

File No. 240653

Committee Item No. \_\_\_\_\_

Board Item No. 77

## COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

### AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date: June 11, 2024

#### Cmte Board

- Motion
- Resolution
- Ordinance
- Legislative Digest
- Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
- Youth Commission Report
- Introduction Form
- Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
- MOU
- Grant Information Form
- Grant Budget
- Subcontract Budget
- Contract/Agreement
- Form 126 – Ethics Commission
- Award Letter
- Application
- Public Correspondence

#### OTHER

- Senate Bill 925 - 1/11/24
- Public Works Order No. 208803 - 11/27/23
- CSAC and LCC Position
- \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_

Prepared by: Lisa Lew

Date: June 7, 2024

Prepared by: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

1 [Supporting California State Senate Bill No. 925 (Wiener) - Combating Street Fencing of  
2 Stolen Goods]

3 **Resolution supporting California State Senate Bill No. 925 introduced by California**  
4 **State Senator Scott Wiener to combat the fencing of stolen merchandise on San**  
5 **Francisco streets.**

6  
7 WHEREAS, San Francisco neighborhoods like the Mission have long celebrated a rich  
8 street vending culture that has contributed to the vibrancy of the city; and

9 WHEREAS, The City’s sidewalk vending program and the economic opportunities it  
10 brings for permitted street vendors has been threatened by the proliferation of fencing, the  
11 sale of stolen goods on our streets; and

12 WHEREAS, Individuals fencing stolen goods have threatened, extorted and/or  
13 physically assaulted frontline SF Public Works employees, community members and  
14 permitted sidewalk vendors; and

15 WHEREAS, Fencers have also blocked sidewalks, making passage to public transit,  
16 small businesses, and neighborhoods for pedestrians unsafe and inaccessible; and

17 WHEREAS, Prior to 2018, the San Francisco Police Department issued peddler  
18 permits for authorized street vendors and enforced street vending regulations; and

19 WHEREAS, In 2018, California decriminalized sidewalk vending with the passage of  
20 Senate Bill No. 946, the “Safe Sidewalk Vending Act,” effectively barring law enforcement  
21 from enforcing violations related to street vending, but never imagined that criminal operations  
22 would take advantage of this law to sell stolen goods on the sidewalk; and

23 WHEREAS, This legal change required San Francisco to move away from police  
24 enforcement of street vending to exclusively rely on administrative enforcement - even of  
25

1 fencing - by SF Public Works which became untenable as conditions on the streets  
2 deteriorated under the influx of criminal activity; and

3 WHEREAS, Public Works Order No. 208803 stated that Mission Police Station had  
4 “experienced elevated numbers of calls for service associated with the Mission Street Corridor  
5 that can be attributed in part to illegal purchasing and vending (or “fencing”) of stolen property,  
6 particularly around the intersections of 24th and Mission Streets and 16th and Mission  
7 Streets;” and

8 WHEREAS, “...on nearly a daily basis, police officers have observed the fencing of  
9 suspected stolen property on or about the Mission Street Corridor;” and

10 WHEREAS, Overwhelming fencing activity led the City to issue a moratorium on  
11 sidewalk sales along Mission Street between 14th and Cesar Chavez Streets, including sales  
12 by permitted street vendors, undermining the intent of SB 946; and

13 WHEREAS, Senate Bill No. 925, would allow San Francisco to create permitting  
14 requirements to regulate the sale of items commonly obtained through retail theft and impose  
15 criminal penalties for those that engage in this practice; and

16 WHEREAS, Senate Bill No. 925, would allow law enforcement officers to address  
17 fencing activities without the presence of SF Public Works employees; and

18 WHEREAS, SF Public Works, which took over issuing street vendor permits in 2022,  
19 would continue to administer a low-cost, accessible sidewalk vending program that preserves  
20 economic opportunities for authorized sidewalk vendors; and

21 WHEREAS, The passage of Senate Bill No. 925 would bring greater safety, order and  
22 opportunities for commercial corridors to flourish; now, therefore, be it

23 RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco  
24 hereby expresses its strong support for Senate Bill No. 925 and urges the California State  
25 Legislature and Governor to support Senate Bill No. 925; and, be it

1           FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby directs the Clerk of the  
2 Board to transmit a copy of this Resolution to Governor Gavin Newsom, President pro  
3 Tempore Mike McGuire, Speaker of the State Assembly Robert Rivas, State Senator Scott  
4 Wiener, Assembly Member Matt Haney, Assembly Member Phil Ting to signify the Board's  
5 endorsement and encouragement for the swift passage and implementation of this critical  
6 legislation.

