File No	130464	Committee Item No 7
		Board Item No

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee	Land Line and Economic Develop	mont Date May 20 2042
Committee.	Land Use and Economic Develop	ment_bate_way 20, 2013_
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Date
Cmte Boa	rd	
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analyst Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Lette MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	
OTHER	(Use back side if additional space	ce is needed)
Completed I		Date May 17, 2013

[Administrative Code - California Environmental Quality Act Procedures, Appeal of Exempt Project Modification]

Ordinance amending Administrative Code, Chapter 31, to provide for appeal to the Planning Commission of a Planning Department determination that an exempt project modification does not require a new decision under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making environmental findings.

NOTE:

Additions are <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman</u>; deletions are <u>strike-through italics Times New Roman</u>. Board amendment additions are <u>double-underlined</u>; Board amendment deletions are <u>strikethrough normal</u>.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. _____ and is incorporated herein by reference.

Section 2. The Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding new Section 31.08(k), to read as follows:

SEC. 31.08. *CATEGORICAL* EXEMPTIONS.

(k) Modification of Exempt Project. Where a modification occurs to a project that the Planning Department has determined to be exempt, prior to any subsequent approval actions, the Environmental Review Officer shall determine whether the modification requires a new CEOA decision.

(1) For purposes of exempt projects, a modification requiring reevaluation under Section 31.19(b) shall mean that the Planning Department is presented with a change in the scope of a project as described in the original application upon which Planning based the exemption determination, or the Planning Department is presented with new information regarding the environmental impacts of the project. If the Environmental Review Officer determines that the modification does not require reevaluation, such determination may be appealed to the Planning Commission as provided for in Section 31.08(k)(2). If the Environmental Review Officer determines that the project requires reevaluation as provided for in Section 31.19(b), the new CEQA decision rendered by the Planning Department or Planning Commission, may be appealed to the Board of Supervisors as provided for in Section 31.16.

(2) When the Environmental Review Officer determines that the modification does not require a new CEQA decision, the Environmental Review Officer shall post a notice of the determination in the offices of the Planning Department and on the Planning Department website, and mail such notice to the applicant, board(s), commission(s) or department(s) that will carry out or approve the project, and to any individuals or organizations that have previously requested such notice in writing. Any person may appeal such determination to the Planning Commission within 30 days from the posting of such notice on the Planning Department website. The Planning Commission shall schedule a hearing on the appeal within 30 days of the filing of the appeal and take action on the appeal within 60 days of the posting of the notice on the Planning Department website. The Planning Commission shall uphold the appeal if it finds that the Planning Department determination is adequately supported by the record before the Planning Department and the Planning Commission. If the Planning Commission rejects the Planning Department's determination, the Planning Department shall prepare a new CEQA decision for the modified project as provided for in Section 31.19(b). The City shall not take any action to approve the project until the appeal period has expired with no appeal

filed, or, if an appeal is filed, until the Planning Commission upholds the Planning Department's determination.

Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days from the date of passage.

Section 4. This section is uncodified. In enacting this Ordinance, the Board intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent part of the Administrative Code that are explicitly shown in this legislation as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title of the legislation.

APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By:

ELAINE WARREN
Deputy City Attorney

n:\legana\as2013\1300351\00847224.doc

LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

[Administrative Code - California Environmental Quality Act Procedures, Appeal of Exempt Project Modification]

Ordinance amending Administrative Code, Chapter 31, to provide for appeal to the Planning Commission of a Planning Department determination that an exempt project modification does not require a new decision under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making environmental findings.

Existing Law

The City of San Francisco, in accordance with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act, Public Resources Code Section 21000 *et seq.* ("CEQA"), and CEQA Guidelines, Title 14, California Code of Regulations, Section 15000 *et seq.* has adopted local procedures for administering its responsibilities under CEQA. These procedures are codified in San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 31. These procedures tailor the general provisions of the CEQA Guidelines to the specific operations of the City and incorporate by reference the provisions of CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines.

Amendments to Current Law

This ordinance amends one section of current Chapter 31. The amendment provides for a process of appealing a determination by the Planning Department to the Planning Commission when the Planning Department determines that the nature of a modification to a project it has determined to be exempt from CEQA, is insufficient to trigger the need for a new CEQA decision before a subsequent project approval action is taken.

Background Information

The ordinance is proposed to revise one aspect of the City's existing CEQA implementation procedures. A companion ordinance is also proposed that would further revise the City's existing CEQA implementation procedures.

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

May 16, 2013

Planning Commission and Historic Preservation Commission Attn: Jonas Ionin 1660 Mission Street, 5th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Commissioners:

On May 14, 2013, Supervisor Kim introduced the following proposed legislation:

File No. 130464

Ordinance amending Administrative Code, Chapter 31, to provide for appeal to the Planning Commission of a Planning Department determination that an exempt project modification does not require a new decision under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making environmental findings.

The proposed ordinance is being transmitted pursuant to Planning Code Section 302(b) for public hearing and recommendation. The ordinance is pending before the Land Use & Economic Development Committee and will be scheduled for hearing upon receipt of your response.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Alisa Miller, Committee Clerk
Land Use & Economic Developmen

Land Use & Economic Development Committee

c: John Rahaim, Director of Planning Scott Sanchez, Zoning Administrator Sarah Jones, Chief, Major Environmental Analysis AnMarie Rodgers, Legislative Affairs Monica Pereira, Environmental Planning Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

May 16, 2013

File No. 130464

Sarah Jones Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, 4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Jones:

On May 14, 2013, Supervisor Kim introduced the following proposed legislation:

File No. 130464

Ordinance amending Administrative Code, Chapter 31, to provide for appeal to the Planning Commission of a Planning Department determination that an exempt project modification does not require a new decision under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making environmental findings.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review, pursuant to Planning Code Section 306.7(c).

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Alisa Miller, Committee Clerk

Land Use & Economic Development Committee

Attachment

c: Monica Pereira, Environmental Planning Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Ed Reiskin, Director, Municipal Transportation Agency

Tom Hui, Director, Department of Building Inspection

Karen Hong Yee, County Clerk

Mohammed Nuru, Director, Department of Public Works Barbara Garcia, Director, Department of Public Health

Chief Joanne Hayes-White, Fire Department Fire Marshal Thomas Harvey, Fire Department Monique Moyer, Executive Director, Port

Edward Byrne, Chief Engineer, Port

Phil Ginsburg, General Manager, Recreation and Park Department

FROM:

Alisa Miller, Clerk, Land Use and Economic Development Committee

Board of Supervisors

DATE:

May 16, 2013

SUBJECT:

LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Economic Development Committee has received the following proposed legislation, introduced by Supervisor Kim on May 14, 2013:

File No. 130464

Ordinance amending Administrative Code, Chapter 31, to provide for appeal to the Planning Commission of a Planning Department determination that an exempt project modification does not require a new decision under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making environmental findings.

This matter is being forwarded to your department for informational purposes. If you have any comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

c: Elaine Forbes, Port
Kate Breen, Municipal Transportation Agency
Janet Martinsen, Municipal Transportation Agency
William Strawn, Department of Building Inspection
Carolyn Jayin, Department of Building Inspection
Frank Lee, Department of Public Works
Greg Wagner, Department of Public Health
Kelly Alves, Fire Department
Sarah Ballard, Recreation and Park Department

Print Form

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

	meeting date			
□ 1. For reference to Committee.				
An ordinance, resolution, motion, or charter amendment.				
2. Request for next printed agenda without reference to Committee.				
☐ 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.				
☐ 4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"			
☐ 5. City Attorney request.				
6. Call File No. from Committee.				
7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).				
8. Substitute Legislation File No.				
9. Request for Closed Session (attach written motion).				
☐ 10. Board to Sit as A Committee of the Whole.				
11. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on				
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following Small Business Commission				
☐ Planning Commission ☐ Building Inspection Commission	•			
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a Imperative				
Sponsor(s):				
Supervisor Kim, Campets, Avalos, Prac				
Subject:				
Administrative Code - California Quality Act Procedures, Appeal of Exempt Project Modifications				
The text is listed below or attached:				
Ordinance amending Administrative Code Chapter 31 to provide for appeal to the Planning Commis Planning Department determination that an exempt project modification does not require a new deci California Environmental Quality Act; and making environmental findings.				
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	>			
For Clerk's Use Only:				

Page 1 of 1

130464