

From: [Shadd Newman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); ["info@midmarketcbd.org"](#)
Cc: [Amy Hull](#)
Subject: Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 9:45:22 AM
Attachments: [image048973.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please support the legislation for allowing San Francisco Police Department to access live video footage of private (non-City owned) security cameras to deter and prosecute criminal activity, such as: mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries.

With guardrails in place for protecting First Amendment Rights, and training for officers accessing the cameras, the cameras will allow police to deter and prosecute the organized crimes plaguing our City: be it mass looting in Union Square, drug dealing rings in the Tenderloin, retail theft in Chinatown or brazen home burglaries on the Westside.

Cameras such as those currently available through the Mid Market Community Benefit District, and many other CBDs, are an invaluable tool, available now to help make San Francisco safer.

Residents and prospective tenants at Trinity Place in mid-Market lament the crime and lack of safety in the neighborhood, expressing concern about open air drug dealing. Providing SFPD access to already existing tools to help them do their job is an important step in addressing these concerns and making our streets safer for all members of the community.

Thank you for approving the Surveillance Technology Policy ordinance.

Sincerely,

Shadd Newman

Shadd Newman
Vice President
(415) 575-3356



The premier source of furnished and unfurnished apartments.

trinitysf.com

Email Disclaimer

From: [Helene Sautou](#)
To: [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Info Info](#)
Subject: Support for Police Department of non-City entity surveillance cameras
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 9:47:00 AM
Attachments: [Camera Support for Police Department use of non-City entity surveillance cameras .pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please find attached the Mid Market CBD letter of support for use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Best regards,



Helene Sautou

Project Director

Mid Market Community Benefit District

[\(415\) 957-5985](tel:(415)957-5985)

hsautou@midmarketcbd.org

midmarketcbd.org

From: [Tracy Everwine](#)
To: [Helene Sautou](#)
Cc: [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Info Info](#)
Subject: Re: Support for Police Department of non-City entity surveillance cameras
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 9:58:22 AM
Attachments: [Screen Shot 2022-07-15 at 9.56.14 AM.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Just FYI heading was incomplete. Please fix if you forward anywhere else.

Support for Police Department of non-City entity surveillance cameras



Helene Sautou

to Matt.Dorsey, Rafael, Myrna.Melgar, Brent.Jalipa, Hillary.Ronen, Shamann.Walton, Ahsha.Safai, Gordon.Mar, Connie.Chan, A

On Fri, Jul 15, 2022 at 9:46 AM Helene Sautou <hsautou@midmarketcbd.org> wrote:

Dear Supervisors,

Please find attached the Mid Market CBD letter of support for use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Best regards,



Helene Sautou

Project Director

Mid Market Community Benefit District

[\(415\) 957-5985](tel:(415)957-5985)

hsautou@midmarketcbd.org

midmarketcbd.org

--



Tracy Everwine

Executive Director

Mid Market Community Benefit District

[\(415\) 957-5985](tel:(415)957-5985)

teverwine@midmarketcbd.org

midmarketcbd.org

From: [Dennis Crowe](#)
To: [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); info@midmarketcbd.org
Subject: Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 10:25:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I support the legislation allowing the San Francisco Police Department to access live video footage of private (non-City owned) security cameras and I hope you will too.

I have owned my building and run my business at 6th and Clementina for 18 years now and have given the police access to my cameras when asked. I have seen first hand that these cameras are a critical tool to make our streets safer.

Please support this legislation.

Thank you

Sincerely,
Dennis Crowe

Dennis Crowe
Founder / Creative Director
VehicleSF
275 Sixth Street, SF CA 94103
www.vehiclesf.com
main: 415-777-5560
direct: 415-882-3455
cell: 415-531-6358

From: [Harrison, David](#)
To: [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); info@midmarketcbd.org
Subject: Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 10:25:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I hope you will support the legislation allowing the San Francisco Police Department to access live video footage of private (non-City owned) security cameras to deter and prosecute criminal activity, such as: mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries.

With guardrails in place for protecting First Amendment Rights, and training for officers accessing the cameras, the cameras will allow police to deter and prosecute the organized crimes plaguing our City.

