Metropolitan Transportation Commission Programming and Allocations Committee

February 9, 2022

Agenda Item 3b - 22-0063

MTC Resolutions Nos. 4321, Revised and 4504. FY 2022-23 Fund Estimate and American Rescue Plan Funding Exchange to support implementation of the Blue Ribbon Transit Transformation Action Plan

Subject:

Annual Fund Estimate and proposed apportionment and distribution of \$967 million in Transportation Development Act (TDA) Local Transportation Fund, State Transit Assistance (STA), State of Good Repair (SGR) Program, Assembly Bill 1107 (AB 1107), transit-related bridge toll, and Low Carbon Transit Operations Program (LCTOP) funds for FY 2022-23. The Fund Estimate will also implement the exchange of STA and American Rescue Plan (ARP) funds endorsed by the Commission in October 2021 for the purpose of supporting Blue Ribbon Transit Transformation Action Plan initiatives.

Background:

MTC is required by state statute to prepare and adopt an annual fund estimate of TDA Local Transportation Fund (LTF) ¼ cent sales tax revenues for the upcoming fiscal year by March 1st. This estimate assists the Bay Area's transit operators in budgeting for the next fiscal year, in this case FY 2022-23. The fund estimate prepared by MTC also includes a number of other fund sources which MTC allocates to transit operators, primarily for operations.

Economic Overview

The Bay Area economy, like local economies worldwide, has been significantly impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. Unemployment rates remain above pre-pandemic levels across all nine counties but have improved since the onset of the pandemic. Taxable sales, which declined in FY 2020-21 relative to original projections, have improved in FY 2021-22. Significant uncertainty remains about possible shifts in population, work from home policies, and commute patterns – all of which could impact revenues. Accordingly, it is prudent for transit operators to continue to budget with great caution.

Transportation Development Act (TDA)

State law requires county auditors to submit annual estimates of the ¼-cent TDA sales tax revenue generation to MTC by February 1st. A summary of the county auditors' mid-year

estimates indicate that regional TDA revenue generation is expected to improve by 12% in the current year of FY 2021-22 to \$470 million, with a subsequent increase of 2.9% in FY 2022-23 to \$483 million.

There remains some uncertainty about the attribution of sales taxes for non-retail (online) sales in California. In October 2021, the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration (CDTFA) issued a notice to Santa Clara County that an audit uncovered an erroneous attribution of sales on eBay as sales taxes to Santa Clara County instead of a use tax to point of delivery jurisdictions. An appeal has been filed by the City of San Jose, and a negative ruling would result in a reduction of TDA sales tax revenues in Santa Clara County going forward and a recission of some already allocated funds dating back to October 2019. The CDTFA is also conducting audits of other major online retailers and it is possible that additional situations similar to the eBay case could be found which may impact other Bay Area jurisdictions.

Assembly Bill 1107 (AB 1107)

A portion (25%) of BART's half-cent sales tax revenue generated in Alameda, Contra Costa, and San Francisco counties is subject to allocation by MTC, and MTC staff is responsible for estimating the annual revenue generation. Based on actual performance to date along with sales tax projections from county auditor offices, staff proposes to revise the current FY 2021-22 estimate upwards to \$98 million and to forecast FY 2022-23 revenues of \$100 million (16% increase from actual FY 2020-21 revenues of \$86 million). This amount would be split evenly between SFMTA and AC Transit per longstanding Commission policy.

State Transit Assistance (STA)

Governor Newsom's proposed FY 2022-23 State Budget estimates \$735 million in STA funds statewide in FY 2022-23. Based on this estimate, the Bay Area would receive approximately \$268 million (\$197 million in Revenue-Based and \$72 million in Population-Based) in FY 2022-23 STA funds. Staff will return to the Commission to update the estimates following the state budget approval later this year.

State of Good Repair (SGR) Program

Senate Bill (SB) 1 established the State of Good Repair (SGR) Program which will bring \$44 million to the Bay Area in FY 2022-23 for transit capital state of good repair projects. The funds from the SGR Program follow the same state-wide distribution policies as the regular STA program, with a Revenue-Based and Population-Based program.

Bridge Tolls

In April 2010, MTC Resolution No. 3948 resulted in a lump sum payment from BATA to MTC for an amount equal to the 50-year present value of AB 664, RM 1, and 2% Toll revenue. Future payments from these toll revenues will be made from this lump sum, in accordance with Commission policies established in MTC Resolution Nos. 4015 and 4022.

Cap and Trade – Low Carbon Transit Operations Program

The FY 2022-23 Fund Estimate includes details on funding that will flow to the region through the Low Carbon Transit Operations Program, which is a component of the state Cap and Trade program. In FY 2022-23, the region is projected to receive \$66 million from the program based on an estimate from Governor Newsom's proposed FY 2022-23 State Budget. Apportionments of these funds are guided by Caltrans policies for the Revenue-Based program (which are the same as the STA Revenue-Based program) and by the MTC Commission for the Population-Based program through the MTC Cap and Trade Framework (MTC Resolution No. 4130, Revised).

American Rescue Plan Funding Exchange

In the July 2021 the MTC Commission set aside \$85 million of American Rescue Plan (ARP) funds for Blue Ribbon Transit Transformation Action Plan activities. In October 2021, these funds were instead allocated directly to operators through MTC Resolution 4481 to preserve operator eligibility to compete for Federal Transit Administration Additional Assistance Funds. The resolution directed staff to identify fund sources for a funding exchange. The FY 2022-23 Fund Estimate implements part of this fund exchange with STA Population-Based and STA Revenue-Based funds. MTC Resolution 4321 is proposed to be amended to suspend the STA County Block Grant program for one year only, FY 2022-23. The 70% of STA Population-Based funds that would typically be allocated through the STA County Block Grant program will

Programming and Allocations Committee February 9, 2022

Page 4 of 4

instead be programmed directly to operators (as noted on page 13 of Attachment A to Resolution 4504), with the first dollars applied to satisfy the ARP funding exchange obligations. Each county share is not adversely affected by the funding exchange. STA Revenue-Based funds will be programmed to operators as usual, and funding agreements will facilitate additional exchange obligations. Attachment 2 details the ARP Exchange amounts by operator.

Issues:

BART Feeder Bus Agreement – A 1997 agreement between BART and four East Bay bus operators (County Connection, LAVTA, Tri-Delta, and WestCAT) established a funding mechanism for BART to support feeder bus operators using BART's STA Revenue-Based and TDA sales tax funds. Initial payment amounts were established by transition agreements, and subsequent payments over the last 25 years have been calculated based on changes to AB 1107 ½-cent sales tax revenues. BART had communicated an interest to amend the agreement before the pandemic and has recently expressed greater urgency given its looming fiscal cliff. Although payment for feeder service was assumed in the calculation of financial need through FY 2022-23 that informed the distribution of federal COVID relief funding, MTC recognizes the need to update the feeder service agreements that govern these payments. Discussions are on-going between MTC and the relevant agencies on this matter. To ensure a timely re-set of the feeder service agreements, MTC will only allocate up to 50% of the feeder bus payments programmed for FY 2022-23 until such time that the agreements are updated, or at a minimum, satisfactory progress has been made toward that goal. An update on progress will be provided this summer at the time of the next Fund Estimate revision.

Recommendations:

Refer MTC Resolutions Nos. 4321, Revised and 4504 to the Commission for approval.

Attachments:

Attachment 1: Presentation slides

Attachment 2: ARP-STA Exchange Details

Therese W. McMillan

Drew Who !-

Date: February 23, 2022

W.I.: 1511 Referred by: PAC

ABSTRACT

MTC Resolution No. 4504

This resolution approves the FY 2022-23 Fund Estimate, including the distribution and apportionment of Transportation Development Act (TDA), State Transit Assistance (STA), State of Good Repair (SGR) Program, Assembly Bill (AB) 1107 sales tax, Low Carbon Transit Operations (LCTOP) cap-and-trade auction revenues, and transit-related bridge toll funds.

Further discussion of this action is contained in the MTC Programming and Allocations Summary Sheet dated February 9, 2022.

Date: February 23, 2022

W.I.: 1511 Referred by: PAC

RE: <u>Determination of Transportation Development Act (TDA) Area Apportionments and</u> Proposed Distribution of Operating Funds for FY 2022-23

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION RESOLUTION NO. 4504

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) is the regional transportation planning agency for the San Francisco Bay Area pursuant to Government Code Section 66500 *et seq.*; and

WHEREAS, the Transportation Development Act (TDA), Public Utilities Code (PUC) Sections 99200 et seq., provides that funds are made available from the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) for various transportation purposes; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to 21 California Code of Regulations Section 6620, the County Auditor for each of the nine counties in the Bay Area has submitted the revised and new TDA fund estimates for FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23 as shown in Attachment A to this resolution, attached hereto and incorporated herein as though set forth at length; and

WHEREAS, MTC is required to determine and advise all prospective claimants, prior to March 1 each year, of all area apportionments from the LTF for the following fiscal year pursuant to 21 California Code of Regulations Section 6644; and

WHEREAS, all area apportionments of TDA funds for the 2022-23 fiscal year are shown in Attachment A to this resolution, attached hereto and incorporated herein as though set forth at length; and

WHEREAS, MTC has prepared a proposed distribution of operating/capital assistance funds, including TDA, State Transit Assistance (STA) pursuant to Public Utilities Code § 99310 et seq.), State of Good Repair (SGR) Program pursuant to Public Utilities Code § 99312.1, Low Carbon Transit Operations Program (LCTOP) pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 39719(b)(1)(B), the twenty-five percent (25%) of the one-half cent transaction and use tax collected pursuant to PUC Section 29142.2 (AB 1107), and estimates of certain toll bridge revenues (SHC §§ 30910 et seq.), in order to provide financial information to all prospective claimants to assist them in developing budgets in a timely manner; and

WHEREAS, the proposed distribution of such operating assistance funds is also shown in Attachment A; now, therefore, be it

<u>RESOLVED</u>, that MTC approves the area apportionments of TDA funds, and the proposed distribution of operating assistance funds for the 2022-23 fiscal year as shown in Attachment A, subject to the conditions noted therein; and, be it further

<u>RESOLVED</u>, that MTC intends to allocate operating assistance funds for the 2022-23 fiscal year, based on the area apportionments of TDA funds, the proposed distribution of operating assistance funds and upon the receipt of appropriate claims from eligible claimants; and, be it further

<u>RESOLVED</u>, that Attachment A may be revised by the MTC Executive Director or his designee to reflect funds returned to the Local Transportation Fund and expired capital allocations or by approval of the MTC Programming and Allocations Committee, except that any significant changes shall be submitted to the full Commission for approval.

WETKOT GETTET THE HAST GRETTITOTT COMMISSION
Alfredo Pedroza, Chair
Annous i cursza, Chan

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

The above resolution was approved by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission at a regular meeting of the Commission held in San Francisco, California, and at other remote locations, on February 23, 2022.

			TDA REC	SIONAL SUMMAR	Y TABLE			
Column	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	H=Sum(A:G)
	6/30/2021	FY2020-22	FY2021-22	FY2021-22	FY2021-22	FY2022-23	FY2022-23	FY2022-23
Apportionment Jurisdictions	Balance ¹	Outstanding Commitments, Refunds, &	Original Estimate	Revenue Adjustment	Revised Admin. & Planning Charge	Revenue Estimate	Admin. & Planning Charge	Available for Allocation
	2	Interest ²	2.2.2	.= =	(1.000.004)		(1.070.000)	
Alameda	24,803,191	(79,710,755)	84,846,744	15,920,543	(4,030,691)	101,774,961	(4,070,999)	139,532,994
Contra Costa	34,461,353	(59,471,021)	45,908,428	9,354,916	(2,210,534)	58,468,618	(2,338,745)	84,173,015
Marin	2,923,423	(14,454,328)	12,017,498	4,103,338	(644,833)	16,523,000	(660,920)	19,807,177
Napa	7,734,546	(12,572,975)	8,979,207	1,123,374	(404,103)	10,405,658	(416,226)	14,849,482
San Francisco	1,487,917	(43,506,561)	44,562,500	(840,000)	(1,748,900)	45,952,500	(1,838,101)	44,069,354
San Mateo	4,496,469	(39,097,488)	42,857,457	9,258,515	(2,084,639)	52,172,265	(2,086,890)	65,515,689
Santa Clara	7,630,267	(130,143,494)	130,850,000	5,042,343	(5,435,694)	140,649,000	(5,625,960)	142,966,462
Solano	37,790,606	(16,198,611)	22,483,483	3,043,926	(1,021,096)	25,527,409	(1,021,096)	70,604,620
Sonoma	23,582,197	(28,476,418)	26,600,000	3,900,000	(1,220,000)	32,025,000	(1,281,000)	55,129,780
TOTAL	\$144,909,969	(\$423,631,651)	\$419,105,317	\$50,906,955	(\$18,800,490)	\$483,498,410	(\$19,339,937)	\$636,648,572
9	STA, AB 1107, BRII	DGE TOLL, LOW CA	ARBON TRANSIT O	PERATIONS PROC	GRAM, & SGR PRO	GRAM REGIONAL	. SUMMARY TABLE	
	Column		Α		В	С	D	E=Sum(A:D)
			6/30/2021		FY2020-22	FY2021-22	FY2022-23	FY2022-23
	Fund Source		Balance		Outstanding	Revenue	Revenue	Available for
	runa Source		(w/ interest) ¹		Commitments ²	Estimate	Estimate	Allocation
State Transit Assist	ance		, ,					
Revenue-Based			31,040,545		(133,857,886)	179,286,505	196,846,972	273,316,134
Population-Base	ed		69,456,022		(61,086,399)	65,303,438	71,699,675	145,372,737
SUBTOTAL			100,496,567		(194,944,285)	244,589,943	268,546,647	418,688,871
AB1107 - BART Dist	trict Tax (25% Share)		0		(98,000,000)	98,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000
Bridge Toll Total								
MTC 2% Toll Rev	venue .		8,458,867		(4,137,805)	1,700,000	1,450,000	7,471,062
5% State Genera	al Fund Revenue		18,039,971		(281,706)	3,408,427	3,729,880	24,896,572
SUBTOTAL			26,498,838		(4,419,511)	5,108,427	5,179,880	32,367,634
Low Carbon Transit	Operations Program	1	0		0	59,629,152	66,605,301	126,234,453
State of Good Repa	<u> </u>							
Revenue-Based			4		(31,477,988)	31,477,988	32,422,154	32,422,156
Population-Base	ed		18,692,026		(30,100,865)	11,465,566	11,809,467	11,866,194
SUBTOTAL			18,692,030		(61,578,853)	42,943,554	44,231,622	44,288,350
TOTAL			\$145,687,435		(\$358,942,649)	\$450,271,076	\$484,563,450	\$721,579,308

Please see Attachment A pages 2-20 for detailed information on each fund source.

^{1.} Balance as of 6/30/21 is from the MTC FY2020-22 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.

^{2.} The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of 6/30/21, and FY2021-22 allocations as of 1/31/22.

FY 2022-23 FUND ESTIMATE TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS ALAMEDA COUNTY

Attachment A Res No. 4504 Page 2 of 20 2/23/2022

FY2021-22 TDA Revenue Estimate			FY2022-23 TDA Revenue Estimate		
FY2021-22 Generation Estimate Adjustment			FY2022-23 County Auditor's Generation Estimate		
1. Original County Auditor Estimate (Feb, 21)	84,846,744		13. County Auditor Estimate		101,774,961
2. Revised Revenue (Feb, 21)	100,767,287		FY2022-23 Planning and Administration Charges		
3. Revenue Adjustment (Lines 2-1)		15,920,543	14. MTC Administration (0.5% of Line 13)	508,875	
FY2021-22 Planning and Administration Charges Adjustment			15. County Administration (0.5% of Line 13)	508,875	
4. MTC Administration (0.5% of Line 3)	79,603		16. MTC Planning (3.0% of Line 13)	3,053,249	
5. County Administration (Up to 0.5% of Line 3) 4	79,603		17. Total Charges (Lines 14+15+16)		4,070,999
6. MTC Planning (3.0% of Line 3)	477,616		18. TDA Generations Less Charges (Lines 13-17)		97,703,962
7. Total Charges (Lines 4+5+6)		636,822	FY2022-23 TDA Apportionment By Article		
8. Adjusted Generations Less Charges (Lines 3-7)		15,283,721	19. Article 3.0 (2.0% of Line 18)	1,954,079	
FY2021-22 TDA Adjustment By Article			20. Funds Remaining (Lines 18-19)		95,749,883
9. Article 3 Adjustment (2.0% of line 8)	305,674		21. Article 4.5 (5.0% of Line 20)	4,787,494	
10. Funds Remaining (Lines 8-9)		14,978,047	22. TDA Article 4 (Lines 20-21)		90,962,389
11. Article 4.5 Adjustment (5.0% of Line 10)	748,902				
12. Article 4 Adjustment (Lines 10-11)		14,229,145			
	TDA /	APPORTIONME	NT BY JURISDICTION		

	TDA APPORTIONMENT BY JURISDICTION										
Column	Α	В	C=Sum(A:B)	D	Ε	F	G	H=Sum(C:G)	I	J=Sum(H:I)	
	6/30/2021	FY2020-21	6/30/2021	FY2020-22	FY2021-22	FY2021-22	FY2021-22	6/30/2022	FY2022-23	FY2022-23	
Apportionment	Balance	Interest	Balance	Outstanding	Transfers/	Original	Revenue	Projected	Revenue	Available for	
Jurisdictions	(w/o interest)	Interest	(w/ interest) ¹	Commitments ²	Refunds	Estimate	Adjustment	Carryover	Estimate	Allocation	
Article 3	5,213,118	59,236	5,272,354	(5,416,736)	0	1,629,057	305,674	1,790,349	1,954,079	3,744,428	
Article 4.5	805,262	4,519	809,781	(4,584,534)	0	3,991,191	748,902	965,340	4,787,494	5,752,834	
SUBTOTAL	6,018,380	63,755	6,082,135	(10,001,270)	0	5,620,248	1,054,576	2,755,689	6,741,573	9,497,262	
Article 4											
AC Transit											
District 1	581,923	27,769	609,692	(48,597,106)	0	48,597,106	9,118,704	9,728,397	58,247,727	67,976,124	
District 2	154,384	7,370	161,754	(12,980,480)	0	12,980,480	2,435,642	2,597,396	15,683,052	18,280,448	
BART ³	16,560	65	16,625	(104,953)	0	89,475	16,789	17,937	97,096	115,033	
LAVTA	7,763,948	104,123	7,868,071	(18,458,315)	10,711,602	10,823,468	2,030,903	12,975,729	12,938,264	25,913,993	
Union City	10,267,996	117,077	10,385,073	(619,234)	18,842	3,342,096	627,107	13,753,884	3,996,250	17,750,134	
SUBTOTAL	18,784,811	256,404	19,041,215	(80,760,088)	10,730,444	75,832,626	14,229,145	39,073,343	90,962,389	130,035,732	
GRAND TOTAL	\$24,803,191	\$320,160	\$25,123,350	(\$90,761,358)	\$10,730,444	\$81,452,874	\$15,283,721	\$41,829,032	\$97,703,962	\$139,532,994	

^{1.} Balance as of 6/30/21 is from the MTC FY2020-21 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.

^{2.} The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of 6/30/21, and FY2021-22 allocations as of 1/31/22.

^{3.} Details on the proposed apportionment of BART funding to local operators are shown on page 16 of the Fund Estimate.

^{4.} Unclaimed County Administration charges will be redistributed as carryover for apportionment jurisdictions.

FY 2022-23 FUND ESTIMATE TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS CONTRA COSTA COUNTY

CCCTA

ECCTA

WCCTA

SUBTOTAL

GRAND TOTAL

Attachment A Res No. 4504 Page 3 of 20 2/23/2022

FY2021-22 TDA Revenue Estimate			FY2022-23 TDA Revenue Estimate		
FY2021-22 Generation Estimate Adjustment			FY2022-23 County Auditor's Generation Estimate		
1. Original County Auditor Estimate (Feb, 21)	45,908,428		13. County Auditor Estimate		58,468,618
2. Revised Revenue (Feb, 21)	55,263,344		FY2022-23 Planning and Administration Charges		
3. Revenue Adjustment (Lines 2-1)		9,354,916	14. MTC Administration (0.5% of Line 13)	292,343	
FY2021-22 Planning and Administration Charges Adjustment			15. County Administration (0.5% of Line 13)	292,343	
4. MTC Administration (0.5% of Line 3)	46,775		16. MTC Planning (3.0% of Line 13)	1,754,059	
5. County Administration (Up to 0.5% of Line 3) 4	46,775		17. Total Charges (Lines 14+15+16)		2,338,745
6. MTC Planning (3.0% of Line 3)	280,647		18. TDA Generations Less Charges (Lines 13-17)		56,129,873
7. Total Charges (Lines 4+5+6)		374,197	FY2022-23 TDA Apportionment By Article		
8. Adjusted Generations Less Charges (Lines 3-7)		8,980,719	19. Article 3.0 (2.0% of Line 18)	1,122,597	
FY2021-22 TDA Adjustment By Article			20. Funds Remaining (Lines 18-19)		55,007,276
9. Article 3 Adjustment (2.0% of line 8)	179,614		21. Article 4.5 (5.0% of Line 20)	2,750,364	
10. Funds Remaining (Lines 8-9)		8,801,105	22. TDA Article 4 (Lines 20-21)		52,256,912
11. Article 4.5 Adjustment (5.0% of Line 10)	440,055				
12. Article 4 Adjustment (Lines 10-11)		8,361,050			
	TDA A	PPORTIONME	NT BY JURISDICTION		

			IDF	APPORTIONIVIE	INT BT JORISDIC	IION				
Column	Α	В	C=Sum(A:B)	D	Ε	F	G	H=Sum(C:G)	I	J=Sum(H:I)
	6/30/2021	FY2020-21	6/30/2021	FY2020-22	FY2021-22	FY2021-22	FY2021-22	6/30/2022	FY2022-23	FY2022-23
Apportionment	Balance	Interest	Balance	Outstanding	Transfers/	Original	Revenue	Projected	Revenue	Available for
Jurisdictions	(w/o interest)	Interest	(w/ interest) ¹	Commitments ²	Refunds	Estimate	Adjustment	Carryover	Estimate	Allocation
Article 3	1,768,996	13,503	1,782,498	(2,465,818)	0	881,442	179,614	377,736	1,122,597	1,500,333
Article 4.5	798,516	1,587	800,103	(2,912,016)	0	2,159,532	440,055	487,674	2,750,364	3,238,038
SUBTOTAL	2,567,512	15,090	2,582,602	(5,377,834)	0	3,040,974	619,669	865,410	3,872,961	4,738,371
Article 4										
AC Transit										
District 1	351,997	3,145	355,142	(7,072,554)	0	7,072,554	1,441,198	1,796,340	8,977,874	10,774,214
BART ³	89,490	620	90,110	(362,361)	0	287,090	58,501	73,340	217,708	291,048

0

0

965,360

965,360

\$965,360

19,194,326

12,032,800

2,444,348

41,031,117

\$44,072,091

3,911,293

2,451,964

8,361,050

\$8,980,719

498,093

17,331,940

3,796,535

4,179,577

27,177,732

\$28,043,142

24,521,140

15,435,040

3,105,151

52,256,912

\$56,129,873

41,853,080

19,231,575

7,284,728

79,434,644

\$84,173,015

(27,307,465)

(16,505,094)

(3,953,995)

(55,201,468)

(\$60,579,303)

1. Balance as of 6/30/21 is from the MTC FY2020-21 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.

21,533,786

5,816,865

4,225,771

32,021,673

\$34,604,275

66,542

31,557

25,968

127,832

\$142,921

21,467,243

5,785,308

4,199,803

31,893,842

\$34,461,353

^{2.} The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of 6/30/21, and FY2021-22 allocations as of 1/31/22.

^{3.} Details on the proposed apportionment of BART funding to local operators are shown on page 16 of the Fund Estimate.

^{4.} Unclaimed County Administration charges will be redistributed as carryover for apportionment jurisdictions.

FY 2022-23 FUND ESTIMATE TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS **MARIN COUNTY**

Attachment A Res No. 4504 Page 4 of 20 2/23/2022

FY2021-22 TDA Revenue Estimate			FY2022-23 TDA Revenue Estimate		
FY2021-22 Generation Estimate Adjustment			FY2022-23 County Auditor's Generation Estimate		
1. Original County Auditor Estimate (Feb, 21)	12,017,498		13. County Auditor Estimate		16,523,000
2. Revised Revenue (Feb, 21)	16,120,836		FY2022-23 Planning and Administration Charges		
3. Revenue Adjustment (Lines 2-1)		4,103,338	14. MTC Administration (0.5% of Line 13)	82,615	
FY2021-22 Planning and Administration Charges Adjustment			15. County Administration (0.5% of Line 13)	82,615	
4. MTC Administration (0.5% of Line 3)	20,517		16. MTC Planning (3.0% of Line 13)	495,690	
5. County Administration (Up to 0.5% of Line 3) ⁴	20,517		17. Total Charges (Lines 14+15+16)		660,920
6. MTC Planning (3.0% of Line 3)	123,100		18. TDA Generations Less Charges (Lines 13-17)		15,862,080
7. Total Charges (Lines 4+5+6)		164,134	FY2022-23 TDA Apportionment By Article		
8. Adjusted Generations Less Charges (Lines 3-7)		3,939,204	19. Article 3.0 (2.0% of Line 18)	317,242	
FY2021-22 TDA Adjustment By Article			20. Funds Remaining (Lines 18-19)		15,544,838
9. Article 3 Adjustment (2.0% of line 8)	78,784		21. Article 4.5 (5.0% of Line 20)	0	
10. Funds Remaining (Lines 8-9)		3,860,420	22. TDA Article 4 (Lines 20-21)		15,544,838
11. Article 4.5 Adjustment (5.0% of Line 10)	0				
12. Article 4 Adjustment (Lines 10-11)		3,860,420			
	TDA A	APPORTIONME	NT BY JURISDICTION		

Column	A	В	C=Sum(A:B)	D	E	F	G	H=Sum(C:G)	I	J=Sum(H:I)
	6/30/2021	FY2020-21	6/30/2021	FY2020-22	FY2021-22	FY2021-22	FY2021-22	6/30/2022	FY2022-23	FY2022-23
Apportionment	Balance	latovost	Balance	Outstanding	Transfers/	Original	Revenue	Projected	Revenue	Available for
Jurisdictions	(w/o interest)	Interest	(w/ interest) ¹	Commitments ²	Refunds	Estimate	Adjustment	Carryover	Estimate	Allocation
Article 3	247,994	(8,755)	239,239	(478,731)	0	230,736	78,784	70,028	317,242	387,270
Article 4.5										
SUBTOTAL	247,994	(8,755)	239,239	(478,731)	0	230,736	78,784	70,028	317,242	387,270
Article 4/8										
GGBHTD	985,374	7,799	993,173	(7,416,263)	0	6,430,889	2,195,807	2,203,606	5,804,443	8,008,049
Marin Transit	1,690,054	6,849	1,696,904	(6,565,228)	0	4,875,174	1,664,613	1,671,463	9,740,395	11,411,858
SUBTOTAL	2,675,428	14,649	2,690,077	(13,981,491)	0	11,306,063	3,860,420	3,875,069	15,544,838	19,419,907
GRAND TOTAL	\$2,923,423	\$5,894	\$2,929,316	(\$14,460,222)	\$0	\$11,536,799	\$3,939,204	\$3,945,097	\$15,862,080	\$19,807,177

^{1.} Balance as of 6/30/21 is from the MTC FY2020-21 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.

^{2.} The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of 6/30/21, and FY2021-22 allocations as of 1/31/22.

FY 2022-23 FUND ESTIMATE TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS NAPA COUNTY

Attachment A Res No. 4504 Page 5 of 20 2/23/2022

FY2021-22 TDA Revenue Estimate			FY2022-23 TDA Revenue Estimate		
FY2021-22 Generation Estimate Adjustment			FY2022-23 County Auditor's Generation Estimate		
1. Original County Auditor Estimate (Feb, 21)	8,979,207		13. County Auditor Estimate		10,405,658
2. Revised Revenue (Feb, 21)	10,102,581		FY2022-23 Planning and Administration Charges		
3. Revenue Adjustment (Lines 2-1)		1,123,374	14. MTC Administration (0.5% of Line 13)	52,028	
FY2021-22 Planning and Administration Charges Adjustment			15. County Administration (0.5% of Line 13)	52,028	
4. MTC Administration (0.5% of Line 3)	5,617		16. MTC Planning (3.0% of Line 13)	312,170	
5. County Administration (Up to 0.5% of Line 3) ⁴	5,617		17. Total Charges (Lines 14+15+16)		416,226
6. MTC Planning (3.0% of Line 3)	33,701		18. TDA Generations Less Charges (Lines 13-17)		9,989,432
7. Total Charges (Lines 4+5+6)		44,935	FY2022-23 TDA Apportionment By Article		
8. Adjusted Generations Less Charges (Lines 3-7)		1,078,439	19. Article 3.0 (2.0% of Line 18)	199,789	
FY2021-22 TDA Adjustment By Article			20. Funds Remaining (Lines 18-19)		9,789,643
9. Article 3 Adjustment (2.0% of line 8)	21,569		21. Article 4.5 (5.0% of Line 20)	489,482	
10. Funds Remaining (Lines 8-9)		1,056,870	22. TDA Article 4 (Lines 20-21)		9,300,161
11. Article 4.5 Adjustment (5.0% of Line 10)	52,844				
12. Article 4 Adjustment (Lines 10-11)		1,004,026			
	TDA A	APPORTIONME	NT BY JURISDICTION		

			IDA	APPORTIONIVIE	MI BY JURISDIC	ION				
Column	Α	В	C=Sum(A:B)	D	E	F	G	H=Sum(C:G)	I	J=Sum(H:I)
	6/30/2021	FY2020-21	6/30/2021	FY2020-22	FY2021-22	FY2021-22	FY2021-22	6/30/2022	FY2022-23	FY2022-23
Apportionment	Balance	Interest	Balance	Outstanding	Transfers/	Original	Revenue	Projected	Revenue	Available for
Jurisdictions	(w/o interest)	Interest	(w/ interest) ¹	Commitments ²	Refunds	Estimate	Adjustment	Carryover	Estimate	Allocation
Article 3	225,982	3,028	229,011	(398,382)	0	172,401	21,569	24,599	199,789	224,388
Article 4.5	62,969	439	63,409	(300,000)	0	422,382	52,844	238,635	489,482	728,117
SUBTOTAL	288,952	3,468	292,419	(698,382)	0	594,783	74,413	263,234	689,271	952,505
Article 4/8										
NVTA ³	7,445,594	53,860	7,499,455	(11,931,921)	0	8,025,256	1,004,026	4,596,816	9,300,161	13,896,977
SUBTOTAL	7,445,594	53,860	7,499,455	(11,931,921)	0	8,025,256	1,004,026	4,596,816	9,300,161	13,896,977
GRAND TOTAL	\$7,734,546	\$57,328	\$7,791,874	(\$12,630,303)	\$0	\$8,620,039	\$1,078,439	\$4,860,050	\$9,989,432	\$14,849,482

^{1.} Balance as of 6/30/21 is from the MTC FY2020-21 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.

^{2.} The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of 6/30/21, and FY2021-22 allocations as of 1/31/22.

^{3.} NVTA is authorized to claim 100% of the apporionment to Napa County.

FY 2022-23 FUND ESTIMATE TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY

Attachment A Res No. 4504 Page 6 of 20 2/23/2022

FY2021-22 TDA Revenue Estimate			FY2022-23 TDA Revenue Estimate		
FY2021-22 Generation Estimate Adjustment			FY2022-23 County Auditor's Generation Estimate		
1. Original County Auditor Estimate (Feb, 21)	44,562,500		13. County Auditor Estimate		45,952,500
2. Revised Revenue (Feb, 21)	43,722,500		FY2022-23 Planning and Administration Charges		
3. Revenue Adjustment (Lines 2-1)		(840,000)	14. MTC Administration (0.5% of Line 13)	229,763	
FY2021-22 Planning and Administration Charges Adjustment			15. County Administration (0.5% of Line 13)	229,763	
4. MTC Administration (0.5% of Line 3)	(4,200)		16. MTC Planning (3.0% of Line 13)	1,378,575	
5. County Administration (Up to 0.5% of Line 3) 4	(4,200)		17. Total Charges (Lines 14+15+16)		1,838,101
6. MTC Planning (3.0% of Line 3)	(25,200)		18. TDA Generations Less Charges (Lines 13-17)		44,114,399
7. Total Charges (Lines 4+5+6)		(33,600)	FY2022-23 TDA Apportionment By Article		
8. Adjusted Generations Less Charges (Lines 3-7)		(806,400)	19. Article 3.0 (2.0% of Line 18)	882,288	
FY2021-22 TDA Adjustment By Article			20. Funds Remaining (Lines 18-19)		43,232,111
9. Article 3 Adjustment (2.0% of line 8)	(16,128)		21. Article 4.5 (5.0% of Line 20)	2,161,606	
10. Funds Remaining (Lines 8-9)		(790,272)	22. TDA Article 4 (Lines 20-21)		41,070,505
11. Article 4.5 Adjustment (5.0% of Line 10)	(39,514)				
12. Article 4 Adjustment (Lines 10-11)		(750,758)			
	TDA A	APPORTIONME	NT BY JURISDICTION		

			TDA	A APPORTIONME	NT BY JURISDIC	TION				
Column	A	В	C=Sum(A:B)	D	Ε	F	G	H=Sum(C:G)	I	J=Sum(H:I)
	6/30/2021	FY2020-21	6/30/2021	FY2020-22	FY2021-22	FY2021-22	FY2021-22	6/30/2022	FY2022-23	FY2022-23
Apportionment	Balance	Interest	Balance	Outstanding	Transfers/	Original	Revenue	Projected	Revenue	Available for
Jurisdictions	(w/o interest)	Interest	(w/ interest) ¹	Commitments ²	Refunds	Estimate	Adjustment	Carryover	Estimate	Allocation
Article 3	1,494,496	27,326	1,521,822	(1,621,504)	0	855,600	(16,128)	739,790	882,288	1,622,078
Article 4.5	0	0	0	0	0	2,096,220	(39,514)	2,056,706	2,161,606	4,218,312
SUBTOTAL	1,494,496	27,326	1,521,822	(1,621,504)	0	2,951,820	(55,642)	2,796,496	3,043,894	5,840,390
Article 4										
SFMTA	(6,579)	12,016	5,437	(41,924,399)	0	39,828,179	(750,758)	(2,841,541)	41,070,505	38,228,964
SUBTOTAL	(6,579)	12,016	5,437	(41,924,399)	0	39,828,179	(750,758)	(2,841,541)	41,070,505	38,228,964
GRAND TOTAL	\$1,487,917	\$39,342	\$1,527,259	(\$43,545,903)	\$0	\$42,779,999	(\$806,400)	(\$45,045)	\$44,114,399	\$44,069,354

^{1.} Balance as of 6/30/21 is from the MTC FY2020-21 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.

