

1 [Follow-Up Board Response - Civil Grand Jury Report - Office of the Assessor-Recorder:
2 Despite Progress, Still the Lowest Rated in the State]

3 **Motion responding to the Civil Grand Jury’s request to provide a status update on the**
4 **Board of Supervisors response to Recommendation No. 2 contained in the 2014-2015**
5 **Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled “Office of the Assessor-Recorder: Despite Progress,**
6 **Still the Lowest Rated in the State”;** and urging the Mayor to cause the implementation
7 **of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the**
8 **development of the annual budget.**

9
10 WHEREAS, The 2014-2015 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury published a report, entitled
11 “Office of the Assessor-Recorder: Despite Progress, Still the Lowest Rated in the State”
12 (Report) on June 8, 2015; and

13 WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors’ Government Audit and Oversight Committee
14 (GAO) conducted a public hearing to hear and respond to the Report on September 3, 2015;
15 and, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. 324-15 reflecting the GAO responses
16 to the Report on September 8, 2015; a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
17 Supervisors in File No. 150601; and

18 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 2 states: “The Office of Assessor-Recorder (OAR)
19 needs to conduct a staffing analysis and generate an aggressive written long-term plan to
20 maintain a backlog-free OAR before the end of [Calendar Year] CY2015”; and

21 WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors on September 8, 2015, responded in Resolution
22 No. 324-15 that Recommendation No. 2 “has not yet been implemented, but will be
23 implemented in the future, for reasons as follows: while this recommendation is beyond the
24 authority of the Board of Supervisors, OAR is working on finalizing a complete staffing
25 analysis and expects to be finished by the end of FY2015-2016, and the Board shall report to

1 the Civil Grand Jury on the status of this recommendation within six months from the date of
2 issuance or by December 8, 2015;” and

3 WHEREAS, the GAO conducted an additional hearing on December 3, 2015, to
4 receive an update from the OAR on Recommendation No. 2; now, therefore, be it

5 MOVED, That Recommendation No. 2 has been implemented, for reasons as follows:
6 the staffing analysis will be complete by the end of FY2015-2016; and, be it

7 FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the
8 implementation of the accepted recommendation through his/her department heads and
9 through the development of the annual budget.

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25