

1 [Approval of a 90-Day Extension for Planning Commission Review of Sign Regulations (File
2 No. 160424)]

3 **Resolution extending by 90 days the prescribed time within which the Planning**
4 **Commission may render its decision on an Ordinance (File No. 160424) amending the**
5 **San Francisco Planning Code to correct and update provisions, delete obsolete or**
6 **redundant sections, and reinstate the distinction between Historic and Vintage Signs;**
7 **amending the Zoning Map to delete the Showplace Square Special Sign District, the**
8 **South of Market General Advertising Special Sign District, and the Hamm’s Building**
9 **Historic Special Sign District; affirming the Planning Department’s determination under**
10 **the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the**
11 **General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1, and**
12 **findings of public convenience, necessity, and welfare under Planning Code,**
13 **Section 302.**

14
15 WHEREAS, On April 26, 2016, Supervisor Peskin introduced legislation, on file with the
16 Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 160424, which is hereby declared to be a part of
17 this Resolution as if set forth sully herein, amending the Planning Code to correct and update
18 provisions, delete obsolete or redundant sections, and reinstate the distinction between
19 Historic and Vintage Signs; amending the Zoning Map to delete the Showplace Square
20 Special Sign District, the South of Market General Advertising Special Sign District, and the
21 Hamm’s Building Historic Special Sign District; affirming the Planning Department’s
22 determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of
23 consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section
24 101.1, and findings of public convenience, necessity, and welfare under Planning Code,
25 Section 302; and

1 WHEREAS, On or about May 3, 2016, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors referred
2 the proposed ordinance to the Planning Commission; and

3 WHEREAS, The Planning Commission shall, in accordance with Planning Code,
4 Section 306.4(d), render a decision on the proposed Ordinance within 90 days from the date
5 of referral of the proposed amendment or modification by the Board to the Commission; and

6 WHEREAS, Failure of the Commission to act within 90 days shall be deemed to
7 constitute disapproval; and

8 WHEREAS, The Board, in accordance with Planning Code, Section 306.4(d) may, by
9 Resolution, extend the prescribed time within which the Planning Commission is to render its
10 decision on proposed amendments to the Planning Code that the Board of Supervisors
11 initiates; and

12 WHEREAS, Supervisor Peskin has requested additional time for the Planning
13 Commission to review the proposed Ordinance; and

14 WHEREAS, The Board deems it appropriate in this instance to grant to the Planning
15 Commission additional time to review the proposed Ordinance and render its decision; now,
16 therefore, be it

17 RESOLVED, That by this Resolution, the Board hereby extends the prescribed time
18 within which the Planning Commission may render its decision on the proposed Ordinance for
19 approximately 90 additional days, until October 30, 2016.