Cameras such as those currently available through the Mid Market Community Benefit District, and many other CBDs, are an invaluable tool, available now to help make San Francisco safer.

Thank you for approving the Surveillance Technology Policy ordinance.

Sincerely,

David Harrison

From: [Tina Lauchengco](#)
To: [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Info Info](#)
Subject: Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 10:37:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please support the legislation allowing the San Francisco Police Department to access live video footage of private (non-City owned) security cameras to deter and prosecute criminal activity, such as: mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries.

With guardrails in place for protecting First Amendment Rights, and training for officers accessing the cameras, the cameras will allow police to deter and prosecute the organized crimes plaguing our City: be it mass looting in Union Square, drug dealing rings in the Tenderloin, retail theft in Chinatown or brazen home burglaries on the Westside.

Cameras such as those currently available through the Mid Market Community Benefit District, and many other CBDs, are an invaluable tool, available now to help make San Francisco safer.

[Please add any personal anecdotes]

Thank you for approving the Surveillance Technology Policy ordinance.

Sincerely,
Tina Lauchengco

From: [Joe Ching](#)
To: [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); info@midmarketcbd.org
Subject: Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 10:40:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please support the legislation allowing the San Francisco Police Department to access live video footage of private (non-City owned) security cameras to deter and prosecute criminal activity, such as: mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries.

With guardrails in place for protecting First Amendment Rights, and training for officers accessing the cameras, the cameras will allow police to deter and prosecute the organized crimes plaguing our City: be it mass looting in Union Square, drug dealing rings in the Tenderloin, retail theft in Chinatown or brazen home burglaries on the Westside.

Cameras such as those currently available through the Mid Market Community Benefit District, and many other CBDs, are an invaluable tool, available now to help make San Francisco safer.

As a property owner who has recently suffered extensive damage to my property due to someone firing illegal fireworks from outside our building, access to camera footage would have certainly helped to possibly ascertain the perpetrators. Vandalism is a constant issue as well in the Mid-Market area and providing the SFPD with the proper tools to help curb these and other illegal activities is a long overdue action.

Thank you for approving the Surveillance Technology Policy ordinance.

Sincerely,
Joe Ching

From: [Nate Haas](#)
To: [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); info@midmarketcbd.org
Subject: Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 10:51:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please support the legislation allowing the San Francisco Police Department to access live video footage of private (non-City owned) security cameras to deter and prosecute criminal activity, such as: mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries.

With guardrails in place for protecting First Amendment Rights, and training for officers accessing the cameras, the cameras will allow police to deter and prosecute the organized crimes plaguing our City: be it mass looting in Union Square, drug dealing rings in the Tenderloin, retail theft in Chinatown or brazen home burglaries on the Westside.

Cameras such as those currently available through the Mid Market Community Benefit District, and many other CBDs, are an invaluable tool, available now to help make San Francisco safer.

Thank you for approving the Surveillance Technology Policy ordinance.

Sincerely,
Nate Haas

From: [Jack Sinow](#)
To: [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary; Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); info@midmarketcbd.org
Subject: Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 11:41:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please support the legislation allowing the San Francisco Police Department to access live video footage of private (non-City owned) security cameras to deter and prosecute criminal activity, such as: mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries.

With guardrails in place for protecting First Amendment Rights, and training for officers accessing the cameras, the cameras will allow police to deter and prosecute the organized crimes plaguing our City: be it mass looting in Union Square, drug dealing rings in the Tenderloin, retail theft in Chinatown or brazen home burglaries on the Westside.

Cameras such as those currently available through the Mid Market Community Benefit District, and many other CBDs, are an invaluable tool, available now to help make San Francisco safer.

This was an invaluable tool to help us discover who broke our large glass window and how it was done. Anything that helps deter crime on 6th Street and deter violence upon my patient base, which occurs almost daily, would be of immense help.

Thank you for approving the Surveillance Technology Policy ordinance.

Sincerely,
Jack H Sinow, OD
71 6th Street

From: [Jane Weil](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); info@midmarketcbd.org
Subject: Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 12:04:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please support the legislation for allowing San Francisco Police Department to access live video footage of private (non-City owned) security cameras to deter and prosecute criminal activity, such as: mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries.

With guardrails in place for protecting First Amendment Rights, and training for officers accessing the cameras, the cameras will allow police to deter and prosecute the organized crimes plaguing our City: be it mass looting in Union Square, drug dealing rings in the Tenderloin, retail theft in Chinatown or brazen home burglaries on the Westside.

Cameras such as those currently available through the Mid Market Community Benefit District, and many other CBDs, are an invaluable tool, available now to help make San Francisco safer.

As a homeowner overlooking the troubled corner of Mission & 18th, I want SFPD to have all tools available to keep us safe from the open drug dealing and criminals who hang out all around us. Help us PLEASE!

Thank you for approving the Surveillance Technology Policy ordinance.

Sincerely,
Jane Weil
Board President SOMAGrand HOA
1160 Mission St #2108
San Francisco CA 94103

From: [Scott Walton](#)
To: [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); info@midmarketcbd.org
Subject: Support for ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for Police Department use of non-City Private Security Cameras
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 1:43:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

The Ambassador Theatre Group, the owners of the Orpheum, Golden Gate and Curran Theatres, brings more than 1,000,000 people into the Tenderloin neighborhood each year. We support the legislation for allowing San Francisco Police Department to access live video footage of private (non-City owned) security cameras to deter and prosecute criminal activity, such as: mass looting, gun violence, drug dealing, and burglaries.

With guardrails in place for protecting First Amendment Rights, and training for officers accessing the cameras, the cameras will allow police to deter and prosecute the organized crimes plaguing our City: be it mass looting in Union Square, drug dealing rings in the Tenderloin, retail theft in Chinatown or brazen home burglaries on the Westside.

Cameras such as those currently available through the Mid Market Community Benefit District, and many other CBDs, are an invaluable tool, available now to help make San Francisco safer.

Thank you for approving the Surveillance Technology Policy ordinance.

Sincerely,
Rainier Koeners, Managing Director

Ambassador Theatre Group

Sensitivity: Internal Use

From: [Paulina Fayer](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 5:19:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent **Paulina Fayer**

Email plfayer@gmail.com

I am a resident of **District 8**

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Robert Chan](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 5:32:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Robert Chan
Email RobertYChan@aol.com

I am a resident of [District 2](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Dorothy Chan](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 5:33:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Dorothy Chan
Email dorothywaichan@aol.com
I am a resident of [District 2](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Marina Roche](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 5:34:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Marina Roche
Email marinaroche@icloud.com
I am a resident of [District 4](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Amy Chan](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 5:34:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Amy Chan

Email amyrchan@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 1

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Ryan Chan](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 5:36:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Ryan Chan
Email ryanjchan@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 9](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Stephanie Lehman](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 5:57:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Stephanie Lehman

Email slehman21@yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 1

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.
Stephanie Lehman
Elected delegate AD 19

From: [Kevin Roche](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 6:07:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Kevin Roche
Email krochemusic@aol.com

I am a resident of [District 4](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [KEVIN OSHEA](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 6:17:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent KEVIN OSHEA

Email osheakw@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 8](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [William Palladino](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 6:31:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent William Palladino
Email wetwilly17@hotmail.com
I am a resident of [District 4](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Fiona Montgomery](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 6:34:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Fiona Montgomery

Email fiona.thomas@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 8](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you, Fiona

From: [Jerry Connolly](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 7:17:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Jerry Connolly
Email jerconsf@yahoo.com
I am a resident of [District 4](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Mike Jones](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 7:24:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Mike Jones
Email mj357@comcast.net
I am a resident of [District 2](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Monthanus Ratanapakdee](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 7:31:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Monthanus Ratanapakdee

Email monthanusr@yahoo.com

I am a resident of [District 2](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Charna Ball](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 7:48:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Charna Ball

Email genteel-slots.0j@icloud.com

I am a resident of [District 2](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Ebert Kan](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 8:47:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent **Ebert Kan**

Email **Nomad627@juno.com**

I am a resident of **District 1**

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Karen Wong](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 9:21:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Karen Wong
Email cloudsrest789@gmail.com
I am a resident of District 3

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Arthur Hubbard](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 9:49:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Arthur Hubbard

Email amhsf@att.net

I am a resident of [District 1](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Al Sargent](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 9:51:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Al Sargent

Email al.sargent@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 1](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Holland Ja](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 10:12:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Holland Ja

Email hollandyja@comcast.net

I am a resident of District 7

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Michael Coll](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 10:23:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Michael Coll
Email kellsconstructioninc@gmail.com
I am a resident of [District 4](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Cynthia Kass](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 10:41:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Cynthia Kass

Email cindikass3@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 3

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Laurie Dolly](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Friday, July 15, 2022 11:57:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Laurie Dolly
Email lauriedolly@gmail.com
I am a resident of District 11

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [jennifer.yan](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 12:45:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent jennifer yan
Email jennifer.yan@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 2](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [dirk probstel](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 12:46:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent dirk probstel

Email dirkprobstel@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 2](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Jeff Ricker](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 5:41:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Jeff Ricker
Email the_dreadnought@yahoo.com
I am a resident of [District 2](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Steven Wong](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 6:18:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Steven Wong

Email steven4274@yahoo.com

I am a resident of [District 3](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Dena Aslanian-Williams](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 7:26:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Dena Aslanian-Williams

Email denawilliams@msn.com

I am a resident of [District 7](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Yasmin Staton](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 7:46:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Yasmin Staton

Email ydmello@yahoo.com

I am a resident of [District 4](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [kaaren alvarado](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 8:02:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent kaaren alvarado

Email kaaren25@att.net

I am a resident of District 4

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Matthew Faliano](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 8:06:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent **Matthew Faliano**

Email **Faliano3342@gmail.com**

I am a resident of **District 4**

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Jamie O'Keefe](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 8:24:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Jamie O'Keefe

Email jokeefe415@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 1](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Howard Lee](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 9:01:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Howard Lee

Email howard.lee90@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 7

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan, Mandelman, and Melgar:

With the ever-increasing in property theft, we need to give another tool to the police to fight crime. I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Diane Sargent](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 9:05:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Diane Sargent

Email diane.sargent@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 1](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Tad Sky](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 9:23:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Tad Sky
Email tadsky7@gmail.com
I am a resident of [District 7](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Meredith Serra](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 9:51:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Meredith Serra
Email meredithserra@outlook.com
I am a resident of District 7

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Marjorie Tang](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 10:00:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Marjorie Tang

Email shibapaws@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 4](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Una Werner](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 10:46:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Una Werner

Email unahlp@aol.com

I am a resident of [District 7](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Daniel Langford](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 11:09:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Daniel Langford

Email langford987@hotmail.com

I am a resident of District 3

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [John Cowan](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 11:30:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent John Cowan
Email apollofix.24@gmail.com
I am a resident of District 1

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

As you know, crime is out of control in San Francisco. Our building was burglarized at least five times. Thanks for listening.

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Teresa Shaw](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 12:21:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Teresa Shaw

Email terishaw@pacbell.net

I am a resident of [District 2](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Keith Kandarian](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 12:23:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Keith Kandarian

Email kkandarian@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 2

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Aisling Ferguson](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 12:50:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Aisling Ferguson

Email aferguson@gmwest.com

I am a resident of [District 8](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Stephanie McKnight](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 12:51:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Stephanie McKnight
Email tatummq@yahoo.com

I am a resident of [District 8](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Robin Adler](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 1:12:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Robin Adler

Email robin@robinadler.me

I am a resident of District 6

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Norah Uyeda](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 4:28:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Norah Uyeda

Email yuenuyeda@hotmail.com

I am a resident of [District 1](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Susan OHara](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 5:02:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Susan OHara

Email sj_ohara@yahoo.com

I am a resident of [District 5](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

Please support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras. This is a reasonable tool for safety and fair treatment.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

There are too few tools to allow innocent citizens to protect themselves, and the balance of protections favors criminals and those with mental health issues who harm neighbors and families. Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Frank Noto](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 6:19:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Frank Noto
Email frank@fnstrategy.com

I am a resident of [District 7](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules Committee Dear Supervisors:

I urge you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras. This corrects the original ordinance in a way we advocated for years ago.

The revised ordinance balances our rights and public safety. Homeowners, nonprofits or business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Samuel Hom](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 6:42:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Samuel Hom
Email samhom1958@gmail.com
I am a resident of [District 1](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Youn Lee](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 7:33:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Youn Lee

Email collin@kobuksan.com

I am a resident of [District 1](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Assunta Young](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 8:18:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Assunta Young
Email assuntayoung46@gmail.com
I am a resident of District 7

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [John Ng](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 8:54:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent John Ng
Email JohnNgSF@aol.com
I am a resident of District 1

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Erin O'Connor](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 8:55:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Erin O'Connor

Email occonnorstl@yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 7

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Nathan Bass](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Saturday, July 16, 2022 10:14:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent **Nathan Bass**

Email nathan.bass@ucsf.edu

I am a resident of **District 8**

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Dona Crowder](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 7:30:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Dona Crowder

Email dona@donacrowder.com

I am a resident of [District 8](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Amy Chen](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 8:21:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Amy Chen

Email amy080chen@gmail.com

I am a resident of District 4

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Teresa Silva](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 8:22:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Teresa Silva
Email teri.esalon@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 8](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Josephine Zhao](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 8:23:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Josephine Zhao

Email josephine_zhao@yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 4

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [kelvin yip](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 8:31:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent kelvin yip
Email kelvinkyip@gmail.com
I am a resident of District 5

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [dennis dunne](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 8:39:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent dennis dunne

Email dunnedf@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 4](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Oleg Tomillo](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 8:59:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Oleg Tomillo
Email otomillo@gmail.com
I am a resident of District 11

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.
Oleg

From: [Mark Clark](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 11:57:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Mark Clark
Email mpclark704@icloud.com
I am a resident of [District 7](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Victor Belfor](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 12:04:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Victor Belfor
Email vbelfor@gmail.com
I am a resident of [District 9](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Selena Chu](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 12:51:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Selena Chu

Email selenachu10@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 4](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Raymond Wong](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 12:52:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Raymond Wong

Email raymondwong4u@yahoo.com

I am a resident of District 2

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Mei Chen](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 12:52:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Mei Chen
Email meichensf@icloud.com
I am a resident of District 4

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Jon Chu](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 12:53:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Jon Chu
Email zdaiying1@gmail.com
I am a resident of District 4

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [MARIA Ditico](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 12:55:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent MARIA Ditico

Email match94107@yahoo.com

I am a resident of [District 6](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Gina Tse-Louie](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 1:06:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Gina Tse-Louie

Email Informed168@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 4](#)

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I find people don't want to be caught on camera doing bad things... the more cameras the more conscientious people will be which is not a bad thing!

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Kaihua Kong](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 1:32:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Kaihua Kong
Email khzy1997@yahoo.com
I am a resident of District 1

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Wen Zhu](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 1:38:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Wen Zhu
Email wuminghua@yahoo.com
I am a resident of District 6

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

From: [Kent Tran](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!
Date: Sunday, July 17, 2022 2:02:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Rules Committee

From your constituent Kent Tran
Email kayjaig@hotmail.com
I am a resident of District10

Approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFPD!!

Message to the Rules
Committee

Dear Supervisors Peskin, Chan and Mandelman:

I call on you to support the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

The ordinance, as written, balances our rights and public safety. Business owners can opt-in or not. People who own private cameras must consent.

Any claim that the ordinance grants SFPD broad monitoring of our lives, is false. The ordinance applies to very specific, important circumstances, including SFPD officer misconduct. It is case-specific, and protects First Amendment rights and the limits on how long footage can be retained are reasonable. Officer training is extensive, and Chief Scott, who has continuously sought out appropriate criminal justice reforms, has committed to honoring the ordinance as intended.

Please approve the Surveillance Technology Policy for the Police Department's use of non-City entity surveillance cameras.

Thank you.

