

FILE NO. 240489

Petitions and Communications received from May 2, 2024, through May 9, 2024, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on May 14, 2024.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From the Office of the Mayor (MYR), pursuant to Charter, Section 4.121, making an appointment to the following body. Copy: Each Supervisor. (1)

- Appointment Building Inspection Commission
 - o Catherine Meng, term ending - July 1, 2026

From various departments, pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 12B.5-1(d)(1), submitting approved Chapter 12B Waiver Request Forms. 6 Forms. Copy: Each Supervisor. (2)

From the San Francisco Youth Commission, submitting the Youth Commission Application and Appointment Process for Fiscal Year (FY) 2024-2025. Copy: Each Supervisor. (3)

From the Office of the City Attorney (CAT), regarding the Resolution opposing the proposed renaming of the Metropolitan Oakland International Airport to "San Francisco Bay Oakland International Airport" and urging the Port of Oakland Commission to reject any name change that would use the name "San Francisco." File No. 240329; Resolution No. 177-24. Copy: Each Supervisor. (4)

From the Office of the Controller (CON), submitting Community Facilities Districts Special Tax and Bond Accountability Report for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022-2023. Copy: Each Supervisor. (5)

From the Office of the Controller (CON), submitting an update to the City's General Obligation Bond Projections. Copy: Each Supervisor. (6)

From the Homelessness Oversight Commission (HOC), submitting their Resolution recommending that the Mayor maintain the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing budget to sustain the positive progress. HOC Resolution No. 24-008. Copy: Each Supervisor. (7)

From Marguerite Di Gangi, regarding John F. Kennedy Drive. Copy: Each Supervisor. (8)

From Denise Louie, regarding wildfire mitigation in San Francisco. Copy: Each Supervisor. (9)

From members of the public, regarding the Expanding Housing Choice Program impacts to Balboa Terrace. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (10)

From John E. Jensen, regarding the Hearing to consider objections to a report of assessment costs submitted by the Director of Public Works for sidewalk and curb repairs through the Sidewalk Inspection and Repair Program. File No. 240292. Copy: Each Supervisor. (11)

From the American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy, submitting the 2024 City Clean Energy Efficiency Report. Copy: Each Supervisor. (12)

From Doug DesCombaz, regarding moving violations. Copy: Each Supervisor. (13)

From a member of the public, regarding homelessness. Copy: Each Supervisor. (14)

From Martina and Osman Uner, regarding the Hearing to consider objections to a report of assessment costs submitted by the Director of Public Works for inspection and/or repair of blighted properties ordered through the Accelerated Sidewalk Abatement Program. File No. 240295. Copy: Each Supervisor. (15)

From Benjamin Andrew Stein, regarding various subjects. Copy: Each Supervisor. (16)

From Bob Feinbaum, regarding the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) budget. Copy: Each Supervisor. (17)

From Donna D'Eufemia, regarding the Hearing of the Board of Supervisors on Laguna Honda Hospital's Strategy for Recertification and the Submission of a Closure and Patient Transfer and Relocation Plan. File No. 230035. Copy: Each Supervisor. (18)

From members of the public, regarding the Hearing on the City's budgetary decisions regarding funding of essential City services that prioritize community safety and wellbeing, including community programs that prevent crime, provide family, youth, and TAY (Transition Age Youth) services, and support the City's immigrant and limited English Proficient residents. File No. 240427. 24 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (19)

From Namdev Sharma, regarding taxi service at San Francisco International Airport (SFO). Copy: Each Supervisor. (20)

From Simin Li, regarding the Hearing to discuss the status of the San Francisco Tenant Right to Counsel Program, which guarantees that any renter facing eviction has access to free legal representation. File No. 230465. Copy: Each Supervisor. (21)

From members of the public, regarding the Hearing to examine how sexual assault and sexual harassment allegations are handled by City law enforcement agencies when survivors come forward to seek justice against their attackers, in particular how the Police Department investigates sexual assault and harassment allegations and engages with survivors, and to explore the efficacy of the Office of Sexual Harassment and Assault Response and Prevention (SHARP). File No. 240389. 8 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (22)

From Marc Brenman, regarding Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART). Copy: Each Supervisor. (23)

From Karen Young, regarding the Great Highway. Copy: Each Supervisor. (24)

From Angela Niu, regarding pedestrian safety at the intersection of Fulton Street and Arguello Boulevard. Copy: Each Supervisor. (25)

From Patricia Arack, regarding the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) Board of Directors and Parking Authority Commission meeting on May 7, 2024. Copy: Each Supervisor. (26)

From Aaron Goodman, regarding Parkmerced. Copy: Each Supervisor. (27)

From SOMA Pilipinas and the South of Market Community Action Network, regarding Planning Commission project No. 2023-011615CUA for 469 Stevenson. Copy: Each Supervisor. (28)

From Ms. Moon, regarding the Resolution urging the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) to develop and implement a plan for No Turn On Red (NTOR) at every signalized intersection in San Francisco and approve a citywide NTOR policy. File No. 231016; Resolution No. 481-23. Copy: Each Supervisor. (29)

From Jessica Mathews, regarding the San Francisco Planning Department's (CPC) Expanding Housing Choice, Housing Element Zoning Program. Copy: Each Supervisor. (30)

From members of the public, regarding California Pacific Medical Center. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (31)

From members of the public, regarding the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) West Portal Station Safety and Community Space Improvements Project at West Portal Avenue and Ulloa Street. 12 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (32)

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date: May 3, 2024
To: Members, Board of Supervisors
From: *AOC* Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Subject: Mayoral Nomination - Building Inspection Commission

On May 2, 2024, the Office of the Mayor submitted the following complete nomination package. Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.121, this nomination shall be subject to confirmation by the Board of Supervisors at a public hearing and vote within 60 days (July 1, 2024).

Nomination to the Building Inspection Commission:

- Catherine Meng - nominated for the unexpired portion of a two-year term ending July 1, 2024, and for a subsequent full two-year term ending July 1, 2026

If the Board fails to act on this nomination within 60 days from the date the Notice of Appointment is received by the Clerk of the Board, the appointment shall be deemed approved.

Pursuant to Board Rule 2.18.1, the Clerk of the Board shall refer this motion to the Rules Committee and work with the Rules Committee Chair to schedule this nomination for a hearing.

c: Supervisor Hillary Ronen - Rules Committee Chair
Alisa Somera - Legislative Deputy
Victor Young - Rules Clerk
Anne Pearson - Deputy City Attorney
Tom Paulino - Mayor's Legislative Liaison
Jesse Mainardi - Director of Boards and Commissions

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



LONDON N. BREED
MAYOR

Notice of Nomination for Appointment

May 2, 2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors,

Pursuant to Section 4.121 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I make the following nomination:

Catherine Meng, for appointment to Seat 4 of the Building Inspection Commission for the unexpired portion of a one-year term ending July 1, 2024, and for a subsequent full two-year term ending July 1, 2026. This nomination is for the inaugural appointment to this seat.

I am confident that Ms. Meng will serve our community well. Attached are her qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how her appointment will represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I encourage your support and am pleased to advise you of this appointment nomination. Should you have any questions, please contact my Director of Boards and Commissions, Jesse Mainardi, at 415.554.6588.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "London N. Breed".

London N. Breed
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 6 12B Waiver Requests Forms
Date: Thursday, May 9, 2024 12:30:49 PM
Attachments: [6 12B Waiver Request Forms.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 6 12B Waiver Requests Forms.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [CCSF IT Service Desk](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: CMD12B0003566 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (DPH) Department Head (Michelle Ruggels)
Date: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 11:38:16 AM
Attachments: [image](#)



Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0003566 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (DPH) Department Head (Michelle Ruggels).

Summary of Request

Requester: Connie Jozami

Department: DPH

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000020755

Requested total cost: \$21,739.67

Short Description: Elsevier - integrated competency management software with evidence-based nursing skills

[Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request](#)

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org

Thank you.

Ref:TIS5015208_sWuT464Ldf8oQFN0Htfw

Report Title: CMD 12B Waiver Details
Run Date and Time: 2024-05-09 08:52:54 Pacific Daylight Time
Run by: ServiceNow Admin
Table name: u_cmd_12b_waiver

CMD 12B Waiver

Number:	CMD12B0003566	Request Status:	Awaiting CMD Director Approval
Requested for:	Connie Jozami	State:	Work in Progress
Department Head/Delegated authority:	Michelle Ruggels	Waiver Type:	12B Waiver
Opened:	2024-05-08 10:48:38	12B Waiver Type:	Standard
		Requesting Department:	DPH
		Requester Phone:	
		Awaiting Info from:	
		Awaiting Info reason:	
		Opened by:	Connie Jozami
		Watch list:	

Short Description:

Elsevier - integrated competency management software with evidence-based nursing skills

Supplier ID:	0000020755	Requested Amount:	\$21,739.67
Is this a new waiver or are you modifying a previously approved waiver?:	New Waiver	Increase Amount:	\$0.00
Last Approved 12B Waiver Request:		Previously Approved Amount:	\$0.00
		Total Requested Amount:	\$21,739.67

Document Type:	Direct Voucher	Enter Contract ID:	
12B Waiver Justification:	12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)	Enter Requisition ID:	
City Treasurer:	Jose Cisneros	Enter Purchase Order ID:	
Admin Code Chapter:	Chapter 21 Goods and Services	Enter Direct Voucher ID:	02934020
Select Chapter 21.04 Section:		Waiver Start Date:	2024-05-08
Confirm Dept. has documented this agreement as a Sole Source:		Waiver End Date:	2024-06-30

Advertising:	false
Commodities, Equipment and Hardware :	false
Equipment and Vehicle Lease:	false
On Premise Software and Support:	false
Online Content, Reports, Periodicals and Journals:	true
Professional and General Services:	false
Software as a Service (SaaS) and Cloud Software Applications:	false
Vehicles and Trailers:	false

Detail the purpose of this contract is and what goods and/or services the contra:

(A) ELSEVIER INC

(b) Elsevier provides integrated competency management software with evidence-based nursing skills and empower healthcare professionals to deliver exceptional patient care at the point of care while adhering to the highest practice standards.

(c) Nurses at our hospitals require continuous training and support through this online learning and reference platform. Nurses will be better equipped when using answers based off evidence-based information and the most current standards of care to provide a more consistent patient experience. Elsevier's product is a proprietary and sole source platform used as the standard of nursing training and up-to-date procedural resource.

If you have made an effort to have the supplier comply, explain it here. If not,:

Elsevier is the only provider of this platform that meets the requirements for SFDPH.

Cancel Notes:

CMD Analyst

CMD Analyst:	Domenic Viterbo-Martinez	CMD Director:	Stephanie Tang
CMD Analyst Decision:	Reviewed and Approved	Select the reason for this request:	12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)
CMD Analyst Comments:	No compliant source for integrated competency management software using current standards of nursing training and up-to-date resources.		

CMD Director

CMD Director:	Stephanie Tang	CMD Director Decision:
---------------	----------------	------------------------

Reason for Determination:

12B.5-1(a)(1) (Non Property Contracts)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:
Sole Source – Non Property Contract Justification Reason:
Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?:
Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

Explain why this is a Sole Source:

12B.5-1(a)(1) (Property Contracts)

City Property Status:
Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?:
Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question1:

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question2:

12B.5-1(a)(1)(Property Contracts)

Sole Source – Property Contract
Justification Reason:

12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency)

12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency) Question2:

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation)

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question1 :

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question2:

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Non Property)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Public Entity Sole Source – Non
Property Contract Justification
Reason:

Has DPH Commission qualified this
agreement as a Sole Source under
Chpt 21.42?:

Has MTA qualified this agreement as
a Sole Source under Charter Sec.
8A.102(b)?:

Explain why this is a Sole Source (Public Entity):

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Property)

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity SS-PC) Question1:

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity - Substantial)

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-SPI)
Question1:

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms)

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question1:

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question2:

12B.5-1(e) Investments and Services

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question1:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question2:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question3:

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk Water, Power and

Bulk Water: false
 Bulk Power: false
 Bulk Gas: false

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG)
 Question2:

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question1:

Elsevier provides integrated competency management software with evidence-based nursing skills and empower healthcare professionals to deliver exceptional patient care at the point of care while adhering to the highest practice standards.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question2:

Retroactive waiver: requester states that the issue that caused this situation where DVs were used was that the old contract expired prior to the receipt of an invoice and the current contract started at the end of that invoice which was caught in between the two contracts. Reasons and extenuating circumstances for seeking a waiver retroactively per requester: The cost has been occurred, service has been received and invoices must be paid via DV. However, CON needs to see a retro waiver before they can approve the voucher payment. These invoices caught in between the old expired contract and the new contract.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question3:

Requester states that they are trying to get this supplier to comply with 12B

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question4:

Elsevier is the sole supplier of these products and platform that meets all the necessary requirements for the staff at SFZGH.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question5:

Not Applicable

12B.5-1(d)(1)(No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question2 :

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question4:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Has MTA qualified agreement as Bulk Purchasing under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

Detail the nature of this Bulk Purchasing transaction:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question2:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question4:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question5:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question6:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity)

- 12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question1:
- 12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question2:
- 12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question3:
- 12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question4:

Activities

Additional comments:

Related List Title: Approval List
Table name: sysapproval_approver
Query Condition: Approval for = CMD12B0003566
Sort Order: Order in ascending order

1 Approvals

State	Approver	Approving	Created	Approval set	Comments
Approved	Michelle Ruggels	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003566	2024-05-08 11:18:42		

Related List Title: Metric List
Table name: metric_instance
Query Condition: Table = u_cmd_12b_waiver AND ID = 36ac1eed1b0a42d099d4ed7b2f4bcb1f
Sort Order: None

10 Metrics

Created	Definition	ID	Value	Start	End	Duration	Calculation complete
2024-05-08 11:37:51	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003566	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval	2024-05-08 11:37:50	2024-05-08 16:33:01	4 Hours 55 Minutes	true
2024-05-08 11:18:45	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003566	Dept. Head approval	2024-05-08 11:18:42	2024-05-08 11:18:42	0 Seconds	true
2024-05-08 11:18:10	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003566	Draft	2024-05-08 11:18:08	2024-05-08 11:18:42	34 Seconds	true
2024-05-08 11:18:45	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003566	Draft	2024-05-08 11:18:42	2024-05-08 11:37:50	19 Minutes	true
2024-05-08 16:33:05	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003566	Awaiting CMD Director Approval	2024-05-08 16:33:01			false
2024-05-08 11:18:45	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003566	Dept. Head approval	2024-05-08 11:18:42	2024-05-08 11:18:42	0 Seconds	true

Created	Definition	ID	Value	Start	End	Duration	Calculation complete
2024-05-08 11:18:45	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003566	Draft	2024-05-08 11:18:42	2024-05-08 11:37:50	19 Minutes	true
2024-05-08 11:18:10	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003566	Draft	2024-05-08 11:18:08	2024-05-08 11:18:42	34 Seconds	true
2024-05-08 16:33:05	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003566	Awaiting CMD Director Approval	2024-05-08 16:33:01			false
2024-05-08 11:37:51	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003566	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval	2024-05-08 11:37:50	2024-05-08 16:33:01	4 Hours 55 Minutes	true

From: [CCSF IT Service Desk](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: CMD12B0003567 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (DPH) Department Head (Michelle Ruggels)
Date: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 11:38:14 AM
Attachments: [image](#)



Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0003567 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (DPH) Department Head (Michelle Ruggels).

Summary of Request

Requester: Connie Jozami

Department: DPH

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000020755

Requested total cost: \$52,877.74

Short Description: Elsevier - integrated competency management software with evidence-based nursing skills

[Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request](#)

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org

Thank you.

Ref:TIS5015206_JtLZUAwWaEe3shjogs9i

Report Title: CMD 12B Waiver Details
Run Date and Time: 2024-05-09 08:53:54 Pacific Daylight Time
Run by: ServiceNow Admin
Table name: u_cmd_12b_waiver

CMD 12B Waiver

Number:	CMD12B0003567	Request Status:	Awaiting CMD Director Approval
Requested for:	Connie Jozami	State:	Work in Progress
Department Head/Delegated authority:	Michelle Ruggels	Waiver Type:	12B Waiver
Opened:	2024-05-08 11:18:59	12B Waiver Type:	Standard
		Requesting Department:	DPH
		Requester Phone:	
		Awaiting Info from:	
		Awaiting Info reason:	
		Opened by:	Connie Jozami
		Watch list:	

Short Description:

Elsevier - integrated competency management software with evidence-based nursing skills

Supplier ID:	0000020755	Requested Amount:	\$52,877.74
Is this a new waiver or are you modifying a previously approved waiver?:	New Waiver	Increase Amount:	\$0.00
Last Approved 12B Waiver Request:		Previously Approved Amount:	\$0.00
		Total Requested Amount:	\$52,877.74

Document Type:	Direct Voucher	Enter Contract ID:	
12B Waiver Justification:	12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)	Enter Requisition ID:	
City Treasurer:	Jose Cisneros	Enter Purchase Order ID:	
Admin Code Chapter:	Chapter 21 Goods and Services	Enter Direct Voucher ID:	02934114
Select Chapter 21.04 Section:		Waiver Start Date:	2024-05-08
Confirm Dept. has documented this agreement as a Sole Source:		Waiver End Date:	2024-06-30

Advertising:	false
Commodities, Equipment and Hardware :	false
Equipment and Vehicle Lease:	false
On Premise Software and Support:	false
Online Content, Reports, Periodicals and Journals:	true
Professional and General Services:	false
Software as a Service (SaaS) and Cloud Software Applications:	false
Vehicles and Trailers:	false

Detail the purpose of this contract is and what goods and/or services the contra:

(A) ELSEVIER INC

(b) Elsevier provides integrated competency management software with evidence-based nursing skills and empower healthcare professionals to deliver exceptional patient care at the point of care while adhering to the highest practice standards.

(c) Nurses at our hospitals require continuous training and support through this online learning and reference platform. Nurses will be better equipped when using answers based off evidence-based information and the most current standards of care to provide a more consistent patient experience. Elsevier's product is a proprietary and sole source platform used as the standard of nursing training and up-to-date procedural resource.

If you have made an effort to have the supplier comply, explain it here. If not,:

Elsevier is the only provider of this platform that meets the requirements for SFDPH.

Cancel Notes:

CMD Analyst

CMD Analyst:	Domenic Viterbo-Martinez	CMD Director:	Stephanie Tang
CMD Analyst Decision:	Reviewed and Approved	Select the reason for this request:	12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)
CMD Analyst Comments:	No compliant source for integrated competency management software using current standards of nursing training and up-to-date resources.		

CMD Director

CMD Director:	Stephanie Tang	CMD Director Decision:
---------------	----------------	------------------------

Reason for Determination:

12B.5-1(a)(1) (Non Property Contracts)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:
Sole Source – Non Property Contract Justification Reason:
Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?:
Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

Explain why this is a Sole Source:

12B.5-1(a)(1) (Property Contracts)

City Property Status:
Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?:
Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question1:

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question2:

12B.5-1(a)(1)(Property Contracts)

Sole Source – Property Contract
Justification Reason:

12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency)

12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency) Question2:

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation)

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question1 :

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question2:

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Non Property)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Public Entity Sole Source – Non
Property Contract Justification
Reason:

Has DPH Commission qualified this
agreement as a Sole Source under
Chpt 21.42?:

Has MTA qualified this agreement as
a Sole Source under Charter Sec.
8A.102(b)?:

Explain why this is a Sole Source (Public Entity):

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Property)

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity SS-PC) Question1:

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity - Substantial)

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-SPI)
Question1:

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms)

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question1:

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question2:

12B.5-1(e) Investments and Services

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question1:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question2:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question3:

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk Water, Power and

Bulk Water: false
 Bulk Power: false
 Bulk Gas: false

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG)
 Question2:

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question1:

Elsevier provides integrated competency management software with evidence-based nursing skills and empower healthcare professionals to deliver exceptional patient care at the point of care while adhering to the highest practice standards.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question2:

Retroactive waiver: requester states that the issue that caused this situation where DVs were used was that the old contract expired prior to the receipt of an invoice and the current contract started at the end of that invoice which was caught in between the two contracts. Reasons and extenuating circumstances for seeking a waiver retroactively per requester: The cost has been occurred, service has been received and invoices must be paid via DV. However, CON needs to see a retro waiver before they can approve the voucher payment. These invoices caught in between the old expired contract and the new contract.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question3:

Requester states that they are trying to get this supplier to comply with 12B

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question4:

Elsevier is the sole supplier of these products and platform that meets all the necessary requirements for the staff at SFZGH.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question5:

Not Applicable

12B.5-1(d)(1)(No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question2 :

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question4:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Has MTA qualified agreement as Bulk Purchasing under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

Detail the nature of this Bulk Purchasing transaction:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question2:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question4:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question5:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question6:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity)

- 12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question1:
- 12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question2:
- 12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question3:
- 12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question4:

Activities

Additional comments:

Related List Title: Approval List
Table name: sysapproval_approver
Query Condition: Approval for = CMD12B0003567
Sort Order: Order in ascending order

1 Approvals

State	Approver	Approving	Created	Approval set	Comments
Approved	Michelle Ruggels	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003567	2024-05-08 11:19:46		

Related List Title: Metric List
Table name: metric_instance
Query Condition: Table = u_cmd_12b_waiver AND ID = d79366251b8a42d099d4ed7b2f4bcbd8
Sort Order: None

10 Metrics

Created	Definition	ID	Value	Start	End	Duration	Calculation complete
2024-05-08 11:19:51	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003567	Draft	2024-05-08 11:19:46	2024-05-08 11:19:46	0 Seconds	true
2024-05-08 11:37:36	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003567	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval	2024-05-08 11:37:34	2024-05-08 16:30:33	4 Hours 52 Minutes	true
2024-05-08 11:19:01	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003567	Draft	2024-05-08 11:18:59	2024-05-08 11:19:46	47 Seconds	true
2024-05-08 11:19:51	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003567	Dept. Head approval	2024-05-08 11:19:46	2024-05-08 11:37:34	17 Minutes	true
2024-05-08 16:30:35	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003567	Awaiting CMD Director Approval	2024-05-08 16:30:33			false
2024-05-08 11:19:51	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003567	Dept. Head approval	2024-05-08 11:19:46	2024-05-08 11:37:34	17 Minutes	true

Created	Definition	ID	Value	Start	End	Duration	Calculation complete
2024-05-08 11:37:36	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003567	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval	2024-05-08 11:37:34	2024-05-08 16:30:33	4 Hours 52 Minutes	true
2024-05-08 11:19:01	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003567	Draft	2024-05-08 11:18:59	2024-05-08 11:19:46	47 Seconds	true
2024-05-08 16:30:35	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003567	Awaiting CMD Director Approval	2024-05-08 16:30:33			false
2024-05-08 11:19:51	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003567	Draft	2024-05-08 11:19:46	2024-05-08 11:19:46	0 Seconds	true

From: [CCSF IT Service Desk](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: CMD12B0003564 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (DPH) Department Head (Michelle Ruggels)
Date: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 11:04:41 AM
Attachments: [image](#)



Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0003564 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (DPH) Department Head (Michelle Ruggels).

Summary of Request

Requester: Connie Jozami

Department: DPH

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000009540

Requested total cost: \$1,668.00

Short Description: PO# 0000809931 THERMO FISHER SCIENTIFIC (ASHEVILLE)LLC
Supplier# 0000009540 - DPH, PHD for PHL - Preventative Maintenance for Centrifuges - Updated Quote

[Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request](#)

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org

Thank you.

Ref:TIS5015048_OcbjdjcK1XfCsRz26XFb

Report Title: CMD 12B Waiver Details
Run Date and Time: 2024-05-09 08:55:00 Pacific Daylight Time
Run by: ServiceNow Admin
Table name: u_cmd_12b_waiver

CMD 12B Waiver

Number:	CMD12B0003564	Request Status:	Awaiting CMD Director Approval
Requested for:	Connie Jozami	State:	Work in Progress
Department Head/Delegated authority:	Michelle Ruggels	Waiver Type:	12B Waiver
Opened:	2024-05-08 08:15:33	12B Waiver Type:	Standard
		Requesting Department:	DPH
		Requester Phone:	
		Awaiting Info from:	
		Awaiting Info reason:	
		Opened by:	Connie Jozami
		Watch list:	Porsha Walker

Short Description:

PO# 0000809931 THERMO FISHER SCIENTIFIC (ASHEVILLE)LLC Supplier# 0000009540 - DPH, PHD for PHL - Preventative Maintenance for Centrifuges - Updated Quote

Supplier ID:	0000009540	Requested Amount:	\$1,633.00
Is this a new waiver or are you modifying a previously approved waiver?:	Modification – Prior Waiver Approved in ServiceNow	Increase Amount:	\$35.00
Last Approved 12B Waiver Request:	CMD12B0003417	Previously Approved Amount:	\$1,633.00
		Total Requested Amount:	\$1,668.00
Document Type:	Purchase Order	Enter Contract ID:	
12B Waiver Justification:	12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)	Enter Requisition ID:	
City Treasurer:	Jose Cisneros	Enter Purchase Order ID:	0000809931
Admin Code Chapter:	Chapter 21 Goods and Services	Enter Direct Voucher ID:	
Select Chapter 21.04 Section:		Waiver Start Date:	2024-03-14
Confirm Dept. has documented this agreement as a Sole Source:		Waiver End Date:	2024-06-30

Advertising:	false
Commodities, Equipment and Hardware :	false
Equipment and Vehicle Lease:	false
On Premise Software and Support:	false
Online Content, Reports, Periodicals and Journals:	false
Professional and General Services:	true
Software as a Service (SaaS) and Cloud Software Applications:	false
Vehicles and Trailers:	false

Detail the purpose of this contract is and what goods and/or services the contra:

(a)The Supplier is THERMO FISHER SCIENTIFIC (ASHEVILLE)LLC, this is the vendor that can service this equipment: Preventative Maintenance for Centrifuges. (b) PO# 0000809931 THERMO FISHER SCIENTIFIC (ASHEVILLE)LLC Supplier# 0000009540 - DPH, PHD for PHL - Preventative Maintenance for Centrifuges (c) the supplier is the only one that can service the centrifuges previously acquired by the department. Supplier possesses specialized knowledge to service the machines.

If you have made an effort to have the supplier comply, explain it here. If not,:

Please see email attached showing efforts to make vendor compliant.

Cancel Notes:

CMD Analyst

CMD Analyst:	Domenic Viterbo-Martinez	CMD Director:	Stephanie Tang
CMD Analyst Decision:	Reviewed and Approved	Select the reason for this request:	12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)
CMD Analyst Comments:	No compliance source to provide specialized services to centrifuges for tuberculosis testing.		

CMD Director

CMD Director:	Stephanie Tang	CMD Director Decision:
---------------	----------------	------------------------

Reason for Determination:

12B.5-1(a)(1) (Non Property Contracts)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:
Sole Source – Non Property Contract Justification Reason:
Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?:
Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

Explain why this is a Sole Source:

12B.5-1(a)(1) (Property Contracts)

City Property Status:
Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?:
Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question1:

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question2:

12B.5-1(a)(1)(Property Contracts)

Sole Source – Property Contract
Justification Reason:

12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency)

12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency) Question2:

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation)

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question1 :

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question2:

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Non Property)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Public Entity Sole Source – Non
Property Contract Justification
Reason:

Has DPH Commission qualified this
agreement as a Sole Source under
Chpt 21.42?:

Has MTA qualified this agreement as
a Sole Source under Charter Sec.
8A.102(b)?:

Explain why this is a Sole Source (Public Entity):

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Property)

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity SS-PC) Question1:

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity - Substantial)

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-SPI)
Question1:

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms)

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question1:

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question2:

12B.5-1(e) Investments and Services

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question1:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question2:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question3:

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk Water, Power and

Bulk Water: false

Bulk Power: false

Bulk Gas: false

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG) Question2:

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question1:

The centrifuge is essential for Tuberculosis testing. Thermo Fisher scientific Asheville is the only company that service this equipment.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question2:

Supplier is not compliant but requester is making efforts to get supplier under 12B compliance. Centrifuges need to be serviced by the appropriate supplier and there is no other supplier that can provide the specialized services.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question3:

Please see email attached

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question4:

No other supplier can service the centrifuges, as this supplier possesses specialized knowledge to provide maintenance services to their own equipment.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question5:

Not Applicable

12B.5-1(d)(1)(No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question2 :

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question4:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Has MTA qualified agreement as Bulk Purchasing under Charter Sec.

8A.102(b)?:

Detail the nature of this Bulk Purchasing transaction:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question2:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question4:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question5:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question6:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity)

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question2:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question4:

Activities

Additional comments:

Related List Title: Approval List
Table name: sysapproval_approver
Query Condition: Approval for = CMD12B0003564
Sort Order: Order in ascending order

1 Approvals

State	Approver	Approving	Created	Approval set	Comments
Approved	Michelle Ruggels	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003564	2024-05-08 10:26:55		

Related List Title: Metric List
Table name: metric_instance
Query Condition: Table = u_cmd_12b_waiver AND ID = 94a9bd611bc242d099d4ed7b2f4bcbee
Sort Order: None

10 Metrics

Created	Definition	ID	Value	Start	End	Duration	Calculation complete
2024-05-08 08:15:35	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003564	Draft	2024-05-08 08:15:34	2024-05-08 10:26:55	2 Hours 11 Minutes	true
2024-05-08 11:03:55	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003564	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval	2024-05-08 11:03:52	2024-05-08 16:42:31	5 Hours 38 Minutes	true
2024-05-08 16:42:35	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003564	Awaiting CMD Director Approval	2024-05-08 16:42:31			false
2024-05-08 10:27:00	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003564	Draft	2024-05-08 10:26:55	2024-05-08 10:26:55	0 Seconds	true
2024-05-08 10:27:00	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003564	Dept. Head approval	2024-05-08 10:26:55	2024-05-08 11:03:52	36 Minutes	true
2024-05-08 08:15:35	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003564	Draft	2024-05-08 08:15:34	2024-05-08 10:26:55	2 Hours 11 Minutes	true
2024-05-08 10:27:00	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003564	Dept. Head approval	2024-05-08 10:26:55	2024-05-08 11:03:52	36 Minutes	true
2024-05-08 11:03:55	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003564	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval	2024-05-08 11:03:52	2024-05-08 16:42:31	5 Hours 38 Minutes	true

Created	Definition	ID	Value	Start	End	Duration	Calculation complete
2024-05-08 10:27:00	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003564	Draft	2024-05-08 10:26:55	2024-05-08 10:26:55	0 Seconds	true
2024-05-08 16:42:35	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003564	Awaiting CMD Director Approval	2024-05-08 16:42:31			false

From: [CCSF IT Service Desk](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: CMD12B0003509 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (DPH) Department Head (Michelle Ruggels)
Date: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 9:34:48 AM
Attachments: [image](#)



Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0003509 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (DPH) Department Head (Michelle Ruggels).

Summary of Request

Requester: Connie Jozami

Department: DPH

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000011707

Requested total cost: \$7,125.00

Short Description: Safeway: gift cards will be given for collecting input and data from people at risk of fatal overdose living in a Single Room Occupancy (SROs)

[Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request](#)

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org

Thank you.

Ref:TIS5014511_eTIVqVCNJ0SaxjKW3WEE

Report Title: CMD 12B Waiver Details
Run Date and Time: 2024-05-09 08:56:08 Pacific Daylight Time
Run by: ServiceNow Admin
Table name: u_cmd_12b_waiver

CMD 12B Waiver

Number:	CMD12B0003509	Request Status:	Awaiting CMD Director Approval
Requested for:	Connie Jozami	State:	Work in Progress
Department Head/Delegated authority:	Michelle Ruggels	Waiver Type:	12B Waiver
Opened:	2024-04-10 14:50:04	12B Waiver Type:	Standard
		Requesting Department:	DPH
		Requester Phone:	
		Awaiting Info from:	
		Awaiting Info reason:	
		Opened by:	Connie Jozami
		Watch list:	

Short Description:

Safeway: gift cards will be given for collecting input and data from people at risk of fatal overdose living in a Single Room Occupancy (SROs)

Supplier ID:	0000011707	Requested Amount:	\$7,125.00
Is this a new waiver or are you modifying a previously approved waiver?:	New Waiver	Increase Amount:	\$0.00
Last Approved 12B Waiver Request:		Previously Approved Amount:	\$0.00
		Total Requested Amount:	\$7,125.00
Document Type:	Requisition	Enter Contract ID:	
12B Waiver Justification:	12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)	Enter Requisition ID:	0000293786
City Treasurer:	Jose Cisneros	Enter Purchase Order ID:	
Admin Code Chapter:	Chapter 21 Goods and Services	Enter Direct Voucher ID:	
Select Chapter 21.04 Section:		Waiver Start Date:	2024-04-10
Confirm Dept. has documented this agreement as a Sole Source:		Waiver End Date:	2024-06-30

Advertising:	false
Commodities, Equipment and Hardware :	true
Equipment and Vehicle Lease:	false
On Premise Software and Support:	false
Online Content, Reports, Periodicals and Journals:	false
Professional and General Services:	false
Software as a Service (SaaS) and Cloud Software Applications:	false
Vehicles and Trailers:	false

Detail the purpose of this contract is and what goods and/or services the contra:

a) Safeway

b)The purpose of the gift card program is to incentivize the collection of information from people living in SROs & PSH to achieve the following goals:

- 1. inform overdose prevention efforts in SROs and supportive housing,
- 2. inform & evaluate the Community First Responder program (CFRP), &
- 3. provide overdose prevention education and engagement..

c) Gift cards will be used for collecting input and data from people at risk of fatal overdose living in a Single Room Occupancy (SROs) and/or permanent supportive housing (PSH) site. Previously, SFDPH had contacted and identified six (6) other large grocery store chains that have similar features and capabilities, but they do not meet all the needed requirements. These vendors include: Adronico's, Molly Stone, Cal-Mart, Trader Joe's, Rainbow Grocery, and Whole Foods.. Safeway has 15 stores across different locations throughout the City allowing access to specific, necessary food.

If you have made an effort to have the supplier comply, explain it here. If not,:

12b waiver to be sought.

Cancel Notes:

CMD Analyst

CMD Analyst:	Domenic Viterbo-Martinez	CMD Director:	Stephanie Tang
CMD Analyst Decision:	Reviewed and Approved	Select the reason for this request:	12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)
CMD Analyst Comments:	No compliant source for gift cards as an incentive for people living in SROs & permanent supportive housing who are at risk of fatal overdose.		

CMD Director

CMD Director:	Stephanie Tang	CMD Director Decision:
---------------	----------------	------------------------

Reason for Determination:

12B.5-1(a)(1) (Non Property Contracts)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:
Sole Source – Non Property Contract Justification Reason:
Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?:
Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

Explain why this is a Sole Source:

12B.5-1(a)(1) (Property Contracts)

City Property Status:
Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?:

Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question1:

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question2:

12B.5-1(a)(1)(Property Contracts)

Sole Source – Property Contract Justification Reason:

12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency)

12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency) Question2:

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation)

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question1 :

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question2:

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Non Property)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Public Entity Sole Source – Non Property Contract Justification Reason:

Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?:

Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

Explain why this is a Sole Source (Public Entity):

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Property)

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity SS-PC) Question1:

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity - Substantial)

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-SPI) Question1:

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms)

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question1:

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question2:

12B.5-1(e) Investments and Services

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question1:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question2:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question3:

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk Water, Power and

Bulk Water: false

Bulk Power: false

Bulk Gas: false

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG) Question2:

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question1:

Substance abuse and overdose is a serious problem affecting our community. The program will engage people in discussions about overdose to raise awareness and education, and the information collected will be used to inform overdose prevention efforts and educational materials for this population.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question2:

At this time, Safeway is the only supplier who can meet SFDPH's requirements of cost, distance and availability of groceries, among other criteria.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question3:

Previously, SFDPH had contacted and identified six (6) other large grocery store chains that have similar features and capabilities, but they do not meet all the needed requirements. These vendors include: Adronico's, Molly Stone, Cal-Mart, Trader Joe's, Rainbow Grocery, and Whole Foods.. Safeway has 15 stores across different locations throughout the City allowing access to specific, necessary food.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question4:

SFDPH has in the past and will continue to search in the future for a compliant vendor. At this time, this supplier was the only one who met all of SFDPH's requirements.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question5:

Not Applicable

12B.5-1(d)(1)(No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question2 :

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question4:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Has MTA qualified agreement as Bulk Purchasing under Charter Sec.

8A.102(b)?:

Detail the nature of this Bulk Purchasing transaction:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question2:

- 12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question3:
- 12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question4:
- 12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question5:
- 12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question6:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity)

- 12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question1:
- 12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question2:
- 12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question3:
- 12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question4:

Activities

Additional comments:

Related List Title: Approval List
Table name: sysapproval_approver
Query Condition: Approval for = CMD12B0003509
Sort Order: Order in ascending order

1 Approvals

State	Approver	Approving	Created	Approval set	Comments
Approved	Michelle Ruggels	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003509	2024-05-08 08:11:02		

Related List Title: Metric List
Table name: metric_instance
Query Condition: Table = u_cmd_12b_waiver AND ID = e6678764db6d86d04aa69b6ed39619fe
Sort Order: None

10 Metrics

Created	Definition	ID	Value	Start	End	Duration	Calculation complete
2024-04-10 14:56:15	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003509	Draft	2024-04-10 14:56:12	2024-05-08 08:11:02	27 Days 17 Hours 14 Minutes	true
2024-05-08 08:11:06	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003509	Draft	2024-05-08 08:11:02	2024-05-08 09:33:26	1 Hour 22 Minutes	true
2024-05-08 09:33:31	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003509	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval	2024-05-08 09:33:26	2024-05-08 16:38:37	7 Hours 5 Minutes	true

Created	Definition	ID	Value	Start	End	Duration	Calculation complete
2024-05-08 08:11:06	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003509	Dept. Head approval	2024-05-08 08:11:02	2024-05-08 08:11:02	0 Seconds	true
2024-05-08 16:38:40	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003509	Awaiting CMD Director Approval	2024-05-08 16:38:37			false
2024-05-08 09:33:31	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003509	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval	2024-05-08 09:33:26	2024-05-08 16:38:37	7 Hours 5 Minutes	true
2024-05-08 08:11:06	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003509	Dept. Head approval	2024-05-08 08:11:02	2024-05-08 08:11:02	0 Seconds	true
2024-05-08 16:38:40	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003509	Awaiting CMD Director Approval	2024-05-08 16:38:37			false
2024-05-08 08:11:06	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003509	Draft	2024-05-08 08:11:02	2024-05-08 09:33:26	1 Hour 22 Minutes	true
2024-04-10 14:56:15	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003509	Draft	2024-04-10 14:56:12	2024-05-08 08:11:02	27 Days 17 Hours 14 Minutes	true

From: [CCSF IT Service Desk](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: CMD12B0003565 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (DPH) Department Head (Michelle Ruggels)
Date: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 9:33:27 AM
Attachments: [image](#)



Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0003565 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (DPH) Department Head (Michelle Ruggels).

Summary of Request

Requester: Susan Chan

Department: DPH

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing)

Supplier ID: 0000049687

Requested total cost: \$7,659.06

Short Description: Medical grade refrigerator and related equipment from supplier. 2-year parts and labor on entire machine, 5-year parts, 1 year labor.

[Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request](#)

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org

Thank you.

Ref:TIS5014509_vwsq1iGpIOqPJOTU3g6Y

Report Title: CMD 12B Waiver Details
Run Date and Time: 2024-05-09 08:57:11 Pacific Daylight Time
Run by: ServiceNow Admin
Table name: u_cmd_12b_waiver

CMD 12B Waiver

Number:	CMD12B0003565	Request Status:	Awaiting CMD Director Approval
Requested for:	Susan Chan	State:	Work in Progress
Department Head/Delegated authority:	Michelle Ruggels	Waiver Type:	12B Waiver
Opened:	2024-05-08 08:47:41	12B Waiver Type:	Standard
		Requesting Department:	DPH
		Requester Phone:	(415) 759-4512
		Awaiting Info from:	
		Awaiting Info reason:	
		Opened by:	Susan Chan
		Watch list:	

Short Description:

Medical grade refrigerator and related equipment from supplier. 2-year parts and labor on entire machine, 5-year parts, 1 year labor.

Supplier ID:	0000049687	Requested Amount:	\$0.00
Is this a new waiver or are you modifying a previously approved waiver?:	Modification – Prior Waiver Approved in ServiceNow	Increase Amount:	\$0.00
Last Approved 12B Waiver Request:	CMD12B0003549	Previously Approved Amount:	\$7,659.06
		Total Requested Amount:	\$7,659.06
Document Type:	Purchase Order	Enter Contract ID:	
12B Waiver Justification:	12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing)	Enter Requisition ID:	
City Treasurer:	Jose Cisneros	Enter Purchase Order ID:	0000824382
Admin Code Chapter:	Chapter 21A GPO (DPH Only)	Enter Direct Voucher ID:	
Select Chapter 21.04 Section:		Waiver Start Date:	2024-05-08
Confirm Dept. has documented this agreement as a Sole Source:		Waiver End Date:	2024-07-08

Advertising:	false
Commodities, Equipment and Hardware :	true
Equipment and Vehicle Lease:	false
On Premise Software and Support:	false
Online Content, Reports, Periodicals and Journals:	false
Professional and General Services:	true
Software as a Service (SaaS) and Cloud Software Applications:	false
Vehicles and Trailers:	false

Detail the purpose of this contract is and what goods and/or services the contra:

Changed PO number to: 0000824382

All Follett equipment on LHH campus is OSHA approved in the original submittals for seismic bracking.

LHH Faciliites Department staff are fully trained to maintain, service and install follett equipment.

Follett equipment has performed consistently and regularly passes annual hospital inspections from JACHO, CMS and other mandatory regulatory licensing bodies.

If you have made an effort to have the supplier comply, explain it here. If not,:

CMD has been in contract with Follett HR and provided detailed information on what is still required to become 12B Compliant.

Cancel Notes:

CMD Analyst

CMD Analyst:	Domenic Viterbo-Martinez	CMD Director:	Stephanie Tang
CMD Analyst Decision:	Reviewed and Approved	Select the reason for this request:	12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing)
CMD Analyst Comments:	Purchase of equipment maintenance and repair through a government bulk purchasing agreement.		

CMD Director

CMD Director:	Stephanie Tang	CMD Director Decision:
---------------	----------------	------------------------

Reason for Determination:

12B.5-1(a)(1) (Non Property Contracts)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:
Sole Source – Non Property Contract Justification Reason:
Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?:
Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

Explain why this is a Sole Source:

12B.5-1(a)(1) (Property Contracts)

City Property Status:
Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?:
Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question1:

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question2:

12B.5-1(a)(1)(Property Contracts)

Sole Source – Property Contract
Justification Reason:

12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency)

12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency) Question2:

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation)

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question1 :

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question2:

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Non Property)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Public Entity Sole Source – Non
Property Contract Justification
Reason:

Has DPH Commission qualified this
agreement as a Sole Source under
Chpt 21.42?:

Has MTA qualified this agreement as
a Sole Source under Charter Sec.
8A.102(b)?:

Explain why this is a Sole Source (Public Entity):

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Property)

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity SS-PC) Question1:

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity - Substantial)

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-SPI)
Question1:

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms)

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question1:

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question2:

12B.5-1(e) Investments and Services

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question1:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question2:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question3:

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk Water, Power and

Bulk Water: false

Bulk Power: false

Bulk Gas: false

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG)
Question2:

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question2:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question4:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question5:

12B.5-1(d)(1)(No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question2 :

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question4:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Has MTA qualified agreement as Bulk
Purchasing under Charter Sec.
8A.102(b)?:

Detail the nature of this Bulk Purchasing transaction:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question1:

Per Admin Code Section 21A.2(a)

(2) Healthcare GPOs obtain cost savings by pooling their members' purchasing power and negotiating lower prices from their participating vendors. Healthcare GPOs also provide their members with cost savings by conducting a competitive bidding process for some – though not all – of the goods and services offered by their suppliers.

(3) Membership in Healthcare GPOs allows DPH to employ a streamlined process for procuring goods and services, thereby reducing administrative burdens, facilitating improved quality of care, and saving DPH millions of dollars each fiscal year.

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question2:

Per Admin Code Section 21A.2(a)

(2) Healthcare GPOs obtain cost savings by pooling their members' purchasing power and negotiating lower prices from their participating vendors. Healthcare GPOs also provide their members with cost savings by conducting a competitive bidding process for some – though not all – of the goods and services offered by their suppliers.

(3) Membership in Healthcare GPOs allows DPH to employ a streamlined process for procuring goods and services, thereby reducing administrative burdens, facilitating improved quality of care, and saving DPH millions of dollars each fiscal year.

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question3:

To fulfill the Board's desire to obtain the cost savings from using a GPO, pursuant to Chapter 21A.

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question4:

Prprietary equipment for repair or replacement of the product by an authorized service company.

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question5:

The purpose of Chapter 12B is to ensure equal access to benefits, including health benefits, regardless of one's protected category. The use of a GPO ensures DPH can access the goods and services it needs to provide healthcare to SF residents in a cost-effective and reliable manner, thereby increasing their access to healthcare regardless of their status. In this regard, the use of this Vizient contractor is aligned with the intent of Chapter 12B.

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question6:

Yes

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity)

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question2:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question4:

Activities

Additional comments:

Related List Title: Approval List
Table name: sysapproval_approver
Query Condition: Approval for = CMD12B0003565
Sort Order: Order in ascending order

1 Approvals

State	Approver	Approving	Created	Approval set	Comments
Approved	Michelle Ruggels	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003565	2024-05-08 08:57:45		

Related List Title: Metric List
Table name: metric_instance
Query Condition: Table = u_cmd_12b_waiver AND ID = d3f0ce651b0642d099d4ed7b2f4bcb61
Sort Order: None

10 Metrics

Created	Definition	ID	Value	Start	End	Duration	Calculation complete
2024-05-08 16:21:40	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003565	Awaiting CMD Director Approval	2024-05-08 16:21:35			false
2024-05-08 08:57:45	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003565	Draft	2024-05-08 08:57:45	2024-05-08 08:57:45	0 Seconds	true
2024-05-08 09:33:06	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003565	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval	2024-05-08 09:33:05	2024-05-08 16:21:35	6 Hours 48 Minutes	true

Created	Definition	ID	Value	Start	End	Duration	Calculation complete
2024-05-08 08:57:50	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003565	Dept. Head approval	2024-05-08 08:57:45	2024-05-08 09:33:05	35 Minutes	true
2024-05-08 08:57:20	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003565	Draft	2024-05-08 08:57:15	2024-05-08 08:57:45	30 Seconds	true
2024-05-08 08:57:50	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003565	Dept. Head approval	2024-05-08 08:57:45	2024-05-08 09:33:05	35 Minutes	true
2024-05-08 16:21:40	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003565	Awaiting CMD Director Approval	2024-05-08 16:21:35			false
2024-05-08 08:57:45	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003565	Draft	2024-05-08 08:57:45	2024-05-08 08:57:45	0 Seconds	true
2024-05-08 09:33:06	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003565	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval	2024-05-08 09:33:05	2024-05-08 16:21:35	6 Hours 48 Minutes	true
2024-05-08 08:57:20	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003565	Draft	2024-05-08 08:57:15	2024-05-08 08:57:45	30 Seconds	true

From: [CCSF IT Service Desk](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: CMD12B0003569 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (DPH) Department Head (Michelle Ruggels)
Date: Thursday, May 9, 2024 9:28:10 AM
Attachments: [image](#)



Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0003569 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (DPH) Department Head (Michelle Ruggels).

Summary of Request

Requester: Connie Jozami

Department: DPH

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000011707

Requested total cost: \$10,000.00

Short Description: Safeway: Gift cards for purchase of food are distributed to Directly Observed Therapy (DOT) patients as incentives and enablers to improve adherence

[Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request](#)

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org

Thank you.

Ref:TIS5017359_vwR1wItVmmaVmdLirVBd

Report Title: CMD 12B Waiver Details
Run Date and Time: 2024-05-09 09:35:15 Pacific Daylight Time
Run by: ServiceNow Admin
Table name: u_cmd_12b_waiver

CMD 12B Waiver

Number:	CMD12B0003569	Request Status:	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval
Requested for:	Connie Jozami	State:	Work in Progress
Department Head/Delegated authority:	Michelle Ruggels	Waiver Type:	12B Waiver
Opened:	2024-05-09 08:23:01	12B Waiver Type:	Standard
		Requesting Department:	DPH
		Requester Phone:	
		Awaiting Info from:	
		Awaiting Info reason:	
		Opened by:	Connie Jozami
		Watch list:	

Short Description:

Safeway: Gift cards for purchase of food are distributed to Directly Observed Therapy (DOT) patients as incentives and enablers to improve adherence

Supplier ID:	0000011707	Requested Amount:	\$10,000.00
Is this a new waiver or are you modifying a previously approved waiver?:	New Waiver	Increase Amount:	\$0.00
Last Approved 12B Waiver Request:		Previously Approved Amount:	\$0.00
		Total Requested Amount:	\$10,000.00
Document Type:	Requisition	Enter Contract ID:	
12B Waiver Justification:	12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)	Enter Requisition ID:	0000296566
City Treasurer:	Jose Cisneros	Enter Purchase Order ID:	
Admin Code Chapter:	Chapter 21 Goods and Services	Enter Direct Voucher ID:	
Select Chapter 21.04 Section:		Waiver Start Date:	2024-05-09
Confirm Dept. has documented this agreement as a Sole Source:		Waiver End Date:	2024-06-30

Advertising:	false
Commodities, Equipment and Hardware :	true
Equipment and Vehicle Lease:	false
On Premise Software and Support:	false
Online Content, Reports, Periodicals and Journals:	false
Professional and General Services:	false
Software as a Service (SaaS) and Cloud Software Applications:	false
Vehicles and Trailers:	false

Detail the purpose of this contract is and what goods and/or services the contra:

(a) Safeway

(b) Gift cards for food purchases are distributed to Directly Observed Therapy (DOT) patients as incentives and enablers to improve adherence and to ensure that patients successfully complete treatment. The department will purchase gift cards with the TB State grant – Food Shelter Incentives Enablers (FSIE) allotment. Per the grant agreement, FSIE funds may be used to provide food, incentives, and enablers for patients with confirmed TB and their contacts and for patients suspected of having TB.

(c) Safeway was determined to be the sole source provider of food products as it has the geographic presence throughout the city and proximity to our clinics and DPH services to allow purchase of food for clients.

If you have made an effort to have the supplier comply, explain it here. If not,:

Safeway has pending 12b compliance status; in the interim, we are seeking a waiver to continue to purchase food products.

Cancel Notes:

CMD Analyst

CMD Analyst:

CMD Director:

CMD Analyst Decision:

Select the reason for this request:

CMD Analyst Comments:

CMD Director

CMD Director:

CMD Director Decision:

Reason for Determination:

12B.5-1(a)(1) (Non Property Contracts)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Sole Source – Non Property Contract Justification Reason:

Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?:

Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

Explain why this is a Sole Source:

12B.5-1(a)(1) (Property Contracts)

City Property Status:

Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?:

Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question1:

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question2:

12B.5-1(a)(1)(Property Contracts)

Sole Source – Property Contract
Justification Reason:

12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency)

12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency) Question2:

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation)

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question1 :

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question2:

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Non Property)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Public Entity Sole Source – Non
Property Contract Justification
Reason:

Has DPH Commission qualified this
agreement as a Sole Source under
Chpt 21.42?:

Has MTA qualified this agreement as
a Sole Source under Charter Sec.
8A.102(b)?:

Explain why this is a Sole Source (Public Entity):

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Property)

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity SS-PC) Question1:

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity - Substantial)

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-SPI)
Question1:

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms)

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question1:

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question2:

12B.5-1(e) Investments and Services

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question1:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question2:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question3:

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk Water, Power and

Bulk Water: false

Bulk Power: false

Bulk Gas: false

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG) Question2:

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question1:

Gift cards are distributed to Directly Observed Therapy (DOT) patients as incentives and enablers to improve adherence and to ensure that patients successfully complete treatment.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question2:

Safeway is the only supplier who can meet SFDPH's requirements of cost, distance and availability of groceries, among other criteria.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question3:

Previously, SFDPH had contacted and identified six (6) other large grocery store chains that have similar features and capabilities, but they do not meet all the needed requirements. These vendors include: Adronico's, Molly Stone, Cal-Mart, Trader Joe's, Rainbow Grocery, and Whole Foods.. Safeway has 15 stores across different locations throughout the City allowing access to specific, necessary food.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question4:

SFDPH has in the past and will continue to search in the future for a compliant vendor. At this time, this supplier was the only one who met all of SFDPH's requirements and efforts continue to be made to get supplier into compliance. Their compliance status is pending.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question5:

Not Applicable

12B.5-1(d)(1)(No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question2 :

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question4:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Has MTA qualified agreement as Bulk Purchasing under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

Detail the nature of this Bulk Purchasing transaction:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question2:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question4:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question5:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question6:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity)

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question1:

- 12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question2:
- 12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question3:
- 12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question4:

Activities

Additional comments:

Related List Title: Approval List
Table name: sysapproval_approver
Query Condition: Approval for = CMD12B0003569
Sort Order: Order in ascending order

1 Approvals

State	Approver	Approving	Created	Approval set	Comments
Approved	Michelle Ruggels	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003569	2024-05-09 09:16:34		

Related List Title: Metric List
Table name: metric_instance
Query Condition: Table = u_cmd_12b_waiver AND ID = 27e4c3b11bc206d099d4ed7b2f4bcb6a
Sort Order: None

8 Metrics

Created	Definition	ID	Value	Start	End	Duration	Calculation complete
2024-05-09 09:16:35	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003569	Draft	2024-05-09 09:16:34	2024-05-09 09:16:35	1 Second	true
2024-05-09 09:27:55	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003569	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval	2024-05-09 09:27:50			false
2024-05-09 08:26:25	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003569	Draft	2024-05-09 08:26:22	2024-05-09 09:16:34	50 Minutes	true
2024-05-09 09:16:35	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003569	Dept. Head approval	2024-05-09 09:16:35	2024-05-09 09:27:50	11 Minutes	true
2024-05-09 09:16:35	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003569	Dept. Head approval	2024-05-09 09:16:35	2024-05-09 09:27:50	11 Minutes	true
2024-05-09 08:26:25	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003569	Draft	2024-05-09 08:26:22	2024-05-09 09:16:34	50 Minutes	true
2024-05-09 09:27:55	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003569	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval	2024-05-09 09:27:50			false

Created	Definition	ID	Value	Start	End	Duration	Calculation complete
2024-05-09 09:16:35	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0003569	Draft	2024-05-09 09:16:34	2024-05-09 09:16:35	1 Second	true

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: FW: Youth Commission Memo: 2024-2025 Youth Commission Application and Appointment Process
Date: Friday, May 3, 2024 9:54:47 AM
Attachments: [YC Recruitment Flyer 2425.pdf](#)
[2024 YC Recruitment BOS_MO Memo.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see below and attached for a San Francisco Youth Commission memo regarding their 2024-2025 Application and Appointment Process.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Esquivel Garcia, Alondra (BOS) <Alondra.Esquivel@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 2, 2024 3:34 PM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Paulino, Tom (MYR) <tom.paulino@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Mainardi, Jesse (MYR) <jesse.mainardi@sfgov.org>; Pang, Hong Mei (MYR) <hongmei.pang@sfgov.org>
Subject: Youth Commission Memo: 2024-2025 Youth Commission Application and Appointment Process

Hello,

Please read the following attached memo regarding the San Francisco Youth Commission's 2024-2025 Application and Appointment Process.

In Solidarity,

Alondra Esquivel Garcia | *she/her/hers*

Director, San Francisco Youth Commission | City and County of San Francisco

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 345

San Francisco, CA 94102

Alondra.Esquivel@sfgov.org | (415) 554-6464 [extension: 4-6464]

Youth Commission
City Hall ~ Room 345
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4532



(415) 554-6446
(415) 554-6140 FAX
www.sfgov.org/youth_commission

YOUTH COMMISSION MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor London Breed
Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors

CC: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
Legislative Aides, Board of Supervisors
Tom Paulino, Mayor's Liaison to the Board of Supervisors
Tyra Fennell, Director of Commissions and Community Relations
Eileen Mariano, Mayor's Education Advisor

RE: Youth Commission Application & Appointment Process for FY 24-25

The San Francisco Youth Commission staff are preparing to commence a Citywide recruitment for the 2024-2025 Youth Commission term. Each year, more than 100+ candidates apply for a minimal number of open appointments to be made on behalf of the Mayor and the Board. To assist you with appointments, we are sharing this overview of the process and timeline for recruitment of Youth Commission applicants.

Application & Interview Process

The Youth Commission office has launched an online application starting **April 1, 2024** and will be outreaching to neighborhood and school-based groups and youth-serving organizations and holding virtual and in-person open houses. Youth Commission staff will conduct interviews with applicants in the weeks following our **May 10, 2024 11:59 pm** application deadline and getting requests from each supervisor office to interview specific applicants wanting to advance in the appointment process. The Youth Commission office will send completed applications along to each supervisor office. The supervisor office will review applicants and send over 2-5 applicants that they would like to move forward with the application process. These recommendations from the supervisor office will be due on May 31, 2024 at 5 pm to ensure YC Staff can schedule interviews in a timely manner.

Once the Youth Commission office has interviews and sent over recommendations, we will need to have a list of BOS appointments by July 10 to send over remaining applications to the Mayor's Office of Appointments.

Supervisor offices may wish to set up their own interviews with applicants from their own district. Please contact Youth Commission staff if you choose to hold interviews so that we can help prepare the incoming youth applicants.

You can find the application here: <http://tinyurl.com/yc2425>, along with an outreach flyer and brochure attached to this email. We hope you will share the opportunity with your constituents.

Appointing Prerogative: Between 12 and 23 years old and a San Francisco Resident

[Pursuant to Charter Sec. 4.123](#), "The [Youth] Commission shall consist of seventeen (17) voting members, each of whom shall be between the ages of 12 and 23 years at the time of appointment. Each member of the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor shall appoint one member to the Commission. The Mayor shall also appoint five (5)

members from underrepresented communities to ensure that the Commission represents the diversity of the City.” Appointments to the Youth Commission are not subject to approval by the Rules Commission or the full Board of Supervisors.

To assist you in making this decision, the Charter provides the following description of the Youth Commission, which may be helpful to your offices in selecting an appointee: “The [Youth] Commission shall consist of individuals who have an **understanding of the needs of young people in San Francisco, or experience with children and youth programs or youth organizations, or involvement with school or community activities**. The members shall represent the diversity of ethnicity, race, gender, and sexual orientation of the people of the City and County and shall be residents of the City and County.”

Reappointments

Current commissioners must also reapply and can be reappointed to serve additional one-year terms. Based on youth development principles and best practices, Youth Commission staff believe that commissioners get the most out of their experience by serving on the Commission for more than one year, as policy and legislative work takes time to see results, as does getting acclimated to a serious advising body. *Youth Commission staff recommend that commissioners who seek reappointment and have fulfilled their chartered duties during their term be reappointed, due to this last term being virtual.*

Currently Youth Commissioner staff are meeting with current commissioners regarding their interest in being re-appointed to the Youth Commission. Youth Commission staff will reach out to both the Mayor’s Office and Board of Supervisors with a list of commissioners interested in being reappointed to the Youth Commission at a later date.

Timeline

We request appointment decisions by July 31st, or as soon as possible for three reasons:

1. The Mayor makes five (5) members from underrepresented communities, and staff can recommend applicants by knowing who Supervisors have appointed.
2. Via the Charter, Youth Commissioners serve year-long terms that begin in late August of each year. We request appointment decisions early so that incoming Youth Commissioners can plan their schedules and prepare for their service next year, as well as have the opportunity to shadow current commissioners as they continue their work until the end of their term. These timely appointments also allow the over 60 young leaders who apply for an appointment every year pursue other opportunities for serving their communities if they are not selected as commissioners.
3. Youth Commission staff lead a multiple-day “Orientation Retreat” for commissioners in mid August to support commissioners in beginning their roles, and we need to make sure appointments are solidified so appointees can attend the Retreat.

The Youth Commission application can be found at <https://tinyurl.com/yc2425> and an outreach flyer is attached to this email.

Please do not hesitate to contact us at youthcom@sfgov.org, with any questions or concerns and if we can be of further assistance to your office in this process.

JOIN THE SAN FRANCISCO YOUTH COMMISSION!



What is the SFYC and how do I join?

Are you interested in leadership, civic engagement, and advocacy?

If so, apply to serve on the San Francisco Youth Commission by May 10th!

What is the San Francisco Youth Commission?

The Youth Commission is a body of 17 youth from San Francisco between the ages of 12 and 23. The Youth Commission is responsible for advising the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor on policies and laws relating to young people.

How can I learn more?

We are hosting two Youth Commission application info sessions:

Virtual Information Session

April 11th, 7:00pm-8:00pm

RSVP: tinyurl.com/YCApps24-25

City Hall Open House

April 24th, 5:30pm-6:30pm

RSVP: tinyurl.com/YCopenhouse

Application Open from April 1st to May 10th

Apply Here: tinyurl.com/yc2425



Follow Our Socials | @sfyouthcom
Check Out Our Website | sfgov.org/yc

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS Legislation \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Request to Reconsider Final Adoption of the Proposed Renaming of Metropolitan Oakland International Airport to Avoid Further Legal Action - City Attorney David Chiu
Date: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 11:35:18 AM
Attachments: [Second CAO Ltr to Port of Oakland re OAK Renaming.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see the attached regarding **File No. 240329**:

Resolution opposing the proposed renaming of the Metropolitan Oakland International Airport to “San Francisco Bay Oakland International Airport” and urging the Port of Oakland Commission to reject any name change that would use the name “San Francisco.”

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Zamora, Luis (CAT) <Luis.A.Zamora@sfcityatty.org>
Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 11:00 AM
To: 'leslie@portcommissioner.com' <leslie@portcommissioner.com>; 'board@portoakland.com' <board@portoakland.com>; 'dwan@portoakland.com' <dwan@portoakland.com>; 'mrichardson@portoakland.com' <mrichardson@portoakland.com>; 'csimon@portoakland.com' <csimon@portoakland.com>
Cc: Mayor, London Breed (MYR) <mayorsofficelaptop@sfgov.org>; Ivar Satero (AIR)

<lvar.Satero@flysfso.com>; Airport Commission Secretary (AIR)
<airportcommissionsecretary@flysfso.com>; Board of Supervisors (BOS)
<board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Subject: Request to Reconsider Final Adoption of the Proposed Renaming of Metropolitan Oakland International Airport to Avoid Further Legal Action - City Attorney David Chiu

Good Morning,

Please find attached a letter from San Francisco City Attorney David Chiu Re: Request to Reconsider Final Adoption of the Proposed Renaming of Metropolitan Oakland International Airport to Avoid Further Legal Action.

Best,

Luis A. Zamora (he/him)

Director of Executive Affairs
Office of City Attorney David Chiu
(415) 554-4748 Direct

www.sfcityattorney.org

Find us on: [Facebook](#) [Twitter](#) [Instagram](#)



DAVID CHIU
City Attorney

May 7, 2024

Barbara Leslie, President, Oakland Board of Port Commissioners
Members of the Oakland Board of Port Commissioners
Danny Wan, Executive Director
Mary C. Richardson, Port Attorney
Port of Oakland
530 Water Street
Oakland, CA 94607

Craig Simon
Interim Director of Aviation
Metropolitan Oakland International Airport
One Airport Drive
Oakland, CA 94621

Re: Request to Reconsider Final Adoption of the Proposed Renaming of
Metropolitan Oakland International Airport to Avoid Further Legal Action

Dear President Leslie, Members of the Board of Port Commissioners, Executive Director Wan,
Interim Aviation Director Simon, and Port Attorney Richardson:

On behalf of the City and County of San Francisco (the "City"), I write to once again strongly urge the Oakland Board of Port Commissioners (the "Port") to reconsider moving forward with the final adoption of its proposed ordinance authorizing the renaming of Metropolitan Oakland International Airport ("Oakland Airport") to "San Francisco Bay Oakland International Airport" and to instead engage in discussions with the City and others about a different name that would achieve the Port's objectives without infringing on the City's trademark and engendering consumer confusion and harm.

As you know, after the Port's initial April 11 approval of the renaming ordinance, my Office was left with no choice but to initiate litigation to protect San Francisco International Airport's ("SFO") trademark. Despite taking this legal action, I still firmly believe that the parties have an opportunity to engage in productive discussions to resolve the matter, particularly before the final adoption of the ordinance, currently scheduled for May 9. I am confident that by working together we can explore naming alternatives that will meet the Port's stated goals to increase flights at Oakland Airport and boost economic activity for the City of Oakland, while avoiding infringing on SFO's longstanding trademark and the very high likelihood of consumer

Letter to Barbara Leslie, President, Oakland Board of Port Commissioners
Members of the Oakland Board of Port Commissioners
Danny Wan, Executive Director
Mary C. Richardson, Port Attorney
Port of Oakland
530 Water Street
Oakland, CA 94607

Craig Simon
Interim Director of Aviation
Metropolitan Oakland International Airport
One Airport Drive
Oakland, CA 94621
Page 2
May 7, 2024

and public confusion that ensue from the proposed name “San Francisco Bay Oakland International Airport.”

To be clear, should the Port decide to proceed to finally adopt the renaming ordinance, my Office will move forward with the pending litigation and will seek a preliminary injunction to stop this violation of the City’s rights and protect the public from the harm that the ensuing confusion and travel chaos is very likely to cause.

I look forward to your response.

Very truly yours,



DAVID CHIU
City Attorney

cc: Mayor London Breed
SFO Airport Director Ivar C. Satero
San Francisco Airport Commission
San Francisco Board of Supervisors

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Issued: CCSF Special Tax and Bond Accountability Report FY22-23
Date: Friday, May 3, 2024 2:46:16 PM
Attachments: [CCSF Special Tax & Bond Accountability Report FY22-23.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached CCSF Community Facilities Districts Special Tax and Bond Accountability Report for Fiscal Year 2022-2023.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, May 3, 2024 1:58 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: Issued: CCSF Special Tax and Bond Accountability Report FY22-23

From: Controller's Office of Public Finance <beau.scott@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, May 3, 2024 1:48 PM
To: BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>
Subject: Issued: CCSF Special Tax and Bond Accountability Report FY22-23

On May 3, 2024, the Controller's Office of Public Finance issued the City and County of San Francisco's Special Tax and Bond Accountability Report for Fiscal Year 2022-2023. The report is required to be filed annually with the governing body of the City's Special Tax Districts (the Board of Supervisors) and satisfies reporting requirements pursuant to California State Senate Bill 165 and Sections 50075.3 and 53411 of the California Government Code.

The report also includes a cover memorandum detailing the City's Community Facilities District No. 2014-1 (Transbay Transit Center), Community Facilities District No. 2016-1 (Treasure Island), Special Tax District No. 2019-1 (Pier 70 Condominiums), Special Tax District No. 2019-2 (Pier 70 Leased Properties), and Special Tax District No. 2020-1 (Mission Rock Facilities and Services).

Please contact Anna Van Degna, Bridget Katz, or Beau Scott if you have any questions.

[Download the full report](#)

For more information, please contact the Office of Public Finance:

Anna Van Degna, *Director* • anna.vandegna@sfgov.org

Bridget Katz, *Deputy Director* • bridget.katz@sfgov.org

Beau Scott, *Analyst* • beau.scott@sfgov.org

Share this email:



[Manage](#) your preferences | [Opt out](#) using TrueRemove®
Got this as a forward? [Sign up](#) to receive our future emails.
View this email [online](#).

[1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place](#)

San Francisco, CA | 94102 US

[This email was sent to bos.legislation@sfgov.org.](mailto:bos.legislation@sfgov.org)
[To continue receiving our emails, add us to your address book.](#)



OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Greg Wagner
Controller
Todd Rydstrom
Deputy Controller
Anna Van Degna
Director of Public Finance

MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Members, San Francisco Board of Supervisors,
c/o Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors

FROM: Anna Van Degna, Director, Office of Public Finance
Bridget Katz, Deputy Director, Office of Public Finance
Beau Scott, Analyst, Office of Public Finance

DATE: May 3, 2024

SUBJECT: **Special Tax and Bond Accountability Report for Fiscal Year 2022-23**

Community Facilities District No. 2014-1 (Transbay Transit Center)

Improvement Area No. 1, Community Facilities District No. 2016-1 (Treasure Island)

Improvement Area No. 2, Community Facilities District No. 2016-1 (Treasure Island)

Special Tax District No. 2019-1 (Pier 70 Condominiums)

Special Tax District No. 2019-2 (Pier 70 Leased Properties)

Special Tax District No. 2020-1 (Mission Rock Facilities and Services)

The purpose of this memorandum is to summarize certain annual reporting requirements related to the Community Facilities District No. 2014-1 (Transbay Transit Center) (“Transbay CFD”), Improvement Area No. 1 of Community Facilities District No. 2016-1 (Treasure Island) (“Treasure Island CFD IA1”), Improvement Area No. 2 of Community Facilities District No. 2016-1 (Treasure Island) (“Treasure Island CFD IA2”), Special Tax District No. 2019-1 (Pier 70 Condominiums) (“Pier 70 Condo STD”), Special Tax District No. 2019-2 (Pier 70 Leased Properties) (“Pier 70 Leased STD”), and Special Tax District No. 2020-1 (Mission Rock Facilities and Services) (“Mission Rock STD”).

In September 2000, the Local Agency Special Tax and Bond Accountability Act was enacted by the California State Legislature through Senate Bill 165 (“SB165”) to provide accountability for any local special tax subject to voter approval. To further this objective, the Legislature added Sections 50075.3 and 53411 to the California Government Code setting forth annual reporting requirements relative to special taxes collected and bonds issued by a local public agency. Pursuant to these, the chief fiscal officer of the public agency

will, by January 1, 2002, and at least once a year thereafter, file a report with the governing body setting forth the following information:

- Section 50075.3
 - (a) Identify the amount of special taxes that have been collected and expended
 - (b) Identify the status of any project required or authorized to be funded by the special taxes
- Section 53411
 - (a) Identify the amount of bonds that have been collected and expended
 - (b) Identify the status of any project required or authorized to be funded from bond proceeds

Transbay CFD No. 2014-1

An election was held on December 29, 2014, during which the qualified electors of the Transbay CFD approved the formation of the Transbay CFD and incurrence of bonded indebtedness in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$1.4 billion. On January 13, 2015, the Board approved Resolution No. 1-15, declaring the results of the special election and directing the recording of a notice of special tax lien for the Transbay CFD. See the table below for a summary of bonds issued to date for the Transbay CFD.

Bond Series	Closing Date	Original Principal Amount	Project Description
2017AB	11/9/2017	\$207,500,000	\$36.1 million for street and sidewalk improvements \$171.4 million for the Salesforce Transit Center Phase 1 project
2019AB	2/26/2019	\$190,965,000	\$33.7 million for street and sidewalk improvements, BART enhancements, and Portsmouth Square park \$157.3 million for Salesforce Transit Center Phase 1 project
2020B	5/14/2020	\$81,820,000	\$81.8 million for the Salesforce Transit Center Phase 1 project
2021B	11/3/2021	\$33,880,000	\$33.9 million for the Salesforce Transit Center Phase 2 project
2022AB	12/15/2022	\$78,570,000	\$31.2 million for streetscape and pedestrian improvements, the acquisition of transit vehicles, and BART enhancements \$47.4 million for the Salesforce Transit Center Phase 2 project

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank]

Treasure Island CFD No. 2016-1

An election was held on January 24, 2017, during which the qualified electors of the Treasure Island CFD approved the formation of the Treasure Island CFD and incurrence of bonded indebtedness in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$5.0 billion for the Treasure Island CFD and an amount not-to-exceed \$250.0 million for Treasure Island CFD IA1. On January 24, 2017, the Board approved Resolution No. 11-17 declaring the results of the special election and directing the recording of a notice of special tax lien for the Treasure Island CFD. On September 22, 2020, the Board approved Resolution No. 410-20 authorizing the annexation of Treasure Island CFD IA2 and the issuance of bonds in an amount not-to-exceed \$278.2 million. See the table below for a summary of bonds issued to date to reimburse authorized public improvement projects for the Treasure Island CFD.

Improvement Area No.	Bond Series	Closing Date	Original Principal Amount
1	2020	10/29/2020	\$17,135,000
1	2021	8/12/2021	\$41,340,000
2	2022	2/10/2022	\$25,130,000
2	2023 ¹	12/21/2023	\$16,975,000

¹ Series 2023 Bonds for CFD No. 2016-1 (Treasure Island) closed on 12/21/2023, after the 6/30/2023 reporting date. Series 2023 will be officially reported in FY23-24 and is included in this table for informational purposes only.

Pier 70 STD No. 2019-1 (Condominiums)

An election was held on January 27, 2020, during which the qualified electors of the Pier 70 Condominiums STD approved the formation of the Pier 70 Condominiums STD and incurrence of bonded indebtedness in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$1.698 billion for the Pier 70 Condominiums STD. On January 28, 2020, the Board approved Resolution No. 40-20, declaring the results of the special election and directing the recording of a notice of special tax lien for the Pier 70 Condominiums STD. Although no bonds have been issued for the Pier 70 Condo STD to date, pursuant to the RMA, the City began levying special taxes for the Pier 70 Condo STD in fiscal year 2022-23.

Pier 70 STD No. 2019-2 (Leased Properties)

An election was held on January 27, 2020, during which the qualified electors of the Pier 70 Leased Properties STD approved the formation of the Pier 70 Leased Properties STD and incurrence of bonded indebtedness in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$1.842 billion for the Pier 70 Leased Properties STD. On January 28, 2020, the Board approved Resolution No. 42-20, declaring the results of the special election and directing the recording of a notice of special tax lien for the Pier 70 Leased Properties STD. Although no bonds have been issued for the Pier 70 Leased Properties STD to date, pursuant to the RMA, the City began levying special taxes for the Pier 70 Leased Properties STD in fiscal year 2022-23.

Mission Rock STD No. 2020-1

An election was held on April 20, 2020, during which the qualified electors of the Mission Rock STD approved the formation of the Mission Rock STD and incurrence of bonded indebtedness in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$3.7 billion for the Mission Rock STD. On May 5, 2020, the Board approved Resolution No. 195-20, declaring the results of the special election and directing the recording of a notice of special tax lien for the Mission Rock STD. See the table below for a summary of bonds issued to date to finance certain facilities and improvements authorized for the Mission Rock STD.

Bond Series	Closing Date	Original Principal Amount
2021A	5/27/2021	\$43,300,000
2021BC	11/10/2021	\$64,280,000
2023ABC ¹	12/6/2023	\$45,895,000

¹ Series 2023ABC Bonds for STD No. 2020-1 (Mission Rock Facilities and Services) closed on 12/6/2023, after the 6/30/2023 reporting date. Series 2023ABC will be officially reported in FY23-24 and is included in this table for informational purposes only.

Please contact Anna Van Degna (anna.vandegna@sfgov.org), Bridget Katz (bridget.katz@sfgov.org), or Beau Scott (beau.scott@sfgov.org) with any questions.



Anna Van Degna
Public Finance Director

cc (via email):

- Greg Wagner, Controller
- Mark Blake, Deputy City Attorney
- Kenneth Roux, Deputy City Attorney
- Anna Duning, Mayor's Budget Director
- Tom Paulino, Mayor's Office, Liaison to the Board of Supervisors
- Harvey Rose, Budget Analyst
- Severin Campbell, Budget Analyst



GOODWIN CONSULTING GROUP

**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICTS**

**SPECIAL TAX AND BOND ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT
(SENATE BILL 165)**

FISCAL YEAR 2022-23

May 2, 2024

*City and County of San Francisco
Community Facilities Districts
Special Tax and Bond Accountability Report*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Section</i>	<i>Page</i>
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Senate Bill 165 Reporting Requirements.....	2
III. Authorized Facilities and Services	3
Appendix A – Special Taxes Levied and Expended	
Appendix B – Bonds Collected and Expended	

I. INTRODUCTION

On September 18, 2000, former Governor Gray Davis signed Senate Bill 165 which enacted the Local Agency Special Tax and Bond Accountability Act. In approving the bill, the Legislature declared that local agencies need to demonstrate to the voters that special taxes and bond proceeds are being spent on the facilities and services for which they were intended. To further this objective, the Legislature added Sections 50075.3 and 53411 to the California Government Code setting forth annual reporting requirements relative to special taxes collected and bonds issued by a local public agency.

The City and County of San Francisco (“City”) levied special taxes in multiple community facilities districts (“CFDs”) and one special tax district (“STD”) in fiscal year 2021-22. Furthermore, the City has issued a number of special tax bonds in connection with those districts. This report serves to satisfy the annual reporting requirements described above for the districts listed in the table below.

City and County of San Francisco Required SB 165 Reports Fiscal Year 2022-23

CFD Name	Report for GC §50075.3 Required	Report for GC §53411 Required
CFD No. 2014-1 (Transbay Transit Center)	Yes	Yes
Improvement Area No. 1 CFD No. 2016-1 (Treasure Island)	Yes	Yes
Improvement Area No. 2 CFD No. 2016-1 (Treasure Island)	Yes	Yes
Improvement Area No. 3 CFD No. 2016-1 (Treasure Island)	No*	No
STD No. 2019-1 (Pier 70 Condominiums)	Yes	No
STD No. 2019-2 (Pier 70 Leased Properties)	Yes	No
STD No. 2020-1 (Mission Rock Facilities and Services)	Yes	Yes

**Fiscal year 2023-24 is the first year special taxes were levied, therefore there are no special tax collections to report.*

II. SENATE BILL 165 REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Pursuant to Sections 50075.3 and 53411, the chief fiscal officer of the public agency will, by January 1, 2002, and at least once a year thereafter, file a report with the governing body setting forth the following information.

Section 50075.3

Item (a): Identify the amount of special taxes that have been collected and expended.

See Tables in Appendix A for each applicable district

Item (b): Identify the status of any project required or authorized to be funded by the special taxes.

See Tables in Appendix A for each applicable district. The authorized facilities and/or services to be funded from special taxes are described in Section III of this Report for each district.

Section 53411

Item (a): Identify the amount of bonds that have been collected and expended.

See Tables in Appendix B for each applicable district

Item (b): Identify the status of any project required or authorized to be funded from bond proceeds.

See Tables in Appendix B for each applicable district

III. AUTHORIZED FACILITIES AND SERVICES

CFD No. 2014-1 (Transbay Transit Center)

Authorized Facilities

Proceeds of the Bonds and special tax revenues will primarily be used to finance a portion of the costs of acquiring public infrastructure improvements necessary for development of property within the Community Facilities District No. 2014-1 (Transbay Transit Center) (“CFD No. 2014-1”). The infrastructure authorized to be financed by CFD No. 2014-1 is identified in the Resolution of Formation. Generally, the infrastructure authorized to be financed includes:

1. Streetscape and Pedestrian Improvements
 - a. Primary Streets (Mission, Howard, Folsom, Fremont, 1st, 2nd, New Montgomery)
 - b. Living Streets (Beale, Main, and Spear Streets North of Folsom to Market Street)
 - c. Alleys (Stevenson, Jessie, Minna, Natoma, Tehama, Clementina Street)
 - d. Fremont/Folsom Freeway Off-Ramp Realignment
 - e. Mid-Block Crossings
 - f. Signalization
 - g. Natoma Street
 - h. Casual Carpool Waiting Area Improvements
2. Transit and Other Transportation
 - a. Transit Delay Mitigation
 - b. BART Station Capacity
 - c. Congestion Charging Pilot
 - d. Underground Pedestrian Connector
 - e. Downtown Rail Extension (DTX)
3. Public Open Space
 - a. City Park
 - b. City Park Connections
 - c. 2nd and Howard Public Plaza
 - d. Transbay Park
 - e. Chinatown Open Space Improvements
 - f. Other Downtown Open Space Improvements
 - g. Mission Square
 - h. Under-Ramp Park
4. Other Transit Center District Public Improvements

The following table identifies the status of construction on CFD No. 2014-1 authorized projects and CFD No. 2014-1 proceeds disbursed through June 30, 2023:

Public Improvement	CFD Proceeds Spent⁽¹⁾	Status of Improvements
Streetscape and Pedestrian		
Primary Streets (Mission, Howard, Folsom, Fremont, 1 st , 2 nd , New Montgomery)	\$14,493,238	- Construction on 2nd St. reached substantial completion in October 2020. Long-term plant establishment ongoing. - Design in progress for Transit U at 1st St./Mission St./Fremont St.; contract advertisement anticipated in Winter 2024. - Design in progress for Transbay Howard Streetscape; contract advertisement anticipated in Fall 2024. - Preliminary design for Mission Street started in Summer 2022. MTA performing public outreach and working on legislation.
Living Streets (Beale, Main, and Spear North of Folsom to Market)	\$1,379,450	- Advertisement for Beale St. anticipated for Winter 2024. - Design for Main St in progress; contract advertisement for Winter 2024.
Alleys (Stevenson, Jessie, Minna, Natoma, Tehama, Clementina)	\$2,121,078	- Design for Minna Natoma in progress; contract advertisement anticipated in Winter 2024. - Planning for other alleys anticipated to start in 2024.
Fremont/Folsom off-ramp realignment Mid-Block Crossings Signalization Natoma Street	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	- Ongoing planning and coordination of environmental review and MTA legislation/approval for Phase C, D, E.
Casual Carpool Waiting Areas	\$113	- Phase 1 construction of Beale St. Casual Carpool completed in December 2020. - Phase 2 in design, contract advertisement anticipated in Winter 2024.
Transit and Other Transportation		
BART Station Capacity	\$290,009	- Due to cost increases due to bidding environment, inflation, COVID impacts, schedule changes, and legacy station challenges, BART is phasing the project work. Phase 1 includes renovating and modernizing existing elevator, demolishing and rebuilding wider south stairs, and relocating existing machine room. Phase 2 includes building new elevator in north side of the station, building new machine room for new elevator, and demolishing and rebuilding wider north stairs. Currently, the project is in the “design phase” of Phase 1, with 65% design anticipated to be complete. BART is currently collaborating with design consultant to obtain approval for a new work plan to complete 95% of design. The updated schedule is as follows: (i) Design: 95% in 2024, 100% in Spring 2025; (ii) Procurement: Spring 2025 – Spring 2026; and (iii) Construction: Summer 2026 to Spring 2029.
Congestion Charging Pilot	\$880,000	- The SF County Transportation Authority has paused the Downtown Congestion Pricing Study. Work to date has included public outreach conducted through August 2021; evaluating boundary options; and refining estimates of fees, program implementation costs and revenues. Policy recommendations will be completed following the resumption of public outreach activities.
Electric Bus Purchases	\$1,608,661	-The SFMTA has placed 10 battery buses into regular revenue service for the purposes of evaluating their performance, reliability, maintainability, and operability in San Francisco's unique environment as the buses provide public service throughout the City. The SFMTA is awaiting the delivery of one final bus and anticipates the construction phase of the project to be concluded by Q2 2024, and work is underway to produce a public report detailing all findings of the pilot project.
Downtown Rail Extension ⁽²⁾	\$304,755,165	- Construction is complete for Downtown Rail Extension (DTX) elements of Phase 1, including the Train Box. Design and engineering for DTX (Phase 2) is underway. In December 2021, the project was admitted into the Project Development phase of FTA’s Capital Investment Grants program. In 2023, the project requested entry into the Engineering phase of the CIG program.
Open Space		
City Park (renamed Salesforce Park) ⁽²⁾	\$81,472,497	- Construction of the rooftop Salesforce Park is complete, and the park is open to the public.
Chinatown Open Space Improvements ⁽³⁾	\$5,317,190	-The Portsmouth Square Improvement Project is currently in the Construction Documentation phase and is undergoing DBI and SF Planning reviews while working towards 100% CD package for Bid/Advertisement in 2024.
Total	\$412,317,400	

(1) Totals may not sum due to rounding.

(2) Excludes amounts used to reimburse financing costs.

(3) \$9,000,000 of Series 2019A Bonds have been appropriated to Recreation & Parks Department for the Portsmouth Square open space project. As of 6/30/23 \$5,317,190 has been drawn.

CFD No. 2016-1 (Treasure Island)

Authorized Facilities

Proceeds of the Bonds and special tax revenues will primarily be used to finance a portion of the costs of acquiring public infrastructure improvements necessary for development of property within Community Facilities District No. 2016-1 (Treasure Island) (“CFD No. 2016-1”), including Improvement Area No. 1, Improvement Area No. 2, and Improvement Area No. 3. The infrastructure authorized to be financed by CFD No. 2016-1 is identified in the Resolution of Formation. Generally, the infrastructure authorized to be financed includes: acquisition of land for public improvements or relocation of existing uses for public housing, abatement, demolition, supplemental fire water supply system, low pressure water, water tank facilities, recycled water, storm drainage system, separated sanitary sewer, joint trench, earthwork, retaining walls, highway ramps, roadways, pathways, curb and gutter, traffic improvements, streetscape improvements, shoreline improvements, parks, ferry terminal, hazardous soil removal, community facilities, any other amounts specifically identified in the DDA as a Project Cost, contributions to the City and other public agencies for costs related to open space improvements, transportation and transit facilities, and design and construction of ramps and access roads, sea level rise adaptations, and facility capital improvements constructed by the City of TIDA.

Authorized Services

The Resolution of Formation also authorizes CFD No. 2016-1 to fund certain services within the district. These services include the costs of operating and maintaining improvements constructed pursuant to the parks and open space plan within the project site and operating and maintaining TIDA owned structures and facilities within the Project Site including but not limited to Building 1, Hangers 2 & 3, Pier 1, the Historic Officer’s Quarters, Quarters 10 & 62, the Torpedo Building, Chapel, Gymnasium, roadways, paths and walkways.

The following table identifies the status of construction of authorized projects as of October 1, 2023:

	Direct Costs Stage 1⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	Percent Spent⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	Remaining Costs⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾
<i>Hard Costs</i>			
Demo	\$8,616,813	100%	-
Geotech	\$58,472,458	100%	\$71,578 ⁽³⁾
Causeway	\$15,746,082	98%	\$296,475
Treasure Island Street Improvements	\$89,082,651	95%	\$4,602,666
Yerba Buena Island Street Improvements	\$105,061,713	94%	\$6,109,649
Interim Gas Line	\$1,927,603	86%	\$261,552
Sanitary Sewer Pump Station	\$4,787,600	89%	\$529,500
Interim Sanitary Sewer Force Main	\$7,356,090	100%	-
Wastewater Treatment Plant	\$1,489,945	91%	\$138,973
12KV Improvements	\$2,415,407	100%	-
Total Hard Costs	\$294,956,360	96%	\$12,010,393
<i>Soft Costs</i>			
Landscape Architect	\$3,541,336	96%	\$154,202
Civil Engineer	\$12,216,477	97%	\$325,632
Geotechnical Engineer	\$15,999,661	97%	\$432,311
Environmental Engineer	\$5,865,491	96%	\$241,433
Permits & Fees & Bonds	\$18,791,978	94%	\$1,153,998
Other (Utilities Consultants, Legal)	\$1,202,767	94%	\$75,379
Construction Management	\$14,986,202	99%	\$220,787
Total Soft Costs	\$72,603,912	96%	\$2,603,742
Total TI Infrastructure Budget	\$367,560,272	96%	\$14,614,135

- (1) Improvement Areas 1, 2, and 3 combine to create “Stage 1”. The Island is separated into 8 Stages and 4 Major Phases.
- (2) Horizontal infrastructure, including geotechnical improvement of soil conditions, needed to secure temporary certificates of occupancy for Improvement Areas 1, 2 and 3 are complete. Remaining costs include lagging payments and retentions for work that has been completed as of October 1, 2023.
- (3) Geotechnical program is complete for supporting infrastructure serving Improvement Areas 1, 2 and 3 and development within Improvement Area No. 2 and Improvement Area No. 3. Amount reflects retentions not yet paid as of October 1, 2023, for completed work.

As of June 30, 2023, CFD proceeds have been disbursed on the following projects:

Type of Facility	CFD Proceeds Spent as of June 30, 2023 ⁽¹⁾	
	Improvement Area No. 1	Improvement Area No. 2
Acquisition	\$14,676,340	\$0
Abatement	\$0	\$0
Demolition	\$171,769	\$13,731
Supplemental Fire Water Supply System	\$1,382,967	\$589,502
Low Pressure Water	\$2,262,687	\$654,053
Water Tank Facilities	\$0	\$0
Recycled Water	\$973,202	\$414,836
Storm Drainage System	\$9,000,644	\$1,449,853
Separated Sanitary Sewer	\$12,151,059	\$2,138,334
Joint Trench	\$0	\$0
Earthwork	\$1,859,767	\$571,954
Retaining Walls	\$779,192	\$332,138
Highway Ramps, & Roadways	\$1,938,784	\$466,647
Traffic	\$618,791	\$263,765
Streetscape	\$93,426	\$39,824
Shoreline Improvements	\$0	\$0
Parks	\$0	\$0
Ferry Terminal	\$0	\$0
Other Soft Costs	\$3,756,429	\$10,542,049
Community Facilities	\$0	\$0
Historic Renovation	\$0	\$0
Authorized Payments & Subsidies	\$2,846,853	\$4,622,386
Sea Level Rise Adaptations	\$0	\$0
Facility Capital Improvements (Mobilization, Dust Control, Erosion Control, Surveying)	\$4,354,390	\$856,978
	\$56,022,266	\$22,956,048
Other		
Bond related expenses	\$0	\$0
Administrative fees	\$0	\$0
Formation costs	\$0	\$155,881
Capital reserve	\$0	\$0
	\$0	\$155,881
Total	\$56,022,266	\$23,111,929

⁽¹⁾ The amounts shown above may include interest earnings.

STD No. 2019-1 (Pier 70 Condominiums)

Authorized Facilities

Proceeds of the special tax revenues will primarily be used to finance a portion of the costs of acquiring public infrastructure improvements necessary for development of property within Special Tax District No. 2019-1 (Pier 70 Condominiums) (“STD No. 2019-1”). The infrastructure authorized to be financed by STD No. 2019-1 is identified in the Resolution of Formation. Generally, the infrastructure authorized to be financed includes:

1. Land Acquisition
2. Demolition and Abatement
3. Auxiliary Water Supply System
4. Low Pressure Water
5. Non-Potable Water
6. Combined Sanitary Sewer and Stormwater Management
7. Joint Trench & Dry Utilities
8. Earthwork and Retaining Walls
9. Roadways
10. Streetscape
11. Parks and Public Space
12. Historic Rehabilitation Required for Horizontal Improvements
13. Hazardous Soil Removal
14. Shoreline Adaptation Studies
15. Shoreline Protection Facilities
16. Noonan Replacement Space
17. Arts Building
18. Historic Building Feasibility Gap
19. Deferred Infrastructure
20. Entitlement Costs
21. Associated Public Benefits
22. Miscellaneous Horizontal Development Costs
23. Any other costs authorized to be financed by STD No. 2019-1 under the DDA and VDDA
24. Soft costs required to support the construction of the Horizontal Improvements and implementation of the DDA and VDDA
25. Development Mitigation Measures
26. Miscellaneous Costs, such as costs associated with implementing the DDA and VDDA, including any additional costs that the Parties have agreed shall be incurred by the Developer for the Project, such as master planning for each phase, audits, appraisals, workforce development costs (such as a liaison), cash payments and community outreach initiatives

As of the date of the report, bonds have not been issued for STD No. 2019-1.

Authorized Services

The Resolution of Formation also authorizes STD No. 2019-1 to fund certain services within the district. These services include:

- Maintenance, capital repair, replacement and operation (including public events) of Public Spaces, including facilities for public enjoyment, such as public parks, public recreational facilities, public access, open space, public paseos and other public amenities, some of which may be rooftop facilities or located on privately leased property but identified as public open space in the DDA or Design for Development
- Maintenance, capital repair, replacement and operation of Public Right-of-Ways (ROWs), including public streets, sidewalks, shared public ways, mid-block passages, bicycle lanes, and other paths of travel, associated landscaping and furnishings, retaining walls within the ROWs, and related amenities in the FC Project Area, including any portion of the Building 15 structure over 22nd Street, some of which may be located on private property but identified as public open space in the DDA or Design for Development.
- Maintenance, capital repair, replacement and operation of Shoreline Improvements in and adjacent to the FC Project Area that were completed per the DDA, such as shoreline restoration, including installation of stone columns, pilings, secant walls, and other structures to stabilize the seawall or shoreline, removal of bay fill, creation of waterfront public access to or environmental remediation of the San Francisco waterfront.
- Maintenance, capital repair, replacement and operation of landscaping and irrigation systems and other equipment, material, and supplies directly related to maintaining and replacing landscaped areas and water features in Public Spaces and Public ROWs.
- Maintenance, capital repair, replacement and operation as needed of Public Spaces, including street cleaning and paving.
- Maintenance, capital repair, replacement and operation of lighting, rest rooms, trash receptacles, park benches, planting containers, picnic tables, bollards, bicycle racks and corrals and other furniture and fixtures and signage in Public Spaces and Public ROWs.
- Maintenance, capital repair, replacement and operation of utilities in Public Spaces and Public ROWs.
- General liability insurance for any Public ROWs or structures in Public ROWs that Public Works does not submit to the Board of Supervisors for City acceptance for City General Fund liability purposes and other commercially reasonable insurance coverages.
- Port, City, or third party personnel, administrative, and overhead costs related to maintenance or to contracting for and managing third-party maintenance, including rent for storage space needed to support the maintenance activities.
- Any other costs authorized to be financed by STD No. 2019-1 under the DDA and VDDA

STD No. 2019-2 (Pier 70 Leased Properties)

Authorized Facilities

Proceeds of the special tax revenues will primarily be used to finance a portion of the costs of acquiring public infrastructure improvements necessary for development of property within Special Tax District No. 2019-2 (Pier 70 Leased Properties) (“STD No. 2019-2”). The infrastructure authorized to be financed by STD No. 2019-2 is identified in the Resolution of Formation. Generally, the infrastructure authorized to be financed includes:

1. Land Acquisition
2. Demolition and Abatement
3. Auxiliary Water Supply System
4. Low Pressure Water
5. Non-Potable Water
6. Combined Sanitary Sewer and Stormwater Management
7. Joint Trench & Dry Utilities
8. Earthwork and Retaining Walls
9. Roadways
10. Streetscape
11. Parks and Public Space
12. Historic Rehabilitation Required for Horizontal Improvements
13. Hazardous Soil Removal
14. Shoreline Adaptation Studies
15. Shoreline Protection Facilities
16. Noonan Replacement Space
17. Arts Building
18. Historic Building Feasibility Gap
19. Deferred Infrastructure
20. Entitlement Costs
21. Associated Public Benefits
22. Miscellaneous Horizontal Development Costs
23. Any other costs authorized to be financed by STD No. 2019-2 under the DDA
24. Soft costs required to support the construction of the Horizontal Improvements and implementation of the DDA
25. Development Mitigation Measures
26. Miscellaneous Costs, such as costs associated with implementing the DDA, including any additional costs that the Parties have agreed shall be incurred by the Developer for the Project, such as master planning for each phase, audits, appraisals, workforce development costs (such as a liaison), cash payments and community outreach initiatives

As of the date of the report, bonds have not been issued for STD No. 2019-2.

Authorized Services

The Resolution of Formation also authorizes STD No. 2019-2 to fund certain services within the district. These services include:

- Maintenance, capital repair, replacement and operation (including public events) of Public Spaces, including facilities for public enjoyment, such as public parks, public recreational facilities, public access, open space, public paseos and other public amenities, some of which may be rooftop facilities or located on privately leased property but identified as public open space in the DDA or Design for Development
- Maintenance, capital repair, replacement and operation of Public Right-of-Ways (ROWs), including public streets, sidewalks, shared public ways, mid-block passages, bicycle lanes, and other paths of travel, associated landscaping and furnishings, retaining walls within the ROWs, and related amenities in the FC Project Area, including any portion of the Building 15 structure over 22nd Street, some of which may be located on private property but identified as public open space in the DDA or Design for Development.
- Maintenance, capital repair, replacement and operation of Shoreline Improvements in and adjacent to the FC Project Area that were completed per the DDA, such as shoreline restoration, including installation of stone columns, pilings, secant walls, and other structures to stabilize the seawall or shoreline, removal of bay fill, creation of waterfront public access to or environmental remediation of the San Francisco waterfront.
- Maintenance, capital repair, replacement and operation of landscaping and irrigation systems and other equipment, material, and supplies directly related to maintaining and replacing landscaped areas and water features in Public Spaces and Public ROWs.
- Maintenance, capital repair, replacement and operation as needed of Public Spaces, including street cleaning and paving.
- Maintenance, capital repair, replacement and operation of lighting, rest rooms, trash receptacles, park benches, planting containers, picnic tables, bollards, bicycle racks and corrals and other furniture and fixtures and signage in Public Spaces and Public ROWs.
- Maintenance, capital repair, replacement and operation of utilities in Public Spaces and Public ROWs.
- General liability insurance for any Public ROWs or structures in Public ROWs that Public Works does not submit to the Board of Supervisors for City acceptance for City General Fund liability purposes and other commercially reasonable insurance coverages.
- Port, City, or third party personnel, administrative, and overhead costs related to maintenance or to contracting for and managing third-party maintenance, including rent for storage space needed to support the maintenance activities.
- Any other costs authorized to be financed by STD No. 2019-2 under the DDA

The following table identifies the status of construction of authorized facilities for STD No. 2019-1 and STD No. 2019-2 as of February 2024:

Special Tax District	Status of Improvements (As of February 2024)
STD No. 2019-1	Phase 1 horizontal improvements were substantially complete as of November 2022 with the issuance of a Notice of Completion by the City. Acceptance of these improvements by the Port and the City is expected to occur during the first week of May 2024. The balance of the Phase 1 horizontal improvements, largely comprised of the Phase 1 parks and open space, will be constructed on a schedule that coincides with the delivery of adjacent vertical development. Brookfield completed the rehabilitation of historic Building 12 (located in STD No. 2019-2) in January 2022 and has signed several leases that will create a growing community of artists, makers, and innovators over the next year. Brookfield has not exercised an option to lease or purchase any Phase 1 development parcels, citing the ongoing economic impacts of the pandemic and construction costs on the viability of vertical development. The Port and Brookfield are exploring ways to advance the project.
STD No. 2019-2	

STD No. 2020-1 (Mission Rock Facilities and Services)

Authorized Facilities

Proceeds of the Bonds and special tax revenues will primarily be used to finance a portion of the costs of acquiring public infrastructure improvements necessary for development of property within Special Tax District No. 2020-1 (Mission Rock Facilities and Services) (“STD No. 2020-1”). The infrastructure authorized to be financed by STD No. 2020-1 is identified in the Resolution of Formation. Generally, the infrastructure authorized to be financed includes:

1. Land Acquisition
2. Demolition and Abatement
3. Auxiliary Water Supply System
4. Low Pressure Water
5. Non-Potable Water System (Blackwater Treatment Facility)
6. District Energy System
7. Sanitary Sewer, Storm Drain, and Stormwater Management
8. Joint Trench & Dry Utilities
9. Earthwork and Retaining Walls
10. Roadways
11. Streetscape
12. Parks and Public Space
13. Water-based Transportation Improvements
14. Historic Rehabilitation Required for Horizontal Improvements
15. Hazardous Soil Removal
16. Shoreline Adaptation Studies
17. Shoreline Protection Facilities
18. Deferred Infrastructure
19. Entitlement Costs
20. Associated Public Benefits
21. Miscellaneous Horizontal Development Costs
22. Any other costs authorized to be financed by STD No. 2020-1 under the DDA
23. Interim improvements required for the use of the Project Site including temporary bike lanes, landscape, hardscape, accessibility infrastructure, grading, furniture and other improvements required for the interim use of the remaining Project Site
24. Soft Costs required to support the construction of the Horizontal Improvements and implementation of the DDA, including developer management costs, third party professional services, construction management Fees, and asset management costs
25. Developer Mitigation Measures, including the formation of the Transportation Management Association and dust, vibration, asbestos and settlement monitoring
26. Insurance, Bonding and Warranty costs as required by the City in connection with the authorized improvements
27. Miscellaneous Costs, such as costs associated with implementing the DDA, including any additional costs that the Parties have agreed shall be incurred by the Developer for the Project, such as master planning for each phase, audits, appraisals, workforce development costs (such as a liaison), cash payments and community outreach initiatives

Authorized Services

The Resolution of Formation also authorizes STD No. 2020-1 to fund certain services within the district. These services include:

- Maintenance, capital repair, replacement and operation (including public events) of Public Spaces, including facilities for public enjoyment, such as public parks, public recreational facilities, public access, open space, public paseos and other public amenities, some of which may be rooftop facilities or located on privately leased property but identified as public open space in the DDA or Design Controls or Subdivision Map.
- Maintenance, capital repair, replacement and operation of Public Right-of-Ways (ROWs), including public streets, sidewalks, shared public ways, mid-block passages, bicycle lanes, and other paths of travel, associated landscaping and furnishings, maintenance, trenching, backfilling, and monitoring of Lightweight Cellular Concrete infrastructure, retaining walls within the ROWs and related amenities in STD No. 2020-1, some of which may be located on privately leased property but identified as public open space in the DDA or Design Controls.
- Maintenance, capital repair, replacement and operation of Shoreline Improvements in and adjacent to STD No. 2020-1 that were completed per the DDA, such as shoreline restoration, including installation of stone columns, pilings, secant walls, and other structures to stabilize the seawall or shoreline, removal of bay fill, creation of waterfront public access to or environmental remediation of the San Francisco waterfront.
- Maintenance, capital repair, replacement and operation of landscaping and irrigation systems and other equipment, material, and supplies directly related to maintaining and replacing landscaped areas and water features in Public Spaces and Public ROWs.
- Maintenance, capital repair, replacement and operation as needed of Public Spaces, including street cleaning and paving.
- Maintenance, capital repair, replacement and operation of lighting, rest rooms, trash receptacles, park benches, planting containers, picnic tables, bollards, bicycle racks and corrals and other furniture and fixtures and signage in Public Spaces and Public ROWs.
- Maintenance, capital repair, replacement and operation of utilities in Public Spaces and Public ROWs.
- General liability insurance for any Public ROWs or structures in Public ROWs that Public Works does not submit to the Board of Supervisors for City acceptance for City General Fund liability purposes and other commercially reasonable insurance coverages.
- Port, City, or third party personnel, administrative, and overhead costs related to maintenance or to contracting for and managing third-party maintenance, including rent for storage space needed to support the maintenance activities.
- Any other costs authorized to be financed by STD No. 2020-1 under the DDA

The following is the status of construction on authorized projects as of September 15, 2023:

Description	Estimated Public Improvement Costs	Spent To Date September 15, 2023	Percent Complete September 15, 2023
Phase 1A			
Entitlement Phase	\$29,330,000	\$29,330,000	100%
Hard Costs	\$80,785,667	\$69,276,667	86%
Mission Rock Utilities Systems	\$43,525,000	\$36,153,446	83%
City Reimbursables	\$19,577,000	\$3,038,059	16%
Developer Reimbursables	\$23,787,637	\$18,346,178	77%
Soft Costs	\$53,662,798	\$44,292,197	83%
Totals Phase 1A	\$250,668,102	\$200,436,547	80%
Phase 1B through Phase 4			
Phase 1B China Basin Park Hard Costs	\$40,657,253	\$15,015,902	37%
Phase 2 – 4 Hard Costs	\$120,850,000	-	-
Hard Costs Outside of GMP	\$46,750,000	-	-
Soft Costs	\$37,400,000	\$2,780,067	7%
Totals Phase 1B through Phase 4	\$245,657,253	\$17,795,969	7%
Totals for Mission Rock Project	\$496,325,355	\$218,232,516	44%

The following table identifies STD proceeds disbursed through June 30, 2023:

	Project Fund Deposits	
	Amount Allocated to Project Fund	Project Fund Proceeds Spent June 30, 2023
Series 2021A (Tax-Exempt)	\$43,370,770	\$43,370,770
Series 2021B (Federally Taxable)	\$46,048,115	\$46,048,115
Series 2021C (Tax-Exempt)	\$9,611,434	\$9,611,434
Total ⁽¹⁾	\$99,030,319	\$99,030,319

(1) As of June 30, 2023, all Project Fund proceeds originally deposited from Series 2021 Bonds have been spent. Current balances in the Project Fund accounts for the Series 2021 Bonds reflect interest earnings. Does not include proceeds from the Series 2023 Bonds which were issued December 6, 2023.

APPENDIX A

Special Taxes Levied and Expended

**City and County of San Francisco
Community Facilities District No. 2014-1
(Transbay Transit Center)**

Special Taxes Levied and Expended
(As Required by CA Govt. Code Section 50075.3)

Fiscal Year 2022-23 Revenues	
FY 2022-23 Special Tax Levied	\$32,070,268
Delinquent Amount as of 08/15/2023	<u>(\$27,210)</u>
FY 2022-23 Special Tax Collected /1	\$32,070,268
Fiscal Year 2022-23 Budgeted Expenditures	
Debt Service	\$24,528,627
Administrative Expenses	\$217,430
Pay-Go	<u>\$7,324,211</u>
Total	\$32,070,268
Status of Project	
<p>Special tax revenues are used to: (i) pay debt service on the outstanding CFD bonds, (ii) pay for the costs of administering the CFD, and (iii) pay directly for a portion of the costs of acquiring and/or constructing the authorized facilities. Acquisition and construction of the authorized facilities is ongoing. Details of the status of projects required or authorized by the CFD are shown in Section III of the report.</p>	

/1 The total amount of the levy was received by the City, as the CFD is on San Francisco County's Teeter Plan, and therefore receives all of the special taxes levied regardless of any delinquencies.

**Improvement Area No. 1 of the
City and County of San Francisco
CFD No. 2016-1 (Treasure Island)**

Special Taxes Levied and Expended
(As Required by CA Govt. Code Section 50075.3)

Fiscal Year 2022-23 Revenues	
FY 2022-23 Special Tax Levied	\$1,287,620
Delinquent Amount as of 08/15/2023	\$0
FY 2022-23 Special Tax Collected	\$1,287,620

Fiscal Year 2022-23 Budgeted Expenditures	
Debt Service	\$2,455,300
Capitalized Interest	(\$1,566,327)
Pay-Go	\$274,603
Administrative Expenses	\$124,044
Total	\$1,287,620

Status of Project	
<p>Special tax revenues are used to: (i) pay debt service on the outstanding CFD Bonds, (ii) pay directly for a portion of the costs of acquiring and/or constructing the authorized facilities, and (iii) pay for the costs of administering the CFD.</p> <p>Acquisition and construction of the authorized facilities is ongoing. Details of the status of projects required or authorized by the CFD are shown in Section III of the report.</p>	

**Improvement Area No. 2 of the
City and County of San Francisco
CFD No. 2016-1 (Treasure Island)**

Special Taxes Levied and Expended
(As Required by CA Govt. Code Section 50075.3)

Fiscal Year 2022-23 Revenues	
FY 2022-23 Special Tax Levied	\$1,859,105
Delinquent Amount as of 08/15/2023	\$0
FY 2022-23 Special Tax Collected	\$1,859,105

Fiscal Year 2022-23 Budgeted Expenditures	
Debt Service	\$1,145,200
Pay-Go	\$575,604
Administrative Expenses	\$138,301
Total	\$1,859,105

Status of Project	
<p>Special tax revenues are used to: (i) pay debt service on the outstanding CFD Bonds, (ii) pay directly for a portion of the costs of acquiring and/or constructing the authorized facilities, and (iii) pay for the costs of administering the CFD. Acquisition and construction of the authorized facilities is ongoing. Details of the status of projects required or authorized by the CFD are shown in Section III of the report.</p>	

City and County of San Francisco
Special Tax District No. 2019-1
(Pier 70 Condominiums)

Special Taxes Levied and Expended
(As Required by CA Govt. Code Section 50075.3)

Fiscal Year 2022-23 Revenues	
FY 2022-23 Special Tax Levied	\$1,157,757
Delinquent Amount as of 08/15/2023	\$0
FY 2022-23 Special Tax Collected	\$1,157,757
Fiscal Year 2022-23 Budgeted Expenditures	
Debt Service	\$0
Administrative Expenses	\$86,695
Authorized Facilities	\$1,071,062
Total	\$1,157,757
Status of Project	
<p>Special tax revenues are used to: (i) pay directly for a portion of the costs of acquiring and/or constructing the authorized facilities, and (ii) pay for the costs of administering the CFD. Acquisition and construction of the authorized facilities is ongoing. Details of the status of projects required or authorized by the STD are shown in Section III of the report.</p>	

**City and County of San Francisco
Special Tax District No. 2019-2
(Pier 70 Leased Properties)**

Special Taxes Levied and Expended
(As Required by CA Govt. Code Section 50075.3)

Fiscal Year 2022-23 Revenues	
FY 2022-23 Special Tax Levied	\$551,073
Delinquent Amount as of 08/15/2023	\$0
FY 2022-23 Special Tax Collected	\$551,073
Fiscal Year 2022-23 Budgeted Expenditures	
Debt Service	\$0
Administrative Expenses /1	\$1,510
Authorized Facilities	\$549,563
Total	\$551,073
Status of Project	
<p>Special tax revenues are used to: (i) pay directly for a portion of the costs of acquiring and/or constructing the authorized facilities, and (ii) pay for the costs of administering the CFD. Acquisition and construction of the authorized facilities is ongoing. Details of the status of projects required or authorized by the STD are shown in Section III of the report.</p>	

/1 Does not reflect total administrative costs associated with the special tax levy due to limitations on what the Facilities Special Tax can fund.

**City and County of San Francisco
Special Tax District No. 2020-1
(Mission Rock Facilities and Services)**

Special Taxes Levied and Expended
(As Required by CA Govt. Code Section 50075.3)

Fiscal Year 2022-23 Revenues			
Special Tax	<u>Development</u>	<u>Office</u>	<u>Shoreline</u>
FY 2022-23 Special Tax Levied	\$4,861,845	\$577,276	\$547,210
Delinquent Amount as of 08/15/2023	\$0	\$0	\$0
FY 2022-23 Special Tax Collected	\$4,861,845	\$577,276	\$547,210
Fiscal Year 2022-23 Budgeted Expenditures			
Special Tax	<u>Development</u>	<u>Office</u>	<u>Shoreline</u>
Debt Service	\$4,841,775	\$0	\$0
Administrative Expenses	\$20,070	\$72,073	\$71,108
Authorized Facilities	\$0	\$505,203	\$476,102
Total	\$4,861,845	\$577,276	\$547,210
Status of Project			
<u>Development Special Tax</u>			
Special tax revenues are used to: (i) pay debt service on the outstanding CFD bonds, (ii) pay directly for a portion of the costs of acquiring and/or constructing the authorized facilities, and (iii) pay for the costs of administering the CFD. Acquisition and construction of the authorized facilities is ongoing. Details of the status of projects required or authorized by the STD are shown in Section III of the report.			
<u>Office Special Tax</u>			
Special tax revenues are used to: (i) pay directly for a portion of the costs of acquiring and/or constructing the authorized facilities, and (ii) pay for the costs of administering the CFD. Acquisition and construction of the authorized facilities is ongoing. Details of the status of projects required or authorized by the STD are shown in Section III of the report.			
<u>Shoreline Special Tax</u>			
Special tax revenues are used to: (i) pay directly for a portion of the costs of acquiring and/or constructing the authorized facilities, and (ii) pay for the costs of administering the CFD. Acquisition and construction of the authorized facilities is ongoing. Details of the status of projects required or authorized by the STD are shown in Section III of the report.			

APPENDIX B

Bonds Collected and Expended

**City and County of San Francisco
Community Facilities District No. 2014-1
(Transbay Transit Center)**

**Bonds Collected and Expended
(As Required by CA Govt. Code Section 53411)**

Original Sources and Uses of Bond Proceeds					
Bonds:	Series 2017	Series 2019	Series 2020B	Series 2021B	Series 2022
Date of Issuance:	11/9/2017	2/26/2019	5/14/2020	11/3/2021	12/15/2022
Sources:					
Principal Amount of the Bonds	\$207,500,000.00	\$190,965,000.00	\$81,820,000.00	\$33,880,000.00	\$78,570,000.00
Original Issue (Discount)/Premium	(\$4,100,457.65)	(\$510,660.75)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,828,759.90
Total	\$203,399,542.35	\$190,454,339.25	\$81,820,000.00	\$33,880,000.00	\$80,398,759.90
Uses:					
2017A Improvement Account	\$31,165,181.98	\$28,993,218.09	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2022A Improvement Account	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$28,227,220.39
Allocated Bond Proceeds Account	\$149,236,351.57	\$142,381,598.53	\$76,000,000.00	\$30,040,000.00	\$43,457,508.31
BART Improvement Account	\$0.00	\$1,000,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,747,753.74
2017 Reserve Fund	\$15,364,058.98	\$14,383,279.76	\$4,446,674.40	\$2,830,424.23	\$3,235,908.53
2022 Reserve Fund	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,592,547.68
Bond Fund /1	\$5,211,546.05	\$1,877,871.48	\$225,020.79	\$0.00	\$0.00
Costs of Issuance Fund	\$2,422,403.77	\$1,818,371.39	\$1,148,304.81	\$1,009,575.77	\$1,137,821.25
Total	\$203,399,542.35	\$190,454,339.25	\$81,820,000.00	\$33,880,000.00	\$80,398,759.90
Expenditures During Fiscal Year 2022-23 /2					
Fund	Balance 7/1/2022	Balance 6/30/2023	Difference		
<i>Series 2017 Bonds</i>					
2017A Improvement Account /3	\$47,916,786.97	\$40,568,323.35	(\$7,348,463.62)		
Allocated Bond Proceeds Account	\$1,257,809.80	\$1,314,643.27	\$56,833.47		
Reserve Fund /4	\$37,740,653.52	\$41,906,024.43	\$4,165,370.91		
Bond Fund /5	\$3,918.03	\$453,993.31	\$450,075.28		
Costs of Issuance Fund	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		
<i>Series 2019 Bonds</i>					
Allocated Bond Proceeds Account /6	\$36,596,888.76	\$57,669,770.61	\$21,072,881.85		
BART Improvement Account	\$731,586.60	\$756,470.38	\$24,883.78		
Costs of Issuance Fund	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		
<i>Series 2020B Bonds</i>					
Allocated Bond Proceeds Account	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		
Costs of Issuance Fund	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		
<i>Series 2021B Bonds</i>					
Costs of Issuance Fund	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		
<i>Series 2022 Bonds /7</i>					
2022A Improvement Account	\$28,227,220.39	\$27,210,721.85	(\$1,016,498.54)		
BART Improvement Account	\$1,747,753.74	\$1,784,837.61	\$37,083.87		
2022 Reserve Fund	\$2,592,547.68	\$2,623,756.33	\$31,208.65		
Costs of Issuance Fund	\$1,137,821.25	\$0.00	(\$1,137,821.25)		
Status of Project					
Bond proceeds are being used to fund the costs of authorized facilities. Acquisition and construction of the authorized facilities is ongoing. Details of the status of projects required or authorized by the CFD are shown in Section III of the report.					

/1 Represents capitalized interest.

/2 The balances shown above may include interest earnings.

/3 The 2017A Improvement Account is shared between the Series 2017 and 2019 Bonds.

/4 The Reserve Fund is shared between the Series 2017, 2019, 2020B, 2021B, and 2022B Bonds.

/5 The Bond Fund is shared between the Series 2017, 2019, 2020B, 2021B, and 2022 Bonds.

/6 The Allocated Bond Proceeds Account is shared between the Series 2019B, 2021B, and 2022B Bonds.

/7 Initial balances are as of December 15, 2022, the date of issuance of the Series 2022 Bonds.

**Improvement Area No. 1 of the
City and County of San Francisco
CFD No. 2016-1 (Treasure Island)**

Bonds Collected and Expended
(As Required by CA Govt. Code Section 53411)

Original Sources and Uses of Bond Proceeds			
Bonds:	Series 2020	Series 2021	
Date of Issuance:	10/29/2020	8/12/2021	
Sources:			
Principal Amount of the Bonds	\$17,135,000.00	\$41,340,000.00	
Original Issue Premium/(Discount)	\$952,968.65	\$5,532,597.80	
Total	\$18,087,968.65	\$46,872,597.80	
Uses:			
Improvement Fund	\$15,755,163.30	\$39,422,585.32	
Debt Service Reserve Fund	\$1,310,780.35	\$3,183,697.48	
Capitalized Interest Account	\$0.00	\$3,307,200.00	
Cost of Issuance Fund	\$1,022,025.00	\$959,115.00	
Total	\$18,087,968.65	\$46,872,597.80	
Expenditures During Fiscal Year 2022-23 /1			
Fund	Balance 7/1/2022	Balance 6/30/2023	Difference
<i>Series 2020 Bonds</i>			
Improvement Fund	\$797.05	\$124,327.87	\$123,530.82
Debt Service Reserve Fund /2	\$4,498,130.29	\$4,549,071.26	\$50,940.97
Cost of Issuance Fund	\$45,720.42	\$0.00	(\$45,720.42)
<i>Series 2021 Bonds</i>			
Improvement Fund	\$28,370.50	\$29,335.46	\$964.96
Capitalized Interest Account	\$2,395,498.34	\$786,514.70	(\$1,608,983.64)
Cost of Issuance Fund	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Status of Project			
Bond proceeds are being used to fund the costs of authorized facilities. Acquisition and construction of the authorized facilities is ongoing. Details of the status of projects required or authorized by the CFD are shown in Section III of the report.			

/1 The balances shown above may include interest earnings.

/2 The Reserve Fund is shared between the Series 2020 and 2021 Bonds.

**Improvement Area No. 2 of the
City and County of San Francisco
CFD No. 2016-1 (Treasure Island)**

Bonds Collected and Expended
(As Required by CA Govt. Code Section 53411)

Original Sources and Uses of Bond Proceeds			
Bonds:	Series 2022A		
Date of Issuance:	2/10/2022		
Sources:			
Principal Amount of the Bonds	\$25,130,000.00		
Original Issue Premium	\$1,440,056.00		
Total	\$26,570,056.00		
Uses:			
Improvement Fund	\$23,111,929.33		
Reserve Fund	\$1,895,200.00		
Capitalized Interest Account	\$561,236.67		
Cost of Issuance Fund	\$1,001,690.00		
Total	\$26,570,056.00		
Expenditures During Fiscal Year 2022-23 /1			
Fund	Balance 7/1/2022	Balance 6/30/2023	Difference
<i>Series 2022A Bonds</i>			
Improvement Fund	\$15.84	\$84,814.98	\$84,799.14
Reserve Fund	\$1,896,760.55	\$1,918,216.34	\$21,455.79
Capitalized Interest Account	\$561,698.82	\$12.02	(\$561,686.80)
Cost of Issuance Fund	\$40,336.05	\$0.00	(\$40,336.05)
Status of Project			
Bond proceeds are being used to fund the costs of authorized facilities. Acquisition and construction of the authorized facilities is ongoing. Details of the status of projects required or authorized by the CFD are shown in Section III of the report.			

/1 The balances shown above may include interest earnings.

City and County of San Francisco
Special Tax District No. 2020-1
(Mission Rock Facilities and Services)

Bonds Collected and Expended
(As Required by CA Govt. Code Section 53411)

Original Sources and Uses of Bond Proceeds			
Bonds:	Series 2021A	Series 2021B	Series 2021C
Date of Issuance:	5/27/2021	11/10/2021	11/10/2021
Sources:			
Principal Amount of the Bonds	\$43,300,000.00	\$54,280,000.00	\$10,000,000.00
Original Issue Premium/(Discount)	\$5,014,631.15	\$0.00	\$1,066,400.00
Total	\$48,314,631.15	\$54,280,000.00	\$11,066,400.00
Uses:			
Project Fund	\$43,370,769.75	\$46,048,115.13	\$9,611,433.67
Debt Service Reserve	\$3,274,496.40	\$4,661,672.55	\$885,472.18
Capitalized Interest Account	\$0.00	\$2,234,051.46	\$323,333.33
Cost of Issuance Fund	\$1,669,365.00	\$1,336,160.86	\$246,160.82
Total	\$48,314,631.15	\$54,280,000.00	\$11,066,400.00
Expenditures During Fiscal Year 2022-23 /1			
Fund	Balance 7/1/2022	Balance 6/30/2023	Difference
<i>Series 2021A Bonds</i>			
Project Fund	\$1,334.80	\$15,116.27	\$13,781.47
Debt Service Reserve /2	\$4,163,396.58	\$4,284,685.80	\$121,289.22
Cost of Issuance Fund	\$103,205.42	\$0.00	(\$103,205.42)
<i>Series 2021B Bonds</i>			
Project Fund	\$947.67	\$60,132.82	\$59,185.15
Debt Service Reserve	\$4,665,805.31	\$4,801,370.58	\$135,565.27
Capitalized Interest	\$1,383,173.99	\$12.36	(\$1,383,161.63)
Cost of Issuance	\$110,765.54	\$0.00	(\$110,765.54)
<i>Series 2021C Bonds</i>			
Project Fund	\$197.81	\$27,162.38	\$26,964.57
Capitalized Interest	\$200,186.18	\$1.80	(\$200,184.38)
Cost of Issuance	\$20,406.33	\$0.00	(\$20,406.33)
Status of Project			
<p>Bond proceeds are being used to fund the costs of authorized facilities. Acquisition and construction of the authorized facilities is ongoing. Details of the status of projects required or authorized by the STD are shown in Section III of the report.</p>			

/1 The balances shown above may include interest earnings.

/2 The Reserve Fund is shared between the Series 2021A and 2021C Bonds.

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: FW: General Obligation Bond Capacity Update
Date: Thursday, May 2, 2024 2:46:00 PM
Attachments: [Capital Planning GO Bond Capacity Memo 050224_final.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see below and attached for an update to the City's General Obligation Bond Projections, submitted by the Office of the Controller.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Van Degna, Anna (CON) <anna.vandegna@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 2, 2024 2:05 PM
To: Van Degna, Anna (CON) <anna.vandegna@sfgov.org>
Cc: Wagner, Greg (CON) <greg.wagner@sfgov.org>
Subject: General Obligation Bond Capacity Update

The Controller's Office of Public Finance has updated the City's estimated general obligation bond capacity. As described in the attached memorandum, the estimated capacity has increased by \$30 million, from \$2.17 billion to \$2.20 billion.

Please feel free to contact us with any questions on the attached.

Regards,
Anna

Anna Van Degna (*she/her/hers*)
Director, Controller's Office of Public Finance
City & County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 338
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5956

Email: anna.vandegna@sfgov.org



OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Greg Wagner
Controller

Todd Rydstrom
Deputy Controller

Anna Van Degna
Director of Public Finance

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor London Breed
Members of the Board of Supervisors

FROM: Greg Wagner, Controller
Anna Van Degna, Director of the Controller's Office of Public Finance

DATE: May 2, 2024

SUBJECT: **Update to the City's General Obligation Bond Projections**

The City's FY2024-33 Capital Plan, which was adopted by the Board through Resolution 238-23 on May 9, 2023, and signed by the Mayor on May 19, 2023, included a schedule of future general obligation (GO) bond authorizations totaling \$2.17 billion. The \$2.17 billion capacity was estimated by the Controller's Office of Public Finance based on the City's current policy constraint to maintain general obligation bond tax rates at 2006 levels and assessed valuation (AV) growth projections prepared by the Controller's Property Tax Unit for the City's Five-Year Financial Plan dated January 13, 2023. The projections forecasted AV growth rates of (0.24)% in FY2024, 1.08% in FY2025, 0.99% in FY2026, 1.34% in FY2027, and 1.79% in FY2028, with a flat assumption of 3% thereafter.

Following the adoption of the FY2024-33 Capital Plan, the Office of Public Finance has updated its GO bond model, which includes debt service and estimated tax rates for (i) outstanding GO debt, (ii) anticipated issuances from existing voter approved authorizations and (iii) proposed authorizations from the latest Capital Plan. The recent update to the GO model incorporates the following three key changes:

- Updated AV growth projections from the Controller's Property Tax Unit per the City's March 2024 Five-Year Financial Plan Update. The updated projections forecast AV growth rates of 2.83% in FY2025, 1.08% in FY2026, 1.86% in FY2027, and 1.63% in FY2028. These projections are revised periodically to reflect fluctuations in the San Francisco real estate market, with which there remains a large degree of uncertainty at present.
- Final issuance of the \$238.6M of Series 2023ABC GO Bonds, issued for the 2020 Health and Recovery, 2018 Embarcadero Seawall, and 2019 Affordable Housing bond programs. Closing the Series 2023ABC GO bonds in April 2023 allows the Office of Public Finance to replace projected

2 | Updated GO Bond Capacity for FY2024-33 Capital Plan

debt service figures with actual totals, as well as to refine the assumptions for future bond issuances for these bond programs.

- Reconfiguring of the planned GO bond elections in 2024, resulting in a \$300M Affordable Housing Bond which was passed on the March 2024 ballot, and a planned \$360M Public Health & Shelters bond for the November 2024 ballot. The Capital Plan had previously assumed a \$340M Affordable Housing & Shelters bond in March and a \$320M Public Health & Shelters bond in November.

These changes to the underlying assumptions informing the GO bond model have resulted in an additional \$30 million of available capacity within the FY2006 tax rate constraint for the FY2024-2033 period, increasing the total bonding capacity available to the Capital Plan from \$2.17 billion to \$2.2 billion, as shown in the table below.

This GO bond capacity update has been developed upon request for informational purposes. The additional projected capacity does not need to be allocated immediately. If it is not allocated to the November 2024 bond measure, it will be available for policy makers to assign during the FY2024-2025 update to the Capital Plan.

Adopted 2024-2033 Capital Plan, GO Bond Program (as amended)¹		
Election Date	Bond Program	Amount (\$M)
Mar-24	Affordable Housing (approved)	300
Nov-24	Public Health & Shelters	360
Nov-26	Transportation	300
Mar-28	Waterfront and Climate Safety	250
Nov-28	Earthquake Safety & Emergency Response	310
Jun-30	Parks and Open Space	200
Nov-30	Public Health	250
Nov-32	Waterfront and Climate Safety	200
Adopted Capital Plan Total		2,170
Additional Capacity²		30
Total		2,200

1) Reflects reallocation of capacity between March 2024 and November 2024 issuances, post Capital Plan adoption in May 2023.

2) Reflects revised AV assumptions from March 2024 Five-Year Financial Plan Update, and issuance of Series 2023ABC GO Bonds.

This capacity projection is subject to revision, based on changes in assessed valuation and the final pricing and structure of the City's GO bond issuances.

3 | Updated GO Bond Capacity for FY2024-33 Capital Plan

CC: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
Anna Duning, Mayor's Budget Director

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Homelessness Oversight Commission (HOC) Resolution
Date: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 1:22:20 PM
Attachments: [Commission Resolution 24 008.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)

Hello,

Please see attached from the Homelessness Oversight Commission (HOC) submitting Resolution No. 24-008 Recommending that the Mayor Maintain the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing Budget to Sustain the Positive Progress.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Badasow, Bridget (HOM) <bridget.badasow@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 11:37 AM
To: Young, Victor (BOS) <victor.young@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>
Cc: Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; De Asis, Edward (BOS) <edward.deasis@sfgov.org>
Subject: Homelessness Oversight Commission (HOC) Resolution

Hello Clerk of the Board team,

Hope you are well. During our May 2024 HOC meeting, the Chair and Vice Chair directed me to submit the attached resolution to each of the Board of Supervisors (BOS) and the BOS's Budget Analyst Office. This resolution was also submitted to the Mayor's Office in February 2024.

Kindly submit the resolution to each member of the BOS and to the BOS Budget Analyst Office staff.

If this is not the proper protocol for resolution submission feel free to share the process.

Thank you.

Respectfully,



Bridget Badasow (she/her)

*Homelessness Oversight Commission (HOC) and
Shelter Monitoring Committee (SMC) Manager*

San Francisco Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing

bridget.badasow@sfgov.org | O: 628-652-7809



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email is intended for the recipient only. If you receive this email in error, notify the sender and destroy the email immediately.

Disclosure of the Personal Health Information (PHI) contained herein may subject the discloser to civil or criminal penalties under state and federal privacy laws.



City & County of San Francisco
Homelessness Oversight Commission
Resolution No. 24-008
February 20, 2024



Resolution Recommending that the Mayor Maintain the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing Budget to Sustain the Positive Progress

Whereas, in July of 2023 Mayor London Breed issued San Francisco's first Strategic Plan, through the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HSH) launched a five-year strategic plan for 2023-2028 to significantly reduce the number of people who are unsheltered by 50% and reduce the total number of people experiencing homelessness by 15 percent.

Whereas, in its first year, progress and momentum has been achieved reflecting the Strategic Plans core values of equity, quality and innovation; and

Whereas, in the fourth quarter of 2023 Coordinated Entry data found that, likely for the first time 40% of Coordinated Entry Clients were African American and 40% of the housing placements were African American addressing the overrepresentation of Black and Latino people among those experiencing homelessness; and

Whereas, over 2,248 households have been served by the San Francisco Emergency Rental Assistance Program (ERAP) average assistance being \$6,382 and total distributed \$14.3m from March of 2023 to January of 2024 preventing evictions for a population where 83% are below 30% of Area Median Income (AMI), 66% had experienced homelessness, 72% were at risk of displacement and 77% identified as people of color; and

Whereas, the vacancy rate for San Francisco's 9,046 units of Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) has reduced from 11.6% in January of 2023 to 7.1% in January of 2024; and

Whereas, the occupancy rate for shelters for single adults is 91% and, following implementing same day referrals family shelter occupancy increased to 96% reflecting the Strategic Plan's mandate to generate and use consistent and reliable data to assess and improve performance, identify gaps in capacity and address disparities; and

Whereas, all of this progress and improvement requires that San Francisco maintain focus and commit necessary funding to achieve Strategic Goals by June 2028 including reducing the number of people who are unsheltered by 50%, increasing the number of people exiting homelessness by at least 30,000; and

Whereas, supportive housing is an essential component of the Home by the Bay strategic plan, further investments must be made to ensure the sustainability and capacity of the model.

Whereas HSH has made progress in investing in the capital needs of the legacy portfolio of supportive housing, continued and increased investments are necessary to promote equitable conditions across the portfolio and sustain the City's investment.

Whereas HSH has been successful in decreasing the vacancy rate to 7.1% through focused interventions such as the Streets to Home program, these advances will not continue without continued investment in the interventions to support residents with higher levels of acuity.

Whereas a robust workforce is critical to ensure the functioning of the homelessness response system, and the prevalence of BIPOC individuals with lived experience in that workforce, increased investment in wage equity is required.

Whereas, funds for unhoused young people and families cannot be cut or reduced to balance the city budget and that significant additional investments are needed to ensure unhoused youth and families with dependent children needs are met; and

Whereas, ongoing funding for unhoused young people and families must come from general funds, not from one-time funds; and

Whereas, general funds should be reallocated from other departments in order to fully fund the HSH budget so that progress on Home By the Bay objectives can be sustained, including all youth, family, and adult interventions including shelter, transitional, supportive, and subsidy-based housing, support services.

Be it resolved that the Homelessness Oversight Commission recommends that Mayor London Breed maintains the HSH budget.

Be it further resolved, by maintaining the current budget we will be able to sustain the positive progress achieved so far and continue advancing towards the goals of the Home by the Bay Strategic Plan.

I hereby certify that the San Francisco Homeless Oversight Commission at its February 16, 2024, meeting adopted the foregoing resolution.

Bridget Badasow

Bridget Badasow

Homelessness Oversight Commission Manager

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: JFK Drive
Date: Thursday, May 9, 2024 8:13:50 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding John F. Kennedy Drive.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: marguerite di gangi <marguerite.digangi.497276087@yourconstituent.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 9, 2024 1:14 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: JFK Drive

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

The current closure of JFK Drive severely impacts people with disabilities, seniors, and

communities not directly neighboring Golden Gate Park.

As we emerge from COVID, it's time to reopen JFK Drive. Golden Gate Park belongs to the people of San Francisco, not just a few.

I strongly encourage you to support JFK Drive returning to the conditions pre-COVID, with all roadways open to vehicle traffic and street closures on Sundays, holidays and Saturdays, 6 months of the year.

Regards,
marguerite di gangi

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Budgeting for wildfire mitigation
Date: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 9:10:12 AM

Hello,

Please see below message regarding wildfire mitigation in San Francisco.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Denise Louie <denise_louie_sf@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 9:00 AM
To: MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Sweiss, Joseph (MYR) <Joseph.Sweiss@sfgov.org>
Subject: Budgeting for wildfire mitigation

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi City Supervisors,

Last Friday, May 3rd, I led a tour of two of the City's three eucalyptus plantations. Mayor Breed's Climate Advisor Joseph Sweiss was in attendance. If we are to avoid following in the footsteps of Paradise and Lahaina, we must fund action to mitigate wildfire risk.

I believe our risk is imminent, high and increasing. Because the tall, dense, unirrigated stands of dangerous trees have been stressed by multiple-year droughts. The lack of high pressure firefighting pipelines in half of the City, the value of property, the density of population, and the fact that only 1/3 of our firefighters live in the City must be part of the evaluation of risk. At least one Insurer has already stopped renewing and writing new homeowner policies in the City; they recognize high fire risk in San Francisco.

I appreciate the fact that the Land Use and Public Safety Committees held wildfire prevention and preparedness hearings in 2019 and 2021, respectively. Sadly, the City has no plans for specifically mitigating wildfire risk within City limits. We are woefully unprepared for a catastrophic event like the fire of 1906. We cannot do prescribed burns. So the smart thing to do is to replace the most dangerous trees. The PUC and UCSF provide good examples of what the rest of the City can do. The PUC has removed trees even without what one might think of as a plan.

Long story short, I urge you to address wildfire mitigation during budget negotiations. According to RPD's budget analyst, their annual provision for tree work is a paltry \$500,000--which must cover storm related tree work. And RPD is responsible for most of our City-owned trees in open spaces. They typically leave standing the most dangerous trees known to be in poor condition.

Thanks,
Denise Louie
SF Native

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Opposition to Rezoning to Balboa Terrace
Date: Thursday, May 9, 2024 12:56:50 PM
Attachments: [Muscat Rezoning Letter 5 9 24.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached regarding the Expanding Housing Choice Program Rezoning impacts to Balboa Terrace.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Bernadette Muscat <btmuscat117@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 9, 2024 9:03 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: Opposition to Rezoning to Balboa Terrace

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom It May Concern,

Attached please find my letter in opposition to the proposed rezoning of Balboa Terrace.
Thank you.

Bernadette T. Muscat
587 Darien Way
SF, CA 94127

Bernadette T. Muscat
587 Darien Way
San Francisco, CA 94127
BTMuscat117@gmail.com

May 9, 2024

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Myrna Melgar
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodle Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Re: Expanding Housing Choice Program Rezoning Impacts to Balboa Terrace

Dear Supervisor Melgar and Members of the Board of Supervisors:

I own the above mentioned property located in the Balboa Terrace neighborhood and my family has lived there for 25 years. I write to express my deep concerns regarding the Board of Supervisors' plans to rezone properties in and around Balboa Terrace as a part of the City/County's Expanding Housing Choice Program.

From my understanding, properties located in the Balboa Terrace neighborhood, as well as properties adjacent to the neighborhood, are slated to be upzoned to accommodate buildings up to 65 feet (6 stories) and 85 feet (8 stories) in height. I see these properties abut Ocean Avenue and Junipero Serra Boulevard within the Balboa Terrace neighborhood.

I understand the proposed rezoning is an attempt to help the City meet its state housing requirements, but the effort to rezone properties in the Balboa Terrace neighborhood will contribute to the destruction of a neighborhood with deep historical and cultural roots as one of the earliest subdivisions on Mount Davidson that dates back to 1920 when many of the homes in this "residence park" were constructed. While not formally designated as an "historic resource" on the State or federal registry of historic resources, Balboa Terrace is certainly eligible for listing and, therefore, worthy of preservation and protection. Therefore, the idea that historic resources could be demolished to make way for 6 and 8 story residential towers is contrary to the City's (and State's) efforts to protect and preserve its historic and cultural heritage.

Second, each of the properties within the Balboa Terrace Homes Association has recorded against it conditions, covenants, and restrictions (CCRs) that precludes the use of the property for anything except a single-family residence. It is unclear why the City would include our neighborhood and properties in this rezoning effort when even the notion the property could be

redeveloped as something other than a single family residence is prohibited by real estate and contract law.

Third, the incompatibility of 6 and 8 story towers immediately adjacent to one- and two-story single family residences cannot be more strongly emphasized.

Prior to the Board of Supervisors adopting the rezoning, I urge planning staff to take a closer look at the proposed rezoning map and to shift increased heights and density away from Balboa Terrace and into areas that can better accommodate such increased density such as those that already have structures at the proposed heights. The City must also recognize, in its planning efforts, that Balboa Terrace is a community which is eligible for listing as an historic resource and is also subject to CCRs that precludes the construction of the towers the City seeks to allow in this location. I strongly urge the Board of Supervisors to reject the rezoning effort as currently planned.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Bernadette T. Muscat". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the printed name.

Bernadette T. Muscat

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Expanding Housing Choice Program - Balboa Terrace
Date: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 11:29:33 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding the Balboa Terrace neighborhood.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Steve Snyder <stevesny@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 10:37 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: Expanding Housing Choice Program - Balboa Terrace

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Melgar and Members of the Board of Supervisors:

My husband and I are residents of the Balboa Terrace neighborhood and have lived there for 24 years. We write to you today to express our concerns regarding the Board of Supervisors' plans to rezone properties in and around Balboa Terrace as a part of the City/County's Expanding Housing Choice Program. As we understand it, properties located in the Balboa Terrace neighborhood, as well as properties adjacent to the neighborhood, are slated to be upzoned to accommodate buildings up to 65 feet (6 stories) and 85 feet (8 stories) in height. We see these properties abut Ocean Avenue and Junipero Serra Boulevard within the Balboa Terrace neighborhood. We understand the proposed rezoning is an attempt to help the City meet its state housing requirements, but we believe the effort to rezone properties in the Balboa Terrace neighborhood is misguided and uninformed.

First, Balboa Terrace is one of the earliest subdivisions on Mount Davidson and dates back to 1920 when many of the homes in this "residence park" were constructed. While not formally designated as a "historic resource" on the State or federal registry of historic resources, Balboa Terrace is certainly eligible for listing and, therefore, worthy of preservation and protection.


Therefore, the idea that historic resources could be demolished to make way for 6 and 8-story residential towers is contrary to the City's (and State's) efforts to protect and preserve its historic and cultural heritage.

Second, each of the properties within the Balboa Terrace Homes Association has recorded against it conditions, covenants, and restrictions (CCRs) that would preclude the use of the property for anything except a single-family residence. Therefore, we question why the City would include our neighborhood and properties in this rezoning effort when even the notion the property could be redeveloped as something other than a single-family residence is prohibited by real estate and contract law.

Third, the incompatibility of 6 and 8-story towers immediately adjacent to one- and two-story single-family residences cannot be more strongly emphasized. Prior to the Board of Supervisors adopting the rezoning, we would urge planning staff to take a closer look at the proposed rezoning map and to shift increased heights and density away from Balboa Terrace and into areas that can better accommodate such increased density. The City must also recognize, in its planning efforts, that Balboa Terrace is a community that is eligible for listing as a historical resource and is also subject to CCRs that would preclude the construction of the towers the City seeks to allow in this location.

To this last point, it appears the City is trying to “pull a fast one” on the State by pretending these properties are actually capable of being developed as high-density housing. We urge the Board of Supervisors to reject the rezoning effort as currently planned.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Steve Snyder". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

--

Steve Snyder
445 Darien Way,
San Francisco, CA 94127
stevesny@gmail.com

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS Legislation \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: File No. 240292
Date: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 11:54:53 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding **File No. 240292:**

-

Hearing to consider objections to a report of assessment costs submitted by the Director of Public Works for sidewalk and curb repairs through the Sidewalk Inspection and Repair Program.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: John Jensen <jjensenautobody@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 5, 2024 6:51 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: File No. 240292

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Board of Supervisors, please review my concerns regarding The outstanding invoice # 1184895 sidewalk repair for 1101 Brussels St. for \$2800.00 , simply I was not owner when the work Was performed, I am the second owner after the fact.i have performed a title search for a second time and it shows nothing.

The real estate group did not return any communication for my Concerns, not sure if they knew since it was before they purchased The property from the rightful owner of the bill, I believe we need Maintain our city accordingly, last year I paid over\$ 10,000.00 dollars of property tax for relatively small home another \$2,800.00 Dollars seems unreasonable and would cause difficulties for me.

Thank you for your time. John E Jensen

Sent from my iPad

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: FW: New Report: 2024 City Clean Energy Scorecard; San Francisco's performance
Date: Thursday, May 2, 2024 2:36:00 PM
Attachments: [San Francisco_2024_City_Clean_Energy_Scorecard.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see below and attached for communication from the American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy, submitting a 2024 City Clean Energy Efficiency Report.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Dave Ribeiro <DRibeiro@aceee.org>
Sent: Tuesday, April 30, 2024 2:02 PM
To: Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: New Report: 2024 City Clean Energy Scorecard; San Francisco's performance

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors Myrna Melgar, Aaron Peskin, and Dean Preston –

I hope this finds you well. I am writing to tell you about a new report from my team at the American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy, which I believe will have valuable insights for your city's clean energy initiatives.

Titled the *2024 City Clean Energy Efficiency*, this report assesses local efforts to advance energy efficiency and move toward a cleaner electric grid and fuels, and do so in a manner that advances equitable outcomes. San Francisco earned top marks in the report; congratulations on the city's performance!

I have attached a score sheet summarizing your city's performance for your reference. The complete

report and data visualizations on key metrics are available on ACEEE's website at the following link: <https://www.aceee.org/city-clean-energy-scorecard>. In addition, an updated Local Policy Database compiling cities' policy and program data is available here: <https://database.aceee.org/>.

Here are general highlights from the report:

- Many large U.S. cities are prioritizing racial and social equity in their climate and clean energy priorities, but there's a significant gap in translating ambitions for equitable outcomes into specific policies and programs.
- Between July 2, 2021 and September 30, 2023, the cities we assessed took at least 158 new actions to advance clean energy, with a predominant focus on the transportation sector.
- The report identifies actionable steps for cities to enhance equity, including creating more equitable electrification programs, adopting rental energy disclosure policies, undertaking low-income energy incentive and financing program best practices, and providing building performance standard compliance support for affordable housing providers and underserved commercial properties.
- While 31 cities have set goals to reduce vehicle miles traveled or transportation-related greenhouse gas emissions, only one city is currently on track to achieve its target. This highlights the urgent need for cities to strengthen commitments and actions to curb transportation energy use and emissions.

I hope you find the City Scorecard and its suite of resources valuable.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to reach out.

All the best,
Dave

Dave Ribeiro (he/him)
Director of Local Policy
dribeiro@aceee.org | 202-672-1430



[aceee.org](https://www.aceee.org) | Check out ACEEE's [upcoming conferences](#) and Local Policy Program [resources!](#)

OVERALL RANK:

1/75

1 out of 57 cities in large metro areas

OVERALL SCORE

63%

158.5/250 of total points

2024 CITY CLEAN ENERGY SCORECARD




San Francisco, CA

San Francisco was the strongest performer among its peers, and it maintained its rank as #1 from the previous scorecard. San Francisco performed best in the community energy infrastructure category and has the most room to improve in the buildings category.

Recommendations:

- Adopt retrocommissioning policies.
- Take an equity-drive approach to shared, distributed energy systems.
- Set and track progress toward VMT reduction goals.
- Establish building energy performance standards to reduce energy use and GHG emissions.
- Implement affordability requirements in buildings incentives programs.
- Work with utilities to formalize partnerships with community-based organizations.



The following sections highlight San Francisco's performance on a few key metrics that ACEEE Scorecard authors identified as high impact. To learn more about San Francisco's Scorecard results, visit <https://www.aceee.org/city-clean-energy-scorecard>.

COMMUNITY-WIDE INITIATIVES



27/45 Points



San Francisco is on track to meet its near-term goal to reduce GHG emissions 40% below 1990 levels by 2025. The city is a participant in the High Road Training Partnership, which aims to support high-quality jobs and provide stable career pathways for disadvantaged workers in the building decarbonization industry.

BUILDING POLICIES



36/70 Points



San Francisco amended statewide codes to create the more stringent San Francisco Green Building Code, which has EV-readiness and electrification policies beyond the state code. The city's Energy Watch and BayREN programs offer incentives for energy efficiency projects.

TRANSPORTATION POLICIES



47.5/70 Points



California's SB 9 allows lots in single-family zones to be split into two lots and up to two units to be built on each lot, which applies to San Francisco. California's code requires multifamily properties with 20+ units to install EV chargers in 5% of the total parking spaces. Low-income residents can receive a Clipper START card and purchase transit fare at a discounted rate.

COMMUNITY ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE



31.5/40 Points



Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E) offers several programs for low-income customers and multifamily properties. San Francisco partners with PG&E through EnergyAccessSF, which connects residents and businesses to energy programs and services, and through CleanPowerSF, San Francisco's Community Choice Aggregation program.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS



16.5/25 Points



The SFPU budgeted \$1 million annually for energy efficiency of municipal facilities and a further \$1 million for renewable energy. All of San Francisco's streetlights are LEDs, efficient vehicles make up 19% of its fleet.



EQUITABLE PRACTICES: 50/85.5 POINTS

Each chapter of the City Scorecard included metrics assessing equitable practices cities are taking to advance clean energy, totaling 85.5 points available across the Scorecard.



From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Prioritize moving violations over parking
Date: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 10:21:19 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding moving violations.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Doug DesCombaz <doug@descombaz.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 9:57 AM
To: Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>
Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Prioritize moving violations over parking

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Connie and board members,

Can we please prioritize ticking people for moving violations? I'm not saying don't enforce parking, but that for the most part is just an annoyance that has easy work around.

When walking or biking (or driving on less occasions), I could easily spot dozens of people looking down at their phones, getting dangerously close to people/bikes, speeding down streets, rolling through intersections at speeds greater than 8 miles an hour, and of course, more egregious things. Safe driving is an obvious thing that people should be doing more of. San Francisco should have a reputation for enforcing driving.

I've been here for more than 20 years, and the one thing I have long noticed is, the only laws that seem to be enforced are parking. Go ahead and keep making the city miserable for people that need to use cars (that's up to you), but please prioritize moving violations.

Doug DesCombaz

District 1

doug@descombaz.com

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: problem of TENTS
Date: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 8:29:33 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding homelessness.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

-----Original Message-----

From: lik.sylwester@gmail.com <lik.sylwester@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 3:57 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: problem of TENTS

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning,

knowing your problem with homeless people in tents,

I would like to consider supporting alcohol-free zones and anti-tent zones for homeless people (like in LA / SF) through loudspeakers with sensors that prevent people from gathering and falling asleep to loud classical music in order to take care of the image and peace of cities (like Cambera city in Australia)

Pozdrawiam / Regards

Sly

sent from iPhone

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Public Hearing on May 7, 2024 - file No. 240295: Request for Waiver of Blight Inspection Fees for Derby Alley West
Date: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 11:49:20 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding **File No. 240295:**

Hearing to consider objections to a report of assessment costs submitted by the Director of Public Works for inspection and/or repair of blighted properties ordered through the Accelerated Sidewalk Abatement Program.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Osman Uner <osman@jazzbistrosf.com>
Sent: Monday, May 6, 2024 9:50 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public Hearing on May 7, 2024 - file No. 240295: Request for Waiver of Blight Inspection Fees for Derby Alley West

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Dear Board of Supervisors,

We are writing to address the recent revocation of approval by the SFFD for the fence/gate installation at Derby Alley West (off Taylor Street) and the imposed Blight Inspection Fees.

Derby Alley West runs behind our two businesses: David's Deli (founded in 1952) and Touchstone Hotel on Geary Street.

The temporary fence/gate is meant to protect our contractors, suppliers, guests, and employees at risk in an area heavily plagued by daily assaults, vandalism, and burglary,

Kindly note: Over the years, we did our best to apply the regulations set forth by the SFFD, SFPD, DBI, and DPW, investing over \$50,000 in consultations, design, and materials, but the responsiveness of City agencies has significantly slowed, notably impacted by the pandemic.

We kept pursuing a final permit, but 4 weeks ago SFFD abruptly ceased cooperation. Based on the unexpected revocation of our permit by SFFD despite their prior approvals—both verbal and written—the Department of Public Works has mandated the removal of our structure by the end of this week.

It is particularly disheartening to see similar protective gates in neighboring locations, such as Derby Alley East (off Mason Street), being permitted without issue.

In light of our significant investment and the critical safety needs of our location, we respectfully request the waiving of the blight inspection fee and urge a reconsideration of the permit revocation.

We remain hopeful for a fair resolution that supports the safety and operational continuity of our establishments.

Best regards,
Martina & Osman Uner

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Request for Assistance from the San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Date: Monday, May 6, 2024 3:30:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below communication from Benjamin Andrew Stein regarding various subjects.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: benjamin.stein.protonmail <benjamin.stein.protonmail@protonmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 6, 2024 3:25 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Subject: Request for Assistance from the San Francisco Board of Supervisors

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Board of Supervisors for the City of San Francisco,

My name is Benjamin, I had spoken to the Clerk of the Board over a phone call just a few minutes ago. During the second half of 2020 I had been subject to injury and abuse including torture and the prolonged attempt at murder which had used a forcibly introduced micro materials brain interface system as a medium for physical and psychological abuse. Once the group of men and women who had been doing this to me began to start doing this to me they never fully stopped. I then spent multiple years enduring continual instances of injury and abuse, again including a severity of experience which must count for torture and which did include the intent for murder which I could not fight back against directly. I did not have access to the proper terminology or reference of materials to describe this to law enforcement or medical personnel as this had first begun to be done to me as a person. The terms involved are much more accessible now in 2024 than they have been during the second half of 2020 and into 2021 and 2022. The difficulty that I had had in describing this to law enforcement, medical personnel, private attorneys and public resources had made it very difficult to seek help with this effectively and this itself had in turn prolonged my experience of suffering and abuse, threat to life itself, and had allowed for an increased amount of damage to my body and life in general. The crime of computer hacking did not exist as a criminal concern for the general public until the advent of the personal computer and its subsequent connection to the internet at the advent of the internet itself. Once this began to be a point of concern for the criminal system this then had to be addressed through law. This same sequence of thought now translates to concerns relating to technological and materials science interface with the human nervous system and human body. My experience with the previously mentioned criminal concern shows this as something urgently necessary to address as a very real modern problem. In this case the ability to reference law and knowledge directly related to damage and disruption to the human body via wireless interface would have either prevented abuse outright or otherwise allowed for an early intervention on abuse. With this instance of criminal violence showing this concern as a living problem present within the world this must now be itself addressed through law. I am requesting to have assistance from the Board of Supervisors for the City of San Francisco in addressing this as a topic of concern. This is a legislative need which must be addressed. I am additionally requesting to have assistance from the San Francisco Police Department for the purpose of arrests. I had included them into a large email thread submitted to multiple departments of law enforcement. This has been submitted with alongside the need to seek help with this as an extended event of violence which has yet to be resolved through normal means.

Sincerely,

-Benjamin Andrew Stein

benjamin.stein.protonmail@protonmail.com

(510) 890-6539

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: SFMTA Budget
Date: Monday, May 6, 2024 11:23:50 AM
Attachments: [savemuni.sfmta.budget.4.25.24.odt](#)

Hello,

Please see attached regarding public transit fares.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Robert Feinbaum <bobf@att.net>
Sent: Saturday, May 4, 2024 3:21 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; MTABoard <mtaboard@sfmta.com>; Tumlin, Jeffrey (MTA) <Jeffrey.Tumlin@sfmta.com>
Subject: SFMTA Budget

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Directors, SFMTA
San Francisco Board of Supervisors

SaveMUNI believes that fare hikes for Clipper card users and additional fees for taxis are not the best ways to raise revenue to maintain Muni service. Instead we urge consideration of other ways to fill the shortfall in the SFMTA budget including collecting fares from non-paying riders, increasing transit ridership, taxing ride hail and autonomous vehicles and realizing that new traffic regulations will bring additional revenue to the Agency.

The SaveMUNI statement is attached. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Bob Feinbaum
President, SaveMUNI

SaveMUNI

April 30, 2024

SFMTA Board of Directors,

SaveMUNI heard a presentation from Andrea Buffa concerning the Agency's projected budget deficit of \$12.7 million, as well as ways SFMTA intends to make up the shortfall. We disagree with two of SFMTA's proposals for raising revenue:

No Clipper card fare increase

Payment with Clipper reduces the time buses take to load, thereby speeding trips and allowing operators to keep to schedules. Raising Clipper fares is counterproductive to encouraging Clipper usage.

No increased taxi charges

Face facts. Increased charges on taxis will be passed along to customers, making taxis even less able to compete with ride hail and autonomous vehicles.

SaveMUNI suggests that other sources of revenue are available.

Collect fares from non-paying riders

Based on our own independent research SaveMUNI strongly disputes SFMTA's claim that only 11 % of existing riders are currently evading fares. We suspect that the percentage is between 25 and 30 %. Collecting fares from even half of those who are not paying would more than make up the budget shortfall. We call on the SFMTA's Director to undertake a public information campaign to urge riders to pay their fair share. We also suggest that providing fare machines for tagging Clipper cards on BOTH sides of bus doors would allow riders to pay fares even when buses are crowded.

Increase transit ridership

Encourage transit ridership by spreading the use of Clipper cards. SFMTA should promote Clipper by offering incentives to new riders such as introductory discounts or bonus dollars. Raise revenue by increasing ridership back to pre-pandemic levels

Tax ride hail and autonomous vehicles

An initiative for the November ballot is now circulating to do just that and estimates are that it would raise \$30 million for transit operations. Even if the citizen effort is not successful, SFMTA should look for ways to tax these companies, which have an unfair advantage over regulated taxi companies, and strive to take riders away from public transit.

Realize that new traffic regulations will provide significant revenue

Starting on January 1, 2025 SFMTA will start enforcing new state regulations requiring daylighting intersections and allowing cities to install speed cameras. Both measures, while likely to increase safety, will also produce significant revenue to fill the Agency's coffers.

SaveMUNI therefore urges the SFMTA to abandon Clipper fare increases and increased taxi fees and instead turn to other sources of revenue, such as those suggested above.

Sincerely

Bob Feinbaum – President, SaveMUNI

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS Legislation. \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: LHH Call to Action!! File # 230035
Date: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 11:23:20 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding **File No. 230035**:

Hearing of the Board of Supervisors sitting as a Committee of the Whole on Tuesday, September 26, 2023, at 3:00 p.m., to hold a public hearing on Laguna Honda Hospital's Strategy for Recertification and the Submission of a Closure and Patient Transfer and Relocation Plan.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Donna DEufemia <donna.deufemia@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 4:22 PM

To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Engardio, Joel (BOS) <joel.engardio@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>;

Ronen, Hillary (BOS) <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: LHH Call to Action!! File # 230035

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO: Mayor Breed and Supervisors-

Since the last hearing on Sept 26 2023, Laguna Honda is still shut down to new admissions. Can this be fixed with the consultants and managers who are now in place? Hard questions need to be asked about the reasons for this. Does the governance of Laguna Honda needs to be taken out of current hands and restructured?

New citations for problems with care have repeatedly come to light and appear to be delaying recertifications for Medicare indefinitely.

We fear that after all will LHH be closed & these most vulnerable San Franciscans evicted to points unknown.

[File No. 230035](#) - Last Hearing - Committee of the Whole - Laguna Honda Hospital's Strategy for Recertification and the Submission of a Closure and Patient Transfer and Relocation Plan - September 26, 2023, at 3:00 p.m.

Given the dire shortage of nursing home beds in San Francisco, the people of our city must be certain that all 769 Nursing Home beds at Laguna Honda will be there for their use. Those of us who need a bed are burdened by the 2 year moratorium on admissions with no end in sight.

Given the sickness, death, and the horrible stress and expense that the situation at LHH has engendered since 2019 we ask the Board of Supervisors these questions:

1. How to create a system of oversight for LHH so that admissions resume and repeated profound mismanagement does not persist or recur? SFDPH has been unable to gain Medi-Care recertification, and we fear is not up to this. This may be partially due to the forced "flow" of inappropriate patients from our county hospital to LHH, due to the lack of services (especially behavioral services) elsewhere in the system.

There is an ongoing need for skilled oversight of Laguna Honda practices. Where will it come from? Better to admit the problem than to continue to cover it up.

2. CDPH/State of California has failed to keep up with LHH problems; how will this be rectified? State of California/CDPH's inability to offer timely feedback about problems at LHH contributed to this mess!

3. Can the Board of Supervisors closely monitor the submission of a waiver to prevent the 120 bed loss; San Franciscans cannot afford to lose these beds!

Thank you.

return to: [LHH Call to Action](#)

©2022 sf gray panthers

--

Blessings!

~ Donna D'Eufemia ~

CaCMT, Trainer,

Master Certification, Academy of Intuition Medicine ®,

Myofascial Release Level I Advanced,

Ayurvedic Treatments, A.A.~Liberal Arts,

~Deep Tissue, Swedish, Energy Clearing,

PreNatal, Lymphatic, Cupping~

San Rafael Office: 415.302.8010

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 4 Letters Regarding File No. 240427
Date: Thursday, May 9, 2024 12:29:46 PM
Attachments: [4 Letters Regarding File No. 240427.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 4 Letters Regarding **File No. 240427**:

Hearing on the City's budgetary decisions regarding funding of essential City services that prioritize community safety and wellbeing, including community programs that prevent crime, provide family, youth, and TAY (Transition Age Youth) services, and support the City's immigrant and limited English Proficient residents.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Irie Crisostomo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: amy.huynh@cpasf.org; jessica.cheng@cpasf.org; jeffrey@cpasf.org
Subject: Keep Sisterhood Gardens Funded!
Date: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 11:20:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Supervisors, City Administrator Chu, and Mayor Breed

Hello, my name is Irie Crisostomo and I am a member of Sisterhood Gardens, and a native to San Francisco. I am speaking in support of Sisterhood Gardens in hope to restore the funding cuts that we so desperately need. This is vital to not only me, but to my community and neighbors that need to grow food for themselves and for their families. This garden has allowed many to come and rejuvenate themselves, to gain a peace of mind, and to cultivate a sustainable crop that would lessen the burden of groceries for many like me.

This place not only serves to feed the community, but to build it together. I've seen so many folks from all walks of life come to chat and talk to one another, to help each other. And to hear that this may be taken from those who need it most is downright devastating and disheartening for the future of us all. To cut the budget of this essential place is to cut a valve in the heart of the community. I ask that you do not cut funding for Sisterhood Gardens for the sake of our district and our neighbors.

Prioritize Working Class Communities, Keep our Public Spaces Thriving!

From: [Victorino Cartagena](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Victorino- Public comment 5/8/24
Date: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 1:41:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Victorino Cartagena. I work at CARECEN SF.

I have been running support group and intensive case management to young people for over a decade.

I believe that not funding programs like CARECEN SECOND CHANCE program is a disservice to our community. I personally have witness the positive progress and change people have made because of Programs like Second Chance.

Lack of funds for these program can be a devastation to our city. It's proven that more police-ing doesn't make the city safer. Community upholding community does.

Cutting funds to carecen second chance will take away intensive case managements, social support groups that people from SF rely on for their personal well being.

Our voices should be heard and considered as we are in the front line, working with the people in our community.

I'm asking for the city to restore DCYF funding to CARECEN SCYP.

Thank you,
Victorino Cartagena

Victorino Cartagena (He, Him, EI)
Second Chance Youth Program & Tattoo Removal Clinic
Case Manager/Peer Educator
(415)642-4422 Ext:2022
<https://carecensf.org>

Crisis Services:

If you or someone you know is experiencing a mental health crisis needing immediate

assistance please reach out to one of the following:

- 24-hr Child Crisis: (415) 970-3800
- Crisis Hotline / SF Suicide Prevention Hotline: (415) 781-0500
- National Suicide Prevention Hotline: 1-800-273-8255
- The Safe & Sound TALK Line: 415-441-KIDS (415-441-5437)
- SAMHSA Disaster Distress Helpline: 1-800-985-5990 or text TalkWithUS 66746

From: [Catherine Marroquin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: May 8th-Community Safety & Well being: Public Comment
Date: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 3:18:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Catherine Marroquin
catherine@missiongraduates.org

My name is Catherine Marroquin and I'm submitting my comment as a representative of Mission Graduates, The San Francisco Latino Parity and Equity Coalition (SFLPEC) in Solidarity with the People's Justice Coalition, and I'm also a San Francisco resident.

Funding community organizations and education programs keeps our communities safe. At Mission Graduates two of our high school afterschool programs lost funding, Mission and June Jordan High Schools. After School programs are critical programs that keep students safe, engaged in their school communities, and also keeps them engaged during the school day. The additional funding that has come into schools (thanks goodness!) are now being used to plug holes in lost funding instead of being used for expanding support and being innovative in our approaches. The budget cuts shouldn't be on the backs of our students! Our youth, our future, need investment!

I also ask that you look into our Bryant Beacon RFP. Our Bryant afterschool program wasn't refunded because of an error on the principals part, but the school is happy with us and wants us to come back to provide programming. Please reconsider going through a drawn out process so we don't disrupt programs for students.

Additionally, SFLPEC members experienced 69% in budget cuts to existing programs from DCYF, which will critically impact services to the Latine community. Please consider the equitable distribution of funds for all underrepresented communities.

Thank you,
Catherine

From: [Al Phuong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [amy.huynh@cpasf.org](#); [jessica.cheng@cpasf.org](#); [jeffrey@cpasf.org](#)
Subject: [Community Safety & Vibrant Communities] Keep Sisterhood Gardens Funded!
Date: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 3:24:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors, City Administrator Chu, and Mayor Breed,

Hi, my name is aloe with Chinese Progressive Association's Sisterhood Gardens, and I have family roots growing in Supervisor Safai's District 11. I am writing to express my strong support to maintain our \$115,000/year funding of our beloved Sisterhood Garden, which has been an invaluable asset to our neighborhood of D11. The garden has played a pivotal role in promoting neighborhood resilience in moments of crisis, fostering cross-racial and intergenerational community safety, and enhancing the overall well-being of our residents. I urge you to recognize the significance of this communal space and continue to allocate resources to ensure its continued success.

During the COVID-19 Pandemic, Sisterhood Gardens has served as a crucial community space for the OMI neighborhood. Due to the rise of anti-Asian racism, safety concerns, inflation and economic hardship, Sisterhood Garden has been *instrumental* in offering a healing refuge of connection to people amid immense isolation. While our youth struggled, our Asian elders also struggled with xenophobia around COVID-19 left our elders feeling afraid and alone. During these times, Sisterhood Garden has provided a safe outdoor space for community members to stay socially distanced, build closer relationships with neighbors, provided services including PPE distribution, and provide opportunities for leadership and growth. Our communities in this district are barely recovering from the last few years of COVID isolation- stripping them of necessary and trusted community services and public spaces puts them and our city at risk

The community garden has served as a unifying force, bringing together individuals from various backgrounds and fostering a sense of belonging among residents. Furthermore, the community garden is an embodiment of our commitment to diversity and inclusivity. It serves as a symbol of cultural appreciation, celebrating the traditions, languages, and plant medicines of our diverse population. With our largest membership base of monolingual Cantonese elders, the garden provides an opportunity for individuals from different ethnic backgrounds to learn from one another, share their knowledge and experiences, and cultivate a deep sense of respect and understanding. It is essential to continue investing in this space that

nurtures multiculturalism and strengthens the social fabric of our neighborhood, especially during a time of divisiveness over 'anti Asian hate', etc.

Moreover, the community garden serves as an educational platform that promotes neighbor leadership and thoughtful environmental stewardship. It offers community engagement opportunities and educational workshops in both English and Cantonese. The garden provides a container for neighborhood leadership through its Steering Committee, a group of 12 leaders who help guide the garden's maintenance and community engagement. Furthermore, this summer, the garden will be piloting its first 6 week long youth program, SOIL focused on environmental justice, community organizing and workforce development. The garden continues to expand its college internship program where SFSU and City College students are exposed to intergenerational community and environmental stewardship work. By continuing to invest in the garden, you demonstrate a commitment to local leadership and environmental justice.

In light of the significant impact the community garden has had on District 11, I implore you to recognize its value and continue to allocate funding for its maintenance and development. The garden serves as a testament to our shared values of cross cultural understanding & healing, local leadership & education, and environmental stewardship. By ensuring its continued success, we demonstrate our commitment to fostering a vibrant, united, and resilient neighborhood.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. Let us come together to support the community garden and uphold its legacy of diversity, community engagement, and well-being.

Sincerely,

aloe

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS Legislation. \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 19 Letters Regarding File No. 240427
Date: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 1:11:30 PM
Attachments: [19 Letters Regarding File No. 240427.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 19 Letters Regarding **File No. 240427:**

Hearing on the City's budgetary decisions regarding funding of essential City services that prioritize community safety and wellbeing, including community programs that prevent crime, provide family, youth, and TAY (Transition Age Youth) services, and support the City's immigrant and limited English Proficient residents.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

RECEIVED
SAN FRANCISCO
COUNTY OFFICE
10/11/2016

2016 MAY -8 PM 12:01



Hola, mi nombre es Alejandra Palomo.
Vivo y trabajo en el Distrito de la
Misión.

Actualmente estoy participando en el
programa de CARECEN de Políticas
Sistema y Medio Ambiente (PSE) con
el que me he beneficiado enormemente
adquiriendo conocimiento sobre el Impacto
de las Bebidas Azucaradas en la salud.

CARECEN es una organización que
ha servido a la comunidad Latina en
San Francisco y en el área de la Bahía
por más de 15 años. Proveyendo a las
familias y juventud con servicios
esenciales: Programas de Salud, Bienestar
Familiar y programas que se enfocan
en nuestros jóvenes.

Quiero pedirte a la Junta de Supervisores
de la ciudad y del Condado de San
Francisco y a la Oficina de la Alcadesa

London Breed que restablezcan los fondos para los programas Second chance, el Bienestar Familiar y promoción de Salud ya que muchas familias en nuestra comunidad dependen de ellos.

Gracias por su atención.

Mi Nombre es Marilyn pido por favor
a los supervisores y la alcaldesa
no recorten el presupuesto a
carecen S.F esta organizacion
a brindado a mi familia y a mi
recursos legales y bienestar
familiar para pagos de biles
agua luz telefono y comida para poner
en la mesa para mis hijos de no
a pollas carecen no brindara a pollo
legal y bienestar familiar a
o tras familias que llegan a
San Francisco .C.A

RECEIVED
OFFICE OF SUPERVISOR
SERRANO
2021 MAY -8 PM 12:01

Hola Soy Gloria

Yo participo en el Programa de Promoción de salud de CARECEN me he beneficiado de asistir a las charlas donde he obtenido mucha información para mi y mi familia estos servicios ~~impactaron mi vida porque~~ ^{que son fundados por las bebidas azucaradas} he podido aprender a convivir con otras familias he aprendido sobre la importancia de la salud oral, la importancia de las bebidas azucaradas para nuestra salud y lo importante que es beber el agua del grifo.

Quiero pedir a la Junta de Supervisores de la ciudad y el condado de San Francisco y a la oficina de la alcaldesa, que restablezcan los fondos del programa para los programas second chance, el Bienestar familiar, y Promoción de salud.

¡Gracias!

2021 MAY -8 PM 12:01
OFFICE OF THE SUPERVISOR
SAN FRANCISCO

Hola Mi nombre es Maria.

Yo participo en el programa de carecen.
donde participo en el programa de promotora
de salud donde me he beneficiado de las
charlas comunitarias cada mes

Señores Supervisores y Alcaldesa Vengo
Apoyar A Carecen les pido de Favor que no
quiten las Ayudas para las familias nos
Emos beneficiado mucho con la charlas
comunitarias y el Agua de grifo que
son fundadas por el sada tax
con la salud oral Necesitamos mucha
Ayuda todas las familias

No recortes Mas Ayudas
Para los programas de
Carecen y Familias

Gracias

RECEIVED
NAME OF SUPERVISOR
2004 NOV -8 PM 12:01
BY

Hola Buenas tardes a los Señores Supervisores
De la Junta de la Ciudad de San Francisco.

Mi Nombre es Lizbeth Linares



RECIBIDO
1000 7 SUPERVISOR
1111 PROMOCION
2014 MAY -8 PM 12:01

Yo participo en el programa de promoción de
salud de Carreen donde me he ^{Beneficiada} ~~so~~ asistir a las
Charlas comunitarias cada mes

Este servicio ha impactado en vida y la de mi
familia al crear un espacio educativo donde me
he llenado de confianza para poder consumir el
Agua del grifo.

Al participar en las charlas educativas he impactado
mi economía para Bien Al no tener q comprar Agua
embotellada y todo gracias a la educación recibida
en el programa que nos ofrece Carreen y sus
Charlas educativas que nos protegen los impuestos
de Soda. por su Atención gracias y así mismo
Quiero pedir a la Junta de Supervisores de la
Ciudad y el Condado d. San Francisco y a la
Oficina de la alcaldesa, que restablezcan los
fondos del programa para los programas Second chance
el Bienestar familiar y promoción de salud.
Gracias.

Mayo 8, 2024

Comentario

Los programas de CARECEN, en especial PSE, tienen un fuerte impacto en la población latina que habita en el Area de la Misión.

En diferentes Areas tales

como:

- El uso del Agua del grifo.
- Salud Oral
- Recursos Familiares.
- Programas Juveniles
- De voz y voto.
- Entre otros.

BY



2024 MAY -8 PM12:01

RECEIVED
OFFICE OF SUPERVISOR
SAN FRANCISCO

Hola mi nombre es Kimberly, vivo en San Francisco, soy del Distrito #11 del vecindario Bayview.

Yo participé en los programas de Carecen y asisto a las charlas mensuales de promoción de salud.

Los recursos familiares y los programas me han ayudado mucho. El programa PSE que es fundado por el impuesto de las bebidas Azucaradas. Me he educado a consumir confiadamente del agua del grifo.

Los Centros de Recursos familiares han hecho mucho por nuestros hijos y familias de Todo San Francisco hoy alzo mi voz en nombre de todas aquellas que hemos sido beneficiados y continuaran ayudando en el futuro, le pido que proteja los fondos en esta Temporada presupuestaria.

Hoy quiero pedir de favor a la junta de Supervisores y el condado de SF y la oficina de la Alcaldesa que los fondos a los programas no sean recortados.

Son muy esenciales estos programas.

Muchas Gracias.

Ariba Carecen

Hola

Mi nombre es SUSANA MORENO Beltran.

Soy participante del programa de promocion de la salud que ofrece CALECEM, vivo en el distrito # 11.

Me he beneficiado con capacitaciones de promotora que han fomentado cambios en el habito del consumo de bebidas azucaradas, ayudandome a tener confianza en el agua del grifo.

Tambien me vi beneficiado de los servicios legales que me ayudaron a solicitar mi permiso laboral.

Estos programas deben seguir llegando a la comunidad ya que ayudan a todas las familias de San Francisco

por eso pido a la Junta de Supervisores de la ciudad de San Francisco y a la Oficina de la Alcaldesa que no se hagan recortes, que por favor se reestablezcan los fondos para los programas de CALECEM como son el Second chance, el Bienestar Familiar y promocion de salud.

Gracias.



2024 MAY -8 PM 12: 01

RECEIVED
SCHOOL SUPERVISOR
SANTA BARBARA

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to ask that you Do not cut funds to essential programs for our families. As a single mom of 3 I have been able to thrive thanks to programs such as Carece. With the youngest of my children I attended a reading program, a cooking class and was also able to participate in fieldtrips. Even during the pandemic they were there to support us whether by phone or zoom workshops. These programs are important they support the whole family. We need to protect our future. Our children deserve to have access to programs such as early childhood education through play and learn and preK. Family resource centers need your support now more than ever. The need in our communities is great please be heroes, Our beacon of hope.

I want to ask each and every one of you to please fund programs such as Second Chance and family wellness.

Thank you

Ornila Acosta

RECEIVED
CITY OF SAN JOSE
OFFICE OF THE SUPERVISOR
2021 MAY -8 PM:12:00

From: [Oneida Arevalo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Protect funding
Date: Monday, May 6, 2024 12:39:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to ask that you Do Not Cut Funds to essential programa for our families. As a single mom of 3 I have been able to thrive thanks to programs such as Carecen. With my youngest child I have been attend reading and cooking classes, oral health workshops and was also able to participate in field trips. Even during the pandemic they were there for us whether by phone or Zoom workshops. This programs are important, they support the whole family. We need to protect our future. Our children deserve to have an access to programs such as early childhood education through programs such as play and learn and Prek. Family Resource Centers need your support now more than ever. The need in our communities is great please be our Heroes, our beacon of hope. I want to ask each and everyone of you to please fund programs such as Second Chance and Family Wellness.

Thank you
Oneida Arevalo

From: [Marco Guillen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: Urgente
Date: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 10:52:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Marco Guillen and I work for CARECEN. I am forwarding a written public comment in Spanish from one of our participants/community members regarding the Budget and Appropriations Committee hearing on Community Safety and Wellbeing, happening tomorrow, May 8th, at 1:30pm. Thank you.

Best,

Marco Guillen


Health Promotions Program Policy Coordinator


marcog@carecensf.org

main: 415-872-7465 | direct: 415-872-7413

3143 Mission Street, San Francisco, CA 94110

carecensf.org





**CENTRAL AMERICAN RESOURCE CENTER
CENTRO DE RECURSOS CENTROAMERICANOS**

Support CARECEN SF by making a donation today



----- Forwarded message -----

From: Perla Delarosa <perladetome22@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, May 6, 2024 at 8:22 PM

Subject: Urgente

To: <marcog@carecensf.org>

Hola mi nombre es Perla de rosa soy una de tantas personas que se benefician de CARECEN SF.

Yo como muchas personas que recibimos ayudas informáticas como es el consumo seguro del agua en sn Francisco y muchas otros recursos que ofrecen ...

Si llegaran a recortar los recursos mucha gente nos veríamos afectados soy madre de 2 niños que pide en nombre mío y de ellos que por favor no nos recorten los recursos.....

From: [Marco Guillen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: Hola. Mi nombre es Esmirda Castillo asisto a talleres y clases en esta organización Programa Carecen Bienestar Familiar ellos tienen talleres para padres y niños también nos ayudan con toda la información de recursos para la comunidad me gusta muc...
Date: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 10:54:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Marco Guillen and I work for CARECEN. I am forwarding a written public comment in Spanish from one of our participants/community members regarding the Budget and Appropriations Committee hearing on Community Safety and Wellbeing, happening tomorrow, May 8th, at 1:30pm. Thank you.

Best,

Marco Guillen

Health Promotions Program Policy Coordinator


marcog@carecensf.org

main: 415-872-7465 | direct: 415-872-7413

3143 Mission Street, San Francisco, CA 94110

carecensf.org





**CENTRAL AMERICAN RESOURCE CENTER
CENTRO DE RECURSOS CENTROAMERICANOS**

Support CARECEN SF by making a donation today



----- Forwarded message -----

From: Marilu Castillo <marilucastillo969@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, May 6, 2024 at 11:12 PM

Subject: Hola. Mi nombre es Esmirda Castillo asisto a talleres y clases en esta organización Programa Carecen Bienestar Familiar ellos tienen talleres para padres y niños también nos ayudan con toda la información de recursos para la comunidad me gusta mucho por qué residó una yamada de parte de una trabajadora de ellos cada semana con información de recursos y para saber cómo estoy También hay charlas los viernes para informarnos sobre cómo es el proceso del agua del grifo aquí en San Francisco nos gustaría que siguieran apoyando a esta organización para que nos sigan educando a nosotros como padres de familia gracias por su apoyo a nuestra comunidad

To: <marcog@carecensf.org>

From: [Alejandra Palomo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO recortes en el presupuesto para programas de CARECEN
Date: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 4:16:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

El siguiente mensaje es dirigido a la **Junta de Supervisores de San Francisco** y la **oficina de la Alcaldesa London Breed**.

Hola,

Mi nombre es Alejandra Palomo. Vivo y trabajo en el Distrito de la Misión. Actualmente estoy participando en uno de los programas que CARECEN ofrece: Políticas, Sistemas y Medio Ambiente (PSE) con el que me he beneficiado enormemente adquiriendo conocimiento sobre el impacto de las bebidas azucaradas en la salud y sobre las políticas que se han implementado para que el soda tax se haya hecho efectivo en la ciudad de San Francisco.

CARECEN ha servido a la comunidad Latina en San Francisco y en el Área de la Bahía por más de 15 años. Proveyendo a las familias y jóvenes con servicios esenciales: Programas de Salud, Bienestar Familiar y programas enfocados en la juventud.

Quiero pedirle a la junta de Supervisores de la ciudad y del condado de San Francisco y a la oficina de la Alcaldesa London Breed que restablezcan los fondos para los programas : Second chance, Bienestar Familiar y promoción de Salud ya que muchas familias en nuestra comunidad dependen de ellos.

Gracias por su atencion,

Alejandra Palomo

From: [Marco Guillen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Public Comment for Board of Supes Budget and Appropriations Hearing 5/8
Date: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 8:51:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Marco Guillen and I work for CARECEN. I am forwarding a written public comment in Spanish from one of our participants/community members regarding the Budget and Appropriations Committee hearing on Community Safety and Wellbeing, happening today, May 8th, at 1:30pm. Please see below:

"Mayo 8, 2024.

Mi nombre es Freddy:

Yo participo en los programas de promoción de salud de CARECEN, dónde me he beneficiado de asistir a la charla Comunitaria cada mes.

Estos servicios han sido de mucha ayuda para mí vida porque me han educado y me han dado seguridad para tomar agua de grifo de San Francisco y así tener una vida más saludable. La información que se me ha dado no la tenía en lo mínimo y me gustaría que muchas más personas sean informadas y beneficiadas con todo esto.

A si que:

Quiero pedir a la junta de supervisiones de la ciudad, al condado de San Francisco y a la oficina de la alcaldesa que por favor restablezcan los fondos del programa para los programas Second Chance, el Bienestar Familiar y Promoción de Salud.

Por su atención muchas gracias"

Best,

Marco Guillen



Health Promotions Program Policy Coordinator

marcog@carecensf.org

main: 415-872-7465 | direct: 415-872-7413

3143 Mission Street, San Francisco, CA 94110

carecensf.org



**CENTRAL AMERICAN RESOURCE CENTER
CENTRO DE RECURSOS CENTROAMERICANOS**

Support CARECEN SF by making a donation today



From: [Maria Tomasa Bulux](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fund Community Programs
Date: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 10:38:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chamber of Supervisors,

Mmane name is Maria Tomasa Bulux. I work for the Central American Resource Center in San Francisco.

Today there is an important decision to make about the City budget That decision will affect positively or negatively in our communities. I ask you to keep funding all those programs that support early childhood development and education that leads to safe communities. The children, families and communities will be safe and healthy if they receive the services that they need because they know and we know what keeps us safe.

Sincerely,

Maria Tomasa Bulux


Maria Tomasa Bulux


Health Promotions Program Manager

tomasa@carecensf.org

main: 415-872-7465 | direct: (415) 872-7459

carecensf.org





**CENTRAL AMERICAN RESOURCE CENTER
CENTRO DE RECURSOS CENTROAMERICANOS**

Support CARECEN SF by making a donation today



Support CARECEN SF by making a donation today

From: [Silvia R](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: May 8th Rally & Hearing Talking Points
Date: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 11:05:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning,

My name is Silvia Ramos, Senior Case Manager for the Family Wellness Program at CARECEN, located in the 9th district.

CARECEN's Family Wellness & Health Promotion program and Second Chance Youth & Tattoo Removal program's staff are uniquely adept, experienced, and knowledgeable in educating program participants and the greater community about the importance and impact of local, state, and federal policies, laws and rights. Examples of these include the City's Sanctuary City and SF Due Process for All Ordinances. Our programs ensure San Francisco children and immigrant families know their rights in and out of the criminal court systems, including the right to **language access** which is guaranteed under our local Language Access Ordinance.

Language Access Network SF receives funds under OCEIA's language access portfolio, for Community-Based Organizations to provide education and outreach for all San Franciscans. These organizations need to continue to be funded, as we serve as bridges between community members and City Departments to better serve the immigrant communities. ***Language access means equal access to City services for ALL.***

CARECEN programs provide meaningful, proven effective and comprehensive services to promote a positive and long-term impact on the youth and their families, strengthening community safety and wellbeing. If we cut critical life-saving services again, families will be pushed on the brink into homelessness, food insecurity, derailing our neighborhood's recovery, and making San Francisco less safe.

Thank you in advance for your consideration of this urgent request, and for ensuring meaningful investments in the futures and promise of this high need population of children and families in our city.

Sincerely,

Silvia N. Ramos, MA (She/Her/Ella)



Family Wellness Program

silvia@carecensf.org

main: 415-872-7465 | direct: (415) 872-7456

3143 Mission Street, San Francisco, CA 94110

carecensf.org



**CENTRAL AMERICAN RESOURCE CENTER
CENTRO DE RECURSOS CENTROAMERICANOS**

Support CARECEN SF by making a donation today



CARECEN SF is an active member of [Language Access Network of San Francisco](#)

“Preservation of one’s own culture does not require contempt or disrespect for other cultures.” Cesar Chavez

From: [Fiorella Bernal](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: Carta
Date: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 10:56:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning,

My name is Fiorella and I work for CARECEN in San Francisco. I am forwarding a picture of the letter from a community member who wanted to leave a comment for the Budget and Appropriations Committee hearing on Community Safety and Wellbeing, happening today, May 8th, at 1:30pm.

Thank you.

Hola Mi Nombre es
Esmeralda tengo una hija
de 2 Años.

yo participo en el programa
de promocion de salud de
Carecen donde Me he beneficiado
falleres de lectura a temprana
edad por Mas 1 AÑO.

y tambien faller por zoom
como Foro Comunitario.

Estos servicios impactaron Mi
Vida por que son Mux importante
para Mi niña pequeña la lectura
y las clases que le dan.

Quiero pedir ala junta de
Supervisores de la Ciudad
de San Francisco, y a la Oficina
de la Alcaldesa, que restablezcan
los fondos de programa para los
Programas de Carecen ¡Gracias!

From: [Marco Guillen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Public Comment for Board of Supes Budget and Appropriations Hearing 5/8
Date: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 10:49:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Marco Guillen and I work for CARECEN, a community-based organization in San Francisco. I am attaching a picture of a written public comment in Spanish from one of our participants/community members regarding the Board of Supervisors Budget and Appropriations Committee hearing on Community Safety and Wellbeing, happening today, May 8th, at 1:30pm. Thank you.

Best,

Marco Guillen


Health Promotions Program Policy Coordinator


marcog@carecensf.org

main: 415-872-7465 | direct: 415-872-7413

3143 Mission Street, San Francisco, CA 94110

carecensf.org





**CENTRAL AMERICAN RESOURCE CENTER
CENTRO DE RECURSOS CENTROAMERICANOS**

Support CARECEN SF by making a donation today



Hola mi nombre: Yasmin Gastación
Yo participo en el programa de
CARECEN en San Francisco, El
programa de Promoción de Salud
de CARECEN donde me he
Beneficiado de, Servicios, Recursos
Educación para Padres, Talleres
de Lectura a temprana Edad
Por mas de 7 años que ha
sido de mucha ayuda para mi
mi hogar que gracias al
programa CARECEN yo
he podido y aprendido de
como llevar una mejor vida
saludable para mi familia me
sobran las y no se como estar
agradecido con el Programa
estos servicios ha impactado mi vida
Talleres Por Zoom, Foro Comunitario
Acerca del Agua que es vida y
de mucha Información Español

From: [Marco Guillen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Public Comment for Board of Supes Budget and Appropriations Hearing 5/8
Date: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 10:56:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Marco Guillen and I work for CARECEN. I am attaching a picture of a written public comment in Spanish from one of our participants/community members regarding the Budget and Appropriations Committee hearing on Community Safety and Wellbeing, happening tomorrow, May 8th, at 1:30pm. Thank you.

Best,

Marco Guillen


Health Promotions Program Policy Coordinator


marcog@carecensf.org

main: 415-872-7465 | direct: 415-872-7413

3143 Mission Street, San Francisco, CA 94110

carecensf.org





**CENTRAL AMERICAN RESOURCE CENTER
CENTRO DE RECURSOS CENTROAMERICANOS**

Support CARECEN SF by making a donation today



05/06/2024

Hola mi nombre Roxana Argueta
yo participo en el programa de
Carecen.

El programa de promoción de salud
donde me e beneficiado de
Servicios, Recursos, Educación para
padres, Talleres de Lectura a
temprana edad por mas de 7 años
Talleres por zoom, foro comunitario
Información en español.

Estos Servicios impactaron mi vida al
recibir apoyo que nos dan a mi
familia y comunidad ya que carecen
es una super organización que ayud-
mucho a la comunidad Latina

Quiero pedir a la junta de supervisores
de la ciudad y el condado de San
Francisco y a la alcaldera que reesta-
blescan los fondos del programa Para Carecen
Gracias att. Roxana Argueta

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: [Community Safety & Vibrant Communities] Keep Sisterhood Garden Funded!
Date: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 8:24:43 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding Sisterhood Garden.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Grace Gao <gegao97@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 9:29 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Jalipa, Brent (BOS) <brent.jalipa@sfgov.org>
Cc: amy huynh <amy.huynh@cpasf.org>; jessica.cheng@cpasf.org; jeffrey@cpasf.org
Subject: [Community Safety & Vibrant Communities] Keep Sisterhood Garden Funded!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors, City Administrator Chu, and Mayor Breed:

My name is Grace Gao and I'm a volunteer at Sisterhood Garden. I'm emailing you all today to ask you to **please restore funding cuts for Sisterhood Garden.**

I've only begun visiting the garden relatively recently, but it is already abundantly clear that it serves as a vital space for its community to feel connected and cared for when the city neglects to do so or else doesn't know how. During its Lunar New Year celebration this February, people of all ages – from elders to young children – greeted each other with warm familiarity, laughed and played together, and looked after one another. Every month, volunteers devote their time to weed, mulch, or plant climate-appropriate flora under the shared pact that we all have a responsibility in the cleaner, more sustainable future we all deserve to inherit. Sisterhood is clearly a central artery through many communities – its OMI neighbors; gardeners and environmentalists across the city and Bay; and Chinese immigrants and Chinese Americans, especially those who are more vulnerable to volatility by being of lower income or who are unable to speak English well.

What the members and visitors of Sisterhood Garden are able to do with a plot of land compounds generosity, solidarity, and collaborative knowledge. Investing in such a public space is an investment in the long-term health of a vibrant community integral to the city of SF. I implore you again to please consider restoring funding for it.

Sincerely,
Grace Gao

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Caballo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [McHugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Taxi cabs at airport terminal & waiting lot.
Date: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 1:07:26 PM
Attachments: [IMG_8171.PNG](#)

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding taxi service and San Francisco International Airport.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Namdev Sharma <namdev.sharma@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 12:48 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: Taxi cabs at airport terminal & waiting lot.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Namdev Sharma <namdev.sharma@yahoo.com>
Date: May 8, 2024 at 12:46:09 PM PDT
To: MTABoard <MTABoard@sfmta.com>
Subject: Taxi cabs at airport terminal & waiting lot.

It is unacceptable. Management of airport holding taxi out of the airport as blind folded. There should at least 5 taxi cabs at each terminal. Now you can see just 2 taxicabs at airport. Which is ridiculous. They do not call cabs at airport on time and passengers walk back to parking lot to get ride share. We are tired of waiting for our turn to pick up passengers. We don't know when this atrocity will end.

The image shows a mobile app interface for SFO Waitlist Status. At the top, the time is 12:02, and the signal strength is 5G with a battery level of 97%. The SFO logo is on the left, and the text "WAITLIST STATUS" is in the center. To the right of the text are an information icon (i) and a settings gear icon.



You are now on the waitlist.

Position Number

42

Taxis Called in the Last Hour

62 /hr

Holding Lot Status

Number of Vehicles

2

Estimated Wait Time

6m

[Cancel Request](#)

Updated: 12:00 PM



FLIGHTS



LOT STATUS



WAITLIST

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Support for Tenant Right to Counsel
Date: Thursday, May 2, 2024 2:43:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Simin Li regarding File No. 230465.

File No. 230465: Hearing to discuss the status of the San Francisco Tenant Right to Counsel Program, which guarantees that any renter facing eviction has access to free legal representation; and requesting the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development to report. (Preston)

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Simin <simin.li@protonmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 29, 2024 4:21 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support for Tenant Right to Counsel

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Clerk Carroll,

I would like to voice my **support** for the **Tenant Right to Counsel** for today's **Land Use and Transportation Committee** on 4/29 at 1:30pm, and especially want to push for increased capacity to ensure 100% of tenants can receive full scope representation.

Tenant Right to Counsel has been a largely successful program, helping most tenants who receive full scope representation to stay housed. The housing crisis leads to homelessness, which leads to ruined lives and death. Just this Sunday, folks at PoorMagazine held a homegoing memorial for elders Bruce and Julio, who passed away on the streets of SF. This is a part of the solution to prevent needless and deadly evictions in a cost-effective manner.

Best,
Simin Li (West Side Tenants Association, PoorMagazine)

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors; BOS Legislation. \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Crayton, Monique \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment for 240389 - Hearing on Status of City Departments Handling Criminal Sexual Assaults and Sexual Harassment Allegations
Date: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 3:38:18 PM
Attachments: [2024.05.08 - J. Orthwein Letter Re - Public Comment for 240389.pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached Public Comment for File No. 240389.

File No. 240389 - Hearing to examine how sexual assault and sexual harassment allegations are handled by City law enforcement agencies when survivors come forward to seek justice against their attackers, in particular how the Police Department investigates sexual assault and harassment allegations and engages with survivors, and to explore the efficacy of the Office of Sexual Harassment and Assault Response and Prevention (SHARP), including how many complaints are made to the Office annually and how many get resolved, what does follow up with survivors of sexual assault and harassment look like, what policy recommendations have been made to improve the City's handling of sexual assault and harassment complaints; and requesting the Police Department, Office of the District Attorney, Director of the Human Rights Commission, and the Director of SHARP to report.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Jennifer Orthwein <jen@orthweinlaw.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 3:15 PM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Crayton, Monique (BOS) <monique.crayton@sfgov.org>; Prager, Jackie (BOS) <jackie.prager@sfgov.org>; Logan, Sam (BOS) <sam.logan@sfgov.org>
Cc: HRC-Office of S.H.A.R.P. <sharp@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public Comment for 240389 - Hearing on Status of City Departments Handling Criminal Sexual Assaults and Sexual Harassment Allegations

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon,

Please see attached letter regarding Public Comment for 240389 - Hearing on Status of City Departments Handling Criminal Sexual Assaults and Sexual Harassment Allegations.

Best regards,

Jennifer Orthwein, Ph.D., Esq.

Pronouns/honorific: Any/Dr.

ORTHWEIN LAW, PC

2323 Broadway

Oakland, CA 04612

jen@orthweinlaw.com

Confidentiality Notice: This electronic mail transmission is privileged and confidential and is intended only for the review of the party to whom it is addressed. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately return it to the sender. Unintended transmission shall not constitute waiver of the attorney-client or any other privilege.



May 8, 2024

VIA EMAIL

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
Monique Crayton
Assistant Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
monique.crayton@sfgov.org
Jackie Prager
Legislative Aide for Supervisor Ronen
jackie.prager@sfgov.org
Sam Logan
Legislative Aide for Supervisor Stefani
sam.logan@sfgov.org

Re: Public Comment for 240389 - Hearing on Status of City Departments Handling Criminal Sexual Assaults and Sexual Harassment Allegations.

Dear Members of the Board and the Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee:

I am writing to convey my appreciation and support of the Office of Sexual Harassment and Assault Response and Prevention (SHARP) for centering survivors of sexual violence. I am an attorney and psychologist who has spent the last couple decades advocating for transgender and gender variant survivors of sexual and gender-based violence in carceral systems. Through KellyLou Densmore's leadership and organizing efforts, coalitions of advocates and survivors have been born. I have been fortunate to be among the many people KellyLou has called in to address gender-based and sexual violence at the state and local levels.

Over the years, KellyLou has formed and led coalitions of local and national organizations that have centered the voices, dignity, agency, safety and healing of survivors and those most impacted. Through these efforts, multi-disciplinary teams have been built and resources deployed to develop multipronged efforts that engaged survivors inside carceral systems. Direct advocacy structures were developed to ensure survivors' needs were prioritized. These organized efforts enabled advocates to connect with those most impacted to ensure their voices were centered in legislative and policy initiatives. Direct advocacy led to public education campaigns that simultaneously called for government action. Education and prevention efforts informed by those most impacted promoted systemic changes that increased the health and safety of people inside carceral systems. It is apparent that these same values and strategies are reflected in KellyLou's leadership of SHARP.

In recent years, my firm, along with the Transgender, Gender Variant, Intersex Justice Project (TGIJP), has worked with SHARP to identify ways to support transgender survivors of sexual violence in the San Francisco County Jail. Among those survivors was our client, Ms. Lyn

Jen Orthwein, Esq. Ph.D. • 2323 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94612 • (510) 210-0889
jen@orthweinlaw.com

Rawles. SHARP's primary focus was ensuring our client's immediate needs were met and that she was safe and had the support and resources she needed following her traumatic carceral experience. We had faced a great deal of resistance from government agencies unwilling to investigate our client's claims or provide us any information related to her time in custody. Other government agencies to which Ms. Rawles had turned only seemed motivated to protect those responsible for the harm she had experienced in custody. SHARP was there to ensure Ms. Rawles's voice and needs were prioritized. SHARP then worked to educate our team about the current systems responsible for holding government entities and employees accountable. Together, SHARP and our legal team made out a list of possible reforms that our legal team could propose to ensure our clients' experiences were never replicated.

SHARP has become a crucial and necessary vehicle in San Francisco's response to sexual violence. Survivors' needs are too often dismissed or ignored within the systems responsible for addressing the sexual violence perpetrated against them. SHARP cares about survivors of sexual violence and holding San Francisco's city agencies accountable for how they treat survivors so that the healing process can begin. Centering survivors is crucial to any system that aims to address and ultimately eradicate sexual violence. City resources and support for SHARP should be increased so that San Francisco can effectively meet and prioritize survivors in its response to sexual violence and meet its objective to prevent it.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'JO', followed by a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Jennifer Orthwein

Cc: Office of Sexual Assault Response and Prevention
sharp@sfgov.org

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Crayton, Monique \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Letter of Support for SHARP
Date: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 3:47:00 PM

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached Public Comment for File No. 240389.

File No. 240389 - Hearing to examine how sexual assault and sexual harassment allegations are handled by City law enforcement agencies when survivors come forward to seek justice against their attackers, in particular how the Police Department investigates sexual assault and harassment allegations and engages with survivors, and to explore the efficacy of the Office of Sexual Harassment and Assault Response and Prevention (SHARP), including how many complaints are made to the Office annually and how many get resolved, what does follow up with survivors of sexual assault and harassment look like, what policy recommendations have been made to improve the City's handling of sexual assault and harassment complaints; and requesting the Police Department, Office of the District Attorney, Director of the Human Rights Commission, and the Director of SHARP to report.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Janetta Johnson <janetta@tgijp.org>
Sent: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 3:06 PM
To: Prager, Jackie (BOS) <jackie.prager@sfgov.org>; Logan, Sam (BOS) <sam.logan@sfgov.org>; Crayton, Monique (BOS) <monique.crayton@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; HRC-Office of S.H.A.R.P. <sharp@sfgov.org>
Subject: Letter of Support for SHARP

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board and the Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee:

We are Zy'aire Nassirah, Co-Executive Director and Janetta Johnson CEO of TGIJP Black Cultural Center. Our mission is to build the collective power of Black trans folks, centering Black trans women and especially those of us who have been or are currently incarcerated. We work in collaboration with others to forge a culture of resistance and resilience to strengthen us for the fight against human rights abuses, imprisonment, police violence, racism, poverty, and societal pressures. We seek to create a world rooted in self-determination, freedom of expression, and gender justice. Our members are low-income transgender women.

I am writing on behalf of TGIJP BCC and the larger Black transgender community in SF. We'd like to elevate the work and deep commitment that SHARP has established within the community.

Like us, SHARP centers the needs and requests of the community. SHARP has worked with survivors of gender-based violence and sexual violence who do not report to the police.

TGIJP contacted Dulce Garcia and KellyLou Densmore at SHARP when a few of TGIJP's members (Black trans women who were houseless at the time) were being violently harassed and attacked by a city employee who was in a contracted position working with the Department of Public Works. We filed a complaint with SHARP and they responded to advocate for us and our community.

SHARP worked with our organization and our members for over a year to address the issue, hold the city accountable, and to ensure that violence towards Black trans women by city employees never happens again. They met with us regularly, and hosted meetings with us and the Office of Transgender Initiatives in order to develop a training program for city employees who interface with transgender people.

SHARP has been a bridge between our members and city government and has been a very important resource for us to turn to when we don't want to go to the police when our community experiences violence.

We thank you for your time and wholeheartedly express our support for SHARP.

Sincerely,
Janetta Johnson, CEO TGIJPCC
Zy'aire Nassirah, Co-Executive Director TGIJPCC

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Crayton, Monique \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Will sfpd address my My safety upon leaving this hearing
Date: Thursday, May 9, 2024 12:00:00 PM

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the updated comments below.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Nicole Henares <nicolehenares@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 9, 2024 11:53 AM
To: Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>
Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Will sfpd address my My safety upon leaving this hearing

Edited for clarity

I've been an English teacher at Lowell High School since 2003. The SHARP office has been trying to support me for the last three years despite the statute of limitations around sexual battery and the complexity of a federally investigated history of sexual violence that until now whenever I tried to write or talk about it I choked on my words .

Since coming forward, I've been harassed, publicly humiliated, and terrorized due to complaints about me at my work for allegedly discriminating against white males that connect back to my abuser via third party. In the fall of 2022 I was suspended for fifteen days without pay and forced to go on leave while my abuser, a convicted murderer who has victimized me all my life has not only kept his position as a director of a city funded organization that has had numerous allegations of sexual assault, he got a promotion.

If this is how I'm being treated as a federal crime victim with 23 years of service as a teacher in SFUSD and no discipline on my record until my abuser's parole, then what does this mean for other victims, in particular unhoused victims, whom the SHARP office has been trying to support?

The word "rape" is a difficult enough word to say or write. It took me over a year in therapy to even find the words for the sexual battery my abuser committed against me on January 2, 2020 due to the level of violence in our history and what he said right before the

sexual battery -how he had only ten teeth in his mouth. His comment about his teeth is significant as it would connect him back to the photos his mentor took of him raping and torturing me when I was eight years old.

In order for me to be believed no one should have to show what has been in federal evidence for over forty years- photographs where I was raped and tortured by my abuser that were taken by his mentor who is currently facing charges for the rape and murder of a five year old girl in 1982. The specific details that I'm finally able to talk about without having convulsions should be enough, especially as what is in the photos show patterns to other murders.

San Francisco needs to extend the reporting time for sexual battery, and do more to understand victims of sexual violence when they come forward.

I request that the city of San Francisco stops politicizing and comes together around the systemic urgency of doing more to support and protect victims of sexual violence and harassment. We have to- the moral injuries of sexual violence are eroding the soul of our city, and causing devastation to us all.

Nicole Henares

<http://www.aurelialorca.com>

On Thu, May 9, 2024 at 11:50 AM Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org> wrote:

Hello Nicole,

Absolutely! Public comment will also be taken on this item after the presentations.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh

Executive Assistant

Office of the Clerk of the Board

Board of Supervisors

[1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place](#), City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Nicole Henares <nicolehenares@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, May 9, 2024 11:39 AM

To: Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>

Subject: Re: Will sfpd address my My safety upon leaving this hearing

I still want to make my statement .

Nicole Henares

<http://www.aureliadorca.com>

On Thu, May 9, 2024 at 11:38 AM Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org> wrote:

Hello Nicole,

On behalf of the Clerk of the Board I am confirming receipt of your comments. I distributed this thread to the Board Members and it will be processed accordingly.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh

Executive Assistant

Office of the Clerk of the Board

Board of Supervisors

[1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place](#), City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Nicole Henares <nicolehenares@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, May 9, 2024 11:00 AM

To: HRC-Office of S.H.A.R.P. <sharp@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary (BOS) <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Lauren DaSilva <lauren@mtryrapecrisis.org>; Will Happy <WHappy@uesf.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Garcia, Dulce (HRC) <dulce.a.garcia@sfgov.org>; Prager, Jackie (BOS) <jackie.prager@sfgov.org>; meaghan@sstandard.com; Crayton, Monique (BOS) <monique.crayton@sfgov.org>; Logan, Sam (BOS) <sam.logan@sfgov.org>

Subject: Will sfpd address my My safety upon leaving this hearing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The city funded organization works for is under rico investigation in Sausalito as a victim was attacked upon speaking publicly . Sfpd wouldn't even respond to the SHARP office's safety request today .

I've been listening to Captain Obrian for fourteen minutes and I still haven't heard one word That sounds like what Captain Olivia Benson would say on Law and Order SVU

<https://wraphome.org/2024/02/12/rico-lawsuit-filed-against-urban-alchemy-for-violence-and-drug-trafficking-at-sausalito-encampment/>

Nicole Henares

<http://www.aureliadorca.com>

On Thu, May 9, 2024 at 10:52 AM Nicole Henares <nicolehenares@gmail.com> wrote:

Since im sitting here having to listen to 14 minutes of SFPD's presentation around this issue I've added more to my statement that I hope will not put me beyond the 2 minutes I am allotted .

I've been an English teacher at Lowell High School since 2003. The SHARP office has been trying to support me for the last three years despite the statute of limitations around sexual battery and the complexity of a federally investigated history of sexual violence that for decades whenever I tried to write or talk about it I choked on my words .

Since coming forward, I've been harassed, publicly humiliated, and terrorized due to complaints about me at my work for allegedly discriminating against white males that connect back to my abuser via third party. In the fall of 2022 I was suspended for fifteen days without pay and forced to go on leave while my abuser, a convicted murderer who has victimized me all my life and on January 2, 2020 sadistically informed me how he had his teeth pulled to not be linked back to what he and his mentor did to me, not only has kept his position as a director of a city funded organization that has had numerous allegations of sexual assault, he got a promotion.

If this is how I'm being treated as a federal crime victim with 23 years of service as a teacher in SFUSD and no discipline on my record until my abuser's parole, then what does this mean for other victims, in particular unhoused victims, whom the SHARP office has been trying to support?

The word "rape" is a difficult enough word to say or write. In order for me to be believed no one should have to show what has been in federal evidence for over forty years- photographs where I was raped and tortured by my abuser that were taken by his mentor who is currently facing charges for the rape and murder of a five year old girl in 1982. The specific details that I'm finally able to talk about without having convulsions should be enough, especially as what is in the photos show patterns to

other murders.

San Francisco needs to extend the reporting time for sexual battery, and do more to understand victims of sexual violence when they come forward.

I also request that the city of San Francisco stops politicizing and comes together around the systemic urgency of doing more to support and protect victims of sexual violence and harassment. We have to- the moral injuries of sexual violence are eroding the soul of our city, and causing devastation to us all.

Nicole Henares

<http://www.aurelialorca.com>

On Wed, May 8, 2024 at 5:00 PM Nicole Henares <nicolehenares@gmail.com> wrote:

San Francisco's Sexual Harassment And Prevention office has been trying to support me for the last three years despite the statute of limitations around sexual battery and the complexity of a federally investigated history of sexual violence that for decades whenever I tried to talk about I would lose my capacity for speech and go into convulsions.

Since coming forward about this history, I have been harassed, publicly humiliated, and terrorized. In the fall of 2022 I was suspended for fifteen days without pay and forced to go on leave due to allegations of discriminating against white males while my abuser, a convicted murderer who has victimized me throughout my life, sometimes at gunpoint, has kept his position and his paycheck as a director of a city funded organization with numerous allegations of sexual assault.

If this is how I'm being treated as a federal crime victim who has two graduate degrees and is a veteran teacher with almost 23 years of service in SFUSD and no discipline on my record until my abuser's 2019 parole, then what does this mean for other victims in San Francisco, in particular unhoused victims, whom the SHARP office has also been trying to support?

The city of San Francisco needs to extend the reporting time for sexual battery, and do more to understand the challenges victims of sexual violence have when they come forward.

The word "rape" is a difficult enough word to say or write. In order for me to be believed no one should have to show what has been in federal evidence for over forty years- photographs where I was raped and tortured by my abuser that were taken by his mentor, a former US Army staff sergeant and convicted child pornographer, who is currently facing charges for the rape and murder of a five year old girl in 1982. The specific details that I am able to finally find the words for about what was done to me should be enough, especially as what is in the photos show patterns to other murders, including the murder that my abuser's mentor is currently being charged with, and the 1979 San Francisco cold case murder of Jane, the girlfriend of Faerie Rainbow one of the designers of the Pride flag.

I request that the city of San Francisco do more to support victims of sexual violence and harassment. Additionally, I hope that the politicized, racialized, and gendered power plays in San Francisco will stop and people will come together. We

have to- there are things that have been happening that are destroying the soul and spirit of our city.

San Francisco has a choice right now what kind of city it wants to be- If it will finally hold my abuser accountable for the ways he has exploited the extensiveness of our history and the harm that he has caused upon his parole, as all the discipline I've received at my work can be traced back to him via third party.

--

Nicole Henares

<http://www.aureliadorca.com>

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Crayton, Monique \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment for 240389: [Hearing - Status of City Departments Handling Criminal Sexual Assaults and Sexual Harassment Allegations]
Date: Thursday, May 9, 2024 11:41:00 AM
Attachments: [Letter in Support of SHARP .pdf](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the below communication for File No. 240389.


File No. 240389 - Hearing to examine how sexual assault and sexual harassment allegations are handled by City law enforcement agencies when survivors come forward to seek justice against their attackers, in particular how the Police Department investigates sexual assault and harassment allegations and engages with survivors, and to explore the efficacy of the Office of Sexual Harassment and Assault Response and Prevention (SHARP), including how many complaints are made to the Office annually and how many get resolved, what does follow up with survivors of sexual assault and harassment look like, what policy recommendations have been made to improve the City's handling of sexual assault and harassment complaints; and requesting the Police Department, Office of the District Attorney, Director of the Human Rights Commission, and the Director of SHARP to report.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Justice for Jaxon Sales <justiceforjaxonsales@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 9, 2024 2:08 AM
To: Prager, Jackie (BOS) <jackie.prager@sfgov.org>; Logan, Sam (BOS) <sam.logan@sfgov.org>; Crayton, Monique (BOS) <monique.crayton@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; HRC-Office of S.H.A.R.P. <sharp@sfgov.org>
Cc: Garcia, Dulce (HRC) <dulce.a.garcia@sfgov.org>
Subject: Public Comment for 240389: [Hearing - Status of City Departments Handling Criminal Sexual Assaults and Sexual Harassment Allegations]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Please see the attached letter in support of SHARP.

Thank you,
Angie and Jim Sales

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Crayton, Monique \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Will sfpd address my My safety upon leaving this hearing
Date: Thursday, May 9, 2024 11:33:00 AM

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the below thread of Public Comments for File No. 240389 from Nicole Henares.

File No. 240389 - Hearing to examine how sexual assault and sexual harassment allegations are handled by City law enforcement agencies when survivors come forward to seek justice against their attackers, in particular how the Police Department investigates sexual assault and harassment allegations and engages with survivors, and to explore the efficacy of the Office of Sexual Harassment and Assault Response and Prevention (SHARP), including how many complaints are made to the Office annually and how many get resolved, what does follow up with survivors of sexual assault and harassment look like, what policy recommendations have been made to improve the City's handling of sexual assault and harassment complaints; and requesting the Police Department, Office of the District Attorney, Director of the Human Rights Commission, and the Director of SHARP to report.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Nicole Henares <nicolehenares@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 9, 2024 11:00 AM
To: HRC-Office of S.H.A.R.P. <sharp@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary (BOS) <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Lauren DaSilva <lauren@mtryrapecrisis.org>; Will Happy <WHappy@uesf.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Garcia, Dulce (HRC) <dulce.a.garcia@sfgov.org>; Prager, Jackie (BOS) <jackie.prager@sfgov.org>; meaghan@sfstandard.com; Crayton, Monique (BOS) <monique.crayton@sfgov.org>; Logan, Sam (BOS) <sam.logan@sfgov.org>
Subject: Will sfpd address my My safety upon leaving this hearing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The city funded organization works for is under rico investigation in Sausalito as a victim was attacked upon speaking publicly . Sfpd wouldn't even respond to the SHARP office's safety request today .

I've been listening to Captain Obrian for fourteen minutes and I still haven't heard one word That sounds like what Captain Olivia Benson would say on Law and Order SVU

<https://wraphome.org/2024/02/12/rico-lawsuit-filed-against-urban-alchemy-for-violence-and-drug-trafficking-at-sausalito-encampment/>

Nicole Henares

<http://www.aureliadorca.com>

On Thu, May 9, 2024 at 10:52 AM Nicole Henares <nicolehenares@gmail.com> wrote:

Since im sitting here having to listen to 14 minutes of SFPD's presentation around this issue I've added more to my statement that I hope will not put me beyond the 2 minutes I am allotted .

I've been an English teacher at Lowell High School since 2003. The SHARP office has been trying to support me for the last three years despite the statute of limitations around sexual battery and the complexity of a federally investigated history of sexual violence that for decades whenever I tried to write or talk about it I choked on my words .

Since coming forward, I've been harassed, publicly humiliated, and terrorized due to complaints about me at my work for allegedly discriminating against white males that connect back to my abuser via third party. In the fall of 2022 I was suspended for fifteen days without pay and forced to go on leave while my abuser, a convicted murderer who has victimized me all my life and on January 2, 2020 sadistically informed me how he had his teeth pulled to not be linked back to what he and his mentor did to me, not only has kept his position as a director of a city funded organization that has had numerous allegations of sexual assault, he got a promotion.

If this is how I'm being treated as a federal crime victim with 23 years of service as a teacher in SFUSD and no discipline on my record until my abuser's parole, then what does this mean for other victims, in particular unhoused victims, whom the SHARP office has been trying to support?

The word "rape" is a difficult enough word to say or write. In order for me to be believed no one should have to show what has been in federal evidence for over forty years- photographs where I was raped and tortured by my abuser that were taken by his mentor who is currently facing charges for the rape and murder of a five year old girl in 1982. The specific details that I'm finally able to talk about without having convulsions should be enough, especially as what is in the photos show patterns to other murders.

San Francisco needs to extend the reporting time for sexual battery, and do more to understand victims of sexual violence when they come forward.

I also request that the city of San Francisco stops politicizing and comes together around the systemic urgency of doing more to support and protect victims of sexual violence and harassment. We have to- the moral injuries of sexual violence are eroding the soul of our city, and causing devastation to us all.

Nicole Henares

<http://www.aureliatorca.com>

On Wed, May 8, 2024 at 5:00 PM Nicole Henares <nicolehenares@gmail.com> wrote:

San Francisco's Sexual Harassment And Prevention office has been trying to support me for the last three years despite the statute of limitations around sexual battery and the complexity of a federally investigated history of sexual violence that for decades whenever I tried to talk about I would lose my capacity for speech and go into convulsions.

Since coming forward about this history, I have been harassed, publicly humiliated, and terrorized. In the fall of 2022 I was suspended for fifteen days without pay and forced to go on leave due to allegations of discriminating against white males while my abuser, a convicted murderer who has victimized me throughout my life, sometimes at gunpoint, has kept his position and his paycheck as a director of a city funded organization with numerous allegations of sexual assault.

If this is how I'm being treated as a federal crime victim who has two graduate degrees and is a veteran teacher with almost 23 years of service in SFUSD and no discipline on my record until my abuser's 2019 parole, then what does this mean for other victims in San Francisco, in particular unhoused victims, whom the SHARP office has also been trying to support?

The city of San Francisco needs to extend the reporting time for sexual battery, and do more to understand the challenges victims of sexual violence have when they come forward.

The word "rape" is a difficult enough word to say or write. In order for me to be believed no one should have to show what has been in federal evidence for over forty years- photographs where I was raped and tortured by my abuser that were taken by his mentor, a former US Army staff sergeant and convicted child pornographer, who is currently facing charges for the rape and murder of a five year old girl in 1982. The specific details that I am able to finally find the words for about what was done to me should be enough, especially as what is in the photos show patterns to other murders, including the murder that my abuser's mentor is currently being charged with, and the 1979 San Francisco cold case murder of Jane, the girlfriend of Faerie Rainbow one of the designers of the Pride flag.

I request that the city of San Francisco do more to support victims of sexual violence and harassment. Additionally, I hope that the politicized, racialized, and gendered power plays in San Francisco will stop and people will come together. We have

to- there are things that have been happening that are destroying the soul and spirit of our city.

San Francisco has a choice right now what kind of city it wants to be- If it will finally hold my abuser accountable for the ways he has exploited the extensiveness of our history and the harm that he has caused upon his parole, as all the discipline I've received at my work can be traced back to him via third party.

--

Nicole Henares

<http://www.aureliatorca.com>

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Crayton, Monique \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comment for 240389: [Hearing - Status of City Departments Handling Criminal Sexual Assaults and Sexual Harassment Allegations]
Date: Thursday, May 9, 2024 10:26:00 AM

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the below Public Comment for File No. 240389.

File No. 240389 - Hearing to examine how sexual assault and sexual harassment allegations are handled by City law enforcement agencies when survivors come forward to seek justice against their attackers, in particular how the Police Department investigates sexual assault and harassment allegations and engages with survivors, and to explore the efficacy of the Office of Sexual Harassment and Assault Response and Prevention (SHARP), including how many complaints are made to the Office annually and how many get resolved, what does follow up with survivors of sexual assault and harassment look like, what policy recommendations have been made to improve the City's handling of sexual assault and harassment complaints; and requesting the Police Department, Office of the District Attorney, Director of the Human Rights Commission, and the Director of SHARP to report.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: HSU, EMMA <emhsu@s.sfusd.edu>
Sent: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 10:57 PM
To: Prager, Jackie (BOS) <jackie.prager@sfgov.org>; Logan, Sam (BOS) <sam.logan@sfgov.org>; Crayton, Monique (BOS) <monique.crayton@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; HRC-Office of S.H.A.R.P. <sharp@sfgov.org>
Cc: NGO, KASSIE <kango5@s.sfusd.edu>; MIA CHAN <michan6@s.sfusd.edu>; SUSANNA ZHANG <suzhang1@s.sfusd.edu>; RAVEN LACHANCE <ralachance@s.sfusd.edu>; AUDREY PARKER <auparker@s.sfusd.edu>; CARMEN ZHU <CAZHU2@s.sfusd.edu>; KARINA LOUIE <kalouie2@s.sfusd.edu>
Subject: Public Comment for 240389: [Hearing - Status of City Departments Handling Criminal Sexual

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

My name is Emma Hsu, an outgoing sophomore at George Washington High School. I write to you on behalf of the Students Against Sexual Assault (SASA) Club, representing not only the twenty students at Washington but the SASA clubs within the entire school district. We want to highlight the work and deep commitment SHARP has established within the community.

We firmly believe that it is absolutely essential to keep and continue funding SHARP, for they have always centered their attention on the needs and requests of the community. They're the ones that bridge the gap between survivors and city officials. Without SHARP, sexual assault survivors would not be able to receive justice. When government agencies fail to bring adequate change, it is SHARP who listens and takes action. SHARP interacts with the community directly, making sure survivors are heard and accurately represented, so that positive change can be made in our city. By being tied to the government, SHARP can be our voices that help the city create the necessary changes in policies addressing sexual assault and harassment.

On a personal level and as part of SASA, I have worked with SHARP countless times. We initially connected with them because we share the same goal on Youth & Safety, Education & Awareness on Prevention, Caring for Survivors, and overall Community Healing. As our connection with SHARP grew, I and so many others learned so much. The club officers and I were able to use our new-found knowledge as well as the countless, free, and accessible resources SHARP offers to educate youth about healthy relationships, consent, and the importance of showing solidarity to a sexual assault survivor and how to help them. Most recently, we raised awareness on Denim Day, a day to combat victim blaming and educate others, with help from SHARP. I hope our collaboration doesn't end here. In the future, our club members and I look forward to further working with SHARP to spread the SASA influence. Without SHARP, SASA would be unable to create sufficient change in our school. We would lack resources that are incredibly valuable for workshops. We would be unable to support other organizations that SHARP has connected us to, like Young Asian Women Against Violence (YAWAV). Most importantly, we couldn't have created a thriving, comforting space and reliable community for sexual assault survivors.

I may not know who you are, but I know for certain that we can agree on one thing: sexual assault is despicable. The feeling of having your body, your autonomy, your safety taken away from you is something that no one should have to go through. On behalf of my community and as someone who has experienced sexual assault, we ask and plead with you to keep and continue to fund SHARP. SHARP is how we create positive change. SHARP is how we comfort and bring survivors justice. SHARP is how we work to eliminate poor policies and bring about a safer environment for everyone of all genders, ages, and backgrounds. SHARP is timelessly essential to all of us.

Kind regards, Emma Hsu

This message was sent from a high school student using a Gmail account at San Francisco Unified School District. The content of the email should be related to education in support of 21st Century learning. If you have any questions regarding this message, please email gafe@t.sfusd.edu and include "Student email" in the subject line.

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Crayton, Monique \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 2 Letters Regarding File No. 240389
Date: Thursday, May 9, 2024 12:26:50 PM
Attachments: [2 Letters Regarding File No. 240389.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 2 Letters Regarding **File No. 240389**:

Hearing to examine how sexual assault and sexual harassment allegations are handled by City law enforcement agencies when survivors come forward to seek justice against their attackers, in particular how the Police Department investigates sexual assault and harassment allegations and engages with survivors, and to explore the efficacy of the Office of Sexual Harassment and Assault Response and Prevention (SHARP).

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Shella Cervantes](#)
To: [Crayton, Monique \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Public Comment for 240389: [Hearing - Status of City Departments Handling Criminal Sexual Assaults and Sexual Harassment Allegations]
Date: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 2:20:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board and the Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee:

I am a faculty member with the Women's and Gender Studies department at City College of San Francisco that teaches dual enrollment courses at Mission High School in a program called the Public Health Pathway, where my students learn theory, train in skills, and get field experience in sexual violence prevention, advocacy, and student leadership.

The SHARP office supports my dual enrollment SFUSD students in various ways, including a yearly internship site where Mission High Seniors practice the skills and theory they learned in their course work with me and prepare for their capstone project; yearly assistance in sending Public Health Pathway students to the "Shift Happens" Women's Policy Summit, where they learn directly from organizations and programs how they are working towards a gender equitable future; and programs and events like the Youth Self Defense Class where my students and other SFUSD students gained skills to protect themselves and their community. The SHARP office is a vital partner of our Public Health Pathway as we grow successful student leaders that practice at their school skills to engage in community work, succeed in college when they leave Mission High, and to work toward careers that they are passionate about that are supported by their experience working at the intersection of sexual violence prevention and public health.

I want to emphasize the successful partnership between the Public Health Pathway and SHARP and the critical, vital work that our programs are doing together.

Best,

Shella Muzelle Cervantes (They/Them)
Women's and Gender Studies Instructor
Women's Resource Center Faculty Adviser
City College of San Francisco
50 Frida Kahlo Way, SF 94112

From: [Adele Failes Carpenter](#)
To: [Crayton, Monique \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Public Comment for 240389: [Hearing - Status of City Departments Handling Criminal Sexual Assaults and Sexual Harassment Allegations]
Date: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 11:44:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board and the Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee:

I am the coordinator of Project SURVIVE, City College of San Francisco's 30-year-old sexual violence prevention peer education program and Women's and Gender Studies faculty at CCSF. I hope today's hearing can serve to clarify and support SHARP's ongoing work to see our public systems are responsive to the needs of survivors, and I also hope supervisors will take note of the meaningful work the office has done to reach the two-thirds of survivors who do not report being sexually assaulted. The SHARP office has been a valuable partner in our efforts to expand sexual violence prevention education and leadership development opportunities for survivors of gender-based violence at City College and in our school-based work in SFUSD high schools with the Expect Respect SF collaborative. We have worked with them closely on convening providers with the gender-based violence prevention collective and supporting and amplifying the work of student leaders at CCSF and SFUSD through internships, student summits, and public events and programming, all of which have contributed meaningfully to the work that we do to build the leadership of survivors and directly impacted communities.

Thank you,

--

Adele Failes-Carpenter (she/her)
Women's and Gender Studies Faculty &
Project SURVIVE Coordinator

Connect with us! Websites: www.ccsf.edu/survive | www.ccsf.edu/women
Instagram: [@projectsurvive](https://www.instagram.com/projectsurvive) | [@ccsfwomen_and_gender](https://www.instagram.com/ccsfwomen_and_gender) | [@ccsfwrc](https://www.instagram.com/ccsfwrc)

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Exclusive: BART's financial future getting worse with 'no backup plan' if Bay Area voters reject tax measure
Date: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 4:47:53 PM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding BART.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Marc Brenman <mbrenman001@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 4:41 PM
To: BetsyKeenanHMnu072011 <ebear3.ek@gmail.com>; PaulGrossmanHM <paulgrossman@comcast.net>; team@trevor4sf.com; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; info@sfdemocrats.org; Jamie.Parks@sfmta.com; senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov; Jeff.Sparks@sen.ca.gov; Judson.True@asm.ca.gov; Jake Decker <jake@togethersf.org>
Subject: Fwd: Exclusive: BART's financial future getting worse with 'no backup plan' if Bay Area voters reject tax measure

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This is really annoying, since BART has a dedicated funding source through sales taxes in the Bay Area counties. Whereas WMATA has to beg for money every year, and it isn't in a death spiral. Even an extremely well-run public transit system only earns about 30% of its operating funding at the fare box. They all rely on local, state, and federal subsidies. My guess is that

BART is poorly run. I suspect they're put too much money and effort into expansion, for example to San Jose. Whereas they should be concentrating on their core business, with safety, quality, cleanliness, and reliability as prime. It sounds like BART needs a good, professional, neutral, objective, expert performance and financial audit.

Marc Brenman
2636 Bryant St.
SF, CA 94110

mbrenman001@comcast.net


----- Original Message -----

From: "SFChronicle.com | News Alerts" <newsletters@sfchronicle.com>

To: mbrenman001@comcast.net

Date: 05/08/2024 7:06 PM EDT

Subject: Exclusive: BART's financial future getting worse with 'no backup plan' if Bay Area voters reject tax measure

Read more at SFChronicle.com. 

[sfchronicle.com](https://www.sfchronicle.com)

[View in Browser](#)

Breaking News




MAY 8, 2024

Digital Discovery Sale: 25¢ for Unlimited Digital Access

Join our community of subscribers
who enjoy the satisfaction of
finding something new every day.

[ACT NOW](#)

[Exclusive: BART's financial future getting worse with 'no backup plan' if Bay Area voters reject tax measure](#)



BART could enter a transit death spiral in less than 24 months, once the Bay Area transit agency runs out of emergency pandemic aid, and officials are pinning all their hopes for survival on voters' approval of a 2026 tax measure.

[Read More](#)



Sign Up

Sporting Green

Latest news on the Warriors, Giants, 49ers and more.

Sign Up

Marketing Banner Ad



**Digital
Discovery Sale: 25¢**

ACT NOW

Find something new every day
with unlimited digital access.

NO COMMITMENT | CANCEL ANYTIME



[Unsubscribe](#) | [Manage Preferences](#) | [Privacy Notice](#)



San Francisco Chronicle
901 Mission St., San Francisco, CA 94103
© 2024 Hearst Communications

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Gordon Mar's "Great Highway" Legislation Is Wrong for San Francisco from Karen Young
Date: Thursday, May 9, 2024 9:05:49 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding the Great Highway.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Karen Young <hello@openthegreathighway.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 2, 2024 6:58 PM
To: Mayor London Breed <london.breed.old@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Sweet, Alexandra C. (MYR) <Alexandra.C.Sweet@sfgov.org>; Elsbernd, Sean (MYR) <sean.elsbernd@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; info@openthegreathighway.com; RPDInfo, RPD (REC) <rpinfo@sfgov.org>
Subject: Gordon Mar's "Great Highway" Legislation Is Wrong for San Francisco from Karen Young

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



My name is **Karen Young**

My email address is kareny402@gmail.com

I am against Supervisor Gordon Mar's proposed legislation to keep the Great Highway in its current condition--closed from 12PM Friday through 6AM Monday for the next three years.

Supervisor Mar's proposal is misguided and would have a negative impact on the community.

The Great Highway is a major thoroughfare in our city, and the closure of such a vital artery would be disastrous. Traffic is gridlocked, businesses suffer, and emergency vehicles would have difficulty getting through. We cannot afford to disrupt the flow of traffic in our city.

I strongly urge you to vote against this poorly written legislation.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully submitted,
Karen Young

[Open the Great Highway Petition \(over 16,000+ signatures\)](#)

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Thursday, May 9, 2024 9:06:46 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding pedestrian safety.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Angela Niu <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 5:18 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Make Fulton Safe

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello. We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less. Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements. Lastly, please expedite the protected bike lanes project on Arguello Boulevard from Fulton to the Presidio.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Angela Niu
angela50334@gmail.com

601 Minnesota St, Apt 212
San Francisco, California 94107

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Recent Moments at the SFM
Date: Thursday, May 9, 2024 8:21:12 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding the SFMTA Board of Directors and Parking Authority Commission meeting on May 7, 2024.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Patricia Arack <parack@ccsf.edu>
Sent: Thursday, May 9, 2024 7:31 AM
To: Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: Recent Moments at the SFM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

When is someone going to stop the rogue wasteful and classist SFMTA? WHY ARE THEY

GETTING AWAY WITH DESTROYING SAN Francisco? Please read this forwarded, accurate account of the last meeting of the SF board of directors meeting. (I was there) Millions of dollars for the bike coalition in sole source contracts!! An unwanted bike lane harming city college students! When will it stop! Do something! Tumlin needs to go.

Patricia Arack
D4 Resident

From: Tomasita Medál <tomasitamedal@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 9, 2024 6:41:01 AM
To: madelinenmueller@gmail.com <madelinenmueller@gmail.com>; Fred Muhlheim <fmuhlheim@yahoo.com>; Marilee Hearn <marileehearn@sbcglobal.net>; Michael Adams <facilitato@aol.com>; Harry Bernstein <riquerique@yahoo.com>; Makula Godwin <makula.godwin@gmail.com>; Lisa Gutierrez Guzman <lggwwt@gmail.com>; Patricia Arack <parack@ccsf.edu>; Michael Lyon <mlyon01@comcast.net>; Adolfo Velasquez <fofovelasquez126@gmail.com>; Jean Barish <jeanbbarish@hotmail.com>; Tomasita Medál <tomasitamedal@gmail.com>; Nicky Trasvina <nrtrasvina@gmail.com>; Matt Boschetto <matt@matt4supervisor.com>
Subject: Fwd: Recent Moments at the SFMTA

Friends,
Since we have submitted this for publication, please review and correct any inaccuracies. I have been up all night doing this, so I am now going to bed. Please email me any changes you feel are needed.
Thank you.
Tomasita

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Tomasita Medál <tomasitamedal@gmail.com>
Date: Thu, May 9, 2024 at 6:24 AM
Subject: Recent Moments at the SFMTA
To: <erika@eltecolote.org>, Fátima Ramírez <fatima@accionlatina.org>, Juan Gonzales - Acción Latina <juan@accionlatina.org>, Tomasita Medál <tomasitamedal@gmail.com>

On Tuesday, May 7, 2024, the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors and Parking Authority Commission (SFMTA) had a regular meeting with a few items on their consent calendar. A member of the public asked to comment on item 10.4 so it was separated from the rest.

Item 10.4 read as follows: "Authorizing the Director of Transportation to execute Contract No. SFMTA-2024-06 with the San Francisco Bicycle Coalition

Education Fund for the amount of \$1,494,736 over a five-year term to provide bicycle education classes, scooter education classes, and outreach services. (Explanatory documents include a staff report and resolution.)"

When the Secretary of the Board read Item 10.4 aloud, a staff person went up to the Board and said, "The contract has been changed. Now it is \$1.5 million over three years with an option for two additional years." Nobody objected to the substantial change to the contract that had been posted for 72 hours before the meeting that was adding one million dollars to the contract and shortening the term for the initial \$1.5 million to three years.

One of the directors mentioned that he was uncomfortable with always awarding the contract to the Bicycle Coalition as the sole source contractor, for fifteen years, each year. The staff person said that he had sent out a notice of a request for proposals to about 110 people he thought might interested, but only the Bicycle Coalition had applied. The Directors asked the Bicycle Coalition staff who were they teaching to ride bikes and scooters under this contract. They answered that 64% of the students were women between the ages of 25 and 50. They indicated that all of the students were adults except for some classes for very young children. The Directors discussed this among themselves, and said that it would be better to break up the contract into smaller parcels next time.

A member of the public said to them that they were now going to approve a contract that made each year cost \$500,000, (\$200,000 more each year than the original, posted contract) with an option for two additional years at \$500,000 each. Nobody responded. The person commenting said that it seemed that the Bicycle Coalition was taking the bulk of the money, and farming out small contracts to community groups. They suggested that Bici del Pueblo, based in the Mission/Excelsior, which refurbishes old bikes and gives them to many kids and takes them on long bike rides, would be a good candidate to receive part of this grant. The Directors agreed and asked that next time staff do a better job of reaching out to more community groups. Then they unanimously approved the new contract.

The next night, Wednesday, the YBike program, run out of the YMCA's citywide, which teaches kids throughout the city how to ride bikes, was cancelled for lack of funds.

Next item on the Agenda, Item 11, on Tuesday May 7, 2024, of the SFMTA, was consideration of a "Quick-Build" project for Frida Kahlo Way, the main street running through City College of San Francisco. This plan would remove all of the parking on the east side of Frida Kahlo as well as two blocks on Judson Street around the curve past Frida Kahlo and create a two-way bicycle lane in its place. It would put only one lane in each direction of car traffic, and replace bus stops with bulb-outs, which would create traffic jams every time a bus would stop at one of the bulbs to load or unload passengers.

The "quick-build" program was designed to quickly modify streets that are "high accident corridors".

Frida Kahlo Way has not had an accident in more than five years and is not a high accident corridor.

It should not even be considered for a "quick build treatment" until bus service is greatly improved to City College and the new STEAM

Building and Performing Arts Education Center are completed. For decades it has had parking all along both sides of Frida Kahlo Way, and a bike lane in each direction. It has motorcycle parking, blue zones, and bus stops. It is peaceful, and does not have much bike traffic.

Several students, life-long learners, faculty and community members gave deeply heartfelt accounts of how much the parking spaces that would be lost make a critical difference for them. One student told of being afraid to ride MUNI because she has been on the receiving end of anti-Asian racist hate.

She now parks on Frida Kahlo Way and feels relatively safe walking to her car at night carrying the expensive equipment she needs for her photography classes.

The student body president told the Directors that the Student Council had passed a resolution in strong opposition to the proposal. Someone else read the statement from

the CCSF Board of Trustees asking that any action be postponed until there had been true community meetings at which issues from the public would be resolved.

Among the opponents of this plan are: Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods (24 neighborhood groups); the Mayor's Disability Council; the San Francisco Council of District Merchants (23 merchant associations); San Francisco Grey Panthers; SaveMUNI; Coalition on Homelessness SF; Accessible Streets and Parking; Save Our Amazing Richmond; Concerned Residents of the Sunset; Noe Valley Merchants and Professionals Association; Geary Boulevard Merchants Association; Oak Street Collective; Save Our Neighborhoods SF; Ester Hernandez; Juana Alicia, and many many more.

Another speaker said, "This project is completely unneeded. There already are bike lanes in both directions. This is a racist, classist move to make it harder for working class students to access

a precious education that could greatly improve their lives. Notice that the SFMTA is not implementing "quick builds" on Chestnut Street or Union Street or in any streets in wealthy neighborhoods.

Use funds where they are truly needed. Buy more buses and hire more drivers for the 29 bus line. The 29 line brings students from Hunters Point and the Mission to City College.

It crosses 27 schools and City College. Every day, buses are totally packed, and bypass lines of students at many stops because they are too full."

A veteran told of the Veterans' Center, the best in the country, that offers supportive services at all times for veterans. Veterans drive from as far away as Humboldt County to attend classes at City College,

because they know that there is a fellow veteran on duty at all times to help them if they have a PTSD incident. They need a place to park.

After hearing all of these entreaties from the community, the SFMTA Board of Directors voted unanimously to approve the immediate construction of the Frida

Kahlo Way Quick Build Project.

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Galvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [McHugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: SF's Parkmerced Plagued by Crime, Vermin, Squatters, Debt
Date: Thursday, May 9, 2024 9:05:00 AM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding Parkmerced.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosure: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

-----Original Message-----

From: Aaron Goodman <amgodman@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, May 3, 2024 6:39 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>
Subject: SF's Parkmerced Plagued by Crime, Vermin, Squatters, Debt

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Obsolescence and when is a building repairable or to be torn down? Maximus never met the info or review of the properties quality and durability. They have let the places deteriorate to save \$\$\$ not cool and needs to be investigated every unit every building. Rent reduction for all residents if they are found to be responsible for intentional neglect of the units and grounds they pass through costs and increase rents constantly.

https://url.avanaclick/v2/___https://therealdeal.com/sanfrancisco/2024/05/02/sfs-parkmerced-plagued-by-crime-vermin-squatters-debt/___YXAzOmNmZHQyOmE6bz0iODImMGVnZDhlNjRkZTBjY2E0ZTVlN2MwNmU3OTZlZj02OjMlNGE6MDY5ZWQxY2M2NjZjNGEwN2M3NWU5M2JlYTgyODQwOTU4NTk3ZmQ5NDg2MDU0NWE1NTE1YzcyYjZlODc2NTE2NjpwOIQ

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS Legislation. \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: 2023-011615CUA 469 Stevenson Parking Lot Extension Letter SOMCAN SOMA Pilipinas
Date: Thursday, May 9, 2024 11:58:05 AM
Attachments: [469 Stevenson CUA Parking Lot Extension 5.9.24 PC Hearing SOMCAN and SOMA Pilipinas.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached regarding Planning Commission project No. 2023-011615CUA 469 Stevenson.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: David Woo <david@somapilipinas.org>
Sent: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 8:19 PM
To: Diamond, Sue (CPC) <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Braun, Derek (CPC) <derek.braun@sfgov.org>; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Imperial, Theresa (CPC) <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>; Williams, Gilbert A (CPC) <gilbert.a.williams@sfgov.org>
Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; Ionin, Jonas (CPC) <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>; acabande <acabande@somcan.org>; Raquel Redondiez <raquel@somapilipinas.org>
Subject: 2023-011615CUA 469 Stevenson Parking Lot Extension Letter SOMCAN SOMA Pilipinas

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

President Diamond and Members of the Planning Commission, please see below and attached a letter from SOMA Pilipinas and the South of Market Community Action Network requesting the continuance of the item before you tomorrow 2023-011615CUA regarding the 469 Stevenson CUA parking lot extension.

Thank you,
David Woo

May 8, 2024

San Francisco Planning Commission
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 400

Re: 2023-011615CUA 469 Stevenson CUA Extension of Parking Lot Use

President Diamond and Members of the Planning Commission,

We are requesting a continuance of item 2023-011615CUA regarding the 469 Stevenson CUA for a five year extension of the parking lot use. More time must be given for all relevant parties to come together to discuss the future of this site given this request.

The 469 Stevenson residential project submitted by Build Inc was approved in April 2023 by the Planning Commission. The current request for a CUA extension of the use of the site as a parking lot, submitted by the owner of the site, reveals that there is no projected timeline or plan for the actual development of the site as housing by the site owner or the developer Build Inc given lack of financing.

We ask that the City seriously study and consider purchasing this site from the current owner for the purpose of developing 100% affordable housing. Given the affordability targets in our Housing Element goals, the City should take advantage of current market conditions, and prevent the owner and developer from the continued use of this land as a parking lot. The strongest supporters of the 469 Stevenson market-rate project expressed that this site must not sit as a parking lot. With the likelihood of a regional bond measure, now is the time for the City to acquire the site.

This CUA request also highlights the actual force behind market-rate housing development (and stalled projects) - finance capital, profit motive, and the return on investment for investors. The 469 Stevenson CEQA appeal did not stall this project, its financing issues did. This is further shown by Build Inc's attempt to sell their One Oak site in 2018 due to financing issues, and the eventual surrendering of the property to their lender in 2023. This is happening

at the same time that there are attempts at the state level to undermine and remove CEQA review for development in San Francisco (such as SB1227).

We ask the Commission to continue this item in order to allow for a serious discussion of the future of this site, its relation to our Housing Element goals, and debate about the merits of the site's continued use as a parking lot.

Thank you,

Angelica Cabande
Director, South of Market Community Action Network

Raquel Redondiez
Director, SOMA Pilipinas

--

David Woo
Community Development and Policy Coordinator
SOMA Pilipinas Cultural Heritage District



May 8, 2024

San Francisco Planning Commission
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 400

Re: 2023-011615CUA 469 Stevenson CUA Extension of Parking Lot Use

President Diamond and Members of the Planning Commission,

We are requesting a continuance of item 2023-011615CUA regarding the 469 Stevenson CUA for a five year extension of the parking lot use. More time must be given for all relevant parties to come together to discuss the future of this site given this request.

The 469 Stevenson residential project submitted by Build Inc was approved in April 2023 by the Planning Commission. The current request for a CUA extension of the use of the site as a parking lot, submitted by the owner of the site, reveals that there is no projected timeline or plan for the actual development of the site as housing by the site owner or the developer Build Inc given lack of financing.

We ask that the City seriously study and consider purchasing this site from the current owner for the purpose of developing 100% affordable housing. Given the affordability targets in our Housing Element goals, the City should take advantage of current market conditions, and prevent the owner and developer from the continued use of this land as a parking lot. The strongest supporters of the 469 Stevenson market-rate project expressed that this site must not sit as a parking lot. With the likelihood of a regional bond measure, now is the time for the City to acquire the site.

This CUA request also highlights the actual force behind market-rate housing development (and stalled projects) - finance capital, profit motive, and the return on investment for investors. The 469 Stevenson CEQA appeal did not stall this project, its financing issues did. This is further shown by Build Inc's attempt to sell their One Oak site in 2018 due to financing issues, and the eventual surrendering of the property to their lender in 2023. This is happening at the same time that there are attempts at the state level to undermine and remove CEQA review for development in San Francisco (such as SB1227).

We ask the Commission to continue this item in order to allow for a serious discussion of the future of this site, its relation to our Housing Element goals, and debate about the merits of the site's continued use as a parking lot.

Thank you,

Angelica Cabande
Director, South of Market Community Action Network

Raquel Redondiez
Director, SOMA Pilipinas

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS Legislation \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Please approve a citywide No Turn On Red policy to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable for people to cross the street...
Date: Thursday, May 9, 2024 12:15:48 PM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding **File No. 231016:**

Resolution urging the Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) to develop and implement a plan for No Turn On Red (NTOR) at every signalized intersection in San Francisco and approve a citywide NTOR policy.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Ms Moon <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 9, 2024 8:48 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please approve a citywide No Turn On Red policy to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable for people to cross the street...

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a citywide No Turn On Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. No Turn On Red has been proven to increase safety — especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities — including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn On Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis and a climate crisis, both of which require making it safer to get around without a car and encouraging people to shift trips from cars to public transportation and active transportation (e.g. bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility devices, etc.). Implementing No Turn On Red citywide will increase roadway safety (decrease roadway injuries) and help more people shift trips to walking, public transportation, and active transportation, making our city safer for people, especially people who are disproportionately negatively impacted by our roadway safety crisis and car-dominated transportation system (children, seniors, people living with disabilities, BIPOC). We need your leadership to make this street safety improvement now.

I urge you to support and approve No Turn On Red citywide to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco. Please do everything in your power to ensure No Turn On Red is implemented citywide as soon as possible.

For those of you in state-level office, please work on legislation to allow SFMTA to implement No Turn On Red without installing signs at every intersection — which would enable the City to implement No Turn On Red citywide faster at a significantly lower cost and using significantly less staff time — and legislation to implement No Turn On Red statewide.

Thank you,

Ms Moon

bodyintegration@yahoo.com

918 Broderick St Penthouse Apt Penthouse Apt
San Francisco, California 94115

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Urgent: Opposition to San Francisco Upzoning Proposal
Date: Thursday, May 9, 2024 12:21:50 PM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding the San Francisco Planning Department's (CPC) Expanding Housing Choice, Housing Element Zoning Program.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: jessicamathews34@everyactioncustom.com <jessicamathews34@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, May 3, 2024 8:50 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Urgent: Opposition to San Francisco Upzoning Proposal

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed upzoning in San Francisco. While the intent may be to address the affordable housing shortage, the current plan risks exacerbating issues and compromising the unique character of our neighborhoods.

The anticipated increase in luxury condos not only jeopardizes the topography and well-established, often historic and iconic, features of our neighborhoods but also raises concerns about the potential 'Manhattanization' of our residential communities. The added risk of increased traffic and strain on our infrastructure compounds these concerns.

I support Neighborhoods United SF and urge you to reconsider the current upzoning proposal. Exploring alternative solutions is crucial to genuinely addressing the affordable housing shortage without compromising our communities' integrity.

Thank you for your attention to this critical matter, and I appreciate your continued dedication to the well-being of our city.

Sincerely,
Jessica Mathews
San Francisco, CA 94123

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 2 Letters Regarding California Pacific Medical Center
Date: Thursday, May 9, 2024 12:24:35 PM
Attachments: [2 Letters Regarding California Pacific Medical Center.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 2 Letters Regarding California Pacific Medical Center.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [James Finora](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: May 7 Public Comment
Date: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 4:00:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

Please see my public comment below:

Hello, my name is Dr. Finora. I'm a psychiatry resident physician at CPMC and I'm asking the San Francisco Board of Supervisors to support the resolution calling on CPMC to bargain fairly with SEIU/CIR. As residents we are demanding safer work accommodations, a salary that keeps up with the cost of living in SF, and sick days that follow CA law. My colleagues and I frequently attend work sick as we only have 3 sick days per year and that has got to change. Our salaries are also well below other training hospitals in the area. Thank you for your time and for defending the healthcare providers that keep our county healthy.

Best,

James Finora

From: [Hannah Trautner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: May 7 Public Comment
Date: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 1:41:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

Please see my public comment below:

Hello, my name is Dr. Trautner. I'm a psychiatry resident physician at CPMC and I'm asking the San Francisco Board of Supervisors to support the resolution calling on CPMC to bargain fairly with SEIU/CIR. As residents we are demanding safer work accommodations, a salary that keeps up with the cost of living in SF, and sick days that follow CA law. I frequently go to work sick because we only have 3 sick days and struggle financially to live in the community that I serve, and that has got to change. Thank you for your time and for defending the healthcare providers that keep our county healthy.

Hannah Trautner, MD

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 12 Letters Regarding West Portal Station
Date: Thursday, May 9, 2024 12:32:47 PM
Attachments: [12 Letters Regarding West Portal Station.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached for 12 letters regarding the Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) West Portal Station Safety and Community Space Improvements Project at West Portal Avenue and Ulloa Street.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Sierra Watts](#)
To: mtaboard@sfmta.com; [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@sfcta.org; [SFOSB \(ECN\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Keep West Portal Open to ALL
Date: Thursday, May 2, 2024 1:56:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is **Sierra Watts**
My email address is **sierraw10@gmail.com**

I strongly object to the MTA draft plan that proposes limiting cars at the West Portal and Ulloa intersection. This proposal lacks evidence linking it to the recent car accident. While the cause of the accident remains undisclosed, the MTA hastily asserted the intersection's safety merely a week after the incident.

This plan seems like an opportunistic move, capitalizing on a recent tragedy for political gain, driven by advocacy groups disconnected from our neighborhood's realities. Despite alternative, more sensible traffic calming suggestions from merchants and residents, these have been disregarded.

Implementing this proposal would exacerbate traffic congestion on West Portal, harm local businesses, and inconvenience residents who rely on cars, including the elderly, families, disabled individuals, and commuters. Despite the MTA's acknowledgment that this intersection has a low history of injury incidents, they persist with this plan.

Instead of unilateral action, resources should be directed towards collaborating with the community to find effective traffic solutions and addressing genuinely hazardous areas. The lack of stakeholder involvement and the rushed 10-day feedback window demonstrate recklessness on the part of the MTA.

No changes should be made until the completion of the L Taraval project, allowing for a thorough evaluation of emerging traffic patterns. This plan must be retracted entirely, with residents and businesses directly engaged in any future alterations to West Portal traffic management.

Sincerely,
Sierra Watts

From: [May Louie](#)
To: mtaboard@sfmta.com; [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@sfcta.org; [SFOSB \(ECN\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Keep West Portal Open to ALL
Date: Friday, May 3, 2024 11:37:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is **May Louie**
My email address is **sorties.ink.0r@icloud.com**

I strongly object to the MTA draft plan that proposes limiting cars at the West Portal and Ulloa intersection. This proposal lacks evidence linking it to the recent car accident. While the cause of the accident remains undisclosed, the MTA hastily asserted the intersection's safety merely a week after the incident.

This plan seems like an opportunistic move, capitalizing on a recent tragedy for political gain, driven by advocacy groups disconnected from our neighborhood's realities. Despite alternative, more sensible traffic calming suggestions from merchants and residents, these have been disregarded.

Implementing this proposal would exacerbate traffic congestion on West Portal, harm local businesses, and inconvenience residents who rely on cars, including the elderly, families, disabled individuals, and commuters. Despite the MTA's acknowledgment that this intersection has a low history of injury incidents, they persist with this plan.

Instead of unilateral action, resources should be directed towards collaborating with the community to find effective traffic solutions and addressing genuinely hazardous areas. The lack of stakeholder involvement and the rushed 10-day feedback window demonstrate recklessness on the part of the MTA.

No changes should be made until the completion of the L Taraval project, allowing for a thorough evaluation of emerging traffic patterns. This plan must be retracted entirely, with residents and businesses directly engaged in any future alterations to West Portal traffic management.

Sincerely,
May Louie

From: [Mariann Ward](#)
To: mtaboard@sfmta.com; [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@sfcta.org; [SFOSB \(ECN\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Keep West Portal Open to ALL
Date: Friday, May 3, 2024 11:51:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is **Mariann Ward**
My email address is **mmward89@gmail.com**

I strongly object to the MTA draft plan that proposes limiting cars at the West Portal and Ulloa intersection. This proposal lacks evidence linking it to the recent car accident. While the cause of the accident remains undisclosed, the MTA hastily asserted the intersection's safety merely a week after the incident.

This plan seems like an opportunistic move, capitalizing on a recent tragedy for political gain, driven by advocacy groups disconnected from our neighborhood's realities. Despite alternative, more sensible traffic calming suggestions from merchants and residents, these have been disregarded.

Implementing this proposal would exacerbate traffic congestion on West Portal, harm local businesses, and inconvenience residents who rely on cars, including the elderly, families, disabled individuals, and commuters. Despite the MTA's acknowledgment that this intersection has a low history of injury incidents, they persist with this plan.

Instead of unilateral action, resources should be directed towards collaborating with the community to find effective traffic solutions and addressing genuinely hazardous areas. The lack of stakeholder involvement and the rushed 10-day feedback window demonstrate recklessness on the part of the MTA.

No changes should be made until the completion of the L Taraval project, allowing for a thorough evaluation of emerging traffic patterns. This plan must be retracted entirely, with residents and businesses directly engaged in any future alterations to West Portal traffic management.

Sincerely,
Mariann Ward

From: [Carol Parks](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I oppose the SFMTA draft plan that proposes limiting cars at the West Portal and Ulloa intersection
Date: Friday, May 3, 2024 2:04:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to SFMTA, Mayor, and the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Carol Parks
Email carolprks@yahoo.co
I live in District

I oppose the SFMTA draft plan that proposes limiting cars at the West Portal and Ulloa intersection

Message: Dear SFMTA, Mayor Breed, and SF Supervisors,

I strongly oppose the SFMTA draft plan to limit cars on the West Portal and Ulloa intersection. This proposal has no correlation to the actual recent car accident. In fact, no cause for the accident has yet to be released, but SFMTA did, a week after the incident, publicly announce that the intersection was safe and did not at all contribute to the accident. This plan is clearly an opportunistic effort to capitalize on a recent tragedy and done for political purposes at the behest of advocacy groups that have no connection with or understanding of our neighborhood.

The merchants and neighbors have repeatedly offered more sensible and cost-effective measures for traffic calming, and none have ever been seriously considered. This proposal will worsen the traffic on West Portal, decimate the business of local merchants, and frustrate the countless elderly, family, disabled, and commuter residents who rely on the use of cars. The SFMTA admits that this intersection is NOT one that has a high injury incident history. Resources would be better spent collaborating with neighbors and merchants on traffic flow solutions, and putting SFMTA money toward actually dangerous traffic areas. The total lack of

collaboration with stakeholders on this issue and giving residents a 10-day “opportunity” to provide feedback is reckless and irresponsible. Absolutely no changes should be made until the L Taraval project is completed and the new patterns arising from there are evaluated. This plan needs to be completely retracted and residents and businesses need to be directly involved in ANY changes to the West Portal traffic.

From: [Sandra Celi](#)
To: mtaboard@sfmta.com; [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@sfcta.org; [SFOSB \(ECN\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Keep West Portal Open to ALL
Date: Friday, May 3, 2024 2:31:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is **Sandra Celi**
My email address is **sandraceli@live.com**

I strongly object to the MTA draft plan that proposes limiting cars at the West Portal and Ulloa intersection. This proposal lacks evidence linking it to the recent car accident. While the cause of the accident remains undisclosed, the MTA hastily asserted the intersection's safety merely a week after the incident.

This plan seems like an opportunistic move, capitalizing on a recent tragedy for political gain, driven by advocacy groups disconnected from our neighborhood's realities. Despite alternative, more sensible traffic calming suggestions from merchants and residents, these have been disregarded.

Implementing this proposal would exacerbate traffic congestion on West Portal, harm local businesses, and inconvenience residents who rely on cars, including the elderly, families, disabled individuals, and commuters. Despite the MTA's acknowledgment that this intersection has a low history of injury incidents, they persist with this plan.

Instead of unilateral action, resources should be directed towards collaborating with the community to find effective traffic solutions and addressing genuinely hazardous areas. The lack of stakeholder involvement and the rushed 10-day feedback window demonstrate recklessness on the part of the MTA.

No changes should be made until the completion of the L Taraval project, allowing for a thorough evaluation of emerging traffic patterns. This plan must be retracted entirely, with residents and businesses directly engaged in any future alterations to West Portal traffic management.

Sincerely,
Sandra Celi

From: [Alessandro Celi](#)
To: mtaboard@sfmta.com; [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@sfcta.org; [SFOSB \(ECN\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Keep West Portal Open to ALL
Date: Friday, May 3, 2024 2:32:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is **Alessandro Celi**
My email address is **celifour@comcast.net**

I strongly object to the MTA draft plan that proposes limiting cars at the West Portal and Ulloa intersection. This proposal lacks evidence linking it to the recent car accident. While the cause of the accident remains undisclosed, the MTA hastily asserted the intersection's safety merely a week after the incident.

This plan seems like an opportunistic move, capitalizing on a recent tragedy for political gain, driven by advocacy groups disconnected from our neighborhood's realities. Despite alternative, more sensible traffic calming suggestions from merchants and residents, these have been disregarded.

Implementing this proposal would exacerbate traffic congestion on West Portal, harm local businesses, and inconvenience residents who rely on cars, including the elderly, families, disabled individuals, and commuters. Despite the MTA's acknowledgment that this intersection has a low history of injury incidents, they persist with this plan.

Instead of unilateral action, resources should be directed towards collaborating with the community to find effective traffic solutions and addressing genuinely hazardous areas. The lack of stakeholder involvement and the rushed 10-day feedback window demonstrate recklessness on the part of the MTA.

No changes should be made until the completion of the L Taraval project, allowing for a thorough evaluation of emerging traffic patterns. This plan must be retracted entirely, with residents and businesses directly engaged in any future alterations to West Portal traffic management.

Sincerely,
Alessandro Celi

From: [Tina Celi](#)
To: mtaboard@sfmta.com; [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@sfcta.org; [SFOSB \(ECN\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Keep West Portal Open to ALL
Date: Friday, May 3, 2024 2:32:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is **Tina Celi**
My email address is **celifour@comcast.net**

I strongly object to the MTA draft plan that proposes limiting cars at the West Portal and Ulloa intersection. This proposal lacks evidence linking it to the recent car accident. While the cause of the accident remains undisclosed, the MTA hastily asserted the intersection's safety merely a week after the incident.

This plan seems like an opportunistic move, capitalizing on a recent tragedy for political gain, driven by advocacy groups disconnected from our neighborhood's realities. Despite alternative, more sensible traffic calming suggestions from merchants and residents, these have been disregarded.

Implementing this proposal would exacerbate traffic congestion on West Portal, harm local businesses, and inconvenience residents who rely on cars, including the elderly, families, disabled individuals, and commuters. Despite the MTA's acknowledgment that this intersection has a low history of injury incidents, they persist with this plan.

Instead of unilateral action, resources should be directed towards collaborating with the community to find effective traffic solutions and addressing genuinely hazardous areas. The lack of stakeholder involvement and the rushed 10-day feedback window demonstrate recklessness on the part of the MTA.

No changes should be made until the completion of the L Taraval project, allowing for a thorough evaluation of emerging traffic patterns. This plan must be retracted entirely, with residents and businesses directly engaged in any future alterations to West Portal traffic management.

Sincerely,
Tina Celi

From: [Tim Hornbecker](#)
To: mtaboard@sfmta.com; [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@sfcta.org; [SFOSB \(ECN\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Keep West Portal Open to ALL
Date: Saturday, May 4, 2024 10:38:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is **Tim Hornbecker**
My email address is **timhornbecker415@gmail.com**

I strongly object to the MTA draft plan that proposes limiting cars at the West Portal and Ulloa intersection. This proposal lacks evidence linking it to the recent car accident. While the cause of the accident remains undisclosed, the MTA hastily asserted the intersection's safety merely a week after the incident.

This plan seems like an opportunistic move, capitalizing on a recent tragedy for political gain, driven by advocacy groups disconnected from our neighborhood's realities. Despite alternative, more sensible traffic calming suggestions from merchants and residents, these have been disregarded.

Implementing this proposal would exacerbate traffic congestion on West Portal, harm local businesses, and inconvenience residents who rely on cars, including the elderly, families, disabled individuals, and commuters. Despite the MTA's acknowledgment that this intersection has a low history of injury incidents, they persist with this plan.

Instead of unilateral action, resources should be directed towards collaborating with the community to find effective traffic solutions and addressing genuinely hazardous areas. The lack of stakeholder involvement and the rushed 10-day feedback window demonstrate recklessness on the part of the MTA.

No changes should be made until the completion of the L Taraval project, allowing for a thorough evaluation of emerging traffic patterns. This plan must be retracted entirely, with residents and businesses directly engaged in any future alterations to West Portal traffic management.

Sincerely,
Tim Hornbecker

From: [Sally Casazza](#)
To: mtaboard@sfmta.com; [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@sfcta.org; [SFOSB \(ECN\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Keep West Portal Open to ALL
Date: Saturday, May 4, 2024 11:52:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is **Sally Casazza**

My email address is **sallyclauστοyprogram@gmail.com**

I strongly object to the MTA draft plan that proposes limiting cars at the West Portal and Ulloa intersection. This proposal lacks evidence linking it to the recent car accident. While the cause of the accident remains undisclosed, the MTA hastily asserted the intersection's safety merely a week after the incident.

This plan seems like an opportunistic move, capitalizing on a recent tragedy for political gain, driven by advocacy groups disconnected from our neighborhood's realities. Despite alternative, more sensible traffic calming suggestions from merchants and residents, these have been disregarded.

Implementing this proposal would exacerbate traffic congestion on West Portal, harm local businesses, and inconvenience residents who rely on cars, including the elderly, families, disabled individuals, and commuters. Despite the MTA's acknowledgment that this intersection has a low history of injury incidents, they persist with this plan.

Instead of unilateral action, resources should be directed towards collaborating with the community to find effective traffic solutions and addressing genuinely hazardous areas. The lack of stakeholder involvement and the rushed 10-day feedback window demonstrate recklessness on the part of the MTA.

No changes should be made until the completion of the L Taraval project, allowing for a thorough evaluation of emerging traffic patterns. This plan must be retracted entirely, with residents and businesses directly engaged in any future alterations to West Portal traffic management.

Sincerely,
Sally Casazza

From: [Rydell Downward](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); mtaboard@sfmta.com; [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@sfcta.org; [SFOSB \(ECN\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: West Portal
Date: Monday, May 6, 2024 12:16:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern:

I am a long time resident of Forest Hill, and I've always frequently gone to West Portal for shopping and dining. I spend plenty of time there as both a driver and a pedestrian.

At no time have I ever felt unsafe at the intersection of West Portal Ave. and Ulloa, or any other place on that strip. While the intersection at the station can be a little chaotic and congested, the extreme measures proposed to remedy it seem far beyond reasonable.

The recent accident at Ulloa and Lenox which sparked the movement to alter the entire block near West Portal station was a heartbreaking tragedy. Yet it was a freak accident which none of the proposed changes would have prevented. The event is being cynically used to force an agenda to transform a portion of West Portal into a pedestrian plaza.

Rather than altering and limiting the traffic routes near that intersection, it would appear to me that the simple addition of a traffic signal would do all that is necessary to bring increased safety and order there without having a negative impact on the businesses or traffic flow there.

If anything creates an unsafe situation along West Portal, it's the parklets attached to restaurants and cafes which limit parking and cause congestion due to people hunting for parking and double-parking for pick-ups and deliveries.

Rydell Downward
District 7 resident
San Francisco, CA

From: [JO MURRAY](#)
To: mtaboard@sfmta.com; [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@sfcta.org; [SFOSB \(ECN\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Keep West Portal Open to ALL
Date: Monday, May 6, 2024 1:27:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is **JO MURRAY**
My email address is **jo.murray@me.com**

I strongly object to the MTA draft plan that proposes limiting cars at the West Portal and Ulloa intersection. This proposal lacks evidence linking it to the recent car accident. While the cause of the accident remains undisclosed, the MTA hastily asserted the intersection's safety merely a week after the incident.

This plan seems like an opportunistic move, capitalizing on a recent tragedy for political gain, driven by advocacy groups disconnected from our neighborhood's realities. Despite alternative, more sensible traffic calming suggestions from merchants and residents, these have been disregarded.

Implementing this proposal would exacerbate traffic congestion on West Portal, harm local businesses, and inconvenience residents who rely on cars, including the elderly, families, disabled individuals, and commuters. Despite the MTA's acknowledgment that this intersection has a low history of injury incidents, they persist with this plan.

Instead of unilateral action, resources should be directed towards collaborating with the community to find effective traffic solutions and addressing genuinely hazardous areas. The lack of stakeholder involvement and the rushed 10-day feedback window demonstrate recklessness on the part of the MTA.

No changes should be made until the completion of the L Taraval project, allowing for a thorough evaluation of emerging traffic patterns. This plan must be retracted entirely, with residents and businesses directly engaged in any future alterations to West Portal traffic management.

Sincerely,
JO MURRAY

From: [Firas Bukhari](#)
To: mtaboard@sfmta.com; [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@sfcta.org; [SFOSB \(ECN\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Keep West Portal Open to ALL
Date: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 9:34:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is **Firas Bukhari**
My email address is **firasbukhari@gmail.com**

I strongly object to the MTA draft plan that proposes limiting cars at the West Portal and Ulloa intersection. This proposal lacks evidence linking it to the recent car accident. While the cause of the accident remains undisclosed, the MTA hastily asserted the intersection's safety merely a week after the incident.

This plan seems like an opportunistic move, capitalizing on a recent tragedy for political gain, driven by advocacy groups disconnected from our neighborhood's realities. Despite alternative, more sensible traffic calming suggestions from merchants and residents, these have been disregarded.

Implementing this proposal would exacerbate traffic congestion on West Portal, harm local businesses, and inconvenience residents who rely on cars, including the elderly, families, disabled individuals, and commuters. Despite the MTA's acknowledgment that this intersection has a low history of injury incidents, they persist with this plan.

Instead of unilateral action, resources should be directed towards collaborating with the community to find effective traffic solutions and addressing genuinely hazardous areas. The lack of stakeholder involvement and the rushed 10-day feedback window demonstrate recklessness on the part of the MTA.

No changes should be made until the completion of the L Taraval project, allowing for a thorough evaluation of emerging traffic patterns. This plan must be retracted entirely, with residents and businesses directly engaged in any future alterations to West Portal traffic management.

Sincerely,
Firas Bukhari

