

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Romic Aevaz](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Public Comment: Land Use and Transportation Committee Item 1 - BOS File No. 230704
Date: Tuesday, December 12, 2023 12:00:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)
[image006.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

By copy of this message to the board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org email address, your comments will be forwarded to the full membership of the Board of Supervisors. I will include your comments in the file for this ordinance matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 230704](#)

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Romic Aevaz <Romic.Aevaz@evgo.com>
Sent: Monday, December 11, 2023 1:38 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public Comment: Land Use and Transportation Committee Item 1

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors:

On behalf of EVgo, I am writing to respectfully request a continuance on File 230704 Planning Code - Fleet Charging Locations and Parcel Delivery Service, as the EV charging industry will need more time to evaluate the impact of these proposed amendments. We are concerned that this proposal will add further obstacles and have significant, unintended consequences on the ability for fleets to electrify in San Francisco.

We appreciate the Board's focus on these issues, and are cognizant of the unique position that fleet charging occupies in the broader discussion around fleets and parcel delivery. We are concerned, however, that these proposals will discourage local fleets (particularly small and medium sized fleets who are unable to charge on their own property) from electrifying by limiting where and how fleet charging can be provided.

We are hopeful that the industry can continue good-faith discussions with the Board and staff to bring forward consensus recommendations for regulating fleet charging.

Thank you,

Romic

Romic Aevaz

Associate, Market Development and Public Policy



From: [Chris Wright](#)
To: [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Dennis-Phillips, Sarah \(ECN\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Advance SF letter re: Amendment to the Fleet Charging Locations and Parcel Delivery Service ordinance (File# 230704)
Date: Monday, December 11, 2023 9:28:51 AM
Attachments: [Advance SF Letter re amendment to Fleet Charging Locations and Parcel Delivery Service ordinance.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

December 11, 2023

The Honorable Myrna Melgar
The Honorable Dean Preston
The Honorable Aaron Peskin

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Land Use and Transportation Committee
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Fleet Charging Locations and Parcel Delivery Service ordinance (File # 230704)

Dear Chair Melgar and Members of the Land Use and Transportation Committee,

We are writing to express our concerns that a proposed amendment to the *Fleet Charging Locations and Parcel Delivery Service* ordinance (File # 230704) would impact a broad range of businesses and negatively impact the City's economic recovery and future tax revenue.

Advance SF is an organization comprised of San Francisco's leading employers dedicated to an equitable, resilient, and vibrant economy shared by all people working and living in San Francisco. Over the past two years, our organization has worked with City government, business, and community partners to advocate for San Francisco's economic recovery.

Although the *Fleet Charging Locations and Parcel Delivery Service* legislation has been publicly available for some time, one of the possible amendments has only recently come to light and appears to impact far more businesses than those that engage in parcel deliveries. It seems the legislation will affect any company interested in electrifying its fleet, a goal we should be encouraging both from an environmental

perspective and for the associated economic benefits.

Creating unnecessary restrictions on electric vehicle charging sites would hurt the City's and State's sustainability goals and longer-term economic vitality. It would create a disincentive for any business with electrified fleets to locate and grow in San Francisco. Particularly in today's economic environment, we urge you to fully vet and understand this new amendment's direct and indirect impacts before considering its adoption.

For the reasons above and the need for proper outreach to the business community and other stakeholders, we would like to ask the members of the Land Use and Transportation Committee to continue this item rather than hastily rushing it through to the full Board of Supervisors meeting tomorrow. Your leadership on this matter is important, and we are committed to working together to ensure the continued economic recovery of the City.

Sincerely,

Wade

Wade Rose

President

cc: The Honorable Connie Chan



December 11, 2023

The Honorable Myrna Melgar
The Honorable Dean Preston
The Honorable Aaron Peskin

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Land Use and Transportation Committee
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Fleet Charging Locations and Parcel Delivery Service ordinance (File # 230704)

Dear Chair Melgar and Members of the Land Use and Transportation Committee,

We are writing to express our concerns that a proposed amendment to the *Fleet Charging Locations and Parcel Delivery Service* ordinance (File # 230704) would impact a broad range of businesses and negatively impact the City's economic recovery and future tax revenue.

Advance SF is an organization comprised of San Francisco's leading employers dedicated to an equitable, resilient, and vibrant economy shared by all people working and living in San Francisco. Over the past two years, our organization has worked with City government, business, and community partners to advocate for San Francisco's economic recovery.

Although the *Fleet Charging Locations and Parcel Delivery Service* legislation has been publicly available for some time, one of the possible amendments has only recently come to light and appears to impact far more businesses than those that engage in parcel deliveries. It seems the legislation will affect any company interested in electrifying its fleet, a goal we should be encouraging both from an environmental perspective and for the associated economic benefits.

Creating unnecessary restrictions on electric vehicle charging sites would hurt the City's and State's sustainability goals and longer-term economic vitality. It would create a disincentive for any business with electrified fleets to locate and grow in San Francisco. Particularly in today's economic environment, we urge you to fully vet and understand this new amendment's direct and indirect impacts before considering its adoption.

For the reasons above and the need for proper outreach to the business community and other stakeholders, we would like to ask the members of the Land Use and Transportation Committee to continue this item rather than hastily rushing it through to the full Board of Supervisors meeting tomorrow. Your leadership on this matter is important, and we are committed to working together to ensure the continued economic recovery of the City.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Wade Rose". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, stylized initial "W".

Wade Rose
President

cc: The Honorable Connie Chan