

FILE NO. 240783

Petitions and Communications received from July 18, 2024, through July 25, 2024, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on July 30, 2024.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From the Office of the Mayor, pursuant to Charter, Section 3.100(18), making (re)appointments to the following bodies. Copy: Each Supervisor. (1)

- (Re)Appointments pursuant to Charter, Section 4.113, to the Recreation and Park Commission:

- o Kathleen Anderson - term ending June 27, 2028 (Reappointment)
- o Joe Hallisy - term ending June 27, 2028 (Reappointment)
- o Sonya Clark-Herrera - term ending June 27, 2028 (Appointment)

- Reappointments pursuant to Charter, Section 4.120, to the Disability and Aging Services Commission:

- o Martha Knutzen - term ending July 1, 2028
- o Barbara Sklar - term ending July 1, 2028

From the Office of the Mayor (MYR), regarding State Legislation Committee approval of positions on legislation pending before the California State Legislature, and approved State Legislation Committee minutes of meeting on June 26, 2024. Copy: Each Supervisor. (2)

From the Office of the Treasurer & Tax Collector (TTX), submitting the Monthly Pooled Investment Report for the month of June 2024. Copy: Each Supervisor. (3)

From the San Francisco Arts Commission (SFAC), submitting the Full Arts Commission meeting agenda for August 5, 2024. Copy: Each Supervisor. (4)

From the Office of the City Administrator (ADM), Capital Planning Committee (CPC), submitting approved action items and recommendations from July 22, 2024, to be considered by the Board of Supervisors, in accordance with Administrative Code, Section 3.21. Copy: Each Supervisor. (5)

From the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HSH), submitting the Annual Report on Gifts or Fiscal Year (FY) 2023-2024. Copy: Each Supervisor. (6)

From the Human Services Agency (HSA), submitting the Social Media Monitoring Software Annual Surveillance Report for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023-2024. Copy: Each Supervisor. (7)

From the Board of Supervisors (BOS), submitting Presidential Memos appointing Supervisor Ahsha Safai to be the Chair of the Rules Committee and appointing Board President Aaron Peskin as a member of the Rules Committee. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (8)

From the Board of Supervisors (BOS), submitting a Presidential Memo for the call of two BOS special meetings. Copy: Each Supervisor. (9)

From various departments, pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 12B.5-1(d)(1), submitting approved Chapter 12B Waiver Request Forms. 3 Forms. Copy: Each Supervisor. (10)

From Christine Lee, regarding a proposed Amazon delivery center at 900 - 7th Street. Copy: Each Supervisor. (11)

From Aaron Goodman, regarding public transit. Copy: Each Supervisor. (12)

From members of the public, regarding Oceanview Library. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (13)

From a member of the public, regarding harm reduction policies. Copy: Each Supervisor. (14)

From the Sierra Club of San Francisco, regarding various subjects. Copy: Each Supervisor. (15)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Charter Amendments establishing the Commission Streamlining Task Force. File Nos. 240547 and 240548. 3 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (16)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Charter Amendment (Second Draft) to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to define the term "Full-Duty Sworn Officer"; modify the criteria for establishing recommended staffing levels for sworn officers; change the requirement for the Chief of Police to submit a staffing report from every two years to every three years; and establish a new voluntary Deferred Retirement Option Program ("DROP") for the period from July 2025 - July 2030, for eligible members of the Police Department (in the rank of officer, sergeant, or inspector) that allows those members to earn additional deferred compensation in the Retirement System for up to 60 months in exchange for agreeing to perform neighborhood patrol or investigative work; at an election to be held on November 5, 2024. File No. 240544. 9 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (17)

From members of the public, regarding homelessness. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (18)

From members of the public, regarding pedestrian safety at the intersection of Fulton Street and Arguello Boulevard. 3 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (19)

From members of the public, regarding the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) West Portal Station Safety and Community Space Improvements Project at West Portal Avenue and Ulloa Street. 5 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (20)

From members of the public, regarding window replacement standards for homes. 6 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (21)

From members of the public, regarding the Hearing to consider the proposed Initiative Ordinance submitted by four or more Supervisors to the voters for the November 5, 2024, Election, entitled "Ordinance amending the Park Code to establish new recreation and open space by restricting private vehicles at all times on the Upper Great Highway between Lincoln Way and Sloat Boulevard, subject to the City obtaining certain required approvals; making associated findings under the California Vehicle Code; and reaffirming the existing restriction of private vehicles on the Great Highway Extension." File No. 240706. 276 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (22)

From Herbert J. Weiner, regarding the proposed Ordinance approving Health Service System plans and contribution rates for Calendar Year (CY) 2025. File No. 240724. Copy: Each Supervisor. (23)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Resolution approving and authorizing the Director of Property to enter into a real property lease with Twin Peaks Petroleum, Inc., a California corporation, doing business as Twin Peaks Auto Care, successor-in-interest to Michael Gharib, for approximately 14,499 square feet located at 598 Portola Drive. File No. 240731. 27 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (24)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Resolution supporting workers at Subway franchises in San Francisco who have been denied minimum wages, overtime wages, and breaks, and urging these Subway franchises to pay all current and former workers the wages stolen from them and to immediately comply with state minimum wage laws mandating payment of at least \$20 per hour to all workers for all hours worked. File No. 240773. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (25)

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date: July 23, 2024
To: Members, Board of Supervisors
From: *ACC* Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Subject: Mayoral (Re)appointments - Recreation and Park Commission

On July 23, 2024, the Office of the Mayor submitted the following complete (re)appointment packages pursuant to Charter, Section 3.100(18). The following (re)appointments are effective immediately unless rejected by a two-thirds vote of the Board of Supervisors within 30 days (August 22, 2024).

(Re)appointments to the Recreation and Park Commission, pursuant to Charter, Section 4.113.

- **Kathleen (“Kat”) Anderson** - term ending June 27, 2028 (Reappointment)
- **Joe Hallisy** - term ending June 27, 2028 (Reappointment)
- **Sonya Clark-Herrera** - term ending June 27, 2028 (Appointment)

Pursuant to Board Rule 2.18.3, a Supervisor may request a hearing on a Mayoral appointment by timely notifying the Clerk in writing. Upon receipt of such notice, the Clerk shall refer the appointment to the Rules Committee so that the Board may consider the appointment and act within 30 days of the transmittal letter as provided in Charter, Section 3.100(18).

Due to the Summer Recess, July 30, 2024, is the last regularly scheduled Board Meeting to act on these items before the August 22, 2024, deadline.

If you wish to hold a hearing on any of the above (re)appointments, please let me know in writing by 12:00 noon, tomorrow, Wednesday, July 24, 2024.

If we receive notice, we will work to schedule the hearing(s).

c: Supervisors Ahsha Safai - Rules Committee Chair
Alisa Somera - Legislative Deputy
Victor Young - Rules Clerk
Anne Pearson - Deputy City Attorney
Tom Paulino - Liaison to the Board of Supervisors
Jesse Mainardi - Director of Boards and Commissions

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



LONDON N. BREED
MAYOR

Notice of Reappointments

July 22, 2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

Pursuant to Charter Sections 3.100(18) and 4.113, of the City and County of San Francisco, I make the following reappointments:

Kathleen Anderson to the Recreation and Park Commission for a four-year term ending June 27, 2028.

Joe Hallisy to the Recreation and Park Commission for a four-year term ending June 27, 2028.

I am confident that these individuals will continue to serve our community well. Attached are their qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how their reappointments represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should you have any question about this appointment, please contact my Director of Boards and Commissions, Jesse Mainardi, at 415.554.6588.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "London Breed".

London N. Breed
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



LONDON N. BREED
MAYOR

Notice of Appointment

July 22, 2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

Pursuant to Charter Sections 3.100(18) and 4.113, of the City and County of San Francisco, I make the following appointment:

Sonya Clark-Herrera to the Recreation and Park Commission, specifically to the seat previously held by Annie Jupiter-Jones, whose term has expired, for a four-year term ending June 27, 2028.

I am confident that Ms. Clark-Herrera will serve our community well. Attached are her qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how her appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should you have any question about this appointment, please contact my Director of Boards and Commissions, Jesse Mainardi, at 415.554.6588.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "London N. Breed".

London N. Breed
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date: July 16, 2024
To: Members, Board of Supervisors
From: *ACC* Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Subject: Mayoral Reappointments - Disability and Aging Services Commission

On July 16, 2024, the Office of the Mayor submitted the following complete reappointment packages pursuant to Charter, Section 3.100(18). These appointments are effective immediately unless rejected by a two-thirds vote of the Board of Supervisors within 30 days (August 15, 2024).

Reappointments to the Disability and Aging Services Commission, pursuant to Charter, Section 4.120.

- **Martha Knutzen** - for a four-year term ending July 1, 2028.
- **Barbara Sklar** - for a four-year term ending July 1, 2028.

Pursuant to Board Rule 2.18.3, a Supervisor may request a hearing on a Mayoral appointment by timely notifying the Clerk in writing. Upon receipt of such notice, the Clerk shall refer the appointment to the Rules Committee so that the Board may consider the appointment and act within 30 days of the transmittal letter as provided in Charter, Section 3.100(18).

Due to the Summer Recess, July 30, 2024, is the last regularly scheduled Board Meeting to act on these items before the August 15, 2024, deadline.

If you wish to hold a hearing on either of the above reappointments, please let me know in writing by noon, tomorrow, Wednesday, July 17, 2024.

Once we receive notice, we will work with the President to schedule the hearing(s).

c: Alisa Somera - Legislative Deputy
Victor Young - Rules Clerk
Anne Pearson - Deputy City Attorney
Tom Paulino - Liaison to the Board of Supervisors
Jesse Mainardi - Director of Boards and Commissions



Notice of Reappointments

July 16, 2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors,

Pursuant to Charter Sections 3.100(18) and 4.120 of the City and County of San Francisco, I make the following reappointments:

Martha Knutzen, to Seat 5 of the Disability and Aging Services Commission, for a four-year term ending July 1, 2028

Barbara Sklar, to Seat 6 of the Disability and Aging Services Commission, for a four-year term ending July 1, 2028

I am confident that these individuals will continue to serve our community well. Attached are their qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how their reappointments represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should you have any question about these reappointments, please contact my Director of Boards and Commissions, Jesse Mainardi, at 415.554.6588.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "London Breed".

London N. Breed
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco



TO: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
FROM: Eileen Mariano, Office of Mayor London N. Breed
CC: Sara Trejo, Office of Mayor London N. Breed
Joshua Cardenas, Office of Mayor London N. Breed
RE: State Legislation Committee Bill Positions July 24, 2024 Meeting
DATE: Wednesday, July 24, 2024

Dear Madam Clerk:

Please be advised that the State Legislation Committee approved the following positions on legislation pending before the California State Legislature:

AB/SB	Bill #	Author(s)	Title	Adopted Position
AB	1808	Nguyen and Davies	Childcare and development services: eligibility	Support
AB	1930	Reyes	Teaching credentials: Child Development Associate Teacher Permit: renewal	Support
AB	2833	McKinnor	Evidence: restorative justice communications	Support

Present at the meeting were representatives from the Mayor’s Office, Supervisor Dean Preston’s Office, Supervisor Connie Chan’s Office, Assessor’s Office, the Controller’s Office, the City Attorney’s Office, and the Treasurer’s Office.

In addition, please find attached the approved minutes from the June 26, 2024 meeting.

Should the Board of Supervisors wish to find more information on these matters, they may do so at the following link: <http://sfgov.org/slc/>.

Sincerely,

Eileen Mariano
Manager of State and Federal Legislative Affairs

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2024 JUL 24 PM 3:09
BY *[Signature]* 805-11



**STATE LEGISLATION
COMMITTEE
Wednesday, June 26, 2024
10:00am – 12:00pm
City Hall, Room 201**

This meeting will be held in person at the location listed above. Members of the public may attend the meeting to observe and provide public comment at the physical meeting location listed above. Members of the public may view the meeting by clicking the link below or calling the below number provided:

<https://sfpublic.webex.com/sfpublic/j.php?MTID=m6e08bb5ea38d2936376e208ad63db58b>

Meeting ID: 2664 105 0717 **Meeting Password:** SnwJjiGw274

Join by Phone at +1-415-655-0001 (Please dial # after entering the Meeting ID to view the meeting)

(Public Comment Instructions available on page 6)

Members

- Mayor’s Office (Chair) – Eileen Mariano
- Supervisor Dean Preston -- Preston Kilgore
- Supervisor Connie Chan -- Frances Hsieh
- Assessor’s Office -- Holly Lung
- City Attorney’s Office -- Rebekah Krell
- Controller’s Office -- Hannah Kohanzadeh
- Treasurer’s Office -- Eric Manke

RECEIVED
 OFFICE OF SUPERVISORS
 CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO
 2024 JUL 24 PM 3:10
 JF BOS-11

AGENDA

Meeting commenced at 10:04am.

I. ROLL CALL

Present: Eileen Mariano, Preston Kilgore, Robyn Burke, Holly Lung, Luis Zamora, Hannah Kohanzadeh, and Eric Manke.

II. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES (Action Item). Discussion and possible action to approve the minutes from the meeting on May 22, 2024.

Motion to Approve: Hannah Kohanzadeh
 Seconded by: Holly Lung
 Approved: 6-0

III. STATE LOBBYIST OVERVIEW AND UPDATE (Discussion Item).

The City's state lobbyist will present to the Committee an update on State legislative matters.

IV. PROPOSED LEGISLATION (Discussion and Action). Discussion and possible action item: the Committee will review and discuss state legislation affecting the City and County of San Francisco. Items are listed by Department, then by bill number.

New Business

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

Presenter: Kathryn Angotti

AB 2043 (Boerner): Medi-Cal: nonmedical and nonemergency medical transportation.

Recommended Position: Support

This bill aims to ensure that public paratransit service operators are not unduly burdened by the costs of nonemergency medical transportation or nonmedical transportation. It mandates the State Department of Health Care Services to guarantee fair reimbursement for these services, allowing them to direct Medi-Cal managed care plans to reimburse public paratransit operators at fee-for-service rates. Additionally, the department must collaborate with these operators to understand their challenges and issue new guidelines by June 1, 2026, to ensure the fiscal burden is not unfairly placed on them. Implementation hinges on obtaining federal approvals and securing federal financial support.

Public Comment: No public comment.

Motion to Support AB 2043: Eric Manke

Seconded by: Hannah Kohanzadeh

Approved: 7-0

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

Presenter: Kathryn Angotti

AB 2583 (Berman): School and walk zones.

Recommended Position: Support

This bill aims to enhance safety in school zones by implementing new requirements on circulation elements to create walk zones, reduce speed limits in school zones, and shift school zones signage requirements. Specifically, the bill requires (1) cities to identify and establish school walk zones in their circulation element — walk zones are roadways and sidewalks within 1,000 feet in all directions of the boundary line of a school grounds, (2) a prima facie speed limit of 20 miles per hour would be established in school zones instead of 25 mph as currently required, and (3) local authorities will be given school zone signage options of "speed limit 20 when flashing" and the beacons are flashing, "when children are present" and children are present, or stating specific hours.

Public Comment: No public comment.

Motion to Support AB 2583: Eric Manke

Seconded by: Holly Lung
Approved: 7-0

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

Presenter: Kathryn Angotti

SB 532 (Wiener): Parking payment zones.

Recommended Position: Support

SB 532 modernizes municipal parking payment requirements by allowing cities to institute pay-by-phone only zones subject to specified criteria, including robust signage requirements and the adoption of an accessible and equitable cash payment plan that allows for the alternate payment of cash that doesn't rely on traditional parking meters. In practice, this bill would allow cities to keep all their parking meters, while allowing them to offer a combination of parking meters and pay by mobile device parking spots, or they can offer parking zones that pay by mobile device only.

Public Comment: No public comment.
Motion to Support SB 532: Eric Manke
Seconded by: Hannah Kohanzadeh
Approved: 7-0

San Francisco Public Utilities Commission

Presenter: Rebecca Peacock

SB 1255 (Durazo): Public water systems: needs analysis: water rate assistance program.

Recommended Position: Support

This bill would require certain water systems to begin providing water rate assistance to eligible ratepayers, defined to mean a low-income residential ratepayer with an annual household income that is no greater than 200% of the federal poverty guideline level, on or before April 1, 2027. The bill would require a qualified system to automatically enroll an eligible ratepayer in the water rate assistance program if available information indicates that they are qualified to receive assistance and provide a water bill credit.

The bill would require a qualified system, on or before July 1, 2026, to provide an opportunity for each ratepayer to provide a voluntary contribution as part of the ratepayer's water bill to provide funding for the qualified system's water rate assistance program. The bill would require a qualified system to recommend a voluntary contribution amount on the bill of each ratepayer, other than an eligible ratepayer, at a level that will raise sufficient funding to provide a discount to eligible ratepayers, pay for the qualified system's administrative costs to implement the program, and establish a balancing account if the qualified system chooses to do so. The bill would require a qualified system to notify ratepayers of the voluntary contribution on the water bill and provide each ratepayer the option and method of opting out of the voluntary contribution, as specified.

Qualified systems with an existing water rate assistance program that meets minimum requirements of this bill are not required to comply but can collect voluntary contributions to supplement or expand the existing program.

The bill would authorize a qualified system to use any state or federal funds that are available to support a ratepayer assistance program by offsetting or supplementing the funds collected from voluntary contributions.

Public Comment: No public comment.
Motion to Support SB 1255: Luis Zamora
Seconded by: Holly Lung
Approved: 7-0

Department of Public Health

Presenter: Max Gara

SB 1230 (Rubio): Strengthen Tobacco Oversight Programs (STOP) and Seize Illegal Tobacco Products Act.

Recommended Position: Support

SB 1230 strengthens the enforcement of California's anti-tobacco laws by increasing the civil penalties for violations of the Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement Act. This bill also permits the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration (CDTFA) to seize flavored tobacco products/tobacco flavor enhancers at retail locations, which are currently prohibited under state law.

Public Comment: No public comment.
Motion to Support SB 1230: Robyn Burke
Seconded by: Hannah Kohanzadeh
Approved: 7-0

Department of Technology

Presenter: Brian Roberts

AB 2797 (Ashby): Telephone corporations: carriers of last resort: tariffs.

Recommended Position: Oppose

AB 2797 would allow AT&T and other carriers of last resort (COLRs) a fast-track method for relieving themselves of obligations to serve. The COLR rules were created by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) in the late 1990's to ensure universal service while ushering in competition for telecommunications services. (AT&T is the state's largest COLR, but various smaller local phone companies are also COLRs.)

AB 2797 would establish a new regime where a COLR could be deemed relieved of its COLR obligations by notice to the CPUC demonstrating that there are at least two "alternative voice providers" that offer voice service in the area. The alternative provider could be a wireless provider and would not have to assume COLR responsibilities. The COLR seeking to be relieved of its obligations, would have to agree to certain customer notification, transition planning and digital equity planning obligations. However, these commitments would be self-executing, with no administrative review by the CPUC or opportunity for the public to comment.

AB 2797 would not require or incentivize investment in modern telecommunications infrastructure at all. It would facilitate disinvestment in AT&Ts more economically challenging rural and low-income markets. The proposed contains no administrative review by the CPUC or public input of any kind.

Public Comment: No public comment.
Motion to Support AB 2797: Robyn Burke
Seconded by: Hannah Kohanzadeh
Approved: 7-0

V. GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT

Members of the public may address the Committee on items of interest that are within the Committee's subject matter jurisdiction and that do not appear on the agenda.

Public Comment: No public comment.

VI. ADJOURNMENT

Meeting ended at 10:56 am.

Disability Access

Room 201 of City Hall is located at 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place and is wheelchair accessible. The closest accessible BART Station is Civic Center, three blocks from City Hall. Accessible Muni lines serving this location are: #47 Van Ness, and the #71 Haight/Noriega and the F Line to Market and Van Ness, as well as Muni Metro stations at Van Ness and Civic Center. For more information about Muni accessible services, call 923-6142. There is accessible parking at the Civic Center Plaza garage.

The State Legislation Committee does not permit remote public comment by members of the public its meetings, except as legally required to enable people with disabilities to participate in such meetings. If you require remote access as a means of reasonable accommodation under ADA, please contact the State Legislation Committee to request remote access, including a description of the functional limitation(s) that precludes your ability to attend in person. Requests made at least two business days in advance of the meeting will help to ensure availability. For further assistance, please contact Joshua Cardenas, Mayor's Office, at: joshua.cardenas@sfgov.org.

Know Your Rights Under the Sunshine Ordinance

The government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decisions in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils, and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code) or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact the Donna Hall at Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102, by phone at 415-554-7724, by fax at 415-554-7854, or email the Sunshine Ordinance Taskforce Administrator at sotf@sfgov.org. Citizens may obtain a free copy of the Sunshine Ordinance by contacting the Task Force, or by printing Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code on the Internet, at www.sfgov.org/sunshine.htm.

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance (San Francisco Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code Sec. 2.100 -2.160) to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3900, San Francisco, CA 94102; telephone 415-581-2300, fax 415-581-2317, Internet website: www.sfgov.org/ethics.

Cell Phones and Pagers

The ringing and use of cell phones, pagers, and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order

the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of a cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

Public Comment

Public Comment will be taken in-person on each item on the agenda before or during consideration of that item.

To view the meeting via computer systems:

<https://sfpublic.webex.com/sfpublic/j.php?MTID=m6e08bb5ea38d2936376e208ad63db58b>

NOTE: Depending on your broadband/WIFI connection, there may be a 30-second to 2-minute delay when viewing the meeting live.

To view the meeting via phone:

Join by Phone at: +1-415-655-0001

Webinar ID: 2664 105 0717

NOTE: Once you join the meeting via the number above, enter the webinar ID and then press # to enter the meeting.

Information Regarding Providing Public Comment

- Each individual may comment 1 time per agenda item.
- Each individual may speak for up to 2 minutes; after which time the line is automatically silenced.

Documents that may have been provided to members of the State Legislation Committee in connection with the items on the agenda include proposed state legislation, consultant reports, correspondence and reports from City departments, and public correspondence. These may be inspected by contacting Eileen Mariano, Manager, State and Federal Affairs, Mayor's Office at: eileen.f.mariano@sfgov.org.

Health Considerations

In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illnesses, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to various chemical-based products. Please help the City accommodate these individuals.

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: CCSF Monthly Pooled Investment Report for June 2024
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 11:33:03 AM
Attachments: [image004.png](#)
[CCSF Monthly Pooled Investment Report for June 2024.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached the Monthly Pooled Investment Report for June 2024.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Dion, Ichieh (TTX) <ichieh.dion@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2024 11:25 AM
Subject: CCSF Monthly Pooled Investment Report for June 2024

All-

Please find the CCSF Pooled Investment Report for the month of June attached for your use.

Regards,



Ichieh C. Dion

Investment Settlement Operations/Reporting
Investments

Office of the Treasurer & Tax Collector

Office: 415.554.5433

San Francisco only, **call 311**

sftreasurer.org



Treasurer & Tax Collector
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

José Cisneros
TREASURER

Investment Report for the month of June 2024

July 15, 2024

The Honorable London N. Breed
Mayor of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 200
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4638

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4638

Colleagues,

In accordance with the provisions of California State Government Code, Section 53646, we forward this report detailing the City's pooled fund portfolio as of June 30, 2024. These investments provide sufficient liquidity to meet expenditure requirements for the next six months and are in compliance with our statement of investment policy and California Code.

This correspondence and its attachments show the investment activity for the month of June 2024 for the portfolios under the Treasurer's management. All pricing and valuation data is obtained from Interactive Data Corporation.

CCSF Pooled Fund Investment Earnings Statistics *

<i>(in \$ million)</i>	Current Month		Prior Month	
	Fiscal YTD	June 2024	Fiscal YTD	May 2024
Average Daily Balance	\$ 15,893	\$ 16,584	\$ 15,831	\$ 16,936
Net Earnings	541.98	50.96	491.02	52.70
Earned Income Return	3.41%	3.75%	3.38%	3.67%

CCSF Pooled Fund Statistics *

<i>(in \$ million)</i>	% of Portfolio	Book Value	Market Value	Wtd. Avg. Coupon	Wtd. Avg. YTM	WAM
U.S. Treasuries	21.19%	\$ 3,621.9	\$ 3,483.5	1.46%	1.65%	636
Federal Agencies	42.18%	7,056.1	6,935.2	3.48%	3.57%	688
Public Time Deposits	0.18%	30.0	30.0	5.31%	5.31%	63
Negotiable CDs	13.45%	2,211.0	2,211.3	5.72%	5.72%	83
Commercial Paper	6.13%	1,007.6	1,007.0	0.00%	4.63%	125
Money Market Funds	13.08%	2,149.9	2,149.9	5.23%	5.23%	1
Supranationals	3.18%	532.6	523.6	2.24%	2.14%	314
Secured Bank Deposit	0.61%	100.2	100.2	5.35%	5.35%	1
Totals	100.0%	\$ 16,709.3	\$ 16,440.7	3.34%	3.70%	457

In the remainder of this report, we provide additional information and analytics at the security-level and portfolio-level, as recommended by the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission.

Respectfully,

José Cisneros
Treasurer

cc: Treasury Oversight Committee: Aimee Brown, Kevin Kone, Brenda Kwee McNulty
Greg Wagner - Controller, Office of the Controller
Mark de la Rosa - Director of Audits, Office of the Controller
Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance
San Francisco County Transportation Authority
San Francisco Public Library
San Francisco Health Service System

Portfolio Summary

Pooled Fund

As of June 30, 2024

<i>(in \$ million)</i>							
Security Type	Par Value	Book Value	Market Value	Market/Book Price	Current % Allocation	Max. Policy Allocation	Compliant?
U.S. Treasuries	\$ 3,640.0	\$ 3,621.9	\$ 3,483.5	96.18	21.68%	100%	Yes
Federal Agencies	7,062.4	7,056.1	6,935.2	98.29	42.23%	100%	Yes
State & Local Government							
Agency Obligations	-	-	-	-	0.00%	20%	Yes
Public Time Deposits	30.0	30.0	30.0	100.00	0.18%	100%	Yes
Negotiable CDs	2,211.0	2,211.0	2,211.3	100.02	13.23%	30%	Yes
Bankers Acceptances	-	-	-	-	0.00%	40%	Yes
Commercial Paper	1,026.5	1,007.6	1,007.0	99.94	6.03%	25%	Yes
Medium Term Notes	-	-	-	-	0.00%	30%	Yes
Repurchase Agreements	-	-	-	-	0.00%	10%	Yes
Reverse Repurchase/ Securities Lending Agreements	-	-	-	-	0.00%	\$75mm	Yes
Money Market Funds - Government	2,250.1	2,149.9	2,149.9	100.00	12.87%	20%	Yes
LAIF	-	-	-	-	0.00%	\$50mm	Yes
Supranationals	532.7	532.6	523.6	98.30	3.19%	30%	Yes
Secured Bank Deposit	100.2	100.2	100.2	100.00	0.60%	N/A	Yes
TOTAL	\$ 16,852.9	\$ 16,709.3	\$ 16,440.7	98.39	100.00%	-	Yes

The City and County of San Francisco uses the following methodology to determine compliance: Compliance is pre-trade and calculated on a book value basis of the overall portfolio value. Cash balances are included in the City's compliance calculations.

Please note the information in this report does not include cash balances. Due to fluctuations in the market value of the securities held in the Pooled Fund and changes in the City's cash position, the allocation limits may be exceeded on a post-trade compliance basis. In these instances, no compliance violation has occurred, as the policy limits were not exceeded prior to trade execution. The full Investment Policy can be found at <https://sftreasurer.org/banking-investments/investments>

Totals may not add due to rounding.


City and County of San Francisco

Pooled Fund Portfolio Statistics

For the month ended June 30, 2024



Average Daily Balance	\$16,583,662,179
Net Earnings	\$50,955,251
Earned Income Return	3.75%
Weighted Average Maturity	457 days

Investment Type	(\$ million)	Par Value	Book Value	Market Value
U.S. Treasuries	\$	3,640.0	\$ 3,621.9	\$ 3,483.5
Federal Agencies		7,062.4	7,056.1	6,935.2
Public Time Deposits		30.0	30.0	30.0
Negotiable CDs		2,211.0	2,211.0	2,211.3
Commercial Paper		1,026.5	1,007.6	1,007.0
Money Market Funds		2,250.1	2,149.9	2,149.9
Supranationals		532.7	532.6	523.6
Secured Bank Deposit		100.2	100.2	100.2
Total		\$ 16,752.7	\$ 16,609.1	\$ 16,340.5





Portfolio Analysis

Pooled Fund



Yield Curves



Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

As of June 30, 2024

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Original Cost	Amortized	
			Settle Date	Date				Book Value	Market Value
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCL3	U.S. Treasury Note	8/6/2021	7/15/2024	0.38	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 49,998,047	\$ 49,999,975	\$ 49,906,251
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCL3	U.S. Treasury Note	8/9/2021	7/15/2024	0.38	50,000,000	49,960,938	49,999,489	49,906,251
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCL3	U.S. Treasury Note	4/12/2022	7/15/2024	0.38	50,000,000	47,572,266	49,958,802	49,906,251
U.S. Treasuries	912828Y87	U.S. Treasury Note	3/30/2021	7/31/2024	1.75	50,000,000	52,210,938	50,054,412	49,853,516
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCT6	U.S. Treasury Note	8/25/2021	8/15/2024	0.38	50,000,000	49,898,438	49,995,792	49,693,360
U.S. Treasuries	912797GL5	U.S. Treasury Bill	3/12/2024	9/5/2024	0.00	50,000,000	48,745,832	49,532,344	49,497,850
U.S. Treasuries	912828YM6	U.S. Treasury Note	4/15/2021	10/31/2024	1.50	50,000,000	51,746,094	50,164,497	49,361,329
U.S. Treasuries	912828G38	U.S. Treasury Note	3/9/2021	11/15/2024	2.25	50,000,000	53,160,156	50,321,412	49,423,829
U.S. Treasuries	912828G38	U.S. Treasury Note	3/12/2021	11/15/2024	2.25	50,000,000	53,228,516	50,329,097	49,423,829
U.S. Treasuries	912828YY0	U.S. Treasury Note	3/15/2021	12/31/2024	1.75	50,000,000	52,226,563	50,293,771	49,128,907
U.S. Treasuries	912828Z52	U.S. Treasury Note	3/30/2021	1/31/2025	1.38	50,000,000	51,515,625	50,231,179	48,880,860
U.S. Treasuries	912828Z52	U.S. Treasury Note	4/15/2021	1/31/2025	1.38	50,000,000	51,507,813	50,232,640	48,880,860
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZC7	U.S. Treasury Note	3/15/2021	2/28/2025	1.13	50,000,000	51,011,719	50,169,319	48,669,922
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZC7	U.S. Treasury Note	3/31/2021	2/28/2025	1.13	50,000,000	50,998,047	50,168,900	48,669,922
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZF0	U.S. Treasury Note	4/15/2021	3/31/2025	0.50	50,000,000	49,779,297	49,958,332	48,294,922
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZF0	U.S. Treasury Note	4/19/2021	3/31/2025	0.50	50,000,000	49,839,844	49,969,679	48,294,922
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZL7	U.S. Treasury Note	5/18/2021	4/30/2025	0.38	50,000,000	49,615,234	49,919,207	48,070,313
U.S. Treasuries	912828XB1	U.S. Treasury Note	9/2/2021	5/15/2025	2.13	50,000,000	52,849,609	50,670,744	48,712,891
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	U.S. Treasury Note	3/8/2021	6/30/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,140,625	49,801,389	47,666,016
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	U.S. Treasury Note	3/9/2021	6/30/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,042,969	49,778,679	47,666,016
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	U.S. Treasury Note	5/12/2021	6/30/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,281,250	49,826,738	47,666,016
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	U.S. Treasury Note	5/13/2021	6/30/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,183,594	49,803,067	47,666,016
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	U.S. Treasury Note	5/18/2021	6/30/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,253,906	49,819,429	47,666,016
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	U.S. Treasury Note	7/12/2021	6/30/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,310,547	49,826,804	47,666,016
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	U.S. Treasury Note	8/5/2021	6/30/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,500,000	49,872,281	47,666,016
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	U.S. Treasury Note	8/6/2021	6/30/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,406,250	49,848,227	47,666,016
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	U.S. Treasury Note	12/7/2021	6/30/2025	0.25	50,000,000	48,628,906	49,616,389	47,666,016
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHL8	U.S. Treasury Note	2/6/2024	6/30/2025	4.63	50,000,000	49,976,563	49,983,272	49,765,625
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAB7	U.S. Treasury Note	8/5/2021	7/31/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,458,984	49,853,227	47,494,141
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAB7	U.S. Treasury Note	8/6/2021	7/31/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,363,281	49,827,145	47,494,141
U.S. Treasuries	91282CFK2	U.S. Treasury Note	10/7/2022	9/15/2025	3.50	50,000,000	48,968,750	49,576,554	49,105,469
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAM3	U.S. Treasury Note	5/12/2021	9/30/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,109,375	49,746,489	47,154,297
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAM3	U.S. Treasury Note	7/26/2021	9/30/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,281,250	49,785,363	47,154,297
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAT8	U.S. Treasury Note	2/25/2021	10/31/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,298,828	49,800,193	46,980,469
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAT8	U.S. Treasury Note	3/2/2021	10/31/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,078,125	49,736,530	46,980,469
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAT8	U.S. Treasury Note	3/4/2021	10/31/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,048,828	49,727,837	46,980,469
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBC4	U.S. Treasury Note	2/25/2021	12/31/2025	0.38	50,000,000	49,455,078	49,831,290	46,763,672
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBC4	U.S. Treasury Note	2/26/2021	12/31/2025	0.38	50,000,000	49,271,484	49,774,321	46,763,672
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBW0	U.S. Treasury Note	6/28/2021	4/30/2026	0.75	50,000,000	49,662,109	49,872,263	46,511,719
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBW0	U.S. Treasury Note	7/2/2021	4/30/2026	0.75	50,000,000	49,730,469	49,897,875	46,511,719
U.S. Treasuries	912828R36	U.S. Treasury Note	7/23/2021	5/15/2026	1.63	50,000,000	52,203,125	50,856,423	47,214,844
U.S. Treasuries	912828R36	U.S. Treasury Note	8/27/2021	5/15/2026	1.63	50,000,000	51,890,625	50,749,882	47,214,844
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	U.S. Treasury Note	7/2/2021	6/30/2026	0.88	50,000,000	49,931,641	49,972,679	46,394,532
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	U.S. Treasury Note	7/14/2021	6/30/2026	0.88	50,000,000	50,070,313	50,028,288	46,394,532
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	U.S. Treasury Note	7/22/2021	6/30/2026	0.88	50,000,000	50,345,703	50,139,699	46,394,532
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	U.S. Treasury Note	7/22/2021	6/30/2026	0.88	50,000,000	50,328,125	50,132,596	46,394,532
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	U.S. Treasury Note	8/6/2021	6/30/2026	0.88	50,000,000	50,406,250	50,165,543	46,394,532
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	U.S. Treasury Note	8/10/2021	6/30/2026	0.88	50,000,000	50,240,234	50,098,113	46,394,532
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	U.S. Treasury Note	9/24/2021	6/30/2026	0.88	50,000,000	49,937,500	49,973,815	46,394,532

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Original Cost	Amortized	
			Settle Date	Date				Book Value	Market Value
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	U.S. Treasury Note	10/14/2021	6/30/2026	0.88	50,000,000	49,593,750	49,827,816	46,394,532
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	U.S. Treasury Note	1/4/2022	6/30/2026	0.88	50,000,000	49,027,344	49,567,115	46,394,532
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCW9	U.S. Treasury Note	9/28/2021	8/31/2026	0.75	50,000,000	49,449,219	49,757,693	45,980,469
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCZ2	U.S. Treasury Note	10/8/2021	9/30/2026	0.88	50,000,000	49,689,453	49,859,759	46,007,813
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCZ2	U.S. Treasury Note	10/8/2021	9/30/2026	0.88	50,000,000	49,671,875	49,851,820	46,007,813
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCZ2	U.S. Treasury Note	10/19/2021	9/30/2026	0.88	50,000,000	49,318,359	49,690,301	46,007,813
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDK4	U.S. Treasury Note	12/3/2021	11/30/2026	1.25	50,000,000	50,072,266	50,034,963	46,183,594
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDK4	U.S. Treasury Note	12/7/2021	11/30/2026	1.25	50,000,000	50,117,188	50,056,822	46,183,594
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDK4	U.S. Treasury Note	3/29/2022	11/30/2026	1.25	50,000,000	47,078,125	48,490,279	46,183,594
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDQ1	U.S. Treasury Note	3/29/2022	12/31/2026	1.25	50,000,000	47,107,422	48,480,481	46,097,657
U.S. Treasuries	91282CEF4	U.S. Treasury Note	4/6/2022	3/31/2027	2.50	25,000,000	24,757,813	24,866,531	23,707,031
U.S. Treasuries	91282CKV2	U.S. Treasury Note	6/26/2024	6/15/2027	4.63	50,000,000	50,199,219	50,198,300	50,186,550
U.S. Treasuries	91282CEW7	U.S. Treasury Note	3/21/2024	6/30/2027	3.25	50,000,000	48,203,125	48,356,370	48,257,813
U.S. Treasuries	91282CEW7	U.S. Treasury Note	4/3/2024	6/30/2027	3.25	50,000,000	48,113,281	48,255,224	48,257,813
U.S. Treasuries	9128284N7	U.S. Treasury Note	4/9/2024	5/15/2028	2.88	65,000,000	61,082,227	61,034,444	61,450,391
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHK0	U.S. Treasury Note	1/5/2024	6/30/2028	4.00	50,000,000	49,974,609	49,977,369	49,269,532
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHK0	U.S. Treasury Note	1/18/2024	6/30/2028	4.00	50,000,000	49,927,734	49,935,072	49,269,532
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHK0	U.S. Treasury Note	1/18/2024	6/30/2028	4.00	50,000,000	49,904,297	49,914,014	49,269,532
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHK0	U.S. Treasury Note	2/6/2024	6/30/2028	4.00	50,000,000	49,677,734	49,707,031	49,269,532
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHK0	U.S. Treasury Note	2/27/2024	6/30/2028	4.00	50,000,000	49,298,828	49,354,126	49,269,532
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHK0	U.S. Treasury Note	5/13/2024	6/30/2028	4.00	50,000,000	48,939,453	48,973,891	49,269,532
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHX2	U.S. Treasury Note	12/12/2023	8/31/2028	4.38	50,000,000	50,115,234	50,101,732	49,974,610
U.S. Treasuries	9128286B1	U.S. Treasury Note	4/11/2024	2/15/2029	2.63	50,000,000	45,710,938	45,707,106	46,386,719
U.S. Treasuries	91282CKD2	U.S. Treasury Note	4/8/2024	2/28/2029	4.25	50,000,000	49,773,438	49,784,087	49,818,360
Subtotals					1.46	\$ 3,640,000,000	\$ 3,622,472,590	\$ 3,621,939,337	\$ 3,483,519,574

Federal Agencies	313384YV5	Federal Home Loan Bank Discount	10/31/2023	7/1/2024	0.00	\$ 25,000,000	\$ 24,111,264	\$ 25,000,000	\$ 24,989,050
Federal Agencies	313384YV5	Federal Home Loan Bank Discount	10/31/2023	7/1/2024	0.00	25,000,000	24,111,264	25,000,000	24,989,050
Federal Agencies	313384YV5	Federal Home Loan Bank Discount	10/31/2023	7/1/2024	0.00	25,000,000	24,111,264	25,000,000	24,989,050
Federal Agencies	313384YZ6	Federal Home Loan Bank Discount	2/21/2024	7/5/2024	0.00	25,000,000	24,518,125	24,985,722	24,971,000
Federal Agencies	3130ASME6	Federal Home Loan Bank	7/8/2022	7/8/2024	3.00	10,000,000	9,980,600	9,999,814	9,993,630
Federal Agencies	3130ASME6	Federal Home Loan Bank	7/8/2022	7/8/2024	3.00	15,000,000	14,970,900	14,999,721	14,990,445
Federal Agencies	3130ASME6	Federal Home Loan Bank	7/8/2022	7/8/2024	3.00	17,500,000	17,466,050	17,499,675	17,488,853
Federal Agencies	313384ZT9	Federal Home Loan Bank Discount	2/21/2024	7/23/2024	0.00	15,000,000	14,674,238	14,953,158	14,943,300
Federal Agencies	3133EMV25	Federal Farm Credit Bank	8/6/2021	7/23/2024	0.45	50,000,000	50,092,000	50,001,871	49,826,000
Federal Agencies	3133EPBF1	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/21/2023	8/21/2024	4.88	10,000,000	9,995,700	9,999,599	9,990,200
Federal Agencies	3133EPBF1	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/21/2023	8/21/2024	4.88	20,000,000	19,992,000	19,999,254	19,980,400
Federal Agencies	3133EPBF1	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/21/2023	8/21/2024	4.88	25,000,000	24,990,000	24,999,068	24,975,500
Federal Agencies	3133ENJ84	Federal Farm Credit Bank	8/26/2022	8/26/2024	3.38	50,000,000	49,916,500	49,993,603	49,821,500
Federal Agencies	3130ATVD6	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/10/2022	9/13/2024	4.88	50,000,000	50,062,000	50,006,817	49,932,500
Federal Agencies	3133EM5X6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	9/23/2021	9/23/2024	0.43	25,000,000	24,974,750	24,998,065	24,699,500
Federal Agencies	3133EM5X6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	9/23/2021	9/23/2024	0.43	50,000,000	49,949,500	49,996,130	49,399,000
Federal Agencies	3133EM5X6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	9/23/2021	9/23/2024	0.43	50,000,000	49,949,500	49,996,130	49,399,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENP79	Federal Farm Credit Bank	9/26/2022	9/26/2024	4.25	50,000,000	49,996,000	49,999,524	49,853,500
Federal Agencies	3130ATT31	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/1/2022	10/3/2024	4.50	50,000,000	49,860,500	49,981,321	49,878,350
Federal Agencies	3135GAFY2	Fannie Mae	4/3/2023	10/3/2024	5.32	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,965,750
Federal Agencies	3135GAFY2	Fannie Mae	4/3/2023	10/3/2024	5.32	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,965,750
Federal Agencies	3135GAFY2	Fannie Mae	4/3/2023	10/3/2024	5.32	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,931,500
Federal Agencies	313384K32	Federal Home Loan Bank Discount	3/26/2024	10/11/2024	0.00	25,000,000	24,306,264	24,644,417	24,620,250
Federal Agencies	3133EPHD0	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/28/2023	10/28/2024	4.50	20,000,000	19,968,400	19,993,150	19,932,000

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Maturity			Par Value	Original Cost	Amortized	
			Settle Date	Date	Coupon			Book Value	Market Value
Federal Agencies	3133EPHD0	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/28/2023	10/28/2024	4.50	25,000,000	24,959,000	24,991,113	24,915,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENEJ5	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/18/2021	11/18/2024	0.88	10,000,000	9,988,500	9,998,531	9,830,190
Federal Agencies	3133ENEJ5	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/18/2021	11/18/2024	0.88	10,000,000	9,988,500	9,998,531	9,830,190
Federal Agencies	3133ENEJ5	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/18/2021	11/18/2024	0.88	50,000,000	49,942,500	49,992,655	49,150,950
Federal Agencies	3133ENZ94	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/18/2022	11/18/2024	4.50	25,000,000	24,973,500	24,994,925	24,897,500
Federal Agencies	3133ELCP7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/3/2019	12/3/2024	1.63	25,000,000	24,960,000	24,996,606	24,590,500
Federal Agencies	3133ENGQ7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/9/2021	12/9/2024	0.92	50,000,000	49,985,000	49,997,797	48,998,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENGQ7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/9/2021	12/9/2024	0.92	50,000,000	49,963,000	49,994,565	48,998,000
Federal Agencies	3133EN4N7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/20/2022	12/20/2024	4.25	10,000,000	9,982,900	9,995,976	9,940,200
Federal Agencies	3133EN4N7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/20/2022	12/20/2024	4.25	25,000,000	24,954,500	24,989,294	24,850,500
Federal Agencies	3133EN4N7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/20/2022	12/20/2024	4.25	25,000,000	24,954,500	24,989,294	24,850,500
Federal Agencies	3135GAG39	Fannie Mae	3/30/2023	12/30/2024	5.38	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,012,250
Federal Agencies	3135GAG39	Fannie Mae	3/30/2023	12/30/2024	5.38	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,012,250
Federal Agencies	3135GAG39	Fannie Mae	3/30/2023	12/30/2024	5.38	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,012,250
Federal Agencies	3135GAG39	Fannie Mae	3/30/2023	12/30/2024	5.38	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,012,250
Federal Agencies	3133ENKS8	Federal Farm Credit Bank	1/11/2022	1/6/2025	1.13	20,000,000	19,955,000	19,992,204	19,571,400
Federal Agencies	3133ENKS8	Federal Farm Credit Bank	1/11/2022	1/6/2025	1.13	25,000,000	24,943,750	24,990,255	24,464,250
Federal Agencies	3133ENKS8	Federal Farm Credit Bank	1/11/2022	1/6/2025	1.13	25,000,000	24,943,750	24,990,255	24,464,250
Federal Agencies	3135G0X24	Fannie Mae	4/21/2021	1/7/2025	1.63	39,060,000	40,632,556	39,280,181	38,332,312
Federal Agencies	3133ENZ37	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/10/2022	1/10/2025	4.88	10,000,000	9,999,400	9,999,854	9,978,420
Federal Agencies	3133ENZ37	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/10/2022	1/10/2025	4.88	20,000,000	19,998,800	19,999,708	19,956,840
Federal Agencies	3133ENZ37	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/10/2022	1/10/2025	4.88	20,000,000	19,999,580	19,999,898	19,956,840
Federal Agencies	3130B0MZ9	Federal Home Loan Bank	3/27/2024	1/27/2025	5.10	115,000,000	115,000,000	115,000,000	114,879,250
Federal Agencies	3133EPAG0	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/10/2023	2/10/2025	4.25	10,000,000	9,947,200	9,983,821	9,938,900
Federal Agencies	3133EPAG0	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/10/2023	2/10/2025	4.25	29,875,000	29,716,065	29,826,298	29,692,464
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	Freddie Mac	2/14/2020	2/12/2025	1.50	5,000,000	4,996,150	4,999,523	4,884,700
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	Freddie Mac	2/14/2020	2/12/2025	1.50	5,000,000	4,996,150	4,999,523	4,884,700
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	Freddie Mac	2/14/2020	2/12/2025	1.50	5,000,000	4,996,150	4,999,523	4,884,700
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	Freddie Mac	2/14/2020	2/12/2025	1.50	15,000,000	14,988,450	14,998,570	14,654,100
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	Freddie Mac	2/14/2020	2/12/2025	1.50	50,000,000	49,961,500	49,995,232	48,847,000
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	Freddie Mac	4/21/2021	2/12/2025	1.50	53,532,000	55,450,052	53,843,184	52,297,552
Federal Agencies	3130AUVZ4	Federal Home Loan Bank	2/13/2023	2/13/2025	4.50	50,000,000	49,921,500	49,975,623	49,789,000
Federal Agencies	3130AV7L0	Federal Home Loan Bank	3/3/2023	2/28/2025	5.00	25,000,000	24,967,000	24,989,030	24,953,000
Federal Agencies	3130AV7L0	Federal Home Loan Bank	3/3/2023	2/28/2025	5.00	35,000,000	34,953,800	34,984,642	34,934,200
Federal Agencies	3133ELQY3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	3/23/2020	3/3/2025	1.21	16,000,000	15,990,720	15,998,741	15,578,400
Federal Agencies	3133ELQY3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	3/23/2020	3/3/2025	1.21	24,000,000	23,964,240	23,995,149	23,367,600
Federal Agencies	3133EMWT5	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/21/2021	4/21/2025	0.60	50,000,000	49,973,500	49,994,667	48,189,500
Federal Agencies	3135G03U5	Fannie Mae	12/8/2021	4/22/2025	0.63	37,938,000	37,367,792	37,801,354	36,576,785
Federal Agencies	3135G03U5	Fannie Mae	7/12/2021	4/22/2025	0.63	50,000,000	50,108,000	50,023,087	48,206,000
Federal Agencies	3135G03U5	Fannie Mae	12/8/2021	4/22/2025	0.63	50,000,000	49,243,950	49,818,818	48,206,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENXE5	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/23/2022	5/23/2025	2.85	6,000,000	5,991,600	5,997,501	5,878,440
Federal Agencies	3133ENXE5	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/23/2022	5/23/2025	2.85	20,000,000	19,972,000	19,991,672	19,594,800
Federal Agencies	3130AWER7	Federal Home Loan Bank	6/12/2023	6/6/2025	4.63	10,000,000	9,991,700	9,996,108	9,953,000
Federal Agencies	3130AWER7	Federal Home Loan Bank	6/12/2023	6/6/2025	4.63	15,000,000	14,987,550	14,994,161	14,929,500
Federal Agencies	3130AWER7	Federal Home Loan Bank	6/12/2023	6/6/2025	4.63	25,000,000	24,979,250	24,990,269	24,882,500
Federal Agencies	3130AWER7	Federal Home Loan Bank	6/12/2023	6/6/2025	4.63	52,000,000	51,956,840	51,979,759	51,755,600
Federal Agencies	3130ASG86	Federal Home Loan Bank	8/4/2022	6/13/2025	3.38	11,940,000	12,000,178	11,960,002	11,737,975
Federal Agencies	3130ASG86	Federal Home Loan Bank	8/3/2022	6/13/2025	3.38	12,700,000	12,806,045	12,735,213	12,485,116
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	Federal Home Loan Bank	5/10/2023	6/13/2025	4.38	3,000,000	3,012,270	3,005,566	2,978,622
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	Federal Home Loan Bank	5/8/2023	6/13/2025	4.38	9,915,000	9,975,878	9,942,542	9,844,346

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Maturity			Par Value	Original Cost	Amortized	
			Settle Date	Date	Coupon			Book Value	Market Value
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	Federal Home Loan Bank	5/8/2023	6/13/2025	4.38	10,000,000	10,065,000	10,029,407	9,928,740
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	Federal Home Loan Bank	5/11/2023	6/13/2025	4.38	10,000,000	10,036,000	10,016,351	9,928,740
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	Federal Home Loan Bank	5/17/2023	6/13/2025	4.38	24,000,000	24,079,440	24,036,366	23,828,976
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	Federal Home Loan Bank	5/9/2023	6/13/2025	4.38	25,500,000	25,624,695	25,556,487	25,318,287
Federal Agencies	3130AWLY4	Federal Home Loan Bank	7/25/2023	6/13/2025	5.13	10,800,000	10,818,036	10,809,083	10,795,248
Federal Agencies	3130AWLY4	Federal Home Loan Bank	7/25/2023	6/13/2025	5.13	48,150,000	48,241,967	48,196,317	48,128,814
Federal Agencies	3133EN4B3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/13/2022	6/13/2025	4.25	15,000,000	14,988,383	14,995,585	14,872,950
Federal Agencies	3133EN4B3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/13/2022	6/13/2025	4.25	15,000,000	14,989,800	14,996,123	14,872,950
Federal Agencies	3133EN4B3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/13/2022	6/13/2025	4.25	15,000,000	14,989,050	14,995,838	14,872,950
Federal Agencies	3133ENYQ7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/13/2022	6/13/2025	2.95	50,000,000	49,975,500	49,992,243	48,973,500
Federal Agencies	3135G04Z3	Fannie Mae	12/8/2021	6/17/2025	0.50	4,655,000	4,556,640	4,628,175	4,453,066
Federal Agencies	3135G04Z3	Fannie Mae	12/8/2021	6/17/2025	0.50	10,000,000	9,789,600	9,942,618	9,566,200
Federal Agencies	3130AN4A5	Federal Home Loan Bank	7/12/2021	6/30/2025	0.70	17,680,000	17,734,631	17,693,724	16,965,905
Federal Agencies	3133EPKA2	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/18/2023	8/18/2025	4.00	25,000,000	24,982,000	24,990,967	24,713,250
Federal Agencies	3133EPKA2	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/18/2023	8/18/2025	4.00	26,500,000	26,483,835	26,491,888	26,196,045
Federal Agencies	3133EPKA2	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/18/2023	8/18/2025	4.00	30,000,000	29,981,700	29,990,817	29,655,900
Federal Agencies	3135G05X7	Fannie Mae	3/4/2021	8/25/2025	0.38	25,000,000	24,684,250	24,918,890	23,698,250
Federal Agencies	3135G05X7	Fannie Mae	2/25/2021	8/25/2025	0.38	72,500,000	71,862,000	72,336,809	68,724,925
Federal Agencies	3130B0AD1	Federal Home Loan Bank	3/4/2024	9/4/2025	5.50	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,978,250
Federal Agencies	3130B0AD1	Federal Home Loan Bank	3/4/2024	9/4/2025	5.50	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,978,250
Federal Agencies	3130B0AD1	Federal Home Loan Bank	3/4/2024	9/4/2025	5.50	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,978,250
Federal Agencies	3130B0AD1	Federal Home Loan Bank	3/4/2024	9/4/2025	5.50	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,978,250
Federal Agencies	3130A8ZQ9	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/2/2021	9/12/2025	1.75	10,295,000	10,575,333	10,382,082	9,927,674
Federal Agencies	3133EPVY8	Federal Farm Credit Bank	9/15/2023	9/15/2025	5.00	8,230,000	8,224,074	8,226,425	8,216,832
Federal Agencies	3133EPVY8	Federal Farm Credit Bank	9/15/2023	9/15/2025	5.00	15,000,000	14,981,850	14,989,050	14,976,000
Federal Agencies	3133EPVY8	Federal Farm Credit Bank	9/15/2023	9/15/2025	5.00	20,000,000	19,975,800	19,985,401	19,968,000
Federal Agencies	3137EAEX3	Freddie Mac	3/4/2021	9/23/2025	0.38	22,600,000	22,295,352	22,517,796	21,357,226
Federal Agencies	3133EPDL6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	3/15/2023	10/1/2025	4.85	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,920,000
Federal Agencies	3133EPYW9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/20/2023	10/20/2025	5.13	24,000,000	23,923,440	23,950,147	24,045,120
Federal Agencies	3133EPYW9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/20/2023	10/20/2025	5.13	25,000,000	24,985,500	24,990,558	25,047,000
Federal Agencies	3133EPYW9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/20/2023	10/20/2025	5.13	35,000,000	34,972,350	34,981,995	35,065,800
Federal Agencies	3133EPYW9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/20/2023	10/20/2025	5.13	50,000,000	49,972,000	49,981,767	50,094,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENEG1	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/17/2021	11/17/2025	1.05	39,675,000	39,622,232	39,656,797	37,636,895
Federal Agencies	3133ENEG1	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/17/2021	11/17/2025	1.05	55,000,000	54,923,000	54,973,437	52,174,650
Federal Agencies	3133ENHM5	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/16/2021	12/16/2025	1.17	45,000,000	44,954,100	44,983,255	42,634,800
Federal Agencies	3133ENHM5	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/16/2021	12/16/2025	1.17	50,000,000	49,949,000	49,981,394	47,372,000
Federal Agencies	3133EN5E6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/29/2022	12/29/2025	4.00	15,000,000	14,954,700	14,977,433	14,793,150
Federal Agencies	3133EN5E6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/29/2022	12/29/2025	4.00	20,000,000	19,939,600	19,969,910	19,724,200
Federal Agencies	3133EN5E6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/29/2022	12/29/2025	4.00	25,000,000	24,923,750	24,962,014	24,655,250
Federal Agencies	3133EN6A3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	1/13/2023	1/13/2026	4.00	20,000,000	19,982,400	19,990,991	19,717,600
Federal Agencies	3133EN6A3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	1/13/2023	1/13/2026	4.00	30,000,000	29,977,200	29,988,330	29,576,400
Federal Agencies	3130AUTC8	Federal Home Loan Bank	2/9/2023	2/6/2026	4.01	21,100,000	20,985,427	21,038,678	20,835,828
Federal Agencies	3133EPJX4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/17/2023	2/17/2026	3.63	25,000,000	24,928,500	24,957,682	24,508,750
Federal Agencies	3133EPJX4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/17/2023	2/17/2026	3.63	30,000,000	29,905,500	29,944,070	29,410,500
Federal Agencies	3133EPBJ3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/23/2023	2/23/2026	4.38	25,000,000	24,953,500	24,974,459	24,798,750
Federal Agencies	3133EPBJ3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/23/2023	2/23/2026	4.38	28,000,000	27,954,080	27,974,778	27,774,600
Federal Agencies	3133EPBJ3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/23/2023	2/23/2026	4.38	50,000,000	49,918,000	49,954,960	49,597,500
Federal Agencies	3133ENJ35	Federal Farm Credit Bank	8/25/2022	2/25/2026	3.32	35,000,000	34,957,650	34,980,016	34,157,130
Federal Agencies	3130AXB31	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/2/2023	3/13/2026	4.88	10,000,000	9,953,900	9,966,842	10,010,300
Federal Agencies	3130AXB31	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/2/2023	3/13/2026	4.88	10,000,000	9,950,700	9,964,541	10,010,300

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Par Value	Original Cost	Amortized	
				Date	Coupon			Book Value	Market Value
Federal Agencies	3130AXB31	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/2/2023	3/13/2026	4.88	10,000,000	9,950,700	9,964,541	10,010,300
Federal Agencies	3130AXB31	Federal Home Loan Bank	4/5/2024	3/13/2026	4.88	25,000,000	25,053,750	25,047,136	25,025,750
Federal Agencies	3130AXB31	Federal Home Loan Bank	4/2/2024	3/13/2026	4.88	36,730,000	36,803,460	36,794,148	36,767,832
Federal Agencies	3133EP5K7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/2/2024	3/13/2026	4.50	50,000,000	49,758,000	49,788,676	49,724,500
Federal Agencies	3133EMZ21	Federal Farm Credit Bank	8/9/2021	4/6/2026	0.69	15,500,000	15,458,150	15,484,156	14,420,580
Federal Agencies	3133ENUD0	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/8/2022	4/8/2026	2.64	20,000,000	19,961,200	19,982,844	19,260,200
Federal Agencies	3133ENUD0	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/8/2022	4/8/2026	2.64	30,000,000	29,941,800	29,974,266	28,890,300
Federal Agencies	3130AVWS7	Federal Home Loan Bank	5/10/2023	6/12/2026	3.75	17,045,000	16,991,479	17,011,294	16,725,406
Federal Agencies	3130AVWS7	Federal Home Loan Bank	5/17/2023	6/12/2026	3.75	20,000,000	19,939,200	19,961,472	19,625,000
Federal Agencies	3130AWAH3	Federal Home Loan Bank	6/1/2023	6/12/2026	4.00	10,000,000	9,934,300	9,957,802	9,858,800
Federal Agencies	3130AWAH3	Federal Home Loan Bank	6/1/2023	6/12/2026	4.00	15,000,000	14,899,350	14,935,355	14,788,200
Federal Agencies	3130AWLZ1	Federal Home Loan Bank	7/10/2023	6/12/2026	4.75	50,000,000	49,856,000	49,904,135	49,923,000
Federal Agencies	3130B1BT3	Federal Home Loan Bank	6/18/2024	6/12/2026	4.88	13,485,000	13,505,093	13,504,732	13,506,306
Federal Agencies	3133ERHD6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/18/2024	6/12/2026	4.88	20,000,000	20,030,400	20,029,854	20,036,000
Federal Agencies	3133ERHD6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/18/2024	6/12/2026	4.88	32,000,000	32,051,200	32,051,281	32,057,600
Federal Agencies	3133EPMU6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/15/2023	6/15/2026	4.25	20,000,000	19,969,200	19,979,935	19,795,000
Federal Agencies	3133EPMU6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/15/2023	6/15/2026	4.25	24,700,000	24,640,226	24,661,060	24,446,825
Federal Agencies	3133EPMU6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/15/2023	6/15/2026	4.25	30,000,000	29,951,400	29,968,339	29,692,500
Federal Agencies	3133EPNG6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/23/2023	6/23/2026	4.38	25,000,000	24,986,750	24,991,271	24,826,000
Federal Agencies	3133EPNG6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/23/2023	6/23/2026	4.38	25,000,000	24,986,750	24,991,271	24,826,000
Federal Agencies	3133EPNG6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/23/2023	6/23/2026	4.38	50,000,000	49,973,500	49,982,543	49,652,000
Federal Agencies	3133EPVP7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	9/8/2023	7/8/2026	4.75	10,000,000	9,991,700	9,994,084	9,986,900
Federal Agencies	3133EPVP7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	9/8/2023	7/8/2026	4.75	19,000,000	18,984,800	18,989,166	18,975,110
Federal Agencies	3133EPVP7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	9/8/2023	7/8/2026	4.75	21,000,000	20,982,780	20,987,726	20,972,490
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	Federal Home Loan Bank	8/19/2021	7/13/2026	1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,164,750
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	Federal Home Loan Bank	8/19/2021	7/13/2026	1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,164,750
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	Federal Home Loan Bank	8/19/2021	7/13/2026	1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,164,750
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	Federal Home Loan Bank	8/19/2021	7/13/2026	1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,164,750
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	Federal Home Loan Bank	8/20/2021	7/27/2026	1.07	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,142,000
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	Federal Home Loan Bank	8/20/2021	7/27/2026	1.07	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,142,000
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	Federal Home Loan Bank	8/20/2021	7/27/2026	1.07	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,142,000
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	Federal Home Loan Bank	8/20/2021	7/27/2026	1.07	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,142,000
Federal Agencies	3133EPZY4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/30/2023	7/30/2026	5.00	3,000,000	2,991,930	2,993,899	3,012,930
Federal Agencies	3133EPZY4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/30/2023	7/30/2026	5.00	9,615,000	9,589,136	9,595,447	9,656,441
Federal Agencies	3133EPZY4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/30/2023	7/30/2026	5.00	16,000,000	15,956,960	15,967,463	16,068,960
Federal Agencies	3133EPZY4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/30/2023	7/30/2026	5.00	25,000,000	24,936,750	24,952,185	25,107,750
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	Federal Home Loan Bank	9/13/2021	8/10/2026	1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,122,250
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	Federal Home Loan Bank	9/13/2021	8/10/2026	1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,122,250
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	Federal Home Loan Bank	9/13/2021	8/10/2026	1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,122,250
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	Federal Home Loan Bank	9/13/2021	8/10/2026	1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,122,250
Federal Agencies	3133EPSW6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	8/14/2023	8/14/2026	4.50	50,000,000	49,885,000	49,918,786	49,758,500
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	Federal Home Loan Bank	10/1/2021	9/3/2026	1.08	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,079,250
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	Federal Home Loan Bank	10/1/2021	9/3/2026	1.08	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,079,250
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	Federal Home Loan Bank	10/1/2021	9/3/2026	1.08	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,079,250
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	Federal Home Loan Bank	10/1/2021	9/3/2026	1.08	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,079,250
Federal Agencies	3133EM4X7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/12/2023	9/10/2026	0.80	28,975,000	26,174,277	26,738,330	26,631,502
Federal Agencies	3130AXCP1	Federal Home Loan Bank	10/18/2023	9/11/2026	4.88	11,895,000	11,821,965	11,839,689	11,927,117
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/18/2021	10/19/2026	1.43	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,144,250
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/18/2021	10/19/2026	1.43	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,144,250
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/18/2021	10/19/2026	1.43	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,144,250

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Original Cost	Amortized	
			Settle Date	Date				Book Value	Market Value
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/18/2021	10/19/2026	1.43	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,144,250
Federal Agencies	3133EPZA6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/20/2023	10/20/2026	4.88	14,000,000	13,924,940	13,927,057	14,052,220
Federal Agencies	3133EPZA6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/20/2023	10/20/2026	4.88	30,000,000	29,834,100	29,872,699	30,111,900
Federal Agencies	3134GYRY0	Freddie Mac	5/9/2023	11/2/2026	5.29	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,874,500
Federal Agencies	3134GYRY0	Freddie Mac	5/9/2023	11/2/2026	5.29	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,874,500
Federal Agencies	3134GYRY0	Freddie Mac	5/9/2023	11/2/2026	5.29	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,874,500
Federal Agencies	3134GYRY0	Freddie Mac	5/9/2023	11/2/2026	5.29	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,874,500
Federal Agencies	3130AQ7L1	Federal Home Loan Bank	12/16/2021	11/16/2026	1.61	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,180,750
Federal Agencies	3130AQ7L1	Federal Home Loan Bank	12/16/2021	11/16/2026	1.61	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,180,750
Federal Agencies	3130AQ7L1	Federal Home Loan Bank	12/16/2021	11/16/2026	1.61	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,180,750
Federal Agencies	3130AQ7L1	Federal Home Loan Bank	12/16/2021	11/16/2026	1.61	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,180,750
Federal Agencies	3130AXU63	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/17/2023	11/17/2026	4.63	50,000,000	49,911,500	49,929,830	49,954,500
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	Federal Home Loan Bank	1/14/2022	12/14/2026	1.65	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,194,750
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	Federal Home Loan Bank	1/14/2022	12/14/2026	1.65	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,194,750
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	Federal Home Loan Bank	1/14/2022	12/14/2026	1.65	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,194,750
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	Federal Home Loan Bank	1/14/2022	12/14/2026	1.65	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,194,750
Federal Agencies	3130AYPN0	Federal Home Loan Bank	1/29/2024	1/15/2027	4.13	12,000,000	11,973,000	11,976,843	11,863,080
Federal Agencies	3130AYPN0	Federal Home Loan Bank	1/29/2024	1/15/2027	4.13	25,000,000	24,943,750	24,951,756	24,714,750
Federal Agencies	3130AYPN0	Federal Home Loan Bank	1/29/2024	1/15/2027	4.13	29,350,000	29,283,963	29,293,362	29,015,117
Federal Agencies	3130AYPN0	Federal Home Loan Bank	1/29/2024	1/15/2027	4.13	50,000,000	49,887,500	49,903,512	49,429,500
Federal Agencies	3133EPX91	Federal Farm Credit Bank	1/25/2024	1/25/2027	4.13	5,000,000	4,992,850	4,993,881	4,946,300
Federal Agencies	3133EPX91	Federal Farm Credit Bank	1/25/2024	1/25/2027	4.13	10,000,000	9,986,600	9,988,532	9,892,600
Federal Agencies	3133EPX91	Federal Farm Credit Bank	1/25/2024	1/25/2027	4.13	25,000,000	24,968,500	24,973,041	24,731,500
Federal Agencies	3133EPX91	Federal Farm Credit Bank	1/25/2024	1/25/2027	4.13	35,000,000	34,955,900	34,962,257	34,624,100
Federal Agencies	3133EPX91	Federal Farm Credit Bank	1/25/2024	1/25/2027	4.13	50,000,000	49,933,000	49,942,659	49,463,000
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	Federal Home Loan Bank	3/22/2022	3/8/2027	2.35	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,533,250
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	Federal Home Loan Bank	3/22/2022	3/8/2027	2.35	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,533,250
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	Federal Home Loan Bank	3/22/2022	3/8/2027	2.35	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,533,250
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	Federal Home Loan Bank	3/22/2022	3/8/2027	2.35	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	23,533,250
Federal Agencies	3133ENRD4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	3/16/2022	3/10/2027	1.68	48,573,000	47,432,020	47,957,372	44,983,941
Federal Agencies	3133EP6K6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/2/2024	3/26/2027	4.50	50,000,000	49,910,000	49,917,445	49,907,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENTS9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/6/2022	4/5/2027	2.60	22,500,000	22,392,338	22,440,535	21,336,075
Federal Agencies	3133ENTS9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/6/2022	4/5/2027	2.60	24,500,000	24,377,010	24,432,069	23,232,615
Federal Agencies	3133ENTS9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/6/2022	4/5/2027	2.60	25,000,000	24,804,000	24,891,744	23,706,750
Federal Agencies	3130B0TY5	Federal Home Loan Bank	4/11/2024	4/9/2027	4.75	17,000,000	16,955,120	16,958,446	17,099,280
Federal Agencies	3130B0TY5	Federal Home Loan Bank	4/11/2024	4/9/2027	4.75	20,000,000	19,947,200	19,951,113	20,116,800
Federal Agencies	3130B0TY5	Federal Home Loan Bank	4/11/2024	4/9/2027	4.75	40,000,000	39,894,400	39,902,226	40,233,600
Federal Agencies	3130B0TY5	Federal Home Loan Bank	4/11/2024	4/9/2027	4.75	48,000,000	47,873,280	47,882,671	48,280,320
Federal Agencies	3133ERDS7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/13/2024	5/6/2027	4.75	12,727,000	12,740,236	12,739,640	12,797,622
Federal Agencies	3133EN2L3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/17/2022	5/17/2027	4.13	4,650,000	4,646,792	4,647,948	4,593,549
Federal Agencies	3133EN2L3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/17/2022	5/17/2027	4.13	5,000,000	4,996,550	4,997,794	4,939,300
Federal Agencies	3133EN2L3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/17/2022	5/17/2027	4.13	21,000,000	20,987,001	20,991,688	20,745,060
Federal Agencies	3133EN2L3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/17/2022	5/17/2027	4.13	25,000,000	24,982,750	24,988,969	24,696,500
Federal Agencies	3133EPP66	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/20/2023	5/20/2027	4.00	31,000,000	30,905,760	30,920,421	30,519,810
Federal Agencies	3133EPP66	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/20/2023	5/20/2027	4.00	58,850,000	58,662,269	58,691,475	57,938,414
Federal Agencies	3130ASGU7	Federal Home Loan Bank	7/19/2022	6/11/2027	3.50	10,000,000	10,141,500	10,085,074	9,695,100
Federal Agencies	3130ASGU7	Federal Home Loan Bank	7/19/2022	6/11/2027	3.50	12,375,000	12,552,829	12,481,916	11,997,686
Federal Agencies	3130ASGU7	Federal Home Loan Bank	7/20/2022	6/11/2027	3.50	21,725,000	22,016,550	21,900,387	21,062,605
Federal Agencies	3130AX4E5	Federal Home Loan Bank	5/13/2024	6/11/2027	4.50	11,000,000	10,937,190	10,939,928	10,994,192
Federal Agencies	3133EPMV4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/15/2023	6/15/2027	4.13	28,940,000	28,911,928	28,919,268	28,588,668

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Maturity			Par Value	Original Cost	Amortized	
			Settle Date	Date	Coupon			Book Value	Market Value
Federal Agencies	3133ENZK9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	7/7/2022	6/28/2027	3.24	27,865,000	28,099,066	28,005,671	26,842,355
Federal Agencies	3133ERJZ5	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/28/2024	6/28/2027	4.50	30,000,000	29,985,840	29,985,879	29,980,380
Federal Agencies	3133EPBM6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/23/2023	8/23/2027	4.13	10,000,000	9,974,000	9,981,822	9,878,400
Federal Agencies	3133EPC60	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/15/2023	11/15/2027	4.63	27,950,000	27,834,008	27,852,188	27,975,714
Federal Agencies	3133EPC60	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/15/2023	11/15/2027	4.63	33,300,000	33,161,472	33,183,185	33,330,636
Federal Agencies	3134H1NT6	Freddie Mac	1/10/2024	1/10/2028	5.41	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,011,500
Federal Agencies	3134H1NT6	Freddie Mac	1/10/2024	1/10/2028	5.41	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,011,500
Federal Agencies	3134H1NT6	Freddie Mac	1/10/2024	1/10/2028	5.41	65,000,000	65,000,000	65,000,000	65,029,900
Federal Agencies	3135GANG2	Fannie Mae	2/14/2024	2/18/2028	5.13	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,809,000
Federal Agencies	3135GANG2	Fannie Mae	2/14/2024	2/18/2028	5.13	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,809,000
Federal Agencies	3135GANG2	Fannie Mae	2/14/2024	2/18/2028	5.13	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,618,000
Federal Agencies	3133EP5S0	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/9/2024	3/20/2028	4.25	4,971,000	4,916,667	4,919,796	4,938,291
Federal Agencies	3133ERGL9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/26/2024	6/7/2028	4.50	14,934,000	14,962,076	14,961,979	14,991,108
Federal Agencies	3133ERGL9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/7/2024	6/7/2028	4.50	15,000,000	14,994,600	14,994,689	15,057,360
Federal Agencies	3133ERGL9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/26/2024	6/7/2028	4.50	20,000,000	20,037,600	20,037,470	20,076,480
Federal Agencies	3133EPSK2	Federal Farm Credit Bank	8/7/2023	8/7/2028	4.25	19,500,000	19,412,250	19,428,052	19,351,800
Federal Agencies	3133EPUN3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	8/28/2023	8/28/2028	4.50	10,000,000	9,979,100	9,982,623	10,017,100
Federal Agencies	3133EPUN3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	8/28/2023	8/28/2028	4.50	15,000,000	14,962,800	14,969,071	15,025,650
Federal Agencies	3133EPUN3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	8/28/2023	8/28/2028	4.50	25,000,000	24,943,500	24,953,025	25,042,750
Federal Agencies	3133EPUN3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	8/28/2023	8/28/2028	4.50	33,000,000	32,904,960	32,920,982	33,056,430
Federal Agencies	3133ERHN4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/20/2024	10/20/2028	4.25	5,000,000	4,972,100	4,972,294	4,970,350
Federal Agencies	3133ERHN4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/20/2024	10/20/2028	4.25	38,000,000	37,785,300	37,786,792	37,774,660
Federal Agencies	3133EPC45	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/13/2023	11/13/2028	4.63	12,000,000	11,984,040	11,984,058	12,095,280
Federal Agencies	3133EPC45	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/13/2023	11/13/2028	4.63	20,000,000	19,971,600	19,975,191	20,158,800
Federal Agencies	3133EPC45	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/13/2023	11/13/2028	4.63	55,000,000	54,922,285	54,932,111	55,436,700
Federal Agencies	3130AVBD3	Federal Home Loan Bank	4/9/2024	3/9/2029	4.50	25,000,000	25,018,750	25,017,883	25,146,000
Federal Agencies	3134H1YE7	Freddie Mac	3/28/2024	3/14/2029	5.91	20,000,000	20,000,000	20,000,000	19,946,400
Federal Agencies	3134H1YE7	Freddie Mac	3/28/2024	3/14/2029	5.91	20,000,000	20,000,000	20,000,000	19,946,400
Federal Agencies	3134H1YE7	Freddie Mac	3/28/2024	3/14/2029	5.91	20,000,000	20,000,000	20,000,000	19,946,400
Federal Agencies	3134H1YE7	Freddie Mac	3/28/2024	3/14/2029	5.91	55,000,000	55,000,000	55,000,000	54,852,600
Federal Agencies	3133EP5U5	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/8/2024	3/20/2029	4.13	51,660,000	51,008,309	51,038,604	51,151,666
Federal Agencies	3134H1G64	Freddie Mac	4/16/2024	4/16/2029	6.03	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,999,000
Federal Agencies	3134H1G64	Freddie Mac	4/16/2024	4/16/2029	6.03	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,999,000
Federal Agencies	3134H1G64	Freddie Mac	4/16/2024	4/16/2029	6.03	65,000,000	65,000,000	65,000,000	64,997,400
Federal Agencies	3133ERDH1	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/8/2024	4/30/2029	4.75	27,892,000	28,191,755	28,182,852	28,346,640
Federal Agencies	3133ERDH1	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/8/2024	4/30/2029	4.75	30,000,000	30,317,400	30,307,972	30,489,000
Federal Agencies	3133ERDH1	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/8/2024	4/30/2029	4.75	63,085,000	63,763,795	63,743,632	64,113,286
Federal Agencies	3133ERGS4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/26/2024	6/11/2029	4.25	10,000,000	9,967,600	9,967,689	9,954,540
Federal Agencies	3133ERGS4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/26/2024	6/11/2029	4.25	10,000,000	9,967,600	9,967,689	9,954,540
Federal Agencies	3133ERGS4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/26/2024	6/11/2029	4.25	10,000,000	9,967,600	9,967,689	9,954,540
Federal Agencies	3133ERGS4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/26/2024	6/11/2029	4.25	20,000,000	19,935,200	19,935,379	19,909,080
Federal Agencies	3133ERGS4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/26/2024	6/11/2029	4.25	29,000,000	28,923,730	28,923,941	28,868,166
Subtotals					3.48	\$ 7,062,437,000	\$ 7,050,323,078	\$ 7,056,098,408	\$ 6,935,193,672
Public Time Deposits	PPG5M8MH8	Bank of San Francisco	1/8/2024	7/8/2024	5.30	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000
Public Time Deposits	PPGG8E735	Bridge Bank NA	1/16/2024	7/15/2024	5.26	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
Public Time Deposits	PPGHASP70	Bridge Bank NA	6/17/2024	12/16/2024	5.36	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
Subtotals					5.31	\$ 30,000,000	\$ 30,000,000	\$ 30,000,000	\$ 30,000,000
Negotiable CDs	06367DAX3	Bank of Montreal/CHI	7/5/2023	7/1/2024	6.00	\$ 100,000,000	\$ 100,000,000	\$ 100,000,000	\$ 100,004,000

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Original Cost	Amortized	
			Settle Date	Date				Book Value	Market Value
Negotiable CDs	06367DBR5	Bank of Montreal/CHI	7/24/2023	7/1/2024	5.93	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,002,000
Negotiable CDs	06367DFX8	Bank of Montreal/CHI	12/8/2023	7/1/2024	5.56	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,500
Negotiable CDs	13606KZR0	Canadian Imperial Bank/NY	8/7/2023	7/1/2024	5.89	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,001,500
Negotiable CDs	89115BNV8	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	12/8/2023	7/1/2024	5.56	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,500
Negotiable CDs	89115BRG7	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	7/6/2023	7/1/2024	6.05	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,002,500
Negotiable CDs	89115BS84	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	7/17/2023	7/1/2024	5.91	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,001,500
Negotiable CDs	89115BSQ4	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	7/24/2023	7/1/2024	5.93	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,001,500
Negotiable CDs	89115BV80	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	8/2/2023	7/3/2024	5.90	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,002,500
Negotiable CDs	89115DC20	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	3/5/2024	7/15/2024	5.38	70,000,000	70,000,000	70,000,000	69,998,600
Negotiable CDs	06367DBW4	Bank of Montreal/CHI	8/1/2023	7/29/2024	5.97	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,013,000
Negotiable CDs	13606KZN9	Canadian Imperial Bank/NY	8/2/2023	7/29/2024	5.92	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,015,000
Negotiable CDs	06367DDS1	Bank of Montreal/CHI	10/10/2023	8/9/2024	5.88	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,016,500
Negotiable CDs	13606KD78	Canadian Imperial Bank/NY	9/20/2023	8/12/2024	5.92	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,020,000
Negotiable CDs	78015J7F8	Royal Bank of Canada/NY	9/20/2023	8/12/2024	5.93	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,024,600
Negotiable CDs	06367DCF0	Bank of Montreal/CHI	8/28/2023	8/14/2024	6.01	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,024,500
Negotiable CDs	78015JE37	Royal Bank of Canada/NY	10/31/2023	8/15/2024	5.86	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,019,000
Negotiable CDs	13606KF92	Canadian Imperial Bank/NY	10/10/2023	8/16/2024	5.88	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,020,000
Negotiable CDs	78015JE78	Royal Bank of Canada/NY	10/31/2023	8/26/2024	5.86	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,020,500
Negotiable CDs	13606KC38	Canadian Imperial Bank/NY	9/11/2023	9/9/2024	5.94	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,028,000
Negotiable CDs	78015J5K9	Royal Bank of Canada/NY	9/12/2023	9/9/2024	5.90	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,028,800
Negotiable CDs	89115DC61	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	3/6/2024	9/10/2024	5.37	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,981,500
Negotiable CDs	13606KW51	Canadian Imperial Bank/NY	3/6/2024	9/11/2024	5.37	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,986,000
Negotiable CDs	06367DD44	Bank of Montreal/CHI	9/22/2023	9/23/2024	5.97	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,038,000
Negotiable CDs	78015JAK3	Royal Bank of Canada/NY	9/22/2023	9/23/2024	5.96	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,042,000
Negotiable CDs	89115DCA2	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	3/6/2024	9/25/2024	5.36	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,977,500
Negotiable CDs	06367DE43	Bank of Montreal/CHI	11/2/2023	10/21/2024	5.86	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,043,800
Negotiable CDs	89115BH52	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	10/26/2023	10/21/2024	5.93	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,042,500
Negotiable CDs	06367DFA8	Bank of Montreal/CHI	12/1/2023	10/24/2024	5.58	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,997,500
Negotiable CDs	13606KZ41	Canadian Imperial Bank/NY	4/3/2024	10/24/2024	5.43	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,982,500
Negotiable CDs	78015JJ73	Royal Bank of Canada/NY	12/13/2023	10/24/2024	5.48	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,983,500
Negotiable CDs	89115BP95	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	12/11/2023	10/24/2024	5.58	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,995,500
Negotiable CDs	89115DJS6	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	4/3/2024	10/24/2024	5.43	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,987,000
Negotiable CDs	06367DEK7	Bank of Montreal/CHI	11/8/2023	11/6/2024	5.80	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,031,500
Negotiable CDs	06367DJB2	Bank of Montreal/CHI	4/12/2024	11/8/2024	5.44	51,000,000	51,000,000	51,000,000	50,991,840
Negotiable CDs	89115BT59	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	4/15/2024	12/2/2024	5.51	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,002,000
Negotiable CDs	13606KZ66	Canadian Imperial Bank/NY	4/4/2024	1/2/2025	5.40	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,982,500
Negotiable CDs	89115DK21	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	4/4/2024	1/2/2025	5.40	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,973,000
Negotiable CDs	78015JQ34	Royal Bank of Canada/NY	5/8/2024	1/28/2025	5.45	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,999,792
Negotiable CDs	89115BSZ4	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	4/15/2024	4/9/2025	5.55	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,029,500
Negotiable CDs	06367DJY2	Bank of Montreal/CHI	5/14/2024	5/5/2025	5.47	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,005,799
Negotiable CDs	89115DR65	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	5/14/2024	5/5/2025	5.47	65,000,000	65,000,000	65,000,000	65,024,385
Subtotals					5.72	\$ 2,211,000,000	\$ 2,211,000,000	\$ 2,211,000,000	\$ 2,211,342,616
Commercial Paper	62479LG17	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	10/26/2023	7/1/2024	0.00	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 48,046,042	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 49,970,500
Commercial Paper	89233GG18	Toyota Motor Credit	10/23/2023	7/1/2024	0.00	50,000,000	48,036,500	50,000,000	49,970,650
Commercial Paper	89233GG18	Toyota Motor Credit	11/7/2023	7/1/2024	0.00	50,000,000	48,169,833	50,000,000	49,970,650
Commercial Paper	59515MGF6	Microsoft	3/5/2024	7/15/2024	0.00	10,000,000	9,808,600	9,979,700	9,973,550
Commercial Paper	59157TGQ0	MetLife Short term	3/5/2024	7/24/2024	0.00	48,500,000	47,508,418	48,338,253	48,305,709
Commercial Paper	59157TK44	MetLife Short term	3/28/2024	10/4/2024	0.00	15,000,000	14,588,333	14,794,167	14,783,325
Commercial Paper	89233GKP0	Toyota Motor Credit	3/26/2024	10/23/2024	0.00	75,000,000	72,714,167	73,765,000	73,697,400

Investment Inventory

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Original Cost	Amortized	
			Settle Date	Date				Book Value	Market Value
Commercial Paper	59157TKQ5	MetLife Short term	4/3/2024	10/24/2024	0.00	10,000,000	9,705,900	9,834,208	9,827,540
Commercial Paper	62479LKQ7	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	3/25/2024	10/24/2024	0.00	50,000,000	48,455,750	49,166,250	49,115,350
Commercial Paper	62479LKQ7	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	4/3/2024	10/24/2024	0.00	50,000,000	48,501,167	49,155,069	49,115,350
Commercial Paper	89233GKQ8	Toyota Motor Credit	4/2/2024	10/24/2024	0.00	50,000,000	48,508,056	49,163,056	49,124,550
Commercial Paper	62479LL45	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	4/12/2024	11/4/2024	0.00	23,000,000	22,303,777	22,574,155	22,556,422
Commercial Paper	62479LLJ2	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	4/12/2024	11/18/2024	0.00	52,000,000	50,318,956	50,930,244	50,892,452
Commercial Paper	89233GM29	Toyota Motor Credit	4/15/2024	12/2/2024	0.00	65,000,000	62,789,458	63,526,306	63,506,690
Commercial Paper	62479LM44	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	4/30/2024	12/4/2024	0.00	36,000,000	34,835,880	35,166,960	35,151,192
Commercial Paper	62479LMD4	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	6/24/2024	12/13/2024	0.00	15,000,000	14,619,450	14,634,938	14,626,590
Commercial Paper	62479LMG7	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	4/30/2024	12/16/2024	0.00	50,000,000	48,297,361	48,756,333	48,735,000
Commercial Paper	89233GMG8	Toyota Motor Credit	5/20/2024	12/16/2024	0.00	65,000,000	62,994,208	63,395,367	63,377,275
Commercial Paper	62479LMJ1	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	6/24/2024	12/18/2024	0.00	50,000,000	48,694,625	48,746,250	48,719,450
Commercial Paper	62479LMP7	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	6/24/2024	12/23/2024	0.00	22,000,000	21,410,522	21,433,194	21,420,806
Commercial Paper	62479LMW2	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	6/24/2024	12/30/2024	0.00	15,000,000	14,582,625	14,598,083	14,590,215
Commercial Paper	62479LNP6	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	6/24/2024	1/23/2025	0.00	15,000,000	14,532,288	14,547,658	14,540,010
Commercial Paper	89233GNQ5	Toyota Motor Credit	5/10/2024	1/24/2025	0.00	60,000,000	57,729,433	58,185,300	58,178,040
Commercial Paper	89233GNU6	Toyota Motor Credit	6/25/2024	1/28/2025	0.00	50,000,000	48,420,722	48,464,389	48,454,300
Commercial Paper	62479LNV3	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	5/7/2024	1/29/2025	0.00	50,000,000	48,045,708	48,448,278	48,424,800
Subtotals					0.00	\$ 1,026,500,000	\$ 993,617,779	\$ 1,007,603,158	\$ 1,007,027,816
Money Market Funds	09248U718	BlackRock Liquidity Funds T-Fund	6/30/2024	7/1/2024	5.18	\$ 13,385,494	\$ 13,385,494	\$ 13,385,494	\$ 13,385,494
Money Market Funds	31607A703	Fidelity Govt Portfolio	6/30/2024	7/1/2024	5.25	771,885,165	771,885,165	771,885,165	771,885,165
Money Market Funds	608919718	Federated Hermes Govt Obligations Fi	6/30/2024	7/1/2024	5.23	755,882,532	755,882,532	755,882,532	755,882,532
Money Market Funds	262006208	Dreyfus Government Cash Manageme	6/30/2024	7/1/2024	5.20	12,719,571	12,719,571	12,719,571	12,719,571
Money Market Funds	85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MV	6/30/2024	7/1/2024	5.22	535,189,083	535,189,083	535,189,083	535,189,083
Money Market Funds	61747C319	Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity F	6/30/2024	7/1/2024	5.21	60,835,338	60,835,338	60,835,338	60,835,338
Subtotals					5.09	\$ 2,149,897,181	\$ 2,149,897,181	\$ 2,149,897,181	\$ 2,149,897,181
Supranational	4581X0EE4	Inter-American Development Bank	7/1/2022	7/1/2024	3.25	\$ 80,000,000	\$ 79,992,000	\$ 80,000,000	\$ 79,989,520
Supranational	459056HV2	Int'l Bank for Recon and Dev	11/2/2021	8/28/2024	1.50	50,000,000	50,984,250	50,055,424	49,671,500
Supranational	4581X0DZ8	Inter-American Development Bank	11/4/2021	9/23/2024	0.50	50,000,000	49,595,500	49,967,763	49,429,500
Supranational	45950VQG4	International Finance Corp	10/22/2021	9/23/2024	0.44	10,000,000	9,918,700	9,993,600	9,882,100
Supranational	4581X0CM8	Inter-American Development Bank	4/26/2021	1/15/2025	2.13	100,000,000	105,676,000	100,826,359	98,279,000
Supranational	459058HT3	Int'l Bank for Recon and Dev	3/22/2024	1/15/2025	1.63	29,314,000	28,488,811	28,767,554	28,742,377
Supranational	459058JB0	Int'l Bank for Recon and Dev	7/23/2021	4/22/2025	0.63	40,000,000	40,086,000	40,018,532	38,572,040
Supranational	4581X0DN5	Inter-American Development Bank	11/1/2021	7/15/2025	0.63	28,900,000	28,519,098	28,793,223	27,596,466
Supranational	45950VRU2	International Finance Corp	1/26/2023	1/26/2026	4.02	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	98,435,000
Supranational	45818WDG8	Inter-American Development Bank	8/25/2021	2/27/2026	0.82	19,500,000	19,556,907	19,520,939	18,258,630
Supranational	4581X0EN4	Inter-American Development Bank	4/9/2024	2/15/2029	4.13	25,000,000	24,630,000	24,647,321	24,702,250
Subtotals					2.24	\$ 532,714,000	\$ 537,447,266	\$ 532,590,713	\$ 523,558,383
Secured Bank Deposit	0660P0999	Bank of America TTX INV Deposit Acc	6/30/2024	7/1/2024	5.35	100,190,027	100,190,027	100,190,027	100,190,027
Subtotals					5.35	\$ 100,190,027	\$ 100,190,027	\$ 100,190,027	\$ 100,190,027
Grand Totals					3.29	\$ 16,752,738,209	\$ 16,694,947,921	\$ 16,709,318,824	\$ 16,440,729,269

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

For month ended June 30, 2024

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Accrued Interest Earned	(Amortization) / Accretion	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Total Earnings
U.S. Treasuries	912797GL5	B 0.000 09/05/2024	\$ 50,000,000		212,571		\$ 212,571
U.S. Treasuries	9128284N7	T 2.875 05/15/2028	65,000,000	152,344	78,512		230,856
U.S. Treasuries	9128286B1	T 2.625 02/15/2029	50,000,000	108,173	72,655		180,828
U.S. Treasuries	912828G38	T 2.250 11/15/2024	50,000,000	91,712	(70,382)		21,330
U.S. Treasuries	912828G38	T 2.250 11/15/2024	50,000,000	91,712	(72,065)		19,647
U.S. Treasuries	912828R36	T 1.625 05/15/2026	50,000,000	66,236	(37,617)		28,619
U.S. Treasuries	912828R36	T 1.625 05/15/2026	50,000,000	66,236	(32,938)		33,299
U.S. Treasuries	912828XB1	T 2.125 05/15/2025	50,000,000	86,617	(63,278)		23,339
U.S. Treasuries	912828Y87	T 1.750 07/31/2024	50,000,000	72,115	(54,412)		17,704
U.S. Treasuries	912828YM6	T 1.500 10/31/2024	50,000,000	61,141	(40,450)		20,691
U.S. Treasuries	912828YY0	T 1.750 12/31/2024	50,000,000	72,089	(48,159)		23,930
U.S. Treasuries	912828Z52	T 1.375 01/31/2025	50,000,000	56,662	(32,408)		24,254
U.S. Treasuries	912828Z52	T 1.375 01/31/2025	50,000,000	56,662	(32,613)		24,049
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZC7	T 1.125 02/28/2025	50,000,000	45,856	(20,990)		24,866
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZC7	T 1.125 02/28/2025	50,000,000	45,856	(20,938)		24,918
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZF0	T 0.500 03/31/2025	50,000,000	20,492	4,579		25,071
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZF0	T 0.500 03/31/2025	50,000,000	20,492	3,332		23,824
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZL7	T 0.375 04/30/2025	50,000,000	15,285	7,999		23,285
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	50,000,000	10,298	16,369		26,668
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	50,000,000	10,298	18,241		28,539
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	50,000,000	10,298	14,280		24,578
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	50,000,000	10,298	16,231		26,529
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	50,000,000	10,298	14,882		25,181
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	50,000,000	10,298	14,274		24,573
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	50,000,000	10,298	10,526		20,825
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	50,000,000	10,298	12,509		22,807
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	50,000,000	10,298	31,616		41,915
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAB7	T 0.250 07/31/2025	50,000,000	10,302	11,147		21,449
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAB7	T 0.250 07/31/2025	50,000,000	10,302	13,128		23,430
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAM3	T 0.250 09/30/2025	50,000,000	10,246	16,678		26,924
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAM3	T 0.250 09/30/2025	50,000,000	10,246	14,121		24,367
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAT8	T 0.250 10/31/2025	50,000,000	10,190	12,308		22,499
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAT8	T 0.250 10/31/2025	50,000,000	10,190	16,230		26,420
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAT8	T 0.250 10/31/2025	50,000,000	10,190	16,766		26,956
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBC4	T 0.375 12/31/2025	50,000,000	15,448	9,236		24,684
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBC4	T 0.375 12/31/2025	50,000,000	15,448	12,355		27,802
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBW0	T 0.750 04/30/2026	50,000,000	30,571	5,737		36,307
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBW0	T 0.750 04/30/2026	50,000,000	30,571	4,586		35,157
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	50,000,000	36,045	1,124		37,169
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	50,000,000	36,045	(1,164)		34,881
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	50,000,000	36,045	(5,749)		30,296
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	50,000,000	36,045	(5,457)		30,588
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	50,000,000	36,045	(6,812)		29,232
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	50,000,000	36,045	(4,038)		32,007
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	50,000,000	36,045	1,078		37,122
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	50,000,000	36,045	7,086		43,130
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	50,000,000	36,045	17,814		53,859
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCL3	T 0.375 07/15/2024	50,000,000	15,453	55		15,508

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Accrued Interest Earned	(Amortization) / Accretion	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Total Earnings
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCL3	T 0.375 07/15/2024	50,000,000	15,453	1,094		16,547
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCL3	T 0.375 07/15/2024	50,000,000	15,453	88,281		103,735
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCT6	T 0.375 08/15/2024	50,000,000	15,453	2,806		18,259
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCW9	T 0.750 08/31/2026	50,000,000	30,571	9,190		39,761
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCZ2	T 0.875 09/30/2026	50,000,000	35,861	5,125		40,985
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCZ2	T 0.875 09/30/2026	50,000,000	35,861	5,415		41,275
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCZ2	T 0.875 09/30/2026	50,000,000	35,861	11,317		47,177
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDK4	T 1.250 11/30/2026	50,000,000	51,229	(1,189)		50,040
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDK4	T 1.250 11/30/2026	50,000,000	51,229	(1,933)		49,297
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDK4	T 1.250 11/30/2026	50,000,000	51,229	51,351		102,581
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDQ1	T 1.250 12/31/2026	50,000,000	51,492	49,929		101,422
U.S. Treasuries	91282CEF4	T 2.500 03/31/2027	25,000,000	51,229	3,992		55,222
U.S. Treasuries	91282CEW7	T 3.250 06/30/2027	50,000,000	133,880	45,072		178,952
U.S. Treasuries	91282CEW7	T 3.250 06/30/2027	50,000,000	133,880	47,846		181,726
U.S. Treasuries	91282CFK2	T 3.500 09/15/2025	50,000,000	142,663	28,806		171,469
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHK0	T 4.000 06/30/2028	50,000,000	164,775	465		165,240
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHK0	T 4.000 06/30/2028	50,000,000	164,775	1,334		166,110
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHK0	T 4.000 06/30/2028	50,000,000	164,775	1,767		166,542
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHK0	T 4.000 06/30/2028	50,000,000	164,775	6,020		170,795
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHK0	T 4.000 06/30/2028	50,000,000	164,775	13,271		178,047
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHK0	T 4.000 06/30/2028	50,000,000	164,775	21,084		185,860
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHL8	T 4.625 06/30/2025	50,000,000	190,522	1,379		191,900
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHX2	T 4.375 08/31/2028	50,000,000	178,329	(2,005)		176,324
U.S. Treasuries	91282CKD2	T 4.250 02/28/2029	50,000,000	173,234	3,804		177,037
U.S. Treasuries	91282CKV2	T 4.625 06/15/2027	50,000,000	31,592	(919)		30,673
Subtotals			\$ 3,640,000,000	\$ 4,139,600	\$ 531,856	\$ -	\$ 4,671,457
Federal Agencies	3130A1XJ2	FHLB 2.875 06/14/2024		\$ 26,474	\$ (894)	\$	25,580
Federal Agencies	3130A1XJ2	FHLB 2.875 06/14/2024		51,910	(3,490)		48,420
Federal Agencies	3130A1XJ2	FHLB 2.875 06/14/2024		18,667	(1,095)		17,572
Federal Agencies	3130A1XJ2	FHLB 2.875 06/14/2024		16,564	(917)		15,648
Federal Agencies	3130A8ZQ9	FHLB 1.750 09/12/2025	10,295,000	15,014	(5,965)		9,049
Federal Agencies	3130AN4A5	FHLB 0.700 06/30/2025	17,680,000	10,313	(1,131)		9,182
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	FHLB 1.070 07/27/2026	25,000,000	22,292			22,292
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	FHLB 1.070 07/27/2026	25,000,000	22,292			22,292
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	FHLB 1.070 07/27/2026	25,000,000	22,292			22,292
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	FHLB 1.070 07/27/2026	25,000,000	22,292			22,292
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	FHLB 1.050 07/13/2026	25,000,000	21,875			21,875
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	FHLB 1.050 07/13/2026	25,000,000	21,875			21,875
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	FHLB 1.050 07/13/2026	25,000,000	21,875			21,875
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	FHLB 1.050 07/13/2026	25,000,000	21,875			21,875
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	FHLB 1.050 08/10/2026	25,000,000	21,875			21,875
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	FHLB 1.050 08/10/2026	25,000,000	21,875			21,875
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	FHLB 1.050 08/10/2026	25,000,000	21,875			21,875
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	FHLB 1.050 08/10/2026	25,000,000	21,875			21,875
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	FHLB 1.075 09/03/2026	25,000,000	22,396			22,396
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	FHLB 1.075 09/03/2026	25,000,000	22,396			22,396
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	FHLB 1.075 09/03/2026	25,000,000	22,396			22,396
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	FHLB 1.075 09/03/2026	25,000,000	22,396			22,396

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

<u>Type of Investment</u>	<u>CUSIP</u>	<u>Issuer Name</u>	<u>Par Value</u>	<u>Accrued Interest Earned</u>	<u>(Amortization) / Accretion</u>	<u>Realized Gain/(Loss)</u>	<u>Total Earnings</u>
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	FHLB 1.430 10/19/2026	25,000,000	29,792			29,792
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	FHLB 1.430 10/19/2026	25,000,000	29,792			29,792
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	FHLB 1.430 10/19/2026	25,000,000	29,792			29,792
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	FHLB 1.430 10/19/2026	25,000,000	29,792			29,792
Federal Agencies	3130AQ7L1	FHLB 1.605 11/16/2026	25,000,000	33,438			33,438
Federal Agencies	3130AQ7L1	FHLB 1.605 11/16/2026	25,000,000	33,438			33,438
Federal Agencies	3130AQ7L1	FHLB 1.605 11/16/2026	25,000,000	33,438			33,438
Federal Agencies	3130AQ7L1	FHLB 1.605 11/16/2026	25,000,000	33,438			33,438
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	FHLB 1.645 12/14/2026	25,000,000	34,271			34,271
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	FHLB 1.645 12/14/2026	25,000,000	34,271			34,271
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	FHLB 1.645 12/14/2026	25,000,000	34,271			34,271
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	FHLB 1.645 12/14/2026	25,000,000	34,271			34,271
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	FHLB 2.350 03/08/2027	25,000,000	48,958			48,958
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	FHLB 2.350 03/08/2027	25,000,000	48,958			48,958
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	FHLB 2.350 03/08/2027	25,000,000	48,958			48,958
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	FHLB 2.350 03/08/2027	25,000,000	48,958			48,958
Federal Agencies	3130ASG86	FHLB 3.375 06/13/2025	12,700,000	35,719	(3,044)		32,674
Federal Agencies	3130ASG86	FHLB 3.375 06/13/2025	11,940,000	33,581	(1,729)		31,852
Federal Agencies	3130ASGU7	FHLB 3.500 06/11/2027	12,375,000	36,094	(2,984)		33,110
Federal Agencies	3130ASGU7	FHLB 3.500 06/11/2027	10,000,000	29,167	(2,374)		26,792
Federal Agencies	3130ASGU7	FHLB 3.500 06/11/2027	21,725,000	63,365	(4,894)		58,470
Federal Agencies	3130ASHK8	FHLB 3.125 06/14/2024		31,597	1,791		33,388
Federal Agencies	3130ASHK8	FHLB 3.125 06/14/2024		31,834	1,783		33,618
Federal Agencies	3130ASME6	FHLB 3.000 07/08/2024	15,000,000	37,500	1,194		38,694
Federal Agencies	3130ASME6	FHLB 3.000 07/08/2024	17,500,000	43,750	1,393		45,143
Federal Agencies	3130ASME6	FHLB 3.000 07/08/2024	10,000,000	25,000	796		25,796
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	10,000,000	36,458	(2,542)		33,916
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	9,915,000	36,148	(2,381)		33,767
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	25,500,000	92,969	(4,884)		88,085
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	3,000,000	10,938	(481)		10,456
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	10,000,000	36,458	(1,414)		35,045
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	24,000,000	87,500	(3,144)		84,356
Federal Agencies	3130ATT31	FHLB 4.500 10/03/2024	50,000,000	187,500	5,962		193,462
Federal Agencies	3130ATVD6	FHLB 4.875 09/13/2024	50,000,000	203,125	(2,764)		200,361
Federal Agencies	3130AUTC8	FHLB 4.010 02/06/2026	21,100,000	70,509	3,145		73,654
Federal Agencies	3130AUJZ4	FHLB 4.500 02/13/2025	50,000,000	187,500	3,222		190,722
Federal Agencies	3130AV7L0	FHLB 5.000 02/28/2025	25,000,000	104,167	1,360		105,527
Federal Agencies	3130AV7L0	FHLB 5.000 02/28/2025	35,000,000	145,833	1,904		147,737
Federal Agencies	3130AVBD3	FHLB 4.500 03/09/2029	25,000,000	93,750	(313)		93,437
Federal Agencies	3130AVWS7	FHLB 3.750 06/12/2026	17,045,000	53,266	1,422		54,688
Federal Agencies	3130AVWS7	FHLB 3.750 06/12/2026	20,000,000	62,500	1,626		64,126
Federal Agencies	3130AWAH3	FHLB 4.000 06/12/2026	15,000,000	50,000	2,728		52,728
Federal Agencies	3130AWAH3	FHLB 4.000 06/12/2026	10,000,000	33,333	1,780		35,114
Federal Agencies	3130AWER7	FHLB 4.625 06/06/2025	25,000,000	96,354	859		97,213
Federal Agencies	3130AWER7	FHLB 4.625 06/06/2025	15,000,000	57,813	515		58,328
Federal Agencies	3130AWER7	FHLB 4.625 06/06/2025	52,000,000	200,417	1,786		202,203
Federal Agencies	3130AWER7	FHLB 4.625 06/06/2025	10,000,000	38,542	343		38,885
Federal Agencies	3130AWLY4	FHLB 5.125 06/13/2025	48,150,000	205,641	(4,004)		201,636
Federal Agencies	3130AWLY4	FHLB 5.125 06/13/2025	10,800,000	46,125	(785)		45,340

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

<u>Type of Investment</u>	<u>CUSIP</u>	<u>Issuer Name</u>	<u>Par Value</u>	<u>Accrued Interest Earned</u>	<u>(Amortization) / Accretion</u>	<u>Realized Gain/(Loss)</u>	<u>Total Earnings</u>
Federal Agencies	3130AWLZ1	FHLB 4.750 06/12/2026	50,000,000	197,917	4,045		201,962
Federal Agencies	3130AX4E5	FHLB 4.500 06/11/2027	11,000,000	41,250	1,676		42,926
Federal Agencies	3130AXB31	FHLB 4.875 03/13/2026	10,000,000	40,625	1,604		42,229
Federal Agencies	3130AXB31	FHLB 4.875 03/13/2026	10,000,000	40,625	1,716		42,341
Federal Agencies	3130AXB31	FHLB 4.875 03/13/2026	10,000,000	40,625	1,716		42,341
Federal Agencies	3130AXB31	FHLB 4.875 03/13/2026	36,730,000	149,216	(3,104)		146,112
Federal Agencies	3130AXB31	FHLB 4.875 03/13/2026	25,000,000	101,563	(2,281)		99,282
Federal Agencies	3130AXCP1	FHLB 4.875 09/11/2026	11,895,000	48,323	2,069		50,392
Federal Agencies	3130AXU63	FHLB 4.625 11/17/2026	50,000,000	192,708	2,422		195,131
Federal Agencies	3130AYPN0	FHLB 4.125 01/15/2027	12,000,000	41,250	749		41,999
Federal Agencies	3130AYPN0	FHLB 4.125 01/15/2027	25,000,000	85,938	1,560		87,497
Federal Agencies	3130AYPN0	FHLB 4.125 01/15/2027	29,350,000	100,891	1,831		102,722
Federal Agencies	3130AYPN0	FHLB 4.125 01/15/2027	50,000,000	171,875	3,119		174,994
Federal Agencies	3130B0AD1	FHLB 5.500 09/04/2025	25,000,000	114,583			114,583
Federal Agencies	3130B0AD1	FHLB 5.500 09/04/2025	25,000,000	114,583			114,583
Federal Agencies	3130B0AD1	FHLB 5.500 09/04/2025	25,000,000	114,583			114,583
Federal Agencies	3130B0AD1	FHLB 5.500 09/04/2025	25,000,000	114,583			114,583
Federal Agencies	3130B0MZ9	FHLB 5.100 01/27/2025	115,000,000	488,750			488,750
Federal Agencies	3130B0TY5	FHLB 4.750 04/09/2027	20,000,000	79,167	1,449		80,616
Federal Agencies	3130B0TY5	FHLB 4.750 04/09/2027	17,000,000	67,292	1,232		68,524
Federal Agencies	3130B0TY5	FHLB 4.750 04/09/2027	48,000,000	190,000	3,478		193,478
Federal Agencies	3130B0TY5	FHLB 4.750 04/09/2027	40,000,000	158,333	2,898		161,232
Federal Agencies	3130B1BT3	FHLB 4.875 06/12/2026	13,485,000	23,739	(361)		23,378
Federal Agencies	313384K32	FHDN 0.000 10/11/2024	25,000,000		104,583		104,583
Federal Agencies	313384XS3	FHDN 0.000 06/04/2024			7,306		7,306
Federal Agencies	313384XS3	FHDN 0.000 06/04/2024			7,306		7,306
Federal Agencies	313384XS3	FHDN 0.000 06/04/2024			7,306		7,306
Federal Agencies	313384XS3	FHDN 0.000 06/04/2024			7,306		7,306
Federal Agencies	313384XT1	FHDN 0.000 06/05/2024			7,306		7,306
Federal Agencies	313384XT1	FHDN 0.000 06/05/2024			7,306		7,306
Federal Agencies	313384XT1	FHDN 0.000 06/05/2024			7,306		7,306
Federal Agencies	313384XT1	FHDN 0.000 06/05/2024			7,306		7,306
Federal Agencies	313384XU8	FHDN 0.000 06/06/2024			7,292		7,292
Federal Agencies	313384XU8	FHDN 0.000 06/06/2024			7,292		7,292
Federal Agencies	313384XU8	FHDN 0.000 06/06/2024			7,292		7,292
Federal Agencies	313384XU8	FHDN 0.000 06/06/2024			7,292		7,292
Federal Agencies	313384XV6	FHDN 0.000 06/07/2024			7,292		7,292
Federal Agencies	313384XV6	FHDN 0.000 06/07/2024			7,292		7,292
Federal Agencies	313384XV6	FHDN 0.000 06/07/2024			7,292		7,292
Federal Agencies	313384XV6	FHDN 0.000 06/07/2024			7,292		7,292
Federal Agencies	313384XY0	FHDN 0.000 06/10/2024			21,917		21,917
Federal Agencies	313384XY0	FHDN 0.000 06/10/2024			21,917		21,917
Federal Agencies	313384XY0	FHDN 0.000 06/10/2024			21,917		21,917
Federal Agencies	313384XY0	FHDN 0.000 06/10/2024			21,917		21,917
Federal Agencies	313384YF0	FHDN 0.000 06/17/2024			21,917		21,917
Federal Agencies	313384YF0	FHDN 0.000 06/17/2024			21,917		21,917
Federal Agencies	313384YF0	FHDN 0.000 06/17/2024			21,917		21,917
Federal Agencies	313384YF0	FHDN 0.000 06/17/2024			21,917		21,917
Federal Agencies	313384YF0	FHDN 0.000 06/17/2024			21,917		21,917
Federal Agencies	313384YF0	FHDN 0.000 06/17/2024			21,917		21,917

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

<u>Type of Investment</u>	<u>CUSIP</u>	<u>Issuer Name</u>	<u>Par Value</u>	<u>Accrued Interest Earned</u>	<u>(Amortization) / Accretion</u>	<u>Realized Gain/(Loss)</u>	<u>Total Earnings</u>
Federal Agencies	313384YF0	FHDN 0.000 06/17/2024			21,917		21,917
Federal Agencies	313384YV5	FHDN 0.000 07/01/2024	25,000,000		109,271		109,271
Federal Agencies	313384YV5	FHDN 0.000 07/01/2024	25,000,000		109,271		109,271
Federal Agencies	313384YV5	FHDN 0.000 07/01/2024	25,000,000		109,271		109,271
Federal Agencies	313384YZ6	FHDN 0.000 07/05/2024	25,000,000		107,083		107,083
Federal Agencies	313384ZT9	FHDN 0.000 07/23/2024	15,000,000		63,875		63,875
Federal Agencies	3133ELCP7	FFCB 1.625 12/03/2024	25,000,000	33,854	657		34,511
Federal Agencies	3133ELQY3	FFCB 1.210 03/03/2025	24,000,000	24,200	594		24,794
Federal Agencies	3133ELQY3	FFCB 1.210 03/03/2025	16,000,000	16,133	154		16,288
Federal Agencies	3133EM4X7	FFCB 0.800 09/10/2026	28,975,000	19,317	83,770		103,087
Federal Agencies	3133EM5X6	FFCB 0.430 09/23/2024	25,000,000	8,958	691		9,650
Federal Agencies	3133EM5X6	FFCB 0.430 09/23/2024	50,000,000	17,917	1,382		19,299
Federal Agencies	3133EM5X6	FFCB 0.430 09/23/2024	50,000,000	17,917	1,382		19,299
Federal Agencies	3133EMV25	FFCB 0.450 07/23/2024	50,000,000	18,750	(2,551)		16,199
Federal Agencies	3133EMWT5	FFCB 0.600 04/21/2025	50,000,000	25,000	544		25,544
Federal Agencies	3133EMZ21	FFCB 0.690 04/06/2026	15,500,000	8,913	738		9,651
Federal Agencies	3133EN2L3	FFCB 4.125 05/17/2027	21,000,000	72,188	238		72,425
Federal Agencies	3133EN2L3	FFCB 4.125 05/17/2027	5,000,000	17,188	63		17,251
Federal Agencies	3133EN2L3	FFCB 4.125 05/17/2027	4,650,000	15,984	59		16,043
Federal Agencies	3133EN2L3	FFCB 4.125 05/17/2027	25,000,000	85,938	315		86,253
Federal Agencies	3133EN4B3	FFCB 4.250 06/13/2025	15,000,000	53,125	382		53,507
Federal Agencies	3133EN4B3	FFCB 4.250 06/13/2025	15,000,000	53,125	335		53,460
Federal Agencies	3133EN4B3	FFCB 4.250 06/13/2025	15,000,000	53,125	360		53,485
Federal Agencies	3133EN4N7	FFCB 4.250 12/20/2024	25,000,000	88,542	1,867		90,409
Federal Agencies	3133EN4N7	FFCB 4.250 12/20/2024	10,000,000	35,417	702		36,118
Federal Agencies	3133EN4N7	FFCB 4.250 12/20/2024	25,000,000	88,542	1,867		90,409
Federal Agencies	3133EN5E6	FFCB 4.000 12/29/2025	15,000,000	50,000	1,240		51,240
Federal Agencies	3133EN5E6	FFCB 4.000 12/29/2025	25,000,000	83,333	2,087		85,420
Federal Agencies	3133EN5E6	FFCB 4.000 12/29/2025	20,000,000	66,667	1,653		68,320
Federal Agencies	3133EN6A3	FFCB 4.000 01/13/2026	30,000,000	100,000	624		100,624
Federal Agencies	3133EN6A3	FFCB 4.000 01/13/2026	20,000,000	66,667	482		67,148
Federal Agencies	3133ENEG1	FFCB 1.050 11/17/2025	55,000,000	48,125	1,581		49,706
Federal Agencies	3133ENEG1	FFCB 1.050 11/17/2025	39,675,000	34,716	1,084		35,799
Federal Agencies	3133ENEJ5	FFCB 0.875 11/18/2024	50,000,000	36,458	1,574		38,032
Federal Agencies	3133ENEJ5	FFCB 0.875 11/18/2024	10,000,000	7,292	315		7,606
Federal Agencies	3133ENEJ5	FFCB 0.875 11/18/2024	10,000,000	7,292	315		7,606
Federal Agencies	3133ENGQ7	FFCB 0.920 12/09/2024	50,000,000	38,333	411		38,744
Federal Agencies	3133ENGQ7	FFCB 0.920 12/09/2024	50,000,000	38,333	1,013		39,346
Federal Agencies	3133ENHM5	FFCB 1.170 12/16/2025	45,000,000	43,875	943		44,818
Federal Agencies	3133ENHM5	FFCB 1.170 12/16/2025	50,000,000	48,750	1,047		49,797
Federal Agencies	3133ENJ35	FFCB 3.320 02/25/2026	35,000,000	96,833	993		97,826
Federal Agencies	3133ENJ84	FFCB 3.375 08/26/2024	50,000,000	140,625	3,427		144,052
Federal Agencies	3133ENKS8	FFCB 1.125 01/06/2025	20,000,000	18,750	1,237		19,987
Federal Agencies	3133ENKS8	FFCB 1.125 01/06/2025	25,000,000	23,438	1,547		24,984
Federal Agencies	3133ENKS8	FFCB 1.125 01/06/2025	25,000,000	23,438	1,547		24,984
Federal Agencies	3133ENP79	FFCB 4.250 09/26/2024	50,000,000	177,083	164		177,248
Federal Agencies	3133ENRD4	FFCB 1.680 03/10/2027	48,573,000	68,002	18,807		86,810
Federal Agencies	3133ENTS9	FFCB 2.600 04/05/2027	24,500,000	53,083	2,022		55,105
Federal Agencies	3133ENTS9	FFCB 2.600 04/05/2027	22,500,000	48,750	1,770		50,520

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

<u>Type of Investment</u>	<u>CUSIP</u>	<u>Issuer Name</u>	<u>Par Value</u>	<u>Accrued Interest Earned</u>	<u>(Amortization) / Accretion</u>	<u>Realized Gain/(Loss)</u>	<u>Total Earnings</u>
Federal Agencies	3133ENTS9	FFCB 2.600 04/05/2027	25,000,000	54,167	3,222		57,389
Federal Agencies	3133ENU00	FFCB 2.640 04/08/2026	20,000,000	44,000	797		44,797
Federal Agencies	3133ENU00	FFCB 2.640 04/08/2026	30,000,000	66,000	1,195		67,195
Federal Agencies	3133ENXE5	FFCB 2.850 05/23/2025	6,000,000	14,250	230		14,480
Federal Agencies	3133ENXE5	FFCB 2.850 05/23/2025	20,000,000	47,500	766		48,266
Federal Agencies	3133ENYH7	FFCB 2.625 06/10/2024		65,625	1,588		67,213
Federal Agencies	3133ENYQ7	FFCB 2.950 06/13/2025	50,000,000	122,917	671		123,587
Federal Agencies	3133ENYX2	FFCB 3.250 06/17/2024		72,222	657		72,879
Federal Agencies	3133ENYX2	FFCB 3.250 06/17/2024		36,111	646		36,757
Federal Agencies	3133ENYX2	FFCB 3.250 06/17/2024		36,111	640		36,751
Federal Agencies	3133ENZ37	FFCB 4.875 01/10/2025	20,000,000	81,250	45		81,295
Federal Agencies	3133ENZ37	FFCB 4.875 01/10/2025	10,000,000	40,625	23		40,648
Federal Agencies	3133ENZ37	FFCB 4.875 01/10/2025	20,000,000	81,250	16		81,266
Federal Agencies	3133ENZ94	FFCB 4.500 11/18/2024	25,000,000	93,750	1,088		94,838
Federal Agencies	3133ENZK9	FFCB 3.240 06/28/2027	27,865,000	75,236	(3,865)		71,371
Federal Agencies	3133ENZS2	FFCB 3.100 06/28/2024		58,125	462		58,587
Federal Agencies	3133ENZS2	FFCB 3.100 06/28/2024		116,250	997		117,247
Federal Agencies	3133ENZS2	FFCB 3.100 06/28/2024		58,125	499		58,624
Federal Agencies	3133EP5K7	FFCB 4.500 03/13/2026	50,000,000	187,500	10,225		197,725
Federal Agencies	3133EP5S0	FFCB 4.250 03/20/2028	4,971,000	17,606	1,131		18,737
Federal Agencies	3133EP5U5	FFCB 4.125 03/20/2029	51,660,000	177,581	10,819		188,401
Federal Agencies	3133EP6K6	FFCB 4.500 03/26/2027	50,000,000	187,500	2,482		189,982
Federal Agencies	3133EPAG0	FFCB 4.250 02/10/2025	29,875,000	105,807	6,523		112,330
Federal Agencies	3133EPAG0	FFCB 4.250 02/10/2025	10,000,000	35,417	2,167		37,584
Federal Agencies	3133EPBF1	FFCB 4.875 08/21/2024	10,000,000	40,625	236		40,861
Federal Agencies	3133EPBF1	FFCB 4.875 08/21/2024	25,000,000	101,563	548		102,111
Federal Agencies	3133EPBF1	FFCB 4.875 08/21/2024	20,000,000	81,250	439		81,689
Federal Agencies	3133EPBJ3	FFCB 4.375 02/23/2026	50,000,000	182,292	2,245		184,536
Federal Agencies	3133EPBJ3	FFCB 4.375 02/23/2026	25,000,000	91,146	1,273		92,419
Federal Agencies	3133EPBJ3	FFCB 4.375 02/23/2026	28,000,000	102,083	1,257		103,340
Federal Agencies	3133EPBM6	FFCB 4.125 08/23/2027	10,000,000	34,375	475		34,850
Federal Agencies	3133EPC45	FFCB 4.625 11/13/2028	12,000,000	46,250	262		46,512
Federal Agencies	3133EPC45	FFCB 4.625 11/13/2028	20,000,000	77,083	466		77,550
Federal Agencies	3133EPC45	FFCB 4.625 11/13/2028	55,000,000	211,979	1,276		213,255
Federal Agencies	3133EPC60	FFCB 4.625 11/15/2027	27,950,000	107,724	2,382		110,106
Federal Agencies	3133EPC60	FFCB 4.625 11/15/2027	33,300,000	128,344	2,845		131,188
Federal Agencies	3133EPDL6	FFCB 4.850 10/01/2025	50,000,000	202,083			202,083
Federal Agencies	3133EPHD0	FFCB 4.500 10/28/2024	20,000,000	75,000	1,727		76,727
Federal Agencies	3133EPHD0	FFCB 4.500 10/28/2024	25,000,000	93,750	2,240		95,990
Federal Agencies	3133EPJX4	FFCB 3.625 02/17/2026	30,000,000	90,625	2,815		93,440
Federal Agencies	3133EPJX4	FFCB 3.625 02/17/2026	25,000,000	75,521	2,130		77,651
Federal Agencies	3133EPKA2	FFCB 4.000 08/18/2025	26,500,000	88,333	589		88,923
Federal Agencies	3133EPKA2	FFCB 4.000 08/18/2025	30,000,000	100,000	667		100,667
Federal Agencies	3133EPKA2	FFCB 4.000 08/18/2025	25,000,000	83,333	656		83,989
Federal Agencies	3133EPMU6	FFCB 4.250 06/15/2026	30,000,000	106,250	1,330		107,580
Federal Agencies	3133EPMU6	FFCB 4.250 06/15/2026	20,000,000	70,833	843		71,676
Federal Agencies	3133EPMU6	FFCB 4.250 06/15/2026	24,700,000	87,479	1,636		89,115
Federal Agencies	3133EPMV4	FFCB 4.125 06/15/2027	28,940,000	99,481	576		100,058
Federal Agencies	3133EPNG6	FFCB 4.375 06/23/2026	50,000,000	182,292	725		183,017

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

<u>Type of Investment</u>	<u>CUSIP</u>	<u>Issuer Name</u>	<u>Par Value</u>	<u>Accrued Interest Earned</u>	<u>(Amortization) / Accretion</u>	<u>Realized Gain/(Loss)</u>	<u>Total Earnings</u>
Federal Agencies	3133EPNG6	FFCB 4.375 06/23/2026	25,000,000	91,146	363		91,509
Federal Agencies	3133EPNG6	FFCB 4.375 06/23/2026	25,000,000	91,146	363		91,509
Federal Agencies	3133EPP66	FFCB 4.000 05/20/2027	31,000,000	103,333	2,267		105,601
Federal Agencies	3133EPP66	FFCB 4.000 05/20/2027	58,850,000	196,167	4,516		200,683
Federal Agencies	3133EPSK2	FFCB 4.250 08/07/2028	19,500,000	69,063	1,441		70,503
Federal Agencies	3133EPSW6	FFCB 4.500 08/14/2026	50,000,000	187,500	3,148		190,648
Federal Agencies	3133EPUN3	FFCB 4.500 08/28/2028	10,000,000	37,500	343		37,843
Federal Agencies	3133EPUN3	FFCB 4.500 08/28/2028	25,000,000	93,750	928		94,678
Federal Agencies	3133EPUN3	FFCB 4.500 08/28/2028	15,000,000	56,250	611		56,861
Federal Agencies	3133EPUN3	FFCB 4.500 08/28/2028	33,000,000	123,750	1,561		125,311
Federal Agencies	3133EPVP7	FFCB 4.750 07/08/2026	19,000,000	75,208	441		75,649
Federal Agencies	3133EPVP7	FFCB 4.750 07/08/2026	10,000,000	39,583	241		39,824
Federal Agencies	3133EPVP7	FFCB 4.750 07/08/2026	21,000,000	83,125	500		83,625
Federal Agencies	3133EPVY8	FFCB 5.000 09/15/2025	8,230,000	34,292	243		34,535
Federal Agencies	3133EPVY8	FFCB 5.000 09/15/2025	15,000,000	62,500	745		63,245
Federal Agencies	3133EPVY8	FFCB 5.000 09/15/2025	20,000,000	83,333	993		84,327
Federal Agencies	3133EPX91	FFCB 4.125 01/25/2027	35,000,000	120,313	1,207		121,520
Federal Agencies	3133EPX91	FFCB 4.125 01/25/2027	50,000,000	171,875	1,834		173,709
Federal Agencies	3133EPX91	FFCB 4.125 01/25/2027	25,000,000	85,938	862		86,800
Federal Agencies	3133EPX91	FFCB 4.125 01/25/2027	10,000,000	34,375	367		34,742
Federal Agencies	3133EPX91	FFCB 4.125 01/25/2027	5,000,000	17,188	196		17,383
Federal Agencies	3133EPYW9	FFCB 5.125 10/20/2025	50,000,000	213,542	1,149		214,691
Federal Agencies	3133EPYW9	FFCB 5.125 10/20/2025	25,000,000	106,771	595		107,366
Federal Agencies	3133EPYW9	FFCB 5.125 10/20/2025	35,000,000	149,479	1,135		150,614
Federal Agencies	3133EPYW9	FFCB 5.125 10/20/2025	24,000,000	102,500	3,142		105,642
Federal Agencies	3133EPZA6	FFCB 4.875 10/20/2026	30,000,000	121,875	4,541		126,416
Federal Agencies	3133EPZA6	FFCB 4.875 10/20/2026	14,000,000	56,875	2,602		59,477
Federal Agencies	3133EPZY4	FFCB 5.000 07/30/2026	25,000,000	104,167	1,890		106,057
Federal Agencies	3133EPZY4	FFCB 5.000 07/30/2026	3,000,000	12,500	241		12,741
Federal Agencies	3133EPZY4	FFCB 5.000 07/30/2026	9,615,000	40,063	773		40,835
Federal Agencies	3133EPZY4	FFCB 5.000 07/30/2026	16,000,000	66,667	1,286		67,953
Federal Agencies	3133ERDH1	FFCB 4.750 04/30/2029	63,085,000	249,711	(11,201)		238,510
Federal Agencies	3133ERDH1	FFCB 4.750 04/30/2029	27,892,000	110,406	(4,946)		105,459
Federal Agencies	3133ERDH1	FFCB 4.750 04/30/2029	30,000,000	118,750	(5,238)		113,512
Federal Agencies	3133ERDS7	FFCB 4.750 05/06/2027	12,727,000	50,378	(365)		50,013
Federal Agencies	3133ERGL9	FFCB 4.500 06/07/2028	15,000,000	45,000	89		45,089
Federal Agencies	3133ERGL9	FFCB 4.500 06/07/2028	20,000,000	12,500	(130)		12,370
Federal Agencies	3133ERGL9	FFCB 4.500 06/07/2028	14,934,000	9,334	(97)		9,236
Federal Agencies	3133ERGS4	FFCB 4.250 06/11/2029	10,000,000	5,903	89		5,992
Federal Agencies	3133ERGS4	FFCB 4.250 06/11/2029	10,000,000	5,903	89		5,992
Federal Agencies	3133ERGS4	FFCB 4.250 06/11/2029	20,000,000	11,806	179		11,984
Federal Agencies	3133ERGS4	FFCB 4.250 06/11/2029	10,000,000	5,903	89		5,992
Federal Agencies	3133ERGS4	FFCB 4.250 06/11/2029	29,000,000	17,118	211		17,329
Federal Agencies	3133ERHD6	FFCB 4.875 06/12/2026	32,000,000	56,333	(919)		55,414
Federal Agencies	3133ERHD6	FFCB 4.875 06/12/2026	20,000,000	35,208	(546)		34,662
Federal Agencies	3133ERHN4	FFCB 4.250 10/20/2028	38,000,000	49,347	1,492		50,839
Federal Agencies	3133ERHN4	FFCB 4.250 10/20/2028	5,000,000	6,493	194		6,687

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

<u>Type of Investment</u>	<u>CUSIP</u>	<u>Issuer Name</u>	<u>Par Value</u>	<u>Accrued Interest Earned</u>	<u>(Amortization) / Accretion</u>	<u>Realized Gain/(Loss)</u>	<u>Total Earnings</u>
Federal Agencies	3133ERJZ5	FFCB 4.500 06/28/2027	30,000,000	11,250	39		11,289
Federal Agencies	3134GYRY0	FHLMC 5.290 11/02/2026	25,000,000	110,208			110,208
Federal Agencies	3134GYRY0	FHLMC 5.290 11/02/2026	25,000,000	110,208			110,208
Federal Agencies	3134GYRY0	FHLMC 5.290 11/02/2026	25,000,000	110,208			110,208
Federal Agencies	3134GYRY0	FHLMC 5.290 11/02/2026	25,000,000	110,208			110,208
Federal Agencies	3134H1G64	FHLMC 6.025 04/16/2029	65,000,000	326,354			326,354
Federal Agencies	3134H1G64	FHLMC 6.025 04/16/2029	25,000,000	125,521			125,521
Federal Agencies	3134H1G64	FHLMC 6.025 04/16/2029	25,000,000	125,521			125,521
Federal Agencies	3134H1NT6	FHLMC 5.410 01/10/2028	65,000,000	293,042			293,042
Federal Agencies	3134H1NT6	FHLMC 5.410 01/10/2028	25,000,000	112,708			112,708
Federal Agencies	3134H1NT6	FHLMC 5.410 01/10/2028	25,000,000	112,708			112,708
Federal Agencies	3134H1YE7	FHLMC 5.910 03/14/2029	20,000,000	98,500			98,500
Federal Agencies	3134H1YE7	FHLMC 5.910 03/14/2029	20,000,000	98,500			98,500
Federal Agencies	3134H1YE7	FHLMC 5.910 03/14/2029	55,000,000	270,875			270,875
Federal Agencies	3134H1YE7	FHLMC 5.910 03/14/2029	20,000,000	98,500			98,500
Federal Agencies	3135G03U5	FNMA 0.625 04/22/2025	50,000,000	26,042	(2,348)		23,694
Federal Agencies	3135G03U5	FNMA 0.625 04/22/2025	37,938,000	19,759	13,896		33,656
Federal Agencies	3135G03U5	FNMA 0.625 04/22/2025	50,000,000	26,042	18,425		44,467
Federal Agencies	3135G04Z3	FNMA 0.500 06/17/2025	10,000,000	4,167	4,904		9,071
Federal Agencies	3135G04Z3	FNMA 0.500 06/17/2025	4,655,000	1,940	2,293		4,232
Federal Agencies	3135G05X7	FNMA 0.375 08/25/2025	72,500,000	22,656	11,656		34,313
Federal Agencies	3135G05X7	FNMA 0.375 08/25/2025	25,000,000	7,813	5,794		13,606
Federal Agencies	3135G0X24	FNMA 1.625 01/07/2025	39,060,000	52,894	(34,765)		18,128
Federal Agencies	3135GAFY2	FNMA 5.320 10/03/2024	50,000,000	221,667			221,667
Federal Agencies	3135GAFY2	FNMA 5.320 10/03/2024	25,000,000	110,833			110,833
Federal Agencies	3135GAFY2	FNMA 5.320 10/03/2024	25,000,000	110,833			110,833
Federal Agencies	3135GAG39	FNMA 5.375 12/30/2024	25,000,000	111,979			111,979
Federal Agencies	3135GAG39	FNMA 5.375 12/30/2024	25,000,000	111,979			111,979
Federal Agencies	3135GAG39	FNMA 5.375 12/30/2024	25,000,000	111,979			111,979
Federal Agencies	3135GAG39	FNMA 5.375 12/30/2024	25,000,000	111,979			111,979
Federal Agencies	3135GANG2	FNMA 5.130 02/18/2028	50,000,000	213,750			213,750
Federal Agencies	3135GANG2	FNMA 5.130 02/18/2028	25,000,000	106,875			106,875
Federal Agencies	3135GANG2	FNMA 5.130 02/18/2028	25,000,000	106,875			106,875
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FHLMC 1.500 02/12/2025	15,000,000	18,750	190		18,940
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FHLMC 1.500 02/12/2025	5,000,000	6,250	63		6,313
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FHLMC 1.500 02/12/2025	5,000,000	6,250	63		6,313
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FHLMC 1.500 02/12/2025	5,000,000	6,250	63		6,313
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FHLMC 1.500 02/12/2025	50,000,000	62,500	633		63,133
Federal Agencies	3137EAEP0	FHLMC 1.500 02/12/2025	53,532,000	66,915	(41,308)		25,607
Federal Agencies	3137EAEX3	FHLMC 0.375 09/23/2025	22,600,000	7,063	5,492		12,555
Subtotals			\$ 7,062,437,000	\$ 20,200,202	\$ 1,164,194	\$ -	\$ 21,364,397
Public Time Deposits	PPG1KB100	BKSANF 5.440 06/03/2024		\$ 3,022		\$	3,022
Public Time Deposits	PPG2JA6N9	BRIDGE 5.360 06/17/2024		25,782			25,782
Public Time Deposits	PPG5M8MH8	BKSANF 5.300 07/08/2024	10,000,000	44,167			44,167
Public Time Deposits	PPG8E735	BRIDGE 5.260 07/15/2024	10,000,000	43,233			43,233
Public Time Deposits	PPGHASP70	BRIDGE 5.360 12/16/2024	10,000,000	20,559			20,559
Subtotals			\$ 30,000,000	\$ 136,763	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 136,763

Monthly Investment Earnings

Pooled Fund

<u>Type of Investment</u>	<u>CUSIP</u>	<u>Issuer Name</u>	<u>Par Value</u>	<u>Accrued Interest Earned</u>	<u>(Amortization) / Accretion</u>	<u>Realized Gain/(Loss)</u>	<u>Total Earnings</u>
Negotiable CDs	06367DAU9	BMOCHG 5.870 06/21/2024		\$ 326,111			\$ 326,111
Negotiable CDs	06367DAX3	BMOCHG 6.000 07/01/2024	100,000,000	500,000			500,000
Negotiable CDs	06367DBJ3	BMOCHG 5.890 06/07/2024		49,083			49,083
Negotiable CDs	06367DBR5	BMOCHG 5.930 07/01/2024	50,000,000	247,083			247,083
Negotiable CDs	06367DBW4	BMOCHG 5.970 07/29/2024	50,000,000	248,750			248,750
Negotiable CDs	06367DCF0	BMOCHG 6.010 08/14/2024	50,000,000	250,417			250,417
Negotiable CDs	06367DD44	BMOCHG 5.970 09/23/2024	50,000,000	248,750			248,750
Negotiable CDs	06367DDS1	BMOCHG 5.880 08/09/2024	50,000,000	245,000			245,000
Negotiable CDs	06367DE43	BMOCHG 5.860 10/21/2024	60,000,000	293,000			293,000
Negotiable CDs	06367DEK7	BMOCHG 5.800 11/06/2024	50,000,000	241,667			241,667
Negotiable CDs	06367DFA8	BMOCHG 5.580 10/24/2024	50,000,000	232,500			232,500
Negotiable CDs	06367DFX8	BMOCHG 5.560 07/01/2024	50,000,000	231,667			231,667
Negotiable CDs	06367DJB2	BMOCHG 5.440 11/08/2024	51,000,000	231,200			231,200
Negotiable CDs	06367DJY2	BMOCHG 5.470 05/05/2025	50,000,000	227,917			227,917
Negotiable CDs	13606KC38	CIBCNY 5.940 09/09/2024	50,000,000	247,500			247,500
Negotiable CDs	13606KD78	CIBCNY 5.920 08/12/2024	50,000,000	246,667			246,667
Negotiable CDs	13606KF92	CIBCNY 5.880 08/16/2024	50,000,000	245,000			245,000
Negotiable CDs	13606KW51	CIBCNY 5.370 09/11/2024	50,000,000	223,750			223,750
Negotiable CDs	13606KZ41	CIBCNY 5.430 10/24/2024	50,000,000	226,250			226,250
Negotiable CDs	13606KZ66	CIBCNY 5.400 01/02/2025	50,000,000	225,000			225,000
Negotiable CDs	13606KZN9	CIBCNY 5.920 07/29/2024	60,000,000	296,000			296,000
Negotiable CDs	13606KZR0	CIBCNY 5.890 07/01/2024	50,000,000	245,417			245,417
Negotiable CDs	78015J5K9	RY 5.900 09/09/2024	60,000,000	295,000			295,000
Negotiable CDs	78015J7F8	RY 5.930 08/12/2024	60,000,000	296,500			296,500
Negotiable CDs	78015JAK3	RY 5.960 09/23/2024	60,000,000	298,000			298,000
Negotiable CDs	78015JE37	RY 5.860 08/15/2024	50,000,000	244,167			244,167
Negotiable CDs	78015JE78	RY 5.860 08/26/2024	50,000,000	244,167			244,167
Negotiable CDs	78015JHT7	RY 5.530 06/03/2024		18,433			18,433
Negotiable CDs	78015JJ73	RY 5.480 10/24/2024	50,000,000	228,333			228,333
Negotiable CDs	78015JQ34	RY 5.450 01/28/2025	25,000,000	113,542			113,542
Negotiable CDs	78015JXW2	RY 5.890 06/28/2024		220,875			220,875
Negotiable CDs	89115BH52	TDNY 5.930 10/21/2024	50,000,000	247,083			247,083
Negotiable CDs	89115BNG1	TDNY 5.850 06/05/2024		32,500			32,500
Negotiable CDs	89115BNV8	TDNY 5.560 07/01/2024	50,000,000	231,667			231,667
Negotiable CDs	89115BP95	TDNY 5.580 10/24/2024	50,000,000	232,500			232,500
Negotiable CDs	89115BRG7	TDNY 6.050 07/01/2024	50,000,000	252,083			252,083
Negotiable CDs	89115BS84	TDNY 5.910 07/01/2024	50,000,000	246,250			246,250
Negotiable CDs	89115BSQ4	TDNY 5.930 07/01/2024	50,000,000	247,083			247,083
Negotiable CDs	89115BSZ4	TDNY 5.550 04/09/2025	50,000,000	231,250			231,250
Negotiable CDs	89115BT59	TDNY 5.510 12/02/2024	50,000,000	229,583			229,583
Negotiable CDs	89115BV80	TDNY 5.900 07/03/2024	50,000,000	245,833			245,833
Negotiable CDs	89115DC20	TDNY 5.380 07/15/2024	70,000,000	313,833			313,833
Negotiable CDs	89115DC61	TDNY 5.370 09/10/2024	50,000,000	223,750			223,750
Negotiable CDs	89115DCA2	TDNY 5.360 09/25/2024	50,000,000	223,333			223,333
Negotiable CDs	89115DJS6	TDNY 5.430 10/24/2024	50,000,000	226,250			226,250
Negotiable CDs	89115DK21	TDNY 5.400 01/02/2025	50,000,000	225,000			225,000
Negotiable CDs	89115DR65	TDNY 5.470 05/05/2025	65,000,000	296,292			296,292
Subtotals			\$ 2,211,000,000	\$ 11,192,036	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11,192,036

Monthly Investment Earnings Pooled Fund

<u>Type of Investment</u>	<u>CUSIP</u>	<u>Issuer Name</u>	<u>Par Value</u>	<u>Accrued Interest Earned</u>	<u>(Amortization) / Accretion</u>	<u>Realized Gain/(Loss)</u>	<u>Total Earnings</u>
Commercial Paper	59157TFH1	METSHR 0.000 06/17/2024			\$ 95,849	\$	95,849
Commercial Paper	59157TGQ0	METSHR 0.000 07/24/2024	48,500,000		210,975		210,975
Commercial Paper	59157TK44	METSHR 0.000 10/04/2024	15,000,000		65,000		65,000
Commercial Paper	59157TKQ5	METSHR 0.000 10/24/2024	10,000,000		43,250		43,250
Commercial Paper	59515MGF6	MSFT 0.000 07/15/2024	10,000,000		43,500		43,500
Commercial Paper	62479LFE0	MUFGBK 0.000 06/14/2024			38,567		38,567
Commercial Paper	62479LFJ9	MUFGBK 0.000 06/18/2024			138,692		138,692
Commercial Paper	62479LG17	MUFGBK 0.000 07/01/2024	50,000,000		235,417		235,417
Commercial Paper	62479LKQ7	MUFGBK 0.000 10/24/2024	50,000,000		217,500		217,500
Commercial Paper	62479LKQ7	MUFGBK 0.000 10/24/2024	50,000,000		220,417		220,417
Commercial Paper	62479LL45	MUFGBK 0.000 11/04/2024	23,000,000		101,392		101,392
Commercial Paper	62479LLJ2	MUFGBK 0.000 11/18/2024	52,000,000		229,233		229,233
Commercial Paper	62479LM44	MUFGBK 0.000 12/04/2024	36,000,000		160,200		160,200
Commercial Paper	62479LMD4	MUFGBK 0.000 12/13/2024	15,000,000		15,488		15,488
Commercial Paper	62479LMG7	MUFGBK 0.000 12/16/2024	50,000,000		222,083		222,083
Commercial Paper	62479LMJ1	MUFGBK 0.000 12/18/2024	50,000,000		51,625		51,625
Commercial Paper	62479LMP7	MUFGBK 0.000 12/23/2024	22,000,000		22,672		22,672
Commercial Paper	62479LMW2	MUFGBK 0.000 12/30/2024	15,000,000		15,458		15,458
Commercial Paper	62479LNP6	MUFGBK 0.000 01/23/2025	15,000,000		15,371		15,371
Commercial Paper	62479LNV3	MUFGBK 0.000 01/29/2025	50,000,000		219,583		219,583
Commercial Paper	89233GG18	TOYCC 0.000 07/01/2024	50,000,000		233,750		233,750
Commercial Paper	89233GG18	TOYCC 0.000 07/01/2024	50,000,000		231,667		231,667
Commercial Paper	89233GKP0	TOYCC 0.000 10/23/2024	75,000,000		325,000		325,000
Commercial Paper	89233GKQ8	TOYCC 0.000 10/24/2024	50,000,000		218,333		218,333
Commercial Paper	89233GM29	TOYCC 0.000 12/02/2024	65,000,000		287,083		287,083
Commercial Paper	89233GMG8	TOYCC 0.000 12/16/2024	65,000,000		286,542		286,542
Commercial Paper	89233GNQ5	TOYCC 0.000 01/24/2025	60,000,000		263,000		263,000
Commercial Paper	89233GNU6	TOYCC 0.000 01/28/2025	50,000,000		43,667		43,667
Subtotals			\$ 1,026,500,000	\$ -	\$ 4,251,313	\$ -	\$ 4,251,313

Monthly Investment Earnings Pooled Fund

<u>Type of Investment</u>	<u>CUSIP</u>	<u>Issuer Name</u>	<u>Par Value</u>	<u>Accrued Interest Earned</u>	<u>(Amortization) / Accretion</u>	<u>Realized Gain/(Loss)</u>	<u>Total Earnings</u>
Money Market Funds	09248U718	BlackRock Liquidity Funds T-Fund	\$ 13,385,494	\$ 56,966			\$ 56,966
Money Market Funds	31607A703	Fidelity Govt Portfolio	771,885,165	3,308,013			3,308,013
Money Market Funds	608919718	Federated Hermes Govt Obligations Fund	755,882,532	3,022,135			3,022,135
Money Market Funds	262006208	Dreyfus Government Cash Management	12,719,571	53,953			53,953
Money Market Funds	85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF	535,189,083	1,431,415			1,431,415
Money Market Funds	61747C319	Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity Fund	60,835,338	259,535			259,535
Subtotals			\$ 2,149,897,181	\$ 8,132,016	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 8,132,016
Supranationals	45818WDG8	IADB 0.820 02/27/2026	\$ 19,500,000	\$ 13,325	\$ (1,037)		\$ 12,288
Supranationals	4581X0CM8	IADB 2.125 01/15/2025	100,000,000	177,083	(125,206)		51,877
Supranationals	4581X0DN5	IADB 0.625 07/15/2025	28,900,000	15,052	8,452		23,504
Supranationals	4581X0DZ8	IADB 0.500 09/23/2024	50,000,000	20,833	11,513		32,347
Supranationals	4581X0EE4	IADB 3.250 07/01/2024	80,000,000	216,667	328		216,995
Supranationals	4581X0EN4	IADB 4.125 02/15/2029	25,000,000	85,938	6,261		92,198
Supranationals	459056HV2	IBRD 1.500 08/28/2024	50,000,000	62,500	(28,667)		33,833
Supranationals	459058HT3	IBRD 1.626 01/15/2025	29,314,000	39,720	82,795		122,515
Supranationals	459058JB0	IBRD 0.626 04/22/2025	40,000,000	20,867	(1,885)		18,982
Supranationals	45906M3B5	IBRD 1.980 06/14/2024		71,500			71,500
Supranationals	45950VQG4	IFC 0.440 09/23/2024	10,000,000	3,667	2,286		5,953
Supranationals	45950VRU2	IFC 4.023 01/26/2026	100,000,000	335,250			335,250
Subtotals			\$ 532,714,000	\$ 1,062,402	\$ (45,160)	\$ -	\$ 1,017,242
Secured Bank Deposit	0660P0999	Bank of America TTX INV Deposit Acct	\$ 100,190,027	\$ 190,027			\$ 190,027
Subtotals			\$ 100,190,027	\$ 190,027	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 190,027
Grand Totals			\$16,752,738,209	\$ 45,053,047	\$ 5,902,204	\$ -	\$ 50,955,251

Investment Transactions

Pooled Fund

For month ended June 30, 2024

Accounting ID	Transaction Type	Cusip	Description	Price	Settlement Date	Posted Date	Par Value	Principal	Accrued Interest	Total
57987	Buy	313384XS3	FHDN 0.000 06/04/2024	99.98539	06/03/2024	06/03/2024	50,000,000.00	49,992,694.44	0.00	49,992,694.44
57988	Buy	313384XS3	FHDN 0.000 06/04/2024	99.98539	06/03/2024	06/03/2024	50,000,000.00	49,992,694.44	0.00	49,992,694.44
57989	Buy	313384XS3	FHDN 0.000 06/04/2024	99.98539	06/03/2024	06/03/2024	50,000,000.00	49,992,694.44	0.00	49,992,694.44
57990	Buy	313384XS3	FHDN 0.000 06/04/2024	99.98539	06/03/2024	06/03/2024	50,000,000.00	49,992,694.44	0.00	49,992,694.44
57991	Buy	313384XT1	FHDN 0.000 06/05/2024	99.98539	06/04/2024	06/04/2024	50,000,000.00	49,992,694.44	0.00	49,992,694.44
57992	Buy	313384XT1	FHDN 0.000 06/05/2024	99.98539	06/04/2024	06/04/2024	50,000,000.00	49,992,694.44	0.00	49,992,694.44
57993	Buy	313384XT1	FHDN 0.000 06/05/2024	99.98539	06/04/2024	06/04/2024	50,000,000.00	49,992,694.44	0.00	49,992,694.44
57994	Buy	313384XT1	FHDN 0.000 06/05/2024	99.98539	06/04/2024	06/04/2024	50,000,000.00	49,992,694.44	0.00	49,992,694.44
58001	Buy	313384XU8	FHDN 0.000 06/06/2024	99.98542	06/05/2024	06/05/2024	50,000,000.00	49,992,708.33	0.00	49,992,708.33
58002	Buy	313384XU8	FHDN 0.000 06/06/2024	99.98542	06/05/2024	06/05/2024	50,000,000.00	49,992,708.33	0.00	49,992,708.33
58003	Buy	313384XU8	FHDN 0.000 06/06/2024	99.98542	06/05/2024	06/05/2024	50,000,000.00	49,992,708.33	0.00	49,992,708.33
58004	Buy	313384XU8	FHDN 0.000 06/06/2024	99.98542	06/05/2024	06/05/2024	50,000,000.00	49,992,708.33	0.00	49,992,708.33
58005	Buy	313384XV6	FHDN 0.000 06/07/2024	99.98542	06/06/2024	06/06/2024	50,000,000.00	49,992,708.33	0.00	49,992,708.33
58006	Buy	313384XV6	FHDN 0.000 06/07/2024	99.98542	06/06/2024	06/06/2024	50,000,000.00	49,992,708.33	0.00	49,992,708.33
58007	Buy	313384XV6	FHDN 0.000 06/07/2024	99.98542	06/06/2024	06/06/2024	50,000,000.00	49,992,708.33	0.00	49,992,708.33
58008	Buy	313384XV6	FHDN 0.000 06/07/2024	99.98542	06/06/2024	06/06/2024	50,000,000.00	49,992,708.33	0.00	49,992,708.33
58000	Buy	3133ERGL9	FFCB 4.500 06/07/2028	99.96400	06/07/2024	06/07/2024	15,000,000.00	14,994,600.00	0.00	14,994,600.00
58009	Buy	313384XY0	FHDN 0.000 06/10/2024	99.95617	06/07/2024	06/07/2024	50,000,000.00	49,978,083.33	0.00	49,978,083.33
58010	Buy	313384XY0	FHDN 0.000 06/10/2024	99.95617	06/07/2024	06/07/2024	50,000,000.00	49,978,083.33	0.00	49,978,083.33
58011	Buy	313384XY0	FHDN 0.000 06/10/2024	99.95617	06/07/2024	06/07/2024	50,000,000.00	49,978,083.33	0.00	49,978,083.33
58012	Buy	313384XY0	FHDN 0.000 06/10/2024	99.95617	06/07/2024	06/07/2024	50,000,000.00	49,978,083.33	0.00	49,978,083.33
58015	Buy	313384YF0	FHDN 0.000 06/17/2024	99.95617	06/14/2024	06/14/2024	50,000,000.00	49,978,083.33	0.00	49,978,083.33
58016	Buy	313384YF0	FHDN 0.000 06/17/2024	99.95617	06/14/2024	06/14/2024	50,000,000.00	49,978,083.33	0.00	49,978,083.33
58017	Buy	313384YF0	FHDN 0.000 06/17/2024	99.95617	06/14/2024	06/14/2024	50,000,000.00	49,978,083.33	0.00	49,978,083.33
58018	Buy	313384YF0	FHDN 0.000 06/17/2024	99.95617	06/14/2024	06/14/2024	50,000,000.00	49,978,083.33	0.00	49,978,083.33
58019	Buy	313384YF0	FHDN 0.000 06/17/2024	99.95617	06/14/2024	06/14/2024	50,000,000.00	49,978,083.33	0.00	49,978,083.33
58020	Buy	313384YF0	FHDN 0.000 06/17/2024	99.95617	06/14/2024	06/14/2024	50,000,000.00	49,978,083.33	0.00	49,978,083.33
58021	Buy	PPGHASP70	BRIDGE 5.360 12/16/2024	100.00000	06/17/2024	06/17/2024	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00	0.00	10,000,000.00
58022	Buy	3130B1BT3	FHLB 4.875 06/12/2026	100.14900	06/18/2024	06/18/2024	13,485,000.00	13,505,092.65	76,695.94	13,581,788.59
58023	Buy	3133ERHD6	FFCB 4.875 06/12/2026	100.16000	06/18/2024	06/18/2024	32,000,000.00	32,051,200.00	26,000.00	32,077,200.00
58024	Buy	3133ERHD6	FFCB 4.875 06/12/2026	100.15200	06/18/2024	06/18/2024	20,000,000.00	20,030,400.00	16,250.00	20,046,650.00
58025	Buy	3133ERHN4	FFCB 4.250 10/20/2028	99.43500	06/20/2024	06/20/2024	38,000,000.00	37,785,300.00	0.00	37,785,300.00
58026	Buy	3133ERHN4	FFCB 4.250 10/20/2028	99.44200	06/20/2024	06/20/2024	5,000,000.00	4,972,100.00	0.00	4,972,100.00
58028	Buy	62479LMD4	MUFGBK 0.000 12/13/2024	97.46300	06/24/2024	06/24/2024	15,000,000.00	14,619,450.00	0.00	14,619,450.00
58029	Buy	62479LMJ1	MUFGBK 0.000 12/18/2024	97.38925	06/24/2024	06/24/2024	50,000,000.00	48,694,625.00	0.00	48,694,625.00
58030	Buy	62479LMP7	MUFGBK 0.000 12/23/2024	97.32056	06/24/2024	06/24/2024	22,000,000.00	21,410,522.22	0.00	21,410,522.22
58031	Buy	62479LMP2	MUFGBK 0.000 12/30/2024	97.21750	06/24/2024	06/24/2024	15,000,000.00	14,582,625.00	0.00	14,582,625.00
58032	Buy	62479LNP6	MUFGBK 0.000 01/23/2025	96.88192	06/24/2024	06/24/2024	15,000,000.00	14,532,287.50	0.00	14,532,287.50
58027	Buy	89233GNU6	TOYCC 0.000 01/28/2025	96.84144	06/25/2024	06/25/2024	50,000,000.00	48,420,722.22	0.00	48,420,722.22
58033	Buy	3133ERGS4	FFCB 4.250 06/11/2029	99.67600	06/26/2024	06/26/2024	10,000,000.00	9,967,600.00	17,708.33	9,985,308.33
58034	Buy	3133ERGS4	FFCB 4.250 06/11/2029	99.67600	06/26/2024	06/26/2024	10,000,000.00	9,967,600.00	17,708.33	9,985,308.33
58035	Buy	3133ERGS4	FFCB 4.250 06/11/2029	99.67600	06/26/2024	06/26/2024	20,000,000.00	19,935,200.00	35,416.67	19,970,616.67
58036	Buy	3133ERGS4	FFCB 4.250 06/11/2029	99.67600	06/26/2024	06/26/2024	10,000,000.00	9,967,600.00	17,708.33	9,985,308.33
58037	Buy	3133ERGS4	FFCB 4.250 06/11/2029	99.73700	06/26/2024	06/26/2024	29,000,000.00	28,923,730.00	51,354.17	28,975,084.17
58038	Buy	3133ERGL9	FFCB 4.500 06/07/2028	100.18800	06/26/2024	06/26/2024	20,000,000.00	20,037,600.00	47,500.00	20,085,100.00
58039	Buy	91282CKV2	T 4.625 06/15/2027	100.39844	06/26/2024	06/26/2024	50,000,000.00	50,199,218.75	69,501.37	50,268,720.12
58040	Buy	3133ERGL9	FFCB 4.500 06/07/2028	100.18800	06/26/2024	06/26/2024	14,934,000.00	14,962,075.92	35,468.25	14,997,544.17
58041	Buy	3133ERJZ5	FFCB 4.500 06/28/2027	99.95280	06/28/2024	06/28/2024	30,000,000.00	29,985,840.00	0.00	29,985,840.00
			Activity Total				1,794,419,000.00	1,789,209,444.72	411,311.39	1,789,620,756.11

Investment Transactions Pooled Fund

Accounting ID	Transaction Type	Cusip	Description	Price	Settlement Date	Posted Date	Par Value	Principal	Accrued Interest	Total
57811	Maturity	78015JHT7	RY 5.530 06/03/2024	100.00000	06/03/2024	06/03/2024	60,000,000.00	60,000,000.00	0.00	60,000,000.00
57808	Maturity	PPG1KB100	BKSANF 5.440 06/03/2024	100.00000	06/03/2024	06/03/2024	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00	0.00	10,000,000.00
57987	Maturity	313384XS3	FHDN 0.000 06/04/2024	100.00000	06/04/2024	06/04/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
57988	Maturity	313384XS3	FHDN 0.000 06/04/2024	100.00000	06/04/2024	06/04/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
57989	Maturity	313384XS3	FHDN 0.000 06/04/2024	100.00000	06/04/2024	06/04/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
57990	Maturity	313384XS3	FHDN 0.000 06/04/2024	100.00000	06/04/2024	06/04/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
57991	Maturity	313384XT1	FHDN 0.000 06/05/2024	100.00000	06/05/2024	06/05/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
57992	Maturity	313384XT1	FHDN 0.000 06/05/2024	100.00000	06/05/2024	06/05/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
57993	Maturity	313384XT1	FHDN 0.000 06/05/2024	100.00000	06/05/2024	06/05/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
57994	Maturity	313384XT1	FHDN 0.000 06/05/2024	100.00000	06/05/2024	06/05/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
57697	Maturity	89115BNG1	TDNY 5.850 06/05/2024	100.00000	06/05/2024	06/05/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
58001	Maturity	313384XU8	FHDN 0.000 06/06/2024	100.00000	06/06/2024	06/06/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
58002	Maturity	313384XU8	FHDN 0.000 06/06/2024	100.00000	06/06/2024	06/06/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
58003	Maturity	313384XU8	FHDN 0.000 06/06/2024	100.00000	06/06/2024	06/06/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
58004	Maturity	313384XU8	FHDN 0.000 06/06/2024	100.00000	06/06/2024	06/06/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
57704	Maturity	06367DBJ3	BMOCHG 5.890	100.00000	06/07/2024	06/07/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
58005	Maturity	313384XV6	FHDN 0.000 06/07/2024	100.00000	06/07/2024	06/07/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
58006	Maturity	313384XV6	FHDN 0.000 06/07/2024	100.00000	06/07/2024	06/07/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
58007	Maturity	313384XV6	FHDN 0.000 06/07/2024	100.00000	06/07/2024	06/07/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
58008	Maturity	313384XV6	FHDN 0.000 06/07/2024	100.00000	06/07/2024	06/07/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
58009	Maturity	313384XY0	FHDN 0.000 06/10/2024	100.00000	06/10/2024	06/10/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
58010	Maturity	313384XY0	FHDN 0.000 06/10/2024	100.00000	06/10/2024	06/10/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
58011	Maturity	313384XY0	FHDN 0.000 06/10/2024	100.00000	06/10/2024	06/10/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
58012	Maturity	313384XY0	FHDN 0.000 06/10/2024	100.00000	06/10/2024	06/10/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
47379	Maturity	3133ENYH7	FFCB 2.625 06/10/2024	100.00000	06/10/2024	06/10/2024	100,000,000.00	100,000,000.00	0.00	100,000,000.00
47369	Maturity	3130A1XJ2	FHLB 2.875 06/14/2024	100.00000	06/14/2024	06/14/2024	25,500,000.00	25,500,000.00	0.00	25,500,000.00
47371	Maturity	3130A1XJ2	FHLB 2.875 06/14/2024	100.00000	06/14/2024	06/14/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
47373	Maturity	3130A1XJ2	FHLB 2.875 06/14/2024	100.00000	06/14/2024	06/14/2024	17,980,000.00	17,980,000.00	0.00	17,980,000.00
47374	Maturity	3130A1XJ2	FHLB 2.875 06/14/2024	100.00000	06/14/2024	06/14/2024	15,955,000.00	15,955,000.00	0.00	15,955,000.00
47413	Maturity	3130ASHK8	FHLB 3.125 06/14/2024	100.00000	06/14/2024	06/14/2024	28,000,000.00	28,000,000.00	0.00	28,000,000.00
47414	Maturity	3130ASHK8	FHLB 3.125 06/14/2024	100.00000	06/14/2024	06/14/2024	28,210,000.00	28,210,000.00	0.00	28,210,000.00
47322	Maturity	45906M3B5	IBRD 1.980 06/14/2024	100.00000	06/14/2024	06/14/2024	100,000,000.00	100,000,000.00	0.00	100,000,000.00
57852	Maturity	62479LFE0	MUFGBK 0.000 06/14/2024	100.00000	06/14/2024	06/14/2024	20,000,000.00	20,000,000.00	0.00	20,000,000.00
58015	Maturity	313384YF0	FHDN 0.000 06/17/2024	100.00000	06/17/2024	06/17/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
58016	Maturity	313384YF0	FHDN 0.000 06/17/2024	100.00000	06/17/2024	06/17/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
58017	Maturity	313384YF0	FHDN 0.000 06/17/2024	100.00000	06/17/2024	06/17/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
58018	Maturity	313384YF0	FHDN 0.000 06/17/2024	100.00000	06/17/2024	06/17/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
58019	Maturity	313384YF0	FHDN 0.000 06/17/2024	100.00000	06/17/2024	06/17/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
58020	Maturity	313384YF0	FHDN 0.000 06/17/2024	100.00000	06/17/2024	06/17/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
47383	Maturity	3133ENYX2	FFCB 3.250 06/17/2024	100.00000	06/17/2024	06/17/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
47384	Maturity	3133ENYX2	FFCB 3.250 06/17/2024	100.00000	06/17/2024	06/17/2024	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00	0.00	25,000,000.00
47388	Maturity	3133ENYX2	FFCB 3.250 06/17/2024	100.00000	06/17/2024	06/17/2024	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00	0.00	25,000,000.00
57868	Maturity	59157TFH1	METSHR 0.000 06/17/2024	100.00000	06/17/2024	06/17/2024	41,000,000.00	41,000,000.00	0.00	41,000,000.00
57822	Maturity	PPG2JA6N9	BRIDGE 5.360 06/17/2024	100.00000	06/17/2024	06/17/2024	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00	0.00	10,000,000.00
57853	Maturity	62479LJ9	MUFGBK 0.000 06/18/2024	100.00000	06/18/2024	06/18/2024	55,000,000.00	55,000,000.00	0.00	55,000,000.00
57696	Maturity	06367DAU9	BMOCHG 5.870	100.00000	06/21/2024	06/21/2024	100,000,000.00	100,000,000.00	0.00	100,000,000.00
47392	Maturity	3133ENZS2	FFCB 3.100 06/28/2024	100.00000	06/28/2024	06/28/2024	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00	0.00	25,000,000.00
47393	Maturity	3133ENZS2	FFCB 3.100 06/28/2024	100.00000	06/28/2024	06/28/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
47394	Maturity	3133ENZS2	FFCB 3.100 06/28/2024	100.00000	06/28/2024	06/28/2024	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00	0.00	25,000,000.00
57698	Maturity	78015JXW2	RY 5.890 06/28/2024	100.00000	06/28/2024	06/28/2024	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
			Activity Total				2,311,645,000.00	2,311,645,000.00	0.00	2,311,645,000.00

Interest Received Pooled Fund

For month ended June 30, 2024							
Accounting ID	Transaction Type	Cusip	Description	Date Posted	Interest Received	Purchased Interest Adjustment	Net Interest
57808	Interest Income	PPG1KB100	BKSANF 5.440 06/03/2024	06/03/2024	275,022.23		275,022.23
57811	Interest Income	78015JHT7	RY 5.530 06/03/2024	06/03/2024	1,640,566.68		1,640,566.68
46327	Interest Income	3133ELCP7	FFCB 1.625 12/03/2024	06/03/2024	203,125.00		203,125.00
57697	Interest Income	89115BNG1	TDNY 5.850 06/05/2024	06/05/2024	2,795,000.00		2,795,000.00
57675	Interest Income	3130AWER7	FHLB 4.625 06/06/2025	06/06/2024	578,125.00		578,125.00
57676	Interest Income	3130AWER7	FHLB 4.625 06/06/2025	06/06/2024	346,875.00		346,875.00
57677	Interest Income	3130AWER7	FHLB 4.625 06/06/2025	06/06/2024	1,202,500.00		1,202,500.00
57678	Interest Income	3130AWER7	FHLB 4.625 06/06/2025	06/06/2024	231,250.00		231,250.00
57704	Interest Income	06367DBJ3	BMOCHG 5.890 06/07/2024	06/07/2024	2,666,861.10		2,666,861.10
47230	Interest Income	3133ENGO7	FFCB 0.920 12/09/2024	06/10/2024	230,000.00		230,000.00
47231	Interest Income	3133ENGO7	FFCB 0.920 12/09/2024	06/10/2024	230,000.00		230,000.00
47379	Interest Income	3133ENYH7	FFCB 2.625 06/10/2024	06/10/2024	1,312,500.00		1,312,500.00
57937	Interest Income	3130AX4E5	FHLB 4.500 06/11/2027	06/11/2024	247,500.00	209,000.00	38,500.00
47409	Interest Income	3130ASGU7	FHLB 3.500 06/11/2027	06/11/2024	216,562.50		216,562.50
47410	Interest Income	3130ASGU7	FHLB 3.500 06/11/2027	06/11/2024	175,000.00		175,000.00
47411	Interest Income	3130ASGU7	FHLB 3.500 06/11/2027	06/11/2024	380,187.50		380,187.50
57665	Interest Income	3130AVWS7	FHLB 3.750 06/12/2026	06/12/2024	375,000.00		375,000.00
57671	Interest Income	3130AWAH3	FHLB 4.000 06/12/2026	06/12/2024	300,000.00		300,000.00
57672	Interest Income	3130AWAH3	FHLB 4.000 06/12/2026	06/12/2024	200,000.00		200,000.00
57701	Interest Income	3130AWLZ1	FHLB 4.750 06/12/2026	06/12/2024	1,187,500.00		1,187,500.00
57657	Interest Income	3130AVWS7	FHLB 3.750 06/12/2026	06/12/2024	319,593.75		319,593.75
57666	Interest Income	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	06/13/2024	525,000.00		525,000.00
57708	Interest Income	3130AWLY4	FHLB 5.125 06/13/2025	06/13/2024	1,233,843.75		1,233,843.75
57709	Interest Income	3130AWLY4	FHLB 5.125 06/13/2025	06/13/2024	276,750.00		276,750.00
47381	Interest Income	3133ENYQ7	FFCB 2.950 06/13/2025	06/13/2024	737,500.00		737,500.00
47425	Interest Income	3130ASG86	FHLB 3.375 06/13/2025	06/13/2024	214,312.50		214,312.50
47426	Interest Income	3130ASG86	FHLB 3.375 06/13/2025	06/13/2024	201,487.50		201,487.50
57539	Interest Income	3133EN4B3	FFCB 4.250 06/13/2025	06/13/2024	318,750.00		318,750.00
57540	Interest Income	3133EN4B3	FFCB 4.250 06/13/2025	06/13/2024	318,750.00		318,750.00
57541	Interest Income	3133EN4B3	FFCB 4.250 06/13/2025	06/13/2024	318,750.00		318,750.00
57650	Interest Income	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	06/13/2024	218,750.00		218,750.00
57655	Interest Income	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	06/13/2024	216,890.63		216,890.63
57656	Interest Income	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	06/13/2024	557,812.50		557,812.50
57658	Interest Income	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	06/13/2024	65,625.00		65,625.00
57659	Interest Income	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	06/13/2024	218,750.00		218,750.00
47322	Interest Income	45906M3B5	IBRD 1.980 06/14/2024	06/14/2024	990,000.00		990,000.00
47369	Interest Income	3130A1XJ2	FHLB 2.875 06/14/2024	06/14/2024	366,562.50		366,562.50
47371	Interest Income	3130A1XJ2	FHLB 2.875 06/14/2024	06/14/2024	718,750.00		718,750.00
47373	Interest Income	3130A1XJ2	FHLB 2.875 06/14/2024	06/14/2024	258,462.50		258,462.50
47374	Interest Income	3130A1XJ2	FHLB 2.875 06/14/2024	06/14/2024	229,353.13		229,353.13
47413	Interest Income	3130ASHK8	FHLB 3.125 06/14/2024	06/14/2024	437,500.00		437,500.00
47414	Interest Income	3130ASHK8	FHLB 3.125 06/14/2024	06/14/2024	440,781.25		440,781.25
57679	Interest Income	3133EPMU6	FFCB 4.250 06/15/2026	06/17/2024	637,500.00		637,500.00
57680	Interest Income	3133EPMU6	FFCB 4.250 06/15/2026	06/17/2024	425,000.00		425,000.00
57681	Interest Income	3133EPMV4	FFCB 4.125 06/15/2027	06/17/2024	596,887.50		596,887.50
57683	Interest Income	3133EPMU6	FFCB 4.250 06/15/2026	06/17/2024	524,875.00		524,875.00
57822	Interest Income	PPG2JA6N9	BRIDGE 5.360 06/17/2024	06/17/2024	269,551.84		269,551.84
47239	Interest Income	3135G04Z3	FNMA 0.500 06/17/2025	06/17/2024	25,000.00		25,000.00
47241	Interest Income	3135G04Z3	FNMA 0.500 06/17/2025	06/17/2024	11,637.50		11,637.50
47263	Interest Income	3133ENHM5	FFCB 1.170 12/16/2025	06/17/2024	263,250.00		263,250.00
47264	Interest Income	3133ENHM5	FFCB 1.170 12/16/2025	06/17/2024	292,500.00		292,500.00
47383	Interest Income	3133ENYX2	FFCB 3.250 06/17/2024	06/17/2024	812,500.00		812,500.00
47384	Interest Income	3133ENYX2	FFCB 3.250 06/17/2024	06/17/2024	406,250.00		406,250.00
47388	Interest Income	3133ENYX2	FFCB 3.250 06/17/2024	06/17/2024	406,250.00		406,250.00

Interest Received Pooled Fund

Accounting ID	Transaction Type	Cusip	Description	Date Posted	Interest Received	Purchased Interest Adjustment	Net Interest
57542	Interest Income	3133EN4N7	FFCB 4.250 12/20/2024	06/20/2024	531,250.00		531,250.00
57543	Interest Income	3133EN4N7	FFCB 4.250 12/20/2024	06/20/2024	212,500.00		212,500.00
57544	Interest Income	3133EN4N7	FFCB 4.250 12/20/2024	06/20/2024	531,250.00		531,250.00
57696	Interest Income	06367DAU9	BMOCHG 5.870 06/21/2024	06/21/2024	5,870,000.00		5,870,000.00
57686	Interest Income	3133EPNG6	FFCB 4.375 06/23/2026	06/24/2024	1,093,750.00		1,093,750.00
57687	Interest Income	3133EPNG6	FFCB 4.375 06/23/2026	06/24/2024	546,875.00		546,875.00
57688	Interest Income	3133EPNG6	FFCB 4.375 06/23/2026	06/24/2024	546,875.00		546,875.00
57698	Interest Income	78015JXW2	RY 5.890 06/28/2024	06/28/2024	2,994,083.35		2,994,083.35
47392	Interest Income	3133ENZS2	FFCB 3.100 06/28/2024	06/28/2024	387,500.00		387,500.00
47393	Interest Income	3133ENZS2	FFCB 3.100 06/28/2024	06/28/2024	775,000.00		775,000.00
47394	Interest Income	3133ENZS2	FFCB 3.100 06/28/2024	06/28/2024	387,500.00		387,500.00
47402	Interest Income	3133ENZK9	FFCB 3.240 06/28/2027	06/28/2024	451,413.00		451,413.00
Activity Total					42,976,748.21	209,000.00	42,767,748.21

Money Market / Secured Bank Deposit Activity

Pooled Fund

For month ended June 30, 2024				
Accounting ID	Description	Activity Date	Transaction Type	Transaction Amount
09248U718	BlackRock Liquidity Funds T-Fund	06/03/2024	Interest Received	58,591.92
	Activity Total			58,591.92
31607A703	Fidelity Govt Portfolio	06/28/2024	Interest Received	3,308,012.81
	Activity Total			3,308,012.81
608919718	Federated Hermes Govt Obligations	06/03/2024	Withdrawal	(96,000,000.00)
608919718	Federated Hermes Govt Obligations	06/04/2024	Deposit	40,000,000.00
608919718	Federated Hermes Govt Obligations	06/06/2024	Deposit	12,000,000.00
608919718	Federated Hermes Govt Obligations	06/07/2024	Deposit	12,000,000.00
608919718	Federated Hermes Govt Obligations	06/10/2024	Deposit	100,000,000.00
608919718	Federated Hermes Govt Obligations	06/18/2024	Deposit	30,000,000.00
608919718	Federated Hermes Govt Obligations	06/28/2024	Interest Received	3,022,134.95
	Activity Total			101,022,134.95
262006208	Dreyfus Government Cash Management	06/28/2024	Interest Received	53,953.38
	Activity Total			53,953.38
85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF	06/03/2024	Withdrawal	(150,000,000.00)
85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF	06/04/2024	Deposit	26,000,000.00
85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF	06/05/2024	Withdrawal	(25,000,000.00)
85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF	06/10/2024	Deposit	144,000,000.00
85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF	06/11/2024	Withdrawal	(20,000,000.00)
85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF	06/12/2024	Withdrawal	(25,000,000.00)
85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF	06/13/2024	Deposit	49,000,000.00
85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF	06/14/2024	Deposit	47,000,000.00
85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF	06/17/2024	Withdrawal	(100,000,000.00)
85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF	06/18/2024	Deposit	96,000,000.00
85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF	06/20/2024	Withdrawal	(76,000,000.00)
85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF	06/21/2024	Deposit	100,000,000.00
85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF	06/24/2024	Deposit	53,000,000.00
85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF	06/25/2024	Withdrawal	(40,000,000.00)
85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF	06/26/2024	Deposit	20,000,000.00
85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF	06/27/2024	Deposit	15,000,000.00
85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF	06/28/2024	Deposit	95,000,000.00
85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF	06/28/2024	Interest Received	1,431,414.57
	Activity Total			210,431,414.57
61747C319	Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity	06/28/2024	Interest Received	259,535.15
	Activity Total			259,535.15
0660P0999	Bank of America TTX INV Deposit Acct	06/18/2024	Deposit	100,000,000.00
0660P0999	Bank of America TTX INV Deposit Acct	06/28/2024	Interest Received	190,027.32
	Activity Total			100,190,027.32

From: [Bullock, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: August 5, 2024 Full Arts Commission Agenda Posted
Date: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 8:17:23 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Hello,

Please see link below for the San Francisco Art's Commission meeting agenda for August 5, 2024.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: SOTF (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 3:24 PM
To: BOS-Operations <bos-operations@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: August 5, 2024 Full Arts Commission Agenda Posted

From: Dhaliwal, Manraj (ART) <manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 3:17 PM
Subject: August 5, 2024 Full Arts Commission Agenda Posted

Hello,

The agenda for the August 5, 2024, Full Commission meeting has been posted:

[Full Arts Commission Meeting | San Francisco \(sf.gov\)](#)

[Agenda](#)

Best,
Manraj



Manraj Dhaliwal
Commission Secretary
Pronouns: [he/him](#)
Email: manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org
Phone: 415-252-2247
Mobile: 415-940-1803

San Francisco Arts Commission

401 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 325
San Francisco, CA 94102

www.sfartscommission.org

[Newsletter](#) | [Flickr](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Instagram](#) | [TikTok](#) | [Twitter](#) | [YouTube](#)

The San Francisco Arts Commission acknowledges that we are on the unceded ancestral homeland of the [Ramaytush Ohlone](#). We affirm the sovereign rights of their community as First Peoples and are committed to supporting the traditional and contemporary evolution of the American Indian community and uplifting contemporary indigenous voices and culture.

Please be mindful that all correspondence and documents submitted to the San Francisco Arts Commission are public records and, as such, are subject to the [Sunshine Ordinance](#) and can be requested by the public. If this happens, personal information such as personal emails, Social Security numbers and phone numbers will be redacted.



MEETING OF THE FULL ARTS COMMISSION

**Monday, August 5, 2024
2 p.m.
City Hall, Room 416
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place**

Agenda

Members of the Commission will attend this meeting in person at the location listed above.

Members of the public are invited to observe the meeting in person at the physical meeting location listed above or remotely online at <https://sfgovtv.org/artLIVE>. Members of the public attending the meeting in-person will have an opportunity to provide up to three minutes of public comment on every agenda item.

Arts Commissioners: Charles Collins, President; Janine Shiota, Vice President; JD Beltran, J. Riccardo Benavides, Seth Brenzel, Patrick Carney, Suzie Ferras, Mahsa Hakimi, Yiyang Lu, Nabil Musleh, Jessica Rothschild, Abby Sadin Schnair, Marcus Shelby, Lydia So, ex officio (non-voting)

1. Call to Order, Roll Call, Agenda Changes, Land Acknowledgment

1. Call to order
2. Roll call / Confirmation of quorum
3. Agenda Changes
4. Ramaytush Ohlone Land Acknowledgement

The San Francisco Arts Commission acknowledges that we are on the unceded ancestral homeland of the Ramaytush Ohlone who are the

original inhabitants of the San Francisco Peninsula. As the indigenous stewards of this land and in accordance with their traditions, the Ramaytush Ohlone have never ceded, lost nor forgotten their responsibilities as the caretakers of this place, as well as for all peoples who reside in their traditional territory. As guests, we recognize that we benefit from living and working on their traditional homeland. We wish to pay our respects by acknowledging the ancestors, elders and relatives of the Ramaytush Community and by affirming their sovereign rights as First Peoples. As a department dedicated to promoting a diverse and equitable Arts and Culture environment in San Francisco, we are committed to supporting the traditional and contemporary evolution of the American Indian community.

2. Approval of Minutes

Discussion and Possible Action

Discussion and possible action to approve [July 1, 2024, Draft Minutes](#)

Presentation Time: Approximately 5 minutes

Explanatory Document: [July 1, 2024, Draft Minutes](#)

3. General Public Comment

Discussion

(This item is to allow members of the public to comment generally on matters within the Commission's purview as well as to suggest new agenda items for the Commission's consideration.)

4. Director's Report

Discussion

Current administrative, budgetary, legislative and programming developments and announcements.

Staff Presenter: Director of Cultural Affairs Ralph Remington

Presentation Time: Approximately 10 minutes

5. Committee Reports and Committee Matters

1. Civic Design Committee – Abby Schnair, Chair

1. Civic Design Committee Report *Discussion*

Presentation Time: Approximately 5 minutes

Report from the Civic Design Committee regarding activities of the Committee and the Projects.

2. Visual Arts Committee – Suzie Ferras, Chair

1. Visual Arts Committee Report *Discussion*

Presentation Time: Approximately 5 minutes

Report from the Visual Arts Committee regarding activities of the Committee and the Program.

6. SFAC Galleries 2025 City Hall Exhibition *Discussion*

Staff Presenter: Maysoun Wazwaz, Manager of Education and Public Programs, SFAC Galleries

Presentation time: Approximately 5 minutes

Presentation of SFAC Galleries upcoming 2025 exhibition at City Hall.

Explanatory Document: SFAC Galleries 2025 City Hall Exhibition

7. Consent Calendar

Discussion and possible action

Presentation Time: Approximately 5 minutes

The following items are included in the Consent Calendar subject to withdrawal at the request of a commissioner.

1. Motion to approve the July 15, 2024, [Civic Design Review Committee Meeting Minutes.](#)
2. Motion to approve the July 17, 2024, [Visual Arts Committee Meeting Minutes.](#)

Civic Design Review Committee Recommendations (July 15, 2024, [link to agenda](#))

Action

3. Motion to approve Phase 1 Review for SFO Terminal 3 West Modernization, Courtyard 4 Connector Scope.

Visual Arts Committee Recommendations (July 17, 2024, [link to agenda](#))

Action

4. Motion to approve *The Portola, East Meets West*, a mural design by Arthur Koch. The mural will be installed at 99 Burrows St. at San Bruno Ave. in District 9. The mural measures approximately 8 ft. tall by 20 ft. wide. The artwork is by a San Francisco Artists Grant (SFA) and will not become part of the Civic Art Collection.
5. Motion to approve *Street Mural on Page*, a mural design by Matley Hurd. The mural will be installed on Page St. between Central Ave. and Masonic Ave. in District 5. The mural measures approximately 52 ft. long by 21 ft. wide. The artwork is funded by a Community

Challenge Grant and will not become part of the Civic Art Collection.

6. Motion to approve *A Slice of Inner Sunset*, a mural design by Robert Louthan. The mural will be installed on 654 Irving St. at 8th Ave. in District 7. The mural measures approximately 17 ½ ft. high by 46 ft. wide. The artwork is funded by District 7 Participatory Budget Funds and will not become part of the Civic Art Collection.
7. Motion to amend Resolution #0701-24-298 to approve an allocation of up to \$100,000 from the Public Art Trust's Undesignated Funds for costs associated with the display of the Shahzia Sikander sculpture titled *Witness*, which will be displayed in Civic Center Plaza for a period of up to seven months starting in late October 2024.
8. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2020.11, *The Moon's Gravity Causes the Ocean's Tides*, 2020, powdered coated aluminum and steel, H35 ft. x W16 ft. by Sarah Sze. The artwork is installed at 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Atrium Lobby. The artwork was approved as installed under Res. No. 1005-20-165.
9. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2020.12.a-f, *Sea + Sky*, 2020, dye-sublimated aluminum panel, H96 in. x W298 in., by Meghann Riepenhoff. The artwork is installed at 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Floor 1, Prefunction Gallery Wall. The artwork was approved as installed under Res. No.1005-20-164.
10. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2020.13.a-f, *Sea + Sky*, 2019, cyanotype, sand on paper, H96 in. x W48 in., by Meghann Riepenhoff. The prints are the source imagery for the artwork 2020.12.a-f installed at 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Floor 1, Prefunction Gallery Wall.

11. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2021.02.a-t, *Rolling Reflection*, 2020, automotive paint on stainless steel, the overall installation measures approximately H8 ft. x W20 ft. x D150 ft., by Sanaz Mazinani. The artwork is installed at 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Forum, Main Entrance. The artwork was approved as installed under Res. No. 0104-21-016.
12. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.64, *The In Crowd*, 2001, acrylic on wood, H48 in. x W40 in. by Ira Watkins. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 2nd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0913-21-212 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.
13. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.65, *Brothers and Sisters*, 2016, silkscreen and dry pigment on paper, H60 in. x W41 $\frac{3}{4}$ in. by Rodney Ewing. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 2nd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0913-21-212 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.
14. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.66, *Dragonfly's Flowers*, 2017, comic book paper, glue and varnish on paperboard, H32 in. x W40 in. by Nga Trinh. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 3rd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0913-21-212 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.
15. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.67, *Pride*, 2013, oil on canvas, H36 in. x W36 in. by Nina Fabunmi. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 3rd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0913-21-212 and approved as installed

Res. No. 1107-22-211.

16. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.67, *Dad and Me*, 2009, acrylic on paper, H30 in. x W22 in. by Rhonel Roberts. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 2nd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0913-21-212 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.
17. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.69, *Kitzia, La Visionaria (The Visionary)*, 2018, oil on wood panel, H32 in. x W41 ½ in. by Claudio Talavera-Ballon. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 3rd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0913-21-212 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.
18. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.70, *Look Underneath*, 2013, color intaglio print, AP8, H37 in. x W32 in. by Louisiana Bendolph. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 2nd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0913-21-212 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.
19. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.71, *On the Way to Work*, 2017, oil on canvas, H48 in. x W48 in. by Suhas Bhujbal. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 3rd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0913-21-212 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.
20. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.72, *Bayview Wheelie*, 2017, digital reproduction on aluminum, H20 in. x W30 in. by Derek Macario. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue,

3rd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0913-21-212 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.

21. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.73, *Skating Through*, 2018, digital reproduction on aluminum, H20 in. x W30 in. by Derek Macario. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 3rd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0913-21-212 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.
22. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.74, *The Crane*, 2016, pastel and gouache on canvas wrapped aluminum panel, H24 in. x W48 in. by Boon Heng Pang. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 3rd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0913-21-212 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.
23. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.75, *Of This Earth I*, 2015, digital reproduction on aluminum, H30 in. x W26 in. by Ron Moultrie Saunders. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 3rd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0913-21-212 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.
24. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.76, *Of This Earth II*, 2017, digital reproduction on aluminum, H30 in. x W26 in. by Ron Moultrie Saunders. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 3rd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0913-21-212 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.
25. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.77, *On the Move*, 2012, digital reproduction on

aluminum, H48 in. x W36 in. by Malik Seneferu. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 2nd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0913-21-212 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.

26. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.99, *From the Hill and Beyond*, 2021, acrylic on canvas, H36 in. x W36 in. by Malik Seneferu. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 2nd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0913-21-212 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.

27. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.89, *Soul of San Francisco Quilt*, 2020, paint, pencil, pen and glitter on fabric, H63 in. x W51 in. by William Rhodes. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 3rd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0913-21-212 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.

28. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.90, *Eagle Warrior American (Wild Human)*, 2019, acrylic on wood, H36 ½ in. x W31 in. by Ivan Lopez. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 3rd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0913-21-212 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.

29. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.91, *Yellow Sun Power*, 2019, acrylic on wood, H36 ½ in. x W31 in. by Ivan Lopez. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 3rd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0913-21-212 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.

30. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.92, *Trust*, 2021, digital photo print, H45 in. x W30 in. by Blanca Estela Rodriguez Mandujano. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 2nd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0913-21-212 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.
31. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.93, *Sisters*, 2021, digital photo print, H30 in. x W45 in. by Blanca Estela Rodriguez Mandujano. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 2nd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0913-21-212 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.
32. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.94, *Bayview Shore Candlestick Park*, 2021, oil on canvas, H20 in. x W24 in. by Fely Tchao. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 3rd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0913-21-212 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.
33. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.95, *Hermanita III*, 2010, linocut on paper, edition 15/15, H27 in. x W25 in. by Juan R. Fuentes. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 3rd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0913-21-212 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.
34. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.96, *En El Cielo*, 2019, linocut and watercolor on paper, H30 in. x W22 in. by Juan R. Fuentes. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 3rd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0913-21-

212 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.

35. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.98, *Best Friends*, 2020, graphite on paper, H17 in. x W14 in. by Frederick Hayes. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 2nd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0913-21-212 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.
36. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.97, *Old School No Fool*, 2020, graphite on paper, H17 in. x W14 in. by Frederick Hayes. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 2nd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0913-21-212 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.
37. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.100, *The Afro*, 2021, acrylic on canvas, H18 ¼ in. x W22 ¼ in. by Ata'ataoletaeao McNealy. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 2nd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0913-21-212 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.
38. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.101, *The 'Fro Hawk*, 2021, acrylic on canvas, H18 ¼ in. x W22 ¼ in. by Ata'ataoletaeao McNealy. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 2nd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0913-21-212 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.
39. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.102, *Afro Puffs*, 2021, acrylic on canvas, H18 ¼ in. x W22 ¼ in. by Ata'ataoletaeao McNealy. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 2nd Floor. The

artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0913-21-212 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.

40. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.103, *Thee Fine-Apple*, 2021, acrylic on canvas, H18 ¼ in. x W22 ¼ in. by Ata'ataoletaeao McNealy. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 2nd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0913-21-212 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.

41. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.78, *Tai's Grandchildren*, 2021, digital reproduction on aluminum, H13 5/16 in. x W20in. by David Pushia. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 3rd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0207-22-044 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.

42. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.79, *Thrash*, 2021, digital reproduction on aluminum, H20 in. x W 13 5/16 in. by Emilio Perez Duarte. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 3rd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0207-22-044 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.

43. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.80, *Radiant Joy*, 2021, digital reproduction on aluminum, H20 in. x W 13 5/16 in. by Frida Calvo Huerta. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 3rd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0207-22-044 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.

44. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.81, *Reading*, 2021, digital reproduction on aluminum, H20 in. x W 13 5/16 in. by Juliana Martinez. The artwork is installed

at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 3rd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0207-22-044 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.

45. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.82, *Navigator*, 2021, digital reproduction on aluminum, H20 in. x W 13 5/16 in. by Kunta Gary. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 3rd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0207-22-044 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.

46. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.83, *Night Market*, 2021, digital reproduction on aluminum, H13 5/16 in. x W20in. by Michelle Zaho. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 3rd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0207-22-044 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.

47. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.84, *Route 66*, 2021, digital reproduction on aluminum, H20 in. x W 13 5/16 in. by Miracle Hampton The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 3rd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0207-22-044 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.

48. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.85, *By the Beach*, 2021, digital reproduction on aluminum, H13 5/16 in. x W20in. by Sabrina Denman. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, 3rd Floor. The artwork was approved as purchased under Res. No. 0207-22-044 and approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-211.

49. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.86, *Building a Better Bayview*, 2022, acrylic print,

paint, gold leaf and wood, H120 in. x W516 1/8 in. by Philip Hua. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, Main Lobby. The artwork was approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-209.

50. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.87, *Navigating The Historical Present: Bayview-Hunters Point*, 2022, dye sublimated aluminum, H156 in. x W732 in. by Kenyatta A.C. Hinkle. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, Alex Pitcher Pavilion. The artwork was approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-210.
51. Motion to retroactively approve and accept into the Civic Art Collection 2022.88, *Promissory Notes*, 2022, bronze, three sculptures measuring H18 ft., H16 ft. and H14ft. by Mildred Howard. The artwork is installed at the Southeast Community Center, 1550 Evans Avenue, Exterior Plaza. The artwork was approved as installed Res. No. 1107-22-208.
52. Motion for the Director of Cultural Affairs to approve a curator honorarium in the amount of \$3000 to PhotoAlliance for their participation in the development of the 2025 SFAC Galleries City Hall exhibition, *Metaphors of Recent Times: A Dialogue of the Personal, the Political and Cultural*, on view January 16 – June 13, 2025.
53. Motion for the Director of Cultural Affairs to approve a curator honorarium in the amount of \$2000 to Beth Davila Waldman for their participation in the development of the 2025 SFAC Galleries City Hall exhibition, *Metaphors of Recent Times: A Dialogue of the Personal, the Political and Cultural*, on view January 16 – June 13, 2025.
54. Motion to approve *Surf, Rock, Skate, Roll and Read* by Susie Lundy for the Fulton Street Activations Public Art Project, as recommended by a review panel comprised of SFAC and SFPL staff.

55. Motion to authorize the Director of Cultural Affairs to enter into a contract with Susie Lundy for an amount not to exceed \$57,500 for a series of youth and community focused activations and culminating event for the Fulton Street Activations Public Art Project anticipated to take place in Spring 2025.

