FILE NO. 250266

Petitions and Communications received from March 13, 2025, through March 20, 2025, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on March 25, 2025.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From the Office of the Mayor (MYR), making (re)appointments to the following bodies. Copy: Each Supervisor. (1)

- (Re)appointments pursuant to Charter, Section 8.102, to the Library Commission
 - Whitney Wildrick Jones term ending January 15, 2029 (Appointment)
 - Shawn Calhoun term ending January 15, 2029 (Appointment)
 - Pete Huang term ending January 15, 2029 (Reappointment)
- Reappointment pursuant to Charter, Section 4.111, to the Human Services Commission
 - o Dr. James McCray term ending January 15, 2029

From San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA), submitting the Interdepartmental Staff Committee on Traffic and Transportation for Temporary Street Closures (ISCOTT) March 27, 2025, meeting agenda. Copy: Each Supervisor. (2)

From the Juvenile Probation Department (JUV), pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 12B.5-1(d)(1), submitting an approved Chapter 12B Waiver Request Form. Copy: Each Supervisor. (3)

From Department of Public Health, regarding the opening of a Stabilization Unit located at 822 Geary Street. Copy: Each Supervisor. (4)

From the San Francisco Planning Department, submitting notice of the availability of a Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) and Notice of Public Hearing regarding the Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E) Power Asset Acquisition Project, Planning Case No. 2023-005370ENV. Copy: Each Supervisor. (5)

From the California Fish and Game Commission, pursuant to California Government Code Section 11346.8, subdivision (c), and Section 44 of Title 1 of the California Code of Regulations, submitting notice of sufficiently related changes to the proposed regulations concerning Big Game Hunting for 2025-2026 Seasons and Chronic Wasting Disease Testing and submitting notice of sufficiently related changes to the proposed regulations concerning waterfowl, migratory American coot and common moorhen (common gallinule). Copy: Each Supervisor. (6) From the California Department of Parks and Recreation, Office of Historic Preservation, pursuant to Federal Regulations 36 CFR Part 60.6(c), submitting notice of intention to consider and take action on the nomination of the North Beach Historic District to the National Register of Historic Places. Copy: Each Supervisor. (7)

From the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, regarding the reopening of public comment periods for three proposed listings: the monarch butterfly, Suckley's cuckoo bumble bee and the bleached sandhill skipper. Copy: Each Supervisor. (8)

From Ian Leighton, regarding the Resolution urging the Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) to develop and implement a plan for No Turn On Red (NTOR) at every signalized intersection in San Francisco and approve a citywide NTOR policy. File No. 231016, Resolution No. 481-23. Copy: Each Supervisor. (9)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Ordinance amending the Planning Code to limit restrictions on window replacement projects in certain buildings. 10 Letters. File No. 241021. Copy: Each Supervisor. (10)

From members of the public, regarding the Resolution affirming San Francisco's commitment to developing fiscal solutions to ensure that public transportation remains a safe, accessible, affordable, and convenient option. File No. 250146, Resolution No. 91-25. 212 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (11)

From Joshua Eveleth, regarding the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) Biking and Rolling Plan. Copy: Each Supervisor. (12)

From Daniel Jeremiah Hoffman, regarding various topics. 4 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (13)

From Julien DeFrance, regarding various topics. 9 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (14)

From members of the public, regarding the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) efforts to install parking meters on residential streets. 21 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (15)

From Kimberly Simmons, regarding various subjects. 12 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (16)

From Paul Van Peursem, regarding funding for General Civil Legal Services at the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (MOHCD). Copy: Each Supervisor. (17)

From Alexia Rotberg, regarding 16th Street Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) station. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (18) From members of the public, regarding Ordinance amending the Business and Tax Regulations Code to suspend the Empty Homes Tax pending a final decision in Eric Debbane, et al. v. City & County of San Francisco, et al., San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CGC-23-604600, retroactive to January 1, 2024, and to reinstate that tax so that the tax first applies in the tax year immediately following the calendar year of that final decision. File No. 250001. 6 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (19)

From a member of the public, regarding quality of life issues. Copy: Each Supervisor. (20)

From members of the public, regarding the San Francisco Human Rights Commission (HRC) investigation into traditional spas. 102 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (21)

Item 1

From:	Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)			
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides			
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS);			
	RUSSI, BRAD (CAT); Adeyemi, Andre (MYR); Young, Victor (BOS)			
Subject:	TIME SENSITIVE: Mayoral (Re)appts: 3.100(18) - Library and HRC Commissions			
Date:	Friday, March 14, 2025 5:44:00 PM			
Attachments:	image001.png			
	Clerk"s Memo 3.14.25.pdf			
	3.12.25 BOS Notice.pdf			
	3.13.25 BOS Notice.pdf			
	Shawn Calhoun Bio.pdf			
	Shawn Calhoun 2024 CV_Resume.pdf			
	Whitney Wildrick Jones - Resume.pdf			
	<u>3.13.25 Form 700 (Whitney).pdf</u>			
	James McCray-Resume.doc			
	3.13.2025 Form 700 (McCray).pdf			
	3.13.25 Pete Huang-Resume.pdf			
	3.13.25 Form 700 (Pete).pdf			

Dear Supervisors,

The Office of the Mayor submitted the attached (re)appointment packages. Please see the memo from the Clerk of the Board for more information and instructions.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh Executive Assistant Office of the Clerk of the Board Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689 Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org| www.sfbos.org

Click <u>here</u> to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The <u>Legislative Research Center</u> provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy. BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. (415) 554-5184 Fax No. (415) 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date:March 14, 2025To:Members, Board of SupervisorsFrom:Members, Clerk of the BoardSubject:Mayoral (Re)appointments - Library Commission and Human Services Commission

On March 14, 2025, the Office of the Mayor submitted the following (re)appointment packages pursuant to Charter, Section 3.100(18). These appointments are effective immediately unless rejected by a two-thirds vote of the Board of Supervisors within 30 days. (April 13, 2025).

(Re)appointments to the Library Commission, pursuant to Charter, Section 8.102:

- Whitney Wildrick Jones term ending January 15, 2029 (Appointment)
- Shawn Calhoun term ending January 15, 2029 (Appointment)
- Pete Huang Term ending January 15, 2029 (Reappointment)

Reappointment to the Human Services Commission, pursuant to Charter, Section 4.111:

• Dr. James McCray - term ending January 15, 2029

Pursuant to Board Rule 2.18.3, a Supervisor may request a hearing on either of these Mayoral (re)appointments by timely notifying the Clerk in writing.

Upon receipt of such notice, the Clerk shall refer the (re)appointment(s) to the Rules Committee so that the Board may consider the (re)appointment(s) and act within 30 days of the transmittal letter as provided in Charter, Section 3.100(18).

If you wish to hold a hearing on either of these appointments, please let me know in writing by noon on Wednesday, March 19, 2025.

c: President Rafael Mandelman - Board of Supervisors Supervisor Shamann Walton - Chair, Rules Committee, Board of Supervisors Alisa Somera - Legislative Deputy Victor Young - Rules Clerk Brad Russi - Deputy City Attorney Adam Thongsavat - Mayor's Liaison to the Board of Supervisors Andre Adeyemi - Mayor's Director of Appointments OFFICE OF THE MAYOR SAN FRANCISCO



DANIEL LURIE MAYOR

Notice of Reappointment

March 13, 2025

San Francisco Board of Supervisors City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

Pursuant to Charter Section 3.100(18), of the City and County of San Francisco, I make the following reappointment:

Dr. James McCray (term ending January 15, 2025) to the Human Services Commission for a four-year term ending January 15, 2029.

I am confident that Dr. McCray will continue to serve our community well. Attached are his qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how his reappointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment. Should you have any questions about this reappointment, please contact my Director of Appointments, Andre Adeyemi, at (415) 554-4000.

Sincerely,

Daniel Lurie Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR SAN FRANCISCO



DANIEL LURIE Mayor

Notice of Appointment

March 13, 2025

San Francisco Board of Supervisors City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

Pursuant to Charter Section 3.100(18), of the City and County of San Francisco, I make the following appointment:

Mrs. Whitney Wildrick-Jones (replacing Susan Mall - term ending January 15, 2025) and Mr. Shawn Calhoun (replacing Teresa Ono - term ending January 15, 2025) and reappoint Mr. Pete Huang (term ending January 15, 2025) to the Library Commission for a four-year term ending January 15, 2029.

I am confident that they will continue to serve our community well. Attached are their qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how their appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods, and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment. Should you have any questions about this appointment, please contact my Director of Appointments, Andre Adeyemi, at (415) 554-4000.

Sincerely,

Daniel Lurie Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, ROOM 200 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102-4681 TELEPHONE: (415) 554-6141

1

Item 2

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS);
	BOS-Operations; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	FW: ISCOTT Hearing on Thu, March 27 - Agenda - Temporary Street Closure Requests
Date:	Thursday, March 20, 2025 8:41:39 AM
Attachments:	ISCOTT 1589 Agenda.pdf

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below and attached from the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA), submitting the agenda for the Interdepartmental Staff Committee on Traffic and Transportation for Temporary Street Closures (ISCOTT) meeting of Thursday, March 27, 2025.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163 bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Chapman, Nick (MTA) <Nick.Chapman@sfmta.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 20, 2025 8:17 AM
To: SpecialEvents <SpecialEvents@sfmta.com>
Subject: ISCOTT Hearing on Thu, March 27 - Agenda - Temporary Street Closure Requests

Good morning –

Attached is the agenda for the upcoming ISCOTT hearing on Thursday, March 27.

If you have any questions, please email us.

Nick Chapman

Manager, <u>Special Events / Temporary Street Closures</u> San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency 1 South Van Ness Ave, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103 *Pronouns: he/him, they/them*

Item 3

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)	
То:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides	
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); BOS-Operations; Board of Supervisors (BOS)	
Subject:	FW: CMD12B0004275 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (JUV) Department Head (Walter Martinez)	
Date:	Thursday, March 20, 2025 1:42:00 PM	
Attachments:	image CMD12B0004275.pdf image002.png	

Dear Supervisors,

Pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 12B.5-1(d)(1), please see below and attached from the San Francisco Juvenile Probation Department (JUV) submitting an approved Chapter 12B Waiver Request Form.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163 bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: CCSF IT Service Desk <ccsfdt@service-now.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 20, 2025 10:21 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: CMD12B0004275 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been Approved by (JUV)
Department Head (Walter Martinez)

Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0004275 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (JUV) Department Head (Walter Martinez).

Summary of Request

Requester: Elisa Baeza Department: JUV Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Supplier ID: 0000009968 Requested total cost: \$10,000.00 Short Description: Target: Gift cards as incentives for probation clients and their families

Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact <u>cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org</u>

Thank you.

Ref:TIS5755255_QGJ3nmkwSRIzY841QayB

Report Title:	CMD 12B Waiver Details
Run Date and Time:	2025-03-20 13:37:14 Pacific Daylight Time
Run by:	ServiceNow Admin
Table name:	u_cmd_12b_waiver

CMD 12B Waiver

Number:	CMD12B0004275	Request Status:	Awaiting CMD Director Approval
Requested for:	Elisa Baeza	State:	Work in Progress
Department Head/Delegated	Head/Delegated Walter Martinez	Waiver Type:	12B Waiver
authority:		12B Waiver Type:	Standard
Opened:		Requesting Department:	JUV
		Requester Phone:	

Awaiting Info from: Awaiting Info reason:

Elisa Baeza

Opened by:

Watch list:

Short Description:

Target: Gift cards as incentives for probation clients and their families

Supplier ID:	000009968	Requested Amount:	\$10,000.00
Is this a new waiver or are you	New Waiver	Increase Amount:	\$0.00
modifying a previously approved waiver?:		Previously Approved Amount:	\$0.00
Last Approved 12B Waiver Request:		Total Requested Amount:	\$10,000.00
Last Approved 12B Walver Request.			
Document Type:	Requisition	Enter Contract ID:	
12B Waiver Justification:	12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)	Enter Requisition ID:	000000000
City Treasurer:	Jose Cisneros	Enter Purchase Order ID:	
Admin Code Chapter:	Chapter 21 Goods and Services	Enter Direct Voucher ID:	
Select Chapter 21.04 Section:		Waiver Start Date:	2025-03-26
Confirm Dept. has documented this		Waiver End Date:	2027-03-25
agreement as a Sole Source:			
Advertising:	false		
Commodities, Equipment and Hardware :	true		
Equipment and Vehicle Lease:	false		
On Premise Software and Support:	false		
Online Content, Reports, Periodicals and Journals:	false		
Professional and General Services:	false		
Software as a Service (SaaS) and Cloud Software Applications:	false		
Vehicles and Trailers:	false		
	false nd what goods and/or services the contr	a:	

a) Target

b) Target gift cards are to be provided as incentives for engagement activities targeting probation clients & their families, including JUV's Annual Youth and Family Survey. JUV intends to purchase these gift cards on an annual basis.

c) Target has the geographic presence throughout the city and proximity to clients served who may reside outside the San Francisco Bay Area.

If you have made an effort to have the supplier comply, explain it here. If not,:

Purchasing giftcards directly from Target is the most economical option. There are no other compliant vendors that can meet this business need.

Cancel Notes:

CMD Analyst

CMD Analyst:	Ruth Santana	CMD Director:	Stephanie Tang
CMD Analyst Decision:	Reviewed and Approved	Select the reason for this request:	12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)
CMD Analyst Comments:	Target gift cards are to be provided as incentives for engagement activities targeting probation clients & their families, including JUV's Annual Youth and Family Survey. JUV intends to purchase these gift cards on an annual basis. Target is the most economical option.		

CMD Director		
CMD Director:	Stephanie Tang	CMD Director Decision:

Reason for Determination:

12B.5-1(a)(1) (Non Property Contracts)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:
Sole Source – Non Property Contract Justification Reason:
Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?:
Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:
Explain why this is a Sole Source:

12B.5-1(a)(1) (Property Contracts)

City Property Status: Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?: Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question1:

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question2:

12B.5-1(a)(1)(Property Contracts)

Sole Source – Property Contract Justification Reason:

12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency)

12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency) Question2:

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation)

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question1 :

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question2:

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Non Property)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver: Public Entity Sole Source – Non Property Contract Justification Reason: Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?: Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

Explain why this is a Sole Source (Public Entity):

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Property)

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity SS-PC) Question1:

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity - Substantial)

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-SPI) Question1:

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms)

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question1:

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question2:

12B.5-1(e) Investments and Services

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question1:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question2:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question3:

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk Water, Power and

Bulk Water:	false
Bulk Power:	false
Bulk Gas:	false
12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG)	

Question2:

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question1:

Engagement activities like the department's Annual Youth and Family Survey encourage the participation of clients & their families, and them sharing thier opinions on how the department can best meet their needs and/or improve services.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question2:

Target gift cards are to be provided as incentives for engagement activities targeting probation clients & their families, including JUV's Annual Youth and Family Survey.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question3:

We explored puchasing these gift cards through a City-approved third party vendor, however this is was not a suitable option due to the extremly high cost. Purchasing giftcards directly from Target is the most economical option for the City.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question4:

JUV will continue to search in the future for a compliant vendor. At this time, this supplier is the only one who meets all of JUV's immediate business needs.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question5:

Not Applicable

12B.5-1(d)(1)(No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question2 :

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question4:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver: Has MTA qualified agreement as Bulk Purchasing under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

Detail the nature of this Bulk Purchasing transaction:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question2:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question4:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question5:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question6:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity)

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question2:
12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question3:
12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question4:

Activities

Additional comments:

Related List Title:	Approval List
Table name:	sysapproval_approver
Query Condition:	Approval for = CMD12B0004275
Sort Order:	Order in ascending order

1 Approvals

State	Approver	Approving	Created	Approval set	Comments
Approved	Walter Martinez	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004275	2025-03-19 13:13:58		
Related List Title:	Metric List				
Table name:	metric_instance				

Query Condition:	Table = u_cmd_12b_waiver AND ID = deb6494f3b50e250cf49eef764e45a41
Sort Order:	None

10 Metrics

Created	Definition	ID	Value	Start	End	Duration	Calculation com plete
2025-03-19 13:13:30	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004275	Draft	2025-03-19 13:13:27	2025-03-19 13:13:58	31 Seconds	true
2025-03-19 13:14:00	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004275		2025-03-19 13:13:58	2025-03-19 13:13:58	0 Seconds	true
2025-03-20 10:20:41	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004275	0	2025-03-20 10:20:35	2025-03-20 10:57:14	36 Minutes	true
2025-03-19 13:14:00	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004275	Draft	2025-03-19 13:13:58	2025-03-20 10:20:35	21 Hours 6 Minutes	true
2025-03-20 10:57:15	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004275	Awaiting CMD Director Approval	2025-03-20 10:57:14			false
2025-03-19 13:13:30	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004275	Draft	2025-03-19 13:13:27	2025-03-19 13:13:58	31 Seconds	true
2025-03-20 10:20:41	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004275	0	2025-03-20 10:20:35	2025-03-20 10:57:14	36 Minutes	true

Created	Definition	ID	Value	Start	End	Duration	Calculation com plete
2025-03-20 10:57:15	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004275	0	2025-03-20 10:57:14			false
2025-03-19 13:14:00	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004275	•	2025-03-19 13:13:58	2025-03-19 13:13:58	0 Seconds	true
2025-03-19 13:14:00	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004275	Draft	2025-03-19 13:13:58	2025-03-20 10:20:35	21 Hours 6 Minutes	true

Item 4

From: Va	lidzic, Ana (DPH)
To: <u>BO</u>	<u>S-Operations;</u> BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
	di, Kunal (MYR); Bonde, Aly (MYR); Rana, Shalini (DPH); Pan, Eufern (MYR); Thongsavat, Adam (MYR); Tsai,
Da	<u>niel (DPH); Bobba, Naveena (DPH); Patil, Sneha (DPH); Kunins, Hillary (DPH)</u>
Subject: Sta	abilization Unit at 822 Geary: Notice of BMR lease under File 250040
Date: Tu	esday, March 18, 2025 5:00:18 PM

Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors and Staff:

SFDPH will open the **Stabilization Unit located at 822 Geary in April 2025**. The Stabilization Unit will provide the public purpose of providing immediate voluntary medical care for people with urgent mental health and substance use needs. Mayor Daniel Lurie announced the Stabilization Unit at the signing of <u>File 250040</u>: Mayor's Core Initiatives to Strengthen City's Responses to Homelessness, Drug Abuse, Mental Health Needs, and Related Crises. The Stabilization Unit at 822 Geary is one part of our continuum of care to support people to receive the appropriate level of behavioral health treatment. SFDPH has done extensive community outreach and engagement in the neighborhood and will continue to do so on a regular basis.

SFDPH undertook a competitive RFP process and Crestwood Behavioral Health, Inc. was selected to be the operator of the Stabilization Unit. Crestwood will also enter into a sole source below market rate (BMR) lease at the rate of \$1.00 per month from the City for the 822 Geary Street facility.

We are sending this notice under the authority of File 250040: Core Initiatives to Strengthen City's Responses to Homelessness, Drug Abuse, Mental Health Needs, and Related Crises (Chapter 21.B of the Administrative Code).

Including <u>@BOS-Operations</u> for tracking purposes. Please let us know if you have any questions.

Best, Ana

Ana Validzic (she/her)

Government Affairs Manager San Francisco Department of Public Health ana.validzic@sfdph.org | 650.503.9536 (cell)

** CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE** This email message and any attachments are solely for the intended recipient and may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, use or distribution of the information included in this message and any attachments is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately and permanently delete or otherwise

destroy the information.

Item 5

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	<u>Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS);</u> <u>BOS-Operations; Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>
Subject:	FW: Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Impact Report and Notice of Public Hearing - PG&E Power Asset Acquisition Project
Date:	Thursday, March 20, 2025 1:57:06 PM
Attachments:	NOA PGE Power Asset Acquisition DEIR 3.19.25.pdf

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below and attached from the San Francisco Planning Department submitting notice of the availability of a Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) and Notice of Public Hearing regarding the Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E) Power Asset Acquisition Project.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163 bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: CPC.PGEPowerAssetsEIR <<u>CPC.PGEPowerAssetsEIR@sfgov.org</u>>
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2025 3:36 PM
To: CPC.PGEPowerAssetsEIR <<u>CPC.PGEPowerAssetsEIR@sfgov.org</u>>
Subject: Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Impact Report and Notice of Public Hearing - PG&E Power Asset Acquisition Project

The San Francisco Planning Department has published a Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the PG&E Power Asset Acquisition Project, available at <u>Environmental Review</u> <u>Documents | SF Planning</u>. If you wish to comment on the adequacy of the Draft EIR, you may submit comments in either or both of the following ways: (1) in person at the San Francisco Planning Commission public hearing on April 17th; or (2) written comments to San Francisco Planning department due by 5 p.m. on May 5, 2025.

Please refer to the attached Notice of Availability of Draft EIR and Notice of Public Hearing for more information.

Julie Moore, MS Principal Environmental Planner Environmental Planning Division San Francisco Planning 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400 San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7566 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map



49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400 San Francisco, CA 94103 628.652.7600 www.sfplanning.org

PUBLIC NOTICE

AVAILABILITY OF A DRAFT Environmental impact report And notice of public hearing

PROJECT INFORMATION

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Project Title: Project Address:	PG&E Power Asset Acquisition Project Various Locations in San Francisco and San Mateo counties	Hearing Date: Time: Location:	April 17, 2025 12:00 pm or later In-person hearing (see below and
Case No.:	2023-005370ENV Various		visit <u>https://sfplanning.org/planning-</u>
Block/Lot Nos.: Zoning District(s):	Various	0 T	<u>commission</u> for details)
Neighborhoods:	Outer Mission, Bayview, Crocker Amazon, Ingleside, Lakeshore, Merced Heights, Oceanview, Sunnydale, Little Hollywood, Visitacion Valley, Excelsior, Cayuga Terrace (San Francisco); Bayshore, Crocker, Peninsula Gateway, Mission Street, Southern Hills, Vista Grande, Westlake (Daly City); Baylands, Bayfront, Beatty, Northwest Bayshore (Brisbane); Unincorporated San Mateo County	Case Type: Hearing Body:	Environmental (Draft EIR) Planning Commission
Project Sponsor:	San Francisco Public Utilities Commission Sue Chau – 415.554.3238		
EIR Coordinator:	Julie Moore – 628.652.7566 CPC.PGEPowerAssetsEIR@sfgov.org		

The San Francisco Planning Department (San Francisco Planning) has studied this project's potential physical environmental effects and welcomes your comments on the adequacy of the draft environmental impact report (Draft EIR). Refer to the Project Description and Purpose of Notice sections below for more information.

Project Description

The City and County of San Francisco (the "City") is proposing to purchase Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E)-owned electrical transmission and distribution assets (the "Assets") in San Francisco and San Mateo County that are needed to provide electricity service to customers within the city (the "project"). After the City completes its acquisition of the Assets, the City would own, operate, and maintain the electricity grid in San Francisco, most of which is currently owned by PG&E. This project does not include the purchase of PG&E's natural gas facilities; thus, PG&E would continue providing natural gas services to customers in San Francisco.

The change in ownership itself would not result in physical changes to the environment; however, the City would need to physically separate PG&E's existing electric system into two separate systems (generally divided along the San Francisco/San Mateo county border), to allow both systems to be safely, reliably, and independently operated by the City and PG&E. The City would provide electricity service to city customers; PG&E would continue to provide electricity service to its customers outside of San Francisco.

The portion of the project requiring new construction or modifications to existing facilities would primarily be in the southern portion of San Francisco and along the county border in the northern portions of Brisbane and Daly City. The City would modify the existing Martin Substation (or construct a new substation, which is analyzed in the EIR as a new substation variant), construct new underground distribution express feeders, and modify the existing distribution infrastructure. The distribution express feeders alignment would be approximately 3.8 miles long and generally installed within streets, sidewalks, and other publicly owned land. It would extend from near Arch Street in the west, south to Brotherhood Way and Sagamore Street, east along Sickles Avenue, northeast along Huron Avenue and Alemany Boulevard, and southeast along Geneva Avenue to the Martin Substation in Brisbane. Disconnecting and connecting distribution lines between overhead poles and underground vaults could occur either above- or below-ground, and would be performed in small, discontinuous areas generally located near the county border. Construction associated with the project would take approximately 3 years after the purchase and transfer of the Assets.

Draft EIR: The Draft EIR finds that the project could result in significant unavoidable impacts related to noise. The Draft EIR provides a detailed project description, an analysis of physical environmental effects of the project, and identifies feasible mitigation measures and alternatives that would avoid or reduce project impacts. The Draft EIR is available for public review and comment on the San Francisco Planning's website at <u>sfplanning.org/sfceqadocs</u> and at the San Francisco Permit Center, 49 South Van Ness Avenue, 2nd Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103. Paper copies and electronic copies (on a flash drive) of the Draft EIR are available upon request to the project planner. Referenced materials are available at <u>https://tinyurl.com/pgepowerasseteir</u>.

Projects on State Hazardous Materials Lists:

As required by CEQA Guidelines section 15087(c)(6), the following information is provided because the project site contains multiple listed properties included on the GeoTracker (State Water Resources Control Board) and EnviroStor (California Department of Toxic Substances Control) lists compiled pursuant to California Government Code section 65962.5. The detailed list of properties and their regulatory identification numbers are available at <u>sfplanning.org/sfceqadocs</u>, or by contacting <u>CPC.PGEPowerAssetsEIR@sfgov.org</u>.

Purpose of Notice

You are not required to take any action. If you wish to comment on the adequacy of the Draft EIR, you may do so in either or both of the following ways:

WRITTEN COMMENTS		COMMENT	COMMENTS AT THE PUBLIC HEARING	
Planner:Julie MooreVia Mail:49 South Van Ness Ave, Suite 1400		Location:	City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 400	
Via Email: From:	San Francisco, CA 94103 CPC.PGEPowerAssetsEIR@sfgov.org March 19, 2025, to 5 p.m. on Monday, May 5, 202	25	April 17, at 12 p.m. or later (see hearing agenda)	

The purpose of the public hearing is for the San Francisco Planning Commission and San Francisco Planning staff to receive comments on the adequacy and accuracy of the Draft EIR. The commission will not respond to any of the comments or take action on the project at this hearing. Certification of the Final EIR will be considered at a later hearing. Additional information may be found on San Francisco Planning's website or by contacting the EIR coordinator listed above.

General Information About Procedures

Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the department. All written or oral communications available, including submitted personal contact information, may be made available for inspection and copying upon request from the public. These communications may also be posted on the department's website or in other public documents. Only commenters on the Draft EIR will be permitted to file an appeal of the certification of the Final EIR to the Board of Supervisors.

At the close of the public review period, San Francisco Planning will prepare and publish a Responses to Comments document to respond to all substantive comments on the Draft EIR presented at the public hearing and received in writing during the public review period. It may also specify changes to this Draft EIR. The Responses to Comments document and all other associated documents will be made available at <u>sfplanning.org/sfceqadocs</u>. All commenters that provide an email or physical address will receive a notice of the Responses to Comments document availability. The Draft EIR together with the Responses to Comments document will be considered by the San Francisco Planning Commission in an advertised public meeting and will be certified as a Final EIR if deemed adequate.



Date: March 19, 2025

The San Francisco Planning Department is studying a project's potential environmental effects and welcomes your comments. The enclosed notice concerns the **Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E) Power Asset Acquisition Project (2023-005370ENV)**. You may provide comments by **5/05/2025** or request future project updates from the staff contact indicated in the attached notice. There is a hearing before the Planning Commission scheduled for **04/17/2025**.

To obtain information about this notice in Spanish, Chinese, or Filipino, please see https://tinyurl.com/SFPlanningPGE or call **628.652.7550**. Please be advised that the Planning Department will require at least one business day to respond to any call.

三藩市規劃局 (San Francisco Planning Department) 正在研究一項項目的潛在環境影響,歡迎大家踴躍提出意見。本函所附的通知書涉及太平洋瓦斯電力公司 (PG&E) 電力資產收購項目 (2023-005370ENV) 的項目。本頁背面對加州法律規定的環境影響審核流程做了詳細說明。請於5/05/2025 日之前針對本案提出評論,或者向本函所附通知書中指定的聯絡人提出要求,繼續瞭解項目的最新發展。 [規劃委員會定於 2025 年 4 月 17 日召開聽證會]。

請參閱 https://tinyurl.com/SFPlanningPGE 或致電 628.652.7550 索取該通知的中文版本。請注意, 規劃部門至少需要一個工作天才能回覆您的電話。請注意,規劃局需要至少一個工作天才能回電。

El Departamento de Planificación está estudiando los posibles efectos medioambientales de un proyecto y desea saber su opinión. El aviso incluido concierne el proyecto de adquisición de activos de energía de Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E) (2023-005370ENV). Usted puede entregar sus opiniones y comentarios a más tardar el **5/5/2025** o solicitar futuras actualizaciones sobre el proyecto al contacto indicado en el aviso adjunto. Hay una audiencia ante la Comisión de Planificación programada para el 17 de abril del 2025.

Para obtener información sobre este aviso en español, https://tinyurl.com/SFPlanningPGE, llame al **628.652.7550**. Le informamos que el Departamento de Planificación necesitará por lo menos un día hábil para responder cualquier llamada.

Pinag-aaralan ng Kagawaran ng Pagpaplano ng San Francisco ang mga potensyal na epekto sa kapaligiran ng isang proyekto at tinatanggap ang inyong mga komento. Ang nakapaloob na paunawa ay patungkol sa isang proyekto (2023-005370ENV). Maaari kang magbigay ng mga komento sa 05/05/2025 o humiling ng mga bagong kaalaman sa proyekto sa hinaharap mula sa pagkontak sa kawani na nakalagay sa kalakip na abiso. Mayroong pagdinig bago sa naka-iskedyul na Komisyon sa Pagpaplano para sa 04/17/2025.

Para makakuha ng impormasyon tungkol sa notice na ito sa Filipino, mangyaring tingnan ang https://tinyurl.com/SFplanningPGE o tumawag sa **628.652.7550**. Mangyaring maabisuhan na ang Kagawaran ng Pagpaplano ay mangangailangan ng kahit isang araw ng may trabaho o pasok upang tumugon sa anumang tawag.

WHAT IS AN EIR?

An environmental impact report (EIR) is a study required for a project that may have a significant effect on the environment.

THE BASIC PARTS OF AN EIR ARE:

- 1 Notice of Preparation: a notice to inform the public that the City is preparing an EIR. The public is invited to comment on the scope of and topics analyzed in the EIR.
- 2 Draft EIR includes:

T

- Description of the project, including the project goals (called objectives).
- Environmental impact analysis, focusing on the project's significant environmental impacts and mitigation measures to reduce its impacts.
- A range of other options (called alternatives) that meet project goals and reduce its significant impacts.
- May include an initial study, which is a preliminary analysis prepared to determine the relative environmental impacts of the project.

Public comments on the accuracy of the draft EIR are accepted in writing and at a public hearing.

Responses to Comments:

A document formally responding to comments received on the draft EIR.



THE PURPOSE OF AN EIR IS:

To inform decision makers and the public about the potential significant environment impacts of a proposed project. Mitigation measures identify the ways that the environmental damage can be avoided or reduced.

The EIR analysis includes alternatives to the project that would avoid or substantially lessen the proposed project's impacts. The alternatives must meet most of the basic project objectives.





ΠΠΠ

ΠΠΠ

ΠΠ

EIR STEPS

SCOPING

Determines the scope of the EIR in consultation with agencies, the public, and the applicant proposing the project. The EIR notice of preparation describes the project and EIR process. This notice may include the initial study.

2 DRAFT EIR

Incorporates prior public comment, and includes project description, environmental impact analysis, and alternatives. This may include an initial study, if not previously published.

3 PU

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

a. Draft EIR public hearing b. Written public comments accepted

RESPONSES TO COMMENTS

Responds to comments on the draft EIR and makes revisions to draft EIR, as needed.

3 EIR CERTIFICATION


The Planning Commission certifies the final EIR (the draft EIR and the Responses to Comments document) if it is adequate, accurate, and complete. It is not a project approval.

PROJECT APPROVAL

After the final EIR is complete, the City determines whether to approve the project or an alternative to the project.

HOW CAN I PARTICIPATE?

SCOPING PERIOD - 30 DAYS



DRAFT EIR PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD 🛛 🔔 🧷

Once the draft EIR is published, written comments are accepted during the comment period, which is generally 45 days. Spoken comments are also accepted at the Planning Commission draft EIR hearing.

For some projects, the Historic Preservation Commission comments on the draft EIR.

DRAFT EIR HEARING AT PLANNING Commission



(🚵) 🖉

Public participation is encouraged throughout the process. Each icon above represents a different way to share your thoughts. You can always contact Planning Department staff on any questions too.

Written comments are accepted as part of the formal EIR record

Spoken comments are accepted as part of the formal EIR record



What is an environmental

effect? EIRs consider how a project may affect a wide range of topics as part of the "physical environment." Topics range from air quality and noise to transportation and historic resources.

What is a mitigation

measure? Mitigation measures identify the ways that the environmental damage can be avoided or reduced.

MORE QUESTIONS ON THIS PARTICULAR PROJECT?

Contact the assigned environmental planner

WHERE CAN I FIND More information?

To learn more, please visit: sfplanning.org/ environmental-review

To view all published EIR documents: sfplanning.org/sfceqadocs

To learn more about CEQA: sfplanning.org/whatisCEQA

Item 6

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
То:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	<u>Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS);</u>
	BOS-Operations; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	CA Fish and Game Commission - 2 letters
Date:	Wednesday, March 19, 2025 4:22:24 PM
Attachments:	CA Fish and Game 2 letters.pdf

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached 2 letters from the California Fish and Game Commission, pursuant to California Government Code Section 11346.8, subdivision (c), and Section 44 of Title 1 of the California Code of Regulations, submitting notice of sufficiently related changes to the proposed regulations concerning Big Game Hunting for 2025-2026 Seasons and Chronic Wasting Disease Testing and submitting notice of sufficiently related changes to the proposed regulations concerning waterfowl, migratory American coot and common moorhen (common gallinule).

Regards,


Richard Lagunte Office of the Clerk of the Board – Operations Division San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Voice (415) 554-5184| Fax (415) 554-5163 richard.lagunte@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

	California Fish and Game Commission
	Board of Supervisors (BOS) Continuation Notice - Big Game Hunting and Chronic Wasting Disease Testing
Date:	Tuesday, March 18, 2025 11:13:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



A notice of sufficiently related changes to the proposed regulations concerning big game hunting for the 2025-2026 seasons and chronic wasting disease testing has been posted to the Commission's website. The notice and associated documents can be accessed at: <u>https://fgc.ca.gov/Regulations/2025-New-and-Proposed#sec-360</u>.

Sincerely,

Jenn Bacon California Fish and Game Commission

Click here to visit our regulations page

Not signed up to receive our informative emails?

Sign Up

Do not reply to this message. FGC@public.govdelivery.com is for outgoing messages only.

California Fish and Game Commission 715 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

> SUBSCRIBER SERVICES: Manage Subscriptions | Help

This email was sent to board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org from the California Natural Resources Agency utilizing govDelivery. California Natural Resources Agency, 715 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

?

gov.org from the California Natural Resources Age cy, 715 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814



Fish and Game Commission



www.fgc.ca.gov



Wildlife Heritage and Conservation Since 1870

March 17, 2025

TO ALL INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES:

Pursuant to the requirements of California Government Code Section 11346.8, subdivision (c), and Section 44 of Title 1 of the California Code of Regulations, the California Fish and Game Commission (Commission) is providing you with a notice of sufficiently related changes to the proposed regulations concerning Big Game Hunting for 2025-2026 Seasons and Chronic Wasting Disease Testing, published in the California Regulatory Notice Register on January 17, 2025, Notice No. Z2025-0107-03.

The Commission is providing notice of revisions to the proposed amendments to the regulatory language in Section 708.5, Title 14, California Code of Regulations (CCR).

Because these regulations are different from, yet sufficiently related to, the originally proposed regulations, the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) requires that we make these changes available to you for a minimum fifteen-day written comment period. The proposed changes to the regulations are clearly indicated on the attached revised proposed regulatory language as follows: additions of newly proposed language are shown in <u>double underline</u>; originally proposed language that is now proposed for deletion is shown in <u>single underline double strikeout</u>; originally proposed language in sections being amended, not affected by the changes described in this notice is indicated in <u>single underline</u>.

Pursuant to APA requirements, we are providing you with the full text of all sections of the originally proposed regulatory language; however, there are no revisions to the originally proposed amendments to sections 360, 362, 363, 364, and 364.1, Title 14, CCR.

Please refer to the attached description of the specific edits to the proposed regulatory language.

It is requested, but not required, that written comments be submitted on or before April 3, 2025 at the address given below, or by email to FGC@fgc.ca.gov. Written comments mailed, or emailed to the Commission office, must be received before 12:00 noon on April 11. Mailed comments should be addressed to Fish and Game Commission, PO Box 944209, Sacramento, CA 94244-2090. Please refer to the original Letter to Interested and Affected Parties March 17, 2025 Page 2 of 2

notice (<u>https://fgc.ca.gov/Regulations/2025-New-and-Proposed#sec-360</u>) for more information.


Sincerely,

Jenn Bacon Regulatory Analyst

Attachments

From:	<u>California Fish and Game Commission</u>
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Continuation Notice - Waterfowl Hunting 2025-26 Season
Date:	Tuesday, March 18, 2025 11:09:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



A notice of sufficiently related changes to the proposed regulations relating to waterfowl hunting for the 2025-26 season has been posted to the Commission's website. The notice and associated documents can be accessed at: <u>https://fgc.ca.gov/Regulations/2025-New-and-Proposed#502</u>.

Sincerely,

Jenn Bacon California Fish and Game Commission

Click here to visit our regulations page

Not signed up to receive our informative emails?

Sign Up

Do not reply to this message. FGC@public.govdelivery.com is for outgoing messages only.

California Fish and Game Commission 715 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

> SUBSCRIBER SERVICES: Manage Subscriptions | Help

This email was sent to board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org from the California Natural Resources Agency utilizing govDelivery. California Natural Resources Agency, 715 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

?



Fish and Game Commission



Wildlife Heritage and Conservation Since 1870

March 17, 2025

TO ALL INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES:

Pursuant to the requirements of California Government Code Section 11346.8, subdivision (c), and Section 44 of Title 1 of the California Code of Regulations, the California Fish and Game Commission (Commission) is providing you with a notice of sufficiently related changes to the proposed regulations concerning waterfowl, migratory American coot and common moorhen (common gallinule), published in the California Regulatory Notice Register on January 10, 2025, Notice No. Z2024-1231-04.

The Commission is providing notice of revisions to the proposed amendments to the regulatory language in Section 502, Title 14, California Code of Regulations (CCR).

Because these regulations are different from, yet sufficiently related to, the originally proposed regulations, the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) requires that we make these changes available to you for a minimum fifteen-day written comment period. The proposed changes to the regulations are clearly indicated on the attached revised proposed regulatory language as follows: additions of newly proposed language are shown in <u>double underline</u>; originally proposed language that is now proposed for deletion is shown in <u>single underline double strikeout</u>; originally proposed language in sections being amended, not affected by the changes described in this notice is indicated in <u>single underline</u>.

Pursuant to APA requirements, we are providing you with the full text of all sections of the originally proposed regulatory language. The only change is the correction to the late season goose hunt. Please refer to the attached description of the specific edit to the proposed regulatory language.

It is requested, but not required, that written comments be submitted on or before April 3, 2025 at the address given below, or by email to FGC@fgc.ca.gov. Written comments mailed, or emailed to the Commission office, must be received before 12:00 noon on April 11. Mailed comments should be addressed to Fish and Game Commission, PO Box 944209, Sacramento, CA 94244-2090. Please refer to the original notice (https://fgc.ca.gov/Regulations/2025-New-and-Proposed#502) for more information.

Sincerely,

Jenn Bacon Regulatory Analyst

Attachments

www.fgc.ca.gov



State of California • Natural Resources Agency

DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION OFFICE OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION

Julianne Polanco, State Historic Preservation Officer

 1725 23rd Street, Suite 100,
 Sacramento,
 CA 95816-7100

 Telephone:
 (916) 445-7000
 FAX:
 (916) 445-7053

 calshpo.ohp@parks.ca.gov
 www.ohp.parks.ca.gov
 www.ohp.parks.ca.gov

March 5, 2025

San Francisco County Board of Supervisors ATTN: Clerk of the Board 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, Ca. 94102-4689 Gavin Newsom, Governor

Armando Quintero, Director

Item 7

RECEIVED BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SAN FRANCISCO 2025 MAR 14 AM11:09 A BOS-11

RE: National Register of Historic Places Nomination for North Beach Historic District

Dear Board of Supervisors:

Pursuant to Federal Regulations 36 CFR Part 60.6(c) I am notifying you that the State Historical Resources Commission (SHRC) at its next meeting intends to consider and take action on the nomination of the above-named property to the National Register of Historic Places (National Register). Details of that meeting are on the enclosed notice. The National Register is the federal government's official list of historic buildings and other cultural resources worthy of preservation. Listing in the National Register provides recognition and assists in preserving California's cultural heritage. If the item is removed from the scheduled agenda, you will be notified by mail.

Local government comments regarding the National Register eligibility of this property are welcomed. Written comments regarding the nomination may be submitted to California State Parks, Attn: Office of Historic Preservation, Julianne Polanco, State Historic Preservation Officer, P.O. Box 94296, Sacramento, California 94296-0001 OR via email to <u>calshpo.shrc@parks.ca.gov</u>. To allow the SHRC adequate time to consider the comments, it is requested that written comments be received by the Office of Historic Preservation seven (7) days in advance of the SHRC's meeting. Written comments received within seven days of the meeting will still be included in the nomination file as sent to the Keeper of the National Register. Interested parties are encouraged to attend the SHRC meeting and present oral testimony.


As of January 1, 1993, all National Register properties are automatically included in the California Register of Historical Resources (California Register) and afforded consideration in accordance with state and local environmental review procedures.

The federal requirements covering the National Register program are to be found in the National Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, and in Federal Regulations 36 CFR Part 60. State law regarding the California Register is in the Public Resources Code, Section 5024. Should you have questions regarding this nomination, or would like a copy of the nomination, please contact the Registration Unit at 916-445-7004.

Sincerely,

Julianne Polanco State Historic Preservation Officer

Enclosure: Meeting Notice





State of California - Natural Resources Agency

DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION OFFICE OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION STATE HISTORICAL RESOURCES COMMISSION

Julianne Polanco, State Historic Preservation Officer

 1725 23rd Street, Suite 100, Sacramento, CA 95816-7100

 Telephone: (916) 445-7000
 FAX: (916) 445-7053

 calshpo.ohp@parks.ca.gov
 www.ohp.parks.ca.gov

Gavin Newsom, Governor

Armando Quintero, Director

COMMISSION MEMBERS

Janet Hansen, Chair Lee Adams III Bryan Brandes Alan Hess Luis Hoyos Adam Sriro René Vellanoweth, PhD

MEETING NOTICE

Pursuant to Government Code Section 11133, board members/commissioners of a state body may participate in public meetings remotely. The public may observe, provide public comment during the public comment periods, and otherwise observe remotely in accordance with the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act.

FOR: State Historical Resources Commission Quarterly Meeting

DATE: Friday, May 9, 2025

TIME: 9:00 A.M.

PLACE: Stanley Mosk Library and Courts Building 914 Capitol Mall, Room 500 Sacramento, CA 95814

This meeting will be webcast on CAL-SPAN. Those wishing to speak can register via Zoom. Registration information (including dial-in access) will be included on the meeting agenda and posted in accordance with the *Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act*, no later than Monday, April 28, 2025, at https://ohp.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=21372.

If you need special accommodations pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, or have questions regarding the meeting, please call Executive Secretary, Monica Newman, at (916) 445-7000.

The SHRC invites comments on the nominations from the public either in writing or at the scheduled meeting. Written comments can be sent to State Historical Resources Commission, P.O. Box 942896, Sacramento, CA 94296-0001, **OR** via email to <u>calshpo.shrc@parks.ca.gov</u>. Please include nomination name and hearing date in the email's subject line.

Presentations and written comments regarding agenda items should be submitted to OHP for receipt no later than seven (7) days prior to the meeting. Presentations must not go beyond the allowable time frame for the applicable comment period as detailed at https://ohp.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=21372. Presentations and written comments for the May 9, 2025, meeting received after 9:00 am Friday, May 2, 2025, may not be available to the Commission in advance of the hearing. They will be added to the nomination file. Submit comments and presentations to calshpo.shrc@parks.ca.gov.

Item 8

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS);
	BOS-Operations; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	FW: USFWS Reopens Comment Period for Monarch Butterfly
Date:	Wednesday, March 19, 2025 4:37:40 PM

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, regarding the reopening of public comment periods for three proposed listings: the monarch butterfly, Suckley's cuckoo bumble bee and the bleached sandhill skipper.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163 bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Robinson, Cal E <cal_robinson@fws.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2025 9:08 AM
To: Robinson, Cal E <cal_robinson@fws.gov>
Subject: USFWS Reopens Comment Period for Monarch Butterfly

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Conservation Partner,

Today, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service announced, via the Federal Register, the reopening of public comment periods for three proposed listings: the <u>monarch butterfly</u>, <u>Suckley's cuckoo</u> <u>bumble bee</u> and the <u>bleached sandhill skipper</u>. The 60-day comment period will be open from March 19 – May 19 to allow the public additional time to comment on the proposals.

We believe that the monarch butterfly may be of interest to you. In December, the Service proposed to list the iconic monarch butterfly as threatened with a 4(d) rule where it is naturally occurring including the lower continental United States, Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands. The proposal also included a proposed critical habitat designation along the butterfly's overwintering grounds in coastal California.

To submit a new written comment during the reopened 60-day comment period, please visit <u>www.regulations.gov</u> beginning tomorrow and search docket number: FWS-R3-ES-2024-0137. Comments previously submitted need not be submitted again and will be fully considered.

You can read more about the reopening in the <u>press release</u> on our website. Please reach out if you have questions.

Cal Robinson Public Affairs Specialist U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office cal_robinson@fws.gov (916) 414-6702

Item 9

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); BOS-Operations; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Please approve a citywide No Turn On Red policy to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable for people to cross the street File No. 231016
Date:	Thursday, March 20, 2025 12:49:43 PM

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below from Ian Leighton regarding:

File No. 231016, Resoltuition No. 481-23 -Resolution urging the Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) to develop and implement a plan for No Turn On Red (NTOR) at every signalized intersection in San Francisco and approve a citywide NTOR policy.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163 bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Ian Leighton <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2025 10:10 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please approve a citywide No Turn On Red policy to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable for people to cross the street...

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a citywide No Turn On Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. No Turn On Red has been proven to increase safety — especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities — including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn On Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis and a climate crisis, both of which require making it safer to get around without a car and encouraging people to shift trips from cars to public transportation and active transportation (e.g. bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility devices, etc.). Implementing No Turn On Red citywide will increase roadway safety (decrease roadway injuries) and help more people shift trips to walking, public transportation, and active transportation, making our city safer for people, especially people who are disproportionately negatively impacted by our roadway safety crisis and car-dominated transportation system (children, seniors, people living with disabilities, BIPOC). We need your leadership to make this street safety improvement now.

I urge you to support and approve No Turn On Red citywide to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco. Please do everything in your power to ensure No Turn On Red is implemented citywide as soon as possible.

For those of you in state-level office, please work on legislation to allow SFMTA to implement No Turn On Red without installing signs at every intersection — which would enable the City to implement No Turn On Red citywide faster at a significantly lower cost and using significantly less staff time — and legislation to implement No Turn On Red statewide.

Thank you,

Ian Leighton <u>ian.d.leighton@gmail.com</u> 2399A Folsom St San Francisco, California 94110

Item 10

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); BOS-Operations; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject:	File No. 241021 - Replacement Windows - 10 letters
Date:	Tuesday, March 18, 2025 1:40:28 PM
Attachments:	File No 241021 10 letters.pdf

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached 10 letters from members of the public regarding:

File No. 241021 - Ordinance amending the Planning Code to limit restrictions on replacement materials in window replacement projects in certain buildings; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1; and making findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare pursuant to Planning Code, Section 302.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163 bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to reform San Francisco's Window Replacement Standards.

Every San Francisco resident deserves windows that aren't leaky, are insulated to reduce heating bills, noise, and condensation, and reduce fossil fuel heating needs – at an affordable price.

But San Francisco's Window Replacement Standards unnecessarily raise the price to replace street facing windows in many San Francisco homes. Exorbitant costs mean less window upgrades, meaning homeowners and renters are stuck with old, leaky windows that harm health, comfort, and the climate. All for barely-noticeable aesthetics in the name of "neighborhood character".

**Costlier for Homeowners: The standards increase the cost of replacing street-facing windows by 50-100%. 70% of San Francisco's occupied 350,000 homes were built in the 1960s or before.

**Costlier for Renters: Costlier window replacements are passed on in the form of higher rent. More likely, exorbitant costs means tenants are stuck with draftier interiors, and higher heating bills and carbon emissions. Over half of San Francisco's renters live in housing units built before 1970 in SF.

**Bad for the Climate: Residents burn more fossil fuels to heat their homes as older windows are more likely to be poorly sealed, leaky, and uninsulated single pane glass. 56% of occupied homes in San Francisco are heated with fossil fuels, mostly with natural gas.

**Bad for Health: Older single-pane windows are more likely to condense, leading to indoor mold. They're also more likely to leak, allowing heat or air-conditioned air to escape, and polluting particulates from nearby highways inside.

**Bad for Comfort: In addition to leaking air in-and out, older windows block less noise. This is increasingly important as San Francisco accommodates more housing.

Thank you,

Arvind Ramesh arvinddd2003@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94115

Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to reform San Francisco's Window Replacement Standards.

Every San Francisco resident deserves windows that aren't leaky, are insulated to reduce heating bills, noise, and condensation, and reduce fossil fuel heating needs – at an affordable price.

But San Francisco's Window Replacement Standards unnecessarily raise the price to replace street facing windows in many San Francisco homes. Exorbitant costs mean less window upgrades, meaning homeowners and renters are stuck with old, leaky windows that harm health, comfort, and the climate. All for barely-noticeable aesthetics in the name of "neighborhood character".

**Costlier for Homeowners: The standards increase the cost of replacing street-facing windows by 50-100%. 70% of San Francisco's occupied 350,000 homes were built in the 1960s or before.

**Costlier for Renters: Costlier window replacements are passed on in the form of higher rent. More likely, exorbitant costs means tenants are stuck with draftier interiors, and higher heating bills and carbon emissions. Over half of San Francisco's renters live in housing units built before 1970 in SF.

**Bad for the Climate: Residents burn more fossil fuels to heat their homes as older windows are more likely to be poorly sealed, leaky, and uninsulated single pane glass. 56% of occupied homes in San Francisco are heated with fossil fuels, mostly with natural gas.

**Bad for Health: Older single-pane windows are more likely to condense, leading to indoor mold. They're also more likely to leak, allowing heat or air-conditioned air to escape, and polluting particulates from nearby highways inside.

**Bad for Comfort: In addition to leaking air in-and out, older windows block less noise. This is increasingly important as San Francisco accommodates more housing.

Thank you,

Arvind Ramesh arvinddd2003@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94115

Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to reform San Francisco's Window Replacement Standards.

Every San Francisco resident deserves windows that aren't leaky, are insulated to reduce heating bills, noise, and condensation, and reduce fossil fuel heating needs – at an affordable price.

But San Francisco's Window Replacement Standards unnecessarily raise the price to replace street facing windows in many San Francisco homes. Exorbitant costs mean less window upgrades, meaning homeowners and renters are stuck with old, leaky windows that harm health, comfort, and the climate. All for barely-noticeable aesthetics in the name of "neighborhood character".

**Costlier for Homeowners: The standards increase the cost of replacing street-facing windows by 50-100%. 70% of San Francisco's occupied 350,000 homes were built in the 1960s or before.

**Costlier for Renters: Costlier window replacements are passed on in the form of higher rent. More likely, exorbitant costs means tenants are stuck with draftier interiors, and higher heating bills and carbon emissions. Over half of San Francisco's renters live in housing units built before 1970 in SF.

**Bad for the Climate: Residents burn more fossil fuels to heat their homes as older windows are more likely to be poorly sealed, leaky, and uninsulated single pane glass. 56% of occupied homes in San Francisco are heated with fossil fuels, mostly with natural gas.

**Bad for Health: Older single-pane windows are more likely to condense, leading to indoor mold. They're also more likely to leak, allowing heat or air-conditioned air to escape, and polluting particulates from nearby highways inside.

**Bad for Comfort: In addition to leaking air in-and out, older windows block less noise. This is increasingly important as San Francisco accommodates more housing.

Thank you,

Charlie Natoli charlie.natoli1@gmail.com San Francisco , California 94122

Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to reform San Francisco's Window Replacement Standards.

Every San Francisco resident deserves windows that aren't leaky, are insulated to reduce heating bills, noise, and condensation, and reduce fossil fuel heating needs – at an affordable price.

But San Francisco's Window Replacement Standards unnecessarily raise the price to replace street facing windows in many San Francisco homes. Exorbitant costs mean less window upgrades, meaning homeowners and renters are stuck with old, leaky windows that harm health, comfort, and the climate. All for barely-noticeable aesthetics in the name of "neighborhood character".

**Costlier for Homeowners: The standards increase the cost of replacing street-facing windows by 50-100%. 70% of San Francisco's occupied 350,000 homes were built in the 1960s or before.

**Costlier for Renters: Costlier window replacements are passed on in the form of higher rent. More likely, exorbitant costs means tenants are stuck with draftier interiors, and higher heating bills and carbon emissions. Over half of San Francisco's renters live in housing units built before 1970 in SF.

**Bad for the Climate: Residents burn more fossil fuels to heat their homes as older windows are more likely to be poorly sealed, leaky, and uninsulated single pane glass. 56% of occupied homes in San Francisco are heated with fossil fuels, mostly with natural gas.

**Bad for Health: Older single-pane windows are more likely to condense, leading to indoor mold. They're also more likely to leak, allowing heat or air-conditioned air to escape, and polluting particulates from nearby highways inside.

**Bad for Comfort: In addition to leaking air in-and out, older windows block less noise. This is increasingly important as San Francisco accommodates more housing.

Thank you,

Charlie Natoli charlie.natoli1@gmail.com San Francisco , California 94122

Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to reform San Francisco's Window Replacement Standards.

Every San Francisco resident deserves windows that aren't leaky, are insulated to reduce heating bills, noise, and condensation, and reduce fossil fuel heating needs – at an affordable price.

But San Francisco's Window Replacement Standards unnecessarily raise the price to replace street facing windows in many San Francisco homes. Exorbitant costs mean less window upgrades, meaning homeowners and renters are stuck with old, leaky windows that harm health, comfort, and the climate. All for barely-noticeable aesthetics in the name of "neighborhood character".

**Costlier for Homeowners: The standards increase the cost of replacing street-facing windows by 50-100%. 70% of San Francisco's occupied 350,000 homes were built in the 1960s or before.

**Costlier for Renters: Costlier window replacements are passed on in the form of higher rent. More likely, exorbitant costs means tenants are stuck with draftier interiors, and higher heating bills and carbon emissions. Over half of San Francisco's renters live in housing units built before 1970 in SF.

**Bad for the Climate: Residents burn more fossil fuels to heat their homes as older windows are more likely to be poorly sealed, leaky, and uninsulated single pane glass. 56% of occupied homes in San Francisco are heated with fossil fuels, mostly with natural gas.

**Bad for Health: Older single-pane windows are more likely to condense, leading to indoor mold. They're also more likely to leak, allowing heat or air-conditioned air to escape, and polluting particulates from nearby highways inside.

**Bad for Comfort: In addition to leaking air in-and out, older windows block less noise. This is increasingly important as San Francisco accommodates more housing.

Thank you,

MAHDI RAHIMI m.s.rahimi@gmail.com SAN FRANCISCO, California 94110

Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to reform San Francisco's Window Replacement Standards.

Every San Francisco resident deserves windows that aren't leaky, are insulated to reduce heating bills, noise, and condensation, and reduce fossil fuel heating needs – at an affordable price.

But San Francisco's Window Replacement Standards unnecessarily raise the price to replace street facing windows in many San Francisco homes. Exorbitant costs mean less window upgrades, meaning homeowners and renters are stuck with old, leaky windows that harm health, comfort, and the climate. All for barely-noticeable aesthetics in the name of "neighborhood character".

**Costlier for Homeowners: The standards increase the cost of replacing street-facing windows by 50-100%. 70% of San Francisco's occupied 350,000 homes were built in the 1960s or before.

**Costlier for Renters: Costlier window replacements are passed on in the form of higher rent. More likely, exorbitant costs means tenants are stuck with draftier interiors, and higher heating bills and carbon emissions. Over half of San Francisco's renters live in housing units built before 1970 in SF.

**Bad for the Climate: Residents burn more fossil fuels to heat their homes as older windows are more likely to be poorly sealed, leaky, and uninsulated single pane glass. 56% of occupied homes in San Francisco are heated with fossil fuels, mostly with natural gas.

**Bad for Health: Older single-pane windows are more likely to condense, leading to indoor mold. They're also more likely to leak, allowing heat or air-conditioned air to escape, and polluting particulates from nearby highways inside.

**Bad for Comfort: In addition to leaking air in-and out, older windows block less noise. This is increasingly important as San Francisco accommodates more housing.

Thank you,

MAHDI RAHIMI m.s.rahimi@gmail.com SAN FRANCISCO, California 94110

Subject: Urgent Concern Regarding Upcoming Vote

Dear Board Members,

I am the owner of a small environmental consulting firm in San Francisco, and I am reaching out regarding the upcoming vote on wood crafted windows.

I strongly urge you to reconsider your position on this matter. At this point, there are only a few bespoke wood window and door manufacturers left in San Francisco, most of which are family-run small businesses employing skilled tradespeople. Supporting this motion would not only devastate these businesses but also leave many families without an income—at a time when economic challenges are already severe.

Protecting small businesses, whether family-owned or not, should be a priority, not something to be undermined. Allowing big-box companies to carve out the last remaining niche of this industry would be detrimental to San Francisco's economy and craftsmanship.

Additionally, there are important environmental considerations. Wood windows and doors are a renewable and sustainable product. They offer insulation and solar heat gain coefficient (SHGC) ratings that are comparable—if not superior—to alternatives, as verified by the National Fenestration Rating Council (NFRC).

I urge you to support the preservation of these businesses and the skilled workforce they sustain. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely, Fergus O'Sullivan Owner/President Fosco Environmental San Francisco

Regards, Fergus O'Sullivan - Principal HERS-Env.Consultant/GreenPointRater/CalGreen Inspections CSLB-B & HAZ. ICC. CalGreen. www.FOSCO.biz Environmental Excellence

415.240.5588 (c) 415.754.8064 (o) 707.385.1252 (o)

-0

delete the message. Thank You! sender that you have received the message in error, and then please copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Please, reply to the hereby notified that any retention, dissemination, distribution, or be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you are This e-mail, including attachments, is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. 2510-2521, is confidential, and may

-0

~office/<u>info@fosco.biz</u>

Regards, Fergus O'Sullivan - Principal HERS-Env.Consultant/GreenPointRater/CalGreen Inspections CSLB-B & HAZ. ICC. CalGreen. <u>www.FOSCO.biz</u> Environmental Excellence 415.240.5588 (c) 415.754.8064 (o) 707.385.1252 (o)

-0

delete the message. Thank You! sender that you have received the message in error, and then please copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Please, reply to the hereby notified that any retention, dissemination, distribution, or be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you are Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. 2510-2521, is confidential, and may This e-mail, including attachments, is covered by the Electronic

From: To:	Sarah Bland Carroll, John (BOS); CPC-Commissions Secretary; Ionin, Jonas (CPC); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Hillis, Rich (CPC)
Subject:	Improve San Francisco's Window Replacement Standards NOW by supporting Supervisor Melgar's proposed legislation (File Number 241021).
Date:	Friday, March 14, 2025 4:32:33 PM

San Francisco Board of Supervisors, Planning Director Hillis, Commissioners and Staff:

I urge you to improve San Francisco's Window Replacement Standards by supporting <u>Supervisor Melgar's proposed legislation</u> (File Number 241021).

I lived in a Victorian where the wooden front bay windows had been so poorly unmaintained in the past 100 years that there was a visible gap between the window frame and the sill. The landlord had also replaced the original glass in some panes with ACRYLIC and the plastic was so old it was cracking. It was absurd. Our heat went right out the window and we had to wear bathrobes over our clothes in the winter to keep warm. San Francisco prides itself on being environmentally friendly but this policy is the opposite - old buildings with crappy windows just put more money in the pockets of PG&E while we all burn gas. This bill doesn't go far enough, residential landlords should be REQUIRED to replace all single pane windows with modern double pane.

SF's current <u>Window Standards</u> make it more expensive and cumbersome to upgrade to high-efficiency windows. Higher efficiency windows offer better energy insulation, reduced noise and air pollution, and reduce condensation that can lead to mold. The oldest homes that need window upgrades the most are also the homes most dependent on fossil fuels for heating:

•

70% of SF's occupied homes were built before 1960.

•

56% of occupied homes in San Francisco use fossil fuels for heating.

1.

Allow choice in window-frame materials. I believe that property

owners are best positioned to choose which window-frame materials are right given their financial situations and aesthetic preference. Some may value aesthetics, others may wish to put monetary savings towards other upgrades, like decarbonization or electrification.

2.

Remove aesthetic standards, like ogees and muntin requirements.

Let property owners be the ones to decide how their windows look. Just because a window was designed in a certain way decades ago doesn't mean it has to be that way forever. I want to live in a city that has quality housing for its residents, not a museum!

I want to see a change in priorities from the board and planning: I want the environment and resident comfort prioritized over "character".

From:	George Ogden
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	SF Examiner article re: Window regulations
Date:	Friday, March 14, 2025 6:03:58 PM

To the Board: Our family supports local S.F.-based manufacturing and the existing rules regarding window and door manufacturing and replacement. Please don't allow cheap, factory-made commodity alternatives to debase our city's small business manufacturers and despoil our city's unique architectural beauty.

Thank you for your consideration. George Ogden & Carol Connolly 1478 14th Avenue San Francisco, CA Sent from my iPhone

From:	Sam Lord
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Window standards
Date:	Tuesday, March 18, 2025 6:51:42 AM

Please support Melgar's proposal to make it easier to install modern windows. The wooden windows in my apartment let in tons of cold air and some don't open or close anymore. They're rotting.

Sam



From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)	TICH
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides	
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); De Asis, BOS-Operations; Board of Supervisors (BOS)	Edward (BOS);
Subject:	File No. 250146 MUNI Funding - 212 letters	
Date:	Thursday, March 20, 2025 1:16:48 PM	
Attachments:	212 letters.pdf	

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached 212 letters from members of the public regarding:

File No. 250146, Resolution No. 91-25 - Resolution affirming San Francisco's commitment to developing fiscal solutions to ensure that public transportation remains a safe, accessible, affordable, and convenient option.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163 bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

On the other hand, cuts will lead to the loss of crucial votes necessary to pass legislation that can fund Muni long-term. If we allow these cuts to go through now, they will likely become permanent, and Muni's record-high approval rating will take a beating. No matter how small or short-lived the cut, it will still take months or years to backfill the positions lost – it takes the

agency 18 months to recover from one month of paused hiring.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Rhonda California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

On the other hand, cuts will lead to the loss of crucial votes necessary to pass legislation that can fund Muni long-term. If we allow these cuts to go through now, they will likely become permanent, and Muni's record-high approval rating will take a beating. No matter how small or short-lived the cut, it will still take months or years to backfill the positions lost – it takes the

agency 18 months to recover from one month of paused hiring.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Allison California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

On the other hand, cuts will lead to the loss of crucial votes necessary to pass legislation that can fund Muni long-term. If we allow these cuts to go through now, they will likely become permanent, and Muni's record-high approval rating will take a beating. No matter how small or short-lived the cut, it will still take months or years to backfill the positions lost – it takes the

agency 18 months to recover from one month of paused hiring.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Madalene California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

On the other hand, cuts will lead to the loss of crucial votes necessary to pass legislation that can fund Muni long-term. If we allow these cuts to go through now, they will likely become permanent, and Muni's record-high approval rating will take a beating. No matter how small or short-lived the cut, it will still take months or years to backfill the positions lost – it takes the

agency 18 months to recover from one month of paused hiring.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Jenny California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Tyler California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Robin California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Ryden California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Russell California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Orli California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Heather California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Karin California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Tomas California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Eliza California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Gauri California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Abigail California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Alexander California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Vipul California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Marc California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Kayla California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Monica California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Ginger California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Nicholas California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The Jay Church is a lifeline for me. We do not own a car in our home and the J is the only viable way for us to get to most of the places we're going to in the city. I've noticed a huge decrease in reliability and frequency of trains since the inauguration and it looks like things are just gonna get worse. This cannot happen if ordinary citizens like us start to lose faith in our public transit, we will stop taking it.

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable

funding solution.

On the other hand, cuts will lead to the loss of crucial votes necessary to pass legislation that can fund Muni long-term. If we allow these cuts to go through now, they will likely become permanent, and Muni's record-high approval rating will take a beating. No matter how small or short-lived the cut, it will still take months or years to backfill the positions lost – it takes the agency 18 months to recover from one month of paused hiring.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Thomas California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Vanessa California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Good day,

I am someone that relies on the 31 in order to get from my home in the Fillmore to my job near the ballpark.

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

On the other hand, cuts will lead to the loss of crucial votes necessary to pass legislation that can fund Muni long-term. If we allow these cuts to go through now, they will likely become permanent, and Muni's record-high approval rating will take a beating. No matter how small or short-lived the cut, it will still take months or years to backfill the positions lost – it takes the agency 18 months to recover from one month of paused hiring.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Morgan California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Niccolette California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Shawn California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Elena California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Shannen California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Kyra California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Denise California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Mika California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Evelia California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

My name is Erin Fieberling, and I'm a San Francisco resident of District 9. I am concerned about the devastating Muni summer cuts being considered at the March 18th and April 1st SFMTA board meetings.

I urge the SFMTA board to oppose all the proposed Muni summer cuts and cover the \$15 million dollar deficit with a general fund transfer, the city's reserve, the SFMTA reserve funds, or a combination of all of the above.

I depend on Muni lines daily to grocery shop and shop at other local businesses, commute to work, travel to friends all around the city and connect to other transit to travel around the greater Bay Area. Reducing any amount of service will reduce the trust SF residents, like me, have in the reliability of their public transit system, and once that trust is lost, it is very hard to win it back. Personally, I have the privilege and ability to travel around the city via electric bike and car OR Muni. If Muni services are further reduced, I will turn to my bike and car more frequently because they will be quicker options. This will lead to Muni ridership decreases and further loss of funding from fare collection. Do not let Muni fall into this death spiral.

Thank you.

Erin California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Gabriel California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Mina California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you. Linda Posage

Linda California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

These cuts will dramatically and adversely affect our family, as all 3 of our school age kids use MUNI daily. This will present a serious obstacle to getting to/from school, and to/from friends and activities.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

On the other hand, cuts will lead to the loss of crucial votes necessary to pass legislation that can fund Muni long-term. If we allow these cuts to go through now, they will likely become permanent, and Muni's record-high approval rating will take a beating. No matter how small or short-lived the cut, it will still take months or years to backfill the positions lost – it takes the agency 18 months to recover from one month of paused hiring.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Kelly California

From:	John Paxton
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Barbara Bocci; Kelly Connelly
Subject:	Re: Urging MTA to reinstate all transit lines to Pre-Covid levels
Date:	Friday, March 14, 2025 3:17:24 PM

Hi Richard --

You are GREAT! Thanks for digging that up for us!

---- On Fri, 14 Mar 2025 14:52:12 -0700 **Board of Supervisors (BOS)**
 <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org> wrote ---

Hello Mr. Paxton,

The file requested regarding urging the Municipal Transportation Agency to reinstate all transit lines and restore pre-Covid service hours is File No. 210820, passed by the Board on July 27, 2021 (Resolution No. 389-21). The file may be viewed at the link below:

https://sfgov.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=5032050&GUID=CE722919-DEC8-45F3-8426-3B86E97F632A&Options=ID|Text|&Search=210820

Regards,

Richard Lagunte Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163 bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Robert California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Nesrine California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Max California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Bob California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Matilda California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Tanja California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Avisahi California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Abdul California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Dan California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm a nurse and a senior who's been dependent on MUNI for 50 years, riding all over town and for all shifts (day, evening, night).

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

On the other hand, cuts will lead to the loss of crucial votes necessary to pass legislation that

can fund Muni long-term. If we allow these cuts to go through now, they will likely become permanent, and Muni's record-high approval rating will take a beating. No matter how small or short-lived the cut, it will still take months or years to backfill the positions lost – it takes the agency 18 months to recover from one month of paused hiring.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Iris California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Cooper California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Alexander California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Patrick California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I ride this bus almost daily. I've noticed a lot of seniors also ride this regularly. As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

On the other hand, cuts will lead to the loss of crucial votes necessary to pass legislation that can fund Muni long-term. If we allow these cuts to go through now, they will likely become permanent, and Muni's record-high approval rating will take a beating. No matter how small or

short-lived the cut, it will still take months or years to backfill the positions lost – it takes the agency 18 months to recover from one month of paused hiring.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Susan California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Herbert California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Shayan California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Courtney California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Susan California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me.

The ridership on the 3'i and 38R is very high and is a vital transit resource for San Franciscans of all ages and income levels.

It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

On the other hand, cuts will lead to the loss of crucial votes necessary to pass legislation that can fund Muni long-term. If we allow these cuts to go through now, they will likely become permanent, and Muni's record-high approval rating will take a beating. No matter how small or short-lived the cut, it will still take months or years to backfill the positions lost – it takes the agency 18 months to recover from one month of paused hiring.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Steve California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me and my family. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Sara California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Daniella California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Richard California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Adrian California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Jonathan California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Ronnie California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Citlalli California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Matthew California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Wanda California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Theodore California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Michelle California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Josh California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Lena California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Cherry California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

L. California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you. -Chris Weiss

Chris California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Hello!

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

On the other hand, cuts will lead to the loss of crucial votes necessary to pass legislation that can fund Muni long-term. If we allow these cuts to go through now, they will likely become

permanent, and Muni's record-high approval rating will take a beating. No matter how small or short-lived the cut, it will still take months or years to backfill the positions lost – it takes the agency 18 months to recover from one month of paused hiring.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you very much for your time.

Benjamin California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

elliot California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Miya California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please don't make cuts to muni. Public transit is important to me. People need to be able to get around the city. Buses are safer and better for the environment. Cars are annoying and ruin the vibe. Invest in public transit!

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

On the other hand, cuts will lead to the loss of crucial votes necessary to pass legislation that can fund Muni long-term. If we allow these cuts to go through now, they will likely become permanent, and Muni's record-high approval rating will take a beating. No matter how small or short-lived the cut, it will still take months or years to backfill the positions lost – it takes the agency 18 months to recover from one month of paused hiring.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Kimberly California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Theresa California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Abhay California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Vishnu California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

SAN FRANCISCO NEEDS ROBUST PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION. YOU CANNOT CLAIM TO BE A MAJOR DEVELOPED CITY IN THE COUNTRY IF YOU SACK YOUR PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM. MASSES OF PEOPLE ARE ALREADY LEAVING THE CITY. DO NOT GIVE PEOPLE MORE REASONS TO LEAVE.

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

On the other hand, cuts will lead to the loss of crucial votes necessary to pass legislation that can fund Muni long-term. If we allow these cuts to go through now, they will likely become permanent, and Muni's record-high approval rating will take a beating. No matter how small or short-lived the cut, it will still take months or years to backfill the positions lost – it takes the agency 18 months to recover from one month of paused hiring.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Divya California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Neha California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Natalie California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Alexander California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Rithi California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Alex California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Justin California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I take Muni whenever I can't ride my bike -- to get to work, to get to my volunteer commitments, in rain and wind and at night. It will make our city even less accessible and even less affordable, which would be heartbreaking. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

On the other hand, cuts will lead to the loss of crucial votes necessary to pass legislation that can fund Muni long-term. If we allow these cuts to go through now, they will likely become

permanent, and Muni's record-high approval rating will take a beating. No matter how small or short-lived the cut, it will still take months or years to backfill the positions lost – it takes the agency 18 months to recover from one month of paused hiring.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Reid California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Piumi California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

There is no such thing as a revitalized downtown without a revitalized muni. Good transit makes good cities Ignore Muni and you will fail to deliver on your campaign promises for a revitalized downtown.

Anna California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Gail California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Healthy and vibrant cities need robust public transportation. San Francisco needs more and better public transportation, not less. Where I live, the 28 is the only reliable route that gets me where I need to go quickly and even then I need both the 28 and the L or the N to get downtown or to any commercial hub. We need public transit to be faster and more reliable than any ride app and our street need to prioritize public transportation, cyclists, and pedestrians.

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach

and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

On the other hand, cuts will lead to the loss of crucial votes necessary to pass legislation that can fund Muni long-term. If we allow these cuts to go through now, they will likely become permanent, and Muni's record-high approval rating will take a beating. No matter how small or short-lived the cut, it will still take months or years to backfill the positions lost – it takes the agency 18 months to recover from one month of paused hiring.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Patty Esposito District 7

Patty California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Ed California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Yaroslava California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Melissa California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Corey California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Madelaine California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Leslie California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Michelle California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Sarah California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Nalena California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Christina California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer. Here is an idea: stop giving money to the bicyle coalition instead of cutting MUNI!

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

On the other hand, cuts will lead to the loss of crucial votes necessary to pass legislation that can fund Muni long-term. If we allow these cuts to go through now, they will likely become permanent, and Muni's record-high approval rating will take a beating. No matter how small or

short-lived the cut, it will still take months or years to backfill the positions lost – it takes the agency 18 months to recover from one month of paused hiring.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Cassia California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm a teacher and I don't own a car. I ride my bike but on rainy days I need Muni to get to work. It already takes me 3x as long on Muni as on a bike to get from my home on 18th Ave to Page St in the Haight.

My son takes the bus every day to SFUSD Lowell and then uses Muni to get to high school soccer games because the school district does not provide school buses! Muni is essential to our lives.

Muni needs to get faster and have more funding, not less! We need more lines, more buses, not fewer.

Thank you, Raina Mast

Raina California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Shaieve California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Transit is the lifeblood of our city. Without it we cannot have a thriving economy, affordable transportation for workers and students, safe and free flowing streets, and mobility for the disabled, seniors, and low income residents. And in a world class city like SF, every person should be able to get around without depending on cars or private transportation companies. But too often I've seen folks give up waiting for the bus and opt for an Uber or walking instead because they can't afford another long wait for the bus. Unreliable, inconvenient, and infrequent Muni service will only harm Muni in the long run, driving away riders to private vehicles, worsening congestion, and slowing down Muni service, and driving away more riders. - all the while reducing fare revenues, sending Muni into a death spiral.

That is why City Hall should do everything in its power to keep Muni from making more service cuts - which are liable to become permanent. I urge you to either use general funds to fill the \$15 million shortfall, dip into MTA's reserves, and/or extend parking meter hours to make drivers pay for private use of our streets.

Disinvestment in transit is disinvestment in our city, and especially in communities of color, who, in my observation, are the main users of transit in every major city I've been to. Transit is a key driver of socioeconomic mobility. Compared to rural communities, urban areas foster more upward mobility because people can get to their jobs without needing to own a car.

As a carless District 8 Noe Valley resident, I rely on Muni, walking, and my bike to get to BART so I can commute to Oakland for work or get to the airport (using the 35 or 52). I want less cars on the road so I can feel safer. But service cuts will lead to more cars on our already unsafe and congested streets. It's also antithetical to our climate goals and protecting clean air.

Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts or extend parking meter hours.

Thank you.

Wendy

California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Yvette California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Emi California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

On April 1st, the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Olga California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please keep the 31 bus route. It is critical to the balboa corridor, especially on the west side. Topographically, on the west side, Geary and Fulton are not equally accessible replacement routes for riders.

Our shops and neighbors rely on the 31.

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

On the other hand, cuts will lead to the loss of crucial votes necessary to pass legislation that can fund Muni long-term. If we allow these cuts to go through now, they will likely become permanent, and Muni's record-high approval rating will take a beating. No matter how small or short-lived the cut, it will still take months or years to backfill the positions lost – it takes the agency 18 months to recover from one month of paused hiring.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Siobhan California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Stephanie California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Allegra California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Sarah California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Liz & Eric California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Jen California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Gordon California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Michael California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Laura California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

How can you justify a budget that has unlimited overtime for cops while stripping actual public service like the bus and train? As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

On the other hand, cuts will lead to the loss of crucial votes necessary to pass legislation that can fund Muni long-term. If we allow these cuts to go through now, they will likely become permanent, and Muni's record-high approval rating will take a beating. No matter how small or

short-lived the cut, it will still take months or years to backfill the positions lost – it takes the agency 18 months to recover from one month of paused hiring.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Joey California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

SUSAN California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Amy California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Melissa California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Sara California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Sumbhav California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I use MUNI all the times me. It is THE prime way to cut back on pollution, access for disabled bodies, and the lines run just often enough!! The SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

On the other hand, cuts will lead to the loss of crucial votes necessary to pass legislation that can fund Muni long-term. If we allow these cuts to go through now, they will likely become permanent, and Muni's record-high approval rating will take a beating. No matter how small or

short-lived the cut, it will still take months or years to backfill the positions lost – it takes the agency 18 months to recover from one month of paused hiring.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Patricia Diart

Patricia California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Nancy California

From: To: Subject:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> mes Tax and Oppose any Attempt to Circumvent the Court with "Amendments"
Date:	Tuesday, March 18, 2025 1:19:36	
This	s message is from outside the City emai	l system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	bard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Jan Diamond
	Email	janmdiamond@pacbell.net
	I live in District	
		I Support Suspension of Empty Homes Tax and Oppose any Attempt to Circumvent the Court with "Amendments"
	Message:	Dear Supervisor,
		I urge you to suspend the Empty Homes Tax (in accordance with the court's ruling) and to oppose any "amendments" or attempts to circumvent the court's ruling.
		On November 26, 2024, the San Francisco Superior Court ruled the Empty Homes Vacancy Tax amounts to an unconstitutional taking of property by the city and trespasses on property owners' rights to allow family to live in apartments or keep certain units empty, rather than leasing to strangers.
		The court further ruled that the San Francisco tax violates rights to privacy under the California state constitution by forcing them to "share the property on which they reside with others, against their will," or face what amounts to a costly annual financial penalty.
		The court enjoined San Francisco from implementing this tax. The difference between the court's ruling and what certain Supervisors seek to do is that even if the Board of Supervisors votes to "suspend" collection, any amendment requiring planning for these taxes wastes precious city resources. Yes, the final step, actual collection will be suspended until final disposition, but San Francisco should not be

wasting precious resources on pursuing taxes that will likely be held unconstitutional in the final decision, especially while facing a massive deficit.

If the final disposition is that this law is unconstitutional then any efforts to monitor, calculate and determine taxes will have been a waste of city resources. And this is not an easy tax to determine, proponents of Prop M indicated during the campaign that determination of who owes this penalty for not physically being in their home for the required number of days would include monitoring utility bills and neighbors reporting on one another when questioned by city workers.

This rather chilling behavior should not be allowed unless absolutely necessary and with the court's blessing.

While there is no legal objection to "suspending" the Empty Homes Tax, I urge you to oppose approving any other action on the Empty Homes Tax until final disposition, when, should it be deemed unconstitutional you will not need to do anything and would not have wasted city resources.

Sincerely,

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Kathryn California

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); BOS-Operations; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	File No. 250146 - 2 letters from Chinese for Affirmative Action
Date:	Tuesday, March 18, 2025 1:53:38 PM
Attachments:	Chinese for Affirmative Action - 2 letters.pdf

Dear Supervisors,

Please see attached for 2 letters from Chinese for Affirmative Action regarding:

File No. 250146, Resolution No. 91-25 - Resolution affirming San Francisco's commitment to developing fiscal solutions to ensure that public transportation remains a safe, accessible, affordable, and convenient option.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte Office of the Clerk of the Board – Operations Division San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Voice (415) 554-7709 | Fax (415) 554-5163 richard.lagunte@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

Pop. 202.81.8 AAD mont from the Pop. 202.81 Pop. 202.81	:stnəmdəsttA
Tuesday, March 18, 2025,11 300:45 MM	:916C
Public Comments for the Board of Supervisors Meeting on 3/18/2025	:to9jdu2
Young, Victor (BOS)	:၁၁
<u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>	:оТ
<u>nəyupn inv</u>	From:

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I hope you are all doing well. On behalf of Chinese for Affirmative Action (CAA), I am submitting my public comments for today's meeting at IPM.

Kind regards,

--

Nhi Nguyen Chinese for Affirmative Action Community Safety and Justice Policy Manager <u>she/they</u> | (415) 354-3780 | <u>nnguyen@caasf.org</u>

CAA has multiple open positions. Apply now!

đ

Subject: Oppose Muni's Summer Cuts



March 18, 2025

Dear Board of Supervisors:

My name is Nhi Nguyen, and I am the Community Safety and Justice Policy Manager at Chinese for Affirmative Action. Chinese for Affirmative Action (CAA) is a civil rights organization founded in 1969 to protect the civil and political rights of Chinese Americans and to advance multiracial democracy in San Francisco and across the United States. We advocate for the protection of immigrant rights, language diversity, and community safety for everyone, including low-income, limited-English proficient immigrant communities in San Francisco's Chinatown. Many of the community members we serve are also transit-dependent, and as such, I am writing to urge the Board to oppose the proposed cuts to Muni service and programs.

Cuts to Muni would have devastating consequences for many of our low-income immigrant community members who rely on public transportation to access job opportunities, attend classes, or seek medical support.

According to research conducted by <u>Caltrans</u>, "public transportation is a vital link in meeting the mobility needs of low-income individuals and immigrants to the United States. [While] roughly 2% of all trips nationwide are made by public transit, immigrants who live in households earning less than \$25,000 per year take nearly 9% of their trips by public transportation." <u>SFMTA</u> has also underscored the importance of access to Muni service and programs as a social equity issue, noting that "seniors, people with disabilities, people of color, and low-income San Franciscans have the fewest transportation options and rely on Muni."

We recognize that Muni is facing a budget deficit, but there is still an opportunity to work alongside impacted communities and local organizations to explore alternative revenue sources, for instance covering Muni's \$7.2 million deficit with a transfer from the general fund or general reserve, or a combination of the two. Majority of San Franciscans also see access to public transportation as critical, as evidenced by the passing of <u>Proposition L</u> in the last election.

For all the reasons stated above, we urge the Board not to cut services and programs that serve as a lifeline to critical services for community members.

Warm regards, Nhi Nguyen (she/they) Community Safety and Justice Policy Manager Chinese for Affirmative Action

From:	Nhi Nguyen
То:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Cc:	Young, Victor (BOS)
Subject:	Re: Public Comments for the Board of Supervisors Meeting on 3/18/2025
Date:	Tuesday, March 18, 2025 1:45:05 PM
Attachments:	UPDATED - BOS Public Comment from CAA 3.18.2025.pdf

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I hope you are all doing well. Please see the **updated public comments from CAA.** Thank you

On Tue, Mar 18, 2025 at 11:38 AM Nhi Nguyen <<u>nnguyen@caasf.org</u>> wrote: Dear Board of Supervisors:

I hope you are all doing well. On behalf of Chinese for Affirmative Action (CAA), I am submitting my public comments for today's meeting at 1PM.

Kind regards,



Nhi Nguyen Chinese for Affirmative Action Community Safety and Justice Policy Manager she/they | (415) 354-3780 | nnguyen@caasf.org CAA has multiple open positions. <u>Apply now!</u>



Nhi Nguyen Chinese for Affirmative Action Community Safety and Justice Policy Manager she/they | (415) 354-3780 | nnguyen@caasf.org CAA has multiple open positions. <u>Apply now!</u> Subject: Oppose Muni's Summer Cuts



March 18, 2025

Dear Board of Supervisors:

My name is Nhi Nguyen, and I am the Community Safety and Justice Policy Manager at Chinese for Affirmative Action. Chinese for Affirmative Action (CAA) is a civil rights organization founded in 1969 to protect the civil and political rights of Chinese Americans and to advance multiracial democracy in San Francisco and across the United States. We advocate for the protection of immigrant rights, language diversity, and community safety for everyone, including low-income, limited-English proficient immigrant communities in San Francisco's Chinatown. Many of the community members we serve are also transit-dependent, and as such, I am writing to urge the Board to oppose the proposed cuts to Muni service and programs.

Cuts to Muni would have devastating consequences for many of our low-income immigrant community members who rely on public transportation to access job opportunities, attend classes, or seek medical support.

According to research conducted by <u>Caltrans</u>, "public transportation is a vital link in meeting the mobility needs of low-income individuals and immigrants to the United States. [While] roughly 2% of all trips nationwide are made by public transit, immigrants who live in households earning less than \$25,000 per year take nearly 9% of their trips by public transportation." <u>SFMTA</u> has also underscored the importance of access to Muni service and programs as a social equity issue, noting that "seniors, people with disabilities, people of color, and low-income San Franciscans have the fewest transportation options and rely on Muni."

We recognize that Muni is facing a budget deficit, but there is still an opportunity to work alongside impacted communities and local organizations to explore alternative revenue sources, for instance covering Muni's \$7.2 million deficit with a transfer from the general fund or general reserve, or a combination of the two. Majority of San Franciscans also see access to public transportation as critical, as evidenced by the passing of <u>Proposition L</u> in the last election.

For all the reasons stated above, we urge the Board not to cut services and programs that serve as a lifeline to critical services for community members.

Warm regards, Nhi Nguyen (she/they) Community Safety and Justice Policy Manager Chinese for Affirmative Action

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Vidya California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Nancy California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Janet California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have were enacted since 2019. The cuts will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. I urge you to oppose service cuts and use funds from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The worse MUNI functions, the more people who are able to will drive, or if they are transit-dependent like me, will just have to take longer getting where they are going, or just not travel as much. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work, shop, go to appointments, and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines exceed pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts and give the agency more time to conduct outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis.

Thank you.

Maggie California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Jessica California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Tim California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Cassandra California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please do not change the 36 Teresita schedule. As the 36 only goes one way through Forest Knolls, because of how steep Warren Drive is, the 36 is the only link down the hill to the N/Judah and to the Forest Hills startion and beyond. My children use the 36 to get to and from school on Junipero Serra as do many other families in the neighborhood. Please consider the older community members and our children with any schedule reductions or changes.

Marshall California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Clementine California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Katie California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to oppose any cuts to MUNI service, as the SFMTA board votes this April. Deep cuts have already harmed MUNI and the city as a whole, making it more difficult not only to recover ridership post-COVID, but making our entire city less connected, assemble, affordable, and vibrant.

Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Iris California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Christopher California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Alex California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Christina California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I have lived here in San Francisco for 44 years which is most of my life. Born and raised in London during the 60s and 70s I was acutely aware of how important public transport was and is to the life of a major world class city. thanks to visionary government the antique London transport system of that era became revitalized and renewed to meet the needs of the 21st century.

This is not the case in San Francisco we're funding cuts threaten our cities health and future.

Please look to major metropolitan areas across the globe, take note of concepts like congestion charges and local income taxes, taxes on the ultra wealthy (of which we have plenty in San Francisco) to ensure that this jewel of a city actually has a future through public transport.

I take Muni every day. I use the Metro and the bus system. As an individual on disability, I am very grateful for the discount no fee program. I feel San Francisco is my oyster thanks to the robust transportation system our little yet world class town office.

San Francisco needs its transportation system and it needs you to step up and make our future brighter.

Thank you.

Stephen California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Shayan California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Tammy California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Reuben California

From:	Aaron Goodman
То:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); cac@sfmta.com
Subject:	What's the real problem with central soma and more density??? Transit lacking capacity and connectivity
Date:	Wednesday, March 19, 2025 9:08:35 AM

Central Soma approvals miss the issues has any of the approving members tried to get from mission bay to D10? D11? D7? D1? Or any other area of the city? Muni is not able to handle the capacity and the lacking connectivity of the end lines F-line and T-line and BRT van MEss is a real indicator of transit lacking solutions.



The T line should route to Schlage lock and up to balboa park station with better weekend services especially due to workers needed to get to different locations. Transfers are a joke at mission bay and delays due to games at both sports events cause major problems for commuters and people who live in the area. I tried it for a year and saw directly the morass when a train scheduled in 3 min changes to 60+ minutes.....

Fix the transit issues route the BRT to ceasar Chavez and out bayshore create better transit linkages and enforcement like on Van mess

And extend lines like the L taraval up sloat and link and loop for a ring-bahn system....

Stop approving garbage when you cannot get the existing systems fixed properly...

Agd7

Sent from my iPhone

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Bak California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Liz California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Nayeli California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Susan California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing as a frequent rider of the 28-19th Ave MUNI bus route. This bus is my lifeline to my workplace. As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

On the other hand, cuts will lead to the loss of crucial votes necessary to pass legislation that can fund Muni long-term. If we allow these cuts to go through now, they will likely become permanent, and Muni's record-high approval rating will take a beating. No matter how small or

short-lived the cut, it will still take months or years to backfill the positions lost – it takes the agency 18 months to recover from one month of paused hiring.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Simone California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Nicolas California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

lan California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Jose Angel California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Dmytro California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Kelly California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

johnny California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Keira California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Lindsay California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Evan California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Adiba California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Sabrina California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

10% American shareholders who own 90% of stocks have seen gains over 70 trillion in the last couple decades.

This bank conjured "value" is from local Innovation. This city has a human dignity crisis.

Without propaganda and endless lines of credit for working poor leader would be dragged into the streets.

Poverty is the parent of revolution and crime

REITs can apparently affort to leave prime global real estate vacant for a decade in this city.

Time to act right. Thank you.

Jason California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Brian California

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Sophie California

Item 12

From: Fo: 2c: Subject: Date:	Based & Concentrance 2020) Record Assessments (2020) Record Assessment
Dear Superviso	15,
lease see belo	wr from Stoven Lee regarding the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) Biking and Rolling Plan.
Regards,	
ian Francisco B Dr. Carlton B. G ian Francisco, C/ foice (415) 554-! os@sfgov.org w tronouns: he, him, Disclosures: Pe when they comm	vic of the Second sear of a Supervisors out of Miller, Rom 244 Ski 102 I sha Fara (415) SSA-5163
ent: Monday, To: Board of Su ahsha.safai@s aubject: STOP M	ee enareply@jotform.com> March 17, 2025 124 AM March 27, 2025 124 AM Generative (BOS) coand of supervisors@s/gov.orgs; Melgarstaff (BOS) emelgarstaff@sfgov.orgs; Safat, Absha (BOS) exproverse; Valion, Shamann (BOS) expressions/aff (BOS) emelgarstaff@sfgov.orgs; Safat, Absha (BOS) exproverse; Valion, Shamann (BOS) expressions/aff (BOS) emelgarstaff@sfgov.orgs; Safat, Absha (BOS) expressions (BOS) expressions/aff (BOS) emelgarstaff@sfgov.orgs; Melgarstaff (BOS) emelgarstaff@sfgov.orgs; Safat, Absha (BOS) expressions (BOS) expressions/aff (BOS) emelgarstaff@sfgov.orgs; Melgarstaff(BOS) expressions/aff (BOS) expr
Mess	age to the Board of Supervisors,
	or, and the City Attorney
From u	our Steven Lee
constitu	var Steven Lee ent
Email	bdlineman@gmail.com
I live in	
Do you v	
to be nor about opportur	Niles
to speak in perso protect t	Up No. thanks.
mobility San	of all
Francisc	
	STOP MAKING LIFE HARDER FOR SAN FRANCISCANS: STOP SFMTA OVER-REACH
Messag	38: Dear Supervisors, Mayor, City Attorney and SFMTA, You work for us Stop making our lives harder. San Engendeen is a printed investive. With the SENTA forcing a \$320 million definit part way the approximation of the preparation cut to appendix preparation cut to appendix preparation.
	You work for us. Stop making our lives harder. San Francisco is at a critical juncture. With the SFMTA facing a \$320 million deficit next year, the agency is proposing cuts to essential services like Muni and our iconic Cable Cars—services that generate revenue and are indispensable to our city's daily life and tourism industry. Instead of cutting these core services, SFMTA leadership should focus on trimming administrative costs and unnecessary "Streets" projects, including the ill- conceived Biking and Rolling Plan.
	The Biking and Rolling Plan is an unvetted, non-transparent proposal that lacks meaningful community input. Its sweeping changes to San Francisco's streets—many slated for implementation within the next five years—are poorly explained, lack environmental impact studies, and will severely restrict mobility for residents and visitors alike. This plan prioritizes niche interests over the broader public good, undermining San Francisco's recovery at a time when we can least afford it.
	For instance, the draft plan linked below illustrates the confusion and lack of clarity surrounding these proposals. Were the SFNITA Biking and Rolling Plan: https://www.sfmia.com/media/1116/download2 inlineXXa.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/2003/0510/XXA.html?2003/0510/XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/021/XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/021/XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/021/XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/021/XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/021/XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/021/XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/021/XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/021/XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/021/XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/021/XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/021/_XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/021/_XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/021/_XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/021/_XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/021/_XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/021/_XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/021/_XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/021/_XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/021/_XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/021/_XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/021/_XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/021/_XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/021/_XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/021/_XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/2003/_XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/_XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/_XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/_XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/_XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/_XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/_XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/2003/_XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/_XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/_XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/_XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/_XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/_XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/_XXA.html?14_OwnloadFishtDirtz/0004/_XXA.html?14_Ownloa
	On page 59, proposals for Chain of Lakes Drive and the Great Highway between Fulton and Lincoln are unclear. Are these roads going car-free, or not? The answer is obscured by conflicting options and ambiguous maps (see page 64). How is the public supposed to understand, let alone provide feedback on, proposals presented in this manner?
	Why has SFMTA failed to adequately publicize these changes or consult with voters? We demand transparency. San Francisco residents deserve to know what's being planned for their streets, and any major changes should be discussed with voters before implementation, not sprung upon the community after the fact.
	Additionally, these proposals would drastically reduce—or outright eliminate—car access on streets like Chain of Lakes Drive, further discouraging tourism and limiting mobility. San Francisco's economic recovery hinges on accessibility for all— residents, tourists, and commuters from the North and South Bay. Road closures and road diels undermine this effort.
	Adding to the uncertainty, Senator Scott Wiener's recent legislation advocates for transit- and HOV-only lanes on 19th Avenue. Reducing automobile capacity on this critical artery by nearly one-third will create massive gridlock, deter visitors, and lead to increased vehicle emissions from congestion. The potential ripple effects on local businesses, museums, restaurants, and cultural venues could be devastating. Learn more about Senator Wiener's street ately package
	At a minimum, no changes proposed in the Biking and Rolling Plan should even be considered until the 19th Avenue repaying project is complete.
	The SFMTA must refocus on its core mission: public transit. Vanity projects that cater to a narrow interest group should not take precedence over the needs of the city at large. San Francisco residents and businesses demand accountability and transparency from both the SFMTA and SFCTA. We urge our elected officials to protect the freedom of mobility for all—residents, visitors, and commuters alike.
	Please act to stop the SFMTA from implementing further road closures or capacity reductions without robust public input and a clear assessment of the economic and environmental impacts. Our city's future depends on it.
	Thank you for your attention to this urgent matter.
	Sincerely,

Item 13

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); BOS-Operations; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Daniel Jeremiah Hoffman various subjects 4 letters
Date:	Thursday, March 20, 2025 1:01:53 PM
Attachments:	<u>4 letters.pdf</u>

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached 4 letters from Daniel Jeremiah Hoffman regarding various subjects.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163 bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From:	Daniel Jeremiah Hoffman
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); BART Board; Desk@sfport.com; Danielle.Echeverria@sfchronicle.com;
	manohar.raju@sf.gov; City Librarian, City Librarian (LIB); Administrator, City (ADM); AsmBudget@asm.ca.gov;
	<u>Assembly.Ethics@asm.ca.gov;</u> <u>Alison.Merrilees@asm.ca.gov;</u> <u>DPW-CodeEnforcement;</u>
	Corky.Siemaszko@nbcuni.com; DFracassa@sfchronicle.com; ICEOPRIntake@ice.dhs.gov;
	CRT.SpeakerRequests@usdoj.gov; MYR-Appointments; SFPD, Chief (POL); Gray, Amber (DPH); SFSO Complaints
	(SHF); SFPD Bayview Station, (POL); Madeline.coggins@fox.com; Jonathan Mahler;
	<u>Jessica.Roy@sfchronicle.com; comments@foxnews.com; MSNBCTVinfo@nbcuni.com; info@sfchamber.com;</u>
	<u>MTABoard@sfmta.com;</u> innatunionsquarefrontdesk@ohrllc.com; jgarofoli@sfchronicle.com;
	kelly@missionlocal.com; madcap@cnbc.com; info@chinatownalleywaytours.org; info@cccsf.us; info@icofsf.org;
	info@chinatowncdc.org; info@sfarch.org; info@sherithisrael.org; info@sfcta.org; info@sfp.org; RPDInfo. RPD
	(REC); applications@icc-cpi.int; chris.feutrier@usda.gov; feedback@sfchronicle.com;
	elizabeth.potter@asm.ca.gov; SM.FS.WOFOIA@usda.gov; AskOCR@usdoj.gov; foipaquestions@fbi.gov;
	jonathan.kazmierski@usda.gov; Dalmar.Ismail@bart.gov; RAPC@doj.ca.gov; District Attorney, (DAT);
	Information@stpatricksf.org; SFPD Park Station, (POL); SFPort Commission Secretary; jhooper@cde.ca.gov;
	forum@kqed.org; carrillo@law.berkeley.edu; mscardenas@berkeley.edu; boardoffice@sfusd.edu;
	INFO@communitythriftsf.org; contact.center@calcivilrights.ca.gov; Engagement, Civic (ADM); SFPD CISU (POL);
	patricia.guerrero@courts.ca.gov; rob.bonta@doj.ca.gov
Subject:	. Organized Crime Alert! San Francisco Board Agenda Tuesday, March 18, 2025 - 2:00 PM Item 4. 250083
	Suspicious Lease Modification May Be a Federal Law Enforcement/Justice Department Bribe (\$Million Dollar +) to
	the City of San Francisco to Turn a Blin
Date:	Friday, March 14, 2025 2:37:47 PM

"San Francisco Board Agenda Tuesday, March 18, 2025 - 2:00 PM Item 4. 250083 . Suspicious Lease Modification May Be a Federal Law Enforcement Bribe (\$Million Dollar +) to the City of San Francisco to Turn a Blind Eye to its Sanctuary City Protections and run Illegal Politically Motivated Multi-Agency Targeted Surveillance Ops Happening in the City and Against this Journalist."

By: Daniel Jeremiah Hoffman, Investigative Journalist and Attorney Per Se at SF Liberator News,<u>https://sfliberatornews.weebly.com/</u>

San Francisco 03/14/2025. San Francisco Board Agenda "Tuesday, March 18, 2025 - 2:00 PM Item 4. 250083 Resolution approving Modification No. 3 of Lease No. GS-09P-LCA03395, between the United States (U.S.) of America, and the City and County of San Francisco for a new term ending January 3, 2028, and increasing the annual rent to \$962,017.96, totaling \$2.886,053.88 for the extension term" 2,396 rentable square feet (RSF), yielding 2,396 usable square feet (SF) of office. But What makes this suspicious is not that the United States Federal Government is extending its lease but that it is approving a Annual Increase of over \$300,000 per year, almost a \$\$\$Million Dollars extra total for the lease term extension of just 3 years! And just for a small office rented out through the Airport Authority only receiving 8 parking spaces, so its not that big of an office, which means less Agents and increasing probability in the use of Operatives to to give the agents plausible deniability as they act as just mere handlers to dangerous street level criminals they use to engage and entrap targets. As I continue my undercover investigations from the street level into High Level Organized Crime and Conspiracy to Cover Up Crimes Against Humanity including Corrupted Federal Law Enforcement and Partners, even Canadian Agents/Operatives and Resources, as they also give U.S. players/authorities plausible deniability and whose obvious appearance helps to call into question the location of surveillance media gathered and fabricated/orchestrated against the target(s). I am at least one of these targets for obvious reasons. I have been falling asleep in areas clear of homeless and junkies but waking up in the middle of the night with these people obviously staged around me for surveillance purposes, even accosted in my sleep having my pockets and items like my shoes and clothing sabotaged, things like switching my shoe sizes and stealing my weather proof jacket and replacing it with a replica not weatherproof, and conveniently right before it rains so that I am less weather resistant and less likely to be mobile, even using the rain

itself to pin me down in a location for these surveillance ops using these operatives, these are the tactics of the Feds like the F.B.I. to call into question my identity, force my movements and limit my resources and mobility, they will section off a quadrant of the city and engage in these tactics to keep the target contained to run these Ops, usually keeping SFPD sidelined at a distance and out of the way, and then fill the area with their Operational Actors, even replacing or adding them as staff members to the nearby coffee shops and other available locations the target can eat, sleep, pray, use the restroom, every possible direction and place the target can sit or move to has an operation planned, by operation I mean run suspicious looking operatives around me, like homeless or junkies, or anyone really who fits a negative stereotype, so that the target looks guilty by association under this fabricated and orchestrated surveillance footage. This morning with the rain I was woken up and pinned down at a coffee shop as large trucks and busses moved in to block certain city cameras by parking in front of them so that they could not be called in to question in my defense of the fabricated surveillance of the Op itself, and of course the available staff, if not already strategically placed operatives, probably misinformed and primed with misinformation, so that their witness testimony will fit the false narrative these theatrics are attempting to portray me as. It seems some idiots since my undercover work in Florida and in Portland Oregon have been (intentionally) misrecording, misinterpreting and projecting their own mental illness and prejudice into my case file for so long which seems to like to leave out my professional experience, certifications, my Major in College and my work as a Civil Service Agent of the Federal Government in AmeriCorps, as well as the Sheltered and Homeless Advocacy Coalition I tried to create as a Start Up On-line Private Company, like the Better Business Bureau, but to Hold Publicly Funded Organizations, like shelters and Public Housing Providers and Support Orgs, who are Law Enforcement and Justice Department Partners, Accountable to the Tax-payers using Human and Civil Rights Complaints/Grievances collected from participants and clients of those Organizations as Political Pressure towards Accountability, homelessness I uncovered during my undercover work investigating decriminalization of narcotics as a policy, was being used as a funding stream for Organized Crime in the stock markets and the corrupted Homeless/Junkies were acting as operatives who would engage in acts of socio-economic warfare and public nuisance and petty crimes in the benefit of Organized Crimes Corporate partners and antagonize tax-payers to approve more funding for Public Housing which they received the contracts for, so instead of including my real work history and undercover investigative work, they are attempting to link me to low level addicts, homeless and junkies to confuse my work undercover as a journalist as an association with these "types", using my testimony at the State Capital of Oregon in Feb. 2020, to make it look like I promote Homeless people or the lifestyle, when in fact I am exposing the lifestyle and eyesore of homelessness (people who allow themselves to fit negative stereotypes) as a manufactured effect and social weapon used by Organized Crime to extort the tax-payers, I instead encouraged people then to have dignity and stay away from the drugs which was being used to cause increases in mental and physical symptoms in the benefit of Healthcare and Big Pharma, another funding stream for Organized Crime. But back to next weeks agenda item here in San Francisco and the \$\$Million Dollars approved by the Federal Government for a Lease Modification and about to be approved by San Fran City Hall: https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/bag031825_agenda.pdf, in another suspicious set of circumstances, I have already exposed the use of engineered and fabricated Law Suits by the City to pay out bribes in ways that their Insurance Policy pays for it, and this latest use of Lease Modification between Government entities is just another trick to bribe governments and juice money into their system which they turn around and use to fund a Corporate Developer Partner or other Corporation, like a weapons manufacturer for the Police Department who is connected to the stock markets and the Public Employees Union's Retirement Accounts and Pensions, this is how they wash taxes into the Organized Crime Syndicate and Bribe the public employees and politicians, in the retirement and financial accounts, IRA's, REITS, etc. and all the players benefit. It looks like "Modification No. 2 of Lease No. GS-09P-LCA03395" according to a document I found on-line, https://sfgov.legistar.com/View.ashx? M=F&ID=10365263&GUID=9442BCB0-2844-4569-B1C9-2F9EA25D6385, on On October 13,

2015, shows that in that second modification the rent was raised to \$665,489, totaling \$1,996,467 for the extension term, what the original rental agreement was I have no idea but we can safely assume it was lower, and in the new Lease Modification, Modification No. 3, set to be approved this Tuesday,

https://www.gsa.gov/system/files/Real Estate Acquisitions/LCA03395-Lease- Z.pdf, I can see no United States Representative listed, in the view available to me on-line that information was blacked out, but the new Lease modification, according to the Agenda I received on-line, is for a new term ending January 3, 2028, and increasing the annual rent to \$962,017.96, totaling \$2,886,053.88 for the extension term, for offices occupied by the U.S. Federal Bureau of Investigation at the International Terminal. (Airport Commission), so we can see an almost Million Dollar, \$1,000,000.00 Increase since Modification 2 and who knows since the first modification. In one of my recent SF Liberator News articles, "They are threatening our Sanctuary City Status. Why We Should Break up the Globalist Security State Marriage to Organized Crime. A Link Between the Global Equity Securities Mafia and Illegal Domestic Security Targeted Operations", I explained that, "for instance, if San Francisco local Agencies or Law Enforcement cooperates in any way with an illegal targeted Federal Op against me as a journalist/whistleblower, it essentially erodes the Sanctuary Laws Protecting Immigrants Here and by giving me up to them would mean giving up your Sanctuary Status, the Judges will have to look at it like the City of San Francisco is being discriminatory in applying its Sanctuary Protections and therefore that makes the Sanctuary Ordinance null and void and the Judges will side with Federal Authorities and remove your jurisdictional authority. and that will open the flood gates for I.C.E raids and a host of other targeted ops instigated by Federal Authorities, and we cannot allow that to happen", So with this pressure the city was probably hesitant about assisting or allowing an Illegal Surveillance Op, like the ones being conducted against me and is responsible for the Homeless, junkies, and other Canadian operations actors on Market Street and anywhere else I could travel to and why I am not receiving any donations for my news articles on the streets, it is all part of an Op designed to limit my movements, resources, ability to stay professional looking and get new clothing or shoes, they contain me and use these operatives to help fabricate false evidence against me, and because these operations against a journalist like myself call into question San Francisco's commitments and Integrity as a Sanctuary City, it looks like a juicy renewal of a bogus Lease for a Million Dollars over rent, all Federal taxpayer money by the way, was needed to bribe the local Government Leaders to turn away, I couldn't find the actual names and signatures of this lease the version I was supplied with was blacked out, so they could be using the F.B.I. knowing I would catch it and report on it, to pressure the F.B.I. against me, but one thing is clear, the Agenda, over a Million dollars extra spread out just over 3 years is a very large suspicious amount of money when Offices all over town are vacant and the prices are plummeting, there are deals everywhere for commercial rentals in San Francisco, its just that Organized Crime is so dominating here that no legitimate people want to rent and live here, so if there are F.B.I. Operations here Downtown running Operations, I suspect a Private Security or Equity Firm Partner More than the Feds working to Frame or Entrap me, perhaps a coalition of all parties involved since my work in Florida and a third party being used for the cover up, but maybe instead of targeting the journalist exposing Organized Crime maybe you all can get my back, protect me from these homeless and junkies they are using as operatives, and use my insights to target Organized Crime Instead, and as always I am always at the service of any honest Law Enforcement Agency who would like to use my genius to end these National Socialist Crime Syndicate Members and bring us back to a functioning Democracy and an Economy of Free thinking, Educated, Inspired, and Informed Electorate of citizens, but if you are corrupted I promise you, now is a really good time to turn away and start working for the greater good, and I am happy to help you towards those means, don't go down in history as listed with the most ignorant despots that ever existed, it is much cooler to be a good guy. Either way, this Lease Modification is clearly a bribe to the local government, and I am clearly being targeted inside the local jurisdiction of a Sanctuary City as a Journalist Exposing Organized Crime w the use of Operatives and Operational Actors, so it is not that far of a leap and actually a very strong hypothesis to imply that this bribe is intended for the

local government agencies to look away but because this commercial lease modification is practically impossible to justify in this market except as a bribe I would say already implicates the city in any liability, death, or pain and suffering as a result of the Federal Agents Operations against me as a target, and, again, per my recent article listed above, that calls into question the Sanctuary Protection of everyone in San Francisco, and this should call into question the legitimacy of any Federal Operations in the San Fran Area and we need good Federal Agents, like good local P.D. now more than ever, so I do not want to let these bad apples spoil legitimate investigations and operations that are needed to combat this National Socialist Crime Syndicate which is abusing Law Enforcement resources as a Protection Racket instead of actually ending crime and corruption, which I feel I am the only one here who is working for that good and the good of the citizens, tax-payers, immigrants and minorities sometimes.

Bio of Daniel Jeremiah Hoffman:

Unconstitutionally Targeted for almost 10 years I continue to Report the truth including exposing the tools, tactics and technology used to Oppress and in Illegal Targeted Ops that protect and perpetuate the system of Globalist Organized Crime and Eugenic Cleansing. I am an Unaffiliated Independent Liberal Constitutionalist, a Former AmeriCorps Agent surviving two years in disadvantaged communities trained to live at the poverty level in that Civil Service (Domestic Peace Corps) Agency also w/non-profit Management Experience and at a Director Level in the Business Tech Space including as a Certified Business Technology Expert Writer from Business. Com, using my Journalist Skills acquired in Highschool and College Journalism Courses in which I excelled and my past Professional Experience, Years of Independent research, and Direct Experience and Observations as an Undercover Journalist for over 20 years, the last 7 years from the street level infiltrating corrupted and Globalized Social Programs, Immigration Policies and Criminal Justice system as a witness/victim in Multiple States and Countries to expose the symptoms facing our sick society as being derived from the progression of Hitler'ite Ideology and Eugenics into the Globalist Control over Centralized Industry and the Systems of Human Management acting as an Organized Crime Syndicate maintaining hegemonic control over World Markets and using that influence to create global unified Government Policies counter to the Democratic Ideals of a Free Society. I am as a Jewish grandnephew of a Holocaust Survivor on my Father's side, and as a Sicilian/Irish Practicing Catholic on my Mother's side, Exposing the covert Institutions of Slavery and Human Exploitation tied to Nationalist Socialist Profiling and Human Manipulation, with the same Religious Conviction and Calling as a Journalist as the Great Rev. Martin Luther King Jr. had as a Civil Rights Activist. I Investigate undercover from the street level of the cities I enter but my state of unshelterdness is strictly for information gathering purposes as a Journalist and objective observer in the communities I enter, I am not Homeless, I have no mental illness, no addiction problems and have a stellar professional resume, references and credentials, I do this as a Religious Calling to fulfill my Catholic Spiritual and Corporal Works of Mercy one of which is to "Educate the Ignorant" and in a Globalized world of intellectual blockades, Censorship and Misinformation and Propaganda that ignorance is being forced upon the citizens of Earth to keep them complacent to the conditions that are enslaving them. I Survive off of the Donations of Pro-Democracy Supporters and reward their Intelligent Empathic Response with my Articles and Booklets of Articles for Free w/ their love Donation. My SF Liberator News Articles are valued at \$5 and Booklets of Articles are Valued at \$20, your donations help me to

maintain my health, dignity and professionalism while reporting from the street level. I Report under the Federally Registered Non-

profit Religious News Community and Publisher I founded in 2021, I am the Sole Proprietor and only current Journalist, Federal EIN #86-

3597094. Please note I have been reporting attacks to my internet, spelling and grammar, copy and clip board, and seemingly remote access to my cursor with the intent to discredit my articles with mistakes or sometimes plagiarize them by copying and pasting them before I hit send. I have no dyslexia and have excelled at all of my Highschool and College English Writing and Course on Journalism and Creative Writing and am meticulous in my own grammar checks using the available tools and features of which many of the typos are being maliciously added in my articles by these attacks would be impossible to overlook.

Sent with Proton Mail secure email.



What Plan? New San Francisco Mayor Seems to be Fighting a lost Cause of a War Against an Enemy who is selling you Broken Weapons...

By: Daniel Jeremiah Hoffman, Investigative Journalist and Attorney Per Se at SF Liberator News

San Francisco 03/17/2025. The latest NBC Bay Area Headline reads, "SF mayor set to unveil clearer plan to curb homelessness" at : https://www.nbcbayarea.com/news/local/san-francisco/sfmayor-homelessness/3820681/, and as a Journalist who has been on the streets of North U.S./Canadian Cities for almost 8 years Undercover exposing, among other funding streams and operations involving Organized Crime and Corruption in Government and Law Enforcement, "Homelessness" and the demand created by the intentional evesores of junkies and gravely disabled people littered on our streets as a mechanism for Global National Socialist Organized Crime and provides cover for Eugenic Cleansing and an Al/Non-visible Communications Human Experiment I have labeled the "Human Drone Program" which seems to be coordinated together with Covert Domestic Security Operations using vulnerable street people illegally as operatives and for illegal surveillance gathering, of which I have reported being a victim of malicious physicals attacks that have been coordinated with attacks to my internet and illegal Law Enforcement actions, false arrests, including excessive force and excessive force with a deadly weapon, in multiple jurisdictions in ways that have violated my Protected Rights under the 1st Amendment Freedom of the Press Guarantees and ignored my Press and Professional Credentials, so no one more than me supports the Intent behind what the Mayor wants to accomplish, I am a Former AmeriCorps Agent who was trained to be an Autonomous Agent of the Government who could live at the poverty level inside disadvantaged communities and assist and make recommendations to alleviate the conditions of poverty. The problem I faced in AmeriCorps and what the Mayor is facing with the Resources he is currently intending on deploying, according to the article listed above, "a new model for street outreach teams and more options for those who need it. There will also be reforms to health and homelessness services, as well as assistance programs meant to provide more stability and self sufficiency.", and, "hopes to deliver on his 1,500-bed commitment for shelters and hopes to hold nonprofit partners accountable with a review of funding priorities", as well as, "wants the state and federal government to help more with funding" but as the article went on to point out, "Meanwhile, San Francisco faces an estimated

\$876 million budget shortfall.". So while I can't predict what his so called "new model" will be exactly, I know what resources he has at his disposal because they are the same resources that has always existed, the resources to "alleviate conditions", rather than solve a problem, and while I have been critical of his move to streamline the process of solicitation of private entities and others in the face his massive \$876 million budget shortfall, which he didn't create but is charged with addressing in his new role, I am concerned that those solicitations, like the already \$\$\$Billions in wasted Tax-Dollars spent for this issue that actually caused the massive budget shortfall to begin with, is just going towards buying more broken weapons, so to speak, which the enemy has strategically planted and designed to fail. The 2024 PIT count revealed a total of 8,323 people experiencing homelessness, including both sheltered and unsheltered individuals and the Size of the Shelter System | In September 2024, HSH funded 33 shelters with 3,228 beds/units operated by 20 different nonprofits, so the Mayors plan for his 1,500-bed commitment for shelters, really isn't going to put that big of a dent in the eyesore of homelessness on our streets, granted those P.I.T. Count numbers were for the whole San Fran Bay area where other parts are much worse and more populated with homelessness than here on the Peninsula, thanks to SFPD's hard work, but as I have already pointed out, giving people housing or placing them in a shelter doesn't really mean they won't be visible eyesores on the streets and a roof over their head isn't likely to reduce their negative behavior, like open drug use in public, or mental health outbursts, as many of the problems of low level criminality are actually committed by people in housing as much as the Homeless but almost all of it is in the benefit of the Government Agencies and Corporate Partners. So what is meant by "reforms to health and homelessness services", as well as, "assistance programs meant to provide more stability and self sufficiency." I am not sure, but, it sounds pretty expensive as at least one Board of Supervisors Agenda item set for tomorrow, https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/bag031825_agenda.pdf, seems to already be opening the door to more spending, Item 3.

250097, https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/03_bag031825_250097.pdf, "Ordinance appropriating \$4.956.133 of State and Federal Revenues to the Human Services Agency for direct client assistance, rental subsidies, and services...", what are these Helath and Human Service Professionals assisting, other than placing these people on waiting lists, a list dependent on driving the taxpaying property owners out of the way fro more public housing slave quarters and leaving the city with no Revenue streams other than sales tax from tourists and convention center visitors, and it seems the Mayor wants to, "hold nonprofit partners accountable with a review of funding priorities." and I support accountability 100% as I am not against spending. But, I am against "wasteful" spending and "fraudulent" spending that benefits the Organized Crime Syndicate responsible for managing and strategically placing these actors and eyesores all over our streets to create artificial demand for these programs and removes private property owners and businesses to acquire those properties cheap for their corporate partners by intentionally distressing the area and using the newly created public housing as tax-payer funded slave quarters for their employees, who, because of the availability of subsidized housing, they have no need to pay them a real living wage to meet fair market rent or have disposable income enough to buy their own property, and, as most of these people with drug abuse on their record will get targeted for covert genocide, making those deaths look like a natural occurrence of their addiction, those employees will be people instead profiled specifically for those perceived labor needs and roles, outsourced from outside San Francisco, it is an "out with the old, in with the new" strategy, that is responsible for the constant shifts in people and populations and why no one in San Francisco has been here for Generations, as real San Franciscans seem to have been replaced by obedient drones from Canada and other places. This is the Global Eugenic Nature of Labor Control, both an act of Organized Crime and a Crime Against Humanity. So what this looks like is the Mayor can get a 50% Match in Federal Funding for the money the Local Municipality can raise through its "Continuum" so he is trying raise as much capital as possible for that match but all this does is expand the system of Agencies involved and their employee size while transferring taxwealth to the Developers to build meanwhile property taxes are shrinking, sales taxes are shrinking and you have to give the Corporations tax-breaks just for them to come here and supply

jobs, this is not a revenue generating activity or model the Mayor is engaging in, it is a Ponzi Scheme intent on bankrupting the public purse, our City, and ultimately just changing out the whole population, until they fill it with nothing but mindless obedient drones who act as both security state operatives and the necessary acts of criminality, nuisance, and antagonistic behavior, to keep the data consistent, like increasing 9/11 call volumes and Police Reports and keep the public annoyed and afraid so they can extort them again, all to justify demand for the Government Services/Non-profits who are just a major employer in this scheme and whose employees benefit from the Stocks of the Corporate Partners Networked together World Wide, and all the players are coordinated with the Al/Communications Tech, an Automated Organized Crime Syndicate City that choregraphs everything to mimic real authentic human behavior but really it is just theatrics like going to a Theme Park like Universal Studios but functions more like a giant mental institution. I look forward to hearing more on the Mayor's "New Model" but I see know resources at his disposal except that which is created in the benefit of the generating source of the problems, Organized Crime and the people who use this city wide coordinated activity in regards to housing and homelessness and addiction and mental health programs, to make the Stocks of the companies involved predictable and profitable for the players involved. As I walk around this Theme Park of cleverly disguised and beautified destitution and slavery called San Francisco and the delusional actors working for the Crime Syndicate both to perpetuate their own imprisonment here as well as ultimately ensuring their own depopulation, I am in awe at the ignorance and complacency, the lack of integrity or dignity, I see corrupted "Politicians and Government Bureaucrats" as much as I see Corrupted "Homeless and Addicts", they are of the same mind to me and neither has behavior I want to associate with in any way, not because they don't have potential otherwise but because they are sacrificing their own Free wills, their conscious volition, to get immediate gratification offered as a reward to those Obedient to the Organized Crime Syndicate that is enslaving us, and often attacking me like I am Django, the Freeman, walking on to the plantation of Stephen Characters, the brainwashed house slave, to use a movie metaphor from "Diango Unchained" Directed by Quentin Tarantino. What good is building shelter beds, more public housing, and expanding agencies and support services, if you don't have a real economy that offers your people pathways to Socio-Economic Freedom? Because without that pathway, those housing units are just slave guarters and the people will never be treated as anything more than cattle and the local government will be nothing more than the Stephen Character brainwashed to manage the other slaves in exchange for a little more comfortable lifestyle but still subservient to the masters. The resources you have can't win this war but we can start adjusting how we use those resources to weaken the enemy and every current person in poverty that turns into a property owner, who makes a real living wage to pay fair market rent with disposable income to spare, every citizen with a great idea who starts their own businesses and realizes it, or applies their skills and abilities in ways to be self-sustaining away from any Government assistance (Government control), every person who realizes a good retirement before the age of 60, is a person removed from slavery and therefore weakens the slave owners who control the Organized Crime Syndicate and Stock Markets, so reinvest in individual solutions of human development not management and instead of soliciting donations from your wealthy friends to fund Organized Crime's systems of Human management, encourage them instead to be Angel Investors in local Entrepreneurs, Artists, Musicians and Skilled tradesmen and women. Otherwise, you're just "Fighting a lost Cause of a War Against an Enemy who is selling you Broken Weapons" and sabotaging our city with their soldiers, you are being set up to fail and your failure is what perpetuates their profits and subjugation over our lives. If you want Liberal Programs that Liberate I am at your service but it would be nice if you stopped covering up your slavery with this fake Liberalism called housing programs. Sent with Proton Mail secure email.

From:	Daniel Jeremiah Hoffman
То:	SFPD, Chief (POL); SFPDMediaRelations, (POL); SFPD CISU (POL); SFPD Retail Theft Unit; SFPD Mission Station,
	(POL); SFPD Richmond Station, (POL); SFPD Park Station, (POL); SFSO Complaints (SHF); DPW-
	CodeEnforcement; Assembly.Ethics@asm.ca.gov; AsmBudget@asm.ca.gov; Danielle.Echeverria@sfchronicle.com;
	DFracassa@sfchronicle.com; Desk@sfport.com; ICEOPRIntake@ice.dhs.gov; Corky.Siemaszko@nbcuni.com;
	CRT.SpeakerRequests@usdoi.gov; BART Board; Board of Supervisors (BOS); MTABoard@sfmta.com;
	rob.bonta@doj.ca.gov; fgarbo@missiondolores.org; boardoffice@sfusd.edu; Jessica.Roy@sfchronicle.com;
	comments@foxnews.com; info@chinatownalleywaytours.org; info@chinatowncdc.org; newsdesk@kpix.com;
	SFPD Bayview Station. (POL); SM.FS.WOFOIA@usda.gov; sfliberatornews@proton.me;
	maxwell.zeff@techcrunch.com; carrillo@law.berkeley.edu; tattwa@sfvedanta.org; swarren@viacomcbs.com;
	<u>andrew.ironside@asm.ca.gov;</u>
	INFO@communitythriftsf.org; MSNBCTVinfo@nbcuni.com; Information@stpatricksf.org; info@icofsf.org;
	info@cccsf.us; info@sfarch.org; info@sfchamber.com; info@sfcta.org; info@sfp.org; info@sherithisrael.org;
	<u>RPDInfo, RPD (REC); Dalmar.Ismail@bart.gov; AskOCR@usdoj.gov; Alison.Merrilees@asm.ca.gov;</u>
	chris.feutrier@usda.gov; jonathan.kazmierski@usda.gov; SM.FS.R2FOIA@usda.gov; Madeline.coggins@fox.com;
	MYR-Appointments; Jonathan Mahler; SFPD Community Engagement Division; PDR-Media Relations; City
	Librarian. City Librarian (LIB); bcsfoffice@gmail.com; applications@icc-cpi.int; feedback@sfchronicle.com;
	farhoudi@apple.com; editorial@sfstandard.com; contact.center@calcivilrights.ca.gov;
	<u>communitythrift@sbcglobal.net;</u> <u>caaspp@cde.ca.gov;</u> <u>superintendent@cde.ca.gov;</u> <u>jhooper@cde.ca.gov;</u>
	patricia.guerrero@courts.ca.gov; mscardenas@berkeley.edu; kelly@missionlocal.com; SFPort Commission
	Secretary; foipaquestions@fbi.gov; 401_PIO@CHP.CA.GOV; innatunionsquarefrontdesk@ohrllc.com;
	Administrator, City (ADM); Engagement, Civic (ADM); Press Office, Mayor (MYR); Mayor, MYR (MYR);
	manohar.raju@sf.gov; samarpreet.kaur@asm.ca.gov; RAPC@doj.ca.gov; SFDA-Victim Services; ICCvisits@icc-
	cpi.int; megan.russell@parks.ca.gov; michael.greer@lao.ca.gov; tsmith@kron4.com;
	michael.barba@sfchronicle.com; forum@kqed.org; kimberly.h oriuchi@asm.ca.gov; ilan.zur@asm.ca.gov;
	elizabeth.potter@asm.ca.gov; mcu@justice.gc.ca
Subject:	Phase II of North America''s Disenfranchisement of Targeted People From Free Market Economies is
	Commencing. I see San Francisco"s New Mayor"s Anti-Poverty Approach as a National Strategy of Mass
	Processing Vulnerable Humans Beings to Fill Predicted Lab
Date:	Tuesday, March 18, 2025 3:09:51 PM

Phase II of North America's Disenfranchisement of Targeted People From Free Market Economies is Commencing. I see San Francisco's New Mayor's Anti-Poverty Approach as a National Strategy of Mass Processing Vulnerable Humans Beings to Fill Predicted Labor Needs in Manufacturing and Other Low Wage Labor Roles...

By: Daniel Jeremiah Hoffman, Investigative Journalist and Attorney Per Se at SF Liberator News, <u>https://sfliberatornews.weebly.com/</u>

San Francisco 03/18/2025. "Get Em' Cleaned up, Give Em' Public Housing and Put Em' to Work In Factories"...isn't that what Hitler did to the Jews? If you read my article yesterday entitled, "What Plan? New San Francisco Mayor Seems to be Fighting a lost Cause of a War Against an Enemy who is selling you Broken Weapons..." I attempted to explain the Global Plan of Slavery happening world wide and that the resources being allocated in the name of fighting poverty is essentially like fighting an enemy by buying their broken weapons, it is all designed to fail, and profit the Slave Owners, in this case a Global Technologically Advanced Organized Crime Syndicate guiding all human activity into its benefit and the Corporations who are Attached to their Stock Portfolios in the Stock Markets, using human beings both as Labor and as a Commodity to justify the continuous bankrupting of the public purse, using the wealth of future generations, to fund their Empire at the expense of inflation, also a designed mechanism of social control, and a forfeiture of our individual Civil Rights and Liberties. One of these fundamental protected freedoms is the "Right to choose your own occupation/vocation", without which we become slaves, in this case by coercive methods of psychological warfare rather than the physical forms of chattel slavery. I am both a Former AmeriCorps Agent and Business Technology Market Expert, I have both Public Management and Private Director Level Work Experience, as an AmeriCorps Agent, as mentioned in yesterday's article, I was trained to live at the poverty level and assist disadvantaged communities and make recommendations to alleviate the conditions of poverty, but as I reported yesterday, the task of merely "alleviating the conditions of poverty" is an intentional and negligent act of the government to perpetuate the systems of human management and support industries, Housing and Healthcare, because it is profitable and the victims these policies create are the foundation for Millions of Jobs and entire economies, I have referred to this as an insight based of my years of investigative work I call. Victim Based Economics". And to prove that their is really no difference between the Political Left and the Political Right in disregarding the individual lives of Poor America and their protected Freedom to choose their own occupation, in a recent AP Article, https://apnews.com/article/trump-tariffs-canada-mexico-china-

643086a6dc7ff716d876b3c83e3255b0, it was reported that, citing one of the President's Argument for a Tariff War with China, Canada and Mexico is that, "The president is abandoning the free trade policies the United States pursued for decades after World War II. He argues that open trade cost America millions of factory jobs and that tariffs are the path to national prosperity.", So, at least in this AP Article we see the President's Concern for Manufacturing in the United States, but as the president seems concerned with "National Prosperity" (Nationalism) with no mention to the individual prosperity of those left no other option but factory work, which, In January 2025, there were roughly 12.8 million people employed in the US manufacturing industry, and, Average hourly earnings of production and nonsupervisory employees in manufacturing: \$28.64 (February 2025), it seems our National Strategy to defend ourselves from Foreign Threats is to increase manufacturing in the U.S., and for this we need more laborers and with National Birthrates at an all time low and a Covid epidemic that just depopulated Millions of Americans, where will we get those people to fill these perceived labor needs intended by the Federal Government, who wants to work for \$28.64, and with the Trump Administration slowing immigration to the U.S. it doesn't appear to look like they are going to import people, so it looks like the Fed is going to utilize, enslave, the "record-high 771,480 people [who] experienced homelessness in the US, a 18.1% increase from the previous year, according to the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)" . And, here in San Francisco, The manufacturing sector has seen a decline, with 25% fewer jobs in 2021 compared to 1990, where the "The average hourly pay for a Factory Worker in San Francisco is [just] \$19.87". So as the New San Fran Mayor faces a massive \$876 million budget shortfall and a crisis with a total of 8,323 people experiencing homelessness and On Monday, he issued a new executive directive that he says will build on the recent fentanyl emergency order signed into law last month, with specific targets outlined for the next 100 days, 6 months and year., so here is a pool of people that could be used as labor to increase a desperate Manufacturing Industry that has been in steady decline since 1990, and anyone who understands data and politics, it is this fallen Manufacturing industry, and industry that a Former Levi Strauss Heir would have a lot of connections to, could realize the most potential success seeking to score a 2 in 1 by removing the Homeless and Junkies and guiding them into his buddies Factories, which most likely will get juiced with federal subsides themselves funneled through the local municipality, but first he has to clean up these people and get them in a "Housing Situation", that after 90 days, is dependent on having verifiable employment, what employment in this economic environment? Manufacturing of Course! And so because no one wants to be a factory worker in San Francisco or anywhere else, they have to make conditions otherwise so bad for these people that they will take the jobs no one in their right mind would take, and then cleverly hide this tax-payer funded Corporate Housing in a hidden Neighborhood where they can walk to work, just like Hitler's Labor Camps. The same, I have already reported, with the the other low wage occupations such as hospitality and service related jobs to meet the needs for the Convention Center Visitors he (the Mayor) plans on attracting as an Economic Model, visitors who will never see these hidden labor camps. So it looks like Blue State Socialist San Francisco is in line with the Red State Nationalist Agenda. The problem with all of this of course, and what I have been reporting as a Crime Against Humanity and Slavery, is that these Low Wage People, the Immigrants, the Addicts and Homeless, were all victims of Domestic Spying, Profiling, and Targeted Intelligence type Operations that guided them, and/or maintained them, in the position of desperation just for this purpose, to fill perceived labor needs of the Nationalist Agenda, and make sure they had enough people who were desperate enough to take the jobs no one else would

take, and the tax-payers and future generations are paying for the health maintenance and slave quarters of these contemporary slaves, who really have no option to choose their own occupation, so what are the tax-payers and future generations going to get as an ROI for the slave maintenance? Will inflation go down as the U.S. manufacturing increases, probably not because we are printing \$Trillions of dollars to pay for the maintenance and housing of the slaves, will the GDP be effected, maybe, but that is just a vanity metric that effects very little Americans, this is the problem with reductionism in Economic and Social Policy, it is based on presumptions and assumptions that are prejudice and unequal, it is a complete disregard of the lower classes rights and a dismissal of them being human beings of equal potential, they just exists to fill a need called "labor" and should be happy with whatever treatment they receive because the only other options is to live on the streets in a City designed and with a culture of psychological and physical warfare, and this is intentional to make sure they take the job to fill the perceived labor needs so these Nationalists can make their quota in the name of Economic Security and the Socialists, like the Mayor can record this as a political win, if we can consider slavery a win? Everything happening right now, the theatrics being played out in the local, state, National and World Media is propagnda designed to cover up for Phase I which was to destroy the Free Market, bankrupt and takeover the World's Democracies and Centralize every Industry under a Global Source (Organized Crime), disenfranchise a targeted percentage of the World's Population for low wage perceived labor needs, depopulate the rest to reduce the burden of managing them and any eliminate any perceived threats to the Agenda, and then Phase II, force those made desperate and intentionally displaced people, the refugees and homeless, the diseased and addicted, the disabled and the resident aliens, into situations where their only means of survival is submitting to the only option being presented to them and make the whole Scheme seem Liberal and Humanitarian. But it is the fact that no other option is available except that which benefits the Globalist Partners connected through the Stock Markets, the fact that there is no where to run, nowhere to find investment or charity outside of the system we are contained in and no place where we can live self sustainably off the land. We have instead, and this was the plan since emancipation of the slaves, to subjugate all of the World's people, we have been concentrated into the cities where our only means of survival is a selection of jobs from a menu of options we had no influence in creating, but in fact we are being identified, profiled and determined for instead, and our lives are being manipulated into willing acceptance and a willingness to forfeit our rights is being manufactured more than any output from the factories we are being enslaved to. I see San Francisco's New Mayor's Anti-Poverty Approach as a National Strategy of Mass Processing Vulnerable Humans Beings to Fill Predicted Labor Needs in Manufacturing and Other Low Wage Labor Roles, as Reported, https://www.sfchronicle.com/sf/article/lurie-homelessmental-health-20224911.php, a plan to address S.F. homelessness and mental health crises, is just funneling vulnerable people to be used as a commodity (human exploitations) or to fill perceived labor needs of which no clearly defined pathway to Socio-Economic Freedom away from that role is designed (Slavery), and I want the junkies and homeless eyesores off the streets, but first I want the system that produced and covertly guided them into the condition of desperation and destitution to be held accountable, no labor should be forced or coerced on any one in a Liberal Constitutional Democracy, so if these Nationalists and Socialists want to fight perceived Foreign Threats, even those are manufactured, but if they want people to work for them then incentivize without first forcing them into desperation and then pay them a fair living wage that meets fair market value for goods with enough leftover for disposable income and property ownership themselves and make sure their is a functioning Free Market detached from your Global Network of Centralized Industries and partners, otherwise what you are doing is just covert slavery of vulnerable protected people, which makes you the most despicable human Neanderthals that has wielded power in hundreds of years.

Bio of Daniel Jeremiah Hoffman:

Unconstitutionally Targeted for almost 10 years I continue to Report the truth including exposing the tools, tactics and technology used to Oppress and in Illegal Targeted Ops that protect and perpetuate the system of Globalist Organized Crime and Eugenic Cleansing. I am an Unaffiliated Independent Liberal Constitutionalist, a Former AmeriCorps Agent surviving two years in disadvantaged communities trained to live at the poverty level in that Civil Service (Domestic Peace Corps) Agency also w/non-profit Management Experience and at a Director Level in the Business Tech Space including as a Certified Business Technology Expert Writer from Business. Com, using my

Journalist Skills acquired in Highschool and College Journalism Courses in which I excelled and my past Professional Experience, Years of Independent research, and Direct Experience and Observations as an Undercover Journalist for over 20 years, the last 7 years from the street level infiltrating corrupted and Globalized Social Programs, Immigration Policies and Criminal Justice system as a witness/victim in Multiple States and Countries to expose the symptoms facing our sick society as being derived from the progression of Hitler'ite Ideology and Eugenics into the Globalist Control over Centralized Industry and the Systems of Human Management acting as an Organized Crime Syndicate maintaining hegemonic control over World Markets and using that influence to create global unified Government Policies counter to the Democratic Ideals of a Free Society. I am as a Jewish grandnephew of a Holocaust Survivor on my Father's side, and as a Sicilian/Irish Practicing Catholic on my Mother's side, Exposing the covert Institutions of Slavery and Human Exploitation tied to Nationalist Socialist Profiling and Human Manipulation, with the same Religious Conviction and Calling as a Journalist as the Great Rev. Martin Luther King Jr. had as a Civil Rights Activist. I Investigate undercover from the street level of the cities I enter but my state of unshelterdness is strictly for information gathering purposes as a Journalist and objective observer in the communities I enter, I am not Homeless, I have no mental illness, no addiction problems and have a stellar professional resume, references and credentials, I do this as a Religious Calling to fulfill my Catholic Spiritual and Corporal Works of Mercy one of which is to "Educate the Ignorant" and in a Globalized world of intellectual blockades, Censorship and Misinformation and Propaganda that ignorance is being forced upon the citizens of Earth to keep them complacent to the conditions that are enslaving them. I Survive off of the Donations of Pro-Democracy Supporters and reward their Intelligent Empathic Response with my Articles and Booklets of Articles for Free w/ their love Donation. My SF Liberator News Articles are valued at \$5 and Booklets of Articles are Valued at \$20, your donations help me to

maintain my health, dignity and professionalism while reporting from the street level. I Report under the Federally Registered Non-

profit Religious News Community and Publisher I founded in 2021, I am the Sole Proprietor and only current Journalist, Federal EIN #86-3597094. Please note I have been reporting attacks to my internet, spelling and grammar, copy and clip board, and seemingly remote

access to my cursor with the intent to discredit my articles with mistakes or sometimes plagiarize them by copying and pasting them before I hit send. I have no dyslexia and have excelled at all of my Highschool and College English Writing and Course on Journalism and Creative Writing and am meticulous in my own grammar checks using the available tools and features of which many of the typos are being maliciously added in my articles by these attacks would be impossible to overlook.

Sent with Proton Mail secure email.

From:	Daniel Jeremiah Hoffman
To:	<u>AskOCR@usdoi.gov; CRT.SpeakerReguests@usdoi.gov; foipaguestions@fbi.gov; mcu@iustice.gc.ca; SFSO</u>
	Complaints (SHF); 401_PIO@CHP.CA.GOV; SFPD, Chief (POL); SFPDMediaRelations, (POL); Board of Supervisors
	(BOS); BART_Board; rob.bonta@doj.ca.gov; District Attorney, (DAT); Jonathan Mahler; manohar.raju@sf.gov;
	jgarofoli@sfchronicle.com; jonathan.kazmierski@usda.gov; jhooper@cde.ca.gov; RAPC@doj.ca.gov;
	openjustice@doj.ca.gov; gkuforiji@archdpdx.org; contact.center@calcivilrights.ca.gov;
	INFO@communitythriftsf.org; DPW-CodeEnforcement; patricia.guerrero@courts.ca.gov;
	Information@stpatricksf.org; morning@npr.org; MSNBCTVinfo@nbcuni.com; info@cccsf.us;
	info@chinatownalleywaytours.org; info@chinatowncdc.org; info@icofsf.org; info@sfarch.org;
	info@sfchamber.com; info@sherithisrael.org; info@sfcta.org; info@sfp.org; Desk@sfport.com;
	<u>Assembly.Ethics@asm.ca.gov; AsmBudget@asm.ca.gov; Corky.Siemaszko@nbcuni.com;</u>
	<u>DFracassa@sfchronicle.com;</u> ICEOPRIntake@ice.dhs.gov; Jessica.Roy@sfchronicle.com; Dalmar.Ismail@bart.gov;
	<u>Alison.Merrilees@asm.ca.gov; Danielle.Echeverria@sfchronicle.com; Madeline.coggins@fox.com;</u>
	<u>comments@foxnews.com;</u> <u>communitythrift@sbcglobal.net;</u> <u>SFPort Commission Secretary</u> ; <u>PDR-Media Relations;</u>
	City Librarian, City Librarian (LIB); carrillo@law.berkeley.edu; feedback@sfchronicle.com;
	fgarbo@missiondolores.org; editorial@sfstandard.com; bcsfoffice@gmail.com; farhoudi@apple.com;
	forum@kqed.org; innatunionsquarefrontdesk@ohrllc.com; newsdesk@kpix.com; tips@sfstandard.com; MYR- Appointments
	
Subject:	Strategically Placed Propaganda with Intentionally Misinterpreted Elements of my Profile in my search results- A
	Desperate Move from Insane Federal Authorities/Partners Projecting their own Paranoid Schizophrenia into
	Attempts to Discredit My Work as a
Date:	Wednesday, March 19, 2025 12:33:07 PM

Strategically Placed Propaganda with Intentionally Misinterpreted Elements of my Profile in my search results- A Desperate Move from Insane Federal Authorities/Partners Projecting their own Paranoid Schizophrenia into Attempts to Discredit My Work as a Journalist... By: Daniel Jeremiah Hoffman, Investigative Journalist and Attorney Per Se at SF Liberator News, <u>https://sfliberatornews.weebly.com/</u>

San Francisco 03/19/2025. With recent articles that explained the policies out of San Francisco's Socialist City Hall as Aligning with the Nationalist Red State Agenda of Enslaving the poor and immigrants in the name of Economic Interests, it seems Corrupted Feds in Both Canada and the U.S. continue to abuse authority granted by Legislation to fight Terrorism or the War on Drugs and are hacking my internet, disrupting my content with grammatical errors and strategically placing propaganda designed with names, places and companies that are part of information collected in my past profile, a profile filled with misinterpreted information collected with prejudice against me while undercover as a journalist after leaving College w/o a degree as a Mass Comm./Journalism Major to investigate the Federally sponsored role of narcotics distribution in acts of covert genocide, eugenic cleansing, targeting the racial and religious minorities, using the recorded data of victims who engaged in substance abuse as cover to dismiss away their deaths or intentionally disenfranchise them from gainful employment and support so that they will be forced into low wage labor benefiting the government's partner corporations of Global Organized Crime, in Florida the Tropicana Plant back in the late 1990's- early 2000's was one of those beneficiaries of these covert Federal Law Enforcement task force operations spanning multiple jurisdictions from Miami to Orlando, who also used entrapped victims and pressured them to act as operatives to distribute the narcotics to entrap others. My friends were dying all around me suspiciously as a teenager and young adult in Florida and even if they were just a pot smoker the deaths were dismissed away as drug related or suicide with no further investigations, and almost all of these friends were Italian or Irish Catholics or Latinos, I dropped out of College after excelling in my Journalism and English Writing courses to stay off the radar of Domestic Spying to protect my intended investigations into this Federal Corruption and the link between Law Enforcement activities and Organized Crime, after the manufactured events of 9/11 which framed the Muslim community as terrorists and later the AOL scandal that exposed Government Domestic Spying, it was clear a Global Neo-Nazi-Type National Socialist Organization was Centralizing all Law

Enforcement under its command for Domestic Security targeted ops. Fast forward to today in 2025, I have traveled the world since and been victim of these covert operations since, which has often included instigating local P.D. to act against me based on nothing but misinformation, unsubstantiated claims, unconfirmed intel, and/or fabricated or produced false media evidence using operational actors connected to the Federal Law Enforcement, and one of these tactics is to plant evidence including by using Anti-Terror legislation and the technology it produced as a Law Enforcement resource in conjunction with partnership agreements between Law Enforcement/Justice Department and Telecommunication, Technology, and Media Companies, to plant evidence on line, sometimes "click-bait" and "redirects" and sometimes, like today, just straight propaganda designed with words of people, places and political ideologies or radical theologies, etc., which they then use to present taken out of context like putting together an advertising story board designed by a schizophrenic implying connections that simply don't exist in reality. So the headlines strategically placed in my search results today with the search term "San Francisco" produced links to articles on websites I have no connection

to:https://www.sfgate.com/sf-culture/article/longtime-sponsors-bail-on-sf-pride-20226582.php, and, https://www.kron4.com/news/bay-area/3-illegal-gambling-dens-2-unsanctioned-nightclubsshut-down-in-san-francisco/, these articles are just cleverly designed propaganda desperately created to try and implicate me perhaps by copying and pasting the text and using it in fraudulent communications, the other intent is to try and create a narrative that I am a mentally ill person having flashbacks or dementia, but I am not even 46 years old yet, I have had mental health evaluations all over the Country and never been diagnosed as having any permanent mental illness, and was never an addict but have already admitted to having "abused" substances in my past during my undercover work from Florida to Portland Oregon and I have been substance abuse free for years, except for cigarettes and caffeine, and I am clean and professional looking, thanks to the donations I receive on the street while passing out my articles to interested people as a reward for donating to me, and my work on the street level as a journalist is practically the same as I was trained to do in AmeriCorps as an Autonomous Federal Civil Servant Agent charged to live at the poverty level in disadvantaged communities and attempt to alleviate the conditions of poverty. Now, and after over 20 years undercover work, in 2019 finally going on the record as a journalist, and in 2021 founding a Non-profit Religious News Community and Publisher, I am a legitimate journalist and credible voice against Global Organized Crime and Slavery, so there is a target on my back, as I expected when I accepted this cross to expose injustice in the world of which I was given the personality, Higher states of intelligence, empathy, charisma, and endurance just for this purpose. These are just Progressed Nazi Tactics being used against me, Contemporary Neanderthals, they emptied their whole tool box out on me years ago and just keep changing personnel and repeating activities, ignoring my real professional work history and my undercover investigative work and current status as a credible journalist and instead intent on trying to force me to fit into the false narrative they have been spinning about me while containing my internet and communications and intercepting my articles and keeping me trapped in Psy Op type conditions running operational actors by me all day long in attempts to produce more false media or audio evidence specifically to link me to low level people, they will even strategically place people in the places I frequent, just to day at the city dollar store where I get my body spray and sometime cheap reader eye glasses, which they would have to be illegally surveilling me to do, and using the phone conversations of these operatives or their cell phone camera to try and capture me or my voice in the feed just to link me to low level criminal places or people, if I get a large donation then they run addicts by me to try and call into question the source of my funds, if someone hands me a gift card they have their criminal looking operatives show up with gift cards on the scene to call into question my gift card, I am constantly surrounded by these very stupid theatrics and puppets, it doesn't make me paranoid, maybe "a"nnoyed, but all of this is just attempts to make me look guilty by association, with is constitutionally illegal, and I have not associated with any addicts or homeless people since concluding my undercover investigations in Oregon 2019-2021 and going on the Oregon State Record asking for investigations but their was so much prejudice just based on the appearance forced on me because of Covid's restriction of

resources that I was ignored even after an attempt was made on my life in which I sustained injuries that were life threatening, and a year later fled to Canada seeking asylum and protections under international law only to be tortured and falsely imprisoned by their Authorities in cooperation with U.S. Authorities trying to cover up for the Organized Crime Operations I have been uncovering. Still I have no paranoia, like I said, these are Neanderthals, if they aren't going to put a bullet in my head and just keep dancing their puppets around me I have nothing to be paranoid about because nothing they do is admissible in a court of law as evidence against me, I don't own a cell phone or computer and restrict my communications to just writing these articles on the available public library computers, which suspiciously has no cameras on the floors with computers, I suspect so they can make false implication using planted false witnesses but the fact their is no security cameras on these Library computer areas is an obvious move to try and call into question my Library communications, and his word against mine type strategy will get dismissed just because the cameras were removed from these areas and I have publicly stated I support SFPD's Tech Security Expansions for this reason, I do not engage in criminal activity, drug use or associate with the like and the cameras protect me as an innocent and objective observer in the community from these psychopaths, their false witnesses and accusations and their operatives and actors. My investigations threaten the Retirement Benefits of every public employee and law Enforcement Agency which has been expanding at an unnatural pace because of the activities of Organized Crime creating artificial demand for the Corporations attached to those stock portfolios, I am an expert at the global Social, Economic and Security State Protocols created at the global level and distributed in a uniformed way to cities across the world, my enemies are Neanderthal pirates using the ignorance forced on the population and poor in ways that use misinformation to fuel prejudice that motivates them to attack people like me, I know the psychology and the technology used and I can discredit any false witness by demanding an fMRI of the arresting officer/agent-in-charge or their operative. So, I may be a prisoner to their operations and a slave to my inspired work, but their inadequateness to do anything but contain victims and dance their puppets around me in theatrics is all they will ever prove, the longer this goes on, the more their ignorance gets revealed not my mental illness, theirs, I am a fully conscious highly intelligent slef aware human being who has multi-disciplinary knowledge at an expert level and represent myself in a court of law, so your street level theatrics is the equivalent of producing a reality show compared to an Academy Award winning Motion Picture, I am an Operational Actor of the Natural and Evolving Universe, their people are just institutionalized slaves conditioned in a giant mental institution type environment. But I love when you continue against me because whenever you expose one of your tools and tactics I like to use your stupidity as an example of why people should seek wisdom, enlightenment, and independence of your system and why they have no real reason to be afraid of you, all you can really do is kill the body, everything else you do is just a projection of your own mental illness, all National Socialist Ideology is is an expression of mental illness, its when the Institutionalized and Bureaucrats take assume authority but all they have the knowledge of is running and living in Institutions, and when you finally do "kill the body", as Jesus explained about the despots of His time, that your true weak Nature as a subhuman Neanderthal gets exposed. However, if you would like to realize your fullest potential as human beings I will honor you as brothers and sisters and show you a new and living way, I can show you the narrow road that leads to Freedom.

Bio of Daniel Jeremiah Hoffman:

Unconstitutionally Targeted for almost 10 years I continue to Report the truth including exposing the tools, tactics and technology used to Oppress and in Illegal Targeted Ops that protect and perpetuate the system of Globalist Organized Crime and Eugenic Cleansing. I am an Unaffiliated Independent Liberal Constitutionalist, a Former AmeriCorps Agent surviving two years in disadvantaged communities trained to live at the poverty level in that Civil Service (Domestic Peace Corps) Agency also w/non-profit Management Experience and at a Director Level in the Business Tech Space including as a Certified Business Technology Expert Writer from Business. Com, using my Journalist Skills acquired in Highschool and College Journalism Courses in which I excelled and my past Professional Experience, Years of Independent research, and Direct Experience and Observations as an Undercover Journalist for over 20 years, the last 7 years from the street level infiltrating corrupted and Globalized Social Programs, Immigration Policies and Criminal Justice system as a witness/victim in Multiple States and Countries to expose the symptoms facing our sick society as being derived from the progression of Hitler'ite Ideology and Eugenics into the Globalist Control over World Markets and using that influence to create global unified Government Policies counter to the Democratic Ideals of a Free Society. I am as a Jewish grandnephew of a Holocaust Survivor on my Father's side, and as a Sicilian/Irish Practicing Catholic on my Mother's side, Exposing the covert Institutions of Slavery and Human Exploitation tied to Nationalist Socialist Profiling and Human Manipulation, with the same Religious Conviction and Calling as a Journalist as the Great Rev. Martin Luther King Jr. had as a Civil Rights Activist. I Investigate undercover from the street level of the cities I enter

but my state of unshelterdness is strictly for information gathering purposes as a Journalist and objective observer in the communities I enter, I am not Homeless, I have no mental illness, no addiction problems and have a stellar professional resume, references and credentials, I do this as a Religious Calling to fulfill my Catholic Spiritual and Corporal Works of Mercy one of which is to "Educate the Ignorant" and in a Globalized world of intellectual blockades, Censorship and Misinformation and Propaganda that ignorance is being forced upon the citizens of Earth to keep them complacent to the conditions that are enslaving them. I Survive off of the Donations of Pro-Democracy Supporters and reward their Intelligent Empathic Response with my Articles and Booklets of Articles for Free w/ their love Donation. **My SF Liberator News Articles are valued at \$5 and Booklets of Articles are Valued at \$20, your donations help me to**

maintain my health, dignity and professionalism while reporting from the street level. I Report under the Federally Registered Nonprofit Religious News Community and Publisher I founded in 2021, I am the Sole Proprietor and only current Journalist, <u>Federal EIN #86-</u>

3597094. Please note I have been reporting attacks to my internet, spelling and grammar, copy and clip board, and seemingly remote access to my cursor with the intent to discredit my articles with mistakes or sometimes plagiarize them by copying and pasting them before I hit send. I have no dyslexia and have excelled at all of my Highschool and College English Writing and Course on Journalism and Creative Writing and am meticulous in my own grammar checks using the available tools and features of which many of the typos are being maliciously added in my articles by these attacks would be impossible to overlook.

Sent with Proton Mail secure email.

Item 14

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS);
	BOS-Operations: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Julien DeFrance various topics 9 letters
Date:	Thursday, March 20, 2025 12:56:56 PM
Attachments:	<u>9 letters.pdf</u>

Dear Supervisors,


Please see the attached 9 letters from Julien DeFrance regarding various subjects.


Regards,

Richard Lagunte Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163 bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.













Obstructing sidewalk. On both sides of the street.





From: To:	Julien DeFrance Lurie, Daniel (MYR); DPW, (DPW); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); ChenStaff; Dorsey, Matt (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); Engardio, Joel
	(BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); FielderStaff; MahmoodStaff; Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); SauterStaff; Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); SherrillStaff; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); Press Office, Mayor (MYR)
Subject:	Supervisor Danny Sauter wants more S.F. trash cans. City says no need.
Date:	Friday, March 14, 2025 7:03:16 PM

Danny Sauter is right.

The last administration, in yet another moronic move, during their last few months of disservice to our community, removed trash cans by the dozen, by the hundred even, including in commercial corridors, where they were (and still are) the most crucially needed.

Due to most people's laziness, or lack of care for neighborhoods they're just visiting, or transiting through, all of this trash now ends up in the curb, on the sidewalk... instead of being properly placed in the bins...

We shouldn't have to fight or argue for the obvious.

Trash cans are needed on all 4 corners of every single commercial corridor intersection, at every single corner of every major street or avenue, and mid-block whenever needed, when blocks are too long for people to walk until the next corner to find a trash can.

Right now, finding a trash can is like finding a needle in a haystack. And it shouldn't be.

Once again, just because Breed, Peskin, and some of your predecessors made some stupid decisions - these 2 fools are now part of the history books - shouldn't mean we have to live through their mistakes.

Please restore all previously removed trash cans.

This is not up for debate.

Please advise.

https://sfstandard.com/2025/01/31/trash-garbage-public-works-san-francisco-cans-bins-rubbish/

https://missionlocal.org/2025/03/supervisor-danny-sauter-wants-more-s-f-trash-cans-city-saysno-for-now/


https://abc7news.com/amp/post/where-are-san-franciscos-trash-cans-city-officials-residents-call-more-bins-amid-garbage-issues/16019429/

From:	Julien DeFrance
То:	Lurie, Daniel (MYR); SFPD Northern Station, (POL); SFPD Tenderloin Station, (POL); SFPD, Chief (POL); Board of
	Supervisors (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS);
	ChenStaff; Dorsey, Matt (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); Fielder, Jackie
	(BOS); FielderStaff; MahmoodStaff; Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS);
	<u>Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); SauterStaff; Sherrill, Stephen (BOS);</u>
	<u>SherrillStaff; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); Press Office, Mayor (MYR)</u>
Subject:	Illegal homeless encampment at 690 Van Ness Ave
Date:	Saturday, March 15, 2025 12:39:01 AM

Blocking service entrance, obstructing sidewalk.

Please remove immediately.






New Main Longs V Discrete State State

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources

We just can't have that. Please advise



From:	Julien DeFrance
То:	Jenkins, Brooke (DAT); BrookeJenkinsSF.com; Brooke Jenkins; Lurie, Daniel (MYR); SFPD, Chief (POL); Press
	Office, Mayor (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Chan, Connie
	(BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); ChenStaff; Dorsey, Matt (BOS); DorseyStaff (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS);
	EngardioStaff (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); FielderStaff; MahmoodStaff; Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Mandelman,
	Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS);
	SauterStaff; Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); SherrillStaff; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); Press Office,
	<u>Mayor (MYR); Sawyer, Jason (POL)</u>
Subject:	Re: SF Walgreens employee arrested for allegedly stabbing suspected shoplifter
Date:	Wednesday, March 19, 2025 7:24:11 PM

Dear District Attorney Jenkins, Dear Mayor, Dear Supervisors,

I'd like to hereby recognize the courage of this Walgreens employee who, against all odds, stood up for what's right, defended his store, his job, his local patrons, his community, by standing up against yet another despicable stop lifter.

https://www.kron4.com/news/bay-area/sf-walgreens-employee-arrested-for-allegedlystabbing-suspected-shoplifter/amp/

Remember that Marcos Smith-Pequeno moron? <u>https://sfstandard.com/2025/03/03/san-francisco-walgreens-suspect-released-courts/</u>

Ah and here's another POS, Miles Thomas. <u>https://www.ktvu.com/news/san-francisco-drugstores-thefts-shoplifter-charged.amp</u>

We cannot allow repeat offenders to keep on looting our stores, with little or no consequences.

I hereby request all charges be dropped and Guang Hong be released immediately from the San Francisco County Jail he was unlawfully and for no apparent reason being booked last night.

What the hell, really? What the hell!

The police isn't able to do its goddamn job, and suddenly they decide to arrest the only store employee who has the balls to take on a criminal?

What the actual f***! And what a blatant lack of discernment!

Legitimate defense is anybody's right.

Please stand with and show your support for law abiding citizens. Not crackheads, thieves and other criminals.

https://www.kron4.com/news/bay-area/sf-walgreens-employee-arrested-for-allegedly-

stabbing-suspected-shoplifter/amp/

Wake up!

From:	Julien DeFrance
То:	Jenkins, Brooke (DAT); BrookeJenkinsSF.com; SFPD, Chief (POL); Lurie, Daniel (MYR); Board of Supervisors (BOS); Press Office, Mayor (MYR); Brooke Jenkins
Cc:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); ChenStaff; Dorsey, Matt (BOS): DorseyStaff (BOS); Engardio, Joel (BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); FielderStaff; MahmoodStaff; Mahmood, Bilal (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); MandelmanStaff (BOS); Melgar, Myrna (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Sauter, Danny (BOS); SauterStaff; Sherrill, Stephen (BOS); SherrillStaff; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); Sawyer, Jason (POL)
Subject:	Re: SF Walgreens employee arrested for allegedly stabbing suspected shoplifter
Date:	Wednesday, March 19, 2025 7:53:25 PM

You all owe Mr. Guang Hong a public apology. And financial compensation.

This brave man is a local hero!

On Mar 19, 2025, at 19:23, Julien DeFrance <julien.defrance@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear District Attorney Jenkins, Dear Mayor, Dear Supervisors,

I'd like to hereby recognize the courage of this Walgreens employee who, against all odds, stood up for what's right, defended his store, his job, his local patrons, his community, by standing up against yet another despicable stop lifter.

https://www.kron4.com/news/bay-area/sf-walgreens-employee-arrested-forallegedly-stabbing-suspected-shoplifter/amp/

Remember that Marcos Smith-Pequeno moron? <u>https://sfstandard.com/2025/03/03/san-francisco-walgreens-suspect-released-courts/</u>

Ah and here's another POS, Miles Thomas. <u>https://www.ktvu.com/news/san-francisco-drugstores-thefts-shoplifter-charged.amp</u>

We cannot allow repeat offenders to keep on looting our stores, with little or no consequences.

I hereby request all charges be dropped and Guang Hong be released immediately from the San Francisco County Jail he was unlawfully and for no apparent reason being booked last night.

What the hell, really? What the hell!

The police isn't able to do its goddamn job, and suddenly they decide to arrest the only store employee who has the balls to take on a criminal?

What the actual f***! And what a blatant lack of discernment!

Legitimate defense is anybody's right.

Please stand with and show your support for law abiding citizens. Not crackheads, thieves and other criminals.

https://www.kron4.com/news/bay-area/sf-walgreens-employee-arrested-forallegedly-stabbing-suspected-shoplifter/amp/

Wake up!

Item 15

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); BOS-Operations; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Parking meters on residential streets - 21 letters
Date:	Thursday, March 20, 2025 12:37:29 PM
Attachments:	21 letters Res Parking Meters.pdf

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached 21 letters, from members of the public, regarding the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) efforts to install parking meters on residential streets.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163 bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: To:	<u>Susan Beckstead</u> <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); SauterStaff; MahmoodStaff; ChenStaff; FielderStaff</u>
Subject: Date:	Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!! Saturday, March 15, 2025 9:05:28 AM

Message to the Board of Supervisors,

Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent	Susan Beckstead
Email	bvw02@hotmail.com
I live in	
Can you join in person to make public comment?	No, sorry!
	Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!!
Message:	Dear Supervisors, Mayor, and SFMTA,
	San Francisco's economic recovery is lagging, partly due to anti-business, anti-resident policies like SFMTA's current proposal. The plan to install parking meters (or kiosks) on light mixed commercial/ residential areas and exclusive residential areas will only drive more people away from the city and shift consumer spending to places like Marin and South SF, where shopping remains convenient and welcoming.
	I strongly oppose parking meters / kiosks on residential streets in District 5 in the Lower Haight specifically where we do not have a parking turnover issue. As well I believe in a more balanced program that is inclusive of cars, pedestrians, bikes and public transit users. SFMTA's focus should be on providing clean, safe, and reliable public transportation, not making life harder for residents and businesses. Policies like this threaten our small businesses, reduce quality of life, and alienate the very people who keep San Francisco vibrant.

A recent poll on Nextdoor showed 98% opposition to parking meters (kiosks) on residential streets. SFMTA's track record of unpopular decisions—like those on Valencia, Van Ness, and Geary—has shown a disregard for the majority's concerns. Residents already pay for Area Parking Stickers; adding more meters is purely punitive.

Parking meters belong in commercial/residential areas where turnover is an issue and not in light mixed commercial/residential and exclusively residential neighborhoods. This policy will hurt local businesses, reduce foot traffic, and make living here more expensive. San Francisco should not be a city where people are charged just to be outside.

It's time for our government to put constituents first and stop policies that make life in our city harder.

Thank you,

То:	John McArdle Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); SauterStaff; MahmoodStaff; ChenStaff; FielderStaff
	Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!! Saturday, March 15, 2025 9:09:26 AM

Message to the Board of Supervisors,

Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent	John McArdle
Email	jmcardle619@gmail.com
I live in	
Can you join in person to make public comment?	No, sorry!
	Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!!
Message:	Dear Supervisors, Mayor, and SFMTA,
	San Francisco's economic recovery is lagging, partly due to anti-business, anti-resident policies like SFMTA's current proposal. The plan to install parking meters (or kiosks) on light mixed commercial/ residential areas and exclusive residential areas will only drive more people away from the city and shift consumer spending to places like Marin and South SF, where shopping remains convenient and welcoming.
	I strongly oppose parking meters / kiosks on residential streets in District 5 in the Lower Haight specifically where we do not have a parking turnover issue. As well I believe in a more balanced program that is inclusive of cars, pedestrians, bikes and public transit users. SFMTA's focus should be on providing clean, safe, and reliable public transportation, not making life harder for residents and businesses. Policies like this threaten our small businesses, reduce quality of life, and alienate the very people who keep San Francisco vibrant.

A recent poll on Nextdoor showed 98% opposition to parking meters (kiosks) on residential streets. SFMTA's track record of unpopular decisions—like those on Valencia, Van Ness, and Geary—has shown a disregard for the majority's concerns. Residents already pay for Area Parking Stickers; adding more meters is purely punitive.

Parking meters belong in commercial/residential areas where turnover is an issue and not in light mixed commercial/residential and exclusively residential neighborhoods. This policy will hurt local businesses, reduce foot traffic, and make living here more expensive. San Francisco should not be a city where people are charged just to be outside.

It's time for our government to put constituents first and stop policies that make life in our city harder.

Thank you,

From: To:	<u>Michele Foster</u> Board of Supervisors (BOS): <u>MelgarStaff (BOS)</u> ; <u>ChanStaff (BOS)</u> ; <u>Walton, Shamann (BOS)</u> ; <u>SauterStaff</u> ; <u>MahmoodStaff</u> ; <u>ChenStaff</u> ; FielderStaff
Subject: Date:	Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!! Saturday, March 15, 2025 9:26:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors,

From your constituent	Michele Foster
Email	michelefoster@comcast.net
I live in	
Can you join in person to make public comment?	No, sorry!
	Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!!
Message:	Dear Supervisors, Mayor, and SFMTA,
	San Francisco's economic recovery is lagging, partly due to anti-business, anti-resident policies like SFMTA's current proposal. The plan to install parking meters (or kiosks) on light mixed commercial/ residential areas and exclusive residential areas will only drive more people away from the city and shift consumer spending to places like Marin and South SF, where shopping remains convenient and welcoming.
	I strongly oppose parking meters / kiosks on residential streets in District 5 in the Lower Haight specifically where we do not have a parking turnover issue. As well I believe in a more balanced program that is inclusive of cars, pedestrians, bikes and public transit users. SFMTA's focus should be on providing clean, safe, and reliable public transportation, not making life harder for residents and businesses. Policies like this threaten our small businesses, reduce quality of life, and alienate the very people who keep San Francisco vibrant.

Parking meters belong in commercial/residential areas where turnover is an issue and not in light mixed commercial/residential and exclusively residential neighborhoods. This policy will hurt local businesses, reduce foot traffic, and make living here more expensive. San Francisco should not be a city where people are charged just to be outside.

It's time for our government to put constituents first and stop policies that make life in our city harder.

From:	Ann Balajadia
To:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); SauterStaff; MahmoodStaff; ChenStaff;
Subject: Date:	<u>FielderStaff</u> Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!! Saturday, March 15, 2025 9:27:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors,

From your constituent	Ann Balajadia
Email	annicb_sf@yahoo.com
I live in	
Can you join in person to make public comment?	No, sorry!
	Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!!
Message:	Dear Supervisors, Mayor, and SFMTA,
	San Francisco's economic recovery is lagging, partly due to anti-business, anti-resident policies like SFMTA's current proposal. The plan to install parking meters (or kiosks) on light mixed commercial/ residential areas and exclusive residential areas will only drive more people away from the city and shift consumer spending to places like Marin and South SF, where shopping remains convenient and welcoming.
	I strongly oppose parking meters / kiosks on residential streets in District 5 in the Lower Haight specifically where we do not have a parking turnover issue. As well I believe in a more balanced program that is inclusive of cars, pedestrians, bikes and public transit users. SFMTA's focus should be on providing clean, safe, and reliable public transportation, not making life harder for residents and businesses. Policies like this threaten our small businesses, reduce quality of life, and alienate the very people who keep San Francisco vibrant.

Parking meters belong in commercial/residential areas where turnover is an issue and not in light mixed commercial/residential and exclusively residential neighborhoods. This policy will hurt local businesses, reduce foot traffic, and make living here more expensive. San Francisco should not be a city where people are charged just to be outside.

It's time for our government to put constituents first and stop policies that make life in our city harder.

From: To:	Antonia Francis Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); SauterStaff; MahmoodStaff; ChenStaff; FielderStaff
Subject: Date:	Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!! Saturday, March 15, 2025 9:30:33 AM
This mes	sage is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors,
Mayor, and the City AttorneyFrom your constituentAntonia FrancisEmailantonia.francis@outlook.comI live inSon you join in person to
make public comment?

Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!!

Message: Dear Supervisors, Mayor, and SFMTA,

San Francisco's economic recovery is lagging, partly due to anti-business, anti-resident policies like SFMTA's current proposal. The plan to install parking meters (or kiosks) on light mixed commercial/ residential areas and exclusive residential areas will only drive more people away from the city and shift consumer spending to places like Marin and South SF, where shopping remains convenient and welcoming.

I strongly oppose parking meters / kiosks on residential streets in District 5 in the Lower Haight specifically where we do not have a parking turnover issue. As well I believe in a more balanced program that is inclusive of cars, pedestrians, bikes and public transit users. SFMTA's focus should be on providing clean, safe, and reliable public transportation, not making life harder for residents and businesses. Policies like this threaten our small businesses, reduce quality of life, and alienate the very people who keep San Francisco vibrant.

Parking meters belong in commercial/residential areas where turnover is an issue and not in light mixed commercial/residential and exclusively residential neighborhoods. This policy will hurt local businesses, reduce foot traffic, and make living here more expensive. San Francisco should not be a city where people are charged just to be outside.

It's time for our government to put constituents first and stop policies that make life in our city harder.

Thank you, Antonia Francis

From: To:	Megan Johnson Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); SauterStaff; MahmoodStaff; ChenStaff; FielderStaff
Subject: Date:	Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!! Saturday, March 15, 2025 9:31:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors,

From your constituent	Megan Johnson
Email	meganj_11@yahoo.com
I live in	
Can you join in person to make public comment?	No, sorry!
	Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!!
Message:	Dear Supervisors, Mayor, and SFMTA,
	San Francisco's economic recovery is lagging, partly due to anti-business, anti-resident policies like SFMTA's current proposal. The plan to install parking meters (or kiosks) on light mixed commercial/ residential areas and exclusive residential areas will only drive more people away from the city and shift consumer spending to places like Marin and South SF, where shopping remains convenient and welcoming.
	I strongly oppose parking meters / kiosks on residential streets in District 5 in the Lower Haight specifically where we do not have a parking turnover issue. As well I believe in a more balanced program that is inclusive of cars, pedestrians, bikes and public transit users. SFMTA's focus should be on providing clean, safe, and reliable public transportation, not making life harder for residents and businesses. Policies like this threaten our small businesses, reduce quality of life, and alienate the very people who keep San Francisco vibrant.

Parking meters belong in commercial/residential areas where turnover is an issue and not in light mixed commercial/residential and exclusively residential neighborhoods. This policy will hurt local businesses, reduce foot traffic, and make living here more expensive. San Francisco should not be a city where people are charged just to be outside.

It's time for our government to put constituents first and stop policies that make life in our city harder.

From To: Subj Date	ject:	John Schambre Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); SauterStaff; MahmoodStaff; ChenStaff; FielderStaff Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!! Saturday, March 15, 2025 9:45:30 AM
	This message	is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From your constituent	John Schambre
Email	jfschambre@mac.com
I live in	
Can you join in person to make public comment?	No, sorry!
	Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!!
Message:	Dear Supervisors, Mayor, and SFMTA,
	San Francisco's economic recovery is lagging, partly due to anti-business, anti-resident policies like SFMTA's current proposal. The plan to install parking meters (or kiosks) on light mixed commercial/ residential areas and exclusive residential areas will only drive more people away from the city and shift consumer spending to places like Marin and South SF, where shopping remains convenient and welcoming.
	I strongly oppose parking meters / kiosks on residential streets in District 5 in the Lower Haight specifically where we do not have a parking turnover issue. As well I believe in a more balanced program that is inclusive of cars, pedestrians, bikes and public transit users. SFMTA's focus should be on providing clean, safe, and reliable public transportation, not making life harder for residents and businesses. Policies like this threaten our small businesses, reduce quality of life, and alienate the very people who keep San Francisco vibrant.

Parking meters belong in commercial/residential areas where turnover is an issue and not in light mixed commercial/residential and exclusively residential neighborhoods. This policy will hurt local businesses, reduce foot traffic, and make living here more expensive. San Francisco should not be a city where people are charged just to be outside.

It's time for our government to put constituents first and stop policies that make life in our city harder.

Try not giving more outrageous raises to SFMTA & other city/county workers; then you will have more money for the budget!

From: To: Subject: Date:	Jane Ginsburg Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); SauterStaff; MahmoodStaff; ChenStaff; FielderStaff Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!! Saturday, March 15, 2025 9:45:38 AM
This message	is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From your constituent	Jane Ginsburg
Email	janeginsburg@gmail.com
I live in	
Can you join in person to make public comment?	Yes!
	Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!!
Message:	Dear Supervisors, Mayor, and SFMTA,
	San Francisco's economic recovery is lagging, partly due to anti-business, anti-resident policies like SFMTA's current proposal. The plan to install parking meters (or kiosks) on light mixed commercial/ residential areas and exclusive residential areas will only drive more people away from the city and shift consumer spending to places like Marin and South SF, where shopping remains convenient and welcoming.
	I strongly oppose parking meters / kiosks on residential streets in District 5 in the Lower Haight specifically where we do not have a parking turnover issue. As well I believe in a more balanced program that is inclusive of cars, pedestrians, bikes and public transit users. SFMTA's focus should be on providing clean, safe, and reliable public transportation, not making life harder for residents and businesses. Policies like this threaten our small businesses, reduce quality of life, and alienate the very people who keep San Francisco vibrant.

Parking meters belong in commercial/residential areas where turnover is an issue and not in light mixed commercial/residential and exclusively residential neighborhoods. This policy will hurt local businesses, reduce foot traffic, and make living here more expensive. San Francisco should not be a city where people are charged just to be outside.

It's time for our government to put constituents first and stop policies that make life in our city harder.

From: To:	Pam Brandon Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); SauterStaff; MahmoodStaff; ChenStaff; FielderStaff	
Subject: Date:	Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!! Saturday, March 15, 2025 10:16:38 AM	
This mes	ssage is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.	

From your constituent	Pam Brandon
Email	pamela.brandon@gmail.com
I live in	
Can you join in person to make public comment?	Yes!
	Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!!
Message:	Dear Supervisors, Mayor, and SFMTA,
	San Francisco's economic recovery is lagging, partly due to anti-business, anti-resident policies like SFMTA's current proposal. The plan to install parking meters (or kiosks) on light mixed commercial/ residential areas and exclusive residential areas will only drive more people away from the city and shift consumer spending to places like Marin and South SF, where shopping remains convenient and welcoming.
	I strongly oppose parking meters / kiosks on residential streets in District 5 in the Lower Haight specifically where we do not have a parking turnover issue. As well I believe in a more balanced program that is inclusive of cars, pedestrians, bikes and public transit users. SFMTA's focus should be on providing clean, safe, and reliable public transportation, not making life harder for residents and businesses. Policies like this threaten our small businesses, reduce quality of life, and alienate the very people who keep San Francisco vibrant.

Parking meters belong in commercial/residential areas where turnover is an issue and not in light mixed commercial/residential and exclusively residential neighborhoods. This policy will hurt local businesses, reduce foot traffic, and make living here more expensive. San Francisco should not be a city where people are charged just to be outside.

It's time for our government to put constituents first and stop policies that make life in our city harder.

	<u>Jessica Martines</u> <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); SauterStaff; MahmoodStaff; ChenStaff; <u>FielderStaff</u> Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!! Saturday, March 15, 2025 10:17:32 AM</u>
This message	is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From your constituent	Jessica Martines
Email	jmartines13@gmail.com
I live in	
Can you join in person to make public comment?	No, sorry!
	Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!!
Message:	Dear Supervisors, Mayor, and SFMTA,
	San Francisco's economic recovery is lagging, partly due to anti-business, anti-resident policies like SFMTA's current proposal. The plan to install parking meters (or kiosks) on light mixed commercial/ residential areas and exclusive residential areas will only drive more people away from the city and shift consumer spending to places like Marin and South SF, where shopping remains convenient and welcoming.
	I strongly oppose parking meters / kiosks on residential streets in District 5 in the Lower Haight specifically where we do not have a parking turnover issue. As well I believe in a more balanced program that is inclusive of cars, pedestrians, bikes and public transit users. SFMTA's focus should be on providing clean, safe, and reliable public transportation, not making life harder for residents and businesses. Policies like this threaten our small businesses, reduce quality of life, and alienate the very people who keep San Francisco vibrant.

Parking meters belong in commercial/residential areas where turnover is an issue and not in light mixed commercial/residential and exclusively residential neighborhoods. This policy will hurt local businesses, reduce foot traffic, and make living here more expensive. San Francisco should not be a city where people are charged just to be outside.

It's time for our government to put constituents first and stop policies that make life in our city harder.

From: To: Subject: Date:	<u>Claire Alexander</u> Board of Supervisors (BOS); <u>MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); SauterStaff; MahmoodStaff; ChenStaff;</u> <u>FielderStaff</u> Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!! Saturday, March 15, 2025 10:24:29 AM
This message	is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From your constituent	Claire Alexander
Email	cavinsalexander@gmail.com
l live in	
Can you join in person to make public comment?	No, sorry!
	Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!!
Message:	Dear Supervisors, Mayor, and SFMTA,
	San Francisco's economic recovery is lagging, partly due to anti-business, anti-resident policies like SFMTA's current proposal. The plan to install parking meters (or kiosks) on light mixed commercial/ residential areas and exclusive residential areas will only drive more people away from the city and shift consumer spending to places like Marin and South SF, where shopping remains convenient and welcoming.
	I strongly oppose parking meters / kiosks on residential streets in District 5 in the Lower Haight specifically where we do not have a parking turnover issue. As well I believe in a more balanced program that is inclusive of cars, pedestrians, bikes and public transit users. SFMTA's focus should be on providing clean, safe, and reliable public transportation, not making life harder for residents and businesses. Policies like this threaten our small businesses, reduce quality of life, and alienate the very people who keep San Francisco vibrant.

Parking meters belong in commercial/residential areas where turnover is an issue and not in light mixed commercial/residential and exclusively residential neighborhoods. This policy will hurt local businesses, reduce foot traffic, and make living here more expensive. San Francisco should not be a city where people are charged just to be outside.

It's time for our government to put constituents first and stop policies that make life in our city harder.

Thank you,

Claire Alexander

From: To:	Kimberly Sterling Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); SauterStaff; MahmoodStaff; ChenStaff; Full of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); SauterStaff; MahmoodStaff;
Subject: Date:	<u>FielderStaff</u> Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!! Saturday, March 15, 2025 10:55:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors,

From your constituent	Kimberly Sterling
Email	kmsterling@me.com
I live in	
Can you join in person to make public comment?	No, sorry!
	Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!!
Message:	Dear Supervisors, Mayor, and SFMTA,
	San Francisco's economic recovery is lagging, partly due to anti-business, anti-resident policies like SFMTA's current proposal. The plan to install parking meters (or kiosks) on light mixed commercial/ residential areas and exclusive residential areas will only drive more people away from the city and shift consumer spending to places like Marin and South SF, where shopping remains convenient and welcoming.
	I strongly oppose parking meters / kiosks on residential streets in District 5 in the Lower Haight specifically where we do not have a parking turnover issue. As well I believe in a more balanced program that is inclusive of cars, pedestrians, bikes and public transit users. SFMTA's focus should be on providing clean, safe, and reliable public transportation, not making life harder for residents and businesses. Policies like this threaten our small businesses, reduce quality of life, and alienate the very people who keep San Francisco vibrant.

Parking meters belong in commercial/residential areas where turnover is an issue and not in light mixed commercial/residential and exclusively residential neighborhoods. This policy will hurt local businesses, reduce foot traffic, and make living here more expensive. San Francisco should not be a city where people are charged just to be outside.

It's time for our government to put constituents first and stop policies that make life in our city harder.

From: To: Subject: Date:	Valerie Jones Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); SauterStaff; MahmoodStaff; ChenStaff; FielderStaff Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!! Saturday, March 15, 2025 11:09:36 AM
This message	is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From your constituent	Valerie Jones
Email	shellie424@yahoo.com
I live in	
Can you join in person to make public comment?	Yes!
	Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!!
Message:	Dear Supervisors, Mayor, and SFMTA,
	No no no! Emphatically No! I and my neighbors are all live in a building WITHOUT PARKING. There are so many of us who commute that do have a garage and cannot afford one. This would be devastating to all of us to have to pay a parking meter in our neighborhood. Cruelty and greed is the point of this. Not all of us who live in the city are rich and we live as best within our means as we can. You are punishing those of us who are lower middle class. Please DO NOT put parking meters on our neighborhood streets. I beg you.
	San Francisco's economic recovery is lagging, partly due to anti-business, anti-resident policies like SFMTA's current proposal. The plan to install parking meters (or kiosks) on light mixed commercial/ residential areas and exclusive residential areas will only drive more people away from the city and shift consumer spending to places
	like Marin and South SF, where shopping remains

convenient and welcoming.

I strongly oppose parking meters / kiosks on residential streets in District 5 in the Lower Haight specifically where we do not have a parking turnover issue. As well I believe in a more balanced program that is inclusive of cars, pedestrians, bikes and public transit users. SFMTA's focus should be on providing clean, safe, and reliable public transportation, not making life harder for residents and businesses. Policies like this threaten our small businesses, reduce quality of life, and alienate the very people who keep San Francisco vibrant.

A recent poll on Nextdoor showed 98% opposition to parking meters (kiosks) on residential streets. SFMTA's track record of unpopular decisions—like those on Valencia, Van Ness, and Geary—has shown a disregard for the majority's concerns. Residents already pay for Area Parking Stickers; adding more meters is purely punitive.

Parking meters belong in commercial/residential areas where turnover is an issue and not in light mixed commercial/residential and exclusively residential neighborhoods. This policy will hurt local businesses, reduce foot traffic, and make living here more expensive. San Francisco should not be a city where people are charged just to be outside.

It's time for our government to put constituents first and stop policies that make life in our city harder.

From: To: Subject: Date:	Janet Scheuer Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); SauterStaff; MahmoodStaff; ChenStaff; FielderStaff Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!! Saturday, March 15, 2025 11:14:21 AM
This message	is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From your constituent	Janet Scheuer
Email	janetscheuer@comcast.net
I live in	
Can you join in person to make public comment?	No, sorry!
	Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!!
Message:	Dear Supervisors, Mayor, and SFMTA,
	San Francisco's economic recovery is lagging, partly due to anti-business, anti-resident policies like SFMTA's current proposal. The plan to install parking meters (or kiosks) on light mixed commercial/ residential areas and exclusive residential areas will only drive more people away from the city and shift consumer spending to places like Marin and South SF, where shopping remains convenient and welcoming.
	I strongly oppose parking meters / kiosks on residential streets in District 5 in the Lower Haight specifically where we do not have a parking turnover issue. As well I believe in a more balanced program that is inclusive of cars, pedestrians, bikes and public transit users. SFMTA's focus should be on providing clean, safe, and reliable public transportation, not making life harder for residents and businesses. Policies like this threaten our small businesses, reduce quality of life, and alienate the very people who keep San Francisco vibrant.

Parking meters belong in commercial/residential areas where turnover is an issue and not in light mixed commercial/residential and exclusively residential neighborhoods. This policy will hurt local businesses, reduce foot traffic, and make living here more expensive. San Francisco should not be a city where people are charged just to be outside.

It's time for our government to put constituents first and stop policies that make life in our city harder.

From: To:	kyung lee Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); SauterStaff; MahmoodStaff; ChenStaff; FielderStaff
Subject:	Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!!
Date:	Saturday, March 15, 2025 11:14:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors,

From your constituent	kyung lee
Email	duboce_apt@yahoo.com
I live in	
Can you join in person to make public comment?	No, sorry!
	Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!!
Message:	Dear Supervisors, Mayor, and SFMTA,
	San Francisco's economic recovery is lagging, partly due to anti-business, anti-resident policies like SFMTA's current proposal. The plan to install parking meters (or kiosks) on light mixed commercial/ residential areas and exclusive residential areas will only drive more people away from the city and shift consumer spending to places like Marin and South SF, where shopping remains convenient and welcoming.
	I strongly oppose parking meters / kiosks on residential streets in District 5 in the Lower Haight specifically where we do not have a parking turnover issue. As well I believe in a more balanced program that is inclusive of cars, pedestrians, bikes and public transit users. SFMTA's focus should be on providing clean, safe, and reliable public transportation, not making life harder for residents and businesses. Policies like this threaten our small businesses, reduce quality of life, and alienate the very people who keep San Francisco vibrant.

Parking meters belong in commercial/residential areas where turnover is an issue and not in light mixed commercial/residential and exclusively residential neighborhoods. This policy will hurt local businesses, reduce foot traffic, and make living here more expensive. San Francisco should not be a city where people are charged just to be outside.

It's time for our government to put constituents first and stop policies that make life in our city harder.

From: To: Subject: Date:	Deanna Jones Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); SauterStaff; MahmoodStaff; ChenStaff; FielderStaff Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!! Saturday, March 15, 2025 11:20:44 AM
This message	is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From your constituent	Deanna Jones
Email	darcelle77@gmail.com
l live in	
Can you join in person to make public comment?	Yes!
	Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!!
Message:	Dear Supervisors, Mayor, and SFMTA,
	San Francisco's economic recovery is lagging, partly due to anti-business, anti-resident policies like SFMTA's current proposal. The plan to install parking meters (or kiosks) on light mixed commercial/ residential areas and exclusive residential areas will only drive more people away from the city and shift consumer spending to places like Marin and South SF, where shopping remains convenient and welcoming.
	I strongly oppose parking meters / kiosks on residential streets in District 5 in the Lower Haight specifically where we do not have a parking turnover issue. As well I believe in a more balanced program that is inclusive of cars, pedestrians, bikes and public transit users. SFMTA's focus should be on providing clean, safe, and reliable public transportation, not making life harder for residents and businesses. Policies like this threaten our small businesses, reduce quality of life, and alienate the very people who keep San Francisco vibrant.

Parking meters belong in commercial/residential areas where turnover is an issue and not in light mixed commercial/residential and exclusively residential neighborhoods. This policy will hurt local businesses, reduce foot traffic, and make living here more expensive. San Francisco should not be a city where people are charged just to be outside.

It's time for our government to put constituents first and stop policies that make life in our city harder.

From: To:	<u>Terry Regan</u> <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); SauterStaff; MahmoodStaff; ChenStaff; FielderStaff</u>
Subject:	Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!!
Date:	Saturday, March 15, 2025 11:43:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors,

From your constituent	Terry Regan
Email	tryregan@gmail.com
I live in	
Can you join in person to make public comment?	No, sorry!
	Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!!
Message:	Dear Supervisors, Mayor, and SFMTA,
	San Francisco's economic recovery is lagging, partly due to anti-business, anti-resident policies like SFMTA's current proposal. The plan to install parking meters (or kiosks) on light mixed commercial/ residential areas and exclusive residential areas will only drive more people away from the city and shift consumer spending to places like Marin and South SF, where shopping remains convenient and welcoming.
	I strongly oppose parking meters / kiosks on residential streets in District 5 in the Lower Haight specifically where we do not have a parking turnover issue. As well I believe in a more balanced program that is inclusive of cars, pedestrians, bikes and public transit users. SFMTA's focus should be on providing clean, safe, and reliable public transportation, not making life harder for residents and businesses. Policies like this threaten our small businesses, reduce quality of life, and alienate the very people who keep San Francisco vibrant.

Parking meters belong in commercial/residential areas where turnover is an issue and not in light mixed commercial/residential and exclusively residential neighborhoods. This policy will hurt local businesses, reduce foot traffic, and make living here more expensive. San Francisco should not be a city where people are charged just to be outside.

It's time for our government to put constituents first and stop policies that make life in our city harder.

From: To: Subject: Date:	Daniela Matsui Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); SauterStaff; MahmoodStaff; ChenStaff; FielderStaff Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!! Saturday, March 15, 2025 2:20:41 PM
This message	is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From your constituent	Daniela Matsui
Email	danielamatsui@yahoo.com
I live in	
Can you join in person to make public comment?	Yes!
	Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!!
Message:	Dear Supervisors, Mayor, and SFMTA,
	San Francisco's economic recovery is lagging, partly due to anti-business, anti-resident policies like SFMTA's current proposal. The plan to install parking meters (or kiosks) on light mixed commercial/ residential areas and exclusive residential areas will only drive more people away from the city and shift consumer spending to places like Marin and South SF, where shopping remains convenient and welcoming.
	I strongly oppose parking meters / kiosks on residential streets in District 5 in the Lower Haight specifically where we do not have a parking turnover issue. As well I believe in a more balanced program that is inclusive of cars, pedestrians, bikes and public transit users. SFMTA's focus should be on providing clean, safe, and reliable public transportation, not making life harder for residents and businesses. Policies like this threaten our small businesses, reduce quality of life, and alienate the very people who keep San Francisco vibrant.

Parking meters belong in commercial/residential areas where turnover is an issue and not in light mixed commercial/residential and exclusively residential neighborhoods. This policy will hurt local businesses, reduce foot traffic, and make living here more expensive. San Francisco should not be a city where people are charged just to be outside.

It's time for our government to put constituents first and stop policies that make life in our city harder.

From: To: Subject: Date:	Jolie Ginsburg Board of Supervisors (BOS); Melga FielderStaff Support Lower Haight Merchants & Saturday, March 15, 2025 5:56:27	
This mess	age is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
Me	ssage to the Boa	rd of Supervisors,
	yor, and the City	
	From your constituent	Jolie Ginsburg
	From your constituent Email	Jolie Ginsburg jolie@incadventures.com

Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!!

Dear Supervisors, Mayor, and SFMTA,

Message:

make public comment?

San Francisco's economic recovery is lagging, partly due to anti-business, anti-resident policies like SFMTA's current proposal. The plan to install parking meters (or kiosks) on light mixed commercial/ residential areas and exclusive residential areas will only drive more people away from the city and shift consumer spending to places like Marin and South SF, where shopping remains convenient and welcoming.

I strongly oppose parking meters / kiosks on residential streets in District 5 in the Lower Haight specifically where we do not have a parking turnover issue. As well I believe in a more balanced program that is inclusive of cars, pedestrians, bikes and public transit users. SFMTA's focus should be on providing clean, safe, and reliable public transportation, not making life harder for residents and businesses. Policies like this threaten our small businesses, reduce quality of life, and alienate the very people who keep San Francisco vibrant.

Parking meters belong in commercial/residential areas where turnover is an issue and not in light mixed commercial/residential and exclusively residential neighborhoods. This policy will hurt local businesses, reduce foot traffic, and make living here more expensive. San Francisco should not be a city where people are charged just to be outside.

It's time for our government to put constituents first and stop policies that make life in our city harder.

From: To: Subject: Date:	<u>Jeanne montgomery</u> <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); SauterStaff; MahmoodStaff; ChenStaff; <u>FielderStaff</u> Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!! Sunday, March 16, 2025 7:39:26 AM</u>
This	message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney From your constituent Jeanne montgomery Email jcfinlely55@gmail.com I live in Can you join in person to No, sorry! make public comment? Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!! Message: Dear Supervisors, Mayor, and SFMTA, San Francisco's economic recovery is lagging, partly due to anti-business, anti-resident policies like SFMTA's current proposal. The plan to install parking meters (or kiosks) on light mixed commercial/ residential areas and exclusive residential areas will only drive more people away from the city and shift consumer spending to places like Marin and South SF, where shopping remains convenient and welcoming. I strongly oppose parking meters / kiosks on residential streets in District 5 in the Lower Haight specifically where we do not have a parking turnover issue. As well I believe in a more balanced program that is inclusive of cars, pedestrians, bikes and public transit users. SFMTA's focus should be on providing clean, safe, and reliable public transportation, not making life harder for residents and businesses. Policies like this threaten our small businesses, reduce quality of life, and alienate the very people who keep San Francisco vibrant.

Parking meters belong in commercial/residential areas where turnover is an issue and not in light mixed commercial/residential and exclusively residential neighborhoods. This policy will hurt local businesses, reduce foot traffic, and make living here more expensive. San Francisco should not be a city where people are charged just to be outside.

It's time for our government to put constituents first and stop policies that make life in our city harder.

From: To:	<u>Victoria Leung Board of Supervisors (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); ChanStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); SauterStaff; MahmoodStaff; ChenStaff; FielderStaff</u>
Subject:	Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!!
Date:	Monday, March 17, 2025 9:34:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors,

Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent	Victoria Leung
Email	leung.victoria@yahoo.com
I live in	
Can you join in person to make public comment?	No, sorry!
	Support Lower Haight Merchants & Neighbors – Stop Parking Tax!!
Message:	Dear Supervisors, Mayor, and SFMTA,
	San Francisco's economic recovery is lagging, partly due to anti-business, anti-resident policies like SFMTA's current proposal. The plan to install parking meters (or kiosks) on light mixed commercial/ residential areas and exclusive residential areas will only drive more people away from the city and shift consumer spending to places like Marin and South SF, where shopping remains convenient and welcoming.
	I strongly oppose parking meters / kiosks on residential streets in District 5 in the Lower Haight specifically where we do not have a parking turnover issue. As well I believe in a more balanced program that is inclusive of cars, pedestrians, bikes and public transit users. SFMTA's focus should be on providing clean, safe, and reliable public transportation, not making life harder for residents and businesses. Policies like this threaten our small businesses, reduce quality of life, and alienate the very people who keep San Francisco vibrant.

A recent poll on Nextdoor showed 98% opposition to parking meters (kiosks) on residential streets. SFMTA's track record of unpopular decisions—like those on Valencia, Van Ness, and Geary—has shown a disregard for the majority's concerns. Residents already pay for Area Parking Stickers; adding more meters is purely punitive.

Parking meters belong in commercial/residential areas where turnover is an issue and not in light mixed commercial/residential and exclusively residential neighborhoods. This policy will hurt local businesses, reduce foot traffic, and make living here more expensive. San Francisco should not be a city where people are charged just to be outside.

It's time for our government to put constituents first and stop policies that make life in our city harder.

Thank you,

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)	n 16
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides	
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS) BOS-Operations; Board of Supervisors (BOS)	;
Subject:	Kimberly Michelle Simmons Various Subjects 12 letters	
Date:	Thursday, March 20, 2025 12:31:16 PM	
Attachments:	KMS Various Subj 12 letters.pdf	

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached 12 letters from Kimberly Simmons regarding various subjects.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163 bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From:	Kimberly Michelle Simmons
То:	kimberlymichellesimmons333@gmail.com; kimberlymichellesimmons@gmail.com; Kimberly Michelle Simmons;
	kimberlynechellesimmons@gmail.com; kimberlynechellsimmons@gmail.com;
	KIMBERLYMICHELLLESIMMONS11@gmail.com; KDWWEBSITE@foxtv.com; askcalvo@usgs.gov;
	aschwartz@csis.org; appleglobalinfra@gmail.com; appletreeglobalinfra@gmail.com; ascwartz@csis.org;
	ASCHWARTZ@zsis.org; Abraham.Simmons@usdoj.gov; bookings@prettyricky.com; brazil@hrw.org; Board of
	Supervisors (BOS); Bayview Hunters Point CAC (CPC); businesscustomerhelp@pge.com; Irena.Briganti@fox.com;
	<u>Bechelli, Adrienne (DEM); BreakingNews@kron4.com; SFPort Commission Secretary;</u>
	customerservice@sonomamarintrain.org; contact.lapdonline@gmail.com; michael.q.chen2.mil@us.navy.mil;
	micheal.q.chen2.mil@us.navy.mil; usacac-communityoutreach@usa.doj.gov; christina.shay@usdoj.gov;
	<u>chris.h@odtfp.org;</u> david.winslow@sf.org; <u>Winslow, David (CPC);</u> <u>Homsey, Daniel (DEM);</u> <u>Darlene Plumtree;</u>
	developmentpe rmits@portla.org; Criminal.Division@usdoj.gov; OSD.PA.DUTYOFFICER@mail.mil;
	engagement@ostp.eop.gov; epsicomediarelations@pepsico.com; EMA-Chief-Information-Officer@fema.dhs.gov;
	EngardioStaff (BOS); fm@finalcallnews.com; frontdesk@brightlinedefense.org; FOX11NEWS@fox.com; FEMA-HC-
	ServiceDesk@fema.dhs.gov; LALB-FINANCIALTEAM@cbp.dhs.gov; FOIAOJ@usdoj.gov; FOIAOJP@usdoj.gov;
	feedback@sfchronicle.com; gatoradecommunications@gatorade.com;
	<u>GRANDCANYONGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com;</u> <u>RPDInfo, RPD (REC);</u> <u>GRANTS@righteouspersons.org;</u> headguarters@abc.ca.gov; hch@unia-aclgovernment.com; homedelivery@sfchronicle.com; help@cnn.com;
	HILARY.RONEN@sfgov.org; ir@abchina.com; info@mm-group.org; investor.relations@mastercard.com; HILARY.RONEN@sfgov.org; ir@abchina.com; info@mm-group.org; investor.relations@mastercard.com;
	info@aiisf.org; investorrelations@visa.com; info@bigsurgrange.org; JSmith@abcconstructionpa.com;
	JBERENS@usbr.gov; legislation@cdcr.ca.gov; LegalShield MEMBERPerks; LOU-SIAN@nps.gov;
	LEGALS@perrisprogressnews.com; LEGALS@sanjacintochronical.com; LEGALS@thevalleychronicle.com;
	munifeedback@sfmta.com; movingmountainsglobalinfra@gmail.com; mvpd@moval.org;
	thom.mrozek@usdoj.gov; pepsicocanada.media@pepsico.com; Chase: PG&E No Reply; NPIC@state.gov;
	MIKE.NATHENSON@pepsico.com; NOTICES@riversidejournal.news; notifications@demio.com; Spectacular
	Smith; OSD.PENTAGONPRESSBADGES@mail.mil; press@trumporg.com; police@pacificapolice.org;
	paris@hrw.org; powellcenter@usgs.gov; PACIFICCOASTGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com; Peskin, Aaron (BOS);
	MICHEAL.O.CHEN2.MIL@us.navy.mill; rmsupport@pbvll.com; rmssuport@pbvllc.com; rmssupport@pbvllc.com;
	rmsupport@pbvllc.com; TCC-Recording; SANANDREASGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com;
	sanandreasseawall@gmail.com; sanandreassealwall@gmail.com; support@realestateagents.com;
	THEWES@usbr.gov; tomcruise.emailaddress@gmail.com; unchartedtinyhomes@gmail.com; USACAC.CV-
	<u>CivilRights@usdoj.g.ov; unitedstatesglobalinfra@gmail.com; UNITEDNATIOSGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com;</u>
	wethepeopleglobalinfra@gmail.com; woodhousek@ci.pacifica.ca; Web.Master@calhr.ca.gov; Walton, Shamann
	(BOS); xotragoodsandservices@gmail.com; XOTRAGOOODSANDSERVICES@gmail.com;
	XOTRAGOODSANDSRVICES@gmail.com
Subject:	UNITEDC STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY
Date:	Thursday, March 13, 2025 3:23:22 PM
Attachments:	UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY.docx

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN,

03/13/25

HI, THIS IS KIMBERLY SIMMONS THIS LETTER REGARDING UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY. UNITED NATIONS: INDEPENDENT COUNTRY; GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS FURTHER I ENCLOSED MORE INFORMATION PLEASE REVIEW THANK YOU

SINCERELY, KIMBERLY SIMMONS P.O. BOX 475702 SAN FRANCISCO,CA. 94147 (415) 368-3949


UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY

UNITED SATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY ATTN: KIMBERLY N .SIMMONS


UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTUE COMPANY | P.O. BOX 475702 SAN FRANCISCO, CA. 94147

UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY






UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE BIG "BEAR" MOUNTAIN GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE <u>BEARMOUNTAINGLOBALINFRA@GMAIL.COM</u>



UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE GRAND CAYONGLOBALIONFRA@GMAIL.COM




GRAND CANYON GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE GRANDCANYONGLOBALINFRA@GMAIL.COM




UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE (APPALACHIAN MOUNTAINS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE ETC.,)



UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE (APPALACHIAN MOUNTAINS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE ETC.,)





UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY




UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE



UNITEDSTATESGLOBALINFRA@GMAIL.COM

UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE



UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY






UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY




UNITED SATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE (LOUISIANA ETC.,)



UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE (SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE SAN ANDREAS SEA "SEAL WALL" ETC.,)



UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE (SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE SAN ANDREAS SEA "SEAL WALL" ETC.,)



UNITEDSATESGLOBALINFRA@GMAIL.COM UNITEDSTATESGLOBALINFRA2025@GMAIL.COM SANANDREASGLOBALINFRA@GMAIL.COM

road trip PACIFIC COAST HIGHWAY

PACIFIC COAST HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS AND SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE...

BUCKET LIST SPOTS

SOL DUC FALLS, WA SAN FRANCISCO, CA CAPE FLATTERY, WA CLAGUNA BEACH LA PUSH BEACHES, WA SHARK FIN COVE. CA CAPE DISAPPOINTMENT SANTA CRUZ, CA CANNON BEACH, OR 🔘 CAPE KIWANDA, OR 🛛 🔘 BIXBY CREEK BRIDGE O THOR'S WELL, OR O HUNTINGTON BEACH SAMUEL H. BOARDMAN REDWOOD NTL. PARK 🔘 SAN LUIS OBISPO BLACK SAND BEACH MENDOCINO, CA POINT REYES, NTL. SEA.

MCWAY FALLS SANTA MONICA PIER NEWPORT BEACH, CA SAN DIEGO, CA


WWW.THEMANDAGIES.COM



A documentary film about the history of surfing in San Francisco.



GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORT BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE (BRIDGE, HIGHWAY; FREEWAY ETC.,)



From:	Kimberly Michelle Simmons
То:	kimberlymichellesimmons333@gmail.com; kimberlymichellesimmons@gmail.com; Kimberly Michelle Simmons;
10.	kimberlynechellesimmons@gmail.com; kimberlynechellsimmons@gmail.com;
	KIMBERLYMICHELLLESIMMONS11@gmail.com; KDWWEBSITE@foxtv.com; askcalvo@usgs.gov;
	appleglobalinfra@gmail.com; aschwartz@csis.org; appletreeglobalinfra@gmail.com; ascwartz@csis.org;
	ASCHWARTZ@zsis.org; Abraham.Simmons@usdoj.gov; Board of Supervisors (BOS); bookings@prettyricky.com;
	Bayview Hunters Point CAC (CPC); brazil@hrw.org; businesscustomerhelp@pge.com; Irena.Briganti@fox.com;
	Bechelli, Adrienne (DEM); BreakingNews@kron4.com; SFPort Commission Secretary;
	contact.lapdonline@gmail.com; michael.g.chen2.mil@us.navy.mil; micheal.g.chen2.mil@us.navy.mil; usacac-
	communityoutreach@usa.doj.gov; customerservice@sonomamarintrain.org; christina.shay@usdoj.gov;
	chris.h@odtfp.org: david.winslow@sf.org; Homsey, Daniel (DEM); Winslow, David (CPC); Darlene Plumtree;
	developmentpe rmits@portla.org; Criminal.Division@usdoj.gov; OSD.PA.DUTYOFFICER@mail.mil;
	engagement@ostp.eop.gov; epsicomediarelations@pepsico.com; tomcruise.emailaddress@gmail.com; EMA_
	Chief-Information-Officer@fema.dhs.gov; EngardioStaff (BOS); frontdesk@brightlinedefense.org;
	fm@finalcallnews.com; FEMA-HC-ServiceDesk@fema.dhs.gov; LALB-FINANCIALTEAM@cbp.dhs.gov;
	FOIAOJ@usdoj.gov; FOIAOJP@usdoj.gov; FOX11NEWS@fox.com; feedback@sfchronicle.com;
	gatoradecommunications@gatorade.com; GRANDCANYONGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com; RPDInfo, RPD (REC);
	<u>GRANTS@righteouspersons.org; hch@unia-aclgovernment.com; homedelivery@sfchronicle.com;</u>
	<u>headquarters@abc.ca.gov; help@cnn.com; HILARY.RONEN@sfgov.org; info@aiisf.org;</u>
	investor.relations@mastercard.com; ir@abchina.com; info@mm-group.org; investorrelations@visa.com;
	info@bigsurgrange.org; JBERENS@usbr.gov; legislation@cdcr.ca.gov; LegalShield MEMBERPerks; LOU-
	SIAN@nps.gov; LEGALS@perrisprogressnews.com; LEGALS@sanjacintochronical.com;
	LEGALS@thevalleychronicle.com; movingmountainsglobalinfra@gmail.com; munifeedback@sfmta.com;
	thom.mrozek@usdoj.gov; pepsicocanada.media@pepsico.com; mvpd@moval.org; PG&E No Reply;
	notifications@demio.com; NPIC@state.gov; MIKE.NATHENSON@pepsico.com; NOTICES@riversidejournal.news;
	OSD.PENTAGONPRESSBADGES@mail.mil; press@trumporg.com; police@pacificapolice.org; paris@hrw.org;
	powellcenter@usgs.gov; PACIFICCOASTGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com; Peskin, Aaron (BOS);
	<u>MICHEAL.Q.CHEN2.MIL@us.navy.mill;</u> <u>rmsupport@pbvll.com;</u> <u>rmssuport@pbvllc.com;</u> <u>rmssupport@pbvllc.com;</u> rmsupport@pbvllc.com; SANANDREASGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com; sanandreasseawall@gmail.com;
	sanandreassealwall@gmail.com; support@realestateagents.com; 7oys@kgo-tv.com;
	<u>Sanandreassearwarregman.com; supporterearestateagents.com; Toys@kgo-tv.com;</u> <u>70NYOURSIDENINA@abc.com; THEWES@usbr.gov; unitedstatesglobalinfra@gmail.com;</u>
	UNITEDNATIOSGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com; USACAC.CV-CivilRights@usdoj.gov;
	wethepeopleglobalinfra@gmail.com; woodhousek@ci.pacifica.ca; Web.Master@ca lhr.ca.gov; Walton, Shamann
	(BOS)
Subject:	UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; SPONTANEOUS COMBUSTIONS SMALL PIPES EXPLOSIONS
Date:	
	Thursday, March 13, 2025 3:39:11 PM
Attachments:	SAVE YOURSELF MISSIONARY.docx
	UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY.docx

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN,

03/13/25

HI, THIS IS KIMBERLY SIMMONS THIS LETTER REGARDING UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY. UNITED NATIONS: INDEPENDENT COUNTRY; GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY GOD SAID "LET MY PEOPLE GO" "THESE PEOPLE NEED TO LEAVE BENEATH THE SURFACE VOLCANO DOMINO EFFECT "THE LAKE OF FIRE" BEFORE THE SAN ANDREAS FAULT-LINE SPLIT (LEVIATHAN; MEDITERRANEAN SEA GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; PROMISE LAND) PACIFIC OCEAN RING OF FIRE/ SAN ANDREAS FAULT-LINE SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; SAN ANDREAS SEA "SEAL" WALL ETC., SAVE YOURSELF; MISSIONARY PROPHECY HAS TO BE FULFILLED MARK 11:23 MOVING MOUNTAINS REVELATIONS 21:21 I ENCLOSED MORE INFORMATION PLEASE REVIEW I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS FURTHER THANK YOU

SINCERELY, KIMBERLY SIMMONS P.O. BOX 475702 SAN FRANCISCO, CA. 94147


UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY

UNITED SATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY ATTN: KIMBERLY N .SIMMONS


UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTUE COMPANY | P.O. BOX 475702 SAN FRANCISCO, CA. 94147

UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY






UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE BIG "BEAR" MOUNTAIN GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE <u>BEARMOUNTAINGLOBALINFRA@GMAIL.COM</u>



UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE GRAND CAYONGLOBALIONFRA@GMAIL.COM




GRAND CANYON GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE GRANDCANYONGLOBALINFRA@GMAIL.COM




UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE (APPALACHIAN MOUNTAINS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE ETC.,)



UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE (APPALACHIAN MOUNTAINS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE ETC.,)





UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY




UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE



UNITEDSTATESGLOBALINFRA@GMAIL.COM

UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE



UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY






UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY




UNITED SATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE (LOUISIANA ETC.,)



UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE (SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE SAN ANDREAS SEA "SEAL WALL" ETC.,)



UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE (SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE SAN ANDREAS SEA "SEAL WALL" ETC.,)



UNITEDSATESGLOBALINFRA@GMAIL.COM UNITEDSTATESGLOBALINFRA2025@GMAIL.COM SANANDREASGLOBALINFRA@GMAIL.COM

road trip PACIFIC COAST HIGHWAY

PACIFIC COAST HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS AND SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE...

BUCKET LIST SPOTS

SOL DUC FALLS, WA SAN FRANCISCO, CA CAPE FLATTERY, WA CLAGUNA BEACH LA PUSH BEACHES, WA SHARK FIN COVE. CA CAPE DISAPPOINTMENT SANTA CRUZ, CA CANNON BEACH, OR 🔘 CAPE KIWANDA, OR 🛛 🔘 BIXBY CREEK BRIDGE O THOR'S WELL, OR O HUNTINGTON BEACH SAMUEL H. BOARDMAN REDWOOD NTL. PARK 🔘 SAN LUIS OBISPO BLACK SAND BEACH MENDOCINO, CA POINT REYES, NTL. SEA.

MCWAY FALLS SANTA MONICA PIER NEWPORT BEACH, CA SAN DIEGO, CA


WWW.THEMANDAGIES.COM



A documentary film about the history of surfing in San Francisco.



GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORT BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE (BRIDGE, HIGHWAY; FREEWAY ETC.,)





This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN,

03/13/25

HI, THIS IS KIMBERLY SIMMONS THIS LETTER REGARDING UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY, UNITED NATIONS: INDEPENDENT COUNTRY: GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY GOD SAID "LET MY PEOPLE GO" "THESE PEOPLE NEED TO LEAVE BENEATH THE SURFACE VOLCANO DOMINO EFFECT "THE LAKE OF FIRE" BEFORE THE SAN ANDREAS FAULT-LINE SPLIT (LEVIATHAN; MEDITERRANEAN SEA GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; PROMISE LAND) PACIFIC OCEAN RING OF FIRE/ SAN ANDREAS FAULT-LINE SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE: SAN ANDREAS SEA "SEAL" WALL ETC., (I ALSO THINK SOME ISSUES MAY BE STEAMING FROM SMALL PIPES CAUSING ERUPTIONS, EXPLOSIONS BENEATH THE SURFACE) SAVE YOURSELF; MISSIONARY PROPHECY HAS TO **BE FULFILLED MARK 11:23 MOVING MOUNTAINS REVELATIONS** 21:21 | ENCLOSED MORE INFORMATION PLEASE REVIEW | WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS FURTHER THANK YOU

SINCERELY, KIMBERLY SIMMONS P.O. BOX 475702 (415) 368-3949

From:	Kimberly Michelle Simmons
To:	Kimberly Michelle Simmons; kimberlymichellesimmons333@amail.com; kimberlymichellesimmons@amail.com;
	kimberlynechellesimmons@gmail.com; kimberlynechellsimmons@gmail.com;
	KIMBERLYMICHELLLESIMMONS11@gmail.com; KDWWEBSITE@foxtv.com; aschwartz@csis.org;
	askcalvo@usgs.gov; appleglobalinfra@gmail.com; appletreeglobalinfra@gmail.com; ascwartz@csis.org;
	ASCHWARTZ@zsis.org; Abraham.Simmons@usdoj.gov; Board of Supervisors (BOS); bookings@prettyricky.com;
	businesscustomerhelp@pge.com; brazil@hrw.org; Bayview Hunters Point CAC (CPC); Irena.Briganti@fox.com;
	Bechelli, Adrienne (DEM); BreakingNews@kron4.com; SFPort Commission Secretary;
	contact.lapdonline@gmail.com; usacac-communityoutreach@usa.doj.gov; michael.g.chen2.mil@us.navy.mil;
	micheal.g.chen2.mil@us.navy.mil; customerservice@sonomamarintrain.org; christina.shay@usdoi.gov;
	chris.h@odtfp.org; Homsey, Daniel (DEM); Darlene Plumtree; david.winslow@sf.org; Winslow, David (CPC);
	developmentpe rmits@portla.org; Criminal.Division@usdoj.gov; OSD.PA.DUTYOFFICER@mail.mil;
	engagement@ostp.eop.gov; epsicomediarelations@pepsico.com; tomcruise.emailaddress@gmail.com; EMA-
	Chief-Information-Officer@fema.dhs.gov; EngardioStaff (BOS); 7oys@kgo-tv.com;
	7ONYOURSIDENINA@abc.com; frontdesk@brightlinedefense.org; FEMA-HC-ServiceDesk@fema.dhs.gov;
	fm@finalcallnews.com; FOIAOJ@usdoi.gov; LALB-FINANCIALTEAM@cbp.dhs.gov; FOIAOJP@usdoi.gov;
	FOX11NEWS@fox.com; feedback@sfchronicle.com; GRANDCANYONGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com;
	gatoradecommunications@gatorade.com; RPDInfo, RPD (REC); GRANTS@righteouspersons.org; hch@unia-
	aclgovernment.com; help@cnn.com; homedelivery@sfchronicle.com; headquarters@abc.ca.gov;
	HILARY.RONEN@sfgov.org; info@aiisf.org; investor.relations@mastercard.com; ir@abchina.com;
	investorrelations@visa.com; info@bigsurgrange.org; info@mm-group.org; JBERENS@usbr.gov;
	JSmith@abcconstructionpa.com; legislation@cdcr.ca.gov; LegalShield MEMBERPerks;
	LEGALS@perrisprogressnews.com; LEGALS@sanjacintochronical.com; LEGALS@thevalleychronicle.com; LOU-
	<u>SIAN@nps.gov;</u> movingmountainsglobalinfra@gmail.com; mvpd@moval.org; thom.mrozek@usdoj.gov;
	pepsicocanada.media@pepsico.com; munifeedback@sfmta.com; MIKE.NATHENSON@pepsico.com;
	<u>NPIC@state.gov;</u> NOTICES@riversidejournal.news; notifications@demio.com;
	<u>OSD.PENTAGONPRESSBADGES@mail.mil; board.of.supervisors@sfgove.org; press@trumporg.com;</u>
	PACIFICCOASTGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com; police@pacificapolice.org; paris@hrw.org; powellcenter@usgs.gov;
	Peskin, Aaron (BOS); MICHEAL.O.CHEN2.MIL@us.navy.mill; rmsupport@pbvll.com; rmssuport@pbvllc.com;
	rmssupport@pbvllc.com; rmsupport@pbvllc.com; SANANDREASGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com;
	sanandreasseawall@gmail.com; sanandreassealwall@gmail.com; THEWES@usbr.gov;
	unitedstatesglobalinfra@gmail.com; UNITEDNATIOSGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com; USACAC.CV-
	<u>CivilRights@usdoj.gov; wethepeopleglobalinfra@gmail.com; woodhousek@ci.pacifica.ca; We</u>
	b.Master@calhr.ca.gov; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Waltonstaff (BOS); xotragoodsandservices@gmail.com;
	XOTRAGOOODSANDSERVICES@gmail.com; XOTRAGOODSANDSRVICES@gmail.com
Subject:	PROPISITION K GREAT HIGHWAY 1,110 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS AND SEAPORTS BOAT
-	RIDES HOMES AND MORE
Date:	Saturday, March 15, 2025 2:56:40 PM
Attachments:	SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE SAN ANDREAS SEA WALL.docx
_	
This was a second	a in franze autoinida tha Citu ana sil autona. Da ant an an lialua au atta akan anta franze untrusta al

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN,

03/15/25

HI, THIS IS KIMBERLY SIMMONS REGARDING PROPOSITION K ETC., GOLDEN GATE OCEAN BEACH GOLDEN GATE RECREATION AND PARK NATIONAL PARK SERVICES ETC., RENAMING GREAT HIGHWAY; (CLOSING ETC.,) GREAT HIGHWAY PARK MIGHT BE A NAME FOR GREAT HIGHWAY IF THEY CLOSED IT FOR A PARK ETC., GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORT BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE I ENCLOSED MORE INFORMATION AND I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS FURTHER. THANK YOU

SINCERELY,

KIMBERLY N. SIMMONS P.O. BOX 475702 SAN FRANCISCO, CA. 94147 (415) 368-3949


SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; SAN ANDREAS SEA "SEAL" WALL


UNITED NATION'S: INDEPENDENT COUNTRY GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; UNITED STATES ETC., SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; SAN ANDREAS SEA "SEAL" WALL

KIMBERLY N. SIMMONS


SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY | P.O. BOX 475702 SAN FRANCISCO,CA. 94147 (415) 368-
































GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE... (OLD HIGHWAY 1 ETC., GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE












GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., - POINT BONITA ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE...

























road trip PACIFIC COAST HIGHWAY

BUCKET LIST SPOTS

SOL DUC FALLS, WA SAN FRANCISCO, CA CAPE FLATTERY, WA CLAGUNA BEACH LA PUSH BEACHES, WA O SHARK FIN COVE. CA CAPE DISAPPOINTMENT SANTA CRUZ, CA CANNON BEACH, OR
BIG SUR STATE PARK CAPE KIWANDA, OR ○ THOR'S WELL, OR
○ HUNTINGTON BEACH) SAMUEL H. BOARDMAN 🔘 REDWOOD NTL. PARK BLACK SAND BEACH MENDOCINO, CA POINT REYES, NTL. SEA.

BIXBY CREEK BRIDGE MCWAY FALLS SAN LUIS OBISPO SANTA MONICA PIER NEWPORT BEACH, CA SAN DIEGO, CA

WWW.THEMANDAGIES.COM

From:	Kimberly Michelle Simmons
То:	Kimberly Michelle Simmons; kimberlymichellesimmons333@gmail.com; kimberlymichellesimmons@gmail.com;
	kimberlynechellesimmons@gmail.com; kimberlynechellsimmons@gmail.com;
	KIMBERLYMICHELLLESIMMONS11@gmail.com; KDWWEBSITE@foxtv.com; aschwartz@csis.org;
	askcalvo@usgs.gov; appleglobalinfra@gmail.com; appletreeglobalinfra@gmail.com; ascwartz@csis.org;
	ASCHWARTZ@zsis.org; Abraham.Simmons@usdoi.gov; Board of Supervisors (BOS); bookings@prettyricky.com;
	businesscustomerhelp@pge.com; brazil@hrw.org; Bayview Hunters Point CAC (CPC);
	board.of.supervisors@sfgove.org; Irena.Briganti@fox.com; Bechelli, Adrienne (DEM); SFPort Commission
	<u>Secretary;</u>
	michael.q.chen2.mil@us.navy.mil; micheal.q.chen2.mil@us.navy.mil; customerservice@sonomamarintrain.org;
	christina.shay@usdoj.gov; chris.h@odtfp.org; Homsey, Daniel (DEM); Darlene Plumtree; david.winslow@sf.org;
	Winslow, David (CPC); deve lopmentpermits@portla.org; Criminal.Division@usdoj.gov; doreseystaff@sfgov.org;
	engagement@ostp.eop.gov; epsicomediarelations@pepsico.com; tomcruise.emailaddress@gmail.com; EMA-
	Chief-Information-Officer@fema.dhs.gov; EngardioStaff (BOS); frontdesk@brightlinedefense.org; FEMA-HC-
	ServiceDesk@fema.dhs.gov; fm@finalcallnews.com; LALB-FINANCIALTEAM@cbp.dhs.gov; FOIAOJ@usdoj.gov;
	FielderStaff; FOIAOJP@usdoj.gov; FOX11NEWS@fox.com; GRANDCANYONGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com;
	gatoradecommunications@gatorade.com; RPDInfo, RPD (REC); GRANTS@righteouspersons.org; hch@unia-
	aclgovernment.com; help@cnn.com; homedelivery@sfchronicle.com; headquarters@abc.ca.gov;
	HILARY.RONEN@sfgov.org; info@aiisf.org; investor.relations@mastercard.com; ir@abchina.com;
	investorrelations@visa.com; info@bigsurgrange.org; info@mm-group.org; JBERENS@usbr.gov; LegalShield
	MEMBERPerks; legislation@cdcr.ca.gov; LEGALS@perrisprogressnews.com; LEGALS@sanjacintochronical.com;
	LEGALS@thevalleychronicle.com; LOU-SIAN@nps.gov; movingmountainsglobalinfra@gmail.com;
	mvpd@moval.org; thom.mrozek@usdoj.gov; pepsicocanada.media@pepsico.com; munifeedback@sfmta.com;
	Chase; NPIC@state.gov; MIKE.NATHENSON@pepsico.com; NOTICES@riversidejournal.news;
	notifications@demio.com; Spectacular Smith; PG&E No Reply; OSD.PA.DUTYOFFICER@mail.mil;
	OSD.PENTAGONPRESSBADGES@mail.mil; press@trumporg.com; PACIFICCOASTGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com;
	police@pacificapolice.org; paris@hrw.org; powellcenter@usgs.gov; Peskin, Aaron (BOS);
	MICHEAL.Q.CHEN2.MIL@us.navy.mill; rmsupport@pbvll.com; rmssuport@pbvllc.com; rmssupport@pbvllc.com;
	rmsupport@pbvllc.com; SANANDREASGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com; sanandreasseawall@gmail.com;
	sanandreassealwall@gmail.com; support@realestateagents.com; THEWES@usbr.gov;
	unitedstatesglobalinfra@gmail.com; UNITEDNATIOSGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com; unchartedtinyhomes@gma
	il.com; USACAC.CV-CivilRights@usdoj.gov; wethepeopleglobalinfra@gmail.com; woodhousek@ci.pacifica.ca;
	Waltonstaff (BOS); Web.Master@calhr.ca.gov; Walton, Shamann (BOS); xotragoodsandservices@gmail.com;
	XOTRAGOOODSANDSERVICES@gmail.com; XOTRAGOODSANDSRVICES@gmail.com
Subject:	PROPISITION K GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSDTRUCTION PASSSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE
Date:	Saturday, March 15, 2025 3:20:22 PM
Attachments:	PROPISITION K GREAT HIGHWAY 1 101 ETC. GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE.docx
	SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE SAN ANDREAS SEA WALL.docx

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN,

03/15/25

HI, THIS IS KIMBERLY SIMMONS REGARDING PROPOSITION K ETC., GOLDEN GATE OCEAN BEACH GOLDEN GATE RECREATION AND PARK NATIONAL PARK SERVICES ETC., RENAMING GREAT HIGHWAY; (CLOSING ETC.,) GREAT HIGHWAY PARK MIGHT BE A NAME FOR GREAT HIGHWAY IF THEY CLOSED IT FOR A PARK ETC., GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORT BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE I ENCLOSED MORE INFORMATION AND UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; SAN ANDREAS SEA "SEAL" WALL ETC., I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS FURTHER. THANK YOU

SINCERELY, KIMBERLY N. SIMMONS P.O. BOX 475702 SAN FRANCISCO, CA. 94147 (415) 368-3949

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN,

03/15/25

HI, THIS IS KIMBERLY SIMMONS REGARDING PROPOSITION K ETC., GOLDEN GATE OCEAN BEACH GOLDEN GATE RECREATION AND PARK NATONAL PARK SERVICES ETC., RENAMING GREAT HIGHWAY; (CLOSING ETC.,) GREAT HIGHWAY PARK MIGHT BE A NAME FOR GREAT HIGHWAY IF THEY CLOSED IT FOR A PARK ETC., GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORT BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE I ENCLOSED MORE INFORMATION AND UNITED SATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; SAN ANDREAS SEA "SEAL" WALL ETC., I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS FURTHER. THANK YOU

SINCERELY, KIMBERLY N. SIMMONS P.O. BOX 475702 SAN FRANCISCO, CA. 94147 (415) 368-3949




SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; SAN ANDREAS SEA "SEAL" WALL


UNITED NATION'S: INDEPENDENT COUNTRY GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; UNITED STATES ETC., SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; SAN ANDREAS SEA "SEAL" WALL

KIMBERLY N. SIMMONS


SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY | P.O. BOX 475702 SAN FRANCISCO,CA. 94147 (415) 368-
































GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE... (OLD HIGHWAY 1 ETC., GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE












GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., - POINT BONITA ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE...














GOLDEN GATE OCEAN BEACH; SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA ETC., GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION






GOLDEN GATE OCEAN BEACH; SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA ETC., GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION





GOLDEN GATE OCEAN BEACH; SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA ETC., GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION



road trip PACIFIC COAST HIGHWAY

GOLDEN GATE OCEAN BEACH; SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA ETC., GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION

BUCKET LIST SPOTS

SOL DUC FALLS, WA SAN FRANCISCO, CA CAPE FLATTERY, WA CLAGUNA BEACH LA PUSH BEACHES, WA O SHARK FIN COVE. CA CAPE DISAPPOINTMENT SANTA CRUZ, CA CANNON BEACH, OR
BIG SUR STATE PARK CAPE KIWANDA, OR ○ THOR'S WELL, OR
○ HUNTINGTON BEACH) SAMUEL H. BOARDMAN 🔘 REDWOOD NTL. PARK BLACK SAND BEACH MENDOCINO, CA POINT REYES, NTL. SEA.

BIXBY CREEK BRIDGE MCWAY FALLS SAN LUIS OBISPO SANTA MONICA PIER NEWPORT BEACH, CA SAN DIEGO, CA

WWW.THEMANDAGIES.COM

03/13/25

HI, THIS IS KIMBERLY SIMMONS THIS LETTER REGARDING UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY. UNITED NATIONS: INDEPENDENT COUNTRY; GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY GOD SAID "LET MY PEOPLE GO" "THESE PEOPLE NEED TO LEAVE BENEATH THE SURFACE VOLCANO DOMINO EFFECT "THE LAKE OF FIRE" BEFORE THE SAN ANDREAS FAULT-LINE SPLIT (LEVIATHAN; MEDITERRANEAN SEA GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; PROMISE LAND) PACIFIC OCEAN RING OF FIRE/ SAN ANDREAS FAULT-LINE SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; SAN ANDREAS SEA "SEAL" WALL ETC., SAVE YOURSELF; MISSIONARY PROPHECY HAS TO BE FULFILLED MARK 11:23 MOVING MOUNTAINS REVELATIONS 21:21 I ENCLOSED MORE INFORMATION PLEASE REVIEW I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS FURTHER THANK YOU

SINCERELY, KIMBERLY SIMMONS P.O. BOX 475702 (415) 368-3949



From: Kimberly Michelle Simmons	
To: Kimberly Michelle Simmons; kimberlynechellesimmons@gmail.com; kimberlymichellesimmons@g	mail.com:
kimberlymichellesimmons333@gmail.com; kimberlynechellsimmons@gmail.com;	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,</u>
KIMBERLYMICHELLLESIMMONS11@gmail.com; KDWWEBSITE@foxtv.com; aschwartz@csis.org;	
appleglobalinfra@gmail.com; askcalvo@usgs.gov; appletreeglobalinfra@gmail.com; ascwartz@c	sis.org;
ASCHWARTZ@zsis.org; Abraham.Simmons@usdoi.gov; Board of Supervisors (BOS); bookings@r	prettyricky.com;
brazil@hrw.org; businesscustomerhelp@pge.com; Bayview Hunters Point CAC (CPC);	
board.of.supervisors@sfgove.org; Irena.Briganti@fox.com; Bechelli, Adrienne (DEM);	
contact.lapdonline@gmail.com; SFPort Commission Secretary; usacac-communityoutreach@usa.	<u>doj.gov;</u>
christina.shay@usdoj.gov; michael.q.chen2.mil@us.navy.mil; micheal.q.chen2.mil@us.navy.mil;	
<u>customerservice@sonomamarintrain.org; Homsey, Daniel (DEM); david.winslow@sf.org; Winslov</u>	
Darlene Plumtree; developmentpermits@port la.org; doreseystaff@sfgov.org; Criminal.Division@	
OSD.PA.DUTYOFFICER@mail.mil; engagement@ostp.eop.gov; epsicomediarelations@pepsico.co	
tomcruise.emailaddress@gmail.com; EMA-Chief-Information-Officer@fema.dhs.gov; EngardioSta	/
frontdesk@brightlinedefense.org; fm@finalcallnews.com; FielderStaff; FEMA-HC-ServiceDesk@fe	
LALB-FINANCIALTEAM@cbp.dhs.gov; FOIAOJ@usdoj.gov; FOIAOJP@usdoj.gov; FOX11NEWS@f	
gatoradecommunications@gatorade.com; GRANDCANYONGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com; RPDInfo,	
GRANTS@righteouspersons.org; hch@unia-aclgovernment.com; homedelivery@sfchronicle.com;	help@cnn.com;
chris.h@odtfp.org; headquarters@abc.ca.gov; HILARY.RONEN@sfgov.org; info@aiisf.org;	
investor.relations@mastercard.com; ir@abchina.com; investorrelations@visa.com; info@bigsurg	range.org;
info@mm-group.org; 7oys@kgo-tv.com; 7ONYOURSIDENINA@abc.com; JBERENS@usbr.gov;	
legislation@cdcr.ca.gov; LegalShield MEMBERPerks; LEGALS@perrisprogressnews.com;	@mayal are:
LEGALS@sanjacintochronical.com; LOU-SIAN@nps.gov; LEGALS@thevalleychronicle.com; mvpd@ movingmountainsglobalinfra@gmail.com; thom.mrozek@usdoj.gov; munifeedback@sfmta.com;	<u>emoval.org</u> ;
pepsicocanada.media@pepsico.com; NPIC@state.gov; MIKE.NATHENSON@pepsico.com;	
NOTICES@riversidejournal.news; notifications@demio.com; Spectacular Smith;	
OSD.PENTAGONPRESSBADGES@mail.mil; press@trumporg.com; police@pacificapolice.org;	
PACIFICCOASTGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com; paris@hrw.org; powellcenter@usgs.gov; PG&E No Re	oply:
QualityControlMusicLLC@gmail.com; MICHEAL.Q.CHEN2.MIL@us.navy.mill; rmssuport@pbvllc.cc	
rmssupport@pbvllc.com; rmsupport@pbvll.com; rmsupport@pbvllc.com;	<u>2111</u> ,
SANANDREASGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com; sanandreasseawall@gmail.com; sanandreassealwall@g	amail com·
support@realestateagents.com; THEWES@usbr.gov; unitedstatesglobalinfra@gmail.com; UNITE	
GLOBALINERA@gmail.com: unchartedtinyhomes@gmail.com: USACAC.CV-CivilRights@usdol.gov	
wethepeopleglobalinfra@gmail.com; woodhousek@ci.pacifica.ca; Waltonstaff (BOS); Web.Maste	· ·
Walton, Shamann (BOS); xotragoodsandservices@gmail.com; XOTRAGOOODSANDSERVICES@g	
XOTRAGOODSANDSRVICES@gmail.com	
Subject: PROPISITION K GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., REECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRIANS SEAPO	RTS BOAT
RIDES HOME AND MORE	
Date: Sunday, March 16, 2025 5:35:52 PM	
Attachments: SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE SAN ANDREAS SEA WALL (2).docx	
SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE SAN ANDREAS SEA WALL (1).docx	
SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE SAN ANDREAS SEA WALL (3).docx	

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN,

PROPOSITION K GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOME AND MORE UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; SAN ANDREAS SEA WALL. I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS FURTHER. THANK YOU

SINCERELY, KIMBERLY SIMMONS P.O. BOX 475702 SAN FRANCISCO, CA. 94147 (213) 41401947


UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY

UNITED SATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY ATTN: KIMBERLY N .SIMMONS


UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTUE COMPANY | P.O. BOX 475702 SAN FRANCISCO, CA. 94147

UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY






UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE BIG "BEAR" MOUNTAIN GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE <u>BEARMOUNTAINGLOBALINFRA@GMAIL.COM</u>



UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE GRAND CAYONGLOBALIONFRA@GMAIL.COM




GRAND CANYON GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE GRANDCANYONGLOBALINFRA@GMAIL.COM




UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE (APPALACHIAN MOUNTAINS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE ETC.,)



UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE (APPALACHIAN MOUNTAINS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE ETC.,)





UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY




UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE



UNITEDSTATESGLOBALINFRA@GMAIL.COM

UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE



UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY






UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY




UNITED SATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE (LOUISIANA ETC.,)



UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE (SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE SAN ANDREAS SEA "SEAL WALL" ETC.,)



UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE (SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE SAN ANDREAS SEA "SEAL WALL" ETC.,)



UNITEDSATESGLOBALINFRA@GMAIL.COM UNITEDSTATESGLOBALINFRA2025@GMAIL.COM SANANDREASGLOBALINFRA@GMAIL.COM

road trip PACIFIC COAST HIGHWAY

PACIFIC COAST HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS AND SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE...

BUCKET LIST SPOTS

SOL DUC FALLS, WA SAN FRANCISCO, CA CAPE FLATTERY, WA CLAGUNA BEACH LA PUSH BEACHES, WA SHARK FIN COVE. CA CAPE DISAPPOINTMENT SANTA CRUZ, CA CANNON BEACH, OR 🔘 CAPE KIWANDA, OR 🛛 🔘 BIXBY CREEK BRIDGE O THOR'S WELL, OR O HUNTINGTON BEACH SAMUEL H. BOARDMAN REDWOOD NTL. PARK 🔘 SAN LUIS OBISPO BLACK SAND BEACH MENDOCINO, CA POINT REYES, NTL. SEA.

MCWAY FALLS SANTA MONICA PIER NEWPORT BEACH, CA SAN DIEGO, CA


WWW.THEMANDAGIES.COM



A documentary film about the history of surfing in San Francisco.



GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORT BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE (BRIDGE, HIGHWAY; FREEWAY ETC.,)



From:	Kimberly Michelle Simmons
To:	Kimberly Michelle Simmons; kimberlymichellesimmons@amail.com; kimberlymichellesimmons333@amail.com;
	kimberlynechellesimmons@gmail.com; kimberlynechellsimmons@gmail.com;
	KIMBERLYMICHELLLESIMMONS11@gmail.com; aschwartz@csis.org; askcalvo@usgs.gov;
	appleglobalinfra@gmail.com; appletreeglobalinfra@gmail.com; ascwartz@csis.org; ASCHWARTZ@zsis.org;
	Abraham.Simmons@usdoi.gov; Board of Supervisors (BOS); bookings@prettyricky.com; brazil@hrw.org;
	businesscustomerhelp@gge.com; Bayview Hunters Point CAC (CPC); board.of.supervisors@sfgove.org;
	Irena.Briganti@fox.com; Bechelli, Adrienne (DEM); contact.lapdonline@gmail.com; SFPort Commission Secretary;
	usacac-communityoutreach@usa.doi.gov; christina.shay@usdoi.gov; michael.g.chen2.mil@us.navy.mil;
	micheal.g.chen2.mil@us.navy.mil; customerservice@sonomamarintrain.org; Homsey, Daniel (DEM);
	david.winslow@sf.org; Darlene Plumtree; Winslow, David (CPC); developmentpermits@portla.org;
	doresevstaff@s fgov.org; Criminal.Division@usdoi.gov; OSD.PA.DUTYOFFICER@mail.mil;
	engagement@ostp.eop.gov; epsicomediarelations@pepsico.com; tomcruise.emailaddress@gmail.com; EMA-
	Chief-Information-Officer@fema.dhs.gov; EngardioStaff (BOS); frontdesk@brightlinedefense.org;
	fm@finalcallnews.com; FielderStaff; FEMA-HC-ServiceDesk@fema.dhs.gov; LALB-FINANCIALTEAM@cbp.dhs.gov;
	FOIAOJ@usdoj.gov; FOIAOJP@usdoj.gov; FOX11NEWS@fox.com; gatoradecommunications@gatorade.com;
	<u>GRANDCANYONGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com;</u> RPDInfo, RPD (REC); GRANTS@righteouspersons.org; hch@unia-
	<u>aclgovernment.com;</u> homedelivery@sfchronicle.com; help@cnn.com; chris.h@odtfp.org;
	headquarters@abc.ca.gov; HILARY.RONEN@sfgov.org; info@aiisf.org; investor.relations@mastercard.com;
	ir@abchina.com; investorrelations@visa.com; info@bigsurgrange.org; info@mm-group.org; JBERENS@usbr.gov;
	legislation@cdcr.ca.gov; LegalShield MEMBERPerks; LEGALS@perrisprogressnews.com;
	LEGALS@sanjacintochronical.com; LOU-SIAN@nps.gov; LEGALS@thevalleychronicle.com; mvpd@moval.org;
	<u>thom.mrozek@usdoj.gov; movingmountainsglobalinfra@gmail.com; munifeedback@sfmta.com;</u>
	pepsicocanada.media@pepsico.com; NPIC@state.gov; MIKE.NATHENSON@pepsico.com;
	NOTICES@riversidejournal.news; notifications@demio.com; OSD.PENTAGONPRESSBADGES@mail.mil;
	press@trumporg.com; PACIFICCOASTGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com; police@pacificapolice.org; paris@hrw.org;
	powellcenter@usgs.gov; MICHEAL.Q.CHEN2.MIL@us.navy.mill; rmssuport@pbvllc.com; rmsupport@pbvll.com;
	rmssupport@pbvllc.com; rmsupport@pbvllc.com; SANANDREASGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com;
	sanandreasseawall@gmail.com; sanandreassealwall@gmail.com; support@realestateagents.com;
	THEWES@usbr.gov; unitedstatesglobalinfra@gmail.com; UNITEDNATIOSGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com;
	unchartedtinyhomes@gmail.com; USACAC.CV-CivilRights@usdoj.gov; wethepeopleglobalinfra@gmail.com;
	woodhousek@ci.pacifica.ca; Waltonstaff (BOS); Web.Master@calhr.ca.gov; Walton, Shamann (BOS);
	xotragoodsandservices@gmail.com; XOTRAGOOODSANDSERVICES@gmail.com;
	XOTRAGOODSANDSRVICES@gmail.com
Subject:	UNITED NATION"S INDEPENDENT COUNTRY GOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE AND FOREIGN EXCHANGE AND
	CURRENCY POLICY; LIST (PO-LIST ETC.,)
Date:	Monday, March 17, 2025 2:27:31 PM
Attachments:	UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE AND FOREIGN EXCHANGE AND CURRENCY POLICY.docx
	UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE AND FOREIGN EXCHANGE AND CURRENCY POLICY.docx

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN,

03/17/25

HI, THIS IS KIMBERLY SIMMONS REGARDING UNITED NATION'S INDEPENDENT COUNTRY GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE AND FOREIGN EXCHANGE AND CURRENCY POLICY (PO-LIST) I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS FURTHER. THANK YOU

SINCERELY, KIMBERLY SIMMONS P.O. BOX 475702 SAN FRANCISCO, CA. 94147 (213) 414-1947

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE


UNITED STATES OF AMERICA GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE UNITED NATION'S INDEPENDENT COUNTRY (U.S-OTHER COUNTRIES ETC.,) KIMBERLY N. SIMMONS

UNITED SATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE | P.O. BOX 475702 SAN FRANCISCO, CA. 94147 (213) 414-1947 UNITEDSTATESGLOBALINFRA@GMAIL.COM

UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE AND FOREIGN EXCHANGE AND CURRENCY POLICY; LIST (PO-LIST)



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE AND FOREIGN EXCHANGE AND CURRENCY POLICY LIST (PO-LIST)



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE AND FOREIGN



UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE LIBERTY GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE ETC.,



UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; UNITED NATION'S INDEPENDENT COUNTRY




THE GARDEN OF EVE

K. L. GOING

READ BY ALLYSON RYAN

AN UNABRIDGED PRODUCTION


GARDEN OF EVE GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; APPLE GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE
































UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; AIM GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE U.S-OTHER COUNTRIES




Selected Writings and Speeches of MARCUS GARVEY

A people without the knowledge of their past history, origin and culture is like a tree without roots.

Marcus Garvey










UNITED NATION'S INDEPENDENT COUNTRY GLOBAL INFRASTRUCUTURE





GRAND CANYON GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE



UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTION APPALACHIAN MOUNTAINS ETC.,





APPALACHIAN MOUNTAINS ETC., GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE

BIG "BEAR" MOUNTAINS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE



UNITED NATION'S; INDEPENDENT COUNTRY GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE (USA ETC.,)



UNITED NATION'S INDEPENDENT COUNTRY GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE



UNITED NATION'S INDEPENDENT COUNTRY GLOBAL INFRATRUCTURE

7 Continents and 5 Oceans





Bay Area Rapid Transit



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE


UNITED STATES OF AMERICA GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE UNITED NATION'S INDEPENDENT COUNTRY (U.S-OTHER COUNTRIES ETC.,) KIMBERLY N. SIMMONS

UNITED SATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE | P.O. BOX 475702 SAN FRANCISCO, CA. 94147 (213) 414-1947 UNITEDSTATESGLOBALINFRA@GMAIL.COM

UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE AND FOREIGN EXCHANGE AND CURRENCY POLICY; LIST (PO-LIST)



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE AND FOREIGN EXCHANGE AND CURRENCY POLICY LIST (PO-LIST)



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE AND FOREIGN



UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE LIBERTY GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE ETC.,



UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; UNITED NATION'S INDEPENDENT COUNTRY




THE GARDEN OF EVE

K. L. GOING

READ BY ALLYSON RYAN

AN UNABRIDGED PRODUCTION


GARDEN OF EVE GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; APPLE GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE
































UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; AIM GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE U.S-OTHER COUNTRIES




Selected Writings and Speeches of MARCUS GARVEY

A people without the knowledge of their past history, origin and culture is like a tree without roots.

Marcus Garvey










UNITED NATION'S INDEPENDENT COUNTRY GLOBAL INFRASTRUCUTURE





GRAND CANYON GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE



UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTION APPALACHIAN MOUNTAINS ETC.,





APPALACHIAN MOUNTAINS ETC., GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE

BIG "BEAR" MOUNTAINS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE



UNITED NATION'S; INDEPENDENT COUNTRY GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE (USA ETC.,)



UNITED NATION'S INDEPENDENT COUNTRY GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE



UNITED NATION'S INDEPENDENT COUNTRY GLOBAL INFRATRUCTURE


7 Continents and 5 Oceans





Bay Area Rapid Transit





This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN,

03/17/25

HI, THIS IS KIMBERLY SIMMONS REGARDING UNITED NATION'S INDEPENDENT COUNTRY GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE AND FOREIGN EXCHANGE AND CURRENCY POLICY (PO-LIST) I ENCLOSED MORE INFORMATION AND I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS FURTHER. THANK YOU

SINCERELY, KIMBERLY SIMMONS P.O. BOX 475702 SAN FRANCISCO, CA. 94147 (213) 414-1947

UNITED NATION'S: INDEPENDENT COUNTRY; FOREIGN EXCHANGE AND CURRENCY POLICY (PO-LIST)

UNITED NATION'S: INDEPENDENT COUNTRY; FOREIGN EXCHANGE AND CURRENCY POLICY ; LIST (PO-LIST) ATTN: KIMBERLY N. SIMMONS

UNITED NATION'S FOREIGN EXCHANGE AND CURRENCY | P.O. BOX 475702 SAN FRANCISCO, CA. 94147 (214) 414-1947 UNITEDNATIONSFOREIGNEXCHANGE@GMAIL.COM

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN,

03/17/25

HI, THIS IS KIMBERLY SIMMONS REGARDING UNITED NATION'S INDEPENDENT COUNTRY GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE AND FOREIGN EXCHANGE AND CURRENCY POLICY (PO-LIST) I ENCLOSED MORE INFOMRATION AND I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS FURTHER. THANK YOU

SINCERELY, KIMBERLY SIMMONS P.O. BOX 475702 SAN FRANCISCO, CA. 94147 (213) 414-1947

Foreign Exchange Management Act

Agricultural Energy User Survey

Shape the Future of Agriculture and Energy!


Your feedback drives incentive programs, air quality planning, and electrification strategies.

Take Survey


Agricultural Practices for Sustainable Agriculture and Food Security



SAVE WATER REUSABLE WATER USE WHEN NEEDED (RAIN, OCEAN LAKES RIVERS ETC.,)



SAVE WATER REUSABLE WATER USE WHEN NEEDED (RAIN, OCEAN LAKES RIVERS ETC.,)





VOLUME 160 ISSUE 6


DECEMBER 2022


ISSN: 0021-8596



cambridge.org/ags








FRUIT AND VEGETABLES

Opportunities and challenges for small-scale sustainable farming













MAYOR SHAW OF LOS ANGELES ETC., SLAWSON STORM DRAIN ETC.,













UNITED NATION'S FOREIGN EXCHANGE AND CURRENCY POLICY (POLICY)



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE


UNITED STATES OF AMERICA GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE UNITED NATION'S INDEPENDENT COUNTRY (U.S-OTHER COUNTRIES ETC.,) KIMBERLY N. SIMMONS

UNITED SATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE | P.O. BOX 475702 SAN FRANCISCO, CA. 94147 (213) 414-1947 UNITEDSTATESGLOBALINFRA@GMAIL.COM

UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE AND FOREIGN EXCHANGE AND CURRENCY POLICY; LIST (PO-LIST)



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE AND FOREIGN EXCHANGE AND CURRENCY POLICY LIST (PO-LIST)



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE AND FOREIGN



UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE LIBERTY GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE ETC.,



UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; UNITED NATION'S INDEPENDENT COUNTRY




THE GARDEN OF EVE

K. L. GOING

READ BY ALLYSON RYAN

AN UNABRIDGED PRODUCTION


GARDEN OF EVE GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; APPLE GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE

























UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCUTURE





UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCUTURE




UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; AIM GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE U.S-OTHER COUNTRIES




Selected Writings and Speeches of MARCUS GARVEY

A people without the knowledge of their past history, origin and culture is like a tree without roots.

Marcus Garvey










UNITED NATION'S INDEPENDENT COUNTRY GLOBAL INFRASTRUCUTURE





GRAND CANYON GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE



UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTION APPALACHIAN MOUNTAINS ETC.,





APPALACHIAN MOUNTAINS ETC., GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE

BIG "BEAR" MOUNTAINS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE



UNITED NATION'S; INDEPENDENT COUNTRY GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE (USA ETC.,)



UNITED NATION'S INDEPENDENT COUNTRY GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE



UNITED NATION'S INDEPENDENT COUNTRY GLOBAL INFRATRUCTURE

7 Continents and 5 Oceans





Bay Area Rapid Transit



From:	Kimberly Michelle Simmons
To:	<u>aschwartz@csis.org; appleglobalinfra@gmail.com; appletreeglobalinfra@gmail.com;</u>
	kimberlynechellsimmons@gmail.com; kimberlymichellesimmons333@gmail.com;
	KIMBERLYMICHELLLESIMMONS11@gmail.com; contact.lapdonline@gmail.com;
	michael.q.chen2.mil@us.navy.mil; micheal.q.chen2.mil@us.navy.mil; christina.shay@usdoj.gov; usacac-
	<u>communityoutreach@usa.doj.gov; Irena.Briganti@fox.com; OSD.PA.DUTYOFFICER@mail.mil;</u>
	<u>Criminal.Division@usdoj.gov;</u> EMA-Chief-Information-Officer@fema.dhs.gov; engagement@ostp.eop.gov;
	EngardioStaff (BOS); FOX11NEWS@fox.com; FOIAOJP@usdoj.gov; FOIAOJ@usdoj.gov; LALB-
	FINANCIALTEAM@cbp.dhs.gov; FEMA-HC-ServiceDesk@fema.dhs.gov;
	<u>GRANDCANYONGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com; headquarters@abc.ca.gov; 7oys@kgo-tv.com;</u>
	<u>70NYOURSIDENINA@abc.com; Kimberly Michelle Simmons; kimberlymichellesimmons@gmail.com;</u>
	kimberlynechellesimmons@gmail.com; KDWWEBSITE@foxtv.com; legislation@cdcr.ca.gov;
	LEGALS@sanjacintochronical.com; LEGALS@perrisprogressnews.com; thom.mrozek@usdoj.gov;
	movingmountainsglobalinfra@gmail.com; mvpd@moval.org; NPIC@state.gov; Board of Supervisors (BOS);
	<pre>board.of.supervisors@sfgove.org; OSD.PENTAGONPRESSBADGES@mail.mil;</pre>
	PACIFICCOASTGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com; press@trumporg.com; police@pacificapolice.org;
	MICHEAL.Q.CHEN2.MIL@us.navy.mill; SANANDREASGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com; sanandreasseawall@gmail.com;
	sanandreassealwall@gmail.com; unitedstatesglobalinfra@gmail.com; UNITEDNATIOSGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com;
	USACAC.CV-CivilRights@usdoj.gov
Subject:	Fwd: CONRONVIRUS COVID 19 POWER OF ATTORNEY ETC., MURDER SCANDALS
Date:	Tuesday, March 18, 2025 6:12:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

----- Forwarded message ------

From: **Kimberly Michelle Simmons** <<u>kimberlymichellesimmons82@gmail.com</u>> Date: Tue, Mar 18, 2025 at 6:07 PM Subject: CONRONVIRUS COVID 19 POWER OF ATTORNEY ETC., MURDER SCANDALS To: Kimberly Michelle Simmons <<u>kimberlymichellesimmons82@gmail.com</u>>, <<u>kimberlymichellesimmons@gmail.com</u>>, <<u>kimberlymichellesimmons333@gmail.com</u>>,

<<u>kimberlynchellesimmons@gmail.com</u>>, <<u>kimberlynchellsimmons@gmail.com</u>>, <<u>kimberlynchellsimmons@gmail.com</u>>, <<u>KIMBERLYMICHELLLESIMMONS11@gmail.com</u>>, <<u>press@trumporg.com</u>>

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN,

03/18/25

HI, THIS IS KIMBERLY SIMMONS THIS LETTER REGARDING THIS LETTER REGARDING THE CORONAVIRUS COVID-19 VACCINE; WUHAN 400 (I DISCOVERED WHERE THEE CORONAVIRUS COVID-19 VACCINE STEAM FROM AND THE CORONAVIRUS COVID-19 VACCINE) I WOULD LIKE AND INVESTIGATION MY COMPANY NAD MONEY RETURNED TO ME AS SOON AS POSSIBLE I ENCLOSED MORE INFORMATION PLEASE REVIEW I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS FURTHER AND CONTACT KIMBERLY SIMMONS AT THE INFORMATION BELOW. THANK YOU SINCERELY, KIMBERLY SIMMONS P.O. BOX 475702 SAN FRANCISCO, CA. 94147 (213) 414-1947

From:	Kimberly Michelle Simmons
То:	Kimberly Michelle Simmons; kimberlymichellesimmons@gmail.com; kimberlymichellesimmons333@gmail.com;
	kimberlynechellesimmons@gmail.com; kimberlynechellsimmons@gmail.com;
	KIMBERLYMICHELLLESIMMONS11@gmail.com; KDWWEBSITE@foxtv.com; aschwartz@csis.org;
	ASCHWARTZ@zsis.org; Peskin, Aaron (BOS); appleglobalinfra@gmail.com; appletreeglobalinfra@gmail.com;
	askcalvo@usgs.gov; ascwartz@csis.org; Board of Supervisors (BOS); brazil@hrw.org; Bayview Hunters Point CAC
	(CPC); businesscustomerhelp@pge.com; board.of.supervisors@sfgove.org; Bechelli, Adrienne (DEM);
	Irena.Briganti@fox.com; contact.lapdonline@gmail.com; usacac-communityoutreach@usa.doj.gov;
	<u>michael.q.chen2.mil@us.navy.mil; micheal.q.chen2.mil@us.navy.mil; christina.shay@usdoj.gov; SFPort</u>
	Commission Secretary; customerservice@sonomamarintrain.org; Homsey, Daniel (DEM);
	doreseystaff@sfgov.org; Winslow, David (CPC); david.winslow@sf.org; Darlene Plumtree;
	developmentpermits@portla.or g; Criminal.Division@usdoj.gov; OSD.PA.DUTYOFFICER@mail.mil;
	engagement@ostp.cop.gov; epsicomediarelations@pepsico.com; EMA-Chief-Information-Officer@fema.dhs.gov;
	EngardioStaff (BOS): frontdesk@brightlinedefense.org: fm@finalcallnews.com; FielderStaff; FEMA-HC-
	ServiceDesk@fema.dhs.gov; LALB-FINANCIALTEAM@cbp.dhs.gov; FOIAOJ@usdoj.gov; FOX11NEWS@fox.com;
	FOIAOJP@usdoj.gov; 7oys@kgo-tv.com; 7ONYOURSIDENINA@abc.com;
	GRANDCANYONGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com; gatoradecommunications@gatorade.com; RPDInfo, RPD (REC);
	<u>GRANTS@righteouspersons.org;</u> <u>headquarters@abc.ca.gov;</u> <u>homedelivery@sfchronicle.com;</u> <u>hch@unia-</u>
	aclgovernment.com; help@cnn.com; chris.h@odtfp.org; HILARY.RONEN@sfgov.org; info@aiisf.org;
	investor.relations@mastercard.com; ir@abchina.com; investorrelations@visa.com; info@bigsurgrange.org;
	info@mm-group.org; JBERENS@usbr.gov; legislation@cdcr.ca.gov; mvpd@moval.org;
	movingmountainsglobalinfra@gmail.com; thom.mrozek@usdoj.gov; munifeedback@sfmta.com; pepsicocanada.media@pepsico.com; NPIC@state.gov; MIKE.NATHENSON@pepsico.com;
	NOTICES@riversidejournal.news; OSD.PENTAGONPRESSBADGES@mail.mil; press@trumporg.com;
	police@pacificapolice.org; PACIFICCOASTGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com; paris@hrw.org; powellcenter@usgs.gov;
	MICHEAL.Q.CHEN2.MIL@us.navy.mill; QualityControlMusicLLC@gmail.com; rmssuport@pbvllc.com;
	rmsupport@pbvll.com; rmssupport@pbvllc.com; rmsupport@pbvllc.com;
	SANANDREASGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com; sanandreasseawall@gmail.com; sanandreassealwall@gmail.com;
	THEWES@usbr.gov; unitedstatesglobalinfra@gmail.com; UNITEDNATIOSGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com;
	USACAC.CV-CivilRights@usdoi.gov
Subject:	GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION
Date:	Wednesday, March 19, 2025 3:43:17 PM
Attachments:	GREAT HIGHWAY 1 101 RECONSTRUCTION PROPISTION K.docx
	GREAT HIGHWAY 1 101 RECONSTRUCTION PROPISTION K.docx GREAT HIGHWAY 1 101 RECONSTRUCTION PROPISTION K.docx
	SKEAL DIGRWAT FIOT RECONSTRUCTION PROPISITION K.GOCX

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN,

03/19/25

HI, THIS IS KIMBERLY N. SIMMONS REGARIDNG PROPOSITION K MISLEADING INFORMATION TALKING ABOUT THE CORONAVIRUS NOW MENTIONALING GREAT HIGHWAY 1 CLOSING IS FRAUD AND I MIGHT HAVE MENTION IT IN LETTER REGARDING THE VOTE HOWEVER I'M NOT SURE WHO HAS LEAD THE VOTE REGARDING PROPOSITION K IS FRAUD ATTEMPT TO SHUT GREAT HIGHWAY 1 FRAUD INTENTIONS AFTER I WROTE A LETTERS TO THE CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO AND VARIOUS OTHER AGENCIES REGARIDNG GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE (PROPOSITION K FRAUD ATTEMPT TO SHUT DOWN GREAT HIGHWAY 1 WITHOUT MY CONSENT THEY WENT AHEAD WITH THE VOTE HOWEVER IN MENTION THE GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 TURNING IT INTO PLAYHOUSE IT USED TO BE ALONG HOWEVER WITH GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES ETC., SHUTTING DOWN GREAT HIGHWAY 1 WAS NOT IN MENTION OF THE LETTER THAT I WROTE REGARDING RECONSTRUCTION GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE. I DON'T RECALL MENTIONING SHUTTING DOWN GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC.., IN THE VOTE THE VOTE WAS IN REFERENCE TO GREAT HIGHWAY 1.101 ETC.. FOR SAFETY REASON BEFORE THE SAN ANDREAS FAULT-LINE SPLIT PROPOSITION K HAS NOT MERITS ILLEGAL VOTE FRAUD TO TAKE OVER GREAT HIGHWAY 1.101 ETC., PROJECT THAT I HAVE BEEN WORKING ON AND ILLEGAL VOTE PROPOSITION K TO SHUT DOWN GREAT HIGHWAY 1, 101 ETC., WAS NOT IN MENTION OF THE VOTE TO TURN INTO A PARK HOWEVER IN LETTERS I MENTION TO TURNING INTO PLAYHOUSE IT ONCE WAS WITH CONTINUING GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SHUTTING DOWN HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., WAS NOT IN MENTION VOTE AS I MENTION IN A LETTER TO TURN IN TO PLAYHOUSE; PARK IT ONCE WAS WITH CONTINUING GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION HOWEVER GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION WAS THE ULTIMATE GOAL REGARIDNG THE VOTING NOT SHUTTING GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 FOR A PARK IT MISLEADING CONFUSING TALKING ABOUT THE CORONAVIRUS HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH GREAT HIGHWAY 1 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION. I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS FURTHER. THANK YOU

PROPOSITION K(GREAT 1, 101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION)


PROPOSITION K GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORT BOATS RIDES HOMES AND MORE KIMBERLY N. SIMMONS

GREAT HIGHWAY 1 101 GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE | P.O. BOX 475702 SAN FRANCICO,CA. 94147

HI, THIS IS KIMBERLY N. SIMMONS REGARIDNG PROPISTION K MISLEADING INFOMRATION TALKING ABOUT THE CORONAVIRUS NOW MENTIONALING GREAT HIGHWAY 1 CLOSING IS FRAUD AND I MIGHT HAVE MENTION IT IN LETTER REGARDING THE VOTE HOWEVER I'M NOT SURE WHO LHAS LEAD THE VOTE REGARDING PROPISTION K IS FRAUD ATTEMP TO SHUT GREAT HIGHWAY 1 FRAUD INTENTIONS AFTER I WROTE A LETTERS TO THE CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO AND VARIOUS OTHER AGENCIES REGARIDNG GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE (PROPISTION K FRAUD ATTEMPT TO SHUT DOWN GREAT HIGHWAY 1 WITHOUT MY CONCENT THEY WENT AHEAD WITH THE VOTE HOWEVER IN MENTION THE GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 TURNING IT INTO PLAYHOUSE IT USED TO BE ALONG HOWEVER WITH GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES ETC., SHUTTING DOWN GREAT HIGHWAY 1 WAS NOT IN MENTION OF THE LETTER THAT I WROTE REGARDING RECONSTRUCTION GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE. I DON'T RECALL MENTIONING SHUTTING DOWN GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC.., IN THE VOTE THE VOTE WAS IN REFERENCE TO GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., FOR SAFTEY REASON BEFORE THE SAN ANDREAS FAULT-LINE SPLIT PROPISTION K HAS NOT MERITS ILLEGALL VOTE FRAUD TO TAKE OVER GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., PROJECT THAT I HAVE BEEN WORKINGO N AND ILLEGAL VOTE PROPISTION K TO SHIT DOWN GREAT HIGHWAY 1, 101 ETC., WAS NOT IN MENTION OF THE VOTE TO TURN INTO A PARK HOWEVER IN LETTERS I MENTION TO TURNING INTO PLAYHOUSE IT ONCE WAS WITH CONTINUTING GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., **RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SHUTING DOWN HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC.,** WAS NOT IN MENTION VOTE AS I MENTION IN A LETTER TO TURN IN TO PLAYHOUSE; PARK IT ONCE WAS WITH CONTINUING GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., **RECONSTRUTION HOWEVER GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION** WAS THE ULTIMATE GOAL REGARIDING THE VOTING NOT SHUTTING GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 FOR A PARK IT MISLEADING CONFUSING TALKING ABOUT THE CORONAVIRUS HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH GREAT HIGHWAY 1 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION. I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS FURTHER. THANK YOU




GREAT HIGHWAY 1, 101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE....










shutterstock.com · 2413547451








GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION BRIDGE - POINT BONITA







GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORT BOAT RIDS HOMES AND MORE







OLD HIGHWAY 1,101 RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORT BOAT RIDES AND MORE















PACIFICA STATE BEACH











PROPOSITION K(GREAT 1, 101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION)


PROPOSITION K GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORT BOATS RIDES HOMES AND MORE KIMBERLY N. SIMMONS

GREAT HIGHWAY 1 101 GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE | P.O. BOX 475702 SAN FRANCICO,CA. 94147


HI, THIS IS KIMBERLY N. SIMMONS REGARIDNG PROPOSITION K MISLEADING INFORMATION TALKING ABOUT THE CORONAVIRUS NOW MENTIONALING GREAT HIGHWAY 1 CLOSING IS FRAUD AND I MIGHT HAVE MENTION IT IN LETTER REGARDING THE VOTE HOWEVER I'M NOT SURE WHO HAS LEAD THE VOTE REGARDING PROPOSITION K IS FRAUD ATTEMPT TO SHUT GREAT HIGHWAY 1 FRAUD INTENTIONS AFTER I WROTE A LETTERS TO THE CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO AND VARIOUS OTHER AGENCIES REGARIDNG GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE (PROPOSITION K FRAUD ATTEMPT TO SHUT DOWN GREAT HIGHWAY 1 WITHOUT MY CONSENT THEY WENT AHEAD WITH THE VOTE HOWEVER IN MENTION THE GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 TURNING IT INTO PLAYHOUSE IT USED TO BE ALONG HOWEVER WITH GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES ETC., SHUTTING DOWN GREAT HIGHWAY 1 WAS NOT IN MENTION OF THE LETTER THAT I WROTE **REGARDING RECONSTRUCTION GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION** PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE. I DON'T RECALL MENTIONING SHUTTING DOWN GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC.., IN THE VOTE THE VOTE WAS IN REFERENCE TO GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., FOR SAFETY REASON BEFORE THE SAN ANDREAS FAULT-LINE SPLIT PROPOSITION K HAS NOT MERITS ILLEGAL VOTE FRAUD TO TAKE OVER GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., PROJECT THAT I HAVE BEEN WORKING ON AND ILLEGAL VOTE PROPOSITION K TO SHUT DOWN GREAT HIGHWAY 1, 101 ETC., WAS NOT IN MENTION OF THE VOTE TO TURN INTO A PARK HOWEVER IN LETTERS I MENTION TO TURNING INTO PLAYHOUSE IT ONCE WAS WITH CONTINUING GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SHUTTING DOWN HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., WAS NOT IN MENTION VOTE AS I MENTION IN A LETTER TO TURN IN TO PLAYHOUSE; PARK IT ONCE WAS WITH CONTINUING GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION HOWEVER GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION WAS THE ULTIMATE GOAL REGARIDNG THE VOTING NOT SHUTTING GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 FOR A PARK IT MISLEADING CONFUSING TALKING ABOUT THE CORONAVIRUS HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH GREAT HIGHWAY 1 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION. I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS FURTHER. THANK YOU




GREAT HIGHWAY 1, 101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE....










shutterstock.com · 2413547451









GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION BRIDGE - POINT BONITA







GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORT BOAT RIDS HOMES AND MORE







OLD HIGHWAY 1,101 RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORT BOAT RIDES AND MORE















PACIFICA STATE BEACH











PROPOSITION K(GREAT 1, 101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION)


PROPOSITION K GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORT BOATS RIDES HOMES AND MORE KIMBERLY N. SIMMONS

GREAT HIGHWAY 1 101 GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE | P.O. BOX 475702 SAN FRANCICO,CA. 94147

HI, THIS IS KIMBERLY N. SIMMONS REGARIDNG PROPISTION K MISLEADING INFOMRATION TALKING ABOUT THE CORONAVIRUS NOW MENTIONALING GREAT HIGHWAY 1 CLOSING IS FRAUD AND I MIGHT HAVE MENTION IT IN LETTER REGARDING THE VOTE HOWEVER I'M NOT SURE WHO LHAS LEAD THE VOTE REGARDING PROPISTION K IS FRAUD ATTEMP TO SHUT GREAT HIGHWAY 1 FRAUD INTENTIONS AFTER I WROTE A LETTERS TO THE CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO AND VARIOUS OTHER AGENCIES REGARIDNG GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE (PROPISTION K FRAUD ATTEMPT TO SHUT DOWN GREAT HIGHWAY 1 WITHOUT MY CONCENT THEY WENT AHEAD WITH THE VOTE HOWEVER IN MENTION THE GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 TURNING IT INTO PLAYHOUSE IT USED TO BE ALONG HOWEVER WITH GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES ETC., SHUTTING DOWN GREAT HIGHWAY 1 WAS NOT IN MENTION OF THE LETTER THAT I WROTE REGARDING RECONSTRUCTION GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE. I DON'T RECALL MENTIONING SHUTTING DOWN GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC.., IN THE VOTE THE VOTE WAS IN REFERENCE TO GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., FOR SAFTEY REASON BEFORE THE SAN ANDREAS FAULT-LINE SPLIT PROPISTION K HAS NOT MERITS ILLEGALL VOTE FRAUD TO TAKE OVER GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., PROJECT THAT I HAVE BEEN WORKINGO N AND ILLEGAL VOTE PROPISTION K TO SHIT DOWN GREAT HIGHWAY 1, 101 ETC., WAS NOT IN MENTION OF THE VOTE TO TURN INTO A PARK HOWEVER IN LETTERS I MENTION TO TURNING INTO PLAYHOUSE IT ONCE WAS WITH CONTINUTING GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., **RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SHUTING DOWN HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC.,** WAS NOT IN MENTION VOTE AS I MENTION IN A LETTER TO TURN IN TO PLAYHOUSE; PARK IT ONCE WAS WITH CONTINUING GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., **RECONSTRUTION HOWEVER GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION** WAS THE ULTIMATE GOAL REGARIDING THE VOTING NOT SHUTTING GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 FOR A PARK IT MISLEADING CONFUSING TALKING ABOUT THE CORONAVIRUS HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH GREAT HIGHWAY 1 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION. I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS FURTHER. THANK YOU




GREAT HIGHWAY 1, 101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE....










shutterstock.com · 2413547451








GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION BRIDGE - POINT BONITA







GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORT BOAT RIDS HOMES AND MORE







OLD HIGHWAY 1,101 RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORT BOAT RIDES AND MORE















PACIFICA STATE BEACH











From:	Kimberly Michelle Simmons
То:	Kimberly Michelle Simmons; kimberlymichellesimmons@gmail.com; kimberlymichellesimmons333@gmail.com; kimberlynechellesimmons@gmail.com; kimberlynechellsimmons@gmail.com; KIMBERLYMICHELLLESIMMONS11@gmail.com; SANANDREASGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com; compared of Comparison (POC) DDP/of a DDP/
	sanandreasseawall@gmail.com; sanandreassealwall@gmail.com; Board of Supervisors (BOS); RPDInfo, RPD (REC); GRANDCANYONGLOBALINFRA@gmail.com; 70ys@kgo-tv.com; 70NYOURSIDENINA@abc.com
Subject:	GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE
Date:	Wednesday, March 19, 2025 3:56:33 PM
Attachments:	GREAT HIGHWAY 1 101 RECONSTRUCTION PROPISTION K.docx GREAT HIGHWAY 1 101 RECONSTRUCTION PROPISTION K.docx

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN,

03/19/25

HI. THIS IS KIMBERLY N. SIMMONS REGARIDNG PROPOSITION K MISLEADING INFORMATION TALKING ABOUT THE CORONAVIRUS NOW MENTIONALING GREAT HIGHWAY 1 CLOSING IS FRAUD AND I MIGHT HAVE MENTION IT IN LETTER REGARDING THE VOTE HOWEVER I'M NOT SURE WHO HAS LEAD THE VOTE REGARDING PROPOSITION K IS FRAUD ATTEMPT TO SHUT GREAT HIGHWAY 1 FRAUD INTENTIONS AFTER I WROTE A LETTERS TO THE CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO AND VARIOUS OTHER AGENCIES REGARIDNG GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE (PROPOSITION K FRAUD ATTEMPT TO SHUT DOWN GREAT HIGHWAY 1 WITHOUT MY CONSENT THEY WENT AHEAD WITH THE VOTE HOWEVER IN MENTION THE GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 TURNING IT INTO PLAYHOUSE IT USED TO BE ALONG HOWEVER WITH GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES ETC. SHUTTING DOWN GREAT HIGHWAY 1 WAS NOT IN MENTION OF THE LETTER THAT I WROTE REGARDING RECONSTRUCTION GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE. I DON'T RECALL MENTIONING SHUTTING DOWN GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC.., IN THE VOTE THE VOTE WAS IN REFERENCE TO GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., FOR SAFETY REASON BEFORE THE SAN ANDREAS FAULT-LINE SPLIT PROPOSITION K HAS NOT MERITS ILLEGAL VOTE FRAUD TO TAKE OVER GREAT HIGHWAY 1.101 ETC.. PROJECT THAT I HAVE BEEN WORKING ON AND ILLEGAL VOTE PROPOSITION K TO SHUT DOWN GREAT HIGHWAY 1, 101 ETC., WAS NOT IN MENTION OF THE VOTE TO TURN INTO A PARK HOWEVER IN LETTERS I MENTION TO TURNING INTO PLAYHOUSE IT ONCE WAS WITH CONTINUING GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SHUTTING DOWN HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., WAS NOT IN MENTION VOTE AS I MENTION IN A LETTER TO TURN IN TO PLAYHOUSE; PARK IT ONCE WAS WITH CONTINUING GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION HOWEVER GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION WAS THE ULTIMATE GOAL REGARIDNG THE VOTING NOT SHUTTING GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 FOR A PARK IT MISLEADING CONFUSING TALKING ABOUT THE CORONAVIRUS HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH GREAT HIGHWAY 1 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION, I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS FURTHER. THANK YOU

PROPOSITION K(GREAT 1, 101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION)


PROPOSITION K GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORT BOATS RIDES HOMES AND MORE KIMBERLY N. SIMMONS

GREAT HIGHWAY 1 101 GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE | P.O. BOX 475702 SAN FRANCICO,CA. 94147


HI, THIS IS KIMBERLY N. SIMMONS REGARIDNG PROPOSITION K MISLEADING INFORMATION TALKING ABOUT THE CORONAVIRUS NOW MENTIONALING GREAT HIGHWAY 1 CLOSING IS FRAUD AND I MIGHT HAVE MENTION IT IN LETTER REGARDING THE VOTE HOWEVER I'M NOT SURE WHO HAS LEAD THE VOTE REGARDING PROPOSITION K IS FRAUD ATTEMPT TO SHUT GREAT HIGHWAY 1 FRAUD INTENTIONS AFTER I WROTE A LETTERS TO THE CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO AND VARIOUS OTHER AGENCIES REGARIDNG GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE (PROPOSITION K FRAUD ATTEMPT TO SHUT DOWN GREAT HIGHWAY 1 WITHOUT MY CONSENT THEY WENT AHEAD WITH THE VOTE HOWEVER IN MENTION THE GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 TURNING IT INTO PLAYHOUSE IT USED TO BE ALONG HOWEVER WITH GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES ETC., SHUTTING DOWN GREAT HIGHWAY 1 WAS NOT IN MENTION OF THE LETTER THAT I WROTE **REGARDING RECONSTRUCTION GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION** PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE. I DON'T RECALL MENTIONING SHUTTING DOWN GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC.., IN THE VOTE THE VOTE WAS IN REFERENCE TO GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., FOR SAFETY REASON BEFORE THE SAN ANDREAS FAULT-LINE SPLIT PROPOSITION K HAS NOT MERITS ILLEGAL VOTE FRAUD TO TAKE OVER GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., PROJECT THAT I HAVE BEEN WORKING ON AND ILLEGAL VOTE PROPOSITION K TO SHUT DOWN GREAT HIGHWAY 1, 101 ETC., WAS NOT IN MENTION OF THE VOTE TO TURN INTO A PARK HOWEVER IN LETTERS I MENTION TO TURNING INTO PLAYHOUSE IT ONCE WAS WITH CONTINUING GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SHUTTING DOWN HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., WAS NOT IN MENTION VOTE AS I MENTION IN A LETTER TO TURN IN TO PLAYHOUSE; PARK IT ONCE WAS WITH CONTINUING GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION HOWEVER GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION WAS THE ULTIMATE GOAL REGARIDNG THE VOTING NOT SHUTTING GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 FOR A PARK IT MISLEADING CONFUSING TALKING ABOUT THE CORONAVIRUS HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH GREAT HIGHWAY 1 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION. I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS FURTHER. THANK YOU




GREAT HIGHWAY 1, 101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE....








shutterstock.com · 2413547451




GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION BRIDGE - POINT BONITA







GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION BRIDGE - POINT BONITA





GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORT BOAT RIDS HOMES AND MORE







OLD HIGHWAY 1,101 RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORT BOAT RIDES AND MORE













PACIFICA STATE BEACH














UNITED STATED GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; SAN ANDREAS SEA WALL



PROPOSITION K(GREAT 1, 101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION)


PROPOSITION K GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORT BOATS RIDES HOMES AND MORE KIMBERLY N. SIMMONS

GREAT HIGHWAY 1 101 GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE | P.O. BOX 475702 SAN FRANCICO,CA. 94147


HI, THIS IS KIMBERLY N. SIMMONS REGARIDNG PROPOSITION K MISLEADING INFORMATION TALKING ABOUT THE CORONAVIRUS NOW MENTIONALING GREAT HIGHWAY 1 CLOSING IS FRAUD AND I MIGHT HAVE MENTION IT IN LETTER REGARDING THE VOTE HOWEVER I'M NOT SURE WHO HAS LEAD THE VOTE REGARDING PROPOSITION K IS FRAUD ATTEMPT TO SHUT GREAT HIGHWAY 1 FRAUD INTENTIONS AFTER I WROTE A LETTERS TO THE CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO AND VARIOUS OTHER AGENCIES REGARIDNG GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE (PROPOSITION K FRAUD ATTEMPT TO SHUT DOWN GREAT HIGHWAY 1 WITHOUT MY CONSENT THEY WENT AHEAD WITH THE VOTE HOWEVER IN MENTION THE GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 TURNING IT INTO PLAYHOUSE IT USED TO BE ALONG HOWEVER WITH GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES ETC., SHUTTING DOWN GREAT HIGHWAY 1 WAS NOT IN MENTION OF THE LETTER THAT I WROTE **REGARDING RECONSTRUCTION GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION** PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE. I DON'T RECALL MENTIONING SHUTTING DOWN GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC.., IN THE VOTE THE VOTE WAS IN REFERENCE TO GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., FOR SAFETY REASON BEFORE THE SAN ANDREAS FAULT-LINE SPLIT PROPOSITION K HAS NOT MERITS ILLEGAL VOTE FRAUD TO TAKE OVER GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., PROJECT THAT I HAVE BEEN WORKING ON AND ILLEGAL VOTE PROPOSITION K TO SHUT DOWN GREAT HIGHWAY 1, 101 ETC., WAS NOT IN MENTION OF THE VOTE TO TURN INTO A PARK HOWEVER IN LETTERS I MENTION TO TURNING INTO PLAYHOUSE IT ONCE WAS WITH CONTINUING GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SHUTTING DOWN HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., WAS NOT IN MENTION VOTE AS I MENTION IN A LETTER TO TURN IN TO PLAYHOUSE; PARK IT ONCE WAS WITH CONTINUING GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION HOWEVER GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION WAS THE ULTIMATE GOAL REGARIDNG THE VOTING NOT SHUTTING GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 FOR A PARK IT MISLEADING CONFUSING TALKING ABOUT THE CORONAVIRUS HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH GREAT HIGHWAY 1 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION. I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS FURTHER. THANK YOU




GREAT HIGHWAY 1, 101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE....








shutterstock.com · 2413547451




GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION BRIDGE - POINT BONITA







GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION BRIDGE - POINT BONITA





GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORT BOAT RIDS HOMES AND MORE







OLD HIGHWAY 1,101 RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORT BOAT RIDES AND MORE













PACIFICA STATE BEACH














UNITED STATED GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; SAN ANDREAS SEA WALL




SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; SAN ANDREAS SEA "SEAL" WALL


RECEIVED BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SAN FRANCISCO 2025 MAR 20 AM14:06

UNITED NATION'S: INDEPENDENT COUNTRY GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; UNITED STATES ETC., SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; SAN ANDREAS SEA "SEAL" WALL

KIMBERLY N. SIMMONS SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY | P.O. BOX 475702 SAN FRANCISCO, CA. 94147 (415) 368SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE SAN ANDREAS SEA "SEAL" WALL <u>SANANDREASGLOBALINFRA@GMAIL.COM</u>



SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE SAN ANDREAS SEA "SEAL" WALL SANANDREASGLOBALINFRA@GMAIL.COM




SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE SAN ANDREAS SEA "SEAL" WALL SANANDREASGLOBALINFRA@GMAIL.COM


San Andreas Fault



Copyright 2012

BestSyndication.com







EXPLORE WORLD-RENOWNED DESTINATIONS

ERANGS GOEM

· HANT WOODST - CIT & AN STR. ANTS

ADDING A DISK OCCUPATION.


"
I PACIFIC OCEAN HAP


A TARALLA - ATHUR A REALTARY - PARA


U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR




NATIONAL PARK SERVICE













GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE... (OLD HIGHWAY 1 ETC., GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE












GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., - POINT BONITA ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE...









BUCKET LIST SPOTS

CAPE FLATTERY, WA CLAGUNA BEACH LA PUSH BEACHES, WA CO SHARK FIN COVE. CA CAPE DISAPPOINTMENT () SANTA CRUZ, CA CANNON BEACH, OR BIG SUR STATE PARK CAPE KIWANDA, OR BIXBY CREEK BRIDGE THOR'S WELL OR SAMUEL H. BOARDMAN MCWAY FALLS REDWOOD NTL. PARK SAN LUIS OBISPO BLACK SAND BEACH SANTA MONICA PIER MENDOCINO, CA POINT REYES, NTL. SEA. SAN DIEGO, CA

🔘 SOL DUC FALLS, WA 👘 🔘 SAN FRANCISCO, CA HUNTINGTON BEACH NEWPORT BEACH, CA

WWW.THEMANDAGIES.COM

GOLDEN GATE OCEAN BEACH;GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE

UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; GOLDEN GATE OCEAN BEACH; GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE GLOLBAL INFRASTRUCTURE GREAT HIGHWAY 1 ETC. (SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE) KIMBERLY N. SIMMONS


UNITED NATION'S GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTUE COMPANY | P.O. BOX 475702 SAN FRANCISCO, CA. 94147 (213) 414-1947 UNITEDNATIONGLOBALINFRA@GMAIL.COM

UNITED NATION'S; UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY




GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE HIGHWAY & TRANSPORTATION DISTRICT

GOLDEN GATE OCEAN BEACH (SAN FRANCISCO; BAY AREA ETC.,) GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION




UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANY








UNITED STATES OF CANADA OPTIONAL (TRAIN ETC.,)





UNITED STATES OF CANADA OPTIONAL (TRAIN ETC.,)

















BLACK DRAGON TRAIN GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE



BLACK DRAGON TRAIN GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE



shutterstock.com · 1921071854



PACIFIC COAST HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS; BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE





UNITED STATES GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE SAN ANDREAS GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE; SAN ANDREAS SEA WALL SANANDREASGLOBALINFRA@GMAIL.COM


San Andreas Fault



Copyright 2012

BestSyndication.com


WEST PORTAL TUNNEL SAN FRANCISCO- MARIN COUNTY ETC., (GOLDEN GATE OCEAN BEACH; GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE ETC.,) SAN FRANCISCO- LOS ANGELES ETC., GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 RECONSTRUCTION



WEST PORTAL TUNNEL SAN FRANCISCO- MARIN COUNTY ETC., (GOLDEN GATE OCEAN BEACH; GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE ETC.,) SAN FRANCISCO- LOS ANGELES ETC., GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 RECONSTRUCTION



GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE...



GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE ...

BUCKET LIST SPOTS

SOL DUC FALLS, WA SAN FRANCISCO, CA CAPE FLATTERY, WA COLLAGUNA BEACH ○ LA PUSH BEACHES, WA ○ SHARK FIN COVE. CA CAPE DISAPPOINTMENT SANTA CRUZ, CA CANNON BEACH, OR BIG SUR STATE PARK CAPE KIWANDA, OR O BIXBY CREEK BRIDGE O THOR'S WELL OR O HUNTINGTON BEACH 🔘 SAMUEL H. BOARDMAN 🔘 🔿 REDWOOD NTL. PARK 🔘 SAN LUIS OBISPO BLACK SAND BEACH MENDOCINO, CA POINT REYES, NTL. SEA.

MCWAY FALLS SANTA MONICA PIER NEWPORT BEACH, CA SAN DIEGO. CA

WWW.THEMANDACIES.COM

GOLDEN GATE NATIONAL RECREATION AREA

En port with the

EXPLORE WORLD-RENOWNED DESTINATIONS

"" PACIFIC OCEAN """


SANERABOISC

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR


Con The Lot File



NATIONAL PARK SERVICE GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS; BOAT RIDES, HOMES AND MORE... GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE ROUND SAN FRANCISO BAY AREA -MARIN HEADLANDS ETC.,



SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE- 49X49, LOS ANGELES, CA., OREGON, WASHINGTON STATE ETC., GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS; BOATS RIDES, HOMES AND MORE... (SAN ANDREAS SEA WALL)




SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA WATER TRAIL




SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA WATER TRATEL

GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS; BOAT RIDES, HOMES AND MORE...




GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS; BOAT RIDES, HOMES AND MORE...







GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PIER 43 PORT OF SAN FRANCISCO (TRAIN WEST LAKE TUNNEL)



TWIN PEAKS GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE; ROUND SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA 49X49 – LOS ANGELES, CA., OREGON, WASHINGTON STATE ETC.,



TWIN PEAKS GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE; ROUND SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA 49X49 – LOS ANGELES, CA., OREGON, WASHINGTON STATE ETC.,




TWIN PEAKS PANORAMIC VIEW GODLEN GATE BRIDGE 49X49 SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA- LOS ANGELES, CA. GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PASSENGER TRAINS SEAPORTS BOAT RIDES HOMES AND MORE...



shutterstock.com · 2420150413

TWIN PEAKS MOUNTAINS GOLDEN GATE; BRIDGE OCEAN BEACH SAN FRANCSICO BAY AREA PANORAMIC VIEW




TWIN PEAK WEST PORTAL TRAIN TUNNELS SAN FRANCISCO-MARIN COUNTY




TWIN PEAK WEST PORTAL TRAIN TUNNELS SAN FRANCISCO-MARIN COUNTY




TWIN PEAKS TRAIN TUNNLES ETC., SAN FRANCISCO- MARIN COUNTY ETC., (THROUGH THE MOUNTAINS)




TWIN PEAKS TRAIN TUNNLES ETC., SAN FRANCISCO- MARIN COUNTY ETC., (THROUGH THE MOUNTAINS)



GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PIER 43 PORT OF SAN FRANCISCO (WEST LAKE TUNNEL)





GREAT HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION PIER 43 PORT OF SAN FRANCISCO




· plan


MAYOR SHAW OF LOS ANGLES SLUASON STORM DRAIN AND SEWERS SYSTEM (USA GROUND FOUNDATION)







Shaw was a large property owner who was active in the <u>United Commercial Travelers'</u> <u>Association</u> when he filed for the 1925 election in the <u>8th Council District</u>. He was then living at 110 West 59th Place in the Florence District.¹¹⁴ He won reelection to two-year terms in 1925 and 1927. (UNITED NATION'S GROUND FOUNDATION)









¥











MAYOR SHAW OF LOS ANGLES SLUASON STORM DRAIN AND SEWERS SYSTEM (USA GROUND FOUNDATION)





Shaw was a large property owner who was active in the <u>United Commercial Travelers'</u> <u>Association</u> when he filed for the 1925 election in the <u>8th Council District</u>. He was then living at 110 West 59th Place in the Florence District.^[15] He won reelection to two-year terms in 1925 and 1927. (UNITED NATION'S GROUND FOUNDATION)

10/12/24

HI, THIS IS KIMBERLY SIMMONS REGARDING PACIFIC COAST HIGHWAY 1,101 ETC., RECONSTRUCTION (GOLDEN GATE "NO FLY" ZONE; TERRORIST ATTACK PREVENTION) I THINK IT'LL BE A GREAT IDEA TO RESTORE THE NIKE MISSLES ALONG PCH ETC., STARTING GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE BENEATH THE SURFACE "EYE OF VOLCANO" I SENSE ANOTHER 911 ATTACK BENEATH THE SURFACE GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE BENEATH THE SURFACE AND I THINK WE SHOULD PROTECT GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE BENEATH THE SURFACE VOLCANO MIGHT WANT TO CONTACT THE NATIONAL PARK SERVICES, USGS OFFICE VOLCANO ASSESSESSMENT, NOAA, NASA ETC., FOR ASISTANCE HOWEVVER I FEW IDEA'S I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS I ENCLOSED MORE INFORMATION AND I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS FURTHER THANK YOU

SINCERELY,

KIMBERLY SIMMONS

(415) 636-2818

Item 17

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS);
	BOS-Operations; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	FW: Urgent: In support of the General Civil Legal Services budget line
Date:	Thursday, March 20, 2025 1:34:43 PM

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below from Paul Van Peursem regarding funding for General Civil Legal Services at the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (MOHCD).

Regards,

Richard Lagunte Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163 bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Paul Van Peursem <pavanpeursem@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 16, 2025 8:13 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Urgent: In support of the General Civil Legal Services budget line

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Supervisors,

My name is Paul Van Peursem, and I am writing as a resident of District 8, having lived in San Francisco for 12 years. I am also writing as a congregant of City Church San Francisco, on behalf of our church's partner Open Door Legal. Open Door Legal is a vital organization that has proven to reduce poverty and homelessness by providing free legal services to the most vulnerable members of our community.

This week, we learned that **the line item which supports General Civil Legal Services at MOHCD was completely cut.** This is Open Door Legal's largest funding source and they had no warning that this was even a possibility. As a supporter of their crucial work on behalf of those most in need in San Francisco, I am dismayed by this proposal.

Open Door Legal's work prevents homelessness at the source. Cutting funding for legal services – services that provide help for our low-income neighbors who are often just a single paycheck away from losing housing – will only require increased funding down the road for shelter and emergency services. This is short-sighted and an actively counter-productive use of our city's resources: it not only fails our vulnerable residents, but works against our city's stated priorities of reducing homelessness and poverty.

As it stands, this is a draft proposal from MOHCD, so I and my fellow congregants are **writing to ask you to make every effort to restore this line item.**

If this cut goes through, Open Door Legal will be forced to shutter at least one of their community offices, conduct significant layoffs, and will be unable to help at least 900 more San Franciscans, along with their family members, an annual basis.ODL estimates that at least \$10 million will be lost by low-income San Franciscans each year due to lack of civil legal representation, and that homelessness will rise by 4% per year.

One of Open Door Legal's mantras is "the law belongs to everyone." I urge you to help make that true in San Francisco and advocate for MOHCD to restore this funding to help the people in our city who need it most.

Thank you, <mark>Paul Van Peursem</mark> 706-993-0716

Item 18

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); BOS-Operations; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	16th Street BART Station
Date:	Thursday, March 20, 2025 1:23:27 PM
Attachments:	<u>2 letters.pdf</u>

Hello,

Please see the attached 2 letters from Alexia Rotberg regarding 16th Street Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) Station.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163 bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.



Item 19

(English translation on the following page)

18 de marzo de 2025

Estimados Supervisores de la Ciudad y Condado de San Francisco:

Somos el Comité Central de San Francisco de Fe en Acción Área de la Bahía, donde nuestro principal propósito es identificar y desarrollar el liderazgo de la comunidad, donde las personas que viven las iniquidades son las que guia sus luchas, y fue asi que la comunidad unio esfuerzos para lograr que la proposición M llegara a la boleta de votación en el 2022.

Entendemos que la Proposicion M esta detenida en la corte de apelaciones por las grandes corporaciones de bienes raices, y que la Junta de Supervisores esta llevando en camino una ordenanza que detendría a recaudar el impuesto a las mas de 60,000 unidades vacantes que actualmente existen en esta ciudad de San Francisco, mientras la proposición M esta detenida en la corte de apelaciones.

Y ante esta crisis de vivienda que existe, nos encantaria conocer que es el plan que la Junta de Supervisores pondrá en marcha o como enfrentaran o suplirán este impuesto, cuyos ingresos se utilizarán para crear más viviendas asequibles y subsidios de renta para adultos mayores y familias de bajos ingresos, ya que como ciudad tienen una emergencia con mas de 3,000 niños y mas de 3,000 adultos mayores en situacion de calle.

La Proposición M fue una luz de esperanza para las personas mas vulnerables y fue ganada a través del voto. Como ciudad no podemos decepcionar a tantas personas que volvieron a creer en volver a ejercer su voto. Como lideres comunitarios con Fe en Acción Área de la Bahía, que trabajamos y conectamos con miles de votantes y no votantes nos interesa conocer su plan de como van a reemplazar estos fondos mientras el impuesto de las unidades vacantes esta en la corte de apelaciones.

Sinceramente, El Comité Central de San Francisco de Fe en Acción Área de la Bahía

> RECEIVED BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SAN FRANCISCO 2025 MAR 18 PM02:56

2 BUS 11

English translation

March 18, 2025

Honorable Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco:

We are the San Francisco Leadership Committee of Faith in Action Bay Area. Our main purpose is to identify and develop community leadership, where the people directly impacted by injustice are leading the struggle against it—and that is why we united as a community and worked to get Proposition M, the Empty Homes Tax, on the ballot in 2022.

We understand that Proposition M is being held up in the appeals court due to a lawsuit by big real estate corporations, and that while the measure is being held up in court, the Board of Supervisors is moving forward with an ordinance that would stop collection of the tax on the 60,000+ vacant units that currently exist in this city of San Francisco,

Given that we face a severe housing crisis, we would like to know what plan the Board of Supervisors will put in place to address the loss of revenue that this tax would have generated for more affordable housing and rental subsidies for seniors and low-income families, remembering that we face an emergency situation with over 3,000 homeless seniors and 3,000 homeless children living in our City.

Proposition M was a beacon of hope for the most vulnerable and was won through the will of the voters. As a City, we cannot disappoint all the people who showed their belief and hope in a better future by exercising their vote. As community leaders with Faith in Action Bay Area who are connected with thousands of voters and non-voters, we would like to know your plan as leaders for how to replace these essential funds while the Empty Homes Tax is being appealed in court.

Sincerely,

The San Francisco Leadership Committee of Bay Area Faith in Action

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); BOS-Operations; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	File No. 250001- Empty Homes Tax - 6 letters
Date:	Tuesday, March 18, 2025 1:36:00 PM
Attachments:	File No 250001 6 letters.pdf

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached 6 letters from members of the public regarding:

File No.250001 - Ordinance amending the Business and Tax Regulations Code to suspend the Empty Homes Tax pending a final decision in Eric Debbane, et al. v. City & County of San Francisco, et al., San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CGC-23-604600, retroactive to January 1, 2024, and to reinstate that tax so that the tax first applies in the tax year immediately following the calendar year of that final decision.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163 bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: To:	<u>Charlotte Worcester</u> <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS); Chan</u> t	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;
Subject: Date:	SauterStaff I Support Suspension of Empty Ho Monday, March 17, 2025 5:52:26	mes Tax and Oppose any Attempt to Circumvent the Court with "Amendments" PM
This	s message is from outside the City emai	I system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	pard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Charlotte Worcester
	Email	beaubarlotte@yahoo.com
	I live in District	
		I Support Suspension of Empty Homes Tax and Oppose any Attempt to Circumvent the Court with "Amendments"
	Message:	Dear Supervisor,
		I urge you to suspend the Empty Homes Tax (in accordance with the court's ruling) and to oppose any "amendments" or attempts to circumvent the court's ruling.
		On November 26, 2024, the San Francisco Superior Court ruled the Empty Homes Vacancy Tax amounts to an unconstitutional taking of property by the city and trespasses on property owners' rights to allow family to live in apartments or keep certain units empty, rather than leasing to strangers.
		The court further ruled that the San Francisco tax violates rights to privacy under the California state constitution by forcing them to "share the property on which they reside with others, against their will," or face what amounts to a costly annual financial penalty.
		The court enjoined San Francisco from implementing this tax. The difference between the court's ruling and what certain Supervisors seek to do is that even if the Board of Supervisors votes to "suspend" collection, any amendment requiring planning for these taxes wastes precious city resources. Yes, the final step, actual collection will be suspended until final disposition, but San Francisco should not be

If the final disposition is that this law is unconstitutional then any efforts to monitor, calculate and determine taxes will have been a waste of city resources. And this is not an easy tax to determine, proponents of Prop M indicated during the campaign that determination of who owes this penalty for not physically being in their home for the required number of days would include monitoring utility bills and neighbors reporting on one another when questioned by city workers.

This rather chilling behavior should not be allowed unless absolutely necessary and with the court's blessing.

While there is no legal objection to "suspending" the Empty Homes Tax, I urge you to oppose approving any other action on the Empty Homes Tax until final disposition, when, should it be deemed unconstitutional you will not need to do anything and would not have wasted city resources.

Sincerely, C. Worcester

From: To:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;	
Subject: Date:	Tuesday, March 18, 2025 5:20:36	mes Tax and Oppose any Attempt to Circumvent the Court with "Amendments" AM	
This	s message is from outside the City emai	l system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.	
	Message to the Bo	pard of Supervisors and Mayor	
	From your constituent	Stephanie Lehman	
	Email	slehman21@yahoo.com	
	I live in District		
		I Support Suspension of Empty Homes Tax and Oppose any Attempt to Circumvent the Court with "Amendments"	
	Message:	Dear Supervisor,	
		I urge you to suspend the Empty Homes Tax (in accordance with the court's ruling) and to oppose any "amendments" or attempts to circumvent the court's ruling.	
		On November 26, 2024, the San Francisco Superior Court ruled the Empty Homes Vacancy Tax amounts to an unconstitutional taking of property by the city and trespasses on property owners' rights to allow family to live in apartments or keep certain units empty, rather than leasing to strangers.	
		The court further ruled that the San Francisco tax violates rights to privacy under the California state constitution by forcing them to "share the property on which they reside with others, against their will," or face what amounts to a costly annual financial penalty.	
		The court enjoined San Francisco from implementing this tax. The difference between the court's ruling and what certain Supervisors seek to do is that even if the Board of Supervisors votes to "suspend" collection, any amendment requiring planning for these taxes wastes precious city resources. Yes, the final step, actual collection will be suspended until final disposition, but San Francisco should not be	

If the final disposition is that this law is unconstitutional then any efforts to monitor, calculate and determine taxes will have been a waste of city resources. And this is not an easy tax to determine, proponents of Prop M indicated during the campaign that determination of who owes this penalty for not physically being in their home for the required number of days would include monitoring utility bills and neighbors reporting on one another when questioned by city workers.

This rather chilling behavior should not be allowed unless absolutely necessary and with the court's blessing.

While there is no legal objection to "suspending" the Empty Homes Tax, I urge you to oppose approving any other action on the Empty Homes Tax until final disposition, when, should it be deemed unconstitutional you will not need to do anything and would not have wasted city resources.

From: To: Subject:	SauterStaff I Support Suspension of Empty Ho	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; mes Tax and Oppose any Attempt to Circumvent the Court with "Amendments"
Date:	Tuesday, March 18, 2025 9:29:35 s message is from outside the City emai	I system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Jennifer Z yan
	Email	popcorn-kidder.3s@icloud.com
	I live in District	
		I Support Suspension of Empty Homes Tax and Oppose any Attempt to Circumvent the Court with "Amendments"
	Message:	Dear Supervisor,
		I urge you to suspend the Empty Homes Tax (in accordance with the court's ruling) and to oppose any "amendments" or attempts to circumvent the court's ruling.
		On November 26, 2024, the San Francisco Superior Court ruled the Empty Homes Vacancy Tax amounts to an unconstitutional taking of property by the city and trespasses on property owners' rights to allow family to live in apartments or keep certain units empty, rather than leasing to strangers.
		The court further ruled that the San Francisco tax violates rights to privacy under the California state constitution by forcing them to "share the property on which they reside with others, against their will," or face what amounts to a costly annual financial penalty.
		The court enjoined San Francisco from implementing this tax. The difference between the court's ruling and what certain Supervisors seek to do is that even if the Board of Supervisors votes to "suspend" collection, any amendment requiring planning for these taxes wastes precious city resources. Yes, the final step, actual collection will be suspended until final disposition, but San Francisco should not be

If the final disposition is that this law is unconstitutional then any efforts to monitor, calculate and determine taxes will have been a waste of city resources. And this is not an easy tax to determine, proponents of Prop M indicated during the campaign that determination of who owes this penalty for not physically being in their home for the required number of days would include monitoring utility bills and neighbors reporting on one another when questioned by city workers.

This rather chilling behavior should not be allowed unless absolutely necessary and with the court's blessing.

While there is no legal objection to "suspending" the Empty Homes Tax, I urge you to oppose approving any other action on the Empty Homes Tax until final disposition, when, should it be deemed unconstitutional you will not need to do anything and would not have wasted city resources.

From: To: Subject:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> omes Tax and Oppose any Attempt to Circumvent the Court with "Amendments"
Date:	Tuesday, March 18, 2025 9:57:37	AM
This	message is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	pard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Robert Chan
	Email	RobertYChan@aol.com
	I live in District	
		I Support Suspension of Empty Homes Tax and Oppose any Attempt to Circumvent the Court with "Amendments"
	Message:	Dear Supervisor,
		I urge you to suspend the Empty Homes Tax (in accordance with the court's ruling) and to oppose any "amendments" or attempts to circumvent the court's ruling.
		On November 26, 2024, the San Francisco Superior Court ruled the Empty Homes Vacancy Tax amounts to an unconstitutional taking of property by the city and trespasses on property owners' rights to allow family to live in apartments or keep certain units empty, rather than leasing to strangers.
		The court further ruled that the San Francisco tax violates rights to privacy under the California state constitution by forcing them to "share the property on which they reside with others, against their will," or face what amounts to a costly annual financial penalty.
		The court enjoined San Francisco from implementing this tax. The difference between the court's ruling and what certain Supervisors seek to do is that even if the Board of Supervisors votes to "suspend" collection, any amendment requiring planning for these taxes wastes precious city resources. Yes, the final step, actual collection will be suspended until final disposition, but San Francisco should not be

If the final disposition is that this law is unconstitutional then any efforts to monitor, calculate and determine taxes will have been a waste of city resources. And this is not an easy tax to determine, proponents of Prop M indicated during the campaign that determination of who owes this penalty for not physically being in their home for the required number of days would include monitoring utility bills and neighbors reporting on one another when questioned by city workers.

This rather chilling behavior should not be allowed unless absolutely necessary and with the court's blessing.

While there is no legal objection to "suspending" the Empty Homes Tax, I urge you to oppose approving any other action on the Empty Homes Tax until final disposition, when, should it be deemed unconstitutional you will not need to do anything and would not have wasted city resources.

From: To: Subject Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; mes Tax and Oppose any Attempt to Circumvent the Court with "Amendments" AM
Thi	s message is from outside the City emai	l system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Dorothy Chan
	Email	dorothywaichan@aol.com
	I live in District	
		I Support Suspension of Empty Homes Tax and Oppose any Attempt to Circumvent the Court with "Amendments"
	Message:	Dear Supervisor,
		I urge you to suspend the Empty Homes Tax (in accordance with the court's ruling) and to oppose any "amendments" or attempts to circumvent the court's ruling.
		On November 26, 2024, the San Francisco Superior Court ruled the Empty Homes Vacancy Tax amounts to an unconstitutional taking of property by the city and trespasses on property owners' rights to allow family to live in apartments or keep certain units empty, rather than leasing to strangers.
		The court further ruled that the San Francisco tax violates rights to privacy under the California state constitution by forcing them to "share the property on which they reside with others, against their will," or face what amounts to a costly annual financial penalty.
		The court enjoined San Francisco from implementing this tax. The difference between the court's ruling and what certain Supervisors seek to do is that even if the Board of Supervisors votes to "suspend" collection, any amendment requiring planning for these taxes wastes precious city resources. Yes, the final step, actual collection will be suspended until final disposition, but San Francisco should not be

If the final disposition is that this law is unconstitutional then any efforts to monitor, calculate and determine taxes will have been a waste of city resources. And this is not an easy tax to determine, proponents of Prop M indicated during the campaign that determination of who owes this penalty for not physically being in their home for the required number of days would include monitoring utility bills and neighbors reporting on one another when questioned by city workers.

This rather chilling behavior should not be allowed unless absolutely necessary and with the court's blessing.

While there is no legal objection to "suspending" the Empty Homes Tax, I urge you to oppose approving any other action on the Empty Homes Tax until final disposition, when, should it be deemed unconstitutional you will not need to do anything and would not have wasted city resources.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; mes Tax and Oppose any Attempt to Circumvent the Court with "Amendments" PM
This		I system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	pard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Aaliyah Yusuf
	Email	aaliyah@wcpm.com
	I live in District	
		I Support Suspension of Empty Homes Tax and Oppose any Attempt to Circumvent the Court with "Amendments"
	Message:	Dear Supervisor,
		I urge you to suspend the Empty Homes Tax (in accordance with the court's ruling) and to oppose any "amendments" or attempts to circumvent the court's ruling.
		On November 26, 2024, the San Francisco Superior Court ruled the Empty Homes Vacancy Tax amounts to an unconstitutional taking of property by the city and trespasses on property owners' rights to allow family to live in apartments or keep certain units empty, rather than leasing to strangers.
		The court further ruled that the San Francisco tax violates rights to privacy under the California state constitution by forcing them to "share the property on which they reside with others, against their will," or face what amounts to a costly annual financial penalty.
		The court enjoined San Francisco from implementing this tax. The difference between the court's ruling and what certain Supervisors seek to do is that even if the Board of Supervisors votes to "suspend" collection, any amendment requiring planning for these taxes wastes precious city resources. Yes, the final step, actual collection will be suspended until final disposition, but San Francisco should not be

If the final disposition is that this law is unconstitutional then any efforts to monitor, calculate and determine taxes will have been a waste of city resources. And this is not an easy tax to determine, proponents of Prop M indicated during the campaign that determination of who owes this penalty for not physically being in their home for the required number of days would include monitoring utility bills and neighbors reporting on one another when questioned by city workers.

This rather chilling behavior should not be allowed unless absolutely necessary and with the court's blessing.

While there is no legal objection to "suspending" the Empty Homes Tax, I urge you to oppose approving any other action on the Empty Homes Tax until final disposition, when, should it be deemed unconstitutional you will not need to do anything and would not have wasted city resources.

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); BOS-Operations; Board of Supervisors (BOS); Jalipa, Brent (BOS)
Subject:	FW: I Support Suspension of Empty Homes Tax and Oppose any Attempt to Circumvent the Court with "Amendments" File No. 250001
Date:	Thursday, March 20, 2025 1:26:21 PM

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below from Griffin Lee regarding:

File No. 2500001 - Ordinance amending the Business and Tax Regulations Code to suspend the Empty Homes Tax pending a final decision in Eric Debbane, et al. v. City & County of San Francisco, et al., San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CGC-23-604600, retroactive to January 1, 2024, and to reinstate that tax so that the tax first applies in the tax year immediately following the calendar year of that final decision.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163 bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees. The Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Griffin Lee <noreply@jotform.com>

Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2025 5:43 PM

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; FielderStaff <FielderStaff@sfgov.org>; ChenStaff <ChenStaff@sfgov.org>; MahmoodStaff <MahmoodStaff@sfgov.org>; SauterStaff <SauterStaff@sfgov.org>

Subject: I Support Suspension of Empty Homes Tax and Oppose any Attempt to Circumvent the Court with "Amendments"

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor		
From your constituent	Griffin Lee	
Email	griffin@connectedsf.com	
I live in District		
	I Support Suspension of Empty Homes Tax and Oppose any Attempt to Circumvent the Court with "Amendments"	
Message:	Dear Supervisor,	
	I urge you to suspend the Empty Homes Tax (in accordance with the court's ruling) and to oppose any "amendments" or attempts to circumvent the court's ruling.	
	On November 26, 2024, the San Francisco Superior Court ruled the Empty Homes Vacancy Tax amounts to an unconstitutional taking of property by the city and trespasses on property owners' rights to allow family to live in apartments or keep certain units empty, rather than leasing to strangers.	
	The court further ruled that the San Francisco tax violates rights to privacy under the California state constitution by forcing them to "share the property on which they reside with others, against their will," or face what amounts to a costly annual financial penalty.	
	The court enjoined San Francisco from implementing this tax. The difference between the court's ruling and what certain Supervisors seek to do is that even if the Board of Supervisors votes to "suspend" collection, any amendment requiring planning for these taxes wastes precious city resources. Yes, the final step, actual collection will be suspended until final disposition, but San Francisco should not be wasting precious resources on pursuing taxes that will likely be held unconstitutional in the final decision, especially while facing a massive deficit.	
	If the final disposition is that this law is unconstitutional then any efforts to monitor, calculate and determine taxes will have been a waste of city resources. And this is not an easy tax to determine, proponents of Prop M indicated during the campaign that determination of who owes this penalty for not	

physically being in their home for the required number of days would include monitoring utility bills and neighbors reporting on one another when questioned by city workers.

This rather chilling behavior should not be allowed unless absolutely necessary and with the court's blessing.

While there is no legal objection to "suspending" the Empty Homes Tax, I urge you to oppose approving any other action on the Empty Homes Tax until final disposition, when, should it be deemed unconstitutional you will not need to do anything and would not have wasted city resources.

Item 20

From: Board o	f Supervisors (BOS)
To: <u>BOS-Su</u>	pervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc: <u>Calvillo</u> ,	Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS);
BOS-Op	erations; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: FW: Re:	sidents Want Action and Results
Date: Thursda	ay, March 20, 2025 1:04:41 PM

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below from a member of the public regarding quality-of-life issues.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163 bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Trvlr <reydingo@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, March 17, 2025 1:41 PM
To: Mark Reynolds <reydingo@yahoo.com>
Subject: Residents Want Action and Results

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

San Francisco Residents - Tax Payers have seen-heard-read hundreds of plans.

Until we see results, just more rhetoric and broken promises in order to win a political race.

'Mayor Daniel Lurie unveils ambitious plan to address S.F. homelessness and mental health crises'

Our Family has not seen mass amounts of trash, drugs, homeless, drunks etc removed from our City Streets and many remain fearful to be out and about in the City.

U.S. Veteran

Item 21

From:	Board of Supervisors (BOS)
To:	BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides
Cc:	Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS);
	BOS-Operations; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject:	Spa investigations - 102 letters
Date:	Thursday, March 20, 2025 12:44:18 PM
Attachments:	102 letters.pdf

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached 102 letters from members of the public regarding the San Francisco Human Rights Commission's (HRC) investigation into traditional spas.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte Office of the Clerk of the Board San Francisco Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163 bos@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety PM	
This	This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.		
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor	
	From your constituent	Karina Velasquez	
	Email	kv@kvvlaw.com	
	I live in District		
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety	
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,	
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.	
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.	
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.	
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in	

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

n: ect: ::	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety ; PM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Be	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Tyler Clemons
	Email	tyler@tylerclemons.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into
		traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body
		scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy,
		and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days
		and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They
		are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals
		demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape
		conviction and a higher-than-average risk of
		reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious
		concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial
		discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race
		and sex. While racial segregation was about
		enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

om: bject: te:	SauterStaff	<u>IStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety p PM
This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.		
	Message to the Be	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Caroline Ayres
	Email	carolineayres6@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into
		traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body
		scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy,
		and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days
		and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They
		are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals
		demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape
		conviction and a higher-than-average risk of
		reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious
		concerns about the safety of women and girls in
		intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial
		discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race
		and sex. While racial segregation was about
		enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

m: oject: e:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety PM
This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.		
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Mark Fabela
	Email	markdfabela@hotmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

om:): Ibject: ate:	SauterStaff	i <u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety , PM
This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.		
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Susan Reynolds
	Email	proof_rumpus.0i@icloud.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; adations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety PM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Kathleen Hallinan
	Email	kathyleather@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety PM
This m	nessage is from outside the City emai	l system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	pard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Amanda Kovattana
	Email	akovattana@aol.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As you may be aware, April 1st is when the SFMTA board is expected to make a final decision about whether or not to approve harmful Muni service cuts for this summer. These deep cuts will be added to the roughly 20% of existing cuts that have already been enacted since 2019 and will hurt hundreds of thousands of daily Muni riders like me. It'll make it harder to get to work, school, important appointments, and shop at local businesses. I'm urging you to resolutely oppose any service cuts and use \$15 million from the city's general fund and/or SFMTA reserves to prevent Muni's cuts this summer.

Cutting or reducing service now will have detrimental effects on the city's economic recovery and take away a lifeline for many San Franciscans. The city's chief economist, Ted Egan, emphasized that "If we don't have a solvent transit agency, we will never have economic recovery." Although work from home has changed travel patterns, people across San Francisco and the Bay Area still come to the city every day to work and play. In fact, Muni ridership is recovering year-over-year with certain lines even exceeding pre-pandemic levels. Reducing service Downtown will lower ridership, harm Muni's recovery, and hurt working-class San Franciscans, seniors, people with disabilities, school children, and anyone who depends on these lines when traveling across the city.

Service cuts do not just affect transit riders. A 2014 study showed that for every dollar spent on Muni, 2-3 dollars were generated in economic benefits through reduced travel costs, time, traffic deaths, and more. But, the inverse is also true. With fewer people taking public transit more people will drive which will inevitably increase congestion, parking fees, and greenhouse gas emissions effectively making our city's entire transportation system less efficient and increasing the cost of living. All San Franciscans lose out without Muni.

Now is the time for the city to do everything in its power to prevent this summer's cuts while they are still manageable. Spending \$15m now will allow the SFMTA to delay Muni cuts until its larger budget deficit next year. This will give the agency more time to conduct real outreach and feedback on what services to cut, and gives the city more time to find a more sustainable funding solution.

On the other hand, cuts will lead to the loss of crucial votes necessary to pass legislation that can fund Muni long-term. If we allow these cuts to go through now, they will likely become permanent, and Muni's record-high approval rating will take a beating. No matter how small or short-lived the cut, it will still take months or years to backfill the positions lost – it takes the

agency 18 months to recover from one month of paused hiring.

Why risk cutting Muni service and programs like school crossing guards or low-income tow subsidies now when we still have the reserves to fund them?

SFMTA has been working for months to make changes within its control to balance its budget – including raising fares and pausing hiring – but this problem can't be solved by SFMTA alone. You must work with your colleagues in the local and state governments, SFMTA, as well as advocates, to find solutions that can be sustainable from the short to long term. Any Muni service cuts will be devastating. You must take action to solve San Francisco's transportation crisis. Please approve a \$15m general fund transfer to the SFMTA and urge the SFMTA Board of Directors to use its own reserves to prevent the imminent Muni service and program cuts.

Thank you.

Ellen California

n: ect: ::	SauterStaff	IStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety I PM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Susan Pete
	Email	susanfire@yahoo.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

om: o: ubject: ate:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety PM
This m	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Rachel Bordoli
	Email	rachelbordoli@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

n: ject: e:	SauterStaff	IStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; Indations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety I PM
This me	ssage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the B	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	philip healy
	Email	lfchere@yahoo.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based
		accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They
		are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape
		conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious
		concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about
		enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

: ect: :	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety PM
his me	ssage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the B	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Maryam Syed
	Email	maryam.syed@hey.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety PM
This me	ssage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Julie Lane
	Email	jujulie841969@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 0 PM
This m	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Alan Burradell
	Email	alanburradell@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; mes Tax and Oppose any Attempt to Circumvent the Court with "Amendments" 2 PM
This	s message is from outside the City emai	l system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	meesage to the De	
	From your constituent	Steven Callow
	Email	sdcallow79@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		I Support Suspension of Empty Homes Tax and Oppose any Attempt to Circumvent the Court with "Amendments"
	Message:	Dear Supervisor,
		I urge you to suspend the Empty Homes Tax (in accordance with the court's ruling) and to oppose any "amendments" or attempts to circumvent the court's ruling.
		On November 26, 2024, the San Francisco Superior Court ruled the Empty Homes Vacancy Tax amounts to an unconstitutional taking of property by the city and trespasses on property owners' rights to allow family to live in apartments or keep certain units empty, rather than leasing to strangers.
		The court further ruled that the San Francisco tax violates rights to privacy under the California state constitution by forcing them to "share the property on which they reside with others, against their will," or face what amounts to a costly annual financial penalty.
		The court enjoined San Francisco from implementing this tax. The difference between the court's ruling and what certain Supervisors seek to do is that even if the Board of Supervisors votes to "suspend" collection, any amendment requiring planning for these taxes wastes precious city resources. Yes, the final step, actual collection will be suspended until final disposition, but San Francisco should not be

wasting precious resources on pursuing taxes that will likely be held unconstitutional in the final decision, especially while facing a massive deficit.

If the final disposition is that this law is unconstitutional then any efforts to monitor, calculate and determine taxes will have been a waste of city resources. And this is not an easy tax to determine, proponents of Prop M indicated during the campaign that determination of who owes this penalty for not physically being in their home for the required number of days would include monitoring utility bills and neighbors reporting on one another when questioned by city workers.

This rather chilling behavior should not be allowed unless absolutely necessary and with the court's blessing.

While there is no legal objection to "suspending" the Empty Homes Tax, I urge you to oppose approving any other action on the Empty Homes Tax until final disposition, when, should it be deemed unconstitutional you will not need to do anything and would not have wasted city resources.

Sincerely,

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 1 PM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Arienne Adamčíková
	Email	arienne@voidstar.net
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; idations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 3 PM
This m	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Jennifer Z Yan
	Email	popcorn-kidder.3s@icloud.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 0 PM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Antonio L Flores
	Email	af683007@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

m: oject: te:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety :36 AM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	ail system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Be	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Marina Roche
	Email	marinaroche@icloud.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into
		traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body
		scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel
		uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days
		and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They
		are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals
		demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape
		conviction and a higher-than-average risk of
		reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious
		concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial
		discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race
		and sex. While racial segregation was about
		enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

om: : bject: te:	SauterStaff	IStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; Indations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety :44 AM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Geo Liu
	Email	gworld@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into
		traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body
		scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel
		uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone
		bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They
		are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals
		demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape
		conviction and a higher-than-average risk of
		reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious
		concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial
		discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race
		and sex. While racial segregation was about
		enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

ect: :	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety :40 AM		
This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.				
	Message to the B	oard of Supervisors and Mayor		
	From your constituent	Lynne Sloan		
	Email	lynnesloan@gmail.com		
	I live in District			
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety		
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,		
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.		
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.		
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.		
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in		

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To:		Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;			
Subject: Date:	SauterStaff Defend Reasonable Spa Accommo Wednesday, March 19, 2025 9:04:	dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety :46 AM			
This	This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.				
	Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor				
	From your constituent	Jane Berns			
	Email	jean747@mac.com			
	I live in District				
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety			
	Message:	Dear Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors and Commissioners:			
		As a lifelong Bay Area resident who regularly travels from Oakland to San Francisco specifically to visit the city's women's spas, I am writing to express my deep concern about the elimination of women-only hours at communal bathing facilities like Archimedes Banya and Imperial Day Spa. These limited accommodations (often just once monthly) serve crucial needs:			
		 They provide safe spaces for sexual assault survivors They respect religious modesty requirements for Muslim women They honor traditional Asian American bathing customs They preserve spaces for any women who need female-only environments 			
		Most alarmingly, one of the vocal protesters demanding access to women's nude spa facilities is Dakota Rose Austin, a convicted rapist with a high risk of reoffending, according to official assessment tools. This underscores legitimate safety concerns. Women-only bathing spaces have been part of San Francisco's cultural landscape for decades, including Osento, which operated in the Mission for over 30			

years. The limited provision of women-only hours represents a balanced approach that respects all community members.

I urge you to support the continuation of these reasonable accommodations as a matter of public safety and cultural preservation. San Francisco has always found balanced solutions to complex issues —this situation requires the same thoughtful consideration.

Thank you.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 47 AM
This m	essage is from outside the City ema	l system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	bard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Caroline Matthews
	Email	carolinem_matthews@yahoo.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 8:41 AM
This m	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	pard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Gina Lee
	Email	adlucemobscuram@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

om: : bject: ite:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 4:31 AM			
This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.					
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor			
	From your constituent	Sharon Byrne			
	Email	executivedirector@womensliberationfront.org			
	I live in District				
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety			
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,			
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.			
		Please stand for women's protection and privacy here. Women need safe spaces too!			
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.			
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.			
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions			

ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in intimate settings are about comfort, safety, and personal choice.

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect biological sex and women's need for privacy in intimate spaces. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

ect:	Board of Supervisors (BOS); Char SauterStaff Defend Reasonable Spa Accommo Wednesday, March 19, 2025 10:4	odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 22:21 AM
his me	essage is from outside the City ema	ail system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the B	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Cynthia Cravens
	Email	yarewe_sf@yahoo.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Ressonable Spa Assemmedations
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into
		traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body
		scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy,
		and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days
		and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They
		are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals
		demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape
		conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender
		Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious
		concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial
		discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race
		and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respects biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

om: : bject: ite:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 8:36 AM	
This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.			
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor	
	From your constituent	Beverley Talbott	
	Email	mbtalbott@gmail.com	
	I live in District		
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety	
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,	
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.	
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.	
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.	
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in	

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 3:58 PM
This me	ssage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	pard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	m. gorski
	Email	mcwgorski@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 1:27 PM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Erica Sandberg
	Email	esandberg_2000@yahoo.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

n: ject: >:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); EielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety :32 PM
This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.		
	Message to the Be	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Patricia Huey
	Email	pat.huey@sbcglobal.net
	I live in District	
		Defend Dessenable One Assemmedations
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into
		traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body
		scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel
		uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days
		and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They
		are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals
		demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape
		conviction and a higher-than-average risk of
		reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious
		concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial
		discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race
		and sex. While racial segregation was about
		enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

om: bject: te:	SauterStaff	IStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; Indations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety :37 PM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Veritas Caracci
	Email	realityberns@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		Providing sex segregated spaces and services is essential for the privacy, safety, and dignity of women (the old fashioned kind).
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial

discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in intimate settings are about comfort, safety, and personal choice.

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

ect:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety :29 PM
his me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the B	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Fangyi Zhu
	Email	fangyizhu416@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety :33 PM
This m	essage is from outside the City emai	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Monica Bhagwan
	Email	sunnybeezsf@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex. I am a user of these services, a woman of color, immigrant, and a survivor of sexual assault.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity. They are simply allowing 1 day in their week to allow females to use the space with other female bodies as aligned with their religious, cultural, and psychological needs.
		I am especially alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.

Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in intimate settings are about comfort, safety, and personal choice.

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

n: ect: ::	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety :36 PM
This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.		
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Naomi Pryor
	Email	crustykids@protonmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

m: ject: e:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety :27 PM
This mes	ssage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
I	Message to the Bo	pard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Janet Sasaki
	Email	JnTSasaki@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		As a woman, a mother and lifelong Feminist, I am disturbed to learn that the SF Human Rights Commission's decided it was imperative to investigate into traditional spas that offer accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing designated by biological sex. These are common sense policies that make it possible for women who may feel uncomfortable being disrobed around men still partake in a cultural experience with a respect to privacy and comfort.
		These businesses should keep their facilities segregated by sex, but they do often allow men who've had their reproductive organs removed and surgically modified to access female-only spaces. However acceptable that may seem, men who've undergone such surgeries still pose as a risk to women.
		For example, "Dakota" Rose is a registered sex offender who raped by fear or coercion and has a higher-than-average risk of reoffending (megnaslaw.ca.gov.) He was recently interviewed sympathetically for ordering society allow him to have unrestricted access to female-only spaces. This man is a danger to women and children, him wanting to be around naked women should not be something society enables him to do by pretending sex specific

spaces don't matter in these situations.

When the idea is about "supporting inclusion," what these policies amount to is "Inclusion at the EXCLUSION of women."

In other words, you cannot apply a mixed-sex policy to support inclusion because it'll ultimately result in the exclusion of women. Segregating by race or ethnicity is about enabling inequality for superficial reasons. People of all colors, races, and ethnicities are either male or female, so this applies to people in general areas.

But segregating by SEX is about ensuring safety and privacy for women so that they may participate fully in society and NOT have opportunities taken away from them due to impracticality and the undue threat to their health and safety.

For many women, they observe religious and cultural traditions that forbid them sharing intimate spaces with men in public. Practically, men have a higher rate of criminality and voyeurism videos are on the rise. While not all men offend or have malintent against women, the good men stay out so that the bad men stay out. Certainly, "Dakota" Rose's words against what's "fair" to him shouldn't be taken as seriously as what's fair and SAFE for women.

Recognizing the differences between males and females is common sense, and there is nothing "exclusive" about recognizing those differences that impedes public participation when applied practically.

Local businesses are not to be unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations. I strongly suggest you observe common sense over false and fashionable ideas.

n: ject: e:	SauterStaff	IStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; Indations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety I:31 PM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Be	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Jean Corry
	Email	jmc695@sbcglobal.net
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations –
		Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into
		traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body
		scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel
		uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone
		bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They
		are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals
		demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape
		conviction and a higher-than-average risk of
		reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious
		concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial
		discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race
		and sex. While racial segregation was about
		enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety :26 PM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	pard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Sarah Davidson
	Email	sarahevebee@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

n: ject: e:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS)</u> ; <u>MelgarStaff (BOS)</u> ; <u>Walton, Shamann (BOS)</u> ; <u>EielderStaff</u> ; <u>ChenStaff</u> ; <u>MahmoodStaff</u> ; odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 1:33 PM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Be	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Peggy Holsclaw
	Email	peggie.jo@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into
		traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body
		scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel
		uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone
		bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They
		are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals
		demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape
		conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender
		Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious
		concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial
		discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race
		and sex. While racial segregation was about
		enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: Fo: Gubject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 5:31 PM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	pard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Maria Siino
	Email	39_rickets_swizzle@icloud.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

om: :	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;
bject: te:	Thursday, March 20, 2025 7:05:4	idations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 9 AM
This mes	sage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
٦	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	A G
	Email	takeout_harvest.9n@icloud.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

m: oject: te:	SauterStaff	IStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 1 AM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Be	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Kiley Miller
	Email	moabkiley@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into
		traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body
		scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel
		uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone
		bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They
		are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals
		demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape
		conviction and a higher-than-average risk of
		reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious
		concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial
		discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions
		ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about
		enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

n: ject: e:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 6 AM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	pard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Kim Guy
	Email	kim@wyrdhouse.co.uk
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 8 AM
This m	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Amanda Kok
	Email	amandakok9@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women (i.e., adult human females) who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-sexed days and allow men who call themselves women and who have had their penises removed to access female- only spaces.
		I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that protect women and girls on the basis of sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 7 AM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Elizabeth Ann Harman
	Email	annharman0112358@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;
Subject: Date:	Thursday, March 20, 2025 7:27:39	dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 9 AM
This	s message is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Resa B
	Email	resa@blatmandesign.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women (i.e., adult human females) who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-sexed days and allow men who call themselves women and who have had their penises removed to access female- only spaces.
		I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that protect women and girls on the basis of sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

n: ect: ::	SauterStaff	<u>IStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 4 AM
This me	ssage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Lisette D
	Email	delacroixlisette@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Sna Accommodations -
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into
		traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body
		scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy,
		and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days
		and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They
		are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals
		demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape
		conviction and a higher-than-average risk of
		reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious
		concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial
		discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race
		and sex. While racial segregation was about
		enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; Idations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 3 AM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Irene Lawrence
	Email	Lawrence.IreneA@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women (i.e., adult human females) who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-sexed days and allow men who call themselves women and who have had their penises removed to access female- only spaces.
		I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that protect women and girls on the basis of sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; Idations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety D AM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	pard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Tiffany Bowen
	Email	tbowen42379@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

m: ject: e:	SauterStaff	IStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 1 AM
This m	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Be	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Sue Dib
	Email	suedibnorton@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into
		traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body
		scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel
		uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days
		and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They
		are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals
		demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape
		conviction and a higher-than-average risk of
		reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious
		concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial
		discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions
		ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about
		enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; <u>ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 2 AM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Amber Ault
	Email	amberaultphd@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

om:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;
bject: ite:	Defend Reasonable Spa Accommo Thursday, March 20, 2025 7:47:2	odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 5 AM
This mes	sage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
ſ	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	BS
	Email	bridgette_sterling@yahoo.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex. These businesses already offer mixed-gender days
		and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety I AM
This m	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Stephanie Fleischer
	Email	stephaniefleischer889@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: Fo: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 9 AM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	pard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Babette Lewis
	Email	sketchbookpix@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 4 AM
This n	nessage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	pard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Una-Jane Winfield
	Email	unajwinfield@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

m: ject: e:	SauterStaff	i <mark>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</mark> odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 2 AM
This me	ssage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Lisa Smith
	Email	casadesmith@comcast.net
		casadesmin e comcast.net
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		By allowing men to self id, it eliminates single sex spaces. It also opens the door for men who have bad intentions, and it's happening now. One of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female- only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race

and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in intimate settings are about comfort, safety, and personal choice.

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

ct:	<u>SauterStaff</u> Defend Reasonable Spa Accommo Thursday, March 20, 2025 8:02:5	odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 3 AM
his me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Leslie Larson
	Email	emailingleslie@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 3 AM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Kirsten Selberg
	Email	elpood@aol.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to support single-sex services as those being offered by the Imperial Spa and Banya Spa.
		I was born here in SF, I am a lifelong liberal Democrat, and I believe that sex is real and that it matters - especially for girls and women - in certain settings.
		When I - a woman - enter a space that is designated single-sex I expect it to be exactly that: compromised of people born female. And when I am in a space where I am nude It MUST be that, for my own sense of comfort and safety. There is absolutely nothing wrong or bigoted about a woman wanting privacy from males - all males - in those circumstances.
		I believe that the compromise offered by these spas - a certain night solely for females - is a fair and reasonable one. I would add that I absolutely support such accommodations for transwomen/transmen who would also want a such a dedicated space - they are a specific population with their own needs and I 100% respect that. I would hope that respect was reciprocated.
		There's nothing wrong with compromise. Reasonable people find ways to work together to find solutions to complex problems and we here in San Francisco

should be no different. These two spas have tried to find that solution and I fully support their efforts to offer a single-sex option. I'm asking our Supervisors and Commissioners to do the same.

Sex is real and it matters for San Francisco's girls and women. And as a San Francisco voter, I would also add that if you want my vote you must respect my sex.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 5 AM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Kara Dansky
	Email	info@karadansky.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women (i.e., adult human females) who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-sexed days and allow men who call themselves women and who have had their penises removed to access female- only spaces.
		I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that protect women and girls on the basis of sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To:	<u>Marie Long</u> <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS); Chan</u> SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;
Subject: Date:		odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 4 AM
This	message is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Marie Long
	Email	xxmarielongxx@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to request female only space. Those of you who are still connected to the reality of sex know
		that allowing men into women's spaces is not acceptable in a society based on law and reason.
		Not allowing women to have our own spaces amounts to a return to barbarism.
		Thank you for your time and consideration.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 4 AM	
This m	This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.		
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor	
	From your constituent	Sherry Skillwoman	
	Email	humboldtgrrl@yahoo.com	
	I live in District		
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety	
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,	
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.	
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.	
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.	
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in	

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 3 AM
This mo	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Jennifer Thompson
	Email	jthompson@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

rom: o: ubject: vate:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 3 AM
This me	ssage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Carrie Reid
	Email	CarrieReid19@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		I would like to urge you to look at the statistics surrounding violence against women. Women are far more at risk from male violance than any other group and not just because half the world's population is female. This statistic is proportionally true as well. Violence against women far exceeds violence against the LGBTQ+ community. It is imperative that we remember this as a society and do not relax restrictions in all single sex spaces that put women and girls in harms way.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only

spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.

Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in intimate settings are about comfort, safety, and personal choice.

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

rom: D:	SauterStaff	IStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;
ubject: ate:	Defend Reasonable Spa Accommo Thursday, March 20, 2025 8:25:2	odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 3 AM
This me	ssage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the B	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	TK David
	Email	tkdavid147@gmil.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations –
		Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body
		scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women (i.e., adult human females) who may feel uncomfortable being naked
		around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-sexed days and allow men who call themselves women and who have had their penises removed to access female- only spaces.
		I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a
		higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate
		spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race
		and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that protect women and girls on the basis of sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

ect: :	SauterStaff	<u>IStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 6 AM
his me	ssage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Jess Grant
	Email	jess57grant@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women (i.e., adult human females) who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-sexed days and allow men who call themselves women and who have had their penises removed to access female- only spaces.
		I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that protect women and girls on the basis of sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; idations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 4 AM
This m	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	pard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Alison Foote
	Email	alison.foote@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women (i.e., adult human females) who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-sexed days and allow men who call themselves women and who have had their penises removed to access female- only spaces.
		I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that protect women and girls on the basis of sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Alison

From: Fo: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; idations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 5 AM
This m	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Sandra Currie
	Email	sandracurrie@hotmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 8 AM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Evelyn Ullman
	Email	evie.ullman@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 3 AM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Lauren Coodley
	Email	Icoodley@hotmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: Fo: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); <u>MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS)</u> ; <u>FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 9 AM
This mess	age is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
Ν	lessage to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Gary Weglarz
	Email	gbossa_25@hotmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners, I am a 73 year old retired clinical social worker who spent 40 years working people during my career. Women and girls who had suffered various forms of abuse by human beings with a penis constituted a significant portion of the women I worked with as a therapist and a drug & alcohol counselor. All women deserve the hard earned "right" to safe spaces. Allowing human beings with a penis, no matter how they "identify" to be present while nude, or while women are nude, is simply a new form of violence against women. The outcome of such policies would be to trigger PTSD and other trauma related responses in women who have suffered violence at the hands of a human being with a penis. To pretend otherwise is a violation of the human rights of all women and girls. I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity
		and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations. Thank you for your time and consideration.



:: ect: :	SauterStaff	IStaff (BOS): MelgarStaff (BOS): Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 7 AM
his mes	ssage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
ſ	Message to the B	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	NoNika Hendrickse Vagliviello
	Email	nonika@me.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 8 AM
This m	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Diana Newman
	Email	dianahnewman@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women (i.e., adult human females) who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-sexed days and allow men who call themselves women and who have had their penises removed to access female- only spaces.
		I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that protect women and girls on the basis of sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 5 AM
This m	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Hilly Parkins
	Email	cav4queen@msn.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

om: : bject: te:	SauterStaff	IStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; Indations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 6 AM
This m	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Erika Bourne
	Email	bournemyo@me.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

rom: D:		Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;			
ubject: ate:	SauterStaff Defend Reasonable Spa Accommo Thursday, March 20, 2025 9:10:3	odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 3 AM			
This m	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.			
	Message to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor				
	From your constituent	Adrienne Montani			
	Email	adriennemontani@gmail.com			
	I live in District				
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety			
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,			
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into			
		traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body			
		scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.			
		These businesses already offer mixed-sex days and			
		allow men who call themselves women who have undergone penis-removal surgery to access female-			
		only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and			
		dignity. However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals			
		demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape			
		conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender			
		Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in			
		intimate spaces where nudity is involved.			
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions			
		ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about			

enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in intimate settings are about comfort, safety, and personal choice.

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect women and girls on the basis of sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: Fo: Gubject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; idations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 3 AM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Artemis Crow
	Email	artemiscrowe@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

Eliminating single-sex space is also discriminatory against women with religious beliefs that prohibit being uncovered in the presence of the opposite sex.

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

om: b: ubject: ate:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 3 AM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Victoria Mixon
	Email	victoria@victoriamixon.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		We are writing to express our family's deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission investigation into San Francisco spas offering single-sex spaces.
		We are lifelong Democratic political activists and women's rights advocates. Every Democrat and liberal should be vehemently protesting the elimination of women's female-only spaces.
		What is this SF HRC investigation in aid of?
		Men who choose not to use men's male-only spaces?
		Men with an overriding desire to appear naked among unconsenting naked little girls and women?
		Men like Dwight Austina convicted rapist with an "above average risk" of reoffendingwho demanded naked access to the female-only spaces at Archimedes Banya?
		Is this what the SF Human Rights Commission stands for: forcing little girls and women to accept rapists in our female-only safe spaces?
		Is this what our foremothers fought for: the complete and total loss of girls' and women's rights, over which

those heroic women made such appalling sacrifices?

Is San Francisco now a city that stands on the side of violent male supremacy?

It has been many years since left-wing San Francisco teenagers learned of the dangers of transgenderism and rejected it as the assault on their human rights and bodies that it is.

When will San Francisco adults catch up with them?

Please stand with girls and women in protecting our rights to safety, privacy, and dignity in our own world.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 3 AM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Maneesh Yadav
	Email	maneeshkyadav@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women (i.e., adult human females) who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-sexed days and allow men who call themselves women and who have had their penises removed to access female- only spaces.
		I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that protect women and girls on the basis of sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

rom: D:		Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;
ubject: ate:	SauterStaff Defend Reasonable Spa Accommo Thursday, March 20, 2025 9:32:28	dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 3 AM
This mess	age is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
N	lessage to the Bo	pard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Amanda McIntyre
	Email	amandagmc1066@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety) AM
This m	essage is from outside the City ema	l system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Judy Schiavone
	Email	!960judys@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: Fo: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 9 AM
This m	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	pard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Christian Nelson
	Email	christian.nelson@ymail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 3 AM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Carolyn Wade
	Email	onehsancare@yahoo.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women (i.e., adult human females) who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-sexed days and allow men who call themselves women and who have had their penises removed to access female- only spaces.
		I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

intimate settings are about women's comfort, safety, and dignity.

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that protect women and girls on the basis of sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

m: oject: te:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 0 AM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Suzette Cullen
	Email	scullen8@juno.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 87 AM
This m	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Brian Anderson
	Email	co2.350@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

om: : bject: te:	SauterStaff	IStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 44 AM
This me	ssage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Tim Monaco
	Email	timmonaco@hotmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 13 AM
This m	essage is from outside the City emai	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Barbara Jane Gardner
	Email	gardner409@yahoo.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 36 AM
This m	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	pard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Arielle Kurtze
	Email	a.kurtze@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 21 AM
This n	nessage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	pard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	SHANNON RAYMER
	Email	shannonsnewest@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

rom: o: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 34 AM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	pard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Patricia Cooney
	Email	celadon@tampabay.rr.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

n: ject: e:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 25 AM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Mary Syrett
	Email	maryblah@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into
		traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body
		scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy,
		and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days
		and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They
		are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals
		demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape
		conviction and a higher-than-average risk of
		reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious
		concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial
		discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions
		ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about
		enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 12 AM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Robert Bonnet
	Email	bobbonnet@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

bject: te:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 42 AM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Be	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Kylie Flammia
	Email	kylielynne1013@yahoo.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into
		traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body
		scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel
		uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days
		and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They
		are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals
		demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape
		conviction and a higher-than-average risk of
		reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious
		concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial
		discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race
		and sex. While racial segregation was about
		enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; Idations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 38 AM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	pard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Susan Anderson
	Email	sjanders@wcnet.org
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women (i.e., adult human females) who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-sexed days and allow men who call themselves women and who have had their penises removed to access female- only spaces.
		I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

intimate settings are about women's comfort, safety, and dignity.

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that protect women and girls on the basis of sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 39 AM
This m	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	pard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Jeannine Etter
	Email	jeannine.d.etter@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

n: ject: e:	SauterStaff	IStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 32 AM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Martha Cone
	Email	marthacone@comcast.net
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations –
	Message:	Protect Women's Privacy & Safety Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: Fo: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; Idations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 37 AM	
This me	This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.		
	Message to the Bo	pard of Supervisors and Mayor	
	From your constituent	Rhiannon Bankston-Thomas	
	Email	rhimclaren@gmail.com	
	I live in District		
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety	
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,	
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.	
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.	
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.	
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in	

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 14 AM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	I system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Laurie Samuelson
	Email	lauriesam1@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow men who have had their penises removed to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 37 AM
This	This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.	
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Stephen Laughton
	Email	stevol@me.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff; dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 39 AM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Julia Alexander
	Email	juliaalexa@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

m: oject: te:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> odations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 26 AM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Andrea Nunn
	Email	nunn.andrea@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women (i.e., adult human females) who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-sexed days and allow men who call themselves women and who have had their penises removed to access female- only spaces.
		I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

intimate settings are about women's comfort, safety, and dignity.

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that protect women and girls on the basis of sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

	Thursday, March 20, 2025 11:47:	38 AM
his me	ssage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	pard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Lizbeth Aton
	Email	lizbethaton@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into
		traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body
		scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy,
		and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days
		and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They
		are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals
		demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape
		conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender
		Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious
		concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial
		discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race
		and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 41 AM
This me	essage is from outside the City ema	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	pard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Rebecca Donicht
	Email	rdonicht@ecenet.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		I am writing to express deep concern over the SF Human Rights Commission's investigation into traditional spas that offer sex-based accommodations for services like Korean body scrubbing. These policies ensure comfort, privacy, and cultural practices for women who may feel uncomfortable being naked around the opposite sex.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.

From: To: Subject: Date:	SauterStaff	<u>Staff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); FielderStaff; ChenStaff; MahmoodStaff;</u> dations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety 24 AM
This m	essage is from outside the City emai	il system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
	Message to the Bo	oard of Supervisors and Mayor
	From your constituent	Anna Bergman
	Email	bergman.annae@gmail.com
	I live in District	
		Defend Reasonable Spa Accommodations – Protect Women's Privacy & Safety
	Message:	Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,
		Women are entitled to sex based rights. We are not required to supersede our sex to accomadate those that identifiy as women. You are voting to keep women silent and submissive if you allow males to invade our spaces.
		These businesses already offer mixed-gender days and allow transgender women who have undergone bottom surgery to access female-only spaces. They are not engaging in discrimination but rather balancing inclusion with privacy and dignity.
		However, I am alarmed that one of the individuals demanding unrestricted access to female-only spaces is a registered sex offender with a rape conviction and a higher-than-average risk of reoffending, according to California's Sex Offender Registry (meganslaw.ca.gov). This raises serious concerns about the safety of women and girls in intimate spaces where nudity is involved.
		Using legal frameworks meant to combat racial discrimination to challenge biological sex distinctions ignores the fundamental differences between race and sex. While racial segregation was about enforcing inequality, sex-based distinctions in intimate settings are about comfort, safety, and personal choice.

I urge you to support common-sense accommodations that respect both gender identity and biological sex. Please ensure that local businesses are not unfairly pressured into eliminating practices that serve the needs of women, immigrants, and those with cultural or religious considerations.