7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY JUNE 3, 2024

AMENDED IN SENATE MARCH 20, 2024

**SENATE BILL**

**No. 925**

---

---

**Introduced by Senator Wiener**

January 11, 2024

---

---

An act to amend ~~Section 9146 of the Government Code, relating to the Legislature.~~ *Section 51037 of the Government Code, and to add Section 496f to the Penal Code, relating to crimes.*

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 925, as amended, Wiener. ~~Legislative review of state agency action.~~ *City and County of San Francisco: merchandising sales.*

*Under existing law, knowingly buying or receiving stolen property or property that has been obtained in any manner constituting theft or extortion, as specified, is punishable as either a misdemeanor or a felony if the value of the property exceeds \$950. Existing law authorizes a local authority to, by ordinance or resolution, adopt requirements regulating the time, place, and manner of sidewalk vending if the requirements are directly related to objective health, safety, or welfare concerns. Existing law prohibits a local authority from regulating sidewalk vendors, except in accordance with certain provisions.*

*This bill would authorize the City and County of San Francisco to adopt an ordinance prohibiting the sale of specified merchandise on public property without a permit, if the ordinance includes specified written findings, including, among other things, that there has been a significant pattern of merchandise being the subject of retail theft and then appearing for sale on public property within the City and County of San Francisco. The bill would require an ordinance adopted by the City and County of San Francisco to, among other things, identify a*

local permitting agency that is responsible for administering a permit system. The bill would authorize the ordinance to provide that selling merchandise without a permit is punishable as an infraction, and that subsequent violations after 2 prior convictions is an infraction or a misdemeanor punishable by imprisonment in the county jail not exceeding 6 months. By creating a new crime, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

This bill would make legislative findings and declarations as to the necessity of a special statute for the City and County of San Francisco.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Existing law requires a state agency, as specified, to notify the Joint Legislative Budget Committee not less than 60 days prior to the effective date on which the state agency will establish or change a federal aid allocation formula to a local agency. If the chairperson of the committee informs committee members of the chairperson's intention to waive the 60-day notification period, existing law permits the chairperson to grant a waiver of that notification period after receipt of the notification if an objection is not received within 10 days. Existing law requires, upon the request of the chairperson or any member of the committee, the committee to schedule a hearing on the proposed allocation formula to be established or changed.

This bill would reduce the objection period before granting a waiver to 9 days.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: ~~no~~yes.  
State-mandated local program: ~~no~~yes.

*The people of the State of California do enact as follows:*

1 SECTION 1. Section 51037 of the Government Code is  
2 amended to read:

3 51037. (a) A local authority shall not regulate sidewalk vendors  
4 except in accordance with Sections 51038 and 51039.

5 (b) ~~Nothing in this~~ This chapter shall not be construed to affect  
6 the applicability of Part 7 (commencing with Section 113700) of

1 Division 104 of the Health and Safety Code to a sidewalk vendor  
2 who sells food.

3 (c) ~~Nothing in this~~ This chapter shall *not* be construed to require  
4 a local authority to adopt a new program to regulate sidewalk  
5 vendors if the local authority has established an existing program  
6 that substantially complies with the requirements in this chapter.

7 (d) *This chapter shall not be construed to affect the applicability*  
8 *of state or local laws that prohibit the purchase or sale of stolen*  
9 *property, including, but not limited to, Section 496 or 496f of the*  
10 *Penal Code.*

11 *SEC. 2. Section 496f is added to the Penal Code, to read:*

12 *496f. (a) The City and County of San Francisco may adopt an*  
13 *ordinance requiring a permit for the sale, on public property,*  
14 *including public streets or sidewalks, of merchandise that the City*  
15 *and County of San Francisco has determined is a common target*  
16 *of retail theft. If the city and county passes such an ordinance, the*  
17 *ordinance shall include all of the following written findings:*

18 (1) *That there has been a significant pattern of merchandise*  
19 *being the subject of retail theft and then appearing for sale on*  
20 *public property within the City and County of San Francisco.*

21 (2) *That requiring a permit to sell will further the objective of*  
22 *preventing retail theft.*

23 (3) *That there are reasonable permit requirements to enable*  
24 *the lawful sale of merchandise and to safeguard civil rights.*

25 (b) *An ordinance adopted pursuant to this section may remain*  
26 *in effect for up to three years, subject to annual renewal of the*  
27 *written findings.*

