

File No. 250687

Committee Item No. _____

Board Item No. 28

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: _____

Date: _____

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date: June 24, 2025

Cmte Board

- | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Motion |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Resolution |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Ordinance |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Legislative Digest |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Budget and Legislative Analyst Report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Youth Commission Report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Introduction Form |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | MOU |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Grant Information Form |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Grant Budget |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Subcontract Budget |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Contract/Agreement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Form 126 – Ethics Commission |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Award Letter |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Application |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Public Correspondence |

OTHER

- | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Senate Bill 48 4/23/25 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Youth Commission Motion No. 2425-AL-29 6/16/25 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | CSAC/LCC Standing 6/17/25 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | _____ |

Prepared by: Lisa Lew

Date: June 20, 2025

Prepared by: _____

Date: _____

1 [Supporting California Senate Bill No. 48 (Gonzalez) - Immigration Enforcement: Schoolsites -
2 Prohibitions on Access and Sharing Information to Protect All Students]

3 **Resolution supporting California Senate Bill No. 48, introduced by Senator Lena**
4 **Gonzalez, and coauthored by Senators Anna Caballero, Maria Elena Durazo and Eloise**
5 **Gómez Reyes, Immigration enforcement: schoolsites - Prohibitions on Access and**
6 **Sharing Information, unless the Immigration Authority presents a valid judicial warrant**
7 **or court order, to protect all students.**

8
9 WHEREAS, California Senate Bill No. 48 (SB 48) aims to prohibit school districts,
10 county offices of education, or charter schools and their personnel, to the extent
11 possible, from granting permission to an immigration authority to access the nonpublic areas
12 of a schoolsite, producing a pupil for questioning by an immigration authority at a schoolsite,
13 or consenting to a search of any kind of the nonpublic areas of a schoolsite by an immigration
14 authority, unless presented with a valid judicial warrant or court order; and

15 WHEREAS, There have been numerous incidents throughout California, including two
16 attempts on April 7, 2025, in Los Angeles by ICE agents seeking entry into two elementary
17 school sites looking for five young children, and while denied entry, these actions have
18 terrified the community and impacted student attendance due to parents fear for the safety of
19 their children at school; and

20 WHEREAS, There have been many incidents of ICE agents' reported presence around
21 schools throughout the State; and

22 WHEREAS, The Trump Administration has been targeting international college
23 students and professors aggressively for deportation since February 2025; and

1 WHEREAS, The United States Department of Homeland Security in January rescinded
2 the long-standing federal policy limiting enforcement actions in or near “sensitive” areas,
3 including schoolsites, hospitals and churches; and

4 WHEREAS, According to the San Francisco Chronicle, as of April 14, 2025, 60
5 students from colleges throughout California have had their valid student visas revoked; and

6 WHEREAS, On June 4, 2025, in San Francisco, ICE detained families, including
7 children as young as three years old, taking them into custody and marking them for
8 immediate deportation; and

9 WHEREAS, San Francisco Unified School District’s (SFUSD) has clearly established
10 policies and protocols in place to protect all students and uphold every young person’s
11 constitutional right to feel safe and supported in school; and

12 WHEREAS, SFUSD’s Refugee and Immigrant Solidarity in Education (RISE-SF)
13 supports schools in ensuring their communities are aware of their rights and prepared to
14 defend them, and provides sanctuary resources for families in multiple languages; and

15 WHEREAS, The San Francisco Youth Commission passed Motion No. 2425-AL-29 on
16 June 16, 2025, supporting SB 48, in accordance with their values, and speaking on behalf of
17 youth in San Francisco have asked the Board of Supervisors to also support this bill; and

18 WHEREAS, As a proud Sanctuary City, the Board of Supervisors has reaffirmed the
19 City’s commitment to the principles of the Sanctuary Ordinance, which prohibits City
20 departments from assisting federal immigration enforcement, unless required by law, and
21 aims to protect immigrant communities and ensure all residents feel safe; and

22 WHEREAS, SB 48 aims to address the need to protect school site students and
23 personnel by placing prohibitions on access and sharing information, unless the immigration
24 authority presents a valid judicial warrant or court order, in accordance with the rule of law;
25 now, therefore, be it

1 RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors fully supports California Senate Bill No. 48
2 and urges the California State Assembly to pass this legislation; and, be it

3 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and
4 County of San Francisco will transmit a copy of this Resolution to San Francisco's State five
5 Legislative Delegation, City and County of San Francisco State Lobbyist, California Governor
6 Gavin Newsom and the bill's primary sponsors, Senators Gonzalez, Caballero, Durazo, and
7 Reyes, co-authors Senators Arreguín, Cortese, Pérez, and principal State Assembly co-
8 authors Assembly Members Muratsuchi, Bonta, Ortega, and Solache.



Bill Text: CA SB48 | 2025-2026 | Regular Session | Amended California Senate Bill 48

Bill Title: Immigration enforcement: schoolsites: prohibitions on access and sharing information.

Spectrum: Partisan Bill (Democrat 13-0)

Status: (*Engrossed*) 2025-06-09 - Referred to Coms. on ED. and JUD. [SB48 Detail]

Download: California-2025-SB48-Amended.html

AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 23, 2025

AMENDED IN SENATE MARCH 24, 2025

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE— 2025–2026 REGULAR SESSION

SENATE BILL

NO. 48

Introduced by Senator Gonzalez
(Principal coauthors: Senators Caballero, Durazo, and Reyes)
(Principal coauthor: Assembly Member Muratsuchi)
(Coauthors: Senators Arreguín, Cortese, Pérez, and Rubio)
(Coauthors: Assembly Members Bonta, Mark González, Ortega, and Solache)

December 16, 2024

An act to add Section 234.8 to the Education Code, relating to immigration enforcement, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 48, as amended, Gonzalez. Immigration enforcement: schoolsites: prohibitions on access and sharing information.

Existing law prohibits, except as required by state or federal law or as required to administer a state- or federally supported educational program, school officials and employees of a school district, county office of education, or charter school from collecting information or documents regarding citizenship or immigration status of pupils or their family members.

This bill would prohibit school districts, county offices of education, or charter schools and their ~~personnel~~ *personnel, to the extent possible*, from granting permission to an immigration authority to access *the nonpublic areas of* a schoolsite, producing a pupil for questioning by an immigration authority at a schoolsite, or consenting to a search of any kind ~~at~~ *of the nonpublic areas of* a schoolsite by an immigration authority, unless the immigration authority presents a valid judicial warrant or court order. The bill would require a local educational agency and its personnel, when presented with a valid judicial warrant or court order to carry out the above-described actions, to (1) request valid identification and a written statement of purpose from the immigration authority and retain copies of those documents and (2), as early as possible, notify the designated local educational agency administrator of the request and advise the immigration authority that the local educational agency administrator is required to provide direction before access to the *nonpublic areas of a* schoolsite or pupil may be granted. The bill would require a local educational agency and its personnel, if an immigration authority does not present a valid judicial warrant or court order, to (1), as early as possible, notify the designated local educational agency administrator of the request, (2) deny the immigration authority access to the *nonpublic areas of the* schoolsite, and (3) make a reasonable effort to have the denial witnessed and documented. The bill would also prohibit a local educational agency and its personnel from disclosing or providing, in writing, verbally, or in any other manner, the education records of or any information about a pupil, pupil's family and household, school employee, or teacher to an immigration authority without a valid judicial warrant or court

order directing the local educational agency or its personnel to do so. The bill would also require the Attorney General to publish model policies to assist K–12 schools in responding to immigration issues pursuant to the above-described requirements. By imposing additional duties on local educational agencies, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to the statutory provisions noted above.

This bill would declare that it is to take effect immediately as an urgency statute.

Digest Key

Vote: 2/3 Appropriation: no Fiscal Committee: yes Local Program: yes

Bill Text

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. (a) The Legislature finds and declares all of the following:

- (1) Immigrant families are facing increased threats of deportation.
- (2) According to estimates as recent as 2022, 46 percent of California's 9 million children are part of immigrant families, 1 in 5 California children live in mixed-status families, and 93 percent of children in mixed-status families are United States citizens.
- (3) California schools report that immigration authorities have targeted and detained pupils' parents as they transport the pupils to or from school.
- (4) A report by the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization found that in the United States, deportation fears have an impact on school attendance.
- (5) The United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization found that the fear is exacerbated if schools allow immigration authorities to search the facilities or collect immigration information on pupils.
- (6) A report by the California Advisory Committee to the United States Commission on Civil Rights found that an increase in families' fear of deportation has a "chilling effect on education for undocumented children and children of undocumented parents," resulting in decreased school attendance and school funding, which is intended to support all pupils.
- (7) Studies have also shown strong associations between increased immigration enforcement and increased absenteeism for Latinx pupils and children from immigrant families. This research also demonstrated that deportation threats have many effects on the academic success of Latinx pupils and pupils from immigrant families, including difficulties with concentration in school, lower achievement in mathematics, repeated grade levels, and an increase in dropout rates in some areas where intense immigration enforcement action takes place.
- (8) Current law guarantees that all children have a right to free public education, regardless of their immigration status, and a right to be in a public school learning environment that is free of discrimination, harassment, bullying, violence, or intimidation based on ethnicity, shared ancestry, or national origin.
- (9) The California Constitution provides all pupils and school personnel, regardless of immigration status, the inalienable right to attend campuses that are safe, secure, and peaceful.
- (10) Increases in school absenteeism cause school revenue to decline in California, where school funding is connected to pupil attendance.

(b) Therefore, it is the intent of the Legislature to do all of the following:

- (1) Keep California's educational resources and personnel focused on providing the stable and secure learning environment to which our pupils have a right regardless of their immigration status.
- (2) Protect needed school revenue that will be diminished as a result of immigration enforcement threats on or near school campuses. These deportation threats cause families, including families of citizens of the United States, to be afraid to send their pupils to school, thereby reducing school revenue and preventing pupils of all backgrounds from obtaining a quality education.
- (3) Assure California families that our schools are not in the business of immigration enforcement and that educational personnel can remain focused on providing quality education to pupils. Pupils' safety, well-being, and access to education are paramount. It is also critical to preserve school funding in the face of declining enrollment and other factors that reduce school revenue. By limiting interruptions in instructional time and fostering an environment where pupils feel safe learning, California will protect pupils, families, and school employees, and preserve schools' attendance-based revenue.

(4) Align state law and the Attorney General's guidance and model policies to assist California's K-12 schools in responding to immigration issues.

SEC. 2. Section 234.8 is added to the Education Code, immediately following Section 234.7, to read:

234.8. (a) (1) A local educational agency and its personnel shall ~~not~~ *not, to the extent possible*, do any of the following, unless pursuant to a valid judicial warrant or court order:

- (A) Grant permission to access *the nonpublic areas of* a schoolsite to an immigration authority.
- (B) Produce a pupil for questioning by an immigration authority at a schoolsite.
- (C) Consent to a search of any kind ~~at~~ *of the nonpublic areas of* a schoolsite by an immigration authority.

(2) If an immigration authority presents a valid judicial warrant or court order to access a schoolsite, have a pupil be produced for questioning at a schoolsite, or conduct a search of any kind at a schoolsite, then the responding local educational agency and its personnel shall do both of the following:

- (A) Request from the immigration authority valid personal identification and a written statement of purposes, and retain a copy of the provided documentation.
- (B) As early as possible, notify the designated local educational agency administrator of the request, and advise the immigration authority that the local educational agency administrator is required to provide direction before access to the schoolsite or pupil may be granted.

(3) If an immigration authority, without a valid judicial warrant or court order, requests access to *the nonpublic areas of* a schoolsite, requests a pupil be produced for questioning at a schoolsite, or demands to conduct a search of any kind ~~at~~ *of the nonpublic areas of* a schoolsite, then the responding local educational agency and its personnel shall do all of the following:

- (A) As early as possible, notify the designated local educational agency administrator of the request.
- (B) Deny the immigration authority access to the *nonpublic areas of the* schoolsite.
- (C) Make a reasonable effort to have the denial witnessed and documented.

(b) A local educational agency and its personnel shall not disclose or provide in writing, verbally, or in any other manner, the education records of or any information about a pupil, pupil's family and household, school employee, or teacher, including, but not limited to, personal information as defined in subdivision (a) of Section 1798.3 of the Civil Code, information about a pupil's home, and information about a pupil's travel schedule, to an immigration authority without a valid judicial warrant or court order directing the local educational agency or its personnel to do so. Any disclosure of a pupil's education records pursuant to a valid judicial warrant or court order shall satisfy the parent notification requirements pursuant to Section 99.31(a)(9)(ii) of Title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

(c) For purposes of this section, the following definitions shall apply:

(1) "Immigration authority" means any federal, state, or local officer, employee, or person performing immigration enforcement functions.

(2) "Immigration enforcement" includes any and all efforts to investigate, enforce, or assist in the investigation or enforcement of any federal civil immigration law, and any and all efforts to investigate, enforce, or assist in the investigation or enforcement of any federal criminal immigration law that penalizes a person's presence in, entry, or reentry to, or employment in, the United States.

~~(2)~~

(3) "Local educational agency" means a school district, county office of education, or charter school.

~~(3)~~

(4) "Schoolsite" means an individual school campus of a school district, county office of education, or charter school, an area where a local educational agency's school-sponsored activity is currently being held, or a schoolbus or other transportation provided by a local educational agency.

(d) This section does not prohibit or restrict any governmental entity or official from sending to, or receiving from, federal immigration authorities, information regarding the citizenship or immigration status, lawful or unlawful, of an individual, or from requesting from federal immigration authorities immigration status information, lawful or unlawful, of any individual, or maintaining or exchanging that information with any other federal, state, or local governmental entity, pursuant to Sections 1373 and 1644 of Title 8 of the United States Code.

(e) The Attorney General shall publish model policies to assist K-12 schools in responding to immigration issues pursuant to the requirements of this section.

SEC. 3. If the Commission on State Mandates determines that this act contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement to local agencies and school districts for those costs shall be made pursuant to Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4 of Title 2 of the Government Code.

SEC. 4. This act is an urgency statute necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, or safety within the meaning of Article IV of the California Constitution and shall go into immediate effect. The facts constituting the necessity are:

To ensure that schools continue to provide children and their families guaranteed access to school campuses without contributing to fear of deportation, harassment, or intimidation by immigration authorities and to retain critically needed attendance-based funding, it is necessary that this act take effect immediately.

[Motion to Submit Letters of Support for Immigration Bill SB 48]

Motion to urge the Board of Supervisors and Mayor to support California

Legislative Bill Senate Bill 48.

WHEREAS, The San Francisco Youth Commission is a chartered body in the City and County of San Francisco that represents the needs and voices of youth, including newcomers; and

WHEREAS, In April 2025, ICE officers attempted to enter two Los Angeles elementary schools looking for five students as young as six years old, raising concerns to parents about the risks of taking their children to school, despite being located in a Sanctuary District; and

WHEREAS, According to the SF Chronicle, as of April 14th, 2025, 68 students from colleges across California have had their visas revoked; and

WHEREAS, on June 4th, 2025, after appearing for ICE check-in appointments, including four children, with the youngest being 3 years old, were taken into custody by ICE, eliciting fear amongst newcomer families across the Bay Area; and

WHEREAS, on June 10th, 2025, ICE raids took place in Ventura County where ICE agents were reported to be seen loitering near schools; and

WHEREAS, SB 48 would prohibit Immigration authorities from entering non-public areas without a warrant; and

WHEREAS, Youth living in predominantly newcomer neighborhoods already face barriers such as poverty, crime, and lack of access to resources; and

1 WHEREAS, The Youth Commission recognizes the importance of newcomer
2 protection and stands with our newcomer communities, noted through the 2024/2025,
3 2023/2024, and 2017/2018 Budget Policy Priorities; and

4 MOVED, That the San Francisco Youth Commission hereby urges the Mayor and
5 Board of Supervisors to urge the State Legislature to support SB 48; and therefore be it

6 MOVED, That the San Francisco Youth Commission urges San Francisco's state
7 legislative representatives, including Senator Scott Wiener, Assemblymember Catherine
8 Stefani, and Assemblymember Matt Haney, to take action in support of SB 48; and

9 FURTHER MOVED, That the San Francisco Youth Commission urges the Mayor
10 and the Board of Supervisors to continue to provide newcomer support and protection in
11 San Francisco.

[Motion to Submit Letters of Support for Immigration Bill SB 48]

Supplemental Information:

See attached document [Letter of Support]

Youth Commission
City Hall ~ Room 345
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place



(415) 554-6446
(415) 554-6140 FAX

San Francisco Youth Commission
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl
Room 345, San Francisco, CA 94102

May 19, 2025

Senator Lena González
1021 O Street
Suite 8610
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Support for California Legislative Senate Bill 48

Dear Senator Gonzalez,

On behalf of the San Francisco Youth Commission, we are writing to express our support for your bill, SB 48 (González). SB 48 would prohibit school districts, county offices of education, or charter schools and their personnel from granting immigration authorities access to the non-public areas of a school site without presenting a warrant.

The Youth Commission is a body of 17 youth from San Francisco between the ages of 12 and 23. Created by the voters under a 1995 amendment to the City Charter, the Commission is responsible for advising the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor on policies and laws related to young people. The Youth Commission is also charged with providing comments and recommendations on all proposed laws that would primarily affect youth before the Board of Supervisors takes final action.

Youth Commission
City Hall ~ Room 345
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place



(415) 554-6446
(415) 554-6140 FAX

The Youth Commission has been prioritizing the implementation of immigration support in San Francisco, shown in our 2024-2025 Budget and Policy Priorities. The

Youth Commission values the right to be and feel safe in San Francisco as newcomers. The Youth Commission encourages support services such as legal defense and language access. It is essential to protect newcomers from immigration enforcement, especially on public property.

Thank you for your continued leadership in California and supporting this pressing youth concern.

Sincerely,

San Francisco Youth Commission 2024-2025

From: [Ferrigno, Jennifer \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Gee, Natalie \(BOS\)](#); [Low, Jen \(BOS\)](#); [Yan, Calvin \(BOS\)](#); [Gutierrez Garcia, Jessica \(BOS\)](#); [Prager, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Anderson, Mace \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: D9 Fielder – Resolution [Supporting California Senate Bill 48 (Gonzalez) Immigration enforcement: Schoolsites–Prohibitions on Access and Sharing Information to Protect All Students]
Date: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 4:20:13 PM

Hi Arthur,

Neither CSAC nor LCC have taken a position on this bill. Thanks!

Take care,

Jen

.....
Jennifer Ferrigno, Legislative Aide
San Francisco District 9 | Supervisor Jackie Fielder
jennifer.ferrigno@sfgov.org | c. 415.307.0232
(she/her/ella)

From: BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 4:08 PM
To: Ferrigno, Jennifer (BOS) <jennifer.ferrigno@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>
Cc: BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>; Fielder, Jackie (BOS) <Jackie.Fielder@sfgov.org>; Gee, Natalie (BOS) <natalie.gee@sfgov.org>; Low, Jen (BOS) <jen.low@sfgov.org>; Yan, Calvin (BOS) <calvin.yan@sfgov.org>; Gutierrez Garcia, Jessica (BOS) <Jessica.GutierrezGarcia@sfgov.org>; Prager, Jackie (BOS) <jackie.prager@sfgov.org>; Anderson, Mace (BOS) <mace.anderson@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: D9 Fielder – Resolution [Supporting California Senate Bill 48 (Gonzalez) Immigration enforcement: Schoolsites–Prohibitions on Access and Sharing Information to Protect All Students]

Hi Jen,

Please also provide CSAC and LCC positions on the subject Senate Bill.

Thanks,

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-4447 | (415) 554-5163

arthur.khoo@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ferrigno, Jennifer (BOS) <jennifer.ferrigno@sfgov.org>

Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2025 4:00 PM

To: Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>

Cc: BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>; Fielder, Jackie (BOS) <Jackie.Fielder@sfgov.org>; Gee, Natalie (BOS) <natalie.gee@sfgov.org>; Low, Jen (BOS) <jen.low@sfgov.org>; Yan, Calvin (BOS) <calvin.yan@sfgov.org>; Gutierrez Garcia, Jessica (BOS) <Jessica.GutierrezGarcia@sfgov.org>; Prager, Jackie (BOS) <jackie.prager@sfgov.org>; Anderson, Mace (BOS) <mace.anderson@sfgov.org>

Subject: D9 Fielder – Resolution [Supporting California Senate Bill 48 (Gonzalez) Immigration enforcement: Schoolsites–Prohibitions on Access and Sharing Information to Protect All Students]

Dear Clerks,

Supervisor Fielder is introducing legislation today: Resolution [Supporting California Senate Bill 48 (Gonzalez) Immigration enforcement: Schoolsites–Prohibitions on Access and Sharing Information to Protect All Students]

I have attached the introduction form, the resolution in both word and pdf formats, a copy of the Youth Commission Motion on this topic, and a copy of the California State Senate Bill 48. Supervisor Fielder's digital signature serves in place of a wet signature.

Staff from the offices of Supervisors Walton, Melgar, Chan, Mahmood, and Chen are included to confirm co-sponsorship. I am also confirming this item is non-controversial in nature and should be treated as a FAWCR.

Let me know if you need anything else from our office.

Thank you!

Jen

.....
Jennifer Ferrigno, Legislative Aide
San Francisco District 9 | Supervisor Jackie Fielder

jennifer.ferrigno@sfgov.org | c. 415.307.0232
(she/her/ella)

Introduction Form

(by a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor)

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

- ☐ 1. For reference to Committee (Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment)
- ☐ 2. Request for next printed agenda (For Adoption Without Committee Reference)
(Routine, non-controversial and/or commendatory matters only)
- ☐ 3. Request for Hearing on a subject matter at Committee
- ☐ 4. Request for Letter beginning with "Supervisor inquires..."
- ☐ 5. City Attorney Request
- ☐ 6. Call File No. from Committee.
- ☐ 7. Budget and Legislative Analyst Request (attached written Motion)
- ☐ 8. Substitute Legislation File No.
- ☐ 9. Reactivate File No.
- ☐ 10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the Board on

The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following (please check all appropriate boxes):

- ☐ Small Business Commission ☐ Youth Commission ☐ Ethics Commission
- ☐ Planning Commission ☐ Building Inspection Commission ☐ Human Resources Department

General Plan Referral sent to the Planning Department (proposed legislation subject to Charter 4.105 & Admin 2A.53):

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

(Note: For Imperative Agenda items (a Resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Agenda Form.)

Sponsor(s):

Subject:

Long Title or text listed:

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: