information and support hub for businesses with fewer than 100 employees.
WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT DIVISION coordinates the City's workforce system, connects employers to job seekers, and creates and implements job training programs, especially in growing industries such as technology, healthcare, hospitality and construction.

BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $96,149,625$ | $141,443,455$ | $45,293,830$ | $105,627,696$ | $(35,815,759)$ |
| Total FTE | 105 | 109 | 4 | 107 | $(2)$ |

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Facilitate a resilient and robust economy that helps businesses start, stay, and grow in San Francisco;
- Create economic prosperity for all residents, including the unemployed, underemployed, and hard to employ, by preparing, training, and connecting San Franciscans to sustainable jobs with strong career pathways;
- Support diverse and vibrant neighborhoods by strengthening and investing in small businesses, nonprofits, community organizations, commercial corridors, and public spaces;
- Lead the approval and implementation of significant development projects to create space for jobs, recreation, community benefits, and housing affordable to a variety of income levels;
- Deliver excellent service through collaboration, transparency, and team member support within the Department and the diverse communities it serves;
- Ensure that OEWD's programs and services do not disadvantage or limit access, training, employment, or growth opportunities based on race through the work of the Racial Equity Committee; and
- Continue efforts to dismantle structural and institutional racism and build the capacity of the Department's staff and partners to apply racial equity principles to policy decisions, budgeting and grantmaking process, service delivery, and internal work culture.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  | FY19 <br> Full Year |  | FY21 |  | FY22 | FY23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Create economic prosperity for all residents, including the unemployed, underemployed and hard to employ, by preparing, training, and connecting San Franciscans to sustainable jobs with strong career pathways |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Placement rate of individuals 18 and older who complete a program in jobs that are either full-time or part-time | 75.5\% | 67\% | 55\% | 65\% | 65\% | 60\% |
| Facilitate a resilient and robust economy that helps businesses start, stay and grow - creating shared prosperity and a diverse and vibrant city |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of commercial shoot days | 112 | 53 | 0 | 115 | 137 | 137 |
| Number of film and tv shoot days | 270 | 130 | 117 | 280 | 290 | 300 |
| Number of film productions taking advantage of film incentive rebate program | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Number of international trade delegations hosted or co-hosted | 128 | 68 | 8 | 100 | 125 | 125 |
| Number of permits issued | 604 | 361 | 104 | 631 | 663 | 663 |
| Revenues collected from film permits | \$205,980 | \$107,150 | \$40,500 | \$214,200 | \$214,200 | \$247,000 |
| Support diverse and vibrant neighborhoods by strengthening and investing in small businesses, non-profits, community organizations, commercial corridors and public spaces |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Community Benefit District (CBD) revenue | \$76,188,286 | \$69,806,526 | \$37,821,492 | \$96,271,000 | \$96,271,000 | \$96,271,000 |
| Number of businesses receiving one-on-one technical assistance | 2,093 | 4,539 | 2,100 | 2,100 | 2,100 | 2,100 |
| Number of outreach events (ECN) | 31 | 13 | 7 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Number of small businesses assisted | 3,887 | 6,548 | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,500 |
| Retail vacancy rate in targeted commercial corridors | 12.927818\% | 13.2\% | 15.7\% | 10\% | 15.7\% | 15.7\% |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Office of Economic and Workforce Development's proposed Fiscal Year (FY) 202122 budget of $\$ 141.4$ million is $\$ 45.3$ million, or 47.1 percent, higher than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 96.2$ million. The increase is largely due to onetime economic recovery initiatives and enhanced reinvestment programs.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 105.6$ million is a $\$ 35.8$ million, or 25.3 percent, decrease from its FY 2021-22 proposed budget. The decrease is due to the end of one-time economic recovery initiatives.

## Strengthening and Investing in Neighborhoods

In FY 2021-22, OEWD will focus on recovering and rebuilding local neighborhoods through its Invest in Neighborhoods programs. These include business retention and relocation, small business disaster relief, neighborhood marketing and small business support, the Citywide Public Space Initiative, SF Shines, Healthy Retail SF, and the Small Business Development Center.

The Department will also be managing the San Francisco Hardship Emergency Loan Program and the African American Small Business Revolving Loan Fund, programs funded by Gives2SF that significantly expand existing OEWD services. Moreover, the division will continue the development and implementation of focused neighborhood stabilization programs and projects, including implementing key policies from the City's Economic Recovery Task Force.

## Supporting and Retaining Nonprofits

The Nonprofit Sustainability Initiative will continue to support and retain nonprofits in San Francisco by enabling them to become owners of their spaces and incentivizing philanthropic investment in nonprofit stabilization and real estate. The program will continue to invest in financial assistance, professional services assessment tools, and other resources to help nonprofits that are deeply rooted in their communities to stabilize and overcome barriers to growth.

## Create Space for Jobs, Housing, Recreation and Public Use

OEWD will continue to lead and coordinate significant mixed-use development projects,
allowing the City to negotiate with developers to maximize public benefits from projects. In FY 2021-22, OEWD's Joint Development division will facilitate and push forward City approvals for development projects, which will create a large number of new housing units and other public benefits, including affordable housing, workforce training and employment opportunities, public parks and open space, implementation of transit and multi-modal transportation, streetscape improvements, and local manufacturing space.

## Attracting and Growing Jobs

The Department will also continue to implement its successful sector-based economic development initiatives focused on priorities identified in the San Francisco Economic Strategy, including the technology, clean technology and green business, life sciences and heath care, fashion, retail, manufacturing, tourism, nightlife/entertainment, automotive, financial and professional services, and nonprofit and international sectors. The Department remains focused on preparing and connecting San Franciscans to jobs in these key sectors while helping businesses start, stay, and grow in San Francisco.

> COVID-19 DIRECT RELIEF TO SMALL BUSINESSES, WORKERS AND FAMILIES.

Despite signficant revenue shortfalls, the City has provided over $\$ 70$ million in direct relief to residents.


## Workforce Development

In FY 2021-22, OEWD's Workforce Development Division will prioritize its citywide, neighborhoodbased, and specialized Job Centers as San Francisco manages the employment-related challenges of the COVID-19 pandemic. The Department will continue to invest in Sector Training programs, particularly the CityBuild construction program, TechSF, and the HealthCare Academy. OEWD will continue to develop programs responsive to the local economy as the city emerges from this unexpected moment of economic crisis, and work with local employers to tailor the Department services to meet their workforce needs. OEWD will continue to facilitate and coordinate efforts among City departments to align workforce development services and advance racial equity through the Committee on Citywide Workforce Alignment.

## Economic Recovery

Over the next two years, the Mayor's proposed budget invest $\$ 14.8$ million to spur economic activity by enhancing the safety, cleanliness, and beautification of key downtown areas. The funding will largely support additional community ambassadors, who will greet and welcome visitors and office workers back to sections of the downtown corridor, the Tenderloin, Mid-Market, and UN Plaza. Additionally, OEWD will be partnering with the Human Rights Commission (HRC) and the Department of the Status of Women (DOSW), and non-profit service providers to offer training programs that focus on supporting women affected by the COVID-19 pandemic. An allocation of $\$ 1$ million to OEWD will provide opportunities for up to 300 women in the fields of healthcare, technology, construction and emerging industries, with additional support for women who were laid off in the hospitality industry.

SMALL BUSINESS COVID-19 GRANT AND LOAN RECIPIENTS BY RACE.

The City has strived to provide small business support to a diverse communities.
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TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 0 2 1}$ <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Authorized | 128.94 | 138.75 | 9.81 | 136.02 |  |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | $(24.25)$ | $(29.50)$ | $(5.25)$ | $(29.50)$ |  |
| Net Operating Positions | 104.69 | 109.25 | 4.56 | $\mathbf{1 0 6 . 5 2}$ | $(\mathbf{2 . 7 3 )}$ |

## Sources

| Business Taxes | 350,000 | 350,000 |  | 350,000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other Local Taxes |  |  |  | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 |
| Interest \& Investment Income | 8,728 |  | $(8,728)$ |  |  |
| Intergovernmental: Federal | 4,670,123 | 5,701,244 | 1,031,121 | 4,493,417 | $(1,207,827)$ |
| Intergovernmental: State | 767,500 | 267,500 | $(500,000)$ | 267,500 |  |
| Intergovernmental: Other | 351,200 | 291,119 | $(60,081)$ | 291,119 |  |
| Charges for Services | 512,390 | 460,000 | $(52,390)$ | 485,000 | 25,000 |
| Other Revenues | 16,676,190 | 16,770,836 | 94,646 | 16,789,764 | 18,928 |
| Expenditure Recovery | 5,334,172 | 4,941,019 | $(393,153)$ | 4,941,019 |  |
| Transfers In | 801,272 | 600,000 | $(201,272)$ | 600,000 |  |
| IntraFund Transfers In |  | 58,785 | 58,785 | 58,785 |  |
| Transfer Adjustment-Source | $(1,272)$ |  | 1,272 |  |  |
| General Fund Support | 66,679,322 | 112,002,952 | 45,323,630 | 74,851,092 | $(37,151,860)$ |
| Sources Total | 96,149,625 | 141,443,455 | 45,293,830 | 105,627,696 | $(35,815,759)$ |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | 12,897,022 | 14,430,349 | 1,533,327 | 14,578,788 | 148,439 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 5,709,397 | 5,900,248 | 190,851 | 5,801,731 | $(98,517)$ |
| Non-Personnel Services | 3,112,319 | 2,763,382 | $(348,937)$ | 2,758,024 | $(5,358)$ |
| City Grant Program | 33,968,831 | 76,031,250 | 42,062,419 | 38,030,758 | $(38,000,492)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 144,353 | 61,654 | $(82,699)$ | 62,154 | 500 |
| Overhead and Allocations | (1) |  | 1 |  |  |
| Programmatic Projects | 29,078,079 | 30,330,360 | 1,252,281 | 31,875,296 | 1,544,936 |
| Services Of Other Depts | 11,229,625 | 11,926,212 | 696,587 | 12,520,945 | 594,733 |
| Transfers Out | 11,272 |  | $(11,272)$ |  |  |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(1,272)$ |  | 1,272 |  |  |
| Uses Total | 96,149,625 | 141,443,455 | 45,293,830 | 105,627,696 | $(35,815,759)$ |

Uses - Division Description

| ECN Economic Development | $23,784,318$ | $61,332,106$ | $37,547,788$ | $41,480,334$ | $(19,851,772)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ECN Economic and Workforce Dev | $(161,816)$ | 137,458 | 299,274 | 26,963 | $(110,495)$ |
| ECN Film Commission | $1,452,390$ | $1,200,000$ | $(252,390)$ | $1,225,000$ | 25,000 |
| ECN Office of Small Business | $2,770,352$ | $2,883,624$ | 113,272 | $2,996,200$ | 112,576 |
| ECN Real Estate Development | $17,371,716$ | $17,488,283$ | 116,567 | $\mathbf{1 7 , 5 5 7 , 6 7 4}$ | 69,391 |
| ECN Workforce Development | $50,932,665$ | $58,401,984$ | $\mathbf{7 , 4 6 9 , 3 1 9}$ | $42,341,525$ | $(16,060,459)$ |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{9 6 , 1 4 9 , 6 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 1 , 4 4 3 , 4 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 , 2 9 3 , 8 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5 , 6 2 7 , 6 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 5 , 8 1 5 , 7 5 9 )}$ |
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## Elections

## MISSION

To provide equitable access to election-related services and voting and to conduct elections that are free, fair, and functional. Administers elections and complies with all applicable federal, state, and local laws, including the Voting Rights Act, the Help America Vote Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act, and the City's Language Access Ordinance.

## SERVICES

The Department is comprised of 9 divisions, each responsible for processes and programs that are required to administer an election.

ADMINISTRATION: Provides oversight of the Department's financial, personnel, administration, and general support services;
BALLOT DISTRIBUTION: Administers the vote-by-mail program and prepares ballot order and ballot distribution plans for each election;
CAMPAIGN SERVICES: Facilitates the filing of candidate nomination papers, ballot measures, and the proponent, opponent, rebuttal, and paid arguments that appear in the Voter Information Pamphlet;
ELECTION DAY SUPPORT: Recruits and trains poll workers to provide accessible and equitable voter services, and secures and operates polling places for each election;
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY: Maintains the Department's network, website, and database applications, including the Election Information Management System that stores voter records and integrates voter registration information with election-related processes;
POLLING PLACE OPERATIONS: Stores, tests, sets up, and distributes the voting equipment for each election, and organizes and distributes polling place supplies;

VOTER INFORMATION: Produces official ballots and Voter Information Pamphlets in multiple languages and formats, provides support to the Ballot Simplification Committee, and prepares and administers equitable and accessible voter outreach and education programs;
VOTER DATA ANALYSIS: Maintains the voter registration roll and conducts ongoing voter file maintenance in accordance with state and federal election law;

VOTER SERVICES: Conducts petition signature verification and facilitates early in-person voting services.
BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $24,388,329$ | $30,756,997$ | $6,368,668$ | $22,925,000$ | $(7,831,997)$ |
| Total FTE | 61 | 80 | 19 | 59 | $(21)$ |

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Provide fully equitable and accessible registration, outreach, and voting services to all eligible San Francisco residents;
- Strengthen collaboration with local community-based organizations and city agencies to provide meaningful voter education;
- Incorporate the impact of racial equity and vulnerable population issues into service, human resources, and budget decisions;
- Evaluate the potential adoption of the Voter's Choice Act voting center model in future San Francisco elections;
- Support the City's Redistricting Task Force, and update voter rolls and align precinct lines with new district boundary lines following redistricting; and
- Secure the City's voting system following the expiration of the current lease contract.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  | FY19 FY20 FY21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Implement an accessible vote-by-mail system |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vote-by-mail turnout as a percentage of total turnout | 65\% | 46\% | 91\% | 61\% | 85\% | 85\% |
| Vote-by-mail turnout | 244,962 | 140984 | 411411 | 148,500 | 394740 | 394740 |
| Expand programs serving new registrants |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of registered voters | 500,516 | 503899 | 521099 | 500,000 | 540000 | 540000 |
| Number of educational materials distributed | 32,535 | 34176 | 37597 | 21,200 | 40000 | 40000 |
| Ensure access for all residents and raise awareness through community partners |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voter turnout | 372,848 | 305184 | 449866 | 300,000 | 464400 | 464400 |
| Turnout as a percentage of registration | 74\% | 61\% | 86\% | 55\% | 86\% | 86\% |
| Percentage of returned undeliverable permanent vote-by-mail ballots | 1\% | 1\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Percentage of polling places staffed with bilingual Spanish-speaking pollworkers | 65\% | 49\% | 59\% | 55\% | 60\% | 60\% |
| Percentage of polling places staffed with bilingual Filipino-speaking pollworkers | 16\% | 9\% | 8\% | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% |
| Percentage of polling places staffed with bilingual Chinese-speaking pollworkers | 62\% | 46\% | 62\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% |
| Percentage of polling place sidewalks surveyed for accessibility | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Number of second ballot requests from permanent vote-by-mail voters | 2,178 | 9425 | 24658 | 4,725 | 20000 | 20000 |
| Number of returned undeliverable permanent vote-by-mail ballots | 3,779 | 517 | 9113 | 7,875 | 7875 | 7875 |
| Number of polling places with physically accessible entryways and voting areas | 583 | 585 | 585 | 583 | 588 | 588 |
| Number of polling places that accommodate additional HAVA equipment | 583 | 588 | 588 | 583 | 588 | 588 |
| Number of outreach events (REG) | 237 | 394 | 195 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Number of organizations contacted | 830 | 1300 | 800 | 840 | 840 | 840 |
| Number of educational presentations | 80 | 167 | 69 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Number of educational presentation program attendees | 73,238 | 2657 | 1880 | 1,144 | 1880 | 1880 |
| Number of bilingual poll workers recruited | 901 | 895 | 1103 | 792 | 1200 | 1200 |
| Average rating for the level of customer service provided (scale of 1-5) | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| \# of employees for whom scheduled performance appraisals were completed (REG) | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 |
| \# of employees for whom performance appraisals were scheduled (REG) | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 |
| Administer conditional voter registration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of voters who voted conditionally | 4,466 | 2681 | 1650 | 3,000 | 3000 | 3000 |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 30.8$ million for the Department of Elections is $\$ 6.4$ million, or 26.1 percent, higher than the FY 2021-22 budget of $\$ 24.4$ million. This increase is mainly due to the one-time cost of potential statewide special election.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 22.9$ million is $\$ 7.8$ million, or 25.5 percent lower than the FY 2021-22 proposed budget. This decrease is due to the special election one-time appropriations in FY 2021-22 that will not continue in FY 2022-23.

## Maintaining Equitable and Convenient Election Services

In FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23 budget cycle, the Department of Election (REG) will conduct the June 7, 2022, Statewide Direct Primary Election and the November 8, 2022, Consolidated General Election as well as any potential special elections held in the City. For all elections, REG will work to provide equitable and convenient services for both potential and existing registrants, including those living in the City's most vulnerable communities


Election

[^16]and residing in neighborhoods with below City average voter turnout.

Essential voter services include the vote-bymail program used by City voters who prefer to vote by mail; the early in-person voting program administered at the City Hall Voting Center; the ballot drop-off program offered throughout the City before Election Day; and the operation of the polling places on Election Day. The Department's proposed budgets includes funding necessary to maintain these core election services, as well as funding for programs designed to improve the convenience and equity of election services for San Francisco's most vulnerable populations.

## Providing Accessible and Equitable Voter Outreach

REG plans to continue expanding voter education and outreach programs developed specifically for voters living in neighborhoods with turnout below the City average, voters who prefer to speak languages other than English, voters with disabilities, voters who are unhoused or housing insecure, and members of the City's communities of color.


[^17]Outreach strategies include distribution of digital and print materials, placement of news and radio advertisements, and broadcasting of public service announcements, all of which will be available in multiple languages and multiple formats. To supplement these strategies, the Department plans to continue collaborating with local communitybased organizations that specialize in providing services to communities of color and other vulnerable populations.

Consequently, the REG's proposed budget includes funding for both internal voter education and outreach programs and for outreach grants to local organizations who work with San Francisco's most vulnerable communities.

## Supporting City Redistricting and Conducting Subsequent Redrawing of Precinct Lines

Following the release of 2020 Census data, a local Redistricting Task Force will, with the assistance
of a consultant hired by the Department, redraw the City's Supervisorial District lines. In or around the same time, the California Citizens Redistricting Commission will adjust California's state and federal voting district lines, including those for San Francisco. At the conclusion of this work, the REG will redraw the City's precinct boundaries, produce new maps, update its voter registration roll, and provide outreach addressing how the new lines will affect local voters.

## Preparing for a Potential Statewide Recall Election

On April 26, 2021, the California Secretary of State reported that enough signatures appear to have been collected to initiate a gubernatorial recall election in 2021. At the submission of the REG's proposed budget, the details and timelines of the reimbursement process for the gubernatorial recall election are unknown; the proposed budget includes funding sufficient to conduct the gubernatorial recall election.

## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: ELECTIONS



## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | 61.20 | 80.29 | 19.09 | 59.22 | (21.07) |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Operating Positions |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sources

| Intergovernmental: State | $2,668,750$ | $4,623,500$ | $1,954,750$ |  | $(4,623,500)$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Charges for Services | 777,518 | 61,972 | $(715,546)$ | 519,291 | 457,319 |  |
| Expenditure Recovery | 70,000 | 70,000 |  |  | $(70,000)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Fund Support | $20,872,061$ | $26,001,525$ | $5,129,464$ | $22,405,709$ | $(3,595,816)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2 4 , 3 8 8 , 3 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 , 7 5 6 , 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 3 6 8 , 6 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 9 2 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{( 7 , 8 3 1 , 9 9 7 )}$ |
| Sources Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $6,976,682$ | $9,582,828$ | $2,606,146$ | $7,410,644$ | $(2,172,184)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $2,004,547$ | $2,194,163$ | 189,616 | $2,044,802$ | $(149,361)$ |
| Non-Personnel Services | $13,179,906$ | $15,622,152$ | $2,442,246$ | $10,916,605$ | $(4,705,547)$ |
| City Grant Program | 250,000 | 300,000 | 50,000 | 300,000 |  |
| Capital Outlay | 31,465 | 21,700 | $(9,765)$ | 21,700 |  |
| Materials \& Supplies | 413,001 | 790,401 | 377,400 | 490,401 | $(300,000)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | $1,532,728$ | $2,245,753$ | 713,025 | $\mathbf{1 , 7 4 0 , 8 4 8}$ | $(504,905)$ |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{2 4 , 3 8 8 , 3 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 , 7 5 6 , 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 3 6 8 , 6 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 9 2 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{( 7 , 8 3 1 , 9 9 7 )}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| REG Elections Services | $24,294,798$ | $30,687,909$ | $6,393,111$ | $22,853,989$ | $(7,833,920)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| REG Elections-Commission | 93,531 | 69,088 | $(24,443)$ | 71,011 | $\mathbf{1 , 9 2 3}$ |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{2 4 , 3 8 8 , 3 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 , 7 5 6 , 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 3 6 8 , 6 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 9 2 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{( 7 , 8 3 1 , 9 9 7 )}$ |

194 elections

## Emergency Management MISSION

The Department of Emergency Management (DEM) leads the City in planning, preparedness, communication, response, and recovery for daily emergencies, large-scale citywide events, and major disasters. DEM is the vital link in emergency communication between the public and first responders, providing key coordination and leadership to city departments, stakeholders, residents, and visitors.

## SERVICES

The Department of Emergency Management provides services through the following divisions:
EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS personnel, commonly referred to as Public Safety Communications Dispatchers, are cross-trained to process police, medical, and fire emergency calls. In addition, dispatchers are responsible for monitoring and coordinating two-way radio communication with public safety responders and monitoring the status of field personnel through a computer-aided dispatch system.

EMERGENCY SERVICES personnel work closely with emergency responders, community partners, and residents to plan for, respond to, and quickly recover from both intentional and natural disasters. In addition, staff convenes and coordinates city agencies and other members of the community to prepare for special events and other anticipated incidents in order to ensure efficient, effective, and equitable responses to public safety issues. Emergency Services also provides timely and relevant emergency notifications to the community through AlertSF and serves as the City's primary link to state and federal emergency management and Homeland Security partners.

ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT provides the Department with payroll and personnel services; budget and accounting functions; IT systems planning and management; facility management; and other administrative functions.

## BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $117,088,290$ | $122,439,115$ | $5,350,825$ | $126,117,005$ | $3,677,890$ |
| Total FTE | 297 | 300 | 3 | 276 | $(24)$ |

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Improve the City's resiliency and ability to recover from an emergency event or natural disaster;
- Ensure equity in emergency preparedness through the development of partnerships with communityserving organizations, educational institutions, neighborhood groups, and businesses;
- Meet current and new performance standards for answering 9-1-1 calls and dispatching police, fire, and medics; enhance and improve call-taking and dispatching capabilities with system upgrades to Computer Aided Dispatch and migration to a Next Generation 9-1-1 platform;
- Coordinate with the city, state, federal, and non-profit partners, and participate in regional training and exercises to collaboratively plan for and respond to large-scale emergencies;
- Pursue and leverage multiple federal grant funding opportunities to coordinate with city, state, federal, and non-profit partners to enhance regional public safety preparedness capabilities and initiatives;
- Collaborate with partners to help make San Francisco a leader in finding alternatives to traditional policing responses that improve outcomes for people in crisis, address racial disparities, and allow for equitable delivery of public safety services in the city; and
- Emphasize diversity and inclusion in the Department of Emergency Management's recruiting practices and develop occupational pathways for those interested in pursuing a career in the field of Emergency Management.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  | FY19 Full Year | FY2O Full Year | FY21 |  | FY22 <br> Target | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY23 } \\ & \text { Target } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Projected | Target |  |  |
| Create a Thriving Workforce |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of new dispatchers successfully completing the training program | 24 |  |  | 30 | 0 | 18 |
| Percentage of staff that have at least one professional certification | 90\% | 90\% |  | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% |
| Educate \& Engage Communities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of preparedness presentations made | 52 | 230 | 441 | 40 | 52 | 52 |
| Percent of increase in number of AlertSF registrants | 23\% | 32\% | 16\% | 20\% | 10\% | 10\% |
| Social Media Engagement, Hits, and Impressions as provided through various social media platforms and analytics | 11,309,140 | 22,541,323 | 21,623,038 | 200,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 |
| Ensure a Prepared \& Resilient City |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of exercises led by DES staff | 23 | 10 | 3 | 23 | 15 | 15 |
| Number of new emergency plans developed or existing emergency plans revised in the last 3 years | 3 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Number of training courses led by DES staff | 8 | 15 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Invest in the 911 Center |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average daily emergency call volume | 1,928 | 1,878 | 1,625 |  | 1,900 | 1,900 |
| Average time (in minutes) from received to dispatch of Code 3 medical calls |  | 1.88 | 1.9 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Percentage of emergency calls answered within 10 seconds ("Ring Time") | 90.2\% | 93.0\% | 91.0\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% |
| Percentage of emergency calls answered within 15 seconds ("Answer Time") | 91.4\% | 93.8\% | 92.0\% | 95\% | 95\% | 95\% |
| Percentage of non-emergency calls answered within 1 minute | 77.2\% | 84.3\% | 81.0\% | 80\% | 80\% | 80\% |
| Response to code 3 medical calls (in minutes) in 90th percentile |  | 3.43 | 3.57 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Promote Strategic City initiatives |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of outstanding DEM tasks in the master improvement plan completed. |  | 10 | 5 | 30 | 25 | 25 |
| Strengthen Regional Relationships |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of DEM awarded grant funds that are encumbered or have been spent. | 60\% | 55\% | 51\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 122.4$ million for the Department of Emergency Management (DEM) is $\$ 5.4$ million, or 4.6 percent, higher than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 117.1$ million. This increase is due to additional grants and the continuance of DEM's management of the COVID Command Center that is responsible for coordinating the City's COVID-19 emergency response.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 126.1$ million for DEM is $\$ 3.7$ million, or 3.0 percent, higher than the FY 2021-22 proposed budget. This increase is due to additional grants and the ramp-up of major information technology projects.

## Maintain Call Performance for 9-1-1 Operations

For DEM's 9-1-1 Operations, the ability to meet the performance standard of answering 90 percent of emergency calls with ten seconds is driven by two primary factors: the number of fully-trained dispatchers and overall call volume. Due to the economic impact of COVID-19 pandemic and lower call volume, DEM did not hire new dispatcher trainees in FY 2020-21, but will need to hold an academy class within the next budget cycle to recover from the ongoing attrition of veteran dispatchers.


[^18]
## Refresh Critical Public Safety Systems

Since FY 2013-14, DEM has led a multi-year initiative to replace the current 800 MHz Radio System with new technology to support over 10,000 mobile and handheld radios along with 26 City Departments and Outside Agencies operating daily on the system. Due to previous funding approved through The Committee on Information Technology (COIT) as part of its Major IT Projects Allocation, DEM is funded to cutover to the new Public Safety Radio System by December 30, 2021.

With the CAD Replacement Project, $\$ 15$ million has been allocated between FY 2021-22 and FY 202223. This funding level will account for salaries, consultant fees, interdepartmental workorders, and various other expenses to ensure the project remains on track for completion by June 2024. CAD is the primary public safety and Emergency Medical Services dispatch system for the City; interfaces with many other City systems including 3-1-1, thus provides essential services for those in need, and supports safe streets for everyone.

In addition, DEM will work closely with the State of California on the State-wide Next-Generation 9-1-1 (NG-911) initiative, which will modernize the way that 9-1-1 calls are delivered to DEM's 911 Center. The initiative will improve 9-1-1 caller location accuracy


[^19]and pave the way for better data to get to the dispatch center, including multimedia, text messaging and video.

## COVID-19 Response

As one of the lead Departments for the City's COVID-19 response, DEM will be allocated $\$ 7.9$
million to account for operating expenses through December 2021. The range of operating expenses include the continued use of Moscone South as the City's COVID-19 Command Center, temporary salary expenses, contract services for High Volume Vaccination sites, and public outreach and messaging costs.

## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT



## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 0 2 1}$ <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 0 2 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Authorized | 298.79 | 301.21 | 2.42 | 276.60 |  |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | $(2.00)$ | $(1.00)$ | 1.00 | $(24.61)$ |  |
| Net Operating Positions | 296.79 | 300.21 | $\mathbf{3 . 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 5 . 6 0}$ | $(\mathbf{2 4 . 6 1 )}$ |

## Sources

| Intergovernmental: Federal | 44,177,302 | 41,827,064 | $(2,350,238)$ | 42,002,677 | 175,613 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charges for Services | 4,025 | 587,567 | 583,542 | 693,325 | 105,758 |
| Expenditure Recovery | 2,850,558 | 2,065,836 | $(784,722)$ | 2,073,095 | 7,259 |
| General Fund Support | 70,056,405 | 77,958,648 | 7,902,243 | 81,347,908 | 3,389,260 |
| Sources Total | 117,088,290 | 122,439,115 | 5,350,825 | 126,117,005 | 3,677,890 |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $40,312,881$ | $45,682,650$ | $5,369,769$ | $44,184,760$ | $(1,497,890)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $15,107,022$ | $15,405,448$ | 298,426 | $15,457,815$ | 52,367 |
| Non-Personnel Services | $29,764,838$ | $36,146,862$ | $6,382,024$ | $36,130,084$ | $(16,778)$ |
| Capital Outlay |  | 309,840 | 309,840 | 385,133 | 75,293 |
| Debt Service | $5,091,257$ | $5,381,074$ | 289,817 | $5,395,526$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 4 5 2}$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | $\mathbf{1 , 7 2 9 , 5 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 9 6 , 6 1 8}$ | 67,117 | $\mathbf{1 , 7 4 5 , 2 6 2}$ | $(51,356)$ |
| Overhead and Allocations |  |  |  |  |  |
| Programmatic Projects | $16,988,081$ | $9,865,481$ | $(7,122,600)$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 1 9 8 , 8 3 1}$ | $5,333,350$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | $\mathbf{8 , 0 9 4 , 7 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 8 5 1 , 1 4 2}$ | $(\mathbf{2 4 3 , 5 6 8 )}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 6 1 9 , 5 9 4}$ |  |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{1 1 7 , 0 8 8 , 2 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 2 , 4 3 9 , 1 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 3 5 0 , 8 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6 , 1 1 7 , 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 6 7 7 , 8 9 0}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| DEM Administration | $38,811,524$ | $34,358,099$ | $(4,453,425)$ | $36,711,101$ | $2,353,002$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DEM Emergency Communications | $38,017,600$ | $39,124,692$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 0 7 , 0 9 2}$ | $40,030,925$ | 906,233 |
| DEM Emergency Services | $3,808,726$ | $7,129,260$ | $3,320,534$ | $7,372,302$ | 243,042 |
| DEM Homeland Security Grants | $36,450,440$ | $41,827,064$ | $5,376,624$ | $\mathbf{4 2 , 0 0 2 , 6 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 5 , 6 1 3}$ |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{1 1 7 , 0 8 8 , 2 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 2 , 4 3 9 , 1 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 3 5 0 , 8 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6 , 1 1 7 , 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 6 7 7 , 8 9 0}$ |

## Environment

## MISSION

The mission of the San Francisco Department of the Environment (ENV) is to provide solutions that advance climate protection and enhance the quality of life for all San Franciscans. ENV implements change-making environmental policies and delivers programs and services directly to residents and businesses that help promote zero waste, protect human health, increase energy efficiency, prevent pollution, enhance biodiversity, and reduce personal vehicle trips. ENV also works in partnership with city agencies and the public to implement San Francisco's ambitious Climate Action Strategy (0-80-100-ROOTS) to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and strengthen community resilience.

## SERVICES

The Department of the Environment provides services through the following program areas:
CLEAN TRANSPORTATION promotes alternatives to driving for residents, businesses, and city employees; encourages clean fuel technology and adoption; and monitors the renewable fuel composition of the city fleet.
CLIMATE tracks greenhouse gas emissions of citywide and municipal operations, and designs and coordinates policies to reduce the City's carbon footprint to align with San Francisco's climate action goals.

ENERGY provides technical and policy support, including professional energy-efficiency auditing, upgrade services, and incentives, to the residential and commercial sectors. This also includes facilitation of rooftop solar installations throughout the City, creation of codes and standards that achieve zero net carbon buildings, and strategic program development for energy storage and zero-emission vehicles that results in market transformation.

GREEN BUILDING furthers resource conservation in the construction, demolition, and maintenance of municipal building projects, and enhances the environmental performance of residential and commercial buildings in San Francisco.

GREEN BUSINESS helps San Francisco businesses adopt environmental practices that are sustainable as well as profitable, and recognizes partners with sustainable business practices for their efforts with the San Francisco Green Business seal.

Services (continued on next page)

## BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $41,287,133$ | $21,625,762$ | $(19,661,371)$ | $22,156,872$ | 531,110 |
| Total FTE | 71 | 68 | $(3)$ | 68 | 0 |

ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE addresses air quality, energy infrastructure, and health concerns in communities that bear a disproportionate environmental burden, and helps to build healthier, more sustainable neighborhoods.
OUTREACH educates the public, including residents, businesses, visitors, and schools, about the City's environmental programs and policies to inspire and promote sustainable behavior change across neighborhoods, communities, and languages.
TOXICS REDUCTION promotes proper use and disposal of toxic products, and educates municipal, commercial, and residential clients on safer alternatives.

ZERO WASTE promotes waste prevention, recycling, and composting in the municipal, commercial, and residential sectors to bring the City closer to its goal of zero waste.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Develop policies and programs that support the City's climate action plan, which include sending zero waste to landfill, ensuring 80 percent of all trips are on sidewalks, bikes, or transit, and transitioning to 100 percent renewable energy;
- Improve affordability and support climate action by incentivizing cost-effective energy efficiency upgrades for residents and businesses;
- Protect fire responders, vulnerable populations, and all San Franciscans by reducing the impact of toxic chemicals in everyday lives;
- Expand biodiversity and compost initiatives to support healthy ecosystems and ensure the City meet carbon reduction goals through carbon sequestration; and
- Evaluate and improve the Department's internal and external policies and programs to better serve Black, Indigenous, and People of Color, and increase equitable representation in all levels of the Department's workforce.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  | FY19 <br> Full Year |  | FY21 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Amplifying community action |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of interns and public service trainees recruited annually | 70 | 30 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Eliminating waste |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average workday tons of refuse to primary landfill | 1,599 | 1557.05 | 1600 | 1,600 | 1,600 | 1,600 |
| Percentage of residential and small business refuse recovered through recycling and composting | 57.0\% | 53\% | 55\% | 54\% | 55\% | 60\% |
| Leading on climate action |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Greenhouse gas emissions percentage below 1990 levels | 36\% | 41\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 41\% |
| Percent of vehicles registered in San Francisco that are zero emission vehicles | 4.2\% | 3.38\% | 5\% | 5.0\% | 5\% | 6\% |
| Total publicly accessible zero emission vehicle charging and fueling stations | 1400 | 1505 | 2000 | 1,200 | 1200 | 2500 |
| Promoting healthy communities \& ecosystems |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of SFE employees that have received racial equity and implicit bias training to ensure sustainability initiatives are equitable and accessable | 100\% | 80\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Strengthening community resilience |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Incentive dollars provided to multi-family housing and commercial sector customers for energy efficiency upgrades | \$3,653,007 | \$1506678 | \$1,275,000 | \$1,275,000 | \$1,275,000 | \$1,000,000 |
| Number of certified Green Businesses (certified through the Green Business program) to improve environemental quality and affordability | 314 | 330 | 440 | 365 | 380 | 350 |
| Percentage of all Department of the Environment grant funds allocated to low-income communities or public housing | 55\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 21.6$ million for the Department of the Environment changed by $\$ 19.7$ million, or 47.6 percent, less than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 41.3$ million. This is primarily due to a reduction in one-time grant revenue from FY 2020-21.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 22.2$ million is $\$ 0.5$ million, or 2.5 percent, slightly higher than its FY 2021-22 proposed budget. This is primarily due to an increase in interdepartmental services recovery.

On an annual basis, the Department's budget fluctuates depending on the timing and size of external grants.

## Improving the Environment while Promoting Affordability

The Department of the Environment (ENV) implements energy efficiency programs - like the Bay Area Regional Energy Network (BayREN) and EnergyAccess SF - that provide greater affordability through utility savings for residents and small businesses. BayREN is a regional program focused on energy efficiency retrofits for multifamily properties with five or more units. Since 2013, ENV has completed retrofits in over 10,000 units. In partnership with San Francisco's community-lender, Mission Asset Fund, BayREN
also offers a Microloan Program for small-dollar loans to Bay Area micro-businesses to finance the installation of energy efficient equipment such as LED lighting and more efficient refrigeration compressors. Another energy efficiency program, "EnergyAccess SF," is a government partnership with PG\&E that aims to provide residents and small businesses in the Bayview-Hunters Point district with access to energy programs. Specifically, ENV staff assist them with selecting energy efficient appliances and equipment and finding rebates to reduce - or eliminate - upfront costs. These invaluable programs directly impact the lives of San Franciscans by improving essential services like hot water, lighting, and building safety - all while reducing energy costs.

## Sustainability and Economic Resilience for Small Businesses

ENV's thriving Green Business Program has helped hundreds of San Francisco small and mediumsized businesses save money while promoting sustainable operating practices. Through the Green Business certification program, ENV provides hands-on and free technical assistance to support businesses in achieving ENV's rigorous certification. ENV collaborates with other City agencies to set criteria for this program in multiple environmental categories, including energy

## REDUCTION IN GREENHOUSE GAS EMIMISSIONS SINCE 1990.

San Francisco greenhouse gas emissions for energy, transportation, fuel, and waste dropped 42 percent below 1990 levels as of 2019. San Francisco exceeded its goal to reduce emissions by 40 percent by 2025. The 42 percent reduction is equivalent to taking 973,287 cars off the road.


## Confronting the Climate Crisis

efficiency, water conservation, sustainable transportation, and waste and toxins reduction. In FY 2020-21, the San Francisco Green Business program provided over $\$ 26,000$ in the form of rebates and prebates for products and services that help businesses meet the program's standards, with priority given to businesses in underserved communities and those most impacted by COVID-19. Today, over 300 businesses are certified and proudly display the Green Business Program seal on their storefronts and web pages. Additionally, ENV is supporting the City's COVID-19 response efforts by conducting a multi-channel outreach campaign to build awareness among residents about safer, equally effective products that don't consist of asthma-inducing and other harsh chemicals. The initiative focuses on communities known to have the highest asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease rates, such as in the Bayview, Mission, and Chinatown.

ENV collaborates with other City departments, community-based organizations, professional associations, and residents to reduce carbon emissions while developing policies that protect all residents, businesses, and visitors from the harmful impacts of climate change. ENV is also responsible for measuring, monitoring, and communicating progress on the City's carbon reduction targets, and developing the City's collaborative Climate Action Plan. ENV's Environmental Justice team works to address or mitigate environmental burdens, increase access to environmental programs and benefits for disadvantaged communities, bring environmental initiatives to affordable and public housing sites, and improve health outcomes and quality of life for residents facing the greatest disparities. ENV's successful climate action initiatives and partnerships have led to the City's groundbreaking ordinance requiring all-electric infrastructure in new construction and are leading the implementation of this new ordinance.

## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: ENVIRONMENT



TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 0 2 1}$ <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Authorized | 86.93 | 84.12 | $(2.81)$ | 83.70 |  |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | $(16.23)$ | $(15.73)$ | $(0.42)$ |  |  |
| Net Operating Positions | $\mathbf{7 0 . 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 . 3 1 )}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 . 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{( 0 . 4 2 )}$ |

## Sources

| Intergovernmental: State | $16,033,198$ | 600,500 | $(15,432,698)$ | 600,500 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Charges for Services | $16,882,472$ | $16,349,630$ | $(532,842)$ | $16,644,750$ | 295,120 |
| Other Revenues | $1,786,367$ | $2,007,326$ | 220,959 | $2,243,316$ |  |
| Expenditure Recovery | $3,163,307$ | $2,666,629$ | $(496,678)$ | $2,666,629$ |  |
| Transfers In |  | 1,677 | 1,677 | 1,677 |  |
| IntraFund Transfers In | $4,264,733$ | $3,872,867$ | $(391,866)$ | $3,932,259$ |  |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | $3,421,789$ |  | $(3,421,789)$ |  |  |
| Transfer Adjustment-Source | $(4,264,733)$ | $(3,872,867)$ | 391,866 | $(3,932,259)$ | $(59,392$ |

General Fund Support

| Sources Total | $\mathbf{4 1 , 2 8 7 , 1 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 6 2 5 , 7 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 9 , 6 6 1 , 3 7 1 )}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 1 5 6 , 8 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 1 , 1 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | 7,244,821 | 7,534,255 | 289,434 | 7,749,683 | 215,428 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 3,921,402 | 3,719,094 | $(202,308)$ | 3,883,692 | 164,598 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 17,780,376 | 2,794,950 | $(14,985,426)$ | 2,807,252 | 12,302 |
| City Grant Program | 568,294 | 421,444 | $(146,850)$ | 421,922 | 478 |
| Intrafund Transfers Out | 6,458,349 | 3,872,867 | $(2,585,482)$ | 3,932,259 | 59,392 |
| Materials \& Supplies | 262,437 | 250,841 | $(11,596)$ | 250,841 |  |
| Overhead and Allocations | 2,582,682 | 480,696 | $(2,101,986)$ | 479,614 | $(1,082)$ |
| Programmatic Projects | 859,935 | 859,935 |  | 859,935 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | 5,379,442 | 5,564,547 | 185,105 | 5,703,933 | 139,386 |
| Unappropriated Rev-Designated | 494,128 |  | $(494,128)$ |  |  |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(4,264,733)$ | $(3,872,867)$ | 391,866 | (3,932,259) | $(59,392)$ |
| Uses Total | 41,287,133 | 21,625,762 | $(19,661,371)$ | 22,156,872 | 531,110 |

Uses - Division Description

| ENV Environment | $41,287,133$ | $21,625,762$ | $(19,661,371)$ | $22,156,872$ | 531,110 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{4 1 , 2 8 7 , 1 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 6 2 5 , 7 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 9 , 6 6 1 , 3 7 1 )}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 1 5 6 , 8 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 1 , 1 1 0}$ |

## Ethics Commission

## MISSION

The mission of the Ethics Commission (ETH) is to promote and practice the highest standards of ethical behavior in government. The Commission acts as a filing officer, administers campaign finance, lobbying, and ethics programs; advises city departments on ethical matters, conducts policy analysis and issues reports; and performs audits, investigations, and administrative enforcement.

## SERVICES

The Ethics Commission provides services through the following program areas:
PUBLIC DISCLOSURE AND COMPLIANCE GUIDANCE provides compliance and filing assistance for persons required to submit public disclosure statements and other filings, including political candidates and committees, lobbyists, city officials and employees, campaign and permit consultants, and major developers. Provides public access to disclosed information.

AUDITS conducts audits of campaign committees, publicly financed candidates, and lobbyists to ensure compliance with applicable state and local laws, and also verifies eligibility and disbursements from the Election Campaign Fund for publicly-matched funds to candidates for the Board of Supervisors and Mayor.

POLICY AND ADVICE provides formal and informal advice regarding the application of ethics, campaign finance, and lobbyist laws, and requirements for campaign consultants, permit consultants, and major developers; conducts policy analysis and legislative reviews; issues reports on programs and issues within the Commission's jurisdiction; and oversees the registration and regulation of campaign consultants and lobbyists.

INVESTIGATIONS AND ENFORCEMENT investigates complaints alleging violations of laws under the Commission's jurisdiction and pursues administrative enforcement when warranted.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Strengthen ethics, lobbying, and campaign finance laws to ensure that they are effective and enforceable in practice;
- Provide useful disclosure tools that support full compliance and strengthen public engagement in City elections and governance;
- Conduct independent oversight that promotes accountability in government through fair, timely, and thorough audits, investigations, and administrative enforcement; and
- Develop and implement a Racial Equity Action Plan to advance racial equity in services to the public and in departmental operations, policies, and practices.


## BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $4,724,515$ | $6,551,078$ | $1,826,563$ | $7,458,201$ | 907,123 |
| Total FTE | 23 | 31 | 8 | 32 | 1 |


|  | FY19 <br> Full Year |  | FY21 |  | FY22 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Increase Accountability in Government |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of investigations opened during the fiscal year | 34 | 20 | 10 | 30 | 35 | 45 |
| Number of campaign committees and publicly financed candidate committees audited | 10 | 4 | 22 | 10 | 15 | 18 |
| Average age (in months) of open matters in preliminary review at end of the fiscal year | 8.9 | 7.3 | 11 | 3 | 9 | 7 |
| Enhance Transparency Through Public Disclosure |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of identified lobbyists filing reports on a timely basis | 93.1\% | 98\% | 99\% | 92\% | 99\% | 99\% |
| Percentage of expected campaign finance statements (Form 460) filed on time | 84.6\% | 90\% | 94\% | 80\% | 93\% | 93\% |
| Percentage of annual Statements of Economic Interests e-filed with the Ethics Commission on time | 97.3\% | 94 | 95 | 92\% | 92\% | 95\% |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 6.6$ million for the Ethics Commission is $\$ 1.8$ million, or 38.7 percent, increase than the FY 202021 budget of $\$ 4.7$ million. This increase in funding supports a new initiative for citywide ethics outreach and training and new staff in the investigation team to enhance government transparency and accountability efforts.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 7.5$ million is $\$ 0.9$ million or 13.8 percent higher than the proposed FY 2021-22 budget. This increase is primarily due to a mandated deposit to the Election Campaign Fund.

## Public Service that Builds Public Trust

With a mission to practice and promote the highest standards of integrity, the Ethics Commission works to deliver impactful programs and to support departments throughout City government in promoting fair, transparent, and accountable governmental decision making for the benefit of all San Franciscans.

In a series of public integrity assessments, it undertook to examine internal controls and limit future corruption risks in the City, the Controller's Office issued findings and preliminary recommendations to reinforce expectations of public transparency and strengthen adherence to existing standards of ethical behavior. Aligned with that work, the Ethics Commission has identified the review and strengthening of the City's ethics laws as its top policy priority. In addition, implementation of Budget and Legislative Analyst audit recommendations designed to strengthen the Commission's core audit and investigative functions continues to be a top operational priority. Among the policy and
operational goals for the Commission are:

- Developing stronger ethics and conflict of interest provisions in City law
- Establishing new post-filing compliance reviews for improved oversight and filer accountability
- Formalizing and implementing new approaches for training on ethics for City employees and contractors


## Ethics@Work

The Mayor's proposed budget for FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23 includes funding for the Ethics Commission to establish an ethics training and outreach team. The Ethics@Work initiative ensures City employees and contractors throughout the city government can be equipped with the tools to navigate ethical issues in public service effectively and actively contribute to a citywide culture of integrity. There is funding for four training specialists to pursue core anti-corruption work with departments citywide. Equipping the city's workforce with practical tools and information to constructively deal with ethical issues that can emerge in their work is also essential to sustain and support the highest standard of integrity in city government. The ethics training project will invest in training designed and delivered with a specific focus to help ensure the tools and information are relevant and impactful. Development of training components would consider and assess unique areas of risk given the nature of the department's work, and Ethics@ Work modules will be regularly evaluated to ensure the training is effective.

## Enforcement and Program Performance

The Mayor's proposed budget also includes new investigative resources that will enable case resolution times to be reduced to no more than 18
months on average from the date the Enforcement Division identifies allegations to be investigated to the date of final action by the Commission. Along with reducing case reduction times, the number, proportion, and severity of cases investigated by the Ethics Commission would increase, as would the Commission's capacity to initiate proactive investigations into matters that result in the most severe public harm to fair and accountable government.

In addition, the Mayor's proposed budget includes funding to ensure ETH can develop and implement standardized methods to track and regularly report on the performance of the department's core functions. Identifying effective program performance metrics and regularly evaluating and communicating them will enable the department to assess the effectiveness of core functions, use data to drive decision-making, and communicate ETH's work to the public.

## Citywide Form 700 E-Filing

To support improved transparency, the Ethics Commission is working to implement electronic filing for all designated filers of Form 700 - Statement of Economic Interests beginning in January 2022. This will enable roughly 3,500 designated
employees who currently file these public disclosure forms on paper with their departments to use the Commission's online filing system to submit their financial disclosure statements. In addition to supporting timely and improved access for the public to these Statement of Economic Interests disclosures, electronic filing will support designated City employees by making the filing process easier and more efficient.

## Election Campaign Funds

The Election Campaign Fund (Fund) is established in the City's Campaign Finance Reform Ordinance (CFRO) to fund the City's system of partial public financing for candidates for the Office of the Mayor and Board of Supervisors. The public financing system was created by San Francisco voters in 2000 and enables eligible candidates to quality for a limited amount of public funds in their campaigns. Under City law, the Fund is capped at a maximum of $\$ 7.0$ million. Annual required allocations into the Fund are based on a formula in the law of $\$ 2.75$ per resident to ensure adequate program funding, with additional allocations required in the case of a Mayoral or Board of Supervisor vacancy. No more than 15 percent of the total in the Fund for any given election may be used for administering the public financing program.
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## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: ETHICS COMMISSION



## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | 22.78 | 30.50 | 7.72 | 32.33 |  |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) |  |  | 1.83 |  |  |
| Net Operating Positions | 22.78 | 30.50 | 7.72 | 32.33 | 1.83 |

## Sources

| Licenses, Permits,\& Franchises | 92,000 | 92,000 | 92,000 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fines, Forfeiture, \& Penalties | 62,750 | 62,750 | 62,750 |  |
| Charges for Services | 2,450 | 2,450 | 2,450 |  |
| General Fund Support |  |  |  |  |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $2,840,721$ | $4,043,216$ | $1,202,495$ | $4,432,197$ | 388,981 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $1,217,115$ | $1,631,980$ | 414,865 | $1,745,926$ | 113,946 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 223,918 | 272,433 | 48,515 | 263,433 | $(9,000)$ |
| City Grant Program |  |  | 446,860 | 446,860 |  |
| Materials \& Supplies | 23,508 | 59,508 | 36,000 | 23,508 | $(36,000)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | 419,253 | 543,941 | 124,688 | 546,277 | 2,336 |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{4 , 7 2 4 , 5 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 5 5 1 , 0 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 2 6 , 5 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 4 5 8 , 2 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 7 , 1 2 3}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| ETH Ethics Commission | $4,724,515$ | $6,551,078$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 2 6 , 5 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 4 5 8 , 2 0 1}$ | 907,123 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{4 , 7 2 4 , 5 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 5 5 1 , 0 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 2 6 , 5 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 4 5 8 , 2 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 7 , 1 2 3}$ |

## Fine Arts Museums

MISSION
The Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco (FAM) was formed in 1972 with the merger of the de Young and Legion of Honor museums. The Fine Arts Museums' mission is to connect the Museum's visitors with local and global art in order to promote their knowledge of and curiosity about the past, deepen their engagement with the art and ideas of today, and stimulate their creative agency in their own futures. FAM is further envisioned as a forum that stimulates community and visitor conversations and explorations by applying inclusive and equitable perspectives to the Museum's collections and the histories they embody, and to support Museum's staff to realize their potential in an inclusive and equitable workplace.

## SERVICES

The de Young and Legion of Honor museums are operated by the Corporation of Fine Arts Museums (COFAM), a private nonprofit organization, on behalf of FAM. COFAM raises contributions, sells memberships, and conducts mission-related revenue earning activities to fund a significant portion of the programs and operations of the museums, supplemented by the City's funding for FAM.

FAM provides services through the following divisions:
GENERAL DIVISION is responsible for the security, building maintenance and related capital improvements, and utilities of the de Young and the Legion of Honor. It is also responsible for the security, conservation, and public display of the City's art collection.

The de Young is home to a world-class collection of American paintings; decorative arts and crafts; arts from Africa, Oceania, and the Americas; Western and non-Western textiles; and photography.

The Legion of Honor is known for its rich overview of European art history, from medieval times through the 20th century. It also houses an outstanding collection of ancient art and the largest collection of works on paper west of the Mississippi River.

ADMISSIONS is responsible for administering public entry into the two museums.
BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2 - 2 3}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $18,470,103$ | $20,985,756$ | $2,515,653$ | $20,996,834$ | 11,078 |
| Total FTE | 105 | 104 | $(1)$ | 105 | 1 |

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Sustain and develop the City's prestigious collections of world art;
- Mirror and model the diversity of San Francisco in hiring, exhibitions, accessibility, programs, and community outreach that welcomes the underserved;
- Contribute to San Francisco's economy and civic pride as a must-see destination for local, national, and international visitors;
- Excel in operational and fiscal management of city resources;
- Continue to diversify staff through alternative recruitment strategies and updated outreach; and
- Increase engagement with BIPOC and other underrepresented groups to the Museums by becoming a more inclusive and welcoming environment for staff and visitors.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  | FY19 Full Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY20 } \\ & \text { Full Year } \end{aligned}$ | Projected | FY21 <br> Target | FY22 <br> Target | FY23 <br> Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Create a dynamic, efficient, and financially secure organization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City cost per visitor (All museums) | \$11.25 | \$23.38 | \$59.59 | \$12.05 | \$22.92 | \$14.23 |
| Number of participants in public programs | 194,352 | 48,066 | 300,000 | 300,000 | 150,000 | 200,000 |
| Lead as two of the major museums on the West Coast |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of de Young visitors | 1,120,025 | 521,392 | 225,000 | 1,100,000 | 525,000 | 1,000,000 |
| Number of Legion of Honor visitors | 289,135 | 194,085 | 56,000 | 350,000 | 265,000 | 300,000 |
| Number of paid memberships | 107,900 | 88,885 | 78,600 | 100,000 | 85,000 | 100,000 |
| Present extraordinary exhibitions and build on Collection's strengths |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of acquisitions through gifts, bequests and purchases | 624 | 992 | 1,010 | 500 | 750 | 750 |
| Number of exhibitions | 16 | 18 | 13 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| Support education and engagement programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of all school children and youth participating in education programs | 77,806 | 31,783 | 15,000 | 55,000 | 20,000 | 50,000 |
| Number of San Francisco school children and youth participating in education programs | 46,690 | 19,000 | 8,400 | 45,000 | 12,000 | 24,000 |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 21.0$ million for the Fine Arts Museums is a $\$ 2.5$ million, or 13.6 percent, increase than the FY 202021 budget of $\$ 18.5$ million. This increase is primarily due to capital funding.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 21.0$ million does not significantly differ from their FY 2021-22 proposed budget.

## Exhibitions that Embrace Inclusive and Equitable Narratives while Supporting Economic Recovery

The Museums offer a wide range of programs that expand knowledge and embrace diversity, equity, and inclusion values in order to provide audiences with an inclusive and equitable lens on historic and contemporary issues. This inclusive programming supports economic recovery by welcoming an expanded audience to the Museums. The Museums
will offer several major special exhibitions in FY 2021-22 covering a wide range of art and artists including the following which have already been announced:

- Judy Chicago: A Retrospective - Celebrates pioneering feminist artist Judy Chicago with the first retrospective of her work.
- Wangechi Mutu: I Am Speaking, Are You Listening? - Showcases a site-specific exhibition of new and recently created sculpture, collage, and film by visionary Kenyan American artist Wangechi Mutu.
- Patrick Kelly: Runway of Love - Celebrates the remarkable career and legacy of African American fashion designer Patrick Kelly.
- Hung Liu: Golden Gate - This new installation by renowned Bay Area artist Hung Liu, will combine new and existing work to highlight international and domestic narratives of migration.


## Prioritizing Equitable Access to the Museums through Free Saturdays

The Museums ensure that the City's collections are accessible to individuals and families from across the socio-economic spectrum. The Free Saturdays program was established in April 2019 to provide free General Admission to every San Francisco resident. In October 2019, the Museums expanded the program to all San Francisco Bay Area residents. Since its inception, the program has provided over 120,000 free visits including 40,000 first-time visitors.

As part of the Free Saturday program, the Museums provide a variety of complimentary public and family programming every Saturday. Free Saturday attendance has seen broad attendance increases including a 200 percent increase in families, 250 percent increase in low income households and 350 percent increase in diverse audiences.

In addition to Free Saturdays, FAM provides free general admission for First Responders and all visitors with disabilities during regular hours. FAM continues to offer free general admission to all every

^ANNUAL VISITORS. The Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco, comprising the de Young Museum and the Legion of Honor, is among the most visited arts institutions in the United States.
first Tuesday of the month. School group admission and programs are also free of charge, including for special exhibitions. Several Access Mondays each year provide free general and special exhibition admission and facilitated programs for individuals with disabilities. These combined programmatic efforts assist to increase diversity and financial accessibility at the museums, and to expand awareness in new communities.

## Capital and Technology Investments

Capital improvements essential for keeping the museum buildings in good working order and ensuring a safe environment for visitors, staff, and the collections include repairs to tower exterior panel supports at the de Young; and replacement of aging building systems at both facilities. Many capital investments at the Legion of Honor will be needed in advance of its centenary in 2024.

The Museums are implementing a new Collections Management System to modernize registration and improve access to the City's collection of over 130,000 objects.
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## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | 104.60 | 104.30 | $(0.30)$ | 105.13 | 0.83 |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Operating Positions | $\mathbf{1 0 4 . 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4 . 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{( 0 . 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5 . 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 3}$ |

## Sources

| Charges for Services | 750,000 | 771,934 | 21,934 | 1,064,066 | 292,132 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expenditure Recovery | 179,000 | 179,000 |  | 179,000 |  |
| Transfers In | 373,614 | 266,836 | $(106,778)$ |  | $(266,836)$ |
| General Fund Support | 17,167,489 | 19,767,986 | 2,600,497 | 19,753,768 | $(14,218)$ |
| Sources Total | 18,470,103 | 20,985,756 | 2,515,653 | 20,996,834 | 11,078 |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $8,954,502$ | $9,800,763$ | 846,261 | $10,202,031$ | 401,268 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $4,391,425$ | $4,475,646$ | 84,221 | $4,580,059$ | 104,413 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 617,481 | 617,481 | 617,481 |  |  |
| Capital Outlay | 422,456 | $1,399,579$ | 977,123 | $1,020,258$ |  |
| Materials \& Supplies | 40,900 | 158,130 | 117,230 | 36,500 | $(379,321)$ |
| Overhead and Allocations | 186,513 | 64,593 | $(121,920)$ | 64,593 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | $3,856,826$ | $4,469,564$ | 612,738 | $4,475,912$ | 6,348 |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{1 8 , 4 7 0 , 1 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 9 8 5 , 7 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 5 1 5 , 6 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 9 9 6 , 8 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 0 7 8}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| FAM Fine Arts Museum | $18,470,103$ | $20,985,756$ | $2,515,653$ | $20,996,834$ | 11,078 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{1 8 , 4 7 0 , 1 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 9 8 5 , 7 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 5 1 5 , 6 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 9 9 6 , 8 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 0 7 8}$ |

## Fire Department

## MISSION

The mission of the San Francisco Fire Department is to protect the lives and property of the people of San Francisco and its visitors from fires, natural disasters, accidents, hazardous materials incidents, and other causes requiring a rapid and skilled emergency response; serve the needs of its most vulnerable residents through community paramedicine, and save lives and reduce suffering by providing emergency medical services; prevent harm through prevention services and education programs; and to provide a work environment that is free from harassment and discrimination, and values health, wellness, cultural diversity, and equity.

## SERVICES

The Fire Department provides services through the following divisions:
OPERATIONS fights fires, provides Emergency Medical Services (EMS) including transport to Hospitals and Community Paramedicine Services. Oversees specialized services such as Hazardous Materials units and Search and Rescue units, Cliff Rescues, Surf Rescues, Water Rescue Operations, and conducts disaster planning and preparedness training, such as the Neighborhood Emergency Response Team (NERT).
PREVENTION minimizes injuries, deaths, and property loss due to fire through code enforcement, public education, and inspection programs that detect and eliminate fire hazards.
INVESTIGATION determines, documents, and reports on the origin and cause of fires and explosions, and when appropriate, participates in arrests and assist in the prosecution of arson cases.
SUPPORT SERVICES manages the Department's facilities, equipment, and water supply systems and is responsible for all maintenance, repairs, and capital improvements.

TRAINING instructs and evaluates all Department staff and new recruits, and provides comprehensive Fire and EMS training to all staff.

FIREBOAT operates and maintains the City's three fireboats, rescue boats and rescue watercrafts and responds to Water Rescues and Fire Suppression on the San Francisco Bay and Piers.
AIRPORT provides fire services at the San Francisco International Airport, including Fire Suppression, EMS, Water Rescue, and other services.
ADMINISTRATION provides support and oversees the Department's programs in areas such as accounting and finance, planning and research, human resources, payroll, public information, the physician's office, and management information services.

BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $412,290,704$ | $438,643,187$ | $26,352,483$ | $441,731,555$ | $3,088,368$ |
| Total FTE | 1,641 | 1,670 | 29 | 1,666 | $(4)$ |

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Ensure that the Department continues to provide the highest level of service possible;
- Maintain ambulance response times to Code 2 calls under 20 minutes and Code 3 calls under 10 minutes for at least 90 percent of service calls;
- Construct a state-of-the-art Fire Training Center while updating the Department's aging facilities and equipment;
- Ensure first responders have the necessary rescue tools and personal protective equipment to address emergency incidents and meet the demands of a growing city;
- Enhance the support for Department members by providing a comprehensive professional development program as well as expanding crucial resources available for physical, mental, and behavioral health and wellness;
- Have the Department's Racial Equity Advisory Committee, under the guidance of its Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Office, complete a comprehensive review process of the Department's practices and processes through the lens of racial equity; and
- Develop a Racial Equity Action Plan to be incorporated into the Department's upcoming strategic plan update.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  |  |  |  | FY21 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Emphasize the Physical and Mental Health and Wellness of Department employees |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Battalion Based/In-Service training hours | 57,995 | 57,463 | 70,000 | 70,000 | 70,000 | 70,000 |
| Number of new recruits trained | 115 | 68 | 80 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Number of probationary firefighter training hours | 55,150 | 35,287 | 50,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| Prioritize Employee \& Community Engagement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of citizens trained in emergency techniques and procedures | 1,118 | 586 | 650 | 1,600 | 1,600 | 1,600 |
| Number of public education presentations | 37 | 60 | 40 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Provide the Highest Level of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Code 2 (Non Emergency) Incidents | 63,318 | 60,364 | 60,000 | 55,000 | 55,000 | 60,000 |
| Number of Code 3 (Emergency) Incidents | 86,603 | 88,826 | 88,800 | 85,000 | 85,000 | 88,000 |
| Number of fires extinguished | 3,305 | 3,998 | 4,000 | 3,400 | 3,400 | 3,500 |
| Number of fires investigated | 207 | 241 | 240 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Number of inspections made | 22,752 | 20,776 | 20,700 | 22,000 | 22,000 | 23,000 |
| Number of inspections resulting in violation | 1,507 | 1,962 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| Number of new fire permits issued | 4,231 | 3,000 | 4,500 | 4,500 | 4,500 | 4,500 |
| Number of plans reviewed and approved | 14,127 | 10,454 | 7,003 | 13,000 | 13,000 |  |
| Number of violation re-inspections made | 1,253 | 1,792 | 2,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Percentage of ambulances that arrive on-scene within 10 minutes to life-threatening medical emergencies | 91.4\% | 91\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% |
| Percentage of ambulances that arrive on-scene within 20 minutes to non-life-threatening medical emergencies | 93.48\% | 93\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% |
| Percentage of First Responders (Advanced Life Support) that arrive on-scene within 7 minutes to lifethreatening medical emergencies | 93.35\% | 93\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% |
| Percentage of First Responders (Basic Life Support) that arrive on-scene within 4 minutes 30 seconds to life-threatening medical emergencies | 80.6\% | 77\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% |
| Roll time of first ALS-capable company to Code 3 incidents requiring possible medical care, in seconds 90th Percentile | 370 | 376 | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 |
| Roll time of first defibrillation-capable company to Code 3 incidents requiring possible medical care, in seconds - 90th percentile | 317 | 328 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Roll time of first transport-capable company to Code 3 incidents requiring possible medical care, in seconds - 90th Percentile | 554 | 564 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| Roll time of first unit to respond to Code 3 incidents, in seconds - 90th percentile | 315 | 328 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Roll-time of first unit to respond to possible non-medical Code 3 incidents, in seconds - 90th percentile | 482 | 329 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Total arson arrests | 21 | 35 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Total number of arson incidents | 130 | 140 | 150 | 220 | 220 | 220 |
| Total number of responses to emergency incidents | 310,649 | 311,586 | 320,000 | 320,000 | 320,00 | 325,000 |
| Total response time (CRI) of first unit to Code 2 incidents, in seconds -90th percentile | 1,219 | 1243 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 |
| Total response time (CRI) of first unit to Code 3 incidents requiring possible medical care, in seconds 90th percentile | 475 | 489 | 480 | 480 | 480 | 480 |
| Total response time (CRI) of first unit to Code 3 incidents, in seconds -90th percentile | 477 | 491 | 480 | 480 | 480 | 480 |
| Total response time (CRI) of first unit to possible non-medical Code 3 incidents, in seconds - 90th | 482 | 493 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |

[^22]
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## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 438.6$ million for the Fire Department is $\$ 26.4$ million, or 6.4 percent, higher than the FY 202021 budget of $\$ 412.3$ million. This increase is largely due to new funding for equipment, the new street response teams, and the upcoming Fire Training Facility capital project.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 441.7$ million is $\$ 3.1$ million, or 0.7 percent, higher than the $F Y$ 2021-22 proposed budget. This increase is largely due to new funding for equipment and regular salary increases.

## Equipment and Fleet Replacement

While the close of Fiscal Year 2020-21 represents the fulfillment of the current five-year equipment and fleet modernization initiative for the Fire Department, the Fire Department must plan to continue the successes and progress of these investments. In the proposed budget, the Mayor's Office establishes a new multi-year equipment plan to continue to support the Fire Department's Fire Suppression and EMS personnel with the tools and equipment required to perform their crucial tasks and responsibilities.

## Supporting the City's Recovery Efforts

As the City looks to rebound and recover from the COVID-19 pandemic, the Fire Department plays a crucial part with its City partners to support small business and economic recovery. From such responsibilities as COVID-19 response and planning, safe sleeping sites, slow streets, shared spaces, and vaccination efforts, Fire Department staff have supported the City's COVID-19 response initiatives. As the City transitions into recovery, the Fire Department will continue to be a partner in those efforts, in addition to partnering with other City agencies to further many of the Mayor's housing initiatives.

## Expansion of Community Paramedicine

As part of the Fire Department's Community Paramedicine efforts, the Department's EMS6 Unit and Street Crisis Response Teams, in conjunction with other City partners, have proven to be extremely successful at supporting the City's vulnerable populations. Community Paramedicine allows the Department's Paramedics and Emergency Medical Technicians (EMTs) to expand their roles in providing care to better meet

## NUMBER OF CALLS FOR > SERVICE BY HOUR 2020.

While the Fire Department provides
service 24 hours a day, seven days a week, the majority of calls for service are from 9:00 AM to 8:00 PM.

the needs of the community, including the City's underserved populations. Investments in the Mayor's proposed budget expand those efforts, growing the Department's resources to better respond to homeless, wellness, behavioral health, and opioid calls.

## Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion

With the release of its Racial Equity Action Plan (REAP) last year, the Department has outlined its plan for improving equity and opportunities for the San Francisco Fire Department, both internally for its employees as well as externally for communities. The Fire Department has prioritized equity in all of its efforts, and investments in the

Mayor's proposed budget support those initiatives, including participation in the City EMT program as well as resources to formally establish its Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Office and enhance recruitment efforts.

## Mental Health Resources

In recent years, the Fire Department (as well as the industry as a whole) has increased the focus on the behavioral health needs of first responders. In light of the current COVID-19 pandemic, the need for these supportive services has only increased. The Fire Department is working closely with other City agencies to expand those resources for Fire Department members as well as all City First Responders.

> CALLS FOR $>$ EMERGENCY SERVICES.

Over the last 15 years, service calls for the Fire Department have steadily risen. However, this increase is largely attributed to calls for emergency medical services.


## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: FIRE DEPARTMENT



## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | $1,732.01$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1,748.74$ | 16.73 | $1,744.65$ | (4.09) |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | $(90.77)$ | $(79.00)$ | 11.77 | $(79.00)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Net Operating Positions | $\mathbf{1 , 6 4 1 . 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 6 9 . 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 6 5 . 6 5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{( 4 . 0 9 )}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sources

| Licenses, Permits, \& Franchises | 45,500 | 45,500 |  | 45,500 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rents \& Concessions | 370,000 | 370,000 |  | 370,000 |  |
| Intergovernmental: Federal | 1,267,894 | 1,290,721 | 22,827 | 1,290,721 |  |
| Intergovernmental: State | 49,030,000 | 42,494,000 | $(6,536,000)$ | 41,691,000 | $(803,000)$ |
| Charges for Services | 48,793,549 | 45,138,880 | $(3,654,669)$ | 45,138,880 |  |
| Expenditure Recovery | 6,853,283 | 13,361,604 | 6,508,321 | 13,396,112 | 34,508 |
| Transfers In | 1,267,894 | 1,290,721 | 22,827 | 1,290,721 |  |
| IntraFund Transfers In | 1,801,498 | 1,801,498 |  | 1,801,498 |  |
| Transfer Adjustment-Source | 27,953,664 | 28,303,123 | 349,459 | 29,701,273 | 1,398,150 |
| General Fund Support | 274,907,422 | 304,547,140 | 29,639,718 | 307,005,850 | 2,458,710 |
| Sources Total | 412,290,704 | 438,643,187 | 26,352,483 | 441,731,555 | 3,088,368 |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $279,134,740$ | $294,197,815$ | $15,063,075$ | $306,283,984$ | $12,086,169$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $90,598,839$ | $89,821,010$ | $(777,829)$ | $89,971,334$ | 150,324 |
| Non-Personnel Services | $2,842,142$ | $3,099,814$ | 257,672 | $3,101,959$ | 2,145 |
| Capital Outlay | $2,920,957$ | $8,842,410$ | $5,921,453$ | $4,937,672$ | $(3,904,738)$ |
| Intrafund Transfers Out | $1,801,498$ | $1,801,498$ |  | $1,801,498$ |  |
| Materials \& Supplies | $5,905,713$ | $6,189,566$ | 283,853 | $5,974,922$ | $(214,644)$ |
| Overhead and Allocations | 143,593 | 184,389 | 40,796 | 184,389 |  |
| Programmatic Projects | $3,650,000$ | $8,050,000$ | $4,400,000$ | $2,475,000$ | $(5,575,000)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | $27,094,720$ | $28,258,183$ | $1,163,463$ | $28,802,295$ | 544,112 |
| Transfers Out | $1,267,894$ | $1,290,721$ | 22,827 | $\mathbf{1 , 2 9 0 , 7 2 1}$ |  |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(3,069,392)$ | $\mathbf{( 3 , 0 9 2 , 2 1 9 )}$ | $(22,827)$ | $(3,092,219)$ |  |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{4 1 2 , 2 9 0 , \mathbf { 7 0 4 }}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 8 , 6 4 3 , 1 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 3 5 2 , 4 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 1 , 7 3 1 , 5 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 8 8 , \mathbf { 3 6 8 }}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| FIR Administration | 24,664,888 | 26,749,819 | 2,084,931 | 27,046,363 | 296,544 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FIR Airport | 31,023,056 | 31,395,342 | 372,286 | 32,793,492 | 1,398,150 |
| FIR Capital Project \& Grants | 1,697,864 | 1,369,108 | $(328,756)$ | 1,437,564 | 68,456 |
| FIR Fireboat | 3,633,576 | 3,705,342 | 71,766 | 3,848,131 | 142,789 |
| FIR Investigation | 2,549,173 | 2,986,882 | 437,709 | 3,098,620 | 111,738 |
| FIR Nert | 329,646 | 332,913 | 3,267 | 339,908 | 6,995 |
| FIR Operations | 299,952,518 | 317,056,550 | 17,104,032 | 322,383,517 | 5,326,967 |
| FIR Prevention | 19,094,559 | 18,212,822 | $(881,737)$ | 18,928,702 | 715,880 |
| FIR Support Services | 25,409,021 | 26,745,278 | 1,336,257 | 27,450,331 | 705,053 |
| FIR Training | 3,936,403 | 10,089,131 | 6,152,728 | 4,404,927 | (5,684,204) |
| Uses by Division Total | 412,290,704 | 438,643,187 | 26,352,483 | 441,731,555 | 3,088,368 |

# General City Responsibility 

## MISSION

General City Responsibility is a departmental designation for expenditures and revenues that are not directly attributable to one city department, or that are citywide in nature. Examples of citywide expenditures are voter mandated General Fund support for transit, libraries, and other baselines, the General Fund portion of retiree health premiums, nonprofit cost of doing business increases, required reserve deposits and debt service. These costs are budgeted in General City Responsibility rather than allocating costs to departments. Examples of citywide revenues deposited into General City Responsibility are undesignated property taxes, business taxes, and hotel taxes. These revenues are transferred to departments in the form of General Fund subsidy allocations.

## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |  |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) |  | $(4.00)$ | $(4.00)$ | $(4.00)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Operating Positions | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sources

| Property Taxes | $2,376,017,881$ | $2,384,040,462$ | $8,022,581$ | $2,480,140,462$ | $96,100,000$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Business Taxes | $1,128,850,000$ | $992,080,000$ | $(136,770,000)$ | $1,096,260,000$ | $104,180,000$ |
| Other Local Taxes | $672,990,000$ | $786,387,231$ | $113,397,231$ | $1,090,014,865$ | $303,627,634$ |
| Licenses, Permits, \& Franchises | $15,640,000$ | $14,250,000$ | $(1,390,000)$ | $13,950,000$ | $(300,000)$ |
| Fines, Forfeiture, \& Penalties | $17,572,650$ | $21,853,182$ | $4,280,532$ | $18,486,148$ | $(3,367,034)$ |
| Interest \& Investment Income | $17,204,238$ | $30,020,000$ | $12,815,762$ | $32,080,000$ | $2,060,000$ |
| Intergovernmental: Federal | $82,130,000$ | $49,457,356$ | $(32,672,644)$ |  | $(49,457,356)$ |
| Intergovernmental: State | $4,590,000$ | $4,590,000$ |  | $4,590,000$ |  |
| Charges for Services | $21,815,563$ | $23,574,264$ | $1,758,701$ | $23,574,264$ |  |
| Other Revenues | $3,593,222$ | $4,436,883$ | 843,661 | $4,436,883$ |  |
| Transfers In | $330,702,150$ | $58,540,000$ | $(272,162,150)$ | $66,010,000$ | $7,470,000$ |
| IntraFund Transfers In | $1,124,668,808$ | $843,652,320$ | $(281,016,488)$ | $673,192,295$ | $(170,460,025)$ |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | $370,404,987$ | $470,098,738$ | $99,693,751$ | $314,830,423$ | $(155,268,315)$ |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | $156,500,000$ | $253,501,373$ | $97,001,373$ | $13,998,902$ | $(239,502,471)$ |
| Transfer Adjustment-Source | $(599,080,000)$ | $(40,400,000)$ | $558,680,000$ | $(37,560,000)$ | $2,840,000$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Fund Support | $(3,921,650,293)$ | $(4,282,530,798)$ | $(360,880,505)$ | $(4,337,647,754)$ | $(55,116,956)$ |


|  | Sources Total | $\mathbf{1 , 8 0 1 , 9 4 9 , 2 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 1 3 , 5 5 1 , 0 1 1}$ | $(188,398,195)$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 5 6 , 3 5 6 , 4 8 8}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON, continued

| Authorized Positions | $2020-2021$ <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> $2020-2021$ | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> $2021-2022$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $77,610,115$ | $86,909,622$ | $9,299,507$ | $90,989,588$ | $4,079,966$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Non-Personnel Services | $19,322,239$ | $17,515,444$ | $(1,806,795)$ | $19,154,709$ | $1,639,265$ |
| City Grant Program | $24,833,405$ | $22,421,163$ | $(2,412,242)$ | $38,368,067$ | $15,946,904$ |
| Capital Outlay |  | $12,000,000$ | $12,000,000$ |  | $(12,000,000)$ |
| Debt Service | $379,360,753$ | $293,700,745$ | $(85,660,008)$ | $293,702,245$ | 1,500 |
| Intrafund Transfers Out | $\mathbf{1 , 1 2 4 , 6 6 8 , 8 0 8}$ | $844,250,559$ | $(280,418,249)$ | $673,790,534$ | $(170,460,025)$ |
| Programmatic Projects | $37,550,000$ | $3,150,000$ | $(34,400,000)$ | $5,829,989$ | $2,679,989$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | $33,864,908$ | $38,920,423$ | $5,055,515$ | $39,707,620$ | 787,197 |
| Transfers Out | $520,748,959$ | $276,179,117$ | $(244,569,842)$ | $269,578,797$ | $(6,600,320)$ |
| Unappropriated Rev Retained | $34,070,019$ | $55,774,938$ | $21,704,919$ | $56,950,939$ | $1,176,001$ |
| Unappropriated Rev-Designated | $149,000,000$ | $3,129,000$ | $(145,871,000)$ | $5,844,000$ | $2,715,000$ |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $\mathbf{( 5 9 9 , 0 8 0 , 0 0 0 )}$ | $(40,400,000)$ | $558,680,000$ | $(37,560,000)$ | $2,840,000$ |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{1 , 8 0 1 , 9 4 9 , 2 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 1 3 , 5 5 1 , 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 8 8 , 3 9 8 , 1 9 5 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 5 6 , 3 5 6 , 4 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 5 7 , 1 9 4 , 5 2 3 )}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| GEN General City - Unallocated | $1,801,949,206$ | $1,613,551,011$ | $(188,398,195)$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 5 6 , 3 5 6 , 4 8 8}$ | $(157,194,523)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{1 , 8 0 1 , 9 4 9 , 2 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 1 3 , 5 5 1 , 0 1 1}$ | $(188,398,195)$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 5 6 , 3 5 6 , 4 8 8}$ | $(\mathbf{1 5 7 , 1 9 4 , 5 2 3 )}$ |

## Health Service System

## MISSION

The San Francisco Health Service System (SFHSS) is dedicated to preserving and improving sustainable, quality health benefits and to enhancing the well-being of employees, retirees, and their families.

## SERVICES

The San Francisco Health Service System (SFHSS) provides services to its members (employees, retirees, and their families) through the following divisions:
ADMINISTRATION develops policy recommendations, rates and benefits analysis, and plan designs that proactively manage health care costs, ensure access to quality care, and comply with local, state, and federal law. Administration also coordinates monthly Health Service Board meetings; oversees plan vendor selections and performance analysis; and maintains relationships with employers, city departments, plan vendors, and external partners.

MEMBER SERVICES provides health benefits counseling and enrollment support for the 124,231 SFHSS employee and retiree members and their dependents. A team of 21 benefits analysts and supervisors handles over 55,000 member interactions and 15,000 enrollments per year. In addition, the staff maintain regulatory compliance, membership rules, and conduct eligibility audits.
ENTERPRISE SYSTEMS AND ANALYTICS monitors network and telecom system performance; ensure data security and integrity; provides administrative analyses (including forecast modeling); analyzes cost, utilization, and quality of healthcare; and manages data exchanges and information technology related to member benefits administration such as eBenefits, Voice Over Internet Protocol (VOIP), call management, and customer relationship management (CRM).

COMMUNICATIONS oversees the distribution of member materials including annual Open Enrollment packets; produces virtual educational materials; executes communications campaigns; maintains a benefits website (received 732,552 page views in 2020); prepares reports and presentations; and reviews all vendor communications to SFHSS members.

WELL-BEING/EMPLOYEE ASSISTANCE PROGRAM supports emotional, mental, and physical wellbeing programs for SFHSS members to feel, live, and be "Better Every Day". Programs include Employee

Services (continued on next page)
BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $12,102,328$ | $12,570,769$ | 468,441 | $12,908,805$ | 338,036 |
| Total FTE | 47 | 47 | 0 | 47 | 0 |

Assistance Program (EAP), Well-Being@Work, group exercise classes and managing the Wellness Center, retiree services, healthy behavior campaigns, challenges, targeted interventions, and resources.

FINANCE ensures the timeliness and accuracy of thousands of financial transactions; conducts the Charter mandated ten-county survey; calculates annual premium rates; oversees the external annual financial audit of the Health Service Trust Fund; routinely reports to the Health Service Board, conducts contract renewals, coordinates vendor solicitations process, and administers vendor performance guarantee program monitoring.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Transform healthcare purchasing and delivery to provide quality, affordable, and sustainable care through value-driven decisions, programs, and services;
- Move toward an integrated delivery system, focusing on primary care and prevention through targeted and personalized care that improves clinical outcomes;
- Ensure that programs, services, and resources address the entire cycle of health, elevating engagement, and strengthening member knowledge and confidence in accessing and utilizing health plan benefits;
- Offer a spectrum of design, cost, and services and collaborate with the Department's stakeholder organizations, agencies, and departments to deliver on the whole person perspective;
- Support members and their families in living holistically and fostering an environment of well-being, targeting the social determinants of health that affect a wide range of quality-of life-risks and outcomes;
- Center racial equity within city department, policies, practices, and budget in a formalized, intentional, specific, and explicit way. Address health disparities affecting historically marginalized communities, including Black, Indigenous and People of Color, and align incentives for systemic change; and
- Cultivate organizational excellence as a reflection of the inclusive standards, processes, and employee culture that engages and empowers staff to deliver the highest standard of member services.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  |  |  | FY21 |  | FY22 <br> Target | FY23 <br> Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target |  |  |
| Provide affordable, quality healthcare to City workers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of departments with Wellness Champions | 79.0\% | 79\% | 79\% | 82\% | 79\% | 82\% |
| Promote an informed, transparent, effective governance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of invoices aged greater than 30 days | 0.83\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Percentage of accounts current in premium payments (deliquent less than 60 days) | 99.0\% | 99\% | 99\% | 100\% | 99\% | 99\% |
| Percent of purchase orders created after invoice received | 0.33\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Number of findings of audit reports with reportable material weakness in annual external and internal audit | 0 | 0/0 | 0/0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ensure operational excellence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of vendor contracts that include HSS specific performance guarantees | 45\% | 72\% | 67\% | 100\% | 70\% | 75\% |
| Percentage of vendor contracts that are current and final for the executed plan year | 100\% | 95\% | 96\% | 100\% | 97\% | 99\% |
| Percentage HSS Participation at SFERS Retirement Seminars | 100\% | 1723 | 750 | 100\% | 1500 | 1500 |
| Percentage of appeals responded to within 60 days and appeals not reaching the Health Service Board | 95\% | 26\% | 29\% | 100\% | 50\% | 50\% |
| Call abandonment rate | 1.09\% | 1.01\% | 2.68\% | 5\% | <3\% | <3\% |
| Average time to answer telephone calls (in seconds) | 18.0 | 19 secs | 64 secs | 30 | $<60$ secs | $<60$ secs |
| Average lobby wait time (in minutes) | 21.65 | 19 mins | 0 mins | 10 | $<20$ mins | $<20$ mins |
| Educate and empower HSS members |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of vaccinations at worksite/health fair-based flu clinics | 4,349 | 4,482 | 2,400 | 4,450 | 2,950 | 3,245 |
| Number of Unique Visitors to http://sfhss.org/ | 125,681 | 131,330 | 142,000 | 240,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 145,000 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 150,000 \end{aligned}$ | 155,000 to 160,000 |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 12.6$ million for the Health Service System is $\$ 0.5$ million, or 3.9 percent, higher than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 12.1$ million. The increase is primarily due to standard salary and benefits increases and the annualization of the Employee Assistance Program.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 12.9$ million is $\$ 0.3$ million, or 2.7 percent, higher than the FY 2021-22 proposed. This is primarily due to standard increases in salary and benefits costs.

SFHSS continues to execute the multiyear Strategic Plan approved by the Health Services Board. While the work environment and economic conditions are more challenging, the goals of the plan are more relevant than ever. The near-term goals are to provide benefits and services to SFHSS members that are affordable and sustainable, provide choice and flexibility, reduce complexity and fragmentation in how service is provided, support the concept of Whole Person Health and Well-Being, and engage and support all members though their entire lifecycle with SFHSS.

## Affordable and Sustainable | Provide Choice and Flexibility

SFHSS collaborates with benefit plan service vendors, health care providers and a vigilant team of industry consultants to monitor the cost,
administrative performance, quality of care, and member experience. This is to reinforce the prudent use of resources to improve the health of all members over the long-term. Recently, SFHSS administered a multiyear Request for Proposal (RFP) process designed to create competition to maintain costs, increase choice, and secure a longterm commitment to provide equitable access to healthcare for all employees.

## Reduce Complexity and Fragmentation | Support Whole Person Health and Well-Being

SFHSS administers a comprehensive suite of benefits to serve the varied needs of members, which can be complicated to navigate. As such, SFHSS staff are continuously trained to understand and support member needs. Communications staff provides ongoing education to engage members in maintaining their health and informing them on updates to their benefits to improve health literacy. SFHSS Well-Being manages programs for retirees and active employees. Increasing access to mental health support by enabling the Employee Assistance Program to help employees navigate the complexities of accessing mental health services and guiding members on healthy ways to manage stress is a priority during pandemic recovery.

## HISTORIC CHANGE IN SFHSS ENROLLMENT.

The number of employees, retirees and their dependents in SFHSS Medical Enrollment has steadily increased over the past five years with a slight decrease in 2021.


## Engage and Support

SFHSS continues to leverage technology to efficiently engage and educate members on their benefits. During the past open enrollment, members chose the convenience of eBenefits to complete 82 percent of transactions. The Member Services team provided over 9,500 open enrollment consultations via the new telephonic

Voice Over Internet Protocol (VoIP) system and tracked issues in a separate Customer Relationship management (CRM) system. The SFHSS 360 initiative will integrate third-party systems such as case management, VoIP, benefits administration, content management, and member document management into a cohesive customer experience.

## HISTORIC COMPARISON OF CHANGE IN SFHSS MEDICAL RATES, CALENDAR YEAR-OVER-YEAR.

The rising cost of healthcare affects the economy at the local, state, and national level. Healthcare costs consistently outpace general inflation. SFHSS oversight has resulted in lower increases than the national or state average for large groups for four of the last five years. (Data on national trends from Kaiser/HRET Survey of Employer-Sponsored Health Benefits, 200 covered employers; data on California trends from Department of Managed Health Care).


ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM


TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | 54.73 | 56.32 | 1.59 | 56.55 | 0.23 |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | (7.61) | (9.15) | (1.54) | (9.38) | (0.23) |
| Net Operating Positions | 47.12 | 47.17 | 0.05 | 47.17 | 0.00 |
| Sources |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charges for Services | 9,131 | 9,131 |  | 9,131 |  |
| Other Revenues | 625,297 | 625,958 | 661 | 625,958 |  |
| Expenditure Recovery | 11,467,900 | 11,935,680 | 467,780 | 12,273,716 | 338,036 |
| General Fund Support |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |


|  | Sources Total | $12,102,328$ | $12,570,769$ | 468,441 | $\mathbf{1 2 , 9 0 8 , 8 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 8 , 0 3 6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $5,203,105$ | $5,635,247$ | 432,142 | $5,833,784$ | 198,537 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $2,680,495$ | $2,724,533$ | 44,038 | $2,771,618$ | 47,085 |
| Non-Personnel Services | $2,299,146$ | $2,291,057$ | $(8,089)$ | $2,305,275$ | 14,218 |
| Materials \& Supplies | 49,085 | 47,717 | $(1,368)$ | 71,362 | 23,645 |
| Services Of Other Depts | $1,870,497$ | $1,872,215$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 2 6 , 7 6 6}$ | 54,551 |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{1 2 , 1 0 2 , 3 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 5 7 0 , 7 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 8 , 4 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 9 0 8 , 8 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 8 , 0 3 6}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| HSS Health Service System | $12,102,328$ | $12,570,769$ | 468,441 | $12,908,805$ | 338,036 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{1 2 , 1 0 2 , 3 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 5 7 0 , 7 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 8 , 4 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 9 0 8 , 8 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 8 , 0 3 6}$ |

# Homelessness and Supportive Housing <br> <br> MISSION 

 <br> <br> MISSION}

The Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HSH) strives to make homelessness in San Francisco rare, brief, and one-time, through the provision of coordinated, compassionate, and high-quality services.

## SERVICES

The Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing operates a comprehensive system of homeless services and housing called the Homelessness Response System. This system includes six core components:
Coordinated Entry organizes the Homelessness Response System with a common, population-specific assessment to match clients to the appropriate intervention, a centralized data system, and a prioritization method for referrals. Coordinated Entry serves three subpopulations: adults, families with children, and youth.
Street Outreach connects people living outside with the Homelessness Response System to access services, medical care, and shelter. This includes outreach and street engagement services provided through the San Francisco Homeless Outreach Team (SFHOT), Encampment Resolution Team (ERT), care coordination in partnership with the Department of Public Health, and referral to Coordinated Entry access points and resource centers. Resource centers provide shelter reservation services, and may include showers, food, and other basic services.
Problem Solving interventions prevent people from entering the Homelessness Response System, or help them quickly resolve their homelessness. Services may include one-time financial assistance, eviction prevention, legal services, and relocation programs such as the Homeward Bound program, family reunification, move-in assistance, and flexible grants to address housing and employment barriers.
Temporary Shelter provides temporary places for people to stay. It includes emergency shelter for adults, families with children, and youth. Temporary shelter also includes short-term, low-barrier Navigation Centers, stabilization beds for individuals unable to use shelter due to mental health or physical disabilities, and transitional housing programs.
Housing provides permanent solutions to homelessness through housing subsidies and placement, including time-limited Rapid Rehousing programs, rent subsidies, and permanent supportive housing.
Housing Ladder offers opportunities for residents of permanent supportive housing or rapid rehousing programs to relocate to housing in the private market using tenant-based rental subsidies.

Services (continued on next page)
BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $852,119,737$ | $671,849,800$ | $(180,269,937)$ | $596,061,560$ | $(75,788,240)$ |
| Total FTE | 157 | 222 | 65 | 192 | $(30)$ |

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Create alignment and standardization across homelessness interventions by implementing coordinated systems for adults, families with children, and youth;
- Implement performance accountability measures across the entire homelessness response system by December 2022;
- Achieve the following results through the Homelessness Recovery Plan: rehousing people experiencing homelessness who were sheltered in Shelter-in-Place Hotels during the COVID-19 pandemic, opening 1,500 new units of Permanent Supportive Housing and placing an additional 3000 people into existing Permanent Supportive Housing, increasing diversion and rehousing resources, and reactivating Congregate Shelter to the maximum COVID-informed capacity;
- End family homelessness by December 2022 through a combination of additional Rapid Rehousing, Rent Subsidies, Permanent Supportive Housing, and other interventions;
- Reallocate resources, develop new housing units, invest in new housing programs, and work on preventative strategies to reduce chronic homelessness among adults by 50 percent by 2022;
- Offer flexible, low-barrier housing models and tailored services to reduce youth homelessness by 50 percent by 2022;
- Convene HSH provider partnerships and make space for provider/government discourse; and
- Center equity across all funding allocations and programming, and continue to incorporate equity principles and practices in Coordinated Entry System.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  | FY19 |  | FY21 |  |  | FY23 <br> Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target | Target |  |
| Homelessness Prevention (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of adults that secured and/or maintained housing due to a homelessness prevention grant | 800 | 304 |  |  |  |  |
| Number of families that secured and/or maintained housing due to a homelessness prevention grant | 1,038 | 529 |  |  |  |  |
| Number of households that secured and/or maintained housing due to homelessness prevention grant |  |  | 200 |  | 500 | 500 |
| Temporary Shelter |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of case managed families in individual room shelters that are placed in permanent or transitional housing, enter a treatment program, or reunite with family | 68\% | 65\% | 55\% | 65\% | 65\% | 65\% |
| Percentage of all available year-round adult homeless shelter beds used (2) | 93.4\% | 74\% | N/A | 95\% | 95\% | 95\% |
| Problem Solving |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of adults reunited with family or friends through the Homeward Bound program | 511 | 359 | 125 | 700 | 160 | 630 |
| Number of families reunited with family or friends through the Homeward Bound program | 51 | 35 | 15 | 75 | 20 | 70 |
| Rapid Rehousing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of adults leaving homelessness due to rapid rehousing rental subsidy | 35 | 184 | 150 | 100 | 285 | 75 |
| Number of families leaving homelessness due to a rapid rehousing rental subsidy | 168 | 163 | 200 | 150 | 200 | 100 |
| Permanent Supportive Housing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of adults leaving homelessness due to placement in permanent supportive housing | 893 | 931 | 1155 | 1,000 | 2010 | 980 |
| Number of families leaving homelessness due to placement in permanent supportive housing | 99 | 58 | 70 | 75 | 55 | 65 |
| Percent of formerly homeless households (includes adults and families) still in supportive housing or other | 94.8\% | 91 | 80\% | 95\% | 80\% | 80\% | appropriate placements after one year

${ }^{*}$ FY 2020-21 targets were set prior to COVID-19 and do not reflect program closures and system constraints caused by the pandemic. Targets for FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23 have been updated to reflect these changes.

1. The measure to track 'secured and/or maintained housing' changed in FY 2020-21 from reporting adults and families separately to reporting a combined households measure due to refined data reporting within HSH's Homeless Management Information System (HMIS).
2. Beginning March 2020, shelters paused intakes and decreased capacity to allow for social distancing. This impacted shelter occupancy percentage for FY 2019-20 and will continue to impact this measure in FY 2020-21.

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 671.8$ million for the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing is $\$ 180.3$ million, or 21.2 percent, lower than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 852.1$ million. The decrease is due to loss of one-time COVID-19 response emergency funding and onetime state grant funds, partially offset by additional revenue for Our City, Our Home investments for housing acquisition.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 596.1$ is $\$ 75.8$ million, or 11.3 percent, lower than the FY 202122 proposed budget. This reduction is driven by further reductions in projected COVID-19 response programming need, as well as loss of one-time Our City, Our Home revenue.

## Funding a Historic Expansion in Housing and Homelessness Services

The Mayor's proposed FY 2021-22 and FY 202223 budget will provide significant levels of new


[^23]funding to advance and expand the work started through the 2020 Mayor's Homelessness Recovery Plan, including approximately $\$ 800.0$ million provided by the Our City, Our Home (OCOH) funding from a homelessness gross receipts tax approved by voters in November 2018. The Mayor's proposed budget reflects the priorities and central recommendations of the Our City, Our Home Advisory Committee. Additionally, the Mayor's record investment in homelessness and housing leverages other resources including federal funding from the American Rescue Plan Act, state, and federal emergency homelessness funding, the 2020 General Obligation Recovery bond funds and local General Fund resources.

## Delivering on the Mayor's Homelessness Recovery Plan

In July 2020, the Mayor announced a significant expansion of San Francisco's Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) and commitment to rehouse people experiencing homelessness who were affected by the COVID-19 pandemic. As part of that plan, the City is delivering on its commitments and opened new housing and lowbarrier shelter sites, including:

- Expanding 1,500 new PSH units for adults and older adults, including scattered site housing through HSH's Flexible Housing Pool and two new hotel acquisitions partially funded through California's Project Homekey initiative.
- Continuing to fill 4,500 total PSH placements over two years, including leveraging the City's Local Subsidy Operating Program (LOSP) pipeline.
- Funding 225 new medium-term housing subsidies and with workforce assistance, for adults and Transitional Age Youth (TAY).
- Continuing alternative housing and emergency shelter as part of the COVID-19 response, including a 120-RV shelter site, multiple Safe Sleeping sites, and emergency hotel rooms.
- Opening two new Navigation Centers serving adults and families in the Bayview, and Transitional Age Youth citywide.
- New problem-solving assistance for rapid intervention to prevent long-term homelessness.
- Planning for the reopening of 1,000 emergency shelter beds to a total of more than 2,100 emergency beds systemwide based on public health guidance.


## Investing in a Record Expansion of Permanent Supportive and Emergency Housing

The Mayor's proposed FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23 invests in the acquisition and operation of 800 to 1,000 new units of permanent supportive housing through several sources, including American Rescue Plan Act funds, OCOH housing acquisition funds for adults, TAY and families, and General Obligation Recovery bond funding. The Mayor has formed an inter-agency housing acquisition strike team to move quickly to purchase tourist hotels, multi-family housing sites, Single Room Occupancy hotels and other sites suitable for permanent affordable housing.

The proposed budget also includes OCOH funding for 1,300 housing placements for families, TAY and adults in HSH's flexible housing pool, funding privately owned rental housing with supportive services for people exiting homelessness. For immediate emergency housing, the Mayor's proposed budget funds 265-335 medium-term subsidies with workforce services for adults and TAY.

Overall, the two-year proposed budget invests in approximately 2,400 to 2,600 permanent and medium-term housing placements. This investment will expand the City's current portfolio of about 8,000 permanent supportive housing units to between 10,600 to 10,800 total units.

## Record Investments to Prevent Homelessness in San Francisco

The Mayor's proposed budget provides problemsolving and homeless prevention resources including financial assistance for approximately 2,000 households and eviction prevention and housing stabilization services for 2,500 households. These funds, in partnership with the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, will leverage $\$ 26.0$ million in federal CARES Act eviction and housing stabilization programs to maximize services for clients.

Additionally, prevention resources will ensure that all PSH unit rents are capped at 30 percent of tenant income, which will serve an estimated 2,800 households.

## Expanding Shelter Services for Families and People Living in Vehicles.

The Mayor's proposed budget funds $\$ 13.0$ million in FY 2021-22 and $\$ 7.0$ million in FY 2022-23 to establish and operate two new Safe Parking sites, each with up to 100 parking spaces, that serve people experiencing homelessness and living in their vehicles. The budget includes one-time funding in FY 2021-22 for infrastructure costs and ongoing operations and services funding; the initiative is supported by both General Fund and OCOH resources.

The proposed budget also supports the continuation of a new 40-bed emergency shelter for families, replacing capacity that closed during the COVID-19 pandemic, to better serve families and children experiencing emergency homelessness.

## Continuing the COVID-19 Emergency Response to Protect Vulnerable Residents

The City's COVID-19 response initiated several emergency shelter programs continuing into the next two fiscal years. The proposed budget supports the 120-space recreational vehicle (RV) shelter program in both fiscal years, currently located in the Bayview. The Mayor's proposed budget also


[^24]supports approximately 262-slot capacity for the Safe Sleeping program in FY 2021-22 but scales back this program to a smaller intervention in FY 2022-23 to account for the reopening of the City's emergency shelters. In FY 2021-22, the City plans to gradually reopen its emergency shelter capacity for adults and TAY to 2,100 beds in accordance with public health guidelines.

The FY 2021-22 and 2022-23 proposed budget invests in the Mayor's commitment to rehouse people experiencing homelessness who are most
vulnerable to COVID-19 and placed into Shelter-inPlace hotels in 2020. The budget assumes Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) support ending as of October 2021, and the alternative housing system becoming a fully locally-supported cost. To mitigate this cost and prioritize ongoing solutions to homelessness, including a record expansion in PSH, medium-term subsidies, problem solving funds and re-opening emergency shelter beds, the Mayor's proposed budget assumes a gradual ramp-down of Shelter-in-Place hotels with the final hotel closing in the spring of 2022.

## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: HOMELESSNESS AND SUPPORTIVE HOUSING



TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | 174.97 |  | 241.57 | 66.60 | 212.12 |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | $(18.00)$ | $(20.00)$ | $(2.00)$ | $(20.00)$ |  |
| Net Operating Positions | 156.97 | 221.57 | 64.60 | $\mathbf{1 9 2 . 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 9 . 4 5 )}$ |

## Sources

| Business Taxes | $295,165,125$ | $249,800,000$ | $(45,365,125)$ | $265,945,693$ | $16,145,693$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rents \& Concessions | 129,840 | 129,840 |  | 129,840 |  |
| Intergovernmental: Federal | $203,340,441$ | $61,903,149$ | $(141,437,292)$ | $61,990,658$ | 87,509 |
| Intergovernmental: State | $89,564,069$ | $10,713,576$ | $(78,850,493)$ |  | $(10,713,576)$ |
| Other Revenues | 257,500 | 257,500 |  | 257,500 |  |
| Expenditure Recovery | $8,240,645$ | $7,204,671$ | $(1,035,974)$ | $7,212,518$ | 7,847 |
| IntraFund Transfers In | $18,850,571$ | $18,208,567$ | $(642,004)$ | $19,850,698$ | $1,642,131$ |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance |  | $49,218,926$ | $49,218,926$ | $(49,218,926)$ |  |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance |  | $2,000,000$ | $2,000,000$ | $(2,000,000)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Fund Support | $236,571,546$ | $272,413,571$ | $35,842,025$ | $240,674,653$ | $(31,738,918)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sources Total | $\mathbf{8 5 2 , 1 1 9 , 7 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 1 , 8 4 9 , 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 8 0 , 2 6 9 , 9 3 7 )}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 6 , 0 6 1 , 5 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{( 7 5 , 7 8 8 , 2 4 0 )}$ |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $\mathbf{1 6 , 7 4 3 , 2 3 0}$ | $26,366,521$ | $9,623,291$ | $23,847,750$ | $(2,518,771)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $6,792,590$ | $9,648,036$ | $2,855,446$ | $9,570,746$ | $(77,290)$ |
| Non-Personnel Services | $27,052,660$ | $28,196,230$ | $1,143,570$ | $30,096,230$ | $1,900,000$ |
| City Grant Program | $410,649,706$ | $228,094,014$ | $(182,555,692)$ | $231,367,855$ | $3,273,841$ |
| Capital Outlay |  | $12,530,099$ | $12,530,099$ | $(12,530,099)$ |  |
| Aid Assistance | $3,263,593$ | $2,804,382$ | $(459,211)$ | $2,804,382$ |  |
| Materials \& Supplies | 153,165 | 153,165 |  | 153,165 |  |
| Overhead and Allocations |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Programmatic Projects | $362,485,607$ | $327,422,672$ | $(35,062,935)$ | $257,518,218$ | $(69,904,454)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | $24,979,186$ | $36,634,681$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 6 5 5 , 4 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 , 7 0 3 , 2 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 0 6 8 , 5 3 2}$ |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{8 5 2 , 1 1 9 , 7 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 1 , 8 4 9 , 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 8 0 , 2 6 9 , 9 3 7 )}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 6 , 0 6 1 , 5 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{( 7 5 , 7 8 8 , 2 4 0 )}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| HOM ADMINISTRATION | $11,354,750$ | $13,140,540$ | $1,785,790$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 7 0 2 , 3 8 8}$ | 561,848 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HOM PROGRAMS | $840,764,987$ | $658,709,260$ | $(182,055,727)$ | $582,359,172$ | $(76,350,088)$ |
|  | $\mathbf{8 5 2 , 1 1 9 , 7 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 1 , 8 4 9 , 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 8 0 , 2 6 9 , 9 3 7 )}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 6 , 0 6 1 , 5 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{( 7 5 , 7 8 8 , 2 4 0 )}$ |

## Human Resources <br> MISSION

The Department of Human Resources (DHR) uses fair and equitable practices to hire, develop, support, and retain a highly-qualified workforce.

## SERVICES

Administration, Finance, Budget, and Information Service provides internal administrative support to ensure department operations are efficient.

Classification and Compensation classifies the City's positions and manages Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) and Municipal Code-provided compensation. In addition, the Classification and Compensation Division supports the following functions: civil service system through the management of classification actions and appeals; labor negotiations through the performance of salary surveys, costing, and contract administration; and payroll through the establishment and maintenance of rates of pay, premiums, and lump sum payments.

Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion is the Department of Human Resources (DHR) newest division. The division partners with other City departments and leads citywide efforts to create more equitable, inclusive workplaces, where all City employees feel valued, respected, and engaged at work.

Employment Services includes the following functions: Client Services Consulting, Public Safety Team, and Selection and Hiring Resources. Client Services Consulting provides human resources (HR) solutions to all city departments on employment, personnel, and disciplinary matters, as well as Civil Service Commission (CSC) rule application through direct and indirect services. Public Safety Team develops and administers complex, state-of-the-art, legally defensible selection processes for the sworn ranks of the San Francisco Fire Department, San Francisco Police Department, and San Francisco Sheriff's Department. Selection and Hiring Resources is responsible for miscellaneous staff examinations and operations.

Employee Relations negotiates and administers the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the City and labor organizations representing city employees. Employee Relations staff

Services (continued on next page)
BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $108,030,770$ | $117,860,751$ | $9,829,981$ | $114,984,297$ | $(2,876,454)$ |
| Total FTE | 177 | 201 | 24 | 191 | $(10)$ |

advise department personnel representatives in interpreting contract provisions; manages and reviews grievances related to contract interpretation and disciplinary action; determines bargaining unit assignments of city classifications; and conducts meet and confer sessions within the scope of representation.

Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) and Leave Management provides direct services and consultation to all city departments, including investigating and resolving discrimination issues, preventing harassment, providing staff trainings, providing reasonable accommodation for individuals with disabilities, and establishing citywide leave management policies and protocols. EEO also prepares workforce composition reports.

Workers' Compensation administers workers' compensation benefits and all other benefits related to work injuries and illnesses, in compliance with state and local laws and regulations, and coordinates citywide safety and prevention efforts.

Workforce Development (WD) is committed to the professional and personal development of the City's workforce. WD develops and integrates an extensive curriculum of workshops designed to enhance individual or group capabilities. WD also provides data on the City's workforce, performance management services, recruitment services, and citywide apprenticeship program coordination.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Retain top talent while shaping the future workforce through the use of career development programs and expanded apprenticeship, fellowship, and internship opportunities;
- Implement new systems and utilize technological advances to reduce data vulnerabilities, increase productivity, add analytic capacity, and improve reporting;
- Improve employee well-being, satisfaction, and engagement through employee engagement tools and trainings;
- Champion diversity, equity, inclusion, and belonging by building, supporting, and retaining a workforce that reflects San Francisco's diverse community at all levels of employment;
- Develop policies and programs that support the City workforce through the COVID-19 response, business continuity, and economic recovery;
- Increase investment in DHR, particularly the Equal Employment Opportunity division, to ensure that the City workplace and employment-related decisions are free of bias, harassment, and discrimination; and
- Partner with the Office of Racial Equity and other City departments to lead citywide efforts to create more equitable, inclusive workplaces where all City employees feel valued, respected, and engaged at work.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  |  |  | FY21 |  | FY22 | FY23 <br> Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target | Target |  |
| Retain top talent while shaping the future workforce |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of employees that are provisional | 0.24\% | 0.26\% | 0.25\% | 0.15\% | 0.25\% | 0.20\% |
| Percent of wage rate calculations not requiring pay corrections | 99.8\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Number of position classifications in the Civil Service Plan | 1,125 | 1,133 | 1,125 | 1,125 | 1,125 | 1,125 |
| Average time between examination announcement closing and list adoption, in months | 2 | 2 | 2 to 4 months | 2 | 2 to 3 months | 2 |
| Improve employee well-being, satisfaction and engagement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Workers' Compensation claims closing ratio | 99.8\% | 100.6\% | 100.6\% | 101\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Claims per 100 FTEs (full time equivalents) | 10.80 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 10 |
| Average rating of DHR workshops by participants (1-5 scale) | 4.6 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| Design and implement user-friendly practices |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average rating by departments of their claims administration services ( $1-5$ scale) | 4.67 | 4.625 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.8 |
| Champion diversity, fairness and equity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of discrimination complaints investigated/closed within 6 months of receipt | 80\% | 74\% | 80\% | 80\% | 85\% | 85\% |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 117.9$ million for the Department of Human Resources is $\$ 9.8$ million, or 9.1 percent, higher than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 108.0$ million. The increase is due to scheduled negotiations with labor unions, enhanced support of the Human Resources Modernization project, investments in the Equal Employment Opportunities division, and enhancements to diversity, equity, and inclusion programming.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 115.0$ million is $\$ 2.9$ million, or 2.4 percent, lower than the FY 202122 proposed budget of $\$ 117.9$ million. The decrease is primarily due to the scheduled negotiations with Police and Fire unions, which will require fewer resources than the larger FY 2021-22 negotiations.

## Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion

DHR is responsible for setting the tone and providing leadership for City departments to improve human resources strategies and results. The Mayor's proposed budget includes funding for a citywide climate survey that will assess employees' sense of workplace equity, so that DHR can build policies and practices specific to the needs of the City's workforce. The budget also enhances the Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) Division by adding staff to coordinate citywide


へ COST OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION
CLAIMS. The number of annual claims are projected are projected to be slightly higher in FY 2020-21.
efforts, ensuring that all City departments are receiving the same guidance and implementing consistent policy improvements. As part of its Racial Equity Action Plan, DHR already identified five key areas of concentration to improve experiences and outcomes for Black, African American, and Latinx/Hispanic employees and applicants: barriers to entry and promotion; workplace climate; discipline; equal employment opportunities; and pay equity. In FY 2021-22, DHR will use the new Applicant Tracking System to track referral sources and implement strategies outlined in the diversity recruitment toolkit. DHR will evaluate job class minimum qualifications and the use of supplemental questionnaires, study alternative testing formats, research best practices, and create a Disciplinary Review process. These efforts will advance DHR's vision of providing welcoming, inclusive, and supportive workplaces.

## Equal Employment Opportunity Improvements

In response to challenges within the City's equal employment opportunity practices, the Mayor's FY 2021-22 proposed budget adds funding for a new EEO database that will support better processes and help track and manage cases efficiently, effectively, and with improved consistency. The budget also includes a significant investment in EEO staff,


へ CITYWIDE EMPLOYEES SERVING AS DSWS IN FY 2020-21. While the number of City employees deployed to act as Disaster Service Workers (DSWs) during the COVID-19 crisis has steadily declined, there are still roughly 1,000 employees serving as DSWs.
increasing the team by seven full-time staff who will focus on significantly reducing the amount of time it takes to complete EEO investigations. DHR will continue to work to restore confidence in the City's commitment to being a workplace free from harassment and discrimination and one in which every employee is included.

## Collective Bargaining

In FY 2021-22, DHR will negotiate the collective bargaining agreements for 27 miscellaneous labor contracts, as part of the three-year bargaining cycle. The proposed budget includes funding for temporary staff and City Attorney support to assist with negotiations. DHR will negotiate Police and Fire collective bargaining agreements in FY 2022-23.

## COVID-19 Response

DHR will continue to support the City's ongoing COVID-19 response, including continued mitigation, safety, and vaccine efforts. More than 11,000 City workers have served in a disaster service worker (DSW) capacity, aided by hundreds of emergency healthcare volunteers. As state and federal guidelines continue to change, DHR will update City policies related to leave, telecommuting, flexible work schedules, and safety. DHR is working with departments on a coordinated, safe, and equitable return to work for the 10,000 City employees who worked remotely for more than a year.

## Human Resources Modernization

The Human Resources Modernization Project is a citywide initiative that seeks to build modern hiring and human resources practices that improve the experiences of City job seekers, HR professionals, and employees. DHR will continue to iterate on new applicant tracking system solutions to improve key metrics, including time-to-hire and candidate experience. The Mayor's proposed budget includes, for the first time, ongoing funding to operationalize the HR Modernization initiative and ensure its positive impact on City employees and applicants. In addition, DHR will continue to explore ways to improve the new employee onboarding experience and remote exam administration.

## Workers' Compensation and Employee Safety

DHR's Workers' Compensation division strives to be innovative, accountable, and focused on achieving the best possible outcomes for City employees and departments. In the coming year, DHR will be implementing the third phase of the Banking Modernization Program, to become the first municipal workers' compensation program in California to pay medical providers electronically. Additionally, DHR will be expanding health \& safety assessments for injury prevention, with a focus on workplace assaults.

## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: HUMAN RESOURCES



## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 0 2 1}$ <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Authorized | 216.05 | 241.43 | 25.38 | 232.39 | $(9.04)$ |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | $(38.77)$ | $(40.31)$ | $(1.54)$ | $(41.00)$ | $(0.69)$ |
| Net Operating Positions | 177.28 | 201.12 | $\mathbf{2 3 . 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 1 . 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{( 9 . 7 3 )}$ |

## Sources

| Other Revenues | 136,118 | 136,118 |  | 136,118 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Expenditure Recovery | $88,403,831$ | $90,584,491$ | $2,180,660$ | $94,041,873$ |
| General Fund Support |  |  | $3,457,382$ |  |
|  | $19,490,821$ | $27,140,142$ | $7,649,321$ | $20,806,306$ |
| Sources Total |  |  |  |  |
| $10,333,836)$ |  |  |  |  |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $21,745,420$ | $26,787,802$ | $5,042,382$ | $26,705,368$ | $(82,434)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $9,436,526$ | $10,525,277$ | $1,088,751$ | $10,741,901$ | 216,624 |
| Non-Personnel Services | $68,867,081$ | $70,204,091$ | $1,337,010$ | $70,168,175$ | $(35,916)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 432,843 | 355,516 | $(77,327)$ | 355,516 |  |
| Overhead and Allocations |  |  |  |  |  |
| Programmatic Projects | $1,777,000$ | $3,132,818$ | $1,355,818$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 7 7 , 4 0 0}$ | $(2,055,418)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | $5,771,900$ | $6,855,247$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 8 3 , 3 4 7}$ | $5,935,937$ | $(919,310)$ |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{1 0 8 , 0 3 0 , 7 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 7 , 8 6 0 , 7 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 8 2 9 , 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 4 , 9 8 4 , 2 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 , 8 7 6 , 4 5 4 )}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| HRD Administration | $4,408,871$ | $5,904,300$ | $1,495,429$ | $4,768,770$ | $(1,135,530)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HRD Employee Relations | $4,790,304$ | $7,886,644$ | $3,096,340$ | $5,665,097$ | $(2,221,547)$ |
| HRD Equal Emplymt Opportunity | $5,023,165$ | $7,560,202$ | $2,537,037$ | $7,921,342$ | 361,140 |
| HRD Recruit-Assess-Client Svc | $11,661,501$ | $12,192,480$ | 530,979 | $12,552,373$ | 359,893 |
| HRD Workers Compensation | $78,874,317$ | $79,953,818$ | $1,079,501$ | $80,074,453$ | 120,635 |
| HRD Workforce Development | $3,272,612$ | $4,363,307$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 9 0 , 6 9 5}$ | $4,002,262$ | $(361,045)$ |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{1 0 8 , 0 3 0 , 7 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 7 , 8 6 0 , 7 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 8 2 9 , 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 4 , 9 8 4 , 2 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 , 8 7 6 , 4 5 4 )}$ |

238 human resources

# Human Rights Commission <br> MISSION 

The Human Rights Commission (HRC), established in 1964 by City Ordinance, provides leadership and advocacy in securing, protecting, and promoting human rights for all people.

## SERVICES

The Human Rights Commission provides services through the following divisions:
Civil Rights Division (CRD) offers citizens free mediation services to resolve discrimination complaints and engage in proactive outreach and enforcement efforts. The Civil Rights Division collaborates with other City and County departments to improve the Department's ability to identify and respond to community needs, with a focus on racial equity and disability accommodations in subsidized housing
Community Engagement Division (CED) engages members of the public on current affairs and builds community capacity in areas including advocacy, education, workforce development, public health, and outreach. This division leads programs including, Community Roundtable, Stand Together SF, My Brothers and Sister's Keeper, Black to the Future Collaborative, Everybody Reads, and Community Conversations.

The Dream Keeper Initiative has a goal of improving outcomes for San Francisco's Black and African American youth and their families and will provide family-based navigation support to ensure that the needs of all family members are addressed cohesively and comprehensively.

Legislative Affairs works closely with the community, policymakers, and other City departments on policy issues. The team helps draft resolutions and implement ordinances and policies connected to the HRC.

Opportunities for All (OFA) provides access to career exploration and workforce development for San Francisco's youth and young adults. The initiative includes paid internships, mentorship, and pathways to employment, including job readiness, career training, and apprenticeship for participants ages 13 to 24 . OFA focuses on equitable access to these opportunities through workforce connection, support, and job resources for job seekers and employers.
Office of Racial Equity (ORE) has authority to enact a citywide Racial Equity Framework, to direct Departments of the City and County of San Francisco to develop and implement mandated Racial Equity

Services (continued on next page)

## BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $11,205,068$ | $13,618,732$ | $2,413,664$ | $13,825,105$ | 206,373 |
| Total FTE | 23 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 0 |

Action Plans, and to analyze the disparate impacts of pending ordinances, as well as various other policy and reporting functions.
Office of Sexual Harassment and Assault Response and Prevention (SHARP) collaborates with City Departments, law enforcement agencies, and community organizations to ensure that local government services are accountable and responsive to the needs of survivors of sexual violence.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Empower and resource communities most impacted by COVID-19, given the stark, racialized outcomes of the pandemic;
- Develop the Office of Racial Equity to build a Citywide effort towards racial justice while meeting and championing legislative, budgetary, and policy priorities;
- Strengthen the Office of Sexual Harassment, Assault Response, and Prevention (SHARP) survivor response system, by including survivors who have never reported;
- Grow support for community-led collectives;
- Amplify role as public advocates for persons experiencing discrimination in housing. employment and public accommodations; and
- Develop metrics for measuring and understanding the Department's impact.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  | FY19 <br> Full Year | FY2O <br> Full Year | FY21 |  |  | FY23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Address Discrimination Concerns of Public |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Inquiries \& Intakes (Civil Rights Division - CRD) | 942 | 849 | 1,020 | 1,000 | 1,200 | 1,300 |
| Number of workshops, trainings and engagements (CRD, ORE and SHARP) | 237 | 41 | 50 | 50 | 100 | 100 |
| Number people engaged (Civil Rights Division, Office of Racial Equity and SHARP) | n/a | 1,030 | 1,100 | n/a | 1,200 | 1,200 |
| Cross Cultural Events \& Programming |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Public Meetings and Forums lead by HRC in the Community | 59 | 285 | 72 | 120 | 172 | 172 |
| Workforce Alignment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of events through Opportunities For All program | 20 | 80 | 80 | 10 | 80 | 80 |
| Number of job placement through the Opportunities For All program | 1,600 | 1,550 | 1,700 | 1,000 | 1,800 | 1,900 |
| Youth Empowerment Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Education, Training \& Awareness Events lead by HRC | 79 | 43 | 45 | 30 | 45 | 45 |
| Community Outreach and Empowerment Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Education, Training \& Awareness Events lead by HRC | 94 | 134 | 150 | 175 | 200 | 200 |
| Number of Reoccurring Committee and Collaborative Meetings staffed by HRC | 122 | 152 | 150 | 175 | 200 | 200 |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 13.6$ million for the Human Rights Commission is $\$ 2.4$ million, or 21.5 percent, higher than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 11.2$ million. The increase is due to additional funding for the Dream Keeper Initiative and citywide diversity, equity, and inclusion programming.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 13.8$ million is $\$ 0.2$ million, or 1.5 percent, higher than the FY 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 13.6$ million. The increase is due to salary and benefits changes.

The Department is increasing its budget to include additional support for the Dream Keeper Initiative, new support for the justice realignment programming, and enhancement of citywide equity initiatives.

## Dream Keeper Initiative

The Dream Keeper Initiative is a citywide effort to reinvest funds from law enforcement into San Francisco's Black and African American community. This initiative, which was started in last year's budget, is part of Mayor Breed's roadmap
for reforming public safety and addressing structural inequities in San Francisco. The Mayor's proposed budget maintains the commitment made in last year's budget and maintains the $\$ 60$ million annual investment in youth development, economic opportunity, community-led change, arts and culture, workforce, and homeownership are accessible to San Francisco's families who are most in need.

## Office of Racial Equity

This year, the Office of Racial Equity (ORE) successfully developed San Francisco's first Citywide Racial Equity framework, led 52 City departments in the completion of Racial Equity Plans, managed and hired new staff members, created Budget Equity principles for the Mayor's Budget Office, and gave guidance to Departments and officials on equity initiatives and legislation. In response to deep-set racial disparities exacerbated
by the COVID-19 pandemic, HRC also supported an equitable citywide approach in the city's response.

The Mayor's budget adds additional staff to ORE's team in order to help coordinate citywide efforts to improve racial equity. The budget also adds ongoing training funds for the curriculum development, implementation, and digitization of a racial equity training.

## Justice Realignment

The Mayor's proposed budget includes new resources for an Asian and Pacific Islander (API) equity advisor within HRC, who will be charged with convening an API policy and planning body comprised of community leaders and stakeholders. This body will identify systemic issues of concern and develop recommendations that enable the City to better deploy resources and deliver services to the highest-needs Asian ethnic groups in the San Francisco community.


## SNAPSHOT OF HRC >

 ENGAGEMENTS.In FY 2020-21, HRC convened a
hosts workshops, webinars and community meetings across several initiatives. The most frequent topic of events was Racial Equity Support.


## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION



## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Authorized | 26.91 | 32.57 | 5.66 | 32.02 | $(0.55)$ |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | $(4.00)$ | $(10.00)$ | $(6.00)$ | $(9.00)$ | 1.00 |
| Net Operating Positions | 22.91 | 22.57 | $\mathbf{( 0 . 3 4})$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 5}$ |

## Sources

| Expenditure Recovery | 99,600 | 99,600 |  | 99,600 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Fund Support | 11,105,468 | 13,519,132 | 2,413,664 | 13,725,505 | 206,373 |
| Sources Total | 11,205,068 | 13,618,732 | 2,413,664 | 13,825,105 | 206,373 |
| Uses - Operating Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 2,608,909 | 2,896,182 | 287,273 | 3,062,600 | 166,418 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 1,161,967 | 1,192,603 | 30,636 | 1,229,889 | 37,286 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 205,616 | 325,616 | 120,000 | 325,616 |  |
| City Grant Program | 1,403,080 | 1,403,484 | 404 | 1,403,484 |  |
| Materials \& Supplies | 29,437 | 29,437 |  | 29,437 |  |
| Programmatic Projects | 5,275,000 | 7,375,000 | 2,100,000 | 7,375,000 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | 521,059 | 396,410 | $(124,649)$ | 399,079 | 2,669 |
| Uses Total | 11,205,068 | 13,618,732 | 2,413,664 | 13,825,105 | 206,373 |

Uses - Division Description

| HRC Human Rights Commission | $11,205,068$ | $13,618,732$ | $2,413,664$ | $13,825,105$ | 206,373 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{1 1 , 2 0 5 , 0 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 6 1 8 , 7 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 1 3 , 6 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 8 2 5 , 1 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6 , 3 7 3}$ |

## Human Services Agency

## MISSION

The Human Services Agency (HSA) is committed to delivering essential services that support and protect people, families, and communities. The Agency partners with neighborhood organizations and advocates for public policies that improve the well-being and economic opportunity for all San Franciscans.

## SERVICES

Each year, the Human Services Agency's (HSA) 2,400-trained professionals connect more than 225,000 San Franciscans to 60+ essential services. HSA also funds strategic partnerships with hundreds of community-based providers who share the Department's vision and help extend HSA's reach into the community. The Human Services Agency is comprised of three separate departments.
The Department of Disability and Aging Services (DAS) coordinates services for older adults, veterans, people with disabilities, and their families to maximize safety, health, and independence. It has the following divisions:

IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES (IHSS) allows more than 24,000 low-income older people, adults with disabilities, and individuals with visual impairments in San Francisco to live safely in their own homes, rather than in a skilled nursing facility or other long-term care setting. The program funds more than 22,000 individuals who work as Independent Providers assisting clients with domestic and personal care services.

PROTECTIVE SERVICES DIVISION is comprised of Adult Protective Services (APS), Public Guardian, Public Administrator, Public Conservator, and Representative Payee programs. Adult Protective Services operates on a 24-hour basis to assist older people over the age of 65 , and adults with disabilities (18-64) who are experiencing abuse, exploitation, neglect, and/or self-neglect. The Public Guardian provides probate conservatorship services for adults who are substantially unable to provide for their own personal needs; the Public Administrator handles the estates of deceased San Franciscans when no family members are willing or able to act, the Public Conservator provides mental health conservatorship services for San Franciscans deemed gravely disabled due to serious mental illness, and the Representative Payee manages money for adults with disabilities who cannot effectively oversee their finances independently, and who voluntarily agree to have the Payee manage their money for them.

Services (continued on next page)

## BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $1,412,197,472$ | $1,368,488,366$ | $(43,709,106)$ | $1,059,549,635$ | $(308,938,731)$ |
| Total FTE | 2,160 | 2,210 | 50 | 2,159 | $(51)$ |

BENEFITS AND RESOURCE HUB (BRH) includes the County Veterans' Service Office (CVSO), the DAS Eligibility unit, the IHSS Independent Provider Assistance Center (IPAC), and DAS Intake. The HUB is a single stop location to address questions and needs for older adults, adults with disabilities, caregivers and veterans. The CVSO helps veterans and their dependents obtain veterans' benefits and entitlements. The IHSS Eligibility unit connects low-income San Francisco residents who are in need of home-care services to IHSS as well as health coverage through the Medi-Cal program. IPAC provides assistance to new and existing IHSS providers and recipients. DAS Intake provides information and referral services pertaining to older adults and adults with disabilities and, and takes reports of elder/dependent adult abuse, IHSS and CLF referrals, and requests for home-delivered meals.

The Department of Benefits and Family Support (BFS) (formerly called the Department of Human Services) offers programs and services that promote health, nutrition, safety, financial security, and more through the following divisions:

CALIFORNIA WORK OPPORTUNITY AND RESPONSIBILITY TO CHILDREN (CALWORKS) AND WELFARE-TO-WORK SERVICES provide financial assistance, family stabilization, housing assistance, case management, vocational counseling, job readiness training, behavioral health treatment, home visiting, transportation, and other supportive services designed to help low-income families to increase their income through employment and to thrive.

COUNTY ADULT ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS (CAAP) provide financial aid and supportive services such as shelter, Supplemental Security Income (SSI) Advocacy, substance abuse services, and employment services to eligible low-income San Francisco adult residents (without dependent children) to help them become self-sufficient.

FAMILY AND CHILDREN'S SERVICES (FCS) protects children from abuse and neglect; supports the well-being of children and families; and finds permanency for children through reunification, legal guardianship, or adoptions. This division operates the child abuse hotline, conducts investigations and case planning, provides case management for families and for children living at home and in foster care, and provides case management services to older youth (ages 18-21) to assist them with continuing education or trade schools, employment, and transitional housing. FCS also provides financial support to resource families, treatment centers, and the adoptive families and legal guardians of children exiting child welfare.
SF BENEFITSNET connects low-income San Francisco residents to free and low-cost health coverage through the Medi-Cal program and to nutrition assistance through the CalFresh program. The program also provides information and enrollment services to San Francisco residents who do not qualify for Medi-Cal to other subsidized health insurance through the Affordable Care Act-authorized health exchange.

The Office of Early Care and Education (OECE) helps ensure access to high-quality early care and education for all children. OECE will become its own department, independent of the Human Services Agency, in July 2022. It services include:

Childcare funding OECE serves as a funder of services in child care centers and family child care homes that meet established quality standards. OECE funding helps families afford services, and helps programs close the gap to quality in high-cost San Francisco. OECE administers San Francisco's Preschool for All program providing all San Francisco four-year-olds access to a high-quality, half-day preschool program.
Public policy promotes local, state, and federal policy that advances early care and education opportunity for young children and their families, and strengthens the Early Care and Education (ECE) workforce.
Data and Evaluation measures the impact of early education on children's development and seeks to continuously improve the services and system for children and families.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

## The Department of Disability and Aging Services

- Maintain a strong network of community-based services, strengthen the caregiver network, and support high-risk individuals to live in the community instead of institutional settings;
- Protect populations from abuse, neglect, and exploitation by developing specialized resources to address high-risk and complex needs and collaborating with community and government partners;
- Provide consumer-centered programs and use an equity lens to ensure services are culturally appropriate for the City's diverse low-income seniors and adults with disabilities, particularly those from communities of color;
- Address current and future population needs through large-scale planning efforts, and increase the use of evidence-based programs, performance measures, and data-sharing to maximize impact and outcomes; and
- Support and develop an engaged professional workforce prepared to work with older adults and people with disabilities.


## Department of Benefits and Family Support

- Help low-income San Franciscans enroll in and maintain all of the public benefits for which they are eligible, including cash assistance, health insurance, food, and nutrition support, subsidized child care, and job training and placement;
- Create pathways to self-sufficiency for public assistance clients through employment, education, and related support services;
- Improve the safety of children and families in child welfare through evidence-based, culturally appropriate and family-centered safety assessment tools and practices;
- Enhance the well-being of foster youth by ensuring they have permanent, nurturing relationships;
- Improve use of data and modernize business processes, technology, and facilities to expand access to services, strengthen the customer experience, and make internal programs run more smoothly;
- Bring an equity lens to each stage in the hiring process and implement agency-wide transparency and inclusive acting assignment policy to give all qualified applicants the opportunity to be considered for temporary promotional opportunities; and
- Conduct an equity review of all discipline measures prior to implementation using a racial equity tool to consider the decision with an equity lens.


## Early Care and Education

- Build a citywide early care and education system that enables all families with children 0-5 years old to access high-quality early education and care;
- Increase the percentage of children who are school-ready as indicated by the Kindergarten Observation Form, targeting African American children, Latino children, English language learners, Iow-income children, and children with disabilities;
- Streamline funding to ensure a seamless system for children, families, and providers with the intent of fully utilizing federal and state resources;
- Evaluate and improve strategies to recruit, retain, and promote San Francisco early educators; and
- Integrate with First 5 San Francisco so there is one centralized entity in San Francisco with the shared commitment to support children from birth to five - and their families - with abundant opportunities to be healthy, to learn, and to grow.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  |  | FY20 |  |  | FY22 | FY23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Help residents access employment (DHS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CalWorks: Current active CalWORKs caseload | 2,895 | 3075 | 3000 | 2,930 | 3000 | 3000 |
| WDD: Job placement rate for aided individuals receiving Workforce Development Division Services | 62\% | 65\% | N/A | 65\% | 65\% | 61.2\% |
| Help residents reach economic stability (DHS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12-month job retention rate for subsidized employment clients | 57\% | 58\% | N/A | 55\% | 55\% | 55\% |
| Average increase in earnings for graduates of subsidized employment program after 1 year CAAP: | 92\% | 132\% | N/A | 80\% | 80\% | 80\% |
| CAAP SSI award rate (excluding pending cases) | 85.1\% | 89.3\% | 85.8\% | 80\% | 80\% | 80\% |
| CAAP: Current active CAAP caseload | 4,754 | 5,570 |  | 4,721 | 4,500 | 4,500 |
| CAAP: Number of CAAP SSI Case Mgmt clients exiting county cash aid due to receipt of federal SSI benefits | 388 | 367 | 188 | 375 | 174 | 174 |
| CAAP: Percent of CAAP participants who left aid due to earned income from employment | N/A | 16\% | N/A | 15\% | 17\% | 17\% |
| CalFresh: Current active CalFresh caseload | 35,975 | 57,106 | N/A | 44,996 | 55,000 | 55,000 |
| CalFresh: Percent of eligible clients that are enrolled in CalFresh | N/A | 56.3\% | N/A | 65\% |  |  |
| CalWorks: Percent of CalWORKs families who left aid due to earned income from employment | N/A | 22\% | N/A | 23\% | 22\% | 22\% |
| Medi-Cal: Current active Medi-Cal caseload | 111,486 | 113,012 |  | 113,388 | 125,000 | 125,000 |
| Number of public benefit applications approved during the reporting period (CAAP, CW, MC, CF and IHSS) | 69,357 | 72,724 |  | 60,000 | 60,000 |  |
| Improve outcomes for children in the child welfare system (DHS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FCS: Percent of children discharged from foster care to permanency within 12 months (out of all children who entered care during a 12-month period) | N/A |  | N/A | 41\% | 40.5\% | 40.5\% |
| FCS: Percent of long-term foster care children discharged to permanency (out of all children who had been in care for at least 24 months) | 24.5\% | 22.9\% | N/A | 29\% | 30.3\% | 30.3\% |
| Improve service delivery, operations, and client experience (DHS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personnel: Percent of required bilingual positions filled | 91.5\% | 92\% | N/A | 90\% | 95\% | 95\% |
| Protect children from abuse and neglect (DHS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FCS: Entry rate: Number of first-time entries to foster care per thousand children in the population | 1.6 | 1.8 |  | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| FCS: In-care rate: Number of children in foster care on a given day per thousand children in the population | 4.4 | 3.9 |  | 4.3 | 3.9 | 3.9 |
| FCS: Recurrence of maltreatment: Of all children with a substantiated allegation during the 12-month period, the percent that had another substantiated allegation within 12 months | N/A | N/A | N/A | 9.5\% | 9.1 | 9.1 |
| FCS: Total number of children in foster care | 733 | 724 |  | 726 | 700 | 700 |
| Enable all families with children 0-5 years old to access Affordable high quality early care and education (OECE) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of children ages 0 to 5 enrolled in City-funded high quality ECE programs | 32.6\% | 24.7\% | 17.3\% | 30\% | 23.1\% | 23.1\% |
| Percent of subsidy-eligible children ages 0 to 5 receiving subsidies | 77.5\% | 66.7\% | 46.8\% | 70\% | 61.2\% | 61.2\% |
| Maintain strong network of community-based services (DAAS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLF: Number of unduplicated clients served by the Community Living Fund program in the past six months | 358 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| CLF: Percent of care plan problems resolved/addressed on average, after one year of enrollment in Community Living Fund | N/A | N/A | 60\% | 80\% | 80\% | 80\% |
| CLF: Percent of clients with one or fewer admissions to an acute care hospital within a six month period | 92\% | 92\% | 92\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% |
| IHSS: Current active In Home Support Services caseload | 22,487 |  |  | 22,500 | 22,500 | 22,500 |
| IHSS: Percentage of IHSS applications processed within the mandated timeframe | 80\% | N/A | N/A | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| IHSS: Percentage of IHSS case reassessments completed within the mandated timeframe | 91\% | N/A | N/A | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| IR: Number of information and referral contacts regarding services for older adults and adults with disabilities (including follow-ups) | 4,247 | 6,445 | 6,500 | 4,500 | 4,500 | 5,000 |
| IR: Number of program intakes completed for services for older adults and adults with disabilities | 14,457 | 16,219 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| IR: Percentage of calls to the DAAS Information and Referral Line abandoned | 9\% | 9\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% |
| OCP: Number of home-delivered meals provided to older people | 1,919,444 | 2225242 |  | 1,967,620 | 2233412 | 2300414 |
| OCP: Number of meals served at centers for older people | 954,026 | 1267178 |  | 950,342 | 950342 | 950342 |
| OCP: Number of unduplicated clients enrolled in OCP programs | 38,692 | 39244 |  | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40000 |
| OCP: Total number of enrollments in OCP services | 81,655 | 91844 |  | 100,000 | 100,000 | 102500 |
| Protect populations from abuse, neglect, and financial exploitation (DAAS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| APS: Percentage of initial face to face visits that were completed or attempted within the mandated timeframe | 97\% | 98\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| APS: Reports of abuse of seniors and adults with disabilities | 6,968 | 7,024 | 7,000 | 7,000 | 7,000 | 7,000 |
| PA: Number of new referrals to the Public Administrator | 464 | 460 | 460 | 475 | 475 | 475 |

Performance Measures (continued on next page)

## PERFORMANCE MEASURES, continued

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY19 } \\ & \text { Full Year } \end{aligned}$ | FY20 <br> Full Year | FY21 |  | FY22 FY23 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| PA: Number of unique investigations active with the Public Administrator | 701 | 807 | 800 | 800 | 800 | 800 |
| PC: Number of new referrals to the Office of the Public Conservator | 162 | 135 | 135 | 200 | 175 | 200 |
| PC: Number of unique individuals with an active case with the Public Conservator (including referrals) | 701 | 716 | 750 | 700 | 750 | 760 |
| PC: Percent of referrals that had a previous conservatorship within the prior year | 11\% | 9\% | 9\% | 20\% | 10\% | 60\% |
| PG: Number of new referrals to the Public Guardian | 111 | 72 | 57 | 125 | 80 | 120 |
| PG: Number of unique individuals with an active case with the Public Guardian (including all accepted referrals) | 337 | 351 | 330 | 375 | 350 | 375 |
| PG: Percent of guardianship petitions filed within 30 days of receipt of completed referral | 60\% | 50\% | 0\% | 80\% | 50\% | 70\% |
| RP: Number of unique cases active with the Representative Payee | 1,377 | 1304 | 1236 | 1,350 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Provide consumer-centered programming to best address needs (DAAS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CVSO: Number of unduplicated veterans that received assistance | 3,396 | 2,885 | 2,000 | 80\% | 3,200 | 3,400 |
| CVSO: Total ongoing monthly benefits awarded to veterans supported by CVSO | \$359,153 | \$263,080 | \$150,000 | \$250,000 | \$250,000 | \$300,000 |
| PC: Percent of Public Conservator cases closed due to client stabilization (no longer gravely disabled) | 72\% | 43\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 1,368.5$ million for the Human Services Agency (HSA) is $\$ 43.7$ million, or 3.1 percent, less than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 1,412.2$ million. The decrease is due to one-time revenue associated with commercial tax to support early childcare, partially offset by increased state funding.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of \$1,059.5 million for HSA is $\$ 308.9$ million, or 22.6 percent, less than the FY 2021-22 proposed budget. This is primarily due to the Office of Early Care and Education moving from HSA to the Department of Early Childhood (DEC) in FY 2O22-23.

As the City begins to recover from the sharp economic downturn caused by the pandemic, the

Human Services Agency expects to see increased demand for safety net services across the next fiscal year. HSA will leverage federal and state funding for its programs and continue to work closely with its community partners to respond to the economic crisis and meet the needs of vulnerable populations.

## Responding to the Ongoing Impact of COVID-19

In FY 2020-21, HSA took a lead role in the City's emergency response to the COVID-19 public health emergency. In addition to the Agency's critical work on sheltering San Franciscans during the crisis, HSA - and especially DAS - has coordinated closely with partners to address the City's dramatic

## CALFRESH CASELOAD > BY MONTH.

The number of San Francisco households receiving CalFresh benefits grew steadily from February 2020 to December 2020.

spike in food insecurity. Even as the City moves toward reopening, the Department expects that increased levels of food insecurity will persist into FY 2021-22. The budget includes new funding to continue response efforts in FY 2021-22, including community-based food distribution programs, the City's Isolation and Quarantine Helpline, and enhanced funding across the DAS nutrition network to serve a heightened number of older and disabled San Franciscans in need of food. HSA also plans to continue the Great Plates Delivered program through early FY 2021-22. The program has provided vital support to older adults to shelter-inplace and consistent business to a network of more than 75 neighborhood restaurants.

HSA's budget also includes temporary staffing to support community-based feeding initiatives through the next fiscal year. This team will coordinate with community partners and philanthropy to develop and sustain a collaborative recovery effort.

## Increased Public Benefits and Expanded Jobs Programs

The Economic Support and Self-Sufficiency (ESSS) division, which encompasses HSA's major cash aid and benefits programs as well as employment
services, has seen rising caseloads as a result of the recession in Medi-Cal and CalFresh. HSA expects elevated caseloads to continue in FY 202122 as pandemic unemployment benefits end and the work of long-term recovery begins. The City invested expanded resources in jobs programs in FY 2020-21 and Mayor's proposed budget will continue the higher levels of investment in $F Y$ 2021-22 and FY 2022-23. The Jobs Now program will help return individuals to the workforce and support local business recovery through temporary wage subsidies. ESSS will also expand its capacity in Career Pathways, a City internship program that has a strong track record of bringing HSA clients into the permanent City workforce.

The ESSS division also plans to engage in multiple one-time economic recovery efforts, in order to further support San Francisco residents who have been negatively affected by the pandemic. These initiatives will provide flexible support to help clients engage in workforce services and bridge the digital divide for low-income job seekers. The Department will provide an additional year of the expanded Working Families Credit, which offers low- and moderate-income households with children a local tax credit of up to $\$ 250$ and reached 4,000 families in 2020.


## Family and Children's Services Funding

The Family and Children's Services (FCS) division continues to work towards a sustainable budget in the face of significantly reduced revenues resulting from the end of the Title IV-E waiver in the fall of 2019, which will leave the program with a revenue shortfall in FY 2021-22. New federal funding through the Families First Transition Act and the Families First Prevention Services Act along with relying on prior year savings across this budget will help offset the revenue loss.

In FY 2020-21, the State implemented a Family Urgent Response System (FURS) which coordinates state, regional, and local response during situations of instability to preserve caregiver and child relationships. In order to establish this system, the State provided funding to local jurisdictions to build and implement structures to support this effort. FCS will use this new funding to support its mobile crisis response effort, which began in FY 2019-20 in anticipation of this change.

## Dignity Fund Growth and Community Needs Assessment

In FY 2020-21, the annual growth in the Dignity Fund of $\$ 3.0$ million per year was paused due to the budget deficit. Thanks to an improved budget outlook, the $\$ 3.0$ million growth mandated by Proposition I (2016) will resume in FY 2021-
22. The Department plans to prioritize service categories in greatest need of augmentation. In conjunction with the Dignity Fund Oversight and Advisory Committee, DAS will seek to balance the deployment of funds across the Access \& Empowerment, Caregiver Support, Housing Support, Nutrition \& Wellness, and Self Care \& Safety service groups based on both long-term capacity-building goals, as well as recognizing immediate needs in some areas.

In accordance with the schedule described in the Dignity Fund legislation, the Department will also embark in FY 2021-22 on its second Community Needs Assessment. This detailed, interactive evaluation of the ever-changing landscape for DAS community programs will then inform the second Services and Allocation Plan, which will be developed in the second year of the proposed budget, FY 2022-23.

## Advancing Racial Equity

Advancing racial equity is one of HSA's core values, and in 2020, the Department established its Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Belonging (DEIB) Office. The Office focuses on: staff engagement and involvement with racial equity work; implementation of agency-wide policy and procedural changes to reach equitable outcomes; opportunities for learning and dialogue about race; and collaboration with City and community partners to advance Citywide racial equity priorities.

In an effort to further recognize and help eliminate persistent and pervasive racial inequities in the City, in 2021, HSA drafted its Racial Equity Action Plan. The Plan was developed in collaboration with the Office of Racial Equity, City partners, and racial equity staff leaders and represents HSA's blueprint to shape a more equitable reality for staff over the next three years. Addressing topics ranging from hiring, retention, and promotion to discipline, leadership, and workplace culture, this Plan details what the Agency needs to do to move the needle on racial equity. The proposed budget includes an investment in an expanded DEIB Office, using existing positions repurposed from within HSA, and adds funds to support implementation of the recruitment and training initiatives outlined in the plan.

## Early Care and Education: Preserving System Capacity and Planning for Future Growth

The City's early care and education (ECE) system is fragile and access to high-quality ECE, particularly for families with low incomes and children of color, has long been a challenge-one the pandemic has exacerbated. Over the past year, capacity in the system was greatly reduced in line with public health guidance that limited the number of spaces available in classrooms. The Office of Early Care and Education (OECE) implemented measures, including emergency funding and a $\$ 25$ million loan program, to mitigate the negative operational and financial impacts on the ECE system. Now, as the City reopens, OECE is prioritizing ECE system stability and recovery, while planning for longer-term growth enabled by the passage and legal resolution of Proposition C from June 2018.

In FY 2021-22, OECE is committed to maintaining child enrollment and keeping subsidy programs whole, while also planning for system growth and ramping up investments in ECE workforce compensation. The April 2021 resolution of the Proposition C (2018) litigation will bring in new revenues for the City to increase its funding in these areas, reaching more children, families, and early educators across San Francisco.

Together, these investments aim to ensure an onsite, quality instructional experience for all children entering Kindergarten in 2022 and continued, priority service to children from at-risk populations - including the homeless, those engaged with child welfare, and those with special needs. Beyond that,

OECE will work to serve as many 3- and 4-yearolds from low-income households as possible and to mitigate impacts to the children of color who have disproportionately faced the pandemic's negative effects.

Finally, beginning in FY 2022-23, OECE and First 5 San Francisco Children and Families Commission (CFC) will unite under a new Department of Early Childhood (DEC), dedicated to child early education, health, and well-being. Accordingly, the new Department of Early Childhood is charged with developing and implementing an integrated early childhood funding portfolio on behalf of all young children and their families in San Francisco. More information about the Department of Early Childhood can be found on page 181.

## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY



TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 0 2 1}$ <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Authorized | $2,171.97$ | $2,221.85$ | 49.88 | $2,170.98$ |  |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | $(12.00)$ | $(12.00)$ | $(50.87)$ |  |  |
| Net Operating Positions | $2,159.97$ | $\mathbf{2 , 2 0 9 . 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 1 5 8 . 9 8}$ | $(50.87)$ |

## Sources

| Business Taxes | 273,045,000 | 185,810,000 | $(87,235,000)$ |  | $(185,810,000)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest \& Investment Income | 1,074,736 | 914,736 | $(160,000)$ | 300,000 | $(614,736)$ |
| Rents \& Concessions | 194,000 | 194,000 |  | 194,000 |  |
| Intergovernmental: Federal | 315,123,707 | 314,980,243 | $(143,464)$ | 316,019,176 | 1,038,933 |
| Intergovernmental: State | 388,995,777 | 415,188,696 | 26,192,919 | 401,369,986 | $(13,818,710)$ |
| Charges for Services | 1,608,079 | 1,608,079 |  | 1,608,079 |  |
| Other Revenues | 6,238,092 | 8,698,838 | 2,460,746 | 175,838 | $(8,523,000)$ |
| Expenditure Recovery | 39,949,633 | 39,892,433 | $(57,200)$ | 21,949,331 | $(17,943,102)$ |
| Transfers In | 6,271,102 | 8,029,000 | 1,757,898 | 8,029,000 |  |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | 3,588,367 |  | $(3,588,367)$ |  |  |
| General Fund Support | 376,108,979 | 393,172,341 | 17,063,362 | 309,904,225 | $(83,268,116)$ |
| Sources Total | 1,412,197,472 | 1,368,488,366 | $(43,709,106)$ | 1,059,549,635 | $(308,938,731)$ |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $219,425,405$ | $239,598,950$ | $20,173,545$ | $240,771,099$ | $1,172,149$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $114,461,102$ | $117,118,535$ | $2,657,433$ | $117,144,718$ | 26,183 |
| Non-Personnel Services | $47,185,430$ | $46,779,893$ | $(405,537)$ | $52,368,025$ | $5,588,132$ |
| City Grant Program | $501,388,653$ | $415,092,289$ | $(86,296,364)$ | $117,243,652$ | $(297,848,637)$ |
| Capital Outlay |  | $1,305,000$ | $1,305,000$ | 720,000 | $(585,000)$ |
| Aid Assistance | $38,831,019$ | $26,255,400$ | $(12,575,619)$ | $26,531,508$ | 276,108 |
| Aid Payments | $382,794,565$ | $396,220,585$ | $13,426,020$ | $418,941,060$ | $22,720,475$ |
| Intrafund Transfers Out | $18,850,571$ | $18,208,567$ | $(642,004)$ | $19,850,698$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 4 2 , 1 3 1}$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | $18,841,267$ | $15,441,267$ | $(3,400,000)$ | $3,782,664$ | $(11,658,603)$ |
| Other Support/Care of Persons | 260,000 | $1,015,000$ | 755,000 | 260,000 | $(755,000)$ |
| Programmatic Projects | 680,000 | $19,797,661$ | $19,117,661$ | 680,000 | $(19,117,661)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | $69,479,460$ | $70,629,264$ | $1,149,804$ | $61,233,389$ | $(9,395,875)$ |
| Unappropriated Rev-Designated |  | $1,025,955$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 2 5 , 9 5 5}$ | 22,822 | $(1,003,133)$ |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{1 , 4 1 2 , 1 9 7 , 4 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 6 8 , 4 8 8 , 3 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 3 , 7 0 9 , 1 0 6 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 5 9 , 5 4 9 , 6 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 0 8 , 9 3 8 , 7 3 1 )}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| HSA Admin Support (HSA) | $131,229,004$ | $159,135,114$ | $27,906,110$ | $148,699,782$ | $(10,435,332)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HSA Aging \& Adult Svc (DAAS) | $435,011,663$ | $453,050,213$ | $18,038,550$ | $433,452,806$ | $(19,597,407)$ |
| HSA Early Care \& Education | $372,767,178$ | $288,364,115$ | $(84,403,063)$ | $(288,364,115)$ |  |
| HSA Human Services (DHS) | $473,189,627$ | $467,938,924$ | $(5,250,703)$ | $477,397,047$ | $9,458,123$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1 , 4 1 2 , 1 9 7 , 4 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 6 8 , 4 8 8 , 3 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 3 , 7 0 9 , 1 0 6 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 5 9 , 5 4 9 , 6 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 0 8 , 9 3 8 , 7 3 1 )}$ |
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## Juvenile Probation

## MISSION

It is the mission of the San Francisco Juvenile Probation Department to serve the needs of youth and families who are brought to the Department's attention with care and compassion; to identify and respond to the individual risks and needs presented by each youth; to engage fiscally sound and culturally humble strategies that promote the best interests of the youth; to provide victims with opportunities for restoration; to identify and utilize the least restrictive interventions and placements that do not compromise public safety; to hold youth accountable for their actions while providing them with opportunities and assisting them to develop new skills and competencies; and contribute to the overall quality of life for the citizens of San Francisco within the sound framework of public safety as outlined in the Welfare \& Institutions Code.

## SERVICES

The Juvenile Probation Department (JPD) provides services through the following divisions:
PROBATION SERVICES DIVISION provides pre- and post-adjudication services to youth who are alleged or have been found to have committed law violations and performs the duties and responsibilities mandated by the Welfare \& Institutions Code. Deputy Probation Officers and Social Workers develop youth- and family-centered case plans that support youth to be successful in their homes and communities, deter deeper justice system involvement, provide opportunities, and help youth to complete court-ordered requirements. Probation Services receives and screens referrals from law enforcement, screens referrals for community-based diversion, conducts risk assessments, conducts investigations, prepares court reports, and makes dispositional recommendations. For youth who are placed on probation by the Court, Deputy Probation Officers conduct visits, make service referrals pursuant to the case plan, and enforce court-imposed conditions. For youth who are removed from their homes and placed in out-of-home placements by the Court, Deputy Probation Officers monitor the suitability of placements, conduct statutorily mandated site-visits, and prepare aftercare plans to facilitate a successful return home. Probation Services also provides reentry services to youth released from the California Division of Juvenile Justice to San Francisco.

Services (continued on next page)
BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $41,284,225$ | $43,685,180$ | $2,400,955$ | $44,293,697$ | 608,517 |
| Total FTE | 184 | 178 | $(6)$ | 179 | 1 |

JUVENILE HALL (JJC) is the official reception and detention center for youth who are detained as a result of an arrest for alleged criminal misconduct or a court order, including residents transferred in custody from other jurisdictions. San Francisco Juvenile Hall is a 150-bed, maximum-security facility operated 24 hours a day, seven days a week, and 365 days a year. Juvenile Hall counselors provide supervision, manage the daily needs of the youth, coordinate the delivery of vocational and educational programs, medical and behavioral health services, and facilitate access to lawyers and other advocate services. Programs are delivered in partnership with community organizations that engage youths with social, emotional, and recreational services designed to provide rehabilitative connectivity and linkages to ongoing support systems upon return to the community.

FINANCE \& ADMINISTRATION DIVISION manages the administration and finance functions of the Department, including budget, fiscal, human resources, facilities, information technology, research, and planning.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Reimagine how the City addresses juvenile crime and delinquency - from referral through reentry in collaboration with community and government partners; emphasizing research, evidence-based practices, and innovation; and sustainably addressing pervasive racial disparities throughout the system;
- Advance a Whole Family Engagement strategy that places racial equity at its center to ensure that all youth have full and equal access to opportunities, power, and resources; that advances youth- and familycentered case plans and goal development to help justice-involved youth thrive; and, that minimizes unnecessary or further justice system involvement; and
- Bolster equitable leadership development opportunities for Black, Latino, and Asian/Pacific Islander staff throughout the Department and pursue just transitions for staff whose positions may end with the closure of Juvenile Hall.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  | FY19 Full Year | FY2O Full Year | Projected |  | FY22 <br> Target | FY23 <br> Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Provide a safe and secure environment for staff and detainees |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Juvenile hall population | 45 | 20 | 14 | 50 | 24 | 24 |
| Percent of Juvenile Justice Center youth grievances processed within two business days after filing | 99.9\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Reduce overtime expenditures in the entire department |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual overtime expenditures | \$1,357,641 | \$921,422 | \$1,000,000 | \$1,400,000 | \$500,000 | \$ 335,000 |
| Number of overtime hours incurred across the department | 20,402 | 14,284 | 14,000 | 40,929 | 7,500 | 5,000 |
| Reduce repeat offenders |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of youth on wardship probation who incur a sustained finding for a new law violation | 17\% | 21\% | 20\% |  | 15\% | 15\% |
| Successful Completion of Probation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average length of stay (in days) from disposition to placement of youth in juvenile hall awaiting out of home placement | 24 | 23 | 23 | 45 | 7 | 7 |
| Percentage of youth who successfully complete the Evening Report Center Programs | 63\% | 67\% | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% | 75\% |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 43.7$ million for the Juvenile Probation Department is $\$ 2.4$ million, or 5.8 percent, higher than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 41.3$ million. The increase is primarily due to additional work order support from other City departments, standard salary and benefits increases, and loss of state revenue.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 44.3$ million is $\$ 0.6$ million, or 1.4 percent, higher than the $F Y$ 2021-22 proposed budget. The increase is due to standard increases in salary and benefits costs.

## Centering Racial Equity in the Juvenile Justice System

San Francisco is engaged in unprecedented efforts to re-imagine the City's approach to youth justice, with racial equity at the forefront. City leadership has committed to broad system change, including the closure of Juvenile Hall, through a collaborative process that centers the voices of youth, families, and community. The Juvenile Probation Department (JPD) is committed to this process and to supporting the City's alternative to Juvenile Hall; until that time when an alternative is identified, JPD continues to budget for Juvenile Hall operations. Across all operations, JPD is pursuing a transformed approach to juvenile justice that can improve the lives of youths, families, and communities, by achieving the following organizational equity-centered goals:

- Reimagine how the City addresses juvenile crime, from referral through reentry, in collaboration with the community and the Department's system partners, emphasizing research and evidence-based practices, and sustainably addressing pervasive racial disparities throughout the system.
- Advance a Whole Family Engagement strategy that places racial equity at its center to ensure that all youth have equal access to successful outcomes, and that advances youth-and familycentered case plans and goal development, with the supports and resources necessary to help justice-involved youth thrive.
- Bolster equitable leadership development opportunities for Black, Latinx, and Asian/Pacific

Islander staff throughout the Department, and pursue just transitions for staff whose positions may end with the closure of Juvenile Hall.

In order to sustainably address racial inequities, the Department continues to focus on the expansion of diversion opportunities to help young people successfully and permanently exit the justice system and thrive; organizational transformation that emphasizes racial equity within the workforce, and through the services provided; and, on rightsizing the justice system-including a 26 percent decline in the Probation Services workforce, to align with the decline in the Department's caseload. The Department approaches this work with a commitment to transparent, data-driven decisionmaking, grounded in authentic collaboration and partnership with the community.

## Realignment of the Division of Juvenile Justice

On September 30, 2020, Governor Newsom signed Senate Bill 823, which shifts responsibility, and funding, for the custody, care, and supervision of youth who would have otherwise been eligible for the Division of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) from the state to counties. SB 823 will ultimately result in the closure of California's youth prisons, with juvenile court commitments to DJJ ceasing as of July 1, 2021. As mandated by SB 823, the Department, as chair of the Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council, has convened a subcommittee to develop a plan for youth who commit serious offenses-including those youth whom the Court commits to a secure program - to provide appropriate rehabilitation and supervision services in a local setting closer to their families and communities. The Department is dedicating considerable resources to the development of a plan that includes voices of community members most impacted by the juvenile justice system and advances community safety. Due to provisions in SB 823 that dictate that detention associated with cases originating in juvenile court shall occur in Juvenile Hall until age 25, the Department is already experiencing the impact of the law, and securely housing increasing numbers of young adults.

## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: JUVENILE PROBATION



TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | $\begin{gathered} 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | 188.61 | 183.45 | (5.16) | 184.31 | 0.86 |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | (5.00) | (5.00) |  | (5.00) |  |
| Net Operating Positions | 183.61 | 178.45 | (5.16) | 179.31 | 0.86 |

## Sources

| Intergovernmental: Federal | 1,774,214 | 1,172,000 | $(602,214)$ | 1,172,000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intergovernmental: State | 9,382,892 | 11,655,887 | 2,272,995 | 11,685,088 | 29,201 |
| Charges for Services | 3,000 | 3,000 |  | 3,000 |  |
| Other Revenues | 10,000 | 10,000 |  | 10,000 |  |
| Expenditure Recovery | 180,000 | 180,000 |  | 180,000 |  |
| General Fund Support | 29,934,119 | 30,664,293 | 730,174 | 31,243,609 | 579,316 |
| Sources Total | 41,284,225 | 43,685,180 | 2,400,955 | 44,293,697 | 608,517 |
| Uses - Operating Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 18,719,764 | 18,988,673 | 268,909 | 19,706,014 | 717,341 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 9,578,800 | 10,819,926 | 1,241,126 | 11,065,151 | 245,225 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 5,979,435 | 4,938,492 | $(1,040,943)$ | 4,881,382 | $(57,110)$ |
| City Grant Program | 235,000 | 235,000 |  | 235,000 |  |
| Capital Outlay | 536,846 | 884,139 | 347,293 | 879,346 | $(4,793)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 612,670 | 517,200 | $(95,470)$ | 485,800 | $(31,400)$ |
| Programmatic Projects | 1,865,886 | 2,920,029 | 1,054,143 | 2,883,192 | $(36,837)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | 3,755,824 | 4,381,721 | 625,897 | 4,157,812 | $(223,909)$ |
| Uses Total | 41,284,225 | 43,685,180 | 2,400,955 | 44,293,697 | 608,517 |

Uses - Division Description

| JUV Children'S Baseline | $2,596,845$ |  | $(2,596,845)$ | $(10,544)$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| JUV General | $10,353,510$ | $11,357,815$ | $1,004,305$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 3 4 7 , 2 7 1}$ |  |
| JUV Juvenile Hall | $15,944,303$ | $16,877,279$ | 932,976 | $18,805,300$ | $1,928,021$ |
| JUV Log Cabin Ranch |  |  | $2,664,157$ | $2,664,157$ | $2,676,755$ |
| JUV Probation Services | $12,389,567$ | $12,785,929$ | 396,362 | $\mathbf{1 1 , 4 6 4 , 3 7 1}$ | $(1,321,598$ |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{4 1 , 2 8 4 , 2 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 , 6 8 5 , 1 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 0 0 , 9 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 , 2 9 3 , 6 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 8 , 5 1 7}$ |

# Law Library <br> MISSION 

The Law Library (LLB) provides the people of San Francisco free access to legal information and specialized reference assistance in the use of those materials so they may preserve their rights and conduct their legal affairs.

## SERVICES

The Law Library provides services through the following program areas:
COMPREHENSIVE LEGAL COLLECTION maintains electronic and print formats, including federal, state, and local laws, ordinances, regulations, and cases; court and legal forms; legal treatises, periodicals, texts, and encyclopedias; practice manuals, legal finding aids, and reference tools; legal materials and guides to meet the needs of both the public and legal professionals; legal resources and databases; and comprehensive archives of precedential cases, laws, regulations, and other essential materials.
PUBLIC ASSISTANCE provides assistance to professionals and the public in navigating the law and finding the information they need by providing legal research assistance; instruction on the use of complex legal databases; orientation in how to find and use legal resources; library-created reference guides; free seminars and legal educational programs for the public, and one-on-one legal information services.
CURRENCY AND ACCURACY OF THE LEGAL COLLECTION updates code and regulations, new case law reports, and current practice materials in print and electronic formats; processing, cataloging, and updating incoming materials daily to ensure their availability in the LLB's database system; deleting outdated materials; adding, maintaining, and regularly updating modules to the specialized library software systems; enhancing and adding databases as essential new legal products are developed; monitoring the range of legal information materials, both in print and in electronic formats, to determine what will best serve Law Library patrons; and periodically replacing public computers and legal reference software.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Help the community to locate legal resources that address their rights and legal needs through online resources such as tutorials, seminars, one on one reference assistance, comprehensive legal databases, resource guides, newsletters, and forms;
- Develop partnerships with local, state, and national legislators and agencies to expand outreach and awareness to the public about the free services and legal resources available at the law library;
- Ensure continued exceptional services by maintaining and supporting staff and facilitating their professional development; and
- Continue to support racial equity and engage staff awareness and practice of racial equity issues.

BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2 - 2 3}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $1,937,106$ | $2,033,206$ | 96,100 | $2,130,773$ | 97,567 |
| Total FTE | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY19 } \\ & \text { Full Year } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY20 } \\ & \text { Full Year } \end{aligned}$ | FY21 |  | FY22 | FY23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Promote access to justice for all San Franciscans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekly hours of operation staffed by legal professionals to meet user needs | 52 | 52-40 | 40 | 57 | 57 | 52 |
| Promote community legal education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of legal education program attendees | 395 | 163 | 230 | 125 | 125 | 190 |
| Patrons rating of legal seminars \& educational programs | 97\% | 100\% | 70\% | 85\% | 85\% | 75\% |
| Provide free access to extensive legal databases |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electronic, print \& multimedia collection costs. | \$430,562 | 381,296 | \$150,000 | \$400,000 | \$130,000 | \$150,000 |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 2.0$ million for the Law Library is $\$ 0.1$ million, or 5.0 percent, higher than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 1.9$ million. The increase is primarily related to the citywide work orders increase.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 2.1$ million for the Law Library is $\$ 0.1$ million, or 4.8 percent, higher than the FY 2021-22 budget of $\$ 2.0$ million. The increase is primarily related to the citywide work orders increase.

## Professional Services

The Law Library serves the City as a free, Iegal information resource to everyone in San Francisco by continuing to provide comprehensive legal services, educational programs, informative reference guides, and print and electronic resources geared for both the public and legal community. The Law Library collaborates with other regional and state law libraries, the San Francisco Public Library, and other city departments to help citizens navigate important life issues including immigration, family law, employment, evictions and landlord-tenant disputes, elder law, and small business processes.

## Electronic Resources

The Law Library's electronic legal databases and resources are continually enhanced and updated as
conversions from print to electronic formats are made whenever feasible. Other upgrades include systems security, staff computers, and computer equipment for public access. The Law Library partners with the Bar Association of San Francisco, the courts, and other California County Law Libraries to share resources and provide professional legal information and education services.


Year
へ LEGAL MATERIALS EXPENSES: DATABASES, PRINT, MULTIMEDIA. LLB is projecting to collect significantly less filing fees in 2021.

## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: LAW LIBRARY



## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | 2.30 | 2.32 | 0.02 | 2.32 |  |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Operating Positions | 2.30 | 2.32 | 0.02 | 2.32 | 0.00 |
| General Fund Support | 1,937,106 | 2,033,206 | 96,100 | 2,130,773 | 97,567 |
| Sources Total | 1,937,106 | 2,033,206 | 96,100 | 2,130,773 | 97,567 |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | 346,739 | 372,487 | 25,748 | 385,637 | 13,150 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 171,917 | 176,133 | 4,216 | 178,207 | 2,074 |
| Materials \& Supplies | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 |  |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | $1,412,450$ | $1,478,586$ | 66,136 | $\mathbf{1 , 5 6 0 , 9 2 9}$ |  |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{1 , 9 3 7 , 1 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 3 3 , 2 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 , 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 1 3 0 , 7 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 , 3 4 3}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| LLB Law Library | $\mathbf{1 , 9 3 7 , 1 0 6}$ | $2,033,206$ | 96,100 | $2,130,773$ | 97,567 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{1 , 9 3 7 , 1 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 3 3 , 2 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 , 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 1 3 0 , 7 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 , 5 6 7}$ |

260 LAW LIBRARY

The Mayor's Office (MYR) represents the people of the City and County of San Francisco and ensures that San Francisco is a place where all residents can live full lives in a safe, prosperous, and vibrant community.

## SERVICES

The Mayor's Office has executive leadership and citywide governance responsibilities, including budget development and public policy direction and implementation. Divisions within the Mayor's Office provide a range of services to the public, including:
MAYOR'S OFFICE OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT (MOHCD) creates affordable housing opportunities for families and individuals, and funds essential services to build strong communities.

MAYOR'S OFFICE OF PUBLIC POLICY AND FINANCE develops and oversees administration and implementation of the Mayor's policy initiatives, develops the City's annual budget, provides fiscal oversight to city departments, and produces this budget book.

MAYOR'S OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AND GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS advocates for the City's interest at the local, regional, state, and federal levels of government.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Create permanently affordable housing opportunities by building new affordable housing, helping households qualify for below market rate housing, and providing down payment assistance loans to income-qualified first-time homebuyers;
- Preserve affordable housing by acquiring rent-controlled properties at risk of converting to market-rate and partnering with the San Francisco Housing Authority to preserve existing public housing;
- Improve access to affordable housing and protect housing rights through housing counseling, application assistance, and eviction prevention services;
- Promote resiliency and economic self-sufficiency for families and individuals through community-based services rooted in racial equity;
- Stabilize communities through healthy physical, social, and business infrastructures, especially for those communities at risk of displacement; and
- Seek to advance opportunities and improve programmatic outcomes for Black, Brown, and low-income residents by assessing programs, contracts, and procurements to ensure they advance the City's racial equity goals.


## BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $206,307,156$ | $228,441,129$ | $22,133,973$ | $160,225,032$ | $(68,216,097)$ |
| Total FTE | 76 | 83 | 7 | 84 | 1 |


|  | FY19 |  | FY21 |  | FY22 | FY23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Create permanently affordable housing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of loans or other types of assistance to first time homebuyers | 80 | 56 | 55 | 125 | 55 | 55 |
| Number of new BMR ownership units created by private developers | 11 | 47 | 222 | 49 | 65 | 130 |
| Number of new BMR rental units created by private developers | 196 | 379 | 227 | 123 | 175 | 118 |
| Number of newly constructed low and moderate-income rental units completed with public financial assistance | 246 | 804 | 883 | 768 | 910 | 899 |
| Foster healthy communities and neighborhoods |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of community facilities and public space improvement projects assisted with capital funding | 10 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 15 |
| Number of individuals that received services related to fostering healthy communities and neighborhoods | 7,820 | 7738 | 8926 | 6000 | 6000 | 6000 |
| Improve access to affordable housing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of individuals that received services related to accessing affordable housing | 11,178 | 15,589 | 8,548 | 11,000 | 11,000 | 11,000 |
| Preserve affordable housing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of low-and-moderate income rental units rehabilitated or preserved with public financial assistance | 2,259 | 523 | 452 | 257 | 622 | 412 |
| Promote self-sufficiency for all and protect rights |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of individuals that received services related to self sufficiency and protection of rights | 11,611 | 14148 | 8586 | 12000 | 12000 | 12000 |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 228.4$ million for the Mayor's Office is $\$ 22.1$ million, or 10.7 percent, higher than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 206.3$ million. This increase is primarily due to increased investments in affordable housing acquisition and development.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 160.2$ million for the Mayor's Office is $\$ 68.2$ million, or 29.9 percent, less than the FY 2021-22 proposed budget. This decrease is primarily due to the expiration of one-time spending on affordable housing from the prior year.

## Maximizing Affordable Housing Opportunities

In FY 2021-22 and 2022-23, the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (MOHCD) will continue to expand its new construction pipeline of affordable housing projects, expand the supply of permanent supportive housing units serving homeless San Franciscans, and provide COVID-19 relief to affordable housing developments. In addition, the proposed budget directs considerable resources to advance racial equity through the non-displacement of communities of color, including through HOPE SF, the City's signature initiative to transform its most distressed public housing communities.

In Spring 2021, MOHCD will select developer teams for nine new projects across San Francisco serving
seniors, families, homeless people, and those living with HIV/AIDS. In FY 2021-22 and 2022-23, using Housing Trust Fund dollars and other sources, MOHCD will continue to expand development opportunities in previously underserved neighborhoods including Haight Ashbury, Laguna Honda, Sunset, Richmond, and along the southern waterfront.

The Mayor's proposed budget includes $\$ 10$ million to support innovative housing preservation strategies. This investment will allow the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development to acquire sites otherwise limited by restrictions related to various financing streams and to provide creative preservation tools to assist homeowners. In addition to this investment, the Mayor's proposed budget includes $\$ 17.6$ million in gap financing to support an affordable housing site in SOMA, and $\$ 23$ million to support infrastructure at a HOPE SF site in Hunters View.

MOHCD administers the Local Operating Subsidy Program which currently provides over 1,300 subsidized housing units for formerly homeless households, through a General Fund workorder from the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HSH); another 1,000 homeless units are in the pipeline, with nearly 700 of those new units expected to be occupied by June 2023.

## Advancing COVID-19 Relief and Recovery

In alignment with HSH and with the Mayor's COVID-19 recovery strategies, two residential hotels - acquired during the COVID-19 pandemic with emergency Homekey funds from the State of California - were added to the LOSP portfolio in 2020-2021. MOHCD will continue to partner with other City departments to pursue additional opportunities for homeless individuals and families.

In recognition of the impacts of COVID-19 in the City's most disproportionately impacted neighborhoods, MOHCD grants and staffing resources will support a strong community-driven network of vaccination sites in public housing, eviction prevention services across Sunnydale and Potrero, and community learning hubs to aid distance learning across HOPE SF and RAD housing. With respect to operations and rent payment, MOHCD provided relief to affordable housing projects in 2020-21 and will continue in FY 2021-22 to respond to the lingering economic impacts of the crisis on the 22,000+ affordable housing units monitored by MOHCD.

In 2020, MOHCD quickly adjusted existing programs to be responsive to homeowners suffering job loss due to the pandemic. While first mortgage payments are covered by the current moratoriums
on foreclosure, HOA payment are not covered. The COVID-19 HELP program pays HOA dues for a full year while homeowners recover from job loss caused by the pandemic. Additionally, as foreclosure moratoriums are lifted in calendar years 2021 and 2022, MOHCD will utilize the Housing Trust Fund to offer relief to approximately 40 of the City's most vulnerable homeowners at risk of losing their home because they are not able to pay back mortgage payments incurred during the pandemic.

In FY 2021-22, MOHCD's community development grants will prioritize COVID-19-informed programming and will continue to support tenants facing eviction with full scope legal representation, as well as assist individuals with counseling to help prevent eviction or loss of housing.

## Fostering Healthy Communities and Neighborhoods

MOHCD's community development grants program consists of federal Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) entitlement, General Fund, Housing Trust Fund, and the South of Market Community Stabilization Fund. The proposed budget continues $\$ 1$ million in additional funding which began in FY 2020-21 to launch the African American Stabilization Initiative, supporting organizations offering programs grounded and informed by the African American residents they

intend to. Additionally, the budget includes a \$1 million expansion to this program to support capacity building and technical assistance to these same organizations. The proposed budget continues the current year \$750,000 increase in full scope eviction defense services and will also strengthen the provision of rental subsidies. The 2021-23 budget includes an expansion of over \$500,000 in funding for rental subsidies specifically supporting the transgender community, those living with HIV/ AIDS, and those individuals and families that have been displaced by Ellis Act and landlord move-in related evictions.

In FY 2021-22, the budget includes a third year of support for the City's groundbreaking cultural districts program and anticipates supporting the adoption of a number of district-specific strategic planning reports entitled the Cultural Heritage, Housing and Economic Stabilization Strategy (CHHESS) Reports. This program is designed to celebrate and strengthen the unique cultural identifies of San Francisco's communities and currently encompasses eight different cultural districts, with as many as three others in current consideration by the community.

## MOHCD GRANTS BY

 PROGRAM AREA, FY 2020-21.The largest portion of new funding in the budget is dedicated to the purchasing of power, with building utility resilience and racial equity efforts being the secondand third-largest categories of new spending.


## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: MAYOR



TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 0 2 1}$ <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 0 2 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Authorized | 180.86 | 186.11 | 5.25 | 186.54 |  |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | $(104.80)$ | $(103.30)$ | 1.50 | $(102.30)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 3}$ |
| Net Operating Positions | 76.06 | $\mathbf{8 2 . 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 . 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 3}$ |

## Sources

| Other Local Taxes | $2,430,000$ | 932,000 | $(1,498,000)$ | $2,694,000$ | $1,762,000$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Interest \& Investment Income | 104,816 |  | $(104,816)$ |  |  |
| Rents \& Concessions | $5,030,000$ | $5,030,000$ |  | $5,030,000$ |  |
| Intergovernmental: Federal |  | $18,707,742$ | $18,707,742$ |  | $(18,707,742)$ |
| Intergovernmental: Other | $3,168,673$ | $3,277,909$ | 109,236 | $2,917,701$ | $(360,208)$ |
| Other Revenues | $78,347,517$ | $1,445,034$ | $(76,902,483)$ | $1,445,034$ |  |
| Other Financing Sources |  | $(1)$ | $(1)$ |  | 1 |
| Expenditure Recovery | $14,864,254$ | $21,319,039$ | $6,454,785$ | $25,814,645$ | $4,495,606$ |
| Transfers In | 200,000 | $19,341,000$ | $19,141,000$ | 246,000 | $(19,095,000)$ |
| IntraFund Transfers In | $3,747,412$ | $4,242,550$ | 58,454 | 58,454 |  |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | $(200,000)$ | $(17,600,000)$ | $(17,400,000)$ | $3,500,000$ | $(742,550)$ |
| Transfer Adjustment-Source |  |  |  | $17,600,000$ |  |
|  | $98,614,484$ | $171,687,402$ | $73,072,918$ | $\mathbf{1 1 8 , 5 1 9 , 1 9 8}$ | $(53,168,204)$ |
| General Fund Support |  |  |  |  |  |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $9,002,118$ | $10,292,094$ | $1,289,976$ | $10,820,803$ | 528,709 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $3,481,888$ | $3,826,055$ | 344,167 | $3,939,596$ | 113,541 |
| Non-Personnel Services | $2,969,930$ | $2,630,117$ | $(339,813)$ | $2,605,000$ | $(25,117)$ |
| City Grant Program | $63,314,520$ | $75,302,371$ | $11,987,851$ | $76,044,473$ | 742,102 |
| Capital Outlay | $1,195,152$ | $1,195,152$ |  |  | $(1,195,152)$ |
| Aid Assistance |  | $2,800,000$ | $2,800,000$ | $2,000,000$ | $(800,000)$ |
| Debt Service | $3,282,895$ | $3,481,321$ | 198,426 | $3,431,764$ | $(49,557)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 30,000 | 30,000 |  | 30,000 |  |
| Other Support/Care of Persons | $108,165,977$ | $110,381,603$ | $2,215,626$ | $43,008,991$ | $(67,372,612)$ |
| Overhead and Allocations | $4,425,105$ | $4,969,437$ | 544,332 | $4,925,744$ | $(43,693)$ |
| Programmatic Projects | $4,038,089$ | $7,073,639$ | $3,035,550$ | $6,598,089$ | $(475,550)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | $4,251,613$ | $4,501,109$ | 249,496 | $4,570,572$ | 69,463 |
| Transfers Out | $2,450,000$ | $19,850,000$ | $17,400,000$ | $2,250,000$ | $(17,600,000)$ |
| Unappropriated Rev Retained | $(100,131)$ | $(291,769)$ | $(191,638)$ |  | 291,769 |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(200,000)$ | $(17,600,000)$ | $(17,400,000)$ |  | $17,600,000$ |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{2 0 6 , 3 0 7 , 1 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 8 , 4 4 1 , 1 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 1 3 , 9 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 0 , 2 2 5 , 0 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 8 , 2 1 6 , 0 9 7 )}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| MYR Housing \& Community Dev | $197,016,642$ | $218,753,365$ | $21,736,723$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 , 3 4 5 , 6 1 4}$ | $(68,407,751)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MYR Office Of The Mayor | $9,290,514$ | $9,687,764$ | 397,250 | $9,879,418$ | $\mathbf{1 9 1 , 6 5 4}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 6 , 3 0 7 , 1 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 8 , 4 4 1 , 1 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 1 3 3 , 9 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 0 , 2 2 5 , 0 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 8 , 2 1 6 , 0 9 7 )}$ |

# Municipal <br> Transportation Agency <br> MISSION 

The Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) connects San Francisco through a safe, equitable, and sustainable transportation system. The MTA's goals are to: Create a safer transportation experience for everyone; Make transit and other sustainable modes of transportation the most attractive and preferred means of travel; Improve the quality of life and environment in San Francisco and the region; and Create a workplace that delivers outstanding service.

## SERVICES

The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) plans, designs, builds, operates, regulates, and maintains one of the most diverse transportation networks in the world. The SFMTA operates five modes of public transit, including bus, trolleybus, light rail trains, historic streetcars, and the City's iconic cable cars, and provides infrastructure for safe walking, bicycling, and driving. In addition, the SFMTA manages traffic engineering and enforcement, on- and off-street public parking, paratransit services and permitting, and regulates private transit vehicles, including taxis.

The SFMTA consists of nine main divisions: Transit; Sustainable Streets; Capital Programs and Construction; Taxis and Accessible Services; Finance and Information Technology; Human Resources; System Safety; Communications and Marketing; and Government Affairs. In addition to the nine divisions, the Central Subway Program and Race, Equity and Inclusion Office also report directly to the Director of Transportation.

Transit Division (Muni) The Transit Division operates the Municipal Railway, also known as Muni. It provides safe, reliable, and accessible public transit service throughout San Francisco. In addition to the planning, scheduling, and delivery of transit services, the Division also maintains the fleet, facilities, and infrastructure needed to deliver Muni services. Muni is the oldest publicly owned and operated transit system in the United States and the largest system in the San Francisco Bay Area. Muni accounts for close to 45 percent of all transit trips in the nine-county region, and is the eighth-largest transit system in the United States, with more than 225 million annual boardings.

## BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $1,546,030,662$ | $1,387,520,157$ | $(158,510,505)$ | $1,354,189,348$ | $(33,330,809)$ |
| Total FTE | 5,520 | 5,584 | 64 | 5,586 | 2 |

## Services (continued)

Sustainable Streets Division (SSD) The Sustainable Streets Division provides multimodal transportation planning, engineering, and operational improvements to San Francisco's transportation system to support sustainable community and economic development. SSD plans, designs, implements, and maintains the City's transportation infrastructure and regulations to support San Francisco's mobility needs as the City changes and grows. SSD initiates and coordinates improvements to the City's street, transit, bicycle, pedestrian, and parking infrastructure, thereby meeting the goals and objectives of the Transit First Policy, as well as supporting the SFMTA's Strategic Plan.

Capital Programs \& Construction Division (CP\&C) The Capital Programs \& Construction Division improves the City's transportation infrastructure by managing and delivering quality capital improvements that meet the City's needs and long-term objectives. To implement the SFMTA's Capital Improvement Program, the Division's professional services include design, engineering, project and contract management, contract administration, cost and schedule control, quality assurance, and procurement administration.

Taxis and Accessible Services (TAS) The Taxis and Accessible Services Division (TAS) represents a combination of two distinct functions of the SFMTA, which substantially overlap in the regulation of taxis. Accessible Services is a core support function for all modes of travel. TAS ensures that transit, pedestrian, bike facilities and taxi services are accessible to seniors and people with disabilities. This Division also oversees the SFMTA Paratransit program. Taxi Services' core function is to license and regulate the private taxi industry to ensure that drivers and vehicles are safe, that taxi service is accessible to the disability community, and service is available regardless of trip origin or destination at prices that are transparent.

In addition to the regulatory oversight of the taxi industry, TAS manages six emerging mobility permit programs. These programs are: commuter shuttles; private transit services; and shared bicycles, scooters, electric mopeds, and cars.

Finance and Information Technology Division (FIT) The Finance and Information Technology (FIT) Division provides strategic advice and information to support organizational decision-making and improved financial management. FIT's responsibilities include accounting, budgeting, facility management, grants procurement and administration, and information technology.

Human Resources Division (HR) SFMTA's Human Resources Division (HR) provides support services including: recruitment, hiring, employment and labor relations, payroll, organizational development and training, employee wellness, equal employment opportunity, and workers' compensation.

System Safety Division The System Safety Division maintains records for all collisions, incidents, and hazards; conducts internal safety audits and vehicle safety reviews; develops corrective action plans; and performs inspections and mandated safety certifications.

Communications, Marketing and Outreach The Communications Marketing and Outreach Division is responsible for internal and external communications that engage and share information with customers, stakeholders, and the public. The Division is responsible for media and public relations, marketing, special events, creative services, community outreach, and customer service.

Government Affairs The Government Affairs Division is responsible for coordinating, developing, advancing, and monitoring the SFMTA's legislative and policy interests at the local, state, and federal levels. The Division also includes Regulatory Affairs responsibilities. The Government Affairs Division works to ensure that a supportive policy and regulatory environment exists to advance the capital project and policy priorities of the Agency.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Ensure fair treatment, access, opportunity, and advancement through addressing racial and social inequities;
- Promote safe streets and travel options free from transportation-related injuries;
- Prioritize the most efficient forms of transportation to connect communities and promote economic opportunity, health, and cultural activity;
- Support the city and its economic recovery with reliable transit service and a street system that maximizes the movement of people and goods;
- Reduce carbon emissions and pollution, especially in the most-impacted communities;
- Prepare the transportation system for rapid economic, environmental, and social change;
- Engage transparently with the public to understand community needs and ensure the efficient use of public resources; and
- Deliver effective projects and services to meet the needs of the public.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  |  |  | FY21 |  |  | FY23 <br> Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target | Target |  |
| 1. Create a safer transportation experience for everyone |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Muni collisions per 100,000 vehicle miles | 5.9 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 5.8 |  |  |
| SFPD-reported Muni-related crimes per 100,000 miles | 4.01 | 2.92 | 1.9 | 3.9 |  |  |
| 2. Make transit and other sustainable modes of transportation the most attractive and preferred means of travel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customer rating: Overall satisfaction with Muni | 63\% | N/A | N/A | 76\% |  |  |
| Muni average weekday boardings | 708,352 | 531,130 | 165,332 | 772,103 |  |  |
| Muni on-time performance | 55.0\% | 52.3\% | 46\% | 85\% |  |  |
| Percentage of Muni trips with service gaps | 17.3\% | 18.20\% | 11\% | 13\% |  |  |
| Percentage of scheduled service hours delivered | 94.3\% | N/A | N/A | 98.5\% |  |  |
| 3. Improve the quality of life and environment in San Francisco and the region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Muni cost per revenue hour | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$246.84 |  |  |
| Muni cost per unlinked trip | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$3.96 |  |  |
| Muni farebox recovery ratio | N/A | N/A | N/A | 26.7\% |  |  |
| Paratransit on-time performance | 79\% | 91.70\% | 99\% | 88\% |  |  |
| Percentage of eligible population utilizing free or discounted Muni fare programs (Free Muni for Youth) | 29.4\% | 24.90\% | 6\% | 74\% |  |  |
| Percentage of eligible population utilizing free or discounted Muni fare programs (Lifeline) | 31.8\% | 12.70\% | 3\% | 32\% |  |  |
| 4. Create a workplace that delivers outstanding service |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employee wellness program utilization rate | 17.4\% | N/A | N/A | 27\% |  |  |
| Hazardous traffic signal reports: \% responded to and repaired within two hours | 99.0\% | 99.4\% | 99\% | 92\% |  |  |
| Muni customer complaints per 100,000 miles | 75.27 | 73.87 | 65.7 | 64.4 |  |  |
| Parking meter malfunction reports: \% responded to and repaired within 48 hours | 94.0\% | 95.2\% | 95\% | 90\% |  |  |
| Traffic and parking control requests: \% investigated and responded to within 90 days | 79.6\% | 45.8\% | 38\% | 90\% |  |  |
| Workplace injuries per 200,000 hours | 13.24 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 11.8 |  |  |

*MTA's Strategy Plan is in process, official targets for FY22 and FY23 will be finalized in Fall 2021

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 1,387.5$ million for the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency is $\$ 158.5$ million, or 10.3 percent, lower than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 1,546.0$ million. This decrease is primarily due to reduced spending on capital projects.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of \$1,354.2 million for the Municipal Transportation Authority is $\$ 33.3$ million, or 2.4 percent, less than the FY 202122 proposed budget. This decrease is primarily due to reduced spending on capital projects.

## Operating Budget Investments

The FY 2021-22 SFMTA operating budget makes relatively small adjustments to the adopted FY 2021-22 SFTMA budget, as the SFMTA is in the middle of its two-year budget cycle. New investments in this budget include investing \$26.1 million to return funds to the Streets and Signals Capital Programs, $\$ 11.0$ million in pandemic-related programs, including Slow Streets and Temporary Emergency Transit Lanes, and $\$ 71.5$ million to restore to 85 percent of pre-pandemic service by January 2022.

## Stimulus Funds

Federal aid has helped close the operating deficit created by reduced ridership during the COVID-19 emergency. The FY 2021-22 operating budget assumes the use of the remaining $\$ 86.0$ million of HR 133 funds available to the SFMTA.

Revenues continue to be below historic levels, resulting in 29.6 percent of the SFMTA budget being covered by one-time funds in FY 2021-22. The SFMTA is expecting at least an additional $\$ 300.0$ million in federal aid through the upcoming federal American Jobs Plan.

## Service Restoration

On May 15, Muni Subway service was restored at all stations from the Embarcadero to West Portal stations. The expenditure plan for FY 2021-22 currently assumes a 15 percent service increase to reach 85 percent of pre-pandemic service by January 2022. This restoration will be reviewed in February 2022 alongside onetime investments in human and capital infrastructure to support further successful restoration of service. Additional service restoration is dependent on the growth of sustainable revenues, such as transit fares.

## Subway Improvements

The extended subway shutdown during the COVID-19 emergency was an opportunity for the SFMTA to accelerate necessary upgrades and maintenance work. During normal service, maintenance crews can only work a few hours a day while trains are not running. During the pandemic, the SFMTA has been able to improve both the customer experience and the core functionality of the subway system. Wi-Fi is now available in subway tunnels, and both the West Portal and Castro stations have new art projects. Other important repairs and improvements include track grinding for smoother, quieter rides; tunnel lighting for improved safety; and new signage at the Castro and Church stations to help with navigation.

SFMTA AUGUST SERVICE RESTORATION

98 percent of residents and 100 percent of equity neighborhoods will be within 0.25 mile of a Muni stop.


ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY


## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Authorized | $6,002.52$ | $6,068.61$ | 66.09 | $6,071.06$ |  |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | $(482.77)$ | $(485.00)$ | $(2.23)$ | $(485.00)$ |  |
| Net Operating Positions | $5,519.75$ | $5,583.61$ | 63.86 | $5,586.06$ |  |

## Sources

| Licenses, Permits, \& Franchises | 18,449,628 | 19,412,844 | 963,216 | 19,412,844 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fines, Forfeiture, \& Penalties | 92,309,110 | 110,884,283 | 18,575,173 | 110,884,283 |  |
| Interest \& Investment Income | 15,199,156 | 9,700,560 | $(5,498,596)$ | 9,700,560 |  |
| Rents \& Concessions | 135,773,977 | 155,487,566 | 19,713,589 | 155,487,566 |  |
| Intergovernmental: Federal | 181,365,313 | 62,603,250 | $(118,762,063)$ | 51,514,460 | $(11,088,790)$ |
| Intergovernmental: State | 55,292,816 | 59,239,733 | 3,946,917 | 59,239,733 |  |
| Intergovernmental: Other | 102,875,420 | 126,727,381 | 23,851,961 | 126,727,381 |  |
| Charges for Services | 195,127,345 | 263,042,699 | 67,915,354 | 239,501,692 | $(23,541,007)$ |
| Other Revenues | 111,453,188 | 50,720,790 | $(60,732,398)$ | 14,670,000 | $(36,050,790)$ |
| Expenditure Recovery | 3,593,583 | 3,506,356 | $(87,227)$ | 3,459,254 | $(47,102)$ |
| Transfers In | 271,540,073 | 313,633,953 | 42,093,880 | 328,554,684 | 14,920,731 |
| IntraFund Transfers In | 184,412,350 | 29,189,500 | $(155,222,850)$ | 38,986,814 | 9,797,314 |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | 183,859,093 | 44,605,340 | $(139,253,753)$ | 14,425,417 | $(30,179,923)$ |
| Transfer Adjustment-Source | $(388,710,390)$ | $(284,204,098)$ | 104,506,292 | $(295,045,340)$ | $(10,841,242)$ |
| General Fund Support | 383,490,000 | 422,970,000 | 39,480,000 | 476,670,000 | 53,700,000 |
| Sources Total | 1,546,030,662 | 1,387,520,157 | $(158,510,505)$ | 1,354,189,348 | (33,330,809) |

## Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | 553,520,219 | 589,720,582 | 36,200,363 | 609,033,823 | 19,313,241 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 288,320,400 | 292,528,739 | 4,208,339 | 300,330,002 | 7,801,263 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 250,632,275 | 248,468,731 | $(2,163,544)$ | 258,048,731 | 9,580,000 |
| Capital Outlay | 196,048,408 | 96,042,948 | (100,005,460) | 34,440,000 | $(61,602,948)$ |
| Debt Service | 23,380,098 | 14,778,250 | $(8,601,848)$ | 22,980,227 | 8,201,977 |
| Intrafund Transfers Out | 184,412,350 | 29,189,500 | $(155,222,850)$ | 38,986,814 | 9,797,314 |
| Materials \& Supplies | 74,050,846 | 74,041,918 | $(8,928)$ | 74,041,918 |  |
| Overhead and Allocations | $(35,836,939)$ | $(36,366,475)$ | $(529,536)$ | $(36,302,399)$ | 64,076 |
| Programmatic Projects | 164,000 |  | $(164,000)$ |  |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | 85,308,972 | 90,499,989 | 5,191,017 | 91,617,046 | 1,117,057 |
| Transfers Out | 204,298,040 | 255,014,598 | 50,716,558 | 256,058,526 | 1,043,928 |
| Unappropriated Rev Retained | 139,964,383 | 17,805,475 | $(122,158,908)$ |  | $(17,805,475)$ |
| Unappropriated Rev-Designated | $(29,522,000)$ |  | 29,522,000 |  |  |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(388,710,390)$ | (284,204,098) | 104,506,292 | (295,045,340) | $(10,841,242)$ |
| Uses Total | 1,546,030,662 | 1,387,520,157 | $(158,510,505)$ | 1,354,189,348 | $(33,330,809)$ |

Uses - Division Description

| MTAAW Agency-wide | $275,235,831$ | $161,898,543$ | $(113,337,288)$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0 , 6 5 6 , 8 5 0}$ | $(21,241,693)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MTABD Board Of Directors | 623,736 | 651,092 | 27,356 | 667,720 | 16,628 |
| MTACC CV-Captl Progr \& Constr | $131,171,758$ | $64,967,195$ | $(66,204,563)$ | $40,064,267$ | $(24,902,928)$ |
| MTACO Communications | $6,129,018$ | $6,431,201$ | 302,183 | $6,563,628$ | 132,427 |
| MTAED Executive Director | $2,477,752$ | $3,282,718$ | 804,966 | $3,363,259$ | 80,541 |
| MTAFA Fit Finance \& Info Tech | $133,890,666$ | $140,002,724$ | $6,112,058$ | $140,131,398$ | 128,674 |
| MTAGA Government Affairs | $1,706,572$ | $1,738,052$ | 31,480 | $1,778,350$ | 40,298 |
| MTAHR Human Resources | $22,305,315$ | $22,465,768$ | 160,453 | $23,001,905$ | 536,137 |
| MTAPA Policy \& Administration | 329,802 | 345,308 | 15,506 | 354,424 | 9,116 |
| MTASA Safety | $7,195,305$ | $7,500,376$ | 305,071 | $\mathbf{7 , 6 2 1 , 6 1 2}$ | 121,236 |
| MTASM Street Management | $22,705,868$ | $204,203,353$ | $(18,502,515)$ | $198,693,736$ | $(5,509,617)$ |
| MTATS Transit Svc Division | $706,561,675$ | $\mathbf{7 3 4 , 7 3 2 , 1 3 5}$ | $28,170,460$ | $\mathbf{7 5 1 , 8 4 2 , 6 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 1 1 0 , 4 9 2}$ |
| MTATZ Taxi \& Accessible Svc | $35,697,364$ | $39,301,692$ | $3,604,328$ | $39,449,572$ | $\mathbf{1 4 7 , 8 8 0}$ |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{1 , 5 4 6 , 0 3 0 , 6 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 8 7 , 5 2 0 , 1 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 5 8 , 5 1 0 , 5 0 5 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 5 4 , 1 8 9 , 3 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 3 , 3 3 0 , 8 0 9 )}$ |

## Police Accountability

## MISSION

The Department of Police Accountability (DPA) is committed to providing the City and County of San Francisco with independent and impartial law enforcement oversight through investigations, policy recommendations, and performance audits to ensure that the City reflects the values and concerns of the community it serves.

## SERVICES

The Department of Police Accountability provides service through the following divisions:
Investigations Unit investigates and makes findings on civilian complaints of police misconduct or neglect of duty and investigates all officer-involved shootings that result in injury.

Audit Unit conducts regular performance audits on police officer use of force and how the San Francisco Police Department handles claims of officer misconduct

Legal and Policy Unit presents misconduct cases to the San Francisco Police Chief and to the Police Commission, as designated by the Police Commission's Disciplinary Penalty and Referral Guidelines. Attorneys also make recommendations on Police Department policies or practices to enhance policecommunity relations while ensuring effective police services.

Mediation and Outreach Unit provide a forum for officers and complainants to have discussions regarding complaints. The Outreach program seeks to reach communities that have historically been economically, racially, culturally, or linguistically isolated from police services.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Address civilian complaints of police misconduct professionally and efficiently;
- Facilitate corrective action in response to complaints;
- Investigate all officer-involved shootings for police misconduct;
- Regularly audit the Police Department's internal policies on use-of-force and officer misconduct;
- Educate vulnerable populations about their rights and resources through community outreach and engagement;
- Establish audit and investigation functions to address biased policing; and
- Provide internships and job training opportunities for students from underrepresented backgrounds.

BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $10,415,143$ | $9,398,996$ | $(1,016,147)$ | $9,620,863$ | 221,867 |
| Total FTE | 48 | 43 | $(5)$ | 43 | 0 |

## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

| Address civilian complaints of police misconduct professionally and efficiently |
| :--- |
| Number of Cases Closed During the Reporting Period |
| Number of Cases Closed During the Reporting Period per FTE Investigator |
| Number of Cases Sustained During the Reporting Period (1) |
| Percentage of Sustained Cases Completed within the One-Year Statute of Limitations Under Government |
| Code 3304 |
| Percentage of Sustained Cases that Resulted in Corrective or Disciplinary Action by the Chief or Police <br> Commission |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The FY 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 9.4$ for the Department of Police Accountability is $\$ 1.0$ million, or 10 percent, lower than the FY 2020-21 approved budget of $\$ 10.4$ million. This decrease is largely due to changing the department's non-personnel and personnel funding in line with historic spending and operational needs.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 9.6$ million is $\$ 0.2$ million, or 2.4 percent, higher than the $F Y$ 2021-22 proposed budget. This is driven by standard salary and benefits increases.

## Accountability and Racial Equity

The Mayor's proposed budget newly includes three ongoing staff to lead and support SB1421 services. DPA will continue to fulfill its duties under SB1421, a landmark police accountability and transparency law. Additionally, DPA will leverage existing departmental resources for programs to increase racial equity in retention, promotions, hiring, recruitment, leadership, and professional development.

## Sheriff Cases

DPA will continue to investigate complaints against the Sheriff's Office until the creation and implementation of the Sheriff's Department of Accountability (SDA). Under a revised Letter of Agreement, DPA will accept investigative referrals from the Sheriff's Office and increase its jurisdiction to receive complaints directly from the public and outside agencies.

## Upcoming Audits

DPA will conduct a biased policing audit under its charter-mandated authority to conduct periodic audits of the San Francisco Police Department (SFPD). DPA will also audit SFPD to understand how the department is performing internal investigations into allegations of explicit bias and how effectively it is engaging the community on issues of bias. DPA intends for the audit reports to provide SFPD with proactive tools to identify and correct issues of bias within the department.
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## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: POLICE ACCOUNTABILITY



TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | 49.94 | 44.51 | $(5.43)$ | 44.51 |  |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | $(2.00)$ | $(2.00)$ |  | $(2.00)$ |  |
| Net Operating Positions | 47.94 | $\mathbf{4 2 . 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 . 4 3 )}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |

## Sources

| Expenditure Recovery | 208,000 | 128,000 | $(80,000)$ | 128,000 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Fund Support | $10,207,143$ | $9,270,996$ | $(936,147)$ | $9,492,863$ | 221,867 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sources Total | $\mathbf{1 0 , 4 1 5 , 1 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 3 9 8 , 9 9 6}$ | $(1,016,147)$ | $\mathbf{9 , 6 2 0 , 8 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 1 , 8 6 7}$ |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $6,173,633$ | $5,630,236$ | $(543,397)$ | $5,827,887$ | 197,651 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $2,638,221$ | $2,258,999$ | $(379,222)$ | $2,277,407$ | 18,408 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 444,336 | 344,336 | $(100,000)$ | 344,336 |  |
| Materials \& Supplies | 34,918 | 34,918 |  | 34,918 |  |
| Programmatic Projects | 160,000 | 100,000 | $(60,000)$ | 100,000 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | 964,035 | $1,030,507$ | 66,472 | $\mathbf{1 , 0 3 6 , 3 1 5}$ |  |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{1 0 , 4 1 5 , 1 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 3 9 8 , 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 , 0 1 6 , 1 4 7 )}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 6 2 0 , 8 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 1 , 8 6 7}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| DPA Police Accountabilty | $10,415,143$ | $9,398,996$ | $(1,016,147)$ | $9,620,863$ | 221,867 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{1 0 , 4 1 5 , 1 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 3 9 8 , 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 , 0 1 6 , 1 4 7 )}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 6 2 0 , 8 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 1 , 8 6 7}$ |

## Police Department MISSION

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) is committed to ensuring safety while maintaining respect for all. The Department provides responsive policing through collaboration with the community, and works to maintain and build trust and respect.

## SERVICES

The Police Department coordinates public services through three distinct areas; the Office of the Chief of Staff, Operations, and the Strategic Management Bureau.

## THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF STAFF:

The Office is responsible for overseeing the various bureaus, divisions, and units that provide overall administrative and logistical support to the department, which in turn, enables law enforcement services to be provided to the public. In addition, the Office includes the Public and Government Affairs and Strategic Communications units.

- ADMINISTRATION provides technical and administrative support, works to increase overall department efficiency, and ensures that daily functions are carried out effectively. The Administration Bureau consists of Crime Information Services, Fleet, Forensic Services, Property, Staff Services, and Training.
- RISK MANAGEMENT is comprised of the Legal Division, Internal Affairs, and the Investigative Services Division to ensure that the professional conduct of members is maintained.


## OPERATIONS:

The Assistant Chief of Operations is responsible for coordinating all aspects of frontline public safety services that are required of a law enforcement agency. The Crime Strategies Division assists in developing a strategic plan to addressing crime trends and coordinates racial equity in the response.

- AIRPORT is responsible for the safety of the millions of people who travel through the San Francisco International Airport. Police personnel handle security, traffic control, and perform law enforcement duties and support the security programs and emergency procedures of the Airport to provide the public with a safe, secure, efficient, and customer-friendly airport.
- FIELD OPERATIONS is the largest bureau providing enforcement, patrol, crime prevention, and supplemental police services. In an effort to protect life and property, members work closely with the community to prevent crimes using community engagement techniques.

Services (continued on next page)

## BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $667,891,102$ | $661,656,289$ | $(6,234,813)$ | $689,077,957$ | $27,421,668$ |
| Total FTE | 3,048 | 2,897 | $(151)$ | 2,953 | 56 |

- INVESTIGATIONS provides investigative and crime solving services by identifying and arresting suspects and preparing cases for prosecution. The Bureau includes the Forensic Services and Investigations divisions.
- SPECIAL OPERATIONS is responsible for the overall security of the City through the use of specialized units. The Police Department's Traffic and Transportation Commander at the Municipal Transportation Agency is responsible for the safety of the public using all forms of transportation, and includes the Traffic Unit and Muni Enforcement, Task Force, and Response teams.


## STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT BUREAU:

The Strategic Management Bureau coordinates implementation of the Department's Collaborative Reform efforts. It includes the Professional Standards and Principled Policing Unit and Fiscal and Technology Divisions. The Bureau coordinates and monitors the implementation of U.S. Department of Justice (USDOJ) recommendations and the Department's strategic plan through the process of updating directives, performing and reporting on the Department's progress, and providing the necessary technical support for implementing reforms.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Build strong partnerships with the community and city agencies for addressing community-wide challenges that impact safety with respect;
- Improve ability to respond in a timely, informed, unbiased, and procedurally just way, and work towards a collaborative resolution;
- Align on a shared vision and transparent way of measuring safety with respect in order to work better with each other and the community;
- Instill safety with respect to how the Department organizes, evaluates performance, recruits, trains, promotes, rewards, deploys, and leads; and
- Develop a future-focused, longer-term strategic plan for a more modern, evolving, and inclusive Department with input from internal and external stakeholders.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  | FY19 |  | FY21 |  | FY22 FY23 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Measure and Communicate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UCR: Number UCR Part I violent offenses reported | 5,854 | 5664 | 4744 | 6343 | 4625 | 4510 |
| UCR: Number of UCR Part I violent offenses reported per 100,000 population | 662 | 640 | 536 | 717 | 523 | 510 |
| UCR: Number of UCR Part I property offenses reported per 100,000 population | 5,427 | 5280 | 4142 | 5928 | 4038 | 3937 |
| UCR: Number of UCR Part I property offenses reported | 47,990 | 46693 | 36630 | 52426 | 35714 | 34821 |
| UCR: Number of UCR homicides per 100,000 population | 5.1 | 4.75 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percentage of citations for top five causes of collisions | 42\% | 54\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% |
| Number of 'driving under the influence' arrests | 332 | 295 | 254 | 550 | 550 | 550 |
| Firearm seizures | 901 | 961 | 740 | 1688 | 814 | 895 |
| Improve Responsiveness |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of traffic collisions that result in injuries | 3,287 | 2973 | 2462 | 2961 | 2216 | 1994 |
| Number of traffic collisions that result in fatalities | 34 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of moving citations issued | 43,286 | 30569 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Number of collisions where the officer is at fault | 37 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Median Response Time to Priority C Calls (Minutes) | 78.5 | 56.51 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Median Response Time to Priority B Calls (Minutes) | 20.9 | 18.72 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Median Response Time to Priority A Calls (Minutes) | 7.3 | 5.48 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 661.7$ million for the Police Department is $\$ 6.2$ million, or 1 percent, lower than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 667.9$ million. The decrease is primarily due to a significant reduction in a work order from the Airport because of the decrease in the need for Airport police officers.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 689.1$ million is $\$ 27.4$ million, or 4.1 percent, higher than the FY 202122 proposed budget due to increased costs from adding backfill academy classes and other salary and benefits increases.

## Reform Sustainability and Transparency

San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) continues its progress on the Collaborative Reform Initiative (CRI) recommendations. As of May 2021 SFPD has submitted recommendation packets for 253 of the 272 (93 percent) to the California Department of Justice for approval. Of the submitted packets, 175 are in substantial compliance, 42 are in external validation, and 36 are in external review. SFPD aims to continue its progress on reform and the long-term sustainability of this work.

SFPD is committed to cultivating a diverse membership that represents the community it serves. The proposed budget includes two Academy classes per fiscal year to backfill anticipated sworn losses due to separations. Changes made to recruitment and examination processes has
dramatically improved the diversity of classes. From 2017 to 2020, approximately 70 percent of recruits are people of color.

In 2018, California adopted two transparency lawsSenate Bill 1421 and Assembly Bill 748-requiring police departments to release full investigation files and body-worn camera footage within a mandated timeframe under the California Public Records Act. The proposed budget continues funding for ten positions that support this state transparency legislation.

## Training and Professional Development

An important part of reform sustainability is the development of future leaders. SFPD's Leadership Development Institute provides opportunities for professional and personal development and to enhance leadership skills at all levels of the organization.

## Gun Violence Reduction

Although the City has seen reductions in crime as a result of COVID-19, gun violence remains a major safety concern. As of April 2021, shooting-related injuries increased 181 percent as compared to the same period in 2020 . SFPD received a $\$ 1.5$ million state grant to (1) reduce homicides and shootings, (2) decrease violent recidivism and victimization while lowering the overall net use of arrests, and (3) to build trust and legitimacy between SFPD

[^26]and residents most impacted by gun violence. This strategy combines elements of procedural justice, intensive life coaching, and case management through a cognitive behavioral therapy model with aspects of focused deterrence. SFPD has partnered with community-based organizations and educational institutions to address root causes of gun violence and support individuals that are most at-risk.

## Efficiency Gains Through Technology

The Federal Bureau of Investigation's Uniform Crime Reporting Program has transitioned to the National

Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS) to improve crime data quality. To aid with this system transition and compliance SFPD received a \$5.4 million federal grant.

## Fleet Upgrades

The proposed budget includes an ongoing $\$ 3.0$ million investment to replace 118 vehicles of SFPD's aging fleet over two years. Over one third of the Department's fleet has more than 150,000 miles and 545 vehicles are more than 10 years old, resulting in increased maintenance costs.

## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: POLICE DEPARTMENT



## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | $3,079.22$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $2,928.46$ | $(150.76)$ | $2,983.97$ | 55.51 |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | $(30.77)$ | $(31.00)$ | $(0.23)$ | $(31.00)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{3 , 0 4 8 . 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 9 7 . 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 5 0 . 9 9})$ | $\mathbf{2 , 9 5 2 . 9 7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{N e t ~ O p e r a t i n g ~ P o s i t i o n s ~}$ |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{5 5 . 5 1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sources

| Licenses, Permits, \& Franchises | $1,015,918$ | 978,654 | $(37,264)$ | 979,210 | 556 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fines, Forfeiture, \& Penalties | 852,000 | 835,985 | $(16,015)$ | 854,344 | 18,359 |
| Intergovernmental: Federal | 831,234 | $1,821,232$ | 989,998 | 821,232 | $(1,000,000)$ |
| Intergovernmental: State | $50,694,294$ | $41,679,511$ | $(9,014,783)$ | $40,793,336$ | $(886,175)$ |
| Charges for Services | $8,799,356$ | $9,024,754$ | 225,398 | $9,102,414$ | 77,660 |
| Other Revenues | 17,000 |  | $(17,000)$ |  |  |
| Expenditure Recovery | $16,127,501$ | $7,756,135$ | $(8,371,366)$ | $8,070,357$ | 314,222 |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | 254,819 |  | $(254,819)$ |  |  |
| Transfer Adjustment-Source | $80,386,750$ | $\mathbf{7 1 , 3 5 0 , 9 8 4}$ | $(9,035,766)$ | $\mathbf{7 3 , 6 6 7 , 4 6 9}$ | $2,316,485$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Fund Support | $508,912,230$ | $528,209,034$ | $\mathbf{1 9 , 2 9 6 , 8 0 4}$ | $554,789,595$ | $26,580,561$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sources Total | $\mathbf{6 6 7 , 8 9 1 , 1 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 1 , 6 5 6 , 2 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 , 2 3 4 , 8 1 3 )}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 9 , 0 7 7 , 9 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 4 2 1 , 6 6 8}$ |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $422,148,845$ | $423,573,416$ | $1,424,571$ | $447,331,244$ | $23,757,828$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $149,481,261$ | $139,513,728$ | $(9,967,533)$ | $142,980,414$ | $3,466,686$ |
| Non-Personnel Services | $18,132,437$ | $18,757,815$ | 625,378 | $18,925,814$ | 167,999 |
| City Grant Program |  | 166,122 | 166,122 | 166,122 |  |
| Capital Outlay | 774,215 | $4,916,592$ | $4,142,377$ | $4,553,553$ | $(363,039)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | $5,948,124$ | $5,678,754$ | $(269,370)$ | $5,878,754$ | 200,000 |
| Programmatic Projects | $10,003,292$ | $5,279,308$ | $(4,723,984)$ | $4,386,046$ | $(893,262)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | $61,402,928$ | $63,770,554$ | $2,367,626$ | $64,856,010$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 8 5 , 4 5 6}$ |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{6 6 7 , 8 9 1 , 1 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 1 , 6 5 6 , \mathbf { 2 8 9 }}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 , 2 3 4 , 8 1 3})$ | $\mathbf{6 8 9 , 0 7 7 , 9 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 4 2 1 , 6 6 8}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| POL - Airport | $80,386,750$ | $71,350,984$ | $(9,035,766)$ | $73,667,469$ | $2,316,485$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| POL - FOB - Field Operations | $422,474,594$ | $424,096,432$ | $1,621,838$ | $437,255,159$ | $13,158,727$ |
| POL - SOB - Special Operations | $44,637,302$ | $45,653,608$ | $1,016,306$ | $47,292,050$ | $1,638,442$ |
| POL Admin | $120,392,456$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0 , 5 5 5 , 2 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 2 , 8 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0 , 8 6 3 , 2 7 9}$ | $10,308,014$ |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{6 6 7 , 8 9 1 , 1 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 1 , 6 5 6 , 2 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 , 2 3 4 , 8 1 3 )}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 9 , 0 7 7 , 9 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 4 2 1 , 6 6 8}$ |

## Port

## MISSION

The Port of San Francisco (PRT) manages the waterfront as a gateway to a world-class city and advances environmentally and financially sustainable maritime, recreational, and economic opportunities to serve the City, Bay Area region, and California.

## SERVICES

The Port provides services through the following divisions:
ENGINEERING provides project and construction management, engineering design, facility inspection, contracting, code compliance review, and permit services for all Port facilities.

MARITIME manages and markets cruise and cargo shipping, ship repair, commercial and sport fishing, ferry and excursion operations, visiting military and ceremonial vessels, and other harbor services.

MAINTENANCE repairs piles, piers, roofs, plumbing and electrical systems, and street cleaning along the Port's 7.5 miles of waterfront property.

PLANNING shepherds the use of Port lands consistent with the goals and policies of the Waterfront Land Use Plan, maintains and amends Plan policies, leads community planning projects for specified waterfront areas, provides environmental review and stewardship, plans for sea level rise, and administers land use regulatory review of projects on Port property.

REAL ESTATE oversees all property and lease development and management for the Port's commercial and industrial property.

ADMINISTRATION directs Port resources to meet strategic goals, guides capital planning, and manages the Port's support services including human resources, accounting, finance and procurement, business services, and information systems.
EXECUTIVE leads the implementation of the Port's strategic goals and objectives, develops policy with the Port Commission, and provides for cross-divisional collaboration and communication.

BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2 - 2 3}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $124,802,058$ | $92,487,095$ | $(32,314,963)$ | $118,426,554$ | $25,939,459$ |
| Total FTE | 232 | 223 | $(9)$ | 223 | 0 |

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Enhance and balance the Port's maritime and economic purpose, history, and changing relationship with the City;
- Lead the City's effort to protect residents and businesses from flood risks, and reduce seismic risk to Port property;
- Improve relationships with the community, city agency partners, and the media to strengthen public understanding of Port projects and mission;
- Increase racial diversity and engagement in workforce training, contracting and leasing opportunities, and at waterfront parks and open spaces;
- Implement Port-wide practices that protect the environment and promote ecological balance, such as achieving zero waste in operations and construction;
- Promote diverse business opportunities and living wage jobs, affordable housing in new waterfront communities, and integrated public transit service; and
- Increase operating revenues and new capital sources to address the Port's deferred maintenance backlog.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  |  |  | FY21 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY23 } \\ & \text { Target } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target | Target |  |
| Economic Vitality: Attract and retain maritime and non-maritime commerce to contribute to the long term viability of the Port and the City |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall Port Vacancy Rate | 5.80\% | 2.36\% | 11\% | 9.00\% | 9.0\% | 9\% |
| Total automobiles imports and exports | 77,052 | 118,589 | 107,000 | 100,000 | 110,000 | 113,300 |
| Total cargo tonnage - Bulk | 1,508,065 | 1,250,479 | 1,100,000 | 1,350,000 | 1,133,000 | 1,166,990 |
| Total number of cruise ship calls | 83 | 57 | 0 | 125 | 62 | 108 |
| Total number of cruise ship passengers | 280,124 | 185,518 | 0 | 315,000 | 230,000 | 521,000 |
| Engagement: Promote the richness the Port has to offer through education, marketing, and maintaining strong relationships with Port users and stakeholders |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of presentations to community groups | 6 | 32 | 41 | 36 | 31 | 31 |
| Number of social media impressions | 7,500,000 | 3,563,173 | 1,500,000 | 3,500,000 | 3,500,000 | 4,000,000 |
| Total number of community meetings held to discuss ongoing Port projects and programs | 31 | 49 | 62 | 32 | 49 | 49 |
| Livability: Ensure Port improvements result in advances in the environment, social equity and quality of life for San Francisco residents and visitors |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Local Business Enterprise (LBE) participation --\% of contracts awarded to LBEs | 62\% | 62\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% |
| Total number of ferry passengers transiting though Port managed facilities | 5,481,968 | 3,956,557 | 2,830,983 | 5,770,500 | 4,246,474 | 4,373,868 |
| Renewal: Enhance and balance the Port's maritime and economic purpose, rich history, and its changing relationship with the City, so the waterfront continues to be a treasured destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Capital Budget, in millions \$28 | \$28.13 | \$19M | \$25.7 | 53.16 | \$7.5 |  |
| Cost per square foot of apron replacement (in dollars) \$ | \$123.40 | 0 | \$190 | \$190 | \$190.00 |  |
| Cubic feet of pile and deck removed per fiscal year | 12,000 | 0 | 6,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 |  |
| Maintenance cost per square foot of Port facilities (in dollars) \$0.9 | \$0.94 | \$1.03 | \$1.12 | \$1.17 | \$1.17 |  |
| Number of unscheduled repairs of sewer pumps 20 | 20 | 7 | 11 | 11 | 11 |  |
| Percentage of preventative maintenance of sewer pumps performed on schedule 6 | 69\% | 34\% | 87\% | 87\% | 87\% |  |
| Total number of projects in defined development process | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |  |
| Stability: Maintain the Port's financial strength for future generations by addressing the growing backlog of deferred Port maintenance and managing waterfront assets to meet the City's and the Port's long-term goals |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Portwide Revenue/Designation to Capital (Gross Revenues minus Gross Expenditures, in millions) \$ | \$20.97 | \$23.58 | 0 | 13.77 | \$0 |  |
| Net Revenue, Real Estate (Gross Revenues minus Gross Expenditures in millions) \$81 | \$81.12 | \$68.6M | \$28.47 | \$72.83 | \$29.33 |  |
| Outstanding receivables as a percent of annual billed revenue | 1.90\% | 31.7\% | 25\% | 2.5\% | 15\% |  |
|  | 7.57 | 3.48 | 0\% | 6.36 | 2.09 |  |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Port's proposed Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 budget of $\$ 92.5$ million is $\$ 32.3$ million, or 25.9 percent, lower than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 124.8$ million. This decrease is largely the result of a decline in capital investments driven by projected revenue losses.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 118.4$ million is $\$ 25.9$ million, or 28.0 percent, higher than the $F Y$ 2021-22 proposed budget. This increase is largely the result of returning revenues.

## Positioning the Port for Economic Recovery

The COVID-19 pandemic has had a significant impact on Port operating revenue. Due to its reliance on tourism and hospitality including restaurants, retail and cruises, the Port has lost over $\$ 56$ million, nearly half of its revenue, since March 2020. While the Port expects recovery to begin in FY 2021-22, it projects a five-year period to restore revenue to pre-pandemic levels. To manage this loss, the Port has taken short-term action to reduce its FY 2021-22 operating budget, constrain capital and defund prior year capital projects. Additionally, in 2021 the Port will launch an economic recovery initiative to ensure its long-term financial health. This effort will develop revenue enhancement, expenditure reduction and operating efficiency proposals for implementation in the FY 2022-23 and FY 2023-24 budget. The economic recovery initiative seeks to stabilize the Port's operating budget, allow the Port to fund annual capital investment at pre-pandemic levels and restore fund balance to at least 20 percent of operating expenses.

## Waterfront Resilience Program

The Port established the Waterfront Resilience Program (WRP) to ensure resilience of the 7.5 mile waterfront and key regional and citywide assets in the face of hazards including earthquakes, flooding and sea level rise. The WRP comprises two initiatives. First, in August 2018 the Port entered into the San Francisco Waterfront Storm Risk Management Study General Investigation with the United States Army Corps of Engineers. This flood study will take approximately five years, identify vulnerabilities and recommend strategies to reduce flood risks. Recommended strategies will be submitted for consideration by the Assistant Secretary of the Army and the U.S. Congress for federal investment and implementation.

Second, in November 2018 voters approved Proposition A, a $\$ 425$ million General Obligation Bond, to fund seismic and flood mitigation improvements to the Embarcadero Seawall. The Port estimates that immediate life safety upgrades will cost approximately $\$ 500$ million and be complete by 2026, with full infrastructure improvements likely to cost $\$ 5$ billion over 30 years. In 2020 the Port completed a Multi-Hazard Risk Assessment (MHRA) which evaluated earthquake and flood scenarios to determine potential damage and disruption to critical city systems and the lives of people along the northern waterfront. The MHRA improved the Port's understanding of the risks and consequences of earthquakes and flooding and will guide Proposition A project selection. By December

VOLUME OF CARGO.
The Port of San Francisco has seen a dramatic decrease in cargo traffic since FY 2018-19, resulting in lower revenues.


2021, the Port will complete an adaptation plan to direct the Waterfront Resilience Program's long-term efforts and the Port will also identify Proposition A-funded projects.

## Pursuing Equity

Under Port Commission leadership the Port has long prioritized racial equity and will continue to do so in FY 2021-22. In the current fiscal year the Port integrated elements of its Racial Equity Action Plan into its five-year Strategic Plan to embed equity in the organization's resource allocation, planning and decision-making. In FY 2021-22 the Port will
facilitate a culture of acceptance through mandatory staff training and development of policies and practices promoting inclusion of black, indigenous and people of color (BIPOC), women, differently abled people, and LGBTQIA+ individuals. The Port will also conduct outreach to increase racial diversity of hiring pools and will provide leadership opportunities to BIPOC employees. To support external equity efforts, the Port will implement a $\$ 1$ million Ioan program to provide Port-connected local business enterprises with access to capital, increasing their financial capacity and future ability to engage in business with the City.

## ANNUAL CAPITAL BUDGET.

COVID-19's affect on revenue streams has caused erratic spending on the Port's capital projects.


ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: PORT


## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | 293.81 | 285.72 | $(8.09)$ | 285.53 | $(0.19)$ |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | $(62.00)$ | $(63.00)$ | $(1.00)$ | $(63.00)$ |  |
| Net Operating Positions | 231.81 | 222.72 | $(9.09)$ | $\mathbf{2 2 2 . 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{( 0 . 1 9 )}$ |

## Sources

| Fines, Forfeiture, \& Penalties | $1,314,600$ | $1,868,876$ | 554,276 | $2,669,742$ | 800,866 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Interest \& Investment Income | $1,086,875$ | $1,014,052$ | $(72,823)$ | 773,149 | $(240,903)$ |
| Rents \& Concessions | $46,580,762$ | $60,574,521$ | $13,993,759$ | $69,881,759$ | $9,307,238$ |
| Intergovernmental: Federal | 317,495 | $1,742,107$ | $1,424,612$ |  | $(1,742,107)$ |
| Charges for Services | $10,959,843$ | $17,175,841$ | $6,215,998$ | $25,849,745$ | $8,673,904$ |
| Other Revenues | $9,408,475$ | $10,094,198$ | 685,723 | $9,366,872$ | $(727,326)$ |
| Expenditure Recovery | 17,500 | 17,500 |  | 17,500 |  |
| IntraFund Transfers In | $27,095,192$ | $33,936,720$ | $6,841,528$ | $7,854,180$ | $(26,082,540)$ |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | $55,116,508$ |  | $(55,116,508)$ | $9,867,787$ | $9,867,787$ |
| Transfer Adjustment-Source | $(27,095,192)$ | $(33,936,720)$ | $(6,841,528)$ | $(7,854,180)$ | $26,082,540$ |

General Fund Support

|  | Sources Total | $\mathbf{1 2 4 , 8 0 2 , 0 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 , 4 8 7 , 0 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 2 , 3 1 4 , 9 6 3 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 8 , 4 2 6 , 5 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 , 9 3 9 , 4 5 9}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $28,011,977$ | $28,638,675$ | 626,698 | $29,608,700$ | 970,025 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $14,762,798$ | $14,274,956$ | $(487,842)$ | $14,359,807$ | 84,851 |
| Non-Personnel Services | $11,266,191$ | $14,481,260$ | $3,215,069$ | $14,481,260$ |  |
| Capital Outlay | $15,369,688$ | $(19,204,880)$ | $(34,574,568)$ | $4,602,648$ | $23,807,528$ |
| Carry-Forward Budgets Only |  | $(8,180,647)$ | $(8,180,647)$ |  | $8,180,647$ |
| Debt Service | $3,722,974$ | $6,879,558$ | $3,156,584$ | $6,879,558$ |  |
| Intrafund Transfers Out | $27,095,192$ | $33,936,720$ | $6,841,528$ | $7,854,180$ | $(26,082,540)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 931,924 | 866,924 | $(65,000)$ | 866,924 |  |
| Overhead and Allocations | $1,725,559$ | 930,936 | $(794,623)$ | 930,936 |  |
| Programmatic Projects | $23,660,569$ | $12,499,494$ | $(11,161,075)$ | $12,499,594$ | 100 |
| Services Of Other Depts | $25,318,665$ | $28,492,786$ | $3,174,121$ | $29,884,144$ | $1,391,358$ |
| Transfers Out | 31,713 | 31,713 |  | 31,713 |  |
| Unappropriated Rev Retained |  | $12,776,320$ | $12,776,320$ |  | $(12,776,320)$ |
| Unappropriated Rev-Designated |  |  |  | $4,281,270$ | $4,281,270$ |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(27,095,192)$ | $(33,936,720)$ | $(6,841,528)$ | $(7,854,180)$ | $26,082,540$ |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{1 2 4 , 8 0 2 , 0 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 , 4 8 7 , 0 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 2 , 3 1 4 , 9 6 3 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 8 , 4 2 6 , 5 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 , 9 3 9 , 4 5 9}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| PRT Engineering | $6,011,637$ | $5,337,121$ | $(674,516)$ | $5,449,932$ | 112,811 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PRT Executive | $7,758,267$ | $8,109,807$ | 351,540 | $8,232,787$ | 122,980 |
| PRT Finance And Administration | $29,092,076$ | $22,488,904$ | $(6,603,172)$ | $32,408,284$ | $9,919,380$ |
| PRT Maintenance | $18,993,635$ | $18,764,170$ | $(229,465)$ | $23,485,789$ | $4,721,619$ |
| PRT Maritime | $11,770,785$ | $11,835,151$ | 64,366 | $11,822,198$ | $(12,953)$ |
| PRT Planning \& Environment | $2,929,465$ | $3,093,810$ | 164,345 | $3,140,464$ | 46,654 |
| PRT Port Commission (Portwide) | $34,913,192$ | $4,780,666$ | $(30,132,526)$ | $15,672,180$ | $10,891,514$ |
| PRT Real Estate \& Development | $13,333,001$ | $18,077,466$ | $4,744,465$ | $18,214,920$ | 137,454 |
|  | $\mathbf{1 2 4 , 8 0 2 , 0 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 , 4 8 7 , 0 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 2 , 3 1 4 , 9 6 3 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 8 , 4 2 6 , 5 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 , 9 3 9 , 4 5 9}$ |

## Public Defender

## MISSION

The Public Defender's Office (PDR) delivers competent, effective, and ethical legal representation to over 20,000 indigent persons accused of crimes or involved in conservatorship matters in San Francisco.

## SERVICES

The Public Defender provides services through the following divisions:
FELONY UNIT provides legal representation to individuals charged with felony offenses, including those charged with serious and violent offenses like murder, manslaughter, sex, gang, Three Strikes, fraud, robbery, burglary, criminal threats, assault, weapon possession, and narcotic offenses.

MISDEMEANOR UNIT provides legal representation to individuals charged with misdemeanor offenses, including persons charged with assault and battery, driving under the influence, theft, weapon possession, vandalism, and domestic violence.

JUVENILE UNIT provides legal representation to youth on delinquency matters and is responsible for meeting the educational, social, and behavioral health needs of youth in order to ensure their long-term success at home, school, and in the community.

MENTAL HEALTH UNIT provides representation to clients at all stages of the involuntary treatment commitment process, and ensures that clients receive effective mental health treatment.

SPECIALTY COURTS, CLEAN SLATE AND REENTRY UNITS work to reduce recidivism. The Specialty Courts-Drug Court, Behavioral Health Court and the Community Justice Center-employ evidence-based practices to obtain positive social outcomes. The Clean Slate/Reentry units eliminate barriers to employment and link clients to essential services.

IMMIGRATION DEFENSE UNIT provides legal representation to individuals who are incarcerated and facing deportation.

BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $42,256,703$ | $44,132,551$ | $1,875,848$ | $45,231,821$ | $1,099,270$ |
| Total FTE | 188 | 187 | $(1)$ | 187 | 0 |

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Provide competent, vigorous, and ethical legal representation to indigent persons accused of crimes or involved in conservatorship matters in San Francisco;
- Protect vulnerable populations and advocate for clients' release, and provide re-entry services to clients upon release;
- Ensure fair and transparent treatment of all cases, including providing immigrant representation;
- Advocate for law enforcement transparency and accountability;
- Address and combat racial inequities throughout the criminal legal system through public defense strategies and policy change; and
- Recruit, hire, train, support, and mentor diverse public defenders to ensure that the Office reflects the clients PDR serve.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  | FY19 | FY20 |  |  | FY22 | FY23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Advocate for Clients' Release |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clients provided pre-arraignment legal consultation | 3,360 |  |  | 2,000 |  |  |
| Number of bail motions filed by the Bail Unit | 593 |  |  | 800 |  |  |
| Provide alternatives to incarceration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of carryover participants in Drug Court | 78 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 60 | 60 |
| Number of dismissals of Drug Court client cases | 31 | 15 | 15 | 30 | 25 | 25 |
| Number of Drug Court cases in bench warrant status | 72 | 60 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 40 |
| Number of new participants in Drug Court | 98 | 150 | 119 | 120 | 100 | 100 |
| Provide expungement services |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of applicants/individuals receiving legal consultation and referrals via drop in services and telephone conferences | 6,756 | 3518 | 2090 | 6000 | 6000 | 6000 |
| Number of motions filed on behalf of the clients under Clean Slate | 1,581 | 1242 | 1298 | 1,400 | 1,400 | 1,400 |
| Provide immigration representation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total applications for immigration relief filed | 224 | 401 | 203 | 220 | 216 | 216 |
| Total immigration bond hearings held | 56 | 20 | 14 | 50 | 20 | 20 |
| Provide Re-entry Services to Clients |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of clients evaluated for referral to services including shelter, housing, drug treatment, mental health treatment, educational and vocational services | 311 | 298 | 228 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Number of clients referred to services including shelter, housing, drug treatment, mental health treatment, educational and vocational services | 244 | 214 | 146 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| Provide Services for Children of Incarcerated Parents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of clients evaluated for referral and referred to services including shelter, housing, drug treatment, mental health treatment, educational and vocational services | 75 | 77 | 54 | 80 | 75 | 75 |
| Provide training to staff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of training programs offered to staff | 155 | 165 | 180 | 171 | 160 | 160 |
| Represent defendants effectively |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of felony matters handled | 10,098 | 9128 | 6773 | 11,237 | 10,085 | 10,646 |
| Number of juvenile matters handled | 2,978 | 2874 | 2475 | 3,116 | 2,500 | 2,300 |
| Number of mental health clients represented | 3,945 | 2994 | 3200 | 3,900 | 3400 | 3600 |
| Number of misdemeanor matters handled | 4,486 | 3874 | 3036 | 4,700 | 4,285 | 4,083 |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 44.1$ million for the Public Defender is $\$ 1.9$ million, or 4.4 percent higher than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 42.3$ million. The increase is primarily due to permanently adding three positions in the Pretrial Release Unit that were previously limited-term.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 45.2$ million is $\$ 1.1$ million, or 2.5 percent, higher than the FY 2021-22 proposed budget. This is largely due to increases in salary and benefits costs.

## Budget Changes for 2021-22 and 2022-23

In addition to meeting its constitutional mandate to provide vigorous legal representation to indigent individuals accused of crimes or facing deportation, the Public Defender's Office must support its clients in a myriad of ways due to the current economic and health crises created by COVID-19.

Public defender clients are among the most vulnerable San Franciscans. Over 80 percent are people of color and over 50 percent are Black. Many suffer from mental illness and/or substance use issues, food insecurity, medical issues, and homelessness. Representing people who have been marginalized because of their race, ethnicity, mental health and economic status requires compassionate and aggressive legal advocacy in the courtroom as well as strategic and robust advocacy for services and systemic changes to the criminal legal system outside the courtroom.

## Advocating Inside and Outside the Courtroom

The pandemic has caused the courts to limit the number of hearings and jury trials, which means that attorney caseloads have surged. Public Defender misdemeanor attorneys carry individual caseloads approximately 25 percent higher than in previous years, now with an average of 130 cases for each individual lawyer. The workload associated with felony cases has likewise swelled from last year, with the most impactful increases occurring in the number of homicides and other complex, time-and-labor intensive cases in which the Office's clients face the prospect of life in prison. Still, even with these challenges, the department's felony attorneys resolved over 1,400 cases in 2020.

In addition to advocating for clients in the courtroom on criminal cases, the Public Defender's Office:

- Provides holistic, trauma-informed, culturallysensitive reentry services;



## FELONY SPECIAL SET CASES HANDLED. <br> The Public Defender's Office has handled an increasing number of homicide and sexual assault cases over the past four years.

- Works to prevent system-involvement by representing clients in Behavioral Health Court, Drug Court, Veterans Court, Young Adult Court, Criminal Justice Court, Parole Court, and other alternative courts;
- Provides post-conviction relief by seeking expungement and "clean slate" remedies to remove barriers to the Office's clients securing housing and employment;
- Collaborates with community-based organizations to engage with the City's youth, disrupt the school-to-prison pipeline, and advance educational, economic, and health opportunities through the "Magic" program (B Magic and Mo' Magic);
- Represents immigrants locked in immigration detention facilities and facing deportation;
- Advocates for more fairness and racial equity in all parts of the criminal legal system through legislative advocacy around law enforcement transparency and accountability; transforming systems that over-criminalize and disproportionately incarcerate people of color; and investment in communitybased approaches that foster economic and social advancement for those impacted by the criminal legal system.


## Protecting Vulnerable Populations during COVID-19

The Public Defender has spent the past year advocating for the Office's incarcerated clients who are at tremendous risk of contracting COVID-19, and the Office continue to focus on reducing the populations of those detained in the San Francisco County Jail,
state prisons, juvenile hall, and federal immigration detention facilities. The Department's Reentry Unit team has then made every effort to ensure that clients are connected with housing and treatment services once they exit custody.

The Mayor's proposed budget includes funding to continue positions in the Pre-Trial Release Unit
(PRU) that were added temporarily as a pilot in the 2017-2018 budget. The PRU meets with clients after they are booked into jail but before arraignment, plays a crucial role in reducing the jail population. Pre-arraignment representation increases the likelihood of release at arraignment by providing attorneys the time needed to compile a robust case for release.

## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: PUBLIC DEFENDER



TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Authorized | 191.44 | 192.93 | 1.49 | 191.12 |  |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | $(3.00)$ | $(6.05)$ | $(3.05)$ | $(4.25)$ | $1.81)$ |
| Net Operating Positions | 188.44 | 186.88 | $(1.56)$ | $\mathbf{1 8 6 . 8 7}$ | $(0.01)$ |

## Sources

| Intergovernmental: Federal | 240,790 | 43,122 | $(197,668)$ | 43,122 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intergovernmental: State | 348,450 | 417,000 | 68,550 | 417,000 |  |
| Charges for Services |  | 7,944 | 7,944 |  | $(7,944)$ |
| Expenditure Recovery | 92,000 | 92,000 |  | 92,000 |  |
| General Fund Support | 41,575,463 | 43,572,485 | 1,997,022 | 44,679,699 | 1,107,214 |
| Sources Total | 42,256,703 | 44,132,551 | 1,875,848 | 45,231,821 | 1,099,270 |
| Uses - Operating Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 27,495,210 | 29,406,156 | 1,910,946 | 30,434,387 | 1,028,231 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 11,203,660 | 11,163,879 | $(39,781)$ | 11,219,336 | 55,457 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 1,258,672 | 1,200,616 | $(58,056)$ | 1,192,672 | $(7,944)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 245,809 | 136,809 | $(109,000)$ | 136,809 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | 2,053,352 | 2,225,091 | 171,739 | 2,248,617 | 23,526 |
| Uses Total | 42,256,703 | 44,132,551 | 1,875,848 | 45,231,821 | 1,099,270 |

Uses - Division Description

| PDR Public Defender | $42,256,703$ | $44,132,551$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 7 5 , 8 4 8}$ | $45,231,821$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 9 9 , 2 7 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{4 2 , 2 5 6 , 7 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 , 1 3 2 , 5 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 7 5 , 8 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 , 2 3 1 , 8 2 1}$ |

## Public Health

MISSION
The mission of the Department of Public Health (DPH) is to protect and promote the health of all San Franciscans.

## SERVICES

The Department of Public Health provides services through the following divisions:
SAN FRANCISCO HEALTH NETWORK (SFHN) is the City's only complete care system. The network includes primary care for all ages, dentistry, emergency and trauma treatment, medical and surgical specialties, diagnostic testing, skilled nursing and rehabilitation, and behavioral health. University of California, San Francisco (UCSF) physicians provide research and teaching expertise. SFHN includes:

- ZUCKERBERG SAN FRANCISCO GENERAL (ZSFG) is a licensed general acute care hospital owned and operated by the City and County of San Francisco. ZSFG provides a full complement of inpatient, outpatient, emergency, skilled nursing, diagnostic, mental health, and rehabilitation services for adults and children. Additionally, ZSFG is the designated trauma center for the 1.5 million residents of San Francisco and northern San Mateo County.
- LAGUNA HONDA HOSPITAL (LHH) provides a full range of skilled nursing services to adult residents of San Francisco who are disabled or chronically ill, including specialized care for those with wounds, head trauma, stroke, spinal cord and orthopedic injuries, HIV/AIDS, and dementia.
- AMBULATORY CARE includes primary care, HIV/AIDS health services, mental health and substance abuse treatment, maternal and child healthcare, and jail health services.
- TRANSITIONS oversees client flow throughout the system of care, from acute hospitalization to outpatient settings and housing. Transitions ensures that individuals who are in need of additional support are placed at the appropriate levels of care and are provided the necessary care to ensure their recovery.
POPULATION HEALTH DIVISION (PHD) is responsible for protecting and improving health, and promoting health equity for all San Francisco residents. This division addresses public health concerns, including consumer safety, health promotion and disease prevention, and the monitoring of threats to the public's health. Through PHD, the Department assesses and monitors the health status of San Francisco and implements traditional and innovative public health interventions.

The division consists of eleven integrated branches: Environmental Health Protection and Sustainability; Community Health Equity and Promotion; Disease Prevention and Control; Emergency Medical Services; Public Health Preparedness and Response; Public Health Accreditation and Quality Improvement; Applied Research, Community Health Epidemiology, and Surveillance; Center for Innovation and Learning; Center for Public Health Research; Bridge HIV (HIV research); and the Operations, Finance, and Grants Management Branch.

## BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $2,775,782,429$ | $2,821,394,533$ | $45,612,104$ | $2,757,867,945$ | $(63,526,588)$ |
| Total FTE | 7,161 | 7,380 | 219 | 7,316 | $(64)$ |

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Respond and adapt to the COVID-19 Pandemic in collaboration with other City agencies and community organizations;
- Ensure equitable access to all by reducing economic barriers to health and addressing health disparities;
- Build a sustainable and inclusive workforce through creating an anti-racist workplace culture that respects, values, and invests in people through training, policy, and accountability; improving hiring and retention to increase the number and mobility of staff from underrepresented racial groups, and spreading those gains to increase inclusion all protected groups;
- Re-envision the behavioral health system to expand access to care and care coordination for improved outcomes; and
- Complete the implementation of Epic, the department's Electronic Health Record system.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Ensure Equitable Access to All |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of Black/African-American patients with hypertension who have Blood Pressure control (1) |  | 53.0\% | 48.0\% | 48.7\% | 53.8\% | 58.4\% |
| Percentage of San Francisco Residents with Health Coverage | 96.5\% | 96.2\% | 96.2\% | 96.7\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% |
| Protect \& Promote the Health of all San Franciscans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total managed care program enrollees in the San Francisco Health Network, the City's health system | 88,425 | 90,193 | 102,000 | 93,000 | 108,000 | 105,000 |
| Number of participants in the Healthy San Francisco program for uninsured residents | 13,697 | 13,479 | 15,700 | 13,100 | 16,500 | 15,100 |
| Number of new HIV diagnoses (2) | 197 | 166 | 132 | 146 | 106 | 80 |
| Percent of HIV infected patients who are virally suppressed within one year of diagnosis (3) | 78\% | 81\% | 83\% | 85\% | 85\% | 85\% |
| Provide San Franciscans with World-Class Care |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Daily Population at Laguna Honda Hospital | 746 | 745.5 | 709.42 | 755 | 765 | 765 |
| Short Stay residents discharged from Laguna Honda Hospital to the community with lengths of stay of 100 days or less | 65.4\% | 57.5\% | 58.3\% | 90\% | 45\% | 80\% |
| Average Daily Population at Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital | 316.2 | 297.7 | 289.6 | 295 | 295 | 295 |
| Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital's Occupancy Rate | 111\% | 104\% | 101\% | 95\% | 95\% | 95\% |
| Percentage of time that Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital's Emergency Department is unable to accept lower-priority emergency cases | 46.9\% | 42.8\% | 27.6\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% |
| Percentage of primary care patients rating their provider as 9 or 10 overall on the San Francisco Health Network patient satisfaction survey | 77.3\% | 74.1\% | 73.2\% | 81.5\% | 82\% | 82\% |
| Number of intake assessments completed by Jail Health Services | 14,194 | 11,845 | 10,000 | 12,800 | 10,500 | 11,000 |
| Number of unique mental health clients in treatment | 20,382 | 17,762 | 20,500 | 20,500 | 21,525 | 22,601 |
| Number of unique mental health clients under 19 years of age | 3,962 | 3,844 | 4,400 | 4,300 | 4,620 | 4,851 |
| Percentage of new mental health clients who are homeless | 34.7\% | 33.9\% | 40\% | 43\% | 40\% | 40\% |
| Percentage of mental health clients who are satisfied with quality of services | 91.3\% | 88.1\% | 90\% | 92\% | 92\% | 92\% |
| Number of unique substance use disorder clients in treatment | 5,975 | 5,392 | 6,230 | 6,236 | 6,637 | 6,968 |
| Percentage of homeless clients among substance use disorder treatment admissions | 51.97\% | 66\% | 58\% | 58\% | 58\% | 58\% |
| Percentage of substance use disorder clients who are satisfied with quality of services | 91.5\% | 89\% | 90\% | 92\% | 92\% | 92\% |
| Percentage of readmissions to Psychiatric Inpatient Hospitals within 30 days of discharge |  | 17.1\% | 16.2\% | 16.2\% | 15.4\% | 14.6\% |

1. This denominator has been changed from prior years to now include only those patients with a core medical visit in the last one year. Target values are adjusted accordingly.
2. Data is collected annually based on calendar year (CY). Projected value is preliminary data for CY2020. Target values are for CY21 and CY22.
3. Data is collected annually based on calendar year (CY) diagnoses. Projected value is for CY2019 diagnoses. Target values are for CY20 and CY21 new diagnoses.

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The FY 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 2.82$ billion for the Department of Public Health is $\$ 45.6$ million, or 2 percent, higher than the FY 2020-21 approved budget of $\$ 2.77$ billion. This increase is largely due to continued but reduced COVID-19 response, offset by increased behavioral health investments funded by Our City Our Home revenue, as well as inflationary costs.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 2.75$ billion is $\$ 63.5$ million, or 2.3 percent, lower than the FY 2021-

22 proposed budget. This reduction is driven by projected decreased needs for COVID-19 response programming.

