

FILE NO. 240590

Petitions and Communications received from June 6, 2024, through June 13, 2024, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on June 18, 2024.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From the Office of the Mayor (MYR), withdrawing the following nomination to the following body. (1)

- Public Works Commission
- o Irene Yee Riley

From the Department on the Status of Women (WOM), submitting a Monthly Update on the Status of Abortion Rights. Copy: Each Supervisor. (2)

From the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA), submitting agendas of meetings of the Interdepartmental Staff Committee on Traffic and Transportation for Temporary Street Closures (ISCOTT) for January to June 2024. Copy: Each Supervisor. (3)

From the San Francisco Entertainment Commission, submitting an agenda for their meeting of June 18, 2024. Copy: Each Supervisor. (4)

From the San Francisco Housing Authority, pursuant to Resolution No. 174-24, submitting a memorandum regarding the Faircloth to Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) plan. Copy: Each Supervisor. (5)

From members of the public, regarding the nomination for the reappointment of Debra Walker to the Police Commission, for a term ending April 30, 2028. File No. 240392. 4 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (6)

From various organizations, regarding a proposed Ordinance amending the Transportation Code to waive fees related to the temporary closure of streets for events organized by community-serving nonprofit arts and culture organizations, small businesses, merchant associations, neighborhood resident associations, and property and business improvement districts. File No. 240408. 9 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (7)

From members of the public, regarding a Resolution authorizing the Office of the Mayor, Recreation and Park Department, Office of Economic and Workforce Development, San Francisco International Airport, Office of the City Administrator, and the Chief of Protocol to solicit donations from various private entities and organizations to support

San Francisco in hosting Panda Bears from the People's Republic of China, notwithstanding the Behested Payment Ordinance. File No. 240415. 3 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (8)

From members of the public, regarding the Resolution declaring the City and County of San Francisco a Sanctuary City for Transgender, Gender Non-Conforming, Non-Binary, and Two-Spirit People. File No. 240651. 3 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (9)

From Norman Degelman, regarding Laguna Honda Hospital's Strategy for Recertification and the Submission of a Closure and Patient Transfer and Relocation Plan. File No. 230035. Copy: Each Supervisor. (10)

From Neighborhoods United SF, regarding the proposed Ordinance amending the Planning Code to modify density limits in the Northeast Waterfront Historic District, the Jackson Square Historic District, and the Jackson Square Historic District Extension. File No. 240170. Copy: Each Supervisor. (11)

From Peter Yedidia and Connie Rubiano, regarding the proposed Resolution adding the commemorative street name "Dr. Howard Thurman Way" to the 2020 Block of Stockton Street in recognition of Dr. Howard Thurman's legacy in San Francisco. File No. 240213. Copy: Each Supervisor. (12)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to streamline contracting for Vision Zero transportation projects. 5 Letters. File No. 240501. Copy: Each Supervisor. (13)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Charter Amendment (First Draft) to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to modify the redistricting process for Board of Supervisors districts by creating an independent redistricting task force responsible for adopting supervisorial district boundaries. File No. 240546. 126 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (14)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Charter Amendments establishing the Commission Streamlining Task Force. File Nos. 240547 and 240548. 84 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (15)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Ordinance amending the Public Works Code to modify certain permit fees and other charges. File No. 240601. 9 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (16)

From members of the public, regarding an e-bike purchase/lease incentive program. 56 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (17)

From members of the public, regarding the Resolution reaffirming San Francisco's commitment to equitable language access to for all residents through City services and

the Office of Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs. File No. 240655. 3 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (18)

From members of the public, regarding quality-of-life issues. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (19)

From members of the public, regarding the San Francisco Planning Department's (CPC) Expanding Housing Choice, Housing Element Zoning Program. 7 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (20)

From various organizations, regarding the Welcome Ambassador Program. 8 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (21)

From Doug McKirahan, regarding the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) West Portal Station Safety and Community Space Improvements Project at West Portal Avenue and Ulloa Street. Copy: Each Supervisor. (22)

From Randy Blaustein, regarding the discharging of patients with intellectual/developmental disabilities (I/DD) out of county. Copy: Each Supervisor. (23)

From Brenda Lee, regarding John F. Kennedy Drive. Copy: Each Supervisor. (24)

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



LONDON N. BREED
MAYOR

Notice of Withdrawal

June 7, 2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors,

I hereby withdraw the nomination of Irene Yee Riley to the Public Works Commission.

Should you have any question about this withdrawal, please contact my Director of Boards and Commissions, Jesse Mainardi, at 415-554-6588.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "London Breed".

London N. Breed
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: June monthly status of abortion rights memo
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:32:49 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[Monthly Update on the Status of Abortion Rights June 2024.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below and attached regarding the Monthly Update on the Status of Abortion Rights Memorandum.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
richard.lagunte@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Heitzenroder, Denise (WOM) <denise.heitenroder@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, June 12, 2024 2:15 PM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; WILENSKY, JULIE (CAT) <Julie.Wilensky@sfcityatty.org>; Colfax, Grant (DPH) <grant.colfax@sfdph.org>; Davis, Sheryl (HRC) <sheryl.davis@sfgov.org>
Cc: Ellis, Kimberly (WOM) <kimberly.n.ellis@sfgov.org>; Macaluso, Joseph (WOM) <joseph.macaluso@sfgov.org>; Elsbernd, Sean (MYR) <sean.elsbernd@sfgov.org>; Pang, Hong Mei (MYR) <hongmei.pang@sfgov.org>; Mariano, Eileen (MYR) <eileen.f.mariano@sfgov.org>;

Ogwuegbu, Chiamaka (MYR) <chiamaka.ogwuegbu@sfgov.org>; KRELL, REBEKAH (CAT) <Rebekah.Krell@sfcityatty.org>; FLETES, CHRISTINA (CAT) <Christina.Fletes@sfcityatty.org>; Yip, Angela (ADM) <angela.yip@sfgov.org>; Bobba, Naveena (DPH) <naveena.bobba@sfdph.org>; Cretan, Jeff (MYR) <jeff.cretan@sfgov.org>

Subject: June monthly status of abortion rights memo

Hello all,

On behalf of the Department on the Status of Women, please see the attached Monthly Update on the Status of Abortion Rights Memorandum. I look forward to supporting you around any questions or requests for additional information.

Hope you are all having a great start to the summer. Please don't hesitate to reach out with any questions,

Denise



Denise Heitzenroder | Project Manager for Strategic Initiatives

She/her

San Francisco Dept. on the Status of Women

Engage. Educate. Empower.

p: 415-252-2576

w: dosw.org



London N. Breed
Mayor

City and County of San Francisco
Department on the Status of Women



Date: June 12, 2024

To: Mayor London Breed; Members of the Board of Supervisors; City Attorney David Chiu; Dr. Grant Colfax, Director of the Department of Public Health; Dr. Sheryl Davis, Executive Director of the Human Rights Commission, and other interested stakeholders.

Cc: Sean Elsbernd, Andrea Bruss, Eileen Mariano, Chiamaka Ogwuegbu, Julie Wilensky, Rebekah Krell, Kimberly Ellis, Angela Yip, Hong Mei Pang, Dr. Joseph Macaluso

From: Denise Heitzenroder, Project Manager for Strategic Initiatives

Subject: Monthly Update on the Status of Abortion Rights

The following update provides an overview of abortion laws in individual states, as well as local and statewide efforts to protect patients' access to reproductive healthcare. Our goal is to provide monthly updates that keep the Mayor and other key internal stakeholders apprised of developments in this new, ever-changing post-Roe landscape.

I. Current Snapshot of Abortion Access across the Nation

- Abortion remains banned in [14 states](#), and restrictions exist in seven other states. Florida, Georgia and South Carolina have six-week abortion bans, Nebraska and North Carolina have 12-week bans; Arizona has a ban at 15 weeks, and Utah has a ban after 18 weeks. Attempted bans are currently blocked in Iowa, Montana, and Wyoming. Iowa and [Wyoming](#)'s bans are currently being litigated. While some states with abortion bans have [exceptions for certain scenarios](#), five states have no exception to protect the life of the pregnant person, ten have no exception for rape or incest and 13 have no exceptions for lethal fetal anomalies.
- President Joe Biden is again squaring off with the House of Representatives over the [Department of Veterans Affairs budget](#), after conservative House leaders inserted language in the appropriations bill that would ban department medical staff from providing abortions or gender-affirming care. President Biden accused lawmakers of "wasting

time with partisan bills." While the bill is expected to easily pass in the House, it is unlikely to survive in the Senate with the restrictions.

- Donald Trump has made [numerous vague statements](#) about his stance on abortion rights, noting he has "strong opinions" and even referencing the Comstock Act, yet he has declined to provide any specifics. His advisors have stated that his campaign will hold off on any formal announcements until after the Supreme Court releases its decisions in the US Food and Drug Administration v. Alliance for Hippocratic Medicine case.
- Conservative's playbook for [restricting abortion access, Project 2025](#), employs a broad strategy to further erode abortion access, including substantial executive actions should Donald Trump be reelected President. The plan includes changing insurance requirements to limit access to contraception, including emergency contraception, as well as allowing employers to refuse to cover contraception in their health plans. The plan also calls on Trump to reinstate the funding cuts and restrictions on Title X from his first term that President Biden repealed.
- While ballot measures protecting abortion access have passed in every instance they have appeared on the ballot, [conservative groups in states where these measures have passed have filed suits](#) challenging the measures and amendments. The result is a confusing patchwork of rules and uncertainty for providers and patients.
- The Charlotte Lozier Institute, the research arm of Susan B. Anthony Pro-Life America, is [facing criticism of its research](#) after three of their studies were retracted by the publisher Sage. The Institute, which is open about their conservative Christian religious affiliations, regularly supplies studies and data points to conservative lawmakers and has testified as part in legislative hearings.
- As the Supreme Court's decision regarding [Utah's challenge of the Emergency Medical Treatment and Labor Act](#) (EMTALA) looms, providers and advocates shared concerns about the impact of decisions regardless of whether they support or weaken EMTALA. Many suggested the federal government had been lax in its enforcement of EMTALA protections, and that ambiguity reinforces fears of state actions even if the provision is found to supersede state law. If the Court rules in favor of Utah, one of the last tools for doctors to care for pregnant people in crisis will be gone. Either way, providers and advocates noted that doctors and patients will continue to have to navigate unclear and risky scenarios.
- Fertility doctors and patients are becoming [increasingly concerned with efforts by conservative](#) lawmakers to advance so-called personhood legislation that would bestow rights for embryos and fetuses. Efforts to

shield providers and patients undergoing fertility treatments in states that are perusing personhood bills have been met with hurdles. The Guttmacher Institute found that 13 states have seen efforts to introduce legislation to give fetuses and embryos rights.

- Conservative states like [Utah](#) and [Missouri](#) are seeing applications from new doctors plummet after passing abortion restrictions according to a new survey from the [Association of American Medical Colleges](#). The AAMC found that application to states with abortion restrictions dropped immediately after the *Dobbs* decision, and the trend has continued through the 2023-2024 cycles.
- Planned Parenthood filed a [complaint in Indiana](#), asking a judge to expand the state's abortion ban exemptions and arguing that the state's constitution protects a person's right to an abortion when health or life are at-risk. Current law in Indiana law only allows abortions to be performed in a hospital when a women's life is in danger or they are facing "serious health risks." Planned Parenthood has argued that the vague and narrow language does "not account for conditions that may threaten health later in a pregnancy, after giving birth or for conditions that may exacerbate other health problems," including mental duress that could result in self-harm or suicide; the hospital requirement would also burden women who may need to travel for hours to access care.
- [Texas judges unanimously rejected a challenge](#) to the state's abortion ban, which only grants access to abortion when the person's life is in danger. The suit aimed to expand and clarify exceptions to the ban; it was brought by 20 women who were denied what they deemed medically necessary abortion care in Texas. The women all experienced scenarios where their health was at-risk or they had a non-viable pregnancy.
- Ryan Hamilton, a Texas radio host, is [slamming the state's abortion restrictions](#) after his wife suffered a miscarriage and was denied an abortion at two separate hospitals. She ultimately passed out in their home and needed to be rushed to the emergency room. Hamilton noted the doctors and staff seemed "scared" and "confused" and that "the conversation is not what's best for my wife."
- A Fox News poll has [found broad support](#) for the proposed amendment to the state's constitution that would protect abortion access, Amendment 4. The survey of over 1,000 voters found 69% supported the proposal. The amendment needs a 60% majority to pass. Prior polls had the Amendment polling closer to or just below 60%.
- Conservative lawmakers [are taking aim at provisions of the Pregnant Workers Fairness Act](#), which guarantees "reasonable accommodations"

for pregnant workers and those who have recently given birth or have related medical conditions,"—which includes abortion care. Nineteen Republican Attorney Generals are suing the Biden Administration over the inclusion of abortion care as a related medical condition, saying the administration is forcing accommodation for abortion even in states where it is illegal.

- A U.S. District Court has [blocked parts of North Carolina's law](#) restricting medication abortions. The ruling was split decision, upholding the state's requirements for an in-person advance consultation and exam, ultrasound and blood testing, yet it struck down parts of the legislation that required doctors to dispense mifepristone in-person and required patients to attend follow-up appointments. Judge Catherine Eagles ruled that any certified health care provider may prescribe abortion pills and that patients can take mifepristone at home, instead of requiring they make three-in person visits to a doctor.

II. State Policy Update

- Governor Gavin Newsom [slammed conservative Senators in a video](#) posted on X after 39 voted against The Right to Contraception bill, which needed 60 votes to advance. Newsom went on to add "California is proud to provide comprehensive protections for contraceptives as well as reproductive health care."

III. San Francisco Bay Area Abortion Rights Coalition Update

- The BAARC initiative continues to provide valuable insights and actions to advance protections for reproductive healthcare, including abortion care. BAARC is excited to be gathering on June 18th at Planned Parenthood in San Francisco to review the results of DOSW's landscape analysis, which will help the coalition better understand how to continue to ensure abortion across the region and beyond.

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: ISCOTT Agendas 1/11/24-6/13/24
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:28:36 PM
Attachments: [ISCOTT Agendas 011124-061324.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see attached for agendas from the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) Interdepartmental Staff Committee on Traffic and Transportation for Temporary Street Closures (ISCOTT) for the dates of:

- January 11, 2024
- January 25, 2024
- February 8, 2024
- February 22, 2024
- March 14, 2024
- March 28, 2024
- April 11, 2024
- April 25, 2024
- May 9, 2024
- May 23, 2024
- June 13, 2024

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
richard.lagunte@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*





ISCOTT AGENDA

INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE
ON TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION FOR
TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES

Meeting of January 11, 2024 - Thursday, 9:00 AM
1561st Regular Meeting

Online Participation

Please join Microsoft Teams Meeting at
SFMTA.com/ISCOTTHearing

Click on the Raise your hand icon . When you are prompted to unmute, click on the microphone icon  to speak.

Phone Participation


Please dial 415-523-2709 and enter the meeting code
635 030 720#

Dial *5 to be placed in the queue for public comment. When prompted dial *6 to unmute yourself.

Please ensure that you are in a quiet location, speak clearly, and turn off any TVs or radios around you.

Written Participation

Submit your written comments to SpecialEvents@SFMTA.com with "Public Hearing" in the subject line or by mail to SFMTA, 1 South Van Ness, 7th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103. Written comments must be received by 12 noon on the day prior to the hearing to be considered.

 **415.646.2414:** For free interpretation services, please submit your request 48 hours in advance of meeting. / 如果需要免費口語翻譯，請於會議之前 48 小時提出要求 / Para servicios de interpretación gratuitos, por favor haga su petición 48 horas antes de la reunión. / Para sa libreng serbisyo sa interpretasyon, kailangan mag-request 48 oras bago ang miting.



MINUTES OF THE DECEMBER 21, 2023, MEETING (ACTION ITEM)

The Committee to adopt the Minutes.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Members of the public may address ISCOTT members on matters that are within ISCOTT purview and are not on today's agenda.

TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)

These proposed actions are an Approval Action as defined by S.F. Administrative Code Chapter 31.

CONSENT CALENDAR

If there are no objections from the committee or the public, the following items will be voted on as a group.

- A. Jefferson Street between Hyde Street and easterly terminus
Sunday, February 4, 2024, 6 am to 6 pm
Blessing of the Waters SF Bay 2024

REGULAR CALENDAR

- B. Grant Avenue between Clay and Washington streets
Friday, January 26, 2024, 12 noon to 11 pm
Edge On The Square Lantern Reveal
- C. 37th Avenue between Cabrillo and Anza streets
(Intersection of 37th Avenue and Balboa Street remains open)
Saturday, February 3, 2024, 7 am to 5 pm
Balboa Lunar New Year Festival
- D. Divisadero Street (parking spaces only) between Golden Gate Avenue and Turk Street
Friday, February 2, 2024, 3 pm to 6 pm
Ribbon Cutting Ceremony
- E. Jessie Street between New Montgomery and Annie streets
Sunday, February 4, 2024, 8 am to 6 pm
Hindu Wedding/Baraat



- F.** Larkin Street between O’Farrell and Ellis streets
Saturday, February 3, 2024, 7 am to 7 pm
Tenderloin TET Festival
- G.** Balmy Street between 24th and 25th streets; 25th Street between Harrison Street and Treat Avenue
Intersection(s) closed: Balmy Street at 25th Street
Saturday, February 10, 2024, 11 am to 7 pm
Lovers Lane
- H.** Grant Avenue between Broadway and California Street;
Pacific Avenue between Stockton Street and Columbus Avenue;
Jackson Street between Stockton and Kearny Streets;
Washington Street between Stockton and Kearny Streets;
Commercial Street between Kearny Street and Grant Avenue;
Sacramento Street between Kearny Street and Grant Avenue
Beckett Street between Pacific Avenue and Jackson Street;
Ross Alley between Jackson and Washington Streets;
Wentworth Place between Jackson and Washington Streets;
Spofford Street between Washington and Clay Streets;
Waverly Place between Washington and Clay Streets;
Walter U. Lum Place between Washington and Clay Streets
Intersection(s) closed: Pacific, Jackson, Washington, Commercial and Sacramento streets at Grant Avenue; Pacific Avenue at Beckett Street; Beckett, Ross and Wentworth at Jackson Street; Ross, Wentworth, Spofford, Waverly and Walter U. Lum at Washington Street
(Note: Clay Street and all intersections along Clay Street open)
Friday, February 2, 2024, 11 pm to
Sunday, February 4, 2019, 11 pm
Chinese New Year Flower Market Fair
and
Friday, February 23, 2024, 11 pm to
Sunday, February 25, 2019, 11 pm
Chinese New Year Flower Market Fair

Categorically exempt from CEQA: CEQA Guidelines Section 15304 Class 4(e) minor temporary use of land having negligible or no permanent effects on the environment, including carnivals, sales of Christmas trees, etc. and/or Section 15305 Class 5(b) minor alterations in land use limitations, including street closings and equipment for special events



Forrest Chamberlain
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

Date

ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)

The following item has been environmentally cleared by the Planning Department on April 19, 2021, Addendum #2 to San Francisco Better Streets Plan Project [Case No. 2021-003010ENV (addendum to Case No. 2007.1238E)]:

- I.** 22nd Street between Valencia and Mission streets; Bartlett Street between 21st and 22nd streets
Intersection(s) closed: Bartlett Street at 22nd Street with the exception of northbound Bartlett Street traffic turning West
Thursday, March 14, 2024 to
Thursday, November 14, 2024
12:30 pm to 8:30 pm EACH THURSDAY
Mission Community Farmers' Market – Shared Space

- J.** Fern Street between Polk and Larkin streets
Saturday, April 6, 2024, through
Saturday, December 14, 2024
Noon to 6 pm, each Saturday, and
Noon to 4 pm, each Sunday
Music City – Shared Space

ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (INFORMATIONAL ITEMS)

The following items are presented for informational purposes and public comment. Closures are subject to review and approval by the SFMTA Board.

NONE



***SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION FOR INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE AGENDA ITEMS ARE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW AT THE MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY'S OFFICES, ONE SOUTH VAN NESS, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103, DURING NORMAL BUSINESS HOURS. PLEASE CONTACT TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES/SPECIAL EVENTS AT (415) 646-2414. ***

Sound Producing Devices

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

Disability Access

To obtain a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the meeting, please contact (415) 701-4683 at least two business days before the meeting. In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illness, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to perfumes and various other chemical-based scented products. Please help the City to accommodate these individuals.

Know Your Rights under the Sunshine Ordinance

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decision in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code) or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator by mail to Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102, by phone at (415) 554-7724, by fax at (415) 554-7854 or by email at sotf@sfgov.org. Citizens may obtain a free copy of the Sunshine Ordinance by contacting the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator or by printing Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code on the Internet, at web site <http://www.sfgov.org/sunshine>.

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code Sec. 2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3900, San Francisco, CA 94102, telephone (415) 581-2200, fax (415) 581-2217, web site www.sfgov.org/ethics.

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Appeal Rights under S.F. Admin. Code Chapter 31: For identified Approval Actions, the Planning Department or the SFMTA has issued a CEQA exemption determination or negative declaration, which may be viewed online at the Planning Department's website. Following approval of the item by ISCOTT, the CEQA determination is subject to appeal within the time frame specified in S.F. Administrative Code Section 31.16 which is typically within 30 calendar days. For information on filing a CEQA appeal, contact the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors at City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102, or call (415) 554-5184. Under CEQA, in a later court challenge, a litigant may be limited to raising only those issues previously raised at a hearing on the project or submitted in writing to the City prior to or at such hearing, or as part of the appeal hearing process on the CEQA decision.





ISCOTT AGENDA

INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE
ON TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION FOR
TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES

Meeting of January 25, 2024 - Thursday, 9:00 AM
1562nd Regular Meeting

Online Participation

Please join Microsoft Teams Meeting at
SFMTA.com/ISCOTTHearing

Click on the Raise your hand icon . When you are prompted to unmute, click on the microphone icon  to speak.

Phone Participation


Please dial 415-523-2709 and enter the meeting code
635 030 720#

Dial *5 to be placed in the queue for public comment. When prompted dial *6 to unmute yourself.

Please ensure that you are in a quiet location, speak clearly, and turn off any TVs or radios around you.

Written Participation

Submit your written comments to SpecialEvents@SFMTA.com with "Public Hearing" in the subject line or by mail to SFMTA, 1 South Van Ness, 7th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103. Written comments must be received by 12 noon on the day prior to the hearing to be considered.

 **415.646.2414:** For free interpretation services, please submit your request 48 hours in advance of meeting. / 如果需要免費口語翻譯，請於會議之前 48 小時提出要求 / Para servicios de interpretación gratuitos, por favor haga su petición 48 horas antes de la reunión. / Para sa libreng serbisyo sa interpretasyon, kailangan mag-request 48 oras bago ang miting.



MINUTES OF THE JANUARY 11, 2023, MEETING (ACTION ITEM)

The Committee to adopt the Minutes.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Members of the public may address ISCOTT members on matters that are within ISCOTT purview and are not on today's agenda.

TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)

These proposed actions are an Approval Action as defined by S.F. Administrative Code Chapter 31.

CONSENT CALENDAR

If there are no objections from the committee or the public, the following items will be voted on as a group.

- A. Divisadero Street (parking spaces only) between Golden Gate Avenue and Turk Street
Saturday, February 3, 2024, 3 pm to 6 pm
Ribbon Cutting Ceremony

- B. Mark Lane between Bush Street and Harlan Place; Harlan Place between Mark Lane and Grant Avenue
Intersection(s) closed: Harlan Place at Mark Lane
Saturday, March 16, 2024, 1 pm to midnight
and
Sunday, March 17, 2024, 1 pm to midnight
Irish Bank St. Patrick's Day Celebration

- C. Front Street between California and Sacramento streets
Friday, March 15, 2024, 12 noon to 10 pm
Royal Exchange St. Patrick's Day Celebration

REGULAR CALENDAR

- D. 11th Ave between Irving and Judah streets
Saturday, March 9, 2024, 9 am to 7 pm
Block Party - 11th Avenue

- E. Vermont Street between 20th and 22nd streets
Sunday, March 31, 2024, 9 am to 9 pm
Bring Your Own Big Wheel



- F.** O'Farrell Street between Fillmore and Steiner streets
Tuesday, February 13, 2024, 12:30 pm to 8 pm
Mardi Gras San Francisco Style
- G.** 17th Street between Carolina and Wisconsin streets
Saturday, June 1, 2024, 5 am to 10:30 pm
Book Sale Block Party
- H.** Oakdale Avenue between 3rd and Lane streets
Saturday, February 24, 2024, 7 am to 2 pm
Black History Parade
- I.** Fulton Street between Larkin and Hyde streets
Saturday, March 2, 2024, 3 pm to
Sunday, March 3, 2024, 1 am
Night of Ideas
- J.** Grove Street between Polk and Larkin streets
Monday, May 13, 2024, 10 am to 8 pm
UC Hastings Graduation
- K.** Wisconsin Street between 17th and 16th streets
Saturday, March 16, 2024, 10 am to 7 pm
PolaCon BayArea
- L.** Grant Avenue between California Street and Pacific Avenue; Pacific Avenue between Grant Avenue and Stockton Street; Stockton Street (northbound traffic lane only) between Pacific Avenue and North Point Street; North Point Street (eastbound traffic lane only) between Stockton Street and southbound Embarcadero; Southbound Embarcadero (bike lane and one lane of traffic only) between North Point and Washington streets; Washington Street (one westbound traffic lane only) between southbound Embarcadero and Battery Street; Battery Street (most westerly traffic lane only) between Washington and Pine streets; Pine Street (most northerly traffic lane only) between Battery Street and Grant Avenue; Kearny Street (most easterly parking and traffic lanes only) between Pine and Clay streets; Walter U. Lum Place between Washington and Clay streets
Sunday, March 3, 2024, 7 am to 10 am
Chinatown YMCA's 46th Annual CCHP Lunar New Year Run



- M.** Geary Street (most northerly lane only) between Stockton and Powell streets; Stockton Street (westerly right turn lane only) between Post and Geary Streets; Geary Street (south side parking lane only) between Stockton and Powell Streets; Powell Street (most easterly lane only) from mid-block (bollards at ticket booth) to Geary Street; Geary Street (south side parking lane only between Parking Meter #s 440-03010 thru 440-03110) between Powell Street and 130 feet westerly

Friday, February 23, 2024, 5 am to
Saturday, February 24, 2024, 11 pm

and

- Geary Street between Stockton and Powell Streets

Saturday, February 24, 2024, 3 pm to 11 pm

KTVU Broadcast of the Chinese New Year Parade

Categorically exempt from CEQA: CEQA Guidelines Section 15304 Class 4(e) minor temporary use of land having negligible or no permanent effects on the environment, including carnivals, sales of Christmas trees, etc. and/or Section 15305 Class 5(b) minor alterations in land use limitations, including street closings and equipment for special events

Forrest Chamberlain
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

Date

ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)

The following item has been environmentally cleared by the Planning Department on April 19, 2021, Addendum #2 to San Francisco Better Streets Plan Project [Case No. 2021-003010ENV (addendum to Case No. 2007.1238E)]:

- N.** O'Farrell Street between Fillmore and Steiner streets

Sunday, February 4, 2024, through
Sunday, October 27, 2024

11 am to 6pm, **each Sunday**

Soulful Sundays – Shared Space



- O.** 37th Avenue between Ortega and Quintara streets; Pacheco Street between Sunset Boulevard and 37th Avenue
Intersection(s) closed: 37th Avenue at Pacheco Street
Sunday, April 7, 2024, through
Sunday, March 30, 2025
6 am to 4 pm **each Sunday**
Outer Sunset Farmers Market & Mercantile - Shared Space
- P.** 37th Avenue between Ortega and Pacheco streets
Saturday, April 20, 2024, through
Saturday, November 16, 2024
7:30 am to 5:30 pm, **third Saturday of each month**
Secondhand Saturdays – Shared Space

ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (INFORMATIONAL ITEMS)

The following items are presented for informational purposes and public comment. Closures are subject to review and approval by the SFMTA Board.

- Q.** Irving Street between 9th and 11th avenues
(Intersection of 10th Avenue and Irving Street to remain open)
Sunday, April 14, 2024, through
Sunday, November 10, 2024
7 am to 6 pm, **second Sunday of each month**
Inner Sunset Flea Market - Shared Space



***SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION FOR INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE AGENDA ITEMS ARE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW AT THE MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY'S OFFICES, ONE SOUTH VAN NESS, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103, DURING NORMAL BUSINESS HOURS. PLEASE CONTACT TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES/SPECIAL EVENTS AT (415) 646-2414. ***

Sound Producing Devices

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

Disability Access

To obtain a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the meeting, please contact (415) 701-4683 at least two business days before the meeting. In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illness, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to perfumes and various other chemical-based scented products. Please help the City to accommodate these individuals.

Know Your Rights under the Sunshine Ordinance

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decision in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code) or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator by mail to Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102, by phone at (415) 554-7724, by fax at (415) 554-7854 or by email at sotf@sfgov.org. Citizens may obtain a free copy of the Sunshine Ordinance by contacting the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator or by printing Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code on the Internet, at web site <http://www.sfgov.org/sunshine>.

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code Sec. 2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3900, San Francisco, CA 94102, telephone (415) 581-2200, fax (415) 581-2217, web site www.sfgov.org/ethics.

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Appeal Rights under S.F. Admin. Code Chapter 31: For identified Approval Actions, the Planning Department or the SFMTA has issued a CEQA exemption determination or negative declaration, which may be viewed online at the Planning Department's website. Following approval of the item by ISCOTT, the CEQA determination is subject to appeal within the time frame specified in S.F. Administrative Code Section 31.16 which is typically within 30 calendar days. For information on filing a CEQA appeal, contact the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors at City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102, or call (415) 554-5184. Under CEQA, in a later court challenge, a litigant may be limited to raising only those issues previously raised at a hearing on the project or submitted in writing to the City prior to or at such hearing, or as part of the appeal hearing process on the CEQA decision.





ISCOTT AGENDA

INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE
ON TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION FOR
TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES

Meeting of February 8, 2024 - Thursday, 9:00 AM
1563rd Regular Meeting

Online Participation

Please join Microsoft Teams Meeting at
SFMTA.com/ISCOTTHearing

Click on the Raise your hand icon . When you are prompted to unmute, click on the microphone icon  to speak.

Phone Participation


Please dial 415-523-2709 and enter the meeting code
635 030 720#

Dial *5 to be placed in the queue for public comment. When prompted dial *6 to unmute yourself.

Please ensure that you are in a quiet location, speak clearly, and turn off any TVs or radios around you.

Written Participation

Submit your written comments to SpecialEvents@SFMTA.com with "Public Hearing" in the subject line or by mail to SFMTA, 1 South Van Ness, 7th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103. Written comments must be received by 12 noon on the day prior to the hearing to be considered.

 **415.646.2414:** For free interpretation services, please submit your request 48 hours in advance of meeting. / 如果需要免費口語翻譯，請於會議之前 48 小時提出要求 / Para servicios de interpretación gratuitos, por favor haga su petición 48 horas antes de la reunión. / Para sa libreng serbisyo sa interpretasyon, kailangan mag-request 48 oras bago ang miting.



MINUTES OF THE JANUARY 25, 2024, MEETING (ACTION ITEM)

The Committee to adopt the Minutes.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Members of the public may address ISCOTT members on matters that are within ISCOTT purview and are not on today's agenda.

TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)

These proposed actions are an Approval Action as defined by S.F. Administrative Code Chapter 31.

CONSENT CALENDAR

If there are no objections from the committee or the public, the following items will be voted on as a group.

- A. York Street between Mariposa and 18th streets
Saturday, April 14, 2024, 8 am to 3:30 pm
SF Rotary – Bike Build

- B. Lawton Street between Great Highway and 48th Avenue
Sunday, April 21, 2024, 8 am to 4 pm
Sunset Nursery Coop Pancake Breakfast

- C. 23rd Street between Folsom Street and Treat Avenue
Sunday, April 28, 2024, 8 am to 5 pm
SFPL Día de los Niños / Día de los Libros

REGULAR CALENDAR

- D. 22nd Avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets
Saturday, March 2, 2024, 11 am to 5 pm
and
Saturday, May 4, 2024, 11 am to 5 pm
22nd Ave and Taraval St Community Event

- E. Tennessee Street between 23rd and 24th streets
Saturday, February 24, 2024, 6 am to 3 pm
and
36th Avenue between Ortega and Pacheco streets
Saturday, March 2, 2024, 6 am to 3 pm
District Weekend Cleanup Events



- F.** Shannon Street between Geary and O'Farrell streets
Saturday, March 16, 2024, 8 am to 6 pm
Veterans Alley "Community Flea Market"
- G.** Waverly Place between Washington and Clay streets
Friday, April 12, 2024, 2 pm to 6 pm
2024 Week of the Young Chinatown
- H.** Minna Street between Second and New Montgomery streets
Thursday, March 21, 2024, 6 am to 8 pm
GDC XBOX Event
- I.** Grove Street between Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place [Polk] and Larkin Street
Saturday, March 16, 2024, 12:01 am to 11:59 pm
Unite SF (St. Patrick's Day Celebration)
- J.** Post Street between Laguna and Fillmore streets; Webster Street between Geary Blvd and Sutter St
Intersection(s) closed: Post at Webster
Saturday, April 13, 2024, 4 am to
Sunday, April 14, 2024 11 pm
and
Saturday, April 20, 2024, 4 am to
Sunday, April 21, 2024, 11 pm
57th Annual Cherry Blossom Festival

Post Street between Fillmore and Steiner streets
Intersection(s) closed: Post at Boswell (Local access allowed)
Sunday, April 21, 2024, 8 am to 5 pm
Cherry Blossom Parade Disbursal Area
- K.** Post Street between Stockton and Powell streets
Saturday, March 9, 2024, 6 am to 6 pm
Tulip Day
- L.** El Camino Del Mar between Lincoln Hwy (Land's End/Legion of Honor and The Presidio 25th, 26th, 27th, 28th, 30th avenues between El Camino Del Mar and Lake Street; 29th Avenue between Lake Street and McLaren Avenue; McLaren Avenue between El Camino Del Mar and 28th Ave
and
Marina Blvd between Scott and Lyon streets
Saturday, June 8, 2024, 3 am to 11 am
Professional Athletes Organization Triathlon



M. Battery Street between Jackson and Sacramento streets; Clay Street between Sansome and Front Streets; Merchant Street between Sansome and Battery Streets

Intersection(s) closed: Battery at Washington and Clay

Friday, May 10, 2024, 11 am to

Saturday, May 11, 2024, 2 am

and

Friday, July 12, 2024, 11 am to

Saturday, July 13, 2024, 2 am

and

Friday, September 13, 2024, 11 am to

Saturday, September 14, 2024, 2 am

and

Friday, November 9, 2024, 11 am to

Saturday, November 10, 2024, 2 am

Bhangra & Beats Night Market

Categorically exempt from CEQA: CEQA Guidelines Section 15304 Class 4(e) minor temporary use of land having negligible or no permanent effects on the environment, including carnivals, sales of Christmas trees, etc. and/or Section 15305 Class 5(b) minor alterations in land use limitations, including street closings and equipment for special events

Forrest Chamberlain
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

Date

ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)

The following item has been environmentally cleared by the Planning Department on April 19, 2021, Addendum #2 to San Francisco Better Streets Plan Project [Case No. 2021-003010ENV (addendum to Case No. 2007.1238E)]:

N. Grant Avenue between Sacramento and Jackson streets;
Commercial Street between Kearny Street and Grant Avenue

Intersection(s) closed: Grant Avenue at Commercial Street

Friday, March 8, 2024, through

Friday, November 8, 2024

3 pm - 10 pm, second Friday of each month

Chinatown Night Market – Shared Space



- O.** 12th Street between Harrison and Folsom streets
Intersection(s) closed: 12th Street at Isis and Bernice streets
Saturday, April 13, 2024, through
Saturday, October 12, 2024
9:00 am to 6:00 pm, second Saturday of each month
SOMA Second Saturdays – Shared Space
- P.** Otsego Avenue between Ocean and Onondaga avenues
Sunday, April 28, 2024, through
Sunday, March 23, 2025
12 pm to 9 pm, fourth Sunday of each month
Excelsior Action Group – Shared Space

ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (INFORMATIONAL ITEMS)

The following items are presented for informational purposes and public comment. Closures are subject to review and approval by the SFMTA Board.

- Q.** Harlan Place between Grant Avenue and Mark Lane
Saturday, May 25, 2024, through
Sunday, May 25, 2025
2 pm to 11 pm, Sunday through Monday
2 pm to 1:30 am, Tuesday through Saturday
Harlan Records – Shared Space



***SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION FOR INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE AGENDA ITEMS ARE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW AT THE MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY'S OFFICES, ONE SOUTH VAN NESS, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103, DURING NORMAL BUSINESS HOURS. PLEASE CONTACT TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES/SPECIAL EVENTS AT (415) 646-2414. ***

Sound Producing Devices

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

Disability Access

To obtain a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the meeting, please contact (415) 701-4683 at least two business days before the meeting. In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illness, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to perfumes and various other chemical-based scented products. Please help the City to accommodate these individuals.

Know Your Rights under the Sunshine Ordinance

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decision in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code) or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator by mail to Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102, by phone at (415) 554-7724, by fax at (415) 554-7854 or by email at sotf@sfgov.org. Citizens may obtain a free copy of the Sunshine Ordinance by contacting the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator or by printing Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code on the Internet, at web site <http://www.sfgov.org/sunshine>.

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code Sec. 2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3900, San Francisco, CA 94102, telephone (415) 581-2200, fax (415) 581-2217, web site www.sfgov.org/ethics.

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Appeal Rights under S.F. Admin. Code Chapter 31: For identified Approval Actions, the Planning Department or the SFMTA has issued a CEQA exemption determination or negative declaration, which may be viewed online at the Planning Department's website. Following approval of the item by ISCOTT, the CEQA determination is subject to appeal within the time frame specified in S.F. Administrative Code Section 31.16 which is typically within 30 calendar days. For information on filing a CEQA appeal, contact the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors at City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102, or call (415) 554-5184. Under CEQA, in a later court challenge, a litigant may be limited to raising only those issues previously raised at a hearing on the project or submitted in writing to the City prior to or at such hearing, or as part of the appeal hearing process on the CEQA decision.





ISCOTT AGENDA

INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE
ON TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION FOR
TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES

Meeting of February 22, 2024 - Thursday, 9:00 AM
1564th Regular Meeting

Online Participation

Please join Microsoft Teams Meeting at
SFMTA.com/ISCOTTHearing

Click on the Raise your hand icon . When you are prompted to unmute, click on the microphone icon  to speak.

Phone Participation


Please dial 415-523-2709 and enter the meeting code
635 030 720#

Dial *5 to be placed in the queue for public comment. When prompted dial *6 to unmute yourself.

Please ensure that you are in a quiet location, speak clearly, and turn off any TVs or radios around you.

Written Participation

Submit your written comments to SpecialEvents@SFMTA.com with "Public Hearing" in the subject line or by mail to SFMTA, 1 South Van Ness, 7th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103. Written comments must be received by 12 noon on the day prior to the hearing to be considered.

 **415.646.2414:** For free interpretation services, please submit your request 48 hours in advance of meeting. / 如果需要免費口語翻譯，請於會議之前 48 小時提出要求 / Para servicios de interpretación gratuitos, por favor haga su petición 48 horas antes de la reunión. / Para sa libreng serbisyo sa interpretasyon, kailangan mag-request 48 oras bago ang miting.



MINUTES OF THE FEBRUARY 8, 2024, MEETING (ACTION ITEM)

The Committee to adopt the Minutes.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Members of the public may address ISCOTT members on matters that are within ISCOTT purview and are not on today's agenda.

TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)

These proposed actions are an Approval Action as defined by S.F. Administrative Code Chapter 31.

CONSENT CALENDAR

If there are no objections from the committee or the public, the following items will be voted on as a group.

- A. Jersey Street between Castro and Diamond streets
Sunday, April 28, 2024, 11:30 am to 2:30 pm
Block Party – 400 Jersey Street

REGULAR CALENDAR

- B. Caselli Avenue between Yukon and Danvers streets
Saturday, April 20, 2024, 12 pm to 4 pm
Block Party - Caselli Avenue 24 Spring Neighborhood
- C. Mangels Street between Hazelwood and Valdez Avenues
Saturday, April 27, 2024, 8 am to 4 pm
Block Party – Mangels St
- D. 37th Avenue between Ortega and Pacheco streets
Saturday, March 2, 2024, 6 am to 3 pm
District Weekend Cleanup Events
- E. Somerset Street between Silliman and Felton streets
Monday, April 1, 2024, through
Monday, March 31, 2025
6 am to 2 pm **each Monday**
MLK Pop-up Pantry



- F.** Greenwich Street between Columbus Avenue and Powell Street Intersection(s) closed: Greenwich Street at Via Bufano
Thursday, April 4, 2024, through
Thursday, March 27, 2025
6 am to 2 pm **each Thursday**
North Beach Pop-up Pantry
- G.** 41st Avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets
Friday, April 5, 2024, through
Friday, March 28, 2025
6 am to 2 pm **each Friday**
AP Giannini Pop-up Pantry
- H.** San Bruno Avenue (west side parking lane only) from 190 to 610 feet south of 25th Street
Tuesday, April 2, 2024, through
Thursday, March 25, 2025
6 am to 2 pm **each Tuesday**
Cesar Chavez Pop-up Pantry
- I.** Lapu Lapu Street between Bonifacio and Rizal streets; Bonifacio Street between Mabini and Lapu Lapu Streets Intersection(s) closed: Lapu Lapu at Rizal Streets
Thursday, April 4, 2024, through
Thursday, March 27, 2025
6 am to 2 pm **each Thursday**
Bessie Carmichael Pop-up Pantry
- J.** Waverly Place between Washington and Clay streets
Friday, April 12, 2024, 2 pm to 6 pm
2024 Week of the Young Chinatown



- K.** Ellis Street between Hyde and Leavenworth streets
Sunday, March 3, 2024, 8 am to 8 pm
and
Saturday, March 16, 2024, 8 am to 8 pm
and
Saturday, April 20, 2024, 8 am to 8 pm
and
Saturday, April 27, 2024, 8 am to 8 pm
and
Saturday, May 18, 2024, 8 am to 8 pm
TLCBD Block Party Series
- L.** Steiner Street between Duboce Avenue and Hermann Street
Sunday, March 24, 2024, 11 am to 5 pm
Wiggle Fest
- M.** Burrows Street between San Bruno Avenue and easterly terminus
Sunday, March 24, 2024, 7 am to 9 pm
Bloom Shaboom 2024
- N.** 45th Avenue between Sloat and Wawona streets
Sunday, March 17, 2024, 9 am to 7 pm
Greenfest
- O.** 4061 - 24th Street parking lot between Noe and Castro Streets
Sunday, March 17, 2024, 8 am to 11:45 pm
Celtic Fest
- P.** Treat Avenue between 15th and Harrison streets
Saturday, March 23, 2024, 10 am to 2 pm
and
Saturday, May 18, 2024, 10 am to 2 pm
Treat Avenue Greenspace
- Q.** Shannon Street between Geary and O'Farrell streets
Saturday, March 16, 2024, 8 am to 6 pm
Veterans Alley "Community Flea Market"
- R.** Fulton Street between Hyde and Larkin streets
Friday, June 7, 2024, 12 noon to
Saturday, June 8, 2024, 11:59 pm
Juneteenth Celebration



- S. Howard Street between The Embarcadero and Beale Street; Stuart Street between Mission Street and southerly terminus; Spear Street between Mission and Folsom streets
Saturday, May 18, 2024, 7 pm to
Sunday, May 19, 2024, 11 am
and
Main Street between Mission and Folsom streets
Saturday, May 18, 2024, 7 pm to
Sunday, May 19, 2024, 5 pm
and
Beale Street between Mission and Folsom streets; Fremont Street between Mission and Folsom streets; 1st Street between Mission and Folsom streets; 2nd Street between Mission and Folsom streets; New Montgomery Street between Mission and Howard streets; Howard Street between Beale and 3rd streets
Sunday, May 19, 2024, 3 am to 11 am
and
Howard Street between 3rd and 9th streets; 9th Street between Howard and Market streets
Sunday, May 19, 2024, 6 am to 11 am
and
Hayes Street between Market and Divisadero streets; Scott Street between Grove and Hayes streets; Steiner Street between Grove and Hayes streets
Sunday, May 19, 2024, 5 am to 12:30 pm
and
Divisadero Street between Grove and Oak streets
Sunday, May 19, 2024, 5 am to 1 pm
and
Baker Street between Oak and Fell streets; Fell Street between Divisadero and Stanyan streets
Sunday, May 19, 2024, 5 am to 3 pm
and
Cabrillo Street between 46th Avenue and La Playa Street; Balboa Street between 46th Avenue and The Great Highway; Point Lobos Avenue between 48th Avenue and The Great Highway
Sunday, May 19, 2024, 5 am to 4 pm
and
La Playa Street between Cabrillo and Fulton streets; Fulton Street (westbound) between The Great Highway and 46th Avenue; Fulton Street (eastbound) between The Great Highway and 48th Avenue; 47th Avenue between Fulton and JFK; Lincoln Way between The Great Highway and La Playa Street



Saturday, May 18, 2024, 9 pm to

Sunday, May 19, 2024, 4 pm

and

Great Highway between Sloat Blvd and Balboa Street

Saturday, May 18, 2024, 9 pm to

Sunday, May 19, 2024, 4:30 pm

Mission Street between Fremont and Steuart streets (MUNI allowed); Beale Street between Market and Mission streets; Spear Street between Market and Mission streets; Market Street between Drumm and Steuart streets (Mission Street safety closure)

Sunday, May 19, 2024, 5 am to 11 am

Bay To Breakers 2024

- T.** Harrison Street between 16th and 17th streets; Harrison Street between 21st and 22nd streets; 20th Street between Harrison Street and Treat Avenue; 21st Street between Harrison and Alabama streets

Friday, May 24, 2024, 4 pm to

Monday, May 27, 2024, 2 am

and

Harrison Street between 16th and 24th streets; Alabama Street (west side only) between 16th and 24th streets; 17th, 18th, 19th, 20th, 21st streets between Folsom and Alabama streets; 22nd, 23rd streets between Treat and Alabama streets; Mariposa Street between Harrison and Alabama streets; Treat Avenue between 16th and 21st streets; Mistral Street between Treat Avenue and Harrison Street

Intersection(s) closed: 17th, Mariposa, 18th, 19th, Mistral, 20th, 21st, 22nd, 23rd streets at Harrison Street; 17th, 18th, 19th, and Mistral streets at Treat Avenue

Friday, May 24, 2024, 7 pm to

Monday, May 27, 2024, 2 am

Carnaval



- U.** Hayes Street between Gough and Octavia streets; Linden Street between Gough and Octavia streets; Octavia Street between Hayes and Fell streets
Intersection(s) closed: Linden Street at Octavia Street
Sunday, April 14, 2024, 6 am to 7 pm
and
Sunday, June 9, 2024, 6 am to 7 pm
and
Sunday, August 19, 2024, 6 am to 7 pm
and
Sunday, October 13, 2024, 6 am to 7 pm
Head West Marketplace

- V.** Howard Street between 1st and New Montgomery streets; 2nd Street between Folsom and Mission streets; Minna Street between 1st and New Montgomery streets; Natoma Street between 1st and New Montgomery streets; Tehama Street between 1st and New Montgomery streets
Intersection(s) closed: Howard, Tehama, Minna and Natoma streets at 2nd Street
Saturday, May 4, 2024, 6 am to
Sunday, May 5, 2024, 2 am
How Weird Street Faire

Categorically exempt from CEQA: CEQA Guidelines Section 15304 Class 4(e) minor temporary use of land having negligible or no permanent effects on the environment, including carnivals, sales of Christmas trees, etc. and/or Section 15305 Class 5(b) minor alterations in land use limitations, including street closings and equipment for special events

Forrest Chamberlain
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

Date



ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)

The following item has been environmentally cleared by the Planning Department on April 19, 2021, Addendum #2 to San Francisco Better Streets Plan Project [Case No. 2021-003010ENV (addendum to Case No. 2007.1238E)]:

- W.** Grant Avenue between California and Washington streets; Commercial Street between Kearny Street and Grant Avenue
Intersection(s) closed: Grant Avenue at Commercial Street
Saturday, April 6, 2024, through
Sunday, March 30, 2025
9 am to 7 pm, **each Saturday and Sunday**
Lion Dance ME – Shared Space

ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (INFORMATIONAL ITEMS)

The following items are presented for informational purposes and public comment. Closures are subject to review and approval by the SFMTA Board.

- X.** Mark Lane between Bush Street and Harlan Place
Saturday, April 1, 2024, through
Sunday, March 31, 2025
11 am to 11 pm, **daily**
The Irish Bank – Shared Space

- Y.** Leidesdorff Street between Sacramento and Commercial streets
Saturday, May 25, 2024, through
Sunday, May 25, 2025
11:30 am to 9 pm, **each Sunday through Thursday**
11:30 am to 10 pm, **each Friday and Saturday**
Wayfare Tavern – Shared Space

- Z.** Via Bufano from Columbus Avenue to 75-feet northerly (local access maintained from Greenwich Street)
Friday, March 22, 2024, through
Saturday, March 22, 2025
4 pm to 11:30 pm, **each Tuesday through Thursday**
4 pm to midnight, **each Friday**
9 am to midnight, **each Saturday**
1 pm to 10 pm, **each Sunday**
Bodega – Shared Space



***SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION FOR INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE AGENDA ITEMS ARE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW AT THE MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY'S OFFICES, ONE SOUTH VAN NESS, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103, DURING NORMAL BUSINESS HOURS. PLEASE CONTACT TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES/SPECIAL EVENTS AT (415) 646-2414. ***

Sound Producing Devices

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

Disability Access

To obtain a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the meeting, please contact (415) 701-4683 at least two business days before the meeting. In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illness, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to perfumes and various other chemical-based scented products. Please help the City to accommodate these individuals.

Know Your Rights under the Sunshine Ordinance

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decision in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code) or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator by mail to Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102, by phone at (415) 554-7724, by fax at (415) 554-7854 or by email at sotf@sfgov.org. Citizens may obtain a free copy of the Sunshine Ordinance by contacting the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator or by printing Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code on the Internet, at web site <http://www.sfgov.org/sunshine>.

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code Sec. 2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3900, San Francisco, CA 94102, telephone (415) 581-2200, fax (415) 581-2217, web site www.sfgov.org/ethics.

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Appeal Rights under S.F. Admin. Code Chapter 31: For identified Approval Actions, the Planning Department or the SFMTA has issued a CEQA exemption determination or negative declaration, which may be viewed online at the Planning Department's website. Following approval of the item by ISCOTT, the CEQA determination is subject to appeal within the time frame specified in S.F. Administrative Code Section 31.16 which is typically within 30 calendar days. For information on filing a CEQA appeal, contact the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors at City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102, or call (415) 554-5184. Under CEQA, in a later court challenge, a litigant may be limited to raising only those issues previously raised at a hearing on the project or submitted in writing to the City prior to or at such hearing, or as part of the appeal hearing process on the CEQA decision.





ISCOTT AGENDA

INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE
ON TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION FOR
TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES

Meeting of March 14, 2024 - Thursday, 9:00 AM
1565th Regular Meeting

Online Participation

Please join Microsoft Teams Meeting at
SFMTA.com/ISCOTTHearing

Click on the Raise your hand icon . When you are prompted to unmute, click on the microphone icon  to speak.

Phone Participation


Please dial 415-523-2709 and enter the meeting code
635 030 720#

Dial *5 to be placed in the queue for public comment. When prompted dial *6 to unmute yourself.

Please ensure that you are in a quiet location, speak clearly, and turn off any TVs or radios around you.

Written Participation

Submit your written comments to SpecialEvents@SFMTA.com with "Public Hearing" in the subject line or by mail to SFMTA, 1 South Van Ness, 7th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103. Written comments must be received by 12 noon on the day prior to the hearing to be considered.

 **415.646.2414:** For free interpretation services, please submit your request 48 hours in advance of meeting. / 如果需要免費口語翻譯，請於會議之前 48 小時提出要求 / Para servicios de interpretación gratuitos, por favor haga su petición 48 horas antes de la reunión. / Para sa libreng serbisyo sa interpretasyon, kailangan mag-request 48 oras bago ang miting.



MINUTES OF THE FEBRUARY 24, 2024, MEETING (ACTION ITEM)

The Committee to adopt the Minutes.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Members of the public may address ISCOTT members on matters that are within ISCOTT purview and are not on today's agenda.

TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)

These proposed actions are an Approval Action as defined by S.F. Administrative Code Chapter 31.

CONSENT CALENDAR

If there are no objections from the committee or the public, the following items will be voted on as a group.

- A. Jefferson Street between Hyde Street and Westerly Terminus
Friday, September 6, 2024, 3 pm to
Saturday, September 7, 2024, 2 pm
Alcatraz Invitational Swim

- B. Joice Street between Clay and Sacramento streets
Saturday, May 4, 2024, 10 am to 6 pm
Cameron House Carnival

REGULAR CALENDAR

- C. Jackson Street between Divisadero and Broderick streets
Saturday, April 14, 2024, 11 am to 5 pm
Block Party - Jackson Steet

- D. Waller Street between Octavia and Laguna streets
Saturday, June 1, 2024, 6 am to 5 pm
Purple Pride Party

- E. Warner Place between Hyde Street and easterly terminus
Sunday, June 30, 2024, 12 noon to 7:30 pm
Habibi Bar Pride Block Party

- F. Russ Street between Folsom and Howard streets
Friday, March 22, 2024, 1 pm to 7 pm
PAGSASAMA-SAMA Mural Celebration



- G.** 4061 - 24th Street parking lot between Noe and Castro streets
Sunday, March 17, 2024, 8 am to 11:45 pm
Celtic Fest
- H.** Minna Street between 2nd and New Montgomery streets
Monday, May 6, 2024, 5 am to
Thursday, May 9, 2024, 2 am
111 Minna – RSA Event
- I.** Steiner Street between Duboce Avenue and Hermann Street
Sunday, April 21, 2024, 11 am to 5 pm
Wiggle Fest
- J.** Waverly Place between Sacramento and Washington streets
Saturday, April 6, 2024, 6 am to 5 pm
and
Saturday, June 8, 2024, 6 am to 5 pm
and
Saturday, June 22, 2024, 6 am to 5 pm
and
Saturday, July 20, 2024, 6 am to 5 pm
and
Saturday, October 26, 2024, 6 am to 5 pm
CYC 2024 Waverly Events
- K.** Balboa Street between 35th and 39th avenues; 37th avenue between Anza and Cabrillo streets
Intersection(s) closed: Balboa Street at 36th, 37th, and 38th avenues
Saturday, April 27, 2024, 5:30 am to 6 pm
SFUSD Citywide Youth Arts Festival
- L.** Marin Street between Illinois Street and Easterly Terminus; Michigan Street between Cesar Chavez and Marin Street
Intersection(s) closed: Michigan Street at Marin Street
(Local access allowed on Michigan Street via Cesar Chavez and Marin Street via Illinois)
Friday, April 5, 2024, 2 pm to
Sunday, April 7, 2024, 6 pm
Midway - Our House Block Party



- M.** Marin Street between Illinois Street and Easterly Terminus; Michigan Street between Cesar Chavez and Marin Street
Intersection(s) closed: Michigan Street at Marin Street
(Local access allowed on Michigan Street via Cesar Chavez and Marin Street via Illinois)
Friday, May 10, 2024, 2 pm to
Sunday, May 12, 2024, 10 pm
Midway - Playground Block Party
- N.** Battery Street between Jackson and Sacramento streets; Clay Street between Sansome and Front Streets; Merchant Street between Sansome and Battery Streets
Intersection(s) closed: Battery at Washington and Clay
Friday, May 10, 2024, 11 am to
Saturday, May 11, 2024, 2 am
and
Friday, July 12, 2024, 11 am to
Saturday, July 13, 2024, 2 am
and
Friday, September 13, 2024, 11 am to
Saturday, September 14, 2024, 2 am
and
Friday, November 15, 2024, 11 am to
Saturday, November 16, 2024, 2 am
Bhangra & Beats Night Market
- O.** Polk Street between Broadway and Pacific Street
Saturday, April 27, 2024, 6 am to 9 pm
and
Polk Street between Pacific and Jackson streets; Pacific Avenue between Van Ness Avenue and Larkin Street
Saturday, April 27, 2024, 10 am to 6:30 pm
and
Polk Street between California and Sacramento streets
Saturday, April 27, 2024, 5 pm to 11 pm
Pickin' on Polk
- P.** Yosemite Ave between Lane and 3rd streets
Saturday, March 30, 2024, 1 pm to 6 pm
Old School Block Party



- Q.** Berry Street between De Haro and 7th streets
Saturday, August 3, 2024, 6 am to 3 pm
and
Geneva Avenue between Moscow Street and Brookdale Avenue
Saturday, August 24, 2024, 6 am to 3 pm
and
Hollis Street between O Farrell and Ellis streets
Saturday, June 8, 2024, 6 am to 3 pm
and
Mistral Street between 19th and Harrison streets
Saturday, April 6, 2024, 6 am to 3 pm
and
Noe Street between 25th and Clipper streets
Saturday, July 13, 2024, 6 am to 3 pm
District Weekend Cleanup Events
- R.** 19th Street between Dolores and Guerrero streets
Saturday, April 13, 2024, 9 am to 7 pm
Cesar Chavez Parade

24th Street between Folsom and Bryant streets; Harrison Street between 23rd and 25th streets
Intersection(s) closed: 24th Street at Lucky Alley, Treat, Balmy Alley, Harrison, Alabama, and Florida streets
Saturday, April 13, 2024, 9 am to 7 pm
Cesar Chavez Festival
- S.** Stockton Street between Union and Filbert streets
Friday, May 10, 2024, 4 am to 6 pm
46th annual Penny Pitch
- T.** Carroll Avenue between Mendell and 3rd streets
Saturday, April 27, 2024, 7 am to 9 pm
44th Annual Black Cuisine Street Festival



- U.** Union Street between Gough and Fillmore streets; Octavia Street between Filbert and Green streets; Laguna Street between Filbert and Green streets; Buchanan Street between Filbert and Green streets; Webster Street between Filbert and Green streets;
Intersection(s) closed: Octavia; Laguna; Buchanan; and Webster streets at Union Street
Saturday, June 1, 2024, 12:01 am to
Sunday, June 2, 2024, 11:59 pm
46th Union Street Festival
- V.** Harrison Street between 11th and 13th streets; 12th Street between Harrison and Bernice streets; Norfolk Street between Harrison and Folsom streets
Intersection(s) closed: Norfolk and 12th streets at Harrison Street
Sunday, April 28, 2024, 8 am to 9 pm
SF Eagle Bar 11th Anniversary Party
- W.** Noe Street between Market and Beaver streets
Saturday, March 30, 2024, 7 am to 8:30 pm
and
Saturday, June 29, 2024, 7 am to 8:30 pm
and
Saturday, December 7, 2024, 7 am to 8:30 pm
Castro Merchants Events
- X.** Fulton Street between Hyde and Larkin streets; Grove Street between Polk and Larkin streets
Tuesday, June 25, 2024, 9:00 am to
Tuesday, July 2, 2024, 9:00 am
and
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place between Grove and McAllister streets; Larkin Street between McAllister and Market streets
Thursday, June 27, 2024, 7:00 pm to
Monday, July 1, 2024, 6:00 am
and
Grove Street between Van Ness Avenue and Hyde Street
Friday, June 28, 2024, 9:00 am to
Monday, July 1, 2024, 6:00 am
and
Dr. Tom Waddell Place (Lech Walesa) between Van Ness Avenue and Polk Street
Friday, June 28, 2024, 8:00 pm to
Monday, July 1, 2024, 6:00 am



and

Polk Street between Grove and Market streets; McAllister Street between Van Ness Avenue and Leavenworth Street; Hyde Street between McAllister and Grove streets; Continuum Place from Golden Gate Avenue to Terminus; Elm Street between Van Ness Avenue and Polk Street; Golden Gate Avenue between Van Ness Avenue and Leavenworth Street; Hyde Street between Turk and McAllister streets; Larkin Street between Turk and McAllister streets; Polk Street between Turk and McAllister streets; Redwood Street between Polk Street and Van Ness Avenue

Friday, June 28, 2024, 8:00 pm to

Monday, July 1, 2024, 6:00 am

and

Leavenworth Street between McAllister and Market streets

Sunday, June 30, 2024, 12:01 am to 5:00 pm

and

Market Street between 8th and 9th streets

Sunday, June 30, 2024, 6:00 am to 5:00 pm

San Francisco Pride Festival and Celebration

Sutter Street between Sansome and Market streets; Sansome Street (northbound) between Sutter and Bush streets

Sunday, June 30, 2024, 12:01 am to 5:00 pm

San Francisco Pride Parade Broadcast Area

Categorically exempt from CEQA: CEQA Guidelines Section 15304 Class 4(e) minor temporary use of land having negligible or no permanent effects on the environment, including carnivals, sales of Christmas trees, etc. and/or Section 15305 Class 5(b) minor alterations in land use limitations, including street closings and equipment for special events

Forrest Chamberlain
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

Date



ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)

The following item has been environmentally cleared by the Planning Department on April 19, 2021, Addendum #2 to San Francisco Better Streets Plan Project [Case No. 2021-003010ENV (addendum to Case No. 2007.1238E)]:

- Y.** Alabama Street between 19th and 20th streets
Thursday, April 4, 2024, through
Friday, March 28, 2025
8 am to 4 pm, **each Thursday and Friday**
Mission Food Hub – Shared Space
- Z.** Jack Kerouac Alley between Grant and Columbus avenues
Friday, April 5, 2024, through
Saturday, April 5, 2025
1 pm to 10 pm, **each Wednesday, Friday, Saturday, and Sunday**
Vesuvio – Shared Space

ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (INFORMATIONAL ITEMS)

The following items are presented for informational purposes and public comment. Closures are subject to review and approval by the SFMTA Board.

- AA.** Gold Street between Montgomery and Balance streets
Tuesday, April 23, 2024, through
Wednesday, April 23, 2025
4 pm to midnight, **Daily**
Bix – Shared Space



***SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION FOR INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE AGENDA ITEMS ARE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW AT THE MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY'S OFFICES, ONE SOUTH VAN NESS, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103, DURING NORMAL BUSINESS HOURS. PLEASE CONTACT TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES/SPECIAL EVENTS AT (415) 646-2414. ***

Sound Producing Devices

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

Disability Access

To obtain a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the meeting, please contact (415) 701-4683 at least two business days before the meeting. In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illness, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to perfumes and various other chemical-based scented products. Please help the City to accommodate these individuals.

Know Your Rights under the Sunshine Ordinance

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decision in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code) or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator by mail to Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102, by phone at (415) 554-7724, by fax at (415) 554-7854 or by email at sotf@sfgov.org. Citizens may obtain a free copy of the Sunshine Ordinance by contacting the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator or by printing Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code on the Internet, at web site <http://www.sfgov.org/sunshine>.

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code Sec. 2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3900, San Francisco, CA 94102, telephone (415) 581-2200, fax (415) 581-2217, web site www.sfgov.org/ethics.

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Appeal Rights under S.F. Admin. Code Chapter 31: For identified Approval Actions, the Planning Department or the SFMTA has issued a CEQA exemption determination or negative declaration, which may be viewed online at the Planning Department's website. Following approval of the item by ISCOTT, the CEQA determination is subject to appeal within the time frame specified in S.F. Administrative Code Section 31.16 which is typically within 30 calendar days. For information on filing a CEQA appeal, contact the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors at City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102, or call (415) 554-5184. Under CEQA, in a later court challenge, a litigant may be limited to raising only those issues previously raised at a hearing on the project or submitted in writing to the City prior to or at such hearing, or as part of the appeal hearing process on the CEQA decision.





ISCOTT AGENDA

INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE
ON TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION FOR
TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES

Meeting of March 28, 2024 - Thursday, 9:00 AM
1566th Regular Meeting

Online Participation

Please join Microsoft Teams Meeting at
SFMTA.com/ISCOTTHearing

Click on the Raise your hand icon . When you are prompted to unmute, click on the microphone icon  to speak.

Phone Participation


Please dial 415-523-2709 and enter the meeting code
635 030 720#

Dial *5 to be placed in the queue for public comment. When prompted dial *6 to unmute yourself.

Please ensure that you are in a quiet location, speak clearly, and turn off any TVs or radios around you.

Written Participation

Submit your written comments to SpecialEvents@SFMTA.com with "Public Hearing" in the subject line or by mail to SFMTA, 1 South Van Ness, 7th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103. Written comments must be received by 12 noon on the day prior to the hearing to be considered.

 **415.646.2414:** For free interpretation services, please submit your request 48 hours in advance of meeting. / 如果需要免費口語翻譯，請於會議之前 48 小時提出要求 / Para servicios de interpretación gratuitos, por favor haga su petición 48 horas antes de la reunión. / Para sa libreng serbisyo sa interpretasyon, kailangan mag-request 48 oras bago ang miting.



MINUTES OF THE MARCH 14, 2024, MEETING (ACTION ITEM)

The Committee to adopt the Minutes.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Members of the public may address ISCOTT members on matters that are within ISCOTT purview and are not on today's agenda.

TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)

These proposed actions are an Approval Action as defined by S.F. Administrative Code Chapter 31.

CONSENT CALENDAR

If there are no objections from the committee or the public, the following items will be voted on as a group.

- A. Jackson Street between Divisadero and Broderick streets
Saturday, April 13, 2024, 11 am to 5 pm
Block Party - Jackson Street

- B. 17th Avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets
Saturday, April 27, 2024, 8 am to 5 pm
Argonne Elementary Spring Fair

- C. Mariposa Street between Carolina and Arkansas streets
Wednesday, June 5, 2024, 7 am to 3 pm
Live Oak Graduation

REGULAR CALENDAR

- D. Elm Street between Van Ness Avenue and Polk Street
Saturday, May 4, 2024, 8 am to 4 pm
Tenderloin Community School Celebration

- E. Elgin Park between Duboce Avenue and Market Street
Saturday, June 1, 2024, 10 am to 2 pm
Farewell Freeway

- F. 19th Street between Caselli and Market streets
Friday, May 3, 2024, 4 pm to 8 pm
Mural Dedication



- G.** Byxbee Street between Shields and Sargent streets
Friday, July 12, 2024, 8 am to 4 pm
OMI Family Day
- H.** Vienna Street between Persia and Brazil avenues
Saturday, June 1, 2024, 8 am to 6 pm
Coleman Block Party
- I.** Cole Street between Cole and Haight streets
Tuesday, April 16, 2024, 1 pm to 6:30 pm
Huckleberry Wellness Block Party
- J.** Lapu-Lapu Street between Bonifacio and Howard streets; Mabini Street between Folsom and Bonifacio streets; Bonifacio Street between Lapu-Lapu Street and Tandang Sora; Rizal Street between Lapu-Lapu Street and Tandang Sora; Tandang Sora between Bonifacio and Rizal streets
Saturday, June 8, 2024, 7 am to 6 pm
SIGLathon
- K.** Heron Street between 8th Street and Berwick Place
Saturday, June 29, 2024, 5 am to 9 pm
and
Saturday, July 27, 2024, 5 am to 9 pm
and
Friday, September 27, 2024, 5 am to
Saturday, September 28, 2024, 9 pm
Mr. S Street Parties
- L.** Grant Avenue between California Street and Broadway (all intersections remain open)
Saturday, May 25, 2024, 7 am to 6 pm
Chinatown Cultural Festival
- M.** Stockton Street between Union and Filbert streets
Saturday, May 11, 2024, 6:30 am to 2:30 pm
Alfa Romeo Car Show



N. Stockton Street between Union and Filbert streets

Saturday, June 1, 2024, 4 am to

Sunday, June 2, 2024, 10 pm

Festa Italiana

Stockton Street between Filbert and Northpoint streets; Northpoint Street between Stockton and The Embarcadero

Sunday, June 2, 2024, 7 am to 12 noon

103rd Statuto Race

O. Alabama Street between 19th and 20th streets

Saturday, April 13, 2024, 10 am to midnight

2024 50th Anniversary Art Auction

P. Grant Avenue between Columbus Avenue and Filbert Street; Columbus Avenue between Broadway and Green Streets; Vallejo Street between Stockton Street and Margave Place; Green Street between Columbus and Grant Avenues; Stockton between Filbert and Green streets; Intersections: Vallejo and Green at Grant Avenue; Grant at Columbus Avenue; Stockton and Green at Columbus Avenue

Saturday, June 15, 2024, 12:01 am to

Sunday, June 16, 2024, 11:59 pm

68th Annual North Beach Festival

Q. 2nd Street between Market and Folsom streets; Clementina Street between 2nd and 1st streets; Jessie Street between New Montgomery and 2nd streets; Minna Street between New Montgomery and 1st streets; Natoma Street between New Montgomery and 1st streets; Stevenson Street between New Montgomery and 1st streets; Tehama Street between Hawthorne and 1st streets

Intersection(s) closed: 2nd street at Stevenson, Jessie, Minna, Natoma, Tehama, and Clementina streets

Thursday, May 2, 2024, through

Thursday, April 2, 2025

12 noon to 12 midnight, ***first Thursday of each month***

Downtown 1st Thursdays



R. Northbound Embarcadero between King Street and Broadway; King Street between 3rd Street and northbound Embarcadero; Steuart Street between Howard Street and southerly terminus; Howard Street between The Embarcadero and Spear Street

(Local access allowed on Howard and Steuart streets)

Sunday, July 28, 2024, 12:01 am to 3:00 pm

Steuart Street between Mission and Howard streets

Sunday, July 28, 2024, 7 am to 9:30 am

Southbound Embarcadero between Broadway and 3rd Street

(Note: for 10K and 5K routes.)

Sunday, July 28, 2024, 12:01 am to 3:00 pm

Embarcadero between Broadway and Jefferson Street; Jefferson Street between The Embarcadero and westerly terminus ; Van Ness Avenue between North Point Street and northerly terminus; Beach Street (westbound) between Marina Boulevard and Baker Street;

(Muni allowed access on Van Ness. Powell, Mason, Taylor, Jones, Leavenworth, and Hyde closed to through traffic at Jefferson.)

El Camino del Mar between Presidio border and 27th Avenue; 27th Avenue between El Camino del Mar and Fulton Street; Lake Street between 26th and 27th avenues; 26th Avenue between Lake and Fulton streets; Fulton Street between 27th Avenue and Crossover Drive;

(Course runs an out-and-back on Great Highway between Lincoln and Quintara if a Golden Gate Bridge reroute occurs)

Haight Street between Stanyan [Golden Gate Park boundary] and Buchanan streets; Scott Street between Haight and Waller streets; Waller Street between Scott and Buchanan streets; Buchanan Street between Haight and Market streets; Herman Street between Buchanan and Laguna streets; Duboce Street between Market and Guerrero streets;

Guerrero Street between Market and 16th streets; 15th Street between Guerrero and Harrison streets; Harrison Street between 15th and 16th streets; 16th Street between Guerrero and Wisconsin streets; Florida Street between 16th and 17th streets; 17th Street between Florida and Mississippi streets; Wisconsin Street between 16th and 17th streets; Mississippi Street between 17th and Mariposa streets; Mariposa Street between Mississippi and Indiana streets; Indiana Street between Mariposa and 19th streets; 19th Street between Indiana and 3rd streets; 3rd Street between 20th and Mariposa



streets; 19th Street between 3rd and Illinois streets; Illinois Street between 20th and Mariposa streets; Terry A Francois Boulevard between Illinois and 3rd streets; 3rd Street between Terry A Francois Boulevard and King Street.

(Note: Streets will open as final runner passes.)

Sunday, July 28, 2024, 12:01 am to 3:00 pm

Folsom Street between The Embarcadero and Spear Street; Spear Street between Folsom and Harrison streets; Harrison Street between Spear and Main streets; Main Street between Harrison and Bryant streets; Bryant Street between Main Street and The Embarcadero

(Note:5K course)

Sunday, July 28, 2024, 6:30 am to 10:30 am

Northbound Embarcadero between Broadway and Beach Street; Grant Avenue between North Point Street and The Embarcadero; Westbound North Point Street between Grant Avenue and The Embarcadero; Folsom Street between The Embarcadero and Spear Street; Spear Street between Howard and Folsom streets

Sunday, July 28, 2024, 6:30 am to 10:30 am

Westbound Beach Street between The Embarcadero and Polk Street; Polk Street between Beach and North Point streets; Westbound North Point Street between Polk Street and Van Ness Avenue; Southbound Van Ness Avenue between North Point Street and Bay streets; Westbound Bay Street between Van Ness Avenue and Laguna Street; Northbound Laguna Street between Bay Street and Laguna Boulevard

(Note: 10K course)

Sunday, July 28, 2024, 7 am to 9:30 am

Howard St. between The Embarcadero and Spear St; Spear St between Howard St and Harrison St; Jefferson St. between The Embarcadero and Leavenworth St.; Westbound lanes of Beach St. between Leavenworth St. and The Embarcadero

Sunday, July 28, 2024, 7 am to 9:30 am

The San Francisco Marathon

Categorically exempt from CEQA: CEQA Guidelines Section 15304 Class 4(e) minor temporary use of land having negligible or no permanent effects on the environment, including carnivals, sales of Christmas trees, etc. and/or Section 15305 Class 5(b) minor alterations in land use limitations, including street closings and equipment for special events



ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)

The following item has been environmentally cleared by the Planning Department on April 19, 2021, Addendum #2 to San Francisco Better Streets Plan Project [Case No. 2021-003010ENV (addendum to Case No. 2007.1238E)]:

- S.** Greenwich Street between Columbus Avenue and Powell Street
Saturday, April 6, 2024, through
Saturday, April 5, 2025
6 am to 3 pm, **each Saturday**
North Beach Farmers Market – Shared Space
- T.** Ritch Street between Brannan and Townsend streets
Saturday, June 1, 2024, through
Sunday, June 1, 2025
9 am to 5 pm, **each Saturday and Sunday**
Little Skillet – Shared Space
- U.** Noe Street between Market and Beaver streets
Sunday April 7, 2024, through
Sunday, April 6, 2025
9 am to 7 pm, **first Sunday of each month**
ArtGasm – Shared Space

ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (INFORMATIONAL ITEMS)

The following items are presented for informational purposes and public comment. Closures are subject to review and approval by the SFMTA Board.

- V.** Trinity Street from Bush to Sutter streets
Wednesday, May 8, 2024, through
Thursday, May 8, 2025
8 am to 10 pm, **daily**
Trinity – Shared Space



- W.** Larkin Street between Eddy and O'Farrell streets
Intersection(s) closed: Larkin at Willow and Olive streets
Saturday, May 11, 2024, through
Saturday, May 10, 2025
11 am to 8 pm, ***second Saturday of each month***
Tenderloin – Shared Space



***SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION FOR INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE AGENDA ITEMS ARE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW AT THE MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY'S OFFICES, ONE SOUTH VAN NESS, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103, DURING NORMAL BUSINESS HOURS. PLEASE CONTACT TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES/SPECIAL EVENTS AT (415) 646-2414. ***

Sound Producing Devices

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

Disability Access

To obtain a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the meeting, please contact (415) 701-4683 at least two business days before the meeting. In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illness, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to perfumes and various other chemical-based scented products. Please help the City to accommodate these individuals.

Know Your Rights under the Sunshine Ordinance

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decision in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code) or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator by mail to Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102, by phone at (415) 554-7724, by fax at (415) 554-7854 or by email at sotf@sfgov.org. Citizens may obtain a free copy of the Sunshine Ordinance by contacting the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator or by printing Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code on the Internet, at web site <http://www.sfgov.org/sunshine>.

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code Sec. 2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3900, San Francisco, CA 94102, telephone (415) 581-2200, fax (415) 581-2217, web site www.sfgov.org/ethics.

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Appeal Rights under S.F. Admin. Code Chapter 31: For identified Approval Actions, the Planning Department or the SFMTA has issued a CEQA exemption determination or negative declaration, which may be viewed online at the Planning Department's website. Following approval of the item by ISCOTT, the CEQA determination is subject to appeal within the time frame specified in S.F. Administrative Code Section 31.16 which is typically within 30 calendar days. For information on filing a CEQA appeal, contact the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors at City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102, or call (415) 554-5184. Under CEQA, in a later court challenge, a litigant may be limited to raising only those issues previously raised at a hearing on the project or submitted in writing to the City prior to or at such hearing, or as part of the appeal hearing process on the CEQA decision.





ISCOTT AGENDA

INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE
ON TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION FOR
TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES

Meeting of April 11, 2024 - Thursday, 9:00 AM
1567th Regular Meeting

Online Participation

Please join Microsoft Teams Meeting at
SFMTA.com/ISCOTTHearing

Click on the Raise your hand icon . When you are prompted to unmute, click on the microphone icon  to speak.

Phone Participation


Please dial 415-523-2709 and enter the meeting code
635 030 720#

Dial *5 to be placed in the queue for public comment. When prompted dial *6 to unmute yourself.

Please ensure that you are in a quiet location, speak clearly, and turn off any TVs or radios around you.

Written Participation

Submit your written comments to SpecialEvents@SFMTA.com with "Public Hearing" in the subject line or by mail to SFMTA, 1 South Van Ness, 7th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103. Written comments must be received by 12 noon on the day prior to the hearing to be considered.

 **415.646.2414:** For free interpretation services, please submit your request 48 hours in advance of meeting. / 如果需要免費口語翻譯，請於會議之前 48 小時提出要求 / Para servicios de interpretación gratuitos, por favor haga su petición 48 horas antes de la reunión. / Para sa libreng serbisyo sa interpretasyon, kailangan mag-request 48 oras bago ang miting.



MINUTES OF THE MARCH 28, 2024, MEETING (ACTION ITEM)

The Committee to adopt the Minutes.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Members of the public may address ISCOTT members on matters that are within ISCOTT purview and are not on today's agenda.

TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)

These proposed actions are an Approval Action as defined by S.F. Administrative Code Chapter 31.

CONSENT CALENDAR

If there are no objections from the committee or the public, the following items will be voted on as a group.

- A. Filbert Street between Divisadero and Broderick streets
Saturday, June 1, 2024, 9 am to 4 pm
Block Party – Filbert Street

- B. 28th Avenue between Fulton and Cabrillo streets
Sunday, May 26, 2024, 8 am to 4 pm
Lag B'Omer Neighborhood Celebration

- C. Grove Street between Baker and Central streets; Lyon between Grove and Fulton streets
Intersection(s) closed: Grove Street at Lyon Street
Saturday, June 8, 2024, 11 am to 4 pm
NOPNA Block Party

REGULAR CALENDAR

- D. 23rd Street between Diamond and Eureka streets
Saturday, June 8, 2024, 4 pm to 10 pm
Block Party - 23rd Street

- E. 8th Avenue between Anza and Balboa streets
Sunday, May 5, 2024, 1 pm to 4:30 pm
Block Party - 8th Avenue Greenway Cinco De Mayo Party



- F.** Excelsior Avenue between Mission and Paris streets;
(Intersection of Excelsior and London streets remains open)
Sunday, June 30, 2024, 9 am to 7 pm
Excelsior Action Party
- G.** Barneveld Avenue between Apparel Way and Apparel Way
Saturday, June 29, 2024, 12:01 pm to
Sunday, June 30, 2024, 9 am
After Glow Pride
- H.** Jane Warner Plaza area (17th Street between Castro and Hartford streets)
Sunday, May 19, 2024, 11 am to 7 pm
Harvey Milk Day
and
Wednesday, June 12, 2024, 3 pm to 7 pm
Pulse Nightclub Memorial
and
Monday, December 16, 2024, 4 pm to 7 pm
Menorah Lighting
- I.** Myrtle Street (Alice B Toklas Pl) between Larkin and Polk streets
Saturday, May 11, 2024, 12 noon to 10 pm
and
Friday, May 24, 2024, 12 noon to 10 pm
TLCBD Block Parties
- J.** Marin Street between Illinois Street and Easterly Terminus; Michigan Street
between Cesar Chavez and Marin Street
Intersection(s) closed: Michigan Street at Marin Street
(Local access allowed on Michigan Street via Cesar Chavez and Marin Street
via Illinois)
Friday, May 24, 2024, 4 pm to
Sunday, May 26, 2024, 6 am
Midway - Steve Aoki Party



- K.** Marin Street between Illinois Street and Easterly Terminus; Michigan Street between Cesar Chavez and Marin Street
Intersection(s) closed: Michigan Street at Marin Street
(Local access allowed on Michigan Street via Cesar Chavez and Marin Street via Illinois)
Friday, June 7, 2024, 4 pm to
Sunday, June 9, 2024, 6 am
Midway - Boris Bejcha Block Party
- L.** Stevenson Street between 6th and 7th streets
Saturday, April 27, 2024, 8 am to 8 pm
Bargain Rock
- M.** Stockton Street between Union and Filbert streets; Filbert Street between Stockton and Powell streets
(Intersection(s) closed: Filbert at Stockton
Friday, August 16, 2024, 5 am to
Saturday, August 17, 2024, 10 pm
SF Pizza, Bagel, Beer Festival
- N.** Carroll Ave between Mendell (westerly terminus) and 3rd streets; Carroll Avenue between 3rd and Keith streets
Friday, April 26, 2024, 8 am to
Saturday, April 27, 2024, 9 pm
44th Annual Black Cuisine Festival
- O.** Noe Street between Market and Beaver streets
Saturday, March 30, 2024, 7 am to 8:30 pm
and
Saturday, June 29, 2024, 7 am to 8:30 pm
and
Saturday, December 7, 2024, 7 am to 8:30 pm
Castro Merchants Events
- P.** Valencia Street between Duboce Avenue and 26th Street; 15th Street between Caledonia and Valencia streets; 23rd Street between San Jose Avenue and Bartlett Street
Intersection(s) closed: Valencia Street at Clinton Park, Brosnan, 15th, Sparrow Alley, 17th St, Clarion Alley
Sunday, August 25, 2024, 10 am to 5 pm
Sunday Streets Mission



- Q.** Mission Street between Theresa Street and Geneva Avenue; Ocean Avenue between Persia Avenue and Mission Street
Intersection(s) closed: Mission Street at Cotter, Francis, Excelsior, Santa Rosa, Harrington, Brazil, San Juan, and Ocean; Ocean Avenue at Persia Avenue
Sunday, July 21, 2024, 10 am to 5 pm
Sunday Streets Excelsior
- R.** Cortland Avenue between Folsom and Bocana Streets; Moultrie Street between Eugenia and Cortland streets; Ellsworth Street between Eugenia and Cortland streets
(Intersection(s) closed: Cortland Avenue at Gates, Ellsworth, Anderson, Moultrie, Andover, Wool and Bennington Streets
(Local Access only on Gates, Ellsworth, Anderson, Moultrie, Andover, Wool and Bennington Streets from Eugenia and Jarboe/Ellis Streets)
Sunday, September 29, 2024, 6 am to 7 pm
Fiesta On The Hill 2024
- S.** Balboa Street between 35th and 36th avenues
Saturday, June 29, 2024, 8 am to 7 pm
Far Out Fest
- T.** Hayes Street between Gough and Octavia streets; Linden Street between Gough and Octavia streets; Octavia Street between Hayes and Fell streets
Intersection(s) closed: Linden Street at Octavia Street
Sunday, August 11, 2024, 6 am to 7 pm
Head West Marketplace [correction]
- U.** Hayes Street between Gough and Octavia streets; Linden Street between Gough and Octavia streets; Octavia Street between Hayes and Fell streets
Intersection(s) closed: Linden Street at Octavia Street
Saturday, October 12, 2024, 7 am to 7 pm
Head West Marketplace
- V.** 12th Street between Harrison and Bernice streets
Sunday, June 30, 2024, 8 am to 11 pm
SF Eagle Bar Pride in the Plaza
- W.** Castro Street between Market and 18th streets; SFMTA lot on Castro
Wednesday, June 19, 2024, 7 am to 12 midnight
Frameline Film Festival



Categorically exempt from CEQA: CEQA Guidelines Section 15304 Class 4(e) minor temporary use of land having negligible or no permanent effects on the environment, including carnivals, sales of Christmas trees, etc. and/or Section 15305 Class 5(b) minor alterations in land use limitations, including street closings and equipment for special events

Forrest Chamberlain
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

Date

ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)

The following item has been environmentally cleared by the Planning Department on April 19, 2021, Addendum #2 to San Francisco Better Streets Plan Project [Case No. 2021-003010ENV (addendum to Case No. 2007.1238E)]:

NONE

ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (INFORMATIONAL ITEMS)

The following items are presented for informational purposes and public comment. Closures are subject to review and approval by the SFMTA Board.

- X.** Maiden Lane between Kearny Street and Grant Avenue
 Saturday, May 18, 2024, through
 Sunday, May 18, 2025
 5 pm to 10 pm, **each Tuesday through Friday**
 12 noon to 10 pm, **each Saturday**
 Hawthorn – Shared Space



***SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION FOR INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE AGENDA ITEMS ARE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW AT THE MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY'S OFFICES, ONE SOUTH VAN NESS, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103, DURING NORMAL BUSINESS HOURS. PLEASE CONTACT TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES/SPECIAL EVENTS AT (415) 646-2414. ***

Sound Producing Devices

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

Disability Access

To obtain a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the meeting, please contact (415) 701-4683 at least two business days before the meeting. In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illness, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to perfumes and various other chemical-based scented products. Please help the City to accommodate these individuals.

Know Your Rights under the Sunshine Ordinance

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decision in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code) or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator by mail to Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102, by phone at (415) 554-7724, by fax at (415) 554-7854 or by email at sotf@sfgov.org. Citizens may obtain a free copy of the Sunshine Ordinance by contacting the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator or by printing Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code on the Internet, at web site <http://www.sfgov.org/sunshine>.

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code Sec. 2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3900, San Francisco, CA 94102, telephone (415) 581-2200, fax (415) 581-2217, web site www.sfgov.org/ethics.

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Appeal Rights under S.F. Admin. Code Chapter 31: For identified Approval Actions, the Planning Department or the SFMTA has issued a CEQA exemption determination or negative declaration, which may be viewed online at the Planning Department's website. Following approval of the item by ISCOTT, the CEQA determination is subject to appeal within the time frame specified in S.F. Administrative Code Section 31.16 which is typically within 30 calendar days. For information on filing a CEQA appeal, contact the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors at City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102, or call (415) 554-5184. Under CEQA, in a later court challenge, a litigant may be limited to raising only those issues previously raised at a hearing on the project or submitted in writing to the City prior to or at such hearing, or as part of the appeal hearing process on the CEQA decision.





ISCOTT AGENDA

INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE
ON TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION FOR
TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES

Meeting of April 25, 2024 - Thursday, 9:00 AM
1568th Regular Meeting

Online Participation

Please join Microsoft Teams Meeting at
SFMTA.com/ISCOTTHearing

Click on the Raise your hand icon . When you are prompted to unmute, click on the microphone icon  to speak.

Phone Participation


Please dial 415-523-2709 and enter the meeting code
635 030 720#

Dial *5 to be placed in the queue for public comment. When prompted dial *6 to unmute yourself.

Please ensure that you are in a quiet location, speak clearly, and turn off any TVs or radios around you.

Written Participation

Submit your written comments to SpecialEvents@SFMTA.com with "Public Hearing" in the subject line or by mail to SFMTA, 1 South Van Ness, 7th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103. Written comments must be received by 12 noon on the day prior to the hearing to be considered.

 **415.646.2414:** For free interpretation services, please submit your request 48 hours in advance of meeting. / 如果需要免費口語翻譯，請於會議之前 48 小時提出要求 / Para servicios de interpretación gratuitos, por favor haga su petición 48 horas antes de la reunión. / Para sa libreng serbisyo sa interpretasyon, kailangan mag-request 48 oras bago ang miting.



MINUTES OF THE APRIL 11, 2024, MEETING (ACTION ITEM)

The Committee to adopt the Minutes.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Members of the public may address ISCOTT members on matters that are within ISCOTT purview and are not on today's agenda.

TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)

These proposed actions are an Approval Action as defined by S.F. Administrative Code Chapter 31.

CONSENT CALENDAR

If there are no objections from the committee or the public, the following items will be voted on as a group.

- A. 5th Avenue between Lincoln Way and Hugo Street
Saturday, September 28, 2024, 10 am to 10 pm
Annual Neighborhood Block Party

- B. Java Street between Buena Vista Ave West and Masonic Ave
Sunday, June 2, 2024, 9 am to 6 pm
Block Party – Java Street

- C. Brentwood Avenue between Yerba Buena and Fernwood Drive
Saturday, May 4, 2024, 11 am to 7 pm
Block Party – Brentwood

- D. Granville Way between Ulloa and Claremont streets
Saturday, September 15, 2024, 2 pm to 6 pm
Block Party – Granville Way

- E. 27th Street between Church and Sanchez streets
Sunday, September 29, 2024, 11 am to 5 pm
Block Party – 27th St. Block-Tober Fest

- F. Elgin Park between Duboce Avenue and Market Street
Saturday, June 1, 2024, 10 am to 2 pm
Farewell Freeway

REGULAR CALENDAR



- G.** Bird Street between Dearborn Street and eastern terminus
Sunday, June 2, 2024, 8 am to 8 pm
Block Party – Bird Street
- H.** Coleridge Street between Powers and Coso avenues
Sunday, May 26, 2024, 12 noon to 5:30 pm
Block Party - Coleridge Street
- I.** SFMTA lot on Castro
Wednesday, June 19, 2024, 6 am to 12 midnight
and
Castro Street between Market and 18th streets
Wednesday, June 19, 2024, 2 pm to 12 midnight
Frameline Film Festival
- J.** Grove Street between Baker and Lyon streets
Saturday, September 14, 2024, 7 am to 4 pm
Pacific Primary 50th Anniversary Celebration
- K.** Ringold Street between 8th and 9th streets
Saturday, June 15, 2024, 10 am to 5 pm
Audacious Anniversary 6
- L.** Holly Park Circle between Park Street and Highland Avenue
Saturday, July 20, 2024, 10 am to 6 pm
Tucan’s Day Party
- M.** Keith Street between Carroll and Armstrong avenues
Sunday, June 2, 2024, 9:30 am to 6:30 pm
2nd Annual Dooda Day
- N.** Waller Street from Octavia Street to 275 feet west of Octavia Street
Sunday, September 22, 2024, 8 am to 4 pm
First Baptist 175th Anniversary
- O.** Visitacion Avenue between Mansell and Hahn streets; Leland Avenue between Sawyer Street to Visitacion Avenue; Hahn Street between Leland Avenue and Sunrise Way
Sunday, June 2, 2024, 7 am to 8 pm
Ruth Jackson Sunnydale Family Day



- P.** Cole Street between Carl and Grattan streets; Cole Street between Frederick and Carl streets; Parnassus Avenue between Shrader and Belvedere streets
Intersection(s) closed: Cole Street at Parnassus Avenue
(Intersection of Cole and Carl to remain unobstructed at all times)
Sunday, September 22, 2024, 6 am to 7 pm
Cole Valley Fair
- Q.** Grant Avenue between Columbus Avenue and Filbert Street; Columbus Avenue between Broadway and Green Streets; Vallejo Street between Stockton Street and Margave Place; Green Street between Columbus and Grant Avenues; Stockton between Filbert and Green streets; Intersections: Vallejo and Green at Grant Avenue; Grant at Columbus Avenue; Stockton and Green at Columbus Avenue
Saturday, June 15, 2024, 12:01 am to
Sunday, June 16, 2024, 11:59 pm
North Beach Festival
- R.** Fillmore Street between Geary Blvd and Fulton Street; O'Farrell Street between Steiner and Fillmore streets; Golden Gate Avenue between Steiner and Webster streets; Eddy Street between Steiner and Webster streets; Turk Street between Steiner and Webster streets; McAllister Street between Steiner and Webster streets; Fulton Street between Steiner and Webster streets
Intersection(s) closed: Fillmore St at O'Farrell, Golden Gate, Eddy, Turk, McAllister, and Fulton
Saturday, June 15, 2024, 4 am to 11:30 pm
Fillmore Juneteenth



- S.** Terry A Francois Blvd between 16th Street and Warriors Way; Warriors Way between Terry A Francois Blvd and Bridgeview Way
Thursday, August 22, 2024, 6 am to 11 pm
and
Terry A Francois Blvd between Warriors Way and Mission Bay Blvd South
Thursday, August 22, 2024, 10:30 am to 11 pm
and
16th Street between Terry A Francois Blvd and Illinois streets
Thursday, August 22, 2024, 1 pm to 11 pm
and
3rd Street between Mission Bay Blvd and King Street; King Street between 3rd Street and The Embarcadero; Mission Rock Street between 3rd Street and Terry Francois Blvd; Terry A Francois Blvd between Mission Bay Blvd South and Mission Rock Street; The Embarcadero between Kind and Howard streets
Thursday, August 22, 2024, 6 pm to 9 pm
and
Warriors Way between Bridgeview Way and 3rd Street
Thursday, August 22, 2024, 6 pm to 11 pm
JP Morgan Corporate Challenge Race 2024
- T.** Folsom Street between 23rd and 25th streets
(Intersection of Folsom and 24th to remain open)
Sunday, May 5, 2024, 9 am to 5 pm
Mission Food Hub Cinco de Mayo Bike Ride Out
- U.** Harrison Street between 19th and 20th streets
Saturday, May 11, 2024, 8 am to 5 pm
SF Lowrider Council Cinco de Mayo Pop Up



- V. Grove Street between Polk and Larkin St streets
Tuesday, May 28, 2024, 6 am to
Monday, June 3, 2024, 4 pm
 - Polk Street between McAllister and Hayes streets
Thursday, May 30, 2024, 6 am to
Monday, June 3, 2024, 4 am
 - Fulton Street between Larkin and Hyde streets
Friday, May 31, 2024, 6 am to
Sunday, June 2, 2024, 4 am
 - Larkin Street between Hayes and McAllister streets; Grove Street between Larkin and Hyde streets; Grove Street between Van Ness Ave and Polk Street
Friday, May 31, 2024, 7 pm to
Sunday, June 2, 2024, 4 am
- Civic Center Concert**

Categorically exempt from CEQA: CEQA Guidelines Section 15304 Class 4(e) minor temporary use of land having negligible or no permanent effects on the environment, including carnivals, sales of Christmas trees, etc. and/or Section 15305 Class 5(b) minor alterations in land use limitations, including street closings and equipment for special events

Forrest Chamberlain Date
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)

The following item has been environmentally cleared by the Planning Department on April 19, 2021, Addendum #2 to San Francisco Better Streets Plan Project [Case No. 2021-003010ENV (addendum to Case No. 2007.1238E)]:

- W. Grant Avenue between California Street and Pacific Avenue;
Commercial Street between Kearny Street and Grant Avenue
Intersection(s) closed: Grant Avenue at Commercial Street
Friday, May 10, 2024, through
Friday, November 8, 2024
3 pm - 10 pm, every second Friday
- Chinatown Night Market – Shared Space**



- X. Valencia Street between 18th and 19th streets
 - Saturday, May 18, 2024, from 4pm to 9 pm
 - and*
 - Saturday, June 15, 2024, from 4pm to 9 pm
 - and*
 - Saturday, July 20, 2024, from 4pm to 9 pm
 - and*
 - Saturday, August 17, 2024, from 4pm to 9 pm
 - and*
 - Saturday, September 21, 2024, from 4pm to 9 pm
- VCMA Valencia Street – Shared Space***

ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (INFORMATIONAL ITEMS)

The following items are presented for informational purposes and public comment. Closures are subject to review and approval by the SFMTA Board.

NONE



***SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION FOR INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE AGENDA ITEMS ARE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW AT THE MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY'S OFFICES, ONE SOUTH VAN NESS, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103, DURING NORMAL BUSINESS HOURS. PLEASE CONTACT TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES/SPECIAL EVENTS AT (415) 646-2414. ***

Sound Producing Devices

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

Disability Access

To obtain a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the meeting, please contact (415) 701-4683 at least two business days before the meeting. In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illness, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to perfumes and various other chemical-based scented products. Please help the City to accommodate these individuals.

Know Your Rights under the Sunshine Ordinance

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decision in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code) or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator by mail to Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102, by phone at (415) 554-7724, by fax at (415) 554-7854 or by email at sotf@sfgov.org. Citizens may obtain a free copy of the Sunshine Ordinance by contacting the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator or by printing Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code on the Internet, at web site <http://www.sfgov.org/sunshine>.

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code Sec. 2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3900, San Francisco, CA 94102, telephone (415) 581-2200, fax (415) 581-2217, web site www.sfgov.org/ethics.

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Appeal Rights under S.F. Admin. Code Chapter 31: For identified Approval Actions, the Planning Department or the SFMTA has issued a CEQA exemption determination or negative declaration, which may be viewed online at the Planning Department's website. Following approval of the item by ISCOTT, the CEQA determination is subject to appeal within the time frame specified in S.F. Administrative Code Section 31.16 which is typically within 30 calendar days. For information on filing a CEQA appeal, contact the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors at City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102, or call (415) 554-5184. Under CEQA, in a later court challenge, a litigant may be limited to raising only those issues previously raised at a hearing on the project or submitted in writing to the City prior to or at such hearing, or as part of the appeal hearing process on the CEQA decision.





ISCOTT AGENDA

INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE
ON TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION FOR
TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES

Meeting of May 9, 2024 - Thursday, 9:00 AM
1569th Regular Meeting

Online Participation

Please join Microsoft Teams Meeting at
SFMTA.com/ISCOTTHearing

Click on the Raise your hand icon . When you are prompted to unmute, click on the microphone icon  to speak.

Phone Participation


Please dial 415-523-2709 and enter the meeting code
635 030 720#

Dial *5 to be placed in the queue for public comment. When prompted dial *6 to unmute yourself.

Please ensure that you are in a quiet location, speak clearly, and turn off any TVs or radios around you.

Written Participation

Submit your written comments to SpecialEvents@SFMTA.com with "Public Hearing" in the subject line or by mail to SFMTA, 1 South Van Ness, 7th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103. Written comments must be received by 12 noon on the day prior to the hearing to be considered.

 **415.646.2414:** For free interpretation services, please submit your request 48 hours in advance of meeting. / 如果需要免費口語翻譯，請於會議之前 48 小時提出要求 / Para servicios de interpretación gratuitos, por favor haga su petición 48 horas antes de la reunión. / Para sa libreng serbisyo sa interpretasyon, kailangan mag-request 48 oras bago ang miting.



MINUTES OF THE April 25, 2024, MEETING (ACTION ITEM)

The Committee to adopt the Minutes.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Members of the public may address ISCOTT members on matters that are within ISCOTT purview and are not on today's agenda.

TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)

These proposed actions are an Approval Action as defined by S.F. Administrative Code Chapter 31.

CONSENT CALENDAR

If there are no objections from the committee or the public, the following items will be voted on as a group.

- A. Grove Street (westbound traffic lanes only) between Larkin and Hyde streets
Thursday, June 27, 2024, 7:00 pm to
Monday, July 1, 2024, 6:00 am
San Francisco Pride Festival and Celebration [minor addition]

REGULAR CALENDAR

- B. 42nd Ave between Judah and Kirkham streets
Thursday, October 31, 2024, 2 pm to 10:30 pm
Block Party - 42nd Ave Halloween
- C. 47th Ave between Judah and Kirkham streets
Saturday, June 8, 2024, 10 am to 6 pm
Block Party - 47th Ave Birthdays
- D. Coleridge Street between Powers and Coso avenues
Sunday, June 30, 2024, 12 noon to 5:30 pm
Block Party - Coleridge Street
- E. Terrace Drive between Portola Drive and Santa Clara Avenue
Friday, June 7, 2024, 9:30 am to 1 pm
Block Party – Terrace Drive Ice Cream
- F. Bartlett Street between 21st and 22nd streets
Saturday, June 8, 2024, 10 am to 7 pm
SF Porchfest



- G.** Minna Street between 2nd and New Montgomery streets
Tuesday, June 11, 2024, 3 pm to 10 pm
111 Minna – MotherDuck Event
- H.** Marina Blvd between Lyon and Fillmore streets
Saturday, June 8, 2024, 3 am to 11 am
Escape from Alcatraz Triathlon – PTO
- I.** King Street between 7th and De Haro streets
Tuesday, July 23, 2024, 6 am to 6 pm
Adobe San Francisco Street Food Festival
- J.** Jefferson Street between Hyde Street and Jefferson Street western terminus
Saturday, June 15, 2024, 7:30 am to 7:00 pm
Eyoomkuuka'ro Kokomaar (We Paddle Together)
- K.** Jack London Alley between Taber Place and South Park Street
Friday, May 24, 2024, 8 am to 4 pm
Caffe Centro Ribbon-Cutting Block Party
- L.** San Bruno Avenue between Bacon and Burrows streets
Saturday, June 29, 2024, 6 am to 7 pm
Ministerio Festival Celebration
- M.** Minna Street (southern traffic lane only) between 4th and 5th streets
Saturday, June 1, 2024, 1 am to
Friday, June 7, 2024, 11 pm
Snowflake Data Cloud Summit 24
- N.** Carolina Street between 15th and 16th streets
Saturday, August 3, 2024, 6 am to 3 pm
and
Union Street between Front and Battery streets
Saturday, September 7, 2024, 6 am to 3 pm
and
Walter U Lum Place between Washington and Clay streets
Saturday, September 7, 2024, 6 am to 3 pm
District Weekend Cleanup Events



- O.** Marin Street between Illinois Street and Easterly Terminus;
Michigan Street between Cesar Chavez and Marin Street
Intersection(s) closed: Michigan Street at Marin Street
(Local access allowed on Michigan Street via Cesar Chavez and Marin Street
via Illinois)
Friday, June 28, 2024, 4 pm to
Sunday, June 30, 2024, 6 am
Midway SF - A&B Block Party
- P.** Marin Street between Illinois Street and Easterly Terminus;
Michigan Street between Cesar Chavez and Marin Street
Intersection(s) closed: Michigan Street at Marin Street
(Local access allowed on Michigan Street via Cesar Chavez and Marin Street
via Illinois)
Friday, July 5, 2024, 4 pm to
Sunday, July 7, 2024, 6 am
Midway – ILLUM Block Party
- Q.** Lee Ave between Ocean and Holloway avenues
Saturday, May 18, 2024, 8 am to 4 pm
2024 Ocean Avenue Car Show
- R.** Utah Street between 16th and Alameda streets; 15th Street between Potrero
and San Bruno avenues; Alameda Street between Utah Street and San Bruno
Avenue; San Bruno Avenue between 15th and Alameda streets
Intersection(s) closed: Utah Street at 15th Street; 15th Street at San Bruno;
San Bruno at Alameda; Alameda at Utah
Friday, June 28, 2024, 6:00 am to
Monday, July 1, 2024, 6:00 am
Great Northern Event – Pink Block 2024
- S.** Grove Street between Larkin and Polk streets
Friday, June 14, 2024, 10 pm to
Saturday, June 15, 2024, 10 pm
Sikh Day Festival
- T.** Golden Gate Ave between Hyde and Jones streets
Sunday, June 23, 2024, 8 am to 8 pm
Sunday Streets Tenderloin 2024



- U.** Clement Street between 22nd and 25th avenues
(Intersections to remain open)
Saturday, June 8, 2024, 12 pm to 11 pm
Saturday, July 20, 2024, 12 pm to 11 pm
Saturday, August 17, 2024, 12 pm to 11 pm
Saturday, September 21, 2024, 12 pm to 11 pm
Saturday, October 19, 2024, 12 pm to 11 pm
Heart of the Richmond District Night Market

- V.** Gilman Avenue between Bill Walsh Way and Hawes Street;
Bill Walsh Way between Gilman and Ingerson avenues;
Ingerson Avenue between Bill Walsh Way and Gilroy Street
Intersection(s) closed: Bill Walsh Way at Ingerson Avenue
Sunday, June 16, 2024, 5 am to 6 pm
Bayview Juneteenth

- W.** Folsom Street between 9th and 11th Streets;
10th Street between Howard and Harrison streets;
Dore Street between Howard and southerly terminus;
Sheridan Street between 9th and 10th Streets (local access allowed);
Juniper Street between Folsom Street and southerly terminus (local access allowed)
Intersection(s) closed: 10th and Dore at Folsom St
Sunday, July 28, 2024, 12:01 am to 11:59 pm
Up Your Alley Street Fair

Categorically exempt from CEQA: CEQA Guidelines Section 15304 Class 4(e) minor temporary use of land having negligible or no permanent effects on the environment, including carnivals, sales of Christmas trees, etc. and/or Section 15305 Class 5(b) minor alterations in land use limitations, including street closings and equipment for special events

Forrest Chamberlain
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

Date

ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)

The following item has been environmentally cleared by the Planning Department on April 19, 2021, Addendum #2 to San Francisco Better Streets Plan Project [Case No. 2021-003010ENV (addendum to Case No. 2007.1238E)]:



NONE

ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (INFORMATIONAL ITEMS)

The following items are presented for informational purposes and public comment. Closures are subject to review and approval by the SFMTA Board.

NONE



***SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION FOR INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE AGENDA ITEMS ARE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW AT THE MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY'S OFFICES, ONE SOUTH VAN NESS, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103, DURING NORMAL BUSINESS HOURS. PLEASE CONTACT TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES/SPECIAL EVENTS AT (415) 646-2414. ***

Sound Producing Devices

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

Disability Access

To obtain a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the meeting, please contact (415) 701-4683 at least two business days before the meeting. In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illness, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to perfumes and various other chemical-based scented products. Please help the City to accommodate these individuals.

Know Your Rights under the Sunshine Ordinance

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decision in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code) or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator by mail to Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102, by phone at (415) 554-7724, by fax at (415) 554-7854 or by email at sotf@sfgov.org. Citizens may obtain a free copy of the Sunshine Ordinance by contacting the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator or by printing Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code on the Internet, at web site <http://www.sfgov.org/sunshine>.

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code Sec. 2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3900, San Francisco, CA 94102, telephone (415) 581-2200, fax (415) 581-2217, web site www.sfgov.org/ethics.

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Appeal Rights under S.F. Admin. Code Chapter 31: For identified Approval Actions, the Planning Department or the SFMTA has issued a CEQA exemption determination or negative declaration, which may be viewed online at the Planning Department's website. Following approval of the item by ISCOTT, the CEQA determination is subject to appeal within the time frame specified in S.F. Administrative Code Section 31.16 which is typically within 30 calendar days. For information on filing a CEQA appeal, contact the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors at City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102, or call (415) 554-5184. Under CEQA, in a later court challenge, a litigant may be limited to raising only those issues previously raised at a hearing on the project or submitted in writing to the City prior to or at such hearing, or as part of the appeal hearing process on the CEQA decision.





ISCOTT AGENDA

INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE
ON TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION FOR
TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES

Meeting of May 23, 2024 - Thursday, 9:00 AM
1570th Regular Meeting

Online Participation

Please join Microsoft Teams Meeting at
SFMTA.com/ISCOTTHearing

Click on the Raise your hand icon . When you are prompted to unmute, click on the microphone icon  to speak.

Phone Participation


Please dial 415-523-2709 and enter the meeting code
635 030 720#

Dial *5 to be placed in the queue for public comment. When prompted dial *6 to unmute yourself.

Please ensure that you are in a quiet location, speak clearly, and turn off any TVs or radios around you.

Written Participation

Submit your written comments to SpecialEvents@SFMTA.com with "Public Hearing" in the subject line or by mail to SFMTA, 1 South Van Ness, 7th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103. Written comments must be received by 12 noon on the day prior to the hearing to be considered.

 **415.646.2414:** For free interpretation services, please submit your request 48 hours in advance of meeting. / 如果需要免費口語翻譯，請於會議之前 48 小時提出要求 / Para servicios de interpretación gratuitos, por favor haga su petición 48 horas antes de la reunión. / Para sa libreng serbisyo sa interpretasyon, kailangan mag-request 48 oras bago ang miting.



MINUTES OF THE MAY 9, 2024, MEETING (ACTION ITEM)

The Committee to adopt the Minutes.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Members of the public may address ISCOTT members on matters that are within ISCOTT purview and are not on today's agenda.

TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)

These proposed actions are an Approval Action as defined by S.F. Administrative Code Chapter 31.

CONSENT CALENDAR

If there are no objections from the committee or the public, the following items will be voted on as a group.

- A. Laidley Street between Fairmount and Harper streets
Thursday, July 4, 2024, 9:30 am to 3 pm
Block Party - Laidley Street

- B. Green Street between Broderick and Baker streets
Sunday, June 2, 2024, 12 noon to 5:30 pm
Block Party – Green Street

- C. Utah Street between 16th and Alameda streets; 15th Street between Potrero Avenue and Vermont Street; Alameda Street between Utah Street and San Bruno Avenue; San Bruno Avenue between 15th and Alameda streets
Intersection(s) closed: Utah Street at 15th Street; 15th Street at San Bruno; San Bruno at Alameda; Alameda at Utah
Friday, June 28, 2024, 6:00 am to
Monday, July 1, 2024, 6:00 am
Great Northern Event – Pink Block 2024

REGULAR CALENDAR

- D. Liberty Street between Valencia and Guerro streets
Saturday, August 3, 2024, 11:30 am to 6:30 pm
Block Party – Liberty St

- E. Battery Street between Greenwich and Union streets
Saturday, September 14, 2024, 4 am to 10 am
Bike MS Waves to Wine



- F.** Grant Avenue between Clay and Washington streets
Saturday, June 22, 2024, 12 noon to 10 pm
Walking Stories Opening Reception
- G.** Marin Street between Illinois Street and Easterly Terminus;
Michigan Street between Cesar Chavez and Marin Street
Intersection(s) closed: Michigan Street at Marin Street
(Local access allowed on Michigan Street via Cesar Chavez and Marin Street via Illinois)
Friday, July 26, 2024, 4 pm to
Sunday, July 28, 2024, 6 am
Midway – Gorgon City Block Party
- H.** Russ Street between Howard Street and 90 feet northerly of Howard Street
Thursday, May 30, 2024, 7 am to 4 pm
1044 Howard Groundbreaking
- I.** Polk Street between McAllister and Hayes streets
Thursday, May 30, 2024, 12:01 am to
Monday, June 3, 2024, 4 am
Civic Center Concert [minor revision]
- J.** San Bruno Ave between 25th Street and southerly terminus
Saturday, September 7, 2024, 4 am to 11:30 pm
SF Live - Potrero del Sol
- K.** Irving Street between 19th and 22nd avenues
Intersection(s) closed: Irving Street at 20th and 21st avenues
Saturday, June 8, 2024, 8 am to 8 pm
and
Saturday, September 14, 2024, 8 am to 8 pm
and
Saturday, October 26, 2024, 8 am to 8 pm
and
Saturday, December 14, 2024, 8 am to 8 pm
Outer Sunset Merchant & Professional Association Events 2024



- L.** Noe Street between Beaver and Market streets
Sunday, June 16, 2024, 6 am to 10 pm
and
Saturday, October 26, 2024, 6 am to 10 pm
and
Saturday, December 7, 2024, 6 am to 10 pm
Castro Merchants Events
- M.** Grove Street between Larkin and Polk streets
Friday, June 14, 2024, 10 pm to
Saturday, June 15, 2024, 10 pm
Sikh Day Festival
- N.** Harrison Street between 10th and 13th streets; 11th Street between Folsom and Division streets; 12th Street between Bernice and Harrison streets;
Intersection(s) closed: 12th, Norfolk, 11th streets at Harrison Street
Saturday, October 19, 2024, 6:00 am to 9:00 pm
Barrison Street Fair
- O.** Post Street between Fillmore and Laguna streets; Webster Street between Sutter Street and Geary Blvd
Intersection(s) closed: Post Street at Webster Street
Saturday, August 3, 2024, 5 am to
Sunday, August 4, 2023, 9 pm
Nihonmachi
- P.** Castro Street between 16th and 19th streets; Market Street between Noe and Diamond streets; 17th Street between Hartford and Castro streets; 18th Street between Noe and Eureka streets; Hartford Street between 19th and 17th streets; Collingwood Street between 19th and Market streets; Diamond Street between Market and 18th streets
Sunday, October 6, 2024, 2 am to 10 pm
Castro Street Fair
- Q.** Fillmore Street between Eddy and Jackson streets; Washington Street between Webster and Steiner streets; Wilmot Street between Webster and Steiner streets; Post Street between Webster and Steiner streets
Intersection(s) closed: Fillmore at Washington, Wilmot, and Post and O'Farrell streets
Saturday, July 6, 2024, 12:01 am to
Sunday, July 7, 2024, 11:59 pm
Fillmore Jazz Festival



Categorically exempt from CEQA: CEQA Guidelines Section 15304 Class 4(e) minor temporary use of land having negligible or no permanent effects on the environment, including carnivals, sales of Christmas trees, etc. and/or Section 15305 Class 5(b) minor alterations in land use limitations, including street closings and equipment for special events

Forrest Chamberlain Date
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)

The following item has been environmentally cleared by the Planning Department on April 19, 2021, Addendum #2 to San Francisco Better Streets Plan Project [Case No. 2021-003010ENV (addendum to Case No. 2007.1238E)]:

- R. Valencia Street between 18th and 19th streets
Saturday, May 18, 2024, from 4 pm to 9 pm
and
Saturday, June 15, 2024, from 4 pm to 9 pm
and
Saturday, July 20, 2024, from 4 pm to 9 pm
and
Saturday, August 24, 2024, from 4 pm to 9 pm
and
Saturday, September 21, 2024, from 4 pm to 9 pm
VCMA Valencia Street – Shared Space

ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (INFORMATIONAL ITEMS)

The following items are presented for informational purposes and public comment. Closures are subject to review and approval by the SFMTA Board.

- S. Fulton Street between Larkin and Hyde streets
Wednesday, July 3, 2024, through
Sunday, May 31, 2025
5 am to 5 pm, every Wednesday and Sunday
Heart of the City Farmers Market – Shared Space



- T.** Commercial Street from 107-feet to 147-feet west of Sansome Street
Sunday, July 21, 2024, through
Sunday, July 20, 2025
7 am to 11 pm, daily
Heartwood - Shared Space

- U.** Commercial Street between Sansome and Montgomery streets; Leidesdorff Street between Sacramento and Clay streets;
Intersection(s) closed: Commercial at Leidesdorff streets
Sunday, July 21, 2024, through
Sunday, July 20, 2025
7 am to 11 pm, daily
Downtown SF - Shared Space



***SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION FOR INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE AGENDA ITEMS ARE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW AT THE MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY'S OFFICES, ONE SOUTH VAN NESS, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103, DURING NORMAL BUSINESS HOURS. PLEASE CONTACT TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES/SPECIAL EVENTS AT (415) 646-2414. ***

Sound Producing Devices

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

Disability Access

To obtain a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the meeting, please contact (415) 701-4683 at least two business days before the meeting. In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illness, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to perfumes and various other chemical-based scented products. Please help the City to accommodate these individuals.

Know Your Rights under the Sunshine Ordinance

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decision in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code) or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator by mail to Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102, by phone at (415) 554-7724, by fax at (415) 554-7854 or by email at sotf@sfgov.org. Citizens may obtain a free copy of the Sunshine Ordinance by contacting the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator or by printing Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code on the Internet, at web site <http://www.sfgov.org/sunshine>.

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code Sec. 2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3900, San Francisco, CA 94102, telephone (415) 581-2200, fax (415) 581-2217, web site www.sfgov.org/ethics.

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Appeal Rights under S.F. Admin. Code Chapter 31: For identified Approval Actions, the Planning Department or the SFMTA has issued a CEQA exemption determination or negative declaration, which may be viewed online at the Planning Department's website. Following approval of the item by ISCOTT, the CEQA determination is subject to appeal within the time frame specified in S.F. Administrative Code Section 31.16 which is typically within 30 calendar days. For information on filing a CEQA appeal, contact the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors at City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102, or call (415) 554-5184. Under CEQA, in a later court challenge, a litigant may be limited to raising only those issues previously raised at a hearing on the project or submitted in writing to the City prior to or at such hearing, or as part of the appeal hearing process on the CEQA decision.





ISCOTT AGENDA

INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE
ON TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION FOR
TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES

Meeting of June 13, 2024 - Thursday, 9:00 AM
1571st Regular Meeting

Online Participation

Please join Microsoft Teams Meeting at
SFMTA.com/ISCOTTHearing

Click on the Raise your hand icon . When you are prompted to unmute, click on the microphone icon  to speak.

Phone Participation


Please dial 415-523-2709 and enter the meeting code
635 030 720#

Dial *5 to be placed in the queue for public comment. When prompted dial *6 to unmute yourself.

Please ensure that you are in a quiet location, speak clearly, and turn off any TVs or radios around you.

Written Participation

Submit your written comments to SpecialEvents@SFMTA.com with "Public Hearing" in the subject line or by mail to SFMTA, 1 South Van Ness, 7th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103. Written comments must be received by 12 noon on the day prior to the hearing to be considered.

 **415.646.2414:** For free interpretation services, please submit your request 48 hours in advance of meeting. / 如果需要免費口語翻譯，請於會議之前 48 小時提出要求 / Para servicios de interpretación gratuitos, por favor haga su petición 48 horas antes de la reunión. / Para sa libreng serbisyo sa interpretasyon, kailangan mag-request 48 oras bago ang miting.



MINUTES OF THE MAY 23, 2024, MEETING (ACTION ITEM)

The Committee to adopt the Minutes.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Members of the public may address ISCOTT members on matters that are within ISCOTT purview and are not on today's agenda.

TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)

These proposed actions are an Approval Action as defined by S.F. Administrative Code Chapter 31.

CONSENT CALENDAR

If there are no objections from the committee or the public, the following items will be voted on as a group.

- A. Cumberland Street between Dolores and Guerrero streets
Saturday, October 26, 2024, 12 noon to 11:59 pm
Block Party - Cumberland Halloween

- B. Dellbrook Avenue between Olympia Way and Olympia Way
Thursday, October 31, 2024, 5 pm to 9 pm
Halloween - Dellbrook Ave

- C. Woodward Street between 14th Street and Duboce Avenue
Saturday, August 10, 2024, 10 am to 4 pm
Block Party - Woodward St.

- D. Joost Avenue between Genessee Street and Ridgewood Avenue
Sunday, October 20, 2024, 9 am to 6 pm
Block Party – Joost Avenue

- E. Vienna Street between Persia and Brazil avenues
Saturday, June 15, 2024, 7 am to 8 pm
Coleman Block Party

REGULAR CALENDAR

- F. Funston Ave between Geary Blvd and Clement Street
Wednesday, October 23, 2024, 3 pm to 11:30 pm
Internet Archive Anniversary Party



- G.** Southern Heights Avenue between Rhode Island and Carolina streets
(Intersection of Southern Heights Ave and De Haro St to remain open)
Saturday, July 20, 2024, 7 am to 7 pm
Potrero Hill STEAM Festival
- H.** Jones Street between Eddy and Turk streets
Friday, July 19, 2024, 4 am to 3:30 pm
City Kids Creator Con
- I.** Jones Street between Turk Street and Golden Gate Avenue
Sunday, June 23, 2024, 7 am to 7 pm
Eid Celebration
- J.** Annie Street between Mission and Jessie streets, Ambrose Bierce Street between New Montgomery and Annie streets
Intersection(s) closed: Annie Street at Ambrose Bierce Street
Monday, July 1, 2024, through
Thursday, October 31, 2024
8 am to 4 pm, weekdays
Collection Move Project
- K.** Beckett Street between Jackson Street and Pacific Avenue
Sunday, September 1, 2024, 7 am to 7 pm
Ghost Festival
- L.** Waverly Place between Washington and Clay streets
Saturday, August 17, 2024, 10 am to 10 pm
Hungry Ghost Festival 2024
- M.** Grant Avenue between Clay and Washington streets
Saturday, June 22, 2024, 12 noon to 10 pm
Walking Stories Opening Reception
- N.** Grant Avenue between Clay and Sacramento streets, Commercial Street between Kearny Street and Grant Avenue
Intersection(s) closed: Grant Avenue at Commercial Street
Sunday, August 25, 2024, 10 am to 4 pm
APAFSS 37th Anniversary Celebration



- O.** Grant Avenue between California Street and Broadway; Commercial Street between Grant Avenue and Kearny Street; Waverly Place between Clay and Washington streets
Intersection(s) closed: Grant Avenue at Commercial Street
Friday, September 13, 10 pm to
Sunday, September 15, 11:59 pm
34th Annual Autumn Moon Festival
- P.** Taylor Street between Eddy and Turk streets; Turk Street between Taylor and Mason streets
Friday, June 28, 2024, 6 pm to 9 pm
Trans March Event
- Q.** Noe Street between Market and Beaver streets
Saturday, June 29, 2024, 7 am to 8:30 pm
and
Saturday, December 7, 2024, 7 am to 8:30 pm
Castro Merchants Events
- R.** Howard Street (southernmost traffic lane only) between 3rd and 4th streets
Sunday June 23, 2024, 10 pm to
Monday, June 24, 2024, 4 am
and
Thursday June 27, 2024, 10 pm to
Friday, June 28, 2024, 4 am
Figma Config '24 [k-rail]
- S.** Hayes Street between Octavia and Gough streets; Octavia Street (both sections) between Linden and Hayes streets
Saturday, July 20, 2024, 10 am to 10 pm
Hayes Valley Carnival
- T.** The Embarcadero between Broadway and Powell Street; Beach Street between Hyde and Polk streets; Beach Street between Grant Avenue and Jones Street; Jones Street between Beach and Jefferson streets; Jefferson Street between Powell and Hyde streets; Jefferson Street between Hyde and Jefferson streets; Hyde Street between Jefferson and Beach streets; Polk Street between Beach to North Point streets; North Point Street between Polk Street and Van Ness Avenue; Van Ness Avenue between North Point and Bay streets; Bay Street between Van Ness Avenue and Laguna Street; Laguna Street between Bay Street and Marina Blvd; Marina Blvd between Laguna Street and Yacht Road



Intersection(s) closed: 3rd Street at Channel, Berry, King streets; King Street at 2nd, Townsend streets; The Embarcadero at Brannan, Bryant, Harrison, Folsom, Howard, Mission, Ferry Plaza, Washington, Broadway, Green, Battery, Lombard, Sansome, Chestnut, Bay, Grant, Beach, Powell, Kearny, North Point; Beach Street at Stockton, Powell, Mason, Taylor, Jones, Hyde, Larkin, Polk, Marina Blvd; Jefferson Street at Powell, Mason, Taylor, Jones, Leavenworth, Hyde; North Point Street at Polk, Van Ness, Laguna; Bay Street at Van Ness, Franklin, Gough, Octavia, Laguna streets; Laguna Street at Marina Blvd: Marina Blvd at Buchanan, Beach, Webster, Fillmore, Avila, Cervantes, Scott, Divisadero, Broderick, Baker, Yacht, Mason

Sunday, August 18, 2024, 6:30 am to 10 am

The Embarcadero between Townsend Street and Broadway; King Street between 2nd and Townsend Street,

Sunday, August 18, 2024, 6:30 am to 10 am

and

Sunday, August 18, 2024, 10:30 am to 11:30 am

3rd Street between Channel and King streets, 3rd Street between Channel and King streets

Sunday, August 18, 2024, 6 am to 7:30 am

and

Sunday, August 18, 2024, 10 am to 11:30 am

King Street between 3rd and 2nd streets

Sunday, August 18, 2024, 3 am to 12 pm

SF Giant Race 2024

- U.** The Embarcadero between 2nd and Washington streets, King Street between 2nd and 3rd streets, 3rd Street between 3rd Street Bridge and King Street

Sunday, September 8, 2024, 7 am to 10 am

Mascot Run Club 5K

Categorically exempt from CEQA: CEQA Guidelines Section 15304 Class 4(e) minor temporary use of land having negligible or no permanent effects on the environment, including carnivals, sales of Christmas trees, etc. and/or Section 15305 Class 5(b) minor alterations in land use limitations, including street closings and equipment for special events



Forrest Chamberlain
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

Date



ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)

The following item has been environmentally cleared by the Planning Department on April 19, 2021, Addendum #2 to San Francisco Better Streets Plan Project [Case No. 2021-003010ENV (addendum to Case No. 2007.1238E)]:

- V. Clement Street between Arguello Boulevard and 4th Avenue
(Note: All intersections remain open)
Sunday, June 16, 2024, through
Sunday, June 22, 2025
7 am to 3:30 pm each Sunday
Clement Street Farmers' Market

ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (INFORMATIONAL ITEMS)

The following items are presented for informational purposes and public comment. Closures are subject to review and approval by the SFMTA Board.

NONE



***SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION FOR INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE AGENDA ITEMS ARE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW AT THE MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY'S OFFICES, ONE SOUTH VAN NESS, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103, DURING NORMAL BUSINESS HOURS. PLEASE CONTACT TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES/SPECIAL EVENTS AT (415) 646-2414. ***

Sound Producing Devices

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

Disability Access

To obtain a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the meeting, please contact (415) 701-4683 at least two business days before the meeting. In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illness, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to perfumes and various other chemical-based scented products. Please help the City to accommodate these individuals.

Know Your Rights under the Sunshine Ordinance

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decision in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code) or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator by mail to Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102, by phone at (415) 554-7724, by fax at (415) 554-7854 or by email at sotf@sfgov.org. Citizens may obtain a free copy of the Sunshine Ordinance by contacting the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator or by printing Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code on the Internet, at web site <http://www.sfgov.org/sunshine>.

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code Sec. 2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3900, San Francisco, CA 94102, telephone (415) 581-2200, fax (415) 581-2217, web site www.sfgov.org/ethics.

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Appeal Rights under S.F. Admin. Code Chapter 31: For identified Approval Actions, the Planning Department or the SFMTA has issued a CEQA exemption determination or negative declaration, which may be viewed online at the Planning Department's website. Following approval of the item by ISCOTT, the CEQA determination is subject to appeal within the time frame specified in S.F. Administrative Code Section 31.16 which is typically within 30 calendar days. For information on filing a CEQA appeal, contact the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors at City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102, or call (415) 554-5184. Under CEQA, in a later court challenge, a litigant may be limited to raising only those issues previously raised at a hearing on the project or submitted in writing to the City prior to or at such hearing, or as part of the appeal hearing process on the CEQA decision.

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Notice of Entertainment Commission Meetings - June 18, 2024
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 12:31:25 PM
Attachments: [EC Agenda June 18 2024 hybrid Final.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below and attached for notice of a meeting of the Entertainment Commission on June 18, 2024.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
richard.lagunte@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Liang, May (ADM) <may.k.liang@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, June 12, 2024 3:32 PM
To: Liang, May (ADM) <may.k.liang@sfgov.org>
Cc: Commission, Entertainment (ADM) <entertainment.commission@sfgov.org>
Subject: Notice of Entertainment Commission Meetings - June 18, 2024

Hello all:

Please note that our next regular Entertainment Commission meeting will be held **in-person and remotely on Tuesday, June 18, 2024**. The meeting agenda is attached.

Notice of Entertainment Commission Meeting:

Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024

Time: 5:30PM

Location:

- **In-person at City Hall Room 416**, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl., San Francisco, CA 94102
- **Held remotely via Zoom:** <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85837679368>

Refer to the “Remote Access to Information and Participation” at the end of the attached agenda for instructions on how to attend the meeting virtually.

Thank you,
May

May Liang 梁潤 (she/her)

Commission Secretary | Permit Administrator

San Francisco Entertainment Commission

49 South Van Ness, Suite 1482

San Francisco, CA 94103

628-652-6035 (direct line) | 628-652-6030 (EC main line)

[Facebook](#) | [Website](#)

[EC Blog](#) | [Instagram](#)

[Sign up for the Entertainment Commission e-mail list](#)



Please be mindful that all correspondence and documents submitted to the Entertainment Commission are public records and, as such, are subject to the [Sunshine Ordinance](#) and can be requested by the public. If this happens, personal information such as Social Security numbers and phone numbers, will be redacted.



Entertainment Commission

City and County of San Francisco



Notice and Agenda for Regular Meeting

Tuesday, June 18, 2024, 5:30 P.M.

Meeting will be held both in-person and remotely for the public.

IN-PERSON MEETING:

**San Francisco City Hall, Room 416
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.
San Francisco, CA 94102**

REMOTE ACCESS:

Participate on Zoom: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85837679368> or
watch live on www.SFGovTV.org/ecLIVE and SF Cable Channel 26.

Refer to the “Remote Access to Information and Participation” section at the end of this agenda document for detailed instructions on how to participate remotely.

Commissioners:

Ben Bleiman, *President - Industry Representative*
Cyn Wang, *Vice President - Urban Planning Representative*
Maria Davis, *Commissioner - Industry Representative*
Al Perez, *Commissioner - Neighborhood Representative*
Lt. Leonard Poggio, *Commissioner - Law Enforcement Representative*
Anthony Schlander, *Commissioner - Neighborhood Representative*
Laura Thomas, *Commissioner - Public Health Representative*

ENTERTAINMENT COMMISSION

49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1482, San Francisco, CA 94103

(628) 652-6030 Main

1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

2. General Public Comment. Members of the public may address the Commission on items of interest to the public that are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Commission. With respect to agenda items, members of the public may address the Commission for up to three minutes at the time such item is called.

3. Approval of Meeting Minutes. Discussion and possible action to approve the minutes of the June 4, 2024 Commission meetings. [Discussion and Possible Action Item]

Support Document: <https://www.sf.gov/sites/default/files/2024-06/EC%20Meeting%20Minutes%20June%204%202024%20Draft%200.pdf>

4. Report from Executive Director: Legislative/Policy Update: none; Staff and Office Update: none; Update on Board of Appeals Actions: none; Corrective Actions: none. [Discussion and Possible Action Item]

5. Report from Senior Inspector: Senior Inspector Andrew Zverina reports on recent enforcement activities. [Discussion and Possible Action Item]

6. Hearing and Possible Action regarding applications for permits under the jurisdiction of the Entertainment Commission. [Discussion and Possible Action Item]

Consent Calendar:

All matters listed hereunder constitute a Consent Calendar, are considered to be routine by the Entertainment Commission, and will be acted upon by a single roll call vote of the Commission. There will be no separate discussion of these items unless a member of the Commission, the public, or staff so requests, in which event the matter shall be removed from the Consent Calendar and considered as a separate item at this or a future hearing.

Consent Agenda:

- a. EC-1703 – Edward Sargent of GASHEAD PRODUCTIONS INC., *dba Murio's*, 1811 Haight St – Limited Live Performance that includes outdoor amplified sound & entertainment in parklet
- b. EC-1791 - Eiad Eltawil of ELTAWIL BROTHERS LLC, *dba Yasmin*, 799 Valencia St – Limited Live Performance that includes outdoor amplified sound & entertainment in parklet

Regular Agenda:

ENTERTAINMENT COMMISSION

49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1482, San Francisco, CA 94103

(628) 652-6030 Main

- c. ECOTE24-228 – Charlie Schmitz of Noise Pop Industries, **dba Summer of Music**, various venues throughout San Francisco – One-Time Outdoor Event Permit with Extended Duration from June 22, 2024 to September 15, 2024, from 1:30pm-5:00pm each Saturdays and Sundays.
- d. ECOTE24-232 – Ben Davis of Illuminate the Arts, **dba Welcome 2024 (Pride Lasers)**, Harry Bridges Plaza, The Embarcadero at the Ferry Building – One-Time Outdoor Event Permit with Extended Duration on June 28 and 29, 2024 from 6:00pm to midnight and June 30, 2024 from 6:00pm-10:00pm.
- e. EC-1780 – Tom Patella, Adam Rosenblum, and Elmer Mejicanos of MARINA MERCHANT LLC, **dba Causwell's**, 2346 Chestnut St – Fixed Place Amplified Sound in parklet
- f. EC-1789 - Rick M. Haynes of SR VISIONS, LLC, **dba 7 Social**, 65 Post St – Place of Entertainment & Extended Hours Premises
- g. EC-1794 - Aaron Paul, Eric Passetti, and Jacob Roberts of ZHUZH BAR LLC, **dba Zhuzh**, 1548 California St – Place of Entertainment
- h. EC-1783 – Karlo Avassapian of Next Level SF LLC, **dba 1217 Lounge**, 1217 Sutter St – Place of Entertainment and Extended Hours Premises

7. Commissioner Comments & Questions; New Business Request for Future Agenda Items: This item is to allow Commissioners to introduce agenda items for future consideration, and to make announcements. [Action item and Announcements]

8. ADJOURNMENT

Remote Access to Information and Participation

The public may participate via computer with a Zoom link, or via telephone. This meeting will also be available to watch live on www.SFGovTV.org/ecLIVE and SF Cable Channel 26. In addition to in-person public comment, the Entertainment Commission will hear up to 20 minutes of remote public comment total on each agenda item (all public comments are limited to 3 minutes per person on each item for both in-person and remote attendees). The Entertainment Commission will hear remote public comment on each item in the order that commenters add themselves to the queue to comment on the item. Because of the 20-minute time limit, it is possible that not every person in the queue will have an opportunity to provide remote public comment. Remote public comment from people who have received an

ENTERTAINMENT COMMISSION

49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1482, San Francisco, CA 94103

(628) 652-6030 Main

accommodation due to disability (see “Disability Access” section) will not count toward the 20-minute limit.

To access the Meeting by Computer/Smartphone/Tablet or by Telephone:

To access by computer/smartphone/tablet, please click the following link (or paste it into your browser): <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85837679368>. Or you may visit www.zoom.us or launch the Zoom app, and enter the Meeting ID **858 3767 9368**.

To access by telephone, call +1-669-900-6833 and enter the Meeting ID **858 3767 9368** (please read the notes, below, prior to calling in).

Participants using the telephone who wish to speak on a particular item on the Entertainment Commission’s agenda can stay on the phone line and listen for the item to be called. Please wait for staff to announce the public comment portion. If your agenda item is called and you would like to speak in public comment on your phone, dial *9 and this will show a raised hand; staff will enter you into the meeting when it is your turn. To unmute or mute yourself, dial *6.

Remote Public Comment during Meetings:

The Entertainment Commission welcomes and encourages public comment. Please read below to help facilitate this process for remote public comment:

Follow these best practices to improve your call:

1. Call from a quiet location
2. Speak slowly and clearly
3. Turn down radios or televisions. If you are also viewing the meeting on SFGovTV, be sure to mute it before speaking during public comment.

Raising Your Hand in a Remote Meeting:

If you would like to speak during public comment, please listen for your agenda item to be called, then wait until the public comment section is announced. When it is your turn, staff will enter you into the meeting to speak or read aloud your chat message.

By computer, smartphone, or tablet:

Step 1: Click the ‘Participants’ button at the bottom of the screen. This brings up several options to choose from to provide nonverbal feedback.

Step 2: Select the ‘Raise Hand’ option.

By telephone: Dial *9 to raise your hand. Dial *6 to unmute/mute.

By chat: During the public comment for a particular agenda item, you may submit a written comment via the chat function on Zoom. Your comment will be read aloud in the order it is received.

Things to Remember:

The Commission's staff will indicate how much time you will have to provide your verbal comment. You will be alerted when you have 30 seconds remaining.

Once your public comment time has ended, you will be moved out of the live speaker line and back listening to the meeting (unless you disconnect).

DISABILITY ACCESS

City Hall Room 416 is wheelchair accessible. This meeting will be broadcast and captioned on SFGovTV. Remote public participation is available upon request for individuals who cannot attend in person due to disability. Making a request to participate remotely no later than one (1) hour prior to the start of the meeting helps ensure availability of the meeting link. Sign Language Interpretation is also available upon request.

If requesting remote Sign Language Interpretation, please submit an accommodation request a minimum of 4 business hours prior to the start of the meeting. Allowing a minimum of 48 business hours for all other accommodation requests (for example, for other auxiliary aids and services) helps ensure availability. To request accommodation, please contact Commission Secretary May Liang at 628-652-6035 or may.k.liang@sfgov.org and CC our main email address: entertainment.commission@sfgov.org.

To view meeting on-line

Live Stream on SFGovTV during a meeting:: www.sfgovtv.org/ecLIVE

Watch an archived Entertainment Commission meeting: https://sanfrancisco.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view_id=99

Watch meetings on demand for City Commissions, Councils and Boards: www.sfgovtv.org

The ringing and use of cell phones and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of a cell phone, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

KNOW YOUR RIGHTS UNDER THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decisions in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. Copies of the Sunshine Ordinance can be obtained from the Clerk of the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force (sfgov.org/sunshine) the San Francisco Public Library, and on the City's website at sfgov.org. For more information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code) or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact:

Sunshine Ordinance Task Force
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4683

ENTERTAINMENT COMMISSION

49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1482, San Francisco, CA 94103

(628) 652-6030 Main

Office: (415) 554-7724
(Fax): (415) 554-5163
(E-mail): SOTF@sfgov.org
(Web): sfgov.org/sunshine

WRITTEN COMMENTS

Persons attending the meeting and those unable to attend may submit written comments regarding the subject of the meeting. Such comments will be made part of the official public record and will be brought to the attention of the Commission. Written comments should be submitted to the Entertainment Commission via email to entertainment.commission@sfgov.org.

Explanatory Documents: Copies of explanatory documents listed in this agenda, and other related materials received by the Entertainment Commission after the posting of this agenda, can be obtained by emailing Entertainment.Commission@sfgov.org during normal business hours, and also, to the extent possible, will be available on the Commission's website at: <https://sfgov.org/entertainment/public-notice-meetings>.

LANGUAGE ACCESS

Per the Language Access Ordinance (Chapter 91 of the San Francisco Administrative Code), Chinese, Spanish and or Filipino (Tagalog) interpreters will be available upon requests. Meeting Minutes may be translated, if requested, after they have been adopted by the Commission. Assistance in additional languages may be honored whenever possible. To request assistance with these services please contact the Commission Clerk May Liang at 628-652-6035 or may.k.liang@sfgov.org at least 48 hours in advance of the hearing. Late requests will be honored if possible.

LOBBYIST ORDINANCE

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local policy or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance (San Francisco Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code sections 2.100-2.160) to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the Ethics Commission at 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220, San Francisco, CA 94102, telephone 415/252- 3100, fax 415/252-3112 and website: www.sfgov.org/ethics.

--

如對會議有任何疑問，請致電 628-652-6035 查詢。當會議進行時，嚴禁使用手機及任何發聲電子裝置。會議主席可以命令任何使用手機或其他發出聲音裝置的人等離開會議場所。

了解你在陽光政策下的權益

政府的職責是為公眾服務，並在具透明度的情況下作出決策。市及縣政府的委員會，市參事會，議會和其他機構的存在是為處理民眾的事務。本政策保證一切政務討論都在民眾面前進行，而市政府的運作也公開讓民眾審查。如果你需要知道你在陽光政策 (San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 67) 下擁有的權利，或是需要舉報違反本條例的情況，請聯絡：

陽光政策專責小組行政官 地址：

City Hall – Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4683
電話號碼: 415-554-7724 ; 傳真號碼 415- 554-5163
電子郵箱: SOTF@sfgov.org

ENTERTAINMENT COMMISSION

49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1482, San Francisco, CA 94103

(628) 652-6030 Main

陽光政策的文件可以通過陽光政策專責小組秘書、三藩市公共圖書館、以及市政府網頁 www.sfgov.org 等途徑索取。民眾也可以到網頁 <http://www.sfbos.org/sunshine> 閱覽有關的解釋文件，或根據以上提供的地址和電話向委員會秘書索取。

語言服務

根據語言服務條例(三藩市行政法典第 91 章)，中文、西班牙語和/或菲律賓語（泰加洛語）傳譯人員在收到要求後將會提供傳譯服務。翻譯版本的會議記錄可在委員會通過後透過要求而提供。其他語言協助在可能的情況下也將可提供。上述的要求，請於會議前最少 48 小時致電 628-652-6035 或電郵至 may.k.liang@sfgov.org 向委員會秘書 May Liang 提出。逾期提出的請求，若可能的話，亦會被考慮接納。

遊說者法令

依據「三藩市遊說者法令」(SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code 2.100) 能影響或欲影響本地立法或行政的人士或團體可能需要註冊，並報告其遊說行為。如需更多有關遊說者法令的資訊，請聯絡位於 Van Ness 街 25 號 220 室的三藩市道德委員會，電話號碼:415- 252-3100，傳真號碼 415-252-3112，網址：sfgov.org/ethics。

--

Para preguntas acerca de la reunión, por favor contactar el 628-652-6035. El timbrado de y el uso de teléfonos celulares, localizadores de personas, y artículos electrónicos que producen sonidos similares, están prohibidos en esta reunión. Por favor tome en cuenta que el Presidente podría ordenar el retiro de la sala de la reunión a cualquier persona(s) responsable del timbrado o el uso de un teléfono celular, localizador de personas, u otros artículos electrónicos que producen sonidos similares.

CONOZCA SUS DERECHOS BAJO LA ORDENANZA SUNSHINE

El deber del Gobierno es servir al público, alcanzando sus decisiones a completa vista del público. Comisiones, juntas, concilios, y otras agencias de la Ciudad y Condado, existen para conducir negocios de la gente. Esta ordenanza asegura que las deliberaciones se lleven a cabo ante la gente y que las operaciones de la ciudad estén abiertas para revisión de la gente. Para obtener información sobre sus derechos bajo la Ordenanza Sunshine (capítulo 67 del Código Administrativo de San Francisco) o para reportar una violación de la ordenanza, por favor póngase en contacto con:

Administrador del Grupo de Trabajo de la Ordenanza Sunshine (Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator)
City Hall – Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4683
415-554-7724 (Oficina); 415-554-5163 (Fax);
Correo electrónico: SOTF@sfgov.org

Copias de la Ordenanza Sunshine pueden ser obtenidas del Secretario del grupo de Trabajo de la Ordenanza Sunshine, la Biblioteca Pública de San Francisco y en la página web del internet de la ciudad en www.sfgov.org. Copias de documentos explicativos están disponibles al público por Internet en <http://www.sfbos.org/sunshine>; o, pidiéndolas al Secretario de la Comisión en la dirección o número telefónico mencionados arriba.

ACCESO A IDIOMAS

De acuerdo con la Ordenanza de Acceso a Idiomas “Language Access Ordinance” (Capítulo 91 del Código Administrativo de San Francisco “Chapter 91 of the San Francisco Administrative Code”) intérpretes de chino, español y/o filipino (tagalo) estarán disponibles de ser requeridos. Las minutas podrán ser traducidas, de ser requeridas, luego de ser aprobadas por la Comisión. La asistencia en idiomas adicionales se tomará en cuenta siempre que sea posible. Para solicitar asistencia con estos servicios favor comunicarse con el Secretario de la

ENTERTAINMENT COMMISSION

49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1482, San Francisco, CA 94103

(628) 652-6030 Main

Comisión May Liang al 628-652-6035, o may.k.liang@sfgov.org por lo menos 48 horas antes de la reunión. Las solicitudes tardías serán consideradas de ser posible.

ORDENANZA DE CABILDEO

Individuos y entidades que influyen o intentan influenciar legislación local o acciones administrativas podrían ser requeridos por la Ordenanza de Cabildeo de San Francisco (SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code 2.100) a registrarse y a reportar actividades de cabildeo. Para más información acerca de la Ordenanza de Cabildeo, por favor contactar la Comisión de Ética: 25 de la avenida Van Ness , Suite 220, San Francisco, CA 94102, 415-252-3100, FAX 415-252-3112, sitio web: sfgov.org/ethics.

--

Kung mayroon kayong mga tanong tungkol sa miting, mangyaring tumawag lang sa 628-652-66035. Ang pagtunog at paggamit ng mga cell phone, mga pager at kagamitang may tunog ay ipinagbabawal sa pulong. Paalala po na maaring palabasin ng Tagapangulo ang sinumang may-ari o responsible sa ingay o tunog na mula sa cell-phone, pager o iba pang gamit na lumilikha ng tunog o ingay.

ALAMIN ANG INYONG MGA KARAPATAN SA ILALIM NG SUNSHINE ORDINANCE

Tungkulin ng Pamahalaan na paglinkuran ang publiko, maabot ito sa patas at medaling maunawaan na paraan. Ang mga komisyon, board, kapulungan at iba pang mga ahensya ng Lungsod at County ay mananatili upang maglingkod sa pamayanan. Tinitiyak ng ordinansa na ang desisyon o pagpapasya ay ginagawa kasama ng mamamayan at ang mga gawaing panglungsod na napagkaisahan ay bukas sa pagsusuri ng publiko. Para sa impormasyon ukol sa inyong karapatan sa ilalim ng Sunshine Ordinance (Kapitulo 67 sa San Francisco Administrative Code) o para mag report sa paglabag sa ordinansa, mangyaring tumawag sa Administrador ng Sunshine Ordinance Task Force .

City Hall – Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4683
415-554-7724 (Opisina); 415-554-7854 (Fax)
E-mail: SOTF@sfgov.org

Ang mga kopya ng Sunshine Ordinance ay makukuha sa Clerk ng Sunshine Task Force, sa pampublikong aklatan ng San Francisco at sa website ng Lungsod sa www.sfgov.org. Mga kopya at mga dokumentong na nagpapaliwanag sa Ordinance ay makukuha online sa <http://www.sfbos.org/sunshine> o sa kahilingan sa Commission Secretary, sa address sa itaas o sa numero ng telepono.

PAG-ACCESS SA WIKA

Ayon sa Language Access Ordinance (Chapter 91 ng San Francisco Administrative Code), maaaring mag-request ng mga tagapagsalin sa wikang Tsino, Espanyol, at/o Filipino (Tagalog). Kapag hiniling, ang mga kaganapan ng miting ay maaring isalin sa ibang wika matapos ito ay aprobahan ng komisyon. Maari din magkaroon ng tulong sa ibang wika. Sa mga ganitong uri ng kahilingan, mangyaring tumawag sa Clerk ng Commission May Liang sa 628-652-6035, o may.k.liang@sfgov.org sa hindi bababa sa 48 oras bago mag miting. Kung maari, ang mga late na hiling ay posibleng pagbibigyan.

LOBBYIST ORDINANCE

Ayon sa San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code 2.100], ang mga indibidwal o mga entity na nag iimpluensiya o sumusubok na mag impluensiya sa mga lokal na pambatasan o administrative na aksyon ay maaring kailangan mag register o mag report ng aktibidad ng lobbying. Para sa karagdagan na impormasyon tungkol sa Lobbyist Ordinance, mangyaring tumawag sa San Francisco Ethics Commission at 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220, San Francisco, CA 94102, (415) 252-3100, FAX (415) 252-3112, website: sfgov.org/ethics

ENTERTAINMENT COMMISSION

49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1482, San Francisco, CA 94103

(628) 652-6030 Main

From: [Lagunte, Richard \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: FW: Board of Supervisor Resolution No. 174-24 - Calling for the Housing Authority to Initiate Requests to HUD to Fully Leverage "Faircloth-to-RAD" Program
Date: Tuesday, June 11, 2024 10:23:09 AM
Attachments: [Faircloth to RAD Plan_06.10.24.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below and attached from the San Francisco Housing Authority in response to:

Resolution No. 174-24 (File No. 240313) - Resolution calling for the Housing Authority to fully leverage the "Faircloth-to-RAD" option provided by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) to create up to 3,668 new deeply affordable rental units with permanent federal subsidy in the City and County of San Francisco.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163
richard.lagunte@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Pronouns: he, him, his

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Tonia Lediju, PhD <ledijut@SFHA.ORG>
Sent: Monday, June 10, 2024 4:40 PM
To: Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Kilgore, Preston (BOS) <preston.kilgore@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>; BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>; Lediju, Tonia (MYR) <tonia.lediju@sfgov.org>; Linda M. Mason <masonl@SFHA.org>

Subject: Re: Board of Supervisor Resolution No. 174-24 - Calling for the Housing Authority to Initiate Requests to HUD to Fully Leverage "Faircloth-to-RAD" Program

Hello Alisa,

Please see attached letter.

Respectfully,

Tonia Lediju, PhD

Chief Executive Officer

Housing Authority of the City & County of San Francisco

(650) 356-8401

(415) 619-1936

Clear is kind. Unclear is unkind -- Brene' Brown, PhD

From: Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>

Sent: Tuesday, June 4, 2024 11:40 AM

To: Tonia Lediju, PhD <ledijut@SFHA.ORG>

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Kilgore, Preston (BOS) <preston.kilgore@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>; BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>; Linda Mason <martinl@SFHA.ORG>; Lediju, Tonia (MYR) <tonia.lediju@sfgov.org>

Subject: RE: Board of Supervisor Resolution No. 174-24 - Calling for the Housing Authority to Initiate Requests to HUD to Fully Leverage "Faircloth-to-RAD" Program

This message originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution with links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thank you, CEO Lediju, we will await an update next week.

Alisa Somera

Legislative Deputy Director
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
415.554.7711 direct | 415.554.5163 fax
alisa.somera@sfgov.org

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~~~~~

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk’s Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk’s Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Tonia Lediju, PhD <[ledijut@SFHA.ORG](mailto:ledijut@SFHA.ORG)>

**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2024 11:39 AM

**To:** Somera, Alisa (BOS) <[alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org)>

**Cc:** Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <[angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org)>; Kilgore, Preston (BOS) <[preston.kilgore@sfgov.org](mailto:preston.kilgore@sfgov.org)>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org)>; BOS Legislation, (BOS) <[bos.legislation@sfgov.org](mailto:bos.legislation@sfgov.org)>; Linda Mason <[martinl@SFHA.ORG](mailto:martinl@SFHA.ORG)>; Lediju, Tonia (MYR) <[tonia.lediju@sfgov.org](mailto:tonia.lediju@sfgov.org)>

**Subject:** Re: Board of Supervisor Resolution No. 174-24 - Calling for the Housing Authority to Initiate Requests to HUD to Fully Leverage “Faircloth-to-RAD” Program

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Alisa,

The Authority is confirming receipt and will follow up with additional information next week.

Respectfully,

*Tonia Lediju, PhD*

Chief Executive Officer

Housing Authority of the City & County of San Francisco

(650) 356-8401

(415) 619-1936

*Clear is kind. Unclear is unkind -- Brene' Brown, PhD*

---

**From:** Somera, Alisa (BOS) <[alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org)>

**Sent:** Thursday, May 30, 2024 4:11:37 PM

**To:** Lediju, Tonia (MYR) <[tonia.lediju@sfgov.org](mailto:tonia.lediju@sfgov.org)>

**Cc:** Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <[angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org)>; Kilgore, Preston (BOS) <[preston.kilgore@sfgov.org](mailto:preston.kilgore@sfgov.org)>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org)>; BOS Legislation, (BOS) <[bos.legislation@sfgov.org](mailto:bos.legislation@sfgov.org)>; Linda Martin-Mason <[martinl@sfha.org](mailto:martinl@sfha.org)>

**Subject:** RE: Board of Supervisor Resolution No. 174-24 - Calling for the Housing Authority to Initiate Requests to HUD to Fully Leverage "Faircloth-to-RAD" Program

Chief Executive Officer Lediju,

I am following up on the below/attached transmittal of Resolution No. 174-24 regarding the "Faircloth-to-RAD" Program, which was adopted by the Board on April 2, 2024, and approved on April 12, 2024. The Resolution urged the Housing Authority to do a number of things, including a report to the Board of Supervisors within 30 days (no later than May 12, 2024), which we don't have any record of receiving or having been completed.

Please advise if these will be forthcoming and/or if you have any updates. Thank you for your time.

*Alisa Somera*

Legislative Deputy Director

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

415.554.7711 direct | 415.554.5163 fax

[alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org)

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~ ~ ~ ~ ~

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** BOS Legislation, (BOS) <[bos.legislation@sfgov.org](mailto:bos.legislation@sfgov.org)>

**Sent:** Tuesday, April 23, 2024 3:28 PM

**To:** Lediju, Tonia (MYR) <[tonia.lediju@sfgov.org](mailto:tonia.lediju@sfgov.org)>; Dennis-Phillips, Sarah (ECN) <[sarah.dennis-phillips@sfgov.org](mailto:sarah.dennis-phillips@sfgov.org)>; Hillis, Rich (CPC) <[rich.hillis@sfgov.org](mailto:rich.hillis@sfgov.org)>; Adams, Dan (MYR)

<[Dan.Adams@sfgov.org](mailto:Dan.Adams@sfgov.org)>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <[dean.preston@sfgov.org](mailto:dean.preston@sfgov.org)>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <[shamann.walton@sfgov.org](mailto:shamann.walton@sfgov.org)>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <[connie.chan@sfgov.org](mailto:connie.chan@sfgov.org)>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <[aaron.peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.peskin@sfgov.org)>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <[myrna.melgar@sfgov.org](mailto:myrna.melgar@sfgov.org)>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <[ahsha.safai@sfgov.org](mailto:ahsha.safai@sfgov.org)>; Ronen, Hillary (BOS) <[hillary.ronen@sfgov.org](mailto:hillary.ronen@sfgov.org)>; Paulino, Tom (MYR) <[tom.paulino@sfgov.org](mailto:tom.paulino@sfgov.org)>; Power, Andres (MYR) <[andres.power@sfgov.org](mailto:andres.power@sfgov.org)>  
**Cc:** Taupier, Anne (ECN) <[anne.taupier@sfgov.org](mailto:anne.taupier@sfgov.org)>; Lozano, Alesandra (ECN) <[alesandra.lozano@sfgov.org](mailto:alesandra.lozano@sfgov.org)>; Sider, Dan (CPC) <[dan.sider@sfgov.org](mailto:dan.sider@sfgov.org)>; Teague, Corey (CPC) <[corey.teague@sfgov.org](mailto:corey.teague@sfgov.org)>; Tam, Tina (CPC) <[tina.tam@sfgov.org](mailto:tina.tam@sfgov.org)>; Gibson, Lisa (CPC) <[lisa.gibson@sfgov.org](mailto:lisa.gibson@sfgov.org)>; Jain, Devyani (CPC) <[devyani.jain@sfgov.org](mailto:devyani.jain@sfgov.org)>; Starr, Aaron (CPC) <[aaron.starr@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.starr@sfgov.org)>; Switzky, Joshua (CPC) <[joshua.switzky@sfgov.org](mailto:joshua.switzky@sfgov.org)>; Navarrete, Joy (CPC) <[joy.navarrete@sfgov.org](mailto:joy.navarrete@sfgov.org)>; Dwyer, Debra (CPC) <[debra.dwyer@sfgov.org](mailto:debra.dwyer@sfgov.org)>; Watty, Elizabeth (CPC) <[elizabeth.watty@sfgov.org](mailto:elizabeth.watty@sfgov.org)>; Ely, Lydia (MYR) <[lydia.ely@sfgov.org](mailto:lydia.ely@sfgov.org)>; Cheu, Brian (MYR) <[brian.cheu@sfgov.org](mailto:brian.cheu@sfgov.org)>; Benjamin, Maria (MYR) <[maria.benjamin@sfgov.org](mailto:maria.benjamin@sfgov.org)>; Nickolopoulos, Sheila (MYR) <[sheila.nickolopoulos@sfgov.org](mailto:sheila.nickolopoulos@sfgov.org)>; Kilgore, Preston (BOS) <[preston.kilgore@sfgov.org](mailto:preston.kilgore@sfgov.org)>; Bolen, Jennifer M.(BOS) <[jennifer.m.bolen@sfgov.org](mailto:jennifer.m.bolen@sfgov.org)>; Hernandez, Melissa G (BOS) <[melissa.g.hernandez@sfgov.org](mailto:melissa.g.hernandez@sfgov.org)>; Lovett, Li (BOS) <[li.lovett@sfgov.org](mailto:li.lovett@sfgov.org)>; Gee, Natalie (BOS) <[natalie.gee@sfgov.org](mailto:natalie.gee@sfgov.org)>; Gallardo, Tracy (BOS) <[tracy.gallardo@sfgov.org](mailto:tracy.gallardo@sfgov.org)>; Burch, Percy (BOS) <[percy.burch@sfgov.org](mailto:percy.burch@sfgov.org)>; Lopez-Weaver, Lindsey (BOS) <[Lindsey.Lopez@sfgov.org](mailto:Lindsey.Lopez@sfgov.org)>; Hsieh, Frances (BOS) <[frances.hsieh@sfgov.org](mailto:frances.hsieh@sfgov.org)>; Yu, Angelina (BOS) <[angelina.yu@sfgov.org](mailto:angelina.yu@sfgov.org)>; Burke, Robyn (BOS) <[robyn.burke@sfgov.org](mailto:robyn.burke@sfgov.org)>; Lee, Tiff (BOS) <[tiff.lee@sfgov.org](mailto:tiff.lee@sfgov.org)>; Angulo, Sunny (BOS) <[sunny.angulo@sfgov.org](mailto:sunny.angulo@sfgov.org)>; Yan, Calvin (BOS) <[calvin.yan@sfgov.org](mailto:calvin.yan@sfgov.org)>; Souza, Sarah (BOS) <[sarah.s.souza@sfgov.org](mailto:sarah.s.souza@sfgov.org)>; Horrell, Nate (BOS) <[nate.horrell@sfgov.org](mailto:nate.horrell@sfgov.org)>; Fieber, Jennifer (BOS) <[jennifer.fieber@sfgov.org](mailto:jennifer.fieber@sfgov.org)>; Heiken, Emma (BOS) <[emma.heiken@sfgov.org](mailto:emma.heiken@sfgov.org)>; Farrah, Michael (BOS) <[michael.farrah@sfgov.org](mailto:michael.farrah@sfgov.org)>; Low, Jen (BOS) <[jen.low@sfgov.org](mailto:jen.low@sfgov.org)>; Buckley, Jeff (BOS) <[jeff.buckley@sfgov.org](mailto:jeff.buckley@sfgov.org)>; Carrillo, Lila (BOS) <[lila.carrillo@sfgov.org](mailto:lila.carrillo@sfgov.org)>; Lee, Esther (BOS) <[esther.e.lee@sfgov.org](mailto:esther.e.lee@sfgov.org)>; Bradley, Tiffaney (BOS) <[tiffaney.bradley@sfgov.org](mailto:tiffaney.bradley@sfgov.org)>; Chung Hagen, Sheila (BOS) <[sheila.chung.hagen@sfgov.org](mailto:sheila.chung.hagen@sfgov.org)>; Ferrigno, Jennifer (BOS) <[jennifer.ferrigno@sfgov.org](mailto:jennifer.ferrigno@sfgov.org)>; Prager, Jackie (BOS) <[jackie.prager@sfgov.org](mailto:jackie.prager@sfgov.org)>; Herrera, Ana (BOS) <[ana.herrera@sfgov.org](mailto:ana.herrera@sfgov.org)>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <[angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org)>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <[alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org)>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org)>; BOS Legislation, (BOS) <[bos.legislation@sfgov.org](mailto:bos.legislation@sfgov.org)>

**Subject:** Board of Supervisor Resolution No. 174-24 - Calling for the Housing Authority to Initiate Requests to HUD to Fully Leverage “Faircloth-to-RAD” Program

Greetings,

Please find attached a copy of the Board of Supervisor Resolution No. 174-24 [Calling for the Housing Authority to Initiate Requests to HUD to Fully Leverage “Faircloth-to-RAD” Program], accompanied by a cover memorandum from the Clerk of the Board. The Resolution is being provided for your information and consideration.

Regards,



## Jocelyn Wong

Legislative Clerk

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

T: 415.554.7702 | F: 415.554.5163

[jocelyn.wong@sfgov.org](mailto:jocelyn.wong@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS)** To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

*This email is intended for the recipient only. If you receive this email in error, notify the sender and destroy the email immediately. Disclosure of the PHI contained herein may subject the disclosure to civil or criminal penalties under state and federal privacy laws.*

---

---

*This email is intended for the recipient only. If you receive this email in error, notify the sender and destroy the email immediately. Disclosure of the PHI contained herein may subject the disclosure to civil or criminal penalties under state and federal privacy laws.*

---

---

*This email is intended for the recipient only. If you receive this email in error, notify the sender and destroy the email immediately. Disclosure of the PHI contained herein may subject the disclosure to civil or criminal penalties under state and federal privacy laws.*

---



HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE  
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
1815 Egbert Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94124

## Memorandum

TO: San Francisco Board of Supervisors

FROM: Tonia Lediju, CEO Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco

DATE: June 10, 2024

SUBJECT: Response to Resolution No. 174-24, Faircloth to RAD Plan

---

### Executive Summary

Faircloth-to-RAD in San Francisco represents a valuable financing opportunity for both preservation of the City's portfolio projects and for new construction. The program provides the shallowest funding; nonetheless, it is a stable operating subsidy to participating projects. The United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)'s rent augmentation provisions, set to expire in September of this year, allows for the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco (Authority) to use Housing Assistance Payment (HAP) reserves, if available, to increase the value of the Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) vouchers. This augmentation allows the projects to use the highest available payment standard to leverage debt, which reduces the amount of City subsidy required.

The Authority, in partnership with the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (MOHCD), plans to request approval for rent augmentation equal to its Faircloth Authority by the September deadline. If approved, this provides an opportunity to augment the Faircloth to RAD rents, over time, which is incumbent upon availability of the Authority's HAP reserves. The Authority will select an initial cohort of pilot projects from MOHCD's pipeline to utilize Faircloth, in collaboration with MOHCD, to ensure the City's operating and capital subsidy costs decrease, while preserving or deepening affordability.

### Program Overview

In 1998, Congress enacted the Faircloth Amendment which sets limits on the number of public housing units each public housing authority (PHA) can have in its portfolio. The "Faircloth Limit" refers to the maximum number of public housing units for which a PHA may receive public housing capital and operating funds. Nationwide, PHAs have 220,000 units available under the Faircloth limit. Financing the development of public housing units – which are underfunded by HUD for both operational and capital needs -- has been a barrier to activation of remaining Faircloth authority.

In 2021, The United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) launched the Faircloth to RAD, a new program where PHAs can reposition existing Faircloth authority to create units subsidized by new project-based vouchers set at Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) rents. If a PHA

### Housing Authority Board of Commissioners

Joaquín Torres  
President

Leroy Lindo  
Vice President

Luenna Kim

Mary Ann Pikes

Tonia Lediju, PhD  
Chief Executive Officer

has fewer public housing units than its Faircloth limit, then funding for those units may be converted from the low-income public housing program to Faircloth-to-RAD vouchers. These vouchers are not funded at the full PHA payment standard level, which in San Francisco is 110% of HUD's Fair Market Rent (FMR). However, the value of these vouchers represents a financing opportunity to serve as a limited subsidy to allow for deeper rent targeting in new construction and acquisitions as well as stabilize cash flow in existing properties. Housing Authorities may use their available Housing Assistance Payment (HAP) reserves to augment the Faircloth-to-RAD initial contract rents up to the payment standard. Doing so, the projects can use the Faircloth-to-RAD vouchers to leverage debt and reduce the City's subsidy required. HUD's rent augmentation policy is currently set to expire in September, 2024. As of December 2023, the Authority has 3,667 units of Faircloth-to-RAD authority that can be deployed across a variety of project.

### **How Faircloth-to-RAD conversions work**

1. Public Housing Authorities identify projects to allocate the Faircloth-to-RAD authority by providing initial underwriting information to developers for financial feasibility. The PHA submits the application for a Notice of Approved RAD Rents (NARR), including the amount of HAP reserves that will be used to augment the RAD rents. The approved NARR from HUD will list the RAD rents approved for the project.
2. Developers build or acquire units under the public housing mixed-finance program (most recently used in San Francisco in Hunters View Phase 1 and in HOPE VI transactions). These projects can include tax credit financing.
3. HUD provides pre-construction approval to convert the property to a long-term "Faircloth-to-RAD" Section 8 contract following completion of construction and rehabilitation or acquisition under the public housing development process. Approvals allow lender and investor to underwrite to the Section 8 contract.
4. Projects are placed in service as public housing temporarily before RAD conversion occurs.

### **How Baseline Faircloth Rents are Set**

RAD rents for Faircloth units are based on estimated Public Housing capital and operating fund levels for new units which are determined by HUD. New construction projects typically receive lower rents than existing buildings in the Public Housing portfolio as HUD assumes they will have lower operating costs because of their newer and more efficient building systems.

The Faircloth-to-RAD Section 8 contract must be established through a local Housing Authority's Project-Based Voucher (PBV) authority. PBVs are managed directly by the Housing Authority and may have their Faircloth-to-RAD rents augmented using available HAP reserves.

- PBV contracts are limited to the greater of 25 units or 25% of the units in the project (or 40% of units in the project if it is in census tract where the poverty rate is no greater than 20%) unless the units are made exclusively available to people who are elderly, eligible for supportive services, or youth receiving HCV Family Unification Program Assistance. The contract can also be mixed or layered with other local operating subsidies (LOSP).
- Faircloth-to-RAD initial rent levels are not sufficient to cover the full operating cost of a unit. The base Faircloth-to-RAD voucher rent does provide important ongoing subsidy that can reduce

LOSP and Senior Operating Subsidy (SOS) contract amounts on projects, as well as help to stabilize existing unsubsidized but deeply affordable projects.

- Faircloth-to-RAD units do not impact the Authority’s overall limit of having no more than 20% of its Housing Choice Vouchers (HCV) program as project-based.

**Rent Augmentation using the Authority Housing Assistant Payment (HAP) Reserves**

- The Authority can use its HAP reserves to augment the base Faircloth-to-RAD rents up to the payment standard for HCV PBVs. The Payment Standard is 110% of Fair Market Rent (FMR), which is 250% of the estimated base Faircloth rents. Using augmented Faircloth-to-RAD rents is more cost efficient and effective to the Housing Authority than funding standalone PBVs as shown in the chart below. However, it is contingent on the availability of such reserves in any given year.

| <b>Faircloth to RAD Augmentation Example</b> |                |                                         |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------|
|                                              | <b>PBV HCV</b> | <b>Faircloth to RAD W/ Augmentation</b> |
| Per Unit Cost for PBV                        | \$2,294        | \$2,294                                 |
| Estimated Faircloth to RAD Rent              | \$0            | \$650                                   |
| <b>Cost to Authority (HAP Reserves)</b>      | <b>\$2,294</b> | <b>\$1,644</b>                          |

- There is a September 30, 2024, deadline to submit initial requests for a Notice of Approved RAD Rents (NARR) to HUD for projects seeking to supplement Faircloth-to-RAD rents with HAP reserves. These requests are not binding but are needed to preserve the option for future transactions. HUD will be evaluating the Faircloth rent augmentation program after September 30, 2024, and may re-open this opportunity. Due to the uncertainty of the future of this program, it is prudent to make a reservation for any near-term planned projects, as the Boston and Los Angeles Housing Authorities are doing. Even so, the base Faircloth-to-RAD voucher authority does not expire or have no time sensitive requirements.
- The NARR serves to reserve conversion authority for the selected projects. The unit mix, augmentation amounts, and exact location of the project(s) can be amended between the NARR and the final project.
- The Authority, in partnership with MOHCD, plans to submit NARRs equal to its full Faircloth authority by the September 30, 2024, deadline.

**San Francisco’s Pilot Cohort of Projects:**

The initial projects selected to go through the Faircloth-to-RAD will consist of approximately 750 units of new construction and rehabilitation projects identified from MOHCD’s pipeline for seniors, families, and formerly homeless households. These projects are in the early pre-development phase that allows for the integration of Faircloth-to-RAD into their financial plans. Existing affordable housing properties with

deeply affordable units that do not currently have Project Based Vouchers are being evaluated for participation in this initial phase. The successful implementation of the Faircloth-to-RAD program requires partnership with high-capacity developers and (or) operators because this program, including the mixed financed approach required to leverage needed funding, adds significant complexity to the overall development process.

### **Conclusion and Next Steps**

- Faircloth-to-RAD represents a powerful funding source to support existing and pipeline projects and reduce the City's operating cost obligations, even at the lowest funding level.
- September 2024 rent augmentation provisions are set to expire, though HUD may choose to extend the program.
- To preserve the Authority's ability to utilize Faircloth-to-RAD rent augmentation over time. In partnership with MOHCD, applications equal to its full Faircloth Authority of 3,667 units will be submitted by the September 30, 2024, deadline. An initial cohort of projects consisting of new construction and rehabilitation will be selected from MOHCD's pipeline and portfolio.

Respectfully,



Tonia Lediju  
Chief Executive Officer  
Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** File No. 240392 Debra Walker 4 Letters  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 12:22:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [240392 Debra Walker Police Comm 4 Letters.pdf](#)

---

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached four letters regarding

File No. 240392 - Motion rejecting the Mayor's nomination for the reappointment of Debra Walker to the Police Commission, for a term ending April 30, 2028.

Regards,

**Richard Lagunte**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163  
[richard.lagunte@sfgov.org](mailto:richard.lagunte@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

Pronouns: he, him, his

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

**From:** [Alice B. Toklas LGBT Democratic Club](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Letter of Support for Debra Walker, Co-Chairs, Alice B. Toklas LGBTQ Democratic Club  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 10:12:48 AM  
**Attachments:** [Alice B Toklas Co-Chairs - Debra Walker Letter of Support.pdf](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Please find attached and below, a letter in support of Debra Walker's reappointment from the co-chairs of the Alice B. Toklas LGBTQ Democratic Club.

Thank you,

Mawuli Tugbenyoh and Olivia Parker  
Co-Chairs, Alice B. Toklas LGBTQ Democratic Club

---



June 11, 2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

We are writing to wholeheartedly support Debra Walker's nomination for reappointment to the San Francisco Police Commission. We believe Debra is capable, qualified, and has a demonstrated history of leadership. We welcome her nomination to continue to give the LGBTQ+ community a much needed voice on the police commission.

Debra's tenure on the Police Commission has been marked by significant accomplishments. She has played a pivotal role in collaborating with the Department and other city partners to address multifaceted challenges such as crime reduction, officer morale, and recruitment efforts. Her commitment to overseeing the implementation of the 272 reforms mandated by the Department of Justice through the Collaborative Reform Initiative demonstrates her steadfast commitment to ensuring accountability and progress within our law enforcement agencies.



Debra's involvement in community initiatives extends beyond law enforcement. Her extensive experience and leadership in various city commissions and task forces highlight her commitment to addressing diverse issues facing our city. From her work on the Building Inspection Commission and the Economic Recovery Task Force, Debra has consistently demonstrated her passion for serving the community.

Moreover, Debra's commitment to promoting diversity and inclusion within the SFPD, particularly her efforts to increase recruitment of women and support initiatives such as lactation spaces for breastfeeding parents and childcare facilities, underscores her dedication to fostering a more equitable and supportive work environment for all officers. Her leadership, experience, and vision make her an invaluable asset to any commission or board.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you would like to discuss this or any other candidates in the future. You can email us at [cochairs@alicebtoklas.org](mailto:cochairs@alicebtoklas.org) or by phone at 415-802-3502 (Mawuli) or 206-293-2590 (Olivia).

Thank you for considering Ms. Walker for reappointment to this important role.

Sincerely,

Mawuli Tugbenyoh and Olivia Parker  
Co-Chairs, Alice B. Toklas LGBTQ Democratic Club



June 11, 2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

We are writing to wholeheartedly support Debra Walker's nomination for reappointment to the San Francisco Police Commission. We believe Debra is capable, qualified, and has a demonstrated history of leadership. We welcome her nomination to continue to give the LGBTQ+ community a much needed voice on the police commission.

Debra's tenure on the Police Commission has been marked by significant accomplishments. She has played a pivotal role in collaborating with the Department and other city partners to address multifaceted challenges such as crime reduction, officer morale, and recruitment efforts. Her commitment to overseeing the implementation of the 272 reforms mandated by the Department of Justice through the Collaborative Reform Initiative demonstrates her steadfast commitment to ensuring accountability and progress within our law enforcement agencies.

Debra's involvement in community initiatives extends beyond law enforcement. Her extensive experience and leadership in various city commissions and task forces highlight her commitment to addressing diverse issues facing our city. From her work on the Building Inspection Commission and the Economic Recovery Task Force, Debra has consistently demonstrated her passion for serving the community.

Moreover, Debra's commitment to promoting diversity and inclusion within the SFPD, particularly her efforts to increase recruitment of women and support initiatives such as lactation spaces for breastfeeding parents and childcare facilities, underscores her dedication to fostering a more equitable and supportive work environment for all officers. Her leadership, experience, and vision make her an invaluable asset to any commission or board.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you would like to discuss this or any other candidates in the future. You can email us at [cochairs@alicebtoklas.org](mailto:cochairs@alicebtoklas.org) or by phone at 415-802-3502 (Mawuli) or 206-293-2590 (Olivia).

Thank you for considering Ms. Walker for reappointment to this important role.

Sincerely,

Mawuli Tugbenyoh and Olivia Parker  
Co-Chairs, Alice B. Toklas LGBTQ Democratic Club

**From:** [Gus Perez](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support for Debra Walker's Reappointment to the San Francisco Police Commission  
**Date:** Thursday, June 6, 2024 8:32:03 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am reaching out to express my full support for the reappointment of Debra Walker to the San Francisco Police Commission. Debra's unwavering dedication to our city's safety and well-being, her tireless advocacy for justice and accountability, and her exceptional ability to foster trust between law enforcement and our diverse communities are just a few of the reasons why she is an invaluable asset to the Police Commission.

Debra has played a pivotal role in leading the implementation of the 272 reform recommendations outlined by the Department of Justice, ensuring that our Police Department operates with transparency and effectiveness. Her leadership has been instrumental in preserving the progress we have made in public safety initiatives, thus ensuring that San Francisco remains a secure, inclusive, and vibrant city for all its residents.

I urge you to disregard political considerations and acknowledge the significant value that Debra Walker brings to the Police Commission. Her reappointment is crucial for sustaining the momentum of our collective efforts toward public safety.

Thank you for your unwavering attention to this important matter. I wholeheartedly endorse Debra Walker's reappointment and trust that the Board of Supervisors will stand with me in supporting this vital decision.

Sincerely,  
Gustavo Perez  
Resident of San Francisco

**From:** [Bryan T](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support for Debra Walker's reappointment to the San Francisco Police Commission  
**Date:** Thursday, June 6, 2024 8:23:47 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am reaching out to express my unwavering endorsement for the reappointment of Debra Walker to the San Francisco Police Commission. Debra's commitment to our city's safety and welfare, her tireless advocacy for justice and accountability, and her adeptness in fostering trust between law enforcement and our diverse communities make her an invaluable asset to the Police Commission.

Debra has played a pivotal role in advancing the 272 reform recommendations outlined by the Department of Justice, ensuring that our Police Department operates with transparency and efficacy. Her leadership is essential in upholding the progress we have achieved in public safety initiatives, thereby ensuring that San Francisco remains a secure, inclusive, and vibrant city for all its residents.

I urge you to put political considerations aside and acknowledge the immense value that Debra Walker brings to the Police Commission. Her reappointment is crucial for sustaining the momentum of our collective efforts toward public safety.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I wholeheartedly endorse Debra Walker's reappointment and trust that the Board of Supervisors will do the same.

Sincerely,  
Bryan Tam  
Resident of San Francisco

**From:** [Kevin Anicete](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** Support for Debra Walker's reappointment to the San Francisco Police Commission  
**Date:** Thursday, June 6, 2024 7:52:18 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am reaching out to voice my robust endorsement for the reappointment of Debra Walker to the San Francisco Police Commission. Debra's unwavering commitment to our city's safety and well-being, her tireless advocacy for justice and accountability, and her remarkable talent for fostering trust between law enforcement and our diverse communities are just a few of the qualities that make her an indispensable asset to the Police Commission.

Debra has played a pivotal role in spearheading the implementation of the 272 reform recommendations put forth by the Department of Justice, ensuring that our Police Department operates with the utmost transparency and efficacy. Her leadership is paramount in upholding the strides we have achieved in public safety initiatives and in ensuring that San Francisco remains a secure, inclusive, and vibrant city for all its residents.

I implore you to transcend political considerations and acknowledge the profound value that Debra Walker brings to the Police Commission. Her reappointment is imperative for sustaining the momentum of our collective efforts toward public safety.

Thank you for your unwavering attention to this pressing matter. I wholeheartedly endorse Debra Walker's reappointment and trust that the Board of Supervisors will join me in supporting this vital decision.

Sincerely,  
Kevin Anicete  
Resident of San Francisco

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment/ Communication to the Board of Supervisors - File No. 240408  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 2:19:09 PM

---

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below from the San Francisco Parks Alliance regarding:

File No. 240408 - Ordinance amending the Transportation Code to waive fees related to the temporary closure of streets for events organized by community-serving nonprofit arts and culture organizations, small businesses, merchant associations, neighborhood resident associations, and property and business improvement districts.

Regards,


**Richard Lagunte**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163  
[richard.lagunte@sfgov.org](mailto:richard.lagunte@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

Pronouns: he, him, his

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Aimee Callander <[aimeec@sfparksalliance.org](mailto:aimeec@sfparksalliance.org)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 3:10 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Public Comment/ Communication to the Board of Supervisors



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## **Comment on Ordinance 240408 [Transportation Code - Fee Waivers for Qualifying Neighborhood Outdoor Events]**

On behalf of the San Francisco Parks Alliance, I am submitting this comment in support of the proposed ordinance to waive fees for Qualifying Neighborhood Outdoor Events.

Providing free events for the public is central to our mission to create, sustain, and advocate for parks and public spaces that welcome and belong to everyone.

We are proud that these events, including Sundown Cinema, Park Markets at Crane Cove, and the Due South concert series, enhance community cohesion and bring economic vitality to areas across the city.

The waiving of fees to allow the temporary closure of streets for events such as these would significantly lower the financial and bureaucratic burden on non profit organizations like ourselves when creating lively, inclusive public events across the city.

Thank-you to the Mayor and Supervisors Mandelman and Melgar for advancing this legislation.

Best,

Aimee Callander

--

**Aimée Callander**

Sr. Manager Policy and Education

*pronouns: she/her*

**San Francisco Parks Alliance**

1074 Folsom Street

SF, CA 94103

[sfparksalliance.org](http://sfparksalliance.org)

415.513.5056

**4-Day Workweek!** Our team is offline on Fridays so kindly schedule meetings Monday through Thursday. To learn about the benefits of a 4-day workweek you can check out this article by [Forbes](#).

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)  
**Subject:** File No 240808  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 12:09:54 PM  
**Attachments:** [240408 Street Closure Fees 8 Letters.pdf](#)

---

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached for eight letters from organizations regarding:

File No. 240408 - Ordinance amending the Transportation Code to waive fees related to the temporary closure of streets for events organized by community-serving nonprofit arts and culture organizations, small businesses, merchant associations, neighborhood resident associations, and property and business improvement districts.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Voice (415) 554-7709 | Fax (415) 554-5163  
[richard.lagunte@sfgov.org](mailto:richard.lagunte@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)



**From:** [Ruiz-Cornejo, Victor \(MYR\)](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [VanHouten, Ben \(ECN\)](#); [Paulino, Tom \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Letter of Support- File 240408.  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 9:25:17 AM  
**Attachments:** [Copy of Sunset Mercantile letterhead.pdf](#)

---

Please see another letter in support attached here.

Victor Ruiz-Cornejo  
Policy Advisor  
Mayor London N. Breed

---

**From:** Ruiz-Cornejo, Victor (MYR)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 8:40 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** VanHouten, Ben (ECN) <ben.vanhouten@sfgov.org>; Paulino, Tom (MYR) <tom.paulino@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Letter of Support- File 240408.

Please see a letter of support for file no 240408 attached here.

Victor Ruiz-Cornejo  
Policy Advisor  
Mayor London N. Breed



Dear Board of Supervisors,

My name is Angie Petitt. I am the Founder and Owner of Sunset Mercantile which I started 10 years ago as a way to create a pop-up marketplace for small businesses, budding entrepreneurs, artists and organizations in order to share their wares, talents and messages with the community while giving the community a festive family-friendly event that cultivates connection and well-being.

I hereby request your consideration of Ordinances 240406 and 240408. Ordinance 240406 which streamlines the permitting process will not only remove financial barriers for small food businesses but will also bring efficiency to the process which will enable those businesses to focus on the growth of their businesses which will most likely result in their ability to fill a SF brick and mortar someday. Additionally, this ordinance will help open pathways for existing brick and mortar food businesses to branch out into our pop-up markets, allowing them to connect with new customers while creating supplemental income.

The passing of Ordinance 240408 which will waive permit fees for qualifying neighborhood outdoor events, will allow more organizations to create festive and fun events for their communities and allow small businesses such as mine to create more opportunities for the makers, merchants, artists and organizations of SF to grow their businesses and organizations. Additionally, the money that is saved will allow us to continue our mission of connecting community, local commerce and causes in festive family-friendly events by increasing our ability to provide more art, culture and other enriching programs and activities at our events.

On behalf of the merchants who depend on our outdoor events to bring foot traffic to their corridors, the small businesses who rely on pop-up marketplaces and the community who look to our markets for a safe space to connect with others, I humbly request your consideration of these important Ordinances.

Thank you,

Angie Petitt  
Founder/CEO  
Sunset Mercantile  
415-465-2475  
angie@sunsetmercantilesf.com

**From:** [Ruiz-Cornejo, Victor \(MYR\)](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [VanHouten, Ben \(ECN\)](#); [Paulino, Tom \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** Letter of Support- File 240408.  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 8:40:06 AM  
**Attachments:** [Street Fees - Support Letter.pdf](#)

---

Please see a letter of support for file no 240408 attached here.

Victor Ruiz-Cornejo  
Policy Advisor  
Mayor London N. Breed



## DELTA CHINATOWN INITIATIVE

Lily Ho  
San Francisco, CA  
lily@deltachinatownsfc.com

June 10, 2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my full support for the proposed legislation amending the Transportation Code to waive fees related to the temporary closure of streets for events organized by community-serving nonprofit arts and culture organizations, small businesses, merchant associations, neighborhood resident associations, and property and business improvement districts.

Outdoor neighborhood events play a crucial role in fostering a sense of belonging and community cohesion among San Francisco residents. By providing opportunities for social interaction and celebration in our communities, these events contribute significantly to our city's cultural vibrancy. They showcase local performers, arts, and crafts, enriching the experiences of residents, workers, and visitors alike.

These events serve as vital platforms for local entrepreneurs in arts, food, retail, and other sectors to showcase and sell their products. By waiving city fees for such events, we can empower these small businesses and community organizations to continue organizing activities that contribute to the economic and social fabric of our neighborhoods.

Outdoor neighborhood events serve as economic catalysts, attracting visitors to local businesses and stimulating economic activity. By waiving fees, we can further encourage the growth of tourism and commerce in San Francisco, ultimately benefiting the entire city.

In conclusion, I urge the San Francisco Board of Supervisors to wholeheartedly support this legislation, recognizing its potential to strengthen our communities, support small businesses, and promote cultural enrichment and economic growth across our city.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Lily Ho  
President, Delta Chinatown Initiative



June 7, 2024

Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

**RE: File #240408 - Support**

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my support for the Outdoor Event Fee Waiver Legislation (File #240408), proposed by Mayor Breed and cosponsored by Supervisors Mandelman and Melgar. This initiative offers a unique opportunity to stimulate economic activity in San Francisco, particularly benefiting small businesses, restaurants, and the hospitality industry.

The recent analysis of cellphone data by researchers at the University of Toronto, [as reported by The San Francisco Standard](#), shows a significant shift in downtown activity. Traditional office hours activity is roughly half of pre-pandemic levels, while after-hours activity has surged to 94.8% of the baseline. This trend highlights a chance for our city to capitalize on the growing evening and weekend foot traffic.

However, the high costs of city fees, ranging from \$500 to \$10,000, pose challenges for organizing outdoor events. Smaller neighborhood and community events are especially affected. Waiving these fees will make these events more viable and encourage new events, benefiting local merchants and attracting visitors.

By waiving city fees for qualifying outdoor events, this legislation allows small businesses and restaurants to benefit from the growing after-hours economy. Events like night markets and block parties provide opportunities for local merchants to showcase their products and attract new customers, boosting sales and generating additional spending at nearby establishments.

As remote work reshapes the urban landscape, adapting our strategies to meet the evolving needs of residents and visitors is crucial. This fee waiver program is a proactive approach to revitalizing downtown San Francisco as a vibrant destination for leisure and recreation. I urge the Board of Supervisors to approve this legislation and seize the opportunity to build a more resilient and dynamic urban environment.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Alex Bastian".

**Alex Bastian**

*President & CEO*

Hotel Council of San Francisco

**From:** [Raina Christeson](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Alex Bastian](#); [VanHouten, Ben \(ECN\)](#)  
**Subject:** File #240408 - Hotel Council Support  
**Date:** Monday, June 10, 2024 3:03:03 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)  
[File #240408 Letter of Support - Hotel Council of San Francisco.pdf](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerks of the Board,

Please see the attached letter of support for File #240408 (Outdoor Event Fee Waiver Legislation) from Alex Bastian, President and CEO of the Hotel Council of San Francisco.

Best,  
Raina



**Raina Christeson**

Project Coordinator

[Hotel Council of San Francisco](#)

323 Geary Street, Suite 405

San Francisco, CA 94102

P (415) 391-5197 | F (415) 391-6070

Follow us on [twitter](#) | Connect on [LinkedIn](#)

**From:** [VanHouten, Ben \(ECN\)](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Ruiz-Cornejo, Victor \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** File No. 240408 - Support Letter  
**Date:** Monday, June 10, 2024 3:02:24 PM  
**Attachments:** [SF Travel Letter of Support Street Fee Waivers.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please find attached a letter of support for File No. 240408.

Best,  
Ben

---

Ben Van Houten  
Director of Nightlife Initiatives  
Office of Economic and Workforce Development  
[ben.vanhouten@sfgov.org](mailto:ben.vanhouten@sfgov.org)  
415.554.7038  
[oewd.org](http://oewd.org)





**San Francisco Travel Association**

One Post Street, Suite 2700

San Francisco, CA 94104

415-974-6900

[sftravel.com](http://sftravel.com)

June 7, 2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

On behalf of San Francisco Travel, I am writing to express my full support for the proposed legislation amending the Transportation Code to waive fees related to the temporary closure of streets for events organized by community-serving nonprofit arts and culture organizations, small businesses, merchant associations, neighborhood resident associations, and property and business improvement districts.

Outdoor neighborhood events play a crucial role in fostering a sense of belonging and community cohesion among San Francisco visitors and residents. By providing opportunities for social interaction and celebration in our communities, these events contribute significantly to our city's cultural vibrancy. They showcase local performers, arts, and crafts, enriching the experiences of residents, workers, and visitors alike.

These events serve as vital platforms for local entrepreneurs in arts, food, retail, and other sectors to showcase and sell their products. By waiving city fees for such events, we can empower these small businesses and community organizations to continue organizing activities that contribute to the economic and social fabric of our neighborhoods.

Outdoor neighborhood events serve as economic catalysts, attracting visitors to local businesses and stimulating economic activity. By waiving fees, we can further encourage the growth of tourism and commerce in San Francisco, ultimately benefiting the entire city.

In conclusion, I urge the San Francisco Board of Supervisors to wholeheartedly support this legislation, recognizing its potential to strengthen our communities, support small businesses, and promote cultural enrichment and economic growth across our city. Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Anna Marie Presutti  
Interim President & CEO  
SF Travel

**From:** [Ruiz-Cornejo, Victor \(MYR\)](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [VanHouten, Ben \(ECN\)](#)  
**Subject:** Fw: PCFMA Support for Street Fee Waiver  
**Date:** Monday, June 10, 2024 11:58:52 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)  
[PCFMA Support for SF Board of Supervisor Event Fee Waiver.pdf](#)

---

Please see a letter of support for file no 240408.

Victor Ruiz-Cornejo  
Policy Advisor  
Mayor London N. Breed

---

**From:** Allen Moy <[allenmoy@pcfma.org](mailto:allenmoy@pcfma.org)>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 10, 2024 8:22 AM  
**To:** Ruiz-Cornejo, Victor (MYR) <[victor.ruiz-cornejo@sfgov.org](mailto:victor.ruiz-cornejo@sfgov.org)>  
**Cc:** Mia Simmans <[miasimmans@pcfma.org](mailto:miasimmans@pcfma.org)>  
**Subject:** PCFMA Support for Street Fee Waiver

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Victor:

Our thanks to Mayor London Breed for this effort to make farmers market operations more affordable and sustainable in San Francisco. PCFMA's letter of support for the legislation is attached.

Allen



Allen Moy | **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR**  
**PACIFIC COAST FARMERS' MARKET ASSOCIATION**  
5060 Commercial Circle Ste. A, Concord CA 94520  
P: 925.825.9090 | F: 925.825.9101 | [allenmoy@pcfma.org](mailto:allenmoy@pcfma.org)  
[Facebook](#) | [PCFMA.ORG](#) | [Instagram](#)



**PACIFIC COAST FARMERS'  
MARKET ASSOCIATION**

5060 Commercial Circle, Ste. A  
Concord, CA 94520

June 10, 2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express the support of the Pacific Coast Farmers' Market Association (PCFMA) for the proposed legislation amending the Transportation Code to waive fees related to the temporary closure of streets for events organized by community-serving nonprofit arts and culture organizations, small businesses, merchant associations, neighborhood resident associations, and property and business improvement districts.

PCFMA currently operates four certified farmers markets in San Francisco – on Wednesdays in the Castro, Saturdays in the Fillmore, and Sundays in the Inner Sunset and at the DMV parking lot. These farmers markets are not just important food access points, they are also essential community gathering spaces.

Outdoor neighborhood events like farmers markets play a crucial role in fostering a sense of belonging and community cohesion among San Francisco residents. By providing opportunities for social interaction and celebration in our communities, these events contribute significantly to our city's cultural vibrancy.

By waiving city fees for such events, we can empower small businesses that sell their unique wares within the events and the community organizations behind the events to continue organizing activities that contribute to the economic and social fabric of our neighborhoods.

Outdoor neighborhood events serve as economic catalysts, attracting visitors to local businesses and stimulating economic activity. By waiving fees, we can further encourage the growth of tourism and commerce in San Francisco, ultimately benefiting the entire city.

In conclusion, I urge the San Francisco Board of Supervisors to support this legislation, recognizing its potential to strengthen our communities, support small businesses, and promote cultural enrichment and economic growth across our city.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Allen Moy  
Executive Director

**From:** [Hannah Kiburz](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Robbie Silver](#)  
**Subject:** Support for Amendment to Transportation Code for Temporary Road Closure Fee Waivers for Community Events  
**Date:** Monday, June 10, 2024 10:26:05 AM  
**Attachments:** [Letter of Support StreetClosureFeeWaiver\\_DSFP\\_2024.pdf](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

I am writing on behalf of Robbie Silver, Executive Director of the Downtown SF Partnership, to share his letter of support urging the Board of Supervisors to amend the current City Transportation Code to waive fees associated with temporary road closures for community events.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to reach out.

Regards,  
Hannah




**Hannah Kiburz**  
She/Her  
Administrative Manager,  
Downtown SF Partnership

[235 Montgomery St. Suite 828. San Francisco, CA 94104](#)

[hannah@downtownsf.org](mailto:hannah@downtownsf.org)

415-634-2251 Ext. 410

[downtownsf.org](http://downtownsf.org)



Sign up for our Newsletter Discover Downtown SF!



App Banner Image



235 Montgomery Street  
Suite 828  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
415-634-2251

Robbie Silver  
Executive Director

**BOARD OFFICERS**

Bill Whitfield - President  
Shorenstein

Glenn Good - Vice President  
Glenn Good Group

Chris Trotier - Treasurer  
SHVO

Kristie Arevalo - Secretary  
CBRE

Christine Mann -  
Immediate Past President  
Rockhill Management

**BOARD MEMBERS**

Cassandra Costello  
SF Travel

John Dunlap  
Resident

Michelle Funkhouser  
Hines

Alex Kaplan  
Tidewater Capital

Peter Quartaroli  
Sam's Grill

Alexander Quinn  
JLL

Brian Reed  
Bri Hospitality

Diana Rosenblatt  
SO Hudson 555 Management Inc.

Peter Scott  
Tusker Corporation

Kristian Weeks  
Tishman Speyer

June 10, 2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my strong support for the proposed legislation to amend the Transportation Code, waiving fees for the temporary closure of streets for events organized by community-serving organizations, including nonprofit arts and culture organizations, small businesses, merchant associations, neighborhood resident associations, and property and business improvement districts.

The Downtown SF Partnership (DSFP) believes that outdoor activities are crucial to the revitalization and reimagining of downtown. These gatherings provide valuable opportunities for the community at large to celebrate our city's unique history and invest in its current and future success.

In addition, our organization has demonstrated that public realm activations and placemaking are essential tools for economic development. For example, our Let's Glow SF festival last winter generated approximately \$8 million of revenue for downtown businesses in just 10 days.

DSFP readily encourages efforts to reduce barriers to planning and executing community events. Therefore, I urge the San Francisco Board of Supervisors to support the proposed legislation as a means to empower small businesses and community partners to organize events that enrich our neighborhoods and provide economic stimulus.

Thank you for your careful consideration.

Sincerely,



Robbie Silver, Executive Director  
rsilver@downtownsf.org

**From:** [Julia Rome](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SF Travel Letter of Support  
**Date:** Sunday, June 9, 2024 10:45:35 PM  
**Attachments:** [SF Travel Letter of Support Street Fee Waivers.pdf](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom It May Concern,

Please find attached here a letter of support on behalf of SF Travel for the waiver of street fees for outdoor community events. Please do reach out with any questions.

All best,  
Julia



**Julia Rome**  
Director, Public Policy & Executive Office Programs  
E [jrome@sftravel.com](mailto:jrome@sftravel.com) | T 415.227.2623

**San Francisco Travel** | One Post Street, Suite 2700 | San Francisco, CA 94104  
[sftravel.com](http://sftravel.com) | Follow us on [Facebook](#) + [Twitter](#)

[Explore our NEW 2024 Official Visitor's Guide](#)

[San Francisco Named One of the 50 World's Greatest Places by Time Magazine](#)



**San Francisco Travel Association**

One Post Street, Suite 2700

San Francisco, CA 94104

415-974-6900

[sftravel.com](http://sftravel.com)

June 7, 2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

On behalf of San Francisco Travel, I am writing to express my full support for the proposed legislation amending the Transportation Code to waive fees related to the temporary closure of streets for events organized by community-serving nonprofit arts and culture organizations, small businesses, merchant associations, neighborhood resident associations, and property and business improvement districts.

Outdoor neighborhood events play a crucial role in fostering a sense of belonging and community cohesion among San Francisco visitors and residents. By providing opportunities for social interaction and celebration in our communities, these events contribute significantly to our city's cultural vibrancy. They showcase local performers, arts, and crafts, enriching the experiences of residents, workers, and visitors alike.

These events serve as vital platforms for local entrepreneurs in arts, food, retail, and other sectors to showcase and sell their products. By waiving city fees for such events, we can empower these small businesses and community organizations to continue organizing activities that contribute to the economic and social fabric of our neighborhoods.

Outdoor neighborhood events serve as economic catalysts, attracting visitors to local businesses and stimulating economic activity. By waiving fees, we can further encourage the growth of tourism and commerce in San Francisco, ultimately benefiting the entire city.

In conclusion, I urge the San Francisco Board of Supervisors to wholeheartedly support this legislation, recognizing its potential to strengthen our communities, support small businesses, and promote cultural enrichment and economic growth across our city. Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Anna Marie Presutti  
Interim President & CEO  
SF Travel



**From:** [Amy Cleary](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Street Fees- GGRA Letter of Support  
**Date:** Friday, June 7, 2024 11:13:20 AM  
**Attachments:** [Street Fees- GGRA Letter of Support.docx.pdf](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please see attached our letter of support for the the proposed legislation amending the Transportation Code to waive fees related to the temporary closure of streets for events organized by community-serving nonprofit arts and culture organizations, small businesses, merchant associations, neighborhood resident associations, and property and business improvement districts.

Best,  
Amy

--

Amy Cleary  
Director of Public Policy and Media Relations  
Golden Gate Restaurant Association  
415.370.9056  
[amy@ggra.org](mailto:amy@ggra.org)



June 7, 2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

On behalf of the Golden Gate Restaurant Association (GGRA), I am writing to express my full support for the proposed legislation amending the Transportation Code to waive fees related to the temporary closure of streets for events organized by community-serving nonprofit arts and culture organizations, small businesses, merchant associations, neighborhood resident associations, and property and business improvement districts.

These events serve as vital platforms for local entrepreneurs in arts, food, retail, and other sectors to showcase and sell their products. By waiving city fees for such events, we can empower these small businesses and community organizations to continue organizing activities that contribute to the economic and social fabric of our neighborhoods.

Outdoor neighborhood events serve as economic catalysts, attracting visitors to local businesses and stimulating economic activity. By waiving fees, we can further encourage the growth of tourism and commerce in San Francisco, ultimately benefiting the entire city.

In conclusion, I urge the San Francisco Board of Supervisors to wholeheartedly support this legislation, recognizing its potential to strengthen our communities, support small businesses, and promote cultural enrichment and economic growth across our city.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Laurie Thomas".

Executive Director  
Golden Gate Restaurant Association

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Crayton, Monique \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: File No. 240415  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 2:27:16 PM

---

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below from Tam Tam regarding:

File No. 240415 - Resolution authorizing the Office of the Mayor, Recreation and Park Department, Office of Economic and Workforce Development, San Francisco International Airport, Office of the City Administrator, and the Chief of Protocol to solicit donations from various private entities and organizations to support San Francisco in hosting Panda Bears from the People's Republic of China, notwithstanding the Behested Payment Ordinance.

Regards,

**Richard Lagunte**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163  
[richard.lagunte@sfgov.org](mailto:richard.lagunte@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

Pronouns: he, him, his

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** c nie <cniev51@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 2:09 PM  
**To:** ChanStaff (BOS) <ChanStaff@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <MelgarStaff@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff (BOS) <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS)

<board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>; EngardioStaff (BOS) <EngardioStaff@sfgov.org>; Ahsaha.safai@sfgov.org; Hilary.Ronen@sfgov.org; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>

**Subject:**

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor,

This letter is an objection to the use of San Francisco Zoo to exhibit Chinese Panda Bears. I object to this for two reasons: 1) Participating in a monetary exchange with a country that is run by a Dictator who violates human rights & is openly opposed to democracy is absolutely contrary to American & San Francisco values. This Dictator uses his minority population as slave labor to produce single use disposable products. No part of this is acceptable in a free human oriented society. Or a society concerned about the environment. Economically supporting this regime is contrary to any morals or decency. NO to Pandas!

Secondly, the zoo privatization was a scam that was misrepresented to voters. The benefits of Public/Private Zoo has only resulted in poor management & a yearly LOSS in revenue since it was established! Taxpayers pay at least \$2 Million each year to a facility run by attorneys, NOT by zoologists. There is clear favoritism in the appointment of the Zoo Board of Directors that needs review if not investigation. Add this to the complete animal security failures, the poverty wages staff receive and the decrepit animal housing conditions plus poor animal programs and care! This facility should be SHUT Down!! until it is properly managed!! The Zoo is NOT a tourist friendly destination!! What are you thinking??? It is a taxpayer money pit. NO to Pandas!

Please do not support a government that violates human rights, democracy and exploits animals with a greenwash cover. Vote NO \$\$\$ for Pandas. Do not support another taxpayer bailout like the APEC convention. Vote NO Pandas.

Respectfully,

Tam Tam



Virus-free. [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Crayton, Monique \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** File No. 240415 - 2 Letters  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 12:17:27 PM  
**Attachments:** [240415 Pandas 2 Letters.pdf](#)

---

Dear Supervisors,

Please see attached for two letters from members of the public regarding:

File No. 240415 - Resolution authorizing the Office of the Mayor, Recreation and Park Department, Office of Economic and Workforce Development, San Francisco International Airport, Office of the City Administrator, and the Chief of Protocol to solicit donations from various private entities and organizations to support San Francisco in hosting Panda Bears from the People's Republic of China, notwithstanding the Behested Payment Ordinance

Regards,

Richard Lagunte  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Voice (415) 554-7709 | Fax (415) 554-5163  
[richard.lagunte@sfgov.org](mailto:richard.lagunte@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**From:** [Paula Katz](#)  
**To:** [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment: Please Vote Yes On Item 70, Resolution #240415 to Raise Money to Bring Pandas to San Francisco  
**Date:** Sunday, June 9, 2024 8:37:53 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,


My name is Paula Katz, and as a long time District 4 resident and a long-time member of the San Francisco Zoo, I strongly urge you to pass item 70 on your agenda, Resolution #240415, to authorize the listed city agencies and offices to solicit donations from private entities and organizations to support our great City in hosting pandas from China, notwithstanding the Behested Payment Ordinance. I believe a waiver of this ordinance is appropriate in this particular situation.

While not the topic of the resolution, I believe it would be a wonderful thing to bring pandas back to San Francisco, and this can be done only if you pass this resolution so the City can solicit private funds to raise the \$25 million needed to bring pandas here. I remember the time many years ago, in 1984 and 1985, when we had two pandas at the zoo for two three-month visits, and it was fantastic. It caused such great excitement in the City, and a lot of additional tourism. I have travelled to the Atlanta Zoo, the San Diego Zoo, the Smithsonian Zoo, and even to China to see and photograph pandas. I love them, and I'm certainly am not the only one. People are panda-crazy. I think many tourists will travel to San Francisco to see our pandas. This will be a great boon to our economy and bring more revenue to the City, helping our deficit, and to merchants where these new tourists will stay, eat, and shop. And in response to comments I have read online that pandas should remain in the wild and not in zoos, during my visit to China I learnt that most of the pandas are not living in the wild, but in conservation centers, and that the money the Chinese raise by lending pandas to cities around the world goes to further panda conservation efforts. The pandas that we would get would be from one of the panda conservation centers. I think that the Board of Supervisors should do everything in your power to make pandas in San Francisco a reality, and the first step is to approve this resolution.

I cannot attend the meeting but would have made a virtual public comment except that the BOS has eliminated virtual public comments. I hope you restore them so residents can let you know their views at the meetings even if they cannot attend in person.

Thank you.

Paula Katz





**From:** [Howard](#)  
**To:** [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Elsbernd, Sean \(MYR\)](#); [OEWD \(ECN\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** ANDAS DOWNTOWN: ANALYSIS  
**Date:** Sunday, June 9, 2024 1:08:25 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



**PANDAS DOWNTOWN ANALYSIS:** Hello Everyone: My Chronicle Letter to the Editor proposed a concept for Pandas Downtown. A strong design process needs divergent thinking with more options---rather than convergent thinking with one solution. Pandas Downtown has more regional benefits, and arguably, more benefits for the Pandas---especially by

designing the largest state-of-the-art facility possible. Let's holistically study the project's premises, variables, needs, and possibilities. Regards, Howard Wong, AIA



**CHRONICLE LETTER TO EDITOR (May 1, 2024): Build a Panda Park:** Regarding "Is this real? San Francisco' panda plan has many hurdles ahead" (San Francisco, SFchronicle.com, April 26): Thinking as an architect, why not locate S.F.'s pandas downtown? Attracting crowds would help nearby businesses and tourism would thrive. What if China could guide the construction of an appropriate habitat using an existing downtown building---prefabricated units can be slipped into structural bays at lower costs than new construction. Indoor facilities could be linked to an outdoor enclosure. Reimagine the block of Geary Boulevard flanked by Macy's at Union Square. The Macy's structure could house an indoor habitat and a glass bridge could connect to an outdoor panda park on a portion of Union Square. The pandemonium would energize regional transit, spark investment and lure workers back to offices. **Howard Wong, San Francisco**

**DEZEEN: BIG completes yin-and-yang-shaped Panda House at Copenhagen Zoo**  
<https://www.dezeen.com/2019/11/05/big-panda-house-copenhagen-zoo-architecture/>  
Together this provides the pandas with plenty of opportunity to rest, explore, eat and find shade and sun depending on the season, temperature and preference.



**BIGGER FLEXIBLE SPACE:** Downtown offers more square footage than the existing SF Zoo---overcrowded, aging infrastructure. Portions of Macy's 400,000 square footage could be reimagined for a modern Panda habitat. Public spaces (Geary Boulevard, sidewalks, public air-rights, Union Square) could be reimagined as green parkways.



**DONATIONS-IN-KIND:** Macy's could donate portions of their building---in exchange for added value to their property, tax advantages, and advertising returns. Public parks, streets, sidewalks, and air-rights are existing public assets---no cost.

**FUNDRAISING DIVERSIFICATION:** Widens the pool of donors---with broad swaths of downtown benefiting from Pandas Downtown.

**STATE-OF-THE ART:** With more flexible square footage, China could foster the latest Panda technology. Prefabricated shelter units, food/ medical/ research pods, air/ environmental quality controls and more.

**PANDA HEALTH AND WELL-BEING:** More space allows for more natural habitats--room to roam and places to hide. Unlike other Zoo enclosures, no need to cram animals into tight spaces.

**BIGGER REVENUE:** Pandas Downtown would be a centralized regional asset. With frameless glass-walled habitats (built-in privacy designs), the exhibit could nearly operate around the clock. Downtown and SF economic benefits would be huge---with guaranteed reinvestments into panda health and the SF Zoo & Gardens.

**PANDA GLASS BRIDGE:** A slightly arched glass bridge can connect the indoor Panda House to the outdoor habitat on a portion of Union Square and over Geary's sidewalk/ street. The bridge also serves as a "Panda Run" for exercise---and panda sightings.

\* \* \* \* \*

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** File No. 240651 Sanctuary City for Transgender, Gender Non-Conforming, Non-Binary, and Two-Spirit People  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 2:34:54 PM  
**Attachments:** [240651 2 letters.pdf](#)

---

Dear Supervisors,

Please see attached for two letters regarding:

File No. 240651 - Resolution declaring the City and County of San Francisco a Sanctuary City for Transgender, Gender Non-Conforming, Non-Binary, and Two-Spirit People.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte

**Office of the Clerk of the Board**

**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

Voice (415) 554-7709 | Fax (415) 554-5163

[richard.lagunte@sfgov.org](mailto:richard.lagunte@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**From:** [Poppy Riddle](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Sanctuary city...Thank you!  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 11:03:04 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Board of Supervisors!

I just wanted to say thank you for voting unanimously to declare San Francisco a sanctuary for transgender, gender nonconforming, and nonbinary people. I count the days till I get to come back to my home in San Francisco, (finishing up my PhD) and this makes me and my family want to be back there even more!

With love and respect,  
Poppy

-- Poppy Nicolette Riddle  
Pronouns: she/her [What's this?](#)  
Instructor – Faculty of Management  
&  
Interdisciplinary PhD student  
Department of Information Science in the Faculty of Management  
Department of Human-Computer Interaction in the Faculty of Computer Science  
*Dalhousie University*  
[poppy.riddle@dal.ca](mailto:poppy.riddle@dal.ca)

*Dalhousie University is located in Mi'kma'ki, the ancestral and unceded territory of the Mi'kmaq. We are all Treaty people.*

*We acknowledge the histories, contributions, and legacies of the African Nova Scotian people and communities who have been here for over 400 years.*

**From:** [Richelle Slota](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Sanctuary City Status for Trans and GNC  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 1:56:28 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear BOS,

I am writing in support of Supervisor Mandelmann's resolution making San Francisco a Sanctuary City for trans and GNC folks. As a 77 year old trans woman, I am terrified daily by news of the horrible laws being passed in red states that seek to render us folks either dead or invisible. This bill will take away some of the terror for folks like me. Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Richelle Lee Slota

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Sanctuary City resolution  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 12:25:19 PM

---

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below for a letter regarding:

File No. 240651 - Resolution declaring the City and County of San Francisco a Sanctuary City for Transgender, Gender Non-Conforming, Non-Binary, and Two-Spirit People.

Regards,

**Richard Lagunte**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163  
[richard.lagunte@sfgov.org](mailto:richard.lagunte@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

Pronouns: he, him, his

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Sandra Hall <sandrahallcsw@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 11:23 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Sanctuary City resolution

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am in full support of the resolution to make SF a sanctuary city for the Transgender et al community!

I am a Licensed Clinical Social Worker who specializes in gender affirming mental health. I have worked clinically with this population since 2008 and have met with thousands of clients for 1:1 psychotherapy, group psychotherapy, and surgery assessments.

SF has been a long-standing leader in gender inclusion. This resolution provides needed protection for the community and those who serve them.

Thank you!

Sandra Hall, LCSW

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Laguna Honda Hospital - File No. 230035  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 2:40:37 PM

---

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below regarding:

File No. 230035 - Hearing of the Board of Supervisors sitting as a Committee of the Whole on Tuesday, September 26, 2023, at 3:00 p.m., to hold a public hearing on Laguna Honda Hospital's Strategy for Recertification and the Submission of a Closure and Patient Transfer and Relocation Plan; and requesting the Department of Public Health to present; scheduled pursuant to Motion No. M23-010 (File No. 230034), approved on January 24, 2023.

Regards,

**Richard Lagunte**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163  
[richard.lagunte@sfgov.org](mailto:richard.lagunte@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

Pronouns: he, him, his

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Norman Degelman <norkydeg@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 10, 2024 9:17 AM  
**To:** Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Teresa Palmer <teresapalmer2014@gmail.com>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Laguna Honda Hospital

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor Breed and supervisors,

Since the last hearing on Sept 26 2023, Laguna Honda is still shut down to new admissions. Can this be fixed with the managers who are now in place? Hard questions need to be asked about the reasons for this. Does the governance of Laguna Honda needs to be taken out of current hands and restructured?

New citations for problems with care have repeatedly come to light and appear to be delaying recertifications for Medicare indefinitely.

We fear that after all LHH will be closed & these most vulnerable San Franciscans will be evicted to points unknown--**in addition** to the many San Francisco residents who have to leave the county now to obtain nursing home care.

[File No. 230035](#) - Last Hearing - Committee of the Whole - Laguna Honda Hospital's Strategy for Recertification and the Submission of a Closure and Patient Transfer and Relocation Plan - September 26, 2023, at 3:00 p.m.

Given the dire shortage of nursing home beds in San Francisco, the people of our city must be certain that all 769 Nursing Home beds at Laguna Honda will be there for their use. Those of us who need a bed are burdened by greater than 2 year moratorium on admissions with no end in sight.

Given the sickness, death, and the horrible stress and expense that the situation at LHH has engendered since 2019 we ask the Board of Supervisors these questions:

**1. How to create a system of oversight for LHH so that admissions resume and repeated profound mismanagement does not persist or recur?** SFDPH has been unable to gain Medicare recertification, and we fear is not up to this. This may be partially due to the forced "flow" of inappropriate patients from our county hospital to LHH, due to the lack of services (especially behavioral services) elsewhere in the system.

There is an ongoing need for skilled oversight of Laguna Honda practices. Where will it come from? Better to admit the problem than to continue to cover it up.

**2. CDPH/State of California has failed to keep up with LHH problems; how will this be rectified?** State of California/CDPH's inability to offer timely feedback about problems at LHH contributed to this mess!

**3.Can the Board of Supervisors closely monitor the submission of a waiver to prevent the 120 bed loss;** San Franciscans cannot afford to



lose these beds!

**4. The now required yearly report from SFPD on Out of County Discharges to Skilled Nursing Homes From SF Hospitals for 2023 was due in early 2024; it is reportedly delayed until July 2024.** Why? Is this to avoid publicizing the mess that LHH failure to resume admissions has created?

It is extremely frightening for any San Franciscan who may someday need a bed in long term care that these concerns are not being transparently addressed. Please address them.

Thank you.

Norman Degelman, 422 Carl St, SF CA 94117

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Urgent: Vote NO on Revisiting Density Controls in Three Historic Districts - File No. 240170  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 2:47:26 PM  
**Attachments:** [NUSF Letter 6.12.24 to Planning Commissioners Re Density Decontrol in Historic Districts.pdf](#)

---

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below and attached from Neighborhoods United SF regarding:

File No. 240170 - Ordinance amending the Planning Code to modify density limits in the Northeast Waterfront Historic District, the Jackson Square Historic District, and the Jackson Square Historic District Extension, except for projects in those areas using the Commercial to Residential Adaptive Reuse Program; creating an exception to numerical density in those areas for certain projects; affirming the Planning Commission's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making public necessity, convenience, and welfare findings under Planning Code, Section 302; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

Regards,

**Richard Lagunte**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163  
[richard.lagunte@sfgov.org](mailto:richard.lagunte@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

Pronouns: he, him, his

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Neighborhoods United SF <neighborhoodsunitedsf@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 4:41 PM

**To:** Ruiz, Gabriella (CPC) <gabriella.ruiz@sfgov.org>; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; So, Lydia (CPC) <lydia.so@sfgov.org>; Diamond, Sue (CPC) <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>; Imperial, Theresa (CPC) <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>; CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>

**Cc:** Switzky, Joshua (CPC) <joshua.switzky@sfgov.org>; Chen, Lisa (CPC) <lisa.chen@sfgov.org>; Tanner, Rachael (CPC) <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>; Hillis, Rich (CPC) <rich.hillis@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Urgent: Vote NO on Revisiting Density Controls in Three Historic Districts

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Planning Commissioners,

Attached is a letter from Neighborhoods United SF (NUSF) expressing our opposition to the legislation revisiting Density Decontrol in Three Historic Districts.

- NUSF Alliance

June 12, 2024

San Francisco Planning Commission  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 400  
San Francisco, CA 94103

Re: Density Controls in Three Historic Districts, Case Number: 2024-003574PCA  
[Board File No. 240170]

Dear San Francisco Planning Commissioners,

Neighborhoods United San Francisco (NUSF), an alliance of over 60 neighborhood associations, urges you to vote NO on the legislation to revisit the Density Controls in Three Historic District legislation. This issue already underwent vigorous debate and was ultimately passed by a supermajority of the Board of Supervisors in March 2024.

NUSF opposes this duplicated legislation for the following reasons:

- Form-based density combined with state-density bonus has incentivized developers to propose demolition of historic buildings and allows proposed projects to exceed existing height limits by more than three times. The legislation already passed redirected form-based density ONLY for adaptive reuse projects in these historic districts. Further, it does allow projects to add 33% density and form-based to adaptive reuse projects.
- The proposed duplicative legislation would undo a previous compromise and allow form-based plus 50% state density bonus in those same historic districts.
- The Planning Department has stated that there is no way to predict the height or incentive to demolish historic buildings created by this proposal.

Please vote NO on recommending this legislation to the Board of Supervisors, it has already been resolved.

Sincerely,

**Neighborhoods United SF:**

Aquatic Park Neighbors  
Barbary Coast Neighborhood Association  
Catalysts for Local Control  
Cathedral Hill Neighborhood Association  
Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods  
Cole Valley Improvement Association  
Corbett Heights Neighbors  
Cow Hollow Association  
Cow Hollow-Marina Neighbors and Merchants  
D2 United  
D4ward  
Diamond Heights Community Association  
Dolores Heights Improvement Club  
East Mission Improvement Association  
Excelsior District Improvement Association  
Forest Hill Association  
Francisco Park Conservancy  
Geary Boulevard Merchants and Property Owners Association  
Golden Gate Heights Neighborhood Association  
Golden Gate Valley Neighborhood Association  
Greater West Portal Neighborhood Association  
Ingleside Terrace Homeowners Assoc  
Jordan Park Improvement Association  
Lakeside Property Owners Association  
La Playa Park Coalition  
La Playa Village

Laurel Heights Neighborhood Association  
Lombard Hill Improvement Association  
Marina - Cow Hollow Neighbors and Merchants  
Marina Community Association  
Mid-Sunset Neighborhood Association  
Midtown Terrace Homeowners Association  
Miraloma Park Improvement Club  
Mission Dolores Neighborhood Association  
Noe Valley Council  
North Beach Tenants Committee  
Oceanview/Merced Heights/Ingleside - Neighbors in Action  
Our Neighborhood Voices  
Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association  
Parkmerced Action Coalition  
Planning Association for the Richmond  
Presidio Heights Association of Neighbors\*  
Rincon Point Neighborhood Association  
Russian Hill Community Association  
Russian Hill Improvement Association  
San Francisco Land Use Coalition  
Save Our Amazing Richmond  
Save Our Neighborhoods SF  
Sensible D7  
St. Francis Homes Association  
Sunset Heights Association of Responsible People  
Sunset-Parkside Education & Action Committee  
Sunset United Neighbors  
Telegraph Hill Dwellers  
University Terrace Association  
Waterfront Action Committee

cc:

Board of Supervisors (board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)

Planning Commission (commissions.secretary@sfgov.org)

SF Planning Director, Rich Hillis (Rich.Hillis@sfgov.org)

SF Planning Citywide Planning Division, Rachael Tanner (Rachael.Tanner@sfgov.org)

SF Planning, Principal Planner, Lisa Chen (lisa.chen@sfgov.org)

SF Planning, Acting Director, Joshua Switzky (joshua.switzky@sfgov.org)

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Letter Supporting Commemorative Street Name, "Dr. Howard Thurman Way"  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 2:54:14 PM  
**Attachments:** [Thurman Letter06102024.pdf](#)

---

Dear Supervisors,

Please see below and attached regarding:

File No. 240213 - Resolution adding the commemorative street name "Dr. Howard Thurman Way" to the 2020 Block of Stockton Street in recognition of Dr. Howard Thurman's legacy in San Francisco.

Regards,

**Richard Lagunte**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163  
[richard.lagunte@sfgov.org](mailto:richard.lagunte@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

Pronouns: he, him, his

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Peter Yedidia <[peter.yedidia@gmail.com](mailto:peter.yedidia@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 10, 2024 10:04 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Letter Supporting Commemorative Street Name, "Dr. Howard Thurman Way"

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted



To the Board:

Our letter of support for Resolution File No 240213 is attached. For your convenience, it is also copied below.

Thank you.

Peter Yedidia  
Connie Rubiano

\*\*\*\*\*

2020 Stockton Street  
San Francisco, CA 94133

June 9, 2024

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board  
City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: File No. 240213, Resolution adding the commemorative street name “Dr. Howard Thurman Way” to the 2020 Block of Stockton Street, in recognition of Dr. Howard Thurman’s legacy in San Francisco.

To the Board of Supervisors:

We are the current owner/residents of 2020 Stockton Street, the former residence of Dr. Howard Thurman. For the record, we strongly support this resolution in recognition of Dr. Thurman’s role and legacy in building fellowship among all people of our city.

Very truly yours,

Constance Rubiano Peter Yedidia

For the Yedidia-Rubiano Rev. Family Trust

2020 Stockton Street  
San Francisco, CA 94133

June 9, 2024

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board  
City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: File No. 240213, Resolution adding the commemorative street name "Dr. Howard Thurman Way" to the 2020 Block of Stockton Street, in recognition of Dr. Howard Thurman's legacy in San Francisco.

To the Board of Supervisors:

We are the current owner/residents of 2020 Stockton Street, the former residence of Dr. Howard Thurman. For the record, we strongly support this resolution in recognition of Dr. Thurman's role and legacy in building fellowship among all people of our city.

Very truly yours,



Constance Rubiano



Peter Yedidia

For the Yedidia-Rubiano Rev. Family Trust

**From:** [Lagunte, Richard \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** File No. 240501 5 Letters streamline contracting for Vision Zero transportation projects  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 3:02:51 PM  
**Attachments:** [240501 5 Letters.pdf](#)

---

Dear Supervisors,

Please see attached for five letters regarding:

File No. 240501 - Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to streamline contracting for Vision Zero transportation projects by authorizing the Municipal Transportation Agency and the Department of Public Works to expedite contracts by waiving application of the Environment Code and provisions relating to competitive bidding, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization, and other requirements, for construction work and professional and other services relating to Vision Zero projects, for a period of three years.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte

**Office of the Clerk of the Board**

**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163

[richard.lagunte@sfgov.org](mailto:richard.lagunte@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**From:** [mari.eliza](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 1:24:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      mari eliza  
Email                              zrants@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Mark Macy](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 5:18:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Mark Macy  
Email                              markm@macyarchitecture.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---



**From:** [Evelyn Graham](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Monday, June 10, 2024 10:12:43 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Evelyn Graham  
Email                              dundeel@mail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Frank Zepeda](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Sunday, June 9, 2024 9:43:40 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Frank Zepeda

Email                              zepedaf@attglobal.net

I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Laura Mulcrevy](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting  
**Date:** Thursday, June 6, 2024 8:34:30 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent      Laura Mulcrevy  
Email                              lauralou.sf@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the proposed Ordinance Allowing SFMTA to Bypass Current Rules/Regulations in entering Contracts for City Contracting**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors.

The ordinance put forth by Supervisor Chan amending the Administrative Code which would allow SFMTA to bypass important rules and best practices for entering into contracts is unconscionable.

The rules set forth in the Administrative Code governing contracts include competitive bidding, the Environmental Code, equal benefits, local business enterprise utilization and other important safeguards against corruption, fraud, and waste. The provisions in the Administrative Code should not be waivable or optional; they exist to protect the taxpayer, local business, local talent and presumably the environment.

Amending the Administrative Code is a drastic step towards granting an incompetent agency power they should not have. SFMTA simply is not an agency that can or should be trusted to enter into contracts without oversight or rules to guide the process and ensure best practice.

We are all currently feeling the consequences of unprecedented fiscal irresponsibility by our City government with a deficit that is threatening the operations of almost every department in the city.

Now is not the time to allow an agency with a known track record for shoddy data and over-budget projects to enter into contracts with no accountability and fewer protections to the process.

This ordinance's built in expiration date of 3 years provides little comfort to taxpayers as SFMTA has a habit of making things that are "temporary" permanent. It would be better not to go down this path of AMENDING a code then to try to re-establish it in the future. Once SFMTA can ignore all sensible elements to negotiating and entering into a contract it is safe to say it will remain that way indefinitely.

The failure of SFMTA to achieve its Vision Zero goals has less to do with streamlining a contract process and everything to do with their poor planning, lack of interest in community feedback, and little understanding of the city streets and how they are used. Vision Zero requires more than knee-jerk reactions and piecemeal projects, and until competence and data replaces ideology and fiction, no amount of streamlining any process will bring us closer to achieving safer streets or the goals of vision zero.

I urge you to abandon this ordinance and require SFMTA to continue to respect and adhere to the very necessary protections in our Administrative Code. Taxpayers, small businesses, and local workers can no longer bear the brunt of SFMTA's unproductive and community damaging projects.

---

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** File No. 240546 - Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 3:19:03 PM  
**Attachments:** [File No. 240546 126 Letters.pdf](#)

---

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached 126 letters regarding:

File No. 240546 - Charter Amendment (First Draft) to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to modify the redistricting process for Board of Supervisors districts by creating an independent redistricting task force responsible for adopting supervisorial district boundaries; specifying the qualifications to serve on the independent redistricting task force and restrictions on members' activities during and after service; creating a process for selecting members of the independent redistricting task force; modifying the processes the City must follow when adopting supervisorial district boundaries; and creating a division of the Department of Elections to support the redistricting process; at an election to be held on November 5, 2024.

Regards,

**Richard Lagunte**  
**Office of the Clerk of the Board**  
**San Francisco Board of Supervisors**  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163  
[richard.lagunte@sfgov.org](mailto:richard.lagunte@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

Pronouns: he, him, his

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

**From:** [Flip Sarrow](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 11:54:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Flip Sarrow  
Email                              flipsarrow@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Elizabeth Sayed](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 10:32:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent Elizabeth Sayed  
Email [esayed@gmail.com](mailto:esayed@gmail.com)  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Ali Wunderman](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 10:28:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Ali Wunderman  
Email                              awunderman@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Beverley Talbott](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 10:00:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Beverley Talbott  
Email                              mbtalbott@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Floyd Santiago](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 9:54:52 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Floyd Santiago  
Email                              floydvsantiago@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Kai Chen](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 9:45:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Kai Chen  
Email                              projectconsignments@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Luke Perkocha](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 9:42:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Luke Perkocha  
Email                              luke3580@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Karina Velásquez](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 9:39:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Karina Velásquez  
Email                              Karinawinder@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Mark Dietrich](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 9:39:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Mark Dietrich  
Email                              markdietrichsf@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Terry Whalen](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 9:37:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Terry Whalen

Email                              terry@sumdigital.com

I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Vikram Gupta](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 9:24:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Vikram Gupta  
Email                              vkgsfca@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [John Cabaniss](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 7:18:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      John Cabaniss  
Email                              toads\_sulfur0a@icloud.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Lynne Sloan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 7:08:00 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Lynne Sloan  
Email                              lynnnesloan@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Andrea Kellerman](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 7:00:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Andrea Kellerman  
Email                              andrea.kellerman@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Meg Kammerud](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 6:11:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Meg Kammerud  
Email                              Mpirnie@stanfordalumni.org  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [David Nelson](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 4:28:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      David Nelson

Email                              davidcortland@gmail.com

I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Katrine Trampe](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:36:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Katrine Trampe  
Email                              trampekatrine@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Jordan Pappas](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 11:18:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Jordan Pappas  
Email                              jordanjpappas@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Anthony Fox](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 11:09:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Anthony Fox  
Email                              sftonyfox@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Davide Radaelli](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 10:30:43 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Davide Radaelli  
Email                              daviderady@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Dini Mehta](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 10:09:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Dini Mehta  
Email                              dini.mehta@yahoo.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Dennis Belogorsky](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 9:35:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Dennis Belogorsky  
Email                              dennis.belogorsky@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Max Young](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 9:30:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Max Young  
Email                              maxyoung@icloud.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Aaron Podolny](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 8:32:39 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Aaron Podolny  
Email                              apodolny@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Sid Tiwari](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 8:29:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Sid Tiwari  
Email                              sigmasid@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

PLEASE JUST STOP THE BULLSHIT. SF VOTERS ARE DONE WITH THIS CRAP AND THIS IS AN EMBARRASSING POWER GRAB THAT NEEDS TO STOP.

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Adam Judd](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 7:33:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Adam Judd  
Email                              adamgjudd@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [David Driver](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 7:21:27 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      David Driver  
Email                              davidrandolphdriver@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Erica Sandberg](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 6:43:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Erica Sandberg  
Email                              esandberg\_2000@yahoo.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Ryan Yousefi](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 6:03:49 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Ryan Yousefi

Email                              ryanousefi@gmail.com

I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Charlotte Worcester](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 5:36:40 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Charlotte Worcester  
Email                              beaubarlotte@yahoo.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Adrienne Hoyer](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 5:24:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Adrienne Hoyer  
Email                              amhoyer@sbcglobal.net  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [James Hoyer](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 5:24:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      James Hoyer

Email                              jnhoyer@sbcglobal.net

I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Zachary Beaver](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 4:53:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Zachary Beaver  
Email                              zbeaver4@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Mary Jung](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 4:30:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Mary Jung  
Email                              mary@sfrealtors.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [John Lee](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 4:24:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      John Lee  
Email                              jmlee128@yahoo.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [JON SCHWARK](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 4:21:37 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      JON SCHWARK

Email                              jscgm@yahoo.com

I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Evan Matteo](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 4:21:34 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Evan Matteo  
Email                              evan.matteo@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Adam Pensack](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 4:21:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Adam Pensack  
Email                              adampensack@yahoo.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Joshua March Cowan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 4:06:30 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Joshua March Cowan

Email                                 joshua.march@gmail.com

I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                                 Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Vivek Girotra](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 4:00:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Vivek Girotra  
Email                              vgirotra@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Ben Mathes](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 3:45:26 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Ben Mathes  
Email                              mathes.ben@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              You all look foolish if you let the foxes like peskin keep winning at such blatant anti-democratic, self-dealing moves.

(Form letter follows)

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again.

This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

---

**From:** [Erika Bricky](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 3:42:24 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Erika Bricky  
Email                              erikabricky@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

Best,

Erika Brickly

---

**From:** [Liz Le](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 3:39:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Liz Le  
Email                              elizle@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Craig Greenwood](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 3:39:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Craig Greenwood  
Email                              craig.gwood@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Richard Manso](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 3:36:27 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Richard Manso  
Email                              rmanso2016@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. Amazing a Democratic city like SF could undertake political actions as objectionable as the Republicans we complain about all the time. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. Gerrymandering isn't acceptable regardless of which party is executing this manipulative process. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Police Commission, the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute. Fourteen members is totally unworkable, sometimes more isn't better.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

---

**From:** [Minesh Lad](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 3:33:23 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Minesh Lad  
Email                              minesh.lad@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Michael Torres](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 3:15:25 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Michael Torres  
Email                              mtorres253@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Rahul Krishnakumar](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 3:12:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Rahul Krishnakumar  
Email                              rahul.v.krishnakumar@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Hessah Aljiran](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 3:03:38 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Hessah Aljiran

Email                              hessah.aljiran@gmail.com

I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Dennis Dunne](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 3:03:35 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Dennis Dunne  
Email                              dunnedf@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Heather Kirkpatrick](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 2:51:31 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Heather Kirkpatrick  
Email                              h.kirkpatrick3@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Matt Rolandson](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 2:51:30 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Matt Rolandson  
Email                              mattrolandson@mac.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Casey Winters](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 2:45:38 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Casey Winters  
Email                              caseywinters@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Logan Ford](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 2:45:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Logan Ford  
Email                              logan.ford16@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Kane Hsieh](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 2:39:28 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Kane Hsieh  
Email                              kane.hsieh@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Christopher Smeder](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 2:39:27 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Christopher Smeder  
Email                              Csmeder@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I am outraged. Please do not politicize a fair process to force an outcome those in power deem beneficial.

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to



hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Nick Salzman](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 2:39:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Nick Salzman  
Email                              salzman.nicklaus@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [David Handog](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 2:35:14 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      David Handog  
Email                              dhandog00@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Kaan Dogrusoz](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 2:34:04 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Kaan Dogrusoz  
Email                              kaan.dogrusoz@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Garry Tan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 2:23:48 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Garry Tan  
Email                              garrytan@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [jill\\_santos](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 12:06:44 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      jill santos  
Email                              jsantos1788@yahoo.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [jennifer.yan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 12:06:05 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      jennifer yan  
Email                              jennifer.yan@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Michael Siracusa](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 11:40:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Michael Siracusa  
Email                              mosiracusa1@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Yang Wang](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 11:24:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Yang Wang  
Email                              daniellew2012@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Leilani Mason](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 11:07:10 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Leilani Mason  
Email                              leilani@southsidesf.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Forrest Liu](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 10:23:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Forrest Liu  
Email                              forrest.liu@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Justin Sah](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 10:15:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Justin Sah

Email                                      thepigiscrying@gmail.com

I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Angie Yap](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 9:36:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Angie Yap  
Email                              ayhc69@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Cyn Wang](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 9:33:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Cyn Wang  
Email                              cyn@wangins.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Nancy Tung](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 9:24:22 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Nancy Tung  
Email                              nancy.tung@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Melissa Buckingham-Adams](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 9:12:10 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Melissa Buckingham-Adams  
Email                              melissabuckingham95@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [William Brega](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 9:09:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent William Brega

Email willbrega36@gmail.com

I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Martha Ehmann Conte](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 7:38:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Martha Ehmann Conte  
Email                              martha@ehmannconte.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Angela Tickler](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 10:31:39 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Angela Tickler  
Email                              angela.tickler@yahoo.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Louise Patterson](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 9:35:00 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Louise Patterson  
Email                              Imuhlfeld@aol.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Steve McDonagh](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 9:28:25 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Steve McDonagh  
Email                              stevemcd1422@pacbell.net  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Jeff King](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 8:09:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Jeff King  
Email                              jeff@jeffkingandco.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [renee tannenbaum](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 7:42:41 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      renee tannenbaum  
Email                              reneetbaum@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Margaret Parker](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 7:38:32 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Margaret Parker

Email                              parkmar@aol.com

I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Nora Rooney](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 7:37:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Nora Rooney

Email                              norarooney26@gmail.com

I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Stephen Lambe](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 7:18:07 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Stephen Lambe  
Email                              stephenlambe@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [John Grauel](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 5:33:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      John Grauel  
Email                              john@carbonrose.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Jim Irving](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 4:24:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Jim Irving  
Email                              jpirving@hotmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Susan Abbott](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 4:10:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Susan Abbott  
Email                              suzy.abbott.sf@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Jennie Lyons](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 3:36:21 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Jennie Lyons  
Email                              jlyonsEf@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Ted Getten](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 3:27:24 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Ted Getten  
Email                              ted.getten@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Molly Elliott](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 3:24:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Molly Elliott  
Email                              poncasue@aol.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Jay Elliott](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 3:24:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Jay Elliott  
Email                              jayelliott415@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Maureen Bitoff](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 3:22:39 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Maureen Bitoff

Email                              bitoff@att.net

I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [John Bitoff](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 3:21:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      John Bitoff  
Email                              bitoffj@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Mario Ramirez](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 3:18:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Mario Ramirez  
Email                              unesceptico@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Cullen Roche](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 3:06:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Cullen Roche  
Email                              cullen.roche1992@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Eamon Roche](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 3:06:18 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Eamon Roche  
Email                              eamon415roche@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Aislin Palladino](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 3:03:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Aislin Palladino  
Email                              aislin.palladino@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Dearan Roche](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 3:03:39 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Dearan Roche  
Email                              droche18@icloud.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [James O'sullivan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 3:03:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      James O'sullivan  
Email                              dblbirdy@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a "redistricting reform" proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Margaret Osullivan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 3:00:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Margaret Osullivan  
Email                              slatehouse@aol.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Hannora Roche](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 3:00:37 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Hannora Roche  
Email                              irishslate@aol.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Kevin Roche](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 3:00:30 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Kevin Roche  
Email                              krochemusic@aol.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Marina Roche](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 3:00:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Marina Roche  
Email                              marinaroche@icloud.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Dale Riehart](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 2:54:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Dale Riehart  
Email                              dale@daleriehart.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Meredith Dunn](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 2:48:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Meredith Dunn  
Email                              meredithcdunn@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Marina Franco](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 2:42:38 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Marina Franco  
Email                              stellafranco@hotmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Usha and John Burns](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 2:38:50 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Usha and John Burns  
Email                              Johnmburns48@yahoo.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Melissa Abbe](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 2:35:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Melissa Abbe  
Email                              mcabbe@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Anthony Fox](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 2:12:29 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Anthony Fox  
Email                              sftonyfox@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Karen Schwartz](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 1:57:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Karen Schwartz  
Email                              kielygomes@yahoo.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Mitchell Smith](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 1:53:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Mitchell Smith

Email                                  htimsm1@gmail.com

I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Ditka Reiner](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 1:44:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Ditka Reiner  
Email                              ditka@reinerassociates.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Dylan MacDonald](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 1:30:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Dylan MacDonald  
Email                              dylanmac@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Maureen Fox](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 1:24:43 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Maureen Fox

Email                              sffoxden@aol.com

I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Douglas DesCombaz](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 1:24:36 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Douglas DesCombaz

Email                              doug@descombaz.com

I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [don papa](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](#)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 1:24:33 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      don papa  
Email                              donsteven@yahoo.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Jose Medio](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 1:21:33 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Jose Medio  
Email                              josemedio@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Christina Pappas](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 1:21:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Christina Pappas  
Email                              scoutca66@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Robin McMillan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 1:21:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Robin McMillan  
Email                              rkmcmillan@viselect.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those



same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Paul Homchick](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 1:18:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Paul Homchick  
Email                              paul@endiston.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

I closely watched the 2021-2022 redistricting process. Although not perfect, it resulted in legal districts (within the legally mandated population variance) for the first time in decades.

There is nothing seriously wrong with the current process, and certainly nothing wrong enough to require a charter amendment stuffing the commission.

Vote NO on this ill advised charter amendment and start spending your time on public safety and doing something about the city's bloated budget.

Sincerely,

Paul Homchick

---

**From:** [Stephanie Lehman](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 1:15:25 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Stephanie Lehman  
Email                              slehman21@yahoo.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Chris Chang](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 1:12:41 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Chris Chang  
Email                              chriskchang@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Karina Velasquez](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 1:12:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Karina Velasquez

Email                              karinawinder@gmail.com

I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.



**From:** [Kate English](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 1:12:23 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Kate English  
Email                              kenglish1775@comcast.net  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Charlotte Worcester](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 1:07:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Charlotte Worcester  
Email                              beaubarlotte@yahoo.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Andrew Nadell](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 1:05:31 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Andrew Nadell

Email                              caius@caius.com

I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [CARYL ITO](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Monday, June 10, 2024 11:35:07 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      CARYL ITO  
Email                              carylito@aol.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In San Francisco, we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC, and a myriad of appointed and unaccountable non-profits. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run, and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.



**From:** [Alan Burradell](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com](mailto:sfneighborhoodgroup@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment  
**Date:** Monday, June 10, 2024 1:06:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors & Mayor

From your constituent      Alan Burradell  
Email                              alanburradell@gmail.com  
I live in District

### **I Oppose the Poorly Drafted and Politically Motivated Redistricting Charter Amendment**

Message:                              Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

The 2021-2022 redistricting process was successful, fair, transparent and most importantly resulted in legal districts for the first time in decades. Despite this, the groups that tried to derail our redistricting process are now hard at work trying to corrupt our process with a “redistricting reform” proposal similar to the one that was just rejected at the state level.

District maps from 2002 and 2012 were objectively illegal with variances reaching up to 18%, far more than the allowable +/- 1% (+/-5% in limited situations). In a word, prior to 2022 SF districts were gerrymandered. The 2022 process was robust and transparent, and resulted in objectively legal maps for the first time in decades.

The groups that were prevented from gerrymandering now seek to manipulate the process in order to politicize and gerrymander the map again. This unnecessary legislation is inconsistent with transparency and accountability to the public. It creates more conflict in an already polarized and crowded election year.

The Independent Redistricting Task Force listened to hundreds of individuals and dozens of communities to draw a fair map. In fact that was the reason that votes often occurred late into the night. Then those

same individuals who kept them late accused the Task Force of voting in secret. The hypocrisy here is apparent.

This proposed new Bureaucracy is unaccountable to the voters and open to manipulation. In SF we have witnessed issues of corruption on the Ethics Commission, the DPW, the PUC and a myriad of non-profits that are appointed and unaccountable. This proposed Charter Amendment will lead to more corruption and more division. Further, it will be expensive to set up, expensive to run and heavily dependent on consulting contracts to execute.

We need to continue to improve our redistricting process after every census cycle but please reject and vote NO on this ill-conceived proposal.

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** File Nos. 240547 and 240548 Commission Streamlining Task Force  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 3:36:57 PM  
**Attachments:** [240547 and 240548 84 Letters.pdf](#)

---

Dear Supervisors,

Please see attached 84 Letters regarding:

File No. 240547 - Charter Amendment (First Draft) to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to establish the Commission Streamlining Task Force charged with making recommendations to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors about ways to modify, eliminate, or combine the City's appointive boards and commissions to improve the administration of City government; require the City Attorney to prepare a Charter Amendment to implement the Task Force's recommendations relating to Charter commissions, for consideration by the Board of Supervisors; and authorize the Task Force to introduce an ordinance to effectuate its recommendations relating to appointive boards and commissions codified in the Municipal Code, which ordinance shall go into effect within 90 days unless rejected by a two-thirds vote of the Board of Supervisors; at an election to be held on November 5, 2024.

File No. 240548 - Charter Amendment (First Draft) to amend the Charter and the Municipal Code of the City and County of San Francisco to 1) establish the Commission Streamlining Task Force charged with making recommendations to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors about ways to modify, eliminate, or combine the City's appointive boards and commissions to improve the administration of City government; 2) require the City Attorney to prepare a Charter Amendment to implement the Task Force's recommendations relating to Charter commissions, for consideration by the Board of Supervisors; 3) authorize the Task Force to introduce an ordinance to effectuate its recommendations relating to appointive boards and commissions codified in the Municipal Code, which ordinance shall go into effect within 90 days unless rejected by a two-thirds vote of the Board of Supervisors; 4) remove from the Charter certain commissions that are purely advisory and move them to the Municipal Code; and 5) eliminate the Streets and Sanitation Commission and the Our Children Our Families Council; at an election to be held on November 5, 2024.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Voice (415) 554-7709 | Fax (415) 554-5163  
[richard.lagunte@sfgov.org](mailto:richard.lagunte@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**From:** [brilrudas@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:brilrudas@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Brizette Rudas](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 11:24:12 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Brizette Rudas

**From:** [brilrudas@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:brilrudas@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Brizette Rudas](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 10:56:01 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Brizette Rudas

**From:** [chrismbellman@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:chrismbellman@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Christian Bellman](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 10:47:04 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Christian Bellman

**From:** [corinnequigley@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:corinnequigley@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Corinne Quigley](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 10:35:30 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Corinne Quigley



**From:** [cmg215be@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:cmg215be@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Chance Goss](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 10:19:05 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Chance Goss

**From:** [sarinab@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:sarinab@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Sarina Kennerly](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 10:06:57 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Sarina Kennerly

**From:** [ndsnpal@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:ndsnpal@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Nancy Stiner](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 10:04:25 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Nancy Stiner

**From:** [terrystutton@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:terrystutton@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Terry Sutton](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 10:03:22 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Terry Sutton

**From:** [davepaley@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:davepaley@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Dave Paley](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 9:56:26 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Dave Paley

**From:** [makaye@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:makaye@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Matt Kaye](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 9:47:06 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Matt Kaye

**From:** [joe.sangirardi@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:joe.sangirardi@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Joe Sangirardi](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 9:46:24 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Joe Sangirardi

**From:** [kathmckeon@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:kathmckeon@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Kathleen McKeon](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 9:21:33 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen McKeon



**From:** [terry@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:terry@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Terry Whalen](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 9:16:15 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Terry Whalen

**From:** [carrie\\_mainelli@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:carrie_mainelli@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Carrie Mainelli](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 9:14:32 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Carrie Mainelli

**From:** [chelsbh@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:chelsbh@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Chelsea Harris](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 9:14:13 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Chelsea Harris

**From:** [CherylKarpowicz@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:CherylKarpowicz@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Cheryl Karpowicz](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 9:11:29 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Cheryl Karpowicz

**From:** [pattired12@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:pattired12@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Patti McMahon](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 9:09:37 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Patti McMahon

**From:** [artskrz@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:artskrz@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Michael Skrzypek](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 8:38:25 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Michael Skrzypek

**From:** [jrwxxxx@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:jrwxxxx@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [John Weiler](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 8:32:24 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
John Weiler

**From:** [dougneilson@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:dougneilson@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Doug Neilson](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 7:51:20 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Doug Neilson



**From:** [svetic@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:svetic@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Svetlana Istrati](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 7:43:05 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Svetlana Istrati

**From:** [sckase@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:sckase@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Susan Kase](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 7:12:56 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Susan Kase

**From:** [disp006@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:disp006@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Terrence McKenna](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 7:00:00 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Terrence McKenna

**From:** [drogers01@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:drogers01@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [David Rogers](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 6:36:15 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
David Rogers

**From:** [mdmsf2@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mdmsf2@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Mark Monte](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 6:12:35 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Mark Monte

**From:** [jimwittenbrook@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:jimwittenbrook@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [James Wittenbrook](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 5:43:34 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
James Wittenbrook

**From:** [lewislucas@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:lewislucas@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Timothy Lucas](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 3:37:08 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Timothy Lucas

**From:** [trampekatrine@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:trampekatrine@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Katrine Trampe](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 1:33:23 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Katrine Trampe



**From:** [urbyond@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:urbyond@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [R Ray](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 11:36:09 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
R Ray

**From:** [ronalbucher@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:ronalbucher@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Ron ALBUCHER](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 11:21:09 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Ron ALBUCHER

**From:** [m.s.rahimi@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:m.s.rahimi@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Mahdi Salmani Rahimi](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 10:45:15 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Mahdi Salmani Rahimi

**From:** [ddnunez29@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:ddnunez29@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Ruben Nunez](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 10:03:26 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Ruben Nunez

**From:** [tobiaswacker@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:tobiaswacker@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Tobias Wacker](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 9:49:39 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Tobias Wacker

**From:** [mja712@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mja712@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Michael Anders](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 9:41:32 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Michael Anders

**From:** [gmdecad@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:gmdecad@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Gary Decad](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 9:26:17 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Gary Decad

**From:** [kennycamp@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:kennycamp@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Kenneth Camp](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 9:23:51 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Kenneth Camp



**From:** [lauren@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:lauren@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Lauren Treichak](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 9:22:50 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Lauren Treichak

**From:** [kielygomes@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:kielygomes@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Karen Schwartz](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 9:15:16 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Karen Schwartz

**From:** [doug.mcmanaway@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:doug.mcmanaway@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Doug McManaway](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 8:51:40 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Doug McManaway

**From:** [htimsm1@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:htimsm1@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Mitchell Smith](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 8:24:57 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Mitchell Smith

**From:** [billalvarado@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:billalvarado@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Bill Alvarado](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 8:04:43 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Bill Alvarado

**From:** [jennifer5183798@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:jennifer5183798@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Jennifer Lewis](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 6:55:01 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Jennifer Lewis

**From:** [Clairelrankins@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:Clairelrankins@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Claire Lynette Rankins](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 6:37:11 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Claire Lynette Rankins

**From:** [timslomer@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:timslomer@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Timothy Slomer](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 6:33:59 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Timothy Slomer



**From:** [sptsantilis@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:sptsantilis@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Senta Tsantilis](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 5:37:08 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Senta Tsantilis

**From:** [dennismdaniel@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:dennismdaniel@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Dennis Daniel](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 5:06:10 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Dennis Daniel

**From:** [christinegonzalez0@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:christinegonzalez0@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Christine Gonzalez](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 4:55:04 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Christine Gonzalez

**From:** [carberrys@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:carberrys@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Karen Carberry](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 4:49:45 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Karen Carberry

**From:** [babzsf@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:babzsf@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Barbara Friedman](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 4:42:58 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Friedman

**From:** [tmsestak@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:tmsestak@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Toni Sestak](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 4:42:54 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Toni Sestak

**From:** [assis\\_netto@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:assis_netto@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Luiz Netto](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 4:37:16 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Luiz Netto

**From:** [annpoletti@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:annpoletti@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Ann Poletti](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 4:20:52 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Ann Poletti



**From:** [miranda.mckelvey@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:miranda.mckelvey@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Miranda McKelvey](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 4:18:45 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Miranda McKelvey

**From:** [david.p.mobley@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:david.p.mobley@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [David Mobley](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 4:07:49 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
David Mobley

**From:** [mkh521@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mkh521@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Mary Hanley](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 3:36:06 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Mary Hanley

**From:** [mrkevinharris@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mrkevinharris@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Kevin Harris](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 3:35:52 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Kevin Harris

**From:** [meh2135@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:meh2135@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Michael Hankin](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 3:15:41 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Michael Hankin

**From:** [mari.azizkhanian@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mari.azizkhanian@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Mari Azizkhanian](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 3:15:08 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Mari Azizkhanian

**From:** [davidspector@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:davidspector@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [David Spector](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 3:12:47 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
David Spector

**From:** [saba@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:saba@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Saba Heydayian](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 3:12:07 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Saba Heydayian



**From:** [Crosettim@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:Crosettim@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Marc Crosetti](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 3:12:01 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Marc Crosetti

**From:** [meredithbergman@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:meredithbergman@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Meredith Bergman](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 2:52:43 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Meredith Bergman

**From:** [jirving@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:jirving@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Jim Irving](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 2:47:39 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Jim Irving

**From:** [scot.conner@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:scot.conner@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Scot Conner](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 2:42:35 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Scot Conner

**From:** [vittoriajeancripps@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:vittoriajeancripps@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Victoria Cripps](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 2:41:58 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Victoria Cripps

**From:** [serogers@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:serogers@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Sarah Rogers](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 1:37:49 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Sarah Rogers

**From:** [lala.t.wu@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:lala.t.wu@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Lala Wu](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 1:28:34 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Lala Wu

**From:** [sdessaritz@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:sdessaritz@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Susan Desaritz](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 1:21:55 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Susan Desaritz



**From:** [ann.rummelhoff@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:ann.rummelhoff@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Annie Rummelhoff](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 12:03:07 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Annie Rummelhoff

**From:** [meghanbyrd2@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:meghanbyrd2@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Meghan Byrd](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 11:55:46 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Meghan Byrd

**From:** [hiamwinnie@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:hiamwinnie@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Winnie Zhang](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 11:51:46 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Winnie Zhang

**From:** [joaneneilson@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:joaneneilson@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Joan Neilson](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 11:20:50 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Joan Neilson

**From:** [barbarapletz4@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:barbarapletz4@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Barbara Pletz](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 11:14:54 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Pletz

**From:** [aferguson@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:aferguson@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Aisling Ferguson](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 11:09:53 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Aisling Ferguson

**From:** [ted.getten@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:ted.getten@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Ted Getten](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 11:08:20 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Ted Getten

**From:** [slehman21@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:slehman21@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Stephanie Lehman](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 10:48:51 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Stephanie Lehman



**From:** [melissabuckingham95@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:melissabuckingham95@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Melissa Buckingham-Adams](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 10:45:09 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Melissa Buckingham-Adams

**From:** [angela.tickler@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:angela.tickler@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Angela Tickler](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 10:41:07 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Angela Tickler

**From:** [thepigiscrying@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:thepigiscrying@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Justin Sah](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 10:15:06 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Justin Sah

**From:** [willbrega36@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:willbrega36@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [William Brega](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 10:14:54 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
William Brega

**From:** [forrest.liu@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:forrest.liu@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Forrest Liu](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 10:14:06 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Forrest Liu

**From:** [josh@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:josh@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Josh Raznick](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 9:48:44 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Josh Raznick

**From:** [rbwilson55@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:rbwilson55@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Russ Wilson](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 9:48:40 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Russ Wilson

**From:** [dillonshawnm@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:dillonshawnm@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Shawn Dillon](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** In opposition to File #240547, File #240548  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 9:48:22 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the recent "commission on commissions" charter amendment put forth by Board President Aaron Peskin, and urge you to vote against it.

Supervisor Peskin has done more than maybe any other elected official to break San Francisco's government—he can't be the one to fix it. His charter amendment doesn't guarantee any reduction in San Francisco's ~130 oversight commissions. It actually adds more bureaucracy, creating a completely unprecedented committee with a mandate to make new laws about commissions. As supervisors, I elected you to craft legislation. This is your job as a duly-elected representative for San Francisco. It would be incredibly disappointing to watch you delegate that fundamental authority to an unelected, unaccountable committee.

This charter amendment does nothing to improve city government or make it more effective. While I'm glad elected officials realize San Francisco needs reform, I hope you will vote in opposition to this hypocritical measure, and support real government reform in the future.

Sincerely,  
Shawn Dillon



# Item 16

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** File No. 240641 Urban Mixed Use 6 Letters  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 3:50:52 PM  
**Attachments:** [File NO. 240641 UMU 6 Letters.pdf](#)

---

Dear Supervisors,

Please see attached for 6 letters regarding:

File No. 240601 - Ordinance amending the Public Works Code to modify certain permit fees and other charges and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Voice (415) 554-7709 | Fax (415) 554-5163  
[richard.lagunte@sfgov.org](mailto:richard.lagunte@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**From:** [Imogen Doumani](#)  
**To:** [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Lab prohibition in UMU NOW  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 10:32:25 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Honorable Board Members,

I live in Dogpatch. I am writing in support of the legislation eliminating LABORATORY Uses in Urban Mixed Use (UMU).

I was born and raised in Dogpatch and have always enjoyed the community. I have lived here since the time when it wasn't a recognizable neighborhood and I had to say that I lived in Potrero Hill. The increase in restaurants, community spaces and housing has brought life and culture to our neighborhood, and I would hate to see its progress overshadowed by labs.

This zoning clarification will encourage housing and community serving uses, while propelling Lab uses *in appropriate locations*. Planning Code currently prohibits any Life Science uses in Urban Mixed Use (UMU) zoning at the same time it allows Laboratory uses. The distinction is unclear considering that nearly all current Laboratory uses involve biotechnology. Rather than assigning some murky analysis to distinguish between biotech and Life Science, the proposed legislation will eliminate any confusion or opportunity for misinterpretation.

Considering the ambitious goals in the Housing Element and relatively little land still available for development in the Eastern Neighborhoods, remaining opportunities for new housing must be protected.

I am generally in support of the construction of Laboratories and Life Science facilities and recognize the benefit to all of biotech innovation, but NOT in UMU-zoned parcels. Pier 70, the Power Station and Candlestick Point, along with ample PDR (Production Distribution Repair) land **offer thousands of square feet of purpose-built opportunities for laboratory and biotechnology development**. As a community we have supported and greatly look forward to these large planned developments coming to fruition as they will also provide much needed public benefits to our neighborhood.

**Lab use must be disallowed in UMU.** Here's why:

- HOUSING in CRITICAL NOW: We need housing in UMU NOT labs.
- SAFETY: The insularity of Labs create unsafe dead zones on street frontage,

particularly at night, no eyes on the street

- NOISE: 24/7 compressors and backup generator noise in Labs are not compatible with residential uses.
- UNFRIENDLY: ground floor uses in UMU are pedestrian friendly; Labs fail as they are opaque with no public sidewalk interface and no public access
- OPPORTUNITY COST TO COMMUNITY SERVICES & SMALL NEIGHBORHOOD BUSINESSES: Lab spec builds price out desperately needed neighborhood-serving uses.
- TOXIC: Biohazards & hazardous chemicals used in labs are dangerous in residential areas. Identifying laboratories as “non life science” while allowing biotech may mean that projects may evade regulation and proper oversight.
- Preserving mixed use zoning ensures ECONOMIC DIVERSITY AND RESILIENCE through economic downturns
- Encourage Lab uses at the Power Station, Pier 70 and Candlestick Park where they will help pay for affordable housing, open space and other PUBLIC BENEFITS

Sincerely,  
Imogen Doumani

**From:** [Donovan Lacy](#)  
**To:** [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please Support the Elimination of Laboratory Uses in Urban Mixed Use  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 8:30:53 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I want to thank you for your hard work in helping to maintain and improve our amazing city. I am writing to express my strong support for the legislation eliminating Laboratory Uses in Urban Mixed Use (UMU) areas.

I have been fortunate to have lived in Dogpatch for more than 13 years, where I am a homeowner with my wife and daughter. We love our community with its diverse mix of small and large residential developments, local businesses and parks and green space. This diversity enabled our neighborhood not only to survive but to thrive through the economic turbulence that was created by the COVID Pandemic.

Laboratory developments discourage these types of diverse activities and lead to ground floors that are devoid of street and sidewalk activity creating dead zones in what would otherwise be safe and vibrant urban places.

As a city we are facing a dramatic housing shortage with relatively little land still available for development, our remaining opportunities for new housing must be protected.

The Planning Code currently prohibits any Life Science uses in Urban Mixed Use (UMU) zoning yet it allows Laboratory uses. This zoning clarification will encourage housing and community-serving uses, while helping to facilitate Lab uses in appropriate locations, like Pier 70, the Power Station and the Candlestick Developments. All projects that our community has actively supported, and look forward to being completed.

Please vote in favor of this much needed clarification to the Planning Code.

Sincerely,

Donovan Lacy  
Dogpatch Resident

**From:** [Sally Sharrock](#)  
**To:** [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please Support the Elimination of Lab Uses in UMU  
**Date:** Monday, June 10, 2024 10:37:43 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Honorable Board Members,

I live in Dogpatch with my husband and 7 year old daughter and I am writing to support the legislation eliminating Laboratory uses in Urban Mixed Use (UMU).

One of the reasons that I chose to move to Dogpatch more than a dozen years ago and to remain in San Francisco to raise my family, is the vibrant and diverse nature of our neighborhood. I love that our neighborhood includes single and multifamily houses, restaurants and bars, like the Dogpatch Saloon and Piccino, and parks and open spaces, including Esprit and Progress Park. Laboratory developments decrease these types of diverse activities and lead to ground floors that are devoid of street and sidewalk activity and discourage a sense of community.

As a city we are facing a dramatic housing shortage with relatively little land still available for development in the Eastern Neighborhoods, our remaining opportunities for new housing must be protected.

The Planning Code currently prohibits any Life Science uses in Urban Mixed Use (UMU) zoning yet it allows Laboratory uses. This zoning clarification will encourage housing and community-serving uses, while helping to facilitate Lab uses in appropriate locations, like Pier 70, Power Station and Candlestick Developments. All projects that our community has actively supported, and look forward to being completed.

Please vote in favor of this much needed clarification to the Planning Code.

Sincerely,

Sally Sharrock  
Dogpatch resident

**From:** [REBECCA Groves](#)  
**To:** [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** UMU and Lab use: Land Use Commission Hearing  
**Date:** Monday, June 10, 2024 4:43:56 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Honorable Board Members,

I live in Dogpatch and am writing to support legislation eliminating laboratory uses in San Francisco's Urban Mixed-Use (UMU) areas.

This zoning clarification will encourage housing and community-serving uses while propelling lab uses in appropriate locations. The Planning Code currently prohibits any "life science" uses in UMU zoning but allows "laboratory" uses. The distinction between life sciences and laboratory use is unclear, considering that most current laboratory uses involve biotechnology. Rather than assigning some murky analysis to distinguish between biotech and life sciences, the proposed legislation will eliminate any confusion or opportunity for misinterpretation.

Given the pressing housing crisis in the Eastern Neighborhoods and the ambitious goals set in the Housing Element, it is crucial that we protect the remaining opportunities for new housing. The urgency of this matter cannot be overstated.

I am not opposed to the construction of laboratories and life science facilities and recognize the universal benefit of biotech innovation. However, I believe that UMU-zoned parcels are not the appropriate locations for such facilities. Pier 70, the Power Station, Candlestick Point, and ample PDR (Production Distribution Repair) land **offer thousands of square feet of properly zoned laboratory and biotechnology development opportunities**. As a community, Dogpatch has supported and greatly looks forward to these neighboring large planned developments coming to fruition, as they will provide numerous much-needed public benefits to our entire area.

**Lab use must be disallowed in UMU.** Here's why:

- **HOUSING is CRITICAL NOW:** We need housing in UMU, NOT labs.
- **SAFETY:** The insularity of labs creates unsafe dead zones along the street frontage, particularly at night. Residents, business owners, staff, and visitors need safe access to homes and businesses in UMU neighborhoods.
- **NOISE:** 24/7 compressors and backup generators from labs impose excessive levels of noise pollution on residents.
- **UNFRIENDLY:** UMU ground-floor uses aim to be pedestrian-friendly, whereas labs

are opaque without public sidewalk interfaces or public access.

- **OPPORTUNITY COST TO COMMUNITY SERVICES & SMALL NEIGHBORHOOD BUSINESSES:** Lab speculation builds price out desperately needed neighborhood-contributing uses.
- **TOXIC:** Biohazards and hazardous chemicals used in labs are dangerous in residential areas, small business corridors, and near schools. Identifying laboratories as “non-life science” while allowing biotech may mean that some projects end up evading regulation and proper oversight and putting people in the neighborhood at risk.
- Preserving mixed-use zoning ensures **ECONOMIC DIVERSITY AND RESILIENCE** through economic downturns.
- Opportunities for lab uses are welcome and plentiful at the Power Station, Pier 70, and Candlestick Park, where they can help pay for affordable housing development, open space, and other **PUBLIC BENEFITS**.

Thank you very much for your attention to these concerns. I hope that you will support legislation to eliminate laboratory uses in San Francisco's UMU zones.

Sincerely,  
Rebecca Groves

**From:** [Katherine Doumani](#)  
**To:** [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Fix the Lab Loophole - Support for Prohibiting Laboratory Uses in UMU Zoning Districts  
**Date:** Monday, June 10, 2024 11:34:23 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Honorable Board Members,

I am a 24-year resident of Dogpatch. I am writing in support of the legislation eliminating LABORATORY Uses in Urban Mixed Use (UMU).

This zoning clarification is critical for encouraging housing and community-serving uses, while allowing Lab uses *in optimal locations*.

Pier 70, the Power Station and Candlestick Point, along with ample PDR (Production Distribution Repair)-zoned land **offer thousands of square feet of purpose-built opportunities for laboratory and biotechnology development.**

I have lived here since 2001 and have actively supported and now greatly look forward to these large planned developments coming to fruition. They will also provide much needed public benefits to our neighborhood.

**However, Lab use *must be disallowed in UMU*.** Here's why:

- HOUSING in CRITICAL NOW: We need housing in UMU NOT labs.
- SAFETY: The insularity of Labs create unsafe dead zones on street frontage, particularly at night, no eyes on the street
- NOISE: 24/7 compressors and backup generator noise in Labs are not compatible with residential uses.
- UNFRIENDLY: ground floor uses in UMU are pedestrian friendly; Labs fail as they are opaque with no public sidewalk interface and no public access
- OPPORTUNITY COST TO COMMUNITY SERVICES & SMALL NEIGHBORHOOD BUSINESSES: Lab spec builds price out desperately needed neighborhood-serving uses.
- TOXIC: Biohazards & hazardous chemicals used in labs are dangerous in residential areas.
- Preserving mixed use zoning ensures ECONOMIC DIVERSITY AND RESILIENCE through economic downturns
- Encourage Lab uses at the Power Station, Pier 70 and Candlestick Park where they will help pay for affordable housing, open space and other PUBLIC BENEFITS

I fully recognize the benefit of biotech innovation and support the construction of Laboratories and Life Science facilities, **but in the right location**-- NOT in UMU-zoned parcels.



Sincerely,  
Katherine Doumani

**From:** [Barb Fritz](#)  
**To:** [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Lab prohibition in UMU now!  
**Date:** Sunday, June 9, 2024 7:36:08 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Honorable Board Members,

I live in Dogpatch; I've been a property owner here and neighborhood volunteer since 2014. I love and value the diversity of my neighborhood; it has been key in my decision to remain a homeowner living in San Francisco. I am writing to support the legislation *eliminating* LABORATORY uses in Urban Mixed Use (UMU).

This zoning clarification will encourage housing and community-serving uses, while propelling Lab uses *in appropriate locations*. Planning Code currently prohibits any Life Science uses in Urban Mixed Use (UMU) zoning yet it allows Laboratory uses. The distinction is unclear, considering that nearly all current Laboratory uses involve biotechnology. Rather than assigning some murky terminology to distinguish between biotech and Life Science, the proposed legislation will eliminate any confusion or opportunity for misinterpretation.

Given our ambitious goals for new housing and relatively little land still available for development in the Eastern Neighborhoods, remaining opportunities for new housing must be protected.

I generally support the construction of Laboratories and Life Science facilities and recognize the benefit to all of biotech innovation, but NOT in UMU-zoned parcels. Pier 70, the Power Station and Candlestick Point, along with ample PDR (Production Distribution Repair) land offer hundreds of thousands of square feet of purpose-built opportunities for laboratory and biotechnology development. As a community, we support and greatly look forward to these large planned developments coming to fruition, as they will also provide much-needed public benefit to our neighborhood.

**Lab use must be disallowed in UMU.** Here's why:

- HOUSING is CRITICAL NOW: We need housing in UMU, NOT labs.
- SAFETY: The insularity of Labs creates unsafe 'dead zones' on street frontage, particularly at night, with no eyes on the street
- NOISE: 24/7 compressors and backup generator noise in Labs are not compatible with residential uses.

- UNFRIENDLY: ground floor uses in UMU are pedestrian friendly; Labs are often opaque with no public sidewalk interface and no public access
- OPPORTUNITY COST TO COMMUNITY SERVICES & SMALL NEIGHBORHOOD BUSINESSES: Lab spec builds price out desperately needed neighborhood-serving uses.
- TOXIC: Biohazards & hazardous chemicals used in labs are dangerous in residential areas. Identifying laboratories as “non-life-science” while allowing biotech may mean that projects can evade regulation and proper oversight.
- Preserving mixed-use zoning ensures ECONOMIC DIVERSITY AND RESILIENCE through economic downturns
- Encourage Lab uses at the Power Station, Pier 70 and Candlestick Park where they will help pay for affordable housing, open space and other PUBLIC BENEFITS

Sincerely,

Barb Fritz  
1280 Minnesota Street  
San Francisco



**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Proposed Legislation: Laboratory Uses in Urban Mixed Use (UMU)  
**Date:** Friday, June 7, 2024 12:11:00 PM

---

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding Urban Mixed Use neighborhoods.

Regards,

John Bullock  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisor  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-5184  
[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

-----Original Message-----

From: Michael Berkowitz <[maberkow@gmail.com](mailto:maberkow@gmail.com)>  
Sent: Thursday, June 6, 2024 8:00 AM  
To: Chan, Connie (BOS) <[connie.chan@sfgov.org](mailto:connie.chan@sfgov.org)>; Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <[matt.dorsey@sfgov.org](mailto:matt.dorsey@sfgov.org)>; Engardio, Joel (BOS) <[joel.engardio@sfgov.org](mailto:joel.engardio@sfgov.org)>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <[rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org](mailto:rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org)>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <[myrna.melgar@sfgov.org](mailto:myrna.melgar@sfgov.org)>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <[aaron.peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.peskin@sfgov.org)>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <[dean.preston@sfgov.org](mailto:dean.preston@sfgov.org)>; Ronen, Hillary (BOS) <[hillary.ronen@sfgov.org](mailto:hillary.ronen@sfgov.org)>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <[ahsha.safai@sfgov.org](mailto:ahsha.safai@sfgov.org)>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <[catherine.stefani@sfgov.org](mailto:catherine.stefani@sfgov.org)>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <[shamann.walton@sfgov.org](mailto:shamann.walton@sfgov.org)>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>  
Subject: Proposed Legislation: Laboratory Uses in Urban Mixed Use (UMU)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Honorable Board Members,

I am a resident of Dogpatch who lives on Minnesota St. with back-window views and within earshot of a Biolab facility on Indiana St. I am writing in support of the legislation eliminating LABORATORY Uses in Urban Mixed Use (UMU).

Eliminating LABORATORY uses in UMU will provide space for necessary housing and encourage crucial neighborhood-serving uses. This zoning clarification will also propel Lab uses in appropriate locations. The

Planning Code currently prohibits any Life Science uses in Urban Mixed Use (UMU) zoning at the same time it allows Laboratory uses. The distinction is unclear considering that nearly all current Laboratory uses involve Biotechnology. Rather than assigning some murky and potentially inconsistent and contradictory analysis to distinguish between Biotech and Life Science, the proposed legislation will eliminate any confusion or opportunity for misinterpretation.

Considering the ambitious goals in San Francisco's Housing Element and the relatively small amount of remaining land available for development in the Eastern Neighborhoods, opportunities for new housing must be protected.

I support construction of Laboratories and Life Science facilities where properly located. Additionally, I acknowledge the benefit of Biotech innovation, but I do not support the placement of Biotech Laboratories in UMU-zoned parcels. Pier 70, the Power Station and Candlestick Point, along with ample PDR (Production Distribution Repair) land offer thousands of square feet of purpose-built opportunities for laboratory and biotechnology development. As a community, we have supported and embraced, and greatly look forward to these large planned developments coming to fruition as they will also provide much needed public benefits to our neighborhood.

Lab use must be disallowed in UMU. Here's why:

- \* **HOUSING is CRITICAL NOW:** We need housing in UMU and NOT labs.
- \* **SAFETY:** The insularity of Labs creates unsafe dead zones on street frontage, particularly at night, no eyes on the street.
- \* **NOISE:** 24/7 compressors and backup generator noise in Labs are not compatible with residential uses - I frequently hear the compressors from the Biolab facility on Indiana St.
- \* **VISUAL BLIGHT:** Lighted signage and flood lights impair the quality of life of neighbors - I had to purchase several expensive shades to block out the light emanating from the Biolab facility on Indiana St.
- \* **UNFRIENDLY:** ground floor uses in UMU should be pedestrian friendly; Labs fail as they are opaque with no public sidewalk interface and no public access.
- \* **OPPORTUNITY COST TO COMMUNITY SERVICES & SMALL NEIGHBORHOOD BUSINESS:** Real estate investment in Laboratory spaces prices out desperately needed neighborhood-serving uses.
- \* **TOXIC:** Biohazards & hazardous chemicals used in labs are dangerous in residential areas. Identifying laboratories as "non life science" while allowing biotech may mean that projects may evade regulation and proper oversight.

Preserving mixed use zoning ensures **ECONOMIC DIVERSITY AND RESILIENCE** through economic downturns.

There is a nearby alternative location for Laboratory development:

- \* Encourage Lab uses at the Power Station, Pier 70 and Candlestick Park where they will help pay for affordable housing, open space and other **PUBLIC BENEFITS**.

I respectfully encourage you all to pass the legislation eliminating **LABORATORY** Uses in Urban Mixed Use (UMU).

Sincerely,

Michael Berkowitz

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** e-bike purchase/lease incentive program - 56 letters  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 3:59:55 PM  
**Attachments:** [ebikes 56 letters.pdf](#)

---

Dear Supervisors,

Please see attached for 56 letters regarding an e-bike purchase/lease incentive program.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163  
[richard.lagunte@sfgov.org](mailto:richard.lagunte@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

Pronouns: he, him, his

*Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [kevin\\_williams](mailto:kevin_williams@sf.gov)  
To: [Board of Supervisors @SF](mailto:Board of Supervisors @SF)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 11:18:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHGyOmE6ozpMfmQwNwQzOWVIZT12ZWVzJE4MjdOTNNDgwOTIMTuzOmlMwMmG6YfY0Mzk4OGU0ODRjNzVkMWVhZkZlZDM1MwZkxNjYzYzNlMjU5MzJhMmVhY2VjOTQwZlY1MzI0MDh0ODp0OjQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/____https://eBikeSF.org____YXAzOnNmZHGyOmE6ozpMfmQwNwQzOWVIZT12ZWVzJE4MjdOTNNDgwOTIMTuzOmlMwMmG6YfY0Mzk4OGU0ODRjNzVkMWVhZkZlZDM1MwZkxNjYzYzNlMjU5MzJhMmVhY2VjOTQwZlY1MzI0MDh0ODp0OjQ)

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

kevin williams  
kevingw1@gmail.com  
41 Lakeforest Ct  
San Francisco, California 94117

**From:** [Steven Solomon](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 9:25:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org. I already have a good e-bike and it is super convenient for daily transportation. I am asked about it frequently by people interested in it. Unfortunately interest often quickly cools when they ask about prices. This incentive program would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpmQWU3Yzk2MTM3MmY2MjU2YjhmWU5MTQ0MGZzZWY1YjZ0JzMGY6Mjc0YWM5ZDk4NDk5MjhhYkYUwYwYyZwVNDNkzNmNWE2MTQ3OTQwMmUwYjVIMDNiN2UxMDY4MWQyNmZlMjM5ZTpr0QIQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/____https://eBikeSF.org____YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpmQWU3Yzk2MTM3MmY2MjU2YjhmWU5MTQ0MGZzZWY1YjZ0JzMGY6Mjc0YWM5ZDk4NDk5MjhhYkYUwYwYyZwVNDNkzNmNWE2MTQ3OTQwMmUwYjVIMDNiN2UxMDY4MWQyNmZlMjM5ZTpr0QIQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Steven Solomon  
[wiseguy908@hotmail.com](mailto:wiseguy908@hotmail.com)  
727 San Bruno Ave  
San Francisco, California 94107



**From:** [Matthew Cooper](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors - Board](#)  
**Subject:** Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 8:59:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpNjU4ZmFmYWQ5MmQ4MjA4YTBINmI2YWEwZWFiZjFkNzozOmMzMDI6MzI2NzZiWE4YThMDQzMzdHMTc1OWQ3NTQ1YmJhM2Y3YTFkMzZiODAxOTUzN2U0ZGYyMDQ0MDkzMtAzODVIMzp0OIQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpNjU4ZmFmYWQ5MmQ4MjA4YTBINmI2YWEwZWFiZjFkNzozOmMzMDI6MzI2NzZiWE4YThMDQzMzdHMTc1OWQ3NTQ1YmJhM2Y3YTFkMzZiODAxOTUzN2U0ZGYyMDQ0MDkzMtAzODVIMzp0OIQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Matthew Cooper  
me@matt.coop  
101 Polk St., #907, San Francisco, CA  
San Francisco, California 94102

From: [Kelly Pagano](#)  
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOB\)](#)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Wednesday, June 12, 2024 1:43:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHGyOmE6bzoxMDE5NGl2MTgwZDkzZTkwODg3YmQ1YVY5MWUwNmZjMTc2OjY0Dg6YzM1NDBkNjYzMTM2ZjE4M2VhMzdmNWw4NzU0YzNmMmUzYzYk4YVWYyYmlyN2Q3NzY5OTZjMTM3YmQ5MzJjZjY0MjY0OjQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHGyOmE6bzoxMDE5NGl2MTgwZDkzZTkwODg3YmQ1YVY5MWUwNmZjMTc2OjY0Dg6YzM1NDBkNjYzMTM2ZjE4M2VhMzdmNWw4NzU0YzNmMmUzYzYk4YVWYyYmlyN2Q3NzY5OTZjMTM3YmQ5MzJjZjY0MjY0OjQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.  
Kelly Pagano

Kelly Pagano  
kpagano13@gmail.com  
619 41st Ave  
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Anne Gifford](#)  
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOB\)](#)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Wednesday, June 12, 2024 12:23:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at [eBikeSF.org](#), which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOhNmZHQyOmE6bz3Y2M3ZDQ5MWhwNTImNzE0OGYhNzAzOjRkOTImYjN0Dc2OjQ4YWQ6Mdc3MTY2OGYwNjhZDAwYRiRiNGUwMmRhMzNmZjRmZTU0NjVhMTI5YjhhNzY2Y5MWRMmRhZDBkNDk0GUwMTp0OjQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOhNmZHQyOmE6bz3Y2M3ZDQ5MWhwNTImNzE0OGYhNzAzOjRkOTImYjN0Dc2OjQ4YWQ6Mdc3MTY2OGYwNjhZDAwYRiRiNGUwMmRhMzNmZjRmZTU0NjVhMTI5YjhhNzY2Y5MWRMmRhZDBkNDk0GUwMTp0OjQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at [eBikeSF.org](#), which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Anne Gifford  
[anne.m.gifford@gmail.com](mailto:anne.m.gifford@gmail.com)  
337 Fulton Street, Apt 42, San Francisco CA  
San Francisco, California 94102



From: [Lydia Hryshchyshyn](mailto:Lydia.Hryshchyshyn)  
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOSS\)](#)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Wednesday, June 12, 2024 10:52:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzozNjk1NTI4MTk0ZTFkZTA0ODNiYWwMmNiYjk1YWE1Mj02Ojg4ZjM6MjgyOTRjZjZGNmMDE5Yz04M2RjOTU1NTJhZ05MzkZMGJhM2ZlZnRhY2E1NmJkOTBmYTA1ODAyYmI3ZTc1ZTp0Oj0](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzozNjk1NTI4MTk0ZTFkZTA0ODNiYWwMmNiYjk1YWE1Mj02Ojg4ZjM6MjgyOTRjZjZGNmMDE5Yz04M2RjOTU1NTJhZ05MzkZMGJhM2ZlZnRhY2E1NmJkOTBmYTA1ODAyYmI3ZTc1ZTp0Oj0).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Lydia Hryshchyshyn  
lhrysh@gmail.com  
640 Post St #502  
San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Ryan Browne](#)  
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Wednesday, June 12, 2024 9:50:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.lavanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnHnZHqyOmE6bzowMWFJZTU3MTRhMQ1MjNiOWYzZj44YTY1M2Y2ml0Zj02OmQyZTU6MjVlYkYzU5NDBjMDAwN2UyZWZlYTBkMDI4ODM1MWFhMDY3ZDkyYjY4MTBkOGExOTJhNjNjNGQ1MTgxMmUzNTcyNj00Oj](https://url.lavanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnHnZHqyOmE6bzowMWFJZTU3MTRhMQ1MjNiOWYzZj44YTY1M2Y2ml0Zj02OmQyZTU6MjVlYkYzU5NDBjMDAwN2UyZWZlYTBkMDI4ODM1MWFhMDY3ZDkyYjY4MTBkOGExOTJhNjNjNGQ1MTgxMmUzNTcyNj00Oj)

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Ryan Browne  
rbrowne@gmail.com  
224 Day Street  
San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Brittany C](#)  
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOC\)](#)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Tuesday, June 11, 2024 3:43:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOhNnZHQyOmE6bz4NzJlnzU4ZVWY1M2Q2NjdZDUJnZyZDE5NGEYyZzE4ZDc0OjY0NjQ6MWEeMTMxMmNmMDE1OGVjNjYzMDk2Nm3NjZmZTcwYzEwZjY1ODgwYzMxMTFmZDpxYjdhZGY1MzA4NjUxMTFwZTpr00IQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOhNnZHQyOmE6bz4NzJlnzU4ZVWY1M2Q2NjdZDUJnZyZDE5NGEYyZzE4ZDc0OjY0NjQ6MWEeMTMxMmNmMDE1OGVjNjYzMDk2Nm3NjZmZTcwYzEwZjY1ODgwYzMxMTFmZDpxYjdhZGY1MzA4NjUxMTFwZTpr00IQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Brittany C  
teeets@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94118

**From:** [Nic Baird](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOB\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 2:00:42 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at

[https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo4YTE4NGQzNjRmNzA0YmZmMWEwMzVhbnNmQ4Mjg5ZjQzOmYzZDM6Zjc4NTMzZDBlOTMwM2NkNTA2YVFMMTk4NDU5MTEyNWESM2UjMGNlMmIwMDkyYjg5NWQyYmIxYTEzMyY0YjY0YjY0OjQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo4YTE4NGQzNjRmNzA0YmZmMWEwMzVhbnNmQ4Mjg5ZjQzOmYzZDM6Zjc4NTMzZDBlOTMwM2NkNTA2YVFMMTk4NDU5MTEyNWESM2UjMGNlMmIwMDkyYjg5NWQyYmIxYTEzMyY0YjY0YjY0OjQ)

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Nic Baird  
nebaird.sail@gmail.com  
754 San Bruno Avenue  
San Francisco, California 94107



**From:** [Sara Greenwald](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BO\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 1:16:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at [eBikeSF.org](https://eBikeSF.org). This simple program would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

As you know, helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes will decrease all sorts of problems like car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership such as fewer health costs and less demand on road infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/vZ/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bz0ZTjY2JyMDI2YzZjOwRmNGIwYzA4ZjZlZjE5ZjNhNzo2OjAyMTM6ZTI4MjFjMjI0OGNhMjJkOTc5N2FjZDc5ZjgyMjMwMzY2Mjk4ZThhMjAyYmM5MDZhNTMzZjhmZjhmQ2ZTp0OjQ](https://url.avanan.click/vZ/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bz0ZTjY2JyMDI2YzZjOwRmNGIwYzA4ZjZlZjE5ZjNhNzo2OjAyMTM6ZTI4MjFjMjI0OGNhMjJkOTc5N2FjZDc5ZjgyMjMwMzY2Mjk4ZThhMjAyYmM5MDZhNTMzZjhmZjhmQ2ZTp0OjQ). Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Sara Greenwald  
[saragreenwald2@gmail.com](mailto:saragreenwald2@gmail.com)  
1323A Lyon St.  
San Francisco, California 94115

From: [Amer Sinha](#)  
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOC\)](#)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Tuesday, June 11, 2024 10:54:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_yXAzOnNmZHQyOmEBzoz3NjQ1ZjdkOWJjMTRkYzRhMGEwNzU2ZTEyYWM0MzdhMj02Oj1ZDA6MmYzOWVmMDY3N2RmM2VjYzIzEzNWI5MjJMTc4ZTE2YjVmMWJlNjVIM2IxbzJmNmYzJiOTdMjFmNzQjYTp0OjQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___yXAzOnNmZHQyOmEBzoz3NjQ1ZjdkOWJjMTRkYzRhMGEwNzU2ZTEyYWM0MzdhMj02Oj1ZDA6MmYzOWVmMDY3N2RmM2VjYzIzEzNWI5MjJMTc4ZTE2YjVmMWJlNjVIM2IxbzJmNmYzJiOTdMjFmNzQjYTp0OjQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Amer Sinha  
amersinha@gmail.com  
1095 Pacheco Street  
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Clare Grady](#)  
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOSS\)](#)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Tuesday, June 11, 2024 9:39:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmEBbzpINDlyNmNlYzBHOGRhMGQ5N2ZmN2Y2OW1xMzdlZWJhZjo2OjZjZTM6ZjhmZjRkZTdkNjM1ODIyN2U4ZDg2ZTNlYUxZTM0ZjMyOGMwNjNhYzg5NDBlZDk1MWFOTAxODgyYmNMTG4Np0OjQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmEBbzpINDlyNmNlYzBHOGRhMGQ5N2ZmN2Y2OW1xMzdlZWJhZjo2OjZjZTM6ZjhmZjRkZTdkNjM1ODIyN2U4ZDg2ZTNlYUxZTM0ZjMyOGMwNjNhYzg5NDBlZDk1MWFOTAxODgyYmNMTG4Np0OjQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Clare Grady  
clare.eiluned@gmail.com  
1852 Divisadero St  
San Francisco, California 94115

From: [James Grady](#)  
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOB\)](#)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Tuesday, June 11, 2024 9:37:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE8bzpmY2NmMzJkNjY3OWZlMjU3ZGh0MzMyZWVlMmVjM2E3NjQzOjNkYjU6NWUyNGl0YzE4N2k0NGEzYzVlMGEyYTZhZmUzMzQ5ZWQzYzVmNDk4M2M5ZjBMDVh0DAxYmZlYThmZWVhMTdldmVpOQIQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE8bzpmY2NmMzJkNjY3OWZlMjU3ZGh0MzMyZWVlMmVjM2E3NjQzOjNkYjU6NWUyNGl0YzE4N2k0NGEzYzVlMGEyYTZhZmUzMzQ5ZWQzYzVmNDk4M2M5ZjBMDVh0DAxYmZlYThmZWVhMTdldmVpOQIQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you,

James

James Grady  
jmsgrady@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94115

**From:** [Laura Yakovenko](mailto:laura.yakovenko@sf.gov)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors - 0900](mailto:Board of Supervisors - 0900)  
**Subject:** Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 4:24:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHGyOmE6bz01YzkyYU4NmMsMTdlnzQ3MDdmOGE5OTFmZDMzN2JhOTc2OmI4MWQ6NGM3ZDE3ZDNhZDhJhNTQ0ZmU3NjgyYTBiMTdkOTE3ODUxZWFRYjY1ZjA3MmlzYzcyYmNmMwY4OGVhbnRlY2Nj00IG](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHGyOmE6bz01YzkyYU4NmMsMTdlnzQ3MDdmOGE5OTFmZDMzN2JhOTc2OmI4MWQ6NGM3ZDE3ZDNhZDhJhNTQ0ZmU3NjgyYTBiMTdkOTE3ODUxZWFRYjY1ZjA3MmlzYzcyYmNmMwY4OGVhbnRlY2Nj00IG).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Laura Yakovenko

Laura Yakovenko  
lauraeskelton@gmail.com  
840 Lake St Apt 1  
San Francisco, California 94118

**From:** [Dave Rhody](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
**Date:** Monday, June 10, 2024 2:41:14 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at [eBikeSF.org](http://eBikeSF.org), which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program will reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity.

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at [eBikeSF.org](http://eBikeSF.org).

Thank you.

Dave Rhody  
[dave@rhodyco.com](mailto:dave@rhodyco.com)  
1594 45th Ave.  
San Francisco, California 94122



**From:** [Andres Mora](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors - BOB](#)  
**Subject:** Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
**Date:** Monday, June 10, 2024 12:38:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I purchased a ebike 2 months ago and it has replaced 100% of my 14mile commute to work. It's amazing how much trip shifting away from cars an ebike opens up. Based on studies and other countries examples, it seems that ebike subsidies and safe cycling infrastructure would make a much larger impact to climate and transportation goals vs. things like electric car subsidies that do nothing to help with reducing cars on our streets and increases car weight making our streets more dangerous for everyone.

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at

[https://url.lavanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpkY211MDJlOWQyN2ZlOWZkMDFhYzFhMGxkNGQ5MDJmOTo2OmJmNTU6MDgwMwQ5ZDZlZmVhNTI4YjMzZDE5N2ZmMmUxYjk3YjRlMj00Oj0](https://url.lavanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpkY211MDJlOWQyN2ZlOWZkMDFhYzFhMGxkNGQ5MDJmOTo2OmJmNTU6MDgwMwQ5ZDZlZmVhNTI4YjMzZDE5N2ZmMmUxYjk3YjRlMj00Oj0)

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Andres Mora  
mora07801@gmail.com  
158 Eastridge Cir  
Pasadena, California 94044



From: [Elizabeth Siegle](#)  
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOC\)](#)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Monday, June 10, 2024 10:11:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzoz4ZDhkNTMxZDNIYTVNzMyZGUxMjQwMzY2NTJhOGM2MDozOmQ0OTI6NWUyNjIOTkzNjhmNTgwY2EwNDdJmY1ZWY3OGU2MTISNTimYjk4YTcxOWFjMzZjkwMTdhZmI1YjI1ZGUzYzpoOjQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzoz4ZDhkNTMxZDNIYTVNzMyZGUxMjQwMzY2NTJhOGM2MDozOmQ0OTI6NWUyNjIOTkzNjhmNTgwY2EwNDdJmY1ZWY3OGU2MTISNTimYjk4YTcxOWFjMzZjkwMTdhZmI1YjI1ZGUzYzpoOjQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Elizabeth Siegle  
lizzie.siegle@gmail.com  
1177 California St  
San Francisco, California 94108

From: [Megan Grant](#)  
To: [Board of Supervisors - BOD](#)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Monday, June 10, 2024 9:58:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at [eBikeSF.org](#), which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at

[https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnkMZHQyOmE6bz2MzY2NmYwMGU4MjlmYTEyMwQ3ZGI1ZTNkZTk3MzgwMDc2OmY5NDc0MjUxNzcc0ZTE3YTNOODg5NjExM2JkNDg1MDUyNGM3Mzk5OGU0MGFmMDNmMjgwYmY5ODc4ZTFhZjFjZjQyN2I2YTp0OjQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/____https://eBikeSF.org____YXAzOnkMZHQyOmE6bz2MzY2NmYwMGU4MjlmYTEyMwQ3ZGI1ZTNkZTk3MzgwMDc2OmY5NDc0MjUxNzcc0ZTE3YTNOODg5NjExM2JkNDg1MDUyNGM3Mzk5OGU0MGFmMDNmMjgwYmY5ODc4ZTFhZjFjZjQyN2I2YTp0OjQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at [eBikeSF.org](#), which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Megan Grant  
[megangrant1@gmail.com](mailto:megangrant1@gmail.com)

Emeryville, California 94608



From: [James Le](#)  
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOB\)](#)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Sunday, June 9, 2024 10:22:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXA2OnNmZHQyOmEEBzpkNzZlNzZKOWO1MGNjMDkwNTU3YzdmN2NYTEzFm0tO2QjY0MDQ6MzQ4MDQ2ZjY2ODU0MGU5Y2M0ZTNjZj1NmE0NDkyNmQ3NzdlNmRkYmRmMWUzZDM2ZjZlOGU4NGM5OTkzZDMzZjJp0OIQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXA2OnNmZHQyOmEEBzpkNzZlNzZKOWO1MGNjMDkwNTU3YzdmN2NYTEzFm0tO2QjY0MDQ6MzQ4MDQ2ZjY2ODU0MGU5Y2M0ZTNjZj1NmE0NDkyNmQ3NzdlNmRkYmRmMWUzZDM2ZjZlOGU4NGM5OTkzZDMzZjJp0OIQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

James Le  
james.le2@gmail.com  
3876 California St apt 1  
San Francisco, California 94118

**From:** [David Friedlander-Holm](mailto:David.Friedlander-Holm)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors @sf.gov](mailto:Board of Supervisors @sf.gov)  
**Subject:** Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
**Date:** Sunday, June 9, 2024 8:55:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHGyOmE6ozxMGZINTg1MnZINTA3NWY2NGQxZTRmOTEyNGM3NjE3NDx2OjYzOWI6MTRRNVQ2YTVMWQ4NjY1WmMTZmYzFjOTA2YzI4MjZkMjgwZTQwNjMjMjY4OTAyOTA4MzQxMTNhMzJkMzpwOjQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org____YXAzOnNmZHGyOmE6ozxMGZINTg1MnZINTA3NWY2NGQxZTRmOTEyNGM3NjE3NDx2OjYzOWI6MTRRNVQ2YTVMWQ4NjY1WmMTZmYzFjOTA2YzI4MjZkMjgwZTQwNjMjMjY4OTAyOTA4MzQxMTNhMzJkMzpwOjQ)

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

David Friedlander-Holm  
friedlad@gmail.com  
1431 balboa st  
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Dalibor Samardzic](mailto:dalibor_samardzic@sf.gov)  
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOB\)](mailto:Board of Supervisors@sf.gov)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Sunday, June 9, 2024 8:41:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzplNmY2MGYyNjcyZjE4ZDA2YzdmMGISOWM3NTIwNjJjYTQ2OmMxMTU6ZTZOOGFYjYjYzRhYzRmZGVkOTVhMzExNWQ2YzRmOGFYZAwmZmM5ZjAzZWl4ODFkN2Q0NmE0MjIyQ1ZjQ1Nj00Oj0](https://url.avanan.click/v2/https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzplNmY2MGYyNjcyZjE4ZDA2YzdmMGISOWM3NTIwNjJjYTQ2OmMxMTU6ZTZOOGFYjYjYzRhYzRmZGVkOTVhMzExNWQ2YzRmOGFYZAwmZmM5ZjAzZWl4ODFkN2Q0NmE0MjIyQ1ZjQ1Nj00Oj0).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Dalibor Samardzic  
dalibor\_samardzic@yahoo.com  
1168 Potrero Ave, San Francisco, CA 94110  
San Francisco, California 94110

**From:** [John Espenhahn](mailto:John.Espenhahn@gmail.com)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors - 2500](mailto:Board of Supervisors - 2500)  
**Subject:** Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
**Date:** Sunday, June 9, 2024 8:15:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOhNmZHqYOmE6bzphMzEzM2FYzc2N22kYTZkOGZlODZlMDY3NGlyNmMzOTc2OmQ2MTc6OTYzZGYwZTEwZTdlNTgyODYwYjU4NjNlYmFkZDJlN2l0MTMzYjZlYTdmNTEwNTE3OGY3YTA3MzQ5MzU3NmQ3ZDp0OjI0](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOhNmZHqYOmE6bzphMzEzM2FYzc2N22kYTZkOGZlODZlMDY3NGlyNmMzOTc2OmQ2MTc6OTYzZGYwZTEwZTdlNTgyODYwYjU4NjNlYmFkZDJlN2l0MTMzYjZlYTdmNTEwNTE3OGY3YTA3MzQ5MzU3NmQ3ZDp0OjI0)

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

John Espenhahn  
[john@espenhahn.org](mailto:john@espenhahn.org)  
1659 Oak St, Apt 3  
San Francisco, California 94117-2322





**From:** [Laura Zellerbach](mailto:laura.zellerbach@sf.gov)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors @SF](mailto:Board of Supervisors @SF)  
**Subject:** Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
**Date:** Sunday, June 9, 2024 7:40:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnkMZHQyOmE6bzpmHzM2NDdkM2FkOGUwOTVIMzFkYzQwNTZkNDk2MzA5YjZjZmE5YjA6MmU3NDYzNDVIMzZkMDJhZGM5NDVjNzZlOTVIMzQwMjA1ZGQzMThiMjY1WQ4ZDczZDRmMzg4ZjkyMmY3OTp0OjQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnkMZHQyOmE6bzpmHzM2NDdkM2FkOGUwOTVIMzFkYzQwNTZkNDk2MzA5YjZjZmE5YjA6MmU3NDYzNDVIMzZkMDJhZGM5NDVjNzZlOTVIMzQwMjA1ZGQzMThiMjY1WQ4ZDczZDRmMzg4ZjkyMmY3OTp0OjQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Laura Zellerbach  
rascal4263@aol.com  
1145 Anza Street, San Francisco, CA  
San Francisco, California 94118



From: [Barbara Tassa](#)  
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOB\)](#)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Sunday, June 9, 2024 4:59:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries.

SFPUC recently offered a means tested program for families with income up to \$40k receiving \$1k in subsidies, but this is too restrictive and limited in its reach. This subsidy should be extended to all SF residents.

Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzoZOWQxNzZjc2ZWVhYzU0MDFjZTFjYjQ0YU01YU03Y2YxMjQ0Y0MzA6MzNmIEYyMDQyNjYyMGE3YTU1MmU0ZDZlXOWNkZGMwOGNlNWUxMTA0YjMzMtU3OGI3NzA3YzhNTAwOTUyYzozNTp0OIG](https://url.avanan.click/v2/____https://eBikeSF.org____YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzoZOWQxNzZjc2ZWVhYzU0MDFjZTFjYjQ0YU01YU03Y2YxMjQ0Y0MzA6MzNmIEYyMDQyNjYyMGE3YTU1MmU0ZDZlXOWNkZGMwOGNlNWUxMTA0YjMzMtU3OGI3NzA3YzhNTAwOTUyYzozNTp0OIG).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Barbara Tassa  
btassa@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Victor Cee](#)  
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOB\)](#)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Sunday, June 9, 2024 4:37:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at [eBikeSF.org](#), which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo4ZWFhYTNmNzczMTczMmQyMmFhMTcxZWZlMDVlYyY1ZDc2QjZmMDU6MnZmOGQzMjE2MzAzMmZjZjZmU0OTZlNDYyNmM3Njk0YzlyMGNmMDdjMGM4NTRkOWRhZmZmYjU1ZTM0NjgwYzpwOQIQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo4ZWFhYTNmNzczMTczMmQyMmFhMTcxZWZlMDVlYyY1ZDc2QjZmMDU6MnZmOGQzMjE2MzAzMmZjZjZmU0OTZlNDYyNmM3Njk0YzlyMGNmMDdjMGM4NTRkOWRhZmZmYjU1ZTM0NjgwYzpwOQIQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at [eBikeSF.org](#), which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Victor Cee  
vic.cee@gmail.com  
721 live oak ave Menlo Park  
Menlo Park, California 94025

From: [Jake Moffatt](#)  
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOSS\)](#)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Sunday, June 9, 2024 4:32:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at [eBikeSF.org](#), which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzplMzYxNzczNzU5YzMyNTgxNDUxZTZMDk5YTczZWVjMDo2OmRmNWl6MzZNTBhN2ZlYzNlY2JhMzYxMDYzNTgzMzk1YzA2ZDQ2NTc2YTlhMWJmZThMj11YThhMWUzNDhkMmYyYTYzZmp0OjI](https://url.avanan.click/v2/https://eBikeSF.org__YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzplMzYxNzczNzU5YzMyNTgxNDUxZTZMDk5YTczZWVjMDo2OmRmNWl6MzZNTBhN2ZlYzNlY2JhMzYxMDYzNTgzMzk1YzA2ZDQ2NTc2YTlhMWJmZThMj11YThhMWUzNDhkMmYyYTYzZmp0OjI).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at [eBikeSF.org](#), which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Jake Moffatt  
[jake.moffatt@gmail.com](mailto:jake.moffatt@gmail.com)  
1268 Utah street  
San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Ankil Patel](#)  
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOC\)](#)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Sunday, June 9, 2024 11:53:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzoyNzhYmNlMwG1YjZkNmJN2I4Y2YzN2MwOGUzODk4Yzo2Ojg1ZGE6NjVnOTYxYWYzYjRmMzk2ZDQ5YjVhMzIxZWVlY2I4MTk2NjI4NTg2MGNlNmYwYTQzN2VhZjc4ZTNkZTg0ZDZlNj00OjQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzoyNzhYmNlMwG1YjZkNmJN2I4Y2YzN2MwOGUzODk4Yzo2Ojg1ZGE6NjVnOTYxYWYzYjRmMzk2ZDQ5YjVhMzIxZWVlY2I4MTk2NjI4NTg2MGNlNmYwYTQzN2VhZjc4ZTNkZTg0ZDZlNj00OjQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Ankil Patel  
ankil335@gmail.com  
1414 30th Avenue  
San Francisco, California 94122



From: [Brett Thurber](#)  
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOB\)](#)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Sunday, June 9, 2024 7:40:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpmYTU3YTJIM2M1MTOyNjYzYWEwODVhNWVM1MDA4ZjRlMjQwExNDU6YmM5OWVM1MmQhN2NmZlZmZmZTE1OWE4MzJlMDFjYkZjNkZTU4ZDA3MWNmNmMDVmNDRmY2E2ZDFhYT44Zz00IQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpmYTU3YTJIM2M1MTOyNjYzYWEwODVhNWVM1MDA4ZjRlMjQwExNDU6YmM5OWVM1MmQhN2NmZlZmZmZTE1OWE4MzJlMDFjYkZjNkZTU4ZDA3MWNmNmMDVmNDRmY2E2ZDFhYT44Zz00IQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Brett Thurber  
[brett@newwheel.net](mailto:brett@newwheel.net)

San Francisco, California 94110





From: [Erika Vogt](#)  
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOG\)](#)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Saturday, June 8, 2024 11:07:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHqYOmEbzo0YzKzN2JkZWRRlODMxMTk1ODA3OGExYzdlMTY5NTg5Mzo0JzMTA6NDZlN2E2ZjZjODM3MWY5NzkyNzAwMzNkNjFmMWRlOTcxNmRmNmRlNjQyNDZkZjAyODYzZGQ2NjA4OWJhNmM5Nzp0OjQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHqYOmEbzo0YzKzN2JkZWRRlODMxMTk1ODA3OGExYzdlMTY5NTg5Mzo0JzMTA6NDZlN2E2ZjZjODM3MWY5NzkyNzAwMzNkNjFmMWRlOTcxNmRmNmRlNjQyNDZkZjAyODYzZGQ2NjA4OWJhNmM5Nzp0OjQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Erika Vogt  
erikavogt@hotmail.com  
1531 Lake St  
San Francisco, California 94118

**From:** [Michael Sepulveda](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(P00\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
**Date:** Saturday, June 8, 2024 8:53:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmEEBzpiOGYwOWU5MGU3ODc4ZGU2OTIhZlY4NGYzNDQ0GE3Nzc0OjE1YTU6ZGJkN2ZkMzY0ZGQ5NTEyOTdkNjc0ODMyZGZmMTYyNzU4YmM0YTAzZjNmMmFjZWE2MWOyYmNmOTE5YTAxODYyZTpoOjQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmEEBzpiOGYwOWU5MGU3ODc4ZGU2OTIhZlY4NGYzNDQ0GE3Nzc0OjE1YTU6ZGJkN2ZkMzY0ZGQ5NTEyOTdkNjc0ODMyZGZmMTYyNzU4YmM0YTAzZjNmMmFjZWE2MWOyYmNmOTE5YTAxODYyZTpoOjQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Michael Sepulveda  
msepulveda0972@gmail.com  
7 Hallam St Apt 1C  
San Francisco, California 941103

**From:** Anish Sinha  
**To:** Board of Supervisors (BOS)  
**Subject:** Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
**Date:** Friday, June 7, 2024 1:54:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzoxZjQ0YjRkZDAyMTI4MzJmZDlmMzkzMGVkOTAyZTZmNjUzOmQ5NGU6Y2RlZDg1ZmFhMjk3NjhmZWYzNDNkYjE2NTg2YzA6ZGQ1MzZmNjQzZWV3OGUyMjE4ZDI5NWZOTA4NmNjc4YzpwOjQ0IQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzoxZjQ0YjRkZDAyMTI4MzJmZDlmMzkzMGVkOTAyZTZmNjUzOmQ5NGU6Y2RlZDg1ZmFhMjk3NjhmZWYzNDNkYjE2NTg2YzA6ZGQ1MzZmNjQzZWV3OGUyMjE4ZDI5NWZOTA4NmNjc4YzpwOjQ0IQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Anish Sinha  
anish7590@gmail.com  
50 Chumaseero Dr Apt 9E  
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [David Marwick](#)  
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOB\)](#)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Friday, June 7, 2024 12:58:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries.

My family with our two children use our two family cargo e-bikes as our primary transportation, supplemented by public transit and Zipcar. Years ago, we sold our two personal cars after discovering how convenient and healthy e-bikes are. Our cost of ownership is much lower compared to cars, although the initial price tag of an e-bike is substantial. I want more families and individuals to have the same opportunity we had to replace motor vehicle trips with e-bike trips.

Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo3ZWVIZWY5ODkZjFmZGJkNmUwMTQ5MTQ5YyZyY3YThhZTc2O2OmMxODQ6NGRhZGY4NGU2NmY1YTJkOTU5ZWU1NGM2OGVlZTk4ZjRhOWNlZDA1OGIwM2M3ZWRjNTU4ZTk3M2MxODhjODp0OjQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo3ZWVIZWY5ODkZjFmZGJkNmUwMTQ5MTQ5YyZyY3YThhZTc2O2OmMxODQ6NGRhZGY4NGU2NmY1YTJkOTU5ZWU1NGM2OGVlZTk4ZjRhOWNlZDA1OGIwM2M3ZWRjNTU4ZTk3M2MxODhjODp0OjQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

David Marwick  
dmarwick@gmail.com  
917 Hampshire St  
San Francisco, California 94110



From: [Kenneth Russell](#)  
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Friday, June 7, 2024 9:46:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzplYml0OTQ2NzI4OTE2NTU4ZDUwYjlmZEWZmM3YzIzNjczQzZkMTI6YzY0MTI3NTQxM2FmNmNjMmVWVjg1YzZkMzM0MmVwQxYjY1MmQ4OWx0ODBiZjZlZlQ1M2E4ZjBhYjUzOGM4ZjA1OTp0OjQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzplYml0OTQ2NzI4OTE2NTU4ZDUwYjlmZEWZmM3YzIzNjczQzZkMTI6YzY0MTI3NTQxM2FmNmNjMmVWVjg1YzZkMzM0MmVwQxYjY1MmQ4OWx0ODBiZjZlZlQ1M2E4ZjBhYjUzOGM4ZjA1OTp0OjQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Kenneth Russell  
krlis+yimby@gmail.com  
300 3rd St Apt 905  
San Francisco, California 94107

From: [Jaime Hernandez](#)  
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOC\)](#)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Friday, June 7, 2024 9:09:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmEBbzozNjY1ODVjZGRlODczNzAxMTU3NQ0YzK2Yjc5Nzc2ZDc2OjAxOTc6YmRmM2E2MGExODc4NWNjYzc4YjM5NTc4YjQ2MTZlNDhkMDAzNWVhODJlODQ3OTFFOTYzZjU2ZmU1YjY1YmZlNjBpOjQlQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmEBbzozNjY1ODVjZGRlODczNzAxMTU3NQ0YzK2Yjc5Nzc2ZDc2OjAxOTc6YmRmM2E2MGExODc4NWNjYzc4YjM5NTc4YjQ2MTZlNDhkMDAzNWVhODJlODQ3OTFFOTYzZjU2ZmU1YjY1YmZlNjBpOjQlQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Jaime Hernandez  
jimmy.hrnandez@gmail.com  
900 oak St Apt 6  
San Francisco, California 94117



**From:** [Brian Reyes](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOB\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
**Date:** Friday, June 7, 2024 8:24:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at [eBikeSF.org](#), which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_\\_YXAzcOnKmZHGyOmE6bz0OTE1YWU3OWFhYjQ5NjQyYjpp5YTl5MmWjMTRlNDI1OTc2OjQ5NTI6MmM0ZTE5YmVjY2VhODE1MGM0MjEyNGZhZjU0ODASZGM4MTA3M2NkOWU4OTc2ZDM2MjVhZDEyNDlyZDZmNzYxNDp0OjQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/____https://eBikeSF.org____YXAzcOnKmZHGyOmE6bz0OTE1YWU3OWFhYjQ5NjQyYjpp5YTl5MmWjMTRlNDI1OTc2OjQ5NTI6MmM0ZTE5YmVjY2VhODE1MGM0MjEyNGZhZjU0ODASZGM4MTA3M2NkOWU4OTc2ZDM2MjVhZDEyNDlyZDZmNzYxNDp0OjQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at [eBikeSF.org](#), which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Brian Reyes  
brian5368@gmail.com  
3500 Noriega St.  
San Francisco, California 94122



From: [Catherine L.](#)  
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BO\)](#)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Thursday, June 6, 2024 4:01:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.lavanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzplZmEyM2Y0YTMyTFiOWViyzhjNWMwOTZmNzQ5MDcwOTQyY0DE6NzA4MmMxMGRKNGZmYWQxODQ1NGMyNzhmZjg3MTdjMGQ3NTgwOTB0DNhZDdhNTYwM2UyNjc0ZDcxNDVmYWJIMzpoOIQ](https://url.lavanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzplZmEyM2Y0YTMyTFiOWViyzhjNWMwOTZmNzQ5MDcwOTQyY0DE6NzA4MmMxMGRKNGZmYWQxODQ1NGMyNzhmZjg3MTdjMGQ3NTgwOTB0DNhZDdhNTYwM2UyNjc0ZDcxNDVmYWJIMzpoOIQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Catherine L.  
cm1sf17@gmail.com  
Inner Richmond  
San Francisco, California 94118

**From:** [Alysa Cheung](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOB\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
**Date:** Thursday, June 6, 2024 3:21:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpOTY1Y2M1OGMSYmE1MTM1MTYwMDQ0NWRlOTY1ZmY5Zj02OjE5ODQ6NmZNDkY1Y2QyNGQ6NmZlYzE3MjY1MzhhNzY3MzRlOGFhZDg2NGQ2OTFmNDkzYjE5MTczY2I5MwZlZjM0NDp0OjI0](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpOTY1Y2M1OGMSYmE1MTM1MTYwMDQ0NWRlOTY1ZmY5Zj02OjE5ODQ6NmZNDkY1Y2QyNGQ6NmZlYzE3MjY1MzhhNzY3MzRlOGFhZDg2NGQ2OTFmNDkzYjE5MTczY2I5MwZlZjM0NDp0OjI0).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Alysa Cheung  
cheung.alysa@gmail.com  
20 Saint Charles Ave  
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Odin Palen](#)  
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOB\)](#)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Thursday, June 6, 2024 2:35:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOrnNmZHqYOmE6bzpIMzQ1MzgwNTM4MjgZZWFODNmNmI2N2O0ODMS0Dcd0Mj0ZjM5xk6ZWFINWYyNWNISThmZTUxMGVmODc3MjZmMzNkNmYxMWNkNjU3MGZhNjc4ZTk3NmZNTZQzhkNjQzNTJMDk4MDp0OjQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOrnNmZHqYOmE6bzpIMzQ1MzgwNTM4MjgZZWFODNmNmI2N2O0ODMS0Dcd0Mj0ZjM5xk6ZWFINWYyNWNISThmZTUxMGVmODc3MjZmMzNkNmYxMWNkNjU3MGZhNjc4ZTk3NmZNTZQzhkNjQzNTJMDk4MDp0OjQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Odin Palen  
[odinpalen@gmail.com](mailto:odinpalen@gmail.com)

Greenbrae, California 94001

From: [therighthnee@gmail.com](mailto:therighthnee@gmail.com)  
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOSS\)](#)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Thursday, June 6, 2024 1:56:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at <https://url.avanan.click/v2/https://eBikeSF.org...YXa2OnNmZHQyOmE6bzpiMGM3ZT12ODNNDM1YTJOTWODY3MzhiMzEyYjBmZjc2OjY2ZWl6YTUyNjE5ZTNkNDQ2ZTc1NmVhMjk3MTE3YTZODU4OTA4YmU1MDc2ZTc4M2IyQzZmQwZWVhMTZlNmU3YjA1YjYpO0IQ>.

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

[therighthnee@gmail.com](mailto:therighthnee@gmail.com)  
105 Glen Alpine Court  
Mountain View, California 94043

From: [Beck Trebesch](#)  
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOB\)](#)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Thursday, June 6, 2024 1:32:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzCnNmZHGyOmE6bzpOTNIZT1zYTkxNzVIOTdmNWVxOWMSY2RkZDE5ZTFkZDc2OjE0OGY6ZGM1YVU0NmI2MzUxM2U2NTAzNDZlZjc5MDA0NDU4ZDA1MjktNGVhNTc2MTUwMDgzNDE1YzZmNzQyMDAwMGhwMj00IQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzCnNmZHGyOmE6bzpOTNIZT1zYTkxNzVIOTdmNWVxOWMSY2RkZDE5ZTFkZDc2OjE0OGY6ZGM1YVU0NmI2MzUxM2U2NTAzNDZlZjc5MDA0NDU4ZDA1MjktNGVhNTc2MTUwMDgzNDE1YzZmNzQyMDAwMGhwMj00IQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Beck Trebesch  
becktreb18@gmail.com  
524 Lombard St  
San Francisco, California 94133

From: [Shannon Hong](#)  
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOB\)](#)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Thursday, June 6, 2024 1:29:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHqYOmE6bzoxYWU0NWUyMzJmZDE5MTASMGZzOWVhYjE5OTkxNjRnNjZmY1MDE6ODNiZjE1OTdZlWQxMzgzYTI0ZDQwNGZmZU5ZTVMjU0ZjFmYWVwYjg3ODI4Y2YxMjFkOGVhMDVlYjY2NmVhOTp0OjI0](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHqYOmE6bzoxYWU0NWUyMzJmZDE5MTASMGZzOWVhYjE5OTkxNjRnNjZmY1MDE6ODNiZjE1OTdZlWQxMzgzYTI0ZDQwNGZmZU5ZTVMjU0ZjFmYWVwYjg3ODI4Y2YxMjFkOGVhMDVlYjY2NmVhOTp0OjI0).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Shannon Hong  
shannonrshong@gmail.com  
1404 sobey rd  
Saratoga, California 95070



From: [Michael Moss](#)  
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Thursday, June 6, 2024 7:27:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.lavanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHqYOrnE6bzoyM2FIMWMMzhmZWY5NjE3MmQzMWY0Njk0DRNjQ0Nj02OmU3MzA6ODExYRjZlWU3ZDgwZmY2NTA0NjEzYjI0DcwNThkYmJkNGI3OTBkZWY2NjYmNkNjBkM2I3MDUyN2I0OTQ4ZGU2ODp0OjQ](https://url.lavanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHqYOrnE6bzoyM2FIMWMMzhmZWY5NjE3MmQzMWY0Njk0DRNjQ0Nj02OmU3MzA6ODExYRjZlWU3ZDgwZmY2NTA0NjEzYjI0DcwNThkYmJkNGI3OTBkZWY2NjYmNkNjBkM2I3MDUyN2I0OTQ4ZGU2ODp0OjQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Michael Moss  
michaelmoss@gmail.com  
1559 California Street  
San Francisco, California 94109

**From:** [Lian Chang](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOC\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
**Date:** Thursday, June 6, 2024 7:12:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHqYmE6bz2MmNhODVnZGI2ODFIZlWQ5MTZmM2UvZWlxN2M0M2E0YzozOjI0NW6ODdmY2RjNWQ2N2M3M2RmZDUwNDQ4ODNIYTdYTGwNWm1NjBhMTE1MzczMDMzZDk2Njc2OWYwODE1OGQ1NTQxMTp0OjQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHqYmE6bz2MmNhODVnZGI2ODFIZlWQ5MTZmM2UvZWlxN2M0M2E0YzozOjI0NW6ODdmY2RjNWQ2N2M3M2RmZDUwNDQ4ODNIYTdYTGwNWm1NjBhMTE1MzczMDMzZDk2Njc2OWYwODE1OGQ1NTQxMTp0OjQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Lian Chang  
lian.c.chang@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94118

**From:** [Robert Chu](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 5, 2024 9:31:03 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpIMDE1YTM1mY1NTEkZGNlZTU2NzE4OTYhNDNlZTJkOTc2OjFhZGM6ZDZkMTZhYTQwYmUzOWEwY2VhZDcxMWZlZyE0ZGM1YTA0Y2M0ZDA4ZmU4Y2U3ZTZhNWZmZmMmMjE2NTg5MDZlMDp0OjQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpIMDE1YTM1mY1NTEkZGNlZTU2NzE4OTYhNDNlZTJkOTc2OjFhZGM6ZDZkMTZhYTQwYmUzOWEwY2VhZDcxMWZlZyE0ZGM1YTA0Y2M0ZDA4ZmU4Y2U3ZTZhNWZmZmMmMjE2NTg5MDZlMDp0OjQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Robert Chu  
[allegormc@gmail.com](mailto:allegormc@gmail.com)

San Francisco, California 94127

From: [Bradley Golden](#)  
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOSS\)](#)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Wednesday, June 5, 2024 7:08:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzozYjhIN2ZhZTBkYzNMTA0ZQwMTUxZWY2Njg5NzM1YTQzOjAzNDU6ZWVwMjFhNjMzQ4NDE5MDA0NTA1MTBjYWVmMjU4MTVhNDMyZGZzZjgyYzccZGU3MmFmMjI0ODNjNjkyZTp0OjI0](https://url.avanan.click/v2/https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzozYjhIN2ZhZTBkYzNMTA0ZQwMTUxZWY2Njg5NzM1YTQzOjAzNDU6ZWVwMjFhNjMzQ4NDE5MDA0NTA1MTBjYWVmMjU4MTVhNDMyZGZzZjgyYzccZGU3MmFmMjI0ODNjNjkyZTp0OjI0).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Bradley Golden  
[bradleygolden@gmail.com](mailto:bradleygolden@gmail.com)

San Francisco, California 94117

**From:** [Benedict Donahue](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors - SFCTA](#)  
**Subject:** Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 5, 2024 5:39:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOrNmZHcyOmE6bzpjZDRkNz2M2DkwNjExMDNiyzE1NW12Z2WUZNGE4Ntk1Mjo2OmQ1YmU6MzAzMzU3OT0xNjQ2YWVxZjJlMjg4OTc5MDAxOGU5NT11YT1zMWVhYzY4Y2NkZjBhNmVmNjQzYTYyNmY1NTp0OjQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOrNmZHcyOmE6bzpjZDRkNz2M2DkwNjExMDNiyzE1NW12Z2WUZNGE4Ntk1Mjo2OmQ1YmU6MzAzMzU3OT0xNjQ2YWVxZjJlMjg4OTc5MDAxOGU5NT11YT1zMWVhYzY4Y2NkZjBhNmVmNjQzYTYyNmY1NTp0OjQ)

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Benedict Donahue  
ben@bendonahue.com  
40 Broderick St  
San Francisco, California 94117

**From:** [Hilleary Osheroff](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while incre...  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 5, 2024 5:03:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzozTg5NjZmYmE4MmVmYTBlMzVhZDdmMWJhNDA0ZTJhMzo2OjJhNmNGJkNmE4YzU1ZTY1ZTZhY2FhNTI4MDBiNmRjNGZmMjM3OGVhMWRhZGRkNjJmDU1ZDU4NWUxZDg5Y2FmY2E3Y2p0OjQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzozTg5NjZmYmE4MmVmYTBlMzVhZDdmMWJhNDA0ZTJhMzo2OjJhNmNGJkNmE4YzU1ZTY1ZTZhY2FhNTI4MDBiNmRjNGZmMjM3OGVhMWRhZGRkNjJmDU1ZDU4NWUxZDg5Y2FmY2E3Y2p0OjQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Hilleary Osheroff  
hilleary@gmail.com  
2966 Folsom  
San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Lyn Stoler](#)  
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOB\)](#)  
Subject: Please support the proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program to help families, workers, and all people shift trips to bikes, reduce car traffic, demand for parking, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and fatalities/injuries, while increa...  
Date: Wednesday, June 5, 2024 3:03:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which would help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increasing safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposed program to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other eligible funding sources.

The proposed e-bike purchase/lease incentive program — inspired by, and modeled off, effective programs in Denver, Colorado, Austin, Texas, and Atlanta, Georgia — would reduce the financial burden for families, workers, and other people who want to use bikes for transportation, with a larger incentive for low-income individuals and families who qualify. By helping more people purchase or lease e-bikes, you will decrease car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries while increasing economic activity, revenue for local businesses, community connectedness, public health, and public land available for trees, seating, parks, playgrounds, housing, and other more effective land uses. Due to the cost-saving effect of increased bike ridership and bike infrastructure, the e-bike incentive program may well net cost savings for the City, County, and state, something that is especially needed and beneficial given the budget deficit and crisis.

You can find more information about the proposed program and related grassroots campaign at [https://url.avanan.click/v2/\\_\\_\\_https://eBikeSF.org\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo3NDY2NTc1MzNlNTU0MmlwYWFkNmIxYjQ3YzRlYTYwNTc0OmNmYjA6OTYyNDVmOWVhNzQ1ZjU5ZmU0NDdjYzgwMDY0ODNmYjBjMGI4ODY5YzZwZDZlYzpxNjQ3Y2UzY2RmYzYxNDMwZDp0OjQ](https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://eBikeSF.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo3NDY2NTc1MzNlNTU0MmlwYWFkNmIxYjQ3YzRlYTYwNTc0OmNmYjA6OTYyNDVmOWVhNzQ1ZjU5ZmU0NDdjYzgwMDY0ODNmYjBjMGI4ODY5YzZwZDZlYzpxNjQ3Y2UzY2RmYzYxNDMwZDp0OjQ).

In order for this proposed program to become a reality, we need you to publicly voice your support for the program, secure funding for the program, and legislate the program. Will you commit to doing everything in your power to make this program a reality?

I urge you to support and approve the e-bike purchase/lease incentive program detailed by advocates at eBikeSF.org, which will help more families, workers, and other people shift trips to bikes, increase safety, affordability, and equity, and reduce car traffic, demand for parking, costs related to roadway construction/maintenance, noise, air pollution, climate emissions, and road fatalities/injuries. Please publicly support the proposed program and work with the advocates behind the proposal to create, approve, and launch the program as soon as possible, ideally within 2024 with funding from the City, SFCTA, MTC, state, federal government, and/or other funding sources.

Thank you.

Lyn Stoler  
stolerlyn@gmail.com

San Francisco, California 94117

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)  
**Subject:** File No. 240655 Language Access Resolution - 3 letters  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 4:07:54 PM  
**Attachments:** [File No. 240655 Language Access Resolution.pdf](#)

---

Dear Supervisors,

Please see attached for 3 letters regarding:

File No. 240655 - Resolution reaffirming San Francisco's commitment to equitable language access to for all residents through City services and the Office of Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Voice (415) 554-7709 | Fax (415) 554-5163  
[richard.lagunte@sfgov.org](mailto:richard.lagunte@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)



**From:** [Rae Arradaza](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** 6/11/2024 Public Comment - LAO Resolution  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 3:36:34 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon, Supervisors. My name is Rae, and I volunteer at the Filipino Community Center (FCC). I grew up in District 11, which has given me a deep connection to this community and its needs.

As an immigrant who moved to this country at a young age, I often found myself in the challenging position of having to interpret for myself and my family. We navigated various public assistance benefits and resources, facing numerous language barriers along the way. These experiences highlighted the critical need for language accessibility and support services for non-English speaking residents.

Being an active part of the Filipino Community Center, I have witnessed firsthand how community organizations like FCC fill vital gaps in our city's support systems. The FCC provides essential services, including immigration support in Tagalog for individuals like my mom, and works tirelessly to bridge the language barrier that many immigrants face. This not only helps individuals access the services they need but also fosters a sense of inclusion and belonging within our community.

I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to Supervisor Walton for championing the LAO resolution. This resolution ensures that our city remains committed to working with the community to promote language accessibility. Such initiatives are crucial for the well-being and integration of immigrant families like mine. Additionally, I extend my sincere thanks to OCEIA and Supervisor Chan for their unwavering support and dedication to this cause.

Your efforts make a significant difference in the lives of many residents, helping to create a more inclusive and accessible city for everyone. Thank you.

-Rae

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Jeannel Poyaoan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** 6/11/24 Public Comment Re: LAO Resolution  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 3:36:22 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon Board of Supervisors, my name is Jeannel and I am with the Filipino Community Center in District 11.

We are a member organization of the Language Access Network of SF and have filled in the gaps in Filipino interpretation and translation for migrant workers in the city.

We have assisted many LEP community members who have faced challenges with navigating housing, immigration, domestic violence, violations at their workplace, and other life threatening experiences that is exacerbated because of the lack of Filipino speaking staffing in city departments, despite Filipino being constituted as a threshold language in SF.

Providing public safety, emergent health and human services, and public programs in the languages that community members understand is essential for monolingual families to live safely and sustainably in SF.

We express our gratitude for Supervisor Walton, OCEIA and Supervisor Chan for driving the LAO Resolution for: increased bilingual staffing, paid opportunities for culturally responsive and community-based translators and interpreters, and prioritize language access funds in departmental budgets.

Access to quality language services ensures that our migrant community members are treated with dignity and respect. Thank you.

**From:** [Shara Orquiza](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** 6/11 Public Comment for LAO Ordinance Amendment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 3:32:14 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi my name is Shara Orquiza and i work in district 11. I'm a current case manager at the Filipino Community Center which is a part of LANSF.

I'm one of the few staff that speaks tagalog and have had to assist our community members to apply for Medi-Cal, food stamps or calfresh, Unemployment insurance and immigration. While I enjoy doing my job helping the community to make sure they receive their help but it would be more sustainable to expand the capacity to expand the language capacity in city departments by recruiting more bilingual staff and recruit more translators for Filipino.

I express the gratitude for board of supervisors for supporting the amendment to the resolution and committing yourself yo make sure our immigrant communities of San Francisco, thank you.

**Shara C. Orquiza**  
**Filipino Community Center**  
**4681 Mission Street, San Francisco, CA 94112**  
**Office line: 415-333-6267**  
**Email: [info@filipinocc.org](mailto:info@filipinocc.org)**

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Quality of life issues 2 letters  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 4:12:47 PM  
**Attachments:** [goa.pdf](#)

---

Dear Supervisors,

Please see attached for 2 letters regarding quality-of-life issues.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163  
[richard.lagunte@sfgov.org](mailto:richard.lagunte@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

Pronouns: he, him, his

*Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Expanding Housing Choice, Housing Element Zoning Program - 7 letters  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 4:20:02 PM  
**Attachments:** [Zoning 7 letters.pdf](#)

---

Dear Supervisors,

Please see attached for 7 letters regarding the San Francisco Planning Department's (CPC) Expanding Housing Choice, Housing Element Zoning Program.

Regards,

Richard Lagunte  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Voice (415) 554-5184 | Fax (415) 554-5163  
[richard.lagunte@sfgov.org](mailto:richard.lagunte@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

Pronouns: he, him, his

*Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

**From:** [golfmike@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:golfmike@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [michael moore](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Urgent: Opposition to San Francisco Upzoning Proposal  
**Date:** Saturday, June 8, 2024 10:38:38 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed upzoning in San Francisco. While the intent may be to address the affordable housing shortage, the current plan risks exacerbating issues and compromising the unique character of our neighborhoods.

The anticipated increase in luxury condos not only jeopardizes the topography and well-established, often historic and iconic, features of our neighborhoods but also raises concerns about the potential 'Manhattanization' of our residential communities. The added risk of increased traffic and strain on our infrastructure compounds these concerns.

I support Neighborhoods United SF and urge you to reconsider the current upzoning proposal. Exploring alternative solutions is crucial to genuinely addressing the affordable housing shortage without compromising our communities' integrity.

. Born...Raised ,, And still living and voting in my beloved San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention to this critical matter, and I appreciate your continued dedication to the well-being of our city.

Sincerely,  
michael moore  
San Francisco, CA 94122

**From:** [Jimmy Giliberti](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Feedback on San Francisco Rezoning  
**Date:** Monday, June 10, 2024 8:54:02 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please find enclosed a PDF of our feedback on current rezoning proposals with respect to Balboa Terrace.

Thank you for your time to read and listen to our feedback as our elected representative for our district.

JimmyG

 [RezoningFeedback.pdf](#)

**From:** [mlbelshaw@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mlbelshaw@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Margaret Belshaw](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Urgent: Opposition to San Francisco Upzoning Proposal  
**Date:** Monday, June 10, 2024 3:37:39 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I grew up in San Francisco and currently rent in Russian Hill. I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed upzoning in San Francisco. While the intent may be to address the affordable housing shortage, the current plan risks exacerbating issues and compromising the unique character of our neighborhoods.

The anticipated increase in luxury condos not only jeopardizes the topography and well-established, often historic and iconic, features of our neighborhoods but also raises concerns about the potential 'Manhattanization' of our residential communities. The added risk of increased traffic and strain on our infrastructure compounds these concerns.

I support Neighborhoods United SF and urge you to reconsider the current upzoning proposal. Exploring alternative solutions is crucial to genuinely addressing the affordable housing shortage without compromising our communities' integrity.

Thank you for your attention to this critical matter, and I appreciate your continued dedication to the well-being of our city.

Sincerely,  
Margaret Belshaw  
San Francisco, CA 94133



**James Giliberti  
340 San Benito Way  
San Francisco, CA 94127  
JimmyG@msn.com**

10 June 2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
Supervisor Myrna Melgar  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Via email: [Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org)  
[MelgarStaff@sfgov.org](mailto:MelgarStaff@sfgov.org)

Re: Expanding Housing Choice Program  
Rezoning Impacts to Balboa Terrace

Dear Supervisor Melgar and Members of the Board of Supervisors:

We are residents of the Balboa Terrace neighborhood and have lived there for 27 years.

We write to you today to express our concerns regarding the Board of Supervisors' plans to rezone properties in and around Balboa Terrace as a part of the City/County's Expanding Housing Choice Program.

As we understand it, properties located in the Balboa Terrace neighborhood, as well as properties adjacent to the neighborhood, are slated to be upzoned to accommodate buildings up to 65 feet (6 stories) and 85 feet (8 stories) in height. We see these properties about Ocean Avenue and Junipero Serra Boulevard within the Balboa Terrace neighborhood.

We understand the proposed rezoning is an attempt to help the City meet its state housing requirements but we believe the effort to rezone properties in the Balboa Terrace neighborhood is misguided and uninformed.

First, Balboa Terrace is one of the earliest subdivisions on Mount Davidson and dates back to 1920 when many of the homes in this "residence park" were constructed. While not formally designated as an "historic resource" on the State or federal registry of historic resources, Balboa Terrace is certainly eligible for listing and, therefore, worthy of preservation and protection. Therefore, the idea that historic resources could be demolished to make way for 6 and 8 story residential towers is contrary to the City's (and State's) efforts to protect and preserve its historic and cultural heritage.

Second, each of the properties within the Balboa Terrace Homes Association has recorded against it conditions, covenants and restrictions (CCRs) that would preclude the use of the property for anything except a single-family residence. Therefore, we question why the City would include our neighborhood and properties in this rezoning effort when even the notion the property could be redeveloped as something other than a single family residence is prohibited by real estate and contract law.

Third, the incompatibility of 6 and 8 story towers immediately adjacent to one- and two-story single family residences cannot be more strongly emphasized.

Prior to the Board of Supervisors adopting the rezoning, we would urge planning staff to take a closer look at the proposed rezoning map and to shift increased heights and density away from Balboa Terrace and into areas that can better accommodate such increased density. The City must also recognize, in its planning efforts, that Balboa Terrace is a community which is eligible for listing as an historic resource and is also subject to CCRs that would preclude the construction of the towers the City seeks to allow in this location. To this last point, it appears the City is trying to "pull a fast one" on the State by pretending these properties are actually capable of being developed as high-density housing.

We urge the Board of Supervisors to reject the rezoning effort as currently planned.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized first name followed by a long horizontal line that curves slightly upwards at the end.

**From:** [mhuettl62@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mhuettl62@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Martha Huettl](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Urgent: Opposition to San Francisco Upzoning Proposal  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 2:46:20 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed upzoning in San Francisco. While the intent may be to address the affordable housing shortage, the current plan risks exacerbating issues and compromising the unique character of our neighborhoods.

The anticipated increase housing not only jeopardizes the topography and well-established, often historic and iconic, features of our neighborhoods but also raises concerns about the potential 'Manhattanization' of our residential communities. The added risk of increased traffic and strain on our infrastructure compounds these concerns. The fact that our current sewer system cannot handle the increased storm run-off is just one example of infrastructure concerns.

I support Neighborhoods United SF and urge you to reconsider the current upzoning proposal. Exploring alternative solutions is crucial to genuinely addressing the affordable housing shortage without compromising our communities' integrity.

Thank you for your attention to this critical matter, and I appreciate your continued dedication to the well-being of our city.

Sincerely,  
Martha Huettl  
San Francisco, CA 94110

**From:** [linda@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:linda@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Linda Kittlitz](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Urgent: Opposition to San Francisco Upzoning Proposal  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 4:03:43 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed upzoning in San Francisco. While the intent may be to address the affordable housing shortage, the current plan risks exacerbating issues and compromising the unique character of our neighborhoods.

The anticipated increase in luxury condos not only jeopardizes the topography and well-established, often historic and iconic, features of our neighborhoods but also raises concerns about the potential 'Manhattanization' of our residential communities. The added risk of increased traffic and strain on our infrastructure compounds these concerns.

I support Neighborhoods United SF and urge you to reconsider the current upzoning proposal. Exploring alternative solutions is crucial to genuinely addressing the affordable housing shortage without compromising our communities' integrity.

Thank you for your attention to this critical matter, and I appreciate your continued dedication to the well-being of our city.

Sincerely,  
Linda Kittlitz  
San Francisco, CA 94110

**From:** [cskonway@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:cskonway@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Christopher Conway](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Urgent: Opposition to San Francisco Upzoning Proposal  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 4:25:21 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed upzoning in San Francisco. While the intent may be to address the affordable housing shortage, the current plan risks exacerbating issues and compromising the unique character of our neighborhoods.

The anticipated increase in luxury condos not only jeopardizes the topography and well-established, often historic and iconic, features of our neighborhoods but also raises concerns about the potential 'Manhattanization' of our residential communities. The added risk of increased traffic and strain on our infrastructure compounds these concerns.

I support Neighborhoods United SF and urge you to reconsider the current upzoning proposal. Exploring alternative solutions is crucial to genuinely addressing the affordable housing shortage without compromising our communities' integrity.

Thank you for your attention to this critical matter, and I appreciate your continued dedication to the well-being of our city.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Conway  
San Francisco, CA 94110

**From:** [barbara.barbhand@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:barbara.barbhand@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Barbara Handler](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Urgent: Opposition to San Francisco Upzoning Proposal  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 5:15:58 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I live on Coleridge Street in Bernal Heights and am one of a large group of neighbors who is very upset about the plans for senior housing at 3333 Mission Street which is directly across the street from my home. There has been no request for input from the neighbors who already live here and merely a mollifying public relations event last Saturday in which we were offered no opportunity for real input. We have repeatedly voiced our support for low cost senior housing and are not so-called NIMBYs. What we object to is the addition of a 6 STOREY BUILDING that will block our current views and sunlight (especially for those on Virginia Street), create years of construction and noise and reduced parking, the destruction of old trees, and the lowering of our property values. Alternative plans have been proposed such as having taller buildings placed along Mission Street instead of on Coleridge Street and moving the community room to front the quieter neighborhood street. However, our voiced concerns have been consistently ignored. I would think that a so-called neighborhood organization such as the BHNC would actually care about the concerns and values of the neighborhood.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Handler  
San Francisco, CA 94110

**From:** [Peter Kwan](#)  
**To:** [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Letter of Support for Welcome Ambassador Program  
**Date:** Thursday, June 13, 2024 9:03:33 AM  
**Attachments:** [Support Letter for Welcome Ambassadors.docx](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Connie Chan, Chair  
Budget and Finance Committee  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Copy: Members of the Board of Supervisors  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

Dear Chair Chan,

The Board of Home Sharers Democratic Club strongly supports the continuation of the San Francisco Welcome Ambassador Program.

Welcome Ambassadors help visitors and locals. In addition to answering questions and providing information, the Ambassadors diffuse street incidents, offer immediate assistance in medical situations, and are a key city partner in calling in street cleanliness issues and referring those in need to agencies that can provide supportive services.

The presence of Welcome Ambassadors has made visitors feel safe and welcome. From a visitor enjoying San Francisco on vacation to someone here on business for a meeting or convention, the Welcome Ambassador program has been an essential part of the visitor experience since its inception in 2021.

The Welcome Ambassador Program has also played a significant role in persuading meeting planners to bring or keep their conventions, large and small, in San Francisco. In fact, the meeting planner and exhibitor spending associated with the convention center alone in 2023 was \$495 million. Overall, the visitor economy continues to be one of our largest industries in San Francisco bringing in over \$8.8 billion in economic impact in 2023, \$609 million of that in direct tax revenues for the City. Lastly, the 23.1 million visitors that came to San Francisco last year helped to support over 63,000 jobs in the

hospitality industry.

As an organization representing the interests of short-term rental Hosts, the health and vitality of the tourism industry is of central concern to our Members. Therefore we feel strongly that we must continue to invest in the Welcome Ambassador Program as they are an essential part to welcoming visitors and booking and retaining meetings and conventions, all which support short-term rental Hosts, good jobs and our vital small businesses.

Sincerely,

Peter Kwan  
Co-Chair.



**From:** [Stéphane Gras](#)  
**To:** [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Ccostello@sftravel.com](mailto:Ccostello@sftravel.com)  
**Subject:** Support to Welcome Ambassador program  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 6:52:24 PM  
**Attachments:** [Outlook-c2v0guag.png](#)  
[Welcome Ambassadors program 062024.pdf](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair Chan,

Please accept our letter of support for the continuation of the San Francisco Welcome Ambassador Program as a valuable component of the visitors' experience in our beautiful destination.

Yours Respectfully,  
Stephane Gras

Stephane Gras (He/Him)  
General Manager, Executive Office  
Four Seasons Hotel San Francisco  
757 Market Street, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 415.633.3494  
Mobile: 415.609.8988  
[stephane.gras@fourseasons.com](mailto:stephane.gras@fourseasons.com)  
<https://www.fourseasons.com/sanfrancisco>

Need Anything? Click [HERE](#) to Chat with us.



[Find our Valet Parking address at 217 Stevenson Street on your GPS](#)



**From:** [Peter Hart](#)  
**To:** [Chan, Connie \(BOS\); Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Letter of Support - San Francisco Ambassador Program  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 3:36:29 PM  
**Attachments:** [Hilton Union Square June 2024 Letter of Support.pdf](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair Chan and Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Enclosed is a letter of strong support for the continuation of the San Francisco Welcome Ambassador Program.

Representing two of the largest hotels in the city, which together account for nearly 10% of total hotel room inventory, I can attest to the essential need for creating and inviting and welcoming experience for all visitors. Our nearly 1500 employees depend on the guests and patrons who come to our downtown area to have an exceptional San Francisco experience. While more work is certainly needed to address street conditions, the Welcome Ambassador Program is making a positive impact. I urge you to protect and continue this critical program.

Sincerely,  
Peter

**Peter Hart**  
Complex General Manager  
D: 415-202-7096

[HILTON SAN FRANCISCO UNION SQUARE & HILTON PARC 55 SAN FRANCISCO](#)  
333 O'Farrell Street | San Francisco CA 94102 | USA

---

This transmission is not a digital or electronic signature and cannot be used to form, document, or authenticate a contract. Hilton and its affiliates accept no liability arising in connection with this transmission. Copyright 2024 Hilton Proprietary and Confidential

**From:** [Mariann Costello](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Welcome Ambassador Program  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 1:22:14 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)  
[Welcome Ambassadors.pdf](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thank you for your consideration of this vital program.

Mariann Costello



Mariann Costello | President  
Scoma's Restaurant  
1965 Al Scoma Way on Pier 47 | San Francisco, CA 94133  
Direct 415.771.1541 | Main 415.771.4383 | Mobile 415.999.4384

**From:** [Cassandra Costello](#)  
**To:** [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** SF Welcome Ambassador Program Feedback  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 12:36:18 PM  
**Attachments:** [Welcome Ambassador Program Feedback \(emails\).pdf](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good Afternoon Supervisor Chan,

We have compiled the attached “best of” list of feedback from locals, visitors, conference meeting attendees, and meeting planners regarding the San Francisco Welcome Ambassador Program. You’ll find feedback from 2022 listed first through to current year. We are happy to answer any questions about the program or provide more feedback from the public that we have received (we get positive feedback every day!).

Thanks in advance for your time and consideration.

Cassandra



**Cassandra Costello** (she/her/hers)  
EVP, Chief Policy and External Affairs Officer  
E [ccostello@sftravel.com](mailto:ccostello@sftravel.com) | T 415.227.2655

**San Francisco Travel** | One Post Street, Suite 2700 | San Francisco, CA 94104  
[sftravel.com](http://sftravel.com) | Follow us on [Facebook](#) + [Twitter](#)

[Explore our NEW 2024 Official Visitor's Guide](#)

[San Francisco Named One of the 50 World's Greatest Places by Time Magazine](#)

**From:** [Cassandra Costello](#)  
**To:** [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: San Francisco Welcome Ambassador Program Letter of Support Request  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 12:17:26 PM  
**Attachments:** [San Francisco Welcome Ambassador Program Letter of Support.pdf](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Chan,

Thank you for your continued leadership on the Budget and Finance Committee.

I'm forwarding a letter we received from Clif Clark at the Westin St. Francis, in support of the San Francisco Welcome Ambassador Program.

Thank you in advance for your consideration to continue the program as it has been a massive success as we rebuild our visitor economy and our meetings and conventions business.

Thanks,

Cassandra



**Cassandra Costello** (she/her/hers)  
EVP, Chief Policy and External Affairs Officer  
E [ccostello@sfrtravel.com](mailto:ccostello@sfrtravel.com) | T 415.227.2655

**San Francisco Travel** | One Post Street, Suite 2700 | San Francisco, CA 94104  
[sfrtravel.com](http://sfrtravel.com) | Follow us on [Facebook](#) + [Twitter](#)

[Explore our NEW 2024 Official Visitor's Guide](#)

[San Francisco Named One of the 50 World's Greatest Places by Time Magazine](#)

---

**From:** Gamboa, Sydnie <[Sydnie.Gamboa@westin.com](mailto:Sydnie.Gamboa@westin.com)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 6, 2024 5:54 PM  
**To:** Mandy Hall <[mhall@sftid.com](mailto:mhall@sftid.com)>  
**Cc:** Clif Clark <[Clif.Clark@westin.com](mailto:Clif.Clark@westin.com)>  
**Subject:** RE: San Francisco Welcome Ambassador Program Letter of Support Request

You don't often get email from [sydnie.gamboa@westin.com](mailto:sydnie.gamboa@westin.com). [Learn why this is important](#)

Hello Mandy,

Please see attached for Clif's support letter. Thank you!

Best,

# Sydney Gamboa

Executive Assistant to the Area General Manager and Hotel Manager

THE WESTIN ST. FRANCIS SAN FRANCISCO ON UNION SQUARE

335 Powell Street

San Francisco, CA 94102

United States

[www.westinstfrancis.com](http://www.westinstfrancis.com)

[Join Marriott Bonvoy Today!](#)

T +1 415 774 0105 M +1 415 725 1454 E [sydney.gamboa@westin.com](mailto:sydney.gamboa@westin.com)

[Marriott Bonvoy](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Instagram](#) | [Hotel Video](#) | [Virtual Tour](#)

[Voted Best Hotel in the Bay Area By Travel Awaits](#)

**From:** [Brittney Beck](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [DPH - cassandra](#)  
**Subject:** Support for Welcome Ambassadors  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 10:58:09 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair Chan,

I am writing to convey my strong support for the continuation of the San Francisco Welcome Ambassador Program.

I have gotten such positive feedback from my guests about the Ambassador Program, they are truly an asset at making our visitors feel secure in our city. They offer a wealth of information and services. In addition to answering questions and providing information, the ambassadors diffuse street incidents, offer immediate assistance in many medical situations, are a key city partner in calling in street cleanliness issues and support our most vulnerable populations by referring them to agencies that can provide supportive services.

The presence of Welcome Ambassadors has made visitors feel safe and welcome. Understanding this, the Welcome Ambassador Program has played a significant role in persuading meeting planners to bring their conventions, large and small, to San Francisco. This has resulted in a large current and future economic impact, supporting local businesses and bringing back much needed jobs to the hospitality industry and our small business community.

As the owner of Beck's Motor Lodge, my small business truly feels the positive impact of the Welcome Ambassadors.

Thank you for supporting the SF Welcome Ambassador Program.



Brittney Beck  
2222 Market St.,  
San Francisco | CA | 94114  
p: 415-621-8212



**From:** [Baier, Michael \(SFORD-F\)](#)  
**To:** [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Cassandra Costello](#)  
**Subject:** I Support Our Welcome Ambassador Program!  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 9:51:36 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)  
[I Support our Welcome Ambassadors!.docx](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings esteemed civic leaders! Please see attached.

Thank you!

**Michael Baier**  
Managing Director

**Hyatt Regency San Francisco Downtown SOMA**

50 Third Street, San Francisco, CA, USA

O: +1 415 974 8762 | [michael.baier@hyatt.com](mailto:michael.baier@hyatt.com)

M: +1 415 432 0857

[hyattregencysanfranciscodowntownsoma.com](http://hyattregencysanfranciscodowntownsoma.com)



THINK BEFORE YOU PRINT: Please consider the environment before printing this email.

[Facebook](#) | [Instagram](#) | [LinkedIn](#)

**From:** [Doug McKirahan](#)  
**To:** [mtaboard@sfmta.com](mailto:mtaboard@sfmta.com); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [info@sfcta.org](mailto:info@sfcta.org); [SFOSB \(ECN\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Keep West Portal Open to ALL  
**Date:** Saturday, June 8, 2024 3:36:03 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is **Doug McKirahan**  
My email address is **ratt57@pacbell.net**

I strongly object to the MTA draft plan that proposes limiting cars at the West Portal and Ulloa intersection. This proposal lacks evidence linking it to the recent car accident. While the cause of the accident remains undisclosed, the MTA hastily asserted the intersection's safety merely a week after the incident.

This plan seems like an opportunistic move, capitalizing on a recent tragedy for political gain, driven by advocacy groups disconnected from our neighborhood's realities. Despite alternative, more sensible traffic calming suggestions from merchants and residents, these have been disregarded.

Implementing this proposal would exacerbate traffic congestion on West Portal, harm local businesses, and inconvenience residents who rely on cars, including the elderly, families, disabled individuals, and commuters. Despite the MTA's acknowledgment that this intersection has a low history of injury incidents, they persist with this plan.

Instead of unilateral action, resources should be directed towards collaborating with the community to find effective traffic solutions and addressing genuinely hazardous areas. The lack of stakeholder involvement and the rushed 10-day feedback window demonstrate recklessness on the part of the MTA.

No changes should be made until the completion of the L Taraval project, allowing for a thorough evaluation of emerging traffic patterns. This plan must be retracted entirely, with residents and businesses directly engaged in any future alterations to West Portal traffic management.

Sincerely,  
Doug McKirahan



Hello! My name is Randy Blaustein; I am a recently retired Program Specialist of the Pomeroy Recreation & Rehab Center. I am reaching out to all of you with the hope that you will discuss this the next time you meet, & hopefully do something to prevent anything like this from happening to another person with I/DD again. Please read:

In January 2022, one of the Center's participants, Silvia's father fell, & had to go to the hospital. Her relatives were caring for her in his absence. She couldn't keep food down, so they brought her to St Luke's. St Luke's discharged her after a few hours. She still couldn't keep food down, so they brought her to UCSF. This is where it gets sketchy. The Center's consent forms for Silvia's medical info expired; because of everything that had transpired, we weren't able to have the forms for 2022 signed. UCSF discharged Silvia to a SNF, Windsor Monterey. I was able to piece together what happened between her health coach & a relative who helped care for her; unfortunately, by the time I learned all of this, she had already been transferred to Monterey.

She was subjected to substandard care at the SNF; developed decubitus (she was mobile in a wheelchair, & had bilateral hand contractures, so she wasn't able to get out of bed independently) & wasn't eating. By the time they sent her to the Community Hospital of the Monterey Peninsula, the decubitus was infected; she was sepsis & her organs were shutting down. The MD told her cousin (Silvia's father asked him to step in to make decisions for her), she was malnourished & couldn't fight the infection; she was dying, & they moved her to hospice. Her cousin & his partner stayed in a hotel 3 minutes away from the hospice, so at least Silvia wasn't alone in her last days; she passed away on April 9th, 2022. They FaceTimed with me from the hospice so I could say goodbye to Silvia. She was shaking, no longer able to speak, made a guttural sound when she saw me, & cried. I am still not able to talk about what happened to her without falling apart.

GGRC didn't know she was hospitalized at UCSF; UCSF had not contacted them; there is no record in GGRC's call logs of any GGRC staff communicating with them. I called GGRC & was able to speak with a manager, who took on Silvia's case. GGRC found a carehome for Silvia in South SF, but it was too late to move her.

I was able to FaceTime with Silvia while she was at the SNF; the Activities Coordinator there set up appointments for her. Silvia was pale, was having difficulty sitting up & keeping her head up, looked like she had lost a lot of weight, & kept saying it hurts, but was unable to articulate what hurt. Windsor wouldn't share medical info with us.

I called UCSF case management after Silvia's demise to tell them what had befallen her. Two admins called me. They said her father gave consent to the transfer. In the best of times, he was challenging to talk to; he'd answer questions with non sequiturs. Pre-pandemic, he wouldn't allow her to stay at Pomeroy respite overnight, a few miles away, so I fail to believe he understood what he was consenting to, especially since he was incapacitated. I am outraged that UCSF thought it was okay to send a 43 year old woman with I/DD, unable to advocate for herself, who had never lived with anyone but her family, so far away from her circle of support.

**I want justice for Silvia. We need legislation to prevent hospitals from discharging people with I/DD out of county. This can not happen again! Windsor has facilities all over the place, for-profit SNFs. There have been multiple lawsuits against this company citing substandard care, & this is where UCSF sent her!**

We had a memorial for Silvia at the Pomeroy Center on the 21st of April, 2022. I still can't believe she's gone. Silvia had been coming here since she was 14 years old, & was on my caseload for 6 years.



**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: JFK Drive  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 12, 2024 8:20:06 AM

---

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding John F. Kennedy Drive.

Regards,

John Bullock  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisor  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-5184  
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Brenda Lee <Brenda.Lee.497604325@grassrootsmessage.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 11, 2024 5:59 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** JFK Drive

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

The current closure of JFK Drive severely impacts people with disabilities, seniors, and communities not directly neighboring Golden Gate Park.

As we emerge from COVID, it's time to reopen JFK Drive. Golden Gate Park belongs to the people of San Francisco, not just a few.

I strongly encourage you to support JFK Drive returning to the conditions pre-COVID, with all roadways open to vehicle traffic and street closures on Sundays, holidays and Saturdays, 6 months of the year.

Regards,

Brenda Lee

<<http://admin.phone2action.com/email/open/leg/633598/127791074>> <<https://u1993878.ct.sendgrid.net/wf/open?upn=u001.M4e5TqSVyPqHoKomd3Bba-2B3s6Hod5iPA545Q2SiW2x1aE5C-2FliSzWNUuLUKxi26VYnS-2BPV9oJ6WYIMxA3I6zRIg-2FCyYo2SLiuXaoRpDC47zsEMPgVV4lsBbwRMYMOWLiozkwAR2ksPG89owaMrHqj0Tpi69fiHmhADqWZJuEu7tuT2doFdm-2FEKEAVIRYtX0WBZ1aSZAAIKTIWIdduXuR8-2F2Fa1cn-2BpzavNxtJrRdUusLYTikGGfc-2BxaGQ-2B1OJ5my6XjGgKIhRbaLDfrnA1FU08Gm-2B10Owg7knP-2FsS8jJ64PVTAlnJuFXSAyWRAYVvyUhc9-2By6PuRDYQTS4b1RTYTdoY3L1V9kRSSbGtedsT3Rd1RWcxyYcTPh2t87HQ>>