

File Number: _____
(Provided by Clerk of Board of Supervisors)

Grant Information Form
(Effective March 2005)

Purpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors ordinances authorizing a Department to accept and expend grant funds.

The following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying resolution:

1. Grant Title: 2014 Federal Title II Formula Block Grant
2. Department: San Francisco Public Defender's Office
3. Contact Person: Patricia Lee Telephone: 415-753-7610
4. Grant Approval Status (check one):
 Approved by funding agency Not yet approved
5. Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: \$ 230,121
- 6a. Matching Funds Required: \$ 46,024
- b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable): 1GAGFAAA (general fund)
- 7a. Grant Source Agency: Federal Department of Justice

b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable): Federal Grant – State Pass-Through: Board of State and Community Corrections

8. Proposed Grant Project Summary: The San Francisco Office of the Public Defender continues to expand and improve holistic representation of its Juvenile Unit through the implementation of a Legal Educational Advocacy Program (LEAP). The Public Defender represents the educational needs of clients on the delinquency caseload and at the Principal Center Collaborative Court School (PCC), San Francisco's court ordered probation school, in order to address the long standing histories of truancy, absenteeism, and failing school placements which are pervasive among court-involved youth. The education team meets with our clients to create the linkages and supports necessary for a permanent exit from the juvenile justice system. By providing legal advocacy for client's educational needs and building a team of professionals and partnerships with juvenile justice stakeholders dedicated to planning for clients' educational success, LEAP continues to reduce juvenile offending, reduce racial disparities and bring about needed systems reform in the juvenile justice system.

9. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:

Start-Date: January 1, 2014

End-Date: December 31, 2014

10a. Amount budgeted for contractual services: 73,000

b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? No, previous vendors will provide continuous services.

c. If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the department's MBE/WBE requirements?

d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing request for contracting out? One-time

11a. Does the budget include indirect costs? Yes No

b1. If yes, how much?

b2. How was the amount calculated?

c. If no, why are indirect costs not included?

Not allowed by granting agency

To maximize use of grant funds on direct services

Other (please explain):

c2. If no indirect costs are included, what would have been the indirect costs? \$34,500

12. Any other significant grant requirements or comments:

****Disability Access Checklist****

13. This Grant is intended for activities at (check all that apply):

Existing Site(s)

Existing Structure(s)

Existing Program(s) or Service(s)

Rehabilitated Site(s)

Rehabilitated Structure(s)

New Program(s) or Service(s)

New Site(s)

New Structure(s)

14. The Departmental ADA Coordinator and/or the Mayor's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal and concluded that the project as proposed will be in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all other Federal, State and local access laws and regulations and will allow the full inclusion of persons with disabilities, or will require unreasonable hardship exceptions, as described in the comments section:

Comments:

Departmental or Mayor's Office of Disability Reviewer:


Arlene Laxamana
(Name)

Date Reviewed: March 13, 2014

Department Approval:

Jeff Adachi
(Name)

Public Defender
(Title)



(Signature)