## Implementing the Racial Equity Action Plan

Starting in 2021, DPH's Office of Health Equity finalized the department's Racial Equity Action Plan and began implementation. Implementation of this
plan is a top priority for DPH. The plan includes investments of \$750,000 in FY 2021-22 annualizing to $\$ 1.4$ million in FY 2022-23 for increased staffing for training, coordination, and data analysis for the following areas:

- Policy and program development for responding to and preventing bias in the workplace;
- Implementation of staff and community support activities and recruitment programs;
- Development of data systems, tracking, analysis, evaluation and reporting of data, and the required annual reports to the Health Commission and Board of Supervisors;
- Extensive anti-racism, racial equity, and implicit bias training for all DPH Staff.


## Re-Envisioning Staffing for Security Services

To address racial equity concerns and issues with safety for patients and staff, the department has been working with staff and community stakeholders on a plan to reduce visible law enforcement presence in locations throughout the department and network, replacing law enforcement with staff trained in health care security and patient experience. This change will reduce security services provided by the San Francisco Sheriff's department and operationalize Psychiatric Nurses to function as a Behavioral Emergency Response Team (BERT) to prevent crises by performing early-stage de-escalation, rounding, patient standby services, and assist in giving emergent medications and the initiation and application of restraints. Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital (ZSFG) has piloted the use of BERT over the past year with significant positive results. Overall, the net cost of the conversion will be $\$ 1.8$ million annually.

## Re-envisioning Our Behavioral Health System of Care and Supporting Our Most Vulnerable

The Mayor's proposed spending plan for Our City, Our Home (OCOH) provides significant increase in new residential treatment beds, programming, capacity and coordination for Behavioral Health Services to better serve people experiencing homelessness (PEH) and those transitioning into permanent supportive housing. The plan adds $\$ 42.2$ million of new programming in addition to the $\$ 50.9$ million of previously approved programs as part of the FY 2020-22 budget process for a total of $\$ 93.1$ million. In addition, the spending plan includes $\$ 122.3$ million of one-time costs for site acquisition.

## Increasing Bed Capacity

Bed capacity is critical to provide both treatment and stabilization as well as long term care for clients who cannot be stably housed. The Mayor's proposed budget includes $\$ 11.4$ million annually to increase bed capacity by approximately 196 additional beds. Bed types include Board and Care, Residential Step Down, Transitional Aged Youth (TAY) residential treatment, Managed Alcohol Program (MAP), and co-op housing beds. The spending plan also includes $\$ 76.8$ million in FY 202122 and $\$ 45.5$ million in FY 2022-23 funded from one-time prior year sources for the acquisition and rehabilitation of new facilities.

Increasing Services in the Streets
Street Crisis Response Team - Launched in FY 2020-21, this new program provides appropriate interventions and connections for people who experience behavioral health crises on the streets of San Francisco, in partnership with the San Francisco

SF COVID-19 VACCINATION.

DPH projects that 76.9 percent of San Franciscans will have had their 2nd dose of the COVID-19 vaccine by the end of June 2021.


Fire Department. The proposed budget includes an additional $\$ 1.8$ million annually to create an additional Street Crisis Response Team for a total of seven teams to serve the City.

## Expanding Street Medicine Capacity - The

Mayor's proposed budget includes $\$ 2.7$ million annually for expanding street medicine capacity that supports clients in the street, shelters and at the new Health Resource Center, a dedicated outpatient clinic for PEH. New costs will support increases in clinical and peer staffing, and transportation to get clients to services and treatment.

## Expanding Services at the Behavioral Health Access Center

The spending plan includes an additional $\$ 2$ million in FY 2022-23 and ongoing to continue to expand the hours at the Behavioral Health Access Center, a centralized drop-in Mental Health Service Center for people in need of immediate behavioral health care.

## Targeted Services for Transgender and TAY clients

Recognizing the needs of transgender and TAY clients, the proposed budget carves out $\$ 1.3$ million annually for TAY mental health, care coordination, and case management services, and $\$ 1.0$ million annually for specialized transgender mental health services, specifically for transgender people experiencing homelessness.

## Preventing Overdose

To address the significant increase in drug overdose deaths in San Francisco, the Mayor's budget invests $\$ 13.5$ million annually for the following four priorities:

## Expand Medication Assisted Treatment and

 Contingency Management - $\$ 5.4$ million - To expand proven effective treatment strategies, this funding increases opportunities for people who use drugs to receive medications for addiction treatment and contingency management. Medication Assisted Treatment (MAT) for opiate use disorder reduces an individual's risk of overdose and improves health outcomes overall. Contingency management (CM) is an evidence-based behavioral therapy utilized to treat stimulant use disorders by utilizing tangible reinforcements, such as gift cards, to incentivize healthier behavior.
## Street Overdose Response Team (SORT) -

$\$ 5.4$ million - SORT is a new initiative providing


## へ STREET CRISIS RESPONSE TEAM ENGAGEMENTS. The goal of the San Francisco

 Street Crisis Response Team is to provide rapid, trauma-informed response to calls for service about people experiencing crisis in public spaces in order to reduce law enforcement encounters and unnecessary emergency room use. The SCRT now has three teams that are fully operational in the Tenderloin, MissionCastro, and Bayview districts. These teams operate 7 days per week, 12 hours per day. A fourth team launched in the Waterfront/Chinatown/North Beach area on 5/10/21 with limited hours. SCRT hopes to have 6 teams fully operational by end of the summer that will include 24 hour coverage 7 days per week.a street-based response for people experiencing homelessness with a recent non-fatal overdose through engagement, care coordination, and low barrier treatments like MAT. Team members will include peer specialists, substance abuse counselors, health workers, clinical behavioral health, medical, and psychiatric professionals. People who use drugs will be offered treatment and assistance after surviving an overdose, either through initial contact and/or through follow-up outreach, regardless of whether treatment is initially accepted.

## Establish a Culture of Harm Reduction \&

 Supportive Care in High-Risk Settings - \$2.8 million - This funding expands low threshold buprenorphine and contingency management to high-risk sites including streets, SIPs, and PSH. This also increases access to low barrier harm reduction resources, including open-access or drop-in therapy to the public, either at a central site, as added services at an existing site, or through street-level engagement. Funds will also be used to expand access to safe consumption supply kits at medical and behavioral health treatment sites. The kits aredesigned to reduce adverse health consequences from drug use.

Increasing Services for Clients in Shelters and Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) - $\$ 7.7$ million
DPH will expand its presence at and collaboration with PSH sites and provide additional support to shelters and SIP hotels. Roving behavioral health and physical health staff will provide onsite services. Another important element of this initiative involves building the capacity of onsite PSH staff through training and consultation.

## Additional Behavioral Health Investments

Beyond programs funded by OCOH for people experiencing homelessness, the Mayor's proposed budget includes $\$ 0.5$ million for three new positions to expand the coordination, care management and peer support for the Conservatorship Program. An additional \$1.3 million is proposed to expand the Educationally Related Mental Health Services (ERMHS) programs for qualifying students in San Francisco Unified School District (SFUSD) and will support additional assessments and services. The increases in the ERHMS program is offset with increases in MediCal and funding from SFUSD.

## COVID-19 Response

While San Francisco COVID-19 vaccination rates are rising and cases remain at relatively low levels, the City will require an ongoing (although substantially reduced) COVID-19 response and recovery program lasting into the coming fiscal year and beyond. Starting in FY 2021-22, these functions will be integrated into normal departmental operations, making them more administratively efficient and operationally sustainable. A majority of these functions will be housed within DPH, although other functions will rest with different departments including the Department of Emergency Management, the Human Services Agency, and the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing.

DPH will budget $\$ 123.6$ million of new expenditures for the following functions in FY 2021-22:

## COVID-19 Disease Response Unit (CDRU) -

The CDRU, comprised of case investigation (CI), contact tracing (CT), and outbreak management (OBM), will be staffed to manage up to 36 new
cases and associated contacts and limited outbreak responses.

## Community Engagement and Equity -

Community engagement efforts will prioritize populations and settings most impacted by COVID-19 and in priority neighborhoods with most health disparities. Community equity liaisons will be assigned to impacted populations and priority neighborhoods will align and coordinate with the Community Branch, DPH's Offices of Health Equity and External Government Affairs, as well as with other City Departments including the Emergency Operations Center (EOC) and the Mayor's Office.

COVID-19 Data Intelligence - Allocated resources will support all current dashboards and reports as a "maintenance" model, prioritizing data integrity and completeness, monitoring various external and internal data sources, and executing current quality control processes.

DPH Operational Sustainability - San Francisco Health Network and Population Health Division will be returning to "New Normal" Operations with the goal of returning to pre-COVID-19 state over the first half of FY 2021-22. Allocated resources will address the backlog of delayed inpatient and outpatient medical, surgical, diagnostic, and behavioral health services as well as increased operating costs to maintain CDC and CDPH infection and control protocols for disinfection cleaning and cohorting/isolation of staff and patients/residents. ZSFG and Primary Care will continue to provide some level of community and mobile vaccination and testing capacity.

COVID-19 Task Force (Department Operational Center - DOC) - Allocated resources will support standing up the COVID-19 Task Force, as a hybrid organization model between a traditional Incident Command System structure and established DPH operational structures, in order to facilitate the eventual integration of COVID-19-related services into assigned DPH programs, units or clinics.

## Non- Health System Surge Capacity - Allocated

 resources will continue to provide medical, behavioral, and wraparound services including targeted testing and vaccination via DPH's Whole Person Integrated Care team in Shelter-in-Place locations. Contract vendors will administrate end-toend services for Isolation and Quarantine (I\&Q) sites.COVID-19 Vaccination - With the assumption that 80 percent of eligible individuals will be vaccinated when the CCC begins transition into an EOC/ DPH structure, allocated resources will prioritize project management, strategic outreach, active engagement with community and health system collaborative partners, and coordinated targeted events to reach "hard-to-reach" individuals as well as children 2-11 years old.

## DPH COVID-19 Testing Operations and

Laboratories - The majority of COVID-19 tests will be performed by three third-party contractors, who will provide both community physical sites/events as well as mobile teams. SFHN clinical settings will continue to provide onsite testing and support a limited team for mobile testing. School outbreaks testing, not surveillance, will be supported via one of the three contracted vendors.

## Transfer of Local Emergency Medical System Agency to Department of Emergency Management

DPH will transfer the Local Emergency Medical System Agency (LEMSA) to the Department of Emergency Management (DEM) to strengthen the connection between emergency response and medical oversight. LEMSA monitors, evaluates, and regulates the City's emergency medical system (EMS) in collaboration with San Francisco's hospitals and community EMS providers. Responsibility for LEMSA's operations and budget will transfer to DEM on July 1, and both DEM and DPH will collaborate to facilitate the transition through FY 2021-22. This transfer shifts approximately $\$ 2.5$ million in funding from DPH's budget and into DEM's, but does not impact budgeted service levels.

## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: PUBLIC HEALTH



## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Authorized |  | $7,487.22$ | $7,487.22$ | $7,425.05$ | $(62.17)$ |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) |  | $(107.46)$ | $(107.46)$ | $(108.99)$ | $(1.53)$ |
| Net Operating Positions | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 3 7 9 . 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 3 7 9 . 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 3 1 6 . 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 3 . 7 0 )}$ |

Sources

| Business Taxes | 98,400,000 | 83,270,000 | $(15,130,000)$ | 88,650,000 | 5,380,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Licenses, Permits, \& Franchises | 5,180,250 | 11,034,065 | 5,853,815 | 10,887,880 | $(146,185)$ |
| Fines, Forfeiture, \& Penalties | 5,016,715 | 5,016,681 | (34) | 5,016,715 | 34 |
| Interest \& Investment Income | 228,000 | 228,015 | 15 | 227,995 | (20) |
| Rents \& Concessions | 658,030 | 747,990 | 89,960 | 747,990 |  |
| Intergovernmental: Federal | 180,478,705 | 94,050,680 | $(86,428,025)$ | 74,613,925 | $(19,436,755)$ |
| Intergovernmental: State | 330,104,695 | 412,175,489 | 82,070,794 | 364,189,093 | $(47,986,396)$ |
| Intergovernmental: Other | 64,575 | 64,575 |  | 64,575 |  |
| Charges for Services | 1,173,055,452 | 1,199,346,722 | 26,291,270 | 1,157,802,400 | $(41,544,322)$ |
| Other Revenues | 37,289,516 | 39,629,050 | 2,339,534 | 26,247,481 | $(13,381,569)$ |
| Other Financing Sources |  | 9,100,000 | 9,100,000 |  | $(9,100,000)$ |
| Expenditure Recovery | 48,855,011 | 56,433,803 | 7,578,792 | 56,185,401 | $(248,402)$ |
| Transfers In | 112,681,554 | 91,354,139 | $(21,327,415)$ | 94,563,485 | 3,209,346 |
| IntraFund Transfers In | 20,340,439 | 13,081,460 | $(7,258,979)$ | 12,815,530 | $(265,930)$ |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | 3,643,459 | 68,240,000 | 64,596,541 |  | (68,240,000) |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | 7,000,000 | 1,000,000 | $(6,000,000)$ |  | $(1,000,000)$ |
| Transfer Adjustment-Source | (130,794,110) | $(102,482,615)$ | 28,311,495 | $(105,373,131)$ | $(2,890,516)$ |
| General Fund Support | 883,580,138 | 839,104,479 | $(44,475,659)$ | 971,228,606 | 132,124,127 |
| Sources Total | 2,775,782,429 | 2,821,394,533 | 45,612,104 | 2,757,867,945 | $(63,526,588)$ |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $899,810,026$ | $996,714,523$ | $96,904,497$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 2 2 , 0 9 0 , 3 8 1}$ | $25,375,858$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $398,035,490$ | $409,083,730$ | $11,048,240$ | $415,790,908$ | $6,707,178$ |
| Non-Personnel Services | $824,051,063$ | $919,360,123$ | $95,309,060$ | $894,062,319$ | $(25,297,804)$ |
| City Grant Program | $10,949,500$ | $10,949,500$ |  |  |  |
| Capital Outlay | $13,929,235$ | $26,284,888$ | $12,355,653$ | $3,983,870$ | $(22,301,018)$ |
| Debt Service | $12,717,028$ | $14,553,271$ | $1,836,243$ | $20,614,571$ | $6,061,300$ |
| Facilities Maintenance | $3,715,819$ | $3,901,613$ | 185,794 | $4,096,690$ | 195,077 |
| Intrafund Transfers Out | $20,340,439$ | $13,081,460$ | $(7,258,979)$ | $12,815,530$ | $(265,930)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | $142,731,466$ | $160,199,039$ | $17,467,573$ | $168,088,141$ | $7,889,102$ |
| Overhead and Allocations | $2,242,608$ | $4,203,079$ | $1,960,471$ | $3,090,972$ | $(1,112,107)$ |
| Programmatic Projects | $339,026,211$ | $132,052,802$ | $(206,973,409)$ | $76,272,585$ | $(55,780,217)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | $121,990,611$ | $136,841,615$ | $14,851,004$ | $135,447,602$ | $(1,394,013)$ |
| Transfers Out | $110,453,671$ | $89,401,155$ | $(21,052,516)$ | $92,557,601$ | $3,156,446$ |
| Unappropriated Rev Retained | $6,583,372$ | $7,250,350$ | 666,978 | $3,380,406$ | $(3,869,944)$ |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(130,794,110)$ | $\mathbf{( 1 0 2 , 4 8 2 , 6 1 5 )}$ | $28,311,495$ | $(105,373,131)$ | $(2,890,516)$ |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{2 , 7 7 5 , 7 8 2 , 4 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 2 1 , 3 9 4 , 5 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 , 6 1 2 , 1 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 5 7 , 8 6 7 , 9 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 3 , 5 2 6 , 5 8 8 )}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| HAD Public Health Admin | $180,600,117$ | $157,598,101$ | $(23,002,016)$ | $\mathbf{1 6 8 , 5 3 2 , 0 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 9 3 3 , 9 4 8}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HBH Behavioral Health | $535,517,649$ | $606,751,833$ | $71,234,184$ | $543,801,723$ | $(62,950,110)$ |
| HGH Zuckerberg SF General | $996,821,628$ | $1,050,225,190$ | $53,403,562$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 7 0 , 8 0 0 , 3 9 3}$ | $20,575,203$ |
| HHH Health At Home | $8,236,557$ | $8,769,180$ | 532,623 | $9,000,654$ | 231,474 |
| HJH Jail Health | $37,890,187$ | $38,439,952$ | 549,765 | $39,766,391$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 2 6 , 4 3 9}$ |
| HLH Laguna Honda Hospital | $307,386,752$ | $321,140,844$ | $13,754,092$ | $318,835,849$ | $(2,304,995)$ |
| HNS Health Network Services | $296,655,415$ | $335,242,214$ | $38,586,799$ | $324,300,385$ | $(10,941,829)$ |
| HPC Primary Care | $114,978,667$ | $\mathbf{1 1 1 , 5 4 6 , 4 3 9}$ | $(3,432,228)$ | $114,492,021$ | $2,945,582$ |
| HPH Population Health Division | $297,695,457$ | $\mathbf{1 9 1 , 6 8 0 , 7 8 0}$ | $(106,014,677)$ | $\mathbf{1 6 8 , 3 3 8 , 4 8 0}$ | $(23,342,300)$ |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{2 , 7 7 5 , 7 8 2 , 4 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 2 1 , 3 9 4 , 5 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 , 6 1 2 , 1 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 5 7 , 8 6 7 , 9 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 3 , 5 2 6 , 5 8 8 )}$ |

## Public Library

## MISSION

The Public Library (LIB) is dedicated to providing free and equal access to information, knowledge, independent learning, and reading for the community. The Library consists of the Main Library at Civic Center, 27 branch libraries geographically distributed throughout San Francisco, four Bookmobiles that travel around the City, and digital library collection via sfpl. org. In addition to the Library's collection of over 3.8 million items in various formats and more than 50 languages, the Library offers high-speed internet through free wireless and public access computers as well as educational, cultural, and literary programming.

## SERVICES

The Public Library provides services through the following strategic areas:
Premier Urban Library fosters a connected community through shared experiences, equitable access to quality resources, and inclusive environments.

LITERACY AND LEARNING provides robust collections, resources, services, and programs that support reading, and address the changing literacy and learning needs of the 21st century.

YOUTH ENGAGEMENT enriches the City's youth with early literacy programs, summer learning activities, homework help, outreach to schools, and expanded teen services with emphasis on technology access and media literacy.
DIGITAL STRATEGIES ensures equitable access to public technology and resources.
PARTNERSHIPS FOR EXCELLENCE enables city agencies and community based organizations to leverage the Library's resources, strengthens the services and programs offered, and helps reach larger audiences.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Provide accessible and welcoming library facilities to meet the needs of all San Franciscans;
- Deliver robust collections, services, and programs to promote literacy and learning;
- Engage youth in learning, workforce development, and personal growth opportunities;
- Provide access to innovative information services through access to high-speed broadband, technology, and the library's virtual presence;
- Support the economic recovery and resiliency of San Francisco with targeted programs and services; and
- Implement the San Francisco Public Library's departmental racial equity plan.


## BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2 - 2 3}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $151,700,834$ | $171,192,006$ | $19,491,172$ | $172,321,356$ | $1,129,350$ |
| Total FTE | 700 | 703 | 3 | 703 | 0 |



## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The proposed Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 budget of $\$ 171.2$ million for the Library is $\$ 19.5$ million, or 13.0 percent higher than the FY 2020-2021 budget of $\$ 151.7$ million. The increase is due to restarting capital projects that were previously paused and enhancements to community-oriented programs.

The FY 2O22-23 proposed budget of $\$ 172.3$ million for the Library is $\$ 1.1$ million, or 1.0 percent higher than the FY 2021-22 proposed budget. This change is due to capital projects and enhancements to community-oriented programs.

The Library continuously enhances its library collections based on usage each cycle, refreshes its technology and building infrastructure, and considers ways in which the Library can better meet the needs of San Francisco's diverse communities in the future. The Library purchases materials in various formats and multiple languages to support the City's diverse community. Enhancements to the Library budget are responsive to usage data and community requests. Print books remain the primary material format for patrons; however, eMedia circulation continues to grow. With $\$ 20.8$
million allocated in FY 2O21-22 and $\$ 21.6$ million in FY 2022-23, collections investments represent about 13 percent of the Library operating budget.

## Equity and Recovery

In addition to the long-standing budget priorities associated with operating a premier urban library, the Library has added two categorical budget priorities: Racial Equity and Economic Recovery.

In June 2018, the San Francisco Public Library (Library) was named Library of the Year by Gale/ Library Journal for its active promotion of San Francisco's values of inclusion, diversity, and equity and its ability to create programs and policies that support those democratic values. The Library is an essential resource for the City's diverse communities, offering an equitable and safe space for people of all ages to gather, gain knowledge, and participate in shared experiences.

Bolstering equitable access to the collections and an equitable organization are priorities for the Library. In FY 2021-22, the Library will expand access for residents in the Dogpatch and in Hunter's View by investing in collections hubs-book vending machines that will house a small collection and facilitate holds pick-up for patrons. The Library's FY 2022-23 budget includes funds for a collections hub at Treasure Island and also for community engagement with Tenderloin residents to help the Main Library better serve the neighborhood, one of the City's most economically disadvantaged communities. The Library will invest \$800,000 each year to build a bridge to library use for local low-income families through the Scholars at Home program. For Library staff, the department will invest in racial equity training to create a culture, space, and workplace that is accessible and welcoming to all current and future employees.

The Library plans to contribute to San Francisco's economic recovery with financial empowerment initiatives, employment opportunities, and support for the struggling local cultural sector. Effective September 16, 2019, the Library eliminated overdue fines for all Library patrons, and this policy will continue. To contribute directly to recovery beyond successful established programs like Work It and Tech Time, the Library will invest further in partnership with other City departments. The Smart Money Coaching program, offered through the Office of Treasurer \& Tax Collector (TTX), will be doubled from one financial coach five days/week to two beginning in FY 2021-22.

The Library is also expanding its support for San Francisco's youth with increased investments in the YouthWorks program administered by the Department of Children, Youth \& Their Families (DCYF), and for local artists through Cultural Equity Grants and Artist Branch Residencies via the Arts Commission (ART).

## Investing in the Future

In FY 2016-17, the Library began to invest in its Library Improvements for Tomorrow (LIFT) capital program, which includes the renovation of Mission and Chinatown branch libraries and a new construction project for Ocean View branch library. The Library's FY 2018-19 budget fully funded the Mission branch library renovation at an estimated $\$ 19.8$ million. The Library's FY 2O21-22 and FY 2022-23 budget funds $\$ 10.2$ million to the Chinatown branch renovation (budget estimate: $\$ 29.4$ million) and the same amount to the Ocean View construcand $\$ 3.5$ million to the Ocean View construction project (budget estimate $\$ 47.0$ million). The Library anticipates funding the remaining amount in future budget cycles.

In addition to these capital investments, the Library's budget funds ongoing facility renewal and enhancement needs, facility master plans to determine overall system needs, planning for future library designs that are responsive to the community, and the evolution of library services.


[^27]

## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: PUBLIC LIBRARY



TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized <br> Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | 700.17 | 702.65 | 2.48 | 702.60 | $(0.05)$ |
| Net Operating Positions |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sources

| Property Taxes | 67,360,000 | 68,927,000 | 1,567,000 | 71,922,000 | 2,995,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest \& Investment Income | 237,400 | 237,400 |  | 237,400 |  |
| Rents \& Concessions | 26,115 | 26,115 |  | 26,115 |  |
| Intergovernmental: State | 230,000 | 230,000 |  | 230,000 |  |
| Charges for Services | 170,000 | 175,000 | 5,000 | 175,000 |  |
| Other Revenues | 20,000 | 20,000 |  | 20,000 |  |
| Expenditure Recovery | 73,416 | 75,661 | 2,245 | 78,341 | 2,680 |
| Transfers In | 20,000 | 20,000 |  | 20,000 |  |
| IntraFund Transfers In | 6,850,000 | 8,293,955 | 1,443,955 | 10,227,538 | 1,933,583 |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | 3,863,903 | 5,540,830 | 1,676,927 | 62,500 | $(5,478,330)$ |
| Transfer Adjustment-Source | $(6,850,000)$ | $(8,293,955)$ | $(1,443,955)$ | $(10,227,538)$ | $(1,933,583)$ |
| General Fund Support | 79,700,000 | 95,940,000 | 16,240,000 | 99,550,000 | 3,610,000 |
| Sources Total | 151,700,834 | 171,192,006 | 19,491,172 | 172,321,356 | 1,129,350 |
| Uses - Operating Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 62,036,283 | 66,750,604 | 4,714,321 | 69,067,309 | 2,316,705 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 37,677,571 | 38,504,263 | 826,692 | 39,172,498 | 668,235 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 9,039,705 | 9,309,331 | 269,626 | 9,415,945 | 106,614 |
| City Grant Program | 500,000 | 500,000 |  | 500,000 |  |
| Capital Outlay | 7,557,900 | 17,916,855 | 10,358,955 | 11,024,438 | $(6,892,417)$ |
| Intrafund Transfers Out | 6,850,000 | 8,293,955 | 1,443,955 | 10,227,538 | 1,933,583 |
| Materials \& Supplies | 22,939,827 | 24,704,724 | 1,764,897 | 25,275,724 | 571,000 |
| Overhead and Allocations | 405 | 383 | (22) | 383 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | 11,949,143 | 13,505,846 | 1,556,703 | 13,258,705 | $(247,141)$ |
| Unappropriated Rev-Designated |  |  |  | 4,606,354 | 4,606,354 |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(6,850,000)$ | $(8,293,955)$ | $(1,443,955)$ | $(10,227,538)$ | $(1,933,583)$ |
| Uses Total | 151,700,834 | 171,192,006 | 19,491,172 | 172,321,356 | 1,129,350 |

Uses - Division Description

| LIB Public Library | $151,700,834$ | $171,192,006$ | $19,491,172$ | $172,321,356$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 2 9 , 3 5 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{1 5 1 , 7 0 0 , 8 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 1 , 1 9 2 , 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 , 4 9 1 , 1 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2 , 3 2 1 , 3 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 2 9 , 3 5 0}$ |

## Public Utilities Commission <br> MISSION

The Public Utilities Commission (PUC) provides customers with high quality, efficient, and reliable water, power, and wastewater services in a manner that values environmental and community interests and sustains the resources entrusted in their care.

## SERVICES

The San Francisco Public Utilities Commission provides services through the following enterprises and bureaus:
WATER ENTERPRISE is responsible for collecting, treating, and distributing water to 2.7 million residential, commercial, and industrial customers in the Bay Area. Two unique features of the system stand out: the drinking water provided is among the purest in the world and the system for delivering that water is almost entirely gravity-fed, requiring little to no fossil fuel consumption. Since 2010, the enterprise has also managed the City's Auxiliary Water Supply System for firefighting and disaster response.
WASTEWATER ENTERPRISE collects, transmits, treats, and discharges sanitary and stormwater flows generated within the City for the protection of public health and environmental safety. San Francisco is one of only two cities in California with a combined sewer system. The system offers significant environmental benefits because it captures and treats both stormwater and urban street runoff, in addition to sewage from homes and businesses. This protects public health, the San Francisco Bay, and the Pacific Ocean.
CLEANPOWERSF is San Francisco's Community Choice Aggregation (CCA) program, launched in May 2016 with a mission of providing a cleaner electricity alternative at affordable rates. CleanPowerSF offers two products: the "Green" product comprised of 40 percent renewable energy and priced competitively with PG\&E's default electricity service, and the "SuperGreen" product comprised of 100 percent renewable energy, priced at a small premium over the CleanPowerSF "Green" product rate. CleanPowerSF now serves approximately 376,000 customers in San Francisco.
HETCH HETCHY WATER AND POWER is comprised of the Power Enterprise and the upcountry operations of the Water Enterprise. This includes the collection and conveyance of approximately 85 percent of the City's water supply and the generation and transmission of electricity from Hetch Hetchy Resevoir. The Hetch Hetchy Power System is the clean energy backbone for the City and County of San Francisco, powering

Services (continued on next page)

## BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2 - 2 3}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $1,433,954,907$ | $1,504,102,985$ | $70,148,078$ | $1,512,539,917$ | $8,436,932$ |
| Total FTE | 1,667 | 1,709 | 42 | 1,715 | 6 |

## Services (continued)

municipal facilities and the City's retail electricity customers. The City's diverse energy portfolio of hydroelectric, solar, and biogas generation has a zero greenhouse gas (GHG) emission profile.

PUC BUREAUS provide infrastructure planning as well as managerial and administrative support to the PUC.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Provide reliable service and value to customers by optimizing the operations, maintenance, replacement, and improvement of all assets in the most cost-effective manner;
- Focus on efficiency, effectiveness, and accountability across the organization;
- Attract, retain, and develop an effective workforce, reflective and supportive of the City's communities, that consistently delivers high-quality services to stakeholders;
- Assure financial integrity and sustainability, meeting today's operating and capital investment needs while managing risk and long-term affordability for the future;
- Foster trust and engagement with the Department's customers, employees, and the communities the Department serve through open and timely communication and education;
- Manage the resources entrusted to the Department's care to ensure environmental and community health;
- Commit to the fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and incomes and affirm diversity, inclusiveness, and respect as the agency's core value. The Department recognize the need to proactively take on structural racism and prioritize racial equity in both policy and practice;
- Identify a Racial Equity Lead in each Enterprise to is work with leadership and frontline staff around developing Racial Equity Plans.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  | FY19 |  | FY21 |  | FY22 <br> Target | FY23 <br> Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target |  |  |
| 1. Provide Reliable Services and Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of dry weather main sewer overflows per 100 miles of main sewer | 2.5\% | 1.5\% | 1.56\% | 4\% | 2.6\% | 2.6\% |
| Percent of in-city service connections without water for more than four hours due to unplanned outage | 0.85\% | .7\% | . $60 \%$ | 0.1\% | .1\% | .1\% |
| Percent of street light outages complying with 48-hour SFPUC response goal; simple street light repaired | 71\% | 61\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| System renewal and replacement rates for In-City Water distribution mains (percent) | 0.93\% | 12.6\% | 11.5\% | 1.25\% | 11\% | 11.5\% |
| System renewal and replacement rates for Wastewater pipelines (percent) | 1.1\% | N/A | 13.2\% | 1.5\% | 15\% | 15\% |
| 2. Achieve Organizational Excellence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of employees over the maximum permissible overtime threshold | 27 | N/A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Build an Effective Workforce |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of promotions | 299 | 184 | 114 | 248 | 168 | 150 |
| Time to hire | 226 | 178 | 233 | 206 | 233 | 163 |
| 4. Maintain Financial Sustainability |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Residential Power bill as percent of median income in San Francisco | 0.56\% | .55\% | .65\% | 0.53\% | .65\% | .67\% |
| Average Residential Wastewater bill as percent of median income in San Francisco | 0.74\% | .77\% | .80\% | 1.4\% | .85\% | .86\% |
| Average Residential Water bill as percent of median income in San Francisco | 0.62\% | . $64 \%$ | . $66 \%$ | 1.1\% | . $70 \%$ | .73\% |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 1,504.1$ million for the Public Utilities Commission is $\$ 70.1$ million, or 4.9 percent, higher than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 1,434.0$ million. This increase is primarily caused by increased power costs in the Hetch Hetchy Enterprise and salary and benefits changes and is partially offset by reduced debt service costs in the Water Enterprise.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 1,512.5$ million for the Public Utilities Commission is $\$ 8.4$ million,
or 0.6 percent, more than the FY 2021-22 proposed budget. This increase is primarily caused by salary and benefits changes.

The San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) is currently operating under a fixed 2-year budget. However, due to the budgetary uncertainty surrounding the impact of COVID-19, the SFPUC is adjusting the FY 2021-22 budget to update revenue projections and expenditures in line with current priorities.

## Equity

The most notable change to the FY 2O21-22 budget is an increase in operating funds to allow the department to implement the Racial Equity Action Plan according to the Office of Racial Equity (ORE) and the Public Utilities Commission's mandate. The budget includes a new core Racial Equity team to lead the work and incorporates dedicated staff in both the Water and Wastewater Enterprises to support their diverse staff throughout the regional service territory. Additional investments in equity relate to systems upgrades and staffing in Human Resources and IT to execute the highly prescriptive mandates around systems, the Human Resources process, and analysis.

Also scheduled to open in FY 2021-22 is the new Southeast Community Center which includes space for childcare services, a non-profit workspace, a multi-purpose community room, and event space. The Community Center is owned and operated by SFPUC and will provide a range of social services supporting workforce development and education for Southeast residents of all ages.

## COVID-19 Response

The SFPUC budget ensures ongoing high-quality delivery of essential water, wastewater, and power services. The delivery of these services is critical for the protection of health and safety, especially as the SFPUC supports the City's larger response efforts to
the COVID-19 pandemic. This budget also includes investments related to technology in order to support a remote workforce.

## Supporting Local Economic Recovery Through Capital Investments

SFPUC is underway with the Sewer System Improvement Program (SSIP), which includes 70 projects across San Francisco. Upgrades to the aging facilities of Hetchy Water and Hetchy Power are also under way, including the rehabilitation of several reservoirs and powerhouses. SFPUC also continues to invest in the diversification of water resources, including completing the San Francisco groundwater supply project and advancing the regional groundwater storage and recovery project. These continued investments will serve as an engine for economic and employment recovery in San Francisco and will strengthen the region's essential infrastructure.

## Increasing Costs for Purchase of Power

As the Power Enterprise utilizes the PG\&E electricity distribution grid to deliver power to its customers, PG\&E fees and tariffs represent a substantial portion of power purchases costs. PG\&E has filed a proposed change to its wholesale distribution tariff which is scheduled to take effect before the end of FY 2020-21 and which will significantly increases costs for the Power Enterprise in FY 2021-22.


## FY 2021-22 NEW FUNDING BY BUDGET THEME.

The largest portion of new funding in the budget is dedicated to the purchasing of power, with building utility resilience and racial equity efforts being the second- and thirdlargest categories of new spending.


## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION



## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Authorized | $2,382.84$ |  | $2,450.88$ | 68.04 | $2,462.72$ |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | $(715.99)$ | $(742.21)$ | $(26.22)$ | $(747.50)$ | $(5.29)$ |
| Net Operating Positions | $\mathbf{1 , 6 6 6 . 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 0 8 . 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 . 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 1 5 . 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 5 5}$ |

## Sources

| Interest \& Investment Income | $16,275,000$ | $15,999,000$ | $(276,000)$ | $15,999,000$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rents \& Concessions | $13,471,900$ | $13,934,400$ | 462,500 | $13,934,400$ |  |
| Charges for Services | $1,173,675,754$ | $1,240,011,472$ | $66,335,718$ | $1,239,528,474$ | $(482,998)$ |
| Other Revenues | $50,314,296$ | $39,688,374$ | $(10,625,922)$ | $39,688,374$ |  |
| Expenditure Recovery | $137,964,430$ | $152,907,471$ | $14,943,041$ | $153,125,469$ | 217,998 |
| Transfers In | $46,549,000$ | $45,819,538$ | $(729,462)$ | $45,819,538$ |  |
| IntraFund Transfers In | $238,484,489$ | $246,470,866$ | $7,986,377$ | $246,384,875$ | $(85,991)$ |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | $39,853,527$ | $41,557,730$ | $1,704,203$ | $50,259,662$ | $8,701,932$ |
| Transfer Adjustment-Source | $(282,633,489)$ | $(292,285,866)$ | $(9,652,377)$ | $(292,199,875)$ | 85,991 |

General Fund Support

|  | Sources Total | $\mathbf{1 , 4 3 3 , 9 5 4 , 9 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 0 4 , 1 0 2 , 9 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 , 1 4 8 , 0 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 1 2 , 5 3 9 , 9 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 4 3 6 , 9 3 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $258,340,078$ | $283,239,440$ | $24,899,362$ | $293,799,654$ | $10,560,214$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $116,970,917$ | $120,638,042$ | $3,667,125$ | $122,647,399$ | $2,009,357$ |
| Non-Personnel Services | $353,679,755$ | $401,316,134$ | $47,636,379$ | $401,316,232$ | 98 |
| City Grant Program | $2,900,012$ | $2,831,524$ | $(68,488)$ | $2,831,524$ |  |
| Capital Outlay | $25,679,811$ | $20,805,948$ | $(4,873,863)$ | $19,586,438$ | $(1,219,510)$ |
| Debt Service | $407,574,163$ | $406,820,533$ | $(753,630)$ | $406,820,533$ |  |
| Facilities Maintenance | $46,809,021$ | $41,632,689$ | $(5,176,332)$ | $42,532,689$ | 900,000 |
| Intrafund Transfers Out | $238,484,489$ | $246,470,866$ | $7,986,377$ | $246,384,875$ | $(85,991)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | $32,496,512$ | $35,085,699$ | $2,589,187$ | $35,085,699$ |  |
| Overhead and Allocations | $(95,736,643)$ | $(82,907,131)$ | $12,829,512$ | $(84,903,758)$ | $(1,996,627)$ |
| Programmatic Projects | $3,725,000$ | $3,900,000$ | 175,000 | $2,294,642$ | $(1,605,358)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | $104,333,465$ | $101,813,901$ | $(2,519,564)$ | $102,102,659$ | 288,758 |
| Transfers Out | $47,251,137$ | $47,145,137$ | $(106,000)$ | $46,645,137$ | $(500,000)$ |
| Unappropriated Rev Retained | $156,063,500$ | $167,596,069$ | $11,532,569$ | $167,596,069$ |  |
| Unappropriated Rev-Designated | $18,017,179$ |  | $(18,017,179)$ |  |  |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(282,633,489)$ | $(292,285,866)$ | $(9,652,377)$ | $(292,199,875)$ | $\mathbf{8 5 , 9 9 1}$ |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{1 , 4 3 3 , 9 5 4 , 9 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 0 4 , 1 0 2 , 9 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 , 1 4 8 , 0 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 1 2 , 5 3 9 , 9 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 4 3 6 , 9 3 2}$ |

## Uses - Division Description

| HHP CleanPowerSF | $226,493,735$ | $227,935,217$ | $1,441,482$ | $227,514,226$ | $(420,991)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HHP Hetch Hetchy Water \& Power | $209,138,691$ | $229,612,290$ | $20,473,599$ | $230,253,325$ | 641,035 |
| PUB Public Utilities Bureaus | 556,600 | 561,138 | 4,538 | 296,138 | $(265,000)$ |
| WTR Water Enterprise | $611,920,983$ | $634,047,013$ | $22,126,030$ | $639,136,814$ | $5,089,801$ |
| WWE Wastewater Enterprise | $385,844,898$ | $411,947,327$ | $26,102,429$ | $415,339,414$ | $3,392,087$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1 , 4 3 3 , 9 5 4 , 9 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 0 4 , 1 0 2 , 9 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 , 1 4 8 , 0 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 1 2 , 5 3 9 , 9 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 4 3 6 , 9 3 2}$ |
| Uses by Division Total |  |  |  |  |  |

312 public utilities commission

## Recreation and Parks

## MISSION

The Recreation and Park Department (REC) strives to foster the well-being of San Francisco's diverse community by maintaining beautiful parks, preserving the environment, and providing enriching recreational activities. REC maintains more than 220 parks, playgrounds, and open spaces, including Camp Mather, the Marina Yacht Harbor, six municipal golf courses, and other recreational facilities and urban forestry areas.

## SERVICES

The Recreation and Park Department provides services through the following divisions:
RECREATION provides a broad range of recreation programming and opportunities in four key areas community services, cultural arts, sports and athletics, and leisure services - in 25 full-service recreation facilities and nine swimming pools across San Francisco.

GOLDEN GATE PARK manages park maintenance, the Japanese Tea Garden, Kezar Stadium, the Botanical Garden, and the Conservatory of Flowers.
PARKS maintain the City's neighborhood and regional parks, natural areas and open spaces, and also manages turf maintenance and golf courses.
STRUCTURAL MAINTENANCE conducts preventative maintenance on all built infrastructure and completes small capital projects throughout the Recreation and Park system.
CAPITAL AND PLANNING plans and delivers major capital renovations along with new park land and amenities to improve access and services for all residents.
PARTNERSHIPS works with community groups, non-profits, foundations, and others in the private sector who are interested in supporting parks and programs for San Francisco.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Inspire public space by renovating outdated parks and playgrounds and building new parks;
- Inspire play by overcoming language barriers and increasing participation in recreational activities for residents living in low-income neighborhoods;
- Strengthen the safety, health, and well-being of San Francisco's youth and seniors;
- Inspire investment by identifying public investment strategies in partnership with the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, the Park Recreation and Open Space Advisory Committee, SF Parks Alliance, and the parks community; and
- Inspire the Recreation and Parks team by using data-driven decision-making for all programs and operations and providing job trainings and career pathways.


## BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $231,586,029$ | $244,843,460$ | $13,257,431$ | $229,582,326$ | $(15,261,134)$ |
| Total FTE | 912 | 928 | 16 | 945 | 17 |


|  | FY19 <br> Full Year | FY20 <br> Full Year | FY21 |  |  | FY23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Inspire Investment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of recreation and park volunteer hours | 194,930 | 111922 | 1000 | 195,000 | 220000 |  |
| Rate of Engagement: number of digital media recipients | 95,532 | 897,427 |  | 200,000 | 1000000 |  |
| Rate of Engagement: number of public meetings for in-person engagement | 204 | 113 |  | 450 | 25 |  |
| Rate of Engagement: number of social media followers | 41,103 | 187240 |  | 35,000 | 200000 |  |
| Inspire Place |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual work order completion rate | 91.3\% | 80\% | 75\% | 90\% | 75\% | 75\% |
| Citywide Average Park Score | 92\% | 91.55\% | NA | 90\% | 91\% | 91\% |
| Operating Investment Per Acre of San Francisco Parks Maintained (Excluding Golf and Natural Areas) | \$17,482 | 19603 | 5 | \$18,000 | 19000 | 20000 |
| Park acres per 1,000 residents | 4.7 | 4.7 | 5 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 4.7 |
| Percentage of graffiti work orders completed within 48 hours | 84\% | 83\% | 85 | 78\% | 75\% | 75\% |
| Percentage of San Franciscans who rate the condition of recreation center and clubhouse buildings as good or excellent (biennial City Survey) | 78\% | N/A | N/A | 78\% | 70\% | 70\% |
| Percentage of San Franciscans who rate the quality of the City's park landscaping and plantings as good or excellent (biennial City Survey) | 84\% | N/A | N/A | 84\% | 70\% | 70\% |
| Percentage of seismically updated recreation facilities | 74\% | 71\% | N/A | 80\% | 71\% | 71\% |
| Inspire Play |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of recreation course registrations | 74,425 | 43175 |  | 60,000 | 60000 | 62000 |
| Percentage of program registrants receiving scholarships | 12.1\% | 11\% | N/A | 10\% | 15\% | 12\% |
| Percentage of recreation courses with $70 \%$ capacity of class size | 84.4\% | 85\% | N/A | 70\% | 70\% | 70\% |
| Satisfaction rate among recreation program participants | 94\% | 93\% | 92 | 94\% | 92\% | 92\% |
| Total number of park facility permits issued (picnic tables, playfields, special events) | 105,489 | 63293 | 10000 | 92,000 | 90000 | 92000 |
| Inspire Stewardship |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of diverted waste material | 41\% | 41\% | N/A | 45\% | 41\% | 45\% |
| Percentage reduction in potable water use compared to SFPUC baseline | -31.5\% | -24\% | -10\% | -25\% | -5\% | -2\% |
| Tree replacement ratio | 1.6 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Inspire Team |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of facilities with high-speed internet connections | 62\% | 67 | 70 | 70\% | 75\% | 78\% |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 244.8$ million for the Recreation and Park Department is $\$ 13.3$ million, or 5.7 percent, higher than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 231.6$ million. The increase is largely due to one-time new capital project and program.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 229.6$ million is $\$ 15.3$ million, or 6.2 percent, lower than the FY 2021-22 proposed budget. This decrease is due to one-time capital project appropriations in FY 202122 that will not continue in FY 2022-23.

## Continuation and Expansion of Equity Programs and Services

The proposed budget will create an Equity Action and Planning Implementation Team to lead, direct, and implement the Department's Racial Equity Action Plan. The team will focus on internal equityrecruitment, hiring, training, mentorship, promotive opportunities, performance management, leadership development, and culture; and on
external equity-closing the gaps and improving access to quality parks and open space for historically marginalized communities in San Francisco.

The proposed budget will continue funding the Requity program so that children under 18 and living in public housing, shelters, or affordable housing developments assisted by the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development can receive free access to Recreation and Parks programs and work opportunities.

The Department, in partnership with the San Francisco Human Services Agency will expand the Park Stop workforce development program to provide park users with healthy and safe parks, open spaces, and facilities. The Park Stop program provides clean and safe public toilets, supervises used-needle receptacles, and pet waste stations.

The proposed budget will provide a new Drowning Prevention program to be offered to children and
youth at the Emergency Child and Youth Care and Community Hubs. The State has recognized the importance of teaching basic swimming to prevent drowning courses to children and youth, and that such courses are allowed to be provided during the pandemic and under public health guidelines.

The proposed budget will provide free public wifi in recreation centers and outdoor spaces to support the City's vision for full and equitable access to digital technology so all San Francisco residents and communities can thrive, regardless of demographics. This project will help bridge the gap in digital equity that has been magnified by the pandemic.

The Department will offer fully operational Gardener Apprenticeship cohorts throughout the rolling

18-month training program. Initiated in 2010, the Gardener Apprenticeship program has developed into one of the City's most robust and successful workforce development programs and is the first state certified apprenticeship in the horticultural trade in the country.

The proposed budget will fund a major renovation and facility upgrades to the Golden Gate Park Senior Center, upgrade the seismically unsafe recreation facility at Kezar Pavilion to be used both as a destination recreation hub for the surrounding communities and also as a disaster response asset when required, construct a new recreation center at the Herz Playground adjacent to McLaren Park serving HOPE SF residents and Visitacion Valley Middle and Elementary schools.


ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: RECREATION AND PARKS


## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | 934.71 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 952.12 | 17.41 | 969.43 | 17.31 |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | $(23.00)$ | $(24.00)$ | $(1.00)$ | $(24.00)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Net Operating Positions | 911.71 | $\mathbf{9 2 8 . 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 5 . 4 3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sources

| Property Taxes | $67,360,000$ | $68,927,000$ | $1,567,000$ | $71,922,000$ | $2,995,000$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Interest \& Investment Income | 590,932 | $2,292,978$ | $1,702,046$ |  | $(2,292,978)$ |
| Rents \& Concessions | $14,191,527$ | $15,490,000$ | $1,298,473$ | $17,042,000$ | $1,552,000$ |
| Intergovernmental: State | 370,500 | 377,000 | 6,500 | 170,000 | $(207,000)$ |
| Intergovernmental: Other |  | 630,000 | 630,000 |  | $(630,000)$ |
| Charges for Services | $26,033,345$ | $33,478,343$ | $7,444,998$ | $42,231,853$ | $8,753,510$ |
| Other Revenues | $34,903,558$ | $12,332,695$ | $(22,570,863)$ | $4,331,980$ | $(8,000,715)$ |
| Other Financing Sources |  | 900,000 | 900,000 |  | $(900,000)$ |
| Expenditure Recovery | $3,485,614$ | $3,611,911$ | 126,297 | $3,607,635$ | $(4,276)$ |
| Transfers In | $15,806,533$ | $14,341,500$ | $(1,465,033)$ | $10,811,555$ | $(3,529,945)$ |
| IntraFund Transfers In | $8,297,889$ | $9,591,918$ | $1,294,029$ | $10,506,753$ | 914,835 |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | 773,723 | $10,329,983$ | $9,556,260$ | $2,361,246$ | $(7,968,737)$ |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | 450,000 | $3,000,000$ | $2,550,000$ | $2,000,000$ | $(1,000,000)$ |
| Transfer Adjustment-Source | $(24,104,422)$ | $(23,933,418)$ | 171,004 | $(21,318,308)$ | $2,615,110$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Fund Support | $\mathbf{8 3 , 4 2 6 , 8 3 0}$ | $93,473,550$ | $10,046,720$ | $85,915,612$ | $(7,557,938)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sources Total |  |  |  |  |  |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $79,677,705$ | $87,515,730$ | $7,838,025$ | $92,585,171$ | $5,069,441$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $38,730,973$ | $40,185,383$ | $1,454,410$ | $41,011,411$ | 826,028 |
| Non-Personnel Services | $22,848,912$ | $24,176,846$ | $1,327,934$ | $24,479,749$ | 302,903 |
| City Grant Program | 850,031 | 853,973 | 3,942 | 853,973 |  |
| Capital Outlay | $52,294,954$ | $42,288,442$ | $(10,006,512)$ | $22,709,429$ | $(19,579,013)$ |
| Debt Service | $1,740,135$ | $1,807,135$ | 67,000 | $1,807,135$ |  |
| Facilities Maintenance | $1,347,000$ | $1,603,000$ | 256,000 | $1,609,000$ | 6,000 |
| Intrafund Transfers Out | $8,297,889$ | $9,591,918$ | $1,294,029$ | $10,506,753$ | 914,835 |
| Materials \& Supplies | $5,988,507$ | $5,943,507$ | $(45,000)$ | $5,943,507$ |  |
| Overhead and Allocations | $(4,444,375)$ | 282,679 | $4,727,054$ | 687,960 | 405,281 |
| Programmatic Projects | $5,596,467$ | $9,231,728$ | $3,635,261$ | $7,291,974$ | $(1,939,754)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | $26,955,720$ | $30,329,523$ | $3,373,803$ | $30,603,017$ | 273,494 |
| Transfers Out | $15,806,533$ | $14,341,500$ | $(1,465,033)$ | $10,811,555$ | $(3,529,945)$ |
| Unappropriated Rev-Designated |  | 625,514 | 625,514 | $(625,514)$ |  |
| Transfer Adjustment - Uses | $(24,104,422)$ | $(23,933,418)$ | $\mathbf{1 7 1 , 0 0 4}$ | $(21,318,308)$ | $2,615,110$ |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{2 3 1 , 5 8 6 , 0 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 4 , 8 4 3 , 4 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 2 5 7 , 4 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 9 , 5 8 2 , 3 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 5 , 2 6 1 , 1 3 4 )}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| REC Admin Services | $(2,116,977)$ | $(3,503,330)$ | $(1,386,353)$ | $(3,627,023)$ | $(123,693)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| REC Capital Division | $50,335,034$ | $38,712,235$ | $(11,622,799)$ | $19,220,931$ | $(19,491,304)$ |
| REC Operations | $179,367,972$ | $205,634,555$ | $26,266,583$ | $209,988,418$ | $4,353,863$ |
| REC Zoo | $4,000,000$ | $4,000,000$ |  | $4,000,000$ |  |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{2 3 1 , 5 8 6 , 0 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 4 , 8 4 3 , 4 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 2 5 7 , 4 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 9 , 5 8 2 , 3 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 5 , 2 6 1 , 1 3 4 )}$ |

318 Recreation and parks

# Rent Arbitration Board 

## MISSION

The Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Board's (RNT) mission is to protect tenants from excessive rent increases and unjust evictions, while assuring landlords fair and adequate rents; to provide fair and even-handed treatment for both tenants and landlords through efficient and consistent administration of the rent law; to promote the preservation of sound, affordable housing; and to maintain the ethnic and cultural diversity that is unique to San Francisco.

## SERVICES

The Rent Arbitration Board provides services through the following program areas:
PUBLIC INFORMATION AND COUNSELING provides information to the public regarding the Rent Ordinance and rules and regulations, as well as other municipal, state, and federal ordinances in the area of landlord/tenant law.

HEARINGS AND APPEALS consists of Administrative Law Judges (ALJs) who are supervised by two Senior Administrative Law Judges. ALJs conduct arbitrations and mediations to resolve disputes between landlords and tenants, and issue decisions in accordance with applicable laws.

HOUSING INVENTORY AND FEE will assist with the newly created housing inventory which requires certain information about all unit to be provided to the department and a subsequent license to be issued as well as assisting with the collection of the fee to fund the department.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Efficiently process tenant and landlord petitions by streamlining the system, including processing some petitions and applications without hearings where appropriate;
- Educate tenants and landlords on the complex Rent Ordinance;
- Make all applicable documents available in Chinese, Spanish, and Filipino to ensure all information is accessible to a diverse community; and
- Strengthen data sharing with other departments to ease the workload and increase the ability to provide fair treatment in resolving disputes.


## BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $9,381,302$ | $13,982,121$ | $4,600,819$ | $14,411,996$ | 429,875 |
| Total FTE | 35 | 47 | 12 | 50 | 3 |


|  | FY19 FY20 |  | FY21 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Increase collaboration with other City agencies |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Days to respond to no-fault eviction reports provided to the Planning Department | 1.68 | 0.86 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Process tenant and landlord petitions efficiently |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average number of days for Administrative Law Judges to submit decisions for review | 20.56 | 20.84 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Average number of days needed to process allegations of wrongful evictions | 2.02 | 2.7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Provide effective information to tenants and landlords |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average number of days to post a summary of amendments to the Rent Ordinance and Rules and Regulations on the website | 0 | 3.4 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Support limited English proficient communities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of discrete documents in languages other than English | 607 | 677 | 677 | 643 | 690 | 700 |
| Number of locations where translated documents are available | 825 | 900 | 913 | 913 | 925 | 935 |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 14.0$ million for the Rent Arbitration Board is $\$ 4.6$ million, or 49.0 percent, higher than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 9.4$ million. This increase is primarily due to increased costs associated with implementing the new Rental Housing Inventory and associated office space needs

The FY 2O22-23 proposed budget of $\$ 14.4$ million is $\$ 0.4$ million, or 3.1 percent, higher than the FY 2O2122 proposed budget. This increase is primarily due to salary and benefits changes.

## Ensuring Equity, Inclusion and Accessibility

The Rent Arbitration Board (RNT) is committed to an equitable and inclusive workplace. By Board of Supervisors' and Mayoral mandate, through the Office of Racial Equity (ORE), RNT created a comprehensive Racial Equity Action Plan to address racial disparities within the department. RNT continues to improve its service delivery to San Francisco's diverse community. The department's diverse and multilingual staff strive to make the Rent Board accessible to all residents of the City while meeting the needs of each member of the public in their preferred language. To be able to serve the whole community and ensure everyone can access the services it provides, RNT translates its materials and provides interpreters for many of the hearings it conducts in multiple languages. In order to continue these efforts, RNT continues to track its performance as it maintains over 500 documents in languages other than English.

## Housing Inventory and Other Legislative Changes

The impact of recent legislative changes will significantly increase the department's workload over the coming years. New legislation requires owners of residential housing units in San Francisco to report certain information to the Rent Board about units that are not owner-occupied. The Rent Board will use this information to create and maintain a Housing Inventory of all subject units in the city. The Rent Board will then issue a license to the owner, which will be required in order to impose annual and/or banked rent increases. The department is creating a new division called the Housing Inventory and Fee unit to assist it with this new responsibility. It will also begin to work with the City's SF311 Customer Service Center to help serve the needs of its residents. The new legislation applies to approximately 235,000 units within 93,000 parcels in the City. In addition, the department will begin collecting the Rent Board Fee on its own in future years, a function that was carried out by the Tax Collector's Office in prior years.

Other changes include a recent amendment to the Rent Ordinance that extends eviction controls to units constructed after June 13, 1979 (including live-work units), and units that have undergone substantial rehabilitation. Previously, these units were entirely exempt from the Rent Ordinance, including eviction controls and rent regulations, as well as payment of the Rent Board fee. Under the new legislation, these units remain exempt from rent regulations, but are now covered by the Rent Ordinance for all other purposes.

As in previous years, the mandatory seismic upgrades to over 5,000 buildings with approximately 50,000 rental units continue to significantly impact the department's workload. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, some of the deadlines for compliance where extended, but the department should continue to see significant filings as a result of this requirements in the years to come.

## Improved Data Sharing

RNT continues to streamline and standardize its data-sharing practices with other city departments.

^ TOTAL FILINGS. The Rent Board processes filings from landlords requesting passthroughs or other exemptions. The number of filings submitted has steadily decreased since FY 2018-19, with the COVID-19 emergency further reducing the volume of filings received over FY 2019-20 and 2020-21.

For example, its partnership with the Planning Department, RNT continues to increase its effectiveness in providing data and documents in a timely manner, which in turn helps expedite related permitting and decision- making processes. In addition, the new Housing Inventory will also provide additional data and information that will benefit other departments, and RNT is planning how to create a new system that will allow other city departments to obtain needed data on a self-service basis, in order to increase efficiency effectiveness.

^ TOTAL PETITIONS. The Rent Board accepts petitions from renters and landlords seeking arbitration of disputes, reporting issues with housing conditions, or otherwise seeking the Rent Board's assistance with conflicts relating to the Rent Control Ordinance. The volume of these petitions has gradually declined since FY 2014-15, with a steeper decline during the COVID-19 emergency over FY 2019-20 and 2020-21.

ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: RENT ARBITRATION BOARD


## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | 35.15 |  | 46.74 | 11.59 | 49.61 |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) |  |  |  |  | 2.87 |
| Net Operating Positions | $\mathbf{3 5 . 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 8 7}$ |

## Sources

| Charges for Services | $8,951,302$ | $13,982,121$ | $5,030,819$ | $14,411,996$ | 429,875 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | 430,000 |  | $(430,000)$ |  |  |

General Fund Support

| Sources Total | 9,381,302 | 13,982,121 | 4,600,819 | 14,411,996 | 429,875 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uses - Operating Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 4,962,715 | 6,749,603 | 1,786,888 | 7,328,790 | 579,187 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 2,372,847 | 2,876,830 | 503,983 | 3,050,846 | 174,016 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 466,558 | 2,517,558 | 2,051,000 | 2,069,558 | $(448,000)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 37,749 | 71,749 | 34,000 | 37,749 | $(34,000)$ |
| Overhead and Allocations | 203,180 | 83,330 | $(119,850)$ | 83,330 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | 1,338,253 | 1,683,051 | 344,798 | 1,841,723 | 158,672 |
| Uses Total | 9,381,302 | 13,982,121 | 4,600,819 | 14,411,996 | 429,875 |

Uses - Division Description

| RNT Rent Arbitration Board | $9,381,302$ | $13,982,121$ | $4,600,819$ | $14,411,996$ | 429,875 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{9 , 3 8 1 , 3 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 9 8 2 , 1 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 6 0 0 , 8 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 4 1 1 , 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 9 , 8 7 5}$ |

## Retirement System

MISSION
The Retirement System (RET) works to secure, protect, and prudently invest the City's pension trust accounts, administer mandated benefit programs, and provide promised benefits.

## SERVICES

The Retirement System provides services through the following divisions:
ADMINISTRATION directs the overall administration of the Retirement System, including implementation of Retirement Board policies and directives; implementation of legislative changes to the Retirement System; legal and procedural compliance of all activities of the Retirement System; administration of member retirement counseling and pension payment processing; administration of the disability application and hearing officer process; and management of the Retirement System's information technology, budget, and financial systems.
RETIREMENT SERVICES provides retirement counseling for more than 44,000 active and inactive members and more than 30,000 retired members and beneficiaries; maintains historical employment data and retirement accounts for both active and retired members; calculates and processes all benefits payable as a result of a member's retirement, death, or termination of employment; disburses monthly retirement allowances to retirees and beneficiaries; and maintains Retirement System financial records and reporting in compliance with all applicable legal and regulatory requirements.
INVESTMENT manages and invests the San Francisco Employee Retirement System (SFERS) Trust in accordance with the investment policy of the Retirement Board; monitors the performance of external investment managers; and maintains information and analysis of capital markets and institutional investment opportunities.
DEFERRED COMPENSATION oversees and administers the City's Deferred Compensation Plan (SFDCP). The City's Deferred Compensation Plan and Trust are established separately from, and are independent of, the Retirement System's Defined Benefit Plan.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Prudently invest SFERS Trust to achieve full funding of City's pension liabilities;
- Educate employees about retirement planning and financial wellness;
- Enhance member experience through the SFERS 24/7 self-service web portal for active and retired members;
- Establish operational risk management and quality assurance programs for retirement services
- Support a qualified and sustainable workforce; and
- Recruit investment talent through the Toigo Foundation in order to advance racial equity.


## BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $39,716,333$ | $41,734,874$ | $2,018,541$ | $41,980,039$ | 245,165 |
| Total FTE | 107 | 113 | 6 | 118 | 5 |


|  | FY19 |  | FY21 |  | FY22 FY23 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Educate Employees About Retirement Readiness |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of eligible City employees who participate in the Deferred Compensation Plan | 54.4\% | 54.6\% | 56\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% |
| Total number of visitors to main website (mysfers.org) | 185,317 | 270,062 | 283,565 | 215,000 | 297,743 | 312,630 |
| Enhance Member Experience Through Self-Service Website |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average number of SFERS members logging into the SFERS secure member portal per month | 12,766 | 15,452 | 16,224 | 12,750 | 17,035 | 17,886 |
| Prudently Invest the Trust Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Return on investment ranking of 50th percentile or better among public pension plans with assets in excess of $\$ 1$ billion, using 5 -year average return (1 equals yes) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 41.7$ million for the San Francisco's Employee Retirement System is $\$ 2.0$ million, or 5.1 percent, higher than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 39.7$ million. The increase is due to strategic enhancements to the Investment Division.

The Department's FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 42.0$ million is $\$ 0.2$ million, or 0.6 percent, higher than the FY 2021-22 proposed budget. The increase is due to increases in salary and benefits costs.

The entirety of the Department's budget is funded from the San Francisco Employee's Retirement System (SFERS) Trust or through reimbursements
from the San Francisco Deferred Compensation Plan (SFDCP) recordkeeper.

## Building out the SFERS Investment Team

Over the past decade, the SFERS Trust has more than doubled in size - the market value of the SFERS Trust was $\$ 31.6$ billion as of February 28, 2021. Over the past seven years, SFERS has generated riskadjusted returns that rank in the top 5 percent of its public pension peers. Since the City started funding additional professional investment staff for the Department in 2014, the Investment team has generated excess returns of $\$ 3.3$ billion compared to median public pension peers. SFERS is again at an

inflection point and needs additional resources to maintain its recent investment success. The Mayor's Proposed budget therefore expands the SFERS professional investment team. The Investment Division will continue to manage the significant number of complex investment strategies, including a direct and co-investment strategy, recently approved by the Retirement Board in its 2020 asset allocation strategy. These additional investment staff resources will also help ensure that the SFERS Trust is invested prudently, positioned to match the City's need for a well-funded trust to pay promised
pension benefits, and offsetting the need for more significant employer contributions.

## Operational Risk Management and Quality Assurance

The Mayor's proposed budget also includes modest funding for additional resources to continue building-out its professional quality audit staff. These staff support the Retirement Board's governance initiative to establish an operational risk management and quality assurance team in the Retirement Services Division.


## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: RETIREMENT SYSTEM



TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-2021 \\ \text { Original } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed Budget | Change From 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed Budget | Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | 106.83 | 113.01 | 6.18 | 117.75 | 4.74 |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Operating Positions | 106.83 | 113.01 | 6.18 | 117.75 | 4.74 |

## Sources

| Interest \& Investment Income | 254,775 | 401,000 | 146,225 | 401,000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charges for Services | 1,740,460 | 1,796,139 | 55,679 | 1,796,139 |  |
| Contributions Ret/HSS/HIthCare | 37,794,402 | 39,897,974 | 2,103,572 | 40,097,974 | 200,000 |
| Expenditure Recovery | 110,000 | 110,000 |  | 110,000 |  |
| General Fund Support | $(183,304)$ | $(470,239)$ | $(286,935)$ | $(425,074)$ | 45,165 |
| Sources Total | 39,716,333 | 41,734,874 | 2,018,541 | 41,980,039 | 245,165 |

## Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $17,202,449$ | $19,389,900$ | $2,187,451$ | $20,911,215$ | $1,521,315$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $6,821,147$ | $7,210,117$ | 388,970 | $7,657,691$ | 447,574 |
| Non-Personnel Services | $5,750,485$ | $6,168,269$ | 417,784 | $6,063,269$ | $(105,000)$ |
| Capital Outlay | 73,368 | 38,602 | $(34,766)$ | 19,385 | $(19,217)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 255,000 | 295,000 | 40,000 | 295,000 |  |
| Overhead and Allocations | 62,151 | 10,075 | $(52,076)$ | 10,075 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | $5,586,191$ | $6,647,176$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 6 0 , 9 8 5}$ | $6,695,290$ | 48,114 |
| Unappropriated Rev-Designated | $3,965,542$ | $1,975,735$ | $(1,989,807)$ | $\mathbf{3 2 8 , 1 1 4}$ | $(1,647,621)$ |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{3 9 , 7 1 6 , 3 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 , 7 3 4 , 8 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 1 8 , 5 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 , 9 8 0 , 0 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 5 , 1 6 5}$ |

## Uses - Division Description

| RET Administration | $12,478,438$ | $10,878,086$ | $(1,600,352)$ | $9,354,476$ | $(1,523,610)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| RET Health Care Trust | $2,117,865$ | $2,396,354$ | 278,489 | $2,396,354$ |  |
| RET Investment | $9,212,239$ | $10,544,023$ | $1,331,784$ | $12,036,024$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 9 2 , 0 0 1}$ |
| RET Retirement Services | $14,349,635$ | $16,589,511$ | $2,239,876$ | $16,821,120$ | 231,609 |
| RET SF Deferred Comp Program | $1,558,156$ | $1,326,900$ | $(231,256)$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 7 2 , 0 6 5}$ | 45,165 |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{3 9 , 7 1 6 , 3 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 , 7 3 4 , 8 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 1 8 , 5 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 , 9 8 0 , 0 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 5 , 1 6 5}$ |

## Sheriff Accountability <br> MISSION

The Department of Sheriff Accountability will finalize the vision, mission, strategies, and measurable outcomes in FY 2021-22.

## SERVICES

In November 2020, the voters of San Francisco passed Proposition D to create a new department tasked with oversight of the Sheriff's Department. The Mayor's proposed budget includes a new department, the Sheriff's Department of Accountability (SDA). SDA will have two divisions: 1) the Sheriff's Department Oversight Board, and 2) the Office of the Inspector General.

The Sheriff's Department Oversight Board will consist of seven members, three selected by the Mayor and four selected by the Board of Supervisors. This Board will have the power to appoint and review the performance of the Inspector General; evaluate the operations and services of the Office of the Inspector General; and gather public input regarding the Sheriff's Department capacities and jail conditions.

The Office of the Inspector General will be led by the Inspector General, who will be selected by the Sheriff's Department Oversight Board. The Office of the Inspector General will have the power to investigate complaints against employees and contractors of the Sheriff's Department; investigate in-custody deaths; recommend disciplinary action by the Sheriff; recommend changes to the use of force policy; monitor the Sheriff's Department operations; and refer cases to the District Attorney or the City Ethics Commission.

BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | 0 | $1,973,878$ | $1,973,878$ | $2,920,861$ | 946,983 |
| Total FTE | 0 | 8 | 8 | 13 | 5 |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

## Establishing the Sheriff's Department of Accountability

In November 2020, San Francisco voters passed Proposition D to establish the Sheriff's Department of Accountability (SDA). The Department's proposed budget for fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 is $\$ 2.0$ million.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 2.9$ million is $\$ 0.9$ million, or 48 percent, higher than the FY 2021-22 proposed budget. The increase is due to the annualization of salaries and benefits for new employees.

The Mayor's proposed budget for Fiscal Year 2021-22 and 2022-23 includes all required costs that are outlined in Proposition D. These costs include staffing of the Sheriff's Department of Accountability, both of the Sheriff's Department Oversight Board and the Office of the Inspector General, as well as operational costs to get offices up and running and begin staff trainings.

## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: SHERIFF ACCOUNTABILITY



TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 Original Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From 2020-2021 | $\begin{gathered} 2022-2023 \\ \text { Proposed } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | Change From 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized |  | 7.70 | 7.70 | 13.00 | 5.30 |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Operating Positions | 0.00 | 7.70 | 7.70 | 13.00 | 5.30 |
| General Fund Support |  | 1,973,878 | 1,973,878 | 2,920,861 | 946,983 |
| Sources Total | 0 | 1,973,878 | 1,973,878 | 2,920,861 | 946,983 |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries |  | 973,009 | 973,009 | $1,729,808$ | 756,799 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits |  | 426,700 | 426,700 | 721,886 | 295,186 |
| Non-Personnel Services |  | 571,826 | 571,826 | 464,346 | $(107,480)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 2,343 | 2,343 | 4,821 | 2,478 |  |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 7 3 , 8 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 7 3 , 8 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 9 2 0 , 8 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 6 , 9 8 3}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| SDA Inspector General |  | $1,616,247$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 1 6 , 2 4 7}$ | $2,480,012$ | 863,765 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SDA Sheriff Oversight |  | 357,631 | 357,631 | 440,849 | 83,218 |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 7 3 , 8 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 7 3 , 8 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 9 2 0 , 8 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 6 , 9 8 3}$ |

## Sheriff

## MISSION

The San Francisco Sheriff's Office (Sheriff) provides for the safe, secure, humane, and constitutional detention of persons arrested or under court order; operates county jail facilities, including educational, vocational, and transitional programs; operates alternative sentencing for in-custody and out-of-custody community programs; provides law enforcement services for a number of City and County facilities and the surrounding area; provides bailiffs to ensure the security of all juvenile, adult, civil, and criminal courts; and executes criminal and civil warrants and court orders.

## SERVICES

The Sheriff's Department provides services through the following divisions and units:
ADMINISTRATION AND PROGRAMS DIVISION (APD) manages employee recruiting, background investigation, and training. Targeted training in crisis intervention, implicit bias, gender awareness, and supervision is provided for both sworn and non-sworn personnel. APD also manages Community Programs in support of in-custody and out-of-custody justice-involved-individuals' re-entry into the community with reduced recidivism. Community Programs also supports pre-sentencing alternatives to incarceration such as own recognizance (OR) release and supervised pretrial release. APD also manages the work of Human Resources and Criminal Investigations.

CUSTODY OPERATIONS DIVISION (COD) operates two county jail facilities and one Intake and Release Center, the Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital Security Ward, the Inmate Classification Unit, and the Central Warrants and Records Unit. The Custody Division is responsible for ensuring the safety and constitutional rights of incarcerated individuals while establishing an environment that facilitates programs, treatment, and educational opportunities.

FIELD OPERATIONS DIVISION (FOD) provides law enforcement services to many city departments while also providing mutual aid to other law enforcement agencies upon request. This division includes the Civil Section; Transportation Unit; Warrant Services Unit; Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA); Medical Examiner; Public Library; CPC Edgewood; Emergency Services Unit and Special Response Team; Canine Unit; Sheriff's Patrol Units for DPH at Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital, Laguna Honda Hospital,

Services (continued on next page)
BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $245,012,613$ | $270,075,610$ | $25,062,997$ | $260,906,671$ | $(9,168,939)$ |
| Total FTE | 1,008 | 1,001 | $(7)$ | 1,001 | 0 |

and community clinics; Department of Emergency Management Patrol Unit; Public Utilities Commission Building Security; City Hall Security Patrol Unit; and the Court Security Unit that provides security staffing for the courtrooms at the Civic Center Courthouse, Hall of Justice Courts and building security, Family Courts at the Juvenile Justice Center, and the Community Justice Court.

PLANNING AND PROJECTS DIVISION (PPD) is responsible for developing operational efficiencies. PPD examines current operations and possible new assignments. PPD works with all other San Francisco Sheriff's Office divisions. PPD manages facilities maintenance, storekeeping/supplies and capital planning work in support of physical infrastructure, including multiple jail facilities. PPD also manages the work of Fleet Management and Technical Services. Technical Services is responsible for radios, phones, computers, data collection, data analysis, maintenance of the jail management system, and the production of performance data.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Provide for the secure and safe detention of persons arrested or under a court order;
- Maintain public safety following the closure of the Hall of Justice, and continue to explore alternatives to incarceration;
- Keep COVID-19 out of San Francisco jails;
- Improve data collection, reporting capability, and transparency with upgrades to information technology infrastructure;
- Provide alternative sentencing options, as well as education, skill development, counseling, and crime prevention programs in jail;
- Continue to hire, train, and prepare staff at all levels to be representative of and accountable to the people of San Francisco; and
- Maintain an inclusive environment where people of all backgrounds can thrive.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  | FY20 | FY21 |  | FY22 | FY23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| 1. Maintain a culturally-diverse force of well-trained, professional Deputy Sheriffs who are dedicated to public service, the enforcement of law, and the protection of the lives and property of all people in San Francisco. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of sworn staff who completed 24-hour Crisis Intervention Training | 8.16\% | 50\% | 50\% | N/A | N/A |
| Percent of sworn staff who completed mandated POST and State and Board of Community Corrections Advanced Officer Training | 85\% | 26\% | 95\% | N/A | N/A |
| Percentage-point difference between the percent of non-male SFSO deputized staff and of the non-male population of City and County of San Francisco (2010 Census) | 34\% | 35\% | 30\% | N/A | N/A |
| Percentage-point difference between the percent of non-white SFSO deputized staff and of the non-white population of City and County of San Francisco ( 2010 Census) | 13\% | 21\% | 15\% | N/A | N/A |
| 2. Maintain and operate a safe and secure jail system while providing effective programs, education, and treatment. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average daily population (ADP) in custody in SF County jails | 1,322 | 1,091 | 1,150 | N/A | N/A |
| Average daily population (ADP) in custody in SF County jails as a percentage of rated capacity of SF County jails | 87\% | 60\% | 93\% | N/A | N/A |
| Average length of stay in jail (days) | 32 | 58 | 65 | N/A | N/A |
| Number of unique individuals booked into the county jail | 12,108 | 9,975 | 17,000 | N/A | N/A |
| Percent of inmate suicide attempts successfully prevented by deputized staff | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | N/A | N/A |
| Percent of persons in the Cover Program (30+ days) and were released to the community who were arraigned on a new offense or held on probation or parole violations in SF within one-year post-release | 35\% | expected | 25\% | N/A | N/A |
| Percent of persons in the Resolve to Stop the Violence Program (30+days) and were released to the community who were arraigned on a new offense or held on probation or parole violations in SF within one-year post-release | 42\% | expected | 25\% | N/A | N/A |
| Percent of persons in the Roads to Recovery Program (30+ days) and were released to the community who were arraigned on a new offense or held on probation or parole violations in SF within one-year post-release | 55\% | expected | 35\% | N/A | N/A |

## PERFORMANCE MEASURES, continued

| FY19 <br> Full Year | FY20 <br> Full Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY21 } \\ & \text { Projected } \end{aligned}$ | Target | FY22 <br> Target | FY23 <br> Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of persons in the Sisters Program (30+ days) and were released to the community who were arraigned on a new offense or held on probation or parole violations in SF within one-year post-release | 42\% | expected | 35\% | N/A | N/A |
| Percent of unique individuals booked for the first time in San Francisco of total unique individuals booked | $33 \%$ | 35\% | 25\% | N/A | N/A |
| 3. Maintain effective alternatives to incarceration for individuals who are eligible through sentencing and pretrial assignment by the courts to remain out of custody. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of people released by the Courts to the Pre-Trial Diversion Program pre-arraignment | 579 | expected | 180 | N/A | N/A |
| Number of people sentenced to county jail who served their sentence out of custody (in an alternative to incarceration) | 299 | expected | 1,100 | N/A | N/A |
| Percent of individuals re-arrested for non-compliance with program requirements or on new charges within one-year-post release after successfully participating in the sentenced Electronic Monitoring Program | 10\% | expected | 5\% | N/A | N/A |
| Percent of individuals re-arrested for non-compliance with program requirements or on new charges within one-year-post release after successfully participating in the sentenced Residential Treatment Program | 2\% | expected | 20\% | N/A | N/A |
| Percent of individuals re-arrested for non-compliance with program requirements or on new charges within one-year-post release after successfully participating in the sentenced Work Alternative Program | 0\% | expected | 5\% | N/A | N/A |
| 4. Execute and enforce criminal and civil warrants, civil process, orders issued by the Courts, Board of Supervisors, or orders issued by any legally authorized department or commission. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of civil emergency protective/restraining orders served | 1,021 | 549 | 1,250 | N/A | N/A |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 270.1$ million for the Sheriff's Department is $\$ 25.1$ million, or 10.2 percent, higher than the FY 202021 budget of $\$ 245.0$ million. The increase is largely due to salary and benefit increases, as well as investments in software to improve data tracking and analyses, staff and software for the Body Worn Cameras initiative, and backfilling of inmate welfare programming that used to be supported with alternate revenues.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 260.9$ million is $\$ 9.2$ million, or 3.4 percent, lower than the FY 2021-22 proposed budget. The decrease is driven by savings in salaries and benefits as a result of reduced service provision and therefore staffing cost needs.

## Transparency and Data

The Mayor's proposed budget includes ongoing support for a new jail management system (JMS). The new JMS technology will increase staff efficiencies with new data fields and analytics. The ability to more effectively capture and analyze data will help the Sheriff's Office better support the unique needs of people in their custody at different points of their stays.

## Body Worn Camera Initiative

In support of principled policing goals, the Mayor's proposed budget invests in personnel and data storage to fully operationalize the body-worn camera initiative. The use of body worn cameras
by San Francisco Sheriff's deputies is correlated with improved safety and security metrics for incarcerated individuals and the deputies themselves. Body worn cameras have become a vital investigative and evidence gathering tool and provide a level of transparency that is critical in enhancing community trust.


## へ SAN FRANCISCO: FIRST COUNTY IN NATION TO ELIMINATE PHONE CHARGES.

Shows how a 15 minute phone call, which cost inmates $\$ 4.45$ in 2013, are now free. This has greatly benefited the quality of living in San Francisco County jails and improved the ease of connection to friends and families San Francisco is proud to have been the first county in the nation to make this important policy reform.

## Criminal Justice Reforms

The Mayor's proposed budget includes funding to make phone calls in San Francisco's jail completely free for incarcerated individuals. In FY 2020-21, San Francisco became the first county in the nation to eliminate phone charges for incarcerated individuals and their families. National research has shown that communication between incarcerated people and their loved ones can increase safety within jails, decrease recidivism, and improve reentry outcomes.

To further reduce financial burdens for incarcerated individuals, the Sheriff's Office also eliminated mark-up on items sold in the City and County jail commissaries. The Sheriff's Office worked closely with the Mayor's Office, the Treasurer and Tax Collector's Financial Justice Project, and community advocates to implement these criminal justice reform initiatives.



## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Authorized | $1,007.63$ | $1,002.01$ | $(5.62)$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 2 . 1 0}$ | 0.09 |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) |  | $(1.00)$ | $(1.00)$ | $(1.00)$ |  |
| Net Operating Positions | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 7 . 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 1 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 . 6 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 1 . 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 9}$ |

## Sources

| Fines, Forfeiture, \& Penalties | 193,610 | 193,610 |  | 193,610 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest \& Investment Income | 3,000 |  | $(3,000)$ |  |  |
| Intergovernmental: Federal | 175,000 |  | $(175,000)$ |  |  |
| Intergovernmental: State | 26,854,944 | 31,572,532 | 4,717,588 | 31,216,762 | $(355,770)$ |
| Charges for Services | 1,219,603 | 1,219,603 |  | 1,219,603 |  |
| Other Financing Sources |  | 9,857,000 | 9,857,000 |  | $(9,857,000)$ |
| Expenditure Recovery | 28,019,413 | 29,672,137 | 1,652,724 | 26,784,989 | $(2,887,148)$ |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | 1,821,528 | 26,986 | $(1,794,542)$ | 33,686 | 6,700 |
| General Fund Support | 186,725,515 | 197,533,742 | 10,808,227 | 201,458,021 | 3,924,279 |
| Sources Total | 245,012,613 | 270,075,610 | 25,062,997 | 260,906,671 | $(9,168,939)$ |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | 130,732,901 | 139,512,269 | 8,779,368 | 142,169,949 | 2,657,680 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 67,699,392 | 71,612,613 | 3,913,221 | 71,207,375 | $(405,238)$ |
| Non-Personnel Services | 14,317,627 | 15,220,664 | 903,037 | 14,715,320 | $(505,344)$ |
| City Grant Program | 10,073,123 | 10,271,390 | 198,267 | 10,271,390 |  |
| Capital Outlay | 756,912 | 11,769,120 | 11,012,208 | 1,020,435 | $(10,748,685)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 6,481,386 | 7,375,906 | 894,520 | 6,874,818 | $(501,088)$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | 14,951,272 | 14,313,648 | $(637,624)$ | 14,647,384 | 333,736 |
| Uses Total | 245,012,613 | 270,075,610 | 25,062,997 | 260,906,671 | $(9,168,939)$ |
| Uses - Division Description |  |  |  |  |  |
| SHF Sheriff | 245,012,613 | 270,075,610 | 25,062,997 | 260,906,671 | $(9,168,939)$ |
| Uses by Division Total | 245,012,613 | 270,075,610 | 25,062,997 | 260,906,671 | $(9,168,939)$ |

## Status of Women

## MISSION

The Department on the Status of Women (WOM) advances the equitable treatment and the socioeconomic, political and educational advancement of women and girls in San Francisco through policies, legislation, and programs that focus primarily on women in need.

## SERVICES

As part of a strategic shift to create a greater impact and serve more San Franciscans while building a more diverse and efficient city government through gender-responsive, racially equitable policies and programs, the Department has identified three core service areas to catalyze transformative change in the lives of women, girls, and gender-nonconforming people: (1) Health and Safety, (2) Economic Security, and (3) Civic Engagement and Political Empowerment.

Through these three areas, the Department aims to improve the whole lives of the targeted communities, including their health, wealth, physical safety, and well-being. DOSW's programming is designed to not just create balance when it comes to the construct of gender, but also to empower women, girls, and gendernonconforming people with the tools and resources to build pathways to healthy and prosperous futures.
Health and Safety: In the long 40-year tradition of combatting gender-based violence, the Department will continue its grants program and the convening of community-based organizations, government agencies, and other partners in a collaborative effort to eradicate gender-based and family violence. Through the Department's new Violence Education Intervention and Prevention (VEIP) program, the Department will also continue to coordinate initiatives aimed at combatting human trafficking, including the innovative Safety, Opportunity \& Lifelong Relationships Program (SF SOL) to support youth who have experienced or are at risk of sexual exploitation or trafficking. New programming and services will expand into other more holistic health matters, like girls in sports and mental health access for women, girls, and gender-nonconforming people in the most marginalized communities.

Economic Security: Financial empowerment and independence have been the greatest driver of gender equity around the world. The ability to financially care for oneself is crucial in combatting a host of issues where gender disparity is jarring. The Department is expanding its focus to include services that support financial stability around jobs and employment, housing, financial literacy, impact investing, and other avenues to economic success, as well as exploring partnerships to bring pilot programs like universal basic income to the Department's core constituencies.

Civic Engagement \& Political Empowerment: Benefiting from democracy only occurs if citizens and residents are active, engaged, and educated to leverage the tools available to make an impact in their

Services (continued on next page)

## BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $10,279,426$ | $11,121,448$ | 842,022 | $11,100,578$ | $(20,870)$ |
| Total FTE | 5 | 7 | 2 | 7 | 0 |

communities. The Department will be providing new services in the areas of civic engagement and political empowerment through a host of trainings, educational workshops, and public service campaigns with the goal of getting women, girls, and gender-nonconforming people registered to vote, educated on critical issues, and actively participating in all levels of government.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Advance women and family economic security through City policies and programs;
- Maintain and enhance a safety net for survivors of violence through the Gender-Based Violence Prevention and Intervention Grant Program;
- Expand housing and security through policies and programs to reduce homelessness amongst women and girls; and
- Incorporate a racial equity lens in all operations, which include internal and external citywide policies and programs.


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  |  |  | FY21 |  |  | FY23 <br> Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target | Target |  |
| Advance the human rights of women and girls in the workforce, services, and budget of city government |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of City programs and agencies reviewed under the Women's Human Rights Ordinance (CEDAW). | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Number of educational forums conducted on gender equality in the workplace. | 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Number of resolutions passed by the Commission on the Status of Women recognizing important women and girls' achievements and promoting gender equality and human rights | 41 | 31 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| End Violence Against Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hours of supportive services by department-funded shelters, crisis services, transitional housing, advocacy, prevention and education annually | 33,685 | 36,177 | 32,000 | 32,000 | 32,000 | 32,000 |
| Number of calls to crisis lines annually | 8,647 | 13,276 | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 |
| Number of individuals served in shelters, crisis services, transitional housing, advocacy, prevention, and education annually | 18,481 | 19,561 | 20,000 | 22,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| Number of individuals turned away from shelters annually | 705 | 2,810 | 500 | 1,000 | 500 | 500 |
| Number of shelter bed-nights annually | 7,068 | 6,301 | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| Number of transitional housing bed nights annually | 20,017 | 21,008 | 20,000 | 12,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| Percent of people accessing services for which English is not a primary language. | 15\% | 15\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% |
| Maintain a positive, healthy, joyful workplace |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of staff completing Preventing Workplace Harassment Training | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of \$11.1 million for the Department on the Status of Women is $\$ 0.8$ million, or 8.2 percent, higher than the FY 202021 budget of $\$ 10.3$ million. This is due to salary and benefits costs.

The Department's FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 11.1$ million is unchanged from their FY 2021-22 proposed budget.

## Grants Program

The Department's grants program distributes funds to community-based nonprofit organizations that provide essential programs and services to over 20,000 individuals annually. The grant allocation for Fiscal Year FY 2021-22 will fund programs in the
expanded programmatic areas, including Health and Safety, Economic Security, and Civic Engagement and Political Empowerment.

## Gender Equity

San Francisco leads the nation in extending a human rights framework to personal health and safety, economic independence, workplace equity, and access to public resources. The Department's mandate has grown to include monitoring the representation of women in City property, including statues, street names, and buildings, and ensuring the City upholds reproductive rights through the restriction of contracting and travel funds to states that limit abortion access.

## Economic Security

One of the greatest predictors of success is economic security, which is why beginning this year, the Department will expand programming to focus on economic security for women, girls, and gender-nonconforming people through resources, education, and other financial support systems. In the Department's continual efforts to address homeless, the Department has entered into an expanded contract with the Mary Elizabeth Inn to ensure survivors of domestic and intimate partner violence and other women have access to safe and secure housing. With regards to supporting small business and economic recovery, the Department will continue to expand collaborations with organizations like the Small Business Commission, Office of Early Care and Education, Office of Economic Workforce Development, and the Chamber of Commerce.

## Equitable Spending

Recognizing historical shortcomings of the Department as it relates to funding allocations' alignment with demographical information around race, moving forward all grant funding in the three core service areas will have increased requirements for data reporting, as well as greater monitoring and collection by the Department. Applying a
racial equity lens, the Department is committed to ensuring communities most impacted and in need of support receive an equitable share of the Department's resources.

## Data Reliability

Bad data leads to wrong outcomes. Beginning in Fiscal Year FY 2021-22, the Department will invest in procuring information-technology solutions to create greater accuracy in our data and reporting, efficiency in the Department's operations, as well as increased accountability and management of precious public dollars. Technological solutions are intended to help streamline workflows, reduce errors, and decrease time spent manually processing fiscal and programmatic data.

## Pandemic Relief

The impacts of the pandemic have exacerbated many of the most challenging and pressing issues the Department has long aimed to address. The Department will advocate for a systemwide, gender-equitable recovery and connect target communities to resources and programming to help them build foundations for a healthy and prosperous future.

## DOSW FY 20-21 CITY GRANTS PROGRAM.

The Department of the Status of Women's grant funds serves
a variety of women and gender service areas. The top funded program area is intervention and advocacy, followed by prevention, education, and training.


## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: STATUS OF WOMEN



## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Authorized | 6.75 | 7.92 | 1.17 | 6.88 | $(1.04)$ |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | $(1.37)$ | $(1.00)$ | 0.37 |  | 1.00 |
| Net Operating Positions | 5.38 | 6.92 | $\mathbf{1 . 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{( 0 . 0 4 )}$ |

## Sources

| Licenses, Permits, \& Franchises | 310,000 | 220,000 | $(90,000)$ | 220,000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other Revenues | 46,000 | 100,000 | 54,000 | 100,000 |  |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | 53,126 | 53,126 |  |  | $(53,126)$ |
| General Fund Support | 9,870,300 | 10,748,322 | 878,022 | 10,780,578 | 32,256 |
| Sources Total | 10,279,426 | 11,121,448 | 842,022 | 11,100,578 | $(20,870)$ |
| Uses - Operating Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 639,404 | 886,450 | 247,046 | 914,000 | 27,550 |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 284,757 | 333,855 | 49,098 | 337,596 | 3,741 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 144,736 | 105,736 | $(39,000)$ | 105,736 |  |
| City Grant Program | 8,754,814 | 9,588,144 | 833,330 | 9,535,018 | $(53,126)$ |
| Materials \& Supplies | 17,428 | 10,728 | $(6,700)$ | 10,728 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | 438,287 | 196,535 | $(241,752)$ | 197,500 | 965 |
| Uses Total | 10,279,426 | 11,121,448 | 842,022 | 11,100,578 | $(20,870)$ |

Uses - Division Description

| WOM Status Of Women | $10,279,426$ | $11,121,448$ | $\mathbf{8 4 2 , 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 1 0 0 , 5 7 8}$ | $(20,870)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{1 0 , 2 7 9 , 4 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 1 2 1 , 4 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 2 , 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 1 0 0 , 5 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 0 , 8 7 0 )}$ |

## Superior Court <br> MISSION

The Superior Court (CRT) assures equal access, fair treatment, and the just and efficient resolution of disputes for all people asserting their rights under the law in the City and County of San Francisco.

## SERVICES

The Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco is a state entity that serves the City and County of San Francisco. Article VI of the California Constitution establishes the judicial branch, which includes the Superior Court, as a separate and equal branch of government under the Judicial Council of California. Two legislative acts have relieved the City and County from future funding responsibility for court operations and facilities:

THE LOCKYER-ISENBERG TRIAL COURT FUNDING ACT OF 1997 designated the Judicial Council, rather than counties, as the entity responsible for allocation of funding for all Superior Court operations throughout the State. In exchange for relief from funding court operations, counties must make a fixed perpetual annual maintenance of effort (MOE) payment to the State that is equal to what counties allocated for court operations in Fiscal Year (FY) 1994-95. All future costs of court operations will be funded by the State and allocated by the Judicial Council.

THE TRIAL COURT FACILITIES ACT OF 2002 mandated that ownership and responsibility for all court facilities be transferred from counties to the Judicial Council. In exchange for relief from court facilities responsibilities, counties must make a fixed county facilities payment (CFP) to the State that is based on an average of what was expended on court facilities maintenance during FY 1995-96 through FY 1999-00. All future costs of maintaining court facilities will be funded by the State and allocated by the Judicial Council.

Since 1998, the City and County of San Francisco has made MOE payments to the State for relief from court operations responsibility, and since 2009, the City has made a county facilities payment for relief from court facilities responsibility.

State legislative requirements and constitutional separation preclude local government from reviewing judicial branch budgets. However, the exceptions to this are judicial supplemental benefits and the following county-funded program that is managed by the Superior Court:

Services (continued on next page)

## BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> 2021-22 |
| Total Expenditures | $36,265,797$ | $33,513,253$ | $(2,752,544)$ | $33,513,253$ | 0 |

INDIGENT DEFENSE PROGRAM provides funding for outside legal counsel in cases that represent a conflict of interest for the Public Defender and is constitutionally mandated. The Superior Court works in partnership with the Bar Association of San Francisco to provide highly qualified counsel for adults and minors charged with criminal offenses whenever the Public Defender has a conflict of interest or is otherwise unavailable.

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 33.5$ million for Superior Court of San Francisco (CRT) largely unchanged from the FY 2020-21 budget.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 33.5$ million is also unchanged from the FY 2021-22 budget.

## Multidisciplinary Representation Program

and enhance legal representation for parties in involved in the indigent defense program and juvenile justice system. The primary role will be to support attorneys with a team of experienced social workers and family engagement specialists to support the mental health of these clients with the aim to improve client outcomes and well-being.

## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: SUPERIOR COURT



TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | $2020-2021$ <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> $2020-2021$ | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> $2021-2022$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Total Authorized
Non-Operating Positions (cap/other)
Net Operating Positions

## Sources

| Fines, Forfeiture, \& Penalties | 3,000 |  | $(3,000)$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Charges for Services | $1,582,236$ |  | $(1,582,236)$ |  |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | $1,217,308$ |  | $(1,217,308)$ |  |
| General Fund Support | $33,463,253$ | $33,513,253$ | 50,000 | $33,513,253$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Sources Total | $\mathbf{3 6 , 2 6 5 , 7 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 , 5 1 3 , 2 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 , 7 5 2 , 5 4 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 , 5 1 3 , 2 5 3}$ |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 665,000 | 665,000 | 665,000 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Non-Personnel Services | $35,531,443$ | $32,827,253$ | $(2,704,190)$ | $32,827,253$ |
| Services Of Other Depts | 69,354 | 21,000 | $(48,354)$ | 21,000 |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{3 6 , 2 6 5 , 7 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 , 5 1 3 , 2 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 , 7 5 2 , 5 4 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 , 5 1 3 , 2 5 3}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Uses - Division Description |  |  |  |  |
| CRT Superior Court | $36,265,797$ | $33,513,253$ | $\mathbf{( 2 , 7 5 2 , 5 4 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 , 5 1 3 , 2 5 3}$ |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{3 6 , 2 6 5 , 7 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 , 5 1 3 , 2 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 , 7 5 2 , 5 4 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 , 5 1 3 , 2 5 3}$ |

344 superior court

## Treasurer-Tax Collector <br> MISSION

The Department of the Treasurer-Tax Collector (TTX) serves as the banker, collection agent, and investment officer for the City and County of San Francisco.

## SERVICES

The Department of the Treasurer-Tax Collector provides services through the following divisions:
BUSINESS TAX implements and enforces the business tax and fees ordinances for the City. In addition, this division collects license fees for the Department of Public Health (DPH), Police, Fire, and Entertainment departments.

PROPERTY TAX bills, collects, records, and reports payments of secured and unsecured property taxes, and special assessments. The division works directly with taxpayers on issues related to condominium conversions, new developments, special assessments and taxation due to improvements. The Property Tax division also processes refunds due to property owners.
DELINQUENT REVENUE is the official collection arm of the City. It is authorized to collect all of the City's accounts receivable that exceed $\$ 300$ and are at least 90 days overdue. The division collects debt for DPH, Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA), Library (SFPL), Fire, Planning, Ethics, Building Inspection, Port, San Francisco Airport (SFO), and the Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), as well as delinquent business and unsecured property taxes.

INVESTMENT and BANKING administers and controls the investment of all monies in the Treasurer's custody. This division's goal is to maximize interest income while preserving the liquidity and safety of the principal. The division also manages all of the City's banking relationships and accounts.
CASHIER processes all payments to the City for fees and taxes collected by the Department as well as other revenues from other departments, including SFMTA, DPH and water payments for the SFPUC.

TAXPAYER ASSISTANCE provides tax information to the public. The division is the primary contact for all in person inquiries, updates website content, and manages the relationship with the City's 311 call center. 311 serves as the primary phone and online contact for the Department.

FINANCIAL EMPOWERMENT designs, pilots, and expands programs and policies that help low income families build economic security and mobility.
FINANCIAL JUSTICE assesses and reforms how fees and fines impact the cities' most vulnerable residents.
Services (continued on next page)

## BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

ACCOUNTING AND RECONCILIATION prepares financial reports for each section, posts payments, adjusts and balances accounts, and performs related accounting duties in the City's financial accounting system for all revenues collected. The unit also generates various collection reports for the Department of the Controller.

PRODUCT MANAGEMENT AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY supports over 30 applications ranging from Mainframe and server based to cloud, in order to develop a robust tax and collections ecosystem. The division works across the Department to facilitate business process improvements in all areas of the Department's work and with other departments.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Maximize revenue by building and executing high-quality collections and compliance systems that balance equity, security, and ease of use;
- Assess and reform fines, fees, and financial penalties that have a disproportionate impact on low-income people and people of color;
- Manage the City's Treasury service to increase operational efficiency and decrease cost;
- Manage the City's investment portfolio to preserve capital, maintain liquidity, and enhance yield;
- Provide high-quality customer service and diverse channels that support all San Franciscans; and
- Equip San Franciscans with knowledge, skills, and resources to strengthen their financial health and well-being


## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY19 } \\ & \text { Full Year } \end{aligned}$ | FY20 <br> Full Year | FY21 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Projected | Target | Target | Target |
| Expand access to City government by placing information and transactions online |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of web-enabled transactions completed online using the City's SFGOV Online Services portal | 292,809 | 196,661 | 200000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 |
| Maintain low property tax delinquency rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of delinquency rate of secured property taxes | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Maximize interest earnings for San Francisco by processing payments efficiently |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Number of Bank Accounts Managed | 266 | 245 | 245 | 260 | 245 | 260 |
| Total Number of Outgoing Wires Processed | 575 | 682 | 682 | 575 | 682 | 575 |
| Total Number of Returned Items Processed | 4,234 | 2,685 | 2685 | 3,800 | 2,685 | 3,800 |
| Maximize revenue through intensive collection activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amount of the total for business taxes | \$85,000,000 | \$55,000,000 | \$60,000,000 | \$60,000,000 | 60,000,000 | 60,000,000 |
| Amount of revenue through summary judgments | \$2,503,272 | \$2,461,769.70 | \$11,410,190 | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 |
| Amount of the total for non-business taxes | \$26,000,000 | \$37,000,000 | \$48,500,000 | \$48,500,000 | 40,500,000 | 43,500,000 |
| Amount of total revenue collected on all delinquent debts | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 111,000,00 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | \$92,000,000 | \$110,000,000 | \$110,000,000 | \$110,000,000 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 110,000,00 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Promote compliance with the Business Tax Ordinance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amount collected through 3rd party taxes | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 616,908,66 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | \$522,857,974 | \$630,000,000 | \$630,000,000 | \$630,000,000 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 630,000,00 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Amount collected through business registration | \$47,480,851 | \$13,015,182 | \$45,000,000 | \$45,000,000 | \$45,000,000 | \$45,000,000 |
| Number of businesses registered | 121,729 | 102,549 | 105,000 | 105,000 | 105,000 | 105,000 |
| Number of regulatory department licenses issued | 21,539 | 16,809 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| Number of taxpayer audits completed | 893 | 776 | 625 | 625 | 625 | 625 |
| Provide quality customer service |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of property tax refunds processed | 11,247 | 6,024 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 10,000 | 9,000 |
| Provide superior customer service to all customers through the City Payment Center in City Hall |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average number of days to close 311 service tickets | 2.66 | 1.94 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Number of 311 service tickets received | 22,959 | 19,072 | 21,000 | 21,000 | 21,000 | 21,000 |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 45.1$ million for the Department of the TreasurerTax Collector is $\$ 2.4$ million, or 5.7 percent, higher than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 42.7$ million. This increase is primarily caused by salary and benefits changes and software license fees.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 45.7$ million for the Treasurer-Tax Collector is $\$ 0.6$ million, or 1.2 percent, higher than the FY 2021-22 proposed budget. This increase is primarily caused by salary and benefits changes.

## Supporting Small Business and Economic Recovery

The Office of the Treasurer \& Tax Collector in partnership with the Mayor and Board of Supervisors has taken several unprecedented actions to provide relief to City businesses to address the economic downturn. These include business tax and fee relief for small businesses and deferral of the Annual Business Tax filing and FY 2020-21 business registration renewal.

Many of the deferred deadlines converged on April 30, 2021. These deadlines don't usually fall on the same day and are not related to relief, so the Office conducted extensive outreach to small business to make them aware of the actions they needed to take.

The Office simultaneously implemented Proposition F, the business tax overhaul approved by San Francisco voters in 2020. It became effective on January 1, 2021 and the Office moved to immediately make businesses aware of the changes, such as elimination of Payroll Expense Tax and rate changes to the Gross Receipts Tax.

Because of extensive outreach from the Office to property owners and the encouragement of penalty waivers, more than 99 percent of secured property tax revenue was collected on time, providing billions in revenue to support the City's recovery.

## Programs Centered Around Equity

The Financial Justice Project led a multitude of reforms to fines and fees disparately impacting lowincome communities of color. Highlights include: making all City jail phone calls free and eliminating commissary markups; working in coalition to replicate statewide the City's work to eliminate administrative fees charged to people exiting criminal justice system; and issuing a report on pandemic-related fine and fee discounts.

The Office of Financial Empowerment (OFE) amplified government resources meant for small businesses and low-income residents impacted by the pandemic and issued a report about the

response of banks related to consumer and small business relief. OFE's Kindergarten to College program now manages 46,000 college savings accounts for San Francisco Unified School District students, resulting in families saving $\$ 5.1$ million for college. K2C also implemented an equity incentive pilot for incoming Kindergarten students from lowincome families, encouraging college savings by increasing their initial seed money.

When City agencies sought to quickly provide cash assistance to people in need, the Office leveraged its banking contracts and financial empowerment work to provide a quick and safe way for residents to receive cash through the programs run by the Human Services Agency, Office of Economic and Workforce Development, and the Human Rights Commission.

The Office also worked closely with guaranteed income pilots, including the Abundant Birth Project, to identify how the payments would be taxed, and whether they would jeopardize the recipient's benefits. The Office worked closely with HSA and the San Francisco Public Housing Authority to secure waivers for several benefit and housing programs. The Office is currently staffing the Guaranteed Income Advisory Group to develop a robust playbook for future work in this area.

OFE's Smart Money Coaching program is partnering with the Department of Homelessness and Supportive

Housing, to deliver financial coaching to at-risk transitional-age youth (TAY) through the Rising Up campaign. Smart Money Coaching is providing one-on-one financial coaching to TAY through all phases of the Rising Up program to support their financial capability and address their unique financial challenges.

FJP collaborated with the District Attorney's Office and San Francisco Superior Court to develop the CONNECTion To Services Program, where people struggling with homelessness can clear all outstanding quality of life citations if they receive 20 hours of social services help from a provider of their choice.

## Continuing to Respond to COVID-19

The work of the Office to move payments for City services online translated into seamless ongoing payments for many City departments during the pandemic. The Office will continue to extend contactless payments Citywide while reducing costs and improving banking practices. The Office will implement additional business tax and fee deferrals to provide relief to San Francisco businesses, including deferral of FY 2021-22 business registration renewal, as well as FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22 License Fees.

The Office will continue to provide high quality customer service using new modes of engagement that are direct, real time and contactless, while providing opportunities modified in-person payments.

|  | Original Deadline | New Deadline |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Business Registration Renewal -FY 2020-21 | June 1, 2020 | April 30, 2021 |
| Annual Business Taxes (2020) | March 1, 2021 | April 30, 2021 |
| 2020-21 License Fees | March 31, 2020 | November 1, 2021 |
| 2021-22 License Fees | March 31, 2021 | November 1, 2021 |
| Business Registration Renewal - FY 2021-22 - \$25 million or <br> less in 2O20 gross receipts | May 31, 2021 | November 1, 2021 |
| Business Registration Renewal - FY 2021-22 - more than \$25 <br> million 2O20 gross receipts | May 31, 2021 | June 30, 2021 |
| Delinquency Date for Point of Sale and Weights and Measures | Anything billed <br> after March 2020 | November 1, 2021 |

TABLE: BUSINESS TAX AND FEE DEFERRALS. The City supported business tax and fee deferra/s during the COVID-19 pandemic to support small business and economic recovery.

## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: TREASURER-TAX COLLECTOR



TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Authorized | 207.44 | 201.30 | $(6.14)$ | 201.25 |  |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) | $(2.00)$ | $(2.00)$ | $(0.05)$ |  |  |
| Net Operating Positions | 205.44 | 199.30 | $(6.14)$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 . 2 5}$ | $(0.05)$ |

Sources

| Property Taxes | 450,000 | 450,000 |  | 450,000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest \& Investment Income | 6,124,762 | 6,226,329 | 101,567 | 6,226,329 |  |
| Charges for Services | 4,036,751 | 3,735,609 | $(301,142)$ | 3,735,609 |  |
| Other Revenues | 1,930,730 | 1,807,958 | $(122,772)$ | 1,004,524 | $(803,434)$ |
| Expenditure Recovery | 6,852,719 | 6,815,002 | $(37,717)$ | 7,602,110 | 787,108 |
| General Fund Support | 23,276,911 | 26,071,417 | 2,794,506 | 26,644,718 | 573,301 |
| Sources Total | 42,671,873 | 45,106,315 | 2,434,442 | 45,663,290 | 556,975 |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | $20,664,049$ | $21,915,930$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 5 1 , 8 8 1}$ | $22,549,069$ | 633,139 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $9,360,729$ | $9,225,603$ | $(135,126)$ | $9,479,176$ | 253,573 |
| Non-Personnel Services | $5,447,627$ | $6,795,734$ | $1,348,107$ | $6,195,871$ | $(599,863)$ |
| City Grant Program | 138,951 | 500 | $(138,451)$ | 500 |  |
| Materials \& Supplies | 108,390 | 97,682 | $(10,708)$ | 97,682 | $(258)$ |
| Overhead and Allocations | 65,570 |  | $(65,570)$ | $(258)$ |  |
| Programmatic Projects | 800,000 | 800,000 |  | 800,000 |  |
| Services Of Other Depts | $6,086,557$ | $6,270,866$ | $\mathbf{1 8 4 , 3 0 9}$ | $6,541,250$ | 270,384 |
| Uses Total | $\mathbf{4 2 , 6 7 1 , 8 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 , 1 0 6 , 3 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 3 4 , 4 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 , 6 6 3 , 2 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 6 , 9 7 5}$ |

Uses - Division Description

| TTX Collection | $24,291,456$ | $26,005,668$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 1 4 , 2 1 2}$ | $27,188,427$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 8 2 , 7 5 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TTX Impact | $3,652,899$ | $3,708,121$ | 55,222 | $2,925,827$ | $(782,294)$ |
| TTX Management | $6,295,891$ | $7,030,015$ | 734,124 | $6,952,329$ | $(77,686)$ |
| TTX Treasury | $8,431,627$ | $8,362,511$ | $(69,116)$ | $8,596,707$ | 234,196 |
| Uses by Division Total | $\mathbf{4 2 , 6 7 1 , 8 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 , 1 0 6 , 3 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 3 4 , 4 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 , 6 6 3 , 2 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 6 , 9 7 5}$ |

## War Memorial

## MISSION

The War Memorial and Performing Arts Center manages, maintains, and operates safe, accessible, world-class venues to promote cultural, educational, and entertainment opportunities in a costeffective manner for enjoyment by the public, while best serving the purposes and beneficiaries of the War Memorial Trust.

## SERVICES

FACILITIES MANAGEMENT. Responsible for the management, oversight, and delivery of daily engineering, maintenance, custodial, security, and stage and technical services to support facilities' operations; management and administration of tenant occupancies and coordination of tenant services; and planning, coordination, and implementation of regular and periodic facilities maintenance and capital improvement projects.

BOOKING AND EVENT SERVICES. Responsible for the booking of the War Memorial Opera House, Davies Symphony Hall, Herbst Theatre, The Green Room, Wilsey Center and Zellerbach Rehearsal Hall rental facilities.

WAR MEMORIAL TRUST ADMINISTRATION. Ensures that the War Memorial, a group of facilities entrusted to the City's care by the 1921 War Memorial Trust Agreement, upholds its specific duties and responsibilities under the Trust, including providing rent-free space and facilities for the San Francisco Posts of the American Legion, a named beneficiary of the Trust.

## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

- Provide first-class facilities that are accessible to all residents and visitors for cultural, educational, and entertainment activities;
- Offer affordable spaces for non-profit organizations that support veterans or provide cultural, artistic, and educational programming;
- Create and implement policy on racial equity in collaboration with the Department's Racial Equity Committee; and
- Maintain, upgrade, and preserve important historic facilities and capital assets for the future.


## BUDGET DATA SUMMARY

|  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  | 2022-23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ | Proposed <br> Budget | Change from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 - 2 2}$ |
| Total Expenditures | $24,730,294$ | $29,300,581$ | $4,570,287$ | $28,551,754$ | $(748,827)$ |
| Total FTE | 62 | 68 | 6 | 69 | 1 |

## PERFORMANCE MEASURES

|  |  |  | FY21 |  | FY22 <br> Target | FY23 <br> Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Year | Full Year | Projected | Target |  |  |
| Increase partnerships and collaborations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Veterans' use of meeting rooms | 861 |  | 5 | 800 | 432 | 800 |
| Maximize utilization of the Performing Arts Center |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atrium Theater percentage of days rented | 73\% | N/A | 13\% | 60\% | 39\% | 73\% |
| Atrium Theater performances/events | 67 |  | 0 | 65 | 36 | 67 |
| Davies Symphony Hall percentage of days rented | 89\% | N/A | 33\% | 85\% | 48\% | 89\% |
| Davies Symphony Hall performances/events | 241 |  | 16 | 223 | 130 | 241 |
| Green Room percentage of days rented | 55\% | N/A | 9\% | 55\% | 30\% | 55\% |
| Green Room performances/events | 191 |  | 32 | 187 | 103 | 191 |
| Herbst Theatre percentage of days rented | 69\% | N/A | 5\% | 80\% | 37\% | 69\% |
| Herbst Theatre performances/events | 216 |  | 3 | 219 | 117 | 216 |
| Opera House percentage of days rented | 96\% | N/A | 27\% | 95\% | 52\% | 96\% |
| Opera House performances/events | 173 |  | 6 | 141 | 93 | 173 |
| Zellerbach Rehearsal Hall performances/events | 8 |  | 0 | 6 | 4 | 8 |

## BUDGET ISSUES AND DETAILS

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 29.3$ million for the War Memorial is $\$ 4.6$ million, or 18.5 percent, higher than the FY 2020-21 budget of $\$ 24.7$ million. The increase is primarily due to increasing earned revenue from rent and concession due to reopening, and capital funding.

The FY 2022-23 proposed budget of $\$ 28.6$ million represents a $\$ 0.7$ million, or 2.6 percent, decrease from the FY 2021-22 proposed budget of $\$ 29.3$ million. This is primarily due to a decline in onetime capital funding from FY 2021-22.

## COVID-19 RESPONSE

The War Memorial has played an important role in the City's response and as of March 2021:

- Deployed 25 Disaster Service Workers who served almost 9,000 hours;
- Partnered with the American Red Cross on blood drives to collect 1,200 units of blood that will treat as many as 3,200 patients; and
- Provided logistical support to the Homeless Outreach Team and the Emergency Medical Services Agency.


## COVID-19 RECOVERY

As a cornerstone of San Francisco's performing arts community, the War Memorial's successful reopening will play a crucial role in reinvigorating the City's economy. A report released in March

2021 by the Bay Area Council Economic Institute shows that in a typical year the War Memorial supports more than 9,000 jobs and is directly connected to $\$ 428$ million of economic activity. This department will be needed to generate the spending that will create jobs for artists and restaurant, hotel, and transit workers. The War Memorial has secured $\$ 25,000$ in private funding to conduct an equity analysis of its work. It will be partnering with licensees to support programs that promote equity, including the San Francisco Symphony’s "Adventures in Music" program and the San Francisco Opera's "Diversity, Equity and Community" programs, and will continue to maintain low rental fees for its diverse array of nonprofit licensees, such as the African American Shakespeare Company and Gay Asian Pacific Alliance. The War Memorial will also collaborate with the San Francisco Posts of the American Legion to safely reopen veterans meeting rooms, which host free meetings and events yearround that are vital to social connectivity for San Francisco's veterans.

## CAPITAL INVESTMENTS

During the closure of venues due to COVID-19, the War Memorial partnered with resident companies to execute $\$ 5.5$ million in privately funded capital improvement projects, including seating replacement and ADA upgrades in the

Opera House and LED lighting conversion in Davies Symphony Hall. The department also completed modernization of one passenger elevator in Davies Symphony Hall under the management of San Francisco Public Works.

## STAFF REORGANIZATION

The War Memorial is implementing a reorganization of its staffing structure to modernize operations, improve service to the public, and address inequities. A newly created Director of Finance and Administration position will provide increased oversight of purchasing and contracting to


ヘ TOTAL ANNUAL PERFORMANCES. After
being closed for portions of FYS 2012-13 through 201516 to accommodate the Veterans Building renovation, total performances in War Memorial facilities returned to historical levels and continued to increase. That growth was disrupted by the COVID-19 public health emergency, which resulted in declines for FYs 2019-20 and 2021-22. The department anticipates a return to historical levels in FY 2022-23.
enhance waste and fraud prevention. In addition to budget and finance, this position will oversee human resources and administrative operations, thereby increasing efficiency in the department's provision of services to the community and ensuring that programs are designed with a focus on equity. The department is also implementing its first ever Public Information Officer to increase transparency and communication with the public. Further, the War Memorial is reclassifying administrative positions to address inequities by ensuring that employees receive equal pay for equal work.


A ANNUAL EARNED REVENUE. The
COVID-19 pandemic significantly impacted the War Memorial's earned revenue.The department anticipates a return to historical levels of earned revenue in FY 2022-23.

## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE: WAR MEMORIAL



## TOTAL BUDGET - HISTORICAL COMPARISON

| Authorized Positions | 2020-2021 <br> Original <br> Budget | 2021-2022 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2020-2021 | 2022-2023 <br> Proposed <br> Budget | Change From <br> 2021-2022 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Authorized | 61.81 | 67.90 | 6.09 | 68.80 |  |
| Non-Operating Positions (cap/other) |  |  | 0.90 |  |  |
| Net Operating Positions | 61.81 | 67.90 | 6.09 | 68.80 | 0.90 |

## Sources

| Rents \& Concessions | 753,713 | 2,064,017 | 1,310,304 | 3,820,781 | 1,756,764 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charges for Services |  | 199,021 | 199,021 | 545,608 | 346,587 |
| Other Financing Sources |  | 2,200,000 | 2,200,000 |  | $(2,200,000)$ |
| Expenditure Recovery | 258,559 | 258,466 | (93) | 273,987 | 15,521 |
| Transfers In | 13,714,445 | 14,326,268 | 611,823 | 14,354,072 | 27,804 |
| Unappropriated Fund Balance | 750,000 | 750,000 |  |  | $(750,000)$ |
| General Fund Support | 9,253,577 | 9,502,809 | 249,232 | 9,557,306 | 54,497 |
| Sources Total | 24,730,294 | 29,300,581 | 4,570,287 | 28,551,754 | $(748,827)$ |

Uses - Operating Expenditures

| Salaries | 6,175,352 | 7,348,347 | 1,172,995 | 7,821,719 | 473,372 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 3,370,681 | 3,528,779 | 158,098 | 3,603,130 | 74,351 |
| Non-Personnel Services | 2,053,893 | 1,341,552 | $(712,341)$ | 1,390,967 | 49,415 |
| Capital Outlay | 252,631 | 2,780,263 | 2,527,632 | 609,276 | $(2,170,987)$ |
| Debt Service | 9,253,577 | 9,502,809 | 249,232 | 9,557,306 | 54,497 |
| Materials \& Supplies | 298,657 | 278,657 | $(20,000)$ | 302,500 | 23,843 |
| Services Of Other Depts | 3,325,503 | 4,520,174 | 1,194,671 | 5,266,856 | 746,682 |
| Uses Total | 24,730,294 | 29,300,581 | 4,570,287 | 28,551,754 | $(748,827)$ |
| Uses - Division Description |  |  |  |  |  |
| WAR War Memorial | 24,730,294 | 29,300,581 | 4,570,287 | 28,551,754 | $(748,827)$ |
| Uses by Division Total | 24,730,294 | 29,300,581 | 4,570,287 | 28,551,754 | $(748,827)$ |



# Bonded Debt \& LongTerm Obligations <br> MISSION 

The Office of Public Finance is housed within the Controller's Office and provides and manages low-cost debt financing for large-scale, long-term capital projects and improvements that produce social and economic benefit to the City and its citizens while balancing market and credit risk with appropriate benefits, mitigations, and controls.

## STRATEGIC ISSUES

- Maintain cost-effective access to capital markets through prudent debt management policies and practices.
- Maintain moderate debt levels with effective planning and coordination with City departments.
- Finance significant capital projects or improvements through long-term debt financing and, if cost effective, alternate financing mechanisms such as public/private partnerships.
- Structure long-term financings to minimize transaction specific risk and total debt portfolio risk to the City.
- Maintain the highest practical credit rating.
- Maintain good investor relationships through timely dissemination of material financial information.


## BACKGROUND

The City and County of San Francisco is the fourth largest city in California and the 17th largest city nationally. The City is one of the few cities in California that has a sizeable portfolio of outstanding general obligation bonds described in more detail below. Additionally, the City enjoys national recognition among investors in municipal debt obligations as a high-profile economic center of one of the country's largest, most vibrant metropolitan areas. Investor interest benefits the City in the form of lower interest rates and lower annual debt service expenditures compared to other California cities.

The Office of Public Finance utilizes four principal types of municipal debt to finance long-term
capital projects: general obligation (G.O.) bonds, lease revenue bonds, certificates of participation (COPs), and special tax bonds.

The City relies on the issuance of G.O. bonds to leverage property tax receipts for voter-approved capital expenditures for the acquisition, improvement, and/or construction of real property such as libraries, hospitals, parks, and cultural and educational facilities.

The City utilizes lease revenue bonds and COPs to leverage General Fund receipts (such as local taxes, fees, and charges) to finance capital projects and acquisitions, many of which provide a direct revenue benefit or cost savings to the City. Debt service payments for lease revenue bonds and

COPs are typically paid from revenues of the related project or fees, taxes, or surcharges imposed on users of the project. The City utilizes its commercial paper certificates of participation program from time to time to provide interim financing for approved project costs in connection with the acquisition, improvement, renovation, and construction of real property and the acquisition of capital equipment and vehicles in anticipation of issuing long-term financings.

The City has utilized Mello-Roos Community Facilities Districts ("CFDs") and other special tax districts to assist in the financing of public benefits, infrastructure and community facilities. These districts facilitate improvements to real property providing public benefits in connection with new development in the City.

## RATINGS

The City's general obligation bond debt, which carries the City's strongest ratings, is rated Aaa by Moody's, AAA by Standard \& Poor's, and AA+ by Fitch. The City's COPs and lease revenue bonds are rated Aa1/Aa2 by Moody's, AA+ by Standard \& Poor's, and AA/AA+ by Fitch Ratings. The ratings are one to two rating levels below the City's G.O. bond ratings, which reflects a normal relationship between G.O. bonds and lease revenue bonds. This difference can be attributed in part to the strength of the ad valorem property tax pledge for G.O. bonds. The City has no legal obligation or authority to levy taxes for repayment of lease debt, only the authority to appropriate rent for the use of the facilities financed when the facilities have use and occupancy.

## Moody's

In March 2018, Moody's upgraded the City's general obligation bond rating to Aaa from Aa1, recognizing the City's exceptionally strong financial position as well as strong financial policies, conservative budgeting, and proactive management, very strong socioeconomic profile, and large and diverse revenue sources. At the same time, Moody's also upgraded the rating for the City's lease revenue bonds and COPs to Aa1 from Aa2 for those secured by "more essential" assets and those with a demonstrated, stable non-pledged revenue source that provides strong coverage of debt service payments. Similarly, Moody's upgraded the rating for the City's lease revenue bonds and COPs to Aa2 from Aa3 for those secured by "less essential" assets. Moody's affirmed these ratings in February 2019. At the time, the
outlook for the City's long-term ratings was "Stable." On June 18, 2020, Moody's revised the City's outlook to "negative," citing the economic and fiscal impact of the Coronavirus pandemic due to the City's relatively volatile revenue sources including business, tourism, and property related taxes.

## Standard \& Poor's

In February 2019, Standard \& Poor's upgraded the City's general obligation bond rating to AAA from AA+. The upgrade from Standard \& Poor's reflects the City's very strong economy, budgetary performance and flexibility, and improved reserves, as well as the City's very strong management conditions. At the time, Standard \& Poor's also upgraded the ratings for the City's lease revenue bonds and COPs to AA+ from AA. Standard \& Poor's affirmed the City's long-term rating but revised its outlook as "Negative" as of February 3, 2021, citing direct health and safety social risks associated with the COVID-19 pandemic, including governmental and individual actions intended to minimize pandemic risks, reflected in drop-offs in tourism and tax revenue, contributing to potential credit deterioration during the next two years.

## Fitch Ratings

In January 2016, Fitch Ratings upgraded the City's general obligation bond rating to AA+ from AA. At the same time, Fitch also upgraded the rating on the City's lease revenue bonds and COPs to AA from AA-, with the exception of Series 2006 and 2007 Open Space Fund lease revenue bonds, which were upgraded to AA+ from AA. Fitch Ratings affirmed the City's long-term ratings in February 2021, but revised its outlook to "Negative" based on its rating framework. The Fitch report explained, "[t]he Negative Outlook reflects the magnitude of the revenue loss and budget gaps in addition to uncertainty about the pace of recovery sensitive to changes to work habits, pressure on commercial real estate and travel-related activity, as well as the execution risk surrounding the [C]ity's efforts to reduce spending. The Negative Outlook indicates the direction the rating is likely to move over a one- to two-year period; however, it does not imply that a rating change is inevitable."

## DEBT PROFILE

Pursuant to the City Charter, the City must have voter authorization to issue G.O. bonds and lease revenue bonds. In the case of G.O. bonds, authorization is required by a two-thirds

TABLE 1: GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (AS OF APRIL 1, 2021)

| Bond Authorization Name | Election Date | Authorized Amount | Series | Bonds Issued | Bonds Outstanding | Authorized \& Unissued |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seismic Safety Loan Program | 11/3/92 | \$350,000,000 | 1994A | \$35,000,000 | - |  |
|  |  |  | 2007A | \$30,315,450 | \$17,151,740 |  |
|  |  |  | 2015A | \$24,000,000 | - |  |
| Reauthorization to Repurpose for Affordable Housing | 11/8/16 |  | 2019A | \$72,420,000 | \$71,525,000 |  |
|  |  |  | 2020 C | \$102,580,000 | \$102,580,000 | \$85,684,550 |
| Clean \& Safe Neighborhood Parks | 2/5/08 | \$185,000,000 | 2008B | \$42,520,000 | - |  |
|  |  |  | 2010B | \$24,785,000 | - |  |
|  |  |  | 2010D | \$35,645,000 | \$32,910,000 |  |
|  |  |  | 2012B | \$73,355,000 | - |  |
|  |  |  | 2016A | \$8,695,000 | \$6,855,000 | - |
| San Francisco General Hospital \& Trauma Center | 11/4/08 | \$887,400,000 | 2009A | \$131,650,000 | - |  |
| Earthquake Safety |  |  | 2010A | \$120,890,000 | - |  |
|  |  |  | 2010C | \$173,805,000 | \$160,465,000 |  |
|  |  |  | 2012D | \$251,100,000 | \$139,315,000 |  |
|  |  |  | 2014A | \$209,955,000 | \$145,960,000 | - |
| Earthquake Safety and Emergency Response Bond | 6/8/10 | \$412,300,000 | 2010E | \$79,520,000 | - |  |
|  |  |  | 2012A | \$183,330,000 | - |  |
|  |  |  | 2012E | \$38,265,000 | \$26,755,000 |  |
|  |  |  | 2013B | \$31,020,000 | \$15,860,000 |  |
|  |  |  | 2014C | \$54,950,000 | \$38,175,000 |  |
|  |  |  | 2016C | \$25,215,000 | \$20,450,000 | - |
| Road Repaving \& Street Safety | 11/8/11 | \$248,000,000 | 2012C | \$74,295,000 | - |  |
|  |  |  | 2013C | \$129,560,000 | \$66,195,000 |  |
|  |  |  | 2016E | \$44,145,000 | \$35,795,000 | - |
| Clean \& Safe Neighborhood Parks | 11/6/12 | \$195,000,000 | 2013A | \$71,970,000 | \$36,785,000 |  |
|  |  |  | 2016B | \$43,220,000 | \$22,255,000 |  |
|  |  |  | 2018A | \$76,710,000 | \$43,145,000 |  |
|  |  |  | 2019B | \$3,100,000 | - | - |
| Earthquake Safety and Emergency Response Bond | 6/3/14 | \$400,000,000 | 2014D | \$100,670,000 | \$69,920,000 |  |
|  |  |  | 2016D | \$109,595,000 | \$68,985,000 |  |
|  |  |  | 2018 C | \$189,735,000 | \$132,715,000 | - |
| Transportation and Road Improvement | 11/4/14 | \$500,000,000 | 2015B | \$67,005,000 | \$39,985,000 |  |
|  |  |  | 2018B | \$174,445,000 | \$98,115,000 |  |
|  |  |  | 2020B | \$135,765,000 | \$135,765,000 | \$122,785,000 |
| Affordable Housing Bond | 11/3/15 | \$310,000,000 | 2016F | \$75,130,000 | \$46,130,000 |  |
|  |  |  | 2018D | \$142,145,000 | \$98,120,000 |  |
|  |  |  | 2019C | \$92,725,000 | \$25,225,000 | - |
| Public Health and Safety Bond | 6/7/16 | \$350,000,000 | 2017A | \$173,120,000 | \$112,175,000 |  |
|  |  |  | 2018E | \$49,955,000 | \$35,195,000 |  |
|  |  |  | 2020D-1 | \$111,925,000 | \$111,925,000 |  |
|  |  |  | 2020D-2 | \$15,000,000 | - | - |
| Embarcadero Seawall Earthquake Safety | 11/6/18 | \$425,000,000 | 2020A | \$49,675,000 | \$9,475,000 | \$375,325,000 |
| Affordable Housing Bond | 11/5/19 | \$600,000,000 | 2021A | \$254,585,000 | \$254,585,000 | \$345,415,000 |
| Earthquake Safety and Emergency Response Bond | 3/3/20 | \$628,500,000 | 2021B-1 | \$69,215,000 | \$69,215,000 |  |
|  |  |  | 2021B-2 | \$11,500,000 | \$11,500,000 | \$547,785,000 |
| Health and Recovery Bond | 11/4/20 | \$487,500,000 |  |  |  | \$487,500,000 |
| SUBTOTAL |  | \$5,978,700,000 |  | \$4,014,205,450 | \$2,301,206,740 | \$1,964,494,550 |


| General Obligation Refunding Bonds | Dated Issued | Bonds <br> Issued | Bonds Outstanding |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

${ }^{1}$ Section 9.106 of the City Charter limits issuance of general obligation bonds of the City to $3 \%$ of the assessed value of all taxable real and personal property, located within the City and County.
${ }^{2}$ Of the $\$ 35,000,000$ authorized by the Board of Supervisors in February 2007, $\$ 30,315,450$ has been drawn upon to date pursuant to the Credit Agreement described under "General Obligation Bonds."
Source: Office of Public Finance, City and County of San Francisco.
majority vote. In the case of lease revenue bonds, authorization is required by a simple majority vote in order fund new money capital projects.

As of April 1, 2021, the total amount of G.O. bonds authorized by the voters but not yet issued was be $\$ 1.96$ billion. Of the total principal amount of $\$ 4.84$ billion of GO bonds originally issued from these authorizations, $\$ 2.84$ billion remains outstanding. Table 1 lists the City's outstanding and unissued G.O. bonds by series and authorization. Additionally, the table includes a list of G.O. bonds that were issued for refunding purposes.

Table 1 does not include the approximately $\$ 638$ million in General Obligation bonds still anticipated to be issued in FY 2020-21 for the Transportation and Road Improvement, Health and Recovery, and Earthquake Safety and Emergency bond programs, nor does it reflect the $\$ 200$ million new issuances expected in FY 2021-22 shown in Table 2 below.

Debt service on the City's G.O. bonds is repaid from taxes levied on all real and personal property within the City boundaries.

Outstanding debt managed by the Office of Public Finance includes G.O. bonds, lease revenue bonds, and COPs. Of the $\$ 5.1$ billion in projected outstanding debt and other long-term obligations, $\$ 72.2$ million or 1.4 percent will be in variable rate bonds. In addition, there are long-
term obligations issued by public agencies whose jurisdictions overlap the boundaries of the City in whole or in part. See overlapping debt obligations described below.

As shown above in Table 2, the FY 2021-22 budget provides $\$ 477.8$ million for the payment of net debt service on $\$ 5.1$ billion in debt and other longterm obligations.

## General Obligation Bonds

As stated above, the City's issuance of G.O. bonds must be approved by at least two-thirds of the voters. In addition, the principal amount of bonds outstanding at any one time must not exceed three percent of the assessed value of all taxable real and personal property located within the boundaries of the City.

For debt management and federal expenditure requirements, and because large-scale capital improvement projects are typically completed over a number of years, bonds are usually issued in installments over time. For that reason, and because G.O. bonds are repaid in the interim, the full amount of G.O. bonds authorized by the electorate typically exceeds the amount of G.O. bonds outstanding.

## Long-Term Obligations

The City's Long-Term Obligations shown in Table 2, include lease revenue bonds and COPs. Pursuant to the Charter, lease revenue bonds must be approved

TABLE 2: PROJECTED OUTSTANDING G.O. BONDS \& LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS DEBT SERVICE FOR FISCAL YEAR 2021-2022

| Principal Outstanding |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| General Obligation Bonds (as of 4/1/21) | $\$ 2,838,046,740$ |
| Minus Expected 6/15/21 Principal Payment | $(290,515,457)$ |
| Plus Expected New FY 2021-22 Issuance | $637,785,000$ |
| Plus Expected New FY 2022-23 Issuance | $\mathbf{2 0 0 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Total General Obligation Bonds | $\$ 3,385,316,283$ |
| Long-Term Obligations' (as of 4/1/21) | $\$ 1,556,601,861$ |
| Plus Expected New FY 2021-22 Issuance | $\mathbf{1 3 0 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Total Long-Term Obligations | $\$ 1,686,601,861$ |
| Total Principal Expected Outstanding (as of 4/1/21) |  |
| Plus Expected New FY 2020-21 and FY-2021-22 Issuance | $\$ 5,071,918,14$ |
|  |  |
| General Obligation Bonds | $\$ 350,898,681$ |
| Long-Term Obligations | $\underline{123,876,755}$ |
| Total Annual Debt Service | $\$ 477,775,436$ |

IIncludes certificates of participation, lease revenue bonds and certain equipment leases.
by a simple majority of the voters. As with G.O. bonds, there is often a significant delay between the date of voter authorization and the time the lease obligations are issued. As shown in Table 2, as of July 1, 2021, the City will have roughly $\$ 1.6$ billion in projected Long-Term Obligations outstanding. Including approximately $\$ 130.0$ million of COPs to be issued in FY 2O21-22 for Hall of Justice Improvements and Department of Public Health Facilities projects, the City estimates this number will increase to $\$ 1.7$ billion by the end of FY 2021-22. The gross debt service payment, including other fiscal charges, for FY 2O2122 is estimated to be $\$ 123.9$ million.

In 1994, voters approved Proposition B, which authorized the issuance of up to $\$ 60.0$ million in lease revenue bonds for the acquisition and construction of a combined dispatch center for the City's emergency 9-1-1 communication system and for the emergency information and communications equipment for the center. To date, $\$ 45.9$ million of Proposition B lease revenue bonds have been issued and there is approximately $\$ 14.0$ million in remaining authorization. There are no current plans to issue additional debt under the Proposition B authorization.

In March 2000, voters approved Proposition C, which extended a two and one-half cent per \$100 in assessed valuation property tax set-aside for the benefit of the Recreation and Park Department (the Open Space Fund). Proposition C also authorized the issuance of lease revenue bonds or other forms of indebtedness payable from the Open Space Fund. The City issued $\$ 27.0$ million and $\$ 42.4$ million of such Open Space Fund lease revenue bonds in October 2006 and October 2007, respectively. The City issued refunding lease revenue bonds to refinance the remaining outstanding amounts of the Series 2006 and Series 2007 Open Space Fund lease revenue bonds in August 2018.

In November 2007, voters approved Proposition D, which renewed a two and one-half cent per \$100 in assessed valuation property tax set-aside for the benefit of the Library (the Library Preservation Fund) and authorized the City to issue lease revenue bonds or other types of debt to construct and improve library facilities. The City issued Library Preservation Fund lease revenue bonds in the amount of $\$ 34.3$ million in March 2009 and refinanced these obligations with the issuance of refunding lease revenue bonds in August 2018.

## Commercial Paper Program

The Board authorized on March 17, 2009 and the Mayor approved on March 24, 2009 the establishment of a not-to-exceed $\$ 150.0$ million Lease Revenue Commercial Paper Certificates of Participation Program (the CP Program). Under the proposed CP Program, Commercial Paper Notes (the CP Notes) will be issued from time to time to pay approved project costs in connection with the acquisition, improvement, renovation, and construction of real property and the acquisition of capital equipment and vehicles in anticipation of long-term or other takeout financing to be issued when market conditions are favorable. Projects are eligible to access the CP Program once the Board and the Mayor have approved the project and the longterm, permanent financing for the project. The Board authorized on July 16, 2013 and the Mayor approved on July 25, 2013 an additional $\$ 100.0$ million of commercial paper for a total authorized CP Program of $\$ 250.0$ million.

In February 2014, the City executed a letter of credit facility with State Street Bank and Trust Company (State Street Bank) with a maximum principal amount of $\$ 100$ million that was scheduled to expire in February of 2019. In December 2018, the City extended the original letter of credit issued by State Street Bank by an additional three years to February 2022.

In May 2016, the City executed two letter of credit facilities totaling $\$ 150.0$ million with State Street Bank (\$75.0 million) and U.S. Bank, N.A. (\$75.0 million). Both credit facilities were extended in May 2021 by three years to May 2023.

As of June 2021, the outstanding principal amount of CP Notes was $\$ 28.6$ million with a weighted average interest rate of 0.12 percent. The CP Program currently provides interim financing for the following projects: Animal Care and Control Facility Renovation, Hall of Justice Projects, HOPE SF, Housing Trust Fund Projects, San Francisco General Hospital Rebuild of Furnishings, Fixtures \& Equipment (FF\&E) Program, and the Tom Waddell Homeless Services Center. The CP program has previously financed other various projects including: War Memorial Veterans Building Seismic Upgrade and Improvements, Port Facilities, Moscone Convention Center Expansion, 900 Innes, and Department of Public Works' capital equipment.

TABLE 3: CALCULATION OF DEBT LIMIT RATIO

| Assessed Valuation (as of 8/1/20) | $\$ 320,261,548,583$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Less Exemptions | $(18,852,387,894)$ |
| Net Assessed Value (as of 8/1/20) | $\$ 301,409,160,689$ |
| Legal Debt Capacity (3 percent of Assessed Valuation) | $\$ 9,042,274,821$ |
| Outstanding G.O. Bonds (as of 4/1/21) | $\$ 2,838,046,740$ |
| G.O. Debt Ratio | $\mathbf{0}, 94 \%$ |
| Unused Capacity | $\$ 6,204,228,080$ |

## Overlapping Debt Obligations

Overlapping debt obligations are long-term obligations that are often sold in the public credit markets by public agencies whose boundaries overlap the boundaries of the City in whole or in part. In many cases, overlapping debt obligations issued by a public agency are payable only from the revenues of the public agency, such as sales tax receipts, property taxes, and special taxes generated within the City's boundaries. Overlapping debt obligations of the City have been issued by public agencies such as the Successor Agency to the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency (OCII), Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG), the Bayshore-Hester Assessment District, the Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART), the San Francisco Community College District, and the San Francisco Unified School District.

## DEBT LIMIT

The City's debt limit for outstanding G.O. bond principal is governed by Section 9.106 of the City's Charter and is subject to Article XIII of the State Constitution. Under the Charter, the City's outstanding G.O. bond principal is limited to three percent of the assessed value of all taxable real and personal property located within the jurisdiction of the City and County of San Francisco.

As indicated in Table 3, the City has a current G.O. bond limit of $\$ 9.04$ billion, based upon the Controller's Certificate of Assessed Valuation released on August 1, 2020 (FY 2020-21 AV). As of April 1, 2021, the City has $\$ 2.84$ billion of G.O. bonds outstanding, which results in a G.O. bond debt to assessed value ratio of 0.94 percent. Based
on the FY 2020-21 AV, the City's remaining legal capacity for G.O. bond debt is $\$ 6.2$ billion. The FY 2021-22 AV will be released in August 2021 and will likely result in modest growth in the City's G.O. bond debt capacity.

The City has $\$ 1.96$ billion in voter authorized and unissued G.O. bonds. The amount of authorized but unissued debt is not included in the debt limit calculation since the limit applies only to outstanding bonds. Principal on previously issued bonds is repaid on a continuous basis allowing for additional debt capacity despite continued authorization for the issuance of new debt. Furthermore, debt capacity will increase or decrease as the City's total assessed property value changes.

## CITIZENS' GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

In March 2002, San Francisco voters approved Proposition F creating the Citizens' General Obligation Bond Oversight Committee (the Committee). The purpose of the Committee is to inform the public about the expenditure of G.O. bond proceeds. The Committee actively reviews and reports on the expenditure of taxpayers' money in accordance with the voter authorization. The Committee provides oversight for ensuring that (1) G.O. bond proceeds are expended only in accordance with the ballot measure, and (2) no G.O. bond funds are used for any administrative salaries or other general governmental operating expenses, unless specifically authorized in the ballot measure for such G.O. bonds.

## TABLE 4: ENTERPRISE DEPARTMENT BOND PRINCIPAL

 OUTSTANDING AND DEBT SERVICE FOR FISCAL YEAR 2021-2022| Agency | Principal Amount <br> Outstanding As of <br> $7 / 1 / 20$ | Expected New <br> Issuance | Total | Fiscal Year 2020-2021 <br> Principal and Interest <br> Payments |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PUC $^{1}$ | $\$ 5,909,175,000$ | $\$ 350,000,000$ | $\$ 6,259,175,000$ | $\$ 387,065,648$ |  |
| SFMTA | $323,075,000$ |  | - | $323,075,000$ | $23,380,098$ |
| Port Commission ${ }^{2}$ | $78,297,454$ |  | $78,297,454$ | $6,820,531$ |  |
| Airport Commission |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $7,451,185,000$ |  | $7,951,185,000$ | $531,312,000$ |  |
|  | $\$ 14,261,732,454$ | $\$ 350,000,000$ | $\$ 14,611,732,454$ | $\$ 948,578,277$ |  |

1 Does not include outstanding or expected WIFIA and SRF Ioan issuances
2 Includes South Beach Harbor
3 Current outstanding as of June 15, 2020
4 Reflects proposed debt service budget as of June 15, 2020. FY 2020/21 budget has not been approved
5 Reflects only Revenue Bond and does not reflect outstanding Commercial Paper

Proposition F provides that all ballot measures seeking voter authorization for G.O. bonds subsequent to the 2002 adoption of Proposition F must provide that 0.1 percent of the gross proceeds from the proposed bonds be deposited in a fund established by the Controller's Office and appropriated by the Board at the direction of the Committee to cover the Committee's costs. The Committee, which was initially convened on January 9, 2003, continuously reviews existing G.O. bond programs. The Committee issue reports on the results of its activities to the Board of Supervisors at least once a year.

Since the Committee was convened in 2003, the voters have approved approximately $\$ 5.63$ billion in G.O. Bonds to fund various bond programs such as Clean and Safe Neighborhood Parks Bonds, Earthquake Safety and Emergency Response Bonds, Road Repaving \& Street Safety Bonds, San Francisco General Hospital Bonds, Transportation and Road Improvement Bonds, Affordable Housing Bonds, Public Health and Safety Bonds, Seawall, and Health and Recovery Bonds.

## ENTERPRISE DEPARTMENT PRINCIPAL OUTSTANDING AND DEBT SERVICE FOR FISCAL YEAR 2021-2022

Certain Public Service Enterprise departments of the City and County of San Francisco have outstanding revenue bond indebtedness that does not require discretionary City funding for their support. The departments include the Airport Commission, Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA), Port Commission, and the Public Utilities Commission ( $P \cup C$ ). These departments have issued revenue bonds to leverage operating revenues to finance capital projects and acquisitions, many of which provide a direct revenue benefit or cost savings to the public. Table 4 shows the total estimated FY 2021-22 principal outstanding and debt service payments due for these enterprise departments. As of July 1, 2021, the Public Service Enterprise Departments are expected to have approximately $\$ 14.6$ billion principal outstanding, with $\$ 800.0$ million expected to be issued by the end of FY 202122. The FY 2021-22 budget provides for an annual debt service payment of $\$ 945.0$ million for Public Service Enterprise departments bonds.

## Capital Projects
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# Capital Projects 

## THE CAPITAL PLANNING PROCESS

Beginning in FY 2005-06, San Francisco centralized its capital planning process by enacting legislation that required the creation of a multi-year capital plan. As a result, while departments receive funds annually for capital projects, the City strategizes, prioritizes, and plans for capital expenditures on a ten-year timeframe.

This process is guided by the Ten-Year Capital Plan (the Plan). Every other year, the City Administrator submits the proposed Plan to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors (Board), as required under Section 3.20 of the Administrative Code. The Plan provides an assessment of the City's capital infrastructure needs and a financing plan for addressing those needs. The Plan is a coordinated citywide approach to long-term infrastructure planning covering the City's General Fund departments, as well as enterprise departments and external agencies. Under the authority of the City Administrator, the Office of Resilience and Capital Planning prepares the Plan and presents it to the Capital Planning Committee (CPC) for review. The Plan is then submitted to the Board by March 1 for approval no later than May 1 every odd-numbered year.

Following the Plan's adoption, the CPC proposes a rolling two-year capital budget for consideration by the Board during the regular budget process. The most recent Plan was approved on April 19, 2021.

The capital budget for the current year was updated on the following timeline:

- January 22: Budget requests due from departments
- April 30: Complete review of General Fund departments budget requests
- May 10: Capital Planning Committee approval of General Fund department budget requests
- July 31: Citywide proposed budget, including capital budget, submitted to the Board of Supervisors


## About the Ten-Year Capital Plan

The City's current Ten-Year Capital Plan recommends total investments over $\$ 38$ billion between Fiscal Years 2021-22 and 2030-31. The proposed projects address capital needs related to eight service areas: Affordable Housing; Economic and Neighborhood Development; General Government; Health and Human Services; Infrastructure and Streets; Public Safety; Recreation, Culture, and Education; and Transportation. Table 1 shows how the Plan recommends investment across these service areas.

The most recently approved Plan more than doubles the first Ten-Year Plan's original level of total investment and nearly doubles the original General Fund department investment to $\$ 5$ billion. However, the annual Pay-As-You-Go Program (projects funded with cash and requiring no financing) has decreased from $\$ 2.2$ billion to $\$ 1.2$ billion over the ten-year horizon. This is lower than the previous funding level due to COVID-19 related impacts on the Plan's earlier years. Some of this loss in revenue is made up by Certificate of Participation (COP) investments. The Plan anticipates $\$ 765$ million in Certificates of Participation (COPs), also known as General Fund debt, over the next 10 years. COPs are backed by a physical asset in the City's capital portfolio and repayments are appropriated each year out of the General Fund. While the overall COP program is $\$ 200$ million lower than the previous Plan, it makes significant commitments in the early years to address reductions

## TABLE 1: FISCAL YEAR 2022-31 CAPITAL PLAN INVESTMENTS BY SERVICE AREA

| Capital Plan Funding Amounts <br> (Funding in Millions) | FY 2022-26 | FY 2027-31 | Plan Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Affordable Housing | 1,891 | 732 | 2,622 |
| Economic and Neighborhood Development | 3,564 | 2,053 | 5,616 |
| General Government | 35 | 127 | 162 |
| Health and Human Services | 739 | 93 | 832 |
| Infrastructure and Streets | 6,396 | 34,157 | 10,553 |
| Public Safety | 992 | 276 | 1,268 |
| Recreation, Culture, and Education | 2,466 | 1,508 | 3,974 |
| Transportation | 9,342 | 3,577 | 12,919 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 , 4 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 5 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 , 9 4 7}$ |

in the Pay-Go Program and support projects to promote economic stimulus and racial equity.

Funding principles from the Plan that help establish capital priorities for the City are to:

- Address legal or regulatory mandates;
- Protect life safety and minimize physical danger to those who work in and use City infrastructure and facilities;
- Enhance resiliency and eliminate racial and social disparities so that all San Franciscans may thrive;
- Ensure asset preservation and sustainability, i.e., timely maintenance and renewal of existing infrastructure;
- Serve programmatic or planned needs, i.e. formal objectives of an adopted plan or action by the City's elected officials; and
- Promote economic development with projects that are expected to increase revenue, improve government effectiveness, or reduce operating costs.


## Capital-Related Policies

The City's Ten-Year Capital Plan is financially constrained, meaning that it lists funded as well as deferred projects that are selected based on fiscally responsible and transparent policies. The FY 202231 Capital Plan generally retains most policies and practices set in prior year plans, including restrictions around debt issuance, in order to meet key objectives such as:

- Growing the Pay-As-You-Go program at a rate that allows the City to address its facilities backlog;
- Funding Street Resurfacing to maintain a Pavement Condition Index ( PCl ) to a state of good repair with a score of 75;
- Prioritizing the City's curb ramp program and barrier removal projects to improve accessibility for all;
- Prioritizing regulatory requirements, life safety concerns, and the maintenance of current assets in order to reduce the City's backlog of deferred capital projects;
- Keeping property tax rates at or below 2006 levels; and
- Funding critical enhancements to cover unexpected capital needs and emergencies as well as projects that are not good candidates for debt financing.


## Operating Impacts of Capital Projects

The vast majority of projects in the City's Capital Plan and Budget address the needs of existing assets and are not expected to substantially impact operating costs. For those projects where a substantial operational impact is expected, San Francisco Administrative Code Section 3.20 states, "The capital expenditure plan shall include a summary of operating costs and impacts on City operations that are projected to result from capital investments recommended in the plan." This operations review includes expected changes in the cost and quality of city service delivery

Such operational planning is a foundational component of the pre-development planning for the City's large-scale capital projects, and is included in
the Plan whenever possible. In practice, because projects are often described in the Plan so far in advance of their construction, and even before pre-planning is complete, operating impacts are typically not available in detail at the time of Plan publication. More often, operating impacts are presented to the Capital Planning Committee as project plans come into focus.

Departments present future one-time and on-going costs of their projects to the Capital Planning Committee as part of the funding approval process. The Capital Planning Committee considers recurring salary and benefits costs, other operating costs such as maintenance, and any anticipated recurring revenues to understand the net operating impacts of major projects. Considerations for the costs of stand-alone facilities include on-going costs like staffing and utilities, as well as one-time costs like furniture, fixtures, and equipment. The near-term impacts of major projects are reflected in the projected sources and uses of the City's Five-Year Financial Plan, issued every other year. In addition, renewal needs and condition assessments for all facilities and infrastructure are modeled before a project is funded. These models are updated annually as a part of the capital planning process.

## Eligible Capital Project Types

Capital projects funded through the annual budget are considered part of the Plan's Pay-As-You-Go (Pay-Go) Program. Debt-financed projects are typically funded through supplemental appropriations and considered outside of the annual budgeting process. Projects funded through the Pay-As-You-Go Program fall into one of five general funding categories defined below:

ADA Facility Transition Plan and ADA Right-of-
Way: ADA Facility investments are barrier removal projects on public buildings. ADA Right-of-Way projects correspond to on-demand curb ramp work. These dedicated ADA projects represent a small fraction of the accessibility improvements the Plan funds, as capital projects frequently include accessibility upgrades that are not counted as separate line items..

Routine Maintenance: Projects that provide for the day-to-day maintenance of existing buildings and infrastructure, including labor costs. Unlike renewals and enhancements, these annual
expenditures are often a mix of capital and operating expenses, and they can be used for minor renewals according to department needs.

Street Resurfacing: This funding is used to maintain a citywide average Pavement Condition Index (PCI) score of 75 .

Critical Enhancement: An investment that increases an asset's value or useful life and changes its use. Critical enhancement projects include emergency repairs and improvements that address growth or other priority capital needs that are not good candidates for debt financing due to size or timing.

## Facility and Right-of-Way Infrastructure

Renewals: An investment that preserves or extends the useful life of existing facilities or infrastructure.

The categories of spending captured in the Capital Budget mirror those of San Francisco's Ten-Year Capital Plan and enable the City to chart trends, measure capital spending in terms of best practices, and report against the City's targets for state of good repair spending.

## Historical Capital Accomplishments

The City's first Ten-Year Capital Plan was adopted on June 20, 2006, and recommended $\$ 16$ billion in total investments and $\$ 3$ billion in General Fund investments through FY 2014-15. Since its adoption, nearly all of the high-priority projects identified in the first Plan have been accomplished while planned General Fund investments have surpassed $\$ 5$ billion.

## General Obligation (G.O.) Bonds and Certificates of Participation

Prior to 2008, San Francisco voters had not authorized any G.O. bonds since 2000, which contributed to the City's infrastructure maintenance backlog. Since 2008, voters have approved nearly $\$ 5.6$ billion in G.O. bonds for hospitals, clinics, parks, police stations, firefighting facilities, public safety operations, transportation, streets, shelter, and affordable housing. Recent levels of investment are higher than at any other time in the City's history. New bonds are only issued as old ones are retired and/or the City's base of net assessed value grows. This policy has ensured that property tax rates for San Francisco residents and businesses have not increased since 2006.

Certificates of Participation (COPs), which are repaid from the General Fund, are another financing method used to address infrastructure projects. COPs are reserved for projects with a revenue source, such as the Moscone Convention Center, or for critical improvements such as those at the War Memorial Veterans Building and the Animal Care and Control Facility. COP issuances are limited to an amount that results in debt service that is no more than 3.25 percent of the discretionary General Fund budget.

## Pay-As-You-Go Capital Investments

The annual General Fund investment in the Pay-As-You-Go Program will be $\$ 46.3$ million in FY 2021-22 and $\$ 50.9$ million in FY 2022-23. These funds go toward maintaining basic infrastructure and investing in City assets including facility renovations, street repaving, right-of-way renewals, ADA improvements, critical enhancements, and regular maintenance. Over the past five years, the City has invested more than $\$ 650$ million in the Pay-As-YouGo program. Since FY 2014-15 the Capital Budget has met or exceeded the Plan-recommended level, however, in FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22 budget constraints prevented full funding of the Pay-As-You-Go Program.

## Integration of Impact Fees

In the early 2000's, several planning initiatives to transform former industrial areas into new neighborhoods in east San Francisco were partially funded by impact fees. Projects funded by these impact fees include pedestrian and streetscape enhancements, transportation improvements, new parks, library assets, and childcare facilities. The impact fees are managed by the Interagency Planning Implementation Committee (IPIC) in collaboration with the Citizens' Advisory Committee for each plan area. Annual revenues from these sources are projected to be $\$ 30.2$ million in $F Y$ 2021-22 and $\$ 10.1$ million in FY 2022-23.

## Streets Program

In addition to providing a safer and smoother ride for users, streets with a higher PCl score last longer and are less expensive to maintain, which results in operating savings in future years. A block with a PCl score of 85-100 is in excellent condition and does not require any treatment. In 2011, the City's streets were at an average PCl score of 63 and declining quickly. Since then, the City has made streets funding a top priority and, with the help of voters,
passed the Road Repaving and Streets Safety Bond, which provided funding to increase the City's overall PCl score to 67 . Now at 75 , San Francisco is continuing to improve its citywide average PCI score with large SB1 investments from the Pay-As-You-Go program, complemented by General Fund. This will save the City millions on future street repaving costs, save Muni on vehicle repair costs, and reduce citizens' annual car maintenance costs. However, given near-term financial constraints, at the Plan recommended funding levels the PCl is projected to drop to 74 during this 10-year cycle.

## Recent Major Projects

Since 2008, the City has completed or broken ground on a number of major projects, including:

- Met the 2014 goal of producing and preserving 10,000 affordable units by 2020;
- Opened new City office building at 49 South Van Ness, which features a 39,000 square foot OneStop Permitting Center;
- Completed the Animal Care and Control replacement animal shelter at 1419 Bryant Street;
- Opened the Embarcadero SAFE Navigation Center and expanded the Division Circle Navigation Center;
- Completed and opened HSH Headquarters and Client Access Point at 440 Turk Street, funded by the 2016 Public Health and Safety G.O. Bond;
- Achieved a citywide PCI of 75 . All districts have had at least one-third of their blocks resurfaced since 2009;
- Completed New Calaveras Dam construction, the largest WSIP project, and began refilling Calaveras Reservoir;
- Completion of the Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital and Trauma Center;
- Completed Phase 1 of the Salesforce Transit Center Project;
- Opening the Mix at SFPL, a digital media lab for teens at the Main Library, and the Bridge at Main, a center that prioritizes community literacy and learning;
- Renovation of the Bayview Opera House for greater accessibility and community use;
- Completed the Downtown San Francisco Ferry Terminal Expansion Project;
- Completion of a new Cruise Ship Terminal at Pier 27;
- Modernization of the City's 100+ year-old sewer system;
- Improvements to the City's Emergency Firefighting Water System;
- Completion of the Public Safety Building, housing the Police Department's command center and South Station, as well as a new Mission Bay Fire Station;
- Replacement of seismically vulnerable Fire Stations 5 and 16;
- Construction of a new Medical Examiner's Office and city morgue;
- Designed, constructed, or upgraded thousands of curb ramps to comply with ADA standards; and


## Proposed Capital Budget for Fiscal Years 2021-22 and 2022-23

The proposed FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23 budget funds capital investment at $\$ 349.2$ million for General Fund departments over the two-year period.

The proposed budget includes funding the General Fund Capital Budget in FY 2O21-22 at \$46.3 million, and FY 2022-23 at $\$ 50.9$ million. In order to address the shortfall caused by COVID-19 in FY 2021-22 the budget also proposes a $\$ 60.8$ million Critical Repairs Program and a $\$ 50$ million Recovery Stimulus Program. The Recovery Stimulus Program will be funded by $\$ 40.5$ million in General Fund and $\$ 9.5$ million in Certificates of Participation. In addition, the proposed Capital Budget recommends $\$ 30.4$ million in funding for community priorities such as affordable housing, parks, and sidewalks from the General Fund in FY 2021-22. Additional revenues for capital investments come from nonGeneral Fund sources, such as Impact Fees, state and federal grants, and locally generated revenues
and fees. These revenue sources total $\$ 85.6$ million in FY 2021-22 and \$61.1 million in FY 2022-23.

The continued investment in capital even in difficult years demonstrates the City's dedication to making responsible choices and taking care of its infrastructure, roads, parks, and life safety facilities. In so doing, the proposed budget makes smart investments that improve infrastructure, enhance service delivery, reduce long-term costs and liabilities, and better insulate the City from the effects of future economic downturns.

Highlights from the FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23 proposed Capital Budget include funding for:

- Investment in bringing fiber to affordable housing to address the digital divide;
- Significant investment in improvements to Cityowned shelters and planning for future retrofits
- Retrofits to the African American Art and Culture Complex and Mission Cultural Center for Latino Arts;
- Funding for Chinatown Branch Library Renovation;
- On-going curb ramp improvements and barrier removals for improved accessibility citywide; as well as investment in new sidewalks in Bayview;
- Funding for essential parks infrastructure such as fields, courts, forestry, fencing, and erosion control;
- Increased investment in Street Tree Planting and Establishment.


## CAPITAL PROJECTS

| Department | Project Code | Project Title | Activity Title | Fund Title | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Budget } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academy Of Sciences | 10016949 | Sci - Facility Maintenance | Sci - Facilities Maintenance | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 318,568 | 334,496 |
|  | 10034475 | Structural Steel Paint Renewal | Structural Steel Paint Renewal | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 0 | 150,000 |
|  | 10037337 | Iconic Tanks Window Leaks | Iconic Tanks Window Leaks | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 100,000 | 0 |
| Academy Of Sciences Total |  |  |  |  | 418,568 | 484,496 |
| Airport Commission | 10003760 | AC Airfield Unallocated-Ordina | Airfield Improvements-Unalloc | SFIA-Capital Projects Fund | 21,500,000 | 21,500,000 |
|  | 10004055 | AC Air Support Unallocated-Ord | Airport Support-Unalloc | SFIA-Capital Projects Fund | 13,514,330 | 13,564,473 |
|  | 10004134 | AC Groundside Unallocated-Ordi | Roadway Improvements-Unalloc | SFIA-Capital Projects Fund | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 |
|  | 10004334 | AC Terminals Unallocated-Ordin | Terminal Renovations-Unalloc | SFIA-Capital Projects Fund | 3,500,000 | 3,500,000 |
|  | 10004436 | AC Utilities Unallocated-Ordin | Utility Improvements-Unalloc | SFIA-Capital Projects Fund | 13,500,000 | 13,500,000 |
|  | 10016644 | Facility Maintenance | Facility Maintenance | SFIA-Operating Fund | 15,000,000 | 15,000,000 |
| Airport Commission Total |  |  |  |  | 70,014,330 | 70,064,473 |
| Arts Commission | 10005832 | AR Civic Collection Capital | CCCA - CIP CONSERVE | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 125,000 | 125,000 |
|  |  |  | CCCA - CIP RESTO | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 125,000 | 250,000 |
|  |  |  | CCCA - CIP STRUCT | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 0 | 250,000 |
|  | 10005835 | AR MCCLA HVAC | MCCLA HVAC | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 725,000 | 0 |
|  | 10016793 | AR Civic Collection Maint | Civic Collection - Maintenance | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 116,788 | 122,628 |
|  | 10016794 | AR Cultural Centers Maint | Cultural Centers - Maintenance | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 156,188 | 163,997 |
|  | 10037702 | AAACC Retrofit CR RS COPs | AAACC Retrofit CR | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 3,100,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  | AAACC Retrofit RS | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 10,000,000 | 0 |
|  | 10037703 | MCCLA Retrofit CR RS COPs | MCCLA Retrofit RS | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 10,000,000 | 0 |
| Arts Commission Total |  |  |  |  | 24,347,976 | 911,625 |
| Asian Art Museum | 10016504 | Aam - Facility Maintenance | Facility Maintenance | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 306,495 | 321,820 |
|  | 10030853 | AA Exterior Building Maintenan | Exterior Building Maintenance | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 0 | 50,000 |
|  | 10030854 | AA Museum Repair Projects | Accessibility Compliance | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 150,000 | 150,000 |
|  | 10034665 | AAM assess R\&R fire sprinkler | AAM assess R\&R fire sprinkler | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 175,000 | 175,000 |
|  | 10034670 | AAM HVAC control system | AAM HVAC control system | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 0 | 200,000 |
| Asian Art Museum Total |  |  |  |  | 631,495 | 896,820 |
| Emergency Management | 10035936 | Lighting Rods Replacement | Lighting Rods Replacement | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 161,588 | 0 |
|  | 10035937 | Condenser Coil Replacement | Condenser Coil Replacement | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 148,252 | 0 |
|  | 10035938 | Balcony Galvanized Panels Repl | Balcony Galvanized Panels Repl | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 0 | 385,133 |
| Emergency Management Total |  |  |  |  | 309,840 | 385,133 |
| Fine Arts Museum | 10009026 | Legion Of Honor - Masonry | Legion of Honor - Masonry | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 0 | 100,000 |
|  | 10009030 | Dey - Tower Exterior Repairs | deY - Tower Exterior Repairs | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 500,000 | 500,000 |
|  | 10016869 | Fam Facility Maintenance | Fam - Facilities Maintenance | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 233,579 | 245,258 |
|  | 10033352 | de young VESDA System | Replace component of VESDA | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 40,000 | 0 |
|  | 10034751 | Legion Roof AHU 2 Well | Legion Roof AHU 2 Well | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 225,000 | 0 |
|  | 10034782 | de Young Tower Fans/Controls | de Young Tower Fans/Controls | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 66,000 | 0 |
|  | 10035985 | Legion Laylight Shades | Legion Laylight Shades | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 175,000 | 175,000 |
|  | 10037632 | De Young Server Room AC | de Young Server Room AC | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 160,000 | 0 |
| Fine Arts Museum Total |  |  |  |  | 1,399,579 | 1,020,258 |
| Fire Department | 10016871 | FD Underground Storage Tank Mo | Underground Storage Tank Monit | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 426,351 | 447,669 |
|  | 10016875 | FD Various Facility Maintenanc | Various Facility Maintenance $P$ | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 942,757 | 989,895 |
| Fire Department Total |  |  |  |  | 1,369,108 | 1,437,564 |
| GSA Public Works | 10031502 | PW Islais Crk Brg Rehab | Reserve- Project | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 0 | 2,120,000 |
|  | 10033504 | PW Alemany Intrchng Improve P2 | Reserve- Project | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 400,000 | 0 |
|  | 10033865 | PW VL CR and Sub-Sdwik Bsmnt | Curb Ramps Basements CR |  | 4,000,000 | 0 |
|  | 10034075 | PW Harvey Milk Plaza | Reserve-Project | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 300,000 | 0 |
|  | 10034763 | PW Curb Ramps Budget | Reserve-Project | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 450,312 | 4,061,028 |
|  | 10034764 | PW Street Resurfacing Budget | Reserve- Project | SR RMRA City Capital Funding | 16,038,000 | 16,368,000 |
|  |  |  |  | SR RMRA County Capital Funding | 8,262,000 | 8,432,000 |
|  |  |  |  | SR Road | 3,672,000 | 4,012,000 |
|  |  |  |  | SR Special Gas Tax St Impvt | 7,128,000 | 7,788,000 |
|  | 10034784 | PW Street Tree Est Budget | Reserve-Project | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 2,500,000 | 0 |
|  | 10034786 | PW Plazas Budget | Reserve-Project | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 123,323 | 129,489 |
|  | 10034787 | PW Pothole Repairs Budget | Reserve-Project | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 1,303,115 | 1,368,270 |
|  | 10034788 | PW Facilities Maint Budget | Reserve-Project | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 490,466 | 514,989 |
|  | 10034790 | PW Urgent Repairs Budget | Reserve-Project | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 300,000 | 442,378 |
|  | 10034791 | PW SIRP Sidewalk Budget | Reserve- Project | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 847,236 | 889,598 |
|  |  |  |  | SR Other Special Revenue | 1,100,000 | 1,155,000 |
|  | 10034792 | PW ASAP Sidewalk Budget | Reserve- Project | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 699,802 | 734,792 |
|  |  |  |  | SR Other Special Revenue | 400,000 | 420,000 |
|  | 10034845 | PW MO Living Alleys Budget | Reserve-Project | SR Market \& Octavia CI | 500,000 | 500,000 |
|  | 10034846 | PW MO Sidewalk Greening Budget | Reserve-Project | SR Market \& Octavia CI | 100,000 | 100,000 |
|  | 10034851 | PW Operations Yard Budget | Reserve-Project | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 300,000 | 0 |
|  | 10034852 | PW Median Maintenance Budget | Reserve-Project | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 147,298 | 154,663 |
|  | 10034853 | PW Landslide/ Rockfall Budget | Reserve-Project | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 155,873 | 165,000 |
|  | 10034854 | PW Street Structures Budget | Reserve-Project | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 742,847 | 360,000 |
|  | 10035238 | PW Better Market St 5th-8th | Better Mkt St 5th-8th RS |  | 3,000,000 | 0 |
|  | 10035773 | PW TJPA TC IPIC Program | TJPA City Park | SR Transit Center District | $(10,044,000)$ | 0 |
|  | 10036482 | PW Tree Planting and Establish | Reserve- Project | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 500,000 | 525,000 |
|  | 10036514 | PW MO BMS 10th Octavia Budget | Reserve-Project | SR Market \& Octavia CI | 500,000 | 0 |
|  | 10036515 | PW MO HUB Public Realm Budget | Reserve-Project | SR Market \& Octavia CI | 11,468,372 | 3,470,000 |
|  | 10037647 | PW Fence Repairs Budget | Reserve-Project | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 125,000 | 150,646 |
|  | 10037648 | PW EN Water/Dog/Potrero Budget | Reserve-Project | SR Eastern Neighborhood CI | 0 | 2,241,000 |

## CAPITAL PROJECTS

| Department | Project Code | Project Title | Activity Title | Fund Title | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Budget } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Budget } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GSA Public Works | 10037666 | PW Bayview Infill Sidewalk Imp | Infill Sidewalks Bayview CR |  | 2,000,000 | 0 |
|  | 10037748 | Stockton St Widening | Stockton St Widening | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 2,700,000 | 0 |
|  | 10037751 | Carnaval Capital Work | Carnaval Capital Work | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 2,000,000 | 0 |
| GSA Public Works Total |  |  |  |  | 62,209,644 | 56,101,853 |
| Gen Svcs AgencyCity Admin | 10001289 | ADRE Capital Improvements | 1SVN Exterior Building Renewal | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 250,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 1SVN FPS ER Generator | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 0 | 1,000,000 |
|  |  |  | 1SVN HVAC Renewal | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 200,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 25VN Elevator Control Upgrade | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 0 | 1,000,000 |
|  |  |  | 5557 th Cooling Tower Replcmnt | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 250,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  | Brooks Hall Fire Panel | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 250,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  | City Hall Dome Leaks Repair | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 250,000 | 500,000 |
|  |  |  | City Hall Steam Loop Maintenan | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 300,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  | Justice Facilities Improvement | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 1,000,000 | 0 |
|  | 10001290 | ADNB CCG IPIC Partnership | Eastern Neighborhoods Grant | SR Eastern Neighborhood CI | 200,000 | 200,000 |
|  | 10001291 | ADRE Facililies Maintenance | 25 VN Building Waterproofing | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 300,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 35-45 Onondaga Project | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 250,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  | CH Wheelchair Lift \& Door Oper | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 250,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  | City Hall Interior Finishes | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 300,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  | HOJ Facilities Maintenance | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 273,000 | 286,650 |
|  | 10005806 | ADDA Disability Capital Projs | Cadend311299 | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 390,750 | 0 |
|  |  |  | Gsa Admin Ada Transition Plan | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 285,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  | Homeless Shelters | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 350,000 | 0 |
|  | 10005814 | Moscone Conv Fac Capital Proje | Moscone Conv Fac Capital Proje | SR Conv Fac Fd-Continuing | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |
|  | 10016763 | ADDA Access Barrier Removal | Critical Access Repair \& Maint | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 300,000 | 0 |
|  | 10016766 | ADAD Facilities Maintenance | GSA Facilities Maintenance | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 386,222 | 405,533 |
|  | 10030895 | ADFM Fleet Capital Improvement | 950 Bryant Roof Replacement | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 90,000 | 0 |
|  | 10037698 | 1 SVN CR COPs | 1SVN Bathrooms CR |  | 1,300,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 1SVN Elevator \& Escalator CR |  | 800,000 | 0 |
|  | 10037699 | CH HVAC CR COPs | CH HVAC Cooling Tower CR |  | 475,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  | CH HVAC Duct Cleaning CR |  | 800,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  | CH HVAC Heat Pumps CR |  | 5,000,000 | 0 |
|  | 10037700 | CH Elevators CR COPs | CH Elevators CR |  | 2,000,000 | 0 |
|  | 10037701 | HOJ HVAC CR COPs | HOJ Boiler Refractory CR |  | 2,000,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  | HOJ Boiler Rm Heat Exch CR |  | 1,600,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  | HOJ Chiller 1 CR |  | 1,200,000 | 0 |
|  | 10037704 | COPs Contingency | COPs Contingency CR |  | 3,000,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  | COPs Contingency RS |  | 2,000,000 | 0 |
|  | 10037709 | Produce Mkt Reinvestment RS | Produce Mkt Reinvestment RS |  | 3,000,000 | 0 |
| Gen Sves Agency-City Admin Total |  |  |  |  | 30,049,972 | 4,392,183 |
| General City / Unallocated | 10037745 | Cultural Museums | Cultural Museums | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 12,000,000 | 0 |
| General City / Unalloc <br> Homelessness Services | ted Total |  |  |  | 12,000,000 | 0 |
|  | 10037697 | 260 Golden Gate Seismic | 260 GG Seismic Retrofit | CP SF Capital Planning | 1,500,000 | 0 |
|  | 10037710 | MSC South Repairs CR | MSC South Kitchen CR | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 6,468,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  | MSC South Repairs Ph 2 CR | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 2,000,000 | 0 |
|  | 10037711 | Next Door Repairs CR | Next Door Repairs Ph 2 CR | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 2,000,000 | 0 |
|  | 10037718 | 525 5th St.\& 1001 Polk Seismic | Seismic Retrofit Planning | CP SF Capital Planning | 500,000 | 0 |
| Homelessness Services Total |  |  |  |  | 12,468,000 | 0 |
| Human Services Agency | 10037672 | 1235 Mission Elevator MODRN | 1235 Mission Elevator MODRN | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 855,000 | 720,000 |
|  | 10037673 | 1235 Mission window REPLC | 1235 Mission window REPLC | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 450,000 | 0 |
| Human Services Agency Total |  |  |  |  | 1,305,000 | 720,000 |
| Juvenile Probation | 10016881 | Juv - Facilities Maintenance | Juv - Facilities Maintenance | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 384,139 | 403,346 |
|  |  |  | YGC HVAC Activities | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 0 | 476,000 |
|  |  |  | YGC High Pressure Boiler | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 500,000 | 0 |
| Juvenile Probation Total |  |  |  |  | 884,139 | 879,346 |
| Mayor | 10023246 | Public Housing Rebuild Fund | Public Housing Rebuild Fund | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 1,195,152 | 0 |
| Mayor Total |  |  |  |  | 1,195,152 | 0 |
| Municipal Transprtn Agncy | 10001719 | MT Administration | Adm General Administration-UND | Transit | 1,500,000 | 0 |
|  | 10010140 | MS TSF-COMPLETE ST (BIKE\&PED) | Tsf-Complete Streets (Bike\& Pe | Sustainable Streets | 433,363 | 0 |
|  | 10011998 | Transit Capital Maintenance (O) | Transit Capital Maintenance ( O | Transit | 23,541,007 | 0 |
|  | 10012000 | MT Tsf-Transit Svc\&Reliability | MT Tsf-Svc\&Reliability FY20-21 | Transit | 288,909 | 0 |
|  | 10012001 | MT Tsf-Transit Srvc Exp\&Realib | MT Tsf-Svc Exp\&Realibi FY20-21 | Transit | 4,622,538 | 0 |
|  | 10031008 | MT Ipic-eastern Neighborhood | Ipic-eastern Neighborhood | Transit | 1,155,000 | 250,000 |
|  | 10031009 | MT Ipic-market Octavia | Ipic-market Octavia Transit-(m | Transit | 11,415,860 | 2,210,000 |
|  | 10034129 | MT SFMTA Pop Growth Alloc | FY21 Prop B Alloc For Transit | Transit | 150,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  | FY22 Prop B Alloc For Transit | Transit | 13,185,000 | 14,830,000 |
|  | 10034131 | MS SFMTA POP GROWTH ALLOC SSD | FY21 Prop B Alloc for SSD | Sustainable Streets | 50,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  | FY22 Prop B Alloc for SSD | Sustainable Streets | 14,395,000 | 14,940,000 |
|  | 10035880 | MS IPIC SOMA | MS IPIC SOMA | Sustainable Streets | 9,219,500 | 0 |
|  | 10035881 | MT IPIC SOMA | MT IPIC SOMA | Transit | 9,121,000 | 0 |
|  | 10035882 | MT IPIC HUB | MT IPIC HUB | Transit | 2,464,620 | 2,210,000 |
|  | 10037660 | IPIC Transit Center Area Plan | IPIC Transit Center Area Plan | Transit | 2,000,000 | 0 |
| Municipal Transprtn Agncy Total |  |  |  |  | 93,541,797 | 34,440,000 |
| Police | 10009500 | Hazmat Abatement | Hazmat Abatement | GF Continuing Authority CtrI | 29,482 | 30,956 |
|  | 10020722 | Var Loc-Misc Fac Maint Proj | Various Locations Fac Maint Pr | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 153,444 | 161,116 |
|  | 10030970 | BBR SFPD Maint and Rpr | District Station Community Rm | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 250,000 | 0 |


| Department | Project Code | Project Title | Activity Title | Fund Title | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Budget } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Budget } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Police | 10030970 | BBR SFPD Maint and Rpr | Fire Panel Replacements | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 200,000 | 200,000 |
|  |  |  | Paint/Waterproof PS Exterior | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 50,000 | 50,000 |
|  |  |  | Police Facilities Roofs Repair | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 250,000 | 125,000 |
|  |  |  | Police Stations Security Enhan | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 125,000 | 125,000 |
|  |  |  | Police Stn Security Enhancemnt | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 260,000 | 220,000 |
|  |  |  | Range Truss Replacement | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 200,000 | 200,000 |
| Police Total |  |  |  |  | 1,517,926 | 1,112,072 |
| Port | 10010831 | PO Cargo Maint Dredging | Cargo Maint Dredging | Port Operating | $(3,111,651)$ | 0 |
|  | 10010896 | PO Port Property Dev Feasibili | Propery Dev Feasib'ty Consult | Port Operating | $(7,219)$ | 0 |
|  | 10010940 | PO Port ADA Transition Plan | Port Ada Transition Plan | Port Operating | $(272,846)$ | 0 |
|  | 10011023 | PO Pier 80/92/96 Track Maint. | Pier 80/92/96 Track Maint. | Port Operating | $(213,279)$ | 0 |
|  | 10011067 | PO Pier Repair | Pier Repair | Port Operating | $(15,831)$ | 0 |
|  | 10011116 | PO Amador St Forced Sewer Main | Amador St Forced Sewer Main | Port Operating | $(2,195,073)$ | 0 |
|  | 10011124 | PO Seawall \& Marginal Wharf Re | Seawall\&Marginal Wharf Rep Prj | Port Operating | 605,222 | 605,222 |
|  | 10011136 | PO Roof Repair Project | Roof Repair Project | Port Operating | $(670,384)$ | 0 |
|  | 10011149 | PO Env'tal Assessment \& Remedi | Env'tal Assess\&Remed Proj | Port Operating | $(7,146)$ | 0 |
|  | 10011152 | PO Utilities Project | Utilities Project | Port Operating | $(450,741)$ | 0 |
|  | 10011255 | PO Pier Structure Rpr Prit Ph | Pier Structure Rpr Prit Ph li | Port Operating | $(3,239,963)$ | 0 |
|  | 10011284 | PO Pier Bldgs Ladders \& Skylig | PierBldgs Ladders\&Skylight Rep | Port Operating | $(1,031,731)$ | 0 |
|  | 10011291 | PO Inventory Material | Pier Structure Repair Material | Port Operating | $(297,894)$ | 0 |
|  | 10011317 | PO Crane Painting \& Upgrade Pr | Crane Painting \& Upgrade Proj | Port Operating | $(9,633)$ | 0 |
|  | 10011365 | PO P35 Repairs \& Impv 2010A | P35 Substructure Repair | Port Operating | (2,965,364) | 0 |
|  | 10011378 | PO AC34 Improvements | Ac34 Improvements | Port Operating | $(1,694)$ | 0 |
|  | 10011391 | PO $N$ Waterfront Historic Pier | NW Historic Pier Structure Rep | Port Operating | $(6,206)$ | 0 |
|  | 10011395 | PO SF Port Marina Repairs \& Up | Marina Repairs \& Upgrades | Port-South Beach Harbor | 1,059,232 | 1,140,218 |
|  | 10011402 | PO SF Bay Fill Removal | Sf Bay Fill Removal | Port Operating | $(1,951,000)$ | 0 |
|  | 10027478 | PO Wharf J9 SWL \& Deck Repa | Wharf J9 Swl \& Deck Repair Pro | Port Operating | (1,758,822) | 0 |
|  | 10030991 | PO Ferry Building Plaza Improv | Ferry Building Plaza Improveme | Port Operating | $(150,000)$ | 0 |
|  | 10032237 | PO Seawall Resiliency Project | General Planning Activities | Port Operating | (11,500,000) | 0 |
|  | 10032260 | PO SF Shipyard Repair Project | Shipyard Operations | Port Operating | $(1,417,903)$ | 0 |
|  | 10032987 | PO Amador St. Improvement Proj | Amador St | Port Operating | $(3,800,000)$ | 0 |
|  | 10032988 | PO Capital Proj Implement Team | Capital Proj Implement Team | Port Operating | 857,208 | 857,208 |
|  | 10032989 | PO P90 Grain Silo Demolition | P90 Grain Silo demolition proj | Port Operating | (1,150,000) | 0 |
|  | 10032990 | PO Cap Proj Contingency Fund | Emergency and ADA Projects | Port Operating | 500,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  | Project Overages | Port Operating | 1,000,000 | 0 |
|  | 10033000 | PO Pier 19823 Leasing Improve | Pier 19 Leasing improvement | Port Operating | $(657,073)$ | 0 |
|  | 10033008 | PO Concrete Pile Repair Crew | Concrete Pile Repair Crew | Port Operating | $(799,993)$ | 0 |
|  | 10033012 | PO Roundhouse Enhance Proj | Roundhouse Enhancement Proj | Port Operating | $(596,850)$ | 0 |
|  | 10035116 | PO Facility Condition Assessm. | Facility Condition Assessment | Port Operating | 1,000,000 | 0 |
|  | 10035589 | PO FY2019 Port Security Grant | PO Hyde St Hb Security Harden | Port-Capital | 78,484 | 0 |
|  |  |  | PO Maritime Support Vessel | Port-Capital | 161,087 | 0 |
|  |  |  | PO Portwide CCTV Refresh | Port-Capital | 77,924 | 0 |
|  | 10036012 | PO Southern Waterfront Beautif | Southern Waterfront Beautifica | Port Operating | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |
|  | 10036013 | PO P80 Berth C Fendering Upgra | P80 Berth C Fendering Upgrade | Port Operating | 375,000 | 0 |
|  | 10037494 | PO FY2020 Port Security Grant | PO CCTV System | Port-Capital | 45,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  | PO Perimeter Fencing | Port-Capital | 446,112 | 0 |
|  |  |  | PO Security Lighting | Port-Capital | 937,500 | 0 |
|  | 10037604 | Insurance Deductible | Insurance Deductible | Port Operating | 750,000 | 0 |
|  | 10037605 | PO Hyde Street Harbor Cleanup | PO Hyde Street Harbor Cleanup | Port Operating | 1,000,000 | 0 |
| Port Total |  |  |  |  | $(27,385,527)$ | 4,602,648 |
| Public Health | 10009223 | HG Ucsf Research Facility | Ucsf Research Facility | SFGH-Operating Fund | 100,000 | 0 |
|  | 10016877 | HG Misc Fac Maint Proj | Misc Fac Maint Proj | SFGH-Operating Fund | 1,706,820 | 1,792,160 |
|  | 10016878 | HL Dph - Facilities Maintenanc | Dph - Facilities Maintenance ( | LHH-Operating Fund | 1,474,640 | 1,548,370 |
|  | 10030937 | HC Dph System Wide Security Im | Dph System Wide Security Impro | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 150,000 | 300,000 |
|  | 10032737 | HG Emergency Power | Reserve- Project | SFGH-Operating Fund | 250,000 | 0 |
|  | 10032775 | HC Window Replacement CM SA SE | Reserve-Project | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 0 | 250,000 |
|  | 10033176 | HA DPH Facilities Maintenance | 101 Grove FM | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 82,737 | 86,874 |
|  |  |  | CBHS Facility | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 171,666 | 180,249 |
|  |  |  | PC Clinic FM | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 465,750 | 489,037 |
|  | 10034589 | HL Roof replacement-admin bdgs | Roof replacement - L Wing | LHH-Operating Fund | 0 | 800,000 |
|  | 10034591 | HL Cooling Center | Cooling Center | LHH-Operating Fund | 0 | 975,000 |
|  | 10034618 | HG Freight Elevator Modernizat | ZSFG - Freight Elevator Modern | SFGH-Operating Fund | 200,000 | 400,000 |
|  | 10035871 | HL Exterior Building Repairs | Exterior Building Repairs | LHH-Operating Fund | 0 | 450,000 |
|  | 10035872 | HL Emergency Stair Egress Repa | Emergency Stair Egress Repairs | LHH-Operating Fund | 250,000 | 250,000 |
|  | 10036526 | DPH GO Bond Planning | DPH GO Bond Planning | CP SF Capital Planning | 1,000,000 | 0 |
|  | 10037346 | HL Admin Bldg Fire Alarm | Admin Bldg Fire Alarm | LHH-Operating Fund | 500,000 | 0 |
|  | 10037705 | LHH Emergency Power CR | LHH Emergency Power CR |  | 7,600,000 | 0 |
|  | 10037706 | ZSFG Childcare Ctr RS | ZSFG Childcare Ctr RS |  | 1,500,000 | 0 |
| Public Health Total |  |  |  |  | 15,451,613 | 7,521,690 |
| Public Library | 10009363 | LB Capital Improvement Project | LB Capital Improvement Project | SR Library Fund - Continuing | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 |
|  | 10032980 | LB-SFPL Roofing Replacemt Proj | SFPL Roofing Replacemt - Main | SR Library Fund - Continuing | 1,500,000 | 0 |
|  | 10034331 | LB-SFPL Oceanview Capital Prj | SFPL Oceanview Branch Capital | SR Library Fund - Continuing | 1,000,000 | 2,500,000 |
|  | 10034333 | LB-SFPL Chinatown Renovation | SFPL Chinatown Branch Renovati | SR Library Fund - Continuing | 3,943,955 | 6,227,538 |
|  | 10037347 | LIB Workplace Reconfiguration | LIB Workplace Reconfiguration | SR Library Fund - Continuing | 350,000 | 0 |
|  | 10037712 | Chinatown Lib Renov RS | Chinatown Lib Renovation RS | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 8,000,000 | 0 |

