	umber:		rd of Supervisors)				
(P)	ovided by	Clerk of Boar		6 D - 1 (1-11)			
			Gr	ft Resolution Inf (Effective Ju		1	
	se: Acco		roposed Board	of Supervisors re	esolutions autho	rizing a Department to accept an	d
The fo	llowing	describes t	he grant referre	d to in the accom	npanying resolut	tion:	
1.	Gift Title: Accept and Expend In-Kind Gift – San Francisco Police Community Foundation – Estimated Market Value of \$7,250,028 to Support the Real Time Investigation Center						
2.	Department:		San Francisco Police Department				
3.	Contact Person:		Kimmie Wu		Telephone: 415-837-7211		
4.	I. Gift Approval Status (check one):						
	[X] Ap	proved by	funding agency		[] Not yet ap	proved	
5.	Amount of Gift Funding Approved or Applied for: \$7,250,028						
6.	 a. Matching Funds Required: N/A b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable): N/A 						
7.	 a. Gift Source Agency: San Francisco Police Community Foundation b. Gift Pass-Through Agency (if applicable): N/A 						
8.	Proposed Gift Project Summary: Resolution for SFPD to accept and expend an in-kind gift from the San Francisco Police Community Foundation to support the installation of equipment and parking fees for the Real Time Investigation Center's new location of 315 Montgomery Street.						
9.	Gift Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:						
		Start-Date	e: 4/30/2025	End-l	Date: N/A		
10.	 a. Amount budgeted for contractual services: N/A b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? N/A c. If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the Department's Local Business Enterprise (LBE) requirements? N/A d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing request for contracting out? N/A 						
11. 12.	b. c.	 If y Ho If n [X] If n 	o, why are indir Not allowed by on Other (please on the indirect costs	N/A unt calculated? Nect costs not incl granting agency explain): this is a	uded? [] To maximize In in-kind gift Iat would have b	[X] No e use of grant funds on direct service the indirect costs? N/A.	vices

13. This Gift is intended for a	ectivities at (check all that apply	y):						
[] Existing Site(s) [] Rehabilitated Site(s) [] New Site(s)	[] Existing Structure(s) [] Rehabilitated Structure(s) [] New Structure(s)	[X] Existing Program(s) or Service(s) [] New Program(s) or Service(s)						
concluded that the project as other Federal, State and loca	proposed will be in compliand	ce on Disability have reviewed the proposal and ce with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all ulations and will allow the full inclusion of persons mited to:						
1. Having staff trained in h	1. Having staff trained in how to provide reasonable modifications in policies, practices and procedures;							
2. Having auxiliary aids and services available in a timely manner in order to ensure communication access;								
3. Ensuring that any service areas and related facilities open to the public are architecturally accessible and have been inspected and approved by the DPW Access Compliance Officer or the Mayor's Office on Disability Compliance Officers.								
If such access would be technically infeasible, this is described in the comments section below:								
Comments: Departmental ADA Coordinate	tor or Mayor's Office of Disabil	lity Reviewer:						
Penny Si								
(Name)								
Departmental ADA Coordinator								
(Title) Date Reviewed: (24/2)	2025	(Signature Required)						
Department Head or Designee Approval of Gift Information Form: Paul Yep								
(Name)								
Acting Chief o	f Police	3						
(Title) Date Reviewed:	t 25	(Signature Required)						
		(Olginataro rioquirou)						

Disability Access Checklist*(Department must forward a copy of all completed Gift Information Forms to the Mayor's Office of Disability)