

File No. 240112

Committee Item No. 2

Board Item No. 1

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Budget and Finance Committee
Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date March 20, 2024
Date April 2, 2024

Cmte Board

- Motion
- Resolution
- Ordinance
- Legislative Digest
- Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
- Youth Commission Report
- Introduction Form
- Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
- MOU
- Grant Information Form
- Grant Budget
- Subcontract Budget
- Contract/Agreement
- Form 126 – Ethics Commission
- Award Letter
- Application
- Public Correspondence

OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed)

- 10-Day Fee Ad – March 10 and 17, 2024
- Assessor-Recorder Presentation 3/20/2024
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____

Completed by: Brent Jalipa Date March 14, 2024
Completed by: Brent Jalipa Date March 21, 2024

1 [Administrative Code - Assessor-Recorder Fees]

2

3 **Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to revise the fees for copies of certain**
4 **documents in and services provided by the Office of the Assessor-Recorder.**

5 NOTE: **Unchanged Code text and uncodified text** are in plain Arial font.
6 **Additions to Codes** are in *single-underline italics Times New Roman font*.
7 **Deletions to Codes** are in ~~*strikethrough italics Times New Roman font*~~.
8 **Board amendment additions** are in double-underlined Arial font.
9 **Board amendment deletions** are in ~~strikethrough Arial font~~.
10 **Asterisks (* * * *)** indicate the omission of unchanged Code
11 subsections or parts of tables.

9

10 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

11

12 Section 1. Chapter 8 of the Administrative Code is hereby amended by revising
13 Section 8.33.2, to read as follows:

14 **SEC. 8.33.2. COUNTY ASSESSOR-RECORDER’S FEES.**

15 *(a)* Pursuant to California Government Code Sections 54985 through 54987 and
16 27366, the County Assessor-Recorder is hereby authorized to charge fees to defray the
17 actual cost of issuance of the following documents and the provision of the following services,
18 notwithstanding the fees otherwise set or limited by State law. Any persons requesting the
19 following documents or services shall pay the following fees:

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	Duplicates of R recorded maps	
2	(first page)	\$5.00
3	(each additional page)	\$3.00
4	Copies of records on file <i>(standard)</i>	
5	(per page, pages 1 through 3) <i>(first page)</i>	3.00 \$9.44
6	(each additional page)	0.50 \$0.38
7	<i>Copies of records on file (self-service; electronic only)</i>	
8	<i>(each document)</i>	\$1.76
9	Certifying/conforming copies of documents <i>(per document)</i>	\$1.00
10	Microfilm of daily film of recordings (per roll)	\$25.00
11	Microfiche (per frame)	\$2.00
12	Notary Services	
13	Acknowledgment	\$10.00 15.00
14	Jurat	\$10.00 15.00

15 **(b) All requests for copies of records on file shall be subject to the standard fees in**
16 **subsection (a) except for requests initiated and processed entirely as self-service requests. A**
17 **self-service request is a request for one or more specified documents, initiated by the requester through**
18 **the designated portion of the Assessor-Recorder’s website, that is fulfilled by automated electronic**
19 **download or automated email delivery to the requester, and does not involve any other service**
20 **(including but not limited to searching for specific documents, certification or conforming of copies, or**
21 **acceptance of the request other than through the designated portion of the Assessor-Recorder’s**
22 **website). For a request for all records within a specified date range, the Office of the**
23 **Assessor-Recorder may, in its discretion, charge lower fees than subsection (a) requires to more**
24 **accurately reflect the actual costs of fulfilling the request.**

25 **(c) Such fees received by the Assessor-Recorder under this Section 8.33.2 shall be**
deposited with the City and County Treasurer. ~~Fees charged for notary services provided by the~~
Assessor Recorder shall be adjusted, without further action of the Board of Supervisors, to reflect
changes in Government Code Section 8211, or any subsequent amendment of that section.