28 (c) (1) *An ordinance adopted pursuant to this section shall*  
29 *identify a local permitting agency, separate from the San Francisco*  
30 *Police Department, that shall be responsible for administering a*  
31 *permit system.*

32 (2) *The permitting agency shall adopt rules and procedures for*  
33 *administering the permit system.*

34 (3) *The permitting agency shall issue permits to persons who*  
35 *are able to demonstrate that they obtained the merchandise*  
36 *lawfully and not through theft or extortion.*

37 (d) *An ordinance may provide that selling merchandise without*  
38 *a permit is punishable as an infraction, and that subsequent*  
39 *violations after two prior convictions are infractions or*

1 *misdemeanors punishable by imprisonment in the county jail not*  
2 *exceeding six months.*

3 *(e) Nothing in this section shall be construed to affect the*  
4 *applicability of other state or local laws, including, but not limited*  
5 *to, Section 496.*

6 *SEC. 3. The Legislature finds and declares that a special statute*  
7 *is necessary and that a general statute cannot be made applicable*  
8 *within the meaning of Section 16 of Article IV of the California*  
9 *Constitution because of the need to address the issues relative to*  
10 *fencing and retail theft operations in the City and County of San*  
11 *Francisco.*

12 *SEC. 4. No reimbursement is required by this act pursuant to*  
13 *Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution because*  
14 *the only costs that may be incurred by a local agency or school*  
15 *district will be incurred because this act creates a new crime or*  
16 *infraction, eliminates a crime or infraction, or changes the penalty*  
17 *for a crime or infraction, within the meaning of Section 17556 of*  
18 *the Government Code, or changes the definition of a crime within*  
19 *the meaning of Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California*  
20 *Constitution.*

21 ~~SECTION 1. Section 9146 of the Government Code is amended~~  
22 ~~to read:~~

23 ~~9146. Any state agency which is required or permitted by~~  
24 ~~federal law or regulation to establish or alter a federal aid allocation~~  
25 ~~formula to a local agency shall notify the Joint Legislative Budget~~  
26 ~~Committee not less than 60 days prior to the effective date of the~~  
27 ~~establishment or change in the federal aid allocation formula. The~~  
28 ~~chairperson of the Joint Legislative Budget Committee may grant~~  
29 ~~a waiver of the 60-day notification period after receipt of the~~  
30 ~~notification.~~

31 ~~The chairperson shall inform members of the Joint Legislative~~  
32 ~~Budget Committee of the chairperson's intention to waive the~~  
33 ~~60-day notification period after such notification. If an objection~~  
34 ~~is not received within 9 days, the chairperson shall proceed to grant~~  
35 ~~the waiver of the 60-day notification period.~~

36 ~~The notification shall contain the federal law or regulation~~  
37 ~~necessitating or authorizing the establishment or change, a~~  
38 ~~description of the proposed allocation formula to be established~~  
39 ~~or changed, as the case may be, and an estimate of the resulting~~



1 ~~increase or decrease in federal aid allocated to the affected local~~  
2 ~~agency.~~

3 ~~When requested by the chairperson or by any member of the~~  
4 ~~committee, or when the Legislature is in session, when requested~~  
5 ~~by the chairperson of the committee, the Joint Legislative Budget~~  
6 ~~Committee shall schedule a hearing on the proposed allocation~~  
7 ~~formula to be established or changed, as the case may be.~~

8 ~~“Local agency” as used in this section, means any city, county,~~  
9 ~~city and county, special district, school district, community college~~  
10 ~~district, and county office of education.~~

11 ~~The establishment or changes in federal aid allocation formulas~~  
12 ~~affecting less than one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) in~~  
13 ~~federal aid in any fiscal year shall be exempt from the provisions~~  
14 ~~of this section.~~

15 ~~This section does not apply to any reallocation of funds by a~~  
16 ~~state agency from or to a local agency if the state agency finds that~~  
17 ~~either of the following conditions, or both, exist:~~

18 ~~(a) The local agency cannot spend its entire allocation within~~  
19 ~~the period established by the federal government.~~

20 ~~(b) The failure to spend the funds could lead to their recapture~~  
21 ~~by the federal government or to a reduced allocation of federal~~  
22 ~~funds in subsequent years.~~



San Francisco Public Works  
General – Director’s Office  
49 South Van Ness Ave., Suite 1600  
San Francisco, CA 94103  
(628) 271-3160 [www.SFPublicWorks.org](http://www.SFPublicWorks.org)

**Public Works Order No: 208803**

**PROHIBITING VENDING WITHIN A 300-FOOT RADIUS OF THE MISSION STREET CORRIDOR (MISSION STREET BETWEEN 14TH AND CESAR CHAVEZ STREETS), BETWEEN THE HOURS OF 8 AM AND 5 AM, SUNDAY THROUGH SATURDAY, FOR NINETY (90) DAYS FROM THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS ORDER, DUE TO OBJECTIVE HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE CONCERNS.**

WHEREAS, In accordance with Article 5.9 of the Public Works Code, the Department of Public Works (“Department”) previously adopted the Guidelines for the Issuance of Permits, and for Inspection and Enforcement of Street Vendors Operating Within the Public Rights-of-Way (“Regulations”), and the Regulations took effect on July 1, 2022; and

WHEREAS, Pursuant to Government Code Section 51083(c), Public Works Code Section 5.9-8(a)(2), and Section II.A.6 of the Regulations, the Department is authorized to restrict locations on which Vending is allowed on the basis of objective public health, safety, and welfare concerns; and

WHEREAS, Public Works Code Section 5.9-2 defines to “Vend” as “[t]o sell, offer for sale, expose or display for sale, solicit offers to purchase, or barter Food or Merchandise” and including “[to] offer[] free samples of Food or Merchandise that are also for sale, or [to] negotiat[e] fees for Food or Merchandise;” and

WHEREAS, The Regulations require Vendors to operate in accordance with “Good Neighbor Policies” that require Vendors to be “individually responsible for any garbage, detritus, or debris that directly results from their Vending activity,” to “contain noise and odors within the immediate area of the Vendor so as not to cause a nuisance to neighbors,” to “urge patrons to not litter and to maintain the safety, cleanliness, quiet, peace, and orderliness of the area,” and to provide for “proper and adequate storage and disposal of garbage, detritus, and debris;” and

WHEREAS, Unless otherwise defined herein, the defined terms used in this Order have the meanings set forth in the Public Works Code; and

WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco (“City”) strives to ensure that public transit facilities and City sidewalks remain safe and accessible for pedestrians and transit users as may be required by the Americans with Disabilities Act and state and local disability rights and accessibility laws, regulations, and codes, as well as the Public Works Code; and

WHEREAS, The Mission Police Station routinely receives community complaints about illegal Vending, including Vending without proper permits and Vending stolen goods, and other associated criminal and nuisance activities along Mission Street between 14th and Cesar Chavez Streets (the “Mission Street Corridor”), which pose objective health, safety, and welfare concerns to residents and visitors within the Mission District; and

WHEREAS, Between October 10, 2022 through October 10, 2023, the Police Department received 580 calls for service or on-viewed incidents for the addresses on Mission Street ranging from 1800 Mission Street (at 14th Street) to 2999 Mission Street (at 26th Street), and the three most numerous types of calls pertained to assault and battery, petty theft, and vandalism; and

WHEREAS, Illegal Vending, and concerns that illegal Vending has caused the street conditions of the Mission Street Corridor to be worse than ever, are common topics of discussion at community meetings, and the community members who attend these meetings routinely call for and support greater police action to make the Mission Street Corridor safer and more accessible for pedestrians; and

WHEREAS, Mission Police Station has experienced elevated numbers of calls for service associated with the Mission Street Corridor that can be attributed in part to illegal purchasing and Vending (or “fencing”) of stolen property, particularly around the intersections of 24th and Mission Streets and 16th and Mission Streets, near the BART plazas; and

WHEREAS, Illegal vending activity along the Mission Street Corridor, particularly the BART plazas on Mission Street at 24th and 16th Streets, is associated with the fencing of suspected stolen property, and on nearly a daily basis, Police officers have observed the fencing of suspected stolen property on or about the Mission Street Corridor; and

WHEREAS, There have been arrests along the Mission Street Corridor for stolen property offenses where the property stolen from businesses such as Walgreens, CVS, REI, Lululemon, and Victoria’s Secret in other parts of the City have been brought to the Mission Street Corridor for fencing as part of organized retail theft operations; and

WHEREAS, The Police Department’s investigatory units have conducted numerous anti-fencing operations that have resulted in the recovery and seizure of large amounts of stolen property, often valued in the tens of thousands of dollars per seizure; and

WHEREAS, A high concentration of pedestrians and Vendors on sidewalks fronting BART plazas presents potential threats to public health, safety, and welfare by obstructing pedestrian and wheelchair ingress and egress, particularly for individuals with disabilities; and

