

From: gl382@cornell.edu on behalf of [Geneva Lee](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 11:25:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Ms Geneva Lee
gl382@cornell.edu



**FOR YOUTH DEVELOPMENT
FOR HEALTHY LIVING
FOR SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY**

May 29, 2020

Re: San Francisco Police Commission Appointment

Dear Supervisors Ronen, Stefani and Mar:

I write this letter in support of Geoffrey Gordon-Creed's appointment to the San Francisco Police Commission.

I first met Geoffrey as a member of the branch board of volunteers for the Richmond YMCA. His diligent service to the community through the Y has given him a strong understanding of how community forms and is reinforced. As the chief executive officer of the YMCA of San Francisco, I have plenary responsibility for leading our mission in Marin, San Francisco and San Mateo Counties. I have the opportunity to work side-by-side with literally thousands of members, volunteers, staff, stakeholders and public servants. Mr. Gordon-Creed stands out among the many who serve our cause and he currently serves as chair of our Richmond Y Branch Board of Managers.

The Richmond District, as all of our neighborhoods, is multifaceted. It is rich in multiculturalism, small businesses, community-based organizations, schools of every description, faith-based institutions, parks and cultural institutions. It reflects what so many San Francisco districts have and that's rich diversity.

The Richmond District is also facing challenges we have in common which are exacerbated by the growing wealth gap that widens the space of opportunity for too many of our residents. While we live in a remarkably creative and innovative city, that doesn't simply translate to a better life for all.

Mr. Gordon-Creed understands that we must strengthen our systems of opportunity, health and education in order to achieve justice. So much at the root of what falls to our police is rooted in years and generations of racism, poverty and economic injustice.

The intersection of crime and mental health is also an acute problem in our city. Since we can have no peace in an unjust world, we must rely on the independence of our institutions in a civil society as the ultimate arbiters of social order. The Police Commission bears a huge burden of this responsibility.

Mr. Gordon-Creed is highly adept at applying humane but sophisticated reasoning to complex problems and his sense of fairness and justice are informed by facts, data, historical context, humility and compassion. These are the qualities that make him an excellent choice to serve on the Police Commission to serve an independent citizen in his decision making and consensus-building.

Mr. Gordon-Creed's resume is replete with qualifications at the San Francisco City Attorney's office representing various city departments, including the Police Department. He is well versed in issues relating to policing and the complexity of policing issues.

He has great respect for the difficulty of the job of policing but has progressive views on policing and mass incarceration. He will be a strong advocate for implementing the Department of Justice (DOJ) recommended reforms that are aimed at establishing better trust and collaboration between the Police Department and the communities it polices. Likewise, he will support and push for speedy implementation of the DOJ recommended reforms that seek to eliminate racial profiling by the Department and the disproportionate use of deadly force against people of color.

He believes strongly in the importance of community policing and that the Department needs to work collaboratively and consistently with the communities it polices to earn the trust of the people who live in those communities.



**FOR YOUTH DEVELOPMENT
FOR HEALTHY LIVING
FOR SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY**

Supervisors Ronen, Stefani and Mar
May 29, 2020
Page Two

Mr. Gordon-Creed would excel at and be comfortable hearing and deciding issues of police discipline that come before the Commission. He has served as a mediator and arbitrator and understands rules of evidence and how disciplinary hearings are conducted. He is hardworking, ethical and fair, and would listen to all sides. He served on the SF Ethics Commission and was the acting Chair of that Commission. He is the Board Chair of Point Blue Conservation Science, a local environmental organization.

Mr. Gordon-Creed has an easy-going personality, he is collaborative, has a good sense of humor, and will work well with the other Commissioners and the Police Chief.

Without reservations I recommend Mr. Gordon-Creed as a member of the Police Commission of the City and County of San Francisco.

Sincerely,

Charles M. Collins
President and Chief Executive Officer
YMCA of San Francisco



May 29, 2020

City & County of San Francisco
Board of Supervisors - Rules Committee
City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlet Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Re: Geoffrey Gordon-Creed – Appointment to San Francisco Police Commission

Dear Supervisors Ronen, Stefani and Mar:

On behalf of Walk San Francisco, I am writing to register our support for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed's appointment to the San Francisco Police Commission.

Walk San Francisco is the city's only pedestrian advocacy organization. Our mission is to make San Francisco the most pedestrian-friendly city in the nation. Walk SF was instrumental in getting our city to adopt the Vision Zero policy goal of eliminating all traffic-related fatalities and serious injuries by 2024. Walk SF leads the Vision Zero Coalition, 35 community-based organizations, nonprofits, and civic groups representing communities across the city, and especially those most impacted by traffic deaths, including low-income communities and communities of color, seniors, and people with disabilities. As well as facilitating the Senior & Disability Working Group of the Vision Zero Coalition. We partner with all the city agencies, including the SF Police Department, to achieve Vision Zero.

Geoffrey Gordon-Creed, and his wife, Jean Fraser, have been members of Walk SF since 2011. He firmly believes that no matter your age or ability, everyone should be able to walk in San Francisco safely, because that is what makes a vibrant and livable city.

Geoff is a needed voice on the commission to help the SF Police Department achieve their Vision Zero goal of 50% of traffic citations are focused on the five most dangerous driving behaviors. Because the department's commitment to this will help save 30 lives and put an end to 600 people suffering from life-changing injuries because of traffic crashes each year.

Walk SF asks that the Rules Committee send his Police Commission nomination to the full Board of Supervisors with a positive recommendation. Geoff has the professional background, community-focused values, and easy-going personality to make him a valuable San Francisco Police Commissioner.

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Jodie Medeiros", with a long, sweeping underline.

Jodie Medeiros
Executive Director



Dear Supervisors:

We are writing on behalf of the Young Women's Freedom Center to urge you to oppose the appointment of Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed.

The Police Commission is tasked with: 1) Setting policy for the police department, 2) Conducting disciplinary hearings on charges of police misconduct, 3) Imposing discipline for misconduct; and 4) Hearing police officers' appeals from discipline imposed by the Chief of Police. Police accountability is a central role of the Police Commissioners, and it is one of the few public bodies where the public can weigh in on the SF Police Department's actions. Given the recent brutal murder of George Floyd, it is now more critical than ever to ensure we have police commissioners who take their role of holding police accountable seriously.

However, as recently as last year, Deputy District Attorney Nancy Tung has opposed police accountability. During her campaign for San Francisco District Attorney in November 2019, in response to a questionnaire from the ACLU of Northern California, San Francisco Rising, and the Immigrant Legal Resource Center, she expressly opposed AB 392 (The California Act to Save Lives). Championed by families whose loved ones were killed by the police, AB 392 established that police can use deadly force "only when necessary" to defend against threat of death or serious bodily injury, replacing the vague and dangerous standard that authorized officers to use deadly force whenever "reasonable".

Additionally, Tung has opposed the closure of the SF Juvenile Hall as well as the County Jail 7 at 850 Bryant. On these issues, a majority of the SF Board of Supervisors has supported closing Juvenile Hall and County Jail 7, with broad community support. Given these examples of Tung's positions, we have serious concerns about her ability to represent community needs in regards to public safety, police accountability, or investing in rehabilitation and not incarceration.

While Tung's record has been made public as a candidate for District Attorney and DCCC, Gordon-Creed has almost no public track record to speak of. We are unclear what his experience is in holding police officers accountable or on the various issues we've raised. Additionally, it is unknown what experience he brings to the area of police accountability in the last 25 years since his days as a Deputy City Attorney where he represented police officers.

Therefore, we strongly urge the Board of Supervisors to oppose the appointment of both Tung and Gordon-Creed. We believe the Mayor can choose candidates that more accurately align with community values, have authentic and deep ties to the community, and who have a track record to justify their appointment to such an important office.



YOUNG WOMEN'S
FREEDOM CENTER

Sincerely,

Jessica Nowlan
Executive Director
Young Women's Freedom Center

Amika Mota
Policy Director
Young Women's Freedom Center

Krea Gomez
Advocacy and Campaigns Director
Young Women's Freedom Center



AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION
FOUNDATION

Northern
California

May 29, 2020

Via Email

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

bos-supervisors@sfgov.org

bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org

RE: Nominations to the San Francisco Police Commission

Dear Supervisors,

We write to express our serious concerns with Mayor Breed's nomination of Deputy District Attorney Nancy Tung and former Deputy City Attorney Geoffrey Gordon-Creed to the San Francisco Police Commission. As you know, the Police Commission is tasked with:

1. Setting policy for the police department;
2. Conducting disciplinary hearings on charges of police misconduct;
3. Imposing discipline for misconduct; and
4. Hearing police officers' appeals from discipline imposed by the Chief of Police.

Given the numerous incidents of serious misconduct by San Francisco police officers in recent years, from the killings of community members to the exchange of racist, sexist, and homophobic text messages, members and nominees to the Police Commission should have a strong record of holding police officers accountable and [supporting policies that protect the public](#).

However, as recently as last year, Deputy District Attorney Nancy Tung has opposed police accountability. During her campaign for San Francisco District Attorney in November 2019, in response to a questionnaire from the ACLU of Northern California, San Francisco Rising, and the Immigrant Legal Resource Center, she expressly opposed AB 392 (The California Act to Save Lives). Championed by families whose loved ones were killed by the police, AB 392 established that police can use deadly force "only when necessary" to defend against threat of



AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION
FOUNDATION

Northern California

death or serious bodily injury, replacing the vague and dangerous standard that authorized officers to use deadly force whenever “reasonable”.

With AB 392, California went from having one of the deadliest use of force laws in the country to having one of the most protective. Recognizing the significance and critical need of this bill, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors [unanimously voted to support](#) AB 392 on May 7, 2019. The bill was also supported by San Francisco’s District Attorney at the time, George Gascon. AB 392 was signed into law by Gov. Newsom on August, 19, 2019.

Given that AB 392 is a critical tool for police accountability and the Police Commission’s role in holding officers accountable, Tung’s opposition to the law raises serious concerns over her willingness to hold police officers accountable.

Furthermore, Tung was supported by the San Francisco Police Officers Association early in her campaign for District Attorney, raising concerns about her ability to provide independent and objective oversight to the department and to these officers. She also opposed the closure of San Francisco’s juvenile hall, contrary to this Board’s nearly unanimous support, and supported arming police officers with Tasers, which are known to increase use of force incidents by the police.

Gordon-Creed has a scant public record on holding police officers accountable, or even commenting on police accountability laws such as AB 392. It is unclear what relevant experience he brings to the area of police accountability since the early 1990s, when he briefly served as Deputy City Attorney and represented various city agencies, including the Police Department.

At a time when police killings of Black and brown people continue making headlines with the recent killings of Steven Taylor, Breonna Taylor, and George Floyd, the necessity of police accountability, particularly for deadly use of force, is as important as it has ever been. The institutions that must hold police officers accountable for misconduct and abuse of power, such as the San Francisco Police Commission, must be composed of individuals who have strong records of doing so.

We have deep reservations about Tung’s and Gordon-Creed’s nominations to the Police Commission because of the above mentioned history and record, or lack thereof. We urge you to



AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION
FOUNDATION

Northern California

vet all nominees to the commission to ensure they are the best suited to hold the San Francisco Police Department accountable.

Yoel Y. Haile
Criminal Justice Program Manager
ACLU of Northern California

From: matthew.schmitz=ucsf.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Matthew Schmitz](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 11:22:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

In general I have been extremely disappointed my vote for London Breed has been a vote for corruption. Why have you gone about undermining progressive law enforcement practices, homeless sweeps and corrupt relationships with officials? In regards to nominating Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed to the police commission, I have a number of grievances.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

Matthew Schmitz

--

Mr Matthew Schmitz
matthew.schmitz@ucsf.edu

From: [DSA Justice Member](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Confirming entrance into public record: Item 200392 and 200393
Date: Saturday, May 30, 2020 2:57:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mr. Young,

We hope this email finds you well. You were cc'ed on many emails (159 to date) opposing Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed with the subject line "Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission"

We want to confirm that these will be entered into public comment records under item number 200392 and 200393 as listed on the agenda of the Rules Committee meeting (06/01/2020).

Thank you for your help in this matter.

From: atielkin=stanford.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Allison Tielking](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 9:43:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,
Allison Tielking

--

Miss Allison Tielking
atielkin@stanford.edu

From: aredgem=pacbell.net@dsasf.org on behalf of [Red Lee](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 10:57:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Mr Red Lee
aredgem@pacbell.net

From: labloom=stanford.edu@dsasf.org on behalf of [Laura Bloomfield](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please OPPOSE Nancy Tung and Geoffrey Gordon-Creed for Police Commission
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 11:13:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and I am writing to strongly urge you to OPPOSE THE NOMINATIONS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE POLICE COMMISSION.

Nancy Tung has taken positions contrary to the views and values of most San Franciscans and should not represent us on the Police Commission. Geoffrey Gordon-Creed is not qualified to serve on the Police Commission: he has no record of engaging on criminal justice issues or of representing communities impacted by policing policies.

Nancy Tung supported Proposition H, which would have STRIPPED THE POLICE COMMISSION OF THE POWER TO OVERSEE TASER USE-OF-FORCE POLICY. This neutering of civilian oversight is what drove Chief Scott and many other public safety leaders to oppose the proposition. We need Commissioners who respect the Police Commission, not people who support legislation to undermine the Commission. Gordon-Creed took no public position on Proposition H.

NANCY TUNG CONTINUES TO SUPPORT GIVING TASER WEAPONS TO SF POLICE OFFICERS, despite SF voters overwhelmingly rejecting deadly Taser weapons in 2018. Tasers do not replace guns, they replace de-escalation tactics; they are used in addition to and more broadly than guns. A UCSF Study found that deploying tasers in California cities INCREASED use of force and in-custody deaths. Nancy Tung is out of step with the majority of San Franciscans on adding yet another deadly weapon to our streets. A google search for Geoffrey Gordon-Creed "taser" reveals no results.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSES CLOSING SAN FRANCISCO'S JUVENILE HALL, even though the U.S. Department of Justice has documented human rights abuses at San Francisco's facility. Housing children in cages is 2,660% more expensive than utilizing restorative justice approaches. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that children don't belong in cages. GORDON-CREED HAS NO RECORD ON JUVENILE LAW ISSUES and no published position on closing Juvenile Hall.

As a candidate for District Attorney, Nancy Tung ACCEPTED MONEY FROM THE POLARIZING AND CONSERVATIVE POA. She even accepted \$500 from RYAN CROSBY, WHOSE EXTREME RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS RESULTED IN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY. The POA is a far-right organization that regularly condones heinous acts of police violence and trafficks in racist fear-mongering. By accepting their money, Tung made clear that she can NEVER be trusted to hold SFPD officers ACCOUNTABLE. The POA DOES NOT REFLECT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES like tolerance, compassion, and diversity, but I do, and I hope you will, too.

NANCY TUNG OPPOSED ENDING CASH BAIL, which incarcerates people on the basis of wealth and reinforces racial disparities in San Francisco's criminal justice system. Gordon-Creed has never written or spoken publicly about cash bail.

Nancy Tung has repeatedly OPPOSED PROSECUTING POLICE OFFICERS WHO WERE INVOLVED IN SHOOTINGS of San Francisco residents to date. AS WE'RE SEEING NOW IN MINNEAPOLIS, FAILING TO HOLD LAW ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTABLE IS DETRIMENTAL TO PUBLIC SAFETY. We need Police Commissioners who recognize that a community's trust in law enforcement depends on how accountable law

enforcement is to the community. There are no public statements from Gordon-Creed regarding the deadly shootings of Mario Woods, Luis-Gongora Pat, Alex Nieto, Jessica Williams or any other person killed by the SFPD.

We NEED civilian Police Commissioners who are INDEPENDENT from the Police Department they are supposed to monitor. We need Police Commissioners who will exercise meaningful independent oversight over the Police Department, especially with respect to disciplinary action.

San Francisco deserves Police Commissioners who not only represent the diversity of our city and prioritize victim's rights, but can also serve as an independent check on the police department. SAN FRANCISCO'S CHINESE-ASIAN AMERICAN COMMUNITY DESERVES REPRESENTATION on the police commission; LONDON BREED should nominate people who REPRESENT SAN FRANCISCO VALUES, and who have demonstrated interest in and passion for setting police policy and overseeing police discipline. I URGE YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE APPOINTMENTS OF NANCY TUNG AND GEOFFREY GORDON-CREED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION.

Thank you,

--

Dr. Laura Bloomfield
labloom@stanford.edu