8. New Business and Announcements

Discussion

(This item is to allow the Commissioners to introduce new agenda items for consideration, to report on recent arts activities and to make announcements.)

9. Adjournment

Action

Agenda posted 07/23/2024 3:15 p.m., md

Notices

The meetings of the San Francisco Arts Commission will be held in-person at City Hall Room 416, available to view on SFGovTV2, Comcast 78/Astound 28 and AT&T Uverse 99.

Agenda Item Information / Materials Available

Each item on the agenda may include the following documents:

- 1) Department or Agency or report;
- 2) Public correspondence;
- 3) Other explanatory documents.

Explanatory documents listed above, as well as documents created or distributed after the posting of this agenda to the Arts Commission will be



available only electronically, please contact: Commission Secretary Manraj Dhaliwal at manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org or 415-252-2247. PLEASE NOTE: The Arts Commission often receives documents created or submitted by other City officials, agencies or departments after the posting of the Arts Commission agenda. For such documents or presentations, members of the public may wish to contact the originating agency if they seek documents not yet provided to the Arts Commission.

Meeting Procedures

1. Agenda items will normally be heard in order. Please note, that on occasion a special circumstance may necessitate that an agenda item be taken out of order. To ensure that an agenda item is not missed, it is advised to arrive at the beginning of the meeting. All agenda changes will be announced by the Chair at the top of the meeting.
2. Public comment will be taken before or during the Committee's consideration of each agenda item. Each speaker will be allowed to speak for the time allotted by the Chair at the top of the meeting or up to three (3) minutes.
3. During General Public Comment, members of the public may address the Commissioners on matters that are within the Arts Commission's jurisdiction and are not on the agenda.
4. Persons who spoke during the public comment period at a meeting of the Arts Commission may supply a brief written summary of the comments to be included in the minutes if it is 150 words or less. The Arts Commission may reject the summary if it exceeds the prescribed word limit or is not an accurate summary of the speaker's public comment.
5. Persons unable to attend an Arts Commission meeting may submit correspondence to the Arts Commission in connection with an agenda item. The Commission Secretary will post these documents adjacent to the agenda if they are one page in length. If they are longer than one page, the Arts Commission will make such documents available for public inspection and copying. Please note, correspondence submitted to the Arts Commission will NOT be read aloud during the meeting. Names and

addresses included in these submittals will be public. Submittals may be made anonymously. Written comments pertaining to this meeting should be submitted to art-info@sfgov.org.

Electronic Devices Prohibited

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers, and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting, except as necessary to participate remotely. The Chair may order the exclusion from participation of any person responsible for improper disruptions to this remote meeting.

Disability Access

To obtain a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the meeting, please contact Manraj Dhaliwal at manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org or 415-252-2247, at least 48 hours before the meeting, except for Monday meetings, for which the deadline is 4:00 p.m. the previous Friday.

Archives Available

A recording of this meeting will be available online, 48 hours after the meeting.

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance (San Francisco Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code sections 2.100-2.160) to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the Ethics Commission at 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220, San Francisco, CA 94102, telephone 415/252-3100, fax 415/252-3112 and <http://www.sfethics.org/>.

Sunshine Ordinance

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decision in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For more information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact by



mail to Administrator, Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102-4689; by phone at 415-554 7724; by fax at 415-554 7854; or by email at sotf@sfgov.org.

Citizens interested in obtaining a free copy of the Sunshine Ordinance can request a copy from by printing Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code on the Internet, <http://www.sfgov.org/sunshine/>

Accessibility Meeting Policy

Per the American Disabilities Act and the Language Access Ordinance, Chinese, Spanish, and/or American Sign Language interpreters will be available upon request. Additionally, every effort will be made to provide a sound enhancement system, meeting materials in alternative formats, and/or a reader. Minutes may be translated after they have been adopted by the Commission. For all these requests, please contact Commission Secretary Manraj Dhaliwal at least 48 hours before the meeting at 415-252-2247, manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org. Late requests will be honored if possible. The meeting room is wheelchair accessible.

利便參與會議的相關規定

根據美國殘疾人士法案和語言服務條例，中文、西班牙語、和/或美國手語翻譯人員在收到要求後將會提供翻譯服務。另外，我們將盡力提供擴音設備。同時也將會提供不同格式的會議資料，和/或者提供閱讀器。此外，翻譯版本的會議記錄可在委員會通過後提供。上述的要求，請於會議前最少48小時致電415-252-2247向 Manraj Dhaliwal, manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org 提出。逾期提出的請求，若可能的話，亦會被考慮接納。聽證室設有輪椅通道。

POLITICA DE ACCESO A LA REUNIÓN

De acuerdo con la Ley sobre Estadounidenses con Discapacidades (American Disabilities Act) y la Ordenanza de Acceso a Idiomas (Language Access Ordinance) intérpretes de chino, español, y lenguaje de señas estarán disponibles de ser requeridos. En adición, se hará todo el esfuerzo posible para proveer un sistema mejoramiento de sonido, materiales de la reunión en formatos alternativos, y/o proveer un lector. Las minutas podrán ser traducidas luego de ser aprobadas por la Comisión. Para solicitar estos servicios, favor contactar a Commission Secretary, Manraj



Dhaliwal, por lo menos 48 horas antes de la reunión al 415-252-2247, manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org. Las solicitudes tardías serán consideradas de ser posible. La sala de audiencia es accesible a silla de ruedas.

Patakaran para sa pag-access ng mga Miting

Ayon sa batas ng American Disabilities Act at ng Language Access Ordinance, maaring mag-request ng mga tagapagsalin wika sa salitang Tsino, Espanyol at/o sa may kapansanan pandinig sa American Sign Language. Bukod pa dito, sisikapin gawan ng paraan na makapaglaan ng gamit upang lalong pabutihin ang inyong pakikinig, maibahagi ang mga kaganapan ng miting sa iba't ibang anyo, at/o isang tagapagbasa. Ang mga kaganapan ng miting ay maaring isalin sa ibang wika matapos ito ay aprobahan ng komisyon. Sa mga ganitong uri ng kahilingan, mangyari po lamang makipag ugnayan kay Commission Secretary Manraj Dhaliwal sa 415-252-2247, manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org. Magbigay po lamang ng hindi bababa sa 48 oras na abiso bago ng miting. Kung maari, ang mga late na hiling ay posibleng tanggapin. Ang silid ng pagpupulungan ay accessible sa mga naka wheelchair.

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: CPC BOS Memo 7/22
Date: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 3:16:08 PM
Attachments: [CPC BOS Memo 2024-07-22 Date & Time Stamped.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached, submitted by the Capital Planning Committee (CPC), approved action items and recommendations to be considered by the Board of Supervisors, in accordance with Administrative Code, Section 3.21.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*



2024 JUL 24 PM 4:34

BY *[Signature]*

MEMORANDUM

July 22, 2024

To: Members of the Board of Supervisors *Katherine E. Patricola*

From: Carmen Chu, City Administrator & Capital Planning Committee Chair Acting City Administrator

Copy: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Capital Planning Committee

Regarding: (1) Certificates of Participation for Critical Repairs and Street Resurfacing (2) Certificates of Participation for Music Concourse Garage (3) Capital Plan Amendment to include Healthy, Safe, and Vibrant San Francisco General Obligation Bond

In accordance with Section 3.21 of the Administrative Code, on July 22, 2024, the Capital Planning Committee (CPC) approved the following action items to be considered by the Board of Supervisors. The CPC's recommendations are set forth below.

1. Board File Number: TBD **Approval of the ordinance authorizing the issuance of Certificates of Participation (COPs) for FY25 Critical Repairs & Street Resurfacing Projects in an amount not to exceed \$61,395,000**

CPC Recommendation: The CPC recommends approval of this ordinance.

Comments: The CPC approves this item by a vote of 10-0.

Committee members or representatives in favor:

Brian Strong, Office of the City Administrator; Sunny Angulo, Board President's Office; Sophia Kittler, Mayor's Budget Office; Greg Wagner, Controller; Bruce Robertson, Public Works; Jonathan Rewers, SFMTA; Stephen Robinson, Public Utilities Commission; Josh Switsky, Planning; Nate Cruz, Port of San Francisco; Kevin Kone, San Francisco International Airport.

2. Board File Number: TBD **Approval of the ordinance authorizing the issuance of Certificates of Participation (COPs) for the Music**

Concourse Garage in an amount not to exceed \$29,000,000

CPC Recommendation:

The CPC recommends approval of this ordinance.

Comments:

The CPC approves this item by a vote of 10-0.

Committee members or representatives in favor:

Brian Strong, Office of the City Administrator; Sunny Angulo, Board President's Office; Sophia Kittler, Mayor's Budget Office; Greg Wagner, Controller; Bruce Robertson, Public Works; Jonathan Rewers, SFMTA; Stephen Robinson, Public Utilities Commission; Josh Switsky, Planning; Nate Cruz, Port of San Francisco; Kevin Kone, San Francisco International Airport.

3. Board File Number: TBD

Approval of the resolution amending the FY2024-2033 Capital Plan to include the \$390,000,000 Healthy, Safe, & Vibrant San Francisco General Obligation (G.O.) Bond

CPC Recommendation:

The CPC recommends approval of this resolution.

Comments:

The CPC approves this item by a vote of 10-0.

Committee members or representatives in favor:

Brian Strong, Office of the City Administrator; Sunny Angulo, Board President's Office; Sophia Kittler, Mayor's Budget Office; Greg Wagner, Controller; Bruce Robertson, Public Works; Jonathan Rewers, SFMTA; Stephen Robinson, Public Utilities Commission; Josh Switsky, Planning; Nate Cruz, Port of San Francisco; Kevin Kone, San Francisco International Airport.

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: FY 2023-24 Annual Gift Report - HSH
Date: Thursday, July 25, 2024 11:12:02 AM
Attachments: [FY 2023-24 HSH Annual Gift Report.pdf](#)
[Outlook-s5oxg0ms.png](#)

Hello,

Please see attached Annual Report on Gifts for Fiscal Year (2023-2024), submitted by the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Schneider, Dylan (HOM) <dylan.schneider@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2024 4:36 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Cohen, Emily (HOM) <emily.cohen@sfgov.org>; Robinson, Davares (HOM) <davares.robinson@sfgov.org>
Subject: FY 2023-24 Annual Gift Report - HSH

Good afternoon,

Attached please find HSH's Annual Gift Report for FY 2023-24 as required by Administrative Code 10.000.

Thank you,
Dylan



Dylan Schneider, MPA (She/Hers)

Manager of Legislative Affairs

San Francisco Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing

dylan.schneider@sfgov.org | O: 628.652.7742

Learn: hsh.sfgov.org | Follow: [@SF_HSH](https://twitter.com/SF_HSH) | Like: [@SanFranciscoHSH](https://www.facebook.com/SanFranciscoHSH)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended for the recipient only. If you receive this e-mail in error, notify the sender and destroy the e-mail immediately. Disclosure of the Personal Health Information (PHI) contained herein may subject the discloser to civil or criminal penalties under state and federal privacy laws.



Shireen McSpadden, Executive Director

London Breed, Mayor

To: Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

From: Shireen McSpadden
Executive Director
Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing

Date: July 19, 2024

Subject: Annual Report on Gifts Received by HSH in Fiscal Year 2023-24

In accordance with Administrative Code Section 10.10.305, this report serves to notify the Board of Supervisors of all gifts received and gift funds expended by the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HSH) during fiscal year FY 2023-24, including donations to and expenditures from the Mayor’s Fund for the Homeless (which HSH administers).

Donations to the Mayor’s Fund for the Homeless are expended in accordance with Administration Code Section 10.100-106 and labeled in this report with the intended use of “homeless assistance”.

FY 2023-24 Gifts Received:

Date Received	Donor Name	Donor's Financial Interest involving City, if any	Nature of Gift	Value	Intended Use
07/02/23	Taneja Rohan	N/A	Cash	\$40.00	Homeless assistance
07/21/23	Amy L Sawyer	N/A	Cash	\$25.00	Homeless assistance
07/21/23	Peter J Woods	N/A	Cash	\$23.00	Homeless assistance
07/26/23	Brian Sam Adams	N/A	Cash	\$100.92	Homeless assistance
08/04/23	Amy L Sawyer	N/A	Cash	\$25.00	Homeless assistance
08/04/23	Peter J Woods	N/A	Cash	\$23.00	Homeless assistance
08/14/23	Shang Shi	N/A	Cash	\$210.00	Homeless assistance
08/18/23	Amy L Sawyer	N/A	Cash	\$25.00	Homeless assistance
08/18/23	Peter J Woods	N/A	Cash	\$23.00	Homeless assistance
08/28/23	Jonathan Wang	N/A	Cash	\$100.00	Homeless assistance
08/28/23	Cornelis Lekkerkerker	N/A	Cash	\$150.00	Homeless assistance
09/01/23	Raju Khanal	N/A	Cash	\$50.00	Homeless assistance
09/01/23	Amy L Sawyer	N/A	Cash	\$25.00	Homeless assistance
09/01/23	Peter J Woods	N/A	Cash	\$23.00	Homeless assistance
09/15/23	Amy L Sawyer	N/A	Cash	\$25.00	Homeless assistance
09/15/23	Peter J Woods	N/A	Cash	\$23.00	Homeless assistance
09/22/23	Elizabeth R Muniz Martinez	N/A	Cash	\$1.50	Homeless assistance
09/25/23	Paige Watson	N/A	Cash	\$20.00	Homeless assistance

FY2022-23 HSH Annual Gift Form

09/25/23	Nelson Gomez	N/A	Cash	\$3.33	Homeless assistance
09/25/23	Karen O Seder	N/A	Cash	\$100.00	Homeless assistance
09/29/23	Amy L Sawyer	N/A	Cash	\$25.00	Homeless assistance
09/29/23	Peter J Woods	N/A	Cash	\$23.00	Homeless assistance
10/02/23	Liza Fiorentinos	N/A	Cash	\$30.00	Homeless assistance
10/10/23	Bonard Molina	N/A	Cash	\$100.00	Homeless assistance
10/13/23	Peter J Woods	N/A	Cash	\$23.00	Homeless assistance
10/16/23	Paige Watson	N/A	Cash	\$20.00	Homeless assistance
10/24/23	Michael Macia	N/A	Cash	\$25.00	Homeless assistance
10/26/23	Siddhartha Pothapragada	N/A	Cash	\$108.00	Homeless assistance
10/27/23	Peter J Woods	N/A	Cash	\$23.00	Homeless assistance
10/30/23	Paige Watson	N/A	Cash	\$20.00	Homeless assistance
11/10/23	Peter J Woods	N/A	Cash	\$23.00	Homeless assistance
11/15/23	Paige Watson	N/A	Cash	\$20.00	Homeless assistance
11/20/23	Chris Etow	N/A	Cash	\$750.00	Homeless assistance
11/24/23	Peter J Woods	N/A	Cash	\$23.00	Homeless assistance
11/27/23	John Rogers	N/A	Cash	\$200.00	Homeless assistance
11/30/23	Alice Lesowski	N/A	Cash	\$1,000.00	Homeless assistance
12/08/23	Peter J Woods	N/A	Cash	\$23.00	Homeless assistance
12/12/23	Irina Povolotckaia	N/A	Cash	\$50.00	Homeless assistance
12/18/23	Alejandra Soto	N/A	Cash	\$200.00	Homeless assistance
12/18/23	Georgia C Pharris	N/A	Cash	\$50.00	Homeless assistance
12/19/23	Coyote Point Properties	N/A	Cash	\$5,000.00	Homeless assistance
12/19/23	Amy Margolis	N/A	Cash	\$5,000.00	Homeless assistance
01/16/24	Cherelle Hebert	N/A	Cash	\$10.00	Homeless assistance
01/17/24	Charles H. Russell-Schlesinger	N/A	Cash	\$1,000.00	Homeless assistance
02/01/24	Rebekka Kofoed	N/A	Cash	\$300.00	Homeless assistance
02/01/24	Raksha V Kopparam	N/A	Cash	\$21.00	Homeless assistance
02/02/24	Paige Watson	N/A	Cash	\$50.00	Homeless assistance
02/05/24	Cornelis Lekkerkerker	N/A	Cash	\$300.00	Homeless assistance
02/26/24	Drew Hamlin	N/A	Cash	\$1,000.00	Homeless assistance
03/25/24	Elroi E Carpenter	N/A	Cash	\$12.00	Homeless assistance
04/15/24	Padmini Srinivasan	N/A	Cash	\$25.00	Homeless assistance
04/18/24	Heidi Hamilton	N/A	Cash	\$1.00	Homeless assistance
04/26/24	Edith Williams & Juan Vargas	N/A	Cash	\$20.00	Homeless assistance



06/05/24	Marcus Backund-Bowser	N/A	Cash	\$20.00	Homeless assistance
06/06/24	Hariharan Rajaraman	N/A	Cash	\$25.00	Homeless assistance
06/11/24	Steven R Adams	N/A	Cash	\$100.00	Homeless assistance
06/12/24	NeoVision Eye Center	N/A	Cash	\$100.00	Homeless assistance
06/26/24	Cornelis Lekkerkerker	N/A	Cash	\$250.00	Homeless assistance
			Total:	\$16,995.75	

FY 2023-24 Gift Expenditures

The table includes use of prior year donations and private grants to the Mayor’s Fund for the Homeless.

Description of Expenditure	Payee	Amount
Final payment to the vendor of San Francisco’s Homeless Management Information System for their work on Phase 1 of a project to add shelter bed inventory to the ONE System (date paid: April 12, 2024).	Bitfocus, Inc.	\$60,000.00
Initial payment to the vendor of San Francisco’s Homeless Management Information System for their work on Phase 2 of a project to add shelter bed inventory and related functionality enhancements to the ONE System (date paid: May 13, 2024).	Bitfocus, Inc.	\$292,162.50
Total:		\$352,162.50



From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: SF HSA - Annual Surveillance Report
Date: Thursday, July 25, 2024 10:39:23 AM
Attachments: [HSA Social Media Monitoring Software Annual Surveillance Report 2024.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)

Hello,

Please see attached the Social Media Monitoring Software Annual Surveillance Report for 2024, submitted by the Human Services Agency (HSA).

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mejia Rojas, Maria (HSA) <maria.mejiarojas@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 4:19 PM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Chrusciel, Julia (ADM) <julia.chrusciel@sfgov.org>
Subject: SF HSA - Annual Surveillance Report

Good afternoon,

Pursuant to Administrative Code sec. 19B.6(a), attached for your review is the 2024 HSA Annual Surveillance Report for Social Media Monitoring Software.

Thank you,
Maria

Maria Mejia (she/her)
Communications Specialist

C: (415) 260-2895

Office Address:

170 Otis street, SF, CA, 94103

www.SFHSA.org



SAN FRANCISCO
HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY



HSA Social Media Monitoring Software Annual Surveillance Report 2024

Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required.

Change In Authorized Use Cases ▼



1.1 In the last year, did your department have use cases which differed from your “approved use cases” in your BOS-approved policy?

No

Change in Authorized Job Titles ▼



2.1 Does the list of “authorized job titles” in your BOS-approved policy need to change? (i.e. Do you need additional job titles to be authorized to access the data, or do you need to remove any current job titles?)

No

Change in Number and/or Type of Technology ▼



Replacement of Old Technology

4.1 Has any technology listed in the policy been replaced?

No



Addition of New Technology

5.1 Has any technology been added which is not listed in the policy?

No



Ceased Operation of Technology

6.1 Is any technology listed in the policy no longer in use?

No



Services or Equipment Sources

7.1 List any and all entities, companies or individuals which provide services or equipment to the department which are essential to the functioning or effectiveness of the Surveillance Technology (list “N/A” if not applicable): *

Communications Specialist (9252), Sprout Social

Surveillance Technology Goals ▾



8.1 Has the surveillance technology been effective at achieving its identified purpose?

Yes

8.2 In 3-5 sentences, please explain how the technology has or has not been effective

Using a social media management software, like Sprout Social, has allowed the SFHSA Communications Division to manage our Facebook, Instagram, LinkedIn, and X (formerly known as Twitter) social media channels within one platform, rather than multiple platforms. Importantly, it has also provided statistical data to analyze the performance of these channels, all of which helps in making sure we are effectively reaching San Franciscans who need our help the most.

Data Sharing ▾



9.1 Has data acquired through the surveillance technology been shared with entities outside of the department?

No

9.4 Was the data shared with entities outside of city and county government?

No

Accidental Receipt of Face Recognition Data ▾



10.1 Did your department inadvertently or unintentionally receive, retain, access or use any information obtained from Face Recognition Technology?

No

Complaints ▾



11.1 Has your department received any complaints and/or concerns from community members about this surveillance technology?

No

Violations ▾



12.1 Were there any violations of the Surveillance Technology Policy or Surveillance Impact Report, reported through community members, non-privileged internal audits, or through other means in the last year?

No

12.4 Has your department conducted any internal audits of the technology?

No

Statistics and Information about Public Records Act Requests ∨



13.1 Has your department received any public records act requests for this surveillance technology?

No

Total Annual Costs for the Surveillance Technology ∨



14.1 List the number of FTE (new & existing).

1

14.2 Are there one-time costs for Fiscal Year 2023-2024?

No

14.6 List total one-time Software costs for FY 2023-2024.

none

14.15 Are there annual costs for Fiscal Year 2023-2024:

Yes

14.16 Are there annual Salary and Fringe costs?

Yes

14.17 List total annual Salary and Fringe costs for FY 2023-2024:

The total salary and fringe for this individual is \$157,028. However, only approximately 20% of this individual's time is spent using this technology, which amounts to \$31,406.

14.18 Are there annual Software costs?

Yes

14.19 List total annual Software costs for FY 2023-2024:

\$14,506.68

14.20 Are there annual Hardware/ Equipment costs?

No

14.22 Are there annual Professional Services costs?

No

14.24 Are there annual Training costs?

No

14.26 Are there annual "Other" costs?

No

14.28 What source of funding will fund the Surveillance Technology for FY 2023-2024?

General Fund

14.29 Have there been any changes to the one-time costs from your department's approved Surveillance Impact Report?

No

14.31 Have there been any changes to the annual costs from your department's approved Surveillance Impact Report?

No

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 2 Presidential Memos
Date: Thursday, July 25, 2024 12:50:48 PM
Attachments: [Presidential Memo - Appointment - Chair of Rules Committee.pdf](#)
[Presidential Memo - Rules Appointment Memo.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached Presidential Memos appointing Supervisor Safai to be the Chair of the Rules Committee and appointing Board President Peskin as member of the Rules Committee.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

City and County of
San Francisco



President, Board of
Supervisors

AARON PESKIN
市參事佩斯金

*** MEMORANDUM ***

To: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
From: Aaron Peskin, President of the Board of Supervisors
Date: July 17, 2024
Re: Appointment to Chair of the Rules Committee

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Aaron Peskin", written over the "From:" line of the memorandum.

Madam Clerk,

Please be advised that I have selected Supervisor Ahsha Safai to be the Chair of the Rules Committee effective immediately until August 31, 2024.

City and County of
San Francisco



Board of Supervisors,
President

AARON PESKIN
市參事 佩斯金

July 22, 2024

***** MEMORANDUM *****

To: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
From: Aaron Peskin, Board of Supervisors President
Re: Rules Committee Appointment 

Madam Clerk –

Effective immediately, I am appointing myself to the Rules Committee in the recently vacated third member seat in order to ensure a full complement of the body for the remainder of this legislative session until the summer recess.

Thank you.

City and County of
San Francisco



President, Board of
Supervisors

AARON PESKIN
市參事佩斯金

***** MEMORANDUM *****

To: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
From: Aaron Peskin, President of the Board of Supervisors
Date: July 17, 2024
Re: Calling for Special Board of Supervisors Meetings

Madam Clerk,

Pursuant to Board Rules Section 4.3, I am calling for two Special Board of Supervisors meetings to be held at City Hall Legislative Chamber, Room 250, as follow:

Tuesday, July 23, 2024 at 9:00 a.m.

File No. 240775 - Calling from Committee - Modifying Time for Submission of Charter Amendment (File No. 240544) under Rule 2.22.7

Tuesday, July 23, 2024 at 9:05 a.m.

File No. 240544 - Charter Amendment - Police Staffing and Voluntary Deferred Retirement Option Program for the Police Department

(Committee Report to the July 23, 2024 Regular Meeting)

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 3 12B Waiver Request Forms
Date: Thursday, July 25, 2024 12:14:55 PM
Attachments: [3 12B Waiver Request Forms.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 3 12B Waiver Request Forms.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [CCSF IT Service Desk](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: CMD12B0003774 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (CON) Department Head (David Serrano Sewell)
Date: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 5:53:04 AM
Attachments: [image](#)



Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0003774 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (CON) Department Head (David Serrano Sewell).

Summary of Request

Requester: Michael Gabriel

Department: CON

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000022566

Requested total cost: \$1,401.30

Short Description: College of American Pathologists (CAP) Quote 500905-1: Oral Fluid

[Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request](#)

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org

Thank you.

Ref:TIS5182629_LBHEnQ8oZN1IYHkxbxJcY

Report Title: CMD 12B Waiver Details
Run Date and Time: 2024-07-24 09:58:50 Pacific Daylight Time
Run by: ServiceNow Admin
Table name: u_cmd_12b_waiver

CMD 12B Waiver

Number:	CMD12B0003774	Request Status:	Awaiting CMD Director Approval
Requested for:	Michael Gabriel	State:	Work in Progress
Department Head/Delegated authority:	David Serrano Sewell	Waiver Type:	12B Waiver
Opened:	2024-07-16 12:11:11	12B Waiver Type:	Standard
		Requesting Department:	CON
		Requester Phone:	
		Awaiting Info from:	
		Awaiting Info reason:	
		Opened by:	Michael Gabriel
		Watch list:	

Short Description:

College of American Pathologists (CAP) Quote 500905-1: Oral Fluid

Supplier ID:	0000022566	Requested Amount:	\$1,401.30
Is this a new waiver or are you modifying a previously approved waiver?:	New Waiver	Increase Amount:	\$0.00
Last Approved 12B Waiver Request:		Previously Approved Amount:	\$0.00
		Total Requested Amount:	\$1,401.30
Document Type:	Purchase Order	Enter Contract ID:	
12B Waiver Justification:	12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)	Enter Requisition ID:	
City Treasurer:	Jose Cisneros	Enter Purchase Order ID:	0000848286
Admin Code Chapter:	Chapter 21 Goods and Services	Enter Direct Voucher ID:	
Select Chapter 21.04 Section:		Waiver Start Date:	2024-07-01
Confirm Dept. has documented this agreement as a Sole Source:		Waiver End Date:	2024-08-31

Advertising:	false
Commodities, Equipment and Hardware :	false
Equipment and Vehicle Lease:	false
On Premise Software and Support:	false
Online Content, Reports, Periodicals and Journals:	false
Professional and General Services:	true
Software as a Service (SaaS) and Cloud Software Applications:	false
Vehicles and Trailers:	false

Detail the purpose of this contract is and what goods and/or services the contra:

(a) College of American Pathologists

(b/c) This vendor is the only vendor that is able to provide the required "proficiency tests" that the American Board of Forensic Toxicology (ABFT) requires, OCME is accredited by ABFT. Without these tests we are unable to maintain accreditation.

If you have made an effort to have the supplier comply, explain it here. If not,:

We have made prior efforts to get into contact with their Customer Contact Center to be updated on their 12B compliance process but the responses have been slow.

Cancel Notes:

CMD Analyst

CMD Analyst:	Domenic Viterbo-Martinez	CMD Director:	Stephanie Tang
CMD Analyst Decision:	Reviewed and Approved	Select the reason for this request:	12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)
CMD Analyst Comments:	No compliant source for proficiency tests necessary to maintain the Office of Chief Medical Examiner's laboratory accreditation.		

CMD Director

CMD Director:	Stephanie Tang	CMD Director Decision:
---------------	----------------	------------------------

Reason for Determination:

12B.5-1(a)(1) (Non Property Contracts)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:
Sole Source – Non Property Contract Justification Reason:
Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?:
Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

Explain why this is a Sole Source:

12B.5-1(a)(1) (Property Contracts)

City Property Status:
Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?:
Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question1:

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question2:

12B.5-1(a)(1)(Property Contracts)

Sole Source – Property Contract
Justification Reason:

12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency)

12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency) Question2:

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation)

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question1 :

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question2:

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Non Property)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Public Entity Sole Source – Non
Property Contract Justification
Reason:

Has DPH Commission qualified this
agreement as a Sole Source under
Chpt 21.42?:

Has MTA qualified this agreement as
a Sole Source under Charter Sec.
8A.102(b)?:

Explain why this is a Sole Source (Public Entity):

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Property)

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity SS-PC) Question1:

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity - Substantial)

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-SPI)
Question1:

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms)

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question1:

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question2:

12B.5-1(e) Investments and Services

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question1:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question2:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question3:

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk Water, Power and

Bulk Water: false

Bulk Power: false

Bulk Gas: false

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG) Question2:

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question1:

This contract is essential as this vendor is the only vendor to provide the required "proficiency tests" that are specifically described by the American Board of Forensic Toxicology (ABFT), of which we are accredited by. Without these proficiency tests we cannot maintain accreditation which results in issuance of unaccredited forensic toxicology reports to the Medical Examiners, Law Enforcement and Judicial Court system. Further, this ABFT accreditation is a requirement for the NAME accreditation, of which the OCME has just obtained full accreditation earlier this year and was a targeted effort by the City Administrator's Office and OCME to do so.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question2:

The vendor is has initiated the 12B compliance process, but due to purchasing deadline to ensure shipping on proficiency testing for 2023, this waiver is requested.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question3:

CAP is the only vendor that provides such services and is standard in all forensic toxicology laboratories throughout the country as an accredited vendor that provides the largest suite of testing to ensure methodology employed by the is exemplary. The use of this vendor is necessary for accreditation. See sections F-1, F-2, F-3 https://www.abft.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/04/ABFT_LAP-Checklist_2023-v.Jan-31.pdf

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question4:

The vendor has begun the 12B compliance process, however, due to the time needed to fulfil the requested information for compliance and looming deadline for shipping of proficiency test material.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question5:

Yes

12B.5-1(d)(1)(No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question2 :

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question4:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Has MTA qualified agreement as Bulk Purchasing under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

Detail the nature of this Bulk Purchasing transaction:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question2:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question4:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question5:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question6:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity)

- 12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question1:
- 12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question2:
- 12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question3:
- 12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question4:

Activities

Additional comments:

Related List Title: Approval List
Table name: sysapproval_approver
Query Condition: Approval for = CMD12B0003774
Sort Order: Order in ascending order

1 Approvals

State	Approver	Approving	Created	Approval set	Comments
Approved	David Serrano Sewell	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003774	2024-07-19 17:08:42		

Related List Title: Metric List
Table name: metric_instance
Query Condition: Table = u_cmd_12b_waiver AND ID = f84502b71bd38614a835a687624bcbc8
Sort Order: None

10 Metrics

Created	Definition	ID	Value	Start	End	Duration	Calculation complete
2024-07-19 17:08:46	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003774	Dept. Head approval	2024-07-19 17:08:43	2024-07-24 05:52:20	4 Days 12 Hours 43 Minutes	true
2024-07-16 12:20:15	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003774	Draft	2024-07-16 12:20:11	2024-07-19 17:08:42	3 Days 4 Hours 48 Minutes	true
2024-07-24 08:51:35	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003774	Awaiting CMD Director Approval	2024-07-24 08:51:34			false
2024-07-19 17:08:46	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003774	Draft	2024-07-19 17:08:42	2024-07-19 17:08:43	1 Second	true
2024-07-24 05:52:25	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003774	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval	2024-07-24 05:52:20	2024-07-24 08:51:34	2 Hours 59 Minutes	true

Created	Definition	ID	Value	Start	End	Duration	Calculation complete
2024-07-19 17:08:46	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003774	Draft	2024-07-19 17:08:42	2024-07-19 17:08:43	1 Second	true
2024-07-19 17:08:46	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003774	Dept. Head approval	2024-07-19 17:08:43	2024-07-24 05:52:20	4 Days 12 Hours 43 Minutes	true
2024-07-24 05:52:25	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003774	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval	2024-07-24 05:52:20	2024-07-24 08:51:34	2 Hours 59 Minutes	true
2024-07-16 12:20:15	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003774	Draft	2024-07-16 12:20:11	2024-07-19 17:08:42	3 Days 4 Hours 48 Minutes	true
2024-07-24 08:51:35	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003774	Awaiting CMD Director Approval	2024-07-24 08:51:34			false

From: [CCSF IT Service Desk](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: CMD12B0003795 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (HSA) Department Head (Daniel Kaplan)
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 11:25:43 AM
Attachments: [image](#)



Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0003795 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (HSA) Department Head (Daniel Kaplan).

Summary of Request

Requester: Shane Balanon

Department: HSA

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000009968

Requested total cost: \$3,000.00

Short Description: Target Gift Cards 150 (\$20 each)

[Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request](#)

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org

Thank you.

Ref:TIS5180705_ebEBuxPjJluES9SpAxxk

Report Title: CMD 12B Waiver Details
Run Date and Time: 2024-07-24 09:59:41 Pacific Daylight Time
Run by: ServiceNow Admin
Table name: u_cmd_12b_waiver

CMD 12B Waiver

Number:	CMD12B0003795	Request Status:	Awaiting CMD Director Approval
Requested for:	Shane Balanon	State:	Work in Progress
Department Head/Delegated authority:	Daniel Kaplan	Waiver Type:	12B Waiver
Opened:	2024-07-23 10:21:55	12B Waiver Type:	Standard
		Requesting Department:	HSA
		Requester Phone:	(415) 557-5446
		Awaiting Info from:	
		Awaiting Info reason:	
		Opened by:	Shane Balanon
		Watch list:	

Short Description:

Target Gift Cards 150 (\$20 each)

Supplier ID:	0000009968	Requested Amount:	\$3,000.00
Is this a new waiver or are you modifying a previously approved waiver?:	New Waiver	Increase Amount:	\$0.00
Last Approved 12B Waiver Request:		Previously Approved Amount:	\$0.00
		Total Requested Amount:	\$3,000.00
Document Type:	Requisition	Enter Contract ID:	
12B Waiver Justification:	12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)	Enter Requisition ID:	0000301436
City Treasurer:	Jose Cisneros	Enter Purchase Order ID:	
Admin Code Chapter:	Chapter 21 Goods and Services	Enter Direct Voucher ID:	
Select Chapter 21.04 Section:		Waiver Start Date:	2024-07-24
Confirm Dept. has documented this agreement as a Sole Source:		Waiver End Date:	2025-07-23

Advertising:	false
Commodities, Equipment and Hardware :	true
Equipment and Vehicle Lease:	false
On Premise Software and Support:	false
Online Content, Reports, Periodicals and Journals:	false
Professional and General Services:	false
Software as a Service (SaaS) and Cloud Software Applications:	false
Vehicles and Trailers:	false

Detail the purpose of this contract is and what goods and/or services the contra:

Target. HSA Families Rising requires the purchase of \$20.00 gift cards from Target, as incentives for our clients who have completed their short-term and long-term goals, and for participating in yearly surveys, as required by the 'Parents as Teachers' model our program has adopted.

If you have made an effort to have the supplier comply, explain it here. If not,:

HSA is requesting authorization to purchase 150 gift cards valued at \$20.00 each from Target for use as client incentives. These gift cards are for the Human Services Agency's Families Rising Program (FaR). Eligibility for FaR includes enrollment in CalWorks and either Nurse Family Partnership or Field Nursing, as well as full participation in the Parents as Teachers curriculum. Incentives will be issued when participants achieve goals that ultimately leads to self-sufficiency OR moves a "step across" the Bridge (model) to self-sufficiency. In order to be effective, the incentive has to be useful and of value to the client. Incentives needs to be usable for a range of items a client might value, such as food. Incentives need to be accessible by clients regardless of residence throughout the city. If a card is not useable for the client, it will not work as an incentive and will not motivate a client to accomplish their goals. If a client can't access the store, the incentive will not work. Gift cards from single sites would be problematic as some clients have limited transportation or won't enter particular neighborhoods. Unfortunately, there no approved vendors that would serve the purpose as a generally accessible gift card that would appeal to the majority of clients.

Cancel Notes:

CMD Analyst

CMD Analyst:	Domenic Viterbo-Martinez	CMD Director:	Stephanie Tang
CMD Analyst Decision:	Reviewed and Approved	Select the reason for this request:	12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)
CMD Analyst Comments:	No compliant source for gift cards used as incentives for HSA's Families Rising Program participants to achieve goals that lead to self-sufficiency.		

CMD Director

CMD Director:	Stephanie Tang	CMD Director Decision:	
Reason for Determination:			

12B.5-1(a)(1) (Non Property Contracts)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:	
Sole Source – Non Property Contract Justification Reason:	
Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?:	
Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:	

Explain why this is a Sole Source:

12B.5-1(a)(1) (Property Contracts)

City Property Status:	
Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?:	

Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question1:

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question2:

12B.5-1(a)(1)(Property Contracts)

Sole Source – Property Contract Justification Reason:

12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency)

12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency) Question2:

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation)

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question1 :

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question2:

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Non Property)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Public Entity Sole Source – Non Property Contract Justification Reason:

Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?:

Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

Explain why this is a Sole Source (Public Entity):

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Property)

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity SS-PC) Question1:

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity - Substantial)

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-SPI) Question1:

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms)

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question1:

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question2:

12B.5-1(e) Investments and Services

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question1:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question2:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question3:

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk Water, Power and

Bulk Water: false

Bulk Power: false

Bulk Gas: false

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG) Question2:

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question1:

To be effective, the incentive has to be useful and of value to the client. Incentives need to be usable for a range of items a client might value, such as food. Incentives need to be accessible by clients regardless of residence throughout the city. If a card is not useable for the client, it will not work as an incentive and will not motivate a client to accomplish their goals. If a client can't access the store, the incentive will not work. Gift cards from single sites would be problematic as some clients have limited transportation or won't enter particular neighborhoods."

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question2:

HSA Families Rising (FaR) program, within CalWORKS, would like to purchase gifts cards from Target as this choice would provide a wide range of options for clients depending on their residence. Our program may request other suppliers that would also serve as client incentives in the future.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question3:

After determining needs of clients, decision to solicit Target was determined by the Project 500 program manager and the Families Rising Manager to ensure a choice of various essentials.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question4:

Purchasing directly from Target as it was the most economical option. We are seeking a waiver to continue purchase various essentials for clients in need.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question5:

Yes

12B.5-1(d)(1)(No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question2 :

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question4:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Has MTA qualified agreement as Bulk Purchasing under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

Detail the nature of this Bulk Purchasing transaction:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question1:

- 12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question2:
- 12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question3:
- 12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question4:
- 12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question5:
- 12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question6:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity)

- 12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question1:
- 12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question2:
- 12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question3:
- 12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question4:

Activities

Additional comments:

Related List Title: Approval List
Table name: sysapproval_approver
Query Condition: Approval for = CMD12B0003795
Sort Order: Order in ascending order

1 Approvals

State	Approver	Approving	Created	Approval set	Comments
Approved	Daniel Kaplan	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003795	2024-07-23 10:28:30		

Related List Title: Metric List
Table name: metric_instance
Query Condition: Table = u_cmd_12b_waiver AND ID = 9c6fa10e1b230a10a835a687624bcb06
Sort Order: None

10 Metrics

Created	Definition	ID	Value	Start	End	Duration	Calculation complete
2024-07-23 14:22:56	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003795	Awaiting CMD Director Approval	2024-07-23 14:22:52			false
2024-07-23 10:28:31	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003795	Draft	2024-07-23 10:28:30	2024-07-23 11:24:32	56 Minutes	true

Created	Definition	ID	Value	Start	End	Duration	Calculation complete
2024-07-23 11:24:35	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003795	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval	2024-07-23 11:24:32	2024-07-23 14:22:52	2 Hours 58 Minutes	true
2024-07-23 10:28:31	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003795	Dept. Head approval	2024-07-23 10:28:30	2024-07-23 10:28:30	0 Seconds	true
2024-07-23 10:28:20	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003795	Draft	2024-07-23 10:28:18	2024-07-23 10:28:30	12 Seconds	true
2024-07-23 11:24:35	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003795	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval	2024-07-23 11:24:32	2024-07-23 14:22:52	2 Hours 58 Minutes	true
2024-07-23 10:28:31	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003795	Dept. Head approval	2024-07-23 10:28:30	2024-07-23 10:28:30	0 Seconds	true
2024-07-23 10:28:20	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003795	Draft	2024-07-23 10:28:18	2024-07-23 10:28:30	12 Seconds	true
2024-07-23 10:28:31	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003795	Draft	2024-07-23 10:28:30	2024-07-23 11:24:32	56 Minutes	true
2024-07-23 14:22:56	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003795	Awaiting CMD Director Approval	2024-07-23 14:22:52			false

From: [CCSF IT Service Desk](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: CMD12B0003793 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (DPH) Department Head (Michelle Ruggels)
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 9:39:23 AM
Attachments: [image](#)



Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0003793 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (DPH) Department Head (Michelle Ruggels).

Summary of Request

Requester: Connie Jozami

Department: DPH

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000011567

Requested total cost: \$12,000.00

Short Description: Dry Ice for SFDPH laboratory use

[Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request](#)

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org

Thank you.

Ref:TIS5179226_y8mZQYAiN8CKhvwk50r

Report Title: CMD 12B Waiver Details
Run Date and Time: 2024-07-24 10:00:30 Pacific Daylight Time
Run by: ServiceNow Admin
Table name: u_cmd_12b_waiver

CMD 12B Waiver

Number:	CMD12B0003793	Request Status:	Awaiting CMD Director Approval
Requested for:	Connie Jozami	State:	Work in Progress
Department Head/Delegated authority:	Michelle Ruggels	Waiver Type:	12B Waiver
Opened:	2024-07-23 08:05:53	12B Waiver Type:	Standard
		Requesting Department:	DPH
		Requester Phone:	
		Awaiting Info from:	
		Awaiting Info reason:	
		Opened by:	Connie Jozami
		Watch list:	

Short Description:

Dry Ice for SFDPH laboratory use

Supplier ID:	0000011567	Requested Amount:	\$4,000.00
Is this a new waiver or are you modifying a previously approved waiver?:	Modification – Prior Waiver Approved in ServiceNow	Increase Amount:	\$8,000.00
Last Approved 12B Waiver Request:	CMD12B0002710	Previously Approved Amount:	\$4,000.00
		Total Requested Amount:	\$12,000.00
Document Type:	Purchase Order	Enter Contract ID:	
12B Waiver Justification:	12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)	Enter Requisition ID:	
City Treasurer:	Jose Cisneros	Enter Purchase Order ID:	0000843509
Admin Code Chapter:	Chapter 21 Goods and Services	Enter Direct Voucher ID:	
Select Chapter 21.04 Section:		Waiver Start Date:	2024-07-23
Confirm Dept. has documented this agreement as a Sole Source:		Waiver End Date:	2026-06-30

Advertising:	false
Commodities, Equipment and Hardware :	true
Equipment and Vehicle Lease:	false
On Premise Software and Support:	false
Online Content, Reports, Periodicals and Journals:	false
Professional and General Services:	false
Software as a Service (SaaS) and Cloud Software Applications:	false
Vehicles and Trailers:	false

Detail the purpose of this contract is and what goods and/or services the contra:

- (a) San Francisco Ice Company
- (b) To purchase dry ice on an as-need basis for SFDPH Public Health Microbiology Laboratory. Dry ice is used for preservation purposes of specimens and to ensure viability. The laboratory also ships frozen patient culture samples for testing
- (c) No other vendor is local that can provide delivery of small quantities of dry ice for our use in testing and/or preservation.

If you have made an effort to have the supplier comply, explain it here. If not,:

San Francisco Ice Company is pending compliance. Until they can be found compliant or unable to comply, SFDPH is seeking a waiver in the interim to continue purchasing as needed dry ice for continued services.

Cancel Notes:

CMD Analyst

CMD Analyst:	Domenic Viterbo-Martinez	CMD Director:	Stephanie Tang
CMD Analyst Decision:	Reviewed and Approved	Select the reason for this request:	12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)
CMD Analyst Comments:	No compliant source for purchase and delivery of small quantities of dry ice on an as-needed basis.		

CMD Director

CMD Director:	Stephanie Tang	CMD Director Decision:
---------------	----------------	------------------------

Reason for Determination:

12B.5-1(a)(1) (Non Property Contracts)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:
Sole Source – Non Property Contract Justification Reason:
Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?:
Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

Explain why this is a Sole Source:

12B.5-1(a)(1) (Property Contracts)

City Property Status:
Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?:
Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question1:

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question2:

12B.5-1(a)(1)(Property Contracts)

Sole Source – Property Contract
Justification Reason:

12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency)

12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency) Question2:

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation)

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question1 :

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question2:

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Non Property)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Public Entity Sole Source – Non
Property Contract Justification
Reason:

Has DPH Commission qualified this
agreement as a Sole Source under
Chpt 21.42?:

Has MTA qualified this agreement as
a Sole Source under Charter Sec.
8A.102(b)?:

Explain why this is a Sole Source (Public Entity):

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Property)

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity SS-PC) Question1:

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity - Substantial)

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-SPI)
Question1:

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms)

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question1:

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question2:

12B.5-1(e) Investments and Services

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question1:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question2:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question3:

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk Water, Power and

Bulk Water: false

Bulk Power: false

Bulk Gas: false

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG)
Question2:

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question1:

SFDPH Public Health Laboratory uses dry ice preservation of specimens and to ensure viability including shipping patient samples for testing.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question2:

San Francisco Ice Company is pending compliance. Until they can be found compliant or unable to comply, SFDPH is seeking a waiver in the interim to continue purchasing as needed dry ice for continued laboratory use.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question3:

San Francisco Ice Company is the only local supplier that can provide delivery of small quantities of dry ice for our use in testing and/or preservation.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question4:

San Francisco Ice Company is a local supplier that has provided dry ice to serve SFDPH needs. They have submitted for compliance but in the interim, SFDPH is seeking to continue purchases or maintain laboratory testing services and preservation.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question5:

Not Applicable

12B.5-1(d)(1)(No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question2 :

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question4:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Has MTA qualified agreement as Bulk Purchasing under Charter Sec.

