

1 [California Constitution Appropriations Limit for FY2011-2012]

2

3 **Resolution establishing the appropriations limit for FY2011-2012 pursuant to California**
4 **Constitution Article XIII(B).**

5

6 WHEREAS, Article XIII B of the California Constitution provides that the annual
7 appropriations of the City and County of San Francisco which are subject to said Article may
8 not exceed the Appropriations Limit for the prior year, with adjustments as provided in said
9 Article XIII(B); and

10 WHEREAS, The California Government Code, Section 7901, defines the terms, and
11 Section 7902(b) sets forth the equations to be used to determine the City and County of San
12 Francisco's annual Appropriations Limit, according to the following formula:

13 "(b) ~~For the 1981-82 fiscal year and each year thereafter, ...the appropriations limit of~~
14 ~~the state and each local jurisdiction shall equal the appropriations limit for the prior~~
15 ~~fiscal year multiplied by the lesser product of the change in cost of living for the~~
16 ~~calendar year in which the fiscal year begins or the change in California per capita~~
17 ~~personal income for the calendar year preceding the beginning of the fiscal year for~~
18 ~~which the appropriation limit is to be determined, the product multiplied by as defined in~~
19 ~~paragraph (2) of subdivision (e) of Section 8 of Article XIII B of the California~~
20 ~~Constitution, and the change in population of the local jurisdiction for the calendar year~~
21 ~~preceding the beginning of the fiscal year for which the appropriations limit is to be~~
22 ~~determined, and adjusted for other changes required or permitted by Article XIII(B) of~~
23 ~~the California Constitution;" and~~

24 WHEREAS, Article XIII(B), Section 8(e)(2) of the California Constitution authorizes
25 for the calculation of the cost of living, the use of either the percentage change in California

1 per-capita income from the preceding year or the percentage change in the local assessment
2 roll from the preceding year for the jurisdiction due to the addition of local non-residential new
3 construction; and

4 WHEREAS, The change in California per-capita income from calendar year 2010 is
5 2.51%, while the percentage change in the local assessment roll from 2010 due to the
6 addition of local non-residential new construction was 0.24%; and

7 WHEREAS, The percentage change in population during calendar year 2010 for the
8 City and County of San Francisco according to the State Department of Finance was 0.97%;
9 and

10 WHEREAS, The resulting calculation establishing the City and County of San
11 Francisco's fiscal year 2011-12 Appropriations Limit is:

12 $\$2,441,531,489 \times 0.9968 \underline{1.0251} \times 1.0100 \underline{1.0097} = \$2,458,087,847 \underline{\$2,527,091,225};$

13 and

14 ~~WHEREAS, For future Appropriations Limit calculations pursuant to said Article, the~~
15 ~~City will use the gross appropriations limit of \$2,458,087,847; and~~

16 WHEREAS, This matter has been considered at a regularly scheduled meeting of the
17 Board of Supervisors for the City and County of San Francisco; and

18 WHEREAS, The documentation used to determine the Appropriations Limit for the City
19 and County of San Francisco for FY2011-2012 was available for public inspection in the
20 Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors for at least 15 days prior to said regularly
21 scheduled meeting; now, therefore, be it

22 RESOLVED, That the City and County of San Francisco elects to use the local
23 ~~assessment growth due to non-residential new construction~~ change in California per-capita
24 income from calendar year 2010 and elects to use the annual percent change in population as
25 measured by the San Francisco City and County population growth from the previous year for

1 the purpose of computation of its Appropriations Limit pursuant to Article XIII(B) of the
2 California Constitution for FY 2011-2012; and, be it

3 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the net appropriations limit for FY 2011-2012 is
4 established at ~~\$2,458,087,847~~ \$2,527,091,225.

5

6 Recommended: _____

7 Ben Rosenfield,

8 Controller

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25