| | 1 |
|---|---|
| | 2 |
| | 3 |
| | 4 |
| | 5 |
| | 6 |
| | 7 |
| | 8 |
| | 9 |
| 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 |
| | 2 |
| 1 | 3 |
| 1 | 4 |
| | 5 |
| | 6 |
| | 7 |
| 1 | 8 |
| 1 | |
| | 0 |
| 2 | |
| 2 | |
| 2 | 3 |

24

25

[Affirming the Planning Department Determination of Exemption for 1111 California Street (Nob Hill Masonic Center) Project]

Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that the project located at 1111 California Street (Nob Hill Masonic Center) is exempt from environmental review.

WHEREAS, On or about January 18, 2010, the Planning Department determined that a proposal to change the existing non-conforming entertainment to "Other Entertainment," add permanent food and beverage service, and make interior alterations to the auditorium and other interior spaces to the property located at 1111 California Street (the "Project") was exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines and San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 31 as a Class 32 categorical exemption, an "in-fill" development project (the "exemption determination"). By letters to the Clerk of the Board, David Millstein, on behalf of Lee Saylor; and Amy Harmer, Richard Hanson, William Terrell, Adria Price, Aldo Caccamo, David Chow, Donna Muse, and Elizabeth Pomada (collectively, "Appellants"), received by the Clerk's Office on or around April 5, 2010, appealed the exemption determination; and

WHEREAS, On May 4, 2010, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellants, and following the public hearing affirmed the exemption determination; and

WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letters, the responses to concerns document that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to

1 the exemption determination appeal. Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the 2 Board of Supervisors affirmed the exemption determination for the Project based on the 3 written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public 4 hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal. The written record and oral testimony in 5 support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the 6 public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and 7 opposed to the appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of 8 Supervisors File No. _____ and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in 9 its entirety; now therefore be it 10 MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco 11 hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference in this motion, as though fully set 12 forth, the exemption determination; and be it 13 FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that based on the whole 14 record before it there are no substantial Project changes, no substantial changes in Project 15 circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the 16 conclusions set forth in the exemption determination by the Planning Department that the 17 proposed Project is exempt from environmental review; and be it 18 FURTHER MOVED, That after carefully considering the appeal of the exemption

determination, including the written information submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the

determination, this Board concludes that the Project qualifies for a exemption determination

public testimony presented to the Board of Supervisors at the hearing on the exemption

2223

19

20

21

24

25

under CEQA Guidelines Section 15332 (Class 32).