8A.102(b)?:

Detail the nature of this Bulk Purchasing transaction:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question2:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question4:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question5:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question6:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity)

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question2:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question4:

Activities

Additional comments:

Related List Title: Approval List
Table name: sysapproval_approver
Query Condition: Approval for = CMD12B0003793
Sort Order: Order in ascending order

1 Approvals

State	Approver	Approving	Created	Approval set	Comments
Approved	Michelle Ruggels	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003793	2024-07-23 08:20:15		

Related List Title: Metric List
Table name: metric_instance
Query Condition: Table = u_cmd_12b_waiver AND ID = 6b30954e1b6bc610a835a687624bcb7c
Sort Order: None

10 Metrics

Created	Definition	ID	Value	Start	End	Duration	Calculation complete
2024-07-23 09:38:45	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003793	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval	2024-07-23 09:38:42	2024-07-23 09:49:41	10 Minutes	true
2024-07-23 08:20:20	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003793	Draft	2024-07-23 08:20:15	2024-07-23 09:38:42	1 Hour 18 Minutes	true
2024-07-23 08:20:20	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003793	Dept. Head approval	2024-07-23 08:20:15	2024-07-23 08:20:15	0 Seconds	true
2024-07-23 08:05:55	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003793	Draft	2024-07-23 08:05:53	2024-07-23 08:20:15	14 Minutes	true
2024-07-23 09:49:45	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003793	Awaiting CMD Director Approval	2024-07-23 09:49:41			false
2024-07-23 08:20:20	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003793	Draft	2024-07-23 08:20:15	2024-07-23 09:38:42	1 Hour 18 Minutes	true
2024-07-23 08:20:20	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003793	Dept. Head approval	2024-07-23 08:20:15	2024-07-23 08:20:15	0 Seconds	true
2024-07-23 08:05:55	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003793	Draft	2024-07-23 08:05:53	2024-07-23 08:20:15	14 Minutes	true

Created	Definition	ID	Value	Start	End	Duration	Calculation complete
2024-07-23 09:38:45	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003793	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval	2024-07-23 09:38:42	2024-07-23 09:49:41	10 Minutes	true
2024-07-23 09:49:46	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003793	Awaiting CMD Director Approval	2024-07-23 09:49:41			false

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Deep Concerns About the Amazon Project From A Local Resident
Date: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 8:09:00 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding a project at 900 7th Street.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Christine <christine.lee87@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 8:54 PM
To: CPC.900-7thStreet <CPC.900-7thStreet@sfgov.org>
Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Deep Concerns About the Amazon Project From A Local Resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Planning Commissioners, I am a resident that lives across the street from the former Recology site on 7th Street where Amazon is proposing to build a major shipping

depot. I am deeply concerned about the impacts of this project on the health and safety of our growing community and neighborhood. **Please vote NO on the authorization of this project and consider the following concerns I am bringing to your attention.**

As a native San Franciscan, I implore and urge you to truly think about the negative impacts this project has on our thriving Mission Bay community. Our diverse community at 888 7th Street has many young families, children, elderly, and people of color who are considered low income in San Francisco (our building has BMR units). Our safety, well-being, and livelihood are at stake. How would you feel if you found out Amazon was building their warehouse directly across from your home? From your grandparents and loved ones?

Amazon warehouses will negatively impact our local community in the following areas (among many). And there are countless examples you can easily find online of how Amazon has threatened other residents and neighborhoods. We will also suffer the consequences if Amazon builds their warehouse next door.

- **Pollution & Noise** - 20 freight trucks, 175 delivery vans, and 395 worker cars (x 3 shifts) every day with facilities operating 24 hours a day across the street from homes occupied by families, seniors, young children, and students. We inhale pollution from the Caltrains, and adding the Amazon fleet will dramatically worsen the issue. In addition, allowing Amazon to operate 24/7 will dramatically increase noise levels; our windows aren't soundproof enough to block noises from the trains that run from the early morning to late in the evening. Our daily quality of life will worsen with the increased pollution and noise.

- Examples of pollution created by Amazon warehouses:
<https://www.sierraclub.org/sierra/heavy-price-next-day-delivery>

- **Safety & Traffic** — the amount of traffic will make the area unsafe for pedestrians, bicyclists, seniors, and children. Many locals, community members, schoolchildren, and workers walk around the neighborhood, which won't be safe anymore with the dramatic increase of vehicles in the area. Not to mention, many of the surrounding streets only have stop signs and as a pedestrian, it has already been unsafe at times. My family was involved in a hit-and-run two blocks away and my husband was hit by a vehicle while riding his bike to work a block away. Incidents like these are going to increase tenfold with Amazon in the neighborhood. Moreover, traffic is already horrendous during game days and concerts at Chase and Oracle Park, and adding Amazon's daily fleet will significantly worsen the issues.

- **Examples of how Amazon creates unsafe conditions for local residents and the neighborhood:**

<https://www.bloomberg.com/graphics/2022-amazon-delivery-stations/>

I am proud to call San Francisco my hometown, and I hope that I can continue to live and eventually raise a family here without Amazon's looming presence. Prioritize people over greed. Sincerely, Christine Lee 888 7th Street Resident

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Ineffective MUNI as usual
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 9:12:46 AM

Hello,

Please see below message regarding public transit.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Aaron Goodman <amgodman@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2024 4:50 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Clerk of the Board Alberto Quintanilla <clerk@sfcta.org>
Subject: Ineffective MUNI as usual

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Sundays 17 min to mission bay Caltrains

We pay \$100 per month when trains in Europe come every 2-3 min

What is proper and adequate transit service.

When you want to invigorate and enliven downtown you need a transit system that works for people not for your numbers or managerial issues and technology problems.

Solve it or watch the city decline more

Ag





Sent from my iPhone

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Oceanview Library Only to be Built on 100 Orizaba Avenue Again
Date: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 8:26:56 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding Oceanview Library.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Andie Rice <brownieandie@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2024 3:04 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; andrew.sohn@sfcta.org; Shaub, Margot (LIB) <margot.shaub@sfpl.org>; Lambert, Michael (LIB) <michael.lambert@sfpl.org>; Garcia, Lourdes (DPW) <lourdes.garcia@sfdpw.org>; Pilgrim Community Church <talk2drpierre@aol.com>; Alferez, Santos (MYR) <santos.alferez@sfgov.org>; IT Bookman <fthibodeaux@itbookmancenter.org>; Glenn Rogers <glennmandu@mac.com>
Subject: Re: Oceanview Library Only to be Built on 100 Orizaba Avenue Again

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I would like to let you know that I support everything that Glenn Rogers has said in his email letter to you.

I am elderly & cannot walk very far. I need public transportation to help me access the library. I live in Oceanview on Minerva St near Orizaba. This is approximately 5 blocks *up the hill* from where you are considering putting the new branch library at Brotherhood Way & Orizaba. There is absolutely no public transportation that would be available to me or others in this part of Oceanview that would enable us to access the library.

If the library was to be put on Randolph St there is excellent public transport available (the M Oceanview) all through the Oceanview area. In my case I would only need to walk approximately 2 blocks to access it and I think that is the case for many others with mobility issues.

Public transportation to the Orizaba & Brotherhood Way site comes from Plymouth St & does not serve the Western half of the Oceanview neighborhood at all, but the M Oceanview serves all of Oceanview.

Please consider the older & disabled community when you are making the final decision about where to locate the library. Many of us, in Oceanview, need public transportation to help us access the library!

Thank you for your attention!

Andie Rice
BrownieAndie@yahoo.com

On Friday, July 19, 2024 at 02:15:58 PM PDT, Glenn Rogers <glennmandu@mac.com> wrote:

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Oceanview Library Only to be Built on 100 Orizaba Avenue Again
Date: Friday, July 19, 2024 2:35:00 PM
Attachments: [Oceanview Library Only to be Built on 100 Orizaba Avenue Again.msg](#)

Hello,

Please see attached regarding the Oceanview Library.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

-----Original Message-----

From: Glenn Rogers <glennmandu@mac.com>
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2024 2:16 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Andrew.Sohn@sfcta.org; Shaub, Margot (LIB) <margot.shaub@sfpl.org>; Lambert, Michael (LIB) <michael.lambert@sfpl.org>; Garcia, Lourdes (DPW) <lourdes.garcia@sfdpw.org>; Pilgrim Community Church <talk2drpierre@aol.com>; Alferez, Santos (MYR) <santos.alferez@sfgov.org>; IT Bookman <fthibodeaux@itbookmancenter.org>
Subject: Oceanview Library Only to be Built on 100 Orizaba Avenue Again

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

LIBRARY COMMISSION MEETING ON THE OCEANVIEW LIBRARY

Hello Supervisors,

On July 18, 2024 the Library Commission met and shared important news about the Oceanview Library. In a Rules Committee meeting, on June 18, 2024, Supervisor Safai again requested that the Oceanview Library be built exclusively at the 100 Orizaba Avenue location. This exclusive request for a construction location is highly irregular, especially when the site requested for development is in a Greenbelt, on an earthquake fault, in a historic stream bed, on a narrow street, on the perimeter of the Oceanview district, two blocks away from the M streetcar with a slope and on a busy thoroughfare making the location an “attractive nuisance” whereby children will be unnecessarily in danger.

Numerous Librarian Commissioners commented on their preference for the “mixed use” site of a library located on Randolph Street. At least one Commissioner commented that Supervisor Safai was interfering with the research of an ideal, safe location. Also, Library Commissioners wish to be free to decide the best location for this library free of interference. During the last full Board of Supervisor review of the the Oceanview Library site, Supervisor Safai complained that those against the 100 Orizaba Avenue location wanted this area to be “frozen in time”. It seems Supervisor Safai has a desire to build more than a library here.

Presently, there is \$42.1 million available for the Oceanview Library. In the year 2019, a 20,000 sf. feet library at this location was estimated to cost \$47 million. Today, with inflation, the cost of the library has increased by as much as 75%. This makes the cost of the Oceanview Library today to be between \$65-\$94 million. One way of bringing the cost down is to reduce the size of the library from 20,000 to 10,000 sq. feet. This would still make the size of the Oceanview Library greater than most libraries in San Francisco. Originally, the Oceanview Library was going to be built as a regional library.

It was suggested that the City and the Pilgrim Community Church sign a Memo of Understanding (M.O.U.) to establish an assumption of an agreement. Although the Oceanview Library is not firmly decided to be located at 100 Orizaba Avenue today, it was estimated that should a library be built there, it would take until the year 2035 to be completed. The library built at 100 Orizaba Avenue is likely to be more expensive than being built at the Randolph Street site. One reason for this could be the numerous signal lights required to make this area safe.

Glenn Rogers, RLA
Landscape Architect
LA 3223

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: San Francisco Residents Demand Action To Protect Us
Date: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 8:25:21 AM

Hello,

Please see below message regarding HARM Reduction policies.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Trvlr <reydingo@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2024 11:56 AM
To: Mark Reynolds <reydingo@yahoo.com>
Subject: San Francisco Residents Demand Action To Protect Us

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Stop enabling drug users and dealers to making it easier to travel out of State to San Francisco to suck up our Tax Dollars and good will as an 'alleged sanctuary' city.

'Handing out foil and other smoking equipment, such as straws and glass pipes, has been a part of harm reduction strategies for city-funded nonprofits in San Francisco for a few year'

'DPH said in a statement that "harm reduction is about providing people the healthcare they need to keep them alive and as healthy as possible until they are ready to make a change in their life and enter treatment."

It does not help us Tax Paying Law Abiding Non-Drug Using/Non-Drug Dealing Citizenry who are owed safety in our Streets.

Our Family, after years of coddling drug users who do NOT want treatment or services or shelter will only Vote for a Mayor promising action on crime-drugs in our City.

U.S. Veteran and Liberal

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Sierra Club supports Hayes Promenade initiative
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 2:14:36 PM
Attachments: [Sierra Club supports Hayes Promenade.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached regarding various subjects.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Charles Whitfield <whitfield.cw@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2024 2:06 PM
To: MTABoard <MTABoard@sfmta.com>; Munowitch, Monica (MTA) <Monica.Munowitch@sfmta.com>
Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Sarah Ranney <sarah.ranney@sierraclub.org>; Liana Warren <liana.warren@sierraclub.org>
Subject: Sierra Club supports Hayes Promenade initiative

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please find attached a letter from the Sierra Club supporting the [Hayes Promenade initiative](#).

Charles Whitfield
Executive Committee Chair
Sierra Club SF Group



SIERRA CLUB

SAN FRANCISCO BAY

Serving Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin and San Francisco counties

July 22nd 2024

To: SFMTA Board of Directors
CC: Board of Supervisors
Mayor London Breed

Subject: Sierra Club supports Hayes Promenade initiative

Dear Directors,

The Sierra Club endorses the HayesPromenade.org initiative to pedestrianize the 400 block of Hayes Street. This proposal is an important step towards realizing San Francisco's Climate Action Plan, fostering a greener, safer, and more accessible urban environment. By prioritizing pedestrian spaces, we are not only advancing sustainable urban planning but also encouraging a healthier lifestyle and reducing our carbon footprint. We believe that this project will serve as a beacon of community-focused development, enhancing local ecosystems while promoting economic vitality in the heart of our city.

In addition, we advocate for the removal of the fences at the intersections of Fulton Street and Octavia Street, and Grove Street and Buchanan Street. This action is a small but important step in helping to address the historical injustices faced by the communities in the Western Addition during the urban renewal phases of the 1950s, 60s, and 70s. Fence removal will help connect Hayes Valley with the rest of the Western Addition, and will specifically help connect a pedestrianized Hayes Street with the Buchanan Mall. The Sierra Club supports these changes not only as environmental improvements but as essential steps towards social equity and environmental justice.

Sincerely,

Charles Whitfield
Chair, Executive Committee
Sierra Club San Francisco Group

From: [Bullock, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: 3 Letters Regarding File Nos. 240547 and 240548
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 12:12:28 PM
Attachments: [3 Letters Regarding File Nos. 240547 and 240548.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached for 3 letters regarding **File Nos. 240547 and 240548:**

File No. 240547 - Charter Amendment (First Draft) to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to establish the Commission Streamlining Task Force charged with making recommendations to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors about ways to modify, eliminate, or combine the City's appointive boards and commissions to improve the administration of City government; require the City Attorney to prepare a Charter Amendment to implement the Task Force's recommendations relating to Charter commissions, for consideration by the Board of Supervisors; and authorize the Task Force to introduce an ordinance to effectuate its recommendations relating to appointive boards and commissions codified in the Municipal Code, which ordinance shall go into effect within 90 days unless rejected by a two-thirds vote of the Board of Supervisors; at an election to be held on November 5, 2024.

File No. 240548 - Charter Amendment (First Draft) to amend the Charter and the Municipal Code of the City and County of San Francisco to 1) establish the Commission Streamlining Task Force charged with making recommendations to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors about ways to modify, eliminate, or combine the City's appointive boards and commissions to improve the administration of City government; 2) require the City Attorney to prepare a Charter Amendment to implement the Task Force's recommendations relating to Charter commissions, for consideration by the Board of Supervisors; 3) authorize the Task Force to introduce an ordinance to effectuate its recommendations relating to appointive boards and commissions codified in the Municipal Code, which ordinance shall go into effect within 90 days unless rejected by a two-thirds vote of the Board of Supervisors; 4) remove from the Charter certain commissions that are purely advisory and move them to the Municipal Code; and 5) eliminate the Streets and Sanitation Commission and the Our Children Our Families Council; at an election to be held on November 5, 2024.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: patjarvispat@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Jarvis Carpenter](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Sunday, July 21, 2024 1:00:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Jarvis Carpenter

From: barbarapletz4@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Barbara Pletz](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Sunday, July 21, 2024 7:43:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Barbara Pletz

From: nazneen@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Nazneen Cohen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: In opposition to File #240547, File #240548
Date: Sunday, July 21, 2024 9:28:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,
Nazneen Cohen

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Opposition to Proposed Charter Amendment – Voluntary Deferred Retirement Option Program
Date: Thursday, July 25, 2024 12:04:10 PM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding **File No. 240544**:

Charter Amendment (Second Draft) to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to define the term “Full-Duty Sworn Officer”; modify the criteria for establishing recommended staffing levels for sworn officers; change the requirement for the Chief of Police to submit a staffing report from every two years to every three years; and establish a new voluntary Deferred Retirement Option Program (“DROP”) for the period from July 2025 - July 2030, for eligible members of the Police Department (in the rank of officer, sergeant, or inspector) that allows those members to earn additional deferred compensation in the Retirement System for up to 60 months in exchange for agreeing to perform neighborhood patrol or investigative work; at an election to be held on November 5, 2024.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: alejandro schuler <alejandro.schuler@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 9:28 AM

To: Young, Victor (BOS) <victor.young@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Subject: Opposition to Proposed Charter Amendment – Voluntary Deferred Retirement Option Program

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a parent and long time resident of San Francisco I am opposed to the proposed police retirement ballot measure. I have seen no justification that the program is an effective use of funds relative to providing additional hiring incentives.

I urge you not to lock San Francisco into ineffective, expensive programs that voters have repeatedly rejected.

This proposed ballot measure will allow officers to defer their retirement, earning both their salaries and their pensions. This will result in double paying the most expensive officers.

San Francisco previously had a DROP program in place but in 2011, the Board abandoned the program because it was too expensive and provided little value.

Double paying the most expensive officers masks long-term staffing issues and will exacerbate the City's budget crises.

If the 200 officers who would be eligible participate for all 5 years of the program, the City will pay at least \$150MM overall and \$30MM more than it would for hiring new recruits, not including benefits or overtime that drive the cost up by millions more.

Paying retiring officers twice - including those who retire while under investigation for misconduct - will not solve the city's staffing shortage.

Without implementing evidence based alternatives like diversion programs, civilian led responses to mental health concerns such as CART, or community violence interventions, staffing concerns will continue to plague the Department.

--

schedule a meeting with me: tinycloud.com/3m68x9dn

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 8 Letters Regarding File No. 240544
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 8:27:38 AM
Attachments: [8 Letters Regarding File No. 240544.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 8 letters regarding **File No. 240544:**

Charter Amendment (Second Draft) to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to define the term “Full-Duty Sworn Officer”; modify the criteria for establishing recommended staffing levels for sworn officers; change the requirement for the Chief of Police to submit a staffing report from every two years to every three years; and establish a new voluntary Deferred Retirement Option Program (“DROP”) for the period from July 2025 - July 2030, for eligible members of the Police Department (in the rank of officer, sergeant, or inspector) that allows those members to earn additional deferred compensation in the Retirement System for up to 60 months in exchange for agreeing to perform neighborhood patrol or investigative work; at an election to be held on November 5, 2024.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk’s Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk’s Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: [Nhi Nguyen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Public Comments for the Special Committee Meeting on 7/23/2024
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 12:16:47 AM
Attachments: [BOS Public Comment from CAA Updated 7.23.2024 \(1\).pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Board of Supervisors:

I hope you are all doing well. I am reaching out to provide an updated version of our public comments for tomorrow's special meeting. Thank you for your time and review.

Kind regards,
Nhi

On Mon, Jul 22, 2024 at 7:45 PM Nhi Nguyen <nnguyen@caasf.org> wrote:

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I hope you are all doing well. On behalf of Chinese for Affirmative Action (CAA), I am submitting my public comment for the proposed charter amendment ([file #240544](#)). Please see the attached file for reference. Thank you!

Kind regards,

--



Nhi Nguyen
Chinese for Affirmative Action
Community Safety and Justice Policy Manager
[she/they](#) | (415) 439-0635 | nnguyen@caasf.org
CAA has multiple open positions. [Apply now!](#)

--

Nhi Nguyen
Chinese for Affirmative Action
Community Safety and Justice Policy Manager



[she/they](#) | (415) 439-0635 | nnguyen@caasf.org

CAA has multiple open positions. [Apply now!](#)



July 22, 2024

Dear Board of Supervisors:

Thank you for the opportunity to share feedback on the [proposed charter amendment](#) to revive the Deferred Retirement Option Program (DROP) under SFPD. I write on behalf of Chinese for Affirmative Action (CAA), a community-based civil rights organization which has been working in San Francisco's Chinatown since 1969. CAA was founded upon the groundswell of the civil rights movement, and since then has established itself as a credible and dependable community service provider in San Francisco as well as a resource for education and information on the AAPI community to policymakers at the local, state and national level.

Research shows that increasing the size of a police force is proven to have [“negative, small, and not statistically significant effects” on crime](#). In contrast, we know from [studies](#) that addressing the root causes of crime, through investments in proactive, holistic measures for housing scarcity, employment opportunities, educational attainment, and healthcare insecurity, improves community safety. Based on these and other studies that reveal similar results, we appreciate Supervisor Ronen and Supervisor Walton for voting against the charter amendment in the Rules Committee last week, with the original language having included minimum staffing requirements for SFPD.

However, we are still concerned with the proposed charter amendment to revive the costly DROP program. Between 2008-2011, the [DROP program was active under SFPD](#). The DROP program was advocated as revenue neutral to voters at the time, but the City's Office of the Controller conducted a [fiscal assessment](#) in 2011 and found that the program added \$52 million in retirement costs. This eventually led the Board to sunset the program in 2011.

As Supervisor Ronen noted in last week's meeting, “since 2017 the Board of Supervisors have approved nearly \$200 million in increases to the SFPD budget through [the] annual budget process. Last year alone [the Board] approved \$60 million increase to the SFPD budget and a \$25 million budget supplemental to pay for overtime costs.” During a time where many community organizations are facing massive cuts, this program would take away crucial fundings that support direct services to San Francisco residents.

For all the reasons above, CAA urges the Board of Supervisors to **vote no** on this charter amendment at the special committee meeting this Tuesday, July 23, 2024.

Kind regards,

Nhi Nguyen (she/her)
Community Safety and Justice Policy Manager
Chinese for Affirmative Action

17 WALTER U. LUM PLACE | SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108
T 415.274.6750 | F 415.397.0070 | INFO@CAASF.ORG | CAASF.ORG

From: [Nhi Nguyen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Public Comments for the Special Committee Meeting on 7/23/2024
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 7:49:43 PM
Attachments: [BOS Public Comment from CAA 7.23.2024.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I hope you are all doing well. On behalf of Chinese for Affirmative Action (CAA), I am submitting my public comment for the proposed charter amendment ([file #240544](#)). Please see the attached file for reference. Thank you!

Kind regards,

--



Nhi Nguyen

Chinese for Affirmative Action

Community Safety and Justice Policy Manager

[she/they](#) | (415) 439-0635 | nnguyen@caasf.org

CAA has multiple open positions. [Apply now!](#)

From: [Allegra Mautner](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Opposition to Proposed Charter Amendment – Voluntary Deferred Retirement Option Program
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 10:20:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a parent and long time resident of San Francisco I am opposed to the proposed police retirement ballot measure.

I urge you not to lock San Francisco into ineffective, expensive programs that voters have repeatedly rejected.

This proposed ballot measure will allow officers to defer their retirement, earning both their salaries and their pensions. This will result in double paying the most expensive officers.

San Francisco previously had a DROP program in place but in 2011, the Board abandoned the program because it was too expensive and provided little value.

Paying retirees double will all but guarantee that the City will pay millions of dollars in the long-term that can lead to a city budget crisis.

Double paying the most expensive officers masks long-term staffing issues and will exacerbate the City's budget crises.

If the 200 officers who would be eligible participate for all 5 years of the program, the City will pay at least \$150MM overall and \$30MM more than it would for hiring new recruits, not including benefits or overtime that drive the cost up by millions more.

Paying retiring officers twice - including those who retire while under investigation for misconduct - will not solve the city's staffing shortage.

Without implementing evidence based alternatives like diversion programs, civilian led responses to mental health concerns such as CART, or community violence interventions, staffing concerns will continue to plague the Department.

Allegra Mautner (she/her)
Cell: (858)361-6606

From: [Allegra Mautner](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Opposition to Proposed Charter Amendment – Voluntary Deferred Retirement Option Program
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 10:28:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I forgot to add my district and address

District 9
360 Coleridge St
San Francisco CA

Allegra Mautner (she/her)
Cell: (858)361-6606

On Mon, Jul 22, 2024, 10:20 PM Allegra Mautner <allegra.mautner@gmail.com> wrote:

As a parent and long time resident of San Francisco I am opposed to the proposed police retirement ballot measure.

I urge you not to lock San Francisco into ineffective, expensive programs that voters have repeatedly rejected.

This proposed ballot measure will allow officers to defer their retirement, earning both their salaries and their pensions. This will result in double paying the most expensive officers.

San Francisco previously had a DROP program in place but in 2011, the Board abandoned the program because it was too expensive and provided little value.

Paying retirees double will all but guarantee that the City will pay millions of dollars in the long-term that can lead to a city budget crisis.

Double paying the most expensive officers masks long-term staffing issues and will exacerbate the City's budget crises.

If the 200 officers who would be eligible participate for all 5 years of the program, the City will pay at least \$150MM overall and \$30MM more than it would for hiring new recruits, not including benefits or overtime that drive the cost up by millions more.

Paying retiring officers twice - including those who retire while under investigation for misconduct - will not solve the city's staffing shortage.

Without implementing evidence based alternatives like diversion programs, civilian led responses to mental health concerns such as CART, or community violence interventions, staffing concerns will continue to plague the Department.

Allegra Mautner (she/her)
Cell: (858)361-6606

From: [Craig Mautner](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: As a parent and long time resident of San Francisco I am opposed to the proposed police retirement ballot measure. I urge you not to lock San Francisco into ineffective, expensive programs that voters have repeatedly rejected. This proposed ballot meas...
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 12:11:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Craig Mautner
858-361-2683
36 Cortland Ave
San Francisco, CA 94110



July 22, 2024

Dear Board of Supervisors:

Thank you for the opportunity to share feedback on the [proposed charter amendment](#) to revive the DROP program under SFPD. I write on behalf of Chinese for Affirmative Action (CAA), a community-based civil rights organization which has been working in San Francisco's Chinatown since 1969. CAA was founded upon the groundswell of the civil rights movement, and since then has established itself as a credible and dependable community service provider in San Francisco as well as a resource for education and information on the AAPI community to policymakers at the local, state and national level.

We appreciate Supervisor Ronen and Supervisor Shamann for voting against the charter amendment in the Rules Committee last week, with the original language having included minimum staffing requirements for SFPD. Research shows that increasing the size of a police force is shown to have ["negative, small, and not statistically significant effects" on crime](#). In contrast, we know from [studies](#) that addressing the root causes of crime, through investments in proactive, holistic measures for housing scarcity, employment opportunities, educational attainment, and healthcare insecurity, improves community safety.

While we appreciate the amendment to remove minimum police staffing, we are still concerned with the proposed charter amendment to revive the costly DROP program. Between 2008-2011, the [DROP program was active under SFPD](#). The DROP program was advocated as revenue neutral to voters at the time, but the City's Office of the Controller conducted a [fiscal assessment](#) in 2011 and found that the program added \$52 million in retirement costs. This eventually led the Board to sunset the program in 2011.

As Supervisor Ronen had noted in last week's meeting, "since 2017 the Board of Supervisors have approved nearly \$200 million in increases to SFPD budget through [the] annual budget process. Last year alone [the Board] approved \$60 million increase to the SFPD budget and a \$25 million budget supplemental to pay for overtime costs." During a time where many community organizations are facing massive cuts, this program would take away crucial fundings that support direct services to San Francisco residents.

For all the reasons above, I urge the Board of Supervisors to **vote no** on this charter amendment at the special committee meeting this Tuesday, July 23, 2024.

Kind regards,

Nhi Nguyen (she/her)
Community Safety and Justice Policy Manager
Chinese for Affirmative Action

17 WALTER U. LUM PLACE | SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108
T 415.274.6750 | F 415.397.0070 | INFO@CAASF.ORG | CAASF.ORG

From: [Regina Islas](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Opposing the Proposed Charter Amendment on the Voluntary Deferred Retirement Option ProgramFile # 240775
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 4:22:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable Supervisors,

For many reasons, though I think this is enough:

I. San Francisco voters have repeatedly rejected minimum staffing levels:

In 1994, San Francisco voters set the minimum number of police officers at 1,971.

Recognizing this approach was unsuccessful in part because setting a minimum staffing level has little to do with how many officers apply to the department, *voters abandoned the concept in 2020* in favor of a periodic review to set the target number

of officers—currently 2,074, a reduction of more than 100 officers from SFPD’s 2021 assessment.

In March, *voters soundly rejected again*, an attempt to return to a locked-in minimum number of officers.

Voters have decided this issue for a third time in four years. *Hear us yet again, and stop this waste of time, and resources. Stop.*

Onward together,

Regina S Islas

[she/her]

regina.islas@gmail.com

650.484.7706

there is a force of love moving through the universe and all shall be well
~ helen of norwich

From: [Laura Aron](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: file # 240775, public comment for 7/23/24
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 4:22:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a resident of San Francisco, I expect our electeds to be fiscal guardians of our city's resources, supporting necessary programs and eliminating chaff. I do not support the proposed Voluntary Deferred Retirement Option Program for police officers, as it has been shown that it did not work well in the past. It is also very expensive. I understand that "the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System found that the program could add as much as \$19 million in unfunded pension costs in its first year alone." (source: SF Chronicle)

Further, we just voted down set staffing levels on the ballot in March. Why drudge this issue back up again? It is demeaning to voters. I do not support minimum staffing levels for police officers.

Please look to experts and other cities' experiences for promising practices to improve police officer recruitment and retention.

Thank you, Laura Aron

From: [Connie Jeung-Mills](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: public comment re 7/23 Board meeting: # 240775 [Proposed Charter Amendment on Police Staffing Levels and Voluntary Deferred Retirement Option Program]
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 5:50:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am a resident of District 8 and I would like to provide a public comment for # 240775 on the 7/23 Board meeting agenda regarding the Proposed Charter Amendment (File No. 240544).

I strongly disagree with Supervisor Dorsey's proposed ballot measure. I join the majority of San Francisco voters who have repeatedly rejected minimum staffing levels, and double paying the most expensive officers will only increase our city's budget crisis. Police recruitment issues are systemic throughout the Bay Area and the solution calls for different strategies than offering voters another shortsighted, short-term plan. I urge you to vote no on this legislation. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Connie Jeung-Mills

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Homeless crackdown - Potrero RVs/encampment
Date: Thursday, July 25, 2024 10:20:00 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding homelessness.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ian Lavallee <ianlavallee2@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 18, 2024 6:17 PM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Homeless crackdown - Potrero RVs/encampment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

I recently saw the article discussing a planned homeless camp crackdown in August - <https://sfstandard.com/2024/07/18/san-francisco-homeless-encampment-crackdown/>

I wanted to share my support for this measure and suggest an area of interest. I live at Kansas and 16th street and have been experiencing increasing levels of negative homeless interactions. While I sympathize with their struggles and wish for their recovery, this situation is starting to become threatening for my girlfriend.

It has gotten to the point she is unable to walk from our apartment to the Fitness SF Soma gym or anywhere in the area near our apartment and the design district. She has been followed home multiple times, spit towards, called obscenities and been threatened multiple times. In addition to this we are unable to sleep with our window open at night due to one specific individual who screams "f***** moron" at the top of her lungs for 30-60 seconds while walking by kansas st multiple times a day and night. I have also seen this individual charge at people near the whole foods loading dock.

I have discussed these issues with friends and considered calling the homeless hotline and the police but everyone in the city says if the homeless person is not still there then there is no point, and even if they are; nothing will get done as the DA will not prosecute unless someone is **severely** physically harmed.

Given these concerns **I would like to suggest the following areas to be focused on in this initiative:**

- The blocks from Vermont & 17th to San Bruno & Division - here is where most of the homeless are walking to including the one that screams and threatens people at all hours of the day (I've seen her near an RV when walking to the gym). It is also where a large amount of run-down dangerous RVs are parked (specifically under the underpass)
- The blocks from Jackson Park to Vermont & Division - this area has some amount of issues, it is typically where people follow my girlfriend home and has a few vehicles or groups that pull up after dark
- I am sure the above 2 large areas are not the only issues and hope that all of Potrero, Soma, and the design district are included in these plans as every resident of SF deserves a safe comfortable place to live.

I hope this campaign is effective in both cleaning up the streets and helping the homeless recover.

Thanks,
Ian

P.S. What do you recommend I can do about the screaming individual? Like I said she walks by on her way to the other areas so she is only in the area for 30 seconds and I don't know exactly where she goes.

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: 1755 Alameda Street 7.23.2024 sidewalk fornication
Date: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 8:23:54 AM

Hello,

Please see below message regarding homelessness.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Larry Wasserman <larry.wasserman@att.net>
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 3:14 PM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: 1755 Alameda Street 7.23.2024 sidewalk fornication

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Breed and Board of Supervisors:

Earlier today, my staff and I witnessed this disturbing event on the sidewalk outside of our business. Two individuals - a man and a woman- were lying on the sidewalk with their belongings, blocking passage. They refused to leave. Upon further observation, we realized they were fornicating.

What makes this particularly disturbing is that the woman appeared to be borderline non-verbal, in distress and clearly not able to take care of herself. For our City to give tacit approval to this type of activity in the name of protecting individual rights is criminal. This woman needs protection - not be left to fend for herself on the streets.

It can be safely assumed the the sexual perpetrator was also living on the streets - likely here illegally - riding a very expensive bicycle that is likely stolen and smoking up whatever is his drug of choice as his post-coital pleasure.

SFPD responded to our 911 call but by the time the officer arrived, the male had fled and the female was departing - trying to break into an RV that has been parked illegally on our block for months as she made her way down the block.

Please do not respond to this email with helpful suggestions as to who we may call if this happens again. This is our daily reality. Bottom line is that it should not be happening in the first place. The policies of this City protect this very behavior. It is wrong and shameful. We are all paying the price for the City's lenient policies. We are doing no favors to anyone - especially the vulnerable individuals on the streets.

Did I mention that we were also left to clean up the pile of drug paraphernalia and unsanitary belongings they left behind? Thank you.

PLEASE GIVE A LITTLE ATTENTION TO THOSE OF US TRYING TO MAKE A LIFE FOR OURSELVES AND MAKE A POSITIVE CONTRIBUTION TO THE CITY!!!

Thank you.

Celia

Celia Shuman
Building Manager
SHOWPLACE EAST
111 Rhode Island Street, Suite 4B
San Francisco, CA 94103
(415) 474-1700
<http://www.showplaceeast.com>





Sent from my iPhone

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 3 Letters Regarding Fulton Street
Date: Thursday, July 25, 2024 12:16:15 PM
Attachments: [3 Letters Regarding Fulton Street.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 3 letters regarding pedestrian safety at the intersection of Fulton Street and Arguello Boulevard.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Aaron Baucom](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Friday, July 19, 2024 11:40:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements. Lastly, please expedite the protected bike lanes project on Arguello Boulevard from Fulton to the Presidio.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both

transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Aaron Baucom
aaronbaucom@gmail.com
1434 28th Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [kearstin dischinger](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 2:39:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

Hi! I live out here. I cross Fulton 4 to 8 times a day to bring my kids to school. Usually on a bicycle. It is terrifying even at controlled intersections.

Many of my neighbors cruise at 40 mph down that street. If they struck me I'd be seriously injured, my kids would probably die.

We need road treatments that shift the culture of Fulton to responsible driving. Speeding cameras aren't enough!

Also, please help us bikers, we safely ride 23rd and when we get to Fulton the only rationale choice is to ride on the sidewalk to a controlled intersection! Please complete the route and provide an 8 to 80 crossing at 23rd Ave!!

kearstin dischinger
kearstin.marie@gmail.com
454 17th Avenue
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Marta Lindsey](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe - I live off this street and it's far too dangerous.
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 7:34:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

This fall, my daughter will start walking to middle school at Roosevelt. But I'm scared, because she will have to cross Fulton to get there.

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements. Lastly, please expedite the protected bike lanes project on Arguello Boulevard from Fulton to the Presidio.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city’s climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Marta Lindsey
marta.lindsey@gmail.com
43 Clayton Street
San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 5 Letters Regarding West Portal Avenue
Date: Thursday, July 25, 2024 12:18:07 PM
Attachments: [5 Letters Regarding West Portal Avenue.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached for 5 letters regarding the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) West Portal Station Safety and Community Space Improvements Project at West Portal Avenue and Ulloa Street.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal*

information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: [Donald Campbell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: SFMTA Board: Hit PAUSE on West Portal and REJECT Staff Proposals on July 16th.
Date: Friday, July 19, 2024 8:14:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to SFMTA, Mayor, and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Donald Campbell
Email dcampbellrf@icloud.com
I live in District

SFMTA Board: Hit PAUSE on West Portal and REJECT Staff Proposals on July 16th.

Message: SFMTA Board Chair Eaken, Vice Chair Cajina, and Directors Heminger, Henderson, Hinze, and Tarlov, Mayor Breed and Board of Supervisors,

I would welcome safety improvements in West Portal, but that is not what has been proposed by SFMTA. All of the proposals increase “conflict” and decrease safety on adjacent streets and intersections and put small businesses at risk (an ongoing problem with SFMTA). I urge you to pause to learn more, measure accurately, and then implement in fiscally responsible phases in West Portal. There is no evidence that any of the options presented thus far will improve safety or would have prevented the tragedy that occurred.

I urge the SFMTA Board to REJECT the upcoming SFMTA staff proposal for West Portal. A full assessment of all corridor safety issues and alternative options is required after Fall traffic patterns have stabilized with the return of L Taraval LRV service and school year resuming.

My concerns are significant:

1. SFMTA's "high-conflict" verbiage does not mean high-risk.
2. All options over-burden adjacent residential streets, create a problematic one-way street on

Lenox (which has universal resident opposition), and increase congestion in intersections that already have a higher volume of traffic and accidents (per SFMTA):

- o There are 10x the number of traffic accidents at Vicente/WP versus Ulloa/WP
- o Predicts a 50-75% traffic increase on Wawona – a residential street

3. Shifting buses to streets may have once mitigated operational concerns, but it also DECREASED SAFETY and INCREASED “conflict” by requiring transferring MUNI riders to cross multiple intersections.

4. A bike share station in the tunnel area also increases “conflict.”

5. It is fiscally irresponsible to implement a project in an intersection not included on the prioritized High Injury Network when the SFMTA is currently facing a \$12.7M deficit, and looking at a \$240M deficit by 2026. The Valencia Street bike lanes originally cost \$1.5M for eight blocks (more than 10% of the current deficit), and having to re-do that work will cost significantly more. Let’s not make the same mistake in WP.

There are opportunities to make the area around West Portal Station less confusing. The scope of options so far is extreme, disruptive, potentially less safe, and more chaotic as it will overburden adjacent residential streets, where children play. SFMTA also proposes adding a commercial bike share station to the Tunnel entrance and is resistant to less dangerous nearby locations.

There are many things that can be done to make West Portal safer and easier to navigate. The community has repeatedly asked for safety-related improvements before, but those requests have been ignored by SFMTA Staff.

I ask the SFMTA Board to require a holistic approach predicated on valid data compiled after a comprehensive Fall traffic study and consideration of community and expert input. All modes of transportation, the needs of our merchants who are dependent on automotive transit, and the needs of 23% of the West Portal population who are seniors must be considered.

I appreciate the work the West Portal Welcome Committee has done representing the neighborhood

and merchant corridor. Predicating their discussion on the original ill-conceived, confusing and potentially dangerous traffic reconfiguration option that is solely focused on the West Portal/Ulloa intersection does not take into consideration the impact, unintended consequences and more urgent vulnerabilities along the rest of the corridor and surrounding streets.

For these reasons, I urge you to VOTE NO on July 16th and reject the SFMTA staff proposal for West Portal until more comprehensive information can be compiled.

Thank you.

From: [Eileen McCarthy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: SFMTA Board: Hit PAUSE on West Portal and REJECT Staff Proposals on July 16th.
Date: Friday, July 19, 2024 9:54:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to SFMTA, Mayor, and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Eileen McCarthy
Email emccarthysf@gmail.com
I live in District

SFMTA Board: Hit PAUSE on West Portal and REJECT Staff Proposals on July 16th.

Message: SFMTA Board Chair Eaken, Vice Chair Cajina, and Directors Heminger, Henderson, Hinze, and Tarlov, Mayor Breed and Board of Supervisors,

I would welcome safety improvements in West Portal, but that is not what has been proposed by SFMTA. All of the proposals increase “conflict” and decrease safety on adjacent streets and intersections and put small businesses at risk (an ongoing problem with SFMTA). I urge you to pause to learn more, measure accurately, and then implement in fiscally responsible phases in West Portal. There is no evidence that any of the options presented thus far will improve safety or would have prevented the tragedy that occurred.

I urge the SFMTA Board to REJECT the upcoming SFMTA staff proposal for West Portal. A full assessment of all corridor safety issues and alternative options is required after Fall traffic patterns have stabilized with the return of L Taraval LRV service and school year resuming.

My concerns are significant:

1. SFMTA's "high-conflict" verbiage does not mean high-risk.
2. All options over-burden adjacent residential streets, create a problematic one-way street on

Lenox (which has universal resident opposition), and increase congestion in intersections that already have a higher volume of traffic and accidents (per SFMTA):

- o There are 10x the number of traffic accidents at Vicente/WP versus Ulloa/WP
- o Predicts a 50-75% traffic increase on Wawona – a residential street

3. Shifting buses to streets may have once mitigated operational concerns, but it also DECREASED SAFETY and INCREASED “conflict” by requiring transferring MUNI riders to cross multiple intersections.

4. A bike share station in the tunnel area also increases “conflict.”

5. It is fiscally irresponsible to implement a project in an intersection not included on the prioritized High Injury Network when the SFMTA is currently facing a \$12.7M deficit, and looking at a \$240M deficit by 2026. The Valencia Street bike lanes originally cost \$1.5M for eight blocks (more than 10% of the current deficit), and having to re-do that work will cost significantly more. Let’s not make the same mistake in WP.

There are opportunities to make the area around West Portal Station less confusing. The scope of options so far is extreme, disruptive, potentially less safe, and more chaotic as it will overburden adjacent residential streets, where children play. SFMTA also proposes adding a commercial bike share station to the Tunnel entrance and is resistant to less dangerous nearby locations.

There are many things that can be done to make West Portal safer and easier to navigate. The community has repeatedly asked for safety-related improvements before, but those requests have been ignored by SFMTA Staff.

I ask the SFMTA Board to require a holistic approach predicated on valid data compiled after a comprehensive Fall traffic study and consideration of community and expert input. All modes of transportation, the needs of our merchants who are dependent on automotive transit, and the needs of 23% of the West Portal population who are seniors must be considered.

I appreciate the work the West Portal Welcome Committee has done representing the neighborhood

and merchant corridor. Predicating their discussion on the original ill-conceived, confusing and potentially dangerous traffic reconfiguration option that is solely focused on the West Portal/Ulloa intersection does not take into consideration the impact, unintended consequences and more urgent vulnerabilities along the rest of the corridor and surrounding streets.

For these reasons, I urge you to VOTE NO on July 16th and reject the SFMTA staff proposal for West Portal until more comprehensive information can be compiled.

Thank you.

From: [Elizabeth Gustavson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: SFMTA Board: Hit PAUSE on West Portal and REJECT Staff Proposals on July 16th.
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 2:38:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to SFMTA, Mayor, and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Elizabeth Gustavson

Email egustavson@me.com

I live in District

SFMTA Board: Hit PAUSE on West Portal and REJECT Staff Proposals on July 16th.

Message:

SFMTA Board Chair Eaken, Vice Chair Cajina, and Directors Heminger, Henderson, Hinze, and Tarlov, Mayor Breed and Board of Supervisors,

I would welcome safety improvements in West Portal, but that is not what has been proposed by SFMTA. All of the proposals increase “conflict” and decrease safety on adjacent streets and intersections and put small businesses at risk (an ongoing problem with SFMTA). I urge you to pause to learn more, measure accurately, and then implement in fiscally responsible phases in West Portal. There is no evidence that any of the options presented thus far will improve safety or would have prevented the tragedy that occurred.

I urge the SFMTA Board to REJECT the upcoming SFMTA staff proposal for West Portal. A full assessment of all corridor safety issues and alternative options is required after Fall traffic patterns have stabilized with the return of L Taraval LRV service and school year resuming.

My concerns are significant:

1. SFMTA's "high-conflict" verbiage does not mean high-risk.
2. All options over-burden adjacent residential streets, create a problematic one-way street on

Lenox (which has universal resident opposition), and increase congestion in intersections that already have a higher volume of traffic and accidents (per SFMTA):

- o There are 10x the number of traffic accidents at Vicente/WP versus Ulloa/WP
- o Predicts a 50-75% traffic increase on Wawona – a residential street

3. Shifting buses to streets may have once mitigated operational concerns, but it also DECREASED SAFETY and INCREASED “conflict” by requiring transferring MUNI riders to cross multiple intersections.

4. A bike share station in the tunnel area also increases “conflict.”

5. It is fiscally irresponsible to implement a project in an intersection not included on the prioritized High Injury Network when the SFMTA is currently facing a \$12.7M deficit, and looking at a \$240M deficit by 2026. The Valencia Street bike lanes originally cost \$1.5M for eight blocks (more than 10% of the current deficit), and having to re-do that work will cost significantly more. Let’s not make the same mistake in WP.

There are opportunities to make the area around West Portal Station less confusing. The scope of options so far is extreme, disruptive, potentially less safe, and more chaotic as it will overburden adjacent residential streets, where children play. SFMTA also proposes adding a commercial bike share station to the Tunnel entrance and is resistant to less dangerous nearby locations.

There are many things that can be done to make West Portal safer and easier to navigate. The community has repeatedly asked for safety-related improvements before, but those requests have been ignored by SFMTA Staff.

I ask the SFMTA Board to require a holistic approach predicated on valid data compiled after a comprehensive Fall traffic study and consideration of community and expert input. All modes of transportation, the needs of our merchants who are dependent on automotive transit, and the needs of 23% of the West Portal population who are seniors must be considered.

I appreciate the work the West Portal Welcome Committee has done representing the neighborhood

and merchant corridor. Predicating their discussion on the original ill-conceived, confusing and potentially dangerous traffic reconfiguration option that is solely focused on the West Portal/Ulloa intersection does not take into consideration the impact, unintended consequences and more urgent vulnerabilities along the rest of the corridor and surrounding streets.

For these reasons, I urge you to VOTE NO on July 16th and reject the SFMTA staff proposal for West Portal until more comprehensive information can be compiled.

Thank you.

From: [Cornell Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting
Date: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 1:37:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Cornell Lee
Email corny1215@gmail.com
I live in District

I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting

Message: Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

From: [Tom Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: SFMTA Board: Hit PAUSE on West Portal and REJECT Staff Proposals on July 16th.
Date: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 9:08:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to SFMTA, Mayor, and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Tom Lee
Email thl001@gmail.com
I live in District

SFMTA Board: Hit PAUSE on West Portal and REJECT Staff Proposals on July 16th.

Message: SFMTA Board Chair Eaken, Vice Chair Cajina, and Directors Heminger, Henderson, Hinze, and Tarlov, Mayor Breed and Board of Supervisors,

I would welcome safety improvements in West Portal, but that is not what has been proposed by SFMTA. All of the proposals increase “conflict” and decrease safety on adjacent streets and intersections and put small businesses at risk (an ongoing problem with SFMTA). I urge you to pause to learn more, measure accurately, and then implement in fiscally responsible phases in West Portal. There is no evidence that any of the options presented thus far will improve safety or would have prevented the tragedy that occurred.

I urge the SFMTA Board to REJECT the upcoming SFMTA staff proposal for West Portal. A full assessment of all corridor safety issues and alternative options is required after Fall traffic patterns have stabilized with the return of L Taraval LRV service and school year resuming.

My concerns are significant:

1. SFMTA's "high-conflict" verbiage does not mean high-risk.
2. All options over-burden adjacent residential streets, create a problematic one-way street on

Lenox (which has universal resident opposition), and increase congestion in intersections that already have a higher volume of traffic and accidents (per SFMTA):

- o There are 10x the number of traffic accidents at Vicente/WP versus Ulloa/WP
- o Predicts a 50-75% traffic increase on Wawona – a residential street

3. Shifting buses to streets may have once mitigated operational concerns, but it also DECREASED SAFETY and INCREASED “conflict” by requiring transferring MUNI riders to cross multiple intersections.