1 (d) Fees for copies of records on file and services provided shall be adjusted each fiscal year,
2 commencing in fiscal year 2025-2026, without further action by the Board of Supervisors, to reflect
3 changes in the relevant Consumer Price Index, as determined by the Controller.

4 (1) No later than April 15 of each year, the Assessor-Recorder shall submit its current
5 fee schedule to the Controller, who shall apply the price index adjustment to produce a new fee
6 schedule for the following fiscal year.

7 (2) No later than May 15 of each year, the Controller shall file a report with the Board
8 of Supervisors reporting the new fee schedule and certifying that: (A) the fees produce sufficient
9 revenue to support the costs of providing the documents or services for which each fee is assessed, and
10 (B) the fees do not produce revenue which is significantly more than the costs of providing the
11 documents or services for which each fee is assessed.

12
13 Section 2. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after
14 enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the
15 ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board
16 of Supervisors overrides the Mayor’s veto of the ordinance.

17
18 Section 3. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors
19 intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles,
20 numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal
21 Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment
22 additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the “Note” that appears under
23 the official title of the ordinance.

1 Section 4. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or word of this
2 ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held to be invalid or
3 unconstitutional by a decision of a court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not
4 affect the validity of the remaining portions or applications of the ordinance. The Board of
5 Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each and every
6 section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, and word not declared invalid or
7 unconstitutional without regard to whether any other portion of this ordinance or application
8 thereof would be subsequently declared invalid or unconstitutional.

9
10 APPROVED AS TO FORM:
11 DAVID CHIU, City Attorney

12 By: /s/ Carole F. Ruwart
13 CAROLE F. RUWART
14 Deputy City Attorney

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
n:\legana\as2023\2300337\01734431.docx

LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

[Administrative Code - Assessor-Recorder Fees]

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to revise the fees for copies of certain documents in and services provided by the Office of the Assessor-Recorder.

Existing Law

The Administrative Code permits the Assessor-Recorder to charge certain fees for copies of recorded documents. These fees are \$3.00 for the first page, and \$0.50 for each additional page.

Amendments to Current Law

This ordinance would update the fee schedule for copies of recorded documents based on a cost study performed by the Controller's Office. A "standard" fee of \$9.44 for the first page and \$0.38 for each additional page would generally apply. A "self-service" fee of \$1.76 per document would apply when the request is made through a designated portal on the Assessor-Recorder's website and can be fulfilled automatically. For certain requests, the Assessor-Recorder would be able to charge lesser fees to reflect actual costs that are less than the fee schedules would provide.

The Controller would update these fees annually to reflect changes in the Consumer Price Index. This ordinance would also update the fees for notary services in compliance with state law.

Background Information

These fees are imposed under state law that requires county recorders to charge fees for recorded documents that recover their costs.

n:\legana\as2023\2300337\01734293.docx

Recorder Copy Fees

Board of Supervisors' Budget and Finance Committee

March 20, 2024



Holly Lung
Office of the Assessor-Recorder
City and County of San Francisco



Summary

- Amends the Administrative Code to update fees for public access to recorded documents.
- Authorizes the Controller to update these fees annually to reflect changes in the Consumer Price Index.
- Updates the fees for notary services in compliance with state law.



Recorder Modernization Efforts

Mission Statement

- To fairly and accurately identify and assess all taxable property in San Francisco and record, secure, and provide access to property, marriage, and other records.

Expanding Access to Public Records

- Over 7 million recorded documents from 1990 to Present have been digitized. Efforts are underway to digitize 1.5 million records from 1980-1989.
- In 2021, we launched Records Manager, an online tool for the public to search and download these recorded Public Records.



Public Access to Recorded Documents

Online

- Official records from 1990 to the present are available for search, purchase, and instant download at <https://recorder.sfgov.org>.

In Person

- Recorder staff at City Hall help customers search and obtain copies of recorded documents.
- Kiosks at City Hall are available for customer search and copy requests. Staff confirms and completes the request and cashiering.

By Mail

- Customers can request records to be sent to them by mail. Staff conducts the document search, cashiering, and mails the document.



Fee Change

Current Fees

	Online	In Person: Front Counter/Mail	In Person: Public Kiosk
Pages 1-3	\$3.00 per page	\$3.00 per page	\$0.10
Additional	\$0.50	\$0.50	\$0.10
5-page document	\$10.00 + \$2.00 City Base Fee	\$10.00	\$0.50

Proposed Fees

	Online	In Person: Front Counter/Mail	In Person: Public Kiosk
First Page	\$1.76 flat fee	\$9.44	\$9.44
Additional	No fee	\$0.38	\$0.38
5-page document	\$1.76 + \$2.00 City Base Fee	\$10.96	\$10.96



Fee Difference

Top Three Most Requested Recorded Documents

Document	Online	In Person: Front Counter	In Person: Public Kiosk
	67% of users	27% of users	6% of users
3-page (e.g., deeds)	decrease \$7.24	increase \$1.20	increase \$9.90
16-page (e.g., deeds of trust)	decrease \$13.74	decrease \$0.36	increase \$13.54
2-page (e.g., full reconveyances)	decrease \$4.24	increase \$3.82	increase \$9.62
Average length 5-page document	decrease \$8.24	increase \$0.96	increase \$10.46



The Need for Fee Change

- Current fees are outdated that apply equally to online self-service searches and to searches and copy services provided by staff.
- Proposed fees reflect current business practices and systems costs associated with providing copies of recorded documents to the public.



Thank you.

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Budget and Finance Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard:

Date: March 20, 2024

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Location: Legislative Chamber, Room 250, located at City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA

Subject: File No. 240112. Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to revise the fees for copies of certain documents in and services provided by the Office of the Assessor-Recorder.

If this legislation passes, Administrative Code, Section 8.33.2, will increase and establish fees that the County Assessor-Recorder charges for document reproduction and notary services available to the public. Standard copies of records on file increases from \$3.00 per page, pages 1 through 3, to \$9.44 for the first page; establish a \$1.76 fee for each document requested by electronic self-service requests of records on file initiated by the requester through the designated portion of the Assessor-Recorder's website, that is fulfilled by automated electronic download or automated email delivery to the requester, and does not involve any other service; and increases the acknowledgement and jurat fees for notary services from \$10.00 to \$15.00.

In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email (board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org). Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research

Center (<https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-lrc>). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, March 15, 2024.

For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Budget and Finance Committee:

Brent Jalipa (Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org – (415) 554-7712)


f Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco

bjj:jec:ams

CALIFORNIA NEWSPAPER SERVICE BUREAU

DAILY JOURNAL CORPORATION

Mailing Address : 915 E FIRST ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012
Telephone (800) 788-7840 / Fax (800) 464-2839
Visit us @ www.LegalAdstore.com

BRENT JALIPA
CCSF BD OF SUPERVISORS (OFFICIAL NOTICES)
1 DR CARLTON B GOODLETT PL #244
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

COPY OF NOTICE

Notice Type: GPN GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE

Ad Description

BJJ Fee Ad - File No. 240112 - 3/20/2024

To the right is a copy of the notice you sent to us for publication in the SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER. Thank you for using our newspaper. Please read this notice carefully and call us with ny corrections. The Proof of Publication will be filed with the County Clerk, if required, and mailed to you after the last date below. Publication date(s) for this notice is (are):

03/10/2024 , 03/17/2024

The charge(s) for this order is as follows. An invoice will be sent after the last date of publication. If you prepaid this order in full, you will not receive an invoice.

