

File No. 220698

Petitions and Communications received from June 2, 2022, through June 9, 2022, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on June 14, 2022.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From concerned citizens, regarding proposed Ordinance adopting and implementing the Second Amendment to the 2018-2023 Memorandum of Understanding between the City and County of San Francisco and the San Francisco Police Officers Association. File No. 220594. 142 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (1)

From concerned citizens, regarding a proposed Ordinance amending the Administrative Code - Shelter and Permanent Supportive Housing Expansion Program ("Place for All Program"). File No. 220281. 11 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (2)

From concerned citizens, regarding a Charter Amendment (First Draft) to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to create the Homelessness Oversight Commission to oversee the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing. File No. 220535. 5 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (3)

From concerned citizens, regarding a public hearing to consider the Resolution of Intention to modify the Management District Plan for the Dogpatch and Northwest Potrero Hill Green Benefit District. File No. 220524. 5 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (4)

From Amy Hull, regarding a Hearing on the review and status of the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development's (MOHCD) Below Market Rate (BMR) Program. File No. 211276. Copy: Each Supervisor. (5)

From concerned citizens, regarding a proposed Resolution renaming Hahn Street, between Visitacion Avenue and Sunnydale Avenue, to "Mrs. Jackson Way;" with additional post passage directives to Public Works, the Municipal Transportation Agency, and the County Surveyor. File No. 220401. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (6)

From Dennis Hong, submitting letters regarding a proposed Ordinance amending the Planning Code to extend the time, from three to six years from the date of a fire, for a temporary closure of a liquor store in the North Beach Neighborhood Commercial District (NCD). File No. 220342: Copy Each Supervisor. (7)

From concerned citizens, regarding John F. Kennedy Drive. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (8)

From Mike Regan, regarding the Great Highway. Copy: Each Supervisor. (9)

From California Fish and Game Commission, submitting notices for an open house at the new California Natural Resources Agency Headquarters building and a revised meeting agenda for their June 15-16 meeting. 2 Notices. Copy: Each Supervisor. (10)

From the Ingleside Merchants Association, regarding vandalism on Ocean Avenue. Copy: Each Supervisor. (11)

From Shon Buford, regarding various Memorandums of Understanding. File Nos. 220569, 22580, 220592, 220593, 220594, 220595 and 220596. Copy: Each Supervisor. (12)

From Eileen Boken, regarding proposed legislation before the Board of Supervisors. 2 Letters. File Nos. 220650 and 220498. Copy: Each Supervisor. (13)

From the San Francisco Council of District Merchants Associations, regarding a proposed ordinance amending the Administrative Code to require the Police Department to create a Community Policing Plan ("CPP") at each district police station. File No. 220240. Copy: Each Supervisor. (14)

From the County of Santa Clara, regarding various pieces of legislation in development. Copy: Each Supervisor. (15)

From concerned citizen, regarding masking requirements. Copy: Each Supervisor. (16)

From Allen Jones, regarding Juvenile Hall. Copy: Each Supervisor. (17)

From concerned citizens, regarding the Civil Grand Jury report on the Hunters Point Naval Shipyard. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (18)

From Michael Nohr, regarding property taxes. Copy: Each Supervisor. (19)

From the San Francisco Jail Justice Coalition, regarding the Cameo House. Copy: Each Supervisor. (20)

From the California Department of Parks and Recreation, submitting notices for nominations for historic preservation for the Palace of Fine Arts and Timothy L. Pflueger House. Copy: Each Supervisor. (21)

From The Green Cross, regarding the cannabis industry. Copy: Each Supervisor. (22)

From David Hutchinson, regarding the Richmond District. Copy: Each Supervisor. (23)

From California Public Utilities Commission, submitting notice of a project located at 525 Golden Gate Avenue. Copy: Each Supervisor. (24)

From concerned citizens, regarding Hearing on the near-term funding needs to implement the 2021 San Francisco Climate Action Plan; and requesting the Department of the Environment to report. 4 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (25)

From concerned citizens, regarding the San Francisco District Attorney. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (26)

From the Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Board, submitting Annual Report on Eviction Notices. Copy: Each Supervisor. (27)

From San Francisco Recreation and Parks, submitting a Declaration of Emergency for an emergency contract for SF Marina West Harbor Dredging Project. Copy: Each Supervisor. (28)

From Dennis Hong, regarding housing issues. Copy: Each Supervisor. (29)

From the Office of the City Attorney, submitting Directive of the Health Officer Nos. 2020-02h and 2020-03g. Copy: Each Supervisor. (30)

From the President of the Board of Supervisors, calling for a Special Meeting of the Board of Supervisors on June 22, 2022 at 10.00 a.m. Copy: Each Supervisor (31)

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Perkinson, Jessica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 142 Letters Regarding File #220594
Date: Thursday, June 9, 2022 1:53:00 PM
Attachments: [142 Letters Regarding File #220594.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

File #220594 - Ordinance adopting and implementing the Second Amendment to the 2018-2023 Memorandum of Understanding between the City and County of San Francisco and the San Francisco Police Officers Association, to restore effective June 30, 2022, a deferred one percent (1%) base wage increase originally due on July 1, 2020.

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184 | (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Marisa Rodriguez](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Support for Mayor's MOU with SF Police Officers Association (Item #220594)
Date: Thursday, June 2, 2022 12:33:01 PM
Attachments: [image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

-
Subject: Support for Mayor's MOU with San Francisco Police Officers Association (Item #220594)

-
Dear Government, Audit and Committee Members,

I am writing *on behalf of the Union Square Alliance* to ask your support for Mayor's MOU with the San Francisco Police Officers Association. Please approve and move this MOU forward and allow the City to fill the hundreds of vacant police officer positions and get more officers on our streets. This will help ensure the safety of our workers, residents and visitors. *I am currently a resident of D3 soon to move to D7.*

I feel that it is important to have police officers out on the street engaging in community policing and addressing crime. The recovery of our city is dependent on safety and a fully staffed SFPD will play an important role protecting not only our citizens but also our economy.

Union Square is the heart of the city. Without it being safe and clean for all residents and visitors to enjoy, our city will suffer economically. Let's continue to be a healthy and safe engine of innovation, love and thought. Let's keep San Francisco safe.

We are urgently requesting you approve and move forward the MOU with the San Francisco Police Officer's the resources needed to achieve the full staffing levels that have been outlined by the department.

Thank you for your support.

Thank you,
Marisa Rodriguez

Marisa Rodriguez
Executive Director



415-781-7880 x102

marisa@unionsquarealliance.com

291 Geary Street, Suite 200
San Francisco, CA 94102

www.visitunionsquaresf.com

Member Services 415-781-4456



The Union Square Business Improvement District is now the Union Square Alliance.
Please make note of our new name and email address.

From: [Josel Salalima](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support for funds to recruit and retain police officers
Date: Thursday, June 2, 2022 1:10:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Josel Salalima and I have lived in San Francisco for 12 years. I live in the tenderloin neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you provide the resources needed for our police department to recruit and retain enough officers to fill its staffing shortage.

We need to hire new police officers and keep the ones we've invested in. The mayor's hiring plan will get more officers on the streets and in our neighborhoods. The Board of Supervisors should support it.

Residents and small businesses want more police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins at people's homes and businesses, and deal with the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Thank you,
Josel Salalima

From: [Ashesh Manandhar](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Support for Mayor's MOU with San Francisco Police Officers Association
Date: Thursday, June 2, 2022 1:51:36 PM
Attachments: [ATT00001.png](#)
[ATT00002.png](#)
[ATT00003.png](#)
[ATT00004.png](#)
[ATT00005.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair Preston and members of the Government, Audit and Committee Members,

I am writing to ask your support for the MOU with the San Francisco Police Officers Association. Please approve this MOU to enable the City to attract, retain and fill the hundreds of vacant police officer positions. This will help ensure the safety of our workers, residents and visitors.

I feel that it is important to have police officers out on the street engaging in community policing and addressing crime. The recovery of our city is dependent on safety and a fully staffed SFPD will play an important role protecting not only our citizens but also our economy.

I commute to work 5 days from the east bay and every day I see smashed windows of the car on the streets I drive on and next to our work. Sometimes I have even seen it myself and sadly cannot do anything to help. I myself am lucky to have a garage provided by work but I have stopped visiting SF on weekends because of it. I fear for the safety of my child and my family members when I'm in SF. I remember the time when it was not like this and I really use to enjoy coming here for fun activities but now the fear is always in the back of my mind. I hope it does not reach a point of no return.

Please support the MOU with the San Francisco Police Officer's Association to ensure the resources needed to attract and retain police officers to fill the existing staffing deficits and make our streets and communities safer.

Thank you for your support.

Ashesh Manandhar
Revenue Analyst

NOBLE HOUSE
HOTELS & RESORTS

Argonaut Hotel
Hotel Zoe Fisherman's Wharf
495 Jefferson Street, San Francisco, CA 94109

(o) 415-345-5516

AManandhar@noblehousehotels.com



From: [Robert Emmons](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: maorylondonbreed@sfgov.org
Subject: Support for Mayor's MOU with SF Police Officers Assoc.
Date: Thursday, June 2, 2022 2:04:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair Preston and members of the Government, Audit and Committee Members,

I am writing *as a small business owner of San Francisco Mercantile and Welcome Haight & Ashbury, 2 stores in the Haight* to ask your support for the MOU with the San Francisco Police Officers Association. Please approve this MOU to enable the City to attract, retain and fill the hundreds of vacant police officer positions. This will help ensure the safety of our workers, residents and visitors. I also have a warehouse in the Bayview and I live in the Castro.

I feel that it is important to have police officers out on the street engaging in community policing and addressing crime. The recovery of our city is dependent on safety and a fully staffed SFPD will play an important role protecting not only our citizens but also our economy.

As a resident and business owner in San Francisco I want to see more attention given to police officers on beat patrols walking and biking in our commercial corridors as well as more attention to car breaks ins, and quality of life issues that make living here challenging and visitors leaving with a negative experience.

Please support the MOU with the San Francisco Police Officer's Association to ensure the resources needed to attract and retain police officers to fill the existing staffing deficits and make our streets and communities safer.

Thank you for your support.

Robert Emmons

owner, SF Mercantile



Robert Emmons, owner/designer
remmons@sfmercantile.com cell 415.606.9097 fax 415.817.1515
warehouse: 1770 Yosemite Ave., SF, CA 94124
SFM Store: 1698 Haight St., SF, CA 94117
Welcome Center, Golden Gate Park: JFK & Nancy Pelosi Dr.

Welcome, Haight & Ashbury: 1500 Haight St., SF, CA 94117
www.sfmercantile.com

From: [remmons](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Support for Mayor's MOU with SF Police Officers Assoc.
Date: Thursday, June 2, 2022 2:07:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair Preston and members of the Government, Audit and Committee Members,

I am writing *as a small business owner of San Francisco Mercantile and Welcome Haight & Ashbury, 2 stores in the Haight* to ask your support for the MOU with the San Francisco Police Officers Association. Please approve this MOU to enable the City to attract, retain and fill the hundreds of vacant police officer positions. This will help ensure the safety of our workers, residents and visitors. I also have a warehouse in the Bayview and I live in the Castro.

I feel that it is important to have police officers out on the street engaging in community policing and addressing crime. The recovery of our city is dependent on safety and a fully staffed SFPD will play an important role protecting not only our citizens but also our economy.

As a resident and business owner in San Francisco I want to see more attention given to police officers on beat patrols walking and biking in our commercial corridors as well as more attention to car breaks ins, and quality of life issues that make living here challenging and visitors leaving with a negative experience.

Please support the MOU with the San Francisco Police Officer's Association to ensure the resources needed to attract and retain police officers to fill the existing staffing deficits and make our streets and communities safer.

Thank you for your support.

Robert Emmons

owner, SF Mercantile



Robert Emmons, owner/designer
remmons@sfmercantile.com cell 415.606.9097 fax 415.817.1515

warehouse: 1770 Yosemite Ave., SF, CA 94124

SFM Store: 1698 Haight St., SF, CA 94117

Welcome Center, Golden Gate Park: JFK & Nancy Pelosi Dr.

Welcome, Haight & Ashbury: 1500 Haight St., SF, CA 94117

www.sfmercantile.com

From: [Perkinson, Jessica \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Additional Police
Date: Thursday, June 2, 2022 3:02:42 PM

Good afternoon,
Forwarding on this general public comment for posting. I will add it to the items from today regarding the Police Department MOUs. Please contact me should there be any questions or concerns. Thank you.

Jessica Perkinson
Pronouns: she/her/hers
Assistant Clerk
San Francisco Board of Supervisors | Office of the Clerk of the Board
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Jessica.Perkinson@sfgov.org | (415) 554-7722

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Michael Samson <michaelhsamson@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, June 1, 2022 4:08 PM
To: Perkinson, Jessica (BOS) <jessica.perkinson@sfgov.org>
Subject: Additional Police

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We moved to San Francisco in January, 1972. It was a much safer and cleaner city and as our children were growing up I could take them to many places around the city and was proud and happy to take visiting family and friends around the town.

This is no longer the case. Much of the downtown area is vacant in the evening and during the day. It is not safe to park your vehicle and broken glass from car break ins is a common sight. Asians, elderly, and others are assaulted as they walk. One can go shopping and see people load up and leave without paying. Businesses are closing because of crime and grime is everywhere.

San Francisco was 'The city that know how'! Now it does not have a clue.

From: [Hopkins, Alan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Support for Mayor's MOU with San Francisco Police Officers Association (Item #220594)
Date: Thursday, June 2, 2022 4:29:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Government, Audit and Committee Members,

I am writing on behalf of Wyndham and our 5 hotels here in the city to ask your support for Mayor's MOU with the San Francisco Police Officers Association. Please approve and move this MOU forward and allow the City to fill the hundreds of vacant police officer positions and get more officers on our streets. This will help ensure the safety of our workers, residents and visitors. I am a resident of SF, living in SOMA in the heart of our city.

I feel that it is important to have police officers out on the street engaging in community policing and addressing crime. The recovery of our city is dependent on safety and a fully staffed SFPD will play an important role protecting not only our citizens but also our economy.

We are urgently requesting you approve and move forward the MOU with the San Francisco Police Officer's the resources needed to achieve the full staffing levels that have been outlined by the department.

Thank you for your support.

Alan Hopkins

Complex General Manager – SVC San Francisco



Wyndham Destinations

501 Post Street

San Francisco, CA 94102

office: 415-885-8810

fax: 415-885-8891

alan.hopkins@wyn.com

This email message (including all attachments) is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and/or privileged information, or may otherwise be protected by work product or other legal rules. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. Unless otherwise indicated in the body of this email, nothing in this communication is intended to operate as an

electronic signature and this transmission cannot be used to form, document, or authenticate a contract. Wyndham Destinations, Inc., and/or its affiliates may monitor all incoming and outgoing email communications, including the content of emails and attachments, for security, legal compliance, training, quality assurance and other purposes.

The sender believes that this email and any attachments were free of any virus, worm, Trojan horse, malicious code and/or other contaminants when sent. Email transmissions cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error-free, so this message and its attachments could have been infected, corrupted or made incomplete during transmission. By reading the message and opening any attachments, the recipient accepts full responsibility for any viruses or other defects that may arise, and for taking remedial action relating to such viruses and other defects. Neither Wyndham Destinations, Inc., nor any of its affiliated entities is liable for any loss or damage arising in any way from, or for errors or omissions in the contents of, this message or its attachments.

From: [Julie Wicklund](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support for funds to recruit and retain police officers
Date: Friday, June 3, 2022 12:00:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Julie Wicklund and I have lived in San Francisco for 26 years. I live in the Sherwood Forest neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you provide the resources needed for our police department to recruit and retain enough officers to fill its staffing shortage.

We need to hire new police officers and keep the ones we've invested in. The mayor's hiring plan will get more officers on the streets and in our neighborhoods. The Board of Supervisors should support it.

Residents and small businesses want more police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins at people's homes and businesses, and deal with the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

From: [patrick.robinson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support for funds to recruit and retain police officers
Date: Friday, June 3, 2022 2:20:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Patrick and I have lived in San Francisco for 54 years. I live in the Bernal heights neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you provide the resources needed for our police department to recruit and retain enough officers to fill its staffing shortage. We need to hire new police officers and keep the ones we've invested in. The mayor's hiring plan will get more officers on the streets and in our neighborhoods. The Board of Supervisors should support it. Residents and small businesses want more police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins at people's homes and businesses, and deal with the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

From: [Deb R](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support for funds to recruit and retain police officers
Date: Friday, June 3, 2022 5:09:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Doug Richards and I have lived in San Francisco for 8 years. I live in the tenderloin neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you provide the resources needed for our police department to recruit and retain enough officers to fill its staffing shortage.

We need to hire new police officers and keep the ones we've invested in. The mayor's hiring plan will get more officers on the streets and in our neighborhoods. The Board of Supervisors should support it.

Residents and small businesses want more police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins at people's homes and businesses, and deal with the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

From: [Miraloma Park Improvement Club](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: info@stopcrimesf.com; [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support for funds to recruit and retain police officers
Date: Friday, June 3, 2022 11:28:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to you on behalf of the Miraloma Park Improvement Club (MPIC). Miraloma Park is a community of 2,200 homes along Mt. Davidson and located within the Ingleside Police District. The MPIC has been a long time member of the Ingleside Station Community Police Advisory Board. MPIC is also a member of Stop Crime SF. We join Stop Crime SF in asking that you provide the resources needed for our police department to recruit and retain enough officers to fill its staffing shortage.

We need to hire new police officers and keep the ones we've invested in. The mayor's hiring plan will get more officers on the streets and in our neighborhoods. The Board of Supervisors should support it.

Residents and small businesses want more police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins at people's homes and businesses, and deal with the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

*Stephanie Boudreau Ma
Acting President
Miraloma Park Improvement Club*

From: [Garry Cox](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Kevin Carroll](#); [Kelly Powers](#); [Jeremy H. Kueffner](#)
Subject: Subject: Please Approve the Mayor's MOU with San Francisco Police Officers Association (File 220594)
Date: Friday, June 3, 2022 3:02:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon and Happy Friday Supervisors,

I am writing on behalf of the Axiom Hotel to ask for your support for the Mayor's MOU with the San Francisco Police Officers Association. Please approve this MOU and allow the City to fill the hundreds of vacant police officer positions and get more officers on our streets. This will help ensure the safety of our workers, residents and visitors. As a resident of SoMa in District 6 for over 8 years now I have seen the decreasing presence of Police Officers (particularly in the last 2 years) that has directly led to an increase in the crime that happens all around me on a daily basis. I walk to work daily and the things that I witness now compared to when I arrived in 2014 is shocking.

I feel that it is important to have officers out on the street engaging in community policing and addressing crime. The recovery of our City is dependent on safety and a fully staffed SFPD will play the most important role protecting not only our citizens but also our economy. We are thrilled to see tourism coming back, however, if we do not increase the feeling of safety for our visitors we will not see them again. I am repeatedly told by guests of our hotel that they were scared to walk around because of a lack of police presence around Union Square.

I am urgently requesting you approve the MOU with the San Francisco Police Officers so that the resources needed to achieve the full staffing levels that have been outlined by the department can be achieved and we all can feel safe once again.

Thank you for your support.

Garry

Garry Cox, CHA | Area General Manager

Email: garry.cox@axiomhotel.com

Tel: 415.39AXIOM ([415.392.9466](tel:415.392.9466)) **Direct:** [628.242.0222](tel:628.242.0222)

[28 Cyril Magnin Street, San Francisco, CA 94102](#)



From: [Clifton, Angie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please Approve the Mayor's MOU with San Francisco Police Officers Association (File 220594)
Date: Friday, June 3, 2022 3:03:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing on behalf of the San Francisco Marriott Union Square to ask your support for Mayor's MOU with the San Francisco Police Officers Association. Please approve this MOU and allow the City to fill the hundreds of vacant police officer positions and get more officers on our streets. This will help ensure the safety of our workers, residents and visitors.

My hotel operates in the Union Square district of San Francisco and feel that it is important to have police officers out on the street engaging in community policing and addressing crime. The recovery of our city is dependent on safety and a fully staffed SFPD will play an important role protecting not only our citizens but also our economy.

I handle many customer concerns regarding hosting their attendees in San Francisco due to the street conditions. Most recently I had a group request to cancel from my hotel and move closer to the Moscone center as they were afraid to walk the short distance from Union Square due to safety concerns. Visible police presence is so important to dissuading crime and other issues, and we need our visitors and employees to feel safe as they travel to and from their hotels.

I am urgently requesting you approve the MOU with the San Francisco Police Officer's the resources needed to achieve the full staffing levels that have been outlined by the department.

Thank you for your support.

Angie Clifton

General Manager

San Francisco Marriott Union Square

From: [Shyam Shrestha](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Subject: Please Approve the Mayor's MOU with San Francisco Police Officers Association (File 220594)
Date: Friday, June 3, 2022 4:47:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to ask your support for Mayor's MOU with the San Francisco Police Officers Association. Please approve this MOU and allow the City to fill the hundreds of vacant police officer positions and get more officers on our streets. This will help ensure the safety of our workers, residents and visitors.

I feel that it is important to have police officers out on the street engaging in community policing and addressing crime. The recovery of our city is dependent on safety and a fully staffed SFPD will play an important role protecting not only our citizens but also our economy.

I am urgently requesting you approve the MOU with the San Francisco Police Officer's the resources needed to achieve the full staffing levels that have been outlined by the department.

Thank you for your support.

Shyam Shrestha
Revenue Manager
Chancellor Hotel

Shyam Shrestha
Revenue/Reservations Manager
Chancellor Hotel on Union Square
"Where the Cable Cars stop at the doorstep"
433 Powell Street
San Francisco, CA 94102
Ph. 415.362.2004 Fax 415.395.9476
www.chancellorhotel.com



From: [barbara pletz](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support for funds to recruit and retain police officers
Date: Saturday, June 4, 2022 4:56:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Barbara Pletz and I have lived in San Francisco for over 40 years. I live in the Upper Market neighborhood. I am very frustrated with the ongoing situation with petty crimes and delays in police responses. Additionally I believe the lack of police visible police presence on the streets increases the number of these crimes.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you provide the resources needed for our police department to recruit and retain enough officers to fill its staffing shortage.

We need to hire new police officers and keep the ones we've invested in. The mayor's hiring plan will get more officers on the streets and in our neighborhoods. The Board of Supervisors should support it.

Residents and small businesses want more police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins at people's homes and businesses, and deal with the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Barbara Pletz
3348 Market Street

Sent from my iPad

From: [Melad, Ma. Teresa](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: : Please Approve the Mayor's MOU with San Francisco Police Officers Association (File 220594)
Date: Saturday, June 4, 2022 12:33:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Clifton, Angie <Angie.Clifton@marriott.com>
Sent: Friday, June 3, 2022 2:52 PM
To: SFOUS All Users <SFOUSAllUsers@marriott.com>
Subject: Template for Email - Call to Action: Email the Board of Supervisors and Tell Them You Support the Mayor's MOU for the SF Police Department

Email: board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org
Cc: mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org

Subject: Please Approve the Mayor's MOU with San Francisco Police Officers Association (File 220594)

-
-

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to ask your support for Mayor's MOU with the San Francisco Police Officers Association. Please approve this MOU and allow the City to fill the hundreds of vacant police officer positions and get more officers on our streets. This will help ensure the safety of our workers, residents and visitors.

I live/work in the [Union Square](#) district of San Francisco and feel that it is important to have police officers out on the street engaging in community policing and addressing crime. The recovery of our city is dependent on safety and a fully staffed SFPD will play an important role protecting not only our citizens but also our economy.

I am urgently requesting you approve the MOU with the San Francisco Police Officer's the resources needed to achieve the full staffing levels that have been outlined by the department.

Thank you for your support.

Ma Teresa Melad (PBX Operator) Marriott Union Square

From: [Zhan, Sarah Rui Hua](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please Approve the Mayor's MOU with San Francisco Police Officers Association (File 220594)
Date: Saturday, June 4, 2022 12:48:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to ask your support for Mayor's MOU with the San Francisco Police Officers Association. Please approve this MOU and allow the City to fill the hundreds of vacant police officer positions and get more officers on our streets. This will help ensure the safety of our workers, residents and visitors.

I live in [San Francisco](#) and work in a [Hotel](#) in the district of [Union Square](#) and feel that it is important to have police officers out on the street engaging in community policing and addressing crime. The recovery of our city is dependent on safety and a fully staffed SFPD will play an important role protecting not only our citizens but also our economy.

I am urgently requesting you approve the MOU with the San Francisco Police Officer's the resources needed to achieve the full staffing levels that have been outlined by the department.

Thank you for your support.

Sarah Zhan

Hotel Operator

San Francisco Marriott Union Square

From: [YVONNE DAUBIN](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 10:03:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Yvonne Daubin— and I have lived in San Francisco for 61 years. I live in the Sunset neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage. We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

From: [Linda Conner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 10:03:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Linda Conner and I have lived in San Francisco for 5 years. I live in the sea Cliff neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

From: [John Grauel](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 10:04:23 AM
Attachments: [Support mayors police hiring plan.msg](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: [Hendrik Greving](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 10:05:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Hendrik Greving and I have lived in San Francisco for 15 years. I live in the Bernal Heights neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Support hiring more police or get voted out!

From: [Elizabeth Hosfield](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 10:05:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

My name is Elizabeth Hosfield and I have lived in San Francisco for 19 years. I live in the Lower Pacific Heights neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

I encourage you to please hear the voice of your constituents.

Best regards,
Elizabeth Hosfield, MD

From: [Stacey Sobel](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [info](#)
Subject: Support Police Hiring Plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 10:06:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Board of Supervisors,

Please support the police hiring plan, and retain current officers.
The crime in this city is out of control. Criminals can do whatever they like, since there is no one stopping them and no repercussions to crime. WE DESPERATELY NEED MORE OFFICERS IN THE STREETS!!

Thank you,
Stacey Sobel
160 Lenox Way
San Francisco, CA 94127

From: [Bradley Green](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 10:06:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Bradley Green and I have lived in San Francisco for 21 years. I live in the Richmond neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Bradley Green

From: [Michael Meniktas](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 10:07:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Michael Meniktas and I have lived in San Francisco for 20 years. I live in the Nib Hill neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Regards, M2 .

Michael Meniktas
****Note New Cell 415-939-4950****

Sent from my iPhone, please excuse any typos, abbreviations, slang or brevity..:))

From: [Susan Fisch](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 10:09:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Susan Fisch and I have lived in San Francisco for over 30 years. I live in the Ashbury Heights neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

This is a critical time for our city. Even districts that are clearly defined as strongly progressive are tired of having to padlock our front doors, install cameras and bolt our garage doors. This is not the kind of life that I want. I strongly support increasing the police budget so that more officers can be hired.

Susan Fisch
116 Delmar Street

From: [Dennis Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 10:10:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is — and I have lived in San Francisco for — years. I live in the — neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Teri Torgeson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 10:13:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Teri Torgeson and I have lived in San Francisco for 26 years years. I live in the Inner Sunset. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Teri Torgeson

From: [Sandeep Giri](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 10:14:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear SF Board of Supervisors:

My name is Sandeep Giri and I have lived in San Francisco for 24 years. I live in the central Richmond neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

My wife runs a small restaurant on 6th and Howard and the lack of police resources to deal with property crimes and open drug usage and loitering right by the restaurant entrance has been appalling. We have had our car broken into twice, I have had my bike stolen 5 times in the last 7 years, laptop stolen once, and we now are having so many garages broken into in our neighborhood -- and virtually no help from police as they don't seem to have the resources.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Thanks,

Sandeep

From: [tina nunes](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 10:19:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Tina Nunes and I have lived in San Francisco for 12 — years. I live in the Sunset neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Russell Long](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 10:22:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Russell Long and I have lived in San Francisco for 35 years. I live in the Marina neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Thanks,

Russell Long, Ph.D.

From: [Diane Sargent](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 10:28:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Diane Sargent and I have lived in San Francisco for 22 years. I live in the Richmond neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Sincerely,
Diane Sargent

From: [Maureen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 10:31:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Maureen Beckwith and I have lived in San Francisco all my life. I live in the Miraloma Park/Sunnyside neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Sent from my iPad

From: [JeNeal Granieri](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 10:36:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is JeNeal McDonnell and I have lived in San Francisco for over 40 years. I live in the golden gate heights neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

We need to deal with crime as it exists. Reasons for crime can be explored later. We need to stop the bleeding first.

J. M.

Sent from my iPad

From: [David Spero](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: No more money for police
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 10:40:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and Mayor Breed,
The last thing we should be sending money on is more police. We have so many other crying needs that police can't help with. Even if security, rather than homelessness and health were our top concern -- which it's not -- armed police aren't the best way to deal with it.
No more money for police than we already spend.

David Spero RN 415-987-1401 "Love your body. Love your life."

From: [rpl](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 10:44:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Raja and I have lived in San Francisco for 25 years. I live in the SOMA neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

From: [Tommy Ahern](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com; [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 10:46:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Jay Ahern and I have lived in San Francisco for 6 years. I live in the Ingleside Merced Heights neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage. We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

From: [Karil Daniels](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 10:48:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Karil Daniels and I have lived in San Francisco for over 40 years. I live in the Mission District. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage, and that you concentrate on keeping residents SAFE.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Karil Daniels
karil@mac.com

From: [Arnold Cohn](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 10:51:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Arnold Cohn and I have lived in San Francisco for 44 years. I live in the Marina neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The so-called "Homeless" criminals have now moved into the NW corner of Buchanan and North Point. Besides blocking the sidewalk, they sell and use drugs. We need more police walking the sidewalks to remove these criminals from the area.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Sincerely,

Arnold Cohn

From: njzajac@aol.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 10:55:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Nancy Zajac and I have lived in San Francisco for 23 years. I live in the Outer Sunset neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage. We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

From: [Erin Coull](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 10:59:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Erin Coull and I have lived in San Francisco for 25 years. I live in the Mission neighborhood which feels totally absent of police presence and for the first time in the 18 yrs I've lived in this neighborhood, feels increasingly unsafe. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Respectfully,
E Coull
Homeowner and citizen for over 25 yrs

From: [Andrew Vik](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 11:01:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Andrew Vik and I have lived in San Francisco for 49 years. I live in the Castro neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Andrew Vik
District 8

From: [Margie Hom Brown](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Stop Crime SF](#)
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 11:05:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is —Margie Hom Brown and I have lived in San Francisco for more than 75 years— years. I live in the —Richmond district 1 neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

From: [carolyn](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 11:06:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is — and I have lived in San Francisco for — years. I live in the — neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

carolyn conwell

From: [Karen Breslin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 11:07:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Karen Breslin and I have lived in San Francisco for 63 years. I live in the Miraloma neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Brian Veit](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 11:15:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Brian Veit and I have lived in San Francisco for 30+ years.

I am a neighborhood watch captain in the sunset. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Brian Veit

Cell: [415-672-2485](tel:415-672-2485)

From: [Peter Fortune](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 11:19:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Peter Fortune and I have lived in San Francisco for 52 years. I live in the Marina neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

From: [Joseph Koman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 11:25:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Joseph Koman and I have lived in San Francisco for 50 years. I live in the Westwood Park neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Sent from my iPad

From: [Gloria Asaro](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 11:31:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Gloria Asaro and I have lived in San Francisco for 53 years. I live in the Little Hollywood neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

I remember when I was a teen and in my early twenties I could go out for the evening, whether it was on Muni or in my car and feel safe. But no more! I no longer feel safe to travel around this city, especially after dark. I know I'm not the only citizen who feels this way.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Thank you,
Gloria Asaro

From: [JOHN F AZEVEDO](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 11:34:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is John Azevedo and I have lived in San Francisco for 40 years. I live in the — neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Sent from my iPad/John Azevedo/415-309-0023

From: [Uzes Charm](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 11:35:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Cora Shaw and I have lived in San Francisco for 3 years. I live in the Mission neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Cora ♀

From: [Karen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 11:41:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Karen Schwartz and I have lived in San Francisco for 39 years. I live in the Duboce Triangle neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage. We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

We have a public safety crisis in San Francisco!

Thank you,
Karen Schwartz

From: [Barbara S](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 11:49:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Barbara Seegal and I have lived in San Francisco for 40 + years. I live in western Pacific Heights. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you urgently approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco. I am fed up with the nuisance crimes, property crimes, theft, burglary and the general lack of safety on our streets.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Sincerely,

Barbara Seegal

Former neighborhood representative for SF PD Richmond Station Community Advisory Committee

Sent from my iPhone, B

From: [Bianca Coleman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 11:51:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Bianca Coleman and I have lived in San Francisco for 43 years. I live in the Sunset/Parkside neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [REGINA ANAVY](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 11:57:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Regina Anavy and I have lived in San Francisco for 40 years. I live in the Mission Bay neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Thank you,
Regina Anavy

From: [J.G](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: SAFETY FOR ALL
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 11:58:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Jesus Guillen and I have lived in San Francisco for 30 years. I live in the Lower Haight neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage. We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics of public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves. I know we have to do something about homeless and drugs, but SAFETY is priority.

Jesus Guillen, advocate and activist
June 5th, HIV LONG TERM SURVIVORS awareness day
(415) 626 9077- landline

From: [m taka](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 11:59:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Mearu Takatsu and I have lived in San Francisco for 25 years. I live in the Marina neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Mearu Takatsu

From: [Christian Foster](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 12:05:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors:

My name is Christian Foster and I have lived in San Francisco for 7 years. I live in the Mission Dolores neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Sincerely,
Christian Foster
270 Valencia St.

From: [Janet Archibald](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 12:05:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Janet Archibald and I have lived in San Francisco for my entire 63 years. I live in the Sunnyside / Glen Park neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

For the first time in my life, I'm seriously considering moving out of SF due to the rise in crime. Home break ins are way up in the Glen Park/ Sunnyside area. Overall crime in Glen Park is up around 82% in just the last couple of years. It's out of control- and I'm a 'city kid' -I'm used to some crime, but not at this level.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Please hire more police!

Thanks,

Janet Archibald

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Sydnie Weiner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com; [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 12:14:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Sydnie Weiner and I have lived in San Francisco for 40 years. I live in the upper Market Street neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage. We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.
Sydnie Weiner

From: [aki murashige](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 12:24:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Aki Murashige and I have lived in San Francisco for 43 years. I live in the Outer Richmond. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Aki

From: [tom hettich](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 12:33:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is — and I have lived in San Francisco for — years. I live in the — neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

From: [Brenda Bohn](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 12:36:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors

My name is Brenda and I have lived in San Francisco for the 33 past years. I currently live in the North Waterfront neighborhood. I've witnessed car break ins on regular basis in the past few years, and just recently we had a bike stolen from our property that was properly locked.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Respectfully,
Brenda Bohn

From: [Michael Stevens](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 12:38:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is — and I have lived in San Francisco for — years. I live in the — neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics of public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

From: [Michael Stevens](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 12:39:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Michael Stevens and I have lived in San Francisco for 19 years. I live in the Tenderloin neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics of public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

From: [Laura B.](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 12:51:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon,

My name is Laura and I have lived in San Francisco for 8 years. I live in the Western Addition neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

Both my spouse and I have been assaulted in our neighborhood. When we called the police we either had to wait long time (after being told that there were not enough officers available), or no officer showed up. This could have happened to your mothers, grandmothers, spouses, and sisters, too.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Thank you,

Laura Borowski

From: [Keith Wetmore](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 12:59:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Keith Wetmore and I have lived in San Francisco for 40 years. I live in Duboce Triangle. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Thank you.

Keith Wetmore
245 Castro St.

From: [Maureen Barton](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 1:05:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is — and I have lived in San Francisco for — years. I live in the — neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

My name is Maureen Barton. I live in Forest Hill for 45 years

Sent from my iPad

From: [timothy murphy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Police
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 1:12:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hire more police

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Kalmar](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 1:12:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Kathryn Kalmar and I have lived in San Francisco for 17 years. I live in the Westwood Park neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage. We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

My husband, Frank Kalmar, and I have currently lived in San Francisco for 17 years. My husband was born and raised here, we worked here in the 70's, married here, raised our family in Berkeley and then returned to San Francisco for our retirement. We have never seen the crime rate that we see now. We have never felt as unsafe as we do now. We have never seen the lack of police response to crimes of home break-ins, car break-ins or assaults on the streets, not to mention the number of people living on our streets. It is time to get the police staffing and response back to the necessary level to make our lives safe again.

Respectfully,

Kathryn A Kalmar
Frank Kalmar

From: [Eileen Sullivan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 1:13:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is — and I have lived in San Francisco for — years. I live in the — neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Eileen Sullivan

Sent from my iPad

From: [C. Tucker](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 1:17:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Christina Tucker— and I have lived in San Francisco for 12years. I live in theTenderloin neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

From: [Irene Kaufman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 1:41:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Irene Kaufman and I have lived in San Francisco for 63 years. I live in the Sunnyside neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage. We're short 500 officers for a city our size.

A lot of the residents in this neighborhood are seniors or families raising young children. And the crime rate has gone up in the last two years, but police ability to respond has gone down. Thieves are literally walking into people's houses and stealing, even with cameras on the front porch or in the garages.

Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco. The new recruits deserve thorough training in dealing with people who may have mental health issues, substance abuse issues, or are just desperate to find ways to support their families.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Thank you.

From: [Rachelle Considine](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 1:46:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Rachelle Considine and I have lived in San Francisco for over 20 years. I live in the Mount Davidson Manor neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Thank you,

Rachelle

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Don MacRitchie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 1:52:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Don MacRitchie and I have lived in San Francisco for 43 years. I live in the SOMA neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Sincerely,

Don MacRitchie

From: [Roslyn Brandstein](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 2:12:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Roslyn Brandstein and I have lived in San Francisco for — 32 years. I live in the — Potrero Hill neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [paul.allen](mailto:paul.allen@stopcrimesf.com)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: June 7 Hearing; The Mayor's Police Hiring Plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 2:18:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Colleagues,

I live in the Corona Heights neighborhood and have lived in the City for almost 30 years. I join many of my neighbors and friends, as well as Stop Crime SF, as I urge you to support the Mayor's budget to recruit and retain enough police officers to satisfy the SFPD staffing shortage.

We are short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents and visitors have a right to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems so glaring in San Francisco. While it is true that on the rare occasion when we have needed help, our neighborhood association has been very pleased with the police response from Park Station under the leadership of Capt. Pedrini. But the effect of the staff shortage is obvious and the city suffers as a result. There are not enough officers, for example, for frequent foot patrols in the Castro. We need to do much better.

Establishing crisis response teams is laudable but is not enough; suggesting that poverty and history, exacerbated by the pandemic, is the root of the problem might have some validity but repeating that mantra does nothing to reduce the crime rate today or next week; and opining that more mental health treatment facilities are needed is of course true but does nothing - now - to reduce burglaries, reduce open air drug dealing, protect pedestrians, lower police response times, or make a dent in the epidemic of car, home, and business burglaries.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to provide the financial support essential to public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

It is past time to elide the slogans and confront the obvious: more money is needed to adequately staff our police force.

Sincerely,
Paul Allen
96 Mars

From: [Wincy Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 2:19:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Wincy Wong and I have lived in San Francisco for 40+ years. I live in the Parkside neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Thank you for listening.

Sincerely,

Wincy Wong

From: [Tom Schmidt](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 2:25:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Tom Schmidt and I have lived in San Francisco for 13 years. I live in the Corona Heights neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Thank you.
Regards,
Tom Schmidt

From: [Karen Tarantola](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 2:54:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Karen Tarantola and I have lived in San Francisco for 36 years. I live in the West Portal neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Karen Tarantola
Realtor
DRE #[01208217](#)
C: [415.407.2650](tel:415.407.2650)
O: [415.655.5641](tel:415.655.5641)
www.vanguardproperties.com

Sent from my iPhone

From: doug.lenzo
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 2:55:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Doug Lenzo and I have lived in San Francisco for 6 years. I have lived in the Lower Height, North Beach and Polk Gulch neighborhood in those 6 years. I am one of the many residents considering permanently moving my family out of the city.

On a daily basis, I wish there were more police on the sidewalks and streets responding to the daily incidents I witness. Our streets are getting worse every year and it's a tragedy to know this could be corrected with more police responding (or better yet preventing) these activities.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Thank you,

Doug Lenzo
(Very concerned citizen)

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: danolley@aol.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 3:17:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Dr. David Nolley and I have lived in San Francisco for 37 years, returning after I lived here while studying for my undergraduate degree from San Francisco State University back in 1968. During that time long ago we birthed our oldest two children and then went on for graduate studies then faculty at the University of Michigan before returning here in 1984. I live in the Sunset neighborhood where we bought our home so many years ago, long enough to pay off a 30 year mortgage while also tithing to worthy causes in San Francisco, e.g., recovering from the '89 quake. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage. We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco, particularly for us elders now that we're physically handicapped from being able to protect ourselves from the seeming hoard of criminals who've seemingly found San Francisco such "easy pickings" these days, In Stark Contrast to when we lived here in The 60's as well as the first couple of years after we returned in 1984. The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

From: [kathy morello](mailto:kathy.morello@stopcrimesf.com)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 3:20:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Kathy Morello and I have lived in San Francisco for 60 years. I'm a Sf Native, loyal to the city's past, present and future. I've raised my 3 children here .. who, as adults, continue to choose Sf as their home and hopefully planning their futures here. I live in the Ingleside Terrace neighborhood. I send this email to ask that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage. I've lived and worked in SF as a nurse for 32 years... in my chosen profession, I made a commitment to serve and protect via nursing in SF so I proudly can say I've helped intimately many a San Franciscan. I'm also proud of my commitment to the health and welfare of a broad spectrum of San Franciscans, from birth to death, caring for the diverse population in San Francisco, so I am not just voicing my opinion as a resident but as one who cares for others.

I'm a middle of the road San Franciscan, on neither the full left or right side of SF politics, neither a full progressive, nor a conservative ... I think of myself as a "common sense(r)" and the practical approach of having a SFPD fully staffed to serve and protect seems very common sense to me. I want to see SFPD officers having the time and energy to walk beats, respond quickly in an emergency, meet neighbors and protect SF... even today I had an approachment at my front steps by someone who made me feel unsafe (good thing I quickly had my Rhodesian Ridgeback at my side) so I know all SF neighborhoods need police assistance and knowing that SFPD is understaffed is an obvious issue. As a nurse, I know all about shifts being understaffed and I do know from experience that it's unfair to the employees to be spread out too thin... it makes a challenging job all the more challenging on too many levels, lends staff not to provide the best service despite the desire, nor able to achieve all the community goals an organization expounds, but more importantly, it's unfair to the community that depends and expects professional service in a time of need/emergency. Besides on a side note, SF has such a high rate of unemployment and homelessness as all residents know, hear, see on a daily occurrence... what is the reluctance of the SF board to providing needed, practical, solid career opportunities, employment and incomes that can assure housing to those who want to serve for the betterment of our community... seems like supporting jobs is one answer to the multifaceted problem of SF residents economic and quality of life satisfaction ... a win-win proposition for those who will obtain a chance for a satisfying career of community service and those taxpayers that will be helped by their service.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco... and in these times of true mass casualty potentials from violence or natural disasters ... SF deserves to be best prepared with enough SFPD to safely respond to any and all catastrophes. The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Respectfully, Kathy Morello

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Chris Boyd](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 4:19:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Chris Boyd and I have lived in San Francisco for 26 years. I live in the Cole Valley neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

From: [Frank Noto](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 4:48:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Fran and I have lived in San Francisco for 45+ years. I live in the Inner Sunset neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Hatun Noguera](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 5:07:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Hatun Noguera and I have lived in San Francisco for many years. I live in the Miraloma Park neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

From: [Calum Mackay](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 5:19:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Calum Mackay and I have lived in San Francisco for 22 years. I live in the Mission Dolores neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Thank you,
Calum Mackay
55 Hancock St, San Francisco, CA 94114

From: [John Goldberg](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Police funding
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 5:30:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Support the supplemental funding for the Police Department.

John Goldberg
Midtown Terrace

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Tom O'Connor](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com; [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 6:31:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Tom O'Connor and I have lived in San Francisco for 45 years. I live in the Parnassus Heights neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage. We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Thanks!

Tom O'Connor
1496 Willard St
SF, CA 94117

--

Tom O'Connor

O'Connor & Associates Art Advisors, Inc.
Cell: 415-710-9755
www.oconnorart.com

From: [ifitefire4u](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: I Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 6:44:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Charles Farrugia I have lived in San Francisco for 54 years. I am a native. I live in the Portola District neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

From personal experience I feel, as a San Francisco taxpayer, we are being shorted what is our right...our right to safety! Our right to not have to worry about our children walking the streets or if someone's going to hit us on the road and run because there's no one to enforce the laws to protect us. Our city needs our men and women in blue not more homeless programs which attract more homeless from other states. Please do what's expected of you first. Our city was once so beautiful. It can be again.

Sincerely,

Charles Farrugia
283 Gambier St
San Francisco CA 94134

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

From: [OpenGov](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Reminder: 2022 OpenGov Survey
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 7:05:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



OpenGov has partnered with Zogby Analytics, a national polling firm, to conduct an online survey of Community Development leaders – Building Officials, Planning and Zoning Directors, Economic Development Directors and Clerks, and IT leaders in local government.

The purpose of this survey is to get a better sense of the current permitting and licensing operations of these departments, how technology helps or hinders approvals, as well as a general understanding of the current landscape of the workplace environment. Please take 5-10 minutes to complete the survey.

Please click the button below to start the survey:

[Start Survey](#)

Please respond to this email with any questions.

If the survey button does not work, please try this link:

[Survey Link](#)


Privacy Policy

The results of this survey will only be reported in the aggregate. At no time will any of the data be linked back to individual respondents. Furthermore, Zogby Analytics does not share contact information with anyone and does not sell any contact information. All of our data (surveys, memberships, etc...) is stored on servers controlled by Zogby Analytics and are located in the United States.

Zogby Analytics | 600 French Road, New Hartford, NY 13413

[Unsubscribe board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)

[Update Profile](#) | [Constant Contact Data Notice](#)
Sent by marc@zogbyanalytics.com powered by



Try email marketing for free today!

From: [Robert T. Lynch](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Cc: [JOHN AZEVEDO \(Other\)](#)
Subject: RE: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 7:10:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I received the attached from John Azevedo and want you to know I support his position on the necessity for our city to retain 500 police officers to clean up the crime in San Francisco. I have lived in this city for 84 years. I have watched it deteriorate from the finest city in America to a filthy crime filled slum. It is now dangerous to walk in the residential neighborhoods as well as downtown. Gangs have free run of our retail stores and the "leaders" of our city do not seem interested in making our city safe for the residents. Please respond to the crys of the residents and support our police force..

Robert T. Lynch, Esq.
Direct Dial: (415) 273-2317
rlynch@maddenlynch.com

-----Original Message-----

From: JOHN F AZEVEDO <jfazevedo@aol.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 5, 2022 11:34 AM
To: bos@sfgov.org; mayoralondonbreed@sfgov.org; info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan

My name is John Azevedo and I have lived in San Francisco for 40 years. I live in the — neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Sent from my iPad/John Azevedo/415-309-0023

From: [John F. Azevedo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 7:36:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is John Azevedo and I have lived in San Francisco for 80 years. I live in the — neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Sent from my iPad/John Azevedo/415-309-0023

From: [Gianluca Mardente](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 8:58:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Gianluca Mardente and I have lived in San Francisco for 18 years. I live in the Richmond neighborhood with my wife and our two kids, Mathias, almost 4 years old and Nicole, 5 months old.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

From: [Ivan Ng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 9:27:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Ming and I have lived in San Francisco for 25 years. I live in the Sunset neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Thanks,
Ming

From: [patrick.robinson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 11:20:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Patrick and I have lived in San Francisco for 54 years. I live in the Bernal heights neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage. We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

From: [pamela smith](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Monday, June 6, 2022 6:38:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Pamela Smith and I have lived in San Francisco for 16 years. I live in the Tenderloin neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage. We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

The past couple of months I have noticed an uptick once again on tents and dying addicts on the streets. The situation at U.N. Plaza is out of control, and it is unfair that TL residents have no access to safe public spaces.

We used to have nice summer programming at the plaza that we could get out of our apartments and enjoy.

Now it is only dealers and half dead addicts all over the streets, and without the relief of more policing we are confined to our apartments after dark. The mental health of tax paying residents is also at risk due to the ongoing /escalating situation of the dealers and addicts.

Please hire more officers and get this city back on track! Urban Alchemy is helpful in some situations, but they can only do so much- we need trained officers.

Please!

Thank you for your time,
Pamela D. Smith

From: [Enrico Mancilla](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Monday, June 6, 2022 8:08:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Terry Mancilla and I have lived in San Francisco for 75 years. I live in the — Potrero Hill neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

From: [Margaret O'driscoll](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Monday, June 6, 2022 8:16:08 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Margaret O'Driscoll and I have lived in San Francisco for 37 years. I live in the West Portal neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size & about to be an additional 200 down. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Sincerely,

Margaret O'Driscoll

Realtor

C: 415.846.3062

Margaret@vanguardsf.com

www.vanguardproperties.com



From: [Perkinson, Jessica \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Randall Scott](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Hernandez, Melissa G \(BOS\)](#); [Hsieh, Frances \(BOS\)](#); [Bintliff, Jacob \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: GAO 060222 File Nos. 220594; 220595 || RE: MOU with
Date: Monday, June 6, 2022 8:27:07 AM

Greetings Randall Scott,

Thank you for comments. I will send your comments to all Government Audit and Oversight Committee Members and a copy will be included in the official file. Please feel free to contact me should you have any further questions or concerns. Thank you.

Best Regards,

Jessica Perkinson

Pronouns: she/her/hers

Assistant Clerk

Office of the Clerk of the Board | San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

Jessica.Perkinson@sfgov.org | (415) 554-7722

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Randall Scott <randall@FWCBD.COM>

Sent: Thursday, June 2, 2022 10:47 AM

To: Perkinson, Jessica (BOS) <jessica.perkinson@sfgov.org>

Cc: Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>

Subject: MOU with

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Ms. Perkinson,

My apologies, I have to jump onto another meeting, but I would like to say the following:

Pursuant to the San Francisco City Charter Section 4.127, the San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) shall at all times consist of no fewer than 1,971 full duty sworn officers.

We are extremely concerned that the SFPD is around 600 officers short of the quota required by the charter.

We are witnessing an unprecedented level of violence on our city streets (and the country) and our citizens and tourists do not feel safe.

The MOU is necessary to keep the salaries competitive and for the retention of the police officers and ultimately help with keeping officers on the job and not retiring as well as attract new officers. The policing profession has become an unpopular career choice resulting in very low applications to become police as more and more officers are leaving the force. We well understand some of the public's concerns around the concept and mission of policing, but cannot allow our department to sink to dangerous staffing levels.

Therefore we urge you to support approval of the MOU.

Randall Scott | Executive Director

Fisherman's Wharf, San Francisco

Phone 415-673-3530 | Office email info@fwcbd.com

Fishermanswharf.org

[Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

From: [Perkinson, Jessica \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Michael Samson](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Hernandez, Melissa G \(BOS\)](#); [Hsieh, Frances \(BOS\)](#); [Bintliff, Jacob \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: GAO 06/02/22 - File Nos. 220594; 220595 || RE: Additional Police
Date: Monday, June 6, 2022 8:28:35 AM

Greetings Michael Samson,

Thank you for comments. I will send your comments to all Government Audit and Oversight Committee Members and a copy will be included in the official file. Please feel free to contact me should you have any further questions or concerns. Thank you.

Best Regards,

Jessica Perkinson

Pronouns: she/her/hers

Assistant Clerk

Office of the Clerk of the Board | San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

Jessica.Perkinson@sfgov.org | (415) 554-7722

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Michael Samson <michaelhsamson@comcast.net>

Sent: Thursday, June 2, 2022 1:30 PM

To: Perkinson, Jessica (BOS) <jessica.perkinson@sfgov.org>

Subject: Re: GAO || RE: Additional Police

Good Afternoon

Thank you for getting back to me so quickly. I believe this is an issue that has a major impact on anyone living or visiting here as well as businesses. Many tourists are skipping San Francisco because of conditions here and businesses are closing down, including many small businesses because of crime. Conventions and conferences are loathe to have events here. Companies are moving to other parts of California and businesses are moving out of state. The numbers and new accounts are easy to find.

The sooner these issues are attended to the better. It is not a liberal, conservative, Democrat, Republican, etc. issues. The Mayor's office and the Board are the places to start and they must work together.

I remember a saying I heard when I was very young - 'If not now, when?'
Now has arrived.

Michael H. Samson

On 06/01/2022 5:15 PM Perkinson, Jessica (BOS) <jessica.perkinson@sfgov.org> wrote:

Greetings ,

Thank you for comments. I would be happy to send your comments to all Government Audit and Oversight Committee Members and include a copy in the official file, if you would please provide the file number or title of Item on the Agenda you wish these comments to be included in. Please feel free to contact me should you have any further questions or concerns.
Thank you.

Jessica Perkinson

Pronouns: she/her/hers

Assistant Clerk

San Francisco Board of Supervisors | Office of the Clerk of the Board

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

Jessica.Perkinson@sfgov.org | (415) 554-7722

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Michael Samson <michaelhsamson@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, June 1, 2022 4:08 PM
To: Perkinson, Jessica (BOS) <jessica.perkinson@sfgov.org>
Subject: Additional Police

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We moved to San Francisco in January, 1972. It was a much safer and cleaner city and as our children were growing up I could take them to many places around the city and was proud and happy to take visiting family and friends around the town.

This is no longer the case. Much of the downtown area is vacant in the evening and during the day. It is not safe to park your vehicle and broken glass from car break ins is a common sight. Asians, elderly, and others are assaulted as they walk. One can go shopping and see people load up and leave without paying. Businesses are closing because of crime and grime is everywhere.

San Francisco was 'The city that know how'! Now it does not have a clue.

From: [Jim Bertana](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Monday, June 6, 2022 9:05:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Jim Bertana and I have lived in San Francisco for 70 years. I live in the Mission Bay neighborhood for 10 years and born and raise in the Excelsior . I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Thank You

Jim Bertana

From: [Stephen DeLuco](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Monday, June 6, 2022 9:06:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Stephen DeLuco and I have lived in San Francisco for 34 years. I live in the West of Twin Peaks - remember us (?). I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

From: [Jacob Norda](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Monday, June 6, 2022 9:06:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Jacob Norda and I have lived in San Francisco for 10+ years. I live in the Pacific Heights neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

From: [Daphne Alden](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Monday, June 6, 2022 9:19:15 AM
Attachments: [Support mayors police hiring plan.msg](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: [Linda Hee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com; [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Monday, June 6, 2022 9:23:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Linda Hee and I have lived in San Francisco for many years. I live in the sunset neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage. We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

From: [Mario Bevilacqua von Gunderrode](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please Approve the Mayor's MOU with San Francisco Police Officers Association (File 220594)
Date: Monday, June 6, 2022 10:53:11 AM
Attachments: [image118620.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing on behalf of Proper Hotel located at 1100 Market Street to ask your support for Mayor's MOU with the San Francisco Police Officers Association. Please approve this MOU and allow the City to fill the hundreds of vacant police officer positions and get more officers on our streets. This will help ensure the safety of our workers, residents and visitors. I am a resident of District 8.

I feel that it is important to have police officers out on the street engaging in community policing and addressing crime. The recovery of our city is dependent on safety and a fully staffed SFPD will play an important role protecting not only our citizens but also our economy.


My office is located in the Tenderloin, I manage a Hotel on McAllister street, and I witness daily drug dealings, open air drug use, aggression and vandalism. In emergency situations it can take hours to receive a response of situations of this nature and consequentially things get worse, we lose every week thousands of dollars of business from guests that check-in and decide to leave as soon as they see our street, without even entering the building. And if we have families with kids, they leave terrified from our neighborhood.

I am urgently requesting you approve the MOU with the San Francisco Police Officer's the resources needed to achieve the full staffing levels that have been outlined by the department.

Thank you for your support.

Mario Bevilacqua von Gunderrode
General Manager
Proper Hotel

Mario Bevilacqua von Gunderrode
General Manager, San Francisco Proper
239.319.9234

 San Francisco Proper Hotel
1100 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94102

ATX | DTLA | SF | SM

A Proper Hospitality Hotel

From: dietlaw@netscape.net
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Monday, June 6, 2022 11:45:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Marjorie Goldman and I have lived in San Francisco for 10 years. I live in the Jordon Park neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage. We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Marjorie Goldman

From: [MeMe](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Monday, June 6, 2022 1:31:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Mary Marguerite Riordan and I have lived in San Francisco for 76years. I live in the Sutro Forest Heights neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

Walking and driving the streets of San Francisco, I see gross violations of City laws and behavior against other residents, drug dealing, casing of cars and doorways, outright theft and a sneering thief generally uncivilized behavior because no one is watching. Now that the meter maids are back in business, they are too busy giving tickets to be seen as a para-patrol. But additional police presence going about the City doing their jobs might give pause to the casual theft and bullying we are seeing far too much of.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Please tend to allowing more supportive service to be provided and to help restore basic order in San Francisco by filling out the police officer roster.

From: [jennifer.yan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support the hiring of 200 SFPD officers. End the staffing shortages now!
Date: Monday, June 6, 2022 2:17:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent jennifer yan
Email jennifer.yan@gmail.com

I am a resident of [District 2](#)

I support the hiring of 200 SFPD officers. End the staffing shortages now!

Message to the Board of Supervisors Dear Supervisors,

I join residents and small businesses across the entire city who are asking for more police officers to walk beats in their neighborhoods, to respond quickly to 911 calls, and to address the break-ins, drug dealing, and violent crime in this city.

SFPD has 200 vacant budgeted positions. The Mayor has put forward a commonsense plan to recruit and retain officers to address the public safety staffing crisis in our City.

By filling these already approved positions, we can add officers to district stations all across the City. Our City leaders should be doing everything they can to fill them to get more officers on the streets.

Let's focus on getting the basics right to get our City on the right track. That means focusing on public safety and hiring more police officers. The Mayor's budget and Police Hiring Plan delivers on the basics.

The Mayor's proposal to focus on recruiting and retaining officers is good government. With over 200 vacant budgeted positions, we need to hire new officers and keep the ones we've invested in.

San Francisco needs more police officers. The Mayor's Hiring Plan will get more officers on the

streets and in our neighborhoods. The Board of Supervisors should support it.

Thank you.

From: [Anthony Dougherty](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Monday, June 6, 2022 2:53:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Anthony Dougherty and I have lived in San Francisco for 55 years. I live in the Noe Valley neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

I am sensitive to decarceration arguments but hundreds of deaths from Fentanyl and no incarceration of sellers is wrong and depraved. I talk to neighbors who also believe what is happening is neither progressive nor honorable. Middle school children are heard saying "it's ok to take stuff from Walgreens without paying, it's legal now", is sad, immoral and encouraging future crime. I think the Mayor is on the right track and I can't vote for anyone who disagrees with her attempts to stop further attempts to debase the culture of our city.

From: [Jonah Purinton](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support the hiring of 200 SFPD officers. End the staffing shortages now!
Date: Monday, June 6, 2022 3:22:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Jonah Purinton
Email jonah.purinton@gmail.com
I am a resident of [District 3](#)

I support the hiring of 200 SFPD officers. End the staffing shortages now!

Message to the Board of Supervisors Dear Supervisors,

I join residents and small businesses across the entire city who are asking for more police officers to walk beats in their neighborhoods, to respond quickly to 911 calls, and to address the break-ins, drug dealing, and violent crime in this city.

SFPD has 200 vacant budgeted positions. The Mayor has put forward a commonsense plan to recruit and retain officers to address the public safety staffing crisis in our City.

By filling these already approved positions, we can add officers to district stations all across the City. Our City leaders should be doing everything they can to fill them to get more officers on the streets.

Let's focus on getting the basics right to get our City on the right track. That means focusing on public safety and hiring more police officers. The Mayor's budget and Police Hiring Plan delivers on the basics.

The Mayor's proposal to focus on recruiting and retaining officers is good government. With over 200 vacant budgeted positions, we need to hire new officers and keep the ones we've invested in.

San Francisco needs more police officers. The Mayor's Hiring Plan will get more officers on the

streets and in our neighborhoods. The Board of Supervisors should support it.

Thank you.

From: [Andrea Galvin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support the hiring of 200 SFPD officers. End the staffing shortages now!
Date: Monday, June 6, 2022 3:28:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Andrea Galvin
Email andreacgalvin@gmail.com
I am a resident of District 1

I support the hiring of 200 SFPD officers. End the staffing shortages now!

Message to the Board of Supervisors Dear Supervisors,

I join residents and small businesses across the entire city who are asking for more police officers to walk beats in their neighborhoods, to respond quickly to 911 calls, and to address the break-ins, drug dealing, and violent crime in this city.

SFPD has 200 vacant budgeted positions. The Mayor has put forward a commonsense plan to recruit and retain officers to address the public safety staffing crisis in our City.

By filling these already approved positions, we can add officers to district stations all across the City. Our City leaders should be doing everything they can to fill them to get more officers on the streets.

Let's focus on getting the basics right to get our City on the right track. That means focusing on public safety and hiring more police officers. The Mayor's budget and Police Hiring Plan delivers on the basics.

The Mayor's proposal to focus on recruiting and retaining officers is good government. With over 200 vacant budgeted positions, we need to hire new officers and keep the ones we've invested in.

San Francisco needs more police officers. The Mayor's Hiring Plan will get more officers on the

streets and in our neighborhoods. The Board of Supervisors should support it.

Thank you.

From: [Julie Paul](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support the hiring of 200 SFPD officers. End the staffing shortages now!
Date: Monday, June 6, 2022 4:59:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Julie Paul
Email juliepaul164@gmail.com
I am a resident of District 2

I support the hiring of 200 SFPD officers. End the staffing shortages now!

Message to the Board of Supervisors Dear Supervisors,

I join residents and small businesses across the entire city who are asking for more police officers to walk beats in their neighborhoods, to respond quickly to 911 calls, and to address the break-ins, drug dealing, and violent crime in this city.

SFPD has 200 vacant budgeted positions. The Mayor has put forward a commonsense plan to recruit and retain officers to address the public safety staffing crisis in our City.

By filling these already approved positions, we can add officers to district stations all across the City. Our City leaders should be doing everything they can to fill them to get more officers on the streets.

Let's focus on getting the basics right to get our City on the right track. That means focusing on public safety and hiring more police officers. The Mayor's budget and Police Hiring Plan delivers on the basics.

The Mayor's proposal to focus on recruiting and retaining officers is good government. With over 200 vacant budgeted positions, we need to hire new officers and keep the ones we've invested in.

San Francisco needs more police officers. The Mayor's Hiring Plan will get more officers on the

streets and in our neighborhoods. The Board of Supervisors should support it.

Thank you.

From: [Sydney Samucha](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support the hiring of 200 SFPD officers. End the staffing shortages now!
Date: Monday, June 6, 2022 6:20:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Sydney Samucha

Email cindysf2@aol.com

I am a resident of District 1

I support the hiring of 200 SFPD officers. End the staffing shortages now!

Message to the Board of Supervisors Dear Supervisors,

I join residents and small businesses across the entire city who are asking for more police officers to walk beats in their neighborhoods, to respond quickly to 911 calls, and to address the break-ins, drug dealing, and violent crime in this city.

SFPD has 200 vacant budgeted positions. The Mayor has put forward a commonsense plan to recruit and retain officers to address the public safety staffing crisis in our City.

By filling these already approved positions, we can add officers to district stations all across the City. Our City leaders should be doing everything they can to fill them to get more officers on the streets.

Let's focus on getting the basics right to get our City on the right track. That means focusing on public safety and hiring more police officers. The Mayor's budget and Police Hiring Plan delivers on the basics.

The Mayor's proposal to focus on recruiting and retaining officers is good government. With over 200 vacant budgeted positions, we need to hire new officers and keep the ones we've invested in.

San Francisco needs more police officers. The Mayor's Hiring Plan will get more officers on the

streets and in our neighborhoods. The Board of Supervisors should support it.

Thank you.

From: [Marie Hurabiell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support the hiring of 200 SFPD officers. End the staffing shortages now!
Date: Monday, June 6, 2022 7:02:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Marie Hurabiell
Email mhurabie@yahoo.com
I am a resident of [District 1](#)

I support the hiring of 200 SFPD officers. End the staffing shortages now!

Message to the Board of Supervisors

Dear Supervisors,

I urge you to support public safety by hiring more police. Residents and small businesses across the entire city are asking for more police officers to walk beats in their neighborhoods, to respond quickly to 911 calls, and to address the break-ins, drug dealing, and violent crime in this city.

SFPD has 200 vacant budgeted positions. The Mayor has put forward a common sense plan to recruit and retain officers to address the public safety staffing crisis in our City.

By filling these already approved positions, we can add officers to district stations all across the City. Our City leaders should be doing everything they can to fill them to get more officers on the streets.

Let's focus on getting the basics right to get our City on the right track. That means focusing on public safety and hiring more police officers. The Mayor's budget and Police Hiring Plan delivers on the basics.

The Mayor's proposal to focus on recruiting and retaining officers is good government. With over 200 vacant budgeted positions, we need to hire new officers and keep the ones we've invested in.

San Francisco needs more police officers. The

Mayor's Hiring Plan will get more officers on the streets and in our neighborhoods. The Board of Supervisors should support it.

Thank you.

From: [Dona Crowder](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support the hiring of 200 SFPD officers. End the staffing shortages now!
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 7:03:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors

From your constituent Dona Crowder

Email dona@donacrowder.com

I am a resident of [District 7](#)

I support the hiring of 200 SFPD officers. End the staffing shortages now!

Message to the Board of Supervisors Dear Supervisors,

I join residents and small businesses across the entire city who are asking for more police officers to walk beats in their neighborhoods, to respond quickly to 911 calls, and to address the break-ins, drug dealing, and violent crime in this city.

SFPD has 200 vacant budgeted positions. The Mayor has put forward a commonsense plan to recruit and retain officers to address the public safety staffing crisis in our City.

By filling these already approved positions, we can add officers to district stations all across the City. Our City leaders should be doing everything they can to fill them to get more officers on the streets.

Let's focus on getting the basics right to get our City on the right track. That means focusing on public safety and hiring more police officers. The Mayor's budget and Police Hiring Plan delivers on the basics.

The Mayor's proposal to focus on recruiting and retaining officers is good government. With over 200 vacant budgeted positions, we need to hire new officers and keep the ones we've invested in.

San Francisco needs more police officers. The Mayor's Hiring Plan will get more officers on the

streets and in our neighborhoods. The Board of Supervisors should support it.

Thank you.

From: [Masood Samereie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\); Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Elsbernd, Sean \(MYR\); Maryo Mogannam](#)
Subject: SFCDMA Letter of support for item#220594
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 7:17:55 AM
Attachments: [SFCDMA Letter of Support on Item #220594.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning Ms. Calvillo,
Please find attached SFCDMA letter of support for item#220594 on today's Board Of Supervisors agenda, and to be read at the public comment portion on behalf of SFCDMA.

****Please confirm receipt of this email****

With warmest regards, I remain

Masood Samereie
Broker/Owner
Aria Properties
BRE Lic.# 01364696

**President, San Francisco Council of District Merchants
Associations
Vice President, San Francisco Council of District Merchants
Associations Community Fund
President Emeritus, Castro Merchants**

Mobile | [415.215.6017](tel:415.215.6017)
Office | [415.552.5555](tel:415.552.5555)
Website | www.sflrealtor.com

Advocating for 94,000 tiny Businesses with 364,000 employees, many of them living and voting in SF (10 or fewer employees).

From: [Jeff Gherardini](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 9:06:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Jeff Gherardini and I have lived in San Francisco for 20 years. I live in the St. Francis Wood neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Jeff Gherardini
Plant Construction Company, L.P.

From: [Tita Bell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 9:23:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Tita Bell and I have lived in San Francisco for 23 years. I live in Noe Valley. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Sincerely,
Tita Bell

Sent via iPhone

From: [Karen Toscano Garcia](#)
To: [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com; [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Support for funds to recruit and retain police officers
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 9:49:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Karen — and I have lived in San Francisco for 10— years. I live in the civic center — neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you provide the resources needed for our police department to recruit and retain enough officers to fill its staffing shortage. We need to hire new police officers and keep the ones we've invested in. The mayor's hiring plan will get more officers on the streets and in our neighborhoods. The Board of Supervisors should support it. Residents and small businesses want more police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins at people's homes and businesses, and deal with the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

From: [sherman king](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Stop Crime SF](#)
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 11:01:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Sherman King and I have lived in San Francisco for 40 years. I live in the sunset neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Thank you for making the city safer.

sherman.

From: [Gilda Bettencourt](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Please support the mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 11:08:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings, Board of Supervisors,

My name is Gilda Bettencourt and I have lived in San Francisco for 33 years. I'm currently in the Inner Sunset neighborhood, but I have also lived in the Tenderloin, North Beach, and the Outer Sunset.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage. We're short 500 officers for a city our size.

Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

What a great opportunity to add more officers of which the city can be proud and see as their partners in preventing crime and strengthening human security.

Please do not let this opportunity slip away.

Sincerely,
Gilda Bettencourt

From: [Nathan Lemkhin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\); info@stopcrimesf.com](#)
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 11:44:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Nathan Lemkhin and I have lived in San Francisco for 39 years. I have lived in the Tenderloin, in the Inner and Outer Sunset neighborhoods, and have personally noticed the increase in crime and the disappearance of officers patrolling the streets. I am asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage. We're short 500 officers. Crime in the city has increased, and, according to ABC News, "911 response times across all priority levels have increased in 2022". Residents need enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco. But drug dealing is out of control, hate crime has sky-rocketed, "larceny theft increased 29% and car break-ins increased 32%". Every day we read about and witness shootings and assaults. Foot beats are impossible without a higher number of police officers, yet there are fewer graduates from the police academy. As a result, many vulnerable people - women, seniors, residents of poorer neighborhoods - are left unprotected, and even neighborhoods that were once safe are getting targeted by insolent robbers in broad daylight, while business owners struggle without police protection. Better training, equipment, and higher salaries are a way to invest in our police department, and are a necessary component of improving public safety, police work and police reform. I and others are urging the Board of Supervisors to take public safety and the quality of our police work seriously - these are fundamental issues and responsibilities of governance. Retaining veteran officers through incentives and obtaining new better trained recruits are essential to improving our police force. This is an opportunity for our affluent city to work on a diverse police department that is reflective and protective of the communities it serves.

Thanks for your time, and please give this issue your utmost consideration.

Sincerely,

From: [CJ Reed](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Police Officers
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 12:02:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is CJ Reed and I have lived in San Francisco for 45 years. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage. We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

From: [Deanne Camarena](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Please Approve the Mayor's MOU with San Francisco Police Officers Association (File 220594)
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 12:32:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to ask for your support for Mayor's MOU with the San Francisco Police Officers Association. Please approve this MOU and allow the City to fill the hundreds of vacant police officer positions and get more officers on our streets. This will help ensure the safety of our workers, residents and visitors. As a native of San Francisco and current resident of Diamond Heights, the safety of the city is a huge concern of mine.

I feel that it is important to have more police presence out on the street engaging in community policing and addressing crime. The recovery of our city is dependent on safety and a fully staffed SFPD will play an important role protecting not only our citizens but also our economy.

Being a native San Franciscan, I've watched the city become an outstanding beacon for people to love and visit. Currently, the amount of break ins, attacks, car break ins, public defecation and more has made me less than proud to call this wonderful city home. I used to feel safe most times here in the city. Now, that's not the case.

I am urgently requesting you approve the MOU with the San Francisco Police Officer's the resources needed to achieve the full staffing levels that have been outlined by the department.

Thank you for your support.

Deanne Camarena
Spa Director
Kabuki Springs & Spa
415.922.0229
www.kabukisprings.com

From: [John Crew](#)
To: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [SFPD, Commission \(POL\)](#); [Carter-Oberstone, Max \(POL\)](#); [James Byrne](#); [J.Gabriel Yanez](#); [Elias, Cindy \(POL\)](#); [Kevin Benedicto](#); [Knight, Heather](#); [Hernandez, Melissa G \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: More Unconditional Pay Raises for SFPOA? - Item #80, Meeting of June 7, 2022 (#220594)
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 1:24:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I learned yesterday that an item misleadingly described simply as an amendment to an existing MOU with the SFPOA to merely "restore.. a deferred one-percent (1%) base wage increase" *actually* involves a *far more consequential* elimination of the "step one" starting salary level for police officers and provides *new* significant salary increases for all police officers at the prior step one, two and three levels as well as increased retention pay incentives. Upon learning this, I viewed the recent GAO Committee discussion where this failure to accurately describe this item was briefly discussed. No explanation was provided for publicly noticing this in a manner that so fundamentally misleads the public, the press and the Board. No one seemed to know who made that decision or why it was made.

Putting aside the Brown Act implications for a moment (since it's premature to demand a "cure and correction" when the Board has not yet taken action on this item), please consider the much larger implications of suddenly increasing the salary levels for the first three levels of police officer salaries and increasing retention pay incentives with *no meaningful public notice or discussion* --

- The City will have, once again, awarded significant financial rewards to the SFPOA without obtaining or seemingly even discussing the quite obvious and on-going need to obtain reform-related concessions and enhanced performance-related guarantees in return.
- DHR Director Isen indicated during the GAO hearing that negotiations on a new MOU would begin next month. The starting point for those upcoming negotiations will be *after* the City has already locked in the salary increases for the first three levels with this amendment (if approved) -- thus giving away key leverage the City could use to seek and obtain greater cooperation from the SFPOA on reforms and on the need for more diligent and consistent job performance ... *before* the talks with the SFPOA even begin!
- To the best of my knowledge, there has been no hearing and no public discussion at either the Police Commission or the Board of Supervisors -- (which has spent significant time in public hearings pushing and prodding the SFPD to make more progress and to show more urgency on still-not-addressed reforms that would, in particular, start to drive down SFPD's quite extreme and persistent racial disparities) -- about the need and opportunity for the City to use its leverage in MOU-related discussions with the SFPOA to actually pursue the reform-agenda that is allegedly a top priority in this City. Given the role of the SFPOA, why does the City continue to allow DHR treat the MOU with

the SFPOA as though it's a one-way street -- where it's entirely about what we provide *to* their members with no consideration at all (much less action) on what the City needs, expects and has a right to get *from* SFPOA members in return?

- Giving an unconditional pay raise for the first three salary levels at this particular moment is bizarrely disconnected from the relentless series of [stories](#), [anecdotes](#) and studies about too many San Francisco police officers simply refusing to do their jobs.. lying to the public about what the elected District Attorney allegedly would or would not do.. openly committing [criminal](#) and [administratively prohibited acts](#) of neglect of duty.. producing [very low arrest and clearance rates compared to comparable jurisdiction](#).. skyrocketing levels of "neglect of duty" complaints filed by a deeply frustrated public with the Department of Police Accountability.. causing a leading *San Francisco Chronicle* columnist to recently dub them the "[do little police](#)." This certainly does not describe all SFPOA members and maybe not even most of them. But to deny this has been a major problem is to deny reality. I have no objection to generous compensation packages for police officers. I do object to any group of public employees -- regardless of their role or political connections -- taking that compensation and yet being consistently allowed to not actually perform the basic duties they're expected and required to perform. That's corruption. When the City rewards this.. when DHR fails to use the leverage it has with the SFPOA during MOU talks involving compensation levels to put a stop to this.. when the Board rubber-stamps (over and over and over) unconditional pay raises notwithstanding this... that's enabling the corruption and the too often shockingly poor levels of response and performance from SFPD. We're already paying top dollar for what *should be* highly professional, community-oriented, as non-violent as possible, racially equitable and effective policing services. Do you really think your constituents are getting that.. or that SFPOA plays no role in and shares no responsibility for the quality of policing in San Francisco?
- The proposed stepped-up salary levels and increase in retention bonuses have been framed as being necessary for SFPD to remain competitive in its recruitment efforts and to deal with officers retiring and leaving the SFPD to work for other law enforcement agencies (so-called "lateral transfers"). Yet, it appears those broad claims have received no critical scrutiny.. at least not in public. SFPD management did not attend the GAO hearing and DHR has shown little track record of pushing back against the often exaggerated claims and self-serving assumptions behind the SFPOA's and SFPD's rationales related to budgeting and compensation levels. The realities behind the challenges facing police hiring and retention in this moment -- nationally, not just in San Francisco -- are far more complex and involve (A) larger societal changes after two years of covid involving major shifts in "work/life" calculations for employees that have created recruitment and retention challenges in a wide variety of industries; (B) resistance to public demands for police reform (leading to an increase in retirements and transfers to smaller, less reform-oriented agencies); and, (C) coordinated messaging and political strategies among big city police unions seeking to spiral up salary levels in the guise of needing to "remain competitive."

This current attempt to avoid meaningful public scrutiny of unconditional pay raises for the SFPOA -- to keep the SFPOA MOUs needlessly (and disastrously) compartmentalized far away from the SFPD reform process and to treat the topic of compensation as though it should have nothing at all to do with the SFPOA ensuring their members actually perform their duties -- is now part of a well-established pattern. The Board has repeatedly expressed concern about

this self-sabotaging practice in the past but the only reason it continues is because Board inaction has allowed it to continue.

In 2018, a community coalition, called "[No Justice, No Deal](#)" pressed the City to seek reform concessions the SFPOA during MOU negotiations. The GAO Committee held a major [public hearing](#) on the topic with then-Supervisor Breed commenting at the time -- "We are definitely committed to the reforms and committed to making sure that we will **embed in the contract** specifically what we can as it relates to how we can make this work to address many of the concerns that have been addressed here today." The result was DHR seeking an important reform-related concession in an unprecedented public arbitration proceeding featuring community testimony. The arbitrator ultimately felt he should not impose this condition but nonetheless went out his way in his ruling to "encourage the parties to continue to discuss ways to mutually expedite the adoption of the DOJ proposals." Yet, four years later, the Police Commission is *still* bogged down in "meet and confer" sessions with the SFPOA on policy issues that cause unconscionable delays, are seemingly legally-unnecessary and that, even if they were arguably-required, the City took the position four years ago *could and should* be waived by the SFPOA in exchange for negotiated pay raises. Why has that demand been abandoned? The Board certainly didn't approve that. The Police Commission was never asked.

Just 18 months ago, [the City faced the same situation](#) but DHR inexplicably failed to seek that concession from the SFPOA after a secretive MOU process -- with no public scrutiny and no Board input this time -- but the Board in the position of having a fiscal gun put to its head with another deal with SFPOA that allegedly needed to be immediately approved. Again, [the Bar Associations of San Francisco and members of the public objected](#) and a number of Board members reluctantly approved the deal while publicly criticizing the process that had "squandered the opportunity" to speed reforms. [Supervisor Ronen commented](#), "The pace of reform in San Francisco is far too slow. And the Department of Human Resources is simply not doing its job and using its power to advance reforms." She introduced legislation that would require at least some basic level of transparency around DHR's negotiations with SFPOA which, to date, has not been enacted. But without that legislation.. without further proactive direction to DHR from the Board... here we are yet again in the exact same situation with DHR asking the Board to approve unconditional pay hikes for the SFPOA -- on a "take it or leave it" basis.. which will have the effect of unilaterally forfeiting the City's primary source of leverage in upcoming MOU negotiations with the SFPOA next month .. which will once again play out in secret, with no prior input from the Board, Police Commission or public.

That's not how [cities that are serious about reform](#), equitable policing and ensuring high quality, responsive public safety services for the public carry out contract negotiations with their police unions. Not anymore. It's not what big city police chiefs -- including Chief Scott -- were calling for just two years ago. In 2018, DHR told an arbitrator that speedy implementation of the USDOJ COPS reforms was an "essential objective" of the City that could and should be pursued (through selective waivers of meet and confer rights negotiated in exchange for compensation hikes) through the MOU. Yet, four years later, the extreme racial disparities highlighted in that report remain as horrific as ever with key reforms that *would* more effectively address them delayed or resisted by the SFPOA. Two examples --

1. The 2016 USDOJ report -- like the [2008 Police Executives Research Forum \(PERF\) comprehensive assessment of the SFPD](#) had as well -- blasted SFPD's failure to fully implement its Early Intervention System (EIS) designed to track well-known markers of

possibly problematic officer behavior. Two years ago, Mayor Breed's "Roadmap for New Police Reforms" echoed promises made by Mayor Newsom about finally and fully implementing EIS. Yet a few months ago, when questioned about the racial disparities during a Board hearing, Chief Scott expressed hope EIS would positively impact the problem if it could be fully implemented by the end of the year! The [SFPD's on-going failure to implement EIS](#) (pg 9 -10 of pdf) has always traced back to internal resistance to it. Before awarding any additional pay raises, the City should at least seek SFPOA's support for the program and obtain a waiver of any of additional meet and confer claims that will inevitably further delay its implementation.

2. The Police Commission is finally poised to consider a long-recommended ban on pretext stops after years other cities have taken similar steps and many years of research nationwide confirming the ineffectiveness of the tactic and identifying it as a clear driver of racial profiling. Already and true to form, the [SFPOA has already publicly suggested they will oppose the change](#). The SFPOA *should* make their views known and advocate for their position on an equal basis with others *in public* during the Police Commission's process for considering these issues. There is no reason any reform or policy change on this topic should be delayed by secretive "meet and confer" sessions with the SFPOA -- especially with these disparities persisting for so very long and in the absence of any proposal at all from the SFPOA for addressing them. If San Francisco is serious about dealing with these racial disparities, it would not award pay raises to the SFPOA without a waiver of any theoretical claim about "meet and confer" rights over pretext stop policies.

Before the Board accepts the notion that it must sacrifice reform and performance goals that could and should be pursued in exchange for any pay hikes in order to quickly boost salary levels and retention bonuses in the name of "remaining competitive" with other agencies, it should at least pause to ask a few questions first. For example, of the SFPD members who, in recent years, have left SFPD to accept positions with other agencies, what portion of them have done so seeking better compensation? If a significant portion of them have left to accept positions with smaller agencies less likely to be under pressure currently to reform and/or that do not have vaccine mandates, and for lower compensation and benefit packages, the DHR-proposed higher salaries and increased retention bonuses will have much less (if any) impact on the problem than seems to be the assumption. What consideration, if any, has been given by DHR to the big city police unions working in concert with one another to try pit cities against one another in their campaign to boost compensation for all? (Are they even aware of that reality?) A few years ago when [now-convicted family abuser Nate Ballard](#) was working for them, the SFPOA joined with a number of other politically-aggressive police groups to form [UCOPS \(United Coalition of Public Safety\)](#) to coordinate messaging and political strategy -- an organization whose address remains the SFPOA headquarters. The same "competitiveness" arguments being floated for the unconditional pay raises in San Francisco are being raised in other cities with UCOPS police unions. What makes DHR think these pay hikes will actually serve the SFPD's retention and recruitment goals more than UCOPS police union political goal of pitting cities against one another?

Thank you for considering my views.

John Crew
(415) 793-4146

cc. Members, San Francisco Police Commission

San Francisco Police Commission Office
Heather Knight, *San Francisco Chronicle*

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Perkinson, Jessica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 3:22:00 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Tita Bell <titakbell@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 9:23 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Tita Bell and I have lived in San Francisco for 23 years. I live in Noe Valley. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Sincerely,
Tita Bell

Sent via iPhone

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Perkinson, Jessica \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Support for funds to recruit and retain police officers
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 3:23:00 PM

From: Karen Toscano Garcia <karen91toscano@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 9:49 AM
To: Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; info@stopcrimesf.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support for funds to recruit and retain police officers

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Karen — and I have lived in San Francisco for 10— years. I live in the civic center — neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you provide the resources needed for our police department to recruit and retain enough officers to fill its staffing shortage. We need to hire new police officers and keep the ones we've invested in. The mayor's hiring plan will get more officers on the streets and in our neighborhoods. The Board of Supervisors should support it. Residents and small businesses want more police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins at people's homes and businesses, and deal with the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Perkinson, Jessica \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 3:24:00 PM

From: sherman king <lionshermanking@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 10:31 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Stop Crime SF <info@stopcrimesf.com>
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Sherman King and I have lived in San Francisco for 40 years. I live in the sunset neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Thank you for making the city safer.

sherman.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Perkinson, Jessica \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Please support the mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 3:24:00 PM

From: Gilda Bettencourt <gilda_bettencourt@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 11:08 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Please support the mayor's police hiring plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings, Board of Supervisors,

My name is Gilda Bettencourt and I have lived in San Francisco for 33 years. I'm currently in the Inner Sunset neighborhood, but I have also lived in the Tenderloin, North Beach, and the Outer Sunset.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage. We're short 500 officers for a city our size.

Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

What a great opportunity to add more officers of which the city can be proud and see as their partners in preventing crime and strengthening human security.

Please do not let this opportunity slip away.

Sincerely,
Gilda Bettencourt

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Perkinson, Jessica \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 3:27:00 PM

From: Nathan Lemkhin <nathanlemkhin@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 11:44 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Nathan Lemkhin and I have lived in San Francisco for 39 years. I have lived in the Tenderloin, in the Inner and Outer Sunset neighborhoods, and have personally noticed the increase in crime and the disappearance of officers patrolling the streets. I am asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage. We're short 500 officers. Crime in the city has increased, and, according to ABC News, "911 response times across all priority levels have increased in 2022". Residents need enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco. But drug dealing is out of control, hate crime has sky-rocketed, "larceny theft increased 29% and car break-ins increased 32%". Every day we read about and witness shootings and assaults. Foot beats are impossible without a higher number of police officers, yet there are fewer graduates from the police academy. As a result, many vulnerable people - women, seniors, residents of poorer neighborhoods - are left unprotected, and even neighborhoods that were once safe are getting targeted by insolent robbers in broad daylight, while business owners struggle without police protection. Better training, equipment, and higher salaries are a way to invest in our police department, and are a necessary component of improving public safety, police work and police reform. I and others are urging the Board of Supervisors to take public safety and the quality of our police work seriously - these are fundamental issues and responsibilities of governance. Retaining veteran officers through incentives and obtaining new better trained recruits are essential to improving our police force. This is an opportunity for our affluent city to work on a diverse police department that is reflective and protective of the communities it serves.

Thanks for your time, and please give this issue your utmost consideration.

Sincerely,

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jessica \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Police Officers
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 3:27:00 PM

From: CJ Reed <sanfranseal101@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 12:02 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Police Officers

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is CJ Reed and I have lived in San Francisco for 45 years. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage. We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Perkinson, Jessica \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Please Approve the Mayor's MOU with San Francisco Police Officers Association (File 220594)
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 3:28:00 PM

From: Deanne Camarena <deanne@kabukisprings.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 12:32 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please Approve the Mayor's MOU with San Francisco Police Officers Association (File 220594)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to ask for your support for Mayor's MOU with the San Francisco Police Officers Association. Please approve this MOU and allow the City to fill the hundreds of vacant police officer positions and get more officers on our streets. This will help ensure the safety of our workers, residents and visitors. As a native of San Francisco and current resident of Diamond Heights, the safety of the city is a huge concern of mine.

I feel that it is important to have more police presence out on the street engaging in community policing and addressing crime. The recovery of our city is dependent on safety and a fully staffed SFPD will play an important role protecting not only our citizens but also our economy.

Being a native San Franciscan, I've watched the city become an outstanding beacon for people to love and visit. Currently, the amount of break ins, attacks, car break ins, public defecation and more has made me less than proud to call this wonderful city home. I used to feel safe most times here in the city. Now, that's not the case.

I am urgently requesting you approve the MOU with the San Francisco Police Officer's the resources needed to achieve the full staffing levels that have been outlined by the department.

Thank you for your support.

Deanne Camarena
Spa Director

Kabuki Springs & Spa
415.922.0229
www.kabukisprings.com

From: [Gonzalez Amy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Information Request
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 4:34:44 PM
Attachments: [image003.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thank you Arthur.

Resolution No. 00-0049 is cited in the Labor Peace/Card Check Rule that applies at the Airport so that is where I got that number.

Thanks

Amy

Amy Gonzalez

(she, her, hers)

General Counsel

Office 619.400.2425 | **Mobile** 619.455.6602

agonzale@san.org

SAN.ORG



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient and may contain information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine or other applicable privileges or confidentiality laws or regulations. If you are not an intended recipient, you may not review, use, copy, disclose or distribute this message or any of the information contained in this message to anyone. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of this message and any attachments. Unintended transmission shall not constitute waiver of the attorney-client or any other privilege.

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 4:09 PM
To: Gonzalez Amy <agonzale@san.org>
Subject: RE: Information Request

Hello,

Per your request, the Office of the Clerk of the Board does not have any responsive records

regarding your inquiry. Resolution 00-0049 does not match our records and naming convention for resolutions and there are no ordinances regarding the Labor Peace Policy at SFO in which you seek.

Please feel free to search our online database [here](#) to see if you are able to find what you are looking for.

Regards,

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184 | (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Campbell, Will](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support for funds to recruit and retain police officers
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 5:38:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Will Campbell and I have lived in San Francisco for 4 years. I live in the Marina neighborhood.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you provide the resources needed for our police department to recruit and retain enough officers to fill its staffing shortage.

We need to hire new police officers and keep the ones we've invested in. The mayor's hiring plan will get more officers on the streets and in our neighborhoods. The Board of Supervisors should support it.

Residents and small businesses want more police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins at people's homes and businesses, and deal with the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

From: [Perkinson, Jessica \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Gilda Bettencourt](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com; [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: GAO File Nos. 220594 || FW: Please support the mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 9:58:40 AM

Greetings Gilda Bettencourt,
Thank you for comments. A copy will be included in the official file for File Nos. 220594 and 220595 pertaining to the San Francisco Police Department MOUs. Please feel free to contact me should you have any further questions or concerns. Thank you.

Jessica Perkinson
Pronouns: she/her/hers
Assistant Clerk
San Francisco Board of Supervisors | Office of the Clerk of the Board
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Jessica.Perkinson@sfgov.org | (415) 554-7722

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.


Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Gilda Bettencourt <gilda_bettencourt@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 11:08 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Please support the mayor's police hiring plan



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings, Board of Supervisors,

My name is Gilda Bettencourt and I have lived in San Francisco for 33 years. I'm currently in the Inner Sunset neighborhood, but I have also lived in the Tenderloin, North Beach, and the Outer Sunset.

I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage. We're short 500 officers for a city our size.

Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

What a great opportunity to add more officers of which the city can be proud and see as their partners in preventing crime and strengthening human security.

Please do not let this opportunity slip away.

Sincerely,
Gilda Bettencourt

From: [Perkinson, Jessica \(BOS\)](#)
To: [sherman king](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Stop Crime SF](#)
Subject: GAO File Nos. 220594 || FW: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 9:58:41 AM

Greetings Sherman King,

Thank you for comments. A copy will be included in the official file for File Nos. 220594 and 220595 pertaining to the San Francisco Police Department MOUs. Please feel free to contact me should you have any further questions or concerns. Thank you.

Jessica Perkinson

Pronouns: she/her/hers

Assistant Clerk

San Francisco Board of Supervisors | Office of the Clerk of the Board

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

Jessica.Perkinson@sfgov.org | (415) 554-7722

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: sherman king <lionshermanking@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 10:31 AM

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Stop Crime SF <info@stopcrimesf.com>

Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Sherman King and I have lived in San Francisco for 40 years. I live in the sunset neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Thank you for making the city safer.

sherman.

From: [Perkinson, Jessica \(BOS\)](#)
To: titakbell@yahoo.com
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: GAO File Nos. 220594 and 220595 || RE: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 10:00:05 AM

Greetings Tita Bell,

Thank you for comments. A copy will be included in the official file for File Nos. 220594 and 220595 pertaining to the San Francisco Police Department MOUs. Please feel free to contact me should you have any further questions or concerns. Thank you.

Jessica Perkinson
Pronouns: she/her/hers
Assistant Clerk
San Francisco Board of Supervisors | Office of the Clerk of the Board
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Jessica.Perkinson@sfgov.org | (415) 554-7722

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

-----Original Message-----

From: Tita Bell <titakbell@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 9:23 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; info@stopcrimesf.com
Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Tita Bell and I have lived in San Francisco for 23 years. I live in Noe Valley. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage.

We're short 500 officers for a city our size. Residents deserve to live in a city with enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco.

The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

Sincerely,
Tita Bell

Sent via iPhone

From: [Perkinson, Jessica \(BOS\)](#)
To: deanne@kabukisprings.com
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: GAO File Nos. 220594 || FW: Please Approve the Mayor's MOU with San Francisco Police Officers Association (File 220594)
Date: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 10:00:07 AM

Greetings Deanne Camarena,
Thank you for comments. A copy will be included in the official file for File No. 220594 pertaining to the San Francisco Police Department MOU. Please feel free to contact me should you have any further questions or concerns. Thank you.

Jessica Perkinson
Pronouns: she/her/hers
Assistant Clerk
San Francisco Board of Supervisors | Office of the Clerk of the Board
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Jessica.Perkinson@sfgov.org | (415) 554-7722

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Deanne Camarena <deanne@kabukisprings.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 12:32 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please Approve the Mayor's MOU with San Francisco Police Officers Association (File

220594)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to ask for your support for Mayor's MOU with the San Francisco Police Officers Association. Please approve this MOU and allow the City to fill the hundreds of vacant police officer positions and get more officers on our streets. This will help ensure the safety of our workers, residents and visitors. As a native of San Francisco and current resident of Diamond Heights, the safety of the city is a huge concern of mine.

I feel that it is important to have more police presence out on the street engaging in community policing and addressing crime. The recovery of our city is dependent on safety and a fully staffed SFPD will play an important role protecting not only our citizens but also our economy.

Being a native San Franciscan, I've watched the city become an outstanding beacon for people to love and visit. Currently, the amount of break ins, attacks, car break ins, public defecation and more has made me less than proud to call this wonderful city home. I used to feel safe most times here in the city. Now, that's not the case.

I am urgently requesting you approve the MOU with the San Francisco Police Officer's the resources needed to achieve the full staffing levels that have been outlined by the department.
Thank you for your support.

Deanne Camarena
Spa Director
Kabuki Springs & Spa
415.922.0229
www.kabukisprings.com

From: [Perkinson, Jessica \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Nathan Lemkhin](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com; [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: GAO File Nos. 220594 and 220595 || FW: Support mayor's police hiring plan
Date: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 10:00:37 AM

Greetings Nathan Lemkhin,

Thank you for comments. A copy will be included in the official file for File Nos. 220594 and 220595 pertaining to the San Francisco Police Department MOUs. Please feel free to contact me should you have any further questions or concerns. Thank you.

Jessica Perkinson

Pronouns: she/her/hers

Assistant Clerk

San Francisco Board of Supervisors | Office of the Clerk of the Board

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

Jessica.Perkinson@sfgov.org | (415) 554-7722

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Nathan Lemkhin <nathanlemkhin@yahoo.com>

Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 11:44 AM

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Cc: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; info@stopcrimesf.com

Subject: Support mayor's police hiring plan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Nathan Lemkhin and I have lived in San Francisco for 39 years. I have lived in the Tenderloin, in the Inner and Outer Sunset neighborhoods, and have personally noticed the increase in crime and the disappearance of officers patrolling the streets. I am asking that you approve the mayor's budget plan to recruit and retain enough police officers to fill the SFPD staffing shortage. We're short 500 officers. Crime in the city has increased, and, according to ABC News, "911 response times across all priority levels have increased in 2022". Residents need enough police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins, and handle the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco. But drug dealing is out of control, hate crime has sky-rocketed, "larceny theft increased 29% and car break-ins increased 32%". Every day we read about and witness shootings and assaults. Foot beats are impossible without a higher number of police officers, yet there are fewer graduates from the police academy. As a result, many vulnerable people - women, seniors, residents of poorer neighborhoods - are left unprotected, and even neighborhoods that were once safe are getting targeted by insolent robbers in broad daylight, while business owners struggle without police protection. Better training, equipment, and higher salaries are a way to invest in our police department, and are a necessary component of improving public safety, police work and police reform. I and others are urging the Board of Supervisors to take public safety and the quality of our police work seriously - these are fundamental issues and responsibilities of governance. Retaining veteran officers through incentives and obtaining new better trained recruits are essential to improving our police force. This is an opportunity for our affluent city to work on a diverse police department that is reflective and protective of the communities it serves.

Thanks for your time, and please give this issue your utmost consideration.

Sincerely,

From: [Perkinson, Jessica \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Karen Toscano Garcia](#)
Cc: [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); info@stopcrimesf.com; [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: GAO File Nos. 220594 and 220595 || FW: Support for funds to recruit and retain police officers
Date: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 10:00:44 AM

Greetings Karen Toscano Garcia,
Thank you for comments. A copy will be included in the official file for File Nos. 220594 and 220595 pertaining to the San Francisco Police Department MOUs. Please feel free to contact me should you have any further questions or concerns. Thank you.

Jessica Perkinson
Pronouns: she/her/hers
Assistant Clerk
San Francisco Board of Supervisors | Office of the Clerk of the Board
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Jessica.Perkinson@sfgov.org | (415) 554-7722

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Karen Toscano Garcia <karen91toscano@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 9:49 AM
To: Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; info@stopcrimesf.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS)

<prestonstaff@sfgov.org>

Subject: Support for funds to recruit and retain police officers

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Karen — and I have lived in San Francisco for 10— years. I live in the civic center — neighborhood. I join Stop Crime SF in asking that you provide the resources needed for our police department to recruit and retain enough officers to fill its staffing shortage. We need to hire new police officers and keep the ones we've invested in. The mayor's hiring plan will get more officers on the streets and in our neighborhoods. The Board of Supervisors should support it. Residents and small businesses want more police officers to walk beats, respond to break-ins at people's homes and businesses, and deal with the drug dealing and safety problems in San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors must focus on delivering the basics around public safety. Incentives to recruit and retain officers are smart policies that will help us continue to build a diverse police department that is reflective of the communities it serves.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 10 Letters Regarding File #220281
Date: Thursday, June 9, 2022 1:54:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

File #220281 - Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to require the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (“HSH”) to submit to the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor a plan to implement a program to provide unsheltered persons in San Francisco with access to shelter and permanent supportive housing (“Place for All Program”), including a cost estimate of implementation; requiring HSH to fully implement the Place for All Program; requiring HSH to implement a system to allow individuals experiencing homelessness to register for shelter by telephone; and affirming the Planning Department’s determination under the California Environmental Quality Act.

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184 | (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Natty Coleman](#)
To: [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [StefaniStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [PeskinStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Haneystaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [SafaiStaff \(BOS\)](#); placeforall@growsf.org; [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support "A Place for All" to end street homelessness
Date: Tuesday, May 31, 2022 7:07:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,
I'm reaching out to express my support for Supervisor Mandelman's "A Place for All" legislation.

I'm a progressive who believes in justice and equity and that that starts with creating stable living conditions for all.

For too long, the city has spent more and more money on homelessness without a concrete plan and the number of people forced to live on the streets has only increased.

I believe we need to end the homelessness crisis and that we should efficiently use our city's resources to shelter as many people as possible. Supervisor Mandelman's "Place for All" would finally require the city to develop a plan to do that.

I hope you will support this plan.

Thank you.

From: [Elisa Poulos](#)
To: [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [info@rescuesf.org](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date: Tuesday, May 31, 2022 10:54:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Stefani, Melgar, Mar, Dorsey, and Safai, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

[Elisa Poulos]
89 Marietta Dr SF 94127
[OR your Position/Org Name]

From: [Barry Rothman](#)
To: [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); placeforall@growsf.org; [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support "A Place for All" to end street homelessness
Date: Tuesday, May 31, 2022 7:20:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Melgar,

I'm a resident of District 7. I'm reaching out to express my support for Supervisor Mandelman's "A Place for All" legislation.

For too long, the city has spent more and more money on homelessness without a concrete plan and the number of people forced to live on the streets has only increased.

I believe we need to end the homelessness crisis and that we should efficiently use our city's resources to shelter as many people as possible. Supervisor Mandelman's "Place for All" would finally require the city to develop a plan to do that.

I hope you will support this plan.

Thank you.

Please reply to:
rothperson@gmail.com

Barry S. Rothman, Ph.D.
Emeritus Professor of Biology
San Francisco State Univ

From: [Stephanie Ellis](#)
To: [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [info@rescuesf.org](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date: Thursday, June 2, 2022 4:34:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Stefani, Melgar, Mar, Dorsey, and Safai, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Stephanie Ellis
870 Church St #1

From: [Jennifer Laska](#)
To: [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [RescueSF Coalition](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date: Friday, June 3, 2022 1:37:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Stefani, Melgar, Mar, Dorsey, and Safai, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Jennifer Laska
District 5

From: [Jennifer Laska](#)
To: [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [RescueSF Coalition](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: ["Jennifer Laska" via HVNA Board](#)
Subject: I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date: Friday, June 3, 2022 1:38:57 PM
Attachments: [Microsoft Word - HVNA Place for All letter 5-1-22.docx.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,
Please consider the attached letter of support from the Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association for "A Place for All".
Thank you,

Jennifer Laska
HVNA President
310-709-2230
[OR your Position/Org Name]

From: [Jeff Lind](#)
To: [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); placeforall@growsf.org; [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support "A Place for All" to end street homelessness
Date: Friday, June 3, 2022 10:53:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Mandelman,

I'm a resident of District 8. I'm reaching out to express my support for your "A Place for All" legislation.

Thanks for proposing it.

From: [Kate Hanson](#)
To: [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [info@rescuesf.org](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I urge you to support "A Place for All" (File #220281)
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 11:51:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The solution for homelessness is housing, but the waiting line for housing cannot be on our streets. It's time for our City to provide adequate shelter to end our street sleeping crisis. I join with RescueSF in urging you to support "A Place for All."

"A Place for All," sponsored by Supervisors Mandelman, Stefani, Melgar, Mar, Dorsey, and Safai, establishes a City policy to provide adequate shelter for people living on our streets. It requires the City to develop an implementation plan that expands the number and types of shelter, including tents, cabins, navigation centers, and traditional shelter. The Board of Supervisors will have an opportunity to review the plan, costs, and funding sources before approving the final plan. This is a sensible approach.

Please pass "A Place for All" (File #220281) out of committee. Thank you.

Kate Hanson and Scott Ferguson
2814 Pine Street @ Broderick
San Francisco, CA

From: [Marie McKigney](#)
To: [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [StefaniStaff_ \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); placeforall@growsf.org; [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors_ \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support "A Place for All" to end street homelessness
Date: Monday, June 6, 2022 3:28:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Stefani,

I'm a resident of District 2. I'm reaching out to express my support for Supervisor Mandelman's "A Place for All" legislation.

For too long, the city has spent more and more money on homelessness without a concrete plan and the number of people forced to live on the streets has only increased.

I believe we need to end the homelessness crisis and that we should efficiently use our city's resources to shelter as many people as possible. Supervisor Mandelman's "Place for All" would finally require the city to develop a plan to do that.

I hope you will support this plan.

Thank you.

From: [Rob Thomson](#)
To: [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [StefaniStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [PeskinStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Haneystaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [SafaiStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); placeforall@growsf.org; [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support "A Place for All" to end street homelessness
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 11:30:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I'm reaching out to express my support for Supervisor Mandelman's "A Place for All" legislation.

My wife and I have lived in the Mission for almost 20 years, where we're now raising our two school age children. The sidewalk encampment situation on the residential streets of our neighborhood is worse than it's ever been, and impacts us on a daily basis. Weeks after encampments get out of control, they do get cleared, only to pop up again a block away. These situations create trash, unsafe, and unsanitary conditions as well as multiple well documented fires. This has to stop.

For too long, the city has spent more and more money on homelessness without a concrete plan and the number of people forced to live on the streets has only increased.

I believe we need to end the homelessness crisis and that we should efficiently use our city's resources to shelter as many people as possible. Supervisor Mandelman's "Place for All" would finally require the city to develop a plan to do that.

I hope you will support this plan.

Thank you.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Laksh Bhasin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [McSpadden, Shireen \(HOM\)](#)
Subject: Supporting a right for supportive housing tenants to pay rent by check and online
Date: Thursday, June 9, 2022 7:42:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors, Mayor Breed, and Director McSpadden,

My name is Laksh Bhasin, and I am writing to you because supportive housing tenants should have a right to pay rent by check or online.

Currently, many supportive housing tenants are unnecessarily forced onto what is often known as the "modified payment program" or "two party checks," meaning that a tenant has to have their benefits deposited to their landlord and have their rent cut out from that check before distribution. This has caused numerous delays in tenants receiving their benefits.

If tenants are lucky to get their benefits through direct deposit, they have to pay rent by money order, which is untraceable and inconvenient.

Many supportive housing landlords claim they don't accept personal checks because of their potential to bounce. However, California state law already has a remedy for that, according to [Civil Code 1947.3\(a\)\(2\)](#). **This is an infantilizing and ableist policy** that has no rational basis other than to disempower tenants.

Furthermore, to promote social distancing and to pandemic-proof housing operations, all landlords must provide a way to pay rent online with a debit card. California law allows for this, as long as online payment isn't the only option. I also request that the Rent Board be allowed to hear "decrease in services" petitions relating to rent payment options, even though supportive housing tenants are not covered under rent control.

Please treat permanent supportive housing tenants like the adults they are. Instead of withholding benefits, give them the right to pay rent by check or online.

Sincerely,
Laksh Bhasin

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 5 Letters Regarding File #220535
Date: Thursday, June 9, 2022 11:55:00 AM
Attachments: [5 Letters Regarding File #220535.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

File #220535 - Charter Amendment (First Draft) to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to create the Homelessness Oversight Commission (“Commission”) to oversee the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing; to provide that the Commission lacks jurisdiction to approve or disapprove criteria used to ascertain eligibility or priority for programs and services, where such criteria are required as a condition of funding; and to require the Board of Supervisors to adopt an ordinance amending the Municipal Code to provide that the Commission shall appoint the members of the Local Homeless Coordinating Board and the Shelter Monitoring Committee, and requiring those bodies to advise the Commission, and to require the Our City, Our Home Oversight Committee to advise the Commission and the Health Commission, in lieu of advising the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors, on administration of the Our City, Our Home Fund and on monies appropriated from the Fund; at an election to be held on November 8, 2022.

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184 | (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Dennis Lu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Regarding the HSH charter
Date: Monday, June 6, 2022 6:01:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board Of Supervisors

My name is Dennis, I live in the Mission District, and I am a former canvasser and volunteer. I am writing to you to

oppose the proposed HSH charter amendment (File: 220535) unless the following amendments are made.

- 1) That the commission not be mayoral-majority
- 2) That the commission have a seat for a permanent supportive housing tenant appointed by the Board of Supervisors.
- 3) That the seat for a merchant/neighborhood association member be eliminated.
- 4) That OCOH Oversight Board can advise the Board of Supervisors

Supervisor Safa'i, in drafting this charter amendment, did not listen to the community's needs, nor did he engage stakeholders, including those voices that are not often heard.

In the April 26 Chronicle expose on SROs used as supportive housing, it is well established that

the mayor's office has had their thumb on the scale for the past 20 years, and it has had a devastating effect on the quality of life of tenants, and there are other issues that still need to be elevated.

Furthermore, a permanent supportive housing tenant who is appointed by the Board of Supervisors would add a voice that is not often heard in conversations around homeless issues and that a voice that has been heard too much and must be removed from the charter amendment is neighborhood/merchant councils (currently Seat 4), who do not have an understanding of the complex programmatic issues involving housing homeless individuals.

We are also concerned about language that does not allow for the Our City, Our Home Oversight Board to advise the Mayor and Board of Supervisors. That needs to be removed from the charter amendment as well.

The public is supportive of commissions that don't have majority mayoral appointments. Prop D,

which put the Sheriff's Department over a 4 BOS-3 mayor oversight board, passed with 68% of

the vote in November 2020. There is no reason that that can't be replicated with real oversight over the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing.

Please oppose the charter amendment unless the four recommendations above are incorporated into it. The city is tired of paper tiger commissions that do nothing, and it harms all

stakeholders

Sincerely,
Dennis Lu

Mission SF

From: [Nurit B](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [SafaiStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [PeskinStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: File 220535: Oppose HSH majority-Mayoral Commission unless amended
Date: Monday, June 6, 2022 4:40:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board Of Supervisors,

My name is Nurit Baruch, I live in District 2 but my son who has a mental disability lives in an SRO in Soma, and I am also a member of SF taxpayers steering committee. I am writing to you to oppose the proposed HSH Charter Amendment (File: 220535) unless the following amendments are made.

1.
That the commission not be majority appointed by the Mayor
2.
That the commission have a seat for a permanent supportive housing tenant appointed by the Board of Supervisors.
3.
That the seat for a merchant/neighborhood association member be eliminated.
4.
That the OCOH Oversight Board can advise the Board of Supervisors

Supervisor Safaí, in drafting this Charter Amendment, did not listen to the community's needs. Nor did he engage stakeholders, including the voices of permanent supportive housing tenants that are often not heard.

In the April 26 Chronicle exposé on SROs used as supportive housing, it is well-established that the Mayor's Office has had its thumb on the scale for the past 20 years, with devastating effects on the quality of life of tenants whose living conditions remain inhospitable. SRO tenants need a way to elevate these issues.

Furthermore, a permanent supportive housing tenant who is appointed by the Board of Supervisors would add a voice that is not often heard in conversations around homelessness issues. On the other hand, representatives from neighborhood and merchant councils (currently Seat 4) have been heard from too much, and must be removed from the Charter Amendment. They do not have an understanding of the complex programmatic issues involving housing homeless individuals.

I am also concerned about language that does not allow for the Our City, Our Home Oversight Board to advise the Mayor and Board of Supervisors. That needs to be removed from the Charter Amendment as well.

The public is supportive of commissions that don't have majority-Mayoral appointments. Prop D, which put the Sheriff's Department under a 4 BOS-3 Mayor oversight board, passed with 68% of the vote in November 2020. There is no reason that this structure can't be replicated with real oversight over the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing.

Please oppose the Charter Amendment unless the four recommendations above are incorporated. The city is tired of paper tiger commissions that do nothing, and it harms all stakeholders.

Sincerely,
Nurit Baruch
District 2

From: [Lea McGeever](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [SafaiStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [PeskinStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: File 220535: Oppose HSH majority-Mayoral Commission unless amended
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 3:14:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board Of Supervisors,

My name is Lea McGeever, and I live in District 6. I am writing to you to oppose the proposed HSH Charter Amendment (File: 220535) unless the following amendments are made.

1. That the commission not be majority appointed by the Mayor
2. That the commission have a seat for a permanent supportive housing tenant appointed by the Board of Supervisors.
3. That the seat for a merchant/neighborhood association member be eliminated.
4. That the OCOH Oversight Board can advise the Board of Supervisors

Supervisor Safaí, in drafting this Charter Amendment, did not listen to the community's needs. Nor did he engage stakeholders, including the voices of permanent supportive housing tenants that are often not heard.

In the April 26 Chronicle exposé on SROs used as supportive housing, it is well-established that the Mayor's Office has had its thumb on the scale for the past 20 years, with devastating effects on the quality of life of tenants whose living conditions remain inhospitable. SRO tenants need a way to elevate these issues.

Furthermore, a permanent supportive housing tenant who is appointed by the Board of Supervisors would add a voice that is not often heard in conversations around homelessness issues. On the other hand, representatives from neighborhood and merchant councils (currently Seat 4) have been heard from too much, and must be removed from the Charter Amendment. They do not have an understanding of the complex programmatic issues involving housing homeless individuals.

I am also concerned about language that does not allow for the Our City, Our Home

Oversight Board to advise the Mayor and Board of Supervisors. That needs to be removed from the Charter Amendment as well.

The public is supportive of commissions that don't have majority-Mayoral appointments. Prop D, which put the Sheriff's Department under a 4 BOS-3 Mayor oversight board, passed with 68% of the vote in November 2020. There is no reason that this structure can't be replicated with real oversight over the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing.

Please oppose the Charter Amendment unless the four recommendations above are incorporated. The city is tired of paper tiger commissions that do nothing, and it harms all stakeholders.

Sincerely,
Lea McGeever
District 6

From: [pj Koren](#)
Subject: Supervisor Safa'i's charter amendment
Date: Saturday, June 4, 2022 8:58:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to you to oppose the proposed HSH charter amendment (File 220535) as written. Oversight of the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing is clearly required—I've been appalled by the Chronicle's reports on the conditions in some of the supported housing units. But in drafting this charter amendment, Supervisor Safa'i did not listen to community needs nor engage stakeholders. I encourage the following amendments in order to create better oversight:

- The commission *not* be composed of a majority of mayoral appointees. Other oversight commissions (such as for the Sheriff's Dept., Streets & Sanitation and Public Works) do not have this majority mayoral structure.
- There should be a seat for a PSH tenant appointed by the Board of Supervisors.
- There should not be merchant/neighborhood specific seats.
- In addition, the Our City, Our Home Oversight Committee needs to be able to advise the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor.

Sincerely,
Patricia Koren, District 8 resident

From: [Jordan Davis](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [PeskinStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FYI about a hunger strike I am doing for Supportive Housing Reform
Date: Friday, June 3, 2022 2:30:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear everyone,

As you may have heard, HSH has updated all the contracts making the 30% standard permanent in all PSH, so, I should be content with what we've won and rest on my laurels, right? RIGHT?

However, I can't. I have been reflecting on what it took to get us to this point that the 30% standard is now permanent, which is a great thing, as well as the Chronicle articles that have been coming out about the poor conditions, and we still have a lot of work to do. Everything that has happened in our PSH stock has happened due to a deep culture of corruption, ranging from a lack of oversight over HSH (and false solutions that have recently been proposed), DBI entering into contracts with the foxes to guard the henhouse, and the egregious bad actor THC who has had a history of mistreating both it's workers and tenants. I don't feel that the Board of Supervisors has done enough, and Mayor's Newsom, Lee, and Breed have had their thumb on the scale for too long. I am too old and too autistic to deal with this shit anymore.

That is why after much reflection, **effective 1pm on June, I have begun another hunger strike to call for reform of our broken system of supportive housing.**

I will remain on hunger strike until these three conditions are met.

Condition #1: A majority of the Board of Supervisors must commit to block Supervisor Safai's HSH charter amendment (File: 220535) unless amended to meet the following specific criteria

- a) The mayor cannot have the majority of appointees to the HSH commission
- b) There cannot be any merchant/neighborhood group specific seats, period
- c) There must be a seat for a PSH tenant appointed by the Board of Supervisors

While it is great that supervisors are pushing for an HSH commission, Supervisor Safa'i obviously did not consult with stakeholders, and having the commission be majority mayoral appointee would continue the Tammany Hall/Boss Daley style machine politics that led to our broken homes, exploited workers, and rent burdens. The three demands above are non-negotiable.

If the Sheriff's Oversight Board can have a 4 BOS 3 mayor split, and the Streets and Sanitation and Public Works commission can have a 2 BOS, 2 mayor, 1 controller split, then certainly, the HSH commission can have similar splits (preferably a 4 BOS, 3 mayor split of the former which passed with 68% of the vote).

It should also be noted that Supervisor Safa'i has supported all those non-majority mayoral appointee commissions, so it is arbitrary and capricious that he thinks an HSH commission should be majority mayoral appointee.

Condition #2: A majority of the Board of Supervisors must support the separation of the SRO collaboratives from non-profit landlords

The SRO Collaboratives are programs funded by DBI and administered by non-profits that are supposed to help empower tenants to hold landlords accountable. But they are administered by landlords. Here is a high level explanation of the issues.

<https://www.streetsheet.org/sro-collaboratives-the-city-and-the-nonprofits-in-between/>

Most egregious has been the Central City SRO Collaborative, who has tried to stifle issues, been way in the pocket of landlords, and almost killed the #30RightNow campaign in it's infancy. Here is a collection of YouTube videos of CCSRO reps saying anti-tenant things.

https://youtube.com/playlist?list=PL_T08aW2cLPT3f4jV0btLy62nJuYoRZRT

Although Supervisor Hillary Ronen has recently raised the issue with DBI, she has not yet been willing to challenge these perverse relationships in a substantial way. We need to put these collaboratives under non-profits that aren't also landlords in order to empower tenants to challenge power in an authentic and meaningful way, and the transition must be just and timely.

Condition #3: A supermajority of the Board of Supervisors must support "Right To Pay Rent By Check Or Online":

Currently, many tenants in supportive housing are required to either have their benefits routed to the provider to cut out the rent and give back the remainder, and if they have direct deposit, must use money order. It's been a sore spot with me for years and it's been an issue with many tenants as well, especially in THC Case in point, in 2010, a tenant who was seeking a seat on the SRO Task Force mentioned the issue, and he was spurned for a tenant who was close with THC.

Well, there have been times that our checks have been delayed, and it's time to say enough is enough. The following conditions must be met.

- a) Tenants should have the right to direct deposit, unless there is substantial delinquency (I am willing to negotiate with providers on what that would be, but everything else is non-negotiable).
- b) Tenants should have the right to pay rent by check unless they bounced within the last three months (as per California Civil Code)
- c) Tenants should have the option (and per California Civil Code it must be an option) to pay rent by debit card through an online portal, in order to promote convenience, surety, and physical distancing.

Those are the three conditions. Conditions 1 and 2 were under consideration for some time and these reforms will make it easier to bring sunshine on these equity issues and organize for change, while Condition 3 is a specific issue that was elevated to top of mind just recently.

I did not want to have to do this, I am already precarious as it is, and I am putting myself at risk. But there are decades of collective trauma that have led up to this point, and if I can't, who will? Too many tenants in D3, D5, D6, and D9 have been dealing with this shit for years, and it's time to blow the whistle, and I hope that I can get these commitments.

Yours,

Jordan (she/her)

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 5 Letters Regarding the Potrero Hill Green Benefit District
Date: Thursday, June 9, 2022 11:52:00 AM
Attachments: [5 Letters Regarding the Potrero Hill Green Benefit District.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184 | (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [vanessa r aquino](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Evans, Abe \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: GBD Note of Support
Date: Monday, June 6, 2022 10:43:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning BOS,

Please support the resolution merging the Maintenance and Capital budget categories for the Dogpatch & NW Potrero Hill Green Benefit District.

Thank you.

Vanessa Ross Aquino
1106 Tennessee Street

Vanessa Ross Aquino

[Human Rights Commissioner for City & County of San Francisco](#)
[Board Member, Dogpatch Neighborhood Association](#)
[Eastern Neighborhoods Democratic Club](#)

From: terrimcfarland.la@gmail.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Evans, Abe \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: GBD budget category merger
Date: Monday, June 6, 2022 9:40:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am a Director on the GBD Board and a landscape architect practicing in San Francisco. I'm writing in support of the resolution merging the Maintenance and Capital budget categories for the Dogpatch & NW Potrero Hill Green Benefit District. This will help the GBD best serve the greening of our community.

Thank you, Terri

TERRI MCFARLAND
LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE

California RLA #5310
1258 Fitzgerald Avenue #203
San Francisco, CA 94124
T: 415 205 4904
E: terrimcfarland.la@gmail.com

From: [Donovan Lacy](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Evans, Abe \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: BOS Hearing notice on GBD budget merger
Date: Sunday, June 5, 2022 1:22:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to ask that you please support the resolution merging the Maintenance and Capital budget categories for the Dogpatch & NW Potrero Hill Green Benefit District. This change will allow the GBD to continue the amazing work that has been done in the Dogpatch and Northwest Potrero Hill neighborhoods like the Minnesota Grove extension, the Benches Park renovation, the Angel Alley Lighting project and many other projects. These projects make our neighborhood a greener and more enjoyable place to live and work.

I urge you to support this resolution.

Thank you,

Donovan Lacy
Vice President - Dogpatch Neighborhood Association
Board Member - Dogpatch & NW Potrero Hill Green Benefit District
206-910-9503

From: [Kevin Simmons](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Evans, Abe \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Board of Supervisors hearing on the GBD budget merger
Date: Friday, June 3, 2022 10:14:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,
Please support the resolution merging the Maintenance and Capital budget categories for the Dogpatch & NW Potrero Hill Green Benefit District
Thank you very much!
Kevin Simmons
Dogpatch homeowner, GBD supporter and volunteer
SF

From: [Susan Eslick](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Evans, Abe \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: GBD - File No. 220524
Date: Friday, June 3, 2022 9:19:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please use this email as my support of the GBD and the request to modify the management district plan to combine Capital and Maintenance budget categories so that the GBD will have greater flexibility to allocate funds.

The GBD has been and continues to be a huge asset in our neighborhood and I value the work the entire board and the executive director performs each and every day.

Best,

sE

susan the bookkeeper

susan eslick

1129 tennessee st

san francisco, ca 94107

415.297.1116

Advanced notice: I will be on vacation 6/16 - 6/26 and not working

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: BMR hearing Monday (File #211276)
Date: Thursday, June 9, 2022 11:39:00 AM
Attachments: [image823028.png](#)

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-7708 | (415) 554-5163
arthur.khoo@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Amy Hull <Amy.Hull@trinitysf.com>
Sent: Friday, June 3, 2022 5:57 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>
Cc: Shadd Newman <Shadd.Newman@trinitysf.com>; Leanne Morford <Leanne.Morford@trinitysf.com>
Subject: BMR hearing Monday

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Safai and respected colleagues,

I am so glad to see that you are looking into greater efficiencies for the future of the BMR program. I have managed buildings with BMR units in San Francisco with multiple companies since 1990 and it has never been such a lengthy difficult process. We have firsthand experience with the inefficiencies and will be happy to give you any details should you wish. We currently have one BMR in a stabilized building that has been vacant over 290 days and new construction at 1177 that is stuck due to the neighborhood preference priorities and a lack of cross training when any one person at MOHCD is

out of the office. These are just a couple examples of a larger and long term problem, details might be more helpful if you want them, just let us know. We want our apartments filled as much as the people who would like to live in them... and would happily provide any insight that could further that common goal.

Thank you for your consideration,

Amy Hull

Amy Hull
Chief Operating Officer
(415) 433-3333



The premier source of furnished and unfurnished apartments.

trinitysf.com

[Email Disclaimer](#)

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 2 letters Regarding File #220401
Date: Thursday, June 9, 2022 11:42:00 AM
Attachments: [2 Letters Regarding File #220401.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

File #220401 - Resolution renaming Hahn Street, between Visitacion Avenue and Sunnydale Avenue, to “Mrs. Jackson Way;” with additional post passage directives to Public Works, the Municipal Transportation Agency, and the County Surveyor.

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184 | (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Bieu Nguyen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Regarding Public Hearing for File No. 220401 on O6JUN22 about street name change from Hahn St to Mrs. Jackson Way
Date: Saturday, June 4, 2022 5:57:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom this may concern,

Due to circumstances, we as a family who live on Hahn St are not able to make it to the public hearing regarding the street name changing from Hahn St to Mrs. Jackson Way. We just wanted to let you know that we are not in favor to this change and please keep the name Hahn St. This changing will cause us ton of hassles/issues for many things and could be very expensive as well.

Best regards,

Hahn St Resident

From: [Karin Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: File No. 220401
Date: Monday, June 6, 2022 10:28:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board,

In regard to renaming Hahn Street to Mrs Jackson Way.

As previously stated to the SF Public Work notification letter. I don't agree with changing of the street name. They never acknowledge that they read it.

Mrs Jackson Way, Not anyone famous, the public don't know them. No one knows them on the block. Why are we wasting money and time to change a 2 block long street, to an unknown person name? Also I found out, one block of Hahn Street name will remain the same, why is this? Why is #1-99 get special treatment? They don't need to change their address?! Why? Forcing long-time residents to change their address to some unknown random, not famous person's name just because they pay money to the city to change the street name and want to be famous doesn't make sense. In my opinion, they did nothing special.

Also, it is strange and unusual for a street name to start with "Mrs". No street name in San Francisco starts with such a title, that I am aware of. I disapprove of it, as it makes the street name extra long and it's similar to the other Jackson Street in San Francisco that it can get mixed up in mailing. Lost mail is also another concern.

Well, I tried to make comments on this issue, Street names only affect the property's homeowners, but the city doesn't care, they just received funds to change street names and disregard home owners opinions. The public notice hearing letter doesn't even have translation to another language. Pacific Gas & Energy have minimum translation to notify the homeowners. My neighbors on the block, most of whom don't read/write English, won't know what's going on at all and don't even have 1% chance to express their opinions as property owners. They will be surprised, unaware of the street name changes until suddenly they stop receiving their mail.

Thank you

Karin



Virus-free. www.avg.com

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: Need your support come 6/7/2022 file No. 220342
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 5:08:31 AM

From: Dennis Hong <dennisjames888@yahoo.com>

Sent: Sunday, June 5, 2022 5:08 PM

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Cc: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Hillis, Rich (CPC) <rich.hillis@sfgov.org>; CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Gibson, Lisa (CPC) <lisa.gibson@sfgov.org>; Starr, Aaron (CPC) <aaron.starr@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>

Subject: Need your support come 6/7/2022 file No. 220342

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon Honorable members of the SF Board of Supervisors and everyone. Not sure how to handle this one. But, first I hope I'm in time with my email for your consideration of item #86 on your June 7th, 2022 meeting and the Land Use and Transportation meeting of 6/6/2022.

I hope you are all doing well. Its Dennis here. Not sure what happened to my original email of 5/9/2022 to the Planning Commission and the cc the board, because I did not see it on the petition/ltrs file list.

On May 9, 2022 I originally sent an email to all in support of this issue. Recently the SF Planning Commission approved this. But right now I need you to support both the Honorable Mrs. Myrna Melgar and the Honorable Mr. Aaron Peskin - (June 1, 2022 ref file # 220342). I have been tracking this with the SF Planning Commission, the BoS and the Mayors office and did not know why was taking so long with this blight in North Beach. That's another reason why I'm reaching out. Now we have Fathers Day on 6/19/2022 in North Beach with all the of visitors celebration/street-fair with this eyesore.

I too hope you support this issue. Including expediting the permit process. As I recall it's only been since the fire of 3/17/2018. In my opinion that's' too long for this to be approved. But then it's a unique case the owner of Coit and all the local merchants and residents have suffered long enough with this process.

Lastly, I'm a native and resident of San Franciscan - for seventy six plus years, Grew up in North Beach right up the block, on Bannan Place @ Grant Ave. But now living in District 7.

If anyone has any comments to my rambling email here, good or bad please get back to me at this email, would like to hear your thoughts. Thanks for all that everyone does here. Will try to remote my attendance here. Please add this to the project file as my support. If anyone wants a copy of my original email of May 9 here please me know. Let's go Warriors!! One last hint Coit has some of the best wines in stock.

All the best,
Dennis

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 2 Letters Regarding JFK Drive
Date: Thursday, June 9, 2022 11:45:00 AM
Attachments: [2 Letters Regarding JFK.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184 | (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Isabelle Carman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Reopen JFK Drive
Date: Monday, June 6, 2022 5:43:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I fully support bicyclist and pedestrian safety. That's why I am asking you to reopen JFK Drive to how it was before COVID. It is closed all Sundays and half of the Saturdays every year, with ample bike lanes and pedestrian walkways each day of the week. We need to balance equity AND safety!

Regards,
Isabelle Carman

From: [Gabriel Maclan](#)
To: [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [Tumlin, Jeffrey \(MTA\)](#); MTABoard@sfmta.com; [Ginsburg, Phil \(REC\)](#); [Commission, Recpark \(REC\)](#); [MOD, \(ADM\)](#); [Bohn, Nicole \(ADM\)](#); Luke@communityspacesf.com
Subject: Thank you for JFK Promenade! Please make Great Highway Park a full-time park now...
Date: Friday, June 3, 2022 2:59:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors, Mayor Breed, and other city leaders,

Today, I took part in Kidical Mass, an event hosted by Community Spaces SF as part of a global movement to highlight the urgent need for street design and infrastructure that allows kids to bike safely and independently in our city. The ride went from the now-permanent JFK Promenade to Great Highway Park.

First and foremost, thank you for making JFK Promenade and the car-free west end of Golden Gate Park permanent! This critical corridor provides families and people of all ages a safe, peaceful, and reliable route to travel across our city. It also improve people's physical and mental well-being, helps people shift more trips to sustainable modes, enables locals and tourists alike to shop at businesses outside their neighborhoods, and creates community, making our city more connected, healthy, and sustainable. Thank you for your leadership on this issue!

Great Highway Park has—similarly—made our city more connected, healthy, and sustainable, and bolstered countless businesses on the Westside, especially in the Sunset. This space is as important as JFK Promenade, with the added bonus of being on our waterfront. The New York Times highlighted Great Highway Park as one of the "52 places for a changed world where travelers can be part of the solution."

The overwhelming majority of San Franciscans want Great Highway Park to be a permanent and full-time park, and you are the only ones who can make that happen. Our city and the world is looking to you to lead us towards a more connected, healthy, and sustainable future.

Please act now to make Great Highway Park a permanent, full-time park for San Franciscans and people around the world to enjoy along our waterfront.

Thank you again, and please take care.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Great Highway
Date: Thursday, June 9, 2022 11:43:00 AM

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-7708 | (415) 554-5163
arthur.khoo@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mike Regan <myoldgoat@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 4, 2022 9:45 AM
To: DPW, (DPW) <dpw@sfdpw.org>
Cc: Short, Carla (DPW) <Carla.Short@sfdpw.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Great Highway

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I can only concluded that it is either negligence or malfeasance that is preventing the reopening of the great highway for this length of time. Since when has DPW been unable to preform the rudimentary task assigned to it? I strongly suggest that you get off your butts and get this city back to normal. Voters are pissed and getting angrier.

Please get the highway reopened it is ridiculous that DPW can't seem to manage to get this done.

Mike Regan

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Housewarming this Friday for the new California Natural Resources Agency Headquarters
Date: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 9:50:00 AM

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-7708 | (415) 554-5163
arthur.khoo@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: California Fish and Game Commission <fgc@fgc.ca.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 8:30 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Housewarming this Friday for the new California Natural Resources Agency Headquarters

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



California
Fish and Game Commission
Wildlife Heritage and Conservation Since 1870

Greetings,

Are you planning to attend the June 10 open house at the new California Natural Resources Agency (CNRA) Headquarters Building? If so, we'd love to see you!

CNRA and many of its affiliated organizations have moved homes for the first time in decades, including the California Fish and Game Commission and its staff! We are excited to welcome you to our interactive and environmentally friendly new campus at the corner of 8th and P Streets in downtown Sacramento. Please join us on for an inclusive open house from 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. to mark this occasion.

Tours of the new building will be offered in the morning and the afternoon will provide an opportunity to talk with past CNRA secretaries about how our natural resources management has evolved in recent decades. We'll also unpack a 1965 time capsule from the old building and place an updated time capsule in our new campus.

For more information about the new campus and the "housewarming," or to register for the Secretaries Dialogue, please visit <https://resources.ca.gov/About-Us/our-new-headquarters>. We hope to see some of you at 715 P Street!

With kind regards,

Melissa Miller-Henson
Executive Director
California Fish and Game Commission



Not yet signed up to receive our informative emails?

Sign up



California Fish and Game Commission | We've moved!, 715 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

[Unsubscribe board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)

[Update Profile](#) | [Constant Contact](#) [Data Notice](#)

Sent by fgc@fgc.ca.gov powered by



Try email marketing for free today!

From: [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: California Fish and Game Commission revised meeting agenda - June 15-16, 2022
Date: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 10:05:00 AM

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-7708 | (415) 554-5163
arthur.khoo@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: California Fish and Game Commission <fgc@fgc.ca.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 3, 2022 5:25 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: California Fish and Game Commission revised meeting agenda - June 15-16, 2022

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



California **Fish and Game Commission**

Wildlife Heritage and Conservation Since 1870

Greetings,

The June 15-16, 2022 Commission meeting agenda has been revised to amend items 11, 12 and 21 (previously item 20), and to move what was previously item 22 to a new consent calendar on day 2 as item 19, with the remainder of the agenda renumbered accordingly. The revised agenda for

this meeting is available at <https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=201928&inline>.

Members of the public are welcome to participate in-person at one of two meeting locations, or participate via Zoom webinar or phone. Instructions on how to participate will be posted to our website prior to the meeting.

Please refer to the revised agenda for important meeting information and deadlines.

Sincerely,

Melissa Miller-Henson
Executive Director
California Fish and Game Commission



Not yet signed up to receive our informative emails?

Sign up



California Fish and Game Commission | We've moved!, 715 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

[Unsubscribe board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)
[Update Profile](#) | [Constant Contact Data Notice](#)

Sent by fgc@fgc.ca.gov powered by



[Try email marketing for free today!](#)

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Letter from the Ingleside Merchants Association to the GAO Committee
Date: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 10:35:00 AM
Attachments: [6.1.2022 Ocean Avenue Ambassadors Letter - Google Docs.pdf](#)

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-7708 | (415) 554-5163
arthur.khoo@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Perkinson, Jessica (BOS) <jessica.perkinson@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 9:25 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: Letter from the Ingleside Merchants Association to the GAO Committee

Good morning,
Forwarding on this general public comment for posting. Please contact me should there be any questions or concerns. Thank you.

Best Regards,

Jessica Perkinson
Pronouns: she/her/hers
Assistant Clerk
Office of the Clerk of the Board | San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Jessica.Perkinson@sfgov.org | (415) 554-7722

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please

ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peter Tham <peter.tham@ltgroupe.com>

Sent: Thursday, June 2, 2022 5:09 PM

To: Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Elsbernd, Sean (MYR) <sean.elsbernd@sfgov.org>; Kate Sofis (ECN) <kate.sofis@sfgov.org>; Ponce De Leon, Diana (ECN) <diana.poncedeleon@sfgov.org>; Chung, Lauren (BOS) <lauren.l.chung@sfgov.org>; Hernandez, Melissa G (BOS) <melissa.g.hernandez@sfgov.org>; Perkinson, Jessica (BOS) <jessica.perkinson@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>

Cc: Miles Escobedo <oceanalehouse@gmail.com>

Subject: Letter from the Ingleside Merchants Association to the GAO Committee

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board and Esteemed Directors and Staff Members,

I hope that everyone is having a great day so far.

My name is Peter Tham and I am both a resident (former merchant) of Ingleside, as well as the Vice Chair of the Ingleside Merchants Association. I am writing on behalf of the merchants association and wanted to deliver the attached letter, in which the IMA is requesting assistance for the OAA, to get Neighborhood Ambassadors into our neighborhood. I appreciate your time in reviewing this request and hope that we can get much needed support for both our merchants and our residents. Thank you so

much for your time and consideration. Have a wonderful day!

Regards,

Peter Tham

Realtor / DRE 01965347

C. 415.606.8210 / F. 415.366.1689

Peter.Tham@LTGroupRE.com

/ Top Agent Network Verified Member /

LTGroupRE.com / [Facebook](#) / [Zillow](#) / [Trulia](#)





CHAIR

Miles Escobedo
Ocean Ale House

VICE CHAIR

Peter Tham
LT Group Real Estate

SECRETARY-TREASURER

Michelle Saadeh
The Pawber Shop

June 1, 2022

Board of Supervisors, Government Audit & Oversight Committee
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

To: Supervisors Dean Preston, Connie Chan, Rafael Mandelman,
Myrna Melgar, and Ahsha Safai
CC: Director Kate Sofis, Deputy Director Diana Ponce De Leon, and
Melissa G. Hernandez

Dear Supervisors Preston, Chan, Mandelman, Melgar, and Safai,

There was another vandalism crime wave on Ocean Avenue where the facade windows of four, Asian-owned, small businesses were smashed in April and May.

It was around this time last year, during another vandalism wave, when we asked City Hall to assist the Ocean Avenue Association in providing community ambassadors for the neighborhood to help add eyes on the street, as part of the CBD management plan.

The Ocean Avenue Community Benefit District Management Plan, as approved by the Board of Supervisors in 2010, states the following: "The Ocean Avenue CBD will provide assistance to visitors, and provide street population ambassadors/guides/security that promotes citizen efforts through assistance in crime prevention, way-finding, and assisting homeless with social services."

The Office of Economic and Workforce Development promised to look into it. We noticed that compliance with management plans does not seem to be included in the four benchmarks used to gauge whether a community benefit district is performing its duties when presenting to the GAO Committee.

We urge the city to arrange for OAA, which has not adopted its budget for next year yet, to hire community ambassadors by this summer. We also ask that the city make sure it's providing the level of service as required of the OAA's management plan.

Many other neighborhoods now have ambassadors and it has made a world of difference to both the residents and merchants. Ocean Avenue ought to be clean, safe, and vibrant just the same. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Miles Escobedo
Chair of the Ingleside Merchants Association
Owner of the Ocean Ale House

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: BOS General PC || RE: Letter from the Ingleside Merchants Association to the GAO Committee
Date: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 10:34:00 AM

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-7708 | (415) 554-5163
arthur.khoo@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Perkinson, Jessica (BOS) <jessica.perkinson@sfgov.org>

Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 9:27 AM

To: Peter Tham <peter.tham@ltgroupe.com>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>

Cc: Miles Escobedo <oceanalehouse@gmail.com>; Hernandez, Melissa G (BOS) <melissa.g.hernandez@sfgov.org>; Chung, Lauren (BOS) <lauren.l.chung@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Ponce De Leon, Diana (ECN) <diana.poncedeleon@sfgov.org>; Kate Sofis (ECN) <kate.sofis@sfgov.org>; Elsbernd, Sean (MYR) <sean.elsbernd@sfgov.org>; Hsieh, Frances (BOS) <frances.hsieh@sfgov.org>; Bintliff, Jacob (BOS) <jacob.bintliff@sfgov.org>

Subject: BOS General PC || RE: Letter from the Ingleside Merchants Association to the GAO Committee

Greetings ,

Thank you for comments. I will send your comments to the Board of Supervisors for official posting. Please feel free to contact me should you have any further questions or concerns. Thank you.

Best Regards,

Jessica Perkinson

Pronouns: she/her/hers

Assistant Clerk

Office of the Clerk of the Board | San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

Jessica.Perkinson@sfgov.org | (415) 554-7722

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peter Tham <peter.tham@ltgroupe.com>

Sent: Thursday, June 2, 2022 5:09 PM

To: Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Elsbernd, Sean (MYR) <sean.elsbernd@sfgov.org>; Kate Sofis (ECN) <kate.sofis@sfgov.org>; Ponce De Leon, Diana (ECN) <diana.poncedeleon@sfgov.org>; Chung, Lauren (BOS) <lauren.l.chung@sfgov.org>; Hernandez, Melissa G (BOS) <melissa.g.hernandez@sfgov.org>; Perkinson, Jessica (BOS) <jessica.perkinson@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>

Cc: Miles Escobedo <oceanalehouse@gmail.com>

Subject: Letter from the Ingleside Merchants Association to the GAO Committee

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board and Esteemed Directors and Staff Members,

I hope that everyone is having a great day so far.

My name is Peter Tham and I am both a resident (former merchant) of Ingleside, as well as the Vice Chair of the Ingleside Merchants Association. I am writing on behalf of the merchants association and wanted to deliver the attached letter, in which the IMA is requesting assistance for the OAA, to get Neighborhood Ambassadors into our neighborhood. I appreciate your time in reviewing this request and hope that we can get much needed support for both our merchants and our residents. Thank you so much for your time and consideration. Have a wonderful day!

Regards,

Peter Tham

Realtor / DRE 01965347

C. 415.606.8210 / F. 415.366.1689

Peter.Tham@LTGroupRE.com

| *Top Agent Network Verified Member* |

LTGroupRE.com | [Facebook](#) | [Zillow](#) | [Trulia](#)



From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: GAO File Nos. 220569, 22580, 220592, 220593, 220594, 220595 and 220596 || RE: Support of Memorandums of Understanding
Date: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 10:36:00 AM

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-7708 | (415) 554-5163
arthur.khoo@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Perkinson, Jessica (BOS) <jessica.perkinson@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 9:12 AM
To: Shon Buford <shon@sffdlocal798.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>
Cc: Young, Victor (BOS) <victor.young@sfgov.org>; Gail Toki-Woo <gail@sffdlocal798.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Hernandez, Melissa G (BOS) <melissa.g.hernandez@sfgov.org>; Hsieh, Frances (BOS) <frances.hsieh@sfgov.org>; Bintliff, Jacob (BOS) <jacob.bintliff@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: GAO File Nos. 220569, 22580, 220592, 220593, 220594, 220595 and 220596 || RE: Support of Memorandums of Understanding

Greetings Shon Buford,

Thank you for comments. I will send your comments to all Government Audit and Oversight Committee Members and a copy will be included in the official files for File Nos. 220569, 220580, 220592, 220593, 220594, 220595, and 220596. Please feel free to contact me should you have any further questions or concerns. Thank you.

Best Regards,

Jessica Perkinson

Pronouns: she/her/hers

Assistant Clerk

Office of the Clerk of the Board | San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

Jessica.Perkinson@sfgov.org | (415) 554-7722

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Shon Buford <shon@sffdlocal798.org>

Sent: Wednesday, June 1, 2022 3:22 PM

To: Perkinson, Jessica (BOS) <jessica.perkinson@sfgov.org>

Cc: Young, Victor (BOS) <victor.young@sfgov.org>; Gail Toki-Woo <gail@sffdlocal798.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Shon Buford <shon@sffdlocal798.org>

Subject: Support of Memorandums of Understanding

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable Supervisors,

I, Shon Buford, President of San Francisco Fire Fighters Local 798, am writing you to offer our support the following Memorandums of Understanding being considered at the June 2nd, 2022 Government Audit and Oversight Committee meeting. While we support all the tentative agreements being presented to your Committee, Local 798 would like to pay special attention to items 5, 16, 28, 29, 30, 31, and 32. The following items mentioned represents the members of the Public Safety Sector that we either represent and/or work closely with. We here at Local 798 believe these agreements are fair and critical in recruiting and retaining qualified and well trained public safety professional.

Thank you for your consideration,

Shon Buford
President
San Francisco Firefighters Local 798
1139 Mission St, SF CA 94103
415-621-7103

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: BOS Budget and Appropriations Committee Agenda Item #1 [Amending the Budget and Legislative Analyst Services Contract - Harvey M. Rose Associates, LLC] File #220650
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 11:02:00 AM

From: aeboken <aeboken@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 3:02 AM
To: BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>; BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org>
Subject: BOS Budget and Appropriations Committee Agenda Item #1 [Amending the Budget and Legislative Analyst Services Contract - Harvey M. Rose Associates, LLC] File #220650

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO: Board of Supervisors members

Although this agenda item is for a general contract, I would urge the Board of Supervisors to instruct the Budget and Legislative Analyst (BLA) to conduct both financial and performance audits of the SFPUC.

Besides the SFPUC's debt portfolio of \$6 billion, I would urge the BOS to instruct the BLA to audit the following:

- The SFPUC's Urban Water Management Plan for **its** use of contractual millions of gallons per day (MGD) demand rather than actual MGD demand **and** for using an overly conservative design drought of 8.5 years instead of a more realistic design drought of 7.5 years.
- SFPUC water conservation/rationing strategies with the SFPUC emphasis on conservation by customers without conservation by the agency itself by identifying and replacing leaking water mains and by metering SFFD 60 psi hydrants.
- The SFPUC's achieving environmental goals related to Tuolumne River salmon populations and the SFPUC's multiple lawsuits against the State Water Resources Control Board.
- The SFPUC's stewardship of the Emergency Firefighting Water System (EFWS) formerly known as the Auxiliary Water Supply System (AWSS). The SFPUC is unwilling to expand dedicated, high pressure, high volume AWSS to the Westside. Instead, the SFPUC is insisting on expanding using potable (drinking) water AWSS. The possible submission of FEMA grant applications for the expansion of dedicated AWSS needs to be examined by the BLA.

Eileen Boken

Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods*

* For identification purposes only.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: OPPOSING BOS Agenda Items #34 - #39 SFPUC Financing
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 11:02:00 AM

From: aeboken <aeboken@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 1:40 AM
To: BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>; BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org>
Subject: OPPOSING BOS Agenda Items #34 - #39 SFPUC Financing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO: Board of Supervisors members

Thirty (30) years ago the SFPUC had no debt.

Since the voters passed Prop P in **November 2002**, the SFPUC hasn't needed to come before the voters to issue new debt.

As a result, the SFPUC's debt portfolio has risen to \$6 billion.

I am opposed to these SFPUC - related agenda items without a comprehensive financial audit of the SFPUC by the Budget and Legislative Analyst (BLA).

Eileen Boken

Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods*

* For identification purposes only.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: PSNS 220240 || RE: (BOS File # 220240)
Date: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 10:32:00 AM
Attachments: [SFCDMA - Community Policing Plan + Foot and Bike Patrol Legislation \(BOS File # 220240\).pdf](#)

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-7708 | (415) 554-5163
arthur.khoo@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Perkinson, Jessica (BOS) <jessica.perkinson@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 9:35 AM
To: Masood Samereie <masood@sfcdma.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>
Cc: Wong, Alan (BOS) <alan.wong1@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>
Subject: PSNS 220240 || RE: (BOS File # 220240)

Greetings Masood Samereie,

Thank you for comments. I will send your comments to all Public Safety and Neighborhood Committee Members and a copy will be included in the official file. Please feel free to contact me should you have any further questions or concerns. Thank you.

Jessica Perkinson
Pronouns: she/her/hers
Assistant Clerk
San Francisco Board of Supervisors | Office of the Clerk of the Board
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Jessica.Perkinson@sfgov.org | (415) 554-7722

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Masood Samereie <masood@sfcdma.org>

Sent: Friday, June 3, 2022 12:40 PM

To: Perkinson, Jessica (BOS) <jessica.perkinson@sfgov.org>

Cc: Wong, Alan (BOS) <alan.wong1@sfgov.org>; Masood Samereie <masood@sfcdma.org>

Subject: (BOS File # 220240)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Dear Ms. Perkinson,
Please find attached, SFCDMA letter of support for
Community Policing Plan + Foot and Bike Patrol
Legislation (BOS File # 220240)**

****Please confirm receipt of this email****

With warmest regards, I remain

Masood Samereie
Broker/Owner
Aria Properties
BRE Lic.# 01364696

**President, San Francisco Council of District Merchants
Associations**
**Vice President, San Francisco Council of District Merchants
Associations Community Fund**
President Emeritus, Castro Merchants

Mobile | 415.215.6017
Office | 415.552.5555
Office | 415.552.6666
Website | www.sflrealtor.com



San Francisco Council of District Merchants Associations

SFCDMA

Masood Samereie
President

Suzie Ferras
Vice-President

Janet Tarlov
Vice-President

Morgan Mapes
Secretary

Tracey Sylvester
Secretary

Anisa Alazrais
Treasurer

June 1, 2022

Ms. Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
City Hall Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

The San Francisco Council of District Merchants Associations has taken a position in support of the Community Policing Plan + Foot and Bike Patrol Legislation (BOS File # 220240) after a review by our legislative committee and confirmation by our executive board. We appreciated the opportunity to speak with a sponsor representative of this legislation and senior members of the SFPD to learn more about the potential impact of this legislation.

It is our hope that the guidelines mandated by this ordinance will allow for ever-greater cooperation between the SFPD and local small businesses, in order to promote safety and security in our commercial corridors. We recognize that a seachange is occurring in the United States and in San Francisco with regard to policing practices and we wish to honor our long-standing support of local station captains and their officers, while encouraging opportunities for our members to participate more fully in decisions about how our communities will be served by our partners at SFPD.

Best Regards,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Masood".

Masood Samereie, President
San Francisco Council of District Merchants Associations

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Janet".

Janet Tarlov, Legislative Committee Chair

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: County of Santa Clara: Legislation to Modernize California's Medical Malpractice System
Date: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 10:39:00 AM

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-7708 | (415) 554-5163
arthur.khoo@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Urvishkumar Mehta <urvishkumar.mehta@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 2, 2022 5:46 PM
To: Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Marstaff (BOS) <marstaff@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Haneystaff (BOS) <haneystaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; RonenStaff (BOS) <ronenstaff@sfgov.org>
Cc: SFPD, Chief (POL) <sfpdchief@sfgov.org>; Bruss, Andrea (MYR) <andrea.bruss@sfgov.org>; MYR-Appointments <mayor.appointments@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: County of Santa Clara: Legislation to Modernize California's Medical Malpractice System

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

ATTN:

Mayor London Breed and Office Staff

City and County of San Francisco - Board of Supervisors

Subject: County of Santa Clara: Legislation to Modernize California's Medical Malpractice System

Classification: Legislation Development

I am writing with reference to the development and implementation of Assembly Bill 35 of Modernize California's Medical Malpractice System.

AB 35, legislation to modernize the system for awarding damages in medical malpractice cases in California. The legislation, put forth by Assembly Majority Leader Eloise Gómez Reyes and State Senator Tom Umberg, was supported by consumer groups, trial attorneys, health care insurers, and health care providers – marking the end to one of the longest running political battles in California politics.

The measure is co-sponsored by the Consumer Attorneys of California and Californians Allied for Patient Protection. It makes two significant changes to the Medical Injury Compensation Reform Act (MICRA) by restructuring MICRA's limit on attorney fees and raising MICRA's cap on noneconomic damages.

“After decades of negotiations, legislators, patient groups, and medical professionals have reached a consensus that protects patients and the stability of our health care system,” said Governor Newsom.

Existing law places limitations on the contingency fee an attorney can contract for or collect. The current system ties the limits to the amount recovered. An attorney can collect 40 percent of the first \$50,000 recovered, 33 percent of the next \$50,000, 25 percent of the next \$500,000, and 15 percent of anything that exceeds \$600,000. This legislation instead ties tiered fee limits to the stage of the representation at which the amount is recovered.

Additionally, this legislation increases the existing \$250,000 cap on non-economic damages and provides for future increases to account for inflation. The legislation establishes two separate caps, depending on whether a wrongful death claim is involved. In a wrongful death case, the cap increases to \$500,000. Each January 1st thereafter, this cap increases by \$50,000 until it reaches \$1 million. If the medical malpractice case does not involve wrongful death, the cap starts at \$350,000, and increases each year by \$40,000 until it reaches \$750,000.

“I’m proud to have worked together with all stakeholders to get this done. AB 35 provides a better system for both providers and patients, creating a fair process that will have a real impact on Californians for decades to come,” said Assembly Majority Leader Eloise Gómez Reyes.

“With today’s signing of AB 35, we have achieved what few thought was possible. This historic moment happened because the two sides of the ballot measure campaign put differences aside, found common ground, and recognized a rare opportunity to protect both our health care delivery system and the rights of injured patients. We are immensely grateful to the Legislature and Governor Newsom for helping to codify this landmark agreement in law. California’s new modernized MICRA statutes will provide predictability and affordability of medical liability insurance rates for decades to come, while protecting existing safeguards against skyrocketing health care costs. The modernized law will also bring greater accountability, patient safety and trust by making it possible for physicians and patients to have a full and open conversation after an unforeseen outcome. CMA is proud to have been part of this landmark achievement for the benefit of all Californians. We look forward to a new era of long-term stability around MICRA that will allow California’s physician and provider communities to focus on other ways to improve access to care and public health for all Californians,” said California Medical Association President Robert E. Wailes, M.D.

“Injured patients deserve to be fairly compensated when their rights have been violated, and we commend the leadership of Governor Newsom to help get this done. Injured patients and their families are better off under the modified MICRA, and we look forward to continuing to serve in the best interest of our clients,” said Consumer Attorneys of California President Craig M. Peters.



Yours,
Auto Legislative Policy Director - Intergovernmental Affairs
Office of Urvishkumar Mehta
Commissioner and Act Ambassador
Department of State and Office of Foreign Mission
Representation to Embassy of New Delhi
Federal Government, USA
To Schedule An Appointment:



From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: County of Santa Clara: SB 1327, AB1594, AB2571 And AB1621 - Prevention of Gun Violence
Date: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 10:39:00 AM

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-7708 | (415) 554-5163
arthur.khoo@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Urvishkumar Mehta <urvishkumar.mehta@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 2, 2022 5:43 PM
To: Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Marstaff (BOS) <marstaff@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Haneystaff (BOS) <haneystaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; RonenStaff (BOS) <ronenstaff@sfgov.org>
Cc: MYR-Appointments <mayor.appointments@sfgov.org>; Peacock, Rebecca (MYR) <rebecca.peacock@sfgov.org>; SFPD, Chief (POL) <sfpdchief@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: County of Santa Clara: SB 1327, AB1594, AB2571 And AB1621 - Prevention of Gun Violence

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

ATTN:
Board of Supervisors - County of San Francisco
Mayor London Breed

Subject: County of Santa Clara: SB 1327, AB1594, AB2571 And AB1621 - Prevention of Gun Violence

Classification: Legislation and Development | Law Enforcement

I am writing with reference to the California Senate Judiciary Committee's Bill legislative bill development.

SB1327 - Private right of action legislation

AB1594 - California Attorney General to sue manufacturers and sellers of firearms for the harm caused by their products

AB 2571 - Restrict marketing of firearms to minors

AB 1621 - Restrict the proliferation of ghost guns

The California Senate Judiciary Committee passed SB 1327, private right of action legislation authored by Senator Robert Hertzberg (D-Van Nuys) and sponsored by Governor Gavin Newsom to limit the spread of assault weapons and ghost guns. Following the U.S. Supreme Court's decision last December allowing Texas's ban on most abortion services to remain in place, Governor Newsom directed his Administration to work with the Legislature to propose a measure, modeled on the structure of Texas's abortion law, to enable private citizens to hold the gun industry accountable through civil litigation for the proliferation of illegal firearms.

SB 1327 allows private citizens to bring civil actions against any person who manufactures, distributes, transports, imports into the state or sells assault weapons, .50 BMG rifles, ghost guns, or ghost gun kits.

"I am proud to be working with Governor Newsom and his Administration to bring accountability to gun manufacturers and others who are flooding our streets with dangerous and deadly weapons," said Senator Hertzberg. "The alarm bells are blaring. We could not have a clearer call for action to stop gun violence than what happened on Sunday at the doorstep of our state's democracy. The Legislature will act."

Senator Hertzberg's bill is part of a larger legislative package supported by Governor Newsom to strengthen gun laws and protect Californians. In February, the Governor highlighted additional gun safety legislation, including AB 1594 by Assembly Members Philip Ting (D-San Francisco), Mike Gipson (D-Carson) and Christopher Ward (D-San Diego), which would allow individuals and the California Attorney General to sue manufacturers and sellers of firearms for the harm caused by their products. AB 2571 by Assemblymember Rebecca Bauer-Kahan (D-Orinda) would restrict marketing of firearms to minors. And AB 1621 by Assemblymember Gipson seeks to further restrict the proliferation of ghost guns.

Governor Newsom's proposed [Real Public Safety Plan](#) would create a new statewide

gun buyback program to provide matching grants and safe-disposal opportunities to get guns off our streets. The plan also includes additional funding for California's gun violence research center at the University of California, Davis.

California pioneered statewide gun safety protections, approved by voters in Proposition 63, to ban possession of large-capacity ammunition magazines and require background checks to keep ammunition out of the hands of dangerous people. Since assuming office, Governor Newsom has [signed](#) multiple bills aimed at reducing gun violence, including strengthening gun violence restraining orders, regulating the sale of firearms and ammunition and accelerating the regulation of ghost guns. The 2021 state budget invested \$200 million in the CalVIP program, which supports initiatives designed to break the cycle of violence in disproportionately impacted communities. The budget also provided \$11 million to facilitate outreach, education and training efforts related to gun violence restraining orders and \$10.3 million for local law enforcement agencies to support the seizure of firearms from individuals prohibited from possessing them.



Yours,

Auto Legislative Policy Director - Don Morris - Intergovernmental Affairs

Office of Urvishkumar Mehta

Commissioner and Act Ambassador

Department of State and Office of Foreign Mission

Representation to Embassy of New Delhi

Federal Government, USA

Pronounce: (He|Him|His)

Phone: +1-650-691-5355

@: urvishkumar.mehta@gmail.com | urvishkumar.mehta.federal.house@gmail.com

[m](#)

From: [Urvishkumar Mehta](#)
To: [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Haneystaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Bruss, Andrea \(MYR\)](#); [MYR-Appointments](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [SFPD, Chief \(POL\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: County of Santa Clara: California Momnibus Act And SB65, AB1356, AB1184 And SB464
Date: Thursday, June 2, 2022 7:04:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

ATTN:
Mayor London Breed and Office Staff
City and County of San Francisco - Board of Supervisors

Subject: County of Santa Clara: SB 1327, AB1594, AB2571 And AB1621 - Prevention of Gun Violence

Classification: Legislation and Development

I am writing with reference to the California Momnibus Act And SB65, AB1356, AB1184 And SB464.

SB65 - California Momnibus Act

AB 1356 and AB 1184 to strengthen access to reproductive health care and safeguard the privacy of patients and providers.

SB 464 - Black maternal mortality rate by requiring all perinatal health care providers to undergo implicit bias training.

Governor Gavin Newsom signed SB 65, the California Momnibus Act, designed to improve maternal and infant outcomes – particularly for families of color. Authored by Senator Nancy Skinner (D-Berkeley) with support from maternal health and racial justice groups across the state, the bill will improve research and data collection on racial and socio-economic factors that contribute to higher rates of maternal and infant mortality in communities of color.

“Every individual deserves to have a healthy pregnancy and birth, and this bill will help make this a reality for more California families,” said Governor Newsom. “It is unacceptable that the maternal and infant mortality rate among Black and Indigenous communities remains significantly higher than the state average. California is committed to tackling discrimination and disparity whenever and wherever it occurs and with today’s signing, we’re doubling down on our commitment to both reproductive and racial justice.”

To close the existing racial gaps in maternal and infant mortality, SB 65 codifies and strengthens the work of the Pregnancy-Associated Mortality Review Committee, which will investigate pregnancy-related deaths and make recommendations on best practices to avoid these preventable tragedies; improves data collection in the Fetal and Infant Mortality Review process; creates a fund to support the midwifery workforce, upon appropriation from the Legislature; establishes a stakeholder workgroup to support implementation of the new Medi-Cal doula benefit; and reduces CalWORKs paperwork requirements for pregnant women. Research points to structural racism and other socio-economic factors as playing key roles in causing

racial and geographic disparities in birthing outcomes for people of color.

“Gov. Newsom’s signing SB 65, the California Momnibus Act, represents a significant victory for Black maternal and infant health. Despite our medical advances, more U.S. babies and mothers die during birth than in all other high-income countries, and these preventable deaths are disproportionately higher for black families,” said Senator Skinner, who is the principal author of SB 65 and vice chair of the Legislative Women’s Caucus. “With the enactment of SB 65, California will help close racial disparities in maternal and infant deaths and save lives.”

SB 65 builds upon the 2021-22 state budget’s investments to tackle racial and ethnic disparities in maternal health outcomes. They include Medi-Cal coverage for doulas, extending Medi-Cal eligibility for postpartum people, providing easier access to CalWORKs for pregnant people and establishing a guaranteed income pilot program that prioritizes pregnant Californians with low-incomes. In 2019, Governor Newsom signed SB 464 by Senator Holly Mitchell, which also works to reduce the Black maternal mortality rate by requiring all perinatal health care providers to undergo implicit bias training.

SB 65 is sponsored by Black Women for Wellness Action Project, the California Nurse Midwife Association, March of Dimes, NARAL, National Health Law Program, Western Center on Law and Poverty and the Women’s Foundation of California Solis Policy Institute. With this legislation, Governor Newsom is furthering his support for women and people who become pregnant. [Last month](#), Governor Newsom signed AB 1356 and AB 1184 to strengthen access to reproductive health care and safeguard the privacy of patients and providers. He also announced the Administration’s participation in a new advisory group, the California Future on Abortion Council, to advance California’s position as a reproductive freedom state.



Yours,

Auto Legislative Policy Director - Don Morris - Intergovernmental Affairs

Office of Urvishkumar Mehta

Commissioner and Act Ambassador

Department of State and Office of Foreign Mission

Representation to Embassy of New Delhi

Federal Government, USA

Pronounce: (He|Him|His)

Phone: +1-650-691-5355

@: urvishkumar.mehta@gmail.com | urvishkumar.mehta.federal.house@gmail.com

From: [Urvishkumar Mehta](#)
To: [WaltonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Marstaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Haneystaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Bruss Andrea \(MYR\)](#); [MYR-Appointments](#); [Breed Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [SFPD Chief \(POL\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: County of Santa Clara: SB 343, AB 1200, AB1201, AB1276 AB 881, SB 619, AB1311, AB 652, AB 962 - Plastic Ban and Pollution
Date: Thursday, June 2, 2022 7:05:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

ATTN:
Mayor London Breed and Office Staff
City and County of San Francisco - Board of Supervisors

Subject: County of Santa Clara: SB 343, AB 1200, AB1201, AB1276 AB 881, SB 619, AB1311, AB 652, AB 962 - Plastic Ban and Pollution

Classification: Legislation and Development | Sustainable Development Goals and *Governor signs SB 343 banning the use of misleading recycling labels, additional measures to reduce single-use trash pollution and support recycling goals.*

AB 652 bans the use of toxic PFASs in products for children, AB 1200 prohibits their use in disposable food packaging.

AB 881 discourages practices resulting in exporting plastic that becomes waste and ensures that only exports of truly recycled plastics count toward state waste reduction and recycling metrics.

SB 619 by Senator John Laird (D-Santa Cruz) provides local governments additional paths to meet the climate goals of California's Short-Lived Climate Pollutant law.

AB 1311 allows more flexible operations for beverage container recycling centers to reduce overhead and increase redemption access statewide.

AB 1201 strengthens labeling requirements to ensure products labeled "compostable" are actually compostable and to keep harmful chemicals out of California's compost stream.

AB 652 bans the use of toxic PFASs in products for children, such as car seats and cribs, beginning July 1, 2023.

Governor's historic \$15 billion climate package includes \$270 million to promote a more circular economy that advances sustainability

Taking action to combat plastic pollution and advance a more sustainable and renewable economy, Governor Gavin Newsom signed a package of legislation designed to raise consumer awareness and industry accountability, complementing his bold \$270 million investment to modernize recycling systems and promote a more circular economy as part of the California Comeback Plan's historic \$15 billion climate package. The Governor also signed bills to protect Californians and the environment from harmful chemicals.

Among the measures signed today is SB 343 by Senator Ben Allen (D-Santa Monica), which requires products to meet benchmarks in order to be advertised or labeled as recyclable, helping consumers to clearly identify which products are recyclable in California.

"California's hallmark is solving problems through innovation, and we're harnessing that spirit to reduce the waste filling our landfills and generating harmful pollutants driving the climate crisis," said Governor Newsom. "With today's action and bold investments to transform our recycling systems, the state continues to lead the way

to a more sustainable and resilient future for the planet and all our communities.” The Governor last month announced that the California Comeback Plan’s [\\$15 billion climate package](#) – the largest such investment in state history – includes \$270 million to support a circular economy that advances sustainability and helps reduce short-lived climate pollutants from the waste sector. To raise demand for recyclables and attract green industry to California, the package includes funding to support the work of CalRecycle’s new Office of Innovation in Recycling and Remanufacturing. Additional funds will support organic waste infrastructure, food recovery efforts and composting, remanufacturing and recycling infrastructure, including investments in disadvantaged communities.

Governor Newsom today also signed AB 881 by Assemblymember Lorena Gonzalez (D-San Diego), which discourages practices resulting in exporting plastic that becomes waste and ensures that only exports of truly recycled plastics count toward state waste reduction and recycling metrics. SB 619 by Senator John Laird (D-Santa Cruz) provides local governments additional paths to meet the climate goals of California’s Short-Lived Climate Pollutant law. AB 1311 by Assemblymember Jim Wood (D-Santa Rosa) allows more flexible operations for beverage container recycling centers to reduce overhead and increase redemption access statewide. And AB 1201 by Assemblymember Phil Ting (D-San Francisco) strengthens labeling requirements to ensure products labeled “compostable” are actually compostable and to keep harmful chemicals out of California’s compost stream.

Perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs), often called “forever chemicals” because they don’t break down in the environment, have been linked to serious health hazards and have been found in the bodies of almost every human studied. To further reduce exposure and increase awareness, the Governor signed AB 1200 by Assemblymember Philip Ting (D-San Francisco), which prohibits disposable food packaging from containing intentionally added PFASs and requires cookware manufacturers to disclose the presence of hazardous chemicals such as PFASs on product labels and online. AB 652 by Assemblymember Laura Friedman (D-Glendale) bans the use of toxic PFASs in products for children, such as car seats and cribs, beginning July 1, 2023. The state [earlier this year](#) required manufacturers of carpets and rugs to consider less toxic alternatives to PFASs, which pose a particular exposure risk to children when used in carpets and rugs.

A full list of the bills signed by the Governor is below:

- AB 652 by Assemblymember Laura Friedman (D-Glendale) – Product safety: juvenile products: chemicals: perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances.
- AB 881 by Assemblymember Lorena Gonzalez (D-San Diego) – Recycling: plastic waste: export.
- AB 962 by Senator Sydney Kamlager (D-Los Angeles) – California Beverage Container Recycling and Litter Reduction Act: reusable beverage containers.
- AB 1200 by Assemblymember Philip Ting (D-San Francisco) – Plant-based food packaging: cookware: hazardous chemicals.
- AB 1201 by Assemblymember Philip Ting (D-San Francisco) – Solid waste: products: labeling: compostability and biodegradability.
- AB 1276 by Assemblymember Wendy Carrillo (D-Los Angeles) – Single-use foodware accessories and standard condiments.
- AB 1311 by Assemblymember Jim Wood (D-Santa Rosa) – Recycling:

beverage containers.

- SB 343 by Senator Ben Allen (D-Santa Monica) – Environmental advertising: recycling symbol: recyclability: products and packaging.
- SB 619 by Senator John Laird (D-Santa Cruz) – Organic waste: reduction regulations: local jurisdiction compliance.



Yours,

Auto Legislative Policy Director - Don Morris - Intergovernmental Affairs
Office of Urvishkumar Mehta
Commissioner and Act Ambassador
Department of State and Office of Foreign Mission

Representation to Embassy of New Delhi

Federal Government, USA

Pronounce: (He|Him|His)

Phone: +1-650-691-5355

@: urvishkumar.mehta@gmail.com | urvishkumar.mehta.federal.house@gmail.com

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Constituent concerns over Covid
Date: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 10:37:00 AM

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-7708 | (415) 554-5163
arthur.khoo@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, June 3, 2022 4:19 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Constituent concerns over Covid

Dear Supervisors,

A caller who identifies herself as someone over the age of 65 expressed concern over the lack of masking requirements, especially considering current Covid positivity and death rates especially for those 65 or over. She is also concerned over ventilation especially doctor's offices. Why isn't masking required like it is in Alameda County. Why are we depending on personal responsibility to keep us all safe from Covid when personal responsibility is so lacking especially in younger people. The only time she went into a restaurant in the past two years, the serving staff was unmasked. She asked the staff if they were aware Covid positivity rates were going up and they expressed puzzlement. The Supervisors need to take a more active role and legislate as necessary to protect our vulnerable populations from Covid. Inactivity about this is a hate crime against anyone over 65.

A concerned constituent over 65

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Juvenile hall
Date: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 10:30:00 AM

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-7708 | (415) 554-5163
arthur.khoo@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Allen Jones <jones-allen@att.net>
Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 12:26 PM
To: Margaret Brodtkin <margaret@margaretbrodtkin.com>
Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Miller, Katherine (JUV) <katherine.miller@sfgov.org>; Matthew Smith <matt@sfstandard.com>; Al Saracevic <asaracevic@sfmmediaco.com>; Gallardo, Tracy (BOS) <tracy.gallardo@sfgov.org>
Subject: Juvenile hall

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Commissioner Brodtklyn,

I am puzzled by the request for \$500,000 to study building a new, smaller juvenile hall when I know for a fact, Chief Miller has zero interest in a new facility.

You told me yourself that you want to close our current facility and I assume you are not at all on board with a plan to build a new juvenile hall.

I am trying to track this request because I would like to voice my objection to giving anyone half a

million dollars for something I know has zero chance of getting off the ground.

Soon JPD will be looking for a new director and a new director of the SPY (Special Programs for Youth). What is puzzling to me about this was the fact, when SPY was mentioned at the May 19, 2022 special hearing it did not sound like the person running it for DPH would be leaving her position.

Finally, I am annoyed by the fact, too many who want to see kids housed in a homelike setting view all kids as candy thieves. Well, I hope you look at this short video of a 12-year-old robbing a store in MI. https://url.avanan.click/v2/___https://youtu.be/dmJ8-6M7YbA___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzo1NDk2NGNjZDQzYjVhMmUxMzBiMzI2OWFmNDQ3YzUwMDo2OmExOWQ6NzBhYjgwYWMyYjMwZDQ2MmY2Nzc1MTI2OTZhMGUwNmU0MTNhNzUwYWYWM3N2NhODRlZWUxZjk2MWJhMWQ4NTFhMjp0OIQ

My point is, I don't think this will ever happen in San Francisco but if it did, where would we place him?

This kid will need a lot of help that none of our current programs are able to address and this commission is not thinking about.

I know that there will be no new hall and we will NOT get rid of the one we have now, no matter how hard you, and members of the Board of Supervisors try. But we are wasting time going around and around on what to do with ALL youth when we don't have to.

In an attempt to help the young people that will fall through the cracks of our otherwise good programs, we must stop the hate of a 15 year old building and see how we can best use it to serve some kids who will unfortunately need to be raised in a lock up facility for youth.

Allen Jones
(415) 756-7733
jones-allen@att.net
Californiaclemency.org

The Only thing I love more than justice is the freedom to fight for it.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Perkinson, Jessica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Hunters Point Naval Shipyard
Date: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 10:07:00 AM

Arthur Khoo
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-7708 | (415) 554-5163
arthur.khoo@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

-----Original Message-----

From: Rosemary Jarrett <rosemaryjarrett@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 4, 2022 9:56 AM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>
Cc: Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Hunters Point Naval Shipyard

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor Breed

The June 1 Civil Grand Jury report brings to your attention the critical need to address the long term impacts of ground water rise to the toxic waste site at the Shipyard.

The assessment should include a full retesting of the Shipyard and adjacent areas with independent community oversight, and a rejection of the Naval plan to bury and cap. Funding by the city is essential.

Thank you for your action on this matter.

Rosemary Jarrett
Rosemaryjarrett@gmail.com

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Civil Grand Jury
Date: Thursday, June 9, 2022 11:33:00 AM
Attachments: [Grand Jury BVHP Support SFT 060822.pdf](#)

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-7708 | (415) 554-5163
arthur.khoo@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Joni <jonieisen@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Thursday, June 9, 2022 10:05 AM
To: Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Jennifer Clary <jenclary@sbcglobal.net>
Subject: Civil Grand Jury

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Walton and Supervisors,

Please find attached San Francisco Tomorrow's letter regarding the Civil Grand Jury's report about threats posed to residents of Hunters Point by climate-change-induced sea-level rise. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Joni Eisen, Secretary
San Francisco Tomorrow



San Francisco Tomorrow

Since 1970, Working to Protect the Urban Environment

June 8, 2022

Shamann Walton
President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
San Francisco, CA 94102
Sent via electronic mail

Re: Report of the Civil Grand Jury re: Hunters Point Shipyard

Dear President Walton and Supervisors,

On behalf of San Francisco Tomorrow, we want to express our support for the recently released findings and recommendations of the San Francisco Civil Grand Jury report *Buried Problems and a Buried Process: The Hunters Point Shipyard in a Time of Climate Change*. We are deeply concerned at the information revealed by the report and the threat posed to residents of a neighborhood that already bears a disproportionate burden of pollution.

In order to effectively and efficiently implement the recommendations of the Grand Jury, we urge the Supervisors to include funding in the current budget to fund the recommended study and staff the proposed cleanup oversight study.

Thank you for your leadership on this important issue.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Clary
President
San Francisco Tomorrow

Will you want to live in San Francisco – tomorrow?

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Prop 13 will soon force many families out of the state
Date: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 10:31:00 AM
Attachments: [House Tax 1.036 increase.xlsx](#)

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-7708 | (415) 554-5163
arthur.khoo@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Michael Nohr <mikejnohr@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 10:51 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; info@philting.com; info@matthaney.com; bialouj2@miamioh.edu
Subject: Prop 13 will soon force many families out of the state

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

London Breed introduced me to Tyra Fennell who suggested I reach out to Phil Teng, Scott Weiner, Matt Haney and Gordon Mar regarding a looming property tax issue that will force millions of people out of their homes in the next decade or so,

The state is highly focused on Affordable Housing, but **Affordable Taxation** is soon going to create an even bigger issue for families.

My taxes are around \$16K per year. In 30 years when I'm my father's age my taxes will be around \$45K - which isn't sustainable for most homeowners.

Prop 13 is no longer a viable tax solution now that homes and condos are over \$1MM in the Bay Area.

The long-term compounding of the property's assessed value becomes unbearable for almost everyone after a decade or so if they have a high assessed value.

Prop 13 was designed in part to help keep elderly people in their house, but that formula only works as it was intended on housing when housing was much more affordable. Prop 13 was voted on in 1978. I lived in San Diego in 1987 and you could get new construction single family homes for about \$150K.

I met with the assessors office and they told me that the formula they use is typically $1\% + \text{city taxes} = .011984$ increase per year.

There are about 50 houses on my block and approximately 20% pay \$1,500 or less per year in property taxes, 20% pay under \$3,000 per year, 20% pay under \$5,000 per year, 20% pay under \$10,000 per year and the remaining 20% of us pay between \$10,000 and \$27K (for virtually the same Outer Sunset standard home).

There is a person on my block just a few houses away who is only paying \$685 per year, I'm paying \$15K and my next door neighbor is paying over \$27K for very similar and modest homes.

In 10 year my neighbor paying \$685 per yr. will pay <\$1K, in 20 years < \$1,400 and in 30 years only **\$1,980 per year**.

In 10 year I will pay well over 20K, in 20 years over 30K and 30 years when I'm my dad's age over **\$45K**.

In 10 year my next door neighbor paying \$27K will pay nearly 40K, in 20 years over 55K and in 30 years around **\$80K**.

Over the next 30 years that I had hoped to live in my house my one neighbor on my block will only pay \$37K, I will pay nearly \$1MM and my other neighbor will pay over \$1.5MM in property taxes. And, to make it worse, the neighbor paying so little can pass the house onto their children and they get to keep the low tax base.

Reportedly, nearly 14% of the city residents sit empty like one of my neighbors who hasn't lived in his property since before I moved in nearly 20 years ago. Instead, he uses the property as a storage unit because his taxes are only \$100 per month. He is a hoarder and brings blithe to the neighborhood as he lets the property fall in disrepair largely because his taxes are so insignificant and his taxes don't motivate him to sell.

The compounding tax rate won't be sustainable for most people who bought their home in the past 20 years. Especially when they are retired within 20 years..

The city is worried about **affordable housing** for its citizens. What they really need to be worried about is **affordable taxation**. Maybe new home purchases should be paying .5% instead of 1% to make it more realistic for people to be able to stay in their homes.

Especially when interest rates are over 5% and climbing. Before we know it the average property in the city will be going for \$2MM. At that rate the taxes go up nearly \$1k per year -compounding.

Thousands of families in San Francisco are going to be forced out of their homes in the next 10 - 20 years and they don't even know it. People like myself who have been paying property taxes significant taxes for decades to pay for the city employee salaries and yet in the end we will be discarded from the city and the state as though we never contributed to the city's or state's prosperity.

Attached is a spreadsheet which demonstrates the compounding effects on the 3 properties described above.

Possible solution: Maybe after someone is paying \$10,000 in property taxes the rate gets capped so that they aren't further taxed and financially punished unfairly. There are solutions to this man made problem.

Scott Weiner

455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 14800

San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 557-1300

bialouj2@miamioh.edu

info@matthaney.com

Haney for State Assembly 2022

2370 Market St. Suite 103 - 463

San Francisco, CA 94114

EMAIL

info@philting.com

MAILING ADDRESS

5429 Madison Ave Sacramento, CA 95841

Gordon Mar: 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-5184 • Fax: (415) 554-5163 • TTY: (415) 554-5227 • Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

	Assessed Val	2%	Assessed Increase	Tax Rate	Taxes	Increase														
							There is a 1% tax, but with extra city taxes it is .011984 typically													
	857526				10408	427														
	2018 1,211,084				10835	4228														
	2019 1,235,305				15063	532														
	2020 1,235,305	Prop 13: State			15595	-638	Rent + 2%	Annual												
	2021 1,245,101	2% Increase	0.011984		14,957.24	299		2,500	30,000											
60	22 1,273,083				15,256.39	549		2,550	30,600											
	23 1,318,893				15,805.62	569		2,601	31,212											
	24 1,366,373				16,374.62	589		2,653	31,836											
	25 1,415,563				16,964.11	611		2,706	32,473											
	26 1,466,523				17,574.81	633		2,760	33,122											
	27 1,519,318				18,207.51	655		2,815	33,785											
	28 1,574,013				18,862.98	679		2,872	34,461											
	29 1,630,678				19,542.04	704		2,929	35,150											
	30 1,689,382				20,245.56	729		2,988	35,853											
	31 1,750,200				20,974.40	755		3,047	36,570											
70	32 1,813,207				21,729.48	782		3,108	37,301											
	33 1,876,483				22,511.74	810		3,171	38,047											
	34 1,946,108				23,322.16	840		3,234	38,808											
	35 2,016,168				24,161.76	870		3,299	39,584											
	36 2,088,750				25,031.58	901		3,365	40,376											
	37 2,163,945				25,932.72	934		3,432	41,184											
	38 2,241,847				26,866.30	967		3,501	42,007											
	39 2,322,554				27,833.48	1002		3,571	42,847											
	40 2,406,186				28,835.49	1038		3,642	43,704											
	41 2,492,787				29,873.57	1075		3,715	44,578											
80	42 2,582,528				30,949.01	1114		3,789	45,470											
	43 2,675,499				32,063.18	1154		3,865	46,379											
	44 2,771,817				33,217.45	1196		3,942	47,307											
	45 2,871,602				34,413.28	1239		4,021	48,253											
	46 2,974,980				35,652.16	1283		4,102	49,218											
	47 3,082,979				36,935.64	1330		4,184	50,203											
	48 3,193,034				38,265.32	1378		4,267	51,207											
	49 3,307,983				39,642.87	1427		4,353	52,231											
	50 3,427,071				41,070.01	1479		4,440	53,275											
	51 3,550,445				42,548.53	1532		4,528	54,341											
90	52 3,678,261				44,080.28	14080		4,619	55,428											
						844,744.03														
																		Not including all the city added taxes		
1870 Greate HWY	Increase																			
2000	39501																			
2018	53,346																			
2019	54,400																			
2020	55,500	Prop 13: State			1455															
2021	56,067	2% Increase	0.011984		671.91	13														
60	22 57,188				685.35	25				60	22 2,282,717	2% Increase	0.011984	26,820	536					
	23 59,247				710.02	26				23 2,364,895				27,356	985					
	24 61,380				735.58	26				24 2,450,031				28,341	1020					
	25 63,590				762.06	27				25 2,538,232				29,361	1057					
	26 65,879				789.49	28				26 2,629,609				30,418	1095					
	27 68,251				817.91	29				27 2,724,275				31,513	1134					
	28 70,708				847.36	31				28 2,822,348				32,648	1175					
	29 73,253				877.86	32				29 2,923,953				33,823	1218					
	30 75,890				909.47	33				30 3,029,215				35,041	1261					
	31 78,622				942.21	34				31 3,138,267				36,302	1307					
70	32 81,453				976.13	35				32 3,251,245				37,609	1354					
	33 84,385				1,011.27	36				33 3,368,290				38,963	1403					
	34 87,423				1,047.67	38				34 3,489,548				40,366	1453					
	35 90,570				1,085.39	39				35 3,615,172				41,819	1505					
	36 93,831				1,124.46	40				36 3,745,318				43,324	1560					
	37 97,208				1,164.95	42				37 3,880,149				44,884	1616					
	38 100,708				1,206.88	43				38 4,019,835				46,500	1674					
	39 104,333				1,250.33	45				39 4,164,549				48,174	1734					
	40 108,089				1,295.34	47				40 4,314,472				49,908	1797					
	41 111,981				1,341.98	48				41 4,469,793				51,705	1861					
80	42 116,012				1,390.29	50				42 4,630,706				53,566	1928					
	43 120,188				1,440.34	52				43 4,797,411				55,494	1998					
	44 124,535				1,492.19	54				44 4,970,118				57,492	2070					
	45 128,998				1,545.91	56				45 5,149,043				59,562	2144					
	46 133,642				1,601.56	58				46 5,334,408				61,706	2221					
	47 138,453				1,659.22	60				47 5,526,447				63,928	2301					
	48 143,437				1,718.95	62				48 5,725,399				66,229	2384					
	49 148,601				1,780.83	64				49 5,931,513				68,613	2470					
	50 153,950				1,844.94	66				50 6,145,048				71,083	2559					
	51 159,493				1,911.36	69				51 6,366,269				73,642	2651					
90	52 165,234				1,980.17	-1980				52 6,595,455				76,293	2747					
						37,947.46												Not including all the city added taxes		
														1,514,702				Not including all the city added taxes		

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Hi---letter of support request to Mayor Breed to support Cameo House for next two years.
Date: Monday, June 6, 2022 5:04:00 PM
Attachments: [2022-05-24 Cameo letter to Mayor Breed_SF Jail Justice Coalition from roma.pdf](#)
[LYRIC memo on bombing threats .docx](#)

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached letters.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



May 24, 2022

Mayor London Breed
City Hall, Room 200
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Request to support Cameo House with \$775,000 in funding in the CCSF FY 2022-23 and FY 2023-24 Budget

Dear Mayor Breed,

The San Francisco Jail Justice Coalition respectfully encourages you to support Cameo House with \$775,000 in the City and County of San Francisco’s FY 2022-23 and FY 2023-24 Budget. Investing in Cameo House would be a powerful, proven, and cost-effective investment in the safety of some of San Francisco’s most vulnerable justice-involved women (cis/trans).

Many of the undersigned organizations and community groups are comprised of people who are formerly incarcerated and/or who work with those who have been involved in the criminal-legal system in San Francisco. We appreciate that under your leadership, San Francisco has led the way in improving our City’s local criminal-legal system: launching the Dream Keeper Initiative and becoming the first city in the country to abolish and eliminate debt from locally-controlled administrative fees, make jail phone calls free, and eliminate markups on commissary items, among other reforms. We also appreciate that the City has prioritized housing people who are unsheltered and homeless.

Our organizations know that you and the City and County of San Francisco share our commitment to ensuring that our local criminal-legal system does more to end and help people heal from cycles of harm, crime, and incarceration. **This is precisely why providing stable, annual funding to Cameo House from the City is necessary. All of the women at Cameo House have been involved with the criminal-legal system and most women and children there are Black and brown. Providing safe and holistic supportive transitional housing at the moment of their re-entry is critical and Cameo House has an inspiring, decades-long track record of providing these support services.**

Cameo House was founded in 1997 as a transitional housing program for homeless women with children and since 2014, has provided San Francisco's first alternative sentencing program for women with children. Cameo House provides long-term transitional and alternative sentencing

programs for homeless, formerly incarcerated women and children, including on-site case management, safe and stable living, and a wide array of other services.

Since then, Cameo House has demonstrated incredible impact in the lives of its residents. According to a [January 2021 external program evaluation](#), of the 22 women and 18 children Cameo House worked with from September 2019 to September 2020:

- 100% of participants with substance issues show decreased use at Cameo House
- 89% of participants achieve one or more of their program goals
- 85% of participants report that they feel safe at Cameo House
- 77% of participants gained and sustained employment
- 72% of participants returned to education (e.g. GED, high school credits, City College of San Francisco)
- 78% of graduating participants moved into permanent and stable housing.

These results are especially impressive given that two of our City's most stubborn human-rights issues, homelessness, trauma and substance use, are disproportionately prevalent among people who are involved in the criminal-legal system. Among people currently incarcerated in San Francisco County Jails, 41% report experiencing homelessness and 55% have challenges with substance use. **That Cameo House has a proven track-record of helping our justice-involved neighbors address substance use and homelessness demonstrates that it is worthy of funding and investment from the City.**

However, these statistics do not fully capture the human impact that Cameo House has on the lives of its residents. The feelings of safety for the women and children that come from being able to address substance use issues and being stably housed cannot be captured only in numbers. Women (cis/trans) and children need to experience the healing power of the programs provided by Cameo House. The evidence is clear: San Francisco's investment in stable funding for Cameo House reduces intergenerational incarceration, keeps families together, and supports safety and opportunity for families and adds to the safety of San Francisco.

The COVID-19 pandemic and the national reckoning around systemic racism have pushed us all to rethink our role in the struggle for racial justice. We view Cameo House as an example of a better, kinder, and more equitable San Francisco: one that provides people with the support they need to create safe, healthy, and thriving communities. For this reason, and all the reasons above, we respectfully encourage you and the City and County of San Francisco to fund Cameo House.

Sheriff Paul Miyamoto strongly supports this Budget request and we support the Sheriffs' and staff oversight, coordination, and collaboration with our Coalition. We respectfully ask that you please support Cameo House with \$775,000 in funding in the Sheriff's FY 2022-23 and FY 2023-24 Budget. This funding is necessary to continue supporting and provide housing to the

women and children currently staying at Cameo House and ensure that families on Cameo House's waiting list are able to similarly experience its healing power.

Sincerely,

All of Us or None

GLIDE

Legal Services for Prisoners with Children

Life After Next

San Francisco Public Defender's Office

San Francisco Pretrial Diversion Project

Taxpayers for Public Safety and Women's Working Group

Young Community Developers

Young Women's Freedom Center

5:02 ↖



On behalf of LYRIC, we are sending you the following information:



SINGER ASSOCIATES, INC.
PUBLIC AFFAIRS & CORPORATE COMMUNICATIONS

23 May 2022

**Police Investigate Death Threats
Against SF LGBTQQ Non-Profit**

**LYRIC Victim of Several Bomb
Threats,**

**SFPD Considering Incidences as
Hate Crimes**

San Francisco--[LYRIC](#), a highly regarded San Francisco non-profit that provides education enhancement, career trainings, health promotion, and leadership development to LGBTQQ youth has received bomb and death threats, forcing multiple evacuations of its office.

This is the second bomb/death threat in the past month, LYRIC Executive Director Laura Lala-Chavez said. San Francisco police investigators are looking at the possibility of investigating the threats as hate crimes aimed against the non-profit as well as the community it serves.

On Monday May 22 in the afternoon, LYRIC received voice mail messages from someone reading a bible quote from Leviticus about "man shall not lie with man" A second caller called again

5:02



< on Monday May 22 in the aft...
received voice mail messages from someone

reading a bible quote from Leviticus about "man shall not lie with man." A second caller called again and said "This time it's for real. You all are going to burn." The staff evacuated to safety and continued to serve youth from other locations.

LYRIC serves 3,000 youth in San Francisco, including surrounding bay area counties as well as welcoming youth who have left other states in the past few months due to Anti-Trans legislation.

Lala-Chavez sent a note to staff that read: "LYRIC was again the victim of a death threat today."

"The threats included bible verses and a condemnation of LYRIC and the community we serve. Please stay safe and report anything suspicious to People Operations."

"We want you to know the City of San Francisco has reached out to us and is evaluating the threats as Hate Crimes against LYRIC and our community. These threats only motivate us more to serve our constituents."

"LYRIC will stand tall and proud in our goal to build community and inspire positive social change for LGBTQ+ youth, their families, and allies of all races, classes, genders, and abilities."

"We know we can accomplish this mission with your support."

"Thank you for your bravery, your dedication, and for working every day in the face of those who would spread hate."

"Thank you for your bravery, your

dedication, and
for working every day in the face of those who
would spread hate."

"This will not deter us, it only motives us to do
more to build a diverse and just society.!"

LYRIC has seen unprecedented growth in the past
two years expanding services.

"Our youth come
from all over and are incredibly vulnerable, coupling
the pandemic along with sweeping anti-LGBTQIA+
legislation nationwide. We need more unrestricted
funds to do this work that is outside our normal city
contracts," said Lala-Chavez.

LYRIC is currently fundraising for a massive capital
campaign to renovate and expand the building to
increase programmatic space by 44%. "This is a
critical time for the organization and our growth.

We are resolved to keep going despite these
threats" said Lala-Chavez.

Contact: Adam Michael Royston

Director of Communications

Adam-michael@lyric.org

Cell: 980-925-2784

Or Sam Singer

Cell: 415.336.4949

singer@singersf.com

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Department of Parks and Recreation - National Register of Historic Places Nominations
Date: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 11:40:00 AM
Attachments: [Dept. of Parks and Rec. - National Register of Historic Places Nomination.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Hello,

Please see attached for 2 notices from the Department of Parks and Recreation for nominations for the Palace of Fine Arts and Timothy L. Pflueger House.

Regards,

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184 | (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*



DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
OFFICE OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION

Armando Quintero, Director

Julianne Polanco, State Historic Preservation Officer
1725 23rd Street, Suite 100, Sacramento, CA 95816-7100
Telephone: (916) 445-7000 FAX: (916) 445-7053
calshpo.ohp@parks.ca.gov www.ohp.parks.ca.gov

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
JUN -6 PM 1:55

BY JA BOS-11

June 1, 2022

San Francisco County Board of Supervisors
Clerk of the Board
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

RE: National Register of Historic Places Nomination for the Palace of Fine Arts

Dear Board of Supervisors:

Pursuant to Federal Regulations 36 CFR Part 60.6(c) I am notifying you that the State Historical Resources Commission (SHRC) at its next meeting intends to consider and take action on the nomination of the above-named property to the National Register of Historic Places (National Register). Details on that meeting are on the enclosed notice. The National Register is the federal government's official list of historic buildings and other cultural resources worthy of preservation. Listing in the National Register provides recognition and assists in preserving California's cultural heritage. If the item is removed from the scheduled agenda, you will be notified by mail.

Local government comments regarding the National Register eligibility of this property are welcomed. Letters should be sent to California State Parks, Attn: Office of Historic Preservation, Julianne Polanco, State Historic Preservation Officer, 1725 23rd Street, Suite 100, Sacramento, California 95816. So that the SHRC will have adequate time to consider them, it is requested, but not required, that written comments be received by the Office of Historic Preservation fifteen (15) days before the SHRC meeting. Interested parties are encouraged to attend the SHRC meeting and present oral testimony.

As of January 1, 1993, all National Register properties are automatically included in the California Register of Historical Resources (California Register) and afforded consideration in accordance with state and local environmental review procedures.

The federal requirements covering the National Register program are to be found in the National Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, and in Federal Regulations 36 CFR Part 60. State law regarding the California Register is in the Public Resources Code, Section 5024. Should you have questions regarding this nomination, or would like a copy of the nomination, please contact the Registration Unit at (916) 445-7008. **Note that staff revises nominations throughout the nomination process.**

Sincerely,

Julianne Polanco
State Historic Preservation Officer



**DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
OFFICE OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION
STATE HISTORICAL RESOURCES COMMISSION**

Julianne Polanco, State Historic Preservation Officer
1725 23rd Street, Suite 100, Sacramento, CA 95816-7100
Telephone: (916) 445-7000 FAX: (916) 445-7053
calshpo.ohp@parks.ca.gov www.ohp.parks.ca.gov

Armando Quintero, Director

COMMISSION MEMBERS

Lee Adams III, Chair
Adam Siro
Bryan K. Brandes
Janet Hansen
Alan Hess
Luis Hoyos
René Vellanoweth, PhD

MEETING NOTICE

Pursuant to Executive Order N-29-20, board members/commissioners of a state body may participate in public meetings remotely. The public may observe, provide public comment during the public comment periods, and otherwise observe remotely in accordance with Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act.

FOR: State Historical Resources Commission Quarterly Meeting

DATE: Friday, August 5, 2022

TIME: 9:00 A.M.

PLACE: California Natural Resources Headquarters Building
Auditorium
715 P Street
Sacramento, California 95814

If you are in need of special accommodations pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, please call Jessie Ochoa Diaz at (916) 445-7049. Questions regarding the meeting should be directed to the Registration Unit (916) 445-7008. In accordance with the *Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act* an agenda for this meeting will be published on the Office of Historic Preservation website no later than July 25, 2022.



DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
OFFICE OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION

Julianne Polanco, State Historic Preservation Officer
1725 23rd Street, Suite 100, Sacramento, CA 95816-7100
Telephone: (916) 445-7000 FAX: (916) 445-7053
calshpo.ohp@parks.ca.gov www.ohp.parks.ca.gov

Armando Quintero, Director

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2022 JUN -6 PM 1:56
BY DA 805-11

June 1, 2022

San Francisco County Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: National Register of Historic Places Nomination for the Timothy L. Pflueger House

Dear Board of Supervisors:

Pursuant to Federal Regulations 36 CFR Part 60.6(c) I am notifying you that the State Historical Resources Commission (SHRC) at its next meeting intends to consider and take action on the nomination of the above-named property to the National Register of Historic Places (National Register). Details on that meeting are on the enclosed notice. The National Register is the federal government's official list of historic buildings and other cultural resources worthy of preservation. Listing in the National Register provides recognition and assists in preserving California's cultural heritage. If the item is removed from the scheduled agenda, you will be notified by mail.

Local government comments regarding the National Register eligibility of this property are welcomed. Letters should be sent to California State Parks, Attn: Office of Historic Preservation, Julianne Polanco, State Historic Preservation Officer, 1725 23rd Street, Suite 100, Sacramento, California 95816. So that the SHRC will have adequate time to consider them, it is requested, but not required, that written comments be received by the Office of Historic Preservation fifteen (15) days before the SHRC meeting. Interested parties are encouraged to attend the SHRC meeting and present oral testimony.

As of January 1, 1993, all National Register properties are automatically included in the California Register of Historical Resources (California Register) and afforded consideration in accordance with state and local environmental review procedures.

The federal requirements covering the National Register program are to be found in the National Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, and in Federal Regulations 36 CFR Part 60. State law regarding the California Register is in the Public Resources Code, Section 5024. Should you have questions regarding this nomination, or would like a copy of the nomination, please contact the Registration Unit at (916) 445-7008. **Note that staff revises nominations throughout the nomination process.**

Sincerely,

Julianne Polanco
State Historic Preservation Officer



**DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
OFFICE OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION
STATE HISTORICAL RESOURCES COMMISSION**

Julianne Polanco, State Historic Preservation Officer
1725 23rd Street, Suite 100, Sacramento, CA 95816-7100
Telephone: (916) 445-7000 FAX: (916) 445-7053
calshpo.ohp@parks.ca.gov www.ohp.parks.ca.gov

Armando Quintero, Director

COMMISSION MEMBERS

Lee Adams III, Chair
Adam Siro
Bryan K. Brandes
Janet Hansen
Alan Hess
Luis Hoyos
René Vellanoweth, PhD

MEETING NOTICE

Pursuant to Executive Order N-29-20, board members/commissioners of a state body may participate in public meetings remotely. The public may observe, provide public comment during the public comment periods, and otherwise observe remotely in accordance with Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act.

FOR: State Historical Resources Commission Quarterly Meeting

DATE: Friday, August 5, 2022

TIME: 9:00 A.M.

PLACE: California Natural Resources Headquarters Building
Auditorium
715 P Street
Sacramento, California 95814

If you are in need of special accommodations pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, please call Jessie Ochoa Diaz at (916) 445-7049. Questions regarding the meeting should be directed to the Registration Unit (916) 445-7008. In accordance with the *Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act* an agenda for this meeting will be published on the Office of Historic Preservation website no later than July 25, 2022.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: The Green Cross as A Nonprofit Public Benefit Corporation
Date: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 11:45:00 AM
Attachments: [The Green Cross 060622.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184 | (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2022 JUN -6 PM 2:08

BY JA BOS-11



May 19, 2022

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As Founder and President of The Green Cross, I am writing today on behalf of our organization to implore San Francisco to take immediate action to support the cannabis industry and its operators. As a nonprofit public benefit corporation in operation since 2004, The Green Cross is an integral part of the San Francisco community. We are one of the first licensed dispensaries in San Francisco and have worked hard to build strong relationships and gain trust with local public officials, constituents, residents, and our members.

Our top priority is to provide members with high-quality cannabis at affordable prices, which has become increasingly challenging with ongoing inflation and supply chain issues. It's also important to The Green Cross to offer our members a comfortable and accessible place to purchase cannabis and cannabis-infused products from professional and courteous staff.

The Green Cross has become known as an exemplar in community and outreach services by offering member consulting and compassionate care services that go above and beyond traditional dispensary services, showing the importance of cannabis not only to our members, but to the community and the local government as well. We strive to continue to offer these vital services moving forward.

A primary goal of The Green Cross is to promote social equity, including improving the social and economic conditions of our neighborhood, while providing living wages to residents of the surrounding area. At our storefront in the Excelsior District, we take pride in providing employment opportunities to talented individuals from diverse backgrounds, including many neighborhood residents. The Green Cross participates in the SF Cannabis Equity Program, which seeks to support people harmed by the War on Drugs, including business owners, job candidates and other nonprofit organizations.

The Green Cross strives to be a valuable asset to the surrounding neighborhood and maintain a



welcoming and safe environment for neighbors, local businesses, and members alike. It was a lengthy process to open our current storefront, and we take great pride in serving San Francisco for the past 18 years and hope to continue doing so for many years to come.

With the ongoing pandemic, supply chain issues, inflation, costly cannabis taxes, decreases in local tourism, fluctuations in BART ridership, necessary COVID-19 safety measures, influx of cannabis competition, and a 50% decline in overall sales, The Green Cross is struggling to stay in business.

Many cannabis businesses in California are floundering right now. With heavy taxes and onerous regulations and licensing fees, it is becoming impossible for cannabis businesses to be profitable in the current economy. The increased cost of doing business and higher pricing is forcing more and more cannabis producers and consumers to seek the illicit market. As Nicole Elliott, California's top cannabis regulator, said last fall, it was "a perfect storm of everything that could have gone wrong."

In recent months, The Green Cross has taken drastic measures to stabilize our financial circumstances. We have greatly cut down on company spending, reduced our workforce by 40%, decreased our hours of operation due to lower sales, raised prices on all products, and made many other necessary changes to minimize our financial burden. The Green Cross, along with other businesses, is at risk of closing for good. We are now in the position where we must ask for help and implore the Board of Supervisors, Mayor's Office, and San Francisco Office of Cannabis to take action to support our business and industry.

Since we are deemed a federally illegal business, we are unable to take advantage of tax relief offered to other businesses during these challenging times. With hefty and burdensome taxes, the licensed state industry is at risk of collapse. If this happens, it will have a direct and significant impact on cannabis revenue money, which funds education, law enforcement and other programs throughout California. We need immediate tax relief to weather this storm, both at the local and state level.

Due to the lack of banking options available to cannabis retailers, we are forced to operate as cash-only businesses. As a result of this, our industry is being highly targeted for burglaries. It has even put staff and member safety at risk. In the past two years alone, The Green Cross has been burglarized four separate times. The expensive repairs from these break-ins, the loss of stolen inventory, and costly security measures are crippling our ability to stay afloat.



With more competitors entering the market and an estimated 90+ San Francisco cannabis retailer applications in process at present, the market has become over-saturated. Many of the applicants are new to the area, come from corporate funding, and do not have an invested interest in our community beyond lining their pockets. If San Francisco does not take steps to limit cannabis licenses, especially from corporate enterprises, many existing and longstanding cannabis businesses, like The Green Cross, will be forced to close our doors. We strongly believe there needs to be a moratorium on approved cannabis licenses until the market neutralizes and urge the Board, Mayor's Office, and Office of Cannabis to take immediate action.

The Green Cross is extremely grateful for Mayor Breed's leadership in declaring cannabis retail workers essential during the start of the pandemic. This allowed us to continue to serve our community and provide much-needed medicine to local medical cannabis patients. We must ask Mayor Breed, the Board of Supervisors, and SF Office of Cannabis to implement further measures to support us moving forward. Failure to act could be perilous to our industry and the consumers who rely on our services for their medicinal needs. Please consider doing whatever is in your power to reduce the burden on cannabis retailers.

Thank you for your time and consideration. If you have any questions, concerns, or require further information, please don't hesitate to contact me directly at KevinReed@TheGreenCross.org or (415) 846-7671.

Sincerely,

Kevin Reed
Founder & President
The Green Cross

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: The Neighborhood Walk
Date: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 11:46:00 AM
Attachments: [The Neighborhood Walk 060622.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184 | (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2022 JUN -6 PM 2:01

The Neighborhood Walk
by David Hutchinson

For most one of the great benefits of San Francisco, and as well certainly the Richmond District, remains slowly walking down streets taking in the fine weather during many seasons. As well the wide array of store windows that reflect the array of cultures, products and cuisines this world class cosmopolitan city has to offer has since times inaugural stimulated imaginations and palates from across the globe. This sort of disparate population of locals of any ethnic and financial background born to the city, and the most recent arrival from the same indeterminate history, all coming together to make up the fabric of this the neighborhood and municipality so valued has been the backbone of why this place has a unique role as such an archetypal American city. There have at its best been few boundaries, a welcome and open door to all. Sadly in these times this set of circumstances that defines the Richmond District, and neighborhoods across the city, seems to have been lost or deliberately abandoned by some recent residents, new arrivals and perhaps even denizens of many years. The clear and obvious abundance of graffiti on even open businesses, not as before vacant buildings, much of it hateful, racist and religiously bigoted, can be the first clue that the atmosphere has undergone a tragic change for the worse. The necessity for honest conversation about these changes and how to address them has now become dire considering the rise in assaults and accompanying property damage.

A
BOS-11

Blame cannot be the operative word under pressures such as this. All who share this city and what it has to offer might best be suited to try to understand that none among us are exempt from this situation as it evolves, its consequences and perhaps some degree of complicity of disregard. Some of these pressures, if not most, are not to best considered as the fault of any group or certainly individual, but the collective inability to anticipate such change and prevent the worst it has entailed. Those may well be soaring costs in direct correlation to vast inequality, and all that goes along with those disparities and first among them anger and bitter resentment. The tendency then to implicate the easiest targets, the homeless, the ethnically and religiously diverse and even law enforcement cannot alleviate the slow deterioration of social cohesion that was once our greatest as a city and a country. There have been historic upheavals that are well documented, most often followed by the mutual determination to endure and overcome as a community. Perhaps by examining the systems and motivations that initiate and result in hostility there may be a productive path forward toward a beneficial renewal for all, even for those who have engaged in such less than neighborly activity and cultivated the mean spirited over peace, dialogue and conversation.

Economic pressures across the board may be the foremost culprit. As housing has become a commodity for private equity firms, people across the spectrum are forced to contend with such downward spirals of finance, investment and as well debt. Displacement has its own set of circumstances that affect far more people than those with house keys. The undercurrent of the visibly traumatized, whether

employed and vastly overworked or those without jobs or homes, has clear and definitive results in even the most stable family units, with large incomes or moderate. Some of those appear in the deliberate vandalization of property on city blocks, by those same advantaged who may not have engaged in such behavior prior to feeling compelled to in an effort to blame those who may be simply easy targets, the poor and traditionally immigrant communities. For even those well off are finding it an onerous pursuit to keep up with inflationary real estate purchase and rental markets. The illusion then becomes, one that eventually only benefits developers and multinationals, to adopt the view that getting long term owners and landlords to sell in an effort to obtain vacancies, that in turn can be resold at profit. So the impetus to create conditions that render leaving more attractive than staying in a given locale becomes clear, and in process as can be seen with often hateful and racist sprays and stickers on nearly every existing open storefront in the Inner Richmond if one takes time to notice. As well, the documented precipitous rise in assaults a further degradation to a collective right to quality of life and ability to live in some semblance of decency and kindness, generosity of the human spirit we formerly took somewhat for granted. It wasn't long ago folks simply interacted largely as neighbors, even with the occasional need to address conflict and strife but with at least an understanding of attempts at fairness and understanding if not empathy.

So palpable fear eventually may rule the day. As this situation has national implications, those communities of color and the disadvantaged being targeted face stark choices indeed. As contempt for those less well off increases, where may be left for people to perform the desired dog and pony act and relocate. Historically, large urban centers were the usual place that those who face structural biases to land. They were where nonprofit service providers to the poor established footholds and set up aid programs. Single room occupancy hotels existed, as did large low income housing units. The consequences to these communities, to whom the suburbs were not at all welcoming because of lack of housing, services and public transportation options and racism, has become dire. The growing technology sector has discovered that their employees enjoy urban life for the convenience and concentration of luxury amenities. Cities began offering these companies tax breaks to attract them, and it worked. The problem becomes these same employees enjoy urban life but not the accompanying historical grit and struggle. They don't enjoy walking past homeless tents and shelters. Some of them arrived with the same biases and unfounded assumptions about diversity, multiculturalism and immigrant communities. So they brought the barriers right along with them as they gentrified cities and seek to sanitize urban life to what they were used to in the suburbs. The poor have few choices left as to where to move, even those real estate asset rich but cash poor who could sell. Where would they go since racism and bigotry now are becoming seemingly acceptable across the country to many folks?

Perhaps as difficult as it may be to read it might be of benefit to outline some examples of the graffiti in question that many must find so troubling. The most benign of those may be the reversal of the letter w in the word "woke" turned

upside down to become the m in "moke." This particular tag littered nearly every block in the Inner Richmond for months, and still appears written on asphalt, sidewalks and buildings. Then there are small and even actual string or rope nooses left casually strewn about on fences and left on the ground, too numerous to be accidental or natural. Also appear professionally printed posters plastered to buildings with glue, Asian appearing faces with green glasses, pink skin and a bob haircut sprayed on street corners where a pedestrian could easily be struck by a vehicle turning right. Images depicting disturbingly posed people of Asian ethnicity or all white knights on white horses or a woman's head with dozens of eyeballs hovering around her skull in a pink cloud can be seen repeatedly placed on many buildings, even on second stories and high billboards difficult to access. One can find racist tags on major banks and even the post office, all vague enough so that the perpetrators must feel few but them understand the coded hate. But the phrase up currently, "Odens shaper," can be easily connected to a mythology that has for decades been associated with fascism, hate and racism. The tag that perhaps carried some even more disturbing weight but that only could be seen for one day recently until painted over was large ten foot black letters with the acronym, "UAF." This on the large windows of an office supply vendor. If one looks this up on the highly disturbing alternative to the traditional Urban Dictionary that was created by a large digital advertising firm or their employees without consent, means appallingly, "Unwillingly Alone Forever." This coupled with the documented behavior of an employee in that store saying, "Separate, not equal," to a customer some months back becomes deeply troubling. For young white males who feel frustrated and tend to blame people of color for their perceived plight, have acted on such with criminal intent sometimes involving firearms in certain locations. There are images of such graffiti too numerous to list, these just but a few. The associated assaults are well known to those being targeted.

Perhaps exploring the climate created between the community and law enforcement tasked with keeping the peace for all might provide perspectives, for both parties in an effort to understand respective challenges. Economically they are shared, as officers and administrators and honestly all civil servants are being priced out equally, simply at a different pace and depending often on generational wealth. That wealth though can no longer be considered protected, as the pressures to sell in such overheated markets becomes tremendous. So there occurs demographic alterations, with those who'd enjoyed familiarity depart and folks arrive with no connection to the locale and inability to afford to call it home. A difficult career combined with micromanagement, pressures from both above in leadership even outside law enforcement and as well the concerned community make a once desirable job less so. While many find the idea of qualified immunity perhaps a path forward the need to understand for those on the job, even with the recognition that are ongoing issues of abuse of power, represent being sued simply for doing their work and a never ending series of large paydays to lawyers. For the progressive community eliminating bail has many positive outcomes such as the poor unable to afford it not being subjected for months of incarceration without trial, loss of home and job. For an officer of the law on the front lines that may manifest as seeing a

violent criminal assault again once released and risking one's health or life to arrest the same individual, and a sense of failure at not protecting their community.

Overcrowded prisons forced to release prisoners, rightly so in inhumane conditions, for the police may mean criminals on the streets. It will behoove us all in every sector to attempt to understand each perspective and the nuances and explore strategies that represent the actual situation there are degrees. Releasing only low-level non violent drug offenders, better ankle bracelet technology for high risk bail release, high quality housing subsidies, early retirement and better benefit package and vacation time and perhaps a national database of problematic police encounters that avoid certain individual officers being rehired elsewhere might go far in reestablishing trust all around. This pandemic has made life and law enforcement far more difficult for everybody. The resulting rise in crime can hardly be considered a surprise. So arriving at negotiations and any workplace with shared perspectives may greatly help this process. Messaging such as better managing law enforcement funding resources so we might rely less on law enforcement for dealing with a growing homeless problem that is in no way their fault or those with mental issues but not an immediate danger, rather than repeating cutting police funding, as well might relieve some tension. Simply not laying blanket indictments over any group from law enforcement, any community of color and leadership may be a path forward from emerging as a society intact from our current morass of divisions and loss of faith both in this city and the country.

There are ways yet to be explored about how to empower law enforcement to deal with low level crime such as racist graffiti while striving to reduce mass incarceration, particularly among the not yet considered adults. Great wealth emboldens people to operate under the impression there are few consequences to actions. As well, there may be at play in international arena of war video game chat rooms inculcation at work. People of vastly different value systems brought together in unmoderated spaces to kill and maim with abandon can easily fall victim to nefarious propaganda. This could be addressed through regulation of content creators of such games, firmly and without hesitation. Vastly increasing the penalties for such crimes, even based on net worth of either the perpetrators or their parental guardians could be a fruitful strategy. Some believe much like drug traffickers these graffiti vandals may be using well placed spotters to text or otherwise contact those doing the spraying there is a police cruiser approaching and disperse, even high up on buildings and rooftops in some cases. So while law enforcement locally are statutorily prevented from flying drones with night vision and cameras in many neighborhoods and business corridors private citizens are not. Neighborhood associations may benefit from hiring security to operate drones at night at least on weekends to notify police when vandals or assaults are spotted. This need not include any profiling of suspects or facial recognition technology, simply recording and informing officers en route as to current location of suspects.

These crimes, while not violent, are a form of terrorism and a fear tactic that if allowed to continue will continue to degrade our communities, both for decent law

abiding citizens and all commerce. Racism isn't good for business and profit, most understand this. As a society we must prevent such targeting of communities, so we all may benefit a return to common decency. While graffiti isn't an especially new phenomenon, overtly racist and hateful sorts are certainly on the rise and those doing it emboldened. They must be deterred in the most strategic and cost effective ways possible. Everybody against these attacks can play a role in doing just that, it just takes a village and a firm response. Let's hope that effort can begin soon for the betterment of all, even those so tragically convinced that racism and hate are in any way acceptable, much less defensible by any measure or logic. Racism and bigotry have no place in modern societies but are artifacts of an awful past we must overcome.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: CPUC - Verizon Wireless - City of San Francisco-SF UM PH3 SC285 - A-302627
Date: Thursday, June 9, 2022 2:10:00 PM
Attachments: [CPUC_2289.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184 | (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: CPUC Team <westareacpuc@vzwnet.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 9, 2022 6:17 AM
To: GO159Areports@cpuc.ca.gov
Cc: westareacpuc@verizonwireless.com; CPC.Wireless@sfgov.org; Administrator, City (ADM) <city.administrator@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; jennifer.navarro@verizonwireless.com
Subject: CPUC - Verizon Wireless - City of San Francisco-SF UM PH3 SC285 - A-302627

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This is to provide your agency with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of

the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) see attachment.
This notice is being provided pursuant to Section IV.C.2.

Jun 09, 2022

Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
GO159Areports@cpuc.ca.gov

RE: Notification Letter for SF UM PH3 SC285 - A
San Francisco, CA /GTE Mobilnet California LP

This is to provide the Commission with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("CPUC") for the project described in Attachment A.

A copy of this notification letter is also being provided to the appropriate local government agency for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with any of the information contained herein, please contact the representative below.

Verizon Wireless

Ann Goldstein
Coordinator RE & Compliance - West Territory
1515 Woodfield Road, #1400
Schaumburg, IL 60173
WestAreaCPUC@VerizonWireless.com

JURISDICTION	PLANNING MANAGER	CITY MANAGER	CITY CLERK	DIRECTOR OF SCHOOL BOARD	COUNTY
City of San Francisco	CPC.Wireless@sfgov.org	city.administrator@sfgov.org	Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org		San Francisco

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		SF UM PH3 SC285 - A		525 Golden Gate Ave, San Francisco , CA94102		Public Lighting Structure (free standing)	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°46'51.039"N	122°25'7.09"W(83)	302627	Antenna Rad: 31' 2.75	32' 2.5	Zoning	11/04/2020	

Project Description: Remove & Replace new light pole, Installation (1) Canister Antenna house in shroud; (2) Hybrid couplers; (2) MRRUs;

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 3 Letters Regarding File #220558
Date: Thursday, June 9, 2022 11:48:00 AM
Attachments: [3 Letters Regarding File #220558.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

File #220558 - Hearing on the near term funding needs to implement the 2021 San Francisco Climate Action Plan; and requesting the Department of the Environment to report.

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184 | (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Susan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: request to BOS: increase SFE funding in budget
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 5:54:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please add the San Francisco Department of Environment (SFE) request for \$4.7M for fiscal year (FY) 22-23, and \$6.3M for FY23-24 to the approved budget to fund people to measure baselines and improvements associated with San Francisco's 2022 Climate Action Plan and Biodiversity Resolution.

San Francisco's only biodiversity and healthy ecosystem measurement is the number of native street trees that we have, which are a tiny 628 trees out of 125,000 trees. Less than 1% of San Francisco's trees are native trees. San Francisco's natural resources department has few people to manage our precious natural areas.

San Francisco cannot claim to be a green city or to be taking action to mitigate biodiversity loss and climate change without measurements to manage the results of our actions.

Only by measuring compliance with the Climate Action Plan can the city of San Francisco become accountable to the people of San Francisco for actions or inactions to improve San Francisco's responses to biodiversity loss and climate change.

Thank you
Susan Karasoff
1725 Mason
District 3 resident

From: [SFUN](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston_Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Safai_Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton_Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Mar_Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin_Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen_Hillary](#); [Stefani_Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Breed_Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: BOS Budget hearing - June 8, 2022 - Support for funding for Climate Action Plan, including Tree Planting
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 5:35:59 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[SFUN - Support CAP funding for SFE and Tree Planting funding.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



San Franciscans for Urban Nature (c)

DATE: June 7, 2022
To: San Francisco Board of Supervisors
CC: Mayor London Breed
Subject: Support for SF Environment's request for funding to implement the 2021 SF Climate Action Plan

San Franciscans for Urban Nature (SFUN) writes this letter in support of SF Environment's request for sufficient funding to implement the 2021 San Francisco Climate Action Plan. The Climate Action Plan was created by mandate in response to the City's 2019 Declaration that we are in a Climate Emergency. SF Environment spent two years carefully crafting this Plan based on extensive research, science, data, and community input. SF Environment's most recent funding request – a request for \$11 million dollars from the City's \$100 million budget surplus - is minimal when one considers the enormous amount of work that will be required to promote climate equity and to address the irreversible and existential threat of climate change.

The 2015 Urban Forest Plan is yet another set of unfunded, unenforceable goals. The current budget fails to provide any funding for tree planting under that plan. SFUN asks that funding of \$5 million for tree planting be allocated, in order to achieve a resilient and sustainable City for future generations.

We understand that our City faces a mounting number of challenges. Nothing, however, is more threatening to our existence than climate change. For this reason, SFUN strongly urges the Board of Supervisors to fund SF Environment's request, as well the currently unfunded San Francisco Urban Forest Plan, which is a key component of the Climate Action Plan.

Thank you for your consideration.

From: [Adam Klaus](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Groffenberger, Ashley \(MYR\)](#)
Subject: Re: Petition Delivery - Mayor Breed: don't ignore climate in your budget
Date: Thursday, June 2, 2022 3:01:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor Breed-

I was extremely disappointed to receive no response or acknowledgment of our petition, and I was even more dismayed to see that you refused to fund any of the Department of the Environment's modest request in your budget released yesterday. In today's day and age, this is tantamount to climate denial.

If you have an alternate explanation for how you intend to implement the city's ambitious Climate Action Plan without funding SFE, please share. Otherwise, I'll be reporting back to nearly 400 petition signers, as well as reaching out to local media, that you are uninterested in doing the real work to address the climate crisis in San Francisco, and we will look to the Board of Supervisors to provide funding. Heading into what promises to be a frightening fire season, we will have ample opportunity to bring into focus the ways in which your leadership is failing.

On behalf of the people of San Francisco and our fragile planet,

Adam Klaus and the San Francisco Climate Emergency Coalition

On Tue, May 24, 2022 at 5:36 PM Adam Klaus <adam@adamklaus.net> wrote:

Dear Mayor Breed,

Please find attached a petition with 369 signatures, asking that you commit to funding the San Francisco Department of the Environment's request for \$3.2 million in your 2022-2023 budget to begin implementing the city's approved Climate Action Plan. We are in a climate emergency, and we don't have any time to lose in taking real measures to address it, beginning here in San Francisco.

While some of the signatories are not your constituents, climate change does not care about borders. People across the Bay Area, state, and country are looking to San Francisco to lead. If we can't do it here -- in a city with a proud tradition of progressive environmental policies -- where can we?

Skipping funding for the Climate Action Plan would be unconscionable and push us even closer to the precipice. Budgets are statements of priorities. As we enter another fire season after another dry winter, we are watching closely to understand if yours are on the side of taking meaningful action to heal our planet.

Sincerely,

Adam Klaus and San Francisco Climate Emergency Coalition on behalf of 369 petition signers

<https://sign.moveon.org/petitions/mayor-breed-don-t-ignore-climate-in-your-budget>

San Francisco declared a climate emergency in 2019 and approved a detailed, ambitious Climate Action Plan (CAP) at the end of 2021. To have any hope of successfully implementing it, we need to start now.

The city's Department of the Environment has requested a modest \$3.2 million for staff and programs related to the CAP for the 2022-2023 budget cycle. Amazingly, Mayor Breed has not yet committed to this funding in her budget, to be released at the end of May.

After another dry winter and with fire season looming, San Franciscans know that inaction is not an option. We call on Mayor Breed to stop ignoring the climate crisis and include \$3.2 million for SF Environment in her 2022-2023 budget.

Why is this important?

Anybody who woke up in San Francisco under the orange sky on September 9, 2020 knows that climate change is undeniably upon us. But if we start now, there are still many things we can do to turn the tide and avoid the worst impacts. In our city that means rapidly switching our homes and vehicles off of fossil fuels and moving to cleaner, safer, electric alternatives powered by renewable energy; expanding transit; strengthening our ecosystems; and protecting and empowering the communities that will face the worst impacts.

San Franciscans are ready to step up and do our part, and we need support and help from our city's leadership. The Climate Action Plan lays out clear strategies and action points for reducing our carbon emissions. Now, we need the Mayor and other city leaders to commit the funding to implement it.

Delay at this point is inexcusable -- the longer we wait, the harder it gets to avoid the increasingly threatening consequences of a hotter planet. San Francisco has a long history of innovation and a strong progressive tradition. We should be leading the country and the world into a healthier, safer, more resilient future.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: File #220558 - Hearing - Climate Action Plan Funding
Date: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 2:10:00 PM

Arthur Khoo
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-7708 | (415) 554-5163
arthur.khoo@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

-----Original Message-----

From: Janet Carpinelli <jc@jcarpinelli.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 11:52 AM
To: Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Jalipa, Brent (BOS) <brent.jalipa@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: item 4-Today Budget and Appropriations Comm meeting Climate Action

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Walton, Supervisor Mar and all of the Board of Supervisors, Brent Jalipa- Clerk for there BOD

I am a 40 year resident of District 10 and previously of other Districts in SF. I am writing to urge the Supervisors to act now and support the Dept of Environment's request for a modest \$11M Budget allocation for San Francisco to begin to implement its approved Climate Action Plan. We must act now. We are in a Climate Crisis which cannot be denied any longer. In true San Francisco spirit, be part of the solution before it is too late!

We need the modest \$11M over two years to begin to staff up and start working on the CAP. I am shocked and disappointed that our Mayor chose not to include this small request in her budget - \$11 million out of an over \$27 billion two-year budget. This is short-sighted and dangerous. With that view of the environment, the Mayor is also unlikely to fund the Grand Jury recommendations about addressing the decades-old toxic and radioactive waste at Hunters Point Shipyard. We must not only fund this tiny request, but we, as a City, must face the challenge of funding all the actions necessary to reduce our emissions and bring us to climate safety.

Please act now for the good of our future and that of our children and upcoming generations! We cannot leave them alone to deal with this catastrophe which has been building for the past 100 years. We are on the brink of disaster.

You can help. Vote to put the \$11M back into the budget.

Thank you for your much needed assistance!

Sincerely,
Janet Carpinelli
Minnesota St., SF

From: [Richard Skaff](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Administrator, City \(ADM\)](#); [Bohn, Nicole \(ADM\)](#); [Kaplan, Deborah \(ADM\)](#); [California Department of Justice](#)
Subject: Re: San Francisco - Apparent Access Violations in Chinatown Businesses Access Lawsuits //Apparent Access Violations - New Transbay Terminal
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 10:27:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor Breed.

Well, now that the City's District Attorney, Mr. Boudin, will be leaving his position soon, maybe you, the Board of Supervisors, and the Department of Building Inspection will respond to the emails I sent Mr. Boudin about apparent access violations in Chinatown and the new Transbay Terminal.

I've attached the string of emails I sent Mr. Boudin, and will be happy to respond to any questions you, the Board, and/or the Building Department might have.

Thank you.

Richard Skaff, Executive Director
Designing Accessible Communities
Cell: 707-755-1681
Email: richardskaff1@gmail.com

***"Get in good trouble, necessary trouble,
and redeem the soul of America"***

A statement made by civil rights
leader, John Lewis

***"Fighting Hate
Teaching Tolerance
Seeking Justice"***

The Southern Poverty Law Center

From: **Richard Skaff** <richardskaff1@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, Feb 9, 2022, 12:16 AM
Subject: San Francisco - Access Violations in Chinatown Businesses Access Lawsuits
To: <districtattorney@sfgov.org>

Cc: California Department of Justice <PIU.PIU@doj.ca.gov>, San Francisco Board of Supervisors <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

District Attorney Boudin.

Well, it's been quite some time since I sent you the attached email (Friday, July 23, 2021, to be exact!), but I have yet to receive a response from you with the answers to the questions I asked in my email. Is this your typical modus operandi? I'm quite surprised by your rudeness!

My questions were simple and shouldn't require a great amount of effort on your or your staff's part to respond to them. They include:

1. **Have you or your staff** been to all of those (Chinatown) businesses to inspect them to see if, in fact, the claims relating to California Building Code, Title 24 access codes and federal access standards within the Americans with Disabilities Act really exist?
2. If you did have an expert with you during your visits to the Chinatown businesses, did that person or any of your staff document any of what was found in writing and photos?
3. Are you basing your following statement on information from your staff who are building code professionals and have specific knowledge and expertise in state and federal accessibility codes and standards, or are you just making public statements like, *"We are concerned that potentially frivolous lawsuits not only fail to promote accessibility or disability rights, but instead force businesses to either shut down or provide payouts to lawyers who have no interest in promoting disability rights."*

In my July 2021 email to you, I also raised concerns about the many apparent access violations in the new San Francisco Transbay Terminal. Have you and/or your staff made any effort to investigate my concerns? If not, why not? I've pasted the list of apparent state and federal access code/standard violations below my attached July 23, 2021 email.

I hope that this time, you will respond to this email and provide me with the questions I've included in it. To be sure I get a response this time, please accept this email as a formal Public Records Act request.

Thank you.

Richard Skaff, Executive Director
Designing Accessible Communities
Cell: 707-755-1681
Email: richardskaff1@gmail.com

***"Get in good trouble, necessary trouble,
and redeem the soul of America"***

A statement made by civil rights leader, John Lewis

**"Fighting Hate
Teaching Tolerance
Seeking Justice"**

The Southern Poverty Law Center

From: Richard Skaff <richardskaff1@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, July 23, 2021 6:46 PM

To: districtattorney@sfgov.org

Subject: Recent Article - Comments By District Attorney Boudin

District Attorney Boudin,

I read, with great concern, the many comments made by you in the following article:

<https://sanfrancisco.cbslocal.com/2021/07/22/chinatown-ada-lawsuits-potentially-frivolous-da-chesa-boudin-investigates/>

Because I haven't had an opportunity to visit each of the sites spoken about in the article, I want to preface what I say here with the statement that without looking at each business in Chinatown that has received letters regarding apparent access violations, it would be almost impossible for me or anyone else to determine if those letters were well founded or not. And that's really what concerns me about the comments you and apparently your staff have made. Here's just one:

District Attorney Chesa Boudin's office is launching an investigation after his office said "several Chinatown merchants were hit **by potentially fake lawsuits** and so-called "demand letters."

Mr. Boudin. Have you or your staff been to all of those businesses to inspect them to see if, in fact, the claims relating to California Building Code, Title 24 access codes and federal access standards within the Americans with Disabilities Act really exist?

If you've actually visited the sites in question, was there anyone with you and your staff who is a known state and federal access code and standards expert? If you did have an expert with you during your visits to the Chinatown businesses, did that person or any of your staff document any of what was found in writing and photos?

On the other hand, if you've made the statements as described in the attached article without doing your due diligence, shame on you.

The article quotes at least one Chinatown business owner as saying that their business met all of the requirements of the City Building Department. One would then expect that their building/business was in compliance with all state and federal accessibility codes and standards as well as all other required codes. Well, if you and they really believe that, you need to take your head out of the sand and do a bit of digging! You and your staff will be surprised with what you find. During my 15 year tenure with the City, violations of state and federal access codes and standards were blatant in both City owned buildings and facilities and privately owned buildings open to the public. And that problem continues to exist. Are you aware of the many state and federal access code & standards violations that presently exist in the New Transbay Terminal? I won't bother you with the details - just know that there are many, and that's a recently built structure!

The first position I created within the City was a Chief Building Inspector. That position was created after the City Building Superintendent (that position Title now is Building Official) had one of his Chief Building Inspectors survey a large number of both City owned buildings and privately owned buildings open to the public. That survey found an across the board 80% failure with compliance of State access codes (it was around 1988 and the federal Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) was not a law until 1990)! In that position, I was responsible for the training of Building Inspectors and plan checkers. I also trained the City architects and engineers about State Access Code requirements. I was also responsible for the enforcement of California Building Code , Title 24 in both City owned buildings and privately owned buildings open to the public. In 1991, once the ADA became the federal civil rights law, I was asked to become the Department of Public Works (DPW) ADA Coordinator. At that point, the Building Official asked that I take over all accessibility code enforcement for City owned buildings and facilities, which I did. I oversaw 13 Bureaus within DPW and oversaw (plan and site review) of all City projects. Then, in 1998, Mayor Brown asked me to open the Mayor's Office on Disability, which I did as

Deputy Director with Walter Park, who Mayor Brown appointed as Director. My role changed pretty dramatically at that point because I was responsible to assure that all City departments and their programs, policies, and procedures were in compliance with the ADA and their physical projects complied with both state and federal access codes and standards.

I tell you that so you will have a better understanding of my background as it relates to both physical and "programmatic" access. Based on my professional background, I would never make a statement like your without doing my due diligence - and not depend on hearsay.

With all of that said, if those businesses that received letters regarding apparent state or federal access code/standard violations, someone from the City with actual state and federal accessibility codes and standards expertise should immediately be directed to inspect each business and if the access violations exist as described in the correspondence the business received, well then there's a problem. And the problem either exists because the business did physical modifications to their building without the required permits or the Building Department failed in its responsibility to properly enforce state access codes (the Building Department doesn't have the authority to enforce federal access standards).

And finally, based on the many access codes and standards violations I find on a daily basis, this outcome is not a surprise. When local Building Departments don't enforce access codes correctly or in some cases, at all, the only other option left for an individual to obtain the required access is to file a lawsuit. That is, in fact the way the Americans with Disabilities Act was created. The only federal agency with the authority to enforce the ADA is the U.S. Department of Justice. Based on their ability (budget and staffing) the Civil Rights Division only takes on very few but large cases each year.

And since physical access in the built environment is now finally recognized nationally as a civil right and not just a building code issue, when a person with a disability attempts to access a building or facility (or a portion of a building or facility) but is unable to because of the lack of the required access features, this becomes a violation of that person's civil rights.

Once again I ask, are you basing your statements on information from your staff who are building code professionals who have specific knowledge and expertise in state and federal accessibility codes and standards, or are you just making public statements like, *"We are concerned that potentially frivolous lawsuits not only fail to promote accessibility or disability rights, but instead force businesses to either shut down or provide payouts to lawyers who have no interest in promoting disability rights."*

"We're going to take all the information we gather and conduct a potential criminal investigation into these abuses of legal process." as a political statement to garner support from the business community for your future political career?

I look forward to your timely response.

Thank you.

²Richard Skaff, Executive Director
Designing Accessible Communities

Email:

richardskaff1@gmail.com

Cell: (707)-755-1681

"Get in good trouble, necessary trouble, and redeem the soul of America."

A statement made by civil rights leader, John Lewis.

"Fighting Hate

Teaching Tolerance

Seeking Justice" | The

Southern Poverty Law Center

Salesforce Transit Center:

1: Roof deck Park: There is only one high and one low drinking fountain and they are located in completely different locations. I did not have time to observe water flow height and direction or measure clearances, operating force, etc. The steps at the amphitheater stage do not provide the required visual contrasting stripe on each nosing and the surface of the risers are rough and irregular stone. Amphitheater provides no accessible route in the lawn area and no accessible route between the lawn area and the stage. Not all doors are provided with 24 inches pull side clearance at exterior landings. The central lawn area does not provide an accessible route into it for persons with disabilities to enjoy being immersed in that space - only have access to the perimeter walkway. Spiky foliage overhanging walkways may be a hazard to persons who have vision

disabilities.

2: All tables, all floors including the Park: No tables are accessible. Tables and chairs located on pedestrian routes are a potential hazard to persons who have vision disabilities.

3: All restrooms, all floors including the Park: The lower edge of the mirrors above the lavatories appear to be greater than 40 inches, but I did not measure them. A full height mirror could be provided. Not all accessible stalls have an ISA sign.

4. Two internal stairways flanking an escalator; The riser faces are not flush - the tread and landing nosings overhang the perforated metal riser faces abruptly.

5. Bus deck level and ground level bus stops; Signs provided at each stop lack tactile / braille signs.

6. Bus deck level; Two "employee only" curb ramps at bus path pedestrian crossing provided at SE corner of the building interior do not have flared sides or other edge protection, just a vertical edge along each side of the curb ramps. Ramps have detectable warning on the entire surface, not just the lower 3 feet. Vertical orange stick type of temporary barriers and signage are useless for persons who have vision disabilities.

7. Ground level pedestrian areas;

7a. Utility covers (everywhere) have depressions, gaps, depressions around surface bolts and recessed handles that do not meet accessibility criteria. Greater than 1/2 inch wide, greater than 1/4 inch abrupt level change or 1/2 inch if beveled or a sphere greater than 1/2 inch diameter can pass into or through. Linear openings, such as at PG&E manhole covers, are not oriented to be perpendicular to the direction of travel.

7b. Bus stops area, east end of building; Bollard in sidewalk along the north side of the bus area near Beale Street prevents passage by persons with disabilities - less than required 48 inch clear width for new facilities.

7c. Bus island pedestrian refuge near Fremont Street lacks flush detectable warnings at each end of the refuge. Curb ramp leading to the pedestrian refuge from the bus island has detectable warnings that must be removed since it is not adjoining an area of hazard to pedestrians. Reach range to pedestrian push button for a user in the pedestrian refuge is too far away (10 inches max. horizontal reach range to ped push buttons) and may be greater than 48 inches high above the refuge walking surface.

7d. Bus stops area, east end of building; Angled directional curb ramp at the south end of the crosswalk on the east side of Fremont is less than 48 inches wide and the flared side on the east side is greater than 10% cross-slope.

7e. Pedestrian push button boxes are not always oriented to be in-line with the direction of the crosswalk and are not always set to a volume that is audible.

7f. Bollards throughout the facility are spaced with less than 48 inches of clear space between them, including at crosswalk areas, within curb ramps and at passenger loading and parking areas.

7g. There is a curb ramp at the west side of the mid-block area of Fremont Street but there is no curb ramp at the opposite east side of Fremont Street. However the change in concrete color indicates that one may have been there and removed / filled in.

7h. There are no detectable warnings where Shaw Alley pedestrian Paseo meets Minna Street. The curb ramp provided at the south side of that pedestrian crossing has a lip at the gutter - its not flush along the whole width of the wide curb ramp.

7i. At the pedestrian Paseo to the west of the Shaw Alley Paseo, where it meets Minna Street, there are no curb ramps provided at either side of Minna Street. This area is at the westernmost block of the facility. (This is a pedestrian route and was always intended to be by the Planning Dept., however there is no marked pedestrian crossing and no curb ramps!)

7j. Street level passenger loading and parking areas; None meet accessibility criteria. No parking spaces are marked with blue curb to indicate accessibility, although vehicles are parking there with no driver present. The passenger loading areas are not indicated with signage to be accessible. The curb ramps are not 4 feet in clear

width due to the bollard in the curb ramps having less than 4 feet between them. At one loading area there is a bollard located directly at the top of a curb ramp in the required top landing area. There is therefore no accessible route from the vehicle level to the sidewalk level at any of these locations. The distance between the bollards and the curb and the clearance between the bollards prevent directly deploying a lift or ramp from a vehicle directly onto the sidewalk. No vehicle level passenger loading aisle is marked on the surface next to the vehicle space.

7k. Bicycles and scooters are apparently being allowed to be locked or otherwise leaned onto the pedestrian guidance railings located below the diagonal columns. Those railings are intended to prevent persons who have vision disabilities from hitting their heads on those diagonal columns. The bikes and scooters interfere with pedestrian travel.

7l. Food trucks and kiosks; Awnings make overhanging and / or protruding hazards to pedestrians. Cable ramps are not accessible - too steep and probably not slip-resistant enough. Food trucks include a box truck that is parked on a sidewalk area, presenting an obstacle to persons who have vision disabilities and a hazard due to protruding elements such as side mirrors.

THIS LIST MUST NOT BE CONSIDERED TO BE A COMPLETE LIST OF ALL POSSIBLE APPARENT ACCESS VIOLATIONS OR A COMPLETE SITE INSPECTION

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication with its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws including the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.

From: [Julien DeFrance](#)
To: [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [SFPD Northern Station, \(POL\)](#); info@lowerpolkcbd.org; [Lower Neighbors](#); [PeskinStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Souza, Sarah \(BOS\)](#); [Lu, Shih-Wei \(DPW\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Chris Schulman](#); [Hepner, Lee \(BOS\)](#); [Boudin, Chesa \(DAT\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: A statement on the Recall of Chesa Boudin
Date: Tuesday, June 7, 2022 9:34:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

May you all learn all of the lessons from this election!

Enough with all of this lawlessness, enough with all of this crime, enough with homelessness, shoplifting, bike/car/package theft, enough with crime in general.

We want accountability.

We want Justice.

And we want thieves and criminals prosecuted and in jail.

We deserve a cleaner and safer San Francisco.

NOW do your goddamn job!

Or else face the same consequences as Chesa!

From: Recall Chesa Boudin Team HQ <office@recallchesaboudin.org>

Date: June 7, 2022 at 20:45:18 PDT

Subject: Julien a statement on the Recall of Chesa Boudin

Reply-To: office@recallchesaboudin.org

Statement from Recall Committee chairman Richie Greenberg

Election Day, Tuesday, June 7, 2022

This recall is a bittersweet effort, one which we should never have had to undertake in the first place. We shouldn't be popping champagne bottles. People have died under Chesa Boudin's watch. Lives have been ruined, families broken, business shuttered.

The voters of San Francisco have united, across the spectrum left-center- right- and independents. We have come together to send a resounding NO to Boudin's absurd rhetoric and excuses.

Let this recall send a clear message to the rest of City Hall officials, that we are unhappy with their governance. Polls recently shows dimly low approval ratings for the Board of Supervisors, who need to take a hard look in the mirror and consider

actual changes they each need to make in addressing our city's myriad issues.

The recall of Chesa Boudin, this rogue, so-called "Progressive DA" who clearly didn't embrace his required elected role to actually prosecute - his recall should send a clear message across the nation - that serving as District Attorney yet not holding criminals accountable is a dereliction of duty. Its fraud, a heinous misuse of public trust, it's dangerous, and highly destructive.

Let's also be absolutely clear, that this recall effort was not using any GOP playbook as some detractors have said. This is people-powered, its local voters flabbergasted by the gross negligence and malicious pro-crime, pro-drug dealer, pro-attacks against Asian communities and the rhetoric of the disgraced Chesa Boudin. To make real change in San Francisco, Boudin himself must be held accountable, because he refused to hold criminals accountable.

This recall was a very tough undertaking, beyond collecting a huge number of signatures to qualify for the ballot. Our effort has pitted voters against the machine of San Francisco politics. The machine which is in many aspects, corrupt, with nepotism, with no-bid contracts, with payoffs, with protecting of drug dealers, with denial we have a drug addiction problem and mental illness problem and we have a very big problem with ultra-left wing elected officials in city hall who they themselves have perpetuated this malignancy in the city which we love.

I thank each and every voter, I thank all the volunteers who worked countless hours. I thank the committees who've worked collecting signatures last year to qualify this recall for the ballot. I thank all the thousands of donors who made the recall possible. And we must pay tribute to all those victims who died under Chesa Boudin's watch, including Hanako Abe and Elizabeth Platt, the two women whose deaths New Years Eve 2020/2021 were the impetus to begin this recall effort.

###



Contact us:
office@RecallChesaBoudin.org

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Residential Rent Stabilization
Date: Thursday, June 9, 2022 8:28:00 AM
Attachments: [Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Board Annual Report.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Hello,

Please see attached Annual Report on Eviction Notices from the Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Board.

Regards,

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184 | (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

City and County of San Francisco



DAVID GRUBER
PRESIDENT

DAVE CROW
RICHARD HUNG
ASHLEY KLEIN
CATHY MOSBRUCKER
KENT QIAN
KION SAWNEY
ARTHUR TOM
DAVID WASSERMAN

Residential Rent Stabilization
and Arbitration Board

London N. Breed
Mayor

Christina A. Varner
Acting Executive Director

April 29, 2022

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors, Room 244
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Rent Board Annual Report on Eviction Notices

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Pursuant to Section 37.6(j) of the Rent Ordinance, Chapter 37 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, the Rent Board is providing its annual report on the number of eviction notices filed with the Department. During the period from March 1, 2021 through February 28, 2022, a total of 1,048 eviction notices were filed with the Department. This figure includes 172 notices given due to failure to pay rent, which are not required to be filed with the Department. Most categories of notices saw increased filings or modest decreases. The largest percentage increase was in roommate eviction notices which increased from 6 to 21 notices. Unapproved subtenant eviction notices increased from 12 to 36 notices. Eviction notices for Non-payment of rent increased from 88 to 172 notices. Notices based on breach of rental agreement increased from 100 to 161. Owner Move in notices increased from 29 to 45. Nuisance eviction notices increased from 361 to 452. The largest percentage decrease was in eviction notices for Capital Improvements which went down from 28 to 25 notices, followed by eviction notices for Ellis which decreased from 74 to 72 notices. The 1,048 total notices filed with the Department this year represents a 43% increase from last year's total of 733.

The list on the following page gives the total number of eviction notices filed with the Department, the stated reason for the eviction and the applicable Ordinance section.

♻️ Printed on 100% post-consumer recycled paper

Page 2
Rent Board Annual Eviction Report

<u>Number</u>	<u>Reason</u>	<u>Ordinance Section</u>
172	non-payment of rent	37.9(a)(1)
2	habitual late payment of rent	37.9(a)(1)
161	breach of rental agreement	37.9(a)(2)
452	committing a nuisance	37.9(a)(3)
9	illegal use of rental unit	37.9(a)(4)
3	failure to renew agreement	37.9(a)(5)
7	failure to permit landlord access	37.9(a)(6)
36	unapproved subtenant	37.9(a)(7)
45	owner/relative move-in	37.9(a)(8)
2	condo conversion sale	37.9(a)(9)
15	demolish or remove from housing use	37.9(a)(10)
25	capital improvement work	37.9(a)(11)
0	substantial rehabilitation	37.9(a)(12)
74	Ellis (withdrawal of unit)	37.9(a)(13)
0	lead remediation	37.9(a)(14)
0	development agreement	37.9(a)(15)
0	good samaritan	37.9(a)(16)
21	roommate eviction	37.9(b)
24	other or no reason given	

1048 Total Eviction Notices

The increase or decrease since last year for each just cause (excluding categories for which filing is not required or where the department did not receive at least 10 notices in 2021-22) is as follows:


<u>Just Cause Reason</u>	<u>2020/21</u>	<u>2021/22</u>	<u>Change</u>
Roommate eviction	6	21	+250%
Unapproved subtenant	12	36	+200%
Breach of rental agreement	100	161	+61%
Owner/relative move-in	29	45	+55%
Nuisance	361	452	+25%
Other	20	24	+20%
Ellis withdrawal of unit	72	74	+3%
Capital improvement	28	25	-11%
Demolish or remove from housing	0	15	NaN

During the period March 1, 2021 - February 28, 2022, tenants filed a total of 131 Reports of Alleged Wrongful Eviction with the Rent Board. Of the 131 reports filed, 17 involved school-age children, with 8 reports relating to evictions occurring during the school term. Of the 131 total reports, 12 specifically objected to no-fault evictions, and 2 of these 12 reports involved school-age children, with zero reports relating to evictions occurring during the school term.

Page 3
Rent Board Annual Eviction Report

This eviction report and eviction reports from prior years can also be found on our web site under "Statistics", under the link entitled "Annual Eviction Report." A monthly breakdown of all eviction filings by category is also enclosed with this report. Please call me at (415) 252-4650 should you have any questions concerning this report.

Sincerely,



Christina Varner
Acting Executive Director
Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Board

Mayor London N. Breed
Supervisor Shamann Walton
Supervisor Connie Chan
Supervisor Catherine Stefani
Supervisor Aaron Peskin
Supervisor Gordon Mar
Supervisor Dean Preston
Supervisor Matt Haney
Supervisor Rafael Mandelman
Supervisor Hillary Ronen
Supervisor Myrna Melgar
Supervisor Ahsha Safai
Commissioner David G. Gruber
Commissioner Dave Crow
Commissioner Richard Hung
Commissioner Ashley Klein
Commissioner Cathy Mosbrucker
Commissioner Kion Sawney
Commissioner Arthur Tom
Commissioner Kent Qian
Commissioner David Wasserman
Library Documents Dept.



Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Board
City & County Of San Francisco

Annual Eviction Notice Report

3/1/2021 Through 2/28/2022

Cause For Eviction	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Total
Non-payment of Rent	7	6	4	7	8	12	25	22	28	22	18	13	172
Habitual Late Payment of Rent	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Breach of Lease Agreement	5	9	17	16	16	16	18	12	9	12	10	21	161
Nuisance	36	32	30	35	36	28	32	42	50	36	48	47	452
Illegal Use of Unit	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	3	3	0	0	0	9
Failure to Sign Lease Renewal.	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Denial of Access to Unit	0	0	3	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	7
Unapproved Subtenant	5	1	2	2	3	1	3	5	2	0	8	4	36
Owner Move In	5	1	2	4	1	3	3	4	3	3	4	12	45
Condo Conversion	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Demolition	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	10	0	0	3	0	15
Capital Improvement	2	0	0	0	2	2	3	2	5	6	2	1	25
Substantial Rehabilitation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ellis Act Withdrawal	4	15	2	2	5	3	4	8	6	5	2	18	74
Lead Remediation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Development Agreement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Good Samaritan Tenancy Ends	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Roommate Living in Same Unit	2	2	0	0	0	2	1	3	1	1	6	3	21
Other	4	0	3	2	1	1	1	1	5	1	2	3	24
Total	71	67	64	71	72	72	93	114	112	86	103	123	1048

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: San Francisco Recreation and Parks - SF Marina West Harbor Dredging Project Emergency Contract - Declaration of Emergency
Date: Thursday, June 9, 2022 8:32:00 AM
Attachments: [SF Marina West Harbor Dredging Project.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Hello,

Please see attached for a Declaration of Emergency for an Emergency Contract from San Francisco Recreation and Parks.

Regards,

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184 | (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*



London N. Breed, Mayor
Philip A. Ginsburg, General Manager

May 19, 2022

Mayor London N. Breed
City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Rm. 200
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Rm. 244, Attention: Ms. Angela Calvillo
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Mr. Ben Rosenfield, Controller
City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, Rm. 316
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102


**Subject: SF Marina West Harbor Dredging Project
Emergency Contract - Declaration of Emergency**

Dear Mayor Breed, Members of the Board and Mr. Rosenfield:

Pursuant to Section 6.60(b) of the San Francisco Administrative Code, you are hereby notified that in my capacity as the appropriate Department Head, I have declared an emergency exists at San Francisco Marina West Harbor, San Francisco, California, due to significant and unexpected building up of sand in the channel resulting in hazards for boaters as they enter and exit the harbor. It was not appropriate to go out to bid on the project because of the urgency of the matter. The estimated cost of this work is \$650,000.

Pre-dredge surveys performed each year have shown that the volume of annual dredging in the West Harbor Entrance Channel have increased from 11,268 cubic yards in 2018 to 29,998 cubic yards in 2021, and has led to a rapid narrowing & shallowing of the access lane for boaters into the SF Marina West Harbor.

Sincerely,

DocuSigned by:

AF27F6596709494...

Philip Ginsburg
General Manager, Recreation and Park Department

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Housing issues follow up
Date: Thursday, June 9, 2022 2:11:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Arthur Khoo

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184 | (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Dennis Hong <dennisjames888@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 2:24 PM
To: MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Hillis, Rich (CPC) <rich.hillis@sfgov.org>; Race, Patrick (CPC) <patrick.race@sfgov.org>; Gibson, Lisa (CPC) <lisa.gibson@sfgov.org>; White, Elizabeth (CPC) <elizabeth.white@sfgov.org>
Subject: Housing issues follow up

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning Honorable Supervisor Myrna Melgar and everyone, Its me, Dennis. I

hope you and all are doing well. As one of my volunteer projects and my civic responsibility duties - as promised a while back (2012) I'm continuing to review and comment on various DEIR-IS etc.

Right now I will be following up on the Case No: 2019-016230ENV – SF Housing Element 2022 come 6/9/2022 on its “adequacy”. Waiting for the hard copy right now. As usual, the Planning Department is Spot On. In addition to this one, following up on the NOP (??) for the Stonestown Shopping Center on 19th ave., which I fully support and did some comments a while back PPA (Brookfieldproperties, Feb 9, 2021). But was unable to get any thing from the Planning Departments www.

I'm sorry my email/s and communication are piece meal.

As mentioned earlier with today's tech, online etc. because of the size of these documents its playing a number on my internet over here. My system is weak. The COVID/Pandemic keeps me in place and not able to get down to your offices. Real nice job at yesterdays Board meeting 6/7/2022 ref to the complicated housing issues. But let's just do one issue at a time here. This San Francisco Housing requirement/s units has been difficult track. And now (??) with I think SF's missed opportunity/for funds and fines makes it difficult to meet. But I still have some time to finish my comments (till June 20, 2022 on this DEIR comments period).

With all that said, I had a difficult time getting a clear map of all the new BoS's district map. The download was not defined enough or clear as to where the boundaries are. Can you or your staff help me here?

Thanks to all for reading my rambling emails here. Looking forward to your thoughts here here good or bad.

Sincerely,

Dennis



DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. 2020-02h

**DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO REGARDING
COVID-19 ISOLATION AND QUARANTINE**

(PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY DIRECTIVE)

DATE OF DIRECTIVE: June 6, 2022

Summary: SARS-CoV-2, the virus that causes Coronavirus 2019 Disease (“COVID-19”), can still be easily transmitted, regardless of vaccination status. This combined directive lists the current isolation rules and quarantine recommendations and requirements in the City and County of San Francisco (the “City”) that apply to all people in the City in order to reduce the spread of COVID-19.

UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE SECTIONS 101040, 101085, 120175, 120215, 120220, AND 120225 THE HEALTH OFFICER OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO (“HEALTH OFFICER”) DIRECTS AS FOLLOWS:

1. Definitions.

- a. *CDC.* “CDC” means the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
- b. *CDPH.* “CDPH” means the California Department of Public Health.
- c. *Close Contact.* “Close Contact” means sharing the same indoor airspace with a Person With COVID-19 for a total of 15 minutes or more in a 24-hour period while the person is contagious. A person is considered contagious if they *either* (i) had symptoms, from 48 hours before their symptoms began until at least five days after the start of symptoms, *or* (ii) did not have symptoms but learned they were COVID-19 positive from a test, from 48 hours before their COVID-19 test was collected until five days after they were tested. (Note that Cal/OSHA may have different rules regarding being a close contact in the workplace, and those rules apply in the workplace setting.)

A health care professional who provides treatment to a Person With COVID-19 is not considered a Close Contact as long as the health care professional was wearing appropriate personal protective equipment during the contact as defined by their institution.

- d. *Isolate and Isolation.* “Isolation” means to avoid all contact with other people, including by trying to limit contact with other members of the same household, for the limited period described in this Directive while a person is a Person with COVID-19. To “isolate” means to be in Isolation.
- e. *Person With COVID-19.* “Person With COVID-19” means a person who tests positive for the virus that causes COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2) or has been clinically diagnosed with COVID-19 by a healthcare provider. A person is no longer considered a Person With



HEALTH OFFICER DIRECTIVE No. 2020-02h

COVID-19 once all of the following occur: (a) at least one (1) day (24 hours) has passed since their last fever (without use of fever-reducing medications), and (b) there has been improvement of other symptoms, and (c) at least five (5) days have passed since symptoms first appeared. A person who tested positive for COVID-19 but never had symptoms is no longer considered a Person With COVID-19 five (5) days after the date of their first positive test.

- f. *Quarantine*. “Quarantine” means to avoid all contact with other people, including by trying to limit contact with other members of the same household, for the limited period described in this Directive either immediately after being exposed to COVID-19 due to being a Close Contact.
- g. *Up-to-Date on Vaccination*. “Up-to-Date on Vaccination” means (i) two weeks after a person has completed the entire recommended initial series of vaccination (usually one or two doses) with a vaccine authorized to prevent COVID-19 by the FDA, including by way of an emergency use authorization, or by the World Health Organization (WHO) (also defined as being Vaccinated with a Complete Initial Series) and (ii) when the person has received their first Booster vaccine dose once a person is eligible for a Booster. Until a person is eligible for a Booster, they are considered Up-to-Date on Vaccination two weeks after completing their full initial series of vaccination.
- h. *Vaccinated with a Complete Initial Series*. “Vaccinated with a Complete Initial Series” means two weeks after completing the entire recommended initial series of vaccination (usually one or two doses) with a vaccine authorized to prevent COVID-19 by the FDA, including by way of an emergency use authorization, or by the World Health Organization (WHO). For example, as of the date of issuance of this Order, an individual has completed an initial vaccination series at least two weeks after receiving a second dose of the Pfizer-BioNTech (Comirnaty) or Moderna (Spikevax) COVID-19 vaccine or two weeks after receiving the single dose Johnson & Johnson’s Janssen COVID-19 vaccine. A list of FDA-authorized vaccines is available at www.fda.gov/emergency-preparedness-and-response/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19/covid-19-vaccines. A list of WHO-authorized vaccines is available at <https://extranet.who.int/pqweb/vaccines/covid-19-vaccines>.

Other terms used in this Directive have the same meaning given to them in Health Officer Order No. C19-07, including as that order may be updated in the future.

- 2. General Rules and Recommendations Regarding Isolation and Quarantine. At this point in the pandemic, it is still important that we take steps to protect those around us if we are infected with the virus that causes COVID-19 or if we think we might be infected with that virus. CDPH has published recommendations, “Guidance on Isolation and Quarantine for COVID-19 Contact Tracing” (<https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/COVID-19/Guidance-on-Isolation-and-Quarantine-for-COVID-19-Contact-Tracing.aspx>). Although the CDPH guidelines are only recommendations, here in the City this Directive makes some of those recommendations a mandated requirement.



HEALTH OFFICER DIRECTIVE No. 2020-02h

In general, the rule is that anyone who has been diagnosed with COVID-19 (through a positive test or medical diagnosis), regardless of vaccination status, **must** isolate using the guidelines listed in the CDPH guidance. Anyone who has COVID-19 symptoms or who is a close contact of someone with COVID-19 is *strongly recommended* to follow the CDPH guidelines, and they **must** isolate if they test positive.

Given that there are a lot of nuances around isolation and quarantine in different settings, this Directive lists the rules and recommendations that apply in specific situations in the City. The table attached to this Directive as **Attachment A** lists the rules or recommendations that apply in each setting. Attachment A is incorporated into this Directive by this reference. If Attachment A is updated in the future, it may be revised and attached to this Directive without any need for further revision or amendment to this Directive. The date at the top of Attachment A lists the date of its revision.

You can find additional guidance on isolation and quarantine at:

sf.gov/information/youve-had-close-contact-or-positive-test (isolation)
sf.gov/quarantining-covid-19 (quarantine)

3. This Directive becomes effective immediately on issuance and will be in effect until it is extended, rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer. Also, effective immediately on issuance, this Directive revises and replaces Directive Number 2020-02g, updated April 8, 2022.
4. For purposes of this Directive, any future changes provided online to the CDPH isolation and quarantine guidance documents or any other guidance listed in this Directive are automatically incorporated by this reference.

Susan Philip, MD, MPH,
Health Officer of the
City and County of San Francisco

June 6, 2022

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-02h

Attachment A – City and County of San Francisco Isolation Rules & Quarantine Recommendations (revised June 6, 2022)

<u>Setting*</u>	<u>Situation</u>	<u>Rules or Recommendations</u>
General Public	<p>COVID-19 Infection (positive PCR or antigen test or medical diagnosis)</p>	<p><u>ISOLATION REQUIREMENTS</u></p> <p><u>CDPH isolation recommendations</u> must be followed in the City. Isolation is required regardless of vaccination status or recent infection. Use these rules:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Stay home for at least 5 days after start of <u>symptoms</u> (or after date of first positive test if no symptoms). 2) Isolation can end after day 5 if symptoms are not present or are resolving and a diagnostic specimen (antigen test preferred) collected on Day 5 or later tests negative. 3) If unable to test, choosing not to test, or testing positive on Day 5 (or later), isolation can end after Day 10 if fever-free for 24 hours without the use of fever-reducing medications. 4) If fever is present, isolation must be continued until 24 hours after fever resolves. 5) If symptoms, other than fever, are not resolving, continue to isolate until symptoms are resolving or until after Day 10. 6) Per CDPH masking guidance, infected persons should wear a well-fitting mask around others for a total of 10 days, especially in indoor settings.
	<p>Close Contact without COVID-19 <u>Symptoms</u></p>	<p><u>CDPH quarantine recommendations</u> should be followed in the City. Quarantine is recommended regardless of vaccination status unless the person had a COVID-19 infection in the prior 90 days (unless symptoms develop). Use these recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Test within 3-5 days after last exposure. 2) Per CDPH masking guidance, close contacts should wear a well-fitting mask around others for a total of 10 days, especially in indoor settings and when near those at higher risk for severe COVID-19 disease. 3) If <u>symptoms</u> develop: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Test and stay home (see next section on symptomatic persons), AND b) If test result is positive, follow isolation requirements above.

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-02h

Attachment A – City and County of San Francisco Isolation Rules & Quarantine Recommendations (revised June 6, 2022)

<u>Setting*</u>	<u>Situation</u>	<u>Rules or Recommendations</u>
<p>General Public (continued)</p>	<p>COVID-19 Symptoms without Close Contact</p>	<p>CDPH “self-isolation” recommendations should be followed in the City pending a test. “Self-isolation” is regardless of vaccination status or recent infection. Use these recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Self-isolate and test as soon as possible to determine infection status. Knowing one is infected early during self-isolation enables (a) earlier access to treatment options, if indicated (especially for those that may be at risk for severe illness), and (b) notification of exposed persons (close contacts) who may also benefit by knowing if they are infected. For symptomatic persons who have tested positive within the previous 90 days, using an antigen test is preferred. 2) Remain in self-isolation while waiting for testing results. If not tested, continue isolating for 10 days after the day of symptom onset, and if you cannot isolate, you should wear a well-fitting mask for 10 days. 3) Consider continuing self-isolation and retesting in 1-2 days if testing negative with an antigen test, particularly if tested during the first 1-2 days of symptoms. 4) Continue to self-isolate if test result is positive, follow isolation requirements above, and contact your healthcare provider about available treatments if symptoms are severe or you are at high risk for serious disease or if you have any questions concerning your care.

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-02h

Attachment A – City and County of San Francisco Isolation Rules & Quarantine Recommendations (revised June 6, 2022)

<u>Setting*</u>	<u>Situation</u>	<u>Rules or Recommendations</u>
<p>Skilled Nursing Facilities (SNFs)</p>	<p>Close Contact without COVID-19 Symptoms -or- COVID-19 Infection (positive PCR or antigen test or medical diagnosis)</p>	<p><u>Staff:</u> SNF staff are subject to CDPH AFL 21-08 (and any future updates to that AFL) as well as any facility-specific rules.</p> <p>For Close Contact without COVID-19 symptoms:</p> <p>If Up-to-Date on Vaccination (including a Booster once eligible) or after recovery from a COVID-19 infection within the previous 90 days, there is no work restriction for staff if they have a negative diagnostic test (antigen or nucleic acid) upon identification of the exposure and again at 5-7 days after last exposure.</p> <p>For all others (meaning people who are unvaccinated or Vaccinated with a Complete Initial Series but without a Booster once eligible, and both without a COVID-19 infection within the previous 90 days), staff quarantine is required for at least 7 days, with a negative diagnostic test (antigen or nucleic acid) required upon identification of the exposure and again within 48 hours prior to the return to work. (Refer to the AFL for special rules during critical staffing shortages.)</p> <p>For COVID-19 Infection:</p> <p>If Up-to-Date on Vaccination (including a Booster once eligible), staff is required to generally follow the isolation requirements above, but isolation can end after 5 days with a negative diagnostic test (antigen preferred) on the same day as return or within 24 hours prior to return to work or can end after 10 days without a test. (Refer to the AFL for special rules during critical staffing shortages.)</p> <p>For all others (meaning people who are unvaccinated or Vaccinated with a Complete Initial Series but without a Booster once eligible), staff is required to generally follow the isolation requirements above, but isolation can end after 7 days with a negative diagnostic test (antigen preferred) on the same day as return or within 24 hours prior to return to work or can end after 10 days without a test. (Refer to the AFL for special rules during critical staffing shortages.)</p> <p><u>Residents/patients:</u> It is recommended that the facility adhere to CDC Infection Control Guidance and San Francisco DPH Long Term Care Facility Interim Guidance for residents/patients.</p>

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-02h

Attachment A – City and County of San Francisco Isolation Rules & Quarantine Recommendations (revised June 6, 2022)

<u>Setting*</u>	<u>Situation</u>	<u>Rules or Recommendations</u>
<p>General Acute Care Hospitals</p>	<p>Close Contact without COVID-19 Symptoms -or- COVID-19 Infection (positive PCR or antigen test or medical diagnosis)</p>	<p><u>Staff:</u> SNF staff are subject to CDPH AFL 21-08.8 (and any future updates to that AFL) as well as any facility-specific rules.</p> <p>For Close Contact without COVID-19 symptoms:</p> <p>If Up-to-Date on Vaccination (including a Booster once eligible) or after recovery from a COVID-19 infection within the previous 90 days, there is no work restriction for staff if they have a negative diagnostic test (antigen or nucleic acid) upon identification of the exposure and again at 5-7 days after last exposure.</p> <p>For all others (meaning people who are unvaccinated or Vaccinated with a Complete Initial Series but without a Booster once eligible, and both without a COVID-19 infection within the previous 90 days), staff quarantine is <i>recommended</i> for at least 7 days, with a negative diagnostic test (antigen or nucleic acid) required upon identification of the exposure and again within 48 hours prior to the return to work. (Refer to the AFL for special rules during critical staffing shortages.)</p> <p>For COVID-19 Infection:</p> <p>If Up-to-Date on Vaccination (including a Booster once eligible), staff is required to generally follow the isolation requirements above, but isolation can end after 5 days with a negative diagnostic test (antigen preferred) on the same day as return or within 24 hours prior to return to work or can end after 10 days without a test. (Refer to the AFL for special rules during critical staffing shortages.)</p> <p>For all others (meaning people who are unvaccinated or Vaccinated with a Complete Initial Series but without a Booster once eligible), staff is required to generally follow the isolation requirements above, but isolation can end after 7 days with a negative diagnostic test (antigen preferred) on the same day as return or within 24 hours prior to return to work or can end after 10 days without a test. (Refer to the AFL for special rules during critical staffing shortages.)</p> <p><u>Residents/patients:</u> It is recommended that the facility adhere to CDC Infection Control Guidance for residents/patients.</p>

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-02h

Attachment A – City and County of San Francisco Isolation Rules & Quarantine Recommendations (revised June 6, 2022)

<u>Setting*</u>	<u>Situation</u>	<u>Rules or Recommendations</u>
<p>Adult and Senior Care Programs (as defined by Community Care Licensing, such as Adult Residential Facilities (ARFs); Residential Care Facilities for the Elderly (RCFEs); Residential Care Facilities – Continuing Care; Social Rehabilitation Facilities; Residential Care Facilities for the Chronically Ill (RCFCIs))</p>	<p>For staff only: Close Contact without COVID-19 Symptoms -or- COVID-19 Infection (positive PCR or antigen test or medical diagnosis)</p>	<p><u>Staff</u>: ASC program staff are subject to CCL PIN 22-09-ASC (and any future updates to that PIN) as well as any facility-specific rules.</p> <p>For Close Contact without COVID-19 symptoms:</p> <p>If Up-to-Date on Vaccination (including a Booster once eligible), there is no work restriction for staff if they have a negative diagnostic test (antigen or nucleic acid) upon identification of the exposure and again at 5-7 days after last exposure.</p> <p>For all others (meaning people who are unvaccinated or Vaccinated with a Complete Initial Series but without a Booster once eligible), staff quarantine is required for at least 7 days, with a negative diagnostic test (antigen or nucleic acid) required upon identification of the exposure and again within 48 hours prior to the return to work. (Refer to the PIN for special rules during critical staffing shortages.)</p> <p>For COVID-19 Infection:</p> <p>If Up-to-Date on Vaccination (including a Booster once eligible), staff is required to generally follow the isolation requirements above, subject to these additional requirements:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Isolation can end after 5 days with a negative diagnostic test (antigen preferred) on the same day as return or within 24 hours prior to return to work or can end after 10 days without a test.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Isolation must last for 20 days if the staff member had severe symptoms or is immunocompromised, using a test-based strategy (see PIN for details).</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">If unable to test prior to return, then staff may provide direct care only for persons in care with confirmed COVID-19 infection, preferably in a cohort setting.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Staff must wear an N95 respirator for source control at all times within the facility and wear a mask in public until the isolation period has been completed.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(Refer to the PIN for special rules during critical staffing shortages.)</p> <p>For all others (meaning people who are unvaccinated or Vaccinated with a Complete Initial Series but without a Booster once eligible), staff is required to generally follow the isolation requirements above, subject to these additional requirements:</p>

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-02h

Attachment A – City and County of San Francisco Isolation Rules & Quarantine Recommendations (revised June 6, 2022)

<u>Setting*</u>	<u>Situation</u>	<u>Rules or Recommendations</u>
Adult and Senior Care Programs (continued)		<p>Isolation can end after 7 days with a negative diagnostic test (antigen preferred) on the same day as return or within 24 hours prior to return to work or can end after 10 days without a test.</p> <p>Isolation must last for 20 days if the staff member had severe symptoms or is immunocompromised, using a test-based strategy (see PIN for details).</p> <p>If unable to test prior to return, then staff may provide direct care only for persons in care with confirmed COVID-19 infection, preferably in a cohort setting.</p> <p>Staff must wear an N95 respirator for source control at all times within the facility and wear a mask in public until the isolation period has been completed.</p> <p>(Refer to the PIN for special rules during critical staffing shortages.)</p>
	In relation to residents only	<p>The rules are complex. Please see the following Community Care Licensing Division PIN for the rules, including Appendix A (isolation) and Appendix B (quarantine): PIN 22-15-ASC - Resident Cohorting, Isolation and Quarantine, Staffing, and Use of Personal Protective Equipment Based on Resident Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Status</p>

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-02h

Attachment A – City and County of San Francisco Isolation Rules & Quarantine Recommendations (revised June 6, 2022)

<u>Setting*</u>	<u>Situation</u>	<u>Rules or Recommendations</u>
Shelters	<p>Close Contact (Note: if staff/residents have a COVID-19 Infection (positive PCR or antigen test or medical diagnosis), follow the isolation requirements above.)</p>	<p><u>Staff/clients/people experiencing homelessness</u>: If Vaccinated with a Complete Initial Series or had a COVID-19 in the prior 90 days, quarantine is not required if no symptoms are present (subject to facility rules). If not Vaccinated with a Complete Initial Series or no COVID-19 infection in the prior 90 days, quarantine is <i>recommended</i> following these rules:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Quarantine for at least 5 days after last exposure. 2) Quarantine can end after Day 5 if symptoms are not present and a diagnostic specimen collected on Day 5 or later tests negative. 3) If unable to test or choosing not to test, and symptoms are not present, quarantine can end after day 10. 4) Comply with CDPH masking guidance (i.e., universal masking and, in some cases, where surgical masks or higher filtration respirators may be required). 5) Strongly encouraged to get vaccinated or boosted. 6) If symptoms develop: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) stay home (or isolate) and test as soon as possible; AND b) if test result is positive, follow isolation requirements above.

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-02h

Attachment A – City and County of San Francisco Isolation Rules & Quarantine Recommendations (revised June 6, 2022)

<u>Setting*</u>	<u>Situation</u>	<u>Rules or Recommendations</u>
Jails/ Correctional Settings	Close Contact (Note: if staff/residents have a COVID-19 Infection (positive PCR or antigen test or medical diagnosis), follow the isolation requirements above.)	<p><u>Staff/residents:</u> If Up-to-Date on Vaccination (including a Booster once eligible) or had a COVID-19 in the prior 90 days, quarantine is not required if no symptoms are present (subject to facility rules).</p> <p>If not Up-to-Date on Vaccination (meaning not Vaccinated with a Complete Initial Series or no Booster once eligible) or no COVID-19 infection in the prior 90 days, quarantine is required following these rules:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Quarantine for at least 5 days after last exposure. 2) Quarantine can end after Day 5 if symptoms are not present and a diagnostic specimen collected on Day 5 or later tests negative. 3) If unable to test or choosing not to test, and symptoms are not present, quarantine can end after day 10. 4) Comply with CDPH masking guidance (i.e., universal masking and, in some cases, where surgical masks or higher filtration respirators may be required). 5) Strongly encouraged to get vaccinated or boosted. 6) If symptoms develop: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) stay home (or isolate) and test as soon as possible; AND b) if test result is positive, follow isolation requirements above.

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-02h

Attachment A – City and County of San Francisco Isolation Rules & Quarantine Recommendations (revised June 6, 2022)

<u>Setting*</u>	<u>Situation</u>	<u>Rules or Recommendations</u>
Other Healthcare Settings (staff only) Other Residential Living Facilities (staff and residents)	Close Contact (Note: if staff/residents have a COVID-19 Infection (positive PCR or antigen test or medical diagnosis), follow the isolation requirements above.)	<p><u>Staff/residents:</u> If Vaccinated with a Complete Initial Series or had a COVID-19 in the prior 90 days, quarantine is not required if no symptoms are present (subject to facility rules).</p> <p>If not Vaccinated with a Complete Initial Series or no COVID-19 infection in the prior 90 days, quarantine is <i>recommended</i> following these rules:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Quarantine for at least 5 days after last exposure. 2) Quarantine can end after Day 5 if symptoms are not present and a diagnostic specimen collected on Day 5 or later tests negative. 3) If unable to test or choosing not to test, and symptoms are not present, quarantine can end after day 10. 4) Comply with CDPH masking guidance (i.e., universal masking and, in some cases, where surgical masks or higher filtration respirators may be required). 5) Strongly encouraged to get vaccinated or boosted. 6) If symptoms develop: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) stay home (or isolate) and test as soon as possible; AND b) if test result is positive, follow isolation requirements above.

* Note that in some workplaces, employers are subject to the Cal/OSHA Aerosol Transmissible Diseases (ATD) Standard and should consult those regulations for additional applicable requirements.



DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. 2020-02~~gh~~

DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER

~~OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DIRECTING ALL INDIVIDUALS EXPOSED TO A PERSON DIAGNOSED WITH OR LIKELY TO HAVE REGARDING COVID-19 TO SELF-ISOLATION AND QUARANTINE~~

~~(PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY QUARANTINE DIRECTIVE)~~

~~DATE OF DIRECTIVE: January 10 June 6, 2022, updated April 8, 2022~~

~~Summary: SARS-CoV-2, the virus that causes Coronavirus 2019 Disease (“COVID-19”), is easily transmitted, especially in group settings, and the disease can be extremely serious. It can require long hospital stays, and in some instances cause long-term health consequences or death. It can impact not only those known to be at high risk but also other people, regardless of age or risk factors. The spread of COVID-19 (which includes people without symptoms) remains a danger to the health of the public within the City and County of San Francisco (the “City”), and in particular to people who are who are not up to date on their vaccines. Omicron, the latest variant of COVID-19, is spreading rapidly across the country and now throughout the Bay Area. Omicron is significantly more contagious than the Delta variant. Individuals in close contact with a person infected with the virus that causes COVID-19 may themselves easily become infected and may then inadvertently spread it even if they have no symptoms or only have mild symptoms or before they become symptomatic. To help slow COVID-19’s spread and protect vulnerable individuals, it is necessary that anyone who is not up to date on COVID-19 vaccines who is exposed to a person diagnosed with or likely to have COVID-19 self-quarantine subject to the rules listed in this Directive. And all people who had close contact with someone with COVID-19 should self-monitor and then isolate if they develop symptoms. Quarantine separates an at-risk person who knows that they have been exposed to COVID-19 from others until it is determined that they are not at risk for spreading the virus. This self-quarantine requirement protects everyone in the City, including people who are not eligible for vaccinations (children under five) or boosters at this time, and those who are high risk for serious illness. The update to this Directive includes recently updated guidelines on shortened quarantine duration and exceptions to who must quarantine, but the rules listed below should be consulted for details. For additional information about quarantine requirements, see www.sfdph.org/dph/COVID-19/Isolation-and-Quarantine.asp. This directive was updated on April 8, 2022 to conform the definition of “Up-to-Date on Vaccination” to the definition in Health Officer Order No. C19-07y.~~

Summary: SARS-CoV-2, the virus that causes Coronavirus 2019 Disease (“COVID-19”), can still be easily transmitted, regardless of vaccination status. This combined directive lists the current isolation rules and quarantine recommendations and requirements in the City and County of San Francisco (the “City”) that apply to all people in the City in order to reduce the spread of COVID-19.



HEALTH OFFICER DIRECTIVE No. 2020-02~~gh~~

UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE SECTIONS 101040, 101085, 120175, 120215, 120220, AND 120225 THE HEALTH OFFICER OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO (“HEALTH OFFICER”) DIRECTS AS FOLLOWS:

1. Definitions.

- a. *CDC.* “CDC” means the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
- b. *CDPH.* “CDPH” means the California Department of Public Health.
- c. *Close Contact.* “Close Contact” means ~~being within six feet of~~sharing the same indoor airspace with a Person With COVID-19 for a total of 15 minutes or more in a 24-hour period while the person is contagious. A person is considered contagious if they *either* (i) had symptoms, from 48 hours before their symptoms began until at least five days after the start of symptoms, *or* (ii) ~~did not have symptoms but learned they were COVID-19 positive from a test, from 48 hours before their COVID-19 test was collected until five days after they were tested.~~ (Note that Cal/OSHA may have different rules regarding being a close contact in the workplace, and those rules apply in the workplace setting.)

A health care professional who provides treatment to a Person With COVID-19 is not considered a Close Contact as long as the health care professional was wearing appropriate personal protective equipment during the contact as defined by their institution.

- ~~d. *High Risk Setting.* “High Risk Setting” means certain care or living settings involving many people, including many congregate settings, where vulnerable populations reside out of necessity and where the risk of COVID-19 transmission is high, consisting of general acute care hospitals, skilled nursing facilities (including subacute facilities), intermediate care facilities, residential care facilities for the elderly, homeless shelters, and jails (including, but not limited to, Juvenile Justice Center Juvenile Hall).~~
- ~~d. *Isolate and Isolation.* “Isolation” means to avoid all contact with other people, including by trying to limit contact with other members of the same household, for the limited period described in this Directive while a person is a Person with COVID-19. To “isolate” means to be in Isolation.~~
- e. *Person With COVID-19.* “Person With COVID-19” means a person who tests positive for the virus that causes COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2) or has been clinically diagnosed with COVID-19 by a healthcare provider. A person is no longer considered a Person With COVID-19 once all of the following occur: (a) at least one (1) day (~~i.e.,~~ 24 hours) has passed since their last fever (without use of fever-reducing medications), and (b) there has been improvement of other symptoms, and (c) at least five (5) days have passed since symptoms first appeared. A person who tested positive for COVID-19 but never had symptoms is no longer considered a Person With COVID-19 five (5) days after the date of their first positive test.



HEALTH OFFICER DIRECTIVE No. 2020-02~~gh~~

f. *Quarantine.* “Quarantine” means to avoid all contact with other people, including by trying to limit contact with other members of the same household, for the limited period described in this Directive either immediately after being exposed to COVID-19 due to being a Close Contact.

g. *Up-to-Date on Vaccination.* “Up-to-Date on Vaccination” means (i) two weeks after a person has completed the entire recommended initial series of vaccination (usually one or two doses) with a vaccine authorized to prevent COVID-19 by the FDA, including by way of an emergency use authorization, or by the World Health Organization (WHO) (also defined as being Vaccinated with a Complete Initial Series) and (ii) when the person has received at their first Booster vaccine dose once a person is eligible for a Booster. Until a person is eligible for a Booster, they are considered Up-to-Date on Vaccination two weeks after completing their full initial series of vaccination.

f.h. *Vaccinated with a Complete Initial Series.* “Vaccinated with a Complete Initial Series” means two weeks after completing the entire recommended initial series of vaccination (usually one or two doses) with a vaccine authorized to prevent COVID-19 by the FDA, including by way of an emergency use authorization, or by the World Health Organization (WHO). For example, as of the date of issuance of this Order, an individual has completed an initial vaccination series at least two weeks after receiving a second dose of the Pfizer-BioNTech (Comirnaty) or Moderna (Spikevax) COVID-19 vaccine or two weeks after receiving the single dose Johnson & Johnson’s Janssen COVID-19 vaccine. A list of FDA-authorized vaccines is available at www.fda.gov/emergency-preparedness-and-response/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19/covid-19-vaccines. A list of WHO-authorized vaccines is available at <https://extranet.who.int/pqweb/vaccines/covid-19-vaccines>.

Other terms used in this Directive have the same meaning given to them in Health Officer Order No. ~~C19-07~~C19-07, including as that order may be updated in the future.

~~2. Quarantine Requirement for Close Contacts of a Person With COVID-19.~~

~~3.2. Except as specifically stated below, any person—other than health care personnel—who has Close Contact with a Person With COVID-19 must follow the relevant guidelines for “Persons Who Are Exposed to Someone With COVID-19” set forth in the CDPH General Rules and Recommendations Regarding Isolation and Quarantine. At this point in the pandemic, it is still important that we take steps to protect those around us if we are infected with the virus that causes COVID-19 or if we think we might be infected with that virus. CDPH has published recommendations, “Guidance on Isolation and Quarantine for COVID-19 Contact Tracing” (<https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/COVID-19/Guidance-on-Isolation-and-Quarantine-for-COVID-19-Contact-Tracing.aspx>). Although the CDPH guidelines are only recommendations, here in the City this Directive makes some of those recommendations a mandated requirement.~~

In general, the rule is that anyone who has been diagnosed with COVID-19 (through a positive test or medical diagnosis), regardless of vaccination status, **must** isolate using the guidelines listed in the CDPH guidance. Anyone who has COVID-19 symptoms or who is a



HEALTH OFFICER DIRECTIVE No. 2020-02~~gh~~

close contact of someone with COVID-19 is *strongly recommended* to follow the CDPH guidelines, and they **must** isolate if they test positive.

Given that there are a lot of nuances around isolation and quarantine in different settings, this Directive lists the rules and recommendations that apply in specific situations in the City. The table attached to this Directive as **Attachment A** lists the rules or recommendations that apply in each setting. Attachment A is incorporated into this Directive by this reference. If Attachment A is updated in the future, it may be revised and attached to this Directive without any need for further revision or amendment to this Directive. The date at the top of Attachment A lists the date of its revision.

You can find additional guidance on isolation and quarantine at:

[sf.gov/information/youve-had-close-contact-or-positive-test](https://www.sfdph.org/information/youve-had-close-contact-or-positive-test) (isolation)

[sf.gov/quarantining-covid-19](https://www.sfdph.org/quarantining-covid-19) (quarantine)

- ~~a. Any health care personnel who has Close Contact with a Person With COVID-19 must follow CDPH “Guidance on Quarantine for Health Care Personnel (HCP) Exposed to SARS-CoV-2 and Return to Work for HCP with COVID-19” (https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHCQ/LCP/Pages/AFL_21-08.aspx).~~
- ~~b. Any person who lives in a long term care facility who has Close Contact with a Person With COVID-19 must follow the quarantine guidelines set forth in the San Francisco Department of Public Health “Interim Guidance: Prevention and Management of COVID-19 in Long Term Care Facilities” (<https://www.sfdph.org/dph/files/ig/COVID-19-Prevention-Management-LTCFS.pdf>).~~
- ~~c. A person who works in a High Risk Setting and has a Close Contact with a Person With COVID-19 must notify their employer and follow any workplace policies and requirements concerning return to work.~~
- ~~d. A person who is not Up to Date on Vaccination who has a Close Contact with a Person With COVID-19 cannot visit a High Risk Setting until 14 days after their last Close Contact. Any person who has a Close Contact with a Person With COVID-19 cannot visit a Skilled Nursing Facility until 14 days after their last Close Contact, regardless of their vaccination status.~~

~~4. Exceptions and Exemptions.~~

- ~~a. Minors and adults with special needs who are unable to care for themselves do not have to quarantine away from caregivers in their Residence. But, they should stay at their Residence and avoid people outside their Household except to receive medical care during the quarantine period. And those living with them or providing care to them are strongly encouraged to wear a Well Fitted Mask and wash their hands frequently during the quarantine period; if their caregivers are not Up to Date on COVID-19 Vaccines, they must also quarantine per the terms of this Directive after their last Close Contact with the Person With COVID-19.~~



HEALTH OFFICER DIRECTIVE No. 2020-02~~gh~~

- ~~b. TK 12 students who are not Up to Date on Vaccination and have a Close Contact with a Person With COVID-19 in any school setting in which students are supervised by school staff (including indoor or outdoor school settings and school buses, including on buses operated by public and private school systems) may undergo a modified quarantine as detailed in CDPH's "COVID-19 Public Health Guidance for K-12 Schools in California, 2021-22 School Year" (available at <https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/COVID-19/K-12-Guidance-2021-22-School-Year.aspx>).~~
- ~~e. Generally, children and youth who have Close Contact with a Person With COVID-19 outdoors at a school, childcare, or Program for Children and Youth will not be considered a Close Contact who is required to quarantine unless the Close Contact occurs (1) during a high-contact sport or dance or (2) while stationary (e.g., while eating a meal or otherwise seated close together). This is because quarantine is only required if the child is within six feet of the case for at least at least 15 minutes within a 24-hour period.~~
- ~~d. A health care professional who provides treatment to a Person With COVID-19 is not considered a Close Contact as long as the health care professional was wearing appropriate personal protective equipment during the contact as defined by their institution.~~
- ~~5. This Directive is necessary in light of the ongoing pandemic, and although many people in the City have received several doses of vaccine, not everyone is eligible for vaccination or Up to Date on Vaccination and even people who have received all vaccine doses for which they are eligible can become infected by SARS-CoV-2 and transmit to others, especially since the arrival of the Omicron variant.~~
- ~~6. If an individual who is subject to this Directive fails to comply with it in willful disregard of public safety, the Health Officer may take additional action(s), which may include issuing an individualized quarantine or isolation order and seeking civil detention at a health facility or other location, as necessary to protect the public's health.~~
3. This Directive becomes effective immediately on issuance and will be in effect until it is extended, rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer. Also, effective immediately on issuance, this Directive revises and replaces Directive Number 2020-02~~f~~, issued December 30, 2021~~02g~~, updated April 8, 2022.
4. For purposes of this Directive, any future changes provided online to the ~~Isolation~~CDPH ~~isolation~~ and ~~Quarantine Instructions~~quarantine guidance documents or any other CDPH guidance listed in this Directive are automatically incorporated into this Directive by this reference. ~~Any health and safety plan approved by the Health Officer addressing quarantine may continue to be followed.~~

Susan Philip, MD, MPH, _____ January 10 _____ June 6, 2022, as
updated April 8, 2022
Health Officer of the _____
City and County of San Francisco

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-02h

Attachment A – City and County of San Francisco Isolation Rules & Quarantine Recommendations (revised June 6, 2022)

<u>Setting*</u>	<u>Situation</u>	<u>Rules or Recommendations</u>
<u>General Public</u>	<u>COVID-19 Infection</u> (positive PCR or antigen test or medical diagnosis)	<p><u>ISOLATION REQUIREMENTS</u></p> <p>CDPH isolation recommendations must be followed in the City. Isolation is required regardless of vaccination status or recent infection. Use these rules:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) <u>Stay home for at least 5 days after start of symptoms (or after date of first positive test if no symptoms).</u> 2) <u>Isolation can end after day 5 if symptoms are not present or are resolving and a diagnostic specimen (antigen test preferred) collected on Day 5 or later tests negative.</u> 3) <u>If unable to test, choosing not to test, or testing positive on Day 5 (or later), isolation can end after Day 10 if fever-free for 24 hours without the use of fever-reducing medications.</u> 4) <u>If fever is present, isolation must be continued until 24 hours after fever resolves.</u> 5) <u>If symptoms, other than fever, are not resolving, continue to isolate until symptoms are resolving or until after Day 10.</u> 6) <u>Per CDPH masking guidance, infected persons should wear a well-fitting mask around others for a total of 10 days, especially in indoor settings.</u>
	<u>Close Contact without COVID-19 Symptoms</u>	<p>CDPH quarantine recommendations should be followed in the City. Quarantine is <i>recommended</i> regardless of vaccination status unless the person had a COVID-19 infection in the prior 90 days (unless symptoms develop). Use these recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) <u>Test within 3-5 days after last exposure.</u> 2) <u>Per CDPH masking guidance, close contacts should wear a well-fitting mask around others for a total of 10 days, especially in indoor settings and when near those at higher risk for severe COVID-19 disease.</u> 3) <u>If symptoms develop:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) <u>Test and stay home (see next section on symptomatic persons), AND</u> b) <u>If test result is positive, follow isolation requirements above.</u>

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-02h

Attachment A – City and County of San Francisco Isolation Rules & Quarantine Recommendations (revised June 6, 2022)

<u>Setting*</u>	<u>Situation</u>	<u>Rules or Recommendations</u>
<u>General Public (continued)</u>	<u>COVID-19 Symptoms without Close Contact</u>	<p><u>CDPH “self-isolation” recommendations should be followed in the City pending a test. “Self-isolation” is regardless of vaccination status or recent infection. Use these recommendations:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><u>1) Self-isolate and test as soon as possible to determine infection status. Knowing one is infected early during self-isolation enables (a) earlier access to treatment options, if indicated (especially for those that may be at risk for severe illness), and (b) notification of exposed persons (close contacts) who may also benefit by knowing if they are infected.</u> <u>For symptomatic persons who have tested positive within the previous 90 days, using an antigen test is preferred.</u><u>2) Remain in self-isolation while waiting for testing results. If not tested, continue isolating for 10 days after the day of symptom onset, and if you cannot isolate, you should wear a well-fitting mask for 10 days.</u><u>3) Consider continuing self-isolation and retesting in 1-2 days if testing negative with an antigen test, particularly if tested during the first 1-2 days of symptoms.</u><u>4) Continue to self-isolate if test result is positive, follow isolation requirements above, and contact your healthcare provider about available treatments if symptoms are severe or you are at high risk for serious disease or if you have any questions concerning your care.</u>

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-02h

Attachment A – City and County of San Francisco Isolation Rules & Quarantine Recommendations (revised June 6, 2022)

<u>Setting*</u>	<u>Situation</u>	<u>Rules or Recommendations</u>
<p><u>Skilled Nursing Facilities (SNFs)</u></p>	<p><u>Close Contact without COVID-19 Symptoms</u> -or- <u>COVID-19 Infection (positive PCR or antigen test or medical diagnosis)</u></p>	<p><u>Staff: SNF staff are subject to CDPH AFL 21-08 (and any future updates to that AFL) as well as any facility-specific rules.</u></p> <p><u>For Close Contact without COVID-19 symptoms:</u></p> <p><u>If Up-to-Date on Vaccination (including a Booster once eligible) or after recovery from a COVID-19 infection within the previous 90 days, there is no work restriction for staff if they have a negative diagnostic test (antigen or nucleic acid) upon identification of the exposure and again at 5-7 days after last exposure.</u></p> <p><u>For all others (meaning people who are unvaccinated or Vaccinated with a Complete Initial Series but without a Booster once eligible, and both without a COVID-19 infection within the previous 90 days), staff quarantine is required for at least 7 days, with a negative diagnostic test (antigen or nucleic acid) required upon identification of the exposure and again within 48 hours prior to the return to work. (Refer to the AFL for special rules during critical staffing shortages.)</u></p> <p><u>For COVID-19 Infection:</u></p> <p><u>If Up-to-Date on Vaccination (including a Booster once eligible), staff is required to generally follow the isolation requirements above, but isolation can end after 5 days with a negative diagnostic test (antigen preferred) on the same day as return or within 24 hours prior to return to work or can end after 10 days without a test. (Refer to the AFL for special rules during critical staffing shortages.)</u></p> <p><u>For all others (meaning people who are unvaccinated or Vaccinated with a Complete Initial Series but without a Booster once eligible), staff is required to generally follow the isolation requirements above, but isolation can end after 7 days with a negative diagnostic test (antigen preferred) on the same day as return or within 24 hours prior to return to work or can end after 10 days without a test. (Refer to the AFL for special rules during critical staffing shortages.)</u></p> <p><u>Residents/patients: It is recommended that the facility adhere to CDC Infection Control Guidance and San Francisco DPH Long Term Care Facility Interim Guidance for residents/patients.</u></p>

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-02h

Attachment A – City and County of San Francisco Isolation Rules & Quarantine Recommendations (revised June 6, 2022)

<u>Setting*</u>	<u>Situation</u>	<u>Rules or Recommendations</u>
<p><u>General Acute Care Hospitals</u></p>	<p><u>Close Contact without COVID-19 Symptoms</u> <u>-or-</u> <u>COVID-19 Infection (positive PCR or antigen test or medical diagnosis)</u></p>	<p><u>Staff: SNF staff are subject to CDPH AFL 21-08.8 (and any future updates to that AFL) as well as any facility-specific rules.</u></p> <p><u>For Close Contact without COVID-19 symptoms:</u></p> <p><u>If Up-to-Date on Vaccination (including a Booster once eligible) or after recovery from a COVID-19 infection within the previous 90 days, there is no work restriction for staff if they have a negative diagnostic test (antigen or nucleic acid) upon identification of the exposure and again at 5-7 days after last exposure.</u></p> <p><u>For all others (meaning people who are unvaccinated or Vaccinated with a Complete Initial Series but without a Booster once eligible, and both without a COVID-19 infection within the previous 90 days), staff quarantine is <i>recommended</i> for at least 7 days, with a negative diagnostic test (antigen or nucleic acid) required upon identification of the exposure and again within 48 hours prior to the return to work. (Refer to the AFL for special rules during critical staffing shortages.)</u></p> <p><u>For COVID-19 Infection:</u></p> <p><u>If Up-to-Date on Vaccination (including a Booster once eligible), staff is required to generally follow the isolation requirements above, but isolation can end after 5 days with a negative diagnostic test (antigen preferred) on the same day as return or within 24 hours prior to return to work or can end after 10 days without a test. (Refer to the AFL for special rules during critical staffing shortages.)</u></p> <p><u>For all others (meaning people who are unvaccinated or Vaccinated with a Complete Initial Series but without a Booster once eligible), staff is required to generally follow the isolation requirements above, but isolation can end after 7 days with a negative diagnostic test (antigen preferred) on the same day as return or within 24 hours prior to return to work or can end after 10 days without a test. (Refer to the AFL for special rules during critical staffing shortages.)</u></p> <p><u>Residents/patients: It is recommended that the facility adhere to CDC Infection Control Guidance for residents/patients.</u></p>

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-02h

Attachment A – City and County of San Francisco Isolation Rules & Quarantine Recommendations (revised June 6, 2022)

<u>Setting*</u>	<u>Situation</u>	<u>Rules or Recommendations</u>
<p>Adult and Senior Care Programs <u>(as defined by Community Care Licensing, such as Adult Residential Facilities (ARFs); Residential Care Facilities for the Elderly (RCFEs); Residential Care Facilities – Continuing Care; Social Rehabilitation Facilities; Residential Care Facilities for the Chronically Ill (RCFCIs))</u></p>	<p><u>For staff only:</u> <u>Close Contact without COVID-19 Symptoms</u> <u>-or-</u> <u>COVID-19 Infection (positive PCR or antigen test or medical diagnosis)</u></p>	<p><u>Staff: ASC program staff are subject to CCL PIN 22-09-ASC (and any future updates to that PIN) as well as any facility-specific rules.</u></p> <p><u>For Close Contact without COVID-19 symptoms:</u></p> <p><u>If Up-to-Date on Vaccination (including a Booster once eligible), there is no work restriction for staff if they have a negative diagnostic test (antigen or nucleic acid) upon identification of the exposure and again at 5-7 days after last exposure.</u></p> <p><u>For all others (meaning people who are unvaccinated or Vaccinated with a Complete Initial Series but without a Booster once eligible), staff quarantine is required for at least 7 days, with a negative diagnostic test (antigen or nucleic acid) required upon identification of the exposure and again within 48 hours prior to the return to work. (Refer to the PIN for special rules during critical staffing shortages.)</u></p> <p><u>For COVID-19 Infection:</u></p> <p><u>If Up-to-Date on Vaccination (including a Booster once eligible), staff is required to generally follow the isolation requirements above, subject to these additional requirements:</u></p> <p><u>Isolation can end after 5 days with a negative diagnostic test (antigen preferred) on the same day as return or within 24 hours prior to return to work or can end after 10 days without a test.</u></p> <p><u>Isolation must last for 20 days if the staff member had severe symptoms or is immunocompromised, using a test-based strategy (see PIN for details).</u></p> <p><u>If unable to test prior to return, then staff may provide direct care only for persons in care with confirmed COVID-19 infection, preferably in a cohort setting.</u></p> <p><u>Staff must wear an N95 respirator for source control at all times within the facility and wear a mask in public until the isolation period has been completed.</u></p> <p><u>(Refer to the PIN for special rules during critical staffing shortages.)</u></p> <p><u>For all others (meaning people who are unvaccinated or Vaccinated with a Complete Initial Series but without a Booster once eligible), staff is required to generally follow the isolation requirements above, subject to these additional requirements:</u></p>

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-02h

Attachment A – City and County of San Francisco Isolation Rules & Quarantine Recommendations (revised June 6, 2022)

<u>Setting*</u>	<u>Situation</u>	<u>Rules or Recommendations</u>
<u>Adult and Senior Care Programs (continued)</u>		<p><u>Isolation can end after 7 days with a negative diagnostic test (antigen preferred) on the same day as return or within 24 hours prior to return to work or can end after 10 days without a test.</u></p> <p><u>Isolation must last for 20 days if the staff member had severe symptoms or is immunocompromised, using a test-based strategy (see PIN for details).</u></p> <p><u>If unable to test prior to return, then staff may provide direct care only for persons in care with confirmed COVID-19 infection, preferably in a cohort setting.</u></p> <p><u>Staff must wear an N95 respirator for source control at all times within the facility and wear a mask in public until the isolation period has been completed.</u></p> <p><u>(Refer to the PIN for special rules during critical staffing shortages.)</u></p>
	<u>In relation to residents only</u>	<p><u>The rules are complex. Please see the following Community Care Licensing Division PIN for the rules, including Appendix A (isolation) and Appendix B (quarantine): PIN 22-15-ASC - Resident Cohorting, Isolation and Quarantine, Staffing, and Use of Personal Protective Equipment Based on Resident Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Status</u></p>

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-02h

Attachment A – City and County of San Francisco Isolation Rules & Quarantine Recommendations (revised June 6, 2022)

<u>Setting*</u>	<u>Situation</u>	<u>Rules or Recommendations</u>
<u>Shelters</u>	<u>Close Contact</u> <u>(Note: if staff/residents have a COVID-19 Infection (positive PCR or antigen test or medical diagnosis), follow the isolation requirements above.)</u>	<u>Staff/clients/people experiencing homelessness: If Vaccinated with a Complete Initial Series or had a COVID-19 in the prior 90 days, quarantine is not required if no symptoms are present (subject to facility rules).</u> <u>If not Vaccinated with a Complete Initial Series or no COVID-19 infection in the prior 90 days, quarantine is <i>recommended</i> following these rules:</u> <u>1) Quarantine for at least 5 days after last exposure.</u> <u>2) Quarantine can end after Day 5 if symptoms are not present and a diagnostic specimen collected on Day 5 or later tests negative.</u> <u>3) If unable to test or choosing not to test, and symptoms are not present, quarantine can end after day 10.</u> <u>4) Comply with CDPH masking guidance (i.e., universal masking and, in some cases, where surgical masks or higher filtration respirators may be required).</u> <u>5) Strongly encouraged to get vaccinated or boosted.</u> <u>6) If symptoms develop:</u> <u>a) stay home (or isolate) and test as soon as possible; AND</u> <u>b) if test result is positive, follow isolation requirements above.</u>

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-02h

Attachment A – City and County of San Francisco Isolation Rules & Quarantine Recommendations (revised June 6, 2022)

<u>Setting*</u>	<u>Situation</u>	<u>Rules or Recommendations</u>
<u>Jails/ Correctional Settings</u>	<u>Close Contact</u> <u>(Note: if staff/residents have a COVID-19 Infection (positive PCR or antigen test or medical diagnosis), follow the isolation requirements above.)</u>	<u>Staff/residents: If Up-to-Date on Vaccination (including a Booster once eligible) or had a COVID-19 in the prior 90 days, quarantine is not required if no symptoms are present (subject to facility rules).</u> <u>If not Up-to-Date on Vaccination (meaning not Vaccinated with a Complete Initial Series or no Booster once eligible) or no COVID-19 infection in the prior 90 days, quarantine is required following these rules:</u> <u>1) Quarantine for at least 5 days after last exposure.</u> <u>2) Quarantine can end after Day 5 if symptoms are not present and a diagnostic specimen collected on Day 5 or later tests negative.</u> <u>3) If unable to test or choosing not to test, and symptoms are not present, quarantine can end after day 10.</u> <u>4) Comply with CDPH masking guidance (i.e., universal masking and, in some cases, where surgical masks or higher filtration respirators may be required).</u> <u>5) Strongly encouraged to get vaccinated or boosted.</u> <u>6) If symptoms develop:</u> <u>a) stay home (or isolate) and test as soon as possible; AND</u> <u>b) if test result is positive, follow isolation requirements above.</u>

Health Officer Directive No. 2020-02h

Attachment A – City and County of San Francisco Isolation Rules & Quarantine Recommendations (revised June 6, 2022)

<u>Setting*</u>	<u>Situation</u>	<u>Rules or Recommendations</u>
<p><u>Other Healthcare Settings (staff only)</u></p> <p><u>Other Residential Living Facilities (staff and residents)</u></p>	<p><u>Close Contact</u></p> <p>(Note: if staff/residents have a <u>COVID-19 Infection (positive PCR or antigen test or medical diagnosis)</u>, follow the <u>isolation requirements above.</u>)</p>	<p><u>Staff/residents: If Vaccinated with a Complete Initial Series or had a COVID-19 in the prior 90 days, quarantine is not required if no symptoms are present (subject to facility rules).</u></p> <p><u>If not Vaccinated with a Complete Initial Series or no COVID-19 infection in the prior 90 days, quarantine is <i>recommended</i> following these rules:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1) Quarantine for at least 5 days after last exposure.</u> <u>2) Quarantine can end after Day 5 if symptoms are not present and a diagnostic specimen collected on Day 5 or later tests negative.</u> <u>3) If unable to test or choosing not to test, and symptoms are not present, quarantine can end after day 10.</u> <u>4) Comply with CDPH masking guidance (i.e., universal masking and, in some cases, where surgical masks or higher filtration respirators may be required).</u> <u>5) Strongly encouraged to get vaccinated or boosted.</u> <u>6) If symptoms develop:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>a) stay home (or isolate) and test as soon as possible; AND</u> <u>b) if test result is positive, follow isolation requirements above.</u>

* Note that in some workplaces, employers are subject to the Cal/OSHA Aerosol Transmissible Diseases (ATD) Standard and should consult those regulations for additional applicable requirements.



DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. 2020-03g

**DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DIRECTING ALL
INDIVIDUALS DIAGNOSED WITH OR LIKELY TO HAVE COVID-19
TO SELF-ISOLATE**

(PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY ISOLATION DIRECTIVE)

DATE OF DIRECTIVE: June 6, 2022

The Health Officer has combined the isolation and quarantine directives into Health Officer Directive No. 2020-02h, including as that directive is updated in the future. For the isolation rules and guidance, refer to that combined directive, available online at www.sfdph.org/directives.

This revision and consolidation with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-02h, including as that directive is updated in the future, is effective immediately on issuance and will be in effect until it is extended, rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer. Also, effective immediately on issuance, this Directive revises and replaces Directive Number 2020-03f, updated April 8, 2022.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Susan Philip", written over a horizontal line.

Susan Philip, MD, MPH,
Health Officer of the
City and County of San Francisco

June 6, 2022



DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER No. 2020-03fg

DIRECTIVE OF THE HEALTH OFFICER OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DIRECTING ALL INDIVIDUALS DIAGNOSED WITH OR LIKELY TO HAVE COVID-19 TO SELF-ISOLATE

(PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY ISOLATION DIRECTIVE)

DATE OF DIRECTIVE: December 30, 2021, updated April 8 June 6, 2022

Summary: SARS-CoV-2, the virus that causes Coronavirus 2019 Disease ("COVID-19"), is easily transmitted, especially in group settings, and the disease can be extremely serious. It can require long hospital stays, and in some instances cause long-term health consequences or death. The spread of COVID-19 (which includes people without symptoms) remains a danger to the health of the public within the City and County of San Francisco (the "City"), and in particular to people who are not up to date on their vaccines. Omicron, the latest variant of COVID-19, is spreading rapidly across the country and now throughout the Bay Area. Omicron is significantly more contagious than the Delta variant. To help slow COVID-19's spread and protect vulnerable individuals, it is necessary that anyone diagnosed with, or likely to have, COVID-19 self-isolate subject to the rules listed in this Directive. Isolation separates an individual who is known to be infected or likely to be infected from others until the individual is no longer contagious. This self-isolation requirement protects everyone in the City, including people who are not yet eligible for vaccines (children under five) or boosters at this time, and those who are at high risk for serious illness. The update to this Directive includes recently updated guidelines on shortened isolation duration. For additional information about quarantine requirements, see www.sfdph.org/dph/COVID-19/Isolation-and-Quarantine.asp. This directive was updated on April 8, 2022 to conform the definition of "Up-to-Date on Vaccination" to the definition in Health Officer Order No. C19-07y.

UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE SECTIONS 101040, 101085, 120175, 120215, 120220, AND 120225 THE HEALTH OFFICER OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ("HEALTH OFFICER") DIRECTS AS FOLLOWS:

1. Definitions.

- a. CDPH. "CDPH" means the California Department of Public Health.
b. Person With COVID-19. "Person With COVID-19" means a person who tests positive for the virus that causes COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2) or has been clinically diagnosed with COVID-19 by a healthcare provider. A person is no longer considered a Person With COVID-19 once all of the following occur: (a) at least one (1) day (24 hours) has passed since their last fever (without use of fever-reducing medications), and (b) there has been improvement of other symptoms, and (c) at least five (5) days have passed since symptoms first appeared. A person who tested positive for COVID-19 but never had symptoms is no longer considered a Person With COVID-19 five (5) days after the date of their first positive test.



HEALTH OFFICER DIRECTIVE No. 2020-03~~gf~~

~~e. *Up to Date on Vaccination.* “Up to Date on Vaccination” means (i) two weeks after a person has completed the entire recommended initial series of vaccination (usually one or two doses) with a vaccine authorized to prevent COVID-19 by the FDA, including by way of an emergency use authorization, or by the World Health Organization (WHO) (also defined as being Vaccinated with a Complete Initial Series) and (ii) when the person has received a Booster once a person is eligible for a Booster. Until a person is eligible for a Booster, they are considered Up to Date on Vaccination two weeks after completing their full initial series of vaccination.~~

~~Other terms used in this Directive have the same meaning given to them in Health Officer Order No. C19-07y.~~

~~2. Isolation Requirements for People With COVID-19 and People With COVID-19 Symptoms.~~

~~a) *Person With COVID-19.* Any person, other than health care personnel, who tests positive for COVID-19 or is diagnosed with COVID-19 must follow the guidelines for “Persons Who Test Positive for COVID-19 (Isolation)” set forth in the CDPH “Guidance on Isolation and Quarantine for COVID-19 Contact Tracing” (<https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/COVID-19/Guidance-on-Isolation-and-Quarantine-for-COVID-19-Contact-Tracing.aspx>).~~

~~b) *Health Care Personnel With COVID-19.* Any health care personnel who tests positive for COVID-19 or is diagnosed with COVID-19 must follow CDPH “Guidance on Quarantine for Health Care Personnel (HCP) Exposed to SARS-CoV-2 and Return to Work for HCP with COVID-19” (<https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHCQ/LCP/Pages/AFL-21-08.aspx>).~~

~~In the event of a critical staffing shortages, employers of health care personnel may follow CDC guidance on Strategies to Mitigate Healthcare Personnel Staffing Shortages which can be found at <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/mitigating-staff-shortages.html>.~~

~~c) *Person With COVID-19 Symptoms.* Any person (regardless of whether they are Up to Date on Vaccination) who has a COVID-19 symptom (see www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/symptoms-testing/symptoms.html for the list of symptoms) that is new or not explained by another condition must follow the “Duration of Isolation” guidelines set forth in the CDPH “Guidance on Isolation and Quarantine for COVID-19 Contact Tracing” unless and until:~~

- ~~• The person receives a negative COVID-19 test, collected after start of symptoms; or~~
- ~~• A healthcare provider has provided documentation that the symptoms are typical of their underlying chronic condition (e.g., allergies or asthma); or~~
- ~~• A healthcare provider has confirmed an alternative named diagnosis (e.g., Streptococcal pharyngitis, Coxsackie virus).~~



HEALTH OFFICER DIRECTIVE No. 2020-03~~gf~~

- ~~3. This Directive's intent is to ensure that any person who has been diagnosed with or is likely to have COVID-19 (including those without COVID-19 symptoms or with mild symptoms) avoids contact with others to slow the spread of COVID-19 and mitigate the impact of the virus on members of the public, especially essential workers and people who are not up to date on COVID-19 vaccines. All provisions of this Directive must be interpreted to effectuate this intent.~~
- ~~4. If an individual who is subject to this Directive fails to comply with it in willful disregard of public safety, the Health Officer may take additional action(s), which may include issuing an individualized isolation order and seeking civil detention at a health facility or other location, as necessary to protect the public's health.~~

~~This Directive becomes~~

~~The Health Officer has combined the isolation and quarantine directives into Health Officer Directive No. 2020-02h, including as that directive is updated in the future. For the isolation rules and guidance, refer to that combined directive, available online at www.sfdph.org/directives.~~

~~This revision and consolidation with Health Officer Directive No. 2020-02h, including as that directive is updated in the future, is effective immediately on issuance and will be in effect until it is extended, rescinded, superseded, or amended in writing by the Health Officer. Also, effective immediately on issuance, this Directive revises and replaces Directive Number 2020-03~~ef~~, updated ~~September 21, 2021~~April 8, 2022.~~

- ~~5. For purposes of this Directive, any future changes provided online to the CDPH isolation and quarantine guidance documents or any other guidance listed in this Directive are automatically incorporated by this reference. Any health and safety plan approved by the Health Officer addressing isolation may continue to be followed.~~

Susan Philip, MD, MPH,
Health Officer of the
City and County of San Francisco

~~December 30, 2021, as updated April 8~~June 6, 2022

From: [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Subject: Update to COVID-19 isolation and quarantine directives (Directive Nos. 2020-02 and 2020-03)
Date: Thursday, June 9, 2022 6:32:37 PM
Attachments: [2022.06.06 FINAL Signed Directive No. 2020-02h Blanket Isolation and Quarantine Directive.pdf](#)
[2022.06.06 FINAL Signed Directive No. 2020-03.pdf](#)
[2022.06.06 Redline - Directive No. 2020-02h against prior version \(4.8.22\).pdf](#)
[2022.06.06 Redline - Directive No. 2020-03g against prior version \(4.8.22\).pdf](#)

Supervisors –

This week, the Health Officer revised the isolation and quarantine directives that were first issued in May 2020 and have been revised throughout the pandemic. This update combines them into one directive, Directive No. 2020-02h. The isolation directive, No. 2020-03g, still exists but now points people to the combined directive. These changes are effective immediately and will remain in place until further revised or rescinded by the Health Officer.

The key change being made is to simplify the language and structure for readability. The local rules already generally aligned with the CDPH isolation and quarantine guidelines for the general public. But the revised, combined directive clarifies that for the general public in San Francisco, anyone who has COVID-19 (as shown by a positive test or medical diagnosis) *must* follow the CDPH isolation guidelines and anyone who has been a close contact of someone with COVID-19 is *strongly recommended* to quarantine using the CDPH quarantine guidelines.

The directive also acknowledges that there are many isolation and quarantine rules and guidelines issued by state entities that are specific to unique settings, including: skilled nursing facilities, hospitals, adult and senior care programs, shelters, jails/correctional settings, and certain other healthcare settings and residential living facilities. In these settings, the state's rule and guidelines often differ slightly from the rules for the general public. The new directive attaches a table that summarizes the rules for each of these settings, linking to the state rules when applicable and including listing some minor clarifications in San Francisco. The table can be updated in the future without re-issuing the directive.

The attached redlines show changes against the April 8, 2022, versions of the directives. This summary and the attached documents are public records.

Attachments.

- Directive No. 2020-02h, the combined isolation and quarantine directive
- Directive No. 2020-03g, which now points to the combined directive
- Copies of the updated directives, marked to show changes from the prior versions dated April 8, 2022

Thanks,
Anne

Anne Pearson (she/her/hers)

Deputy City Attorney
Office of the City Attorney
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Suite 234
San Francisco, CA 94102
Cell: (646)-241-7670 – ***please use this number during current period of remote work***
Tel: (415) 554-4706
anne.pearson@sfcityatty.org

Attorney-Client Communication - Do Not Disclose
Confidential Attorney-Work Product - Do Not Disclose




SHAMANN WALTON

MEMORANDUM

DATE: June 9, 2022

TO: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

FROM: President Shamann Walton 

CC: Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors
Board Legislative Aides
Anne Pearson, Office of the City Attorney
Budget Legislative Analyst's Office
Mayor's Office
Controller's Office

SUBJECT: Special Board of Supervisors Meeting – Wednesday, June 22, 2022

Dear Madam Clerk,

On Monday, June 13, 2022, the Board of Supervisors Rules Committee will consider sending File No. 220696 (Memorandum of Understanding - Service Employees International Union, Local 1021: Staff & Per Diem Nurses) to the full Board of Supervisors meeting.

In conference with my Board colleagues, I am calling for a Special Board of Supervisors' meeting to take place on Wednesday, June 22, 2022, at 10:00am to consider the urgent action on File No. 220696 if it is referred to the Board from the June 13, 2022, Rules Committee.

The remote Special Board of Supervisors Meeting shall include the following matters:

- **File No. 220696:** Memorandum of Understanding – Service Employees International Union, Local 1021: Staff & Per Diem Nurses

On behalf of the entire Board, I want to extend my deepest gratitude and appreciation for you and your hard-working staff. We are always appreciative of the Clerk's staff ability to go above and beyond to meet requests during a very demanding time. Thank you.