^{2.} The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of 6/30/21, and FY2021-22 allocations as of 1/31/22.

FY 2022-23 FUND ESTIMATE TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS SAN MATEO COUNTY

Attachment A Res No. 4504 Page 7 of 20 2/23/2022

FY2021-22 TDA Revenue Estimate			FY2022-23 TDA Revenue Estimate		
FY2021-22 Generation Estimate Adjustment			FY2022-23 County Auditor's Generation Estimate		
1. Original County Auditor Estimate (Feb, 21)	42,857,457		13. County Auditor Estimate		52,172,265
2. Revised Revenue (Feb, 21)	52,115,972		FY2022-23 Planning and Administration Charges		
3. Revenue Adjustment (Lines 2-1)		9,258,515	14. MTC Administration (0.5% of Line 13)	260,861	
FY2021-22 Planning and Administration Charges Adjustment			15. County Administration (0.5% of Line 13)	260,861	
4. MTC Administration (0.5% of Line 3)	46,293		16. MTC Planning (3.0% of Line 13)	1,565,168	
5. County Administration (Up to 0.5% of Line 3) ⁴	46,293		17. Total Charges (Lines 14+15+16)		2,086,890
6. MTC Planning (3.0% of Line 3)	277,755		18. TDA Generations Less Charges (Lines 13-17)		50,085,375
7. Total Charges (Lines 4+5+6)		370,341	FY2022-23 TDA Apportionment By Article		
8. Adjusted Generations Less Charges (Lines 3-7)		8,888,174	19. Article 3.0 (2.0% of Line 18)	1,001,707	
FY2021-22 TDA Adjustment By Article			20. Funds Remaining (Lines 18-19)		49,083,668
9. Article 3 Adjustment (2.0% of line 8)	177,763		21. Article 4.5 (5.0% of Line 20)	2,454,183	
10. Funds Remaining (Lines 8-9)		8,710,411	22. TDA Article 4 (Lines 20-21)		46,629,485
11. Article 4.5 Adjustment (5.0% of Line 10)	435,521				
12. Article 4 Adjustment (Lines 10-11)		8,274,890			
	TDA /	APPORTIONME	NT BY JURISDICTION		

	TDA APPORTIONMENT BY JURISDICTION												
Column	Α	В	C=Sum(A:B)	D	Ε	F	G	H=Sum(C:G)	I	J=Sum(H:I)			
	6/30/2021	FY2020-21	6/30/2021	FY2020-22	FY2021-22	FY2021-22	FY2021-22	6/30/2022	FY2022-23	FY2022-23			
Apportionment	Balance	Intoroct	Balance	Outstanding	Transfers/	Original	Revenue	Projected	Revenue	Available for			
Jurisdictions	(w/o interest)	Interest	(w/ interest) ¹	Commitments ²	Refunds	Estimate	Adjustment	Carryover	Estimate	Allocation			
Article 3	3,821,580	5,921	3,827,501	(2,335,200)	0	822,863	177,763	2,492,927	1,001,707	3,494,634			
Article 4.5	33,745	7,443	41,187	(1,845,853)	0	2,016,015	435,521	646,870	2,454,183	3,101,053			
SUBTOTAL	3,855,325	13,363	3,868,688	(4,181,053)	0	2,838,878	613,284	3,139,797	3,455,890	6,595,687			
Article 4													
SamTrans	641,144	141,406	782,550	(35,071,204)	0	38,304,281	8,274,890	12,290,517	46,629,485	58,920,002			
SUBTOTAL	641,144	141,406	782,550	(35,071,204)	0	38,304,281	8,274,890	12,290,517	46,629,485	58,920,002			
GRAND TOTAL	\$4,496,469	\$154,769	\$4,651,239	(\$39,252,257)	\$0	\$41,143,159	\$8,888,174	\$15,430,314	\$50,085,375	\$65,515,689			

^{1.} Balance as of 6/30/21 is from the MTC FY2020-21 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.

^{2.} The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of 6/30/21, and FY2021-22 allocations as of 1/31/22.

^{3.} Unclaimed County Administration charges will be redistributed as carryover for apportionment jurisdictions.

FY 2022-23 FUND ESTIMATE TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS SANTA CLARA COUNTY

Attachment A Res No. 4504 Page 8 of 20 2/23/2022

FY2021-22 TDA Revenue Estimate			FY2022-23 TDA Revenue Estimate		
FY2021-22 Generation Estimate Adjustment			FY2022-23 County Auditor's Generation Estimate		
1. Original County Auditor Estimate (Feb, 21)	130,850,000		13. County Auditor Estimate		140,649,000
2. Revised Revenue (Feb, 21)	135,892,343		FY2022-23 Planning and Administration Charges		
3. Revenue Adjustment (Lines 2-1)		5,042,343	14. MTC Administration (0.5% of Line 13)	703,245	
FY2021-22 Planning and Administration Charges Adjustment			15. County Administration (0.5% of Line 13)	703,245	
4. MTC Administration (0.5% of Line 3)	25,212		16. MTC Planning (3.0% of Line 13)	4,219,470	
5. County Administration (Up to 0.5% of Line 3) ⁴	25,212		17. Total Charges (Lines 14+15+16)		5,625,960
6. MTC Planning (3.0% of Line 3)	151,270		18. TDA Generations Less Charges (Lines 13-17)		135,023,040
7. Total Charges (Lines 4+5+6)		201,694	FY2022-23 TDA Apportionment By Article		
8. Adjusted Generations Less Charges (Lines 3-7)		4,840,649	19. Article 3.0 (2.0% of Line 18)	2,700,461	
FY2021-22 TDA Adjustment By Article			20. Funds Remaining (Lines 18-19)		132,322,579
9. Article 3 Adjustment (2.0% of line 8)	96,813		21. Article 4.5 (5.0% of Line 20)	6,616,129	
10. Funds Remaining (Lines 8-9)		4,743,836	22. TDA Article 4 (Lines 20-21)		125,706,450
11. Article 4.5 Adjustment (5.0% of Line 10)	237,192				
12. Article 4 Adjustment (Lines 10-11)		4,506,644			

TDA	A APPO	RTION	MENT	BY JU	RISDICT	TION

Column	Α	В	C=Sum(A:B)	D	Ε	F	G	H=Sum(C:G)	I	J=Sum(H:I)
	6/30/2021	FY2020-21	6/30/2021	FY2020-22	FY2021-22	FY2021-22	FY2021-22	6/30/2022	FY2022-23	FY2022-23
Apportionment	Balance	laterest	Balance	Outstanding	Transfers/	Original	Revenue	Projected	Revenue	Available for
Jurisdictions	(w/o interest)	Interest	(w/ interest) ¹	Commitments ²	Refunds	Estimate	Adjustment	Carryover	Estimate	Allocation
Article 3	6,698,078	127,681	6,825,759	(6,779,023)		2,512,320	96,813	2,655,869	2,700,461	5,356,330
Article 4.5	46,612	2,098	48,710	(6,176,706)	0	6,155,184	237,192	264,380	6,616,129	6,880,509
SUBTOTAL	6,744,690	129,779	6,874,469	(12,955,729)	0	8,667,504	334,005	2,920,249	9,316,590	12,236,839
Article 4										
VTA	885,577	39,860	925,437	(117,357,404)	0	116,948,496	4,506,644	5,023,173	125,706,450	130,729,623
SUBTOTAL	885,577	39,860	925,437	(117,357,404)	0	116,948,496	4,506,644	5,023,173	125,706,450	130,729,623
GRAND TOTAL	\$7,630,267	\$169,639	\$7,799,906	(\$130,313,133)	\$0	\$125,616,000	\$4,840,649	\$7,943,422	\$135,023,040	\$142,966,462

^{1.} Balance as of 6/30/21 is from the MTC FY2020-21 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.

^{2.} The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of 6/30/21, and FY2021-22 allocations as of 1/31/22.

^{3.} Unclaimed County Administration charges will be redistributed as carryover for apportionment jurisdictions.

FY 2022-23 FUND ESTIMATE TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS SOLANO COUNTY

Attachment A Res No. 4504 Page 9 of 20 2/23/2022

FY2021-22 TDA Revenue Estimate			FY2022-23 TDA Revenue Estimate		
FY2021-22 Generation Estimate Adjustment			FY2022-23 County Auditor's Generation Estimate		
1. Original County Auditor Estimate (Feb, 21)	22,483,483		13. County Auditor Estimate		25,527,409
2. Revised Revenue (Feb, 21)	25,527,409		FY2022-23 Planning and Administration Charges		
3. Revenue Adjustment (Lines 2-1)		3,043,926	14. MTC Administration (0.5% of Line 13)	127,637	
FY2021-22 Planning and Administration Charges Adjustment			15. County Administration (0.5% of Line 13)	127,637	
4. MTC Administration (0.5% of Line 3)	15,220		16. MTC Planning (3.0% of Line 13)	765,822	
5. County Administration (Up to 0.5% of Line 3) ⁴	15,220		17. Total Charges (Lines 14+15+16)		1,021,096
6. MTC Planning (3.0% of Line 3)	91,318		18. TDA Generations Less Charges (Lines 13-17)		24,506,313
7. Total Charges (Lines 4+5+6)		121,758	FY2022-23 TDA Apportionment By Article		
8. Adjusted Generations Less Charges (Lines 3-7)		2,922,168	19. Article 3.0 (2.0% of Line 18)	490,126	
FY2021-22 TDA Adjustment By Article			20. Funds Remaining (Lines 18-19)		24,016,187
9. Article 3 Adjustment (2.0% of line 8)	58,443		21. Article 4.5 (5.0% of Line 20)	0	
10. Funds Remaining (Lines 8-9)		2,863,725	22. TDA Article 4 (Lines 20-21)		24,016,187
11. Article 4.5 Adjustment (5.0% of Line 10)	0				
12. Article 4 Adjustment (Lines 10-11)		2,863,725			

TDA APPORTIONMENT BY JURISDICTION

15/1/11 OKTIONIBLE DI JORIOSICHON										
Column	А	В	C=Sum(A:B)	D	Ε	F	G	H=Sum(C:G)	I	J=Sum(H:I)
	6/30/2021	FY2020-21	6/30/2021	FY2020-22	FY2021-22	FY2021-22	FY2021-22	6/30/2022	FY2022-23	FY2022-23
Apportionment	Balance	Intorost	Balance	Outstanding	Transfers/	Original	Revenue	Projected	Revenue	Available for
Jurisdictions	(w/o interest)	Interest	(w/ interest) ¹	Commitments ²	Refunds	Estimate	Adjustment	Carryover	Estimate	Allocation
Article 3	1,070,802	12,455	1,083,257	(1,458,247)	0	431,683	58,443	115,136	490,126	605,262
Article 4.5										
SUBTOTAL	1,070,802	12,455	1,083,257	(1,458,247)	0	431,683	58,443	115,136	490,126	605,262
Article 4/8										
Dixon	1,445,864	11,474	1,457,337	(827,497)	0	959,641	129,921	1,719,402	1,106,100	2,825,502
Fairfield	6,662,070	53,486	6,715,556	(510,449)	0	5,620,857	760,979	12,586,943	6,462,613	19,049,556
Rio Vista	754,075	6,511	760,586	(25,434)	0	479,869	64,967	1,279,988	552,037	1,832,025
Solano County	2,774,178	21,152	2,795,330	(780,504)	0	916,397	124,066	3,055,288	1,005,770	4,061,058
Suisun City	302,609	1,889	304,498	(420,138)	0	1,399,148	189,424	1,472,931	1,581,740	3,054,671
Vacaville	13,266,661	100,735	13,367,395	(4,751,090)	0	4,749,915	643,067	14,009,287	5,369,273	19,378,560
Vallejo/Benicia	11,514,349	89,180	11,603,528	(7,722,133)	0	7,026,636	951,301	11,859,332	7,938,655	19,797,987
SUBTOTAL	36,719,804	284,426	37,004,230	(15,037,245)	0	21,152,462	2,863,725	45,983,171	24,016,187	69,999,358
GRAND TOTAL	\$37,790,606	\$296,881	\$38,087,487	(\$16,495,492)	\$0	\$21,584,145	\$2,922,168	\$46,098,307	\$24,506,313	\$70,604,620

^{1.} Balance as of 6/30/21 is from the MTC FY2020-21 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.

^{2.} The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of 6/30/21, and FY2021-22 allocations as of 1/31/22.

^{3.} Where applicable by local agreement, contributions from each jurisdiction will be made to support the Intercity Transit Funding Agreement.

FY 2022-23 FUND ESTIMATE TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS SONOMA COUNTY

Attachment A Res No. 4504 Page 10 of 20 2/23/2022

FY2021-22 TDA Revenue Estimate			FY2022-23 TDA Revenue Estimate		
FY2021-22 Generation Estimate Adjustment			FY2022-23 County Auditor's Generation Estimate		
1. Original County Auditor Estimate (Feb, 21)	26,600,000		13. County Auditor Estimate		32,025,000
2. Revised Revenue (Feb, 21)	30,500,000		FY2022-23 Planning and Administration Charges		
3. Revenue Adjustment (Lines 2-1)		3,900,000	14. MTC Administration (0.5% of Line 13)	160,125	
FY2021-22 Planning and Administration Charges Adjustment			15. County Administration (0.5% of Line 13)	160,125	
4. MTC Administration (0.5% of Line 3)	19,500		16. MTC Planning (3.0% of Line 13)	960,750	
5. County Administration (Up to 0.5% of Line 3) ⁴	19,500		17. Total Charges (Lines 14+15+16)		1,281,000
6. MTC Planning (3.0% of Line 3)	117,000		18. TDA Generations Less Charges (Lines 13-17)		30,744,000
7. Total Charges (Lines 4+5+6)		156,000	FY2022-23 TDA Apportionment By Article		
8. Adjusted Generations Less Charges (Lines 3-7)		3,744,000	19. Article 3.0 (2.0% of Line 18)	614,880	
FY2021-22 TDA Adjustment By Article			20. Funds Remaining (Lines 18-19)		30,129,120
9. Article 3 Adjustment (2.0% of line 8)	74,880		21. Article 4.5 (5.0% of Line 20)	0	
10. Funds Remaining (Lines 8-9)		3,669,120	22. TDA Article 4 (Lines 20-21)		30,129,120
11. Article 4.5 Adjustment (5.0% of Line 10)	0				
12. Article 4 Adjustment (Lines 10-11)		3,669,120			
	TDA A	APPORTIONME	NT BY JURISDICTION		

			TDA	APPORTIONME	NT BY JURISDIC	ΓΙΟΝ				
Column	Α	В	C=Sum(A:B)	D	Ε	F	G	H=Sum(C:G)	I	J=Sum(H:I)
	6/30/2021	FY2020-21	6/30/2021	FY2020-22	FY2021-22	FY2021-22	FY2021-22	6/30/2022	FY2022-23	FY2022-23
Apportionment	Balance	lutouset	Balance	Outstanding	Transfers/	Original	Revenue	Projected	Revenue	Available for
Jurisdictions	(w/o interest)	Interest	(w/ interest) ¹	Commitments ²	Refunds	Estimate	Adjustment	Carryover	Estimate	Allocation
Article 3	2,353,141	20,080	2,373,220	(1,705,419)	0	510,720	74,880	1,253,401	614,880	1,868,281
Article 4.5										
SUBTOTAL	2,353,141	20,080	2,373,220	(1,705,419)	0	510,720	74,880	1,253,401	614,880	1,868,281
Article 4/8										
GGBHTD ³	122,632	6,603	129,235	(6,322,679)	0	6,216,280	911,409	934,245	7,490,436	8,424,681
Petaluma	2,146,824	18,338	2,165,162	(381,165)	0	1,951,972	286,191	4,022,160	2,405,670	6,427,830
Santa Rosa	7,538,590	48,693	7,587,283	(7,735,000)	0	6,764,333	991,763	7,608,379	8,156,373	15,764,752
Sonoma County	11,421,010	56,904	11,477,914	(12,482,771)	0	10,092,695	1,479,756	10,567,595	12,076,641	22,644,236
SUBTOTAL	21,229,057	130,537	21,359,594	(26,921,615)	0	25,025,280	3,669,120	23,132,379	30,129,120	53,261,499
GRAND TOTAL	\$23,582,197	\$150,617	\$23,732,814	(\$28,627,034)	\$0	\$25,536,000	\$3,744,000	\$24,385,780	\$30,744,000	\$55,129,780

^{1.} Balance as of 6/30/21 is from the MTC FY2020-21 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.

^{2.} The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of 6/30/21, and FY2021-22 allocations as of 1/31/22.

^{3.} Apportionment to GGBHTD is based on the Sonoma County Transportation Authority's coordinated TDA claim.

^{4.} Unclaimed County Administration charges will be redistributed as carryover for apportionment jurisdictions.

FY 2022-23 FUND ESTIMATE STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE REVENUE-BASED FUNDS (PUC 99314)

Attachment A Res No. 4504 Page 11 of 20 2/23/2022

FY2021-22 STA Revenue Estimate	FY2022-23 STA Revenue Estimate	
1. State Estimate (Jan, 22) ³ \$179,286,505	4. Projected Carryover (Jan, 22)	\$76,469,162
2. Actual Revenue (Aug, 22)	5. State Estimate (Jan, 22)	\$196,846,976
3. Revenue Adjustment (Lines 2-1)	6. Total Funds Available (Lines 4+5)	\$273,316,138

	STA REVENUE	-BASED APPORTIC	NMENT BY OPERA	TOR		· · ·
Column	Α	В	С	D=Sum(A:C)	Ε	F=Sum(D:E)
	6/30/2021	FY2020-22	FY2021-22	6/30/2022	FY2022-23	Total
	Balance	Outstanding	. 3	Projected	Revenue	Available For
Apportionment Jurisdictions	(w/interest) ¹	Commitments ²	Revenue Estimate ³	Carryover⁴	Estimate ⁵	Allocation
ACCMA - Corresponding to ACE	52,613	0	261,691	314,304	287,323	601,627
Caltrain	6,889,123	10,041,955	8,497,982	25,429,060	9,330,328	34,759,388
CCCTA	265,164	(612,000)	745,031	398,195	818,003	1,216,198
City of Dixon	38,515	0	7,274	45,789	7,987	53,776
ECCTA	70,973	(358,048)	360,211	73,136	395,492	468,628
City of Fairfield	26,516	0	132,200	158,716	145,149	303,865
GGBHTD	190,889	(8,396,836)	8,154,174	(51,773)	8,952,845	8,901,072
LAVTA	430,624	(712,236)	357,375	75,763	392,378	468,141
Marin Transit	2,185,087	(1,480,837)	1,393,573	2,097,823	1,530,069	3,627,892
NVTA	16,737	(97,408)	101,174	20,503	111,084	131,587
City of Petaluma	10,422	0	43,410	53,832	47,662	101,494
City of Rio Vista	13,973	0	2,312	16,285	2,539	18,824
SamTrans	3,657,013	(10,630,852)	8,522,922	1,549,083	9,357,711	10,906,794
SMART	352,982	0	1,761,701	2,114,683	1,934,254	4,048,937
City of Santa Rosa	28,829	(174,524)	145,869	174	160,157	160,331
Solano County Transit	43,917	(291,716)	310,718	62,919	341,151	404,070
Sonoma County Transit	44,626	(206,612)	203,198	41,212	223,101	264,313
City of Union City	22,171	0	110,392	132,563	121,205	253,768
Vacaville City Coach	96,894	0	23,660	120,554	25,977	146,531
VTA	604,707	(26,436,776)	25,832,080	11	28,362,239	28,362,250
VTA - Corresponding to ACE	0	(150,975)	150,976	1	165,763	165,764
WCCTA	93,077	(472,527)	472,526	93,076	518,809	611,885
WETA	13,947,017	(5,289,400)	2,317,255	10,974,872	2,544,222	13,519,094
SUBTOTAL	29,081,870	(45,268,792)	59,907,704	43,720,781	65,775,448	109,496,229
AC Transit	533,531	(18,707,978)	22,789,317	4,614,870	25,021,448	29,636,318
BART	49	(7,190,823)	35,710,889	28,520,115	39,208,642	67,728,757
SFMTA	1,425,094	(62,690,293)	60,878,595	(386,604)	66,841,434	66,454,830
SUBTOTAL	1,958,675	(88,589,094)	119,378,801	32,748,381	131,071,524	163,819,905
GRAND TOTAL	\$31,040,545	(\$133,857,886)	\$179,286,505	\$76,469,162	\$196,846,972	\$273,316,134

- 1. Balance as of 6/30/21 is from the MTC FY2020-21 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.
- 2. The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of 6/30/21, and FY 2021-22 allocations as of 1/31/22.
- 3. FY 2021-22 STA revenue generation is based on revised estimates from the State Controller's Office in August 2021.
- 4. Projected carryover as of 6/30/22 does not include interest accrued in FY2021-22.
- 5. FY2022-23 STA revenue generation based on January 2022 State Controller's Office (SCO) forecast.

FY 2022-23 FUND ESTIMATE
STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE
POPULATION-BASED FUNDS (PUC 99313) - FY 2018-19 ONWARDS

Attachment A Res No. 4504 Page 12 of 20 2/23/2022

FY2021-22 STA Revenue Estimate	FY2022-23 STA Revenue Estimate	
1. State Estimate (Aug, 21) ³ \$65,303,438	4. Projected Carryover (Jan, 22)	\$73,673,061
2. Actual Revenue (Aug, 21)	5. State Estimate ⁴ (Jan, 22)	\$71,699,675
3. Revenue Adjustment (Lines 2-1)	6. Total Funds Available (Lines 4+5)	\$145,372,736

STA POPULATION-BASED COUNTY BLOCK GRANT AND REGIONAL PROGRAM APPORTIONMENT							
Column	Α	С	D	E=Sum(A:D)	F	G=Sum(E:F)	
	6/30/2021	FY2020-22	FY2021-22	6/30/2022	FY2022-23	Total	
A non autientus aut luuis dietiana	Balance	Outstanding	5 5 3	Projected	Revenue	Available For	
Apportionment Jurisdictions	(w/interest) ¹	Commitments ²	Revenue Estimate ³	Carryover ⁴	Estimate ⁵	Allocation	
County Block Grant ⁶							
Alameda	199,785	(7,048,829)	8,055,421	1,206,377	0	1,206,377	
Contra Costa	243,606	(10,286,298)	10,108,531	65,839	0	65,839	
Marin	65,034	(2,547,700)	2,600,416	117,750	0	117,750	
Napa	320,353	(1,908,843)	1,590,680	2,190	0	2,190	
San Francisco	1,077,367	(4,691,593)	3,853,147	238,921	0	238,921	
San Mateo	4,730,645	(2,670,725)	2,306,979	4,366,898	0	4,366,898	
Santa Clara	151,837	(6,572,999)	6,421,702	540	0	540	
Solano	10,368,402	(9,035,264)	4,785,725	6,118,863	0	6,118,863	
Sonoma	149,882	(4,506,010)	5,847,190	1,491,062	0	1,491,062	
SUBTOTAL	17,306,911	(49,268,261)	45,569,791	13,608,440	0	13,608,440	
Regional Program	17,009,857	(9,867,520)	19,529,911	26,672,248	13,509,903	40,182,151	
Means-Based Transit Fare Program	34,338,673	(1,950,618)	0	32,388,055	8,000,000	40,388,055	
FY22-23 Revenue - 70% of STA Pop Revenue ⁷	0	0	0	0	50,189,773	50,189,773	
Transit Emergency Service Contingency Fund ⁸	800,582	0	203,736	1,004,318	0	1,004,318	
GRAND TOTAL	\$69,456,022	(\$61,086,399)	\$65,303,438	\$73,673,061	\$71,699,676	\$145,372,737	

^{1.} Balance as of 6/30/21 is from the MTC FY2020-21 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed. Balances from the Northern County/Small Operator and Regional Paratransit programs, previously established by MTC Resolution 3837, have been transferred to the appropriate County Block Grant program.

- 2. The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of 6/30/21, and FY2021-22 allocations as of 1/31/22.
- 3. FY 2021-22 STA revenue generation is based on revised estimates from the Governor's proposed budget in January 2022.
- 4. The projected carryover as of 6/30/2022 does not include interest accrued in FY 2021-22.
- 5. FY2022-23 STA revenue generation based on forecasts from the State Controller's Office from January 2022.
- 6. County Block Grant adopted through MTC Resolution 4321 in February 2018, and funded through a 70% share of STA Population-Based funds.
- 7. The County Block Grant program will be suspended in FY23, per amendment to MTC Resolution 4321, Revised. New revenues will instead be programmed directly to operators. Additional details on p13.
- 8. Funds for the Transit Emergency Service Contingency Fund are taken "off the top" from the STA Population-Based program.

FY 2022-23 FUND ESTIMATE STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE POPULATION-BASED FUNDS (PUC 99313) - AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN EXCHANGE (FY 2022-23)

Attachment A Res No. 4504 Page 13 of 20

	FY2022-23		Estimated FY2022-23 Revenue to
Apportionment Jurisdictions ¹	Jan. 2022 Estimate ²	ARP Exchange Amount ³	Operators
Alameda	\$8,872,100	\$6,165,689	\$2,706,410
AC Transit	\$5,344,109	\$4,807,453	\$536,656
BART	\$859,706	\$780,570	\$79,136
LAVTA	\$1,912,825	\$535,322	\$1,377,503
Union City	\$755,459	\$42,344	\$713,115
Contra Costa	\$11,133,360	\$2,436,722	\$8,696,638
County Connection	\$5,254,946	\$548,920	\$4,706,026
Tri Delta	\$3,351,141	\$178,426	\$3,172,715
WestCAT	\$846,135	\$270,627	\$575,508
AC Transit	\$1,603,204	\$1,367,989	\$235,215
BART	\$77,934	\$70,760	\$7,174
Marin	\$2,864,053	\$1,291,961	\$1,572,091
GGBHTD	\$1,048,348	\$1,048,348	\$0
Marin Transit	\$1,756,598	\$243,613	\$1,512,985
SMART	\$59,106	\$0	\$59,106
Napa	\$1,751,947	\$216,814	\$1,535,133
NVTA	\$1,751,947	\$216,814	\$1,535,133
San Francisco	\$4,243,789	\$3,853,147	\$390,642
SFMTA	\$4,243,789	\$3,853,147	\$390,642
San Mateo	\$2,540,866	\$1,460,519	\$1,080,347
SamTrans	\$2,540,866	\$1,460,519	\$1,080,347
Santa Clara	\$7,072,750	\$5,202,490	\$1,870,260
VTA	\$7,072,750	\$5,202,490	\$1,870,260
Solano	\$5,270,914	\$613,192	\$4,657,722
Solano County Operators	\$5,270,914	\$613,192	\$4,657,722
Sonoma	\$6,439,993	\$868,262	\$5,571,731
Sonoma County Operators	\$6,439,993	\$118,262	\$6,321,731
GRAND TOTAL	\$50,189,773	\$21,358,796	\$28,830,976

^{1.} FY 2022-23 programming amounts for each county reflect each county's share of the STA County Block Grant program established in MTC Resolution 4321, Revised. The County Block Grant program is suspended for FY2022-23, and will resume in FY 2023-24.

^{2.} Programming amounts by operator reflect county transportation agency adopted frameworks for FY 23 in Alameda, Contra Costa, Napa, Santa Clara, Solano and Sonoma counties, a transit operator agreement in Marin County, and a direct apportionment of funds to the local transit operator in San Francisco and San Mateo counties.

^{3.} American Rescue Plan (ARP) exchange amounts for each operator are shown in order to fulfill the funding exchange detailed in MTC Resolution 4481, Revised.

FY 2022-23 FUND ESTIMATE BRIDGE TOLLS¹

Attachment A Res No. 4504 Page 14 of 20 2/23/2022

BRIDGE TOLL APPORTIONMENT BY CATEGORY								
Column	Α	В	С	D=Sum(A:C)	E	F=D+E		
	6/30/2021	FY2020-22	FY2021-22	6/30/2022	FY2022-23	Total		
Freed Correct	D 1 2	Outstanding		Projected	4	Available for Allegation		
Fund Source	Balance ²	Commitments ³	Programming Amount⁴	Carryover	Programming Amount⁴	Available for Allocation		
MTC 2% Toll Revenues								
Ferry Capital	7,896,840	(3,523,771)	1,000,000	5,373,069	1,000,000	6,373,069		
Bay Trail	64,034	(514,034)	450,000	0	450,000	450,000		
Studies	497,993	(100,000)	250,000	647,993	0	647,993		
SUBTOTAL	8,458,867	(4,137,805)	1,700,000	6,021,062	1,450,000	7,471,062		
5% State General Fund Revenues								
Ferry	17,859,499	0	3,126,721	20,986,220	3,442,511	24,428,731		
Bay Trail	180,472	(281,706)	281,706	180,472	287,369	467,841		
SUBTOTAL	18,039,971	(281,706)	3,408,427	21,166,692	3,729,880	24,896,572		

^{1.} BATA Resolution 93 and MTC Resolution 3948 required BATA to make a payment to MTC equal to the estimated present value of specified fund transfers for the next 50 years (FY2010-11 through FY2059-60) and relieved BATA from making those fund transfers for that 50 year period. The MTC 2% Toll Revenues listed above, commencing in FY2010-11, are funded from this payment.

^{2.} Balance as of 6/30/21 is from the MTC FY2020-21 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.

^{3.} The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of 6/30/21, and FY2021-22 allocations as of 1/31/22.

^{4.} MTC Resolution 4015 states that annual funding levels are established and adjusted through the fund estimate for 2%, and 5% bridge toll revenues.

FY 2022-23 FUND ESTIMATE AB1107 FUNDS AB1107 IS TWENTY-FIVE PERCENT OF THE ONE-HALF CENT BART DISTRICT SALES TAX

0

\$0

SFMTA

TOTAL

Attachment A Res No. 4504 Page 15 of 20 2/23/2022

50,000,000

\$100,000,000

FY2021-22 AB1107 Revenue Estimate 1. Original MTC Estimate (Feb, 21) \$83,000,000 4. Projected Carryover (Jun, 21) \$00,000,000 F. MTC Estimate (Feb, 23)		\$0				
		\$0				
2 De test Estimate (Est. 22)						
2. Revised Estimate (Feb, 22) \$98,000,000 5. MTC Estimate (Feb, 22)		\$100,000,000				
3. Revenue Adjustment (Lines 2-1) \$15,000,000 6. Total Funds Available (Lines 4+5)		\$100,000,000				
AB1107 APPORTIONMENT BY OPERATOR						
Column A B C=Sum(A:B) D E F G=Sum(A	F) H	I=Sum(G:H)				
6/30/2021 FY2020-21 6/30/2021 FY2020-22 FY2021-22 FY2021-22 6/30/20	2 FY2022-23	FY2022-23				
Apportionment Balance Balance Outstanding Original Revenue Projecte	l Revenue	Available for				
Jurisdictions (w/o interest) Interest (w/ interest) ¹ Commitments ² Estimate Adjustment Carryov	r Estimate	Allocation				
AC Transit 0 0 (49,000,000) 41,500,000 7,500,000	0 50,000,000	50,000,000				

41,500,000

\$83,000,000

7,500,000

\$15,000,000

0

\$0

50,000,000

\$100,000,000

(49,000,000)

(\$98,000,000)

0

\$0

0

\$0

^{1.} Balance as of 6/30/21 is from the MTC FY2019-20 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.

^{2.} The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of 6/30/21, and FY2020-21 allocations as of 1/31/22.

FY 2022-23 FUND ESTIMATE TDA & STA FUND SUBAPPORTIONMENT FOR ALAMEDA & CONTRA COSTA COUNTIES & IMPLEMENTATION OF OPERATOR AGREEMENTS

Attachment A Res No. 4504 Page 16 of 20 2/23/2022

ARTICLE 4.5 SUBAPPORTIONMENT					
Apportionment	Alameda	Contra Costa			
Jurisdictions	Article 4.5	Article 4.5			
Total Available	\$5,752,834	\$3,238,038			
AC Transit	\$5,109,152	\$962,989			
LAVTA	\$191,227				
Pleasanton	\$105,121				
Union City	\$347,336				
CCCTA		\$1,332,243			
ECCTA		\$724,474			
WCCTA		\$218,331			
	IMPLEMENTATION OF OPERATOR AGREEMENTS				

portionment of BART Funds to Implement Transit Coordination Program

Apportion	ment of BART Funds to	Implement Transit Coordination Program	
A manager in a manager	Total Available Funds		
	Apportionment Jurisdictions	(TDA and STA)	
	Jurisdictions	FY 2021-22	
CCCTA		\$864,033	
LAVTA		\$716,617	
ECCTA		\$2,808,992	
WCCTA		\$2,784,874	

WCCIA	72,704,074			
Fund Source	Apportionment Jurisdictions	Claimant	Amount ¹	Program
Total Available BART STA Revenue	-Based Funds ²		\$67,728,757	
STA Revenue-Based	BART	CCCTA	(864,033)	BART Feeder Bus
STA Revenue-Based	BART	LAVTA	(601,584)	BART Feeder Bus
STA Revenue-Based	BART	ECCTA	(2,808,992)	BART Feeder Bus
STA Revenue-Based	BART	WCCTA	(2,493,826)	BART Feeder Bus
Total Payment			(6,768,434)	
Remaining BART STA Revenue-Base	ed Funds		\$60,960,322	
Total Available BART TDA Article 4	Funds ²		\$406,081	
TDA Article 4	BART-Alameda	LAVTA	(115,033)	BART Feeder Bus
TDA Article 4	BART-Contra Costa	WCCTA	(291,048)	BART Feeder Bus
Total Payment			(406,081)	
Remaining BART TDA Article 4 Fund	ds		\$0	
Total Available SamTrans STA Reve	enue-Based Funds		\$10,906,794	
STA Revenue-Based	SamTrans	BART	(801,024)	SFO Operating Expense
Total Payment			(801,024)	
Remaining SamTrans STA Revenue	-Based Funds		\$10,105,770	
Total Available Union City TDA Arti	icle 4 Funds		\$17,750,134	
TDA Article 4	Union City	AC Transit	(116,699)	Union City service
Total Payment			(116,699)	
Remaining Union City TDA Article 4	Funds		\$17,633,435	

^{1.} Amounts assigned to the claimants in this page will reduce the funds available for allocation in the corresponding apportionment jurisdictions by the same amounts.

^{2.} Discussions are ongoing between BART, MTC, county transportation agencies, and the four East Bay bus operators shown here regarding possible changes to the operator agreements which govern these payments. Until such time as an agreement is reached, or when there is a clear path to agreement, operators will be able to claim no more than 50% of FY 2022-23 programmed amounts.

FY 2022-23 FUND ESTIMATE STA SPILLOVER FUNDING AGREEMENT PER RESOLUTION 3814

Attachment A Res No. 4504 Page 17 of 20 2/23/2022

PROPOSITION 1B TRANSIT FUNDING PROGRAM POPULATION BASED SPILLOVER DISTRIBUTION							
Apportionment Category	MTC Resolution 3814	9/	FY 2007-08	FY2009-20	MTC Res-3833	MTC Res-3925	FY2021-22
	Spillover Payment Schedule	70	Spillover Distribution	Spillover Distribution	(RM 1 Funding)	(STP/CMAQ Funding)	Remaining
Lifeline	10,000,000	16%	1,028,413	0	0	8,971,587	0
Small Operators / North Counties	3,000,000	5%	308,524	0	0	2,691,476	0
BART to Warm Springs	3,000,000	5%	308,524	0	0	0	0
eBART	3,000,000	5%	327,726	0	2,672,274	0	0
SamTrans ¹	43,000,000	69%	4,422,174	0	0	19,288,913	19,288,913
TOTAL	\$62,000,000	100%	\$6,395,361	\$0	\$0	\$30,951,976	\$19,288,914

^{1.} On January 26, 2022, the MTC Commission adopted MTC Resolution No. 4509, which approved a funding commitment of \$19.6 million to SamTrans to satisfy the terms of the 2007 Caltrain Right of Way settlement agreement.

Attachment A
Res No. 4504
Page 18 of 20
2/23/2022
\$182,225,000
\$48,822,251
\$17,783,050
\$66,605,301
_

^{2.} The FY 2022-23 LCTOP revenue generation is based on the \$182 million estimated in the FY 2022-23 Proposed State Budget.

FY 2022-23 FUND ESTIMATE STATE OF GOOD REPAIR (SGR) PROGRAM REVENUE-BASED FUNDS

Attachment A Res No. 4450 Page 19 of 20 10/27/2021

FY2021-22 SGR Revenue-Based Revenue Estimate		FY2022-23 SGR Revenue-Based Revenue Estimate	
1. State Estimate (Aug, 21)	\$31,477,988	4. Projected Carryover (Jan, 22)	\$1
2. Actual Revenue (Aug, 22)		5. State Estimate (Jan, 22)	\$32,422,154
3. Revenue Adjustment (Lines 2-1)		6. Total Funds Available (Lines 4+5)	\$32,422,155

STATE OF GOOD REPAIR PROGRAM REVENUE-BASED APPORTIONMENT BY OPERATOR Ε Column D=Sum(A:C) F=Sum(D:E) В C 6/30/2021 FY2020-22 FY2021-22 6/30/2022 FY2022-23 Total Revenue Revenue Balance **Available For** Outstanding Projected **Apportionment Jurisdictions** (w/interest) **Commitments** Estimate¹ Carryover Estimate² Allocation **ACCMA - Corresponding to ACE** 0 (45,946)45,946 0 47,324 47,324 1,492,021 0 1,536,774 1,536,774 Caltrain 0 (1,492,021)**CCCTA** 130,808 0 (130,808)0 134,731 134,731 City of Dixon 0 (1,277)1,277 0 1,316 1,316 **ECCTA** 0 (63,244)63,244 0 65,141 65,141 **City of Fairfield** 0 (23,211)23,211 0 23,907 23,907 **GGBHTD** 0 (1,431,657)1,431,657 0 1,474,600 1,474,600 **LAVTA** 0 (62,746)62.746 0 64,628 64,628 **Marin Transit** 0 (244,675)244,675 0 252,014 252,014 **NVTA** 0 17,763 0 (17,763)18,296 18,296 **City of Petaluma** 0 (7,622)7,622 0 7,850 7,850 City of Rio Vista 0 (406)406 0 418 418 SamTrans 0 (1,496,400)1,496,400 0 1,541,284 1,541,284 **SMART** 0 0 (309,308)309,308 318,586 318,586 **City of Santa Rosa** 0 25,611 0 26,379 26,379 (25,611)**Solano County Transit** 0 (54,554)54,554 0 56,190 56,190 **Sonoma County Transit** 0 35,676 0 36,746 36,746 (35,676)**City of Union City** 0 19,382 0 19,963 19,963 (19,382)**Vacaville City Coach** 0 4,154 4,279 4,279 (4,154)0 **VTA** 0 0 4,671,471 4,671,471 (4,535,433)4,535,433 **VTA - Corresponding to ACE** 0 (26,508)26,508 27,303 0 27,303 WCCTA 0 82,963 0 85,452 (82,963)85,452 WETA 406,849 419,052 0 (406,849)0 419,052 **SUBTOTAL** 3 (10,518,214)10,518,214 0 10.833.704 10,833,704 **AC Transit** 0 (4,001,204)4,001,204 0 4,121,218 4,121,218 **BART** 0 6,269,892 0 6,457,954 6,457,954 (6,269,892)**SFMTA** 0 (10,688,678)10,688,678 1 11,009,279 11,009,280 **SUBTOTAL** 1 (20,959,774) 20,959,774 1 21,588,451 21,588,452 \$1 \$32,422,155 \$32,422,156 **GRAND TOTAL** \$4 (\$31,477,988) \$31,477,988

^{1.} FY2021-22 State of Good Repair Program revenue generation is based on August 2021 estimates from the State Controller's Office (SCO).

^{2.} FY2022-23 State of Good Repair Program revenue generation is based on January 2022 estimates from the SCO.

FY 2022-23 FUND ESTIMATE STATE OF GOOD REPAIR (SGR) PROGRAM POPULATION-BASED FUNDS

Attachment A Res No. 4504 Page 20 of 20 2/23/2022

FY2021-22 SGR Population-Based Revenue Estimate		FY2022-23 SGR Po	pulation-Based Rever	nue Estimate			
1. State Estimate (Jan, 22)	\$11,465,566	4. Projected Carryover (Jan, 22) \$56,727					
2. Actual Revenue (Aug, 22)		5. State Estimate	(Jan, 22)			\$11,809,467	
3. Revenue Adjustment (Lines 2-1)		6. Total Funds Av	ailable (Lines 4+5)			\$11,866,194	
SGR PROGRAM POPULATION-BASED APPORTIONMENT							
Column	Α	В	С	D=Sum(A:C)	Ε	F=Sum(D:E)	
	6/30/2021	FY2020-22	FY2021-22	6/30/2022	FY2022-23	Total	
Apportionment	Balance (w/interest)	Outstanding Commitments	Revenue Estimate ¹	Projected Carryover	Revenue Estimate ²	Available For Allocation	
Clipper®/Clipper® 2.0 ³	18,692,026	(30,100,865)	11,465,566	56,727	11,809,467	11,866,194	
GRAND TOTAL	\$18,692,026	(\$30,100,865)	\$11,465,566	\$56,727	\$11,809,467	\$11,866,194	

^{1.} FY2021-22 State of Good Repair Program revenue generation is based on August 2021 estimates from the State Controller's Office (SCO).

^{2.} FY2022-23 State of Good Repair Program revenue generation is based on January 2022 estimates from the State Controller's Office (SCO).

^{3.} State of Good Repair Program funds are shown here according to the policy in MTC Resolution 4321.

Date: February 28, 2018

W.I.: 1511 Referred By: PAC

> Revised: 02/27/19-C 02/23/22-C

ABSTRACT

Resolution No. 4321, Revised

This resolution establishes a policy for the programming and allocation of State Transit Assistance (STA) funds and State of Good Repair Program funds, made available under the provisions of Public Utilities Code Sections 99312.1, 99313, and 99314.

This resolution supersedes Resolution No. 3837.

This resolution was revised on February 27, 2019 to update the STA Population-Based County Block Grant performance measure requirements for small and medium sized transit operators as well as to make adjustments to the State of Good Repair (SGR) Program Revenue-Based program policies to reflect updated Caltrans SGR Program guidelines.

This resolution was revised on February 23, 2022 to suspend the County Block Grant program for FY 2022-23 to implement the American Rescue Plan funding exchange.

Further discussion of this action is contained in the Executive Director's Memorandum to the Programming and Allocations Committee dated January 3, 2018 and the MTC Programming and Allocations Committee Summary Sheets dated February 14, 2018, February 13, 2019 and February 9, 2022.

Date: February 28, 2018

W.I.: 1511 Referred By: PAC

Re: Adoption of MTC's State Transit Assistance (STA) and State of Good Repair Program Programming and Allocation Policy.