Publication	\$1144.80
Total	\$1144.80

EXM# 3790892

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
WEDNESDAY, MARCH 20, 2024 - 10:00 AM
LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250, City Hall 1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Budget and Finance Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard: **File No. 240112**. Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to revise the fees for copies of certain documents in and services provided by the Office of the Assessor-Recorder. If this legislation passes, Administrative Code, Section 8.33.2 will increase and establish fees that the County Assessor-Recorder charges for document reproduction and notary services available to the public. Standard copies of records on file increases from \$3.00 per page, pages 1 through 3, to \$9.44 for the first page; establish a \$1.76 fee for each document requested by electronic self-service requests of records on file initiated by the requester through the designated portion of the Assessor-Recorder's website, that is fulfilled by automated electronic download or automated email delivery to the requester, and does not involve any other service; and increases the acknowledgement and jurat fees for notary services from \$10.00 to \$15.00. In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email (board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org). Information relating to

this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (<https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-lrc>). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, March 15, 2024. For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Budget and Finance Committee: Brent Jalipa (Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org - (415) 554-7712) Angela Calvillo - Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco
EXM-3790892#



* A 0 0 0 0 0 6 7 0 6 8 5 3 *

Table with 4 columns: PHASE, PARCEL, STREETS, PARKS AND OPEN SPACE. It details project phases 1, 2, and 3 across various parcels (B, C, D, E, F) and street layouts.

Notes:

- 1. If Parcel E is built before Parcels C and D, then East Drive and North Drive will be constructed including the roundabout.
2. If Parcel F is built before Parcels C, D and E, then West Drive and the roundabout will be constructed.
3. Serramonte Boulevard and Highway 1 ramp intersection improvements will be constructed with the first market rate development per the Development Agreement.

K. CONFORMANCE REVIEW

- K.1.1 Purpose. Conformance Review is the Precise Plan's ministerial, streamlined process to approve proposed projects "as-of-right" that demonstrate Plan Consistency with the Precise Plan and Entitlement Documents and Compliance with the Objective Development Standards of the Precise Plan, as defined below.
K.1.2 Plan Consistency. Plan Consistency is the review of project submittal documents for conformance to:
1. Serramonte Del Rey Precise Plan (Attachment "A") requirements for the scope and phasing of park, open space, street, accessways, storm water, water, wastewater, utility, emergency access, and on and off-site transportation improvements associated with each parcel per Precise Plan Figure 7.1 Phasing, Table 7.1 Development Phasing and Appendix C: Civil Engineering Exhibits, and
2. Entitlement Document requirements of the Development Agreement, Tentative Map, Conditions of Approval, Inclusionary Housing Agreement and the Mitigation and Monitoring Program.

- K.1.3 Compliance with Objective Development Standards ("Compliance"). Compliance with objective development standards is the review of project submittal documents for conformance with the Precise Plan's Objective Development Standards as listed in Section II above.
K.1.4 Variance from Numerical Standards and Precise Plan. Deviations from the numerical standards in Section II above may be permitted by up to 20 percent for any parcel, upon approval by the Planning Division.

- K.1.5 Submittal Requirements. Submittal requirements shall include documentation as set forth in the 2023 Daly City Municipal Code Section 17.28.030 C. 2. (a) - (f), Procedure for Application for Planned Development of the Daly City Zoning Ordinance, and shall include a completed Conformance Review Check List, as attached to the Precise Plan as Appendix A, and any additional information requested by the Planning Manager.
K.1.6 Review Process and Timing.
1. Pre-submittal conference. Upon request of the Applicant, the Planning Manager shall convene a pre-submittal conference to review the scope of the project, submittal requirements, conformance review process, timeline, and staff availability.

consultants shall conduct the Conformance Review per the responsibilities described in Table 7.2. The Planning Manager shall coordinate reviewer comments and provide them to the Applicant no later than 45 business days after filing a project submittal.¹²

- 4. Determination. The Planning Manager shall review the project submittal and reviewer comments to determine whether the submittal is plan consistent and standards compliant and therefore approved as-of-right.

