## Amendment of the Whole in Board 1/25/05

FILE NO. 050043

MOTION NO. MOS-13

[Adopting findings related to the appeal of the negative declaration issued by the Planning department for the 329 Bay Street project.]

Motion adopting findings related to the appeal of the negative declaration issued by the Planning Department on June 22, 2004 for 329 Bay Street.

On May 29, 2004, the Environmental Review Officer of the Planning Department issued a preliminary mitigated negative declaration for 329 Bay Street in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines and San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 31. <u>The negative declaration stated that no trees would be</u> <u>removed to accommodate the project and that the project sponsor would hire an arborist to</u> <u>develop procedures during construction of the project for protecting the existing Acacia tree</u> that fronts the project site on Vandewater Street.

On June 22, 2004, having received no appeal of the preliminary negative declaration, the Environmental Review Officer of the Planning Department issued a final mitigated negative declaration for 329 Bay Street ("negative declaration") in accordance with Administrative Code Section 31.11(h). A copy of said document is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 041641 and is incorporated by reference herein.

On November 23, 2004, the Planning Department issued an addendum to the final mitigated negative declaration that determined that removal of the tree would not result in a significant impact.

On November 29, 2004, the Clerk of the Board received an appeal of the negative declaration from Arthur Chang, Katherine Petrin and Carolyn Blair ("Appellants").

This Board held a duly noticed public hearing on January 4, 2005, to consider the negative declaration appeal filed by Appellants. Following the conclusion of the public hearing the Board reversed the Planning Department's approval of the <u>mitigated</u> negative declaration

Clerk of the Board BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

for 329 Bay Street based on the whole record before the Board including the written record in File No. 041718, which is hereby declared to be a part of this motion as if set forth fully herein; the written submissions to and official written records of the Planning Department determination on the negative declaration and subsequent determinations of the Planning Department related to the 329 Bay Street project; the official written and oral testimony at and audio and video records of the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the negative declaration.

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that the <u>mitigated</u> negative declaration is inadequate and incomplete in its consideration of the environmental context and setting in that the mitigated negative declaration did not consider the unique character that the street has due to the <u>existing Acacia tree that forms a significant part of the</u> tree canopy on the street created by the intimate street scale and the number of <u>mature</u> trees on the street, unlike other streets in the <u>immediate</u>-vicinity of the project.

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that there appears to be substantial evidence to support a fair argument that the project may have potentially significant environmental effects not considered in the final mitigated negative declaration as a result of the cumulative effect on biological resources of the removal of the 40-year old Acacia tree in conjunction with the potential removal of other trees on the street and the effect of the removal of the 40-year old Acacia tree and potentially other trees on this street's unique character and urban setting. that may occur from removal of several trees from this section of Vandewater Street. According to Appellants, a project is proposed at 84 Vandewater Street, where two street trees are located. The project sponsor's stated reasons for removal of the Acacia tree appear to be present in the case of 84 Vandewater Street and the other tree

Clerk of the Board BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

fronting on Vandewater Street at the 329 Bay Street project. Therefore, Appellants presented substantial evidence that several trees may need to be removed from this section of Vandewater Street to accommodate the 329 Bay Street project and the 84 Vandewater Street project. The negative declaration did not analyze the effect on biological resources and on the unique character and urban setting created by the of the removal of several trees on this section of Vandewater Street.

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors directs the Planning Department to reevaluate the project based on the findings set forth above and in light of the whole record, to <u>consider the cumulative effects of the project on biological resources and to consider the</u> <u>effect of the project, including any proposal to remove the 40-year old Acacia tree, on the</u> <u>street's unique character and urban setting and to</u> determine whether substantial evidence supports a fair argument that the project may have potentially significant environmental <u>effects; if not, to</u> revise the negative declaration in light of the findings set forth above, <u>to</u> <u>include mitigation measures as necessary and feasible, to mitigate any additional identified</u> <u>significant effects, or, if mitigation is not feasible, ; if so,</u> to prepare an environmental impact report that discloses <u>impacts that cannot be mitigated</u> and considers <u>project alternatives to</u> <u>mitigate the identified significant effects</u>. the cumulative effect of the project in combination with other proposed projects on biological resources and provides an objective analysis of <u>mitigation and a reasonable range of alternatives</u>.

Clerk of the Board BOARD OF SUPERVISORS



Tails

## Motion

File Number: 050043

Date Passed: January 25, 2005

Motion adopting findings related to the appeal of the negative declaration issued by the Planning Department on June 22, 2004 for 329 Bay Street.

January 6, 2005Board of Supervisors --- REFERRED: Board of SupervisorsJanuary 25, 2005Board of Supervisors --- AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE<br/>BEARING SAME TITLE<br/>Ayes: 11 - Alioto-Pier, Ammiano, Daly, Dufty, Elsbernd, Ma, Maxwell,<br/>McGoldrick, Mirkarimi, Peskin, SandovalJanuary 25, 2005Board of Supervisors --- APPROVED AS AMENDED

Ayes: 11 - Alioto-Pier, Ammiano, Daly, Dufty, Elsbernd, Ma, Maxwell, McGoldrick, Mirkarimi, Peskin, Sandoval

File No. 050043

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED AS AMENDED on January 25, 2005 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gloria L. Young Clerk of the Board

File No. 050043