BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

November 4, 2015

The Honorable John K. Stewart
Presiding Judge
Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco
400 McAllister Street
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Judge Stewart:

The following is a status report on the 2014-2015 Civil Grand Jury Report (Report), "Unfinished Business: A Continuity Report on the 2011-12 Report, Déjà vu All Over Again."

The Board of Supervisors Government Audit and Oversight Committee conducted a public hearing on October 15, 2015, to discuss the findings and recommendations of the Civil Grand Jury and responses to the report by departments.

The following city departments submitted a response to the Civil Grand Jury (copies enclosed):

- Mayor's Office, dated September 18, 2015, submitted a consolidated response for
 - a. Department of Technology
 - b. Office of the Controller
 - c. Department of Human Resources (Finding Nos. 1 through 7 and Recommendations Nos. 1 through 10)

The Report was heard in committee and a Resolution was prepared for Board of Supervisors approval October 20, 2015, formally accepted or rejected the findings and recommendations requiring the Board of Supervisors response (copy of Resolution No. 388-15 enclosed).

If you have any questions, please contact me at (415) 554-5184 or Erica. Major@sfgov.org.

Sincerely,

Angela Calvillo

Clerk of the Board

Response to Civil Grand Jury Report Unfinished Business: A Continuity Report on the 2011-12 Report, Déjà vu All Over Again November 4, 2015 Page 2

c:

Members, Board of Supervisors Jay Cunningham, 2015-2016 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Alison Scott, 2015-2016 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Janice Pettey, 2014-2015 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Philip Reed, 2014-2015 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Kate Howard, Mayor's Office Chris Simi, Mayor's Office Nicole Elliott, Mayor's Office Miguel Gamino, Department of Technology Bryant Bailess, Department of Technology Ben Rosenfield, Office of the Controller Todd Rydstrom, Office of the Controller Asja Steeves, Office of the Controller Micki Callahan, Department of Human Resources Susan Gard, Department of Human Resources Jon Givner, City Attorney's Office Rick Caldeira, Legislative Deputy Severin Campbell, Budget and Legislative Analyst Debra Newman, Budget and Legislative Analyst Jadie Wasilco, Budget and Legislative Analyst



City and County of San Francisco Certified Copy

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Resolution

150609

[Board Response - Civil Grand Jury - Unfinished Business: A Continuity Report on the 2011-12 Report, Déjà Vu All Over Again]

Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in the 2014-2015 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled "Unfinished Business: A Continuity Report on the 2011-12 Report, Déjà Vu All Over Again;" and urging the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget. (Clerk of the Board)

10/20/2015 Board of Supervisors - ADOPTED

Ayes: 10 - Avalos, Breed, Campos, Christensen, Cohen, Farrell, Kim, Mar, Tang and

Wiener

Absent: 1 - Yee

10/30/2015 Mayor - RETURNED UNSIGNED

STATE OF CALIFORNIA CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO CLERK'S CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution is a full, true, and correct copy of the original thereof on file in this office.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the offical seal of the City and County of San Francisco.

November 02, 2015

Date

Angela Calvillo

Clerk of the Board

AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 10/15/2015 RESOLUTION NO. 388-15

FILE NO. 150609

g

[Board Response - Civil Grand Jury - Unfinished Business: A Continuity Report on the 2011-12 Report, Déjà Vu All Over Again]

Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in the 2014-2015 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled "Unfinished Business: A Continuity Report on the 2011-12 Report, Déjà Vu All Over Again;" and urging the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget.

WHEREAS, Under California Penal Code, Section 933 et seq., the Board of Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in Civil Grand Jury Reports; and

WHEREAS, In accordance with California Penal Code, Section 933.05(c), if a finding or recommendation of the Civil Grand Jury addresses budgetary or personnel matters of a county agency or a department headed by an elected officer, the agency or department head and the Board of Supervisors shall respond if requested by the Civil Grand Jury, but the response of the Board of Supervisors shall address only budgetary or personnel matters over which it has some decision making authority; and

WHEREAS, Under San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 2.10(a), the Board of Supervisors must conduct a public hearing by a committee to consider a final report of the findings and recommendations submitted, and notify the current foreperson and immediate past foreperson of the civil grand jury when such hearing is scheduled; and

WHEREAS, In accordance with San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 2.10(b), the Controller must report to the Board of Supervisors on the implementation of

recommendations that pertain to fiscal matters that were considered at a public hearing held by a Board of Supervisors Committee; and

WHEREAS, The 2014-2015 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Unfinished Business: A Continuity Report on the 2011-12 Report, Déjà Vu All Over Again" is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 150609, which is hereby declared to be a part of this resolution as if set forth fully herein; and

WHEREAS, The Civil Grand Jury has requested that the Board of Supervisors respond to Finding Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7, as well as Recommendation Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report; and

WHEREAS, Finding No. 1 states: "The City has not prioritized critical network infrastructure investments, as demonstrated by their failure to fund essential network improvements;" and

WHEREAS, Finding No. 2 states: "Significant problems still exist within DT [Department of Technology] that limit the services it provides to departments, largely due to their inability to fill job positions and funding restraints;" and

WHEREAS, Finding No. 3 states: "The planned reorganization of DT to designate a responsible party to each department could be a positive step in building DT's credibility;" and

WHEREAS, Finding No. 4 states: "DT lacks business analyst capabilities to launch new initiatives and implement processes to make DT more efficient and effective;" and

WHEREAS, Finding No. 5 states: "The skills inventory capability of the eMerge PeopleSoft system, as currently configured, will not enable Department Heads to quickly identify City employees with skill sets in demand;" and

WHEREAS, Finding No. 6 states: "DHR's [The Department of Human Resources'] efforts through the IT [Information Technology] Hiring Group to stimulate IT recruitment and streamline IT hiring will not sufficiently impact departmental IT units and DT;" and

WHEREAS, Finding No. 7 states: "The absence of a way to quickly bring in technology resources, whether on an "at will" or CSS [Civil Service System] basis, puts the City at a great disadvantage in hiring, and potentially at risk, in all of its technology initiatives;" and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 1 states: "The Mayor should prioritize the network infrastructure and fully fund the required investment in this foundational platform;" and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 2 states: "The Mayor and Board of Supervisors should require a six-month and twelve-month report on the status of the DT reorganization;" and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 3 states: "A user satisfaction survey should be sent to all DT clients, before the end of 2015 and later in six months after the reorganization, to assess whether the new accountability structure is making a difference for clients;" and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 4 states: "The Office of the Controller should develop the skills inventory capability in the eMerge PeopleSoft system to update IT employee skills by the end of FY2015-2016;" and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 5 states: "DHR should publicly present the results of its pilot IT hiring process to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors before the end of CY [Calendar Year] 2015;" and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 6 states: "DHR should issue a monthly written report to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors showing the number of open IT positions at the beginning of the month, the number of new IT position requisitions received in the current month, the number of IT positions filled in the current month, the number of open IT positions at the end of the month, and the average number of days required to fill the IT positions closed in the current month;" and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 7 states: "DT should launch a taskforce to recommend options for recruiting and hiring IT staff, particularly on an "at will" basis;" and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 8 states: "The Mayor and Board of Supervisors should calendar an interim review of taskforce proposals within six months of its convening;" and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 9 states: "DT needs a recruiter dedicated exclusively to DT and other IT units' staffing needs;" and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 10 states: "DT needs to hire business analyst talent for the taskforce, new reorganization, and new initiatives;" and

WHEREAS, In accordance with California Penal Code, Section 933.05(c), the Board of Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on Finding Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7, as well as Recommendation Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that they disagree wholly with Finding No. 1 for reasons as follows: Major IT infrastructure projects have been a major focus for the City. Coordinated efforts to improve the City's Network infrastructure are included as a priority in the Information & Communication Technology (ICT) Plan; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that they disagree wholly with Finding No. 2 for reasons as follows: The Board of Supervisor has no jurisdiction over the hiring processes that DHR and DT have established and continue to update. The Board, through the Annual Budget process, has also made significant investments in the Department; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that they agree with Finding No. 3; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that they disagree partially with Finding No. 4 for reasons as follows: The Board of Supervisors was able to fund

both the new Business Engagement Manager position and a second business analyst requested by DT; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that they agree with Finding No. 5; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that they disagree wholly with Finding No. 6 for reasons as follows: The Board of Supervisors has no jurisdiction over the hiring processes that DHR and DT have established and continue to update; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that they disagree wholly with Finding No. 7 for reasons as follows: The Board of Supervisor has no jurisdiction over the hiring processes that DHR and DT have established and continue to update; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation No. 1 has been implemented for reasons as follows: As the Mayor's response indicates, "the 'Fix the Network' project was highlighted as high priority into the most recent ICT plan and funded with \$4.3 million in the Mayor's FYs 2015-2016 and 2016-2017 budget – the largest single allocation from COIT's [Committee on Information Technology] annual project allocation;" and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation No. 2 will not be implemented for reasons as follows: While any individual supervisor can call a hearing on this topic at any time, the Board of Supervisors cannot specifically predict if or when one may do so. The Board President sits on COIT, which will be receiving updates on DT's progress. And as the Department's response indicates, "in September [DT] began releasing a monthly project status and key performance indicator report for department heads,

including measures on services performed at project levels, network uptime, and other yet-tobe determined metrics;" and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation No. 3 has not yet been implemented, but will be implemented in the future for reasons as follows: This is largely beyond the jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors, but as the department's response indicates, DT plans to distribute an initial survey before the end of 2015 with a follow-up survey by the end of FY2015-2016. The Board will conduct a follow-up hearing by January 2016; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation No. 4 has not yet been implemented, but will be implemented in the future for reasons as follows: As the Controller's response indicates, it plans to implement this recommendation within the specified time frame, by the end of FY2015-2016. The Board will conduct a follow-up hearing by January 2016; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation No. 5 has not yet been implemented, but will be in the future for reasons as follows: As the Department's response indicates, DHR plans to complete this by the end of FY2015-2016. The Board will conduct a follow-up hearing by January 2016; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation No. 6 will not be implemented for reasons as follows: Implementation of this recommendation is largely beyond the jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors. While any individual supervisor could call a hearing on this topic or request a report at any time, the Board of Supervisors cannot specifically predict if or when one may do so. Moreover, reports with this level of granularity and frequency would likely be excessive for the Board's purposes; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation No. 7 has been implemented for reasons as follows: As DT's response indicates, this task

force was developed in response to the Civil Grand Jury's previous report. The Board of Supervisors thanks the Civil Grand Jury members for their contributions and role in improving city-wide IT; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation No. 8 has been implemented for reasons as follows: The task force has and will again present to COIT, a body on which the President of the Board of Supervisors and many other City leaders sit; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation No. 9 will not be implemented for reasons as follows: This is largely beyond the jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors, though the Board will support DT in its efforts and evaluate any possible staffing requests during the annual budget process; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation No. 10 has been implemented for reasons as follows: This is largely beyond the jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors, but as the Department's response indicates, DT has "created a Business Engagement Office as part of its reorganization" that is working on these efforts and has funding for additional staff; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget.



City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Resolution

File Number:

150609

Date Passed: October 20, 2015

Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in the 2014-2015 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled "Unfinished Business: A Continuity Report on the 2011-12 Report, Déjà Vu All Over Again;" and urging the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget.

October 15, 2015 Government Audit and Oversight Committee - AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE

October 15, 2015 Government Audit and Oversight Committee - RECOMMENDED AS AMENDED AS A COMMITTEE REPORT

October 20, 2015 Board of Supervisors - ADOPTED

Ayes: 10 - Avalos, Breed, Campos, Christensen, Cohen, Farrell, Kim, Mar, Tang and Wiener Absent: 1 - Yee

File No. 150609

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED on 10/20/2015 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board

 Unsigned
 10/30/15

 Mayor
 Date Approved

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution, not being signed by the Mayor within the time limit as set forth in Section 3.103 of the Charter, or time waived pursuant to Board Rule 2.14.2, became effective without his approval in accordance with the provision of said Section 3.103 of the Charter or Board Rule 2.14.2.

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board 10 30 15 Date

File No. 150609

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR SAN FRANCISCO



File Nos. 150608 and 150609 EDWIN M. LEE

Received via Email

9/18/2015

MAYOR

September 18, 2015

The Honorable John K. Stewart Presiding Judge Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco 400 McAllister Street San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Judge Stewart:

Pursuant to Penal Code sections 933 and 933.05, the following is in reply to the 2014-2015 Civil Grand Jury report, Unfinished Business: A Continuity Report on the 2011-12 Report, Déjà Vu All Over Again. We would like to thank the members of the Civil Grand Jury for their interest in the quality of San Francisco's information technology (IT) infrastructure and associated staff.

Since the 2011-12 Civil Grand Jury report, the City has made significant efforts to improve coordination and funding of IT needs citywide. The City's Committee on Information Technology (COIT) has continued to evolve as the City's central IT policy, planning, and fiscal coordination body. In the spring of 2015, COIT released the third update to the 5-Year Information & Communication Technology (ICT) Plan, which spans fiscal years (FY) 2016-2020. The ICT Plan serves as the City's framework to proactively plan, invest, and implement IT projects which align with the City's goals of innovation, sustainability, and resilience. The latest iteration of the plan recommends historic levels of funding for IT infrastructure, replacement of legacy systems, and annual projects—recommending \$150 million in General Fund investments over the five-year time period through the COIT Annual Project Allocation and the newly created Major IT Project Allocation. This recommended level of IT funding was assumed in the City's Five Year Financial Plan for the same time period.

In addition to the citywide efforts mentioned above, the Department of Technology is in the process of implementing a reorganization with the goals of achieving better efficiency, improved service to client departments, and addressing recruitment and workforce development needs.

A detailed response from the Mayor's Office, the Controller's Office, the Department of Technology, and the Department of Human Resources to the Civil Grand Jury's findings and recommendations follows.

Thank you again for the opportunity to comment on this Civil Grand Jury report.

Sincerely,

Edwin M. Lee

Mayor

Miguel Gamiño, Jr.

Chief Information Officer

Ben Rosenfield

Controller

Micki Callahan

Human Resources Director

<u>Finding 1</u>: The City has not prioritized critical network infrastructure investments, as demonstrated by their failure to fund essential network improvements.

Disagree with finding, wholly. The City has made significant steps in prioritizing and planning for major IT infrastructure projects, maintenance and renewal. The Department of Technology's (DT) plan to improve the network, the "Fix the Network" or "Fix the Fundamentals" project, was identified as a top City priority in the FY 2015-16 – FY 2019-20 Information & Communication Technology (ICT) Plan. By the end of FY 2016-17, the City will have invested over \$6.5 million in this project alone, above and beyond DT's operating budget. In addition, the City has invested over \$7 million in building and consolidating data centers and nearly \$3.5 million in disaster recovery through DT's budget. This is all while the City's investments in IT projects citywide have reached historic levels and are planned to grow to over \$150 million over the next 5 years, as laid out in the latest ICT Plan.

<u>Recommendation 1:</u> The Mayor should prioritize the network infrastructure and fully fund the required investment in this foundational platform.

Recommendation has been implemented.

As described in the response to Finding 1, the City has made significant commitments to strengthening the City's network infrastructure through DT's "Fix the Network" project and other citywide efforts around maintenance, disaster recovery, and data center consolidation. As evidence of this commitment, the "Fix the Network" project was highlighted as high priority into the most recent ICT plan and funded with \$4.3 million in the Mayor's FY 2015-16 and 2016-17 budget- the largest single allocation from COIT's annual project allocation. Additionally, funding for DT's operational budget has continued to grow to support the ongoing capacity of the department to prioritize this project and support its ongoing maintenance.

<u>Finding 2</u>: Significant problems still exist within DT that limit the services it provides to departments, largely due to their inability to fill job positions and funding restraints.

Disagree with finding, in part.

It is challenging to recruit information technology professionals to City jobs in today's competitive market. DT continues to work closely with the Department of Human Resources (DHR) on initiatives designed to reduce vacancy rates and streamline hiring. These initiatives include a modernized continuous testing program for permanent civil service employees, clarification of project hires, and a new branding and recruitment campaign. DT has implemented a comprehensive four tier plan to prioritize staffing needs and expedite new hires with DHR.

<u>Finding 3:</u> The planned reorganization of DT to designate a responsible party to each department could be a positive step in building DT's credibility.

Agree with finding.

<u>Finding 4:</u> DT lacks business analyst capabilities to launch new initiatives and implement processes to make DT more efficient and effective.

Disagree with finding, in part.

A major goal of DT's reorganization is improvement of the department's business analyst capabilities, while making existing resources more efficient and effective. To this end, DT created a new Business Engagement Manager position and added a second business analyst position in the current fiscal year.

The Business Engagement staff will follow client relationship best practices and seek to create a value-based partnership between DT and City departments through coordination of IT project delivery, services delivery, and vendor relationship management. The staff will also focus on engaging with DT clients to meet their emerging needs and fostering new department and City wide initiatives.

Recommendation 2: The Mayor and Board of Supervisors should require a six-month and twelve-month report on the status of the DT reorganization.

Recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted.

Through the annual budget process, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors have reviewed the Department of Technology's position changes and new organizational structure. Any further changes will be reviewed as part of future budget cycles.

Additionally, in September the department began releasing a monthly project status and key performance indicator report for department heads, including measures on services performed at project levels, network uptime, and other yet-to-be determined metrics. The report will reflect the impacts of the reorganization on service delivery. It will be summarized and presented at public COIT meetings.

<u>Recommendation 3:</u> A user satisfaction survey should be sent to all DT clients, before the end of 2015 and later in six months after the reorganization, to assess whether the new accountability structure is making a difference for clients.

Recommendation has not been, but will be, implemented in the future.

DT agrees with the recommendation and will implement both survey recommendations in the proposed timeline - an initial survey before the end of the CY 2015 and follow-up survey by the end of FY 2015-16.

<u>Finding 5:</u> The skills inventory capability of the eMerge PeopleSoft system, as currently configured, will not enable Department Heads to quickly identify City employees with skill sets in demand.

Agree with finding. The capability is currently in the eMerge PeopleSoft system, but needs departmental configuration to: 1) identify specific skills, 2) link the skills to job codes and positions, which then, 3) aligns the skills to employees by position. As departments implement ePerformance, this process can be employed to meaningfully address their specific needs as well as to address overarching general skills and competencies. Please see the response to Recommendation 4 for more details on the implementation timeline of this initiative.

<u>Recommendation 4:</u> The Office of the Controller should develop the skills inventory capability in the eMerge PeopleSoft system to update IT employee skills by the end of FY15-16.

Recommendation has not been, but will be, implemented in the future.

The Office of the Controller agrees with this recommendation. The Office of the Controller is advancing this capability through the eMerge PeopleSoft system which includes functionality to house a skills inventory and link those skills to job classifications, positions, and employees- successful implementation is

dependent on citywide departmental engagement and adoption. At the center of this functionality is the use of "competencies," which in PeopleSoft are used to define skills and levels of proficiency expected for job classifications and positions. By properly using the competency and performance appraisal features in the ePerformance module in PeopleSoft, the City could develop skills inventory capability.

The current ePerformance Pilot Project is implementing competency and skills assessment for the FY 2015-16 performance appraisal period. The pilot project includes 41 job classifications and 595 employees at the Airport Commission, Controller's Office, Department of Public Health, and Public Utilities Commission. The Controller's Office and its eMerge Division are soliciting additional departments to leverage the ePerformance module for FY 2016-17 performance appraisals. The Office of the Controller will work with the Department of Human Resources and Department of Technology toward citywide deployment after the pilot is successfully concluded.

<u>Finding 6:</u> DHR's efforts through the IT Hiring Group to stimulate IT recruitment and streamline IT hiring will not sufficiently impact departmental IT units and DT.

Disagree with finding, wholly.

The IT Hiring Group, which includes DHR, DT, the Controller's Office, Public Health, and other City departments, continues to move forward with initiatives to improve the City's ability to hire top-notch IT professionals. Every factor impacting hiring is under review and subject to redesign, including: understanding the needs of the market; creating a brand and launching recruitment campaigns; improving the candidate hiring experience; changing workplace culture; revising policies; and utilizing exempt hiring as appropriate. DT will provide funds to hire a temporary recruiter to assist DHR with expedited IT outreach and hiring.

Recommendation 5: DHR should publicly present the results of its pilot IT hiring process to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors before the end of CY 2015.

Recommendation has not been, but will be, implemented in the future.

The Department of Human Resources is currently expanding its IT hiring pilot, in cooperation with the Department of Technology, the Controller's Office and other City departments. The results will not be ready for presentation at the end of calendar year 2015, but the department projects they will be available by the end of FY 2015-16 and will present these findings to the public COIT oversight body, which includes representatives of both the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors.

<u>Recommendation 6:</u> DHR should issue a monthly written report to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors showing the number of open IT positions at the beginning of the month, the number of new IT position requisitions received in the current month, the number of IT positions filled in the current month, the number of open IT positions at the end of the month, and the average number of days required to fill the IT positions closed in the current month.

Recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted.

DHR regularly reports to the Committee on Information Technology (COIT) on the status of the IT Hiring Group's progress, so further reporting is not operationally beneficial at this time. For context, current results reflect that approval of a department's request to fill a position, a process managed by DHR and the Mayor's Office, takes an average of four days. Other parts of the hiring process are managed at the department level, where extended periods of time between when a position goes vacant and when a

department submits a request a hire occurs, based on the department's immediate priorities, needs, and goals. There may also be periods of time between when the request to hire is approved and when a person is actually hired, due to circumstances such as lack of an adequate candidate pool. Without any context on where a vacancy actually is in the hiring process, and departmental insight into why a position remains vacant, a monthly set of data will not shed any light on why an IT job remains unfilled.

DHR and the Mayor's Office are pursuing numerous, potentially impactful improvements to processes and systems that will create more transparency for hiring in general.

Additionally, DHR regularly reports to the Civil Service Commission on matters under its jurisdiction. Annual reports to the Civil Service Commission, which are relevant to IT hiring, include:

- Appointments Exempt from Civil Service under the 1996 Charter Section 10.104 1 through 10.104 12
- Appointments Exempt from Civil Service under the 1996 Charter Section 10.104 Categories16 through 18
- Position-Based Testing Program
- Class Consolidation

<u>Finding 7:</u> The absence of a way to quickly bring in technology resources, whether on an "at will" or CSS basis, puts the City at a great disadvantage in hiring, and potentially at risk, in all of its technology initiatives.

Disagree with finding, in part.

DHR supports the principles of the merit system, which provides equal opportunity for employment to all applicants, and helps ensure employees are selected and promoted based on merit, and without discrimination. The City's civil service system provides options to hire exempt employees. DHR, along with the IT Hiring Group, is clarifying these options as part of the IT hiring program.

DHR and the IT Hiring Group continue to improve hiring, as stated in response to finding six. The progress being made was noted in the City Services Auditor (CSA) Performance Unit's April 2015 hiring report.

Recommendation 7: DT should launch a taskforce to recommend options for recruiting and hiring IT staff, particularly on an "at will" basis.

Recommendation has been implemented.

In its original report, the Civil Grand Jury recommended that the Mayor's Office and DHR convene a taskforce to develop methods to speed up the process for hiring IT personnel in the absence of making all IT positions exempt, which would require a Charter change. The taskforce was convened and included DT, DHR, the Mayor's Office, the Controller's Office, other City departments, and IFPTE Local 21. As noted in response to recommendations five and six, this group developed and implemented interim strategies to improve hiring, including a pilot online, on-demand exam. The pilot exam was successful, but only impacted one portion of the hiring process. As noted in response to finding two, this group is implementing a comprehensive plan to improve IT hiring.

<u>Recommendation 8:</u> The Mayor and Board of Supervisors should calendar an interim review of taskforce proposals within six months of its convening.

Recommendation has been implemented.

The taskforce, described in the response to Recommendation 7, presented to the public COIT body in their September 19th, 2013 meeting and updated the group on January 29th, 2015. The taskforce will continue to present updates and proposals to the public COIT body in the future.

Recommendation 9: DT needs a recruiter dedicated exclusively to DT and other IT units' staffing needs.

Recommendation has not been, but will be, implemented in the future.

As part of the larger departmental reorganization, DT has prioritized existing resources in the current fiscal year to support the existing efforts to improve IT recruitment through DHR. The department is in the process of identifying the appropriate staff position to focus on expedited outreach and hiring for IT positions. The ongoing nature of this position will be re-evaluated at the fiscal year end as part of the larger taskforce planning and recommendations for improving the City's IT hiring.

Recommendation 10: DT needs to hire business analyst talent for the taskforce, new reorganization, and new initiatives.

Recommendation has been implemented.

As described in the response to Finding 4, DT created a Business Engagement Office as part of its reorganization. The purpose of the Business Engagement Office is to utilize best practices for client engagement, service delivery, and vendor relationship management. The Office is currently staffed by an existing staff member with budget approval to add an additional staff member in the current fiscal year. The department intends to continually evaluate the needs of the team and consider adding additional resources in coming fiscal years.