4. A bike share station in the tunnel area also increases “conflict.”

5. It is fiscally irresponsible to implement a project in an intersection not included on the prioritized High Injury Network when the SFMTA is currently facing a \$12.7M deficit, and looking at a \$240M deficit by 2026. The Valencia Street bike lanes originally cost \$1.5M for eight blocks (more than 10% of the current deficit), and having to re-do that work will cost significantly more. Let’s not make the same mistake in WP.

There are opportunities to make the area around West Portal Station less confusing. The scope of options so far is extreme, disruptive, potentially less safe, and more chaotic as it will overburden adjacent residential streets, where children play. SFMTA also proposes adding a commercial bike share station to the Tunnel entrance and is resistant to less dangerous nearby locations.

There are many things that can be done to make West Portal safer and easier to navigate. The community has repeatedly asked for safety-related improvements before, but those requests have been ignored by SFMTA Staff.

I ask the SFMTA Board to require a holistic approach predicated on valid data compiled after a comprehensive Fall traffic study and consideration of community and expert input. All modes of transportation, the needs of our merchants who are dependent on automotive transit, and the needs of 23% of the West Portal population who are seniors must be considered.

I appreciate the work the West Portal Welcome Committee has done representing the neighborhood

and merchant corridor. Predicating their discussion on the original ill-conceived, confusing and potentially dangerous traffic reconfiguration option that is solely focused on the West Portal/Ulloa intersection does not take into consideration the impact, unintended consequences and more urgent vulnerabilities along the rest of the corridor and surrounding streets.

For these reasons, I urge you to VOTE NO on July 16th and reject the SFMTA staff proposal for West Portal until more comprehensive information can be compiled.

Thank you.

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 6 Letters Regarding Windows
Date: Thursday, July 25, 2024 12:19:37 PM
Attachments: [6 Letters Regarding Windows.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 6 Letter Regarding Window Replacement Standards.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation*

or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: [Michelle Sparrow](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Reform SF Window Replacement Standards to Improve Health, Climate, Comfort, and Lower Cost
Date: Thursday, July 18, 2024 5:02:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors ,

I'm writing to urge you to reform San Francisco's Window Replacement Standards. This is long overdue.

My home was built in 1890 and is incredibly energy-inefficient. I pay exorbitant costs to heat my home nine months a year, and I know that most of the heat leaks out the windows. In addition, dirt comes in the windows because they are not tight. Thirdly, I can hear a lot of noise, including pedestrian conversations as well as car and bus noise, through my windows. I would like to replace all of my single-pane windows with double-paned, energy efficient windows.

While I appreciate the effort to preserve the historic homes that make San Francisco beautiful, there must be a way to compromise. We are a leading city of the world; we should have homes that are leading in energy efficiency.

Every San Francisco resident deserves windows that aren't leaky, are insulated to reduce heating bills, noise, and condensation, and reduce fossil fuel heating needs – at an affordable price.

But San Francisco's Window Replacement Standards unnecessarily raise the price to replace street-facing windows in many San Francisco homes. Exorbitant costs mean less window upgrades, meaning homeowners and renters are stuck with old, leaky windows that harm health, comfort, and the climate.

****Costlier for Homeowners:** The standards increase the cost of replacing street-facing windows by 50-100%. 70% of San Francisco's occupied 350,000 homes were built in the 1960s or before.

****Costlier for Renters:** Costlier window replacements are passed on in the form of higher rent. More likely, exorbitant costs means tenants are stuck with draftier interiors, and higher heating bills and carbon emissions. Over half of San Francisco's renters live in housing units built before 1970 in SF.

****Bad for the Climate:** Residents burn more fossil fuels to heat their homes as older windows are more likely to be poorly sealed, leaky, and uninsulated single pane glass. 56% of occupied homes in San Francisco are heated with fossil fuels, mostly with natural gas.

****Bad for Health:** Older single-pane windows are more likely to condense, leading to indoor mold. They're also more likely to leak, allowing heat or air-conditioned air to escape, and polluting particulates from nearby highways inside.

****Bad for Comfort:** In addition to leaking air in-and out, older windows block less noise. This is increasingly important as San Francisco accommodates more housing.

Thank you,
Michelle Sparrow
San Francisco resident and homeowner

Michelle Sparrow
mimi.sparrow@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94115

From: [John Lake](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Reform SF Window Replacement Standards to Improve Health, Climate, Comfort, and Lower Cost
Date: Sunday, July 21, 2024 2:44:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors ,

I urge you to reform San Francisco's Window Replacement Standards.

Every San Francisco resident deserves windows that aren't leaky, are insulated to reduce heating bills, noise, and condensation, and reduce fossil fuel heating needs – at an affordable price.

But San Francisco's Window Replacement Standards unnecessarily raise the price to replace street facing windows in many San Francisco homes. Exorbitant costs mean less window upgrades, meaning homeowners and renters are stuck with old, leaky windows that harm health, comfort, and the climate. All for barely-noticeable aesthetics in the name of "neighborhood character".

****Costlier for Homeowners:** The standards increase the cost of replacing street-facing windows by 50-100%. 70% of San Francisco's occupied 350,000 homes were built in the 1960s or before.

****Costlier for Renters:** Costlier window replacements are passed on in the form of higher rent. More likely, exorbitant costs means tenants are stuck with draftier interiors, and higher heating bills and carbon emissions. Over half of San Francisco's renters live in housing units built before 1970 in SF.

****Bad for the Climate:** Residents burn more fossil fuels to heat their homes as older windows are more likely to be poorly sealed, leaky, and uninsulated single pane glass. 56% of occupied homes in San Francisco are heated with fossil fuels, mostly with natural gas.

****Bad for Health:** Older single-pane windows are more likely to condense, leading to indoor mold. They're also more likely to leak, allowing heat or air-conditioned air to escape, and polluting particulates from nearby highways inside.

****Bad for Comfort:** In addition to leaking air in-and out, older windows block less noise. This is increasingly important as San Francisco accommodates more housing.

Thank you,

John Lake
johnrlake@sbcglobal.net

San Francisco, California 94114

From: [Luke Baker](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Reform SF Window Replacement Standards to Improve Health, Climate, Comfort, and Lower Cost
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 9:37:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors ,

I urge you to reform San Francisco's Window Replacement Standards.

Every San Francisco resident deserves windows that aren't leaky, are insulated to reduce heating bills, noise, and condensation, and reduce fossil fuel heating needs – at an affordable price.

But San Francisco's Window Replacement Standards unnecessarily raise the price to replace street facing windows in many San Francisco homes. Exorbitant costs mean less window upgrades, meaning homeowners and renters are stuck with old, leaky windows that harm health, comfort, and the climate. All for barely-noticeable aesthetics in the name of "neighborhood character".

****Costlier for Homeowners:** The standards increase the cost of replacing street-facing windows by 50-100%. 70% of San Francisco's occupied 350,000 homes were built in the 1960s or before.

****Costlier for Renters:** Costlier window replacements are passed on in the form of higher rent. More likely, exorbitant costs means tenants are stuck with draftier interiors, and higher heating bills and carbon emissions. Over half of San Francisco's renters live in housing units built before 1970 in SF.

****Bad for the Climate:** Residents burn more fossil fuels to heat their homes as older windows are more likely to be poorly sealed, leaky, and uninsulated single pane glass. 56% of occupied homes in San Francisco are heated with fossil fuels, mostly with natural gas.

****Bad for Health:** Older single-pane windows are more likely to condense, leading to indoor mold. They're also more likely to leak, allowing heat or air-conditioned air to escape, and polluting particulates from nearby highways inside.

****Bad for Comfort:** In addition to leaking air in-and out, older windows block less noise. This is increasingly important as San Francisco accommodates more housing.

Thank you,
Luke Baker

Luke Baker

lukebaker001@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94115

From: [Krishna Regmi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Reform SF Window Replacement Standards to Improve Health, Climate, Comfort, and Lower Cost
Date: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 9:24:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors ,

I urge you to reform San Francisco's Window Replacement Standards.

Every San Francisco resident deserves windows that aren't leaky, are insulated to reduce heating bills, noise, and condensation, and reduce fossil fuel heating needs – at an affordable price.

But San Francisco's Window Replacement Standards unnecessarily raise the price to replace street facing windows in many San Francisco homes. Exorbitant costs mean less window upgrades, meaning homeowners and renters are stuck with old, leaky windows that harm health, comfort, and the climate. All for barely-noticeable aesthetics in the name of “neighborhood character”.

****Costlier for Homeowners:** The standards increase the cost of replacing street-facing windows by 50-100%. 70% of San Francisco's occupied 350,000 homes were built in the 1960s or before.

****Costlier for Renters:** Costlier window replacements are passed on in the form of higher rent. More likely, exorbitant costs means tenants are stuck with draftier interiors, and higher heating bills and carbon emissions. Over half of San Francisco's renters live in housing units built before 1970 in SF.

****Bad for the Climate:** Residents burn more fossil fuels to heat their homes as older windows are more likely to be poorly sealed, leaky, and uninsulated single pane glass. 56% of occupied homes in San Francisco are heated with fossil fuels, mostly with natural gas.

****Bad for Health:** Older single-pane windows are more likely to condense, leading to indoor mold. They're also more likely to leak, allowing heat or air-conditioned air to escape, and polluting particulates from nearby highways inside.

****Bad for Comfort:** In addition to leaking air in-and out, older windows block less noise. This is increasingly important as San Francisco accommodates more housing.

Thank you,

Krishna Regmi
kisna72@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Georgi Matev](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Reform SF Window Replacement Standards to Improve Health, Climate, Comfort, and Lower Cost
Date: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 1:19:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors ,

I urge you to reform San Francisco's Window Replacement Standards.

Every San Francisco resident deserves windows that aren't leaky, are insulated to reduce heating bills, noise, and condensation, and reduce fossil fuel heating needs – at an affordable price.

But San Francisco's Window Replacement Standards unnecessarily raise the price to replace street facing windows in many San Francisco homes. Exorbitant costs mean less window upgrades, meaning homeowners and renters are stuck with old, leaky windows that harm health, comfort, and the climate. All for barely-noticeable aesthetics in the name of “neighborhood character”.

****Costlier for Homeowners:** The standards increase the cost of replacing street-facing windows by 50-100%. 70% of San Francisco's occupied 350,000 homes were built in the 1960s or before.

****Costlier for Renters:** Costlier window replacements are passed on in the form of higher rent. More likely, exorbitant costs means tenants are stuck with draftier interiors, and higher heating bills and carbon emissions. Over half of San Francisco's renters live in housing units built before 1970 in SF.

****Bad for the Climate:** Residents burn more fossil fuels to heat their homes as older windows are more likely to be poorly sealed, leaky, and uninsulated single pane glass. 56% of occupied homes in San Francisco are heated with fossil fuels, mostly with natural gas.

****Bad for Health:** Older single-pane windows are more likely to condense, leading to indoor mold. They're also more likely to leak, allowing heat or air-conditioned air to escape, and polluting particulates from nearby highways inside.

****Bad for Comfort:** In addition to leaking air in-and out, older windows block less noise. This is increasingly important as San Francisco accommodates more housing.

Thank you,

Georgi Matev
georgi.matev@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94114

From: [Georgi Matev](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Reform SF Window Replacement Standards to Improve Health, Climate, Comfort, and Lower Cost
Date: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 1:19:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors ,

I urge you to reform San Francisco's Window Replacement Standards.

Every San Francisco resident deserves windows that aren't leaky, are insulated to reduce heating bills, noise, and condensation, and reduce fossil fuel heating needs – at an affordable price.

But San Francisco's Window Replacement Standards unnecessarily raise the price to replace street facing windows in many San Francisco homes. Exorbitant costs mean less window upgrades, meaning homeowners and renters are stuck with old, leaky windows that harm health, comfort, and the climate. All for barely-noticeable aesthetics in the name of “neighborhood character”.

****Costlier for Homeowners:** The standards increase the cost of replacing street-facing windows by 50-100%. 70% of San Francisco's occupied 350,000 homes were built in the 1960s or before.

****Costlier for Renters:** Costlier window replacements are passed on in the form of higher rent. More likely, exorbitant costs means tenants are stuck with draftier interiors, and higher heating bills and carbon emissions. Over half of San Francisco's renters live in housing units built before 1970 in SF.

****Bad for the Climate:** Residents burn more fossil fuels to heat their homes as older windows are more likely to be poorly sealed, leaky, and uninsulated single pane glass. 56% of occupied homes in San Francisco are heated with fossil fuels, mostly with natural gas.

****Bad for Health:** Older single-pane windows are more likely to condense, leading to indoor mold. They're also more likely to leak, allowing heat or air-conditioned air to escape, and polluting particulates from nearby highways inside.

****Bad for Comfort:** In addition to leaking air in-and out, older windows block less noise. This is increasingly important as San Francisco accommodates more housing.

Thank you,

Georgi Matev
georgi.matev@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94114

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS Legislation. \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 276 Letters Regarding File No. 240706
Date: Thursday, July 25, 2024 12:26:01 PM
Attachments: [276 Letters Regarding File No. 240706.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see 276 letters regarding **File No. 240706**:

Hearing to consider the proposed Initiative Ordinance submitted by four or more Supervisors to the voters for the November 5, 2024, Election, entitled "Ordinance amending the Park Code to establish new recreation and open space by restricting private vehicles at all times on the Upper Great Highway between Lincoln Way and Sloat Boulevard, subject to the City obtaining certain required approvals; making associated findings under the California Vehicle Code; and reaffirming the existing restriction of private vehicles on the Great Highway Extension."

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Holly Freise](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 10:24:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Holly Freise
Email hfreise@hotmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays.
Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Tomasita Medál](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 10:41:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Tomasita Medál
Email tomasitamedal@gmail.com
I live in District

[Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure](#)

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

When the Great Highway is open it is a perfect Vision Zero street. 20,000 cars are removed from stop and go traffic in the Outer Sunset, and with the timed lights, promote a smooth, moderate way to travel north to south and south to north. Because there is no cross-traffic, there are no collisions or traffic accidents.

Respectfully,

Tomasita Medál

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Addie Shevlin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 11:11:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Addie Shevlin

Email addieshe@aol.com

I live in District

[Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure](#)

Message:

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays.
Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Marleta Roth](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Thursday, July 25, 2024 7:02:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Marleta Roth
Email vandykeroth@gmail.com
I live in District

[Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure](#)

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Karen Mcdonald](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Thursday, July 25, 2024 8:37:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Karen Mcdonald
Email studioredzhairstalon@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays.
Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,
Jennifer Xavier

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: sfrobink@aol.com
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please withdraw the Great Highway ballot initiative by July 30, 2024
Date: Thursday, July 18, 2024 1:05:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors:

I am writing to ask that you withdraw the Great Highway initiative from the November ballot by July 30, 2024 for the following reasons:

- 1) It includes no plans. Without that, no one knows what they're voting for.
- 2) If an EIR is needed, one hasn't been done regarding the dunes and vegetation, sand issues, the snowy plover habitat, traffic impacts, etc.
- 3) There has been little to no community input re concerns and ideas.
- 4) There have been little to no studies done on traffic impacts re traffic rerouting, congestion and spillover into neighborhoods.
- 5) Nothing has been mentioned about parking for park attendees nor any discussion of improving Muni service or other transportation services to and from the park.
- 6) The initiative says the GH can be closed within 180 days if it goes through. It takes time to develop effective traffic plans and to make traffic light and stop sign changes. If the GH gets closed with no plans and no prior workable traffic changes, that will result in major traffic routing problems for all impacted neighborhoods.

If the GH gets closed without any plans, that may result in blight for the area.

Additionally, a D4 resident has reported seeing more homeless encampments and drug use when the GH is closed, including someone coming into her yard facing the GH and her having to call the police. Her concern is that a prematurely-closed GH will encourage more homeless encampments and drug use, resulting in people not feeling safe in the neighborhood nor in coming to the area.

A recent presentation by a neighborhood group alleged that the initiative has made alterations in the original ordinance:

Sec 2.c.2 removes language asking the SFMTA to make data reports. Traffic data is needed!

Sec 2.c.4 deletes some protection language apparently resulting in no voice given to residents in D1 and D4. Those mostly closely impacted need to be heard.

For all these reasons, and I'm sure there are more, this initiative needs to be

withdrawn.

If the GH is to become a park, realistic steps can begin to happen, such as:

- 1) Establish an organizing group/process to begin to collect info, ideas and concerns from all involved/concerned.
- 2) Is an EIR required, and if so, does this need to be done first? For the dunes/vegetation, sand management, snowy plover, traffic impacts, etc.
- 3) Hold meetings/get input from D1 and D4 residents and merchants and from the broader community regarding their ideas and concerns.
- 4) Have a thorough discussion on traffic reroutes and timelines for making traffic light and stop sign changes. Discuss parking and ways to improve public transportation to and from the park.
- 5) Involve designers in helping to come up with some plans based on community input. Examine budget costs. Does SF have the budget for the plans, including transportation changes?

Make the best plans available for community evaluation, which will be quite varied, regarding general design and use of the park and its maintenance, family involvement, entertainment plans and venues, recreational activities involving other recreational users while also designing for safety in interacting with the general public, food availability, disability access, etc.

- 6) Address concerns that the closed-off area will attract homeless encampments and drug users, making the area unsafe for the neighborhood and visitors to the area. Figure out a timeline for GH closure and make plans to prevent such attractive nuisance occurrence.

As a result of going through a thorough process PRIOR to a GH park initiative going to the ballot, people's concerns and best ideas will have been heard, worked on and selected for implementation, resulting in the public being a lot more accepting of the Great Highway park that they helped create!

Sincerely,

Robin Krop
D5 resident

From: [Donna Rand](#)
To: [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mayor London Breed](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Disappointed with Engardio's Decision to Close the Great Highway
Date: Friday, July 19, 2024 1:43:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is **Donna Rand**
My email address is **okdonna55@gmail.com**

We are writing to express our profound disappointment in your final decision to close the Great Highway to residents, neighboring commuters, and those who drive children to school or themselves or others to appointments and other activities. This decision will severely impact our community in ways that appear to have yet to be fully considered, demonstrating a troubling disconnect between your actions and the interests of the residents you are elected to represent.

The resulting increased traffic congestion and compromised safety indicate how our concerns and needs were overlooked in your decision-making process. This oversight, which has led to a situation with which we are deeply dissatisfied, is wholly unacceptable.

Your failure to adequately represent our community in this matter is disheartening. You must recognize the deep sense of alienation and frustration this decision has caused among your constituents. We will work diligently to oppose your measure and ensure our voices are heard and considered in future decisions. As to the happy, smiling children in your propaganda campaign, couldn't they be just as happy and smiling in Golden Gate Park, Crissy Field or Tunnel Tops? There are plenty of places in San Francisco for family recreation without closing the Great Highway.

Sincerely,
Donna Rand

From: [Ignacio Orellana Garcia](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 12:31:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Ignacio Orellana Garcia

Email volare232@hotmail.com

I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message:

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Christina Shih](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 12:51:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Christina Shih
Email christinashih94121@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Judi Gorski](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 12:52:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Judi Gorski
Email judigorski@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,
Judi Gorski, Resident/Voter D4

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Dana Dana](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 12:57:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Dana Dana
Email zydecod@msn.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Madison Clell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 12:57:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Madison Clell
Email madisoncuckoo@yahoo.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Micahel Regan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 1:03:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Micahel Regan

Email myoldgoat@yahoo.com

I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Margaret Lavelle](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 1:12:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Margaret Lavelle
Email fastpeg@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message:

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,
I have lived in the outer Richmond all of my life. I am
a 3rd generation San Franciscan

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and
other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot
Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the
Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-
pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular
traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer
Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big
rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the
neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast
north/south route without intersections. However,
they will not find one. The Great Highway is the
safest, most efficient North/South route in San
Francisco. San Francisco officials have only
rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic
intersections. The following is a partial list of the
reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot
Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the
last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from
the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and
refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively
impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

Margaret Lavelle
94121

From: [Jose Garcia](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 1:22:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Jose Garcia
Email Volare232@hotmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Danny Foley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 1:24:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Danny Foley
Email axelfo@aol.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

Dan Foley

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Marilyn Foley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 1:27:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Marilyn Foley

Email foleym1949@aol.com

I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

Marilyn Foleysummer

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Liza Ibanez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 1:30:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Liza Ibanez
Email liza.oceanbeach@yahoo.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Vandana Di Scala](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 1:36:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Vandana Di Scala
Email vandana1@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Nick Di Scala](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 1:36:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Nick Di Scala

Email ndiscala@att.net

I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message:

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Ivy Tong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 1:39:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Ivy Tong
Email imivanhoe@yahoo.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Rose Sullivan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 1:39:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Rose Sullivan

Email rosesull@yahoo.com

I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Jennifer Dougherty](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 1:45:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Jennifer Dougherty
Email dordy71@yahoo.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

Jennifer Dougherty

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Sandra Celi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 1:51:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Sandra Celi
Email sandrac@interfaceeng.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Jean Barish](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 1:51:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Jean Barish
Email jeanbbarish@hotmail.comcom
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Brenda Johnson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 1:51:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Brenda Johnson
Email brendaajohnson@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Tina Celi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 1:54:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Tina Celi
Email celifour@comcast.net
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Alessandro Celi](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 1:54:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Alessandro Celi
Email celifour@comcast.net
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Judith Parks](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 2:03:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Judith Parks
Email jayho1208@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Judith Tichy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 2:09:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Judith Tichy
Email tichyjtn@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Greg Syler](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 2:22:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Greg Syler
Email sivakitty@yahoo.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Vera Genkin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 2:26:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Vera Genkin
Email tuttgen@sonic.net
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Karin Hu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 2:30:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Karin Hu
Email brainz_ca@yahoo.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

Karin Hu

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Charley Hew](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 2:36:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Charley Hew
Email carson1099@hotmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*****Stop worrying about making a Beach a Park please.*****

I grew up in SF and I think maybe the City SHOULD PAY MORE ATTENTION to the school placement system, i.e. lottery, and then it may also impact the Great Highway COMMUTE that the parents/students have to do everyday. FROM Ingleside to the Richmond? From the Richmond to Balboa High School? are you for real? I was placed at Thurgood Marshall for my Freshman year and I live in District 1! No wonder students don't like going to school in SF!!!

Have you seen the traffic in them mornings along the GH and Chain o Lakes? Probably NOT. You do NOT LIVE IN THE DISTRICT.

CHANGE IT BACK TO "GO TO SCHOOL WHERE YOU LIVE" like MOST PLACES.

Respectfully,
CH

From: [Elizabeth Donohoe](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 2:39:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Elizabeth Donohoe

Email thor2451@aol.com

I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message:

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Bella Class](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 2:52:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent **Bella Class**
Email bellaclassified@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Peter Pirolli](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 3:25:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Peter Pirolli
Email peter.pirolli@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Diane Clark](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 3:38:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Diane Clark
Email Dianeclark44@comcast.net
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

Diane Clark

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Roxanne Worthington](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 3:41:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Roxanne Worthington

Email roxwor@aol.com

I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message:

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Molly Nealan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 3:54:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Molly Nealan
Email mnealan@yahoo.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Howard Chabner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 4:09:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Howard Chabner
Email hlchabner@comcast.net
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Rebecca Ward](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 4:12:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Rebecca Ward
Email rbccwrd@yahoo.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Jeanne Johnson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 4:24:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Jeanne Johnson
Email jjohngold@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Dennis Jeong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 4:25:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Dennis Jeong
Email lubber07_globs@icloud.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Janet Clark](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 4:33:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Janet Clark
Email janetclark82@comcast.net
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

Janet Clark

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Patricia Arack](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 4:36:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Patricia Arack

Email parack@ccsf.edu

I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message:

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

The neighborhood after full closure will be inundated with homeless encampments, back actors, anti-social behavior, and violence. This is what happened in 2020-2021, and will happen again and there is no POLICE ENFORCEMENT out here. Full closure will make this community Unsafe and Engardio just ignores it!

Respectfully,

Patricia Arack
39 year resident. Of D4
Concerned Residents of the Sunset

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Laura Puccini](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 4:48:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Laura Puccini

Email L_puccini@comcast.net

I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message:

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Nancy Federico](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 4:58:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Nancy Federico
Email nlfederico@msn.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

Nancy Fedrico

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Suzy Cincone](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 4:59:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Suzy Cincone

Email suz_ci@hotmail.com

I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message:

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,
Suzy Cincone

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Kat Regan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 5:03:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Kat Regan
Email meemom@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Leanna Louie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 5:09:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Leanna Louie
Email leannalouie28@yahoo.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Grant Ingram](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 5:34:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Grant Ingram

Email grant.ingram@yahoo.com

I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Asi Afuhaamango](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 5:42:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Asi Afuhaamango
Email Asiafuhaamangom@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Rowina Ng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 5:57:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Rowina Ng
Email molinalley83@yahoo.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Mari eliza](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 5:57:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Mari eliza
Email mari@abazaar.ocm
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Davis Leong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 6:06:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Davis Leong
Email Versa895@outlook.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Ella Leong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 6:09:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Ella Leong
Email sfstock51@yahoo.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Harry Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 6:11:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Harry Wong
Email hoarser_aphid.0i@icloud.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Kathy Crabe](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 6:15:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Kathy Crabe
Email tallyhoagogo@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Carol Faulkner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 6:15:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Carol Faulkner
Email cmoelarrycarol@aol.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Joseph Faulkner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 6:18:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Joseph Faulkner
Email joemangolf@aol.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Georgina Costales](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 6:18:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Georgina Costales
Email gcostales@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Michael Muribus](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 6:18:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Michael Muribus

Email mmuribus@att.net

I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message:

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Kelly Faulkner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 6:21:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Kelly Faulkner
Email kellymariefaulkner@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Cathy Thai](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 6:27:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Cathy Thai
Email cathy.thai@yahoo.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Patricia Wise](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 6:27:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Patricia Wise
Email pawise52@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,
Patricia Wise

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Mark Varney](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 6:27:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Mark Varney
Email markvarney@hotmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Paul Roscelli](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 6:36:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Paul Roscelli
Email paulroscelli@me.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Christopher O'Connor](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 6:36:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Christopher O'Connor
Email primaldrifter@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Craig Hanson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 6:42:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Craig Hanson

Email fishingcraig@gmail.com

I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message:

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Melissa Lara](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 6:48:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Melissa Lara
Email mrsmelissaoconnor@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [DEBRA HOWARD](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 6:51:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent DEBRA HOWARD
Email deb127@sbcglobal.net
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Jamie Kendall](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 6:54:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent **Jamie Kendall**
Email jkendall301@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

Jamie Kendall

From: [Cornell Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 6:54:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Cornell Lee
Email corny1215@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Susan Lee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 6:54:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Susan Lee
Email swl28@hotmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Ana Maria Baccari](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 7:03:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Ana Maria Baccari
Email am20076@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

Ana Maria Baccari

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Yasmin Staton](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 7:12:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Yasmin Staton

Email ydmello@yahoo.com

I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message:

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Rita Hock](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 7:13:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Rita Hock
Email truffletemptations@pacbell.net
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

R Hock

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Mark Staton](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 7:15:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Mark Staton
Email msstaton@sbcglobal.net
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Nancy Bieri](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 7:21:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Nancy Bieri
Email nancyd10@att.net
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

Nancy Bieri

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Laura Van Zandt](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 7:47:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Laura Van Zandt
Email Isvanzandt@earthlink.net
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Mabel Mar](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 7:49:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Mabel Mar
Email bearyblondie@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [kim russo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 7:52:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent kim russo
Email Ckar101@yahoo.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Diana kaytun](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 8:02:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Diana kaytun

Email bowser@gmail.com

I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Diane Garfield](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 8:17:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Diane Garfield
Email diangarf@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Denise Selleck](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 8:44:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Denise Selleck
Email deniselleck@sbcglobal.net
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Mary McFadden](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 8:47:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Mary McFadden
Email mary.mcfadden2019@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Lefteris Eleftheriou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 8:54:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Lefteris Eleftheriou
Email lefteri28@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,
Teresa Butler

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Luis Pine](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 9:24:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Luis Pine
Email padysplace@aol.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,
Luis Pine

From: [Colleen Wentworth](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 9:25:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Colleen Wentworth
Email colleen.wentworth@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,
Colleen Wentworth

From: [Sheila Koren](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 9:40:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Sheila Koren
Email Korensheila@yahoo.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

My major concerns are the impact on older and disabled San Franciscans, the impact on Emergency vehicles and impact on the workers at the VA hospital, many of whom live in Daly City and South San Francisco (and whose committee times would be painfully increased).

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the

last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses
Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Karen Breslin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 10:33:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Karen Breslin

Email kbsmail@sbcglobal.net

I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Kathleen Kraus](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 10:42:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Kathleen Kraus
Email kshea201@aol.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Jeremiah Boehner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 10:54:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Jeremiah Boehner
Email Jeremiahboehner@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway and End the Dishonesty

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Patricia Arack](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 11:06:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Patricia Arack

Email parack@ccsf.edu

I live in District

[Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure](#)

Message:

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays.
Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Teresa Shaw](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 11:07:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Teresa Shaw
Email tawny.sapient0c@icloud.com
I live in District

[Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure](#)

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays.
Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Tim Jong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 11:43:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Tim Jong
Email fuzzybear43@yahoo.com
I live in District

[Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure](#)

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays.
Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [James Patterson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 12:05:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent James Patterson
Email centermid52@comcast.net
I live in District

[Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure](#)

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,
James Patterson

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Jane Balmediano](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 1:32:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Jane Balmediano
Email jane.balmediano@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

Jane Balmediano

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Sheila Stuart](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 3:55:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Sheila Stuart
Email sstuart466@aol.com
I live in District

[Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure](#)

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays.
Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Marc Tuttle](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 5:56:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Marc Tuttle
Email marctuttle@sonic.net
I live in District

Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure

Message: Dear Board of Supervisors & Mayor Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts

to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Mary Cole](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 6:19:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Mary Cole
Email mcolesf@yahoo.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Doug McKirahan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 7:25:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Doug McKirahan
Email ratt57@pacbell.net
I live in District

[Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure](#)

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Maria Aldaz](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 7:32:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Maria Aldaz
Email mealdaz58@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [COLLEEN WENTWORTH](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 7:36:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent COLLEEN WENTWORTH
Email colleen.wentworth@gmail.com
I live in District

[Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure](#)

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

Colleen Wentworth, Dist. 4. I use the Great Hwy 6 days per week, twice per day

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Jo Anne Santarromana](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 7:45:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Jo Anne Santarromana
Email jsantarromana@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays.
Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

-Mallissa Norman

Add me to the list for updates on this issue.

From: [Gandhia Andrews](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 8:22:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Gandhia Andrews
Email gb_andrews@hotmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Terry Lai](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 8:58:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Terry Lai
Email infospec@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,
Terry Lai

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Karen Myers](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 9:40:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Karen Myers
Email karenmsf@sbcglobal.net
I live in District

Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Karen Myers](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 9:41:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Karen Myers
Email karenmsf@sbcglobal.net
I live in District

Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Jamie O'Keefe](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 9:47:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent **Jamie O'Keefe**

Email jokeefe415@gmail.com

I live in District

[Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure](#)

Message:

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays.
Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Jill Alexander](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 10:40:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Jill Alexander
Email sunsetgirl94122@gmail.com
I live in District

[Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure](#)

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Terry McDevitt](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 10:49:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Terry McDevitt
Email dismasacd@yahoo.com
I live in District

[Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure](#)

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Since a seawall has to be built to protect the Zoo, and the sewer plant buildings it begs the question: why close the junction to Skyline Blvd.? Also the 14 foot in diameter sewer line that runs under the entire length of the Great Highway has to be protected from the encroaching sands, so why is there a question about maintaining a clear road? Photos of the Great Highway soon after its completion show a much wider roadway with the sand dunes kept much lower and plenty of room for autos, bikes and pedestrians. Why can't it be restored to its original width?

Respectfully,
Terry McDevitt

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Paul Dohrmann](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 11:32:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Paul Dohrmann
Email kuyatheone@gmail.com
I live in District

[Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure](#)

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays.
Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Alan OBrien](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 11:52:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Alan OBrien
Email aobrienhome@yahoo.com
I live in District

[Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure](#)

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays.
Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

Alan OBrien

From: [Barry Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 12:37:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Barry Wong
Email bearyw@gmail.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays.
Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Kathy Regon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 12:51:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Kathy Regon
Email meemom@gmail.com
I live in District

[Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure](#)

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Linda Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 1:06:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Linda Chan
Email Ichan1668@hotmail.com
I live in District

[Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure](#)

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Kimberly Fosterling](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 1:08:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Kimberly Fosterling
Email kimberlyfosterling7@gmail.com
I live in District

[Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure](#)

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [John O'Brien](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 1:42:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent John O'Brien
Email hiberniafc@aol.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Julie Fitzgerald](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 2:28:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Julie Fitzgerald

Email jafitz22@gmail.com

I live in District

[Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure](#)

Message:

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Krista Judge](#)
To: [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Goldberg, Jonathan \(BOS\)](#); MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.com; [Heiken, Emma \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Kilgore, Preston \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Thank you for your leadership and vision for the Great Highway Park
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 2:40:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Melgar,

I am writing to express my sincere gratitude for your leadership in co-sponsoring the ballot measure to create the Great Highway Park. Although the Great Highway Park is not located within our district, your support highlights your visionary approach to governance and your understanding of our communities' interconnectedness. This park will provide a stunning and safe space where people can enjoy the natural beauty of our coastline and find a peaceful retreat from the city's hustle and bustle.

I also extend my thanks to Supervisors Engardio, Preston, Dorsey, and Mandelman for your efforts in bringing this legislation forward. This is an extraordinary opportunity to create a lasting legacy for our city and your leadership will be remembered and appreciated by generations of San Franciscans.

I look forward to celebrating with you and the rest of the city when we secure a victory at the ballot box this fall!

Best,
Krista Judge

From: [Michael Coll](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 2:50:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Michael Coll
Email kellsconstructioninc@gmail.com
I live in District

[Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure](#)

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Richard Tom](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 3:28:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Richard Tom
Email babyg_bear@yahoo.com
I live in District

[Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure](#)

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Jen Chu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 4:58:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Jen Chu
Email jennichoo@gmail.com
I live in District

[Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure](#)

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays.
Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Michael Patton](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 6:05:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Michael Patton
Email loadndock@aol.com
I live in District

[Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure](#)

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays.
Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Anna-Lisa Helmy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 8:07:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Anna-Lisa Helmy

Email zanuuba@aol.com

I live in District

[Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure](#)

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

Anna-Lisa Helmy

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays.
Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Stephanie Sgro](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 6:18:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Stephanie Sgro
Email stephsgr0@sbcglobal.net
I live in District

[Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure](#)

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

[Add me to the list for updates on this issue.](#)

From: [Robert Lowe](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 7:56:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Robert Lowe
Email Ctabc@yahoo.com
I live in District

Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

From: [Peter Griffith](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure
Date: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 8:09:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Peter Griffith
Email peteg415@gmail.com
I live in District

[Open the Great Highway / Withdraw the Misleading Ballot Measure](#)

Message: Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to either create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing,

Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays.
Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully,

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Leanna C. Louie Date: 7/23/24
Leanna C. Louie

尊敬的市參事會員和市長,

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議, 立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉, 車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond, 數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道, 尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線, 而無需擔心。然而, 他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因:

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的, 沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見, 這讓我們措手不及, 並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民;

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴉受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測;

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫;

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性, 並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後, 刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時, 緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之, 關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通!

恭敬地提交,

名稱: Joe Zalcan Ilany 日期: 7-23-24

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name:  Date: 7/23/24

尊敬的市參事會員和市長,

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議, 立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉, 車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond, 數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道, 尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線, 而無需擔心。然而, 他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因:

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的, 沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見, 這讓我們措手不及, 並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民;

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雷德受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測;

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫;

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性, 並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後, 刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時, 緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之, 關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通!

恭敬地提交,

名稱: Andrew He 日期: 7/23/24

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱: QUN Ai

日期: 7/23/2024

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立（Joel Engardio）和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱： 胡耀柱 日期： 7-23-24

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立（Joel Engardio）和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，³這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴉受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱：王金泰

日期：7-23-2024

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立（Joel Engardio）和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱：Bixin/kath y 日期：7-23-24

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;
- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;
- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;
- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.
- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.
- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: _____

Date: _____

7/23/2024

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立（Joel Engardio）和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱：Judy WEN 日期：7-23-24

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway 高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱: HAN CHANG SU

日期: 2/23/2024

HAN CHANG SU

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.


*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: 

Date: 

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: _____



Date: _____

7/23/2024

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway 高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱: Stian Indreg

日期: 7.23, 2024

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱: Man Ping Huang

日期: 7-23-2024

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway 高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱: XIE, XIU ZHEN

日期: 7/23/2024

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway 高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱: Hellen Leechie



日期: 7/23/24

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway 高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱: Luís Roberto Herrera

日期: 7-23-24

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;
- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;
- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;
- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.
- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.
- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Judi Gorski Date: 7-23-24
JUDI GORSKI

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;


*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Sherry Fung Date: 7-23-24


Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Nester Resino Date: 7/23/21

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;
- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;
- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;
- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.
- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.
- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Jin Chao Ye

Date: 7-23-24

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Mering Ye Date: 7/23/2020

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: LI MUIW Date: 7-23-2024

尊敬的市參事會員和市長,

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴉受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱：黃小清

日期：7-23-24

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name

Date


Date: 7/23/24
Stephen J. Gorkin

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway 高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱: Hui Q Huang

日期: 7/23/2024

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway 高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱: XIANG FEI HOANG

日期: 7/23/24

尊敬的市參事會員和市長,

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱： Stivia Chen 日期： 7-23-2024

尊敬的市參事會員和市長,

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱: Mei Yu 日期: 7-23-24

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway 高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱: Mei Ying Lei

日期: 7/23/24

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立（Joel Engardio）和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱：Yan Rong

日期：7-23-24

尊敬的市參事會員和市長,

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議, 立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉, 車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond, 數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道, 尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線, 而無需擔心。然而, 他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因:

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的, 沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見, 這讓我們措手不及, 並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民;

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測;

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫;

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性, 並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後, 刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時, 緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之, 關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通!

恭敬地提交,

名稱: SIM EIHVANG 日期: 7/23/24

尊敬的市參事會員和市長,

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交,

名稱: Xinlan Wen

日期: 7-23-24

尊敬的市參事會員和市長,

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴉受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱: Yuk Cheung 日期: 7-23-24

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立（Joel Engardio）和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱：畢銀英

日期：1-23-2024

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立（Joel Engardio）和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱：江緒青

日期：7-23-24

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鵲受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway 高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱: Su Yun Li

日期: 7-23-20

Su Yun Li

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.


In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name:



Date:



尊敬的市參事會員和市長,

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議, 立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉, 車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond, 數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道, 尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線, 而無需擔心。然而, 他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因:

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的, 沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見, 這讓我們措手不及, 並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民;

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測;

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫;

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性, 並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後, 刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時, 緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之, 關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通!

恭敬地提交,

名稱: Yu Ai Yu 日期: 7.23.2024

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.


- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: _____



JAMES ZHAN

Date: _____

7/23/24

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: YONGHE LE Date: 7.23.2024

July 22, 2024

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor London Breed:

I respectfully request that Supervisor Engardio and other co-signers withdraw the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway or open it 24/7 as it was pre-pandemic.

If the Great Highway immediately closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections. The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:


*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of his constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway with weekday vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users constituents accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

Respectfully submitted,  Name: Stephen J. Gorski, Esq.

Title/Occupation: Attorney at Law

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Dedra Carnate Date: July 23, 24

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Shawna McGrew Date: 7/23/24
SHAWNA MCGREW

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: YUN XIAO

Date: 7/23/2024

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Bruce Hilong

Date: 07/23/2024

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;


*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: DAVID HELLER  Date: 7/23/24

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name:  Date: 24.7.23

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Daniel Goodwyn Date: 7/23

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Carol Peters

Date: 7/23/24

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway 高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱: JUN Ting Tan

日期: 7/23/2024

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱: XIA MUYE

日期: 7月23 2024

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。


*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。


總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway 高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱：



日期：



尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴉受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱: Shi Ge Ye

日期: 7-23-2024

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱: BICHAN ZHOU

日期: 7.23.2024

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: XIMMELCHEN

Date: 7/23/2024

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway 高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱: Choy Choin
Choy CHoi

日期: 7-23-2024

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴉受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱: Xiao Yun Zhou

日期: 7月23号2024年

Xiao Yun Zhou

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Ang Chan

Date: 7-23-24

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Bi Juan Li Date: 7/23

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name:  Date: 7/23/24

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;


*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: 

Date: 7/23/24

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱: Li Juan Yu

日期: 7/23/24

Li Juan Yu

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Marilee Hearn Date: 7/23/24

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鵲受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交, YAN ZHAI BENG

名稱: _____

YAN ZHAI BENG

日期: 7/23/2024

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Lei Ching Lee Date: 7-23-2024

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name:  Date: 7/23/24

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: SENZHAN LI
SENZHAN LI

Date: 7/23/24

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;
- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;
- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;
- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.
- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.
- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Xiao Hong Li Date: 7-23-2024

XIAO HONG LI

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;


- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name:  Date: 7/23/24

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Janet Clark Date: 7-23-24


尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway 高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱: Yan Rong Yu

日期: 7-23-24

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Richard Corriën Date: 7.23.24

尊敬的市參事會員和市長,

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議, 立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉, 車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond, 數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道, 尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線, 而無需擔心。然而, 他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因:

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的, 沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見, 這讓我們措手不及, 並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民;

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測;

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫;

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性, 並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後, 刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時, 緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之, 關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通!

恭敬地提交,

名稱: Xiaomey Li 日期: 07/23/20

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;
- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;
- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;
- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.
- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.
- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: *Curt Courmale* Date: *7/23/24*
Curt Courmale
1236-15th Ave.
512 94122

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;
- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;
- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;
- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.
- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.
- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Kisa Tsang Date: 7/23/2024

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name:  Date: 7/23/29

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;
- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;
- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;
- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.
- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.
- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: L/Q/K 21

Date: 7/23/24

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;
- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;
- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;
- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.
- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.
- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Sherman King Date: 7-23-2024
Sherman King

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Yu Ling Keang Date: 7/23

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Guo Chou Luo Date: 7-23-24
Guo Chou Luo

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Mary Jo [Signature] Date: 7/23/2024

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立（Joel Engardio）和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果**Great Highway**關閉，車輛交通將摧毀**Outer Sunset**和**Outer Richmond**，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。**Great Highway**是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持**Great Highway**高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱：John Engardio

日期：7/23/24

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;
- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;
- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;
- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.
- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.
- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name:  Date: 07/27/24
Wing Wang

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: ROBERT LOWE  Date: 7/23/2024

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Sherman Tillman Date: 7/23/24



Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: _____

Date: July 23, 2024

LOPE YAP, JR.

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Man Yuan Huang Date: 07/23/24
黄曼媛

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name:  Date: 7/23/2024

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Xi do Law He

Date: 7/23/2024

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱:  _____

日期: 7/23/20

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: K. Repan

Date: 7-23-24

尊敬的市參事會員和市長,

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議, 立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉, 車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond, 數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道, 尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線, 而無需擔心。然而, 他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因:

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的, 沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見, 這讓我們措手不及, 並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民;

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴉受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測;

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫;

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性, 並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後, 刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時, 緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之, 關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通!

恭敬地提交,

名稱:

XIN JIU > HAPUG

日期:

7/23

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway 高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱: LIU HUANA MEIKOVA 日期: 7-23-24

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱: 江會登

日期: 7-23-20

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway 高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱: YONG YI HUNG 日期: 07/23/24

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱: Ching Lai

日期: 7-23-24

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Choy Kit Leung Lim Hol Yee Date: _____

尊敬的市參事會員和市長,

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議, 立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉, 車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond, 數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道, 尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線, 而無需擔心。然而, 他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因:

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的, 沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見, 這讓我們措手不及, 並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民;

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪橇受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測;

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫;

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性, 並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後, 刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時, 緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之, 關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通!

恭敬地提交,

名稱: Xi Zhan Liang 日期: 7/23/24

Xi ZHAN LIANG

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name:  Date: 7/23/24
Marie Hurayicll

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Xi Zhan Liang Date: 7-23-2024

尊敬的市參事會員和市長,

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議, 立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉, 車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond, 數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道, 尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線, 而無需擔心。然而, 他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因:

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的, 沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見, 這讓我們措手不及, 並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民;

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴉受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測;

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫;

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性, 並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後, 刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時, 緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之, 關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通!

恭敬地提交,

名稱: Feng Jin Huang 日期: 7/23/2024
FENG JIN HUANG

尊敬的市參事會員和市長,

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議, 立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉, 車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond, 數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道, 尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線, 而無需擔心。然而, 他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因:

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的, 沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見, 這讓我們措手不及, 並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民;

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪橇受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測;

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫;

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性, 並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後, 刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時, 緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之, 關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通!

恭敬地提交,

名稱: Lauchin 日期: 7/23/24
Sawyer CH10

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;
- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;
- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;
- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.
- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.
- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: RUIYUAN LI 7/23/2024 Date: 7-23-2024

尊敬的市參事會員和市長,

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議, 立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉, 車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond, 數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道, 尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線, 而無需擔心。然而, 他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因:

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的, 沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見, 這讓我們措手不及, 並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民;

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪雉受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測;

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫;

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性, 並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後, 刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時, 緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之, 關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通!

恭敬地提交,

名稱: B. Ming Chow 日期: 7-23-24

尊敬的市參事會員和市長,

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議, 立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉, 車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond, 數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道, 尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線, 而無需擔心。然而, 他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因:

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的, 沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見, 這讓我們措手不及, 並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民;

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴉受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測;

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫;

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性, 並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後, 刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。


*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時, 緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。


總之, 關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通!

恭敬地提交,

名稱:



日期:



尊敬的市參事會員和市長,

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議, 立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉, 車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond, 數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道, 尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線, 而無需擔心。然而, 他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因:

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的, 沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見, 這讓我們措手不及, 並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民;

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪雉受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測;

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫;

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性, 並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後, 刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時, 緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之, 關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通!

恭敬地提交,

名稱: Paul Kim Yee 日期: 7-23-24

尊敬的市參事會員和市長,

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議, 立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉, 車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond, 數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道, 尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線, 而無需擔心。然而, 他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因:

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的, 沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見, 這讓我們措手不及, 並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民;

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴉受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測;

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫;

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性, 並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後, 刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時, 緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之, 關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通!

恭敬地提交,

名稱: Neil, J. 日期: 7.23.24

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted, *ZW Helen Wang*

Name: *[Signature]* Date: 7-23-2024

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Louis Lam Date: 07-23-24

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;


*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name:  Date: 7-23-24

Melvin LEE

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Paul Shi Date: 7/23/2024

尊敬的市參事會員和市長,

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議, 立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉, 車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond, 數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道, 尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線, 而無需擔心。然而, 他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因:

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的, 沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見, 這讓我們措手不及, 並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民;

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測;

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫;

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性, 並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後, 刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時, 緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之, 關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通!

恭敬地提交,

名稱: Xie Yukun 日期: 7/23/24

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Elaine Trang Date: 7/23/24

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name:  Date: 7/23/2018

尊敬的市參事會員和市長,

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議, 立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉, 車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond, 數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道, 尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線, 而無需擔心。然而, 他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因:

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的, 沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見, 這讓我們措手不及, 並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民;

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪雉受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測;

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫;

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性, 並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後, 刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時, 緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之, 關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通!

恭敬地提交,

名稱: _____

Leanne

日期: _____

2/23/24

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: _____



Date: _____



尊敬的市參事會員和市長,

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議, 立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉, 車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond, 數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道, 尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線, 而無需擔心。然而, 他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因:

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的, 沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見, 這讓我們措手不及, 並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民;

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪雉受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測;

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫;

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性, 並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後, 刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時, 緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之, 關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通!

恭敬地提交,

名稱: HAW YE ZHANG 日期: 7.23.24

尊敬的市參事會員和市長,

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議, 立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉, 車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond, 數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道, 尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線, 而無需擔心。然而, 他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因:

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的, 沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見, 這讓我們措手不及, 並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民;

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測;

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫;

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性, 並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後, 刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時, 緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之, 關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通!

恭敬地提交,

名稱: new york Andrew 日期: 7/23-24
S Y S

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Amy Zhu Date: 7/23/2024
Amy Zhu

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Chuang-Liu Date: 7-23-2024

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Luke Lei Date: 7/23/2024

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;
- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;
- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;
- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.
- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.
- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: SHANGHAI DEUG

Date: 7/23/24

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name:  Date: July 23, 2024

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name:  Date: 7/28/24

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Kotie Lai Date: 7/23/2024

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立（Joel Engardio）和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱： Su King W

日期： 7月23日

尊敬的市參事會員和市長,

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱: Neil Davidson

日期: 7-23-24

尊敬的市參事會員和市長，

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴿受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。

總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway 高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱: Mei Xiao #14

日期: 7-23-2024



尊敬的市參事會員和市長,

我謹請求主管恩加立 (Joel Engardio) 和其他共同簽署者撤回提議的投票倡議，立即在高速公路上創建一個全日制公園。

如果Great Highway關閉，車輛交通將摧毀Outer Sunset和Outer Richmond，數千輛額外的汽車、卡車、大型卡車、100多輛摩托車將湧入附近的街道，尋找另一條安全、快速的南北路線，而無需擔心。然而，他們不會找到一個。Great Highway 是舊金山最安全、最有效率的南北路線。舊金山官員僅將交通改道至有交通路口的高傷害道路。以下是撤回提案投票倡議的部分原因：

*擬議倡議是在最後一天編寫並提交的，沒有收到受影響社區的任何通知或意見，這讓我們措手不及，並拒絕承認絕大多數受到負面影響的選民；

*沒有公園規劃/設計、融資、對沙丘和瀕臨滅絕的雪鴉受保護棲息地的排放或其他影響的環境審查、升級或維護該地區的資本支出預測；

*提前一年多取消現有試點計畫；

*否認在工作日繼續與允許的車輛共享高速公路的可能性，並且在週末和假日禁止通行。在受影響的用戶接受作為每個人都可以容忍的事情之後，刪除會導致鄰里之間的對立。

*車輛適用於開車送孩子去學校/日托、上班或去看醫生、送老年人去需要的服務、運送建築材料建造住房和幫助小型企業的人。

*當高速公路關閉時，緊急救援反應時間會受到嚴重影響。反應時間慢可能是生與死的區別。


總之，關閉高速公路對社區或緊急急救人員沒有好處。請保持Great Highway高速公路暢通！

恭敬地提交，

名稱：



日期：



Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;


- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name:  Date: 7-23-20

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

- *The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

- *There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;


- *Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

- *Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

- *Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

- *Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,


Name: Victor S. Collaco

Date: 7-23-2024

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed,

I respectfully request that Supervisor Joel Engardio and other co-signers WITHDRAW the Proposed Ballot Initiative to create a Full Time Park on the Great Highway immediately.

If the Great Highway closes, vehicular traffic will devastate the Outer Sunset and Outer Richmond with thousands of extra cars, trucks, big rigs, motorcycle groups of 100+ that will swarm the neighborhood streets in search of another safe, fast north/south route without intersections. However, they will not find one. The Great Highway is the safest, most efficient North/South route in San Francisco. San Francisco officials have only rerouted traffic to high-injury roads with traffic intersections.

The following is a partial list of the reasons for Withdrawing the Proposed Ballot Initiative:

*The Proposed Initiative was written and filed on the last day possible without ANY Notice or INPUT from the IMPACTED COMMUNITY, blindsiding us and refusing to recognize a large majority of negatively impacted constituents;

*There is no plan/design for a park, financing, Environmental Review on emissions or other impacts to the sand dunes and the protected habitat for the endangered Snowy Plovers, capital expenditure projections for upgrades or to maintain the area;

*Removes the existing Pilot Project more than one year ahead of schedule;

*Denies the possibility of continuing to share the Great Highway on weekday with vehicles permitted and closed to traffic on weekends and holidays. Removing the COMPROMISE pits neighbors against neighbors after impacted users are accepting it as something that everyone can tolerate.

*Vehicles are for people who drive children to schools/day care, commute to work or to medical appointments, transport seniors to needed services, take construction supplies to build housing and help small businesses.

*Emergency Rescue response times are severely impacted when the Great Highway is closed. Slow response times could be the difference between life and death.

In conclusion, it is not to the benefit of the Community or the Emergency First Responders to close the Great Highway. Please KEEP the GREAT HIGHWAY OPEN!

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Meina Young Date: 7/23/24
Meina Young

From: [William Smith](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); info@openthegreathighway.com; [Commission, Recpark \(REC\)](#); [Ginsburg, Phil \(REC\)](#); clerk@sfcta.org
Subject: Re: Great Highway: Closure at Friday 12PM does not work -
Date: Thursday, July 18, 2024 5:20:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is William Smith
My email address is sfowjs@sbcglobal.net

Hello Mayor Breed, District Supervisors, SFCTA and SFMTA

The first week of the Mayor's compromise plan under which the Great Highway is open to cars Monday through Friday until noon is now behind us. Aside from a couple of Critical Mass-like stunts by the no-compromise zealots, and a few issues with signage and the timing of the gate closures, the new arrangement seemed to go smoothly and to accommodate all interests.

However, the point of the compromise arrangement is to allow drivers to use the Highway during the week, when they are taking kids to school, traveling to and from jobs, etc. There seems to be little rhyme or reason to closing the Highway so early on Fridays, forcing people who are trying to get home to start their weekends to be caught up in the traffic mess that the closed Highway brings. Friday also tends to be "getaway" day, with many folks trying to leave town (including many who want the Highway closed to drivers), and cutting off this access route makes little sense. Indeed, the traffic conditions reverted to "horrendous" this first Friday once the Great Highway was closed, just as the work week was winding down.

That said, I ask that you adjust the closure hours so that the Great Highway is available to drivers through Friday's evening commute. Keep in mind, once it's dark, no one is using it but vehicles. Rather than closing it at noon on Fridays, let the closure wait until 6:00 a.m. on Saturday, consistent with Monday's 6:00 a.m. reopening.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,
William Smith

<https://www.openthegreathighway.com/gh-friday-closure-at-12pm>

From: [Fred Tan](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); info@openthegreathighway.com; [Commission, Recpark \(REC\)](#); [Ginsburg, Phil \(REC\)](#); clerk@sfcta.org
Subject: Re: Great Highway: Closure at Friday 12PM does not work -
Date: Thursday, July 18, 2024 8:03:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Fred Tan
My email address is fusatang@gmail.com

Hello Mayor Breed, District Supervisors, SFCTA and SFMTA

The first week of the Mayor's compromise plan under which the Great Highway is open to cars Monday through Friday until noon is now behind us. Aside from a couple of Critical Mass-like stunts by the no-compromise zealots, and a few issues with signage and the timing of the gate closures, the new arrangement seemed to go smoothly and to accommodate all interests.

However, the point of the compromise arrangement is to allow drivers to use the Highway during the week, when they are taking kids to school, traveling to and from jobs, etc. There seems to be little rhyme or reason to closing the Highway so early on Fridays, forcing people who are trying to get home to start their weekends to be caught up in the traffic mess that the closed Highway brings. Friday also tends to be "getaway" day, with many folks trying to leave town (including many who want the Highway closed to drivers), and cutting off this access route makes little sense. Indeed, the traffic conditions reverted to "horrendous" this first Friday once the Great Highway was closed, just as the work week was winding down.

That said, I ask that you adjust the closure hours so that the Great Highway is available to drivers through Friday's evening commute. Keep in mind, once it's dark, no one is using it but vehicles. Rather than closing it at noon on Fridays, let the closure wait until 6:00 a.m. on Saturday, consistent with Monday's 6:00 a.m. reopening.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,
Fred Tan

<https://www.openthegreathighway.com/gh-friday-closure-at-12pm>

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Item 33 BOS meeting July 23, 2024
Date: Thursday, July 25, 2024 12:01:22 PM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding **File No. 240724**:

Ordinance approving Health Service System plans and contribution rates for calendar year 2025.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: herbert weiner <h.weiner@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2024 4:43 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Item 33 BOS meeting July 23, 2024

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable Members of the Board:

As an 85 year old city retiree in assisted living, I wish to express my dissent against the decision of the Budget and Finance Committee's approval of the replacement of the United Health Care plan with Blue Shield.

The Health Service System itself found United Health's performance to be superior to Blue Shield.

The Health Service Board illegally voted to overturn the original decision to keep United Health Care. By the rules of the Health Service System itself, only a Board member who originally approved the decision to approve United Health can propose a resolution to overturn it. This did not happen, because no member approving UHC put forth the resolution to reject United Health in favor of Blue Shield.

Further, Dr. Fiona Wilson, new to the Board, replaced Dr. Steven Folansbee who was fired the weekend before the vote of reversal was taken.

This is dirty politics.

The justification is saving the city money for the next fiscal year.

This is based on predictions, not actual economic performance of city for the following year. The city could have a robust, flourishing recovery next year, but patients of Blue Shield will be saddled with an inferior plan.

A plan of high quality is needed to serve retired employees with severe health problems. Many have served San Francisco at the risk, if not the sacrifice, of their lives.

This is an ageist insult to public servants and reflects ill on those approving the decision to accept Blue Shield.

Harvey Rose, the budget analyst, has noted that Blue Shield can increase its cost, subverting the original intent of the city saving money.

The decision to approve Blue Shield is not only mean, it is stupid.

We need to keep United Health Care.

Please vote no on Item 33.

Herbert J. Weiner

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 27 Letters Regarding File No. 240731
Date: Thursday, July 25, 2024 12:23:14 PM
Attachments: [27 Letters Regarding File No. 240731.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 27 letters regarding **File No. 240731:**

Resolution approving and authorizing the Director of Property to enter into a real property lease with Twin Peaks Petroleum, Inc., a California corporation, doing business as Twin Peaks Auto Care, successor-in-interest to Michael Gharib, for approximately 14,499 square feet located at 598 Portola Drive.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Bill O'Such](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: YES on File No. 240731 - Twin Peaks Auto Care
Date: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 5:44:35 PM
Attachments: [TwinPeaksAuto.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Board of Supervisors

Please see the attached in support of a YES on File No. 240731 for Twin Peaks Auto Care.

Best
Bill
4640 17th Street

From: [Daniel Mitchell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Save Twin Peaks Auto Care
Date: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 8:09:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Please vote yes on File No. 240731 sponsored by Supervisor Melgar to support the new lease for Twin Peaks Auto Care as an alternative fueling station.

Twin Peaks Auto Care is an independent, active neighborhood-serving business with Legacy Business designation. We have relied on this business for fuel and auto repair for decades. They are 5-STAR rated on Google and Yelp!

Twin Peaks Auto Care's plan to become an alternative fueling station offering renewable fuels, biodiesel, and ethanol will be safer, cleaner, and greener for the environment.

There are currently no planned uses when Twin Peaks Auto Care's existing lease expires. The unique small parcel of land at this busy intersection has been deemed problematic for housing. Please approve this new lease to prevent this property from becoming a long-term vacant lot and eyesore that will be costly for the City to maintain. The City can make \$ Millions from rent, or spend a fortune tending to a empty lot.

We are all very dependent on this station to keep our vehicles in top condition. Twin Peaks Auto Care will continue serving our community, providing jobs and support our City's transition to greener alternatives if you vote yes for this lease.

Thank you for your consideration!

Sincerely,
Daniel Mitchell
60 Piedmont St
SF, CA 94117

From: [Sarah Gharib](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Twin Peaks Petroleum
Date: Thursday, July 25, 2024 9:34:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

Please vote yes on File No. 240731 to support the new lease for Twin Peaks Auto Care as an alternative fueling station.

Twin Peaks Auto Care is a local small business I have been going to for years. I grew up in the neighborhood and would hate to have to use corporate gas stations such as Chevron and Shell, which are the only ones in the area.

Twin Peaks Auto Care plans to offer renewable fuels, biodiesel, and ethanol if the lease is renewed. This aligns well with the city's plans to create an environmentally conscious metropolis, especially since many residents of San Francisco cannot afford an electric car or do not have the garage to charge one.

As there are no plans for the land once the lease expires, it would be unnecessary and harmful to the community to not allow for an extension of the lease. Please approve this new lease to prevent this property from becoming a long-term vacancy. If not approved, this will be challenging and costly for San Francisco to maintain, especially due to the underground gas tanks.

Twin Peaks Auto Care will continue serving our community, provide jobs, and support our city's transition to greener alternatives.

Thank you for your time!

Sincerely,

Sarah

From: [Mila Zehntbauer](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Twin Peaks Auto Care (TPAC) and its importance to our neighborhood
Date: Thursday, July 25, 2024 9:44:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Twin Peaks Auto Care (TPAC) operates on City land and the lease is set to expire at the end of next year. We are asking for your help to keep our **Fuel** and five star rated **Auto Repair Business** open for decades to come!

After several years of discussions with the City's Department of Real Estate, Twin Peaks Auto Care has a pending lease with the City to continue operating an alternative fuel and energy station.

Twin Peaks Auto Care is the last operating independent fueling station on Market Street/Portola Way, from Castro Street to West Portal Avenue. TPAC has been designated as a Legacy Business in San Francisco. The Legacy Business Program is for businesses 30+ years old that add to San Francisco's culture.

Mike Gharib, a close friend of mine, has lived in Midtown Terrace for over 25 years and participates in numerous neighborhood events. Twin Peaks Auto Care is an institution here on the hill. The community also relies on Ken Lau and his **5-STAR** (Google, Yelp) rated auto repair crew to keep their vehicles running like new. Twin Peaks Auto Care's much-loved repair shop will continue to operate if the lease is approved.

Twin Peaks Auto Care is committed to being at the forefront of new advances in bio-fuel infrastructure. The station's new multi compartment storage tanks will provide two additional renewable fuels with new dispensers capable of dispensing Biodiesel and E85 (ethanol) in addition to the three grades of gasoline.

If the Board of Supervisors does not approve Twin Peaks Auto Care's lease, then there is no City plan for the 598 Portola Drive property. The property will become a vacant lot and eyesore for years to come and two thriving businesses will be gone.

I ask to you please allow Mike and his business to continue to have a positive impact on our neighborhood and community.

Thanks,
Mila

24 July 2024

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please vote yes on File No. 240731 sponsored by Supervisor Melgar to **support the new lease for Twin Peaks Auto Care** as an alternative fueling station.

Twin Peaks Auto Care is an independent, active neighborhood-serving business with Legacy Business designation. We have relied on this business for fuel and auto repair for decades and with very few stations in San Francisco, it is critical to keep it going.

Further, Twin Peaks Auto Care's plan to become an alternative fueling station offering renewable fuels, biodiesel, and ethanol will be safer, cleaner, and greener for the environment.

There are currently no planned uses when Twin Peaks Auto Care's existing lease expires. The unique small parcel of land at this busy intersection has been deemed problematic for housing. **Please approve this new lease to prevent this property from becoming a long-term vacant lot and eyesore** that will be costly for the City to maintain.

We are all very dependent on this station to keep our vehicles in top condition. Twin Peaks Auto Care will continue serving our community, providing jobs and support our City's transition to greener alternatives if you vote yes for this lease.

I just filled up there a few minutes ago!

Thank you for your consideration!

Sincerely,

William O'Such
4640 17th Street
San Francisco, CA 94117

From: [Charles Whitfield](#)
To: [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [PeskinStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [StefaniStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SafaiStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Sarah Ranney](#); [Liana Warren](#); [Peter Belden](#)
Subject: Sierra Club opposes Twin Peaks Petroleum lease renewal
Date: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 11:40:55 AM
Attachments: [Sierra Club opposes Twin Peaks Petroleum lease renewal.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please find attached a letter from the Sierra Club opposing the renewal of the Twin Peaks Petroleum lease.

Charles Whitfield
Executive Committee Chair
Sierra Club SF Group



SIERRA CLUB

SAN FRANCISCO BAY

Serving Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin and San Francisco counties

July 24th 2024

To: SF Board of Supervisors

Subject: Sierra Club opposes Twin Peaks Petroleum lease renewal

Dear Supervisors,

The Sierra Club opposes the San Francisco Board of Supervisors' proposal to authorize a renewal of the lease of Twin Peaks Petroleum, a gas station operating on city-owned land. As outlined in our Energy Resources Policy, the Sierra Club understands the urgent need to transition away from fossil fuel technology to renewable energy sources to combat global warming and the depletion of energy resources.

Sierra Club's policy emphasizes the significant environmental and public health damages caused by the continued use of fossil fuels, such as the gasoline sold at Twin Peaks Petroleum. The policy further states: "continuing business as usual for even a few more years will greatly increase the risk of harmful and irreversible climate change." Allowing this gas station to continue operating on public land contradicts our responsibility to prioritize environmental stewardship and community health.

Given the Sierra Club's commitment to phasing out fossil fuels and transitioning to clean energy, we cannot support the lease renewal for a gas station, especially on city-owned land. Our vision for a sustainable energy future involves developing and promoting low-carbon energy resources. Extending this lease undermines these efforts and sets a poor precedent for the use of public resources.

Therefore, the Sierra Club strongly opposes the renewal of Twin Peaks Petroleum's lease and urges the San Francisco Board of Supervisors to focus on clean, renewable energy alternatives that align with a sustainable energy future.

Sincerely,

Charles Whitfield
Chair, Executive Committee
Sierra Club San Francisco Group

From: [Ashland Joyner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Date: Thursday, July 18, 2024 4:51:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear supervisors,

Please vote yes on File No. 240731 sponsored by Supervisor Melgar to support the new lease for Twin Peaks Auto Care as an alternative fueling station. Twin Peaks Auto is an amazing independent gas station and service station that many locals prefer over the big chains that have pushed so many locally owned stations out of business. I personally rely on their great service and knowledge to keep my car running on these hills.

Thanks for your time,
Ashland Joyner

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Ej Wong](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Retain and grow Twin Peaks Auto
Date: Friday, July 19, 2024 2:38:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Decision Makers,

I write to implore your unwavering support for File No. 240731, sponsored by Supervisor Melgar, which seeks to secure a new lease for Twin Peaks Auto Care—a vital establishment that transcends mere business and stands as a cornerstone of our Midtown Terrace neighborhood.

The Heartbeat of Midtown Terrace

Twin Peaks Auto Care isn't just a place to refuel or repair vehicles; it's woven into the fabric of our community. For decades, it has been the trusted hub where neighbors gather, share stories, and exchange friendly nods. It's where we catch up on local news, discuss the weather, and celebrate life's milestones. The familiar faces behind the counter—knowing our names, our kids, and our pets—create a sense of belonging that extends beyond transactions.

A Beacon for Surrounding Businesses

But its impact reaches far beyond its four walls. Picture the bustling intersection where Twin Peaks Auto Care resides—a crossroads where commuters, families, and visitors converge. The aroma of fresh coffee from the nearby café mingles with the scent of motor oil, creating a unique blend that defines our neighborhood. The auto shop's presence draws foot traffic, benefiting neighboring businesses—the cozy bookstore, the quirky antique store, and the family-owned bakery. Their success is intertwined with Twin Peaks Auto Care's survival.

Economic Resilience and Local Jobs

Consider the economic ripple effect. By supporting this lease, we safeguard jobs—not just within the auto shop but also across the street at the hardware store and the flower shop. These are livelihoods that sustain families, pay mortgages, and put food on tables. The auto mechanics, the service attendants, and the tire experts—they're part of our extended family. Their well-being matters.

Environmental Responsibility

Twin Peaks Auto Care's transformation into an alternative fueling station aligns with our collective commitment to environmental stewardship. As we transition away from fossil fuels, this station will offer renewable options—biodiesel, ethanol, and other sustainable fuels. It's a step toward cleaner air, reduced emissions, and a healthier planet for generations to come.

The Vacant Lot Dilemma

As the existing lease nears its end, we face a crossroads. The small parcel of land, once earmarked for housing, now stands vacant. Approving this new lease ensures that it won't languish—an eyesore that mocks our aspirations. Instead, it becomes a thriving hub, a beacon of progress, and a testament to our collective vision.

Your Decision Matters

Board members, your vote transcends bureaucracy. It shapes our neighborhood's identity, resilience, and future. Let us not forget that every decision made here echoes through our streets, our parks, and our hearts.

In Conclusion

I urge you to cast your vote with the community in mind. Twin Peaks Auto Care isn't just about fuel pumps and repair bays; it's about us—the people who call this place home. Let us rally behind this beacon of community spirit and ensure that it continues to serve us for years to come.

Thank you for your dedication to our Midtown Terrace community.

Eric J. Wong

From: phaedrafisher@comcast.net
To: [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please RENEW the lease for Twin Peaks Auto Care - SF Bill 240731
Date: Saturday, July 20, 2024 12:02:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello SF Council Members Mandelman, Melgar, and Chan

I am a home owner on 18th Street near Market (District 8 – Mandelman) and I am writing to voice my strong endorsement to renew the lease for Twin Peaks Auto Care. SF Bill 240731.

San Francisco needs this gas station for so many reasons:

1. Every closure of a gas station increases the cost of living and makes life more difficult for San Franciscans. This is already a very expensive and difficult city. Don't make it worse for those of us who need to drive in order to get to work and live our lives.
2. Twin Peaks Auto Care is one of the last remaining independent gas stations in the city. They provide a high quality service at a reasonable price: Five stars on Yelp and reliably one of the lowest priced gas stations in the city. This is exactly the sort of business that should be endorsed, not destroyed through city action.
3. There is no logical use for the plot of land if the lease is not renewed. With the lease renewed we have a business that residents rely on, employment for residents, and SF tax revenue. Without the lease we have a vacant lot – loss of jobs, higher cost of living, more urban blight, loss of tax revenue.

Please support our community and small business and renew the lease for Twin Peaks Auto Care!

Regards,

Phaedra Fisher
18th Street @ Hattie
SF CA

From: [Jim Irving](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Twin Peaks Auto Care File NO.240731
Date: Sunday, July 21, 2024 12:56:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please vote yes on File No. 240731 sponsored by Supervisor Melgar to support the new lease for Twin Peaks Auto Care as an alternative fueling station.

Twin Peaks Auto Care is an independent, active neighborhood-serving business with Legacy Business designation. We have relied on this business for fuel and auto repair for decades.

There are currently, no planned uses when Twin Peaks Auto Care's existing lease expires. The unique small parcel of land at this busy intersection has been deemed problematic for housing. Please approve this new lease to prevent this property from becoming a long-term vacant lot and eyesore that will be costly for the City to maintain. Instead of the city losing more tax revenue by another closed business this is a vital service for the local elderly community in the area of Twin Peaks and will bring revenue instead of another empty lot and building not bringing in any tax revenue. We don't need more empty businesses in San Francisco this all leads to less tax revenue.

We in the local and elderly communities depend on this station to keep our vehicles in top condition. Twin Peaks Auto Care will continue serving our community in the future.

Thank you
Sincerely,
James Irving

From: [Hatun Noguera](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please vote yes on File No. 240731 Twin Peaks Auto Care
Date: Sunday, July 21, 2024 2:09:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please vote yes on File No. 240731 sponsored by Supervisor Melgar to support the new lease for Twin Peaks Auto Care as an alternative fueling station.

Twin Peaks Auto Care is an independent, active neighborhood-serving business with Legacy Business designation. We have relied on this business for fuel and auto repair for decades. They are 5-STAR rated on Google and Yelp!

Twin Peaks Auto Care's plan to become an alternative fueling station offering renewable fuels, biodiesel, and ethanol will be safer, cleaner, and greener for the environment.

There are currently no planned uses when Twin Peaks Auto Care's existing lease expires. The unique small parcel of land at this busy intersection has been deemed problematic for housing. Please approve this new lease to prevent this property from becoming a long-term vacant lot and eyesore that will be costly for the City to maintain. The City can make \$ Millions from rent, or spend a fortune tending to a empty lot.

We are all very dependent on this station to keep our vehicles in top condition. Twin Peaks Auto Care will continue serving our community, providing jobs and support our City's transition to greener alternatives if you vote yes for this lease.

Thank you for your consideration!

Hatun Noguera
Teresita Boulevard 94127

From: [Emil Fogarino](#)
To: [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please renew Twin Peaks Auto Care Lease!!!!
Date: Sunday, July 21, 2024 2:09:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors :

Please vote yes on File No. 240731 sponsored by Supervisor Melgar to support the new lease for Twin Peaks Auto Care as an alternative fueling station.

Twin Peaks Auto Care is an independent, active neighborhood-serving business with Legacy Business designation. We have relied on this business for fuel and auto repair for decades. They are 5-STAR rated on Google and Yelp!

Twin Peaks Auto Care's plan to become an alternative fueling station offering renewable fuels, biodiesel, and ethanol will be safer, cleaner, and greener for the environment.

There are currently no planned uses when Twin Peaks Auto Care's existing lease expires. The unique small parcel of land at this busy intersection has been deemed problematic for housing. Please approve this new lease to prevent this property from becoming a long-term vacant lot and eyesore that will be costly for the City to maintain. The City can make \$ Millions from rent, or spend a fortune tending to a empty lot.

We are all very dependent on this station to keep our vehicles in top condition. Twin Peaks Auto Care will continue serving our community, providing jobs and support our City's transition to greener alternatives if you vote yes for this lease.

Thank you for your consideration!

Sincerely,

Emil Fogarino...neighborhood resident and retired teacher from SFUSD who critically depends on the services provided by Twin Peaks Auto Care

From: [Kristin Greene](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please approve the Lease: Twin Peaks Auto Care
Date: Sunday, July 21, 2024 6:55:54 PM
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors-

Please vote yes on File No. 240731 sponsored by Supervisor Melgar to support the new lease for Twin Peaks Auto Care as an alternative fueling station. Let's support our local neighborhoods and businesses. Common sense voting is what all SF residents

Twin Peaks Auto Care is an independent, active neighborhood-serving business with Legacy Business designation. We have relied on this business for fuel and auto repair for decades. They are 5-Star rated on Google and Yelp!

Twin Peaks Auto Care's plan to become an alternative fueling station offering renewable fuels, biodiesel, and ethanol will be safer, cleaner and greener for the environment.

There are currently no planned uses when Twin Peaks Auto Care's existing lease expires. The unique small parcel of land at this busy intersection has deemed problematic for housing. Please approve this new lease to prevent this property from becoming long-term vacant and eyesore that will be costly for the City to maintain.

The City can make \$ millions from rent, or spend a fortune tending to an empty lot.

We are all very dependent on this station to keep our vehicles in top condition. Twin Peaks Auto Care will continue servicing our community, providing jobs, and support our City's transition to greener alternatives if you vote yes for the lease.

Thank you for your consideration and common sense vote.

Sincerely 20+year customer and local resident,
Kristin Greene

Kristin A. Greene
(415) 987-1065

From: [Elise Shibles](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: lease for Twin Peaks Auto Care
Date: Sunday, July 21, 2024 7:51:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors

Please vote yes on File No. 240731 sponsored by Supervisor Melgar to support the new lease for Twin Peaks Auto Care as an alternative fueling station.

Twin Peaks Auto Care is an independent, active neighborhood-serving business with Legacy Business designation. We have relied on this business for fuel and auto repair for over a decade. They are 5-STAR rated on Google and Yelp!

Twin Peaks Auto Care plans to become an alternative fueling station offering renewable fuels, biodiesel, and ethanol, which will be safer, cleaner, and greener for the environment.

There are currently no planned uses when Twin Peaks Auto Care's existing lease expires. The unique small parcel of land at this busy intersection has been deemed problematic for housing. Please approve this new lease to prevent this property from becoming a long-term vacant lot and eyesore that will be costly for the City to maintain.

We are very dependent on this station. Twin Peaks Auto Care will continue serving our community, providing jobs and support our City's transition to greener alternatives if you vote yes for this lease.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Elise Shibles
Malta Drive Resident

From: [Grace Koch](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Koch Family](#)
Subject: Save Twin Peaks Auto Care"s Lease @ 598 Portola Drive
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 10:45:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello All,

I am writing you as a resident of San Francisco that lives 3 blocks from Twin Peaks Auto Care. I have lived in the Miraloma Park neighborhood since 1986 and have been using their services all these years.

Please extend their lease. We need a gas station in our neighborhood and their prices are usually lower than other gas stations in adjoining neighborhoods. As a senior citizen, I rely on their services.

Many years ago there use to be four gas stations at this intersection of Portola and O'Shaughnessy and the Miraloma Shopping area. Now there is only one--Twin Peaks Auto Care. If their lease is not extended, it will probably remain an empty lot for many years due to the economic climate.

Thank you for your assistance with this matter. Please vote to extend their lease.

Sincerely,

[Grace](#)

Grace Koch
200 Juanita Way
San Francisco

From: [daisy wong](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: PLEASE APPROVE THE LEASE! - TWIN PEAKS AUTO CARE
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 2:04:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please vote yes on File No. 240731 sponsored by Supervisor Melgar to support the new lease for Twin Peaks Auto Care as an alternative fueling station.

Twin Peaks Auto Care is an independent, active neighborhood-serving business with Legacy Business designation. We have relied on this business for fuel and auto repair for decades. They are 5-STAR rated on Google and Yelp!

Twin Peaks Auto Care's plan to become an alternative fueling station offering renewable fuels, biodiesel, and ethanol will be safer, cleaner, and greener for the environment.

There are currently no planned uses when Twin Peaks Auto Care's existing lease expires. The unique small parcel of land at this busy intersection has been deemed problematic for housing. Please approve this new lease to prevent this property from becoming a long-term vacant lot and eyesore that will be costly for the City to maintain. The City can make \$ Millions from rent, or spend a fortune tending to a empty lot.

We are all very dependent on this station to keep our vehicles in top condition. Twin Peaks Auto Care will continue serving our community, providing jobs and support our City's transition to greener alternatives if you vote yes for this lease.

Thank you for your consideration!

Sincerely,

Daisy Wong

From: [Barbara Brenner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: File # 240731- Twin Peaks Auto Care
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 2:55:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please **approve the new lease**, File No. 240731, for Twin Peaks Auto Care located at 598 Portola Drive and Woodside Blvd. The station is a well-loved neighborhood repair shop and the last operating independent fueling station on Market Street/Portola Way- -from Castro Street to West Portal Avenue. It is a 30+ year “Legacy Business” in San Francisco.

The owner, Mr. Gharib, has lived in Midtown Terrace for over 25 years and has participated in numerous neighborhood events. Approving the lease will prevent this property from becoming a long-term vacancy that will be challenging and costly for the City to maintain. It is important that Twin Peaks Auto Care be allowed to continue serving our community, provide jobs, and support our City’s transition to greener alternatives. Thank you for your consideration.

Barbara and Jim Brenner

196 Castenada Avenue, San Francisco

Cell- 415-407-4178

[Reply](#) [Reply all](#) [Forward](#)

From: [Flora Burger Kupferman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Twin Peaks Auto Care
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 4:56:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please vote yes on File No. 240731 sponsored by Supervisor Melgar to support the new lease for Twin Peaks Auto Care as an alternative fueling station.

Twin Peaks Auto Care is an independent, active neighborhood-serving business with Legacy Business designation. We have relied on this business for fuel and auto repair for decades. They are 5-STAR rated on Google and Yelp!

Twin Peaks Auto Care's plan to become an alternative fueling station offering renewable fuels, biodiesel, and ethanol will be safer, cleaner, and greener for the environment.

There are currently no planned uses when Twin Peaks Auto Care's existing lease expires. The unique small parcel of land at this busy intersection has been deemed problematic for housing. Please approve this new lease to prevent this property from becoming a long-term vacant lot and eyesore that will be costly for the City to maintain. The City can make \$ Millions from rent, or spend a fortune tending to a empty lot.

We are all very dependent on this station to keep our vehicles in top condition. Twin Peaks Auto Care will continue serving our community, providing jobs and support our City's transition to greener alternatives if you vote yes for this lease.

Thank you for your consideration!

Sincerely,

Flora Kupferman
149 Warren Drive
San Francisco 94131

From: [Charlene Thomas](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Twin peaks auto renewal of lease
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 5:08:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This is a valuable small business with hardworking owners. Please renew the lease . Thank you. Charlene Thomas of 136 Dellbrook, SF
[Sent from AT&T Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

From: [Ramona Draeger](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Twin Peaks Auto Care's lease
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 5:11:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Elected Officials,

I frequent Twin Peaks Auto Care and value their services and commitment to our community.

Twin Peaks Auto Care (TPAC) operates on City land and the lease is set to expire at the end of next year. We are asking for your help to keep our **Fuel** and five star rated **Auto Repair Business** open for decades to come!

After several years of discussions with the City's Department of Real Estate, Twin Peaks Auto Care has a pending lease with the City to continue operating an alternative fuel and energy station.

Twin Peaks Auto Care is the last operating independent fueling station on Market Street/Portola Way, from Castro Street to West Portal Avenue. TPAC has been designated as a Legacy Business in San Francisco. The Legacy Business Program is for businesses 30+ years old that add to San Francisco's culture.

Mike Gharib has lived in Midtown Terrace for over 25 years and participates in numerous neighborhood events. Twin Peaks Auto Care is an institution here on the hill. The community also relies on Ken Lau and his 5-STAR (Google, Yelp) rated auto repair crew to keep their vehicles running like new. Twin Peaks Auto Care's much-loved repair shop will continue to operate if the lease is approved.

Twin Peaks Auto Care is committed to being at the forefront of new advances in bio-fuel infrastructure. The station's new multi compartment storage tanks will provide two additional renewable fuels with new dispensers capable of dispensing Biodiesel and E85 (ethanol) in addition to the three grades of gasoline.

If the Board of Supervisors does not approve Twin Peaks Auto Care's lease, then there is no City plan for the 598 Portola Drive property. The property will become a vacant lot and eyesore for years to come and two thriving businesses will be gone.

I hope as elected officials you also value our community and the businesses that serve our community. It would be a shame to end these needed businesses and create another

eyesore vacant lot.

Remember, we voted you in and can vote you out. The community is watching and we will remember when we head to the polls again.

Please approve Twin Peaks Auto Care lease.

Sincerely,
Ramona Draeger

From: [Jania Sawyer](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Jania Sawyer](#)
Subject: PLEASE APPROVE THE LEASE! TWIN PEAKS AUTO CARE
Date: Monday, July 22, 2024 10:02:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to you directly to please vote yes on File No. 240731 sponsored by Supervisor Melgar to support the new lease for Twin Peaks Auto Care as an alternative fueling station.

For as long as I can remember, there has always been a service station at the corner of Portola and Woodside and Twin Peaks Auto Care has been here for the longest time.

Twin Peaks Auto Care is an independent, active neighborhood-serving business with Legacy Business designation. We have relied on this business for fuel and auto repair for decades. They are 5-STAR rated on Google and Yelp!

Twin Peaks Auto Care's plan to become an alternative fueling station offering renewable fuels, biodiesel, and ethanol will be safer, cleaner, and greener for the environment.

There are currently no planned uses when Twin Peaks Auto Care's existing lease expires. The unique small parcel of land at this busy intersection has been deemed problematic for housing. Please approve this new lease to prevent this property from becoming a long-term vacant lot and eyesore that will be costly for the City to maintain. The City can make \$ Millions from rent, or spend a fortune tending to a empty lot and considering there are no service station close by that also has gas at a reasonable price, why make it more difficult for those who live in the area (especially seniors who still drive) by making us drive several miles away. And even some these stations only sell gas.

We are all very dependent on this station to keep our vehicles in top condition. Twin Peaks Auto Care will continue serving our community, providing jobs and support our City's transition to greener alternatives if you vote yes for this lease.

Thank you for your consideration!

Sincerely,

Jania Sawyer
Midtown Terrace Resident

From: [Karen Wood](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please vote yes on File No. 240731 Twin Peaks Auto Care
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 12:00:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please vote Yes on File No. 240731 sponsored by Supervisor Melgar to support the new lease for Twin Peaks Auto Care as an alternative fueling station.

Twin Peaks Auto Care is an independent, active neighborhood-serving business with Legacy Business designation. We have relied on this business for fuel and auto repair for decades. It is 5-STAR rated on Google and Yelp.

Twin Peaks Auto Care's plan to become an alternative fueling station offering renewable fuels, biodiesel, and ethanol will be safer, cleaner, and greener for the environment.

We are all very dependent on this station to keep our vehicles in top condition. With your support of its new lease, Twin Peaks Auto Care will continue to serve our community, provide jobs, and support San Francisco's transition to greener alternatives. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Karen Wood
Miraloma Park
D7

From: [Jennifer Ryan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Save Twin Peaks Auto Care
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 12:07:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please vote to allow Twin Peaks Auto Care to continue serving the community. Whenever I get gas, it's such a pleasure to say hello to staff members there that I know from getting my car worked on, and it feels good be greeted by name in return. I really like the friendly neighborhood feel of the business. When I've mentioned various car problems to fellow members of our local faith community, they recommended the place to me as well, so I know others have had a similar experience. Having used the auto car repair service for years, I also appreciate the longevity of the business. In fact, when my daughter got her first car, I told her to take it to Ken for first her first oil change! In general, I have great trust in and appreciation for the staff there and their work. I have been impressed by their diligence, efficiency, reasonable prices, and integrity. I would be so sad to lose this vital business in my neighborhood!

Thanks for considering my input,
Jenny Ryan
Resident of Miraloma Park

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Jennifer Z Yan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please support small business lease renewal!
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 12:50:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Jennifer Z Yan
Email jennifer.yan@gmail.com
I live in District

Please support small business lease renewal!

Message:

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

Please vote yes on File No. 240731 sponsored by
Supervisor Melgar to support the new lease for Twin
Peaks Auto Care as an alternative fueling station.

Twin Peaks Auto Care is an independent, active
neighborhood-serving business with Legacy
Business designation. We have relied on this
business for fuel and auto repair for decades. They
are 5-STAR rated on Google and Yelp!

Twin Peaks Auto Care's plan to become an
alternative fueling station offering renewable fuels,
biodiesel, and ethanol will be safer, cleaner, and
greener for the environment.

There are currently no planned uses when Twin
Peaks Auto Care's existing lease expires. The
unique small parcel of land at this busy intersection
has been deemed problematic for housing. Please
approve this new lease to prevent this property from
becoming a long-term vacant lot and eyesore that
will be costly for the City to maintain. The City can
make \$ Millions from rent, or spend a fortune tending
to a empty lot.

We are all very dependent on this station to keep our
vehicles in top condition. Twin Peaks Auto Care will
continue serving our community, providing jobs and

support our City's transition to greener alternatives if
you vote yes for this lease.

Thank you for your consideration!

From: [David Cuadro](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please support small business lease renewal!
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 1:25:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent David Cuadro
Email david.s.cuadro@gmail.com
I live in District

Please support small business lease renewal!

Message:

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

Please vote yes on File No. 240731 sponsored by
Supervisor Melgar to support the new lease for Twin
Peaks Auto Care as an alternative fueling station.

Twin Peaks Auto Care is an independent, active
neighborhood-serving business with Legacy
Business designation. We have relied on this
business for fuel and auto repair for decades. They
are 5-STAR rated on Google and Yelp!

Twin Peaks Auto Care's plan to become an
alternative fueling station offering renewable fuels,
biodiesel, and ethanol will be safer, cleaner, and
greener for the environment.

There are currently no planned uses when Twin
Peaks Auto Care's existing lease expires. The
unique small parcel of land at this busy intersection
has been deemed problematic for housing. Please
approve this new lease to prevent this property from
becoming a long-term vacant lot and eyesore that
will be costly for the City to maintain. The City can
make \$ Millions from rent, or spend a fortune tending
to a empty lot.

We are all very dependent on this station to keep our
vehicles in top condition. Twin Peaks Auto Care will
continue serving our community, providing jobs and

support our City's transition to greener alternatives if
you vote yes for this lease.

Thank you for your consideration!

From: [Jay Elliott](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please support small business lease renewal!
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 1:29:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Jay Elliott
Email jayelliott415@gmail.com
I live in District

Please support small business lease renewal!

Message:

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

Please vote yes on File No. 240731 sponsored by
Supervisor Melgar to support the new lease for Twin
Peaks Auto Care as an alternative fueling station.

Twin Peaks Auto Care is an independent, active
neighborhood-serving business with Legacy
Business designation. We have relied on this
business for fuel and auto repair for decades. They
are 5-STAR rated on Google and Yelp!

Twin Peaks Auto Care's plan to become an
alternative fueling station offering renewable fuels,
biodiesel, and ethanol will be safer, cleaner, and
greener for the environment.

There are currently no planned uses when Twin
Peaks Auto Care's existing lease expires. The
unique small parcel of land at this busy intersection
has been deemed problematic for housing. Please
approve this new lease to prevent this property from
becoming a long-term vacant lot and eyesore that
will be costly for the City to maintain. The City can
make \$ Millions from rent, or spend a fortune tending
to a empty lot.

We are all very dependent on this station to keep our
vehicles in top condition. Twin Peaks Auto Care will
continue serving our community, providing jobs and

support our City's transition to greener alternatives if
you vote yes for this lease.

Thank you for your consideration!

From: [Angela Tickler](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please support small business lease renewal!
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 7:34:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor

From your constituent Angela Tickler
Email angela.tickler@yahoo.com
I live in District

Please support small business lease renewal!

Message:

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors & Mayor
London Breed,

Please vote yes on File No. 240731 sponsored by
Supervisor Melgar to support the new lease for Twin
Peaks Auto Care as an alternative fueling station.

Twin Peaks Auto Care is an independent, active
neighborhood-serving business with Legacy
Business designation. We have relied on this
business for fuel and auto repair for decades. They
are 5-STAR rated on Google and Yelp!

Twin Peaks Auto Care's plan to become an
alternative fueling station offering renewable fuels,
biodiesel, and ethanol will be safer, cleaner, and
greener for the environment.

There are currently no planned uses when Twin
Peaks Auto Care's existing lease expires. The
unique small parcel of land at this busy intersection
has been deemed problematic for housing. Please
approve this new lease to prevent this property from
becoming a long-term vacant lot and eyesore that
will be costly for the City to maintain. The City can
make \$ Millions from rent, or spend a fortune tending
to a empty lot.

We are all very dependent on this station to keep our
vehicles in top condition. Twin Peaks Auto Care will
continue serving our community, providing jobs and

support our City's transition to greener alternatives if
you vote yes for this lease.

Thank you for your consideration!

From: [Brandon Martinez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please Approve Lease for Twin Peaks Auto Care
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 10:52:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

Please vote yes on File No. 240731 sponsored by Supervisor Melgar to support the new lease for Twin Peaks Auto Care as an alternative fueling station.

Twin Peaks Auto Care is an independent, active neighborhood-serving business with Legacy Business designation. We have relied on this business for fuel and auto repair for decades. They are 5-STAR rated on Google and Yelp!

Twin Peaks Auto Care's plan to become an alternative fueling station offering renewable fuels, biodiesel, and ethanol will be safer, cleaner, and greener for the environment.

There are currently no planned uses when Twin Peaks Auto Care's existing lease expires. The unique small parcel of land at this busy intersection has been deemed problematic for housing. Please approve this new lease to prevent this property from becoming a long-term vacant lot and eyesore that will be costly for the City to maintain. The City can make \$ Millions from rent, or spend a fortune tending to a empty lot.

We are all very dependent on this station to keep our vehicles in top condition. Twin Peaks Auto Care will continue serving our community, providing jobs and support our City's transition to greener alternatives if you vote yes for this lease.

Thank you for your consideration!

Sincerely,
Brandon Martinez

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS Legislation. \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 2 Letters Regarding File No. 240773
Date: Thursday, July 25, 2024 12:13:35 PM
Attachments: [2 Letters Regarding File No. 240773.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 2 letters regarding **File No. 240773:**

Resolution supporting workers at Subway franchises in San Francisco who have been denied minimum wages, overtime wages, and breaks, and urging these Subway franchises to pay all current and former workers the wages stolen from them and to immediately comply with state minimum wage laws mandating payment of at least \$20 per hour to all workers for all hours worked.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Shara Orquiza](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 7/23 Public Comment in Support of Subway Ordinance
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 3:04:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi my name is Shara Orquiza and i work in district 11 and live in district 10 I'm a current case manager at the Filipino Community Center. We work closely with TUWU to serve migrant workers. I am speaking in support of the subway workers resolution demanding the franchise owners to do the right thing! I believe immigrant workers deserve justice because

Throughout the pandemic we saw exploitation of immigrant workers heighten in the form of wage theft. It's outrageous but it surprising to know that these practice are still in place like Subway. And it's disheartening to know that continue to exploit migrant workers by not giving them breaks or their rightful wages.

They deserve to live better than this, especially living in San Francisco that suppose to support migrants and uplift and protect their rights. We already know that their lives is hard as it is with trying to pay for their basic needs like housing, utilities and other necessities to provide for their families. We need to do better for them.

This is why I urge you to hold subway accountable! Stop letting them pay minimum wage and ensure we enforce them to give breaks and overtime to its workers!

Shara C. Orquiza
Filipino Community Center
4681 Mission Street, San Francisco, CA 94112
Office line: 415-333-6267
Email: info@filipinocc.org

From: [Jared Luansing](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Subway Workers Resolution (7/23/2024)
Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 3:05:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Public Comment:

Hello supervisors, my name is Jared I'm a staff member at the Filipino Community Center— we serve Filipino migrant workers in District 11. We are speaking in support of the Subway workers resolution that demands the company's franchise owners to do the right thing!

We support the Subway workers and their fight to change the conditions of their workplace for the better. Workers deserve to be paid what they are owed and they should be treated with respect.

Workers from 7 different franchises in the city have reported being paid less than the state and city minimum wage— as low as \$13 an hour. These franchises seem to specifically hire migrant workers to take advantage of them.

A large franchise such as Subway should not be allowed to take advantage of workers in San Francisco— a city which claims to be accepting of immigrant communities. All across San Francisco, migrant workers are taken advantage of and abused in their workplaces— but because of the determination and bravery of these essential workers with support from TUWU, they are actively making a much needed change in our city.

The Filipino Community Center supports the rights of all migrant workers in San Francisco, and we support a resolution that will hold Subway franchises accountable for taking advantage of our communities. Thank you.

Best,
Jared Luansing
jared@filipinocc.org