If the Planning Manager deems a project application inconsistent with the Precise Plan or Entitlement Documents, and/or non-compliant with the Objective Development Standards in Section II, prior to a decision to deny approval of the proposed project, the Planning Manager

Notwithstanding anything in this chapter, to the extent the Permit Streamlining Act or other State Law applies for shorter deadlines, the regulations in such laws shall govern.
shall provide the Applicant with written documentation as to what elements of the submittal are not in conformance.¹³

The Applicant can revise the submittal and resubmit. The Planning Manager, upon receipt of the re-submittal, shall make their determination. Total City Review Time from submittal to determination shall not exceed 90 business days.¹⁴

If the City fails to complete Conformance Review per the above timing requirements, the submittal shall be deemed to be conforming with the Precise Plan and Entitlement Documents, planning review shall be complete, and requested permits shall be issued.

- 5. Notification of Determination. The Planning Manager shall communicate in writing to the Applicant the Planning Manager's determination.
6. Appeal. A Conformance Review determination may be appealed within 20 business days to the City Manager by the Applicant. City Manager shall make a determination within 20 business days of receipt of the appeal. The City Manager's decision on appeal shall be final and not appealable.

K.1.7 Review Criteria. The following criteria shall guide the determination of plan consistency and standards compliance:

- All Figures in the Precise Plan illustrate the general arrangement and relationships among parcels, buildings, streets, accessways, parks and open spaces, and exact compliance or conformance is not required so long as other objective criteria are met.
The final configuration of parcels, buildings, streets, accessways, parks and open spaces are subject to refinement, and exact compliance or conformance is not required so long as other objective criteria are met.
Consistency and conformance with the Precise Plan shall be construed rationally and shall accommodate unforeseen solutions or innovation that can occur over the extended build-out of the Precise Plan. Notwithstanding the above, no deviations are permitted to minimum residential densities and minimum open space area requirements.

K.1.8 Standards Interpretation. The nature of the Objective Standards is such that consistency determinations are ministerial, without the exercise of discretion. Accordingly, it is not anticipated there will be cause for interpretation of these standards by the City. In the unforeseen event that interpretation is required, the Planning Manager shall make any interpretation of the Precise Plan's development standards required to evaluate the proposed project and shall inform the reviewer of any interpretations as needed. The Planning Manager

¹² This process is separate and independent from the Planning Manager's coordination and provision of any reviewer comments on the Applicant's initial project submittal, as required under the "Review Process and Timing" section of this Chapter.

¹³ For this paragraph, "City Review Time" shall mean the time, measured in business days, taken by the City to review a project submittal and provide comments to the applicant or render a Conformance Review decision. City Review Time does not include the Applicant's time from the date of receiving comments to the date of resubmitting a revised project submittal responsive to comments.

shall include any interpretations as part of its written determination of consistency with the objective development standards of the Precise Plan.

K.1.9 Staffing. The Planning Manager shall check on staff availability prior to the pre-submittal conference to meet the conformance review timeline. If staffing is not available, the City can designate a third-party consultant to be paid for by Applicant. Consultants shall have professional planning experience on similar projects. The Applicant shall pay all reasonable staff coordination and third-party consultant costs.

K.2.0 Staff or Consultant Review Responsibilities. Staff or consultant review responsibilities are per Table 7.2, Reviewer Responsibilities.

- The Planning Manager shall provide oversight and make all Conformance Review determinations.
Staff of consultant shall compare the project submittal, Conformance Check List, and applicable plan elements, standards and requirements of the Precise Plan and the Entitlement Documents.
Reviewers are to provide written comments on plan consistency to the Planning Manager. In the case where the reviewer concludes inconsistency with plan elements, or non-compliance to objective development standards, the reviewer shall identify specific objective standards to be addressed to achieve a consistency.
If requested by the Applicant, the Planning Manager and any reviewers shall meet with the Applicant to discuss steps to resolve any comments.

The Planning Manager shall consider the recommendations of the reviewers, and shall make the final determination for approval, approval with conditions, or denial of the submittal based on objective criteria as set forth herein.

Table 7.2: Reviewer Responsibilities

Table with 2 main columns: RESPONSIBILITY and REVIEWER. It lists various responsibilities like Planning, Parks, Streets, etc. and maps them to different reviewer roles like Planning Manager, City Engineer, etc.

