| 1 | [Supporting California State Assembly Bill No. 1482 (Chiu) - Tenancy Rent Caps] |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Resolution supporting California State Assembly Bill No. 1482, authored by Assembly |
| 4 | Member David Chiu and co-authored by Assembly Members Richard Bloom, Rob |
| 5 | Bonta, Kevin McCarty, Phil Ting, and Buffy Wicks, to cap annual rent increases on |
| 6 | residential rentals. |
| 7 | |
| 8 | WHEREAS, California has nearly 17 million renters, and San Francisco has nearly |
| 9 | 600,000 renters; and |
| 10 | WHEREAS, Over half of the state's renters, and over 80% of low-income renters, are |
| 11 | rent-burdened, meaning they pay over 30% of their income towards rent and therefore have |
| 12 | less money to spend on other necessities like food, healthcare, transportation, and education; |
| 13 | and |
| 14 | WHEREAS, Nearly 15 million renters lack any form of rent control, including 150,000 |
| 15 | San Franciscans, leaving them with no certainty about the size of their next rent increase, |
| 16 | which in turn creates a tremendous psychological and economic burden on these households; |
| 17 | and |
| 18 | WHEREAS, Research in 2018 found that some areas with a high percentage of rent- |
| 19 | burdened households experienced a rapid increase in homelessness, and areas where high |
| 20 | rents are combined with high poverty experienced triple the homelessness rate of the average |
| 21 | community; and |
| 22 | WHEREAS, Assembly Bill No. 1482 (Chiu) is a bill introduced in the California State |
| 23 | Assembly to prevent the most egregious forms of rent increases; and |
| 24 | WHEREAS, AB 1482 would establish a maximum annual rent increase of five% plus |
| 25 | the change in the cost of living, as measured by the Consumer Price Index (CPI); and |

| 1 | WHEREAS, This restriction would not apply to units subject to existing rent control |
|----|---|
| 2 | protections, which would therefore maintain their stricter protections; and |
| 3 | WHEREAS, In setting this maximum, AB 1482 would provide price stability and |
| 4 | certainty to the 15 million Californians and 150,000 San Franciscans who do not currently |
| 5 | have any form of protection, thereby enabling renters and families to be better able to plan for |
| 6 | their future by removing the risk of large and unexpected rent increases; and |
| 7 | WHEREAS, This rent cap would still enable a return for a property owner comparable |
| 8 | to other business investments; and |
| 9 | WHEREAS, AB 1482 is part of a larger tenant package in the State Legislature, |
| 10 | including Assembly Bill Nos. 1481 (Bonta) and 36 (Bloom), which provide a tenant protection |
| 11 | against no-cause evictions and would allow for an expansion of rent control on residential |
| 12 | housing that is 20 years old or older; now, therefore, be it |
| 13 | RESOLVED, The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby |
| 14 | urges the California State Legislature and the Governor to pass Assembly Bill No.1482; and, |
| 15 | be it |
| 16 | FURTHER RESOLVED, The San Francisco Board of Supervisors hereby directs the |
| 17 | Clerk of the Board to send a copy of the resolution to the Governor of California, the California |
| 18 | State Senate, and the California State Assembly. |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |