File No. <u>091330</u>	Committee Item No4
	Board Item No.

## **COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee:	ittee: City Operations & Neighborhood Ser.		Date: December 14, 2009			
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	I	Date			
Cmte Boa	rd					
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Introduction Form (for hearings) Department/Agency Cover Letter MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	and/d	or Report			
OTHER	(Use back side if additional space					
•		ate ate	December 10, 2009			

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document is in the file.

million of the ways are than the product of the control of the con	and the second s	······································	w · · · · · ·	t a some unitarity of a great and the	and the second s	in the second
		·				
				*		

[Urging the Department of Social Services of the State of California to immediately withdraw All County Letter No. 09-52 and remove the unlawful absolute ban preventing people with previous felony or serious misdemeanor convictions from serving as homecare providers in the In Home Supportive Services (IHSS) program.]

Resolution urging the Department of Social Services to immediately reverse the policy excluding a person with any felony conviction or serious misdemeanor from serving as an IHSS independent homecare provider.

WHEREAS, The integration mandate of the Americans with Disabilities Act and the Supreme Court's 1999 Olmstead decision recognizes that the unnecessary segregation and isolation of individuals with disabilities is a form of discrimination; and,

WHEREAS, The IHSS system was developed to ensure the right of everyone to remain at home and part of their communities as long as they choose; and,

WHEREAS, One of the key tenets of the IHSS program is based on the consumer's right to choose their own homecare provider including family members; and,

WHEREAS, The IHSS program provides critical in-home supportive services to more than 460,000 Californians who are elderly or living with a disability, and 22,000 are residents of San Francisco; and,

WHEREAS, These services, which include personal care (such as bathing, dressing and toileting) and domestic services (such as meal preparation, laundry, and housecleaning) allow recipients to remain living at home and avoid costly institutionalization; and,

WHEREAS, San Francisco's 18,000 IHSS workers provide these essential services and, prior to ACL 09-52, those with prior felony or serious misdemeanor convictions were allowed to serve as healthcare providers; and,

WHEREAS, Formerly incarcerated people, having paid their debt to society, should share employment rights guaranteed to all workers and need not encounter obstacles to obtaining gainful employment and positively contributing to society; and,

fingerprinting for all IHSS providers but does *not* enact a blanket ban excluding persons with a felony or serious misdemeanor from being an 'IHSS provider; and,

WHEREAS, The California Department of Social Services All-County Letter (ACL) No.

WHEREAS, State Legislation AB 19 mandates a criminal background check and

WHEREAS, The California Department of Social Services All-County Letter (ACL) No. 09-52 details new IHSS enrollment requirements and *does* exclude anyone with a felony or a serious misdemeanor from being an IHSS provider; and,

WHEREAS, ACL No. 09-52 contradicts measures established in 2005 by the California legislature (Welfare and Institutions code section 12305.81 subd. (a)) that specify that a person convicted of offenses against government health programs or child or elder abuse were ineligible to serve as providers for 10 years, and that IHSS recipients could employ persons as providers if they were not convicted of the above-mentioned offenses; and,

WHEREAS, ACL No. 09-52 is inconsistent with subsection (a) of federal statute 42 USC 1320a-7, that excludes people from being service providers *only* for specific job-related offenses and makes it illegal to use absolute bars to employment based on conviction history and subsection (c) (3) (B) which sets minimum time limits, rather than lifetime employment bans; and,

WHEREAS, The above mentioned federal laws, based on Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, 42, USC 2000e, are enforced by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) and EEOC recognized in its February 1987 Policy Statement the disproportionate impact that consideration of conviction has on people of color; and,

WHEREAS, The EEOC requires employers to make individualized assessments to counterbalance the discriminatory effect that disproportionate arrests and convictions have on people of color; and,

WHEREAS, State policy regarding the certification of Certified Nurse Aides (CNA) and Home Health Aides (HHA), Department of Health Services (DHS) requires applicants to

disclose prior misdemeanor and felony convictions and explain the circumstances of the conviction and provide documentation of rehabilitation. The DHS considers the nature and severity of the offense, subsequent acts, time elapsed since the acts or crimes took place, compliance with court sanctions, and evidence of rehabilitation in determining eligibility for certification; and,

WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco seeks to assist the successful reintegration of formerly incarcerated people into the community after their release from prison, as evidenced by the unanimous adoption of Resolution 051638 on October 11, 2005, urging the Civil Service Commission and the Department of Human Resources to review and revise policies and procedures regarding disclosure and review of criminal history records so that people with a criminal conviction are not unreasonably denied. City employment; and,

WHEREAS, The current employment policies of the City of San Francisco state the following: "In reviewing an applicant's criminal history information, the City and County of San Francisco shall evaluate the information on a case-by-case basis, with due consideration to the following factors: 1) the nature and gravity of the offense; 2) the degree to which the conviction is related to the duties and responsibilities of the classification and the position for which the individual has applied; 3) the time elapsed since the conviction; 4) the age of the applicant at conviction; 5) frequency of convictions; 6) evidence of rehabilitation, and 7) any other mitigating circumstances"; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors believes it is unwise policy to promote the blanket banning practices outlined in ACL No. 09-52; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Department of Social Services to follow the National Employment Law Project recommendation (2009), "to withdraw ACL No. 09-52 and remove the unlawful absolute ban on people with convictions, thereby

promoting opportunities for workers to be considered fairly based on their current qualifications, experience and dedication rather than on a mistake of the past". 

SUPERVISOR AVALOS
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS