

Ryan, James (DPW)

From: Jardines, Esmeralda (CPC)
Sent: Wednesday, May 03, 2017 9:20 AM
To: DPW, Subdivision Mapping(DPW)
Cc: Ryan, James (DPW); Caldwell, Ben (CPC); tadair@bkf.com
Subject: 0 Yerba Buena Avenue 2007.0903SUB
Attachments: TI-YBI MMRP Final 4-7-11.pdf; 2007.0903SUBCND.pdf

Categories: Tsege

Hello Subdivision and Mapping,

Attached please find the zoning referral approval for 0 Yerba Buena Avenue case no. 2007.0903SUBCND. Also attached is the corresponding MMRP per case no. 2007.0903E.

Thank you,
Esmeralda Jardines

Planner, Current Planning, SE Quadrant

SAN FRANCISCO

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

1650 Mission Street, #400 | SF, CA 94103
esmeralda.jardines@sfgov.org | 415.575.9144

EXHIBIT 1: MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)				
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
MITIGATION MEASURES FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND/YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT				
<i>Cultural and Paleontological Resources (Archeological Resources) Mitigation Measures</i>				
<p>Mitigation Measure M-CP-1: Archaeological Testing, Monitoring, Data Recovery and Reporting. Based on a reasonable presumption that archaeological resources may be present within the Redevelopment Plan Project Area, the following measures shall be undertaken to avoid any potentially significant adverse effect from the proposed project on buried or submerged historical resources. The project sponsors shall retain the services of an archaeological consultant from the pool of qualified archaeological consultants maintained by the Planning Department archaeologist. The archaeological consultant shall undertake an archaeological testing program as specified herein. In addition, a professionally qualified geo-archaeologist shall undertake a geo-archaeological assessment of the project area. The archaeological consultant shall be available to conduct an archaeological monitoring and/or data recovery program if required pursuant to this measure. The archaeological consultant's work shall be conducted in accordance with this measure and the requirements of the ARDTP (Archeo-Tec, Archaeological Research Design and Treatment Plan, Treasure Island Redevelopment Plan Project, City and County of San Francisco, CA, October 2009) at the direction of the Environmental Review Officer ("ERO"). In instances of inconsistency between the requirements of the project ARDTP and the requirements of this mitigation measure, the requirements of this archaeological mitigation measure shall prevail. All plans and reports prepared by the consultant as specified herein shall be submitted first and directly to the ERO for review and comment, and shall be considered draft reports subject to revision until final approval by the ERO. Archaeological monitoring and/or data recovery programs required by this measure could suspend construction of the project for a maximum of four weeks. At the direction of the ERO, the suspension of construction can be extended beyond four weeks only if such a suspension is the only feasible means to reduce to a less-than-significant level of potential effects on a significant archaeological resource as defined in <i>CEQA Guidelines</i> Section 15064.5 (a)(c).</p> <p>Archaeological Testing Program The archaeological consultant shall prepare and submit to the ERO for review and approval an archaeological testing plan ("ATP"). The archaeological testing program shall be conducted in accordance with the approved ATP. The ATP shall identify the property types of the expected archaeological resource(s) that potentially could be adversely</p>	<p>Project sponsors* to retain qualified professional consultants (archaeologist and geo-archaeologist) from the pool of consultants maintained by the Planning Department</p> <p>Archaeological consultant to undertake</p>	<p>Prior to commencement of soil-disturbing activities, submittal of reports for approval by Planning Department</p> <p>Archaeological Testing Plan to be submitted to and approved by ERO</p>	<p>(See below regarding archaeologist's reports.)</p> <p>Geo-archeological consultant to submit geo-archaeological assessment of the project area to Planning Department with a copy to TIDA</p> <p>Consultant to prepare ATP in consultation with the ERO.</p>	

*Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term "project sponsors" shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

**EXHIBIT 1:
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>affected by the proposed project, the testing method to be used, and the locations recommended for testing. The purpose of the archaeological testing program will be to determine, to the extent possible, the presence or absence of previously undiscovered archaeological resources and to identify and to evaluate whether any archaeological resource encountered on the site constitutes an historical resource under CEQA.</p> <p>At the completion of the archaeological testing program, the archaeological consultant shall submit a written report of the findings to the ERO. If based on the archaeological testing program the archaeological consultant finds that significant archaeological resources may be present, the ERO, in consultation with the archaeological consultant, shall determine if additional measures are warranted. Additional measures that may be undertaken include additional archaeological testing, archaeological monitoring, and/or an archaeological data recovery program. If the ERO determines that a significant archaeological resource is present and that the resource could be adversely affected by the proposed project, at the discretion of the project sponsors, either:</p> <p>(A) The proposed project shall be re-designed so as to avoid any adverse effect on the significant archaeological resource; or</p> <p>(B) A data recovery program shall be implemented, unless the ERO determines that the archaeological resource is of greater interpretive than research significance and that interpretive use of the resource is feasible, in which case interpretive reuse shall be required.</p> <p>Archaeological Monitoring Program (AMP)</p> <p>If the ERO in consultation with the archaeological consultant determines that an archaeological monitoring program shall be implemented, the archaeological monitoring program shall minimally include the following provisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The archaeological consultant, project sponsors, and ERO shall meet and consult on the scope of the AMP reasonably prior to any project-related soils-disturbing activities commencing. The ERO in consultation with the archaeological consultant shall determine what project activities shall be archaeologically monitored. In most cases, any soils-disturbing activities, such as demolition, foundation removal, excavation, grading, utilities installation, foundation work, driving of piles (foundation, shoring, etc.), site remediation, etc., shall require archaeological monitoring because of the risk these activities pose to potential archaeological resources and to their depositional context; 	<p>archaeological testing program</p> <p>Archaeological consultant to submit results of testing, and in consultation with ERO, determine whether redesign or a data recovery program is warranted</p> <p>Project sponsors and their archaeologist(s), in consultation with ERO</p> <p>and</p>	<p>prior to testing, which is to be prior to any excavation for each phase of site preparation or construction</p> <p>At the completion of the archaeological testing program</p> <p>Prior to any demolition or removal activities, and during construction at any location</p>	<p>Consultant to submit report of findings from testing program to Planning Department with a copy to TIDA</p> <p>Consultant to prepare Archaeological Monitoring Program (AMP) in consultation with the ERO.</p>	

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term "project sponsors" shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

EXHIBIT 1: MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)				
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The archaeological consultant shall advise all project contractors to be on the alert for evidence of the presence of the expected resource(s), of how to identify the evidence of the expected resource(s), and of the appropriate protocol in the event of apparent discovery of an archaeological resource; • The archaeological monitor(s) shall be present on the project site according to a schedule agreed upon by the archaeological consultant and the ERO until the ERO has, in consultation with the project archaeological consultant, determined that project construction activities could have no effects on significant archaeological deposits; • The archaeological monitor shall record and be authorized to collect soil samples and artifactual/ecofactual material as warranted for analysis; • If an intact archaeological deposit is encountered, all soils-disturbing activities in the vicinity of the deposit shall cease. The archaeological monitor shall be empowered to temporarily redirect demolition/excavation/pile driving/construction activities and equipment until the deposit is evaluated. If in the case of pile-driving activity (foundation, shoring, etc.), the archaeological monitor has cause to believe that the pile-driving activity may affect an archaeological resource, the pile-driving activity shall be terminated until an appropriate evaluation of the resource has been made in consultation with the ERO. The archaeological consultant shall immediately notify the ERO of the encountered archaeological deposit. The archaeological consultant shall make a reasonable effort to assess the identity, integrity, and significance of the encountered archaeological deposit, and present the findings of this assessment to the ERO. <p>Whether or not significant archaeological resources are encountered, the archaeological consultant shall submit a written report of the findings of the monitoring program to the ERO.</p> <p>Archaeological Data Recovery Program</p> <p>The archaeological data recovery program shall be conducted in accord with an archaeological data recovery plan (“ADRP”). The archaeological consultant, project sponsors, and ERO shall meet and consult on the scope of the ADRP prior to preparation of a draft ADRP. The archaeological consultant shall submit a draft ADRP to the ERO.</p>	<p>Archeological monitor and project sponsors' and their construction contractors</p> <p>Archaeological consultant</p> <p>Project sponsors and their archaeologist, in consultation with ERO</p>	<p>As construction contractors are retained, prior to any soils-disturbing activities</p> <p>Schedules for monitoring to be established in the AMP, in consultation with ERO</p> <p>Upon completion of soil-disturbing activities on each site</p>	<p>Archaeological consultant to advise all construction contractors</p> <p>Archaeological monitor(s) to observe construction according to the schedules established in the AMP for each site</p> <p>Archaeological monitor(s) shall temporarily redirect construction activities as necessary and consult with ERO</p> <p>Written report of findings of each monitoring program to be submitted to ERO with a copy to TIDA</p>	

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term “project sponsors” shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

**EXHIBIT 1:
 MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT
 (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>The ERO shall review the draft ARDP to ensure adherence to this mitigation measure and the standards and requirements set forth in the ARDTP. The ADRP shall identify how the proposed data recovery program will preserve the significant information the archaeological resource is expected to contain. That is, the ADRP will identify what scientific/historical research questions are applicable to the expected resource, what data classes the resource is expected to possess, and how the expected data classes would address the applicable research questions. Data recovery, in general, should be limited to the portions of the resource that could be adversely affected by the proposed project. Destructive data recovery methods shall not be applied to portions of the archaeological resources if non-destructive methods are practical.</p> <p>The scope of the ADRP shall include the following elements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Field Methods and Procedures. Descriptions of proposed field strategies, procedures, and operations. • Cataloguing and Laboratory Analysis. Description of selected cataloguing system and artifact analysis procedures. • Discard and De-accession Policy. Description of and rationale for field and post-field discard and de-accession policies. • Interpretive Program. Consideration of an on-site/off-site public interpretive program during the course of the archaeological data recovery program. • Security Measures. Recommended security measures to protect the archaeological resource from vandalism, looting, and non-intentionally damaging activities. • Final Report. Description of proposed report format and distribution of results. • Curation. Description of the procedures and recommendations for the curation of any recovered data having potential research value, identification of appropriate curation facilities, and a summary of the accession policies of the curation facilities. <p>Human Remains and Associated or Unassociated Funerary Objects The treatment of human remains and of associated or unassociated funerary objects discovered during any soils-disturbing activity shall comply with applicable State and Federal laws. This shall include immediate notification of the Coroner of the City and County of San Francisco and in the event of the Coroner's determination that the human</p>	<p>Project sponsors and their archaeologist(s), in consultation with ERO</p>	<p>Prior to any demolition or removal activities, approval of interpretative materials to occur.</p> <p>Considered complete once verification of donation of occurs.</p> <p>Ongoing throughout soils-disturbing activities</p>	<p>Consultant to prepare Archaeological Data Recovery Program in consultation with ERO. Final ADRP to be submitted to ERO with a copy to TIDA</p> <p>If applicable, upon discovery of human remains and/or associated or unassociated funerary objects, the consultant shall</p>	

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term "project sponsors" shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

**EXHIBIT 1:
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>remains are Native American remains, notification of the California State NAHC who shall appoint a MLD (Pub. Res. Code Sec. 5097.98). The archaeological consultant, project sponsors, and MLD shall make all reasonable efforts to develop an agreement for the treatment of, with appropriate dignity, human remains and associated or unassociated funerary objects (CEQA Guidelines Sec. 15064.5(d)). The agreement should take into consideration the appropriate excavation, removal, recordation, analysis, custodianship, curation, and final disposition of the human remains and associated or unassociated funerary objects.</p> <p>Final Archaeological Resources Report</p> <p>The archaeological consultant shall submit a Draft Final Archaeological Resources Report (FARR) to the ERO that evaluates the historical significance of any discovered archaeological resource and describes the archaeological and historical research methods employed in the archaeological testing/monitoring/data recovery program(s) undertaken. Information that may put at risk any archaeological resource shall be provided in a separate removable insert within the final report.</p> <p>Once approved by the ERO, copies of the FARR shall be distributed as follows: California Archaeological Site Survey Northwest Information Center (NWIC) shall receive one (1) copy and the ERO shall receive a copy of the transmittal of the FARR to the NWIC. The Major Environmental Analysis division of the Planning Department shall receive two copies (bound and unbound) of the FARR, and one unlocked, searchable PDF copy on a compact disk. MEA shall receive a copy of any formal site recordation forms (CA DPR 523 series) and/or documentation for nomination to the National Register of Historic Places/California Register of Historical Resources. In instances of high public interest in or the high interpretive value of the resource, the ERO may require a different final report content, format, and distribution than that presented above.</p>	<p>Project sponsors and their archaeologist, in consultation with ERO</p>	<p>Upon completion of construction at a given site</p> <p>Upon approval of Final Archaeological Resources Report by ERO</p>	<p>notify the Coroner of the City and County of San Francisco, and in the event of the Coroner's determination that the human remains, notification of the California State Native American Heritage Commission who shall appoint a Most Likely Descendant (MLD) who shall make reasonable efforts to develop an agreement for the treatment of human remains and/or associated or unassociated funerary objects.</p> <p>Consultant to prepare draft and final Archeological Resources Report reports. The ERO to review and approve the Final Archeological Resources Report</p> <p>Consultant to transmit final, approved documentation to NWIC, the Planning Department, and TIDA</p>	

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term "project sponsors" shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

**EXHIBIT 1:
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>Mitigation Measure M-CP-3: Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Program. The project sponsor shall retain the services of a qualified paleontological consultant having expertise in California paleontology to design and implement a Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Program. The PRMMP shall include a description of when and where construction monitoring would be required; emergency discovery procedures; sampling and data recovery procedures; procedure for the preparation, identification, analysis, and curation of fossil specimens and data recovered; preconstruction coordination procedures; and procedures for reporting the results of the monitoring program.</p> <p>The PRMMP shall be consistent with the Society for Vertebrate Paleontology Standard Guidelines for the mitigation of construction-related adverse impacts to paleontological resources and the requirements of the designated repository for any fossils collected. During construction, earth-moving activities shall be monitored by a qualified paleontological consultant having expertise in California paleontology in the areas where these activities have the potential to disturb previously undisturbed native sediment or sedimentary rocks. Monitoring need not be conducted in areas where the ground has been previously disturbed, in areas of artificial fill, in areas underlain by nonsedimentary rocks, or in areas where exposed sediment would be buried, but otherwise undisturbed. This, by definition, would exclude all of Treasure Island; accordingly, this mitigation measure would apply only to work on Yerba Buena Island.</p> <p>The consultant's work shall be conducted in accordance with this measure and at the direction of the City's ERO. Plans and reports prepared by the consultant shall be submitted first and directly to the ERO for review and comment, and shall be considered draft reports subject to revision until final approval by the ERO. Paleontological monitoring and/or data recovery programs required by this measure could suspend construction of the Proposed Project for as short a duration as reasonably possible and in no event for more than a maximum of four weeks. At the direction of the ERO, the suspension of construction can be extended beyond four weeks only if such a suspension is the only feasible means to reduce potential effects on a significant paleontological resource as previously defined to a less-than-significant level.</p>	<p>Project sponsors to retain appropriately qualified consultant to prepare PRMMP, carry out monitoring, and reporting for each excavation site on Yerba Buena Island</p>	<p>Prior to and during construction on each site involving excavation on Yerba Buena Island.</p> <p>The project paleontological consultant to consult with the ERO as indicated; completed when ERO accepts final report</p>	<p>ERO to approve final PRMMP.</p> <p>Consultant shall provide brief monthly reports to ERO during monitoring or as identified in the PRMMP, with copies to TIDA, and notify the ERO immediately if work should stop for data recovery during monitoring.</p> <p>The ERO to review and approve the final documentation as established in the PRMMP</p>	
<p>Cultural and Paleontological Resources (Historical Resources) Mitigation Measures</p>				
<p>Mitigation Measure M-CP-6: Review of Alterations to the Contributing Landscape of Building 1. During the design review process, TIDA is required, according to draft <i>Design for Development</i> Standard T5.10.1, to find that Building 1's rehabilitation is consistent with the Secretary's Standards. In making that finding, TIDA shall also consider any proposed alterations to and within the contributing</p>	<p>TIDA in consultation with qualified professional preservation architect,</p>	<p>During the design review process, prior to TIDA's approval of design for Building 1</p>	<p>TIDA</p>	

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term "project sponsors" shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

**EXHIBIT 1:
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
landscape areas identified by the HRE as contributing to the CRHR eligibility of Building 1. TIDA shall not approve a design proposal for Building 1 unless it makes a finding that any such alterations, when taken together with the alterations and additions to Building 1 itself, comply with the Secretary's Standards.	architectural historian, and/or planner experienced with applying Secretary's Standards to adaptive reuse projects			
Mitigation Measure M-CP-7: Review of New Construction within the Contributing Landscape West of Building 1. During the design review process, TIDA is required, according to the draft <i>Design for Development</i> (Standard T5.10.1), to find that Building 1's rehabilitation is consistent with the Secretary's Standards. In making that finding, TIDA shall also consider proposed new construction west of Building 1 within its associated contributing landscape areas. TIDA shall not approve a design proposal for Building 1 unless it makes a finding that any such new construction, when taken together with the alterations and additions to Building 1 itself, comply with the Secretary's Standards.	TIDA in consultation with qualified preservation specialist	During the design review process, prior to TIDA's approval of design for Building 1	TIDA	
Mitigation Measure M-CP-9: Documentation and Interpretation <u>Documentation</u> The project sponsors shall retain a professional who meets the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualifications Standards for Architectural History to prepare written and photographic documentation of the historical resource. The documentation for the property shall be prepared based on the National Park Service's Historic American Building Survey ("HABS") / Historic American Engineering Record ("HAER") Historical Report Guidelines. This type of documentation is based on a combination of both HABS/HAER standards (Levels II and III) and the National Park Service's policy for photographic documentation as outlined in the National Register of Historic Places and National Historic Landmarks ("NHL") Survey Photo Policy Expansion. The written historical data for this documentation shall follow HABS/HAER Level I standards. The written data shall be accompanied by a sketch plan of the property. Efforts should also be made to locate original construction drawings or plans of the property during the period of significance. If located, these drawings should be photographed, reproduced, and included in the dataset. If construction drawings or plans cannot be located, as-built drawings shall be produced. Either HABS/HAER standard large format or digital photography shall be used. If	Project sponsors to retain qualified professional consultant. Consultant to prepare documentation TIDA shall review, request revisions if appropriate, and ultimately approve documentation	Prior to any action to demolish or remove the Damage Control Trainer, Consultant to submit HABS/HAER/HALS Guidelines documentation for review by TIDA.	Consultant to submit draft and final documentation prepared pursuant to HABS/HAER/HALS Guidelines to TIDA for review and approval. Following approval of documentation, consultant to transmit documentation to the SF History Center in SF Library, TIDA, Planning Department, and NWIC.	

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term "project sponsors" shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

**EXHIBIT 1:
 MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT
 (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>digital photography is used, the ink and paper combinations for printing photographs must be in compliance with NRHP-NHL Photo Policy Expansion and have a permanency rating of approximately 115 years. Digital photographs will be taken as uncompressed, TIF file format. The size of each image will be 1600x1200 pixels at 330 pixels per inch or larger, color format, and printed in black and white. The file name for each electronic image shall correspond with the index of photographs and photograph label.</p> <p>Photograph views for the dataset shall include (1) contextual views; (2) views of each side of each building and interior views, where possible; (3) oblique views of buildings; and (4) detail views of character-defining features, including features of the interiors of some buildings. All views shall be referenced on a photographic key. This photographic key shall be on a map of the property and shall show the photograph number with an arrow to indicate the direction of the view. Historic photographs shall also be collected, reproduced, and included in the dataset.</p> <p>All written and photographic documentation of the historical resource shall be approved by TIDA prior to any demolition and removal activities. The project sponsors shall transmit such documentation to the San Francisco History Center of the San Francisco Public Library, and to the Northwest Information Center of the California Historical Information Resource System.</p> <p><u>Interpretation</u></p> <p>The project sponsors shall provide a permanent display of interpretive materials concerning the history and architectural features of the historical resource within public spaces of Treasure Island. The specific location, media, and other characteristics of such interpretive display shall be approved by TIDA prior to any demolition or removal activities.</p>	<p>TIDA to establish location(s), media, and characteristics of the display.</p> <p>Project sponsors and their architectural historian to prepare the display</p>	<p>Prior to demolition or removal activities</p>	<p>TIDA</p>	

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term "project sponsors" shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

EXHIBIT 1: MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)				
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<i>Transportation Mitigation Measures</i>				
<p>Mitigation Measure M-TR-1: Construction Traffic Management Program. The project sponsors shall develop and implement a Construction Traffic Management Plan (“CTMP”), consistent with the standards and objectives stated below and approved by TIDA, designed to anticipate and minimize transportation impacts of various construction activities associated with the Proposed Project.</p> <p>The Plan shall disseminate appropriate information to contractors and affected agencies with respect to coordinating construction activities to minimize overall disruptions and ensure that overall circulation on the Islands is maintained to the extent possible, with particular focus on ensuring pedestrian, transit, and bicycle connectivity and access to the Bay and to recreational uses to the extent feasible. The CTMP shall supplement and expand, rather than modify or supersede, any manual, regulations, or provisions set forth by SFMTA, Department of Public Works (“DPW”), or other City departments and agencies.</p> <p>Specifically, the CTMP shall:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify construction traffic management best practices in San Francisco, as well as other jurisdictions that, although not being implemented in the City, could provide valuable information for a project of the size and characteristics of Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island. • As applicable, describe procedures required by different departments and/or agencies in the City for implementation of a Construction Traffic Management Plan, such as reviewing agencies, approval processes, and estimated timelines. For example: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The construction contractor will need to coordinate temporary and permanent changes to the transportation network on Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island with TIDA. Once Treasure Island streets are accepted as City streets, temporary traffic and transportation changes must be coordinated through the SFMTA’s Interdepartmental Staff Committee on Traffic and Transportation (“ISCOTT”) and will require a public meeting. As part of this process, the CTMP may be reviewed by SFMTA’s Transportation Advisory Committee (“TASC”) to resolve internal differences between different transportation modes. - For construction activities conducted within Caltrans right-of-way, Caltrans Deputy Directive 60 (DD-60) requires a separate Transportation Management 	<p>Project sponsors for each subphase, and their construction contractor(s) to prepare CTMP</p> <p>TIDA to coordinate with other City agencies and approve CTMP for each sub-development phase</p> <p>Construction contractors to disseminate appropriate information from the CTMP to employees and subcontractors.</p> <p>Project sponsors for each Sub-Phase and their construction contractor to implement approved CTMP, including each of the bulleted items</p>	<p>Prepare CTMP and submit for approval prior to construction of the first Sub-Phase of the first Major Phase, to be updated for each subsequent Sub-Phase</p> <p>In advance of construction activities in Caltrans</p>	<p>Construction contractors to report to TIDA, San Francisco Metropolitan Transportation Authority, and Department of Public Works, with copies to Planning Department, and TITMA</p> <p>Construction contractors and permit applicants to</p>	

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term “project sponsors” shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

**EXHIBIT 1:
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>Plan and contingency plans. These plans shall be part of the normal project development process and must be considered during the planning stage to allow for the proper cost, scope and scheduling of the TMP activities on Caltrans right-of-way. These plans should adhere to Caltrans standards and guidelines for stage construction, construction signage, traffic handling, lane and ramp closures and TMP documentation for all work within Caltrans right-of-way.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Changes to transit lines would be coordinated and approved, as appropriate, by SFMTA, AC Transit, and TITMA. The CTMP would set forth the process by which transit route changes would be requested and approved. Require consultation with other Island users, including the Job Corps and Coast Guard, to assist coordination of construction traffic management strategies. The project sponsors shall proactively coordinate with these groups prior to developing their CTMP to ensure the needs of the other users on the Islands are addressed within the Construction Traffic Management Plan. Identify construction traffic management strategies and other elements for the Proposed Project, and present a cohesive program of operational and demand management strategies designed to maintain acceptable levels of traffic flow during periods of construction activities. These include, but are not limited to, construction strategies, demand management activities, alternative route strategies, and public information strategies. For example, the project sponsors may develop a circulation plan for the Island during construction to ensure that existing users can clearly navigate through the construction zones without substantial disruption. Require contractors to notify vendors that STAA trucks larger than 65 feet exiting from the eastbound direction of the Bay Bridge may only use the off-ramp on the east side of Yerba Buena Island. 	<p>Project sponsors and construction contractor(s)</p> <p>Project sponsors and construction contractor(s)</p> <p>Construction contractor(s)</p>	<p>right-of-way</p> <p>Prior to completion of CTMP and during construction</p> <p>Prior to completion of CTMP and during construction</p> <p>When contracting with vendors</p>	<p>coordinate with Caltrans and submit Certification Checklist forms to Caltrans when appropriate</p> <p>Project sponsors to report to SFMTA, AC-Transit, and TITMA</p> <p>Construction contractor(s) to report vendor notifications to TIDA</p>	

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term "project sponsors" shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

**EXHIBIT 1:
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>Mitigation Measure M-TR-24: Provide Transit Only Lane between First Street on Treasure Island and the transit and emergency vehicle-only westbound Bay Bridge on-ramp. Implementation of Mitigation Measure M-TR-24 would only be triggered if the extent of actual vehicle queuing impacts the proposed Muni line 108-Treasure Island on Treasure Island Road and creates delays for Muni buses accessing the westbound transit-only on-ramp. As such, throughout the life of the project, the TITMA, in consultation with SFMTA and using SFMTA's methodology, shall monitor the length and duration of potential queues on Treasure Island Road and the associated delays to Muni service. If the queues between First Street and the westbound on-ramp on the west side of Yerba Buena Island result in an operational delay to Muni service equal to or greater than the prevailing headway during the AM, PM or Saturday peak periods, SFMTA, in consultation with TITMA, shall implement a southbound transit-only lane between First Street on Treasure Island and the transit and emergency vehicle-only westbound Bay Bridge on-ramp. The implementation of a transit-only lane would be triggered if impacts are observed over the course of six months at least 50 percent of the time during the AM, PM, or Saturday peak periods.</p> <p>Implementation of this mitigation measure would entail the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Elimination or reduction of the proposed median on Treasure Island Road between First Street and just south of Macalla Road; and • Elimination of the proposed southbound Class II bicycle lane on Treasure Island Road and a small portion of Hillcrest Road south of the intersection with Macalla Road. The Class I facility on Treasure Island Road connecting Treasure Island and the proposed new lookout point, just south of the Macalla Road intersection, would remain. Bicyclists who use the Class I path to the lookout point and continue on Treasure Island Road toward Hillcrest Road would have to share the lane with traffic, similar to other roadways where bicycle lanes are not provided. Bicyclists would still be able to use Class I bicycle paths and Class II bicycle lanes proposed on Macalla Road to connect between the Islands and the bicycle path on the new east span of the Bay Bridge. 	<p>TITMA to carry out monitoring</p> <p>Project sponsors and sponsors' construction contractor to carry out restriping pursuant to SFMTA requirements and standards if/when determined necessary</p>	<p>TITMA, in consultation with SFMTA shall monitor the length and duration of potential queues on Treasure Island Road and the associated delays to Muni service on a quarterly (every 3 months) basis on a Saturday and three consecutive weekdays (Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday).</p> <p>Monitoring shall be increased to a monthly basis once delay to Muni is equal to or greater than the prevailing headway during the AM, PM, or Saturday peak periods.</p> <p>The monitoring shall begin upon installation of the metering light on the westbound on-ramp on the east side of YBI, or upon completion of 1,000 dwelling units, whichever occurs first.</p> <p>The measure shall be implemented when the queues between First Street and the westbound on-ramp on the west side of Yerba Buena Island result in an operational delay to Muni service</p>	<p>TITMA to report to SFMTA</p>	

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term "project sponsors" shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

EXHIBIT 1: MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)				
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
responding to complaints about noise during construction. The telephone number of the Noise Disturbance Coordinator shall be conspicuously posted at the construction site and shall be provided to the City. Copies of the construction schedule shall also be posted at nearby noise-sensitive areas.	work with Coordinator and post construction schedule			
<p>Mitigation Measure M-NO-1b: Pile Driving Noise-Reducing Techniques and Muffling Devices. The project sponsors and developers of each structure (project applicant) shall require the construction contractor to use noise-reducing pile driving techniques if nearby structures are subject to pile driving noise and vibration. These techniques shall include pre-drilling pile holes (if feasible, based on soils; see Mitigation Measure M-NO-2) to the maximum feasible depth, installing intake and exhaust mufflers on pile driving equipment, vibrating piles into place when feasible, and installing shrouds around the pile driving hammer where feasible.</p> <p>Construction contractors shall be required to use construction equipment with state-of-the-art noise shielding and muffling devices. In addition, at least 48 hours prior to pile-driving activities, the Project Applicant shall notify building owners and occupants within 500 feet of the project site of the dates, hours, and expected duration of such activities.</p>	Project sponsors and developers of each structure to require construction contractor(s) to identify the selected noise-reducing pile driving techniques and noise shielding and muffling devices	<p>During construction of each phase, if pile driving is required.</p> <p>Notification of building owners and occupants within 500 feet of the project site of the dates, hours, and expected duration of such activities shall occur at least 48 hours prior to pile driving activities.</p>	<p>Project sponsors shall report technique proposed to be used to DPW if construction is permitted under a street permit, or DBI if construction is under a site or building permit.</p> <p>Project sponsors shall report notifications to TIDA and Planning Department</p>	
<p>Mitigation Measure M-NO-2: Pre-Construction Assessment to Minimize Impact Activity and Vibro-compaction Vibration Levels. The project sponsors shall engage a qualified geotechnical engineer to conduct a pre-construction assessment of existing subsurface conditions and the structural integrity of nearby buildings subject to impact or vibrocompaction activity impacts before a building permit is issued. If recommended by the geotechnical engineer, for structures or facilities within 50 feet of impact or vibro-compaction activities, the Project Applicant shall require ground-borne vibration monitoring of nearby structures. Such methods and technologies shall be based on the specific conditions at the construction site such as, but not limited to, the pre-construction surveying of potentially affected structures and underpinning of foundations of potentially affected structures, as necessary.</p> <p>The pre-construction assessment shall include a monitoring program to detect ground settlement or lateral movement of structures in the vicinity of impact or vibro-compaction activities. Monitoring results shall be submitted to the Department of Building Inspection. In the event of unacceptable ground movement, as determined by the Department of Building Inspection, all impact and/or vibro-compaction work shall cease and corrective measures shall be implemented. The impact and vibro-compaction program and ground stabilization measures shall be reevaluated and approved by the Department of Building</p>	Project sponsors and qualified geotechnical engineer(s) engaged by project sponsors	<p>Pre-construction assessment shall occur prior to commencement of construction of each phase of site preparation or grading and prior to construction of each building, where use of impact or vibro-compaction methods are proposed.</p> <p>Monitoring shall occur, if recommended, during impact activities and vibro-compaction and during other ground stabilization measures as</p>	<p>Geotechnical engineer to submit pre-construction assessments to the Department of Building Inspection.</p> <p>Geotechnical engineer shall provide reports of results of monitoring programs to Department of Building Inspection for review and approval</p>	

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term "project sponsors" shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

**EXHIBIT 1:
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
Inspection.		recommended by geotechnical engineer		
<p>Mitigation Measure M-NO-5: Residential, School, and Transient Lodging Land Use Plan Review by Qualified Acoustical Consultant. To ensure that automobile and ferry traffic induced interior L_{max} noise levels at nearby uses do not exceed an interior noise level standard of 45 dBA (L_{dn}), the developer of each new residential, scholastic, or hotel land uses planned for the Development Plan Area shall be required to engage a qualified acoustical consultant to prepare plans for the applicable development project, and to follow their recommendations to provide acoustical insulation or other equivalent measures to ensure that interior peak noise events would not exceed 45 dBA (L_{dn}). Similar to requirements of Title 24, this Plan shall include post-construction monitoring to verify adequacy of noise attenuation measures.</p>	<p>Project sponsor(s) for each new residential, educational or hotel building to retain qualified acoustical consultants to prepare plans for acoustical insulation, and following construction and occupancy to monitor for adequacy of measures</p>	<p>Prior to completion of design and issuance of the first building permit allowing commencement of construction of each new residential or hotel building, or new or upgraded educational facility</p> <p>Monitoring to be carried out at least one time within one year following completion and occupancy of each residential, hotel, or educational building</p>	<p>Consultant(s) to submit reports to Department of Building Inspection.</p> <p>Building designers to follow the recommendations of the acoustical consultant. DBI to review plans to ensure recommendations are included in plans.</p> <p>Monitoring report to be filed with DBI by acoustical consultant</p>	
<p>Mitigation Measure M-NO-6: Stationary Operational Noise Sources. All utility and industrial stationary noise sources (e.g., pump stations, electric substation equipment, etc.) shall be located away from noise sensitive receptors, be enclosed within structures with adequate setback and screening, be installed adjacent to noise reducing shields or constructed with some other adequate noise attenuating features to achieve acceptable regulatory noise standards for industrial uses as well as to achieve acceptable levels at the property lines of nearby residences or other sensitive uses, as determined by the San Francisco Land Use Compatibility Guidelines for Community Noise standards. Once the stationary noise sources have been installed, noise levels shall be monitored to ensure compliance with local noise standards. If project stationary noise sources exceed the applicable noise standards, an acoustical engineer shall be retained by the applicant to install additional noise attenuation measures in order to meet the applicable noise standards.</p>	<p>TIDA, in consultation with SFPUC if appropriate, to establish appropriate locations for utility and industrial facilities that could produce noise and project sponsors to require appropriate noise attenuating features in design</p> <p>Project sponsors to retain qualified expert to monitor</p>	<p>Site and noise attenuation features to be established during design of each utility or industrial stationary noise source</p> <p>Monitoring to be carried out within three months of installation of stationary noise sources, at each structure with stationary noise sources</p>	<p>Reports of monitoring results to be submitted to TIDA with copies to Planning Department</p>	

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term “project sponsors” shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

EXHIBIT 1: MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)				
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
	sound from each stationary noises source, and retain qualified acoustical engineer if noise standards are exceeded.			
<i>Air Quality Mitigation Measures</i>				
Mitigation Measure M-AQ-1: Implementation of BAAQMD-Identified Basic Construction Mitigation Measures. The following eight BAAQMD-identified construction mitigation measures shall be incorporated into the required Construction Dust Control Plan for the Proposed Project: 1. All exposed surfaces shall be watered two times daily. 2. All haul trucks transporting soil, sand, or other loose material off-site shall be covered. 3. All visible mud or dirt tracked-out onto adjacent public roads shall be removed using wet-power vacuum street sweepers at least once per day. 4. All vehicle speeds on unpaved roads shall be limited to 15 mph. 5. All roadways, driveways and sidewalks to be paved shall be completed as soon as possible. Building pads shall be laid as soon as possible after grading unless seeding or soil binders are used. 6. Idling times shall be minimized either by shutting equipment off when not in use or reducing the maximum idling time to 5 minutes. Clear signage shall be provided for construction workers at all access points. 7. All construction equipment shall be maintained and properly tuned in accordance with manufacturers specifications. All equipment shall be checked by a certified mechanic and determined to be running in proper condition prior to operation. 8. Post a publicly visible sign with the telephone number and person to contact at the Lead Agency regarding dust complaints. This person shall respond and take corrective action within 48 hours. The Air District's phone number shall also be visible to ensure compliance with applicable regulations.	Project sponsors to prepare Construction Dust Control Plan, and project sponsors and their construction contractors to implement Construction Dust Control Plan Construction contractors to post contact person and telephone numbers	Department of Building Inspection (DBI) will not issue building permits until Department of Public Health (SFDPH) has approved Construction Dust Control Plan Dust Control Plans to be prepared and implemented during each phase of site preparation and building construction	SFDPH to review and approve Construction Dust Control Plan and notify DBI of the approval	
Mitigation Measure M-AQ-2: Construction Exhaust Emissions. TIDA shall require project sponsors to implement combustion emission reduction measures, during construction activities, including the following measures: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The contractor shall keep all off-road equipment well-tuned and regularly serviced to minimize exhaust emissions, and shall establish a regular and frequent check-up 	TIDA shall require, and project sponsors and their construction	Project sponsors, with assistance from construction contractors, shall submit quarterly	TIDA and DBI in Tidelands Trust Overlay Zone Planning Department and	

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term "project sponsors" shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

**EXHIBIT 1:
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>and service/maintenance program for equipment.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Off-road diesel equipment operators shall be required to shut down their engines rather than idle for more than five minutes, unless such idling is necessary for proper operation of the equipment. Clear signage shall be provided for construction workers at all access points. <p>TIDA shall require that project sponsors also engage in early implementation of the following combustion emission reduction measures, during construction activities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The project applicant shall utilize EPA Tier 3 engine standards or better at the start of construction for all off-road equipment, or utilize Retrofit Emission Control Devices which consist of diesel oxidation catalysts, diesel particulate filters or similar retrofit equipment control technology verified by the California Air Resources Board ("CARB") (http://www.arb.ca.gov/diesel/verdev/verdev.htm). The project applicant shall utilize EPA Tier 4 engine standards or better for 50 percent of the fleet at construction initiation, increasing to 75 percent by 2015, and 100 percent by 2018, to the extent that EPA Tier 4 equipment is commercially available. The project applicant shall utilize 2010 or newer model year haul trucks, to the extent that they are commercially available. Diesel-powered generators for construction activity shall be prohibited as a condition of construction contracts for each Major Phase, unless TIDA has made a finding in writing in connection with the Major Phase that there are no other commercially available alternatives to providing localized power. 	<p>contractors, shall implement</p>	<p>reports regarding compliance with measures and implementation of emission reduction strategies and use of Tier 3 or Tier 4 or equivalent equipment during construction through 2018 and annually thereafter until buildout.</p>	<p>DBI outside of Trust Overlay Zones</p>	
<p>Mitigation Measure M-AQ-3: At the submission of any Major Phase application, TIDA shall require that an Air Quality consultant review the proposed development in that Major Phase along with existing uses and uses approved in prior Major Phases to determine whether the actual project phasing deviates materially from the representative phasing plan. If the Air Quality consultant determines the possible impact of the actual phasing could result in a significant impact on any group of receptors, then TIDA shall require that the applicant implement in connection with that Major Phase best management practices to the extent that TIDA determines feasible to reduce construction emissions in accordance with Mitigation Measures M-AQ-1, M-AQ-2, and M-AQ-4. TIDA shall also determine whether Tier 3 or Tier 4 engines, non-diesel powered generators, or year 2010 or newer haul trucks are commercially available for that phase, and, if so, require the use of such engines or haul trucks.</p>	<p>TIDA for horizontal construction or Planning Department for vertical construction outside Tidelands Trust Overlay Zone, and an air quality consultant</p>	<p>Review of phasing by air quality consultant to occur prior to approval of each Major Phase Application. If required, BMPs to be included prior to commencement of construction for each Sub-Phase within each Major Phase</p>	<p>TIDA and DBI or Planning Department and DBI as applicable</p>	

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term "project sponsors" shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

**EXHIBIT 1:
 MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT
 (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>Mitigation Measure M-AQ-4: Implement Additional Construction Mitigation Measures Recommended for Projects with Construction Emissions Above Thresholds. TIDA shall require the project sponsors to implement all of the following mitigation measures identified by BAAQMD, to the extent feasible, for projects that exceed construction thresholds that would be applicable to reducing PM2.5 emissions. Although there may be some overlap, these mitigation measures are identified by BAAQMD as additional to those identified in Mitigation Measure AQ-1 which BAAQMD identifies as recommended for all projects regardless of whether thresholds are exceeded:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All exposed surfaces shall be watered at a frequency adequate to maintain minimum soil moisture of 12 percent. Moisture content can be verified by lab samples or moisture probe. 2. All excavation, grading, and/or demolition activities shall be suspended when average wind speeds exceed 20 mph. 3. Wind breaks (e.g., trees, fences) shall be installed on the windward side(s) of actively disturbed areas of construction. Wind breaks should have at maximum 50 percent air porosity. 4. Vegetative ground cover (e.g., fast-germinating native grass seed) shall be planted in disturbed areas as soon as possible and watered appropriately until vegetation is established. 5. The simultaneous occurrence of excavation, grading, and ground-disturbing construction activities on the same area at any one time shall be limited. 6. Activities shall be phased to reduce the amount of disturbed surfaces at any one time. 7. All trucks and equipment, including their tires, shall be washed off prior to leaving the site. 8. Site accesses to a distance of 100 feet from the paved road shall be treated with a 6 to 12 inch compacted layer of wood chips, mulch, or gravel. 9. Sandbags or other erosion control measures shall be installed to prevent silt runoff to public roadways from sites with a slope greater than one percent. 10. Minimizing the idling time of diesel-powered construction equipment to two minutes. 11. Same as Mitigation Measure AQ-2. 	<p>TIDA shall require, and project sponsors and their construction contractors, shall implement</p>	<p>Project sponsors, with assistance from construction contractors, shall submit quarterly reports regarding implementation</p>	<p>TIDA, Planning Department, and DBI</p>	

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term "project sponsors" shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

**EXHIBIT 1:
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>minimize risks and prevent injuries to workers and to members of the public from stacked materials, such as shingles and sheets of plywood, that can be picked up and carried by very strong winds, as well as from temporary signage, siding or roofing, or light structures that could be detached and carried by wind. As part of construction site safety planning, the project sponsors shall require, as a condition of the contract, that contractors shall consider all such wind-related risks to the public that could result from their construction activities and shall develop a safety plan to address and control all such risks related to their work.</p> <p>3. TIDA shall ensure, by conditions of approval for horizontal work activity, and the Planning Department shall ensure by conditions of approval for building permits and site permits, that the project sponsors and the subsequent building developer(s) cooperate to implement and maintain all structural measures and precautions identified by the wind consultant.</p> <p>4. TIDA shall document undertaking the actions described in this mitigation measure, including copies of all reports furnished for vertical development by the Planning Department. TIDA shall maintain records that include, among others: the technical memorandum from the EIR; all written recommendations and memoranda, including any reports of wind testing results, prepared by the wind consultant(s) in the conduct of the reviews and evaluations described in this mitigation measure; and memoranda or other written proof that all constructed buildings incorporate the requisite design mitigations that were specified by the wind consultant(s).</p>	<p>Project sponsors and their construction contractors</p> <p>TIDA and Planning Department</p> <p>TIDA</p>	<p>Prior to issuance of a building permit for each structure</p> <p>Prior to issuance of building permit for each structure and each site permit</p> <p>Throughout all phases of construction</p>	<p>TIDA and Department of Building Inspection</p> <p>TIDA</p> <p>Planning Department shall provide to TIDA all reports prepared for vertical development. TIDA shall document undertaking the action and maintain records for horizontal improvements and maintain records for vertical development.</p>	

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term "project sponsors" shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

**EXHIBIT 1:
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p><u>Mitigation Measure M-WS-4: Ongoing Review and Mitigation of Hazardous Wind Impacts</u></p> <p>1. Prior to schematic design approval of the building(s) on any parcel within the Project, the Planning Department shall require that a qualified wind consultant shall review and compare the exposure, massing, and orientation of the proposed building(s) on the subject parcel to the building(s) on the same parcel in the representative massing model of the Proposed Project tested in the wind tunnel as part of this EIR and in any subsequent wind testing. The wind consultant shall identify and compare the potential impacts of the proposed building(s) relative to those described in this EIR.</p> <p>The wind consultant's analysis and evaluation shall consider the proposed building(s) in the context of the "Current Project," which, at any given time during construction of the Project, shall be defined as the building masses used in the representative massing model of the Proposed Project, as described in this EIR, except as modified to replace appropriate building massing models with the corresponding as-built designs of all previously-completed structures and the then-current designs of approved but yet unbuilt structures. Finally, the proposed building(s) shall be compared to its equivalent current setting (the Current Project scenario).</p> <p>a. If the qualified wind consultant concludes that the building design(s) would not create a new wind hazard and would not contribute to a wind hazard identified by prior wind testing, no further review would be required.</p> <p>b. If the qualified wind consultant concludes that the building design(s) could create a new wind hazard or could contribute to a wind hazard identified by prior wind testing, but in the consultant's professional judgment can be modified to prevent it from doing so, the consultant shall propose changes or supplements to the design of the proposed building(s) to achieve this result. The consultant may consider measures that include, but are not limited to, changes in design, building orientation, and/or the addition of street furniture, as well as consideration of the proposed landscaping.</p> <p>The wind consultant shall work with the project sponsors and/or architect to identify specific feasible changes to be incorporated into the Project. To the extent the consultant's findings depend on particular building or landscaping features, the consultant shall specifically identify those essential features. The project sponsors shall incorporate those features into the</p>	<p align="center">Planning Department, project sponsors' wind consultant(s), and project sponsors' architects and engineers</p>	<p align="center">Prior to schematic design approval of the building(s) on any parcel within the Project Development Area</p>	<p align="center">Planning Department and DBI to review</p>	

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term "project sponsors" shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

**EXHIBIT 1:
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>building's/buildings' design and landscaping plans. If the wind consultant can then conclude that the modified building's/buildings' design and landscaping would not create a new wind hazard or contribute to a wind hazard identified in prior wind testing, no further review would be required.</p> <p>Although a goal of this effort is to limit the wind effects of the building(s) to (1) cause the same or fewer number of hours of wind hazard in the immediate vicinity compared to the building(s) on that parcel as identified by prior wind testing, and (2) subject no more area to hazardous winds than was identified by prior wind testing, it should not be expected that all of the wind hazard(s) identified in prior wind testing would be eliminated by this measure.</p> <p>c. If, at this point in the analysis, the consultant concludes that the building(s) would cause a new wind hazard or increase a wind hazard identified in prior wind testing, and if the consultant concludes that the new or additional wind hazard is not likely to be eliminated by measures such as those described above, the consultant may determine that additional wind tunnel testing would be required. Wind tunnel testing would also be required if the consultant, due to complexity of the design or the building context, is unable to determine whether likely wind hazards would be greater or lesser than those identified in prior wind testing.</p> <p>In the event the building's design would appear to increase the hours of wind hazard or extent of area subject to hazard winds, the wind consultant shall identify design alterations that could reduce the hours or extent of hazard. The wind consultant shall work with the developer and/or architect to identify specific alterations to be incorporated into the project. It is not expected that in all cases that the wind hazard(s) identified in this EIR would be completely eliminated. To the extent the wind consultant's findings depend on particular building design features or landscaping features in order to meet this standard, the consultant shall identify such features, and such features shall be incorporated into the design and landscaping.</p> <p>2. If wind testing of an individual or group of buildings is required, the building(s) shall be wind tested in the context of a model (subject to the neighborhood group geographic extent described below) that represents the Current Project, as described in Item 1, above. Wind testing shall be performed for the building's/buildings' "Neighborhood" group, i.e. the surrounding blocks (at least three blocks wide and several blocks deep) within which the wind consultant determines wind hazards caused by or affected by the building(s) could occur.</p>				

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term "project sponsors" shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

**EXHIBIT 1:
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>The testing shall include all the test points in the vicinity of a proposed building or group of buildings that were tested in this EIR, as well as all additional points deemed appropriate by the consultant to determine the building's/buildings' wind performance. The wind testing shall test the proposed building design in the Current Project scenario, as well as test the existing Current Project scenario, in order to clearly identify those differences that would be due to the proposed new building.</p> <p>In the event that wind testing shows that the building's design would cause an increase in the hours of or extent of area subject to hazard winds in excess of that identified in prior wind testing, the wind consultant shall work with the project sponsors, architect and/or landscape architect to identify specific feasible alterations to be incorporated into the building(s). To the extent that avoiding an increase in wind hazard relies on particular building design or landscaping features, these building design or landscaping features shall be incorporated into the design by the project sponsors. The ability of the design alterations to reduce the wind hazard shall be demonstrated by wind tunnel testing of the modified design.</p> <p>Although a goal of this effort should be to limit the building's/buildings' wind effect to (1) cause the same or fewer number of hours of wind hazard in the immediate vicinity compared to the building(s) on that parcel as identified by prior wind testing, and (2) subject no more area to hazardous winds than was identified by prior wind testing, it should not be expected that all of the wind hazard(s) identified in the prior wind testing or in the current wind testing under this mitigation measure would be eliminated.</p> <p>3. TIDA shall document undertaking the actions described in this mitigation measure, including copies of all reports furnished for vertical development by the Planning Department. TIDA shall maintain records that include, among others: the technical memorandum from the EIR; all written recommendations and memoranda, including any reports of wind testing results, prepared by the wind consultant(s) in the conduct of the reviews and evaluations described in this mitigation measure; and memoranda or other written proofs that all constructed buildings incorporate the requisite design mitigations that were specified by the wind consultant(s).</p>	<p>TIDA to maintain documentation</p>	<p>Ongoing until full buildout</p>	<p>Planning Department to provide copies of documentation for vertical development to TIDA as they are prepared.</p>	

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term "project sponsors" shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

EXHIBIT 1: MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)				
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<i>Biological Resources Mitigation Measures</i>				
<p>Mitigation Measure M-BI-1a: Surveys for Special-Status Plants. On Yerba Buena Island, presence/absence surveys for special-status plants shall be conducted by a qualified botanist prior to any ground disturbance. In the event that special-status plant populations are found during the surveys, the lead agency will avoid disturbance to the species by establishing a visible avoidance buffer zone of not less than 25 feet. If it is not feasible to avoid disturbance or mortality, then special-status plant populations will be restored on-site at a 1:1 ratio in areas that are to remain as post-development open space.</p>	<p>Project sponsors to retain qualified professional consultant to carry out and report on surveys TIDA to maintain copies of all reports</p>	<p>Prior to construction for each phase on YBI, a preconstruction survey shall be conducted within the construction area in the spring (May and June) by a qualified botanist.</p>	<p>TIDA to provide copies of all survey reports to Planning Department</p>	
<p>Mitigation Measure M-BI-1b: Pre-project Surveys for Nesting Birds. Pre-project surveys shall be conducted by a qualified biologist for nesting birds between February 1st and August 15th if ground disturbance or tree removal is scheduled to take place during that period. If bird species protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act ("MBTA") or the California Fish and Game Code are found to be nesting in or near any work area, an appropriate no-work buffer zone (e.g., 100 feet for songbirds) shall be designated by the biologist. Depending on the species involved, input from the California Department of Fish and Game ("CDFG") and/or the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service ("USFWS") Division of Migratory Bird Management may be warranted. As recommended by the biologist, no activities shall be conducted within the no-work buffer zone that could disrupt bird breeding. Outside of the breeding season (August 16 – January 31), or after young birds have fledged, as determined by the biologist, work activities may proceed.</p>	<p>Project sponsors to retain qualified professional consultant to carry out preconstruction surveys in consultation with CDFG and/or USFWS, as appropriate. TIDA to maintain copies of all reports</p>	<p>Preconstruction surveys shall be conducted for work scheduled during the breeding season (February through August). The preconstruction survey shall be conducted within 15 days prior to the start of work from February through May, and within 30 days prior to the start of work from June through August. If active nests of protected birds are found in the work area, no work will be allowed within the buffer(s), until the young have successfully fledged.</p>	<p>Copies of all reports to be provided to TIDA and Planning Department</p>	
<p>Mitigation Measure M-BI-1c: Minimizing Disturbance to Bats. Removal of trees or demolition of buildings showing evidence of bat activity shall occur during the period least likely to impact the bats as determined by a qualified bat biologist (generally between February 15 and October 15 for winter hibernacula and between August 15 and April 15 for maternity roosts). If active day or night roosts are found, the bat biologist shall take actions to make such roosts unsuitable habitat prior to tree removal or building demolition. A no-disturbance buffer of 100 feet shall be created around active bat roosts being used for</p>	<p>Project sponsors to retain qualified bat biologist to carry out surveys, in consultation with CDFG if buffer is proposed to be</p>	<p>Throughout the construction phases, with particular attention prior to construction at each site and/or structure</p>	<p>Copies of all reports to be provided to TIDA and Planning Department</p>	

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term "project sponsors" shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

**EXHIBIT 1:
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
maternity or hibernation purposes. A reduced buffer could be provided for on a case-by-case basis by the bat biologist, in consultation with CDFG and based on site-specific conditions. Bat roosts initiated during construction are presumed to be unaffected, and no buffer would be necessary.	reduced. TIDA to maintain copies of all reports			
Mitigation Measure M-BI-1d: Control of Domestic and Feral Animals. To avoid conflicts with wildlife on Yerba Buena Island and the remaining natural habitats on Yerba Buena Island, the Islands' Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions, TIDA Rules and Regulations, and/or other similar enforceable instruments or regulations, shall prohibit off-leash dogs outside of designated, enclosed, off-leash dog parks on Yerba Buena Island and the feeding of feral cats on both islands. Building tenants shall be provided with educational materials regarding these restrictions, rules, and/or regulations. Non-resident pet owners and the public using the Islands shall be alerted to these restrictions, rules, and/or regulations through appropriate signage in public areas.	Project sponsors to include in CCRs and/or TIDA to include in rules and regulations and post appropriate signage Project sponsors and individual site developers to provide information to building tenants	Preparation of rules, regulations, and covenants prior to each Major Phase; Communications to tenants and visitors, prior to occupation of new structures, and ongoing	TIDA	
Mitigation Measure M-BI-1e: Monitoring During Off-Shore Pile Driving. Site-specific conditions during all offshore pile driving shall be monitored by a qualified marine biologist to ensure that aquatic species within the project area would not be impacted, that harbor seals at nearby Yerba Buena Island, at occasional Treasure Island haul-outs, and while in transit along the western shoreline of Treasure Island during work on the Ferry Terminal and in Clipper Cove during work on the Sailing Center, are not disturbed, and that sound pressures outside the immediate project area do not exceed 160 dB at 500 meters from the source. If this threshold is exceeded or avoidance behavior by marine mammals or fish is observed by the on-site marine biologist, bubble curtains will be used to reduce sound/vibration to acceptable levels. In addition the following measures shall be employed to further reduce noise from pile-driving activities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use as few piles as necessary in the final terminal design; • Use vibratory hammers for all steel piles; • Use cushion blocks between the hammer and the pile; • Restrict pile driving to June 1 to November 30 work window as recommended by NOAA Fisheries to protect herring and salmonids; 	Project sponsors and project sponsors' qualified marine biologist(s) and acoustical consultant(s)	During off-shore pile driving for each phase of in-water construction for Ferry Terminal and Sailing Center	TIDA and Dept. of Building Inspection	

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term "project sponsors" shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

**EXHIBIT 1:
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
If marine mammals are observed within 1,000 feet of pile driving activities, allow them to completely exit the vicinity of the pile driving activities before pile driving resumes.				
Mitigation Measure M-BI-2a: Restriction of Construction Activities. Geotechnical stabilization, shoreline heightening and repair work, stormwater outfall improvements, and other Project activities conducted in and around the Islands' rocky shoreline shall be generally restricted to the terrestrial and upper intertidal zones. Activities in the lower intertidal and near subtidal zone shall be minimized to the maximum extent practicable, using the smallest area and footprint for disturbance as possible. Outside of planned dredging areas (Ferry Terminal and the Sailing Center) movement and disturbance of existing rocks in the lower intertidal zone shall be prohibited.	Project sponsors and project sponsors' qualified marine biologist(s), in consultation with CDFG as necessary, to establish limitations on construction activities	During any construction conducted in and around the Islands' rocky shoreline	Biologists to provide quarterly reports to TIDA	
Mitigation Measure M-BI-2b: Seasonal Limitations on Construction Work. Construction work on the Islands' shoreline shall be conducted between March 1 and November 30 to avoid any disturbance to herring spawning occurring in SAV surrounding Treasure Island.	Project sponsors and their qualified marine biologist(s)	During construction activities conducted on and around the Islands' shoreline, limited to March 1 to November 30	Project sponsors to report to TIDA re construction schedules for work on and near shoreline	
Mitigation Measure M-BI-2c: Eelgrass Bed Survey and Avoidance. Within three to six months of the initiation of construction activities that might affect SAV beds, and not less frequently than biennially (every two years) thereafter, all eelgrass beds shall be surveyed or otherwise identified, including their proximity to and potential impact from ongoing or pending onshore or offshore activities. All TIDA staff in charge of overseeing construction for the Proposed Project, and all construction contractors and subcontractors involved in Project construction activities in Bay waters that are within a quarter mile of Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island, along Treasure Island's shoreline, or involved in transporting materials and supplies by water to either Island shall be required to undergo thorough environmental training. This training shall present information on the locations of all eelgrass beds, the kinds of construction and vessel transit activities that can impact eelgrass beds, all mitigation measures that contractors must adhere to so that any disturbance or damage to eelgrass beds may be avoided and the beds protected, and who to notify in the event of any disturbance. Any work barges or vessels engaged in construction activities shall avoid transiting through and anchoring in any eelgrass beds located around Treasure Island. TIDA personnel	Project sponsors and project sponsors' qualified marine biologist(s) and project sponsors and their construction contractors (including boat operators and crew)	First survey to occur 3 to 6 months prior to initiation of construction on eastern or southern shorelines or prior to initial delivery of construction materials by water. Regular surveys to occur every 2 years thereafter until construction and materials deliveries by water are completed. Training to occur prior to initiation of work by each construction contractor	Marine biologist(s) to report to TIDA on survey schedules and results of surveys. Marine biologist(s) to report to TIDA on each training session with copies to Planning Department	

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term "project sponsors" shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

**EXHIBIT 1:
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
responsible for overseeing Project contractors, as well as all Project contractor and subcontractor management personnel, shall ensure that all boat operators and work crews are aware of eelgrass bed locations and the requirement to avoid disturbing them.				
<p>Mitigation Measure M-BI-4a: Minimizing Bird Strikes. Prior to the issuance of the first building permit for each building in the Proposed Project, project applicants shall have a qualified biologist experienced with bird strikes review the design of the building to ensure that it sufficiently minimizes the potential for bird strikes and report to the Planning Department. The Planning Department may consult with resource agencies such as the California Department of Fish and Game or others, as it deems appropriate.</p> <p>The building developer shall provide to the Planning Department a written description of the measures and features of the building design that are intended to address potential impacts on birds, with a copy to TIDA of the final measures approved by the Planning Department or Commission. Building developers are encouraged to coordinate with the Planning Department early in the design process regarding design features intended to minimize bird strikes. The design shall include some of the following measures or measures that are equivalent to, but not necessarily identical to, those listed below, as new, more effective technology for addressing bird strikes may become available in the future:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Employ design techniques that create “visual noise” via cladding or other design features that make it easy for birds to identify buildings as such and not mistake buildings for open sky or trees; • Decrease continuity of reflective surfaces using “visual marker” design techniques, which techniques may include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Patterned or fritted glass, with patterns at most 28 centimeters apart, – One-way films installed on glass, with any picture or pattern or arrangement that can be seen from the outside by birds but appear transparent from the inside, – Geometric fenestration patterns that effectively divide a window into smaller panes of at most 28 centimeters, and/or – Decals with patterned or abstract designs, with the maximum clear spaces at most 28 centimeters square. • Up to 40 feet high on building facades facing the shoreline, decrease reflectivity of glass, using design techniques such as plastic or metal screens, light-colored 	<p>Project sponsors to retain qualified biologist(s) experienced with bird strikes and</p> <p>Project sponsors and their architects and</p> <p>during operation, building managers to implement the building design features and measures.</p>	<p>Prior to the issuance of the first building or site permit for each building in the Proposed Project and</p> <p>ongoing as buildings are occupied</p>	<p>TIDA and Planning Department to maintain copies of biological reports for each building.</p> <p>Project sponsors to report to the Planning Department on implementation of building design measures for buildings on non-Trust property, and to TIDA for buildings on Trust property.</p> <p>Building managers to provide annual reports to TIDA on implementation of measures related to building operations, including lighting, education activities, and landscape maintenance.</p>	

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term “project sponsors” shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

**EXHIBIT 1:
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>blinds or curtains, frosting of glass, angling glass towards the ground, UV-A glass, or awnings and overhangs;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eliminate the use of clear glass on opposing or immediately adjacent faces of the building without intervening interior obstacles such that a bird could perceive its flight path through the glass to be unobstructed; • Mute reflections in glass using strategies such as angled glass, shades, internal screens, and overhangs; and • Place new landscapes sufficiently away from glazed building facades so that no reflection occurs. Alternatively, if planting of landscapes near a glazed building façade is desirable, situate trees and shrubs immediately adjacent to the exterior glass walls, at a distance of less than 3 feet from the glass. Such close proximity will obscure habitat reflections and will minimize fatal collisions by reducing birds' flight momentum. <p><u>Lighting</u></p> <p>The Planning Department shall similarly ensure that the design and specifications for buildings on non-Trust property, and TIDA shall ensure that the design and specifications for sports facilities/playing fields and buildings on Trust property, implement design elements to reduce lighting usage, change light direction, and contain light. These include, but are not limited to, the following considerations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Avoid installation of lighting in areas where not required for public safety; • Examine and adopt alternatives to bright, all-night, floor-wide lighting when interior lights would be visible from the exterior or exterior lights must be left on at night, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Installing motion-sensitive lighting, – Installing task lighting, – Installing programmable timers, and – Installing fixtures that use lower-wattage, sodium, and blue-green lighting. • Install strobe or flashing lights in place of continuously burning lights for obstruction lighting. • Use rotating beams instead of continuous light; and • Where exterior lights are to be left on at night, install fully shielded lights to contain and direct light away from the sky, as illustrated in the City of Toronto's Bird Friendly Building Guidelines. 				

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term "project sponsors" shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

**EXHIBIT 1:
 MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT
 (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p><u>Antennae, Monopole Structures, and Rooftop Elements</u> The Planning Department shall ensure, as a condition of approval for every building permit, that buildings minimize the number of and co-locate rooftop-antennas and other rooftop equipment, and that monopole structures or antennas on buildings, in open areas, and at sports and playing fields and facilities do not include guy wires.</p> <p><u>Educating Residents and Occupants</u> The Planning Department shall ensure, as a condition of approval for every building permit issued for non-Trust property, and TIDA shall ensure, as a condition of approval for every building permit for Trust property, that the permit applicant agrees to provide educational materials to building tenants and occupants, hotel guests, and residents encouraging them to minimize light transmission from windows, especially during peak spring and fall migratory periods, by turning off unnecessary lighting and/or closing window coverings at night. TIDA shall review and approve the educational materials prior to building occupancy.</p> <p><u>Documentation</u> TIDA shall document undertaking the activities described in this mitigation measure and maintain records that include, among others, the written descriptions provided by the building developer of the measures and features of the design for each building that are intended to address potential impacts on birds, and the recommendations and memoranda prepared by the qualified biologist experienced with bird strikes who reviews and approves the design of the building or sports facilities / playing fields to ensure that it sufficiently minimizes the potential for bird strikes.</p>	<p align="center">TIDA and Planning Department</p>	<p align="center">ongoing</p>	<p align="center">TIDA and Planning Department</p>	
<p>Mitigation Measure M-BI-8 (Variant B3): Minimize Disturbance to Newly Established Sensitive Species During Construction of Southern Breakwater. If Variant B3 is selected as the preferred ferry terminal breakwater approach, prior to initiation of any construction activities for the southern breakwater, a survey of the construction area shall be conducted by a qualified marine biologist to assess the presence of eelgrass (<i>Zostera spp.</i>) beds, green sturgeon or other protected fish species, and utilization by marine mammals, primarily harbor seals (<i>Phoca vitulina</i>) and California sea lions (<i>Zalophus californianus</i>). Survey results will be submitted to TIDA, and by TIDA to the ACOE, BCDC, NMFS, and CDFG. In the event the survey shows that eelgrass (<i>Zostera spp.</i>) has established beds within the proposed construction area of the southern breakwater or within close proximity, such that</p>	<p>Project sponsors and project sponsors' qualified marine biologist(s) to carry out surveys in consultation with ACOE, BCDC, NMFS, and CDFG, where necessary Project sponsors & construction</p>	<p>Prior to construction of the ferry terminal southern breakwater If eelgrass beds found, construction of the ferry</p>	<p>Marine biologists to supply reports of survey results and approaches to avoid or restore eelgrass beds, if found, and approaches to avoiding disturbing marine mammals or protected fish species to TIDA</p>	

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term "project sponsors" shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

**EXHIBIT 1:
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>planned construction activities could have an impact on the beds, then the restoration of offsite eelgrass beds or the transplantation and establishment of offsite or onsite eelgrass beds at a replacement ratio of 3:1 will be made.</p> <p>In the event the survey shows that the planned establishment or construction of the southern breakwater would affect utilization of the area by protected fish species or by marine mammals as a haul-out area, construction and establishment of the southern breakwater will be done, under consultation with National Marine Fisheries, in a manner that does not adversely affect the protected fish species or prevent the continued utilization of the area by harbor seals or sea lions.</p>	<p>contractors, in consultation w/ marine biologist(s)</p> <p>Project sponsors & construction contractors in consultation w/ marine biologist(s) and NMFS</p>	<p>terminal southern breakwater to be restricted to March 1 through November 30; restoration or offsite eelgrass beds to occur immediately following construction of breakwater</p> <p>During construction of the ferry terminal breakwater</p>		
<p>Mitigation Measure M-BI-9 (Variant C2): Impingement and/or Entrainment of Protected Fish and Invertebrates, if implemented. For Variant C2, the Bay water intake pipe for the supplemental firefighting water supply shall be designed and constructed in a manner that prevents impingement of fish and macroinvertebrates. This could include, but not be limited to, installing the intake pipe inside a screened subsea vault large enough to reduce water suction to acceptable levels wherein impingement of marine fauna would not occur. TIDA will submit the final design of the Bay water intake pipe to the National Marine Fisheries; CDFG; California Water Board, San Francisco Region; and BCDC for approval.</p>	<p>TIDA and project sponsors' qualified marine biologist(s) and engineering consultants in consultation with NMFS, CDFG, RWQCB and BCDC, where necessary</p>	<p>Prior to issuance of permits to construct the Bay water intake pipe, if Variant C2 is selected</p>	<p>Marine biologist(s) and engineering consultants to report to TIDA</p> <p>TIDA to maintain records of consultation with state and federal agencies</p>	
Geology and Soils Mitigation Measures				
<p>Mitigation Measure M-GE-5: Slope Stability. New improvements proposed for Yerba Buena Island shall be located at a minimum of 100 feet from the top of the existing slope along Macalla Road unless a site-specific geotechnical evaluation of slope stability indicates a static factor of safety of 1.5 and a seismic factor of safety of 1.1 are present or established geotechnical stabilization measures are implemented to provide that level of safety. Any geotechnical recommendations regarding slope stability made in site specific geotechnical investigations for the site shall be incorporated into the specifications for building on that site.</p>	<p>Project sponsors and their geotechnical consultant(s)</p>	<p>Prior to issuance of building permit for improvements or structures along Macalla Road</p>	<p>TIDA and Department of Building Inspection</p>	

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term "project sponsors" shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

**EXHIBIT 1:
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<i>Hazards and Hazardous Materials Mitigation Measures</i>				
<p>Mitigation Measure M-HZ-1: Soil and Groundwater Management Plan</p> <p>Prior to issuance of a building or grading permit for any one or more parcels, the applicant shall demonstrate that its construction specifications include implementation of a Soil and Groundwater Management Plan (“SGMP”) prepared by a qualified environmental consulting firm and reviewed and agreed to by DTSC and RWQCB. For parcels transferred from the Navy under a Lease in Furtherance of Conveyance (LIFOC), or Early Transfer (FOSET) or parcels transferred under a FOST which specifies that additional remediation of petroleum contamination is necessary or additional remediation is necessary to meet the proposed land use, all additional or remaining remediation on those parcels shall be completed as directed by the responsible agency, DTSC or RWQCB, prior to commencement of construction activities unless (i) those construction activities are conducted in accordance with the requirements of any applicable land use covenant, lease restriction or deed restriction and in accordance with the Site Health and Safety requirements of the SGMP, or (ii) those construction activities are otherwise given written approval by either DTSC or RWQCB. The SGMP shall be present on site at all times and readily available to site workers.</p> <p>The SGMP shall specify protocols and requirements for excavation, stockpiling, and transport of soil and for disturbance of groundwater as well as a contingency plan to respond to the discovery of previously unknown areas of contamination (e.g., an underground storage tank unearthed during normal construction activities). Specifically, the SGMP shall include at least the following components:</p> <p>1. <u>Soil management requirements.</u> Protocols for stockpiling, sampling, and transporting soil generated from on-site activities, and requirements for soil imported to the site for placement. The soil management requirements must include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Soil stockpiling requirements such as placement of cover, application of moisture, erection of containment structures, and implementation of security measures. The soil stockpiling requirements must, at a minimum, meet the requirements of the San Francisco Dust Control Ordinance. • Protocols for assessing suitability of soil for on-site reuse through representative laboratory analysis of soils as approved by DTSC or RWQCB, taking into account the Treasure Island specific health-based remediation goals, other applicable health-based standards, and the proposed location, circumstances, and conditions for the intended soil reuse. 	<p>Project sponsors for first Sub-Phase of the first Major Phase to prepare and obtain DTSC/RWQCB approval of project-wide SGMP</p> <p>All subsequent project sponsors to follow SGMP and prepare/follow parcel-specific or sub-parcel-specific health and safety plan.</p> <p>Project sponsors and their remediation contractor(s)</p>	<p>Prior to the first Sub-Phase Application Approval</p> <p>Prior to issuance of a building or grading permit for any parcel or parcels</p>	<p>TIDA and DBI. TIDA shall ensure that Project sponsors obtain state agency approval of project-wide SGMP; DBI to confirm project applicants have site-specific health and safety plan prior to issuance of a permit. In the event of LIFOC or FOSET, TIDA to ensure completion of remediation, or other approval from DTSC/RWQCB, prior to construction activities.</p>	

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term “project sponsors” shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

**EXHIBIT 1:
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requirements for offsite transportation and disposal of soil not determined to be suitable for on-site reuse. Any soil identified for off-site disposal must be packaged, handled, and transported in compliance with all applicable state, federal, and the disposal facility's requirements for waste handling, transportation and disposal. • Soil importation requirements for soil brought from offsite locations. <p>2. <u>Groundwater management requirements</u>. Protocols for conducting dewatering activities and sampling and analysis requirements for groundwater extracted during dewatering activities. The sampling and analysis requirements shall specify which groundwater contaminants must be analyzed or how they will be determined. The results of the groundwater sampling and analysis shall be used to determine which of the following reuse or disposal options is appropriate for such groundwater:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On-site reuse (e.g., as dust control); • Discharge under the general permit for stormwater discharge for construction sites; • Treatment (as necessary) before discharge to the sanitary sewer system under applicable San Francisco PUC waste discharge criteria; • Treatment (as necessary) before discharge under a site-specific NPDES permit; • Off-site transport to an approved offsite facility. <p>For each of the options listed, the SGMP shall specify the particular criteria or protocol that would be considered appropriate for reuse or disposal option. The thresholds used must, at a minimum, be consistent with the applicable requirements of the RWQCB and the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission.</p> <p>3. <u>Unknown contaminant/hazard contingency plan</u>. Procedures for implementing a contingency plan, including appropriate notification, site worker protections, and site control procedures, in the event unanticipated subsurface hazards or hazardous material releases are discovered during construction. Control procedures shall include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Protocols for identifying potential contamination through visual or olfactory observation; 				

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term "project sponsors" shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

**EXHIBIT 1:
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Protocols on what to do in the event an underground storage tank is encountered; • Emergency contact procedures; • Procedures for notifying regulatory agencies and other appropriate parties; • Site control and security procedures; • Sampling and analysis protocols; and • Interim removal work plan preparation and implementation procedures. 				
<p>Mitigation Measure M-HZ-8: Construction Best Management Practices The use of construction best management practices (BMPs) shall be incorporated into the construction specifications and implemented as part of project construction. The BMPs would minimize potential negative effects to groundwater and soils and shall include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Follow manufacturer’s recommendations on use, storage and disposal of chemical products used in construction; • All refueling and maintenance activities shall occur at a dedicated area that is equipped with containment improvements and readily available spill control equipment and products. Overtopping construction equipment fuel gas tanks shall be avoided; • During routine maintenance of construction equipment, properly contain and remove grease and oils; and • Properly dispose of discarded containers of fuels and other chemicals. 	Project sponsors and their construction contractors	<p>BMPs for each construction site or area to be prepared prior to initiation of construction activities.</p> <p>Relevant BMPs to be implemented during all construction phases</p>	DBI to ensure that proposed BMPs for each construction site are submitted to San Francisco Dept. of Public Health for review and that they are incorporated into construction specifications for implementation	
<p>Mitigation Measure M-HZ-10: Soil Vapor Barriers. Prior to obtaining a building permit for an enclosed structure within IR Sites 21 or 24 or within any area where the FOST or site closure documentation specifies that vapor barriers are necessary or that additional sampling must be conducted to determine if vapor barriers are necessary due to the presence of residual contamination that has volatile components (such as chlorinated solvents PCE and TCE or certain petroleum hydrocarbons), the applicant shall demonstrate either that the building plans include DTSC-approved vapor barriers to be installed beneath the foundation for the prevention of soil vapor intrusion, or that DTSC has determined that installation of vapor barriers is not necessary.</p>	Project sponsors for buildings located within IR sites 21 or 24, and their construction contractor(s), in consultation with and approved by DTSC, if needed.	Prior to issuance of a building permit for construction in the areas specified	TIDA to ensure that sampling occurs where necessary; that the necessary DTSC approvals are obtained prior to construction, and that copies of reports are provided to DTSC, SFDPH and DBI. DBI to ensure appropriate vapor barriers	

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term “project sponsors” shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

**EXHIBIT 1:
 MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT
 (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>Mitigation Measure M-HZ-13: Human Health Risk Assessment. Prior to reopening the presently closed elementary school for elementary school use, TIDA or the SFUSD shall enter into a Voluntary Clean-Up Agreement (VCA) with DTSC's School Property Evaluation and Cleanup Division for the school site, regardless of whether any physical construction or expansion activities that trigger the requirement to consult with DTSC under the Education Code are proposed. As part of the VCA, a Preliminary Endangerment Assessment (PEA) shall be prepared under the supervision of DTSC's School Property Evaluation and Cleanup Division. If the Preliminary Endangerment Assessment discloses the presence of a hazardous materials release, or threatened release, or the presence of naturally occurring hazardous materials, at or near the school site at concentrations that could pose a significant risk to children attending the school or adults working at the school, or discloses that ongoing or planned remediation activities to address such a release near the school could pose a significant risk to children attending the school or adults working at the school, then the school shall not reopen until all actions required by DTSC to reduce the increased cancer risk from exposure to such releases to less than one in a million (1x10⁻⁶) and reduce the increased risk of noncancerous toxic effects such that the Hazard Index for chronic and acute hazards is less than one.</p> <p>In the event DTSC declines to supervise the process required by this measure in circumstances where it is not required to do so under the California Education Code, the PEA shall be approved by the San Francisco Department of Public Health, applying the risk standards set forth above for cancer and non-cancer risks.</p>	<p>TIDA or the SFUSD to prepare and negotiate a Voluntary Clean-Up Agreement with DTSC</p>	<p>Prior to reopening the presently closed elementary school for elementary school use</p>	<p>are included in building plans.</p> <p>DTSC's School Property Evaluation and Cleanup Division or SFDPH (if DTSC declines)</p> <p>DTSC or San Francisco Department of Public Health</p>	
IMPROVEMENT MEASURES FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT				
<p><u>Improvement Measure I-GHG-1</u></p> <p>While the Proposed Project would not result in a significant impact with regard to GHG emissions, BAAQMD Guidance encourages Lead Agencies to incorporate best management practices for the purposes of reducing construction-related GHG emissions. The following measures should be considered to be implemented by the project applicant and its contractors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of alternatively fueled (e.g., biodiesel, electric) construction 	<p>Project sponsors and their construction contractor(s) to incorporate all feasible measures</p>	<p>During all construction phases</p>	<p>Project sponsors to report to TIDA on measures to be included and provide reasons why any not included have not been.</p>	

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term "project sponsors" shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

**EXHIBIT 1:
MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT
(Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
equipment for at least 15 percent of the fleet; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use local building materials for at least 10 percent of construction materials; and • Recycling or reusing at least 50 percent of construction and demolition wastes. 				
<u>Improvement Measure I-RE-3a</u> Where artificial turf is proposed, the project sponsors are encouraged to work with the City Fields Foundation and City Recreation and Park Department staff to design and build artificial turf fields using the latest SFRPD criteria at the time of implementation, including the City's purchasing criteria.	Project sponsors for any fields proposing artificial turf, in consultation with City Fields Foundation and Recreation and Park Department	Prior to, and during, construction of recreational fields	Project sponsors to report to TIDA on latest SFRPC criteria TIDA to ensure appropriate materials are installed.	
<u>Improvement Measure I-RE-3b</u> The project sponsors are encouraged to work with the City Fields Foundation and Department of Public Health staff to develop signage that educates athletes and their families about the importance of washing hands before and after use of synthetic turf fields and the importance of proper wound care for turf-related injuries.	Project sponsors in consultation with City Fields Foundation and SF Department of Public Health	Signage to be installed prior to opening of recreational fields and maintained during operation	Project sponsors to review signage with TIDA and SF DPH TIDA to ensure signage is installed and maintained	
<u>Improvement Measure I-RE-3c</u> The project sponsors are encouraged to work with the City Fields Foundation and Department of Public Health staff to develop an air quality monitoring program for the proposed synthetic turf fields that would follow a methodology developed by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment or the U.S. EPA. The methodology would include, but is not limited to, capturing air quality samples at an outdoor field and upwind of the field; identifying the heights above the field where samples are captured; and recording weather data such as ambient and field temperatures, wind speed/direction, and humidity.	Project sponsors and air quality monitoring consultant, in consultation with City Fields Foundation and SF Department of Public Health	During operation of recreational fields	monitoring reports to be submitted to TIDA and SFDPH	

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term "project sponsors" shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.

EXHIBIT 1: MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation and Improvement Measures)				
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
MITIGATION MEASURES OUTSIDE SAN FRANCISCO'S JURISDICTION FOR THE TREASURE ISLAND / YERBA BUENA ISLAND PROJECT				
Mitigation Measure M-NO-4: Ferry Terminal Noise Reduction Plan. To ensure that the noise levels from the proposed Ferry Terminal and its operations do not exceed the San Francisco Land Use Compatibility Guidelines for Community Noise standards, the developer of the Ferry Terminal shall be required to engage a qualified acoustical consultant to prepare a Ferry Terminal Noise Reduction Plan to be approved by TIDA. The operator would be required to follow the recommendations of the Plan to ensure compliance with the City's community noise guidelines, including but not limited to requiring ferry operators to reduce propulsion engine power to low when approaching and departing the terminal.	Operator of the ferry service to retain acoustical consultant	Prior to Ferry Terminal operation	WETA	
Mitigation Measure M-AQ-5: Ferry Particulate Emissions. All ferries providing service between Treasure Island and San Francisco shall meet applicable California Air Resources Board regulations. Additionally, all ferries shall be equipped with diesel particulate filters or an alternative equivalent technology to reduce diesel particulate emissions.	WETA and WETA's ferry operator(s)	Prior to vessel selection or award of ferry service contract for Treasure Island Ferry Terminal	TIDA and WETA, in consultation with the Bay Area Air Quality Management District	
Mitigation Measure M-BI-4b: Changes in Ferry Service to Protect Rafting Waterbirds. Waterfowl numbers generally peak in December, with reduced populations during January, and into the spring months. Ferries between San Francisco and Treasure Island shall operate in reduced numbers and slower speeds during December and January; alternatively, during this period ferries, to the extent practicable, shall maintain a buffer zone of 250 meters from areas of high-use by rafting waterbirds.	WETA's ferry operator(s)	During December and January of each year of operation	ferry operators to report to WETA and TIDA monthly during affected period	

Note: For purposes of this MMRP, unless otherwise indicated the term "project sponsors" shall mean the project sponsor or other persons assuming responsibility for implementation of the mitigation measure under the DDA, Vertical DDAs, or other transfer documents.



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

September 15, 2017

Subdivision and Mapping
Bureau of Street Use and Mapping
San Francisco Public Works
1155 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94103

RE: **0 Yerba Buena Avenue** (Address of Permit Work)
2007.0903SUBCND (Subdivision Application Number)

1650 Mission St.
Suite 400
San Francisco,
CA 94103-2479

Reception:
415.558.6378

Fax:
415.558.6409

Planning
Information:
415.558.6377

YBI Tentative Subdivision Map Findings

I. BACKGROUND

On April 21, 2011, the Planning Commission by Motion No. 18325 and the Board of Directors of Treasure Island Development Authority, by Resolution No.11-34-04/21, as co-lead agencies, certified the Final Environmental Impact Report ("FEIR") for the Treasure Island/Yerba Buena Island Development Project (the "Project"), and unanimously approved a series of entitlement and transaction documents relating to the Project, including certain environmental findings under the California Environmental Quality Act (Pub. Resources Code §§ 21000 et seq., "CEQA"), a mitigation and monitoring program ("MMRP"), and other transactions. As part of the Project approvals, the City and County of San Francisco ("City") approved the Disposition and Development Agreement ("DDA") by and between the Treasure Island Development Authority, and Treasure Island Community Development, LLC ("Subdivider"), inclusive of the Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island Design for Development ("D4D"), and the Development Agreement ("DA") between the City and the Subdivider.

On November 6, 2015, Public Works approved DPW Order No. 184230 approving Final Transfer Map No. 8674 ("Final Transfer Map"). The Final Transfer Map was subsequently approved by the Board of Supervisors and recorded in the Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, thereby establishing a series of parcels on Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island eligible for transfer or financing, and eligible for development pending subsequent approval of a final subdivision map.

On February 7, 2017, Subdivider's application for a tentative subdivision map to merge and re-subdivide various parcels on Yerba Buena Island ("Tentative Subdivision Map") was deemed complete and circulated for review and comment by City agencies. The Tentative Subdivision Map is consistent with the D4D and the Treasure Island / Yerba Buena Island Special Use District (Planning Code § 249.52, "SUD"), and would authorize six development parcels (not including two parcels of less than 0.1 acre each for a retaining wall), and eight street and open space parcels, and authorize up to 300 residential condominium units. The Subdivider would be authorized to develop the foregoing after recording one or more phased final maps.

II. FINDINGS

A. CEQA

The San Francisco Planning Department ("Department") makes the finding below pursuant to CEQA, based on the Department's independent consideration of the entire administrative record, including, but

中文詢問請電: 415.575.9010 | Para Información en Español Llamar al: 415.575.9010 | Para sa Impormasyon sa Tagalog Tumawag sa: 415.575.9121

not limited to, the FEIR, the Tentative Subdivision Map, and any public comments received concerning the Project.

Finding: No supplemental or subsequent environmental impact report is required for approval of the Tentative Subdivision Map pursuant to Public Resources Code § 21166 and § 15162 of the CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Code Regs., § 15000 et seq.)

Evidence in Support of Finding: The Department has considered the entire record to determine, pursuant to Section 15162(a) of the CEQA Guidelines, whether any of the criteria exist that would require preparation of a supplemental or subsequent environmental impact report:

(1) Substantial changes are proposed in the project which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or negative declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects;

Comment: The Tentative Subdivision Map is an implementing approval of the Project evaluated in the FEIR. No substantive changes are proposed that would require changes to the FEIR.

(2) Substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or negative declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; or

Comment: No substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the Project will be undertaken that will require major revisions to the FEIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects. The Department finds on the basis of the entire administrative record that there will be no new significant environmental effects, nor an increase in the severity of any significant effects identified in the FEIR. Subdivider shall be required to comply with all applicable mitigation measures identified in the FEIR's Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program ("MMRP") as described in Public Works Order No. ____.

(3) New information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified as complete or the negative declaration was adopted, shows any of the following:

(A) The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR or negative declaration;

(B) Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR;

(C) Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative; or

(D) Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.

Comment: The Department is not aware of any new information that was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the FEIR was certified. As such, approval of the Tentative Subdivision Map will not require major revisions to the FEIR, and will not result in any substantial increase in the severity of any significant impact identified in the FEIR. Moreover, no new information of substantial importance exists that indicates that mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible in the FEIR would in fact be feasible, would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project as described in the FEIR, and that the Subdivider has declined to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative. Furthermore, no new information of substantial importance exists that there are mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the FEIR that will substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, and the Subdivider has declined to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.

Based on these considerations and after considering all evidence in the record, the Department concludes that the FEIR provides adequate substantial evidence to approve the Tentative Subdivision Map in compliance with CEQA.

B. Subdivision Map Act

The Department makes the below findings pursuant to the Subdivision Map Act (Gov't Code §§ 66410 et. seq.), based on the Department's independent consideration of the entire administrative record, including, but not limited to, the FEIR, the Tentative Subdivision Map, and any public comments received concerning the Project.

Finding: The Tentative Subdivision Map, together with the provisions for its design and improvement, is consistent with the San Francisco General Plan ("General Plan").

Evidence in Support of Finding: The Department has evaluated the Tentative Subdivision Map in light of the requirement of Government Code § 66473.5, and finds that the map is consistent with the General Plan. The City has not adopted a specific plan governing the project site. By means of explanation, but not limitation, the Department finds that the Tentative Subdivision Map directly implements the following General Plan Objectives and Policies, among others:

- *Housing Element Objective 1 – Identify and make available for development adequate sites to meet the City's housing needs, especially permanent affordable housing.*

Comment: The Project includes an overall affordable housing requirement of 25%. Approval of the Tentative Subdivision Map will add up to 300 residential units and will contribute toward the overall 25% Project affordable housing requirement.

- *Housing Element Policy 1.2 – Focus housing growth and infrastructure necessary to support growth according to community plans. Complete planning underway in key opportunity areas, such as Treasure Island, Candlestick Park and Hunters Point Shipyard.*

Comment: The Tentative Subdivision Map implements the D4D and the SUD and will facilitate the development of Yerba Buena Island in the manner contemplated by these planning documents.

- *Housing Element Objective 12 – Balance housing growth with adequate infrastructure that serves the City’s growing population.*

Comment: The Tentative Subdivision Map implements the Treasure Island Infrastructure Plan and the infrastructure requirements therein for Yerba Buena Island. The Conditions of Approval included in Public Works Order No. _____ require Subdivider to implement all infrastructure requirements necessary to serve the implementation or to secure the completion of said improvements through a public improvement agreement.

- *Recreation & Open Space Element Objective 2: Increase recreation and open space to meet the long-term needs of the City and Bay Region.*

Comment: The Tentative Subdivision Map area incorporates nearly 63 acres of open space, including new public parks.

- *Recreation & Open Space Policy 2.5: Encourage the development of region-serving open spaces in opportunity areas: Treasure Island, Yerba Buena Island, Candlestick and Hunters Point Shipyard.*

Comment: The Tentative Subdivision Map area incorporates nearly 63 acres of open space, including new public parks, on Yerba Buena Island.

Finding: The Department has evaluated the effect of approval of the Tentative Subdivision Map on the City’s housing needs and balanced this need against the public service needs of its residents and available fiscal and environmental resources.

Evidence in Support of Finding: San Francisco’s share of the Regional Housing Needs Assessment as determined by the Association of Bay Area Governments through 2022 is calculated at 28,870 units. The City’s 2014 General Plan Housing Element recognizes the area encompassed by the Tentative Subdivision Map as a “housing opportunity site.” (See pg. 1.67.) Indeed, as many as 8,000 houses are reflected as being potentially developed on Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island, collectively (per the 2014 Housing Element), with the map authorizing up to 300 residential condominium units. Environmental and fiscal impacts of this development were evaluated in the FEIR and addressed in the DDA and DA, as applicable. As such, approval of the Tentative Subdivision Map represents an appropriate balance of the City’s housing needs compared to public service needs and available fiscal and environmental resources.

Finding: Denial of the Tentative Subdivision Map Act is not mandated pursuant to Government Code Section 66474.

Evidence in Support of Finding: The Department has considered the entire record to determine, pursuant to Government Code § 66474(a) – (g), whether any of the criteria exist that would require denial of the Tentative Subdivision Map, and finds that none of the criteria exist.

(a) That the proposed map is not consistent with applicable general and specific plans as specified in Section 65451.

Comment: The Tentative Subdivision Map is consistent with the General Plan, for the reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 18328, and there is no applicable specific plan, as detailed above in these findings.

(b) That the design or improvement of the proposed subdivision is not consistent with applicable general and specific plans.

Comment: The design and improvement of the proposed subdivision is consistent with the General Plan, for the reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 18328, and there is no applicable specific plan, as detailed above in these findings.

(c) That the site is not physically suitable for the type of development.

Comment: The site is physically suitable for the type of development. The FEIR evaluated potential environmental impacts associated with the development, which development is consistent with that described in the D4D. All required mitigation measures identified in the MMRP will be applied to the Tentative Subdivision Map as described in Public Works Order No. _____. The FEIR and corresponding mitigation measures address, among other issues, geotechnical and soils conditions and hazards and hazardous materials.

(d) That the site is not physically suitable for the proposed density of development.

Comment: The site is physically suitable for the proposed density of development. The density of development, including the 300 proposed residential condominium units and anticipated commercial square footage, is consistent with the D4D and SUD as evaluated in the FEIR.

(e) That the design of the subdivision or the proposed improvements are likely to cause substantial environmental damage or substantially and avoidably injure fish or wildlife or their habitat.

Comment: Neither the design of the subdivision nor the proposed improvements are likely to cause substantial environmental damage or substantially and avoidably injure fish or wildlife or their habitat. The FEIR incorporates a comprehensive evaluation of biological resources, including fish and wildlife and their habitat. All feasible and applicable mitigation measures identified in the MMRP will be applied to the Tentative Subdivision Map as described in Public Works Order No. _____.

(f) That the design of the subdivision or type of improvements is likely to cause serious public health problems.

Comment: Neither the design of the subdivision nor the type of improvements are likely to cause serious public health problems. Issues of public health, including, for example, geotechnical and soils stability, hazards and hazardous materials, and air quality impacts, were evaluated in the FEIR. All feasible and applicable mitigation measures identified in the MMRP will be applied to the Tentative Subdivision Map as described in Public Works Order No. ____.

(g) That the design of the subdivision or the type of improvements will conflict with easements, acquired by the public at large, for access through or use of, property within the proposed subdivision. In this connection, the governing body may approve a map if it finds that alternate easements, for access or for use, will be provided, and that these will be substantially equivalent to ones previously acquired by the public. This subsection shall apply only to easements of record or to easements established by judgment of a court of competent jurisdiction and no authority is hereby granted to a legislative body to determine that the public at large has acquired easements for access through or use of property within the proposed subdivision.

Comment: Neither the design of the subdivision nor the type of improvements will conflict with easements acquired by the public at large for access through or use of, property within the proposed subdivision. No such public easements for use or public access would be adversely affected by the proposed subdivision, and the Subdivider will be required to provide new easements as a condition of approval of the map as necessary for public access and use.

C. San Francisco Planning Code Section 101.1

The Department has reviewed the findings of the Planning Commission as memorialized in Planning Commission Resolution No. 18328 concerning the consistency of the Project with the General Plan and the Priority Policies listed in Planning Code Section 101.1(b)(1)-(8). The Tentative Subdivision Map is consistent with, and is an implementing approval of, the Project previously evaluated by the Planning Commission and described in Resolution No. 18328. As such, the Department finds that the Resolution No. 18328 (and the findings therein) extends to approval of the Tentative Subdivision Map.