OFFICE OF THE MAYOR SAN FRANCISCO



EDWIN M. LEE Mayor

December 8, 2014

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors Attn: Government Audit and Oversight Committee 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Attached please find a summary of the status of recommendation updates for the following Civil Grand Jury recommendations:

• 2013-2014 Civil Grand Jury Report, *Rising Sea Levels...At Our Doorstep*, Recommendations 1a, 1b, 2a, 3, 11d, and 12b.

This status of recommendations report should be included in the official legislative file for consideration at the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

Sincerely,

Kate Howard

Mayor's Budget Director

RECOMMENDATION	RESPONSE REQUIRED	ACTION PLAN	RESPONSE TEXT
1a: The City should prepare and adopt a risk assessment in preparation for developing its comprehensive plan regarding the rising sea level issue	Mayor or Mayor's Designated Agency Board of Supervisors DPW Dept. of Environment Dept. of Emergency Management Planning Port PUC	Recommendation Implemented	On September 22, 2014, the Capital Planning Committee adopted the "Guidance for Incorporating Sea Level Rise Into Capital Planning in San Francisco: Assessing Vulnerability, Risk to Support Adaptation."
1b: The City should adopt a citywide comprehensive plan for adaptation to rising sea levels, especially along its shores and its floodplains. Said plan should include the provision that construction projects' approval should take into account the anticipated lifespan of each project and the risks faced as outlined in said plan. Special consideration should be given to those anticipated to survive for more than 30 years. Said plan should include a provision that the plan be reviewed and reassessed every 5 years	Mayor or Mayor's Designated Agency Board of Supervisors DPW Dept. of Environment Dept. of Emergency Management Planning Port PUC	Recommendation Implemented	On September 22, 2014, the Capital Planning Committee adopted the "Guidance for Incorporating Sea Level Rise Into Capital Planning in San Francisco: Assessing Vulnerability, Risk to Support Adaptation."
2a: The Planning Code should be amended to include maps showing the areas in the City that are most at risk from the impacts of sea level rise. The Planning Code should be amended to prohibit development in said at-risk areas unless there is compliance with the provisions of the City's Building Code and the Port's Building Code (if applicable to the project) outlined in Recommendations 3a and 3b. The Planning Code should include a provision that the amended sections of the Code regarding the impact of rising sea levels be reviewed and reassessed every 5 years	Board of Supervisors Planning	Recommendation Will Not Be Implemented	The SFPUC and the Port have published maps depicting areas along San Francisco's bay and ocean shorelines that are potentially vulnerable to future flooding due to projected sea level rise through 2100. These maps have been incorporated into the Planning Department's geographic information system, and the Planning Department considers these maps in evaluating potential flood hazards for projects located in areas vulnerable to sea level rise. CEQA provides the Planning Department with sufficient authority to require projects to be designed to minimize and mitigate potential hazards related to sea level rise, and maps of areas that are vulnerable to impacts from sea level rise have already been developed. Amendments to the Planning Code to include the maps are not necessary. Amendments to the Planning Code to prohibit development are not warranted at this time and better addressed in recommendation 3.

	1		
3: The City's Building Code and the Port's	Board of Supervisors Planning	Requires Further	City departments are actively working with one another and with
Building Code should be amended to include:	i iaiiiiiig	Analysis	regional and state agencies to evaluate and develop consistent
(1) provisions addressing the impacts			guidance and policies to address sea level rise. This includes
associated with sea level rise, especially when		41	researching adaptation and resiliency measures implemented by
combined with storm surges and king tides; (2)			other municipalities, including building and planning code changes;
construction methods that would ensure a			and considering incorporating similar changes to the CCSF codes. The
project's resistance to and protection from the		g.	Planning Department is currently seeking funding to add sea level
impacts of rising sea levels, especially when			rise adaptation planning to its work program. The proposed work
combined with sudden storm surges and king		Ŷ.	program includes consideration and development of municipal code
tides; (3) amendments written to protect the		-	amendments as necessary to implement the adaptation plan.
most vulnerable systems, including but not			
necessarily limited to, electrical,		·	
telecommunications, and fire protection			
systems; (4) provisions relating to rising sea			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
levels be reviewed and reassessed every five			
years.			
11d: The City should request an insurance	Mayor	Recommendation	FEMA's National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) does not offer flood
premium estimate from FEMA and then	Board of Supervisors City Administrator	Will Not Be	coverage to municipalities; only to private property owners in
compare that estimate with the funding it could	Controller	Implemented	jurisdictions that participate in the program. According to City's
acquire from FEMA for mitigation and			FEMA representative, there is insufficient claim history as a basis to
adaptation against future flooding.			contend for FEMA flood mitigation funding.
12b: The City should create a local working	Mayor	Will Be	The proposed work program for developing a comprehensive city-
group of community citizens and stakeholders	Board of Supervisors Planning	Implemented in	wide sea level rise adaptation plan would provide for robust outreach
to feed into the regional group.		the Future	to and collaboration with local and regional community members
			and stakeholders. The exact nature of the program has not been
		S.	determined yet.