



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Planning Commission Motion No. 20663

HEARING DATE: FEBRUARY 20, 2020

1650 Mission St.
Suite 400
San Francisco,
CA 94103-2479

Record No.: 2007.0168CUA-02
Project Address: Hunters View HOPE SF Development Project
227-229 WEST POINT ROAD
Zoning: RM-1 (Residential, Mixed Low Density) Zoning District
Hunters View Special Use District
40/65-X Height and Bulk District
Block/Lot: 4624/All Lots
Project Sponsor: Hunter's View Associates, LP
1388 Sutter Street, 11th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94109
Staff Contact: Mat Snyder – (415) 575-6891
mathew.snyder@sfgov.org

Reception:
415.558.6378

Fax:
415.558.6409

Planning
Information:
415.558.6377

ADOPTING FINDINGS RELATED TO THE DETERMINATION OF COMPLIANCE UNDER PLANNING CODE SECTION 303(e) TO MODIFY CONDITIONS OF APPROVALS OF PLANNING COMMISSION MOTION NO. 17621 ADOPTED UNDER PLANNING CODE SECTIONS 303 AND 304 BY MODIFYING THREE CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL AND MODIFYING FOUR PROVISIONS IN THE ASSOCIATED HUNTERS VIEW DESIGN-FOR-DEVELOPMENT DOCUMENT BY (1) EXTENDING THE PERFORMANCE PERIOD FOR ANOTHER TEN YEARS (CONDITION NO. 8D AND 8E); (2) ALLOWING MODIFICATIONS FROM DESIGN-FOR-DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS UP TO TEN PERCENT (CONDITION NO. 4A) (3) REQUIRING THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION BE NOTIFIED OF SUBSEQUENT PHASES (CONDITION NO. 6C) (4) ALLOWING BUILDINGS ON LOTS 14 AND 17 EXTEND ABOVE 50-FEET (DESIGN-FOR-DEVELOPMENT SECTION 4.4); (5) REPLACING TWO PROPOSED PARKS WITH ONE LARGER PARK (DESIGN-FOR-DEVELOPMENT SECTIONS 3.1.2 AND 3.1.3); (6) ALLOWING ADDITIONAL PORTIONS OF THE USEABLE OPEN SPACE REQUIREMENT BE MET ON ADJACENT NEW PARKS (DESIGN-FOR-DEVELOPMENT SECTION 4.3); (7) REMOVING THE OFF-STREET PARKING PROVISIONS AND ALLOWING PARKING BE DETERMINED BY THE PLANNING CODE (DESIGN-FOR-DEVELOPMENT 4.12) AND (8) ADDING LANGUAGE TO THE DESIGN-FOR-DEVELOPMENT CLARIFYING PARKING ENTRY DIMENSION LIMITATIONS DO NOT APPLY TO PARKING ALLEYS (DESIGN-FOR-DEVELOPMENT SECTION 4.12), FOR THE PROPOSED HUNTERS VIEW HOPE SF DEVELOPMENT PROJECT LOCATED ON ALL LOTS ON ASSESSOR'S BLOCK 4624 IN THE RM-1 ZONING DISTRICT, THE HUNTERS VIEW SPECIAL USE DISTRICT, AND A 40/65 X HEIGHT AND BULK DISTRICT, AND ADOPT FINDINGS UNDER THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT.

PREAMBLE

On March 27, 2008, the Project Sponsor filed Application No. 2007.0168C for Conditional Use authorization pursuant to Planning Code Sections 303 and 304 to construct a new Planned Unit Development (PUD) for up to 800 dwelling units with exceptions to the following Planning Code requirements: lot width and area (Planning Code Section 121), rear yards (Planning Code Section 134(a) and (c)), usable open space (Planning Code Section 135), allowable obstructions (Planning Code Section 136), spacing of street trees (Planning Code Section 143), parking (Planning Code Sections 150, 151, 154 and 155), bicycle parking (Planning Code Section 155.5), loading (Section 152), dwelling unit exposure (Section 140), measurement of height (Planning Code Sections 102.12 and 260(a)) and density (Planning Code Section 209.1).

The proposed Hunters View HOPE SF Development Project (Project) includes the revitalization of Hunters View and consists of demolition of all existing public housing units and other community facilities on the site, which would result in a mixed-income community that will include up to 800 new residential units and provide one-for-one replacement of the existing 267 public housing units. Of the 800 residential units, the Project would construct 350 affordable rental units (267 of which will be the replacement public housing units). In addition, the net proceeds from the sale of the market-rate, for-sale units will cross-subsidize a portion of the development costs of the public housing replacement units and affordable rental units.

On June 12, 2008, the Department certified the Final Environmental Impact Report for the Hunters View Redevelopment Project (State Clearinghouse No. SCH 2007112086) for the Project (the "Final EIR").

On June 12, 2008, the Planning Commission adopted Motion No. 17621, approving the Conditional Use Authorization-Planned Unit Development, along with Planning Code text and map amendments that created the Hunters View Special Use District (Planning Code Sections 249.44 and 263.23), and changed the height and bulk district for the site from a 40-X Height and Bulk District to a 40/65-X Height and Bulk District ("Original Approvals"). Findings contained within said motion are incorporated herein by this reference thereto as if fully set forth in this Motion.

On September 18, 2018, Hunters View Associates, L.P. (hereinafter "Project Sponsor") filed Application No. 2007.0168CUA-02 (hereinafter "Application") with the Planning Department (hereinafter "Department") to modify Conditions of Approval of Motion No. 17621 by modifying three conditions of approval and modifying five provisions in the Hunters View Design-for-Development ("D4D") document.

On January 16, 2020, the Department issued an addendum to the Final EIR. The FEIR analyzed the environmental effects of implementing the Hunters View project. As shown in the addendum, the modified project would not result in new environmental impacts, substantially increase the severity of the previously identified environmental impacts, nor require new mitigation measures. Additionally, no new information has emerged that would materially change the analyses or conclusions set forth in the FEIR. Therefore, as discussed in more detail below, the modified project would not change the analysis or conclusions reached in the FEIR.

On February 20, 2020, the San Francisco Planning Commission (hereinafter "Commission") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting on Conditional Use/Large Project Authorization/Downtown Project Authorization Application No. 2015-000123CUA.

The Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of the applicant, Department staff, and other interested parties.

MOVED, that the Commission hereby authorizes the Conditional Use requested in Application No. 2007.0168CUA-02, subject to the conditions contained in "EXHIBIT A" of this motion, based on the following findings:

FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

1. The above recitals are accurate and constitute findings of this Commission.
2. **Project Description.** Hunters View is the first HOPE SF project. HOPE SF is a San Francisco program that seeks to completely rebuild four of the City's Housing Authority sites. Through the HOPE SF Program, the City and respective Project Sponsors will rebuild these sites as mixed-income full-service neighborhoods within a built pattern that is more in keeping with typical San Francisco development. Hunters View's Original Approvals were structured as a Planned Unit Development with an extended performance period of ten years and relied on a Design-for-Development (D4D) document to guide the multi-phased buildout.

As part of Planning Commission Motion No. 17621, the Commission adopted a Design-for-Development ("D4D") document as an extension of the Conditions of Approval that specifically laid out development requirements usually regulated by the Planning Code. The D4D enables the Project Sponsor to rely on this document in developing designs for subsequent phases without requiring individual approval from the Planning Commission for such subsequent phases.

The Proposed Project (Project) includes modifications to the Conditions of Approval of Planning Commission Motion No. 17621, and include the following revisions: (1) *Performance Period*: extending the performance period for an additional ten (10) years from the date of this approval (Condition Nos. 8D and 8E); (2) *Design-for-Development Allowed Modifications*: allowing 10-percent modifications from D4D quantitative controls rather than five-percent as currently provided (Condition No. 4A); (3) *Planning Commission Review of Subsequent Phases*: substituting the requirement for informational hearings of subsequent phases with the requirement that the Planning Commission be notified of subsequent phases (Condition No. 6).

In addition, the Project includes modifications to the associated D4D, including revisions to the following sections: (1) *Height*: allowing heights on Blocks 14 and 17 to extend above 50-feet (Section 4.4 Height Diagrams, page 58) (2) *Parks*: remove the requirement for both Panhandle Park and Hudson Avenue Overlook and replace it with the requirement for one larger Bayview Park (Sections 3.1.2 and 3.1.3, pages 36, 38, and 39); (3) *Usable Open Space*: allowing additional portions of the usable open space requirement be met on adjacent new parks (Section 4.3, page 57); (4) *Parking*: amending the off-street parking requirements to allow parking be determined by the underlying zoning

(Sections 4.12); and (5) *Parking Alleys*: adding language clarifying that dimension limitations to parking garages are not applicable to parking alleys (Sections 4.12).

3. **Site Description and Present Use.** Located in the Bayview Hunters Point neighborhood of San Francisco, Hunters View originally included 267 public housing units located on approximately 21 acres of land. Constructed in 1957 on the foundations of World War II workforce housing, the units were never intended to be permanent and due to both their poor initial construction and years of deferred maintenance, the units at Hunters View had deteriorated beyond repair. Since the Original Approvals, the Project Sponsor and its affiliates have demolished all of the existing units and constructed 286 new replacement housing units, reconfigured roughly two thirds of the previous street grid and block pattern, pursuant to the approved Planned Unit Authorization (Planning Commission Motion No. 17621), and constructed two new public parks.
4. **Surrounding Properties and Neighborhood.** The subject property is located within RM-1 (Residential, Mixed, Low Density) Use District, the Hunters View Special Use District and a 40/65-X Height and Bulk District. The Hunters View project area is within the Bayview Hunters Point neighborhood on Hunters Point Hill. The surrounding Hunters Point Hill neighborhood is characterized by curvilinear streets and low-density residential development, most of which was developed as a part of the original Hunters Point Redevelopment Project Area (later referred to as Area A of the Bayview Hunters Point Redevelopment Project Area). Hunters View sits at a higher elevation and overlooks Evans Avenue and Hunters Point Avenue along with the site of the previous Hunters Point PG&E Power Station, India Basin Shoreline Park and 900 Innes Avenue (the site of a proposed new park) to its north and east. Hunters View is within the Bayview Hunters Point Redevelopment Project Area (Area B), and within the boundaries of the Bayview Hunters Point Area Plan.
5. **Public Comment.** The Project Sponsor conducts community engagement with the immediate community on an ongoing basis. Since 2005, they have conducted over 250 resident outreach and community meetings, including, but not limited with the Hunters View Tenants Association, India Basin Neighborhood Association, Malcolm X Academy, and Bayview Hunters Point PAC. For Blocks 2, 3, 9, 14 and 17, the Project Sponsor has conducted five meetings on site to engage residents and solicit feedback around building design, park space, access to parking and community building. The Project Sponsor has also recently attended India Basin Neighborhood Association meeting and the Bayview CAC. According to the Project Sponsor, response to the latest designs have been generally positive, particularly around the now proposed market-rate units. Planning staff has not heard any feedback regarding the proposal.
6. **Planning Code Compliance.** The Planning Code Compliance Findings set forth in Motion No. 17621, Case No. 2007.0168C (Conditional Use Authorization-Planned Unit Development, pursuant to Planning Code Sections 303 and 304) apply to this Motion, and are incorporated herein as though fully set forth.
7. **Planned Unit Development.** Planning Code Section 304 permits the creation of a Planned Unit Development ("PUD") for subject sites of greater than one half of an acre. "Planned Unit Developments are intended for project sites of considerable size, developed as integrated units and

designed to produce an environment of stable and desirable character which will benefit the occupants, neighborhood and the City as a whole". Where a project demonstrates outstanding overall design, it may seek exceptions for certain Planning Code Provisions.

The Original Approvals granted exceptions for rear yards (Planning Code Section 134(a) and (c)), usable open space (Planning Code Section 135), allowable obstructions (Planning Code Section 136), spacing of street trees (Planning Code Section 143), off-street parking (Planning Code Sections 150, 151, 154 and 155), bicycle parking (Planning Code Section 155.5), loading (Section 152), dwelling unit exposure (Section 140), measurement of height (Planning Code Sections 102.12 and 260(a)) and density (Planning Code Section 209.1). The PUD Authorization, in turn, referred to the Hunters View Design-for-Development to provide standards for these controls.

As part of the original PUD, the Commission identified several conditions of approval for the Project. As part of the updated Project, the Project Sponsor requests revisions to the following Conditions of Approval, as originally approved in Motion No. 17621:

- a) Performance Period. Condition Nos. 8D and 8E established a ten-year performance period for the Conditional Use Authorization-Planning Unit Development, which has passed. The Project Sponsor is requesting that the performance period be extended another ten years from the date of this amended authorization.

The Commission finds this request reasonable, since Hunters View is a high priority project for the City and is part of the overall HOPE SF project. The Project Sponsor has been making steady progress on construction over the last ten years. Given the changes in the economy and construction, the development of this multi-phase complex project has taken longer than the originally provided in the ten years performance period.

- b) Allowed Modifications under the D4D. Condition No. 4A allowed modifications from the standards set forth in the D4D up to five percent of a quantitative control if the design continued to meet the general design intent of the control. Staff recommends that this be changed to allow for a modification up to ten percent under the same condition.

The Commission supports allowing D4D modifications of up to ten percent, since this would bring this Project in conformance with other similar D4Ds of other large-scale developments that have been approved subsequent to the Original Approvals.

- c) Modification to Conditions of Approval Regarding Subsequent Phase Review. Condition No. 6c requires that subsequent phases be brought before the Planning Commission as informational presentations. Staff recommends that this condition be changed so that the Planning Commission is notified of subsequent phases but eliminates the automatic requirement of informational presentations.

The Commission supports this revision to this Condition of Approval since it is consistent with the other HOPE SF Projects and Development Agreements where phase review is generally handled at the staff level. As noted above, the Project Sponsor conducts community outreach with the Hunters View

community and other nearby Bayview organizations on an ongoing basis. Even with the elimination of the automatic informational hearings, the Planning Commission retains the ability to request such presentations per their discretion.

8. **Design for Development Modifications.** As part of the revisions to the Project, the Project Sponsor is requesting additional modification to certain Planning Code requirements, in order to support the feasibility of the Project. These modifications include:

- a) Open Space. Motion No. 17621 granted certain exceptions to the usable open space requirement and established that such requirements would be set forth in the D4D. The D4D allows up to 25% of required open space for each block to be provided in the form of public open space located within 125 feet of the building or unit entry.

The Project Sponsor is requesting that this provision be expanded to enable up to 75% of the usable open space be met on an adjacent new park, where such a park is greater than 25,000 gsf.

The Commission supports this modification to the Project, since the Project is providing a greater amount of open space through the provision of new parks than what would otherwise be required if the development were strictly adhering to the usable open space requirements of Planning Code Section 135. Some portions of the site are particularly steep, which makes meeting the usable open space requirement particularly challenging within the boundaries of some of the newly created lots.

- b) Height. Planning Code Section 263.23 allows that up to 35% of the entire Hunters View site to have buildings over 50 feet in height and up to 50% of the site to have buildings over 40 feet in height if said heights are approved through a PUD and further described and controlled within a D4D document. As such, Motion No. 17621 enabled heights above 40-feet and provided the Hunters View D4D, which identified which portions of the site could be above these heights.

Currently, the Height Diagram on page 58 of the D4D shows Blocks 14 and 17 as being limited to 40-feet. The proposal would revise the Height Diagram by showing blocks 14 and 17 within a height zone that allows portions of the building to be taller than 50-feet. Even after allowing Blocks 14 and 17 to have structures taller than 50-feet, the total area of the Hunters View site that allows buildings above 50-feet would be under 35 percent, as limited by Planning Code Section 363.23.

The Commission finds that allowing additional height above 50-feet as currently proposed will enable the Project to meet its objective to supplying additional much needed affordable housing. While the proposed buildings on Lots 14 and 17 would cast new shadows on India Basin Shoreline Park and 900 Innes Avenue, the Commission has found, through Motion No. 20664, that the new shadows were neither significant nor adverse to the use and enjoyment of the public park.

- c) Required Parking. Per Motion No. 17621, Planning Code Section 151 required at least one off-street parking space per dwelling unit, and one off-street space per each five senior dwelling units. Motion No. 17621 provided an exception to that requirement, by reducing the requirement such that at the completion of the buildout, 672 parking spaces would be provided

for the 800 units.

Since that time, Planning Code Section 151 was amended to no longer require parking minimums.

This modification would eliminate the off-street parking requirement and enable parking to be provided under the same controls as anywhere else in the City. The Commission supports this modification given the transit-first policies within San Francisco.

- d) Location of Parks. The D4D originally envisioned a “Panhandle Park” that would be configured along several blocks between two lanes of the Fairfax Avenue. A smaller park, “Hudson Avenue Overlook Park”, was envisioned at the top of the unimproved Hudson Avenue alignment.

Since the Original Approvals, the Project Sponsor found that providing Panhandle Park in its original configuration as infeasible due to grading issues and would unduly break up the total area of open space into smaller less usable plots. Similarly, the Hudson Avenue overlook was also difficult to develop due to its steep slope.

The Project Sponsor is now proposing a larger 30,000 gsf park, “Bayview Park”, between Blocks 14 and 17 that would provide as much open space as the two previous parks combined. The Commission finds the proposed new configuration would better serve the community as a single larger unbroken open space that could be more efficiently programmed.

- e) Parking Alleys. The D4D did not contemplate the provision of private parking alleys. The Project Sponsor has requested that additional language be added to the D4D to clarify that the parking entry dimension limitations do not apply to parking allies.

The Commission finds this request reasonable: parking alleys are more akin to public Alleys than to private parking garage entries and by incorporating private Alleys into a block’s design, individual parking garage entries can be eliminated along the street frontage, thereby reducing the urban design impact of parking garages.

9. **General Plan Compliance.** The General Plan Findings set forth in Motion No. 17621, Case No. 2007.0168C apply to this Motion, and are incorporated herein as though fully set forth, except previous findings under the previous Housing Element and Recreation and Open Space Elements are hereby replaced with findings under the updated 2014 Housing Element and the updated 2014 Recreation and Open Space Element as set forth below:

OBJECTIVE 1

IDENTIFY AND MAKE AVAILABLE FOR DEVELOPMENT ADEQUATE SITES TO MEET THE CITY’S HOUSING NEEDS, ESPECIALLY PERMANENTLY AFFORDABLE HOUSING.

Policy 1.1

Plan for the full range of housing needs in the City and County of San Francisco, especially

affordable housing.

Policy 1.3

Work proactively to identify and secure opportunity sites for permanently affordable housing.

Policy 1.7

Consider public health objectives when designating and promoting housing development sites.

Policy 1.10

Support new housing projects, especially affordable housing, where households can easily rely on public transportation, walking and bicycling for the majority of daily trips.

The Project will create up to 800 units of new affordable and market-rate housing, including 267 replacement public housing units, at least additional 83 affordable rental units and up to 450 homeownership units.

OBJECTIVE 3

PROTECT THE AFFORDABILITY OF THE EXISTING HOUSING STOCK, ESPECIALLY RENTAL UNITS.

Policy 3.2

Promote voluntary housing acquisition and rehabilitation to protect affordability for existing occupants.

OBJECTIVE 4

FOSTER A HOUSING STOCK THAT MEETS THE NEEDS OF ALL RESIDENTS ACROSS LIFECYCLES.

Policy 4.1

Develop new housing, and encourage remodeling of existing housing, for families with children.

Policy 4.4

Encourage sufficient and suitable rental housing opportunities, emphasizing permanently affordable rental units wherever possible.

Policy 4.5

Ensure that new permanently affordable housing is located in all of the city's neighborhoods, and encourage integrated neighborhoods.

This HOPE SF Project has replaced all the previous existing dilapidated residential units with new replacement units. Unit configurations and bedroom counts have varied widely to address a broad need of housing by the Hunters View community.

OBJECTIVE 5

ENSURE THAT ALL RESIDENTS HAVE EQUAL ACCESS TO AVAILABLE UNITS.

Policy 5.5

Minimize the hardships of displacement by providing essential relocation services.

Policy 5.6

Offer displaced households the right of first refusal to occupy replacement housing units that are comparable in size, location, cost, and rent control protection.

A key tenant of the HOPE SF Program is to assure tenants of previous units to have first access to the new replacement units.

OBJECTIVE 7

SECURE FUNDING AND RESOURCES FOR PERMANENTLY AFFORDABLE HOUSING, INCLUDING INNOVATIVE PROGRAMS THAT ARE NOT SOLELY RELIANT ON TRADITIONAL MECHANISMS OR CAPITAL.

Policy 7.5

Encourage the production of affordable housing through process and zoning accommodations and prioritize affordable housing in the review and approval processes.

Policy 7.6

Acquire and rehabilitate existing housing to maximize effective use of affordable housing resources.

OBJECTIVE 8

BUILD PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTOR CAPACITY TO SUPPORT, FACILITATE, PROVIDE AND MAINTAIN AFFORDABLE HOUSING.

Policy 8.1

Support the production and management of permanently affordable housing.

Policy 8.3

Generate greater public awareness about the quality and character of affordable housing projects and generate community-wide support for new affordable housing.

OBJECTIVE 9

PRESERVE UNITS SUBSIDIZED BY THE FEDERAL, STATE OR LOCAL SOURCES.

Policy 9.2

Continue prioritization of preservation of existing affordable housing as the most effective means of providing affordable housing.

Policy 9.3

Maintain and improve the condition of the existing supply of public housing, through programs such as HOPE SF.

OBJECTIVE 11

SUPPORT AND RESPECT THE DIVERSE AND DISTINCT CHARACTER OF SAN FRANCISCO'S

NEIGHBORHOODS.

Policy 11.1

Promote the construction and rehabilitation of well-designed housing that emphasizes beauty, flexibility, and innovative design, and respects existing neighborhood character.

Policy 11.3

Ensure growth is accommodated without substantially and adversely impacting existing residential neighborhood character.

Policy 11.5

Ensure densities in established residential areas promote compatibility with prevailing neighborhood character.

Policy 11.6

Foster a sense of community through architectural design, using features that promote community interaction.

Hunters View along with the other HOPE SF Projects seek to reconstruct the Housing Authority sites, which were originally developed in patterns that separated the respective communities from the surrounding neighborhood fabric, in a way to connects them to the surrounding neighborhood and City.

OBJECTIVE 12

BALANCE HOUSING GROWTH WITH ADEQUATE INFRASTRUCTURE THAT SERVES THE CITY'S GROWING POPULATION.

Policy 12.1

Encourage new housing that relies on transit use and environmentally sustainable patterns of movement.

Policy 12.2

Consider the proximity of quality of life elements, such as open space, child care, and neighborhood services, when developing new housing units.

Policy 12.3

Ensure new housing is sustainably supported by the City's public infrastructure system.

The Project will provide new housing, especially permanently affordable housing, in an appropriate location which meets identified housing needs and takes into account the demand for affordable housing created by employment demand. The Project will create up to 800 units of new affordable and market-rate housing, including 267 replacement public housing units, 83 affordable rental units and up to 450 homeownership units, of which 10-15% will be affordable.

RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE ELEMENT

Objectives and Policies

OBJECTIVE 1

ENSURE A WELL-MAINTAINED, HIGHLY UTILIZED, AND INTEGRATED OPEN SPACE SYSTEM.

Policy 1.9

Preserve sunlight in public open spaces

Policy 1.11

Encourage private recreational facilities on private land that provide a community benefit, particularly to low and moderate-income residents.

OBJECTIVE 2

INCREASE RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE TO MEET THE LONG-TERM NEEDS OF THE CITY AND BAY REGION.

Policy 2.11

Assure that privately developed residential open spaces are usable, beautiful, and environmentally sustainable.

The Project will develop and maintain high quality open space that will be open to members of the community. The Project will also preserve sunlight in public open spaces. The Project will also create private outdoor open space in new residential development. With rear yards, mid-block courtyards, decks and terraces, the Project will create usable outdoor space directly accessible to dwelling units.

10. **Planning Code Section 101.1(b)** establishes eight priority-planning policies and requires review of permits for consistency with said policies. On balance, the project does comply with said policies in that:

- A. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses be enhanced.

The Project is consistent with Priority Policy No. 1 in that it will not affect any existing neighborhood-serving retail uses because none currently exists on the Project site. However, the Project will provide future opportunities for resident employment and ownership of neighborhood-serving retail uses that will be developed on the site. Small-scale, neighborhood-serving retail is permitted in the RM-1 zone, pursuant to a Planned Unit Development permit, complies with the Redevelopment Plan and will be beneficial to the neighborhood's residents.

- B. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods.

The Project is consistent with Priority Policy No. 2 in that it will protect and enhance existing housing and neighborhood character and preserve the cultural and economic diversity of San Francisco's neighborhoods. Through this project 267 units of deteriorating public have been replaced to date. Further

buildout of the Hunters View will include additional much needed affordable housing and market rate housing that is proposed to cross subsidize the infrastructure and affordable housing

- C. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced.

The Project is consistent with Priority Policy No. 3 in that it will preserve and enhance the City's supply of affordable housing by replacing the 267 existing public housing units at Hunters View on a one-to-one basis with new, modern, affordable housing units and providing at least an additional 112 affordable rental units and additional home ownership.

- D. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking.

The Project is consistent with Priority Policy No. 4 in that it will not result in commuter traffic that will impede Muni transit service or overburden San Francisco's streets or neighborhood parking. Although the Project could result in a net increase of up to 533 units in the Hunters View vicinity, this number falls well within the 700 net new units projected for this area that were analyzed in the Bayview Hunters Point Redevelopment Plan EIR. The Transportation Study for the Project indicates that the Project will contribute to one project-specific traffic impact at Evans Avenue/Third Street, and five cumulative (2025) significant traffic impacts, two of which can be mitigated to less than significant levels, and three of which will be significant unavoidable cumulative adverse traffic impacts. MUNI service will not be impeded as a result of the Project.

- E. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced.

The Project is consistent with Priority Policy No. 5 in that it will develop residential uses on a site that is currently completely devoted to residential uses. The Project will not displace any industrial or service sector uses due to commercial office development, as no industrial or service development exists on the site, and the Project does not include commercial office space. The Project is entirely residential in nature, except for community space and neighborhood-serving retail space, which offers potential opportunity for resident employment and ownership.

- F. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

The Project is consistent with Priority Policy No. 6 in that the existing, deteriorating public housing on the site will be demolished and replaced with modern residential units built to current earthquake and seismic regulations

- G. That landmarks and historic buildings be preserved.

The Project is consistent with Priority Policy No. 7 in that it will have no effect on landmarks or historic buildings because none exists on the site. A Historic Structures Report for the existing structures has been completed and concluded that the existing public housing is not deemed eligible for listing on the California Register of Historical Places.

- H. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development.

The Project is consistent with Priority Policy No. 8 in that it will not affect the City's parks or open space or their access to sunlight and vistas. The proposal includes new publicly accessible open space. While the proposals for Buildings 14 and 17 create new shadow on India Basin Shoreline Park and the 900 Innes Future Park site, the Planning Commission finds that the new shadow is neither significant nor adverse. (See Motion No. 20664).

11. The Project is consistent with and would promote the general and specific purposes of the Code provided under Section 101.1(b) in that, as designed, the Project would contribute to the character and stability of the neighborhood and would constitute a beneficial development.
12. The Commission hereby finds that approval of the Conditional Use Authorization would promote the health, safety and welfare of the City.

DECISION


That based upon the Record, the submissions by the Applicant, the staff of the Department and other interested parties, the oral testimony presented to this Commission at the public hearings, and all other written materials submitted by all parties, the Commission hereby **APPROVES Conditional Use Authorization Application No. 2007.0168CUA-02** subject to the Conditions of Planning Code Motion No. 17621, except as modified as attached hereto as "EXHIBIT A", in general conformance with Design-for-Development as amended on file, dated February 13, 2020, and stamped "EXHIBIT B" to this Motion, which is incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth; the Commission hereby modifies Condition of Approval Nos. 4A, 6C, and 8D and E of Motion No. 17621 and as set forth in Exhibit A of this Motion and modifies provisions of the Design-for-Development as also set forth in Exhibit A. All other Conditions of Motion No. 17621 remain in effect.

APPEAL AND EFFECTIVE DATE OF MOTION: Any aggrieved person may appeal this Conditional Use Authorization to the Board of Supervisors within thirty (30) days after the date of this Motion No. 19301. The effective date of this Motion shall be the date of this Motion if not appealed (After the 30-day period has expired) OR the date of the decision of the Board of Supervisors if appealed to the Board of Supervisors. For further information, please contact the Board of Supervisors at (415) 554-5184, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

Protest of Fee or Exaction: You may protest any fee or exaction subject to Government Code Section 66000 that is imposed as a condition of approval by following the procedures set forth in Government Code Section 66020. The protest must satisfy the requirements of Government Code Section 66020(a) and must be filed within 90 days of the date of the first approval or conditional approval of the development referencing the challenged fee or exaction. For purposes of Government Code Section 66020, the date of imposition of the fee shall be the date of the earliest discretionary approval by the City of the subject development.

If the City has not previously given Notice of an earlier discretionary approval of the project, the Planning Commission's adoption of this Motion, Resolution, Discretionary Review Action or the Zoning Administrator's Variance Decision Letter constitutes the approval or conditional approval of the development and the City hereby gives **NOTICE** that the 90-day protest period under Government Code Section 66020 has begun. If the City has already given Notice that the 90-day approval period has begun for the subject development, then this document does not re-commence the 90-day approval period.

I hereby certify that the Planning Commission ADOPTED the foregoing Motion on February 20, 2020.


Jonas P. Ionin
Commission Secretary

AYES: Koppel, Moore, Diamond, Fung, Imperial, Johnson
NAYS: None
ABSENT: Richards
ADOPTED: February 20, 2020

EXHIBIT A

AUTHORIZATION

This authorization is to modify Motion No. 17621 by modifying three Conditions of Approval as follows: (1) extending the performance period for an additional ten years from the date of this approval (Condition Nos. 8D); (2) allowing 10-percent modifications from D4D quantitative controls rather than five-percent as currently provided (Condition No. 4A); (3) substituting the requirement for informational hearings of subsequent phases with the requirement that the Planning Commission be notified of subsequent phases (Condition No. 6C); and modifying four provisions in the Hunters View Design-for-Development ("D4D") document as follows: (1) allowing heights on Blocks 14 and 17 to extend above 50-feet (D4D Section 4.4) (2) removing the requirement for both Panhandle Park and Hudson Park and replacing it with the requirement for one larger Bayview Park (Sections 3.1.2 and 3.1.3); (3) allowing some portions of the usable open space requirement be met on adjacent new parks (Section 4.3); (4) amending the off-street parking requirements to allow parking be determined by the underlying zoning (D4D Section 4.12) and (5) amending the D4D by clarifying that parking entry and garage dimension limitations do not apply to parking alleys (Section 4.12); in general conformance with revised Design-for-Development document dated February 13, 2020 and stamped "EXHIBIT B" and included in the docket for Case No. 2007.0168CUA-02, and subject to conditions of approval in Motion No. 17621 as approved by the Commission on June 12, 2008, except as otherwise provided herein. This authorization and the conditions contained herein run with the property and not with a particular Project Sponsor, business, or operator.

RECORDATION OF CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Prior to the issuance of the building permit or commencement of use for the Project the Zoning Administrator shall approve and order the recordation of a Notice in the Official Records of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco for the subject property. This Notice shall state that the project is subject to the conditions of approval contained herein and reviewed and approved by the Planning Commission on February 20, 2020 under Motion No. 20663.

PRINTING OF CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL ON PLANS

The conditions of approval under the 'Exhibit A' of this Planning Commission Motion No. 20663 shall be reproduced on the Index Sheet of construction plans submitted with the Site or Building permit application for the Project. The Index Sheet of the construction plans shall reference to the Office Development Authorization and any subsequent amendments or modifications.

SEVERABILITY

The Project shall comply with all applicable City codes and requirements. If any clause, sentence, section or any part of these conditions of approval is for any reason held to be invalid, such invalidity shall not affect or impair other remaining clauses, sentences, or sections of these conditions. This decision conveys no right to construct, or to receive a building permit. "Project Sponsor" shall include any subsequent responsible party.

CHANGES AND MODIFICATIONS

Changes to the approved plans may be approved administratively by the Zoning Administrator. Significant changes and modifications of conditions shall require Planning Commission approval of a new authorization.

Conditions of Approval, Compliance, Monitoring, and Reporting

MODIFICATIONS

[Modifications are provided with ~~cross-outs~~, indicating where a provision is no longer applicable, and double underline indicating where a provision is being modified and carried forward. Condition numbers refer to originally ordered conditions from Planning Commission Motion No. 17621.]

Condition No. 4A. Provisions for "Development Controls" may vary as long as the following two conditions are met: (1) there is no more than a ~~five-ten~~-percent variance of the subject provision for the subject block; and (2) the Zoning Administrator finds that the general intent for the subject provision and overall Design for Development has been met. Design features that do not meet either the "Development Controls" and do not meet these conditions would require an amendment to the Design for Development Document and this Planned Unit Development approval.

Condition No. 6C. Final detailed plans sufficient for Conditional Use/Planned Unit Development approval for ~~Phases 2 and 3~~ subsequent phases shall be submitted to the Planning Department prior to application for any site or building permits for those phases. The Planning Department shall review such plans for general conformity with this Project Authorization, the approved Design for Development and the Planning Code. ~~Plans for Phases 2 and 3 shall be presented to the Planning Commission as information items. The Planning Commission shall be notified of plans for subsequent phases, and may request informational hearings at their discretion.~~

Condition No. 8D. ~~This authorization is valid for a period of ten years from the date of approval by the Planning Commission.~~

~~Condition No. 8E. After ten years, an extension for up to an additional two years may be specifically authorized by the Planning Commission. In the case where delays have been caused by a government agency or legal action, time shall be tolled and the authorization extended for such period by the Zoning Administrator.~~

The authorization and right vested by virtue of this action is valid for ten (10) years from the effective date of Motion No. 20663, adopted by the Planning Commission on February 20, 2020. The Department of Building Inspection shall have issued a Building Permit or Site Permit to construct the project and/or commence the approved use within this ten-year period.

MODIFICATIONS TO THE DESIGN-FOR-DEVELOPMENT

Page 36-37, Section 3.1.2, Panhandle Park: [Replace description and graphics for “Panhandle Park” for description and graphics for “Bayview Park”.]

Pages 38-39, Section 3.1.3, Hudson Park: [Remove section, which describes “Hudson Park”]

Page 57, Section 4.3, Usable Open Space: Revise Control No. 5 as follows:

Except for blocks immediately adjacent to new parks greater than 25,000 gsf, up to 25% of the required open space for each block may be provided in the form of public open space located within 125’ of the building or unit entry. For buildings immediately adjacent to new parks greater than 25,000 gsf, up to 75% of the required open space said blocks may be provided in the immediately adjacent open space.

Page 58, Section 4.4, Building Heights: [Replace diagram showing blocks 14 and 17 within an area where buildings above 50-feet are permitted]

Page 66, Section 4.12 Parking, Parking Entrances and Curb Cuts:

1. Garage entrances accessing a street shall be no wider than 16’ and are preferably 12’; this requirement does not apply to private parking alleys.

Page 66, Section 4.12, Parking, Parking Entrances and Curb Cuts: Add controls No. 5 as follows:

5: “Parking ratios shall be determined by the Planning Code.”