

1 [Adopting findings related to disapproving the categorical exemption issued for the 2655 Scott
2 Street project.]

3 **Motion adopting findings related to disapproving the determination by the Planning**
4 **Department that the 2655 Scott Street project is categorically exempt from**
5 **environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act.**

6

7 The Planning Department determined in a staff report for a July 24, 2008, discretionary
8 review hearing and on or around September 16, 2008, by a stamp on the site permit, that a
9 proposal to add a vertical addition and fire escapes to a single-family residence at 2655 Scott
10 Street (the "Project") was categorically exempt (the "determination") from the California
11 Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"). By letter to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors dated
12 November 10, 2008, Stephen M. Williams, on behalf of Thomas and Annabel Yasuda, filed an
13 appeal of the determination to the Board of Supervisors, which the Clerk of the Board of
14 Supervisors received on or around November 10, 2008.

15 On December 16, 2008, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the
16 appeal of the determination and following the public hearing disapproved the determination of
17 the Planning Department that the Project is categorically exempt from CEQA.

18 In reviewing the appeal of the categorical exemption determination, this Board
19 reviewed and considered the written record before the Board and all of the public comments
20 made in support of and opposed to the appeal. Following the conclusion of the public
21 hearing, the Board disapproved the Planning Department's categorical exemption
22 determination for 2655 Scott Street based on the written record before the Board as well as all
23 of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal. Said Motion
24 and written record is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 081432 and is
25 incorporated herein as though set forth in its entirety.

1 In regard to said decision, this Board made certain findings specifying the basis for its
2 decision to disapprove the Planning Department's approval of the determination for 2655
3 Scott Street based on the whole record before the Board including the written record in File
4 No. 081432, which is hereby declared to be a part of this motion as if set forth fully herein; the
5 written submissions to and official written records of the Planning Department determination
6 related to the 2655 Scott Street project; the official written and oral testimony at and audio and
7 video records of the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation
8 of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all
9 parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the categorical exemption.

10 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and
11 County of San Francisco finds that the Appellant has raised legitimate questions and
12 presented expert testimony concerning whether the conclusions of the Planning Department
13 that the project will comply with the Secretary of Interior Standards and will not adversely
14 impact an historic resource are supported by the record.

15 FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that the Planning
16 Department should re-evaluate its conclusion that the addition to 2655 Scott Street complies
17 with the Secretary of Interior Standards and following such re-evaluation either prepare a new
18 exemption determination that takes into consideration all of the evidence presented to the
19 Board and thoroughly supports its conclusions that the project complies with the Secretary of
20 Interior Standards or undertake a negative declaration or environmental impact report as may
21 be required by CEQA.

22

23

24

25