File	No.	110043

Committee	Item No		
Board Item	No	/le	 _

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee	Date
Board of Supervisors Meeting	Date <u>March 22, 2011</u>
Cmte Board	
☐ Motion	
☐ Resolution	
☐ Ordinance	
Legislative Digest	
☐ ☐ Budget Analyst Report	
Legislative Analyst Report	
Introduction Form (for hearings	
Department/Agency Cover Lette	er and/or Report
MOU	
Grant Information Form	
Grant Budget	
Subcontract Budget	
☐ Contract/Agreement	
Award Letter	
Application	
☐ Public Correspondence	
OTHER (Use back side if additional spa	ce is needed)
Completed by:	Date March 47 2044
	Date <u>March 17, 2011</u>
Completed by:	Date

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 20 pages. The complete document is in the file.

7

11 12

10

13

1415

16 17

18

19

21

22

23

2425

Clerk

Motion reversing the determination by the Planning Department and Commission that the 795 Foerster Street, 203 Los Palmos Drive, 207 Los Palmos Drive, and 213 Los

[Reversing the Exemption Determination - 795 Foerster Street, 203 Los Palmos Drive, 207

Palmos Drive project is exempt from environmental review.

Los Palmos Drive, and 213 Los Palmos Drive]

WHEREAS, Planning Department has determined that a project located at 795 Foerster Street, 203 Los Palmos Drive, 207 Los Palmos Drive, and 213 Los Palmos Drive ("Project") is exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"). The proposed Project involves the subdivision of two existing lots into four and the construction of three new single-family homes fronting on Los Palmos Drive. On August 5, 2010, following a noticed public hearing the Planning Commission heard a request for discretionary review of the proposed new construction at 203 Los Palmos Drive and declined to take discretionary review and instead approved the new construction. The Planning Department approved the other site permits for the proposed project, and discretionary review was either not requested or was requested and withdrawn for those addresses. In declining to take discretionary review, the Commission affirmed the Department's decision that the Project was exempt from environmental review under CEQA, the CEQA Guidelines, and San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 31 (the "exemption determination"). By letter to the Clerk of the Board, Stephen Williams, on behalf of the Miraloma Park Improvement Club, ("Appellant"), received by the Clerk's Office on or around January 7, 2011, appealed the exemption determination; and

WHEREAS, On February 15, 2011, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellant, and following the public hearing reversed the exemption determination; and

Clerk of the Board BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 1 1/28/2011

WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letters, the responses to concerns document that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the exemption determination appeal. Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors reversed the exemption determination for the Project based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal. The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 110041 and in the Planning Department files, which are available for public review by appointment at the Planning Department offices at 1650 Mission Street, and are incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now therefore be it

MOVED, That this Board of Supervisors reverses the determination by the Planning Department and Commission that the Project is exempt from environmental review.