WHEREAS, The 24th Street BART Station and the 16th Street BART Station rank consistently among the ten most utilized stations in the BART system based on rider entries and exits, and as evidenced by numerous 311 complaints between November 2022 and July 2023 (on file with the Department), members of the public have voiced concerns about Vending activities on sidewalks surrounding and adjacent to the 24th Street BART plazas and the 16th Street BART plazas; and

WHEREAS, Based on these complaints, the City has documented numerous incidents where such activities have obstructed or caused the obstruction of sidewalks, and have caused potential safety hazards, due to the

congregation of many persons and/or the placement of merchandise and Vending paraphernalia, hindering the passage of pedestrians, including persons in wheelchairs and persons with strollers; and

WHEREAS, The vending activities have exacerbated the high usage of sidewalks, causing impassable and obstructed sidewalks, and have forced pedestrians to walk in the roadway, blocked access to and from buses, obstructed entrances to stores and restaurants, generated loud noises, facilitated the sale of allegedly stolen merchandise, damaged street trees, generated litter, and facilitated abandonment of merchandise on sidewalks; and

WHEREAS, Within the Mission Police Station service area, the intersection of 24th and Mission Street and the intersection of 16th Street and Mission Street rank as the sites with the highest and second highest number of calls for service, respectively, apart from the Mission Police Station itself; and

WHEREAS, As evidenced by Police incident reports on file with the Department, the sidewalks surrounding and adjacent to the two 24th Street BART plazas have been impacted by illegal activities, such as assault, battery, petty theft, vandalism, drug sales and use, overdoses, alcohol consumption, and theft; and overcrowded sidewalks impede police officers' ability to effectively respond to these safety threats; and

WHEREAS, These illegal activities threaten and negatively affect the health, safety, and welfare of pedestrians, Vendors, BART riders, and City staff, including Public Works staff who have consistently and repeatedly encountered vandalism of City vehicles, threats of physical violence and bodily harm, actual physical violence and bodily harm, verbal insults, and intimidation, and one such incident resulted in the arrest of an unpermitted Vendor who had threatened to kill a City employee who was discharging his duties as a street vending inspector, and the issuance of a protective order from the San Francisco Superior Court, which requires the unpermitted Vendor to stay away from the City employee whom the unpermitted Vendor had threatened; and

WHEREAS, As evidenced by Police incident reports on file with the Department, the sidewalks surrounding and adjacent to the two 16th Street BART plazas have been impacted by illegal activities, such as assault, battery, drug sales and use, overdoses, alcohol consumption, and theft; and overcrowded sidewalks impede police officers' ability to effectively respond to these safety threats; and

WHEREAS, These illegal activities threaten and negatively affect the health, safety, and welfare of pedestrians, scooter riders, Vendors, BART riders, and City staff, including Public Works staff who have consistently and repeatedly encountered vandalism of City vehicles, threats of physical violence and bodily harm, actual physical violence and bodily harm, verbal insults, and intimidation; and

WHEREAS, The high concentration of Vending activities and illegal activities in the areas around the BART plazas has led to unsanitary sidewalk conditions and sidewalk obstructions in violation of the Regulations and the Good Neighbor Policies and the extensive noncompliance with the Vending ordinance, Regulations, and policies has rendered enforcement of such laws, Regulations, and policies infeasible despite the Department's yearlong effort to send street inspectors to such areas on a weekly basis, which efforts have been hindered by the limited

number of inspectors available to perform street inspections due in part to inspectors' belief that their health, safety, and welfare may be threatened by Vendors; and

WHEREAS, For more than 12 months, the Department has routinely encountered unpermitted Vendors occupying sidewalks and BART property on or about the Mission Street Corridor, and during this time, the Department has pursued vigorous and sustained enforcement of Article 5.9 of the Public Works Code, the Regulations, and the Good Neighbor Policies, but sidewalk conditions have not improved significantly; and

WHEREAS, Efforts of Vendors to Vend from within or around a transit shelter, or at an uncovered transit stop, objectively diminish the public health, safety, and welfare by reducing the availability of covered seating for transit users within a transit shelter and by obstructing pedestrian ingress and egress from a transit shelter or an uncovered transit stop to a transit vehicle via the sidewalk, thereby forcing pedestrians onto the roadway; and

WHEREAS, The aforementioned conditions that occur contemporaneously with and in proximity to Vending activities pose numerous objective health, safety, and welfare concerns and threaten the health, safety, and welfare of Vendors, pedestrians, wheelchair users, and City staff who are using sidewalks on or about the Mission Street Corridor, and such conditions have required significant law enforcement and civilian staff resources to mitigate and address nuisance and criminal activities that occur alongside of Vending activities, diverting these staff resources from other law enforcement and Public Works functions; and

WHEREAS, The aforementioned Vending, criminal, and nuisance activities have been associated with and have exacerbated the severity of unsanitary sidewalk conditions resulting from the accumulation of food waste, drug paraphernalia, Vendors' discarded boxes and receptacles, and other miscellaneous debris, all in violation of the Regulations and the Good Neighbor Policies; and

WHEREAS, Based on prior experience with and observations of sidewalk Vending activities, the Department believes that it is likely that prohibiting Vending on certain sidewalks may cause the sidewalk Vending activities and the associated nuisance and criminal activities to shift to surrounding sidewalks; now, therefore be it:

DETERMINED that, for the foregoing reasons, Vending, as defined in Public Works Code Section 5.9-2, shall be prohibited within a 300-foot radius of the exterior boundaries of the Mission Street Corridor, which correspond approximately to the area bounded by the southeast corner of the lot at 1798 Mission Street (Assessor's Block 3532, Lot No. 012), the southwest corner of the lot at 1799 Mission Street (Assessor's Block 3531, Lot No. 022), the northeast corner of the lot at 3401 Cesar Chavez Street (Assessor's Block 6574, Lot No. 039) and the northwest corner of the lot at 3101 Mission Street (Assessor's Block 5501, Lot No. 079) ("Prohibition Area"), between the hours of 8 am and 5 am, Sunday through Saturday, subject to the following conditions:

1. Boundaries of Prohibition Area. The Prohibition Area is depicted in Exhibit A to this Order.
2. Effective Date. Following its execution by the Director, this Order shall take effect at 7:00 AM on November 27<sup>th</sup>, 2023.

3. Expiration. This Order shall expire on midnight on the date that is ninety (90) days of from the Effective Date. This Order may be extended by a subsequent Order on the basis of objective health, safety, and welfare concerns.


## Exhibit A – Boundaries of Prohibition Area

*Vending within purple dashed lines is prohibited. 300 foot boundaries are measured from outer edges of public right-of-way along the Mission Street Corridor.*



### 14<sup>th</sup> Street Intersection – Prohibited Streets

- Woodward Street (inside radius only)
- Mission Street (inside radius only)
- Erie Street (inside radius only)
- 14<sup>th</sup> Street (inside radius only)



### 14<sup>th</sup> Street to 15<sup>th</sup> Street – Prohibited Streets\*

- Julian Ave (east side only)
- Mission Street
- Minna Street

*\*Vending also prohibited on 14<sup>th</sup> Street and 15<sup>th</sup> Street between dashed purple lines (see diagram)*



### 15<sup>th</sup> Street to 16<sup>th</sup> Street – Prohibited Streets\*

- Julian Ave (east side only)
- Wiese Street
- Mission Street
- Capp Street\*\*

*\*Vending also prohibited on 15<sup>th</sup> Street and 16<sup>th</sup> Street between dashed purple lines (see diagram)*

*\*\*Vending on east side of Capp Street can be reviewed on a case-by-case basis*



**16<sup>th</sup> Street to 17<sup>th</sup> Street – Prohibited Streets\***

- Hoff Street
- Mission Street
- Capp Street

*\*Vending also prohibited on 16<sup>th</sup> Street and 17<sup>th</sup> Street between dashed purple lines (see diagram)*



**17<sup>th</sup> Street to Clarion Aly – Prohibited Streets\***

- Mission Street
- Capp Street\*\*

*\*Vending also prohibited on 17<sup>th</sup> Street and Clarion Alley between dashed purple lines (see diagram)*

*\*\*Vending on east side of Capp Street can be reviewed on a case-by-case basis*



**Clarion Aly to Sycamore St – Prohibited Streets\***

- Mission Street
- Capp Street\*\*

*\*Vending also prohibited on Clarion Alley and Sycamore Street between dashed purple lines (see diagram)*

*\*\*Vending on east side of Capp Street can be reviewed on a case-by-case basis*




**Sycamore St to 18<sup>th</sup> Street – Prohibited Streets\***

- San Carlos Street
- Mission Street
- Capp Street\*\*

*\*Vending also prohibited on Sycamore Street and 18<sup>th</sup> Street between dashed purple lines (see diagram)*