## CAPITAL PROJECTS

| Department | Project Code | Project Title | Activity Title | Fund Title | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Budget } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public Library Tota |  |  |  |  | 16,293,955 | 10,227,538 |
| Public Utilities Commissn | 10014854 | Natural Resources Planning | Natural Resources Planning | SFWD-Operating Fund | 996,490 | 996,490 |
|  | 10015046 | Long Term Monitoring \& Permit | Long Term Monitoring \& Permit | SFWD-Operating Fund | 7,758,969 | 7,758,969 |
|  | 10016956 | Hetchy Water - Facilities Main | Facilities Maint | Hetchy Operating Fund | 6,334,000 | 6,334,000 |
|  | 10016972 | Awss Maintenance - Cdd | Awss Maintenance - Cdd | SFWD-Operating Fund | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 |
|  | 10016976 | Watershed Structure Projection | Watershed Structure Projection | SFWD-Operating Fund | 3,486,000 | 3,486,000 |
|  | 10025172 | Wecc/Nerc Compliance | Wecc/Nerc Compliance | Hetchy Operating Fund | 1,559,557 | 2,459,557 |
|  | 10025206 | Water Resources Planning And D | Water Resources Planning/Budge | SFWD-Operating Fund | 500,000 | 500,000 |
|  | 10025207 | Treasure Island - Maintenance | Treasure Island - Maintenance | Hetchy Operating Fund | 4,016,000 | 4,016,000 |
|  |  |  |  | SFWD-Operating Fund | 2,109,500 | 2,109,500 |
|  |  |  | Treasure Island - Wastewater | CWP-Operating Fund | 2,250,000 | 2,250,000 |
|  | 10025208 | 525 Golden Gate - O \& M | 525 Golden Gate - O M M | CWP-Operating Fund | 936,000 | 936,000 |
|  |  |  |  | Hetchy Operating Fund | 584,000 | 584,000 |
|  |  |  |  | SFWD-Operating Fund | 1,520,544 | 1,520,544 |
|  | 10025209 | 525 Golden Gate - Lease Paymen | 525 Golden Gate - Lease Paymen | CWP-Operating Fund | 2,424,344 | 2,424,344 |
|  |  |  |  | Hetchy Operating Fund | 1,248,217 | 1,248,217 |
|  |  |  |  | SFWD-Operating Fund | 9,832,719 | 9,832,719 |
|  | 10025211 | Retrofit Grant Program | Retrofit Grant Program | SFWD-Operating Fund | 481,862 | 481,862 |
|  | 10025762 | Low Impact Development | FY22 Low Impact Development | CWP-Operating Fund | 1,194,808 | 1,194,808 |
|  | 10025785 | Youth Employment \& Environment | Youth Employment \& Environ Bud | CWP-Operating Fund | 697,000 | 697,000 |
|  |  |  |  | Hetchy Operating Fund | 150,000 | 150,000 |
|  |  |  |  | SFWD-Operating Fund | 1,290,000 | 1,290,000 |
|  | 10036187 | CleanPowerSF Capital Budget | CleanPowerSF Capital Budget | CleanPowerSF Capital Fund | 2,432,829 | 2,432,829 |
| Public Utilities Commissn Total |  |  |  |  | 53,302,839 | 54,202,839 |
| Recreation \& Park Commsn | 10013093 | RP Open Space Acquisition | Os Acquisition-Budget | SR Open Space-Continuing | 3,374,800 | 3,550,250 |
|  | 10013099 | RP ADA Compliance | ADA Compliance-Budget | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 600,000 | 600,000 |
|  | 10013127 | RP Buchanan Street Mall | Buchanan St-budget | SR Market \& Octavia CI | 3,100,000 | 0 |
|  | 10013164 | RP Kezar Pavillion | Reserve | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 600,000 | 0 |
|  | 10013173 | RP Concession Maintenance | Concession Maintenance | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 269,000 | 269,000 |
|  | 10013223 | RP Trails-Twin Peaks | Budget | CP R\&P Capital Impvt-Oth | 500,000 | 0 |
|  | 10013254 | RP Marina DBW Loan Reserve | Marina Dbw Loan Reserve | SR R\&P-Marina Yacht Harbor | 79,100 | 82,100 |
|  | 10013259 | RP MYH E Harbor Sediment Rmd | East Harbor Sediment Remediati | SR R\&P-Marina Yacht Harbor | 1,817,590 | 0 |
|  | 10013274 | RP Erosion Cntrl/Rtaining Wall | Erosion Control \& Retaining Wa | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 525,000 | 525,000 |
|  | 10013280 | RP Forestry | Forestry | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 600,000 | 600,000 |
|  | 10013283 | RP Field Rehabilitation | Field Rehabilitation-Budget | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 550,000 | 550,000 |
|  | 10013292 | RP Gateways/Borders/Bollards | Gateways/Borders/Bollars/Fenci | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 223,000 | 223,000 |
|  | 10013296 | RP General Facility Renewal | General Facility Renewal Budge | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 750,000 | 2,550,000 |
|  | 10013347 | RP Golf Capital | Golf Program | SR Golf Fund -Continuing | 374,486 | 374,486 |
|  | 10013359 | RP Irrigation Systems | Irrigation Systems | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 500,000 | 500,000 |
|  | 10013373 | RP Camp Mather Capital Renewal | Camp Mather Facility Renewal B | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 496,000 | 496,000 |
|  | 10013433 | RP 2012 NP Contingency Master | Np Contingency | CPRPF 12 CLN\&SF NEIG PK S2013A | 16,646 | 0 |
|  | 10013442 | RP 2012 Citywide Prks/Programs | Citywide Parks \& Programs | CPRPF 12 CLN\&SF NEIG PK S2016B | 26,112 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | CPRPF 12 CLN\&SF NEIG PK S2018A | 2,250,220 | 0 |
|  | 10013588 | RP Paving | Paving | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 500,000 | 500,000 |
|  | 10013593 | RP Playing Fields Replacement | Playing Fields Replacement | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 2,332,000 | 2,482,000 |
|  | 10013617 | RP Pump Replacement Project | Pump Replacement Project-Budge | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 300,000 | 300,000 |
|  | 10013653 | RP Emergency Repairs | Emergency Repairs Budget | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 500,000 | 500,000 |
|  | 10013659 | RP Court Resurfacing | Court Resurfacing | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 500,000 | 500,000 |
|  | 10013668 | RP Security and Lighting | Security and Lighting-Cameras | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 250,000 | 200,000 |
|  | 10013675 | RP Signage \& Information Sys | Signage \& Information System | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 50,000 | 50,000 |
|  | 10016942 | RP General Facilities Maintena | General Facilities Maint-Budge | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 548,000 | 548,000 |
|  | 10016944 | RP Mather Facilities Maint | Mather Facilities Maint-Budget | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 202,000 | 202,000 |
|  | 10016948 | RP Marina YH Facilities Maint | Myh-Facilities Maintenance-Bud | SR R\&P-Marina Yacht Harbor | 338,000 | 338,000 |
|  | 10024391 | RP M Dolores Playground Resrve | Mission Dolores Pg Fac Maint R | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 15,000 | 15,000 |
|  | 10031044 | RP Yacht Harbor-dredging | Marina Dredging | SR R\&P-Marina Yacht Harbor | 200,000 | 206,000 |
|  | 10031217 | RP 11th Street And Natoma Park | Clean-A-Rama Janitorial Svc | SR Market \& Octavia CI | 3,100,000 | 0 |
|  | 10031220 | RP Floor Resurfacing Project | Floor Resurfacing Project | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 100,000 | 100,000 |
|  | 10032369 | RP Civic Center Pg Maint Fund | Civic Center Pg Maint Fund | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 15,000 | 15,000 |
|  | 10032971 | RP Alarm Maintenance | Alarm Maintenance | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 200,000 | 200,000 |
|  | 10032972 | RP Playground Maintenance | Playground Maintenance | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 700,000 | 700,000 |
|  | 10033273 | RP 2020 Bond Planning | Reserve | SR Eastern Neighborhood CI | 3,588,287 | 3,588,287 |
|  | 10033303 | RP Fencing | Fencing | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 250,000 | 250,000 |
|  | 10034673 | RP Herz Recreation Center | Budget | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 600,000 | 0 |
|  | 10035933 | RP OS Contingency - GEN - NEW | OS Contingency - GEN- Budget | SR Open Space-Continuing | 2,024,880 | 2,130,150 |
|  | 10036165 | RP Botanical Garden Nursery | Botanical Garden Nursery | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 150,000 | 0 |
|  | 10036166 | RP Community Garden Maint | Community Garden Maint | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 25,000 | 25,000 |
|  | 10037377 | RP Waste Receptacle/Park Furn | Waste Receptacle/ParkFurniture | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 100,000 | 100,000 |
|  | 10037407 | RP McLaren Vis. Ave. Corridor | Budget | CP R\&P Capital Impvt-Sta | 207,000 | 0 |
|  | 10037408 | RP McLaren Neighborhood Connec | Budget | CP R\&P Capital Impvt-Oth | 130,000 | 0 |
|  | 10037667 | RP GGP Senior Center | Budget | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 550,000 | 0 |
|  | 10037713 | Stow Lake ADA Repair CR | Stow Lake ADA Repair CR |  | 900,000 | 0 |
|  | 10037749 | 9th Lincoln GGP Entrance Imprv | 9th Lincoln GGP Entrance Imprv | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 2,800,000 | 0 |
|  | 10037752 | Jackson Park Playground | Jackson Park Playground | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 5,000,000 | 0 |
| Recreation \& Park Commsn Total |  |  |  |  | 42,827,121 | 23,269,273 |
| Sheriff | 10013792 | SH Repair Of Roof On Jail Faci | County Jail | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 250,000 | 250,000 |

## CAPITAL PROJECTS

| Department | Project Code | Project Title | Activity Title | Fund Title | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2021-2022 } \\ \text { Budget } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2022-2023 } \\ \text { Budget } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sheriff | 10013797 | Roads \& Urban Forestry | Cj 5 Road Maintenance | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 50,000 | 50,000 |
|  | 10016951 | Shf - Facilites Maintenance | Shf - Facilities Maintenance | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 517,276 | 543,140 |
|  |  |  | Shf - Jail Maintenance - Hoj | GF Annual Authority Ctrl | 168,853 | 177,295 |
|  | 10034780 | CJ2 Laundry Improvement | CJ2 Laundry Room | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 450,000 | 0 |
|  | 10037645 | CJ3 Annex - Shower Door | CJ3 Annex - Shower Door | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 223,120 | 0 |
|  | 10037714 | CJ2 Elevators CR | CJ2 Elevators CR |  | 4,000,000 | 0 |
|  | 10037715 | Fire Life Safety CR | CJ2 Fire Life Safety CR |  | 1,000,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  | CJ5 Fire Life Safety CR |  | 407,000 | 0 |
|  | 10037716 | CJ5 Sec Electronics CR | CJ5 Sec Electronics CR |  | 4,450,000 | 0 |
| Sheriff Total |  |  |  |  | 11,516,249 | 1,020,435 |
| War Memorial | 10016982 | War - Facility Maintenance | War - Facility Maintenance | SR WAR - Annual Authority Ctrl | 580,263 | 609,276 |
|  | 10037717 | Davies Hall Elevators CR | Davies Hall Elevators CR |  | 2,200,000 | 0 |
| War Memorial Total |  |  |  |  | 2,780,263 | 609,276 |
| GSA - Technology | 10033388 | DT Fiber to Public Housing | Fiber to Public Housing | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 10,000,000 | 0 |
|  | 10033389 | DT VOIP Facilities Remediation | VoIP Facilities Remediation | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 600,000 | 1,000,000 |
|  | 10037678 | DT City Hall WiFi Improvements | DT City Hall WiFi Improvements | GF Continuing Authority Ctrl | 200,000 | 0 |
|  | 10037708 | Fiber Backbone CR | Fiber Backbone CR |  | 2,500,000 | 0 |
| GSA - Technology Total |  |  |  |  | 13,300,000 | 1,000,000 |
| Capital Projects Total |  |  |  |  | 441,749,039 | 275,299,522 |

## IT Projects
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# Information \& Communication Technology Projects 

## THE COMMITTEE ON INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (COIT)

Investment in information and communications technology (IT or ICT) enables the City and County to enhance city services, facilitate resident and visitor engagement, and utilize data to better inform leaders and policymakers. The City plans, funds, and coordinates IT projects through the Committee on Information Technology (COIT). COIT is responsible for advising the Mayor and Board of Supervisors (Board) on technology matters and setting overall technology direction for the City.

Every other year, COIT publishes the City's Information and Communication Technology Plan (ICT Plan) to proactively plan, fund, and implement the City's technology efforts to align with the Mayor's goals on equity and government accountability. The ICT Plan for Fiscal Years (FY) 2021-22 through 2025-26 was proposed by the Mayor and adopted by the Board in the spring of 2021. Visit the COIT website at sfcoit.org/strategy for the FY 2022-26 ICT plan.

## INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY PLAN (ICT PLAN)

The adopted Five-Year ICT Plan presents a vision of government services that are available and universally accessible in times of crisis and beyond.

This vision reflects the increased importance technology has played in a pandemic that restricts in-person interactions. The Plan outlines a path to coordinate technology investments and improve city services.

The ICT plan identifies three strategic IT goals:

- Online and Accessible City Services Residents Can Use
- City Operations that are Efficient and Cost-Effective
- IT Infrastructure You Can Trust


## FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23 Proposed ICT Budget

COIT recommends strategic investments in technology projects citywide. The FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23 Mayor's proposed budget provides $\$ 14.3$ million in funding for the following projects in FY 2021-22:

## 1. City Telecom Modernization

Phone systems across the City are relying on aging technology and require replacement. The City will convert more than 35,000 phones lines from more than 100 telephone systems to VoIP.
2. Citywide Web Redesign

Following COIT's adoption of the City's Digital Services Strategy, the Digital Services Team is redesigning the City's main website, sf.gov. The project will develop more online services, making it easier for residents to access city services and easier for staff to support.
3. Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD) Replacement The City's CAD system is a tool for tracking field personnel of the City's police, fire, sheriff and other public safety agencies. The current system was purchased in 2001 and is at end-of-life.
4. Hiring Modernization

The Department of Human Resources is modernizing its hiring practices by using an integrated and modular approach to acquiring a new applicant tracking system. Through this project, the City will build intuitive, userfriendly tools that help candidates find the right opportunities in government, and help managers and HR professionals hire the right talent while staying true to the merit-based system.
5. JUSTIS and Mainframe Retirement

The San Francisco Superior Court is moving from the mainframe Court Management System to Thomson Reuters CTRAK case management system for criminal cases. To continue essential criminal justice operations, the City must remove dependencies on the mainframe and replicate functionality within the JUSTIS Hub.

## 6. Network Modernization

As a foundational system, all city departments rely on the City's fiber network to support their critical systems and applications. The proposed budget includes funding to support the continued work of the Department of

Technology to redesign the network with the goal of making it more efficient and resilient.

## 7. Public Safety and Public Service Radio Replacement

The Public Safety and Public Service Radio Replacement Project will upgrade the citywide radio communications system used primarily by the City's public safety agencies. The new technology will support over 9,000 mobile and handheld radios, with ten city departments and four outside agencies operating daily on the system.
8. Replacement of the Property Assessment and Tax System
The Assessor, Treasurer-Tax Collector, and Controller are replacing the property tax system in order to better support document capture, reporting, storage maintenance, conversion migration services, and management.
9. SF Budget, Performance Measurement, Projections \& Reporting Project
The City's budget system is end-of-life and requires replacement. A modern, fully supported system will improve resilience and ensure system performance during the budget process in order to meet the legal requirement to produce budget and salary ordinances.

## 10. SF Cloud Expansion

The City uses four central data centers to support daily operations. The Department of Technology seeks to enhance the provisioning and functionality of Infrastructure-as-a-Service (IaaS) and Platform-as-a-Service (PaaS) services for city departments. In the next year, the City will optimize data center space and on-premise cloud infrastructure, and investigate the adoption of a public cloud provider.
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# Additional Budgetary Resources 

The Mayor's proposed Fiscal Years (FY) 2021-22 and 2022-23 budget for the City and County of San Francisco (the City), published on June 1, is one of several financial documents that can be a resource to the public. Other sources of financial information include:

## Consolidated Budget and Appropriation Ordinance, FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23

The Consolidated Budget and Appropriation Ordinance (BAO) contains the City's sources of funds and their uses, detailed by department. This document provides the legal authority for the City to spend funds during the fiscal year. The BAO is released annually with the Board's passage and the Mayor's signing of the final budgets. An interim BAO is passed by a continuing resolution of the Board and provides the City's interim operating budget between the end of the fiscal year on June 30 and when the final budget is passed.

## Annual Salary Ordinance, FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23

The Annual Salary Ordinance (ASO) is the legal document that authorizes the number of positions and job classifications in departments for the budgeted fiscal years. The ASO is passed at the same time as the BAO.

## Comprehensive Annual Financial Report

The City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) summarizes the performance of all revenue sources and accounts for total expenditures in any given fiscal year. The CAFR for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2020 is currently available. The FY 2020-21 CAFR will be made available by the Controller after the fiscal year has closed and the City's financial reports have been reviewed and certified.

## Five-Year Financial Plan and Joint Report

The City's Five-Year Financial Plan forecasts expenditures and revenues during the five-year period, proposes actions to balance revenues and expenditures during each year of the plan, and discusses strategic goals and corresponding resources for city departments. The Plan is published each odd calendar year by the Controller's Office, the Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance, and the Board of Supervisors' Budget and Legislative Analyst. In even calendar years, the Five-Year Financial Plan Update, commonly known as the Joint Report, is issued.

# Obtaining Budget Documents and Resources 

Copies of these documents are distributed to the SFPL Main Library. They may also be viewed online at the City's web site (www.sfgov.org) and at the following City Hall locations:

## Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 288
Phone: (415) 554-6114
sfmayor.org/budget

## Controller's Office

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 316
Phone: (415) 554-7500
sfcontroller.org

## Clerk of The Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
Phone: (415) 554-5184
sfbos.org
For more information regarding San Francisco's budget, finance, and performance measurements, please visit the web sites below.

## SF Performance Scorecards

Regularly-updated information on the efficiency and effectiveness of San Francisco government in eight highlighted service areas, including livability, public health, safety net, public safety, transportation, environment, economy, and finance.
sfgov.org/scorecards

## SF Open Book

A clear look at San Francisco's fiscal and economic health.
openbook.sfgov.org

## SF Open Data

The central clearinghouse for data published by the City and County of San Francisco. data.sfgov.org

# Commonly Used Terms 

ACCRUAL BASIS ACCOUNTING - An accounting methodology that recognizes revenues or expenditures when services are provided.

```
AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN ACT OF 2021
(ARPA) - A COVID-19 stimulus package that is \(\$ 1.9\) trillion for economic relief.
```

ANNUALIZATION - Adjusting a partial year revenue or expense to reflect a full year's worth of income or spending.
APPROPRIATION - Legislative designation of money to a department, program, or project for a particular use, including operations, personnel, or equipment.
ATTRITION SAVINGS - Salary savings that result when positions at a department are vacant.

BALANCED BUDGET - A budget in which revenues equal expenditures, with no deficit.
BALANCING - Process of making revenues match expenditures within each departmental budget and within the City budget as a whole.
BASE BUDGET - The budget predicated on maintaining the existing level of services and operations. The City and County of San Francisco require two-year budgeting annually, and the second year of the budget becomes the base budget for the following budget cycle.
BASELINE - (1) The annualized budget for the current fiscal year, which serves as the starting point for preparing the next fiscal year's budget. (2) A required minimum of spending for a specific purpose.
BOND - A debt investment in which an investor loans money to an entity that borrows the funds for a defined period of time at a fixed interest rate. Bonds are used by companies and governments to finance a variety of projects and activities.

## BUDGET AND APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE (BAO) - The legislation that enacts the annual two-year budget. Formerly the Annual Appropriation Ordinance (AAO).

BUDGET CYCLE - The period of time in which the City's financial plan for the upcoming fiscal year is developed; submitted to, reviewed, and enacted by the Board of Supervisors and signed by the Mayor; and implemented by city departments.
CAPITAL BUDGET - Funds to acquire land, plan and construct new buildings, expand or modify existing buildings, and/or purchase equipment related to such construction.

CAPITAL EXPENDITURE - Expenditures creating future benefits, used to acquire or upgrade physical assets such as equipment or property.
CARRYFORWARD - Funds remaining unspent at year-end that a department requests permission to spend during the following fiscal year. Some funds carry forward automatically at year-end.
CASH BASIS ACCOUNTING - An accounting methodology that recognizes revenues and expenditures when payments are actually made.

COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT (CAFR) - The City's Annual Financial Report, which summarizes the performance of all revenue sources and accounts for total expenditures in the prior fiscal year.
CORONAVIRUS (COVID-19) - An illness caused by a virus that can spread from person to person that has created a global pandemic.

CORONAVIRUS AID, RELIEF, AND ECONOMIC SECURITY ACT (CARES ACT) - A $\$ 2.2$ trillion economic stimulus bill in response to the economic fallout of the COVID-19 pandemic.

## COST-OF-LIVING ADJUSTMENT (COLA) - A

regularly scheduled adjustment to salaries, aid payments, or other types of expenditures to reflect the cost of inflation.

## COUNTY-WIDE COST ALLOCATION PLAN

 (COWCAP) - The County-Wide Cost Allocation Plan is developed annually by the Controller's Office and calculates the overhead rate charged to each department for its share of citywide overhead costs, such as payroll, accounting, and operations.DEFICIT - An excess of expenditures over revenues.
ENTERPRISE DEPARTMENT - A department that does not require a General Fund subsidy because it generates its own revenues by charging fees for services.

FIDUCIARY FUND - Used to account for assets held in trust by the government for the benefit of individuals or other entities. Government employee pension funds are an example of a fiduciary fund. Fiduciary funds are one of the three broad types of government funds, the other two being governmental and proprietary funds.

FISCAL YEAR - The twelve-month budget cycle. San Francisco's fiscal year runs from July 1st to June 30th.

FRINGE - The dollar value of employee benefits such as health and dental, which varies from position to position.
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) - One or more employees who cumulatively work 40 hours/week.

FUND - Government budgets are made up of funds that organize and account for specific resources. Each fund is considered a separate accounting entity.

FUND BALANCE - The amount of funding that remains in a given fund at the end of the fiscal year.

GENERAL FUND - The largest of the City's funds, the General Fund is a source for discretionary spending and funds many of the basic municipal services such as public safety, health and human services, and public works. Primary revenue sources include local taxes such as property, sales, payroll, and other taxes.

GENERAL FUND DEPARTMENT - A department that receives an annual appropriation from the City's General Fund.

GOVERNMENTAL FUND - The City's basic operating fund, includes the General Fund and Capital projects. One of the three broad types of government funds, the other two being the fiduciary fund and the proprietary fund.

INTERIM BUDGET - The citywide budget that is in effect for the first two months of the fiscal year, during the lag period between July 1-the date on which the Board of Supervisors must technically submit its budget-until mid-August when the new budget is signed into effect by the Mayor. The Mayor's proposed budget serves as the interim budget.
MAJOR AND PROPRIETARY FUND - Used to account for a government's on-going activities and operations, the proprietary fund includes enterprise funds (which account for activities in which a fee is charged to external user) and internal service funds (used for services provided to other funds or departments). One of the three broad types of government funds, the other two being the fiduciary fund and the governmental fund.

MAYOR'S PROPOSED BUDGET - The citywide budget submitted to the Board of Supervisors by the Mayor's Office, by May 1 for selected Enterprise and other departments and June 1 for all remaining departments, that makes recommendations and estimates for the City's financial operations for the ensuing fiscal year.

## MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING (MOU)

- A binding agreement between two parties.

ORDINANCE - A proposed or enacted law. Typically prepared by the City Attorney.

## RAINY DAY CITY AND SCHOOL RESERVES

- Funds that are legally set-aside by the City Charter, Section 9.113.5, with the intent of protecting the City from being negatively impacted by the economy's boom-bust cycle. Generally, the Rainy Day Reserve requires that money be saved when revenue growth exceeds a certain level (in good economic times) in order to create a cushion during economic downturns. Pursuant to Proposition C, approved by San Francisco voters in November of 2014, the original Rainy Day Reserve was split into two separate reserves-the City Reserve for use by the City and the School Reserve for use by the San Francisco Unified School District.

RESOLUTION - A type of legislation. Typically prepared by the sponsoring department or a member of the Board of Supervisors and generally directed internally.

REVISED BUDGET - The department's budget at the end of the fiscal year. Over the course of the fiscal year, the department's original budget may be amended to reflect supplemental appropriations, and receipt of unbudgeted grants.

SALARY ORDINANCE - The legislation that grants departments the authority to fill a specified number of positions during the fiscal year. Note that this is not the same as having the funding to fill that number of positions. Formerly the Annual Salary Ordinance (ASO). This legislation is passed at the same time as the Budget and Appropriation Ordinance.

SPECIAL FUND - Any fund other than the General Fund. Revenues in special funds are nondiscretionary.

SURPLUS - An excess of revenue over expenditures.

TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENT - Changes made by the Mayor's Office to the Mayor's proposed budget after it has been submitted to the Board of Supervisors.

TWO-YEAR BUDGETING - The citywide process (beginning Fiscal Year 2012-13) of budgeting each year for the next two fiscal years.


LONDON N. BREED
MAYOR

To: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
From: Ashley Groffenberger, Mayor’s Budget Director
Date: June 1, 2021
Re: $\quad$ Notice of Transfer of Functions under Charter Section 4.132
Dear Madam Clerk,
This memorandum constitutes notice to the Board of Supervisors under Charter Section 4.132 of transfers of functions between departments within the Executive Branch. All positions are regular positions unless otherwise specified. The positions include the following:

- 11 positions (1.0 FTE 0923 Manager II, 1.0 FTE 0933 Manager V, 1.0 FTE 0943 Manager VIII, 1.0 FTE 1446 Secretary II, 1.0 FTE 2320 Registered Nurse, 4.0 FTE 2533 Emergency Medical Services Agency Specialist, 1.0 FTE 2593 Health Program Coordinator III, 1.0 FTE 8601 Emergency Services Coordinator I) to be transferred from the Department of Public Health to the Department of Emergency Management in order to move the management of the Emergency Medical Services Agency (EMSA).

If you have any questions please feel free to contact my office.

Sincerely,

Ashley Groffenberger
Mayor’s Budget Director
cc: Members of the Budget and Finance Committee
Budget \& Legislative Analyst’s Office
Controller

To: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
From: Ashley Groffenberger, Mayor's Budget Director
Date: June 1, 2021
Re: Mayor's FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23 Budget Submission
Madam Clerk,
In accordance with City and County of San Francisco Charter, Article IX, Section 9.100, the Mayor's Office hereby submits the Mayor's proposed budget by June $1^{\text {st }}$, corresponding legislation, and related materials for Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 and FY 2022-23.

In addition to the Mayor's Proposed FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23 Budget Book, the following items are included in the Mayor's submission:

- The Annual Appropriation Ordinance and Annual Salary Ordinance, along with Administrative Provisions, physical copies of which will be delivered by the Controller's Office
- The proposed budget for the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure for FY 2021-22
- 22 separate pieces of trailing legislation (see list attached)
- A Transfer of Function letter detailing the transfer of positions from one City department to another
- An Interim Exception letter
- A letter addressing funding levels for nonprofit corporations or public entities for the coming two fiscal years
- Memo to the Board President requesting for 30-day rule waivers on ordinances
- Request for release of Budget and Appropriations Committee Reserve

Please note the following:

- Technical adjustments to the budget are being prepared, but are not submitted with this set of materials.

Sincerely,

Ashley Groffenberger
Mayor's Budget Director

## cc: Members of the Board of Supervisors <br> Budget \& Legislative Analyst’s Office <br> Controller

| DEPT | Item | Relevance to Budget | Type of Legislation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADM | Critical Repair/Recovery Stimulus COPs | Authorizes COPs to finance or refinance the capital plan in the budget. | Ordinance |
| CON | Prop J Certification - new | Costs related to Prop J services assumed in budget. | Resolution |
| CON | Prop J Certification - previously approved | Costs related to Prop J services assumed in budget. | Resolution |
| CON | Access Line Tax | Sets Access Line Tax. Revenues assumed in budget. | Resolution |
| CON | Neighborhood Beautification Fund | Neighborhood Beautification Fund contribution levels assumed in budget. | Ordinance |
| CON | Supplemental Enterprise Budget - AAO | Amendment to the AAO for the Airport, Port, and the PUC | Ordinance |
| CON | Supplemental Enterprise Budget - ASO | Amendment to the ASO for the Airport, Port, and the PUC | Ordinance |
| DPH | Annual Update to Patient Rates | Fee revenue assumed in budget. | Ordinance |
| DPH | Recurring State Grants | Grant revenue assumed in budget. | Resolution |
| DPH | DPH City Option Payouts | Approves the execution of a payment agreement assumed in budget. | Resolution |
| DPH | Emergency Medical Services Fee Transfer of Function | Transfer of function for positions reflected in the budget | Ordinance |
| HSH | Annual HSH Fund Expenditures | Expenditure plan assumed in budget. | Resolution |
| LIB | In-Kind Grant of Friends of San Francisco Public Library | Grant assumed in budget. | Resolution |
| LIB | In-Kind Grant of Friends of San Francisco Public Library Mission Branch | Grant assumed in budget. | Resolution |
| PUC | Hetch Hetchy Capital Budget | Appropriates funds to support PUC Hetch Hetchy capital budget expenditures. | Ordinance |
| PUC | Wastewater Capital Budget | Appropriates funds to support PUC Wastewater Enterprise capital budget expenditures. | Ordinance |
| PUC | Hetch Hetchy Debt Authorization | Authorizes bond issuance to finance Hetch Hetchy capital projects. | Ordinance |
| PUC | Wastewater Debt Authorization | Authorizes bond issuance to finance Wastewater capital projects. | Ordinance |
| REC | Continuing Flexible Pricing Model for REC Owned Gardens | Continues current pricing model for RECowned gardens-- revenues reflected in budget. | Ordinance |
| REC | Parking Rates and Codes Revision | Authorizes SFMTA to implement paid parking and revise existing rates at certain parking lots-revenues reflected in budget. | Ordinance |
| RNT | Direct Administration of the Rent Board Fee | Legislation that allows the Rent Board to directly collect the annual fee on rent-controlled units-- revenues reflected in budget. | Ordinance |
| TTX | Street Artist Fee | Lowers the fee of a street artist certificaterevenues reflected in budget. | Ordinance |

> | To: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors |
| :--- |
| From: Ashley Groffenberger, Mayor's Budget Director |
| Date: June 1, 2021 |
| Re: Interim Exceptions to the Annual Salary Ordinance and Annual Appropriations Ordinance |
|  |
| Dear Madam Clerk, |
| I herein present exceptions to the Annual Salary Ordinance (ASO) and Annual Appropriations |
| Ordinance (AAO) for consideration by the Budget and Finance Committee of the Board of |
| Supervisors. The City's standard practice is to budget new positions beginning in pay period 7, at |
| 0.77 FTE and to hold funding for new initiatives until the budget is signed on August 1. Where |
| there is justification for expedited hiring and spending, however, the Board may authorize |
| exceptions to the Interim ASO and AAO, which allow new positions to be filled and |
| expenditures related to new initiatives to begin in the first quarter of the fiscal year, prior to final |
| adoption of the budget. |

Exceptions are being requested for the following positions and non-personnel expenditures:

## General Fund Positions (61.0 FTE)

## - Department of Public Health (22.0 FTE)

1054 Principal IS-Business Analyst (4.0 FTE); 1070 IS Project Director (1.0 FTE); 1204 Senior Personnel Clerk (2.0 FTE); 1241 Human Resources Analyst (2.0 FTE); 1244 Senior Human Resource Analyst (1.0 FTE); 1636 Health Care Billing Clerk II (1.0 FTE); 1652 Accountant II (1.0 FTE); 1654 Accountant III (1.0 FTE); 1820 Junior Administrative Analyst (1.0 FTE); 1822 Administrative Analyst (1.0 FTE); 1823 Senior Administrative Analyst (3.0); 1844 Senior Management Assistant (1.0 FTE); 2119 Health Care Analyst (1.0 FTE); 2593 Health Program Coordinator II (1.0 FTE); Stationary Engineer (1.0 FTE). These positions were added as temporary positions when Proposition C funds were released from reserve in December 2020. These positions provide critical support to implement initiatives funded as part of the Our City Our Home initiative.

## - Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (36.0 FTE)

0923 Manger II (1.0 FTE); 0933 Manager V (1.0 FTE); 0954 Deputy Director IV (1.0 FTE); 0953 Deputy Director III (1.0 FTE); 1092 IT Operations Support Administrator II (1.0 FTE); 1241 Human Resources Analyst (1.0 FTE); 1312 Public Information Officer (1.0 FTE); 1314 Public Relations Officer (1.0 FTE); 1454 Executive Secretary III (1.0 FTE); 1654 Accountant III (1.0 FTE); 1657 Accountant IV (1.0 FTE); 1820 Junior Administrative Analyst (1.0 FTE); 1822 Administrative Analyst (5.0 FTE); 1823 Senior Administrative Analyst (6.0 FTE); 1824 Principal Administrative Analyst (6.0 FTE); 2917 Program Support Analyst (6.0 FTE); 7524 Institution Utility Worker (1.0 FTE): These positions were added as temporary positions when Proposition C funds were released from reserve in December 2020. These positions provide critical support to implement initiatives funded as part of the Our City Our Home initiative.

[^28]- Public Defender (3.0 FTE) 8142 Public Defender's Investigator (1.0 FTE); 8177 Attorney, Civil/Criminal (2.0 FTE): The positions support the continuation of the Public Defender's jail diversion pilot started in FY 2017-18, adding the Pretrial Release Unit to the budget on an ongoing basis. These roles are currently filled by staff on expiring requisitions.


## Non-General Fund Positions (60.4 FTE)

- Department of Public Health (59.4 FTE)

1314 Public Relations Officer (1.0 FTE); 1824 Principal Administrative Analyst (1.0 FTE); 2119 Health Care Analyst (2.0 FTE); 2230 Physician Specialist (1.0 FTE); 2320 Registered Nurse (10.1 FTE); 2322 Nurse Manager (1.0 FTE); 2328 Nurse Practitioner (1.5 FTE); 2409 Pharmacy Technician (5.0 FTE); 2450 Pharmacist ( 6.5 FTE); 2454 Clinical Pharmacist (3.3 FTE); 2586 Health Worker II (4.0 FTE); 2587 Health Worker III (6.0 FTE); 2593 Health Program Coordinator II (5.0 FTE); 2802 Epidemiologist I (1.0 FTE); 2820 Senior Health Program Planner (1.0 FTE); 2903 Hospital Eligibility Worker (1.0 FTE); 2908 Senior Hospital Eligibility Worker (3.0 FTE); 2930 Behavioral Health Clinician (3.0 FTE); 2932 Senior Behavioral Health Clinician (3.0 FTE): These positions were added as temporary positions when Proposition C funds were released from reserve in December 2020. These positions provide critical support to implement initiatives funded as part of the Our City Our Home initiative.

- Office of the Treasurer-Tax Collector (1.0 FTE) 1822 Administrative Analyst (1.0 FTE): This position supports the continuation of the Treasurer's Financial Justice Project and is grant-funded. The currently filled grant-funded position is expiring and will be supported by a new grant at the beginning of FY 2021-22.


## General Fund Non-personnel Expenditures

- Department of Public Health $(\$ 41,368,398)$

A portion of appropriated FY 2020-21 Our City Our Home funding was released from reserve in December 2020 to allow programming to begin during the current Fiscal Year. For continuity of service, funding for continuing these initiatives will begin at the start of the new Fiscal Year.

- Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing and Department of Human Services $(\$ 46,329,686)$
Shelter-in Place Hotels: The City began a Shelter-in-Place hotel program in 2020 to provide alternative shelter for people experiencing homelessness who are most vulnerable to COVID-19, and this program is expected to winddown throughout part of FY 2021-22. For continuity of service, funding for program operations, lease and winddown costs will be available at the start of the new Fiscal Year.
- Office of Workforce and Economic Development $(\$ 2,458,333)$

To immediately expand existing community benefit district community ambassador programs and activations to spur economic recovery in key areas including: Mid-Market, the Tenderloin, UN Plaza, downtown area transit hubs, and tourist destinations.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions regarding the requested interim exceptions to the Annual Salary Ordinance and Annual Appropriations Ordinance.

Sincerely,

Ashley Groffenberger
Mayor's Budget Director
cc: Members of the Budget and Finance Committee
Budget \& Legislative Analyst’s Office
Controller

To: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
From: Ashley Groffenberger, Mayor’s Budget Director
Date: June 1, 2021
Re: Minimum Compensation Ordinance and the Mayor’s FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23
Proposed Budget
Madam Clerk,
Pursuant to San Francisco Administrative Code, SEC 12P.3, the minimum compensation for nonprofit corporations will be $\$ 17.34$ and will be $\$ 18.00$ for public entities as of July 1, 2021. This letter provides notice to the Board of Supervisors that the Mayor's Proposed Budget for Fiscal Years (FY) FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23 contains funding to support minimum compensation wage levels for nonprofit corporations and public entities in FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23.

If you have any questions, please contact my office.

Sincerely,

Ashley Groffenberger
Mayor’s Budget Director
cc: Members of the Board of Supervisors
Budget \& Legislative Analyst’s Office
Controller

TO: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
FROM: Sophia Kittler
RE: 30-Day Waiver Requests
DATE: June 1,2021

## Madam Clerk,

The Mayor's Office respectfully requests 30-day hold waivers for the following pieces of trailing legislation:

- FY2021-2022 \& FY2022-2023 Mayor's Proposed Budget and Appropriation Ordinance
- FY2021-2022 \& FY2022-2023 Mayor's Proposed Interim Budget and Appropriation Ordinance
- FY2021-2022 \& FY2022-2023 Mayor's Proposed Salary Ordinance
- FY2021-2022 \& FY2022-2023 Mayor's Proposed Interim Salary Ordinance
- Health Code - Patient Rates for Fiscal Years 2020-2021, 2021-2022, and 20222023
- Authorizing Tax-Exempt and/or Taxable Certificates of Participation (Multiple Capital Improvement Projects) - Not to Exceed \$67,500,000
- Appropriation - $\$ 19,344,824$ in Proceeds from Revenue Bonds in Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Capital Improvements - De-appropriation and Re-appropriation \$2,000,000 Low Carbon Fuel Standard Fund - FY2021-2022, De-appropriation and Re-appropriation \$6,843,536 Hetchy Revenue funds - FY2020-2021
- Appropriation - $\$ 213,511,178$ Proceeds from Revenue Bonds, State loans or grants, Wastewater Revenues, De-appropriating and Re-appropriating $\$ 42,900,587$ for Wastewater Capital Improvements at the Public Utilities Commission - FY2021-2022
- Amending Ordinance 172-20---SFPUC Power Revenue Bond Issuance----Not to Exceed [\$162,314,897]
- San Francisco Public Utilities Commission Wastewater Revenue Bond Issuance----Not to Exceed \$[563,430,430]
- Park Code - Non-Resident Fees at Certain Specialty Attractions
- Park Code - Parking Fees
- Police Code - Street Artist Certificate Fee
- Administrative Code - Collection of Rent Board Fee
- Neighborhood Beautification and Graffiti Clean-up Fund Tax Designation Ceiling
- Business and Tax Regulations Code - Emergency Medical Services Fees

Should you have any questions, please contact Sophia Kittler at 415-554-6153.

President, District 10 BOARD of SUPERVISORS

## City Hall

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-6516
Fax No. 554-7674
TDD/TTY No. 544-6546

## Shamann Walton

## PRESIDENTIAL ACTION

## Date: $\quad 6 / 3 / 2021$

To: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
Madam Clerk,
Pursuant to Board Rules, I am hereby:
Q Waiving 30-Day Rule (Board Rule No. 3.23)
File No.
210643
$\frac{\text { Mayor }}{\text { (Primary Sponsor) }}$

Title. Budget and Appropriation Ordinance for Departments - FYs 2021-2022 and 2022-2023
$\square$ Transferring (Board Rule № 3.3)
File No.
(Primary Sponsor)
Title.

From: $\qquad$ Committee
To: Committee
$\square$ Assigning Temporary Committee Appointment (Board Rule No. 3.1)
Supervisor: $\qquad$ Replacing Supervisor: $\qquad$
For: $\qquad$
(Date) $\square$ Meeting
Start Time: $\qquad$ End Time: $\qquad$
Temporary Assignment: $\bigcirc$ Partial $\bigcirc$ Full Meeting


| From: | Kittler, Sophia (MYR) |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: | BOS Legislation. (BOS) |
| Cc: | Somera, Alisa (BOS); Lynn, Andrea (MYR); Peacock, Rebecca (MYR); PEARSON, ANNE (CAT); Campbell, Severin |
| Subject: | Mayor - Budget - ASO, AAO, and various letters |
| Date: | Tuesday, J une 1, 2021 4:18:08 PM |
| Attachments: | Budget Documents.zip |
|  | Submittal Letter June 1 Budqet FY 2021-22.docx |
|  | Transfer of Function Letter FY 21-22.docx |
|  | Interim Exception Letter FY 21-22.docx |
|  | MCO Letter FY 21-22.docx |
|  | OCOH Release of Reserves Request 5.27.21.docx |

Please find attached for submittal to the Board of Supervisors:

- Submittal Letter for the June 1 Budget, FY 2021-2022
- 30 Day Waiver Memo
- Interim Exception Letter, FY 21-22
- MCO Letter, FY 21-22 ("Letter addressing funding levels for CPI increases)
- Transfer of Function Letter, FY 21-22
- Request to Release Reserves, OCOH

Please find attached in a zipped file [Budget Documents]:

- Proposed (Interim) Salary Ordinance
- Proposed (Interim) Budget and Appropriation Ordinance
- Mayor's Budget Book.

These are available online here:
Mayor's Budget Book
Proposed (Interim) Budget and Appropriation Ordinance
Proposed (Interim) Salary Ordinance

Sophia Kittler
Liaison to the Board of Supervisors
Office of Mayor London N. Breed
(415) 5546153 | Sophia.kittler@sfgov.org

| From: | Somera, Alisa (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Wong, Linda (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: Fund senior \& disability rental subsidies! |
| Date: | Wednesday, J une 9, 2021 4:29:26 PM |

Alisa Somera<br>Legislative Deputy Director<br>San Francisco Board of Supervisors<br>1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244<br>San Francisco, CA 94102<br>415.554.7711 direct | 415.554.5163 fax<br>alisa.somera@sfgov.org

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a "virtual" meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click HERE to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information-including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees-may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)
Sent: Wednesday, June 9, 2021 2:45 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors [bos-supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-supervisors@sfgov.org)
Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) [angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)
[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org); Somera, Alisa (BOS) [alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org); Ng, Wilson (BOS)
[wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org](mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org); Laxamana, Junko (BOS) [junko.laxamana@sfgov.org](mailto:junko.laxamana@sfgov.org)
Subject: FW: Fund senior \& disability rental subsidies!

From: Tiffany Yu [tiffany@mydiversability.com](mailto:tiffany@mydiversability.com)
Sent: Wednesday, June 9, 2021 2:40 PM

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)
Subject: Fund senior \& disability rental subsidies!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Board of Supervisors,
Dear Supervisors,
I am a resident of East Cut and I am writing to urge you to fund rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities in the city budget for the upcoming fiscal year.

I am part of the senior and disability communities and I see the great need for housing that we can afford. What is called "affordable" housing in the city is not affordable for those of us living on Social Security, SSI, or other extremely low incomes.

Portable rental subsidies and Senior Operating Subsidies have made it possible for hundreds of seniors and people with disabilities to stay in their homes, but thousands more see our rents rising but our incomes staying the same.

You have the chance to expand subsidies and keep us in our homes and off the streets! Please include in the upcoming budget an additional $\$ 3$ million for portable rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities and an additional $\$ 3$ million for Senior Operating Subsidies.

Our communities are counting on you.
Thank you!
Tiffany Yu
tiffany@mydiversability.com
50 Lansing Street
San Francisco, California 94105

| From: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | $\underline{\text { BOS-Supervisors }}$ |
| Cc: | $\frac{\text { Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); }}{} \quad \underline{\text { Wong, Linda (BOS) Wilson (BOS); Laxamana, Junko (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); }}$ |
| Subject: | FW: Restore the Promise of the Dignity Fund - \$3 million still missing |
| Date: | Wednesday, June 9, 2021 3:26:18 PM |

From: Marie Jobling [marie@sfcommunityliving.org](mailto:marie@sfcommunityliving.org)
Sent: Wednesday, June 9, 2021 9:14 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)
Subject: Restore the Promise of the Dignity Fund - $\$ 3$ million still missing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Mayor's Budget does not restore this year's $\$ 3$ million to the Dignity Fund, despite a healthy budget. We look to you to help assure that services and supports for older adults and people with disabilities are in place to meet the needs, made worse by the pandemic. See below for additional signers.

This fiscal year, the $\$ 3$ million contribution to the Dignity Fund at the Department of Disability and Aging was suspended - in what was a most difficult year for older adults and adults with disabilities due to the pandemic. We could have really used these funds as service providers had to provide a higher level of services and provide them remotely keeping participants and staff safe.

Next year will be twice as challenging as we have to begin to open in person services safely AND continue to provide remote service for those who continue to feel unsafe. What is being called a hybrid model is really two tracks of services requiring significantly more staffing and support.

Now that the City's finances are much better, we urge you to restore the $\$ 3$ million promised for this year as part of the 2020-2021 budget as we struggle to serve the more than $20 \%$ of the City's residents who are older adults and adults with disabilities (most of whom are low income and living on fixed incomes.)

## See original letter with additional signers below.

Restore the Promise of the Dignity Fund

In November 2016, the citizens of San Francisco voted on Proposition I and overwhelmingly approved it, thereby establishing the Dignity Fund. It guarantees funding for expansion of supportive services to assist Older Adults (60+ years old, $21 \%$ of SF's populations) and adults with disabilities (18-59 years old) age with dignity in places they call home.

Since 2017, the Dignity Fund has expanded much needed services in areas of support to older adults, adults with disabilities, including targeted services to communities of color, veterans, LGBTQ individuals, and neighborhoods that have historically been left behind. Click here for a summary of the focused, high impact programming that the Dignity Fund made possible.

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the City was anticipating a budget shortfall and with uncertainty about the future, suspended the $\$ 3$ million annual contribution to the Dignity Fund. When the legislation for the fund was being drafted, the Mayor and the City's Controller insisted on including language which dictated this type of suspension when the City was facing a significant shortfall.

However, the City's fortunes greatly improved during the current fiscal year (FY 20202021) with an expected $\$ 125.2$ million General Fund balance (Controller's Office 6-month Budget Status Report). Expected allocations from the state and federal government have added to funding streams and will likely continue to off-set losses. In the end, there is no excuse to withhold the promised contributions to the Dignity Fund this year and there certainly should not be next year!

We need your support to restore the annual contribution of $\$ 3$ million to the Dignity Fund in the upcoming budget! The pandemic has disproportionately affected older adults and people with disabilities, literally being trapped in their homes for a year, isolated, unable to access regular health care or transportation to medical appointments, increased food insecurity, and more, all of which has been exasperated by the digital divide. We ask that you hear our voice. Don't leave out in the cold some of their most vulnerable constituents and ensure continuity of programs and services that enable them to age with Dignity.

Restore this year's annual \$3 million allocation to the Department of Disability and Aging Services (DAS), which administers the funds and ensures delivery of critical services!

## Fiona Hinze and Marie Jobling, Dignity Fund Co-chairs

## Addition Co-signers

Dr. Marcy Adelman
The Arc San Francisco
Asian Pacific Islander Legal Outreach
Duff Axsom Nonprofit Consulting
Adele Bookman
Amy Brokering

```
Bayview Hunters Point Multipurpose Senior Services Inc
Brenda Billings
Kathy Cady
Amber Carroll - Covia Front Porch Partner
Anna Chou
Coalition of Agencies Serving the Elderly (CASE)
Community Living Campaign
Covia
Curry Senior Center
Joan Downey
Family Caregiver Alliance
Felton Institute
Adrian Gaino
Golden Gate Senior Services
Judy Goddess, Senior Beat
Corinne Goodrich
Margaret Graf, Senior Power
ML Holmstadt
HP Uniti
Judith Janec
Janis Kaempfe
Diane Lawrence, DAS Advisory Council
LeadingAge California
Deni Leonard
Herb Levine
Elinore Lurie, DAS Advisory Council
Ashley C. McCumber
Therese McGlone
Meals on Wheels San Francisco
Michelle Minolli
Charles Minster
Sandy Mori, retired
National Coalition of 100 Black Women
Karen Nemsick
Anne-Marie Nowak
Older Women's League, SF
Jeannee Parker Martin, LeadingAge California
Fabian Pascal
Barbara Patton
Susan Poor Consulting
Richmond Senior Center
Ralph Roullard
Senior and Disability Action
Linda Silver
Cary Sweeney
Swords to Plowshares
Betty Traynor
Katie Wade
Noah Weaker
Ray Xu
```

Marie Jobling
www.sfcommunityliving.org
office 415-821-1003 ex 101
cell 415-640-8239


| From: | Somera, Alisa (BOS) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Wong, Linda (BOS) |
| Subject: | FW: Fund senior \& disability rental subsidies! |
| Date: | Wednesday, J une 9, 2021 12:15:34 PM |

File

## Alisa Somera

Legislative Deputy Director
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
415.554.7711 direct | 415.554.5163 fax
alisa.somera@sfgov.org
(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a "virtual" meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click HERE to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information-including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees-may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)
Sent: Wednesday, June 9, 2021 12:11 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors [bos-supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-supervisors@sfgov.org)
Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) [angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)
[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org); Somera, Alisa (BOS) [alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org); Ng, Wilson (BOS)
[wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org](mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org); Laxamana, Junko (BOS) [junko.laxamana@sfgov.org](mailto:junko.laxamana@sfgov.org)
Subject: FW: Fund senior \& disability rental subsidies!

From: Sage Phoenix [info@email.actionnetwork.org](mailto:info@email.actionnetwork.org)
Sent: Tuesday, June 8, 2021 7:04 PM

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)
Subject: Fund senior \& disability rental subsidies!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Board of Supervisors,
Dear Supervisors,
I am a resident of San Francisco District $\qquad$ and I am writing to urge you to fund rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities in the city budget for the upcoming fiscal year.

I am part of the senior and disability communities and I see the great need for housing that we can afford. What is called "affordable" housing in the city is not affordable for those of us living on Social Security, SSI, or other extremely low incomes.

Portable rental subsidies and Senior Operating Subsidies have made it possible for hundreds of seniors and people with disabilities to stay in their homes, but thousands more see our rents rising but our incomes staying the same.

You have the chance to expand subsidies and keep us in our homes and off the streets! Please include in the upcoming budget an additional $\$ 3$ million for portable rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities and an additional $\$ 3$ million for Senior Operating Subsidies.

Our communities are counting on you.
Thank you!
Sage Phoenix
sagepho@gmail.com
34 Turk St apt 251
san francisco, California 94102

| From: | Shavonne Wong |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | Fund senior \& disability rental subsidies! |
| Date: | Friday, June 4, 2021 2:03:41 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Board of Supervisors,

Dear Supervisors,
I am a resident of San Francisco District __6_ and I am writing to urge you to fund rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities in the city budget for the upcoming fiscal year.

I am part of the senior and disability communities and I see the great need for housing that we can afford. What is called "affordable" housing in the city is not affordable for those of us living on Social Security, SSI, or other extremely low incomes.

Portable rental subsidies and Senior Operating Subsidies have made it possible for hundreds of seniors and people with disabilities to stay in their homes, but thousands more see our rents rising but our incomes staying the same.

You have the chance to expand subsidies and keep us in our homes and off the streets! Please include in the upcoming budget an additional $\$ 3$ million for portable rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities and an additional $\$ 3$ million for Senior Operating Subsidies.

Our communities are counting on you.
Shavonne Wong
Thank you!
Shavonne Wong
shavonnewong2@gmail.com
34 Turk St, APT 632
San Francisco, California 94102

| From: | Suzanne Lee |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | Fund senior \& disability rental subsidies! |
| Date: | Friday, June 4, 2021 2:09:41 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Board of Supervisors,

Dear Supervisors,
I am a resident of San Francisco District __6_ and I am writing to urge you to fund rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities in the city budget for the upcoming fiscal year.

I am part of the senior and disability communities and I see the great need for housing that we can afford. What is called "affordable" housing in the city is not affordable for those of us living on Social Security, SSI, or other extremely low incomes.

Portable rental subsidies and Senior Operating Subsidies have made it possible for hundreds of seniors and people with disabilities to stay in their homes, but thousands more see our rents rising but our incomes staying the same.

You have the chance to expand subsidies and keep us in our homes and off the streets! Please include in the upcoming budget an additional $\$ 3$ million for portable rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities and an additional $\$ 3$ million for Senior Operating Subsidies.

Our communities are counting on you.
Thank you!
Suzanne Lee
osussana@gmail.com
953 Mission St 10
San Francisco , California 94103-2975

| From: | Peter Estes |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Board of Supervisors, (BOS) |
| Subject: | Fund senior \& disability rental subsidies! |
| Date: | Thursday, J une 3, 2021 1:20:10 PM |

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Board of Supervisors,

Dear Supervisors,
I am a resident of San Francisco District 8 and I am writing to urge you to fund rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities in the city budget for the upcoming fiscal year.

I see the great need for housing that low-income seniors and people with disabilities can afford. What is called "affordable" housing in the city is not affordable for those of us living on Social Security, SSI, or other extremely low incomes.

Portable rental subsidies and Senior Operating Subsidies have made it possible for hundreds of seniors and people with disabilities to stay in their homes, but thousands more see our rents rising but our incomes staying the same.

You have the chance to expand subsidies and keep us in our homes and off the streets! Please include in the upcoming budget an additional $\$ 3$ million for portable rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities and an additional $\$ 3$ million for Senior Operating Subsidies.

Our communities are counting on you.
Thank you!
Peter Estes
peter@sdaction.org
109 Caselli Avenue
San Francisco, California 94114


[^0]:    * The table above reflects preliminary Fiscal Year 2022-23 appropriations for the Airport Commission, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, Municipal Transportation Agency, and the Port Commission.

[^1]:    * The table above reflects preliminary Fiscal Year 2022-23 appropriations for the Airport Commission, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, Municipal Transportation Agency, and the Port Commission.

[^2]:    * The table above reflects preliminary Fiscal Year 2022-23 appropriations for the Airport Commission, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, Municipal Transportation Agency, and the Port Commission.

[^3]:    * The table above reflects preliminary Fiscal Year 2022-23 appropriations for the Airport Commission, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, Municipal Transportation Agency, and the Port Commission.

[^4]:    Capital Lease - Emergency Back-up Generators Capital Lease - Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment Other Fiscal Charges

[^5]:    ヘ COVID-19 TESTING RATE PER 1,000 RESIDENTS IN LAST 2 MONTHS. The map
    shows testing rates by neighborhood during the previous two months. The testing data are mapped by resident address listed with each test.
    Data from March 19, 2021 to May 17, 2021

[^6]:    ヘ PERCENT OF VISITORS ADMITTED FREE.
    More than one-third of all Museum visitors were admitted free of charge in FY 2019-20 through programs such as Free Sundays.

[^7]:    ^ VALUE OF CERTIFIED ASSESSMENT
    ROLL. The Certified Assessment Roll (after nonreimbursable exemptions) value has increased each of the last ten years. In FY 2019-20, the Roll grew by 8 percent or $\$ 22$ billion.

[^8]:    へ REAL PROPERTY TRANSFER TAX
    REVENUE BY YEAR. The Real Property Transfer Tax is a volatile revenue source for the City, but years of an active real estate market have provided an average of $\$ 338$ million annually for the last five years.

[^9]:    へ AVERAGE CASELOAD. Cases managed by CSS has decreased over the last serveral years, averaging 9,753 in Federal FY 2019-20.

[^10]:    ^ YOUTH SERVED BY AGE GROUP
    (FY 2019-20). Majority of the programs funded by DCYF serve the age groun 5 to 13 , followed by the age group 14 to 17 .

[^11]:    ^ DATASF DATASETS PUBLISHED OVER TIME. DataSF published 105 COVID-19 Datasets between March 2020 and February 2021.

[^12]:    へ DATASF.ORG SESSIONS OVER TIME BY TOP FIVE COVID-19 REPORT PAGES.
    DataS.org COVID-19 Report Pages drew 2.4 million sessions between March 2020 and February 2021.

[^13]:    BUDGET USE BY EXPENDITURE TYPE.
    The majority of the Department of Technology's budget goes to support hardware and software, including assisting employees with setting up remote work environments.

[^14]:    A STAFF RESOURCE BY DIVISION. The
    Department' have staff that work on and support various technology projects and initiatives in the City, such as Public Safety, Cybersecurity, and SFGovTV.

[^15]:    ヘ FISCAL RESOURCES ALLOCATION.
    Almost half of the proposed FY 2021-22 fiscal resources are allocated to defense litigation.

[^16]:    ヘ PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL VOTERS.
    A growing number of voters in San Francisco choose to vote by mail.

[^17]:    TRANSLATED ELECTION MATERIALS.
    The Department strives to meet the needs of San Francisco's multi-cultural, multi-lingual voting population

[^18]:    へ TOTAL EFFECTIVE STAFF LEVELS.
    Due to COVID-19 related budget reductions, the Department of Emergency Management is projected to have fewer public safety dispatchers over the next couple of years.

[^19]:    ヘ SERVICE STANDARD V. AVERAGE DAILY EMERGENCY CALL VOLUME. The Department of Emergency Management has exceeded the state standard for service since July 2019.

[^20]:    ヘ PUBLIC CAMPAIGN FUNDS DISBURSED.
    The Public Campaign Funds provide partial financing for candidates for the Office of the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors. In the November 2020 election, over \$3.0 million were disbursed to eligible candidates.

[^21]:    へ FREE STUDENT MUSEUM VISITS.
    Museum visits and programming are provided free of charge at the Fine Arts Museums for thousands of local students each year.

[^22]:    percentile

[^23]:    ヘ EXITS FROM HOMELESSNESS JULY 2016-DECEMBER 2020. Since July 2016, there
    have been 8,115 exits from homelessness through placements to permanent supportive housing, rapid rehousing, and Homeward Bound.

[^24]:    ^ NUMBER OF PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING UNITS. The City continues to invest in permanent supportive housing. By the end of FY 2020-21, the City's portfolio will have 8,251 units.

[^25]:    へ 5 YEAR COMPARISON OF OPENED
    CASES. The number of cases that DPA reviews each year has steadily increasead, resulting in a 33 percent growth between 2016 and 2020.

[^26]:    COLLABORATIVE
    REFORM INITIATIVE
    RECOMMENDATIONS
    PROGRESS.
    The Police Department has been making steady progress on Deptartment of Justice recommended reforms. This graph represents the number of Collaborative Reform Initiative recommendations submitted to the consultants Hillard Heintze and/or California Department of Justice for substantial compliance, external validation, or external review.
    

[^27]:    へ LIBRARY EVENT ATTENDANCE - 5 YEAR TREND. As an indicator of SFPL's dedication to programming events that interest residents, event attendance over the last five years has remained consistent.

[^28]:    1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200
    San Francisco, California 94102-4681
    Telephone: (415) 554-6141

