1	[Preparation of Findings to Reverse the Categorical Exemption Determination - SFMTA
	Hairball Intersection Improvement Project]
2	

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings reversing the determination by the Planning Department that the proposed San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency Hairball Intersection Improvement Project is categorically exempt from further environmental review.

WHEREAS, On May 16, 2017, the Planning Department determined that the proposed San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency Hairball Intersection Improvement Project ("Project") is exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, and San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 31; and

WHEREAS, The proposed Project involves paint-only modifications to the existing roadway on Bayshore Boulevard (between Jerrold Avenue and Marin Street) and Jerrold Avenue (between Bayshore Boulevard and Barneveld Avenue), including modifications to existing travel lanes to create a new bicycle lane on Jerrold Avenue, installation of new high visibility crosswalks at the intersection of Marin Street/Bayshore Boulevard and Jerrold Avenue/Bayshore Boulevard, the removal of 10 parking spaces and two loading zones along westbound Jerrold Avenue and establishment of parking restrictions; and

WHEREAS, On May 26, 2017, the Planning Department determined that the Project is exempt from environmental review under Class 1 of the CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Code Reg. Section 15301), which provides an exemption for minor alterations to existing facilities; and

WHEREAS, On September 19, 2017, the SFMTA Board of Directors (the "SFMTA

Board") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting and approved the Project by SFMTA Board Resolution No. 1170919-119; and

Clerk of the Board

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	

25

WHEREAS, On October 19, 2017, Mary Miles, Attorney for the Coalition for Adequate
Review ("Appellant") filed an appeal of the categorical exemption determination; and
WHEREAS, The Planning Department's Acting Environmental Review Officer, by
memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated October 24, 2017, determined that the appeal
was timely filed; and

WHEREAS, On November 28, 2017, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellant; and

WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letter, the responses to the appeal documents that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the exemption determination appeal; and

WHEREAS, Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors conditionally reversed the exemption determination for the Project subject to the adoption of written findings of the Board in support of such determination based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal; and

WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 171147, and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors directs the Clerk of the Board to prepare the findings specifying the basis for its decision on the appeal of the exemption determination issued by the Planning Department for the Project.