



# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

## Planning Commission Motion 19105

HEARING DATE: March 27, 2014

*Hearing Date:* March 27, 2014  
*Date:* March 13, 2014  
*Case No.:* **2011.0558E**  
*Project Address:* **Transit Effectiveness Project (TEP), Citywide**  
*Zoning:* Not applicable  
*Block/Lot:* Not applicable  
*Project Sponsor:* Sean Kennedy, TEP Manager  
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (the SFMTA)  
One South Van Ness Avenue, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94103  
*Staff Contact:* Debra Dwyer – (415) 575-9031  
Debra.Dwyer@sfgov.org

1650 Mission St.  
Suite 400  
San Francisco,  
CA 94103-2479

Reception:  
**415.558.6378**

Fax:  
**415.558.6409**

Planning  
Information:  
**415.558.6377**

### ADOPTING FINDINGS RELATED TO THE CERTIFICATION OF A FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FOR THE TRANSIT EFFECTIVENESS PROJECT AND SERVICE POLICY FRAMEWORK.

MOVED, that the San Francisco Planning Commission (hereinafter "Commission") hereby CERTIFIES the Final Environmental Impact Report identified as Case No. 2011.0558E, the Transit Effectiveness Project, a citywide transit infrastructure project (hereinafter "Project"), based upon the following findings:

1. The City and County of San Francisco, acting through the Planning Department (hereinafter "Department") fulfilled all procedural requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (Cal. Pub. Res. Code Section 21000 *et seq.*, hereinafter "CEQA"), the State CEQA Guidelines (Cal. Admin. Code Title 14, Section 15000 *et seq.*, hereinafter "CEQA Guidelines") and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code (hereinafter "Chapter 31").
  - A. The Department determined that an Environmental Impact Report (hereinafter "EIR") was required and provided public notice of that determination by publication in a newspaper of general circulation on November 9, 2011.
  - B. On July 10, 2013, the Department published the Draft Environmental Impact Report (hereinafter "DEIR") and provided public notice in a newspaper of general circulation of the availability of the DEIR for public review and comment and of the date and time of the Planning Commission public hearing on the DEIR; this notice was mailed to the Department's list of persons requesting such notice and to people that commented on the Initial Study, published January 23, 2013.
  - C. Notices of availability of the DEIR and of the date and time of the public hearing were posted at the San Francisco County Clerk's Office, on transit vehicles, and on the Planning Department's

web site by Department staff on July 10, 2013. In addition, copies of the NOA were provided to all public libraries within San Francisco.

- D. On July 10, 2013, copies of the DEIR were mailed or otherwise delivered to a list of persons requesting it, to those noted on the distribution list in the DEIR, and to government agencies, the latter both directly and through the State Clearinghouse.
  - E. Notice of Completion was filed with the State Secretary of Resources via the State Clearinghouse on July 10, 2013.
2. The Commission held a duly advertised public hearing on said DEIR on August 15, 2013 at which opportunity for public comment was given, and public comment was received on the DEIR. The period for acceptance of written comments ended on September 17, 2013.
  3. The Department prepared responses to comments on environmental issues received at the public hearing and in writing during the 67-day public review period for the DEIR, prepared revisions to the text of the DEIR in response to comments received or based on additional information that became available during the public review period, and corrected errors in the DEIR. This material was presented in a Responses to Comments document, published on March 13, 2014, distributed to the Commission and all parties who commented on the DEIR, and made available to others upon request at the Department.
  4. A Final Environmental Impact Report (hereinafter "FEIR") has been prepared by the Department, consisting of the DEIR, any consultations and comments received during the review process, any additional information that became available, the Responses to Comments document, and any Errata to the FEIR, all as required by law.
  5. Project EIR files have been made available for review by the Commission and the public. These files are available for public review at the Department at 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, and are part of the record before the Commission.
  6. On March 27, 2014, the Commission reviewed and considered the FEIR and hereby does find that the contents of said report and the procedures through which the FEIR was prepared, publicized, and reviewed comply with the provisions of CEQA, the CEQA Guidelines, and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code.
  7. The Planning Commission hereby does find that the FEIR concerning File No. 2011.0558E reflects the independent judgment and analysis of the City and County of San Francisco, is adequate, accurate and objective, and that the Responses to Comments document contains no significant revisions to the DEIR, and hereby does CERTIFY THE COMPLETION of said FEIR in compliance with CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines.
  8. The Commission, in certifying the completion of said FEIR, hereby does find that the project described in the EIR:
    - A. will have the following unavoidable significant project-specific effects on the environment:

