

[Redevelopment Plan Amendment - Transbay Redevelopment Project Area - Zone One, Block 4]

Ordinance approving an amendment to the Transbay Redevelopment Plan to increase height and bulk limits on Block 4 of Zone One of the Transbay Redevelopment Project Area (Assessor's Parcel Block No. 3739, Lot No. 010, located on the south side of Howard Street between Beale and Main Streets), by increasing the maximum height limit for tower buildings from 450 feet to 513 feet, and increasing certain maximum floor plate sizes; making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings under the California Community Redevelopment Law; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

NOTE: **Unchanged Code text and uncodified text** are in plain Arial font.
Additions to Codes are in *single-underline italics Times New Roman font*.
Deletions to Codes are in ~~*strikethrough italics Times New Roman font*~~.
Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font.
Board amendment deletions are in ~~strikethrough Arial font~~.
Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. General Findings.

In accordance with California Community Redevelopment Law (California Health and Safety Code Sections 33000 et seq.), the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco ("City") hereby makes the following findings, determinations, and declarations, based on the record before it, including but not limited to information contained in the Report to the Board of Supervisors on the Amendment to the Redevelopment Plan for the Transbay

1 Redevelopment Project Area ("Report to the Board"), dated June 21, 2022, and on file with
2 the Clerk of the Board in File No. 220854:

3 (a) The Board of Supervisors established the Transbay Redevelopment Project Area
4 ("Project Area") and approved a Redevelopment Plan for the Project Area by Ordinance No.
5 124-05 and by Ordinance No. 99-06, as amended by Ordinance No. 84-15 and Ordinance No.
6 62-16 ("Redevelopment Plan") to undertake a variety of projects and activities to alleviate
7 blighting conditions. The Redevelopment Plan establishes the land use controls for the Project
8 Area and divides the Project Area into two subareas, known as Zone 1 and Zone 2.

9 (b) In 2003, the State of California adopted California Public Resources Code Section
10 5027.1, which requires that any redevelopment plan adopted to finance, in whole or in part,
11 the demolition of the former transbay terminal building and the construction of a new terminal,
12 including its associated vehicle ramps (the "Transbay Transit Center," or "TTC"), shall ensure
13 that at least 25% of all dwelling units developed within the Project Area be available at
14 affordable housing cost to, and occupied by, persons and families whose incomes do not
15 exceed 60% of the area median income, and that at least an additional 10% of all dwelling
16 units developed within the Project Area be available at affordable housing cost to, and
17 occupied by, persons and families whose incomes do not exceed 120% of the area median
18 income, for a total 35% affordable housing obligation ("Transbay Affordable Housing
19 Obligation").

20 (c) Also in 2003, the State of California, acting through its Department of
21 Transportation ("State") entered into an agreement with the Transbay Joint Powers Authority
22 ("TJPA") and the City ("Cooperative Agreement") whereby the State agreed to transfer
23 approximately 10 acres of State-owned property ("State-Owned Parcels") in and around the
24 former transbay terminal to the City and the TJPA, which would then sell the State-Owned
25 Parcels and use the revenues from the sales to finance the TTC.

1 (d) In 2006, the TJPA and the former San Francisco Redevelopment Agency ("Former
2 Agency") executed an agreement ("Implementation Agreement"), which required the Former
3 Agency to take the lead role in facilitating the development of the State-Owned Parcels.

4 (e) The Implementation Agreement required the Former Agency to: (1) prepare and
5 sell the State-Owned Parcels to third parties; (2) deposit the sale proceeds into a trust
6 account to help the TJPA pay the cost of constructing the TTC; (3) implement the
7 Redevelopment Plan to enhance the financial feasibility of the TTC; and (4) fund the state-
8 mandated Transbay Affordable Housing Obligation.

9 (f) In 2008, the City, the Former Agency, and the TJPA granted the Former Agency an
10 option to acquire the State-Owned Parcels, arrange for development of the parcels, and
11 distribute the net tax increment to the TJPA to use for the TTC ("2008 Option Agreement").

12 (g) On February 1, 2012, the State of California dissolved all redevelopment agencies,
13 including the Former Agency, and required the transfer of certain of the Former Agency's
14 assets and obligations to the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City and
15 County of San Francisco ("Successor Agency," commonly known as the Office of Community
16 Investment and Infrastructure, or OCII). California Health and Safety Code §§ 34170 et seq.
17 ("Redevelopment Dissolution Law"). On June 27, 2012, the Redevelopment Dissolution Law
18 was amended to clarify that successor agencies are separate public entities from the city or
19 county that had originally established a redevelopment agency and they succeed to the
20 organizational status of the former redevelopment agency to complete any work related to an
21 approved enforceable obligation. California Health and Safety Code § 34173(g).

22 (h) The Board of Supervisors, acting as the legislative body of the Successor Agency,
23 adopted Ordinance No. 215-12, which, among other matters: (1) acknowledged and
24 confirmed that the Successor Agency is a separate legal entity from the City; and (2)
25 established the Successor Agency Commission ("OCII Commission") and delegated to it the

1 authority to (i) implement, modify, enforce, and complete the Former Agency's enforceable
2 obligations, (ii) approve all contracts and actions related to the assets transferred to or
3 retained by the Successor Agency, including, without limitation, the authority to exercise land
4 use, development, and design approval, consistent with the applicable enforceable
5 obligations, and (iii) take any action that the Redevelopment Dissolution Law requires or
6 authorizes on behalf of the Successor Agency and any other action that the OCII Commission
7 deems appropriate, consistent with the Redevelopment Dissolution Law, to comply with such
8 obligations.

9 (i) Pursuant to the Redevelopment Dissolution Law, all of the Former Agency's assets
10 (other than certain housing assets) and obligations were transferred to the Successor Agency.

11 (j) Under the Redevelopment Dissolution Law, the Successor Agency's role is to
12 complete those enforceable obligations of the Former Agency that the California Department
13 of Finance has finally and conclusively approved under said Redevelopment Dissolution Law.
14 On April 15, 2013, the Department of Finance determined "finally and conclusively," under
15 California Health and Safety Code, Section 34177.5(i), that the Implementation Agreement,
16 Transbay Affordable Housing Obligation, and the Transbay Redevelopment Project Tax
17 Increment Allocation and Sales Proceeds Pledge Agreement ("Pledge Agreement") are
18 enforceable obligations.

19 (k) Transbay Redevelopment Plan Block 4 (Assessor's Block No. 3739, Lot No. 010) is
20 a former State-Owned Parcel subject to the 2008 Option Agreement, constituting
21 approximately 45,375 square feet and located within the Project Area at 200 Main Street,
22 bounded by Howard, Main, and Beale Streets and extending approximately 165 feet
23 southeast from Howard Street (the "Site").

24 (l) In April 2016, the OCII Commission approved an Agreement for Option to Purchase
25 the Site with F4 Transbay Partners LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("Developer")

1 (as amended by the First, Second, Third, and Fourth Amendments thereto, the “Block 4
2 Option Agreement”). At the time, the Developer sought to acquire Transbay Parcel F in the
3 Project Area from the TJPA and required as a condition of that purchase that the Successor
4 Agency enter into the Block 4 Option Agreement so that the Developer could fulfill the
5 affordable housing obligations of Parcel F through the development of the Site.

6 (m) Under the Redevelopment Plan and Planning Code, as applicable, all residential
7 projects in the Project Area must provide on-site inclusionary units. The Developer sought
8 relief from this obligation for its development of Parcel F, and instead proposed to fulfill the
9 Parcel F affordable housing obligation by constructing additional affordable units as part of its
10 development of the Site. Subsequently, as part of a development agreement for Parcel F
11 approved by the Board of Supervisors in Ordinance No. 42-21, Developer and the City
12 negotiated an alternative to this obligation, permitting Developer to pay an approximately
13 \$46.7 million fee to the Successor Agency to fund affordable housing in lieu of constructing
14 the required Parcel F affordable housing at the Site (“Parcel F Affordable Housing Fee”). On
15 January 19, 2021, by Resolution No. 02-2021, the OCII Commission exercised its authority
16 under the Redevelopment Plan to conditionally allow the Developer to pay a fee instead of
17 developing the required Parcel F affordable units on-site.

18 (n) On January 7, 2021, the Successor Agency exercised its rights to acquire Block 4
19 from the TJPA.

20 (o) Under the Block 4 Option Agreement, Successor Agency staff negotiated the terms
21 of a disposition and development agreement (“DDA”) with the Developer and Transbay Block
22 4 Housing Partnership, L.P., a California limited partnership (“Affordable Developer”), and on
23 June 21, 2022, by Resolution No. 22-2022, the OCII Commission approved the DDA.

24 (p) The DDA authorizes the disposition of the Site to the Developer and Affordable
25 Developer for the purposes of developing a mixed-use, residential development project

1 ("Project") generally consisting of: (1) a residential tower 513 feet in height (not including
2 screening elements) including a townhouse adjunct of up to 71 feet in height, collectively
3 containing 155 for-sale residential condominium units, 219 market-rate rental residential units,
4 no fewer than 105 rental units affordable to households earning from 100% to 120% of area
5 median income, neighborhood retail uses, amenities spaces, private open spaces, and related
6 supporting spaces; (2) creation of an air space parcel to be conveyed to the Successor
7 Agency and leased to the Affordable Developer according to an air rights ground lease
8 (substantially in the form included in the DDA) ("Air Rights Lease") for development of an
9 affordable residential building 163 feet in height (not including screening elements), containing
10 201 rental units affordable to households earning from 40% to 100% of area median income
11 (and one manager's unit), with supporting facilities, amenities, private open spaces and
12 neighborhood retail; (3) an approximately 66,496 square-foot underground shared parking
13 garage with 224 vehicle spaces able to accommodate up to 275 private vehicles (including
14 two car share vehicles) with valet parking and/or parked via stackers, and a minimum of 556
15 Class 1 bicycle spaces; and (4) approximately 5,850 square feet of public open space and
16 streetscape improvements within and surrounding the Site and including the extension of
17 Tehama Street to be dedicated to the City as a public right of way.

18 (q) The Redevelopment Plan specifies the land use of Block 4 as "Transbay
19 Downtown Residential" and provides for a maximum height limit of 450 feet. In addition, the
20 Redevelopment Plan specifies that: (1) the maximum residential floor plates for buildings
21 between 85 feet and 250 feet in height shall not exceed 7,500 square feet; and (2) the
22 maximum residential floor plates for buildings between 501 feet and 550 feet in height shall
23 not exceed 13,000 square feet.

24 (r) As described below, the OCII Commission recommended approval of a proposed
25 amendment to the Redevelopment Plan (the "Plan Amendment"), which would increase the

1 maximum height limit from 450 feet to 513 feet on Block 4, and would increase the maximum
2 floor plate for buildings between 85 feet and 250 feet in height to 13,500 square feet, and, for
3 buildings between 501 feet and 550 feet, would increase the maximum floor plate to 15,200
4 square feet for that portion of the building between 85 feet and 122 feet in height.

5 (s) The Plan Amendment would make no other substantial change to the authorized
6 land uses or physical controls under the Redevelopment Plan. As described below, the OCII
7 Commission recommended the Plan Amendment to achieve the goals and objectives set for
8 the Redevelopment Plan, including, among others, the creation of a community identity and
9 built form that ensure that high-rise buildings reflect high quality architectural and urban
10 design standards, and the creation of housing opportunities that provide a mixture of housing
11 types and sizes to attract a diverse residential population, including families and people of all
12 income levels.

13 (t) As described below, the OCII Commission also recommended the proposed Plan
14 Amendment because it implements the Transbay Affordable Housing Obligation. The Plan
15 Amendment will facilitate development on Block 4 of approximately 191 additional housing
16 units on Block 4 than would be possible under the existing height and bulk limitations, for a
17 total of 681 units. Under this proposal, 306 (45%) of the units would be affordable to low- and
18 moderate-income households (ranging between 40% and 120% of area median income).

19 (u) Over the past several years, the Transbay Citizens Advisory Committee ("CAC")
20 has reviewed and considered the proposal for development of Block 4 and the Plan
21 Amendment. On May 12, 2022, the CAC voted and recommended approval of the Plan
22 Amendment by the OCII Commission and the Board of Supervisors.

23 (v) Sections 33450-33458 of the California Health and Safety Code establish a
24 process to amend a redevelopment plan. This process includes a publicly noticed hearing of
25 the OCII Commission; environmental review, if required; adoption of the Plan Amendment by

1 the OCII Commission after the public hearing; preparation of a Report to the Board of
2 Supervisors; referral of the Plan Amendment to the Planning Commission for its report and
3 recommendation; a publicly noticed hearing of the Board of Supervisors, and Board of
4 Supervisors consideration after its hearing. Pursuant to Section 33457.1 of the California
5 Health and Safety Code, a proposed amendment to a redevelopment plan requires the
6 preparation and public availability of reports and information that would otherwise be required
7 for a redevelopment plan adoption “to the extent warranted” by the proposed amendment.

8 (w) The Successor Agency has prepared the Report to the Board, which the OCII
9 Commission approved by Resolution No. 19-2022, and has made the Report to the Board
10 available to the public on or before the date of the notice of the public hearing, held in
11 accordance with California Health and Safety Code Section 33454, on this ordinance
12 approving the Plan Amendment.

13 (x) On June 21, 2022, after holding a duly noticed public hearing in accordance with
14 California Health and Safety Code Section 33452, the OCII Commission, by Resolution Nos.
15 19-2022 and 20-2022, approved the Report to the Board and authorized its transmittal to the
16 Board of Supervisors for its background information in considering the proposed Plan
17 Amendment; referred the Plan Amendment to the Planning Commission for its report and
18 recommendation on the Plan Amendment and its conformance to the General Plan; made
19 findings under the California Environmental Quality Act (“CEQA”); approved the Plan
20 Amendment; and recommended the Plan Amendment to the Board of Supervisors for its
21 approval. Copies of the Plan Amendment and OCII Commission Resolution Nos. 19-2022 and
22 20-2022 are on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 220854, and are
23 incorporated herein by reference.

24 (y) On September 20, 2022, the Board of Supervisors held a public hearing on the
25 adoption of the proposed Plan Amendment in the Board Legislative Chamber, 1 Dr. Carlton B.

1 Goodlett Place, Room 250, San Francisco, California. The hearing has been closed. Notice of
2 such hearing was duly and regularly published in a newspaper of general circulation in the
3 City, once per week for three successive weeks prior to the date of such hearing in
4 accordance with California Health and Safety Code Section 33452. The Board considered the
5 Report on the Plan Amendment and recommendations of the OCII Commission, the Planning
6 Commission report and recommendations, applicable environmental review documents, and
7 all evidence and testimony for and against the proposed Plan Amendment. The Board hereby
8 adopts findings required by the California Health and Safety Code.

9 (z) Two companion ordinances relate to this ordinance: (1) a conforming amendment
10 to the Zoning Map of the Planning Code that would reflect the increase in the height limit for
11 Block 4 from 450 feet to 513 feet to accommodate the proposed Project (the "Zoning Map
12 Amendment"); and (2) a conforming amendment to the Transit Center District Plan, a Sub-
13 Area Plan of the Downtown Plan, to modify the Transit Center District height map to
14 accommodate the proposed Project (the "General Plan Amendment"). The companion
15 ordinances are on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File Nos. 220836 and
16 220914, respectively.

17
18 Section 2. Environmental and Planning Code Findings.

19 (a) On April 22, 2004, after a duly noticed joint public hearing with the Peninsula
20 Corridor Joint Powers Board (the "JPB"), in Motion No. 16773, the Planning Commission
21 certified as adequate and complete the final Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental
22 Impact Report ("Final EIS/EIR") for the Transbay Terminal/Caltrain Downtown
23 Extension/Redevelopment Project (Planning Department Case No. 2000.048E) in accordance
24 with CEQA, the CEQA Guidelines (California Code of Regulations Title 14, sections 15000 et
25 seq.), and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code. Said Motion is on file with

1 the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 041079 and is incorporated herein by
2 reference.

3 (b) On April 20, 2004, in Resolution No. 45-2004, the Former Agency, at a duly noticed
4 public hearing, also certified the Final EIS/EIR and made findings similar to those of the
5 Commission and JPB in regard to CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines.

6 (c) In Resolution No. 612-04, effective October 7, 2004, the Board of Supervisors
7 adopted findings that various actions related to the Transbay Terminal/Caltrain Downtown
8 Extension/Redevelopment Project complied with CEQA. Said Resolution and the CEQA
9 Findings are on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 041079 and are
10 incorporated herein by reference. Subsequent to the adoption of the Final EIS/EIR, the
11 Former Agency, the Successor Agency, or other responsible agencies under CEQA/NEPA
12 have approved and incorporated eight addenda into the analysis of the Final EIS/EIR and
13 made requisite findings under CEQA (the findings referenced in recital (a) and (b), collectively
14 referred to as the "CEQA Findings"), which documents are on file with the Clerk of the Board
15 of Supervisors in File No. 220854 and are incorporated herein by reference

16 (d) The Successor Agency, as lead agency under CEQA and in consultation with the
17 Planning Department, prepared Addendum No. 9 to the EIS/EIR, dated June 13, 2022
18 ("Addendum"). The Addendum evaluates the environmental effects of the Project.

19 (e) On June 21, 2022, after a duly noticed public hearing, the OCII Commission, by
20 Resolution No. 18-2022, determined that: the Project would not cause new significant impacts
21 that were not identified in the EIS/EIR; the Project would not cause significant impacts that
22 were previously identified in the EIS/EIR to become substantially more severe; no new
23 mitigation measures would be necessary to reduce significant impacts; no changes have
24 occurred with respect to circumstances surrounding the Project that would cause significant
25 environmental impacts to which the Project would contribute considerably; and no new

1 information has become available that shows that the Project would cause significant
2 environmental impacts. For these reasons, no supplemental environmental review is required.
3 This determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 220854 and
4 is incorporated herein by reference.

5 (f) The Board of Supervisors, acting in its capacity as a responsible agency under
6 CEQA, has reviewed and considered the EIS/EIR and the Addendum, and hereby adopts the
7 CEQA findings set forth in Successor Agency Commission Resolution Nos. 18-2022, 19-2022,
8 and 20-2022 and Planning Commission Motion No. 220854 and hereby incorporates such
9 findings by reference as though fully set forth in this ordinance.

10 (g) On July 28, 2022, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. 21154, adopted
11 findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance, with the
12 City's General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The Board
13 adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the
14 Board of Supervisors in File No. 220854, and is incorporated herein by reference.

15
16 Section 3. Purpose and Intent. The purpose and intent of the Board of Supervisors
17 with respect to the Plan Amendment is to increase the maximum height limit from 450 feet to
18 513 feet on Block 4 of Zone One of the Project Area, and to increase the maximum floor
19 plates for buildings on Block 4, as described above, for the purpose of allowing the Successor
20 Agency to consider approval of a residential development proposal that would include 45% of
21 the total number of units as affordable units.

22
23 Section 4. Plan Incorporation by Reference. The Redevelopment Plan as amended by
24 this ordinance is incorporated in and made a part of this ordinance by this reference with the
25 same force and effect as though set forth fully in this ordinance.

1
2 Section 5. Redevelopment Plan Amendment.

3 (a) The Zone One Plan Map provided in Exhibit 4 of the Redevelopment Plan is
4 hereby amended by deleting the “450 ft” maximum height designation provided on Block 4,
5 and replacing that text with the maximum height designation “513 ft.”

6 (b) Section 3.5.2 of the Redevelopment Plan is hereby amended as set forth in
7 Appendix A, “Redevelopment Plan Amendment,” to increase the maximum floor plates for
8 buildings on Block 4, as described in Section 1, subsection (r) of this ordinance.
9

10 Section 6. Further Findings and Determinations Under Community Redevelopment
11 Law.

12 To the extent required by the Community Redevelopment Law, the Board of
13 Supervisors hereby further finds, determines, and declares, based on the record before it,
14 including but not limited to information contained in the Report on the Plan Amendment, that:

15 (a) The purpose of the Plan Amendment is to facilitate, on Block 4 in Zone One of the
16 Project Area, development of a residential tower extending to a maximum height of 513 feet,
17 which would be consistent with the Redevelopment Plan goals and objectives, provide a
18 significant amount of affordable housing, and comply with the Redevelopment Dissolution
19 Law’s requirements for expeditious completion of enforceable obligations.

20 (b) The adoption and carrying out of the Plan Amendment are economically sound and
21 feasible as described in the Report to the Board. The Plan Amendment does not propose any
22 new capital expenditures by the Successor Agency, involve any new indebtedness or financial
23 obligation of the Successor Agency, or change the Successor Agency’s overall method of
24 financing the redevelopment of the Project Area. Instead, the Plan Amendment relies on
25

1 private enterprise to finance the market rate housing and a large portion of the affordable
2 housing on Block 4.

3 (c) Although significant improvements have occurred in the Project Area since
4 adoption of the Redevelopment Plan, Block 4 remains an undeveloped and blighted area
5 previously used as the temporary Transbay Bus Terminal, and is currently vacant. The Plan
6 Amendment will alleviate the adverse physical and economic conditions on Block 4 by
7 maximizing developable square feet and increasing dwelling unit count.

8 (d) For the reasons set forth in Sections 1 and 2 of this ordinance, the Plan
9 Amendment is consistent with the General Plan, as amended, and is consistent with the
10 priority policies in Planning Code Section 101.1, based on the findings set forth in Planning
11 Commission Resolution No. 21152 and Motion No. 21154, which findings this Board has
12 incorporated as its own.

13 (e) As discussed in Section 2 of this ordinance, the Successor Agency, in conjunction
14 with the Planning Department, has prepared an Addendum to the EIR/EIS supporting its
15 decision, on the basis of substantial evidence, that the Plan Amendment does not require
16 preparation of a subsequent or supplemental EIR. The Board of Supervisors concurs with this
17 determination as set forth in Section 2.

18 (f) As described in the Report to the Board, adoption of the Plan Amendment will not
19 adversely affect the physical or social quality of the neighborhood, nor will it cause the
20 destruction or removal of housing units from the low- and moderate-income housing market or
21 displacement of low- or moderate-income housing. Rather, the Plan Amendment, by
22 facilitating a greater density of development at a site designated for residential use, will
23 increase the supply of housing and affordable housing in the Project Area.

1 Section 6. Official Plan. As required by California Health and Safety Code Sections
2 33457.1 and 33367, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves and adopts the
3 Redevelopment Plan, as amended by the Plan Amendment, as the official redevelopment
4 plan for the Project Area.

5
6 Section 7. Continued Effect of Previous Ordinances as Amended. Ordinance Nos.
7 124-05, 99-06, 84-15, and 62-16 remain in full force and effect as amended by this ordinance.

8
9 Section 8. Transmittal of Plan as Amended. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
10 shall without delay: (a) transmit a copy of this ordinance to the Successor Agency, whereupon
11 the Successor Agency shall be vested with the responsibility for carrying out the
12 Redevelopment Plan as amended; and (b) record or ensure that the Successor Agency
13 records a notice of the approval and adoption of the Plan Amendment pursuant to this
14 ordinance, containing a statement that the proceedings for the redevelopment of the Project
15 Area pursuant to the Plan Amendment have been instituted as required under Community
16 Redevelopment Law.

17
18 Section 9. Ratification of Prior Acts. All actions taken by City officials and the OCII
19 Commission in preparing and submitting the Plan Amendment to the Board of Supervisors for
20 review and consideration are hereby ratified and confirmed, and the Board of Supervisors
21 hereby authorizes all subsequent action to be taken by City officials and the OCII Commission
22 consistent with this ordinance.

23
24 Section 10. Effective Date. In accordance with Sections 33378(b)(2) and 33450 of the
25 Community Redevelopment Law, this ordinance shall become effective 90 days from the date

1 of enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the
2 ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board
3 of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

4
5
6 APPROVED AS TO FORM:
7 DAVID CHIU, City Attorney

8 By: /s/ Peter R. Miljanich
9 PETER R. MILJANICH
Deputy City Attorney

10 n:\legana\as2022\2100136\01616065.docx