¹⁵ Development Coordinating Committee includes Planning Division, Building Division, Engineering Division, Department of Water and Wastewater Resources, North County Fire Authority and other committee members as deemed appropriate by the Planning Manager.

Table with 2 main columns: RESPONSIBILITY and REVIEWER. It lists responsibilities like MMRP, Inclusionary Housing Agreement, etc. and maps them to reviewer roles like City Engineer, etc.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING TO AMEND THE SAN FRANCISCO TRANSPORTATION CODE TO ESTABLISH THE SFMTA'S BASE FEES AND FINES AS THOSE IN EFFECT ON MARCH 19, 2024; ESTABLISH A PROCESS TO AUTOMATICALLY INCREASE DESIGNATED FEES AND FINES ANNUALLY BASED ON AN AUTOMATIC INDEX CALCULATED USING THE BAY AREA CONSUMER PRICE INDEX FOR ALL URBAN CUSTOMERS AND THE SAN FRANCISCO CONTROLLER'S OPERATING BUDGET LABOR COST CHANGE; AUTHORIZE THE SFMTA TO RECOVER COSTS BASED ON TIME AND MATERIALS BASIS FOR VARIOUS SERVICES; DELETE OBSOLETE FEE PROVISIONS; AND MAKE TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS.

The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) Board of Directors/San Francisco Parking Authority Commission will hold a public hearing on March 19, 2024 to consider amending the Transportation Code to establish the SFMTA's base fees and fines as those in effect on March 19, 2024; establish a process to automatically increase designated fees and fines annually based on an Automatic Index calculated using the Bay Area Consumer Price Index for all Urban Consumers and the San Francisco Controller's Operating Budget Labor Cost Change; authorizing the SFMTA to recover costs based on a time and materials basis for various services; delete obsolete fee provisions; and make technical corrections.

The public hearing will be held at City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 400 at 1:00 p.m. Additional information can be obtained at www.sfmta.com/upcoming-meetings-and-events/2625 or by calling 415.646.4470. Proposed legislation may be found at https://www.sfmta.com/public-notices ten days before the hearing date.

☎ 415.646.4470: For free interpretation services, please submit your request 48 hours in advance of meeting. / Para servicios de interpretación gratuitos, por favor haga su petición 48 horas antes de la reunión. / 如果需要免費口語翻譯, 請於會議之前48小時提出要求。 / Para sa libreng serbisyo sa interpretasyon, kailangan mag-request 48 horas bago ang miting. / Đối với dịch vụ thông dịch miễn phí, vui lòng gửi yêu cầu của bạn 48 giờ trước cuộc họp. / Для бесплатных услуг устного перевода просьба представить ваш запрос за 48 часов до начала собрания. / Pour les services d'interprétation gratuits, veuillez soumettre votre demande 48 heures avant la réunion. / 무료 통역 서비스를 원하시면 회의 48 시간 전에 귀하의 요청을 제출하십시오. / 無料通訳サービスをご希望の場合は、会議の48時間前までにリクエストを提出してください。 / บริการให้ความช่วยเหลือในหลายภาษาดำเนินการฟรี ณ ที่ประชุม โดยต้องแจ้งล่วงหน้า 48 ชั่วโมง / يمكن تقديم مساعدة مجانية في اللغة المحكية ولغة الإشارة الأمريكية، عند طلبها / قبل 48 ساعة على الأقل

CNSB # 3789708

GOVERNMENT

NOTICE OF REGULAR MEETING SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE CITY HALL, LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250 1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 MARCH 13, 2024 - 10:00 AM

NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR PERMIT Notice is hereby given that the following individual has filed an application with the Department of Public Health for a permit to operate a wash laundry at the specified location in the City and County of San Francisco: Ryan's Laundry 240 Leavenworth Street San Francisco, CA 94102

NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR PERMIT Notice is hereby given that the following individual has filed an application with the Department of Public Health for a permit to operate a wash laundry at the specified location in the City and County of San Francisco: TL Wash and Fold 517 O'Farrell Street San Francisco, CA 94102

LEGISLATION INTRODUCED AT AND SUMMARY OF ACTIONS OF THE MARCH 5, 2024, MEETING OF THE SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS are available at www.sfbos.org. 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA; or by calling (415) 554-5184. EXM-3791599#

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO WEDNESDAY, MARCH 20, 2024 - 10:00 AM LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250, CITY HALL 1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO WEDNESDAY, MARCH 20, 2024 - 10:00 AM LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250, CITY HALL 1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-lrc). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, March 15, 2024. For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Budget and Finance Committee: Brent Jalilpa (Brent.Jalilpa@sfgov.org - (415) 554-7712) - Angela Calvillo - Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco EXM-3790892#

CIVIL

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME Case No. CNC-24-558597 Superior Court of California, County of SAN FRANCISCO Petition of: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN for Change of Name TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner ALICIA BIANCA VANIN filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: ALICIA BIANCA VANIN to BIANCA NICIA VANIN The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing. Notice of Hearing: Date: MAY 30, 2024, Time: 9:00 A.M., Dept.: 103N, Room: 103N The address of the court is 400 MCALLISTER STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 (To appear remotely, check in advance of the hearing for information about how to do so on the court's website. To find your court's website, go to www.courts.ca.gov/find-my-court.htm.) A copy of this Order to Show Cause must be published at least once each week for four successive weeks before the date set for hearing on the petition in a newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county: SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER Date: FEBRUARY 28, 2024 JUDGE MARIA

EVANGELISTA Judge of the Superior Court 3/10, 3/17, 3/24, 3/31/24 CNS-3791348# SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAMES

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT File No. 2024-0402736 Fictitious Business Name(s)/ Trade Name (DBA): REAL SF PROPERTIES, 2051 MARKET ST., SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114 County of SAN FRANCISCO Registered Owner(s): REAL SF PROPERTIES, LLC (CA), 2051 MARKET ST., SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114 This business is conducted by: a limited liability company The registrant commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 07/21/2022. I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true any material matter pursuant to Section 17913 of the Business and Professions code that the registrant knows to be false is guilty of a misdemeanor punishable by a fine not to exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000).) REAL SF PROPERTIES, LLC S/ KIRA MEAD This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Francisco County on 03/04/2024. NOTICE-In accordance with Subdivision (a) of Section 17920, a Fictitious Name Statement generally expires at the end of five years from the date on which it was filed in the office of the County Clerk, except, as provided in Subdivision (b) of Section 17920, where it expires 40 days after any change in the facts set forth in the statement pursuant to Section 17913 other than a change in the residence address of a registered owner. A new Fictitious Business Name Statement must be filed before the expiration. The filing of this statement does not of itself authorize the use in this state of a Fictitious Business Name in violation of the rights of another under federal, state, or common law (See Section 14411 et seq., Business and Professions Code). 3/10, 3/17, 3/24, 3/31/24 CNS-3791348# SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

Introduction Form

(by a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor)



I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

- 1. For reference to Committee (Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment)
- 2. Request for next printed agenda (For Adoption Without Committee Reference)
(Routine, non-controversial and/or commendatory matters only)
- 3. Request for Hearing on a subject matter at Committee
- 4. Request for Letter beginning with "Supervisor inquires..."
- 5. City Attorney Request
- 6. Call File No. from Committee.
- 7. Budget and Legislative Analyst Request (attached written Motion)
- 8. Substitute Legislation File No.
- 9. Reactivate File No.
- 10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the Board on

The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following (please check all appropriate boxes):

- Small Business Commission Youth Commission Ethics Commission
- Planning Commission Building Inspection Commission Human Resources Department

General Plan Referral sent to the Planning Department (proposed legislation subject to Charter 4.105 & Admin 2A.53):

- Yes No

(Note: For Imperative Agenda items (a Resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Agenda Form.)

Sponsor(s):

Subject:

Long Title or text listed:

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: