Committee Item No. \_\_\_\_7\_\_\_\_ Board Item No. \_\_\_\_\_

## COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee:	Rules Committee	Dat

Date Nov 13, 2023

Board of	Supervisors	Meeting
----------	-------------	---------

|--|

## **Cmte Board**

	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analyst Report Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 - Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Form 700 Information/Vacancies (Boards/Commissions) Public Correspondence
OTHER	(Use back side if additional space is needed)
	Hearing - Initiative Ordinance

Completed by: _	Victor Young	Date	November 9, 2023
Completed by:		Date	

RECEIVED BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SAN FRANCISCO *		SAN FRANCISCO FILED
2023 OCT 17 PH 4: 31		ZOZ3 OCT 17 PM LLOS
BYFor Pr	Submittal Form oposed Initiative M	DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS easure(s)
Prior to Submittal to the Department of Elections		

## by 4 or more Supervisors or the Mayor

I, hereby submit the following proposed initiative measure(s) for hearing before the Board of Supervisors' Rules Committee prior to the submittal of the proposed initiative measure to the Department of Elections (per Proposition C, November 2007).

This matter is for the March 5, 2024 Election.

#### Sponsor(s): Supervisor Ahsha Safai

(Clerk of the Board's Time Stamp)



1

SAN FRANCISCO FILED

# 2023 OCT 17 PM 4: 14/24

2023 OCT 17 PM 4:12

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

### PROPOSED INITIATIVE ORDINANCE TO BE SUBMITTED BY FOUR OR MORE SUPERVISORS TO THE VOTERS AT THE MARCH 5, 2024 ELECTION.

[Under Charter Section 2.113(b), this measure must be submitted to the Board of Supervisors and filed with the Department of Elections no less than *45 days prior* to deadline for submission of such initiatives to the Department of Elections set in Municipal Elections Code Section 300(b).]

[Initiative Ordinance - Administrative Code - Community Policing and Foot and Bike Patrols]

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to require the Chief of Police to adopt a

### foot and bike patrol strategy for the Police Department.

NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain font. Additions to Codes are in <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman font</u>. Deletions to Codes are in <u>strikethrough italics Times New Roman font</u>. Asterisks (\* \* \* \*) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Administrative Code is hereby amended by revising Section 96F.1, to read as follows:

### SEC. 96F.1. COMMUNITY POLICING PLANS; FOOT AND BIKE PATROLS.

(a) Creation of District Station Community Policing PlansPolice Commission Hearings

and Development of Community Policing Plans.

(1) At least every other year beginning in 2024, the Police Commission shall review and may hold a public hearing to consider the Police Department ("SFPD") District Station

Community Policing Plans that shall include strategies regarding community policing and foot and bike patrols.

(2) <u>The Police Department (</u>"SFPD") shall *continue to create implement* a Community Policing Plan ("CPP") for each police district station. <u>The CPP</u> that shall be publicly available and posted on SFPD's website and on each station's website.

(b) **Community Policing Plans.** *The Police Department* <u>SFPD</u> shall finalize the CPPs no later than January 1, 2023, and review and update them on an annual basis by January 1 of each year. The purpose of CPPs are <u>is</u> to foster collaboration and open communication between police officers and community members, including neighborhood associations and groups, small businesses, merchant groups, non-profits, school groups, and neighborhood leaders. The CPPs shall:

(1) Support a culture and practice of policing that reflects the values of protection and promotion of the dignity of all people, and, especially, the most vulnerable in the community.

(2) Foster an environment where requests for service and information from SFPD are handled in a timely and transparent manner.

(3) Support *the Police Department's* <u>SFPD's</u> ongoing reform efforts to increase community engagement, trust, positive interactions, and relations between the community and police officers.

(4) Promote collaboration with groups *as* described in this <u>sub</u>section (b), *above*, to identify problems as well as solutions that will produce meaningful results for the community, and incorporate information learned from the Community Process in subsection  $(\underline{de})$ .

(5) Subject to the budgetary and fiscal provisions of the Charter and staffing availability, deploy foot and bike patrol officers, *as specified in subsection (c)*, at each district station.

Page 2

(6) Include a succession plan for the transfer and continual implementation of CPPs when changes in district station captains occur.

#### (c) Foot and Bike Patrol Strategy and Assignments.

4

(1) Foot and Bike Patrol Strategy. Upon completing the Proposition E bi-annual staffing study, the Chief of the San Francisco Police Department shall (A) adopt a foot and bike patrol strategy that identifies the areas where foot and/or bike patrol officers are most needed to effectively reduce crime and strengthen the SFPD's partnership and trust with the community, (B) develop a map outlining the footprint of the foot and/or bike patrol beats within the boundaries of each of the SFPD's district stations to dictate the street locations foot patrol officers may travel as described in subsection (c)(2), and (C) develop a formula for designating the foot and bike patrol streets and boundaries based on the reporting of crime, high volume foot traffic, crime prevention, and any other factors the Chief of Police deems appropriate to meet the needs of the community. The Chief of Police shall submit the foot and/or bike patrol described in this subsection (c)(1) and provide a staffing analysis to the Police Commission in accordance with the biannual staffing study so that that the Commission may hold a public hearing to review the strategy, map, or formula. Thereafter, the Chief of Police may modify the strategy, map, or formula from time to time in the Chief's discretion.

(2) Foot and Bike Patrols Assignments. The Chief of Police shall assign foot and/or bike patrol officers to each district station in the City, in the geographic locations identified as described in subsection (c)(1). Foot and/or bike patrol officers shall have the knowledge and skills required of a foot and/or bike patrol officer, and ties to the community the district station serves or the ability to readily develop such ties. To the extent permitted by law and labor agreements, the Chief of Police shall give due consideration to minimizing the reassignment of foot and/or bike patrol officers in order to promote continuity between the officers and community members with the goal of strengthening SFPD's relationships with the community. (3) Foot and Bike Patrols Budget. Each fiscal year, the Chief of Police or designee shall submit to the Police Commission a budget and level of staffing for foot and/or bike patrols based on the required staffing study and as a part of the proposed budget that the Chief of Police submits to the Police Commission.

(*de*) <u>SFPD</u> Community Policing Strategies. *The Police Department* <u>SFPD</u> shall provide time and resources for departmental involvement in community events, relationship-building exercises and problem-solving activities. *The Police Department* <u>SFPD</u> shall serve the diverse populations represented in the district station using the principles of the CPPs.

SFPD shall create community policing strategies that address *deployment of foot and bike patrols*, quality of life issues, and community language access needs as follows:

(1) Foot and Bike Patrols. Police Officers working outside the confines of a patrol ear may find it easier to interact with community members and to identify otherwise overlooked problems. SFPD shall (A) adopt a foot and bike patrol strategy that will deploy foot and bike patrol officers at every district station to effectively reduce crime and strengthen the SFPD's partnership and trust with the community, and (B) develop a formula for designating the foot and bike patrol streets and boundaries based on the reporting of crime, high volume foot traffic, crime prevention, and community input. The Police Department shall continue to evaluate the effectiveness of this deployment and readjust the strategy by considering the numbers of calls for service, crime prevention initiatives, and individual interactions with the community.

(12) **Quality of Life Issues.** SFPD shall develop a strategy for proactive problem solving on quality of life *guality-of-life* issues, nuisances, and crime prevention, and identify alternatives to criminal law enforcement for quality of life issues. In the face of these concerns, SFPD community policing strategies shall create a sense of community where members of the community feel safe and secure.

(<u>2</u>3) **Language Access Needs.** SFPD shall incorporate a strategy tailored to address the distinct language access needs of communities served by each district station.

ł

8

(*ed*) **Community Process.** SFPD\_shall create a public process for eliciting community input for the CPPs from community members, including neighborhood associations and groups, small businesses, merchant groups, non-profits, school groups, and neighborhood leaders that provide direct services to San Francisco residents *in areas served by* their respective district stations before adoption of the CPPs. SFPD shall consider and incorporate, to the extent possible, community feedback that includes a proactive problem-solving approach in designing CPPs. This public process is intended to allow the community to provide valuable input to SFPD as a measure of accountability.

Section 2. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the People of the City and County of San Francisco intend to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions or deletions, in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title of the ordinance.

### SAN FRANCISCO FILED

## 2023 OCT 17 PM 4:12

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

SUBMITTED	
1/4/Ni Noom	
Member, Board of Supervisors	

\*

Date:

10-17-2023

10-17-2023 Date:

Member, Board of Supervisors

Member, Board of Supervisors

Ø

-7

Ø

Date:

10-17-23

10-17-23

Date:

Date:

Member, Board of Supervisors

Member, Board of Supervisors

### **BOARD of SUPERVISORS**



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689 Tel. No. (415) 554-5184 Fax No. (415) 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

## MEMORANDUM

Date: To: From: Subject:	October 23, 2023 Planning Department / Commission Victor Young, Clerk of the Rules Committee Board of Supervisors Legislation Referral - File No. 231097 Hearing - Initiative Ordinance - Administrative Code - Police Department Policies and Procedures and Use of Technology	
(Californi	ia Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Determination <i>a Public Resources Code, Sections 21000 et seq.</i> ) Ordinance / Resolution Ballot Measure	
(Planning	<ul> <li>Amendment to the Planning Code, including the following Findings:</li> <li>(<i>Planning Code, Section 302(b): 90 days for Planning Commission review</i>)</li> <li>General Plan</li> <li>Planning Code, Section 101.1</li> <li>Planning Code, Section 302</li> </ul>	
	nent to the Administrative Code, involving Land Use/Planning ule 3.23: 30 days for possible Planning Department review)	
<i>(Charter,</i> (Require subdivisi relocatio public he the annu	General Plan Referral for Non-Planning Code Amendments <i>(Charter, Section 4.105, and Administrative Code, Section 2A.53)</i> (Required for legislation concerning the acquisition, vacation, sale, or change in use of City property; subdivision of land; construction, improvement, extension, widening, narrowing, removal, or relocation of public ways, transportation routes, ground, open space, buildings, or structures; plans for public housing and publicly-assisted private housing; redevelopment plans; development agreements; the annual capital expenditure plan and six-year capital improvement program; and any capital improvement project or long-term financing proposal such as general obligation or revenue bonds.)	
	Preservation Commission Landmark ( <i>Planning Code, Section 1004.3</i> ) Cultural Districts ( <i>Charter, Section 4.135 &amp; Board Rule 3.23</i> ) Mills Act Contract ( <i>Government Code, Section 50280</i> ) Designation for Significant/Contributory Buildings ( <i>Planning Code, Article 11</i> )	

Please send the Planning Department/Commission recommendation/determination to Victor Young at <u>Victor.Young@sfgov.org</u>.



## **OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER**

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Ben Rosenfield Controller

Todd Rydstrom Deputy Controller

November 9, 2023

Ms. Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

RE: File 231101 – Community Policing and Foot and Bike Patrols

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Should the proposed initiative ordinance be approved by the voters, in my opinion, in and of itself it would not affect the cost of government.

The proposed initiative ordinance specifies that the San Franciso Police Department (SFPD) will establish policies regarding community policing as well as foot and bike patrols, subject to SFPD's regular public hearing processes. If approved, the ordinance would require the Chief of Police to recommend staffing levels for foot and bike patrol assignments in accordance with the bi-annual staffing study and as part of the regular City budget process.

As of June 2023, SFPD had 48 offers assigned to foot or bike patrols. To the extent the implementation of this ordinance would require future additional foot and bike patrol officers beyond the current number of officers, it may increase the cost to government. These costs will vary considerably depending on the operational decisions made by the SFPD and decisions made by the Mayor and Board of Supervisors through the normal budget process as an ordinance cannot bind future Mayors or Boards of Supervisors to provide funding.

Sincerely,

anice Levy FOR

Ben Rosenfield Controller

Note: This analysis reflects our understanding of the proposal as of the date shown. At times further information is provided to us which may result in revisions being made to this analysis before the final Controller's statement appears in the Voter Information Pamphlet. **BOARD of SUPERVISORS** 



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. (415) 554-5184 Fax No. (415) 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

Victor Houng

# MEMORANDUM

TO: Ben Rosenfield, City Controller, Office of the Controller

- FROM: Victor Young, Assistant Clerk, Rules Committee Board of Supervisors
- DATE: October 23, 2023
- SUBJECT: INITIATIVE ORDINANCE INTRODUCED March 5, 2024, Election

The Board of Supervisors' Rules Committee has received the following Initiative Ordinance for the March 5, 2024, Election. This matter is being **referred** to you in accordance with Rules of Order 2.22.3.

### File No. 2321096 (ver1)

Hearing to consider the proposed Initiative Ordinance submitted by the Mayor to the voters for the March 5, 2024, Election, entitled "Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to require recipients of aid under the County Adult Assistance Program (CAAP) who are reasonably believed to be dependent on illegal drugs to be screened for substance abuse, and to participate in appropriate substance abuse treatment where recommended by a professional evaluator; providing that failure to comply with the drug screening, evaluation, and treatment requirements without good cause will render a recipient ineligible for assistance due to non-compliance with the screening, evaluation, or treatment requirements to receive a housing stipend or access to in-kind shelter for 30 days beyond the discontinuance of their aid, with possible extensions as necessary to prevent eviction; and establishing a special fund to support the costs of the substance abuse screening and treatment program, using savings realized from implementation of the program."

Please review and prepare a financial analysis of the proposed measure prior to the first Rules Committee hearing.

If you have any questions or concerns, please call me at (415) 554-7723 or email: victor.young@sfgov.org. To submit documentation, please email or forward to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

c: Todd Rydstrom, Office of the Controller Natasha Mihal, Office of the Controller Janice Levy, Office of the Controller **BOARD of SUPERVISORS** 



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. (415) 554-5184 Fax No. (415) 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

# MEMORANDUM

- TO: Tom Paulino, Liaison to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor's Office Anne Pearson, Deputy City Attorney, Office of the City Attorney John Arntz, Director, Department of Elections Gayathri Thaikkendiyil, Acting Executive Director, Ethics Commission Tent Rhorer, Executive Director, Human Services Agency
- FROM: Victor Young, Assistant Clerk, Rules Committee Victor Young, Board of Supervisors
- DATE: October 23, 2023
- SUBJECT: INITIATIVE ORDINANCE INTRODUCED March 5, 2024

The Board of Supervisors' Rules Committee has received following Initiative Ordinance for the March 5, 2024, Election. This matter is being **referred** to you in accordance with Rules of Order 2.22.4.

### File No. 231096 (ver1)

Hearing to consider the proposed Initiative Ordinance submitted by the Mayor to the voters for the March 5, 2024, Election, entitled "Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to require recipients of aid under the County Adult Assistance Program (CAAP) who are reasonably believed to be dependent on illegal drugs to be screened for substance abuse, and to participate in appropriate substance abuse treatment where recommended by a professional evaluator; providing that failure to comply with the drug screening, evaluation, and treatment requirements without good cause will render a recipient ineligible for assistance due to non-compliance with the screening, evaluation, or treatment requirements to receive a housing stipend or access to in-kind shelter for 30 days beyond the discontinuance of their aid, with possible extensions as necessary to prevent eviction; and establishing a special fund to support the costs of the substance abuse screening and treatment program, using savings realized from implementation of the program."

Please review and submit any reports or comments you wish to be included with the legislative file.

If you have any questions or concerns, please call me at (415) 554-7723 or email: victor.young@sfgov.org. To submit documentation, please forward to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

c: Andres Power, Mayor's Office Michael Canning, Ethics Commission Elizabeth LaBarre, Human Services Agency Louis Rainey, Human Services Commission