**Program Level Components**

Service Policy Framework: Objectives A and C

- **Impact TR-3:** Implementation of the Policy Framework Objective A, Action A.3, and Objective C, Actions C.3 through C.5 may result in significant traffic impacts;
- **Impact TR-5:** Implementation of the Policy Framework Objective A, Action A.3 and Objective C, Actions C.3 through C.5 may result in significant loading impacts;

TPS Toolkit Categories and Program level TTRPs:

- **Impact TR-8:** Implementation of the following TPS Toolkit categories: Lane Modifications and Pedestrian Improvements may result in significant traffic impacts;
- **Impact TR-10:** Implementation of the following TPS Toolkit categories: Transit Stop Changes, Lane Modifications, Parking and Turn Restrictions, and Pedestrian Improvements, may result in significant loading impacts;
- **Impact TR-14:** Implementation of TPS Toolkit elements within the following categories: Lane Modifications and Pedestrian Improvements, along the program-level TTRP corridors may result in significant traffic impacts;

Affected Intersections by program-level TTRP corridor

- TTRP.1, at the intersections of: California/Arguello and California/Park Presidio, California/Cherry, California/Locust, California/Presidio, and California/Divisadero
  - TTRP.22\_2, at the intersection of: Fillmore/Lombard
  - TTRP.K, at the intersections of: Ocean/Junipero Serra, Ocean/Geneva/Phelan, Ocean/Lee, Ocean/Miramar, Ocean/Brighton
- **Impact TR-16:** Implementation of the following TPS Toolkit categories: Transit Stop Changes, Lane Modifications, Parking and Turn Restrictions, and Pedestrian Improvements, along the program-level TTRP corridors may result in significant loading impacts;

**Project Level Components:**

TTRP.14 Moderate Alternative Variant 1

- **Impact TR-48:** Implementation of project-level TTRP.14 Moderate Alternative Variant 1 would result in a reduction in on-street commercial loading supply on Mission Street such that the existing loading demand during the peak hour of loading activities could not be accommodated within on-street loading supply and may create a potentially hazardous condition or significant delay that may affect traffic, transit, bicycles, or pedestrians;

TTRP.14 Moderate Alternative Variant 2

- **Impact TR-49:** Implementation of project-level TTRP.14 Moderate Alternative Variant 2 would result in a reduction in on-street commercial loading supply on Mission Street such

that the existing loading demand during the peak hour of loading activities could not be accommodated within on-street loading supply and may create a potentially hazardous condition or significant delay that may affect traffic, transit, bicycles, or pedestrians;

TTRP.14 Expanded Alternative

- **Impact TR-24:** Implementation of the project-level TTRP.14 Expanded Alternative would result in a significant traffic impact at the intersection of Randall Street/San Jose Avenue that would operate at LOS E or LOS F conditions under Existing plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.14 Expanded Alternative conditions;
- **Impact TR-50:** Implementation of project-level TTRP.14 Expanded Alternative would result in a reduction in on-street commercial loading supply on Mission Street such that the existing loading demand during the peak hour of loading activities could not be accommodated within on-street loading supply and may create a potentially hazardous condition or significant delay that may affect traffic, transit, bicycles, or pedestrians;

TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative

- **Impact TR-26:** Implementation of the project-level TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative would result in a significant traffic impact at the intersection of 16th/Bryant streets that would operate at LOS E or LOS F conditions under Existing plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative conditions;
- **Impact TR-27:** Implementation of the project-level TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative would result in a significant traffic impact at the intersection of 16th Street/Potrero Avenue that would operate at LOS E or LOS F conditions under Existing plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative conditions;
- **Impact TR-28:** Implementation of the project-level TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative would result in a significant traffic impact at the intersection of 16th/Seventh streets that would operate at LOS E or LOS F conditions under Existing plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative conditions;

TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 1

- **Impact TR-30:** Implementation of the project-level TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 1 would result in a significant traffic impact at the intersection of 16th/Bryant streets that would operate at LOS E or LOS F conditions under Existing plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 1 conditions;
- **Impact TR-31:** Implementation of the project-level TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 1 would result in a significant traffic impact at the intersection of 16th Street/Potrero Avenue that would operate at LOS E or LOS F conditions under Existing plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 1 conditions;
- **Impact TR-32:** Implementation of the project-level TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 1 would result in a significant traffic impact at the intersection of 16<sup>th</sup>/Seventh streets that would operate at LOS E or LOS F conditions under Existing plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative conditions;

TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 2

- **Impact TR-34:** Implementation of the project-level TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 2 would result in a significant traffic impact at the intersection of 16th/Bryant streets that would operate at LOS E or LOS F conditions under Existing plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 2 conditions;
- **Impact TR-35:** Implementation of the project-level TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 2 would result in a significant traffic impact at the intersection of 16th Street/Potrero Avenue that would operate at LOS E or LOS F conditions under Existing plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 2 conditions;
- **Impact TR-36:** Implementation of the project-level TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 2 would result in a significant traffic impact at the intersection of 16<sup>th</sup>/Seventh streets that would operate at LOS E or LOS F conditions under Existing plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 2 conditions;

TTRP.30\_1 Moderate Alternative

- **Impact TR-51:** Implementation of project-level TTRP.30\_1 Moderate Alternative would result in a reduction in on-street commercial loading supply on Stockton Street such that the existing loading demand during the peak hour of loading activities could not be accommodated within on-street loading supply and may create a potentially hazardous condition or significant delay that may affect traffic, transit, bicycles, or pedestrians;

TTRP.30\_1 Expanded Alternative

- **Impact TR-38:** Implementation of the project-level TTRP.30\_1 Expanded Alternative would result in a significant traffic impact at the intersection of Columbus Avenue/Green Street/Stockton Street that would operate at LOS E conditions under Existing plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.30\_1 Expanded Alternative conditions;
- **Impact TR-52:** Implementation of project-level TTRP.30\_1 Expanded Alternative would result in a reduction in on-street commercial loading supply on Stockton Street such that the existing loading demand during the peak hour of loading activities could not be accommodated within on-street loading supply and may create a potentially hazardous condition or significant delay that may affect traffic, transit, bicycles, or pedestrians;

TTRP.30\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 1

- **Impact TR-40:** Implementation of the project-level TTRP.30\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 1 would result in a significant traffic impact at the intersection of Columbus Avenue/Green Street/Stockton Street that would operate at LOS E conditions under Existing plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.30\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 1 conditions;
- **Impact TR-53:** Implementation of project-level TTRP.30\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 1 would result in a reduction in on-street commercial loading supply on Stockton Street such that the existing loading demand during the peak hour of loading activities could not be

accommodated within on-street loading supply and may create a potentially hazardous condition or significant delay that may affect traffic, transit, bicycles, or pedestrians;

TTRP.30\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 2

- **Impact TR-42:** Implementation of the project-level TTRP.30\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 2 would result in a significant traffic impact at the intersection of Columbus Avenue/Green Street/Stockton Street that would operate at LOS E conditions under Existing plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.30\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 2 conditions;
- **Impact TR-54:** Implementation of project-level TTRP.30\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 2 would result in a reduction in on-street commercial loading supply on Stockton Street such that the existing loading demand during the peak hour of loading activities could not be accommodated within on-street loading supply and may create a potentially hazardous condition or significant delay that may affect traffic, transit, bicycles, or pedestrians; and

B. will have the following significant cumulative effects on the environment:

- **Impact C-TR-1:** The Service Policy Framework and Service Improvements or Service Variants, in combination with past, present and reasonably foreseeable development in San Francisco, would contribute considerably to a significant cumulative impact on transit, resulting in an exceedance of Muni's capacity utilization standard on the Mission corridor within the Southeast screenline of the Downtown screenlines under 2035 Cumulative plus Service Improvements only conditions;
- **Impact C-TR-2:** The Service Policy Framework, TPS Toolkit elements as applied in the program-level TTRP corridors, and the Service Improvements with the TTRP Moderate Alternative, in combination with past, present and reasonably foreseeable development in San Francisco, would contribute considerably to significant cumulative impacts on transit, resulting in exceedances of Muni's capacity utilization standard on the Fulton/Hayes corridor within the Northwest screenline and on the Mission corridor within the Southeast screenline of the Downtown screenlines under 2035 Cumulative plus Service Improvements and the TTRP Moderate Alternative conditions;
- **Impact C-TR-3:** The Service Policy Framework, the TPS Toolkit elements as applied in the program-level TTRP corridors, and the Service Improvements with the TTRP Expanded Alternative, in combination with past, present and reasonably foreseeable development in San Francisco, would contribute considerably to significant cumulative impacts on transit, resulting in exceedances of Muni's capacity utilization standard on the Fulton/Hayes corridor within the Northwest screenline and on the Mission corridor within the Southeast screenline of the Downtown screenlines under 2035 Cumulative conditions plus Service Improvements and the TTRP Expanded Alternative conditions;
- **Impact C-TR-7:** Implementation of the Service Policy Framework Objective A, Action A.3 and Objective C, Actions C.3 through C.5 and TPS Toolkit categories: Lane Modifications and Pedestrian Improvements as applied in program-level TTRP corridors, in combination with past, present and reasonably foreseeable development in San Francisco, would result

in cumulative traffic impacts at intersections along the corridors under 2035 Cumulative plus Service Improvements and the TTRP Moderate Alternative conditions;

- **Impact C-TR-9:** Implementation of the Service Policy Framework Objective A, Action A.3 and Objective C, Actions C.3 through C.5 and TPS Toolkit categories: Lane Modifications and Pedestrian Improvements as applied in program-level TTRP corridors would result in cumulative traffic impacts at intersections along the corridors under 2035 Cumulative plus Service Improvements and the TTRP Expanded Alternative conditions;
- **Impact C-TR-43:** Implementation of the Policy Framework Objective A, Action A.3 and Objective C, Actions C.3 through C.5, and TPS Toolkit Categories: Transit Stop Changes, Lane Modifications, Parking and Turn Restrictions, and Pedestrian Improvements as applied to the program-level TTRP corridors in combination with past, present and reasonably foreseeable development in San Francisco, would result in cumulative loading impacts;
- **Impact C-TR-49:** Implementation of the Service Policy Framework Objective A, Action A.3 and Objective C, Actions C.3, C.4 and C.5, and the TPS Toolkit categories: Lane Modifications, Parking and Turn Restrictions, and Pedestrian Improvements as applied in program-level TTRP corridors, in combination with past, present and reasonably foreseeable development in San Francisco, may result in significant cumulative parking impacts;

TTRP.J Expanded Alternative

- **Impact C-TR-13:** Implementation of the 2035 Cumulative plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.J Expanded Alternative would contribute considerably to cumulative traffic impacts at the intersection of Market/Church/14th streets during the p.m. peak hour;

TTRP.5 Expanded Alternative

- **Impact C-TR-14:** Implementation of the 2035 Cumulative plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.5 Expanded Alternative would result in cumulative traffic impacts at the intersection of Fulton Street/Masonic Avenue during the p.m. peak hour;

TTRP.8X Expanded Alternative

- **Impact C-TR-15:** Implementation of the 2035 Cumulative plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.8X Expanded Alternative would result in cumulative traffic impacts at the intersection of Geneva Avenue/Carter Street during the p.m. peak hour;
- **Impact C-TR-16:** Implementation of the 2035 Cumulative plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.8X Expanded Alternative would result in cumulative traffic impacts at the intersection of Geneva Avenue/Moscow Street during the p.m. peak hour;

TTRP.14 Variant 1 Moderate Alternative

- **Impact C-TR-44:** Implementation of the project-level TTRP Moderate Alternative including the TTRP.14 Variant 1, TTRP.14 Variant 2, and TTRP.30\_1 in combination with past, present

and other reasonably foreseeable development in San Francisco, would result in cumulative loading impacts;

- **Impact C-TR-52:** Implementation of the project-level TTRP Moderate Alternative for the TTRP.14 Variant 1 or the TTRP.14 Variant 2, in combination with past, present and reasonably foreseeable development in San Francisco, would result in significant cumulative parking impacts;

#### TTRP.14 Variant 2 Moderate Alternative

- **Impact C-TR-44:** Implementation of the project-level TTRP Moderate Alternative including the TTRP.14 Variant 1, TTRP.14 Variant 2, and TTRP.30\_1 in combination with past, present and other reasonably foreseeable development in San Francisco, would result in cumulative loading impacts;
- **Impact C-TR-52:** Implementation of the project-level TTRP Moderate Alternative for the TTRP.14 Variant 1 or the TTRP.14 Variant 2, in combination with past, present and reasonably foreseeable development in San Francisco, would result in significant cumulative parking impacts;

#### TTRP.14 Expanded Alternative

- **Impact C-TR-17:** Implementation of the 2035 Cumulative plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.14 Expanded Alternative would result in project and cumulative traffic impacts at the intersection of Randall Street/San Jose Avenue during the a.m. peak hour;
- **Impact C-TR-18:** Implementation of the 2035 Cumulative plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.14 Expanded Alternative would result in cumulative traffic impacts at the intersection of Mission/Fifth streets during the a.m. peak hour;
- **Impact C-TR-19:** Implementation of the 2035 Cumulative plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.14 Expanded Alternative would result in cumulative impacts at the intersection of Mission/16<sup>th</sup> streets during the p.m. peak hour;
- **Impact C-TR-45:** Implementation of the project-level TTRP Expanded Alternative including the TTRP.14, TTRP.30\_1, TTRP.30\_1 Variant 1, and TTRP.30\_1 Variant 2, in combination with past, present and reasonably foreseeable development in San Francisco, would result in project and cumulative loading impacts;

#### TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative

- **Impact C-TR-20:** Implementation of the 2035 Cumulative plus Service Improvements and TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative would result in project and cumulative traffic impacts at the intersection of 16<sup>th</sup>/Bryant streets during the p.m. peak hour;
- **Impact C-TR-23:** Implementation of the 2035 Cumulative plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative would result in project and cumulative traffic impacts at the intersection of 16<sup>th</sup>/Potrero streets during the p.m. peak hour;



- **Impact C-TR-26:** Implementation of the 2035 Cumulative plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative would result in cumulative traffic impacts at the intersection of 16<sup>th</sup>/Owens streets during the p.m. peak hour;
- **Impact C-TR-29:** Implementation of the 2035 Cumulative plus Service Improvements plus the TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative would result in cumulative traffic impacts at the intersection of 16<sup>th</sup>/Fourth streets during the a.m. and p.m. peak hours;
- **Impact C-TR-32:** Implementation of the 2035 Cumulative plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative would result in project and cumulative traffic impacts at the intersection of 16<sup>th</sup>/Seventh streets during the a.m. and p.m. peak hours;
- **Impact C-TR-54:** Implementation of the project-level TTRP Expanded Alternative for the TTRP.22\_1, TTRP.22\_1 Variant 1, or TTRP.22\_1 Variant 2, in combination with past, present and reasonably foreseeable development in San Francisco, would result in significant cumulative parking impacts;

TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 1

- **Impact C-TR-21:** Implementation of the 2035 Cumulative plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 1 would result in project and traffic cumulative impacts at the intersection of 16<sup>th</sup>/Bryant streets during the p.m. peak hour;
- **Impact C-TR-24:** Implementation of the 2035 Cumulative plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 1 would result in project and cumulative traffic impacts at the intersection of 16<sup>th</sup>/Potrero streets during the p.m. peak hour;
- **Impact C-TR-27:** Implementation of the 2035 Cumulative plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 1 would result in cumulative traffic impacts at the intersection of 16<sup>th</sup>/Owens streets during the p.m. peak hour;
- **Impact C-TR-30:** Implementation of the 2035 Cumulative plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 1 would result in cumulative traffic impacts at the intersection of 16<sup>th</sup>/Fourth streets during the a.m. and p.m. peak hours;
- **Impact C-TR-33:** Implementation of the 2035 Cumulative plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 1 would result in project and cumulative traffic impacts at the intersection of 16<sup>th</sup>/Seventh streets during the a.m. and p.m. peak hours;
- **Impact C-TR-54:** Implementation of the project-level TTRP Expanded Alternative for the TTRP.22\_1, TTRP.22\_1 Variant 1, or TTRP.22\_1 Variant 2, in combination with past, present and reasonably foreseeable development in San Francisco, would result in significant cumulative parking impacts;

TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 2

- **Impact C-TR-22:** Implementation of the 2035 Cumulative plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 2 would result in project and cumulative traffic impacts at the intersection of 16<sup>th</sup>/Bryant streets during the p.m. peak hour;

- **Impact C-TR-25:** Implementation of the 2035 Cumulative plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 2 would result in project and cumulative traffic impacts at the intersection of 16<sup>th</sup>/Potrero streets during the p.m. peak hour;
- **Impact C-TR-28:** Implementation of the 2035 Cumulative plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 2 would result in cumulative traffic impacts at the intersection of 16<sup>th</sup>/Owens streets during the p.m. peak hour;
- **Impact C-TR-31:** Implementation of the 2035 Cumulative plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 2 would result in cumulative traffic impacts at the intersection of 16<sup>th</sup>/Fourth streets during the a.m. and p.m. peak hours;
- **Impact C-TR-34:** Implementation of the 2035 Cumulative plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.22\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 2 would result in project and cumulative traffic impacts at the intersection of 16<sup>th</sup>/Seventh streets during the a.m. and p.m. peak hours;
- **Impact C-TR-54:** Implementation of the project-level TTRP Expanded Alternative for the TTRP.22\_1, TTRP.22\_1 Variant 1, or TTRP.22\_1 Variant 2, in combination with past, present and reasonably foreseeable development in San Francisco, would result in significant cumulative parking impacts;

TTRP.30\_1 Moderate Alternative

- **Impact C-TR-44:** Implementation of the project-level TTRP Moderate Alternative including the TTRP.14 Variant 1, TTRP.14 Variant 2, and TTRP.30\_1 in combination with past, present and other reasonably foreseeable development in San Francisco, would result in cumulative loading impacts;

TTRP.30\_1 Expanded Alternative

- **Impact C-TR-35:** Implementation of the 2035 Cumulative plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.30\_1 Expanded Alternative would result in project and cumulative traffic impacts at the intersection of Columbus Avenue/Green Street/Stockton Street;
- **Impact C-TR-45:** Implementation of the project-level TTRP Expanded Alternative including the TTRP.14, TTRP.30\_1, TTRP.30\_1 Variant 1, and TTRP.30\_1 Variant 2, in combination with past, present and reasonably foreseeable development in San Francisco, would result in project and cumulative loading impacts;

TTRP.30\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 1

- **Impact C-TR-36:** Implementation of the 2035 Cumulative plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.30\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 1 would result in project and cumulative traffic impacts at the intersection of Columbus Avenue/Green Street/Stockton Street; and
- **Impact C-TR-45:** Implementation of the project-level TTRP Expanded Alternative including the TTRP.14, TTRP.30\_1, TTRP.30\_1 Variant 1, and TTRP.30\_1 Variant 2, in combination with past, present and reasonably foreseeable development in San Francisco, would result in project and cumulative loading impacts; and

TTRP.30\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 2

- **Impact C-TR-37:** Implementation of the 2035 Cumulative plus Service Improvements and the TTRP.30\_1 Expanded Alternative Variant 2 would result in project and cumulative traffic impacts at the intersection of Columbus Avenue/Green Street/Stockton Street; and
- **Impact C-TR-45:** Implementation of the project-level TTRP Expanded Alternative including the TTRP.14, TTRP.30\_1, TTRP.30\_1 Variant 1, and TTRP.30\_1 Variant 2, in combination with past, present and reasonably foreseeable development in San Francisco, would result in project and cumulative loading impacts.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was ADOPTED by the Planning Commission at its regular meeting of March 27, 2014.



Jonas Ionin  
Commission Secretary

AYES: Wu, Fong, Hillis, Borden, Sugaya, and Moore

NOES: Antonini

ABSENT: None

ADOPTED: March 27, 2014