*\*\*Vending on east side of Capp Street can be reviewed on a case-by-case basis*



**18<sup>th</sup> Street to 19<sup>th</sup> Street – Prohibited Streets\***

- San Carlos Street
- Mission Street
- Capp Street\*\*

*\*Vending also prohibited on 18<sup>th</sup> Street and 19<sup>th</sup> Street between dashed purple lines (see diagram)*

*\*\*Vending on east side of Capp Street can be reviewed on a case-by-case basis*




**19<sup>th</sup> Street to 20<sup>th</sup> Street – Prohibited Streets\***

- San Carlos Street
- Mission Street
- Capp Street\*\*

*\*Vending also prohibited on 19<sup>th</sup> Street and 20<sup>th</sup> Street between dashed purple lines (see diagram)*

*\*\*Vending on east side of Capp Street can be reviewed on a case-by-case basis*





**20<sup>th</sup> Street to Catherine Ct – Prohibited Streets\***

- San Carlos Street
- Mission Street
- Capp Street\*\*

*\*Vending also prohibited on 20<sup>th</sup> Street and Catherine Court between dashed purple lines (see diagram)*

*\*\*Vending on east side of Capp Street can be reviewed on a case-by-case basis*



**Catherine Ct to 21<sup>st</sup> Street – Prohibited Streets\***

- San Carlos Street
- Mission Street
- Capp Street\*\*

*\*Vending also prohibited on Catherine Court and 21<sup>st</sup> Street between dashed purple lines (see diagram)*

*\*\*Vending on east side of Capp Street can be reviewed on a case-by-case basis*



**21<sup>st</sup> Street to 22<sup>nd</sup> Street – Prohibited Streets\***

- Bartlett Street (east side only)
- Mission Street
- Capp Street\*\*

*\*Vending also prohibited on 21<sup>st</sup> Street and 22<sup>nd</sup> Street between dashed purple lines (see diagram)*

*\*\*Vending on east side of Capp Street can be reviewed on a case-by-case basis*



### **22<sup>nd</sup> Street to 23<sup>rd</sup> Street – Prohibited Streets\***

- Bartlett Street\*\*
- Mission Street
- Capp Street\*\*

*\*Vending also prohibited on 22<sup>nd</sup> Street and 23<sup>rd</sup> Street between dashed purple lines (see diagram)*

*\*\*Vending on west side of Bartlett Street and east side of Capp Street can be reviewed on a case-by-case basis*



### **23<sup>rd</sup> Street to 24<sup>th</sup> Street – Prohibited Streets\***

- Bartlett Street\*\*
- Osage Alley
- Mission Street
- Capp Street\*\*

*\*Vending also prohibited on 23<sup>rd</sup> Street and 24<sup>th</sup> Street between dashed purple lines (see diagram)*

*\*\*Vending on west side of Bartlett Street and east side of Capp Street can be reviewed on a case-by-case basis*




### **24<sup>th</sup> Street to 25<sup>th</sup> Street – Prohibited Streets\***

- Bartlett Street\*\*
- Osage Alley
- Mission Street
- Lilac Street
- Capp Street\*\*

*\*Vending also prohibited on 24<sup>th</sup> Street and 25<sup>th</sup> Street between dashed purple lines (see diagram)*

*\*\*Vending on west side of Bartlett Street and east side of Capp Street can be reviewed on a case-by-case basis*



**25<sup>th</sup> Street to 26<sup>th</sup> Street – Prohibited Streets\***

- Bartlett Street\*\*
- Osage Alley
- Mission Street
- Lilac Street
- Capp Street\*\*

*\*Vending also prohibited on 25<sup>th</sup> Street and 26<sup>th</sup> Street between dashed purple lines (see diagram)*

*\*\*Vending on west side of Bartlett Street and east side of Capp Street can be reviewed on a case-by-case basis*



**26<sup>th</sup> Street to Cesar Chavez St – Prohibited Streets\***

- Bartlett Street\*\*
- Mission Street
- Capp Street\*\*

*\*Vending also prohibited on 26<sup>th</sup> Street and Cesar Chavez Street between dashed purple lines (see diagram)*

*\*\*Vending on west side of Bartlett Street and east side of Capp Street can be reviewed on a case-by-case basis*



**Cesar Chavez St Intersection (West Side) – Prohibited Streets\***

- Cesar Chavez Street (inside radius only)
- Mission Street (inside radius only)



**Cesar Chavez St Intersection (East Side) – Prohibited Streets\***

- Cesar Chavez Street (inside radius only)

X

DocuSigned by:

*Nicolas Huff*

Huff, Nicolas C0A758C115B741C...  
Bureau Manager

X

DocuSigned by:

*Albert Ko*

Ko, Albert J 281DC30E04CF41A...  
Deputy Director & City Engineer

X

DocuSigned by:

*Carla Short*

073CF73A4EA6486...

Short, Carla  
Interim Director of Public Works

**From:** [Chung Hagen, Sheila \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Angulo, Sunny \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Introduction: Resolution Supporting SB 925  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 4, 2024 3:01:46 PM  
**Attachments:** [SB925.pdf](#)  
[image001.png](#)

---

Hi Lisa,

- a copy of SB 925 – **See attached**
- confirm that organizations such as the [California State Association of Counties](#) and [League of California Cities](#) have *not* taken a position on these bills. If they have, please provide a copy of their statement for completeness of the file – **Confirming they have not taken positions**
- since the item is requested to be placed on the For Adoption Without Committee Reference of the agenda, pursuant to Board Rule 2.1.2, please confirm that these matters are routine, not contentious in nature, and of no special interest – **Confirming these matters are routine, not contentious in nature, and of no special interest**

Thank you,  
Sheila

Sheila Chung Hagen  
Legislative Aide | District 9  
Supervisor Hillary Ronen  
Pronouns: She/Her/Ella  
[Website](#)

---

**From:** BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2024 2:49 PM  
**To:** Chung Hagen, Sheila (BOS) <sheila.chung.hagen@sfgov.org>; BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Ronen, Hillary (BOS) <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Angulo, Sunny (BOS) <sunny.angulo@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** RE: Introduction: Resolution Supporting SB 925

Hi Sheila,

Thank you for the legislation submission. Pursuant to [Board Rule 2.8.2](#), please provide the following to complete this submission:

- a copy of SB 925
- confirm that organizations such as the [California State Association of Counties](#) and [League of California Cities](#) have *not* taken a position on these bills. If they have, please

- provide a copy of their statement for completeness of the file
- since the item is requested to be placed on the For Adoption Without Committee Reference of the agenda, pursuant to Board Rule 2.1.2, please confirm that these matters are routine, not contentious in nature, and of no special interest

Best,

**Lisa Lew**

San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
T 415-554-7718 | F 415-554-5163  
[lisa.lew@sfgov.org](mailto:lisa.lew@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Chung Hagen, Sheila (BOS) <[sheila.chung.hagen@sfgov.org](mailto:sheila.chung.hagen@sfgov.org)>

**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2024 2:40 PM

**To:** BOS Legislation, (BOS) <[bos.legislation@sfgov.org](mailto:bos.legislation@sfgov.org)>

**Cc:** Ronen, Hillary (BOS) <[hillary.ronen@sfgov.org](mailto:hillary.ronen@sfgov.org)>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <[aaron.peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.peskin@sfgov.org)>; Angulo, Sunny (BOS) <[sunny.angulo@sfgov.org](mailto:sunny.angulo@sfgov.org)>

**Subject:** Introduction: Resolution Supporting SB 925

Attached is an introduction form and resolution supporting CA Senate Bill 925 sponsored by Supervisors Ronen and Peskin.

If you have any questions, please feel free to reach out.

Thank you,

Sheila

**Sheila Chung Hagen**

Legislative Aide | District 9

Supervisor Hillary Ronen

Pronouns: She/Her/Ella

[Website](#)

## Introduction Form

*(by a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor)*



I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

- 1. For reference to Committee (Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment)
- 2. Request for next printed agenda (For Adoption Without Committee Reference)  
*(Routine, non-controversial and/or commendatory matters only)*
- 3. Request for Hearing on a subject matter at Committee
- 4. Request for Letter beginning with "Supervisor  inquires..."
- 5. City Attorney Request
- 6. Call File No.  from Committee.
- 7. Budget and Legislative Analyst Request (attached written Motion)
- 8. Substitute Legislation File No.
- 9. Reactivate File No.
- 10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the Board on

The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following (please check all appropriate boxes):

- Small Business Commission       Youth Commission       Ethics Commission
- Planning Commission       Building Inspection Commission       Human Resources Department

General Plan Referral sent to the Planning Department (proposed legislation subject to Charter 4.105 & Admin 2A.53):

- Yes                       No

*(Note: For Imperative Agenda items (a Resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Agenda Form.)*

Sponsor(s):

Subject:

Long Title or text listed:

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: