

File No. 240112

Committee Item No. 2

Board Item No. 8

## COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

### AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Budget and Finance Committee Date March 20, 2024

Board of Supervisors Meeting Date March 26, 2024

#### Cmte Board

- Motion
- Resolution
- Ordinance
- Legislative Digest
- Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
- Youth Commission Report
- Introduction Form
- Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
- MOU
- Grant Information Form
- Grant Budget
- Subcontract Budget
- Contract/Agreement
- Form 126 – Ethics Commission
- Award Letter
- Application
- Public Correspondence

#### OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed)

- 10-Day Fee Ad – March 10 and 17, 2024
- Assessor-Recorder Presentation 3/20/2024
- \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_

Completed by: Brent Jalipa Date March 14, 2024

Completed by: Brent Jalipa Date March 21, 2024

1 [Administrative Code - Assessor-Recorder Fees]

2

3 **Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to revise the fees for copies of certain**  
4 **documents in and services provided by the Office of the Assessor-Recorder.**

5 NOTE: **Unchanged Code text and uncodified text** are in plain Arial font.  
6 **Additions to Codes** are in *single-underline italics Times New Roman font*.  
7 **Deletions to Codes** are in ~~*strikethrough italics Times New Roman font*~~.  
8 **Board amendment additions** are in double-underlined Arial font.  
9 **Board amendment deletions** are in ~~strikethrough Arial font~~.  
10 **Asterisks (\* \* \* \*)** indicate the omission of unchanged Code  
11 subsections or parts of tables.

9

10 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

11

12 Section 1. Chapter 8 of the Administrative Code is hereby amended by revising  
13 Section 8.33.2, to read as follows:

14 **SEC. 8.33.2. COUNTY ASSESSOR-RECORDER’S FEES.**

15 *(a)* Pursuant to *California* Government Code Sections 54985 through 54987 and  
16 27366, the County Assessor-Recorder is hereby authorized to charge fees to defray the  
17 actual cost of issuance of the following documents and the provision of the following services,  
18 notwithstanding the fees otherwise set or limited by State law. Any persons requesting the  
19 following documents or services shall pay the following fees:

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	Duplicates of <del>R</del> recorded maps	
2	(first page)	\$5.00
3	(each additional page)	\$3.00
4	Copies of records on file <u>(standard)</u>	
5	<del>(per page, pages 1 through 3)</del> (first page)	<del>3.00</del> \$9.44
6	(each additional page)	<del>0.50</del> \$0.38
7	<u>Copies of records on file (self-service; electronic only)</u>	
8	<u>(each document)</u>	\$1.76
9	Certifying/conforming copies of documents <u>(per document)</u>	\$1.00
10	Microfilm of daily film of recordings (per roll)	\$25.00
11	Microfiche (per frame)	\$2.00
12	Notary Services	
13	Acknowledgment	<del>\$10.00</del> 15.00
14	Jurat	<del>\$10.00</del> 15.00

15                   **(b) All requests for copies of records on file shall be subject to the standard fees in**  
16 **subsection (a) except for requests initiated and processed entirely as self-service requests. A**  
17 **self-service request is a request for one or more specified documents, initiated by the requester through**  
18 **the designated portion of the Assessor-Recorder’s website, that is fulfilled by automated electronic**  
19 **download or automated email delivery to the requester, and does not involve any other service**  
20 **(including but not limited to searching for specific documents, certification or conforming of copies, or**  
21 **acceptance of the request other than through the designated portion of the Assessor-Recorder’s**  
22 **website). For a request for all records within a specified date range, the Office of the**  
23 **Assessor-Recorder may, in its discretion, charge lower fees than subsection (a) requires to more**  
24 **accurately reflect the actual costs of fulfilling the request.**

25                   **(c) Such fees received by the Assessor-Recorder under this Section 8.33.2 shall be**  
26 **deposited with the City and County Treasurer. Fees charged for notary services provided by the**  
27 **Assessor Recorder shall be adjusted, without further action of the Board of Supervisors, to reflect**  
28 **changes in Government Code Section 8211, or any subsequent amendment of that section.**

1           (d) Fees for copies of records on file and services provided shall be adjusted each fiscal year,  
2 commencing in fiscal year 2025-2026, without further action by the Board of Supervisors, to reflect  
3 changes in the relevant Consumer Price Index, as determined by the Controller.

4           (1) No later than April 15 of each year, the Assessor-Recorder shall submit its current  
5 fee schedule to the Controller, who shall apply the price index adjustment to produce a new fee  
6 schedule for the following fiscal year.

7           (2) No later than May 15 of each year, the Controller shall file a report with the Board  
8 of Supervisors reporting the new fee schedule and certifying that: (A) the fees produce sufficient  
9 revenue to support the costs of providing the documents or services for which each fee is assessed, and  
10 (B) the fees do not produce revenue which is significantly more than the costs of providing the  
11 documents or services for which each fee is assessed.

12  
13           Section 2. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after  
14 enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the  
15 ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board  
16 of Supervisors overrides the Mayor’s veto of the ordinance.

17  
18           Section 3. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors  
19 intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles,  
20 numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal  
21 Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment  
22 additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the “Note” that appears under  
23 the official title of the ordinance.

1 Section 4. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or word of this  
2 ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held to be invalid or  
3 unconstitutional by a decision of a court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not  
4 affect the validity of the remaining portions or applications of the ordinance. The Board of  
5 Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each and every  
6 section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, and word not declared invalid or  
7 unconstitutional without regard to whether any other portion of this ordinance or application  
8 thereof would be subsequently declared invalid or unconstitutional.

9  
10 APPROVED AS TO FORM:  
11 DAVID CHIU, City Attorney

12 By: /s/ Carole F. Ruwart  
13 CAROLE F. RUWART  
14 Deputy City Attorney

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
n:\legana\as2023\2300337\01734431.docx

## **LEGISLATIVE DIGEST**

[Administrative Code - Assessor-Recorder Fees]

**Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to revise the fees for copies of certain documents in and services provided by the Office of the Assessor-Recorder.**

### Existing Law

The Administrative Code permits the Assessor-Recorder to charge certain fees for copies of recorded documents. These fees are \$3.00 for the first page, and \$0.50 for each additional page.

### Amendments to Current Law

This ordinance would update the fee schedule for copies of recorded documents based on a cost study performed by the Controller's Office. A "standard" fee of \$9.44 for the first page and \$0.38 for each additional page would generally apply. A "self-service" fee of \$1.76 per document would apply when the request is made through a designated portal on the Assessor-Recorder's website and can be fulfilled automatically. For certain requests, the Assessor-Recorder would be able to charge lesser fees to reflect actual costs that are less than the fee schedules would provide.

The Controller would update these fees annually to reflect changes in the Consumer Price Index. This ordinance would also update the fees for notary services in compliance with state law.

### Background Information

These fees are imposed under state law that requires county recorders to charge fees for recorded documents that recover their costs.

n:\legana\as2023\2300337\01734293.docx

# Recorder Copy Fees

**Board of Supervisors' Budget and Finance Committee**

**March 20, 2024**

---



Holly Lung  
Office of the Assessor-Recorder  
City and County of San Francisco



## Summary

- Amends the Administrative Code to update fees for public access to recorded documents.
- Authorizes the Controller to update these fees annually to reflect changes in the Consumer Price Index.
- Updates the fees for notary services in compliance with state law.



# Recorder Modernization Efforts

## Mission Statement

- To fairly and accurately identify and assess all taxable property in San Francisco and record, secure, and provide access to property, marriage, and other records.

## Expanding Access to Public Records

- Over 7 million recorded documents from 1990 to Present have been digitized. Efforts are underway to digitize 1.5 million records from 1980-1989.
- In 2021, we launched Records Manager, an online tool for the public to search and download these recorded Public Records.



# Public Access to Recorded Documents

## Online

- Official records from 1990 to the present are available for search, purchase, and instant download at <https://recorder.sfgov.org>.

## In Person

- Recorder staff at City Hall help customers search and obtain copies of recorded documents.
- Kiosks at City Hall are available for customer search and copy requests. Staff confirms and completes the request and cashiering.

## By Mail

- Customers can request records to be sent to them by mail. Staff conducts the document search, cashiering, and mails the document.



# Fee Change

## Current Fees

	Online	In Person: Front Counter/Mail	In Person: Public Kiosk
Pages 1-3	\$3.00 per page	\$3.00 per page	\$0.10
Additional	\$0.50	\$0.50	\$0.10
<b>5-page document</b>	<b>\$10.00 + \$2.00 City Base Fee</b>	<b>\$10.00</b>	<b>\$0.50</b>

## Proposed Fees

	Online	In Person: Front Counter/Mail	In Person: Public Kiosk
First Page	\$1.76 flat fee	\$9.44	\$9.44
Additional	No fee	\$0.38	\$0.38
<b>5-page document</b>	<b>\$1.76 + \$2.00 City Base Fee</b>	<b>\$10.96</b>	<b>\$10.96</b>



# Fee Difference

## Top Three Most Requested Recorded Documents

Document	Online	In Person: Front Counter	In Person: Public Kiosk
	67% of users	27% of users	6% of users
3-page (e.g., deeds)	decrease \$7.24	increase \$1.20	increase \$9.90
16-page (e.g., deeds of trust)	decrease \$13.74	decrease \$0.36	increase \$13.54
2-page (e.g., full reconveyances)	decrease \$4.24	increase \$3.82	increase \$9.62
Average length 5-page document	decrease \$8.24	increase \$0.96	increase \$10.46



# The Need for Fee Change

- Current fees are outdated that apply equally to online self-service searches and to searches and copy services provided by staff.
- Proposed fees reflect current business practices and systems costs associated with providing copies of recorded documents to the public.

A line-art illustration of a city skyline, featuring various buildings, trees, and cars. The text "Thank you." is overlaid in the center in a bold, dark blue font. The illustration is rendered in a light gray color on a white background. The skyline includes a prominent pointed skyscraper on the left, a suspension bridge in the middle, and several other high-rise buildings on the right. In the foreground, there are rows of houses, trees, and a few cars on a street. The overall style is clean and modern.

**Thank you.**

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco 94102-4689  
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184  
Fax No. (415) 554-5163  
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

## NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

### BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

#### BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Budget and Finance Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard:

**Date:** March 20, 2024

**Time:** 10:00 a.m.

**Location:** Legislative Chamber, Room 250, located at City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA

**Subject:** File No. 240112. Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to revise the fees for copies of certain documents in and services provided by the Office of the Assessor-Recorder.

If this legislation passes, Administrative Code, Section 8.33.2, will increase and establish fees that the County Assessor-Recorder charges for document reproduction and notary services available to the public. Standard copies of records on file increases from \$3.00 per page, pages 1 through 3, to \$9.44 for the first page; establish a \$1.76 fee for each document requested by electronic self-service requests of records on file initiated by the requester through the designated portion of the Assessor-Recorder's website, that is fulfilled by automated electronic download or automated email delivery to the requester, and does not involve any other service; and increases the acknowledgement and jurat fees for notary services from \$10.00 to \$15.00.

In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email ([board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)). Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research

Center (<https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-lrc>). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, March 15, 2024.

For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Budget and Finance Committee:

Brent Jalipa ([Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org](mailto:Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org) – (415) 554-7712)

  
f Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco

bjj:jec:ams

# CALIFORNIA NEWSPAPER SERVICE BUREAU

## DAILY JOURNAL CORPORATION

Mailing Address : 915 E FIRST ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012  
Telephone (800) 788-7840 / Fax (800) 464-2839  
Visit us @ [www.LegalAdstore.com](http://www.LegalAdstore.com)

BRENT JALIPA  
CCSF BD OF SUPERVISORS (OFFICIAL NOTICES)  
1 DR CARLTON B GOODLETT PL #244  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

### COPY OF NOTICE

Notice Type: GPN GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE

Ad Description

BJJ Fee Ad - File No. 240112 - 3/20/2024

To the right is a copy of the notice you sent to us for publication in the SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER. Thank you for using our newspaper. Please read this notice carefully and call us with ny corrections. The Proof of Publication will be filed with the County Clerk, if required, and mailed to you after the last date below. Publication date(s) for this notice is (are):

03/10/2024 , 03/17/2024

The charge(s) for this order is as follows. An invoice will be sent after the last date of publication. If you prepaid this order in full, you will not receive an invoice.

Publication	\$1144.80
Total	\$1144.80

EXM# 3790892

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING  
BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
WEDNESDAY, MARCH 20, 2024 - 10:00 AM  
LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250, City Hall  
1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Budget and Finance Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard: **File No. 240112**. Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to revise the fees for copies of certain documents in and services provided by the Office of the Assessor-Recorder. If this legislation passes, Administrative Code, Section 8.33.2 will increase and establish fees that the County Assessor-Recorder charges for document reproduction and notary services available to the public. Standard copies of records on file increases from \$3.00 per page, pages 1 through 3, to \$9.44 for the first page; establish a \$1.76 fee for each document requested by electronic self-service requests of records on file initiated by the requester through the designated portion of the Assessor-Recorder's website, that is fulfilled by automated electronic download or automated email delivery to the requester, and does not involve any other service; and increases the acknowledgement and jurat fees for notary services from \$10.00 to \$15.00. In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email ([board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)). Information relating to

this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (<https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-lrc>). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, March 15, 2024. For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Budget and Finance Committee: Brent Jalipa ([Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org](mailto:Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org) - (415) 554-7712) or Angela Calvillo - Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco  
**EXM-3790892#**



\* A 0 0 0 0 0 6 7 0 6 8 5 3 \*

PHASE	PARCEL	STREETS	PARKS AND OPEN SPACE
1	B	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Entry Drive</li> <li>North Drive</li> <li>North Access Way</li> <li>Callan Boulevard improvements within parcel</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Entry Drive Plaza</li> <li>Overlook Park</li> <li>Eastside Recreation Trail within parcel</li> </ul>
	C	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>South Access Way</li> <li>Callan Boulevard improvements within parcel</li> <li>If Parcel C before Parcel D: East Drive from Campus Drive to South Access Way</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Eastside Recreation Trail within parcel</li> </ul>
2	D	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>East Drive from North Access Way to South Access Way</li> <li>North Access Way</li> <li>South Access Way</li> <li>Callan Boulevard improvements within parcel</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Eastside Recreation Trail within parcel</li> </ul>
	E	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>West Drive from North Drive to Campus Drive</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Central Green</li> <li>South Point Park</li> </ul>
3	F	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>West Drive from North Drive to Campus Drive</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Westside Walking Trail</li> </ul>

- Notes:
- If Parcel E is built before Parcels C and D, then East Drive and North Drive will be constructed including the roundabout.
  - If Parcel F is built before Parcels C, D and E, then West Drive and the roundabout will be constructed.
  - Serramonte Boulevard and Highway 1 ramp intersection improvements will be constructed with the first market rate development per the Development Agreement.
  - Bike lanes on Campus Drive to Hickey Blvd will be constructed concurrent with the roundabout.
  - See Development Agreement for timing of additional off-site improvements.
  - A Storm Drain Memo shall be submitted for conformance review for each phase in accordance with the Master Plan Drainage Memo. At Building Permit submittal: a Hydrology & Hydraulics report based on final design will be provided with the Building Permit submittal in accordance with the Master Plan Drainage Memo.
  - The first parcel of Parcel B and Parcel D to develop is required to build North Access Way from their building to the curb across the access way, so there is a two-way paved travel way. The subsequent parcel is required to complete the sidewalk, landscaping and storm water treatment facilities between their building and the curb on their side of the North Access Way.
  - The first parcel of Parcel C and Parcel D to develop is required to build South Access Way from their building to the curb across the access way, so there is a two-way paved travel way. The subsequent parcel is required to complete the sidewalk, landscaping and storm water treatment facilities between their building and the curb on their side of the South Access Way.
  - The Head Start facility may be located on other parcels.
  - See the Tentative Map for utility improvements associated with each parcel. On and off parcel utility improvements in the Precise Plan Area shall be sized to support buildout of the Precise Plan.
  - The chronology of phasing in Table 7.1 is not intended to be binding, and the number of phases, number of units, and timeline for the project build-out will ultimately depend on market conditions. The rate of the buildout of housing will fluctuate with the regional economy, capital expectations and availability, costs for development and the conditions of the Daly City housing market. For the purposes of assessing consistency with the Table 7.1 for conformance review, a reviewer shall assess whether development of a listed parcel includes the streets, park, open space utilities needed to support the development of the parcel and future phases of development.

**K. CONFORMANCE REVIEW**

- K.1.1 Purpose.** Conformance review is the Precise Plan's ministerial, streamlined process to approve proposed projects "as-of-right" that demonstrate Plan Consistency with the Precise Plan and Entitlement Documents and Compliance with the Objective Development Standards of the Precise Plan, as defined below. Design Review is not required for projects ministerially approved "as-of-right."
- K.1.2 Plan Consistency.** Plan Consistency is the review of project submittal documents for conformance to:
- Serramonte Del Rey Precise Plan (Attachment "A") requirements for the scope and phasing of park, open space, street, accessways, storm water, water, wastewater, utility, emergency access, and on and off-site transportation improvements associated with each parcel per Precise Plan Figure 7.1 Phasing, Table 7.1 Development Phasing and Appendix C: Civil Engineering Exhibits, and
  - Entitlement Document requirements of the Development Agreement, Tentative Map, Conditions of Approval, Inclusionary Housing Agreement and the Mitigation and Monitoring Program.

- K.1.3 Compliance with Objective Development Standards ("Compliance").** Compliance with objective development standards is the review of project submittal documents for conformance with the Precise Plan's Objective Development Standards as listed in Section II above.

- K.1.4 Variance from Numerical Standards and Precise Plan.** Deviations from the numerical standards in Section II above may be permitted by up to 20 percent for any parcel, upon approval by the Planning Division. Deviations from the minimum and maximum densities prescribed in Table 3.3, the requisite amount of private open space (150 square feet per dwelling unit), and the quantity and general location of common open space shall not be permitted.
- Modification or reconfiguration of the Precise Plan pattern of streets and blocks, shape of open space areas, site layout, orientation or location of buildings, architectural or landscape elements may be permitted provided all other Objective Design Standard can be met. Deviations from the approved roadway configurations, including lane provision and width, shall not be permitted unless approved by the Department of Public Works K.1.5

- K.1.5 Submittal Requirements.** Submittal requirements shall include documentation as set forth in the 2023 Daly City Municipal Code Section 17.28.030 C. 2. (a) - (f), Procedure for Application for Planned Development of the Daly City Zoning Ordinance, and shall include a completed Conformance Review Check List, as attached to the Precise Plan as Appendix A, and any additional information requested by the Planning Manager. Submittal requirements for minor modifications to approved and constructed projects to be per Planning Manager request.

**K.1.6 Review Process and Timing.**

- Pre-submittal conference.** Upon request of the Applicant, the Planning Manager shall convene a pre-submittal conference to review the scope of the project, submittal requirements, conformance review process, timeline, and staff availability. It is an opportunity for the Applicant and the design team to ask technical questions to prepare a submittal. The Planning Manager, City Engineer, and Development Coordinating Committee members shall attend upon request.
- Submittal.** The Applicant shall submit documentation as described under "Submittal Requirements" above.
- Conformance Review.** The Planning Manager, designated staff or third-party

consultants shall conduct the Conformance Review per the responsibilities described in Table 7.2. The Planning Manager shall coordinate reviewer comments and provide them to the Applicant no later than 45 business days after filing a project submittal.<sup>12</sup>

- Determination.** The Planning Manager shall review the project submittal and reviewer comments to determine whether the submittal is plan consistent and standards compliant and therefore approved as-of-right.

If the Planning Manager deems a project application inconsistent with the Precise Plan or Entitlement Documents, and/or non-compliant with the Objective Development Standards in Section II, prior to a decision to deny approval of the proposed project, the Planning Manager

<sup>12</sup> Notwithstanding anything in this chapter, to the extent the Permit Streamlining Act or other State Law applies for shorter deadlines, the regulations in such laws shall govern.

shall provide the Applicant with written documentation as to what elements of the submittal are not in conformance.<sup>13</sup>

The Applicant can revise the submittal and resubmit. The Planning Manager, upon receipt of the re-submittal, shall make their determination. Total City Review Time from submittal to determination shall not exceed 90 business days.<sup>14</sup>

If the City fails to complete Conformance Review per the above timing requirements, the submittal shall be deemed to be conforming with the Precise Plan and Entitlement Documents, planning review shall be complete, and requested permits shall be issued.

- Notification of Determination.** The Planning Manager shall communicate in writing to the Applicant the Planning Manager's determination.
- Appeal.** A Conformance Review determination may be appealed within 20 business days to the City Manager by the Applicant. City Manager shall make a determination within 20 business days of receipt of the appeal. The City Manager's decision on appeal shall be final and not appealable.

**K.1.7 Review Criteria.** The following criteria shall guide the determination of plan consistency and standards compliance:

- All Figures in the Precise Plan illustrate the general arrangement and relationships among parcels, buildings, streets, accessways, parks and open spaces, and exact compliance or conformance is not required so long as other objective criteria are met.
- The final configuration of parcels, buildings, streets, accessways, parks and open spaces are subject to refinement, and exact compliance or conformance is not required so long as other objective criteria are met.
- Consistency and conformance with the Precise Plan shall be construed rationally and shall accommodate unforeseen solutions or innovation that can occur over the extended build-out of the Precise Plan. Notwithstanding the above, no deviations are permitted to minimum residential densities and minimum open space area requirements.

**K.1.8 Standards Interpretation.** The nature of the Objective Standards is such that consistency determinations are ministerial, without the exercise of discretion. Accordingly, it is not anticipated there will be cause for interpretation of these standards by the City. In the unforeseen event that interpretation is required, the Planning Manager shall make any interpretation of the Precise Plan's development standards required to evaluate the proposed project and shall inform the reviewer of any interpretations as needed. The Planning Manager

<sup>13</sup> This process is separate and independent from the Planning Manager's coordination and provision of any reviewer comments on the Applicant's initial project submittal, as required under the "Review Process and Timing" section of this Chapter.

<sup>14</sup> For this paragraph, "City Review Time" shall mean the time, measured in business days, taken by the City to review a project submittal and provide comments to the applicant or render a Conformance Review decision. City Review Time does not include the Applicant's time from the date of receiving comments to the date of resubmitting a revised project submittal responsive to comments.

shall include any interpretations as part of its written determination of consistency with the objective development standards of the Precise Plan.

**K.1.9 Staffing.** The Planning Manager shall check on staff availability prior to the pre-submittal conference to meet the conformance review timeline. If staffing is not available, the City can designate a third-party consultant to be paid for by Applicant. Consultants shall have professional planning experience on similar projects. The Applicant shall pay all reasonable staff coordination and third-party consultant costs.

**K.2.0 Staff or Consultant Review Responsibilities.** Staff or consultant review responsibilities are per Table 7.2, Reviewer Responsibilities.

- The Planning Manager shall provide oversight and make all Conformance Review determinations.
- Staff of consultant shall compare the project submittal, Conformance Check List, and applicable plan elements, standards and requirements of the Precise Plan and the Entitlement Documents.
- Reviewers are to provide written comments on plan consistency to the Planning Manager. In the case where the reviewer concludes inconsistency with plan elements, or non-compliance to objective development standards, the reviewer shall identify specific objective standards to be addressed to achieve a consistency.
- If requested by the Applicant, the Planning Manager and any reviewers shall meet with the Applicant to discuss steps to resolve any comments.

The Planning Manager shall consider the recommendations of the reviewers, and shall make the final determination for approval, approval with conditions, or denial of the submittal based on objective criteria as set forth herein.

Table 7.2: Reviewer Responsibilities

RESPONSIBILITY	REVIEWER					
	PLANNING MANAGER	STAFF (THIRD-PARTY CONSULTANT OR CITY ENGINEER)	DEVELOPMENT COORDINATING COMMITTEE	HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT MANAGER		
Plan Consistency	X					
Phasing	X					
Parks	X	X				
Streets	X	X				
Utilities, off-site transportation improvements	X			X		
Development Agreement	X					
Final Map				X		

<sup>15</sup> Development Coordinating Committee includes Planning Division, Building Division, Engineering Division, Department of Water and Wastewater Resources, North County Fire Authority and other committee members as deemed appropriate by the Planning Manager.

RESPONSIBILITY	REVIEWER					
	MMRP	Inclusionary Housing Agreement	Tentative Map and Conditions of Approval	Land use and urban design	New Buildings	Parks
MMRP	X				X	X
Inclusionary Housing Agreement		X				
Tentative Map and Conditions of Approval		X		X		
Land use and urban design		X	X			
New Buildings		X	X			
Parks		X	X			

	Streetscape	X	X			
Exceptional Properties	X	X	X	X	X	X
Minor modifications to existing buildings & facilities	X	X	X	X		

**IV. PLAN AMENDMENTS**

Amending the Precise Plan shall follow the requirements of the Daly City Municipal Code section 17.28.020 (I) as of the date of approval of the Precise Plan. This Municipal Code section is included as a part of Supporting Documents provided with this Precise Plan.

**V. SUBDIVISIONS**

Subdivision of parcels shall conform to the requirements of the Precise Plan and the Daly City Municipal Code Title 16 Subdivisions.

**VI. INCLUSIONARY HOUSING**

The following requirements are subject to the terms and exceptions provided in the affordable housing agreement:

- Construction of inclusionary units is required within the Planned Development Zone PD-31 area pursuant to an Affordable Housing Plan.
- This Precise Plan authorizes flexibility in timing of construction and geographic distribution of inclusionary units throughout the PD-31 area to allow for a greater number of inclusionary units and deeper level of affordability than the minimum levels required by the City Ordinance.
- The Precise Plan requires, for Parcels B-F, not less than 20 percent of the total number of total residential units completed at final build-out to be affordable housing, consisting of 13.5%

<sup>16</sup> For exceptional projects, Planning Manager to determine at pre-application conference what type of review and staffing is required.

low-income units at no greater than 60% AMI and 6.5% moderate income units at no greater than 120% AMI. One market rate residential building can be constructed prior to construction of affordable units. 150 low-income affordable units are planned to be located on Parcel C. The subsequent phases after the first market rate building and the first residential structure built on Parcel C have been constructed can be phased and include unit counts proposed by the developer in any way such that the overall percentage of affordable units does not drop below 20% of all constructed residential units at Parcels B-F in any time consisting of 13.5% low-income units and 6.5% moderate income units.

**VII. CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT**

All proposals for development shall be subject to the mitigation measures specified in the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) certified by the City Council as part of this Precise Plan.

**VIII. ENTITLEMENT DOCUMENTS**

The term "Entitlement Documents" shall refer to all the below except the Precise Plan.

- General Plan Amendment.** By Resolution No. [], City Council approved text and map amendments to the General Plan to enable high density residential and mixed-use residential development.
- Precise Plan.** By Resolution No. [], City Council approved the Serramonte Del Rey Precise Plan, which modified land use designations and created a new street and open space network.
- Development Agreement.** The District and the City of Daly City entered into a Development Agreement to secure vested development rights and terms.
- Affordable Housing Agreement.** The District and the City of Daly City entered into an Affordable Housing Agreement to secure rights and terms for the Project's Affordable Housing Plan.
- Tentative Map and Conditions of Approval.** The City Council approved a Tentative Map indicating the subdivision of the District lands into parcels with infrastructure and open space improvements, including Conditions of Approval.
- Mitigation and Monitoring and Reporting Program.** The City of Daly City, as the lead agency under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) prepared the project's Environmental Impact Report (EIR) to disclose to the City, public and other agencies the environmental impacts of the project. By Resolution No. [] the City certified the EIR for the project, adopted findings, statement of overriding considerations [if needed] and a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP). The Precise Plan is consistent with and will implement the MMRP as approved by the City Council.

**SECTION 5 Effective Date.** This ordinance is an urgency ordinance enacted under California Government Code sections 36934 and 36937(b). This urgency ordinance is effective upon adoption by a four-fifths (4/5) vote of the City Council.

**SECTION 6 Environmental Assessment.** The City Council has reviewed the proposal under the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and has determined, that a Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR). Pursuant to Section 21081.6 of the Public Resources Code and Section 15097 of the CEQA Guidelines, the Project requires adoption of a Mitigation and Monitoring Program (MMRP). The City Council hereby approves the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) for this project and hereinafter finds that the Final Environmental Impact Report and the MMRP complies and conforms with the California Environmental Quality Act and the Guidelines implementing the Act.

**SECTION 7 Severability.** If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase or portion of this Ordinance is for any reason held to be invalid or unconstitutional by the decision of any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Ordinance. The City Council of the City of Daly City declares that it would have adopted each section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase or portion thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, clauses, phrases or portions be declared invalid or unconstitutional.

**SECTION 8 Publication.** The Mayor shall sign this ordinance and the City Clerk shall attest and certify to the passage and adoption of it, and within fifteen (15) days, publish once in a newspaper of general circulation circulated within the City of Daly City. The City Clerk shall post at City Hall a copy of the full text of this Ordinance in accordance with Government Code Section 65858 and 75909.

The foregoing urgency ordinance was introduced and duly adopted by a four-fifths vote of the City Council of the City of Daly City at a regular meeting of the City Council, held on the 26<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2024, by the following vote:

AYES, Councilmembers: Darius Magbual, Di Giovanni,  
Sylvester, Manalo  
NOES, Councilmembers: None  
ABSENT, Councilmembers: None

APPROVED: K. Annette Hipona  
CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF DALY CITY

JUSLYN C. MANALO  
MAYOR OF THE CITY OF DALY CITY

Attachment A, Serramonte Del Rey Precise Plan, may be found at: <https://www.dalycity.org/934/Public-Notices>  
CNSB #3791403

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING TO AMEND THE SAN FRANCISCO TRANSPORTATION CODE TO ESTABLISH THE SFMTA'S BASE FEES AND FINES AS THOSE IN EFFECT ON MARCH 19, 2024; ESTABLISH A PROCESS TO AUTOMATICALLY INCREASE DESIGNATED FEES AND FINES ANNUALLY BASED ON AN AUTOMATIC INDEX CALCULATED USING THE BAY AREA CONSUMER PRICE INDEX FOR ALL URBAN CUSTOMERS AND THE SAN FRANCISCO CONTROLLER'S OPERATING BUDGET LABOR COST CHANGE; AUTHORIZE THE SFMTA TO RECOVER COSTS BASED ON TIME AND MATERIALS BASIS FOR VARIOUS SERVICES; DELETE OBSOLETE FEE PROVISIONS; AND MAKE TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS.

The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) Board of Directors/San Francisco Parking Authority Commission will hold a public hearing on March 19, 2024 to consider amending the Transportation Code to establish the SFMTA's base fees and fines as those in effect on March 19, 2024; establish a process to automatically increase designated fees and fines annually based on an Automatic Index calculated using the Bay Area Consumer Price Index for all Urban Consumers and the San Francisco Controller's Operating Budget Labor Cost Change; authorizing the SFMTA to recover costs based on a time and materials basis for various services; delete obsolete fee provisions; and make technical corrections.

The public hearing will be held at City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 400 at 1:00 p.m. **Additional information can be obtained at [www.sfmta.com/upcoming-meetings-and-events/2625](http://www.sfmta.com/upcoming-meetings-and-events/2625)** or by calling 415.646.4470. **Proposed legislation may be found at <https://www.sfmta.com/public-notices>** ten days before the hearing date.

☎ 415.646.4470: For free interpretation services, please submit your request 48 hours in advance of meeting. / Para servicios de interpretación gratuitos, por favor haga su petición 48 horas antes de la reunión. / 如果需要免費口語翻譯，請於會議之前48小時提出要求。 / Para sa libreng serbisyo sa interpretasyon, kailangan mag-request 48 horas bago ang miting. / Đối với dịch vụ thông dịch miễn phí, vui lòng gửi yêu cầu của bạn 48 giờ trước cuộc họp. / Для бесплатных услуг устного перевода просьба представить ваш запрос за 48 часов до начала собрания. / Pour les services d'interprétation gratuits, veuillez soumettre votre demande 48 heures avant la réunion. / 무료 통역 서비스를 원하시면 회의 48 시간 전에 귀하의 요청을 제출하십시오. / 無料通訳サービスをご希望の場合は、会議の48時間前までにリクエストを提出してください。 / บริการให้ความช่วยเหลือในหลายภาษาด้านนาหาซาฟรี ณ ที่ประชุม โดยต้องแจ้งล่วงหน้า 48 ชั่วโมง / يمكن تقديم مساعدة مجانية في اللغة المحكية ولغة الإشارة الأمريكية، عند طلبها / قبل 48 ساعة على الأقل

CNSB # 3789708

**GOVERNMENT**

**NOTICE OF REGULAR MEETING**  
**SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE**  
**CITY HALL, LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250**  
**1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102**  
**MARCH 13, 2024 - 10:00 AM**  
The agenda packet and legislative files are available for review at <https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-irc>, in Room 244 at City Hall, or by calling (415) 554-5184.  
**EXM-3791777#**

**NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR PERMIT**  
Notice is hereby given that the following individual has filed an application with the Department of Public Health for a permit to operate a wash laundry at the specified location in the City and County of San Francisco:  
**Ryan's Laundry**  
240 Leavenworth Street  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Protests against the granting of said permit will be heard at the Office of the Director of Public Health at 9:30 a.m., on Thursday, March 21, 2024, when said application will be heard in Room 300, 101 Grove Street.  
Grant Colfax, MD  
Director of Public Health  
**EXM-3791717#**

**NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR PERMIT**  
Notice is hereby given that the following individual has filed an application with the Department of Public Health for a permit to operate a wash laundry at the specified location in the City and County of San Francisco:  
**TL Wash and Fold**  
517 O'Farrell Street  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Protests against the granting of said permit will be heard at the Office of the Director of Public Health at 9:30 a.m., on Thursday, March 21, 2024, when said application will be heard in Room 300, 101 Grove Street.  
Grant Colfax, MD  
Director of Public Health  
**EXM-3791704#**

**LEGISLATION INTRODUCED AT AND SUMMARY OF ACTIONS OF THE MARCH 5, 2024, MEETING OF THE SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**  
are available at [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org).  
or: 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA; or by calling (415) 554-5184.  
**EXM-3791599#**  
**NOTICE OF REGULAR MEETING SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS CITY HALL, LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250**  
**1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102**  
**MARCH 12, 2024 - 2:00 PM**  
The agenda packet and legislative files are available

**NOTICE OF ESCHEAT OF MONIES IN THE BAY AREA TOLL AUTHORITY FASTRAK® REFUND ACCOUNT UNCLAIMED FOR THREE YEARS PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 50050 ET SEQ.**  
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that there is in the Treasury of the Bay Area Toll Authority – FasTrak® Refund Account, unclaimed FasTrak® customer credit balances arising from un-cashed refund checks issued to FasTrak® customers that have remained outstanding, since before December 31, 2020, in an amount totaling **\$21,713.97**.  
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that these funds will become the property of the Bay Area Toll Authority on **May 8, 2024**, which date is not less than 45 days nor more than 60 days after the first publication of this notice, unless a valid claim is made. A party of interest seeking to recover all, or a designated part of the money may file a claim by submitting a completed and signed Claim Affirmation Form to FasTrak® Customer Service Center (by mail: PO Box 26926, San Francisco, CA 94126; by web: [www.bayareafastrak.org](http://www.bayareafastrak.org); by fax: #1-415-974-6356) together with all required attachments, on or before **May 8, 2024**. A Claim Affirmation Form can be obtained at [www.bayareafastrak.org/unclaimed\\_property](http://www.bayareafastrak.org/unclaimed_property), or requested from the FasTrak® Customer Service Center by telephone: #1-877-229-8655. Claimants will be requested to provide a copy of a valid state-issued identification card, such as a driver's license, together with the Claim Affirmation Form. A list of the payee, date, and amount of each un-cashed refund check in the amount of \$15 or more is available at [www.bayareafastrak.org/unclaimed\\_property](http://www.bayareafastrak.org/unclaimed_property) and is posted at Bay Area Metro Center, 375 Beale Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, 8:00 a.m. – 5:00 p.m. Monday – Friday. The claimant must be included on that list; otherwise he or she does not have a valid claim. For complete listing of names, visit [www.bayareafastrak.org/unclaimed\\_property](http://www.bayareafastrak.org/unclaimed_property).  
CNSB #3783327

for review at <https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-irc>, in Room 244 at City Hall, or by calling (415) 554-5184.  
**EXM-3791597#**  
**NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING**  
**BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE**  
**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**  
**WEDNESDAY, MARCH 20, 2024 - 10:00 AM**  
**LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250, City Hall**  
**1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102**  
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Budget and Finance Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and certain documents in and services provided by the Office of the Assessor-Recorder. If this legislation passes, Administrative Code, Section 8.33.2 will increase and establish fees that the County Assessor-Recorder charges for document reproduction and notary services available to the public. Standard copies of records on file increases from \$3.00 per page, pages 1 through 3, to \$9.44 for the first page; establish a \$1.76 fee for each document requested by electronic self-service requests of records on file initiated by the requester through the designated portion of the Assessor-Recorder's website, that is fulfilled by automated electronic download or automated email delivery to the requester, and does not involve any other service; and increase the acknowledgement and jurat fees for notary services from \$10.00 to \$15.00. In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102 or sent via email ([boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:boardofsupervisors@sfgov.org)). Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board

or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (<https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-irc>). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, March 15, 2024. For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Budget and Finance Committee: Brent Jalipa ([Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org](mailto:Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org) - (415) 554-7712) - Angela Calvillo - Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco  
**EXM-3790829#**

**FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAMES**

**FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT**

File No. 2024-0402736  
Fictitious Business Name(s)/ Trade Name (DBA):  
REAL SF PROPERTIES, 2051 MARKET ST., SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114  
County of SAN FRANCISCO  
Registered Owner(s):  
REAL SF PROPERTIES, LLC (CA), 2051 MARKET ST., SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114  
This business is conducted by: a limited liability company  
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 07/21/2022.  
I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true any material matter pursuant to Section 17913 of the Business and Professions code that the registrant knows to be false is guilty of a misdemeanor punishable by a fine not to exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000).)  
REAL SF PROPERTIES, LLC S/ KIRA MEAD  
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Francisco County on 03/04/2024.  
NOTICE-In accordance with Subdivision (a) of Section 17920, a Fictitious Name Statement generally expires at the end of five years from the date on which it was filed in the office of the County Clerk, except, as provided in Subdivision (b) of Section 17920, where it expires 40 days after any change in the facts set forth in the statement pursuant to Section 17913 other than a change in the residence address of a registered owner. A new Fictitious Business Name Statement must be filed before the expiration. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a Fictitious Business Name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (See Section 14411 et seq., Business and Professions Code).  
3/10, 3/17, 3/24, 3/31/24  
**CNS-3791345#**  
**SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER**

**CIVIL**

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME**  
Case No. CNC-24-558597  
Superior Court of California, County of SAN FRANCISCO  
Petitioner of: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN for Change of Name TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:  
Petitioner ALICIA BIANCA VANIN filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows:  
ALICIA BIANCA VANIN to ALICIA NANCIA VANIN  
The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.  
Notice of Hearing: Date: MAY 30, 2024, Time: 9:00 A.M., Dept.: 103N, Room: 103N

# San Francisco Examiner PUBLIC NOTICES

SAN MATEO COUNTY: 650-556-1556 • E-mail: smlegals@sfmediaco.com  
SAN FRANCISCO: 415-314-1835 • E-mail: sflegals@sfmediaco.com

SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER • DAILY CITY INDEPENDENT • SAN MATEO WEEKLY • REDWOOD CITY TRIBUNE • ENQUIRER - BULLETIN • FOSTER CITY PROGRESS • MILLBRAE - SAN BRUNO SUN • BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER • EXAMINER - SO. SAN FRANCISCO • EXAMINER - SAN BRUNO

## NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District Public Financing Authority No. 1  
Location: City Hall, City & County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 278

March 28, 2024, at 3:30 P.M.

**Hearing Title:** Proposed Formation of the San Francisco Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District No. 1 (Power Station) and Adoption of an Infrastructure Financing Plan, as proposed to be modified

**Project Description:** On March 21, 2023, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco ("City") adopted Resolution No. 133-23 ("Resolution of Intention"), which was signed by the Mayor on March 28, 2023, stating its intention to cause the establishment of the "San Francisco Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District No. 1 (Power Station)" ("EIFD") and project areas therein ("Project Areas"). The Resolution of Intention was adopted pursuant to Chapter 2.99 of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5 of the California Government Code (commencing with section 53398.50) ("EIFD Law").

The EIFD is intended to provide financial assistance to a phased, mixed-use development project that is known as the Potrero Power Station.

The proposed EIFD is expected to use incremental property tax revenue generated within the boundary of the EIFD and allocated to it by the City to finance (i) the purchase, construction, expansion, improvement, seismic retrofit or rehabilitation of certain real or other tangible property with an estimated useful life of 15 years or longer that are projects of communitywide significance that provide significant benefits to the EIFD or the surrounding community, including any directly-related planning and design work, (ii) the costs described in California Government Code Sections 53398.56, 53398.57 and 53398.58 (as applicable) and (iii) the ongoing or capitalized costs to maintain public capital facilities financed in whole or in part by the EIFD.

On April 4, 2023, the Board of Supervisors adopted Ordinance No. 044-23 ("PFA Ordinance"), which was signed by the Mayor on April 7, 2023, pursuant to which the Board of Supervisors established the Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District Public Financing Authority No. 1 ("PFA") as the governing board of the EIFD.

On July 17, 2023, the PFA adopted a resolution directing the preparation of an Infrastructure Financing Plan ("IFP") for the EIFD, which describes in detail the public facilities and other specified projects of communitywide significance to be financed, a financing section specifying the incremental tax revenue of the City to be committed to the EIFD, and other such information and analyses required under the EIFD Law.

Pursuant to the EIFD Law, the PFA is required to consider adoption of the IFP at three public hearings, each of which shall take place at least 30 days apart. The PFA held the first public hearing on the adoption of the IFP on December 6, 2023. Subsequently, the PFA held the second public hearing on the adoption of the IFP on February 21, 2024. Upon completion of the second public hearing, the PFA approved by resolution certain modifications of the IFP.

The proposed commitment of incremental tax revenue is described in the draft IFP. The proposed boundaries of the EIFD are shown in a boundary map attached to the draft IFP as Exhibit B. A full list of the public facilities and projects of communitywide significance to be financed by the proposed EIFD is included in Exhibit C to the draft IFP.

On March 13, 2024, the Budget and Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco held a public hearing on the proposed IFP. The Board of Supervisors is expected to consider approval of the IFP on March 19, 2024.

## THIS NOTICE IS FOR THE THIRD PUBLIC HEARING ON THE ADOPTION OF THE IFP

The PFA will hold the third public hearing on the adoption of the IFP during its meeting on **Thursday, March 28, 2024, at 3:30 p.m.**, or as soon thereafter as possible, in City Hall, City & County of San Francisco, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Conference Room 278.

At the third public hearing, the PFA will conduct a protest proceeding to consider whether the landowners and residents within the EIFD wish to present oral or written protests against the adoption of the IFP. Each landowner and resident shall have the right to submit an oral or written protest before the close of the public hearing. The protest may state that the landowner or resident objects to the PFA taking action to implement the IFP.

At the third public hearing, the PFA shall consider all written and oral protests received before the close of the public hearing along with the recommendations, if any, of affected taxing entities. The PFA shall terminate the proceedings if there is a majority protest. A majority protest exists if protests have been filed representing over 50% of the combined number of landowners and residents in the area who are at least 18 years of age. An election shall be called if between 25% and 50% of the combined number of landowners and residents in the area who are at least 18 years of age file a protest. If less than 25% of the combined number of landowners and residents in the area who are at least 18 years of age file a protest at the third public hearing, the PFA may adopt the IFP and establish the EIFD by resolution. The resolution adopting the IFP shall be subject to referendum as prescribed by law.

The Resolution of Intention, the PFA Ordinance and the draft IFP (as modified at the second public hearing and subsequently revised to correct an error in the projection tables) are available on the PFA's website: <https://sf.gov/departments/eifd-public-financing-authority-no-1>.

At the public hearing, the PFA shall hear all oral comments and receive written comments. Any persons having any objections to the proposed IFP or the regularity of any of the prior proceedings related to the formation of the EIFD or the adoption of the IFP may appear before the PFA and object to the adoption of the proposed IFP by the PFA.

MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC MAY VIEW THE MEETING REMOTELY BY USING THE MICROSOFT TEAMS LINK BELOW. PUBLIC COMMENT MAY BE MADE BY USING THE FOLLOWING CALL IN:

1-415-906-4659 / Participant Code: 803 901 530#

Link to join meeting: [https://teams.microsoft.com/join/19%3Ameeting\\_NGMzYQxNtYkNkN00ZTJlWFZTQrODMvZWVhNmQxNjYy%40head\\_v2/0?context=%7B%22id%22%3A%2222d5c2f-c83e-443d-9a7f-df0c0231773f%22%2C%22cid%22%3A%22075bd59a-c649-489c-8738-ae6fd84f56a6%22%7D](https://teams.microsoft.com/join/19%3Ameeting_NGMzYQxNtYkNkN00ZTJlWFZTQrODMvZWVhNmQxNjYy%40head_v2/0?context=%7B%22id%22%3A%2222d5c2f-c83e-443d-9a7f-df0c0231773f%22%2C%22cid%22%3A%22075bd59a-c649-489c-8738-ae6fd84f56a6%22%7D)

A Microsoft Teams link will also be provided in the Notice of Public Hearing posted on the PFA's website: <https://sf.gov/departments/eifd-public-financing-authority-no-1>.

For further information on this matter, please contact Anna Van Degna, Public Finance Director, Controller's Office of Public Finance, Ph. (415)-554-5956, EIFD@sfgov.org, 1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Pl, Room 316, San Francisco, CA 94102.

This notice is being delivered in the manner required by the EIFD Law.

CNSB #3794129

addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email (board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org). Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (<https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-irc>). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, March 15, 2024. For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Budget and Finance Committee: Brent Jalipa (Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org - (415) 554-7712) or Angela Calvillo - Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco (415) 554-5184. EXM-3790829#

## CIVIL

### ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME

Case No. 24CV01008 Superior Court of California, County of SAN MATEO  
Petitioner: HILDA SALGADO VILLALOBOS for Change of Name  
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:  
Petitioner HILDA SALGADO VILLALOBOS filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: GAELE ALEXANDER RAMIREZ SALGADO to GAELE ALEXANDER SALGADO VILLALOBOS  
The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.  
Notice of Hearing: Date: 04/29/2024, Time: 9:00AM, Dept.: MC  
The address of the court is 400 COUNTY CENTER, REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063 (To appear remotely, check in advance of the hearing for information about how to do so on the court's website. To find your court's website, go to [www.courts.ca.gov/find-my-court.htm](http://www.courts.ca.gov/find-my-court.htm).)  
A copy of this Order to Show Cause must be published at least once each week for four successive weeks before the date set for hearing on the petition in a newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county.  
THE EXAMINER  
Date: 02/26/2024  
ELIZABETH LEE  
Judge of the Superior Court  
3/17, 3/24, 3/31, 4/7/24  
EXAMINER - REDWOOD CITY TRIBUNE

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME  
Case No. CNC-24-558597 Superior Court of California, County of SAN FRANCISCO  
Petitioner of: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN for Change of Name  
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:  
Petitioner ALICIA BIANCA VANIN filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN to BIANCA NICIA VANIN  
The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the date set for hearing on the petition in a newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county.  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER  
Date: FEBRUARY 28, 2024  
JUDGE: MARIA EVANGELISTA  
Judge of the Superior Court  
3/10, 3/17, 3/24, 3/31/24  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME  
Case No. CNC-24-558597 Superior Court of California, County of SAN FRANCISCO  
Petitioner of: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN for Change of Name  
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:  
Petitioner ALICIA BIANCA VANIN filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN to BIANCA NICIA VANIN  
The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the date set for hearing on the petition in a newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county.  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER  
Date: FEBRUARY 28, 2024  
JUDGE: MARIA EVANGELISTA  
Judge of the Superior Court  
3/10, 3/17, 3/24, 3/31/24  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME  
Case No. CNC-24-558597 Superior Court of California, County of SAN FRANCISCO  
Petitioner of: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN for Change of Name  
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:  
Petitioner ALICIA BIANCA VANIN filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN to BIANCA NICIA VANIN  
The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the date set for hearing on the petition in a newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county.  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER  
Date: FEBRUARY 28, 2024  
JUDGE: MARIA EVANGELISTA  
Judge of the Superior Court  
3/10, 3/17, 3/24, 3/31/24  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME  
Case No. CNC-24-558597 Superior Court of California, County of SAN FRANCISCO  
Petitioner of: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN for Change of Name  
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:  
Petitioner ALICIA BIANCA VANIN filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN to BIANCA NICIA VANIN  
The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the date set for hearing on the petition in a newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county.  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER  
Date: FEBRUARY 28, 2024  
JUDGE: MARIA EVANGELISTA  
Judge of the Superior Court  
3/10, 3/17, 3/24, 3/31/24  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME  
Case No. CNC-24-558597 Superior Court of California, County of SAN FRANCISCO  
Petitioner of: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN for Change of Name  
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:  
Petitioner ALICIA BIANCA VANIN filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN to BIANCA NICIA VANIN  
The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the date set for hearing on the petition in a newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county.  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER  
Date: FEBRUARY 28, 2024  
JUDGE: MARIA EVANGELISTA  
Judge of the Superior Court  
3/10, 3/17, 3/24, 3/31/24  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME  
Case No. CNC-24-558597 Superior Court of California, County of SAN FRANCISCO  
Petitioner of: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN for Change of Name  
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:  
Petitioner ALICIA BIANCA VANIN filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN to BIANCA NICIA VANIN  
The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the date set for hearing on the petition in a newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county.  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER  
Date: FEBRUARY 28, 2024  
JUDGE: MARIA EVANGELISTA  
Judge of the Superior Court  
3/10, 3/17, 3/24, 3/31/24  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME  
Case No. CNC-24-558597 Superior Court of California, County of SAN FRANCISCO  
Petitioner of: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN for Change of Name  
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:  
Petitioner ALICIA BIANCA VANIN filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN to BIANCA NICIA VANIN  
The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the date set for hearing on the petition in a newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county.  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER  
Date: FEBRUARY 28, 2024  
JUDGE: MARIA EVANGELISTA  
Judge of the Superior Court  
3/10, 3/17, 3/24, 3/31/24  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME  
Case No. CNC-24-558597 Superior Court of California, County of SAN FRANCISCO  
Petitioner of: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN for Change of Name  
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:  
Petitioner ALICIA BIANCA VANIN filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN to BIANCA NICIA VANIN  
The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the date set for hearing on the petition in a newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county.  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER  
Date: FEBRUARY 28, 2024  
JUDGE: MARIA EVANGELISTA  
Judge of the Superior Court  
3/10, 3/17, 3/24, 3/31/24  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME  
Case No. CNC-24-558597 Superior Court of California, County of SAN FRANCISCO  
Petitioner of: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN for Change of Name  
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:  
Petitioner ALICIA BIANCA VANIN filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN to BIANCA NICIA VANIN  
The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the date set for hearing on the petition in a newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county.  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER  
Date: FEBRUARY 28, 2024  
JUDGE: MARIA EVANGELISTA  
Judge of the Superior Court  
3/10, 3/17, 3/24, 3/31/24  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME  
Case No. CNC-24-558597 Superior Court of California, County of SAN FRANCISCO  
Petitioner of: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN for Change of Name  
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:  
Petitioner ALICIA BIANCA VANIN filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN to BIANCA NICIA VANIN  
The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the date set for hearing on the petition in a newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county.  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER  
Date: FEBRUARY 28, 2024  
JUDGE: MARIA EVANGELISTA  
Judge of the Superior Court  
3/10, 3/17, 3/24, 3/31/24  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME  
Case No. CNC-24-558597 Superior Court of California, County of SAN FRANCISCO  
Petitioner of: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN for Change of Name  
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:  
Petitioner ALICIA BIANCA VANIN filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN to BIANCA NICIA VANIN  
The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the date set for hearing on the petition in a newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county.  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER  
Date: FEBRUARY 28, 2024  
JUDGE: MARIA EVANGELISTA  
Judge of the Superior Court  
3/10, 3/17, 3/24, 3/31/24  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME  
Case No. CNC-24-558597 Superior Court of California, County of SAN FRANCISCO  
Petitioner of: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN for Change of Name  
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:  
Petitioner ALICIA BIANCA VANIN filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN to BIANCA NICIA VANIN  
The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the date set for hearing on the petition in a newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county.  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER  
Date: FEBRUARY 28, 2024  
JUDGE: MARIA EVANGELISTA  
Judge of the Superior Court  
3/10, 3/17, 3/24, 3/31/24  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME  
Case No. CNC-24-558597 Superior Court of California, County of SAN FRANCISCO  
Petitioner of: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN for Change of Name  
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:  
Petitioner ALICIA BIANCA VANIN filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN to BIANCA NICIA VANIN  
The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the date set for hearing on the petition in a newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county.  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER  
Date: FEBRUARY 28, 2024  
JUDGE: MARIA EVANGELISTA  
Judge of the Superior Court  
3/10, 3/17, 3/24, 3/31/24  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME  
Case No. CNC-24-558597 Superior Court of California, County of SAN FRANCISCO  
Petitioner of: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN for Change of Name  
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:  
Petitioner ALICIA BIANCA VANIN filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN to BIANCA NICIA VANIN  
The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the date set for hearing on the petition in a newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county.  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER  
Date: FEBRUARY 28, 2024  
JUDGE: MARIA EVANGELISTA  
Judge of the Superior Court  
3/10, 3/17, 3/24, 3/31/24  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME  
Case No. CNC-24-558597 Superior Court of California, County of SAN FRANCISCO  
Petitioner of: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN for Change of Name  
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:  
Petitioner ALICIA BIANCA VANIN filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN to BIANCA NICIA VANIN  
The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the date set for hearing on the petition in a newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county.  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER  
Date: FEBRUARY 28, 2024  
JUDGE: MARIA EVANGELISTA  
Judge of the Superior Court  
3/10, 3/17, 3/24, 3/31/24  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME  
Case No. CNC-24-558597 Superior Court of California, County of SAN FRANCISCO  
Petitioner of: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN for Change of Name  
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:  
Petitioner ALICIA BIANCA VANIN filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN to BIANCA NICIA VANIN  
The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the date set for hearing on the petition in a newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county.  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER  
Date: FEBRUARY 28, 2024  
JUDGE: MARIA EVANGELISTA  
Judge of the Superior Court  
3/10, 3/17, 3/24, 3/31/24  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME  
Case No. CNC-24-558597 Superior Court of California, County of SAN FRANCISCO  
Petitioner of: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN for Change of Name  
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:  
Petitioner ALICIA BIANCA VANIN filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN to BIANCA NICIA VANIN  
The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the date set for hearing on the petition in a newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county.  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER  
Date: FEBRUARY 28, 2024  
JUDGE: MARIA EVANGELISTA  
Judge of the Superior Court  
3/10, 3/17, 3/24, 3/31/24  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

BUCKINGHAM AVE APT A, 94063, CA 94063  
AMY CAROLINA LOPEZ ALARCON, 92 BUCKINGHAM AVE APT A, 94063, CA 94063  
This business is conducted by an individual company.  
The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on N/A. I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.)  
S/ AMY LOPEZ ALARCON  
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on 02/13/2024.  
Mark Church, County Clerk  
HENRY SALGADO, Deputy Clerk  
3/17, 3/24, 3/31, 4/7/24  
NPEN-3793578#  
EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT  
File No. M-296736  
Fictitious Business Name(s)/ Trade Name (DBA): REAL SF PROPERTIES, 2051 MARKET ST., SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114  
Registered Owner(s): REAL SF PROPERTIES, LLC (CA), 2051 MARKET ST., SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114  
This business is conducted by: a limited liability company.  
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 07/21/2022.  
I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true any material matter pursuant to Section 17913 of the Business and Professions Code that the registrant knows to be false is guilty of a misdemeanor punishable by a fine not to exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000).)  
REAL SF PROPERTIES, LLC  
S/ KIRA MEAD  
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Francisco County on 03/04/2024.  
NOTICE: In accordance with Subdivision (a) of Section 17920, a Fictitious Name Statement generally expires at the end of five years from the date on which it was filed in the office of the County Clerk, except, as provided in Subdivision (b) of Section 17920, where it expires 40 days after any change in the facts set forth in the statement pursuant to Section 17913 other than a change in the residence address of a registered owner. A new Fictitious Business Name Statement must be filed before the expiration of the filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a Fictitious Business Name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law. (See Business and Professions Code) 3/10, 3/17, 3/24, 3/31/24  
CNS-379134#  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT  
File No. M-296727  
The following person(s) is (are) doing business as: (a) JH CONSTRUCTION & DESIGN, 11 CHICO CT PACIFICA, CA 94044, CT of SAN MATEO  
JESSICA HERNANDEZ, 11 CHICO CT PACIFICA, CA 94044  
This business is conducted by AN INDIVIDUAL.  
The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 01/01/2024.  
I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.)  
S/ JESSICA HERNANDEZ  
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on FEB 22, 2024  
Mark Church, County Clerk  
MARIA P. PEREZ, Deputy Clerk  
3/3, 3/10, 3/17, 3/24/24  
NPEN-3784512#  
EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT  
File No. M-296792  
The following person(s) is (are) doing business as: THE BUCKINGHAM BAZARD, 155 ABBOT AVE, DALY CITY, CA 94014, County of SAN MATEO  
A&A ENT LLC, 3856 MONTEREY ROAD SAN JOSE, CA 95111  
This business is conducted by A Limited Liability Company, State of Organization: CA  
The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on N/A. I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.)  
S/ ANA GABRIELA LECHUGA, MANAGING MEMBER  
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on FEB 28, 2024  
Mark Church, County Clerk  
MARIA P. PEREZ, Deputy Clerk  
3/10, 3/17, 3/24, 3/31/24  
NPEN-3786961#  
EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT  
File No. M-296494  
The following person(s) is (are) doing business as: A & S CLEANING SOLUTIONS, 4 SPARROW CT., EAST PALO ALTO, CA 94030  
MAILING ADDRESS: 15 22ND AVE, SAN MATEO, CA 94403, County of SAN MATEO  
SONIA MARTINEZ, 15 22ND AVE, SAN MATEO, CA 94403  
This business is conducted by AN INDIVIDUAL.  
The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on N/A. I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.)  
S/ SONIA MARTINEZ - OWNER  
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on 01/30/2024  
Mark Church, County Clerk  
MARIA GALLARDO, Deputy Clerk  
ORIGINAL  
2/25, 3/3, 3/10, 3/17/24  
NPEN-3786556#  
EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT  
File No. M-296835  
Fictitious Business Name(s)/ Trade Name (DBA): LOCKSMITH KEYS & CAR TUNING  
MARIA S GEARLY BLVD, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94121  
County of SAN FRANCISCO  
Registered Owner(s): NOAM MESSINGER, MARIA S GEARLY BLVD, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94121  
This business is conducted by: an individual  
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 2/13/2024.  
I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.)  
S/ MARIA GARCIA ESPINOZA  
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on 03/05/2024.  
Mark Church, County Clerk  
MARIA GALLARDO, Deputy Clerk  
ORIGINAL FILING  
3/17, 3/24, 3/31, 4/7/24  
NPEN-3793992#  
EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT  
File No. M-296835  
Fictitious Business Name(s)/ Trade Name (DBA): LOCKSMITH KEYS & CAR TUNING  
MARIA S GEARLY BLVD, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94121  
County of SAN FRANCISCO  
Registered Owner(s): NOAM MESSINGER, MARIA S GEARLY BLVD, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94121  
This business is conducted by: an individual  
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 2/13/2024.  
I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.)  
S/ MARIA GARCIA ESPINOZA  
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on 03/05/2024.  
Mark Church, County Clerk  
MARIA GALLARDO, Deputy Clerk  
ORIGINAL  
2/25, 3/3, 3/10, 3/17/24  
NPEN-3786556#  
EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT  
File No. M-296835  
Fictitious Business Name(s)/ Trade Name (DBA): LOCKSMITH KEYS & CAR TUNING  
MARIA S GEARLY BLVD, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94121  
County of SAN FRANCISCO  
Registered Owner(s): NOAM MESSINGER, MARIA S GEARLY BLVD, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94121  
This business is conducted by: an individual  
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 2/13/2024.  
I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.)  
S/ MARIA GARCIA ESPINOZA  
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on 03/05/2024.  
Mark Church, County Clerk  
MARIA GALLARDO, Deputy Clerk  
ORIGINAL  
2/25, 3/3, 3/10, 3/17/24  
NPEN-3786556#  
EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT  
File No. M-296835  
Fictitious Business Name(s)/ Trade Name (DBA): LOCKSMITH KEYS & CAR TUNING  
MARIA S GEARLY BLVD, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94121  
County of SAN FRANCISCO  
Registered Owner(s): NOAM MESSINGER, MARIA S GEARLY BLVD, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94121  
This business is conducted by: an individual  
The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 2/13/2024.  
I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.)  
S/ MARIA GARCIA ESPINOZA  
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on 03/05/2024.  
Mark Church, County Clerk  
MARIA GALLARDO, Deputy Clerk  
ORIGINAL  
2/25, 3/3, 3/10, 3/17/24  
NPEN-3786556#  
EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT

## Introduction Form

*(by a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor)*



I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

- 1. For reference to Committee (Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment)
- 2. Request for next printed agenda (For Adoption Without Committee Reference)  
*(Routine, non-controversial and/or commendatory matters only)*
- 3. Request for Hearing on a subject matter at Committee
- 4. Request for Letter beginning with "Supervisor  inquires..."
- 5. City Attorney Request
- 6. Call File No.  from Committee.
- 7. Budget and Legislative Analyst Request (attached written Motion)
- 8. Substitute Legislation File No.
- 9. Reactivate File No.
- 10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the Board on

The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following (please check all appropriate boxes):

- Small Business Commission       Youth Commission       Ethics Commission
- Planning Commission       Building Inspection Commission       Human Resources Department

General Plan Referral sent to the Planning Department (proposed legislation subject to Charter 4.105 & Admin 2A.53):

- Yes                       No

*(Note: For Imperative Agenda items (a Resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Agenda Form.)*

Sponsor(s):

Subject:

Long Title or text listed:

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: