|--|

Committee I	tem	No.	
Board Item I	No.	2	
	- -	7	

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee	Date		
Board of Supervisors Meeting	Date September 27, 2011		
Cmte Board			
Motion Resolution Cordinance Legislative Digest Budget Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Introduction Form (for hearings Department/Agency Cover Lett MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget	· ·		
Contract/Agreement Award Letter Application Public Correspondence			
OTHER (Use back side if additional spanning)	ace is needed)		
Completed by: <u>Joy Lamug</u> Completed by:	Date September 22, 2011 Date		

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 20 pages. The complete document is in the file.

· 1

5

[Closed Session – Anticipated Litigation – Public Financing Law]

Motion that the Board of Supervisors convene in closed session on September 27, 2011, to consult with Legal Counsel for the purpose of conferring with, or receiving advice from, Legal Counsel regarding anticipated litigation involving the City's public financing law (Campaign and Governmental Conduce Code Section 1.144) in light of Arizona Free Enterprise Club's Freedom PAC et al. v. Bennett, U.S. Supreme Court Case No. 10-238. Government Code Section 54956.9(b) and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 67.10(d)(2) permit this closed session because discussion in open session concerning this matter would likely and unavoidably prejudice the position of the City in the anticipated litigation.

WHEREAS, This closed session is held pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9(b), and Administrative Code Section 67.10(d)(2); now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors convene in closed session on September 27, 2011, to consult with Legal Counsel for the purpose of conferring with, or receiving advice from, Legal Counsel regarding anticipated litigation involving the City's public financing law (Campaign and Governmental Conduce Code Section 1.144) in light of Arizona Free Enterprise Club's Freedom PAC et al. v. Bennett, U.S. Supreme Court Case No. 10-238. Government Code Section 54956.9(b) and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 67.10(d)(2) permit this closed session because discussion in open session concerning this matter would likely and unavoidably prejudice the position of the City in the anticipated litigation.