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

RESOLUTION NO. 4321

WHEREAS, State Transit Assistance (STA) funds are to be used to enhance public transportation service, including community transit service, and to meet high priority regional transportation needs; and

WHEREAS, Senate Bill (SB) 1 (Chapter 5, Statutes of 2017), known as the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017, establishes the State of Good Repair Program (SGR Program); and

WHEREAS, both STA and SGR Program funds are distributed by the State Controller's Office pursuant to Public Utilities Code § 99313 and 99314, a Population-Based and Revenue-Based program, respectively; and

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), as the Regional Transportation Planning Agency for the San Francisco Bay Area, is responsible for the allocation of STA and SGR Program funds available to eligible claimants in this region; and

WHEREAS, MTC adopted an STA Allocation Policy in Resolution No. 3837 in 2008; and

WHEREAS, SB 1 significantly increased the amount of funding to the STA program and established the SGR Program; and

WHEREAS, in order to align the allocation of STA and SGR Program funding with the Bay Area's most pressing transportation needs; now, therefore, be it

<u>RESOLVED</u>, that MTC adopts its State Transit Assistance and State of Good Repair Program Programming and Allocation Policy described in Attachment A, attached hereto and incorporated by reference, for guidance to eligible claimants in the preparation of their applications for STA and SGR Program funds and to staff for reviewing such applications; and be it further

<u>RESOLVED</u>, that the prior policy governing allocation of State Transit Assistance Funds contained in Resolution No. 3837 is superseded by this resolution.

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Jake Mackenzie, Chair

The above resolution was entered into by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission at a regular meeting of the Commission held in San Francisco, California, on February 28, 2018.

Date: February 28, 2018

W.I.: 1511 Referred By: PAC

Revised: 02/27/19-C

Attachment A Resolution No. 4321 Page 1 of 5

STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE AND STATE OF GOOD REPAIR PROGRAM PROGRAMMING AND ALLOCATION POLICY Exhibit 1

This policy affects all allocations by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) of STA and SGR Program funds, made available under the provisions of Public Utilities Code Sections 99312.1, 99313 and 99314 and relevant subsections.

I. STA Population-Based Funds (PUC Code 99313) Including Interest Earnings

1. STA Population-Based County Block Grant

Commencing with Fiscal Year 2018-19 70% of the STA Population-Based funds and interest is reserved for programming to STA-eligible operators by Congestion Management Agencies (CMAs) in each of the nine Bay Area counties as part of a STA Population-Based County Block Grant (County Block Grant). The County Block Grant will allow each county to determine how best to invest in transit operating needs, including providing lifeline transit services. The funds reserved for the County Block Grant shall be distributed amongst the nine counties according to the percentages shown in Table 1. Each county's share in Table 1 was calculated based on the county's share of STA funds from the Resolution 3837 formula, totaled across all categories (Northern Counties/Small Operators Program, Regional Paratransit Program, and the Lifeline Transportation Program).

Table 1. Distribution of STA Population-Based County Block Grant, by County

Alameda	17.68%
Contra Costa	22.18%
Marin	5.71%
Napa	3.49%
San Francisco	8.46%
San Mateo	5.06%
Santa Clara	14.09%
Solano	10.50%
Sonoma	12.83%

Within Alameda and Contra Costa Counties a minimum amount of County Block Grant funds shall be programmed amongst the transit operators detailed in Table 2.

Table 2. Alameda and Contra Costa County Small Operator Minimum

County	Minimum % of Block Grant to be Allocated Annually Amongst Eligible Small Operators	Eligible Small Operators
Alameda County	24%	LAVTA and Union City Transit
Contra Costa County	60%	CCCTA, ECCTA, WestCAT

The following program conditions apply to the County Block Grant:

- **Reporting:** Each CMA must submit to MTC by May 1st of each year, a report including the following information about the previous, completed, fiscal year: 1) the county's programming distribution of STA Population-Based funds amongst STA-eligible operators and; 2) the estimated amount of STA Population-Based funding that will be spent within or benefiting Communities of Concern.
- **Fund Swaps:** Each CMA is required to seek approval from MTC before requesting that a STA-eligible operator recipient of STA Population-Based funds perform a fund swap involving STA Population-Based funds. The CMA must notify all STA-eligible operators within their county of the request to swap funds before seeking approval from MTC.
- Coordinated Claim/Submission Deadline: Each CMA must play a coordinating role in the development of STA Population-Based claims from STA-eligible operators within their county. Each CMA must also submit to MTC by May 1st of each year a governing board-approved resolution listing the distribution policy for STA Population-Based funds amongst the STA-eligible operators for the subsequent fiscal year. Operators will continue to submit their own claims, if desired.
- Performance Measures: All small and medium sized operators shall be required to maintain operating costs (cost per service hour, cost per passenger, or cost per passenger mile) at least twenty (20) percent below the annual average operating cost of the seven operators included in the Transit Sustainability Project (TSP). Operating costs for small and medium sized operators shall be calculated for each mode (bus, rail, ferry, etc.) and benchmarked against the comparable modal average for the operators included in the TSP. In addition, annual year-over-year increases in operating costs for each small and medium sized operator shall be no greater than five (5) percent per year. If an operator is unable to meet the above requirements they may submit an appeal/justification to MTC explaining the circumstances that prevented achievement of the targets. Beginning in Fiscal Year 2023-24 MTC may link existing and new operating and capital funds administered by MTC to progress towards achieving the performance target.
- Operator Consolidation Planning Efforts: In the Northern Counties (Marin, Napa, Solano, and Sonoma) as an alternative to meeting TSP performance requirements, counties and transit operators may develop a plan to consolidate into a single county operator.
- **Mobility Management:** In the five other counties (Alameda, Contra Costa, San Francisco, San Mateo, and Santa Clara) each county must establish or enhance mobility management programs to help provide equitable and effective access to transportation.

Attachment A Resolution No. 4321 Page 3 of 5

[PROPOSED REVISION 2/2022]: The STA County Block Grant program is suspended for fiscal year 2022-23. Funds that would normally flow into the STA County Block Grant program will instead be programmed directly by the Commission to transit operators to implement the American Rescue Plan funding exchange as a part of MTC Resolution 4481, Revised.

2. MTC Regional Program

Commencing with Fiscal Year 2018-19 30% of the STA Population-Based funds and interest is reserved for projects and programs that improve regional coordination, including but not limited to:

- Clipper®
- 511
- Transit connectivity

In addition, a portion of the Regional Program funding (approximately \$8 million in the first year based on the estimated Senate Bill 1 increment for Fiscal Year 2018-19) will be used to pay for the administrative costs and to help offset transit fare revenue loss for a regional means-based fare program.

MTC will develop an annual MTC Regional Coordination program. All final programming will be reviewed and approved by the MTC Programming and Allocations Committee (PAC).

3. Transit Emergency Service Contingency Fund

The Transit Emergency Service Contingency Fund shall be used to provide assistance for an emergency response to a qualifying incident or event, under specific circumstances as described in MTC Resolution No. 4171.

The fund shall not exceed a total balance of \$1 million of STA Population-Based funds. In any individual fiscal year no more than \$333,333 of STA Populated-Based funds and interest shall be apportioned to the fund. Interest accrued to the fund shall not count towards the \$1 million total balance limit and interest can continue to accrue once the fund has reached \$1 million. Beginning in Fiscal Year (FY) 2015-16, \$333,333 in STA Population-Based funds, taken "off the top" from estimated STA Population-Based revenues for the fiscal year, will be apportioned to the fund. Apportionments will continue in subsequent fiscal years until the fund reaches a total of \$1 million. In future years should the balance of the fund fall below \$1 million, funds shall be apportioned in the next fiscal year to restore the full balance of the fund, subject to the annual apportionment limit.

II. STA Revenue-Based Funds (PUC Code 99314)

Funds apportioned to the region based on revenues generated by the transit operators will be allocated to each STA-eligible operator for the support of fixed route and paratransit operations, for inter-operator coordination, including the cost of interoperator transfers,

joint fare subsidies, integrated fares etc., and for capital projects consistent with the adopted long-range plan.

III. SGR Program Population-Based Funds (PUC Code 99312.1, distributed via PUC 99313)

MTC will develop an annual investment program for SGR Program Population-Based Funds through the annual Fund Estimate. All final programming will be reviewed and approved by the MTC Programming and Allocations Committee (PAC) and will be consistent with the below priorities. All proposed programming actions will be submitted to Caltrans for approval, consistent with SGR Program Guidelines.

1. Priority 1: Clipper® 2.0

Invest in the development and deployment of the Bay Area's next generation transit fare payment system, Clipper® 2.0.

2. Priority 2: Green Transit Capital Priorities

If not needed for Clipper® 2.0, program SGR Program Population-Based funds to the acquisition of zero emission buses (ZEB) by the Bay Area's transit operators. SGR Program funds are intended to pay for the cost increment of ZEBs over diesel or hybrid vehicles or for charging or hydrogen infrastructure to support ZEBs. MTC staff will work to secure a 1:1 match commitment from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District to expand and accelerate the deployment of ZEBs in the region.

IV. SGR Program Revenue-Based Funds (PUC Code 99312.1, distributed via PUC 99314)

Funds apportioned to the region based on revenues generated by the transit operators will be allocated to each respective STA-eligible operator for state of good repair projects, preventative maintenance, and other projects approved by the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) as eligible for SGR Program expenditure. Starting with Fiscal Year 2019-20 operators must submit their proposed SGR Program Revenue-Based projects to MTC, consistent with Caltrans' proposed amendments to the SGR Program Guidelines for Fiscal Year 2019-20. Operators should submit their SGR Program Revenue-Based project list to MTC by May 15th of each year. MTC staff will compile SGR Program Revenue-Based projects from all operators across the region and submit to the Commission for approval before submitting the approved regional SGR Program Revenue-Based project list to Caltrans by September 1st of each year.

Transit operator's SGR Program Revenue-Based projects should be consistent with their agency's Transit Assessment Management (TAM) plan.

Attachment A Resolution No. 4321 Page 5 of 5

State Transit Assistance (STA) Rules and Regulations for the MTC Region Exhibit 2

These Rules and Regulations cover the eligibility requirements and the rules for a full or partial allocation of these funds.

Eligibility Requirements

To be eligible for <u>any</u> STA funds in the MTC region, an operator must comply with all SB 602 fare and schedule coordination requirements for the fiscal year. The evaluation of operator's compliance with the SB 602 program is made annually.

An operator's requested STA allocation may also be partially or fully reduced if the operator did not make satisfactory progress in meeting its Productivity Improvement Program (PIP) and/or the Regional Coordination projects for which each operator is a participant.

SB 602 Requirements/California Government Code Section 66516

Fare coordination revenue-sharing agreements, must be fully executed by all participating operators and provisions of the agreement(s) must be in compliance with MTC rules and regulations.

MTC Res. 3866 (Transit Coordination Implementation Plan) documents coordination requirements for Bay Area transit operators to improve the transit customer experience when transferring between transit operators and in support of regional transit projects such as Clipper. If a transit operator fails to comply with the requirements of Res. 3866 or its successor, MTC may withhold, restrict or reprogram funds or allocations.

PIP Projects

PIP projects are a requirement of STA funding. Failure by operators to make a reasonable effort to implement their PIP projects may affect the allocation of these funds. Projects will be evaluated based on actual progress as compared to scheduled. STA funds may be reduced proportionate to the failure of the operator to implement the PIP project/s. Progress in meeting the milestones identified for a project may be used as the basis for assessing reasonable effort.

The amount withheld will be reviewed with the affected operator. Partial funds withheld may be held by MTC up to two years to allow an operator to comply with its PIP as required by statute.

After two years, funds withheld under this section may also be re-allocated to any eligible operator for purposes of improving coordination, according to the unfunded coordination projects in the Regional Coordination Plan (MTC Res. 3866 or its successor). MTC may also allocate these funds to any operator whose increase in total operating cost per revenue vehicle hour is less than the increase in the CPI.