

2017-2018 CIVIL GRAND JURY FINDINGS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND RESPONSES TO FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Report Title [Publication Date]	F#	Finding (text may be duplicated due to spanning and multiple respondent effects)	Respondent Assigned by CGJ [Response Due Date]	Finding Response (Agree/Disagree)	Finding Response Text	R# [for F#]	Recommendation (text may be duplicated due to spanning and multiple respondent effects)	Respondent Assigned by CGJ [Response Due Date]	Recommendation Response (Implementation)	Recommendation Response Text
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F1	The elected officials, agency heads, and governing bodies of the City and County of San Francisco are appropriately complying with the statutory requirement for response to Civil Grand Jury Findings and Recommendations within 60/90 days.	Board of Supervisors							
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F2	There is significant lack of compliance by the elected officials, agency heads, and governing bodies of the City and County of San Francisco with the statutory requirements for designating timeframes for promised implementation, providing the details of further analysis, and completing that analysis within six months of the date of issuance of the Civil Grand Jury report. This is complicated by the lack of a statutory requirement to bring the response to "final status."	Board of Supervisors							
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F5	In their responses to Recommendation R.2.1 of the 2016-2017 Civil Grand Jury report The San Francisco Retirement System: Increasing Understanding and Adding Voter Oversight, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors did not take into account that the Retirement Board's fiduciary responsibility for investing the assets of the Retirement System and maximizing the returns for the beneficiaries supersedes any responsibility to the voters and citizens of San Francisco, nor acknowledge that it prevents the Board, and possibly themselves, from acting with an appropriate fiduciary responsibility to the voters and taxpayers of San Francisco.	Board of Supervisors							
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F7	Lack of consistent, sustained follow-up on Civil Grand Jury reports undermines both the effectiveness and the value of the Civil Grand Jury process.	Board of Supervisors							
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F8	The current process of Continuity follow-up has a significant defect: the elected officials, agency heads, and governing bodies of the City and County of San Francisco do not provide the Superior Court and Civil Grand Jury timely information regarding the ongoing status of their responses across jury terms. To be effective, the Continuity process needs to be continued until the response has reached final status (either "implemented", with summary of actions taken, or "will not be implemented," with explanation).	Board of Supervisors							
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]						R1	For purposes of Penal Code Section 933.05, the Superior Court and City Services Auditor should record this Recommendation as "Implemented."	Board of Supervisors		

2017-2018 CIVIL GRAND JURY FINDINGS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND RESPONSES TO FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

<p>Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]</p>						<p>R2 The Board of Supervisors should adopt an ordinance by no later than June 30, 2020, providing that the elected officials, agency heads, and governing bodies of the City and County of San Francisco must continue providing the Civil Grand Jury, across CGJ terms, with timely follow-up information regarding the ongoing responses to the Recommendations in its reports, until the responses reach final status, and amend SF Administrative Code Section 2.10 to add Subsection (c), specifying: Within three years of the publication date of a Civil Grand Jury report, the designated respondents to the report's Recommendations shall bring their responses to final status, i.e., either:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Implemented, with a summary of the implementation action; or</li> <li>• Not implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable, with an explanation thereof.</li> </ul>	<p>Board of Supervisors</p>		
<p>Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]</p>						<p>R5 The Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should reconsider and resubmit their responses by no later than December 31, 2019, to Recommendation R2.1 of the 2016-2017 Civil Grand Jury report The San Francisco Retirement System: Increasing Understanding and Adding Voter Oversight, remedying the deficiencies in the previous responses that are noted in this report.</p>	<p>Board of Supervisors</p>		